87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The hour of noon having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the Members be at their desks, and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Our prayer this afternoon by the Reverend Rudolph Shoultz, Union Baptist Church, Springfield, Illinois. Reverend.

THE REVEREND RUDOLPH SHOULTZ:

(Prayer given by the Reverend Rudolph Shoultz)

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Thank you, Reverend. Reading of the Journal, Madam Secretary. Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the reading and approval of the Journals of Friday, May 4th, and Tuesday, May 8th, in the year 1990, be postponed, pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Dunn. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, and it is so ordered. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Bills 2-9-9, 9-4-8, 1220, 1762, 1856, 2185, 2377, 2388, 2389, 2842, 2884, 2887, 2896, 2941, 2998, 3051, 3090, 3109, 3118, 3176, 3180, 3196, 3204, 3221, 3248, 3290, 3302, 3333, 3429, 3487, 3521, 3630, 3651, 3745, 3793, 3891. Passed the House May 8, 1990.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Filed by John F. O'Brien, Clerk of the House.

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Those bills will be sent to the Order of House Bills 1st Reading on the Calendar.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to wit:

House Joint Resolution 100.

It is substantive.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Executive. All right. With leave of the Body - Ladies and Gentlemen, if I can have your attention - we will move to Page 26. I'm going to call your attention to the Order of House Bills 1st Reading. The House is sending us bills like bananas - in bunches. All right, Madam Secretary, House Bills 1st Reading.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

House Bill 105 offered by Senator Philip.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate <sic> Bill 318 offered by Senator Etheredge.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate <sic> Bill 2543 offered by Senator Keats.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate <sic> Bill 2988 offered by Senator del Valle.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3057 offered by Senators Rea and Ralph Dunn.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate <sic> Bill 3065 offered by Senator Savickas.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate <sic> Bill 3095 offered by Senator Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3149 offered by Senator Jones.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3151 offered by Senator Hawkinson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3249 offered by Senator Raica.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3406 offered by Senator Jacobs.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3480 offered by Senators Smith and Macdonald.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3490 offered by Senator Holmberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3629 offered by Senator Holmberg.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 3733 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st Reading of the bills.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. I would just encourage you, Ladies and Gentlemen, if the House sponsors have prevailed upon you to sponsor one or another of those bills, please let the Secretary or the Assistant Secretary know. And we will, as a daily order of business, get there and get these bills off the Calendar. All right. If I can have your attention, Ladies and Gentlemen, we will be beginning today's order of business on the bottom of Page 7 - where we left off yesterday. The bottom of Page 7. With Senator Jones' 1949, 1951 and the following. The plan of attack is to work this afternoon until approximately five or five-thirty, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, with the hope that we can get through the entire order of business - and with a little cooperation and a

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

little rhetoric, probably we could. Senator Mahar, for what purpose did you seek recognition, sir?

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your point, please.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Today we have joining us in Springfield a group from the Combined Agencies to Reduce Trauma/Local Alcohol Awareness Program. They are a public education group comprised of fire, rescue, EMS, law enforcement, public information, emergency medicine and safety instruction personnel from the Orland/Palos area in Senator Raica's area and mine. They are the 1990 winner of the audiovisual category of the Illinois Coalition for Safety Belt Use/Buckle Up America Award, for its docudrama, SHATTERED LIVES, SHATTERED DREAMS. And I would ask that we welcome them to Springfield. They're in the gallery behind me.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. Senator Woodyard, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. To ask leave to Table Senate Bill 1318.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. The Gentleman asks leave to go to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, on the top of Page 20. With leave of the Body, we'll move to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, top of Page 20, Senate Bill 1318. The Gentleman seeks leave to Table Senate Bill 1318. All in favor of the Motion to Table, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Senate Bill 1318 is Tabled at the request of the

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

sponsor. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, if I can have your attention, before we begin - and again, we will begin on Page 7 at the bottom, and attempt to move through the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading before we conclude this afternoon, roughly at about five o'clock or five-thirty. In the meantime, Senator Rea has some special guests who have traveled a long way, and their airplane was even delayed this morning, and they've traveled a long way to get here. And the Chair would ask Madam Secretary if you'd put on the board Senate Resolution -- Senate Resolution 1019. I'd ask the - I'd ask for your attention, Ladies and Gentlemen - ask the Secretary to read the resolution, then I'm going to yield to Senator Rea for the purpose of introductions. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 1019 offered by Senator Rea.

(Secretary reads SR No. 1019)

SENATOR REA:

Thank you very much. At this time I would certainly want join together in congratulation to the City of Herrin, a city of approximately eleven thousand population. It has done tremendous things in the last few years. In fact, many of you joined in passing legislation that has made it possible for them to build great Herrin civic center that has added to the culture and economic development of the City of Herrin. And this coming -- or during the Memorial weekend, the City will be celebrating Herrinfest, and they have chosen an industry to -- to certainly focus upon. And that industry is WJPF, one of the oldest radio stations in the State of Illinois. It's the second oldest in Southern Illinois. They have done a tremendous job in serving the people of that region of the State, and we are certainly glad to be honoring them along with all the other folks in Herrin. Let me just introduce the people that are here today. We have Mayor Ed Quaglia and his wife, Joann; we have Dayton Franklin, who heads up

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

the Civic Center Authority; Marlene Simpson, the City Clerk; Tom Dennis, Executive Director of the Herrin Chamber of Commerce; and of course, with WJPF, we have Bob Ferrari and his lovely wife, Shirley. At this time I would like to call upon Bob.

MR. BOB FERRARI:

(Remarks by Mr. Bob Ferrari)

SENATOR REA:

Thank you very much. And again, congratulations for the fifty years of service to the Southern Illinois region. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Resolutions.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 1026 offered by Senator Zito.

It is congratulatory.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Consent Calendar. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chair would only remind you that we are going to attempt to conclude our business for the week tomorrow. And in the meantime, we have - as the Calendar recites - two hundred and seventeen bills on 2nd Reading, so we will make an attempt to move through that order of business. There is no necessity, obviously, to move 'em all, but that's up to the sponsor. Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think it might be a matter of personal privilege. Just a brief announcement. There are people here who represent the city colleges of Chicago, which of course is one of the major networks of higher education which this Legislature is very much responsible for. They would welcome any of us who are able to break away for a few minutes in the Rathskeller between eleven-thirty and one-thirty. And there are members of the Board of Trustees, as well as the Chancellor, Madam

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Brady, who will be there, and they would be happy to have us join them. In the Rathskeller, now. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, we'll begin where —— where we left off yesterday — the bottom of Page 7. Bottom of Page 7. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading. 1949. Senator Jones. 1951. 1955. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. Bottom of Page 7, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate Bill 1955. Been a request for a fiscal note, Senator Jones. Top of Page 8. 1957. Senator Dunn. 1958. Senator del Valle. Read the bill, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1958.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator del Valle offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator del Valle, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR dEL VALLE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is a legal description of the property to be conveyed to the Board of Education by the Department of Transportation. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator del Valle has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1958. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1960. Senator Collins. 1962. Senator Carroll. Top of Page 8, Ladies and Gentlemen. 1965. Senator Berman. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1965.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. You ready on 1962, Senator Carroll? On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1962.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1962.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Carroll, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This was the amendment agreed by the Comprehensive Health Insurance Board in order to adjust the -- the numbers and benefits. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1962. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1974. Senator Luft. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1974.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Luft offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Luft, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I apologize. What this amendment does is strike the word throughout the bill, "mass transit." That word is eliminated throughout the bill.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

All right. Senator Luft's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1974. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1977. Senator Barkhausen. 1985. There's a

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

fiscal note, Senator Holmberg. 1986. Senator Brookins. 1991. Senator Woodyard. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. 1-9-9-1.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1991.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1994. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1994.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 1996. Senator O'Daniel. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1996.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2000. Senator Welch. Top of Page 9. 2001. Senator Welch. 2009. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. Top of Page 9, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 2009.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2009.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Corrections offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment deleted the original bill. What the amendment does is create an interagency board for hearing impaired and behavior disordered children to advise the Governor, the General Assembly and State Superintendent of Education. Basically, this is a proposal that grew out of one of our councils on children. And I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2009. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed? The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

3rd Reading. 2012. Senator Welch. 13. 2014. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2014.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a proposal of the Legislative Reference Bureau to create names for each of the Acts within the Revised Statutes, the idea being that the Reference Bureau is trying to codify the Statutes. It would be easier for them to do so if each Act could be referred to by its own proper name. I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2014. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2015. 2020. Senator Marovitz. 2026. Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 2026.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2028. Senator Schuneman. Is somebody going to handle that for Cal? All right. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2028.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2036. Senator Luft. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2036.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Luft, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment removes the mandatory language which requires the Illinois Housing Development Authority

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

to approve a residential project within ten days of receiving notice, or else provide alternative financing. I would move for the adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Luft's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2036. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor Amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2037. Senator Ralph Dunn. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2037.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2038. Senator Woodyard. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2038.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture offers

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Woodyard, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 primarily expands the definition of what an animal facility is to include livestock sale barns and entities that promote livestock products. The rest of the amendment is primarily technical. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Woodyard's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2038. Discussion? If not, all in favor of the amendment, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2039. Senator Topinka. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2039.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Topinka, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Yes. Committee Amendment No. 1 merely removed a restatement of something that was already in the law. And that's all it did. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Topinka moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2039. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2050, there's been a request for a fiscal note. 2052. Senator Daley. Top of Page 10, Ladies and Gentlemen. 2054. Senator Hawkinson. 2056. Senator Vadalabene. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. Top of Page 10. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2056. Read it, please. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2056.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2058. Senator Maitland. There's a fiscal note request. 2059. Senator Luft. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 2059.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2062. Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2062.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2066. Senator Smith. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2066.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Smith, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I -- on Senate Bill 2066, I would like to Table the committee amendment.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Smith has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1, another amendment having been filed. All in favor of the Motion to Table, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled.

Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Smith offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Smith, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen. The Amendment No. 2 deletes all of the former bill and inserts a reversed <sic> version of the Minor Drunk Driving Visitation Program. These changes were requested in committee. Participation in the program is no longer mandatory. It can be ordered by a court at the court's option. The program is administered through probation authorities. Requires the offender or the offender's guardian or parent to bear all costs associated with the program unless a finding of indigency is made. I move for this adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Smith has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2066. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

3rd Reading. 2067. Senator Luft. Read the bill.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2067.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2075. Senator Mahar. 2076. 2078. Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2078.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2079. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2079.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2080. Senator Rigney. Read the bill, Madam

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2080.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2081. Senator Woodyard. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2081.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2082. Senator Woodyard. Top of Page 11. Senator Keats. 2083. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2083.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

3rd Reading. 2084. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, please. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2084.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2086. Senator Topinka. There's been a request for a fiscal note. 2087. Senator Mahar. 2088. Senator Watson. 2089. Senator Topinka. Read the bill, please, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2089.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2090. Senator Topinka. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2090.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Topinka, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Yes, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Committee Amendment No. 1 was basically requested by the State Police to do some cleanup.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Topinka moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2090. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2091. Senator Macdonald. Read the bill, please, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2091.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2092. Senator Keats. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2092.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2093. Senator Topinka. Read the bill, please. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2093.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2094. Senator Watson. Senator Philip. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2094.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Philip, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

It is a technical in nature, and it was recommended by the Department of Transportation. I move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Philip's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2094. Discussion? If not, all in favor,

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Philip offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Philip, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Any -- this amendment, any truck that is larger than a pickup truck, under the present law has to be tested twice a year. And that includes local governments and municipalities, other than the City of Chicago. What this does is put all the downstate municipalities the same as the City of Chicago. They don't have to be tested twice a year; they have to be tested by themselves. So I move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Philip's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2094. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2095. Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2095.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Top of Page 12. 2096. Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2096.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Corrections offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 was an agreed-upon amendment which allows medical partnerships to negotiate for provisions of services with those who are eligible for medical assistance.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

That's it?

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Yes, sir.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Okay. Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2096. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2097. Senator Macdonald. 2099. Senator Philip. You want to handle that for Senator Schuneman? Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2099.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Both of the committee amendments were amendments that came out of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners. Committee Amendment No. 1 deletes some obsolete references to the Medicare Catastrophic Coverage Act, which Congress repealed. I urge its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2099. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis, on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Committee Amendment No. 2, again, comes out of that National Association of Insurance Commissioners. And it sets up language

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

to regulate managing general agents. I urge its adoption. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2099. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2100. Senator Hudson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2100.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senator Maitland. 2101. 2102. Senator Kustra. 2104. Senator Watson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2104.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2105. Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2105.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. The committee amendment -- this is a use immunity bill, and the committee amendment added a Section 3 that provides that use immunity can only be granted when the evidence sought can be obtained by no other reasonable means. I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2105. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2106. Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, please Madam Secretary.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2106.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Geo-Karis, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. And -- Committee Amendment No. 1 - it was offered in the committee - simply inserts the following, after deleting line 14 on Page 1: "of controlled substances, cannabis or steroids for criminal justice agencies in criminal matters and provides testimony with respect to such examinations." I move the passage of same.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Geo-Karis has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2106. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Geo-Karis offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Geo-Karis, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, Floor Amendment No. 2 allows the fee, or a part of it, to be suspended if the court finds the defendant does not have the ability to pay the fee. I move the passage of this amendment.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Geo-Karis has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2106. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2108. Senator Karpiel. Read the bill, please, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2108.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2109. Senator Ralph Dunn. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2109.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR R. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 becomes the bill. It expands the Earthquake Awareness Program with emphasis on the high-risk counties. Department of -- ESDA will

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

designate those counties. It'll probably be twenty-nine southern counties. Agreements to be developed for medical supply in case of a disaster. I move adoption of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Dunn has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2109. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2110. Senator Dunn. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2110.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Top of Page 13, Ladies and Gentlemen. Top of Page 13. Senator Schaffer. 2111. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2111.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Energy and Environment offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Schaffer, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, Committee Amendment No. 1 strikes the fee schedule that was originally in this bill and makes it revenue neutral.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2111. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2112. Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2112.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 was offered at the suggestion of the committee, and provides that

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

attorney fees are exempted from the seizure provisions of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2112. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Amendment No. 2 is a technical amendment that we promised we would offer in regard to the first amendment. It provides and clarifies that attorney fees are not to be seized if they're paid in good faith and the attorney did not know that they were subject — property that was subject to seizure. And this deletes part of Amendment No. 1 which would have restricted the attorney's fees to those which were paid prior to the seizure of the property. I would offer its approval.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2112. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Hawkinson offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senator Hawkinson, on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

This -- this is a technical amendment in number and regarding the attorney's fees. I would ask for its approval.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 2112. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Hawkinson offers Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

I need to see a copy of that amendment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Take No. 4 out of the record. Withdrawn. Further amendments? Take the whole bill out of the record. Take the bill out of the record, Madam Secretary. 2113. Senator Madigan. 2114. Senator Davidson. Fiscal note has been requested. 2115. Senator Macdonald. 2116. Senator Schaffer. 2119. Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. 2-1-1-9. Middle of Page 13, Ladies and Gentlemen.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2119.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Davidson, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

The committee amendment corrects technical errors that was

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

made in drafting the bill. Move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Davidson's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2119. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Davidson offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Davidson, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

I -- I don't have an Amendment No. 2 to that bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Well, then Senator DeAngelis must have snuck one in on us here. It's an amendment to the Wildlife Code - I know you're big on this, Aldo. Not that kind of wildlife, no. Senator Davidson, on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Yes, this is a Floor amendment - make -- the Act becomes effective upon becoming law. Move the adoption of Floor Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Davidson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2119. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2124. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2124.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2127. Senator Raica. 2128. Senator DeAngelis. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2128.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR NETSCH:

I'm sorry. I wanted to address a question on that bill to Senator DeAngelis. Senator DeAngelis, I know this is the Park Forest Bill. And we all agree about the concern. If it is possible for the Department of Revenue and anyone else to figure out a different approach which might not open floodgates, would you be agreeable to calling the bill back for that kind of an

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

amendment?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis --

SENATOR NETSCH:

It is not resolved yet, but they're looking still.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I certainly would, Senator Netsch. And I'm moving it to 3rd, hopefully to get them to speed up their actions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

2130. Senator Karpiel. 2131. Senator Friedland. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2131.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2141. Senator Madigan. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2141.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Madigan offers Amendment No. 1.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Madigan, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR MADIGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 establishes the Department of Energy and Natural Resources as the administering agency, and directs them to cooperate with the Department of Transportation, the EPA and local governmental units, and clarifies that this is subject to appropriation by the General Assembly as far as the bill is concerned. And I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Madigan's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2141. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2143. Senator Philip. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2143.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2145. Senator Philip. 2147. Read the bill. 2-1-4-7.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 2147.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2150. Senator Philip. I'm sorry. Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President. A few minutes ago Senator Friedland moved Senate Bill 2131. There was an amendment that had been requested in committee. I wasn't here on the Floor at the -- at the time to ask whether or not Senator Friedland had acquiesced to the request. The bill has been moved to -- to 3rd Reading. And I would ask the Gentleman if he would -- he did? All right. Thank you. No. It's been explained.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

2150. Senator Philip. Read the bill.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2150.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2155. Senator Dudycz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 2155.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Dudycz offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dudycz, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Floor Amendment No. 1 is technical in nature. And it simply adds an effective date.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dudycz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2155. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2157. Senator Keats. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2157.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2164. Senator Donahue. Read the bill, Madam

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2164.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Donahue, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is purely technical in nature. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Donahue's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2164. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2166. Senator Berman. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2166.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2167. Senator -- Senator Berman, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. On a point of personal privilege. We're joined today in the gallery by a group of students from the Nichols Middle School and their teachers, Pauline Noznick and Yvonne Parks. Welcome to Springfield.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Would our guests please rise and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. Senator Joyce. 2167. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2167.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2168. Senator Jones. 2171. Senator Dunn. 2174. Senator Jones. Top of Page 15. 2176. Senator Joyce. 2182. Senator Newhouse. Top of Page 15. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2182.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Newhouse offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Newhouse, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Senators. This is a technical recorrection. It adds the word "annually," as was originally intended in the bill. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Newhouse has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2182. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2183. Senator Newhouse. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2183.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2184. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2184.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Commerce and Economic

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Development offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Newhouse, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical correction introduced into the committee and passed. It changes the name to Illinois Tax Policy Plan. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Newhouse has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2184. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. 2186. Senator Barkhausen. Senator Barkhausen, do you wish the bill called?

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Please.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2186.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Barkhausen, on Committee Amendment No. 1.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and Members, Amendment No. 1 is a technical corrections amendment that also added some language with regard to the treatment of attorney's fees, which is subsequently altered by another amendment, which I'll explain in a minute. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2166. Discussion? 2186. I beg your pardon. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Barkhausen, on Committee Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

I would move to Table Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Barkhausen has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2186. Discussion? If not, all in favor of the Motion to Table, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Barkhausen offers Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Barkhausen, on Amendment No. 3.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Amendment No. 3, Mr. President and Members, restores -- the language of the existing law with regard to how any proceeds realized under the Narcotics Profit Forfeiture Act will be distributed. And secondly, it -- it observes the wishes of the members of the committee with regard to how attorney's fees will be treated and -- and -- and made exempt from potential forfeiture unless attorneys have reason to know that these fees have been paid out of already-seized assets. And it otherwise makes some technical corrections. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2187. Senator Schaffer. ...(machine cutoff)...
Senate Bill 2185, Madam Secretary. 2187.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2187.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers -offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, this bill -- this amendment - committee amendment - makes several technical and grammatical corrections. Changes some language at the request of the circuit clerks. And adds the Illinois State Board of Higher

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Education, Illinois Community College Board to the list of entities with whom the Department of Public Aid agrees to cooperate within the educational and training programs. It was the -- discussed in committee at some length.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Schaffer offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This amendment also makes a couple of grammatical changes, and then adds a provision which has been worked out in cooperation with the circuit clerks and the Department of Public Aid, to provide for the automation of payments through the Department's data processing system and the Child Support Payment Program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2190, Madam Secretary. Nope. Take

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

it out of the record. 2192. Senator Jones. Senate Bill 2192. Madam Secretary, read the bill please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2192.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2193. Senator Rea. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2193, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2193.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2194. Senator DeAngelis. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2194, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2194.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2195 has a fiscal note filed. 2208. Senator Jones. 2209. Senator Netsch. 2210. Bottom of Page 15, Senate Bill 2210, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2210.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Top of Page 16. 2213. Senator D'Arco. 2216. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2-2-1-6, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2216.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 2217, Madam Secretary. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2-2-1-7.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2217.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2222. Senator Welch. Senator Welch on the Floor? 2228 has a fiscal note filed. 2229. Senator Daley. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2229, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2229.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Carroll offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. With the agreement of the chairman of the committee and the sponsor of the bill, this is a technical amendment to allow in one of these special service districts in -- before a tax has been passed, or in fact a bond issued, where there was an error in the creation in an area not to be served, that area, by appropriate municipal action, can disannex. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll's moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2231. Senator Welch. 2237. Senator Savickas. 2255. Senator Netsch. Fiscal note on that one. 2256. Senator Severns. On the Order of -- Page 17. 2267. Senator Marovitz. Top of Page 17. 2274, there's a fiscal note. 2275. Senator Marovitz. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2275, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2275.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

...very much, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1, adopted unanimously, eliminates alternative correction programs - that was the boot camp program - that's eliminated from the bill. It eliminates specific penalties for delivery of crack cocaine. And it eliminates the mandatory reporting by physicians of pregnant women suspected to be using drugs. It was felt that that would discourage pregnant women from coming in and getting treatment. And anything done to discourage those women from coming in and getting treatment would be a bad idea. That's why we took that out of the bill. And I would ask for adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

I -- I don't think this is the bill that I -- I originally was thinking about. This isn't the one with the attorney's fees, is it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz. The answer is no. The -- Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Further discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator, my Calendar indicates there is a Floor amendment. 2275. That's what my Calendar says, unless my Calendar is wrong. Apparently we do not have one. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2276. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2276, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2276.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you very much, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2276, which was adopted unanimously, eliminates any provisions for drug testing of minors and increases the threshold for currency reporting. And I would ask for adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2276. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Marovitz offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you very much, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is a technical amendment only. It -- it -- in Amendment No. 1 there was a -- a change in figures from fifteen hundred to five thousand left out of one of the places in the bill. It was added in several places, but left out in one. It's just added in that place. It's only technical. And I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Marovitz has moved the adoption

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2276. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2277, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2277.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2291. Senator Brookins. 2293. Senator Luft. Senate Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill -- I'm sorry, Senate Bill 2293, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2293.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2304. Senator Marovitz. 2306. Senator Alexander. Senator Alexander. 2306. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is -- I'm sorry. Senator Alexander.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I wish to be withdrawn as the principal sponsor of Senate Bill 2306 and the name of Senator Howie Carroll replacing it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. You've heard the request of Senator Alexander to be -- withdraws as a -- as a sponsor and that the sponsorship of the bill be transferred to Senator Carroll. House <sic> Bill 2306. So ordered. Senator Carroll on the Floor? All right. 2307. Senator Alexander. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2307, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2307.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

My Calendar indicates there is to be a Floor amendment. Oh! SENATOR ALEXANDER:

I'll bring it back for the Floor amendment when it's prepared. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, this -- the Floor amendment has not been filed. No amendments. 3rd Reading. 2308. Senator Alexander. Senate Bill 2308, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2308.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2309. Senator Alexander. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 2309, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2309.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 2312. Senator Holmberg. Senate Bill 2312, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 2312.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. All right. We've completed the 2nd Readings. We will -- Senator Marovitz, I'm sorry, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Matter of personal privilege, Mr. President.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Yes, sir.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Mistakenly taken to the Republican Gallery, there is a group of children from -- kids from Our Lady of Lourdes on the great north side of Chicago; their teacher, Ginger Dalton. I'd like them to rise and be recognized by the Illinois Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Will our guests in the gallery please rise. Welcome to the Senate. We will now go back to Page 2 - Page 2 of your Calendar, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading. Page 2. Start with 1051. Senator Luft. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1051, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1051.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit
Regulations offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 struck everything after the enacting clause and just set the bill as we know it today. And I would move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Luft has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1051. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Luft offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Floor amendment sets the commissioner's salary at fifty-seven thousand seven hundred and fifty dollars, rather than the seventy-eight thousand so stated in the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1071. Senator Jacobs. Senate Bill 1071, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1071.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I'm sorry. Let's take it out of the record. It has not been ruled exempt. Only the sponsor. Bottom of Page 2.

END OF TAPE

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

TAPE 2

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

1487. Senator Barkhausen. Bottom of Page 2. Senate Bill 1-4-8-7, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1487.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and Members, Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1487 contains the -- the essence of Senate Bill 1574. It also deals with the Business Corporation Act. It relates to changes in the provisions of the existing Corporation Act, dealing with what are known as dissenters' rights. In other words, the treatment that dissenting shareholders would receive -- the value that they would obtain for their shares in the event of a difference of opinion between minority shareholders and controlling shareholders of a corporation. The amendment and the original bill, Senate Bill 1574, are the recommendations of the Secretary of State's Business Corporation Act, which in turn had been endorsed by the Corporate Law Committee of the Chicago Bar Association. I'd be happy to answer any questions, and would otherwise move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Barkhausen has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1487. Those in

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments? SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Top of Page 3. 1491. Senator Watson. 1508. Senator del Valle. 1511. Senator Jacobs. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1511, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1511.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1512. Senator Severns. Senate Bill 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1512, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1512.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The committee on Elementary and Secondary Education offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 simply clarifies the language on alternative education. It also closes the loophole that allows students to produce a parental note describing a transfer of schools. It also provides that school boards and the local superintendent provide

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

exemptions to the legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1512. Is there a discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Severns offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

It's Floor Amendment No. 1. We'd like to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 and adopt Floor Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Severns, having voted on the prevailing side, moves to reconsider the vote by which Committee Amendment No. 1 was adopted. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. The vote is reconsidered. Senator Severns now moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1512. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee -- Committee Amendment No. 1 is now Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senator Severns offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

The Floor Amendment simply provides for the language we adopted earlier in committee, that clarifies that it be restricted only to high schools.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns has moved...

SENATOR SEVERNS:

I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I'm sorry. Senator Severns has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1512. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1515. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1515, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1515.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The committee on Higher Education offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 actually became the bill, and it changed the original bill in a

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

few ways. It stated that this bill will apply only to four-year residential colleges, and not community colleges. It stated that there will be a list of crime statistics that have to be included on the -- on the report. It stated that these reports must be made available, but do not have to be sent to every applicant to the university. And basically, those are the major changes in the Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1515. Is there discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates she will yield. Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Does the bill apply to private four-year residential colleges?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel. Further discussion? Senator Karpiel has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Karpiel offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Amendment No. 2 was discussed in committee and I agreed to put it on on 2nd Reading. It does two things. It adds what we could call ethnic intimidation or hate crimes — they are spelled out in the bill. Two, the list of — list of crime statistics, and it also says that Section 3, which is the listing of the — reporting of the crimes statistics — that that section will take effect on January 1st, 1992, because the State Police are in the process of updating and changing their UCR.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Question of the sponsor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Karpiel, at one time there was an indication that the bill might include a provision that the universities report to applicants for admission, the crime statistics. Is that in the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Yes. The universities have to -- have to have in their information that they send to all applicants, some message that says that these statistics are available upon request.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Is there any comparison being made between schools in Illinois and other schools in other states that we could say -- even though these statistics are available -- Illinois schools are comparable

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

to other major universities throughout the country. I wouldn't want to have a bill where we're sending out to students statistics showing that it's dangerous to go to an Illinois school. Is there any provision like that in the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel. I'm sorry.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

There is no provision like that in the bill, except that there are bills like this being passed all around the country, and there is -- at present there is federal legislation to do just this. I mean there -- there -- federal legislation has been proposed to do just this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch. All right. Further discussion? Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just want to say to Senator Karpiel that this winter I had my Secretary send a request to the State universities as a prospective parent sending their child to one of the universities, and I was highly impressed with the response back from the universities which dealt with the incidence of crime and a breakdown on the crime to physical assaults and thefts, and I thought they did an outstanding job of responding to a potential parent who was trying to make a decision to send that child.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, let me just respond to that and say that most of our universities do just that, and that none of them that I worked with -- which included, Senator Welch -- included Northern Illinois University, the University of Illinois, Southern,

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Western, the Federation of Private and Independent Colleges, as well as the State organization of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators. I've worked with all those groups on this bill, and to my knowledge, at this point, as amended, there is no problem with the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Karpiel has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1523. Senator Jones. 1531. Senator Schaffer.
On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1531,
Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1531.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Schaffer offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This amendment was one I agreed to on committee. It exempts home rule units.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

Is there further discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1532. Senator Jones. 1556. Senator Maitland. Maitland. 1556. 1558. 1565. Senator Lechowicz. 1571. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1-5-7-1, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1571.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

I'm sorry. The -- I forgot about the committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Can we get the file down to Senator Jacobs, please? SENATOR JACOBS:

We got it here. I don't think there was a committee amendment. I think we were going to amend it on the Floor. That what my thoughts were. Oh, that's right. I'm sorry. The -- the committee amendment takes out the rebuttal -- rebuttable -- yeah, that's what it does. It takes out the rebuttal -- rebuttable presumption, and it puts it on the -- the dollar amount that was approved by the committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Jacobs has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1571. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further Committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1573. Senator Brookins. Top of Page 4. 1575. Senator Barkhausen. 1585. Senator Collins. There was a fiscal note on that. 1588. Senator Vadalabene. 1591. Senator Berman. Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1-5-9-1, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1591.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading, Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1612,

Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1612.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Daley offers Amendment No. 1.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Daley.

SENATOR DALEY:

Is it -- my -- my Calendar indicates Hawkinson, but there are two amendments. There was a...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Could we get the file over to Senator Daley, please? We have a committee amendment and a Floor amendment.

SENATOR DALEY:

Yes. Mr. President and Members of the Senate. The committee amendment took out the word "medical." Simply, that all it did. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Daley's moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Hawkinson offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill is the child restraint system bill. And the bill in its original form requires anyone transporting a child under four to provide a child restraint seat. My Amendment No. 2 would make the parent or the legal guardian of the child responsible for providing the child restraint seat, and provide that the person transporting the child would not be liable or ticketed for failure to provide a seat, unless the parent or

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

the guardian had already supplied the seat to that person. I would ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hawkinson has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1612. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1617. Senator Jacobs. 1627. Senator Fawell. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1627, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1627.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Fawell offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. This just exempts it from the State Mandates, and it also puts an immediate effective date on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1627. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1629. Senator Fawell. You're not lucky on that one? Okay. 1641. Senator Karpiel. 1644's got a fiscal note. 1647. Senator Etheredge. 1647. Okay. 1649. Senator Jones. 51, Senator Jones. 1652. Senator Topinka. Bottom of Page 4. Senate Bill 1652, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1652.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Floor amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any Floor amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Topinka offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I'm sorry. My Calendar did not indicate one. Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment, basically, which has been worked out with the Community College Board, would -- would lay out a policy on the issuance of bank credit cards for board members of community colleges. To my knowledge, there is no opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Is there -- is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Top of Page 5. 1653. Senator Thomas Dunn. 1657. Ralph Dunn. Senate Bill 1-6-5-7, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1657.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Ralph Dunn.

SENATOR R. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I want to -leave to withdraw Committee Amendment No. 1 and put in an amendment that straightens it out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

All right. Senator Dunn has moved to Table Committee Amendment

No. 1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate

by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee

Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Dunn offers Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Ralph Dunn.

SENATOR R. DUNN:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 puts back in the contents of Committee Amendment No. 1, with some technical changes. It limits the bill to three counties of a population of between twenty and twenty-one thousand. It also -- it puts in a statement in which case three-fourths of the members of the governing body of a municipality will vote for a bill. This is agreed to in committee, so I move the adoption of it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1659. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1-6-5-9, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1659.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Holmberg offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. This retains the original bill, and then adds provisions for fire protection districts, one of which allows them to purchase real estate or personal property by contract for a period not to exceed twenty-five years. Currently

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

they're at fifteen. And also requires all fire protection districts tax levies to be levied by ordinance and filed annually with the county clerk by the third Tuesday in December. That's merely a cleanup.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Holmberg has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1659. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1701 is a fiscal note filed. 1704. Senator Jacobs. 1709. Senator Topinka. 1710. Senator Macdonald. 1713. Senator Rigney. 1723. Senator Luft. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1-7-2-3, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1723.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Luft offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Last year we passed Senate Bill 237, which made changes in the corporate franchise tax reporting requirements. The amendment to this bill further clarifies those changes, and it is necessary because of the effective date of the bill, which was one-one-ninety-one. I would move for the adoption

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? Senator Luft has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1723. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1727, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1727.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1730. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1-7-3-0, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1730.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Mahar offers Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Mahar.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members. Senate Amendment -Floor Amendment No. 1 is clarifying language provided by the
Department of Central Management Services -- does not change the
intent or scope of the bill, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Mahar has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1732 has a fiscal note filed -- request. 1736. Senator Holmberg. Page 6. 1741. Senator Jones. 1752. Senator Maitland. 1754. Savickas. 1760. Dudycz. 1761. J.J. Joyce. 1767. Senator Schaffer. 1768. Senator Joyce. 1773. Senator Maitland. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1-7-7-3, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1773.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1776. Senator Joyce. 1778. Senator Raica. 1738. <sic> Senator Rea. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, is Senate Bill 1838, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 1838.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1840. Senator Kelly. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1-8-4-0, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1840.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments. Pardon -PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

My Calendar indicates a committee amendment.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

The Committee on Executive offers Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. This committee amendment makes some technical changes to the original bill which provided the creation of a State-level Interagency Coordinating Council. This amendment authorized the Department of Rehabilitation Services to establish local Transition Planning Committees for the purpose of coordinating existing resources more effectively for our aging --aging out population. The -- also amends the School Code to provide a systematic informalized process for transition planning for students with disabilities. The amendment is supported by the Illinois Planning Council and Developmental Disabilities, the Department of Rehabilitation Services, and the State Board of

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Education. And I would ask for your acceptance of Senate Amendment No. 1 -- Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Kelly has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1840. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed, Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. 1845. Senator Marovitz. 1850. Someone has the courage to file a fiscal note. On Page 7 -- top of Page 7. 1856. Senator Watson. 1861. Senator Raica. 1862. Senator Topinka. 1864. Senator Ralph Dunn. 1867. Senator Mahar. 1869. Senator DeAngelis. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1869, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1869.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd Reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd Reading. Senate Bill 1870. Senator DeAngelis. 1887. Senator Joyce. 1897. Senator Ralph Dunn. 1905. Senator

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Macdonald.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have been through the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, for those who wish to pursue it. Tomorrow, of course, on the Calendar, the appropriation bills will appear, and so we will again be confronted with a pretty heavy work load. So my suggestion is we move immediately to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading. And I would ask -- encourage those in their offices to please join us. We will begin on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading -- final action. On Page 20. That would be Senators Welch, Lechowicz, Jones, Netsch, Lechowicz, Hawkinson, Jones. All right. While we are waiting, we will just move a little paper. Resolutions, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 1027 offered by Senator Karpiel. It is congratulatory.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Consent Calendar. All right. Again, for the benefit of those who are in their offices and at other meetings, we will begin shortly on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, in the middle of Page 20, with Senators Welch, Lechowicz, Lechowicz, Lechowicz, Jones, Netsch, Lechowicz, Hawkinson, Jones. And we will carry through on the Order of 3rd Reading. In the meantime, Senator Zito, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ZITO:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members. I would ask leave of the Body to change appropriate rules and ask for immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 1026. It's a congratulatory resolution for the Roosevelt Middle School, which is receiving the President's Excellence in Education Award tomorrow. We'd like to move this through so they will have it on time for their celebration.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Zito has moved to suspend the appropriate rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Resolution 1026, a congratulatory resolution. All in favor of the Motion to Suspend, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Zito now moves the adoption of Senate Resolution 1026. All in favor, indicate saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. All right. We will, from time to time daily, I suppose, be going to the Order of Recalls, so that those Members who have bills on 3rd Reading that they wish to bring back for amendment, if they would file those with the Secretary, so that a proper list can be prepared, so that all the Members can be informed, it would be very helpful. All right. Committee Reports, and then we'll go to 3rd Reading. Committee Reports, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Hall, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, reports the following bills assigned to committee: Senate Bill 1784 Do Pass, as Amended. Senate Bill 1785 Do Pass, as Amended. Senate Bill 1786, 1787, 1788, 1789, 1790, 1791, 1792, 1793, 1794, 1826, 1827, 1828, 1829, 1830, 1831, 1832, 18 -- pardon me, 1925 and 2061 Do Pass, as Amended. And Senate Bills 1929 and 1930 Do Pass.

Filed by Senator Hall, Chairman of Appropriations II.

Senator Howard Carroll, Chairman of Appropriations I, reports the -- the following bills from committee: Senate Bills 1489, pardon me, that was Senate Bill 1535, Senate Bill 1536, Senate Bill 1537, Senate Bill 1808, 1816, 1821, 1822<sic>(1922), 1832<sic>(1932) and 1833<sic>(1933) Do Pass, and Senate Bills 1783, 1795, 1796, 1797, 1798, 1799, 1800, 1801, 1802, 1803, 1804, 1805, 1806, 1807, 1809, 1810, 1811, 1812, 1813, 1814, 1815, 1817, 1818, 1819, 1820, 1822, 1823, 1824, 1825, 1833, 1923, 1926 Do Not

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

-- pardon me, Do Pass, as Amended.

Filed by Senator Carroll, Chairman of Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. With leave of the Body, we will move to the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading. Middle of Page 20. 1482. Senator Welch. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1482.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT RCOK:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. As of January 1st, 1991, the Emission Inspection and Testing Law will be sunsetted. Illinois faces the choice of either reenacting that legislation or allowing it to expire. If it expires, there will be a penalty of three hundred and fifty million dollars imposed upon four counties transportation programs, by the Federal Government. four counties who will lose the three hundred and fifty million dollars directly for their particular roads are Kane, DuPage, Lake and Cook Counties. What this bill will do is reenact the Vehicle Emission Inspection Law, make it effective through January 1st, 1996. It also adds some area - the rest of Du Page County and the rest of Lake County, together with all of Cook, which is in the current bill. Currently, Du Page and Lake Counties are broken down by zip codes. What this bill will do is extend the law to the county lines, as well as the Cook County line. An amendment to the bill, put on this week, adds a three-part tamper check, that has not been done in the past. What the three-part tamper check is, is that an inspector will check your automobile to make sure that your catalytic converter hasn't been tampered with, to

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

make sure the automobile has a gas cap, and to make sure that your fuel inlet restrictor, the pipe going into your tank, hasn't been removed so that you can put in leaded fuel, as opposed to unleaded fuel. The additions that we have in this bill will bring the Illinois Vehicle Emission Testing Program into compliance with a United States Environmental Protection Agency - State of Wisconsin settlement agreement. We were served by the State of Wisconsin for sending pollution up the lake coast to Wisconsin. The Federal EPA agreed with Wisconsin, and they have forced us to make changes in our law. These changes would put us in compliance and allow the State to not lose the three hundred and fifty million dollars as well as extend this program. I'd be glad to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Any discussion? Discussion? Senator Macdonald.

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Welch, I certainly have agree with you that this State cannot possibly afford to lose the three hundred and fifty million dollars. We discussed in committee very thoroughly. There is another bill out there of Senator Philip's that we think is a more moderate bill. The whole issue of emission testing is highly controversial, and if you have not experienced it in your county - and I quess you have not - I can tell you that there has not been anything that has passed this General Assembly in the last decade, that I can think of, that has raised as much furor as when we passed the emission testing bill. Now, Senator Philip's bill really is limited fairly much to the three elements that you put on as an amendment to your bill, and I think that it would be the better part of wisdom for hopefully, to see if we can get enough compliance with that modest technique, rather than going as far as this particular bill goes. I -- I can just you tell that by expanding this emission testing

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

program into all of Lake and into DuPage, it — it will — will really cause a lot of resistance that we have not heard before, and it will be very difficult for those, not only the legislators, but for the citizens that live in those committees <sic>. We certainly want too. It is necessary for us to clear up the pollution problem, and I — I agree to that, and I don't want to lose the the money. But if we feel — and we have been given to understand that the three elements added of the testing would probably do the job. And so with that in mind, I would just recommend to the General Assembly that, particularly to the Senate Members here, that we take a look at Senator Philip's bill, which is a more moderate bill, and see if that one wouldn't do the job. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator -- Welch. We've had - Senator Welch - we've had a lot of people down in my area, that have asked me the question, "How do cars pollute by zip code?" That is something that is very hard for us to explain. Now I understand that that's still in the bill, right?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

As to the East St. Louis metropolitan area, that would remain the same. Yes. The area that we are changing is subject to a lawsuit in the Cook County, Lake, DuPage County area.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I think that anyone sitting on this Floor will realize what a tough decision that is to make. I am in accord with -- I want to see clean air and all of that, but the big problem we have -- if you live within a neighborhood -- who -- my area is surrounded by -- those people do not have to have their car tested, because they are in a different zip codes. The cars come in there, stay there, many of them work in that area, and all they have to do is give an address outside that area and you don't -- your car doesn't pollute. But you see where the problem is, that I see at the time.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I too, was present at the previous hearing. I think there is something here besides the dollars that we have to look at also, if we are really concerned about air quality. If my memory serves me right — and Senator Welch, you can correct me if I am wrong — I think the year before last there were four days that they were out of compliance, and last year there were twenty—two days that we were out of compliance. And, you know, the —— the question of whether the fact that Senator Philip has another bill that is more moderate —— far as I am concerned, send them both out of here and let them find out which one they like the best. I think it's a good bill, and I think we ought to pass it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Philip. SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

I certainly am aware of the problem. I happen to think that the problem is a problem in the metropolitan Chicago area the collar counties -- all six of it. And to think that you would just pick on DuPage and Lake, and just include all of them and leave out Will, Kane and McHenry, because quite frankly, those people commute to the metropolitan area, and help create bad My other suggestion is -- I am working with the EPA and I hope that I will have this concluded by tomorrow at the least. As know, the newer cars don't flunk the test. There's like 1.3 of the '90 cars flunk. The '89 cars are like 2.1, and the older the cars you get, the more of them flunk. My suggestion is not to the newer cars as often as you test the older cars; it makes some kind of sense. One of the problems in my area is, people don't like to wait in line to get their cars tested, and that is exactly what they are doing. Under my schedule of testing, wouldn't have to test the new cars as often as you do the old cars. I should have that, I hope, tomorrow at the latest. Ι would hope to put it on the -- on my bill, and hopefully will pass it out and -- and I think will solve the problems. So at this point, I am going to have to oppose your bill, Senator. Ι hope that we see some red lights up there.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you -- thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I too rise in opposition here. I attended -- Mr. Sponsor, as you may recall -- we had a meeting in Chicago. And one thing I was impressed with was the fact that we have a range of options. The EPA does not seem to be insisting upon strictly a geographic enlargement here, but rather, they would allow us to choose from a number of options. And we have those either coming with Senator Pate Philip's bill or others. But I think a

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

geographic expansion here is not the way to go, at this point. I think it would impact heavily upon our area - at least the one I represent - and I would encourage a No vote on this.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Welch may close.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. The -- in committee Senator Philip did state that he had an idea to expand the program to other counties. We haven't seen that idea vet, and frankly I think it might be a good idea. I've got no problem with that. the problem is, Senator Hudson just stood up and said his objection to my bill is that we are expanding the area. Philip's objection to my bill is that I am not expanding it far enough. So I can't please both of you folks. It can't be done. the thing to remember here is that we have to pass a bill to reenact the Vehicle Emission Testing Program. If we don't, hundred and fifty million dollars in federal funds is going to withheld because we are in violation of federal attainment It's going to withheld just in those four counties. statutes. Those who were at the hearing in Chicago know that the Department of Environmental Protection said it's going to withheld from Kane, Lake, DuPage, and Cook for specific projects in those areas. may not affect us downstate after all, so it's your own loss this doesn't pass. But the fact that we did have twenty-two days of noncompliance in 1988, and four days last year, indicates that individuals could not leave their home because of air pollution. They had to stay home because of respiratory problems. warnings -- you see them on TV all the time during the summer. And this is something that people need, and want, is clean air. This is one of the few environmental bills that we have out this year. Its one affecting not just the metropolitan area, but it's

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

one affecting downstate as well, around the East St. Louis area. I think that it behooves all of us to be voting for clean air. It behooves all of us to make sure that we keep getting federal money, especially as we cut our own budget by some five hundred million dollars, and I would urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Question is, shall Senate Bill 1482 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 28 Ayes, 24 Nays, one voting Present. Senate Bill 1482, having failed to receive the required constitutional majority, is declared -- sponsor requests that further consideration of Senate Bill 1482 be postponed. Without objection, so ordered. 1484. Senator Lechowicz. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1484.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Lechowicz,

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1484 creates the Illinois Economic Development Board, which is charged with assisting the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs with creating a long-term economic development strategy for the State, designed to spur economic growth, enhance opportunities for Illinois core industries, and encourage new job creation and investment. The board shall be composed of citizens from both the private and public sectors who are actively engaged in organizations and

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

businesses that support economic expansion, industry enhancement and job creation. The board shall recommend to the Governor and Members of the General Assembly, by January 1st, of each year, policies and strategies it deems in the best interest of the State. I don't know of any opposition to this bill, as amended, and I encourage your support.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, Senate Bill 1484, pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1484, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Dunn on the Floor? Senator Lechowicz, I understand 1496 an amendment has been filed. With leave of the Body, will -- Senator Lechowicz moves that Senate Bill 1496 be brought back to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Once the amendment is placed on the bill, of course, it will not be called today. We'll have -- so everybody has an opportunity to read it. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, then, is Senate Bill 1496, Madam Secretary. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senator Dunn offers Amendment No. 2 -- No. 1, pardon me. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment gives the authority to line item veto to the county executive of Will County, which would give the same authority to the Cook County Board President. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1496. Discussion? Senator Keats.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR KEATS:

I am aware that the President of the Cook Board would like this provision. Has the President or the Chief Executive of Will County requested this amendment?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

I spoke to him yesterday, Senator, and he did.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Dunn's moved the adoption of Amendment No.

1 to Senate Bill 1496. Discussion? If not, all in favor, indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment's adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY HAWKER:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

3rd Reading. All right. 1497. Senator Lechowicz. 1498. Senator Jones. Read the bill, Madam Secretary. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1498. Read the bill. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1498.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah. Thank -- thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1498 is a bill intended to clear -- clear up the law we passed a couple of years ago as it relate to salvage vehicles that are totaled. What this language says this bills does is that if a owner wishes to retain that vehicle if it is nine years or older and it has been totaled and has been paid, you

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

know, and the insurance company, by agreement with the owner, can let the owner have the automobile for his own keep. I know of no opposition. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1498 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1489 having -- 1498, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1501. Senator Netsch. 1504. Senator Lechowicz. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1504. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1504.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1504 requires that the County of Cook adopt its annual appropriation budgetary process by December 1st, of 1991. Basically, what we are doing is we are making sure that the appropriation and the fiscal year starts on December the 1st. Current law only requires that Cook County to adopt its annual appropriation bill within the first quarter of each fiscal year. This bill would require Cook County to follow the practice of most units of local government, having the appropriation process start at the fiscal year which would be December the 1st. Now this was an item that was discussed by all the candidates who were running

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

for the President of the Cook County Board in Cook County this past primary, that the appropriation and the fiscal year should run similarly as it does in the State government and other units of local government, and for that reason I put in this bill. It also received the endorsement of both papers in the City of Chicago. I know of no other opposition. I would be more than happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I concur with what Senator Lechowicz said. The wonders of Cook County budgetary process are that somewhere well into the appropriations year, there comes on a Friday afternoon a document, which is called the budget. Amendments are accepted the following Monday, the vote is taken, and the budget is passed. Very little of a process exists. This insures that a budget has to be presented before the year and acted upon.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

A question of the sponsor. This is a excellent idea. Could I amend this to add the State, so that we have to pass a budget before the next fiscal year too, with the same provisions? It would probably be good for everyone we work to -- we work with too, if we required the State to do this. Could we add an amendment like that?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

We already do that.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1504 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1504, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1506. Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

Senate Bill 1506.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1506 is permissive legislation that modifies the Housing Authorities Act. It permits the governing body of a municipality with over one hundred thousand inhabitants and with five hundred thousand or fewer, by resolution, to increase the number of their housing commissioners from five to seven. Introduced it at the request of the Mayor of Peoria. It is permissive, and would allow them to increase the membership on their housing authority boards.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1506 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting's open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1506, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1510. Senator Jones. Top of Page 21. Top of Page 21. 1518. Senator Collins. Read the bill,

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

please, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1518.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate Bill 1518 is a alternative incarceration program designed relieve the prison system in Illinois of some of This is a bill that I introduced last year with overcrowdedness. identical as Senate Bill 623, which was a package alternative citizens bills that I introduced last year to deal with the problems of overcrowding. However, in working with Department last -- year and we were able to get passed the expansion of the prison industry, so that we can create more and job training programs for the inmate. And upon their request, I held this bill on the Floor and in committee and I didn't move However, to my surprise, I saw that the Governor made this his top -- one of his top initiatives for prison reform this year. I'm really delighted to see that he has signed on to this program, but I can assure you it is not a new initiative. I started working on it in 1982 -- in '81 and '82 Session. We've added one of the other bills to the boot camp concept, which does not cost any money. As a matter of fact, it's a diversion center, which is another bill in that package that I had last year. It really saves the State of Illinois money, because what it does, it takes provides for alternative programs for those persons who violates their parole. According to the -- to the Department, two hundred and fifty inmates per month violates their parole and have to go back into the prison system. So I am sure

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

that most of you know what it costs to house a prison, which is about two thousand dollars — I mean twenty thousand dollars a year in that system. Many times when they go back into the system to serve out that time that they have left, they often end up into other infractions which they then begin — and have to serve even longer sentence and we have to pay. So there's a diversion division to this, which allow these people to be in the community, refocusing on rehabilitation, job training and jobs, counseling, so that they can go back into the community as productive citizens, and hopefully we will reduce the prison population by stopping that revolving door which currently exists. I will be happy to answer any questions. If not, I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

WCIA - Channel 3 Television has asked permission to film. Is permission granted? Leave is granted. Discussion? Senator Topinka. Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. With some very fine amending on the part of Senator Collins, she has certainly made this an excellent bill. So I would encourage support on our side.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Collins, you wish to close?

SENATOR COLLINS:

Can we have a roll call? We will save the State a lot of money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1518 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

wish? Take the record, please, Mrs. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. And Senate Bill 1518, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1522. Senator Berman. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1522.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Berman. Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1522 is a permissive bill that would allow school districts in suburban Cook County the option to opt out of the township school trustees system. At the present time, every suburban school district in suburban Cook, only, must handle their monies through the township school trustee system. This is a system that goes back to 1819. It may have made sense then; it doesn't make sense now. There may be good relationships with some of those township school trustees, and therefore this bill is optional, based upon the determination of each local school district. I'd be glad to respond to any questions, and I solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senator Berman, is this the same group of trustees that passes and signs deeds, who would transfer property for the school --school boards?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well then, if you eliminate them, who's going to give title on properties?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

We have -- we have provided that the -- that the school district, which everyone presumes already has title to the school district property, will be the ones that will handle those kinds of matters. And it's logical. It's more efficient, and we want the money to be used for the schools, not for another layer of bureaucracy.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Hello. I'm sorry. Just so that we are clear on it. Then if we eliminate them under your bill, then the school board itself is going to be the final determinator about the sale and exchange of property or lease of property. I have no objection to it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Question of the sponsor.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

As you know, I have supported these in the past. I was told that somewhere - and I can't find it in the bill, and I don't know where it would fit - that somewhere Superintendent Martwick takes possession of the deeds or somewhere in Cook County in the suburbs, this increases Superintendent Martwick's authority. I can't find it - I don't know where they came up with it - but I promised that I would ask you, so that's what I am doing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

That's news to me. That's not the intent. If we find that, we'll correct it. That's not -- to my knowledge, that's not in there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President. I was the only legislator in committee that opposed this bill, and the reason was that there are school treasurers that are doing a great job, and who have done it for many years. And regardless, this work is still going to have to be done by the school district, and I -- I just think to use one instance of where there has been an abuse, to eliminate an area that's been doing a great job, I think is a mistake. And therefore I oppose this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I don't know that this bill is limited by far to just one instance

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

in Senator Berman's district. I have five townships in suburban Cook County, all of whom -- all of which are in support of this bill, because these schools are quite capable of handling their own investments, should they wish to opt out of the system. have requested this over and over again. So from the standpoint of that, they can save money in their opinion, and they would rather put that money into such things as hiring teachers, instead just passing out extraneous money. We would also like to see more investment on the part of our local districts into local banks, because needless to say, these are members of our Chambers of Commerce. They're the ones that provide the home loans and the car loans and other loans for businesses and things of this sort. It's a very, very good bill. It is -- it does provide the option. And I would hope everybody who has all or part of suburban Cook County would help in promoting this bill and would indeed insure its passage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. A point of personal privilege and then to address the bill. But first, a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

State your point.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Seated in the gallery behind us on the left are many of the fine members of the National Council of Jewish Women from my district and other areas of the south suburb -- suburbs. I would like for them to stand and be recognized.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Please stand and be recognized by the Senate. Senator DeAngelis.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

One of them's a supporter of this bill. I rise in opposition to Senate Bill 1522. You know, trying to make this voluntary would be like making Social Security voluntary. It would collapse under its own weight. The intention is well, but the fact is, it cannot work. There are, Senator Berman, many school districts who cannot -- cannot do the work that the township treasurers Yes, there are some schools that can handle currently doing. that, 'cause they have the computer equipment and they have staff to do it. There are many small school districts who do not have the investment clout that, on a combined basis that townships can provide, allow them to maximize their investment return. And speaking of abuse of this particular office, let point out to you that the first and most significant abuse occurred when in Northfield Township, the trustees there decided that they would use the business manager of one of the schools as the treasurer, refusing to hire one, and that little sucker ran off with three hundred and fifty thousand dollars. So let's not talk about the abuses under this system, because without it those abuses were far greater.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. To differ a bit with Senator DeAngelis, I would remind him that all units of local government to cross Cook County have the responsibilities, which Senator Berman is suggesting we give school districts in Cook County, by virtue of Senate Bill 1522. We simply do not take park districts and cities and say, well, smaller cities can do this and larger ones cannot. Smaller districts can do this and larger ones cannot. If you are a bona fide unit of local government in Cook County - or any other county for that matter -

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

you have the financial responsibilities which Senator Berman is suggesting belongs in the hands of the school districts, whose members are elected by their local people. I think this is a very good piece of legislation, because it does use the option. It doesn't force anything on anybody, but it certainly gives them the opportunity to move forward into the twenty-first century with the kind of accounting systems that I think school districts deserve and want. This is a good bill, and we ought to give it an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Berman, to close.

SENATOR BERMAN:

If I might, Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege, before I close.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

State your point.

SENATOR BERMAN:

I brought my own lobbyists down on this bill, and I'd like the Senate to recognize teacher Terry VanBuren, and students from the Nichols Middle School in Evanston. They're in the gallery.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Would you please rise and be recognized by the Senate.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Now these are the children that came down, through their representatives, to testify on behalf of this bill. District 65 and District 202, which are the Evanston School Districts, that testified in Committee that they can save substantial funds if they just sock forty-seven thousand dollars in the elementary schools, if they could opt out of the township school trustee system. This bill does not mandate that good school trustees that are doing a good job are eliminated. It leaves the decision where

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

it ought to be made, in the school boards that are elected by our constituents, just as we are elected by those constituents. This legislation is supported by the Cook County League of Women Voters, the Illinois PTA., the Illinois Association of School Boards, the Illinois Association of School Administrators, LUDA, and — as well as my two districts and other districts throughout suburban Cook County. I solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1522 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 46, Nay 10, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1522, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Top of Page 21. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1545. Senator Woodyard. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1545.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. This bill was brought to me by the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, and what it does is extend the length of time that a taxpayer may file a protest on a notice of deficiency, and applies to the income tax as well as the sales tax, as amended. The Department of Revenue is in agreement with the bill, and I know of no opposition to it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Topinka. Can we have some order, please?

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, a point of personal privilege. If I might direct your attention to the back gallery and on the left, forty students from St. Odilo School in Berwyn, under the direction of their teacher, Mrs. Stanek. And if we could have a big welcome to them here as they come and visit us in the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Please rise and be recognized by the Senate, please. Discussion? Discussion? If not, Senator Woodyard. All right. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1545 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays, none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1545, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1548. Senator Karpiel. Senator Karpiel. Senate Bill 1548. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1548.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Roller Skating Safety Act is created to provide that each person who participates in roller skating accepts the inherent danger in such activity. The bill spells out responsibilities of both the skating -- the skate -- the skating rink operator as well as the skater. This bill is patterned after the Michigan Ski Area Safety Act, and they also

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

passed a bill identical to this in the State of Michigan. The reason for the bill is because of the very expensive and almost nonexistent liability insurance for roller skating rinks. In 1984, when we had that big problem with liability insurance and the availability of insurance, many -- many rinks closed in this State. In fact, only forty-four in the State of Illinois have survived. This is a bill to say what their responsibilities are as owners, and what the responsibilities of skaters are. And I ask for your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Sponsor indicates she'll yield.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Senator, are we setting up the safety standards, or are we allowing the association to set its own safety standards.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

In this bill, it -- it -- it -- the standards are set by the association, which is a problem, very frankly, that I think should be taken care of if this bill is going to go much further. But was not brought up in committee, and was passed out of committee, I believe, unanimously. But if -- but yes, that's what we are doing, and that's -- in this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

I think the bill is well-intentioned, but I -- I -- I question

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

whether we ought to be setting up an immunity and then letting an association set the standards without us having to -- to see them at all. I'm wondering if we might hold this bill for a day or two and -- and look at a possible amendment. I think to -- you know -- to let them set standards, we have no idea what they might be and then to say that one of our kids is injured in one of these places, that they have no recourse, because we didn't even look at the standards before we passed it, raises a potential problem. And I think we ought to at least look at what the standards might be before we pass the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

I have no problem with that. But I do have the standards here. These are small versions of these huge posters that they post in the rinks, that -- that delineate the responsibilities of both the owners and the skaters. I'd be glad to let you see them, but I'd also be glad to hold the bill if we can get back to it, so that something could be worked out on this matter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

She indicated she will take the bill out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Oh, I'm sorry. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1550. Senator Keats. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1550.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Keats.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill was requested by some amateur radio operators. It allows them to use one-sided headset receivers while operating a motor vehicle. I suggest one-sided headsets, not two. The State Police are neutral. This would allow them -- they could still hear what was going on around them, but would allow them to function as amateur radio operations -- operators, who have some safety provisions involved too. The radio -- so the State Police know who is eligible for this, they must have an amateur radio operator license plate, so their -- signifies whose they are.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1550 pass. Those in favor will signify -- I mean will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are 4, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1550, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1567. Senator Maitland. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1567.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you very much, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1567 does amend the Revenue Act to limit to Cook County the new requirement that property tax bills contain the itemized listing of pension costs for each taxing districts.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

There was a great deal of concern about this legislation by the treasurers a year ago. There are two or three counties that are in court now over this. Two or three others simply did not do it. I just questioned whether or not it was necessary, and therefore introduced the legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think people should be aware of what the bill is. I could barely hear Senator Maitland mumbling over on this side of the aisle, which I'm sure was not your fault, Senator Maitland. The -- this relates to an issue that was little bit controversial - at least as far as we in the Senate were concerned. The House kept insisting on putting on to putting into law a requirement that tax bills break out of the pension costs for every unit of local government, and pension fund. And the problem is that it was fairly expensive, which we understood, although in principle a very good idea. got put into a conference committee report at the last minute, after the Governor had initially vetoed it amendatorily last fall, so it became law and became effective. Senator Maitland's bill would eliminate that responsibility from every county in the State except Cook County. The requirement would still be there with respect to Cook County, but all other counties would not have to break out that pension cost. My guess is you are not going to have much success when this gets over to the other House, in any event, Senator Maitland. But I think what you have done here is perfectly defensible. I would suggest that you go back to the county treasurers though, and ask them if they've got any ideas about how we could get this information, but not in such a costly form. Because I think it is extremely revelant information.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Further discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I too concur with this bill. I think that -- well, our county was one of those that brought suit. The Judge ruled in the counties' behalf, and in this case I think the judge had a lot more going for him than what we do sometimes. And I ask for an Aye vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, Senator Maitland, to close.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, thank you very much, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. I will -- I see now my microphone is turned on a little bit louder. I hope, Senator, you can understand what I am saying. I didn't mean to be mumbling, and I would appreciate your support. Thank you very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Question is, shall Senate Bill 1567 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 2, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1567, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading, in the middle of Page 21, is Senate Bill 1568. Senator Schuneman. Senator Davidson is handling this for Senator Schuneman. Senator Davidson. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1568.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate. All this bill does after it was amended, is that this bill removes the age to discount — or discrimination in regard to life insurance policies for State employees. And those who are not covered by collective bargaining will have this same — I mean the same regard on this as on the collective bargaining, 'cause CMS has already signed an agreement with those unions which represent State employees — that this age discrimination is removed. I'd ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in opposition to the bill for two very important reasons, I believe. As to the part that Senator Davidson spoke to, which is only half the bill -- not only do I agree, but it's my understanding that the Department is going to implement that which Senator Davidson is suggesting, and that is that beginning the first of July they would be providing the same coverage to AFSCME Second part is what bothers me, and that is and non-AFSCME. increasing the cost of insurance to the State, to the taxpayers, for a new and increased benefit. I -- we have. committees, tried to demonstrate that there just isn't money to do new or expanding programs. We wish there was. There isn't. Τf there were, I think there are a lot of areas of import on where to This would not affect ours. This would be an spend the money. expanding program for State employees at a cost of a couple million dollars we just do not have at this time. And I don't think we should take the policy of passing programs that we cannot afford.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

END OF TAPE

TAPE 3

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR JACOBS:

So we can clarify this a little bit, Doc, if at all possible. Is the two million dollars already in the collective bargaining arrangement, or is the two million dollars above and beyond the collective bargaining arrangement?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

It's outside the agreement, as I understand it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Well, I think there's merit to the bill. I do have some similar problems that -- that Senator Carroll has. When we are in a tight year, we're not -- we're not funding budgets. We're not -- I mean - pensions. We're not funding education. We're not funding these others. It's really a tough shot for us, 'cause I agree with the premise. I just don't know if the dollars are correct at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Further discussion? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator Davidson, I understand what you're trying to do here, but I would really like to see us hold the insurance bills dealing with our insurance until -- a lot of questions need to be answered and -- and problems need to be cleared up as it relates to the overall -- I know this particular amendment is not dealing with some of those concerns that was raised in the Appropriations Committee, but I think we need to take a good look at all -- at our insurance, including the carriers, this year before we move on any insurance -- as it relates to State employees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, Senator Davidson, to close.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. I urge you all to have a favorable vote. This was Senator Schuneman's bill. What this does -- it does two things. It removes the age discrimination, which is going on now if this doesn't pass. the unions have already got this collective bargaining agreement with CMS and it starts July 1st, those people who not members of the union should have the same opportunity as the rest of the State employees. There shouldn't be any difference between the two. I think this is a good bill, and we've found other reasons that -- literally, it's probably two million dollars. But if we can't find two million dollars out of the CMS budget to fund this, something's wrong with the sharpies that I know that are on the Floor -- ability to find money in the past whenever they had some project. This is a good bill. It removes the age discrimination. Removes any discrimination between those who are members and nonmembers of a union, and I'd appreciate a favorable vote.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

All right. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1568 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 41, the Nays are 5, 5 voting Present. Senate Bill 1568, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading in the —— middle of Page 21 is Senate Bill 1569. Senator Davidson, are you going to handle that bill?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1569.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Rea, for what purpose do you seek recognition? SENATOR REA:

Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

State your point.

SENATOR REA:

I would like to introduce, in the President's Gallery, a group from the Christopher High School, which is from my hometown. I want to welcome them to Springfield. Would you please stand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Would you please stand and be recognized by the Senate. Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, this is a bill which Senator Schuneman and I jointly sponsored. What it does, it raises the life insurance coverage for annuitants aged sixty or older to five thousand dollars from two thousand dollars. It has

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

not been raised since 1970 or '72. As most of you know, since only one funeral director is still with us today, the cost of a funeral runs more that two thousand dollars in most instances. This will then raise that to five thousand dollars, and we'd appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senator Davidson, I think a few minutes ago Senator collins asked you to take a bill out of the record, and I think you got one of the two and this one doesn't comply with anything in regards to the -- the contract language. It's not removing any barriers. It is a -- a right -- outright increase, and as much as I agree with your premise, that to go from two to five is probably justified, this bill is going to cost us 3.5 million dollars and I don't know if that's something that -- that we can come up with at this time. I would like to see you hold this bill and discuss it at a later date with maybe other pension changes that may be available.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Senator Jacobs, it's not my bill. This is Senator Schuneman's bill, which I co-sponsored with him. Due to he being unable in attendance, he asked me to go forward with this bill, and that's what I'm going to do with it. I appreciate your requirement, but this has nothing to do with the other insurances which Senator Collins asked about. This is trying to raise or correct an inequity that exists that hasn't been changed since 1971, and I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Jacobs, for a second time.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR JACOBS:

No. Then to the bill, if I might. I guess, you know, Senator Rock made -- made an impassioned plea the other day on a constitutional amendment -- that he said, "You know, really the -- the obligation of -- of being accountable starts on the expense side of the voucher." I think it's time to be accountable. I say we vote No on this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Davidson, you wish to close? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Just ask for a favorable roll call. All we're trying to do is get some equitability across the board. There's been no change in this in nineteen years, and I think it's time we correct this inequity from the two thousand and raise the limit to five thousand and those if they want to purchase for someone who's a noncontributory state -- they have to pay that premium themselves. I think this is a good bill. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

All right. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1569 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 13, 8 voting Present. Senate Bill 1569, having failed to receive the constitutional majority, is declared failed. Senator Davidson asks for Postponed Consideration on Senate Bill 1569. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1572. Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1572.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate, in -- I quess on June 15th, 1887, we enacted a law prohibiting minors from purchasing I don't think anybody, with the exception of Senator Weaver, was here when that law was passed. But however, at that point, we put a clause in there saying that they couldn't buy tobacco unless they had a written order from the minor's parents or guardian. That particular provision has caused a great deal of problem for retail merchants, and this bill is -- I put this bill in at the request of the retail merchants, who find themselves in an untenable position when someone allegedly produces a note from a parent of garden -- guardian. They honestly -- it's not a notarized document and it -- they really don't know whether it's valid or not, and they have to make a decision. What this bill simply does is say that minors can't buy tobacco products, even if they have a note from their parent - allegedly. I'm unaware of any opposition. I think the basic prohibition makes sense, would appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator, I was just curious - how many cigarette machines are there in -- in Illinois? Do you know the answer to that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Two million seven hundred and thirty-eight thousand six

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

hundred twenty-three, and none of them know how to read a written note from your parents.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

That was pretty good, Jack. I -- I enjoyed that. Sorry. What -- what -- first of all, what is a minor, and what happens if a minor goes up to this machine and he puts money in this machine and he gets a package of cigarettes or cigars or whatever is in the machine...(machine cutoff)...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Schaffer. I'm sorry.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, it's my understanding that the individual controlling the machine - if the machine is in a retail establishment or store - the -- the owner is currently obligated to prevent minors from buying cigarettes. Now, obviously we are all aware of cigarette machines that are placed in lobbies of hotels and obviously not very closely regulated, but as I understand the law - and this bill wouldn't affect that one way or the other - that the people that operate those cigarette machines are not supposed to let minors purchase cigarettes. But this bill does not address that situation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, we have a cigarette machine in this building. Are you telling me that Jim Edgar, who's the custodian of this building, is going to be responsible for making sure that none of the minors buy cigarettes?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Schaffer.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

It's my understanding that the Attorney General handles the prosecutions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I -- I don't -- I don't quarrel with what you're attempting to do or the -- or the notion. It just seems to me it's unenforceable. Obviously it must be a terrific bill; it came out of Executive 17 to nothing. But it seems to me that -- let me ask you - what is the -- what's the offense? I mean, what's the -- what's the penalty for the violation, and how old do you have to be in order to be classified under your bill as a minor? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I assume the legal definition is the one -- when one achieves a majority of twenty-one. I believe that's the current standard in the State. I don't like to -- is it eighteen for this purpose? I see a lot of knowledgeable people with more legal expertise that I...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion?

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Pardon me. To dispense booze, it's twenty-one, but eighteen for cigarettes. A lot of people seem to be very knowledgeable on that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

You seem not to know really too much about this bill. I was wondering, maybe you ought to take this thing out of the record

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

and allow us the opportunity to -- to look at it and maybe help you a little bit with this. I know this is your biggie for the Session, but I think -- sure would like to help you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer, you wish to close? SENATOR SCHAFFER:

If I could. Senator Demuzio is obviously correct that the —
the vending machines are pretty hard to regulate. Again, I would
remind you, the bill was put in at the request of the Retail
Merchants, who are having a hard time when presented with a note
— to determine whether, in fact, it's a legitimate note or was,
you know, just written on the back of a school paper on their way
to the — past the local drug store. It is very difficult for the
Retail Merchants, and they would prefer not to be put in that
position. And I don't think we're going to pass a law that says
the parents have to bring a notarized statement in to buy a pack
of cigarettes — or the child will. And I think it's a — it's a
necessary change. It might have made sense in the 1870s, but it
doesn't make sense today, and I'd appreciate a favorable roll
call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

All right. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1572 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 45, the Nays are 4, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1577, <sic> having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1577. Senator Fawell. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1577.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you very much. This basically does what the Calendar says it does. It requires DCFS to inform the State Police within twenty-four hours to -- or the local police within twenty-four hours if a child has a serious physical or sexual abuse. And it also requires that that same worker puts the same report in writing and submits it to the police within forty-eight hours. This is an agreed -- an amendment that was placed on this bill with DCFS, and it's to correct a situation that happened in Senator Dunn's district, where a child was sexually abused and it was not reported to the police, and I have the grandfather.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Sponsor indicates she'll yield.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Senator, I'm in agreement with your bill. I just have a question about it. If -- if a -- a case is determined to be a unfounded case, so that there's no -- no abuse or neglect, I would assume that such cases need not be reported and would remain confidential. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

It -- it really doesn't have anything to do with -- with that

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

type of thing. What we're trying to get at is the fact that these cases are not being reported to the police. The police cannot get in and investigate so that — to find out if a crime has been committed. We don't want to lose evidence, and that's what happened in that particular case. It was not reported for eight days after the — after the victim — a three-year-old child — was sexually abused.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Not in the sexual abuse area, but in the physical abuse area - if -- if there's truly an accident, and it's determined right up front by DCFS that there's no -- no crime or no abuse, those kinds of reports are not forwarded to State Police, are they -- or become in the public domain?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

No. No. That's not what we're talking about.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Fawell, you wish to close?

SENATOR FAWELL:

Just for -- ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1577 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1577, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1578.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senator Davidson. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1578.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is a bill which would raise the limit on the mine subsidence insurance bill. is sponsored by myself and a number of Members that are on the all who have counties in their district which are jeopardized with property on mine subsidence. What this does -present limits are a hundred thousand dollars -- does not cover the cost, as most of you are aware due to the publicity -- fifteen houses just to the immediate west of the Capitol here during the past winter have all suffered damage. All of them exceed a hundred thousand dollars. The person, if they want to go over a hundred thousand dollars, it's their option, and for every ten thousand dollars they raise the coverage it will be a increase of And a cap of three hundred and one dollar in their premium. fifty thousand dollars is a recommendation from the Department Insurance from actuary figures is that you only allow maximum ten percent of what your reserve is. Reserve in this fund is three and a half million dollars. Therefore, the limit would be three hundred and fifty thousand dollars. I know of no opposition to this bill. Appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I just rise to support Senator Davidson's bill. It does, in fact, increase the limits from a hundred to three hundred and

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

fifty thousand. It's long overdue and ought to be passed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Further discussion? Senator Davidson, you wish to close? SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Do pass.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Question is, shall Senate Bill 1578 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1578, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1580. Senator Donahue. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1580.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1580 does exactly what it says in the Calendar, and it takes the liability away from the directors of -- and officers and employees of Soil and Water Conservation Districts. I know of no opposition to this legislation, and would hope we could have a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1580 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record,

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present and Senate Bill 1580, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Bottom of Page 21 is Senate Bill 1593, on the Order of 3rd Reading. Senator Smith. Senator Smith. Bottom — top of Page 21. 22. I'm sorry. Top of Page 22. Senate Bill 1613. On the Order of 3rd Reading. Senator Daley. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1613.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator Daley.

SENATOR DALEY:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1613 would amend the Criminal Code and would provide that the penalty for reckless homicide while driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs shall be a Class 2 felony. I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1613 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, please, Mr. Secretary. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1613, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. On the Order of 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1614. Senator Daley. Out of the record. On the order of -- 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1619. Senator O'Daniel. Read the bill, please, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 1619.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR LUFT)

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1619 merely requires existing hotels and motels to be equipped with either a battery-operated or electrically operated smoke detector. That's the way the law is now, and the fire marshal had indicated that they wanted all of them, regardless whether they were new constructed motels or not. And all this is really doing is asking the fire marshal not to implement the rule and leave them as is —the already existing hotels and motels.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1619 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, Vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1619, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Geo-Karis. 1623. 1-6-2-3. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1623.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill amends the Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities Code

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

to extend the initial period of involuntary admission to a mental health facility, for those found at a court hearing to be a threat to themselves or others, from sixty days to a hundred and eighty days. This bill arose from an incident that happened in my county. A gentleman killed his little stepbrother with a hatchet and then he's been following stars all over the country. He's chased Olivia Newton-John in Australia twice, and I've had calls from all over the country for extending the time where these people who are mentally disturbed and have vicious propensities to be kept in longer for screening for the safety of others. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1629 <sic> pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? I'm sorry. Senate Bill 1623. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill -- 1623, having received the constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1630. On Page 22. Senate Bill 1630. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

No. Wait a minute. Are you proceeding, Senator Geo-Karis? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1630.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this bill was amended to apply strictly to Lake County, and all I'm asking is that before the forest preserve district decides to condemn and take people's property forceably, that they get a resolution passed by the county board of two-thirds vote. And I ask for favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate — any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 1630 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 38 Ayes, 10 Nays, 2 voting Present, and Senate Bill 1630, having received the constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1635. Senator Karpiel, for what purpose do you arise?

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise on a point of personal

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

What's your point?

SENATOR KARPIEL:

SENATOR KARPIEL:

privilege.

I'd like to introduce a group from the Council of Catholic Women of the Joliet Diocese. They're up in the -- behind the Democrat side of the -- of the hall, and I'd like to have the Senate welcome them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Would our guests please stand and be recognized by the Senate. Senate Bill 1635. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 1635.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Current Statutes makes it permissive for townships to organize planning commissions if they have populations of twelve thousands or more. What this bill would do would be to reduce that population threshold to establish such a commission to one thousand. I would be very happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any questions? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will sponsor yield for a question?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

This is permissive legislation, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes, Senator. That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

And does it in any way affect the tax rate or the taxing authority?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

No, Senator. There has nothing at all to do with tax rates. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1636 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1635, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1636. Senator Etheredge. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1636.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This legislation simply makes it possible for municipalities to enter into preannexation agreements with property owners that are outside the municipal boundaries, but within the mile and a half planning zone. I would be happy to respond to any questions. If there are none, I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any question -- any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1636 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 Ayes, 3 Nays, 1 voting Present, and Senate Bill 1636, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1638. Senator

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Jacobs. Senator Jacobs. How about 39? 1642. Senator Dunn. Senator Dunn. 1642. Ralph Dunn. Read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1642.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR R. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1642 does just what the digest said. It creates a Columbia Civic Center Authority. I'd urge...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1742 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, 2 Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1642, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1643. Senator Donahue. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1643.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

Again, this bill does basically what it says on the Calendar.

Under the law today - under a court-ordered situation - a juvenile who is asked to do certain work -- those individuals are not

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

liable for court -- or -- the liability if something were to happen through a station adjustment. We're simply asking that to be extended to that situation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall 1643 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1643, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1658. Senator Hudson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1658.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1658 is designed to address the escalating cost of health insurance for self-employed businesses in Illinois. would amend the illinois Income Tax to provide for a hundred percent State tax deduction for health insurance cost for businesses. This award -- this would help level the playing field and bring them to a parity with corporate forms of business, which can now deduct a hundred percent of their health care costs. Illinois has about 1.5 million people without health insurance. they become ill, they still receive services. These must be paid for by others, resulting in a cost shift where unpaid services are tacked on to health bills of those who can pay, estimated in Illinois at approximately six hundred dollars. Expansion of the current tax incentive to a hundred percent should result in more owners and employees having health

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

insurance. Such a measure should be an encouragement to Illinois business, stimulate production, increase employment, and in so doing, more than offset any minimal loss of revenue to the State. So I - Ladies and Gentlemen - would urge your consideration and support of this Senate measure.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to Senate Bill The objective that the sponsor wishes to reach, I suspect is a perfectly defensible one. That is there is no question that there are rising health insurance costs, and that it is a problem for anyone who is an employer. But I would strongly suggest you that at the very moment in time that the Senate committees are reducing substantially the amount available even from the -- from the Governor's budget request for essential services to the public, for us to enact another tax exemption on the income tax is exactly the wrong thing to do at exactly the wrong time. isn't any terribly good information about what this would cost. I believe that the Chamber of Commerce, who proposed the indicated that the cost might be six to eight million dollars. However, there -- I have no basis for that estimate, by the The only estimate I have is one that was a formula estimate prepared by the -- by the Economic and Fiscal Commission, which admittedly is a formula estimate. That is, they can only take the known number of self-employed and do some extrapolation from that and it is conceivable that it could cost as much as fifty-five million dollars a year, based on a three percent and 4.8 percent income tax rate. That may be a somewhat high figure. concede that. The point is that there is a very high cost on this exemption, and whether or not it reflects a concern for employers for the rising cost of health insurance, we

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

ought not to be further eroding the State tax base at a time when we are indeed starving some of our essential services. For that reason, I rise in strong opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise to reiterate essentially what Senator Netsch said. Senator Hudson, I'm not so sure it's a bad idea, but the fact of the matter is - based on what information we have in the file - there's no way to -- to come close to a true revenue estimate. What's the impact? What's it going to cost us? And I don't think it's quite fair, particularly when our appropriations people have been making every effort to cut four to five hundred million dollars out of this budget, to all of a sudden come up with a proposal that has a fiscal impact, the extent of which we simply don't know. I -- I don't think this is a very good idea at this point, and as well-intended as it might be, I would suggest that this is not the opportune time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

All right. Senator Hudson -- Senator Hudson, to close. SENATOR HUDSON:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. Try to be brief. I think it's a good idea in the sense that granted, we do not have -- we are not able to put our finger on the exact cost involved. The Chamber comes up with six or seven million, has been stated. The Revenue Department cannot give me any idea of what it -- what it may cost. I suspect the truth is somewhere between the higher figures that have been mentioned and the lower figures that have been mentioned. But it would seem to me that it would argue sensibly that if we can do something, almost anything in Illinois to -- we -- we -- while we're talking about increasing the

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

business climate and the conditions -- business conditions in the State of Illinois, that whatever we might -- things -- steps that we might take to enhance those businesses to encourage those to stay in Illinois that are here, or to encourage others to come in that may not be here yet, would not detract from, but would arguably, hopefully, enhance our tax base and our revenue base in the long run. Taking the long view. So I think -- I think it's an idea that has merit, and would encourage your -- would encourage your Aye vote on this measure.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1658 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 28 voting Aye, 21 voting No, 1 voting Present, and Senate Bill 1658, having not received the constitutional majority, is hereby declared failed. Senate Bill -- request Postponed Consideration. Senator Hudson, you don't request it or you do request it? It's not a big deal. You do?

SENATOR HUDSON:

I didn't get it. What'd you say, John? No. No. Let it go. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Let it go. Okay. Senate Bill 1660. Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1660.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

It's the 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This addresses the requirement for

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

recertification of administrators that we passed in the 1985 School Reform Legislation. The State Board of Education was imposed upon with substantial recertification steps that deal with every school administrator in the State of Illinois. The State Board came to us, and Senator Maitland, Senator Kustra, myself and others sat down and took a second look at this process and determined that what we had done in '85 really didn't make much sense, and this bill corrects those requirements regarding recertification of school administrators. Puts the burden. instead of at the State level with the State Board, back to the local level, and instead of a lot of paper shuffling, bases it essentially on professional education -- continuing professional education for all our school administrators. It maintains same goals that we had in 1985, but the method of achieving them is substantially more realistic. Be glad to respond to any questions, and I solicit your Ave vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1660 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1660, having received the required required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1663. Senator Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1663.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Thank you, Mr. President. 1663 provides that if an elected official submits a resignation effective at a future date, the resigning officer may hold such office until the date specified in the resignation. It's a very simple bill that allows an elected official to resign and set up a vacancy in the office which may be filled maybe at the next election. If there's any questions I'll...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

I'm sorry. Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1663 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1663, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1668. Senator Rigney. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1668.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney. ... (machine cutoff)... Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

No, I'm not on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

You're on.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

The subject here is multi-township assessment districts. Several years ago we decided that small rural townships were just simply too small to be viable assessment units. So what we did was to provide for multi-township districts. We did not create, at that time, an administrative board to handle these. We simply

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

said that the town boards of the respective townships would be the administrative unit. Now in most cases, two townships combined, but I talked to a multi-township assessor this last year where three townships had combined, and what it meant was that the town boards of those three townships - and then now we're talking about fifteen people - get together once or twice a year and sit around the table and drink a little coffee and approve the budget for the multi-township assessor. I don't think we need a board of fifteen people to provide that type of oversight authority. So this legislation says that the township supervisors and the clerks will make uр the administrative board multi-districts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1668 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1668, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1674. Senator Rea. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1674.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1674 amends the School Code in regards to the renewal of fees for certificates, exempts a certificate holders of twenty years or more from payment of renewal fees for that certification. I would move for its

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

approval.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1674 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1674, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1676. Senator Berman. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1676.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

It's the 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill was requested by ED-RED, and what it does is takes language that we passed last year and moves it from one Section of the Code to another. It -- it clarifies the intent that we had originally, and follows through with that same intent. Be glad to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any questions? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1676 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1676, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1689. Senator Savickas. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 1689.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill Ves. 1689 was amended yesterday, and now the amendment is a new bill. It -- what it -- would allow the Illinois Credit Union to act -to allow credit unions to communicate with an employer concerning delinquent payment. The amendment would also allow credit unions to file their report of directors, committee members and officers within thirty days of their election or appointment, instead of the current fifteen days. Would assist credit unions and their member -- owners in the collection of delinquent payments by making arrangements with -- to satisfy the delinquent debts. extension of time for filing the report of directors is basically put in because at times they need that fifteen days. If they meet technically on the fifteenth day, they do not have time to submit those names so they -- the other fifteen days would allow them that time. It's a bill that was requested by Illinois Credit Union League, and I would appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1689 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1689, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1700. Senator Rea. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 1700.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1700 creates the Cave in Rock Civic Center Authority. It creates one that is a size of a township geographically, and is very much the same as other civic center authority bills that have been passed out of this General Assembly. I would move for adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

How big is the township of Cave in Rock?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

We are actually going to make history today. Because, first of all, let me tell you, it's about as far south as you can go without being in the State of Kentucky. But it's a great tourist attraction area, with a great State Park there, and recreational and tourism facilities. And the town itself is population of four hundred where they have an opera house that they want to convert into a tourist attraction, and you will want to come there during the summer and stay at the cabins at Cave in Rock State Park and visit this great facility once it's completed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

This also has a power of eminent domain. If they already have an opera house, who are they going to eminently domain?

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

There's no eminent domain. We took it out. It was not needed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator -- Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this bill. You know, I - if nothing else, right west of there about a mile and a half I have a farm and have a RV camp down there, and we've got all kind of recreation, and also in Cave in Rock - that's where Mike Fink used to hang out, you know. It is a small community, but it's -- it's really getting to be a tourist attraction. In fact, I think the Governor goes down there quite often. Anyway, if you don't like Cave in Rock, you can come down west a mile and a half and we'll entertain you down there.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

All right. Any -- Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

I rise in support of this. It's right on the Ohio River. You converge with the Mississippi River and think of all the people on the other side in Kentucky, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1700 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present, and -- and Senate Bill 1700, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1702. Senator Rea. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 1702.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill, Senate Bill 1702, is intended to protect the innocent land owners from trash that is not legally dumped on their land without the owner's consent. This — an illegal dumper of refuge shall be liable for the reasonable cost of cleanup and attorney fees to the owner or the person in possession of the real property on which the dumping has taken place. This will be...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Senator, I think this is a great idea. But I have just one question of clarification. I hear from a lot of my counties that when you have this type of dumping you've got — in order to get law enforcement to do anything, you have to see the act being done. Is there anything in this that can give us some teeth for, you know, if you see a bag and it's got somebody's name in it or a letter — is that proof that it's been illegally dumped?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

In a -- in a civil case, it's much easier to prove than it would be in a criminal case, which would be the case with this

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1702 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present, and Senate Bill 1702, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1711. Senator Macdonald. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1711.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. This bill is an initiative from the Citizens Council on Women, and all it does is just asks the Department of Insurance to guide small businesses and others who want to know about accident and health group insurance. The Employee Benefit Research Institute has told our council that approximately 1.5 million non-elderly Illinoisans are without private health insurance, and approximately a third of those are children. So we just think that this is a good way to go. We are not unanimous, certainly, in mandatory insurance, but we do think that the Department could be of help to those small companies in providing and guiding them with their choice for insurance coverage. So I ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Is -- does this have anything to do with our ...(inaudible)...
assistance to State employees? Does that have anything to do with
our insurance -- expansion of our insurances coverages?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

No, Senator Collins. This -- this is just -- this is just asking that the Department help those companies that come to them who would like guidance on insurance programs.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any further discussion? If not, the question is -- oh, I'm sorry. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

This -- this guidance does not include turning over employees' records to that insurance company. Right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

This is for private insurance, not -- has nothing to do with our -- with our State Employee Insurance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator -- okay. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1711 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1711, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1716. Senator Rigney. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1716.

[Secretary reads title of bill)

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Smith, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SMITH:

I just want to say -- Mr. President, the bill that just passed -- I was slow with my button and to...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

All right. Let the record so reflect, you would have voted Aye. Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, in recent years on -- in fact, on fifty-seven separate occasions, we have created what we call around backdoor referendums, whereby money is levied, bonds are issued and so forth, with a backdoor procedure whereby the citizens, they don't like what has been done by their governmental unit, then file a petition. The problem is as I look over fifty-seven separate Acts, we note that there's great disparity in terms of the sign-up period on the petitions, and also the number of signers. In fact, they vary all the way from one percent up to twenty percent in signers, and from ten days up to sixty days for circulating the petitions. What this legislation calls for is very simple. In all fifty-seven of those cases now, they would have -- they would be required to get five percent of the signatures in their district, and they would have thirty days for the petition. I think this is legislation that's badly needed to standardize what's going on out there with backdoor referendums. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I also support the bill. It seems to me that Senator Rigney has done a good job of pulling them together. It should be noted that for some of the local

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

government units that are covered, there will be an increase in the number of days that are allowed for filing the petition and an increase in the number of signatures required, and for others there will be a decrease. But I think the important thing is that at least everybody is going to be on exactly the same level and that should balance out in the end.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1716 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, 1 voting Present, and Senate Bill 1716, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1738. Senator Rigney. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1738.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, many here will not be familiar with coterminous townships and cities, because I think there's only about nineteen or twenty of these things that have been created in the State of Illinois. My hometown happens to be one of the coterminous cities and townships. What it means is that when the City of Freeport and some others, annexed territory, immediately that annexed territory also goes in to the — to the city township. And of course, that creates a lot of ill will out in the rural townships, because that assessed valuation is taken away from them at this time. Now here a couple of years ago we provided a referendum

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

procedure whereby the rural township would be able to challenge this. My own home city has looked at this and said, "Well, gee, we don't need to go to the referendum procedure. In the first place, we're not particularly anxious to bring them into the township, even though we may want them within our city." So this says that the two townships by intergovernmental agreement can determine that the land will be annexed into the city, but remain in the rural township.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Senator, once that happens, will you then no longer have a coterminous township?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

I assume the net effect of that would be yes. Obviously, those lines would be broken. Just as they would be broken now if the city attempts to take more that one percent of their valuation and gets challenged by the rural township to an election. And assuming then that the election is — fails then, that land would also remain in the rural township. This just merely expedites what's already on the books.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

I don't have any quarrel with that part of it, but I'm wondering -- coterminous townships and cities have some unique

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

functions. The city council sits as the town board, et cetera, et cetera. Once this happens, will those other functions then be destroyed and you'll have to elect separate township officials and do away with all the other things that the coterminous city townships can now do.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Riqney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Senator Hawkinson, I do not see this as a problem, because we are not affecting, in any way, any of the land that has been taken in in years gone by. So the coterminous township will be there—albeit maybe just kind of in name only. Because obviously, as they annex out into these rural areas, I think they very definitely will be challenged. So I see that we are changing nothing as far as the administrative structure of that local coterminous township. We're merely talking about what happens from this day forward, and we assume that by agreement it will remain in the rural township.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

He indicates he will yield.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Senator Rigney, normally whenever you annex property into a city, then the city, of course, takes over the responsibility of the roads - motor fuel tax comes to the road -- to the city at that time. If you annex them to the city, but yet they stay in the other township, are you going to get dual motor fuel tax revenues? Who's going to get the motor fuel tax revenue, and who

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

then has the responsibility for the roads?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

I would assume, Senator Jacobs - I've observed this with these coterminous annexations in years gone by - the city will probably take over the -- the road maintenance function. I don't think there's much doubt about that; that's the way it's done right now. In terms of the money, we're talking about two different pools of money here, in that the city receives money on a different basis than does the township, so it will not make any bigger drain upon monies as far as what flows from the State, because the money is already flowing from the State in behalf of the township road system.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Normally, if you have a township that -- you don't get the duplication in many cases. I know in many cases the city -- the township may be down to six miles of road, and then all of a sudden as the city endorses that in -- or annexes that property in, those roads come to the city and eventually the -- the other entity is phased out. And I just have some concerns with how this works, and maybe it's -- it's -- maybe it's my ignorance on this, 'cause the coterminous municipality and townships I'm not that familiar with, but this would be done with -- by intergovernmental agreement, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

I would presume that by intergovernmental agreement this could, you know, determine such things as who's going to take care

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

of one particular road. And you know, that responsibilities might be divided differently in various townships.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

In Cook County we have a series of townships that are coterminous with villages as -- or cities - as example, Evanston - there is unincorporated land right next to Evanston that frankly is in Niles Township, and if they wanted to join Evanston, you would -- you would have actually land from other township. Does this apply to Cook County, 'cause this could be a real winner in parts of Cook County. We have a series of townships that are coterminous with the villages.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Well, I would think you'd have even a bigger bear by the tail every time one of those cities goes out into one of these other townships and take that valuation away from those townships. So I -- you know, there -- there are not a lot of those, Roger, because Statewide there's only about nineteen or twenty.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

But, see I was going to say in suburban Cook, we have a whole series of villages and towns that cross township lines, but in terms of the coterminous, there's - let's see, there's Evanston, there's Cicero, there's Berwyn or there's Oak Park. There's a series of them. Riverside -- and you've got unincorporated areas next to them that are different townships. See, if it applies to Cook, you've got a real problem. That's something we should check. The second question is, what happens to the intent of the

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

landowner? You know, we've got the two governmental bodies making decision, where's the intent of the landowner in this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Well, the landowner has no roll in this thing at the present time, the way coterminous works. Up until now from the, you know, from the time this thing went into effect, the annexation -- once annexation was completed, the annexation always took place to the coterminous township. So the landowner had no say whatsoever - still doesn't today - as to which township he is going to be in. this just merely provides a mechanism to do what I am sure is going to be done by referendum. I'll quarantee you, if some coterminous township like Freeport comes out into one of our rural townships now, and takes up over one percent of our assessed valuation, they're headed straight for a referendum. We're just not going to give up that territory anymore. And this just says, "Okay, Freeport and the rural township can now do intergovernmental agreement. You don't have to go the referendum route." And frankly the City of Freeport wants to use it create some goodwill with the rural townships. They are the ones that are asking for this. They say we really don't need this land in Freeport township, even though we might desire to have it in our city.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Rigney, there's a bunch of lights flashing. Maybe we should take it out of the record and -- let's take it out of the record for now and get back to it. Is that okay? Thank you. All right. The -- I'm sorry. Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

My only comment is that if it's merely questions, fine. If there's some suggestions that we want to do something different, I

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

hope the people that have their lights flashing will come and talk to me about the subject.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

They will. They will. Next bill. Senate Bill 1744. Senator Jones. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1744.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

It's the 3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1744 amends the Physical Therapists Act and includes under that Act, physical therapists assistants. Currently the --only the physical therapist is licensed, but the assistants do much of the work, and so what we are doing with this bill is bringing them under the Act so there would be some disciplinary action as set forth the education requirement disciplinary actions of the -- and I don't know of any opposition, and ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1744 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present, and Senate Bill 1744, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 1750. Tom Dunn. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1750.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This changes an error that was made in the House last fall when the bill was sent over. And at that time Will County -- the Des Plaines River only would receive River Boat Gambling in 1992. Through a technical error, that portion of the bill was knocked out and this bill makes no substantive changes to River Boat Gambling other than that, and only applies to Will County. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1750 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open.. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 34 Ayes, 15 Nays, 2 voting Present, and Senate Bill 1750, having received the required constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. Top of Page 24. Senate Bill 1765. Senator Kustra. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. HARRY)

Senate Bill 1765.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate. With all the focus lately on parental involvement, this is a bill that attempts to do something about that by incorporating into the

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

school report card a particular figure that would indicate the extent to which parents are attending parent-teacher conferences. It simply requires that the school report card include on it an indicator which will measure the extent of parent involvement in the parent-teacher conference by indicating the percentage of parents who attend parent-teacher conferences. I would ask for its approval.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Any discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

We used to have a Member by the name of Hudson Sours here, and he had a expression I was very impressed with. "Who wants this bill?"

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Well, Senator Schaffer, as you go across the State and especially coming out of the City of Chicago, you find that parents have been identified as the key indicators of children's success in schools. And no matter where we go, we hear over and again that we have to do more to encourage parental participation in their children's education. By this bill we send a message to school districts that they should do everything they can to make sure they encourage parents to participate in those conferences and get them out there on those evenings when parents and teachers sit down to discuss the work of their children. think parents want it. I know teachers want it. testified in favor of the bill. The IEA is in support of it, feels strongly that their success is tied to the extent to which parents get involved in their children's education. And as far as I know, the school community in general is in support. There was some reservation raised by the School Board Association and

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

ED-RED. They testified - mildly opposed to the bill; I think Senator Berman would agree - but they know where we're coming from, and they're willing to cooperate with us on this one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this bill. As the parent coordinator of the Rockford School District some years ago, we instituted a program like this in the thirty-five Chapter I schools where we kept track of telephone calls to parents, home visits to parents, parent conferences, parent volunteers in the classroom and we were able to get from ninety to a hundred percent parent contacts by just starting to keep tallies of how many parents were contacted during the year. It's a excellent idea, and it's probably one of the things that schools need Statewide more that anything else, and I wholeheartedly support the concept. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, thank you, Mr. -- Mr. President. God bless you. I just wanted to -- to point out to everyone that this is another State mandate. Know we're always concerned about mandating programs, when here's another State mandate in education without a three-fifths vote, and I guess it'll probably get 59 votes or whatever it is today, but I hope it -- at least gets more than thirty-six, because, you know, these mandates just keep adding up and adding up as we -- as we get to poor old school districts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR D'ARCO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you. This is one of those bill that -- that looks good right now, and when we get back to the district we're going to get

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

lot of complaints about. You know, this is like one of the questions we have on that census that came out April 1st and nobody wanted to answer. You know, how many indoor toilets do you have? Well, what in the world do they do with that information when they get it? Well, they put it in a computer somewhere, and that's what's going to happen with this. We're going to find out some parents do go to these meetings. We're going to find out some parents don't, and then what are we going to do? We're going to reeducate parents and send them back to school? How are we qoing to do it? This is just another reporting regulrement that we're going to hear about when we get back to the district. All the teachers are going to say we're passing mandates and doing nothing to help the kids, but we've got a lot of statistics. We've got one more statistic that we can print and throw around that is useless. I think this should go with some of the census questions, and I think this should receive a No vote.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Zito. All right. Further discussion? Is there any further discussion? Senator Lechowicz. SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

I move the previous question.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? If not, Senator Kustra, you wish to close?

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Yes, Mr. President. Just to respond to Senator Demuzio. I'm certainly concerned about mandates. I think the ones we're concerned are the ones that cost money. Those are the ones the school districts tell us to keep here and not send to them. The State Board of Education testified that this has no fiscal implication. It's a simple procedure that can be done by forwarding a number on to the State Board, which returns it in the

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

school report card. I'd ask for you favorable consideration.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1765 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay, and the voting is open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 30 Ayes, 21 Nays, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 1765, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. Senator Welch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR WELCH:

I -- I wanted to verify the roll call.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. That request is in order. All right. Senator Welch has requested a verification. Will the Members please be in their seats. Madam Secretary, please read the affirmative roll. SECRETARY HAWKER:

The following Members voted in the affirmative: Barkhausen, Daley, Davidson, del Valle, Dudycz, Ralph Dunn, Etheredge, Fawell, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Holmberg, Hudson, J.E. Joyce, Karpiel, Keats, Kelly, Kustra, Lechowicz, Macdonald, Mahar, Maitland, Netsch, Newhouse, Philip, Raica, Savickas, Schaffer, Weaver and Woodyard.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Welch, you question the presence of any Member? Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Daley.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Daley on the Floor? Is he on the phone? He's not moving rather swiftly. Yes, he's on the telephone. Senator Welch.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Joyce on the Floor? He's on the phone, too. Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

I don't see the phone booth folks, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Well, I called...

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Jeremiah Joyce again.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Joyce on the Floor? Senator Joyce on the Floor? Strike his name, Madam Secretary.

END OF TAPE

TAPE 4

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. The roll has been verified. On that question, there are 29 Ayes, 21 Nays, 2 voting Present. Senator Kustra, you wish further consideration postponed? All right. If not, the roll has been verified. The Ayes are 29, the Nays are 21, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 1765, having failed to receive the required constitutional majority, is declared lost. 1766. 17 -- 1842. Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1842.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, 1842 is a technical bill. It simply changes the word noncertified to educational support personnel. It is designed to be a vehicle bill. I'd like to send it to the House.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Is this a mandate?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

It simply just changes the word in the Statute, Roger. It doesn't do anything, and doesn't require a three-fifths vote or anything like that.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Is this some kind of partisan bill? If you're going to cheap shot some perfectly good bills here, should we be just as complimentary to you in return?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Absolutely. Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, I'll stand on the response that I \sim that I heard from Rock.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Question is, shall Senate Bill 1842 pass? Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. And the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 49 Ayes, 1 Nay, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 1842, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1843. Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1843.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you. This repeals the Section requiring collective bargaining agreements to be filed with the regional superintendent. Collective bargaining -- agreements now are also -- are filed with the Educational Labor Relations Board. I know of no opposition.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1843 pass? Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1843, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1847. Senator Netsch. 1859. Senator Tom Dunn. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. 1-8-5-9. Middle of Page 24, Ladies and Gentlemen.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate Bill 1859.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This would permit a Housing Authority to file suit to evict a tenant who has created or maintained a threat of a continuous -- continuing a serious or clear danger to the health and safety of other tenants. It does not take away any rights by any tenant. Every tenant is entitled to the full protection and due process of the court system. A clear danger would include a physical assault or a threat of physical assault; the illegal use of a firearm or a weapon; and the possession of a controlled substance. The thrust and intent of the bill is merely to provide safe housing for those individuals who reside in Housing Authorities, and to give the Authority the ability to remove those individuals who cause disruption for their neighbors. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Senator Jacobs.

SENATOR JACOBS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm just curious whether the -- the sponsor would be willing to hold this bill for an amendment to take care of the -- the cohabitation of the unmarried couples, which the Supreme Court had just ruled on.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

I would not.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Further discussion? If not, the question

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

is, shall Senate Bill 1859 pass? Those in -- I beg your pardon -- Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

I'd like to ask the sponsor a question...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

He indicates...

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

...I'm not against the concept. Where would the suit be filed?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

It would be filed in the circuit court of the jurisdiction where the individual resides.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

It would not be an internal committee or group, or the council of these Housing Authorities making determination. That is correct?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

That authority would be -- decided by the board, the Housing Authority Board.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Alexander.

SENATOR ALEXANDER:

I don't quite understand. I know they have councils in the Housing Authority that make sometime decisions. But the decision to evict me would come from that kind of a board, or will that board decide to take my case to a court of competent jurisdiction

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

for hearing of eviction?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

I believe they would make a recommendation to the Housing Authority - those individuals appointed to it - and that board would then make a decision.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

He indicates he will yield, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator Dunn, if I recall in our committee hearing, that your bill said that it would be left to the discretion of a manager whether he wanted to evict one or not. Is that still in the bill? PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

No sir, that was not in the bill, and is not in the bill.

SENATOR HALL:

Well. Who -- who -- I ask the question in line with her. Who makes the decision on the eviction?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR T. DUNN:

The Housing Authority makes the decision on who to evict.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Well, I know, but -- I thought I -- I thought we discussed that a little different from that. I'm -- I'm concerned about -- that a person might be -- be convicted because of some -- they might be if they decided they want to evict someone, and they got enough on them -- I thought in the last thing that I read that was -- that it was left to the -- I'm trying to figure out -- you said the Housing Authority, they have that power right now, don't they? They don't have that power now? They -- they evict people for nonpayment of rent. Yeah. But under your bill, it doesn't have anything to do with that, huh?

SENATOR T. DUNN:

No. Right.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion?. If not, the question is, shall Senate BIll 1859 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1859, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1860. Senator Hawkinson. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1860.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1860 amends the Crime Victims Compensation Act, and provides that -- young people or people who are disabled may file claims under the Crime Victims Compensation Act, after the minor has attained -- obtained

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

adulthood or the person has the legal disability removed. areas of the law, we allow minors or -- or the disabled who under some legal disability to file suit to recover after the disability has been removed. But -- the Court of Claims brought to my attention that under the Crime Victims Compensation Act, they have had people who have obtained -- the age of twenty-one try and file a claim for a crime -- a violent crime that occurred against them while they were still a minor, only to find that that's beyond the Statute of Limitations under the Crime Victims Compensation Act. This would say that if a young person is a victim of a violent crime, or an older person is -- is rendered disabled because of an attack of violent crime, that once that disability is removed, they would have the same one-year period or the same period to file a claim under the Crime Victims Compensation Act. I would urge the approval of Senate Bill 1860, and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1860 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1860, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1874. Senator Geo-Karis. 1882. Senator Zito. 1884. Senator Holmberg. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1884.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Holmberg.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill simply permits the occasional sale or dispensing of alcoholic liquors at the Rockford State Office Building. Many of our State buildings now have that privilege, but this building, of course, was not included in the law, because it wasn't built yet. It was just dedicated this year, and we would like to include it in the previous legislation. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1884 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, 2 Nays, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 1884, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1904. Senator Berman. Read the bill, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1904.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The purpose of Senate Bill 1904 is to make it clear that when we sign the Code of Fair Campaign Practices, this is an issue that is between us and our constituents and our opponents, and that it carries no criminal penalties for any alleged violation. Be glad to respond to any questions.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Thank you, Mr. President. I certainly don't object to Senator Berman's bill. I would point out, as the original sponsor of the Code of Fair Campaign Practices, that it was deliberately designed not to have criminal penalties in it in the first place. In fact, it was presented as a voluntary code because of First Amendment problems. So that I don't think this is absolutely essential, but it — at worst clarifies the original intent.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Is there further discussion? Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

No.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

No. Okay. Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1904 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1904, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. There has been a request to go back to 1874. Read the bill, Madam Secretary, please. 1-8-7-4.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill 1874.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, thank you for letting me go back. This bill amends the Unified Code of Corrections to make a defendant sentenced to electronic monitoring or drug tests liable for all cause associated with a sentence, and we amended that bill to provide that it would be in accordance

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

with his ability to pay. And I ask for favorable consideration. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1874 pass. Those in favor, vote Aye. Opposed, vote Nay. The voting is open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1874, having received the required constitutional majority, is declared passed. 1906. Senator Zito. 1916. Senator Rea. Read the bill, Madam Secretary. Top of Page 25, Ladies and Gentlemen, Senate Bill 1916.

SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Bill -- 1916.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd Reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1916 prohibits privately owned or operated prisons by the State or any of its political subdivisions. And this is a bill that is supported by the Department of Conservation, and would move for its approval. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion?

SENATOR REA:

Corrections -- I'm sorry. Department of Corrections.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Discussion? Any discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

He indicates that he will yield, Senator Fawell.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR FAWELL:

When you talk about -- you just -- you just -- from now on in, will you absolutely going to prohibit even the -- any kind of private correctional facility? Is that what this bill -- basically does?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

In prohibiting, it does not apply to the -- work release centers or contracts for supportive services, and when we talk about supportive services, that would include like medical services, education services, maintenance contracts and things of that nature.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

It -- it would include, say, juvenile facilities, if we wanted to do something in that regard?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

That is correct.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DEANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. A couple questions of the sponsor. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

And then a question of the Chair, too. First of all, what did you say the position of the department is on this?

SENATOR REA:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

The...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

The -- the Department of Corrections is in support of this legislation.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

When did they make that decision, 'cause from what I understand previous to this, their best position was neutral.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

It was my understanding that earlier that they were neutral, but then after they had studied the issue more, that then they were in support of it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

This bill would prohibit even home rule units from entering into agreements with private vendors? Like for instance, Cook County, with its overcrowded condition, if they could find some facility that could house some of the people who are there who are awaiting trial, who cannot make bail, they can't — the county cannot make any arrangements at all if this bill passes?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

It would prohibit home rule.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, I would like to make an inquiry of the Chair. How many

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

votes would take to pass this bill? PRESIDENT ROCK:

The Chair is in the process of reviewing that at the moment. The bill appears to say at Page 2 that, "this Section is a denial and limitation of home rule powers and functions under subsection (h) of Section 6 of Article VII," under which Section and we have previously ruled that only a simple constitutional majority is required. It appears to be that way, and I will be prepared to rule shortly. So it will be thirty votes. If you look at that Section under home rule and denial of home rule power that Subsection H specifically provides for something less than the extraordinary vote - not something provides for a constitutional majority vote - instead of, 'cause it has nothing to do with the power to tax. No. I am checking now with Madam Con Con. Somewhere between twenty and forty. We'll -- we'll get it right. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I would like to announce my opposition to this bill. I just don't think this is good public policy, particularly when we are having a tremendous problem with our prison population to begin with. To rule out a rather viable option that's used by other states at this particular time, I think, is very unwise.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

We'll get back to the request for a ruling. Further discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

If $\,$ -- if I may ask a point of clarification from the sponsor. PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Rea. Senator Rea. Okay, Senator.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yeah. It strikes me when we discussed this bill in committee

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

that a pretty good case had been made for problems that privatization of prisons had gone through in other States that currently had it. But one thing we did not discuss and what seemed to be relevant in terms of where it — it was effective, was in minimum security prisons. Would this also preclude minimum security prisons?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

Yes.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Topinka. Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank -- thank you, Mr. President. Would -- would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield, Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

I -- I didn't catch all of your earlier presentation, Senator, but did you say that this would have no effect on work camps, or did you say that it would?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

It would have -- it would have no effect on work camps...

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR REA:

...nor -- and it would not -- and it would not have effect also on subcontracting, like for medical services at your correctional facilities, or educational services, maintenance contracts, things of that nature.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

SENATOR WOODYARD:

What about -- what would the impact be? In my area, we are discussing regional juvenile detention centers. Would this mean that if that region got together, wanted to establish a -- a regional detention center, that it could not be privatized?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

If -- if units of local government got together, and they were submitting, there would be no problem.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

What about -- and maybe what Senator Topinka was trying to get to, where we contract out of state, presently, whether Department of Corrections or Child Family Service, -- what kind of impact would that have? And -- and also we're looking at some privatization, also in my district, with -- with some of these homes that do Child Family Service where you have the joint contracts between Corrections and Child Family Service, does -- does this mean you can't do that?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

As far as out-of-state, you would still be able to -- to contract with it -- out-of-state, but you -- it -- but there would be no construction facilities. It would not include the construction.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Further discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Question of the sponsor.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Indicates that he will yield, Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

In our home County of Cook, which, by the way, is a home rule county, they are presently contracting privately for what is equivalent to home correctional facilities; you know, the ankle guards and all that kind of stuff, the electronic monitoring. That is privatization. Those are private contractors. That's a home rule county. This, from our reading, would prohibit what Cook County is doing at this very moment.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

Senator would you repeat that, please?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

In the County of Cook, where a large number of us live, which is a home rule county, our county, because of a prison overcrowding problem, has contracts with private firms for, you know, ankle guards and this electronic monitoring and stuff. These are private firms, private facilities contracting right now. Our reading this bill would outlaw what the Cook County government is attempting to do right now to deal with our overcrowding problem.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

It does -- prohibits the states and local governments including home rule units from contracting with a private contractor or vendor to manage a correctional facility.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

In speaking to the Chair, knowing that Cook County this right now, this is going to prohibit something that our County is doing at the moment. I don't see any way that this doesn't take thirty-six, 'cause it's a home rule county now doing it with private contractors, and they would then be allowed. would -- I want to speak to that - two more quick comments. This says AFL-CIO is in support of this bill. Previously, when I had a private prison bill, the construction trade supported the bill. Now we had a prevailing wage and there, but we had prevailing wage and the construction unions clearly were in support. would say, I -- I recognize AFL-CIO in responding to AFSCME's supporting, but I think you'll discover the construction trades, who are very interested in -- additional capital construction - if they were asked - would not tell you they're in support of this bill, because in the past they've supported privatization of prisons with prevailing wage inclusions. Also the Department of Corrections, in the past, has never supported this. We're asking now with Corrections -- I think you have a misunderstanding. Corrections has never supported this type of legislation, has said that just -- they've been neutral, but we are attempting to check So I say, this seriously causes problems in Cook County where we have an overcrowding problem, and I think it is a step in the wrong direction, because this would take a burden off taxpayers, a burden off us in terms of paying bonds, and you really tie the hands of those in Cook County who've tried to do something innovative that is beneficial to the prisoners.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

Senate. I rise in support of this, and I'll tell you why. In our neighboring state, privatization is something we have to be careful of. We have here what we call bi-state, a compact between Missouri and Illinois. Now Missouri has gone into this and a bus line came in and what they did, they paid their drivers lower money and they ran into competition, the competition on the routes — that bus services. It's not a wise idea to do this, because they'll come in and hire people at a cheaper rate, and you'll find out that you're going to get in a lot of problems. The first thing you know, if you're going to do that, and private people come in, they want to be laying highways and all this sort of thing. I think that this is a good bill, and I'd — I think you ought to support it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Sponsor indicates he will yield.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Senator Rea, I have a county in my district that - county board has, I think, maybe even signed an agreement with a private contractors to build a prison in the county and then turn it over to the county, I think, for operation, but it's to be built out of private funds and all, and does this -- would this prohibit them from doing that?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator Rea.

SENATOR REA:

Senator O'Daniel, it's my understanding that this would not, because it would still be the county that would be the one that is constructing it, even though they have contracted outside, and

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

also they would contract for the operations of it.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

The -- the private concern will build the prison and then they will, with -- through an agreement, turn it over to the county for the county to operate. But it's going to be built with private funds and it's a private prison. It's -- it's not -- it's not being built by county funds at all. This is being built by a private corporation out of Indiana. They're coming over to build this prison and the county board has indicated that they want to support it. 'Course this is the same county board that one time wanted a low-level nuclear waste site, too.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Further discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Very briefly, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'm going to stand in opposition to this concept. unfortunate facts are that in the State of Illinois, we have twenty-three thousand units of -for corrections. unfortunately, the prison population is at twenty-seven thousand. In Cook County we're putting up fifteen hundred additional cells, and our projection is that in a year, year and a half, it's going to be inadequate. You should leave the options available that are coming in line from private industry as well as government. think you can work -- work it out between private industry and government, stating that if there's a fear of hiring nonunion help, that can be worked out. But to close the option, especially whether it's this State who's going to be mandated by the Federal Government to address this issue very closely, as well counties, to make sure that if we pass this bill, which would unfortunately eliminate private correctional facilities period, is

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

a step in the wrong direction, and I would strongly encourage a No vote.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Further discussion? Is there any further discussion? Senator DeAngelis, for the third time.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Yeah, and I apologize, but I -- I think the record ought to know that there were some answers that were given that were rather incorrect, at least in my estimation. In the bill specifically prohibits contracting for a correctional facility and the definition of, "a correctional facility," - which is yours, 'cause this is a new Act - is, "a prison, jail, penitentiary other institution for the incarceration or custody of persons under sentence for offenses or awaiting trial or sentence offenses." Now that does, in fact, mean a work camp. said that this did not include work camps and other facilities. You -- you tell me who's in Corrections that either isn't awaiting trial, under sentence or in custody. I mean, what are they doing in Corrections in the first place if they don't fall in that category?

PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Senator Rea, to close. The Chair, however, first prepared to rule, pursuant to the inquiry from both Senators DeAngelis and Senator Keats after consultation with parliamentarian, that in Section 4 it says very specifically that neither the State nor a unit of local government, including a home rule unit, shall contract with a private contractor or private vendor for the provision of services relating to the operation of a correctional facility, and so the Chair is prepared to rule that reference to the Section, Subsection H of Section notwithstanding, in the opinion of the Chair, this legislative proposal would require thirty-six affirmative votes.

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

to close.

SENATOR REA:

Mr. President -- Mr. President, I would request to take it out of the record. I think that there are some -- needs some clarification on some areas, and at this time I would request taking it out.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

That request is in order. Take it out of the record, Madam Secretary. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have two options; we can begin at the beginning of the Calendar again and keep going, or we can quit for the day. I see this groundswell of support to keep going. Thank you very much. Senator Berman, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. For a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

State your...

SENATOR BERMAN:

In the President's Gallery, we have a group of eight grade students from St. Athanasius School in Evanston, with their teacher Margie Shells. We're pleased to have you.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Will our guests please stand and be recognized. Welcome to Springfield. All right. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have two resolutions to read into the record, and then we will adjourn until ten o'clock tomorrow morning. For the benefit of all concerned, we will attempt to start the Committee on the -- of the Whole as close to ten as possible, and then we will, at the conclusion of that business, revert to the Calendar. Senator Philip and I discussed the probability of concluding our business no later that two-thirty tomorrow afternoon, so we'll be out of here before three o'clock certainly, but probably around

87th Legislative Day

May 9, 1990

two-thirty, so that you can makes plan accordingly. But we will adjourn till ten o'clock tomorrow morning. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.

I'd like the record to indicate Senator Schuneman, once again, is at home convalescing from an operation.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

The record will so reflect.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Secondly, Mr. President, I'd like to be shown -- ask leave to be a co-sponsor of Senate Bill 2239.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

2239. The Gentleman seeks leave to be a co-sponsor. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. -- Mr. President, I want to remind those Members of the Senate who are attending the Governor's Prayer Breakfast, it is tomorrow morning at seven-thirty a.m. at the Holiday Inn East. We'll be looking forward to seeing you all there. Thank you. PRESIDENT ROCK:

All right. Further business? Madam Secretary, Resolutions. SECRETARY HAWKER:

Senate Resolution 1028 offered by Senators Marovitz and Philip.

And Senate Resolution 1029 offered by Senator Topinka. They're both congratulatory.

PRESIDENT ROCK:

Consent Calendar. Any further business to come before the Senate? Any further business? If not, Senator Zito moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Thursday, May 10th. Tomorrow morning at the hour of ten o'clock. Ten o'clock a.m. tomorrow, Ladies and Gentlemen. Senate stands adjourned.

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY SENATE

90/07/31 11:12:45

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 09, 1990

| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 2 |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-----|
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 2 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 2 |
| HB-2988 | | PAGE | 2 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 2 |
| HB-3065 | FIRST READING | PAGE | 2 |
| HB-3095 | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| HB-3149 | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| HB-3151 | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| HB-3249 | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| HB-3406 | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| | FIRST READING | PAGE | 3 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 57 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 58 |
| SB-1318 | | PAGE | 4 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 81 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 87 |
| | SECOND READING | | |
| | RECALLED | PAGE | 59 |
| | | PAGE | 88 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 89 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 90 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 92 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 60 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 60 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 62 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 93 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 95 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 66 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 101 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 102 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 104 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 105 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 107 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 111 |
| | POSTPONED CONSIDERATION | PAGE | 113 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 67 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 113 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 117 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 119 |
| SB-1580 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 121 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 68 |
| SB-1612 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 68 |
| SB-1613 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 122 |
| SB-1619 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 122 |
| SB-1623 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 123 |
| SB-1627 | SECOND READING | PAGE | 70 |
| SB-1630 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 124 |
| SB-1635 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 125 |
| SB-1636 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 127 |
| SB-1642 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 128 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 128 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 71 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 72 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 129 |
| | SECOND READING | PAGE | 73 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 132 |
| SB-1663 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 133 |
| SB-1668 | THIRD READING | PAGE | 134 |
| | THIRD READING | PAGE | 135 |
| 20-1014 | | | 136 |
| SB-1674 SB-1676 | | | |
| SB-1676 | THIRD READING THIRD READING | PAGE Page | 136 |

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY SENATE

90/07/31 11:12:45

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

MAY 09, 1990

| \$8-17 | OO THIRD O | READING | | PAGE | 137 |
|--------|------------|---------|---|------|-----|
| | | | | | |
| | 02 THIRD | | | PAGE | 139 |
| | 11 THIRD I | | | PAGE | 141 |
| | 15 THIRD F | | | PAGE | 142 |
| SB-17 | 23 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 74 |
| SB-17 | 27 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 75 |
| | 30 SECOND | | | PAGE | 75 |
| | 38 THIRD | | | | |
| | | | | PAGE | 144 |
| | 38 OUT OF | | | PAGE | 150 |
| SB-17 | 44 THIRD F | READING | | PAGE | 150 |
| SB-17 | 50 THIRD I | READING | | PAGE | 150 |
| | 65 THIRD F | | | PAGE | 151 |
| | 73 SECOND | | | PAGE | 76 |
| | 38 SECOND | | | | |
| | | | | PAGE | 76 |
| | 40 SECOND | | | PAGE | 77 |
| SB-18 | 42 THIRD F | READING | | PAGE | 156 |
| SB-18 | 43 THIRD F | READING | | PAGE | 158 |
| | 59 THIRD | | | PAGE | 158 |
| | 60 THIRD | | | PAGE | 162 |
| | | | | | |
| | 69 SECOND | | | PAGE | 78 |
| | 74 THIRD I | | | PAGE | 165 |
| SB-18 | 84 THIRD 6 | READING | | PAGE | 163 |
| SB-19 | 04 THIRD F | READING | | PAGE | 164 |
| SB-19 | 16 THIRD | READING | | PAGE | 166 |
| | 16 OUT OF | | | PAGE | 177 |
| | 56 SECOND | | | | |
| | | | | PAGE | 7 |
| | 62 SECOND | | | PAGE | 8 |
| SB-19 | 65 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 8 |
| SB-19 | 74 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 9 |
| SB-15 | 91 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 10 |
| | 94 SECOND | | | PAGE | 10 |
| | 96 SECOND | | | | |
| | | | | PAGE | 10 |
| | 109 SECOND | | | PAGE | 11 |
| SB-20 | 14 SECONO | READING | | PAGE | 12 |
| SB-20 | 26 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 12 |
| SB-20 | 28 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 13 |
| | 36 SECOND | | | PAGE | 13 |
| | 37 SECOND | | | | |
| | | | | PAGE | 14 |
| | 38 SECOND | | | PAGE | 14 |
| | 39 SECOND | | | PAGE | 15 |
| SB-20 | 56 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 16 |
| SB-20 | 59 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 16 |
| SB-20 | 62 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 17 |
| | 66 SECOND | | | PAGE | 17 |
| | 67 SECOND | | | | |
| | | | | PAGE | 19 |
| | 78 SECOND | | | PAGE | 19 |
| SB-20 | 79 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 19 |
| SB-20 | 80 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 19 |
| SB-20 | 81 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 20 |
| | 83 SECOND | | | PAGE | 20 |
| | 84 SECOND | | | | |
| | | | | PAGE | 21 |
| | 89 SECOND | | | PAGE | 21 |
| SB-20 | 90 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 21 |
| \$8-20 | 91 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 22 |
| SB-20 | 92 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 22 |
| | 93 SECOND | | | PAGE | 23 |
| SB-20 | | | | PAGE | 23 |
| | | | | | |
| | 95 SECOND | | | PAGE | 24 |
| | 196 SECOND | | | PAGE | 25 |
| SB-20 | 99 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 26 |
| Sd-21 | OO SECOND | READING | • | PAGE | 27 |
| | .04 SECOND | | | PAGE | 27 |
| | .05 SECOND | | | PAGE | 28 |
| | | | | | |
| 30-21 | .06 SECOND | READING | | PAGE | 28 |
| | | | | | |

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 003

STATE OF ILLINOIS 86TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY SENATE

90/07/31 11:12:45

30

PAGE

PAGE

1

DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

SB-2108 SECOND READING

MAY 09, 1990

| SB-2109 | SECOND | READ! | ING | PAGE | 30 |
|----------|---------|--------|---------|------|-----|
| 58-2110 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 31 |
| SB-2111 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 31 |
| SB-2112 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 32 |
| SB-2112 | OUT OF | RECOR | RD | PAGE | 34 |
| SB-2119 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 34 |
| \$8-2124 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 36 |
| SB-2128 | SECOND | READI | ING | PAGE | 36 |
| SB-2131 | SECOND | READ! | ING | PAGE | 37 |
| SB-2141 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 37 |
| SB-2143 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 38 |
| SB-2147 | SECOND | READ: | ING | PAGE | 38 |
| SB-2150 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 39 |
| SB-2155 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 39 |
| SB-2157 | SECOND | READ. | ING | PAGE | 40 |
| S8-2164 | SECOND | READ: | ING | PAGE | 41 |
| SB-2166 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 41 |
| SB-2167 | SECONO | READ: | ING | PAGE | 42 |
| SB-2182 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 4.2 |
| \$8-2183 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 43 |
| SB-2184 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 43 |
| SB-2186 | SECOND | READ: | ING | PAGE | 44 |
| SB-2187 | SECOND | READ: | ING | PAGE | 46 |
| SB-2192 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 48 |
| SB-2193 | SECOND | READ. | ING | PAGE | 48 |
| SB-2194 | | | | PAGE | 48 |
| \$8-2210 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 49 |
| SB-2216 | SECOND | READ! | ING | PAGE | 49 |
| SB-2217 | SECOND | READ | ING | PAGE | 49 |
| SB-2229 | | | | PAGE | 50 |
| SB-2275 | | | | PAGE | 51 |
| SB-2276 | | | | PAGE | 52 |
| SB-2277 | | | | PAGE | 54 |
| SB-2293 | | | | PAGE | 54 |
| \$8-2307 | | | | PAGE | 55 |
| SB-2308 | | | | PAGE | 55 |
| \$8-2309 | SECOND | READ: | ING | PAGE | 56 |
| SB-2312 | SECUND | READ: | ING | PAGE | 56 |
| SR-1019 | | | OFFERED | PAGE | 5 |
| SR-1026 | | | | PAGE | 79 |
| SR-1026 | | | | PAGE | 6 |
| SR-1027 | | | | PAGE | 79 |
| SR-1028 | | | | PAGE | 178 |
| SR-1029 | RESOLUT | TION (| OFFERED | PAGE | 178 |
| | | | | | |

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK

| PRAYER - REV. SHOULTZ | PAG€ | 1 |
|-------------------------|------|-----|
| JOURNAL - POSTPONED | PAGE | 1 |
| MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE | PAGE | 1 |
| COMMITTEE REPORTS | PAGE | 80 |
| ADJOURNMENT | PAGE | 178 |
| | | |