



SENATE JOURNAL

STATE OF ILLINOIS

NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

48TH LEGISLATIVE DAY

TUESDAY, MAY 26, 2015

11:06 O'CLOCK A.M.

SENATE
Daily Journal Index
48th Legislative Day

Action	Page(s)
Communication	6
Introduction of Senate Bill No. 2140.....	99
Joint Action Motion(s) Filed	5, 60
Legislative Measure(s) Filed	5
Message from the House	61, 62, 63, 65, 87, 99, 100
Message from the President	5
Presentation of Senate Joint Resolution No. 28.....	28
Presentation of Senate Joint Resolution No. 29.....	97
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 587	8
Presentation of Senate Resolution No. 602	94
Presentation of Senate Resolutions No. 601.....	28
Presentation of Senate Resolutions No'd. 588-600	7
Presentation of Senate Resolutions No'd. 603-606	97
Report from Assignments Committee	60
Report from Standing Committee(s)	9, 99
Report(s) Received.....	5

Bill Number	Legislative Action	Page(s)
SJR 0001	Adopted.....	89
SJR 0008	Adopted.....	90
SJR 0011	Adopted.....	90
SJR 0020	Adopted.....	92
SJR 0021	Adopted.....	92
SJR 0022	Adopted.....	93
SJR 0024	Adopted.....	93
SJR 0025	Adopted.....	94
SJR 0027	Adopted.....	94
SJR 0028	Committee on Assignments.....	28
SJR 0029	Committee on Assignments.....	97
SR 0053	Adopted	57
SR 0232	Adopted	57
SR 0248	Adopted	59
SR 0256	Adopted	59
SR 0330	Adopted	88
SR 0412	Adopted	88
SR 0415	Adopted	88
SR 0477	Adopted	88
SR 0547	Adopted	89
SR 0548	Adopted	89
SR 0587	Committee on Assignments	8
SR 0602	Committee on Assignments	94
HB 0163	Third Reading	33
HB 0198	Third Reading	33
HB 0229	Posting Notice Waived.....	61
HB 0362	Third Reading	34
HB 0806	Third Reading	35
HB 0813	Posting Notice Waived.....	61
HB 1121	Third Reading	59
HB 1336	Third Reading	36
HB 1359	Third Reading	37

HB 1660	Third Reading	37
HB 3104	Third Reading	38
HB 3122	Third Reading	38
HB 3123	Third Reading	39
HB 3133	Third Reading	39
HB 3141	Third Reading	40
HB 3149	Third Reading	40
HB 3158	Third Reading	41
HB 3159	Recalled – Amendment(s)	41
HB 3159	Third Reading	53
HB 3172	Third Reading	53
HB 3194	Third Reading	54
HB 3203	Third Reading	54
HB 3269	Third Reading	35
HB 3273	Third Reading	10
HB 3334	Third Reading	10
HB 3341	Third Reading	56
HB 3375	Third Reading	36
HB 3398	Third Reading	11
HB 3475	Third Reading	55
HB 3485	Second Reading - Amendment	96
HB 3507	Third Reading	24
HB 3510	Third Reading	11
HB 3512	Third Reading	12
HB 3523	Third Reading	12
HB 3531	Third Reading	13
HB 3533	Third Reading	13
HB 3552	Third Reading	14
HB 3577	Second Reading	94
HB 3592	Third Reading	14
HB 3616	Third Reading	15
HB 3622	Third Reading	15
HB 3624	Third Reading	16
HB 3664	Third Reading	16
HB 3670	Third Reading	17
HB 3672	Third Reading	18
HB 3680	Second Reading	10
HB 3683	Third Reading	56
HB 3692	Third Reading	18
HB 3693	Recalled – Amendment(s)	19
HB 3693	Third Reading	19
HB 3721	Third Reading	19
HB 3746	Third Reading	20
HB 3766	Third Reading	20
HB 3797	Third Reading	21
HB 3812	Third Reading	21
HB 3840	Third Reading	22
HB 3882	Third Reading	23
HB 3887	Third Reading	22
HB 3895	Third Reading	23
HB 3896	Third Reading	24
HB 3897	Third Reading	25
HB 3933	Third Reading	25
HB 4007	Third Reading	26
HB 4018	Third Reading	26
HB 4029	Recalled – Amendment(s)	29
HB 4029	Third Reading	32
HB 4107	Third Reading	27
HB 4130	Third Reading	28

HB 4146	First Reading	100
HB 4147	First Reading	100
HB 4148	First Reading	100
HB 4153	First Reading	100
HB 4154	First Reading	100
HB 4158	First Reading	100
HB 4159	First Reading	100
HB 4160	First Reading	100
HB 4165	First Reading	100

The Senate met pursuant to adjournment.
Senator Ira I. Silverstein, Chicago, Illinois, presiding.
Prayer by Elder Mike Young, Main Street Church of the Living God, Decatur, Illinois.
Senator Cunningham led the Senate in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Senator Hunter moved that reading and approval of the Journal of Monday, May 25, 2015, be postponed, pending arrival of the printed Journal.
The motion prevailed.

REPORT RECEIVED

The Secretary placed before the Senate the following report:

Department of Juvenile Justice Quarterly Report, April 2, 2015, submitted by the Department of Juvenile Justice.

The foregoing report was ordered received and placed on file in the Secretary's Office.

LEGISLATIVE MEASURES FILED

The following Committee amendment to the House Bill listed below has been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Assignments:

Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 229

The following Floor amendments to the House Bills listed below have been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Assignments:

Floor Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 2569
Floor Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3237

The following Floor amendment to the Senate Bill listed below has been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Assignments:

Floor Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1046

JOINT ACTION MOTIONS FILED

The following Joint Action Motions to the Senate Bills listed below have been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Assignments:

Motion to Concur in House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 66
Motion to Concur in House Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 66
Motion to Concur in House Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 107
Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 202
Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 374

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

OFFICE OF THE SENATE PRESIDENT STATE OF ILLINOIS

JOHN J. CULLERTON
SENATE PRESIDENT

327 STATE CAPITOL
SPRINGFIELD, IL 62706
217-782-2728

[May 26, 2015]

May 26, 2015

Mr. Tim Anderson
Secretary of the Senate
Room 401 State House
Springfield, IL 62706

Dear Mr. Secretary:

Pursuant to Rule 3-2(c), I hereby appoint Senator Bill Cunningham to temporarily replace Senator Napoleon Harris, III as a member of the Senate Insurance Committee. This appointment is effective immediately and will automatically expire upon adjournment of the Senate Insurance Committee.

Sincerely,
s/John J. Cullerton
John J. Cullerton
Senate President

cc: Senate Minority Leader Christine Radogno

COMMUNICATIONS

**ILLINOIS STATE SENATE
DON HARMON
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE
39TH DISTRICT**

DISCLOSURE TO THE SENATE

Date: 5/21/15

Legislative Measure(s): HB 1361

Venue:

- Committee on _____
- Full Senate

Due to a potential conflict of interest (or the potential appearance thereof), I abstained from voting (or voted "present") on the above legislative measure(s).

Notwithstanding a potential conflict of interest (or the potential appearance thereof), I voted in favor of or against the above legislative measure(s) because I believe doing so is in the best interests of the State.

s/Don Harmon
Senator Don Harmon

**ILLINOIS STATE SENATE
DON HARMON
PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE
39TH DISTRICT**

DISCLOSURE TO THE SENATE

Date: 5/22/15

Legislative Measure(s): HB 3241

[May 26, 2015]

Venue:

- Committee on _____
 Full Senate

■ Due to a potential conflict of interest (or the potential appearance thereof), I abstained from voting (or voted “present”) on the above legislative measure(s).

Notwithstanding a potential conflict of interest (or the potential appearance thereof), I voted in favor of or against the above legislative measure(s) because I believe doing so is in the best interests of the State.

s/Don Harmon
 Senator Don Harmon

**ILLINOIS STATE SENATE
 DON HARMON
 PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE
 39TH DISTRICT**

DISCLOSURE TO THE SENATE

Date: 5/25/15

Legislative Measure(s): AM90025 &
 AM 990070

Venue:

- Committee on _____
 Full Senate

■ Due to a potential conflict of interest (or the potential appearance thereof), I abstained from voting (or voted “present”) on the above legislative measure(s).

Notwithstanding a potential conflict of interest (or the potential appearance thereof), I voted in favor of or against the above legislative measure(s) because I believe doing so is in the best interests of the State.

s/Don Harmon
 Senator Don Harmon

PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 588

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of Walter T. Perkins, Jr., of Harvard.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 589

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of George Dana Obermayer of McHenry.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 590

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of Kenneth “Alan” Risley III of Harvard.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 591

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of Isabelle D. Yunowich of Spring Grove.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 592

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:

[May 26, 2015]

Mourns the death of Richard James Shields of Harvard.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 593

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Mary Patricia "Pat" Duncan of McHenry.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 594

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of James August Glosson.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 595

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Martin Hedrich, Sr., of Crystal Lake.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 596

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Bradley David Adenau of Ringwood.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 597

Offered by Senator Althoff and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Thomas J. King of Harvard.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 598

Offered by Senator Koehler and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Warren Arthur Harris of Peoria.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 599

Offered by Senator McGuire and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Patrick M. Magosky of Joliet.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 600

Offered by Senator McGuire and all Senators:
Mourns the death of Kay F. Zigrossi.

By unanimous consent, the foregoing resolutions were referred to the Resolutions Consent Calendar.

Senator Collins offered the following Senate Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Assignments:

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 587

WHEREAS, The Illinois Film Production Services Tax Credit was established in order "to preserve and expand the existing human infrastructure for the motion picture industry in Illinois" and "to promote and encourage the training and hiring of Illinois residents who represent the diversity of the Illinois population"; the credit is calculated as a percentage of salaries paid to Illinois residents and payments made to Illinois vendors, with an additional credit available when the production company employs residents of high-poverty or high-unemployment areas; and

WHEREAS, Forty Acres and a Mule Filmworks, owned by renowned filmmaker Spike Lee, who is perhaps best known for his honest and compelling treatments of race in America, including "Do the Right Thing" and "Malcolm X", has applied for a Film Production Services Tax Credit for eligible expenses it expects to incur while producing the motion picture "Chiraq"; and

WHEREAS, Lee's film will be set in the Southside Chicago neighborhood of Englewood, a predominantly African-American community where unemployment stands at 21.3%, significantly above the national, State, and city averages; the production is expected to employ nearly 3,000 extras, 100 crew

[May 26, 2015]

members, and 20 interns, most of whom will be residents of Englewood and surrounding neighborhoods; contracts for services with local vendors will also benefit the homeowners, businesses, churches, and schools whose properties will make up 90% of the film's locations; and

WHEREAS, Illinois law requires companies applying for the Film Production Services Tax Credit to file diversity plans that include specific hiring goals for minority individuals and women and goals for contracting with vendors certified as disadvantaged business enterprises; the applicant must demonstrate a good-faith effort toward achieving those goals; and

WHEREAS, The production of "Chiraq" not only promises to employ, train, and impart valuable job experience to residents of a community buffeted by unemployment, depressed property values, and a chronic lack of investment, but to shine a spotlight on the scourge of violent crime that helps perpetuate the cycle of poverty and economic neglect and claims the lives of hundreds of fathers and mothers, sons and daughters, and brothers and sisters each year in Chicago; and

WHEREAS, Communities such as Englewood need better statistics, not better semantics, a commitment to people, not perceptions, and a focus on public safety and the public good, not merely public relations; the name "Chiraq" is a shocking but honest acknowledgement of the fear, pain, and hopelessness too many Illinoisans experience daily; and

WHEREAS, Spike Lee seeks to depict in art the human toll of violence in high-poverty neighborhoods and communities of color and to challenge society's acceptance of the unacceptable in its forgotten corners, a commendable goal in and of itself; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we urge the Department of Commerce and Economic Development to award an Illinois Film Production Services Tax Credit to Forty Acres and a Mule Filmworks, as long as it meets the requirements of the tax credit program, in the belief that the filming of "Chiraq" in Chicago will result in a positive economic impact on the State in general and on disadvantaged, high-unemployment communities in particular; and be it further

RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution be delivered to the director of the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Development and the director of the Illinois Film Office.

REPORT FROM STANDING COMMITTEE

Senator Haine, Chairperson of the Committee on Insurance, to which was referred the Motions to Concur with House Amendments to the following Senate Bills, reported that the Committee recommends do adopt:

Motion to Concur in House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 54; Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 750

Under the rules, the foregoing motions are eligible for consideration by the Senate.

Senator Althoff asked and obtained unanimous consent to recess for the purpose of a Republican caucus.

At the hour of 11:11 o'clock a.m., the Chair announced that the Senate stand at recess subject to the call of the Chair.

AFTER RECESS

At the hour of 12:11 o'clock p.m., the Senate resumed consideration of business.

Senator Silverstein, presiding.

[May 26, 2015]

READING BILL FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A SECOND TIME

On motion of Senator Koehler, **House Bill No. 3680** was taken up, read by title a second time and ordered to a third reading.

READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Murphy, **House Bill No. 3273** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 55; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Manar	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Martinez	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	McCann	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCarter	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hunter	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Koehler	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	Kotowski	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	LaHood	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator T. Cullerton, **House Bill No. 3334** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 53; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Manar	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Martinez	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	McCann	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	McCarter	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	McGuire	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	Morrison	Steans
Bush	Hunter	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Hutchinson	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Jones, E.	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	Koehler	Noland	Mr. President

[May 26, 2015]

Cullerton, T.	Kotowski	Nybo
Cunningham	LaHood	Oberweis
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator McCann, **House Bill No. 3398** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Manar	Rezin
Anderson	Haine	Martinez	Righter
Barickman	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Bennett	Harris	McCarter	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Holmes	McGuire	Steans
Bivins	Hunter	Morrison	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	
Duffy	Link	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Mulroe, **House Bill No. 3510** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Steans
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Van Pelt

Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Mulroe, **House Bill No. 3512** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Sullivan, **House Bill No. 3523** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Anderson	Forby	Manar	Righter
Barickman	Haine	Martinez	Rose
Bennett	Harmon	McCann	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Stadelman
Biss	Holmes	McConnaughay	Steans
Bivins	Hunter	McGuire	Sullivan
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Syverson
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Trotter
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Van Pelt

[May 26, 2015]

Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Mr. President
Connelly	LaHood	Noland	
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Nybo	
Cunningham	Lightford	Radogno	
Delgado	Link	Raoul	

The following voted present:

Oberweis

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).
Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Link, **House Bill No. 3531** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 46; NAYS 4.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Delgado	Landek	Radogno
Barickman	Forby	Lightford	Rezin
Bennett	Haine	Link	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harmon	Manar	Silverstein
Biss	Harris	Martinez	Stadelman
Brady	Hastings	McCann	Stears
Bush	Holmes	McCarter	Sullivan
Clayborne	Hunter	McConnaughay	Trotter
Collins	Hutchinson	McGuire	Van Pelt
Connelly	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	Koehler	Muñoz	
Cunningham	Kotowski	Noland	

The following voted in the negative:

Duffy	Rose
Nybo	Syverson

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).
Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Althoff, **House Bill No. 3533** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 55; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Link	Oberweis
Anderson	Haine	Luechtefeld	Radogno
Barickman	Harmon	Manar	Raoul

[May 26, 2015]

Bennett	Harris	Martinez	Rezin
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCann	Righter
Bivins	Holmes	McCarter	Rose
Brady	Hunter	McConaughay	Silverstein
Bush	Hutchinson	McGuire	Stadelman
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Morrison	Steans
Collins	Koehler	Mulroe	Sullivan
Connelly	Kotowski	Muñoz	Syverson
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Murphy	Trotter
Cunningham	Landek	Noland	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Nybo	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Delgado, **House Bill No. 3552** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 49; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Hastings	Martinez	Righter
Barickman	Holmes	McCann	Rose
Bennett	Hunter	McCarter	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Hutchinson	McConaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Jones, E.	McGuire	Steans
Brady	Koehler	Morrison	Sullivan
Bush	Kotowski	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	LaHood	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Landek	Noland	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	Lightford	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Link	Radogno	
Delgado	Luechtefeld	Raoul	
Harmon	Manar	Rezin	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Connelly, **House Bill No. 3592** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Silverstein

[May 26, 2015]

Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Steans
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Syverson, **House Bill No. 3616** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Althoff, **House Bill No. 3622** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Manar	Rezin
Anderson	Forby	Martinez	Righter
Barickman	Haine	McCann	Rose
Bennett	Harris	McCarter	Silverstein

Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Holmes	McGuire	Stears
Bivins	Hunter	Morrison	Sullivan
Brady	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Bush	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Clayborne	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Collins	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Connelly	Landek	Nybo	
Cullerton, T.	Lightford	Oberweis	
Cunningham	Link	Radogno	
Delgado	Luechtefeld	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Steans, **House Bill No. 3624** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 55; NAY 1; Present 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Delgado	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Duffy	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Forby	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Haine	McCann	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Rose
Biss	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Bivins	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Stears
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Connelly	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Lightford	Oberweis	

The following voted in the negative:

Martinez

The following voted present:

Harmon

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

Senator Martinez asked and obtained unanimous consent for the Journal to reflect her intention to have voted in the affirmative on **House Bill No. 3624**.

On motion of Senator McCann, **House Bill No. 3664** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

[May 26, 2015]

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Steans
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Noland, **House Bill No. 3670** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Cunningham, **House Bill No. 3672** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Manar	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Martinez	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCarter	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McGuire	Steans
Brady	Hunter	Morrison	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	
Duffy	Link	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Bertino-Tarrant, **House Bill No. 3692** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 55; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis
Anderson	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Barickman	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Bennett	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bertino-Tarrant	Harmon	McCann	Righter
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Rose
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

[May 26, 2015]

HOUSE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **House Bill No. 3693** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Senator Clayborne offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO HOUSE BILL 3693

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend House Bill 3693 on page 2, by replacing lines 7 through 9 with "coterminous, municipality, (2) is located within St. Clair County, and (3) contains a territory".

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the bill, as amended, was ordered to a third reading.

READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **House Bill No. 3693** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 52; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Martinez	Righter
Anderson	Haine	McCann	Rose
Bennett	Harmon	McCarter	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Hastings	McGuire	Steans
Bivins	Holmes	Morrison	Sullivan
Brady	Hunter	Mulroe	Syverson
Bush	Hutchinson	Muñoz	Trotter
Clayborne	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Collins	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Connelly	LaHood	Oberweis	
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Radogno	
Cunningham	Lightford	Raoul	
Delgado	Link	Rezin	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

On motion of Senator Hastings, **House Bill No. 3721** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 54; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Lightford	Raoul
---------	-------	-----------	-------

[May 26, 2015]

Anderson	Forby	Link	Rezin
Bennett	Haine	Luechtefeld	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Biss	Harris	McCann	Silverstein
Bivins	Hastings	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Holmes	McGuire	Steans
Bush	Hunter	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	LaHood	Oberweis	
Delgado	Landek	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Link, **House Bill No. 3746** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 53; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Haine	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Bennett	Harmon	Manar	Rezin
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	Martinez	Righter
Biss	Hastings	McCann	Silverstein
Brady	Holmes	McCarter	Stadelman
Bush	Hunter	McConnaughay	Steans
Clayborne	Hutchinson	McGuire	Sullivan
Collins	Jones, E.	Morrison	Trotter
Connelly	Koehler	Mulroe	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	Kotowski	Muñoz	Mr. President
Cunningham	LaHood	Murphy	
Delgado	Landek	Noland	
Duffy	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Biss, **House Bill No. 3766** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Rezin
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Righter

[May 26, 2015]

Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rose
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Stadelman
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Steans
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Sullivan
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Syverson
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Trotter
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Van Pelt
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Mr. President
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Oberweis	
Cunningham	Landek	Radogno	
Delgado	Lightford	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Luechtefeld, **House Bill No. 3797** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Manar	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Martinez	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCarter	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McGuire	Steans
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Nybo	
Cunningham	Lightford	Oberweis	
Delgado	Link	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Hastings, **House Bill No. 3812** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Luechtefeld	Raoul
---------	-------	-------------	-------

[May 26, 2015]

Anderson	Forby	Manar	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Martinez	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Biss	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Bivins	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Nybo	
Cunningham	Lightford	Oberweis	
Delgado	Link	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Harris, **House Bill No. 3840** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Bertino-Tarrant, **House Bill No. 3887** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

[May 26, 2015]

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stears
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Sullivan, **House Bill No. 3895** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Anderson	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Barickman	Harris	McCann	Rose
Bennett	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Hunter	McGuire	Stears
Bivins	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Brady	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Bush	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Clayborne	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Collins	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Connelly	Landek	Nybo	
Cullerton, T.	Lightford	Oberweis	
Cunningham	Link	Radogno	
Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

On motion of Senator E. Jones III, **House Bill No. 3882** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 53; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Delgado	Lightford	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Link	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Luechtefeld	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	Manar	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	Martinez	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Steans
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Van Pelt
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Mr. President
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	
Cunningham	Landek	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Sullivan, **House Bill No. 3896** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Righter
Barickman	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bennett	Harris	McCarter	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Holmes	McGuire	Steans
Bivins	Hunter	Morrison	Sullivan
Brady	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Bush	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Trotter
Clayborne	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Collins	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	
Duffy	Link	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Trotter, **House Bill No. 3507** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 35; NAYS 21.

[May 26, 2015]

The following voted in the affirmative:

Bennett	Harmon	Link	Sandoval
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	Manar	Silverstein
Biss	Holmes	Martinez	Stadelman
Bush	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Clayborne	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Collins	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	Koehler	Muñoz	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Raoul	

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff	Duffy	McConnaughay	Righter
Anderson	LaHood	Murphy	Rose
Barickman	Landek	Nybo	Syverson
Bivins	Luechtefeld	Oberweis	
Brady	McCann	Radogno	
Connelly	McCarter	Rezin	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Righter, **House Bill No. 3897** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Manar	Righter
Anderson	Haine	Martinez	Rose
Barickman	Harris	McCarter	Sandoval
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Biss	Holmes	McGuire	Stadelman
Bivins	Hunter	Morrison	Steans
Brady	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Sullivan
Bush	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Syverson
Clayborne	Koehler	Murphy	Trotter
Collins	Kotowski	Noland	Van Pelt
Connelly	LaHood	Nybo	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Oberweis	
Cunningham	Lightford	Radogno	
Delgado	Link	Raoul	
Duffy	Luechtefeld	Rezin	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Raoul, **House Bill No. 3933** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Righter
Barickman	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bennett	Harris	McCarter	Sandoval
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Biss	Holmes	McGuire	Stadelman
Bivins	Hunter	Morrison	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	
Duffy	Link	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Clayborne, **House Bill No. 4007** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 54; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Harmon	Manar	Rezin
Anderson	Harris	Martinez	Righter
Barickman	Hastings	McCann	Rose
Bennett	Holmes	McCarter	Sandoval
Bertino-Tarrant	Hunter	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Biss	Hutchinson	McGuire	Stadelman
Bivins	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Steans
Brady	Koehler	Muñoz	Sullivan
Clayborne	Kotowski	Murphy	Syverson
Collins	LaHood	Noland	Trotter
Connelly	Landek	Nybo	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	Lightford	Oberweis	Mr. President
Cunningham	Link	Radogno	
Duffy	Luechtefeld	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Muñoz, **House Bill No. 4018** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

[May 26, 2015]

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Barickman	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bennett	Harris	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Biss	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Bivins	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Connelly	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Lightford	Oberweis	
Delgado	Link	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Righter, **House Bill No. 4107** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCarter	Sandoval
Biss	Hastings	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McGuire	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	Morrison	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Rezin, **House Bill No. 4130** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Sandoval
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McConaughay	Steans
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 601

Offered by Senator Landek and all Senators:

Mourns the death of Joseph "Mayor Moe" W. Strzelczyk.

By unanimous consent, the foregoing resolution was referred to the Resolutions Consent Calendar.

Senator Bush offered the following Senate Joint Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Assignments:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 28

WHEREAS, Illinois has more units of local government than any state in the country, numbered at over 7,000; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly finds that consolidation of units of local government will result in cost-saving for the taxpayers; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly finds that consolidation of units of local government shall only occur with no reduction in the quality of service to taxpayers; and

WHEREAS, Lake County would benefit from a study assessing how specific units of local government may be consolidated or dissolved; therefore, be it

[May 26, 2015]

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that the Working Group on Local Government Consolidation is created to identify ways to eliminate barriers to consolidation and resolve certain discrepancies in the Illinois statutes governing local governments and special districts in Lake County; and be it further

RESOLVED, The Working Group shall consist of the following members:

- (1) two members of the Senate appointed by the President of the Senate;
- (2) two members of the Senate appointed by the Senate Minority Leader;
- (3) two members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House;
- (4) two members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Minority Leader of the House;
- (5) one member selected from recommendations provided by an association representing counties appointed by the Governor;
- (6) one member selected from recommendations provided by an association representing municipalities appointed by the Governor;
- (7) one member selected by recommendations provided by an association representing townships appointed by the Governor;
- (8) one member selected by recommendations provided by an association representing park districts appointed by the Governor;
- (9) one member selected by recommendations provided by an association representing school districts appointed by the Governor;
- (10) one member selected by recommendations provided by an association representing fire protection districts appointed by the Governor;
- (11) one member selected by recommendations provided by an association representing labor unions appointed by the Governor; and
- (12) one member who serves as an elected officer of a local governmental entity in Illinois other than a county, municipality, township, or park district appointed by the Governor; and be it further

RESOLVED, The Working Group shall meet periodically but no less than once every 3 months; the group shall meet in accordance with the requirements of the Open Meetings Act; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Illinois Department of Revenue shall provide administrative support and technical assistance to the Working Group; and be it further

RESOLVED, The Working Group shall accept testimony and evidence from third parties, including comments from the public at large, in crafting their report to the General Assembly; and be it further

RESOLVED, That Working Group shall submit their report to the General Assembly no later than October 1, 2016; the report shall include findings including, but not limited to, the following issues as they occur in Lake County:

- (1) an assessment of the number of units of local government and the costs associated with each unit, including salaries, expenses, and operating costs;
 - (2) an assessment of the units of local government are engaged in intergovernmental cooperation efforts and what, if any, cost savings such partnerships have resulted in;
 - (3) recommendations for the elimination of barriers to consolidation;
 - (4) recommendations for the streamlining or consolidation of units of local government;
- and
- (5) recommendations for intergovernmental cooperation potential.

HOUSE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator T. Cullerton, **House Bill No. 4029** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Floor Amendment Nos. 2 and 3 were postponed in the Committee on Agriculture.

Senator T. Cullerton offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

[May 26, 2015]

AMENDMENT NO. 4 TO HOUSE BILL 4029

AMENDMENT NO. 4. Amend House Bill 4029, AS AMENDED, by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Animal Welfare Act is amended by changing Sections 2, 3.4, and 10 and by adding Sections 3.6 and 3.7 as follows:

(225 ILCS 605/2) (from Ch. 8, par. 302)

Sec. 2. Definitions. As used in this Act unless the context otherwise requires:

"Department" means the Illinois Department of Agriculture.

"Director" means the Director of the Illinois Department of Agriculture.

"Pet shop operator" means any person who sells, offers to sell, exchange, or offers for adoption with or without charge or donation dogs, cats, birds, fish, reptiles, or other animals customarily obtained as pets in this State. However, a person who sells only such animals that he has produced and raised shall not be considered a pet shop operator under this Act, and a veterinary hospital or clinic operated by a veterinarian or veterinarians licensed under the Veterinary Medicine and Surgery Practice Act of 2004 shall not be considered a pet shop operator under this Act.

"Dog dealer" means any person who sells, offers to sell, exchange, or offers for adoption with or without charge or donation dogs in this State. However, a person who sells only dogs that he has produced and raised shall not be considered a dog dealer under this Act, and a veterinary hospital or clinic operated by a veterinarian or veterinarians licensed under the Veterinary Medicine and Surgery Practice Act of 2004 shall not be considered a dog dealer under this Act.

"Secretary of Agriculture" or "Secretary" means the Secretary of Agriculture of the United States Department of Agriculture.

"Person" means any person, firm, corporation, partnership, association or other legal entity, any public or private institution, the State of Illinois, or any municipal corporation or political subdivision of the State.

"Kennel operator" means any person who operates an establishment, other than an animal control facility, veterinary hospital, or animal shelter, where dogs or dogs and cats are maintained for boarding, training or similar purposes for a fee or compensation; or who sells, offers to sell, exchange, or offers for adoption with or without charge dogs or dogs and cats which he has produced and raised. A person who owns, has possession of, or harbors 5 or less females capable of reproduction shall not be considered a kennel operator.

"Cattery operator" means any person who operates an establishment, other than an animal control facility or animal shelter, where cats are maintained for boarding, training or similar purposes for a fee or compensation; or who sells, offers to sell, exchange, or offers for adoption with or without charges cats which he has produced and raised. A person who owns, has possession of, or harbors 5 or less females capable of reproduction shall not be considered a cattery operator.

"Animal control facility" means any facility operated by or under contract for the State, county, or any municipal corporation or political subdivision of the State for the purpose of impounding or harboring seized, stray, homeless, abandoned or unwanted dogs, cats, and other animals. "Animal control facility" also means any veterinary hospital or clinic operated by a veterinarian or veterinarians licensed under the Veterinary Medicine and Surgery Practice Act of 2004 which operates for the above mentioned purpose in addition to its customary purposes.

"Animal shelter" means a facility operated, owned, or maintained by a duly incorporated humane society, animal welfare society, or other non-profit organization for the purpose of providing for and promoting the welfare, protection, and humane treatment of animals. "Animal shelter" also means any veterinary hospital or clinic operated by a veterinarian or veterinarians licensed under the Veterinary Medicine and Surgery Practice Act of 2004 which operates for the above mentioned purpose in addition to its customary purposes.

"Foster home" means an entity that accepts the responsibility for stewardship of animals that are the obligation of an animal shelter, not to exceed 4 animals at any given time. Permits to operate as a "foster home" shall be issued through the animal shelter.

"Guard dog service" means an entity that, for a fee, furnishes or leases guard or sentry dogs for the protection of life or property. A person is not a guard dog service solely because he or she owns a dog and uses it to guard his or her home, business, or farmland.

"Guard dog" means a type of dog used primarily for the purpose of defending, patrolling, or protecting property or life at a commercial establishment other than a farm. "Guard dog" does not include stock dogs used primarily for handling and controlling livestock or farm animals, nor does it include personally owned pets that also provide security.

"Sentry dog" means a dog trained to work without supervision in a fenced facility other than a farm, and to deter or detain unauthorized persons found within the facility.

"Probationary status" means the 12-month period following a series of violations of this Act during which any further violation shall result in an automatic 12-month suspension of licensure.

"Owner" means any person having a right of property in an animal, who keeps or harbors an animal, who has an animal in his or her care or acts as its custodian, or who knowingly permits a dog to remain on any premises occupied by him or her. "Owner" does not include a feral cat caretaker participating in a trap, spay/neuter, return or release program.

(Source: P.A. 95-550, eff. 6-1-08.)

(225 ILCS 605/3.4)

Sec. 3.4. Transfer Release of animals between shelters. An animal shelter or animal control facility may not release any animal to an individual representing an animal shelter, unless (1) the recipient animal shelter has been licensed or has a foster care permit issued by the Department or (2) the individual is a representative of a not-for-profit, out-of-State organization who is transferring the animal out of the State of Illinois.

(Source: P.A. 96-314, eff. 8-11-09.)

(225 ILCS 605/3.6 new)

Sec. 3.6. Acceptance of stray dogs and cats.

(a) No animal shelter may accept a stray dog or cat unless the animal is reported by the shelter to the animal control or law enforcement of the county in which the animal is found by the next business day. An animal shelter may accept animals from: (1) the owner of the animal where the owner signs a relinquishment form which states he or she is the owner of the animal; (2) an animal shelter licensed under this Act; or (3) an out-of-state animal control facility, rescue group, or animal shelter that is duly licensed in their state or is a not-for-profit organization.

(b) When stray dogs and cats are accepted by an animal shelter, they must be scanned for the presence of a microchip and examined for other currently-acceptable methods of identification, including, but not limited to, identification tags, tattoos, and rabies license tags. The examination for identification shall be done within 24 hours after the intake of each dog or cat. The animal shelter shall notify the owner and transfer any dog with an identified owner to the animal control or law enforcement agency in the jurisdiction in which it was found or the local animal control agency for redemption.

(c) If no transfer can occur, the animal shelter shall make every reasonable attempt to contact the owner, agent, or caretaker as soon as possible. The animal shelter shall give notice of not less than 7 business days to the owner, agent, or caretaker prior to disposal of the animal. The notice shall be mailed to the last known address of the owner, agent, or caretaker. Testimony of the animal shelter, or its authorized agent, who mails the notice shall be evidence of the receipt of the notice by the owner, agent, or caretaker of the animal. A mailed notice shall remain the primary means of owner, agent, or caretaker contact; however, the animal shelter shall also attempt to contact the owner, agent, or caretaker by any other contact information, such as by telephone or email address, provided by the microchip or other method of identification found on the dog or cat. If the dog or cat has been microchipped and the primary contact listed by the chip manufacturer cannot be located or refuses to reclaim the dog or cat, an attempt shall be made to contact any secondary contacts listed by the chip manufacturer prior to adoption, transfer, or euthanization. Prior to transferring any stray dog or cat to another humane shelter or rescue group or euthanization, the dog or cat shall be scanned again for the presence of a microchip and examined for other means of identification. If a second scan provides the same identifying information as the initial intake scan and the owner, agent, or caretaker has not been located or refuses to reclaim the dog or cat, the animal shelter may proceed with adoption, transfer, or euthanization.

(d) When stray dogs and cats are accepted by an animal shelter and no owner can be identified, the shelter shall hold the animal for the period specified in local ordinance prior to adoption, transfer, or euthanasia. The animal shelter shall allow access to the public to view the animals housed there. If a dog is identified by an owner who desires to make redemption of it, the dog shall be transferred to the local animal control for redemption. If no transfer can occur, the animal shelter shall proceed pursuant to Section 3.7. Upon lapse of the hold period specified in local ordinance and no owner can be identified, ownership of the animal, by operation of law, transfers to the shelter that has custody of the animal.

(e) No representative of an animal shelter may enter private property and remove an animal without permission from the property owner and animal owner, nor can any representative of an animal shelter direct another individual to enter private property and remove an animal unless that individual is an approved humane investigator (approved by the Department) operating pursuant to the provisions of the Humane Care for Animals Act.

(f) Nothing in this Section limits an animal shelter and an animal control facility who, through mutual agreement, wish to enter into an agreement for animal control, boarding, holding, or other services provided that the agreement requires parties adhere to the provisions of the Animal Control Act, the Humane Euthanasia in Animal Shelters Act, and the Humane Care for Animals Act.

(225 ILCS 605/3.7 new)

Sec. 3.7. Redemption of stray dogs and cats from animal shelters. Any owner, agent, or caretaker wishing to make redemption of a dog or cat held by a shelter under the provisions of subsection (c) of Section 3.6 of this Act may do so by doing the following:

(1) paying the shelter for the board of the dog or cat for the period the shelter was in possession of the animal; the daily boarding rate shall not exceed the daily boarding rate of the animal control agency in the jurisdiction in which the shelter is located; and

(2) paying the shelter for reasonable costs of veterinary care, if applicable.

The shelter has the option to waive any fees or veterinary costs.

(225 ILCS 605/10) (from Ch. 8, par. 310)

Sec. 10. Grounds for discipline. The Department may refuse to issue or renew or may suspend or revoke a license on any one or more of the following grounds:

a. Material misstatement in the application for original license or in the application for any renewal license under this Act;

b. A violation of this Act or of any regulations or rules issued pursuant thereto;

c. Aiding or abetting another in the violation of this Act or of any regulation or rule issued pursuant thereto;

d. Allowing one's license under this Act to be used by an unlicensed person;

e. Conviction of any crime an essential element of which is misstatement, fraud or dishonesty or conviction of any felony, if the Department determines, after investigation, that such person has not been sufficiently rehabilitated to warrant the public trust;

f. Conviction of a violation of any law of Illinois except minor violations such as traffic violations and violations not related to the disposition of dogs, cats and other animals or any rule or regulation of the Department relating to dogs or cats and sale thereof;

g. Making substantial misrepresentations or false promises of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce in connection with the business of a licensee under this Act;

h. Pursuing a continued course of misrepresentation of or making false promises through advertising, salesman, agents or otherwise in connection with the business of a licensee under this Act;

i. Failure to possess the necessary qualifications or to meet the requirements of the Act for the issuance or holding a license; or

j. Proof that the licensee is guilty of gross negligence, incompetency, or cruelty with regard to animals.

The Department may refuse to issue or may suspend the license of any person who fails to file a return, or to pay the tax, penalty or interest shown in a filed return, or to pay any final assessment of tax, penalty or interest, as required by any tax Act administered by the Illinois Department of Revenue, until such time as the requirements of any such tax Act are satisfied.

The Department may order any licensee to cease operation for a period not to exceed 72 hours to correct deficiencies in order to meet licensing requirements.

If the Department revokes a license under this Act at an administrative hearing, the licensee and any individuals associated with that license shall be prohibited from applying for or obtaining a license under this Act for a minimum of 3 years.

(Source: P.A. 89-178, eff. 7-19-95; 90-385, eff. 8-15-97; 90-403, eff. 8-15-97.)"

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the bill, as amended, was ordered to a third reading.

READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator T. Cullerton, **House Bill No. 4029** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

[May 26, 2015]

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Sandoval
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Steans
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendments adopted thereto.

On motion of Senator Delgado, **House Bill No. 163** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Haine	Manar	Righter
Anderson	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Barickman	Harris	McCann	Sandoval
Bennett	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	Landek	Oberweis	
Cunningham	Lightford	Radogno	
Delgado	Link	Raoul	
Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Trotter, **House Bill No. 198** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 55; NAY 1.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Anderson	Forby	Link	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Manar	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	Martinez	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCann	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Cullerton, T.	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cunningham	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Delgado	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Duffy	Lightford	Oberweis	

The following voted in the negative:

McCarter

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator McConaughay, **House Bill No. 362** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

[May 26, 2015]

On motion of Senator Delgado, **House Bill No. 806** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Rose, **House Bill No. 3269** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

[May 26, 2015]

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Rose, **House Bill No. 3375** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Stears
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Steans, **House Bill No. 1336** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 55; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Barickman	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bennett	Harris	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Biss	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Stears
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cunningham	Landek	Noland	Van Pelt
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	Mr. President
Duffy	Link	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

[May 26, 2015]

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

On motion of Senator Biss, **House Bill No. 1359** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Rezin
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Righter
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rose
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Sandoval
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	McConaughay	Stadelman
Bivins	Holmes	McGuire	Steans
Brady	Hunter	Morrison	Sullivan
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Oberweis	
Cunningham	Landek	Radogno	
Delgado	Lightford	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Muñoz, **House Bill No. 1660** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Barickman	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bennett	Harris	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Biss	Holmes	McConaughay	Silverstein
Bivins	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Connelly	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	
Duffy	Link	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

Senator T. Cullerton asked and obtained unanimous consent for the Journal to reflect his intention to have voted in the affirmative on **House Bill No. 1660**.

On motion of Senator Althoff, **House Bill No. 3104** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 52; NAYS 2.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Haine	Luechtefeld	Rose
Anderson	Harmon	Martinez	Sandoval
Barickman	Harris	McCann	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Biss	Holmes	McGuire	Steans
Bivins	Hunter	Mulroe	Sullivan
Brady	Hutchinson	Muñoz	Syverson
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Murphy	Trotter
Collins	Koehler	Noland	Van Pelt
Connelly	Kotowski	Oberweis	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Radogno	
Cunningham	Landek	Raoul	
Delgado	Lightford	Rezin	
Forby	Link	Righter	

The following voted in the negative:

McCarter
Morrison

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Hastings, **House Bill No. 3122** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Raoul
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Righter
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Sandoval
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan

[May 26, 2015]

Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Althoff, **House Bill No. 3123** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Barickman	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	
Duffy	Link	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Harris, **House Bill No. 3133** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Righter
Barickman	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bennett	Harris	McCann	Sandoval
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Biss	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Bivins	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan

Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Clayborne	Koehler	Murphy	Trotter
Collins	Kotowski	Noland	Van Pelt
Connelly	LaHood	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	
Duffy	Link	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Righter, **House Bill No. 3141** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Link, **House Bill No. 3149** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 36; NAYS 18.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Harmon	Link	Silverstein
Bennett	Harris	Manar	Stadelman
Biss	Hastings	Martinez	Steans
Clayborne	Hunter	McGuire	Trotter
Collins	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Mr. President
Cunningham	Koehler	Noland	

[May 26, 2015]

Delgado	Kotowski	Oberweis
Forby	Landek	Raoul
Haine	Lightford	Sandoval

The following voted in the negative:

Anderson	Duffy	McConnaughay	Richter
Barickman	LaHood	Murphy	Rose
Bivins	Luechtefeld	Nybo	Syverson
Brady	McCann	Radogno	
Connelly	McCarter	Rezin	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Kotowski, **House Bill No. 3158** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Richter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Stears
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	
Duffy	Link	Radogno	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

HOUSE BILL RECALLED

On motion of Senator Morrison, **House Bill No. 3159** was recalled from the order of third reading to the order of second reading.

Floor Amendment No. 1 was withdrawn by the sponsor.

Senator Morrison offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO HOUSE BILL 3159

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend House Bill 3159 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The School Code is amended by changing Section 19-1 as follows:

[May 26, 2015]

(105 ILCS 5/19-1)

Sec. 19-1. Debt limitations of school districts.

(a) School districts shall not be subject to the provisions limiting their indebtedness prescribed in "An Act to limit the indebtedness of counties having a population of less than 500,000 and townships, school districts and other municipal corporations having a population of less than 300,000", approved February 15, 1928, as amended.

No school districts maintaining grades K through 8 or 9 through 12 shall become indebted in any manner or for any purpose to an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% on the value of the taxable property therein to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes or, until January 1, 1983, if greater, the sum that is produced by multiplying the school district's 1978 equalized assessed valuation by the debt limitation percentage in effect on January 1, 1979, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness.

No school districts maintaining grades K through 12 shall become indebted in any manner or for any purpose to an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 13.8% on the value of the taxable property therein to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes or, until January 1, 1983, if greater, the sum that is produced by multiplying the school district's 1978 equalized assessed valuation by the debt limitation percentage in effect on January 1, 1979, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness.

No partial elementary unit district, as defined in Article 11E of this Code, shall become indebted in any manner or for any purpose in an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property of the entire district, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, plus an amount, including existing indebtedness, in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property of that portion of the district included in the elementary and high school classification, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes. Moreover, no partial elementary unit district, as defined in Article 11E of this Code, shall become indebted on account of bonds issued by the district for high school purposes in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property of the entire district, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, nor shall the district become indebted on account of bonds issued by the district for elementary purposes in the aggregate exceeding 6.9% of the value of the taxable property for that portion of the district included in the elementary and high school classification, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes.

Notwithstanding the provisions of any other law to the contrary, in any case in which the voters of a school district have approved a proposition for the issuance of bonds of such school district at an election held prior to January 1, 1979, and all of the bonds approved at such election have not been issued, the debt limitation applicable to such school district during the calendar year 1979 shall be computed by multiplying the value of taxable property therein, including personal property, as ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness, by the percentage limitation applicable to such school district under the provisions of this subsection (a).

(b) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, additional indebtedness may be incurred in an amount not to exceed the estimated cost of acquiring or improving school sites or constructing and equipping additional building facilities under the following conditions:

(1) Whenever the enrollment of students for the next school year is estimated by the board of education to increase over the actual present enrollment by not less than 35% or by not less than 200 students or the actual present enrollment of students has increased over the previous school year by not less than 35% or by not less than 200 students and the board of education determines that additional school sites or building facilities are required as a result of such increase in enrollment; and

(2) When the Regional Superintendent of Schools having jurisdiction over the school district and the State Superintendent of Education concur in such enrollment projection or increase and approve the need for such additional school sites or building facilities and the estimated cost thereof; and

(3) When the voters in the school district approve a proposition for the issuance of bonds for the purpose of acquiring or improving such needed school sites or constructing and equipping such needed additional building facilities at an election called and held for that purpose. Notice of such an election shall state that the amount of indebtedness proposed to be incurred would exceed the debt limitation otherwise applicable to the school district. The ballot for such proposition shall state what percentage of the equalized assessed valuation will be outstanding in bonds if the proposed issuance of bonds is approved by the voters; or

(4) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection (b),

if the school board determines that additional facilities are needed to provide a quality educational program and not less than 2/3 of those voting in an election called by the school board on the question approve the issuance of bonds for the construction of such facilities, the school district may issue bonds for this purpose; or

(5) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraphs (1) through (3) of this subsection (b), if (i) the school district has previously availed itself of the provisions of paragraph (4) of this subsection (b) to enable it to issue bonds, (ii) the voters of the school district have not defeated a proposition for the issuance of bonds since the referendum described in paragraph (4) of this subsection (b) was held, (iii) the school board determines that additional facilities are needed to provide a quality educational program, and (iv) a majority of those voting in an election called by the school board on the question approve the issuance of bonds for the construction of such facilities, the school district may issue bonds for this purpose.

In no event shall the indebtedness incurred pursuant to this subsection (b) and the existing indebtedness of the school district exceed 15% of the value of the taxable property therein to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes, previous to the incurring of such indebtedness or, until January 1, 1983, if greater, the sum that is produced by multiplying the school district's 1978 equalized assessed valuation by the debt limitation percentage in effect on January 1, 1979.

The indebtedness provided for by this subsection (b) shall be in addition to and in excess of any other debt limitation.

(c) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, in any case in which a public question for the issuance of bonds of a proposed school district maintaining grades kindergarten through 12 received at least 60% of the valid ballots cast on the question at an election held on or prior to November 8, 1994, and in which the bonds approved at such election have not been issued, the school district pursuant to the requirements of Section 11A-10 (now repealed) may issue the total amount of bonds approved at such election for the purpose stated in the question.

(d) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, a school district that meets all the criteria set forth in paragraphs (1) and (2) of this subsection (d) may incur an additional indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$4,500,000, even though the amount of the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (d), when incurred and added to the aggregate amount of indebtedness of the district existing immediately prior to the district incurring the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (d), causes the aggregate indebtedness of the district to exceed the debt limitation otherwise applicable to that district under subsection (a):

(1) The additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (d) is incurred by the school district through the issuance of bonds under and in accordance with Section 17-2.11a for the purpose of replacing a school building which, because of mine subsidence damage, has been closed as provided in paragraph (2) of this subsection (d) or through the issuance of bonds under and in accordance with Section 19-3 for the purpose of increasing the size of, or providing for additional functions in, such replacement school buildings, or both such purposes.

(2) The bonds issued by the school district as provided in paragraph (1) above are issued for the purposes of construction by the school district of a new school building pursuant to Section 17-2.11, to replace an existing school building that, because of mine subsidence damage, is closed as of the end of the 1992-93 school year pursuant to action of the regional superintendent of schools of the educational service region in which the district is located under Section 3-14.22 or are issued for the purpose of increasing the size of, or providing for additional functions in, the new school building being constructed to replace a school building closed as the result of mine subsidence damage, or both such purposes.

(e) (Blank).

(f) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, bonds in not to exceed the aggregate amount of \$5,500,000 and issued by a school district meeting the following criteria shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any heretofore or hereafter imposed statutory limitation as to indebtedness:

(1) At the time of the sale of such bonds, the board of education of the district shall have determined by resolution that the enrollment of students in the district is projected to increase by not less than 7% during each of the next succeeding 2 school years.

(2) The board of education shall also determine by resolution that the improvements to be financed with the proceeds of the bonds are needed because of the projected enrollment increases.

(3) The board of education shall also determine by resolution that the projected

increases in enrollment are the result of improvements made or expected to be made to passenger rail facilities located in the school district.

Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, a school district that has availed itself of the provisions of this subsection (f) prior to July 22, 2004 (the effective date of Public Act 93-799) may also issue bonds approved by referendum up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 25% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the conditions set forth in items (1), (2), and (3) of this subsection (f) are met.

(g) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or any other law, bonds in not to exceed an aggregate amount of 25% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property of a school district and issued by a school district meeting the criteria in paragraphs (i) through (iv) of this subsection shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued pursuant to resolution of the school board in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any statutory limitation of indebtedness heretofore or hereafter imposed:

(i) The bonds are issued for the purpose of constructing a new high school building to replace two adjacent existing buildings which together house a single high school, each of which is more than 65 years old, and which together are located on more than 10 acres and less than 11 acres of property.

(ii) At the time the resolution authorizing the issuance of the bonds is adopted, the cost of constructing a new school building to replace the existing school building is less than 60% of the cost of repairing the existing school building.

(iii) The sale of the bonds occurs before July 1, 1997.

(iv) The school district issuing the bonds is a unit school district located in a county of less than 70,000 and more than 50,000 inhabitants, which has an average daily attendance of less than 1,500 and an equalized assessed valuation of less than \$29,000,000.

(h) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1998, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 27.6% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 of less than \$24,000,000;

(ii) The bonds are issued for the capital improvement, renovation, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing school buildings of the district, all of which buildings were originally constructed not less than 40 years ago;

(iii) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after March 19, 1996; and

(iv) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(i) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1998, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 27% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 of less than \$44,600,000;

(ii) The bonds are issued for the capital improvement, renovation, rehabilitation, or replacement of existing school buildings of the district, all of which existing buildings were originally constructed not less than 80 years ago;

(iii) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after December 31, 1996; and

(iv) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(j) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1999, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 27% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 of less than \$140,000,000 and a best 3 months average daily attendance for the 1995-96 school year of at least 2,800;

(ii) The bonds are issued to purchase a site and build and equip a new high school, and the school district's existing high school was originally constructed not less than 35 years prior to the sale of the bonds;

(iii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the board of education determines by

resolution that a new high school is needed because of projected enrollment increases;

(iv) At least 60% of those voting in an election held after December 31, 1996 approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds; and

(v) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(k) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section, a school district that meets all the criteria set forth in paragraphs (1) through (4) of this subsection (k) may issue bonds to incur an additional indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$4,000,000 even though the amount of the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (k), when incurred and added to the aggregate amount of indebtedness of the school district existing immediately prior to the school district incurring such additional indebtedness, causes the aggregate indebtedness of the school district to exceed or increases the amount by which the aggregate indebtedness of the district already exceeds the debt limitation otherwise applicable to that school district under subsection (a):

(1) the school district is located in 2 counties, and a referendum to authorize the additional indebtedness was approved by a majority of the voters of the school district voting on the proposition to authorize that indebtedness;

(2) the additional indebtedness is for the purpose of financing a multi-purpose room addition to the existing high school;

(3) the additional indebtedness, together with the existing indebtedness of the school district, shall not exceed 17.4% of the value of the taxable property in the school district, to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes; and

(4) the bonds evidencing the additional indebtedness are issued, if at all, within 120 days of the effective date of this amendatory Act of 1998.

(l) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 2000, a school district maintaining grades kindergarten through 8 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 15% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) the district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1996 of less than \$10,000,000;

(ii) the bonds are issued for capital improvement, renovation, rehabilitation, or replacement of one or more school buildings of the district, which buildings were originally constructed not less than 70 years ago;

(iii) the voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held on or after March 17, 1998; and

(iv) the bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(m) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until January 1, 1999, an elementary school district maintaining grades K through 8 may issue bonds up to an amount, excluding existing indebtedness, not exceeding 18% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 1995 or less than \$7,700,000;

(ii) The school district operates 2 elementary attendance centers that until 1976 were operated as the attendance centers of 2 separate and distinct school districts;

(iii) The bonds are issued for the construction of a new elementary school building to replace an existing multi-level elementary school building of the school district that is not handicapped accessible at all levels and parts of which were constructed more than 75 years ago;

(iv) The voters of the school district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after July 1, 1998; and

(v) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(n) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section or any other provisions of this Section or of any other law, a school district that meets all of the criteria set forth in paragraphs (i) through (vi) of this subsection (n) may incur additional indebtedness by the issuance of bonds in an amount not exceeding the amount certified by the Capital Development Board to the school district as provided in paragraph (iii) of this subsection (n), even though the amount of the additional indebtedness so authorized, when incurred and added to the aggregate amount of indebtedness of the district existing immediately prior to the district incurring the additional indebtedness authorized by this subsection (n), causes the aggregate indebtedness of the district to exceed the debt limitation otherwise applicable by law to that district:

(i) The school district applies to the State Board of Education for a school

construction project grant and submits a district facilities plan in support of its application pursuant to Section 5-20 of the School Construction Law.

(ii) The school district's application and facilities plan are approved by, and the district receives a grant entitlement for a school construction project issued by, the State Board of Education under the School Construction Law.

(iii) The school district has exhausted its bonding capacity or the unused bonding capacity of the district is less than the amount certified by the Capital Development Board to the district under Section 5-15 of the School Construction Law as the dollar amount of the school construction project's cost that the district will be required to finance with non-grant funds in order to receive a school construction project grant under the School Construction Law.

(iv) The bonds are issued for a "school construction project", as that term is defined in Section 5-5 of the School Construction Law, in an amount that does not exceed the dollar amount certified, as provided in paragraph (iii) of this subsection (n), by the Capital Development Board to the school district under Section 5-15 of the School Construction Law.

(v) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a referendum held after the criteria specified in paragraphs (i) and (iii) of this subsection (n) are met.

(vi) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of the School Code.

(o) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, until November 1, 2007, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including existing indebtedness, not exceeding 20% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) the school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 2001 of at least \$737,000,000 and an enrollment for the 2002-2003 school year of at least 8,500;

(ii) the bonds are issued to purchase school sites, build and equip a new high school, build and equip a new junior high school, build and equip 5 new elementary schools, and make technology and other improvements and additions to existing schools;

(iii) at the time of the sale of the bonds, the board of education determines by resolution that the sites and new or improved facilities are needed because of projected enrollment increases;

(iv) at least 57% of those voting in a general election held prior to January 1, 2003 approved a proposition for the issuance of the bonds; and

(v) the bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(p) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 may issue bonds up to an amount, including indebtedness, not exceeding 27% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The school district has an equalized assessed valuation for calendar year 2001 of at least \$295,741,187 and a best 3 months' average daily attendance for the 2002-2003 school year of at least 2,394.

(ii) The bonds are issued to build and equip 3 elementary school buildings; build and equip one middle school building; and alter, repair, improve, and equip all existing school buildings in the district.

(iii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the board of education determines by resolution that the project is needed because of expanding growth in the school district and a projected enrollment increase.

(iv) The bonds are issued pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(p-5) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, bonds issued by a community unit school district maintaining grades K through 12 shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any heretofore or hereafter imposed statutory limitation as to indebtedness, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) For each of the 4 most recent years, residential property comprises more than 80% of the equalized assessed valuation of the district.

(ii) At least 2 school buildings that were constructed 40 or more years prior to the issuance of the bonds will be demolished and will be replaced by new buildings or additions to one or more existing buildings.

(iii) Voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a regularly scheduled election.

(iv) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines by resolution

that the new buildings or building additions are needed because of an increase in enrollment projected by the school board.

(v) The principal amount of the bonds, including existing indebtedness, does not exceed 25% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district.

(vi) The bonds are issued prior to January 1, 2007, pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(p-10) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Section or the provisions of any other law, bonds issued by a community consolidated school district maintaining grades K through 8 shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation and may be issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness, in excess of any heretofore or hereafter imposed statutory limitation as to indebtedness, if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) For each of the 4 most recent years, residential and farm property comprises more than 80% of the equalized assessed valuation of the district.

(ii) The bond proceeds are to be used to acquire and improve school sites and build and equip a school building.

(iii) Voters of the district approve a proposition for the issuance of the bonds at a regularly scheduled election.

(iv) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines by resolution that the school sites and building additions are needed because of an increase in enrollment projected by the school board.

(v) The principal amount of the bonds, including existing indebtedness, does not exceed 20% of the equalized assessed value of the taxable property in the district.

(vi) The bonds are issued prior to January 1, 2007, pursuant to Sections 19-2 through 19-7 of this Code.

(p-15) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, the Oswego Community Unit School District Number 308 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$450,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district have approved a proposition for the bond issue at the general election held on November 7, 2006.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that: (A) the building and equipping of the new high school building, new junior high school buildings, new elementary school buildings, early childhood building, maintenance building, transportation facility, and additions to existing school buildings, the altering, repairing, equipping, and provision of technology improvements to existing school buildings, and the acquisition and improvement of school sites, as the case may be, are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district; and (B) the sale of bonds for these purposes is authorized by legislation that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issues, on or before November 7, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issues combined must not exceed \$450,000,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article 19.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used only to accomplish those projects approved by the voters at the general election held on November 7, 2006.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-15) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-20) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, the Lincoln-Way Community High School District Number 210 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$225,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district have approved a proposition for the bond issue at the general primary election held on March 21, 2006.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that: (A) the building and equipping of the new high school buildings, the altering, repairing, and equipping of existing school buildings, and the improvement of school sites, as the case may be, are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district; and (B) the sale of bonds for these purposes is authorized by legislation that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issues, on or before March 21, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issues combined must not exceed \$225,000,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article 19.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used only to accomplish those projects approved by the voters at the primary election held on March 21, 2006.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-20) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-25) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Rochester Community Unit School District 3A may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$18,500,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at the general primary election held in 2008.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that: (A) the building and equipping of a new high school building; the addition of classrooms and support facilities at the high school, middle school, and elementary school; the altering, repairing, and equipping of existing school buildings; and the improvement of school sites, as the case may be, are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district; and (B) the sale of bonds for these purposes is authorized by a law that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issues, on or before December 31, 2012, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issues combined must not exceed \$18,500,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article 19.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at the primary election held in 2008.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-25) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-30) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Prairie Grove Consolidated School District 46 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$30,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held in 2008.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (A) the building and equipping of a new school building and additions to existing school buildings are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and (B) the altering, repairing, and equipping of existing school buildings are required because of the age of the existing school buildings.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before December 31, 2012; however, the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$30,000,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held in 2008.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-30) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-35) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Prairie Hill Community Consolidated School District 133 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$13,900,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(i) The voters of the district approved a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on April 17, 2007.

(ii) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (A) the improvement of the site of and the building and equipping of a school building are required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and (B) the repairing and equipping of the Prairie Hill Elementary School building is required because of the age of that school building.

(iii) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before December 31, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$13,900,000.

(iv) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(v) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on April 17, 2007.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-35) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-40) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Mascoutah Community Unit District 19 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$55,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at a regular election held on or after November 4, 2008.

(2) At the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new high school building is required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and the age and condition of the existing high school building, (ii) the existing high school building will be demolished, and (iii) the sale of bonds is authorized by statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before December 31, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$55,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at a regular election held on or after November 4, 2008.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-40) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-45) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, bonds issued pursuant to Section 19-3.5 of this Code shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation if the bonds are issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness of the school district, not in excess of 18.5% of the value of the taxable property in the district to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes.

(p-50) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (a) of this Section or of any other law, bonds issued pursuant to Section 19-3.10 of this Code shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory limitation if the bonds are issued in an amount or amounts, including existing indebtedness of the school district, not in excess of 43% of the value of the taxable property in the district to be ascertained by the last assessment for State and county taxes.

(p-55) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Belle Valley School District 119 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$47,500,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after April 7, 2009.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of mine subsidence in an existing school building and because of the age and condition of another existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before March 31, 2014, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$47,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after April 7, 2009.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-55) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-55) must mature within not to exceed 30 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law to the contrary.

(p-60) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Wilmington Community Unit School District Number 209-U may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$2,285,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at the general primary election held on March 21, 2006.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(i) the projects approved by the voters were and are required because of the age and condition of the school district's prior and existing school buildings and (ii) the issuance of the bonds is authorized by legislation that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued in one or more bond issuances on or before March 1, 2011, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all those bond issuances combined must not exceed \$2,285,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-60) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-65) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, West Washington County Community Unit School District 10 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$32,200,000 and maturing over a period not exceeding 25 years, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after February 2, 2010.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(A) all or a portion of the existing Okawville Junior/Senior High School Building will be demolished; (B) the building and equipping of a new school building to be attached to and the alteration, repair, and equipping of the remaining portion of the Okawville Junior/Senior High School Building is required because of the age and current condition of that school building; and (C) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before March 31, 2014, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$32,200,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after February 2, 2010.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-65) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-70) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Cahokia Community Unit School District 187 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$50,000,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after November 2, 2010.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution,

that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, on or before July 1, 2016, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$50,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after November 2, 2010.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-70) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-70) must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-75) Notwithstanding the debt limitation prescribed in subsection (a) of this Section or any other provisions of this Section or of any other law, the execution of leases on or after January 1, 2007 and before July 1, 2011 by the Board of Education of Peoria School District 150 with a public building commission for leases entered into pursuant to the Public Building Commission Act shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

This subsection (p-75) applies only if the State Board of Education or the Capital Development Board makes one or more grants to Peoria School District 150 pursuant to the School Construction Law. The amount exempted from the debt limitation as prescribed in this subsection (p-75) shall be no greater than the amount of one or more grants awarded to Peoria School District 150 by the State Board of Education or the Capital Development Board.

(p-80) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Ridgeland School District 122 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$50,000,000 for the purpose of refunding or continuing to refund bonds originally issued pursuant to voter approval at the general election held on November 7, 2000, and the debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-80) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-80) may

be issued in one or more issuances and must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-85) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Hall High School District 502 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$32,000,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after April 9, 2013.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building, (ii) the existing school building should be demolished in its entirety or the existing school building should be demolished except for the 1914 west wing of the building, and (iii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, not later than 5 years after the date of the referendum approving the issuance of the bonds, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$32,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after April 9, 2013.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-85) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-85) must mature within not to exceed 30 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-90) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Lebanon Community Unit School District 9 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$7,500,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approved a proposition for the bond issuance at the general primary election on February 2, 2010.

(2) At or prior to the time of the sale of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new elementary school building is required as a result of a projected increase in the enrollment of students in the district and the age and condition of the existing Lebanon Elementary School building, (ii) a portion of the existing Lebanon Elementary School building will be demolished and the remaining portion will be altered, repaired, and equipped, and (iii) the sale of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before April 1, 2014, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$7,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at the general primary election held on February 2, 2010.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-90) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(p-95) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Monticello Community Unit School District 25 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$35,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, on or before July 1, 2020, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$35,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-95) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-95) must mature within

not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-100) (p-95) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, the community unit school district created in the territory comprising Milford Community Consolidated School District 280 and Milford Township High School District 233, as approved at the general primary election held on March 18, 2014, may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$17,500,000, but only if all the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that

(i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required as a result of the age and condition of an existing school building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, on or before July 1, 2020, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$17,500,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after November 4, 2014.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-100) (p-95) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-100) (p-95) must mature within not to exceed 25 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-105) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, North Shore School District 112 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$150,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approve a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of new buildings and improving the sites thereof and the building and equipping of additions to, altering, repairing, equipping, and renovating existing buildings and improving the sites thereof are required as a result of the age and condition of the district's existing buildings and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more issuances, not later than 5 years after the date of the referendum approving the issuance of the bonds, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$150,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at an election held on or after March 15, 2016.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-105) and on any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation. Bonds issued under this subsection (p-105) and any bonds issued to refund or continue to refund such bonds must mature within not to exceed 30 years from their date, notwithstanding any other law, including Section 19-3 of this Code, to the contrary.

(p-110) In addition to all other authority to issue bonds, Sandoval Community Unit School District 501 may issue bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$2,000,000, but only if all of the following conditions are met:

(1) The voters of the district approved a proposition for the bond issuance at an election held on March 20, 2012.

(2) Prior to the issuance of the bonds, the school board determines, by resolution, that (i) the building and equipping of a new school building is required because of the age and current condition of the Sandoval Elementary School building and (ii) the issuance of bonds is authorized by a statute that exempts the debt incurred on the bonds from the district's statutory debt limitation.

(3) The bonds are issued, in one or more bond issuances, on or before March 19, 2017, but the aggregate principal amount issued in all such bond issuances combined must not exceed \$2,000,000.

(4) The bonds are issued in accordance with this Article.

(5) The proceeds of the bonds are used to accomplish only those projects approved by the voters at the election held on March 20, 2012.

The debt incurred on any bonds issued under this subsection (p-110) shall not be considered indebtedness for purposes of any statutory debt limitation.

(q) A school district must notify the State Board of Education prior to issuing any form of long-term or short-term debt that will result in outstanding debt that exceeds 75% of the debt limit specified in this Section or any other provision of law.

(Source: P.A. 97-333, eff. 8-12-11; 97-834, eff. 7-20-12; 97-1146, eff. 1-18-13; 98-617, eff. 1-7-14; 98-912, eff. 8-15-14; 98-916, eff. 8-15-14; revised 10-1-14.)

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law."

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

There being no further amendments, the bill, as amended, was ordered to a third reading.

READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator Morrison, **House Bill No. 3159** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Anderson	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Barickman	Harris	McCann	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Biss	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Bivins	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Brady	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Bush	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Clayborne	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Collins	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	
Duffy	Link	Radogno	
Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

On motion of Senator Althoff, **House Bill No. 3172** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
---------	-------	------	---------

[May 26, 2015]

Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Lightford, **House Bill No. 3194** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 36; NAYS 20.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Bennett	Harmon	Link	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	Manar	Steans
Biss	Hastings	Martinez	Sullivan
Bush	Holmes	McGuire	Trotter
Clayborne	Hunter	Morrison	Van Pelt
Collins	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	Jones, E.	Muñoz	
Cunningham	Koehler	Noland	
Delgado	Kotowski	Raoul	
Haine	Lightford	Sandoval	

The following voted in the negative:

Althoff	Duffy	Murphy	Rose
Anderson	LaHood	Nybo	Syverson
Barickman	Landek	Oberweis	
Bivins	Luechtefeld	Radogno	
Brady	McCarter	Rezin	
Connelly	McConnaughay	Righter	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

On motion of Senator Sullivan, **House Bill No. 3203** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, “Shall this bill pass?” it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

[May 26, 2015]

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Lightford, **House Bill No. 3475** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 41; NAYS 14.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Harmon	Link	Sandoval
Bennett	Harris	Manar	Silverstein
Biss	Hastings	Martinez	Stadelman
Clayborne	Holmes	McCann	Steans
Collins	Hunter	McConnaughay	Sullivan
Connelly	Hutchinson	McGuire	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Koehler	Muñoz	Mr. President
Delgado	Kotowski	Noland	
Forby	Landek	Nybo	
Haine	Lightford	Raoul	

The following voted in the negative:

Anderson	LaHood	Oberweis	Rose
Barickman	Luechtefeld	Radogno	Syverson
Bivins	McCarter	Rezin	
Duffy	Murphy	Righter	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

On motion of Senator Lightford, **House Bill No. 3683** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Haine	Martinez	Righter
Anderson	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Barickman	Harris	McCarter	Sandoval
Bennett	Hastings	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Biss	Holmes	McGuire	Stadelman
Bivins	Hunter	Morrison	Steans
Brady	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Sullivan
Bush	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Syverson
Clayborne	Koehler	Murphy	Trotter
Collins	Kotowski	Noland	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Oberweis	
Delgado	Lightford	Radogno	
Duffy	Link	Raoul	
Forby	Luechtefeld	Rezin	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

On motion of Senator McConnaughay, **House Bill No. 3341** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

[May 26, 2015]

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof.

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTIONS ON SECRETARY'S DESK

Senator Barickman moved that **Senate Resolution No. 53**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Barickman moved that Senate Resolution No. 53 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Senator Koehler moved that **Senate Resolution No. 232**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Koehler moved that Senate Resolution No. 232 be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 56; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Haine	Martinez	Righter
Anderson	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Barickman	Harris	McCarter	Sandoval
Bennett	Holmes	McConaughay	Silverstein
Bertino-Tarrant	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Biss	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Bivins	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Brady	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Bush	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Clayborne	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Collins	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	Lightford	Oberweis	
Cunningham	Link	Radogno	
Delgado	Luechtefeld	Raoul	
Forby	Manar	Rezin	

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Senator Hunter moved that **Senate Resolution No. 175**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Hunter offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE RESOLUTION 175

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Resolution 175 by replacing everything after the heading with the following:

"WHEREAS, Grand juries have always had the responsibility of investigating, indicting, and prosecuting persons who violate the criminal laws of the State of Illinois; and

WHEREAS, In light of recent events around the country, members of the public have lost confidence in the criminal justice system and the grand jury process; and

WHEREAS, The General Assembly finds that the grand jury process utilized in this State should be reviewed to ensure the impartiality and effectiveness of the process; therefore, be it

[May 26, 2015]

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that the Grand Jury Review Task Force is created to review the current grand jury process and suggest reforms to the General Assembly; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Task Force shall consist of the following members:

- (1) One member appointed by the President of the Senate, who shall serve as co-chairperson;
- (2) One member appointed by the Senate Minority Leader, who shall serve as co-chairperson;
- (3) The Director of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority, or his or her designee;
- (4) The Director of the Illinois Office of the State's Attorney Appellate Prosecutor, or his or her designee;
- (5) The State Appellate Defender, or his or her designee;
- (6) The Executive Director of the Illinois State Bar Association, or his or her designee;
- (7) The President of the Chicago Bar Association, or his or her designee;
- (8) The President of the American Civil Liberties Union of Illinois, or his or her designee;
- (9) The President of the Chicago Innocence Project, or his or her designee;
- (10) The President of the Illinois Press Association, or his or her designee;
- (11) One law professor, appointed by the Senate Minority Leader;
- (12) One retired judge, appointed by the President of the Senate;
- (13) Four members representing advocacy groups involved in criminal justice, two of which are to be appointed by the President of the Senate and two to be appointed by the Senate Minority Leader;
- (14) Four members of the public, two of which are to be appointed by the President of the Senate and two to be appointed by the Senate Minority Leader; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Task Force shall meet regularly and hold public hearings at the call of the co-chairpersons to receive testimony regarding the grand jury process and any suggested reforms; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority shall provide administrative support and technical assistance, as well as meeting space, to the Task Force; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Grand Jury Review Task Force shall submit a report of its findings and recommendations to the General Assembly and the Governor on or before December 31, 2015; the report shall, at a minimum, include:

- (1) A summary of the current grand jury process;
- (2) Any and all deficiencies discovered within the current grand jury process;
- (3) Recommendations to improve the grand jury process;
- (4) A recommendation advising whether grand jury proceedings shall remain closed to the public;
- (5) A recommendation advising whether certain portions of grand jury proceedings should be subject to the Freedom of Information Act; and
- (6) A recommendation regarding whether an expanded use of preliminary hearings would advance the same goals as the grand jury process; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Task Force shall also work with the Legislative Reference Bureau to draft any legislation needed to implement its recommendations."

The motion prevailed.

And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.

Senator Hunter moved that Senate Resolution No. 175, as amended, be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

[May 26, 2015]

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution, as amended, was adopted.

Senator Mulroe moved that **Senate Resolution No. 248**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Mulroe moved that Senate Resolution No. 248 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Senator Althoff moved that **Senate Resolution No. 256**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Althoff moved that Senate Resolution No. 256 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

READING BILL FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A THIRD TIME

On motion of Senator J. Cullerton, **House Bill No. 1121** having been printed as received from the House of Representatives, together with all Senate Amendments adopted thereto, was taken up and read by title a third time.

And the question being, "Shall this bill pass?" it was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson

[May 26, 2015]

Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

This bill, having received the vote of a constitutional majority of the members elected, was declared passed, and all amendments not adopted were tabled pursuant to Senate Rule No. 5-4(a).

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence in the Senate Amendment adopted thereto.

JOINT ACTION MOTIONS FILED

The following Joint Action Motions to the Senate Bills listed below have been filed with the Secretary and referred to the Committee on Assignments:

Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 13
 Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 220
 Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 903
 Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1249
 Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1408
 Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1518

At the hour of 3:18 o'clock p.m., the Chair announced that the Senate stand at ease.

AT EASE

At the hour of 3:25 o'clock p.m., the Senate resumed consideration of business.
 Senator Silverstein, presiding.

REPORT FROM COMMITTEE ON ASSIGNMENTS

Senator Clayborne, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, during its May 26, 2015 meeting, reported the following Legislative Measures have been assigned to the indicated Standing Committees of the Senate:

Executive: **HOUSE BILL 813.**

Human Services: **Floor Amendment No. 1 to Senate Resolution 342; Floor Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 4096; Floor Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 4096.**

Senator Clayborne, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, during its May 26, 2015 meeting, reported the following Joint Action Motions have been assigned to the indicated Standing Committees of the Senate:

Higher Education: **Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1457**

Human Services: **Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 13**
Motion to Concur in House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1249

Senator Clayborne, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, during its May 26, 2015 meeting, to which was referred **House Bill No. 2416**, reported the same back with the recommendation that the bill be placed on the order of second reading without recommendation to committee.

[May 26, 2015]

Senator Clayborne, Chairperson of the Committee on Assignments, during its May 26, 2015 meeting, reported that the following Legislative Measure has been approved for consideration:

Floor Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3538

The foregoing floor amendment was placed on the Secretary's Desk.

COMMITTEE MEETING ANNOUNCEMENTS FOR MAY 27, 2015

The Chair announced the following committees to meet at 10:00 o'clock a.m.:

Higher Education in Room 212
Human Services in Room 409

POSTING NOTICE WAIVED

Senator Harmon moved to waive the six-day posting requirement on **House Bill No. 813** so that the measure may be heard in the Committee on Executive that is scheduled to meet May 27, 2015. The motion prevailed.

Senator Harmon moved to waive the six-day posting requirement on **House Bill No. 229** so that the measure may be heard in the Committee on Executive that is scheduled to meet May 27, 2015. The motion prevailed.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 125

A bill for AN ACT concerning agriculture.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 125

Passed the House, as amended, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 125

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 125 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Humane Care for Animals Act is amended by changing Section 3.01 as follows:
(510 ILCS 70/3.01) (from Ch. 8, par. 703.01)

Sec. 3.01. Cruel treatment. No person or owner may beat, cruelly treat, torment, starve, overwork or otherwise abuse any animal.

No owner may abandon any animal where it may become a public charge or may suffer injury, hunger or exposure.

No owner of a dog or cat that is a companion animal may expose the dog or cat in a manner that places the dog or cat in a life-threatening situation for a prolonged period of time in extreme heat or cold conditions that results in injury to or death of the animal.

A person convicted of violating this Section is guilty of a Class A misdemeanor. A second or subsequent conviction for a violation of this Section is a Class 4 felony. In addition to any other penalty provided by law, upon conviction for violating this Section, the court may order the convicted person to undergo a psychological or psychiatric evaluation and to undergo any treatment at the convicted person's expense

[May 26, 2015]

that the court determines to be appropriate after due consideration of the evidence. If the convicted person is a juvenile or a companion animal hoarder, the court must order the convicted person to undergo a psychological or psychiatric evaluation and to undergo treatment that the court determines to be appropriate after due consideration of the evaluation. (Source: P.A. 92-650, eff. 7-11-02.)".

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 125**, with House Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 226

A bill for AN ACT concerning education.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 226

Passed the House, as amended, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 226

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 226 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The School Code is amended by adding Section 2-3.163 as follows:

(105 ILCS 5/2-3.163 new)

Sec. 2-3.163. Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services database.

(a) The General Assembly makes all of the following findings:

(1) The Department of Human Services maintains a statewide database known as the Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services that records information about individuals with developmental disabilities who are potentially in need of services.

(2) The Department of Human Services uses the data on Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services to select individuals for services as funding becomes available, to develop proposals and materials for budgeting, and to plan for future needs.

(3) Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services is available for children and adults with a developmental disability who have an unmet service need anticipated in the next 5 years.

(4) Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services is the first step toward getting developmental disabilities services in this State. If individuals are not on the Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services waiting list, they are not in queue for State developmental disabilities services.

(5) Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services may be underutilized by children and their parents or guardians due to lack of awareness or lack of information.

(b) The State Board of Education may work with school districts to inform all students with developmental disabilities and their parents or guardians about the Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services database.

(c) Subject to appropriation, the Department of Human Services and State Board of Education shall develop and implement an online, computer-based training program for at least one designated employee in every public school in this State to educate him or her about the Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services database and steps to be taken to ensure children and adolescents are enrolled. The training shall include instruction for at least one designated employee in every public school in contacting the appropriate developmental disabilities Independent Service Coordination agency to enroll children and adolescents in the database. At least one designated employee in every public school shall ensure the opportunity to enroll in the Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services database is discussed during annual individualized education program (IEP) meetings for all children and adolescents believed to have a developmental disability.

(d) The State Board of Education, in consultation with the Department of Human Services, shall inform parents and guardians of students through school districts about the Prioritization of Urgency of Need for Services waiting list."

[May 26, 2015]

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 226**, with House Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 418

A bill for AN ACT concerning public aid.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 418

Passed the House, as amended, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 418

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 418 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Energy Assistance Act is amended by changing Section 13 as follows:
(305 ILCS 20/13)

(Section scheduled to be repealed on December 31, 2018)

Sec. 13. Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund.

(a) The Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund is hereby created as a special fund in the State Treasury. The Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund is authorized to receive moneys from voluntary donations from individuals, foundations, corporations, and other sources, moneys received pursuant to Section 17, and, by statutory deposit, the moneys collected pursuant to this Section. The Fund is also authorized to receive voluntary donations from individuals, foundations, corporations, and other sources, as well as contributions made in accordance with Section 507MM of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Subject to appropriation, the Department shall use moneys from the Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund for payments to electric or gas public utilities, municipal electric or gas utilities, and electric cooperatives on behalf of their customers who are participants in the program authorized by Sections 4 and 18 of this Act, for the provision of weatherization services and for administration of the Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund. The yearly expenditures for weatherization may not exceed 10% of the amount collected during the year pursuant to this Section. The yearly administrative expenses of the Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund may not exceed 10% of the amount collected during that year pursuant to this Section, except when unspent funds from the Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund are reallocated from a previous year; any unspent balance of the 10% administrative allowance may be utilized for administrative expenses in the year they are reallocated.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 16-111 of the Public Utilities Act but subject to subsection (k) of this Section, each public utility, electric cooperative, as defined in Section 3.4 of the Electric Supplier Act, and municipal utility, as referenced in Section 3-105 of the Public Utilities Act, that is engaged in the delivery of electricity or the distribution of natural gas within the State of Illinois shall, effective January 1, 1998, assess each of its customer accounts a monthly Energy Assistance Charge for the Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund. The delivering public utility, municipal electric or gas utility, or electric or gas cooperative for a self-assessing purchaser remains subject to the collection of the fee imposed by this Section. The monthly charge shall be as follows:

- (1) \$0.48 per month on each account for residential electric service;
- (2) \$0.48 per month on each account for residential gas service;
- (3) \$4.80 per month on each account for non-residential electric service which had less than 10 megawatts of peak demand during the previous calendar year;
- (4) \$4.80 per month on each account for non-residential gas service which had distributed to it less than 4,000,000 therms of gas during the previous calendar year;
- (5) \$360 per month on each account for non-residential electric service which had 10 megawatts or greater of peak demand during the previous calendar year; and
- (6) \$360 per month on each account for non-residential gas service which had 4,000,000 or more therms of gas distributed to it during the previous calendar year.

[May 26, 2015]

The incremental change to such charges imposed by this amendatory Act of the 96th General Assembly shall not (i) be used for any purpose other than to directly assist customers and (ii) be applicable to utilities serving less than 100,000 customers in Illinois on January 1, 2009.

In addition, electric and gas utilities have committed, and shall contribute, a one-time payment of \$22 million to the Fund, within 10 days after the effective date of the tariffs established pursuant to Sections 16-111.8 and 19-145 of the Public Utilities Act to be used for the Department's cost of implementing the programs described in Section 18 of this amendatory Act of the 96th General Assembly, the Arrearage Reduction Program described in Section 18, and the programs described in Section 8-105 of the Public Utilities Act. If a utility elects not to file a rider within 90 days after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 96th General Assembly, then the contribution from such utility shall be made no later than February 1, 2010.

(c) For purposes of this Section:

(1) "residential electric service" means electric utility service for household purposes delivered to a dwelling of 2 or fewer units which is billed under a residential rate, or electric utility service for household purposes delivered to a dwelling unit or units which is billed under a residential rate and is registered by a separate meter for each dwelling unit;

(2) "residential gas service" means gas utility service for household purposes distributed to a dwelling of 2 or fewer units which is billed under a residential rate, or gas utility service for household purposes distributed to a dwelling unit or units which is billed under a residential rate and is registered by a separate meter for each dwelling unit;

(3) "non-residential electric service" means electric utility service which is not residential electric service; and

(4) "non-residential gas service" means gas utility service which is not residential gas service.

(d) Within 30 days after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 96th General Assembly, each public utility engaged in the delivery of electricity or the distribution of natural gas shall file with the Illinois Commerce Commission tariffs incorporating the Energy Assistance Charge in other charges stated in such tariffs, which shall become effective no later than the beginning of the first billing cycle following such filing.

(e) The Energy Assistance Charge assessed by electric and gas public utilities shall be considered a charge for public utility service.

(f) By the 20th day of the month following the month in which the charges imposed by the Section were collected, each public utility, municipal utility, and electric cooperative shall remit to the Department of Revenue all moneys received as payment of the Energy Assistance Charge on a return prescribed and furnished by the Department of Revenue showing such information as the Department of Revenue may reasonably require; provided, however, that a utility offering an Arrearage Reduction Program pursuant to Section 18 of this Act shall be entitled to net those amounts necessary to fund and recover the costs of such Program as authorized by that Section that is no more than the incremental change in such Energy Assistance Charge authorized by this amendatory Act of the 96th General Assembly. If a customer makes a partial payment, a public utility, municipal utility, or electric cooperative may elect either: (i) to apply such partial payments first to amounts owed to the utility or cooperative for its services and then to payment for the Energy Assistance Charge or (ii) to apply such partial payments on a pro-rata basis between amounts owed to the utility or cooperative for its services and to payment for the Energy Assistance Charge.

(g) The Department of Revenue shall deposit into the Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund all moneys remitted to it in accordance with subsection (f) of this Section; provided, however, that the amounts remitted by each utility shall be used to provide assistance to that utility's customers. The utilities shall coordinate with the Department to establish an equitable and practical methodology for implementing this subsection (g) beginning with the 2010 program year.

(h) On or before December 31, 2002, the Department shall prepare a report for the General Assembly on the expenditure of funds appropriated from the Low-Income Energy Assistance Block Grant Fund for the program authorized under Section 4 of this Act.

(i) The Department of Revenue may establish such rules as it deems necessary to implement this Section.

(j) The Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity may establish such rules as it deems necessary to implement this Section.

(k) The charges imposed by this Section shall only apply to customers of municipal electric or gas utilities and electric or gas cooperatives if the municipal electric or gas utility or electric or gas cooperative makes an affirmative decision to impose the charge. If a municipal electric or gas utility or an electric

cooperative makes an affirmative decision to impose the charge provided by this Section, the municipal electric or gas utility or electric cooperative shall inform the Department of Revenue in writing of such decision when it begins to impose the charge. If a municipal electric or gas utility or electric or gas cooperative does not assess this charge, the Department may not use funds from the Supplemental Low-Income Energy Assistance Fund to provide benefits to its customers under the program authorized by Section 4 of this Act.

In its use of federal funds under this Act, the Department may not cause a disproportionate share of those federal funds to benefit customers of systems which do not assess the charge provided by this Section.

This Section is repealed effective December 31, 2018 unless renewed by action of the General Assembly. The General Assembly shall consider the results of the evaluations described in Section 8 in its deliberations.

(Source: P.A. 98-429, eff. 8-16-13.)".

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 418**, with House Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:

SENATE BILL NO. 627

A bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 627

Passed the House, as amended, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 627

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 627 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 5. The Illinois Vehicle Code is amended by changing Sections 6-106.1a, 6-205, 6-206, 6-206.1, 6-208.1, 6-517, 11-501.1, 11-501.6, and 11-501.8 as follows:

(625 ILCS 5/6-106.1a)

Sec. 6-106.1a. Cancellation of school bus driver permit; trace of alcohol.

(a) A person who has been issued a school bus driver permit by the Secretary of State in accordance with Section 6-106.1 of this Code and who drives or is in actual physical control of a school bus or any other vehicle owned or operated by or for a public or private school, or a school operated by a religious institution, when the vehicle is being used over a regularly scheduled route for the transportation of persons enrolled as students in grade 12 or below, in connection with any activity of the entities listed, upon the public highways of this State shall be deemed to have given consent to a chemical test or tests of blood, breath, or urine for the purpose of determining the alcohol content of the person's blood if arrested, as evidenced by the issuance of a Uniform Traffic Ticket for any violation of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance, if a police officer has probable cause to believe that the driver has consumed any amount of an alcoholic beverage based upon evidence of the driver's physical condition or other first hand knowledge of the police officer. The test or tests shall be administered at the direction of the arresting officer. The law enforcement agency employing the officer shall designate which of the aforesaid tests shall be administered. A urine test may be administered even after a blood or breath test or both has been administered.

(b) A person who is dead, unconscious, or who is otherwise in a condition rendering that person incapable of refusal, shall be deemed not to have withdrawn the consent provided by paragraph (a) of this Section and the test or tests may be administered subject to the following provisions:

(1) Chemical analysis of the person's blood, urine, breath, or other substance, to be considered valid under the provisions of this Section, shall have been performed according to standards promulgated by the Department of State Police by an individual possessing a valid permit issued by the Department of State Police for this purpose. The Director of State Police is authorized to approve

[May 26, 2015]

satisfactory techniques or methods, to ascertain the qualifications and competence of individuals to conduct analyses, to issue permits that shall be subject to termination or revocation at the direction of the Department of State Police, and to certify the accuracy of breath testing equipment. The Department of State Police shall prescribe rules as necessary.

(2) When a person submits to a blood test at the request of a law enforcement officer under the provisions of this Section, only a physician authorized to practice medicine, a licensed physician assistant, a licensed advanced practice nurse, a registered nurse, or other qualified person trained in venipuncture and acting under the direction of a licensed physician may withdraw blood for the purpose of determining the alcohol content. This limitation does not apply to the taking of breath or urine specimens.

(3) The person tested may have a physician, qualified technician, chemist, registered nurse, or other qualified person of his or her own choosing administer a chemical test or tests in addition to any test or tests administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer. The test administered at the request of the person may be admissible into evidence at a hearing conducted in accordance with Section 2-118 of this Code. The failure or inability to obtain an additional test by a person shall not preclude the consideration of the previously performed chemical test.

(4) Upon a request of the person who submits to a chemical test or tests at the request of a law enforcement officer, full information concerning the test or tests shall be made available to the person or that person's attorney by the requesting law enforcement agency within 72 hours of receipt of the test result.

(5) Alcohol concentration means either grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath.

(6) If a driver is receiving medical treatment as a result of a motor vehicle accident, a physician licensed to practice medicine, licensed physician assistant, licensed advanced practice nurse, registered nurse, or other qualified person trained in venipuncture and acting under the direction of a licensed physician shall withdraw blood for testing purposes to ascertain the presence of alcohol upon the specific request of a law enforcement officer. However, that testing shall not be performed until, in the opinion of the medical personnel on scene, the withdrawal can be made without interfering with or endangering the well-being of the patient.

(c) A person requested to submit to a test as provided in this Section shall be warned by the law enforcement officer requesting the test that a refusal to submit to the test, or submission to the test resulting in an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00, may result in the loss of that person's privilege to possess a school bus driver permit. The loss of the individual's privilege to possess a school bus driver permit shall be imposed in accordance with Section 6-106.1b of this Code. A person requested to submit to a test under this Section shall also acknowledge, in writing, receipt of the warning required under this subsection (c). If the person refuses to acknowledge receipt of the warning, the law enforcement officer shall make a written notation on the warning that the person refused to sign the warning. A person's refusal to sign the warning shall not be evidence that the person was not read the warning.

(d) If the person refuses testing or submits to a test that discloses an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00, the law enforcement officer shall immediately submit a sworn report to the Secretary of State on a form prescribed by the Secretary of State certifying that the test or tests were requested under subsection (a) and the person refused to submit to a test or tests or submitted to testing which disclosed an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00. The law enforcement officer shall submit the same sworn report when a person who has been issued a school bus driver permit and who was operating a school bus or any other vehicle owned or operated by or for a public or private school, or a school operated by a religious institution, when the vehicle is being used over a regularly scheduled route for the transportation of persons enrolled as students in grade 12 or below, in connection with any activity of the entities listed, submits to testing under Section 11-501.1 of this Code and the testing discloses an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 and less than the alcohol concentration at which driving or being in actual physical control of a motor vehicle is prohibited under paragraph (1) of subsection (a) of Section 11-501.

Upon receipt of the sworn report of a law enforcement officer, the Secretary of State shall enter the school bus driver permit sanction on the individual's driving record and the sanction shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice of the sanction was given to the person.

The law enforcement officer submitting the sworn report shall serve immediate notice of this school bus driver permit sanction on the person and the sanction shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice was given.

In cases where the blood alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 is established by a subsequent analysis of blood or urine, the police officer or arresting agency shall give notice as provided in this Section or by deposit in the United States mail of that notice in an envelope with postage prepaid and addressed to that

person at his or her last known address and the loss of the school bus driver permit shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice was given.

Upon receipt of the sworn report of a law enforcement officer, the Secretary of State shall also give notice of the school bus driver permit sanction to the driver and the driver's current employer by mailing a notice of the effective date of the sanction to the individual. However, shall the sworn report be defective by not containing sufficient information or be completed in error, the notice of the school bus driver permit sanction may not be mailed to the person or his current employer or entered to the driving record, but rather the sworn report shall be returned to the issuing law enforcement agency.

(e) A driver may contest this school bus driver permit sanction by requesting an administrative hearing with the Secretary of State in accordance with Section 2-118 of this Code. An individual whose blood alcohol concentration is shown to be more than 0.00 is not subject to this Section if he or she consumed alcohol in the performance of a religious service or ceremony. An individual whose blood alcohol concentration is shown to be more than 0.00 shall not be subject to this Section if the individual's blood alcohol concentration resulted only from ingestion of the prescribed or recommended dosage of medicine that contained alcohol. The petition for that hearing shall not stay or delay the effective date of the impending suspension. The scope of this hearing shall be limited to the issues of:

(1) whether the police officer had probable cause to believe that the person was driving or in actual physical control of a school bus or any other vehicle owned or operated by or for a public or private school, or a school operated by a religious institution, when the vehicle is being used over a regularly scheduled route for the transportation of persons enrolled as students in grade 12 or below, in connection with any activity of the entities listed, upon the public highways of the State and the police officer had reason to believe that the person was in violation of any provision of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance; and

(2) whether the person was issued a Uniform Traffic Ticket for any violation of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance; and

(3) whether the police officer had probable cause to believe that the driver had consumed any amount of an alcoholic beverage based upon the driver's physical actions or other first-hand knowledge of the police officer; and

(4) whether the person, after being advised by the officer that the privilege to possess a school bus driver permit would be canceled if the person refused to submit to and complete the test or tests, did refuse to submit to or complete the test or tests to determine the person's alcohol concentration; and

(5) whether the person, after being advised by the officer that the privileges to possess a school bus driver permit would be canceled if the person submits to a chemical test or tests and the test or tests disclose an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 and the person did submit to and complete the test or tests that determined an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00; and

(6) whether the test result of an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 was based upon the person's consumption of alcohol in the performance of a religious service or ceremony; and

(7) whether the test result of an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 was based upon the person's consumption of alcohol through ingestion of the prescribed or recommended dosage of medicine.

The Secretary of State may adopt administrative rules setting forth circumstances under which the holder of a school bus driver permit is not required to appear in person at the hearing.

Provided that the petitioner may subpoena the officer, the hearing may be conducted upon a review of the law enforcement officer's own official reports. Failure of the officer to answer the subpoena shall be grounds for a continuance if, in the hearing officer's discretion, the continuance is appropriate. At the conclusion of the hearing held under Section 2-118 of this Code, the Secretary of State may rescind, continue, or modify the school bus driver permit sanction.

(f) The results of any chemical testing performed in accordance with subsection (a) of this Section are not admissible in any civil or criminal proceeding, except that the results of the testing may be considered at a hearing held under Section 2-118 of this Code. However, the results of the testing may not be used to impose driver's license sanctions under Section 11-501.1 of this Code. A law enforcement officer may, however, pursue a statutory summary suspension or revocation of driving privileges under Section 11-501.1 of this Code if other physical evidence or first hand knowledge forms the basis of that suspension or revocation.

(g) This Section applies only to drivers who have been issued a school bus driver permit in accordance with Section 6-106.1 of this Code at the time of the issuance of the Uniform Traffic Ticket for a violation of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance, and a chemical test request is made under this Section.

(h) The action of the Secretary of State in suspending, revoking, canceling, or denying any license, permit, registration, or certificate of title shall be subject to judicial review in the Circuit Court of Sangamon County or in the Circuit Court of Cook County, and the provisions of the Administrative Review Law and its rules are hereby adopted and shall apply to and govern every action for the judicial review of final acts or decisions of the Secretary of State under this Section.

(Source: P.A. 96-1344, eff. 7-1-11; 97-450, eff. 8-19-11.)

(625 ILCS 5/6-205)

Sec. 6-205. Mandatory revocation of license or permit; Hardship cases.

(a) Except as provided in this Section, the Secretary of State shall immediately revoke the license, permit, or driving privileges of any driver upon receiving a report of the driver's conviction of any of the following offenses:

1. Reckless homicide resulting from the operation of a motor vehicle;
2. Violation of Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance relating to the offense of operating or being in physical control of a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol, other drug or drugs, intoxicating compound or compounds, or any combination thereof;
3. Any felony under the laws of any State or the federal government in the commission of which a motor vehicle was used;
4. Violation of Section 11-401 of this Code relating to the offense of leaving the scene of a traffic accident involving death or personal injury;
5. Perjury or the making of a false affidavit or statement under oath to the Secretary of State under this Code or under any other law relating to the ownership or operation of motor vehicles;
6. Conviction upon 3 charges of violation of Section 11-503 of this Code relating to the offense of reckless driving committed within a period of 12 months;
7. Conviction of any offense defined in Section 4-102 of this Code;
8. Violation of Section 11-504 of this Code relating to the offense of drag racing;
9. Violation of Chapters 8 and 9 of this Code;
10. Violation of Section 12-5 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012 arising from the use of a motor vehicle;
11. Violation of Section 11-204.1 of this Code relating to aggravated fleeing or attempting to elude a peace officer;
12. Violation of paragraph (1) of subsection (b) of Section 6-507, or a similar law of any other state, relating to the unlawful operation of a commercial motor vehicle;
13. Violation of paragraph (a) of Section 11-502 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance if the driver has been previously convicted of a violation of that Section or a similar provision of a local ordinance and the driver was less than 21 years of age at the time of the offense;
14. Violation of paragraph (a) of Section 11-506 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance relating to the offense of street racing;
15. A second or subsequent conviction of driving while the person's driver's license, permit or privileges was revoked for reckless homicide or a similar out-of-state offense;
16. Any offense against any provision in this Code, or any local ordinance, regulating the movement of traffic when that offense was the proximate cause of the death of any person. Any person whose driving privileges have been revoked pursuant to this paragraph may seek to have the revocation terminated or to have the length of revocation reduced by requesting an administrative hearing with the Secretary of State prior to the projected driver's license application eligibility date;
17. Violation of subsection (a-2) of Section 11-1301.3 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance;
18. A second or subsequent conviction of illegal possession, while operating or in actual physical control, as a driver, of a motor vehicle, of any controlled substance prohibited under the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, any cannabis prohibited under the Cannabis Control Act, or any methamphetamine prohibited under the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act. A defendant found guilty of this offense while operating a motor vehicle shall have an entry made in the court record by the presiding judge that this offense did occur while the defendant was operating a motor vehicle and order the clerk of the court to report the violation to the Secretary of State.

(b) The Secretary of State shall also immediately revoke the license or permit of any driver in the following situations:

1. Of any minor upon receiving the notice provided for in Section 5-901 of the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 that the minor has been adjudicated under that Act as having committed an offense relating to motor vehicles prescribed in Section 4-103 of this Code;
2. Of any person when any other law of this State requires either the revocation or

suspension of a license or permit;

3. Of any person adjudicated under the Juvenile Court Act of 1987 based on an offense determined to have been committed in furtherance of the criminal activities of an organized gang as provided in Section 5-710 of that Act, and that involved the operation or use of a motor vehicle or the use of a driver's license or permit. The revocation shall remain in effect for the period determined by the court. Upon the direction of the court, the Secretary shall issue the person a judicial driving permit, also known as a JDP. The JDP shall be subject to the same terms as a JDP issued under Section 6-206.1, except that the court may direct that a JDP issued under this subdivision (b)(3) be effective immediately.

(c)(1) Whenever a person is convicted of any of the offenses enumerated in this Section, the court may recommend and the Secretary of State in his discretion, without regard to whether the recommendation is made by the court may, upon application, issue to the person a restricted driving permit granting the privilege of driving a motor vehicle between the petitioner's residence and petitioner's place of employment or within the scope of the petitioner's employment related duties, or to allow the petitioner to transport himself or herself or a family member of the petitioner's household to a medical facility for the receipt of necessary medical care or to allow the petitioner to transport himself or herself to and from alcohol or drug remedial or rehabilitative activity recommended by a licensed service provider, or to allow the petitioner to transport himself or herself or a family member of the petitioner's household to classes, as a student, at an accredited educational institution, or to allow the petitioner to transport children, elderly persons, or disabled persons who do not hold driving privileges and are living in the petitioner's household to and from daycare; if the petitioner is able to demonstrate that no alternative means of transportation is reasonably available and that the petitioner will not endanger the public safety or welfare; provided that the Secretary's discretion shall be limited to cases where undue hardship, as defined by the rules of the Secretary of State, would result from a failure to issue the restricted driving permit. Those multiple offenders identified in subdivision (b)4 of Section 6-208 of this Code, however, shall not be eligible for the issuance of a restricted driving permit.

(2) If a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended due to 2 or more convictions of violating Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense, or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or a similar out-of-state offense, or a combination of these offenses, arising out of separate occurrences, that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(3) If:

(A) a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended 2 or more times ~~within a 10 year period~~ due to any combination of:

(i) a single conviction of violating Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense, or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or a similar out-of-state offense; or

(ii) a statutory summary suspension or revocation under Section 11-501.1; or

(iii) a suspension pursuant to Section 6-203.1;

arising out of separate occurrences; or

(B) a person has been convicted of one violation of ~~subparagraph (C) or (F) of paragraph (1) of subsection (d) of Section 11-501 Section 6-303~~ of this Code, committed while his or her driver's license, permit, or privilege was revoked because of a violation of Section 9-3 of

the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, relating to the offense of reckless homicide where the use of alcohol or other drugs was recited as an element of the offense, or a similar provision of a law of another state;

that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(4) The person issued a permit conditioned on the use of an ignition interlock device must pay to the Secretary of State DUI Administration Fund an amount not to exceed \$30 per month. The Secretary shall establish by rule the amount and the procedures, terms, and conditions relating to these fees.

(5) If the restricted driving permit is issued for employment purposes, then the prohibition against operating a motor vehicle that is not equipped with an ignition interlock device does not apply to the operation of an occupational vehicle owned or leased by that person's employer when used solely for employment purposes.

(6) In each case the Secretary of State may issue a restricted driving permit for a period he deems appropriate, except that the permit shall expire within one year from the date of issuance. ~~The Secretary may not, however, issue a restricted driving permit to any person whose current revocation is the result of a second or subsequent conviction for a violation of Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or any similar out-of-state offense, or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or any similar out-of-state offense, or any combination of these offenses, until the expiration of at least one year from the date of the revocation.~~ A restricted driving permit issued under this Section shall be subject to cancellation, revocation, and suspension by the Secretary of State in like manner and for like cause as a driver's license issued under this Code may be cancelled, revoked, or suspended; except that a conviction upon one or more offenses against laws or ordinances regulating the movement of traffic shall be deemed sufficient cause for the revocation, suspension, or cancellation of a restricted driving permit. The Secretary of State may, as a condition to the issuance of a restricted driving permit, require the petitioner to participate in a designated driver remedial or rehabilitative program. The Secretary of State is authorized to cancel a restricted driving permit if the permit holder does not successfully complete the program. However, if an individual's driving privileges have been revoked in accordance with paragraph 13 of subsection (a) of this Section, no restricted driving permit shall be issued until the individual has served 6 months of the revocation period.

(c-5) (Blank).

(c-6) If a person is convicted of a second violation of operating a motor vehicle while the person's driver's license, permit or privilege was revoked, where the revocation was for a violation of Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012 relating to the offense of reckless homicide or a similar out-of-state offense, the person's driving privileges shall be revoked pursuant to subdivision (a)(15) of this Section. The person may not make application for a license or permit until the expiration of five years from the effective date of the revocation or the expiration of five years from the date of release from a term of imprisonment, whichever is later.

(c-7) If a person is convicted of a third or subsequent violation of operating a motor vehicle while the person's driver's license, permit or privilege was revoked, where the revocation was for a violation of Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012 relating to the offense of reckless homicide or a similar out-of-state offense, the person may never apply for a license or permit.

(d)(1) Whenever a person under the age of 21 is convicted under Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense, the Secretary of State shall revoke the driving privileges of that person. One year after the date of revocation, and upon application, the Secretary of State may, if satisfied that the person applying will not endanger the public safety or welfare, issue a restricted driving permit granting the privilege of driving a motor vehicle only between the hours of 5 a.m. and 9 p.m. or as otherwise provided by this Section for a period of one year. After this one year period, and upon reapplication for a license as provided in Section 6-106, upon payment of the appropriate reinstatement fee provided under paragraph (b) of Section 6-118, the Secretary of State, in his discretion, may reinstate the petitioner's driver's license and driving privileges, or extend the restricted driving permit as many times as the Secretary of State deems appropriate, by additional periods of not more than 12 months each.

(2) If a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended due to 2 or more convictions of violating Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense, or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or a similar out-of-state offense, or a combination of these offenses, arising out of separate occurrences, that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(3) If a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended 2 or more times ~~within a 10-year period~~ due to any combination of:

(A) a single conviction of violating Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense, or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or a similar out-of-state offense; or

(B) a statutory summary suspension or revocation under Section 11-501.1; or

(C) a suspension pursuant to Section 6-203.1;

arising out of separate occurrences, that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may

not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(3.5) If a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended due to a conviction for a violation of subparagraph (C) or (F) of paragraph (1) of subsection (d) of Section 11-501 of this Code, or a similar provision of a local ordinance or similar out-of-state offense, that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(4) The person issued a permit conditioned upon the use of an interlock device must pay to the Secretary of State DUI Administration Fund an amount not to exceed \$30 per month. The Secretary shall establish by rule the amount and the procedures, terms, and conditions relating to these fees.

(5) If the restricted driving permit is issued for employment purposes, then the prohibition against driving a vehicle that is not equipped with an ignition interlock device does not apply to the operation of an occupational vehicle owned or leased by that person's employer when used solely for employment purposes.

(6) A restricted driving permit issued under this Section shall be subject to cancellation, revocation, and suspension by the Secretary of State in like manner and for like cause as a driver's license issued under this Code may be cancelled, revoked, or suspended; except that a conviction upon one or more offenses against laws or ordinances regulating the movement of traffic shall be deemed sufficient cause for the revocation, suspension, or cancellation of a restricted driving permit.

(d-5) The revocation of the license, permit, or driving privileges of a person convicted of a third or subsequent violation of Section 6-303 of this Code committed while his or her driver's license, permit, or privilege was revoked because of a violation of Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, relating to the offense of reckless homicide, or a similar provision of a law of another state, is permanent. The Secretary may not, at any time, issue a license or permit to that person.

(e) This Section is subject to the provisions of the Driver License Compact.

(f) Any revocation imposed upon any person under subsections 2 and 3 of paragraph (b) that is in effect on December 31, 1988 shall be converted to a suspension for a like period of time.

(g) The Secretary of State shall not issue a restricted driving permit to a person under the age of 16 years whose driving privileges have been revoked under any provisions of this Code.

(h) The Secretary of State shall require the use of ignition interlock devices on all vehicles owned by a person who has been convicted of a second or subsequent offense under Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance. The person must pay to the Secretary of State DUI Administration Fund an amount not to exceed \$30 for each month that he or she uses the device. The Secretary shall establish by rule and regulation the procedures for certification and use of the interlock system, the amount of the fee, and the procedures, terms, and conditions relating to these fees.

(i) (Blank).

(j) In accordance with 49 C.F.R. 384, the Secretary of State may not issue a restricted driving permit for the operation of a commercial motor vehicle to a person holding a CDL whose driving privileges have been revoked, suspended, cancelled, or disqualified under any provisions of this Code.

(Source: P.A. 96-328, eff. 8-11-09; 96-607, eff. 8-24-09; 96-1180, eff. 1-1-11; 96-1305, eff. 1-1-11; 96-1344, eff. 7-1-11; 97-333, eff. 8-12-11; 97-838, eff. 1-1-13; 97-844, eff. 1-1-13; 97-1150, eff. 1-25-13.)
(625 ILCS 5/6-206)

Sec. 6-206. Discretionary authority to suspend or revoke license or permit; Right to a hearing.

(a) The Secretary of State is authorized to suspend or revoke the driving privileges of any person without preliminary hearing upon a showing of the person's records or other sufficient evidence that the person:

1. Has committed an offense for which mandatory revocation of a driver's license or permit is required upon conviction;

2. Has been convicted of not less than 3 offenses against traffic regulations governing the movement of vehicles committed within any 12 month period. No revocation or suspension shall be entered more than 6 months after the date of last conviction;

3. Has been repeatedly involved as a driver in motor vehicle collisions or has been repeatedly convicted of offenses against laws and ordinances regulating the movement of traffic, to a degree that indicates lack of ability to exercise ordinary and reasonable care in the safe operation of a motor vehicle or disrespect for the traffic laws and the safety of other persons upon the highway;

4. Has by the unlawful operation of a motor vehicle caused or contributed to an accident resulting in injury requiring immediate professional treatment in a medical facility or doctor's office to any person, except that any suspension or revocation imposed by the Secretary of State under the provisions of this subsection shall start no later than 6 months after being convicted of violating a law

or ordinance regulating the movement of traffic, which violation is related to the accident, or shall start not more than one year after the date of the accident, whichever date occurs later;

5. Has permitted an unlawful or fraudulent use of a driver's license, identification card, or permit;

6. Has been lawfully convicted of an offense or offenses in another state, including the authorization contained in Section 6-203.1, which if committed within this State would be grounds for suspension or revocation;

7. Has refused or failed to submit to an examination provided for by Section 6-207 or has failed to pass the examination;

8. Is ineligible for a driver's license or permit under the provisions of Section 6-103;

9. Has made a false statement or knowingly concealed a material fact or has used false information or identification in any application for a license, identification card, or permit;

10. Has possessed, displayed, or attempted to fraudulently use any license, identification card, or permit not issued to the person;

11. Has operated a motor vehicle upon a highway of this State when the person's driving privilege or privilege to obtain a driver's license or permit was revoked or suspended unless the operation was authorized by a monitoring device driving permit, judicial driving permit issued prior to January 1, 2009, probationary license to drive, or a restricted driving permit issued under this Code;

12. Has submitted to any portion of the application process for another person or has obtained the services of another person to submit to any portion of the application process for the purpose of obtaining a license, identification card, or permit for some other person;

13. Has operated a motor vehicle upon a highway of this State when the person's driver's license or permit was invalid under the provisions of Sections 6-107.1 and 6-110;

14. Has committed a violation of Section 6-301, 6-301.1, or 6-301.2 of this Act, or Section 14, 14A, or 14B of the Illinois Identification Card Act;

15. Has been convicted of violating Section 21-2 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012 relating to criminal trespass to vehicles in which case, the suspension shall be for one year;

16. Has been convicted of violating Section 11-204 of this Code relating to fleeing from a peace officer;

17. Has refused to submit to a test, or tests, as required under Section 11-501.1 of this Code and the person has not sought a hearing as provided for in Section 11-501.1;

18. Has, since issuance of a driver's license or permit, been adjudged to be afflicted with or suffering from any mental disability or disease;

19. Has committed a violation of paragraph (a) or (b) of Section 6-101 relating to driving without a driver's license;

20. Has been convicted of violating Section 6-104 relating to classification of driver's license;

21. Has been convicted of violating Section 11-402 of this Code relating to leaving the scene of an accident resulting in damage to a vehicle in excess of \$1,000, in which case the suspension shall be for one year;

22. Has used a motor vehicle in violating paragraph (3), (4), (7), or (9) of subsection (a) of Section 24-1 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012 relating to unlawful use of weapons, in which case the suspension shall be for one year;

23. Has, as a driver, been convicted of committing a violation of paragraph (a) of Section 11-502 of this Code for a second or subsequent time within one year of a similar violation;

24. Has been convicted by a court-martial or punished by non-judicial punishment by military authorities of the United States at a military installation in Illinois or in another state of or for a traffic related offense that is the same as or similar to an offense specified under Section 6-205 or 6-206 of this Code;

25. Has permitted any form of identification to be used by another in the application process in order to obtain or attempt to obtain a license, identification card, or permit;

26. Has altered or attempted to alter a license or has possessed an altered license, identification card, or permit;

27. Has violated Section 6-16 of the Liquor Control Act of 1934;

28. Has been convicted for a first time of the illegal possession, while operating or in actual physical control, as a driver, of a motor vehicle, of any controlled substance prohibited under the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, any cannabis prohibited under the Cannabis Control Act, or any methamphetamine prohibited under the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, in

which case the person's driving privileges shall be suspended for one year. Any defendant found guilty of this offense while operating a motor vehicle, shall have an entry made in the court record by the presiding judge that this offense did occur while the defendant was operating a motor vehicle and order the clerk of the court to report the violation to the Secretary of State;

29. Has been convicted of the following offenses that were committed while the person was operating or in actual physical control, as a driver, of a motor vehicle: criminal sexual assault, predatory criminal sexual assault of a child, aggravated criminal sexual assault, criminal sexual abuse, aggravated criminal sexual abuse, juvenile pimping, soliciting for a juvenile prostitute, promoting juvenile prostitution as described in subdivision (a)(1), (a)(2), or (a)(3) of Section 11-14.4 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, and the manufacture, sale or delivery of controlled substances or instruments used for illegal drug use or abuse in which case the driver's driving privileges shall be suspended for one year;

30. Has been convicted a second or subsequent time for any combination of the offenses named in paragraph 29 of this subsection, in which case the person's driving privileges shall be suspended for 5 years;

31. Has refused to submit to a test as required by Section 11-501.6 of this Code or Section 5-16c of the Boat Registration and Safety Act or has submitted to a test resulting in an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis as listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance as listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound as listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, in which case the penalty shall be as prescribed in Section 6-208.1;

32. Has been convicted of Section 24-1.2 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012 relating to the aggravated discharge of a firearm if the offender was located in a motor vehicle at the time the firearm was discharged, in which case the suspension shall be for 3 years;

33. Has as a driver, who was less than 21 years of age on the date of the offense, been convicted a first time of a violation of paragraph (a) of Section 11-502 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance;

34. Has committed a violation of Section 11-1301.5 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance;

35. Has committed a violation of Section 11-1301.6 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance;

36. Is under the age of 21 years at the time of arrest and has been convicted of not less than 2 offenses against traffic regulations governing the movement of vehicles committed within any 24 month period. No revocation or suspension shall be entered more than 6 months after the date of last conviction;

37. Has committed a violation of subsection (c) of Section 11-907 of this Code that resulted in damage to the property of another or the death or injury of another;

38. Has been convicted of a violation of Section 6-20 of the Liquor Control Act of 1934 or a similar provision of a local ordinance;

39. Has committed a second or subsequent violation of Section 11-1201 of this Code;

40. Has committed a violation of subsection (a-1) of Section 11-908 of this Code;

41. Has committed a second or subsequent violation of Section 11-605.1 of this Code, a similar provision of a local ordinance, or a similar violation in any other state within 2 years of the date of the previous violation, in which case the suspension shall be for 90 days;

42. Has committed a violation of subsection (a-1) of Section 11-1301.3 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance;

43. Has received a disposition of court supervision for a violation of subsection (a), (d), or (e) of Section 6-20 of the Liquor Control Act of 1934 or a similar provision of a local ordinance, in which case the suspension shall be for a period of 3 months;

44. Is under the age of 21 years at the time of arrest and has been convicted of an offense against traffic regulations governing the movement of vehicles after having previously had his or her driving privileges suspended or revoked pursuant to subparagraph 36 of this Section;

45. Has, in connection with or during the course of a formal hearing conducted under Section 2-118 of this Code: (i) committed perjury; (ii) submitted fraudulent or falsified documents; (iii) submitted documents that have been materially altered; or (iv) submitted, as his or her own, documents that were in fact prepared or composed for another person;

46. Has committed a violation of subsection (j) of Section 3-413 of this Code; or

47. Has committed a violation of Section 11-502.1 of this Code.

For purposes of paragraphs 5, 9, 10, 12, 14, 19, 25, 26, and 27 of this subsection, license means any driver's license, any traffic ticket issued when the person's driver's license is deposited in lieu of bail, a suspension notice issued by the Secretary of State, a duplicate or corrected driver's license, a probationary driver's license or a temporary driver's license.

(b) If any conviction forming the basis of a suspension or revocation authorized under this Section is appealed, the Secretary of State may rescind or withhold the entry of the order of suspension or revocation, as the case may be, provided that a certified copy of a stay order of a court is filed with the Secretary of State. If the conviction is affirmed on appeal, the date of the conviction shall relate back to the time the original judgment of conviction was entered and the 6 month limitation prescribed shall not apply.

(c) 1. Upon suspending or revoking the driver's license or permit of any person as authorized in this Section, the Secretary of State shall immediately notify the person in writing of the revocation or suspension. The notice to be deposited in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the last known address of the person.

2. If the Secretary of State suspends the driver's license of a person under subsection 2 of paragraph (a) of this Section, a person's privilege to operate a vehicle as an occupation shall not be suspended, provided an affidavit is properly completed, the appropriate fee received, and a permit issued prior to the effective date of the suspension, unless 5 offenses were committed, at least 2 of which occurred while operating a commercial vehicle in connection with the driver's regular occupation. All other driving privileges shall be suspended by the Secretary of State. Any driver prior to operating a vehicle for occupational purposes only must submit the affidavit on forms to be provided by the Secretary of State setting forth the facts of the person's occupation. The affidavit shall also state the number of offenses committed while operating a vehicle in connection with the driver's regular occupation. The affidavit shall be accompanied by the driver's license. Upon receipt of a properly completed affidavit, the Secretary of State shall issue the driver a permit to operate a vehicle in connection with the driver's regular occupation only. Unless the permit is issued by the Secretary of State prior to the date of suspension, the privilege to drive any motor vehicle shall be suspended as set forth in the notice that was mailed under this Section. If an affidavit is received subsequent to the effective date of this suspension, a permit may be issued for the remainder of the suspension period.

The provisions of this subparagraph shall not apply to any driver required to possess a CDL for the purpose of operating a commercial motor vehicle.

Any person who falsely states any fact in the affidavit required herein shall be guilty of perjury under Section 6-302 and upon conviction thereof shall have all driving privileges revoked without further rights.

3. At the conclusion of a hearing under Section 2-118 of this Code, the Secretary of State shall either rescind or continue an order of revocation or shall substitute an order of suspension; or, good cause appearing therefor, rescind, continue, change, or extend the order of suspension. If the Secretary of State does not rescind the order, the Secretary may upon application, to relieve undue hardship (as defined by the rules of the Secretary of State), issue a restricted driving permit granting the privilege of driving a motor vehicle between the petitioner's residence and petitioner's place of employment or within the scope of the petitioner's employment related duties, or to allow the petitioner to transport himself or herself, or a family member of the petitioner's household to a medical facility, to receive necessary medical care, to allow the petitioner to transport himself or herself to and from alcohol or drug remedial or rehabilitative activity recommended by a licensed service provider, or to allow the petitioner to transport himself or herself or a family member of the petitioner's household to classes, as a student, at an accredited educational institution, or to allow the petitioner to transport children, elderly persons, or disabled persons who do not hold driving privileges and are living in the petitioner's household to and from daycare. The petitioner must demonstrate that no alternative means of transportation is reasonably available and that the petitioner will not endanger the public safety or welfare. Those multiple offenders identified in subdivision (b)4 of Section 6-208 of this Code, however, shall not be eligible for the issuance of a restricted driving permit.

(A) If a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended due to 2 or more convictions of violating Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense, or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or a similar out-of-state offense, or a combination of these offenses, arising out of separate occurrences, that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(B) If a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended 2 or more times ~~within a 10 year period~~ due to any combination of:

[May 26, 2015]

(i) a single conviction of violating Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or a similar out-of-state offense; or

(ii) a statutory summary suspension or revocation under Section 11-501.1; or

(iii) a suspension under Section 6-203.1;

arising out of separate occurrences; that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(B-5) If a person's license or permit is revoked or suspended due to a conviction for a violation of subparagraph (C) or (F) of paragraph (1) of subsection (d) of Section 11-501 of this Code, or a similar provision of a local ordinance or similar out-of-state offense, that person, if issued a restricted driving permit, may not operate a vehicle unless it has been equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1.

(C) The person issued a permit conditioned upon the use of an ignition interlock device must pay to the Secretary of State DUI Administration Fund an amount not to exceed \$30 per month. The Secretary shall establish by rule the amount and the procedures, terms, and conditions relating to these fees.

(D) If the restricted driving permit is issued for employment purposes, then the prohibition against operating a motor vehicle that is not equipped with an ignition interlock device does not apply to the operation of an occupational vehicle owned or leased by that person's employer when used solely for employment purposes.

(E) In each case the Secretary may issue a restricted driving permit for a period deemed appropriate, except that all permits shall expire within one year from the date of issuance. ~~The Secretary may not, however, issue a restricted driving permit to any person whose current revocation is the result of a second or subsequent conviction for a violation of Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or any similar out-of-state offense, or Section 9-3 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, where the use of alcohol or other drugs is recited as an element of the offense, or any similar out-of-state offense, or any combination of those offenses, until the expiration of at least one year from the date of the revocation.~~ A restricted driving permit issued under this Section shall be subject to cancellation, revocation, and suspension by the Secretary of State in like manner and for like cause as a driver's license issued under this Code may be cancelled, revoked, or suspended; except that a conviction upon one or more offenses against laws or ordinances regulating the movement of traffic shall be deemed sufficient cause for the revocation, suspension, or cancellation of a restricted driving permit. The Secretary of State may, as a condition to the issuance of a restricted driving permit, require the applicant to participate in a designated driver remedial or rehabilitative program. The Secretary of State is authorized to cancel a restricted driving permit if the permit holder does not successfully complete the program.

(c-3) In the case of a suspension under paragraph 43 of subsection (a), reports received by the Secretary of State under this Section shall, except during the actual time the suspension is in effect, be privileged information and for use only by the courts, police officers, prosecuting authorities, the driver licensing administrator of any other state, the Secretary of State, or the parent or legal guardian of a driver under the age of 18. However, beginning January 1, 2008, if the person is a CDL holder, the suspension shall also be made available to the driver licensing administrator of any other state, the U.S. Department of Transportation, and the affected driver or motor carrier or prospective motor carrier upon request.

(c-4) In the case of a suspension under paragraph 43 of subsection (a), the Secretary of State shall notify the person by mail that his or her driving privileges and driver's license will be suspended one month after the date of the mailing of the notice.

(c-5) The Secretary of State may, as a condition of the reissuance of a driver's license or permit to an applicant whose driver's license or permit has been suspended before he or she reached the age of 21 years pursuant to any of the provisions of this Section, require the applicant to participate in a driver remedial education course and be retested under Section 6-109 of this Code.

(d) This Section is subject to the provisions of the Drivers License Compact.

(e) The Secretary of State shall not issue a restricted driving permit to a person under the age of 16 years whose driving privileges have been suspended or revoked under any provisions of this Code.

(f) In accordance with 49 C.F.R. 384, the Secretary of State may not issue a restricted driving permit for the operation of a commercial motor vehicle to a person holding a CDL whose driving privileges have been suspended, revoked, cancelled, or disqualified under any provisions of this Code.

(Source: P.A. 97-229, eff. 7-28-11; 97-333, eff. 8-12-11; 97-743, eff. 1-1-13; 97-838, eff. 1-1-13; 97-844, eff. 1-1-13; 97-1109, eff. 1-1-13; 97-1150, eff. 1-25-13; 98-103, eff. 1-1-14; 98-122, eff. 1-1-14; 98-726, eff. 1-1-15; 98-756, eff. 7-16-14.)

(625 ILCS 5/6-206.1) (from Ch. 95 1/2, par. 6-206.1)

Sec. 6-206.1. Monitoring Device Driving Permit. Declaration of Policy. It is hereby declared a policy of the State of Illinois that the driver who is impaired by alcohol, other drug or drugs, or intoxicating compound or compounds is a threat to the public safety and welfare. Therefore, to provide a deterrent to such practice, a statutory summary driver's license suspension is appropriate. It is also recognized that driving is a privilege and therefore, that the granting of driving privileges, in a manner consistent with public safety, is warranted during the period of suspension in the form of a monitoring device driving permit. A person who drives and fails to comply with the requirements of the monitoring device driving permit commits a violation of Section 6-303 of this Code.

The following procedures shall apply whenever a first offender, as defined in Section 11-500 of this Code, is arrested for any offense as defined in Section 11-501 or a similar provision of a local ordinance and is subject to the provisions of Section 11-501.1:

(a) Upon mailing of the notice of suspension of driving privileges as provided in subsection (h) of Section 11-501.1 of this Code, the Secretary shall also send written notice informing the person that he or she will be issued a monitoring device driving permit (MDDP). The notice shall include, at minimum, information summarizing the procedure to be followed for issuance of the MDDP, installation of the breath alcohol ignition installation device (BAIID), as provided in this Section, exemption from BAIID installation requirements, and procedures to be followed by those seeking indigent status, as provided in this Section. The notice shall also include information summarizing the procedure to be followed if the person wishes to decline issuance of the MDDP. A copy of the notice shall also be sent to the court of venue together with the notice of suspension of driving privileges, as provided in subsection (h) of Section 11-501. However, a MDDP shall not be issued if the Secretary finds that:

- (1) the offender's driver's license is otherwise invalid;
- (2) death or great bodily harm to another resulted from the arrest for Section 11-501;
- (3) the offender has been previously convicted of reckless homicide or aggravated driving under the influence involving death;
- (4) the offender is less than 18 years of age; or
- (5) the offender is a qualifying patient licensed under the Compassionate Use of Medical

Cannabis Pilot Program Act who is in possession of a valid registry card issued under that Act and refused to submit to standardized field sobriety tests as required by subsection (a) of Section 11-501.9 or did submit to testing which disclosed the person was impaired by the use of cannabis.

Any offender participating in the MDDP program must pay the Secretary a MDDP Administration Fee in an amount not to exceed \$30 per month, to be deposited into the Monitoring Device Driving Permit Administration Fee Fund. The Secretary shall establish by rule the amount and the procedures, terms, and conditions relating to these fees. The offender must have an ignition interlock device installed within 14 days of the date the Secretary issues the MDDP. The ignition interlock device provider must notify the Secretary, in a manner and form prescribed by the Secretary, of the installation. If the Secretary does not receive notice of installation, the Secretary shall cancel the MDDP.

~~A MDDP shall not become effective prior to the 31st day of the original statutory summary suspension.~~

Upon receipt of the notice, as provided in paragraph (a) of this Section, the person may file a petition to decline issuance of the MDDP with the court of venue. The court shall admonish the offender of all consequences of declining issuance of the MDDP including, but not limited to, the enhanced penalties for driving while suspended. After being so admonished, the offender shall be permitted, in writing, to execute a notice declining issuance of the MDDP. This notice shall be filed with the court and forwarded by the clerk of the court to the Secretary. The offender may, at any time thereafter, apply to the Secretary for issuance of a MDDP.

(a-1) A person issued a MDDP may drive for any purpose and at any time, subject to the rules adopted by the Secretary under subsection (g). The person must, at his or her own expense, drive only vehicles equipped with an ignition interlock device as defined in Section 1-129.1, but in no event shall such person drive a commercial motor vehicle.

(a-2) Persons who are issued a MDDP and must drive employer-owned vehicles in the course of their employment duties may seek permission to drive an employer-owned vehicle that does not have an ignition interlock device. The employer shall provide to the Secretary a form, as prescribed by the Secretary, completed by the employer verifying that the employee must drive an employer-owned vehicle in the course of employment. If approved by the Secretary, the form must be in the driver's possession while operating an employer-owner vehicle not equipped with an ignition interlock device. No person may use

this exemption to drive a school bus, school vehicle, or a vehicle designed to transport more than 15 passengers. No person may use this exemption to drive an employer-owned motor vehicle that is owned by an entity that is wholly or partially owned by the person holding the MDDP, or by a family member of the person holding the MDDP. No person may use this exemption to drive an employer-owned vehicle that is made available to the employee for personal use. No person may drive the exempted vehicle more than 12 hours per day, 6 days per week.

(a-3) Persons who are issued a MDDP and who must drive a farm tractor to and from a farm, within 50 air miles from the originating farm are exempt from installation of a BAIID on the farm tractor, so long as the farm tractor is being used for the exclusive purpose of conducting farm operations.

(b) (Blank).

(c) (Blank).

(c-1) If the holder of the MDDP is convicted of or receives court supervision for a violation of Section 6-206.2, 6-303, 11-204, 11-204.1, 11-401, 11-501, 11-503, 11-506 or a similar provision of a local ordinance or a similar out-of-state offense or is convicted of or receives court supervision for any offense for which alcohol or drugs is an element of the offense and in which a motor vehicle was involved (for an arrest other than the one for which the MDDP is issued), or de-installs the BAIID without prior authorization from the Secretary, the MDDP shall be cancelled.

(c-5) If the Secretary determines that the person seeking the MDDP is indigent, the Secretary shall provide the person with a written document as evidence of that determination, and the person shall provide that written document to an ignition interlock device provider. The provider shall install an ignition interlock device on that person's vehicle without charge to the person, and seek reimbursement from the Indigent BAIID Fund. If the Secretary has deemed an offender indigent, the BAIID provider shall also provide the normal monthly monitoring services and the de-installation without charge to the offender and seek reimbursement from the Indigent BAIID Fund. Any other monetary charges, such as a lockout fee or reset fee, shall be the responsibility of the MDDP holder. A BAIID provider may not seek a security deposit from the Indigent BAIID Fund.

(d) MDDP information shall be available only to the courts, police officers, and the Secretary, except during the actual period the MDDP is valid, during which time it shall be a public record.

(e) (Blank).

(f) (Blank).

(g) The Secretary shall adopt rules for implementing this Section. The rules adopted shall address issues including, but not limited to: compliance with the requirements of the MDDP; methods for determining compliance with those requirements; the consequences of noncompliance with those requirements; what constitutes a violation of the MDDP; methods for determining indigency; and the duties of a person or entity that supplies the ignition interlock device.

(h) The rules adopted under subsection (g) shall provide, at a minimum, that the person is not in compliance with the requirements of the MDDP if he or she:

(1) tampers or attempts to tamper with or circumvent the proper operation of the ignition interlock device;

(2) provides valid breath samples that register blood alcohol levels in excess of the number of times allowed under the rules;

(3) fails to provide evidence sufficient to satisfy the Secretary that the ignition interlock device has been installed in the designated vehicle or vehicles; or

(4) fails to follow any other applicable rules adopted by the Secretary.

(i) Any person or entity that supplies an ignition interlock device as provided under this Section shall, in addition to supplying only those devices which fully comply with all the rules adopted under subsection (g), provide the Secretary, within 7 days of inspection, all monitoring reports of each person who has had an ignition interlock device installed. These reports shall be furnished in a manner or form as prescribed by the Secretary.

(j) Upon making a determination that a violation of the requirements of the MDDP has occurred, the Secretary shall extend the summary suspension period for an additional 3 months beyond the originally imposed summary suspension period, during which time the person shall only be allowed to drive vehicles equipped with an ignition interlock device; provided further there are no limitations on the total number of times the summary suspension may be extended. The Secretary may, however, limit the number of extensions imposed for violations occurring during any one monitoring period, as set forth by rule. Any person whose summary suspension is extended pursuant to this Section shall have the right to contest the extension through a hearing with the Secretary, pursuant to Section 2-118 of this Code. If the summary suspension has already terminated prior to the Secretary receiving the monitoring report that shows a violation, the Secretary shall be authorized to suspend the person's driving privileges for 3 months,

provided that the Secretary may, by rule, limit the number of suspensions to be entered pursuant to this paragraph for violations occurring during any one monitoring period. Any person whose license is suspended pursuant to this paragraph, after the summary suspension had already terminated, shall have the right to contest the suspension through a hearing with the Secretary, pursuant to Section 2-118 of this Code. The only permit the person shall be eligible for during this new suspension period is a MDDP.

(k) A person who has had his or her summary suspension extended for the third time, or has any combination of 3 extensions and new suspensions, entered as a result of a violation that occurred while holding the MDDP, so long as the extensions and new suspensions relate to the same summary suspension, shall have his or her vehicle impounded for a period of 30 days, at the person's own expense. A person who has his or her summary suspension extended for the fourth time, or has any combination of 4 extensions and new suspensions, entered as a result of a violation that occurred while holding the MDDP, so long as the extensions and new suspensions relate to the same summary suspension, shall have his or her vehicle subject to seizure and forfeiture. The Secretary shall notify the prosecuting authority of any third or fourth extensions or new suspension entered as a result of a violation that occurred while the person held a MDDP. Upon receipt of the notification, the prosecuting authority shall impound or forfeit the vehicle. The impoundment or forfeiture of a vehicle shall be conducted pursuant to the procedure specified in Article 36 of the Criminal Code of 2012.

(l) A person whose driving privileges have been suspended under Section 11-501.1 of this Code and who had a MDDP that was cancelled, or would have been cancelled had notification of a violation been received prior to expiration of the MDDP, pursuant to subsection (c-1) of this Section, shall not be eligible for reinstatement when the summary suspension is scheduled to terminate. Instead, the person's driving privileges shall be suspended for a period of not less than twice the original summary suspension period, or for the length of any extensions entered under subsection (j), whichever is longer. During the period of suspension, the person shall be eligible only to apply for a restricted driving permit. If a restricted driving permit is granted, the offender may only operate vehicles equipped with a BAIID in accordance with this Section.

(m) Any person or entity that supplies an ignition interlock device under this Section shall, for each ignition interlock device installed, pay 5% of the total gross revenue received for the device, including monthly monitoring fees, into the Indigent BAIID Fund. This 5% shall be clearly indicated as a separate surcharge on each invoice that is issued. The Secretary shall conduct an annual review of the fund to determine whether the surcharge is sufficient to provide for indigent users. The Secretary may increase or decrease this surcharge requirement as needed.

(n) Any person or entity that supplies an ignition interlock device under this Section that is requested to provide an ignition interlock device to a person who presents written documentation of indigency from the Secretary, as provided in subsection (c-5) of this Section, shall install the device on the person's vehicle without charge to the person and shall seek reimbursement from the Indigent BAIID Fund.

(o) The Indigent BAIID Fund is created as a special fund in the State treasury. The Secretary shall, subject to appropriation by the General Assembly, use all money in the Indigent BAIID Fund to reimburse ignition interlock device providers who have installed devices in vehicles of indigent persons. The Secretary shall make payments to such providers every 3 months. If the amount of money in the fund at the time payments are made is not sufficient to pay all requests for reimbursement submitted during that 3 month period, the Secretary shall make payments on a pro-rata basis, and those payments shall be considered payment in full for the requests submitted.

(p) The Monitoring Device Driving Permit Administration Fee Fund is created as a special fund in the State treasury. The Secretary shall, subject to appropriation by the General Assembly, use the money paid into this fund to offset its administrative costs for administering MDDPs.

(q) The Secretary is authorized to prescribe such forms as it deems necessary to carry out the provisions of this Section.

(Source: P.A. 97-229, eff. 7-28-11; 97-813, eff. 7-13-12; 97-1150, eff. 1-25-13; 98-122, eff. 1-1-14; 98-1015, eff. 8-22-14; 98-1172, eff. 1-12-15.)

(625 ILCS 5/6-208.1) (from Ch. 95 1/2, par. 6-208.1)

Sec. 6-208.1. Period of statutory summary alcohol, other drug, or intoxicating compound related suspension or revocation.

(a) Unless the statutory summary suspension has been rescinded, any person whose privilege to drive a motor vehicle on the public highways has been summarily suspended, pursuant to Section 11-501.1, shall not be eligible for restoration of the privilege until the expiration of:

1. twelve months from the effective date of the statutory summary suspension for a

refusal or failure to complete a test or tests to determine the alcohol, other drug, or intoxicating compound concentration under Section 11-501.1, if the person was not involved in a motor vehicle accident that caused personal injury or death to another; or

2. six months from the effective date of the statutory summary suspension imposed following the person's submission to a chemical test which disclosed an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or intoxicating compound in such person's breath, blood, or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, pursuant to Section 11-501.1; or

3. three years from the effective date of the statutory summary suspension for any person other than a first offender who refuses or fails to complete a test or tests to determine the alcohol, drug, or intoxicating compound concentration pursuant to Section 11-501.1; or

4. one year from the effective date of the summary suspension imposed for any person other than a first offender following submission to a chemical test which disclosed an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more pursuant to Section 11-501.1 or any amount of a drug, substance or compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act; or

5. (Blank).

(b) Following a statutory summary suspension of the privilege to drive a motor vehicle under Section 11-501.1, driving privileges shall be restored unless the person is otherwise suspended, revoked, or cancelled by this Code. If the court has reason to believe that the person's driving privilege should not be restored, the court shall notify the Secretary of State prior to the expiration of the statutory summary suspension so appropriate action may be taken pursuant to this Code.

(c) Driving privileges may not be restored until all applicable reinstatement fees, as provided by this Code, have been paid to the Secretary of State and the appropriate entry made to the driver's record.

(d) Where a driving privilege has been summarily suspended or revoked under Section 11-501.1 and the person is subsequently convicted of violating Section 11-501, or a similar provision of a local ordinance, for the same incident, any period served on statutory summary suspension or revocation shall be credited toward the minimum period of revocation of driving privileges imposed pursuant to Section 6-205.

(e) A first offender who refused chemical testing and whose driving privileges were summarily revoked pursuant to Section 11-501.1 shall not be eligible for a monitoring device driving permit, but may make application for reinstatement or for a restricted driving permit after a period of one year has elapsed from the effective date of the revocation.

(f) (Blank).

~~(g) (Blank). Following a statutory summary suspension of driving privileges pursuant to Section 11-501.1 where the person was not a first offender, as defined in Section 11-500, the Secretary of State may not issue a restricted driving permit.~~

(h) (Blank).

(Source: P.A. 97-229, eff. 7-28-11; 98-122, eff. 1-1-14; 98-1015, eff. 8-22-14; 98-1172, eff. 1-12-15.)

(625 ILCS 5/6-517) (from Ch. 95 1/2, par. 6-517)

Sec. 6-517. Commercial driver; implied consent warnings.

(a) Any person driving a commercial motor vehicle who is requested by a police officer, pursuant to Section 6-516, to submit to a chemical test or tests to determine the alcohol concentration or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act in such person's system, must be warned by the police officer requesting the test or tests that a refusal to submit to the test or tests will result in that person being immediately placed out-of-service for a period of 24 hours and being disqualified from operating a commercial motor vehicle for a period of not less than 12 months; the person shall also be warned that if such person submits to testing which discloses an alcohol concentration of greater than 0.00 but less than 0.04 or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and

Community Protection Act, such person shall be placed immediately out-of-service for a period of 24 hours; if the person submits to testing which discloses an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, such person shall be placed immediately out-of-service and disqualified from driving a commercial motor vehicle for a period of at least 12 months; also the person shall be warned that if such testing discloses an alcohol concentration of 0.08, or more or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, in addition to the person being immediately placed out-of-service and disqualified for 12 months as provided in this UCDLA, the results of such testing shall also be admissible in prosecutions for violations of Section 11-501 of this Code, or similar violations of local ordinances, however, such results shall not be used to impose any driving sanctions pursuant to Section 11-501.1 of this Code.

The person shall also be warned that any disqualification imposed pursuant to this Section, shall be for life for any such offense or refusal, or combination thereof; including a conviction for violating Section 11-501 while driving a commercial motor vehicle, or similar provisions of local ordinances, committed a second time involving separate incidents.

A person requested to submit to a test shall also acknowledge, in writing, receipt of the warning required under this Section. If the person refuses to acknowledge receipt of the warning, the police officer shall make a written notation on the warning that the person refused to sign the warning. A person's refusal to sign the warning shall not be evidence that the person was not read the warning.

(b) If the person refuses or fails to complete testing, or submits to a test which discloses an alcohol concentration of at least 0.04, or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, the law enforcement officer must submit a Sworn Report to the Secretary of State, in a form prescribed by the Secretary, certifying that the test or tests was requested pursuant to paragraph (a); that the person was warned, as provided in paragraph (a) and that such person refused to submit to or failed to complete testing, or submitted to a test which disclosed an alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act.

(c) The police officer submitting the Sworn Report under this Section shall serve notice of the CDL disqualification on the person and such CDL disqualification shall be effective as provided in paragraph (d). In cases where the blood alcohol concentration of 0.04 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, is established by subsequent analysis of blood or urine collected at the time of the request, the police officer shall give notice as provided in this Section or by deposit in the United States mail of such notice as provided in this Section or by deposit in the United States mail of such notice in an envelope with postage prepaid and addressed to such person's domiciliary address as shown on the Sworn Report and the CDL disqualification shall begin as provided in paragraph (d).

(d) The CDL disqualification referred to in this Section shall take effect on the 46th day following the date the Sworn Report was given to the affected person.

(e) Upon receipt of the Sworn Report from the police officer, the Secretary of State shall disqualify the person from driving any commercial motor vehicle and shall confirm the CDL disqualification by mailing the notice of the effective date to the person. However, should the Sworn Report be defective by not containing sufficient information or be completed in error, the confirmation of the CDL disqualification shall not be mailed to the affected person or entered into the record, instead the Sworn Report shall be forwarded to the issuing agency identifying any such defect.

(Source: P.A. 95-355, eff. 1-1-08.)

(625 ILCS 5/11-501.1)

Sec. 11-501.1. Suspension of drivers license; statutory summary alcohol, other drug or drugs, or intoxicating compound or compounds related suspension or revocation; implied consent.

(a) Any person who drives or is in actual physical control of a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this State shall be deemed to have given consent, subject to the provisions of Section 11-501.2, to a chemical test or tests of blood, breath, or urine for the purpose of determining the content of alcohol, other drug or drugs, or intoxicating compound or compounds or any combination thereof in the person's blood if arrested, as evidenced by the issuance of a Uniform Traffic Ticket, for any offense as defined in Section 11-501 or a similar provision of a local ordinance, or if arrested for violating Section 11-401. If a law enforcement officer has probable cause to believe the person was under the influence of alcohol, other drug or drugs, intoxicating compound or compounds, or any combination thereof, the law enforcement officer shall request a chemical test or tests which shall be administered at the direction of the arresting officer. The law enforcement agency employing the officer shall designate which of the aforesaid tests shall be administered. A urine test may be administered even after a blood or breath test or both has been administered. For purposes of this Section, an Illinois law enforcement officer of this State who is investigating the person for any offense defined in Section 11-501 may travel into an adjoining State, where the person has been transported for medical care, to complete an investigation and to request that the person submit to the test or tests set forth in this Section. The requirements of this Section that the person be arrested are inapplicable, but the officer shall issue the person a Uniform Traffic Ticket for an offense as defined in Section 11-501 or a similar provision of a local ordinance prior to requesting that the person submit to the test or tests. The issuance of the Uniform Traffic Ticket shall not constitute an arrest, but shall be for the purpose of notifying the person that he or she is subject to the provisions of this Section and of the officer's belief of the existence of probable cause to arrest. Upon returning to this State, the officer shall file the Uniform Traffic Ticket with the Circuit Clerk of the county where the offense was committed, and shall seek the issuance of an arrest warrant or a summons for the person.

(a-5) (Blank).

(b) Any person who is dead, unconscious, or who is otherwise in a condition rendering the person incapable of refusal, shall be deemed not to have withdrawn the consent provided by paragraph (a) of this Section and the test or tests may be administered, subject to the provisions of Section 11-501.2.

(c) A person requested to submit to a test as provided above shall be warned by the law enforcement officer requesting the test that a refusal to submit to the test will result in the statutory summary suspension of the person's privilege to operate a motor vehicle, as provided in Section 6-208.1 of this Code, and will also result in the disqualification of the person's privilege to operate a commercial motor vehicle, as provided in Section 6-514 of this Code, if the person is a CDL holder. The person shall also be warned that a refusal to submit to the test, when the person was involved in a motor vehicle accident that caused personal injury or death to another, will result in the statutory summary revocation of the person's privilege to operate a motor vehicle, as provided in Section 6-208.1, and will also result in the disqualification of the person's privilege to operate a commercial motor vehicle, as provided in Section 6-514 of this Code, if the person is a CDL holder. The person shall also be warned by the law enforcement officer that if the person submits to the test or tests provided in paragraph (a) of this Section and the alcohol concentration in the person's blood or breath is 0.08 or greater, or any amount of a drug, substance, or compound resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis as covered by the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act is detected in the person's blood or urine, a statutory summary suspension of the person's privilege to operate a motor vehicle, as provided in Sections 6-208.1 and 11-501.1 of this Code, and a disqualification of the person's privilege to operate a commercial motor vehicle, as provided in Section 6-514 of this Code, if the person is a CDL holder, will be imposed.

A person who is under the age of 21 at the time the person is requested to submit to a test as provided above shall, in addition to the warnings provided for in this Section, be further warned by the law enforcement officer requesting the test that if the person submits to the test or tests provided in paragraph (a) of this Section and the alcohol concentration in the person's blood or breath is greater than 0.00 and less than 0.08, a suspension of the person's privilege to operate a motor vehicle, as provided under Sections 6-208.2 and 11-501.8 of this Code, will be imposed. The results of this test shall be admissible in a civil or criminal action or proceeding arising from an arrest for an offense as defined in Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance or pursuant to Section 11-501.4 in prosecutions for reckless homicide brought under the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012. These test

results, however, shall be admissible only in actions or proceedings directly related to the incident upon which the test request was made.

A person requested to submit to a test shall also acknowledge, in writing, receipt of the warning required under this Section. If the person refuses to acknowledge receipt of the warning, the law enforcement officer shall make a written notation on the warning that the person refused to sign the warning. A person's refusal to sign the warning shall not be evidence that the person was not read the warning.

(d) If the person refuses testing or submits to a test that discloses an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or intoxicating compound in the person's breath, blood, or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, the law enforcement officer shall immediately submit a sworn report to the circuit court of venue and the Secretary of State, certifying that the test or tests was or were requested under paragraph (a) and the person refused to submit to a test, or tests, or submitted to testing that disclosed an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more.

(e) Upon receipt of the sworn report of a law enforcement officer submitted under paragraph (d), the Secretary of State shall enter the statutory summary suspension or revocation and disqualification for the periods specified in Sections 6-208.1 and 6-514, respectively, and effective as provided in paragraph (g).

If the person is a first offender as defined in Section 11-500 of this Code, and is not convicted of a violation of Section 11-501 of this Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance, then reports received by the Secretary of State under this Section shall, except during the actual time the Statutory Summary Suspension is in effect, be privileged information and for use only by the courts, police officers, prosecuting authorities or the Secretary of State, unless the person is a CDL holder, is operating a commercial motor vehicle or vehicle required to be placarded for hazardous materials, in which case the suspension shall not be privileged. Reports received by the Secretary of State under this Section shall also be made available to the parent or guardian of a person under the age of 18 years that holds an instruction permit or a graduated driver's license, regardless of whether the statutory summary suspension is in effect. A statutory summary revocation shall not be privileged information.

(f) The law enforcement officer submitting the sworn report under paragraph (d) shall serve immediate notice of the statutory summary suspension or revocation on the person and the suspension or revocation and disqualification shall be effective as provided in paragraph (g).

(1) In cases where the blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 or greater or any amount of a

drug, substance, or compound resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis as covered by the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act is established by a subsequent analysis of blood or urine collected at the time of arrest, the arresting officer or arresting agency shall give notice as provided in this Section or by deposit in the United States mail of the notice in an envelope with postage prepaid and addressed to the person at his address as shown on the Uniform Traffic Ticket and the statutory summary suspension and disqualification shall begin as provided in paragraph (g). The officer shall confiscate any Illinois driver's license or permit on the person at the time of arrest. If the person has a valid driver's license or permit, the officer shall issue the person a receipt, in a form prescribed by the Secretary of State, that will allow that person to drive during the periods provided for in paragraph (g). The officer shall immediately forward the driver's license or permit to the circuit court of venue along with the sworn report provided for in paragraph (d).

(2) (Blank).

(g) The statutory summary suspension or revocation and disqualification referred to in this Section shall take effect on the 46th day following the date the notice of the statutory summary suspension or revocation was given to the person.

(h) The following procedure shall apply whenever a person is arrested for any offense as defined in Section 11-501 or a similar provision of a local ordinance:

Upon receipt of the sworn report from the law enforcement officer, the Secretary of State shall confirm the statutory summary suspension or revocation by mailing a notice of the effective date of the suspension or revocation to the person and the court of venue. The Secretary of State shall also mail notice of the effective date of the disqualification to the person. However, should the sworn report be defective by not containing sufficient information or be completed in error, the confirmation of the statutory summary suspension or revocation shall not be mailed to the person or entered to the record; instead, the sworn report shall be forwarded to the court of venue with a copy returned to the issuing agency identifying any defect.

(i) As used in this Section, "personal injury" includes any Type A injury as indicated on the traffic accident report completed by a law enforcement officer that requires immediate professional attention in either a doctor's office or a medical facility. A Type A injury includes severely bleeding wounds, distorted extremities, and injuries that require the injured party to be carried from the scene.

(Source: P.A. 97-333, eff. 8-12-11; 97-471, eff. 8-22-11; 97-1150, eff. 1-25-13; 98-122, eff. 1-1-14; 98-1172, eff. 1-12-15.)

(625 ILCS 5/11-501.6) (from Ch. 95 1/2, par. 11-501.6)

Sec. 11-501.6. Driver involvement in personal injury or fatal motor vehicle accident; chemical test.

(a) Any person who drives or is in actual control of a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this State and who has been involved in a personal injury or fatal motor vehicle accident, shall be deemed to have given consent to a breath test using a portable device as approved by the Department of State Police or to a chemical test or tests of blood, breath, or urine for the purpose of determining the content of alcohol, other drug or drugs, or intoxicating compound or compounds of such person's blood if arrested as evidenced by the issuance of a Uniform Traffic Ticket for any violation of the Illinois Vehicle Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance, with the exception of equipment violations contained in Chapter 12 of this Code, or similar provisions of local ordinances. The test or tests shall be administered at the direction of the arresting officer. The law enforcement agency employing the officer shall designate which of the aforesaid tests shall be administered. A urine test may be administered even after a blood or breath test or both has been administered. Compliance with this Section does not relieve such person from the requirements of Section 11-501.1 of this Code.

(b) Any person who is dead, unconscious or who is otherwise in a condition rendering such person incapable of refusal shall be deemed not to have withdrawn the consent provided by subsection (a) of this Section. In addition, if a driver of a vehicle is receiving medical treatment as a result of a motor vehicle accident, any physician licensed to practice medicine, licensed physician assistant, licensed advanced practice nurse, registered nurse or a phlebotomist acting under the direction of a licensed physician shall withdraw blood for testing purposes to ascertain the presence of alcohol, other drug or drugs, or intoxicating compound or compounds, upon the specific request of a law enforcement officer. However, no such testing shall be performed until, in the opinion of the medical personnel on scene, the withdrawal can be made without interfering with or endangering the well-being of the patient.

(c) A person requested to submit to a test as provided above shall be warned by the law enforcement officer requesting the test that a refusal to submit to the test, or submission to the test resulting in an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or intoxicating compound resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis, as covered by the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act as detected in such person's blood or urine, may result in the suspension of such person's privilege to operate a motor vehicle and may result in the disqualification of the person's privilege to operate a commercial motor vehicle, as provided in Section 6-514 of this Code, if the person is a CDL holder. The length of the suspension shall be the same as outlined in Section 6-208.1 of this Code regarding statutory summary suspensions.

A person requested to submit to a test shall also acknowledge, in writing, receipt of the warning required under this Section. If the person refuses to acknowledge receipt of the warning, the law enforcement officer shall make a written notation on the warning that the person refused to sign the warning. A person's refusal to sign the warning shall not be evidence that the person was not read the warning.

(d) If the person refuses testing or submits to a test which discloses an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or intoxicating compound in such person's blood or urine resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, the law enforcement officer shall immediately submit a sworn report to the Secretary of State on a form prescribed by the Secretary, certifying that the test or tests were requested pursuant to subsection (a) and the person refused to submit to a test or tests or submitted to testing which disclosed an alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or intoxicating compound in such person's blood or urine, resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act.

Upon receipt of the sworn report of a law enforcement officer, the Secretary shall enter the suspension and disqualification to the individual's driving record and the suspension and disqualification shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice of the suspension was given to the person.

The law enforcement officer submitting the sworn report shall serve immediate notice of this suspension on the person and such suspension and disqualification shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice was given.

In cases where the blood alcohol concentration of 0.08 or more, or any amount of a drug, substance, or intoxicating compound resulting from the unlawful use or consumption of cannabis as listed in the Cannabis Control Act, a controlled substance listed in the Illinois Controlled Substances Act, an intoxicating compound listed in the Use of Intoxicating Compounds Act, or methamphetamine as listed in the Methamphetamine Control and Community Protection Act, is established by a subsequent analysis of blood or urine collected at the time of arrest, the arresting officer shall give notice as provided in this Section or by deposit in the United States mail of such notice in an envelope with postage prepaid and addressed to such person at his address as shown on the Uniform Traffic Ticket and the suspension and disqualification shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice was given.

Upon receipt of the sworn report of a law enforcement officer, the Secretary shall also give notice of the suspension and disqualification to the driver by mailing a notice of the effective date of the suspension and disqualification to the individual. However, should the sworn report be defective by not containing sufficient information or be completed in error, the notice of the suspension and disqualification shall not be mailed to the person or entered to the driving record, but rather the sworn report shall be returned to the issuing law enforcement agency.

(e) A driver may contest this suspension of his or her driving privileges and disqualification of his or her CDL privileges by requesting an administrative hearing with the Secretary in accordance with Section 2-118 of this Code. At the conclusion of a hearing held under Section 2-118 of this Code, the Secretary may rescind, continue, or modify the orders of suspension and disqualification. If the Secretary does not rescind the orders of suspension and disqualification, a restricted driving permit may be granted by the Secretary upon application being made and good cause shown. A restricted driving permit may be granted to relieve undue hardship to allow driving for employment, educational, and medical purposes as outlined in Section 6-206 of this Code. The provisions of Section 6-206 of this Code shall apply. In accordance with 49 C.F.R. 384, the Secretary of State may not issue a restricted driving permit for the operation of a commercial motor vehicle to a person holding a CDL whose driving privileges have been suspended, revoked, cancelled, or disqualified.

(f) (Blank).

(g) For the purposes of this Section, a personal injury shall include any type A injury as indicated on the traffic accident report completed by a law enforcement officer that requires immediate professional attention in either a doctor's office or a medical facility. A type A injury shall include severely bleeding wounds, distorted extremities, and injuries that require the injured party to be carried from the scene.

(Source: P.A. 96-1344, eff. 7-1-11; 97-450, eff. 8-19-11; 97-835, eff. 7-20-12.)

(625 ILCS 5/11-501.8)

Sec. 11-501.8. Suspension of driver's license; persons under age 21.

(a) A person who is less than 21 years of age and who drives or is in actual physical control of a motor vehicle upon the public highways of this State shall be deemed to have given consent to a chemical test or tests of blood, breath, or urine for the purpose of determining the alcohol content of the person's blood if arrested, as evidenced by the issuance of a Uniform Traffic Ticket for any violation of the Illinois Vehicle Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance, if a police officer has probable cause to believe that the driver has consumed any amount of an alcoholic beverage based upon evidence of the driver's physical condition or other first hand knowledge of the police officer. The test or tests shall be administered at the direction of the arresting officer. The law enforcement agency employing the officer shall designate which of the aforesaid tests shall be administered. A urine test may be administered even after a blood or breath test or both has been administered.

(b) A person who is dead, unconscious, or who is otherwise in a condition rendering that person incapable of refusal, shall be deemed not to have withdrawn the consent provided by paragraph (a) of this Section and the test or tests may be administered subject to the following provisions:

(i) Chemical analysis of the person's blood, urine, breath, or other bodily substance, to be considered valid under the provisions of this Section, shall have been performed according to standards promulgated by the Department of State Police by an individual possessing a valid permit issued by that Department for this purpose. The Director of State Police is authorized to approve satisfactory techniques or methods, to ascertain the qualifications and competence of individuals to conduct analyses, to issue permits that shall be subject to termination or revocation at the direction of

that Department, and to certify the accuracy of breath testing equipment. The Department of State Police shall prescribe regulations as necessary.

(ii) When a person submits to a blood test at the request of a law enforcement officer under the provisions of this Section, only a physician authorized to practice medicine, a licensed physician assistant, a licensed advanced practice nurse, a registered nurse, or other qualified person trained in venipuncture and acting under the direction of a licensed physician may withdraw blood for the purpose of determining the alcohol content therein. This limitation does not apply to the taking of breath or urine specimens.

(iii) The person tested may have a physician, qualified technician, chemist, registered nurse, or other qualified person of his or her own choosing administer a chemical test or tests in addition to any test or tests administered at the direction of a law enforcement officer. The failure or inability to obtain an additional test by a person shall not preclude the consideration of the previously performed chemical test.

(iv) Upon a request of the person who submits to a chemical test or tests at the request of a law enforcement officer, full information concerning the test or tests shall be made available to the person or that person's attorney.

(v) Alcohol concentration means either grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath.

(vi) If a driver is receiving medical treatment as a result of a motor vehicle accident, a physician licensed to practice medicine, licensed physician assistant, licensed advanced practice nurse, registered nurse, or other qualified person trained in venipuncture and acting under the direction of a licensed physician shall withdraw blood for testing purposes to ascertain the presence of alcohol upon the specific request of a law enforcement officer. However, that testing shall not be performed until, in the opinion of the medical personnel on scene, the withdrawal can be made without interfering with or endangering the well-being of the patient.

(c) A person requested to submit to a test as provided above shall be warned by the law enforcement officer requesting the test that a refusal to submit to the test, or submission to the test resulting in an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00, may result in the loss of that person's privilege to operate a motor vehicle and may result in the disqualification of the person's privilege to operate a commercial motor vehicle, as provided in Section 6-514 of this Code, if the person is a CDL holder. The loss of driving privileges shall be imposed in accordance with Section 6-208.2 of this Code.

A person requested to submit to a test shall also acknowledge, in writing, receipt of the warning required under this Section. If the person refuses to acknowledge receipt of the warning, the law enforcement officer shall make a written notation on the warning that the person refused to sign the warning. A person's refusal to sign the warning shall not be evidence that the person was not read the warning.

(d) If the person refuses testing or submits to a test that discloses an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00, the law enforcement officer shall immediately submit a sworn report to the Secretary of State on a form prescribed by the Secretary of State, certifying that the test or tests were requested under subsection (a) and the person refused to submit to a test or tests or submitted to testing which disclosed an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00. The law enforcement officer shall submit the same sworn report when a person under the age of 21 submits to testing under Section 11-501.1 of this Code and the testing discloses an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 and less than 0.08.

Upon receipt of the sworn report of a law enforcement officer, the Secretary of State shall enter the suspension and disqualification on the individual's driving record and the suspension and disqualification shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice of the suspension was given to the person. If this suspension is the individual's first driver's license suspension under this Section, reports received by the Secretary of State under this Section shall, except during the time the suspension is in effect, be privileged information and for use only by the courts, police officers, prosecuting authorities, the Secretary of State, or the individual personally, unless the person is a CDL holder, is operating a commercial motor vehicle or vehicle required to be placarded for hazardous materials, in which case the suspension shall not be privileged. Reports received by the Secretary of State under this Section shall also be made available to the parent or guardian of a person under the age of 18 years that holds an instruction permit or a graduated driver's license, regardless of whether the suspension is in effect.

The law enforcement officer submitting the sworn report shall serve immediate notice of this suspension on the person and the suspension and disqualification shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice was given.

In cases where the blood alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 is established by a subsequent analysis of blood or urine, the police officer or arresting agency shall give notice as provided in this Section or by deposit in the United States mail of that notice in an envelope with postage prepaid and addressed to that

person at his last known address and the loss of driving privileges shall be effective on the 46th day following the date notice was given.

Upon receipt of the sworn report of a law enforcement officer, the Secretary of State shall also give notice of the suspension and disqualification to the driver by mailing a notice of the effective date of the suspension and disqualification to the individual. However, should the sworn report be defective by not containing sufficient information or be completed in error, the notice of the suspension and disqualification shall not be mailed to the person or entered to the driving record, but rather the sworn report shall be returned to the issuing law enforcement agency.

(e) A driver may contest this suspension and disqualification by requesting an administrative hearing with the Secretary of State in accordance with Section 2-118 of this Code. An individual whose blood alcohol concentration is shown to be more than 0.00 is not subject to this Section if he or she consumed alcohol in the performance of a religious service or ceremony. An individual whose blood alcohol concentration is shown to be more than 0.00 shall not be subject to this Section if the individual's blood alcohol concentration resulted only from ingestion of the prescribed or recommended dosage of medicine that contained alcohol. The petition for that hearing shall not stay or delay the effective date of the impending suspension. The scope of this hearing shall be limited to the issues of:

(1) whether the police officer had probable cause to believe that the person was driving or in actual physical control of a motor vehicle upon the public highways of the State and the police officer had reason to believe that the person was in violation of any provision of the Illinois Vehicle Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance; and

(2) whether the person was issued a Uniform Traffic Ticket for any violation of the Illinois Vehicle Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance; and

(3) whether the police officer had probable cause to believe that the driver had consumed any amount of an alcoholic beverage based upon the driver's physical actions or other first-hand knowledge of the police officer; and

(4) whether the person, after being advised by the officer that the privilege to operate a motor vehicle would be suspended if the person refused to submit to and complete the test or tests, did refuse to submit to or complete the test or tests to determine the person's alcohol concentration; and

(5) whether the person, after being advised by the officer that the privileges to operate a motor vehicle would be suspended if the person submits to a chemical test or tests and the test or tests disclose an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00, did submit to and complete the test or tests that determined an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00; and

(6) whether the test result of an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 was based upon the person's consumption of alcohol in the performance of a religious service or ceremony; and

(7) whether the test result of an alcohol concentration of more than 0.00 was based upon the person's consumption of alcohol through ingestion of the prescribed or recommended dosage of medicine.

At the conclusion of the hearing held under Section 2-118 of this Code, the Secretary of State may rescind, continue, or modify the suspension and disqualification. If the Secretary of State does not rescind the suspension and disqualification, a restricted driving permit may be granted by the Secretary of State upon application being made and good cause shown. A restricted driving permit may be granted to relieve undue hardship by allowing driving for employment, educational, and medical purposes as outlined in item (3) of part (c) of Section 6-206 of this Code. The provisions of item (3) of part (c) of Section 6-206 of this Code and of subsection (f) of that Section shall apply. The Secretary of State shall promulgate rules providing for participation in an alcohol education and awareness program or activity, a drug education and awareness program or activity, or both as a condition to the issuance of a restricted driving permit for suspensions imposed under this Section.

(f) The results of any chemical testing performed in accordance with subsection (a) of this Section are not admissible in any civil or criminal proceeding, except that the results of the testing may be considered at a hearing held under Section 2-118 of this Code. However, the results of the testing may not be used to impose driver's license sanctions under Section 11-501.1 of this Code. A law enforcement officer may, however, pursue a statutory summary suspension or revocation of driving privileges under Section 11-501.1 of this Code if other physical evidence or first hand knowledge forms the basis of that suspension or revocation.

(g) This Section applies only to drivers who are under age 21 at the time of the issuance of a Uniform Traffic Ticket for a violation of the Illinois Vehicle Code or a similar provision of a local ordinance, and a chemical test request is made under this Section.

(h) The action of the Secretary of State in suspending, revoking, cancelling, or disqualifying any license or permit shall be subject to judicial review in the Circuit Court of Sangamon County or in the Circuit

Court of Cook County, and the provisions of the Administrative Review Law and its rules are hereby adopted and shall apply to and govern every action for the judicial review of final acts or decisions of the Secretary of State under this Section.
(Source: P.A. 96-1080, eff. 7-16-10; 96-1344, eff. 7-1-11; 97-333, eff. 8-12-11; 97-450, eff. 8-19-11).")

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 627**, with House Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:
SENATE BILL NO. 653

A bill for AN ACT concerning State government.

Together with the following amendment which is attached, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:
House Amendment No. 1 to SENATE BILL NO. 653
Passed the House, as amended, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE BILL 653

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Bill 653 as follows:

on page 1, line 8, by replacing "The" with "Subject to appropriations, the"; and

on page 1, line 8, after "establish", by inserting "within its operations"; and

on page 1, line 10, by deleting "or its contractors".

Under the rules, the foregoing **Senate Bill No. 653**, with House Amendment No. 1, was referred to the Secretary's Desk.

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of bills of the following titles, to-wit:
SENATE BILL NO. 509

A bill for AN ACT concerning revenue.

SENATE BILL NO. 547

A bill for AN ACT concerning safety.

SENATE BILL NO. 626

A bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.

Passed the House, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the passage of a bill of the following title, to-wit:
SENATE BILL NO. 661

A bill for AN ACT concerning public health.

Passed the House, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

A message from the House by
Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

[May 26, 2015]

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has concurred with the Senate in the adoption of their amendment to a bill of the following title, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL 220

A bill for AN ACT concerning local government.

Which amendment is as follows:

Senate Amendment No. 3 to HOUSE BILL NO. 220

Concurred in by the House, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

SECRETARY'S DESK RESOLUTIONS

Senator Morrison moved that **Senate Resolution No. 330**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

The following amendment was offered in the Committee on Agriculture, adopted and ordered printed.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE RESOLUTION 330

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Resolution 330 on page 1, by replacing lines 15 through 20 with the following:

"RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we urge the Department of Natural Resources to administer a public education campaign that informs the public about the exact steps to take if a sick, injured, or orphaned animal is encountered in the wild; and be it further"; and

on page 2, by replacing lines 1 through 4 with the following:

"RESOLVED, That we urge the Department of Natural Resources to increase public education outreach in the months of March and April when infant animals are mistaken as orphaned and should be left as they were found; and be it further".

Senator Morrison moved that Senate Resolution No. 330, as amended, be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution, as amended, was adopted.

Senator Koehler moved that **Senate Resolution No. 412**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Koehler moved that Senate Resolution No. 412 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Senator Harris moved that **Senate Resolution No. 415**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Harris moved that Senate Resolution No. 415 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Senator Lightford moved that **Senate Resolution No. 477**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Lightford moved that Senate Resolution No. 477 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

[May 26, 2015]

Senator Biss moved that **Senate Resolution No. 547**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Biss moved that Senate Resolution No. 547 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Senator Muñoz moved that **Senate Resolution No. 548**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Muñoz moved that Senate Resolution No. 548 be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 53; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Barickman	Forby	Link	Radogno
Bennett	Haine	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Bertino-Tarrant	Harmon	Manar	Rezin
Biss	Harris	Martinez	Rose
Bivins	Hastings	McCann	Sandoval
Brady	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Bush	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Clayborne	Hutchinson	Morrison	Stears
Collins	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Connelly	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	Kotowski	Murphy	Mr. President
Cunningham	LaHood	Noland	
Delgado	Landek	Nybo	
Duffy	Lightford	Oberweis	

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Senator McCann moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 1**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator McCann moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 1 be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Sandoval
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stears
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Mr. President
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	

Delgado

Lightford

Oberweis

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Bush moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 8**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Bush moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 8 be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator McConnaughay moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 11**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

The following amendment was offered in the Committee on Transportation, adopted and ordered printed.

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 11

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Joint Resolution 11 by replacing everything after the heading with the following:

"WHEREAS, The citizens of the State of Illinois are increasingly using new technologies, including smartphones, in their daily lives; and

WHEREAS, The usage of such devices includes core day-to-day functions like working, banking, and shopping; and

WHEREAS, The State of Illinois recently enacted legislation to allow for digital "proof of insurance" for insuring motor vehicles; and

WHEREAS, There exists emerging technology that allows for secure digital driver's licenses; and

[May 26, 2015]

WHEREAS, Our neighboring state of Iowa is already developing a mobile application for smartphones to allow for digital driver's licenses; and

WHEREAS, Iowa plans to launch a "pilot program" in 2015 to allow for digital driver's licenses, with the hope to offer them to its citizens in 2016; and

WHEREAS, Delaware is also considering legislation to look into becoming the first state to issue digital driver's licenses; and

WHEREAS, Illinois has been at the forefront of emerging new technologies; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that there is created the Electronic Driver's License Task Force, consisting of the following members:

- (1) the Governor, or his or her designee;
- (2) the Secretary of State, or his or her designee;
- (3) one member of the General Assembly, appointed by the President of the Senate;
- (4) one member of the General Assembly, appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate;
- (5) one member of the General Assembly, appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (6) one member of the General Assembly, appointed by the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives; and
- (7) the Director of State Police, or his or her designee; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Secretary of State's Office shall provide staff and administrative support to the Task Force; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Task Force shall examine and make recommendations related to the feasibility and cost of the Secretary of State issuing electronic or "virtual" driver's licenses to Illinois residents, in addition to currently accepted forms of identification; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Task Force shall meet at least 3 times and shall report its findings to the General Assembly on or before December 31, 2015; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Task Force shall be dissolved after submitting its findings to the General Assembly."

Senator McConnaughay moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 11, as amended, be adopted. And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 59; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

[May 26, 2015]

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution, as amended, was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Manar moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 20**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

The following amendment was offered in the Committee on Higher Education, adopted and ordered printed:

AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 20

AMENDMENT NO. 1. Amend Senate Joint Resolution 20 on page 3, by replacing lines 13 through 22 with the following:

"RESOLVED, That the Commission shall be comprised of 11 members, including one senator appointed by the President of the Senate; one senator appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate; one representative appointed by the Speaker of the House; one representative appointed by the Minority Leader of the House; and 7 members appointed by the Board of Higher Education to represent a cross-section from education, including at least one member from a community college, one member from a public university, and one member from a private institution of higher education, as well as members of the employment and economic development communities and experts in quality post-secondary degree creation; and be it further".

Senator Manar moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 20, as amended, be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Duffy	Link	Radogno
Anderson	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Barickman	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Rose
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Sandoval
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McConaughay	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Mr. President
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution, as amended, was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Sullivan moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 21**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Sullivan moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 21 be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

[May 26, 2015]

YEAS 57; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Manar	Rezin
Anderson	Haine	Martinez	Righter
Barickman	Harmon	McCann	Rose
Bennett	Harris	McCarter	Sandoval
Biss	Hastings	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Bivins	Holmes	McGuire	Stadelman
Brady	Hunter	Morrison	Steans
Bush	Hutchinson	Mulroe	Sullivan
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Muñoz	Syverson
Collins	Koehler	Murphy	Trotter
Connelly	Kotowski	Noland	Van Pelt
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Nybo	Mr. President
Cunningham	Lightford	Oberweis	
Delgado	Link	Radogno	
Duffy	Luechtefeld	Raoul	

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Bush moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 22**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Bush moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 22 be adopted.

The motion prevailed.

And the resolution was adopted.

Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Rose moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 24**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Rose moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 24 be adopted.

And on that motion, a call of the roll was had resulting as follows:

YEAS 58; NAYS None.

The following voted in the affirmative:

Althoff	Forby	Luechtefeld	Raoul
Anderson	Haine	Manar	Rezin
Bennett	Harmon	Martinez	Righter
Bertino-Tarrant	Harris	McCann	Rose
Biss	Hastings	McCarter	Sandoval
Bivins	Holmes	McConnaughay	Silverstein
Brady	Hunter	McGuire	Stadelman
Bush	Hutchinson	Morrison	Steans
Clayborne	Jones, E.	Mulroe	Sullivan
Collins	Koehler	Muñoz	Syverson
Connelly	Kotowski	Murphy	Trotter
Cullerton, T.	LaHood	Noland	Van Pelt
Cunningham	Landek	Nybo	Mr. President
Delgado	Lightford	Oberweis	
Duffy	Link	Radogno	

[May 26, 2015]

The motion prevailed.
 And the resolution was adopted.
 Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Manar moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 25**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.
 The motion prevailed.
 Senator Manar moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 25 be adopted.
 The motion prevailed.
 And the resolution was adopted.
 Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

Senator Mulroe moved that **Senate Joint Resolution No. 27**, on the Secretary's Desk, be taken up for immediate consideration.
 The motion prevailed.
 Senator Mulroe moved that Senate Joint Resolution No. 27 be adopted.
 The motion prevailed.
 And the resolution was adopted.
 Ordered that the Secretary inform the House of Representatives thereof and ask their concurrence therein.

READING BILL FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A SECOND TIME

On motion of Senator E. Jones III, **House Bill No. 3577** was taken up, read by title a second time and ordered to a third reading.

PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTION

Senator E. Jones III offered the following Senate Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Assignments:

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 602

WHEREAS, The Constitution of the United States requires an enumeration of the population every 10 years to apportion congressional representation among the states; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 141 of Title 13 of the United States Code, the next federal decennial census of the population will be taken on the first day of April in 2020; and

WHEREAS, A complete and accurate count of Illinois' population is essential to the State because the census count determines congressional representation, state redistricting, federal grant formula allocation, and the distribution of state subvention funds for an entire decade until the next decennial census is taken; and

WHEREAS, There are over 70 federal programs benefiting Illinois that use census enumeration and population numbers as part of their funding formulas, including formulas for education, health, and human services programs; and

WHEREAS, The United States Census Bureau will endeavor to count every person in the nation, and many states will be initiating programs to promote the census; and

WHEREAS, It is vitally important for Illinois to do everything it can to ensure that every Illinoisan is counted in the 2020 United States Census; therefore, be it

[May 26, 2015]

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that the Illinois Complete Count Commission is hereby established; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission shall consist of the following members:

- (1) the President of the Senate or his designee;
- (2) the Speaker of the House of Representatives or his designee;
- (3) the Minority Leader of the Senate or her designee;
- (4) the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives or his designee;
- (5) the Mayor of Chicago or his designee;
- (6) the Governor of Illinois or his designee;
- (7) the President of the Cook County Board or her designee;
- (8) a representative of the Illinois Municipal League appointed by the Governor;
- (9) a representative of the Illinois Association of County Board Members and Commissioners appointed by the Governor;
- (10) a representative of the Chicago Urban League jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (11) a representative of the Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (12) a representative of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (13) a representative of the Illinois Chamber of Commerce appointed by the Governor;
- (14) a representative of the Chicago Chamber of Commerce jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (15) a representative of the Black Chamber of Commerce jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and
- (16) one representative each appointed by the President of the Senate or his or her designee, the Speaker of the House of Representatives or his or her designee, the Minority Leader of the Senate or his or her designee, and the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives or his or her designee; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Governor of Illinois and Mayor of Chicago shall serve as co-chairpersons of the Commission; the Commission shall meet at the call of the chair or any 10 members of the Commission; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission will develop, recommend, and assist in the administration of a census outreach strategy to encourage full participation in the 2020 federal decennial census of population required by Section 141 of Title 13 of the United States Code; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the census outreach strategy shall include, but not be limited to, State agency initiatives to encourage participation in the 2020 Census, the establishment and support of school-based outreach programs, partnerships with non-profit community-based organizations, and a multi-lingual, multi-media campaign designed to ensure an accurate and complete count of Illinois' population; and be it further

RESOLVED, That, in carrying out its duties, the Commission may create and appoint subcommittees as it deems appropriate and shall solicit participation from relevant experts and practitioners involved in census issues; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Illinois Complete Count effort will be coordinated out of the Office of the Governor and the Office of the Mayor of Chicago, which shall enlist all State and City of Chicago agencies and departments directly responsible to the Governor or the Mayor of Chicago, as the case may be, to identify effective methods of outreach to Illinoisans and to provide resources to ensure the outreach program is successful and that all Illinoisans are counted; each agency and department shall inform the Office of the Governor or Office of the Mayor of Chicago, as the case may be, of their designated census coordinator for purposes of this effort; all agencies and departments shall cooperate with the Commission and provide support to the Commission; and be it further

[May 26, 2015]

RESOLVED, That the Commission shall submit an interim report to the General Assembly by November 30, 2016, containing its recommended outreach strategy to encourage full participation and to avoid an undercount in the 2020 Census; thereafter, the Commission shall submit its final report to the General Assembly no later than November 30, 2017, specifying its recommended outreach strategy for implementation for the 2020 Census; and be it further

RESOLVED, That other entities of State government not directly responsible to the Governor, including other constitutional officers, the offices of the legislative and judicial branches, and units of local government shall cooperate and provide all reasonable assistance to the Commission.

READING BILL FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A SECOND TIME

On motion of Senator Hunter, **House Bill No. 3485** having been printed, was taken up and read by title a second time.

Floor Amendment No. 1 was held in the Committee on Executive.

Senator Hunter offered the following amendment and moved its adoption:

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO HOUSE BILL 3485

AMENDMENT NO. 2. Amend House Bill 3485 by replacing everything after the enacting clause with the following:

"Section 1. Short title. This Act may be cited as the Fair Practices in Contracting Task Force Act.

Section 5. Purpose and members.

(a) There is created the Fair Practices in Contracting Task Force to:

(1) thoroughly survey African-American-owned business participation in State procurement;

(2) study African-American-owned subcontractors' ability to be paid in a timely manner and the communication processes between subcontractors and prime contractors and the State;

(3) research solutions and methods to address the disparity in procurement awards; and

(4) produce a final report summarizing the Task Force's findings and detailing recommended statutory or constitutional strategies to recognize best practices.

(b) The Task Force shall consist of the following members:

(1) One member of the House of Representatives, appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives;

(2) One member of the House of Representatives, appointed by the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives;

(3) One member of the Senate, appointed by the President of the Senate;

(4) One member of the Senate, appointed by the Minority Leader of the Senate;

(5) Four members appointed by the Governor, 3 of whom must be from the Department of Central Management Services, the Department of Transportation, or the Department of Health and Family Services, and one of whom must be a member of the Illinois African American Family Commission; and

(6) Four members of the public, representing minority-owned businesses, appointed by the Governor.

(c) Members shall serve without compensation.

Section 10. Meetings.

(a) The Task Force shall hold its first meeting by March 1, 2016.

(b) The Department of Central Management Services shall assist the Task Force and provide administrative support, but shall have no hand in guiding its direction or ascertaining its results.

(c) The Task Force shall meet quarterly and report its findings to the General Assembly and the appropriate committees.

(d) The Task Force shall submit its final report to the General Assembly and the Governor no later than December 31, 2017.

Section 15. Repeal date. This Act is repealed on January 2, 2019."

[May 26, 2015]

The motion prevailed.
 And the amendment was adopted and ordered printed.
 There being no further amendments, the bill, as amended, was ordered to a third reading.

At the hour of 3:59 o'clock p.m., the Chair announced that the Senate stand at recess subject to the call of the Chair.

AFTER RECESS

At the hour of 7:35 o'clock p.m., the Senate resumed consideration of business.
 Senator Harmon, presiding.

PRESENTATION OF RESOLUTIONS

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 603

Offered by Senator Bennett and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of Patrick Louis Jolliff of Champaign.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 604

Offered by Senator Bennett and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of Charles Leslie Amacher of Savoy.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 605

Offered by Senator Bennett and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of Marvin Le Roy McDonald of Danville.

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 606

Offered by Senator Rezin and all Senators:
 Mourns the death of Eustachia "Diane" Grubaugh of Ottawa.

By unanimous consent, the foregoing resolutions were referred to the Resolutions Consent Calendar.

Senator E. Jones III offered the following Senate Joint Resolution, which was referred to the Committee on Assignments:

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 29

WHEREAS, The Constitution of the United States requires an enumeration of the population every 10 years to apportion congressional representation among the states; and

WHEREAS, Pursuant to Section 141 of Title 13 of the United States Code, the next federal decennial census of the population will be taken on the first day of April in 2020; and

WHEREAS, A complete and accurate count of Illinois' population is essential to the State because the census count determines congressional representation, state redistricting, federal grant formula allocation, and the distribution of state subvention funds for an entire decade until the next decennial census is taken; and

WHEREAS, There are over 70 federal programs benefiting Illinois that use census enumeration and population numbers as part of their funding formulas, including formulas for education, health, and human services programs; and

WHEREAS, The United States Census Bureau will endeavor to count every person in the nation, and many states will be initiating programs to promote the census; and

WHEREAS, It is vitally important for Illinois to do everything it can to ensure that every Illinoisan is counted in the 2020 United States Census; therefore, be it

RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that the Illinois Complete Count Commission is hereby established; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission shall consist of the following members:

- (1) the President of the Senate or his designee;
- (2) the Speaker of the House of Representatives or his designee;
- (3) the Minority Leader of the Senate or her designee;
- (4) the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives or his designee;
- (5) the Mayor of Chicago or his designee;
- (6) the Governor of Illinois or his designee;
- (7) the President of the Cook County Board or her designee;
- (8) a representative of the Illinois Municipal League appointed by the Governor;
- (9) a representative of the Illinois Association of County Board Members and Commissioners appointed by the Governor;
- (10) a representative of the Chicago Urban League jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (11) a representative of the Mexican American Legal Defense and Education Fund jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (12) a representative of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (13) a representative of the Illinois Chamber of Commerce appointed by the Governor;
- (14) a representative of the Chicago Chamber of Commerce jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives;
- (15) a representative of the Black Chamber of Commerce jointly appointed by the Senate President and the Speaker of the House of Representatives; and
- (16) one representative each appointed by the President of the Senate or his or her designee, the Speaker of the House of Representatives or his or her designee, the Minority Leader of the Senate or his or her designee, and the Minority Leader of the House of Representatives or his or her designee; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Governor of Illinois and Mayor of Chicago shall serve as co-chairpersons of the Commission; the Commission shall meet at the call of the chair or any 10 members of the Commission; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission will develop, recommend, and assist in the administration of a census outreach strategy to encourage full participation in the 2020 federal decennial census of population required by Section 141 of Title 13 of the United States Code; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the census outreach strategy shall include, but not be limited to, State agency initiatives to encourage participation in the 2020 Census, the establishment and support of school-based outreach programs, partnerships with non-profit community-based organizations, and a multi-lingual, multi-media campaign designed to ensure an accurate and complete count of Illinois' population; and be it further

RESOLVED, That, in carrying out its duties, the Commission may create and appoint subcommittees as it deems appropriate and shall solicit participation from relevant experts and practitioners involved in census issues; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Illinois Complete Count effort will be coordinated out of the Office of the Governor and the Office of the Mayor of Chicago, which shall enlist all State and City of Chicago agencies and departments directly responsible to the Governor or the Mayor of Chicago, as the case may be, to

[May 26, 2015]

identify effective methods of outreach to Illinoisans and to provide resources to ensure the outreach program is successful and that all Illinoisans are counted; each agency and department shall inform the Office of the Governor or Office of the Mayor of Chicago, as the case may be, of their designated census coordinator for purposes of this effort; all agencies and departments shall cooperate with the Commission and provide support to the Commission; and be it further

RESOLVED, That the Commission shall submit an interim report to the General Assembly by November 30, 2016, containing its recommended outreach strategy to encourage full participation and to avoid an undercount in the 2020 Census; thereafter, the Commission shall submit its final report to the General Assembly no later than November 30, 2017, specifying its recommended outreach strategy for implementation for the 2020 Census; and be it further

RESOLVED, That other entities of State government not directly responsible to the Governor, including other constitutional officers, the offices of the legislative and judicial branches, and units of local government shall cooperate and provide all reasonable assistance to the Commission.

INTRODUCTION OF BILL

SENATE BILL NO. 2140. Introduced by Senator Sullivan, a bill for AN ACT concerning transportation.

The bill was taken up, read by title a first time, ordered printed and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

REPORT FROM STANDING COMMITTEE

Senator Trotter, Chairperson of the Committee on Appropriations I, to which was referred the following Senate floor amendments, reported that the Committee recommends do adopt:

Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2029
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2030
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2031
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2032
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2033
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2034
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2035
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2036
 Senate Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2037

Under the rules, the foregoing floor amendments are eligible for consideration on second reading.

MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 4146
 A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.
 HOUSE BILL NO. 4153
 A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.
 HOUSE BILL NO. 4154
 A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.
 HOUSE BILL NO. 4158
 A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.
 HOUSE BILL NO. 4159

[May 26, 2015]

A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

HOUSE BILL NO. 4160

A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

HOUSE BILL NO. 4165

A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

Passed the House, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing **House Bills Numbered 4146, 4153, 4154, 4158, 4159, 4160 and 4165** were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

A message from the House by

Mr. Mapes, Clerk:

Mr. President -- I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

HOUSE BILL NO. 4147

A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

HOUSE BILL NO. 4148

A bill for AN ACT making appropriations.

Passed the House, May 26, 2015.

TIMOTHY D. MAPES, Clerk of the House

The foregoing **House Bills Numbered 4147 and 4148** were taken up, ordered printed and placed on first reading.

READING BILLS FROM THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES A FIRST TIME

House Bill No. 4146, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4147, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4148, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4153, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4154, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4158, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4159, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4160, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

House Bill No. 4165, sponsored by Senator J. Cullerton, was taken up, read by title a first time and referred to the Committee on Assignments.

[May 26, 2015]

At the hour of 7:40 o'clock p.m., the Chair announced the Senate stand adjourned until Wednesday, May 27, 2015, at 12:00 o'clock noon.