

98TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY State of Illinois 2013 and 2014 HB3771

by Rep. Michael J. Zalewski

SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

720 ILCS 5/16-1

from Ch. 38, par. 16-1

Amends the Criminal Code of 2012. Increases the threshold amounts for enhanced penalties for theft (from over \$500 to over \$1,000).

LRB098 15192 RLC 50178 b

1 AN ACT concerning criminal law.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

- Section 5. The Criminal Code of 2012 is amended by changing

 Section 16-1 as follows:
- 6 (720 ILCS 5/16-1) (from Ch. 38, par. 16-1)
- 7 Sec. 16-1. Theft.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

- 8 (a) A person commits theft when he or she knowingly:
- 9 (1) Obtains or exerts unauthorized control over 10 property of the owner; or
- 11 (2) Obtains by deception control over property of the 12 owner; or
 - (3) Obtains by threat control over property of the owner; or
 - (4) Obtains control over stolen property knowing the property to have been stolen or under such circumstances as would reasonably induce him or her to believe that the property was stolen; or
 - (5) Obtains or exerts control over property in the custody of any law enforcement agency which any law enforcement officer or any individual acting in behalf of a law enforcement agency explicitly represents to the person as being stolen or represents to the person such

- circumstances as would reasonably induce the person to believe that the property was stolen, and
 - (A) Intends to deprive the owner permanently of the use or benefit of the property; or
 - (B) Knowingly uses, conceals or abandons the property in such manner as to deprive the owner permanently of such use or benefit; or
 - (C) Uses, conceals, or abandons the property knowing such use, concealment or abandonment probably will deprive the owner permanently of such use or benefit.
 - (b) Sentence.
 - (1) Theft of property not from the person and not exceeding \$1,000 \$500 in value is a Class A misdemeanor.
 - (1.1) Theft of property not from the person and not exceeding \$1,000\$ \$500 in value is a Class 4 felony if the theft was committed in a school or place of worship or if the theft was of governmental property.
 - (2) A person who has been convicted of theft of property not from the person and not exceeding \$1,000 \$500 in value who has 2 or more prior convictions been previously convicted of any type of theft, robbery, armed robbery, burglary, residential burglary, possession of burglary tools, home invasion, forgery, a violation of Section 4-103, 4-103.1, 4-103.2, or 4-103.3 of the Illinois Vehicle Code relating to the possession of a stolen or

converted motor vehicle, or a violation of Section 17-36 of the Criminal Code of 1961 or the Criminal Code of 2012, or Section 8 of the Illinois Credit Card and Debit Card Act is quilty of a Class 4 felony.

- (3) (Blank).
- (4) Theft of property from the person not exceeding \$1,000 \$500 in value, or theft of property exceeding \$1,000 \$500 and not exceeding \$10,000 in value, is a Class 3 felony.
- (4.1) Theft of property from the person not exceeding \$1,000 \$500 in value, or theft of property exceeding \$1,000 \$500 and not exceeding \$10,000 in value, is a Class 2 felony if the theft was committed in a school or place of worship or if the theft was of governmental property.
- (5) Theft of property exceeding \$10,000 and not exceeding \$100,000 in value is a Class 2 felony.
- (5.1) Theft of property exceeding \$10,000 and not exceeding \$100,000 in value is a Class 1 felony if the theft was committed in a school or place of worship or if the theft was of governmental property.
- (6) Theft of property exceeding \$100,000 and not exceeding \$500,000 in value is a Class 1 felony.
- (6.1) Theft of property exceeding \$100,000 in value is a Class X felony if the theft was committed in a school or place of worship or if the theft was of governmental property.

- (6.2) Theft of property exceeding \$500,000 and not exceeding \$1,000,000 in value is a Class 1 non-probationable felony.
 - (6.3) Theft of property exceeding \$1,000,000 in value is a Class X felony.
 - (7) Theft by deception, as described by paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of this Section, in which the offender obtained money or property valued at \$5,000 or more from a victim 60 years of age or older is a Class 2 felony.
 - (8) Theft by deception, as described by paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of this Section, in which the offender falsely poses as a landlord or agent or employee of the landlord and obtains a rent payment or a security deposit from a tenant is a Class 3 felony if the rent payment or security deposit obtained does not exceed \$500.
 - (9) Theft by deception, as described by paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of this Section, in which the offender falsely poses as a landlord or agent or employee of the landlord and obtains a rent payment or a security deposit from a tenant is a Class 2 felony if the rent payment or security deposit obtained exceeds \$500 and does not exceed \$10,000.
 - (10) Theft by deception, as described by paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of this Section, in which the offender falsely poses as a landlord or agent or employee of the landlord and obtains a rent payment or a security deposit

from a tenant is a Class 1 felony if the rent payment or security deposit obtained exceeds \$10,000 and does not exceed \$100,000.

- (11) Theft by deception, as described by paragraph (2) of subsection (a) of this Section, in which the offender falsely poses as a landlord or agent or employee of the landlord and obtains a rent payment or a security deposit from a tenant is a Class X felony if the rent payment or security deposit obtained exceeds \$100,000.
- (c) When a charge of theft of property exceeding a specified value is brought, the value of the property involved is an element of the offense to be resolved by the trier of fact as either exceeding or not exceeding the specified value.
- (d) Theft by lessee; permissive inference. The trier of fact may infer evidence that a person intends to deprive the owner permanently of the use or benefit of the property (1) if a lessee of the personal property of another fails to return it to the owner within 10 days after written demand from the owner for its return or (2) if a lessee of the personal property of another fails to return it to the owner within 24 hours after written demand from the owner for its return and the lessee had presented identification to the owner that contained a materially fictitious name, address, or telephone number. A notice in writing, given after the expiration of the leasing agreement, addressed and mailed, by registered mail, to the lessee at the address given by him and shown on the leasing

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

agreement shall constitute proper demand.

- (e) Permissive inference; evidence of intent that a person obtains by deception control over property. The trier of fact may infer that a person "knowingly obtains by deception control over property of the owner" when he or she fails to return, within 45 days after written demand from the owner, the downpayment and any additional payments accepted under a promise, oral or in writing, to perform services for the owner for consideration of \$3,000 or more, and the promisor knowingly without good cause failed to substantially perform pursuant to the agreement after taking a down payment of 10% or more of the agreed upon consideration. This provision shall not apply where the owner initiated the suspension of performance under the agreement, or where the promisor responds to the notice within the 45-day notice period. A notice in writing, addressed and mailed, by registered mail, to the promisor at the last known address of the promisor, shall constitute proper demand.
 - (f) Offender's interest in the property.
 - (1) It is no defense to a charge of theft of property that the offender has an interest therein, when the owner also has an interest to which the offender is not entitled.
 - (2) Where the property involved is that of the offender's spouse, no prosecution for theft may be maintained unless the parties were not living together as man and wife and were living in separate abodes at the time of the alleged theft.

- 1 (Source: P.A. 96-496, eff. 1-1-10; 96-534, eff. 8-14-09;
- 2 96-1000, eff. 7-2-10; 96-1301, eff. 1-1-11; 96-1532, eff.
- 3 1-1-12; 96-1551, eff. 7-1-11; 97-597, eff. 1-1-12; 97-1150,
- 4 eff. 1-25-13.)