1 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION

- WHEREAS, The Illinois General Assembly recognizes that
- 3 nationwide the size of civil judgments has increased
- 4 dramatically in recent years; in 2002 alone, there were 22
- 5 judgments over \$100 million; and
- 6 WHEREAS, Damage awards in Illinois have escalated; in
- 7 Cook County, the size of median verdicts increased by more
- 8 than 300% in the period between 1990 and 1994, when compared
- 9 with the preceding 5 year period; and in Madison County,
- 10 there were 2 verdicts over \$250 million (including one for
- over \$10 billion) handed down in a 2 week period in March
- 12 2003; and
- 13 WHEREAS, Studies and press reports suggest that Illinois
- 14 has developed a reputation as a place where large
- 15 multi-national corporations frequently face extraordinarily
- 16 large judgments; and
- 17 WHEREAS, This reputation could drive away many large,
- 18 healthy businesses that, but for the threat of being hit with
- 19 a massive judgment that would endanger the jobs of their
- 20 employees and the financial well being of their stockholders,
- 21 would open their doors in our State; and
- 22 WHEREAS, The economy of Illinois could suffer due to
- 23 competition from neighboring states that are not perceived as
- 24 litigation havens; and
- 25 WHEREAS, Preserving a healthy climate for business is
- 26 important so that Illinois can remain competitive with other
- 27 states in attracting businesses to our State and can prevent
- 28 the businesses that are already here from leaving; and
- 29 WHEREAS, Illinois Supreme Court Rule 305(a) requires
- 30 defendants to post an appeal bond in the full amount of the
- judgment, interests, and costs in order to stay the execution

- of a judgment during an appeal; and
- WHEREAS, Because damage awards have escalated in recent
- 3 years, posting an appeal bond in the full amount of the
- 4 judgment, interest, and costs can be an extraordinarily
- 5 onerous requirement; and defendants who are unable to post a
- 6 bond may be forced either to declare bankruptcy in order to
- 7 stay the execution of the judgment or otherwise forego their
- 8 appeal and settle with the plaintiffs; and
- 9 WHEREAS, This "either or" scenario may prevent defendants
- 10 from meaningfully exercising their right to appeal, a right
- 11 that is guaranteed by Article VI, Section 6 of the Illinois
- 12 Constitution and that helps to ensure that trial courts
- 13 across the State act consistently with each other, as
- 14 evidenced by the fact that the one third of Illinois trial
- 15 court judgments in civil cases are reversed in some aspect;
- 16 and
- 17 WHEREAS, Twenty-four other states have acted since 2000
- 18 to protect a defendant's right to appeal by revising their
- 19 rules with respect to appeal bonds; and
- 20 WHEREAS, The Supreme Court of Illinois has before it a
- 21 petition to amend Illinois Supreme Court Rule 305 in light of
- the escalating size of judgments; therefore, be it
- 23 RESOLVED, BY THE SENATE OF THE NINETY-THIRD GENERAL
- 24 ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE HOUSE OF
- 25 REPRESENTATIVES CONCURRING HEREIN, that the Supreme Court of
- 26 Illinois should give careful consideration to amending
- 27 Illinois Supreme Court Rule 305, with due consideration to
- 28 the actions of other states in this area, in order to ensure
- 29 that Illinois retains a competitive business environment; and
- 30 be if further
- RESOLVED, That a suitable copy of this resolution be

1 presented to each justice of the Supreme Court of Illinois.