78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- Speaker McPike: "House will come to Order. The guests in the balcony may wish to rise and join us for the invocation this morning. We'll be led in the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Nelson Rice."
- Rice: "May we bow our heads. Dear Lord, we ask you once again, to guide us, help us, curve our attitudes, let us get the best of what we can from these latter days, for we know it is hard, but we ask you in your infinite wisdom, that you help us once again. We ask this kindly favor and blessings blessed. Amen."
- Rice et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Kubik."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Flowers is excused, but she's ready if the Governor is ready."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, take the record. 116 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Mr. Weller, for what reason do you rise?"
- Weller: "A point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. In the waning hours of Session yesterday, some important things failed to got mentioned. I just wanted to mention to everyone in the House of Representatives that one of our esteemed colleagues, he and his wife celebrated their 18th wedding anniversary and since Tom Ryder is up on the Speaker's Podium, I hope everyone will take the opportunity today to congratulate Tom and Peggy Ryder on yesterday's

78th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1991
- celebration of their 18th wedding anniversary."
- Speaker McPike: "Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 79, Giglio, and Senate Joint Resolution 80, Munizzi."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Speaker, these are three Resolutions. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Matijevich: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

 The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted.

 Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 78, offered by Representative Kulas, with respect to the memory of Mary Brindisi."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Death Resolution is adopted. Bill #1 on nonconcurrences. Senate Bill 258, Mr. Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that the House refuse to recede on House Amendments #1 and #2 and that a Conference Committee be convened."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 258 and asks for a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Senate Bill 301, Representative Keane, McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. I move to refuse to recede...or not to recede in Senate Bill 301 and ask for a Conference Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 301 and asks for a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye',

78th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1991
- opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. Senate Bill 305, Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Members of the Assembly. I move to not to recede in Senate Bill 305 and ask for a Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House does not recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill...refuses to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 305 and asks for a Conference Committee. On that Motion all in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Senate Bill 308, Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Assembly. I
 wish to refuse to recede in Senate Bill 308 and ask for a
 Conference Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede on House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 308 and asks for a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries.

 Senate Bill 309, Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "409, Mr. Speaker. Isn't it?"
- Speaker McPike: "Oh, correction, Senate Bill 409."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. I would move that the House refuse to recede on House Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9 and 10 and that a Conference Committee be convened."
- Speaker McPike: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. The Conference Committee will be formed.

 Senate Bill 837, Representative Trotter. Mr. Trotter?

 Senate Bill 956, Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I refuse to recede on House
 Bill 956 and request a Conference Committee...pardon me..."

 Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 956 and asks for a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Senate Bill 958, Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I refuse to recede from House Bill 958 and request a Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 958 and asks for a Conference Committee. All in favor of the Motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Senate Bill 959, Representative LeFlore."
- LeFlore: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I refuse to recede from House
 Bill 959 and request a Conference Committee appointment."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 959 and asks for a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Senate Bill 1341, Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move to refuse to recede from House

 Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1341 and ask that a Conference

 Committee Report be formed."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1341 and asks for the appointment of a Conference Committee. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries. Under Conference Committee Reports appears House Bill 2. Mr. Novak? McNamara? Mr. Novak?"
- Novak: "Mr. Speaker, please take the Bill out of the record for a second."
- Speaker McPike: "House Bill 38, Representative Kirkland."
- Kirkland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for a concurrence. This Bill annexes four parcels of property in my legislative district to the metropolitan water reclamation

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

district to enable development of the parcels. Two of the parcels total about twenty acres in the Village of Inverness and will enable sewer service to continue on land in possession of the Holy Family Catholic Church. A third par...three acre parcel will allow service to the Willow Creek Community Church and Hoffman Estates. A fourth parcel of 110 acres will by annexation to the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District, be in a position to be an extra development to either Elgin or Hoffman Estates within the next year. I want to...excuse me. I want to move to adopt the first Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any discussion? This Motion takes 71 votes. Is there any discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the first Conference Committee Report to House Bill 38? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk take the record. On this Motion there are 112 'ayes' and no 'nays' and the House adopts the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 38 and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 57, Representative Santiago. Representative Bugielski? House Bill 114, Representative House Bill 121, Representative Currie. House Bill 176, Representative Farley."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. I would move to accept the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 176. This is an Agreed Bill sponsored by Representative McPike, Farley, Klemm, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. You know this is what happens when the Agreed Bill process is

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

adhered to. It's a good Bill. Labor and Management are agreed. Both sides got a little bit in this. They're both, I'm sure, not as happy as either of them would like to be, but the Agreed Bill process can work, should work, we hope it continues to work and I congratulate you for putting a good package together."

Speaker Giorgi: "Majority Leader, McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Those last remarks were pure nonsense. There was never an Agreed Bill between labor and management. The administration simply passed, to us, technical changes in order to have us come into compliance with federal There was never an Agreed Bill process this year on unemployment insurance and to call this an Agreed Bill utter nonsense. There are three technical changes to bring this into compliance with federal law. If anybody wants to vote against them, have them vote against them. not an Agreed Bill that came out of an Agreed Bill process. It's simply that no one is against it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Committee Report on House Bill 176? All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Giorgi in the Chair. On this question...Has everyone voted who wish? Has everyone voted who wish? On this question there are 114 'ayes'...take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present' and this Bill having received the extraordinary Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McPike in the Chair. House Bill 177. Out of the record. House Bill 406. House Bill 406 lost in the Senate. House Bill 406 lost in the Senate and a Second Conference Committee has been requested. House

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Bill 434, Representative Homer. Representative Homer, did you wish to call this now?"

Homer: "Yes."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. Proceed, Sir."

"The Bill does three things. The Conference Report does. first of all, provides a change in the crime victim's compensation provisions of the Attorney General's authority to make disbursements. Current law limits disbursements in any six months to the amount of funds generated in the preceding six months. There is a surplus that has built up in that fund and there are crime victims applications that need to be acted upon. The money is there, but this change is necessary in order to give the authority to the Attorney General to make those payments. The Bill also makes a change to a Chapter 95 1/2 provision concerning license plates displays. A couple of years ago the General Assembly passed provisions prohibiting people from having plastic covers or glass covers over their license plates. This would change that to tinted glass or tinted plastic covers. The idea of the previous legislation was to make sure the police can read license plates, but there are many people who have covers over their plates that do not impair the ability to read the plate, they do it because they want to protect the plate from bugs and dirt and the like, so this would say 'tinted plates'. And the last would establish specific police powers for conservation A current law gives conservation officers general police powers. This provision would say that conservation officers are allowed to enforce all of the conservation acts including the Boating Act, the Game Codes, the Fish Codes and all of the other codes that to do with conservation laws and also would allow them to enforce the Criminal Code pertaining to firearms and also

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

would allow them to enforce any other offenses that may be committed in the course of such violations. In my district there's a particular situation come to light where a conservation officer is writing speeding tickets and other unrelated violations. This provision gives conservation officers all the lawful authority that they need to do their job, but it protects citizens from unnecessary harassment as a result of, perhaps, an overzealous officer who may decide he wants to be a traffic cop, or do something that is unrelated to the conservation laws. I would try to answer any questions and would urge your adoption of the report."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. of the police organizations, I understand, are opposed to the provision...the last provision that was explained Representative Homer. I understand that the inclusion of that provision is because somebody abused the arrest Every once in awhile law enforcement officers, whether they are municipal police, county police, whatever, may abuse their arrest powers, but I don't think that we now take away the arrest powers of ought to conservation police officers just because of some limited abuse that somebody in Tom's district may have done. said, all of the police officer organizations and at a time I was chairman of the Speakers Law Enforcement Advisory Committee, and I want to tell you that Roger Frazier, who represented the conservation police was very, very important to that group. He also violently opposes this inclusion of this provision, so I would urge the Members of the House to vote 'no' or 'present' because of that provision and I would appreciate that, in behalf of the conservation, fraternal order of police, the conservation

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

police lodge, the police benevolent and other police organizations. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
 yield?"

Homer: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, I think I know where you're headed with this legislation, and maybe some of us are in agreement with a portion of it, but it appears to me it goes beyond limiting their ability to give speeding tickets or otherwise enforce the traffic code. For example, is it your understanding that if this passes and a conservation police officer stops a boater for a safety check, finds that the boater is obviously intoxicated, in possession of alcohol, and in fact may even be in possession of drugs...unless that boater had violated a fish or wild life or endangered species or fire arm violation, he could make no arrest."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time I would like to ask my good friend from Fulton County if we could turn this into a Second Conference Committee Report as a favor for me and go to a Second Conference Committee and do some negotiating. In time I'm sure we could straighten out some of the problems that you have seen and hopefully that...I don't blame you for doing this for the problems you have had, and if we could intervene to help you to straighten this program out, I'd like to have this go to a Second Conference Committee Report because I hate to vote against your legislation. But still, I sincerely think that you are doing this in the right posture to get the attention of what is needed in that area and I know we can do some

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

negotiations. As a favor for me, I'd ask you to take this to a Second Conference Committee Report."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly. I feel that a Second Conference Committee Report is not I tend to agree with Representative Matijevich and think that an isolated incident promoted this piece of legislation. The original Bill has been in effect for many years, has served the people of the State of Illinois in good fashion, and here we have again a case of one incident where somebody whose feelings were hurt, who felt that their individual rights had been abridged when guite...the possibility certainly exists that that is one individuals interpretation. It's kind of like going down to the city hall and getting your traffic ticket fixed. The law in its present form is good, we do not need a Second Conference Committee Report and I am opposed to such action on House Bill 434."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I, too, rise in opposition to this legislation. was stated previously, maybe some people do have a personal problem with something that happens, but quite frankly, put this in a Conference Committee without much dialogue, without giving the conservation police people opportunity to explain their position on this, I think is a mistake and I think Representative Olson is mistaken. I think there should be a Second Conference Committee to take this off. That's what we don't want. In fact, that's what Representative Matijevich said. He didn't want it in there either and I would also rise and ask us to defeat this. that a Second Conference Committee can be reported and that we can take that out and that some negotiations can go on

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

with the conservation police. Let me remind you that most of us might think out of hand that the conservation police take care of ticketing people for violations for fish and wild life, but you know they have a responsibility to administer lands where drugs can be grown and drugs can be nurtured and they need that ability in an environment that is in the drug culture where we have heard of lands being protected by booby traps. By lands that are being protected by armed people, and we think the conservation police department do need police powers and I think this is an ill advised Bill and I would ask this Body to defeat this and put a Second Conference Committee Report out."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I didn't really get an answer to my question and I think that's the crux of the issue. Representative Homer, the way this is drafted, I think it goes far beyond what your intentions might be. The traffic issue may be one thing, but this goes far beyond that and if we are to enforce safe boating laws then we're in trouble if we adopt this and I would rise and support Representative Saltsman. I think we can clear this up in a Second Conference Committee Report and since I didn't really get an answer to my question, I would encourage the Gentleman to request a Second Conference Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. No one has a higher regard for Mr. Frazier, Mr. Rogers and the police committee than I. In fact, my entire background has been in law enforcement, and here in the legislature and the judiciary committee, but what's happened in my area and perhaps in yours is that a conservation officer has decided that he is going to give traffic citations and harass the people that are in my

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

community. That's not why we pay conservation officers. We pay them to enforce the conservation laws, the gun laws, the boating laws and yes, Representative Black, under my Bill they would have authority to arrest a boater who driving under the influence. They'd have authority to arrest hunters who are violating the laws. They would have authority to arrest people who are violating the gun laws of this State and they would also have the authority to arrest anyone for any offense that arose out of any of can't those arrests. I imagine why any conservation officer who believed in his duties would want something That's more than that. their job, to enforce the conservation laws. They're not out there to be traffic cops or to enforce other unrelated provisions of the Now, I have a high regard for those people and I recognize that eleventh hour Conference Reports are not the best time to advance new ideas and so in the spirit of cooperation and as a accommodation to those who requested that we not deal with this at this time, I'm going to take this Bill and ask for a Second Report. But I want to say very clearly that I'm going to be offering a Bill, it is intention to offer a Bill in the next Spring Session that does exactly what this Bill does, because I believe it It places the right responsibility on conservation officers and takes away those who may be overzealous doing things that they ought not to be doing. And so in the spirit of cooperation, and because of my high regard for the people who oppose this Bill, at this time, Mr. Speaker, I am going to ask that instead of taking a Roll Call that instead, we ask that a Second Conference Committee be appointed and we'll work on the Bill I would ask by a voice vote to appoint a Second year. So Conference Committee Report, that we do not adopt

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Conference Committee Report #1."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves that the House does not adopt the First Conference Committee to House Bill 434.

 All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', The 'ayes' have it.

 The Motion carries. The Gentleman asks for a Second Conference Committee. Representative Hicks on a Motion on Senate Bill 458. Mr. Hicks or Mr. Ryder? The Motion is on Senate Bill 458."
- Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to take from the table Senate Bill 458."
- Speaker McPike: "And extend the deadline?"
- Ryder: "If I can do that in one Motion I'd be glad to combine the Motions of taking from the table and extending the deadline on this Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright. The Gentleman's Motion is to take

 Senate Bill 458 from the table and extend the deadline
 until the end of the 1991 Spring Session. Is there any
 objection? Hearing none, the Attendance Roll Call will be
 used and the Motion carries. Mr. Clerk, what's the status
 of the Bill?"
- Clerk Leone: "The Bill is on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."
- Speaker McPike: "Read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "On the Order of Second Reading, Senate Bill 458, a

 Bill for an Act to amend the Horse Racing Act and the State

 Finance Act. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, has this Bill been read a second time previously? Representative Giglio in the Chair."
- Clerk Leone: "The Bill was read a second time previously.

 Amendment #1 was adopted."
- Speaker Giglio: "Mr. Clerk, how many Amendments are filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "We have Amendments #2 through 30 have been filed on this Bill."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

- Ryder: "Mr. Speaker, with the indulgence of the Body, I would ask leave to withdraw Amendments 2 through 29."
- Speaker Giglio: "Two through 27 and then those other two are somebody else's."
- Ryder: "For the information of the Body, Amendments 2 through 27 are House Republican Amendments and I would ask for those to be withdrawn. I believe that 28 and 29 are Democratic Amendments. The relevant Amendment to which we have agreed is #30."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendments
 2 through 27. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing
 none, leave is granted. The Amendments are withdrawn.
 Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #28."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks."

- Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With leave of the Body, I would move to withdraw Amendments #28 and #29."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman. I believe I missed...Representative Hicks, it's Representative Saltsman on the Amendment."
- Saltsman: "Yes. I withdraw Amendment 28 from Senate Bill 458."
- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #28, Mr. Clerk. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #29, offered by Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "I wish to withdraw Amendment #29."

- Speaker Giglio: "Withdraw Amendment #29, Mr. Clerk. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #30 is being offered by Representative Hicks and Ryder. Representative Hicks."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 458 becomes the Bill and in doing so is really a combination of about three years work on a Horse Racing Bill. It provides for several new things and I will very quickly talk about a few of those things. It provides for a funding mechanism to fund our county fairs throughout the State of Illinois. removes the detention bar which was one of the main things of the Bill for a long time. It allows for two signals to be televised at all track and inter-track locations. A new formula was established for the...for newly authorized OTB's which would amount to additional 14 new OTB parlors. It also provides for the Crestwood Park District and the Danville Conservative District, which would then be able to receive the Horse Racing Tax Allocations Funds for museum purposes. I would be happy to answer any questions the Members have."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I simply rise in support of the Amendment and therefore the Bill and indicate to folks that this is with the total agreement of the horse race community, tracks and horse people. In addition, there is some funding for downstate county fairs which we have sought. I think that this Bill is a good piece of work. I compliment Representative Hicks and staff for the long hours that they have spent on this. I was glad to be a part of that and therefore rise in support of Floor Amendment #30."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Obrzut."

Obrzut: "Mr. Speaker, I have a couple of questions for purposes of intent. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Obrzut: "Representative Hicks, a major concern of harness

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

horsemen is regarding two signals in a parlor at the same time. Is whether this Amendment permits thoroughbreds from Fairmont or any other track to be simulcast into the harness markets without the permission of the operating harness tracks?"

Hicks: "No."

Obrzut: "Would the same hold true for Quad City Downs Harness?

Would they be allowed to simulcast into Chicago without the consent of the Chicago Harness Tracks?"

Hicks: "No."

Obrzut: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Ropp: "Representative, does this amount of money that's generated for county fairs, pretty much implement 100% of the formula that we had established a couple of years ago?"

Hicks: "That's the intent, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Alright, to the Bill. Ladies and Gentlemen, I am in full support of this. I think that we've got a good, fair approach to the Horse Racing Act here in the State of Illinois. It provides additional revenues and additional opportunities for those revenues for not only park districts, but also for county fairgrounds that support youth activities and a lot of agricultural events throughout the State. I urge your support of this good, well worked out Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Lake, Representative Stern."

Stern: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Stern: "Representative, I had a little trouble understanding, because you have effectively perfected the Giorgi mumble and I only got some of it. Does this include doing away

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

with the security barns prior to the race?"

Hicks: "Yes."

Stern: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from DuPage, Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of quick questions, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you very much. Representative Hicks. Is it

Representative Hicks to whom this question should be
addressed? Fine. Representative Hicks, could you please
tell me whether contained in this Amendment #30 there is an
increase in the number of off track betting parlors that
are authorized in Illinois?"

Hicks: "Yes, Ma'am, that's correct. Currently, we have 15 that are authorized that have now applied for licenses and those license facilities are now either been granted and they are up and running or they very quickly will be up and running, so all 15 have been, so we are now authorizing the addition...of 14 additional ones."

Cowlishaw: "So, there would be, under this Amendment, an additional 14 off track betting parlors that would be authorized throughout the State of Illinois? That is correct?"

Hicks: "That is correct. It's taking...It would be two per track..."

Cowlishaw: "Just one other question, have you provided anywhere in this Amendment for a local community in which there might be a proposal for an OTB to have an opportunity to vote on that question, so that the majority view of that area could be expressed and that that referendum result would be binding? Is there anything like that in here to enable local communities to have something to say about this?"

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Hicks: "Representative, the question, as I take it, is there any local control and that answer is 'yes'. To say, I cannot answer whether or not they have referendum ability, I'm not sure of that, but I do know they have all local zoning authority as they do now and I know of several locations where communities did not wish to have them put into their communities and because they did not wish to have them, local zoning allowed them to reject them coming into that area. So, I really truly believe that there is much local control by current law as we've seen with the first fifteen."

Cowlishaw: "Alright, thank you very much. To the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. First, I would like to ask for a recorded Roll Call vote on this Amendment and I would ask enough people to join me, so there's not later some misunderstanding that that is going to be done. Thank you very much. one of the communities in my district, had a proposal for an off track betting facility. There was a mammoth struggle among the pro and con individuals in that community. There was, in fact, an advisory referendum that was held and although the results of that referendum were certainly not close, the local officials decided since it was only advisory to just go ahead and do as they pleased Now I have tried to encourage somewhere along the line all along since we first started authorizing OTB's for some kind of truly, real, local control to be included in this kind of thing so that the people of a community can turn one of these things down if they don't want them. will tell you Mr. Speaker, in all the years that I have served here I have never seen a local battle that got involved larger numbers of bitter, that people and disrupted that entire community any more than this disagreement over this off track betting facility.

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

want those kinds of bitter battles that leave wounds that do not heal to occur in your district, then you ought to vote for this Amendment. Otherwise you ought to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Dunn: "In a quick reading of the report...or the Amendment...I did not see any tax break for Arlington Race Track. Is that in there or not?"

Hicks: "No, Sir, there is none."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "A question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Mulcahey: "Representative Hicks, Amendment #28 was withdrawn?"

Hicks: "Yes."

Mulcahey: "What did that do?"

Hicks: "Sir, since it was withdrawn, I did not read it."

Mulcahey: "Pardon?"

Hicks: "Since it was withdrawn, I did not read it. I can't
 answer that."

Mulcahey: "Who can answer it?"

Hicks: "Number 28 and 29 were withdrawn. I'd just like to know why they were withdrawn and what they did."

Hicks: "Representative, I do have the file here and what Amendment #28 did, it credited the account for a proper district and allocated to other park districts the correct amount of receivables from that park district and that should be deducted from the amount otherwise allocated to DCCA."

Mulcahey: "And how about #29?"

Hicks: "Twenty nine was for two pilot projects of OTB parlors of

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

what would be considered to be small communities in which we attempted to put in the Bill to do some demonstration projects for OTBs and we were not successful in working out the negotiations to have that done."

Mulcahey: "Okay. One of those...one of those communities could have been Freeport? Right?"

Hicks: "It very well could have been Freeport and the intent, I
believe was to attempt to do that."

Mulcahey: "So Amendment #29 was kind of important to me."

Hicks: "It was very important to you, Sir, yes."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just rise to support the Gentleman's Motion and speak in favor of this Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 458. For all of you who have county fairs, regardless of where you live in this State, there's a provision in this Amendment that will bring us closer to the possibility of full funding of county fairs than we've been in years. We've been working on this for years, so for those of you who have county fairs, I would urge an 'aye' vote. And in response to another person's comments, if your local community doesn't want an OTB they can keep it out. Ask Champaign. Champaign, Illinois didn't want an OTB, they kept it out, so it isn't impossible for a local community to deny an OTB access to their community if that is their desire. This Bill doesn't mandate that a community accept an OTB. It's a good Bill, Representative Hicks said, it represents some three years of work and it's deserving of our support."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks. Representative Hicks to close."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In answers to Representative Cowlishaw's questions

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

that I would like to say that I do believe, Representative Cowlishaw, that local control is there and the fact of all zoning regulations, whether that be for a liquor license for the facility or for the location of that facility. I've seen several bitter fights as you have, but those fights have been brought about by people who were, it was felt by the local officials, to be not in the majority of interest of the people in that community. We all know that if there is enough people and the majority don't believe that their local officials are making the right decisions, then they won't be their local officials very long, so I believe that there is control in the local area and I would simply ask for adoption of Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 458."

- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Amendment say 'aye'...oh, alright, alright...All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Robert Olson, one moment to explain your vote."
- Olson, Bob: "In light of what's on the Board, Mr. Speaker, I decline to explain. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hoffman. J. Hoffman?"
- Hoffman, J.: "Real quick, Mr. Speaker. I was a little bit concerned about one provision concerning the detention barns. However, I think the entire Bill is good. I was concerned about some employment provisions, but my concerns have been addressed, therefore, I vote 'aye'."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On Amendment #30, there are 92 voting 'yes', 14 voting 'no' and the Amendment carries. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Giglio: "Third Reading. Is there leave to call the Bill on Third Reading? No need leave. Call the Bill. Read the

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 458, a Bill for an Act to amend the Horse Racing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks."
- Hicks: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 The Bill's been discussed in Amendment #30 and I would ask for an 'aye' vote on Senate Bill 458."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative McNamara?"
- McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- McNamara: "I have one question here and it was only spurred by Representative Black's remarks, that it was good for anyone with a county fair. Which county fair is in Cook County?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks? Representative McNamara."
- McNamara: "Yes, I'm wondering. I was spurred on by Representative Black's remark that it was good for all of the county fairs for 100% funding. Is there a Cook County Fair in this?"
- Hicks: "There is no Cook County Fair in this."
- McNamara: "Is there a Cook County Fair in Cook County? I'm not aware, I'm just a suburbanite."
- Hicks: "I don't believe that there is at this time, but there may
 be before the day is over."
- McNamara: "So, in reality there is. This is strictly downstate legislation for the counties to...for the county fairs."
- Hicks: "No, Sir, it's not. The first and I can't tell you the exact amount, but it's somewhat like \$6,000,000.00 of...\$4,000,000.00...\$4.8 million dollars. The first check written out of the Ag Premium Fund is for 4.8 million dollars to the Metropolitan Fair and Exposition."
- McNamara: "So, they are getting a certain percentage of that fair money then?"

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Hicks: "Representative, maybe I can better...as I said in my earlier remarks, I believe you might see something before for the day's over with. There is a provision in here of a 20% charge of the 1 1/2 surcharge on inter-tracks, that then would go to the county. It is the intent and purposes in the negotiations, that that money would go towards the start of the Cook County Fair."

McNamara: "Okay, then in reality, what we are saying is that it is a good Bill for all the county fairs and for the downstaters and as a suburbanite who happens to be in between and normally likes to support those projects of the downstaters and the Chicagoans and get nothing in return, I'll go ahead and support this Bill, too, because I think it's a good downstate vote."

Hicks: "Representative, I think I spoke mistakenly. There isn't anything currently with the Cook County Fair. It does go to Cook County, the 20%. So I was mistaken in my earlier remarks, it goes to Cook County."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "To my knowledge, Mr. Speaker, the 4-H'ers and those in Cook County do have some kind of an exhibition and I'm not sure where it is, but I think they show that monies do go to them through the Ag Premium Fund. A lot of the monies that go into the Ag Premium Fund also go to help McCormick Place and others. So, this is a good Bill and I urge your support."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hicks to close."

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I simply ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 458 pass?'

All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish?

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? On this question there...take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

question there are 94 voting 'yes', 7 voting 'no' and Senate Bill 458 having received the extraordinary majority is hereby declared passed. The Chair would like to make an announcement that we're going to recess until approximately 2:30 for lunch and after 2:30 we will return to chambers to do our business. Ιn the meantime Leadership on both sides have met with the Governor and they have another meeting at 4:00. We hope to adjourn this evening at a reasonable hour, but in all probability will be back tomorrow. So with that the House will now stand in recess until 2:30."

Speaker Giglio: "Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 72, offered by Representative Schoenberg."

Speaker Giglio: "Committee on assignments. Representative Woolard for an announcement."

Woolard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's my pleasure today to have the opportunity to introduce a couple of special guests in my hometown. I'm a Member of the Morris Rotary Club and the Morris Rotary Club sponsors an exchange program, bringing young people to the United States and at the same time sending young Americans to those countries that have exchanged that hospitality. I have with me Arthur and Kathleen Hornsby, who are hosting two students, one from Norway and one from Finland and I'd like the House...I ask everyone in the House to welcome them to the great State of Illinois. Mea Suvea, from Norway, and Ila Ahonin, from Finland. Will you all give them a warm welcome today? I'd like Ila just to say a few words of greeting from our European friends."

Ila Ahonin: "I'm from Helsinki, the Capitol of Finland. It is
 great to be here."

Speaker Giglio: "Come to order. Senate Bill 214. Representative

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Hicks?"

Hicks: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to take from the table Senate Bill 214 and have the Bill placed on the order of Third Reading and have the deadline extended till the end of Session on Senate Bill 214."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We're not sure we oppose this but as of this point, we're not sure that we are in favor of it either. If this Body..."

Hicks: "Representative, this is the Bill that we had extended the deadline until last night on...this is a Bill that we had extended the deadline on until last night. I know of no one who has any objection to this Bill at all. We've attempted to ensure that and that was one of the reasons we extended the deadline on it. It's a Bill that takes care of the quick take provisions at Palwaukee. I believe Grace Mary Stern has some original objections to it. We attempted to make sure that we took care of all that. It is very, very important legislation dealing with the provisions there. I know of no one having any opposition to it whatsoever and I'd be happy to discuss it or whatever you'd like to do."

Ryder: "On the record, I'm just asking what then is your intention to do with the Bill? Do you intend to move it to Third today and take a vote?"

Hicks: "My intention is, the material that is on the Bill now is exactly what will stay on the Bill. No other things. I intend to have the Bill placed on Third and to leave it there on Third until everybody is totally comfortable with the Bill as is."

Ryder: "I appreciate the Sponsor and the Speaker's indulgence and given the conviction of the Sponsor as to what the Bill will do and will not do, we offer no objection to his

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Motion."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? none, leave is granted and Senate Bill 214 is...the deadline has been extended till the end of the Spring Session. Supplemental Calendar #1 under Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 2. Representative Representative Novak in the chamber? Out of the Novak. record. House Bill 57, Representative Santiago. Gentleman asks leave to take from the table under House Rule 79(e) and place House Bill 57 for immediate Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing consideration. none, leave is granted. Leave by the Attendance Roll Call. Representative Doederlein?"

Doederlein: "I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Pardon? Pardon?"

Doederlein: "I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Well, this first, we have to have a Motion to take from the table on the Rule 79(e) and then the Gentleman will explain the Bill and then you can talk for or against the Bill. But in order to do that we have to have leave by the Attendance Roll Call to get the Bill heard. Do you agree? Are you in favor of that? Alright? Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted. Mr. Clerk...Mr. Clerk post the Bill. Representative Santiago."

Santiago: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I move to adopt First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 57. What we have here in this Bill, basically, we put on a what we call the 'dial up telephone instant check system' to be used by the seller of a gun dealership to confirm the validity of a gun buyers firearms owner identification card at the time of purchase of a firearm.

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

The Bill...this Bill would not change the existing 24 hour waiting period for long guns nor the 72 hour waiting period of handguns. This new dial up system will focus upon the retail sales of firearms, those made by federally licensed firearm dealers and it's proposed to exist for a two year period at the end of which a special nine member blue ribbon committee appointed by the Governor will recommend it's continuation or the abolition...the continuation or abolition of the FOI system or any combination or both. This Bill..."

Speaker Giglio: "Excuse me, Representative Santiago.

Representative McNamara, for what purpose do you rise?"

McNamara: "Just an inquiry of the Chair. Has this been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Giglio: "The Clerk informs the Chair that it has been printed and distributed. Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I wonder if I could ask the Speaker or the Sponsor to take this Bill out of the record for a short time.

There...someone was supposed to have contacted in regard to an aspect of the Bill and before we proceed and get into debate, I think we can get it resolved."

Santiago: "Sure."

Johnson: "Thank you."

"Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Speaker Giglio: Representative Novak, we passed over you on House Bill #2. Do...out of the Record. Representative Novak on House Bill 114? Representative Currie on House Bill 121? Representative Homer on House Bill 434? Do you wish to have it called? We have to take it from the table and apply Rule 79(e) for immediate consideration. Representative Homer."

Homer: "Speaker, I had this Bill on the record earlier and I had asked for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee.

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

I'm not sure what the Bill is doing on the table at this time. I'd ask that we reject the report by a voice vote and that there be an appointment of a Second Conference Committee."

- Speaker Giglio: "The Chair understands that House Bill 434 has been discussed and the prior request for a Second Conference Committee Report was accepted."
- Homer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure why the record would reflect the Bill was on the table...why the Bill had been tabled. It...the action taken was by a voice vote. We rejected the first...we did not adopt the First Conference Committee Report and I asked for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. So could I get a clarification from the Clerk or the Parliamentarian, as to the status of the Bill?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Well, all the Bill's that are on the Supplemental Calendar, Bills that we are working on and to...Representative Matijevich, do you want to explain it?"
- Matijevich: "Speaker, this Bill was called earlier this morning and the Sponsor moved to...not to adopt the report and asked for a Second Conference Committee."
- Speaker Giglio: "But the Bills that are on the Calendar were dead last night at midnight and they're on the table, so they have to be moved to take off the table..."
- Matijevich: "There's no such thing as tabling the Conference Committee. The Rules say that after the adjournment of the Spring Session of any year, the House may not take up or vote upon Conference Committee Reports or Senate Amendments to House Bills or House Amendments and that, I think, is the tabling thing you are talking about. But in other words, we don't do that any more."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that the proper

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

interpretation of that Rule 79(e), that Bills after the adjournment of the Spring Session that have passed both Houses, but on which final action has not been taken, do...are automatically tabled and require a Motion to take from the table. However, since we have not yet adjourned the Spring legislative Session that Rule 79(e) does not apply, and therefor House Bill 434 is not on the table and there will be a Second Conference Committee Report for that Bill. Is that, in fact, the understanding of the Chair?"

Speaker Giglio: "That's correct."

Homer: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Well I...Mr. Speaker, I just want to rise...that I have every confidence in you in upholding the Rules. I know your judgment will hold water, Sir. We have no objection. The Gentleman clearly stated a Second Conference Committee Report and if I can't trust you to uphold the Rules and I know that your judgment on this issue will indeed hold water and we have no objection to the Gentleman's Amendment whatsoever. But we look to you for guidance on this issue and we know you shall give us the benefit of that wisdom."

Speaker Giglio: "Does that mean that my plumbers license is not
 in jeopardy?"

Black: "Absolutely. I knew you'd catch on. Let's just make sure your interpretations hold water. We were a little concerned about it yesterday."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Mautino on House Bill 673. House Bill 673."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 673 be adopted. Let me explain to you what the Conference Committee provides. The Conference Committee provides that the Senate recede from

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

the Senate Amendment that established a complete breakdown that must be provided through the news media for bond issues at the local level. In most cases that would be a full page ad. The underlying Bill, which is what I believe is excellent legislation, does what I call eliminate the State of Illinois golden parachute. We have in this State an existing provision that if an individual receives a dividend or income from a company whose business located...who is located at an...in an enterprise zone, the income derived by that individual is a subtraction from income. Let me explain what that means. If an individual receives a W-2 Form for \$35,000 in working in their profession or employment factor and they received \$135,000.00 dividend from a company who was based in an enterprise zone, the gross income for that individual would not be \$170,000.00. It would be minus \$100,000.00. That income becomes a subtraction for the corporation holding company and also a subtraction from the individual's income tax. My personal belief is that income, no matter where derived, is an addition and should be treated that way with any other form of income individual has. Closing this loophole will additional funds for the State of Illinois and to eliminate a practice which I think is absolutely terrible where income received by an individual becomes a subtraction from I move for the adoption of Conference their taxes. Committee Report #1 to House Bill 673. I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would rise in support of Representative Mautino's Motion. I think that the underlying Bill is a good Bill.

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

I am also...I must tell you, I'm disappointed that we aren't adopting Senate Amendment #1 because I also think that is an excellent provision and would provide some sunshine in an area which I think is desperately needed in local government. But be it as it may, I think the underlying Bill is a good Bill, it will bring more revenue into the state government. It could not come at a better time. In such a tough budget year, I think it's an excellent provision and I would urge adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 673."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 673?' on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. question there are 115 voting 'yes' and none voting and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 673 and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 679, Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to move to adopt Conference Committee Report 679, Conference Committee Report #1. As the Bill passed out of the House here it was the Pawnbrokers Regulation Act, we have put charges in for insurance and storage. They never had any parameters for caps and the Senate thought there should be caps on any of the fees and so the Conference Committee differs from the original Bill in that these are lower, but they now apply on a month to month basis and it establishes caps on all loans. I don't think there any problems, both the Republicans and the Democrats have signed off on this

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, do you have any idea when the last time this Act was amended?"

Capparelli: "1909."

Black: "1909? Well, maybe it's time."

Capparelli: "I think Giorgi did it in 1909."

Black: "Was he the Sponsor? I'm sure he was. The only other question I would have is, do you think there would be any reasonable expectation that that storage fee might be considered an interest charge, or is that...we just going to leave that up to the courts?"

Capparelli: "Well, that would be negotiable also."

Black: "Alright. I think if we have an Act that hasn't been amended since 1909 and from what I see, pawnbrokers are a little more popular recently than they've been in recent years, maybe you have a good idea here."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the
First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 679?' All
those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The
voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who
wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there 116
voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the
First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 679 and
this Bill having received the required Constitutional
Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Hartke,
House Bill 799."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Conference Committee Report #1 on House Bill 799 is a Local Bill. It makes about 15 changes to the provisions and if you will be patient with me, I'll try to read them off here. Section #1 allows townships to issue and sell revenue bonds. That was originally a Senate Amendment which we accepted. Section #2 adds language to require that in a county where permanent vacancy occurs the coroners position, the county board shall fill that vacancy within 60 days. Section #3 amends the Revenue Code to change levy filing dates for townships and districts and highway districts to make them alike across the board. Section #4 amends the Highway Code to change filing dates for tax levies for road purposes. Those were two of Representative Steczo's Bills. Section #5 the Township Law to recite and define the definition of legal voters or electors to match the Election Code. Section #6 amends Orland Park Civic Center Act to allow the board to delegate it's authority to appoint and act as an employer to the governing body of the Village of Orland Section #7 amends the County Code to remove the Park. prohibition that Sheriff's fees do not apply to delivery of civil process papers for ordinance violations, and that was Representative Burzynski's original House Section #8 allows counties that self-insure to insure their elected officials. That was another one of Representative Burzynski's Bills. Section #9 amends the County Code by deleting obsolete requirements that the Deputy Sheriff post a bond or other securities. That was Representative Virginia Fredericks' piece of legislation. Section #10 amends the County Code to make changes in the section on the county health boards. Section #11 amends the Township Law to require that once the agenda for a town meeting is established, the town board of trustees must

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

follow that agenda and if they change it only by a majority of those members attending the town meeting. Section #12 amends the Municipal Code to delete the requirements that a circuit court of the county where a municipality is located must approve surety bonds for the Mayor or Commissioners of the municipality. This was an original Amendment to one of my Bills, House Bill 833. Section #13 amends the Harvey Civic Center Law to change the name to the Chicago Civic...South Chicago Civic Center, including Illinois and allows the Board to appoint five additional members and allows for continuous municipalities to become part of the metropolitan area within the approval of the Board. Section #14 allows applications filed for the support under the Metropolitan Civic Center Act and Section #15 amends the Election Code to add a canvassing board for park districts. I would be happy to answer or try to answer any questions that anyone may have."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Matijevich: "Representative Hartke, you cited and enumerated a number of Bills, all of which I'm for and Sponsors of those Bills, but there is one provision that I don't believe was part of any legislation and that refers to a Lake in Will County, where the County Boards by resolution even though they have an existing health department, the County Board may become the Board of Health. In Lake County we have a Board of Health appointed by the County Board. That was established by referendum. This would undo that and the County Board, by resolution, could become the Board of Health. Was that a part of any legislation?"

Hartke: "Representative Matijevich, that language came from

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Representative Churchill's suggestion, and I don't understand the total details on that other than..."

Matijevich: "But was it a Bill? Because most of what the County

Board wants, they usually call me up, too, but this was

snuck in by Representative Churchill, is that what you're
telling me?"

Hartke: "It is my understanding that the issue did not develop until after the Bills were filed and that's why this request by Representative Churchill was considered..."

Matijevich: "When was the request made?"

Hartke: "Would you direct the question possibly to Representative Churchill? He may be..."

Matijevich: "Maybe, he can answer that then."

Hartke: "Okay."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege since my name has been used in debate.

This issue didn't come from me. This issue came out of some place in Will County and I don't know who it was. I believe...I was told it was somebody in Will County, somebody in the Senate that initiated this issue, that it puts Lake and Will Counties into it, so I appreciate the fact that you used my name but..."

Matijevich: "Well, I didn't use it...I did not use it. I'm sorry

Bob. He used your name, that it was at your suggestion."

Churchill: "Now, he said that, but I believe the stimulus for the change in the law came from somebody in the Senate and it relates to Will County."

Matijevich: "I'm surprised if it came from Will County because I know the Reference Bureau real well and if Will County wanted it, they can draft the Bill where it would be Will County only and not Will County and Lake County. And if Lake County wanted it, I...you know like everything else,

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

they not only ask you, they ask me and they ask Grace Mary Stern and they ask Ginnie Frederick and Bill Peterson and Dick Klemm, but this thing wasn't...why does it affect Lake County if Lake County doesn't want it? That's my question somebody. Now, I'm for all of the Bills that are in here. All of them but this one, because this subverts a referendum by the people. And what it does, it politicizes Public Health, it politicizes Mental Health and I think most of us here, all of us, are always concerned about Public Health matters, about Mental Health matters and we shouldn't politicize Public Health and Mental Health and maybe some of you may have received this memo which we just received because nobody knew about this until today and Jeff Todd, from the Illinois Public Health Association is very concerned about what this will do in the future to other County Health Departments managed by Boards Health. They've been doing a great job. Now, let me tell you what else this does. One day, somebody's going to go to court because the fact is that this subverts the constitutional intent with regards to three readings. This Bill is an idea that was never considered anywhere on the House floor, on the Senate floor and it was snuck in a Bill and I know they snuck it in a Bill which has a lot of provisions so that it could be adopted. It's not what any us should do and I would plead with the Sponsor of this Conference Committee Report, take this out, do not adopt report and you'll get everything in the report. your own You know, I'm helping you with ethanol, you know that, so take it out and do it again and do it right. Don't sneak it in, and I'm not saying that you've done it, but don't anybody sneak something in, particularly, Grace Mary Stern and I, I know, are violently opposed to this. You're wrecking Public Health in Lake County and I don't like

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

that. Ι know that they've got a good county health department and the fact of the matter is, and you watch, they're gonna find some way to do it. You watch, the county board chairman is gonna get rid of the department head. He's going to find a way. You know why he really wants to get rid of him? Because he's doing a good job enforcing public health laws. He's gonna find a way to get rid of him. Mark my words, you in Lake County...you're gonna see the headlines to get rid of him. And they're gonna find some way that'll make him look bad but, it's really because he's done a good job. I urge you to vote against...or 'present' on this but I would plead Sponsor himself to move to not adopt the report and get a second report or correct this one."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What happens when you have a local department that not only is bankrupt but has been in shambles and at odds with itself and at odds with the and has created a serious problem for the residents of Will County because the county board had по direct control over the health department. Because the county board did not have the authority to bring health department into compliance with state and federal regulations. It did not have the authority to trim its did not have the authority to operate and Ιt regulate the health care facilities for the people of Will County. The health board was totally out of control and ignored the wishes of the county. It's necessary that the Will County Board have the authority to take over the Will County Health Department, to put it back on a sound financial footing, to put it back on a footing where it

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

will deliver health care services to the residents of Will County. This is necessary for the county board to have this authority so it can, in fact...and it has the responsibility and all of the residents of the county blame the county board anyway. They think it has control when, in fact, it didn't. It was the health board that was running it. This is a good Bill. It provides the county board with that authority to be able to take over and resurrect a good health department and they ought to have that authority."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hartke."

- Hartke: "Yes, after we reconsidered this thing, my Secretary tells me I'm getting all kinds of calls on this thing.

 There's fear out there by many health...county health departments that this is quite objectionable so, if we could, I would like to take the Bill out of the record and we'll run for a revised Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "Alright. Take the Bill out of the record.

 Representative Wolf on House Bill 970."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 970. House Bill 970 is a Bill that addresses strictly technical corrections and administration changes of the Illinois Municipal Retirement Fund. There is a provision in there that would allow elected officials who elect not to participate in the fund for which they hold office, to continue to receive an annuity and I would move for the adoption of the First Conference Report to House Bill 970."
- Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's motion. Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Parke."
- Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have worked with Representative Wolf on this

78th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1991
- legislation and we believe that it's agreed to by all parties and we do not have a problem with this legislation."
- Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 970?'. And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. This is final action. Representative Jones."
- Jones: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'd like to take this opportunity to introduce the Alderman and Committeeman of the 17th Ward in Chicago and also the Ward that Charles Morrow is a member of, Alderman and Committeeman, Allan Streator."
- "Have all voted who wish? Speaker Giglio: Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Mr. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', Clerk. voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 971 (sic-970) and this having received the extraordinary majority...excuse me, House Bill 970, and this Bill having received the extra majority vote needed is hereby declared passed. Representative Wolf on House Bill 971. Out of the record. House Bill 1415, Representative Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you. Would you take the Bill out of the record, please?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

 House Bill 1466, Representative Mautino. Representative

 Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we concur with Conference Committee Report. We do adopt Conference Committee Report, First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1466. What the Conference Committee Report does

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

is provide the necessary changes in the Comprehensive Health Insurance Program that does the following: clear that a person who is insured under the self-insurance health benefit plan is ineligible for CHIP and that CHIP is not used as a reinsurer of such health insurance programs. It empowers the CHIP Board to take legal action to recover any amounts erroneously or improperly paid by CHIP as a result of a mistake or a law. Requires CHIP, by insured, to exhaust the board's grievance procedures before being able to take legal action against the plan and it makes some technical changes. I move for the adoption of Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1466. If I could answer any questions. There's no opposition that I know of. Representative Regan and I, who are board members of CHIP, have agreed in total and so has the industry and the administration."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1466?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1466 and this Bill having received the extra required Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1498, Representative Richmond. The Gentleman from Jackson, Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 1498 retains the legislative intent of the original Bill which passed in this House 108 to 0, 108 to 1, I believe it was, and in the Senate, Senator Philip put on an Amendment which

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

really was Senate Bill 1282, and with that Amendment put on the original Bill, it passed in the Senate 52 to 0. The reason for the Conference Committee was to correct, to make some technical corrections in the language of the Bill as requested by the Department and I ask for concurrence with the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall the House accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1498?' And on that question all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed 'no', the voting is open, this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 109 voting 'yes', 2 voting 'no' and the House does accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1498 and this Bill having received the extraordinary majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Preston? On House Bill 1564."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Preston: House. I rise to move for adoption of the Conference Committee Report to the House Bill 1564. This legislation was the subject of many meetings, great discussion, great deliberation and now, it is agreed to by all parties. sets new regulations for charitable solicitors to better protect consumers and those who are phoned and ask to give money to various charities. This allows the Attorney General's Office to tighten up the requirements and the regulations that apply to these telephone solicitors and to better protect the consumer from unwarranted solicitations and even from illegal solicitations. All the veteran's organizations, that I know of have now signed off on this All the other charitable groups, to my legislation. knowledge, have signed off on it. It's agreed to by every

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

veteran's organization that was involved and the Attorney
General's Office and I urge your 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1564?' And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting is open and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 101 voting 'yes', 7 voting 'no' and the House does accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1564 and this Bill having received the extra required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Saltsman, House Bill 1811."
- Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 1811 has been gutted in Conference Committee and now has the contents of House Bill 143 which was previously sponsored by my good friend, Representative Bob LeFlore. As we all know, this is the Buy American Bill at the present time. This Bill has been fully debated. We all know the contents of this Buy American legislation. And I ask once again for its favorable vote out of this General Assembly."
- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion. Hearing none, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Yeah, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. It was a late night last night and a little hard to hear. What House Bill did the Gentleman say has been incorporated in this Bill now?"
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman?"
- Saltsman: "House Bill 143; Buy American Bill, Representative Black."
- Black: "Okay. Well, I don't have anything wrong, or I certainly don't have any opposition to a Buy American Bill, but,

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

sometimes titles don't really accurately portray what a Bill does. For example, if I might Mr. Speaker, ask the Sponsor a question or two."

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

"Thank you. When trying to determine the domestic content Black: of a product or...let's just take, for example, the made in Normal. Illinois. the Mitsubishi. the Chrysler-Mitsubishi joint venture. I don't know, for example, Don, and maybe you do...I would assume that there's a lot of parts to that automobile shipped in Japan, but, I don't know the percentage. I quess what I'm trying to ask you is, how difficult is it going to be for people wanting to do business in the state to know how to compute some of those percentages?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman."

Saltsman: "Yes. On the new domestic goods issue, I suppose that could probably be done with arbitration within the company doing business and the supplier and the people that are involved within the agencies. And as long as it's in the public interest the purchase of that could be done just like any other part of the Bill. As to what content is involved, it also says the cost of domestic goods exceeds the cost of foreign goods by at least 10%. So, there's several items there that protect the consumer and, therefore, I don't think that that will be too much of a hazard."

Black: "Yeah. Well, and I appreciate that. Would this Bill have any impact on national trade policy? By that I mean, would it possibly exclude some of our products if we had a national trade policy with a particular country because we may be viewed as not being a free trade state? Could this damage the United States negotiations on behalf of Illinois farmers or Illinois exporters?"

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Saltsman: "Well, it wouldn't necessarily affect anybody in Canada because they're an active end in this legislation and I imagine being that this is only the provisions for the State of Illinois, units of local government and school districts and so on, I don't know how it could affect the farmer."

Black: "Well, thank you very much, Representative, I appreciate your patience. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, on the face of this Bill, I don't think anybody in this chamber would rise in opposition. must reluctantly say that there's more to this Bill than a Buy America title and I do rise in opposition, and it reluctant. The problem is, I don't know how CMS will administer this. Whether we like it or not, and I think the Gentleman and I are in agreement, I don't like the fact that a Zenith television set may have a picture tube made in Ireland, a cabinet made in England, assembled in Mexico with an American nameplate put on it. I don't like that anymore than the Gentleman does. But, I don't know how, in a global economy, that means we must trade to survive, we're going to administer this Bill. I, my daughter was looking at a Chevrolet Geo the other day, and the salesman told me that that isn't made in the United States. didn't know that. I thought it was a Chevrolet. says, no, it's not really a Chevrolet as you know it, made in the good old U.S.A., it's made in various countries the world and assembled under license of from General Motors. Now, I don't know whether that's true or not, I think it points out the extreme difficulty that CMS will have in trying to administer this agreement. Ι Gentleman, I wish I could support it, but I'll tell you somethin', and I apologize to any of you I'm gonna offend by this statement. But you know what irks me? There's

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

gonna be some people vote for this Bill and go out in the legislative parking lot and get in their foreign car and drive home. Now, that's what irks me. If you're drivin' a foreign car then don't be a hypocrite and vote for this thing."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen, I also rise in opposition to this. You may be interested to know that CMS is already doing this most of the time. In the first three quarters of this year they have spent \$113,000,000 on American products and zero to foreign vendors. Now, I think that's a very good record. However, if we hold them to this and make them study each and everything they buy, which Representative Black has already described would be very difficult, it could cost up to 6 or 7 million dollars. Now, here we are on July 1st, still arguing about budgets, wondering where we're going to get enough money, and we're gonna cost the state 6 or 7 million dollars in their effort to always 'Buy American' instead of, perhaps, buying something at a lesser price, from a foreign country. We're gonna pay up to 10% more for And, as Representative Black said, it's very everything. difficult to decide what part of a car is made here, part is made there. It's almost impossible for them. Bill really lacks definition in standards to determine whether it's made in the United States or Canada and the verification of the content will slow down the procurement process. I don't think we can afford to do that. And then lastly, there's always the reciprocity. Here we are, trying to sell our products to foreign countries and, but we're saying, 'but we're not going to buy from you, we're going to pay 10% more to buy it here, or from Canada, we'd still like you to buy our stuff.' This ia a bad idea,

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- I'm afraid, and I ask for your 'no' vote."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Balthis."
- Balthis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a freshman legislator I've found a lot of things down here unbelievable. But, in a time when we can't even balance the budget by the end of June, and at the time we're trying to ask local governments to cut real estate taxes and then we ask them to spend 10% more for products because they're not American or Canadian made, I think this really makes it impossible for me to understand what's goin' on down here and I ask everybody to vote 'no' on this."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp." Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."
- Ropp: "Representative, what are the penalties that a company might be subject to if they violated this statute, should it become law?"
- Saltsman: "I believe they could not do business with the State of Illinois for a certain number of years."
- Ropp: "Okay. If, for example a company could buy a number of products, let's say all of their products, at \$499. That would be acceptable, right? It could be 100% foreign purchased items but 100% made in Illinois. That would be acceptable, is that correct, under the law?"
- Saltsman: "Yes. The contract involves any expenditures less than \$500. I think anybody who would do that, they ought to give up their citizenship papers."
- Ropp: "I think the public oughta be aware of the fact that...and I think this is the same provision as previously mentioned, that will allow up to 10 percent additional cost. Why do we encourage the taxpayer to pay another 10% on a product when, in fact, we ought to be looking at ways to save

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

taxpayers money rather than saying, 'Okay. You can, in fact, charge 10% more and we'll just let the taxpayers pay This may not be all government products, certainly this seems to me to be a very unwise attempt to try to deal with what's best for the taxpayers. other hand, too, let me just point out the fact that Illinois agriculture is one of our leading exporters, once again, this really bends heavily on potentially lost markets for agricultural products should this become law. And, we have our varied friends across the water saying, 'We're not going to buy from you because you have all of these restrictions that you're beginning to oppose.' I think this is an attempt that the federal government ought to address and that, individually, the State of Illinois should not be subject to these kind of provisions. I, too, certainly am one who goes out and ride in my American made car and I think that the American manufacturer, the American people ought to be, and I think, are, as good a manufacturers as anybody and as good a promoters and we would be out the ones that ought to be promoting our products worldwide rather than putting restrictions on, as this Bill would impose. And I certainly urge people this chamber to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Harris: "Thank you. Representative, as I read the Bill, and I just want to ensure that I'm reading it correctly. This applies not just to steel products, but to all products, commodities and items purchased by the State of Illinois, is that correct?"

Saltsman: "Could you repeat that question?"

Harris: "Sure. As I read the Bill, whereas initially we started

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

out with, it amends the Steel Products Procurement Act, so, initially the act dealt just with steel products. But now we're talking about all products, commodities and materials purchased by the State of Illinois, is that correct?"

Saltsman: "Yes. It's under the Steel Products Act."

Saltsman: "Possible."

Harris: "Alright. So, how do we judge, as an example, especially in the area of something like computers, where a lot of these micro, you know the little things they put in computers, are made overseas. Are we not going to be able to buy these any longer? As an example, an IBM Computer, which might be considered an American Company, over half of the items in the computer might be made somewhere else. We can't buy IBM Computers anymore?"

Saltsman: "Well, the U.S. Commerce Department tracks all the information. That's available by a phone call."

Harris: "Hmm, hmm. And then it has to by 1994, contain at least 70% American or Canadian products, correct?"

Saltsman: "Correct."

Harris: "Okay. Do you think there would be any retaliation? In other words, from other countries where we're trying to sell our goods, that they would say, 'well, you're not gonna buy ours, but we'll buy yours.'"

Saltsman: "The retaliation is us. They're all doin' it now."

Harris: "Okay. Well, thank you. To the Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen. This Bill has been debated any number of times. We passed it out of the House any number of times. It's gonna pass the House again, probably. But, that doesn't make it a good Bill and it doesn't make it right. Every single item that we buy has now got to meet these domestic products standards and I'm here to tell you, it's tough to

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

It's unfair to try to impose this on us. We've heard about the fact that it's gonna cost us money, but above and beyond the money, how in the world are we gonna track it? How in the world are we going to be able to ensure that that computer that we're buying from IBM...that ultimate in American companies...how in the world are we going to be able to ensure that 70%, 70% of every item in that computer was made in America? I'm here to tell you, bureaucratic nightmare. It's the type of things that cost It's the type of things that...the regulatory monev. nightmare that people talk about. The Bill's a bad concept. Good idea, but simply a bad concept. The right vote is a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Saltsman to close."

Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill has passed out of here many times. It's long overdo. As Lee Iacocca says, 'Buy American.' The foreign companies that are sending their products in here now are subsidized to send them in here and we have subsidized a couple of our companies here, with one of our previous speakers, something like \$80 a job. \$80,000 to \$90,000 a job went into his district. I think that's nice that we in the federal government subsidize this automobile program. But the thing of it is, let's buy the program, let's work with it, let's be American, let's follow Lee Iacocca's lead and let's send this Bill out of here again."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1811?' And all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Gentlemen of the House. Just in case some of you on the House Floor forgot why we're still here, there's a billion and a half shortfall in the budget of the State of Illinois. And I know 6 or 7 million a year annually doesn't sound like much, but that's the reason we're here. Six or 7 million here, 6 or 7 million there, and all of a sudden we've got a billion and a half budget shortfall. And that's why we're here in July, and you want to add onto that another 7 million dollars. It's crazy. That's why we're here."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Robert Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I feel that Lee Iacocca may not know what he's talking about. At least at one time, I know they sold cars actually made elsewhere. Remember the Dodge That was produced overseas. Doggone right. almost impossible to buy a product anymore that doesn't have some foreign made parts in it. I think I spoke earlier on this. We have a Case International 4-Wheel Drive Tractor with a Cummings Engine in it and Goodyear Tires, can you sound more American than that? That Cummings Engine, one day I noticed, was stamped on the block, 'Made in Mexico.' That's a substantial part of a tractor, Ladies and Gentlemen. On the retaliation issue, there is retaliation going on every day and, it's part of We've got to stop that. We've got to realize that the market as big as...encompasses the whole world, is the wrong vote. Isolationism will not survive in this nation, in this world, and this rings good, it good, 'Buy American' but it just doesn't play that way. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Parcells, for what purpose do you rise?"

Parcells: "I wanted to ask, Mr. Speaker, if this Bill will take

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

71 votes or 60 votes for passage?"

Speaker Giglio: "The Parliamentarian informs the Chair that it only takes 60 votes."

Parcells: "So will it not take effect until next July, is that correct?"

Speaker Giglio: "That's correct."

Parcells: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 71 voting 'yes' and 43 voting 'no' and the House does accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1811 and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Hicks on House Bill 1850. Representative Hicks. 1850, Mr. Clerk."

Hicks: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. House Bill 1850, as it comes out of the Conference Committee Report is work that has dealt with a bonding issue for the Department of Mines and Minerals. This Bill reduces an annual fee on wells from \$15 to \$12.50 and also then establishes a new bonding fund here in Illinois and takes the first...takes 50% of the fees from the bonding for the actual operations of the Department of Mines and Minerals. That fund, then, would be capped at \$750,000 for operational funds. The rest of the money then, would go into the plugging Restoration Fund, and I'd be happy to try to answer any questions anyone has on House Bill 1850."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Black: "Representative Hicks, I know you've been working on this a long time. Does this finally address all the problems we've had with the stripper well operators?"

Hicks: "Well, I certainly think it addresses many, many of the issues. I would never try to say 'all' but, I believe they have signed off on the legislation as has the Illinois Oil and Gas Association. Also, the Department of Mines and Minerals. So, now we have all three of those groups, if you will, who have come to agreement on this. We have no groups that I'm aware of that are in nonagreement with the legislation as is proposed."

Black: "Does this address the problem that some of the stripper operators have had with the so-called, 'orphan wells?'"

Hicks: "Yes, that's exactly what we've addressed with the bonding issue itself and addressed it with the dollars that will be used to take care of some of those orphan wells."

Black: "Well, Representative, I just want to congratulate you. I know you and Senator Woodyard, Senator O'Daniel, Representative Weaver, a lot of you, and I know Senator Leverenz has played a key role in this, too. But, you've all worked very, very hard on a problem that has been a very serious one down in our part of the state and I congratulate you and I'm glad to support your measure."

Hicks: "Thank you very much. Senator Leverenz, thank you, too."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1850?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed, 'no', the voting's open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1850 and this Bill having received the extra majority

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

required...Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Phelps on House Bill 1891. Representative Phelps."

Phelps: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move to concur with Conference Committee #1 to House Bill 1891. This is the original Bill that we passed. It addresses changing the number of days a school board has to fill a vacancy from 30 to 45 days, before it moves to the Regional Superintendent to do that, if they fail to appoint within 45 days. And then the Senate did recede from Amendment #2, which dealt with asbestos requirements. So, I move the First Conference Committee Report be passed."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Andrew McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

McGann: "Thank you. Representative, does this Conference

Committee Report have any subject matter relating to

certification of teachers, or lessening the requirements

for certification of teachers?"

Phelps: "No, I think that was in another Bill. I know what you're referring to, it's not in..."

McGann: "It's not in this Conference Committee Report?"

Phelps: "No. This is only appointments."

McGann: "Thank you very much. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Hearing none the question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1891?' And on that question all those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and one voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1891 and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1971, Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee on House Bill 1971. The Bill in Conference Report agreement the Psychological represents an between Association. the Social Workers, and the Hospital Association, with regard to Counselor Licensure. Another Bill on this subject matter has passed and is on its way to the Governor, however, there was some errors in that. This corrects those errors and with the language included in this version of the Bill, those three organizations have signed off on it, and I would, Mr. Speaker, ask for the adoption of the report."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of establishing legislative intent, I understand counselors who are employed by hospitals do not need to be licensed under this Act. Now, will these individuals employed by hospitals be able to call themselves 'Professional Counselors?'"

Steczo: "Representative Wennlund, yes, they will."

Wennlund: "And that's the intent of the Act?"

Steczo: "That is the intent."

Wennlund: "Is my first statement correct also, that counselors who are employed by hospitals do not need to be licensed under this Act?"

Steczo: "That is correct."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Wennlund: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question or two, please?"

Speaker Giglio: "Proceed."

Pullen: "Is there reference in this Bill to those people involved with religious organizations?"

Steczo: "The concerns that the religious organizations,
Representative Pullen, had when this Bill was first
introduced, have been taken away and they are completely
satisfied with the language that still allows them to do
what they do."

Steczo: "It is whichever way the clergy had requested."

Pullen: "Is there any chance you could quickly check that?"

Steczo: "I'm checking as quickly as I can."

Pullen: "Thank you very much."

Steczo: "Representative Pullen, the answer to your question, it says, 'Duly recognized members of any religious organization'."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Steczo: "Which is what was requested by the clergy."

Pullen: "That's correct. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Steczo?"

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, if there's no further questions, I would ask for the adoption of the report."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1971?' And on that question all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1971 and this Bill having received the extra majority required...Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Capparelli in the chamber? House Bill 1982, Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move that we accept Conference Committee #1 to House Bill 1982. In the Conference Committee, what it points out is the Senate would recede from Amendment #1, and it was further amended. Provides that to the extent that such mandatory service charges are turned over as tips or as substitutes for tips to employees participating directly in the preparing, serving, hosting, or cleaning up the food or beverage service, with respect to which the service charge is imposed, such charge will be exempt. This is an agreement that has been come upon between the industry and the Governor's Office and I ask for your acceptance of the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Has there been a determination made as to how much this might cost the State of Illinois?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bugielski? Representative Bugielski, question of Representative Wennlund?"

Bugielski: "I'm sorry."

Wennlund: "Has there been any estimates as to what this might cost the State of Illinois annually?"

Bugielski: "No, Sir."

Wennlund: "But it's my understanding that the unions, the hotel industry and that the Governor's Office have agreed to this provision?"

Bugielski: "Yes. That is correct."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Wennlund: "In effect...in effect, the way the law is applied now, the tips that would go to waiters and waitresses at a banquet function, in effect would have sales tax applied to them, in addition to the fact that they pay income tax on them. Is that correct?"

Bugielski: "That's correct."

Wennlund: "Thank you. Sounds like a good Bill to me and a good cleanup."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1982?' And on that question all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting's open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1982 and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2139, Representative Phelan. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Phelan. House Bill 2139."

Phelan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2139. Basically this amends the Cemetery Control Act giving the cemetery authorities responsibility for the care and maintenance of the cemeteries and the opening and closing of all graves, crypts or niches. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,

Representative Andrew McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Giglio: "Indicates he will."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

of the Cemetery Care Act. Is this Conference Committee Report in any way intended to supercede an existing collective bargaining agreement now or in the future?"

Phelan: "No, it is not, Representative McGann."

McGann: "Does any provision of that section limit the normal activities of a licensed funeral director in the directing and conducting of funeral committal services?"

Phelan: "No, it does not."

McGann: "Does any provision of that section pertain to merchandise of any kind?"

Phelan: "No, it does not."

McGann: "What does this section do?"

Phelan: "This section deals only with services for which cemetery authorities shall be responsible."

McGann: "Does this Conference Committee Report in any way pertain to those activities normally performed by a burial vault company within a cemetery?"

Phelan: "No, it does not."

McGann: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly, I have been in detailed work on this Conference Committee Report, but I also want to note a possible conflict of interest, but we've had the legislative intent taken care of and that was the only purpose I rose to speak on House Bill 2139. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Black: "Representative I...I'm in receipt of a letter from the Illinois Funeral Director's Association dated June the 10th of this year, urging my opposition to this Bill as amended.

Let me get to the heart of what I think their concern is

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

and what the concern might be of the consumer. We'll all eventually be a consumer of this service. It simply says that if this Bill is passed as amended that what you will do is to create a monopoly and restrict competition and thus increase cost for the opening and the closing of a For example, it said that independent providers should be able. lot owner's request, to provide at a opening and closing services for say two to three hundred dollars, where some cemeteries today per...excuse me, mainly in metropolitan areas, may charge up to one thousand dollars for a three-foot by seven-foot hole in which to place a vault. So the letter goes on to say passage of Bill obviously will burden families with that increased cost. Then a couple days ago, I got a from a funeral director in my home district again asking me to oppose this Bill saying that it would grant a monopoly, it is unnecessary, it would be harmful to consumers. Now I didn't understand all of the by-play between you and Representative McGann, but if you have taken care of these concerns, perhaps you can give me some assurance to take home to...Mr. Kizer and the Carrington Funeral Home back in my district that you have taken care of these concerns."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelan will yield to Representative McGann, Representative Black.

Representative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I'm glad that you brought up those concerns, Representative, because that was the purpose of my remarks to bring in for legislative intent the questions that I asked of the Sponsor of the Bill and in asking these questions, this satisfies all of the concerns of the funeral directors, the burial vault people and the Jewish Funeral Directors and the cemeteries themselves. This legislative debate and for the intent is

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

dated June the 27th of 1991, and it was that at that time that this was all resolved after a long meeting, and it had previous meetings and they were not able to resolve it, but we got it all resolved at that time with the agreement that we would place into the record these questions so that anything comes up in the future, they will be able to refer back to it. Let me just add one more Representative. The reason the cemeteries came the...for relief in the Legislature was because there was a suit in the State of Indiana, and we want to make sure that that doesn't happen in our cemeteries. We want to be sure of the protection of those that are laid to rest in these cemeteries will not be disturbed. Because in Indiana stated in the suit that any individual could go in and dig They go in and dig a grave, they can be a grave. disturbing some other remains that may be there. So this straightens it all out. This is a good piece legislation, and it protects all of the people in this state that ultimately have to use the services of a cemetery."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Representative McGann. I really appreciate that. So in other words, one of the concerns that my funeral director had, and I'm quoting here, that it would eliminate protection against unreasonable restriction on the use of your burial plot, or would also unreasonably restrict competition. You have addressed all of that in this Conference Committee Report.

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Phelan to close."

I thank you very much for your patience."

Phelan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move for a favorable Roll Call on this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2139?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question 113 voting 'yes' and 1 voting 'no', and the House does accept the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2139, and this Bill having received the extra majority required, is hereby declared passed. Representative Bugielski."

Bugielski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move to reconsider the vote on House Bill 1982. We just passed it. Move to reconsider the vote on House Bill 1982, Conference Committee #1."

Speaker Giglio: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Representative Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman explain the reason why he's motioning to reconsider?"

Bugielski: "Because, Representative, when they were drafting this they thought there was an effective date on there...immediate effective date, and there wasn't. It was left off and we didn't find it now, until after we did this."

Kubik: "So, what we want to do is create a Second Conference Committee and put an effective...immediate effective date in there."

Bugielski: "With the effective date in there, yes."

Kubik: "Okay."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "He answered my question."

Bugielski: "A corrected First."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion. Does the Gentleman have leave by the Attendance Roll Call? Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Bugielski."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Bugielski: "Just wanted a corrected First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 1982 and we will put in an immediate effective date."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair. What we're about to vote on is the Gentleman's motion to reconsider, is that correct?"

Speaker Giglio: "We did that with leave of the Attendance Roll Call. Yes."

Black: "We already did that. Now, if he wants a corrected First
Conference Committee Report to add an immediate effective
date, he'll need 71 votes."

Speaker Giglio: "No, he has to file a request, correct?"

Black: "Well, whatever."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Bugielski."

Speaker Giglio: "Take it out of the record. 2148, Representative Keane. Representative Munizzi, do you want to handle 214...? Representative Granberg on Senate Bill 37. Representative Granberg in the chamber? Out of the record. Representative Sam Wolf on Senate Bill 136? Senate Bill 136."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I move for the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 136. The net effect of this Conference Committee Report is to bring both the State Employee's Pension System and the Teacher's Retirement System into compliance with the Federal Reconciliation Act of October 1990 which provides that all part-time employees are those who work less than 50% of the time shall either, (1) become a part of the Social Security System or be a participant in a retirement system. This Conference Committee Report

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

makes it possible for those employees working less than half of the time to become a participant in both the State Employee's and the Teacher's Retirement System and I would move for adoption of First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 136."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 136?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting is open. This final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', voting 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 136 and this Bill received the extra required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Novak on Bill 201."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that we adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 201. Senate Bill 201 bans the disposal of low-level nuclear radioactive waste at any site other than one authorized by the central midwest compact. This Bill is intended to assert regulatory control of low-level radioactive waste in face of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Policy Statement that certain nuclear wastes were 'below regulatory concern commonly known as BRC's and so may be exempt from regulations on low-level radioactive waste.' Although the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission does not actually allow disposal of these wastes, they are below regulatory concern and has not rescinded this policy, either. This Bill makes clear that regulations still apply in the State of Illinois and I ask for your positive adoption."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Wennlund: "Does this Conference Committee Report return the Bill back to its initial form?"

Novak: "Yes."

Wennlund: "And, what was the origin of this Bill?"

Novak: "Pardon me, Representative Wennlund?"

Wennlund: "What was the origin of the Bill? What was the reason for it in the first place?"

Novak: "What was the origin of the Bill?"

Wennlund: "Yeah."

Novak: "The origin of the Bill is that a few years ago the Nuclear Regulatory Commission decided that low-level radioactive waste would be considered below regulatory concern, and therefore would be eligible to be deposited in landfills in the State of Illinois. This legislation simply states that the central midwest compact that this General Assembly approved and was approved by the Governor a number of years ago will regulate what goes into low-level radioactive waste depositories. It certainly will not go into landfills in the State of Illinois."

Wennlund: "So that the BRC's will be deposited into a site that is acceptable for nuclear waste?"

Novak: "Right. That's currently being negotiated in the State of Illinois, yes?"

Wennlund: "Okay, and the Department of Nuclear Safety has signed off on the Bill?"

Novak: "Yes. This is the Department of Nuclear Safety Bill. Yes it is."

Wennlund: "Thank you very much."

Novak: "You're welcome."

Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House accept the First

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 201?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' opposed 'no', the voting's open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 114 voting 'yes', none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 201 and this Bill having received the extra Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Ryder on House Bill 177. Representative McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If you would recognize Representative Granberg on this."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg? House Bill 177."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House Bill 177 is the culmination of meetings with business employers and employees and is now an agreed Bill. These meetings took place over the course of the last month. They took numerous days, numerous hours and we have come to an agreement between those groups, for public collective bargaining. employee It encompasses the following points: First, where the parties agree to delay the date of a union election beyond the 100 day statutory deadline, they must now agree to hold the election on a Number Two, it will now make it an unfair date certain. labor practice under the Public Labor Relations Act to refuse to reduce a collective bargaining agreement to writing, or to refuse to sign such agreement. Three, amends both acts to allow the boards to award attorney's fees and costs against parties engaging in frivolous litigation for the purpose of delay. Four, provides that Fire Protection Districts with 12 or more employees are covered under the Public Labor Relations Act. And five, adds the definition of 'Short Term Employee' to the

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Educational Labor Relations Act. The Illinois Municipal League, other groups, and employer and employee have agreed on this legislation. They worked very hard to come to an agreement and I would ask your support."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative, it's my understanding that all parties have sat down to the negotiating table and worked this out in an agreed Bill process and it was, in fact, agreed to by all parties concerned?"

Novak: "Representative, I'm sorry, I couldn't hear all of what you said?"

Wennlund: "I say, it's my understanding that all parties involved sat down at the table and agreed on a provision of this Bill and it's been going on for some time and that they've all signed off on it?"

Novak: "We've had these meetings over the course of the last month. The meetings have encompassed 45 different people, at different points, there was no one that was excluded from the meeting."

Wennlund: "Including Fire Protection Districts?"

Novak: "That's what I was going to get to. We've had contact from the Fire Protection Districts saying that they didn't agree with what we had done, and that gentleman, I think, had a problem with another business group that had been there. That group informed that gentleman, that in fact nothing would probably happen, so he wouldn't have to be there. It was his decision, then, not to attend. The Illinois Municipal League signed off on all the points in this agreement. The Illinois Municipal League, in fact, drafted the language. So,..."

Wennlund: "I understand that, basically, this doesn't apply to but very few Fire Protection Districts that are in

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

existence, anyway, because it's over 12 employees, so, I really don't have a concern. The real concern comes from municipalities and they've all signed off and we agree it's a good Bill."

Novak: "Exactly. Thank you, Representative."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Yeah, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor
 vield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Black: "Representative, it's not your intent to portray this as a totally agreed Bill. Is that correct?"

Novak: "Representative, it was agreed to by all of the parties in the course of the meetings during the last month. No one was excluded from these negotiations."

Black: "Okav. Alright. Since you put it that way, I can appreciate that, and I thank you for your answer. Mr. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have talked with two people who represent the Fire Protection Districts Association here in Illinois. They represent some 750 Fire Protection Districts. Now, I don't know, and I must be honest with you, they have not clearly expressed to me why they are opposed to this Bill. I don't know whether it was the fact that they were told they didn't have to be there because nothing would happen, or whatever that is, but I just think some of you need to know, that deal with Mr. Ken Long of the Fire Protection Districts Association, that I talked to him yesterday and he is not in favor of this Bill. I wish I could tell you exactly why he is not. I think Representative Granberg probably has portrayed this very accurately, but, for those of you that have a number of Fire Protection Districts, you might want to be advised to vote 'present' on the Bill."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There were a number of groups that worked very, very hard to come to an agreement through the agreed Bill process, on the collective bargaining negotiations. Those groups compromised, they agreed with the agreed Bill process, they went to great lengths, spent many days and many, many hours, and I'd have to compliment those groups. So because of that, I hope everyone can give us an 'aye' vote on this to understand and reaffirm their commitment to that process. And, because they went through all those things, I don't think we should stop it. This is a good agreement and it's agreed to by all the parties in the negotiations."
- Speaker Giglio: "Question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First
 Conference Committee Report to House Bill 177?' All those
 in favor vote 'aye', opposed 'no'. The voting is open.
 This is final action. Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Speaker, would this Bill take 71 votes?"

- Speaker Giglio: "The Parliamentarian informs the Chair that this Bill would require 71 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 80 voting 'yes', and 5 voting 'no', 28 voting 'present' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 177 and this Bill having received the required extra majority Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Martinez? Representative Martinez on Senate Bill 271. Senate Bill 271. Do you wish to have this Bill called?"
- Martinez: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of this
 House. I would like to withdraw Amendment #1 and go with
 Amendment #2. Mr. Speaker, I move to adopt the Second
 Conference Committee Report."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman moves to adopt the First Conference Committee Report. Second, the Gentleman wishes to refuse to accept the First Conference Committee Report.

Take it out of the record, Representative Martinez?"

Martinez: "Yes. Please."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I've enjoyed working with the Representative on this Bill and I think...and I'm sure staff can show him the only thing wrong with this first report, I believe, is it's got a technical error in it and if he just gets the technical error corrected, I don't see any problem with the Gentleman's Bill or the Conference Committee Report. But, I would assume staff would point out to him that it has an error so he cannot accept this, and he wants to come back with a second."

Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. On
Conference Committee Report appears Senate Bill 446,
Representative Burke. Representative Burke. 446. Do you
wish to have this Bill called? Senate Bill 446."

Burke: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. On Senate Bill 446, I move for the adoption of this First Conference Committee Report. This is an Act to amend the Criminal Identification Act by changing Section 5, provides that the presiding trial judge might intervene when motions are made to expunge an arrest record."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Gentleman's motion? Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Wennlund: "What does he do when he intercedes?"

Burke: "He would hear the requests, as opposed to a matter that would refer to the Chief Judge of a particular court, the presiding trial judge might hear the motion to expunge the

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

arrest record."

Wennlund: "Who else would normally hear it?"

Burke: "I beg your pardon? I'm sorry, I didn't hear your question?"

Wennlund: "Who else would hear the petition for expungement?"

Burke: "The presiding judge."

Black: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First...Representative Burke?"

Burke: "Mr. Speaker, please take this out of the record."

Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

Representative Satterthwaite, are you ready on Senate Bill
5392"

Satterthwaite: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I move to accept the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 539. There was simply an error in the Senate when they did not accept a House Amendment. The Bill goes...the Conference Committee now puts the Bill back in the same position as when it left the House with 117 votes in favor of it and I would move for the adoption of the Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion on the Lady's Motion? Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall the House accept the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 539?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no', voting's open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does accept the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 539 and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Giorgi in the chamber? Representative

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Giorgi? Representative Steczo? Senate Bill 750?"

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would move for adoption of the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 750. This Bill represents an agreed upon language between the Capital Development Board and the Illinois Historic Preservation Agency regarding the use of the word 'feasibility' and it provides a definition of the word 'feasible' or 'feasibility' as it relates to state undertakings. I would, Mr. Speaker, answer any questions, and if not, would move for the adoption of the report."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 750?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting's open. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 116 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 750, and this Bill having received the required Majority Constitutional is hereby declared passed. Representative Williams on Senate Bill 992. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes, Senate Bill 992 is an agreed upon Bill that deals with the...actually the Conference Committee Report does nothing but put the Bill exactly as it was when it passed out of here, providing for the recording of Special Service Areas Ordinances within 60 days of their becoming law in order to give notice. The only reason it's here in a Conference Committee Report is because there was a mixup when it went to the Senate and now the mixup has been cleared up as to whether or not to accept or reject the Committee and it's exactly what we had agreed upon and exactly what flew out of here and went over with unanimous

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

support. So, I'd urge that we adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 992."

- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,

 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee
 Report to Senate Bill 992?' All those in favor signify by
 voting 'aye', opposed 'no', the voting's open. This is
 final action. Representative Black. Gentleman from
 Vermilion, Representative Black."
- Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would just have an inquiry of the Sponsor, although it is late in the process. It would appear that this Conference Committee Report was not even presented to the Minority Members for their signatures. We were just wondering if that was an oversight, or perhaps they were unavailable to sign at that time, but, I'm always a little concerned when our Members aren't even asked to sign a Conference Committee Report. That's certainly not the kind of service we expect from a man of the integrity and the strong legal background of Representative Williams."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Williams."

- Williams: "I have no idea how this happened. I, basically...you know, it's one of those things. I did not circulate it. I looked at the report and it was done and there was no hidden agenda in there, nothing that we didn't do before. I dunno."
- Black: "And I would accept what you have said as the truth, knowing you. But I just wanted the record to reflect that. I think sometimes we get in a big hurry here, and I do appreciate the man's answer, a very straightforward answer. But, I think sometimes we get in such a hurry here that we want to run things that people haven't seen, and since the two Republican signatorees haven't signed it, I can't in good conscience vote for it, I'll vote 'present' and I'm

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

not casting any doubt on the Sponsor. I know him to be a very honorable man, but, let's just be a little more careful, let's make sure everybody at least gets an opportunity to sign the reports."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I, too, am voting 'present' because I feel like there's a little lack of respect here. We are the minorities but no one even came to ask us for some sound judgment as to whether this Conference Committee was good or bad. I want you all to understand how deeply sorrowed we are over here. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Just to let you know that we did, in fact, acquire the signatures of the Senate Republican Members, and so, your party did, in fact, review this and...just wanted you to know, it does have Republican Party..."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wojcik, are you still seeking recognition?"

Wojcik: "I think he should ask for a Second Conference Committee so that we could sign the report. It would be the noble thing to do, don't you think?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Black, are you seeking recognition?"

Black: "Yes. Yes, Mr. Speaker. Now, I just want the record to reflect, this is not surrender. This simply means truce, and that we should negotiate. Now, the underlying Bill passed the House I think, 114 to nothing. So, we don't want to disrupt the Gentleman's Bill. I'm not sure, in all due respect to my colleague who's helping defend my honor, that we want a Second Conference Committee Report, and I'll tell you what I'm willing to do. If we can negotiate, from now until whenever we go home, I'll change my 'present' to 'yes'. There were no 'no' votes on this Bill, it's a good

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Bill, but... Just a reminder over there. At least give us a chance to negotiate and let's talk. Let's see the Conference Committee Reports. And, I know the Sponsor would intend to do that, but sometimes staff's get very busy. So, I'll change my vote, but just keep in mind, this is not a flag of surrender, it's a truce. Let's negotiate and as I said, I don't remember when I said it, but, let's try and follow the rules."

Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 108 voting 'yes' and none voting 'no' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 992 and this Bill having received the required Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Burzynski."

Burzynski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just wanted to point out to the Sponsor of this fine piece of legislation that I, too, changed my vote to an 'aye' and I would like to indicate that of the six Conference Committees that I've been involved in, I've only been ask to sign off on one of those. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Senate Bill 1009, Representative DeJaegher."

DeJaegher: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. What I would like to do at this time is recede from House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1009. That would put that Bill back in its original form. The Amendment that I had put on the Bill was a proviso that which would require the Department of Aging to provide a 60 days notice of termination of services. The Senate sponsor did not want this on the Bill, that's why I am asking that this Amendment be taken off from this Bill so this Bill could pass at this particular time."

Speaker Giglio: "Gentleman moves to refuse the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1009. Well, I think the

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- Gentleman is a little mixed up as to what he really wants to do. He wants to change the Bill? Is that correct?"
- DeJaegher: "I want to remove the Amendment that was put on it in the House. Staff told me to take the damn thing off. Now, what are we doin'? Mr. Speaker, let me rephrase that. I wish to adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1009."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman wishes to adopt the First
 Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1009. And on
 that question, the Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative
 Black."
- Black: "It's just always a pleasure for us, Mr. Speaker, to help your Members pass their Bills when they're good Bills. So, we're gonna help Representative DeJaegher on this. We want to thank our staff and Representative Hultgren for getting this straightened out and now we can move on with the business at hand."
- DeJaegher: "I do want to extend my thanks to Representative Hultgren, who is my person to speak of in Senior Committee, so I do want to thank him."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Well, I understand they left out the word 'basically' in Representative DeJaegher's report, and I think the word 'basically' belongs in that report, so I will go along with that."
- Speaker Giglio: "Representative Hultgren."
- Hultgren: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really am kind of glad that
 I've been relegated to the position on staff because it
 will probably mean an increase in pay, so that's delightful
 news."
- Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1009?'

 And on that question, all those in favor vote 'aye'

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

opposed, 'no'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 112 voting 'yes' and 1 voting 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1009 and this Bill, having received the required extra Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Obrzut. Representative Obrzut in the chamber? Senate Bill 1016, Mr. Clerk. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Obrzut."

Obrzut: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Bill 1016, I move that we adopt the Conference Committee The Bill originally dealt with the O'Hare Airport noise abatement. That Bill is already...a similar Bill's already to the Governor. We have removed the language from that Bill and added some language now that deals only with the RTA. The language we are now offering seeks to enhance the financial management capabilities of the RTA. minor change to the RTA, the Act incorporated in this Amendment raises the noncompetitive bid limit from \$5,000 to \$10,000. The more important section of the Conference Committee Report, however, provides the RTA with the authority to utilize rate protection contracts to manage its financial exposure should the opportunity be deemed appropriate. The Bill...this Conference Committee Report was passed 56-0 in the Senate, and I would move for adoption."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? Hearing none, the question is,
'Shall the House adopt'...excuse me...Representative
Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Is there a reason why the Republicans did not sign this report?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Obrzut."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- Black: "Yeah...maybe the Sponsor would know. I...I don't know..."
- Obrzut: "From what I understand, is that they wanted to pursue this Bill in the Senate. Like I say, it passed 56-0, and that was the only reason that...I understand there's no opposition, so that's the only thing I can say, Representative Black."
- Black: "Alright, I...staff has kinda filled me in on the background. I appreciate your taking time to answer it. Thank you."
- Speaker Giglio: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk. On Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1030. Representative Munizzi. The Lady from Cook. Senate Bill 1030."
- Munizzi: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'd like
 to ask for a Second Conference Committee Report on this.
 We found a little controversial material that we'd like to
 clean up, so, if I can have a Second Conference Committee,
 I'd like to do that."
- Speaker Giglio: "Does the Lady have leave? Hearing none, leave is granted. Representative Munizzi. Representative Munizzi, are you seeking recognition again?"

Munizzi: "I'm sorry?"

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Munizzi."

- Munizzi: "I move 'do not adopt' the First Conference Committee

 Report and ask that a second one be assigned."
- Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor signify by saying 'aye' opposed, 'nay'; in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and a Second Conference Committee Report is requested on Senate Bill 1030. Senate Bill 1079, Representative Williams. Senate Bill 1079, Representative Paul Williams."

Williams: "Yes, Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

1079 is very similar to the Bill that we passed out of here with 114 votes. The House recedes from House Amendment #1 relating to the finances of Public Aid receivables, because this provision was included in another Bill. 631. The CCR also...the Conference Committee Report also revises the language that grandfathers in banks to allow those banks which operate travel agency services to continue to provide those services. The language is supported by the Illinois Bankers, the community bankers and has been agreed by the Illinois Travel Committee. The Conference Committee Report it would have grandfathered them up until today. wouldn't be allowed to then open up a new one. The Conference Committee Report also includes a provision to allow the state to pay community health providers by direct The provision is supported by the community deposit. health providers and is agreed to by the Comptroller the State Treasurer. To the best of my knowledge, I really know of no real opposition. This report was adopted in the Senate 55-3, and I would urge that we adopt Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1079."

- Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from Macoupin, Representative Hannig."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?

 Yes, Representative, I had a travel agent just tell me
 about a half—hour ago or less that they were not
 represented at the meetings and that they are not in favor
 of the Bill. Could you clarify that for me?"
- Williams: "Yes. Actually, you're the first person that came to me and made any sort of mention, as I said. I have been given the representation that the Illinois Travel Committee I'm not exactly certain who that is had agreed to this, and that to the best of my knowledge, I know of no other opposition except for what you might have said. The key

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

point is that this does not allow banks to open up travel agencies. This just deals with situations where say a bank, for whatever reason, may have acquired the stocks and interests, particularly in some form of bankruptcy or foreclosure, and quite often they can't do anything with the stock but to operate the company until such time as they can sell it or something, and this just allows them to operate what they already are doing. This just legalizes it, and it only legalizes it up to the date of this Bill. It doesn't allow them to open up anything new."

Hannig: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. To the Bill: I think the Sponsor's done everything that he can to try to bring the the interested parties together, but, partners...or perhaps, and I say perhaps, there may have been...there may have been some parties that were simply not at the table. I'm not certain if that's the case or not. The Bill does provide that any bank who owned a travel agency as of today can continue to do so, but if you have small travel agencies in your district and you think they may be not in favor of this, I'd advise you to vote 'no'. Otherwise, I'm certain that the Bill's not going to be a problem in...in any other parts, so do as you please, but I appreciate the Sponsor for trying to be honest and fair and work with us as best that he can."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Parke."

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a quick question?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Parke: "Representative Williams, I mean...I understand that this is only grandfathering what...seven or eight or ten, at the most, and this does not expand the powers, right?"

Williams: "Not at all. That's my understanding, and..."

Parke: "So, there should be no problem if it's grandfathering..."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Williams: "There should be no problem. Like I say, this is the first time I ever heard of any questions was ten minutes ago, five minutes ago."

Parke: "Okay. Well, I don't have any problem with it."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Noland."

Noland: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Noland: "Representative Williams, if a...if a holding...holding company has a bank in Peoria and then they buy my small bank in Blue Mound and they have a travel agency, can they put a travel agency in my bank in my small town?"

Williams: "No. It does not allow them to open up anything new.

It does allow them do that at all."

Noland: "So, it doesn't let a foot in the door for...for banks to move travel agency all over in the holding company?"

Williams: "Not at all. Not at all."

Noland: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Further discussion? Representative Williams to close."

Williams: "I'd ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1079?' And, on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The voting is open, and this is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 107 voting 'yes', none voting 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1079 and this Bill, having received the required extra Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1364, Representative Hartke."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1364 is the Bill that has been discussed and cussed and lobbied to and for for many weeks here on the floor, in the halls and so forth around. After working for several weeks with the Illinois Petroleum Council, Illinois Petroleum the Marketing Association. the Illinois Corn Association, the Illinois Farm Bureau, ADM and Pekin Energy, we have reached a...an agreeable compromise in this piece of legislation. What this does, piece of legislation, originally in...in Senate Bill 1361, there was many objections to the mandates and the proposals that were...that were put forth. Senate Bill 1364 is the cumulation of those discussions and so forth and agreement in the associations involved. What 1364 does extends the tax exemption on the use of ethanol with the setting of goals to be reached by the Ethanol Producers Association, and for the purposes of a clarification of legislative intent, nothing in Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1364 constitutes any type of mandate requiring any distributor of motor fuel to offer for sale a mixture of gasoline and ethanol commonly known as gasoline. With that statement and the working of...the various associations, the opposition has been removed Petroleum Council here in Illinois. I'd be happy to answer any questions about the piece of legislation."

Speaker Giglio: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Ropp: "You have attempted here to come forth with a reasonable compromise, wouldn't you say?"

Hartke: "Yes."

Ropp: "Then, that ethanol is a product that is generally

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

considered derived from an agricultural continuing product that we can grow forever and ever, and almost as...non...we can almost do it eternally, right?"

- Hartke: "Well, as long as we...produce corn in Illinois and so forth, it is a renewable energy source."
- Ropp: "And if by chance...this Bill becomes law, it will have a greater effect on the reliability of fuel in this country versus the fact if we get involved in an international conflict, we might be tied up and not be able to secure the kind of necessary fuel to operate our industry and to move about in this country. Correct?"
- Hartke: "Well, it will make us less reliant on foreign oil. Yes,
 it will."
- Ropp: "Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill: I support this as an attempt to deal with a very important issue, a source of providing us energy and kind of a renewed process for growing corn and the fact that we can do it so well in Illinois, and I think the Body needs to support this, because it is an attempt to take care of, not only our environment, but also to provide additional markets for products that we grow here, not only in Illinois but throughout the midwest, and I support this Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker Giglio: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Andrew McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. This piece of legislation has been brought in one form or another before the Revenue Committee this Session. I know that there has been probably a workout, but I don't think that there has been an agreement as far as the State of Illinois and local governments are concerned. I want everyone to realize all we have been hearing here for the last two days and days before that is, 'We don't have

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

enough money. We don't have money to meet the budget, and why should we do this or why should we do that?'. Well, here's a good example of a why we should put a stop to a piece of legislation. We have given an exemption to ethanol gasahol for years, and now they want an extension of that. An extension of it, even though they may have to meet certain goals, it is still going to be a greater cost to the State of Illinois, to the tune of \$26 million. Are we prepared to send this piece of legislation out of here knowing it's gonna cost the government \$26 million? I would say, and I would please respectfully urge you, to place a 'no' vote on this legislation and put it to rest once and for all."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Olson. Robert Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Two weeks ago, give or take a day, I didn't think legislation like this stood a ghost of a chance of passing. We now have agreement from the ethanol processors who have maintained that certain quotas will be met before they are eligible for the tax revenue breaks, we have agreement from the petroleum industry, agreement from the environmental people, corn growers, and I compliment Representative Hartke and Senator Joyce who patiently worked with all these groups and put this piece of legislation in an agreeable form. I ask and encourage all to vote 'yes' on the adoption of the First Conference Committee Report of Senate Bill 1364, and congratulations, Chuck."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Granberg."

Granberg: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Granberg: "Representative Hartke, a number of us are very concerned about a certain individual on this legislation.

Is Senator Joyce in favor of this legislation?"

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Hartke: "Absolutely. Senator Joyce is standing here right at my side."

Granberg: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Leitch."

Leitch: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "Indicates he will."

Leitch: "Did you indicate that both Pekin Energy and the Petroleum Marketers have signed off on this?"

Hartke: "Representative Leitch, if you would look over your left shoulder, you will see the representative from ADM in the gallery, and I think he would indicate that they are totally in support of this legislation."

Leitch: "And what about Petroleum Marketers?"

Hartke: "Petroleum Marketers are in the gallery on the right."

Leitch: "Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giglio: "He indicates he will."

Steczo: "Representative Hartke, could you answer for me how long this subsidy has been in effect?"

Hartke: "Since 1977."

Steczo: "Representative Hartke, what was the...what was the market share for ethanol in 1977?"

Hartke: "About 20%."

Steczo: "About 20%? When is this...this subsidy scheduled to expire?"

Hartke: "This subsidy would expire, by my legislation, in the year 1997."

Steczo: "No, when is the current subsidy scheduled to expire?"

Hartke: "January 1, 1993."

Steczo: "Answer for me, why is it...necessary for us this year,
when the subsidy doesn't expire until 1993, to seek an
extension?"

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Hartke: "It is my understanding that with the...by law, the extension of this subsidy...it will give the distillers the ability to...to have the stability into the market that they know that this will be there, they will put steel underground and create more jobs. I've heard the...the argument that this is a total subsidy and a cost to the State of Illinois. Ι respectfully disagree. contributes some \$994 million worth of economic activity to the State of Illinois. That generates between \$29 and \$59 million worth of tax revenues for the State of Illinois, so it is a revenue plus. It would seem to me that for every dollar we spend on a tax subsidy we get two back. Not a bad investment in my book! It creates some 4,000 to 6,000 jobs in the State of Illinois from top to bottom in the ethanol industry."

Steczo: "Mr. Hartke, thank you for the answer. I just wish it answered...answered the question. The...the other...the other comment that I have...or one of the other questions that I do have, ...you said that the market share for ethanol was 20% in 1977. Is that correct?"

Hartke: "Well, it's...it's very difficult to understand, but that's the year that we started this...this subsidy."

Steczo: "What's the market share now?"

Hartke: "The market share now is about 27 1/2%, 28%."

Steczo: "Where do you see the market share going in the next couple of years?"

Hartke: "It is our hope and desire, through this legislation, to increase the use of corn for the use in ethanol, and it would increase it by these goals set. We're looking at an expansion in that industry to...27 1/2, 29, 30 percent in the next three years or..."

Steczo: "Or could it be higher than that, 40%, 50%, perhaps?"

Hartke: "It is our hope, and that's why by this legislation in

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

the year 1995, if we do not increase it to that 40%, by this legislation the tax subsidy will be cut in half."

Steczo: "Do you expect the Clean Air Act, the Federal Clean Air Act, will help enhance the market share for ethanol?"

Hartke: "There's a very good possibility of that, because there are some requirements in the Federal Clean Air Act that they use oxygenated fuels, and that will help tremendously.

And to meet that demand, they oughta have that steel in the ground to meet that demand."

Steczo: "Finally, what is the...what is the current cost to the state for the subsidy?"

Hartke: "About twenty-one to the state and five to local."

Steczo: "I didn't hear your answer."

Hartke: "About twenty-one to the state and five to the local."

Steczo: "So total \$26 million."

Hartke: "Estimated. ves."

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Hartke. Mr. Speaker, just...just the...to the Conference Committee Report. Before we start congratulating people on the...their...their agreement here, I think we really have to take a look at what this...what this agreement really does, or what this Conference Committee Report really does. As Representative Hartke and as Representative McGann pointed out, there is a cost to the state in this program of \$26 million. The fact is, this program does not expire until 1993, and yet, well before that expiration date, here we are trying to seek an extension until 1999. One of the things that we do in the State of Illinois, we try to take a fledgling industry, and we'd say to that industry, 'We'll help you. We will help you until you get your feet on the ground.' This industry, with the assistance of a subsidy...and this industry did agree to let the subsidy come off on its own by 1993...this industry said give us a subsidy, help us get on our feet,

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

and we'll let the subsidy expire after we've been successful. The market share, the market share today, is 27%. That's no longer fledgling. The market share in the next few years may be 50%. That's no longer fledgling. We just passed a Federal Clean Air Act that's gonna help the industry with or without a subsidy. That's more than fledgling, so I think that we in this House should cast an easy vote here and save the state \$26 million, and I believe a 'no' vote is in order."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I also rise in opposition.

As the Gentleman said, the subsidy is not scheduled to expire during this legislative term. There's no reason that action has to be taken now. It can be considered next year when it's more timely. For...for the time until it would expire, there is going to be a tax loss, if this Bill passes. It's estimated now, based on the numbers in the Bill, that the loss from the Federal Highway Trust Fund would be \$12 million and the loss in the sales tax to the State of Illinois would be \$24 million. Since it's not timely, since it does represent a loss of revenue, I see no reason to act on it now. I think we should vote 'no'."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Monroe Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giglio: "You heard the Gentleman's Motion that the previous question be moved. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it. The previous question has been moved. Representative Hartke, to close."

Hartke: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House.

I never said this was an easy Bill. It's a big question
here in the State of Illinois, and I think we oughta look
at the big picture. We're trying to create jobs here in

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

the State of Illinois and maintain jobs here in the State of Illinois. We're trying to help the ecology here in the State of Illinois and the environment. We're trying to become energy—efficient in the United States, and I think this will help all of those aspects. I don't think this costs the State of Illinois any money at all. We have not had this revenue for many years because we have given this tax exemption. You can't miss something you don't have. It would be great to have...it would be great to have the...the sales tax on...on food and drugs and medicine and so forth, but we don't have that anymore, we gave that exemption. We never did have the tax on the gasohol, and I think it's...it's time we help the farmer and the economy and ecology here in Illinois and would ask for your support on this piece of legislation."

Speaker Giglio: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1364?'

And on that question, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have... Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to explain my vote. I...I want all of you to think back. My memory isn't as good as it used to be, but just stop and think for a second. This may cost the state \$26 million. What did operation Desert Storm cost? \$14 billion? Ask the 14 families who lost a son or a daughter what operation Desert Storm cost. Now I realize it was much more complicated than just oil, I realize that. But I'll tell you something, this nation is dependent upon imported oil. We better get off the mark, stop talking out of both sides of our mouth, and develop a domestic alternative fuel. I think this Gentleman's got the only game in town that will

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

help us do that. \$26 million? Well, how many more Desert Storms do you want? It's time to stop talking about an energy policy and move to something that'll create an energy policy right here in Illinois that will help people so we won't have any more Desert Storms. The cost of gas? Under a dollar before Desert Storm. What is it now? How much did that cost the consumer? I think ethanol's a reasonable alternative and we oughta make sure that we're energy sufficient. No more Desert Storms."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Novak."

Novak: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I'd just like to explain my vote. You know, we talk about doing a lot of certain things down here and having the guts and the will to go on new ventures and to get away from certain traditions, and as Representative Black indicated, yes, we are in Illinois and not only this whole country, we are interdependent on...on imported oil. Here we have a homegrown resource that's renewable, that will provide thousands of jobs. Not the big jobs in the big cities that are all there, but the jobs downstate Illinois and the small communities, ...the small downstate communities that have withered on the vine during the decade of the Ronald Reagan 80's and during the inflationary times, and let's get some punch put back in this thing, and let's get ethanol on the board, 71 more...71 votes, and let's provide some jobs and a new industry for Illinois."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Tenhouse."

Tenhouse: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I guess when I got the word yesterday that the...the figures showed that 14% of the residents in my area are on Public Aid, it makes you kinda realize what happens, especially when you drive in the streets and towns of...of...Western Illinois and you see that a lot of the

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

small communities look like ghost towns. When I graduated from college in 1973, we received more for corn than we do right now, and I think anyone who looks at the situation, the devastating effect that that's had in the rural communities. If we can in fact use this renewable resource, increase our returns to the rural community, I think this...this money that we're talking about is gonna be returned in spades, and I think down the road when we look back, this may be one of the better votes that we've made and one we can be proud of as we go home."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cowlishaw."

Cowlishaw: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair, please, and I would like an opportunity to explain my vote.

How many votes does it take for this Bill to be enacted?" Speaker Giglio: "Sixty."

Cowlishaw: "Sixty? Thank you very much. Just to explain my 'no' vote. I have a rather extensive letter I have received from one of my constituents, who is a chemical engineer and who has done quite a few studies having to do with ethanol and other gasoline and motor fuel products. He tells me in this letter that, (1) the claim that this kind of product helps to solve an environmental problem that prevails in Illinois is absolutely false. There is such a problem in other parts of the country, but that problem does not prevail here. He tells me, secondly, that, the...the claim about dependence on the...the...amount of foreign oil is false, because, in fact, it takes more oil to run the farm equipment to produce one gallon of gasohol than it does to just import it, and, finally, he says that, maybe we all oughta just recognize that this is a subsidy for the corn growers. That's all it is."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Weller, one minute to explain your vote."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this legislation. Seems like a long time ago there was a President named Jimmy Carter, and while President Carter was in office we had an energy crisis, and one of the reasons we had an energy crisis was because our country was dependent on imported oil. The facts are, we're more dependent today than we were when Jimmy Carter was . President, and that was a long time ago. Ladies and Gentlemen, this legislation will help Illinois. today we received just as many votes on legislation to buy American. Well, this legislation is going to help everyone buy Illinois. Some said, 'Well, why should this incentive expire...you know, why should we deal with this Bill year when the incentive expires next year?' Well, this Bill doesn't go into effect till July of 1992. That's why important we pass this legislation today, and that's why I'm glad to see it has the necessary 72 votes."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Harris, one minute to explain your vote."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker. I won't delay...delay the House, because I know it's gonna pass obviously, but...I'm voting for this because I told my friend that I would vote for this, and I recognize the importance to the corn growers. The subsidy's in place; we'll leave in it place, but you know it's kind of instructive, because the Gentleman also had a Bill earlier this year that said, 'Hey, in the next ten years, we have to use all ethanol blend.' But what happens to ethanol if we take away the subsidy? The price goes up. It is not competitive unless it has this subsidy. Okay, we'll give 'em the subsidy, we'll leave it in place. But it's wrong to try to drive up energy prices by...by moving to this type of a fuel, and that's what's gonna happen without this

78th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1991
- subsidy. So we'll leave it in place this time, but don't give us 100% of ethanol blend."
- Speaker Giglio: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 74 voting 'yes', 31 voting 'no', and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1364, and this Bill, having received the required Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Resolution 845."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 845, offered by Representative Daniels.
 - WHEREAS, The Honorable Thomas W. Ewing is a true son of the Land of Lincoln, born on September 19, 1935, and raised in Atlanta, Illinois; and
 - WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing began his education in the public schools in Atlanta, Illinois; continued it at Millikin University, where he took a bachelor's degree in business administration; and furthered it at John Marshall Law School, where he earned his J.D. degree; and
 - WHEREAS, As a young lawyer in Central Illinois, Thomas W.

 Ewing "hung out his shingle" in Pontiac, and followed in
 the tradition of Abraham Lincoln, becoming a leading
 citizen of Livingston County; his fellow citizens honored
 him with appointments as director and president of the
 Pontiac Chamber of Commerce and the Pontiac Township
 Community Chest; and
 - WHEREAS, In his legal career, Thomas W. Ewing followed the paths of public and private service, serving as partner in the Pontiac firm of Satter, Ewing and Beyer; assistant State's attorney in Livingston County from 1968 through 1972; and director for the Regional Law and Justice Commission; and
 - WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing's manifest professionalism and

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- eagerness to serve his fellow citizens of central Illinois led to his election in November, 1974, to the Illinois House of Representatives in the 79th General Assembly; and WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing, in the sixteen and one-half years that have elapsed since that distant day, has advanced steadily in the estimation of his fellow members of the Illinois House; he is a familiar face in almost every committee of the House, and has won appointments in different bienniums to the House Appropriations General Services Committee; the House Committee on Assignment; the House Committee on Constitutional Officers; the House Labor and Commerce Committee; the House Committee on Personnel and Pensions; the House Public Utilities Committee; the House Committee; the House Committee; and
- WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing also served on the House Revenue Committee, becoming Chairman of that body during the 82nd General Assembly; and
- WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing, as one of the Representatives in the General Assembly for what was once the Grand Prairie, the 20,000 square mile, unforested northeast—central Illinois region which enjoys the richest agricultural soil on Earth, has never strayed far from his roots, serving for many terms on the House Agriculture Committee, and placing the welfare of the farmers of Illinois at the top of his priority list; and
- WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing began his Illinois House career as one of three members representing the old 38th district, a socially and economically diverse region of exurban and downstate Illinois stretching from St. Charles, in Kane County, to Livingston County; and
- WHEREAS, After the 1981 redistricting, Thomas W. Ewing represented, in his own right, the 87th District, an

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

- equally diverse district stretching from Livingston to Piatt Counties; and
- WHEREAS, The members of the House Republican caucus of the 83rd General Assembly, the first elected in single-member districts, saw Thomas W. Ewing elevated in 1983 to the position of Assistant Minority Leader; and
- WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing remained an Assistant Minority Leader of the Illinois House until 1989, when he became one of two inaugural Deputy Minority Leaders; and
- WHEREAS, Thomas W. Ewing has, as a senior member of legislative leadership, as a State representative, and as a man, acted as a force for friendship and collegiality in the Illinois General Assembly; he has brought all of us together, to work out divisive issues peacefully and successfully, on more occasions that can be counted; and
- WHEREAS, This House has long been aware, with both understanding and sadness, that this may be Thomas W. Ewing's last session in the Illinois General Assembly, as his fellow Illinoisans may be on the verge of calling him onward to a new honor and responsibility, as he stands for election to the United States Congress from the Fifteenth Congressional District; therefore, be it
- RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EIGHTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, that we extend our warmest congratulations to Thomas W. "Tom" Ewing for his years of friendly, kind, thoughtful, and professional service to his fellow members and the people of Illinois in nine separate General Assemblies; and be it further
- RESOLVED, That we convey our most fervent wishes that whatever the near and distant future may hold for Thomas W. "Tom" Ewing, that it contain opportunities for continuing the public service which he has practiced for 22 years; and, be

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

it further

RESOLVED, That we commend Thomas W. Ewing's wife, Connie Ewing; his daughters, Jane Ewing, Kate Ewing, Christine Lupo, and Stephanie Lupo; and his sons, Sam Ewing and John Lupo, for their love and support for their husband and father during his stress-filled career in the Illinois General Assembly; and, be it further

RESOLVED, That a copy of this preamble and resolution be presented to Representative Thomas W. "Tom" Ewing.

Speaker Giglio: "The Minority Leader, Representative Daniels." Daniels: "Ladies and Gentlemen, tomorrow is a momentous day as the Members of the Congressional district to our north cast their ballots for the election of a Congressional person to replace former Congressman Ed Madigan. Now, I know all of us in this chamber have strong views on all the issues that face us, but I don't...do know, and I am sure, that there is one view that we share, and that is a tremendous high degree of respect for one of our Members, for a colleague, an individual who has for the last sixteen and a half years people of his legislative district with served the integrity, with consciousness, with compassion and a caring attitude. Who started in 1975 as a freshman shortly after the...what we call, Waterquie Year. Was one of the few Republicans in the State of Illinois to be elected to the General Assembly at that time, and joined the General Assembly as we observed one of the longest election processes that took place for the election of Speaker going approximately 96 ballots before there was the decision for a Speaker. I joined Tom Ewing that year in 1975 and together we became officemates, sharing the tremendous service of a wonderfully dedicated secretary, Jo Ella Anderson at that time, who worked for us for many, many

years. Our friendship grew, and our respect for each other

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

was nurtured similar to what so many friendships develop here in the General Assembly. Tom and I then took up residence together in Springfield as roommates, shared many common concerns, and shared the love οf government together, living together for a period of nine years, after which point he kicked me out of the house for another roommate by the name of Denny Hastert, where I learned his love for Congressional life from Denny Hastert and how to get that done. In 1983, when I had the privilege to be elected the Republican Leader of the House, I was given the ability to name assistant leaders, and there was no one that I could think of better that would serve the people of Illinois than Tom Ewing who had served in the process of Assistant Republican Leader in the Illinois House. Two years ago we created the post of Deputy Minority Leader, and I was able, with gladness, to to that post. And, of course, the rest and the service in this General Assembly is now coming to an end as we anticipate that his Legislative career in the Illinois General Assembly will end and his Congressional career will know that when I speak on behalf of the Members of the General Assembly, I send to Tom Ewing the best wishes from all of us. I send to him our great respect for him and his abilities. I send with him the love and the care and compassion and the feeling that we all, even though moments get hot and tough around here, even though disagreements cause tempers to rise, that we all know this is, in fact, the greatest fraternity created by man. That, yes, truly the legislative process is one develops a fraternity of respect and a fraternity of care and compassion for each and every Member of the Assembly. But I will tell you, on a personal note, Tom Ewing over the last sixteen and a half years has been a friend, a

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

counselor, an advisor and almost like a family member the times that we've shared together. I share a tremendous source of pride in what he is accomplishing in his life. Having seen him excel in the legal field, excel in a partner in a law firm in Pontiac, having witnessed him as a farmer, as an investor, as a man that is extremely successful in his business pursuits and a man that works hard to accomplish the goals that he seeks. But above and beyond that, a man who has a great deal of care and compassion for a wonderful family and a man who is a devoted husband to a wonderful woman, Connie Ewing. And I know that we all speak as a joint and unified voice when we say, 'Tom, we wish you the best of everything. We wish you the excellence of experience, and we want you to know that, as you carry forth with your Congressional career, carry with you our feelings for your success, our love for your service for the people of the United States, and our indeed strong desire that everything in life will be good for you and good to you.' Congratulations to Tom Ewing. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Preston."

Preston: "Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair. Was this a death Resolution? No? Well, I guess not. I...I'd just like to say that Tom Ewing was...is one of those people that have made it a very special privilege for me to have the ability to have served in the Illinois General Assembly. He has always been a voice of great reason. He was one of those people whose word you could...was his bond and you could put it in the bank, and he was always a Gentleman. I suppose, in other words, for a Republican he's not half bad, and I wish him the very best of luck and Godspeed."

Speaker Giglio: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's a real pleasure, I'm sure, for all of us to be able to wish a colleague farewell who is leaving because he wants to, and in my expression to Tom: I have many, many memories involving our service here together, and I won't talk about all of them, but I would like to mention one, and that is that during the four years that I had to spend in the House Revenue Committee, though not a member of that committee but trying to pressure it in repealing the State Inheritance Tax, Tom Ewing was always a good counselor and gave the people of Illinois strong support in that effort, and I want to personally thank him for what he did in helping us to achieve that goal and to wish him well in the Congress to do good things for the people of Illinois and of the United States. In sending Tom Ewing off to Congress from this Body, we can be that the people will be well served with integrity and real caring about the outcome of the that happen there in Washington. It's almost frightening to think about letting him go to a place like that, but I'm sure it will not ruin Tom because he has a strong character of his own. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've been luckier and more fortunate than any other Member of this House for I've known Tom Ewing for 27 years. Tom and I went to law school together. As a matter of fact, rode from Joliet to Chicago, while we went to law school in the evenings, sharing the difficulties and trials and tribulations of going to law school, and we've been friends since. In fact, it was Tom Ewing who served on the legislative committee that selected me to replace Jack Davis on the ballot in the 84th District in 1986. Since I was elected in '86 Tom Ewing and I have roomed together. I

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

know of no man in the General Assembly that is more respected and revered and honored than Tom Ewing. Tom and I have had the opportunity to spend many hours together discussing politics, discussing what goes on here in the General Assembly, and I owe, indeed, everything that I've learned about what happens here in the General Assembly and about what's wise and what should be done and should not be done from Tom. I'm gonna...I'm gonna miss him. Tom, I cannot find another roommate to replace him. We've been good friends for 27 years. I look forward to working with him in Congress, because he will have part of Will County and who knows what after the courts get through with the Congressional map. A man so respected as Tom Ewing will be missed by us all. I will miss him. I wish he and his family Godspeed."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ropp."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Tom, it's a Ropp: real pleasure to wish you well and to congratulate you on your new venture of service in the U.S. Congress. Few people in this country have that privilege to serve in the strongest, most powerful government in the world, and that certainly is truly an honor that you certainly have and will justify after tomorrow. I want to commend you and congratulate you, both from my wife Roberta to you and Connie and your family. I know that you will serve us all well. You truly have been an inspiration to all of us and to me, particularly, as we have shared our legislative districts together. We've had the opportunity to travel to many meetings, but, I think most of all, we've had the most recent pleasure in having the opportunity to improve your golf score at 6:00 in the morning, and I know that you will continue to do that so that when you come back we'll all be able to...share those joys and privileges again. This

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

chamber certainly has been a better place for your presence here. We will not only miss you, but we will remember you, wish you well, and, again, God bless you all."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative...Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just wanted to say today that I love Tom for his capricious nature when we ever had time down here to indulge in caprice. I'll never ever forget the time he reminded me that if I didn't improve my voting record he was going to cut off my oxygen. At the same time, I respected him for his expertise in the Economic and Fiscal Commission, and I'm sure he'll carry this expertise to Congress and maybe he'll be able to get us out of the fiscal mess that we're in nationally. Best of luck, Tom. We'll be in touch, and I know that you'll do a great job! We're all very proud of you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Churchill."

Churchill: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of House. When you first come to the Legislature you begin to know your colleagues, both politically and professionally. Only after time passes do you actually begin to know your colleagues personally. I feel very fortunate to have been able to serve nine years of my legislative life with Tom Ewing, and I feel very fortunate that for the past five years I've been able to sit across from Tom in our Republican leadership table. Tom is a person of many fine qualities, but I think the one that impresses me most the one that I think Tom is really a nice guy. So, Tom, I say to you as you go to Washington, 'Just remember, it's okay to just be a nice guy from Pontiac, Illinois.' Maybe, if all of the people in Congress are 'nice guys' and they take after you, our country will be a nicer place to live. Good luck to you, and we look for your early return."

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Myron Olson."

Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Olson: "Thank you, Mr. House. Tom Ewing was my first seatmate. I was sitting down there where Mary Lou Cowlishaw's sitting and Tom sat to my right and...E. Ray Hudson, the artist, was sitting on the aisle where Tom Ryder is currently residing. amazed at the grasp of government that Tom Ewing had 'cause, at that time, we were the Majority Party, and was our revenue guru, and we were in a veto Session. He had an extremely good grasp of what was going on, than that, Tom Ewing has been a good friend and a mentor, and he'll do extremely well, and he will be returned in 1992 to Washington."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, Tom Ewing is a...was a name that long before I got to know Tom Ewing. I think my first acquaintance with Tom was when I stuck one of his bumper stickers on the inside of my high school locker door. And after that point, I had the opportunity to meet him at a county fair somewhere and talk with him, but over the years I've gotten to know him, and, also, have grown so much to respect him. You know, I'm one of the few Legislators and there'll be a handful of us that'll have the opportunity and privilege to work with Tom in the years ahead, serves in the United States Congress. And, as I look back over the brief time that I've had the opportunity to work with Tom as a friend and colleague here in the House, I think of those causes that he's taken a leadership role on, causes that are so important to him, such as repealing the earnings limit for Social Security and bringing equity to...to property tax assessments when he worked on the Farm Land Assessment Law, and those are all causes that are so

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

important to Tom, and I know that they'll be causes that he'll take with him to the United States Congress. Tom, we wish you well. We look forward to working with you in the years ahead."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Well, Tom, I too, would like to wish you lots of luck in your new endeavor. I'm going to miss you a lot. I'm going to miss the opportunity of you allowing me to share our good food together and teaching each other the art of fine eating. Good luck, God bless, and we'll miss you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. know that today's a little bit of an awkward situation because I am a candidate in the partisan election, and I do appreciate the honor and the respect that I have received from this Body, and I thank everyone: Speaker Madigan, Leader Daniels, both sides of the aisle, for allowing me this time. I hope, maybe, I will be back before the end of the Session, and maybe you don't, because you'd like to get out of here, but tomorrow is an election day, and I won't be here in the General Assembly. I do want to say, in reminiscing a little bit about this, when I first came here sixteen and a half years ago I really didn't know that I would be here that long, and I had my youngest child with me and he would just come up this aisle carrying drinks and he'd sloshing them out and he had a tray back then, and the littler the children were that paged, the bigger their tips Now, I want you to look at him. were. Raise your hand, Sam. That...that little five year old has grown to a 21 year old, and six four or six five in height. My children grew up in this Body. They came here with me in the summer. I think it was a great experience for Today, they are all interested in government, and they

78th Legislative Day

July 1, 1991

never miss a chance to vote. Now, I don't ask them how they vote always, 'cause some of them have left home and they do their own thing. But I want you to know that all of you that have families there are some sacrifices when you're here in the General Assembly, but there wonderful side effects that are positive, and your children grow up respecting government and knowing how important it is and knowing just how hard everyone in this Body works. Something that is lost on many people Let me say again, my thanks to all of you. It's a difficult time. When I walked into the Capitol this week, and I kinda looked up at the rotunda and I thought, 'this may be my last week', and then you just kinda shrug that off, because for seventeen years you've been coming here. You've been a part of this system, you felt you made a difference, you felt you did a good job, and no one could be more fortunate than I've been in many respects in I've been blessed with a great family, great kids, great parents, and I've had all the friends that anyone could for, and I really appreciate that. ever ask I've represented the best district in the world. Dwight Frederick used to say, 'Well, you don't have to run for that, you get anointed up there.' Well, it has been good, and they've been good to me, and I hope I've been a good Representative for them. To all of you, Godspeed and good luck, and I'll see you, I hope, on Wednesday. Thank you very much."

Speaker Giglio: "All those in favor of the Resolution signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Further Resolutions?"

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 880, Hicks; and 881, McPike."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption

78th Legislative Day

- July 1, 1991
- of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'; opposed, 'no'. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate (sic-House) Joint Resolution 73, offered by
 Representative Daniels, in respect to the memory of Kurt
 Strasser and House Resolution 882, Daniels, in respect to
 the memory of Dr. William Cusick."
- Speaker Giglio: "Matijevich moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

 In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the Death Resolutions are adopted. Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to request from the Chair to announce that immediately upon adjournment, the Republicans will meet for a conference in Room 118."
- Speaker Giglio: "And the Democrats will meet in Room 114 for a democratic caucus immediately after adjournment. No further business to come before the House, Representative McPike moves that the House now stands adjourned until 11:00 a.m. tomorrow. Representative McPike moves that the First Special Session come to order. The Attendance Roll Call of the General Session shall be used for the First Special Session. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative McPike now moves that the First Special Session stand Adjourned until 11:05 tomorrow. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the First Special Session is now adjourned."

DOCUMENT NAME	REQ STOR	_		DATE	СОРУ	D HTQIW	DEPTH	ERROR
т070191	137	0 p	j	08/18/92	1	66	78	

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

. . . .

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JULY 01, 1991

92/09/03

10:08:38

HB-0038	CONFERENCE	PAGE	4
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	26
HB-0057		PAGE	26
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	27
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	5
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	65
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	7
HB-0434		PAGE	13
	DISCUSSED	PAGE	27
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	29
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	31
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	32
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	38
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	38
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	39
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	40
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	41
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	42
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	51
HB-1891	CONFERENCE	PAGE	53
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	54
	CONFERENCE	PAGÉ	56
HB-1982		PAGE	61
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	62
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	57
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	62
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	63
SB-0214		PAGE	24
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	2
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	68
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	69
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	2
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	3
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	3
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	3
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	69
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	70
	SECOND READING	PAGE	13
	THIRD READING	PAGE	22
SB-0458		PAGE	13
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	70
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	71
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	3
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	4
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	4
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	71
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	75
SB-1009		PAGE	74
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	76
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	77
SB-1030		PAGE	77
	CONFERENCE	PAGE	77
	REFUSE TO RECEDE	PAGE	4
	CONFERENCE ADOPTED	PAGE	80
		PAGE	103
	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	92
nJK-00/2	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	24

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REPRESENTATIVE NELSON RICE	PAGE	ı
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	Ł

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 002

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

92/09/03 10:08:38

JULY 01, 1991

SUBJECT MATTER

AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	13
RECESS	PAGE	24
HOUSE RECONVENES - REPRESENTATIVE GIGLIO	PAGE	24
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	103
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	104
REGULAR SESSION ADJOURNED	PAGE	104
HOUSE TO ORDER - FIRST SPECIAL SESSION	PAGE	104
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	104
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION ADJOURNED	PAGE	104