

GENERAL SESSION  
of the 78th GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
October 16, 1973

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Partee. Will the Senate please come  
3. to order? The prayer will be delivered by Father  
4. Charles Olshefsky, Director of Vocations for  
5. the Diocese of Springfield, professor of theology  
6. at the Seminary of the Immaculate Conception and  
7. pastor of St. Mary's Parish in Farmersville.  
8. Father Olshefsky.

9. (Prayer given by Father Olshefsky)

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Reading of the Journal. Senator Soper. Senator Soper.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Thursday, June 21, 1973, 10:30 o'clock a.m.

14. SENATOR SOPER:

15. ...Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I move  
16. that we dispense with the further reading of the  
17. Journal of June 21st and unless there are some additions  
18. or corrections to be made by one of the Senators that the  
19. Journal stand approved.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. You've heard the motion, Senator Soper moves  
22. that the Journal referred to stand approved. All in  
23. favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. Motion  
24. carries.

25. SECRETARY:

26. Friday, June 22nd 1973.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Soper.

29. SENATOR SOPER:

30. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I move that  
31. the...we dispense with the further reading of the  
32. Journal of June 22nd and unless there are some corrections  
33. or additions to be made that the Journal stand approved.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Soper moves that we dispense with  
3. further reading of the Journal of June 22nd. All  
4. in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. Motion  
5. carries.

6. SECRETARY:

7. Saturday, June 23rd 1973.

8. SENATOR SOPER:

9. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with  
10. the further reading of the Journal of June 23rd  
11. and unless there are some corrections or additions  
12. to be made that the...Journal stand approved.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Soper moves that the Journal for  
15. Saturday June 23rd be...approved without further  
16. reading. Any discussion? All in favor signify  
17. by saying aye.

18. SENATOR SOPER:

19. Aye.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Contrary no. The motion carries.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Monday, June 25th 1973.

24. SENATOR SOPER:

25. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with  
26. the further reading of the Journal of June 25th  
27. unless there are some additions or corrections to  
28. be made that the Journal stand approved.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Soper makes the same motion relative  
31. to the Journal for Saturday ...of Sunday, June 20...

32. SENATOR SOPER:

33. Monday.

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. Monday, June...  
3. SENATOR SOPER:  
4. 25th.  
5. PRESIDENT:  
6. 25. Any discussion? All in favor signify  
7. by saying aye.  
8. SENATOR SOPER:  
9. Aye.  
10. PRESIDENT:  
11. Contrary no. Motion carries.  
12. SECRETARY:  
13. Tuesday, June 26, 1973.  
14. SENATOR SOPER:  
15. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with  
16. the further reading of the Journal of June 26th.  
17. Unless there are some additions or corrections to  
18. be made that the Journal stand approved.  
19. PRESIDENT:  
20. The motion is to approve the Journal of Tuesday,  
21. June 26th. Any discussion? All in favor signify by  
22. saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.  
23. SECRETARY:  
24. Thursday, June 28, 1973.  
25. SENATOR SOPER:  
26. ...I think we have Wednesday the 27th, haven't  
27. we, Mr. Secretary?  
28. SECRETARY:  
29. Yes.  
30. SENATOR SOPER:  
31. Wednesday...  
32. SECRETARY:  
33. Yes. Wednesday, June 27th.

1. SENATOR SOPER:

2. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with  
3. the further reading of the Journal of June 27th.  
4. Unless there are some additions or corrections to  
5. be made that the Journal stand approved.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. You've...the motion is to approve the Journal  
8. of Wednesday, June 27th. Any discussion? All in  
9. favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no...  
10. motion carries.

11. SECRETARY:

12. Thursday, June 28, 1973.

13. SENATOR SOPER:

14. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with the  
15. further reading of the Journal of June 28th. Unless  
16. there are some additions or corrections the Journal  
17. stand approved.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. The motion is to approve the Journal of Thursday,  
20. June 28th. Any discussion? All in favor signify by  
21. saying aye. Contrary no. Motion carries.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Friday, June 29, 1973.

24. SENATOR SOPER:

25. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with the  
26. further reading of the Journal of June 29th. Unless  
27. there are some additions or corrections to be made  
28. that the Journal stand approved.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. The motion is to approve the Journal of Thursday  
31. the 28th...I'm sorry, Friday June 29. Any discussion?  
32. All in favor signify by saying aye.

33. SENATOR SOPER:

1. Aye.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Contrary no. Motion carried.

4. SECRETARY:

5. Saturday, June 30, 1973.

6. SENATOR SOPER:

7. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with

8. the further reading of the Journal of...of June 30th

9. and unless there are some additions or corrections to

10. be made that the Journal stand approved.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Motion is to approve the Journal of Saturday,

13. June 30. Any discussion? All in favor signify by

14. saying aye. Contrary no. Motion carries.

15. SECRETARY:

16. Sunday, July 1, 1973.

17. SENATOR SOPER:

18. Mr. President, I move that we dispense with

19. the...reading of the Journal of July 1st and unless

20. there are some additions or corrections to be made

21. that the Journal stand approved.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Motion is to approve the Journal of Sunday, July

24. 1, 1973. Any discussion? All in favor signify by

25. saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Monday, July 2, 1973.

28. SENATOR SOPER:

29. Mr. President, I move 'that we dispense' with the

30. further reading of the Journal of July 2nd. Unless

31. there are some additions or corrections to be made

32. that the Journal stand approved.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Motion is to approve the Journal of Monday,  
2. July 2nd. Any discussion? All in favor signify  
3. by saying aye. ...Senator Nudelman, did you wish  
4. to be recorded aye on the approval of the Journal  
5. of July 2nd? Opposed no. Motion carries.

6. SENATOR SOPER:

7. Mr. President.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Soper.

10. SENATOR SOPER:

11. I move that we postpone the reading of the  
12. Journal of October 15 pending the arrival of the  
13. printed Journal.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. The motion is to postpone further reading  
16. of the Journal for October 15 until the arrival of  
17. the printed Journal. Any discussion? All in  
18. favor signify by saying aye.

19. SENATOR SOPER:

20. Aye.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Contrary no. The motion carries. Yeah.  
23. Committee reports.

24. SECRETARY:

25. The Committee on Rules reports the following  
26. proposed amendments to the Senate Rules.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Graham.

29. SENATOR GRAHAM:

30. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, each member  
31. of the Senate has on his desk a copy of the proposed  
32. rules, rule changes submitted by a unanimous vote of  
33. the Rules Committee and I move you at this time,

1. Mr. President, that we adopt the rule change suggested  
2. for Senate Rule 31.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Is there discussion? Senator Knuepfer. ...Ex-  
5. cuse me, Senator Knuepfer, I believe all members have  
6. the proposed amendments and the recommendations from the  
7. Rules Committee on their desks. No, we are discussing  
8. Rule 31. 31. Senator Knuepfer.

9. SENATOR KNUEPFER:

10. Well, I want to address myself to this for a  
11. moment. I think it is the same rule that we had in  
12. effect in the month of June last year and I guess I  
13. understood the necessity of it in view of the fact  
14. that we don't have the electronic roll call and some  
15. of the other things. And I can see that it has some  
16. desirable features in this particular Session but the  
17. proposal here is to adopt this rule as a permanent  
18. rule. ...This is supposed to be a deliberative Body.  
19. We've had a great deal of debate on many issues. Issues  
20. like no fault insurance. And it just seems to me that  
21. this cuts debate far short of what this Body ought to  
22. permit. ...One of the differences I think between this  
23. Body and the House is the degree of deliberation which  
24. we have normally given to matters of some consequence.  
25. And it seems to me that by buying this as a permanent  
26. rule...we do violence to the possibility of deliberation.  
27. I've had my mind changed by debate on this Floor. I would  
28. still like to have it changed but when we're going to limit  
29. someone to five minutes...I think the limitation is much  
30. too short in terms of some of the complexities of the bills  
31. that we deal with. ...I personally can't buy this as a  
32. permanent rule. I could buy it as an emergency rule in  
33. June. I could buy it as a rule for this Session. But

1. I don't...it doesn't make sense to me for a rule to  
2. go into next years' Session when I think we ought to  
3. again become a deliberative Body.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

5. Senator Graham.

6. SENATOR GRAHAM:

7. In reply to the Senator from Elmhurst, I think  
8. one of the salient points brought forth in this pro-  
9. posed rule change is that no Senator shall speak more  
10. than five minutes on the same question without the  
11. consent of the Senate. I think the consent of the  
12. Senate still remains a salient factor in our deliber-  
13. ations as well it should. I don't necessarily believe  
14. that the Senate would arbitrarily cut off meaningful  
15. debate even if we had to by unanimous consent allow  
16. a Senator to speak a second time for five minutes. I  
17. think that one of the considerations for the adoption  
18. of this rule weighs heavily upon the Chair of the  
19. Presiding Officer. I presume that I have been guilty  
20. sometimes. I think that as we proceed under the pro-  
21. visions of this rule it's necessary for the Presiding  
22. Officer to keep score, so to speak, listing the names  
23. of the Senators who wish to speak on the subject. I  
24. think another problem of the Presiding Officer is that  
25. he caution...cautions a Senator who might arise to  
26. make a motion to move the previous question. And if  
27. the debate is meaningful and if something is being  
28. accomplished, then at that point in time, it seems to me  
29. like any considering...or any Presiding Officer with  
30. consideration for the Body would ask that the Senator  
31. choosing to make a motion to move the previous question  
32. would withhold his motion until the Senators have  
33. spoken. I see no great...I see no great impediment



1. into the activities of this Senate by cutting off  
2. debate that is not meaningful. I see a great disservice  
3. being given to debate that is meaningful should it be  
4. cut off. I happen to believe that the membership of  
5. this Senate and the Presiding Officers of this Senate  
6. will use this rule to expedite the business of the  
7. Senate in the best possible manner and will not arbitrarily  
8. do anything that will be detrimental to his activities  
9. and I do urge the membership to adopt this proposed change.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

11. Senator Harris. Senator Harris.

12. SENATOR HARRIS:

13. Well, Mr. President, I would just observe that  
14. during the month of June immediately passed we utilized  
15. the effect of this rule on a temporary basis. And I  
16. would observe that we were a more effective and a more  
17. deliberative Body during the month of June than anytime  
18. I've experienced in either House which is nineteen years  
19. particular during a heavy workload. Now the distinction  
20. here is one that this rule proposed to be permanent cuts  
21. off vote explanation. That does not contribute effectively  
22. to debate but with consent of the Senate there is pro-  
23. vision for a member to speak longer than five minutes  
24. and that does make possible effective debate and to  
25. continue this Body in a deliberative capacity. And I  
26. think the experience in June demonstrates conclusively  
27. the soundness of this rule to make us a more effective  
28. deliberative Body. And I would urge us to adopt this  
29. and if we see that we've made a mistake we can reconsider.  
30. But for the nonce and for the permanent utilization of  
31. what I perceive to be an effective contribution to our  
32. deliberative process and effectiveness the adoption of  
33. this rule makes sense. I urge its adoption.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

2. Senator Fawell.

3. SENATOR FAWELL:

4. Briefly, I wanted to concur with Senator Knuepfer.

5. I...I'd certainly agree with what Senator Graham and  
6. Senator Harris have said that we all too often have meaningless  
7. debate. But from the last couple of Sessions the rights  
8. really I think of the Minority to be able at times to try to  
9. express oneself in regard to some major issues can be  
10. pretty well throttled when you have these limited rights  
11. of debate. And...and when you get down to some really  
12. emotional issues it's pretty difficult to find the attitude  
13. of giving to the minority the right to fully express them-  
14. selves. The ability really of the minority to have any  
15. type of...of prolonged presentation is absolutely gone now  
16. under the rules of this Body and perhaps that's all right.  
17. But I have found on many occasions that although you'll  
18. have a lot of meaningless debate you will have when you have  
19. people explain their votes when we're so very busy as we  
20. come in and out of these Chambers that one person just  
21. quietly getting up and saying this is why he is voting the  
22. way he is voting or she you'll find that the bill goes up  
23. or down just on the basis of some of these succinct  
24. remarks that are...that are made oftentimes by one who  
25. is returning, say, from the House in presenting before a  
26. committee in the House one of his bills he wasn't here when  
27. the debate commenced and I find that these can be very helpful.  
28. It doesn't seem to me that as one looks at our June debate  
29. while we grind out in quantity a great deal I...I can't believe  
30. that it's quality and I think everyone here knows that we  
31. vote in complete ignorance on perhaps as high as 80% of the  
32. issues because we simply don't have time for adequate debate and  
33. for adequate communications especially during that period of time,

1. perhaps it becomes a necessity then. But I think in  
2. general it is not a good and a sound rule and...certainly  
3. based upon my entrance in the Legislature back in 1963  
4. the...the rules were much more liberal at that time for  
5. those who would want to express an unpopular cause and I  
6. think that this way that really the openness of our meetings  
7. is directly related to the openness in the time that one  
8. can be able to utilize for debate. And I think we're just  
9. drastically limiting them again and personally I would plan  
10. to vote against this particular alteration of the rules.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

12. Senator Sours.

13. SENATOR SOURS:

14. Mr. President, Senators, if one were to look back...  
15. May and June of this year, the big problem was all the  
16. House Bills coming over here in lump form, by the bushel.  
17. We've got some very important bills right now, not in  
18. this Session, but which will come back to haunt us next  
19. year...one of which would abolish the grand jury. Now  
20. there...there's a group of people in the Legislature who  
21. want to abolish the grand jury. We've had that for about  
22. four hundred years and it's the difference between freedom  
23. and it's exact opposite in many instances. There's move-  
24. ment afoot to have a State Probation System. A State  
25. Probation System, a highly contested matter that ought to  
26. be thoroughly debated by everyone here who was interested  
27. in justice in its best form. You can't do that in five  
28. minutes. There's also a new uniform Probate Bill that will  
29. completely turn upside down most of your probate practice  
30. today. It seems there's some...there's some insidious  
31. influences about us all the time about to change everything  
32. from the status quo to something which this little group -  
33. call them intellectuals if you want to - want to change.

1. Now these are important matters and to hamstring and  
2. tie down an opponent to those bills to five minutes  
3. especially an opponent whoever he may be who is familiar  
4. with the subject in my judgment is bad. Now if we want  
5. to improve this legislative system we could put an earlier  
6. date on filing bills, on hearing bills, committee, be-  
7. cause there isn't much we can do about the House. The  
8. House will do pretty much as it pleases and we're still  
9. going to have the avalanche of House Bills coming here in May  
10. and June in the long Session and to simply do what we did  
11. last year - and I'm not saying this in a critical way -  
12. to...to pass them in...in...in a lump form, to me is not  
13. the business of this Legislature. If we can get all of our  
14. Senate activities done, say by the first of April and there's  
15. no reason why we can't, then we can expect the avalanche of  
16. House Bills. And may I remind the Senators not every House  
17. Bill is a good bill. In fact I can give you some concrete  
18. examples where we've had some very pernicious legislation  
19. just about to pass until some watchful eye, as Senator  
20. Fawell has remarked, caught it and we'll do the same thing  
21. next year. I don't want to be hamstrung and I'm probably  
22. a very voluble Senator I...I recognize that, but what I  
23. think is right I'm going to oppose if it's wrong. I've  
24. taken the position years ago that it's not who's right  
25. but what's right. And we get a lot of crummy legislation over  
26. believe me that merits long debate because we're affecting  
27. the lives and property of people who don't want some of  
28. that pernicious legislation.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Senator Partee.

31. SENATOR PARTEE:

32. Well, I want to say that I have looked at this rule  
33. very carefully. You might know that I am very, very serious

1. about the question of being able to express myself on  
2. a subject that comes before this Legislature and I am  
3. not as concerned about the length of debate as I am  
4. about the quality of debate. Longevity in and of itself  
5. is certainly not a virtue. I would suggest that this  
6. is an excellent rule. It doesn't in anyway prevent any  
7. person here from being completely expressive of his  
8. position on the subject under consideration for debate.  
9. All it does is prevents the second time around of an  
10. explanation of that vote and as you know we have a  
11. unwritten rule that any person who feels an obligation  
12. or who is impelled to make an explanation of his vote  
13. may do so in writing and submit it to the Secretary and  
14. it will be included in that day's Journal on that bill.  
15. So for posterity it shall forever be known what that par-  
16. ticular Senator felt as to why he was voting aye or nay  
17. or present. So nothing really changes in terms of the  
18. right to express oneself on the question. Now everybody  
19. gets a chance to debate and this does not in any way not  
20. one whit of limitation is there in the right to debate the  
21. question under consideration. The only change basically  
22. is the...takes away the right for a needless, thankless  
23. kind of explanation to vote because generally explanations  
24. sometimes aren't actual or factual anyway. I'm told there  
25. are two reasons for everything a good reason and a real  
26. reason and so the guy gives a good reason on his explanation  
27. and it really isn't the real reason so it really doesn't  
28. mean anything anyway. I think it's a good rule.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

30. Is there fruther discussion? Senator Berning.

31. SENATOR BERNING:

32. Mine is essentially a question, Mr. President. Under  
33. the existing rule 31 is not the time limit fifteen minutes

1. per member rather than five?

2. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

3. That is correct.

4. SENATOR BERNING:

5. Well, then we are changing the rule in two ways. We  
6. are limiting the amount of time for the original  
7. speaker and then we are also eliminating the right to  
8. explanation of the vote. So it seems to me that the rule  
9. change is a little more comprehensive than has been  
10. implied.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

12. Any further discussion? Senator Graham.

13. SENATOR GRAHAM:

14. I move the previous question.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

16. The question is shall the Senate adopt this amend-  
17. ment to Rule 31. Upon that question the Secretary will  
18. call the roll.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,  
21. Chew, Clarke,

22. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

23. Senator Clarke.

24. SENATOR CLARKE:

25. Mr. President, insofar as this may be my last  
26. opportunity to explain my vote, I'm going to take full  
27. advantage of it. I think that's five minutes. And I'd  
28. like to just point out...three minutes that's enough...  
29. point out what happened during June and what some of  
30. the fundamentals are that we're talking about here.  
31. During June we passed a whole mass of legislation. I'm  
32. still not sure what it all was. We passed bills on the  
33. single roll call, a hundred at a time. You've got 24 hours  
to look over the bills. We had people who made motions

1. that never got a chance to even discuss their own  
2. motion. We have had right now an example of what  
3. goes on in June and under these rules. People say,  
4. roll call, roll call, or move the previous question  
5. and that happened repeatedly. I don't know how many  
6. times during that period. We are a deliberative  
7. Body and I think that the five minutes instead of  
8. fifteen minutes is vitally important. I would like to  
9. see this rule put in maybe for this Session and con-  
10. sider it again next year. But I think the fundamental  
11. is that the Rules Committee should be addressing them-  
12. selves to joint rules to limit the off-year and to  
13. rules of the Senate that will limit our deliberations  
14. on the mass of House Bills that come over after dates  
15. with...that they have violated of their own rules. This  
16. is the real answer to our dilemma of a mass of work rather  
17. than pass it out. We ought to be deliberating and limiting  
18. ourselves not in our speaking and deliberations but in our  
19. consideration of what we consider. I vote no.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald.  
22. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth  
23. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
24. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard  
25. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,  
26. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,  
27. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
28. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
29. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

30.  
31. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

32. On that question the ayes are 33 and the nays are  
33. 17. The amendment is adopted. Senator Graham.

1. SENATOR GRAHAM:

2. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, we have  
3. on the desks of the Senators a proposed amendment to  
4. Rule 71 dealing with the operation of this Senate this  
5. year with regard to Governor's veto messages which is  
6. important to the extent that it protects the sponsor for  
7. eight days but does not preclude any other Senator after  
8. that time from making a motion which might be contributory  
9. to the governor...government of this State and I...or  
10. after discussion if there is any I will then move for a  
11. favorable roll call.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

13. Is there any discussion? There's no discussion.  
14. Senator Graham moves the adoption of the amendment to  
15. Rule 71. Upon that question the Secretary will call  
16. the roll.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,  
19. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,  
20. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth  
21. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
22. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard  
23. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,  
24. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,  
25. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
26. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
27. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):

29. Walker, aye. Vadalabene, aye. ...That question  
30. the ayes are 51. The nays are none. The amendment's  
31. adopted. Resolutions.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Senate Joint Resolution No. 50 by Senator McBroom.



1. PRESIDING OFFICER (SENATOR WEAVER):  
2. Senator McBroom.  
3. SENATOR MCBROOM:  
4. Yes. Yes, Mr. President, Senate Joint Resolution  
5. No. 50...is a resolution which would have the effect of  
6. rescinding Senate Joint Resolution 23. ...Anyone would  
7. like further explanation, I would be happy to provide it.  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. Is there further discussion?  
10. SENATOR MCBROOM:  
11. I move it be...be...yeah it rescinded. ...Does that  
12. go to the Executive Committee, Mr. President?  
13. PRESIDENT:  
14. ...No...if you want to seek consent of the Senate  
15. to consider the matter now, Senator, it does not have  
16. to go to Executive. It's up to you. I think...do you wish  
17. it to go to Executive or...  
18. SENATOR MCBROOM:  
19. No, I...I was...  
20. PRESIDENT:  
21. All right then if you move to...suspend the rules  
22. for the immediate consideration of the resolution...  
23. SENATOR MCBROOM:  
24. I so move, Mr. President.  
25. PRESIDENT:  
26. All right. The motion is to suspend the rules for the  
27. immediate consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 20...  
28. SENATOR MCBROOM:  
29. 50.  
30. PRESIDENT:  
31. Oh, I'm sorry, 50. All in favor signify by saying  
32. aye.  
33. SENATOR MCBROOM:

1. Aye.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Contrary no. The motion carries. The rules  
4. are suspended. The rules are suspended. The matter  
5. of...before us is Senate Resolution 50. For what  
6. purpose does Senator Hall arise?

7. SENATOR KENNETH HALL:

8. Have an explanation of this. What does this do?

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Well, the matter is before us now. Senator McBroom  
11. is recognized.

12. SENATOR MCBROOM:

13. Senate Joint Resolution 23, Senator Hall, had the  
14. effect of putting the Judiciary back on the ballot where  
15. they would run by party label. Senate Joint Resolution  
16. 50 which I have just introduced would negate that. Now  
17. it's a constitutional amendment. Now if...if you would  
18. want me to go further into my reasons, I would be happy  
19. to do that or if you'd like to ask me any further questions  
20. I'd attempt to answer but that's what the two resolutions  
21. do.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Partee.

24. SENATOR PARTEE:

25. Is it proposed that we're going to vote on the  
26. rescission today? Is that what the Senator has in mind?

27. SENATOR MCBROOM:

28. I think...wouldn't it have the same effect as the  
29. other one, Mr. President. Doesn't it have to be read in full three  
30. times or am I wrong there? I'm in no hurry to have it  
31. voted on today, Senator Partee, but I...the other one had  
32. to be read three times by Mr. Fernandes I presume that  
33. this would require the same course of action.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. ...It's the ruling of the Chair that this is  
3. not a question of adoption of an amendment but a  
4. reconsideration of such question and therefore is  
5. not required to be read three times. I...I believe  
6. that we are empowered to proceed with the consideration  
7. of the question of reconsider...without the requirement  
8. of the three day...constitutional obligation.

9. SENATOR PARTEE:

10. Well, I...I certainly agree with that ruling. That's  
11. the way we read the constitution also. I suppose what  
12. I'm really saying is that I would hope that we do not  
13. vote on the final question today because some members of  
14. my side have asked that this be discussed in our caucus  
15. and we didn't know it was going to be called today and we  
16. would like to vote on it at another time. But any motion  
17. that is preparatory to voting on it I think can be heard  
18. today and we will leave the final motion for another day.  
19. It could be even tomorrow but we'd just like to have a  
20. chance to talk about it.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. I think it would be...appropriate and possible under  
23. our rules to refer the matter to the Secretary's desk. We  
24. were attempting to avoid referral to the Committee. What-  
25. ever the pleasure of the Senate...that's what we are going  
26. to do. Senator Soper.

27. SENATOR SOPER:

28. Mr. President...the sponsor would answer a question  
29. or the President want to answer a parliamentary inquiry?  
30. ...Took 36 votes to put this...to pass this resolution.  
31. Am I correct?

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Yes.

1. SENATOR SOPER:

2. My inquiry is how many votes does it take  
3. to negate it?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. The ruling of the Chair is that it requires  
6. 30 votes to withdraw under the provisions of  
7. ...Article 14. It's clear that...the question  
8. of withdrawal unless withdrawn by a vote of a  
9. majority of the members elected to each House.  
10. So we are empowered by 30 votes to withdraw, but  
11. to adopt there is of course the constitutional  
12. requirement of 36 votes.

13. SENATOR SOPER:

14. Thank you very much.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator McBroom.

17. SENATOR MCBROOM:

18. Well, Mr. President, I would also like to  
19. comment. I know that there are very strong feelings  
20. on this particular matter on...and...and I think it  
21. cuts party lines both ways and if anyone would care  
22. to join me in this resolution, I'd be happy to have  
23. ...have them do so, Mr. President.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Then is there leave to refer the matter to the Secre-  
26. tary's desk? And might I...just...inquire, well  
27. it'll be up to the sponsor then to call the matter  
28. and is there leave to refer Senate Joint Resolution  
29. 50 to the Secretary's desk? So ordered.

30. SECRETARY:

31. Senate Resolution No. 245 by Senators Weaver,  
32. Latherow and Knuppel and it's congratulatory.

33. PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

1. SENATOR WEAVER:

2. Mr. President, I would request leave to suspend  
3. the rules and act on this resolution. This is a  
4. congratulatory resolution for Professor Hay, University  
5. of Illinois who is retiring.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. The motion is to suspend the rules for the immediate  
8. consideration of Senate Resolution 245. All in  
9. favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion  
10. carries. The question is shall Senate Resolution 245  
11. be adopted? All in favor signify by saying aye.  
12. Contrary no. The motion carries. The Resolution is adopted.

13. SECRETARY:

14. ...246 by Senator Howard Mohr. Congratulatory.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Mohr.

17. SENATOR MOHR:

18. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, this is a  
19. congratulatory resolution...honoring Ray Soden fellow  
20. that most of us know in Veteran Affairs who has been  
21. elected National Commander of the Veterans of Foreign  
22. Wars and I would like all members of the Senate to be  
23. shown as sponsors of this resolution.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Motion...Senator Knuppel.

26. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

27. On the motion before this, I think it was said that  
28. I was a sponsor and I would like to have that for record  
29. corrected. I don't think it was me. I have never been  
30. the sponsor of a congratulatory message in this Session  
31. and I have not joined in any of these except when they  
32. affected members of the Senate or their families.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Is there further discussion? Motion is to  
2. suspend the rules for the immediate consideration.  
3. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no.  
4. Motion carries. ...Is there further discussion  
5. on the resolution? All in favor of the adoption  
6. of Senate Resolution 246 signify by saying aye.  
7. Contrary no. Motion carries. The resolution is  
8. adopted.

9. SECRETARY:

10. Senate Resolution 247 by Senator Mohr and it's  
11. congratulatory.

12. SENATOR MOHR:

13. This is congratulating a couple that has rendered  
14. a great deal of service in my community the Village of  
15. Forest Park...congratulating them on their 50th anni-  
16. versary and if all members would like to be shown, I  
17. would appreciate having them with the exception I guess  
18. of Senator Knuppel.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Is there further discussion? Motion is to suspend  
21. for the immediate consideration of Senate Resolution  
22. 247. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no.  
23. The motion carries. Question is, is there further dis-  
24. cussion? Question is on the adoption of Senate Resolution  
25. 247. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no.  
26. The motion carries. Resolution 247 is adopted.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Resolution 248 by Senator McCarthy.  
29. Congratulatory.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator McCarthy.

32. SENATOR MCCAPTHY:

33. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate.

1. Senate Resolution just been introduced as a congratulatory  
2. resolution to Cheryl Lynn Hubbartt of Shelbyville in the  
3. district that I have the pleasure to represent who was crowned  
4. Miss Illinois County Fair 1973 at the Annual Meeting of  
5. the Illinois Association of Agriculture Fairs...and President  
6. Harris whatever you might do by way of allowing some  
7. courtesy to this lovely lady would be deeply appreciated.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Well, I think it would appropriate for the members  
10. to hear from the subject of this resolution before it's  
11. adopted.

12. MISS HUBBARTT:

13. I just want to say that I'm very honored to be here  
14. today and I didn't know I had to say anything so this is  
15. going to be kind of quick. I think that every girl who  
16. has ever been here can say the same thing that I have said  
17. that I think the State of Illinois has been very good to  
18. me not only at the State Fair but on my tours through the  
19. State and I think that I find myself appreciating where I  
20. live more than I ever have before. So thank you, all of you,  
21. for helping me through this year. Thank you.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Thank you very much Cheryl. Senator McCarthy moves  
24. to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration of  
25. Senate Resolution 247. All in favor signify by saying aye.  
26. Contrary no. The rules are suspended. The question now  
27. is on the adoption of Senate Resolution 248. Senate  
28. Resolution 248 acknowledging the presence and the election  
29. as Illinois County Fair Queen of Sharon. All in favor  
30. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries  
31. and the resolution is adopted.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Senate Resolution 249 by Senator Berning and it's

1. congratulatory.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Berning.

4. SENATOR BERNING:

5. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Body, this is  
6. a congratulatory resolution for an outstanding couple  
7. in my area celebrating their silver wedding anniversary.  
8. I move the rules be suspended for immediate consideration  
9. of this resolution.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Question is to suspend for immediate consideration.  
12. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion  
13. carries. On the...is there discussion on the question of  
14. adoption? All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye.  
15. Contrary no. The motion carries. Senate Resolution 249 is  
16. adopted.

17. SECRETARY:

18. Senate Resolution 250 by Senator McBroom and it's  
19. congratulatory.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator McBroom.

22. SENATOR MCBROOM:

23. Yes, Mr. President, it's a congratulatory resolution  
24. honoring a gentleman in Kankakee who has achieved sig-  
25. nificant stature in Rotary International. I'd appreciate  
26. for the...suspension of the rules and the immediate adoption  
27. of this resolution.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Question is on the motion to suspend for immediate  
30. consideration. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary  
31. no. The motion carries. On the question to adopt. Is there  
32. discussion? On the motion to adopt all in favor signify by  
33. saying aye. Contrary no. Motion carries. Senate Resolution



1. 250 is adopted.

2. SECRETARY:

3. Senate Resolution 251 by Senator Mitchler.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Mitchler.

6. SENATOR MITCHLER:

7. Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate  
8. Resolution 251 commends Dr. Richard K. Collins of  
9. Aurora who is retiring from medical practice after 46  
10. years of service to the community of Aurora. This is  
11. one of the outstanding doctors and physicians in the  
12. Aurora area and I would ask for a favorable roll call  
13. ...suspension of the rules and favorable roll call  
14. on Senate Resolution 251.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. On the question to suspend for immediate  
17. consideration, all in favor signify by saying aye.  
18. Contrary no. The motion carries. Is there discussion  
19. on the resolution? On the motion to adopt, all in  
20. favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. Motion  
21. carries. Senate Resolution 251 is adopted.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Senate Resolution 252 by Senator Partee.

24. Commendatory.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Partee.

27. SENATOR PARTEE:

28. This is a commendatory, congratulatory resolution  
29. for a businessman in my area who shows that the free  
30. enterprise system can work having come here from Georgia  
31. with \$20 in his pocket and having recently completed a  
32. 39 year span as a taxicab owner. He's a man who shows  
33. that the free enterprise system does work and I think

1. it's time that we take the time to show and be expressive  
2. of our appreciation of our people who do know and under-  
3. stand that. And I move the immediate suspension of the  
4. rules and the adoption of this resolution.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. On the motion to suspend for immediate considera-  
7. tion. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary  
8. no. The motion carries. Is there discussion on the  
9. resolution? On the motion to adopt all in favor  
10. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion  
11. carries. Senate Resolution 252 is adopted.

12. SECRETARY:

13. Senate Resolution 253 by Senator ParTEE. It's  
14. congratulatory.

15. SENATOR PARTEE:

16. It's the same kind of resolution. I would make  
17. the same remarks I did on the other one. I ask for  
18. the immediate suspension of the rules and adoption  
19. of resolution.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. On the motion to suspend for immediate con-  
22. sideration. All in favor signify by saying aye.  
23. Contrary no. Motion carries. Is there discussion  
24. on the resolution? On the motion to adopt, all in  
25. favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. Motion  
26. carries. Senate Resolution 253 is adopted.

27. SECRETARY:

28. ...Resolution 254 by Senator ParTEE. It's  
29. congratulatory.

30. SENATOR PARTEE:

31. It congratulates the Chicago-based International  
32. Travelers Association, and they're bringing in a world  
33. known person, a Miss Marian Anderson, a singer, for

1. their performance this year. I understand that a day  
2. will be set aside by the Executive Branch in her  
3. honor and I move for the suspension of the rules  
4. and adoption of this resolution.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. On the motion to suspend for immediate con-  
7. sideration. All in favor signify by saying aye.  
8. Contrary no. The motion carries. Is there dis-  
9. cussion on the resolution? On the motion to  
10. adopt. All in favor signify by saying aye. Con-  
11. trary no. The motion carries and the resolution  
12. is adopted.

13. SECRETARY:

14. Senate Resolution 255 by Senator Latherow.  
15. It's congratulatory.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Latherow.

18. SENATOR LATHEROW:

19. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, this  
20. is a congratulatory resolution for Miss Colleen  
21. Metternich, Miss Illinois, from the City of Carthage,  
22. Forgottonia which is still in Illinois. I move for  
23. immediate consideration of the rules...

24. PRESIDENT:

25. On the motion to suspend for the immediate  
26. consideration. All in favor signify by saying aye.  
27. Contrary no. The motion carries. Senator Latherow.  
28. Is there discussion on the motion? On the motion  
29. to adopt, all in favor signify by saying aye. Con-  
30. trary no. Motion carries and Senate Resolution 255  
31. is adopted.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Senate Resolution 256 by Senator Mitchler and all

1. Members of the Senate. It's congratulatory.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Mitchler.

4. SENATOR MITCHLER:

5. Mr. President and Members of the Senate, SB...

6. Resolution...Senate Resolution 256 commends Robert

7. E. L. Eaton the newly elected commander, National

8. Commander of the American Legion. I would ask for

9. suspension of the rules and immediate consideration

10. and adoption of Senate Resolution 256.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. On the motion to suspend for immediate con-

13. sideration. All in favor signify by saying aye.

14. Contrary no. The motion carries. Is there dis-

15. cussion on the resolution? On the motion to

16. adopt, all in favor signify by saying aye. Con-

17. trary no. Motion carries. Senate Resolution 256

18. is adopted.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senate Resolution 257, Senator Mitchler and

21. all members of the Senate.

22. SENATOR MITCHLER:

23. ...Mr. President and Members of the Senate,

24. Senate Resolution 257 commends one of the fine out-

25. standing public servants that we have had in the State

26. of Illinois who served for many years, Dr. Franklin

27. D. Yoder who was the Director of the Illinois Depart-

28. ment of Public Health for many years, from 1961 until

29. 1972. Dr. Yoder has resigned from this position with

30. the State of Illinois and although a native of the

31. great State of Wyoming he is now located in Greeley,

32. Colorado as the Director of the Weld County De-

33. partment of Health in Greeley, Colorado. And I would

1. ask that all Senators join me in sponsoring this  
2. Senate Resolution for our good friend and one who  
3. we have all enjoyed working with, Dr. Franklin D.  
4. Yoder. Mr. President, I would ask for suspension  
5. of the rules, immediate consideration and adoption  
6. of Senate Resolution 257.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. On the motion to suspend for immediate con-  
9. sideration, all in favor signify by saying aye.  
10. Contrary no. Motion carries. Is there discussion  
11. on the resolution? All in favor of adoption signify  
12. by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries  
13. and Senate Resolution 257 is adopted. Senator  
14. Vadalabene.

15. SENATOR VADALABENE:

16. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the  
17. Senate. I stand on a point of personal privilege,  
18. Mr. President...Mr. President.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Vadalabene.

21. SENATOR VADALABENE:

22. Point of personal privilege.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Proceed.

25. SENATOR VADALABENE:

26. On many occasions I have risen on a point of  
27. personal privilege and have been honored to present  
28. someone in the galleries. Today I suppose this is probably  
29. the greatest moment in my...tenure in the Senate  
30. because in the gallery immediately behind me we have  
31. a group of senior citizens who have formed a club in  
32. Edwardsville. Eighty-one senior citizens who are the  
33. backbone of our State and our Country and I would

1. appreciate if you would honor them by a round of  
2. applause if they would stand and be recognized.  
3. PRESIDENT:  
4. Messages from the House.  
5. SECRETARY:  
6. Messages from the House by Mr. Selcke, Clerk.  
7. (Secretary reads Message from the House)  
8. PRESIDENT:  
9. Secretary's desk of those...bills referred to  
10. in the Message. Messages from the House.  
11. SECRETARY:  
12. A Message from the House by Mr. Selcke.  
13. (Secretary reads Message from the House)  
14. PRESIDENT:  
15. Executive.  
16. SECRETARY:  
17. House Joint Resolution No. 24. Senator Davidson.  
18. PRESIDENT:  
19. Senator Davidson.  
20. SENATOR DAVIDSON:  
21. ...Mr. President and members of the Senate, I move  
22. the adoption of the resolution. This is a resolution...  
23. joint resolution, death resolution for Senator...Representative  
24. Londrigan's father.  
25. PRESIDENT:  
26. On the motion to suspend the rules for the immediate  
27. consideration of the House Joint Resolution. All in favor  
28. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries.  
29. On the question of adoption of House Joint Resolution 24,  
30. is there discussion? On the motion to adopt, all in favor  
31. signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The motion carries  
32. and House Joint Resolution 24 is adopted.  
33. SECRETARY:

Oct. 16, 1973  
SB 1195  
2120

1. House Joint Resolution 26. It's a death  
2. memorial to Richard Yates Rowe of Jacksonville.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Bartulis. On the motion to suspend  
5. the rules for the immediate consideration of House  
6. Joint Resolution 26, all in favor signify by saying  
7. aye. Contrary no. The motion carries. Is there  
8. discussion on the Joint Resolution. On the motion  
9. to adopt, all those in favor signify by saying aye.  
10. Contrary no. The motion carries and House Joint  
11. Resolution 26 is adopted. Is there further business  
12. to come before the Senate? We have two death resolutions  
13. before Regular Session. Is there further business to  
14. come before the Senate? Senator Moore. Senator Graham.  
15. We do have a motion. Senator Moore, Senator Don Moore  
16. is recognized. Senator Moore, Don Moore.

17. SENATOR MOORE:

18. Thank you, Mr. President, Members of the Senate. I  
19. have filed a motion that is on the Secretary's desk dealing  
20. with Senate Bill 1198 with the permission of the President  
21. and the members, I'd like to proceed with that at this time.  
22. To say that I was amazed on September 16th, 1973 when a  
23. message came from the Governor's Office vetoing SB 1198  
24. which created the State Board of Elections in the State  
25. of Illinois would...would be really...I just can't tell you  
26. how I felt. As you will recall we are mandated by the  
27. Constitution of 1970 to create a State Board of Elections.  
28. The House passed a bill which was not acceptable to this  
29. Body. The Senate passed a bill which was not accepted to  
30. the House. As a result at the request of the Committee  
31. on Senate, or the Senate Committee on Elections and Reapportion-  
32. ment, there was a committee bill that was introduced with  
33. all members and I want to reiterate all members of that

1. Committee joining as sponsors of the bill. Now I  
2. think what we might do is to see exactly what we  
3. were mandated to do in the Constitution. In Article  
4. 3, Section 5, which mandates the establishment of a State  
5. Board of Election. This section reads and I quote: "A State  
6. Board of Elections shall have general supervision over  
7. the administration of the registration and elections laws  
8. throughout the State. The General Assembly - and I  
9. want to reiterate - the General Assembly by law shall  
10. determine the size, manner of selection and compensation  
11. of the Board. No political party shall have a majority  
12. of the members on the Board". Now in the Governor's  
13. Message, in his veto message, he states that the Governor  
14. should not be limited to a restricted list of individuals  
15. that he will appoint to this Board. Rather he should  
16. have - and I quote - "broad discretion to seek out in-  
17. dividuals having unswerving dedication to the election  
18. process. Moreover the Board must consist of an uneven  
19. number of people with the political independence as...  
20. as a...with a political independent as a potential tie-  
21. breaker so that no party can create deadlocks which would  
22. frustrate the purpose of the Board." He goes on to say it  
23. would severely limit the Governor's appointment power by  
24. requiring the Governor to name to the Board one of the two  
25. persons nominated by the Speaker of the House, the President  
26. of the Senate, the Minority Leader of each of the House.  
27. Well, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, let me tell  
28. you this, I have confidence in the two individuals that  
29. President Harris will nominate to the Governor. And I have  
30. confidence in the two individuals that Senator Partee will  
31. nominate to the Governor. And I have confidence in the  
32. two individuals that Speaker Blair will recommend to the  
33.



1. Governor. And I also have confidence in the two individuals  
2. that Representative Choate will recommend to the Governor.  
3. I think, Mr. President, Members of the Senate, that  
4. this is nothing more than an attempted power grab on  
5. the part of the Governor of the State of Illinois to  
6. take over the election machinery through the State  
7. Board of Elections. I think that the framers of the  
8. Constitution of 1970, if they wanted the Governor to the  
9. appoint members of the State Board of Election they  
10. so would have stated but they did not state this. They  
11. said that the General Assembly shall by law determine  
12. the size. They doesn't...they don't say that we have  
13. to have an uneven number on the Board. The manner of  
14. selection and compensation of the Board is left to  
15. the General Assembly. They don't say that they have  
16. to be independents or members of the Labor Socialist  
17. Party or the Communist Party or the Republican Party  
18. or the Democratic Party. I think this is nothing more  
19. than a blatant attempt to grab power of the State  
20. election machinery by the Governor and I don't want  
21. to see this Governor or any Governor in the State of  
22. Illinois during the term of this Constitution that  
23. we're acting under, to have this authority. I think  
24. the clinching blow comes when he cites the case People  
25. ex rel Scott vs Grivetti, 50 Illinois 2nd 156  
26. that perhaps there may be a serious violation of the  
27. spirit if not the letter of the Constitution. Well, in  
28. that opinion, and I have a copy of it here, Mr. President,  
29. and Members of the Senate, I want to thank the Governor  
30. for citing this particular case cause this was the  
31. case that dealt with our reapportionment bill when  
32. as you know under the Constitution and when we...  
33.

Oct. 16, 1973  
SB 1198

1. if the General Assembly does not act to redistrict  
2. the State the Commission was appointed and the  
3. Court held that the three members appointed by the  
4. three legislative assistants appointed by three  
5. members of the leadership did not constitute the  
6. public. But in this opinion, the court did sustain  
7. the authority of the leadership in the House and  
8. Senate to appoint public members. I think this  
9. opinion really goes to the meat of the thing. I  
10. think this opinion is one that if anything the  
11. Supreme Court will sustain the validity of this  
12. act. Mr. President, I think that SB 1198 meets  
13. all the constitutional requirements that we have  
14. in addition to the mandate that we have in the  
15. Constitution of 1970. We have to have the State  
16. Board of Elections by January 1, 1974 or we  
17. may not have any elections in the State of Illinois.  
18. I think that by vetoing this bill the Governor has only  
19. prolonged the time when this particular bill can go  
20. to the courts and have a judicial determination. I  
21. think that he just doesn't like the way that we have  
22. set up as we were mandated to do the way we have seen  
23. fit to set up a State Board of Elections. And Mr.  
24. President, I believe that the other three members who  
25. are on this motion with me, Senators Graham, Dougherty  
26. and Keegan may have a few words to say at which time  
27. I have a motion that I would like to make...and that  
28. would be to move SB 1198 Do Pass, the veto of the  
29. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Dougherty.

32. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

33. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I join with

Oct 16, 1973  
SB 1198

1. Senator Moore in advocating the override of the  
2. Governor's veto of SB 1198 for the simple reason  
3. that in the last Session of this General Assembly  
4. I passed identical bill, identical except one word  
5. that passed this Body some 52 to nothing and was  
6. defeated in the House. Subsequently this Session,  
7. I passed another bill out of this Senate, SB 77, which  
8. met defeat in the House Elections Committee. I feel  
9. now that it is time to resolve this situation...and my join-  
10. ing in this attempt to override is without any malice whatso-  
11. ever it is based upon reasonable consideration of what the  
12. Constitution requires. The Constitution and debate  
13. was originated in the Constitutional Convention  
14. that would be an evenly divided board. The Convention  
15. debated and adopted the bipartisan requirement based in  
16. part upon a successful record of the Election Laws  
17. Study Commission in the 1964 election where...I was  
18. the Chairman. At that time we adjudicated all the  
19. bills and...and prepared a uniform pamphlet of rules  
20. that applied to Lake County and Adams County and  
21. Vermilion County and all over the State exactly...  
22. the rule provided that the act should be the same in  
23. every county thus insuring had there been a contest  
24. it would not be based upon what happened in one county  
25. as opposed to what happened to another. It was  
26. successful, it was a bipartisan agreement, every action.  
27. We pared down the bills from some eight to ten million  
28. dollars down to about three million. We saved the  
29. State five or six million dollars and this was done by  
30. a bipartisan board and the State Election Board can be  
31. done in the same manner. I do not believe in an independent.  
32. There is no such thing as an independent in my book.  
33. Further, the tiebreaker to be an independent would not

Oct 16, 1973  
SF 1148

1. follow the thinking of the convention. The convention  
2. decided that they wanted someone on this Board who had the  
3. experience and expertise in the election laws. An  
4. independent could not have that because they would not  
5. be familiar with the election laws of the State of  
6. Illinois. Therefore, I join with Senator Moore in advo-  
7. cating the over...the override of the Governor's veto and  
8. with entirely without malice because I believe it to be a good  
9. bill and it does precisely what the Constitution indicates  
10. we should do. Thank you.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. ...Graham.

13. SENATOR GRAHAM:

14. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, very briefly...  
15. I am delighted to join hands with my old warrior friend  
16. Senator Dougherty, stand side by side with him on this  
17. issue as we have so many times in the past. We are  
18. delighted to have a little charm also added to the  
19. sponsorship of this motion, the Senator from Rockford  
20. who has spent many hours on this subject matter. I think  
21. if our action today means anything and it should, this  
22. should be an indication to the Governor of the State of  
23. Illinois that we have in existence on the third floor a  
24. Legislative branch and this State has not been so erected  
25. or constituted so as to allow the Executive branch of  
26. government take over every segment of our society. I  
27. realize that publicity is a great thing. I suppose every  
28. segment of our society needs a Bobby Riggs but in the  
29. operation of the State government of Illinois, we need a  
30. sensible approach to the problems of this State and sitting  
31. in this Chamber, Senator Dougherty and I have in collectively  
32. 26 years on the Election Laws Commission. We have also  
33. in this Chamber, Senator Clarke, Senator McBroom and many

Oct. 15, 1978  
SS

1. others who have labored in the vineyards of election  
2. laws and they feel as we do. I am happy to join with  
3. my colleagues in this motion to override.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Keegan.

6. SENATOR KEEGAN:

7. ... (Machine failure)... you in an attempt to preserve  
8. the fruit of work that has so long gone on for... well being  
9. of the electorate of Illinois. I never thought after  
10. the battle was over that I would ever lay claim to this  
11. difficult language that you read in the Constitution  
12. but the time has come that I must confess that I was the  
13. author of that language. It wasn't the language that  
14. I particularly wanted, but it was a language of com-  
15. promise. It was a language of feasibility and it was  
16. a language of success. ... That portion of the Con-  
17. stitution came from the Floor. It was to... it had... had been de-  
18. feated in Committee but it was offered from the Floor.  
19. It was... it went unamended both through the first and  
20. second hearings and through the third hearing... and  
21. came out as we had projected it. I would like to point  
22. out to this group that the idea of an uneven board and  
23. of the service of an independent certainly never entered  
24. into the minds of the... of... of the author. ... It was  
25. based largely on the experiences of Michigan. Michigan has  
26. a four man... or four person board and it works extremely  
27. well. Actually... the board coupled with a sound...  
28. election code would be dealing with largely administrative  
29. matters and when the crunch comes of... severe partisan  
30. debate... we turn in this bill to the tiebreaker. Now  
31. the tiebreaker is not an uncommon... usage of... makes... not  
32. an uncommon usage of a means of coming to a decision.  
33. We use the adversary method in our election laws... We

Oct. 16, 1975  
SB

1. should continue to use the adversary method. We have  
2. no definition of an independent...we have the experience  
3. of election boards throughout the country that...do not  
4. have a...an uneven number of members. I...I would ask you  
5. most sincerely to...go with us on this. Two years ago,  
6. I think it was, you passed a bill...or perhaps it was  
7. immediately after the Constitutional Convention in which  
8. the determination of the members of the Board would have  
9. been made entirely by the Legislature. I was terribly  
10. upset about that because I felt the Board stood apart  
11. from both the Legislative and the Executive Departments.  
12. You are utilizing the knowledge and the...discretion of  
13. both of those departments in the present makeup of the Board  
14. in this bill. And I would urge you most...sincerely to  
15. repeat yourselves and to send this bill on as Senator  
16. Moore has proposed.

17. PRESIDENT:  
18. Senator Partee.

19. SENATOR PARTEE:

20. Just a word, if we don't override this veto we are  
21. going to have a very chaotic situation. History itself  
22. teaches us that it took about...between four and six years to  
23. develop a bill which has the approbation of both Houses.  
24. It's not perfect, of course not. There is this lottery  
25. feature but this is the only way to go. And I would  
26. point out to you that there's nothing very unique about  
27. the lottery feature. We even have a lottery in our  
28. Constitution as respects reapportionment. After the  
29. second group cannot meet they have...they pick another per-  
30. son as a tiebreaker by lottery and that's a Constitutional pro-  
31. vision so there's nothing very unique about having a lottery  
32. provision within the confines of this bill. I want to say - as I  
33. say that I'm going to vote to override it - I want to say

OCT. 16, 1973  
SB 1148

1. that the Election Laws Commission is in my judgment  
2. one of the most effective hardest working competent  
3. Commissions that this Legislature experiences. I  
4. want to say that Senators Dougherty and Senator Graham  
5. have worked for six years it seems to me now on this  
6. proposition and we finally got it in the position where  
7. it was salable and votable and acceptable by both  
8. Houses. I don't think that the Governor was looking for  
9. any power grab, I do not agree with that, I just think  
10. that he got some misinformation by someone on his staff  
11. told him to veto this bill. So we can correct that for  
12. him by overriding this veto and getting this subject  
13. behind us.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Nétsch.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. Mr. President, I too will join in the motion to  
18. override, but I would like to make two points because I  
19. think that it's something we should face up to and  
20. realize presents some problems for the future of the  
21. conduct of election machinery in the State. One is -  
22. and it goes beyond just the election board - I think we  
23. ought to consider carefully whether the idea of Legis-  
24. lative nomination of candidates or nominees for positions  
25. which are not legislative positions is a good practice  
26. to develop. I've noticed over the last several years  
27. that it has been suggested more and more. I think that  
28. it raises some very troublesome questions...I suppose the  
29. obvious comment on that is that in one case at least it  
30. led to the invalidation of the reapportionment map and  
31. we don't want that kind of thing happening. I suspect also  
32. that at some point if we pursue this in highly inappropriate  
33. areas that a court is going to step in and invalidate it and  
34. I think that would be a very...a unfortunate thing both for

OCT 16, 1973  
SB 1158

1. the Legislature and for the orderly conduct of  
2. State government in the State. So I...I don't  
3. like the idea of legislative nomination of the  
4. Board and I think for future purposes we ought to  
5. be very careful about that. The second thing that  
6. I would like to comment on is just...the role of  
7. the - what everyone calls tiebreaker - I perceive  
8. of the fifth person whom we've never been able to  
9. identify and define and therefore get into the bill  
10. as more than just simply a tiebreaker. ...There are  
11. many circumstances in which the interests of the two  
12. major established political parties are identical  
13. and there is a lot of activity that is going on in  
14. this State that is not within the framework of the  
15. two major established political parties. It seems  
16. to me that the idea of that fifth person was not just  
17. simply to break a deadlock but to bring a different  
18. perspective to the very delicate and very important  
19. perspectives of the State Board of Elections. I think  
20. it is a real loss that we are not to have that per-  
21. spective but I freely concede that there has been a  
22. good faith effort to define who is a quote "independent"  
23. and it has not yet been a successful effort. I am  
24. sorry to see us lose this opportunity but I think there  
25. is one thing left after all my of my complaints about the  
26. substance of the bill and that is the most important  
27. thing is that now, not a year from now, not next November  
28. even, but right now we must have a State Board of Elections  
29. and if we have to take a less than perfect one I think  
30. at this stage it is more important than having one not  
31. at all.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Is there further discussion? Senator Moore may



OCT 16, 1973  
SB 1198

1. close the debate.

2. SENATOR MOORE:

3. Thank you, Mr. President and Members of the Senate.

4. Mr. President, during the time that I was Chairman of

5. the Senate Elections Committee, I think that this Com-

6. mittee spent more time on the question of creating a

7. State Board of Elections than we did on any other measure

8. that was assigned to this Committee. We had input,

9. Mr. President, from a very diverse group of very

10. different philosophies. When you consider the fact

11. that the independent voters of Illinois have endorsed

12. this bill. The fact that the League of Women Voters

13. of Illinois have endorsed this bill. Such watchdog

14. operations as Operation LEAP have endorsed this bill.

15. That the members of both the Republican and Democratic

16. Party have endorsed this bill. You can't say that

17. this is not a good bill. I know of no legislation in

18. recent years that has had such wide appeal to resolve

19. such a very urgent problem as we have that we're...

20. been mandated to do in the Constitution of 1970 than

21. SB 1198. The fact that this bill passed both the House

22. and Senate virtually with the unanimous vote very few dis-

23. senting votes. I think there's an indication that we, in the

24. General Assembly, representing the people of the State of

25. Illinois in all of our various districts regardless of their

26. complexion was...our voice was really heard when this

27. bill was passed. I think the Governor erred in some

28. way either through his staff or otherwise in vetoing this

29. bill. I think that we should override this veto. It

30. will help resolve on the of problems that we have insofar

31. as the Special Session that we are in now and at this time,

32. Mr. President, I would move you that SB 1198 Do Pass the

33. veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.

OCT 16, 1973  
SB 1198

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The question is shall SB 1198 pass veto of  
3. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. The  
4. Secretary will call the roll.

5. SECRETARY:

6. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,  
7. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,  
8. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth  
9. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
10. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard  
11. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,  
12. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,  
13. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
14. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
15. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. ...That question the yeas are 53. The nays are 1.

18. SB 1198 having received the required three-fifths vote  
19. is delcared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary  
20. notwithstanding. SB 550, Senator Walker has a motion  
21. filed with the Secretary. Senator Walker.

22. SENATOR WALKER:

23. ...Thank you, Mr. President. The motion I have  
24. filed...the Secretary is the motion to accept the  
25. specific recommendations of the Governor as to SB 550  
26. be deleting on page 1 lines 24 through 28. A brief  
27. explanation this is the bill that puts the Village  
28. of Lansing...place the Village of Lansing in the  
29. metropolitan sanitary district. There was a House  
30. Amendment to include some territory in the...either  
31. in or adjacent to the Village of Inverness. At that  
32. time it was my understanding from the House member  
33. who put the amendment on that they wanted in. The

1. Governor's letter said officials of the Village  
2. Inverness object to the amendment. So I now move  
3. to...accept the recommendations of the Governor as  
4. to SB 550. It's my understanding it needs 30 votes. I  
5. discussed this original bill with Senator Dougherty  
6. on the other side of the aisle. There was no objection  
7. at that time to the Village of Lansing going into the  
8. metropolitan sanitary district and I would appreciate  
9. a favorable vote.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Partee.

12. SENATOR PARTEE:

13. ...I'd just like to ask the sponsor if he could just  
14. hold this to our next legislative day I've...have not  
15. had opportunity to talk to you about it and I...do  
16. have a problem with it. I'd like to discuss it with  
17. you, I didn't know you were going to call it today,  
18. you see. Could you?

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Walker.

21. SENATOR WALKER:

22. I have no objection Senator Partee I...the bill is  
23. as I have explained it, it places the Village of Lansing  
24. into the metropolitan sanitary district and they have  
25. a board meeting there tonight that I intend to attend  
26. and I'd like to report that the...we took Inverness  
27. out and Lansing is in but if you still object, as I say  
28. I discussed this with Senator Dougherty last Spring when  
29. the bill was passed. There's no objection on the part  
30. of the metropolitan sanitary district.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. ...Senator Graham.

33. SENATOR GRAHAM:

1. I appreciate that Senator Partee might have  
2. a problem with this, I'm kind of wondering what.  
3. The problem with the bill originally was in the  
4. Village of Inverness, by the Village of Inverness  
5. and I had contacted MST at that time asking them  
6. if they had an objection to it. They of course  
7. did not even that but I did, particularly the  
8. Village of Inverness which is in the Second Senatorial  
9. District. I...know that they're anxious to have this  
10. matter taken care of. I know the Village of Lansing  
11. is anxious to have it taken care of and I would be  
12. hopeful that we could do it and I would like to have  
13. had it done today if possible.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Netsch.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. I might ask the sponsor a question. Can you ex-  
18. plain to us why this area does not want to be included  
19. in the metropolitan sanitary district with all of its  
20. ...very up to date modern facilities and capacities for  
21. sanitary disposal and all?

22. SENATOR WALKER:

23. ...I don't know. As I understand your question,  
24. Senator was as to why Inverness doesn't want in. I don't  
25. know. This...this bill as it now stands with the  
26. Governor's amendatory veto encompasses only the Village  
27. of Lansing which is in my district and no part thereof  
28. lies in any other...district. As to why Inverness  
29. doesn't want in, I don't know. But if they do want in  
30. the House...sponsor of this amendment can reintroduce  
31. the bill because I've learned the hard way not to take  
32. amendments to annexations to the metropolitan sanitary  
33. district and I again say I would appreciate a favorable  
34. vote.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. ...Does the sponsor...wish to press his motion?

3. SENATOR WALKER:

4. I'm waiting to hear from Partee or Dougherty  
5. over there.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Partee.

8. SENATOR PARTEE:

9. ...No, I was asking that it be held the next  
10. legislative day, I can't insist on it...but if he  
11. wants to go ahead then he goes ahead but I was  
12. just asking as a matter of courtesy that it be  
13. held the next day. I wanted to talk to you about  
14. it before and...before you called it that's all.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Dougherty.

17. SENATOR DOUGHERTY:

18. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, what  
19. Senator Walker says is precisely true. We did  
20. discuss the bill and we found no objections  
21. from the Metropolitan Sanitary District. The  
22. bill that came out of Committee was approved by  
23. this Body. Now, the...I have read the Governor's  
24. veto of the bill and he brings in the question of  
25. ...of the...I mean his amendatory veto, about the  
26. Village of Inverness. I did not know about that.  
27. I don't know what the objection is but I would  
28. like to believe that we could satisfy Senator  
29. Walker but I also will join with Senator Partee in  
30. ...for another...postpone it for another day if you  
31. will.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. ...Senator Walker.

1. SENATOR WALKER:

2. Mr. President...I don't have to get hit on  
3. the head with a mallet, I don't know what they've  
4. got to trade but I always have an attentive ear.  
5. I'll be happy to postpone it but I can't give  
6. any better explanation the following legislative  
7. day than I've given today. I'll be happy to  
8. postpone it. Thank you.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Donnewald.

11. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

12. ...Yes, Mr. President, addressing myself to  
13. SB 374 there was an amendatory veto by the Governor  
14. which I'm happy to accept. And what it does it's  
15. eliminating a conflict of the Department of Health  
16. and the...what we call'swim pack back in southwestern  
17. Illinois. It amends...line...page 7 lines 12 and  
18. 13 by deleting health care and facilities. And I  
19. would...ask for a favorable vote accepting the  
20. gubernatorial veto. I think that takes the 30.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Yes, it requires 30 votes. Is there further  
23. discussion? The question is, shall the Senate accept  
24. the specific recommendations of the Governor as to  
25. SB 374 in the manner informed just presented by  
26. Senator Donnewald. On that question the Secretary  
27. will call the roll.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,  
30. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,  
31. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth  
32. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
33. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard

1. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,  
2. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,  
3. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
4. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
5. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Senator Graham, aye. Senator Keegan, aye.  
8. Senator Buzbee, aye. Senator Berning, aye. Senator  
9. Shapiro, aye. ...That question the yeas are 48.  
10. The nays are 1. The specific recommendations of the  
11. Governor as to SB 374 having received the required  
12. majority vote of Senators elected is declared  
13. accepted. Senator Partee.

14. SENATOR PARTEE:

15. ...Mr. President, Members of the Senate, I  
16. would move to accept the specific recommendations  
17. for change with respect to SB 894. It's a very  
18. simple change. This is a bill which provided for  
19. the adoption of a safety code regulating the construc-  
20. tion, plumbing, heating and electrical installation in  
21. mobile homes. And we asked that the Department of  
22. Public Health in the original bill be the agency  
23. of government...no the Department of Local Government  
24. Affairs, be the agency that promulgated and enforced  
25. these regulations. It was pointed out in the veto  
26. message that the Department of Health already has  
27. certain functions in administering laws concerning  
28. mobile homes and that properly it should be that  
29. division of government which handles this bill. So  
30. I am moving to accept the specific recommendation of  
31. the Governor.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Walker.

1. SENATOR WALKER:

2. Mr. President, I would...I'm interested in  
3. this mobile home legislation, my son owns and  
4. lives in one. I also have one. I'd like a  
5. little time to study this bill before I vote  
6. on it.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. ...Touche.

9. SENATOR WALKER:

10. I'd appreciate it if the sponsor would hold  
11. it til the next legislative day. ...As a matter  
12. of courtesy. Hey, Cec...okay?

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Partee.

15. SENATOR PARTEE:

16. Well, I certainly think that the expression  
17. what goes around comes around is a very viable part  
18. of the American culture and I am certain that I really  
19. got the message, Senator. I think you had a  
20. beautiful joke and now...now I'll appreciate your  
21. vote.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Sours.

24. SENATOR SOURS:

25. I want to remind Senator Partee that with one  
26. of Newton's laws every action creates a reaction.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Is there further discussion? The question is,  
29. shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of  
30. the Governor as to SB 894 in the manner and form  
31. just presented by Senator Partee. On that question  
32. the Secretary will call the roll. It requires  
33. 30 votes.



1. SECRETARY:  
2. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll,  
3. Chew, Clarke, Conolly, Course, Daley, Davidson, Donnewald,  
4. Dougherty, Fawell, Glass, Graham, Harber Hall, Kenneth  
5. Hall, Hynes, Johns, Keegan, Knuepfer, Knuppel, Kosinski,  
6. Latherow, McBroom, McCarthy, Merritt, Mitchler, Howard  
7. Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Newhouse, Nimrod, Nudelman,  
8. Ozinga, Palmer, Partee, Regner, Rock, Roe, Romano,  
9. Saperstein, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro, Smith,  
10. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Swinarski, Vadalabene, Walker,  
11. Weaver, Welsh, Wooten, Mr. President.

12. PRESIDENT:  
13. Call for the request of the absentees has been  
14. made. The absentees will be called.

15. SECRETARY:  
16. Bartulis, Bell, Berning, Clarke,

17. PRESIDENT:  
18. Senator Berning.

19. SENATOR BERNING:

20. Mr. President, I don't know whether I'm on a  
21. point of personal privilege or not, I'm not ex-  
22. plaining my vote but I am pointing out that I have  
23. now had an opportunity to look at the Governor's  
24. veto and I have serious doubts about the desirability  
25. of this veto. I don't believe that the Department of  
26. Public Health has anything to do with construction.  
27. The...the argument is made in the Governor's veto  
28. message that while the Department of Public Health  
29. controls mobile home parks and they do and that is  
30. good and proper because that has to do with health  
31. matters essentially, sanitation, water supply and  
32. so on, the construction of a mobile home is essentially the  
33. same as the construction of a home. And we have building

1. codes, plumbing codes, electrical codes and those  
2. types of regulations - and that's not my under-  
3. standing - the Department of Public Health has  
4. anything to do in the normal construction industry.  
5. I support the idea of control of the construction  
6. of a mobile homesso that the buyers are buying  
7. something that they can reasonably assure...be  
8. assured is going to serve their purpose as a home.  
9. And I submit that the Department of Public Health  
10. in no way is qualified to interpret and apply a  
11. plumbing code, an electrical code or any other  
12. construction standards. I'd have to vote no,  
13. Mr. President.

14. SECRETARY:

15. Clarke, Conolly, Davidson, Fawell, Glass,  
16. Graham, Latherow, McBroom, Merritt, Mitchler,  
17. Howard Mohr, Don Moore, Netsch, Nimrod, Ozinga,  
18. Regner, Roe, Savickas, Schaffer, Scholl, Shapiro,  
19. Sommer, Soper, Sours, Walker, Weaver, Mr. President.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. For what purpose does Senator Partee arise?

22. SENATOR PARTEE:

23. Postpone consideration.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. The...all in favor of the motion to postpone  
26. consideration signify by saying aye. Contrary no.  
27. Motion carries. Senator Buzbee.

28. SENATOR BUZBEE:

29. A point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. President.  
30. Just a few minutes ago we had a vote to change Rule 31.  
31. I voted no on that particular issue. Now are we going  
32. to allow explanation of votes or are we not. I'm  
33. just asking the Chair.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. ...I would enforce the rule against explanation  
3. of vote. I will recognize a person who seeks recog-  
4. nition during a roll call for an inquiry but for  
5. no other purpose. Is there further business to  
6. come before the Senate? ...Kosinski.

7. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

8. A point of personal privilege.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Proceed.

11. SENATOR KOSINSKI:

12. It's a great honor for me to introduce to the  
13. Body this morning an outstanding, a man with passion,  
14. a great heart and a great love of man, a great former  
15. States Attorney and now Judge of the Court of Appeals,  
16. His Honor John J. Stamos. Judge.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Weaver.

19. SENATOR WEAVER:

20. Mr. President, there'll be a Republican caucus  
21. in the President's office immediately after adjournment.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Is there further...are there further announcement?  
24. Senator Fawell.

25. SENATOR FAWELL:

26. I simply wanted to make it clear that the Education  
27. Committee is not going to be meeting tomorrow afternoon  
28. as had previously been announced, to those of you...  
29. especially on the Education Committee take note. And all  
30. the witnesses have been notified next Tuesday afternoon  
31. at 2:15 or after adjournment the Education Committee  
32. will be meeting however for the same purpose as previously  
33. announced.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The Chair wishes to announce that the House has  
3. determined to adjourn today until Monday. There's  
4. been a meeting of the joint leadership in regard  
5. to the question of what should our course of action  
6. be in the light of this and we have recommended to  
7. you and I'm sure the motion will be supported that  
8. when we get to the point of the joint resolution  
9. dealing with adjournment that we will adjourn today  
10. until Monday at 2 in the Regular Session and until  
11. 3 in the Special Session so that you can anticipate  
12. that motion of the joint resolutions for adjournment  
13. which we will proceed to in just a few minutes. So  
14. that we will complete our work for the week today  
15. and...come back in in the Regular Session at 2 on  
16. Monday. Are there further announcements?  
17. Resolutions.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Senate Joint Resolution No. 51.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Graham so moves. Is there discussion?  
22. On the question of the adoption of the Senate Joint  
23. Resolution for adjournment all in favor signify by  
24. saying aye. Contrary no. Motion carries. We have  
25. two death resolutions.

26. SECRETARY:

27. Senate Resolution 258 by Senator Romano.

28. (Secretary reads Senate Resolution 258)

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Romano.

31. SENATOR ROMANO:

32. Mr. President, Members of the Senate, it's rather  
33. a lengthy resolution. I'm sure everybody in the Senate

1. here is familiar with the record of Victor Arrigo  
2. and I would like to move to suspend the rules for  
3. the immediate adoption of this resolution and all  
4. Senators be shown as sponsors.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Will the members please be in their seats?  
7. On the question to suspend the rules for the  
8. immediate consideration all in favor signify by  
9. saying aye. Contrary nay. The motion to suspend  
10. prevails. On the question, of the adoption of the  
11. resolution, all in favor signify by rising. The  
12. resolution is adopted.

13. SECRETARY:

14. ...259 by Senator Romano.  
15. (Secretary reads resolution)

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Romano.

18. SENATOR ROMANO:

19. Peter Granata was the dean of the General  
20. Assembly and his wife was a former postmistress of  
21. the Senate and I'm sure everybody here is familiar  
22. with the record of Peter Granata so I would like to  
23. move to suspend the rules for the immediate adoption  
24. of this resolution and all Senators will join in as  
25. sponsors.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. On the motion to suspend for immediate consideration.  
28. All in favor signify by saying aye. Contrary no. The  
29. motion prevails and on the motion to adopt the Joint Reso-  
30. lution all in favor signify by rising. The resolution is  
31. adopted. Senator Ozinga.

32. SENATOR OZINGA:

33. Mr. President and members of the Senate, we have

1. set for hearing this afternoon some 24 members  
2. ...the appointments of the Governor's Commissions.  
3. They're all nonsalaried but, I would very much  
4. appreciate getting rid of most of these at...at  
5. least with the majority of the Commission mem...  
6. Committee members there. Now I'm at your pleasure  
7. when and how we shall meet.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Well it...your Committee meeting is set for 2:15...

10. SENATOR OZINGA:

11. 2:15

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Yes, well, I know...our caucus will probably  
14. take 45 minutes. It would then give those who want  
15. time to catch a bite of lunch, I think maybe you  
16. might just as well leave it...

17. SENATOR OZINGA:

18. Just so everybody doesn't run home. That's what...  
19. the thing I'm worried about.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Very good. The Executive Committee will meet  
22. as scheduled at 2:15 this afternoon to consider the  
23. appointments of the Governor. Is there anything  
24. further to come before the Senate? Senator Mitchler.

25. SENATOR MITCHLER:

26. No, I'll...I'll withhold.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. ...Mitchler. The Senate in it's regular Session  
29. stands adjourned until 2 p.m. Monday, October 22, 1973.

30.

31.

32.

33.