40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We shall be led in prayer today by the Reverend Prentiss Harris of the Mt. Zion Baptist Church in East Moline. Reverend Harris is the guest of Representative Mike Boland. The guests in the gallery may wish to rise and join us for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance."
- Reverend Harris: "Father in heaven, as we gather here today as men and women who come from all over the state, we pray Your blessings upon them as they conduct business on behalf of citizens. We know that often that You're the one that's in charge so we pray that they will put it all in Your hands. We pray for the safety of their families back home as they work here. And we give You all the praise. In Jesus' name. Amen."
- Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Lang."
- Lang et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Currie."
- Currie: "Thank you, Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representative Fritchey is excused today."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bost."

Bost: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect all Republicans are here today."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk shall take the record. There being 117 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call, there is a quorum present. Mr. Clerk."
- "Committee Reports. Representative Burke, Clerk Rossi: Chairperson from the Committee on Executive, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on Tuesday, April 01, 2003, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass Short Debate' House Bill 3584; pass as amended Short Debate' House Bill 'do 2370. Representative Hamos, Chairperson from the Committee on Housing and Urban Development, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on Wednesday, April 02, 2003, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 220. Representative Saviano, Chairperson from the Committee on Registration and Regulation, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on Tuesday, April 01, 2003, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'be adopted' Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3057 and Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3146. Representative Osterman, Chairperson from the Committee on Local Government, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on Tuesday, April 01, 2003, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'be adopted' Floor Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 120. Representative Reitz, Chairperson from the Committee on Agriculture & Conservation, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on Tuesday, April 01, 2003,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'be adopted' Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1518. Representative Giles, Chairperson from the Committee on Elementary & Secondary Education, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on Tuesday, April 01, 2003, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'be adopted' Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2105."

- Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke, do you wish to call House Bill 1318? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1318, a Bill for an Act concerning patient health information. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I offer for your consideration House Bill 1318. Amends the Code of Civil Procedure to allow patients to access their medical records within 30 days of the request, unless there is a written explanation for a longer time being needed. But in any case, the patient shall have access to their medical records in no more than 60 days. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is to solve a problem that developed in my legislative district. It could develop in any legislative district where medical records were simply left abandoned. And this legislation will help alleviate in the future of anybody abandoning medical records and that to realize that there is a responsibility to the

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

patients to do that. So I would be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Mr. McCarthy."
- McCarthy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to compliment Representative Parke for his work on this issue. This is a serious concern of the people in my area of the state, with the bankruptcy of Meyer Medical. Some of them waited for almost a year for their records and some are still waiting today. But I think by putting some more teeth in the measure as far as making sure these records are disclosed to the patients and the citizens of our state... this is a great measure and deserves every 'aye' vote in the House. So, I want to thank Representative Parke once again."
- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 117 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is Mr. Burke in the chamber? The Chair recognizes Mr. Bost. Mr. Bost. Mr. Dunkin, did you wish to call House Bill 3429? 3429. Mr. Dunkin. Mr. Dunkin."

Dunkin: "No."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. Mr. Flider, do you wish to call House Bill

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

3048? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill right now. Is Representative Flowers in the chamber? Mr. Franks. Mr. Franks. Mr. Lang, do you wish to call 89? Mr. Clerk, House Bill 89, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 89, a Bill for an Act concerning state collection of debts. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lang."

- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 89 was thoroughly debated when the Amendment became the Bill yesterday. As you know, we have \$10.5 billion owed to the state by our taxpayers and not a good way to collect it. I've been working on this Bill a number of years. This'll give us a centralized debt collection system to go after some of the people that owe us some dollars. It's a good time to be doing it. I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no discussion, the Chair recognizes Mr. Black."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. But I might like to discuss the Bill. That was a... a nice segue."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mr. Black, I didn't see your light flashing as I rolled into my routine."

Black: "Well, I was..."

Speaker Madigan: "But as usual, Mr. Black, you know, the ... "

Black: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "... the knowledge that you wish to speak stops everything."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Black: "Mr. Speaker, you're very kind. You're very kind." Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Black, did you want to interrogate Mr. Lang?"

Black: "Yes, would you please? Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman's ready."

- Black: "Representative, has the Department of Revenue indicated that they are prepared and able to take on this duty?"
- Lang: "Yes, Sir. In fact, the Department of Revenue and I worked closely to draft the final Amendment. They are the ones who suggested that we delay the transfer of debt from 2003 to the year 2004, and in some agencies 2005, because they think it will take them a period of time to tool up their computers, to hire the appropriate people, and to get this program in place. And I was certainly willing to give them the time to do it."
- Black: "Lou, I don't... I don't want to berate the fact. You know, obviously there... there's a start-up cost and an annualized cost. But I think it's more important in this case to focus on what we might be able to be... I share your concern. I want to return on our investment. And I know it's gonna cost money to set this up, but as you have pointed out, the uncollected debt in this state is somewhat of an embarrassment. And I don't mind... the Governor will have to find the money to set this up. If we get a return on investment, then it's a good idea. And I know that you're going to be here. I think what we need to do, Lou, is if it works, great; if it doesn't, then we need to reexamine it. If we're gonna spend 6 million to collect 4,

б

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that's not the kind of return that you and I... we're not interested in that. If we have to spend on an annualized cost, in the next 2 or 3 years, 6 million to collect 160 million, I'll sing your praises. But I... but I think it's something that we do need to watch to make sure that we get that return on the investment. I... I do share your concern. We've shrugged our shoulders enough. There are people in the State of Illinois who just say, 'You don't have to pay, they never come after ya, they don't do anything.' And on any given day, I'm not even sure who... if we could put our finger on who owes us what. So whatever we do is better than what we've done. And I think rather than focus on the fiscal impact, I think I'm... I'm prepared to join you in focusing on what the return might be."

Lang: "Thank you."

Black: "So, I hope it works, but I also hope that... that all of us here will look at this. And if it doesn't work, come back and try to change it."

Lang: "I'll be there with ya."

Black: "I hope so."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Has Representative Hultgren voted? The Clerk shall take the record? On this question, there are 117 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryg. Representative Ryg. Representative

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Younge, did you wish to call House Bill 2598? 2598. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2598, a Bill for an Act concerning guaranteed job opportunity projects. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2598 would transfer to the Department of Human Services the administration of the Guaranteed Job Opportunity Act. And the ... the Department of Human Services would accept this responsibility and supports the Bill as a result of the adoption of the Amendment #1, which would call for a pilot project of subsidized employment for TANF or Temporary Assistance for Needy Family recipients. Ιt would be a small demonstration of taking people from public aid to a private gainful employment. There would be job clubs, which would teach people how to fill out resumes and how to be interviewed, how to dress, and how to get ready to work. This is an important initiative because it ... makes an investment in people as a priority rather than a dole. And I ask for your approval of this matter."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady moves for the passage of the Bill. The Chair recognizes Representative Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor yields."

Mulligan: "Representative, this Act currently is under the Director of the Department of Labor. But it's my understanding that Governor Blagojevich is gonna move all

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

the job programs to DCCA. So why would you move this one into the Department of Human Services?"

- Younge: "Because the Department of Human Services has the responsibility for TANF recipients. And the Department of Human Services wishes to do this Bill and this project and set up the jobs... projects. And so I thought it would be a good thing to give them the responsibility, since they want it."
- Mulligan: "Department of Human of Services, with TANF, is also going to have... try to put their offices in one-stops, which would make them more the coordinators. But it is my understanding that even the Welfare to Work Programs are going to be administered by DCCA. So I would imagine that most all of them should be put together under one auspices, rather than moving them. And there is no appropriations, so what will happen then?"

Younge: "It will be my effort to get an appropriation. The project will not proceed unless there is an appropriation."

Mulligan: "Currently, what would happen... What does the Illinois Guaranteed Job Opportunity Act cover? What people?"

- Younge: "Currently, there aren't any projects under this Act. The… as of the passage of 2598… the first project would involve TANF recipients under the Department of Human Services."
- Mulligan: "There are any number of programs. Do you feel comfortable with the fact that even if you pass this, that the Governor should have the right to combine some of these programs so that we can be most cost effective and

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

establish one flow of Welfare to Work with any number of programs under that... under one auspices, rather than to have a lot of individual programs, particularly one that has no appropriation?"

- Younge: "I... I feel comfortable the Department of Human Services will work with the other departments and the other programs to... to maximize the benefit to TANF recipients."
- Mulligan: "Well, they currently do have some programs. But my understanding is that they are gonna be moved. Now, I don't know if they're gonna be able to hang on to some of those or what will happen. But I do know that, from what I've read, that he's planning on moving them all to DCCA. Thank you."

Younge: "Thank you."

- Speaker Madigan: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 102 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Franks. Mr. Franks, did you wish to call House Bill 209? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. Is Mr. Hassert in the chamber? Do you wish to call House Bill 1729? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1729, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental protection. Third Reading of this House Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hassert."

- Hassert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. This amends the Environmental Protection Act. Provides that when dealing with transfer stations' permits establishes shall be defined as the date on which the applicant files to request for local siting approval. I'll be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Bill. this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 111 people voting 'yes', 5 people voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Brosnahan. Mr. Capparelli, do you wish to call House Bill 9? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. Representative Collins. Collins. Do you wish to call House Bill 1182? The Lady indicates she does not wish to call the Bill. Mr. Forby, do you wish to call House Bill 3407? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. Mr. Hartke, did you wish to call House Bill 3635? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3635, a Bill for an Act in relation to land. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Hartke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 3635 is the annual land transfer legislation that IDOT

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

puts forth each and every year. The original Bill has seven pieces of property, one in Rock Island County, one in Woodford County, one in Winnebago, another in Ogle, Rock... another in Rock Island, Bond County and... and then one in Cumberland County. Each of these, in my opinion, has met the scrutiny for them. And number two then adds one, which we talked about yesterday, in Representative Mitchell's district for the purpose of a veterans' memorial. I appreciate everyone's support. Be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Did Mr... has Mr. Burke voted? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 117 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Bill Mitchell. Mr. Bill Mitchell. Mr. Burke, did you wish to call House Bill 70? 70? Mr. Clerk, what is the status of the Bill?"
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 70, a Bill for an Act regarding gift certificates. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendments 1 and 2 were adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative Burke, has been approved for consideration." Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Burke."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I'd ask... I'd ask for the adoption of Floor Amendment 5, which would, in fact, shell the Bill."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor say 'aye'; those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Put the Bill on the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, how many times has the Bill been called... read?"

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill was read a second time today." Speaker Madigan: "Leave the Bill on the Order of Third Reading.

Mr. Granberg, there's a House Bill 962. Do you wish to call the Bill? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 962, a Bill for an Act in relation to State finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Granberg."

- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 962 directs the Auditor General to conduct an audit of the Rend Lake Conservancy District, located in downstate Illinois. The Bill provides that the audit would be paid for by the district itself; there would be no state cost. We simply want an objective audit to be conducted to instill public confidence in the conservancy district. And I would ask for your support."
- Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of the Bill. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' Those in favor signify by voting 'yes';

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question, there are 117 people voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no'. This Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryg, do you wish to call House Bill 3061? The Lady indicates she does not wish to call the Bill. Mr. Brosnahan, did you wish to call House Bill 2215? The Gentleman indicates he does not wish to call the Bill. Representative Flowers, do you wish to call House Bill 1507? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1507, a Bill for an Act concerning jury trials. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1507 provides that in any proceeding on a petition seeking a finding that a minor is neglected, abused, or dependent or seeking a finding that a parent is unfit and that it is in the best interest of the child to terminate the parent's right, the parent may have a jury trial. And I'll be more than happy to answer any questions you may have in regards to this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hartke in the Chair."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 1507? The Chair recognizes Representative Mulligan. Representative Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor indicates she will yield."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Mulligan: "Representative, I think the reason we currently do not have jury trials is because of confidentiality in this area and that we have not had jury trials for some time. Why is that you think we should change back to jury trials on these issues?"
- Flowers: "Thank you very much, Representative, for the question. And I want to say to you the answer is that it's voluntary by the parent. It's up to them, if they want to have a jury trial."

Mulligan: "I'm sorry."

- Flowers: "It's up to the parent, it's permissive. If the parent wants to have a jury trial, they can do so."
- Mulligan: "What length of time do they have to request a jury trial so that the proceedings do not go on for any great length of time so that the child is being bounced around in the system?"
- Flowers: "Well, Representative, I didn't address that in the legislation and... because right now there is no length of time as to how long a child lingers in the system or how long DCFS may or may not want to terminate their rights. But let me just say this, for criminal purposes, a criminal can... must be brought to trial within 130 some odd days. A juvenile has the right to a trial within 120 days. So I'm sure some place in the middle there, we can work that out." Mulligan: "It's my understanding that both a Judicial Note and a Fiscal Note was requested on this. Can you tell me what

the Fiscal Note cost would be?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Flowers: "Representative, let... let me just answer that, if I may, by saying that the Fiscal Note of a child being deprived of their parent, I don't know that. And how... how could anyone possibly put a Fiscal Note on an issue when it is our constitutional right to be able to demand or ask for a jury trial if we've been accused of a crime. Whatever the... whatever's applicable in regards to a criminal, whatever the Fiscal Note may be, or whatever the process may be, I think a parent whose rights are being terminated and those rights is not... Well, I don't know..."
- Mulligan: "Representative, I don't think it's so much the… the actual Fiscal Note keeping the weight against the rights of the child or the parent. But the Fiscal Note is more… if the court would be backed up and if they would eventually get… actually get the jury trial and if the system is set up for that. The other thing is I do understand that DCFS is opposing your Bill."
- Flowers: "Representative, and also DCFS has a conflict of interest with my Bill, because the longer a child stays in the system, then the more children that they can have to adopt, the more moneys that they get for this issue. So, because they are in opposition, it does... you know, it's okay."
- Mulligan: "I don't... I don't believe that DCFS mandated to keep the children in the system as much as it is to place them properly, not have them linger. I think the mission of the General Assembly was to not have... to either reunite them with their family quickly or to move them into some

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

permanency so that the child or children would have the ability to have some kind of a permanent place to be, rather than to be bounced around in the system. And I... I am concerned that a jury trial is not necessarily in the best interest of either the children or the parents, particularly for confidentiality."

- Flowers: "Representative, I... you know, I'm amazed at when people talk about jury trial for children and the confidentiality. The same child at the age of 10 or 13 on the civil side that you would think that there should be confidentialities on... in the same bill, but on the criminal side there need not be any confidentiality. As a matter of fact, in three places in the juvenile criminal court section of this Juvenile Act it states three times that a sh... a minor 'shall' have a jury trial, a minor 'shall' have a jury trial, a minor 'shall' have a jury trial. Not unless that minor so choose to waive the right. So the confidentiality, and if on that criminal side the defense attorney may say in defense of that minor, 'Your honor, the reason why Johnny is the way that he is, because at the age of 10 he was sexually abused while he was in the foster care of the juvenile ... of the Department of Children and Family Services.' So where is the privacy that was on the civil side that is no longer on the criminal side that's causing this to continue?"
- Mulligan: "Representative, as you know, the Child Welfare System is based on the best interest of the child, not the parent. And the confidentiality or the ability to have a

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

jury trial is not always in the best interest of the child. So, where... what's the genesis of this?"

Flowers: "Well, let me give you the background. In... from 1899 to 1966 this state did have a right to a jury trial, and it was under the Family Practice Act. The Family Practice Act. was eliminated; then came the Juvenile Act. The Juvenile Act did not address the rights of a parent to have a jury trial. So, there was a case ... and if I may, I have the ... one of the professors that dealt with the case in 1979. And I... let me just read this, please. 'I am one of the attorneys who litigated the right of a parent to a jury trial when the state seeks to terminate the parent's rights. It was in 1979. The Illinois Appellate Court urged that this matter be resolved by the Illinois Legislators. You finally have this opportunity to do that today. Our society has long recognized the unique nature of the parent/child relationship as an essential ingredient of family integrity. The uniqueness is enveloped in a high degree of constitutional respect for the way a parent controlled the details of the child's upbringing and in retaining the custody and the companionship of the child. The right of a parent to raise and care for a child is a fundamental liberty interest, which our Constitution promotes and protects. This right is far more precious than any property right that the American enjoy under the constitution of the United States. When the state seeks to end the sacred relationship, it does more than terminate it, it extinguish it altogether. Justice Blackmun was

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

correct to observe that the forced dissolution of the relationship is punitive in nature; the decision to terminate is final and irrevocable. The forced dissolution of parental rights is more substantial than mere loss of Justice Ginsberg has characterized a termination money. decree as among the... the most severe of state action and against the individual of our society. Moreover, the United States Supreme Court recognized in a case that the state cannot evade the constitutional protection of due process by law by labeling it the proceedings of civil. When Illinois granted a litigant the right to a jury trial to contest his or her interest in real property, personal property, or money, but failed to accord a right to a jury trial when the severity of the decree that terminated parental rights is final and irrevocable, the state turns its legal system on its head. It elevates property over fis... over fiscal rights... I'm sorry, it elevates property or fiscal rights a way above the fundamental Constitution to protect one's own child. Such a result is constitutionally and unconscionable, and ultimately it creates a statutory scheme in the degradation of the fundamental right, which violates the equal protection of the law. Because termination of parental rights is state action against the individual and is punitive in nature, Illinois must safeguard a parental interest by allowing a parent to be judged by a neutral decision making ... maker that reflects the diversity of the community's values and its collective wisdom. As a matter of participa... participatory democracy

40th Legislative Day

and protection against the government dominated justice, a jury trial is the mo… is the most direct attempt at realizing this goal.' And that was said by Charles H. White in the criminal procedures."

- Mulligan: "Representative, we have a letter in the file here from..."
- Flowers: "Excuse me, Representative. Let me... I'm almost finished, please. Okay? If the Resolution of a contract dispute regarding an eviction from an apartment or personal injury claims arising from an automobile accident merits community participation in its decision-making efforts, a jury trial is essential to a fair and just resolution of parental rights. Our society benefits from the participation of juries in a justice system, civil and criminal, when juries represent the diversity of the community. I am not at all convinced that the objections that have been voiced against this Bill, which were premised on the up... on the unsubstantiated allegations that a jury trial in this atmosphere of the law are too costly or that it may impede the just and the expeditious adjudication of important rights, can be constitutionally justified. Concerns for the judicial economy should never be allowed to undercut the Constitution guarantee of fairness and judicial proceeding. And, Ladies and Gentlemen, for 25 years... for 25 years, the court... the court have been asking the Legislators to speak on this issue, because when we eliminated the Family Practice Act in 1966, we were silent on the issue whether or not a parent... a

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

parent, a family member had a right to a jury trial. And they are asking us to speak because the silence is killing and destroying so many children and families."

"Representative, in our file is a letter from Judge Mulligan: Patricia Martin Bishop, the presiding judge of the Cook County Circuit Court, Child Protection Division, who is strongly opposed to your Bill. I would also point out to that Family Practice Act is different from you the Department of Children and Family Services in that the Department of Children and Family Services is worried about the child's rights, not the parent's rights. But what your Bill does and what it ... what I'm quoting from Judge Martin Bishop is that 'House Bill 1507 would be solely for a jury trial for parents and not on the children, whose behalf and interest the proceedings are brought.' So there's a distinct difference if you're doing something in a divorce case or if you're doing something with Children and Family Services. And she also quotes the fact that, particularly in Cook County, 'All petitions are subject to provisions, even... Every day there are 16 highly trained judges of the Child Provect... Protection Division that use their expertise to make these gut-wrenching decisions involving the lives and future of these children. In making their decisions these judges rely on evidence that delves into the most intimate details of the lives of these children and families. The testimony can range from a child's graphic report of sexual abuse to mental health information, et cetera. Because of the compassion of the people of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Illinois, we currently have a framework in which this evidence may be heard in a confidential form, respecting the privacy of these children and their families. The General Assembly before has wisely chosen to create a shield of confidentiality for juvenile court proceedings. Although I can understand why some people may want to move to that, I think the timeframe, the cost that might hold up the proceedings, the fact that we have established courts that deal with these issues, and ... and the longer they're there, deal with them wisely, rather than manipulating evidence by attorneys, and for families, in some cases, who do not have the money for attorneys, and then will be subject to having a public defender, and then the child will have a guardian ad litem to ... to present evidence in a court hearing doesn't seem to be in the best interest of the children in the system. Although I can understand why maybe some of your constituents would've come to you with this Bill, I really don't know if it's in the best interest of the children of Illinois. And I thank you for the discussion that we've had on this, but I don't think I can support your Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Fur..."

Flowers: "You know, Representative, I'm glad you brought up the Justice, because I have a report here and it's from the Chapin Hills (sic - Hall) Center for Children at the University of Chicago and it deals with child abuse and neglect proceedings. In this report, and I'm just gonna take an excerpt out of here, it says, 'In a descriptive

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

report of child abuse and neglect proceeding by Chapin Hall Center for the University of Chicago, May, 1997, revealed that despite the fact that most judges hear abuse and neglect cases have had substantial legal experience, approximately half the judges have served on the bench for more than 10 years. Unfortunately, the promotion of that experience and the level of training in the law related to the abuse and neglect was not discernible from the complete survey. Survey respondents specifically mentioned the need for access to additional training for juvenile court judges and attorneys to counter their often limited exposure to the juvenile law and access to current information. Overwhelmingly, the concern that... that the necessary services was scarce and there was a lack of availability of services required... and required lengthy involvement by They argued that without continued juvenile court DCFS. jurisdiction family lacking external support and access to need and services will never ever be able to get their children back. Another critical concern was the lack of legal representation of parents in an uneven throughout the some jurisdictions, custodial parents state. In are represented by attorneys doing adjudication and dispositional, and 50 percent of the times or less, and representation of shelter care.' And so, Representative, by their own admission, the judges have their own issues with what goes on within DCFS. And some of them even stated that they refer to this pamphlet here. This pamphlet is called 'Caring for Kids: The Juvenile Court

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Abuse and Neglect Proceeding'. This, Ladies and Gentlemen, is what is used to determine whether or not I am worthy of having my child. And I don't think this is appropriate for a judge to sit at a bench and consult this."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? This issue is on Short Debate. Short Debate calls for the Sponsor to present the Bill, one person in response. Representative Mautino, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Mautino: "Take it off the Short Debate."

- Speaker Hartke: "I see… I see the necessary hands to put this Bill on Standard Debate. Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Lindner. Representative Parke, for what reason do you seek rec..."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to make a motion to knock this off of Short Debate and put it on Regular Debate."
- Speaker Hartke: "We've... we've just done that."

Parke: "I'm sorry, I didn't realize that."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Lindner."

Parke: "Thank you."

Lindner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Lindner: "Representative, could you go into a little more of the history of confidentiality and how it applies in the Juvenile Court Act and what would it mean if we had jury trials in these situations?"
- Flowers: "In regards to confidentiality, Representative, imagine yourself in a courtroom. And in that courtroom,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Representative, it's not just the judge and the parents. It's the prosecutor, the public defender, the quardian at litem and other people just walking in and out the courtroom. That's on the civil side. And also, it stated in the state statute that the media have access as well as anyone else that the court and DCFS deem that should know about it. So, there's your confidentiality on the civil side. Now, for that same child that you're trying to protect on the civil side, there is not that protection on the criminal side, because that same child in the same building, different courtroom, other side, have a right to a jury trial. And all the things that we were trying to keep a secret on the civil side is now exposed on the criminal side. So, once again, what do you mean about confidentiality?"

- Lindner: "Well, Representative, you realize that criminal courts and civil courts are entirely different than the juvenile court proceeding, because the juvenile court proceeding is based on the best interest of the child. And so, confidentiality has been a mainstream theme through the abuse and neglect proceedings. And isn't that one of the reasons why jury trials were never allowed in this situation?"
- Flowers: "Representative, I'm talking about jury trials for parental rights who have been terminated. Now, let me just say this. Let me say this. We have a problem in this state. Whenever, according to our own records, that we have a flawed system... Let me just... There are numerous of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

families that is... that are in this system because DCFS did not follow their own guidelines in regards to family preservation, in regards to reunification. When you think about... when you think about, Representative, the number of children... there was 50 thousand children in this system at one time. And there was ap... DCFS could've taken the opportunity and the judge, the court, could've taken the opportunity to put in..."

Speaker Hartke: "Shh. Shh."

"... place the family preservation and Flowers: the reunification. But because the dollar, Representative, because the dollar followed the adoption, and there is a bounty on the child, there needs to be a preponderance of evidence to prove that I am guilty, as opposed to hearsay. You are sentencing me to life without my child from hearsay. Some anonymous person made an anonymous telephone call and made an anonymous allegation. Some anonymous man come to my door at 3 o'clock in the morning to take my child out to who knows where. I don't know for 48 hours where my child is because of some anonymous person. And if I did have a problem, Ladies and Gentlemen, if I did have a problem, if I was neglectful because I lost my job and my utilities are off and my nosy neighbor called and said that Representative Flowers is neglectful of her children because I know she's over there without any heat. I know she's over there without any light. And DCFS comes in and snaps my children. Why can't they help me, Representative? I'm a mother; I'm in need of help. And the Department of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Children and Family Services, that's not their own private money, that is the state's money. And as a taxpayer, we are costing the state more moneys by snatching these families. We are... in the best interests of the child... Representative, let me say this to you. By DCFS' own study, 11 percent of the children that's brought into this system have been sexually abused and misused while they were in the state's care. Things that would not have happened to them had they stayed at home in my cold, dark house. They would've had my love."

Lindner: "Representative, back to the point about jury trials." Flowers: "Yes, Representative."

- Lindner: "In 1966 the Juvenile Court Act went into effect. What other juvenile justice reform issues have happened since then, and why have they not recommended jury trials?"
- "Oh, Representative, there has been numerous of Flowers: occasion. This is not my first time bringing this legislative... legislation forth. So, when you ask why is it, I don't know who you want to recommend, we're the Legislators. We're the Legislators. So, I did try to recommend this a couple years ago. And DCFS came to me because they... and this judge came for ... Tim Casey came to I got the same letter from Justice Martin Bishop. And me. everybody came to me and says, oh, this would cost too much money. This would do this. I said okay, well, I tried to put together, Representative, a review panel. A review panel would say ... I would be able to go to that review panel and say to that review panel, 'Panel, I love my children.

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

And if I had some assistance, if I could use the family preservation, I can get my lights on, I can get my gas on. And then if I were able to get some daycare money' ... because, see, right now the state says I have to have a full-time job in order to get daycare. So, I don't have a full-time job, I can't get daycare, so I can't go out looking for a job. So, everything, Representative, is against the mother. There is not a conscientious fact in this state in regards to the best interests of the family. Now, you cannot separate the child, 'cause you cannot tell me that in most cases ... in 98 percent of DCFS cases, where those families could've stayed intact, that DCFS could not have done something about it. But because, Representative, get back to your question, the reason why it has not been so bad. In the '80s, late '90s, Jess McDonald said it was to his advantage to maintain the children in the system longer, Ladies... Representative. Jess McDonald said it was to his advantage to maintain the children in the system longer to capture the federal dollars. And then we came up with this... the Federal Government came up with this safe ... safe adoption plan. And... and because there was 50 thousand children in the system, you know, the road to hell was paved with good intention, so the Federal Government said, 'Okay, I'll tell you what. We're going to give you all some moneys for adoption.' And so, the pendulum swung the other way. We went from having 50 thousand children in the system, and all of a sudden the headlines read what a wonderful job our DCFS was doing because now we have all of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

these children in loving, adopted homes. But what they don't tell you, Representative, there was a bounty on each child. What they don't tell you, Representative, that these families, their rights was terminated against their will. And all their family needs to do was get some help and they could've stayed together. What they don't tell you, Representative, is that this is really not in the best interests of the child because so many of those children are suffering from the deprivation of a mother's love. They're seperat... They're suffering from mental illness; we'll give them all kinds of psychotropic drugs. That's what they don't tell you, Representative."

- Lindner: "Representative, a couple years ago, I believe, the chief judge in Chicago had a Permanency Project. And in that project she did not recommend jury trials in these cases. Is that correct?"
- Flowers: "I can't answer that, Representative, `cause I don't
 know."
- Lindner: "Are you aware of that project?"
- Flowers: "I am aware of a project that was put together by, let me see, Denise Kane. I'm aware of a project where she wanted to put together a model project that will recognize the necessity of in... of involving spouses, relatives, and friends. I'm... I'm aware of what she tried to do in regards to the... the family conference model the... and how they talked about the importance of the family and how New Zealand and the Family Resource Movement... how it's been successful. I'm aware of that, Representative."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Lindner: "Representative, there is a section council of the Illinois State Bar Association on juvenile justice. It's my understanding that you spoke to them on Saturday. Are they in support of your Bill?"
- Flowers: "Well, Representative, I did speak with them. And as a matter of fact, I had one ... one of the young ladies there, she says, 'Representative, you are 98 percent correct on everything you said.' But then she finally said, 'What about the cost?' And I said to her, 'What about the cost of the child? What about the cost of destroying a family?' And then there's another person whose name I will not call because of... for personal reasons, but I'll be more than happy to tell you off the record, that she is very much in support of ... of this legislation. And she said that she's called other states and she found out that it was working in other states. But I can say that I also have ... I'm glad you asked that question. Because I also have another e-mail here, from someone whose name you might remember ... be aware of, and because it's on this sheet here, I'll read it. Now, this is from... this is from Betsy Clarke. And you did ask about the Illinois Bar Association and you know Betsy is a part of that. The first word, and I'll be more than happy to share this with you, it says 'support'. I know this is a burden for counties, but my limited experience with children and the abuse and neglect system here in Evanston has been so troubling. And the demands on mother, along with the excrutionary (sic the excruciatingly) slow service to her, made ... to her have been

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

made so difficult to achieve reunification in a family that is close and should be reunified immediately troubled me so much that I think it is critical that we offer a right to a jury trial at termination. As an adoptive parent, I can say that issues of rejection for adoptive children are very difficult, even in the most ideal circumstances, where we were selected by our birth mothers and were ... and were out of... and where our children have access to their birth families, so rushing to terminate and get to a permanency is a terrible infraction. There is no permanency for children who are adopted since they have all ... I'm sorry, there is no permanency for children who are adopted since they have always had to integrate their birth parents into their lives. So, I think it is critical to insure that the termination decision is made in the fairest way possible. And this is by Betsy Clarke, president of the Juvenile Justice Initiative. And I'll be more than happy to share that with you."

- Lindner: "Representative, my question was that isn't the section council of the Illinois State Bar Association opposed to this Bill?"
- Flowers: "Representative, I just read to you what Betsy Clarke said, and she's a part of that."
- Lindner: "But she's not the chairman of the section council on juvenile justice."
- Flowers: "Well, I don't know who's the chairman. I was just invited for the first time and I was too glad to go to speak."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Lindner: "All right. To the Bill." Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

- Lindner: "Juvenile court is run differently than other courts; it's based on the best interests of the child. When a parent is accused of neglect or abuse there are services offered, sometimes for over a year before these cases come There have been many juvenile justice to termination. reform initiatives in this state, including the Permanency Project from Cook County, Juvenile Justice Reform issues a couple years ago. None of them have ever recommended jury trials and they have considered this along the way. The section council... I have spoken with judges that are on the section council of the Illinois State Bar Association, they are opposed to this legislation. I would urge a 'no' vote."
- Speaker Hartke: "This Bill is on the Order of Standard Debate. We've had two people speak in response. There are still six people seeking recognition, Representative Hamos, Black, O'Brien, Lang, Eileen Lyons, and Representative Molaro. We will recognize one more person in response and three people in... in support of the Bill. Representative Lang. Are you speaking in response?"
- Lang: "I am, Sir. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in reluctant opposition to the Lady's Bill." Speaker Hartke: "The timer is on." Lang: "Sir?" Speaker Hartke: "The timer is on." Lang: "Was the timer on for..."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Yes, Sir."

"Thank you. I know how much this means to Representative Lang: Flowers. I know how hard she works on this and 99.9 percent of the time she and I are in the same place on issues involving welfare of children. But I do think in this particular case, this Bill is not the way to go. And while generally speaking I would support the right to a jury trial in virtually every kind of case for virtually every kind of reason, the overwhelming weight of evidence in this matter seems to be that this is misquided. The Chicago Bar Association, DCFS, the presiding judge of the Child Protection Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, have all weighed in in opposition to this. And I think they have some significant reasons for doing so. In fact, the presiding judge, Judge Bishop of the Child Protection Division, has indicated that this Bill can have profound negative consequences for the very children that the Sponsor is trying to help. The issue of confidentiality is raised. Once you have a jury trial, you've now opened up the lives of the children and the families to this jury. It's now an open courtroom proceeding where anyone could hear what's going on. And it's been proposed that schoolmates, neighbors, acquaintances would all learn about a child's life as a foster child and the circumstances which brought about that child into foster care. Additionally, it creates a limbo for children, according to the judge. It separates a division ... the decision-making process into two pieces. A

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

jury may decide that parental rights should not be terminated, but a judge will still later at a hearing have to determine the question of custody. This is not a good result. Today the psychological and psychiatric files of these folks are all confidential. But juries cannot make these kinds of decisions regarding the lives and the futures of children without access to the whole story. And so, psychiatric files, psychological files, personal medical records would all have to be brought in front of this jury. Judge Bishop believes that this Bill will expand the time that it takes for children to have their issues resolved and, in essence, punish the children for the delay that jury trials can take. The question has been raised, what if there are several children and several different fathers? Shall we have a jury trial for each? Yes, I know we'd have to have a separate hearing for each without a jury trial. But these hearings are different than setting up a jury trial for four or five or six different children with some of the same parties and some different parties. Different fathers, different sets of psychiatrists, different circumstances, impaneling five juries, six juries, seven juries to resolve the rights of all the same children that may have all the same foster parents. I think there are some real issues here. The most important issue, of course, is delaying the permanent solution to the problem for these children. We all share a common view on this floor that these children are important and their lives and their futures are important. And as I

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

say, I've worked with Representative Flowers many, many, many times, and we share that common view that these children must be protected. It just seems to me though that the weight of the evidence is against this particular Bill. And accordingly, I would urge your 'no' votes."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Ladies and Gentlemen, we've had three people speak in response. Representative Black, O'Brien, Molaro, Feigenholtz, or Davis, would you like to speak in favor of the Bill? Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Five minutes."

Molaro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. You know, obviously what Representative Lang said about that we're all basically on the same page with the children, I... I think that's evident here. Even some of the debate, even though it may have got contentious, and ... and even though Representative Flowers, when she does talk about this, you could see that it's very near and dear to her heart. But I think it's also near and dear to the hearts of the people who are opponents to this Bill. And maybe what happens is we get too far afield. Because obviously, even when the Sponsor was talking about versus neglect... you know, very abuse rarely for environmental neglect do you... do you lose your child, even though, you know, maybe ... maybe it does happen. And mostly, it's cases of abuse. But the point I... I would like to make is, when you talk about the scheme of things in life, nothing is more important than... to you than your child. So

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

when a court wants to come and say we're gonna take away your child, we're gonna take away your parental rights, but you don't have the right to a jury. However, if you go down to Marshall Fields and you steal a \$20 can... piece of candy from Frango's, or whatever Marshall Fields make, you can have a jury trial for that. We feel, here in the General Assembly, that it's so important, so very, very important of whether or not you should be decided whether you shoplifted a \$20 item that we will give you a jury trial by your peers to decide. But if it's to take away your child and ac ... calls you an abuser, you don't have that right. We think it's not that important. We should let a judge decide that. Well, why don't we let a judge decide shoplifting? Why do we give that right under our Code to a jury trial for a \$20 piece of candy, but we don't give you that right if we're gonna take away your parental rights? We all stand up here and say how important it is, how important that parental right is. How when we, as a state, go in to take it away, we do it with a heavy heart. So, this is just one piece of protection that someone can go Now, there's State's Attorneys in this room, there's to. lawyers in this room, former State's Attorneys. It is very rare that you go to jury trial. Very, very, very rare. When you have hundreds of thousands of people accused of shoplifting, accused of other crimes, very rare do we go to jury trial. The same thing is gonna happen here. Yes, can someone get up and say, oh, we'll have jury trial? We'll have seven different trials with seven different kids.

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

We're gonna have all this put on the court system. Well, how can anybody say yes or no? Nobody can look into the future. But if you look into the past and see how often somebody's charged with a misdemeanor and how often it goes to a jury trial, I will submit to you, talk to practicing lawyers. It very rarely happens and it'll very rarely happen here. But to say I think it's good public policy for the State of Illinois to say we think it's just as important when you're taking away someone's parental rights as it is for a \$20 piece of candy, I think we're sending the right message. And I would vote 'aye'."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To the Bill. I rise in..."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

O'Brien: "... support of this Bill. ... speakers talk. I think that there are often times when judges are in a better position to make a determination than juries. But, in cases from the most complex products liability case to the imposition of the death penalty to getting a ticket for not wearing your seatbelt, we give the individual the choice of whether or not they think a jury can be properly educated regarding the complex matters, regarding the law and all of the evidence. But in a circumstance where we are severing the most basic human tie, that between a child and the child's parent, we are saying that only a judge can make that determination. That's just wrong. Families should

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

have an opportunity to have a jury trial for this monumentous decision that's being made. And it has a definite effect on what is in the best interest of the child. And to say that a jury can't weigh all of the evidence and can't figure out what is in the best interest of a child, then the argument should be made that they can't figure out whether or not a person is guilty or innocent of the crime of murder and should be sentenced to death. If they can't figure out a products liability case because they aren't expert engineers. That argument just doesn't hold water. The fact of the matter is that this is the most fundamental and basic right. To participate in giving life to another human being and then to have the right and that bond severed is supreme. And it should be given the most weight and it shouldn't matter that it might cost \$10 million. There isn't one child in this state that isn't worth at least that much. And for that reason, I urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "Ladies and Gentlemen, because of the sensitivity of this issue, we will continue this debate. The Chair recognizes Representative Black. The timer's on."
- Black: "Thank you very much... thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To the Bill." Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."
- Black: "I have the greatest respect for the Sponsor of the Bill. I appreciate her passion for those issues that she believes so fervently in. I... I think her intent is

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

certainly honorable with this Bill. And... and not only that, but I happen to like the Sponsor of the Bill personally, like her a great deal. I think we need to focus on, however, the child welfare system is based on the Thi... this is a wellbest interest of the child. established doctrine in ... in any social services context, but I would also point out to my colleagues that the courts have reiterated this principle in a body of case law. Τf you take this to its infinite system and carry out a jury trial in every case, foster parents and prospective adoptive parents could be required to testify at a trial regarding their knowledge of the child. A foster parent or a prospective adoptive parent would be placed in an incredibly awkward position in open court because it may require them to testify in a negative manner about the child's parents while the child sits in the courtroom. Even worse, I'm not talking about cases of simple neglect. But in cases of absolute abuse and some of the horrible things that we read about in papers day after day, the child would have to relive that trauma that they have suffered at the hands of their parents in a public forum because they would be forced to testify against their parents in this jury proceeding. Last but not least, by requiring a jury trial at every step in this process, look how long you're going to keep the child in the child welfare system. A parent requests a jury trial, the child will remain in the child welfare system. The parent may lose at the adjudication step, they can then request

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

another trial at the termination step. In the meantime, the child stays in the child welfare foster parent system. If the parent loses at the termination step, they can file another appeal. In the meantime, the child stays in the child welfare foster parent system. If the parent loses at the appeal stage, they can then appeal to the Supreme Court while the child will remain in a child welfare foster parent system. In all due respect to the Sponsor, I don't see how adding this jury trial requirement is in the best interest of the child. It may be in the best interest of the parent in some cases. But in the vast majority of those cases, I stand in opposition to the Bill because it will not be in the best interest of the child, particularly in those cases where the abuse has been over and above what most of us could ever imagine in our worst nightmare. And I can't imagine why we would want to subject a child to testifying in open court in those cases of extreme abuse where the child would then have to be cross-examined on that issue and testify against the people who brought them into this world and, in some cases, take them out of this world under some of the most horrendous conditions that I've ever had the misfortune of reading about. I stand in opposition to the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "Will the Sponsor yield."

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Davis, M.: "Representative Flowers, is there a timeline in which parents are given the opportunity to change or shape their lives before they can get their children back?"
- Flowers: "Representative, a couple years ago we passed legislation that said that a parent had to get their act together within 15 to 18 months, I can't remember which. But anyway, that was ruled unconstitutional by our Supreme Court."
- Davis, M.: "So, Representative Flowers, you're saying that the Illinois Supreme Court ruled that a timeline that this Body had established for a parent to retrieve his or her child... I read about that case and I believe the judge stated that if the person was a substance abuser and was on a waiting list to receive treatment that that timeline did not give the parent the opportunity to even get into the treatment. Is that correct, Representative?"
- Flowers: "You're absolutely right. And the lower court judge was Judge Brawka and it was sustained by the higher court. And the reason why, Representative, because DCFS failed to do what it was supposed to do in regards to providing the services. And that is the problem with this entire issue. If DCFS were to provide the services to the parents, then we probably wouldn't have a lot of the problems that we have now. And in regards to a child being able to testify against its parents, it's amazing to me now that a parent standing before a judge 10 minutes and a decision has been made without that parent ever being able to put on a case for his or herself. And the only person there that will

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

testify to the best... to the... in regards to the parents, either she's a good parent or the... or a bad parent, is... that is the child. And DCFS and the court can make the decision, even if the parent would say, 'Would you please bring my child before me and before you. She will testify that I am not a perfect mother, none of us are, but I'm doing the best that I can. And she loves me and I love her.' But the court can say, 'Oh no, we think the best interest of the child is to stay in school and the best interest of the child that she should not be brought to court.' But then who do... who is there to say whether or not I am or I am not worthy of having my child, some strangers who have nothing or know anything about me?"

- Davis, M.: "Representative, when this case... or, when these cases go before a judge, can the parent speak or say anything?"
- Flowers: "Representative, the parent in the courtroom... if the parent got notice, according to the studies, because a lot of parents don't get notice and therefore that's justification for the states... the prosecutor's office to say, 'See, we should go on and terminate the child.' But often times if a parent is there they are not allowed to speak because the public defender's supposed to speak for them. And each case lasts... according to the Chapin Hall Report, each case for parental termination lasts less than an hour or short as 10 minutes."
- Davis, M.: "Representative, do they take... does the judge take most of his information and facts from a caseworker?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Flowers: "A caseworker that has... can say anything she want and no... if... yes, a judge get all of his or her information from the caseworker. The caseworker could say to a judge, 'See, here judge, here is the report.' But the judge or the caseworker that... the caseworker had to get this medical rec... medical record authenticated by, according to the statute, a director or any responsible person that's working at a hospital. So I'm assuming, even, that a janitor is a responsible person that's working at a hospital can authenticate that these records are true. But the fact of the matter is, the judge nor the caseworker get a chance to explain to the judge, 'Well, judge, yes, Mary does have a scar on her arm, but that scar was 10 years old.' But no, the caseworker say, 'No, judge, Mary does have a scar', without the ramification of how long and how it got there."

Davis, M.: "May... to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Davis, M.: "We know of... I'll give you the most recent case where a little boy was in a foster home in my district. Three years old and he was chained to a bed by his foster mother. I doubt very seriously that he was taken from his home..."

Speaker Hartke: "Please bring our remarks to a close."

Davis, M.: "Wow, well thanks a lot. Let me just say that I believe that this is the most egregious crime of our century. And that is to take... to terminate the rights of a parent who has not had a right to go before a jury and have

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

her or his side heard. Many children have been taken out of their homes in Chicago without the parent even given one opportunity to respond to charges. And that is wrong. I urge an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Collins. Representative Collins."
- Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just wanted to rise in support of this Bill. Just to tell you a couple of stories ... well, one story will do. I used to work as an investigator for child abuse at ... with the Department of Children and Family Services as a sex abuse investigator. And a lot of times when those kids come to court, the parents don't have a right or they don't get a chance to tell their story to the public defender and the public defender present their case. I think if we had a jury by trial that mother or that father or they would have a chance to gather other relatives there to tell their side of the story that would help them prove to the judge and the court. A lot of times, again, they don't have an opportunity to get into services because there's a long waiting list on the free programs and they don't have money to afford those programs. A lot of times if services was put into that home, those children would be able to stay in the home. And again, there are times when children are taken from the home and the only thing they need is services put in that home to provide a... an environment that is safe for that child. Another thing is when children reach a certain age, they're not at risk for abuse. I

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

mean, it's kinda hard to abuse a 13, 14, 15 year old child and they not be able to tell someone. So, when you're talking about taking those children away... when you put them in placement, they run away and they run back to the home that you took them from. And as children get old enough and they get mobile enough, they go back to their homes. So, what are we saying? We're saying that regardless of the fact, that children want to be at home with their parents. So we need to put the services into the home so that children will remain safe with their biological parents. Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Graham. You have five minutes."
- Graham: "Thank you... thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Graham: "Representative, with all due respect to my colleagues in this chamber, if a parent abused a child, extreme abuse, that child... that case would not even be considered for a jury trial. Is that not right? The parent would probably go to jail off the bat if they had, like, an extreme abuse for a case."
- Flowers: "The case that Representative Davis enunciated, those foster parents was taken to jail."
- Graham: "Taken to jail. So they... their rights would be terminated automatically anyway, right?"
- Flowers: "I don't think it will... well... well, those... those particular parents don't have..."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Graham: "Rights."

Flowers: "So… but, yes. But, no, your… your rights would not automatically be terminated if you are incarcerated for the crime."

Graham: "Okay."

- Flowers: "So there is a process that you would have to go through. But the chances are, there will not necessarily have to be a termination of parental rights because there is the criminal court."
- Graham: "Okay. Having worked in a social services environment, I've dealt a lot with children had... that had been removed from their homes. And I had to go to court on a lot of times, bringing details to the judge as the progress of how the mother was doing. If the mother was doing well 80 percent of the time and probably doing bad 20 percent of the time and she's reached the end of the time where DCFS would be eligible to pay for the services, at that point they would probably be saying ... they would move for adoption of the child. But I think that a jury trial would be necessary so that they could probably determine... at that point ... we'll say that the mother has done fairly well during 80 percent of the time, let's extend this mother's time on this particular program. However, if the mother wanted to prove to us that she absolutely wanted her children back, then she would have to go out and find those services for herself and bring back that documentation. Oftentimes when mothers have substance abuse problems, they have to go to a legitimate place to have drops done,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

counseling ærvices done that were outside of the family. So I think this is an excellent Bill. I think it extends the... the rights of the families. Sometimes judges see a thousand cases a day, and sometimes they don't get a chance to organize their thoughts and separate all the issues that they've seen. So, I think that a jury trial will be necessary..."

Speaker Hartke: "Shh. Shh."

- Graham: "... so that they can break down and see all of the facts involving the case. A lot of times the caseworkers do bring information to the judge, but then you also have those caseworkers who are just hard and tough on crime in the first place and they'll bring negative information to the judge. And sometimes the judge does not send out investigations to verify that information. And sometimes independent advocates are able go in to sort out that information. So, I stand in strong support of this Bill. Thank you."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Eileen Lyons. Representative Lyons."
- Lyons, E.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask for verification if this Bill receives the requisite number of votes."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Flowers to close."
- Flowers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I want to bring to your attention..."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Excuse me. Ladies and Gentlemen, please give the speaker your attention. Please. Shh. Thank you."

Flowers: "I... I would like to bring to your attention an article that was in the USA Today. And this was written by ... it's an editorial, written by Hillary Clinton and Tom DeLay. And just to summarize it, they were talking about the Fisher story, that's the movie that was made of the young man who spent his life in the in the foster system and then he went on to the army to become very successful. And the Federal Government ... the Fisher story should spark broad reform on... on the foster care system, which needs to be changed one community at a time so that no more children will fall through the cracks. Despite our political differences, she and Tom DeLay agree that we are committed to working together so that the children, like Fisher, do not languish in the system. The Federal Government now gives states almost \$7 billion annually to protect children from abuse and neglect, placing children in foster care, and provide adoption assistance. But, Ladies and Gentlemen, guess what she said. She said and he said that the timing was off. Most of the money goes to the ... to the state for use after a child is removed from a troubled Instead, it should be used to provide for more home. preventive resources to keep children out of foster care. And then, Ladies and Gentlemen, I want to say to you that President Bush said, in his budget for children for 2004, he says we we can find a bi we must find a bipartisan solution to reform the way we finance our child welfare

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

system. We want to give the states an option to change the way foster care is financed by keeping these children in the homes. Ladies and Gentlemen, I also want to bring to your attention as we speak as we spre as we speak, our Supreme Court... our Supreme Court is acknowledging the fact that we have some problems. And they have put together the Supreme Court of Illinois, the Special Supreme Court Committee on Child Custody Issue. They're having a public hearing and a subcommittee on the termination of parental rights. There is a problem, and our Supreme Court knows that this must be addressed. And more importantly, I want to bring to your attention the law bulletin imposed time limit on custody cases. See, some of you have asked and talked about the delayed process, how the jury trial would have a delayed process. Jury trials does not exist today. And there are children that has been languishing in the system all of their lives. They were taken from their mother's womb and they went to the Department of Children and Family Services. They left the Department of Children and Family Services, and init ... eventually, according to studies, most of the children... 80 percent of the people that's in our Department of Correction is from the Department of Children and Family Services. And I want to bring to you another article from the Supreme Court. Ιt says this is a clarion call for reform. That's what our Supreme Court is saying. And I must also bring to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, what Jeb Bush. Jeb Bush realized... he realized that civil court ... "

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Shh."

Flowers: "... was the lowest form of court. And that's the reason why, Ladies and Gentlemen... that's the reason why these cases are drug on and on and on. Because it's just civil court and we are just talking about poor people's children. So therefore, there is no rush. But, you know, I must say to you that Jeb, the Governor of Florida, said that a criminal is sentenced for a season. He's given 5 to 10, 10 to 15. Parental termination is for life. It's for life, Ladies and Gentlemen. And we... he said in his state that we must make reasonable efforts to bring the civil up to the criminal, to put some time limits for the best interest of the children. Also, I have to say to you another law bulletin. It says little law is practiced in the courtroom of these children. And what we're doing to our children is unconscionable and is unconstitutional, Ladies and Gentlemen. On behalf of the children, I want to ask how long must they suffer. On behalf ... on behalf of the children, Ladies and Gentlemen, the courts... our courts have been asking us for the last 25 years. Legislators, it's your job. It's your job. You need to speak. Can the people... the poor people whose rights are being terminated, can they or can they not have a jury trial? Ladies and Gentlemen, it's time for us to stand up to the bat and say 'yea' or 'nay' on behalf of the children. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hartke: "The question is, `Shall the House pass House Bill 1507?' All those in favor of the Bill will vote

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Please vote your own switches, there has been a veri... verification requested. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 59 Members voting 'yes', 50 Members voting 'no', 7 Members voting 'present'. Representative Flowers."

Flowers: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to put this Bill on Postponed Consideration."

- Speaker Hartke: "Your request will be granted. Put this Bill on Postponed Consideration. On page 25 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 218. Representative Beaubien. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 218, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Beaubien."

Beaubien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm happy to present today House Bill #218. I'd like to emphasize this is not about seatbelts on school buses. It is not about school bus seatbelts. This Bill has been heard for the last six years in the House. Last year it passed out over to the Senate and died. It's one of those issues that's been debated for years on the floor, and I think people generally accept the statistics that the primary enforcement or standard enforcement of seatbelts would be beneficial in terms of saving lives and saving money for the states. There are currently 44 municipalities in the state that have primary enforcement, which is up from last year. And they cover

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

every area of state from East St. Louis all the way up to the northern part of the state and in... and in the farm community areas. There's no question that this will ... according to the Na... National Highway Traffic Safety Administration who administers this program for the 20 states that do have this law, that if we were to enforce this law we'd increase our seatbelt percentage usage, based on other states' history, from 73.8 to 84.8, saving 1 hundred lives, 2... 28 hundred serious injuries, and approximately \$319 million expense-related items. I fully respect the concerns of people about some of the issues they have and I respect their ... their opposition to the Bill. And I would ask if there are any questions, and again, we've talked about this over the years many, many times, and... and I'm open for questions."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 218? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, `Shall the House pass House Bill 218?' All those in favor signify by voting `yes'; those opposed vote `no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Have all voted who wish? Representative Giles. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Hassert. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 89 Members voting `yes'... Mr. Novak. Mr. Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, you did not tell the Clerk to take the record, and I was coming back here to change my vote." Speaker Hartke: "I'm sorry, I did."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Novak: "No, you did not. You did not indicate to the Clerk to take the record, and I would like to switch my vote."

- Speaker Hartke: "We're checking the tape, Mr. Novak. It's the Chair's decision to dump this Roll Call. There will be no discussion and we'll go right to our Roll Call. The question is... Mr. Clerk, dump the Roll. The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 218?' All those in favor will vote 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Froehlich. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 67 Members voting 'yes', 46 Members voting 'no', 4 Members voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 361. Representative Davis."
- Davis, M.: "... It's a four-year study of traffic stops in the State of Illinois by law enforcement. For each stop for a traffic offense, whether a citation is issued or not, information regarding the name, address, gender, and race of the person stopped shall be recorded, as well as the alleged traffic violation, the make, the year of the car, the date and time of the stop, the location of the stop, and whether a search occurred, and if so, was it by consent. Law enforcement will forward this information to the Illinois Department of Transportation in a manner prescribed by the Illinois Department of Transportation, and at times prescribed by IDO... IDOT. IDOT shall then

40th Legislative Day

analyze or contract with another entity to analyze the data, looking for stati... statistically significant aberrations. A law enforcement officer information and driver identification information is exempt from the Freedom of Information Act."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 361, a Bill for an Act in relation to vehicles. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Parke, discussion on House Bill 361."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Can you... excuse me. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Parke: "Representative, can you tell us what Amendment 3 does... Amendment 3 does for the Bill?"

Davis, M.: "Yes, Representative."

Parke: "Does that become... does that become the Bill?"

Davis, M.: "Amendment 3 becomes the Bill."

Parke: "Okay."

- Davis, M.: "And it... it changed it from the Secretary of State to the Department of Transportation for the stati... statistical study."
- Parke: "Now, this is a Bill that... Does this affect only the State Police or does it affect other law enforcement agencies?"

Davis, M.: "All... all law enforcement."

Parke: "And in committee, what was the testimony on behalf of law enforcement on this? Were they in support of... of this?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Davis, M.: "At... at the time we were working out the... any differences, which Amendment 3 does. We worked out all the differences. And Amendment #4 just adds something that a committee Member asked me to add, and that was the race of the officer."
- Parke: "So, you're saying now that there is no opposition to your legislation."
- Davis, M.: "That is correct, to my knowledge. Representative Parke, my understanding is the law enforcement officials have been brought to neutral.

Parke: "Okay. Thank you."

- Davis, M.: "As you know..."
- Parke: "To the Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."
- Parke: "Ladies and Gentlemen, it is my understanding that this Bill is a... is truly still a work in progress and that there's a Senate Bill coming over that probably will be the final version of the legislation. The Sponsor may not agree with that, but it is our understanding that all the groups are working on that. And so... And we would hope that that would be the vehicle we would use. So, I guess we will be looking for that Senate Bill when it comes over. And we do not have a position for or against it, so it's up to each of you to see if this is what you'd like to do."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Millner."

Millner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill." Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Millner: "Just... just one of the ... the concerns is we ... we would like to get to the truth. And... and ideally, if the Secretary of State could maintain a database, doesn't have to go on the driver's license, if that were on the database, any traffic stop, any traffic ticket issued, we'd know for su... certain who would do that. This Bill is way too cumbersome for ... for law enforcement to ... to do. If you make a traffic stop, you have to write a report. You have to write a report, you have to guess what the race may be, or ethnicity, whatever it may be. It ... it's just way too cumbersome. What happens if you have to make a traffic stop on... and you're looking for somebody ... a child was abducted and you want to stop a van. And you have to stop that van... there's a white van and two occupants is all you know. So you have the police officer stopping every white van they can stop, looking in there to make sure there's not somebody else within that vehicle. If they have to stop that van and write a report, there's gonna be all kinds of other vehicles out there they won't be able to stop. It doesn't allow for law enforcement to do their job. If we want to get to the truth, I'll support a Bill where the Secretary of State maintains the database and that information goes into the computer. Therefore, I am strongly opposed to this Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Monique... Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Senate Bill coming over is a

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

work in progress, as is Representative's Bill. I... I reluctantly rise to stand in opposition and I think my ... my colleague who was a former law enforcement officer has raised one of the most vex... vexing questions of this legislation. Under the Amber Alert Bill, that is gaining great popularity with the Elizabeth Smart kidnapping case and others... Congress recently passed ... released from the House the so-called Amber Alert Bill, which is a ... will Ι think, be a nationwide system of notifying soon, motorists and police officers by all visual and electronic means available that a child has been abducted. And... and it gives a number to call and it gives, if they have one, it gives a description of the car that was involved in the ... the child's abduction. And as Representative Millner stated, the police will be stopping every four-door sedan late model Ford dark color that they can find on the road to see whether or not that's the vehicle that may have been involved in the abduction of the child under the Amber Alert System. Now, should this Bill, as amended, go on to the Senate and become law, rather than immediately ... once the officer determines that the car that he or she has stopped is not the car involved in the alleged kidnapping, the officer wants to get back in the car and proceed as quickly as possible to stop any and all cars that meet the description posted on the Amber Alert System. If this Bill becomes law, the officer has to stop and fill out an... a stop card giving the ... the racial data, not only of the officer, but of the people in the car. Now, in a system

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

where the officer is in a hurry trying to find an alleged kidnapper, I would submit to you this is not good police practice. As... as Representative Millner, who spent a lifetime in law enforcement, pointed out, there is a way to do this by compiling the data with the Secretary of State, rather than have the stopping officer have to fill out a report. While filling out that report, the car that may contain the alleged kidnapper, under the Amber Alert may well drive by the police car on the side of the road, but the officer is looking down on a clipboard, filling out forms because he just made this stop. And I think one of the problems that I've had with... with this legislation, it puts the... it puts the officer in a very ticklish situation. No traffic stop, in the middle of the day or the middle of the night, is without some tension. It doesn't make any difference whether I'm the one stopped or you're the one stopped or one of our constituents is pulled over. If the officer leans in the car and asks for my racial identity, it may take a situation that is a little bit touchy and make it much worse. If I answer my racial identity or characteristic as white and the officer says, 'That's not on my list. Are you a Caucasian, are you an Afro-American, are you a Hispanic, are you a... a Native American?' You know, those kinds of questions may alienate that driver much more ... much more ... and agitate the driver much more than being pulled over to begin with. And I would further submit to you, we used to have racial identifiers on a driver's license. Everybody's driver's license in the

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

State of Illinois was coded at one time as to your racial identity. That was taken off of driver's license years ago during the Civil Rights Movement, because they thought it was discri... a discriminatory practice to have your racial characteristic identified on a driver's license. Now we're going back to the old system, but... but as ... and I won't belabor the point. As Representative Millner said, particularly under the Amber Alert System, you just simply don't want that officer to fill out a stop card every time they pull over a car meeting the description that has been released on the statewide or national Amber Alert System. They don't have the time, they don't have the means. Thev must go after every car that fits the description on the Amber Alert System. And they may pull over 20 vehicles in a 45 minute period, attempting to find the child who has allegedly been abducted. If they have to fill out a stop and arrest card... or a stop and a... not arrest card, but a stop card every time they do that, you're taking away their primary job of finding the alleged abductor. There are ways to get at what the spea ... what the Sponsor wants to do in this Bill. I'm not sure that this Bill, as amended, is the best way to do it at this time. And I rise in reluctant opposition."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Washington."

Washington: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor relinquish?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Washington: "I ju... I want to raise a question. Ms. Davis, if an Amber Alert is in effect, would the officer be subjugated between a decision of going on with the most important emergency in terms of priorities? How would that work?"
- Davis, M.: "Any emergency of a criminal nature or any emergency involving the Ambular... Amber Alert does not require the officer to fill out anything. This Bill only relates to vehicle... the Vehicle Code... the Illinois Vehicle Code. It does not relate to the... it does not relate to criminal pursuit by an officer and it does not relate to an Amber Alert."
- Washington: "So you're saying... I mean, there's room for common sense. An officer knows Amber Alert is more important than..."
- Davis, M.: "Simple traffic stops."
- Washington: "... gathering their information, right?"
- Davis, M.: "Absolutely, Representative. Simple traffic stops is what this Bill represents."
- Washington: "To the Bill. Mr. Speaker, I just want to say... and I always respect my colleagues on the other aisle. I really admire a lot of what they say. But I think I'm speaking from the position of a victim of racial profiling. And I think I got my colleague when it comes to being a victim of racial profiling. And I think the example he gave was a good example in his mind and a lot of people's mind, but it was an extreme example. And I just want to say, speaking to the Bill, that unless you've really gone

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

there, you really probably can't fathom the unnecessary detainment, stops, deaths, that have resulted in death of somebody reaching for something, something that was shiny. The number of African-American and Latino deaths that have been a result of officers whose mentality is one not to serve and protect, but one to serve and abuse those who they don't like for various nationalistic reasons. And then to that aspect, I speak to the Bill. And I thank Ms. Davis for coming up with a Bill that kinda curved that. And I'm hoping that the colleagues in this room, even if they don't understand it, would have the sensitivity enough to understand the wide range of abuse that some of us go through just to be called Americans. It's not where you can just take it for granted. Some of us pay daily to earn the right to try to be like everybody else, to pursue like everybody else, with equal access and equal justice for all, as we do it in the Pledge of Allegiance. But the reality of that, versus the content of the words, are quite different. So I stand in support of this legislation because what it does, and I hope that you will join us in doing so. There's a lot of pain in the State of Illinois due to racial profiling. And I'm asking you to join me in being one of the painkillers, to offer a solution to discourage and to deter officers who do this on а day-to-day basis and get away with it. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Brady."

Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Brady: "Representative, I was looking at the analysis of the Bill and does it... does it deal only with the State Police collecting this information?"
- Davis, M.: "No, Representative. All police officials will collect this data."
- "Okay. My... my concern would be ... and I've had Bradv: reservation with the Bill in the past. But my concern would be that at all times are we tying the hands, somewhat, of law enforcement when we're asking them to be on the highest level of alert security that we've ever had in this state and in this country. And... and here, yet, we're asking them to enter in more data ... or collect the data on stops that are very important to them and ... and their job that they do. And also, Representative, I'd also be kinda concerned that... are we being realistic to think that if ... if the individual who's actually committing this, and this is really directed at a bad apple, is it... is it not within the law enforcement agencies that are committing the act of racial profiling? Are they gonna actually be filling out the ticket on themselves that they're creating this particular offense?"
- Davis, M.: "Well, first of all, Representative, I do believe that majority of police officers are honest. The majority of them are simply doing their work as professionally as they can. And in every department, perhaps, there may be one or two people who are not doing the right thing. And if, perhaps, they decide to falsify documentation then

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

there... there's no punishment. But I'm sure their superior would recognize some falseness in what they report. There was something stated about emergency vehicles. I want you to know that this in no way hampers an officer from pursuing a criminal who he feels he should be in pursuit of, a person who is jeopardizing the safety of others. This Bill merely applies to the Illinois Vehicle Code. It has passed out of this Body four times."

- Brady: "Representative, also... and there's been a lot of discussion here about the Amber Alert and law enforcement having their eyes taken off the roads and doing what they should be doing because of the potential of this. Where... where in the Bill does it have, either through the Amendment or other aspects of the Bill, that clearly says and identifies things such as the Amber Alert and other emergency areas?"
- Davis, M.: "It's Amendment #3, it's Section 11-212A. It states from January 1, 2004 'til December 31, 2007, whenever a state or local law enforcement officer issues a uniform traffic citation or warning citation for an alleged violation of the Illinois Vehicle Code, he or she will record the filing. Now, as you know, most of these things on here are already considered fields in the computer. Only a few additional ones... in other words, we already name the person, we already address the person, we al... we tell the gender. And another point I want to clear up, you don't ask the driver his or her race, there's no discussion. The police officer writes down what his

40th Legislative Day

subjective opinion is. There is no discussion with that driver as to his or her race. The… it is… he selects the drivers… I'm sorry, the officer selects from the following list: Caucasian, African-American, Hispanic, Native American, Alaskan Native. You know, it is the subjective decision of the officer making the stop."

- Brady: "So, if I understand correctly, what… what we're really trying to do is, we're trying to a… weed out law enforcement officers that are committing this offense, that are bad apples, so to speak. But we're saying to those bad apples, make your own observation…"
- Davis, M.: "Yes."
- Brady: "... as to what the race is ... "
- Davis, M.: "Yes."
- Brady: "... of the individual. So we... we've opened up, then, for that officer, the bad officer committing this offense, to not properly put down what the race of the individual is."
- Davis, M.: "Well, Representative, let's just say if your superior is knowledgeable, and I'm sure they will be, of statistical data and the officer is incorrectly recording information, he or she will soon be found out. Because the statistical data from the study will show that, well, it's awfully strange that you're only stopping Caucasians when half the people going through here are African-American. So we would leave that up to the superior and trust that the officer would record the correct information. I don't think we have very much documentation that officers don't tell the truth on written citations."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Brady: "To the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

- Brady: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I... I know the intent here and the intent is... is certainly good. But I... I think the avenue we're taking here may be tying the hands of the very officials we are asking to do extra duties throughout this state and throughout this country on heightened levels of security. And I think it would be something that would, obviously, tie their hands and would not accomplish what the overall goal of the Sponsor is. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Lou Jones."
- Jones: "Thank you, Mr... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Jones: "My... my comments are to the Bill. You know, first I want to commend the Sponsor for working so hard for the last three or four years on this legislation. And I would like for the Body here to know that if you have never, never been stopped on the highway for racial profiling, you don't have a clue as to what that person goes through. I was stopped at 1 o'clock in the morning by a female cop for no reason at all. I identified myself, I told her who I was, I told her where I worked. And this is about six months ago, it did not make any difference to her. She couldn't even give a reason why she stopped me and my friend at 95th and the Dan Ryan. It's a horrible feeling. I did not know... all the time the lady was talking to me she

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

had her hand on her gun. I went to go into my compartment in my car to get ID. to show her that I was a State Legislator. It did not make any difference. She told me not to move. She detained me for over 45 minutes. And for the people in this chamber don't know, I am a diabetic and I've been a diabetic for 16 years. And I had a diabetic reaction while that lady detained me for 45 minutes. And I informed her that I also was a diabetic and I informed her what was going on with me. She did not care. At that point I told her to do whatever she had to do, but I was gonna use my cell phone and call the district and ask for another officer to come out here. And I did just that. When another officer came out she was also a female, she was a sergeant, she had a white shirt on. And she asked her, 'Why did you stop this lady?' And she could not answer her. She said, 'I don't know why two women would be out in the street at 1 o'clock in the morning on a Saturday night.' That would ... that did not make any sense. It was not any of her business. I think all of us was elected to come down here to Springfield to aptly protect the citizens of Illinois. And grant you, there might be some more work that has to be done on this Bill. I would hope that the other Legislators on the other side of the aisle and on my side of the aisle would want to work with this Representative and make it safe for all people, no matter race, color, creed, or religion, out there driving their vehicles. Monique, I commend you and I urge everybody an 'aye' vote."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise in support of the Lady's Bill. Representative Davis has been working on this for some time and she's done a serious study of how this should work. She knows what we need to be doing, and I think we all know that this goes on in our state. To say that it doesn't go on would be folly. The story that Representative Jones has just told you is just one of thousands that could be brought to this floor. And this is a serious problem in the State of Illinois, one that must be addressed. I think Representative Davis has thought this out carefully. She's proposed it several times, but she's made changes along the way. And now I think we have a piece of legislation we should all be supporting. If we're true to our oath of office that we're supposed to be supporting the Constitution of the State of Illinois, a Constitution that says that we should be providing freedom in our state in a nondiscriminatory way, I don't think you can be voting against this Bill. I would urge 'aye' votes."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? We have Sacia, Froehlich, and Soto, and then we'll go to a... a conclusion. Representative Sacia."
- Sacia: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, it's quite interesting that a refi... a retired chief of police spoke very eloquently against this Bill, and for all the right reasons. The fact absolutely remains that requiring police

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

officers to literally write a report for every stop is going to be extremely difficult and time consuming and take from that officer's time far more important information... or far more important duties that he could be or she could be carrying out. The entire issue here is, and if the Sponsor would yield..."

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Sacia: "... to this particular comment. Representative Davis, the Secretary of State's Office could easily maintain a database and make this so much simpler than putting the onus on the back of the individual officers making the stop. Now, there have been several comments today on this floor that what we're trying to prevent happening is ... I believe one of the words that was used was 'overzealous' police officers. And I have not heard this word used, but I think it goes to it, 'roque' police officers that are ... are stopping racial minorities without having a good basis for making the stop. I would submit to you that for every officer that would be in such a capacity, a roque officer if you will, there are tens of thousands of Johns Millners out there that are there solely for the purpose of doing the people's good work. And this is a Bill that again, you know, Representative Davis, that I deeply respect you and where you're coming from. I just think that this is a very bad Bill for law enforcement, and it creates an onus on the back of individual officers that rightfully if it needed to be anywhere at all, should be on the back of the Secretary of State in a database collection system. And I... I guess

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

I'm... I'm looking for a response to that, and I didn't here it when Representative Millner raised the issue."

- Davis, M.: "Well, first of all, Representative, it will take about eight years, according to the Secretary of State, to create a database to do what this Bill would do. It would take the Secretary of State a lengthy eight years. And the other question that you had was ... oh, the police officers, they are neutral on this Bill. We have worked with them, have talked with them, we have met with their we representatives, we have talked with 'LINUS'. They are neutral on this Bill. Now, in the Senate my understanding is with Rep... Senator Obama, who is working on this Senate, we are working in tandem in reference to this issue. This particular piece of legislation has passed out of here four times. The last time it qot over approximately eighty-something vote... votes, with a number of people on your side of the aisle voting. And let me say this, Representative, and I'm only going to say this to you. It is crucial, as Members of this Body, that our word be true, that what we say, it should be true. And we shouldn't say things to people when we know we're being dishonest. And I will talk with you about that later."
- Sacia: "Representative Davis, I... that one catches me a little off guard. I... I don't know what the in... the inference is."
- Davis, M.: "Don't worry about it. Let's just say I'm... the police officials, they're all neutral on the Bill. I'm continuing to work with the Senate. We need to get this Bill out of the House today. It has passed out of here

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

four times prior. And I really appreciate your concerns and I appreciate your questions."

Sacia: "Thank you, Representative Davis. However, I... as a many year law enforcement officer, this truly strikes me as a Bill that very much encumbers law enforcement and creates a very difficult situation for patrol officers that I think is... is asking for something that we really shouldn't do when their duties are so overwhelming in many cases in high crime areas. And I know, Representative Davis, that you represent an area much like that."

Davis, M.: "I'm sorry, but I don't. I really don't."

- Sacia: "Okay."
- Davis, M.: "I represent an area perhaps similar to yours. I represent Beverly, I represent Palos."

Sacia: "Okay."

Davis, M.: "Okay."

Sacia: "Representative Davis, the one point that I want to make regarding your Bill is you are putting an onus on the back of law enforcement patrol officers that should not be there. This should be on the back of the Secretary of State's Office if it should be created at all. And I truly don't think it should be. It really does encumber police officers, and I don't recall what Representative said it but they said it ever so well, when those officers should be spending their time doing what they do best, and that's keeping their eyes and ears open for the safety of you and I and everyone in the great State of Illinois."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Davis, M.: "May I just respond by saying most of the information that we are seeking is already on the citation. They already must keep a record of those stops. And they are neutral on this Bill. Now let me tell you who else supports this Bill. The Chicago Urban League, the Alliance for Neighborhood Safety, the First Defense Legal Aid, the Mexican-American Legal Defense and Education Fund, the Justice Coalition of Greater Chicago, the Chicago Council of Lawyers for Civil Rights, the ACLU. And as far as opponents at this point, Representative, there are none."
- Sacia: "The Illinois Association of Chiefs of Police, where do they stand?"
- Davis, M.: "My un... to my understanding, they are neutral. I
 attended their event. You know, we were very cordial.
 They were thankful to me, and I was grateful to them for
 working with them for such a very long time."

Sacia: "I'm sure you know Lobbyist Nargelenas."

Davis, M.: "Yes."

Sacia: "Limey Nargelenas."

Davis, M.: "Yes."

Sacia: "He states that they are opposed."

- Davis, M.: "I'm not sure he opposes after Amendment #3. We just... we... we attended his affair. We... we had a wonderful time together. He thanked me for working with them in shaping this Bill so that it was acceptable to them."
- Sacia: "Representative Davis, they have Mr. Nargelenas on the line and he states that they are opposed with Amendment #4."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Davis, M.: "Then that is something that I am not aware of because..."
- Sacia: "Okay. Well, I just had it brought to my attention, too, Representative."
- Davis, M.: "My conversation with him about two weeks ago was that they were… we were on-board with this Bill. In fact, we all took pictures together and I'm sure you'll get to see them."
- Sacia: "I hope I can have one. Thank you, Representative Davis."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Froehlich."

Froehlich: "Yes, to the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

- Froehlich: "The Republican analysis says with all the Amendments the following groups remain neutral: the Illinois Association of Chiefs of Police and the Illinois State Police. Goes on to say, also, law enforcement is currently working with Senator Obama on Senate Bill 15, which addresses the same matter. I think we… we should all stipulate racial profiling exists. This Bill will help to determine to what extent it exists. This Bill is just for a limited period of time. I think Legislators of goodwill on both sides of the aisle can and should support this measure. Thank you."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Representative Soto, the final questioner."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Soto: "Thank you, Speaker. To the Bill. Okay, what I want to say is this is a great Bill. I stand in support of this Bill. I had an experience myself a few years ago where I was stopped because they thought that I'd look like a gang member. Could you believe that? Well, I can't. But, it would happen. I had my children with me, they were terrified. The way I was treated was so unfair. So I stand in support of this Bill and I urge this General Assembly to support it. I don't know why we are having so much debate on an... on a Bill like this on racial profiling. Maybe it's not important to you, but let me tell you, it's important to the minorities that sit in this General Assembly. So I urge your support and I thank you for your support."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Davis to close."

- Davis, M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to thank all the Legislators who are working with me, the Members of the committee. And I'd like the Body to know that I... this Bill is almost 100 percent similar to the Bill coming over from the Senate. I am working with them and I think this is the piece of legislation that probably gets everyone onboard on the same page. And I would thank you for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 361?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Holbrook. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

this question, there are 70... excuse me, 80 Members voting 'yes', 28 Members voting 'no', 9 Members voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, on House Resolution 161. Mr. Clerk, read the Resolution."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Resolution 161, offered by Representative Cross and Representative Saviano.
 - WHEREAS, The members of the Illinois House of Representatives are proud to recognize businesses in the State of Illinois that have exhibited a high degree of excellence; and
 - WHEREAS, It has come to our attention that five Illinois organizations have received recognition by The Lincoln Foundation for Performance Excellence (LFPE) for demonstrating improvement in their business management, processes, and quality; and
 - WHEREAS, The recipients of The Lincoln Silver Award for Progress Towards Excellence for 2003 are Dana Brake and Chassis in McHenry and Grainger Industrial Supply in Lake Forest; this award commends organizations for continued progress towards performance excellence in management, process, and quality; and
 - WHEREAS, Dana Brake and Chassis is a manufacturer and distributor of high-quality, safety related components for brake and steering systems for the automotive industry; the company operates seven locations and employs approximately

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

1000 people in Illinois with additional facilities throughout the U.S., Canada, Mexico, and South America; an emphasis on teamwork, involvement, and empowerment is the foundation for the company's success and people are viewed as its most important asset; and

- WHEREAS, W.W. Grainger, Inc., with 2001 sales of 4.8 billion, is the leading North American industrial distributor of products used by businesses to maintain, repair, and operate their facilities; Grainger shares are traded on the New York and Chicago stock exchanges; and
- WHEREAS, The Lincoln Bronze Award for Commitment to Excellence was given to John Jay Elementary School in Mount Prospect, Technivista in Highland Park, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Rock Island District, at Arsenal Island; this award is bestowed upon companies and organizations that demonstrate the plan and commitment to implement key business and management processes that lead to a higher degree of performance excellence; and
- WHEREAS, The mission of John Jay Elementary of Community Consolidated School District 59 is to build a community of learners and the school has a vision of success for all; the staff and students are not only committed to academic excellence, but also to social responsibility with programs and relationships throughout the community; and

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- WHEREAS, Technivista is an advanced technology intelligence company utilizing its patented logic methodology to create highly specialized breakthrough applications involving artificial intelligence, pattern recognition, process optimization, and predictive analysis; the systems the company is developing are based on recently discovered logic technology that is radically different from the way information is generally processed today; and
- WHEREAS, The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Rock Island District, covers more than 78,000 square miles; the mission of the Rock Island District is to apply engineering expertise to provide for navigation, flood protection, environmental restoration, emergency response, and to operate facilities and lands for the public good; and
- WHEREAS, Dana Brake and Chassis was also recognized with the Award for Exemplary Practice for its Student Training and Education Program (STEP), a cooperative effort between Dana Brake and Chassis and McHenry Community High School District 156, providing in-house degree completion and on-the-job training for at risk students; and
- WHEREAS, The Lincoln Foundation for Performance Excellence is a non-profit organization that assists Illinois organizations as they strive for performance excellence by planning and implementing sound management processes; the Foundation raises the statewide bar of competitiveness by

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

strengthening existing organizations, attracting new businesses to Illinois, and promoting private and public sector cooperation; each year a select Lincoln Board of Examiners and Panel of Judges review award applications and determine which applicants have earned recognition; therefore, be it

- RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NINETY-THIRD GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we congratulate Dana Brake and Chassis, Grainger Industrial Supply, John Jay Elementary School, Technivista, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Rock Island District, for their outstanding display of improvement in their management, processes, and quality; and be it further
- RESOLVED, That suitable copies of this resolution be presented to Dana Brake and Chassis, Grainger Industrial Supply, John Jay Elementary School, Technivista, and the U.S. Car… U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Rock Island District, as an expression of our esteem."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Saviano on the Resolution."

Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This... this group of institutions deserve this recognition. They have far exceeded... exceeded goals that... that are set forth in... in these... in the grounds for these awards. We have with us today the representatives from Dana Brake and Chassis, Grainger In... Industrial Supply, John Jay Elementary School, Technivista, and the U.S. Army Corps of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Engineers up in the gallery. Would you please stand and let's give them a round of applause. On behalf of the House of Representatives, congratulations. And, Mr. Speaker, and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt House Resolution 161?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Representative Joyce, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Joyce: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Hartke: "State your point."

- Joyce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, April mark... marks the month of Alcohol Awareness Month. We have with us today from the... the Illinois Drug Education Alliance, the board members Christi Creamer and Andy Sonneborn and a lot of the children from across the state involved. I'd like them to please rise and be recognized and welcomed to Springfield."
- Speaker Hartke: "Welcome to Springfield. The Chair recognizes Representative Brady. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Brady: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "State your point."

Brady: "In conjunction with Representative Joyce with the Illinois Drug Education Alliance here in Springfield today, I also would like to recognize from my legislative district, board member and treasurer since 1990 of the

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

group, that would be none other than Mr. Tom Spicer and other colleagues. Thank you very much and welcome to Springfield."

Speaker Hartke: "Welcome to your State Capitol. The Chair recognizes Representative Eddy. For what reason do you seek recognition?"

Eddy: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hartke: "State your point."

- Eddy: "I also have recognition to make for the Illinois Drug Education Awareness. I have the current president of the organization, Chris Parmenter, in the gallery from my district. Chris, right up here. Thank you for being here."
- Speaker Hartke: "Welcome to Springfield. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chair would like to make an announcement. Approximately at 1 o'clock the Chair is going to be moving a series of Bills from Second to Third. We anticipate this to take about an hour and a half. So, when we start that movement, Members should be aware that they're free to have lunch, but return in about an hour and a half after we start. We are not going to be in recess; we are not going to be adjourned. But the Chair has some perfunctory things to do to move Bills from Second to Third. So you should be aware of that. On page 26 on the Calendar, on Third Reading, appears House Bill 1116. Representative Kosel. Representative Kosel. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1116, a Bill for an Act in relation to alcoholic liquor. Third Reading of this House Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Kosel."

- Kosel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This particular piece of legislation is designed to prevent the consumption or sale of alcohol at IHSA State Championship events. The Bill has been shelled; we had some problem with the language. We're going to work on it over in the Senate to get it where we need it to be. And with the students in the gallery who have been so adamant in backing this legislation, I would move for your approval of this to move over to the Senate. Thank you."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 1116?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 115 Members voting 'yes', 1 Member voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 27 on the Calendar, appears House Bill 1191. Mr. Brosnahan. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1191, a Bill for an Act concerning civil procedure. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Brosnahan."

Brosnahan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 1191 is better known as the sunshine litigation piece of legislation. This Bill has been around

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

for a number of years. This is the ... the third General Assembly that I've introduced this Bill. I know my colleague in the House, Lou Lang, has also been the Chief Sponsor of identical legislation in the past. House Bill 1191 is a relatively short Bill. It's a straightforward Bill. I also realize, though, it has been the subject of great debate in the House. The purpose and goal of this legislation is to prevent future injuries to the public and to prevent needless deaths from occurring by opening up sealed court cases and sealed settlements that conceal public hazards. The... the thrust of this Bill is actually in paragraph (b). It states that no court shall enter an order or a judgment that has the purpose or effect of concealing a public hazard or any information concerning a public hazard, nor shall the court enter an order or a judgment that has the purpose or effect of concealing any information that may be useful to members of the public in protecting themselves from injury that may result from the public hazard. There are many examples where sealed settlements or sealed judgments have exposed members of the public to injuries and to deaths. There are many, many examples, asbestos is one. The Ford Explorer with the... the Firestone tires where there was tread separation. That was another example. But there are many, many examples out there. I've worked on this legislation for a long time. Amendment #3 does try to address the concerns of some of Amendment #3, which became the Bill, the opponents. removed the word 'person' from the definition of public

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

hazard. So, right now this Bill does not apply to persons. Thus, the Bill does not apply to nurses, dentists, physical therapists, physicians, pharmacists, or podiatrists. For the purpose of legislative intent, this Bill does not apply to the care, treatment and procedures provided by nurses, physicians, and other healthcare professionals. But there are safeguards in this Bill. This Bill protects trade secrets; it protects information that's not actually related to the public hazard. I believe this is the direction that the State of Illinois should be going. This is a public safety issue. I would urge its passage and I would be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in support of this Bill. Unfortunately, we are dealing in a corporate world, in a world where... that doesn't always look out for the best interest of consumers. And this Bill is a small step in the right direction to make sure that when there are fundamental problems that are a public hazard that the public will have access to them. And I commend the Sponsor for making every effort possible to address the concerns of... of the opponents to this legislation to clean it up to make it very, very tight. I think he's done a wonderful job and I would certainly urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "The Chair recognizes Representative Hultgren for further discussion. Representative Hultgren."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to some questions?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Hultgren: "Representative Brosnahan, I wanted to see if I could ask you a few questions on this. I have some concerns about it, really going to the core of... of our judicial system here in the State of Illinois. My understanding of this Bill, and maybe you can help me understand it. First of all, can you tell me a little bit more about exactly what the Amendment did? I know it addressed some of the issues concerning privacy and I... I wonder if you could explain that a little bit more to me."
- Brosnahan: "Sure, Randy. The Amendment #3 became the Bill; it's the… the exact original Bill. Except what Amendment #3 did, it removed the word 'person' from the definition of public hazard. And that was an objection that was raised by some of the opponents of this legislation. They thought that the word 'person' was… it would make the Bill too broad. So that's why we… we added the Amendment #3."
- Hultgren: "Practically, can you help me understand how that will change... how that will change... I guess who would be protected by that? I still have some concerns in the legislation that I think... you know, the medical records or, you know, anything that's a... an individual would hope to keep private that might be part of that lawsuit. Would that be protected by the change made in Amendment 3?"
- Brosnahan: "Well, with... the Bill applies to... are any devices, an instrument, a procedure, or a product, or a condition of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

a device, an instrument, a procedure, or a product that is... that is caused or is likely to cause injury. If somebody, you know, does not want their medical records... does want... not want to grant open access to their medical records, they don't have to. They would tell the judge, or whoever this is in front of, they would object to it. And with good cause they... that could be protected, just like trade secrets would be protected. We only want to have access to the information necessary to protect the public from a... from a public hazard."

- Hultgren: "But doesn't... so really, everything that's... an individual would want to keep private, then they have to go through and have a court decide or a judge decide if that should be made private or remain private. Is that correct?"
- Brosnahan: "Well, I mean, obviously there's some things that I... I don't think a judge would release, regardless of whether the... the person makes an objection or does not make an objection. But there... there is a balancing test here. And the person, if he does not want these records or the product or certain research development or... where there's trade secrets they will tell the judge and... and show with good cause why it shouldn't be exposed to the public. And the judge would... would listen to the arguments and that's where the balancing test comes in."
- Hultgren: "Is this… does this apply really in all cases or is it just cases that are settled before trial takes place?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Brosnahan: "No, it applies to all civil cases that come before a court. It doesn't have to... doesn't have to be just settlements either."

- Hultgren: "It's my understanding that... well, as an attorney I don't practice specifically in this, but really through school and through the practice that I... I have been involved in that there's a significant part of our judicial system is the idea of free transfer of information between the parties with the idea that it would free up the court system from having to do piece by piece, page by page examination of what's relevant and what is irrelevant. Isn't there a real fear in this that it takes away... it's a disincentive for the parties to actually give information out because of the thought that that information then would become public? Isn't there a real threat that that could be a disincentive to something that, I think, is core to our judicial system of free transfer of information with the thought that it would be kept within the courtroom in the determination of the facts of the case?"
- Brosnahan: "Absolutely not. And the reason, to me, is quite simple. When two parties... if they file a lawsuit in a courtroom, what they are basically acknowledging is that the private remedies didn't work. I think when someone files a lawsuit in court, those people should have a diminished expectation of privacy because they are asking the court for intervention. So, that's why I don't think it's a disincentive. And... and, Randy, I'm not sure, when you say disincentive, I'm not sure if you meant a

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

disincentive to settle cases. But if you do, I would disagree with that as well. I think there are many reasons why there will be no chilling effect on settlements or ... or anything of the sort. I mean, a trial, in and of itself, is gonna bring more publicity to the ... to the parties, especially the defendant. Trials also cost a lot of money for both sides. And when you go to a... whether it's a jury trial or a bench trial, if you decide not to settle the case, then what you're doing is you're exposing your client to even, maybe, higher damages. So, I definitely don't think this is... would serve as a disincentive to settle Does everyone know the trial lawyers support this cases. legislation? Trial lawyers, they don't want to try a case They'd... they'd rather settle cases. They necessarily. don't want to spend all those weeks in courtrooms. So, this is definitely not a... a, to me, a disincentive to settle the case."

Hultgren: "How does... I also know a core piece of our judicial system is the attorney/client privilege. Isn't there a potential threat that co... communication between an attorney and their client could be accessed to this that could be brought forward and become public record or be forcing the attorney, maybe, to divulge information that was discussed... you know, part of discovery, part of their work, preparing for the case, but then could be brought out because of if... if this is passed, that would breach that attorney/client privilege?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Brosnahan: "Randy, this legislation does not, in any way, affect the attorney/client privilege."

Hultgren: "How is that? Because if there's information that would be discussed that was... because... potentially, my understanding in... in discovery, some of that information potentially could be brought out that... that wouldn't be admissible in court, but still might be part of the..."

Brosnahan: "Well..."

Hultgren: "... the preparation of the case."

- Brosnahan: "Well, thi… this legislation would apply to any discovery that's tendered or… sure, it would absolutely apply to that. But it does not affect the attorney/client privilege. This does not get to confidential discussions between a… an attorney and his client. In no way does that Bill affect that sacred privilege."
- Hultgren: "Help me understand, too, if you would. We have a... a recognition of the importance of research... research and development of our companies here in the State of Illinois to continue to invest in that, to continue to try and improve the products that they already have. Wouldn't this have a chilling effect on research and development here within the state? People wanting to... companies wanting to invest in that because they feel like the information that they're putting together, maybe for future improvements, new products, things like that, that could be... become public record then and would hinder or, you know, would potentially affect the value if someone else could come in and take that, the investment that they've made in research

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

and development, and use it in their own product without having to spend any of the money in the research and development."

- Brosnahan: "I don't think this would discourage research and development whatsoever. I mean, if there is some research and development going on that has... that has caused injuries to the public or or possibly has caused death to individuals and we think there's a public hazard, then does this Bill apply to that? Yes, it does. Absolutely. But... but there are safequards in this Bill. If you're talking about trade secrets, if you are talking about preventing a competitor from learning information that you're doing during that research and development, then that's when you go to the court and you tell the court this is why it should not be disclosed, because we have competitors out there that may want to take a look at this information. If you can prove to the court that it does not ... it's not necessary to disclose that information, then the judge wouldn't disclose it. This is only the information necessary for the public hazard. So there ... I think there are safeguards in there that it would protect research and development."
- Hultgren: "It's my understanding that there's really... there's a claim that other states have done similar to this, but really no other state has done exactly what we're doing here. Isn't that right? That we'd be the first one to put... be putting to this extent the availability... making public the information that... that you're requesting in this

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Bill. Isn't it true that we'd really be the... the only state that's going to this extent?"

Brosnahan: "Randy, the only thing I can tell you is that the information that I got from the Coalition for Consumer Rights, they've indicated to me that there are 16 states And I have the the list of all the states: out there. Arkansas, California, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, North Caroline, New York, New Jersey, Michigan, Oregon, Texas, Virginia, Washington. That these states have either passed legislation or that they've done it by judicial rule. I don't have any legislation in front of me to read off to you, but I... I have heard from the opponents just this week that that's not the case. I can tell you though that there is a... rules in California that took effect on January 1, 2001. The rules were adopted by the California Judicial Council, which prohibits the sealing of any records filed in a court case merely upon agreement of the parties. Under the new rules, stealing of court file documents will be ... will be frowned upon. So, that's done in California by judicial rule. So there have been some states out there that have taken action. I wish I had the other statutes to show you, but I don't. So... but I've heard the same things you did, that there's a discrepancy whether other states have ... have done this."

Holbrook: "Changing gears just a little bit, another question. Would this require businesses to keep documents and information after a settlement for a period of time?" Brosnahan: "That Bill do... this Bill does not address that."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- "But the thought is, doesn't this Bill open up Holbrook: companies and in... I quess mainly just businesses to potential litigation if anything were to be determined. То me, it still seems like a very broad definition of public But if anything that a business is involved in hazard. that potentially could be seen as a public hazard, they could be forced to make public any information that would relate to that public hazard, again, definition being very To me it would seem like that that would cause broad. companies, in order to cover themselves, to keep, you know, literally millions of pieces of paper and information and research and discovery with the thought that potentially this could be used against them at a future point. Don't you think that's true in this case?"
- Brosnahan: "I mean, again, the Bill doesn't address the keeping of records. But I think a company, if there are records there that deal with a public hazard, and... you know, if we can expose those records, that we could save lives, we could prevent further injuries from happening, then I... I think it's worth the cost of those companies to... to maintain those records and to... to turn 'em over if they have to, if a court so decides."
- Holbrook: "One last question and then I'd like to speak to the Bill. What guarantee do we have from this legislation? It seems like we are putting a significant onus on business and a significant step on business here. And yet, it's all with the thought that we're trying to protect the public, which is very important. But what guarantee do we have

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that... that the public is really going to get the... the information of the potential public hazard? Does this Bill address that specifically of getting information about a public hazard to individuals? Or does it just open it up for the trial lawyers?"

Brosnahan: "No. I mean, I believe that it would expose the information necessary to reveal a public hazard. A judge would have to make that determination. A judge... it would be an in camera inspection, it wouldn't be an open court. He would go through the documents and the judge would decide what would be privileged information that wouldn't be disclosed, and he would determine what information that would be released to the public to show the public hazard. So, that would be up to the... the judge to make that determination."

Holbrook: "To the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Holbrook: "Thank you. I want to thank the Sponsor for answering some of the questions that I have. I think this is a difficult issue. I do want to thank the Sponsor for the work that he's done as far as... I do think the Amendment is a step in the right direction. I still think the Bill is very dangerous. If we look at... if I could ask each of you to look back, even over the last couple weeks, at the number of Bills, one by one, that individually... you know, maybe you can make an argument that it makes sense that... this might be a good idea. This might be something that helps the public in and of itself. And yet, you know what?

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

There is a cost to business. For each one of those things, there's a cost to business. And I would argue, too, that this has a significant cost to business. If you look at the keeping of documents that businesses have, and even individuals have here in the State of Illinois, some of our corporations, I would argue with you, spend thousands, maybe hundreds of thousands of dollars, monthly just in retaining information with the possibility that they might be held responsible at a later date. They'd have to come up with a specific piece of paper that might relate to what someone might define as a public hazard somewhere down the This is going to have a significant cost to line. business. And you pile it on top of all of the other pieces of legislation, universal healthcare, mandates, leave bills, all these things in and of themselves, you argue that maybe they're a good idea. But put together, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, this is going to cost us jobs in the State of Illinois at absolutely the worst time. We're already losing jobs here in the State of Illinois. We need to turn that around. We need to protect the jobs that we already have. We need to say it's important for our businesses to want to stay in Illinois. And I would argue, too, that this, along with the many other things that we've passed here in the State of Illinois in this Legislature this year, hurt business, hurt All the best intentions of every piece of jobs. legislation that we've passed that sound good don't add up to anything if the people in our district don't have jobs,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

don't have places to go to work, don't have an income to be able to take care of their families. I want to encourage each one of you to take a look at that. Take a look at the multitude of ... of different pieces of legislation that have been passed this year that hurt business, that add a cost to doing business here in the State of Illinois. We already have a difficult time encouraging businesses to want to come and stay here in Illinois, and this is absolutely the wrong step that we should make at this time. I also want you to think about, and many of you maybe aren't familiar with the court system, but we do have in our court system many, many, thousands of cases that are filed each and every year. And it is in the best interest of our court system for the parties of those lawsuits to be able to get information, to gather information before they pro... proceed and cloq up the court system, to be able to get any information that might relate to that case with the thought that that information would be kept private within that discussion, within the negotiation of possible settlement or preparation for trial. I would propose to you, as you read through this Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, that this would have a chilling effect on the discovery process here in the State of Illinois. And it would further clog up the court systems. Many of these major cases that come before our courts have literally millions of pieces of paper that are part of that lawsuit. And for each and every one of those pieces of paper, for the individual who have to go before the judge and say this

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

should remain private, this can go public, and for a judge to be able to make that determination, this would grind the court system to a screeching halt. And I would ask you, again, I want to state that it's my understanding reading through the Bill that there is really no other state. Florida passed a law back in 1990. It's a little bit ... it's similar, but I think this goes further than that. No other state has passed legislation that goes this far, according to my research. And I would strongly encourage the Members of this House to think about this. Think about the burden that we've already placed on our businesses. Think about the people in your districts that need jobs, that need work. And I encourage you, again, with all due respect to the Sponsor of this and his hard work, this is the wrong time to do this. And I want to ask you ... I'm gonna be voting 'no' and I ask you to vote 'no' as well. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Ladies and Gentlemen, there are four people seeking recognition: Lang, Rose, Meyer, and Eileen Lyons. Please keep your remarks brief. Representative Lang."
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Bill. It's a Bill that I've carried in the past. You know, we can talk all we want about the dangers to business and we can talk all we want about the court system. But this Bill isn't about those things. This Bill is about protecting people from harm. Imagine if someone has taken some sort of pharmaceutical

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that turns out, through research, to have da ... injured someone, to be a dangerous product. Or some motor vehicle, which has happened before, that blows up if you tap it in the rear. Imagine if cases were settled and no one found out about these things. And so, we've had dozens and dozens of cases settled on products liability matters where court records have been sealed. And research and evidence that has come out of those cases, or could've come out of those cases, that would help save our constituents from harm, pharmaceuticals that... that damage or vehicles that Imagine the danger to our people if we continue blow up. to allow these court records to be sealed. All this says is court records should not be sealed where there's proof of an in... dangerous product or dangerous instrumentality or dangerous machinery. That's all the Gentleman's trying to And I would submit that if you were the next do here. person to drive that Ford that used to blow up when it was tapped in the rear, you would want to make sure that the research that was out there on that vehicle was available to you so you maybe wouldn't buy that car or maybe that company would be a little more careful. There's much evidence on the record that some manufacturers, this is certainly not an indictment of all, some have said, 'Well, we know this is a dangerous product. We know there's some real problems with this product or this piece of machinery, but we have calculated through our actuaries that it's cheaper for us to pay settlements than it is to fix the product.' There's something wrong with that, and I think

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

this Bill is appropriate to protect our citizens in products liability cases. 'Aye' votes are appropriate." Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Representative Rose." Rose: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Rose: "Representative Brosnahan, just a couple quick questions. Is there a... a limit or a cap on... on the amount of settlement that would be made public?"
- Brosnahan: "No. Actually, Representative, there's been some pieces of legislation in other states that have been introduced or have been talked about, including this one because this Bill's been around for a number of years, that would make the amount of the settlement discoverable. We did not put that in this Bill. And in committee, whenever I've been asked that question over the last few years I've introduced this Bill, my position has always been that the actual amount of the settlement, the actual amount of the verdict, if it's a jury trial, does not really go to the existence of whether there's a public hazard or not. So that Bill does not say that you... the judge has to disclose what the amount is."
- Rose: "I guess my question would be... is ... is not to disclose the amount, but is there a trigger level at which, if the settlement is \$5 thousand or less, this does not apply."

Brosnahan: "No, there is not."

Rose: "Okay. Under your definition of public hazard, I'm looking at it... the Amendment, you have the phrase 'not limited to' in there. And then you go on to describe, 'a

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

device, an instrument, a procedure, a product, or a condition of a device... an instrument, a procedure, or a product that has caused or is likely to cause injury'. Is there some concern here that this is a pretty broad definition?"

- Brosnahan: "I don't believe it is too broad. I mean, we… like I said, we've worked on this legislation in the past. I mean, there's some opponents to this legislation, we have given them every opportunity in the world to present other language to us. The only Amendment we ever received was from the Medical Society, but they have not come to us with different language. So, I... I do not think this is too broad."
- Rose: "Well, I... I raise this in the context of... if... if I have a... if my dog goes out and... and kicks up a hole in my front yard and a neighborhood kid comes and trips and scrapes his knee, without a limit or a cap on what it is, and we ended up settling for a hundred dollars to pay for this kid's trip to the hospital, and I give my neighbor a hundred dollars, does that then become discoverable that the hole in my front yard is a public hazard?"
- Brosnahan: "I'm sorry, Justin (sic Chapin), I couldn't hear that example."
- Rose: "Does that then become... is that part of... would that then become part of your sunshine? That... that our private agreement for a hundred dollars to cover the cost of this kid's trip to the emergency room for the scraped knee, does

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that... does that then... do we have to go file that somewhere?"

- Brosnahan: "Well, if there's a private agreement that conceals a... you don't even go to court, but there's a private agreement between two parties and the intent of that agreement or the effect of that agreement is that it conceals a public hazard. Under this Bill, that would be considered against public policy in the State of Illinois. Now, how is somebody basi..."
- Rose: "So I would have to file with the Clerk a notice that my front yard is a public hazard by virtue to the fact that my dog..."
- Brosnahan: "No, it has nothing to do with filing a notice with the Clerk. I guess there... the reason... the only way it would kick in is if you make a private agreement with somebody, there's a private contract, part of that contract you don't disclose the public hazard or the other party cannot speak about it. And then, let's say, somebody reneges on that agreement. Let's say you renege on your agreement part of that private contract, and the other party then goes to court and says, 'Hey, I have this contract with an individual, he reneged on it, I want the court to enforce it.' Basically what the court would be saying is, 'We're not gonna enforce it because it conceals a public hazard.' But you know, there's no onus on the... the people that go to the Clerk's Office and file a notice that it's a public hazard."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Rose: "Is it my understanding, Representative Brosnahan, that this only applies to the settlement, the final disposition? This wouldn't apply to discovery and... and other areas, or would it?"

Brosnahan: "It would apply to discovery, yes."

- Rose: "So the earliest stages of litigation, the very earliest stage, I file an interrogatory. This would have to come back and this would be made public as part of the answer."
- Brosnahan: "What happens in many cases, my understanding... and I really haven't practiced civil law too long. But my understanding when it comes to discovery process, what happens in a lot of these cases is they'll ask the judge for... protective orders, even at the discovery stage. They ask for protective orders; they will not give over certain information unless there is an agreement not to divulge that to... to other parties or to the public or what have you. So, this Bill would apply to that. If there is... if a party is trying to prevent a disclosure of certain discovery materials... you know, you tell the party we're not gonna give this to ya, unless you agree not to share it, then, yes, this Bill would apply. So it's not just settlements."
- Rose: "So the judge, in that case, would have... would not have the discretion if he thought it was appropriate... if he or she thought is was appropriate, the judge would not have the discretion to go ahead and... and keep that from the public purview."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Brosnahan: "The judge would still have that discretion. There would be an in camera inspection. Obviously, in your situation, it would be a party that would be opposing that request to make it public. So, then you... it could be an in camera inspection and the judge would have that discretion to either prevent it from being disclosed or to disclose it if he thinks it concerns a public hazard."
- Rose: "But at the end of the process, then it would have to be disclosed, if... if there was a settlement."
- Brosnahan: "Yes, if there was a sealed settlement, then yes, under this law it would have to be disclosed."
- Rose: "Does this in any way impact the Americans with Disabilities Act?"

Brosnahan: "Not that I'm aware of."

Rose: "Well, I'm looking at something from the United States Department of Labor here that says, 'The Americans with Disabilities Act supports the use of alternative dispute resolutions, a quick and fair method for settling complaints without going to court. Further, the mediation process is strictly confidential.' This is from the U.S. Department of Labor website. Are we somehow infringing upon federal statutes here?"

Brosnahan: "No, I do not... I don't believe so at all."

- Rose: "So, if there was an ADA action brought, the federal statutes would control?"
- Brosnahan: "What I... what I'm saying is I don't think we're... we're changing anything. We're not having a negative effect on the ADA. Right now, where the law is, we're

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

saying that there could be signed... or sealed settlements or sealed discovery protective orders. What this Bill does is we're... we're saying that if the intent of that sealed settlement or the protective order is to conceal a public hazard, you can't do it. What it'll... Would it apply to a case involving those disabilities? Yes, it would apply if it involves a public hazard."

- Rose: "But isn't one of the goals of... of arbitration and mediation... I mean, one is to... is to come to a settlement. And part of the reason that people come together and agree to arbitration and mediation is because it's confidential?"
- Brosnahan: "Yes, that is the goal. But there's also an overriding interest for the public's safety. If we can prevent future injuries and future deaths, then you know what? That... that interest overrides those of privacy."

Rose: "Thank you. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Rose: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I have a strong concern about this Bill that there's no trigger. There's no… there's no limit at which this would kick in. I think everyone here could agree that a large public hazard that puts thousands of people's lives in jeopardy at any given day… this is a great idea. But what about the little guy, you know, who's got a, you know, a crack in his concrete of his sidewalk and agrees that… with his neighbor to have a settlement that something goes awry and they end up having to put this… put this in writing? What about the small business person that really, through no malice, intent, no nefarious

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

action, perhaps out of just negligence, perhaps out of ... out of... just didn't see the banana peel on the floor as John Millner eats a banana peel. Perhaps they didn't see that, and someone slips and falls. Are we gonna have to put that I quess the fundamental problem here, all that aside, in? alternative dispute resolution, mediation, arbitration, the very reason people come together and agree to do those processes and agree to do that, instead of going to court, is because there's some degree of confidentiality. They know if they go through this process, it will be kept It encourage settlement, it encourages confidential. people to keep things out of the court system, and it keeps our court systems from being clogged over and over and over again with cases that really don't need to be tried. This will increase the backlog in our court system; there's no doubt about that. I urge a 'no' vote. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? This piece of legislation... This piece of legislation's on Standard Debate. We have had two people speak in response, one person a proponent of the Bill. We will hear one more response and one more proponent and then go to close. Representative Lyons, Leitch, Meyer, and Slone, in that order. Representative Slone, are you a proponent of the Bill?"
- Slone: "No, Mr. Speaker. I would like to take point of personal privilege, if possible."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Not now. Representative Lyons, are you a proponent of the Bill? Representative Leitch, are you a proponent of the Bill?"

Leitch: "No, I want to introduce the Peoria Central..."

Speaker Hartke: "Forget it. Representative Myers (sic Meyer), you're a proponent? Your response. You may speak
in response."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Meyer: "Speaker... Mr. Speaker, could we get some order? I... I'm not able to communicate with the Representative. Could we have some order, please?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Meyer: "Representative, I'm not a lawyer so I'm not going to get into the nuances of discovery and how the courts work and... and that type of thing. But there are some issues with this legislation that do concern me. First of all, are 'persons' removed from the definition of public hazard under your legislation?"
- Brosnahan: "Yes, Amendment #3 removes the word `persons' from that definition."

Meyer: "Why did you do this?"

Brosnahan: "In light of the concerns from many of the opponents. They thought this legislation was too broad. I have told the opponents over the years that I would work with them if they gave me language. And that was one of the Amendments that was... was offered to me this year. And that's why we put that in there. We just tried to tighten

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

up the language of public hazard to remove some of the opposition."

- Meyer: "The part that I don't understand, Representative, that if this is truly supposed to protect consumers, we… we certainly have excluded, at this point, I think one of the biggest problems that we've seen in recent history, at least starting to see in the newspapers, where we have members of the clergy that are accused of abuse and found guilty of abuse. And yet, those pe… those very same people who would act as our moral compass have been excluded from this legislation that would make available that information. Why did you do so?"
- Brosnahan: "Again, we removed the word 'person' because the... the opposition said it was too broad. You're right; it doesn't apply to members of the clergy. But again, that was brought up in committee where they pointed out the problems with that. In a lot of those cases, it just wasn't doable, I guess. And that was in response to the complaints from the opponents. We thought that the main thrust of this legislation, it always was going to be the main purpose of it, was to get to those cases mainly involving products liability. It was never... the overall intent was never really to focus on the word 'person'; it was always products liability. And we thought it was a good faith attempt on our part to work with the opposition to remove some of their opposition; that's why we did it."
- Meyer: "Well, it seems like to me that you've removed... by removing this from the Bill, that you've allowed the moral

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

fiber of our... our society to be hung out to dry, so to speak. And that they are to be the moral compass of ... of our religions. And if you remove that from this, you open this up to having that abuse perpetrated upon our children or ourselves. And there is no way that that information is made public. And from my standpoint, I believe that that is a great disservice. That, in fact, you're ... you're damaging the system more than you're helping it. You're talking about providing this information for objects, but yet you don't provide this information on persons that, again, are to be our moral leadership. And to me that does a great deal of damage. I think that this... this whole concept needs to be rethought, because I believe that you're putting more emphasis on objects than you are the morality."

Brosnahan: "I would strongly disagree with the... with the prior speaker. This is not a step backwards; this is a giant step forwards. Products liability cases have resulted in needless... thousands of needless deaths, needless injuries. I mentioned a couple before where this would apply to. It would apply to asbestos cases, it would apply to those Firestone tires cases, collapsible lunchroom tables in schools, jammed fuel tanks... Chrysler fuel tank cases, the Bjork Shiley heart valve that used to fracture or crack, that was in 1980, collapsible baby cribs where there were sealed settlements and children died down the line. If those settlements weren't sealed, maybe we would've saved more lives. So, to say this is a step backward I... I take

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

great exception to. This is definitely a step in the right direction. It's moving forward. The whole goal of this is to save lives and prevent further injuries, and that's what this Bill accomplishes. If it... if we could've made it even broader to include 'persons', I would've done that. But that was an accommodation on our part to... to work with the opposition, that's what we did. Maybe we'll be back here a year from now adding 'persons' to it. I don't think so, but... I mean, I... I wanted to include 'persons' in there, but we didn't as to show a good faith to work with the opposition."

Meyer: "Well, I... I certainly disagree with the fact that you've taken this out of the Bill, that you've really subjected people again to this problem, that you have... you've chosen to step back from it at the very point that you feel that you're moving ahead, you're stepping back from it. Regardless of what you're saying, you've already admitted here on the House Floor that you gave an accommodation on this, and I think that it's a wrong way to go."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Brosnahan to close."

Brosnahan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate all the debate in the House. I appreciate all the questions in committee. We worked on this Bill for a long time. I'd like to, once again, thank Representative Lang for his leadership on this issue. I know he had this Bill for a couple years before I did. And, again, this is a public policy Bill. It's good public policy. It's meant to

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

protect our public from needless deaths and injuries. And I would certainly urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

- "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Speaker Hartke: Bill 1191?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Have all voted who wish? Representative who wish? O'Brien. Have all voted who wish? Representative Coulson. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 71 Members voting 'yes', 44 Members voting 'no', and 1 Member voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 1091?"
- Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 1091?"
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1091 is on the Order of House Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Hartke: "Move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment, at the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 1360.
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1360 is on the Order of House Bills-Third Reading."
- Hartke: "Move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment at the request of the Sponsor. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are to go to the order of moving Bills from Second Reading to Third Reading. As I announced earlier, this will take some time so Members should feel

40th Legislative Day

free in the next hour to have lunch or to take a nap or whatever you'd like to do. Please be back on the floor and... or listen in your offices for... you to return to the floor. We'll try to give you about 10 minutes notice. About 2:30 we should be finished. House Bill 248, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 248 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 248, a Bill for an Act in relation to highways. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 314, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 314 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 314, a Bill for an Act concerning corrections. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 315, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 315, a Bill for an Act in relation to gambling. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 316."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 316 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 316, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 422, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 422, a Bill for an Act concerning wetlands. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 552, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 552 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 552, a Bill for an Act in relation to minors. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 553, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk.

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 553, a Bill for an Act concerning juveniles. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 555, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 555, a Bill for an Act in relation to minors. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 556, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 556, a Bill for an Act in relation to children and families. Third Reading of this House Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 557, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 557, a Bill for an Act in relation to

freedom of information. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 558, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 558, a Bill for an Act in relation to

videotaped confessions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 559, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 559 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 559, a Bill for an Act concerning

racial profiling. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 560, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 561, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 561 has been read a second time, previously."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 560, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 561, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 562, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's... the Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 562, a Bill for an Act in relation to

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 563."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 563, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 564, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 564, a Bill for an Act in relation to

criminal laws. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 567, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 567, a Bill for an Act concerning

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 568, Mr. Clerk"

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 568 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 568, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 569, Mr. Clerk."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 569, a Bill for an Act in relation to

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 570, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "Been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 570, a Bill for an Act in relation to

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 571, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 571, a Bill for an Act in relation to

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 572, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 572, a Bill for an Act in relation to

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 575, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 575, a Bill for an Act concerning

juvenile offenders. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 576, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a third time… a second time,

previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 576, a Bill for an Act concerning the death penalty. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 577, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 577, a Bill for an Act concerning the

death penalty. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 578, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 578, a Bill for an Act concerning the

death penalty. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 579, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 579, a Bill for an Act concerning the

death penalty. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 580, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 580 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 580, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 581, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 581 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 581, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 582, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 582 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 582, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 583, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 583, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 584, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 584 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: House Bill 584, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 585, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 585 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 585, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 586, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 586 has been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 586, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 587, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 587 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 587, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 588, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 588 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 588, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 589, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 589, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 590, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: House Bill 590, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 591, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 591 has been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

pensions."

pensions."

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 591, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 592, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 592, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 593, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 593, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 594, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 594, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 595, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 595, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 596, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 596, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 597, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 597, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 598, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 598, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 599, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 599 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 599, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 600, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 600, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 601, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 601, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 602, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 602, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 603, Mr. Clerk."
Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 603, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 604, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 604, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 605, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 605, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 606, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 606, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 607, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 607, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions."

40th Legislative Day

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 608, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 608, a Bill for an Act in relation to
pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 609, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 609, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 610 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 610, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 611, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 611, a Bill for an Act in relation to

pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 612, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 612, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 613, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 613, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 614, Mr. Clerk."
Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 614, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 615, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 615, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 pensions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 616, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 616, a Bill for an Act in relation to

public employee benefits." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 617, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 617, a Bill for an Act concerning land

development."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 618, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a third (sic - second) time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 618, a Bill for an Act concerning land development."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 619, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 619, a Bill for an Act concerning land development."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 620, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 621, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 621, a Bill for an Act in relation to

economic development." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 622, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 622, a Bill for an Act in relation to

economic development." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 623, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 623, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development."

40th Legislative Day

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 625, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 625, a Bill for an Act in relation to

housing."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 626, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. House Bill 6... Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 626, a Bill for an Act in relation to housing."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 627, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 627, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 urban problems."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 628, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 628, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 urban renewal."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 629, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a third (sic - second) time,

previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 629, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 630, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 630, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 631, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 631, a Bill for an Act in relation to

elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 632, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 632, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 633, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 633, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 634, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 634, a Bill for an Act in relation to

elections."

governmental ethics."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 635, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 635 has been read a second time,
 previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 635, a Bill for an Act in relation to governmental ethics."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 636, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 636, a Bill for an Act in relation to

governmental ethics."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 637, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 637, a Bill for an Act in relation to
governmental ethics."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 638, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 638, a Bill for an Act to amend the

State Gift Ban Act."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 639, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 639, a Bill for an Act in relation to
governmental ethics."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 640, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 640, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 641, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 641, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 642, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 642, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 643, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hartke: "Second... Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 643, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 644, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 644, a Bill for an Act in relation to

elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 645, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 645, a Bill for an Act in relation to

elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 646, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 646, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 647, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 647, a Bill for an Act to amend the

Election Code".

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 648, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 648, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 animals."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 649, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 649, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 animals."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 650, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 650, a Bill for an Act concerning
agriculture."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 651, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 651, a Bill for an Act concerning
agriculture."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 652, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 652, a Bill for an Act in relation to

wildlife."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 653, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 653, a Bill for an Act in relation to

wildlife."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 654, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 654, a Bill for an Act in relation to bodies of water."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 655, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 655, a Bill for an Act concerning

natural resources.

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 656, the bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 656, a Bill for an Act in relation to wildlife."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 657, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 657, a Bill for an Act in relation to wildlife."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 658, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 658, a Bill for an Act concerning
conservation."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 659, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 659, a Bill for an Act concerning
waterways."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 661, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 661, a Bill for an Act concerning
agriculture."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 662, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 662, a Bill for an Act concerning
agriculture."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 663, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 663, a Bill for an Act concerning natural resources."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 664, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 664, a Bill for an Act concerning grain."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 665, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 665, a Bill for an Act concerning
grain."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 666, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 666, a Bill for an Act in relation to conservation."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 667, Mr. Clerk..."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 667, a Bill for an Act in relation to agriculture."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Hannig in the Chair." Speaker Hannig: "668, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 668, a Bill for an Act in relation to agriculture."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 669, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 669, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 670. Read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 670, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 671, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 671, a Bill for an Act in relation to tourism development."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 672, read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. ... Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 671."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 671, a Bill for an Act in relation to tourism development."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 672."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 672, a Bill for an Act concerning promotion."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 673."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 673, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 674."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 674, a Bill for an Act in relation to senior citizens."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, read 675, House Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 675, a Bill for an Act in relation to senior citizens."

Speaker Hannig: "Read House Bill 676."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 676, a Bill for an Act in relation to senior citizens."

Speaker Hannig: "Read House Bill 677."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 677, a Bill for an Act relating to insurance."

Speaker Hannig: "Read House Bill 678."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 678, a Bill for an Act in relation to insurance." Speaker Hannig: "Read House Bill 679." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 679, a Bill for an Act relating to children's health insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 680." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 680, a Bill for an Act concerning children's health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 681." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 681, a Bill for an Act concerning the Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 682." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 682, a Bill for an Act concerning the Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 683." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 683, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 684." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 684, a Bill for an Act concerning disabled persons." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 685." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 685, a Bill for an Act concerning disable persons." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 686." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 686, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 687." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 687, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 688." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 688, a Bill for an Act in relation to children." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 689."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 689, a Bill for an Act in relation to children." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 690." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 690, a Bill for an Act concerning public health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 691." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 691, a Bill for an Act in relation to public health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 692." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 692, a Bill for an Act in relation to children and families." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 693." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 693, a Bill for an Act in relation to children and families." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 694." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 694, a Bill for an Act in relation to child care.

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 695."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 695, a Bill for an Act concerning child care."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 696."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 696, a Bill for an Act concerning human services."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 697."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 697, a Bill for an Act in relation to human services."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 699."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 699, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 700."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 700, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 701."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 701, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 702." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 702, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 703." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 703, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 704." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 704, a Bill for an Act concerning the Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 705." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 705, a Bill for an Act concerning children's health programs." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 706." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 706, a Bill for an Act in relation to insurance."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 707."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 707, a Bill for an Act concerning the Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 708."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 708, a Bill for an Act in relation to waterways."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 709."

- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 709, a Bill for an Act in relation to highways."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 711."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 711, a Bill for an Act in relation to railroads."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 712."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 712, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 713."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 713, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 714." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 714, a Bill for an Act in relation to vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 715." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 715, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 716." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 716, a Bill for an Act in relation to vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 718." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 718, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 719." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 719, a Bill for an Act in relation to vehicles."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 720."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 720, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 721."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 721, a Bill for an Act in relation to airports."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 722."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 722, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 723."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 723, a Bill for an Act in relation to aircraft."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 724."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 724, a Bill for an Act in regard to highways."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 725. Excuse me. House Bill 726."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 "House Bill 726 been read a second time, Clerk Bolin: previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 726, a Bill for an Act in relation to highways." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 727." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 727, a Bill for an Act regarding highways." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 728." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 728, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 729." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 729, a Bill for an Act concerning special districts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 730." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 730, a Bill for an Act concerning mass transit." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 731." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 731, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 732."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 732, a Bill for an Act in regard to highways."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 733."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 733, a Bill for an Act in regard to highways."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 734."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 734, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 735."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 735, a Bill for an Act with regard to highways."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 736."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 736, a Bill for an Act concerning highways."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 737."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 737, a Bill for an Act in relation to highways." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 738." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 738, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 739." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 739, a Bill for an Act in relation to highways." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 740." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 740, a Bill for an Act in relation to waterways." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 741." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 741, a Bill for an Act in relation to alcohol." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 742." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 742, a Bill for an Act in relation to alcohol." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 743." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 743, a Bill for an Act in relation to alcohol." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 744." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 744, a Bill for an Act in relation to beverage distribution." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 745." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 745, a Bill for an Act in relation to franchises." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 746." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 746, a Bill for an Act in relation to franchises." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 747." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 747, a Bill for an Act in relation to franchises." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 748."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 748, a Bill for an Act in relation to franchises." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 749." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 749, a Bill for an Act in relation to alcohol." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 750." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 750, a Bill for an Act concerning education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 752." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 752, a Bill for an Act relating to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 753." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 753, a Bill for an Act relating to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 754." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 "House Bill 754, a Bill for an Act relating to Clerk Bolin: schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 755." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 755, a Bill for an Act relating to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 756." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hanniq: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 756, a Bill for an Act regarding schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 757." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 757, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 758." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 758, a Bill for an Act concerning schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 759." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 759, a Bill for an Act relating to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 760."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 760, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 761." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 761, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 762." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 762, a Bill for an Act concerning schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 763." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 763, a Bill for an Act relating to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 764." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 764, a Bill for an Act relating to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 765." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 765, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 766."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 766, a Bill for an Act relating to schools."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 767."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 767, a Bill for an Act concerning education."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 768."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 768, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 769."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 769, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 770."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 770, a Bill for an Act regarding schools."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 771."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 771, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging. Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 772." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 772, a Bill for an Act concerning aging." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 773." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 773, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 774." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 774, a Bill for an Act in relation to elderly persons." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 775." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 775, a Bill for an Act in relation to health facilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 776." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 776, a Bill for an Act concerning assisted living."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 777."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 777, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 778."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 778, a Bill for an Act concerning elder abuse."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 779."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 779, a Bill for an Act in relation to health facilities."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 780."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 780, a Bill for an Act in relation to health facilities."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 781"

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 781, a Bill for an Act concerning nursing home care."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 782."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 782, a Bill for an Act in relation to senior citizens and disabled persons." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 783." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 783, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 784." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 784, a Bill for an Act concerning assistance to citizens." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 785." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 785, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 786." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 786, a Bill for an Act concerning citizen benefits." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 787." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 787, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 788."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 788, a Bill for an Act concerning senior citizens and disabled persons."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 789."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 789, a Bill for an Act in relation to aging."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 790."

- Clerk Bolin: "That Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 790, a Bill for an Act in relation to insurance."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 791."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 791, a Bill for an Act in relation to wages."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 792."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 792, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 793."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 793, a Bill for an Act concerning labor." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 794, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 794, a Bill for an Act concerning labor." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 795." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 795, a Bill for an Act concerning labor." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 796." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 796, a Bill for an Act concerning labor." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 797." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 796 (sic - 797), a Bill for an Act in relation to labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 798." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 798, a Bill for an Act in relation to labor relations."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 799."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 799, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 800."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 800, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 801."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 801, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 802."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 802, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 803."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 803, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 804."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 804, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 805." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 805, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 806." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 806, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 807." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "... a Bill for an Act in relation to work in the healthplace (sic - health in the workplace)." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 808." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 808, a Bill for an Act in relation to work ... to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 809." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 809, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 810." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 810, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 811." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 811, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 812." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 812, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 813." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 813, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 814." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 814, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 815."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 815, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 816." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 816, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 817." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 817, a Bill for an Act concerning employment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 818." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 818, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 819." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 819, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 820." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

```
4/2/2003
```

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 820, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 821."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 821, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 822."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 822, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 823."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 823, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 824."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 824, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 825."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 825, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 826."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 826, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 827." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 827, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 828." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 828, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 829." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 829, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 830." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 830, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 831." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 831, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 832." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 832, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 833." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 833, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 834." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 834, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 835." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 835, a Bill for an Act in relation to municipal government. Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 836." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 836, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 837."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 837, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 838." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 838, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 839." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 839, a Bill for an Act in relation to county government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 840." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 840, a Bill for an Act in relation to county government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 841." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 841, a Bill for an Act in relation to county government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 843." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 843, a Bill for an Act in relation to townships." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 844." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 844, a Bill for an Act concerning townships." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 845." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 845, a Bill for an Act in relation to townships." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 846." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 846, a Bill for an Act concerning local government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 848." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 848, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 849." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 849, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 850."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 850, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 851." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "... a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 852." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 852, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 853." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 853, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 854." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 855. Excuse me, 854 is Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 854, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 855." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 855, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 856." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 856, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 857." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 857, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 858." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 858, a Bill for an Act in relation to property taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 859." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 859, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 860." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 860, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 861."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 861, a Bill for an Act in relation to property taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 862." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 862, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 863." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 863, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 864." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 864, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 865." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 865, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 866." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 866, a Bill for an Act in relation to income taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 867." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 867, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 868." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 868, a Bill for an Act with respect to taxation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 869." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 869, a Bill for an Act with respect to taxation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 870." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 870, a Bill for an Act concerning public utilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 871." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 871, a Bill for an Act concerning public utilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 872."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 872, a Bill for an Act concerning public utilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 873." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 873, a Bill for an Act concerning public utilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 874." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 874, a Bill for an Act concerning public utilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 875." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 875, a Bill for an Act relating to telecommunications." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 876." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 876, a Bill for an Act concerning utilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 877." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 877, a Bill for an Act in relation to utilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 878." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 878." Speaker Hannig: "878." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 878, a Bill for an Act in relation to veterans." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 879." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 879, a Bill for an Act in relation to veterans." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 880." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 880, a Bill for an Act concerning the military." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 881." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 881, a Bill for an Act concerning the military." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 882." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 882, a Bill for an Act concerning the military."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 883."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 883, a Bill for an Act concerning the military."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 884."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 884, a Bill for an Act concerning the military."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 885."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 885, a Bill for an Act concerning the military."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 886."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 886, a Bill for an Act concerning the military."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 887."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 887, a Bill for an Act concerning the military."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 888."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 888 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 888, a Bill for an Act concerning consumer protection."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 889."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 889, a Bill for an Act in relation to business transactions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 890."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 890, a Bill for an Act in relation to business transactions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 891."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 891, a Bill for an Act concerning consumer protection."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 892."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 892, a Bill for an Act in relation to technology."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 893."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 893, a Bill for an Act in relation to technology." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 894." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 894, a Bill for an Act concerning strategic planning." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 895." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 895, a Bill for an Act concerning strategic planning." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 896." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 896, a Bill for an Act concerning strategic planning." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 897." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 897, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 898." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 898, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 899."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 899, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 900."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 900, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 901."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 901, a Bill for an Act concerning the powers of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 902."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 902, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 903."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 903, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 904."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 904, a Bill for an Act concerning the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 905." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 905, a Bill for an Act concerning business." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 906." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 906, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 907." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 907, a Bill for an Act concerning business." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 908." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 908, a Bill for an Act concerning the powers of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 910." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 910, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental protection."

- 40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 911."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 911 has been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 911, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental safety."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 913."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 913, a Bill for an Act concerning wetlands."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 914."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 914, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental protection."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 916."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 916, a Bill for an Act in relation to environmental safety."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 917."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 917, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental safety."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 918."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 918 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 918, a Bill for an Act relating to public community colleges."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 919."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 919, a Bill for an Act regarding public community colleges."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 920."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 920, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 921."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 921, a Bill for an Act relating to

higher education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 922."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 922, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 923."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 923, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 924." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 924, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 925." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 925, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 926." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 926, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 927." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 927, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 928." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 928, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 929."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 929, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 930." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 930, a Bill for an Act with regard to higher education student assistance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 931." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 931, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 932." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 932, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 933." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 933, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 934." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 934, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 935." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 935, a Bill for an Act in relation to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 936." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 936, a Bill for an Act concerning prompt payment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 937." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 937 has been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 937, a Bill for an Act concerning prompt payment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 938." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 938, a Bill for an Act concerning the State Treasurer." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 940." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 940, a Bill for an Act in relation to

State procurement."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 941." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 941, a Bill for an Act concerning the Attorney General." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 942." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 942, a Bill for an Act in relation to State procurement." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 943." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 943, a Bill for an Act in relation to State employees." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 944." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 944, a Bill for an Act regarding finance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 945." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 945, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Attorney General." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 946." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 946, a Bill for an Act concerning the Attorney General."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 947."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a third time… a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 947, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Secretary of State."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 948."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 948, a Bill for an Act in relation to State finance."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 949."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 949, a Bill for an Act concerning courts."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 950."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 950, a Bill for an Act concerning juries."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 951."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 951, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Secretary of State."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 952." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 952, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Secretary of State." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 953." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 953, a Bill for an Act in relation to State finance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 954." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 954, a Bill for an Act in relation to freedom of information." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 955." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 955, a Bill for an Act concerning salaries." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 956." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 956, a Bill for an Act concerning freedom of information." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 957." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 957, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Secretary of State." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 958." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 958, a Bill for an Act concerning juries." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 959." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 959, a Bill for an Act concerning courts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 960." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 960, a Bill for an Act concerning courts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 961." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 961, a Bill for an Act in relation to the General Assembly." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 963." Clerk Rossi: "House... The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 963, a Bill for an Act in relation to the General Assembly."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 964." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 964, a Bill for an Act in relation to the General Assembly." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 965." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 965, a Bill for an Act in relation to the General Assembly." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 966." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 966, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agency reorganization." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 967." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 967, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agency reorganization." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 968." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 968 has been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 968, a Bill for an Act concerning State mandates." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 969." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 969, a Bill for an Act in relation to freedom of information." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 970." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 970, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agency reorganization." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 971." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 971, a Bill for an Act concerning libraries." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 972." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 972, a Bill for an Act concerning finance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 973." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 973, a Bill for an Act in relation to the State Treasurer." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 974." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 974, a Bill for an Act in relation to State employment."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 975."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 975, a Bill for an Act in relation to State employment."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 976."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 976 has been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 976, a Bill for an Act concerning State mandates."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 977."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 977, a Bill for an Act concerning libraries."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 978."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 978, a Bill for an Act in relation to the State Comptroller."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 979."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 979, a Bill for an Act in relation to administrative procedure."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 980."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 980, a Bill for an Act concerning the State Comptroller."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 981."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 981, a Bill for an Act concerning the State Comptroller."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 982."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 982, a Bill for an Act concerning the State Comptroller."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 983."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 983 has been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 983, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 984."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 984, a Bill for an Act concerning courts."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 985."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

"House Bill 985, a Bill for an Act concerning Clerk Rossi: departments of State government." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 986." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 986, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 987." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 987, a Bill for an Act in relation to administrative rules." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 988." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 988, a Bill for an Act in relation to public bodies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 989." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 989, a Bill for an Act in relation to public bodies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 990." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 990, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agency reorganization." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 991."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 991, a Bill for an Act in relation to the General Assembly." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 992." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 992 has been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 992, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 993." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 993, a Bill for an Act in relation to the courts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 994." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 994, a Bill for an Act regarding the Attorney General." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 995. House Bill 995." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 995, a Bill for an Act in relation to the State Treasurer." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 996." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 996, a Bill for an Act in relation to the General Assembly." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 997." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 997, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agency reorganization." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 998." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 998, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 999." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 999, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1000." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1000, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1001." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1001, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Hartke in the Chair. House Bill 1002. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1002, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1203 (sic - 1003). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read..."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1003, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 104... 120... 1004. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1004, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the regulation of professions." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1006. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1006, a Bill for an Act concerning the

regulation of professions." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1007. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1007, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1008. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1008, a Bill for an Act in relation to

executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1009. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1009, a Bill for an Act in relation to

executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, House Bill 1010. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1010, a Bill for an Act in relation to

executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1011. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1011, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1012. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1012, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1013. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1013, a Bill for an Act in relation to children and families."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1014. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1014, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1015. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1015, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1016. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1016, a Bill for an Act in relation to

executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1017. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1017, a Bill for an Act in relation to

trusts."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. House Bill 1018, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1018, a Bill for an Act in relation to civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1019. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1019, a Bill for an Act concerning

probate law."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1020. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1020, a Bill for an Act concerning
family law."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1021. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1021, a Bill for an Act concerning
family law."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1022. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1022, a Bill for an Act in relation to

property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1023. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1023, a Bill for an Act in relation to

real property." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1024. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1024, a Bill for an Act in relation to estates."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1025. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1025, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the conveyance of land." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1026. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1026, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the conveyance of land." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1027. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1027, a Bill for an Act in relation to

real property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1028. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1028, a Bill for an Act in relation to

property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1029. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1029, a Bill for an Act in relation to real property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1030. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1030, a Bill for an Act in relation to property."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 1031. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1031, a Bill for an Act in relation to

State employees."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1032. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1032, a Bill for an Act in relation to

State employees."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1033. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1033, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the conveyance of land." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1034. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1034, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the conveyance of land."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Read... House Bill 1035. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1035, a Bill for an Act in relation to

trusts."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1036. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1036, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1037. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1037, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1040. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1040, a Bill for an Act relating to commercial transactions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1043. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1043, a Bill for an Act in relation to

property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1044. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1044, a Bill for an Act in relation to

real property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1045. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1045, a Bill for an Act concerning
 real property."

09300040.doc

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1046. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1046, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1047. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1047, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1048. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1048, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1049. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1049, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1050. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1050, a Bill for an Act in relation to

property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1051. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1051, a Bill for an Act in relation to property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1052. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1052, a Bill for an Act regarding

business corporations." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1053. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1053, a Bill for an Act concerning business corporations."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1054. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1054, a Bill for an Act in relation to

liens."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1055. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1055, a Bill for an Act in relation to

attorneys."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill.1056"

Clerk Bolin: "House... The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1056, a Bill for an Act in relation to attorneys."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1057. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill" Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1057, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the conveyance of land." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1058. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1058, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil liabilities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1059. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1059, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil liabilities."

civil liabilities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1060. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1060, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1061. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1061, a Bill for an Act in relation to

civil liabilities." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1062. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1062, a Bill for an Act in relation to

privacy."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1063. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1063, a Bill for an Act in relation to

gambling."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1064. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1064, a Bill for an Act in relation to

gambling."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1066. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1066, a Bill for an Act in relation to

horse racing."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1067, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1067. 1067."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1067, a Bill for an Act in relation to gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1068. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1068, a Bill for an Act in relation to

gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1069. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1069, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1072. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1072, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1073. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1073, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1074. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1074, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1075. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1075, a Bill for an Act concerning
insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1076. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1076, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1077. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1077, a Bill for an Act concerning

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1078. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1078, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1079. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1079, a Bill for an Act in relation to

financial regulation." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1080. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1080, a Bill for an Act in relation to

financial regulation." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1081. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1081, a Bill for an Act in relation to

financial regulation." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1082. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1082, a Bill for an Act in relation to

health care."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1083. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1083, a Bill for an Act in relation to

health care." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1084."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1084, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1085. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1085, a Bill for an Act concerning

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1086. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1086, a Bill for an Act concerning

health facilities." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1111. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1111, a Bill for an Act concerning

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1112. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1112, a Bill for an Act concerning

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1113. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1113, a Bill for an Act concerning civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1114. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1114, a Bill for an Act concerning

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1312. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1312, a Bill for an Act in relation to
counties."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1313. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1313, a Bill for an Act in relation to

forest preserves." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1336. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1336, a Bill for an Act concerning real property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1337. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1337, a Bill for an Act regarding

aircraft."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1339. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1339, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1340. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1340, a Bill for an Act concerning
special districts."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1341. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1341, a Bill for an Act concerning

prompt payment."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1342. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1342, a Bill for an Act in relation to property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1343, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1343, a Bill for an Act in relation to

property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1402, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1402, a Bill for an Act concerning the

legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1403, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: House Bill 1403, a Bill for an Act concerning the
legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1404, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1404, a Bill for an Act concerning the

legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1405, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1405, a Bill for an Act concerning the

legislature." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1406. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1406, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1407. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1407, a Bill for an Act concerning the

legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1408. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1408, a Bill for an Act concerning the legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1408, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 1409, excuse me."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1409, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the legislature.

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1410. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1410, a Bill for an Act concerning the

legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1411. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. House Bill 1519 (sic - House Bill 1411). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1411, a Bill for an Act in relation to the legislature."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1519. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1519, a Bill for an Act concerning

taxes."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1520. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1520, a Bill for an Act concerning

electronic mail."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1580. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1580, a Bill for an Act concerning
labor."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1642. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1642, a Bill for an Act in relation to gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1643. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1643, a Bill for an Act in relation to gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1644. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1644, a Bill for an Act in relation to gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "Members who are in their offices should work there way toward the floor. We will re… be coming out of our recess or our downtime in about 10 minutes. I now have about 2:41, say at 2:51 we will go back into Session. House Bill 1645. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1645, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the Illinois lottery."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1647. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1647, a Bill for an Act in relation to gaming."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1649. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1649, a Bill for an Act concerning the

Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1650. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1650, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1651. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1651, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1652. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1652, a Bill for an Act concerning

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1653. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1653, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1654. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1654, a Bill for an Act in relation to

State employees."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1655. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1655, a Bill for an Act concerning

children's health programs." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1656. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1658. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1658, a Bill for an Act concerning
assistance to citizens."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1659. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1659, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1660. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1660, a Bill for an Act in relation to

public aid."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1661. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1661, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 public aid."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1663. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1663, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 tobacco."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1664. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1664, a Bill for an Act in relation to

tobacco."

executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1665. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1665, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1666. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1666, a Bill for an Act in relation to

executive agencies."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1667. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1667, a Bill for an Act concerning

nursing home care."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1669. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1669, a Bill for an Act in relation to

health."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1671. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1671, a Bill for an Act concerning

elder abuse."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1672. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1672, a Bill for an Act in relation to senior citizens and disabled persons."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1674. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1674, a Bill for an Act in relation to

aging."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1676. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1676, a Bill for an Act concerning
 public utilities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1677. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1677, a Bill for an Act in relation to

elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1678. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1678, a Bill for an Act concerning
 elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1681. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1681, a Bill for an Act in relation to

elections."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1682. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1682, a Bill for an Act concerning the

General Assembly."

State employee benefits."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1683. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1683, a Bill for an Act to amend the

State Gift Ban Act." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1684. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1684, a Bill for an Act relating to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1685. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1685, a Bill for an Act in relation to State employees."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1686. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1686, a Bill for an Act concerning the Comprehensive Health Insurance Plan."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1687. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1687, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1688. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1688, a Bill for an Act in relation to
insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1689. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1689, a Bill for an Act concerning

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1690. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1690, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1691. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1691, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1692. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

217

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1692, a Bill for an Act concerning insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1693. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1693, a Bill for an Act in relation to

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1694. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1694, a Bill for an Act concerning

insurance."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1696. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1696, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1697. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

alcohol."

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1697, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1699. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1699, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1700. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1700, a Bill for an Act concerning
fireworks."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1701. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1701, a Bill for an Act regarding
boats."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1702. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1702, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 business transactions."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1703. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1703, a Bill for an Act in relation to

business transactions." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1704. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1704, a Bill for an Act concerning consumer protection."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1705. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1705, a Bill for an Act concerning

consumer protection."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1706. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1706, a Bill for an Act in relation to

health care."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1707. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1707, a Bill for an Act concerning
 public safety."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1708. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1708, a Bill for an Act concerning

health care."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1709. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

220

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1709, a Bill for an Act concerning public health."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1710. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1710, a Bill for an Act concerning

public safety."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1711. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1711, a Bill for an Act concerning
 public health."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1712. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1712, a Bill for an Act concerning
 public safety."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1713. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Third Reading. Mr.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1713, a Bill for an Act in relation to health."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1714. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1714, a Bill for an Act concerning
 emergency management."

221

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1716. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1716, a Bill for an Act in relation to

aging."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1717. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1717, a Bill for an Act in relation to

public health."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1718. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1718, a Bill for an Act concerning

health facilities." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1719. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1719, a Bill for an Act in relation to

utilities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1720. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1720, a Bill for an Act in relation to

utilities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1721. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1721, a Bill for an Act concerning utilities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1722. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1722, a Bill for an Act in relation to

utilities."

environmental protection."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1723. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1723, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 environmental safety."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1724. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1724, a Bill for an Act concerning

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1725. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1725, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental protection."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1726. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1726, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 environmental protection."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1727. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

environmental safety."

local governments."

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1727, a Bill for an Act concerning

environmental protection." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1728. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1728, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1730. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1730, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 municipalities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1731. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1731, a Bill for an Act concerning
 public buildings."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1732. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1732, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1733. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1733, a Bill for an Act concerning local government."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1734. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1734, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1735. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1735, a Bill for an Act in relation to

units of local government." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1736. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1736, a Bill for an Act in relation to

local government."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1737. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1737, a Bill for an Act concerning
local government."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1738. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1738, a Bill for an Act concerning townships."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1739. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1739, a Bill for an Act in relation to

townships."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1740. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1740, a Bill for an Act in relation to

townships."

special districts."

municipal government."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1741. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1741, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1742. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1742, a Bill for an Act concerning
municipalities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1743. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1743, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1744. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1744, a Bill for an Act concerning local government."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1745. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1745, a Bill for an Act in relation to

county government."

county government."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1749. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1749, a Bill for an Act in relation to

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1750. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1750, a Bill for an Act concerning

local government officers." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1752. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1752, a Bill for an Act in relation to

special districts." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1753. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1753, a Bill for an Act in relation to

local government."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1754. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1754, a Bill for an Act concerning

local governments."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1756. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1756, a Bill for an Act concerning

municipalities."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1757. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1757, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1758. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1758, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1759. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1759, a Bill for an Act concerning

civil procedure."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1760. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1760, a Bill for an Act in relation to
 real property."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1761. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1761, a Bill for an Act in relation to

real property." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1762. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1762, a Bill for an Act concerning

local government." Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1763. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1763, a Bill for an Act concerning

airports."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1764. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1764, a Bill for an Act in relation to

highways."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1765. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1765, a Bill for an Act in regard to

vehicles."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1766. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1766, a Bill for an Act with regard to

vehicles."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1767. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1767, a Bill for an Act in relation to

highways."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1768. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

230

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1768, a Bill for an Act in relation to boats."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1769. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1769, a Bill for an Act regarding vehicles."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1770. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1770, a Bill for an Act regarding
vehicles."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1771. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1771, a Bill for an Act regarding
vehicles."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1772. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1772, a Bill for an Act in relation to

aircraft."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1774. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

231

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1774, a Bill for an Act with regard to vehicles."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1775. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1775, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

- Speaker Hartke: "On page 22 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 3429. Mr. Dunkin. 3429. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Out of the record. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."
- Clerk Rossi: "Representative Currie, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules, to which the following measures were referred, action taken on April 02, 2003, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'to the floor for consideration' Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 751, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1415, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2317, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2458, Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2630, Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2778, Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 2840, and Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3452; and a 'motion to table' Committee Amendment #2 to House Bill 1180."
- Speaker Hartke: "On page 5 on the Calendar, on the order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 1415. Representative Kelly. Representative Kelly... on Amendment #1."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1415, the Bill's been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Kelly, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Kelly on Amendment #1."

- Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have an Amendment to the Bill, I will read it. 'A minor who is detained in a juvenile detention facility solely because the minor is adjudicated a truant minor in need of supervision shall be kept separate from other minors incarcerated in the facility for offenses which, if committed by an adult, would be classified as felonies or misdemeanors. A minor who is detained in a juvenile detention facility as a truant minor in need of supervision shall be provided tutors and while detained at the facility shall be assigned appropriate school work as determined by the director of the facility.'"
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #1? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, `Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 1415?' All those in favor signify by saying `aye'; opposed `no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the `ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 11 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill... House Bill 1518. Representative Phelps on Floor Amendment #2. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1518 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Phelps, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Phelps on Amendment #2."

- Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Amendment 2, this Amendment gives local municipalities the ability to regulate the retail sale of firearms, allows municipalities to set zoning and security requirements for gun stores. Ask for the adoption of House... Floor Amendment #2."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 1518?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Stephens, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Stephens: "Call all the Members back."

- Speaker Hartke: "Members should proceed to the floor. We are doing floor action. House Bill 120. Representative Smith. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 120 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill. No

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Motions have been filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Smith, has been approved for consideration." Speaker Hartke: "Representative Smith on Floor Amendment #2." Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. This Amendment is at the request of the Municipal League, which I pledged to do in committee. The committee approved it yesterday unanimously. Simply addresses some of the language that they had a concern with in the previous Bill. And again, the underlying Bill has to do with allowing municipalities to charge out-of-town residents for fire protection services. Fire protection districts have this power currently, but muni... municipalities do not. This is, I believe, the last piece of the... of the legislative package from the task force that Representative Moffitt and I co-chaired last summer and fall. I know of no opposition to the Amendment."

- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 120?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Smith, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Smith on Floor Amendment #2."

Smith: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment actually is on behalf of our former colleague, now the Director of Natural Resources, Mr. Brunsvold. It has to do with an issue in

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

his district, now Mr. Verschoore's district, which would say when a property owner disconnects from a fire protection district, the land, for contiguous definition, would include... properties that could separate that contiguous property would include any regional airport authority. I know of no opposition to this as well."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #3? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #3 to House Bill 120?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. House Bill 3057. Representative Brady. Mr. Brady, are you ready on House Bill 3057? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3057, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Brady, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Brady on Floor Amendment #2."

Brady: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Amendment #2... we withdrew House Amendment #1. House Amendment #2 is agreed to by the Department of Professional Regulation and the Illinois Funeral Directors Association. It deals with updates of titles of training groups for continuing education,

236

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

preparation room inspections of funeral home facilities, and changes the wording of 'trainee' to 'intern'. I would be happy to answer any questions regarding the Amendment." Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is,

'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill... Mr. Novak, would you like to address the Amendment?"

- Novak: "No, I... I wanted to be recognized after the Amendment is adopted."
- Speaker Hartke: "Will do. The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3057?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Novak, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Novak: "Yes, inquiry of the Chair, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "State your inquiry."

Novak: "Are former House Members now who are Senators allowed to sit in House Members' chairs?"

Speaker Hartke: "We will check the rule book."

- Novak: "Okay. Former Senator... former Representative Schoenberg, who is now a State Senator, is sitting in Representative Granberg's chair. Is he allowed to do that under House rules?"
- Speaker Hartke: "We'll check into that. I'm not sure he should be allowed on the floor. Representative Graham."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Graham: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Hartke: "State your point."

Graham: "I have a group of my constituents here today. First of all, I have from the West Suburban Special Recreation Association, I have Holly Kulas, John Carmody, and Georgia Hunter. They're from the 78th Legislative District. Welcome them to town."

Speaker Hartke: "Welcome to the General Assembly."

- Graham: "Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Hartke: "Yes?"
- Graham: "Also, I have with me, from the 78th Legislative District, the League of Women Voters of Illinois, from the 78th Legislative District. They're over here."
- Speaker Hartke: "Welcome also to the General Assembly. House Bill 2105. Representative Myers. Representative Myers. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2105, a Bill for an Act in relation to education. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Myers, has been approved for consideration." Speaker Hartke: "Representative Myers."
- Myers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill amends the School Code to a two pro… different provisions. One deals with adding the consolidated election language to the lec… to the elections that are… that it applied to. And the other allows school districts to amend their levies in the event that they pass a consolidated… or a consolidation

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

referendum, as two schools did yesterday. This will allow them to immediately amend their certified levies."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #1? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 2105?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Is Representative Saviano or Burke or Slone in the chamber? House Bill 2370. Representative Saviano. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. House Bill 2370. House Bill 3584. Representative Saviano. On page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3044. Mr. Washington. Mr. Washington. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3044, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Washington on House Bill 3044."
Washington: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. To my colleagues, House
Bill 3044 is a very simple Bill in terms of the scope of
the Bill, and it gives all of us a chance to address doma...
domestic violence wherever it's happening in the borders of
our country. The Amendment was drafted in consultation
with the National Poverty Law Center. And in the Bill it
deletes all the provisions of the original Bill and it
brings the Illinois Statutes into a confluence with Federal
Law. This Amendment... it allows for people who have been in

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

the country less than five years to receive income assistance or medical assistance if they are victims of domestic violence and if such a system will help them to escape that domestic violence. So, this is a remedy Bill. It's a cure for those victims who find themselves in conditions or situations beyond their control within the borders in the constitutional governance of the United States. Also, in accordance with Federal Law, this Amendment includes provision that allows for certain types Cubans or Haitian National, of people, such as or Amerasians. Those are the children who were left over from soldiers who found ladies that they were able to take up with and left behind a lot of the children. Some of them are returning home to find their fathers, Vietnam, victims of trafficking, and families of Laotians who assisted the U.S. troops during the Vietnam War. So, I'm asking for support by my colleagues, those who have been veterans, those who know the damages of what domestic violence can do at home and abroad, to assist me in making this law. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Dunkin."

Dunkin: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of this... of the House. I rise in support of House Bill 3044. It's a Bill that's long overdue in terms of providing further protection and assistance to individuals who are affected by domestic violence here in this state. I encourage all of my colleagues to help us in this endeavor and see into it that

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

we provide a safety net, something of an insulation for these victims who happen to be... victimized. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hartke: "The Chair recognizes Representative Mulligan." Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Mulligan: "Representative, Amendment #1 becomes the Bill?"

Washington: "Yes, Ma'am."

- Mulligan: "So, would you tell us what's actually left from the original Bill in here?"
- Washington: "To my colleague, this is a more concise Bill, being more specific in defining the language of the Bill, so we will find more harmony with the Federal Law."

Mulligan: "What Federal Law?"

- Washington: "Federal Law that will help draft and in conjunction with this Bill was done by the National Poverty Law Center."
- Mulligan: "Are you saying a proposed Federal Law, not a Federal Law that is enacted?"

Washington: "No, Ma'am. Existing Federal Law, Ma'am."

Mulligan: "So existing Federal Law will give us co-pays if we add these people that include nationals of Cuban or Haiti admitted after April 21, 1980. Amerasians from Vietnam admitted after March 20, 1988. And on, and on, and on down. Do we get any matching federal funds for that or we get any help under the TANF program for that?"

Washington: "Yes."

Mulligan: "Yes. What? How much?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Washington: "We get federal match for that ... Ma'am."

Mulligan: "So if we were to do this, what kind of a federal match would we get? They're certainly not covered under..." Washington: "The... we get... the answer to your question is the

same match that we presently already have in place for... for public aid."

Mulligan: "It's not a Medicaid match. It's an amount that would be coming from us under TANF?"

Washington: "Yes, Ma'am."

- Mulligan: "All right. So, if we use this option for certain people under TANF, doesn't that eliminate some of the options we have for taking care of people that will never be employed, that we have to keep exempting under the TANF program?"
- Washington: "Ma'am, what this Bill does is simple. It says that any male, female, in particular, who has been beaten, misused domestically for no reason other than the fact that they're vulnerable, that they're female, that that ch... that they are chi... children, that they have a remedy that we will send a message that we're not gonna tolerate domestic violence in any form or fashion against any female nor child."

Mulligan: "All right. So..."

Washington: "So we want to codify that, make it synonymous and harmonious with the existing laws, and kind of expand it a little bit where we, too, are... understand that there's a problem and it has to be addressed, with those... within the borders of the United States."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Mulligan: "We didn't even... we didn't even use the option for domestic violence under people that already lived here for a certain extent of time, which was a big argument with the Women's Caucus in particular. You're adding a whole other group of people. And how many people will that encompass and how much will it cost the state?"
- Washington: "More so than anything, it conforms our state's statute."
- Mulligan: "I'm sorry, that's not the… I'm asking what number of people do you think this expanse benefits to and how much do you think that will cost?"
- Washington: "Well, Ms. Mulligan, I couldn't give you a number. But one too many domestic violence victims is one too many. And if you don't draw the inch, then you'll get the mile. So this is what this Bill addresses."
- Mulligan: "I understand that. And in some instances we have tried to assist victims of domestic violence. You're expanding this, and we're not even helping the people that we currently take care of now. We're not gonna have enough money for that and you want to expand this to an... an even broader class. But you can't tell me the number or the amount of money. At what point do we say we must stop until we get the budget under control? We must take care of the people that we have now and we should not expand any longer. We have had expansion after expansion and now you're adding another whole group. And you can't seem to tell me what the number is."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Washington: "Ma'am, these people are already included by rule. And I want to remind my colleagues and those that are listening to this conversation that you're saying how we're able to maintain and... and help those that we already... I don't know how you... what do you mean by that? If you could go a little further, because you're disfranchising people who I'm referring to that are in the protection of Illinois. They're in Illinois or in the country of the United States of America..."

Mulligan: "Representative, we just..."

Washington: "... against any domestic violence."

- Mulligan: "We just added approximately 10 thousand babies that will be born in the coming year to TANF, which I supported, which are babies of people that are already on TANF. Now you're going to add another whole group, but you are not giving me a number. Was there a Fiscal Note filed on this and what did the Fiscal Note say?"
- Washington: "Ma'am, I don't believe there was a physical (sic-Fiscal) Note. And when you mention 10 thousand babies, being that we are a country of democracy and we don't try to regimen... or regulate birth and people are fair to raise family and have families, we encourage that, but we also encourage that they not be abused by domestic violence of any sort within our... our control."
- Mulligan: "So what you're trying to do… You're trying to codify what's in rule, so that if there is a problem they can't change it by rule. So what you're doing is you're removing the ability for JCAR to set certain costs or set rule by

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

the department and you're trying to codify something that is now currently done by rule. We don't even know..."

Washington: "This applies..."

Mulligan: "... what the new TANF is going to look like."

- Washington: "Ma'am, there's a lot of things I don't know. And you're probably correct, Ma'am, but that's not the gist of this Bill. This Amendment allows for people who have been in the country less than five years, who are presently here, to receive income assistance or medical assistance if they are victims of domestic violence. The issue is there is a group of people where there's 10, 20, 30, 100 who are being victimized, who are women and children who are being beaten and discarded like paper, but they need the protection of those of us in this room. And I'm asking for support of this Bill."
- Mulligan: "Representative, I have people that were in the committee with you who are standing here telling me that you told them in committee that what you were trying to do was codify what has been set by rule. And quite frankly, I have great sympathy for victims of domestic violence. Lord knows I've passed enough of the legislation now. What I do have a problem with right now is we're sending so many options to a Governor that has a budget that is uncontrollable at this point, that I don't think you can actually codify something that's already done by rule that can be changed, according to what our budget says, when we're not providing even for the people who have... who we owe bills to, who are going out of business, who provide

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

services to these people that aren't even gonna be able to afford to provide them. So, how can you continue to just keep adding on and on and on? And if it's already provided by for... by rule, what you are trying to do is codify it so that it can't be changed by rule."

- Washington: "Well, I... I beg to differ with you. I agree with some of your points, but once again, this Bill is a protectionist Bill. It is to protect American children who were birthed in Vietnam. A lot of them are coming back into the borders of the United States; a lot of them are finding their way into our state. They are asking for help. And what we want to do is make sure the medical assistance is available to those who are victims of domestic violence, both women and children."
- Mulligan: "Sir, we have victims of men... the mental health system, we have victims of DCFS, we have victims of any number of group of people here who we are not taking care of. And what you're doing is, besides adding people that we're not taking care of, you're expanding the base, which is already covered by rule, which allows us to be flexible according to what the budget is or if there's a cut in the budget. You're codifying something that you told in committee that that's what you were trying to do and you've expanded it beyond what the committee said. Much as I'd like to support everything that goes out of here, Lord knows... you know, if I had a checkbook like that I'd write personal checks to support all of this 'cause I'm probably one of the more tenderhearted people. But quite frankly,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

at some point we have to make decisions over what we can handle this year. We've far exceeded what we can handle. I would have a hard time supporting what you're doing here

since we're already doing it by rule."

Washington: "Well, thank you for your opinion."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes ... "

Washington: "Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "... Representative Bellock."

Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Bellock: "Representative Washington, we were discussing this in committee and you said you would hold it on Second Reading. But it seems as though it's not exactly what the spirit was in the meeting when we talked about it. In the Bill itself, lines 12 through 27 were not included during the time that we discussed this during the meeting, we don't think. Were those added since then?"

Washington: "Mr. Chairman, I can... I can hardly hear my colleague. If you..."

Speaker Hartke: "Shh."

Bellock: "We were questioning in the Bill, lines 10 through 27 on page 2, that those divisions, the nationals of Cuba or Haiti, the Amer... Amerasians from Vietnam, that these different categories from 8 through 12 were added on. And they weren't discussed during committee, I don't think."

Washington: "No, Ma'am, they were not."

Bellock: "So I think this has changed a lot."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Washington: "But these are... but this is a recognition of people who currently qualify. And if I might add, the list of people who I mentioned earlier in my presentation, nationals of Cuban, Haitians, Amerasian from Vietnam, these are people who were not originally included. And the Federal Government has saw fit to open access to include those individual nationalities who also faced with domestic violence, to include them to come up under the umbrella of protection of the United States Government. And I'm asking the codification of it. That it also be done, likewise, with those who find themselves in the borders of the State of Illinois."
- Bellock: "Representative Washington, I think that this is very different than what we discussed in the committee. And I would respectfully ask that you pull this out of the record so that we would be able to go back into committee and discuss it further. Oh, well, that we've discussed it on the floor. But there are a lot of changes..."

Washington: "Mr. Mr. Speaker."

- Bellock: "... from what we discussed at the meeting."
- Washington: "In deference to my colleague's request, I'm willing to take this out of the record for a moment to have

some off-to-the-House discussion with her concerning this." Speaker Hartke: "Okay."

- Bellock: "Thank you very much."
- Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk..."
- Washington: "You're welcome."

40th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hartke: "... take this Bill out of the record. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 2566?"
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2566 is on the Order of House Bills -Third Reading."
- Speaker Hartke: "Move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment at the request of the Sponsor. Representative Slone, are you ready on House Bill 220? 220. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 220, a Bill for an Act concerning affordable housing. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Slone, has been approved for consideration."

Hartke: "Representative Slone on Floor Amendment #1."

- Slone: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 represents a long period of negotiation between the Municipal League, the Northwest and South Municipal Conferences, and a number of other local... Metro Counties and several other local government groups to make a compromise piece of legislation that we hope can move forward. It creates a... a way for communities to plan better for affordable housing in Illinois. And I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #1? Chair recognizes Representative Monique Davis."
- Davis, M.: "Hi, Representative. Can you tell us what your Amendment does?"
- Hartke: "Sponsor will yield."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Slone: "Certainly, Representative Davis. It's actually fairly complicated. But what it does is it... it allows local governments about a year's time from the time the Bill is adopted..."
- Davis, M.: "Thank you, I remember it. Thank you very much. I... I urge a 'yes' vote. Yes, thank you."
- Hartke: "Further discussion on Floor Amendment #1? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 220?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Slone, has been approved for consideration."

Hartke: "Representative Slone on Amendment #2."

- Slone: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2 is a... a technical Amendment that makes some corrections in the larger Amendment of Floor Amendment #1, including changing the chairmanship of the Housing Appeals Board from a circuit judge to a retired circuit or appellate judge and making other technical changes. I would appreciate adoption of the Amendment."
- Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 220?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

- Hartke: "Third Reading. Representative Burke, are you ready on 3146? The Bill you passed out of committee yesterday with Amendment. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3146, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Burke, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Burke on Floor Amendment #1."

- Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd ask for the adoption of House Amendment #1. The purpose of the Act is to protect and benefit the public by setting standards and qualifications, education training, and experience for those who seek to hold the title of registered surgical assistants and registered surgical technologists in our state. I'd be happy to answer any questions."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3146? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #1 to House Bill 3146?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 22 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 3427. Representative Kelly. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3427 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Kelly, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Kelly on Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Hartke: "Please explain Floor Amendment #1."

- Kelly: "Oh, okay. Floor Amendment #1 was to make the effective date of the Bill... Withdraw the Amendment, I'm sorry. Mr. Speaker, what is the status of Amendment #3?"
- Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, what is Amendment #3? Status of Amendment #3?"
- Clerk Rossi: "Floor Amendments 2 and 3 were... were filed today and remain in the Rules Committee."
- Kelly: "Hold Amendment #1 and 2 and leave the Bill on two until... until... Second Reading until the third Amendment is out of Rules."
- Speaker Hartke: "Withdraw Amendment #1. Leave that Bill on Second Reading. Representative Hannig, are you ready on House Bill 1459? Out of the record. Mr. Turner in the chamber? On page 4 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 1102. Representative Daniels. Out of the record. On page 8 on the Calendar, on Second Reading, appears House Bill 2105. Representative Myers.

252

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Out of the record. On page 6 on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 1442. Mr. Boland. Mr. Boland. Out of the record. On page 19 on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 3047. Mr. Hannig. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3047, a Bill for an Act concerning physician assistants. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. No Floor Amendments approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hartke: "Representative Hannig. Out of the record. Hold that Bill on Second Reading. On page 23 on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading appears House Bill 3452. Mr. McGuire. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3452 has been read a second time, previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative McGuire, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative McGuire on Floor Amendment #2."
McGuire: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe Floor Amendment #2, as
soon as I find it, is subject to appropriations."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2? Seeing that no one… Representative Myers (sic - Meyer)."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Meyer: "Representative, previous to this you were very gracious in pulling this Bill out of the record because it did not...

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

the Amendment that was on it did not make the Bill subject to appropriations. Is it now subject to appropriations?"

McGuire: "That's the Amendment that we have in, Representative."

Meyer: "Thank you very much for your consideration, your help on this."

McGuire: "You're welcome."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 3452?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments?"

- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 24 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 3636. Representative Rita. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3636, a Bill for an Act regarding wages. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 10 on the Calendar, on Second Reading, appears House Bill 2374. Representative Ryg. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2374, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

254

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Representative Turner... are you ready on House Bill 524? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 524, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. House Bill 524 amends the Juvenile Court Act of 1987. It provides that persons or children under the age ... 17 years of age and older who have a petition of delinquency filed against them may be confined in an adult detention center. The reason and the rationale behind this Bill is that we have kids who have been placed in, especially in some of the downstate counties, have been placed in correctional facilities under the auspices of juvenile crime. Upon their 18th birthday they currently have to then transfer that juvenile to a adult facility. And what we're trying to allow, through this legislation, is give them the option versus... 'may' versus 'shall' in terms of moving those kids over. And that is the genesis of the Bill and the extent of the Bill. I move for the adoption of House Bill 524."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 524? The Chair recognizes Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Meyer: "Representative, you're setting that age at 17 years and over. How old can they be before you would cut that off, in terms of allowing them to stay in the juvenile?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Turner: "Representative, I didn't understand the last part of your statement. You said... could you repeat it one more time?"

Meyer: "Okay. It provides the guidelines..."

Speaker Hartke: "Shh."

- Meyer: "... in making a determination as the confinement of a person under 17 years of age in an adult facility. Is that... does that mean that... perhaps what I'm reading is just confusing to me, I'm not certain. But I just ask that you clear it up. So, 17 years of age or 18 years old, they could still go to a... an adult facility, permissive?"
- Turner: "This would make it permissive. Currently, if that 17 year old reaches his 18th birthday while incarcerated and he's in a county facility, they would have to take him out of the juvenile facility and move him to a... an adult facility. And this allows the option for local State's Attorneys to be able to keep the kid in a juvenile facility. Now, if they determine, and Amendment 1 made some clarifications, they can, in fact... they don't have to keep the kid there if they look at some other factors. One, any previous delinquent or criminal history that this kid may have had, or any previous abuse or neglect history of that individual, or any mena... mental health or educational history of the person, or both. And..."
- Meyer: "Well, what is the maximum age that that person may attain and still, on a permissive basis, be retained in a juvenile facility?"

Turner: "Under the age of 18."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Meyer: "Under the age of 18. So..."

Turner: "Right."

Meyer: "Okay."

Turner: "And so we're just allowing them to either remain in that facility until his case is finalized or... just allowing county government the ability to keep him there versus now allow... many of the counties don't have the facility to deal with a child that's turned 18."

Meyer: "Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Turner to close."
- Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe the debate fully explained the Bill and I move for the adoption of House Bill 524."
- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall... should the House pass House Bill 524?' All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 117 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3003. Representative Cross. Out of the record. On page 26 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 462. Mr. Burke. Mr. Burke. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 462, a Bill for an Act concerning the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Burke."

- Burke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act permits the district by an ordinance to establish a corporate Working Cash Fund in order to provide funds sufficient for operating expenses, such as payroll and administration. The district is permitted to issue corporate Working Fund Bonds without submitting the issue to the voters. And I'd be happy to answer any questions on the matter."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 462? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 462?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 94 Members voting 'yes', 23 Members voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 31 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3407. Mr. Forby. Mr. Forby. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3407, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "Representative Forby."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Forby: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Yesterday this Bill... the Floor Amendment went through. It was on the floor, everybody talked to it. This is a no call Bill that we passed here. Been worked on for the last two or three years. And the federal came up with the same wording that we had, so we want to come up with one set of wording. The Attorney General thought this was a good idea. So, if there's any question here, I'm gonna turn the questions over to Mr. Hoffman."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 3407?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Slone. Have all voted who Representative wish? Representative Slone. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 117 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 6 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 1442. Mr. Boland. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1442, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."

259

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On the Order of Third Reading, on page 25 on the Calendar, appears House Bill 132. Mr. Capparelli. Out of the record. On page 29 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 2552. Representative Mayes (sic - May). Mr... Representative Kelly, excuse me. Out of the record. On page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3198. Representative Mayes (sic - May). Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3198, a Bill for an Act concerning health facilities. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "Representative May."
- May: "Yes, Representative Lang is going to speak on this Bill." Speaker Hartke: "Representative Lang to present House Bill 3198."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Lang: House. House Bill 3198 deals with appeals of OIG findings. Bear with me a moment. This Bill provides for administrative appeal of any decision of the DHS Inspector General finding of abuse or neglect. It calls for the hearing to be conducted according to the Illinois Administrative Code. Apparently, what's been happening is sometimes there are findings, and no matter what the result, it ends up on someone's record with no appeal rights. All they're trying to do here is to create situations where there are appeals for appropriate parties. And I would ask your support."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Seeing that no one... Representative Black."

Black: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Black: "Representative, is this your 25th priority Bill?"

Lang: "Well, this is Representative May's Bill, Mr. Black."

- Black: "Oh, I have this sense of déjà vu. Didn't you have a Bill like this last year, identical?"
- Lang: "I don't think I had a Bill like this. But I've had a lot of Bills on a lot of topics, Mr. Black."
- Black: "Yeah, House Bill 5768 of the last General Assembly. But that's all right. I... you know."
- Lang: "The numbers sound familiar but I've had virtually every number you can have, Sir."
- Black: "In... the only... the only question I have, I notice the Bill has been amended. At one point, the Office of Inspector General and the Department of Human Services had... had registered as an opponent of the Bill. Did the Amendments take care of the departments' objections? And to tell you the truth, Representative, I don't know what those objections were."
- Lang: "And frankly, as I stand here today, Representative, I don't remember what those objections were. I don't… as I would stand here today though, I can tell you that I'm not entirely sure the Amendment resolved all of their concerns. But by the same token, they haven't really lobbied this

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Body against the Bill. So I don't think they have any major issue that we need to be concerned with."

- Black: "This came out of your hearings on the long-term care and abuse issue, did it not? The idea."
- Lang: "Yes, peripherally. This… this one issue… and as you referred to, we have hearings all over the state on issues of long-term care and mental health. This issue basically comes from the Community Behavioral Healthcare Association. And they had some concerns that their members were not getting appeal rights under given circumstances. I think the department, in committee, had some issues with some language. But frankly, I don't think it was a big concern to them."

Black: "Okay. I appreciate that, thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Lang, would you like to close? He declines. The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 3198?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Jones, the Lady from Cook, for what reason do you seek recognition? Representative Jones. You had your light on. She declines recognition. On page 28 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

appears House Bill 2531. Representative McCarthy. Representative McCarthy. Kevin McCarthy. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2531, a Bill for an Act concerning state employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative McCarthy."

- McCarthy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2531 basically talks that in state employee health insurance plans, it's permissive language that says they may include hearing evaluations, hearing aids, and the dispensing and fitting of hearing aids. The... as many of you know, hearing loss is now the third most common ailment behind heart disease and arthritis in this country. And we think that it would be a... a good fix for our state insurance policies to at least look into this. This doesn't mandate that they do it, but it does give them the option that they can look in as far as including these very valuable services. I'd ask for your support."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Black."

Black: "Yeah, will the Sponsor yield, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Black: "Thank you very much. Representative, is this just for the state... the state employees' insurance coverage or is this for all private insurance policies?"
- McCarthy: "This... this just amends the State Employees Group Insurance Act of 1971."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Black: "Okay. And so you're... excuse me, bear with me for a minute. It's mandating coverage of... of hearing evaluations and, if necessary, a hearing aid. Is that right?"
- McCarthy: "The original Bill mandated it. Amendment #1 changed the word `shall' to `may'. So it... instead of... it says it `may' include it. So it's not mandating it."

Black: "All right. I'm sorry, what?"

McCarthy: "If you look at the Amendment, it makes it very clear that it's permissive. It says that they 'may' include this in their... in their program. The original Bill said they 'shall' include it. The Amendment that we added on to the Bill says that we 'may' include it."

Black: "I'm sorry, what?"

- McCarthy: "It's a difference between the word `shall'."
- Speaker Hartke: "Shh."
- McCarthy: "'Shall' would be a mandate."

Black: "I can't hear you."

Speaker Hartke: "Ladies and Gentlemen..."

Black: "I can see your lips moving, but I can't hear you."

Speaker Hartke: "... Mr. Black... Mr. Black is having a hearing problem. Shh."

Black: "That's why..."

Speaker Hartke: "Shh."

Black: "That's why I'm interested in the Bill."

McCarthy: "I would..."

Speaker Hartke: "I understand."

McCarthy: "... appreciate if someone would give a slap on the side of the head. No, uh..."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Black: "I still can't hear you. Representative Hamos is blocking my view. All right, try it now. Can you hear me?"
- McCarthy: "This is permissive language, per the first Amendment. And I'd be happy to..."

Black: "Permissive."

McCarthy: "Permissive, correct."

Black: "Oh, I... why didn't you say that in the first place?" McCarthy: "Well, I..."

- Black: "I thought you were calling Representative May. You kept saying 'May', 'May'. Permissive. All right. I... fine, thank you. And thank you, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Hartke: "You're welcome. Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Hamos."
- Hamos: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. On a subject that's actually a little more serious than what we've been giving it, what this Bill will do is to put it into the section of the State Insurance Policy that essentially will be subject of negotiation with the Public Employee Unions. That section already says that supplemental coverages can include things like x-ray and lab expenses, prescription drugs, dental services. And now it will add hearing evaluation, hearing aids, and the dispensing and fitting of hearing aids. This is an important Bill. It really gives guidance in the process of negotiation. And is, only if the state can afford it, it is part of the… the overall package. Thank you."

265

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Mulligan: "Representative, although it says 'may' rather than 'shall', Representative... the Representative that just spoke before me made it very clear that it could now be part of union negotiations. And the Fiscal Note in here says that this would cost an additional \$5.6 million if it were part of any program. And one of the liabilities of the state, currently, with the budget deficit is the State Insurance Program that we're down. So, where are you going with it?"
- McCarthy: "Okay, the… the Fiscal Note was on the original Bill when, of course, it was a mandate. So, you're correct when you say it could cost that. Of course, that's up to negotiation. And I… I now understand that, with the Amendment, CMS does support it."
- Mulligan: "Well, we seem to have a history of not doing really well in negotiations with some unions here, as far as being able to pay for all these things. And it's my understanding if... that we wanted to add a 5 or 10 dollar a month cost to the premium of health insurance... of state health insurance, in order to cover the large deficit that we have, we could only do it for nonunion employees until the contract was negotiated again. So, if this, then, becomes part of the negotiation, is it also going to become part of what we're gonna add to additional premiums that we pay to cover helping everybody? I have no problem with

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

this as far as being something that we need to do. But it may not be something that we can do at this point. And we are really just letting these things fly out of here. So 'may' opens it up for negotiations and puts it on the table, 'shall' doesn't. So I can understand the difference there. But I will tell you from a legislative aspect, if this is part of the negotiations and 'may' becomes 'shall' and adds \$5.6 million to the insurance, I want to see a increase in premium for the unions that negotiate this."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative McCarthy to close."
- McCarthy: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I... I appreciate the last Lady's comments. I think it could be an increase but... just looking at the... the first statement that I started off with that this is the third most comment ailment in our country. I think it certainly is something that's worthy of subject and negotiation. So I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 2531?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 111 Members voting 'yes', 1 Member voting 'no', and 4 Members voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 30 on the Calendar appears House Bill 2995. Representative Nekritz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2995, a Bill for an Act concerning gubernatorial appointments. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Nekritz."

- Nekritz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House Bill 2995 addresses an issue that has the House. been in the news frequently over the last several months. And it seeks to limit abuses by a Governor of the appointments process during the last few months of his or her term. This legislation has two parts. The first part addresses appointments that are confirmed by the Senate. Bill 2995 says that if a Governor House makes an appointment during the last 90 days of his term and that appointment is confirmed by the Senate, the term of that appointee will automatically expire 90 days into the term of the new Governor. The second part of the Bill codifies a personnel rule that was also modified by our previous Governor. And this section provides for a six-month probationary period for appointees that receive a term of four years or longer. I think this is a... an... Well, this is an effort to help restore some confidence in... in state government and I ask for your support."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 2995? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, except Representative Molaro... Representative Molaro."
- Molaro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry about that. I just want to ask a question. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Molaro: "I understand what you're doing, obviously, as this'll fly out of here. But does this law say that when he makes these term appointments, so to speak, that they're... they can only be good for 90 days? Or does the term still say four years, but the new Governor comes up and terminates what he wants? See, I don't understand what the Bill says."
- Nekritz: "The… the Bill says that the term… the term of the appointee actually expires. It… it does specify that the Governor can reappoint, but the term does… the term automatically expires."
- Molaro: "So, when he reappoints it'll say 90 days, not the typical 4 years. Or will it still say 4 years? 'Cause the appointments that Governor Ryan made when he left, they were 3 or 4 year appointments. And we want to stop that, that I get. So, in other words, now when... if it were to happen with the new Governor, when he did it less than 90 days... we're just saying that it expires in 90 days. And the appointment will say that or this Bill will supercede anything that the Governor writes?"
- Nekritz: "I... I believe this Bill would supercede anything the Governor wants."
- Molaro: "Well, when it goes over to the Senate maybe we could look at it, only because I would like the people that are appointed to have something so they know it's a 90-day appointment. Because they may think, 'Oh beautiful, 4 years', and then they're gone. So see if we can write

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

something later. But thank you. Otherwise, it... obviously it's a good Bill."

Nekritz: "Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 2995?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 116 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3044. Mr. Washington, are you ready? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3044, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I thank my colleagues on the other side for the discussion. I think we have worked out the concerns. Once again, 3044, the only change that battered immigrants can get medical aid without a cash grant. The Department of Human Services estimate that there is no cost and the National Center on Poverty estimate the highest estimate would be 50 thousand. And all other categories have been included by the Rule. There is no physical (sic-fiscal) impact; there is no

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

opposition by the departments. We've talked with them. And I urge passage of this legislation. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Mulligan."

Mulligan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill..."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Mulligan: "... and to our discussion. The discussion on this Bill... the categories that are included are being codified. From my understanding, most of them are already covered by Rule. As the Representative just said, the numbers would be approximately 50 thousand more dollars for the number of people that we're not covering. I do think, and it is my personal opinion having worked in Human Services for the last 11 years, that even though TANF is not considered an entitlement program, everything that you codify, if TANF goes down, will eventually be considered an entitlement because we will be sued if we don't provide it. Ι personally will vote for the Bill because it covers constituents that I have. I have a community that has a number of immigrants and it's their to benefit. Representatives may want to base how they vote on this on the priority of whether they think this is fair, whether they think it's people that we should cover because they are victims or people who have served us in other ways, by serving our country, or they're people that they represent. But that was basically the ... the discussion that we had. And I think it's up to the individual Representatives to determine how they want to vote on this."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Delgado."

Delgado: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And I do appreciate the work that Repre Washing ... Representative Washington is ... done on this Bill... has completed on it, 'cause we know the impact is very, very minimal. These are groups of folks that served on... in our wars, helping us during the Vietnam era. These are folks that paid a huge cost, and now they're in our country waving the American flag. They're here, they live here. And if we're talking only \$50 thousand maximum, as the previous speaker pointed out, that's a penny to pay. When you talk about the love for our country, the work they provided, and the serious risk they took ... and many of them, many of them are fleeing domestic violence in their own lives. And they're in our great state, called Illinois. And so I want to take it a step further and say don't only vote because it's constituents in your district, vote because we our proud, as Illinois, to share and to know that 50 grand, after what we've done in this chamber over the last 5 years that I've been here, this isn't even a spit in the bucket. And I would ask you to search your heart and to show those folks who support us in the United States, support our armed forces, took life risk and ... in Vietnam, send 'em a nice message here in Illinois and let them know that our borders are open to them and that we care about the livelihood and the safety and their healthcare. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Bellock."
- Bellock: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Bellock: "Representative Washington, will these people now be covered under Medicaid?"

Washington: "Yes, Ma'am."

Bellock: "And were we cover... in the discussion we have found out that we were covering these people already. We weren't sure about that because they were covered under Federal Law, but we weren't sure if they were being covered under State Law. But this Bill is just to codify that they have been covered under State Law now, is that correct?"

Washington: "Yes, Ma'am."

- Bellock: "Okay. And the Department of Human Services had said that the cost would be very minimal."
- Washington: "No cost at all, Ma'am, from the Department of Human Services."

Bellock: "Okay. Thank you very much, Representative."

Washington: "Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, Representative Washington to close. Representative Washington asks for a favorable vote. All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Cl... Mr. Parke. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

record. On this question, there are 115 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 1 Member voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 6 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 3486. Representative Bailey. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3486, the Bill has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #1 has been adopted to the Bill. No further Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3316. Representative Turner. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3316, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker... Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I bring to you today House Bill 3316; 3316 does a couple of things. And I should start, first, by saying that according to the statistics from the Chicago Urban League, from the Department of Corrections, and those organizations that have been doing a lot of things in the criminal justice area, I've been informed that the largest percentage of criminals, or I should say ex-offenders released from the Department of Corrections, are released to the 9th Legislative District and, in particular, to the North Lawndale community. Over the last year the

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Department of ... of Human Services and the Department of Corrections have been trying to do some things in terms of dealing with hard-to-place persons in jobs. And as a result, I'm pushing today a program that would be specifically designed for ex-offenders that would be ran through the Department of Human Services and the Department of Corrections. This is a pilot program, and it is primarily designed to deal with those people who are very hard to place in jobs, including in particular, those people who have been released from county jails or facilities under the... under the jurisdiction of the Department of Corrections. I'd be happy to answer any questions that anyone has regarding this legislation, and I move for the adoption of House Bill 3316."

- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Black, the Gentleman from Vermilion."
- Black: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman from Effingham, it's always good to be with you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Black: "Representative, first of all, we thank you for making it subject to appropriation in this difficult year. Just a couple of questions. Is... is the legislation aimed primarily at juveniles, or is it aimed at the general population that would be released?"
- Turner: "This, Sir, par... this legislation is aimed at the general population, Representative."
- Black: "All right. Representative, I commend you on the idea. In fact, I just talked to some people who work with ex-

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

offenders in my district, particularly somebody who works with juveniles. This is the biggest problem in my district with recidivism. These people get out, come back home, maybe have been in... incarcerated for a crime that ... that is ... is not a serious felony or maybe was a misdemeanor, but the employment opportunities become severely limited. So, it's not too hard after six months to talk somebody into making a little money. You know, go with us and you stand you stand watch and so forth and so on. If you're successful in this, I'll tell ya right now ... and I intend to vote for the Bill, I can tell ya my home county would be very interested in being a pilot center for this, because this is the answer to some of the things that we debate on this floor for hour after hour. If I can get people employed, I can probably keep them from going back into the criminal that's the big problem, justice system. But and particularly in rural Illinois. So, I... I hope this Bill works, Representative, and look forward to working with you on it."

- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Turner to close."
- Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Again, I just move for the adoption of 3316. We are doing programs similar to this right now in North Lawndale, working with community-based organizations. This would only help those organizations do the things that we, in government, encourage people to do, and that is to try to pull themselves up by their own

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

bootstraps. And I move for the adoption of House Bill 3316."

- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 3316?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk ... Mr. Flower... Representative Flowers. Mr. Parke. Have all Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this voted who wish? question, there are 115 Members voting 'yes', 1 Member voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2370. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. On Supplemental Calendar #1."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2370, a Bill for an Act concerning plumbers. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. House Bill 3584. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3584, a Bill for an Act concerning counties. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. The Chair recognizes Representative Biggins. For what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Biggins: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege."

277

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "State your point."

Biggins: "On the serious events in... in the world today, there wasn't a much less serious matter than those serious matters are, there was just a... after a March of 31 days at midnight there was an elite Democratic guard that landed in Meigs Field in Chicago, proceeded to destroy a runway. Now, there were no casualties, except the runway's gone. There are some pilots that are stuck in Chicago because... I think our... the illustrious Mayor has said that the planes can go home, but has made ... made no provisions for the pilots of that aircraft. I would hope that they would take care of those fine pilots and get them to their eventual destination that they hope to fly to. But there are some serious... very serious implications of this. Meigs Field has, for years, been a major public safety hub and availability for the citizens of the City of Chicago. But the message that comes out, I think, from the Mayor of the city is very much anti-business with this closing. It's ... Boeing landed there in helicopter when they were scouting sites for their current world headquarters in Chicago. And now they... that airport's gone. Additionally, they rented a space in the city from which they try to appeal their property taxes to the state. And now there's... Bills in this chamber now that the city wants to take away their rights to appeal their property assessments to the State of Illinois. Not to mention the Tuskegee Airmen, renowned veterans of our... of our service, who offer during the entire year free rides to children from the City of Chicago

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

and the whole Chicago metropolitan region through the facilities at Meigs Field. But most important, I know that the Mayor has said that this was done to ... for purposes of public safety, but Meigs Field plays a job in Chicago right now for its citizens that includes its use during any emergencies, including all air/sea rescues, transporting critical care patients, transplant organs, and high rescue... high-rise rescue operations. Additionally, to the tremendous public safety that the airport facility has served over the years, it's an economic hub that is witnessed by the over \$450 million annually that is used ... that is spent in the City of Chicago, mostly downtown Chicago, by those... as the Mayor referred to them casually as 'businesspeople', as if that's something bad. And they spent it in the downtown area in the City of Chicago. So, I don't know what this chamber can do. Many of us have flown state planes in and out of Meigs for years. I know a Member of the other side of the aisle, many of them use the Meigs facility. I don't know if it's proper to do a Resolution. I just wish that the Mayor had done a little bit less active, maybe, and not done this as precipitously as he did. And, I don't know, just is a sad thing that's happened. And I feel bad for all those people that are hurt, unemployed, immediately unemployed. I know that the midnight workers are fine 'cause they probably got extra pay for doing that work. But after that, we're left without an airport. And Mayor Rudy Giuliani told me in an event at the planetarium a few years ago, as he looked out

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

and saw that airport he said, 'What's that?'. That's Meigs Field, that's a city airport. He said, 'I can't... we don't have anything. We have the Pan Am Building where we can land helicopters.' We've seen them on all the movies. He said, 'I'd give anything to have an airport like that.'"

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Biggins."

Biggins: "But Mayor Daley wants to close it.

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Biggins."

Biggins: "And I am gonna close my remarks, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "Thank you."

Biggins: "Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "The Chair recognizes Representative Joyce... Osterman. Mr. Osterman."

Osterman: "Joyce Osterman, that would be my future fiancé, but ah... or my future bride. But this... was that a point of personal privilege or we're able to give open speech? But a suggestion to Representative Biggins and maybe all..."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Osterman, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Osterman: "... all of us could cosign a letter to our pro business U.S. Senator Peter Fitzgerald to talk about aviation and how we can maybe look at saving Meigs Field. Just wanted to offer that suggestion, Repre..."

Speaker Hartke: "On page... on Second Reading appears House Bill 274. Representative Soto. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 274, a Bill for an Act in relation to health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Soto."

Soto: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 274 creates an inclusion of women and minorities in a Clinical Research Act. Provides that in conducting a... or supporting a project of clinical research a grantee receiving state funds must ensure that women and members of minority groups are included as subjects in research projects. This Bill provides that a grantee must conduct a... or support outreach programs for the recruitment of women and members of the minority groups as subjects in projects of clinical research and provides for exceptions. Thank you, Members of the House, and I urge your support."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Yarbrough."

Yarbrough: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Yarbrough: "Representative Soto, would you agree that it's been a common practice in scientific community to target research proposals for white males, to the exclusion of women and minorities?"

Soto: "That is correct, Representative Yarbrough."

Yarbrough: "Would you also agree that discrimination in research affects the healthcare of women and minorities?"

Soto: "That is correct, Representative Yarbrough."

Yarbrough: "Thank you. To the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Yarbrough: "I strongly support this legislation. I think that we must encourage researchers to en... encourage the

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

participation of women and minorities in projects of clinical research. We must close the gap in medical knowledge by requiring the inclusion of women and minorities. And I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Franks."

Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Franks: "Representative Soto, I understand what you're trying to do here. What's the genesis of this Bill? What brought this about?"
- Soto: "About six weeks ago myself, Senator del Valle, and Representative Delgado were out at a Latino conference out in Miami, Florida. And we had a speaker who came out and mentioned that there was an urgency for research when it comes to women and minorities in clinical research studies."
- Franks: "How much clinical research is done in the State of Illinois?"

Soto: "None at all when it comes to women and minorities." Franks: "How much dealing with other people as well?" Soto: "Only white men are included in a clinical research."

Franks: "And when the white male... when they do the clinical research, how much is... what's the dollar amount that's done, I guess, like last year for instance, if you know?" Soto: "I don't have an amount, but I can make that available to you."

282

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Franks: "Because I just don't know how... what I'm trying to find out, I guess, is how big of a problem this is. Obviously we don't want anybody excluded, but if there's not much research being done, I'm not sure what this will accomplish."
- Soto: "Representative Franks, people are dying from preventative diseases."
- Franks: "There's no question there, I just want... I just want to find that out. Also, is there any waiver in this Bill? Because all I... all I'm relying on is our analysis, and it says that any clinical research will have women and minorities included in the subjects. Are there waivers if they're dealing with certain things that only men have? You know, if you're dealing with male pattern baldness, for instance, or other things like that. Do we have waivers?"
- Soto: "No, not to my knowledge. And it's not included in this legi... piece of legislation."
- Franks: "About... I'm prepared to vote for the Bill, I just think you might need to amend it in the Senate to have some waiver language. Because I could see some real problems, unintended consequences, shall we say. Mr. O'Brien, for instance, you know, he might need that research. But, you know..."

Soto: "I'll get him a wig."

Franks: "All right. Thank you very much."

Soto: "Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Representative Black."

283

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor indicates she will yield."

Black: "Representative, I'm looking at the Bill, I don't see… what is the definition of clinical research?"

- Soto: "This is gene research, Representative Black. It's very important that we include women and minority in this research; it's very important to our community. We have a lot of people who are dying, because they don't have the right medicine for them."
- Black: "You're… you're telling me that there is no women being used in clinical research? Is that what you're stating on this floor?"

Soto: "That is correct, Representative Black."

- Black: "Ah. I wonder how... I wonder how my wife's doctor knows all about all kinds of medicines and IVs and hormonal supplements and everything that she needs to take. Where do they come up with that? Just guess?"
- Soto: "Maybe from the… maybe from the research when it's taken in the… Anglo men."
- Black: "I think they did a great deal of research on the menopausal effect of women over the last 50 years. So I... I don't think it's... I don't think it's quite fair to get up on the House Floor and say that no women are involved in clinical research. There have been clinical research studies run for years that involve women on everything from contraceptives to the change to hormonal replacement to the surgical techniques. Unfortunately, I've had some

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

experience with that, so I... I know there's a great deal of research that's been done."

- Soto: "Well, you know, Representative Black, I have spoken to pharmaceutical companies and asked them if... if they do have this research, and they said to their knowledge they're not doing women and... and minority re... they're not included in those research."
- Black: "All right. Well, let me... let me follow up on something Representative Franks asked you. There is a waiver in this Bill, you're aware of that? On page 2, Section 15. And... and it's a necessary waiver. And I think Representative Franks was on target. The waiver clearly states that the requirements established in this Bill regarding women and members of minority groups do not apply to a project of clinical research if the inclusion of women or members of minority groups as subjects in the project is inappropriate because of either one of the following: 1) the health and safety of the subjects; 2) the purpose of the research."

Soto: "Thank you."

Black: "And I... and I think what Representative Franks was saying, that without that waiver that you could flaw the data. And... but with that waiver in there and understanding... and I can't tell you when this revelation hit me but it was some years ago, I remember I was very excited at the time when I discovered there's a great deal of difference between men and women. I think I might've been... maybe 15 or 16. I don't recall the circumstances and

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

I can't remember that far back. But... but I agree with you."

- Soto: "Thank you."
- Black: "There should be a balance. I don't think that balance has always been in the proportion. And with the waiver in the Bill, that I think is extremely necessary and I appreciate Representative Franks pointing that out, I think this is a good Bill. And I know if I voted against it, I would hear from my wife when I got home. So, I intend to vote for it. But the waiver is necessary and I know they have done some research but, obviously, a great deal more of research is necessary. And as a famous Frenchman from Effingham once said, 'Viva la difference'."
- Soto: "Thank you, Representative Black. Now I know why your wife married you."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Stephens, the Gentleman from Fayette."

Stephens: "Will the Lady yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Lady will yield."

Stephens: "Representative, I wasn't gonna speak on this Bill.

I… but I just want to make sure what I heard… what I think I heard. Did you say… let me know when you're done."

Soto: "Please, proceed."

- Stephens: "Did you say that women are not used in any clinical studies, that only white men are used?"
- Soto: "You know what, Representative Stephens? They are us... they are being researched, they are included, but in certain re... illnesses."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Stephens: "What?"

Soto: "In certain illnesses."

Stephens: "Oh."

Soto: "So, they are ... they are included."

Stephens: "Okay. But... so, in certain illnesses..."

Soto: "But not in all."

Stephens: "... certain disease. Can you name one where men are not used? Or, excuse me, where women are not used. I mean, when we think cancer... How about breast cancer? Would there be women used in a study of a drug for breast cancer? Ovarian cancer. Would there be women used in a study for a drug used to treat ovarian cancer?"

Soto: "They've been... okay, they have not been including ... "

Stephens: "How about a drug for oral contraception?"

Soto: "They have not been in..."

Stephens: "No, I want answer those three questions."

Soto: "Can you repeat them again? I'm sorry, I was..."

Stephens: "Well, let's... first of all, let's determine if you and I are gonna talk. I'm sorry, I'm in a bad mood. I just found out..."

Soto: "And I am, too."

Stephens: "I just found out about another Illinois boy that's missing. And I'm kinda ticked off about that."

Soto: "Well, I'm sorry, Representative."

Stephens: "And, so I apologize if I'm a little nervous or angry, it's not meant to be towards you. I asked about ovarian cancer, breast cancer, and oral contraception. Are there women being used for any of those..."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Soto: "Yes, they are..." Stephens: "... clinical studies for those drugs?" Soto: "Yes, they are included. But they're not included in all research projects." Stephens: "Well, of course..." Soto: "That's the reason..." Stephens: "Of course not. Are there any men being used in the study of ovarian con... cancer drugs? Men in the study of drugs..." Soto: "No, they're not." Stephens: "... for ovarian cancer." Soto: "No." Stephens: "Of course not. Why is that?" Soto: "I don't know. Maybe that's a piece of legislation ... " Stephens: "It's be... it's because it's a disease that only women get." Soto: "Oh, exactly." Stephens: "Is that true?" Soto: "That is true." Stephens: "How about... how many men are used in a test study... a clinical study for drugs for breast cancer?" Soto: "None. None." Stephens: "None?" Soto: "I'm sorry, they do. They do... they do get 'em, but the numbers are low." Stephens: "Where did you get your information?" Soto: "From my attorney."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

"Representative, as far as I'm concerned, you're Stephens: probably dealing with an issue that is just not there. It's just not there. You said you talked to the pharmaceutical companies; I've talked to the pharmaceutical companies for years. As a matter of fact, I live very near to St. Louis and they are constantly recruiting men and women for clinical studies at St. Louis University, Washington University, and some other private study groups that report to a particular drug company that they're working for. The drugs that we use today for asthma, for ... let... let's pick a brand new... a brand new disease, S-A-R-S. That's a di... that's the disease that's being spread in China. Now, we have to come up... in the next two weeks we've gotta come up with a cure for a bacteria and/or virus that we don't even know what it is yet. Have you talked to the drug manufacturers as to whether they are limiting their research for that deadly drug that out of 190 people,

126 have already died? Are they only gonna use white men?" Soto: "I hope not."

Stephens: "I would think that you would know since your Bill deals with that issue."

Soto: "I don't know that. You know what, Representative?" Stephens: "Representative..."

Soto: "This is a very important..."

Stephens: "To the... to the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Stephens: "It's ridiculous to think that drug companies wouldn't take advantage of using a whole spectrum of adults

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

and children when it's... children, when it's safe. But the whole spectrum of the availability of people to do clinical studies for drugs for which they can find cures for all of the diseases and even make some money, why would they put off an opportunity to have a clinical study for which they're going to be rewarded for the risk they take and make some money? They wouldn't. It just doesn't make sense. This Bill makes less sense. I polite... I hoped I can politely stand in opposition. And again, Representative, my angry remarks, the tone of those remarks was not slanted towards you. I... I do apologize."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Delgado."

Delgado: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Delgado: "Representative Soto, isn't it true that women and minorities are undercounted in clinical studies in the... in the country?"

Soto: "That is correct, Representative Delgado."

Delgado: "And isn't it true that the Federal Department of Public Health, under the present administration, has indicated that not enough information is being emanated from doctors across this nation regarding specific diseases like diabetes, high blood pressure, ovarian cancers in women that are not coming out of communities of color and social economic classes?"

Soto: "That is correct, Representative Delgado."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Delgado: "And that information comes from a doctor named Valentine Burrows who was a diplomate doctor, Director of the New York State Hospital. Are you familiar with that?" Soto: "Yes, Representative Delgado, I am familiar with it."

Delgado: "And isn't it true that the communities themselves are responsible for trying to get more, as we say? Some folks don't wanna be getting guinea pigs to the clinical trials, and so a lot of folks are real protective of going and becoming a test case for more information. Is that correct?"

Soto: "That is correct, Representative Delgado."

Delgado: "And is it true that a woman that may need a pill to alleviate a stress in them, yet another woman may need, having the same stress, may need a different type of medication? Is that true?"

Soto: "That is correct, Representative Delgado."

- Delgado: "So, since we have such an undercount in... in information that's coming out of clinical studies, they need to compile more from minority communities and women and women of color that they don't have now. Is that what this Bill is all about?"
- Soto: "Yes, Representative Delgado, that is what the Bill is about."
- Delgado: "And is... and last question. Isn't it true that what you're saying is that the State of Illinois, anybody that's using state dollars, should include any pharmaceuticals... that are going to do research for the State of Illinois must use a cross... a cross section of the State of Illinois

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

in a paired way of equal white women, black women, Asian
women, the whole gamut. Is that true?"
Soto: "You are very correct, Representative Delgado."
Delgado: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, To the Bill."
Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Delgado: "This information the Representative brought out did come from a national conference that we attended. And we had folks there from Harvard University. And by the way, they were white males. The ... Valentine Burrows is a diplomate doctor and he's African American. The research came from the Republican Administration in Washington that compiles this... these numbers; that's the Department of Public Health at a federal level. It is clear that we're finding out that with new diseases and the growth of ... of people of color in this country, they have been underreported as to the ailments that most ... are most prevalent in their communities. And all this will do is bring Illinois to the forefront as to compiling more information and encouraging our communities to get this information in. And we're encouraging our doctors from our communities to make sure they report this information to those pharmaceuticals. But this is the ... where I'll leave at. The State of Illinois does business with it pharmaceuticals. If the pharmaceuticals are gonna provide data to the Department of Human Services or any other agency in this state, they must be able to provide information that is... shows a cross section of our women and

292

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

minorities. That's what this Bill does and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes the last questioner, Representative Monique Davis."

Davis, M.: "To the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Davis, M.: "I rise in support of this legislation. And it is so serious; we really should've done this a long time ago. It is found at a national level certain medications respond different... differently to people of color, African Americans or Latinos. So, if they're not included in the study, their medications may not be as effective with them. And it might mean that treatment for them is taking longer than it normally would, had some studies been done as to how medicines affected them. It's an excellent piece of legislation and I signed on as a cosponsor. Thank you." Speaker Hartke: "Representative Soto to close."

Soto: "Thank you, Members of the… of the General Assembly. I urge your 'aye' vote and appreciate your 'aye' vote. This is a very important Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 20... 274?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Miller. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Burke. Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 115 Members voting 'yes', 1 person voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 4 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 571. Representative Madigan. Speaker Madigan. 751, excuse me."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 751, a Bill for an Act concerning schools. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Speaker Madigan, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Speaker Madigan on Amendment #2."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 becomes the Bill. It would eliminate the position of Suburban Cook County Regional Superintendent of Schools. It would transfer all the powers, rights, duties, and responsibilities of that Superintendent to the State Board of Education. And it would specifically eliminate the Suburban Cook County Regional Office of Education and transfer all of those powers, rights, duties, and responsibilities to the State Board of Education. There would ... this would eliminate the position of Regional Superintendent and two deputies and all the staff in the office. This particular office has the following specific duties as set out in the School Code. Number one is the chief administrative officer for the educational service region. Number two, it supervises all activities of school districts in this region related to financ... financial and curriculum issues. This would do what was done for the City of Chicago in 1997 when the office was eliminated, as

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

it related to the City of Chicago. This Bill would not ... I wanna... I wanna hasten to point out that the Bill would not affect the intermediate service centers, which function in the suburban areas of Cook County. There are three of those, one south, one north, one west. The purpose of those offices is professional development of teachers, staff, and administration of the Regional Safe Schools These schools are second chance schools for Program. children who would otherwise not succeed in a traditional school environment. The cost savings for this in FY-02 would have been \$977 thousand and FY-03, a little over \$1 million in state money. Let me hasten to add that the effective date of this Bill is about five years from now, because we just went through the election of a person to occupy this office and that person will occupy the office Bottom line, this office will for four years. be eliminated, the functions performed by the office will be transferred to the State Board of Education, which was done for the City of Chicago in 1997."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Biggins."

Biggins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Biggins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this cost right now of the office, who is the one now that pays for the operating... Office of this Regional Superintendent?"

Madigan: "The State of Illinois."

Biggins: "So the cost..."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Madigan: "The State of Illinois. There's no… there's no property taxes collected."

Biggins: "Will there be any of... the duties that we transfer to the State Board of Education, is that correct?"

Madigan: "Yes. Yes."

Biggins: "And those... therefore, those expenses that the state now expends towards that office would just remain in the State Board's office. Is that the... the point of the Bill?" Madigan: "Well, the money would... the money would follow the

function."

Biggins: "Yeah. So, why is this Bill necessary?"

- Madigan: "Number one, to put the suburban areas of Cook County in the same position as the City of Chicago. To undo a mistake that was made a few years ago, because at one time the Legislature passed a Bill which eliminated the Cook County Regional Superintendent of Education. Thereafter, we came back and recreated the office for suburban Cook. My view is all of these functions ought to be done by the State Board of Education, as is the case with the City of Chicago."
- Biggins: "But shouldn't the city and suburbs have a right to equal... different standards if they so wish? And the voters, didn't they, by voting for this office, speak that maybe they wanted this office there?"
- Madigan: "My... my point is, here's an opportunity to save costs. Okay? So, there are certain functions performed in this office. Our experience tells us that these functions can be performed by the State Board of Education, because

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

they're doing it for the City of Chicago. So why don't we just take this opportunity to cut the cost of government and move these things over to the State Board?"

Biggins: "Well, have you polled the schools in the suburbs that comprise this district to see if those superintendents or those teachers or anybody wants to see this office remain currently where it is and not be turned over to the state?"

Madigan: "We have not conducted a survey."

Biggins: "Well, do you wanna... we'll give you time. We'll be back in the fall."

Madigan: "Mr. Biggins, I think this is eminently ... "

- Biggins: "You know, I happen to know the latest individual who was elected to this position. I happen to know his political party, it happens to be a Republican. Now, I haven't told anybody this, but I happen to be one, too. I wondered if there's any connection between this individual's political party and the abolition of the office."
- Madigan: "The answer is no. Now, I'm personally acquainted with the current occupant of the office. And he… one time he was a Republican Precinct Captain of the 13th Ward."

Biggins: "It's a fine place to be..."

Madigan: "And he... and he survived."

- Biggins: "It's a fine place to be from. I'm glad you noticed. Thank you."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Mitchell. Jerry Mitchell."

297

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Mitchell, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Mitchell, J.: "Mr. Speaker, this will not affect any of the other Regional Offices of Education across the state, is that correct?"

Madigan: "That's correct."

- Mitchell, J.: "And are you quite satisfied or the people of Chicago quite satisfied with... with the lack of a Regional Office of Education in the City of Chicago? Had the... had the duties and functions been performed in a satisfactory manner by the State Board of Education?"
- Madigan: "I know of no complaints concerning the provision of service from the State Board to the people of the City of Chicago."
- Mitchell, J.: "And, Mr. Speaker, do you know whether or not this is going to put maybe an undue burden on the State Board of Education because of cutbacks that they have suffered in the past? Are they neutral on this Bill? Are they for this Bill?"
- Madigan: "Mr. Mitchell, I don't want to… I don't want to report their official position. I do think that Mr. Schiller said to me verbally that he thought this was a good idea. But I don't know that they're of record anywhere."
- Biggins: "Well, I certainly understand the… the fiscal restraints that the State of Illinois is under, especially education. And I… I certainly can support this Bill,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

especially if downstate might get a share of that money that's in education and... and bring that down to us, Sir."

Madigan: "If you continue what you're doing, they'll get their fair share."

Biggins: "Thank you, Sir."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Krause."
- Krause: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, on... on this legislation, being from Suburban Cook, the current superintendent had contacted a number of us and that the state had taken over the GED functions and then returned them to the regional office. So, by eliminating this, the functions then would re... be handled in Suburban Cook by the state, the GED functions had been given back to the regional office. By eliminating the position, there will be nothing really within Suburban Cook that would highlight the GED work that has been done. Is there..."
- Madigan: "Representative, I... I think that the... the movement of the services away from Cook and then back into Cook into this particular office happened because, as I said, at one time the Legislature eliminated the Cook County Regional Superintendent of Education. But then thereafter... maybe a few months thereafter, we came back and we created the office for the suburban area. I think it was a mistake. I supported the elimination of the office and the transfer of those functions to the State Board of Education at the time. And I just think it was a mistake to recreate this office for suburban only."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- "Annually, they... the suburban office handles Krause: 20 thousand teacher certificates, which is quite a... quite a number. And that now would have to be handled by the state. It issues 315... 50 (350) building permits, which now by abolishing it, you know under the City of Chicago, I think that their Code handles the issue of schools in the city in passing compliance issues under the Chicago Building Code. However, the Suburban Cook Office was handling all of those building issues, and as I said had issued 350 building permits and did the inspections and inspected 650 buildings. Is there a place that we can be ... with this going to the State Board and now having to get them to come out and do all of these inspections that were done by the Regional Superintendent, will there be a specific place perhaps within the State Board that attention could be given to ... to these inspections? One of the issues when we did one of our Bills in committee on the importance of the inspections in handling them is to see that inspections report, the Regional Superintendent said that they do this. As I said here, they did handle a number of inspections that now they would not be doing."
- Madigan: "Representative, I would think that the section of the State Board of Education that does that work for the City of Chicago..."

Krause: "But I..."

Madigan: "... could take this on."

Krause: "Well, my understanding... but I understand that they have their own billing code that they... that they use, which

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

I think is a good idea. Here we need a Regional Superintendent, since we will not have one, that in fact takes over these operations, or at least be pinpointed in some way that... because there is so much... there's 143 school districts that in some way... that the immediacy can be given to handling all of these inspections in order to move this forward is what I'm bringing out."

Madigan: "Again, I was... I think it could be handled very nicely by the... the State Board of Education. I'd like to note that my memo reads that relative to the filing of the teacher certification documents that today the State Board handles the actual certification process, and that this regional office simply maintains the certification files. I'd just like to make that a part of the record."

Krause: "Okay. Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Molaro."
- Molaro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Hope you don't have a lot of Bills, I almost thought I was back in the Senate it got so quiet when you were presenting the Bill. There is a Bill, Mr. Spea... Will the Speaker yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "Ah, yes, Speaker will yield."

Molaro: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Molaro: "We put in a... or, I put in a House Bill 2586. The people who work for the Regional Superintendent of Cook County are not on any Pension Fund. So with the past couple of years we've had a Pension Laws Commission that

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

has passed out a Bill 2586, which allows these 20 or so employees to get into IMRF. It has passed out, the Bill is pending. It's in the Rules Committee, hopefully by the end of the... end of this Session we would look at it. But it talks about the 20 employees that have worked there for a period of five years or more who are in limbo. Cook County won't take 'em and SERS doesn't take them, but IMRF would. And it calls for them to pay into it though; there's no cost to the system. Would you be looking at that piece of legislation that maybe we could act on it before you eliminate it? Because it does say that they must be in service at the time of this Pension Bill that would allow them to have service credits for the time they have worked for government."

Madigan: "Representative, I'd be anxious to review the Bill." Molaro: "All right. Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Madigan to close."
- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 751?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. The Bill's not been read a second time. It'll have to remain

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

on Third Reading today. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 3486?"

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3486 is on the Order of House Bills Third Reading."
- Speaker Hartke: "Move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment at the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 185. Mr. Clerk. Mr. Moffitt. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 185 has been read a second time, previously. No Committee Amendments. Floor Amendment #2 has been adopted to the Bill. No Motions have been filed. No further Floor Amendments approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On the Order of Third Reading, on page 25 on the Calendar, appears House Bill 213. Mr. Turner. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 213, a Bill for an Act to abolish the death penalty. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Turner."

Turner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I first would like to start off by personally thanking each and every Member of the Judiciary II Committee for allowing this Bill to be heard today. It is indeed historic because it was some 27 years ago that we reinstated the death penalty here in Illinois. Federal Governments led the abolition movement for a very long time before... it was a great statesman from Illinois who went on to become the President of the United States, agreed with him, and even went to war to make abolition happen. Via

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

the History Channel though I learned that that President wasn't sure if he wanted to free the slaves, but he knew it was the right thing to do. It's a historic day ... it's a historic here today that we are considering a Bill that will correct an injustice that no modern, civilized society should practice. The death penalty has proven ineffective as a deterrent to crime. We know that we have sentenced innocent people to death 17 times in this state. In fact, the... of the 12 states that currently have abolished the death penalty, there has not been a decrease in crime in those states. No reforms can correct this issue. We know that capital punishment overwhelmingly impacts poor people. There were no rich people on death row. We know that an astonishing two-thirds of the inmates who are on death row in our state were African American and over 20 percent were These facts alone tell us that the current Hispanic. system is not perfect. Twenty-seven years ago, Members of this Body stated the following: Justice Greiman stated while as a State Rep. by his on admission that through... by his own admissions that through the Court of Claims that this very statute is an admission that our system is subject to error. To the new Members here, the Court of Claims is a court where people who have been wrongly incarcerated, people who have served time or... it's... it's a court where, when the state has done wrong, they find some economic remedy to resolve that remedy. The very fact that we created the Court of Claims say that we are not a perfect Body. These facts alone tell us that this system

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

is not perfect. Former Representative Bob Mann and I had the... the luxury of going back and pulling out the transcript of this debate 27 years ago. Bob Mann said ... he described the capital punishment system as a lethal lottery with the poor and blacks being the losers. Since that time we have created another lottery and they're still the losers, too. Former Senator Leonard, and I don't know where Leonard was from, but he observed that the only reason he can think that people would vote 'yes' on a death penalty Bill is that it might get me reelected. 'And then I have the interesting dilemma', he said, 'should I order people to death or just get reelected?' And unfortunately, I've heard this from some of you and was surprised. Others stated that they heard about a reform package that was coming before this Body. Let me state for the record, capital punishment cannot be reformed. You cannot reform killing any more than you can reform slavery. Practices that violate the most basic of human rights must be abolished. Putting a person to death is not justice; it is vengeance and it demeans us all. As late as yesterday afternoon I was approached by a Member who wanted to know if I was going to call this Bill. For whatever reason, that person stated that they wanted to support the Bill to abolish. This convert joins about 40 Members that stated that they would support this Bill, still short of the 60 required votes. But in horseshoes is the only place where clo... where close counts. The St. Louis Post-Dispatch ran a poll approximately a month ago. And less than 25 percent

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

of the public stated that the death penalty Bill would be a factor in deciding if they would vote to reelect a Member. In the gallery today we have former Member... a former Member, State Representative John Dunn. John was around when the Bill was ... when we brought the death penalty back. He, in fact, voted some 8 times since the Bill was ... death penalty was reintroduced and was never defeated as a result of voting against that Bill. And John, we commend you for your stance and willingness to do the right thing. It is my belief, and with further education on this issue, that I believe we can move fu... move closer towards abolishing the death penalty. Mr. Speaker, it would not be fair at this time to force Members to make a vote on an issue that is not going to pass. Many of you have stated that you wanted to give reform a chance. Others are fearful of campaign retributions. It is for this reason that I'm not going to ask for a Roll Call today. I've asked the supporters of the Bill to help me put a full court press in educating other Members and the Governor of the wrong we commit via the death penalty. I am going to work with the sponsors of the reform package to see if we can put in place yet another commission to further study reform measures and the impact it has had on... and the impact that these measures will have on the criminal justice system. While I'm not very good at picking lottery numbers, and last weekend it was obvious that I'm not good at picking basketball teams either, I am sure and I venture to say that when all is said and done and when that we reevaluate these reform pa ...

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

measures that will be implemented, and maybe my seatmate Ken Dunkin might still be around when that happens, and who knows, even the Deputy Majority Leader Capparelli may still be around... and if they're here they'll be able to stand up and say that Art Turner said here on this floor today that reform is not going to help or going to correct the ills of the capital punishment system in this state. And I know that Ken'll be here to testify to that fact; I don't think I'll still be around. But for sure, there are four things that are gonna... that we will know has not changed. And in Texas they refored... they referred to it as the four R's. One is that the fact that race will not change... the impact that the death penalty has on race today will not change four years from now. The region of the state that that person who gets a... gets sentenced to death is not going to change. Currently, if you commit a crime in rural Illinois you are ten times more likely to serve the death penalty than someone from Cook County. Thirdly, we're going to find that poverty is a main factor in terms of those people who get sentenced to death. If you're rich, you don't go to the death penalty. In fact, you very rarely go to jail. And if you look at what's going on with ENRON, they spend little time and still become millionaires. And lastly, the role of competent attorneys will not... will not change. In fact, we're hoping that ... we know that this makes a difference, but those three R's, race, region of the state, the fact that they're rich, or I should say the lack of money, and... and irresponsible attorneys are not going to

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

change by these reform measures. Ladies and Gentlemen, I say that life without the possibility of parole is a much fairer and a more hu... humane way for a civilized society to treat our misquided citizens. is a This sentence sufficient and seve ... severe penalty that allows the state to punish homicide without risking irreversible error and without participating in homicide itself. In 1967, at the Riverside Church in New York, Dr. King stated that we should always avoid violence and further stated that we can no longer afford to worship the god of hate or bow before the altar of retaliation. We now find ourselves at a similar crossroad in Illinois. He also said, and I close, 'Courage asked the question, is it safe? Vanity asked the question, is it popular? Politics asked the question, is it expedient? But conscience asked the question, is it right?' Mr. Speaker, I ask that we take the Bill out of the record today and that we will not lay this measure to ... to sleep. But we are not going to call it now and try to work to see if the reforms, for those who believe that the reforms will make a difference, make a difference. And I'm telling you ... "

Speaker Hartke: "Mr..."

Turner: "... that we will be back again with this issue. And I, again, just let the Members know that we should continue to discuss this issue. It's understandable that one believes that if somebody does something to you personally or does something to your family, it's... your first natural reaction is to retaliate. Retaliation is not the answer, Mr.

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker. And I propose that life without parole... life without a possibility of parole is a much better sentence for us here in this state. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, take this Bill out of the record. Mr. Parke, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Parke: "I have an inquiry of the Chair."

Speaker Hartke: "State your inquiry."

- Parke: "If you're going to allow this Gentleman to present this, why not allow some further discussion on the issue? To allow him just to be able to say that his point of view is well articulated and his point of view is certainly valid, but there are other points of view that I think we ought to hear about. And just to pull it out of the record without allowing the... another's point of view, I don't know if that's necessarily the right thing to do."
- Speaker Hartke: "Mr... Mr. Parke, that's Sponsor's prerogative. There was a Bill on the board. On page 11 of the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 2574. Representative Giles. Representative Giles. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2574, a Bill for an Act concerning municipalities. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 9 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 2257. Mr. Hannig. 2257. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

309

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2257, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education student assistance. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. No Floor Amendments approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 22 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3341. Mr. Miller. 3341. Out of the record. On page 24 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 3673. Representative Molaro. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3673, a Bill for an Act concerning the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page Second Reading appears House Bill 2873. Representative Nekritz. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2873, a Bill for an Act concerning townships. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 23 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 3468. Mr. Scully. Mr. Scully. Mr. George Scully. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3468, a Bill for an Act concerning antitrust. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

#2 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. No Floor Amendments approved for consideration."

- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 7 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 1751. Mr. Sacia. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1751, a Bill for an Act in relation to special districts. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Sacia."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Sacia: This Bill is a very unique Bill and it applies House. directly to the Village of Byron, Illinois. Years ago, the library district sold bonds that purposely placed the money they received from those bonds in an interest reserve fund. Their intention was to allow the interest from the money to be used for pay for library expenses at a later date. A rather ironic thing happened, since that time the General Assembly passed local government and governmental employees' Tort Immunity Act that bars the library from doing what was originally intended. This Bill fixes an unintended consequences of that Act and allows the library to transfer money that they thought they had wisely invested to pay for library expansion. Should this Bill not pass, the library will raise the tax levy to pay for the library. There have been those that have argued that this Bill is special legislation. I've had the good fortune of having our staff be in contact with experts on special legislation, and it's been determined that this language would surely pass a constitutional challenge

311

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

because special legislation is allowable if it has a rational basis. In other words, is there a unique reason why this particular unit of government is affected? And yes, there is. In this Bill's case, of all of the public library districts in the state, Ladies and Gentlemen, of each and every one, this is the only district that has millions of dollars in this fund. It's because it's the only district in the state where the Board of Trustees specifically deposited money for the express purpose of allowing it to grow with interest. In other words, they simply made a mistake. They put money in a wrong account. Later on, the General Assembly passed legislation, which has hindered them. And I would ask for your 'yes' vote specifically for the Village of Byron, Illinois. Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen."

- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Mitchell. Jerry Mitchell."
- Mitchell, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Mitchell, J.: "Representative Sacia, does... does your Bill allow the money just simply to be transferred or does it allow a vote of the people to allow that transfer?"

Sacia: "The former, Sir. And... and it..."

Mitchell, J.: "The former."

- Sacia: "It is... it is specifically ... "
- Mitchell, J.: "Is it a farmer Bill?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Sacia: "No, it... it would not require a vote of the people. The money... it... it's a specific purpose for either adding on to their existing library or building a new library. And it would be for a specific amount of time, one year or less."
- Mitchell, J.: "Okay. Well, Representative, I had a Bill last year that was very similar to this. This ... in my situation we ... we had a community within a community that had a situation with their septic systems that could not continue the way they were. The ... the Health Department was not going to allow any more septic systems simply because the houses were too close together. And this Body allowed legislation for that particular community, although it was a statewide situation, it was found that in the Road Fund in Sterling Township there was enough money to transfer to the Sewer and Water Department in order to help, along with some grants that they'd received, to put a sewer system in for that particular portion of the community. That legislation was subject to a vote of the township. But I'm happy to report that that township voted overwhelmingly to allow the money to be transferred and for this particular project to move forward. So, even though you don't have that... that caveat in there, that the people vote on it, I still think this is probably something that's been researched by the community and it would be accepted by the community simply because your tort fund has enough money in it, so that those particular instances where the tort fund would be needed, the money's still there. Certainly,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

libraries are the focal point of many communities, especially the size of that particular community."

- Sacia: "I think the difference, Mr. Mitchell, is the fact that this community specifically put this money away for the future expansion of the library district. And I believe, if I understand your situation, that was not necessarily the case. They simply needed money and moved it from point A to point B. This was a matter where the money was put in for a specific reason. That reason has now arrived, that time has now arrived. And it was the intention of the voters way back when to use this money for this particular purpose. And it simply got put into a wrong Bill, requiring your time and my time to now straighten out a mistake."
- Mitchell, J.: "So, you're quite comfortable with the fact that the... the voters of that particular community are hoping that this legislation moves forward and that that can... that library can be built."
- Sacia: "That's correct. And you, as my cosponsor, I would assume also would feel that way, Sir."
- Mitchell, J.: "Well, I'm just counting on your understanding of the community, Sir, to keep us both in this chamber. Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a good project. Byron is a very forward-thinking community. And, as I said before, the library many times becomes the focal point of the community. Byron is blessed with... with many good attributes. But certainly a good library for their

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

children, for the adults in that community is well worth this change. I recommend an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Moffitt."

Moffitt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Moffitt: "Representative, if... if this legislation is to pass, you did indicate that the community would... intends to build the library regard... that is their objective, their intent, even if they don't have this money. Is that right?"
- Sacia: "That... either adding on to the existing one, Representative Moffitt, or building a new one, yet to be determined."
- Moffitt: "So, if they can use the existing funds, aren't we actually saving... wouldn't you actually be saving the taxpayers' money in this area if they could use funds that have already been collected, rather than have to collect new taxes?"
- Sacia: "Absolutely. That... that is the purpose of it, to prevent having to raise taxes for a library."

Moffitt: "So this could prevent raising taxes..."

Sacia: "It will."

Moffitt: "... use existing funds. Failure to pass this could actually cause a tax increase."

Sacia: "That's correct."

Moffitt: "And this applies only to your area?"

Sacia: "Specifically to the Byron library district, yes."

Moffitt: "One time, one year transfer."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Sacia: "One time, one year, and then it's done with."

Moffitt: "I think it's an excellent piece of legislation. Should receive a 'yes' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Sacia to close."

Sacia: "I would appreciate an `aye' vote on this matter. Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 1570... or 1751?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk... Mr. Mr. Clerk... take the record. On this Bill Mitchell. question, there are 101 Members voting 'yes', 11 Members voting 'no', and 5 Members voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 506. Representative Bailey. Out of the record. On page 31 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3695. Representative Hamos. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3695, a Bill for an Act in relation to mental health. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Hamos."

Hamos: "Thank you, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is an initiative that we voted on last year and passed unanimously in the House, which has to do with these institutions for mental diseases which are a group of nursing homes in Illinois that are not able to capture even

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

one dime of Medicaid funding for the mentally ill adults who reside there. The Federal Government prohibits it. This Bill creates the mental health initiative, which would, in a very modest and moderate way over the next four years, have the state evaluate 250 people a year in these 29 nursing homes and to help them move into community-based settings. This would help us with implementation of the very important Olmstead Supreme Court decision. And it's a cost-effective way for us to provide community-based services for persons with mental illness. I'm open for questions and seek your support."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 3695?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the re... Mr. Clerk... have all voted who wish? Mr. Parke. Mr. Wirsing. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 98 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 17 Members voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 25 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 344. Representative Jakobsson. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 344, a Bill for an Act concerning higher education. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "Representative Jakobsson."

317

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Jakobsson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill creates a Higher Education Institution Crime Statistics Act. It provides that the Auditor General would conduct and report the results of an audit of a sample of not less than six institutions of higher education in Illinois that receive federal student aid to evaluate the accuracy of the institutions' statistics and the procedures used by the institutions to gather and identify and track that data for publishing and disseminating and reporting accurate crime statistics on our campuses where we're sending our young people to get their education."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Black: "Representative, I know you and I have discussed this Bill. When it got out of committee, I thought we were gonna hold it for an Amendment, but as I recall you... you talked to me and said the Amendment just couldn't get worked out."
- Jakobsson: "I did... I checked on that, I did approach you, Representative. They... request in the committee was that I talk with the Attorney General to see if they would do the audit, rather than the Auditor General. And the Auditor General's Office, in a memo from March 12, the General's legal counsel states that the office routinely tests an agency's compliance with various statutory requirements

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that are applicable and that compliance required in this Bill is within the scope of their authority."

Black: "Representative, I thank you because I think you did make a good faith effort to amend the Bill, as we had discussed in committee. And I do appreciate that. And we appreciate the fact that you've... you've kept us informed of your efforts. And we certainly can understand, even the best faith effort sometimes just doesn't work around here. Mr. Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Black: "Very briefly. And again, I... I commend the Sponsor for trying to work with the concerns that were expressed in... in committee. I think she made a good faith effort to address those concerns, but simply wasn't able to ... to reach agreement with all parties on a potential Amendment. The only concern I have and the only reason that I rise in opposition to the Bill, that I expressed in committee, you're asking the Auditor General to interpret crime statistics and release that information to the General Assembly. My... my concern is that the Auditor General should be doing fiscal audits. That's... that's his ... currently Mr. Holland, that's his primary job, not interpreting criminal statistics. And I know that many of us have had concerns and the Auditor General often doesn't get the time to audit agencies as ... as frequently as many of us would like. I think this this takes the Auditor General away from his primary task. Further, as staff pointed out to me, the... the Bill is ... is duplicative of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Federal Law. And I have to quote here what staff told me, 'The Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Crime Statistics Act, U.S. Section 1092-20, Campus subsection (f).' That is a Federal Law that requires the Federal Government to collect these crime statistics and And in fact, they can be found on the release them. Internet. So, I think the requirement to have this information replicated by the Auditor General, who's... who's ... I just have an honest disagreement with the Sponsor on this issue. I think the Auditor General should... should do the fiscal audits that this state needs. And I know many people on the Democratic side of the aisle over the years have also expressed that concern, that we need more fiscal audits, not less, more timely fiscal audits. I had suggested to the Sponsor that the Attorney General probably should be the office to compile these statistics and ... and because of the transition and other things that's just not ... it's just not feasible at this point. And... and last but not least, I think the very existence of this Bill somehow, to me, my perception, is that it indicates that the universities are not being honest and upfront with the criminal statistics that they are required to keep by Federal Law. The University of Illinois recently released their statistics and I'm gonna tell ya, Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Urbana-Champaign campus they were not complimentary. In fact, it showed a tremendous increase in assaults, rapes and attempted rapes, burglaries. It was not a complimentary report whatsoever. And so, the fact

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that these reports are available on the Internet, under Federal Law, seems to me to be sufficient. And I simply stand in opposition, because I... I want the Auditor General to concentrate on fiscal matters and fiscal audits. That's his job, he does it very well. That office has always done it well, but could do more if they had more manpower or personpower and budget resources, I'm sure. So, it's for that reason and that reason only, I stand in opposition to the Bill. I simply think the Auditor General should not be burdened with trying to interpret criminal statistics that are already collected by the Federal Government."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Jakobsson to close."

Jakobsson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In our committee there was a Member who spoke up and said that he had worked at one time at one of our state universities and said that there was great temptation to make, sometimes, these crime statistics different from what they actually are. We want to make sure that we provide the accurate and correct information to our families, to this Body, and to the students who are going to be attending the universities of the State of Illinois. Also, the Auditor General did say that this is in compliance with various requirements and applicable with some of the... the scope of their authority.

Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 344?' All in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who

I please ask for your vote of an 'aye' on this Bill."

321

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 73 Members voting 'yes', 42 Members voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3082. Representative Joyce. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3082, a Bill for an Act in relation to procurement. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Joyce."

- Joyce: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3082 is a prevailing wage provision Bill that would include... state collectively-bargained agreements to be included in la... in the formula that the Illinois Labor Relations Board uses. It also does add, as we talked about yesterday, three different... three different services to prevailing wage. I know that the Gentleman from Vermilion had some questions, I believe we've addressed most of those. I'd ask for an 'aye'... 'aye'... 'aye' vote and I would welcome any questions."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Parke: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I think most people here have somewhat of an understanding on how they're gonna vote on this legislation. But let me tell ya in the real world what's gonna happen. The Sponsor is well intended. He

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

believes that if you increase the minimum wage that you'll give people more money to live their lives on. But I will tell you, in fact, it's gonna be opposite. What's gonna happen is you're gonna raise the minimum wage, employers who have to hire people, especially in fast food businesses, but in... that deal with employment, will find out that they have less money to spend on employment and they, in fact, will not hire additional people because the payroll..."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Parke."

Parke: "... will be higher."

Speaker Hartke: "Are you speaking about the right Bill?"

Parke: "I'm sorry?"

Speaker Hartke: "Are you speaking to the right Bill?"

Parke: "Oh, we… excuse me. Thank you. I appreciate that. I thought it was the minimum wage. So, thank you. Now I've told you the reasons why we shouldn't support this, now tell me… no. Let me…"

Speaker Hartke: "Still want to address the Bill?"

Parke: "Give me a minute. Give me a minute. You can go to someone else. I'll be back, if you'll give me a minute." Speaker Hartke: "There is no one else. Mr. Parke.

Representative Black."

Black: "Where are we, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Hartke: "Excuse me?"

Black: "Where are we?"

Speaker Hartke: "Well, I know exactly where I am. Do you know where you are?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Black: "If you know exactly where you are, it'd be the first time in the last month, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Hartke: "True."

Black: "Are we... are we asking questions?"

Speaker Hartke: "Yes."

Black: "Oh, good."

Speaker Hartke: "The Bill is under discussion."

Black: "Oh, good. Can I ask a question?"

Speaker Hartke: "If that's what you would like to do."

Black: "I wouldn't like to do it, but I... I'll proceed and ask one anyway. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "You're welcome. The Sponsor will yield."

Black: "Representative, I really don't have a question. I... number one, I just want to publicly thank you. You took this Bill out of the record the other day. You made a very good faith effort to work with our staff. This is a very difficult Bill to try and... and fully understand. Ι appreciate the fact that... that you... that you gave us a worksheet from AFSCME. And I just ... I'm gonna vote 'present' on the Bill. But you made a good faith effort far above and beyond what you had to do to work with us, and we do appreciate that. The only thing that concerns me about the information that you gave me from the AFSCME Council 31 political director is ... and I... I was dealing with an outside contractor who would cut the grass outside of the prison walls. And he... and he says currently it would be difficult to determine what the prevailing wage for groundskeepers would be in Danville, as a result of 3082.

324

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

We do know the prevailing wage for unearned ... unarmed security quards outside Cook County is as low as 7.50 an hour. The prevailing wage for custodians in Vermilion County starts at \$8 an hour. It goes on to say if the wages of janitors and security quards is any quide, the prevailing wage for groundskeepers would not become prohibitive under House Bill 3082. Contractors... and this is the sentence why I'm gonna vote 'present'. Contractors should be able to absorb the increased labor cost by, for example, reducing their profit margin. When... when you get a ... an individual who's working as hard as some of these groundskeepers that I know, many of them immigrated to this country, they started out with maybe one lawnmower that they pushed. They worked their way up to a pickup truck, a utility trailer, two or three pieces of equipment. They hire a couple of employees, and they're very competitive in their pricing. They have to be, there's a number of people out there seeking this kind of ... seeking this kind of work. I doubt seriously whether these small landscape contractors who get a contract for maybe it's 8.50, maybe it's 9 dollars an hour, I don't know, could reduce their profit if, and this doesn't say 'shall', it's 'if' the prevailing wage were to go into effect. Obviously, it could be much higher. And... and the Gentleman closes... and I... I do appreciate... I'm not arguing with his interpretation. I appreciate the fact that you got this for us. He closes by saying, 'Moreover, if the legislation posed a serious problem for DOC facilities, then the Department of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Corrections would have raised objections to the Bill and they have not done so.' Ladies and Gentlemen, in all due respect to the Gentleman who... who gave us this information, and we do appreciate it, we've had a very difficult time getting anything concrete out of the agencies in this transition period. I do know enough about the correctional center in my district and let me make it very clear, the warden and any of the administrative offices would not talk to me about this issue. I don't know whether they were told not to or whether they just didn't think it was the right thing to do. I do know, from having been in those institutions as a guest, not an inmate, they're given 'X' number of dollars to maintain their facility. And you and I have no quarrel. It's inside work and I know where this Bill came from and I would join you in that. I was as staunch an opponent of privatization of food service as anybody in this chamber. Was outraged at the way it was done in the dark of night, we never saw the figures. I don't think we'd have saved a nickel. Ohio had that and withdrew their contract. Florida did it and fined the private contractor for food service a half million dollars in the first 90 days of the operation. So, I don't think we'd have saved any money. And I don't have a problem with prevailing wage on the inside of the facility. But, as you and I have discussed, groundskeeping, a contractor to come in a reseal the asphalt parking lot once every three or four years, there's a finite amount of money the institution has to do that. And until the budget crisis

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

gets solved, it's less money than ever. So, I don't… I'm not sure that your Bill calls for prevailing wage for such contracts. I really don't know. But because I don't know, I'm gonna vote 'present'. And I'm not about to get up here and rant and rave about the concept of the Bill, because you have bent over backwards to be fair and give us every opportunity to see if we could really get a handle on this Bill. Many of us have just not satisfied that we fully understand the Bill. It's for that reason, and that reason only, I intend to vote 'present'."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, Representative Joyce to close."
- Joyce: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do appreciate the comments from Representative Black. And to that end, I would further look for language over in the Senate that may satisfy issues regarding prevailing wage outside the facility where's there's no contact with inmates. I would ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, `Shall the House pass House Bill 3082?' All those in favor signify by voting `yes'; those opposed vote `no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 77 Members voting `yes', 15 Members voting `no', 23 Members voting `present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Brauer, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Brauer: "My button didn't work on that one. Show me as a 'yes' vote, please."

Speaker Hartke: "The Journal will reflect your wishes. On page 28 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 2526. Representative Osterman. Representative Osterman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2526..."

- Speaker Hartke: "Out of the record. Mr. Osterman, do you want to move that Bill back to Second Reading? Out of the record. Okay. On page 27 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, ap... appears House Bill 2572. Representative Saviano. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2572, a Bill for an Act in relation to property. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Saviano."

- Saviano: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 2572, as amended, is... is cleanup to the Illinois Cemetery Protection Act. What it does is clarifies the meaning of affidavit of heirship in the Act. This is in... in agreement with the Illinois Comptroller's Office, the Illinois Cemetery Association. It's simply a small technical change and I would ask for your favorable vote."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 2572?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

question, there are 115 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 27 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 1352. Mr... Representative Yarbrough. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1352, a Bill for an Act in relation to human rights. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Yarbrough."

Yarbrough: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Currently, many property owners do not accept tenants with legal sources of income such as Federal Section 8 Vouchers, Social Security, assistance for persons with disabilities, alimony, or child support. This form of discrimination is largely based on fears and prejudgments about characteristics of low-income and disabled people, and for most, the concentration of poverty in already distressed areas. By prohibiting discrimination in housing based on source of income, Illinois would help deconcentrate poverty, increase the access to low-income people have to job opportunities, and allow maximum flexibility in neighborhood and housing choice. Prohibiting discrimination based on source of income would continue to allow landlords to screen applicants, conduct credit checks, and require tenants to meet full rent payments. As long as low-income people continue to be housed in poor ghettos where crime is high and schools are below standard, their chances of breaking the cycle of poverty will be severely limited. Safe and

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

affordable housing should be a basic human right. When the Federal Government fails to implement pragmatic solution to the housing crisis, state leaders must come forward with their own creative policies to address the need for decent housing. I would be happy to answer any questions."

- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, `Shall the... Representative Black."
- Black: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Black: "I'll try to be as brief as I can. I used to be in the rental property business; I got out of it a long time ago. This Bill is a very far-reaching piece of legislation. I'll do my best to be brief and try to tell you why I stand in opposition to the Bill. When you're in the rental property business, many sources of income are judgment proof. I can't I couldn't get a wage deduct or a garnishee from a SSI check. I couldn't get any rent money from a child support check. And I could not attach any money from a public assistance check. This creates some added risk for the property owner, the person who bought the house, who renovated the house, who makes it available in the rental market. Now, what this Bill really does is to create a civil rights violation. If I as a... if I, as a landlord, choose not to participate in the Section 8 Housing Program, my choice, I just don't wanna participate in the program, I can be charged with a civil rights

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

violation. And let me tell you why a lot of landlords will not participate in a Section 8 program, and they own the property. As... as far as I know, there's still some private property rights in this country. A Section 8 tenant lease is drawn by the Federal Government. It's 12 pages, it's a third party contract, and this contract supercedes any lease that I may have as the owner of that property. My lease is no longer valid. Every tenant whose application passes muster, when they see the property and they find the place acceptable and they sign the Section 8 lease, I am forced then into this contract, whether I want to be or not. It requires a one-year lease. Many of us who have been in the rental property business ... and I said, I'm not in the business anymore and haven't been for a long, long time. I used to have month-to-month leases, a perfectly acceptable arrangement in any court of law. But when all is said and done, my last and my greatest concern... and this was ... this was relayed to me yesterday by a constituent of mine who is a ... a landlord and has a considerable number of rental properties, and I think he says it better than I. 'Admittedly, my greatest concern with this Bill is that I know of no existing precedent where a government agency, in this case the State of Illinois, will require an individual or a business to enter into a business arrangement with another government agency, in this case the Housing and Urban Development, where said government agency dictates 'all' of the terms of the agreement. It seems to me that private property rights are the cornerstone on which this

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

country was founded. And as a property owner and a taxpayer who pays property taxes,' this is not me speaking, this is a landlord in my district, 'on several pieces of property, I simply object to this kind of erosion of my private property rights. And a government entity telling me, in this case the State of Illinois, should this Bill become law, telling me I must do business with an agency of the Federal Government, regardless of whether I find the lease arrangement and the terms of the contract satisfactory or not. And if I refuse to do so, I will be held in violation of the Civil Rights Act.' I... I think it's fundamentally flawed when you require that of a private property owner. There are thousands of property owners who enter into Section 8 agreements in this state everyday. Some of ... some of the landlords enjoy it, some have converted units to Section 8, and that's fine, that's their choice. But this Bill can give the private property owner no choice in the matter. And as... as I interpret, what we were founded on 230-some years ago, that's wrong. It violates the spirit and the intent of the United States Constitution. And I cannot, in good conscience, vote for this Bill."

- Speaker Hartke: "This Bill is on Short Debate. The Chair recognizes Representative Soto."
- Soto: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I sta… I rise in support of this piece of legislation, House Bill 1352. Thank you, Speaker and Members of the House. I am very proud to be a cosponsor of House Bill 1352, along with

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Representative Yarbrough and me... and my other colleagues. Our Federal Government has spent a great deal of time and money to create programs that help low-income and disabled individuals find affordable, accessible, and safe housing. Unfortunately, landlords are still free to discriminate against individuals based on their source of income. This piece of legislation does not cost the state any money. Ιt simply makes the most of our federal dollars, because it does not allow landlords to discriminate against our neediest citizens. This Bill asks Illinois to protect the intent of these programs and to stop discrimination of lowincome individuals. I have received many calls and letters of support for this Bill. And not only from my district but throughout the State of Illinois, maybe even from your district. I am asking you today to please find it in your heart and support this wonderful piece of legislation. Ι thank you for your support and I thank you for the... for the residents of the State of Illinois. And I urge your support on this Bill. Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Mr. Parke, for what reason do you seek recognition? This Bill's on Short Debate."
- Parke: "Mr. Speaker, point... inquiry of the Chair. How many people are wanting to speak on this?"
- Speaker Hartke: "For Short Debate, the Sponsor of the Bill introduces it. There's one respondent, one people… person speaks for it, and then the Sponsor to close."

40th Legislative Day

Parke: "Well, then I would like to take it off Short Debate. I think this is an extremely important..."

Speaker Hartke: "To..."

Parke: "... piece of legislation."

Speaker Hartke: "To Standard Debate. Do you hear the required... I see the required number of hands. Proceed to your questioning."

Parke: "Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Are you opposed to the Bill?"

- Parke: "Thank you. What is a... well, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield. You'll be speaking in response."
- Parke: "Thank you. Representative, what is a civil rights
 violation?"

Yarbrough: "A civil rights violation?"

- Parke: "Yes. I mean, your penalty is a civil rights violation. So what is the penalty?"
- Yarbrough: "That's a pretty broad term, Representative. I'm not a lawyer and I don't have it in front of me."
- Parke: "You're going to allow, under your legislation, to have people who own property be charged with a civil rights violation and you don't know what it is? And you're gonna put this on people in this state and you don't know what it is?"
- Yarbrough: "What are... what are you trying to get to, Representative?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Parke: "What I'm trying to get to is this is not a good Bill. And you charge people with a civil rights violation is a pretty serious thing. I would like to know what it is."

Yarbrough: "Representative, it shall be an unfair practice and unlawful to make any distinction, discrimination, or restriction against any person..."

Parke: "What is the penalty, Representative?"

- Yarbrough: "... in the price, terms, conditions, or privileges of any kind relating to rental real estate use for residential purposes."
- Parke: "That is not what the penalty; that's what the violation
 will be."
- Yarbrough: "You want to know what ... "
- Parke: "What is the penalty?"
- Yarbrough: "I don't know. It... it's probably dependent upon what the person does. I can't really a... answer your question unless you present me with a situation."

Parke: "Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Parke: "We have a Sponsor of a... of a serious Bill that's gonna change the property rights of the people of this state, and she doesn't even know what the penalties will be that will be charged to the people who are breaking this law, if it ever becomes Public Act. Ladies and Gentlemen, the Illinois Realtors Association has said that they are strongly in opposition to this because it's perfectly clear, under this Bill, that if you deny a tenant based solely on the fact that you do not wish to participate in

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Section 8 program you are guilty of a civil rights violation. Now, last time I checked the civil rights violation is a pretty serious thing, and it oughta be serious if people breaking the law. I would say that the Sponsor doesn't even understand what we're gonna be putting on people in this state. This is not the way to do it. I think this is draconian and I would ask that the Sponsor pull this from the record and come up with something that's more reasonable that she can compromise with the real estate community and with the people who own property in this state. This is... this is a serious Bill. And the penalties could really cost people lots of money. So, if this becomes public Act and people who own property come to you and wonder why did you vote for something like this, when they didn't intend to break any law, but all of a sudden they are charged with it. And as we know, people deliberately go out and try and get people to break law by challenging them. I don't think that that's what we want to see in this state. I would respectfully ask the Body to either vote 'present' or 'no' on this Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "This Bill is on Standard Debate. We've had two people speak in response and one speak... person spoke in favor of the Bill. We will have one more person in response and one more person speak in favor of the Bill. We have Mr. Kelly, Mr. McKeon, Mr. Molaro, Mr. Mathias, Mr. Leonard, and Mr. Washington. Representative Kelly, do you want to speak in favor of this Bill?"

Kelly: "Yes, I do. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Molaro?"

Molaro: "Actually, just wanted to ask a question of clarification 'cause there's one part of the Bill I don't understand. So I don't know what that makes me."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Kelly."

Kelly: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Kelly: "I think there's a mixed perception about the Bill. Because if you have a voucher holder come to rent from you, you would treat that voucher holder just like you would treat the market rent person. You would do the same interviews, the same exact thing that you do. And if you find that this person is not a person you want to rent to, you don't have to rent to the person. It just cannot be solely on source of income. If a market person comes to you and a voucher holder comes to you, you can rent to the market renter. It's not forcing you; it's just asking you to be open to renting to the voucher holder. But you send them to the same interviews and the same checking that you do a market rent person. And also, as far as enforcement, when there are fair housing laws that are broken now and it's found out, enforcement... the consequences can be negotiated. It's not... there are some fines, but it's not like you're going to jail or anything like that. They try to negotiate with you to get a settlement and encourage you to rent to people that maybe you didn't rent to because of familiar status or race or gender."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Representative McKeon, are you in response? Representative Mathias? The Chair recognizes Representative Mathias."

Mathias: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Representative yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Mathias: "Let me ask you, under this Bill that I'm not, again, familiar with Section 8 housing, can a landlord under your Bill require a security deposit?"
- Yarbrough: "Yes. Yes, they can. Under the fa... federal regulations, yes, they can."
- Mathias: "So under federal regulations they... they are allowed and it is allowed..."
- Yarbrough: "Yes."
- Mathias: "It's in the... it's in the lease that they would... they can be required to do a security deposit?"
- Yarbrough: "Yes."
- Mathias: "Okay."

Yarbrough: "From what I can remember about it, yes."

Mathias: "Okay. Now, if they don't pay the lease, if they come to you then and say, 'Well, I don't want to pay a security deposit. I don't have it; I don't want to pay it. But I have the income to pay the rent'. Will that still constitute a violation under this Act?"

Yarbrough: "No, you can just simply not rent to that person." Mathias: "So you can require a security deposit?" Yarbrough: "Yes."

Mathias: "And... and it won't be in violation."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Yarbrough: "And if they refuse, then you can just simply not rent the apartment to them."

Mathias: "If you… you can enter into a lease, obviously, and that lease would be determined by the Federal Government. Is that correct?"

Yarbrough: "It's... there's a standard lease."

Mathias: "So you must en... enter into that standard lease?"

Yarbrough: "Yes."

Mathias: "Now, if the tenant decides to leave, and it was under the terms of the lea… of the lease, some of the… some of the funds are coming from the Federal Government. Is that correct?"

Yarbrough: "That's correct."

Mathias: "So they're subsidizing part of the rent."

Yarbrough: "That's correct."

Mathias: "If the tenant leaves... let's say in... let's say you have a year lease, and I'm not sure how long these leases are, but let's say it's a year lease and the tenant leaves after three months. Can you go back to the Federal Government and ask them to pay the other nine months of the rent?"

Yarbrough: "No, that tenant is bound by that... that lease."

Mathias: "But the Federal Government isn't bound."

Yarbrough: "No, the tenant is bound by it."

Mathias: "But the tenant in the first place didn't have the income necessary to rent the apartment without the subsidy. So now you're gonna go after a tenant who can't afford the

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

apartment without a subsidy and ask him to pay for something he couldn't afford in the first place."

Yarbrough: "Well, if you had a deposit, you would keep the deposit."

- Mathias: "Yeah, but if you don't rent the apartment again, as you know... and with attorneys' fees in trying to evict the tenant, that deposit's gonna go pretty quick."
- Yarbrough: "But that's no different than a market rental situation. If you have a tenant that leaves, the tenant leaves and if you have a security deposit, you simply keep the security deposit and then you sue that person."
- Mathias: "That's right. But you made the determination in the first place that that tenant was creditworthy enough to pay the entire rent. And therefore, you're taking a chance that if he leaves, he still will be creditworthy enough to pay the entire rent. Whereas under your Bill, he isn't creditworthy enough to pay the entire rent, but now you're gonna ask 'em to pay more through a lawsuit than he could've afforded in the first place. To the Bill, Mr. Speaker. I urge an..."

Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Mathias: "Obviously, this is a very... and I... and I appreciate the sp... what the Sponsor's trying to do. And I'm just basically want the Members here to think about the contractual relationship that's between the landlord and the tenant. It's being altered already. And it's also being altered as to the collection process later. And I believe that under this system, the landlords probably will

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

not get the relief from the tenant. They're being forced to sign a lease. The government is saying we'll pay it as long as this tenant stays there, but once he moves, even if there's a violation, we're outta there. So, I urge a 'no' vote."

- Speaker Hartke: "This Bill's on Standard Debate. We've had three people speak in opposition to the Bill, two people in favor of the Bill. We will now go to Representative Yarbrough to close."
- Yarbrough: "Thank you. Thank you to all of my colleagues who This Bill is about discrimination. spoke to this Bill. This Bill is not about the Section 8 Program or ... or any of that. All we have to do is go back to 1968 when we had to enact the Fair Housing Act that was against discrimination based on race, creed, color, and you name it. Discrimination isn't something that you can see; discrimination is something that you can feel. And you know when you've been discriminated against. We've got a housing crisis in this state, and the Federal Government has come up with a way to address that housing crisis. Now, if those... if the Section 8 Program is not working, then we need to get it fixed. But in the meantime, what we need to do is help people who have problems with getting affordable housing. I would suggest that everybody in this room doesn't have this problem. The City of Chicago already has this language, the city already does this. Not only does the City of Chicago, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, Maine, Utah, Minnesota, Oklahoma, North Dakota,

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Vermont, Wisconsin, Maine, and the District of Columbia have something on the books that's against source-of-income discrimination. Now, we need to join those states who have provided for people receiving some form of subsidy. And let's make Illinois a leader in fighting housing discriminated in a state respected as a model for fair and open housing. Let's pass this Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Parke, you have already spoken in debate. He declines recognition."

Parke: "Thank you."

- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 1352?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 39 Members voting 'yes', 59 Members voting 'no', 17 Members voting 'present'. And this Bill, having failed to receive a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared lost. On page 9 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 2207. Representative Boland. Mr. Boland. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2207, a Bill for an Act concerning elections. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. No Floor Amendments approved for consideration."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. House Bill 3511. Representative Howard. On Second Reading. Would you want

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

to move it to Third Reading? Let's... Mr. Hoffman. Out of the record. On page 24 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 3677. Representative Molaro. It's on Second Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3677, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxation. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. On page 10 on the Calendar, on the Order of Second Reading, appears House Bill 2317. Representative O'Brien. You have Amendment #2 approved for consideration. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2317, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Motions have been filed. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative O'Brien, has been approved for consideration."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 would gut the underlying Bill and create a shell Bill so we can move it to the Senate and have ongoing discussions regarding the underlying format. Which, the underlying format of the Bill is... in a lot of communities the way they get their sidewalks done, streets repaired, any amenities is to have special assessments. But there is no provision, currently, for what you do if the bonds are paid off and you have any residual after the work's been done. There's no provision to refund any of

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that money to the property owners. So, we'd like to continue to... to work on that issue and create that mechanism."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on Floor Amendment #2? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass... House adopt Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 2317?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Rossi: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Osterman in the chamber? On page 28 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 1755. Representative Hultgren. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1755, a Bill for an Act concerning special districts. Third Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hartke: "Representative Hultgren."

Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This Bill is... was brought to me by forest preserves of the state and park districts of the state. It's in recognition of... no-bid contracts have not been raised. The level has not been raised for about 15 years or so. Everyone's in support of this. This is raising from 10 thousand to 15 thousand where bidding would kick in. I'd..."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any ... "

Hultgren: "... ask for support. I'm happy to answer any questions."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 1755? The Chair recognizes Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. One quick question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Hartke: "Yes."

- Black: "Representative, this does not... from what I can see, it does not impact conservation districts. I think there are maybe fi... five of them in the state."
- Hultgren: "That's my understanding as well. I think it just is forest preserve districts. It's downstate forest preserve districts, which I think is pretty much everything outside of Cook."

Black: "Okay. Fine."

Hultgren: "That's my understanding."

Black: "Thank you very much."

Hultgren: "Cook County Forest Preserve and Park Districts."

Black: "Okay."

Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 1755?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 96 Members voting 'yes', 20 Members voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 26 on the Calendar, appears House Bill 486. Representative Flowers.

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Representative Flowers. Out of the record. On page 30 on the Calendar, on Third Reading, appears House Bill 3142. Mr. Franks. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3142, a Bill for an Act concerning public funds. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Franks."

- Franks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a... a very simple Bill, and I appreciate the comments that we had in committee. And what this does, it creates the Accountability for the Investment of Public Funds Act and it provides that the... that each state agency shall make information available on the Internet concerning the investment of any public funds. It's just a sunshine Bill and I'd be glad to answer any questions."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion on House Bill 3142? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 3142?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 95 Members voting 'yes', 9 Members voting 'no', 11 Members voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page 30 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 3387. Mr. Rose. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3387, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Rose."

Rose: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 3387 provides that a defendant cannot receive a sentence or probation, periodic imprisonment, or conditional discharge for a conviction of possessing any of the precursor materials for crystal methamphetamine manufacture. This would only apply to a second or subsequent offense that the individual had been convicted for. That was the Amendment that was adopted yesterday. I did agree there were several individuals in committee that were concerned that this may be too harsh on a first offender. I did agree on Second to hold that and we adopted the Amendment yesterday and made this only for second and subsequent offenders. And just to explain what ... what I mean by intent to manufacture a precursor material, crystal methamphetamine oftentimes needs pseudoephedrine, anhydrous ammonia, numerous ingredients. They all have to come together and be cooked in... in these clandestine laboratories that law enforcement and fire departments are finding all over rural and southern Illinois. The problem is that those materials have to get there. I can tell you, as a former State's Attorney for Champaign County, I would sit in bond court and every week in Champaign County we'd have some kid or kids from other counties come into Champaign in order to get enough of the pseudoephedrine, the sudafed, in enough quantity to take it back to their county to cook it. Now, this creates two problems. The

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

first for Champaign County is usually ... usually these people are not paying for the materials. Usually they have stolen... either stolen money or they're committing some other crime in the process of trying to obtain the pseudophedrine. The second problem is they go back and cook this and then push the... the cooked and the crystallized crystal methamphetamine back in... in the county from which they came. I might note that the whole point of this Bill isn't to punish the users. The point of this Bill is to punish directly, severely, and strictly the people who are responsible for pushing this substance around the State of Illinois. Just this month, Ladies and Gentlemen, in Champaign County we had our first crystal methamphetamine manufacturing lab in our county. So these people aren't just going back and cooking it, they're coming north. And for those of you who don't have this problem yet, it's coming. Let's address it now. I'd ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes Rep... Representative Osterman."

Osterman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

- Osterman: "Representative Rose, methamphetamine is a problem in your part of the state and in your district. Honest question, how many people does it affect?"
- Rose: "Are you talking about in terms of how many individuals would be convicted?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Osterman: "Not convicted, I mean what is the cost to kids. I mean, I'm asking the cost to your community, your district."
- Rose: "Well, I'll give you an example, Representative Osterman. In Coles County, the largest county in my district, the Sheriff there has estimated on any given day one-third of his entire jail population is crystal meth or methamphetamine related. That's a heck of a cost to local taxpayers."

Osterman: "And what are the age groups of those people?"

- Rose: "Anything from, you know, teens on up. I mean, this isn't something that affects any single age population. I think before I left the State's Attorneys Office, I saw a group in their thirties and... and forties, I've... I've certainly seen teenagers. But again, we're not... this Bill does not deal with users. It's tailored specifically and narrowly only to target the people who are... who are going to manufacture. It's very specific. We have a specific intent. There's a specific intent element to this... this Bill, which is they have to have the intent to manufacture. This isn't possession, this isn't use. It's the intent to manufacture. So, it's... it's been tailored narrowly."
- Osterman: "I understand. And I was just asking the… you know, 'cause methamphetamine is not something that there is a huge problem of in other parts of the state. And I was just curious, you know, what is the… what is… how many people does this affect? I mean, is it something that

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

affects a hundred people, something that affects ten thousand people."

"No, it's... it's several hundred and growing. Rose: I would probably say in the... just ... just if you take the average daily population of the Coles County jail, you're talking about probably 35 to 40 people on any given day in that one county, and those are just the ones in... that are in jail. That doesn't count the ones who are out on bond or the ones who've been sent to prison. And that's just Coles County. Now, I... this is a... primarily a rural agrarian issue, because one of the primary components of manufacture is the anhydrous ammonia and that ... what that is, that's a farm chemical. And so, the City of Chicago probably won't ... won't have this problem. However, the collar counties, where you still have a rural element, a farming community ... it's heading north."

Osterman: "Okay. I thank the Sponsor for his answers." Speaker Hartke: "The Chair recognizes Representative Reitz." Reitz: "Thank you, Mr. Sponsor (sic - Speaker). To the Bill." Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Reitz: "I think this is a very good Bill. We have a... a lot of problems in our... this seems to be coming east; we're getting it from Missouri. We have a lot of problems. I've sponsored legislation in the past to try to deal with the... this distribution and the production of methamphetamine products. One of the major problems we have is... is actually innocent people being injured by the manufacture of this. It's a very foolish process that they go through

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

to manufacture methamphetamines. We need to do whatever we can to stop this and... and to target the people that are producing this and distributing this. And I appreciate

the... the Sponsor bringing this to our attention."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Brauer."

Brauer: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Will the speaker yield?" Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Brauer: "Sponsor yield, I'm sorry. This is a problem that occurs in the rural area because of the anhydrous. This is a drug that is very easy to make, it's very inexpensive to make, and it has very severe consequences. This is something that is going to spread like wildfire. Two years ago we had no cases of this in Menard County, a county of less than 13 thousand people and one stoplight. Today, why I think we're looking at probably 12 to 15 busts a year from this drug. It's something that's very serious and is gonna continue unless we take action. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Eddy."

Eddy: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill." Speaker Hartke: "To the Bill."

Eddy: "This type of legislation is absolutely essential in rural America to help our counties fight what is becoming an epidemic. As the previous speaker mentioned, we're talking about increases in activity where a year or two or three ago we might've had a dozen to twenty of these methamphetamine cooking labs. Where in my small rural counties last year, those figures were in the three to five hundred range in some cases. We're talking about something

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

that, if not checked, and with this type of legislation and other methamphetamine legislation that we've been able to pass here this spring, this will continue to grow. I enjoyed working on this Bill with Representative Rose, as well as a citizens group in Paris, Illinois that has, on their own, helped us in the drafting of this type of legislation, working with first responders and law enforcement folks. So, I would only ask that we… we, as a Body, support this effort and encourage an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Hartke: "The question is, 'Shall... do you wanna close, Mr. Rose? The question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 3387?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 115 Members voting 'yes', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority... excuse me, that's 116 voting 'yes'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Lang, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Lang: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to announce that we have the pr... in our presence a constitutional officer, the great Secretary of State, Jesse White, right back here behind..."
- Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Secretary, welcome to the chamber. Welcome back to the chamber. Representative Black."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Speaker, I would never Black: "Mr. rise to correct Representative Lang, unless I had an opportunity to do so, and I have an opportunity to do so once again. Secretary White's main claim to fame is he spent many, many years as Member of the Illinois outstanding House of an Representatives. And then he ... then he had to take a demotion to serve as Secretary of State, but he tells me he enjoys it. So, whatever makes Jesse happy makes me happy, because I'm still looking for that low digit license plate number, Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Hartke: "On page 26 on the Calendar, on the Order of Third Reading, appears House Bill 506. Representative Bailey. Representative Bailey. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 506, a Bill for an Act in relation to

criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

- Speaker Hartke: "Representative Bailey on House Bill 506. Ms... Representative Bailey."
- Bailey: "Okay. The Amendment..."
- Speaker Hartke: "This is on the Bill."
- Bailey: "Thank you. This sets the conditions for pretrial release or bail by the state presents evidence that the offense committed by the defendant or was related to or inference of a gang... inference of a gang, that the court determines the allegation is substantiated and that the court shall prohibit the defendant from association with other gang members."
- Speaker Hartke: "Is there any discussion? Seeing that no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

pass... Representative Miller, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Miller: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hartke: "The Sponsor will yield."

Miller: "I know we debated this issue before, but I just had a question with the Sponsor that I don't think was asked earlier. If the… if the… I just… the inquiry in regards to family members in this matter, can the Sponsor elaborate on that?"

Bailey: "I'm sorry."

- Miller: "If the... if the family members are gang members. The... in regards to their family members."
- Bailey: "Yes, I did answer it at... before in the Amendment. However, the discussion was that this is a... an agreement that was already made with the judge. Now, if the defendant has family members that are in a gang, it is of that responsibility to discuss that issue with the judge and the judge will make that determination whether that individual should stay at home or not."

Bailey: "Where will the judge send the defendant?"

Miller: "Correct. If they..."

Bailey: "I have no idea."

Miller: "If the judge... if the judge deems that there is... if their home... under this provision, in the law..."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Bailey: "Well, I'm quite sure this individual should have another family member with whom he can go and live with. And currently, the judges may already restrict contact with other gang members."
- Bailey: "Currently, a judge may restrict contact with other gang members."
- Miller: "Okay. But you're saying that the judge then... based on what you said in this law, then the judge has the discretion to restrict that person from their family members."
- Bailey: "He can."
- Miller: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."
- Bailey: "It's the judge's decision."
- Miller: "Thank you."
- Speaker Hartke: "Further discussion? Since no one is seeking recognition, the question is, 'Shall the House pass House Bill 506?' All those in favor signify by voting 'yes'; those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 108 Members voting 'yes', 6 Members voting 'no', and 1 Member voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 192. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 192, a Bill for an Act concerning the Department of Human Services. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 209. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 209, a Bill for an Act concerning discount prescription drugs for senior citizens and disabled persons. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 235. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 235, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic assistance. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 360. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 360, a Bill for an Act concerning environmental safety. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 624. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 624, a Bill for an Act in relation to housing. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Leave the Bill on Second Reading. House Bill

751. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 751..."

Speaker Hartke: "House Bill 1240. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1240, a Bill for an Act in relation to health care. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 1475. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1470..."

Speaker Hartke: "Five. Take that Bill out of the record. House Bill 1553."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1553, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 2221. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2221, a Bill for an Act concerning disabled persons. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 2458. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2458... House Bill 2458, a Bill for an Act concerning deferred deposit lending practices. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 2840. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2840, a Bill for an Act in relation to vehicles. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 3061. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3061, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 3465. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3465, a Bill for an Act regarding higher education. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hartke: "Leave that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill

3511. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3511, a Bill for an Act in relation to state boards and commissions. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Hold the Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 1475. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1475, a Bill for an Act concerning port districts. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hartke: "Hold that Bill on Second Reading. House Bill 2870. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2870, a Bill for an Act concerning telecommunications. Second Reading of this House Bill. No Committee Amendments. No Floor Amendments. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 2231?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2231 is on the Order of House Bills Third Reading."
- Speaker Hartke: "Move that Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment at the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 305. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 305, a Bill for an Act concerning security information. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Third Reading. Representative Meyer, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Meyer: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, on 305, that can be left on Second Reading. I do have an Amendment I just wanted to get it read for a second time so it was in position to work on."
- Speaker Hartke: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of House Bill 305?"
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 305 is on the order of House Bills-Third Reading."
- Speaker Hartke: "Move that Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment at the request of the Sponsor. House Bill 2866. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. 2866."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2866, a Bill for an Act concerning coal. Second Reading of this House Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee. No Floor Amendments have been approved for consideration. No Motions filed."
- Speaker Hartke: "Hold that Bill on Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Ladies and Gentlemen, it's the Chair an... Chair's intention... Could I have your attention, please? It's the Chair's intention to hold a... a moment of silence for an individual at the request of Mr. Winters. And then this afternoon, as you know, we moved... we're moving, during our little recess, several Bills from Second to Third, in a perfunctory manner. And so, after our moment of silence, I'll... the Chair will go into the... the perfunctory function of our afternoon. There will be no substantive Bills called or any votes being taken. So, after the moment of silence, you may go out your evening and the Chair will be adjourning later this evening. The Chair recognizes Representative Lang."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Lang: "Thank you. For purposes of an announcement. The Gaming Committee, which is scheduled for 8:30 tomorrow morning, will not commence till 9 a.m. But I would ask all Members to be on time. Thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Novak for an announcement."

Novak: "Yes, for the purpose of an announcement, Mr. Speaker. The reception tonight for our former House Member, and now Director of the Department of Natural Resources, will commence at 7 p.m. at Remy's. And everyone's invited, including staff, as long as you're 21 years old."

Speaker Hartke: "The Chair recognizes Representative Winters." Winters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a very serious time

in our nation's history as our armed forces are attempting to change the entire region of the Middle East. And one of my constituents, a 20-year-old named Brandon Rowe, serving with the 101st Airborne Division, was killed on Monday in the ambush. I wish that we could all keep in mind both his family and the family of all of the servicemen that are serving overseas at this time."

Speaker Hartke: "Thank you. Representative Molaro."

Molaro: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Hartke: "State your point."

Molaro: "I can see that we have morning committees tomorrow. As you can see, because of that hour and a half break we had this afternoon, we are ready to go all night. And I appreciate that hour and a half break, and I'm hoping that we get that hour break tomorrow. So thank you."

Speaker Hartke: "Representative Hannig in the Chair."

40th Legislative Day

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, would you read House Bill 2439?"

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2439, a Bill for an Act regarding schools. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Take that Bill out of the record. Hold it on Second. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 1776."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1776, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 1778."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading.

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1778, a Bill for an Act in regard to vehicles."

Speaker Hannig: "Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 1779."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1779, a Bill for an Act concerning airports."

Speaker Hannig: "Read House Bill 1780."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1780, a Bill for an Act in regard to vehicles."

Speaker Hannig: "Read House Bill 1781."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

```
4/2/2003
```

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1781, a Bill for an Act regarding transportation."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1782."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1782, a Bill for an Act in relation to highways."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1783."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1783, a Bill for an Act in regard to vehicles."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1784."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a third time… second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1784, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1785."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1785, a Bill for an Act in relation to airports."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1786."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1786, a Bill for an Act in relation to transportation."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1787." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1787, a Bill for an Act with regard to vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1788." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1788, a Bill for an Act concerning toll highways." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1789." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1789, a Bill for an Act concerning the operation of motor vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1790." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1790, a Bill for an Act concerning vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1791." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1791, a Bill for an Act concerning highways." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1792." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1792, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1793." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "A Bill for an Act concerning military leave of absence." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1794." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "A Bill for an Act concerning the military." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1795." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1795, a Bill for an Act concerning the military." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1796." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1796, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1797." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1797, a Bill for an Act in relation to veterans homes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1798." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1798, a Bill for an Act in relation to veterans."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1799."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1799, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1800."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1800, a Bill for an Act concerning the National Home for Disabled Volunteer Soldiers."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1801."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1801, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans preferences."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1802."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

```
Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1802, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans."
```

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1803."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "A Bill for an Act concerning veterans."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1804."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "A Bill for an Act regarding vehicles." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1805." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1805, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1806." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1806, a Bill for an Act concerning veterans." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1807." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1807, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1808." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1808, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1810." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "A Bill for an Act... House Bill 1810, a Bill for an Act in relation to children."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1811." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1811, a Bill for an Act in relation to children." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1812." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1812, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1813." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1813, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1814." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1814, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1815." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1815, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1816." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1816, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1817." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1817, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1818." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1818, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1819." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1819, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1820." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1820, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1821." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1821, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1823."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1823, a Bill for an Act in relation to health services." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1824." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1824, a Bill for an Act in relation to health facilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1825." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1825, a Bill for an Act in relation to health services." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1826." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1826, a Bill for an Act concerning State-operated facilities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1827." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1827, a Bill for an Act in relation to health." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1828." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1828, a Bill for an Act in relation to health."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1829."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1829, a Bill for an Act in relation to housing."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1830."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 1830, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1831."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1831, a Bill for an Act concerning redevelopment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1832."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1832, a Bill for an Act concerning land management."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1833."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading.
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1833, a Bill for an Act concerning wastewater disposal."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1834."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1834, a Bill for an Act concerning property." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1835." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1835, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1836." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1836, a Bill for an Act concerning premises liability." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1837." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1837, a Bill for an Act concerning finance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1838." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1838, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1839." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1839, a Bill for an Act in relation to economic development." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1840." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1840, a Bill for an Act concerning child care." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1841." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1841, a Bill for an Act in relation to child care." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1842." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1842, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1844." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1844, a Bill for an Act respecting higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1845." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1845, a Bill for an Act with respect to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1846."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1846, a Bill for an Act respecting higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1847." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1847, a Bill for an Act with respect to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1848." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1848, a Bill for an Act with respect to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1849." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1849, a Bill for an Act respecting higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1850." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1850, a Bill for an Act respecting higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1851." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1851, a Bill for an Act with regard to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1853." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1853, a Bill for an Act in relation to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1854." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1854, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1855." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1855, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1856." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1856, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1857." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1857, a Bill for an Act in relation to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1858."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1858, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1859." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1859, a Bill for an Act relating to higher education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1860." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1860, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1861." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1861, a Bill for an Act in relation to the University of Illinois." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1862." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1862, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1863." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1863, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1864." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1864, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1865." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1865, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1867." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1867, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1868." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1868, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1869." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1869, a Bill for an Act in relation to imprisonment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1871."

376

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1871, a Bill for an Act in relation to imprisonment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1872." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1872, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1873." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1873, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1874." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1874, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1875." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1875, a Bill for an Act concerning corrections." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1876." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1876, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1877."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1877, a Bill for an Act concerning cannabis control."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1878."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1878, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1879."

- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1879, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1880."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1880, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1881."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1881, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1882."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1882, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1883." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1883, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1884." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1884, a Bill for an Act concerning health benefits." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1885." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1885, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1886." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1886, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1887." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1887, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1888."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1888, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1889."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1889, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1890."

- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1890, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1891."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1891, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1892."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1892, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1893."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1893, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1894." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1894, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1895." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1895, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1896." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1896, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1897." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1897, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1898." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1898, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1899."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1899, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1900."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1900, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1901."

- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1901, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1902."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1902, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1903."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1903, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1904."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1904, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1905." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1905, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1906." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1906, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1907." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1907, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1908." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1908, a Bill for an Act in relation to pensions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1909." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1909, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employee benefits." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1910." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1910, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1911." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1911, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1912." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1912, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1913." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1913, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1914." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1914, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1915."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1915, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1916." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1916, a Bill for an Act concerning employment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1917." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1917, a Bill for an Act concerning employment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1918." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1918, a Bill for an Act regarding educational labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1919." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1919, a Bill for an Act in relation to labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1920." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1920, a Bill for an Act concerning labor."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1921."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1921, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1922."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1922, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1923."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1923, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1924."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1924, a Bill for an Act concerning museum districts."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1925."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1925, a Bill for an Act concerning historic preservation."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1926."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1926, a Bill for an Act in relation to tourism development."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1927."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1927, a Bill for an Act in relation to the Secretary of State."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1928."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1928, a Bill for an Act in relation to the State Comptroller."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1929."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1929, a Bill for an Act in relation to the State Treasurer."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1931."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1931, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1932."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1932, a Bill for an Act in relation to State procurement."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1933."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1933, a Bill for an Act in relation to State procurement."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1934."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1934, a Bill for an Act relating to telecommunications."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1935."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1935, a Bill for an Act concerning telecommunications."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1936."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1936, a Bill for an Act concerning telecommunications."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1937."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1937, a Bill for an Act relating to telecommunications."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1938."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1938, a Bill for an Act relating to telecommunications." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1939." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1939, a Bill for an Act relating to telecommunications." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1940." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1940, a Bill for an Act in relation to business transactions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1941." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1941, a Bill for an Act regarding taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1942." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1942, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1943." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1943, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1944." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1944, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1945." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1945, a Bill for an Act in relation to income taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1946." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1946, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1947." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1947, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1948." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1948, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1949."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1949, a Bill for an Act concerning taxation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1951." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1951, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1953." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1953, a Bill for an Act concerning citizen benefits." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1954." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1954, a Bill for an Act in relation to senior citizens and disabled persons." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1956." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1956, a Bill for an Act in relation to minors." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1957." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1957, a Bill for an Act in relation to minors."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1958."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1958, a Bill for an Act in relation to minors."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1959."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1959, a Bill for an Act in relation to property."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1960."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1960, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1961."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1961, a Bill for an Act in relation to business transactions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1962."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1962, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1963."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1963, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1964." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1964, a Bill for an Act in relation to business transactions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1965." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1965, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1966." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1966, a Bill for an Act in relation to trusts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1967." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1967, a Bill for an Act in relation to property." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1968." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1968, a Bill for an Act concerning credit and debit cards." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1969." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1969, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1970." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1970, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1971." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1971, a Bill for an Act to create the Predatory Lending Act." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1972." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1972, a Bill for an Act to create the Payday Loan Act." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1973." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1973, a Bill for an Act concerning debt management services." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1974."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1974, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1975." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1975, a Bill for an Act concerning sales finance agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1976." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1976, a Bill for an Act concerning transmitters of money." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1977." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1977, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1978." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1978, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1979." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1979, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1980." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1980, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1981." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1981, a Bill for an Act concerning consumer deposit accounts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1982." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1982, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1983." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1983, a Bill for an Act in relation to financial regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1984." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1984, a Bill for an Act concerning foreign banking offices." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1985."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1985, a Bill for an Act concerning corporate fiduciaries." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1986." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1986, a Bill for an Act concerning pawnbrokers." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1987." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1987, a Bill for an Act concerning residential mortgages." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1988." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1988, a Bill for an Act in relation to aquatic life." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1989." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1989, a Bill for an Act in relation to animals." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1990." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1990, a Bill for an Act concerning grain."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1991."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1991, a Bill for an Act concerning grain."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1992."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1992, a Bill for an Act in relation to agriculture."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1993."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1993, a Bill for an Act in relation to gaming."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1994."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1994, a Bill for an Act in relation to endangered species."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1995."
- Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1995, a Bill for an Act in relation to wildlife."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1996."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1996, a Bill for an Act in relation to animals." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1997." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1997, a Bill for an Act in relation to agriculture." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1998." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1998, a Bill for an Act in relation to agriculture." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 1999." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1999, a Bill for an Act in relation to agriculture." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2000." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2000, a Bill for an Act in relation to forestry." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2001." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2001, a Bill for an Act in relation to taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2002." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2002, a Bill for an Act concerning animal welfare." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2003." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2003, a Bill for an Act concerning seeds." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2005." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2005, a Bill for an Act concerning State parks." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2006." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2006, a Bill for an Act concerning natural resources." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2007." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2007, a Bill for an Act concerning family farmers. Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2008."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2008, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2009." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2009, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2010." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2010, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2011." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2011, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2012." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2012, a Bill for an Act concerning land conservation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2013." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2013, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2014."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2014, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2015."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2015, a Bill for an Act in relation to lakes."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2016."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2016, a Bill for an Act concerning conservation."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2017."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2017, a Bill for an Act concerning alternate fuels."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2018."

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2018, a Bill for an Act concerning alternate fuels."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2019."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2019, a Bill for an Act concerning water." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2020." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2020, a Bill for an Act concerning emergency management." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2021." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2021, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2022." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2022, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2023." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2023, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2024." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2024, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2025." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2025, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2026." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2026, a Bill for an Act in relation to child care." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2027." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2027, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2028." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2028, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2029." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2029, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2030."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2030, a Bill for an Act regarding professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2031." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2031, a Bill for an Act regarding professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2032." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2032, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2033." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2033, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2034." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2034, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2035." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2035, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2036." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2036, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2037." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2037, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2038." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2038, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2039." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2039, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2040." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2040, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2041."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2041, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2042." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2042, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2043." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2043, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2044." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2044, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2045." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2045, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2046." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2046, a Bill for an Act concerning professional counselors." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2047." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2047, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2048." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2048, a Bill for an Act concerning veterinary medicine." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2049. Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 2050." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2050, a Bill for an Act concerning fire equipment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2051." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2051, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2052." Clerk Bolin: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2052, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the regulation of professions."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2053."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2053, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2054."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2054, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of professions."

Speaker Hanniq: "House Bill 2055."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2055, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2056." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2056, a Bill for an Act in relation to

the regulation of professions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2057."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2057, a Bill for an Act concerning the regulation of professions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2058."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2058, a Bill for an Act concerning accounting." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2059." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2059, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2060." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2060, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2061." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2061, a Bill for an Act concerning family law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2063." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2063, a Bill for an Act in relation to child support." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2064." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2064, a Bill for an Act in relation to child support." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2065."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2065, a Bill for an Act in relation to public bodies." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2066." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2066, a Bill for an Act concerning open meetings." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2067." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2067, a Bill for an Act concerning freedom of information." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2068." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2068, a Bill for an Act in relation to freedom of information." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2069." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2069, a Bill for an Act in relation to human services." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2070." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2070, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2072."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2072, a Bill for an Act concerning the courts."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2073."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2073, a Bill for an Act in relation to minors."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2074."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2074, a Bill for an Act concerning the courts."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2075."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2075, a Bill for an Act concerning liens."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2076."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2076, a Bill for an Act concerning liens."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2077."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2077, a Bill for an Act in relation to family law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2078." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2078, a Bill for an Act concerning family law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2080." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2080, a Bill for an Act in relation to child support." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2081." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2081, a Bill for an Act in relation to civil liability." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2082." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2082, a Bill for an Act concerning commercial transactions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2083." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2083, a Bill for an Act in relation to the regulation of professions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2084." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2084, a Bill for an Act in relation to property." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2085." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2085, a Bill for an Act concerning real property." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2086." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2086, a Bill for an Act concerning hospital liens." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2087." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2087, a Bill for an Act in relation to families." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2089." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2089, a Bill for an Act concerning business transactions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2090."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2090, a Bill for an Act in relation to estates." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2091." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2091, a Bill for an Act in relation to human rights." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2092." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2092, a Bill for an Act in relation to civil procedure." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2093." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2093, a Bill for an Act in relation to civil immunities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2094." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2094, a Bill for an Act in relation to civil immunities." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2095." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2095, a Bill for an Act concerning health care." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2096." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2096, a Bill for an Act concerning probate law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2097." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2097, a Bill for an Act in relation to real property." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2098." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2098, a Bill for an Act in relation to trusts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2099." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2099, a Bill for an Act regarding schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2100." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2100, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2101."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2101, a Bill for an Act regarding schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2102." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill... House Bill 2102 has been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2102, a Bill for an Act regarding schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2103." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2103, a Bill for an Act regarding schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2106." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2106, a Bill for an Act relating to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2107." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2107, a Bill for an Act respecting education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2108." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2108, a Bill for an Act relating to education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2109."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2109, a Bill for an Act concerning education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2110."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2110, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2111."

- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2111, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2112."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2112, a Bill for an Act concerning education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2113."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2113, a Bill for an Act in relation to schools."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2114."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2114, a Bill for an Act in relation to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2115." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2115, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2116." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2116, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2117." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2117, a Bill for an Act with respect to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2119." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2119, a Bill for an Act relating to schools." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2120." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2120, a Bill for an Act regarding education."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2121."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill... The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2121, a Bill for an Act relating to education."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2122."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2122, a Bill for an Act concerning bonds."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2123."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2123, a Bill for an Act concerning bonds."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2124."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2124, a Bill for an Act concerning bonds."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2125."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2125, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2126." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2126, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2127." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2127, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2128." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2128, a Bill for an Act in relation to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2129." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2129, a Bill for an Act relating to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2130." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2130, a Bill for an Act relating to education." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2131." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2131, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2132."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2132, a Bill for an Act in relation to education."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2133."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2133, a Bill for an Act in relation to teacher certification."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2134."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2134, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2135."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2135, a Bill for an Act concerning taxes."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2219."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2219, a Bill for an Act in relation to persons with disabilities."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2220."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2220, a Bill for an Act in relation to public aid." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2360." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2360, a Bill for an Act in relation to public employees." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2361." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2361, a Bill for an Act in relation to labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2362." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2362, a Bill for an Act in relation to labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2364." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2364, a Bill for an Act regarding educational labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2365." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2365, a Bill for an Act regarding educational labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2366." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2366, a Bill for an Act regarding educational labor relations." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2410." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2410, a Bill for an Act in relation to criminal law." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2518." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2518, a Bill for an Act in relation to the State Comptroller." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2571." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2571, a Bill for an Act to amend the State Finance Act." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2614." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2614, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2615."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2615, a Bill for an Act in relation to unemployment insurance." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2654." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2654, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2655." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2655, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2656." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2656, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2657." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2657, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2659." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 2659, a Bill for an Act concerning bonds."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3266."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3266, a Bill for an Act concerning bonds."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3267."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3267, a Bill for an Act concerning bonds."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3268."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3268, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3269."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3269, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3270."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3270, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3271."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3271, a Bill for an Act in relation to budget implementation." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3401." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3401, a Bill for an Act concerning certain taxes." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3402." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3402, a Bill for an Act concerning special districts." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3409." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3409, a Bill for an Act in relation to the death penalty." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3410." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3410, a Bill for an Act in relation to the death penalty." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3412." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3412...has been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3412, a Bill for an Act in relation to governmental ethics." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3413." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3413, a Bill for an Act in relation to governmental ethics." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3414." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3414, a Bill for an Act in relation to governmental ethics." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3415." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3415, a Bill for an Act concerning ethics commissions." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3417." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3417, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3418." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3418, a Bill for an Act in relation to elections." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3639."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3639, a Bill for an Act concerning boards and commissions."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3640."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3640, a Bill for an Act concerning

boards and commissions."

- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3641."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3641, a Bill for an Act concerning boards and commissions."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3642."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3642, a Bill for an Act concerning boards and commissions."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3643."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3643, a Bill for an Act concerning boards and commissions."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3644."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3644, a Bill for an Act relating to pensions."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3645."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3645, a Bill for an Act in relation to real property."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3646."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."

- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3646, a Bill for an Act respecting schools."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3647."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3647, a Bill for an Act in relation to business organizations."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3648."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3648, a Bill for an Act in relation to income taxes."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3650."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3650, a Bill for an Act in relation to aeronautics."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3651."

40th Legislative Day 4/2/2003 Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3651, a Bill for an Act concerning budgeting." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3652." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3652, a Bill for an Act concerning consumer protection." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3653." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3653's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3653, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3654." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3654, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3664." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading." Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3664, a Bill for an Act concerning redevelopment." Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3665." Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3665, a Bill for an Act in relation to executive agencies."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3666."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3666 has been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3666, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3667."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3667, a Bill for an Act in relation to highways."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3669."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3669, a Bill for an Act concerning consumer protection."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3670."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3670, a Bill for an Act in relation to alcohol."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3711."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3711's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

40th Legislative Day

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3711, a Bill for an Act in relation to employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3712."

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3712, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3714."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3714, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3715."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3715, a Bill for an Act concerning professional regulation."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3716."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3716, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3717."
- Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."
- Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3717, a Bill for an Act concerning employment."
- Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 3718."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Rossi: "The Bill's been read a second time, previously." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading."

Clerk Rossi: "House Bill 3718, a Bill for an Act in relation to health in the workplace."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2661."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2661, a Bill for an Act concerning bonds. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2663."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2663, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2664."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2664, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2668."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2668, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2669."

Clerk Bolin: House Bill 2669, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2671."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2671, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2672."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2672, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2673."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2673, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2674."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2674, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2678."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2678, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2680."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2680, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2681.

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2681, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2682."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2682, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2685."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2685, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2686."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2686, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2688."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2688, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2691."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2691, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2693."

435

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2693, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2696." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2696, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2697."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2697, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2698."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2698, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2700."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2700, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2704."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2704, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2705."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2705, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2708.

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2708, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2714."

Speaker Hannig: "House Bill 2714."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2714, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2716."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2716, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2718." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2718, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2719." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2719, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2721."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2721, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2726."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2726, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2730."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2730, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2735"

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2735, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill. Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2739." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2739, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2741." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2741, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2742."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2742, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2743." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2743, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2744." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2744, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2745."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2745, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2746."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2746, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2747."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2747, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2749."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2749, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2750"

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2750, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2751."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2751, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2753."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2753, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2756." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2756, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2758." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2758, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2759."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2759, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2761."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2761, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2762."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2762, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 2763."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2763, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3236." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3236, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3237." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3237, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3238."

40th Legislative Day

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3238, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3239." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3239, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3242." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3242, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3244."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3244, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3250."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3250, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3251."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3251, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3252."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3252, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3255." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3255, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3259." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3259, a Bill for an Act making

appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3260."

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3260, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill." Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3261."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3261, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3262."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3262, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. House Bill 3264."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 3264, a Bill for an Act making appropriations. Second Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hannig: "Third Reading. Now Representative Hoffman, the Gentleman from Madison, moves that allowing perfunctory time for the Clerk, that the House stand adjourned until April 3rd at 10 A.M. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion say 'aye'; opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House stands adjourned."
- Clerk Bolin: "The House Perfunctory Session will come to order. First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 266, offered by Representative Joyce, a Bill for an Act concerning unemployment insurance. Senate Bill 291, offered by Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act in relation to sheriffs. Senate Bill 526, offered by Representative Yarbrough, a Bill for an Act concerning zoos. Senate Bill 641, offered by Representative Aguilar, a Bill for an Act in relation to sex offender information. Senate Bill 1066, offered by Representative Delgado, a Bill for an Act in relation to energy. Senate Bill 1205, offered by

40th Legislative Day

4/2/2003

Representative Mathias, a Bill for an Act concerning municipalities. First Reading of these Senate Bills. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 186, offered by Representative Cross. This Resolution is referred to the House Rules Committee. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session stands adjourned."