

Sen. Don Harmon

16

Filed: 4/11/2011

09700SB1075sam001

LRB097 04814 AEK 54311 a

1	AMENDMENT TO SENATE BILL 1075
2	AMENDMENT NO Amend Senate Bill 1075 by replacing
3	everything after the enacting clause with the following:
4	"Section 1. Short title. This Act may be cited as the
5	Unfair Use of Information Technology Act.
6	Section 2. Findings and declaration of General Assembly.
7	The General Assembly hereby finds and declares all of the
8	following:
9	(a) Manufacturers are a vital source of jobs and economic
10	growth in the State of Illinois. Law-abiding manufacturers in
11	this State suffer lost sales, market share, and jobs when they
12	are forced to compete against companies that use stolen or
13	misappropriated information technology to reduce production
14	costs and gain a competitive edge.
15	(b) The theft of American information technology is

particularly rampant in foreign markets, reaching as high as

- 1 90% in some countries. Information technology theft costs the
- U.S. economy thousands of jobs and billions of dollars in 2
- 3 economic growth.
- 4 The use of stolen or misappropriated information
- 5 technology unfairly lowers manufacturers' costs of production
- by tens if not hundreds of thousands of dollars. Manufacturers 6
- significant amounts of 7 knowingly use stolen
- 8 misappropriated information technology to reduce costs should
- 9 not be allowed to benefit from these illegal acts.
- 10 (d) Existing laws relating to unfair trade practices do not
- 11 adequately address the harms that occur when manufacturers use
- stolen or misappropriated information technology to gain an 12
- 13 unfair competitive advantage over companies that play by the
- 14 rules.
- 15 (e) It is the purpose of this Act to enable effective
- 16 recourse against manufacturers that obtain an
- competitive advantage by using stolen or misappropriated 17
- 18 information technology to make goods.
- 19 (f) To accomplish this purpose, law-abiding manufacturers
- 20 who have suffered economic harm from a directly competing
- 21 manufacturer's sale of products made using stolen
- 22 misappropriated information technology will be allowed to
- 23 pursue a cause of action against the company that used the
- 24 stolen or misappropriated information technology.
- 25 (g) The remedies available to the law-abiding manufacturer
- 26 in such cases are limited to ensure that the relief obtained is

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 proportional to the harm. To safeguard against the possibility of frivolous litigation or other negative consequences, this 2 Act also provides carefully circumscribed procedures and 3 4 exemptions designed to protect businesses that make good-faith 5 efforts to act in accordance with their legal responsibilities.
 - (h) In particular, no action may be brought unless the manufacturer that allegedly used the stolen or misappropriated technology is provided with notice about the allegation and given an opportunity to either cure the violation or establish that it is not using stolen or misappropriated information technology.
 - (i) Furthermore, actions may be brought only against businesses that themselves manufacture, produce, or assemble articles or products while using stolen or misappropriated information technology, not against other companies that merely contract with such businesses for the manufacture of articles or products.
 - (j) To protect the interests of all businesses, this Act includes affirmative defenses and other safeguards to make sure that downstream purchasers and others will not be unfairly burdened.
 - (k) It is the intent of the General Assembly that this Act shall be construed in ways that appropriately remedy the competitive harm that occurs when articles or products manufactured using stolen or misappropriated information technology are sold or offered for sale in this State.

- Section 3. Definitions. As used in this Act, unless the context clearly requires otherwise:
 - (a) "Article or product" means any tangible article or product, but excludes: (i) any services sold, offered for sale, or made available in this State, including free services and online services; (ii) any product subject to regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration and that is primarily used for medical or medicinal purposes; (iii) food and beverages; and (iv) restaurant services.
 - (b) "Copyrightable end product" means a work within the subject matter of copyright as specified in Section 102 of Title 17, United States Code, and which, for the purposes of this Act, includes mask works protection as specified in section 902 of Title 17, United States Code.
 - (c) "Essential component" means a component of an article or product provided or to be provided to a third party pursuant to a contract, including a purchase order, without which the article or product will not perform as intended and for which there is no substitute component available that offers a comparable range and quality of functionalities and is available in comparable quantities and at a comparable price.
 - (d) "Manufacture" means to directly manufacture, produce, or assemble an article or product subject to Section 5, in whole or substantial part, but does not include contracting with or otherwise engaging another person (or that person

- engaging another person) to develop, manufacture, produce, or assemble an article or product subject to Section 5.
 - (e) "Material competitive injury" means at least a 3% retail price difference, over a 4-month time period, between the article or product made in violation of Section 5 designed to harm competition and a directly competing article or product that was manufactured without the use of stolen or misappropriated information technology.
 - (f) "Retail price" means the retail price of stolen or misappropriated information technology charged at the time of, and in the jurisdiction where, the alleged theft or misappropriation occurred, multiplied by the number of stolen or misappropriated items used in the business operations of a person alleged to have violated Section 5.
 - (g) "Stolen or misappropriated information technology" means hardware or software that the person referred to in Section 5 acquired, appropriated, or used without the authorization of the owner of the information technology or the owner's authorized licensee in violation of applicable law, but does not include situations in which the hardware or software alleged to have been stolen or misappropriated was not available for retail purchase on a stand-alone basis at or before the time it was acquired, appropriated, or used by such a person.
 - Information technology is considered to be used in a person's business operations if the person uses the technology

1 in the manufacture, distribution, marketing, or sales of

2 articles or products subject to Section 5.

Section 5. Unfair use of information technology. Any person who manufactures an article or product while using stolen or misappropriated information technology in its business operations after notice and opportunity to cure as provided in Section 15 and, with respect to remedies sought under subsection (f) of Section 20 or under Section 25, causes a material competitive injury as a result of such use of stolen or misappropriated information technology, is deemed to engage in an unfair act where such an article or product is sold or offered for sale in this State, either separately or as a component of another article or product, and in competition with an article or product sold or offered for sale in this State that was manufactured without violating this Section.

A person who engages in such an unfair act, and any articles or products manufactured by the person in violation of this Section, is subject to the liabilities and remedial provisions of this Act in an action by the Attorney General or any person described in subsection (e) of Section 20, except as provided in Sections 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 40.

- 22 Section 10. Exceptions.
- 23 (a) No action may be brought under this Act, and no 24 liability results, where:

2.1

1	((1)	the	end a	articl	e or	end	product	solo	d or	offe	ered	for
2	sale	in	this	Stat	e and	alle	ged t	co viola	te Se	ctic	on 5	is:	

- (A) a copyrightable end product;
- (B) merchandise manufactured by or on behalf of, or pursuant to a license from, a copyright owner and which displays or embodies a name, character, artwork, or other indicia of or from a work that falls within subparagraph (A) of this subsection, or merchandise manufactured by or on behalf of, or pursuant to a license from, a copyright or trademark owner and which displays or embodies a name, character, artwork, or other indicia of or from a theme park, theme park attraction, or other facility associated with a theme park; or
- (C) packaging, carrier media, or promotional or advertising materials for any end article, end product, or merchandise that falls within subparagraph (A) or (B) of this paragraph (1);
- (2) the allegation that the information technology is stolen or misappropriated is based on a claim (i) that the information technology or its use infringes a patent or misappropriates a trade secret under applicable law or (ii) that could be brought under any provision of Title 35 of the United States Code;
- (3) the allegation that the information technology is stolen or misappropriated is based on a claim that the

2.1

defendant's use of the information technology violates the terms of a license that allows users to modify and redistribute any source code associated with the technology free of charge; or

- (4) the allegation is based on a claim that the person violated Section 5 by aiding, abetting, facilitating, or assisting someone else to acquire, appropriate, use, sell, or offer to sell, or by providing someone else with access to, information technology without authorization of the owner of such information technology or the owner's authorized licensee in violation of applicable law.
- (b) No injunction may issue against a person other than the person adjudicated to have violated Section 5, and no attachment order may issue against articles or products other than articles or products in which the person alleged to violate Section 5 holds title. A person other than the person alleged to violate Section 5 includes any person other than the actual manufacturer who contracts with or otherwise engages another person to develop, manufacture, produce, market, distribute, advertise, or assemble an article or product alleged to violate Section 5.
- 22 Section 15. Notice and opportunity to cure.
 - (a) No action may be brought under Section 5 unless the person subject to Section 5 received written notice of the alleged use of the stolen or misappropriated information

- technology from the owner or exclusive licensee of the information technology or the owner's agent and the person: (1) failed to establish that its use of the information technology in question did not violate Section 5; or (2) failed, within 90 days after receiving such a notice, to cease use of the owner's stolen or misappropriated information technology. However, if the person commences and thereafter proceeds diligently to replace the information technology with information technology whose use would not violate Section 5, such a period must be extended for an additional period of 90 days, not to exceed 180 days total. The information technology owner or its agent may extend any period described in this Section.
- (b) To satisfy the requirements of this Section, a written notice must, under penalty of perjury:
 - (1) identify the stolen or misappropriated information technology;
 - (2) identify the lawful owner or exclusive licensee of the information technology;
 - (3) identify the applicable law the person is alleged to be violating and state that the notifying party has a reasonable belief that the person has acquired, appropriated, or used the information technology in question without authorization of the owner of the information technology or the owner's authorized licensee in violation of such applicable law;
 - (4) to the extent known by the notifying party, state

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 the manner in which the information technology is being used by the defendant; 2
 - (5) state the articles or products to which the information technology relates; and
 - (6) specify the basis and the particular evidence upon which the notifying party bases such allegation.
 - (c) The written notification shall state, under penalty of perjury, that the information in the notice is accurate based on the notifying party's good-faith knowledge, information, and belief.
- Section 20. Remedies. 11
 - (a) No earlier than 90 days after the provision of notice in accordance with Section 15, the Attorney General, or any person described in subsection (e) of this Section, may bring an action against any person that is subject to Section 5:
 - (1) to enjoin violation of Section 5, including by enjoining the person from selling or offering to sell in this State articles or products that are subject to Section 5, except as provided in subsection (f) of this Section. However, such an injunction does not encompass articles or products to be provided to a third party that establishes that such a third party has satisfied one or more of the affirmative defenses set forth in subsection (a) of Section 30 with respect to the manufacturer alleged to have violated Section 5; or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

(2) only after a determination by the court that the person has violated Section 5, to recover the greater of (i) actual direct damages, which may be imposed only against the person who violated Section 5; or (ii) statutory damages of no more than three times the retail price of the stolen or misappropriated information technology, which may be imposed only against the person who violated Section 5.

In the event the person alleged to have violated Section 5 has been subject to a final judgment or has entered into a final settlement, or any products manufactured by such a person and alleged to violate Section 5 have been the subject of an injunction or attachment order, in any federal or state court in this State or any other state, arising out of the same theft or misappropriation of information technology, the court shall dismiss the action with prejudice. If such a person is a defendant in an ongoing action, or any products manufactured by such a person and alleged to violate Section 5 are the subject of an ongoing injunction or attachment order, in any federal or state court in this State or any other state, arising out of the same theft or misappropriation of information technology, the court shall stay the action against such a person pending resolution of the other action. In the event the other action results in a final judgment or final settlement, the court shall dismiss the action with prejudice against the person. Dismissals under this Section shall be res judicata to actions

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 filed against the person alleged to have violated Section 5 2 arising out of the same theft or misappropriation of 3 information technology.
 - (b) After determination by the court that a person has violated Section 5 and entry of a judgment against the person for violating Section 5, the Attorney General, or a person described in subsection (e) of this Section, may add to the action a claim for actual direct damages against a third party who sells or offers to sell in this State products made by that person in violation of Section 5, subject to the provisions of Section 30. However, damages may be imposed against a third party only if:
 - (1) the third party's agent for service of process was properly served with a copy of a written notice sent to the person alleged to have violated Section 5 that satisfies the requirements of Section 15 at least 90 days prior to the entry of the judgment;
 - (2) the person who violated Section 5 did not make an appearance or does not have sufficient attachable assets to satisfy a judgment against the person;
 - (3) such person either manufactured the final product or produced a component equal to 30% or more of the value of the final product;
 - (4) such person has a direct contractual relationship with the third party respecting the manufacture of such final product or component; and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- (5) the third party has not been subject to a final judgment or entered into a final settlement in any federal or state court in this State or any other state arising out of the same theft or misappropriation of information technology. However, in the event the third party is a party to an ongoing suit for damages, or has entered an appearance as an interested third party in proceedings in rem, in any federal or state court in this State or any other state arising out of the same theft misappropriation of information technology, the court shall stay the action against the third party pending resolution of the other action. In the event the other action results in a final judgment, the court shall dismiss the action with prejudice against the third party and dismiss any in rem action as to any articles or products manufactured for such a third party or that have been or are to be supplied to such a third party. Dismissals under this Section shall be res judicata to actions filed against the person alleged to have violated Section 5 arising out of the same theft or misappropriation of information technology.
- (c) An award of damages against a third party pursuant to subsection (b) of this Section must be the lesser of the retail price of the stolen or misappropriated information technology at issue or \$250,000, less any amounts recovered from the person adjudicated to have violated Section 5, and paragraph

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 1 (d)(1) of this Section does not apply to such an award or 2 recovery against the third party.
 - (d) In an action under this Act, a court may:
 - (1) against the person adjudicated to have violated Section 5, increase the damages up to 3 times the damages authorized by paragraph (a)(2) of this Section where the court finds that the person's use of the stolen or misappropriated information technology was willful;
 - (2) with respect to an award under subsection (a) of this Section only, award costs and reasonable attorneys' fees to: (i) a prevailing plaintiff in actions brought by an injured person under Section 5; or (ii) a prevailing defendant in actions brought by an allegedly injured person; and
 - (3) with respect to an action under subsection (b) of this Section brought by a private plaintiff only, award costs and reasonable attorneys' fees to a third party for all litigation expenses (including, without limitation, discovery expenses) incurred by that party if it prevails on the requirement set forth in paragraph (b)(3) of this Section or who qualifies for an affirmative defense under Section 30 of this Act; provided, however, that in a case in which the third party received a copy of notification described in paragraph (b)(1) of this Section at least 90 days before the filing of the action under subsection (b) of this Section, that with respect to a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

third party's reliance on the affirmative defenses set forth in paragraphs (a)(3) and (a)(5) of Section 30, the court may award costs and reasonable attorneys' fees only if all of the conduct on which the affirmative defense is based was undertaken by the third party, and the third party notified the plaintiff of the conduct, prior to the end of the 90-day period.

- (e) A person is deemed to have been injured by the sale or offer for sale of a directly competing article or product subject to Section 5 if the person establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that:
 - (1) such a person manufactures articles or products that are sold or offered for sale in this State in direct competition with articles or products that are subject to Section 5;
 - (2) such a person's articles or products were not manufactured using stolen or misappropriated information technology of the owner of the information technology;
 - (3) the person suffered economic harm, which may be shown by evidence that the retail price of the stolen or misappropriated information technology was \$20,000 or more; and
 - (4) if the person is proceeding in rem or seeks injunctive relief, that the person suffered material competitive injury as a result of the violation of Section 5.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- (f)(1) If the court determines that a person found to have violated Section 5 lacks sufficient attachable assets in this State to satisfy a judgment rendered against it, the court may enjoin the sale or offering for sale in this State of any articles or products subject to Section 5, except as provided in subsection (b) of Section 10.
- (2) To the extent that an article or product subject to Section 5 is an essential component of a third party's article or product, the court shall deny injunctive relief as to such an essential component, provided that the third party has undertaken good faith efforts within the third party's rights under its applicable contract with the manufacturer to direct the manufacturer of the essential component to cease the theft or misappropriation of information technology in violation of Section 5, which may be satisfied, without limitation, by the third party issuing a written directive to the manufacturer demanding that it cease the theft or misappropriation and demanding that the manufacturer provide the third party with copies of invoices, purchase orders, licenses, or other verification of lawful use of the information technology at issue.
- (g) The court shall determine whether a cure period longer than the period reflected in Section 15 would be reasonable given the nature of the use of the information technology that is the subject of the action and the time reasonably necessary either to bring such use into compliance with applicable law or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

replace the information technology with information technology that would not violate Section 5. If the court deems that a longer cure period would be reasonable, then the action shall be stayed until the end of that longer cure period. If by the end of that longer cure period, the defendant has established that its use of the information technology in question did not violate Section 5, or the defendant ceased use of the stolen or misappropriated information technology, then the action must be dismissed.

Section 25. In rem jurisdiction.

- (a) In a case in which the court is unable to obtain personal jurisdiction over a person subject to Section 5, the court may proceed in rem against any articles or products subject to Section 5 sold or offered for sale in this State in which the person alleged to have violated Section 5 holds title. Except as provided in subsection (b) of Section 10 and subsections (b) through (d) of this Section, all such articles or products are subject to attachment at or after the time of filing a complaint, regardless of the availability or amount of any monetary judgment.
- (b) At least 90 days prior to the enforcement of an attachment order against articles or products pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section, the court shall notify any person in possession of the articles or products of the pending attachment order. Prior to the expiration of the 90-day period,

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 1 any person for whom the articles or products were manufactured, or to whom the articles or products have been or are to be 2 3 supplied, pursuant to an existing contract or purchase order, 4 may:
 - (1) establish that the person has satisfied one or more of the affirmative defenses set forth in subsection (a) of Section 30 with respect to the manufacturer alleged to have violated Section 5, in which case the attachment order must be dissolved only with respect to those articles or products that were manufactured for such a person, or have been or are to be supplied to such a person, pursuant to an existing contract or purchase order; or
 - (2) post a bond with the court equal to the retail of the allegedly stolen or misappropriated information technology or \$25,000, whichever is less, in which case the court shall stay enforcement of the attachment order against the articles or products and shall proceed on the basis of its jurisdiction over the bond. The person posting the bond shall recover the full amount of such bond, plus interest, after the issuance of a final judgment.
 - (c) In the event the person posting the bond pursuant to paragraph (b)(2) of this Section is entitled to claim an affirmative defense in Section 30, and that person establishes with the court that the person is entitled to any affirmative defense, the court shall award costs and reasonable attorneys'

- 1 fees to the person posting the bond and against the plaintiff
- 2 in the event the plaintiff proceeds with an action pursuant to
- 3 subsection (b) of Section 20 against the person posting the
- 4 bond.
- 5 (d) In the event that the court does not provide
- 6 notification as described in subsection (b) of this Section,
- 7 the court, upon motion of any third party, shall stay the
- 8 enforcement of the attachment order for 90 days as to articles
- 9 or products manufactured for the third party, or that have been
- or are to be supplied to the third party, pursuant to an
- 11 existing contract or purchase order, during which 90-day period
- the third party may avail itself of the options set forth in
- paragraphs (b) (1) and (b) (2) of this Section.
- 14 Section 30. Affirmative defenses for third parties.
- 15 (a) A court may not award damages against any third party
- 16 pursuant to subsection (b) of Section 20 where that party,
- 17 after having been afforded reasonable notice of at least 90
- days by proper service upon such party's agent for service of
- 19 process and opportunity to plead any of the affirmative
- 20 defenses set forth in this subsection, establishes by a
- 21 preponderance of the evidence any of the following:
- 22 (1) the person is the end consumer or end user of an
- article or product subject to Section 5, or acquired the
- 24 article or product after its sale to an end consumer or end
- user;

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- (2) the person is a business with annual revenues not in excess of \$50,000,000;
- the person has made commercially reasonable efforts to implement practices and procedures to require its direct manufacturers, in manufacturing articles or products for such person, not to use stolen misappropriated information technology in violation of Section 5. A person may satisfy this paragraph (3) by:
 - (A) adopting and undertaking commercially reasonable efforts to implement a code of conduct or similar written requirements, which are applicable to the person's direct manufacturers, that prohibit use of stolen or misappropriated information technology by such a manufacturer, subject to a right of audit, and such person either: (i) has a practice of auditing its direct manufacturers on a periodic basis in accordance with generally accepted industry standards; or (ii) in its agreements with its direct requires manufacturers that they submit to audits by a third party, which may include a third-party association of businesses representing the owner of the stolen or misappropriated intellectual property, and further provides that a failure to remedy any deficiencies found in such an audit that constitute a violation of the applicable law of the jurisdiction where the deficiency occurred constitutes a breach of the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

contract, subject to cure within a reasonable period of 1 2 time; or

- (B) adopting and undertaking commercially reasonable efforts to implement a code of conduct or similar written requirements, which are applicable to the person's direct manufacturers, that prohibit use of stolen or misappropriated information technology by such manufacturer, and the person undertakes practices and procedures to address compliance with prohibition against the the use of stolen misappropriated information technology in accordance with the applicable code of conduct or written requirements;
- (4) the person does not have a contractual relationship with the person alleged to have violated Section 5 respecting the manufacture of the articles or products alleged to have been manufactured in violation of Section 5; or
 - (5) the person acquired the articles or products:
 - (A) and had either: (i) a code of conduct or other written document governing the person's commercial relationships with the manufacturer alleged to have violated Section 5 and which includes commitments, such as general commitments to comply with applicable laws, that prohibit use of the stolen misappropriated information technology such by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

manufacturer; or (ii) written assurances from the manufacturer of the articles or products that the articles or products, to the manufacturer's reasonable knowledge, were manufactured without the use of stolen misappropriated information technology in the manufacturer's business operations; provided, however, with respect to both items (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph (a) (5) (A), that within 180 days of receiving written notice of the judgment against the manufacturer for violation of Section 5 and a copy of a written notice that satisfies the requirements of Section 15, the third party undertakes commercially reasonable efforts to do any of the following:

(I) exchange written correspondence confirming that such manufacturer is not using such stolen or information technology misappropriated violation of Section 5, which may be satisfied, limitation, by obtaining without assurances from the manufacturer accompanied by copies of invoices, purchase orders, licenses, or verification of other lawful $\circ f$ the use information technology at issue;

(II) direct the manufacturer to cease the theft or misappropriation, which may be satisfied, without limitation, by the third party issuing a written directive to the manufacturer demanding

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

that it cease such theft or misappropriation and demanding that the manufacturer provide the third party with copies of invoices, purchase orders, licenses, or other verification of lawful use of information technology at issue; and for purposes of clarification, the third party need take no additional action to fully avail itself of this affirmative defense; or

(III) in a case in which the manufacturer has failed to cease the theft or misappropriation within such 180-day period and the third party has not fulfilled either option (I) or (II) of this subparagraph (a) (5) (A), cease the acquisition of such articles or products from such manufacturer during the period that manufacturer continues to engage in such theft or misappropriation subject to Section 5 where doing so would not constitute a breach of an agreement between the person and the manufacturer for the manufacture of the articles or products question that was entered into on or before 180 days after the effective date of this Act;

(B) pursuant to an agreement between the person and a manufacturer for the manufacture of the articles or products in question that was entered into before 180 days after the effective date of this Act; provided

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

that within 180 days of receiving written notice of the judgment against the manufacturer for violation of Section 5 and a copy of a written notice that satisfies the requirements of Section 15, the person undertakes commercially reasonable efforts to do any of the following:

- (I) obtain from such manufacturer written assurances that such manufacturer is not using such stolen or misappropriated information technology in violation of Section 5, which may be satisfied, without limitation, by obtaining written assurances from the manufacturer accompanied by copies of invoices, purchase orders, licenses, or other verification of lawful use of the information technology at issue;
- (II) direct the manufacturer to cease such theft or misappropriation, which may be satisfied, without limitation, by the third party issuing a written directive to the manufacturer demanding that it cease such theft or misappropriation and demanding that the manufacturer provide the third party with copies of invoices, purchase orders, licenses, or other verification of lawful use of the information technology at issue; and for purposes of clarification, the third party need take no additional action to fully avail itself of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

this affirmative defense; or

(III) in a case in which the manufacturer has failed to cease the theft or misappropriation within such 180-day period and the third party has not fulfilled either option (I) or (II) of this (a) (5) (B), subparagraph cease the acquisition of such articles or products from such period manufacturer during the that manufacturer continues to engage in such theft or misappropriation subject to Section 5 where doing so would not constitute a breach of such agreement.

- (b) A third party shall have the opportunity to be heard regarding whether an article or product is an essential component provided or to be provided to such third party, and shall have the right to file a motion to dismiss any action brought against it under subsection (b) of Section 20.
- (c) The court may not enforce any award for damages against such a third party until after the court has ruled on that party's claim of eligibility for any of the affirmative defenses set out in this Section, and prior to such a ruling may allow discovery, in an action under subsection (b) of Section 20, only on the particular defenses raised by the third party.
- (d) The court shall allow discovery against a third party on an issue only after all discovery on that issue between the parties has been completed and only if the evidence produced as

- 1 a result of the discovery does not resolve an issue of material
- 2 dispute between the parties.
- 3 (e) Any confidential or otherwise sensitive information
- 4 submitted by a party pursuant to this Section is subject to a
- 5 protective order.
- 6 Section 35. Certain Sections not applicable. A violation of
- 7 this Act may not be considered a violation of the Consumer
- 8 Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act and Sections 1
- 9 through 12 of the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business
- 10 Practices Act do not apply to this Act. The remedies provided
- 11 under this Act are the exclusive remedies for the parties.
- 12 Section 40. Transition period. A court may not enforce an
- award of damages against a third party pursuant to subsection
- 14 (b) of Section 20 for a period of 18 months from the effective
- date of this Act.
- Section 45. Severability. If any provision of this Act or
- its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid,
- 18 the remainder of this Act or the application of the provision
- 19 to other persons or circumstances is not affected.
- 20 Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect 90 days
- 21 after becoming law.".