102ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

State of Illinois

2021 and 2022

HB4256

Introduced 1/5/2022, by Rep. Tony McCombie - Thomas M. Bennett

SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

105	ILCS 5/24A-5	from	Ch.	122,	par.	24A-5
105	ILCS 5/24A-7	from	Ch.	122,	par.	24A-7
105	ILCS 5/24A-15					

Amends the Employment of Teachers Article of the School Code. In provisions related to the content of evaluation plans, allows a school district to waive, for the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, the evaluation requirement of any teacher in contractual continued service whose performance during the last school year in which the teacher was evaluated was rated as either "excellent" or "proficient". In regard to rules adopted by the State Board of Education concerning educator evaluations, for the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, provides that factors related to methods of measuring student growth may not be used in any educator evaluation. In regard to the development of an evaluation plan for principals and assistant principals, allows a school district to waive, for the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, the evaluation requirement of any principal or assistant principal whose performance during the last school year in which the teacher was evaluated was rated as either "excellent" or "proficient". Effective immediately.

LRB102 21733 CMG 30852 b

1

7

AN ACT concerning education.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

Section 5. The School Code is amended by changing Sections
24A-5, 24A-7, and 24A-15 as follows:

6 (105 ILCS 5/24A-5) (from Ch. 122, par. 24A-5)

(Text of Section before amendment by P.A. 102-252)

8 Sec. 24A-5. Content of evaluation plans. This Section 9 does not apply to teachers assigned to schools identified in 10 an agreement entered into between the board of a school 11 district operating under Article 34 of this Code and the 12 exclusive representative of the district's teachers in 13 accordance with Section 34-85c of this Code.

Each school district to which this Article applies shall establish a teacher evaluation plan which ensures that each teacher in contractual continued service is evaluated at least once in the course of every 2 school years.

By no later than September 1, 2012, each school district shall establish a teacher evaluation plan that ensures that:

20

21

(1) each teacher not in contractual continued service is evaluated at least once every school year; and

(2) each teacher in contractual continued service is
 evaluated at least once in the course of every 2 school

1 years. However, any teacher in contractual continued 2 service whose performance is rated as either "needs 3 improvement" or "unsatisfactory" must be evaluated at 4 least once in the school year following the receipt of 5 such rating.

6 <u>For the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, a</u> 7 <u>school district may waive the evaluation requirement of any</u> 8 <u>teacher in contractual continued service whose performance was</u> 9 <u>rated as either "excellent" or "proficient" during the last</u> 10 <u>school year in which the teacher was evaluated under this</u> 11 Section.

12 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section or any other Section of the School Code, a principal shall not 13 be prohibited from evaluating any teachers within a school 14 15 during his or her first year as principal of such school. If a 16 first-year principal exercises this option in a school 17 district where the evaluation plan provides for a teacher in contractual continued service to be evaluated once in the 18 19 course of every 2 school years, then a new 2-year evaluation 20 plan must be established.

The evaluation plan shall comply with the requirements of this Section and of any rules adopted by the State Board of Education pursuant to this Section.

The plan shall include a description of each teacher's duties and responsibilities and of the standards to which that teacher is expected to conform, and shall include at least the - 3 - LRB102 21733 CMG 30852 b

1 following components:

2 (a) personal observation of the teacher in the 3 classroom by the evaluator, unless the teacher has no 4 classroom duties.

5 (b) consideration of the teacher's attendance, 6 planning, instructional methods, classroom management, 7 where relevant, and competency in the subject matter 8 taught.

9 (c) by no later than the applicable implementation 10 date, consideration of student growth as a significant 11 factor in the rating of the teacher's performance.

12 (d) prior to September 1, 2012, rating of the 13 performance of teachers in contractual continued service 14 as either:

15 (i) "excellent", "satisfactory" or 16 "unsatisfactory"; or

17 (ii) "excellent", "proficient", "needs
18 improvement" or "unsatisfactory".

19 (e) on and after September 1, 2012, rating of the 20 performance of all teachers as "excellent", "proficient", 21 "needs improvement" or "unsatisfactory".

(f) specification as to the teacher's strengths andweaknesses, with supporting reasons for the comments made.

24 (g) inclusion of a copy of the evaluation in the 25 teacher's personnel file and provision of a copy to the 26 teacher.

- 4 - LRB102 21733 CMG 30852 b

(h) within 30 school days after the completion of an 1 evaluation rating a teacher in contractual continued 2 3 "needs improvement", development by the service as evaluator, in consultation with the teacher, and taking 4 5 into account the teacher's on-going professional 6 responsibilities including his or her regular teaching 7 assignments, of a professional development plan directed to the areas that need improvement and any supports that 8 9 the district will provide to address the areas identified 10 as needing improvement.

11 (i) within 30 school days after completion of an 12 evaluation rating a teacher in contractual continued service as "unsatisfactory", development and commencement 13 14 by the district of a remediation plan designed to correct 15 deficiencies cited, provided the deficiencies are deemed 16 remediable. In all school districts the remediation plan 17 for unsatisfactory, tenured teachers shall provide for 90 school days of remediation within the classroom, unless an 18 19 applicable collective bargaining agreement provides for a shorter duration. In all school districts evaluations 20 issued pursuant to this Section shall be issued within 10 21 22 days after the conclusion of the respective remediation 23 However, the school board or other governing plan. 24 authority of the district shall not lose jurisdiction to 25 discharge a teacher in the event the evaluation is not 26 issued within 10 days after the conclusion of the

1 respective remediation plan.

2 (j) participation in the remediation plan by the 3 teacher in contractual continued service rated "unsatisfactory", an evaluator and a consulting teacher 4 5 selected by the evaluator of the teacher who was rated 6 "unsatisfactory", which consulting teacher is an 7 educational employee as defined in the Educational Labor 8 Relations Act, has at least 5 years' teaching experience, 9 and a reasonable familiarity with the assignment of the 10 teacher being evaluated, and who received an "excellent" 11 rating on his or her most recent evaluation. Where no 12 teachers who meet these criteria are available within the 13 district, the district shall request and the applicable 14 regional office of education shall supply, to participate 15 in the remediation process, an individual who meets these 16 criteria.

17 In a district having a population of less than 500,000 with an exclusive bargaining agent, the bargaining agent 18 19 may, if it so chooses, supply a roster of qualified 20 teachers from whom the consulting teacher is to be 21 selected. That roster shall, however, contain the names of 22 at least 5 teachers, each of whom meets the criteria for 23 consulting teacher with regard to the teacher being 24 evaluated, or the names of all teachers so qualified if 25 that number is less than 5. In the event of a dispute as to 26 qualification, the State Board shall determine - 6 - LRB102 21733 CMG 30852 b

HB4256

1 qualification.

2 (k) a mid-point and final evaluation by an evaluator 3 during and at the end of the remediation period, immediately following receipt of a remediation plan 4 5 provided for under subsections (i) and (i) of this Section. Each evaluation shall assess the teacher's 6 7 performance during the time period since the prior 8 evaluation; provided that the last evaluation shall also 9 include an overall evaluation of the teacher's performance 10 during the remediation period. A written copy of the 11 evaluations and ratings, in which any deficiencies in 12 performance and recommendations for correction are identified, shall be provided to and discussed with the 13 14 teacher within 10 school days after the date of the 15 evaluation, unless an applicable collective bargaining 16 agreement provides to the contrary. These subsequent 17 evaluations shall be conducted by an evaluator. The consulting teacher shall provide advice to the teacher 18 19 rated "unsatisfactory" on how to improve teaching skills 20 and to successfully complete the remediation plan. The 21 consulting teacher shall participate in developing the 22 remediation plan, but the final decision as to the 23 evaluation shall be done solely by the evaluator, unless 24 an applicable collective bargaining agreement provides to the contrary. Evaluations at the conclusion of the 25 26 remediation process shall be separate and distinct from

the required annual evaluations of teachers and shall not be subject to the guidelines and procedures relating to those annual evaluations. The evaluator may but is not required to use the forms provided for the annual evaluation of teachers in the district's evaluation plan.

6 (1) reinstatement to the evaluation schedule set forth 7 in the district's evaluation plan for any teacher in 8 contractual continued service who achieves a rating equal 9 to or better than "satisfactory" or "proficient" in the 10 school year following a rating of "needs improvement" or 11 "unsatisfactory".

12 (m) dismissal in accordance with subsection (d) of 13 Section 24-12 or Section 24-16.5 or 34-85 of this Code of 14 any teacher who fails to complete any applicable 15 remediation plan with a rating equal to or better than a 16 "satisfactory" or "proficient" rating. Districts and 17 teachers subject to dismissal hearings are precluded from compelling the testimony of consulting teachers at such 18 hearings under subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 19 20 24-16.5 or 34-85 of this Code, either as to the rating 21 process or for opinions of performances by teachers under 22 remediation.

(n) After the implementation date of an evaluation
system for teachers in a district as specified in Section
24 24A-2.5 of this Code, if a teacher in contractual
continued service successfully completes a remediation

plan following a rating of "unsatisfactory" in an annual 1 2 or biennial overall performance evaluation received after 3 foregoing implementation date and receives the а subsequent rating of "unsatisfactory" in any of the 4 5 teacher's annual or biennial overall performance 6 evaluation ratings received during the 36-month period 7 following the teacher's completion of the remediation 8 plan, then the school district may forego remediation and 9 seek dismissal in accordance with subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 34-85 of this Code. 10

11 Nothing in this Section or Section 24A-4 shall be 12 construed as preventing immediate dismissal of a teacher for deficiencies which are deemed irremediable or for actions 13 14 which are injurious to or endanger the health or person of 15 students in the classroom or school, or preventing the 16 dismissal or non-renewal of teachers not in contractual 17 continued service for any reason not prohibited by applicable employment, labor, and civil rights laws. Failure to strictly 18 comply with the time requirements contained in Section 24A-5 19 20 shall not invalidate the results of the remediation plan.

Nothing contained in this amendatory Act of the 98th 21 22 Assembly repeals, supersedes, invalidates, General or 23 nullifies final decisions in lawsuits pending on the effective 24 date of this amendatory Act of the 98th General Assembly in 25 Illinois courts involving the interpretation of Public Act 97-8. 26

- 9 - LRB102 21733 CMG 30852 b

If the Governor has declared a disaster due to a public 1 2 health emergency pursuant to Section 7 of the Illinois 3 Emergency Management Agency Act that suspends in-person instruction, the timelines in this Section connected to the 4 5 commencement and completion of any remediation plan are waived. Except if the parties mutually agree otherwise and the 6 agreement is in writing, any remediation plan that had been in 7 8 place for more than 45 days prior to the suspension of 9 in-person instruction shall resume when in-person instruction 10 resumes and any remediation plan that had been in place for 11 fewer than 45 days prior to the suspension of in-person 12 instruction shall be discontinued and a new remediation period 13 begin when in-person instruction shall resumes. The requirements of this paragraph apply regardless of whether 14 they are included in a school district's teacher evaluation 15 16 plan.

17 (Source: P.A. 101-643, eff. 6-18-20.)

18 (Text of Section after amendment by P.A. 102-252)

Sec. 24A-5. Content of evaluation plans. This Section does not apply to teachers assigned to schools identified in an agreement entered into between the board of a school district operating under Article 34 of this Code and the exclusive representative of the district's teachers in accordance with Section 34-85c of this Code.

25 Each school district to which this Article applies shall

establish a teacher evaluation plan which ensures that each teacher in contractual continued service is evaluated at least once in the course of every 2 or 3 school years as provided in this Section.

5 Each school district shall establish a teacher evaluation6 plan that ensures that:

7 (1) each teacher not in contractual continued service
8 is evaluated at least once every school year; and

9 (2) except as otherwise provided in this Section, each 10 teacher in contractual continued service is evaluated at 11 least once in the course of every 2 school years. However, 12 any teacher in contractual continued service whose 13 performance is rated as either "needs improvement" or 14 "unsatisfactory" must be evaluated at least once in the 15 school year following the receipt of such rating.

No later than September 1, 2022, each school district must 16 17 establish a teacher evaluation plan that ensures that each teacher in contractual continued service whose performance is 18 rated as either "excellent" or "proficient" is evaluated at 19 least once in the course of the 3 school years after receipt of 20 the rating and implement an informal teacher observation plan 21 22 established by agency rule and by agreement of the joint 23 committee established under subsection (b) of Section 24A-4 of this Code that ensures that each teacher in contractual 24 25 continued service whose performance is rated as either 26 "excellent" or "proficient" is informally observed at least

1 once in the course of the 2 school years after receipt of the 2 rating.

For the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, a school district may waive the evaluation requirement of any teacher in contractual continued service whose performance was rated as either "excellent" or "proficient" during the last school year in which the teacher was evaluated under this Section.

9 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 10 or any other Section of the School Code, a principal shall not 11 be prohibited from evaluating any teachers within a school 12 during his or her first year as principal of such school. If a 13 first-year principal exercises this option in a school 14 district where the evaluation plan provides for a teacher in contractual continued service to be evaluated once in the 15 16 course of every 2 or 3 school years, as applicable, then a new 17 2-year or 3-year evaluation plan must be established.

18 The evaluation plan shall comply with the requirements of 19 this Section and of any rules adopted by the State Board of 20 Education pursuant to this Section.

The plan shall include a description of each teacher's duties and responsibilities and of the standards to which that teacher is expected to conform, and shall include at least the following components:

(a) personal observation of the teacher in the
 classroom by the evaluator, unless the teacher has no

1 classroom duties.

2 (b) consideration of the teacher's attendance, 3 planning, instructional methods, classroom management, 4 where relevant, and competency in the subject matter 5 taught.

6 (c) by no later than the applicable implementation 7 date, consideration of student growth as a significant 8 factor in the rating of the teacher's performance.

9 (d) prior to September 1, 2012, rating of the 10 performance of teachers in contractual continued service 11 as either:

12 (i) "excellent", "satisfactory" or 13 "unsatisfactory"; or

14 (ii) "excellent", "proficient", "needs
15 improvement" or "unsatisfactory".

(e) on and after September 1, 2012, rating of the
 performance of all teachers as "excellent", "proficient",
 "needs improvement" or "unsatisfactory".

(f) specification as to the teacher's strengths and
 weaknesses, with supporting reasons for the comments made.

21 (g) inclusion of a copy of the evaluation in the 22 teacher's personnel file and provision of a copy to the 23 teacher.

(h) within 30 school days after the completion of an
 evaluation rating a teacher in contractual continued
 service as "needs improvement", development by the

evaluator, in consultation with the teacher, and taking 1 2 into account the teacher's on-going professional 3 responsibilities including his or her regular teaching assignments, of a professional development plan directed 4 5 to the areas that need improvement and any supports that the district will provide to address the areas identified 6 7 as needing improvement.

(i) within 30 school days after completion of an 8 9 evaluation rating a teacher in contractual continued 10 service as "unsatisfactory", development and commencement 11 by the district of a remediation plan designed to correct 12 deficiencies cited, provided the deficiencies are deemed remediable. In all school districts the remediation plan 13 14 for unsatisfactory, tenured teachers shall provide for 90 15 school days of remediation within the classroom, unless an 16 applicable collective bargaining agreement provides for a 17 shorter duration. In all school districts evaluations issued pursuant to this Section shall be issued within 10 18 19 days after the conclusion of the respective remediation 20 plan. However, the school board or other governing 21 authority of the district shall not lose jurisdiction to 22 discharge a teacher in the event the evaluation is not 23 10 days after the conclusion of issued within the 24 respective remediation plan.

25 (j) participation in the remediation plan by the 26 teacher in contractual continued service rated

"unsatisfactory", an evaluator and a consulting teacher 1 2 selected by the evaluator of the teacher who was rated 3 "unsatisfactory", which consulting teacher is an educational employee as defined in the Educational Labor 4 5 Relations Act, has at least 5 years' teaching experience, 6 and a reasonable familiarity with the assignment of the 7 teacher being evaluated, and who received an "excellent" 8 rating on his or her most recent evaluation. Where no 9 teachers who meet these criteria are available within the 10 district, the district shall request and the applicable 11 regional office of education shall supply, to participate 12 in the remediation process, an individual who meets these 13 criteria.

14 In a district having a population of less than 500,000 15 with an exclusive bargaining agent, the bargaining agent 16 may, if it so chooses, supply a roster of qualified 17 teachers from whom the consulting teacher is to be selected. That roster shall, however, contain the names of 18 19 at least 5 teachers, each of whom meets the criteria for 20 consulting teacher with regard to the teacher being 21 evaluated, or the names of all teachers so qualified if 22 that number is less than 5. In the event of a dispute as to 23 shall determine qualification, the State Board 24 gualification.

(k) a mid-point and final evaluation by an evaluator
 during and at the end of the remediation period,

immediately following receipt of a remediation plan 1 2 provided for under subsections (i) and (j) of this 3 Section. Each evaluation shall assess the teacher's performance during the time period since the prior 4 5 evaluation; provided that the last evaluation shall also 6 include an overall evaluation of the teacher's performance 7 during the remediation period. A written copy of the 8 evaluations and ratings, in which any deficiencies in 9 and recommendations for correction performance are 10 identified, shall be provided to and discussed with the 11 teacher within 10 school days after the date of the 12 evaluation, unless an applicable collective bargaining 13 agreement provides to the contrary. These subsequent 14 evaluations shall be conducted by an evaluator. The 15 consulting teacher shall provide advice to the teacher 16 rated "unsatisfactory" on how to improve teaching skills 17 and to successfully complete the remediation plan. The consulting teacher shall participate in developing the 18 19 remediation plan, but the final decision as to the 20 evaluation shall be done solely by the evaluator, unless 21 an applicable collective bargaining agreement provides to 22 contrary. Evaluations at the conclusion of the the 23 remediation process shall be separate and distinct from 24 the required annual evaluations of teachers and shall not 25 be subject to the guidelines and procedures relating to 26 those annual evaluations. The evaluator may but is not

1 2 required to use the forms provided for the annual evaluation of teachers in the district's evaluation plan.

(1) reinstatement to the evaluation schedule set forth
in the district's evaluation plan for any teacher in
contractual continued service who achieves a rating equal
to or better than "satisfactory" or "proficient" in the
school year following a rating of "needs improvement" or
"unsatisfactory".

9 (m) dismissal in accordance with subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 24-16.5 or 34-85 of this Code of 10 11 any teacher who fails to complete any applicable 12 remediation plan with a rating equal to or better than a "satisfactory" or "proficient" rating. Districts 13 and 14 teachers subject to dismissal hearings are precluded from 15 compelling the testimony of consulting teachers at such 16 hearings under subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 17 24-16.5 or 34-85 of this Code, either as to the rating process or for opinions of performances by teachers under 18 remediation. 19

(n) After the implementation date of an evaluation
system for teachers in a district as specified in Section
24A-2.5 of this Code, if a teacher in contractual
continued service successfully completes a remediation
plan following a rating of "unsatisfactory" in an overall
performance evaluation received after the foregoing
implementation date and receives a subsequent rating of

"unsatisfactory" in any of the teacher's overall 1 2 performance evaluation ratings received during the 36-month period following the teacher's completion of the 3 remediation plan, then the school district may forego 4 5 remediation and seek dismissal in accordance with subsection (d) of Section 24-12 or Section 34-85 of this 6 7 Code.

in this Section or Section 24A-4 8 shall Nothing be 9 construed as preventing immediate dismissal of a teacher for 10 deficiencies which are deemed irremediable or for actions 11 which are injurious to or endanger the health or person of 12 students in the classroom or school, or preventing the 13 dismissal or non-renewal of teachers not in contractual 14 continued service for any reason not prohibited by applicable 15 employment, labor, and civil rights laws. Failure to strictly 16 comply with the time requirements contained in Section 24A-5 17 shall not invalidate the results of the remediation plan.

Nothing contained in this amendatory Act of the 98th General Assembly repeals, supersedes, invalidates, or nullifies final decisions in lawsuits pending on the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 98th General Assembly in Illinois courts involving the interpretation of Public Act 97-8.

If the Governor has declared a disaster due to a public health emergency pursuant to Section 7 of the Illinois Emergency Management Agency Act that suspends in-person

instruction, the timelines in this Section connected to the 1 2 commencement and completion of any remediation plan are 3 waived. Except if the parties mutually agree otherwise and the agreement is in writing, any remediation plan that had been in 4 5 place for more than 45 days prior to the suspension of 6 in-person instruction shall resume when in-person instruction 7 resumes and any remediation plan that had been in place for 8 fewer than 45 days prior to the suspension of in-person 9 instruction shall be discontinued and a new remediation period 10 shall begin when in-person instruction resumes. The 11 requirements of this paragraph apply regardless of whether 12 they are included in a school district's teacher evaluation 13 plan.

14 (Source: P.A. 101-643, eff. 6-18-20; 102-252, eff. 1-1-22.)

15 (105 ILCS 5/24A-7) (from Ch. 122, par. 24A-7)

16 (Text of Section before amendment by P.A. 102-252)

17 Sec. 24A-7. Rules. The State Board of Education is 18 authorized to adopt such rules as are deemed necessary to 19 implement and accomplish the purposes and provisions of this 20 Article, including, but not limited to, rules:

(1) relating to the methods for measuring student growth (including, but not limited to, limitations on the age of usable data; the amount of data needed to reliably and validly measure growth for the purpose of teacher and principal evaluations; and whether and at what time annual

- HB4256
- State assessments may be used as one of multiple measures
 of student growth);

3 (2) defining the term "significant factor" for 4 purposes of including consideration of student growth in 5 performance ratings;

6 (3) controlling for such factors as student 7 characteristics (including, but not limited to, students 8 receiving special education and English Learner services), 9 student attendance, and student mobility so as to best 10 measure the impact that a teacher, principal, school and 11 school district has on students' academic achievement;

12 (4) establishing minimum requirements for district 13 teacher and principal evaluation instruments and 14 procedures; and

(5) establishing a model evaluation plan for use by
school districts in which student growth shall comprise
50% of the performance rating.

Notwithstanding any other rule or law to the contrary, for the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, student growth measures described under paragraph (1) of this Section and in administrative rules adopted by the State Board of Education may not be used as a factor in any evaluation under this Article.

Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section, such rules shall not preclude a school district having 500,000 or more inhabitants from using an annual State assessment as the 1 sole measure of student growth for purposes of teacher or 2 principal evaluations.

The State Superintendent of Education shall convene a 3 Performance Evaluation Advisory Council, which shall 4 be 5 staffed by the State Board of Education. Members of the Council shall be selected by the State Superintendent and 6 7 include, without limitation, representatives of teacher unions 8 and school district management, persons with expertise in 9 performance evaluation processes and systems, as well as other 10 stakeholders. The Council shall meet at least quarterly and 11 may also meet at the call of the chairperson of the Council, 12 following August 18, 2017 (the effective date of Public Act 13 100-211) until June 30, 2021. The Council shall advise the 14 State Board of Education on the ongoing implementation of 15 performance evaluations in this State, which may include gathering public feedback, sharing best practices, consulting 16 17 with the State Board on any proposed rule changes regarding evaluations, and other subjects as determined by the 18 19 chairperson of the Council.

20 Prior to the applicable implementation date, these rules 21 shall not apply to teachers assigned to schools identified in 22 an agreement entered into between the board of a school 23 district operating under Article 34 of this Code and the 24 exclusive representative of the district's teachers in 25 accordance with Section 34-85c of this Code.

26 (Source: P.A. 102-558, eff. 8-20-21.)

1

(Text of Section after amendment by P.A. 102-252)

2 Sec. 24A-7. Rules. The State Board of Education is 3 authorized to adopt such rules as are deemed necessary to 4 implement and accomplish the purposes and provisions of this 5 Article, including, but not limited to, rules:

6 (1) relating to the methods for measuring student 7 growth (including, but not limited to, limitations on the 8 age of usable data; the amount of data needed to reliably 9 and validly measure growth for the purpose of teacher and 10 principal evaluations; and whether and at what time annual 11 State assessments may be used as one of multiple measures 12 of student growth);

13 (2) defining the term "significant factor" for 14 purposes of including consideration of student growth in 15 performance ratings;

16 for such factors (3) controlling as student characteristics (including, but not limited to, students 17 18 receiving special education and English Learner services), student attendance, and student mobility so as to best 19 measure the impact that a teacher, principal, school and 20 21 school district has on students' academic achievement;

(4) establishing minimum requirements for district
 teacher and principal evaluation instruments and
 procedures; and

25

(5) establishing a model evaluation plan for use by

school districts in which student growth shall comprise
 50% of the performance rating.

Notwithstanding any other rule or law to the contrary, for the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, student growth measures described under paragraph (1) of this Section and in administrative rules adopted by the State Board of Education may not be used as a factor in any evaluation under this Article.

9 Notwithstanding any other provision in this Section, such 10 rules shall not preclude a school district having 500,000 or 11 more inhabitants from using an annual State assessment as the 12 sole measure of student growth for purposes of teacher or 13 principal evaluations.

The State Superintendent of Education shall convene a 14 15 Performance Evaluation Advisory Council, which shall be 16 staffed by the State Board of Education. Members of the 17 Council shall be selected by the State Superintendent and include, without limitation, representatives of teacher unions 18 19 and school district management, persons with expertise in 20 performance evaluation processes and systems, as well as other stakeholders. The Council shall meet at least quarterly and 21 22 may also meet at the call of the chairperson of the Council, 23 following August 18, 2017 (the effective date of Public Act 100-211) until June 30, 2024. The Council shall advise the 24 State Board of Education on the ongoing implementation of 25 26 performance evaluations in this State, which may include

gathering public feedback, sharing best practices, consulting with the State Board on any proposed rule changes regarding evaluations, and other subjects as determined by the chairperson of the Council.

5 Prior to the applicable implementation date, these rules 6 shall not apply to teachers assigned to schools identified in 7 an agreement entered into between the board of a school 8 district operating under Article 34 of this Code and the 9 exclusive representative of the district's teachers in 10 accordance with Section 34-85c of this Code.

11 (Source: P.A. 102-252, eff. 1-1-22; 102-558, eff. 8-20-21.)

12 (105 ILCS 5/24A-15)

Sec. 24A-15. Development of evaluation plan for principalsand assistant principals.

(a) Each school district, except for a school district organized under Article 34 of this Code, shall establish a principal and assistant principal evaluation plan in accordance with this Section. The plan must ensure that each principal and assistant principal is evaluated as follows:

20 (1) For a principal or assistant principal on a
21 single-year contract, the evaluation must take place by
22 March 1 of each year.

(2) For a principal or assistant principal on a
 multi-year contract under Section 10-23.8a of this Code,
 the evaluation must take place by March 1 of the final year

1 of the contract.

2 On and after September 1, 2012, the plan must:

3 (i) rate the principal's or assistant principal's 4 performance as "excellent", "proficient", "needs 5 improvement" or "unsatisfactory"; and

6 (ii) ensure that each principal and assistant 7 principal is evaluated at least once every school year.

8 Nothing in this Section prohibits a school district from 9 conducting additional evaluations of principals and assistant 10 principals.

For the 2021-2022 and 2022-2023 school years only, a school district may waive the evaluation requirement of any principal or assistant principal whose performance was rated as either "excellent" or "proficient" during the last school year in which the principal or assistant principal was evaluated under this Section.

17 (b) The evaluation shall include a description of the 18 principal's or assistant principal's duties and 19 responsibilities and the standards to which the principal or 20 assistant principal is expected to conform.

(c) The evaluation for a principal must be performed by the district superintendent, the superintendent's designee, or, in the absence of the superintendent or his or her designee, an individual appointed by the school board who holds a registered Type 75 State administrative certificate.
Prior to September 1, 2012, the evaluation must be in 1 writing and must at least do all of the following:

2 (1) Consider the principal's specific duties, 3 responsibilities, management, and competence as a 4 principal.

5 (2) Specify the principal's strengths and weaknesses,
6 with supporting reasons.

7 (3) Align with research-based standards established by
8 administrative rule.

9 On and after September 1, 2012, the evaluation must, in 10 addition to the requirements in items (1), (2), and (3) of this 11 subsection (c), provide for the use of data and indicators on 12 student growth as a significant factor in rating performance.

13 (c-5) The evaluation of an assistant principal must be 14 performed by the principal, the district superintendent, the 15 superintendent's designee, or, in the absence of the 16 superintendent or his or her designee, an individual appointed 17 by the school board who holds a registered Type 75 State 18 administrative certificate. The evaluation must be in writing 19 and must at least do all of the following:

(1) Consider the assistant principal's specific
 duties, responsibilities, management, and competence as an
 assistant principal.

(2) Specify the assistant principal's strengths and
 weaknesses with supporting reasons.

(3) Align with the Illinois Professional Standards for
 School Leaders or research-based district standards.

1 On and after September 1, 2012, the evaluation must, in 2 addition to the requirements in items (1), (2), and (3) of this 3 subsection (c-5), provide for the use of data and indicators 4 on student growth as a significant factor in rating 5 performance.

6 (d) One copy of the evaluation must be included in the 7 principal's or assistant principal's personnel file and one 8 copy of the evaluation must be provided to the principal or 9 assistant principal.

10 (e) Failure by a district to evaluate a principal or 11 assistant principal and to provide the principal or assistant 12 principal with a copy of the evaluation at least once during the term of the principal's or assistant principal's contract, 13 in accordance with this Section, is evidence that 14 the 15 principal or assistant principal is performing duties and 16 responsibilities in at least a satisfactory manner and shall 17 serve to automatically extend the principal's or assistant principal's contract for a period of one year after the 18 19 contract would otherwise expire, under the same terms and 20 conditions as the prior year's contract. The requirements in this Section are in addition to the right of a school board to 21 22 reclassify a principal or assistant principal pursuant to 23 Section 10-23.8b of this Code.

(f) Nothing in this Section prohibits a school board from
 ordering lateral transfers of principals or assistant
 principals to positions of similar rank and salary.

HB4256 - 27 - LRB102 21733 CMG 30852 b (Source: P.A. 96-861, eff. 1-15-10; 97-217, eff. 7-28-11.)

1

Section 95. No acceleration or delay. Where this Act makes changes in a statute that is represented in this Act by text that is not yet or no longer in effect (for example, a Section represented by multiple versions), the use of that text does not accelerate or delay the taking effect of (i) the changes made by this Act or (ii) provisions derived from any other Public Act.

9 Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon10 becoming law.