107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

HB0671	First Reading	23
HB2513	First Reading	23
HB2544	First Reading	23
HB3924	First Reading	23
HB4056	First Reading	23
HB4266	First Reading	23
HB4200 HB4418	First Reading	23
HB4482	First Reading	23
HB4593	First Reading	23
HB4598	First Reading	24
HB4616	First Reading	24
HB4679	First Reading	24
HB4769	First Reading	24
HB4781	First Reading	24
HB4782	First Reading	24
HB4783	First Reading	24
HB4784	First Reading	24
HB5278	First Reading	24
HB5325	First Reading	24
HB5326	First Reading	24
HB5401	First Reading	24
HB5454	First Reading	24
HB5592	First Reading	24
HB5613	First Reading	24
HB5684	First Reading	25
HB5824	First Reading	25
HB5869	First Reading	25
HB5967	First Reading	25
SB0347	Recalled	26
SB0347	Third Reading	26
SB0506	Recalled	27
SB0506	Third Reading	28
SB0644	Recalled	29
SB0644	Third Reading	30
SB0902	Third Reading	46
SB0927	Recalled	32
SB0927	Third Reading	33
SB0978	Recalled	34
SB0978	Third Reading	35
SB1098	Recalled	48
SB1099	Recalled	49
001000	ICOULTON	1 2

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SB1099	Third Reading	50
SB1740	Third Reading	51
SB1922	Concurrence	82
SB1996	Recalled	52
SB1996	Third Reading	53
SB2583	Second Reading	8
SB2586	Recalled	54
SB2586	Third Reading	55
SB2620	Second Reading	105
SB2650	Recalled	55
SB2650	Third Reading	56
SB2651	Third Reading	57
SB2664	Third Reading	58
SB2674	Second Reading	11
SB2717	Out Of Record	60
SB2717	Recalled	59
SB2727	Recalled	60
SB2727	Third Reading	60
SB2758	Second Reading	9
SB2764	Second Reading	9
SB2793	Third Reading	61
SB2808	Recalled	64
SB2808	Third Reading	64
SB2846	Recalled	66
SB2846	Third Reading	68
SB2922	Recalled	68
SB2922	Third Reading	70
SB2929	Second Reading	104
SB2932	Third Reading	70
SB2984	Third Reading	71
SB2995	Second Reading	11
SB3007	Second Reading	12
SB3014	Recalled	72
SB3014	Third Reading	73
SB3023	Second Reading	13
SB3038	Second Reading	13
SB3108	Second Reading	14
SB3109	Second Reading	16
SB3110	Recalled	74
SB3110	Third Reading	75
SB3137	Second Reading	17
SB3139	Recalled	76

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SB3139	Third Reading	77
SB3139 SB3176	Third Reading	78
SB3170 SB3258	Second Reading	15
SB3264	Third Reading	79
SB3287	Second Reading	15
SB3313	Second Reading	15
SB3364	Third Reading	80
SB3369	Recalled	80
SB3369	Third Reading	81
SB3397	Second Reading	17
SB3398	Second Reading	18
SB3408	Second Reading	18
SB3450	Second Reading	19
SB3471	Second Reading	19
SB3478	Second Reading	20
SB3486	Second Reading	25
SB3514	Second Reading	20
SB3530	Second Reading	21
SB3538	Second Reading	105
SB3548	Second Reading	21
SB3566	Second Reading	22
SB3574	Second Reading	106
SB3656	First Reading	8
SR1012	Adopted	107
SR1052	Motion	45
SR1077	Resolution Offered	2
SR1078	Resolution Offered	2
SR1079	Resolution Offered	2
HJR0074	Resolution Offered	5
HJRCA0001	First Reading	108
HJRCA0052	First Reading	108
HJRCA0052	Resolution Offered	23
Senate to Order-	1	
Praver-The Revei	rend Dr Clifford Haves	1

Senale to Order-Senalor Link	T
Prayer-The Reverend Dr. Clifford Hayes	1
Pledge of Allegiance	1
Journal-Postponed	1
Committee Reports	3
Messages from the House	5
Senate Stands in Recess/Reconvenes	
Messages from the House	8

107th Legislative	Day		4/8/2014
-------------------	-----	--	----------

Messages from the House	22
Senate Stands at Ease/Reconvenes	30
Committee Reports	31
Messages from the House	107
Adjournment	109

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The regular Session of the 98th General Assembly will please come to order. Will the Members please be at their desks? Will our guests in the gallery please rise? The invocation today will be given by Reverend Doctor Clifford Hayes, First Presbyterian Church, Springfield, Illinois.

THE REVEREND DR. CLIFFORD HAYES:

(Prayer by the Reverend Dr. Clifford Hayes) PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Please remain standing for the Pledge Allegiance. Senator Haine.

SENATOR HAINE:

(Pledge of Allegiance, led by Senator Haine) PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Reading and Approval of the Journal. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Journal of Monday, April 7th, 2014. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter.

SENATOR HUNTER:

Mr. President, I move to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal just read by the Secretary, pending arrival of the printed transcript.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter moves to postpone the reading and approval of the Journal, pending the arrival of the printed transcript. There being no objection, so ordered. Mr. Secretary -- oh! Steve Bourque of WICS-TV requests permission to record video. Seeing no objection, permission granted. Tony Yuscius of Blueroomstream.com

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

requests permission to videotape. Seeing no objection, permission granted. Mr. Secretary, Resolutions.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 1077, offered by Senator Jacobs and all Members.

Senate Resolution 1078, offered by Senator McConnaughay and all Members.

Senate Resolution 1079, offered by Senator Hastings and all Members.

They're all death resolutions, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Resolution Consent Calendar. Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I'd like to introduce my Pages for the Day, all from my district, from Breese, Illinois. First, got - I'll go for the ladies - Sidney Thompson, is a senior at Central Community High School. And she plans on going to St. Louis University to study anthropology and medicine. I suspect there'd be a better market in medicine than anthropology, but I'm glad she's choosing two. Then we have Alexis Zanger, who's a senior at Breese Central High School, and she's on the National Honor Society and an Illinois State Scholar. She plans on studying genetics and Spanish in college. I'm feeling uneducated now. So next we have Sidney Thompson, who's a senior at Central -- I'm sorry. This is what happens when you have four Pages at once, you know. Saskia Viehweger. All right. All right. Sorry about that. She's also a senior at Central Breese High School and Honor Society member as well. And she's going to attend Northern Michigan

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

University in Marquette, Michigan. So I -- welcome them today. And I appreciate it. Thomas Romine, as well. And Thomas is a senior and going to -- going to study education at Southeast Missouri State. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Mulroe, Chairperson of the Committee on Public Health, reports Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 741, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2928, Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 3409 and Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3465 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Delgado, Chairperson of the Committee on Education, reports Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2870 and Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 3412 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Hunter, Chairperson of the Committee on Human Services, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 221, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1999, Senate Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 2586 and Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3421 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Frerichs, Chairperson of the Committee on Higher Education, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 230, Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2846 and Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 3306 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Raoul, Chairperson of the Committee on Judiciary, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 506, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 978, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1098, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1099, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2002, Senate Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 2829, and Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 3023, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3110, and

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3112 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Sandoval, Chairperson of the Committee on Transportation, reports Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 927, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 930, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 930, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3139, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3270, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3548 Recommend Do Adopt; and House Joint Resolution 86 Be Adopted.

Senator Noland, Chairperson of the Committee on Criminal Law, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2650, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2808, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2995, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3007, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3522, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3538, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3558 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Haine, Chairperson of the Committee on Insurance, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 644, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 646 and Senate Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 3014 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Hutchinson, Chairperson of the Committee on Revenue, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 218, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 218, Senate Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 3108, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 3369, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3397 and Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3574 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Holmes, Chairperson of the Committee on Environment, reports Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2727 Recommend Do Adopt.

Senator Jones, Chairperson of the Committee on Local Government, reports Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 504, Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 504, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 507, Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 585 and Senate Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3313 Recommend Do Adopt.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 2544.

We have received like Messages on House Bills 4418, 4636, 4914, 4995, 5613, 5684, 5949. Passed the House, April 8th, 2014. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 74.

Offered by Senator McCarter, and adopted by the House, April 7th, 2014. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House. It is substantive, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hunter, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HUNTER:

An announcement, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement. SENATOR HUNTER:

Senator Koehler is conducting business in the district today

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

and will not be here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The record shall reflect. Senator Sullivan, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. President, I have a couple young folks here with me today. They are interns in my Macomb legislative office. They are students, both seniors at Western Illinois University. Dan Fristrom is to my right. He's a polisci major. He's from Glendale Heights, which I believe is Senator Cullerton's district, Tom Cullerton's district. He's been with me for two years in my Macomb office. He has applied for a legislative staff internship program here at the University of Illinois at Springfield and would love to spend more time here in Springfield. A political science major. To my left is Canaan Daniels. He's a social work major at WIU. He's also an intern in my Macomb office. He's from Scott County, which is Senator McCann's district, down at Winchester, and he'll be starting a Master's of Science {sic} (Master of Sciences) in College Student Affairs at Eastern Illinois University this fall. I'd like everybody to welcome my two interns here to the State Senate today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Mr. Secretary, House Bills 1st Reading. Senator Silverstein, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Point of announcement, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement. SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

There'll be a Democratic Caucus, approximately one hour, after we recess.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Althoff, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR ALTHOFF:

Also point of announcement, please, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your announcement.

SENATOR ALTHOFF:

The Senate Republicans would also like to caucus for about an hour once -- upon recess.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senators Silverstein and Althoff move that the Senate recess for the purpose of a Democrat and Republican Caucus lasting approximately one hour. Seeing no objection, the motion is granted. The Senate now stands in recess to the call of the Chair. After the Senate... The Senate Democratic and Republican Caucuses -- after the caucus, for the purpose -- the Senate will -- will reconvene for the purpose of Floor action. The Senate stands in recess to the call of the Chair.

(SENATE STANDS IN RECESS/SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The Senate will please come to order. Mr. Secretary, Messages

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

from the House.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1922.

Together with the following amendments which are attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Amendments 2 and 6 to Senate Bill 1922. Passed the House, as amended, April 8th, 2014. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Introduction of Senate Bills. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3656, offered by Senators {sic} Kotowski and President Cullerton.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 1st Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Will all Senators at the sound of my voice come to the Senate Floor immediately? We will be going to the Order of 2nd Reading for the final time today -- for this -- for this week. Please come to the Floor immediately. If you want your bill moved, this is your last chance of 2nd Readings. We're going to Order of 2nd Reading. Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 2583. Senator Noland. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2583. Senator Noland. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2583.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Criminal Law adopted Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2620. Senator Sandoval. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2674. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2758. Senator Biss. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2758.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. Committee on Executive adopted Amendments 1, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2764. Senator Haine. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senate Bill 2764.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Haine. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your amendment. SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an amendment dealing with valuations, actuaries, and -and it is not intended to be moved from 3rd. It is a discussion that the life insurers of Illinois are having with the Department of Insurance. It will be held over the summer and fall. It is a classic work in progress. I would like to take an hour and a half and explain the details of the proposed amendment. If you suffer from insomnia later, I'd be happy to do that. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Bill 2674. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2674.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2929. Senator -- or, Senator Sandoval. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2995. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2995.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your amendment. SENATOR RAOUL:

Floor Amendment No. 1 essentially becomes the heart of the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3007. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3007.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Harmon. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon, on your amendment. SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Floor Amendment No. 2 becomes the bill and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3016. Senator Connelly. Out of

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

the record. Senate Bill 3023. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3023.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Mulroe. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your amendment. SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The Floor amendment actually removed all opposition. I'd be happy to explain it once we get to 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3038. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

DECRETARI ANDERSON.

Senate Bill 3038.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3052. Senator Biss. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3099. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3108. Senator Noland. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3108.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Noland. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Noland, on your amendment.

SENATOR NOLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 4 amends the -- the Local Government {sic} (Governmental) and Governmental Employees Tort Immunity Act. Provides that the funds from certain taxes authorized under the Act may be used for funding of preventative maintenance measures, such as sprinkler systems. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any further Floor amendments -- or, all those in favor of the amendment will vote {sic} Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting -- or, the -- the Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3109. Senator McGuire. Senator McGuire. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3137. Senator Jones. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3258. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3258.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Criminal Law adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3287. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3287.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3304. Senator Rose. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3313. Senator Bertino-Tarrant. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3313.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Bertino-Tarrant. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Bertino-Tarrant, on your amendment. SENATOR BERTINO-TARRANT:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment simply codifies some language that allows the -- people who are registered with the ICC to operate a 9-1-1 system.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3316. Senator Muñoz. Out of the record. With leave of the Body, we will return to Senate Bill 3109. Senator McGuire. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3109.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bill 3137. Senator Jones. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3137.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3338. Senator Connelly. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3382. Senator Muñoz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3397. Senator Hutchinson. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3397.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Have there been any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Hutchinson. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, on your amendment.

SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The Floor amendment becomes the bill and I'd be happy to discuss that on

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3398. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3398.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3407. Senator Connelly. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3408. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3408.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 2nd Reading of the bill. No -- no committee amendments. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Raoul.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your amendment.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Floor Amendment 1 limits it to Cook County. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

...further amendments reported, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3419. Senator Cunningham. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3422. Senator Sullivan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3450. Leader Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3450.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3451. Senator Frerichs. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3471. Senator LaHood. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3471.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation adopted

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are -- are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3478. Senator Muñoz. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3478.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3486. Senator Martinez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3497. Senator Cunningham. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3514. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3514.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor and Commerce adopted Committee Amendment No. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3530. Senator Stadelman. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3530.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Labor and Commerce adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3538. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3548. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3548.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Yes. Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Harmon. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Harmon, on your amendment. SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Floor Amendment No. 1 becomes the bill. I move for its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3566. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

Senate Bill 3566.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Financial Institutions adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3574. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House. ACTING SECRETARY KAISER:

A Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

House of Representatives has passed the House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment of the following title, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 52. Offered by President Cullerton, and adopted by the House, April 8th, 2014. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House. It is substantive, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, House Bills 1st Reading.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Bill 671, offered by President Cullerton. (Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2513, offered by Senator Koehler.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 2544, offered by Senator Althoff.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3924, offered by Senator Rezin.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4056, offered by Senator Manar. (Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4266, offered by Senator Haine. (Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4418, offered by Senator Raoul.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4482, offered by Senator Connelly. (Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 4593, offered by Senator Martinez.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

House Bill 4598, offered by Senator Jones. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 4616, offered by Senator Manar. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 4679, offered by Senator Hastings. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 4769, offered by Senator Haine. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 4781, offered by Senator Hunter. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 4782, offered by Senator Steans. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 4783, offered by Senator Steans. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 4784, offered by Senator Steans. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5278, offered by Senator Raoul. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5325, offered by Senator Martinez. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5326, offered by Senator Cunningham. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5401, offered by Senator Bush. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5454, offered by Senator Manar. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5592, offered by Senator Martinez. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5613, offered by Senator Manar.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

(Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5684, offered by Senator Harmon. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5824, offered by Senator Syverson. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5869, offered by Senator Bush. (Secretary reads title of bill) House Bill 5967, offered by Senator Jones. (Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Silverstein in the Chair. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SILVERSTEIN)

With leave of the Body, we're going to go to 2nd Readings. Bottom of page 3. Senator Martinez. Senate Bill 3486. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3486.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SILVERSTEIN)

3rd Reading. Senator Link in the Chair. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

All Senators at the sound of my voice, we will be going to the Order of 3rd Reading, final action. All Senators at the sound of my voice, we will be going to 3rd Reading, final action. 3rd Reading, final action. Senate Bill 16. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 68. Senator Lightford. Out of the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

record. Senate Bill -- Senate Bill 218. Senator Hunter. Senator Hunter... Out of the record. Senate Bill 221. Senator Martinez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 227. Senator Hunter. Out of the record. Can we please keep the noise down in the Chamber? Senate Bill 230. Senator Manar. Out of the record. Senate Bill 344. Senator Morrison. Out of the record. Senate Bill 347. Senator Holmes. Mr. Secretary, please -- Senator Holmes seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 347 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 347. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Holmes. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes, on your amendment. SENATOR HOLMES:

The amendment becomes the bill. I'll be happy to explain it on 3rds.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading, Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 347.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Holmes, on your bill. SENATOR HOLMES:

Thank you -- thank you so much, Mr. President. This is a bill we had passed last year in the Senate 54 to 0; however, it was never called in the House. It basically gives county clerks the option to calculate property tax rates to more than three decimal points in order to be more accurate. Current law requires the rate to be calculated to three decimal points and to round up. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall the Senate -- the question is, shall -- all those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 347, having received the required constitutional amendment {sic}, is declared passed. Senate Bill 348. Leader Harmon. Out of the record. Senate Bill 504. Senator Mulroe. Out of the record. Senate Bill 506. Senator Delgado. Mr. -- Senator Delgado seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 506 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 506. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Delgado. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado, on your amendment.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SENATOR DELGADO:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. On -- Floor Amendment No. 1 requires a coroner with an economic or personal interest that conflicts with his or her official duties as a coroner to disqualify themselves from acting as an investigation -- at an investigation or inquest. And I would ask for its adoption and -- so I could move it to 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 506. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 506.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Delgado, on your bill. SENATOR DELGADO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I explained the amendment. I would ask for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 506 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed,

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 506, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 585. Senator Sullivan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 644. Senator Haine. Senator Haine seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 644 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 644. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Haine. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your amendment. SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is an initiative of the Department of Insurance. It merely codifies a recent Supreme Court case which knocked out the mandatory arbitration on our nonstandard insurance bill a couple years ago.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? All those -- seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

644. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 644.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your bill.

SENATOR HAINE:

I repeat, reallege, reiterate, and reemphasize everything I previously said, and ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 644 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 644, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 646. Senator Haine. Out of the record. Will all Members of the Committee on Assignments please report to the President's Anteroom immediately? All Members of the Committee on Assignments, please report to the President's Anteroom immediately. The Senate will stand at ease. (at ease) Senate will come to order. Senator Biss. SENATOR BISS:

Mr. President, may I make an introduction?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Excuse me, after all the noise. Can we keep... Senator Biss. SENATOR BISS:

Mr. President, I simply wanted to ask if I could make an

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

introduction. Would that be all right?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State -- state your introduction. SENATOR BISS:

I'd just like to ask our colleagues to join me in welcoming my family to Springfield. We have with us here Karin Steinbrueck, who has the bizarre misfortune of being married to me, as well as our sons, Elliot, who's five, and Theodore, who's four. They always enjoy their visits down here and enjoy voting on bills. And they particularly enjoy voting against bills, so I'm hoping we'll be considering some of Senator Murphy's legislative measures later on today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to the Illinois State Senate. Mr. Secretary, Committee Reports.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senator Clayborne, Chairman of the Committee on Assignments, reports the following Legislative Measures have been assigned: Refer to Executive Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 3318; refer to Labor and Commerce Committee - Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1103; refer to Licensed Activities and Pensions Committee - Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 452 and Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 452; refer to State Government and -and Veterans Affairs Committee - Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 218, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 503, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 503 and Committee Amendment 1 to Senate Resolution 1002; refer to Transportation Committee - Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2620, Committee Amendment 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 62; Be Approved for Consideration - Floor Amendment 1 to Senate

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Bill 647, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 2922, Motion to Concur on House Amendments 2 and 6 to Senate Bill 1922, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 1 and House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 52. Pursuant to Senate Rule 3-8(b-1), the following amendments will remain in the Committee on Assignments: Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 228, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 588, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 589, Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 728, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1050, Floor Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 2004, Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2015, Floor Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 2583 and Floor Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 3414.

Signed, Senator James F. Clayborne, Chairman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

For the purposes of announcement - and please listen carefully: Licensed Activity {sic} (Activities) and Pensions in Room 400 at 3:30 today; Labor and Commerce -- Executive in Room 212 at 3:30; State Government and Veterans Affairs in Room 409 at 3:30; Labor and Commerce in Room 212 at 4:45 today; Financial Institutions in Room 400 at 4:45 today; Energy in Room 212 at 9:15 tomorrow; and Transportation in Room 212 at 9:30 tomorrow. One more time: Licensed Activity {sic} and Pensions in Room 400 at 3:30 today; State Government and Veterans Affairs in Room 409 at 3:30 today; Executive in Room 212 at 3:30 today; Labor and Commerce in Room 212 at 4:45 today; Financial Institutions in Room 400 at 4:45 today; Energy in Room 212, 9:15 tomorrow; Transportation in Room 212 at 9:30 tomorrow. Now back to the Order of 3rd Readings on Senate Bills. Senate Bill 741. Senator Trotter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 927. Senator Mulroe. Mr. Secretary, please -- Senator Mulroe seeks permission to -- seeks leave of the Body

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

to return Senate Bill 927 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 927. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Mulroe. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your amendment. SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I'd ask that it be adopted and I'll explain it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, every -- all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are any -- are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 927. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 927.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Mulroe, on your amendment -- on your bill. SENATOR MULROE:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment deletes all and

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

becomes the bill. This bill is in honor of Vincent Petrella, a member of the Illinois State Tollway Authority. He was recently killed in January of -- of this year while assisting a broken-down semi-truck on the Illinois Tollway. The safety of the workers of the Illinois Tollway is in constant jeopardy while performing their duties on the Tollway in order to keep our roads safe and keep those in -- care for the people in need. This bill accomplishes several goals. It establishes that vehicles of the Illinois Tollway Authority identified as Highway Emergency Lane Patrol, or H.E.L.P., are authorized emergency -- emergency vehicles under the Illinois Vehicle Code. It also authorizes the Illinois Tollway H.E.L.P. vehicles to use red lights in accordance with the Vehicle Code. It also clarifies that other authorized Illinois Tollway vehicles can use amber oscillating, flashing, and rotation lights. And finally, it -- the changes made in Senate Bill 927 will ensure that Tollway vehicles are covered under Scott's Law in Illinois. I know of no opposition. I'd ask for your support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 927 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 927, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 930. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. Senate Bill 977. Senator Martinez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 978. Senator Raoul. Senator Raoul seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 978 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 978.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Raoul. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your amendment.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Amendment becomes the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Now -- are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 978. All those in -- Senate Bill -- Senator -- Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 978.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your bill.

SENATOR RAOUL:

I urge an Aye vote. No. Senate Bill 978 creates a new expungement process for juvenile arrest records. This is an initiative of the City of Chicago. This is an effort to have a

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

automatic expungement process for juveniles who have been arrested but no petition delinquency has been filed. As a requirement, the minor will have to have reached the age of eighteen and have had six month {sic} (months) pass since the minor's most recent arrest. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for some questions?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR ROSE:

Senator Raoul, in the underlying expungement statute that currently exists, there's a number of protections afforded to society before the expungement is granted. For example, you have to have a negative drug test filed with your petition. For example, you have to go in front of a judge and have the parties there to make the various arguments about, yes, we think this is a good idea or, no, we think this is not a good idea and here's why. For example, there has to be a period of -- a -- a definite period of years for which you were not rearrested or later found to be in trouble again before you filed your petition for expungement. Are any of those things in -- in this bill? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

I -- I think the distinction between the expungement that you're talking about and the expungement that we're talking about

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

with juvenile offenders who have never been charged, who have -there hasn't been a petition. These are station adjustments, Senator. So law enforcement has made a decision not to go anywhere with the arrests that they have made. They haven't been referred to court.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you on that. So, if the -- the arrest was made but it did not go forward in juvenile court - correct? - that is what you're expunging? Just those records? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Yes, that's correct, Senator. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Is there any circumstance where an arrest would have been made and it did go into juvenile court proceedings that that would -- and let me give you an example. Let me -- let me give you this example. Let's say you had someone who was almost treated as a age of majority. Okay? And they had a juvenile court arrest and then the next day they got arrested again and they became adult eligible. Okay? As a plea to that adult charge, this was dismissed. The -- or, in other words, it was never charged. Either it was -- either it was charged or they agreed not to charge it as part of that plea in adult court. Would that still be expungeable?

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Before I recognize Senator Raoul, could we keep the noise down and the conversations down a little bit? Senator Raoul. SENATOR RAOUL:

Under those circumstances, there would have been an arrest within the six months, 'cause there -- there's an arrest right afterwards. If you -- you try to extend more than six months beyond that, then -- then perhaps, but...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

So, let's assume you got arrested at sixteen and a half, but it's still part of a pending investigation. Let's assume it was a burglary with several -- several high school kids. Okay? One kid got caught. They're all running; one kid got caught. So he's been arrested. Right? And he is trying to work out an agreement with the prosecution - in return for a leaner sentence, to turn over everybody else who was with him on the burglary. Okay? Now, keep in mind, he's sixteen and a half, or let's just say, for sake of argument, sixteen and three quarters. In the -- as soon as he turns the age of majority -- is this going to apply at seventeen or eighteen?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

This will apply at eighteen, and I remind you, again, the prosecutor doesn't come into play here, 'cause there's no petition filed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

I -- I understand that no petition may be filed, but you could still have an open investigation pending. And I don't want to have that arrest record timed out simply because somebody had a birthday and now that has been expunged when you have an open investigation pending.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Yeah. I guess I don't know the cases that you're familiar with. But I think in my experience as a juvenile prosecutor and -- and as somebody who's done juvenile defense work, cases that are -- are handled with the station adjustments aren't likely to be cases with lengthy investigations. These are station adjustments, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Right, but if he's arrested the day before his birthday and the charge hasn't been filed, he now turns eighteen, the arrest is now expunged.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Again, this bill requires a six-month period. So if a -- an arrest where there's been a station adjustment, the investigation hasn't been referred for a petition within six months, I don't know that case. But if you know that case, point it out to me, in

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

Well, first of all, Senator, I would suggest that in the history of the State of Illinois there would have been plenty of people who committed a crime at seventeen and a half and then would not have been charged, or seventeen and three quarters, whatever it is, until after their eighteenth birthday. But I think the six-month period -- and let's make sure we're talking about the right six-month period. Because on page 3, line 3 to 4 and 5, you talk about since the date of the minor's most recent arrest, at least six months should have elapsed without an additional arrest. I'm talking about an initial arrest. So if you get arrested at seventeen and three quarters, it's a pending open investigation. You've never been formally charged; you then time out at eighteen. You're -- I mean, you're essentially creating a new statute of limitations here because the arrest is then expunded. Or, said differently, would you entertain a motion -- or, an amendment -qo ahead.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

You read the statute correctly. If the arrest was right before the -- the minor turned eighteen, that is his most recent arrest. So there has to be a period of six months that elapses. These are station adjustments. Senator, name me one case. Just name me one case in the history of the State of Illinois handled like that. This is not complicated.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose, to wrap up.

SENATOR ROSE:

I'm sure I could probably call home and find a half a dozen cases pretty quickly. But, you know, the -- I guess the point of this is, is there any harm in adding a sentence to this that says "pending investigations are not covered here"? "There's no automatic" -- "automatic expungement if there's a pending investigation."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

With all due respect, Senator, and I -- I apologize for -for blowing my top a little bit. I've got a little stress from other matters that I'm dealing with. I'd just like to humbly suggest that what you're worried about is not a concern. Certainly I -- you know, we could delay this and add, but it's unnecessary language. We can have redundant language to all sorts of bills that we present that tries to cover situations. And I -- and, again, I invite you to call home and bring forth the -- the six cases that you're referring to, but I -- I really don't -- I think you're -- you're -- you're trying to -- and I appreciate the nature of debate - and that's the beautiful thing about this Chamber, we get to have debate - but I think you're -- you're articulating a problem that does not exist.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Rose.

SENATOR ROSE:

So, first of all, no apologies are necessary. I'm used to

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

dealing with Lou Lang. This is nothing. So the reality, Senator, though, with -- with all due respect to your opinion on that - and I will check with my folks - but if it is so small, as you state, then it certainly can't hurt to go ahead and exempt pending investigations. I don't think any of us would want an arrest to have been expunged because the pendency of the investigation is still ongoing, the charge has not been filed, and yet the -- the -- the main witness, the suspect, turns eighteen. And I will respectfully be voting No. I would ask for that accommodation. If it -- you do that in the Senate and it comes back, I'd seriously entertain voting Yes. But in the meantime, I will call my folks. And you don't have to worry about apologizing, 'cause between Senator Biss and Lou Lang and Jack Franks, it's always a good time. So, thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator LaHood, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR LaHOOD:

Question of the sponsor. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR LaHOOD:

Thank you. Chairman Raoul, I'm -- I'm -- I'm trying to understand the practical aspect of how this works. So, as you're well aware, criminal defendants that are adults, when a crime is committed, it's adjudicated and there's a PSI report that comes forth that lays out the history of that particular defendant, criminal history, and -- and will -- will also include, my experience is, juvenile record, to a certain extent, in federal or State court. So, under this bill, when a juvenile, say they're

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

sixteen, is under juvenile probation for an offense, let's say robbery, and they get put on probation as a juvenile, and while they're on probation as a juvenile, they pick up three or four other arrests while they're on probation, and as part of the adjudication on those three or four arrests, they decide not to file anything on those new cases and extend the probation to seventeen or eighteen. So, under this scenario, would those arrests that were never filed exist?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

The arrests where there were station adjustments would -would be expunded. There -- the -- if the juvenile was under probation, there's still that other matter; that's not an automatic expundement. But it's the matter that they elect to do a station adjustment on - which, you know, if there's another armed robbery, there's not going to be a station adjustment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator LaHood.

SENATOR LaHOOD:

But -- but I think he answered my question. My experience in -- in juvenile court, and -- and I worked in Cook County, is once they're put on probation and they pick up numerous arrests, those currently exist and can be used later on when they are an adult, and you look at a PSI report, those arrests show up. What you're saying, under this bill, is that if there is a plea agreement as part of that probation and those arrests end up just continuing the probation, those would be gone. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Raoul. SENATOR RAOUL:

It should be clear that within the bill that it specifically specifies nothing in this Act shall require the physical destruction of internal office records, files, and databases maintained by the State's Attorney's Office or any other prosecutor. So, if they -- if they once had access to that information, they don't have to destroy that -- that access -destroy those record...(microphone cutoff)... Did you not hear me? The State's Attorney's...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator...

SENATOR RAOUL:

State's Attorney's Office would not -- would not have to destroy any records they -- they may have. And I assume they would have records if they made that -- that decision. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator LaHood.

SENATOR LaHOOD:

I -- thank you. I -- I appreciate your -- your statement on that, but making -- you're -- you're saying that you're assuming that. I mean, that's different from the -- the -- what's in a PSI now and having access to those arrests, which are used to determine a sentence, and that's what concerns me here, is the expungement of those and not having that part of the full record when somebody becomes an adult. Thank you. Those are all my questions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, to close. SENATOR RAOUL:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

I appreciate the concerns of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle. I should note that this bill came about and came through committee with support from the State's Attorney's Office. You know, what we're talking about here, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, are arrests that are handled by the police department as not so serious as to be referred to juvenile court. It's important to understand the distinction between those arrests and the arrests that you would proceed with the referral for a petition to juvenile court. Additionally, it's important to understand that the -- the very fundamental nature of having a distinction between adult prosecution and juvenile delinquency is that you have an opportunity at a fresh start. If we cannot do that for these very basic cases where -- that are handled at the police department as station adjustments, I don't know why we even have a division between juvenile delinguency and criminal -- criminal law. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 978 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 41 Ayes, 13 Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 978, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Harmon, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to waive all notice and posting requirements so that Senate Resolution 1052 can be heard today at 3:30 p.m. in the Senate Executive Committee. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Harmon moves to waive all notices and the posting requirement that -- so that Senate Resolution 1052 can be heard today at -- 3:30 in Executive Committee. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the -- and all notices and posting requirements have been waived. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bill 902. Senator Clayborne. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 902.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Clayborne, on your bill. SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Thank you. Floor Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 902 replaces everything after the enacting clause and creates the Herptile-Herbs {sic} (Herptiles-Herps) Act, which is -- which will be administered by DNR. As amended, this Act will be -- this Act will consolidate herptile-related law into one Code, while creating new safeguards to ensure dangerous reptiles and amphibians are being maintained in a manner that protects both the owners and the public from injury. I would ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator McCarter, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR McCARTER:

Question of the sponsor, please. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Indicates he will yield. SENATOR McCARTER:

Senator, can you -- can you just give us a couple bullet points as to the difference in what we're doing today versus what we passed, I believe, last year?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

Yes. Definitions were made more clear for the term of "culling" and "taxa". Some contraband language removed because considered controversial. Language clarified regarding protocol for herptiles born in captivity from wild-caught adults, allowing captive breeding of wild-caught herptiles to -- for research or recovery efforts only. Any monies that {sic} endangered/threatened species herptiles permits going into wildlife preservations to fund for -- to a fund to be used for endangered/threatened herptile research and recovery. Allows collecting equipment within the U.S. Forest Service LaRue-Pine Hills area only with authorization from {sic} the spring and fall herptile migrations. There are about six more, if you want me to qo on, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter. SENATOR McCARTER:

So, Senator, just -- so just to clarify, it doesn't -- sounds like we're adding to the -- the -- the -- the bill that was passed previously. The bill previously looked -- told retailers how they could go about doing business and what they could house, what they could sell. It doesn't sound like much in this bill has -- will

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

change how a retailer can go about business today. Is -- is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Clayborne.

SENATOR CLAYBORNE:

That -- that's correct, except there is a Herpetocultural {sic} (Herpetoculture) permit that will be issued.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCarter.

SENATOR McCARTER:

Just to the bill. Yeah, I -- I'd like you to repeat that three or four times, Senator, if you would, just for our amusement. But, no, I -- I -- I don't -- I agree, this is not going to affect the way retailers do business in this industry today. So I would -- I would support the bill and urge an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Leader Clayborne, to close, if you wish. The question is, shall Senate Bill 902 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 902, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1098. Senator Harmon. Senator Harmon seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1098 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1098. Mr. Secretary, have there been any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Harmon. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your amendment. SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I want to take just a moment with this amendment, because I think I may have misspoken in committee. This is an initiative of the Institute of Illinois Business Law and it -- it responds to a Supreme Court decision about causes of action arising after the dissolution of a business entity. It does not codify, but it instead attempts to address the -- the infirmity in the statute raised by the court. So I would move for the adoption, but I would ask to hold this bill in the Senate for a day or so to make sure that any misspeaking I did in committee can be addressed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Out of the record. SENATOR HARMON:

No. No. No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

No? Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. And are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1099. Senator Harmon. Mr. Secretary -- Senator Harmon seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1099 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1099. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Harmon. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your amendment. SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1099. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1099.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Harmon, on your bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1099 is also an initiative of the Institute of Illinois Business Law. It creates uniformity across business types,

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

corporations, not-for-profits, LLCs, and the like, in terms of the administrative dissolution provisions. I am not aware of any opposition and I ask for your Aye votes. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1099 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1099, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1626. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. We'll skip over Senate Bill 1681. Senate Bill 1740. Senator Trotter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1740.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Trotter, on your bill. SENATOR TROTTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1740, as amended, creates the Community Stabilization Assessment Freeze Pilot Program. This is a initiative of the Illinois Housing Authority. It allows for the Cook County Assessor to reduce the assessed value of improvements to residential property for ten years. This freeze will be available in distressed areas of Cook County only. It, again, is a pilot program. Its purpose, of course, is to bring some homes back to the market to deal with the blight and also the -- the -- the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

horrible foreclosures in some communities. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1740 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 1 Nay, 1 -- none voting Present. Senate Bill 1740, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1996. Senator McConnaughay. Mr. -- Senator McConnaughay seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 1996 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1996. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator McConnaughay. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConnaughay, on your amendment.

SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

The amendment becomes the bill. I'd like to explain on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

1996. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 1996.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McConnaughay, on your bill.

SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

Thank you. This bill amends the State's Attorneys Appellate Prosecutor's Act to require the Board of Governors of the Office of the State's Attorney Appellate Prosecutor to establish a committee to evaluate and recommend a best practices protocol on specific issues related to investigation and prosecution of serial -- serious criminal offenses.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1996 pass. All those in favor will say {sic} Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1996, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1999. Senator Connelly. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2002. Senator Dillard. Out of the record. Senator McConnaughay, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point. SENATOR McCONNAUGHAY:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

I would ask that the Members of the Senate join me today in welcoming Nate Gibbons {sic} (Gibbs), sixth grader from St. Dominic School in Bolingbrook. He loves history and he is a natural-born leader, and someday I imagine he'll be here in this Chamber. Please join me in welcoming him today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senate Bill 2363. Senator Martinez. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2586. Senator Steans. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2590. Senator Haine. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2628. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bill 2586. Senator Haine -- or, Senator Steans seeks leave to -- of the Body to return Senate Bill 2586 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2586. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Steans. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Steans, on your amendment. SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, it becomes the bill and I'll discuss it on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 2586. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2586.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Steans, on your bill.

SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill has the Governor's Office of Healthcare Information and -and Innovation and -- let's see, and Transformation {sic} (Health Innovation and Transformation) developing a financing system for community mental health and substance abuse programs. It's also putting on an -- an end date to the task force to come up with the financing plan to ensure that we are actually developing the capacity of our community and mental health and substance abuse providers to provide the appropriate services. We know we have a growing need for mental health care in the State of Illinois. We want to make sure we're trying to address those needs. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2586 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2586, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2647. Senator Althoff. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2650. Senator Silverstein. Mr. --

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Silverstein seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2650 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2650. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Silverstein. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Silverstein, on your amendment. SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

I'll explain the amendment on 3rd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 2650. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2650.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Silverstein, on your bill.

SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 2650 provides that a defendant whose conviction is reversed by a finding of factual

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

innocence is not liable for any costs or fees by the clerk or the circuit court, or any charges incurred while detained in custody. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2650 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2650, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2651. Senator Silverstein. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2651.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Silverstein, on your bill. SENATOR SILVERSTEIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 2651 provides that in cases of battery or aggravated battery, the court may consider as part of its sentencing that the defendant committed the offense with the specific intent to cause a victim to lose consciousness. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2651 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2651, having received the required constitutional majority,

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

is declared passed. Senate Bill 2659. Senator Silverstein. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2664. Senator Hastings. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2664.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your bill. SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 2664 amends the Condominium Property Act to provide notice and cap the total amounts of back assessments a purchaser of a foreclosed condo unit may be responsible to pay. And I'll answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Murphy, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MURPHY:

Question of the sponsor. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield. SENATOR MURPHY:

Senator, the -- the fees and the costs that the condo associations want to continue to be able to collect, does the purchaser of the foreclosed property have the ability to pay those out of a mortgage loan from a bank or does that have to be a separate outlay of cash from the buyer that they can't borrow as part of their mortgage?

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings.

SENATOR HASTINGS:

So that's -- those are monies that you cannot finance, Senator Murphy, and part of the reason for bringing this bill is because home buyers -- young home buyers, for that matter, that buy foreclosed condos are surprised when they go ahead and close on the property. And this bill clarifies how much those fees would be and it defines the time periods in which they have to know about 'em.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2664 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2664, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2682. Senator Hastings. Out of the record. We'll skip over that bill. Senate Bill 2717. Senator Sandoval. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary -- oh! Senator Sandoval seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2717 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2717. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Floor Amendment 1, offered by Senator Sandoval.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator -- out of the record. Senate Bill 2727. Senator Steans. Senator Steans seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2727 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2727. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Steans. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Steans, on your amendment. SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, this amendment becomes the bill. I'll explain it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, the question is -- all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 2727. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2727.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Steans, on your bill. SENATOR STEANS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill prohibits individuals from manufacturing for sale personal care products that contain plastic microbeads - these are used for exfoliation products - starting by the end of 2017. This -- I really want to thank the environmental groups and the Chemical (Industry) Council and the Manufacturing {sic} (Manufacturers') Association for working together on this bill. It's now an agreedto bill. You know, these microbeads are being found in the Great Lakes, getting in -- collecting toxins, ingested by fish, and finding their way into the, you know, human system that way as well. Would urge an Aye vote on this. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2727 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2727, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2760. Senator Lightford. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2763. Senator Sandoval. Out of the record. We'll skip over to Senate Bill 2775. Senator Lightford. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2793. Senator Hutchinson. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2793.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hutchinson, on your bill. SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 2973 {sic}, as amended, requires the State Board of Education to issue a report on school discipline by October 31st, 2015. The report must include data on the issuance of -- of out-of-school suspensions, expulsions, and removals to alternative settings, disaggregated by race and ethnicity, gender, age, grade level, limited English proficiency, incident type, and discipline duration, and data on the use of arrests or criminal citations, disaggregated also by race and ethnicity, gender, and age. The bill also requires districts identified by the State Board as being in the top quartile in terms of number of arrests or racial disproportionality in the number of arrests to submit a school discipline improvement plan it will implement to reduce the use of harsh disciplinary practices. When we discussed this in committee, the one thing that we had a suggestion about, that was made pretty strongly by both Senators {sic} Barickman and Senators {sic} Rose on the Education Committee, was to make sure that we were clear in this bill that this only affected reports and data that come out of the school on school property - not something that happens in the community that the school would not have access to the data to report. We made those corrections in an amendment and we'd like the courtesy of an Aye vote to get this done today. Thank you so much. I'm happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Senator Rose, for what purpose do you rise?

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SENATOR ROSE:

Thank you. To the bill, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR ROSE:

Just want to say thank you to the sponsor. We did have an amendment that she was going to bring back to committee and there was a snafu yesterday and that didn't happen. But the lady came over, talked to me and Senator Barickman, 'cause it was our amendment, and we have no problem with it going directly to the Floor. And I just wanted to say how gracious and courteous she was to come talk to us in advance. So thank you for that. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2793 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2793, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Hastings, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HASTINGS:

A point of personal privilege, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point. SENATOR HASTINGS:

Today I'd like to welcome members and students from Union School District 81, which is in Joliet. Mayor Tim Baldermann, who is one of my mayors, in New Lenox, is our Superintendent from the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

school, and we have the great students and staff. We got Mickey Grygiel up there. If you guys want to stand up and wave, real quick. We'd like to give 'em a warm Springfield welcome. Welcome to you guys.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to Springfield. Senate Bill 2808. Senator Biss. Senator Biss seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2808 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2808. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Biss. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, on your amendment. SENATOR BISS:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill. I'm happy to discuss it on 3rd Reading, if we could adopt it with your indulgence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 2808. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senate Bill 2808.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, on your bill. SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 2808 is concerned with the topic of location surveillance using electronic devices. So your cell phone, your GPS, your iPad and lots of other devices that exist in daily life today are able to track your location, which provides an incredible record of where you've been, and therefore, some might argue, what you've done, what you've thought, and what you believe. This bill concerns the use of -- of these technological devices by law enforcement to engage in surveillance, and it basically says that, with a list of exceptions, a law enforcement entity cannot engage in electronic surveillance using these devices for current and future location information without a search warrant. It was carefully negotiated between a number of law enforcement groups and the ACLU. And I want to particularly thank the Cook County State's Attorney's Office for really working closely with us and reaching the point that we're now at that I think is agreeable to most, if not all, sources. Happy to take any questions and I would certainly appreciate your Aye votes on this matter. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2808 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

question, there are 52 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2808, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Martinez, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

For a point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR MARTINEZ:

Well, today we have -- we're honored by this wonderful guest, and I got to say, I -- we should thank him because I'm here. He made sure that I live where I lived, and today I am the first Latina thanks to our former colleague, our former -- Assistant Majority Leader, our former Senator - once a Senator, always a Senator - Senator Miguel del Valle.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

A lot of us owe him in debt and -- I ask -- I thank him for the office - that was the best. Senator -- Senate Bill 2846. Senator Haine. Mr. -- Senator Haine seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2846 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2846. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Haine. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your amendment. SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senate Amendment No. 3 becomes the bill. It is an initiative of the Concerned Christians of America {sic} (Concerned Christian Americans) and many evangelical churches, those that operate bible colleges, a Baptist church and a Charismatic church and an independent church. And it does allow them the authority, if they meet certain conditions - if they are a nonprofit institution controlled and operated by a church, a religious denomination, or organization - to issue a religious - and it must state so - a religious degree for certain areas of -- of -- of study having to deal with that denomination's religious beliefs. And their degrees may be religious bachelor's degrees, religious associate's degree, and so on. Their -- their handbook must state these degrees are not approved by the State Board of Higher Education. On the degree itself, it must state in plain letters, not small print - these are not to be written by skillful lawyers; they're to be written by the clergymen - so it should be clearly observable and known to the person reading it that this is a degree which is not approved -- the study of which is not approved by the State Department of Higher Education. And with that, it passed out of committee. And I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

2846. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2846.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your bill.

SENATOR HAINE:

Mr. President and Ladies -- Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I repeat, reallege, reaffirm, reiterate, reemphasize what I just stated on the amendment, which is the bill, and I would pray for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2846 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2846, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2870. Senator Silverstein. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2922. Senator Haine. Senator Haine seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 2922 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2922. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Haine. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Haine, on your amendment. SENATOR HAINE:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a initiative of Department of Insurance. It came to the Floor after a subject matter hearing in the committee. And what it does, it becomes the bill. It states that public adjusters may not charge or accept fees in consideration of excess of ten percent if it -- following what is termed a catastrophic event, meaning an occurrence of widespread or severe damage, tornadoes, earthquakes, et cetera. There'll be a following bill addressing the whole waterfront of public adjustment with consumers, but this is the first step. It brings the Department into a position to control what can only be termed as vultures who fly into an area that's beset by tragedy and sign people up to take money that is arguably going to be theirs anyway from insurance carriers.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Senator Brady, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR BRADY:

Just stand in support of the gentleman's amendment and hope he passes the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The -- the Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 2922. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2922.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your bill. SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2922 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2922, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2932. Senator Sullivan. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2932.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Sullivan, on your bill.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. The legislation prohibits a towing service from removing a commercial

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

motor vehicle under that vehicle's own power without the authorization of a law enforcement officer. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2932 pass. All those in favor will say -- vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 29 Aye -- or, 55 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 2932, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 2952. Senator Jacobs. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2979. Senator Muñoz. Out of the record. Senate Bill 2984. Senator Dillard. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2984.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Dillard, on your bill. SENATOR DILLARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members. This is an initiative of the Illinois State Bar Association. It is identical to a bill which passed the Senate last year but was held up in the House, and it comes from the Estates and Trusts Section of the State Bar Association. Makes a change concerning decanting to clarify ways in which trustees can exercise discretion to distribute assets and make other changes for tax purposes. I know of no opposition. And, again, this bill has passed this Chamber once before, and I'd appreciate an Aye roll call.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 2984 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 2984, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Skip over that bill. Senate Bill 3004. Senator Lightford. Out of the -- Senate -- out of the record. Senate Bill 3014. Senator Haine. Senator Haine seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 3014 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd -- now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 3014. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Haine. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your amendment. SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This makes technical corrections. It becomes the bill. It makes changes to the Condominium Property Act as to insurance, requiring that insurance coverage to -- provided to a condominium association must be provided in an amount that is not less than the full insurable replacement cost of the insured's property sufficient to rebuild it. Specified coverage for directors and officers liability. Requires a condo board to purchase workers' comp insurance covering the employer's liability, and makes other technical changes. This is a negotiated bill. And it is -- as

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

far as I know, there's no opposition. There is an effective date of June 1, and it -- these provisions only apply to policies after the effective date.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is -- all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 3014. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3014.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your bill.

SENATOR HAINE:

I would ask again for an Aye vote. It's a reasonable improvement in the regulations.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 3014 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 3014, having received the required constitutional majority,

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

is declared passed. Senator Rezin, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR REZIN:

Thank you, Mr. President. For point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR REZIN:

Up here in the gallery, above me, we have the Future Leaders Alliance group with the Illinois Bankers. I had the opportunity to speak to them today. They are young adults who have been identified from -- by their peers and presidents of the banks as futures in that industry. I'd like a warm Springfield welcome for them. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senate Bill 3033. Senator Frerichs. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3092. Senator Delgado. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3110. Senator Hastings. Senator Hastings seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 3110 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. Now on the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 3110. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Hastings.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your amendment. SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 3110 amends the Code of Civil Procedure by adding three exceptions where a physician or surgeon is permitted to disclose information he or -- he or she may have acquired in attending a patient in a professional

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

character. The bill was agreed on in -- in the Judiciary Committee and there's no opponents.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 3110. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3110.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Hastings, on your bill. SENATOR HASTINGS:

Thank you, Mr. President. As amended, the bill was agreed on with no opponents to it, and I just ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 3110 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 3110, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Leader Sullivan, for what purpose do you rise?

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

State your point.

SENATOR SULLIVAN:

Ladies and Gentlemen, Mr. President, I have a couple other guests with me here today. They're also students at Western Illinois University. Sabah Kayyal, to my right, is from Mokena, Illinois, which is a constituent of Senator Hastings. She is a student at WIU. And to my left is Kayse Flostrand. She is from Canada and visiting, also a student at Western Illinois. And I thought it'd be an opportunity for everybody to welcome them to the Illinois Senate here today.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Welcome to the Illinois Senate. Senate Bill 3112. Senator Althoff. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3139. Senator McCann. Senator McCann seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 3139 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 3139. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator McCann. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCann, on your amendment. SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment becomes the bill, and what the amendment does is essentially allows propane trucks to travel on State highways, weighing ninety thousand pounds, only when the Governor declares a State of energy emergency, just as he

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

did this winter. So that becomes the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 3139. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3139.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator McCann, on your bill. SENATOR McCANN:

Thank you, Mr. President. As I stated earlier, this is essentially negotiated language with the Governor's Office. I want to thank Illinois Department of Transportation and Illinois State Police for working with me on this. And I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 3139 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Bill 3139, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 3144. Senator Syverson. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3171. Senator Trotter. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3176. Senator Trotter. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3176.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Trotter, on your bill. SENATOR TROTTER:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 3176 is essentially a cleanup bill on -- that I'm presenting on behalf of the Illinois Department of Public Health. The bill changes the title to the Modular Dwelling and Mobile Safety -- Structure Safety Act. And second, it eliminates all reference to mobile homes and the Department of Housing and Urban Development from the Act. Third, the bill clarifies the role that the Department of Public Health has in regulation and certification, inspection and enforcement of penalties under this Act. And finally, the bill establishes the administrative law process that will -- be followed by the Department. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 3176 pass. All those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 39 Ayes, 13 Nays, none

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

voting Present. Senate Bill 3176, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 3225. Senator Morrison. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3255. Senator Tom Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3264. Senator Haine. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3264.

(Secretary reads title of bill) 3rd Reading of the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Haine, on your bill.

SENATOR HAINE:

Thank you, Mr. President. It's a shell bill. The initial bill that was filed required the maintenance of insurance moneys for a trust in the eventuality the -- the -- the company -- the underlying company that was insured was sued. The -- it was perceived to be unworkable and we shelled the bill to move it to the House to see if better light can prevail.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 3264 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 41 Ayes, 12 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 3264, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 3270. Senator McConnaughay. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3276. Senator Althoff. Out of the record. Senate Bill 3283. Senator Trotter. Mr. Secretary, please -- out of the record. Senate Bill 3306. Senator Rose. Out of

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

the record. Senate Bill 3312. Senator Forby. Out of the record. We'll skip over 3318. Senate Bill 3364. Senator Brady. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3364.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Brady, on your bill.

SENATOR BRADY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill simply requires the prosecutors and the State's attorney -- excuse me, the sheriff and the State's attorney and the judge sign off on the boot camp. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Bill 3364 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 3364, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 3369. Leader Harmon. Leader Harmon seeks -- seeks leave of the Body to return Senate Bill 3369 to the Order of 2nd Reading. Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 3369. Mr. Secretary, are there any Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Harmon. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon, on your amendment.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The underlying bill deals with the taxation of liquefied natural gas. The amendment extends this to propane. I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those will -- in favor will vote {sic} Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Now on the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 3369. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3369.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon, on your bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The bill, as amended, creates a tax structure for liquefied natural gas and for propane that equalizes the tax based on energy content to a gallon of diesel fuel. It has broad support and no opposition of which I'm aware. I ask for your Aye votes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is -- is there any discussion on the bill? Seeing none, the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

question is, shall Senate Bill 3369 pass. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 3369, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we will go to Supplemental Calendar 1. Senate -- Senate Bill 1922. Senator Raoul. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

I move to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendments 2 and 6 to Senate Bill 1922.

Signed by Senator Raoul.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, on your concurrence.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 922 {sic} amends the Chicago Municipal and Laborers' Pension Funds. The bill seeks to do so through the reduction of COLA for Tier 1 employees and retirees from the current three percent compounded to the lesser of three percent and {sic} (or) half of CPI. Similar, but not exactly the same, to what we did with Senate Bill 1. There is a provision in here for lower wage earners. Members with an annuity less than twenty-two thousand will receive at least a one percent COLA each year, including the year of the pauses. All future COLAs will be simple interest, opposed to compounded. Current and future retirees will not receive COLAs in the years 2017, 2019 and 2025, except, again, for those with an annuity less than twenty-two thousand. Future retirees will receive their first COLA one year later than under

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

current law. Employee contributions are increased from the current 8.5 percent to eleven percent in .5 percent increments starting in 2015. Employee contribution will decrease to 9.75 percent once the Pension Fund reaches ninety percent funding. The bill reduces Tier 2 retirement age to sixty-five, as opposed to sixty-seven, for normal retirement and to sixty, as opposed to sixty-two, for early retirement with a reduced pension. The bill also increases the employer contributions over a five-year schedule until it reaches the ARC. The ARC is equal to the normal cost plus an amount to get the Pension Fund to ninety percent funded in the year 2055. The bill also creates a funding guarantee similar to that that was in Senate Bill 1 that will allow the funds a right of action. The bill, as opposed to in previous forms, does not grant the City additional taxing authority, nor does it require the City to levy any -- any property tax. The pension -additionally as -- as an additional guarantee of the City making its payment, the pension boards can intercept State funds sent to the City if they fail to make the required contribution. This proposal is a product of negotiations between the City of Chicago and the affected collective bargaining units. Of the thirty-four collective bargaining units affected, thirty-one of them were in agreement to this proposal. So this proposal, as opposed to what we did in -- with Senate Bill 1, comes by way of agreement of negotiations with labor. It's important to note that the City of Chicago has experienced downgrades and the impact, if we do nothing, is major, not only on the City of Chicago, but I think it will reverberate throughout the State of Illinois. And as a result, I urge your Aye vote on this bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Is there any discussion? Senator Murphy, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR MURPHY:

Question of the sponsor, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Senator, this bill does not address the massive Chicago police, fire, and teacher pension issues, does it? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

No, it does not. But I think it takes us a step towards resolving those, just as previous pension bills that we've had, such as park district, Met Water and the State funds, have taken us towards the direction of handling this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

When the park district and MWRD bills passed, those passed totally outside of the context of a property tax increase, and, in fact, no property tax increase occurred in conjunction with those reforms. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Correct. And there's no property tax increase in this bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SENATOR MURPHY:

The -- this specifically authorizes the City to levy at a higher rate than current law does. So it is -- property tax increase is contemplated in this bill. Is it not? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

This bill just increases their payment, just as we did with MWRD and park district.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

Unlike the park district and MWRD, the City has made clear they intend to pay that with a property tax increase. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

It's -- I'm not sure exactly who in the City you're talking about. There's nothing -- there's nothing in the bill that I'm bringing forth as Senator Raoul that has a property tax in it. You'd have to talk to the City directly to get that representation. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

And I -- I appreciate what you're saying in that regard and the City would have to vote on it. Have you heard from representatives of the City or seen any public comments from representatives of the City that they intend to raise property

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

taxes to pay for pensions?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

You know, I've heard different things over time, so I don't know what -- I can't -- you know, I'm not on the city council and I'm certainly not Mayor, so I can't comment definitely on what the City will do. They may well -- I mean, they're going to have to have some sort of revenue to -- to make this increased payment. It may very well be a property tax increase. But it's important to note that there's nothing in this bill that suggests or mandates that they do it through one revenue source versus another. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy. SENATOR MURPHY:

I do find it interesting that not even you, their sponsor, is clear on their intentions of what they intend to do with the authority that they're seeking with this legislation, which kind of leads me into my next area of concern. Police, fire, teachers, I assume that's going to require more revenue for the City too. Are you aware of any plans for the City with regard to how they intend to handle those three crises?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

I believe the City intends to negotiate with those affected bargaining units, just as they negotiated with the bargaining units affected here. That's the ideal way of doing things, and I compliment the City for that -- taking the course of negotiating

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

with the representatives of the employees who are affected by -by these changes. With regards to me being the sponsor and not knowing exactly what the City is going to do with regards to revenues, what's more important to me is that we make sure, through the legislation that we pass, that the City makes their full payment. And that's what we're doing here. And -- and to protect the employees affected by this, we put in some -- a funding guarantee and an interceptor clause to make sure the City does what they need to do to protect the retirement funds of the affected employees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY:

And it's great that they're going to negotiate. The negotiation in this bill, which did not -- lead to an agreed bill -- but this requires, as you've acknowledged, more revenue for the City. This negotiated bill right here involves the City somehow coming up with more revenue. It sounds as if the next three are going to require more revenue for the City too. We are being asked, as partners here, to put our blinders on and assume that they're not coming down here asking for that revenue. But just trust us on where we're going to get it. But we're not going to Springfield to pick all the rest of the State's taxpayer's pockets to get it. What is the plan for coming up with the revenue to solve the totality of the City of Chicago's pension problems, rather than just doing this on a piecemeal basis? Do you have any idea how much money they think they need to raise in additional revenue for the other systems and what their plan is to raise that revenue?

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

I'm -- I'm certain that the Mayor would take your call and -- and -- and inform you of that. What I'm dealing with is these two funds right here. I think, as you've alluded to, there -there -- there have been some discussions of some avenues of raising revenue that, quite frankly, are consistent with recommendations that have come from Members from your side of the aisle. So -- so I would say that the Mayor's office is listening to you and you can probably have that conversation and get more accurate information there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Murphy.

To the bill, Mr. President. Senator... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR MURPHY:

...as always I appreciate your willingness to work pension reform bills. We have concerns about this. At this point, I have concerns about this. I don't want that to be misconstrued as diminishing the -- the effort that's being undertaken. The fact that these negotiations are going on is a positive thing. The fact that steps are being taken to try to get the City of Chicago on better financial footing is a positive thing - not just for the City, but for this whole State. But we don't know and we've been asking since this started last week for the whole picture. Give us the whole picture. We heard last week from the Governor about

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

a property tax rebate. And the most curious thing in the world is, a property tax rebate from the State that, based on property values, skews heavily towards benefitting City of Chicago residential property owners, comes just right before the City comes down and says we're going to have to raise property taxes to bail out this pension fund. So what that looks like to me, when it's read together, is a State bailout of the City of Chicago's pension And that's just the first one. We have three more of system. these systems. We've been asking: How are you going to deal with those? Where's the money going to come from to deal with those? We're starting to get a little worried here that you're coming into our pocket for it. We'd like to have some -- some sense of -- of -- of security that, you know, you're not going to come down, try and use your supermajorities down here and jam a big bailout on us. We haven't gotten that information. We haven't been told what the broader plan is. There's been some suggestion that there isn't one, which is scarier even than not telling us. What's the plan? The place is on fire up there. What's the plan? This piecemeal approach does not give us the sense of security we need at this point in time to support this. And one last point: This is a serious issue. We still want to help solve these problems; but the City of Chicago is not going to slink into Lake Michigan between now and when we get back here, when we have a chance to evaluate the totality of your approach to solving this problem, where the revenue is going to come from, and actually engage us like partners, if you want us to be partners, rather than just dictating to us, "Hey, guys, the time's now, go get in your chair and do what you're told." We want to know what the plan is. I respect the fact that you think I should know that too, but right

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

now we don't have that information. And so, at this juncture, I would encourage a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Radogno, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR RADOGNO:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR RADOGNO:

Well, thank you. I am actually glad that we are finally dealing with the Chicago pension issues. It's no secret the City has serious, serious financial problems and, frankly, it's outrageous that it was allowed to get as far as it has. So I'm glad it's on the radar screen. But I was first made aware of this nine days ago and pretty much presented as "This is the way it's going to be." We made some suggestions. I certainly want to give the City credit for taking some of those suggestions, including removing the reference to us forcing the City to raise the property taxes, some tweaking of the findings. So there has been some back and forth. But, as you know, down here nothing occurs in a vacuum. And I think Senator Murphy pointed out a few of our concerns. One being, what's next? There's a six-hundred-million-dollar problem coming for the police and fire. Is it property taxes? Is it -is it gaming? If it is gaming, then let's talk about what that's going to look like, the governance, who's getting the money. All of this fits in together, which is why we want to have a plan. It's irresponsible on our part to rush in and take action when we don't have the full picture. The beauty of letting something like this lay out there is, as it's out there, we hear more and more

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

good ideas of things that we ought to be considering in conjunction with this. There's a lot of energy behind this issue of pensions. There's not so much energy behind the equally important issue of police and fire pensions across the State. There's no reason that these shouldn't be dealt with together. Now I've raised that concern and, to his credit, the Mayor said, absolutely, he's going to partner, but we have absolutely nothing to make sure that happens. And absent that insurance, it would be irresponsible of us just to jump on board, get this done and move on. Issues here are complicated and interrelated, and we're kidding ourselves if we don't think it is. We want to help the City. It's important to all of us. It is the economic engine of this State. But it will be here in two weeks, and we're happy to partner with you, but it must be a true partnership. So I would certainly urge our Members at this point to vote No on this proposal.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, there's no doubt, from hearing some of the rhetoric this afternoon, that the chickens have come home to roost in the City of Chicago. You've -- you've heard it acknowledged by the Mayor of Chicago. You've heard it acknowledged by our city councilmen in Chicago. For those of you who represent parts of the City of Chicago, you -- for those who don't represent the City of Chicago, I'd ask you to refrain from

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

even commenting on this bill. This Mayor -- this issue has been around for three years. For three years, we've been asking the City of Chicago to get with the program, roll up their sleeves and tackle this problem - the pensions, Chicago's livelihood, working people. You know, and it's taken Rahm Emanuel, in a very bold and gutsy move right before an election cycle, to roll his sleeves up and try to get the job done, the same job that we took upon ourselves just last year. You know, I don't want to be involved in micromanaging my city councilmen, my aldermen, or my Mayor in the City of Chicago. That's what they got elected to do. That's what the aldermen got elected to do. We're simply giving them the authority to -- for them to -- to do their jobs and according to the way the people will want them to complete their jobs in the City of Chicago. Who are we to demand and ask, you know, their particular plans and et cetera, as we -- this has been suggested I think it's petty. I think that Mayor Rahm this afternoon? Emanuel should be commended for a bold and gutsy move by asking the Legislature for authority to proceed in taking care of a local problem that exists in the City of Chicago. And I ask a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Brady, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR BRADY:

Thank you, Mr. President. I stand in opposition to the bill. With all due respect to Senator Sandoval and Senator Raoul, I do understand the complexities and the need for reforms here. And we did work in a very long and arduous process to bring some resolution to the four State systems. But there are problems that affect the municipalities throughout the State of Illinois, not

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

just the City of Chicago. And our only leverage in dealing with that is to deal with it in a comprehensive way. The same way we did with the four State pension systems. This issue must be addressed. We know that. We all care, whether we live in deep southern Illinois, like Senator Forby, or -- or the Galena Territories, like Senator Bivins. We all care about Chicago, because as goes Chicago, so goes the State of Illinois; but as goes Illinois, so goes Chicago. We have to work together on this in a comprehensive way. But there's several reasons I oppose this legislation at this time. One is, I firmly believe that those local municipalities need to take responsibility for their own governance. And for us to institute reforms without some -without some buy-in, formally - and I'm talking about them actually voting to adopt reforms that we may say provide them with some day - needs to be in the bill. They need to be on the record of saying we want these reforms to affect our retirees, because it's not just the people in this bill; it's -- it's State -- it's -- excuse me, it's police officers, it's firefighters and others who will be adversely affected, and -- and they need to have their say not just here at the State level, but at the local level as well. I will compliment the Mayor. I talked to him yesterday and today. He's -- he's actually addressing the issue and trying to deal with it, but I do think that we, like in the past pension reform areas, we can do more to resolve this. But I will also say to you this, I think it's important that the Governor weigh in. For us to pass a bill only to have the Governor veto it, for whatever purposes he may have, is not right. That's not the way we passed pension reform in the past, and that's not the way we should address this pension reform. We need to deal with this comprehensively for the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

whole State, at the local level. We need local buy-in by affirmative vote at the local level and we need the Governor to say he's willing to support this measure. I think those are serious considerations. We have some time left to deal with that yet in this Session, so I would recommend a No vote on this particular piece of legislation this particular day.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Biss, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR BISS:

To the bill, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR BISS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Senate, I rise in support of this bill. To -- to be simple about it, it seems to have a few critical ingredients. First of all, it incorporates some truly shared sacrifice in -- in as clear and straightforward a way as I think we've seen in any of the major pension bills that have come before us. Second of all, it's the product of painstaking negotiation. I understand imperfect negotiation. I understand negotiation where ultimately not everyone was in agreement, and that's -- that's short of ideal and -- and important to be noted, but, nonetheless, a significant negotiation with very significant agreement. And -- and finally, it puts us on a path for these two pension systems to get to a place where we have actuarial funding and an affordable system that will be there for its beneficiaries and enable the City of Chicago to do what it needs to do. I just want to comment really briefly on two kinds of arguments I -- I feel like I'm hearing in opposition to this

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

bill that I -- I find a little bit baffling. The first is this idea that all of a sudden it seems to be the case that there's no urgency here. There's no rush. It's no big deal. We can go home for a couple weeks and think it over and maybe change our minds and write a different bill and do something else. We have a big The City of Chicago has a very, very large problem. problem. There's a series of major questions on this and other pension systems on revenue for the City of Chicago, on revenue for the State of Illinois that need to get worked out soon. We have to move forward and there is, I would argue, very clearly, significant, significant urgency here. And the other kind of argument that I -- I want to speak about for a moment is this idea that we shouldn't do the right thing now 'cause we're worried that someone else might not do the right thing later. And that -that's a real recipe to never do the right thing. Yes, this is one step and it will require action from the City. That's true. And, yes, this is one pension fund. I -- I actually -- and I apologize, Senator Sandoval, I don't represent any of the City of Chicago, so I'll try to -- try to shut up soon. I represent ten suburban municipalities and they have -- they have concerns regarding their fire and police pension funds. The City of Chicago has significant concerns regarding its fire and police pension funds. There is -- the concerns regarding the Chicago Teachers' Pension Fund, and so forth. But the truth of the matter is, we have to take steps as rapidly as we can. Adjournment is scheduled for May 31st. This is a bite. It's not the end of the story. It's a big bite. It'll allow us to take further steps afterwards. And if we think that it's critical and a reasonable time frame before it's too late for the City, before it's too late for the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

State, to take the kind of action needed to stabilize our systems, I think it's important that we take this opportunity presented to us today and cast Aye votes. Thank you very much. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon, for what purpose do you rise? SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Indicates he will.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator, could you help me? Can you walk through, with slightly more precision, the provisions relating to the -- the cost-of-living allowance? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Yes, Senator. The -- the cost-of-living allowance, which currently is at three percent compounded, which I understand rose to that level for at least some of the units in -- in 1999, would be changed to three percent or half of CPI, the lesser of -- of those two.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon. SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Could -- could you elaborate on the -- I don't know if I would describe it as a safe harbor or the additional protection for those with -- with small annuities and what that means to the -- the folks who are really most at risk? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Raoul. SENATOR RAOUL:

Yes, certainly. So, as you know, the consumer price index fluctuates. It can go way high or it can go way low, and it can go -- certainly can go below one percent. What we try to do is to provide a protection for those with the lower wage; that if -- if the CPI goes below one percent, it will not do so for them. In addition, they would get that level of -- of -- of COLA in the years that others would otherwise be -- be delayed. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to turn now to this -this specter of -- of a property tax increase. There's nothing in the bill before us today that demands the city council of the City of Chicago to raise property taxes, is there? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

No, there is not, Senator. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

And the City could easily, at least as a -- as a matter of law, if not politics, find another revenue source - a sales tax, some other fee or charge or collection of taxes - besides a property tax. Is -- is that fair? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

SENATOR RAOUL:

That is correct. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Could you -- thank you, Mr. President. Could you please tell me a little bit more about the State intercept, the -- the -- the -- the insurance that the bill offers that if the City does not find an adequate revenue source the contributions to the pension funds will still be made?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Yes, Senator. That intercept is the same as currently exists with the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund, which is, you know, due in part to that, is, I think, at some ninety-six percent funded.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. The last thing I'd like to ask you about is the notion that we are somehow doing this in a piecemeal fashion. My recollection, when we passed the -- when we created a second tier of pension benefits, we did so for the five State systems and for city and county systems other than police and fire. Is that your recollection as well?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul. SENATOR RAOUL:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

That is my recollection as well. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

And then when we came back and we -- we -- we subsequently enacted police and fire reforms and similarly when -- but when we did the most recent pension reform here in December, we did them only for four State systems. I don't recall any complaints about piecemeal reform at that point. Do you? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

My recollection is the same as yours, Senator. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Harmon.

SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you. Just one last question. We've already begun this process. We've done the Chicago park districts and the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District. So, for folks who are complaining about a piecemeal approach, we've already -- we're already two steps down that path and this is just a third step. Would you fairly characterize it that way as well? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Yes, I would. And I -- I would note that some of the folks complaining about that piecemeal voted for those piecemeal measures.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Leader Harmon. SENATOR HARMON:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR HARMON:

This is wholly unpleasant business. I -- I don't think there's anyone in the Chamber that takes any joy in doing what we are being asked to do. But it is necessary. The -- we all understand the -- the dramatic political footprint in this building left by the firefighters, by -- by the -- the police unions, by the teachers' unions. I have no doubt in my mind that, when the time comes, they will be able to stand up and fight for a negotiated deal that serves their members. The folks that we are impacting today are the lowest paid and the least politically powerful and this provides a degree of protection and assurance that they will not only get a pension, but also that they -- those at the lowest end of the annuity spectrum will have additional protections. I don't believe that this is piecemeal. We all understand we act when we have the capacity to act. We've done it time and time again on pension reform. We take what we can take and this is the product of a negotiation and a -- an agreement. There's a long list of unions that are neutral on this bill. We all understand the -- the immense significance of a stand of neutral on such a controversial matter. This is unpleasant business. I -- I take comfort, however cold it may be, in that I think history will judge us much more kindly than our current critics do. This is unpleasant, but we are saving the State, we are saving the City, and perhaps most importantly, I -- I truly believe we are saving

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

pensions for the folks to whom we have promised them, the folks who are relying upon them, the folks who are sitting home nervous that we are going to take them away. We need to give them a promise that there will be a pension there that secures them in their retirement. I, as unpleasant as it is, urge an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Leader Muñoz.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. To the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

To the bill.

SENATOR MUÑOZ:

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we -- in this Chamber last Session, we had to do our pension reform bill for the State and there was a lot of debate for a number of hours, not only in this Chamber, in the other Chamber, in the House, where they negotiated for hours and weeks on end. Well, at the end of the day, we passed our pension reform bill. Even though there was a lawsuit filed, guess what? Within two days later, we made national news. Our bond rating went up for the State and they said the State of Illinois passed pension reform. We had no choice. We had to do it. Well, this is the problem that the City is having This is their crisis. This is the problem that they are now. addressing right now with this bill because of underfunding the pensions. I want to commend the unions that came and sat down and did the negotiations with the Mayor and his team. Like our colleagues said, it wasn't easy. It was a lot of hours. Not everybody's happy, but the majority of 'em came together to get this bill done. You know, in the years that I've been here in the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senate, my whole district's in the City of Chicago, but a lot of you know when the downstate need me for votes, I'm always there. When my colleagues across the aisle need me, I always work well with you as well. Today, Ladies and Gentlemen, this bill is about the City of Chicago. They're not asking any money from the State right now. The Mayor will address that problem. When the bill passes, he will deal with it with the city council members. This is not off our backs. But I will tell you this, this is a major bill for the City of Chicago. How are we going to be able to tell people that have worked for many, many years and they're -- they don't have a pension? Well, why wait? The time is now to move on it. Please, I ask you to vote Aye on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Our last speaker, President Cullerton.

SENATOR J. CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Well, as you're aware, this bill represents an example of where a public employer and public sector unions can actually agree to take action requiring shared sacrifice to try and stabilize a public pension system. This bill is similar, very similar, to what occurred with the Chicago Park District bill that we passed and with the Water Reclamation bill that we passed. And in my view, the fact that labor and management can reach an agreement provides the reason why you should vote for this bill. Now, this bill also contains constitutional concerns that were raised by myself. And I articulated them when those other bills passed. But as I said then, I say again, I'm not a member of the Supreme Court and it would be up to the courts to decide if this bill and those other bills that we've passed are constitutional. But we have to pass

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

a bill to get it to the court. And we already have a bipartisan effort on this bill. I want to commend the twenty-three Republicans in the House who voted for this bill, and single out Leader Durkin, who was one of those. I also want to thank the thirty unions that sat down and negotiated with the Mayor's representatives and agreed to sacrifice. The plan is to pass this bill. That's the plan. And then the Mayor will sit down with the other unions that represent those other workers and attempt to get an agreement, just as this bill received the support of thirty unions. But we need to start now. I urge an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Raoul, to close.

SENATOR RAOUL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It's been suggested that it is irresponsible for us to rush and irresponsible for us to take action now. I'd like to suggest that it's irresponsible for us not to act. It's irresponsible for us not to act when, as has been mentioned, labor and employer, labor and the City has come to the table, and while there's not complete agreement with all of the collective bargaining units affected, if you would have told me that this moment would have come where thirty-one out of thirty-four of the collective bargaining units agree to a package that is being submitted to the General Assembly, I would have told you I don't believe that that's going to happen. There's no way that that's going to happen. But that's what we have in front of us today. And I appreciate the concerns of the collective bargaining units who have not come to an agreement on this package. And I -- I appreciate some of the rhetoric and I don't appreciate other rhetoric I've heard with regards to the

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

impact on low wage earners. So, to those who suggest that we wait and wait till court decisions have been made or -- or to those who suggest that we wait till some -- some kumbaya, fairytale day, where there's just this big huge omnibus bill that takes in every pension fund in the State and we do it all at once, I'd like to suggest to you that waiting has consequences - real consequences to the very people that people are hanging their hats on saying that they're protecting by voting No to this bill, real consequences that could lead to a lot of those very people not having their jobs as a result of downgrades. And if you're really talking about representing those people, you have to appreciate what you're doing when you cast a No vote on this bill. And I understand that there may be some people who'd like to wait so they can deal make. I'd like to suggest to you that this is too serious of a matter to play that political game with. I urge a Aye vote. I urge you to be responsible.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1922 -- oh, wait. The question is, shall the Senate concur in the House Amendments 2 and 6 on Senate Bill 1922. All those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there 31 Ayes, 23 Nays, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 1922, having received the required constitutional majority, the Senate does concur with the House Amendments 2 and 6 to Senate Bill 1922. The bill is declared passed. With leave of the Body, we'll go back to Senate Bills 2nd Reading. Senate Bill 2929. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senate Bill 2929.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Human Services adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any...(microphone cutoff)...approved for consideration? SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2620. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 2620.

```
(Secretary reads title of bill)
```

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee or Floor amendments reported.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3538. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3538.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Criminal Law adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Sandoval.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval, on your amendment. SENATOR SANDOVAL:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. Senate amendment corrects -- makes some minor changes for nuances like taggers and so forth and so I -- discuss the -- the bill on 3rd Reading. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 3574. Senator Sandoval. Mr. Secretary, please read the bill. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Bill 3574.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue adopted Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Floor Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator Sandoval. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Senator Sandoval, on your amendment. SENATOR SANDOVAL:

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment makes some technical changes to the natural gas -- Vehicle Code. I'd ask to move it on 3rd.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion on the amendment? Seeing none, all those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there any further Floor amendments approved for consideration?

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

No further amendments reported. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

3rd Reading. Mr. Secretary, Messages from the House. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Message from the House by Mr. Mapes, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bill 3744.

We have received like Messages on House Bills 4205, 4496, 5290, 5331, 5348, 5438, 5584, 5593, 5657, 5697, 5819, 5852 and 5925. Passed the House, April 8th, 2014. Timothy D. Mapes, Clerk of the House.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill -- Senate Resolution 1012. Please read the resolution.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

Senate Resolution 1012, offered by Senator Hutchinson. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

Senator Hutchinson, on your resolution. SENATOR HUTCHINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Resolution 1012 designates Tuesday, April 8th, 2014, as Pay Equity Day in the State of Illinois to raise awareness about income gender inequity. Today is the day that women catch up. It takes till April 8th of this year for a woman to make the same amount of money on average as a man. Thank you so much for your support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Is there any discussion? Seeing none, the question is, shall Senate Resolution 1012 pass. All those in favor will say Aye. Opposed, Nay. The -- the Ayes have it, and the resolution is adopted. Mr. Secretary, Supplemental Calendar 2. Please read House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 1 for the first time. Mr. Secretary, read House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 1.

SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 1.

(Secretary reads HJRCA No. 1)

1st Reading in full of this House joint resolution constitutional amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Mr. Secretary, please also read in full for the first time House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 52. SECRETARY ANDERSON:

House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 52.

(Secretary reads HJRCA No. 52)

1st Reading in full of this House joint resolution constitutional amendment.

107th Legislative Day

4/8/2014

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LINK)

Will all Members at the sound of my voice please go directly to the committees -- rooms? Please, all Members at the sound of my voice please go directly to committee rooms. There being no further business to come before the Senate, the Senate stands adjourned till the hour of 10 a.m. on the 9th day of April, 2014. The Senate stands adjourned.