

# 100TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY State of Illinois 2017 and 2018 SB0983

Introduced 2/7/2017, by Sen. Daniel Biss

# SYNOPSIS AS INTRODUCED:

New Act 710 ILCS 5/1 815 ILCS 505/2Z 815 ILCS 505/10e new

from Ch. 10, par. 101 from Ch. 121 1/2, par. 262Z

Creates the Limitations on Forced Arbitration Act. Defines terms and contains statements of findings, purpose, and policy. Places conditions limiting the use of forced arbitration agreements on entities doing business with the State. Creates a rebuttable presumption that specified contract terms relating to forced arbitration agreements unconscionable. Prohibits arbitration agreements in specified situations. Provides that, with specified exceptions, appellate courts do not have jurisdiction to review a trial court's interlocutory order denying a motion to compel arbitration or otherwise concluding that an arbitration agreement is unenforceable or does not apply to a particular claim. Makes other changes, including a corresponding change in the Uniform Arbitration Act. Amends the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act. Provides that a person may initiate on behalf of the State an action alleging violations of the Act to recover civil penalties on behalf of the State and to seek injunctive, declaratory, or other equitable relief that the State would itself be entitled to seek. Allows such a person a percentage of the recovery. Adds provisions governing: the State's opportunity to intervene and proceed with the action; discovery; prohibition of duplicative actions; settlement; limitations on State actions initiated by a private party; res judicata; relationship to forced arbitration; and severability.

LRB100 07368 HEP 17432 b

FISCAL NOTE ACT MAY APPLY

1 AN ACT concerning business.

# Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly:

- 4 Article 1. General provisions.
- 5 Section 1-5. Short title. This Act may be cited as the
- 6 Limitations on Forced Arbitration Act.
- 7 Section 1-10. Definitions. As used in this Act:
- 8 "Consumer" has the meaning provided in subsection (e) of
- 9 Section 1 of the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business
- 10 Practices Act.
- "Employee" means any person employed by another as defined
- 12 by State law, and any person who is not classified by a
- 13 business as an employee but who claims to be an employee and
- 14 whose claims against the purported employer relate to this
- 15 alleged misclassification.
- "Forced arbitration agreement" means an agreement to
- 17 subject disputes between the parties to a binding dispute
- 18 resolution procedure separate from federal or State judicial or
- 19 administrative process if the agreement:
- 20 (1) is a condition of entering into a relationship with
- 21 the party that presented the agreement or is presented in
- such a way that a reasonable person would consider it to be

1.3

1	a c	condition	of	entering	into	a	relationship	with	the	party
2	tha	at present	ed	it; and						

(2) was not negotiated by a labor union through collective bargaining.

"Forced arbitration agreement" includes: circumstances in which a business retaliates against a consumer or employee for failing to assent to the agreement or the consumer or employee reasonably fears that the business would retaliate against the consumer or employee for failing to assent to the agreement; and agreements that either contain or do not provide the right to opt-out of the agreement at a later time.

# Article 5. Conditions on entities

doing business with the State.

Section 5-5. Findings. To ensure that the State spends its limited funds in the most efficient manner possible, this Article prohibits the State from doing business with entities that form or enforce forced arbitration agreements with their consumers or employees. The secret nature of forced arbitration agreements between entities doing business with the State and their consumers or employees undermines the efficient management of State funds in the following ways:

(1) It prevents the State from learning whether goods or services provided by entities doing business with the State are the subject of consumer grievances concerning the

quality of the goods or services or whether the employees producing the goods or providing the services complain of unfair and illegal treatment that might interfere with the quality of the goods or services.

- (2) It obscures the extent to which entities doing business with the State violate the legal rights of consumers or employees, and therefore whether the entities are breaching their obligations to the State or concealing from public scrutiny conduct that interferes with the quality of a good or service provided to the State.
- (3) It obscures the extent to which entities doing business with the State might be destabilized by the entity's conduct as to consumers or its employees and such destabilization increases the likelihood that the entity will defraud the State or be unable to perform under a contract with the State.

## Section 5-10. Definition. As used in this Article:

"Doing business with the State" means an entity or any of its subsidiaries or parent entities receiving State funds exceeding \$100,000 in exchange for goods or services provided to the State or a third party. "Doing business with the State" includes, but is not limited to, persons or entities performing public work on State contracts, merchants of goods or services purchased by the State, and persons or entities providing services to third parties in exchange for funds provided

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

- directly from the State.
- 2 Section 5-15. Prohibitions.
  - (a) An entity that includes forced arbitration clauses in any of its contracts with consumers or employees is prohibited from doing business with the State, unless 180 days before doing business with the State, the entity or its parent entity or subsidiary provides reasonable notice to its consumers or employees that it will cease enforcing forced arbitration clauses in consumer or employment contracts if the clauses exist in consumer or employment contracts.
    - (b) An entity or any of its parent entities or subsidiaries is prohibited from doing business with the State if that entity or any of its parent entities or subsidiaries enforces forced arbitration agreements against any of its employees or consumers.
- 16 Section 5-20. Enforcement.
- 17 (a) Before the privilege of doing business with the State 18 is granted to any entity, the State agency representing the State in the business relationship shall confirm that the 19 20 entity, its parent entities, and its subsidiaries do not form 21 or enforce forced arbitration agreements with consumers or 22 employees and shall ensure, when appropriate, that a contract 23 between the State and the entity includes a provision 24 prohibiting that entity, its parent entities,

subsidiaries from forming or enforcing forced arbitration agreements. A person or its parent entities or subsidiaries forms forced arbitration clauses in its contracts with consumers or employees under this Article if current contracts with consumers or employees include forced arbitration clauses, unless, 180 days before doing business with the State, the entity or its parent entity or subsidiary provides reasonable notice to its consumers or employees that it will cease enforcing forced arbitration clauses in consumer or employment contracts.

- (b) If the Attorney General, after giving an entity doing business with the State notice and an opportunity to be heard, concludes that the entity has violated Section 5-15, the Attorney General shall notify all State agencies doing business with the entity about the violation and may seek actual damages caused to the State by the violation.
- (c) If a State agency receives notice from the Attorney General that an entity with whom the agency does business has violated Section 5-15, the agency shall terminate its business dealings with the entity as soon as practical.
- Section 5-25. Severability. The provisions of this Article are severable under Section 1.31 of the Statute on Statutes.
  - Article 10. Unconscionable terms.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 10-5. Findings. The inclusion of unconscionable terms in standard form contracts regarding dispute resolution is unfair not only because any resulting dispute resolution proceeding is unfair to the party forced to agree to the unconscionable terms, but also because the unconscionable discourage valid claims. Furthermore, when provisions are challenged, courts may simply strike the unconscionable terms but enforce the remainder of the agreement regarding dispute resolution. As a result, businesses have little incentive not to include these terms. Finally, in the context of form contracts, it is unlikely that there is any meeting of the minds over a dispute resolution agreement that does not include severed unconscionable terms.

Section 10-10. Unconscionable terms. There is a rebuttable presumption that the following contractual terms are unconscionable if they are included in a standard form contract to which only one of the parties to the contract is an individual and that individual does not draft the contract:

(1) A requirement that resolution of legal claims take place in an inconvenient venue. As used in this Article, "inconvenient venue" means for State law claims a place other than the county in which the individual resides or the contract was consummated, and for federal law claims a place other than the federal judicial district in which the individual resides or the contract was consummated.

1.3

- 1 (2) A waiver of the individual's right to assert claims 2 or seek remedies provided by State or federal statute.
  - (3) A waiver of the individual's right to seek punitive damages as provided by law.
  - (4) A provision limiting the time that an individual may bring an action to a period shorter than the applicable statute of limitations.
  - (5) A requirement that the individual pay fees and costs to bring a legal claim substantially in excess of the fees and costs that this State's courts require to bring a State law claim or that federal courts require to bring a federal law claim.

Section 10-15. Relation to common law and the Uniform Commercial Code. In determining whether the terms described in Section 10-10 are unenforceable, the court shall consider the principles that normally guide courts in this State in determining whether unconscionable terms are enforceable. Additionally, the common law and Uniform Commercial Code shall guide courts in determining the enforceability of unfair terms not specifically identified in Section 10-10.

Section 10-20. Severability. There is a rebuttable presumption that a term in a standard form contract that is found to be unconscionable is not severable from the agreement in which it is situated. In determining whether this

1.3

- 1 presumption has been rebutted, the court shall consider general
- 2 State law principles regarding the severability of
- 3 unenforceable terms.

Section 10-25. Violation. It is an unlawful practice under the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act to include one of the presumptively unconscionable terms identified in Section 10-10 in a standard form contract in which only one of the parties to the contract is an individual and that individual does not draft the contact. Notwithstanding any other State law to the contrary, a party who prevails in a claim under this Section is entitled to \$1,000 in statutory damages per violation. An action under this Section may be maintained by an employee against his or her employer regardless of whether the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act otherwise allows for such a claim.

## Article 15. Prohibition of

forced arbitration agreements.

Section 15-5. Findings; policy. Forced arbitration agreements binding upon consumers and employees are contrary to the public policy of this State. Because employees and consumers are forced to assent to these agreements as a condition of being an employee or consumer before any dispute has arisen with the employer or merchant, these agreements do

1.3

not offer employees and consumers a meaningful choice about how to resolve disputes with the employer or merchant. In addition, forced arbitration agreements prevent employees and consumers from effectively enforcing their rights under State law. For these reasons, except when inconsistent with federal law, it is the policy of this State to prohibit the formation and enforcement of forced arbitration agreements in employment and consumer contracts.

Section 15-10. Arbitration clauses in insurance agreements. A forced arbitration agreement that is part of any written contract for insurance with a consumer or other written agreement involving the offering of insurance to a consumer is invalid, unenforceable, and void. Any such forced arbitration agreement shall be considered severable, and all other provisions of the contract for insurance shall remain in effect and be given full force.

Section 15-15. Arbitration clauses in employment contracts. A forced arbitration agreement that is part of any written contract of employment of seamen, railroad employees, or any other class of workers engaged in foreign or interstate commerce or otherwise exempted from the Federal Arbitration Act is unenforceable and void. Any such forced arbitration agreement shall be considered severable, and all other provisions of the employment contract shall remain in effect

- 1 and given full force.
- Section 15-20. Arbitration clauses not governed by federal 2 3 law. Any forced arbitration agreement or portion thereof in an 4 employment or consumer contract is invalid, unenforceable, and 5 void if the enforceability of the forced arbitration agreement, 6 or the portion at issue, is governed by State law. Any such 7 forced arbitration agreement shall be considered severable, and all other provisions of the employment contract shall 8 9 remain in effect and given full force.
- Section 15-25. Severability. The provisions of this
  Article are severable under Section 1.31 of the Statute on
  Statutes.
- 13 Article 20. Appellate jurisdiction.

14 Section 20-5. Jurisdiction. Appellate courts do not have jurisdiction to review a trial court's interlocutory order 15 16 denying a motion to compel arbitration or otherwise concluding that an arbitration agreement is unenforceable or does not 17 18 apply to a particular claim. Appellate review of the denial of 19 a motion to compel arbitration may be had after a final judgment has been issued. An interlocutory appeal is allowed if 20 21 the trial court orders arbitration and dismisses the suit or 22 orders arbitration and stays the litigation.

- 1 Article 90. Amendatory provisions.
- 2 Section 90-5. The Uniform Arbitration Act is amended by
- 3 changing Section 1 as follows:
- 4 (710 ILCS 5/1) (from Ch. 10, par. 101)
- 5 Sec. 1. Validity of arbitration agreement. A written
- 6 agreement to submit any existing controversy to arbitration or
- 7 a provision in a written contract to submit to arbitration any
- 8 controversy thereafter arising between the parties is valid,
- 9 enforceable and irrevocable save upon such grounds as exist for
- 10 the revocation of any contract, except: (1) as provided in the
- 11 Limitations on Forced Arbitration Act; and (2) that any
- 12 agreement between a patient and a hospital or health care
- provider to submit to binding arbitration a claim for damages
- 14 arising out of (A) (1) injuries alleged to have been received
- by a patient, or (B)  $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$  death of a patient, due to hospital or
- health care provider negligence or other wrongful act, but not
- 17 including intentional torts, is also subject to the Health Care
- 18 Arbitration Act.
- 19 (Source: P.A. 80-1012; 80-1031.)
- 20 Section 90-10. The Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business
- 21 Practices Act is amended by changing Section 2Z and by adding
- 22 Section 10e as follows:

1 (815 ILCS 505/2Z) (from Ch. 121 1/2, par. 262Z)

Sec. 2Z. Violations of other Acts. Any person who knowingly 2 3 violates the Automotive Repair Act, the Automotive Collision 4 Repair Act, Section 10-25 of the Limitations on Forced 5 Arbitration Act, the Home Repair and Remodeling Act, the Dance 6 Studio Act, the Physical Fitness Services Act, the Hearing 7 Instrument Consumer Protection Act, the Illinois Union Label Act, the Job Referral and Job Listing Services Consumer 8 9 Protection Act, the Travel Promotion Consumer Protection Act, 10 the Credit Services Organizations Act, the Automatic Telephone 11 Dialers Act, the Pay-Per-Call Services Consumer Protection Act, the Telephone Solicitations Act, the Illinois Funeral or 12 13 Burial Funds Act, the Cemetery Oversight Act, the Cemetery Care Act, the Safe and Hygienic Bed Act, the Pre-Need Cemetery Sales 14 15 Act, the High Risk Home Loan Act, the Payday Loan Reform Act, 16 the Mortgage Rescue Fraud Act, subsection (a) or (b) of Section 3-10 of the Cigarette Tax Act, subsection (a) or (b) of Section 17 18 3-10 of the Cigarette Use Tax Act, the Electronic Mail Act, the Internet 19 Caller Identification Act, paragraph (6) subsection (k) of Section 6-305 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, 20 21 Section 11-1431, 18d-115, 18d-120, 18d-125, 18d-135, 18d-150, 22 or 18d-153 of the Illinois Vehicle Code, Article 3 of the Residential Real Property Disclosure Act, the Automatic 23 24 Contract Renewal Act, the Reverse Mortgage Act, Section 25 of 25 the Youth Mental Health Protection Act, or the Personal

- 1 Information Protection Act commits an unlawful practice within
- 2 the meaning of this Act.
- 3 (Source: P.A. 99-331, eff. 1-1-16; 99-411, eff. 1-1-16; 99-642,
- 4 eff. 7-28-16.)
- 5 (815 ILCS 505/10e new)
- 6 Sec. 10e. Delegation of State enforcement authority.
- 7 (a) Findings. Limits on the availability of public
- 8 <u>enforcement resources have deleterious effects on the</u>
- 9 <u>marketplace by allowing abuses targeting consumers and workers</u>
- 10 <u>to persist unprosecuted. To ensure the robust enforcement of</u>
- this Act, while simultaneously minimizing the outlay of scarce
- 12 State funds, this Section provides for private individuals to
- 13 represent the State's enforcement interests in certain
- 14 contexts in which the State does not have the means to fully
- enforce State consumer and worker protections.
- 16 (b) Civil penalties. Unless this Act or other State law
- 17 provides a different amount as the civil penalty recoverable by
- 18 the State for violations of this Act, a person who commits a
- 19 violation of this Act is subject to a civil penalty as provided
- in Section 7 of this Act.
- 21 (c) Private party suits. A person may initiate on behalf of
- the State an action alleging violations of this Act to recover
- 23 civil penalties on behalf of the State and to seek injunctive,
- 24 declaratory, or other equitable relief that the State would
- 25 <u>itself be entitled</u> to seek.

In initiating an action under this Section, a person may allege multiple violations that have affected different consumers or employees, as long as those violations are of a sufficiently similar kind that they can be efficiently managed in a single action.

For the purpose of encouraging the enforcement of public protections, a court may award a person who initiates a claim under this Section an incentive award of up to 25% percent of the total monetary recovery if that person pursues the action to final judgment as the prevailing party, or up to 10% percent of the total recovery if the State intervenes in the action and pursues it to final judgment as the prevailing party, including after settlement. In deciding an appropriate incentive award, the court shall consider the complexity of the case, the resources dedicated to prosecuting the case, whether the private party obtained equitable relief on behalf of the State and the extent of the relief, and the importance of the case as measured by the extent of actual damages caused by the wrongdoing to consumers or employees.

If a private party or the State prevails in an action originally brought under this Section, the private party and the State shall each be entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs, based on their participation in the action.

(d) State's opportunity to intervene and proceed with the action. A person initiating an action under this Section shall serve a copy of the complaint and a letter describing the

1	act	cion	on	the	Att	orney	Gener	al, a	at	whic	<u>h poi</u>	nt ti	he a	<u>actior</u>	n shall
2	be	stay	/ed	for	30	days.	. The	Stat	е	may	inter	vene	e in	n the	action

and proceed with any and all claims in the action:

- (1) As of right within the 30-day stay; or
- 5 (2) For good cause, as determined by the court, after 6 the expiration of the 30-day stay.
  - with the action, upon a showing by the State that certain actions of discovery by the person initiating the action would interfere with the State's investigation or prosecution of a criminal or civil matter arising out of the same facts, the court may stay the discovery for a period of not more than 60 days. Such a showing shall be made in camera. The court may extend the 60-day period upon a further showing in camera that the State has pursued the criminal or civil investigation or proceedings with reasonable diligence and any proposed discovery in the action will interfere with the ongoing criminal or civil investigation or proceedings.
  - (f) Prohibition of duplicative actions. No action may be brought by a private party acting pursuant to this Section for any violations already alleged as the basis for an action brought by the State, or by another private party pursuant to this Section, and no action may be brought by the State for any violations already alleged as the basis for an action brought by a private party pursuant to this Section. Furthermore, when a person initiates an action under this Section, no person

other than the State may intervene or bring a related action under this Section based on the facts underlying the pending action.

- shall review and approve any proposed settlement of an action brought under this Section to ensure that the settlement provisions are reasonable in light of State law. The court shall also ensure that any incentive fees and attorney's fees or costs included in a settlement are reasonable and that the private party does not recover, as an incentive payment, more than 25% percent of the recovery remitted to the State under the proposed settlement. The proposed settlement shall be submitted to the Attorney General at the same time that it is submitted to the court. If the Attorney General opposes the settlement by filing a motion with the court, the court shall deny approval of the settlement.
- (h) Limitations on State actions initiated by a private party. The State may make a motion to dismiss any action in which it decides to intervene under subsection (d) of this Section and the court shall grant the motion notwithstanding the objections of the person who initiated the action.
- The State may settle any action in which it decides to intervene under subsection (d) of this Section notwithstanding the objections of the person who initiated the action.
- (i) Res judicata. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an action initiated by a private party under this Section

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1 <u>shall not bar that person or any other individual from filing a</u>

2 private action based on the same nucleus of operative facts,

nor shall a prior private action based on the same nucleus of

4 operative facts bar an action under this Section.

- (j) Relationship to forced arbitration. Actions under this Section are prosecuted on behalf of the State and not an individual, and forced arbitration agreements between private parties do not apply to actions under this Section. No contract shall waive or limit a private party's right to act as a private party under this Section by waiving that party's right to bring such an action in a public forum or by preventing the party from being able to bring an action alleging multiple violations committed against multiple consumers or employees pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section.
- 15 <u>(k) Severability. The provisions of this Section are</u> 16 severable under Section 1.31 of the Statute on Statutes.