94TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

State of Illinois

2005 and 2006

SB2153

Introduced 11/2/2005, by Sen. Martin A. Sandoval

SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

New Act

Creates the Negative Use Restriction Act. Contains findings. Provides that a private agreement that purports to impose a recorded negative use restriction with a term of more than one year upon real property so as to prohibit or have the economic or practical effect of prohibiting the use of the real property for grocery store or drug store purposes after the owner or operator of a grocery store or drug store in excess of 7,500 square feet has terminated operations at the site is against public policy and is void and unenforceable. Creates an exception in the case of an owner or operator of a grocery store or drug store that terminates operations at a site for the purpose of relocating those operations into a comparable or larger grocery store or drug store located within one-half mile of the site if certain conditions are met, and permits a unit of local government that exercises zoning powers to change certain conditions under specified circumstances. Provides that a violation is a petty offense punishable by a fine of not less than \$500 and not more than \$1,000, and that a unit of local government may seek an injunction or other equitable relief to stop a violation. Effective immediately.

LRB094 14069 WGH 50102 b

SB2153

1

AN ACT concerning property.

2 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, 3 represented in the General Assembly:

Section 1. Short title. This Act may be cited as the
Negative Use Restriction Act.

6 Section 5. Findings. The General Assembly finds:

7 (1) that the public health, safety, and general welfare 8 of residents of a community are compromised and the benefits of competition in the marketplace are lost when 9 private parties impose use restrictions upon real property 10 that prohibit or materially limit the use of the property 11 for use as a grocery store or drug store after a grocery 12 store or drug store owner or operator has terminated 13 14 operations at the site;

(2) that the public health, safety, and general welfare
of residents of a community are furthered when residents
have access to grocery stores and drug stores, both of
which meet basic human needs;

19 (3) that negative use restrictions are separate and distinct from a non-compete clause included in a shopping 20 center development agreement, in which a landlord agrees 21 22 with a tenant to not lease other space in the same shopping 23 center to another retail establishment of the same type in order to induce the tenant to sign a long-term lease as an 24 25 anchor tenant at the shopping center, and that such 26 non-compete clauses are commercially reasonable;

(4) that negative use restrictions that enable a prior owner or operator of a grocery store or drug store, after terminating operations at a site, to prevent any competitor from operating the same type of establishment at that site in the future serve no public purpose, but instead have significant deleterious and blighting effects on the - 2 - LRB094 14069 WGH 50102 b

SB2153

health, safety, and general welfare of the community in
 which the site is located; and

3 (5) that, because grocery stores and drug stores frequently occupy large tracts of land in the centers of 4 5 neighborhood business districts or at key intersections, 6 the continued presence of those stores serves as a catalyst to other development and advances the health, safety, and 7 general welfare of residents of the community in which the 8 9 site is located, particularly the elderly and those who 10 walk or use public transportation to reach shopping 11 destinations.

12 Section 10. Definitions. As used in this Act:

13 "Grocery store" means a retail establishment that 14 primarily sells packaged food, rather than food prepared for 15 consumption on the premises.

16 "Drug store" means a retail establishment whose principal 17 business includes the sale of prescription and nonprescription 18 drugs, medicines, and medical products, as well as personal, 19 skin, and hair care products and miscellaneous items.

20

Section 15. Private negative use restrictions prohibited.

21 (a) Except as otherwise provided in this Section, a private agreement that purports to impose a recorded negative use 22 restriction with a term of more than one year upon real 23 24 property so as to prohibit or have the economic or practical 25 effect of prohibiting the use of the real property for grocery 26 store or drug store purposes after the owner or operator of a 27 grocery store or drug store in excess of 7,500 square feet has 28 terminated operations at the site is against public policy and 29 is void and unenforceable. This subsection (a) applies 30 regardless of whether the private agreement is incorporated in a deed restriction, a restrictive covenant, a lease or 31 memorandum of lease, or any other recorded instrument. 32

33

(b) Subsection (a) does not apply if:

(1) an owner or operator of a grocery store or drug

SB2153

store terminates operations at a site for the purpose of relocating those operations into a comparable or larger grocery store or drug store located within one-half mile of the site where operations have terminated;

5 (2) operations at the new site commence within 2 years; 6 and

7

8

(3) the negative use restriction imposed does not have a term in excess of 3 years.

9 (c) A unit of local government that exercises zoning powers 10 with respect to a site may change the one-half mile limit set 11 forth in paragraph (1) of subsection (b) to a one-mile limit or 12 change the 2-year requirement set forth in paragraph (2) of 13 subsection (b) to a 3-year requirement if the relocating owner or operator makes a written request to the unit of local 14 government and presents evidence setting forth extenuating 15 16 circumstances that establish good cause for the change. The 17 unit of local government may establish procedures for the submission of requests and evidence under this subsection (c). 18

19

Section 20. Violations.

(a) A person who violates subsection (a) of Section 15
commits a petty offense punishable by a fine of not less than
\$500 and not more than \$1,000. Each day during which a
violation occurs or continues constitutes a separate offense.

(b) A unit of local government may seek an injunction or
other equitable relief to stop a violation of subsection (a) of
Section 15.

Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect uponbecoming law.