

Rep. Lou Lang

Filed: 2/25/2013

09800HB1155ham007 LRB098 08475 MRW 41606 a 1 AMENDMENT TO HOUSE BILL 1155 2 AMENDMENT NO. . Amend House Bill 1155, AS AMENDED, by inserting the following in its proper numeric sequence: 3 "Section 160. Firearm carry prohibition; library. 4 5 (a) No person may knowingly carry a firearm into any library, or onto any adjacent property or parking lot area 6 7 under the control of or owned by a library. (b) The exemptions and provisions in subsections (a), (b), 8 (f), (g-6), (g-10), (h), and (i) of Section 24-2 of the 9 Criminal Code of 2012 apply to this Section. 10 11 (c) The United States Supreme Court in District of Columbia v. Heller, 554 U.S. 570, 128 S.Ct. 2783 (2008) has recognized 12 that the Second Amendment to the United States Constitution 13 14 does not confer an unlimited right and that states may prohibit the carrying of firearms in sensitive places. The Supreme Court 15 16 stated in the Heller decision: "Although we do not undertake an exhaustive historical analysis today of the full scope of the 17

09800HB1155ham007 -2- LRB098 08475 MRW 41606 a

1 Second Amendment, nothing in our opinion should be taken to 2 cast doubt on longstanding prohibitions on the possession of firearms by felons and the mentally ill, or laws forbidding the 3 4 carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and 5 government buildings . . . " The Supreme Court also noted in a 6 footnote referencing this statement in the Heller decision that: "We identify these presumptively lawful regulatory 7 measures only as examples; our list does not purport to be 8 exhaustive." This recognition was reiterated by the U. S. 9 10 Supreme Court in McDonald v. the City of Chicago, 561 U.S. 11 3025, 130 S.Ct. 3020 (2010), which incorporated the Second Amendment against state action. The Supreme Court again stated: 12 13 "We made it clear in Heller that our holding did not cast doubt 14 on such longstanding regulatory measures as "prohibitions on 15 the possession of firearms by felons and the mentally ill," 16 "laws forbidding the carrying of firearms in sensitive places such as schools and government buildings . . . We repeat those 17 assurances here." Further, the federal 7th Circuit Court of 18 Appeals in Moore v. Madigan, 702 F.3d. 933 (7th Cir., 2012) 19 20 cited the "sensitive place" statement of the Supreme Court in both the Heller and McDonald decisions and concluded: "That a 21 22 legislature can forbid the carrying of firearms in schools and 23 government buildings means that any right to possess a gun for 24 self-defense outside the home is not absolute, and it is not 25 absolute by the Supreme Court's own terms." Therefore, the 26 General Assembly finds that the place or location set forth in

09800HB1155ham007 -3- LRB098 08475 MRW 41606 a

subsection (a) of this Section is a sensitive place and the prohibition on the carrying of firearms will promote public safety in this sensitive place.".