58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The hour of ten having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the Members be at their desks, and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Our prayer this morning by Reverend Anthony Tzortzis, St. Anthony's Hellenic Orthodox Church, Springfield, Illinois. Father.

THE REVEREND ANTHONY TZORTZIS:

(Prayer by the Reverend Anthony Tzortzis)

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal, Madam Secretary. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, June 25th; Wednesday, June 26th; Thursday, June 27; Friday, June 28th; Saturday, June 29th; Sunday, June 30th; Monday, July the 1st; and Tuesday, July the 2nd, in the year 1991, be postponed, pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Hall. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, and it is so ordered. All right. We'll begin on Page 16 of the Calendar. While we await the Governor's proposal, we'll continue with our business. Page 16 on the Calendar. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 114. There's a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 114, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 114.
PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR J.J. JOYCE:

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Thank you, Mr. President. The Senate recedes from Amendment No. 1. This allows the State tipping fee to remain at sixty cents a ton for two years. And it authorizes an operator subject to a local fee over forty-five cents, to deduct that from the State-imposed fee. This report has reached agreement between ENR, IMA and the Waste Industry. Be happy to answer any questions. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion?

With leave of the Body, Illinois Information Service has requested permission to shoot some film footage from the Press Box. Without objection, leave is granted. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 114. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill -- the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 114, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 121. Senator Cullerton. 4-3-4. Senator Dunn. Senator Mahar. Madam Secretary, there's a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 516.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 516.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Mahar.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Excuse me, Senator. That was Second Conference Committee Report.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President --

PRESIDENT ROCK:

This is the Second Conference Committee Report. Senator

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Correct. Thank you, Mr. President. The Second Conference Committee Report removes all of the language that was -- that some of the taxing bodies has -- concerned about. The bill, as it is now, only contains the Local Tax Reimbursement Fund, and -- it's exactly as it was as it passed the Senate unanimously, and I would ask for adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 516. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 516, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 679. Senator Jacobs. Madam Secretary, please. 6-7-9.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 6-7-9.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill we discussed a little bit yesterday. It permits a pawnbroker to contract for fees. Passed the House 116 to nothing. There was a question raised by Senator Keats, that he had not been approached by the people. I talked to him yesterday afternoon. I'm sorry he's not here now, but I talked to him yesterday afternoon, and he said that he had been satisfied with the discussion he had with them. And I ask for your support.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. Will the sponsor yield for a question? PRESIDENT ROCK:

Indicates he will yield, Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Senator Jacobs, is this different than the -- than the version we had a couple days ago? Is this a revised version?

PRESIDENT ROCK:
Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Yes, it is. What it does, it lowers the fees in -- in -- in some instances - in almost every instance - at the -- at the low end of the level, and it makes the monthly fee so that they can hopefully get the people to come in and pay their debt before the month is up.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Well, you know, according to -- according to our staff, it says on a hundred dollar loan, per year, you would -- the -- the pawnbrokers would get a hundred and fifty-six percent back, because although it's a -- it's an authorized fee, it's a -- it's a monthly fee. Is that true?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Yes, it is, but you have to realize, however, though, is they are the only ones that are -- are affected by this ad -- I mean by this Act. You can go ahead now and take your -- your program to a secondhand store, and they're not even covered, and

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

they can do whatever they want by buying and selling back. But it does -- well, what the emphasis of this bill is to do is to insure that the -- there's a lot of work that needs to be done. I don't know if anyone has ever went to a pawnbroker. I had not. So I did take the time to go to a pawnbroker and find out why they would need this fee. And what they do is they take thumbprints. Those thumbprints are a part of their record. They also conduct a record for the police department. And they must take this forward to them. And there's just a lot of work on the first month. I thought it was a better bill before, but this was an agreement made in the House, and it was an agreement that seemed to be satisfied by everybody, because the average loan -- the average -- the average time of a loan is twenty-eight days, and the average amount is forty-eight dollars.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Well, you know, according to our staff and according to the analysis that we've got - and I will admit, I've never gone to a pawnbroker either, but -- but -- this is -- this is usery for all intents and purposes. What you're doing is you're -- they're collecting three percent per month, plus they are collecting a twelve-dollar fee up to a hundred dollars -- a hundred to a hundred and fifty dollars they're collecting -- oh, all right. They're collecting -- I'm sorry. They are collecting a little bit less, I understand. It's ten dollars per month - so they -- they reduced it by two dollars - plus a three-percent interest. You know, I -- when somebody goes to a pawnbroker, obviously they are in desperate straits. And it -- and it just seems to me that -- that to be charging ten dollars a month, which is -- quick -- a hundred and twenty percent a year, plus three percent interest, which is thirty-six percent. That's a heck of a lot of money for

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

a lousy hundred-dollar loan when the -- the property is probably worth over a hundred dollars to begin with. I think this is a bad idea, and we ought to reject it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Jacobs, to close.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Let me just explain that most pawnbrokers - I know in my area and every other area that I have talked to - have a provision in their loans that if after thirty-three days it is not collected that it becomes the property of the pawnbroker. So this bill really only affects - in -- in -- in ninety-nine percent of the cases - one month anyway. And what we thought we could do by this is at least reduce it by a couple dollars a month, and I ask for your support.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Question is, shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 6-7-9. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 25 Ayes, 28 Nays. The Conference Committee Report is not adopted, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Jacobs requests a Second Committee of Conference. 7-9-9. Senator O'Daniel. Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Corrected Conference Committee Report on House Bill 7-9-9.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. This

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

report contains noncontroversial local government provisions. And nearly all of the provisions have passed either the -- the Senate or the House and -- and have had a committee hearing. It contains about eight or ten bills, that's been amended on to it, dealing with local government. And I would move for its -- its passage. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Yes, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDENT ROCK.

He indicates he will yield. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Senator O'Daniel, is there anything in here at all about allowing township governors -- governments to terminate?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

No.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

As Minority Spokesman of -- of Local Government, we looked through this -- this report pretty carefully. There's really nothing on -- in this particular bill that's controversial, and it's a good bill the way it is. I think we ought to vote for it. PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Take it out of the record. The Senate will stand in recess for a half an hour.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

(RECESS)

(SENATE RECONVENES)

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senate will come to order. Senator O'Daniel, the Chair apologizes. It was pointed out that we did not have the requisite paper on everybody's desks. Madam Secretary, let's start anew. Conference Committee Report on House Bill 7-9-9.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Corrected Conference Committee Report on House Bill 7-9-9.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I was concerned for awhile that maybe I was the problem, but -- or my bill, but I've been doing a little -- a little checking around, and I think, at this time, I would just ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 7-9-9. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 7-9-9, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 9-4-5. Senator Lechowicz. 1007. Senator Marovitz. 1101. Senator Jacobs. Madam Secretary, top of

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Page 17, on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, a report with respect to House Bill 1101.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1101.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1101 just reports -- I mean -- some cleanup language. And it's information or cleanup that was suggested by LRB to clarify numerous conflicts in the Controlled Substances Act. Ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1101. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1101, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1128. Senator Smith. Madam Secretary, please. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1128.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

The Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1128 deletes all references to the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act.

It also deletes a provision regarding the use of blood and urine tests - result of newborn infants. The Second Committee Report on

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

1128 provides responsible approach to the problem of pregnant women who uses drugs. On the Second Conference Report, Public Health, DASA, DCFS and Public Aid all support this movement. I ask for your adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates she will yield. Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Senator, in earlier versions there were some controversial elements in regard to the abuse and neglect powers in regard to the powers of DCFS with regard to blood tests, as well as criminal provisions with regard to the use of blood tests. Are all of those provisions now out of this Second Conference Committee Report?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

It's -- Senator Hawkinson, it's all out -- all removed.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1128. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting's open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1128, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1254. Senator

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Geo-Karis. 1415. Senator Hawkinson. 1929. Senator Cullerton. Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee on House Bill 1929.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Cullerton,

SENATOR CULLERTON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. We started discussing this Conference Committee the other day, and at request of Senator Geo-Karis, I took it out of the record. indicated that this deals with condominiums and that bipartisan support, that the Chicago and Illinois State Bar Associations had signed off on the bill. I would -- I discussions yesterday with the gentlemen from the Chicago Bar. And in an effort to clarify some of the questions that were raised, I would indicate, first of all, that with regard to the reserve requirements, that this bill says that if you have in your condominium instruments - your declaration - the fact that there shall be a reserve requirement, then you will not be able to waive it by a vote of two-thirds of the vote of the association. other provisions deal with allowing the board to disseminate unit owners biographical and background information about candidates for election to the board if no -- as long preference is expressed and reasonable efforts to identify all candidates are made. There's also some information in the bill concerning how proxies are to be used in these votes. And for the most part, the rest of the bill is technical in nature, and I'd be happy to answer any questions, and ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1929. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

voting's open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 2 Nays, 1 voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1929. The bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1-2-5-4, Madam Secretary. House Bill 1254. SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1254.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this Conference Report creates the Fiscal Responsibility Report Card Act. It requires all taxing districts, except schools, to submit to the County Clerk a report on tax revenues and expenditures within ninety days after the close of the fiscal year, and provides authority to the Village of Wheeling, City of Prospect Heights and Palwaukee Airport to allow for the acquisition of right of way to complete realignment of Hintz Road and Wolf Road. This power is granted only for a two-year period and will not affect any residential properties. And I ask for concurrence. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in opposition to the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1254. If indeed we are going to bestow upon some locale the power of quick-take, why then should we give them a period of twenty-four months? Additionally, the Fiscal Responsibility Report Card Act, it seems to me, was thoroughly discussed and thoroughly whopped in the Senate Executive Committee. And to rehash it this moment, it seems to me, is not in our best

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

interest, and so I would urge opposition to 1254.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd also like to point out that this bill also had a Senate bill in it that the House decided to delete. The bill would allow for one county to not have to elect an auditor because the population went above seventy-five thousand people. For some reason, the House decided not to put that provision in this bill. I don't know if it's because of the political background of the -- of the House Member from DeKalb County or not, but I would also suggest that in the next round of the conference committee that that be stuck back in, and that the pay raise for the auditors, that was previously in it, be kept out. So I would also urge opposition to this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Geo-Karis may close. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

In response, last year they gave a period of one year to the City of Morris for the development of the Morris Municipal Airport, instead of two years that this is requesting. And the majority party took the amendment out that Mr. Welch refers to. So I move for concurrence, and personally, I don't care if you don't concur, so --

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator -- Senator MacDonald, Senator Geo-Karis was closing. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I'm done.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

You're done. All right. Question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1254. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 15, the Nays are 38, 1 voting Present. The Conference Committee Report is not adopted, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Geo-Karis. Senator Geo-Karis requests a Second Conference Committee. 1955. Watson. Madam Secretary, House Bill 1955.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1955.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes. Thank you. This is the Department of Substance Abuse legislation that dealt with the Steroid Control Act. What this does is complies with federal law, and there's some language in here dealing with LSD and criminalization. So I'd be glad to answer any questions. Otherwise, I know there's no opposition, and appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1955. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1955, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. House Bill 1970. Senator Jones. 1982. Senator Luft. House Bill 2148. Daley. House Bill 2148, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2148.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Daley.

SENATOR DALEY:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I move that we reject the First -- First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2148.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. The -- the motion we -- it will be put in the positive, but the sponsor wishes that -- his friends to take the appropriate action. Question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2148. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 5, the Nays are 38, none voting Present. The Conference Committee Report is not adopted, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Daley requests a Second Conference Committee. 2392. Karpiel. Madam Secretary, bottom of Page 17 is House Bill 2392. SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2392.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that we approve the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2392. The change that was made in the House is that it — it removed a — our committee amendment, and simply says that the — that we should recede from this amendment, and that amendment clarified the definition of reasonable living expenses.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Thank you, Mr. President. Question for the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Senator, did this come through the Judiciary Committee?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

No, it did not. It came through the Public Health and Welfare Committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

And do I understand you correctly to represent that this bill will give some kind of a credit to a person who is the custodial parent of a child?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

No, this is -- this bill is to be used in the -- in -- for adoptive parents as a tool to -- for adoption. It says that the prospective adoptive parents can pay the legal, medical, and reasonable living expenses of the natural mother.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Currently, under the law today, it's -- it's permissible to pay the birth expenses, as -- as well as the attorney's fees. Is -- is that still contained within this amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Yes, it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President. May I ask the sponsor a question, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Senator Karpiel, in committee you made a commitment to clean this bill up, and you honored that commitment during the 2nd Reading. For the record, why is it - the Senate receding from an amendment which would make a defective bill better? Why?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Senator, it appears that the House did not think that it was a defective bill with -- without the amendment. It seems to feel that it was a defective bill with the amendment. It -- the amendment went into such great detail and length - as I say, in such detail - as to what reasonable living expenses would include and when they would be paid. And it -- it just was very - they felt - very cumbersome, and that's why they have -- are asking us to recede from that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in opposition to the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2392. In the Senate Committee on Public Health, as I understand it, there was a commitment made to representatives of the Child Care Association - that Senate Amendment No. 1 attempted

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

to honor. The commitment should still be there, except for the fact that this Conference Committee Report calls for the Senate to recede from its amendment, and therefore, from its commitment. I don't think we ought to do that, and I urge opposition to this Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Karpiel may close.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. Yes, there was a commitment that I made to the Child Care Association's representatives. And we did, in fact, put their amendment on the bill. The House people just simply feel that the amendment was a lawyer's dream, and that there wasn't necessary to have that great detail. I feel that I'm in a bind here. I'm trying to represent the sponsors of the — the original legislation. And so I'm — I'm going along with their recommendation to recede from Amendment No. 1. And I feel it's a good bill the way it is. I ask for your support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2392. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 26, none voting Present. The Conference Committee Report is not adopted, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Second Conference Committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Requests a Second Conference Committee. Senator Davidson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, I'd like to present to you a guest from Germany, who is here staying with a family in Farmersville, Illinois. I'd like to present to the Senate Patina Ohl from Diez, Germany. Patina.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Our guest in the gallery will please rise. Welcome. All right. With leave of the Body, Senator Luft, on House Bill 1982. We will jump back to that. He wishes to have it called. House Bill 1982, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Corrected Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1982.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd ask that the Senate do adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1982. This is an agreed bill now between the Illinois Restaurant Association, Department of Revenue, and other invited guests. And it dealt with tips and the way -- and mandatory service charges -- and the way that mandatory service charges were dealt with in relations to sales tax. I'd attempt to ask <sic> any questions. Otherwise, I'd ask for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate -- I'm sorry. Senator Brookins. Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

If, at present, I tip a waitress, how is that handled as far as your bill is concerned?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

It's not affected at all. This only deals with the mandatory service charge - not tips.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis. Further discussion? Senator Luft, do you wish to close? Question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1982. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1982, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Page 18. Senate Bill 42, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 42.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that we do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 52 <sic>. It adds two things.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

42.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

42. Thank you. It adds two things. It requires CMS to establish the Office of State Travel Management to develop and implement a State travel management plan to lower the expenditures that we spend on travel. CMS has signed off on this, as well as the travel industry. And the second directs the -- CMS to establish a sick leave bank for State employees to use for catastrophic illness. I urge do adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

I just rise in strong support of this bill. The -- some of the difficulties that we had working out the travel component have since been resolved, and everyone's on board. Would urge a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield? Senator Dunn, is this in answer to the -- the gentleman who was working for the Lottery, and he quit, drew that salary, and came back? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR HALL:

And -- it states now --

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Yeah. Okay.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

It does, Kenny.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Senator, you -- you mentioned something about catastrophic illness, and I didn't catch what you said. Would you mind repeating that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

I'm happy to repeat it, Senator. What it does is directs CMS to establish a sick leave bank for State employees who have -- have a catastrophic illness or injuries. It authorizes participating employees to draw sick leave from the bank only after all leave benefits have been exhausted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Do we -- do we state what constitutes a -- a catastrophic illness?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

I -- it's my understanding there is a Statutory definition of that in the Statutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

And this then would allow State employees to contribute a part

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

of their accumulated sick leave to that person that was suffering the catastrophic illness. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Yes, but they must retain ten accrued days for themselves.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 42. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 42, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 271, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 271.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator del Valle.

SENATOR dEL VALLE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 271. It requires the Secretary of State to set up an Identification Task Force to review identification requirements for reliable proof of a driver's license applicant's identity and eligibility.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 271. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the First Corrected Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 271, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 446. Cullerton. 616. Joyce. Senate Bill 659, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 659.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

659. Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you very much, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. In the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 659, we specify that redemption machines are amusement devices, such as skill ball machines, and clarify that these skill machines are not illegal gambling devices. And we added language to the Criminal Code that -- that defines a redemption machine as it relates to carnival or arcade games to allow merchandise or prizes with a value -- very small value, the lesser of five dollars or seven times the cost of a play - usually that would be twenty-five cents times seven - a buck seventy-five. It also allows that a coupon worth -- worth the cost of the game can be won and added to other coupons to receive a better prize. No cash can be given. I think this will help the people out at Great America a lot. The -- the bill also increases the permissive authority of the County of Cook as to what they can allow to -- to be paid for representation in criminal cases in Cook County. That hasn't been increased for some time. And increases the rate for paid-appointed council criminal appeals. That's, again, discretionary with the judiciary and permissive upon the County of Cook. It also clarifies language that passed the House 114 to nothing, allowing that a company found guilty of a felony can do State business if, and

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

only if, it has totally purged all criminals from the company. And I would ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. A few questions of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Senator Marovitz, the -- in Section 10.3 that refers to those have been convicted of a felony outside of the State of Illinois. The provision that you added in there - who wants this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

UNISYS. UNISYS is a large company. Sperry, Rand and Burroughs were subsidiaries - a part of that company. There was a conviction of one of the subsidiaries, which had nothing to do with Sperry, Rand. There's a -- oh -- excuse me -- nothing to do with UNISYS. There's an awful lot of employees of UNISYS. And if we didn't make this small change, all of those employees would have to be fired in the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Well, Senator, the way I read it, this could be opening up a very serious problem for us. Somebody has been an employee of a company under the direction of the -- the board or the officers of that company - outside company - and they are found guilty of a felony in that -- in that state. If that individual is purged from the company, that company will continue to be able to do business with the State of Illinois. Is that correct?

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

No, that's not correct. If the -- if the individuals that had anything to do with the conspiracy or the case were still involved with the company, then they would not be able to do business with the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Only those that are held accountable and those that are found quilty would be the -- the individuals. If they are removed from the company, that company, under this provision, will be free and clear. And I think that's setting a very, very bad precedent, because I don't think that's -- most cases a -- although it can be said that in some cases -- but in most cases, it's doing the bidding of the board or of their officers. If they are individually found quilty and they are subsequently removed from that corporation or company, this will just allow that company to continue doing business or to come into the State even though they have been guilty of -- of a felony. I think that's a precedent. I think we should defeat this Conference Committee for -- for that reason. And also, I understand, that CDB has some serious problems with the Conference Committee Report. So I would urge a negative vote. And hopefully they can come up with a Second Conference Committee Report that could be more acceptable. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Fawell. SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. Will the sponsor yield for a question? Senator, you -- you say that the increase in attorney's fees applies to all counties. But you say that's at the discretion of

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

the -- of the court. Is that right? Or is it just an automatic increase for appeals?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

This is not an automatic increase. This is Cook County only. And what we -- what we do is -- this is -- this is entirely based upon the appropriations for the criminal justice system in the County of Cook. So it -- it -- the award of fees depends on what is appropriated by the county for their criminal justice system. It raises the fees about -- as to what is permissible to be awarded. It does not award any fees at all. This bill awards no fees to anybody.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

And -- and -- and you're saying that -- that this section only now applies to Cook? It originally applied to all the counties. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I am told this applies only to Cook County.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

On -- on the other point that Senator Dudycz brought up - the problem that we have over here is, how in the world are we going to know, particularly in a large company, if a convicted felon is -- is truly removed or if he is -- managed to some way or another remain in the -- in the business. How -- how -- how can we verify that indeed such a person has no longer anything to say about such a business?

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Senator, we -- the law today, without changing this, says that if you have been convicted for a year, you cannot do business with the State of Illinois. So we would -- we have the same problem with what's going on with the law as today without any changes than we would if we made this change. This is to prevent -- this is to make sure that an awful lot of people don't lose their jobs in the State of Illinois, as long as the entity for which they work had nothing to do with any criminal activity in the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

I -- I understand, and I understand what the present law is.

I think what we're trying to do is -- is clear up not only -- not only -- I can't see you -- not only the present law but -- but the future laws. So some way or another we can make sure that that person is no longer connected with the company.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Marovitz may close. SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, all I can tell you is I think this is a bill that has been crafted by the attorneys so that if you have anything to do with criminal activity whatsoever, and you work for a company, then you cannot have a contract in the State of Illinois. there's been any criminal responsibility or criminal accountability, you cannot get a contract in the State of Illinois. We need clarification of that, because there is a large company that has contracts and a lot of employees in our State where subsidiaries -- subsidiaries have -- have been held

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

criminally liable, and we want to make sure that the -- if the entity - the main entity - has nothing to do with that and there's been no criminal responsibility, that the employees won't be fired. The redemption machine language is very important to places like Great America and a lot of the malls around the State of Illinois, which will mean an awful lot in terms of sales tax revenue for the State of Illinois. And the attorney language is totally permissive, and I would solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 659. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 18, the Nays are 31, 2 voting Present. The Conference Committee Report is not adopted, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Marovitz requests a Conference -- Second Conference Committee. 844. Senator Lechowicz. I'm sorry. Senator Leverenz. I beg your pardon. Senate Bill 844, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 844.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leverenz.

SENATOR LEVERENZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill now has a number of things on it. It originally was looking like thirty-two. I understand it now has twenty-five bills on it. And -- I -- I now move to Table Senate Bill 844.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leverenz has moved to Table Senate Bill 844. All in favor, indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Senate Bill 844 is Tabled. Senate Bill 923. Senator Welch.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

9-2-3. 9-9-2. Senator Dunn. Senate Bill 9-9-2, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 9-9-2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amends the Special Service Area Tax Act, and requires an ordinance establishing a special service area to be recorded within thirty days. I urge adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator Dunn, you've mentioned that the only change in it was that the ordinance be filed in the Office of the Recorder within thirty days of the date in which it's adopted. Is that the only change that was made?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Dunn, do you wish to close? Senator Dunn.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

SENATOR T. DUNN:

It -- it extends, I might also add, the -- the tax lien. But the only substantive change is the one you asked, Senator. I urge do adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Question is, shall the Senate adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 9-9-2. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 9-9-2, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senate Bill 1393. Senator Marovitz. Senate Joint Resolution 1, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Joint Resolution

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Joint Resolution -- the Conference Committee Report on Senate Joint Resolution No. 1 reestablishes and continues -- reestablishes, continues, and enlarges the Task Force on School Finance. This was a request made by -- by the House - that it be enlarged. This is a Second Conference Committee Report, and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm pleased to stand in support of

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

the motion to adopt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Joint Resolution 1. All in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed, Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Joint Resolution 1, and the bill, having received the required constitutional majority -- I mean and the resolution -- is declared passed. Senator Smith, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I stand on a point of personal privilege, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR SMITH:

I'm going to bring to our attention that we have among our workers this Session a young man who is one of the pages. His name is Derrick Reynolds. He leads -- he attends Springfield High School here. And Derrick ran Saturday - this past Saturday - at Chicago in the Track Junior Olympic. And he was in -- won second place with a time of 14.7 seconds in the hundred and tenth high hurdle and earned a trip to Region 7 in Elmhurst, Illinois, for July 14th. He was also on the All City Track Team, and we're very proud of him for his feat and wish him much success. Derrick, will you please stand out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Our guest in the gallery please rise. They're not in the gallery. They're here. I'm sorry. Messages from the House. SECRETARY HAWKER:

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 214 with House Amendments 1 and 2. Passed the House, as amended, July 2, 1991.

I have a like Message on Senate Bill 384 with House Amendment ${\tt No.\ 1.}$

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendments to a bill of the following title, to wit:

House Bill 841 with Senate Amendment No. 1.

I am further directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of Senate Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 841.

Action taken by the House, July 2, 1991.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Secretary's Desk.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to recede from their Amendment No. 3 to a bill of the following title, to wit:

Senate Bill 1006.

I am further directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives requests a First Committee of Conference to consider the differences of the two Houses in regard to their amendments to the bill.

Action taken by the House, July 2, 1991.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

All right. Senator Rock moves that the Senate accede to the request of the House.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 73.

It is a death resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 68.

Adopted by the House, July 2, 1991.

It is substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Executive. Resolutions.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 661 offered by Senator Dudycz.

Senate -- pardon me -- it -- it is a congratulatory resolution.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Joint -- pardon me -- Senate Resolution 662 offered by Senator Thomas Dunn.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

And 663 offered by Senator Thomas Dunn.

They are both substantive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Executive. Senator Smith, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I merely want to move, for the record, to refer Senate Bill 804 back to the Public Health Committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Smith, where -- where is it now?

SENATOR SMITH:

It's on Postponed. I think it is on -- no -- I think it is -- wait a minute. It's on 2nd Reading in the Senate. On Page 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator -- on Page 2, Senator Smith has moved that the --

SENATOR SMITH:

Senate Bill 804.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...804 be re-referred to the Committee on Public Health. So ordered.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and -- and Members of the Senate and -- to just to make a record. Senate Bill -- House Bill 1852, which was on 2nd Reading -- we're keeping it on 2nd Reading waiting for an amendment, which should make the bill ready to be handled in the Fall. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

Senator Hall, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I would like to have -- understand that I have a joint committee <sic> - No. 2 - on there. And I wanted to know if we could get it -- immediate consideration of this. This is something that has been worked out with the State Police. And they concur. And it's --

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. With leave of the Body, Senator Hall requests that we go to the Order of Secretary's Desk, Resolution for the explicit purpose of taking up House Joint Resolution 2. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Secretary's Desk, Resolutions, Page 9, is House Joint Resolution 2, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY HAWKER:

House Joint Resolution 2,

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a resolution that has been worked out with the State Police, which they concur, for this family that was lost in Southern Illinois. And in conjunction with them that they are saying that they came over to assure everybody that it just clarifies an investigation of the accident. It's not it — it's not investigating the State Police. But it clarifies what actually happened in the accident — this entire family that was lost. So I'd move for the adoption of this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Senator Hall -- question of the sponsor, please, Mr.

58th Legislative Day

July 3, 1991

President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I don't think anybody has any quarrel with what you're trying to do, but why are we hiring outside staff to do this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Ask me again. I didn't understand what you said.

SENATOR DEANGELIS:

Why are we --

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Why are we hiring outside staff to do this?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, that's something that I'll have to look into. Let me -just take it out of the record for the time being, and I'll check
to find out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Take it out of the record.

SENATOR ROCK:

I rise to wish everyone a happy 4th of July and move that we adjourn until four o'clock tomorrow afternoon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senate stands adjourned till tomorrow at the hour of four o'clock.

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY SENATE

92/03/10 11:13:49

PAGE 1

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

PAGE

1

1

8 8

33

37

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JULY 03, 1991

HB-0114 CONFERENCE

HB-0516	CONFERENCE	PAGE	2	
HB-0679	CONFERENCE	PAGE	3	
HB-0799	CONFERENCE	PAGE	8	
HB-0799	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	6	
HB-1101	CONFERENCE	PAGE	8	
HB-1128	CONFERENCE	PAGE	9	
HB-1254	CONFERENCE	PAGE	12	
HB-1929	CONFERENCE	PAGE	11	
HB-1955	CONFERENCE	PAGE	14	
HB-1982	CONFERENCE	PAGE	19	
HB-2148	CONFERENCE	PAGE	14	
HB-2392	CONFERENCE	PAGE	15	
SB-0042	CONFERENCE	PAGE	20	
SB-0271	CONFERENCE	PAGE	23	
SB-0659	CONFERENCE	PAGE	24	
SB-0804	MOTION	PAGE	35	
SB-0844	TABLED	PAGE	29	
SB-0992	CONFERENCE	PAGE	30	
SR-0661	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	34	
SR-0662	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	34	
SR-0663	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	35	
HJR-0002	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	36	
HJR-0068	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	34	
HJR-0073	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	34	
SJR-0001	CONFERENCE	PAGE	31	
	SUBJECT MATTER			

SUBJECT MATTER
SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK
PRAYER - REVEREND ANTHONY TZORTZIS
JOURNALS - POSTPONED
RECESS

RECESS

ADJOURNMENT

SENATE RECONVENES

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE