PRESIDENT:
The hour of ten having arrived, the Senate will come to order. The prayer will be offered by Senator Morris.
(Prayer offered by Senator Morris)
PRESIDENT:
Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns. SENATOR JOHNS:

Good morning, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Monday, June 16th, Tuesday, June the 17 th and Wednesday, June the 18th, Thursday, June the 19 th , Friday, June 20, Saturday, June 21, Monday, June 23, Tuesday, June 24 , Wednesday, June 25, Thursday, June 26 th, Friday, June the 27 th, Saturday, June the 28th, Sunday, June the 29 th all 1975 be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journal.

PRESDIENT:
You heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries. A. Message from the House.

SECRETARY:
A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to a bill with the following title:

Senate Bill 484. And they have appointed the members on the part of the House. Senator Johns is the chief sponsor. PRESIDENT:

Senator, shall the Senate accede to the request of the House that a Conference Committee be appointed? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries and the Senate accedes to the request to appoint a Conference Committee. SECRETARY:

A like message of refusal to recede on Senate Bill 348 with House Amendment No. 1. Senator Course is the chief sponsor. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate accede to the request of the House that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries, and the Senate accedes to the request to appoint a Conference Committee.

SECRETARY:
Senate Bill 471 with House Amendments 1, 2 and 4. Senator Bruce is the chief sponsor. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate accede to the request of the House that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries, and the Senate accedes to the request of the House to appoint a Conference Committee. SECRETARY:

A like message on Senate Bill 477. The House refuses to recede from Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 and request a Conference Committee. PRESIDENT:

Just hold that.

## SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...A like message on Senate Bill 554 with House Amendments 1 and 3. The House has appointed their Conference Committee and Senator Rock is the chief sponsor. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate accede to the request of the House that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries, and the senate accedes to the request to appoint a Conference Committee. A Message from the House. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendments 1,2, 4, 7 and 8 to House Bill 802, but have nonconcurred in 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 12 , and Senator...Senator Savickas is the chief sponsor.

PRESIDENT:
On house Bill 802 the House has concurred in Amendments 1, 2, 4, 7 and 8. The House has nonconcurred in Amendments 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 12. Senator Savickas moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption on Amendments Numbered 5, 6, 9, 10, 11 and 12, and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk. Mr. Presdient - I'm directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendments 1 and 6 to House Bill 1426, but they refuse to concur with Amendment No. 5. Senator Knuppel is the chief sponsor. PRESDIENT:

Senator Knuppel moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House bill 1426 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Sergeant-at-Arms, come forward. Pass out the Conference Committee Reports. On the order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 5. House Bill 5. Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
The Conference Committee met on House Bill 5. We found some problems linguistically in the bill. We wrote a Conference Committee Report which makes possession of a sawed off shotgun, a bomb, Molotov cocktail, et cetera, a class three felony, use of it in a forceable felony, a class one felony. We divided that from other guns, slingshot, knives and pistols and rifles and shotguns as possession of which is a class-A misdemeanor in the use of which a forceable felony makes it, I think, a class four felony. This makes use of the sawed off shotgun a more serious offense particularly in the commission of a crime. The Conference Committee Report has been signed by all members and is agreed to as far as I understand by Senator Nudelman, Senator Carroll, with whom we have
been working in order to get an acceptable bill. I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDENT:
Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 5. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47 , the Nays are none, with I Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 5, and the bill having recieved the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 119, Senator Schaffer. Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:
The Conference Committee on House Bill 119 in effect cuts down the expense of the bill. The bill relates to summer school for handicapped children, it redefines children to the extent that it will make the bill considerably less expensive.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee on House Bill 119. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 46 , the Nays are none, with one Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 119, and the bill having recieved the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 229 Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:

House Bill 229 as coming from the Conference Committee would increase the levy for the assessor's office from one percent to two percent. It would, also, increase the county corporate levy from ten cents to twelve cents in the seventy-nine counties whose population is between ten thousand and three million. This has received the approval of Morris Scott of the Illinois Taxpayer's Federation and would in part head off the impending financial crisis at the
county level. I move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report of House Bill 229.

PRESIDENT:
Any discussion? Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

I have a question of the sponsor if I may, Mr. President. Senator Vadalabene, did you indicate there was a tax increase approved in this Conference Committee Report and if so what was the amount? PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:

One cent. PRESIDENT:

Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

And that is an additional one cent without referendum for what purpose? PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:

For the operation of the Supervisor of Assessor's Office. PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? Senator Nimrod. SENATOR NIMROD:

Yeah, Mr. President, a question to the sponsor. PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene indicates he will yield. SENATOR NIMROD:

Yeah, Senator, the amendments that the Senate's put on been removed and you have changed those with something else. Is that what it is? PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:
Mr. President, we just can't hear the question over here. PRESIDENT:

Will the members be in their seats. Would we reduce our noise level so that the questions and answers can be heard. SENATOR NIMROD:

Well, Mr. President, if I might. Senator Vadalabene, could you ask this...remove this out of the record for a minute, so we could just talk about this so we could make sure of what we're doing here. PRESIDENT:

Senator Sommer, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR SOMMER:

Mr. President, just an observation. This is a version of that other bill we had, 1935. This is a lesser. increase without referendum and I think it's warranted. The counties are in trouble, we mandate a lot of programs and let's roll with it, let's get it out of here.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Harber Hall. SENATOR HALL:

A question for the sponsor. Senator Vadalabene, last night we discussed this bill, the Conference Committee Report, and I pointed out that in the Conference Committee Report it refers to the wording says - purposes of helping to pay for the expenses of the assessor's office. And it was my understanding that that wording would be changed to Supervisor of Assessments Office and the copy on my desk, now, does not indicate that that change has been made. PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Senator Harber Hall, George Nichols in the Legislative Reference Bureau said that what you're stating is okay, that that would apply to the Supervisor of Assessor's Office.

PRESIDENT:
Has Senator Hall concluded?
SENATOR HALL:
No, no, I haven't. I want to point out that I have some questions

## PRESIDENT:

Just a moment, just a moment. We just simply cannot hear. The noise is just unacceptable.

SENATOR HALL:
This...this bill actually raises without referendum, taxes in the amount of two percent above the normal county rate and it does so for the following reasons, and I'm quoting from this bill. "And except taxes levied under section 3A of the Revenue Act of 1939 for the purposes of helping to pay for the expenses of the Assessor's Office." Well, I don't know where the Assessor's Office is. We have a Supervisor of Assessments in all the downstate..counties except one, and I...by the same token we have assessors elected in all the townships. I think this phraseology is troublesome, I'm not sure that this Body wants to accept the two percent increase without referendum, and for that: reason I have trouble with the bill.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Bruce.
SENATOR BRUCE:
I just want to echo the comments of Senator Hall. This bill was amended in the Senate to make the two cents inclusive. The House is adamant on taking off those two cent amendments, the Taxpayer's Federation testified in opposition to the bill in the Senate and then they said that if the two amendments were adopted they could approve the bill. The House sponsor is insisting on taking those two Senate amendments off. I signed the Conference Committee Report, I think Senator Hall did the same thing on the basis, if he wants to take a run at it, that's fine. I told him I would not support the bill in this form. It is a two cent increase without referendum and the
problem that you mentioned about assessors I pointed out, also, because we have a lot of township assessors and the rate could go up a great deal, and what we should be talking about, at least, is Supervisor of Assessments not the Assessor's Office. For that purpose that I would be in opposition to this bill and the Conference Committee $\mathrm{Re}-$ port in its present form.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Hickey.
SENATOR HICKEY:
I'd like to ask a question of Senator Vadalabene. Someone said that this is the same thing as Senate Bill 1935 and in my understanding of 1935 that that was for the County General Revenue and not specifically for either assessors or supervisors of assessments, and I'd like to have that cleared up. PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:

This bill has two cents for the County Corporate Fund and one cent for the Supervisor of the Assessor's Office. And, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I move for a favorable vote. PRESIDENT:

```
\therefore: : Representative Mitchler...Senator Mitchler.
``` SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, answer this question for the record. How is the salary of the Supervisor of Assessor paid? And is that included... as coming out of some of this tax increase? Two questions.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Vadalabene:
SENATOR VADALABENE:
I didn't hear the question. Did you hear that? SENATOR MTTCHLER:

But, is the Supervisor of Assessments paid out of the County

General Revenue, or does the State participate in the payment of the Supervisor of Assessments?

SENATOR VADALABENE:
They pay part of his salary, Senator Mitchler.
PRESIDENT:
He says they pay a part of his salary. Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:

How much of the salary is paid by the State of the Supervisor of Assessments?

SENATOR VADALABENE:
I think, Mr. President and members of the Senate, it's on a formula basis on the size of the county. PRESIDENT:

Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:

Now, you're...I don't think that the amendment that you have here is clear as far as paying the expenses of the Supervisor of Assessment in a county office. It says Assessor's Office and that could be interpreted to pay the various assessors throughout the county and then, also, this is providing, is it not, Senator Vadalabene, for an increase in tax for the general operation of a county itself. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Mr. : President, I did hear his first part of his question. The small (a) indicates Assessor or Supervisor of Assessor. Now, your second question I...I lost it completely.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:

Would this be a tax increase without referendum for the operation of the county itself separate from the Assessor's Office?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:
    : Y Yes, Senator Mitchler.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Clarke.
SENATOR CLARKE:
Senator Vadalabene, last night when we talked with Representative Calvo he indicated an agreement to change the wording from Assessor to Supervisor of Assessment, and I'm told they were talked out of that by George Nichols in the Reference Bureau, but I agree with Senator Bruce, that is a serious matter and I really think you ought to take this out of the record. Let's make that change, sign it again and bring it back, so that there's no question about who this two cents goes to, because I think there could be a question insofar as there are numerous assessors in each county.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Vadalabene.
SENATOR VADALABENE:
Well, as is indicated to Senator Mitchler, the small (a) signifies Assessor or Supervisor of Assessor and this is what was given to us by the Legislative Reference Bureau. And I move for a favorable vote. PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip. SENATOR PHILIP: \(\therefore\) Well, at least you didn't call me Representative. Thank you, Mr. \({ }^{\text {President. Obviously, there } i s ~ a ~ l i t t l e ~ c o n f u s i o n . ~ T h i s ~ b i l l ~}\) is not similar to House Bill 1935 period. It has nothing to do with township assessors or county assessors, it merely does two things. First of all, it increases the rate for Supervisors of Assessments one cent. Secondly, it increases the corporate rate two cents. Would allow counties in my category, or category at DuPage, Winnebago, Kane to increase their corporate rate from ten cents to twelve cents, and it's a good bill, it's been worked out, we've spent hours on this. Morrie Scott with the Taxpayers Federation said this is a good compromise and he is for the bill, so I simply ask for your support.

PRESIDENT:

\section*{Any further discussion? Senator Schaffer. SENATOR SCHAFFER:}

Well, I'd just like to make a couple of quick comments. First of all, that speech I gave last night about county financial problems, ditto. Senator Clarke, the Supervisor of Assessment's salaries are paid out of the General Revenue Fund of the county. The State reimburses that fund, so the technical problem that you're discussing here really is of no great consequence simply because the checks that he receive come from that and then eventually the money is reimbursed several months later. I think it is a good bill and does put emphasis on areas where most county governments, at least in my area, have had to increase their expenditures due to the increase of the problems and complexities in assessment. I come from the assessment insanity capitol of the world, and we have, over the last few years, had to dramatically upgrade our assessment activities to insure fair taxation to people, and I would remind you that a couple hundred years ago we had a revolutionary war which really in the final analysis gets down to fair taxation. I think we have an obligation to provide the funds to insure that type of assessment procedures. PRESIDENT:

Senator Vadalabene. : : \(\quad . \quad\)...
SENATOR VADALABENE:
© Yes, just in closing I...I want to add again that this has the approval of Morris Scott of the Illinois Taxpayers Federation, and would ward off the financial crisis at the county level, and \(I\) would appreciate a favorable vote. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 229. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 19, with 2 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report
on House Bili-229, and the bill having received the reauired constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill...1443, Senator Schaffer. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

This Conference Committee Report accomplishes two things. Once and for all it absolutely, clearly states that Cook County is not included in the bill, and it also provides that if someone wishes a copy of the accounting information, financial information from a school board they can have it, but if the school board wants to they can charge a nominal fee to cover the cost of the copy. That's the principal gist of the Conference Committee. It was felt that people might come in and say just cause there was something free they wanted four hundred copies, so as a safety measure the school boards were authorized to charge a nominal fee to cover the cost. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1443. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 45; the Nays are 2, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the 2 nd Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1443, and the bill having received the required constitetional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1518, Senatór Donnewald. House Bill 2160, Senator Brady. SENATOR BRADY:

House...Do you have to read it? Okay. House Bill 2160 went to Conference Committee. We amended this bill in the senate. We discussed it several times. It has to do with setting up a board of vocational rehabilitation. What we did in the Conference Committee was relate not only to the board we had, but we related to one other chapter in the statutes, which is chapter 23 on Charities and Public Welfare, which also dealt with the Vocational Rehabilitation Board and straightened out the language in that so that the amendment would be consistent with both chapters that were involved. I move
for the adoption.
PRESIDENT:
Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Report to House Bill 2160. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2160, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2238, Senator Knuppel. House Bill 2238, Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
Mr. Chairman, this is a Conference Committee Report that was drawn on the unpaid contractors who gave services and goods to the Illinois State Fair during the 1974 State Fair. They still remain unpaid. The House had refused to concur in the amendment which we put on the bill which gave these people payment. There's some two hunared... two hundred and some of them. The Conference Committee Report is identical with the bill that went out of here we passed earlier. We refused to recede and wrote a Conference Committee Report which has been signed by eight members of that committee. I'll solicit a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:
Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the conference Committee Report on House Bill 2238. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 3, with 2 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2238, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2439, Senator Brady.

SENATOR BRADY:
There was a Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2439. It was an appropriation bill which we put a Senate Amendment on to cut
it some eleven million dollars. The House did not concur in that. The Conference Committee Report states that the House will concur in Senate Amendment No. 1. I move for adoption of that report. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the conference Committee Report...Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:
Senator, may I have a fuller explanation on this? I...I wasn't listening completely.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Brady.
SENATOR BRADY:
Yes, Senator, the appropriation bill No. 2439. That appropriation when it started through the Senate, here, was thirty-five million, one hundred and fifty thousand in administration portion of it, 35150 . The amendment which we attached, here, in the Senate brought that amount down to nineteen million, 150 and what happened is that it went over to the House and they did not concur in that amendment, so we asked for a Conference Committee Report. The Conference Committee Report simply requests that the House concur in the Senate Amendment and reduce the amount down to nineteen million, 150. PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.
SENATOR FAWELL:
So, it would be the same bill that we did pass...pass out here, then. PRESIDENT:

As amended. SENATOR FAWELL:

But as amended. Yes.

Alright. Well, I would prefer it because this is a new program. I prefer to see the matter fail. I will continue to vote in the negative, but it's not any worse than what it was. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2439. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 14 , with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2439, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 69, Senator Vadalabent.

SENATOR VADALABENE:
Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The impact of the amendments on House Bill 69, Amendment No. 1 the House to recede from Amendment No. l which would allow Woodriver Township in Madison County to purchase land in excess of ten acres that the township presently has an option to purchase, and secondly, the Senate to concur in Amendment No. 2 which removes the provision limiting the use of this section for township parks to counties under one million population, and I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 69. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 69. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 45 , the Nays are 2, with 2 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 69, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 699, Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the Conference

Committee Report on Senate Bill 699 does the same thing as the Senate Amendment to House Bill 1837, which we passed out of here approximately a week ago by a vote of 54 to nothing. It incorporates the same package of benefits and contributions for downstate firemen as was in that bill. It calls for a substantial increase by these people of two and one quarter percent of their salary, it brings them pretty much into line with the downstate policemen. As I stated, it's the same as the bill that we passed, here, about a week ago, and I would appreciate a favorable roll call in adopting this Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is shall the...there is not going to be a question until we have a little more order. Will you take the harried gentleman off the Floor? It's just too much noise. The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 699. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 1, with 4 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 699, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Ozinga. SENATOR OZINGA:
\(\therefore\) Inadvertently my "no" button was pushed when the Aye button should have been pushed.

PRESIDENT:
The record will so show. Senate Bill 751. Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 751 allows the Illinois Community College Board to indemify its members and employees from financial loss not exceeding a hundred thousand dollars for claims, damages, et cetera, as a result of negiigent acts. Specifically exempted, however, are intentional, or deliberate acts, and the acts
must, be within the scope of their employment. And it, also, authorizes the board to provide insurance coverage for such exposure and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:
Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 751. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, with one Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 751, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1384, Senator Lemke. SENATOR LEMKE:

I ask to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1384 which corrects an error which was made in the House Amendment. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Graham. SENATOR GRAHAM:

This is...Senator Lemke, this is that Workmen's Compensation Bill is it not on partial loss?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Lemke.
SENATOR LEMKE:
That's correct. PRESIDENT:

Senator Graham. SENATOR GRAHAM:

Before we have the roll call on this, I would like to indicate to the membership what the provisions of this bill will do. It would allow someone who was employed, perhaps, and had a partial disability, for instance, and could lose a leg or a arm that was partially defective before, and allow this person or persons to collect two hundred percent of the maximum rate of the prevailing salary of the
average salary of the state, regardless of what his salary was at the time the accident was incurred. I think that, also, there's no provision in here to establish who might be to blame in the amount of the claim of this kind, and I think this bill is one that our employers in the State of Illinois can very well do without. In plain words, it is a bad, bad bill. PRESDIENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1384. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 31 , the Nays are 18 , with 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1384, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Graham has requested a verification. Will the members be in their seats. The Secretary will call the affirmative vote.

SECRETARY:
The following voted in the affirmative: Brady, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Course, Daley, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Kenneth Hall, Hickey, Hynes, Johns, Joyce, Knuppel, Kosinski, Lemke, McCarthy, Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Nudelman, Palmer, Rock, Savickas, Smith, Vadalabene, Welsh, Wooten and Mr. President. PRESIDENT:

Senator Graham.
SENATOR GRAHAM:
How about Senator Course?
PRESIDENT:
Senator Course is in his seat. SENATOR GRAHAM:

He always...Hi, Kenny. Senator Knuppel. Hello, John. Senator Joyce. I want to see how he's feeling. Thank you, Good Morning. Senator Lane. He's not on there so it doesn't matter about him. PRESIDENT:

The roll call is verified. Senator Rock.
SENATOR ROCK:
Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the Senate concurred in the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1384 . PRESIDENT:

And Senator Donnewald moves to Table. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries. House Bill 396, Senator Hall...

Kenneth Hall. For what purpose does Senator Regner arise? SENATOR REGNER:

To be recognized when Senator Hall is finished.
PRESIDENT:
You will. Senator Kenneth Hall. SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. They...I move to concur with the Conference Committee Reporit on House Bill 396. Now, this was a technical error, only, in the amendment, and this is to underline the new act and the word should have been underlined. And the same is sent over from the Senate that the Governing Body must approve the exercises, the powers and the authority before the authority can act in a municipality. Third, it had a technical error in the numbers assigned to the paragraphs were incorrect. This error has been corrected. Therefore, I move that the Senate do adopt the Conference Committee Report. PRESIDENT:

Senator Regner. SENATOR REGNER:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, there's nothing wrong with the way the Conference Report is drafted. It does correct technical errors that many times arise in the drafting of a thoroughly bad proposal. I'd like to speak on the bill that was passed which still has the same general content in it that the original bill had. Now, this bill would create what appears to be a State agency
with the authority to contract for and construct buildings which could be used for public and private purposes, plan for the reconstruction of lighted areas, implement and plan...plans prepared, enforce ordinances and resolutions, promulgated by this authority which is an appointed authority. The authority created in this bill would have responsibilities even transcending those of the Illinois Housing Development Authority, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Illinois Capital Development Board, the Illinois Department of Local Government and so on infinitum. In section 4A it would allow or it may prohibit the private developers from operating in those areas defined...

PRESIDENT:
Continue, Senator Regner.
SENATOR REGNER:
...it may prohibit private developers from operating in those areas defined as blighted, environmental; all inclusjve: and it just says depressed areas, not really defined. In section 5.02 it gives an administrator hired by the board of the authority the responsibility to enforce all rules, regulations, resolutions promulgated by the authority to be a rather expensive grant of power to an appointee of an appointed board. You wonder if this would be almost police power by these appointed people. In section 6.07 it gives the authority, created in this bill, the ability to refund bonds issued by local units without any limit. An item like this could bankrupt the State of Illinois. In section 610 it requires the authority created in this bill to review all State, Federal, local policy and that would affect the rather expansive duties of the authority created in this bill. When you get down to section 8 on page 18 it states that bonds issued by the authority would be created in this bill are not subject to any referendum. So, here we are, we have a board created...all appointees on the board, the general authority of the board is appointed and yet there's nothing to stop what they can do. They could buy land and resell it at less
then they purchased it for. Now, can you imagine that with bonds that aren't even passed by referendum. This is a thoroughly rotten piece of legislation, it should be soundly defeated. When it was passed it only had thirty votes going out of here in the first place. But it's a bad bill, nothing wrong with the Conference Committee Report. All it does is correct some technical errors, but the bill should be defeated.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? Senator Clarke. SENATOR CLARKE:

Mr. President, Senator Regner has told you the details of why this is bad. I don't know how many of you had time a week ago Sunday to start reading the series in the Chicago Tribune on the FHA and HUD scandal, but the fact of the matter is that they have wasted, or through malfeasance, or through collusion have thrown away about four billion dollars, and that's what the total budget of the State of Illinois was back when I came down here. These series of articles are frightening when you read them as to the Government bureaucracy, or inefficiency, or collusion with the bankers and the realtors whoever it was, and there are people in cities all over this country in those areas that are under indictment for this fraud. I think we should not start this kind of a program here at this time.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Graham.
SENATOR GRAHAM:
You know, Mr. President and members of the Senate, if Government hasn't learned their lesson with regard to passing laws or winking at proposals that will allow scandals and other transgressions upon our form of Government then take a look at this, or wonder where we really are. Are we going to say that we are ready for complete socialism, are we ready for scandals, are we ready for this, are we ready to do away with our different agencies of government to let
someone have the provisions of this to go out and do everything that's wrong and...and violate everything that we've stood for. Ladies and Gentlemen of this Senate, if we pass this bill I'll tell you the bounty hunters are really going to be after us when we get back in our districts.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? Senator Kenneth Hall. SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Clarke made one of the best arguments that I could hear for this bill. Now, there are no state funds that are asked under this. Senator Regner is stating something ...this is...the idea of this is to coordinate these things. Congress has appropriated money for these points and this is to get rid of urban decay, Gentlemen. This is the thing that we've been asking for. Federal funds will be better used if they're coordinated, and still everything that's done has to come back here to this Legislature so that it can be approved. I ask your most favorable support for this Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDENT:
The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 396. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 20 , with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 396, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. A verification has been requested by Senator Graham. Would the members be in their seats. The...will the members be in their seats. The Secretary will call the affirmative vote.

SECRETARY:
The following voted in the affirmative: Brady, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Course, Daley, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Fawell, Kenneth Hall, Hickey, Hynes, Johns, Knuppel, Kosinski, Lemke, McCarthy,

Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Nudelman, Palmer, Rock, Savickas, Smith, Vadalabene, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Graham.
SENATOR GRAHAM:
Senator Carroll. He's usually late... PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll is here. SENATOR GRAHAM:

Is the gentleman from Olney here? He's over here. Hey, they got more corners, those fellows. Hynes.

PRESIDENT:
Roll call is verified. They Ayes are 31 , the Nays are 20. For what purpose...Senator...Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Having yoted on the prevailing side I want this vote to be considered. PRESIDENT:

Senator hall moves to Table. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries. House Bill 1518, Senator Donnewald. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

Yes, Mr. President, I would move that we... concur in the Conference Committee Report 1 to House Bill 1518. The report generally incorporates the substance of Senate Amendment No. 2 which recognizes the right of the telephone company to enter into agreement with subscribers for employee monitoring service. I can go on with this is there is any questions, but I would move we concur in the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDENT:
Any discussion? Senator Netsch. SENATOR NETSCH:

Mr. President, I don't know what the present attitude, or final attidude, I should say, of the House sponsor of this bill that deals
with wiretapping, may be on this subject. I know that in our discussions last night he was extremely concerned about the addition of this section to the bill, and certainly did not want it there, and having read it carefully, I quite agree with him. I think it drives a major gap into the wiretapping circumstance, and I think it's extremely unfortunate. The bill before this was added back into it, and now confirmed by the Conference Committee, did help somewhat I think on the wiretapping, electronic eavesdropping issue, but this, it seems to me, is at least five major steps backward.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Donnewald. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

First of all, the House sponsor agreed with it. The amendment was written by Representative Katz, and he...okay, well, we'll vote on it, up or down. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1518. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. A second Conference Committee has been requested. But...but I got to take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 24, the Nays are 17, with 10 Voting Present. Senator Donnewald requests a second Conference Committee. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Senate Bill 1493, Senate Education Committee. Senator Buzbee... SENATOR BUZBEE:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate... PRESIDENT:

For what purpose does Senator Donnewald arise? Senator Donnewald, did you seek recognition? Excuse me, Senator Buzbee, a moment. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

Yes, I want to Table...I want to Table House Bill 1518. PRESIDENT:

Senator Donnewald moves to Table Senate Bill...House Bill 15...

Is it House Bill 1518, Senator Donnewald? Senator Donnewald, is it House Bill 1518? Senator Donnewald, are you moving to Table House Bill 1518?

SENATOR DONNEWALD:
Yes, that's correct.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?
SENATOR NETSCH:
Does the...does someone in the Senate have the right to Table a House Bill? And if so, since when?

PRESIDENT:
Since for always a sponsor has control of the bill. Now, that... SENATOR NETSCH:

This is not Senator Donnewald's bill. PRESIDENT:

Well, the only person who can sponsor a bill in the Senate is a Senator. The genesis of the bill is another question.

SENATOR NETSCH:
Is...is Senator Donnewald the Senate sponsor of the bill?
PRESIDENT:
Yes, he is.
SENATOR NETSCH:
And without permission of the House sponsor he can Table the bill. PRESIDENT:

Yes, he can.
SENATOR NETSCH:
Okay. Let him do it.
PRESIDENT:
Now, that.. . what problem that creates with the House sponsor is another question. But he can Table if he desires. Senator Donnewald, what is your pleasure?

SENATOR DONNEWALD:
Well, as to what, Senator?

PRESIDENT:
Are you persisting your motion?
SENATOR DONNEWALD:
Yes.
PRESIDENT:
Alright. Senator Donnewald moves to Table House Bill 1518. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. House Bill 1518 is Tabled. For what purpose does Senator Buzbee arise?

SENATOR BUZBEE:
Would you like me to present the bill now?
PRESIDENT:
The time has come. Senator Buzbee. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you. Now, that we're all in a good mood I have a little piece of Senate business to perform here. Senate Bill 1493, which is a work of art...no, we worked long and hard on this bill, a lot of people have. It's the revision of the school aid formula and we've had Republican and Democratic support from both the House and the Senate. In fact the House just a few minutes ago voted to concur in this particular Conference Comittee Report. The Conference Committee Report, by the way, was not done in the normal way that Conference Committee Reports are done, but it was done over many hours of meeting together of all of the interested principals, and we finally hammered out an agreement, and this is what it is. Number one, it permits the school district to utilize during the next three school years, that's until June 30th, 1978, on an optional basis, their transportation tax rate as part of their operating tax rate for state aid purposes. This provision is primarily helpful to the downstate rural school districts. The estimated Fiscal. 1976 cost of this change is eighteen million dollars. Number two, it completely eliminates the tax rollback provisions of the present resource equalizer law. As a consequence, those seventy school districts which are mainly high schools in the suburban areas, the...which have been affected by this provision, will experience
no further rollback during Fiscal ' 76 and beyond. This change has no impact on state assistance to these schools districts. Number three, it permits all school districts beginning July 1, 1975 and for the next three years to utilize either one of two, the '73-'74 Title One count associated with the Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 , or number two, the present Title one definitions in the revised Federal law. So they can use either/or, whichever is to their benefit. This proposal will primarily help downstate urban school districts during the coming school year and is expected to cost the state about four million dollars during Fiscal '76. There will also be a...help to the Chicago school district there. Number four, it allows unit school districts to increase their educational fund and building fund tax rates by three cents each for the 1975 tax year, subject to a backdoor referendum with a thirty day notice provision, and a ten percent or fifteen hundred signature petition requirement. Now, that's three cents on each of those for a total of six cents possible during Fiscal ' 76 with a backdoor referendum...an expanded backdoor referendum, thirty day notice with all kinds of adequate notice and so forth, thirty days to get their petitions in. Then during the following year an additional increase subject to the same requirements is permitted in the amount of three cents in the educational fund and three and a half cents in the building fund. This proposal will first affect property tax collections in the \({ }^{1} 76\) and state aid in 1976, and state aid distribution in Fiscal '78. So there's a potential here, a possibility of twelve and a half cents increase in the local property tax rate over a two year period, but to get the total of twelve and a half cents you have to have successfully gone through two backdoor referendums, two expanded backdoor referendums, the thirty day notice and so forth. Number five, commencing July 1,'76 reduces the maximum state assisted operating tax rate for unit districts from three dollars to two dollars and ninety-seven cents, that's for unit districts, and for elementary districts from a dollar ninety-five to a dollar ninety-three with corresponding
increases in their guaranteed assessed valuations. This change begins a process of conforming the Resource Equalizer Formula to the School Property Tax Laws, and has no state aid impact until Fiscal '77. The total impact of the total of the whole package on the state aid to the schools during Fiscal' 76 is estimated to be approximately twenty-two million dollars. Now, let me just briefly summarize. We're including ...you can include the transportation rate as part of the operating tax rate for qualifying purposes. That's going to cost about eighteen million. That was the one point that I think there was unanimous agreement on among all of the people that were involved in the...in the negotiations. Number two, it eliminates the tax rollback provision that's in the present resource equalizer. There was some strong feelings from one or two of the people involved in this:against including this. There was some strong...just as strong a feelings on the other side that this had to be a part of the package. Then there was some others who felt rather neutral on it. But it was finally agreed upon to include this in the package. Number three, there was really no disagreement on point number three which deals with the...with the Title One head count except that we couldn't come up with accurate figures for a long time as to what the impact would actually be. Again, it allows one of two methods in Title One head count. Either the '73'74 actual count or the count, as allowed, under the new Federal definition which just came into being not too long ago. Whichever is to the school district's advantage...they can use either one of those counts. Number four, the referendum, the six cent tax rate increase this year, six and a half next, but it requires two expanded backdoor referendums to get either one of those. And number five, the ...it reduces the maximum state assisted operating tax rates for unit districts from three dollars to two ninety-seven and from a dollar ninety-five to a dollar ninety-three for elementaries. But that does not start until July 1, 76. It doesn't start this year. Our reason for that was, I wanted it included this year, there were several other people who wanted it included this year, but the impact would have been

\section*{(2)}
if we'd have done that this year would have been about an additional five to six million dollars on the State, which would have gotten us so far above the Governor's amount that he said he would allow, that we just didn't think that we could get the bill through past the Governor's veto with that provision included for this year. The total impact is twenty-two million dollars. He has said we have an additional twenty million so we're only two million over. I know that my time has run out, Gentlemen, this is an extremely important issue especially from everybody that lives outside the City of Chicago. So that we think we're in the ballpark as to the amount of money that is...that the Governor will sign the bill. Now, what happens if he takes a six percent reduction over the total out of the one billion, two hundred and fifty-four million that he says is available, then this will simply be imposed on the formula, and if we have a total six percent reduction it will just simply mean a more equitable distribution. And I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Morris.
SENATOR MORRIS:
I just would like to point out, and Senator Buzbee was clear in this, that what we're doing with this formula in this form is passing on a tax increase to the people in the local communities. The backdoor referendum provisions are nice. Thirty days to gather fifteen hundred signatures, that's if you read the legal notices in your newspaper. Many school boards in the State do not have news media coverage. The only reporting that is done is by the legal notices in the papers which most people don't read. I think that we ought to think long and hard before we put into the school taxing situation the backdoor referendum provision, because once we do it, the schools are going to be down here every year saying give us another three cents, give us another nickel, give us another four cents by backdoor referendum, and what we're going to do is continue to raise the local Property Taxes for schools without the taxpayer having much of a say.

I think you ought to think about that, and I'm going to vote no on this and hope that we can get this back into Conference Committee and get that provision out of the bill. I don't think that we should be increasing taxes on the people back home in this way. You know, you're all going to be the ones that run for re-election in 1976. When the people are told by the local school boards - well, we didn't do this, folks, the people in Springfield told us to do it. And I, for one, think it is ridiculous to keep allowing tax increases without the referendum provision, and as I said, I urge a no vote on this to put it back into Conference Committee to get this out. I think it's wrong, and I think all we're doing is raising the taxes on the people back home without their knowledge and I urge a no vote. PRESIDENT:

Senator Bell.
SENATOR BELL:
Well, thank you, Mr. President, I would have to concur, first of all, with what Senator Morris said that it is a rip-off of the taxpayers back home being allowed here in the General Assembly. You know the other day we...when I asked the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee about the six percent Walker cutback in state aid to primary education, whether that was attached on, he pointed out to me that the amendment was available. Well, it was obvious to me, Mr. President, as I heard the remarks from various Senators throughout the Chamber close at hand that there was no support for this sacred cow of public education and that's just what it is. It's a sacred cow, and here we're allowing, because of this sacred cow, not only no six percent cutback, but an additional rip-off of the taxpayers back home by the backdoor referendum, and besides that, that if this goes through, this Conference Committee Report goes through, an additional twenty-two million dollars, my friends, I say to you that you've got to take a look at the product of what state education is turning out. And it must appear in your minds as much as in my mind that it's highly questionable as what we're turning out. And that no longer is just
money being poured into these coffers resulting in a superior product. I think state education is getting the kind of money that, that in fact more money than what it really meeds, and we've got to look at our priorities, and I would say further, Senators, that I would concur with Senator Morris to not concur with this Conference Committee Report. Send it back for the final one, and let's see what happens from there.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Glass.

\section*{SENATOR GLASS:}

Thank you, Mr. President, I rise in support of this bill, and in answer to a couple of the comments that Senator Bell and Senator Morris, and I would hope that Senator Morris hears this. I'd like to make this point, that the downstate districts, primarily those which are unit districts, are not getting as much state aid as their sister districts in...in mostly the suburban areas. That is the dual districts, the elementary and high school districts, because those downstate districts have not raised their local tax rates to a high enough level so that they can get the correspondingly higher share of state match. ing funds, and that's what this is all about. Over in the House, what the House version of this did was to increase those rates a good deal more so that they could get the full state aid to which they might be entitled. The compromise, and I commend the committee on the compromise, gives them a far more modest increase so it's...it's a tough decision, but I think they came out with a fine bill which is going... which is tailored to help address the different problems in education throughout the State, and I would certainly urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Fawell.
SENATOR FAWELL:
Just briefly, I also rise in support of this measure. It's a compromise measure. It isn't what everbody would like to have, the

House would.like to have a lot more. I completely endorse what Senator Glass has just said. The unit districts if you look at the... the nonreferendum tax rate privileges which the elementary and the high school districts have and compare it to the unit district, you can see that we have left the unit district far behind, and it's only right that we give them this added authority which will still have them far behind the elementary and the high school districts put together. Another point, I think we always should bear in mind, Senator Morris, we are not increasing taxes here. If we believe in local home rule government, if you believe in the people who are closest representing the people and are closest to the people making these decisions, it's their job to determine if they wish to extend the tax here, and it's their job to take the heat and to make these decisions. I don't think it's axiomatic because we give the discretion to local government that therefore can say that we are necessarily increasing the taxes. I endorse this. If I were on the board of education \(I\) would make this levy, but I think it's unfair to simply say that we're necessarily doing it, and I wholly support this compromise.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Bloom. SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. I rise in support of the Conference Committee Report. Senators Glass and Fawell have made most of the points I wanted to make, but I just can't believe that Senator Morris could vote in favor of 396 , House 396 , which is a super rip-off and then turn around and inveigh against making the unit districts on equal footing with the dual districts. Thank you very much.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? Senator Hynes. SENATOR HYNES:

\footnotetext{
I...I, too, would rise in support of the Conference Committee Report.
}

I think it is an excellent job which remedies many of the problems which have been discovered to exist with the resource equalizer formula. It is not a perfect piece of work in any sense, as it could not be because of all of the conflicting interests that were involved. But I think it's a magnificent accomplishment under the circumstances. Furthermore, I think that it is fiscally responsible, and \(I\) think it's important to make the point that even though it cost twentytwo million dollars it is within the Governor's budget. This formula change will bring the state aid formula within the amount budgeted by the Governor. So there will be no increase as a result of this bill. I think, also, it's important to point out with respect to the comments of Senators Morris and Bell, that the provision they refer to will bring back to the local school districts downstate literally at a dollar for dollar basis increased state funds. Finally, there is included for the coming fiscal year an increase of about four million dollars for urban school districts outside the City of Chicago. It does not include the City of Chicago, and that is desperately needed in Waukegan and many other areas of the State. So, I think this is \(\ddot{a}\) very desirable compromise, and will go a long way towards remedying some of the problems that exist, and I think it should be adopted by the Senate.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Shapiro.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, you've heard all the arguments, so I'll be very brief. I vote Aye. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussions? Senator Berning. SENATOR BERNING:

Well, thank you, Mr. President. On this as well as some other instances, I am delighted that my colleague from Lake County takes the position he does. In my school district, just two weeks ago, a referendum was defeated. Now, we should turn right around and
say to those people you are going to have a tax increase irrespective. The backdoor referendum is a fraud on the taxpayer. If someone does happen to find a notice about a backdoor referendum it is practically impossible to complete the necessary machinations to get that accomplished and get it on a ballot. We are doing a disservice to our trusting citizenary. The backdoor referendum is a concoction of some distorted mind and ought to have been eliminated long before it ever got established as it is now. If we are to be keeping trust with those who have a right to trust us, we ought to either impose a tax or leave it with a referendum completely. This backdoor referendum proposition is a delusion.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is does the Senate concur .. .pardon me, does the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1493. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 5 , with 3 voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House...on Senate Bili. 1493, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. For what purpose does Senator Rock arise? SENATOR ROCK:
ic. I wonder, Mr. President, if we might have leave...
PRESIDENT:
Just a moment, Mr....Senator Rock. Let me get you some order. Will all those not entitled to the Floor please leave the Floor, and bring down the noise level, please. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

I wonder, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, since we have apparently finished that list of ready Conference Committee Reports, we considered one yesterday that I am reliably informed by virtue of our computer printout and conversation with the sponsor, that the House has, in fact, adopted by an overwhelming, well, not overwhelming, but a hundred and one votes, and on that
basis having voted...I would ask leave to go to the order of Motions to move to reconsider a vote.

PRESIDENT:
Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

House Bill 1146, Mr. Secretary, and having voted on the prevailing side, \(I\) do now move to reconsider the vote by which the Senate refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report No. 1 to House Bill 1146.

PRESIDENT:
All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Senator Glass, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GLASS:
Mr. President, I rise for a parliamentary inquiry. I thought that after we refused to accept a Conference Committee Report, a second Conference Committee was formed. Was there no request made for that?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Rock.
SENATOR ROCK:
There was a request made. However, that...that technically has not yet been read in, I'm informed, to the House, so the report...the request is not even before them. As a matter of fact, even if it were it would not make any difference. Because, I'm now timely moving to reconsider the vote by which Conference...the vote on Conference Committee 1 failed.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Glass...Senator Harber Hall. Senator Glass, did you complete it. SENATOR GLASS:

I'll yield to Senator Hall. PRESIDENT:

Senator Harber Hall.

\section*{SENATOR HALL:}

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 1146 is the Inheritance max to Counties Bill. Ten percent would be retained by the counties. I don't have to explain it further. I've talked about it many times on this Floor. Yesterday when this bill was heard it received 29 votes, not sufficient to pass the Conference Committee Report. Three Senators voted against it, including Senator Rock who now moves to reconsider.

PRESIDENT:
The motion is...he has made that motion, that motion is carried. The Conference Committee Report is now under consideration. SENATOR HALL:

Thank you. I would move for adoption of the Conference Committee Report No. 1 in respect to House Bill 1146. PRESIDENT:
(Machine cut-off)...Senator Soper. SENATOR SOPER:

Well, I'd like to have somebody explain what the Conference Committee Report does, why we rejected it and why should we now accept it, that's all.

PRESIDENT:
\(\therefore\) Now. . . SENATOR SOPER:

I don't know how I voted on it. I don't even know what it does. PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock as well as Senator Harber Hall have, in their remarks, included the subject matter and what transpired here. Perhaps you did not understand them. Would you Gentlemen like to repeat it. Senator Rock. Senator Soper, he's going to say it again. Senator Soper. SENATOR SOPER:

Yeah. I understood what you said, but they didn't say anything. They says its ten percent to something, but I don't know whether they wanted to give it to them or take it away from them.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

They're going to say it again in...in elongated fashion. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:
Well, now my motion has prevailed. The matter before us now is the adoption of the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1146. I was not a member of that Conference Committee. I will yield to Senator Hall who is the Senate sponsor of that measure. PRESIDENT:

Senator Harber Hall.
SENATOR HALL:
Yes, simply stated here, is the situation in respect to this bill. It was introduced and it had phraseology in respect to how the ten percent to be retained by the counties would, in fact, be retained by them. The House bill provided that all of the Inheritance \(T\) ax from the counties would be sent to the State and ten percent would be sent back. I had introduced a measure calling for twenty-five percent with the twenty-five percent to be retained and the... and the balance, the seventy-five percent, would be...would go directly to the State. Because of this difference my bill was put in a Fall Calendar over there. Because of the difference in how to handle the money, we rejected the House bill and said that it should...and said that it should be retained at the point of collection, and the ninety percent sent to the State. The House did not want to concur in that, and they refused to accept that amendment. The Conference Committee, they did agree to do that so that the simple procedure now as it would remain if we enact this Conference Committee Report, would be that the county collectors would collect the Inheritance Taxes, retain ten percent of the collections for the use of the county, send the balance, ninety percent, to the state to go into the General Revenue Fund. That's the only change, and that's the whole story. If we adopt this the county collectors, if it's signed by the Governor, the county collectors will keep for the county ten percent.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip.
SENATOR PHILIP:
Would the sponsor yield for a question?
PRESIDENT:
He indicates he will.
SENATOR PHILIP:
If...if we adopt this Conference Committee Report, what kind of revenue is this going to develop for Cook County? What kind of dollars are we talking about?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip...Senator Harber Hall.
SENATOR HALL:
This would be about seven and a half million dollars total for the State, approximately half of it would be for cook County. I
might remind tine membership that previously there was a four percent taken out as a collector's fee that is no longer authorized, and so the county was deprived of that much.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip.
SENATOR PHILIP:
Do you have any idea how much revenue would be for Dupage County? PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip.
SENATOR HALL:
What's your question, Senator Philip?
PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip.
SENATOR PHILIP:
How much money would it raise for DuPage County?
SENATOR HALL:
About a dollar three eighty-nine.
PRESIDENT:
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.

Senator Philip.
SENATOR HALL:
I...I don't know...

PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip.

\section*{SENATOR PHILIP:}

Well, I think that's too much if you want to know the truth.
We just passed a bill out of here giving the county a two cents for Supervisor of Assessments, we just gave him two cents in a corporate rate. Now you're going to turn around and give them another windfall. And I really question that at this point.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? Senator Netsch. SENATOR NETSCH:

Senator Hall has now reminded us of the cost to the State in lost revenue with this measure, seven and a half million dollars. I ...I think i.t's...at some point we've just got to stop this fiscal madness. We all concede that we are appropriating far more than there is state revenue and than there will be in the next year. \(\because\) At the same time,we've not only been passing volumes and reams of pork barrel bills, and I can recall, I think it was twenty-five in a row that we passed out yesterday afternoon, but we are day after day taking away additional revenue from the state in one form or another. Now, there is no way that the amount of excess expenditure and the amount of reduced revenue are going to meet somehow to produce a balanced budget. I fully recognize that there is a need at the county level, and I think no one is really disputing that, Senator Hall. It is true that we have just granted a two percent increase in the corporate tax rate for counties. There have been about five other bills, the status of which I do not know at the moment, to increase county revenues, and \(I\) think we have just got to sit where we are right now until we get our own House in order at the state level. There is no way we can avoid some additional legislative work to try to solve our
own fiscal problems, and I think it is just insane to take away State revenues at this point.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on...is that a House or Senate Bill? House Bill 1146. Those. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 7, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1146, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. While we're on that order of business, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which the Senate refused to concur in House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 477. PRESIDENT: \(\therefore:\) You heard the motion. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Senate Bill 477. is on reconsideration. Serator Egan.

SENATOR EGAN:
Yes. Now, Mr. President, I move to concur in Senate... in House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 477. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? The question is...Senator Wooten, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR WOOTEN:
Mr. President, I'm having a little hard time finding out just what the effect of...of this proposal is. Could Senator Egan tell us on that? I...I want to know substantially what happens. PRESIDENT:

For what purpose does Senator Rock arise?
SENATOR ROCK:

Well, just as a point of clarification. We had previously refused to concur in four amendments which the House adopted to this Senate bill. Senator Egan has, rightly, moved to reconsider the vote by which we refused to concur, and the matter is back before us for concurrence in four House amendments to this Senate bill.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
Yeah, that I know. I just wanted to know what the amendments are, what they do. PRESIDENT:

Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

Yes, Senator Wooten, it's a restoration to GRF of two hundred and thirty six-thousand, three hundred dollars. Restoration the revolving Garage Fund of a miliion, five nundred and forty-two thousand, one hundred dollars. And the deletion of four hundred and fortyfive thousand, one hundred dollars from the IIS. PRESIDENT:

Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:
\(\therefore\) So you're. . you're adding money back in for other things and deleting money for IIS. Is that the total appropriation for IIS? PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock. Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

The...the restoration is in the total aggregate amount if you add the two figures, two thirty-six, three hundred and a million, five hundred forty-two thousand, one hundred, and the IIS was put in and taken out by the House, so I'm just concurring in that. PRESIDENT:

Any further...Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

The...the IIS was put in and taken out by the House, but now is the effect of this, then,...

PRESIDENT:
Senator Wooten has the Floor.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
I have the Floor but I...it does...yes, I just want to know, does this delete all the appropriations for the IIS? PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Well, again, for point of clarification. When Senate Bill 477 left the Senate that line item for the Illinois Information Service was not in the bill, and the bill passed that way. When the bill was on the floor of the House it, also, passed that way with IIS out. As it came back with four House amendments IIS was, in fact, out having been considered by both houses. It is out now. PRESIDENT:

Senator: Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

I am sorry. Maybe we brought up a subject that doesn't exist. How is it that our actions, then, will take something out which is already out? oh, we have a misstatement, is that it? PRESIDENT:

Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
Yes. Let me explain. The attempt was made to restore that in the committee and the floor of the House. It was never adopted, so the subject matter is one of merely the document of the committee document adopting the amendment in committee, but the full House refused to adopt the committee amendment.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:

Alright. We are...it still doesn't make a whole lot of sense to me, Senator. I'm trying to...you're...you're....are we dealing with a Conference Committee Report that tried to put it back in? PRESIDENT:

No.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
That's it. And we, by this action we'll be eliminating it, huh? It's out. Okay.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? Senator Harris. SENATOR HARRIS:

Yes. A parliamentary inquiry. It is my understanding that the two Houses agreed to the creation of a Conference Committee with respect to the differences on Senate Bill 477. Is that not a fact? PRESIDENT:

That is correct.
SENATOR HARRIS:
And is it not a fact that once into a Conference Committee, the way to resolve the matter is to proceed with approval of the report of the respective Conference Committees, whether they be Conference Committee No. 1 on a bill, or Conference Committee No. 2. Is that not the case?

PRESIDENT:
For what purpose does Senator Rock arise? SENATOR ROCK:

Well, in...he was requesting a ruling of the Chair apparently. And I would like to add for clarification, that no, that is not the case. The only reason that a Conference Committee was, in fact, requested was that the Senate refused to concur in four House amendments. Senator Egan has now realized the error of his ways and has sought leave to reconsider which was granted, and he is now moving for concurrence in four House amendments. We're attempting to agree with the House, and hence, obviate the need for a Conference Committee.
-

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Senator Harris.

\section*{SENATOR HARRIS:}

Oh, but the Journals of the two Houses will show that the House... the Senate refused to concur, and requested the House to recede, and the House Journal will show that it refused to recede and requested a Conference Committee. Isn't that not the case with respect to Senate Bill 477?

PRESIDENT:
Yes. SENATOR HARRIS:

And it...then once you have passed the milestone of our refusal to concur, and the House's refusal to recede, we have no alternative except to proceed with a Conference Committee. It seems to me that our Journals will become confused if we don't pursue those orderly steps of resolving the differences between the Houses. That once we are committed to a position of nonconcurring in the House that whose bill has been amended, and the request to recede by the amending House has not been complied with, the automatic next step is a request for a Conference Committee. Otherwise, we can become embroiled in enumerable messages back and forth between the two Houses on the question of concurrence, and receding from amendments, and that we have established a quite orderly procedure to create a Conference Committee to resolve the differences no matter what they might be between the two Houses, and that's, in fact, where we are. The messages have actually gone between the two Houses.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I submit that there is ample precedent for this action. I can remember doing this in the 77 th General Assembly. The matter of Senate Bill 477 is physically in the control of the Senate, and the initiatory action with respect to the Conference Committee process
started here. And what we are now saying is we have reconsidered that action, and now wish to agree with the House in all its amendments... PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, to point out to Senator Rock that his motion to reconsider on the refusal to adopt the House amendments would be fine, and we can now concur. But the motion must be to reconsider the appointment of a Conference Committee. That has been done by a majority vote. Now, the House has done the same. The Conference Committees exist you can concur the actions ineffective, because if the House now adopts their Conference Committee Report, that leaves us passing the bill in two separate forms. The motion has to be that we have...we have, in fact, appointed a Conference Committee, it has life whether or not you concur with this or not. The action has gone beyond that. PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Constitutionally, both Houses are required to pass a bill in the identical form. What we are saying by this motion and this action is that we wish to pass the bill and as in the form it was sent to us by the House, namely, with four House amendments. PRESIDENT:

Senator Harris. SENATOR HARRIS:

I would point out that Senator Rock stated that we initiated the activity that created the Conference Committee by our nonconcurrence, and I would respectfully disagree. The initiation for the creation of the Conference Committee, in fact, does not occur at that point. It occurs at the point where the House refuses to recede. That's the point where the next step is a Conference Committee. When we nonconcur, and request the House to recede, the next step is a possibility that the House will recede, and then there is no requirement for a

Conference Committee, but then the next action would be the House would pass the bill in the form it reached them from the Senate. Now, we've actually taken steps beyond that. The House refused to recede and requested a Conference Committee. The two Houses have created their respective members to the first Committee of Conference in regard to the differences between the two Houses on Senate Bill 477. And that's where we are.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I point out that we have now reconsidered whether or not there are, in fact, differences between the two Houses. And with respect to your initiatory procedure, it's like left foot, right foot. I submit that the initiation of any ultimate Conference Committee is where there's...one House refuses to concur in the other House's Amendments. That begins the process, the standard procedure. The fact of the matter is that we have now reconsidered that and wish to pass Senate Bill 477 in the identical form in which the House passed it. Namely, with four House amendments.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Clarke. No. Senator Harris. SENATOR HARRIS:

Well, I would point out that I think we're establishing a very serious problem of procedure here if we go ahead that way. Now, very frankly, my attention was diverted at the time the ruling was made that the motion to reconsider the vote by which the...the concurrence in the House amendments was denied...I was not aware that the ruling was that leave was granted for that motion to reconsider. I think the...the Senate ought to be on very careful notice of that question, and I'm not at all raising the point that the Chair did not accurately read the temper of the. . of the Senate on that question, on the question of leave to reconsider the motion by which the amendments in the House to Senate Bill 477 were not concurred in. I...I'm confident
that the Chair was just reading the attitude of the Senate properly. The fact is, I don't think the members of the Senate were mindful of the importance of that specific question. I very conscientiously believe that we do not have the opportunity to deal with that question directly once we have gone beyond those various milestones and gotten ourselves into the establishment of a Conference Committee. I respectfully suggest that that option is not available to us, and for the long-run orderly procedure that we ought to preserve we should, in fact, not at this juncture begin stepping back beyond milestones that we've already crossed or passed, and I think there's a very serious, long-run important question here for us to be mindful of. I think once in a Conference Committee you got to stay there. PRESIDENT:

\section*{Senator Egan.}

\section*{SENATOR EGAN:}

Thank you, Mr. President. This is final Senate action. We have not lost any jurisdiction in the matter in the Senate by asking and then retracting our request. This is a motion to concur in the amendments adopted by the House, and I so move, Mr. President. PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:
- On the question of passing bills in both Houses, I just think that we ought to realize that the House Journal will show, no matter what action this Body takes, that a Conference Committee Report was requested and acceded to, and a Conference Comittee was appointed in both Houses. The Conference Committee if its report is not filed in the House and the Senate it means the bill is ineffective. Now, we've gone through that. If both Houses don't adopt the Conference Committee, which this Body and that Body has established, then the bill has not passed both Houses in the same form, and the Journals will show that no matter what we do here. PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock
SENATOR ROCK:
Well, I would point out that the Journal will, also, reflect upon our final action the fact of our final action. The Secretary will inform the Clerk of the House for the purpose of the Journal that the Senate has, in fact, concurred in these four House amendments by record vote, so that the constitutional requirement in section eight with respect to passage of bills will, in fact, have been complied with. This bill came out of the House with a hundred and thirtyseven affirmative votes with those four amendments on it. And what I...what we're suggesting here is that we...this is final action, and the House Journal, once final action has been taken, will so reflect.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
Obviously, there is a clear-cut division on this difference of opinion. I suggest that we go ahead and vote. I would urge that we nonconcur, because I believe that beyond the question of what you... what your convictions are in this matter, if you vote to concur, you're going to throw the whole thing into hazard. I would suggest that we just go ahead, have the vote, vote to nonconcur, and then ratify our action of the other day. PRESIDENT:

Senator Harris.
SENATOR HARRIS:
Well, Mr. President, I would cite that provision in Rule 49 that states very clearly - no motion for the reconsideration of any vote shall be in order after a bill, resolution, message, and this is the key word here, I believe, message, report, amendment or motion upon which the vote was taken, shall have gone out of the possession of the Senate. I believe, the message acceding to the request for a Conference Committee controls. And that's the vexy reason why this
language is in this provision in rule 49. To make operative once we get beyond the point where we can agree without the creation of a Conference Committee, that once we get into a Conference Committee that is the procedure to resolve our differences. That we do not have the option to back out, and I just think that we're on some very, very important ground here, that we ought to preserve the integrity of the creation of the Conference Committee which has ocurred here.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Senator Harris, the question has caused the Chair some real moment. That part of section 49 , the rule 49 that you've read does, in fact, apply to bills, resolutions, messages, reports, amendments or so forth. The question here is which does it refer to in this instance. If this bill were no longer in the possession of the Senate, the Chair would...the Chair would not have any difficulty whatsoever in making a decision. The bill is, nowever, in the possession of the Senate. The message may well be in the possession of the House. The basic question, it seems to me, is what activates what, and what comes first. In this instance, the Senate refused to concur in House Amendments \(1,3,4\) and 5 , and sought a Conference Committee. That message has gone to the House. The Senate, now, on a motion has moved to reconsider the vote...Senator Harris, I want you to hear this...the Senate has, now, moved to reconsider the vote by which they did not concur. The bill, 477, has been passed in the House with those four amendments in addition to those in which we did concur. It seems to me logically and on the basis of common sense that where the House, in this instance where the Senate, moves to change or alter its action whereby they... where they did not concur, that they are then saying that we do desire to concur. The bill still being in the possession of the Senate, concurrence to me then would be...absolutely nothing wrong with it. It would override and preponderate the sending of a message asking for a Conference Committee to be appointed in a situation where the senate had already concurred
. in those amendments. Senator Bruce.
2. SENATOR BRUCE:
3. Well, Mr. President, the only problem with that, if that is your
4. ruling, is the fact that the bill left here, the House then has do-
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11. main over it. They take...

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

But the bill has not left here. The bill is here, Senator,... SENATOR BRUCE:

No... no...
PRESIDENT:
...in the possession of the senate.
SENATOR BRUCE:
Let me continue. It went to the House and they adopted four amendments. Alright. It came back here and we refused to concur and sent a message to the House to that effect. It then left our jurisdiction.
PRESIDENT:
fo The message left our jurisaiction, yes.
SENATOR BRUCE:
That is...that is correct. It then returns to the House. They... PRESIDENT:

Wait...no, don't say it returns...
SENATOR BRUCE:
Oh, yes, it does. The message returns...
PRESIDENT:
The message went to the House, but the bills still...
SENATOR BRUCE:
That's right.
PRESIDENT:
...remain here.
SENATOR BRUCE:
They refused to recede and requested appointment of a Conference Committee. It then bounces back over here and we acceded to the
request, by motion, that a Conference Committee be appointed. That message then went back to the House stating that the Senate had acceded to the request of the House that a Conference Committee be appointed. Now, you can do whatever you want to, that message is over there. Now, the bill here I don't care who's got a copy of the bill, the problem is that the House has taken action on our request, we've taken action on their request to accede to a Conference Committee Report, and it's in conference, and that's where it is. So, it's not in our domain at all.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Bruce, I'm trying to get the factual situation straight, because I think what you have said is in excess of what is actually transpired. We did, in fact, nonconcur. That message did, in fact, go to the House. Whether or not the House then sent another message, and whether or not we acceded to the appointment of a conference Committee, we do not know at this moment. A Conference Committee, in my opinion, I do not think has been appointed. I don't think we have acceded in the Senate to appoint. a conference. But, even if we have the point is that the bill is still in the possession, and the only purpose for a Conference Committee would be to address itself to those amendments to which the senate did not concur. If the Senate is going to concur in those amendments it would be needless and absolutely without any impact to have a Conference Committee. PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:

The question is, then, has the House receded to our request? PRESIDENT:

I'Il have to get that fact. I don't know that. But, even if they had what difference would it make if the Senate... SENATOR BRUCE:
```

Well, if...if...well...

```

PRESIDENT:
            ...is willing to concur in the amendments?

SENATOR BRUCE:
If they... if they recede, Mr. President, then we have, in fact, have passed the bills in two different forms.

PRESIDENT:
Absolutely, absolutely not.
SENATOR BRUCE:
If they recede from the House amendments and we concur in the House amendments, are you telling me we passed the bill in the same form. Absolutely not.

PRESIDENT:
I am saying...
SENATOR BRUCE:
Absolutely not.
PRESIDENT:
You were asking a question. I would say this to you. The House passed the bill...just a moment, just a moment. The House passed a bill containing, among other amendments, Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5. That was a version of the bill that passed the House. The House's intention, as reflected by their vote, is that Senate Bill 477 become law including those amendments, \(1,3,4\) and 5 . Whatever the Senate does to adopt that procedure, or to adopt those amendments is in conformity with the desire of the House. Now, if we are going to concur in them then the bill will be in the form as passed by the House. They do not desire a Conference Committee in a matter where they have already decided it. That's the whole point. Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:

But, Senator Harris' point has to be made again. If the message is in the House to recede, we can't concur. It has already left this Body, because the fact that we would concur leaves them the option of receding.

PRESIDENT:
But, you see, Senator, we are not reconsidering the message: We
are reconsidering the bill.
SENATOR BRUCE:
Well, Senator Rock said that they had refused to recede and asked the appointment of a Confernce Committee.

PRESIDENT:
But, you see the Conference Committee...you have to look at this on the basis of common sense. What is the purpose of a Conference Committee? A Conference Committee is set up where there is disagreement between the Houses. Now, the House has passed the bill in this form. If this Senate adopts those amendments, there is no disagreement. So, there would be absolutely no need for a Conference Committee.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Well, excepted by motion this House would have appointed a Conference Committee, and by motion the House has appointed a Conference Comittee, and those motions have not been withdrawn or reconsidered. PRESIDENT:
..: Senator Netsch.
SENATOR NETSCH:
If, in fact, you're correct, Mr. President, that neither the House nor the Senate has any disagreement about this bill any longer, then the very simple way that that can be set back into motion again is by moving to reconsider the vote by which the Conferencecommittees were created instead of leaving this tremendous gap in the Journal, which you know nothing we do is going to make those Conference Committees just evaporate into thin air. They are in the Journal, and they've got to be accounted for. So, if everything is all lovey dovey now and everybody's in agreement about what should happen, then it should be no problem to get both Houses adopt a motion to reconsider the vote by which the Conference Committees were created. PRESIDENT:

There's nothing in the nonconcurrence motion that asks for the adoption of a Conference Committee. You simply vote Aye and Nay on
nonconcurrence and the House is asked to be informed. That's all it amounts to. Now, we're reconsidering that motion and that motion has been reconsidered and we're now on the question of concurrence in House Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5. The question is shall the...Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:
Thank you, Mr. President. I just want to ask a question. I want to know what the four House amendments did. We've talked about the rulings, we've talked about common sense. If common sense is prevailing I'm certainly not aware of it, but I'd at least like to know what the four House amendments did.

PRESIDENT:
When Senator Egan rose on the question he explained those four House amendments. I do not know where you were, but he explained them. He explained it...someone else asked the same question, and he explained it again. Do you want it explained again? Read the transcript. Senator Egan, would you explain again what those four amendments are.

SENATOR EGAN:
Alright. I would, Mr. President, certainly. I would not want Senator Philip to be totally unaware of what he's doing when he votes. It is a restoration amendment, Amendment No. 1 is a restoration amendment in the total amount of two hundred thirty-six thousand, three hundred dollars. Now, that money was removed, and we're putting. ..much, much more was removed, and we're putting that small amount back in at the request of the department, and also, for the Garage Revolving Fund we are including one million, but we are subtracting one million, five hundred and forty-two thousand, one hundred dollars from the Garage Revolving Fund at the request of the House. The reason that we are doing that, wait a minute, we are acceding to that House request is because the department has learned since it introduced its budget, that it does not need that amount of money. So, the total reduction, as a result of Amendment No. 1, is
one million, two hundred and thirty some thousand dollars that will be taken from the appropriation from General Revenue Funds for the department. Amendment No. 3 restores money that was cut from the office of the supervising architect by the amendment which I had just discussed with you, and it restores a hundred sixty-five thousand dollars. So the cut, so far, is about one million, one hundred thousand dollars. We wish to accede in those two amendments. Amendment No. 4 creates a standard section in the Division of Materials Procurement so that they do not have to completely...continuously compare items against one another. The Standards Division will do that for them. When they want to purchase something, the standards Division will tell them which item is the best one to purchase. And Senate Amendment No. 5 deletes the appropriation for the Illinois Information Service which was adopted on the Floor after the...the committee restored that money. That money was not in the budget when it left the Senate. It was restored in committee, and this amendment removed it from the committee restoration.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip.
SENATOR PHILIP:
Well, I want to thank you, Senator Egan. I'm certainly better informed. Wasn't this the bill we had in Conference Committee last night that we came...that the Conference Committee agreed on? Didn't we agree on some amendments in Conference Committee? Now, where is that...I signed that Conference Committee Report. I don't know what you did, but I signed it. Where is it?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
We did not agree to that, Senator Philip. And wherever that document is \(I\) don't know. What we're doing now is taking final action on the action that was adopted in the House. And I'm making a motion that we concur in the amendments that :were adopted by the
1.

House so that the bill can go to the Governor.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Philip.
SENATOR PHILIP:
I just might add this, Senator Egan. You did not agree, but the majority of that committee agreed if you'll remember. We took a vote, the majority of that committee agreed, and that... and that Conference Committee Report ought to be here, it ought to be at the Secretary's Desk, and that's what we ought to be voting on. PRESIDENT:

Senator Harris.

\section*{SENATOR HARRIS:}

Mr. President, that is the point that I wanted to make, and I've just been waiting to point that out, that the members of that conference Committee met last night, and a majority of the membership of that Conference Committee, and that majority was Senator...it's my understanding, Senators Howard Mohr, Philip and Buzbee and Representatives Lechowicz, Telcser and Winchester, signed their approval and produced a majority recommendation from the first Committee on Conference with respect to the differences between the two Houses on Senate Bill 477. Now that; in fact, took place last night, and that conclusion was reached, and I respectfully point out to the membership of the Senate that we have no option except to proceed with the consideration of that Conference Committee Report, and no other option. Now, I just think that's where we are, and the membership of the Senate ought to be on that notice. PRESIDENT:

\section*{Senator Egan.}

SENATOR EGAN:
Thank you, Mr. President. The issue is whether or not we should concur in the House amendments to reduce the budget by a million, one hundred thousand dollars. That is the motion. That is the issue. And I so move.

PRESIDENT:
The Chair has been trying to determine if it is a fact that a Conference Committee Report is in existance. As far as we know from the Secretary's office there is no Conference Committee Report or none has been filed there. We will, also, make the inquiry as into Senator Rock's office, because it may well have been sent there to his secretary. It is a substantial difference if, in fact, there has been a Conference Committee Report made, or if it's in our possession. I'm not sure that one has been filed. (Machine cut-off) SENATOR PHILIP:

Well, Mr, President, I know there's one in existance, because I signed it this morning, and we did meet on it last night. Now, maybe this is a case of somebody eating the Conference Committee Bill as Senator Graham had suggested had been done some years ago and... up in Enrolling and Engrossing...I don't know. But we did, in fact, sign a committee...or Conference Committee Repori.

PRESIDENT:
¿ Senator Netsch.
SENATOR NETSCH:
I would just suggest, Mr. President, that the critical thing is not even whether a Conference Committee Report has yet been filed, but whether there were motions duly made by both Houses to create the committee and set it to work. That is what I've suggested and others have suggested, has got to be undone. You can't just erase that from the books, and pretend it never happened. And that would be true whether or not the report ever got back to being filed yet. There...that committee is in existance whether or not it's agreed yet.

PRESIDENT:
Would you... would you not agree that if this House by record vote agreed to concur in the amendments that that action would preponderate any action of a Conference Committee? Senator Netsch. SENATOR NETSCH:
1.
        \(\therefore\) Yes, but only if you take an intervening step which is to get rid
    of the Conference Committee itself and that procedure. Again, I
    would suggest that...
    PRESIDENT:
        Its impact would merge...
    SENATOR NETSCH:
        ...it's possible to go back, but...
    PRESIDENT:
    Its impact would merge into the vote of...
        SENATOR NETSCH:
            No, no, I'm sorry. I don't...
        PRESIDENT:
            ...this Body.
        SENATOR NETSCH:
            ...think that can be done, Mr. President. I really don't.
        PRESIDENT:
            The question is shall the Senate concur in Amendments 1, 3, 4 and
        5: to Senate Bill 477. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote
        Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
        On this question, the Ayes are 20, the Nays are 31 , with 1 voting
        Present. The Senate does not concur in Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5...
        we're on Senate Bill 477...the Senate does not concur in Amendments
        1, 3, 4 and 5, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator
        Bloom.
        SENATOR BLOOM:
            Yeah. Do we nonconcur for the second time?
        PRESIDENT:
            That is correct.
        SENATOR BLOOM:
            Thank you, Mr. President.
        PRESIDENT:
            But only by virtue of a regular motion and a special Motion in
        Writing. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Just so all the constitutional lawyers are satisfied, does this mean we send a second message to the House that we have nonconcurred a- second time. Since the House would not know that we have... PRESIDENT:

I think...
SENATOR BRUCE:
...in fact, reconsidered on nonconcurrence the first time. PRESIDENT:

I think it would be surplusage but I think in the interest of keeping the record straight, we could send a second message, setting forth in that message that it came pursuant to a motion being filed today. On the order of Conference Committee Reports. Messages from the House. Read them, SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendments 1, 3, 4, 8 and 9 to House Bill 1314, and nonconcurred in Amendments 2, 5, 6 and 7. Senator Joyce is the chief sponsor.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Joyce.
SENATOR JOYCE:
I would...Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would move to nonconcur with the other ones, and form a Conference Committee. PRESIDENT:

You heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. The Ayes have it, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Welsh. SENATOR WELSH:

Mr. President, I wonder if I could the members of the Conference Committee, Senate...House Bill 556, to meet in Senator Savickas office immediately. And that's Senators Shapiro, Weaver, Buzbee
and Senator Palmer.
PRESIDENT:
On the order of...Alright, go ahead.
SECRETARY:
A Message from the House, Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendments \(1,2,3,4,6,7,16\) and 18 to House Bill 289, and have nonconcurred in Amendments No. 8, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15. PRESIDENT:

That's...House Bill 289. I think that was Senator Lemke. Senator Lemke, they nonconcurred in Amendments No. 8, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15. What is your pleasure?

SENATOR LEMKE:
I move that we not recede and ask for a Conference Committee. PRESIDENT:

Senator Lemke moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Amendments...from adoption of Amendments No. 8, 9, 10, 11, 14 and 15, and further move that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. One more. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendments 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 2989, and nonconcurred in Amendment No. 5. PRESIDENT:

What's the number, please. That's House Bil. 1 2989. Senator Hynes. Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:
I move that we refuse to recede and request that a Conference Committee be appointed.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Hynes moves that we refuse to recede on House Bill \(28 . .\). 2989 and moves further that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. On the order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 735, Senator Vadalabene. This is from the latest list you were passed. And by way of alerting you, these are the ones that will be called. House Bill 735, Vadalabene. 1089, Dougherty. 1360, Wooten. 1366, Wooten. 1977, Demuzio. Dougherty, 3002 and Senator Weaver, we will be calling Senate Bill 348. For Kenneth Hall we'll be calling Senate Bill 510. For Regner we'll be calling 858. I just want to alert you. Senator Rock. Take him out of the record. House Bill 735, Senator Vadalabene. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The House Bill 735, I believe, is the appropriation for the Illinois Veteran Commission which was reduced, I think, to approximately three quarters, and I move for its adoption. Anyway, it's an agreed Conference Committee Report. The reduction was approved, and also included in that was a restoration of forty thousand dollars, and I move for its adoption. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 735. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1. The Senate adopts the Conference Committee Report on House Bill. 735, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. The Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Dougherty, House Bill 1089. Senator Wooten, House Bill 1360.

SENATOR WOOTEN:
Mr. President and colleagues, I did not sign this report, and for
á very simple reason that the appropriation for OSI is stricken. All other points are in agreement. That's the only point of contention remaining. I, quite frankly, do not know which is the best way to recede...to proceed. My own feeling is that we should refuse to adopt this report, and ask for another Committee of Conference. I don't know since I did not sign it whether that, in fact, should be the procedure followed. I'm a little bit at a loss. My own feelings is that we should not adopt the Conference Committee Report and ask for a second committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
You.. You are making that motion?
SENATOR WOOTEN:
Yes, I do.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Wooten moves to not to recede...alright, the question is the...on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report and...just a moment, Senator Savickas. Senator Wooten is speaking against the adoption, but the question will be on the adoption.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Yes, I would just comment on the adoption that six members signed this report. It was thoroughly debated in our conference Committee. It restores quite a bit of money to the law enforcement department, and I would suggest that we should support the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Glass.

\section*{SENATOR GLASS:}

Mr. President, have you ruled that the correct motion is to adopt? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Yes, that will be the motion. Is there further discussion? Senator Morris.

SENATOR MORRIS:
I have a question of Senator Wooten if I may, as the sponsor of
the bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
You may. He indicates he'll respond.
SENATOR MORRIS:
Why are you opposed to adopting the Conference Committee Report? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
All points have been agreed on save one. That is four hundred thirty-eight thousand, one hundred dollars for the Office of Special Investigations. This Conference Comittee Report eliminates funds for the OSI. There are several grounds on which I opposed this. Perhaps the closest, practical, gut level reason is because I think we ought to stop trying to teach the Governor a lesson by giving him a hammer to hit us over the head. I think we should not adopt this Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Clarke. Senator Graham, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR GRAHAM:

I was precluded from hearing the remarks of Senator Savickas, because of the various caucuses.. I would like...did you... what was your suggestion?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Savickas, are you listening to the question?
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Yes.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Proceed. Senator Clarke. Senator Graham, he apparently didn't hear the question.

SENATOR GRAHAM:
I...I was trying to have Senator Savickas reiterate his feeling with regard to the adoption of this Conference Committee Report. Don't start the caucuses again. I'd like to hear this.
1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
2. Senator Savickas.
3. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes. Senator Graham, the...Conference Committee Report was signed 5. by six members. What is does is restore twenty-seven thousand, nine
6. hundred dollars into the Boiler Inspection Department, and restores 7. fifty-three thousand dollars in the Bureau of Identification, restores 8. monies in the Fire Prevention Bureau, I think it's two hundred and 9. sixty or sixty-five thousand dollars, it restores three hundred and 10. fifty-five thousand dollars into, I think it's the Bureau of Identi11. fication or State Police...the Race Track Investigation that includes
12.

Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce: SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, I suppose everyone now knows the bone of contention here is the Office of Special Investigation specially created to investigate official corruption in the State of Illinois. And if we adopt this Conference Committee Report, newspapers around the State are going to say politicians don't want investigations of their own crimes. Now, fellows, we-have cut this out-in the past. - The Governor's now put it in the budget. It's right there. The only thing there is a bone of contention on in this budget is the OSI, and that's where we are, and that's why this Conference Committee must be rejected. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

I merely want to repeat and make clear to the Body that all the other elements in this Conference Committee Report are agreed on, and in subsequent Conference Committees, if indeed we have them, I don't think there will be any change in this point. The only thing I disagree on is the elimination of OSI. Regardless of your philosophical position on this, I think practically it is a very bad move to eliminate this agency. I'Il repeat my phrase, before if you didn't hear it. I think it amounts to trying to teach the Governor a lesson by giving him a hamer to hit you over the head with. I suggest we refuse to adopt this Conference Committee Report and let's see what the will of the Body is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1360. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31 , the Nays are 17. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1360, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. A request for verification is made. Will the
members please be in their seats. = The Secretary will call the affirmative vote. SECRETARY:

The following voted in the affirmative: Berning, Brady, Carroll, Course, Daley, Dougherty, Egan, Graham, Kenneth Hall, Harris, Hynes, Kosinski, Latherow, Lemke, McCarthy, Mitchler, Howard Mohr, Nimrod, Nudelman, Ozinga, Palmer, Philip, Regner, Rock, Savickas, Shapiro, Smith, Vadalabene, Weaver., Welsh, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Morris. Senator Palmer's on the Floor. Is Senator Pate Philip on the Floor? Is he within the Bar of the Senate? Strike him from the record. The roll call is verified. On thirty votes, yes. Senator Partee.
SENATOR PARTEE:
I just wanted to say I think it's very, very considerate and very, very thoughtful for Senator Dougherty to have to chase out here when he's sitting right there in his office. You know, we've got to use some common sense sometimes, I think, fellows. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWAID)

House Bill... On that question, the Ayes are 30 , the Nays are 17. Senator Savickas...just a moment. Let me finish the...just a moment. The Nays are 17 and the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1360, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Savickas. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Having...having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

You heard the motion. All those in favor say Aye. Senator Carroll moves to Table. All those in favor say Aye. The motion is to Table. House Bill 1366, Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Yes, Mr. President and colleagues. The Conference Committee Report No. 1 to House Bill 1366 recognizes the House reduction of 3.4 million
which is three and a half percent from the department's operations budget Reduces all other items except Personal Services and Commodities by six percent. It reduces Personal Services and Adult Institutions by eliminating all new positions with the exception of guards, eight professional positions at the Adult Reception Center in Joliet where most prisoners are processed, thirty-four positions in Cook County in Adult Field Services, which are currently being paid by Federal funds, and the State must now assume responsibility for paying them. It restores grants for local juvenile delinquency protection agencies to the original budget request. It makes an overall reduction of 6.5 percent from the department's operation budget. It is almost an even compromise between the House and the Senate version. All members signed. I would ask for the membership to adopt this Conference Committee Report. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1366. All those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed No. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51 , the Nays are none. And the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1366. The bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1977, Senator Demuzio. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. House Bill 1977,I'm sure there will be considerable debate on. What it is it's the Scholarship Commission Appropriation. The House has concurred with Senate Amendments 1, 3 and 5 and are asking that we recede from Senate Amendment No. 4. Actually, what it does it restores six hundred thousand dollars in the Illinois state Scholarship Commission appropriation for scholarships for the Illinois National Guard. And I would move for the adoption of the... of the report, but I'm sure that there will be some comments... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on...House Bill 1977. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed No. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 3, 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1977, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 3002, Senator Dougherty. Senate Bill 348, Senator Weaver. Senate Bill 858, Senator Regner. SENATOR REGNER:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, the Conference Committee asks that the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1, and that the House recede in House Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 858. And then further adds correctional language that's necessary referring to the Department of Mental Health, and adds the words and developmental disabilities, and I move that the Senate do concur in the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 858. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The question is shall the Senate...just a moment...Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 858. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are none, 2 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 858, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Dougherty, on House Bill. 1089.

SENATOR DOUGHERTY:
Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move that we do concur in the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1089. That's the Department of Local Government. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate
adopt the Conference Committee Report on...just a moment. The bill number is 1089, Mr. Secretary. House Bill. The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee on House Bill 1089. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 4, 2 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1089, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Dougherty as to 3002 , House Bill. Senator Dougherty. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move that we do concur in the House... in the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3002, the State Board of Elections.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3002. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are 4. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3002 , and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Kenneth Course as to Senate Bill 348. Senator Course. SENATOR COURSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I move to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 348.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Proceed.
SENATOR COURSE:
What the Conference Committee did was take the amendment which the House put on the bill which would appropriate... which would add around four hundred thousand dollars to the Liquor Control Commission. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate
adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 348. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 348, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Kenneth Hall, do you wish to proceed? The Senate will stand at ease. Senator Harber Hall, please don't do it. Messages from the House. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O"Brien, Clerk. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following preamble and joint resolution, in the adoption of which \(I\) am instructed... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Executive. Senator McCarthy. PRESIDENT:

Conference Committee Reperts. House Bill 783; Sbnatnr Philip. House Bill 1257, Senator Knuppel. Senator Knuppel, Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1257.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
Mr. Chairman, this... PRESIDENT:

President it is. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. It places the bill back in the condition it was in when it came over here, and I have no objection to the way it was. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Buzbee. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, Mr. President, I'm trying to find, right now, this Conference Committee Report on my desk, and \(I\) don't even remember what the bill was about, and that's...my only...my only problem is that I don't want
to be in a position of voting for a Conference Committee when I voted against the bill to start with. If that, in fact, was the case. I don't know. I just want to know what the bill is about. PRESIDENT:

Well, Senator, that would not be inconsistent, because a Conference Committee Report may have altered the bill substantially. SENATOR BUZBEE:

I understand that, Mr. President. Thank you. PRESIDENT:

Senator Knuppel. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

This is a bill that originally when it come over here, struck out the language with reference to girls working and so forth under fourteen years of age standing on their feet. Senator Lemke amended it so that it went for both children, both kinds of children, girls and boys, and said that they could sit down at different times. And that was the amendment that was put on. The Conference Committee Report puts it back in the condition it came over here. It had already been stricken in 701. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1257. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 45 , the Nays are none, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1257, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1303, Senator Kenneth Hall. SENATOR Hall:

Thank you, Mr. President. I motion...move to concur with the Conference Committee Report. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Buzbee.
(3)

SENATOR BUZBEE:
The same question again, Mr. President. What's the bill about, and what's the Conference Committee Report do?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Hall.
SENATOR HALL:
This is the Department of Personnel, and the Conference Committee restores four hundred thousand dollars back into the purse of the Department of Personnel. It's approved by all the members of the Conference Committee.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is shall House...shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1303. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 2, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1303, and the bill having received the constitutional majority required is declared passed. House Bill 1766, Senator Newhouse. House Bill 1790, Senator Savickas. Senator Savickas, you want to go with House Bill 1790? Conference Committee Report.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Yes, I would move to concur with the Conference Committee Report which removes the one Home Rule Amendment on 1790 which was the obscenity bill. So, I would move at this time for concurrence. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1790. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 12, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1790, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill
1. 2065, Senator Howard Mohr. Senate Bill 456, Senator Buzbee. Senator
2. Buzbee.
3. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, Senate Bill 456 is the bill, the Duck Stamp Bill, and what we did was to agree to...originally agree to all of the amendments that the House had put on which were, if you will recall, back in the Senate debates the, attempts were made to put them on here. We defeated them. The House put them on, we decided to concur with those amendments. However, they had one of them that was technically incorrect, and this particular Conference Committee was called to correct that...that...make that technical change. And what it says is that we changed the wording from migratory public waterfowl areas to public migratory waterfowl areas, and I would move the adoption. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion or quacking? Senator Davidson. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Senator Buzbee, does this bill now have this money only going to public refuge, or does it allow the development for duck hunters on private land as the bill originally passed? PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
\(\because\) Well, Senator Davidson, the bill as originally passed did not provide: for money to go to private areas, because you simply cannot do that without statutory authority in the State of Illinois. However, the amendment was put on in the House which clearly delineated the fact that money would only be spent for public areas, and it's still in there. We just made a technical change in this House... in this Conference Committee Report to correct the language. PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Does this bill, then, still have the support of the Illinois

Wildlife Association; the Illinois Duck and Goose Hunters' Association et cetera, the ones who originally came forth to put this five dollar stamp on? My understanding was if it wasn't where they could help produce the habitat in their areas, they were opposed to this bill. Are they still supporting it in the form it is?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Buzbee.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
Ducks Unlimited is fully supportive of the bill in its present form, as well as that group that was complaining about one of the original amendments put on. They are, also, fully supportive.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
I got a question. My copy is dated the 25 th of June. Is that when this committee met and submitted the report?

PRESIDENT:
Ru.. Senator Buzbee.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
That is correct.
PRESIDÉNT:
Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
This report's been on the Secretary's Desk since the 25 th of June. I'd like to know what the heck...

PRESIDENT:
No...
SENATOR BLOOM:
...we've been doing...
PRESIDENT:
No, no...
SENATOR BLOOM:
No?

PRESIDENT:
That's not accurate. The report has been...

\section*{SENATOR BLOOM:}

That's not accurate.
PRESIDENT:
...It's been in the House all that time, and we finally pried it out from over there.

SENATOR BLOOM:
Very well.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Knuppel.
SENATOR KNUPPEL:
For Senator Bloom's benefit, Dale Hamm supports this bill.
PRESIDENT:
Right on, he says. Senator Demuzio.
SENATOR DEMUZIO:
I rise in support of this bill. The three amendments that the House put on are the ones that I wanted to put on in the senate. It's Per \(\quad\). a good bill, now, and urge a favorable roll call. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 456. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1 , with none Voting present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Reporit on Senate Bill 456, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 484; Senator Johns. SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, I move that the Senate concur with the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 484, the ordinary and contingent money for the Department of Mines and Minerals.

PRESIDENT:
Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the

Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 484. Senator Bell. PRESIDENT:
31. SENATOR JOHNS: SENATOR BELL: PRESIDENT:

SENATOR BELL:
I do.
PRESIDENT:

SENATOR BELL:

PRESIDENT:

SENATOR BELL: Johns.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Johns. SENATOR JOHNS: PRESIDENT:

Senator Bell. SENATOR BELL: Senator.

Senator Johns.

Yes, Senator Johns, looking over...

Do you desire to ask him a question?

Well, ask would-the Gentleman yield, first.

Will you yield, Senator?

He indicates he will.

Senator Johns, looking over the Conference Committee report, every line that's been deleted and reinserted has been an increase. Senator

Wait a minute, I'm sorry. Forgive me, Jim...I mean, Senator Bell, would you give me that question again?

Yeah, the changes that have gone on in Conference Committee in reference to Senate Bill 484 are reinstituting additional funds where they originally had been taken out. Might you explain that,

Thank you, Mr. President. The...the restoration of funds takes
place in the section on the division of land reclamation, and the total addition was around eighty-one thousand dollars. The biggest portion, thereof, went into Personal Services, sixteen thousand...no, wait a minute. Contractual Services, twenty-three thousand, five hundred. Does that give you an idea as to what you're looking for? PRESIDENT:

\section*{Senator Sommer.}

SENATOR SOMMER:
Mr. President and members, this additional money is needed, because of that new law we passed last Fall. Illinois has the finest Land Reclamation Law in the nation right now, and we need the money to operate this division for to administer this law.

PRESIDENT:
The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 484. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 50 , the Nays are 2 , with 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill...the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 484, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 635, Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:
Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 635 is the annual appropriation for the Department of Children and Family Services. The Committee on Conference met last night and the report with concurrence with which I'm going to request, states that the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 8, the House recede from House Amendment 4, and that Senate Bill 635 be further amended to...delete six hundred and ninety-four thousand which was added by the Senate in a day-care line item. The two items of controversy, really, the single item of controversy was the two percent transferability clause between line items in Section four with respect to the purchase of service or purchase of care items between the...we,
in the Senate; took the position of the department, because of the increased dollar amount in each of the lines. Particulary, in foster care, residential care, homemaker services did not require the two percent transferability, and in addition, would have given the department the capacity to transfer a total of 1.7 million dollars. We are of the firm belief that if, in fact, the department runs short in a particular line item they should and would be required to come before the General Assembly again and request that kind of a transfer so that we can keep a better handle on and better know where they're spending their money, for what and with what results. In order to accommodate that there was a strong, strong request from the House that the additional money in the day-care line item that. we caused in the Senate Committee to be added on to, in fact, be deleted. The department had opposed the additional money, and the House Committee chose to stay with the department rather than the Senate, and so we conceded so that the bill only makes those two changes. The total amount is one hundred and fourteen million dollars, and I would urge that we concur in the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 635.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? Senator Netsch. SENATOR NETSCH:

Mr. President. Senator Rock, I understand that your explanation that the six hundred and ninety-four thousand in day-care added by the Senate, was cut out by the Conference Committee at the insistance of the House members. Could you just say where that leaves the daycare appropriation, then, in terms of the dollars originally requested and the dollars now being approved by this final action? PRESIDENT:

Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Yes, we are still by virtue of action of the Senate Committee, one million dollars in the day-care line item above what the department
requested.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Netsch.
SENATOR NETSCH:
One million over the original departmental request. Is that right?
Did I hear you?
PRESIDENT:
That's what he said.
SENATOR ROCK:
Yes, that is correct.
PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 635. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 635, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Donnewald. For what purpose does Senator Bruce.., Senator Buzbee arise?

SENATOR BUZBEE:
I won't even respond to that...
PRESIDENT:
No, I was looking at his name here. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Yes, you'll forgive me.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Buzbee.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
...on a point of personal privilege. I just wonder. I'm having a heck of a time keeping all of the papers straight on my desk as it is. I wonder if this sort of thing if we could ask the...the Sergeant-at-Arms to not have this sort of thing distributed right now. You know, send it to my office, send it to my waste can, anywhere but
not on my desk right now, please.
PRESIDENT:
Could...could the Chair make a suggestion to you. Put it... SENATOR BUZBEE:

Yes.
PRESIDENT:
...in your drawer until you're ready to go home.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
Certainly, Senator Donnewald.
PRESIDENT:
Is Senator Bruce on the Floor? Senate Bill 12...House Bill 2065,
Senator Howard Mohr.
SENATOR MOHR:
Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The Conference Committee met on House Bill 2065, and there's some corrective language in there. The...the comittee put into the bill sub-paragraph (H) of the existing Section 8-4-1 of the Municipal Code which was inadvertently left out of the bill... It also adopts the two Senate Amendments, the-Home Rule Amendment and requirement that funds be set aside to pay principal and interest on the bonds. The Conference committee
agreed unanimously. I move the adoption.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
\(\therefore\) Is there further discussion? Senator Regner.
SENATOR REGNER:
Senator Mohr, does this require a referendum?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Howard Mohr.
SENATOR MOHR:
No.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2065. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed No. The voting is open. Have all
those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 40 , the Nays are 6,3 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2065, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Bruce, Senate Bill 1297. Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The Conference Committee Report on 1297 recommends that the Senate concur in the House Amendment. This is the bill that required posting of examinations for positions for the State of Illinois. The House added an amendment which said that requirement of posting would be each individual's department. If it was a law enforcement position they would have to post the examination notice. When it came back over here I inadvertently picked up a bill that, we had amended, and I picked up the unamended version...the amendment made gobbly gook out of the bill when it was pointed out. It is a proper amendment. I would move its adoption...move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1297. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52 , the Nays are none. And the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House...on Senate Bill 1297, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Yes, Senator Vadalabene, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR VADALABENE:

On a point of personal privilege.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
State your point.
SENATOR VADALABENE:
There is being passed around the desks of my colleagues the Illinois Bi-Centennial Commission, and they all seem to be landing
on my desk to truly a great Senator, we won't be apart, and one from Dan Walker. I didn't know he had a desk here. I can't get all these things home, and I'd like to save them. I wonder if the rest of my colleagues would mail them directly to my house. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Sure they will, Senator. Sure they will. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you, Mr. President.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Alright. House Bill 783, Senator Savickas. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President, I would move adoption of the Committee Report No. 1 , signed by all the members on the Conference. And all it does is ask that we.. could not agree on this report and the committee, the whole committee, asked for a second Conference Committee to be appointed. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there leave? The motion is to have a second Conference Committee appointed. All those in favor say Aye. Those opposed No. The Secretary shall so inform the House. A Message from the House. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
\(\because\) Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendment No. 1 to a bill of the following title:

House Bill 3118. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Partee. SENATOR PARTEE:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I move that the Senate recede from the Senate Amendment. This is the bill we passed yesterday that we put on the amendment in lieu of the Catania amendment.

They will not concur in it. I'm not going to fool around with it any longer, and I'd ask for a favorable roll call on the receding from that amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The question is...just a moment. Senator Netsch. SENATOR NETSCH:

Mr. President, I wonder...can you possibly explain why they will not accept this amendment after they put something comparable on to every appropriation bill? It seems to me this is a very reasonable approach to what they were trying to accomplish in the first place. And it doesn't make much sense. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Partee.
SENATOR PARTEE:
I think that's a perfectly legitimate question. I thought the amendment was proper, otherwise I wouldn't have put it on. They will not concur in the amendment. They won't concur because they don't have enough votes to concur in the amendment. There's been a big hassel about it, a big argument about it. Some of them say that the amendment doesn't mean anything, and some of them say that it means too much. So at this point in the Session, I just think we cannot longer perseverate this question, and I'm just going to recede from the amendment, and let's vote the bill out, and I would promise you in the next Session I'll give some time to constructioning an amendment for the Finance Act that will serve this purpose. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Netsch never served in the House. Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would just remind the members that with the Catania Amendment, or the modified Catania Amendment, or with or without them we really don't need
this bill. This is the impoundment bill and we have another chance to defeat it. See if we can do it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The question is shall the Senate recede from Amendment No. 1. Is that the amendment, Senator? To House Bill 3118. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 6, 4 Voting Present. The Senate recedes from Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3118, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:
I think I have another one up there. 610. Do you have a message on 610?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The Secretary indicates he has no... he does not...
SENATOR PARTEE:
I saw it up there myself earlier. This is a Conference Committee Report.: 610.:-

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
On 510, Senator Partee.
SENATOR PARTEE:
© \(I^{\prime \prime} m\) sorry. They have the wrong number here. It is 510. It is 510.: You're right. I don't know...what is it, look at it. I've been confused about these numbers for three days: I don't...no, my bill is 610. That's right.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
A Message from the House.
SECRETARY:
A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to concur with the Senate in the adoption of their Amendment No. I to a bill of the following title: House Bill 1999. They move to nonconcur with the Senate in
their Amendment No. 1.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Harris.
SENATOR HARRIS:
Yes, I move to refuse to recede from our Amendment to House Bill 1999.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? Senator Harris moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of the Amendment No. 1 to House Bill

1999, and that a Conference Committee be appointed. Those in favor say Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Course.

SENATOR COURSE:
I have 713, House Bill 713, here, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Course. SENATOR COURSE:
:. Yes, Mr. President, it's a Governor's amendatory veto on House Bill 713.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
A. Message from the House.

SECRETARY:
A. Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted an amendment offered by the Governor to a bill of the following title, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Bill 713, Senator Course.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Course.
SENATOR COURSE:
Mr. President, I move to accept the Govemor's amendatory veto to House Bill 713. What it does it changes the...the effective date
from 1976 to 1977.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 713 in the manner and form just read by Senator Course. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50 , the Nays are none. The members...the specific recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 713 , having received the required majority vote of the Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Yes, Mr. President, I wonder if we could go to the order of Consideration Postponed to pick up a appropriation bill, and first \(I\) would ask leave to...leave to go to that order of business.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there leave? Senator Clarke.
SENATOR CLARKE:
F.While we're on this order, I'd like to rise on a point of personal privilege if I could. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
E. State your point, Senator.

SENATOR CLARKE:
Well, I put a statement on every member's desk, and I want senator Johns to especially listen. A number of weeks ago we had a little debate about a reduction in an appropritation bill. This statement related to an amendatory veto reduction of some two hundred and sixty thousand dollars in the Auditor General's appropriation that we passed in April. It was about a 6.3 percent cut, and I just wanted...this statement was a statement that Representative McPartlin was reading in the House where the bill originated. I just want to point out, though, that this six percent cut came entirely out of one line item, Contractual Services, and..the Contractual Services are the monies that
the Auditor General uses to hire outside CPA'S to come in and audit state government. And I think that we are receding, we are accepting this in the same sense of fiscal economy that all of us agree we should have, but I do point out that I think that the taking of this six percent out of one line item, indicates there may be an impairment of the ability of our Auditor General to do his job of investigating all of State government, and if that's the case we may be back with a deficiency next January, because it depends entirely on what we ask him to do as to how much he needs to spend. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Bruce as to House Bill 1342. Is that correct? SENATOR BRUCE:

Yes. I'd ask leave to return to the order of consideration... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Leave is granted, I believe, prior to the personal privilege point. SENATOR BRUCE:

I would ask leave to return House Bill 1342 to the order of 2nd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there leave? Leave is granted. We're now on 2nd reading concerning House Bill 1342.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Yes. We adopted five amendments. Unfortunately, when Amendments No, 1 and 2 were adopted that, made 3 and 4 not coincide with the bill. So I would now move to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 4 was adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The motion is to reconsider the vote by which Amendment No. 4 was adopted to House Bill 1342. Those in favor of reconsidering Amendment No. 4...

SENATOR BRUCE:
Okay. Hold on, hold on. There's some objection. Senator Regner will offer identical Amendments No. 6 and 7 to these...Senator Savickas,
you offered No. 5. We are not Tabling No. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Now, we won't have to repeat this if everybody is listening. SENATOR BRUCE:

Right. Did we Table No. 4 ?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The motion has been put before the Body to...the motion is now reconsidered. The motion, now, is to Table Amendment No. 4 to House Bill 1342. All those in favor of Tabling say Aye. All those opposed No. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is now Takled. Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:

Now, we can go through the same procedure on Amendment 3. Move to reconsider and move to Table.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
You heard that motion. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. All those opposed No. The Ayes have it. The Amendment No. 3 is reconsidered. The motion, now, is by Senator Bruce to Table Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1342. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed No. The Ayes have it. The Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1342 is Tabled. Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:

YYes. Mr. ...Senator Regner has Amendments 6 and 7 which do the identical things as 3 and 4 .

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Regner. This sounds a bit silly, but it shouldn't be exactly identical.

\section*{SENATOR REGNER:}

Not quite. They clear up the problems we had after Amendments I and 2 were adopted. Amendment No. 6 , it will be, which replaces 3, will cut out all new programs in the Governor's Traffic Safety Coordinating Committee, and makes a total reduction of three hundred forty-two thousand, three hundred dollars, and I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to Senate...House Bill 1342 .

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 6 be adopted to House Bill 1342. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed No. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Senator Regner.

SENATOR REGNER:
Now, Amendment No. 7 replaces No. 4 which was Tabled prior to this, and it corrects the total in that in the bill, and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
As to Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 1342, is there further discussion? All those in favor of Amendment No. 7, indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed No. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? 3rd reading. Now, we will return back to the order of Consideration Postponed on that bill, and we are going to remain,...is there leave to remain on the order of consideration Postponed at this time? Alright. We have Senate Joint Resolution 1, Senator Partee. Do you wish to hold that? Senate Bill 248, Senator Sommer. Do you wish...Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:
Mr. President...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Do you wish to call the bill?
SENATOR SOMMER:
Yes.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The bill has already been read the 3rd time so you may proceed with the opening statement, Senator.

SENATOR SOMMER:
Mr. President, I would move to concur with House Amendments 1 and 2 on this bill, and I will briefly explain them. House Amendment No. 1 is the Department of Labor's suggested rewrite of Senate Bill 248 as we passed it out of here. It simply provides that an allegation
1. of nonavailability for work cannot be made unless it's supported by 2. a factual statement. This is probably the law now. Certain Federal 3. courts have ruled this, so we're putting it on our statutes. Amendment No. 2 provides that teachers shall not be... not be eligible for unemployment compensation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? Senator wooten and then...Senator Wooten.

SENATOR WOOTEN:
A question of the sponsor.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Sommer, do you wish to respond? He indicates he will. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Are you saying, now, that that's a blanket exclusion? That... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Sommer.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
:.....the teachers are excluded from receiving unemployment compensation?

SENATOR SOMMER:
I.misspoke myself, Senator wooten. What it means is that they're excluded if they have a valid contract that...that carries them over from year to year but, they're simply not teaching in the sumner. They then cannot receive unemployment on the basis of not teaching...because they're not teaching school for those two or three months. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
If a person is employed for a certain period of time at one job in the winter and then works at another job in the summer, and then their summer job, let's say, they're laid off and they have paid into a system, are they not entitled to unemployment compensation? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

\section*{Senator Sommer.}

SENATOR SOMMER:
Senator Wooten, I don't know. I'm no expert on it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

\section*{Senator Wooten.}

SENATOR WOOTEN:
Well, I suggest they are. What happens...if you use this language, Senator, what happens when a teacher has a regular summer job in construction or something else, and has paid into, you know...the premiums have been paid by the employer, they are fully entitled to it, and then they are laid off one summer. The premiums have been paid, and you're saying, now, that that person is excluded from receiving employment?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Sommer.
SENATOR SOMMER:
If they are, they should be.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
That's like paying insurance premiums and then saying that you're not entitled to the benefits of that when you qualify, because of... well, for any reason. I understand what you're trying to get at, Senator Sommer, and you've missed the mark completely. You...you're aiming at the teacher who has a valid contract, and when June rolls around and school is over, then he goes down and files...wants to file for unemployment. That's all been settled, that's all been declared impossible, can't do it. Yes, there was a question as to whether or not that could be done. And it has now been settled. That's a moot point. But, what you're going to do with this is you're going to cut into the person who has been working regularly at other jobs through the summer, the premiums have been paid. On any just determination they're entitled to it, and now you're saying that they aren't. Where
is the justice in that?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Sommer. SENATOR SOMMER:

First of all, Senator Wooten, I'm not saying anything. This was a House Amendment placed on a bill that I had.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Wooten. Time's about expired. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Then you are asking us to concur in that, is that it? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Sommer. SENATOR SOMMER:

Senator Wooten, Senator Soper wants to speak to you about this. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Well, there are several in line for this particular subject, and the next in order is Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:
F..Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, there has been a lot of debate and a lot of misunderstanding, so let's build a watch. First: of all, teachers sign a contract for nine months of work. That's where they sign on the dotted line, and that contract expires, there is an option by many districts that they can be paid over a twelve month period, but no one should make a mistake that they are entitled to their full compensation at the end of nine months if they wish to take it. It's simply like the Legislature now has a choice to take their salary all in January or spread it over monthly payments like Pate Philip's, the bill now allows us to do. What this bill says is a school teacher who has worked consistently during the summer in construction, and that's where most of them work, as supplemental income work each year, and then find this year that the trade is off and cannot find employment in the construction industry. You are saying by Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 248 that that person, who has a
family to support, who has ongoing bills, is not entitled to unemployment compensation benefits. That is completely unfair. Now, if you're worried about the schoolteacher who never works during the summer, and then tries to get unemployment comp, your problems have already been solved by President Ford. It was not a big problem. The only teachers that ever qualified were under the special assistance grants given to the states wholly by Federal money, not out of the unemployment comp fund. Now, that is terminated by a bill that was signed by the President about two weeks ago. What you have now gone ahead and said, is that the schoolteacher who works on a twelve month basis, nine months as a schoolteacher and three months in other employment, cannot get any unemployment comp if he works...cannot find work during the summer. It's just unfair, totally unfair. It's the same thing that happens in the construction industry when they work six and are off six. You're saying that if they know they're going to be unemployed in six months, they shouldn't get their unemployment comp. It's just unfair to say that one class of individuals who work on a temporary basis on a nine month year somehow can't get unemployment during the summer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
\(\because\) Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
\(\because\) Well, I totally disagree with the previous two speakers. A teacher's contract is for twelve months. They work nine months, get paid for twelve. They're trying to say now that if they work through the summer for one year, go back to schoolteaching in the fall, work that year till June, that because they worked the last summer they should now be able to take this summer off as a vacation and collect unemployment compensation using the excuse that as a construction worker they couldn't find jobs. I think this is totally unrealistic. If we're going to allow this type of procedure for people that are paid on a year basis, contracts are for a year, even though the school year teaching is only nine months, eight months, whatever it may be, that
maybe with the better precedent to be said to have teachers and our schools open twelve months a year. That if they're paid for twelve months let them work for twelve months, and not try to get a free vacation out of the unemployment compensation, and this is basically what it amounts to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Soper.
SENATOR SOPER:
Thank you, Mr. President. But to answer Senator Wooten and Senator Bruce. There is a lot of difference between having a job, and...you have a job, and then if you want to go on unemployment compensation it's because the job doesn't exist anymore. But as long as the law is such that a schoolteacher has a twelve month job, and wishes to work during a vacation time the law says that it's a twelve month job only that's the vacation time. Now, if a fellow had a...now, you have... you have vacation time, some people have as much as two months vacation time when they work for a...corporation or at some job. So you'd say... that. you:'d have to say in the same vain that those people when they take their two months vacation time should get unemployment compensation. \({ }^{\text {N }}\) Now, you see, if you have a job that is not... does not qualify you for unemployment compensation because it's really a twelve month job with a vacation period, then you would have to say that in the reverse if you wanted those people, because they worked at a summer job and didn't take their vacation, then the fellow that had two months vacation at any other job could go in for unemployment, because he said now I can't get a job, not the kind of job that I'm working at that pays me for twelve months, but \(I\), during my vacation time, I wanted a different kind of job, and I can't get that. But last summer I did work for my brother-in-law in a gasoline station during those two months, because his wife was ill. Now, this summer his wife isn't ill, I haven't got the job, now I want unemployment compensation. Now, that's ridiculous to say that anybody that had a vacation could collect unemployment compensation. So, you know, nobody
is trying to be mean to schoolteachers, and nobody is trying to be mean to anybody, but if you say it one way, you say it's a horrible thing to take money away from poor people, and the other way you say it's a rip-off, and that's what it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Course.
SENATOR COURSE:
-Yes, Senator Wooten, will you yield for a question, please. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Wooten, would you yield for a question from Senator Course? He indicates he will.

SENATOR COURSE:
Senator Wooten, on what basis do teachers pay into the pension
fund? on a...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Wooten.
SENATOR COURSE:
\(\therefore\)....yearly basis or for nine months of employment.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
\(\therefore\) Senator, I'm not familiar. I would assume it would be on an annual basis, though.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Course.
SENATOR COURSE:
I think that answers the question, and this is a bad bill period.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Wooten. Is there...is there further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:
Yes, Mr. President, \(I\) wonder if the sponsor would yield to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Sommer indicates that he might.

SENATOR NIMROD:
Senator Sommer, today, without your bill, is a teacher eligible for unemployment compensation if he should not be working?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Sommer.
SENATOR SOMMER:
It depends on the circumstances.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Nimrod.
SENATOR NIMROD:
To the best of my knowledge and the best information I can get together, it's my understanding that today, a teacher who is unemployed is ineligible for unemployment compensation. Now, this came out as a matter-of-fact in my discussion on Senate Bill 735, which I finally Tabled, because what I was afraid that we were going to be doing, by amending this law, I would hope that we woula not be making teachers eligible for unemployment compensation in the State of Illinois. Now, does your bill; if it's passed, make them aligible for unemployment compensation as teachers?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Sommer.
SENATOR SOMMER:
No, I don't think so, Senator Nimrod, but it's my understanding that your bill that you put in would have done it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Nimrod. SENATOR NIMROD:

No, my... my bill would not have, because it was amended also. The present bill that we are considering here amends the Federal law and not the State law. So on that basis, I think...I think we have to be careful what we're doing here, because we might just be doing opposite to what you intend to do. Teachers are not covered today as teachers. I think that if we do anything...they were ineligible
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
for unemployment compensation in the state of Illinois. If...if this in any way makes them eligible 1 , think we are defeating the purpose of the bill, and I would hope that...if I could get a definite answer to it I could support your bill, but you won't give me an answer, so in that case \(I\) could not see us taking a chance to make teachers eligible for unemployment compensation which they are not eligible today. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Sommer.
SENATOR SOMMER:
Well, Senator Nimrod... Senator Nimrod, my heart's broken if you won't support this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Wooten. Second time around.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
That's right. I simply want to point out what Senator Nimrod said is a live possibility. No question about it, because it cuts both ways. The point he makes is valid. I would like to point out I think it's so easy to blur the issue here. We're...you're trying to put in something that's already taken care of. We're talking about a person who is a teacher and a construction worker, fully invested as a construction worker, and you want to deny them the rights to which they are entitled. Now, we have had differences on other bills, and I accept those. I put in a couple of bills for teachers and they've" lost. Fine, that's okay, I accept that, but I tell you this is being vindictive and petty and unjust. This law is simply going far beyond any reason...any reason that you want to apply to the case. And there is that caution that been trying to do this you're going to find the sword that will cut back the other way and accomplish something you don't want.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator...Senator Nudelman, for what purpose so you arise?
SENATOR NUDELMAN:

Move the previous question, Mr. President?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
I think we just have one more and that...that would be it, if you will withold that. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:
I...I hope that the members heard the comments of senator Nimrod, because that's exactly why he did not proceed with his bill. By making the definition of one who has a nine month contract, and then has a termination in on going on into the Fall, the definition, I think, possibly, very well could open up the unemployment compensation fund to schoolteachers who do not, in fact, get employment in the... in the school term. There are presently six thousand unemployed schoolteachers. Now, when you start dinking around with these definitions this is the kind of problem. You try to be vindictive and say that teachers can't get unemployment comp during the summer. I think that's what...what the bill actually does. But I think by your own very definition if they don't have employment when September comes, they're entitled to twenty-six weeks of unemployment compensation, which they're not entitled to now, and we have six thousand unemployed teachers. I have mixed emotions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
F. Senator Sommer may close the debate, or do you wish to vote on the concurrence? Senator Sommer. SENATOR SOMMER:

Just to close I...you know, if you want to call me petty, that's fine, Senator Wooten and Senator Bruce. Why don't you call Representative luft petty, too, because he's the one who handled this bill in the House, and accepted this amendment, and I understand he's supported by the IEA.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The...now, this is a matter of postponed consideration on a matter of concurrence. The question is shall the Senate concur in Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 248. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
.

Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
On that question, the Ayes are 25 , the Nays are 20 , 3 Voting Present.
The Senate does not concur with Amendments 1 and 2 to Senate Bill 248. Senator Wooten.

SENATOR WOOTEN:
On a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
State your point.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
I certainly am not as precise in expressing my thoughts as \(I\) should be. Senator Sommer, I would say this to you privately, but I'll say it to you publicly, so it's sure, I would never want to be thought to say that you are petty and vindictive. I do...I object to the bill, and I thought its aims were such that...that they could be characterized that way. But \(I\), in no way would attribute such qualities to you. Now, I want that clearly understood, and if that inference could be made then I apologize. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senate Bill 1018, Senator Harris. Just a moment. May we have order. On the order of Consideration Postponed, Senate Bill 1018. Senatox Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:
Yes, Mr. President, when we first considered concurrence in the House amendment to Senate Bill 1018, I think there was some misconstruction on the actual language of the amendment. The House amendment is very clear...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Just a moment, Senator. I can't hardly hear you. SENATOR HARRIS:

The House amendment is very clear. It just states that the maximum reimbursement allowable in state funds on Federal aid projects I, will be limited to the State share of the Federal-State Matching Fund ratio of the project. Very simple, concise amendment, and I would
1. move to concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1018. And
2.
3.
4.
5.
6. ask a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? The...Senator Buzbee.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
A question of the sponsor, Mr. President. Am I to understand now, let's say on a 70-30 match, that that thirty percent that the contractor could recover up to ten percent additional of the thirty percent on the cost overrun.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Harris.

\section*{SENATOR HARRIS:}

The Federal Government has such a reimbursement plan in effect now, and what this states is that if there was a cut-off, in other words, if the amount of the contract were unique that it spread beyond the thirty percent amount of the State's share of a single project that... that there would be a cut-off at that point and the... and the contractor would not be able to recover the full percent. In other words, this thirty, seventy ratio is a further limit in the provisions of this bill. That the recovery would be limited to a thirty-seventy ratio recovery between state and federal funds. The Federal Government does participate in this same kind of program. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Senator Buzbee. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, I would just point out that the Federal Government, yes, I guess does have that sort of thing for contractors. They, also, have it for defense contractors and there is nothing in this world that's probably more offensive to the people of this country than the cost overrun that Federal contractors get. They go in and bid a project, whether it be for an airplane, or a highway, or whatever, they bid a project then upon completion they say - gee, fellows, we need to have more money than what we received...of what we received
from the price of the contract, and the Congress goes in and votes them more money upon the Department of Defense's request, and a lot of industries and a lot of businesses stay alive in this country on cost overruns. Now, I would point out that in the asphalt business in the State of Illinois when they...those contractors start to put together their bids, they have already built-in several different steps, one of which is that in case the cost of goods goes up...the price of commodities go up from the time that they have entered their bid until the time the contract is completed, including labor, asphalt, stone, whatever, they've already built that in there in addition to the profit margin. Now, I can see no reason in the world to go back and give asphalt contractors, and I point out to you it is asphalt contractors, why we ought to give them cost overruns. I don't think that if we give them thirty-three sevenths, or the thirty-seven ratio of ten percent is any. better than giving them the full ten percent. I think we ought not to give them one plug nickel more than what they bid for. They're in that business to make a profit, and they either go up or down on their ability, up or down on their ability to bid successfully and to complete the work successfully. Now, if they don't know enough about their business that's not our fault, the taxpayers of the State of Illinois, and I submit to you that this bill was a bad bill about six weeks ago when we first voted on it, and it's still a bad bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, I would like to have...I'm sorry I missed the debate on the bill. I would like to have some explanation of where we are on the amendment, and what I'm told that both ways is to both loosen up the procedure and tighten up the procedure, and I think some of the members are...are wondering what the bill, now, does. And I wonder if, Senator Harris, I apologize, but if you could go over it again I've... we've got mixed statements here on this side.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Well, it's been explained, I think, twice now, and about...would you proceed, Senator Harris.

\section*{SENATOR HARRIS:}

Yes. The amendment states that on page three in line ten after the word agree, there is added the following - the maximum reimbursement allowable in State funds on Federal aid projects will be limited to the State's share of the Federal-State matching fund ratio of the project. It tightens up the bill. It's unmistakable that that is the result.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Carroll.
SENATOR CARROLL:
Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise to support this legislation, and I think something has been overlooked that should not be overlooked. And let's look at what were talking about. Now, several people have criticized some bills I passed recently for attempting to deal with foreign policy. Well, this is a result of foreign policy that we're in this position. This position is a clear and direct result of the Arab oil Embargo, a total boycott. If these had not been bids, any contracting company in the world in a private contract has a force majuere clause, a clause that says that there's an act of war or an act of embargo, the contract can be rebid. What has happened to asphalt, in this particular case, and I have several contractors in my district who had come to me at the time, and we attempted to invoke the force majuere concept about a year ago on these. They could not obtain oil at any price, the oil necessary for the asphalt, because of a total embargo. I think in this case where were saying the state will pay its share, and hopefully the Feds will pay their share, because of something that was beyond reason, beyond their ability to negotiate, and beyon any price concept or control, I think this isn't at all fair if we are to get any work done in this area. I...I think we should
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
all be supporting this.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further debate? Senator Harris may close the debate. SENATOR HARRIS:

Well, I would just say, that of course the matter before us right now is concurrence in the House amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
That's correct.
SENATOR HARRIS:
As a matter-of-fact, the bill itself is drawn very narrowly to respond to that unique and unanticipated circumstance that senator Carroll has just referred to. I truly would appreciate a favorable roll call to concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1018. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The question is shall the Senate concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1018. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 40 , the Nays are 9,3 Voting present. Senate Bill 1018...the Senate does concur in Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1018, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. On the order of Postponed Consideration, Senate Bill 1505. Senator Partee, do you wish that re-refered, Senator Partee. SENATOR PARTEE:

Yes, rereferred to Executive, please. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill l3...Senator Bruce, are you prepared to call House Bill 1342? It was amended just a few moments ago.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Yes.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is the ordinary operating budget and expenses for the Governor's Traffic Safety Coordinating Committee. We have funded this agency for several years. It is involved with the Department of Transportation, the Illinois Office of Education and makes grants for safety thoughout the State of Illinois. The last time the bill was calledu there was some misunderstanding that this is not an ordinary budget item. It is. It's in the Governor's appropriation in the budgets. We have added seven amendments to this bill, and \(I\) would ask for a favorable roll call so we can get it back to the House so they might concur in those amendments. I would, also, say that the amendments are agreed amendments, and once it goes back we hopefully will be able to get rid of one more bill today. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill... strike that. This is a House bill. The question is shall House Bill 1342 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed No. The voting is open. This bill is a 3rd reading bill, it had been on Postponed Consideration, for the information of the Body. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 45 , the Nays are 2, 3 Voting Present. House Bill 1342 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Rock, House Bill 2476. On the order of Postponed Consideration. Senator Rock. The bill has been read a 3 rd time.

SENATOR ROCK:
Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a bill that was heard the other day. It is Representative Shea's bill in the House. What it does it appropriates three hundred and fifty thousand dollars to the Prosecutor's Advisory Council. I would urge a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further debate? Senator Buzbee. SENATOR BUZBEE:

I have a question of the sponsor. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

He indicates he will yield.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
This is...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Remember, Gentlemen, we have discussed this once. Proceed. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you. It's my understanding that this money is to sustain the State's Attorneys Association of the State of Illinois. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Rock.
SENATOR ROCK:
Well, the Illinois State's Attorneys Association was, in fact, funded out of an appropriation, or a grant from the Illinois Law Enforcomont Cominssioñ. Now, life Iliinois Prosecutor's Counsel is going to be bigger and better than, not in terms of dollars but in terms of duties and responsibilities, than the Illinois Attorney... State's Attorneys Association. It will serve as a liaison between the State's Attorneys and each of the branches of state and local Government, it will coordinate the efforts of the State's Attorneys with those State and local governmental and law enforcement bodies, and will provide and coordinate training for State's Attorneys and their assistants.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Buzbee.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
Well, I'm very hesitant to vote No against the respected Majority Leader's bill, the respected Majority Leader of both Houses, but I would point out that there are several associations in this state, not the least of which is the Bee Keepers Association who, I'm sure, would love to have a state subsidy to keep them in operation. The county

Clerks Association probably would, and several other associations. I just think it's a bad precedent because these folks got funds from ILEC at one time, and now the...from a Federal grant money. Now, the grant's run out. Instead of their dues sustaining them we're going to be sustaining them with state subsidies. I think...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion?
SENATOR BUZBEE:
...it's bad legislation.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? Senator Rock may close the debate. Or do you want a roll call, Senator? Senator Rock may close the debate, or do you wish a roll call?

SENATOR ROCK:
Well, I wish...I wish both as a matter-of-fact. A favorable roll call, and I certainly wish an end to the debate.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Good. On that...the question is shall House. Bill 2476 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 13. House Bill 2476 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 3003, Senator Newhouse. Senator Newhouse, proceed. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
: Thank you, Mr. President...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The bill has been read...the bill has been read a 3 rd time. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

The bill's been read a 3rd time. Mr. President and Senators, this is the...the Student Internship Program Bill, and Mr. President, I seek permission to move...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Just a moment. Would...could we please have order. Proceed.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
I seek unanimous consent to move the bill from 3 rd to 2 nd reading for the purpose of adding an amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Newhouse, proceed. You're now on 2nd reading concerning House Bill 3003.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
Now, Mr. President and Senators, I wish you'd listen to this, cause with some of you I think it may make a difference. In this bill I'm offering Amendment No. 1, which cuts in half the administrative cost of running this program. It reduces from twenty-six thousand to thirteen thousand the cost in the City of Chicago, and reduces the total cost from fifty-three thousand to forty thousand. It reduces from fourteen thousand to seven thousand the administrative cost in Springfield, and reduces that cost from thirty-four thousand to twentyseven thousand. I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
sIs there further discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 2.... Senator, it is Amendment No. 2...be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed No.. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments? 3rd reading. House Bill: 3052, Senator Rock.

SENATOR PARTEE:
:- Just pass it a minute. He'll be back.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
House Bill 3088, Senator Partee.
SENATOR PARTEE:
I move to rerefer this bill to the Committee on Executive with... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there leave? Senator Harris.
SENATOR HARRIS:
I would like to make a substitute motion to suspend the rules for the purpose of referring the bill to Executive for this purpose, that
1.
we think we can really do a good job in the interim, and I-would like, under that circumstance, for the bill to come out on 2 nd reading rather than to return to the order of Consideration Postponed. Senator Partee, I...I'm making a substitute motion to suspend the rules for the purpose of referring to the Committee on Executive. SENATOR PARTEE:

Well, I'll certainly support that motion.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further debate concerning that motion? The substitute motion is to rerefer the... to the Committee on Executive. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The bill will be referred to the Committee on Executive. And now, I think, we have had intervening business...just a moment. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:
If we might, on the next page on the Secretary's Desk there are two bills, to which there is no known opposition, and they are 1015 and 1016 sponsored by Senator Hynes and myself. Senator Hynes is. in fact, in a Conference Committee representing me, so I will represent him on the Floor, and I think we can run these bills out of here. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) … Do we have leave to go to the order of business on the Secretary's Desk? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 1015, Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1015 is an amendment to the Chicago Policemens' Fund, a person of the Pension Code, and it provides that a police officer who suffers a heart attack in the performance of his duties shall be considered as injured in performance of an act...act of duty. The House put an amendment on which provided that the heart attack must occur as a result of service as a police officer rather than during service as a police officer. In other words, he really has to be on duty. There's. no known opposition. I would move at this time, Mr. President
and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate that the Senate do concur with House Amendment No. I to Senate Bill 1015.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The motion is to concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1015. All those in favor vote Aye. Those in favor vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none. The Senate does concur in Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1015, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Rock as to Senate Bill 1016. Proceed.

SENATOR ROCK:
Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Just, just a moment. Senator Merritt, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR MERRITT:
Mr. President and members of the Senate. I'd certainly think the calenaar must be in error, as I believe 1016 refers to downstate. Is that not true, Senator Rock?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

That's exactly what \(I\) was going to explain. Thank you. Senate Bill 1016, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, contains the same substantive provision and the same substantive amendment with respect to the Downstate Policemens' Fund Article of the Pension Code. It deserves the same favorable roll call, and I would move that the Senate do concur...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
The motion is...
SENATOR ROCK:
...with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1016.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The...the question is shall the Senate concur in amendment... House Amendment No. l to Senate Bill 1016. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none. The Senate does concur in Amendment No....House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1016, and the bill having received the recuired constitutional majority is declared passed. Now I think we should have... do we have leave to return to Consideration Postponed which was Senator Newhouse on Houseubill 3003. Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:
Thank you, Mr. President. With those reduced calls I would move for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Senator Soper, for what purpose do you arise?
SENATOR SOPER:
I'd just like to talk on this thing one second, that's all.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Well, Senator Newhouse is going to open the debate.' Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR SOPER:
Weil, he asked for a roll call.
PRESİİNG OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
oh, I'm sorry...
SENATOR SOPER:
Get back to the debate.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
...you may...Senator Soper, you may proceed. I can't hear anybody anymore.

SENATOR SOPER:
Thank you very much. But, you know, we have political science courses, and if...if students are going to school that's the place to teach them what to do, and as far as this program is concerned, I
1.
            don't care how you reduce it. If you get it down to two dollars and
                ninety cents, the next time this thing comes around with the reductions
                that were made, I don't know why they were made, but if they're supposed
                to induce us to vote for this, the next time this thing will come
                arounc a quarter million, two million, five million, and you'll have
                more aids and more handlers than Dempsey had in his prime.
                PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

Is there further discussion? Senator Regner.

\section*{SENATOR REGNER:}

The only thing the amendment did to this bill from when it was almost defeated the other day, was to reduce the administration to only consist of one third of the entire appropriation, and I would assume that come next year, where we do have a new program here as Senator Soper said, it's going to grow and grow, and we're going to be up to a couple hundred thousand next year and five hundred the next year and a million the following year, and I suggest it's a bad bill and should be defeated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
Is there further discussion? Senator Newhouse may close the debate, or do you wish a roll call?

\section*{SENATOR NEWHOUSE:}
7. . Roll call. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

The question is shall House Bill 3003 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 30 , the Nays are 17, 1 Voting Present. House Bill 3003 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Nudelman, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR NUDELMAN:
I want a...a count. Verify the count.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Senator Nudelman requests a verification. Will the Secretary call
the affirmative vote.
SECRETARY:
The following voted in the affirmative: Bloom, Brady, Bruce, Carroll, Daley, Davidson, Demuzio, Donnewald, Dougherty, Egan, Glass, Kenneth Hall, Harris, Hickey, Hynes, Johns, Knuppel, Kosinski, Lemke, McCarthy, Morris, Netsch, Newhouse, Rock, Shapiro, Smith, Vadalabene, Welsh, Wooten and Mr. Presdient. PRESIDENT:

Senator Nudelman. No, that's Senator Hall. I'm sorry. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

Sir.
PRESIDENT:
No, I saw that gentleman and I thought that was Senator Smith, but it was Senator Hall, instead. Is Senator Smith on the Floor? Now, I can't see back there...
(BACKGROUND TALK) PRESIDENT: c. I can't see back there. Is he there? I asked a question. Is Senator Smith on the Floor? If he is...well, take him off the record. (Background Talk) Don't shout at me. Take him off the record. Will the gentleman be in his seat. Is Senator Lemke on the Floor? Take him off the roll call. Are there others, Senator, you would inquire about? On this question the Ayes are 28 , the Nays are 17 , with 1 Voting Present. House Bill 303 having failed to receive the constitutional majority is declared lost. Senator Newhouse. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Mr. President, I want to apologize. If I'd known this was such an emotional issue, \(I\) would have held it a bit. PRESIDENT:

No issue is emotional in and of itself. Issues become emotional when people make them emotional. (Machine cut-off)...state your point. SENATOR NUDELMAN:
1.

If the chair would conduct itself properly, people would not have to get emotional. Now, I have seen people taken off roll... PRESIDENT:

Senator, you're out of order, you're out of order. I only asked, was he there. There was five or six people standing there, and from this vantage point I can't see them. A Message from the House. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to adopt the first Conference Committee on Senate Bill 649, and requests a second Conference to consider the differences between the two Houses. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the Senate accede to the request of the House that a Conference Committee be appointed. Second Conference Comitice. All in favor say ̆ Aye. Opposed Nay. The motion carries, and the Senate accedes to the request to appoint a second Conference Committee. . For what purpose does Senator Savickas arise? SENATOR SAVICKAS:
: Mr. President, while we have a lull here, I would ask for leave to move to House bill and reading for the purpose of Tabling a bill, House Bill 1399.

PRESIDENT:
It.'s always in order. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Savickas is recognized.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. At this time, I would ask leave to Table House Bill 1399. PRESIDENT:

The motion is to Table House Bill 1399. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The bill is Tabled. Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 1608, Senator McCarthy. SENATOR MCCARTHY:
1.
\(\therefore\) Yes. . yes, Mr. President, I know that Senator Carroll was going to take over, but I needed him here. House Bill 1608 is an amendment to the Consumer Fraud Act, and it, now, is an agreed amendment. What it does is take out objectionable language that was in, and limits, it's been agreed on both sides, we can go into precise detail, but as of now, we know of no objection to the bill.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1608. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 49 , the Nays are none, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1608, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1704, Senator Knuppei.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
\(\therefore\) Mr. Chairman, 1704 is the bill which provides for ten thousand dollars...or ten million dollars out of the seventy million dollars appropriated for coal bonds to go for alternate sources of energy: We voted this out of here twice. Finally, the House has approved the Conference Committee Report. As far as I know, each member of the commission from this Body that serves on the Energy Resources Commission also supports it. I would ask that we, now, have another favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Is there any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report. All those... on House Bill...

Senator Bloom, for what purpose do you arise?
SENATOR BLOOM:
Is this that ten million dollar jobby?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Knuppel indicates he will yield. Senator. Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
It's not an additional ten million. It takes it out of. .out of what was previously authorized for coal. If something should happen and we need more coal, we can always do this when we come back in the Fall. I...I submit this is a good...good amendment to the original bill, and \(I\) would appreciate a favorable roll call. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Shapiro. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President, what's the ruling of the Chair as to the vote required on this particular bill? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

You got to check the bill. I think it is 36 , and... SENATOR KNUPPEL:

It requires 36 votes. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)

We just want to check, one second, and see what the Conference Committee Report... what it did. We know there is a ruling with 36 , in the past, on the bill itself. Alright, 36 votes. Is there any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1704. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 40 , the Nays are 2, with 2 Voting Present. And the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1704. The bill having received the required three fifths constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senate Bill 2852, Senator Berning. House Bill, I'm sorry. House Bill 2852, are you prepared on that Conference Committee Report? Alright, we'll pass, then. Is Senator Don Moore here? On Senate Bill 555, Senator Moore? On the ordex of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 555, Senator Don A Moore.

SENATOR MOORE:
Thank you, Mr. President. I would move that we do concur in the

Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 555, which is the annual appropriation for the Illinois Department of Public Aid. The net result of the Conference Committee Report of...I think the group will recall we receded... or nonconcurred in the House Amendments \(1,3,4\) and 5, principally for convenience sake, and then drew up a new committee amendment which would add one million, one hundred and seventy thousand, two hundred dollars to the budget. I want to emphasize that there was about one million one hundred and twenty thousand of that is Federal money as a result of a new ATW regulation that came out the middle of last week, that changed one grant program from a fiftyfifty to seventy-five - Federal, seventy-five - State. I would move that we do concur in the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 555.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Is there any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 555. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 13, with none Voting Present. And the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 555, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senator Partee on Senate Bill 610.

SENATOR PARTEE:
Yes, Mr. President, 610 is an insurance bill which passed this Body, went to the House and received two amendments. Two amendments which, I think, made it a better bill. When the bill came back here for concurrence, fortunately Senator Glass caught some language in the bill which would have been quite subject to many interpretations. He has, now, and the Conference Committee has added that additional language which makes it, now, I think, a very good bill, and a bill which, about which, there is no misunderstanding. The language was added to provide for the situation where a teacher would be involved in a strike which had been prohibited by court order, and by the new
language, the teacher would not be allowed to recover under the insurance policy for activity in a strike which had been prohibited by a court order. I think it is a very good bill. It starts out to provide professional liability insurance for groups, teachers particularly. I think it's a good bill, and I would certainly move to adopt the Conference Committee Report. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 610. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 48 , the Nays are none, and none Voting Present, and the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 610, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declare passed. Senator Rock on Senate Bill 632. SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you...thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 632 is the annual appropriation for the ordinar: and contingent expenses of the Bureau of the Budget. Total appropriation some...now, somewhere in the neighborhood of forty-one, or forty-two million dollars. The House added three amendments, which amendments were provided to appropriate recently made available Federal funds. One for the Summer Employment Program, one for the Low Income Housing Program, and one for a Community...Community Service Program. The Conference Committee Report urges us to adopt those three House amendmints, and further amends Amendment No. 3 to clear up a technical deficiency, and further specifies those community action agencies under the Community Partnership Program and the dollar amount and the location for the grant section. The Conference Committee Report has been signed by all ten members. There's no opposition. I would urge a favor a...I would urge that the Senate concur in the Conference committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 632.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Rock moves that the Senate do adopt the Conference Committee Report. Is there any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 632. Those in favor...Senator Wooten, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR WOOTEN:

Just a question. That House Amendment No. 3, was that the Winterization Program, why did we recede to ask them to recede from that, Senator?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Rock indicates he will yield. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

We...we asked them...I think number three... yes, that was the Home Winterization Program. We asked them to recede from that for the reason that it was intended to go into a Conference Committee to clear up a technical defect in the amendment as offered. It was at the request of the Bureau of the Budget.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 632. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 48 , the Nays are 3, with none Voting Present, and the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 632, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senator Kosinski on Senate Bill 1496. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is the appropriation for the Supreme Court. I move to adopt the Conference Commission RePort on Senate Bill 1496. The House has rescinded Amendment No. I which is the FEPC amendment. They have also rescinded as we have asked, Amendment No. 2 which puts back the million dollars that had taken out. We concur with Amendment No. 3 which increases the Supreme Court Clerk's salary. We also concur with Amendment No. 5 which
1.
increases the circuit court judges, and we also concur with Amendment No. 6 which increases the shorthand court reporters. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further...
SENATOR KOSINSKI:
And I ask for a favorable roll call.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Kosinski moves for the adoption. Is there any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1496. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays are 4, with 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1496, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senator Berning, are you now prepared on the Conference Committee Report? Hold it, okay. Senator Harber Hall, for what purpose do you wave?

\section*{SENATOR HALL:}

Mr. President, I would now move that all bills that are not appropriation bills, be rereferred to the committee from whence they came.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Well, before the motion could be in order you'd have to list each and every bill and talk about what committee they come from, so at this time your bill...your motion is out of order. (Machine cutoff)... Senator Schaffer seeks recongnition. For what purpose, Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:
Mr. President, a point of personal privilege or inquiry. How much have we got left to do?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Whatever work is still around.
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:
What is that, specifically?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Well, I think if you go through your recent Conference Committee Report book, and if you've checked off all of the ones we're already voted on, what is left is left.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:
I was just wondering how much more we have to give Senator Regner's computer operation so the computers can tell us where we are at this point.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator, I am advised by the Secretary that it is in the computer immediately as we do it. Senator Harber Hall, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR HALL:

Mr. President, I now have that list that you were referring to. It's ready and prepared.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Alright. Would you prepare it please, and then we will see whether the motion will be entertained or not. Senator Partee, for what purpose do you arise?
SENATOR PARTEE:
ת. No, I think it should be said. for the benefit of Senator Schaffer who asked the question and the rest of the members, that about thirtyeight bills are yet in Conference committee. About twenty of those are appropriation bills and solutions are near at hand. I think that's about what it amounts to, and that shouldn't take too much longer. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Graham, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GRAHAM:
Do you have a Calendar? What day... what day of the month is this? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
1.
2.
3.
4.
5.

Pardon me.
SENATOR GRAHAM:
What day of the month is this?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
It's on a Monday.
SENATOR GRAHAM:
Monday. What number?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
I believe if you look at the front of the Calendar...the Secretary has indicated that it's the eighty-fifth legislative day.

SENATOR GRAHAM:
You must be a hell of a lawyer.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
While we're preparing, Senator McCarthy has asked leave
that Senator Carroll be added as a cosponsor to House Bill 1608. Is there leave. Leave having been granted, the Secretary shall so adjust his records.
PRESIDENT:
On the order of Conference Committee Reports: House Bill 2989, Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:
Whis is the annual appropriation for the office of Education. The Conference Committee Report does two things. First, it reinstates all of the items that were in the Senate amendment which the House nonconcurred with, and adds a grant item of six hundred ninety-five thousand dollars for truancy programs which we had deleted in the Senate, and the Senate members of the...Conference Committee, now, have agreed to that restoration, and it also removes a duplicate appropriation for special education projects. We had it in twice, once in the form of Capital and once out of General Revenue. It is now clear that Capital cannot proceed, and I'd move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 726. Those...those in favor vote Aye. (Machine cut-off). Now, the question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2989. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2989, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 726, Senator Egan for Senator Romano.

SENATOR EGAN:
Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move to concur in the Conference Committee Report, and move for its adoption. The report is with the Department of Insurance. The Committee has met and decided to remove two line item positions and a fifty thousand dollar appropriation for a pamphlet. It has the committee approval in its form, and I move for the adoption of the Committee Report. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 726. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. : On this question, the Ayes. are 53, the Nays are none, with none Voting Present.: The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 726 , and the bill having required...having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. \(\therefore\) House Bill... Senate Bill 661, Senator Johns. SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, 661 is the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Business and Economic Development, and I move that the... Senate concur in the House...I mean the Conference Committee Report. PRESIDENT:

\section*{Senator Egan.}

SENATOR EGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would like to just have the record reflect the fact that \(I\) was unable to sign that, because of my absence from the proximity of Senator Johns, but I would like to be shown as having signed that Conference Committee Report.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Senator Buzbee.
SENATOR BUZBEE:
Mr. President, I would like to say that I did not sign the Conference Comittee Report at the request of the legislative members of the Energy Resources Commission, Senator Nimrod, Senator Glass, Senator Latherow, Senator Knuppel and myself, due to a problem that we were having with the Energy Division of the Division of Business and Economic Development. That problem has now been worked out. Unfortunately, at the time the problem was worked out, it was too late for me to get my name on the report. So, I have removed my opposition, and I understand I can sign the report now, and to the members of the Energy: Resources Comission know, of course, that we have gone ahead and gotten 1704 passed through, so we're all set. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 661. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 52 , the Nays are 1 , with none Voting present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 661, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Harris. (Machine cut-off)...be at ease. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

A Message from the House.
SECRETARY:
A Message from the House by Mr, O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the
1.

House of Representatives has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, 3, 4 and 5 to Senate Bill 477. Senator Egan is the chief sponsor. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Egan. Senator Egan moves that the Senate refuse to recede from the adoption of Amendments \(1,3,4\) and 5 to Senate Bills...Senate Bill 477, and that a Conference Committee be appointed. Those in favor say Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, and the motion is adopted, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The Senate will come to order. Messages from the House. SECRETARY:
```

    A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
            Mr. President -. I am directed to inform the Senate that the House
        of Representatives has refused to adopt the first Conference Committee
        Report on House Bill 1360, and requests a second Conference to con-
        sider the differences between the two Houses.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
            Senator Wooten accedes to the request of the House that a second
        Conference Committee be appointed, and the House will be so informed.
        (Machine cut-off)...will stand at ease.
    ```
            (Continued next page)

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Although...may I have your attention. Although we had said earlier, and I said particularly to Senator Schaffer, that we were going to go straight through, has just come to my attention that House Bill 2435 with the amended version of Senate Bill 18 is being debated at this time in the House. It's obvious to me as I listen to the debate as it has commenced, that they are going to be on that bill a long time, perhaps a half hour or so. In that vein, the Chair would suggest that we stand in recess until eight o'clock. There's no sense of your sitting around here and.waiting and well stand in recess until eight. Except that we have some Conference Committee Reports here now, we'll deal with those, and then weill leave and be gone for an hour. (Machine cut-off) these that we have here then we'll go go away for an hour. Senator Knuppel on the Floor? House pill 1299 , Senator Knuppel.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
House Bill 1299 is the compromised bill with respect to the extension of the... of the State's Attorney Study Commission and the creation of the State's Attorneys' Advisory Council and it adds four State's Attorneys to the State's Attorneys' Study Commission. This has been worked out in a compromise between Senator Harris and the rest of us. It carries no appropriation with it, the appropriations are separate. I would solicit a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:
The question is...the question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1299. Those in favor vote Aye, opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 3 with 4 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1299 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1968, Senator Nudelman.

SENATOR NUDELMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the bill which creates the Medical Malpractice Study Commission and the joint Underwriting Association. There was some technical problems with the bill, the lines were marked wrong to fit into the Insurance Code. That's been changed and the language requiring or not requiring but suggesting that the...the Legislature pay the...appropriate the deficiency, has been changed to make it less onerous and I would suggest that we accept the Conference Committee Report and concur.

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1968. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 1 , with 2 Voting Present. The Senate does concur to the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1968, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2473, Senator Newhouse. House Bill 1314, Senator Joyce. Senator Joyce, I'll call it again as soon as you come out. I know where you are. House Bill 1092, Senator Lemke. Conference Committee Report on 1092.

SENATOR LEMKE:
\(\because \therefore\) I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Glass? A fuller explanation is the question.

SENATOR LEMKE:
This Conference Committee Report recommends that the appropriation for the Department of Registration and Education remain at the same level at which it left the Senate. There is one change from the form in which the bill left the Senate, and that is that we have changed four line itmes in Section relating to the Division of Museums in order to make the actual line items easier for the Museum to live with. PRESIDENT:
1. Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt 2. the Conference Committee report to House Bill 1314. Those in favor 3. vote Aye...pardon me, 1092. The question is shall the Senate adopt 4. the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1092. Those in favor 5. vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 6. Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 42, the Nays are 4,

Are you moving to 816?
PRESIDENT:
Yes, I can.
SENATOR HYNES:
I'd be...I'd be glad to move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report, I think all members signed it. PRESIDENT:

We'd appreciate it. Any further discussion?
SENATOR HYNES:
Well, I'll explain it, in a moment.
PRESIDENT:
He'll explain it. Senator Hynes. SENATOR HYNES:

House Bill 816 is the annual appropriation for the Department of Financial Institutions, the Conference Committee Report, the original cut was ten percent, the Conference Committee Report reduces that to six percent and I move its adoption. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Mitchler.
SENATOR MITCHLER:
Mr. President, point of inquiry. Up to this point, we have each been receiving on our desk a copy of the Conference Committee Report that we could review and have a little bit of knowledge and
also a copy of the... the numbers so that we could follow it along. Now, the last several bills in this series, I...I have not had these copies distributed on my desk. Is this going to be discontinued now, or I...I thought it was a nice service and I have been following them here, have them recorded so that I can check and know what... what I voted on after I...the Session ends.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

The Chair is certainly sensitive to what you have said, Senator, but some of these appropriations contain some sixty to seventy pages. At this stage in this Legislature, it becomes almost impossible to reproduce them. The Chair will certainly leave plenty of time and space for inquiry of the sponsor of the bill. SENATOR MITCHLER:

Well, I don't think all of them contain that much, Mr. President. PRESIDENT:

Well, many of them do, sir.
SENATOR MITCHLER:
Those that would, I... I think that it could be indicated, but I...I up to this point, I don't know why all at once they're going to be sixty pages long. I can't take that as a valid reason for not putting them on. So that now in the last minutes when we should be watching what we're voting on, we're not getting the information. PRESIDENT:

I'll give you a little...Senator Soper.
SENATOR SOPER:
I wouldn't mind getting a copy of the Conference Committee Reports. PRESIDENT:

Senator Soper.
SENATOR SOPER:
You know, this is the last time we'll have to look at these things and what we've looked at before won't look like anything that we're getting now and I'd like to know what...what... when \(I\) walk out of
the barn I'd like to know what I'm walking into.

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Senator, I would only say to you that there's an old expression that privilege ripens into license. For many years here in this Senate, we've voted in the final days of the Senate on all of these bills without having them on your desk. We have tried to accommodate it by giving them onto a point... up to the point where it becomes impossible to reproduce them, and now you are insisting on them always. You see that's what happens. Senator Soper. SENATOR SOPER:

Well, I didn't think that...if we...we, we...took your word for the bills as they were before, that we took your word on these things before, but now you're changing them, and I'd like to know why you're all changing them, that's all. So...
PRESIDENT:
Nobody's changing anything Senator, you...
SENATOR SOPER:
Well, I'd like to know...I'd like to know what we're doing and if that's...well, you vote Aye, you've got the long stick. I...I'd like to have a copy of the reports if it's too much bother, I...I'd still like to have one for myself.

PRESIDENT:
It isn't a matter of bother, Senator. It's a matter...matter of inconvenience, practicality and common sense. Any further discussion? Senator Weavex.

SENATOR WEAVER:
Thank you, Mr. President. I'd just like to point out that we have reduced this appropriation down to the six percent level and I think that everybody who's been working with the Conference Committee are satisfied with it. I'd move adoption. PRESIDENT:

Now, the minority representative on the Appropriations Committee has offered an explanation, I certainly hope you'll take his word.

And the question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 816. Those in favor vote Aye. The opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 48 , the Nays are none, with 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 816 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 1314, Senator Joyce. SENATOR JOYCE:

Mr. President... President and members of the Senate. I wish to concur in Senate Amendment No. 2, No. 4, No. 5...of the Conference Committee Report, No. \(2,4,5,6\), and 7 that we have conferred in. PRESIDENT:

Any...any... Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

Well, I...I think it would be helpful, Mr. President, if we could have a brief explanation, just so we have a general idea if there... the cuts are being increased or decreased. PRESIDENT: :
:a:- Senator Joyce:
SENATOR JOYCE:
Yes; Mr. President and Senator Glass, we have reduced two operational cuts in the general office from thirteen to ten percent and the Illinois Mental Health Institute from ten percent to six percent. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion?
SENATOR JOYCE:
We...we have added five hundred thousand dollars in additional money. Five thousand in...in addition for General Revenue matched money. We took out the alcohol grants that were not going to be spent this year, they are...

PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Joyce, perhaps there is some additional information requested. I...

SENATOR JOYCE:
Did they not hear, is that the problem?
PRESIDENT:
I think that there were some who said that they didn't get a full explanation. Would you go over it again, please? SENATOR JOYCE:

Okay, we have reduced two operational cuts: The general office was cut thirteen percent, we have reduced that to ten percent. The Illinois Mental Health Institute was cut ten percent, we reduced that to six percent. We have added five hundred thousand dollars in additional money, Federal money, and we have the alcoholism money was not to be spent this year and we have cut that... PRESIDENT:

For what purpose does Senator Dougherty arise?
SENATOR DOUGHERTY:
For this reason, I helped draw some of these amendments, sir. I'm trying to get them cleaned up. over all, I would say approached better than five million dollars in cuts or in that area. PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

\section*{SENATOR WEAVER:}

Well, I think we cut that down to the expected level of expenditures for the coming year based on last years expenditures. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall House Bill 13... shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1314. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt...adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1314 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Just a minute...we:ll be finished in a minute, we just have a couple more to run here then we'll go eat. Senate Bill
1. 477. Is that on the Conference Committee Report? Senate Bill 477,
2. Senator Egan.
3. SENATOR EGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill
5. 477 is certainly not unknown to the Body. The Conference Committee 6. Report does exactly what that part of the report did that was debated 7. earlier today, on top of which it adds two hundred and fifty thousand 8. dollars for IIS and one million two hundred thousand dollars out of 9. the bond, Capital Development Bond Fund, to fund the acquisition

\section*{SENATOR LEMKE:}

I ask for its adoption. PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt
the Conference Committee Report on House... on Senate Bill 558. Those in favor vote Aye: Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Senator Lemke. SENATOR LEMKE:

Let's put over to the Fall. I mean... PRESIDENT:

Postpone consideration. Senator Bruce, are you going with 1170? SENATOR BRUCE:

I move that we do not adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill ll...or Senate Bill 1170, which is a Employee Indemnification Plan, there is an error in the report, but it's already been filed in the House and they plan to do the same. A second report will be filed doing the same thing without the error. This is the only way we can do it procedurally. PRESIDENT:

All in favor, say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Ine Conference Comittee Report is not adopted. The Secretary shall so cinform the House. - (Machine cut-off) Senator. Bruce moves for the appointment of a second Conference Committee. All in favor, say Aye: "Opposed Nay. Second Conference Committee motion carries. The time is now seven fifteen. The Senate will:be in recess until eight thirty. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:

Could I have your attention, please. The security personnel of the Secretary of State's office have informed us that there is a bomb scare affecting the Capitol Building and the building should be cleared by seven forty-five until at least eight-thirty. (RECESS)
(AFTER RECESS)

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

The hour of eight-thirty having arrived, the Senate will come to order. The Senate will be at ease. For what purpose does Senator Soper arise?

SENATOR SOPER:
Well, Mr. President I think we don't have enough people here, but I think we can get all their attention we can get them here in a hurry. Now, if they got the boxes open, I would...within ten minutes I'll make a motion to Table, I think that's House Bill 3017. That has to do with something with the...with the omnibus bill for four million nine hundred and ninety-nine thousand, two hundred dollars for the ordinary and contingentexpenses of various commissions and agencies. I thought this would be a good time to save five million dollars and a lot of time. I'll wait ten minutes, if nobody's here, I'm going to move to Table that.

PRESIDENT:
There's some human interest in that bill, Senator. Senator Daley. SENATOR DALEY:

Mr. President and fellow Senators, I think we have some good men on our side and I'd like to announce to all the Senators outside the room here we have Senator Lemke, Senator Nudelman, Senator Savickas and Senator Welsh on this side, we have Senator Knuppel and Senator Hall. Ready to Table anything.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Brady you didn't see, he's right there behind you.
And for those who...for those who are sitting in their offices, it may be well to get here. Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:
Now, if we don't get to Table some of this stuff, somebody's going to steal the table.

PRESIDENT:
Purloin...I'm trying to teach you the word, purloin in the Senate, you know. Check word. Senator Shapiro. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Well, Mr. President, I heard Senator Soper's motion and I have a vested interest in that particular bill because it has the University income appropriation for it, so I'm going to make a motion that
1. Senator Soper be censored.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. Senator, I think you've started something. Senator Soper on Senator
4. Shapiro's mike.
5. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

He is my good friend.
7. SENATOR SOPER:

Yeah, our friendship has just ceased. But I'll tell you this 9. much, that if he thinks that Commission is something, I have, I don't 10. know, do I have a Commission? Well, I'll tell you what, well, you 11. See what he is trying to do, he's trying to trade. He's not going 12. to trade with me. What are you doing here, son? Here's old duster, 13. here's old...here's old dry-well. You better come down boys, we've 14. got six minutes and down the well goes that omnibus bill. No money 15. for all that monkey business. The State just saved five million 15. dollars. That's a million Jollars a minute, five minutes left. Can't 1.7. make it any quicker.
18. PRESIDENT:
19. The record will so show, you're off the tube. Senator Soper.
20. SENATOR SOPER:
21. Mr. President, I move that we recess until eleven o'clock unless
22. we have a quorum in two minutes.
23. PRESIDENT:
24. \(\quad \therefore \quad\) No seconds, Senator, it fails. Machine's not working. They 25. Got to have a quorum to make a vote like...you can't make that much 26. Without a quorum, Senator. Conference Committee Reports. Senate
27. Bill 98, Senator Welsh.
28. SENATOR WELSH:

Mr. President... PRESIDENT:

One moment. Where's the Secretary, please? Go ahead, the Assistant Secretary is here. SENATOR WELSH:
(1)

Mr. President, I seek leave of the Body to re-refer Senate Bill 98 back to the Committee of Election and Reapportionment. PRESIDENT:

Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 471, Senator Bruce. Senate Bill 471.

SENATOR BRUCE:
All right, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This....this is a Conference Committee Report on the Community Colleges in the State of Illinois and it makes a slight change as it left the Senate. The House insisted on adding an additional one point six million dollars for the summer term this summer which we very reluctantly assented to. The Conference Committee Report after nine hours of negotiating throughout the day, is signed by all ten members and in addition they cut nine percent out of the board. We persuaded them to only cut six percent and all thirty-ṇine Community Colleges would certainly appreciate it if you would ramrod this bill through with a big fifty-nine vote on the board. Thank you. PRESIDENT:

\section*{Senator Shapiro.}

SENATOR SHAPIRO:
… .. Well, Mr. President, Senator Bruce didn't quite put that right. The House actually wanted to add five million and...and we cut the add-on back to one point six so we saved three point four million, so I would encourage all my colleagues to approve this Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDENT:
The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 471. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 46 , the Nays are 2 , with none Voting Present. Senate Bill 471...the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 471 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared (Machine cut-off).
1. Senate Bill 510, Senator Kenneth Hall.
2. SENATOR HALL:
3. This is the Department of Labor bill and what happened is that
4. the House put back in the agreed cut of fifty thousand plus and one
5. million for the Federal for improvement of handling checks and the
6. four hundred and fifty thousand restored from the Division of Safety
7. and they put back so the agreement of the...by six members, was that
8. the Senate concur with House Amendments one and two and the House
9. recede from Amendment three and five.
10. PRESIDENT:
11. Any further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Bruce.
12. SENATOR BRUCE:
13. Yes, I just want to know whether the Division of Safety Inspection
14. is in or out of the bill as relates to state employment.
15. PRESIDENT:
\(i 6\).
17.
18.
19.
20.

21 \(\therefore\) : Well, is this the first Conference Committee or the second?
23. PRESTDENT:

Senator Kenneth Hall.
25. SENATOR HALL:

It's the first Conference Cormittee, Senator Bruce.
27. PRESIDENT:
28. Senator Bruce.
29. SENATOR BRUCE:

I think we ought to know what we're doing, we're removing the OSHA inspection from the State of Illinois and I don't know why there's any...well, I hear Senator Savickas saying no, I thought that's what Senator Hall said. If that is not the case, perhaps
3. PRESIDENT :

Senator Savickas. SENATOR SAVICKAS: PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce.
SENATOR BRUCE: PRESIDENT:

Senator Savickas can clear it up and I can come back on in case

Well, we should have probably rolled this one like we did Senator Bruce's bill with twenty people on the Floor, but we did not ...we didnot take OSHA out of the legislation, OSHA program was taken out by Executive Order of the Governor about a year ago. So what we did since the OSHA program was taken out, the whole division was removed of any of this responsibility. Without any responsibility we just removed the money, and this is all that's happened. We have placed all the necessary money in the Department of Labor that they had needed except for this one division that was removed by Executive Order. So I would at this time move for adoption of or concur with the Committee Conference Report on House Senate Bill 510.

Well, Senator Hall indicates that the department is not satisfied. That's not definitive of what we should do, but it ought to be giving us some direction. This division is important. OSHA was only removed by the Federal Government as relates to private sector employment and public sector employment is just as important as those who work in a private sector. What you're saying is that we will have no OSHA inspections in those areas. Federal Government will not do inspection in those areas. We're talking about twelve or thirteen employees and it seems to me that the people who work for the State of Illinois in different cities and county governments ought to have the same right to be in a safe place of employment as those in a private sector.

The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 510. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay.

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 42, the Nays are 8, with none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 510, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 649, Senator Don Moore. House Bill 1766, Senator Newhouse. House Bill 1999, Senator Harris. House Bill 2852, Senator Berning. (Machine cut-off) when Senator? Senator Harris is ready on House Bill 1999, Senator Harris. SENATOR HARRIS:

The Conference Committee cured the problem that resulted from Senator Philip's amendment which was too broad and we worked it out: with Representative Lechowicz, Representative Shea, who had some concerns as it impacted on cook county. The bill as a result of the Conference Committee draft of a report, now would have this requirement impact now that County Treasurers, State Treasurers, Township Treasurers and Municipal Treasurers would be affected, but none of the other lesser units of government. I think its now in good shape and I move in the...I move that the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 1999.
PRESIDENT:
Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Comittee Report on House Bill 1999. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Senator Bloom, I'm sorry didn't...

SENATOR BLOOM:
No, this is not related to 1999, I...
PRESIDENT:
Well hold it then...just hold it if it's not related to 1999, we'll be with you in a minute. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 44 , the Nays are none with 3 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1999, and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Bloom.

\section*{1. SENATOR BLOOM:}
2. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise on a point of personal
3. privilege.
4. PRESIDENT:
5.
6.
7. Earlier today when 477 was before us and we asked for a copy 8. of the Committee Report we were told it wasn't available. Well, hidden
9. in that report was a million dollars or a million two to General 10. Services that if I had known was in there, I would have voted 12. against adopting that report because that would have had General 12. Services maintaining a building that OSPI had abandoned. And so 13. I...I feel that Senator Mitchler was entirely within his rights 14. to ask for that kind of thing. Now I don't know what the house 15. has done with that, but if 477 comes back with that particular 16. line item appropriation, I'm going to vote against it. Thank you. 17. PRESIDENT:
18. \(\because\) Senator Egan.
19. SENATOR EGAN:
20. I will say this, Mr. President and members of the Senate, that 21. report was on my desk. It contained a million and a half...a million 22. two provision, which has been removed by the House, and I...I hope 23. that satisfies your anxiety.
24. PRESIDENT:
25. : Senator Harris.
26. SENATOR HARRIS:
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
\(33:\)

State your point.
SENATOR BLOOM:

Well, I would add further that Senator Egan very specifically named what was in that first Conference Committee Report. He very carefully read that there was a million two hundred thousand appropriated out of the Capital Bond Fund for the purchase of a building in Springfield, Illinois. He stated that. The second Conference Committee Report removes that. The fact is that the sponsor of the bill stated that unmistakably and...and with no qualification. I just
1. think the record ought to make that clear.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. In the days of transcripts the record will show that it was 4. said and that perhaps Senator Bloom did not hear it or was engaged 5. in conversation, was off the floor or something and I'm sure that 6. now that the fact is straightened out, he will understand that the 7. statement was indeed made and is a part of the record. Senator Don
8. Moore, are you ready with Senate Bill 649?
9. SENATOR MOORE:
10. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move
11. that we do concur in the second Conference committee Report on
12. Senate Bill 649. This was the license plate bill for...that allows
13. Our Congressmen to have two plates. There was an amendment put on
14. in the House that was put on improperly because they forgot to put
15. what sections of the Motor Vehicle Code that it amended, so I had
16. to nonconcur in the conference...first conference committee Report.
17. It's a technical change that was in there, this was the bill that Senator
18. Latherow and Senator Egan had some objections to before that were
19. removed and \(I\) would move that we concur in the Conference Committee

Report.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Clarke.
SENATOR CLARKE:
I. didn't realize I'd have an opportunity, Mr. President, I'd
like to ask the sponsor a question.
PRESIDENT:
He...he indicates he'll yield.
SENATOR CLARKE:
Senator Moore, does this bill provide that this front plate is optional or do we have to do away with it?

PRESIDENT:
Senator Don Moore,
SENATOR MOORE:

\section*{PRESIDENT:}

Will the Parliamentarian return to the rostrum, Mr. Cadigan. SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President, we did in fact have on our desks a copy of the Senate Bill 477 Conference Committee Report. And this is two pages and evidently the one that we have on our desk and that was referred to by Senator Bloom, is the first Conference Committee Report because
1. when a second Conference Committee Report was issued which is
2. only two pages also, it may even be, well it would be two pages. It 3. has not reached us yet. And so when we looked for 477 , and many of
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15. us have these in numerical order on our desk, that we refer to as the bills are called. I've watched each and every bill that's passed this Session, and I mark each and every bill by the vote and how I vote. That's how I keep track of things, but that's my business, and I marked down this 477 when it was passed that it was adopted by a thirty-nine, five, three vote, and I did not vote on that particular bill because I noticed the million two down here for some...buying some building here in Springfield to make some real estate developer or somebody that's renting rather wealthy at the expense of the taxpayer. But it was called to my attention during the recess period, by Senator Bloom and others, that that did slip through. Now, this is a two page Conference Conmittee Report, Mr. President, not a sixty page and I think that the xerox machines are working and I think that if this practice had been good up to this point, I think that we should have the second Conference Committee Reports and they go on it. My pile here has none that are sixty pages long and I think that we should be entitled to it, otherwise all that material that's been put out heretofore has been a waste of time and I don't think it has and I commend you, Mr. President, it's the first time since I've been down here in eleven years that we've had these and it's excellent leadership and I'd ask you to continue it.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Graham.
SENATOR GRAHAM:
Mr. President, I am not quarreling with let's say his desire to have this, but it occurs to me that my continued suggestion which has been honored by the chair time and time again, and here's evidence of it. The reason...

PRESIDENT:
Can we break up the conferences please.

SENATOR GRAHAM:
The reason that the Senators are having trouble is partially because of two things. Because they are not in their seats or because they can't hear, and the combination of the two brings on this sort of a delay in the proceedings of this Senate. We're in the last days and everybody's tired and I know you're trying, but fellows for God's sake, will you light somewhere so we can get this Session over with.

PRESIDENT:
Thank you, Senator. Resolutions. Senate Resolution 133, Senator Davidson. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President, I'd like to go to the order of resolutions and ask for the suspension of the rules for the consideration of the resolution to be presented by myself, Harris, Partee and all members of the Senate. PRESIDENT:

We're going to read the resolution first. (President reads Senate Resolution 133)

MARIE C. SOWLE:
I-thank each and everyone. I have only one person to thank. God gave me good health and I enjoyed my work everyday. I made lovely friends and I hear from them, I hear from ex-senators every Christmas and as I say, I've enjoyed each and every day that I've worked here.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Harris.
SENATOR HARRIS:
Thank you, thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to have the opportunity to respond from the well of the senate on behalf of the Senate for the devotion that Mrs. Sowle has given to her responsibilities since she became associated with the office of the

Secretary of the Senate. You know, one of the great, great things that I've learned over the years is that comment that class shows, and Mrs. Sowle is a lady of class and on behalf of the members of the senate, I want to thank her and to wish her happiness and enjoyment in her years of retirement. Thank you, Marie.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Davidson.
SENATOR DAVIDSON:
Move the adoption of the resolution. PRESIDENT:

Senate adoption...Senator Davidson moves for the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of this resolution. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Senator Davidson moves the resolution be adopted. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted. Senator Berning. SENATOR BERNIING:

Thank you, Mr. President. I do now move to Table House Bill 2852.

PRESIDENT:
All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. House Bill 2852 is Tabled. Senator Harris.

SENATOR HARRIS:
Are we still on the order of resolutions?
PRESIDENT:
We could take them, yes.
SENATOR HARRIS:
Yes, okay. Mr. President, if we might take just a moment. On the Secretary's Desk is an additional resolution. And if I might presume upon the prerogative of the Secretary, while I see the Secretary is there, if he'll proceed with this resolution, I...I would like to have it read. PRESIDENT:

Read the resolution.
1. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)
2. Senate Resolution No. 134 by Senators Harris, President Partee
3. and all Senators with the exception of Senator Nimrod.
4.
5. PRESIDENT:
6.
7.
8.
1.
7. Senator Harris.
8. SENATOR HARRIS:

Now, Mr. President, I move to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Resolution 134 as amended. PRESIDENT:

You heard the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. A roll call has been requested. For what purpose does Senator Carroll arise?

SENATOR CARROLL:
I was led to believe that Senator Palmer left an amendment to the amendment to the resolution.

PRESIDENT:
: A . . For what purpose does Senator Glass arise?
SENATOR GLASS:
Mr. President, I'd like an explanation of the amendment. PRESIDENT:

The question is shall the amendment be adopted. Shall the resolution be adopted: All in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question the Ayes are 6 , the Nays are 25 , with 6 Voting Present. Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE:
Postpone Consideration:
PRESIDENT:
Postponed Consideration has been requested. Senator Hynes. SENATOR HYNES:

Senator Vadalabene sent a message down and said that somebody
1. accidentally hit his present button.
2. PRESIDENT:
3.
4. your press release. Senator Harris.
5. SENATOR HARRIS:
6. Having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the
7. vote by which Senate Resolution 134 was defeated be reconsidered.
8. PRESIDENT:
9.
10.
11.

12
Senator Carroll. SENATOR CARROLL:

I move that that motion lie upon the Table. PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Nays have it. The question is shall the resolution be adopted. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Congratulations, King John of Amendments. SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 135 offered by Senator Lemke, Paste, Daley and...

PRESIDENT:
Hold it...hold it...take the other one. SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 135 offered by Senator Harris, Partee and all Senators and its congratulatory. PRESIDENT:

Senator Harris. Senator Harris. This is the intern resolution. SENATOR HARRIS:

Yes, thank you Mr. President. I have on the Secretary's Desk a resolution, it's reasonably brief but it's particularly important and if I might just quote from significant paragraphs from it. We are all aware that each year four interns from the majority and four interns from the minority work with us during the development of their academic training. It's an outstanding program. Illinois truly is
1. 2.
in the vanguard of full implementation of this joint, practical, legislative experience and fulfillment of their academic training. One of the great impacts, I truly believe, in the fulfillment of the mission of Sangamon State University is the laboratory that is hard by this forum and this activity of providing solutions to the peoples' business. The synthesis of the Legislative Intern Program in Illinois I think is as unique as any of our sister states. The direction by Professor Cone is noteworthy and the eight young people who have worked with us this past year and who soon will complete this relationship has certainly been an affirmative and positive addition to our decision making capabilities. I would like to just quote that "Whereas, the distinguished members of the 79 th General Assembly shall soon conclude their Spring Session; and whereas, the Senate Legislative Staff Interns find their internship experience with the 79th Illinois General Assembly coming to a close; and whereas the eight Senate Interns, Dewey Akins, Elizabeth Collins, Janice Gomien, Carter Hendren, Leslye Logan, Mark Mifflin, James Spizzo and Michael Walters, have had the rare opportunity to gain invaluable experience and knowledge about the operations of the Illinois General Assembly in particular, and Illinois State government in general; and whereas the contributions made by these eight fine young people have been well-received by the members of the Senate and stand as indications of their abilities to comprehend the legislative process and to be positive in their participation in that process; and whereas, the members of the Senate recognize that the past nine and one-half months will be long remembered by the Interns as a milestone in their lives; therefore, be it resolved, by the Senate of the Seventy-ninth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we sincerely congratulate Dewey Akins, Elizabeth Collins, Janice Gomien, Carter Hendren, Leslye Logan, Mark Mifflin, James Spizzo and Michael Walters for their outstanding service to the Senate as Legislative Staff Interns; and be it further resolved, that a suitable copy of this preamble and resolution be provided each intern as a token of the Senate's appreciation and best wishes for the future. Now, Mr. President, I
1. move to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration and
2. adoption of Senate Resolution 135.
3. PRESIDENT:

Just prior to taking a vote on the motion, the Chair would add that that is expressly what would have been said by the Chair. We do in fact have a great, great group of young people as interns. Senator Harris has moved for the immediate suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of this resolution. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, the rules are suspended. Senator Harris moves for the adoption of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:
Senate Resolution 136 introduced by Senators Rock, Partee and all members, its congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:
I'd like to read the resolution. Where is Mr. William O'Connell, 18. I.just fooled him up here.
(President reads Senate Resolution 136)
20. Senator Bloom.
21. SENATOR BLOOM: \(\therefore\) Id like to move for the adoption of this resolution.
23. PRESIDENT:

Senator Bloom moves for the immediate consideration of this resolution. Senator...Senator Donnewald.

SENATOR BLOOM:
And in move...
president:
Senator Donnewald.
SENATOR DONNEWALD:
Well, I have a question - how in the world can you celebrate twenty years when he isn't that old?
33. PRESIDENT:

\section*{?}

He says he loves you, God bless you. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

And I have no coverage from the Peoria...whatever it is. PRESIDENT:

Star Journal, Peoria Star Journal. The motion is for the immediate consideration of this resolution. All in favor will say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, the resolution is under consideration. The motion now is for the immediate adoption of this resolution. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted. He's been writing about us for twenty years, he's been writing about us for twenty years, let's let him talk to us for a moment.

WILLIAM O'CONNELL:
Mr. President, gentlemen, ladies, I have enjoyed twenty years here, except for one night about a year ago we had a commission meeting downstairs on pay raises and they asked for...for some testimony and I tried to offer some. I suggested and tendered to you an amendment to your pay raise bill which merely said that every correspondent shall be paid the same amount as the body he covers. Now, our relationships here sometimes have been adversary. That was your chance to unload us, we'd have all been over covering the Supreme court the next morning. Thank you and God bless you. SENATOR BLOOM:

I'll probably talk more tonight than \(I\) have all Session, but I'd just like to add that, thank God for Bill o'Connell because he's kept me from making more than my share of freshman mistakes that everybody makes their first time down here and he really does more than just cover the process, he brings State government in its proper perspective, free from the biases of the papers. Thank you. PRESIDENT:

For what purpose does Senator Demuzio arise? SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President, I'd like the record to show today that Senator Lane is not here because he is very ill and has returned
1.
2.
3.
4.
home last night. I did talk to him a couple of hours ago and he is feeling a lot better. As you know, he's been ill for three days, he stuck it out the last two, but jusi couldn't do it today and I'd like the record to so reflect. PRESIDENT:

Let the recond so show.
SECRETARY:
Senate Joint Resolution 49 offered by Senator Berning.
PRESIDENT:
Executive. Message from the House.
SECRETARY:
A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - \(I\) am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to adopt the first Conference Committee on Senate Bill 477 and request a second conference to consider the differences' between the two Houses. Senator Egan is the chief sponsor.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
( : Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move for the suspension of the rules for the immediate adoption of this resolution.

PRESIDENT:
Senator Egan moves to accede to the request of the Conference Committee of the House for the appointment of the second Conference Committee. All in favor say Aye Opposed Nay. The motion carries and the Secretary shall so inform the House. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

On the Secretary's Desk, the order of resolutions. Mr. Secretary. SECRETARY:

Senate...Senate Resolution No. 137, Senator Egan. Senate Resolution No. 138, Senator Soper and Mitchler. Senate Resolution No. 139, Senator Bloom and Mitchler, and those are congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 140 and 141 are death resolutions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROII)

Senator Egan. On Senate Resolution 137.

\section*{SENATOR EGAN:}

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move for the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 137 which is congratulatory, it's on my daughter's sixteenth birthday.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Egan moves for the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution 137. All in favor say Aye. All opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and the rules are suspended. Senator Egan now moves for the immediate adoption of that resolution. All those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

I would ask leave to join all... PRESIDING OFFICER: SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Egan asks for leave for all Senators to be joined as cosponsors. Is there leave. Leave has been granted. Senator Soper on 138. Senator Soper on Senate Resolution 138. Senator Soper moves for the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of the congratulatory resolution. All those in favor of the motion to suspend the rules say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Soper now moves for the adoption of the resolution. All those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Congratulations, Senator Soper. Senate Resolution 139, Sunator Bloom. Senator Bloom moves for the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of this resolution. All those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and the rules are suspended. Senator Bloom now moves for the adoption of the resolution. All those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. We have now...
1. we have Senate Resolutions 140 and Senate Resolution 141 offered
2. initially by Senator Hynes, joined by Senator Egan. These are death
3. resolutions. Senator Egan moves for the suspension of the rules for
4. the immediate consideration of the resolutions. Those in favor say
5. Aye. Opposed Nay. The rules are suspended. Senator Egan now
6. moves for the adoption of the resolutions. All those in favor say
7. Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted. (Machine cut-off)
8. Senator Soper to explain that resolution. Senator Harris, there has
9. been a request from the Body, if that item you're looking at is
        part of a Conference Committee Report. Senator Harris.
        SENATOR HARRIS:
    I have a letter of resignation in my pocket. Senator Philip
        wants to resign from the Committee on Elections and Reapportionment
        and Senator Moore wants to appoint me as his replacement because
        Senator Donnewald and I are trying to develop a committee bill here
        to...
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
                            Senator Savickas, did you have a committee bill you wanted
        considered also? Okay. Senator Shapiro, for what purpose do
        you arise?
        SENATOR SHAPIRO:
    Well, I...I want to address a question to the sponsor of that
        map.
        PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Harris, there's a question...I...I'm not sure who the sponsor is, Senator Shapiro.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Yeah, well...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
We haven't determined that yet.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Senator Harris, is that 16 th Congressional District, does it look the way it does? Well, I'll vote for it.
1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
2. A message from the House.
3. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 558 and request a Second Committee of Conference to consider the differences between the two Houses. This is Senator Palmer is the chief sponsor of this bill, Senator Lemke has handled it for him. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Lemke. On 558 the House has refused to adopt the First Conference Committee Report and has requested a second conference. Are we to accede to that request? What is your pleasure? SENATOR LEMKE:

My directions are that we should postpone this till the Fall, that's what imaige before. I say put it over to the pall and if you don't want it to the Fall, let's Table the bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Yours is over to the Fall. The House has made a request for second conference and you do not accede to that request. The order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 783, Senator Savickas? Senator Savickas, House Bill 783 on the order of Conference Committee Reports. (Machine cut-off) Savickas. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, 783 if the Industrial Comission budget and we have come to an agreement on... on its purposes. So at this time \(I\) would ask for a concurrence with the Conference Report, Committee Report on 783. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Savickas, this is the Second Conference Committee, is that correct?

SENATOR SAVICKAS:
1.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
3.
4. SENATOR ROCK:
5. Yes, I rise in support of Senator. Savickas' motion and was
6. going to make the same point. Traditionally, we are hidebound
7. and the Second Conference Committee Report is the finale, I hope every-
8. body pays attention.
9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARRCLI \(L_{\text {) }}\)

SENATOR SAVICKAS:
33. Yes, Senator Soper,it's a reduction in some of the areas that
1. the committee had deemed in appropriation committee that were
2. unnecessary. It was the removing of videotaping, replacing court
3. reporters, people with machines, the videotaping, establishing a
4. public information unit, hiring twenty-four new social workers,
5. a new program of hiring social workers to replace, I guess lawyers,
6. cuts out five hundred thousand dollars for moving the offices from
7. one floor to another. But it also leaves in money for the four
8. new downstate offices and new...two new arbitrators, or hearing
9. officers, whatever they call them. It removes a...a bit of the
10. money for... original budget demand and how much did you cut out of the budget? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Well, that really isn't that relevant because all new programs are put into this budget. The budget is about a thousand dollars or so difference between last years operation on what they spent and what they're getting this year. The other monies was all new programs to replace in some areas people with videotape machines to spend five hundred thousand dollars for moving from the twelfth floor to the second floor of a building to spend money to hire twentyfour new social workers a new program. In this day of austerity and financial crises, we are setting up new programs.
1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Soper.

\section*{SENATOR SAVICKAS:}

This is what we are talking about. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Soper. SENATOR SOPER:

Yes, Senator Savickas, just tell me what the appropriation was and tell me what this cuts out of the appropriation. Was it a thousand dollar appropriation? Did you reduce it to nine ninetynine or was it twenty million or what? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Soper, your...your time is up and he will answer your question and close the debate. Ary further discussion? Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Well, I would...I would point out that the major cut that I am worried about, is not the...the twenty-four people that Frank Savickas or Senator Savickas calls social workers, but the vỉeotaping that has been extremely successful in getting cases adjudicated before the full commission. Now, gentiemen, we're one year behind on transcription and that only means for you who do any kind of practice or know about the Industrial Commission, that just means that the poor guy who has been injured, who has...has not approved the opinion of the adjudicator and takes it to the full commission, makes...waits a full year at which time and during which time the insurance companies in my area give him a pretty good squeeze to settle and all this does, it's been extremely successful, they say they can videotape the original proceedings and send them to the commission. It has worked in the quad-cities to great success and to cut out this program when they can't even find court reporters is just a little silly: Now they advertise in all the trade journals for a court reporter for over six months and finally ended up getting
a service out of Iowa to do transcriptions. Videotaping is something that is coming and it's here and it's working with the Industrial Commission and what you're saying by cutting out videotaping is that you don't want cases to go before the full commission and during the long year that goes on, these guys are desperate. These are not people with phony claims, they are people who have been injured in work and they have a right to have a speedy trial and speedy adjudication of their claim and I think that videotaping helps that, when you cut it out you're hurting a lot of guys that work in plants that who are injured around this State.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Soper, for what point do you arise?
SENATOR SOPER:
Well, I asked a question then all of a sudden... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

It was indicated to you, Senator, excuse me, it was indicated that your time was up. Senator Savickas indicated that he will answer your question at the close of the debate. Senator Bruce was then recognized for his time. Senator Egan.

SENATOR EGAN:
Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I will not yield my time to Senator Soper, but I would like to say this that the cost of the videotape mechanism is four times the cost of hiring the a... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Continue, Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
All right. The cost...the cost of the videotape mechanism is four times that of the cost of a...of a stenographer and let me suggest, Senator Bruce, that if you have any trouble at all hiring stenographers, I suggest that you contact Senator Norbert Kosinski and he'll get you all you need. And further than that, in the Chicago area where the Industrial Commission cases, most of the Industrial Commission cases in the State of Illinois are heard, it would be a total waste of the taxpayers
1. money to videotape those proceedings which only must then be 2. transcribed by a transcriber and type them on to a record to submit.
3. for administrative review, where the video part of the videotape 4. would be totally unnecessary. I suggest that this is a fine and 5. beautiful idea for evidence depositions which have absolutely no
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15. place in the Industrial Commission and I submit that that is a waste of our money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Soper, for what point do you arise?
SENATOR SOPER:
I want an answer to my question, and if you want to hide something you can hide it, but you've got to tell me what you're cutting out of this budget and tell me what you're doing. If you're not going to answer the question let's...I move to adjourn. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator, your motion is out of order, your time was up, he will answer the question as has been indicated when it comes back to him. Senator Wooten. Senator Wooten.

SENATOR WOOTEN:
Mr. President and colleagues, I am glad that Senator Bruce touched on the situation in the quad-cities. I am not a lawyer, I am not in the insurance business and thus the complexities of this process are lost on me in the main. I think the most interesting result of the videotaping process is we are discovering that the process works to the detriment of the person involved. There have been some rather interesting cases. A man comes in for his hearing, his name is called and suddenly a lawyer speaks up who sees that man for the first time. We are becoming aware of serious abuses within the structure of the process and \(I\) believe that cutting this budget is going to deliver a death flow to the kind of examination the whole process needs. It begins to appear that the people who need help for whom the whole process has been instituted, are being victimized. I would hate to see any money cut out of this.
. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLE)
2. Senator Lemke.
3. SENATOR LEMKE:
4. You know we talk about videotapes, you know these appeals
5. go directly to the Supreme Court and the Supreme Court won't accept
6. a videctape record, we'll go back and the case will be retired
7. over and this will be another year before the guy gets his...his
8. review in the Supreme Court and this is the problem we're going to
9. have. Now maybe... maybe the non-lawyers don't know this, but tapes are not going to be accepted and they're not accepted and they've never been tested and these are...these are cases that are of much concern. As far as the delay of getting a record, that delay is not on the part of the court reporter, that delay is on the part of a decision coming out. It takes sometimes sixty to ninety days to get a decision out and the Chairman of this Industrial Commission has never yet sat on a reviow hearing since he's been appointed by the Governor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Knuppel.
SENATOR KNUPPEL:
Well, in downstate Illinois we do have some trouble getting... getting the court reporters to get the transcript typed up, but nevertheless, the answer isn't going to a videotape. First of all, you got... you got the equipment, you got to have somebody to operate it, the quality of the...the quality of the tape, the preservation of the tape, once you've done it if you run the thing back and you don't have it you're going to be in a hell of a shape. I just can't see it, I think it's far...far too visionary and more than that the usual fellow that goes in there to have a workmen's compensation case is certainly not a sophisticated individual. He'll be prejudiced by the tape upon review and in every other manner. He'd be much better off with a court reporter.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
Thank you very much, Mr. President and fellow Senators, I'll keep it brief. This is not a debate on videotapes. The fact of the matter is, and I'm sure that Senator Savickas will bring it out that we've brought the borders of Mr. Rosenbloom's festum in. What he wanted was to expand, and we've contracted and that's in keeping with what the Governor wants and what we want. Thank you. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Shapiro, did you seek recognition? I might remind, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, that this is the Second Conference Committee Report.

SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Well, Mr. President, that's a question that I want to direct to the sponsor. Is this the Second Conference Committee Report? PRESTDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLD)

This is the Second Conference Committee Report.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Well, then as far as I'm concerned that is the most important question before us. This is the Second Conference Committee Report, we: should approve it, unless we want to come back in a few days for a Special.Session and I would urge every one on this side to vote Aye in support of the Conference Committee Report and the sponsor of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
The point is well taken, Senator Shapiro. Any other person who has not spoken yet? Senator Soper.
SENATOR SOPER:
I'd just like to repeat my request. Just tell me in the number of dollars, I don't care which way it goes, whether you're adding to it or you're taking from it. You started with four million some dollars. Now what is the total appropriation at this time? When you take out or you put on. Is it five million, is it a million, or
is it three million, just tell me the figures. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

\section*{Senator Savickas.} SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Am I closing debate now? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

No, Senator Soper is up for his second time provided for in our rules and he has asked you a question.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:
All right, I will read these figures to Senator Soper since he's not familiar with them. In Fiscal Year '75 there was an appropriation of two million five hundred and eighty-five thousand and ninety-seven dollars from General Revenue. The expenditures were two million four hundred and ninety-five thousand, one fortyfive. So they didn't spend a hundred thousand dollars. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROIT)

Senator Soper, for what purpose...
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
\(\therefore\) Now, in 1976 the budget request jumped to four million, one hundred and twenty thousand. It jumped two million... almost two million dollars in one year. The Appropriations Committee in their wisdom and in their concern to follow the Governor's concern about the budget crisis, decided to cut one million, seven hundred thousand dollars, so I imagine that would leave approximately where they were last year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Soper.
SENATOR SOPER:
Now, if you haven't got another book to read about ' 72 and ' 71 and back to Lincoln's time, just tell me what the difference between what the request was and what this amount is that you're appropriating under this, up or down. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

That's all I wanted to know. If you'd have told me that before
25. we wouldn't waste. all this tape. So you go from four million one
26. hundred thousand to two million five hundred thousand. Okay, that's
27. all I want to know.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Savickas.

\section*{SENATOR SAVICKAS:}

Well, Senator, in a good Bohemian style, if you can count the figures, in 1975, two million, five hundred and eighty-five thousand was appropriated, they spent two million four hundred and ninetyfive thousand. In 1976 the request is for four million one hundred and twenty thousand. Now, out of that four million one hundred and twenty thousand we cut approximately one million seven hundred thousand. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Soper. SENATOR SOPER:

Now you got a figure of two million what?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Well: I wasn't very good in math, but I...I imagine... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\therefore \therefore\) About two million, four.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
?. ...two milion five or two million four. we wouldn't waste all this tape. So you go from four million one hundred thousand to two million five hundred thousand. Okay, that's all I want to know.

Senator Savickas to close. Senator Savickas asked for... SENATOR SAVICKAS:

In closing, I would just ask for a roll call, this budget is about the same as last, year. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
1. 2. Committee Report on House Bill 783. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 3. opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take 4. the record. On that question the Ayes are 41 , the Nays are 6, with 5. 2 Voting Present and the Senate does adopt the Second Conference 6. Committee Report on House Bill 783. And that bill having received 7. the required constitutional majority is hereby declared passed.
8. Senator Egan. Are you prepared on Senate Bill 477? I might remind 9. this is also a Second Conference Committee Report. Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. You just a few, ten or twenty minutes ago prior to the recess, concurred in the Conference Committee Report which included one million two hundred thousand dollars from the Capital Development Bond Fund for Sangamon State University. That has been deleted by the House in the Second Conference Comittee Report, the remainder of the report being the same and I would commend the report to you as well às Senator Bloom in my motion to adopt this Conference Committee Report again. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\because\) Senator Egan moves to adopt the Second Conference Committee Reporf.: Is there any discussion? Senator Shapiro. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Would the sponsor yield for a question? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

The sponsor indicates he will yield.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Senator Egan, in committee, did you not vote to eliminate the entire appropriation for the Illinois Information Service? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
I did, along with Senator Harris and Senator Philip and Senator I believe, Shapiro and no, as a matter-of-fact I didn't. I voted
1.
2. a hundred...two hundred and fifty thousand.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14.
15.
33.
for a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars. This gives them

Senator Shapiro.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
You mean this Second Conference Committee Report increases the appropriation over the first one from a hundred and ninety-four to two hundred and fifty.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)
Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
No, it was the same in that report as it is here.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Shapiro.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
One ninety-four?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
No, two hundred and fifty.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
E: Senator Shapiro.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
The First Conference Committee Report calls, says Illinois
Information Services for personal services a hundred and ninetyfour thousand and all the other adds up to two-fifty. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN: against the Illinois Information Service appropriation for a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars, I voted Aye and you

That's correct. That is the same as the Second, but when... when we heard this in the Appropriations Committee, when the Republicans voted
1. voted No.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
3. Senator Shapiro.
4. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Then if I remain consistent, I should vote No this evening. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
Well, I...I...I welcome you to vote whichever your conscience dictates. I just remind you that all of the members on the Republican side, Senator Harris and Senator Philip and all of the members of the committee voted No when I discussed the Illinois Information Service under Governor Ogilvie and the program with the billboards on Highway 66 promoting the, among other things, the Applied Consent Law and I'm...I'm happy that you have a change of heart.

PREGIDING OFFICER: (GEINGTOR CARROLL;
Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 477. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 40 , the Nays are 4 , with 1 Voting Present. And the Senate does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 477 and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 556, Senator Hynes, are you, Senator Hynes, 556, Senator Palmer's bill. Senator Mitchler, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR MITCHLER:
Mr. President, about how many would you estimate, Conference Reports do we have? About seven or eight? Would you estimate that number?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Mitchler, I know that you mentioned before that you
1. keep them all in numerical order on your desk and I think if you've
2. taken out the ones upon which re've had a vote........: : : : =: .-.
3. SENATOR MITCHLER:
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.

Well...the reason I asked... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
...Senator Regner gave us, whatever's left... SENATOR MITCHLER:

The reason \(I\) asked this is because were coming into second Conference Committee Reports and if we only have seven or eight, I don't think it's too much of a burden to have the Conference Committee Reports distributed on the desks of each of the Senators so that we can review and understand what we're working on. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

It's my understanding...

\section*{SENATOR MITCHLER:}

We commended the President and the Leadership for doing this up to this point, now were getting down into the real nitty-gritty ones; the ones that have been held back and have been causing all of us sitting around here and waiting: Now this is when you slip things through and then we go home and we read about it in the papers what we voted on, whether were voting on some pension for : somebody or something and I don't want to go home and have to face the people and come back and undo that stuff. So I'm going to ask that.... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL) :. . Thank you, Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:
...we have these seven or eight more that we have, distributed, and I hope some of the other Senators would join me in that request of the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Your point is well taken, I know you have a copy now in your hands. Thank you, Senator Mitchler. Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:
I would move that the Senate adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 556. Essentially what it does is to recede from House Amendment No. 2 on a part of the House, which was the FEPC Amendment, secondly, the Senate concurs substantially on House Amendment No. 1 which involves reshuffling of monies within the appropriation. It reduced certain items, increased others and one exception to that is there is a reduction in operations of fifty two thousand, seventy-two dollars in the Swimming Pool Licensure Expansion Section. That is the sum and substance of the amendment, of the Conference Committee Report and I would move its adoption. I believe it has been signed by all of the participants in the committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 556. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 46 , the Nays are none, with 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 556 and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senator Wooten, on House Bill 1360. Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Mr. President and colleagues, I will now issue my complaint. It is unfortunate that the Second Conference Committee Report is simply the first one done over again, no attempt, no realistic attempt was made in compromise and quite frankly, the committee is stacked to produce one result, to which I object. I cannot move to adopt the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Wooten makes no motion at all and this being a Second Conference Committee Report...Senator Wooten, for what purpose? SENATOR WOOTEN:
1.

And that's what I object to, using the institution to push through something that... on which we really have no opportunity to effect a real compromise. So I...anybody who wants to can deal with it, but I wouldn't touch it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Welsh, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR WELSH:

Mr. President, I move to adopt the Committee Report No. 2 to House Bill 1360.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Welsh has moved to adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1360. Is there any further discussion?

Senator...Senator Clarke.
SENATOR CLARKE:
Tell us what it does, Senator. PRESIDING ORFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Clarke has asked Senator Welsh, Senator Welsh has indicated he will yield. Senator Welsh.

SENATOR WELSH:
The same as Committee Report No. 1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Weaver, for what purpose?
SENATOR WEAVER:
Well, I'd just like to speak. This...this Conference Committee Report is the same as the last one, but let me tell you what happened last year. Last year out of Law Enforcement they diverted a third of a million dollars to a plumbers group and that's exactly what we're cutting out of this budget. And I move for adoption of this Second Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Is there any further discussion? Senator Glass. Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Is this the...is this the issue

3
1. that Senator Wooten spoke on before where the House eliminated the
2. Office of Internal Investigations? Is that what's at issue here?
3. I ask Senator Wooten if he'll yield to answer that.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Wooten indicates he will yield. Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Yes, it's the same shortsighted attempt to cut out OSI. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? Senator Shapiro.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
Well, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. If this involves the same issue as before, I want to make a few comments about that. The OSI was created by Executive Order. There is no way the Legislature can do anything to change that Executive Order. The only weapon we have is with the appropriation. I do not begrudge the Governor his OSI, but if he wants the OSI, let him put it in his own budget in the Governor's Office and that's my point. There is no way the Legislature can set up laws or rules or: regulations concerning the activities of the OSI, and this is the only weapon we have as far as the legislative process is concerned, concerning that appropriation. And in the Appropriations Committee, how would you like to be the Director of the Law Enforcement Agency of this State and have an agency within your group where that director does not report to you, gets the same salary as you, and reports directly to the Governor. I say the only thing the legislative process has is to to cut the appropriation if they do not agree with it and if the Governor wants it, more power to him, let him put it in his own appropriation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Clarke. SENATOR CLARKE:
32.

Nell, I'd just like to come back again, Gentlemen, and tell you
33. that you are butting your head up against a brick wall because you
*
1. don't read: the reports that we pay for from our own Auditor General.
2. If you want to get rid of this, write in the law that the Governor
3.
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.
10.
11.
12.
13.
14. shall not investigate corruption in his own Executive Departments. He can go right ahead and have it and he's going to do it and you know it and you're just kidding yourself.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Bruce. SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, I want to take exception to the comment made by Senator Weaver about a plumbers group. The plumbers group that I have been reading about, Senator Weaver, were those guys hired to plug up official corruption, not to highlight it, and the explicit purpose of the OSI is to highlight and expose public and official corruption. Now what we are saying is exactly what Senator Clarke wants to say and that is, there is no way you're going to prohibit according to the Auditor Generals opinion, the Governor from being able to investigate corruption. You can take it out of here and he's. going to find the money. The only way you can do it is to have the guts to put into all the bills - no part of this appropriation shall be used to investigate corruption in government. That's what you are trying to do by deleting the OSI, and I think that you're butting your head up against the wall and this is not one of our finer hours.: PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
No, Senator Bruce, this is not one of our finer hours, but when you say it's to highlight and put a spotlight on corruption and wrongdoing, \(I\) believe there is an editorial recently in one of the metropolitan papers about what OSI was doing, the office of Supressing Investigations into the malfeasance in the Department of Public Aid. There is an ongoing investigation by the Feds and the Federal investigators were complaining about the fact that this
very department that is supposed to be putting a searchlight and a spotlight on corruption, has both heels dug in and is not cooperating at all. I think this appropriation is unnecessary. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Mitchler.
SENATOR MITCHLER:
Well, there's some talk about this office of OSI and so forth and maybe Senator Wooten or somebody that would like to keep this. What...what...just what do they do...what is their purpose? Can you tell me, I don't know, we didn't have this before this...this administration, to my knowledge. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)

Senator Wooten wishes to respond. Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

It's an agency or means by which the Governor can investigate charges of corruption in the Exccutive Branch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Mitchler.
- SENATOR MITCHLER:

The Governor then is admitting that he has corruption within his own organization and he has these people go around to investigate to come back to him and tell him where the corruption is in the Government, is that what he...in the Executive Branch?

PRESTIDING OFEICER: (SENATOR CARROLL).
Senator Wooten.
SENATOR WOOTEN:
Senator, you are not a student of Aristotle, you didn't distribute the middle in that premise, no that is not the assumption. The agency is there to investigate corruption if there is an allegation and they investigate to see if that allegation is true or not. They have done yeoman's work and I believe the product of their work has been deposited right here in Springfield in a recent case. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Mitchler.

\section*{SENATOR MITCHLER:}

Getting to the point then, I mean, can you cite some specific cases where they've revealed this corruption or I...I don't know. I've been reading all about the CIA and the FBI getting into personal lives of people and I'm wondering just...this Governor's training himself for Washington by making this a Junior CIA or FBI agency. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Mitchler I might...all right, your time is up. Senator Wooten, would you wish to respond? SENATOR WOOTEN:

As a matter-of-fact, Mr. Moore cited, I forget the number now, in the deposition. What they do not only is to turn over evidence to proper authorities if there is some suspicion which should be looked into further, but they have cleared people. You know, one of the problems in government is the runior mill that operates continuously and the unfounded charges which are often lay to the door of many people. Mr. Moore points out that he has issued letters to people who were accused of wrongdoing certifying that they had indeed been cleared. This is something kind of new. You know you can cut this anyway you want. you can dress it in any kind of pejorative language. Just comes down to a simple thing. Do you want the Governor to have the means to investigate any allegations of wrongdoing or don't you, it's that simple.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, it seems that we are getting a little emotional over the words of office of Special Investigator. Any need that the Governor may have to investigate wrongdoing or complaints from citizens about Statè employees, or from State employees about other State employees, can very easily be done by an executive aide or put in a new
1. title. He can even have his Deputy Governor, a man another 2. position created without any statutory reference to investigate or to help him. I.think it's a slur upon many of the honest people as Senator Wooten has stated, that someone had complained about him and now all of a sudden they receive a letter that they're cleared of some charges that they never knew that existed, because they were cleared by the Office of Special Investigation, the connotation there that they were under investigation. I think that if there is any wrongdoing an executive aide could very easily handle whatever concern may be there. I think this is just trying to perpetuate a...like one of the Senators said, a CIA or FBI. There's no need for it, there's no need to get emotional. If we need investigation of wrongdoing of people that are accused of corruption, send in an executive aide, send in someone from the Personnel Department to find out just what is going un. This special office, special department, we've got the State police. And I must remind you that last year when the Governor instituted his budget, his tight budget, barebones budget, there was no excess money, but all of a sudden two hundred and fifty thousand dollars, that's a quarter of a million, was found to fund this agency and I would just say to you that money will be found in the Governor's budget to put on another Deputy Governor or another executive aide and a secretary to answer all of these charges and all of these complaints. I think that we should proceed with a vote on this concurrence.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Partee.
SENATOR PARTEE:
Mr.. President, I am reluctant to make the motion that I'm going to make, but this matter has been debated, when it came in originally, when it went over to the House, when it came back on the First Conference Committee, now it's the Second Conference Committee. Nothing new is being said. I move the previous
question. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Partee has moved the previous question out of custom and tradition. Senator Shapiro had rise to recognition before. Senator Shapiro however had already spoken once on this issue. Senator Shapiro. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President, I made an error, a factual error in my debate. I stated that the Director of the OSI received the same salary as the Director of Law Enforcement. I wish to correct that error. The Director of the OSI, within the Division of the Illinois Law Enforcement receives seventy-five hundred dollars more than the... than Director Johnson does. He receives forty-two thousand, five hundred dollars a year. The Director of Law Enforcement receives thirty-seven hundred...thirty-seven thousand dollars a year. FRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROII,)

Senator Partee has moved the previous question. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The previous question has been put. The question is shall the Senate adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1360. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 12 and 1 voting Present. And the Senate does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1360 and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Conference Committee Reports. Senator Knuppel. On House Bill 1426, Department of Agriculture. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Body, the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1426 recommends that the House concur on Senate Amendments 1 and 6. The first one being what they call the Weasal Clause with respect to spending Federal funds: Number six adds a breeding incentive program for thoroughbred and standardbred
1. horses... Now, the bill:is further: amended by this report, now 2. contains a cut of three hundred, eighty-two thousand, three hundred 3. dollars and this is some...something like a compromise between the 4. original cut of six hundred and eighty-two thousand. I submit that the...with the House, it also contains an increase I think in one hundred thousand dollars for grants for Soil and Water Conservation District. This is about the best...we've been on it all day...that the House will accede to. It's been signed by...I think eight of the nine or eight of the ten members, four Democrats, four Republicans on the Conference Committee. I move the adoption of the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Knuppel moves the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1426. Is there any discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1426. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 49, the Nays are none and none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1426 and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 554. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 554 in the Conference Committee submitted on the Secretary's Desk,calls for an annual appropriation to the Capital Development Board of some seven point six million dollars in operating expenses. As you will recall, 554 as introduced called for an expenditure of ten point four million because of the proposed increased work load under the accelerated building program. When that program failed, the Senate Appropriations Committee immediately cut three point six million out and as a result of the Senate Committee deliberations, we were down at a level of five point
1. six million. The House with two amendments, put the operating expenses because of the increased projects in the two capital bills which we will shortly have before us, 289 and 802. They moved the appropriation up to eight point six million. We have lo these many hours been trying to strike a fair and reasonable compromise to assure the membership in the General Assembly and the people of this State, that the projects called for in those two capitalprogram bills will, in fact be carried out. We have struck a figure of seven point six million dollars. I commend it for your concurrence and I would urge that the senate do concur in the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 554. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Rock moves that the Sanate do adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 554. Is there any discussion? Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNTNG:
One question. Is part of the reason for the increase, the proposed new State building south of the present state office Building?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)
Senator Rock indicates he will yield. Senator Rock. SENATOR ROCK:
\(\because\) No sir, as I understand it, having been a member also of the conference Committee on the Capital project bill that there is now proposed in lieu of that seventy-five million dollar project an agreement for a twenty million dollar project for parking facilities. So that question, I thinkris still left to both the Space Needs Commission and the Capital Bond Board.
. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Berning.
31. SENATOR BERNING:

Yes, please. If the increase then is a contemplated twenty million dollar structure, or are you implying that there are others.
1. My question being that if that is the only one, I question the 2. need to increase from the reduced figure of some five million to 3. back up to eight million.
4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
5.

Any further discussion? Senator Harber Hall.
Senator Rock.
SENATOR ROCK:
Well the figure at which we are is seven point six million and that is accountable for by virture of the fact that their head count I belideve increases some fifty-two people, and it is not based primarily, Senator, on that one project. When you look at the Conference

Committee Report on 289 and 802 , we have Iiterally inundated this board with projects. Some admittedly are yet at the drawing board and planning stage, but nonetheless, there have been complaints over the year with respect to their performance as to the...under the School Construction Bond Program and under the regular Capital Program. This, I thinkr is a reasonable compromise and I think we should concur.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Latherow.
SENATOR LATHEROW:
Thank you, Mr....thank you, Mr. President. I just want to remark that I'm not going to support this budget for CDB. I think in the last couple of years or maybe even longer, I found the greatest maze of cobwebs in that organization over there, many of which \(I\) think are self created, that in no way could I find it possible to support this organization, especially with the creation of seventy-nine new positions. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

SENATOR HALL:
Mr. President, I can't support this appropriation for the Capital Development Board with the increase of seventy-nine persons in the personal services line item. An increase of one million
1. five hundred thousand dollars approximately. When I recognize that all they do is pay for outside services, they pay for their engineers, they pay for their contractual services, they pay for their architect fees, they pay for their audit services all independently of their own office. I think this is an outrage and a rape of the State Treasury and I hope everyone pays attention to what we are doing at this late hour tonight, and I remind the Body that we have about one hour and fifteen minutes before it takes sixty percent to pass any bill, if I am properly informed on this procedure, and that whether we have time enough to make another Committee Eeport is beside the point with me. I'm not going to rush into this. I will not support this and I hope a majority of this Body sees fit not to concur in the committee report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Mitchler.
SENATOR MITCHLER:
I applaud Senator Harber Hall for his comments in enlightening the nembership on just what is contained in the appropriation to this agency. Now I notice that the Conference Committee is signed by Senator Vadalabene and I'm wondering as a member there if he snuck in that building out here at the State Fairgrounds cause I know he's going to get that news release out of here sooner or later, \(I\) just wondered if this is his biggies, within here. Is SSenator Vadalabene here that I may ask him that? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Vadalabene. Oh, all right. Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:

Well, maybe he's over in the press room, but I...I'll ask Senator Vadalabene that personally. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Very good. The question is shall the...Senator McCarthy asks of the question now be put. The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 554.
1. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. 2. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the 3. Ayes are 37, the Nays are 13 and none Voting Present. The Senate 4. does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 554. And 5. that bill having received the required constitutional majority is 6. hereby declared passed. House Bill 2473, Senator Newhouse is not 7. here, Senator Wooten.
8. SENATOR WOOTEN: you arise?
29. SENATOR EGAN:
30. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I would like 31. leave of the Body to go to the order of Senate Bills...House Bills 32. on second reading, which have been read a third time, but are on 33.

Yes, Mr. President and colleagues. Senator Newhouse asked me if I would move the adoption of this Conference Committee Report for him. It has been signed by all ten members. It has to do with the definition of the FEPC and meets the objections of the archdiocese of chicago, which felt that the language in the bill meant that they could not make distinctions between religious groups and that is kind of important for a religious organization. So that language has been changed to meet that objection and I would move the auaption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2473. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Wooten moves the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2473. Is there any discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill. 2473. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 11 ,with 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2473 and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. (Machine-cut-off) for what purpose do second reading for purposes of amendment. And I would like to move
that we amend the bill with several amendments which the Secretary has on his desk.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
What is the bill number, Senator Egan?
SENATOR EGAN:
House Bill 3017.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\therefore\) Do we have leave of the Body to go to the order of House Bills second reading, that have been on third and read a second time earlier? Leave having been granted, we're on the order of House Bills second reading. House Bill 3017, Amendment No. ... SENATOR EGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
One moment, one moment...
SECRETARY:
The Committee on Appropriations offers four amendments.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Amendment No. 1, Senator Egan.
SENATOR EGAN:
I would move to Table Amendment No. 1 which will be supplanted by Amendment No. 5 and well it's Amendment No. 6 , I beg your pardon, which is the Omnibus Amendment which has been agreed to by both sides of the aisle. I move to Table Amendment No. 1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Egan moves to table Amendment No. l to House Bill 3017. All those in favor indicate by voting Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled Amendment No. 2, Senator Egan.

SENATOR EGAN:
Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move to adopt Amendment No. 2 , which is an amendment of a million five hundred thousand dollars for the purposes of insurance to the Secretary of
2. by committee by unanimous support. I move its adoption. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
4.
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.

State to come from the Road Fund. This amendment was adopted

Senator Egan moves the adoption of Committee Ariendment No. 2. "Those in favor...is there any further discussion? Those in favor indicate by voting Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Amendment No. 3, Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

Amendment No. 3 is the transfer of funds from the University Income Fund, which is provided for which is by the tuition which is received by the students and this transfers the University Income Fund to the various university budgets and this amendment was adopted in committee unanimously and I move for its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER:

Senator Egan moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 . Those in favor...is there any discussion? All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposea Nay. The Ayes have it and Committee Amenāment No. 3 is adopted. Amendment No. 4, Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

Amendment No. 4 is an appropriation to...from the Agricultural Premium Fund to the Racing Board. This amendment was adopted in the Appropriations Committee unanimously and I move for its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Egan moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 4. Is there any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the amendment. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and Committee Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Are there any further amendments, Mr. Secretary? SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 by Senator Rock.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Rock.
SENATOR ROCK:
1.

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 4 was the six month appropriation for the Illinois Racing Board. The House in its House Bill, which originally contained the Racing Board Appropriation did add an amendment with respect to the appropriation for personal services. This Amendment No. 5 is added at the request of the House, it is not monetary, but merely circumscripts the use of those personal service funds and I would move its adoption. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Rock has moved adoption of Amendment No. 5 to House Bill 3017. Is there any discussion? The question is on the adoption. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Are there further amendments, Mr. Secretary?
SECRETARY:
Amendment No. 6 by Senator Egan. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senálor egan. SENATOR EGAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 6 is that omnibus amendment which supplants the Tabled Committee Amendment No. 1. :This is the amendment which has been worked out by the staffs and members of both sides of the aisle. It is in full conformity with the wishes of both sides of the aisle. . I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\therefore\) Senator Egan moves the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to House Bill 3017. Is there any further discussion? Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

If I may ask Senator Egan one question, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

He indicates he will yield. Senator Glass.

\section*{SENATOR GLASS:}

Senator Egan, is that amendment an amendment which lists a
number of different commissions? All right. And then how many are there in it? I...I assume we'll be able to get a copy of that before it's voted on on 3rd reading, but can you indicate approximately how many we're talking about. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Elan.
SENATOR EGAD:
Well I'm sorry Senator Regner is not on the Floor. He has copies. It's three pages long, Senator Glass. He's worked it over with the staff and he's satisfied that its complete. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

He's been handed a copy. Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 6. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments, Mr. Secretary? SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7 by Senator Howard Mohr.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Mohr. Howard Mohr. SENATOR MOHR:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 3017 provides for twenty-five million dollars or so much thereof as may be necessary appropriated to the Space Needs Commission for acquisition of real property within the areas bounded by College, Monroe, First and Adams and by Third Monroe and Second Streets and Capitol Avenue in the City of Springfield. This is the ...the Capitol Complex boundary that we established here in a bill last week. This is for land acquisition which is presently being negotiated with the counsel of the Attorney General's office. I move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Howard Bohr moves the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 3017. Is there any further discussion? Senator Shapiro.
1. The question is... on the adoption of... Senator Mitchler.

SENATOR MITCHLER:
Well, Mr. President, I believe that these amendments, that we're considering now have not just cropped up here in the last several minutes, and I don't know why they...some of these amendments going into an omnibus commission bill are necessary amendments, because this is our only vehicle bill that we have to accomplish them. But, when they're changing other than what is in the original bill, and adding some very substantive language and actually, for example, on the racing board, there was a necessary amendment, because we have to fund the racing board, and we're doing it for six months and let them come back and tell us. But, on those, I think that we should have copies of those amendments on our desks when they make substantive changes like the one Senator Mohr is proposing here. That is not in connection with any of the language in the bill that \(I\) have here that I can glance through, and reduce or increase a commission appropriation or add a new commission, and I think that it is only fair that we have these so we can look at them when we adopt them. Now, we're on sort of a 2 nd reading here, so \(I\) would ask that they be duplicated so that we have them, and we can look at them and know what we're voting on when we have to come up to 3rd reading on the final appropriation.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
The point is well taken. I understand King John has copies to pass out. Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to House Bill 3017. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment
is adopted. Any further amendments?
SECRETARY:
Amendment No. 8 by Senator Knuppel.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)
Senator Knuppel.
SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Amendment No. 8 is for the sum of twenty-eight thousand, two hundred dollars. It has been reduced from the original bill which was introduced, by six percent, the money is for the necessary expenses of the State's Attorneys Study Commission for the next year. I move the adoption of Amendment No....
pRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
8.

SENATOR KNUPPEL:
... 8.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Knuppel moves the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 3017. Is there any discussion? Senator Clarke.

SENATOR CLARKE:
Seator Regner is off the Floor, but I just wanted to ask. He told me that every commission was being reduced to ten thousand and then taking six percent off of that. How did you get twenty-eight thousand?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Knuppel.
SENATOR KNUPPEL:
Thirty thousand multiplied by six, I think, comes to eighteen hundred, that comes to twenty-eight, two.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to House Bill 3017. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. Are there any further amendments?

SECRETARY:
Amendment No. 9 by Senator Shapiro. PRESISING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Shapiro. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, it's extremely
rare and seldom that an amendment can be offered that can be supported by both sides of the aisle by every member of the Senate. This amendment would provide an additional thirty-one million dollars to four of our state supported retirement systems for the amount of money necessary to above and beyond payout for the funding of our pension systems. This amendment provides for 1.75 percent above and beyond payout as it concerns the payroll of the four State supported systems throughout the State of Illinois. That's the downstate Teachers' Retirement System, Chicago Teachers' Retirement System, the State universities and the State employees. As you all know, earlier in this Session we passed Senate Bill 894 by a roll call vote of 56 to 1 , which provided for the system that this appropriation will support. If we implement this system for the first year this evening, and continue a 1.75 percent above and beyond payout on the payout and payroll of our State supported systems for approximately five to seven years, and then continue that rate for another fifteen years, we wii achieve, practically, one hundred percent funding for those State supported systems. Within approximately twenty to twenty-five years from now that will lead to a savings of approximately one half billion dollars a year for the taxpayers of this State. I would urge everyone in this Senate to vote for this bill. It is a step forward in the funding of our State Employees' Retirement Systems, all four of those that the State supports, and I would ask for a favorable roll call on the adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Hynes.
SENATOR HYNES:
Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a noble idea, it has some merit, but unfortunately its time has certainly not come, at least, not in the closing hours of this Session, a Session in which we have made every effort to not only hold the line on the budget, but to reduce it. I just think it's unfortunate that we cannot afford this appropriation. I, therefore, would oppose the amendment.

\footnotetext{
33.
}

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Question...Senator Harber Hall. SENATOR HALL:

Would the sponsor of the amendment yield for a question? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL).

Your neighbor says he will. SENATOR HALL:

I could probably reach over and talk to him a little easier, but I'd like everyone to know...well, because maybe someone else could be enlightened. I just wonder, this is an unusual bill to put the pension bill on, the appropriation bill for pensions on, I wonder why this isn't in other pension bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Shapiro.
SENATOR SHAPIRO:
weli, in answer to the question, it... we did consider that, but we felt it was easier to place it in the omnibus bill in a one line item; so that there would not be distributed thoughout the various systems. We attempted to adopt this amendment in the Appropriations Committee. It was defeated on another omnibus bill, but this way it makes it easier for the proposition to be voted up or down in one roli cali:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\because\) Senator Harber Hall.
SENATOR HALL:
Well, Senator Shapiro, is this the first time that we've ever tried to amend a bill for commissions and other agencies with pension, retirement provisions instead of on pension bills? To my knowledge, I've never known it to be introduced in this fashion, and particularly at such a late date.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Shapiro. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Senator Hall, if you had been listening to me you would have noted that I said - we attempted to place this amendment on the Senate Omnibus Bill in the Senate Appropriations Committee, and that it failed. This is not the first time this has been attempted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Harris, I think you sought recognition. Senator Harris. SENATOR HARRIS:

Well, I think this is a great opportunity, even though the time is short, to once again, enunciate the concern that this Body has for the beginning of establishing integrity into our five public employee pension systems. This is a reasonable start to provide over some twenty years that we eventually achieve sixty-five percent funding of these systems. The longer we put it off, the more we lose the product of the magic of compound interest. Senator Shapiro's Amendment should be adopted, and we should send this over to the House, and we hope that they will join us in making this significant start toward integrity in our public employee pension systems. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Netsch. SENATOR NETSCH:

I would address to Senator Harris a rhetorical question. Senator Harris, is this a thirty million dollar new program?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Harris indicates in the negative. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to House Bill 3017. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Pardon me. There's been a request for a roll call. I think you are joined by enough senators in so requesting. All those in favor indicate by voting Aye. The opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 16, the Nays are 19, and 4 Voting Present, and Amendment No. 9 fails. Any further amendments. 3rd reading. Do we have leave to go to the order of Messages? Senator Mitchlex, for what
1.
purpose do you arise?
SENATOR MITCHLER:
Mr. President, now, while we're waiting here in a little lull, I... may I suggest that the xerox machine grind out the amendments that were adopted.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
I have already made that request to the Secretary.
SENATOR MITCHLER:
I think that you are doing an admiral job, Mr. President. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

We have leave to go to the order of Secretary... Messages.
SECRETARY:
A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the senate that the House of Representatives has refused to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 13i4, and request a secona Committee of Conference to consider the differences between the two Houses.

Senator Joyce is the....:
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Joyce moves to accede to the request of the House for the ....for the appointment of a second Conference Committee Report. Is there leave?

SECRETARY:
\(\therefore\) A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. president. - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has acceded to the request of the Senate for the second Conference Committee Report to consider the differences between the two Houses in regard to Senate Bill 1170. Senator Bruce is the...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Bruce on 1170, the House has refused.. they acceded to our request for a Conference Committee. Second Conference Committee. You're welcome.

SECRETARY:
A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr . President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1968, and requests a second Conference... Committee of Conference to consider the difference between the two Houses. Senator Nudelman is the chief sponsor of this bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Nudelman moves that the Senate accede to the request of the House for a second Conference Committee. All those...that a second Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor say Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has refused to adopt the first Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 510, and requests a second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 510 to consider the differences between the two Houses. Senator Kenneth Hall is the chief sponsor. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Hall moves that the Senate accede to the request of the House that a Conference Committee...second Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor say Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
Mr. President - I am directed to inform the senate that the House of Representatives has refused to recede from their Amendments 1 and 2 to a following...to a bill of the following title:

Senate Bill 248, and have named their members to the Conference Committee. Senator Sommer is the chief sponsor of the bill. PRESIDJNG OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)

Senator Sommer. Senator Sommer moves that the Senate accede to the request for a Conference Committee. The question is shall the Senate accede to the request of a Conference Committee, and that a committee be appointed. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. The opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, the motion carries, and the Senate accedes to the request to appoint a Conference committee. Do we have leave to go to the order of... do we have leave of the Body to go to the order of Secretary's Desk? Leave having been granted, we are on the order of the Secretary's Desk, Senate Bill 634, Senator Hynes, with House Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR HYNES:
Senate Bill 634 is the appropriation for Health Services grants. The bill was originally introduced as an appropriation from the Capital Development Bond Fund. It was amended in the Senate to appropriate from General Revenue. The House returned it to Capital, and I now move that we concur in fouse Amendment ivo. i to Senate Bili 634 , which places it out of Capital, and will be included in the authorization bill to be passed shortly.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(E\). Senator Hynes moves that the Senate do concur with House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 634. Is there any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 634. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48 , the Nays are 2 , with none Voting Present. The Senate does concur in House Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 634, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Senator Glass, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR GLASS:

Mr. President, I'd like the record to show that I intended to push the green button on that one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

The record will so show. Senator Hynes, for what purpose do you arise? Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:
Mr. President and members of the Senate, on...I'd ask leave to go to the order of House bills 3rd reading, and I would ask leave, further, that we then bring House Bill 3096 from 3rd reading...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Hynes asks leave... SENATOR HYNES:
...back to the order of 2 nd. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
...Senator Hynes asks leave that we go to the order of House bills 3rd reading. Is there leave? Leave having been granted, on the order of House bill 3rd reading, Mr. Secretary, House Bill 3096. Senator Hynes now moves that we have leave to return that bill to the order of 2 nd reading for purpose of an amendment. Is there leave? Leave having been granted, the House bill is on the order of 2 nd reading. Senator Hynes on the amendment on House Bill 3096. Mr. Secretary, do you have the amendment on your desk? SECRETARY:

Amendment No: i...Amendment No, 1 offered by Senator Hynes.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
C. Senator Hynes:

SENATÓR HYNES:
I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1, Mr. President. It is a change in the authorization amounts. It increases it from eight hundred twenty-three million as it exists in the bill, to eight hundred thirty-three point nine million, and also reshuffles the authorization within categories in order to accommodate the appropriations that are contained in House Bills 289 and 802, which we will be getting to shortly. It includes new categories for the Waterways projects which we previously approved for the Health Education grants which...which bill was just passed a few moments ago, and I would move the adoption
of Amendment No. 1.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Hynes moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3096. Is there any discussion? Senator Latherow.

SENATOR LATHEROW:
Well, I hadn't seen the amendment, Mr. President, but I'm wondering if this is another bill that you're amendins into 3096.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Hynes indicates he will yield to the question. Senator Hynes. The question is, Senator, whether you're amending another bill into 3096. Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:
I'm sorry. The question is what? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Latherow, if I may restate your ruestion, He was asking if you were amending another bili into this biil. SENATOR HYNES:
r. No, I am not, Senator. The bill, in its present form, is an increase in the Capital Development Bond authorization to provide for the issuance of bonds to cover some of the projects for which we are appropriating in other bills. As it came over from the House, it was ten dollars...ten million dollars short of the amount needed to fund all of the bills, and in addition; the amounts in various categories had to be reallocated, so that this bill could match what is in the appropriations bill. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLE

Senator Latherow. SENATOR LATHEROW:

Well, I was just wondering. This is not the Specialized Living Centers Act that you're putting in here, then? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Hynes.
SENATOR HYNES:
1.
2. 3.
4.

That is included.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Latherow.
SENATOR LATHEROW:
Well, I...I just wanted to say, Mr. President, that I want to recognize that that is included in here that this was a measure that has been defeated twice in this House, and I would like to be...be stressed as in opposition to this amendment, and would certainly believe that our members should do likewise.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Is there any further discussion? Senator Glass.
SENATOR GLASS:
I...I would like, Mr. President, to follow up this line of questioning with Senator Hynes to see if that is correct. I believe, under Senate Bill 2096...2076, there was a provision for living centers for the....developmentally disabled, and I think what wére wondering, is if there's any appropriation that would go to that particular subject matter:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Tr: May we have alittle order, please. Senator Hynes indicates he will yield to the question. Senator Hynes.

SENATOR HYNES:
That: is included, Senator Glass.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\because\) Senator Glass.
SENATOR GLASS:
How. . .how much is earmarked for that?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Hynes.
SENATOR HYNES:
It...it is included within the Mental Health line item as fifteen million dollars. It is not earmarked. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Glass. Can we have a little order from the side rail over there.

SENATOR GLASS:
Well, is it included in the bill without this amendment, or does this amendment add it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Hynes.
SENATOR HYNES:
It is not included in the bill without this amendment. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 3096. All those in favor indicate by saying Aye. The opposed. The Ayes have it, and the amendment is adopted. There's a request for a roll call. I assume you're joined by the requisite numbei. The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1. All those in favor will indicate by voting Aye. The opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26 , the Nays are 10, with 2 Voting Present. And Amendment No. I is adopted. Are there any further amendments? 3rd reading. On the order of Conference Committee Reports. House Bill 289, Senator Lemke. SENATOR LEMKE:

I move that the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on 289.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Lemke moves the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 289. Is there any further discussion? Senator Bruce. Senator Bruce, did you have any further questions?

SENATOR BRUCE:
No. Yeah, well, yes...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion?
SENATOR BRUCE:

No, no...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Bruce.
SENATOR BRUCE:
This is a capital program for the State, and perhaps we should have some brief discussion of...in what form it now presents itself to the Senate as a whole.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Lemke.
SENATOR LEMKE:
Senator Bruce can either accept my explanation that I gave before, or I'll Table the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Bruce.
SENATOR BRUCE:
I don't...I don't mean to make Senator Lemke mad, it's just that when: we're going to authorize: these kind of projects we'd like to know where: we are, And I...you know, I...it's...it's a question of... of how much and where and what changes have been made. I think that's a reasonable request.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator...Senator Lemke, do you wish to respond?

SENATOR LEMKE:
\(\therefore\) We had enough time when this was a...House Bill 289 was filed early in the Session. If Senator Bruce wants an explantion let him run down to the Governor, because this is the Governor's Bill. Now, either they want the bill as it is, or they can Table it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 289. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? This is a second Conference Committee Report, I am advised
by the Secretary. Have: all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 14, and 4 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 289, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 802...I'm sorry. We understand...the Secretary informs me that was the first. House Bill 802, Conference Committee Report, Senator Savickas. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

I would move for adoption of the second Conference Committee Report ...I...I...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas, is this the first? Senator Savickas. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Oh, I'm sorry. It's the first Conference Committee Report. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

On House Bill 802. Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 802. Is there any discussion? Senator Sommer. SENATOR SOMMER:
\(\because \Leftrightarrow M r\). President, would the sponsor yield for a question? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
. He indicates he will yield.
SENATOR SOMMER:
We got the other one by, but, Senator Savickas, could you tell us what new projects are in here that weren't submitted in the budgetary requests?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
If you'll wait just one second I will have my staff pull out the sheet here. Could...could you hold it for about two minutes, the bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Alright; -let's take it-from the record. No, hold it, hold it. We'll leave it in the record and hold it. We are standing at ease for a second. Senator Mitchler, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR MITCHLER:

Mr. President, I want to inquire of the Chair. If... how are those amendments coming to that Omnibus Commission Bill? We're waiting here, and all at once you're going to call the bill, and we won't have the amendments. There's been quite a lapse in time, here. Is somebody working on that, or what are we doing? I'm...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
I will inform...
SENATOR MITCHLER:
...waiting to look these amendments over before you call the bill on passage stage. You've...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)
I will...
SENATOR MITCHLER:
U. G got twenty-five:million in one, and-thirty-one million in another, and I'd like to review them. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

I will inquire of the Secretary. Senator Daley, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DALEY:
Mr. President, a point of personal privilege. Could the... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

State your point. SENATOR DALEY:
...Clerk please tell us the exact time? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

The clerk is in the House...
SENATOR DALEY:
Is it a quarter till, or five till twelve?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

\section*{중}

I understand there is no reliable timepiece here. (Machine cut-off) ...they keep the time. Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Well, yes...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Well, I'm ready to proceed on the answer to this bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Sommer, are you still asking your question? Senator Savickas. Senator Sommer, I understand your time is up, but Senator Savickas will answer anyway. Senator Savickas. Senator Savickas. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes. Well, now what?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Do you want to answer the question?
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Well, Senator Sommer referred to the new items in 802 which was the Capital Development Board Bond Bill. Fcr Vocational Tech schools there was seven million, the court's complex, thirteen million, the Department of Finance, six million. Planning...no, this is just planning for the Chicago Building, three million, garages which is a step one in the Springfield Building Complex, ten million plus the various State Police buildings and armories. A total of fortysix million dollars in addition to the 802 as it was. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? Senator Bloom. SENATOR BLOOM:

Thank you, Mr. President. While we were waiting to hear what was in 802, Representative Kent who was on the Conference Committee, came over and informed me that she did not sign that even though her signature appears on it. They used another second sheet. And I'd like to ask Senator Savickas whether or not there is a three million
1.
dollar item for an office building in the City of Chicago?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLI)
Any further...
SENATOR BLOOM:
Answer the question.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas. Senator Savickas indicates he will yield to
the question. Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Yes, Senator Bloom, you are correct. There is three million dollars
in the planning for planning a building in Chicago. It's not for
building it, it's for locating the building, planning, designing,
getting all the preliminary data together. This is not for construction.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
Okay. What was this... what will this building house? I mean, you
know, to what purpose, I guess, is the question.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
It will be the new State office Building in Chicago.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
Well, where?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Chicago. C-h-i-c-a-g-o
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:

Okay. I heard the first answer. I know it's Chicago, but, I mean, where in Chicago? You don't...do you design a building in limbo, and then, you know, plunk it down in LaSalle and Dearborn next to the State of Illinois Building? And, now, I'm not being facetious PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL).

Senator Savickas.

\section*{SENATOR SAVICKAS:}

Senator Bloom, I don't know where the building will be. This is why we are spending this money to locate a feasible area to construct it. It will be somewhere in Chicago, wherever the final planners decide to put it. That's all I can tell you. I hope it's in the Loop area. Now, I...that's all I can answer on this particular question. Where they decide to build the building, I wish I knew. I would buy the 1 and.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
©NO, no, Senator, I don't mean to embarrass you, because I have bills where they give me things with amendments, and I don't know what the heck, but do you know what it.'s going to house, I mean, you know, what department or what. I mean, we got that State of Illinois building at LaSalle and Dearborn, : and I...you know, what's in the offing, what's in the books?. You're asking us to fly blind.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\vdots\) Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Well, it's going to house people. Now, what else I don't know.
If there is a quite legitimate question that we should discuss, I'll
just pull the bill out of the record. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? Senator Bloom. SENATOR BLOOM:

Yes. I'd...I'd like to repeat. I think it was lost in the...the
background static, but Representative Kent informed.me that she did not sign those Conference Committees, and I'd like to point out to my colleagues that I don't think any of the Republican Representatives' signatures are on the bill, I may be wrong, but, you know, they are not supportive of this. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
You're welcome. Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Just so the record would be clear, as sponsor of this bill, I did not sit in on that Conference Committee, so I am not familiar on who signed the Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Clarke. Oh, I'm sorry. Senator Bloom. SENATOR BLOOM:

No, no, Frank, Senator Savickas, I...I didn't in any way mean to cast aspersions on you, and I'd also like to draw attention to the members of this Body that there's, I think, ten million for the Capitol Complex buried in here somewhere \(=:\) :

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
\(\ldots\) Any further discussion? Senator Clarke. SENATOR CLARKE:
© Well, Mr. President, I. don't think this is a laughing matter. Now, ṣomebody was on this Conference Committee, and I think it's a very serious charge when it's said that, when a Representative says that her name was affixed and she didn't sign the report. When a sponsor or somebody can't tell you where three million dollars is going to be spent, they don't know where the building is going to be and you're going to make designs for it. I think that's a hell of a way to run the State of Illinois Government, and I think that the Audit Commission ought to start looking into the Legislature as well as into the Capital Development Board, because we're going to take up all of the leasing policies this summer for the State of Illinois. In General Service they've been doing a lot of questionable things, and here we're going,
and we're going to start building buildings and giving the capital Development Board a lot more power, and I think it's a lousy thing to do.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
I might, on a point of the Chair... Senator Bloom, the copy I have does not indicate the signature of Mary Lou Kent, of Representative Kent. It indicates Leverenz, Bradley and Madigan in the House, and Weaver, Moore, Rock, Hynes and Lemke in the Senate. Any further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

SENATOR MITCHLER:
Well, awhile ago, Mr. President, and I guess I have to ask Senator Savickas this because I..I'm watching very closely in this Capital Development deal for this Bi-Centennial Building out here at the State Fairgrounds and Senator Savickas and Vadalabene were standing by in the Press Room ready to issue his press when somebody snuck his bill through on some amendment. Now, I understand that he has it printed in here somewhere, and he is supposed to be out in the Fairgrounds area ready for the ground breaking shortly after midnight. Now, if someone can locate Senator Vadalabene and have him stand up. and tell us if he's trying to sneak this through. Now, I've been looking for Senator Vadalabene all evening and 1 can't find him. Senator Savickas, is it in this one?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
I: Would Senator Vadalabene like to answer that question? SENATOR VADALABENE:

It's...it's not in the bill, Senator'Mitchler. I was out to the Fairgrounds at the ground breaking ceremonies, and I went out to get the spade and return it, because I didn't need it. PRESIDING OPFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Is there any further discussion? Senator Savickas.
SENATOR SAVICKAS:
Well, we're either going to move this bill now, or \(\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}\) going to take it out of the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
The question is on...Senator Howard Mohr.
SENATOR MOHR:
There's been a lot of time spent on this bill, there's nothing wrong with it in the present form. We have had some problems, as you know, with Capital Development Board. This locks them up in... in a good fashion, in my opinion and the opinion of the conference Comittee, it would provide money for parking in the Springfield Complex area which has been one of the concerns of ours, and I personally think that it's the way to go. We do...we are on the right road now in getting a...a plan together, and I would move for the...the passage of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 802. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have ail voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 35 , the Nays are 12 , with 1 Voting Present, and the Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report to House Bill 802, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, Senate Bill 5l0. Senator Kenneth Hall. SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow members of the Senate. Senate Bill 510, the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 510, and all of you know this is the budget for the Department of Labor, the Senate concurs in House Amendment 1 and 2 , and the House recedes from House Amendment 3. and 5. That's the way it's drafted. Now, as we told you before, number one, was to restore the fifty thousand plus, number two, was the one million for Federal for improvement of Federal...of checks, and number three, was the deletion to take out the safety part of it. So, now, I...what's this is the second Conference Committee Report, so I move to adopt the second Conference Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Kenneth Hall moves to adopt the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 5l0. Is there any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 510. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48,...47, the Nays are 2, with 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 510, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. On the order of Conference Committee Reports, House Bill 1968, second Conference Committee Report. Take that from the record. House...House Bill 1314 on the order of Conference Committee Reports. Senator Buzbee. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Mr. President, I'm standing in for Senator Joyce. This is the Department of Mental Health. The second Conference Comittee Report does exactly what the first did with one exception. We added five hundred and fifty thousand dollars for the four institutions that handle the developmentally disabled, and that's four institutions spread across the State. This: was a desire the House members had, and I would ask for a favorable roll call. Roll call Mr. Presidentí.

\section*{PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLIL)}

The question is on the adoption of the Conference Committee Report... second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1314. Is there any further discussion? All those...the question is shall the Senate

The opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48 , the Nays are 1 , with none Voting Present, and the Senate does adopt the second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1314, and that bill having received the required constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed.

House Bill 1968; Senator Nudelman. Senator Nudelman. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1968... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Hold it one...Take it our of the record for one second. Leave to go to the order of the Secretary's Desk. Messages from the House. I'm sorry. Messages from the House. Never mind. Back to the order of Conference Committee Reports. 1968, House Bill. Senator Nudelperson. SENATOR NUDELMAN:

As I was saying before I was so rudely interrupted, this is the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1968 which is the bill which creates the commission to study the problem of medical malpractice insurance, and further creates the Joint Underwriting Association. The only difference is in...there are two differences. We recede from our amendment, and the other difference is a renumbering... situation as to the section. The recession from the amendment creates a situation where any losses will be paid by tax credits rather than appropriation, I solicit your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: \(\therefore\) (SENATOR CARROLL)
The question is on the adoption of the Second Conference Committee Report:i Is there any further discussion? Senator Shapiro. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

Well, Mr. President, you know by making him wait that one second and his lengthy.explanation, it now requires the three fifths vote instead of thirty.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1968. All those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 45 , the Nays are 3, with 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1968, and that vote...that bill having received the required
constitutional majority.is, hereby, declared passed. Senator Bloom, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BLOOM:
Thank you, Mr. President, on a point of parliamentary inquiry. It appears by the clock on the wall that it's a minute after twelve. How many votes are required to pass things now? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

We'll determine that, we'll check the rules. Well, some people around here, when you ask them the time they build a watch, so we're checking to see. Senator Partee is out of fingers. Senator Soper, for what purpose do you arise? We still haven't answered senator Bloom's question. SENATOR SOPER:

Well, everybody answers everybody else's question around here before I talk and after I talk, but twenty minutes ago Senator Daley asked what time it was, and he said it was a quarter of twelve. That was twenty minutes ago. What's the time now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Well, Senator Soper, I might just say Senator Daley... SENATOR SOPER:
I...I want to know you add like Savickas?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
One question. One moment, please.
SENATOR SOPER:
Senator Savickas, I'm sorry. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Daley asked a question some time ago that was not answered by the Chair. He made a statement which may or may not have been correct. Senator Nudelman.

SENATOR NUDELMAN:
Mr. President, I think Senator Bloom has misapprehended the time. The clock says one minute after eleven.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Oh, I'm sorry. Senator Bloom...Senator Bloom asked a parliamentary
        inquiry, and any bill passed after midnight would require a three
        fifths vote, to be effective immediately if, or in the alternative to be effective next July lst it would have a simple majority. (machine cut-off)...I'd assumed that there is an immediate effective date in that bill. (Machine cut-off)...Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:

I've been waiting for those amendments to the Omnibus Bill, and I'm wondering how the pages and the Xerox machine are operating, so when we get to it we won't have to say we don't have the amendments, and we don't have the bill in its proper form to act on it. Is the President, in his admiral way, following that through ? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Yes, we keep requesting of the Secretary, because it's omnibus it's taking quite a bit of time. We would like to announce to the Body that Senator Mitchler is receiving his copies at this moment in time, of the amendments to the Omnibus Bill. On the order of conference Committee Reports. Senate Bill 248, Senator Sommer. SENATOR -SOMMER:
ssimp. President and members; the conference Committee has met; and they have agreed to recede from House Amendment No. I which was simply a restatement, and concur in Senate Amendment No. 2 which Senator Bruce took away the unemployment for teachers: I move that we adopt the Conference Committee Report. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Somer moves we adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 248. Is there any further discussion? Senator Bruce seeks recognition. Senator Bruce. Just a minute, Senator Lemke. SENATOR BRUCE:

Is this the subject of a Conference Committee, now. I guess it is: and...and, Senator Sommer, you have taken the worse of the two amendments. Amendment No. 1 which was a good amendment which said that, let's see if I can reconstruct what it did say, about notice to the employer, that the employer had to...had to show cause why the person
. would be denied unemployment comp benefits, you have stricken that, and adopted only Amendment No. 2. Is that correct? And what this says is...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Sommer indicates will yield to the question. Senator Sommer indicates he is shaking his head up and down.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Right.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Which means...
SENATOR BRUCE:
Well, all I would say is we have had a substantial amount of debate on Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 248 which precludes schoolteachers from getting unemployment compensation. In the event they work during the summer on a... on a year to year basis, they cannot receive unemployment comp under that situation. And secondly, às Senator Nimrod so aptly pointed out, it most likely means in the alternative, if that is the...the effect it means that probably schoolteachers are now eligible for unemployment. comp if they can't get a teaching job, for which they are not presentily entitled unemployment comp, as I read the legislation. It's a...it's a bill that requires a good deal of study, and I would make a parliamentary inquiry of the Chair as to how many votes it would require to adopt this Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
It will require thirty votes to adopt the Conference Committee Report.

SENATOR BRUCE:
Well, by my watch it is now fourteen minutes after the hour of midnight, it is now July the lst. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

That has nothing to do with your parliamentary inquiry. SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, now, so let's...let's go through that one more time. It
takes thirty votes to make the billeffective July l of 1976. Is
that right?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
That's correct.
SENATOR BRUCE:
76 I think...oh, I'm not going to bid on this one.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
That is correct. Any further discussion? Senator Morris.
SENATOR MORRIS:
I have a memo in front of me that I'm a member of the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 248. I've never seen a Conference Committee Report, nor signed it. I...I would like to know what... what happened to it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
I don't....if that is directed to the Chair, I do not see your name on here as having been a signator.

SENATOR MORRIS:
Well, I...I think that: if....if you're a member of a committee it would be nice if you're at least informed the committee is functioning or meeting or something. like that, and I should at least have the right to see the Conference Committee Report, and if nothing else, sign in protest, but I think it's ridiculous that the committee was reported and none...some of us, apparently, were not involved in the meeting, and on that \(I^{\prime} m\) going to vote No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:

Well, here we go. I don't have a copy of this Conference Committee Report. Now, here we're going on to something that evidently is a very important one, and you don't even invite Senator Morris to the Conference, you don't get a copy of the Conference Committee Report. I don't think they want us to know what this is all about, and I think
. that we ought to hold this till we get a copy of the conference 2. Committee Report. I want to see who signs it. That's important 3. to me to see who signs these reports, and I'm not joking because I've got a lot of faith in some, and some of them I sort of question in this last minute skulduggery going on. There's mischief in these halls.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Wooten. If there's mischief in the halls, we're all lucky we'rein the Chambers. Senator Wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:

Oh, Mr. President, you merry-andrew. Who signed that report? Since I'm on the Conference Committee, too, I'm just curious. I... I see a lot of people here who wouldn't have laid a letter on it at all, and who did sign the Conference Committee Report?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Just one moment. We will check from the Secretary. As I read. the Senators, one of them is Roger Sommer. Is this John Graham? Is can't. really make out the signature. Jack Roe, I'm: sorry.. That's why I didn't recognize the signature. There are four House signatures. It looks like Deuster, it looks like Tuerk, it looks like Luft, and it looks like, I would guess, Fary.

SENATOR WOOTEN:
\(\therefore \therefore\) I would point out that assigned to that committee, and a judicious selection it was, was Senator Terry Bruce, Senator Don Wooten, Senator Bill Morris, Senator Roger Sommer, Senator John Roe, and I think the three of us weren't even aware that a meeting had been called, or that a report had been circulated. So,...tis pity, tis pity, and tis pity if this thing is accepted. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? Senator Soper. SENATOR SOPER:

I can just tell you, Senator Wooten, everybody loves somebody sometime.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
2. 3.

Any further discussion? Anybody who hasn't spoke once? We're
talking twice now. Senator Morris.
SENATOR MORRIS:
All I can say is - Roger Sommer, shame on you.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Any further discussion? Senator Mitchlex.
SENATOR MITCHLER:
Well, this is passage stage. I think that this is just like an amendment. I think we ought to be able to look at a Conference Committee Report. Now, we've had these put on our desks all along, and I see no reason why we can't have a copy of this to look at, so that we happen to know what we're voting on, and not so we go home and find out we did something we didn't want to do. Now, we've been sitting around here all the time waiting, and I'm still waiting for Amendments 2, 3, 4 to that Omnibus Commission Bill. I got. 5, 6, 7 and 8 and 9, which was Tabled, but I'm waiting for 2,3 and 4. Now, let's slow it up, and let's have it on the desk so that we know what we're voting on.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Those were committee amendments, I might advise you, Senator Mitchler. Senator Graham, you seek recognition?
SENATOR GRAHAM:
Yes, and I'd like to have a little order so I could find out what's going on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROEL)
May we have order, please, for Senator Graham. SENATOR GRAHAM:

Now, if I am able to interpret what we may be trying to do. Is it or is it not true, from all you experts, that the amendment that is now on this relates to the fact that if a teacher has a twelve month contract, and she or he teaches nine months, they are precluded from getting unemployment comp the other three months. I'd like an
1.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
answer, yes or no.

Senator Sommer.
SENATOR SOMMER:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Please continue. Senator Graham.
SENATOR GRAHAM:

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Sommer.
SENATOR SOMMER:

Well, Senator Graham, the answer is yes in certain circumstances, and I would like to explain this further. May I continue?

Then, under what circumstances would this amendment not apply?

Okay. This was my experiment to see whether anybody reads bills, and we found out they had. What in...what, in fact, occurred...well let's go back a little further. In our Illinois law, now, teachers are not eligible for unemployment compensation, and we will agree with that. The Federal Government; in 1974, passed a thing called the Special Employment Assistance Act, which it did, in fact, made teachers eligible through certain State funds that are administered by the State Government. Certain Federal Funds, yes. In that Federal Act it says that the states may prescribe certain rules and regulations in regard to this All my amendment does, and all this thing does that was in the House and brought over here was, say for the purposes of the Special Employment Assistance Act of 1974, teachers are not eligible. In other words, we issued our state policy as...as pursuant to this Act as we could do. This amendment when attached in the House, and prior to...President Ford signing that particular bill, was perfectly effective, and did, in fact, do this, and had no effect on our State Unemployment Compensation Act, whatsoever, Senator Nimrod. And I..I think it's...it's an excellent statement of policy, at this point in time, that we go forward and do this in case the Federal Government misfires again, and that's all the purpose of this
is. It has no effect on our Act at the present time, but it could in the future.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Rock.
SENATOR ROCR:
I am reliably informed that the Conference Committee on the State Fair Agency has finally arrived, that means that we have but three bills of major import left, and I would respectfully move the previous question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Rock moves the previous question. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it, and the question...the previous question has been successfully moved. The question...the main question shall now be put. Senator Sommer moves that we...alright, the question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 248. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that guestion, the Ayes are 22\% the Nays are 17 , with none Voting Present. The Senate fails to adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 248, and the Secretary shall so inform the House. Senator Soper, for what purpose do you arise? .

SENATOR SOPER:
ci Yeah.: I would respectfully request that on all roll calls you make a determination right now whether they're going to be for two seconds, three seconds; fifteen seconds, or five minutes, and all roll calls, you put the clock on and they go on for the same amount of time. I don't mind you having a fast gavel, but gavel everything in the "same way. In all fairness and due respect to the Chair, understand.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
I understand, Senator Soper, as you know...
SENATOR SOPER:
Now, I'd like to have...I'd like to have your opinion on this, and
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then I'm going to make a motion on this. What is your opinion, is the time that we should allow for devoting with the electronic system? Now, we've been short gaveled, long gaveled, we've been stuttered to death, now, let's get it...let's get it down here, so everybody has a fair chance. If you want two seconds, I'll count one, two, and call the whole thing off. For how long are we going to wait with this? PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Senator Soper, as you know, a lot of money was spent to activate... SENATOR SOPER:

I understand that. I don't want a Savickas answer. I just want to know whether you're going to go five seconds, ten seconds, or a half hour.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
We will wait...
SENATOR SOPER:
The same on all bills. Now, put, please put me on now. I'm not kidding.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLLL)
Senator Soper; to answer your question. If you have asked a question of the Chair, the chair will attempt to answer: The question is that we allow those who vote, all vote who have wanted to vote. When the voting has stopped we take the record. Senator Soper. SENATOR SOPER:
\(\because \because\) Well, that's a very evasive answer, Mr. President. You know, you can say all voted wanted, and then bang, you take it off. This one you didn't...you didn't give Sommers three seconds on that bill, and some others you drag out, you waltz around the corner, you go in the hall, see if the washrooms are clean, and then you come back again, you know. I don't want that waltz around. Now, I'm going to make a motion right now that we get one minute for every bill that's called. One minute. One minute.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
On the order of House bills 3 rd reading. Do we have leave to go
to that order? Leave having been granted on the order of House bills 3rd reading, House Bill 3096, Senator Hynes. You were not recognized for purpose of a motion, you were recognized on a point of order.

Senator Hynes.
SECRETARY:
House Bill 3096.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
3rd reading of the bill.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)
Senator Hynes.
SENATOR HYNES:
Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is the authorization bill for the State Capital Program. I describe in connection with the amendment the changes that were made in the bill as it same over from the House. It is in conformity with the two Capital appropriation bills that we passed out, and I would ask your favorable support. It will require a three fifths vote.

PRESIDENT:
M: The question is shall House Bill 3096 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed...For what purpose does Senator Merritt arise? SENATOR MERRITT:
: Mr'. President, not having seen it, I'm not sure, there was so much confusion on the explanation. Is this for the state Capitol Building or...
PRESIDENT:
Senator Hynes.
SENATOR HYNES:
No, this...this is the authorization for the sale of bonds to fund the Capital Program for the State of Illinois in all areas, Conservation, Mental Health, Higher Education, Waterways, et cetera, et cetera. It has nothing to do with the office building in springfield.

PRESIDENT:


The question is shall House Bill 3096 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 9, with none Voting Present, and the bill having received an affirmative vote of three fifths of the members elected, is effective immediately upon its becoming a law. House Bill 3017. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:
House Bill 3017.
(Secretary reads title of bill)
3rd reading of the bill.
PRESIDENT:
Senator Hynes.
SENATOR HYNES:
This is the Omnibus Commission Bill. The amendments have been debated, and \(I\) would move for a favorable roil cail. PRESIDENT:
-कThe question is shall House Bill 3017 pass. Those in...Senator Harber: Hall SENATOR HALL: ©. Much clearer heads than this one don't know what's in this bill. Inintend to vote No .

PRESIDENT:
Senator Mitchler.
SENATOR MITCHLER:
Well, Mr. President, about an hour ago when we put the amendments on, I requested the amendments to this bill, and I had some very specific reasons to have these amendments in my possession. Now, I have in my possession, Amendment \(5,6,7,8\) and 9.9 was Tabled; I'm lacking Amendments No. 2, 3, 4, which to me are very important because it indicates to me the reduction in the amount. I've been asking those...for those in the last hour, and I don't know why they cannot be had, because we're at passage stage, and I think it's very
important that we know what we're voting upon.
PRESIDENT:
Senator, the amendments you hold in your hand were the amendments that came from the Conference Committee. The other amendments were comittee amendments. Senator Soper.

SENATOR SOPER:
Mr. President; on that last bill, that was House Bill 3096, I asked for a verification of the roll call, and I want it reported on the record...

PRESIDENT:
You're too late, Senator...
SENATOR SOPER:
...the verification...
PRESIDENT:
...you're too late, you're out of order. The question is shall House Bill 3017 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question, the Ayes are 38 , the Nays are 10 , with none voting Present. House Bill 3017 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed, and the bill having received the affirmative vote, of three fifths of the members elected, be effective immediately upon this becoming a law. A Message from the Governor. SECRETARY:
(Secretary reads Message from the Governor)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Senator Howard Mohr. SENATOR MOHR:

Mr. President, I have a motion filed with the Secretary that I would like him to read.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Mr . Secretary read the motion.
SECRETARY:
Motion in writing. I move that Senate...I move that Senate Bill 1309 do pass, the veto of the Governor not...to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed Senator Howard R. Mohr.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Senator Mohr.
SENATOR MOHR:
Yes, Mr. President, it's most unfortunate that at about ten minutes to twelve this evening we received this message from the Governor. But one might expect it, as it's been really typical of the kind of commication and cooperation that we have received from the Governor and his office and some of the other offices that we've been involved with in our...in our commission work. We have fifteen days in which to act and I'm sure that nobody wants to come back in the session to act on this message so we must act on it this evening. The Governor refers to cooperation and... and great strides and understanding. The comission rejected the Governor's proposalsthe entire Space Needs Commission rejected the Governor's proposals and felt that if we were to be responsible to the members and the agencies of...of this State Government that it was the really the job of the Space Needs Commission to handle allocation of space in this Capitol Building and the state office Building only. We are not talking about any other building in Springfield or Chicago or any place else, we defined it because there was some question as to whether we were looking to...to allocate space in some of the other buildings. That is not the case. We defined, narrowed it down
to the two buildings which we are very much involved in and the question is really whether you want the Governor or Bill Goldberg to assign space to this Body....and Governmental agencies or you want the Space Needs Commission which is a commission made up of membership on...of both sides of the aisle and that is really the question. I think that we've made great strides in the last year and a half. We have plans to do more, but it's most difficult when you're meeting the obstacles that we have met. We have great cooperation from every other member of the Executive. The record will show. The Lieutenant Governor, the Treasurer, the Secretary of State, the Comptroller, the new Auditor General, we haven't had a problem with anybody other than the Governor's Office, Capitol Development and General Services. So, the question really is whether... whether we want the Governor, as I said, and maybe somebody from his office to be responsible for allocating the space or this commission made up of members of both Houses on both sides of the aisle. I would defer to Senator Dougherty or Senator Donnewald or Senator Partee or Senator Harris, any member of the commission that might like to speak on this bill or this override and let's...let's get it rolling.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Senator Dougherty.
SENATOR DOUGHERTY:
Thank you Mr. President. I merely wish to repeat what, in fact, what Senator Mohr has said. As the veteran member of this Space Needs Commission along with Senator Russell Arrington, Senator Arthur McGloon, the Secretary...the Secretary of State if you will and Paul Simon to name them all. We set forth many years ago a program for the development of the Capitol Complex and at that point in time and at this point in time it was decided that the Space Needs Planning Commission would have direct charge over these facilities in the hope of providing for the future for the two hundred years or so to come, we are now celebrating our Bi-Centennial, we hope we will celebrate
another centennial if you will and this:is another device to do that and at the whims and fancies of some person who was not there then, he may not be there now. I'm going to stay with this and I sustain Governor or Senator Mohr.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Senator Graham.
SENATOR GRAHAM:
Mr. President and members of the Senate. This reassures what I was going to say on another subject some time ago. We, in the General Assembly have attempted to do the best job we could with the tools we had, the efforts or the lack of the efforts of the Governor notwithstanding, we have made some sort of accomplishments. I am a member also of the Legislative Audit Commission as well as Space Needs. Never in my seventeen years in the General Assembly have I witnessed so much lack of cooperation and absolute arrogance as we've had from the second floor, headed up by the largest demagogue that was ever elected Governor in this State. Now, those that. would stand.up:and defend his actions on this bill will probably be the same that would stand up and say that we shouldn't remove OSI. Every time you get close to this fellow, he destroys the man who's close to him by either firing him or some other thing, let's ask Frank Landing, State Board of Elections, he can't fire the Space Needs Commission, thank God, but he can veto the bills that keep them going so he can be the Director of this state. you know, in other lands, a man like him would not survive that kind of arrogance. He wants a division all unto himself, he wants to retain the OSI, sure he does, despite the fact that we got State Police. But he doesn't want the OSI reporting to the Department of Law Enforcement, no, that would take away the rights and prerogatives that this demagogue thinks he has, and tonight illustrates purely his complete disregard for government as it has been known to operate in the State of Illinois and we should vote to override this arrogant veto. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

For what purpose do you arise, Senator Morris? SENATOR MORRIS:

It just sat down.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Thank you. Further discussion. Senator Partee. SENATOR PARTEE:

Just for a moment. This is a situation that could perhaps invite some denuciatory language, some vituperative language, this situation is ripe for that. I'm going to try to confine my remarks to what has happened and not the personality aspects of it. This is a question, which it seems to me has been decided by the will of the Legislature. The bill was receipted for the Governor's Office on the first day of May, 1975. Today is the first day of July, 1975. The Governor is an intelligent man and \(I\) am certain that he knew within a sixty day period whether he was going to vetc. this bill. At about. eieven-thirty tonight the veto message was sent here, which \(I\) think takes advantage of the Legislature and the desire that we had to complete the Governor's budget and to complete our work here during this Session. "It should have been obvious and apparent that within thirty minutes of a supposed closing period that this Legislature would not have a time to address itself to this kind of an action. I think it was not done, well, I'm not even going to say that, but I think it's obvious and apparent that it. was calculated to take advantage of the Legislature. Because you know if we did not take this action tonight, under our Constitution when a bill is returned while we are in Session, in fifteen days it will become law and we would not be back in time to react as we're reacting tonight. I...I'm not sure that this is responsible government, to bring...to bring us thirty minutes before the supposed adjournment hour, at least before it takes a three-fifth vote, an override which had been held for sixty days. I think it's unfair and for that reason among others, because we have already expressed our will on this subject, I am going to vote to override this veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Further discussion, Senator Donnewald.
SENATOR DONNEWALD:
Yes, Mr. President, I...my remarks are very brief. I...I know that this problem has been with us long prior to the present administration and within this administration, I place no blame on any member of the Executive Branch of the previous administration nor this administration and I mean that sincerely. I...I really have no fault with any moves that the Executive Branch might have which is to me fair game. But, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I think that this is a problem that the Senate and the House of Representatives is theirs and theirs alone and I believe Mr. President that this is our prerogative and that's the basis on which I'm going to vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Further discussion, Senator wooten. SENATOR WOOTEN:
\(\therefore\). Mr. President, just a couple of points, when 1309 was presented to us it was presented to us as an emergency legislation and when I asked of someone quietly why it was an emergency, it was said so that the Governor must get the veto back to us before we adjourn and so we anticipated this clearly. The second thing is \(I\) think it ought to be said Empire Building is not limited to department heads. We are trying...it doesn't make any difference whether the Governor is colloqual or Saint Francis of Assisi. It seems to me we're trying to take over what should normally would be an Executive function. If we want to do that, override, but \(I\) just don't think it sits well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Further discussion, Senator Bruce.
SENATOR BRUCE:
Well, I would like to point out, although we're all talking about the sixty days. It's important to note the fact that sixty days by our action runs tonight, not by the Governor's action, had
we passed this earlier to him or later to him it would not have expired tonight and I'm not above thinking about that that may have not occured by design and so we have to be careful how we say how the sixty days was calculated because it expires tonight. If the Governor had not delivered the message here today, this bill would have become law without his signature, so it cuts both ways. Secondly, I think that the bill just obviously violates the separation of powers clause within the Constitution. We cannot mandate that this Legislature will run New Salem Park or that we are going to take control of Stateville Prison or that we are going to mandate who drives upon a section of highway or or can we say that a State Hospital can only be run by this Legislative Body. That is clearly within the domain of the...of the Executive Branch and I think here's where you're going to go. We have always had an informal agreement, the Legislature had some ambiguous control over this building and others and now you've pushed it to a confrontation and if this is brought to a suit, I think you'll find that the courts are going to say no, \(\cdot\) the Executive Branch, as in Washington \(\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{C}\). , has complete and utter control over all the buildings. You may do what you want to about it, but I think the Executive clearly runs the buildings, the grounds and they have the right to denominate who is here and who is not, that's an Executive function, not a Legislative function. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
\(\because\) Any further discussion? Senator Glass. SENATOR GLASS:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen. I tend to agree with those who have commented on the timing of this veto and I think it is unfortunate that that is injected more than necessary personalities into this issue, but I think our job is to look at the legislation and decide on the merits, is it good or is it not and I tend to agree with Senator Bruce with regard to the separation of powers. I would a lot rather see this Body work with the Executive Branch, cooperatively on Space Needs and, and I don't think anyone
else has mentioned yet tonight, the one provision of the bill that I have objected to and that is the eminent domain provisions in the bill. So, despite the unfortunate timing of this, I think the veto is in order and I intend to support it. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Any further...Senator Clarke. SENATOR CLARKE:

Mr. President, one further note on the content of the bill and I think that this is important. When we first voted on the bill and maybe you didn't realize it at that time, but you ought to look at it now, that all time previously, my understanding, and I served on the Space NeedsCommission for a short time, it was a cooperative agreement where the Legislature had control over the functions that affected the Legislature, so that Speaker Blair could take over the first two floors of the State Office Building where it was needed for legisiative function. But tinis bill gives the Space Needs Commission control over everybody, so if Speaker Blair could go down and tell the Governor to get out of the second floor he wanted that office and he well might have done that: He would have had the power under this bill.. Now, personally, I don't think that's healthy. I don't think that's right. The Capitol Complex is a big area as defined in that other bill that included the thirty-five million dollars and you put those things together and I don't know that we want to give that much power to any branch of government, be it the Governor, the Legislature, the Secretary of State or anyone else. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Further discussion...Senator Mohr may close. SENATOR MOHR:

Well, I'm...wait...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
I beg your pardon, Senator Mohr. Senator Morris, you...further discussion. Seantor Morris.

SENATOR MORRIS:
I think that the very important factor in here besides the questionable constitutionality and withstanding of a court case
of this bill is the power of condemnation. We discussed this about a week ago on a bill dealing with this agency and I think we ought to seriously think about that. I think that is as dangerous in this piece of legislation as the fact that it is probably... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Senator Mohr, for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR MOHR:

That is not in the bill. That is not in this bill, Mr. President, I suggest that the... PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Your remarks are not... SENATOR MOHR:
...Senator confine his remarks to the message.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
You remarks are in that instance, out of order, Senator Morris. SENATOR MORRIS:

Okay. On the constitutional issue itself and the separation of powers is enough. The original bill did include condemnation power as I understand. Was that amended out?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENȦTOR ROCK)
I Senator Mohr.
SENATOR MOHR:
Cr. That is amended out.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
-. Further discussion. Senator Howard Mohr may close the debate. I beg your pardon. Senator Merritt for what purpose do you arise? SENATOR MERRITT:

Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think I'll probably not add too much to what's been said, but I think it's significant to note that when this bill passed out of this Body on April the 29 th and bear this in mind it was by a vote of 47 to 2. Now, when I hear all the objections brought up here tonight, I just wonder if it was a good bill at that time, it's even a better
1. bill today and on the other side of the rotunda, it passed out of 2. there by a vote of 138 to 1. I think that this truly shows the 3. will of both Houses in this instance and I think that we have nothing 4. to do but to override the Governor's veto on chis instance, if were 5. to stick by the will that we thought when we passed it out of here. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
            Further discussion. Senator Harris.
        SENATOR HARRIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I've engaged in most of the discussions the past year and a half to two years in connection with the problems of space assignment and the sincere effort to engage in effective dialogue between the Legislature and the Executive Department. I might point out to Senator Clarke, one of the real serious, Senator Clarke, one of the real difficult problems that we experienced and I know you appreciate this, Senator Clarke, was the matter of obtaining space for the Legislative Audit Commission. That understanding was reached and then arbitrarily rejected by a minion in the Governor's Office, working serious harm to the planning of that important agency. And we got into the question of whether that was the assignment of legislative space or not and I sat in on all those deliberations. I came to the conclusion that the provisions of this bill were sound and sound for this reason, continuity of the Legislature. Each succeeding administration in the last' twelve years, has ordered fruit basket upset inside the State office Building, working for tremendous unnecessary expense because of the continuing change in attitudes on the part of the Executive about what will make for the best role of government and the positioning of his agencies. The membership of the Space Needs Commission may not be perfect, but I will declare to this Body that its commitment to sensible utilization of space is absolute. And its determination to eliminate this constant checkerboarding, just ought to be stopped. And the utilization of this marvelous building and the State office Building across the way
ought to have continuous direction instead of interrupted direction as has been our experience. There is something sound provided for in Senate Bill 1309 and I believe, the veto should be overriden. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Senator Howard Mohr may close the debate.

\section*{SENATOR MOHR:}

Yes, Mr. President. I would point out that this bill did pass this Body, 47 Aye, 2 Nay, 1 Present or absent. In the House it passed 137, 2 voting No. The members of this Body, Senator Clarke talked about cooperation, Senator, we have tried. We have tried to meet with the Governor and his representatives but it seems that the hour that we meet which is before we go into Session is the time that the Governor's representative plays tennis. So it is very difficult for us to meet with them and...and be cooperative. We are available, we have been trying to meet for the last couple of weeks again on some matters concerning space problems. Those of you that are members of comissions will know what, have some idea of our problems. You know what we have done for all of your commissions and if you want to be at the mercy of the Governor in the future for not only your commission space but your office space, the remodeling of this building, and, and all that goes with it, why that's your...that's your option and you have that option to exercise tonight. I would just point out that there are only two members that voted against this bill when it was here before this Body, and that was Senator Wooten and Senator Bruce. Now, the hour of making the decision is here, it's yours, do what you want. If you want to be at the mercy of the Governor, why you just be my guest. I would just again point out that we have gotten along very well with everybody except the Governor's office and it certainly hasn't been our fault. I would ask for...for a favorable roll call on this override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
The question is, shall Senate Bill 1309 pass the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote

Aye, those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 31, the Nays 12, none Voting Present. The veto of the Governor is sustained. On the order of concurrence on the Conference Committee. On the order of Conference Committee Reports. The Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bills 478 , Senator Knuppel. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Mr. Chairman and nembers of the Body, 478 is a State Fair Agency Appropriation and the Conference Committee Report, we've worked on it all day, takes a hundred and eighty thousand dollars out of the Trust Fund Appropriation and also includes in the legislation those items which were originally included in House Bill 2238. Now, you will recall we passed that once and we also concurred in the...in the...in an earlier Conference Committee Report with respect to those items. Left out of that were the two larce questionable accounts, of Beeker on the manure contract and another. So that this now, we've worked with the staff on both sides of the aisle to try to get a bill that includes the appropriation for this year with a hundred and eighty thousand dollars left out of the Trust Fund that was originally in there plus, those bills that remain unpaid from last year's Fair. I solicit a favorable roll call on this Conference Committee Report.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Any discussion? Senator Egan. SENATOR EGAN:

Yes, thank you Mr. President and members of the Senate. Let me just say this, that a subcommittee comprised of myself, Senator Welsh, Senator Dougherty, Senator Shapiro and Senator Regner worked a great many hours with the State's Attorney in Sangamon County and with the members of the subcommittee in order to hammer out what is finally a list of those vendors who are certainly entitled to be paid. We also worked with Comptroller Iindberg in order to
hammer out the final way that it should be done and everybody is in agreement that this bill is the vehicle and the best vehicle to pay these funds after the Governor certifies that each of the vendors should be paid this amount which is included and I solicit your support. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Further discussion. Senator Mitchler. SENATOR MITCHLER:

I certainly want to commend the work of the Conference Committee. When they worked on this report, its...they've been working on it almost the entire day, there's one thing I just wnat to get confirmed by whoever is speaking on this, is Senator Vadalabene's big building in this bill? I've got to watch Senator Vadalabene, on this one. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Senator Knuppel.
SENATOR KNUPPEL:
Senator Vadalabene's building is not in this appropriation. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

Any further discussion? The question is shall the Senate adopt the Conference Committee Report of Senate Bill 478. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 3, 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 478 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. And having received the affirmative vote of three-fifths of the members elected is effective immediately upon its becoming a law. Senator Partee, for the purpose of an announcement.

SENATOR PARTEE:
If I...if I am correct Mr. President, the Secretary's Desk is clear and we have cleared all items that remain in the Senate. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

With the exception of the : Adjournment Resolution.

\section*{SENATOR PARTEE:}

With the exception of the Adjournment Resolution, of course. I have just spoken with the Speaker. He advises me that they are perhaps within twenty or thirty minutes of adjournment. We of course, could pass our Adjournment Resolution and leave, but I think it would be not the thing to do. I think we should stay at ease, for that period just on the off-chance that further action is required on some bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
I might also indicate that we did, in fact, late this evening, 3017 you will recall, we were sending those over for concurrence and we have obviously no idea of whether or not the House will concur. Sonator Nudelman.

SENATOR NUDELMAN:
Thank you, ir. Fresident. I would like to announce with some pride that Senate Bill 48 which was sent to the Governor on May 1 was not vetoed and evidently became law today July 1 and that is the first antired-lining bill passed in the state of IIlinois and it was my bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Well, we have just, as Senator Partee announced, we have just sent, we're requested by the Speaker and the members to stand at ease for about thirty minutes, while those bills go over for concurrence, at which time we will adjourn, until october 22 nd , Wednesday, October 22, at noon. For some this for some next. For some this... for some next. Senator Partee.

SENATOR PARTEE:
I've just been reminded to remind all of you that whatever you leave on your desks, inside or on top of it is going to be gone within a very few days because this Chamber of course is going to be redone. I think it is significant, perhaps historically significant, and we had some pictures made today that this may be the last time
many of you will see this Chamber in this posture, hopefully. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Senator Partee, would you like to just as a mechanical matter, move to suspend the rules and offer to adopt Senate Joint Resolution No. 50 , setting the adjournment. On the order of resolution, Mr. Secretary. SENATOR PARTEE:

I move the suspension of the rules for the...
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Read the...read the resolution.
SECRETARY:
Senate Joint Resolution No. 50.
(Secretary reads Senate Joint Resolution No. 50)
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
Senator Partee.
SENATOR PARTEE:
I move the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of this resolution. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

Senator Partee seeks suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of the...all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Senator Partee...

SENATOR PARTEE:
Moves the adoption of the resolution.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)
...moves the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 50. All those in favor signify by saying Aye. All those opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator knuppel for what purpose do you arise?
SENATOR KNUPPEL:
On a matter of personal privilege and two regards. Number one, they're presently debating the amendatory veto with respect
to the DuQuoin State Fair on the effective date of raising parimutuel betting. The other thing is, I said this in the Democratic caucus, I want to say it to the Republicans. I came back here the eighth day of January after having sustained a virtual fatal heart attack on the 27 th day of December and through the help of my God and you people, I have been able to undergo the rigors of this Session and I thank each and everyone of you for all the help you gave me. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR ROCK)

All right. The Senate will stand at ease for about twenty-five minutes.

PRESIDENT:
Harris. SENATOR HARRIS:

Mr. President, I would like to move to reconsider the vote by which Senate Joint Resolution 50 was adopted for purposes of amendment. PRESIDENT:

Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Harris. SENATOR HARRIS:

Now, Mr. President, with the resolution before us, I would move to amend the language of the resolution to provide that when the Senate adjourns on July lst, 1975, that it stand adjourned until October 22nd, 1975. I move the adoption of that amendment to Senate Joint Resolution 50.

PRESIDENT:
You've heard the motion. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. The Senate stands in recess until 2:00 p.m. today, July lst.```

