35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Speaker Young: "The House will come to order. The Chaplain for today is Reverend John Lambert of Faith Temple A.M.E. Church in Springfield. Reverend Lambert is the guest of Representative Curran. Our guests in the gallery may wish to rise for the invocation."

Reverend Lambert: "Let us bow our heads. Eternal God. Heavenly Father and Creator and Maker of all things, we are thankful to once again be able to come into Thy presence. Oh Lord, as we are here assembled with these, Thy people, who have come from all parts of Illinois, you said in Your word that we ought to look to the hills from whence cometh our help, and our help cometh from You. So today, and yet another Session of the Illinois House of Representatives, we come seeking for Your blessings. As these men and women come here to face the challenges before them. Oh God, we ask You to strengthen them, help them to be able to stand against any temptation towards self or personal enrichment, towards any politics of the moment, towards any greed, and bless them to be able to stand against any attempt from anyone who would try to take advantage of them in dishonest ways or unscrupulous ways. Grant them the wisdom, Oh God, to be able to make the right decisions on the laws and the budgets as they relate to the education of our folk and to health care, and to welfare and to senior citizens and the poor. Oh Lord, and to those who are suffering in ways that cannot be spoken for, have no one to speak for them. them to be able to make the right decisions. And then, Oh Lord, we ask You to help them to find ways to put our people to work rather than to have them laid off. Oh God, grant them and bless them personally in all that they let Your Holy Spirit enter into their hearts and save them

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

- and guide them and direct them into all righteousness and to all truth. You are our shepherd and You are our Keeper, and so we put it into Your hands, and we ask all of these blessings, and others, in the name of Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."
- Speaker Young: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Young: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Matijevich, are there any excused absences on the Democratic side?"
- Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on this side of the aisle Representative Monroe Flinn is again excused due to his injuries."
- Speaker Young: "Representative Wennlund, are there any excused absences on the Republican side?"
- Wennlund: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Barnes and Representative McAuliffe, excused absences."
- Speaker Young: "Take the record. 115 Members present, a quorum is present. Committee Reports?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative John Dunn, Chairman of the Committee on Judiciary I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 17, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 276, 272, and 1534; 'do pass as amended' House Bills 36 and 17; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bills 1244, 1371, 2052, 1597, 1598, 550, and 461; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Status' House Bill 428. Representative Richmond, Chairman of the Committee on Agriculture, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

16, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 1441; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bills 314, 620, 1207, 1744, and 1769; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 1430. Representative McNamara, Chairman of the Committee on Educational Finance, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken 1991 and reported the same back with the April 16, following recommendation: 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill 282. Representative Shaw, Chairman of the Committee on Financial Institutions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 16, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 1488; 'do pass as amended' House 342; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill 2595, 1569, 2016, 1911, and 2562; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Status' House Bill 524 and 897; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 2014 and 2134. Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Human Services, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 16, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 1000, 2123, and 2234; 'do pass amended' House Bill 2050; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill 2510, 1677, 2010, 1691, and 2293; 'do pass as amended Short Debate Status' House Bill 1930; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bills 2319, 2413, 1353, and 1925. Mautino, Chairman of the Committee on Representative Insurance, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 16, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill 1407, 1692, 1512, 1466, 1604, 1603, and Representative Farley, Chairman of the Committee on 1299: Labor and Commerce, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 16, 1991 and reported the same

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 1533, 1811, and 2074; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 1776; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill 2100. Representative Brunsvold, Chairman of the Committee on Municipal and Conservation Law, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 16, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill Representative Levin, Chairman of the Committee on Public Utilities, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 16, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 2552; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 1696; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill 1392; 'do pass Consent Calendar' Bill 2352 and 1751. Representative Mulcahey, Chairman of the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 17, 1991 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 669 and pass as amended' House Bill 562, 885, and 780; 'do pass Short Debate Status' House Bill 2201, 2423, 1657, and 'do pass as amended Short Debate Status' House Bill 478 and 1891; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 2556, 1815, 670 and 1238."

Speaker Keane: "Representative Keane to the Chair. Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day, page 7. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day. House Bill 118, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act regarding regulation in professions together with Committee Amendment #1. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 549, a Bill for an Act to amend the Habitual Child Sex Offender Registration Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 584, a Bill for an Act concerning protection of children.

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 672, a Bill for an Act to amend the Tobacco Associates and Smoking Herbs Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 676, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 679, a Bill for an Act to amend the Pawn Brokers Regulation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 901, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Barriers Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 584 was removed from the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Keane: " Third Reading."

Speaker Laurino: "Chair will go to page 2 of the Calendar. House Bill 2, Representative Novak. Representative Novak, do you wish your Bill to be called? Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. Proceed to House Bill 8, Representative Young. Tony Young. Out οf the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 14, Note is not yet Representative Preston. Fiscal filed, Representative Preston. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 16, Representative Currie. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Speaker Laurino: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Currie."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Currie."

Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House. This

Amendment clarifies the criminal penalty section of the

Bill at the request of one of the committee Members and

establishes the opportunity for the county recorder to

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

collect a fee. This Amendment was discussed in committee, and I'd appreciate your support and we'd be happy to answer your questions."

Speaker Laurino: "Are there any questions? Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. It's a little difficult to hear in here. Has the Amendment been printed and distributed?"

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Currie?"

Currie: "Well, I'm waiting. My copy, that I found on my desk..."

Speaker Laurino: "It's been distributed, Representative Black."

Black: "It has been printed and distributed? Alright, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "She indicates she will."

Black: "Thank you. Representative, the original Bill, as I recall, only affected Cook County. I think the Amendment changes that. Can you illuminate the changes that might be made now?"

Currie: "The Amendment does not change that. The Bill still applies only to the County of Cook."

Black: "Alright, Representative, our staffer indicates that there may be a drafting error. If you would look on page 2 of the Amendment, I'm sorry, wait a minute, that isn't right, is it? Yeah, it is right. Line 24 on page 2, our staffer thinks that the fee for first and second class counties, if it only affects Cook County, that this is out of order. I don't think we have any problem with it, but if it's not what you intend, maybe we ought to get it cleaned up."

Currie: "Line, no, I'm sorry, I think that's not right,

Representative, because I think that the language in that
section, you're talking about Section 4-4001?"

Black: "Yes."

Currie: "Okay, if you read the new language, for processing the

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

sworn or affirmed statement, read all the way through, read 502, I think that that means only the County of Cook."

Black: "Well, I think the point of contention is that it's..."

Currie: "Why don't I take the Bill out of the record and we can talk about the Amendment."

Black: "We'd appreciate it. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Take the Bill out of the record, Mr. Clerk.

House Bill 43, Representative Preston. Representative

Preston? Do you wish House Bill 43 to be called? Read the
Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 43, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 46, Representative Santiago. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 46, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions or no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading, Mr. Clerk. Hold that Bill for a second, Mr. Clerk. Third Reading. House Bill 47, Representative Preston. Fiscal note not yet filed. Out of the record. House Bill 53, Representative Balanoff. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 53, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Low Level Radioactive Waste Management Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions?

35th Legislative Day

- April 17, 1991
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions. Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative Balanoff."
- Speaker Laurino: "Hold your Amendment for one moment,
 Representative Balanoff. Representative Black, for what
 reason do you seek recognition? Representative Black? For
 what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Black: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to introduce a couple of people on our side of the aisle.

 Former Representatives and now Appellate Court Judge Kent Slater, former Representative and now Circuit Judge John Countryman are with us today."
- Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Representative Black."

 Representative Balanoff. Proceed with your Amendment."
- Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

 Amendment #1 is one that was agreed upon in committee and it is language change requested by the Department of Nuclear Safety, and it doesn't change the substance of the Bill, it's just that they thought that was technically more correct."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any questions on Amendment #1 to House Bill 53?

 Seeing none, all those in favor indicate by saying 'aye',
 all those opposed 'nay', on Amendment #1 to House Bill 53.

 The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you rise?"
- Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, just to announce, former House Member over here on this side and also a Senate Member and now Circuit Judge, our good friend, Clarence Darrow back here."
- Speaker Laurino: "House Bill 67. The Chair will proceed to page 8 on the Calendar under Motions. House Resolution 325, Representative Daniels."

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I believe I must move pursuant to Rule 43(a) that the House Resolution 325 be heard immediately upon the affirmative vote of 71 Members or unanimous leave. The Resolution has been reproduced and distributed on the Members' desks. So with leave of the House I would ask that this Resolution be heard immediately."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Madigan, for what reason do you
rise, Sir?"

Madigan "I rise to object to the Gentleman's request."

Daniels: "I didn't hear what he said. I didn't hear what the Gentleman said."

Speaker Laurino: "The Gentleman said he rises to object to your Motion."

Daniels: "Can I have the basis of the objection?"

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Resolution that the Minority Leader is attempting to move at this time deals with the question of reapportionment and the enhancement of minority participation in the reapportionment process. This general topic was debated at There will be a meeting of the Rules length last week. Committee next week to consider generally the content of the Bills and Resolutions that were offered by Mr. Daniels last week, and also the Rules Committee next week will consider generally the content of this House Resolution 325 which is being advanced by Mr. Daniels. For those reasons, I would object to his Motion, and if he plans to wax eloquently, as he usually does, I would like to respond."

Speaker Laurino: "You certainly will have that opportunity.

Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Well thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

the House. Obviously I'm disappointed that the Speaker has objected to the passage of House Resolution 325 in the form that's submitted to the House of Representatives consideration. to the Resolution that has been But proposed, and the Speaker has commented upon, it. is no secret that the Illinois of 1991 is not the Illinois of 1981. Not in terms of the ethnic makeup of our population, not in terms of the laws which govern our actions, and not in terms of the degree to which the average individual is aware of their government. Today people have a heightened awareness of what government is doing and how it operates. Many, many doors have been opened in the past ten years. and yes, many people have walked through those doors and into the mainstream. Those people, all across Illinois, aware of the process we call redistricting, and they expect that we show them respect as we conduct this process under their observation, and they expect that we will continue the process of inclusion and empowerment that began with the famous words of Dr. Martin Luther have a dream.'. The federal Voting Rights Act is the fruit of that dream. A legal document which in time will rightly stand beside the Bill of Rights and the U.S. Constitution as a declaration of our commitment to do what we know to be the right and just thing. A written promise, a bond between government and the governed. It is not proper, not respectful that a Resolution dealing with voting rights be bottled up by a committee or held for another day. am not asking you to break new ground with House Resolution House Resolution 325 draws no lines, 325. gives no individual or party an advantage. House Resolution 325 simply reaffirms that we are dedicated and determined to do the right thing in Illinois, the fair thing, the thing. It recognizes the reality of that dream now made

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

famous by Dr. Martin Luther King. Illinois stands alone in having no specific guidelines for the districting process, no procedural guarantee that the rights of citizens will be recognized. We have little force behind us other than the spectre of legal wranglings that was once again, and will once again, drag us kicking and brawling into the glare of the national spotlight. Let's not do this to Illinois again. Let's show the nation that we have learned and how we have changed. Ladies and Gentlemen, want to be proud of our action here today. I want to be proud of this Assembly as it adopts House Resolution 325, and I want the people of this State to be proud of what we do here today, not tomorrow, or not the following day, House Resolution 325, or its similar features that may be put into another rule, and I want the people to understand that when the commentators refer to our action, they say that a new day has dawned in Illinois politics. respectfully ask you not only to join me in calling for House Resolution 325 in a passage form, but also to make sure that you have reviewed its contents, contents which are a restatement of the Voting Rights Act and principles and guidelines that this Assembly will follow as it approaches the redistricting process. Thank you, and I hope that you can vote, not only to have this matter heard immediately, but also for its passage."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Madigan to respond."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, with all due respect I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion for immediate consideration. As I explained earlier, there will be a meeting of the Rules Committee next week to consider generally the content of the Bills and Resolutions which were offered by Mr. Daniels last week, and also to consider the content of this House Resolution 325. It is

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

our plan that the Rules Committee will recommend to the House certain procedural requirements that will be adopted followed as we move through the issue reapportionment. I find, rather, I find great interest in the interest of the Minority Leader and the Members of his party concerning the enhancements of the minority participation in the affairs of Government in our This new phenomenon because they'd had many opportunities in the past to vote to help minorities this State, and quite frankly their track record is not too As an example, in 1989, Representative Arthur good. Turner, after several years of endeavor, was attempting to pass an affordable housing trust fund, and this would have established the State's first housing program for income persons. That Bill did pass the House, but only six percent of Republicans voted for the Bill. The Bill passed, six percent of Republicans voted for the Bill. Next, 1989, there was the Family Leave Medical Bill, and this would allow workers eight weeks of unpaid leave to family members. This care for sick is important for minority people in the State of Illinois. This Bill passed, six percent of Republicans voted for the Bill. Again in 1989, the House passed a Bill which provided for increase in Public Aid grants. Again, six percent of Republicans voted for the Bill. In 1987, there was a which would have provided that where there was a plant closing that notice would be required from the employer tell the employees in the communities that there is going to be a plant closing. Two percent of Republicans voted for that Bill, and in 1985, there was a Bill which dealt with public health information and community right-to-know, in 1985 it was House Bill 300, zero percent of Republicans voted for that Bill. So we welcome your new

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

found interest. We sincerely hope its not just restricted to one issue, because we anticipate in this Session that there'll be several opportunities for all of us to help the condition of minorities and other poor people living in the State of Illinois, and we would welcome you and urge you to join in the earlier efforts that we have put forth to advance those interests. And again, Mr. Speaker, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion, and myself, I plan to vote 'present'. Thank you."

Representative Daniels to close."

Daniels: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. And of course, I take exception to some of Speakers comments, but I think instead of answering and responding to those today, because I think we know history of many of the subjects that the Speaker has talked about, and just if they became law, as he referred to it, I remind everyone that of course it was signed by a Republican Governor, which could not have happened without the cooperation of many of us on this side of the aisle, but instead of standing before you and breaking this conversation down, into who has been the best person in the area of minority rights, or who's more attentive to others, let's not confuse the issue that we are addressing today. The issue is the subject of the Voting Rights Act and the the people of this State, regardless of your ethnic background or makeup, or regardless of your political persuasion, to be represented in the redistricting process. So in closing I would say, fellow Members of the House, that I have come before you today in a spirit of cooperation and harmony, not in a spirit of trying to drive a wedge between any one person or any special interest group. I presented House Resolution which provides that this House will find in it as

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

unacceptable and repugnant any redistricting map which is demonstrated to have the intent or effect of dispersing or concentrating minority political influence, or which fails to maximize minority representation. There are the current judicial standards, and these are the ones that the Voting Rights Act require us to follow. The current fair and impartial standards contained in the Voting Rights Act with the 1982 Amendments. So why this Resolution? Because history in this State shows that the political parties have done a poor job of guaranteeing minority voting rights during redistricting. Political parties have done a poor job. Consider the words of Judge Cuttihey after he reviewed the remap presented by the Democrat controlled redistricting commission in 1982 if you please. With regard to the treatment of Blacks he said opinion: 'We believe that purposeful dilution of Black voting strength in several significant instances has been demonstrated.' The court found specific evidence of an intent on the part of the same group, the Democrat controlled redistricting commission to dilute Black voting strength. They actually had proposed to reduce the number Senate and House seats represented by Blacks Hispanics in Chicago, even though those populations increased over the previous decade. This isn't political rhetoric. Many of you were there. You remember. I'm simply citing the 1982 court order regarding racial gerrymandering Judge Cuttihey stated. We must give to our findings of purposeful dilution of Black voting strength and the actions with respect to Senate District 14, 17, and 18. We found that the immediate purpose of the commission in drawing those districts was primarily to preserve the incumbencies of two White State Senators. We also found that this process was so intimidated and so

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

intimately intertwined with and dependent on racial discrimination and dilution of minority voting strength, that purposeful dilution has been clearly demonstrated in the construction of Commission Senate Seat District 14, 17 and 18. We also note our finding that on Chicago's Westside, there was fractioning and packing of Blacks, the net effect of which was the purposeful dilution of voting strength on the Westside by at least one House District. So that this is the history of the intent of your leadership. What about last week. The intent to conduct redistricting this year under the table, in secret, was shown when the Democrat leadership failed to allow one vote to be cast for an opened Process Bills. One Bill actually contained nothing more than a recitation of current Voting Rights Act standards. I offer each of you, therefore, a challenge. I challenge you to vote for Resolution which calls for us to observe the standards of the Voting Rights Act. I challenge you to support Bills to require a fair redistricting process for racial minorities. I challenge you, to reverse the history of discrimination in redistricting in this State. T respectfully ask for your 'yes' vote to allow a vote on this Resolution today, and just if it doesn't pass today, over our objections and with our asking to help, would say to you, that this must continue and we must force the statement of the Voting Rights Act and force all people to be treated equally and all minorities in this State wherever located to be treated fairly in an open process with guidelines that this Assembly must enact and enforce. So Mr. Speaker, I respectfully ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Laurino "Representative Daniels has moved pursuant to Rule 43a to bypass the committee in place on the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration House Resolution 325.

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

The question is 'Shall this Motion pass?'. All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. This Motion will require 71 votes. Representative Davis to explain your vote."

Davis: "I just want to explain my vote by saying I'm very happy to know that Lee Daniels at this time is concerned with minorities, but I worry and wonder why there are none on that side of the aisle. It also concerns me that until recently there were hardly any minorities who worked for the Republican staff, and there are hardly African-American minorities who work in State Government. So, I would like to see this paternalistic attitude to protect African-Americans spread across the board and not just in regards to the Voting Rights Act, because I'm concerned with the Speaker's statement being genuine. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and thank you very much."

Speaker Laurino: "Yes. I didn't hear your question."

Daniels: "Thank you. The Gentleman shut off the switch. you very much for the opportunity to respond to the Representative's observations. She well knows in 1981 there was a cutback Amendment in this State, a cutback that opposed, because every year prior to that under the cumulative voting system, and the multi-member districts, this State had a representation of votes to seats that were almost identical to the political persuasion of the State at that time. Since 1981, there was a cutback Amendment which eliminated multi-member districts. We had two minority Members on this side of the aisle, Representative Jackson and Representative Gaines, both of whom lost their seats as a result of the cutback Amendment. So I suggest to the Representative that in terms of history we

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

have been very proud to have minority Members on this side of the aisle, and of course it's very simple for her to get up and come over to this side of the aisle, declare herself to be a Republican, she'll be one of us and be happy for evermore. But on the serious note of that, I would say that this really very much is what it's all about. Republicans in this State ought to have minority representation as well, and minorities ought to be represented on both sides of the aisle, and that I think will be accomplished through a fair and open redistricting process."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Davis, to respond.

Representative Davis."

Davis: "Excuse me well, I just want to respond by stating that when you continue to express concern for minorities, I have to ask about your voting record in reference to the welfare Bill, I'd have to ask about your voting record on child welfare Bills, and also, I must continue to say to you that even though we only..."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Davis."

Davis "...have one Representative per district, you still don't have any minorities on that side of the aisle, you hardly have any working on your staff, and ..."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Davis. For what reason do you rise, Mr. Johnson?"

Johnson: "Well, unless I read the rules differently than Representative Davis does, she's spoken previously in debate, there isn't any point in really..."

Speaker Laurino: "You're absolutely correct, Representative."

Davis: "But he mentioned my name."

Johnson: "We love you, Representative Davis. We would never disparage you in any way."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Daniels."

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Daniels: "I'm having a nice conversation with the Speaker, but I'm glad the Lady mentioned those two Bills. I was the sponsor of a welfare reform and child support enforcement, and I'm glad she gave me and opportunity to point out that I'm also a Member, Representative, of the U.S. Commission on Child Support of the United States appointed by the President. So that I didn't mention your name...there you go again, Sir, you gotta watch that..."

Speaker Laurino: "...time that was inadvertent."

Daniels: "I did want to mention your name specifically because I don't want to get into this continued dialogue, but I'm very delighted to have her input, and maybe she can give us some idea on how to work together on this because, after all, representation of all parts, of all sides of the State is most critical to everyone here. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Representative Daniels. Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Motion having received 43 'ayes', 0 'nays' and 66 voting 'present' will be so reported. The Motion fails. In a form of an announcement, so that we all can say our appreciation of Speaker Madigan, it will be his birthday Friday the 19th of April, and we won't be in Session so if you get a chance and he's walking past you, wish him a happy birthday. We will now proceed back to page 2 on the Calendar. House Bill 67, Representative Currie. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 88, Representative Wennlund. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 88, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to rates and charges imposed by a municipality.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions, no Floor Amendments."

35th Legislative Day

- April 17, 1991
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Wennlund, Third Reading. House
 Bill 97, Representative Kulas. State Mandates Act has not
 yet been filed, Representative. Out of the record. House
 Bill 98. Representative Currie. Representative Curran.
 Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 98, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments, Mr. Clerk?" Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions, no Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 103, Representative Schoenberg. Out of the record. Representative Preston, for what reason do you arise?"
- Preston: "Mr. Speaker, when House Bill 14 was called on Second Reading, it was held because we're informed that a Fiscal Note had not been filed, but I'm now told the Fiscal Note had previously been filed and that it should have been called and moved to Third, and I wondered if we might recall House Bill 14 at this time."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Preston, why don't you come up to the podium. Approach the podium, please. House Bill 119, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 119, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed, Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Harris."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Harris, Amendment #2 to House Bill 119."
- Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 119, by way of explaining Amendment #2, House Bill 119 requires the Department of Commerce and

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Community Affairs to make loans of up to \$25,000 to minority-and female-owned businesses. Now, there are requirements in the Bill that the business has to have existed for at least three years, and the intent admirable. We should be encouraging those types of businesses. However, I think the Bill is flawed for a couple of reasons. The primary reason, really, is that there is no collateral required. Well, any time you make a loan, it seems reasonable that there be some sort of collateral. I mean the State of Illinois could walk around passing out \$25,000 to just about anybody, I suppose, without any collateral, and that's an imprudent thing to Well, Amendment #2 to the Bill, while it does not specifically require collateral, so that a business that a difficulty getting a loan from a bank or a normal loan source, because they do not have necessary collateral to post, Amendment #2 does not require collateral, but it says that loans which we are going to make will be applied only to the acquisition of durable goods, and now there was somethin' you can put your hand on, or a structural improvement. Additionally, the loans will only be applied to the expansion of existing businesses. What that means is that if a minority-or female-owned business owns, as example, a liquor store or a grocery store, they can't take \$25,000 of DCCA money and go out and start a travel agency, so that it's got to be a similar type of business. I think it's reasonable, I don't think it's harmful to the Bill, and I ask your support of Floor Amendment 2."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion? If not, Representative Harris moves...Representative Homer."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Homer, the Amendment has been

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

printed and distributed."

Homer: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "He indicates he will."

Homer: "Dave, we don't have the Amendment. Could you explain what the Amendment does?"

Harris: "You mean we actually got it before you did? I'd be happy to explain it once again if you want to take it out of the record and wait 'till you have it. Either way would be suitable."

Homer: "Alright, let's do that if the Gentleman would be willing to accommodate that request. We just haven't seen it. He's asked that it be taken out of the record."

Speaker Laurino: "Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 136,
Representative Hicks. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House
Bill 204, Representative Hoffman. Out of the record, Mr.
Clerk. House Bill 215, Representative Currie. Out of the
record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 222, Representative Sieben.
Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 222, a Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital District Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative . Sieben."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Sieben on Amendment #1."

Sieben: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Floor Amendment #1 simply amends the Bill to add nine subdistricts in the selection of hospital district directors and it also adds the 28 month rule for filling the vacancy if one of those directors is replaced and I'd move for the adoption of Floor Amendment #1."

Speaker Laurino: "Further discussion. Seeing none,

Representative Sieben moves for the adoption of Amendment

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

#1 to House Bill 222. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 254, Representative Woolard. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 262, Representative McNamara. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 291, Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio. House Bill 291. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 291, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions, no Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 316, Representative Homer. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 318, Representative Currie. Fiscal Note has not been yet filed. House Bill 329, Representative McAfee. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 345, Representative Richmond. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 459, Representative Turner. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk. Representative Turner, there's a Fiscal Note that has just been requested. House Bill 466, Representative Persico. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 466, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed, no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 488, Representative Currie. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 523, Representative Preston. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 542, Representative Currie. Read the Bill, Mr.

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 542, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning mimeograms. Second Reading of the Bill.

 Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed, no Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 554, Representative Frederick, Virginia Frederick. Out of the record. House Bill 555, Representative Regan. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 555, a Bill for an Act to amend the Children and Family Services Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Regan, Floor Amendment #2."
- Regan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Floor Amendment #2, requested by the committee simply adds siblings to the list of exclusions from the Bill, and I urge its passage."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any discussion? Hearing none or seeing none, Representative Regan moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 555. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. We'll go back to House Bill 554, Representative Frederick. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 554, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to child support. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motion filed, No Floor Amendment."
- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. Representative Homer, for what

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

reason do you arise?"

Homer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've had this problem, where for some reason Committee Amendments are not being printed. We don't have the Committee Amendments in our file jackets. I don't understand how we're getting Bills on Second Reading when we don't have the printed Committee Amendments. Maybe if somebody could clarify that, I've never seen this problem occur in past years, and I don't know why we're having that problem. It makes it very difficult to analyze Bills when we don't have the printed Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Frederick. Representative Frederick. There has been a question as to the printing of the Amendments, Committee Amendments that have been put on, and they are not in the binders for the Gentleman from...Representative Homer to appease. Would you mind taking this out of the record and we'll get back to it? And the Clerk will check into this problem, and get back to us with an answer. Representative Parke, for what reason do you arise?"

Parke: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was inadvertently off the floor when Roll Call was taken on House Resolution 325. I would like the record to reflect that I would have supported House Bill...House Resolution 325."

Speaker Laurino: "The record will so reflect, Representative Parke. With leave of the House we'll take House Bill 554 back to Second Reading. Leave being granted, the record will so reflect. Representative Wennlund, for what reason do you arise?"

Wennlund: "Mr. Speaker, I believe Mr. Homer's concern is a copy
 of the Amendment that was adopted in committee? Is that
 correct?"

Speaker Laurino: "That seems to be his question." Wennlund: "I'll go walk over to you."

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Homer."

"Mr. Speaker, we've had I think five Bills in a row where a rule of the House has been violated, that rule House Rule 36(c) which states: No Amendment may adopted, well, that rule actually doesn't pertain because amendments were adopted in committee. But they have to be reproduced and on the Members' desks before can be moved to Third Reading, and the obvious reason for that is to let Members see the Amendments before these Bills move, and I've been here, this is my ninth year, I don't remember having this problem previously where we have Bills being heard on Second Reading where the Members do not have copies of the Amendments which were adopted in committee, and it makes the whole process meaningless unless we follow that rule. I think I've rediscovered the rule. It's rule 36(f), and it provides that Committee Amendments that are adopted by the committee shall be reproduced and placed on the Members' desks before a may be..."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Homer, Representative Homer, your point is well taken, and the Clerk is coming up with a solution and we'll get back to you in a very short time. Representative Steczo on House Bill 119, it appears on page 2 of your Calendar. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 119, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motion filed, Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Harris."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We discussed this Amendment just a few moments ago,

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

House Bill 119 makes reference to DCCA or rather authorizes DECA to make loans to minority and female businesses of \$25,000. Those are non-secured or unsecured non-collateralized loans. What Amendment #2 does, it does not require collateral but it adds a little bit of substance, more substance to the Bill, it says that the loans shall only be applied to the acquisition of durable goods or structural improvements, and it also says that they can't use those loans to go into another line of business. but rather have to expand their existing business, which is what I think the sponsor clearly intended, and I would, I ask for a 'yes' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Mr. Speaker, just to say that I will accept this Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Harris moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 119. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, hearing none, all those in favor will indicate by saying 'aye' to adopt House Bill, Amendment #2 to House Bill 119. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative Harris."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Harris."

Harris: "Please withdraw."

Speaker Laurino: "Withdraw Amendment #3, Mr. Clerk. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 559, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 559, a Bill for an Act to amend the

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Chicago Park District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions, no Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Laurino: "Third Reading. House Bill 570, Representative Giglio. Out of the record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 580, Representative Schakowsky. Fiscal note requested on that, Representative. House Bill 741, Representative Curran. Representative Curran. House Bill 741. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 741, a Bill for an Act to amend the Minimum Wage Law. Second Reading of the Bill. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was adopted in committee."
- Speaker Laurino: "Any Motions or Floor Amendments?"
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Wennlund. Amendment #5 to House Bill 741."
- Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To remind the Members of the House about how important agriculture is to Illinois, and to remind the Members of the House that throughout the entire State of Illinois, that agriculture has gone in Illinois from the sixth largest, from the second largest exporter of agricultural products to the sixth largest exporter of agricultural products. In the last three years alone, since 1987, the state of the condition of agriculture in Illinois has gone downhill. It desperately needs the shot in the arm to allow it to be able to hire manpower on an as-needed basis. The Illinois Farm Bureau is definitely and violently opposed to this legislation, 'cause what it

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

does is whenever it rains or the weather causes farmers to have to go into the fields, it's labor intensive at that point and the rest of the year it isn't. But farm work Illinois often requires farmers to work more than 48 hours at a time. Since the inception of the Minimum Wage and since the inception of the requirement for time and a half for labor, agriculture in Illinois has been exempt, and even while it was exempt, agriculture as an industry in Illinois has gone downhill, and has suffered badly, particularly in 1988 as a result of drought. This Amendment restores the agricultural exemption from time and a half for overtime for farm labor workers and keeps it in place to allow agriculture in Illinois to continue to try improve and pull itself out of an agricultural recession. The Illinois Farm Bureau states that agricultural production is unique in this industry compared to others, which is why the original exemption was created for agriculture when the Bill was first adopted. Whether or not other uncontrollable factors dictate how much labor is needed and when, working in excess of 48 hours in the farm is not uncommon at times, at times of harvest, and at times of planting. This Bill will break the backs Illinois farmers by requiring them to pay minimum wage and requiring them to pay time and a half for overtime. restores the exemption for farmers who are grain exporters and hopefully this will help farming in get back on its feet, and I urge all Members of the House to keep farming a viable alternative, and to keep farming and agriculture back on the burner. Everyone of us, almost everyone of us, have farmers living in our district. It is important that we continue to keep this exemption in place for agriculture, and I urge farmers on both sides of the aisle to join me in voting for this Amendment, and I ask

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

for a recorded Roll Call Vote when the time comes for a vote on this Amendment, please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Laurino: "Further comments? Representative Schakowsky."

Schakowsky: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I did yesterday, I rise in opposition to this Amendment, not because I'm anti-farmer, but on behalf of the farm workers who bring the food to our table. We are not asking that if they even get the same consideration as other workers. We're asking that after 48 hours of labor, that they be paid overtime. It seems to me that this will even increase employment in the farm workers sector, because you don't have to pay overtime. You can hire more workers. I urge all people who care about working people, about those who labor and toil in the fields to bring the food to our table, that they vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. To previous Speaker, I would be more than happy to invite you down to my farm so you can become acquainted with what agriculture is really like, and I would extend that invitation any time. One of the real problems that we have in this kind of situation is, that for some who are involved in agriculture, you establish a pay for the month and it may or may not equate to whatever it might with that also goes fringe benefits which make up a salary like, maybe a house, or maybe meat, or maybe it might any other thing, and so it seems to me that what you're attempting to do is to tamper with a situation that has been very successful in the past. The other thing that I rise in question about is that in some of these provisions that I think are attempting to deal with, let's say specialty crops like strawberries or beets or beans or anything like that, which we call stoop labor which you may

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

have reference to, you get involved in a salary that is based on the number of either pecks, or bushels, or that you pick, and I guess the question is, how you interpret what you're attempting to do here as additional 48 hours if you, by incentive, get paid for either picking more, and I think you raise a very, you don't respond to that by the Bill that is proposed, and so what we're attempting to do by this Amendment, is to allow for continued flexibility that farmers have in hiring people because it is so important to deal with international trade. The areas that I think you have referenced to, to be real honest, I don't think we export many too strawberries, too many peaches or let's say too many cucumbers, or too much lettuce or too many onions sets, but what we're attempting to do here is to allow for farmers to continue that particular portion that deals international trade, such as the soybeans, corn, wheat, flax, and other commodities that are of major production. By the current Bill, as you have proposed it, you place great harm on that particular provision that this Amendment is attempting to address, and I would urge the support of this Amendment."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Olson."

Olson, B: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Amendment. A previous Speaker, from the other side of the aisle, is from an urban area, and I understand her concerns. But let me tell you, farming is seasonal, and within a season it is based upon weather. Farm employers pay a monthly wage. During the busy season, granted we work longer than 48 hour weeks. A rainy week may come in that season where we don't put in a single hour. Within the course of a farm year, there are several months when hired men may come to work long enough

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

in the morning to enjoy a cup of coffee with you and then go back to their own homes, but they go back to that own home with the full pay for that month. Farming is unique in how they use their labor. True farmers do not abuse their labor. As Representative Ropp mentioned, above and beyond the dollar value, housing is often furnished. Fuel for their vehicle is often furnished. Produce and meat is often furnished. I would hope that those Members from urban areas understand this uniqueness, and will rise in support of this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Laurino: "Indicates he will. Representative Wennlund."

Homer: "Representative Wennlund, I think there's a lot of confusion about the Bill and what your Amendment would do.

The current law provides an exemption from overtime pay for employers of agricultural labor. That's current law."

Wennlund: "Correct."

Homer: "Now, your Amendment would attempt to define what agricultural labor is?"

Wennlund: "No, the Bill to which the Amendment speaks deletes in its entirety the Amendment for agriculture. This Amendment is designed to restore the exemption for agriculture and any farmer who is employed in growing a commodity that is in an export market. Grain, beans..."

Homer: "Okay, I understand what the Bill tried to do, but why didn't you just put back in the current language of the Bill? Why did you come up with this new language? What's different about your Amendment than current law?"

Wennlund: "Well, the Amendment would continue to apply the provisions of the Act to farmers who are engaged in growing vegetables and asparagus and those things which are not on the export market, but allow grain farmers in Illinois to

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

continue to operate as they have been under the exemption from the provisions of the Act. A compromise, if you will."

Homer: "Yeah, so, the bottom line is that non-export commodities
would not be exempt?"

Wennlund: "I'm sorry..."

Homer: "I guess I don't understand. If the current law was exempted, all agricultural labor. The current law."

Wennlund: "Correct. Correct."

Homer: "The Gentleman tried to delete that in his Bill."

Wennlund: "Correct. That's what the Bill does."

Homer: "You're trying to put it back in."

Wennlund: "Correct."

Homer: "But, you're not putting it back in exactly the same, you're putting in some modified version of that."

Wennlund: "That's correct."

Homer: "And so is your version less inclusive? Yours would only grant the exemption for export commodities. You would agree to eliminate the exemption for non-export commodity agricultural labor."

Wennlund: "That's correct."

Homer: "So, in effect, what you're doing is agreeing that the current exemption needs to be limited. You would just limit it in a different way."

Wennlund: "I, frankly I'm opposed to the Bill in its entirety, but it doesn't have as big an impact. The big industry and the main industry in Illinois is corn and soybeans and canola and those types of products, and those are the ones that I'm trying to protect as being the chief industry in one of the top corn-growing states in the nation, and I want to keep that exemption applicable to those types of agricultural products."

Homer: "Alright, that's all."

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Wennlund moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 741. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. The board is open. Representative Granberg to explain your vote."

Granberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was going to ask the Sponsor of the Amendment a question. He didn't receive my light, but if the, which I can't do now, but if the Sponsor would just kind of elaborate, if the Representative is trying to expand the amount, the number of people who would not be affected by the overtime provisions, are you trying to limit it, Larry, or expand it with the Amendment?"

Wennlund: "Limit the ... "

Granberg: "If you could address that, when you explain your vote, whether your Amendment makes it looser or stricter."

Wennlund: "The original language."

Granberg: "You're trying to expand it, or limit it?"

Speaker Laurino: "The Chair apologizes, Representative Granberg, for missing your light, but the Chair would like to also apprise the Membership that we have 2:00 o'clock meetings that are starting now today a little later because we're going on and on on this one Amendment and there's two more Amendments to this Bill, so I would just like to, the Chair would like to let all the Membership...evidence. Representative Curran."

Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is simply hostile to the Bill. I appreciate 57 'no' votes. Thank you very much.

Speaker Laurino: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. This Amendment, having received 48 'ayes', 58 'nay', and 2 voting 'present' fails. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Curran."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Curran."

- Curran: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is a technical Amendment that lives up to the original intentions of the first Amendment passed in committee. The Amendment passed in committee intended to affect just agriculture with the 48 hours. That's the way this Amendment leaves the Bill as it was intended. Those who supported the Bill last time would be in favor of this Amendment, and I don't know of any opposition."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Curran moves for the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 741. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Laurino: "No further Amendments. Third Reading. Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Clerk. Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House...Senate Joint Resolution #12, offered by Representative Novak. House Joint Resolution 31, Matijevich; House Resolutions 320, Speaker Madigan; 334, Kirkland; 335, Cowlishaw; 336, Parke; 337, Parke; 338, Phelps; 339, Black; 340, Black; 341, Hasara; and 345, Noland."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption for the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. Chair recognizes Representative Currie. For what reason do you arise, Representative?
- Currie: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I would like to waive the posting requirements so that House Bill 2034 could be heard in the subcommittee, the State

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

Government Administration Subcommittee, that meets at 2:00 o'clock this afternoon, and so that House Bill 2033 could be moved from the 3:50 subcommittee to the 2 p.m. subcommittee as well. I've checked this Motion with both the Chairman of the committee and the Minority Spokesman, so I would, my Motion is to waive the posting requirements with respect to those two Bills."

Speaker Laurino: "House Bill 2033 and 2034?"

Currie: "Yes."

Speaker Laurino: "The Lady asks leave of the House. Has she had leave? Hearing no objections, the subcommittees will be so reported to. Leave is granted by the Attendance Roll Call, and those Bills will be heard in the 2:00 o'clock meeting. Representative Wolf, what reason do you arise?"

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For purposes of an announcement, the Personnel and Pensions Committee meeting scheduled for 2:00 o'clock tomorrow will be cancelled."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Hartke."

Hartke "For the purposes of announcements, Counties and Townships will meet immediately upon adjourning, immediately."

Speaker Laurino: "Thank you, Sir. The Chair now recognizes
Representative Matijevich. He moves that the House stand
Adjourned until...hold off on that. We have General
Resolutions, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 344, offered by Representative Cowlishaw."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Deuchler. Committee on Assignment."

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Laurino: "Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "I rise for the purpose of an announcement. The Conference of Women Legislators will meet tomorrow, Thursday, at 1:00 o'clock in the Rathskellar. We will be

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

- discussing the recent court decision to build a new women's minimum security prison, in addition to the maximum security prison at Dwight."
- Speaker Laurino: "For approved notification, the Chair is going to repeat that House Bill 741 was moved to Third Reading.

 Death Resolutions, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 342, offered by Representative Anthony Young. With respect to the memory of Dwayne C. Aytch. House Resolution 343, offered by Representative Johnson. With respect to the memory of Mrs. Donna Brinkmeyer.
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Matijevich moves the adoption of the Death Resolutions. Representative Richmond, for what reason do you arise?"
- Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for a Motion. I move that...to waive the appropriate rules to allow posting of House Bill 734 in the Children and Family Law Commission I've spoken to the Chairman and the Minority Spokesman and there's no problem."
- Speaker Laurino: "Leave of the House, the Gentleman will have these Bills read in the subcommittee. Leave is granted by use of the Attendance Roll Call. The Death Resolutions are adopted. Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think all of us would join me in wishing Speaker Madigan a happy birthday on Friday. He'll be the big five-oh minus one. Forty-nine years old Friday. Happy Birthday, Mike."
- Speaker Laurino: "Representative Matijevich moves for the adjournment to 4 p.m. April the 18th, 1991. Allowing for Perfunctory Session for the Clerk, the House is Adjourned. First Special Session will come to order. The Attendance Roll Call for the Regular Session will be utilized for the Special Session, and Representative Matijevich now moves

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

that that Session stand Adjourned until 4:05 on April the 18th, 1991. Thank you."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Constitutional
 Amendments. House Joint Resolution Constitutional
 Amendment #22, offered by Representative Keane.
 - RESOLVED, BY THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE EIGHTY-SEVENTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, THE SENATE CONCURRING HEREIN, That there shall be submitted to the electors of the State for adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least 6 months after the adoption of this resolution a proposition to amend Section 3 of Article VIII of the Illinois Constitution as follows: ARTICLE VIII FINANCE SECTION 3. STATE AUDIT AND AUDITOR GENERAL
 - (a) The General Assembly shall provide by law for the audit obligation. receipt and use of all funds administered by State government whether directly indirectly by the legislative, executive or judicial branch of the State or by any instrumentality of any branch, whether appropriated or not and regardless of source, but not funds administered by units of local government or school districts, except as established by the General Assembly by law. public funds of the State. The General Assembly, by a vote of three-fifths of the members elected to each house, shall appoint an Auditor General and may remove him for cause by a similar vote. The Auditor General shall serve for a term of ten years. His compensation shall be established by law and shall not be diminished, but may be increased, to take effect during his term.
 - (b) The Auditor General shall conduct the audit of <u>all funds</u> administered by State government public funds of the State.

 He shall make additional reports and investigations as directed by the General Assembly. He shall report his

35th Legislative Day

April 17, 1991

findings and recommendations to the General Assembly and to the Governor. ${\tt SCHEDULE}$

This Constitutional Amendment takes effect upon approval by the electors of this State. First Reading of the Constitutional Amendment. House stands adjourned."

DOCUMENT NAME	REQ ST OPER GR			DATE	СОРУ	D WIDTH	DEPTH	ERROR
T041791-1jm	189	0	рj	04/24/91	1	66	78	

.

REPURT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS 87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

92/03/03 16:03:18

APRIL 17. 1991

	A			
HB-0016	SECOND	READING	PAGE	5
Hd-0016	OUT OF	RECORD	PAGE	7
H3-0043	SECOND	READING	PAGE	7
H3-0046	SECOND	READING	PAG€	7
H3-0053	SECOND	READING	PAGE	7
H3-0067	MOITCM		PAGE	8
H3-0088	SECOND	READING	PAGE	18
H5-0098	SECOND	READING	PAGE	19
H3-0119	SECOND	READING	PAGE	19
H3-0119	SECOND	READING	PAGE	25
H3-0119	OUT OF	RECORD	PAGE	21
H5-0136	SECOND	READING	PAGE	2 1
H3-0136	OUT OF	RECORD	PAGE	21
H3-02∠2	SECOND	READING	PAGE	21
H0-0291	SECOND	READING	PAGE	22
HB-0466	SECOND	READING	PAGÉ	22
HB-0542	SECOND	READING	PAGE	۷3
0554-ت	SECOND	READING	PAGE	23
H3-0555	SECOND	READING	PAGE	23
H6-0559	RECALLE	Ð	PAGE	26
HB-0741	SECOND	READING	PAGE	27
H3-2034	MOTION		PAGE	35
HR-0325	MOTION		PAGE	9
HR-0325	RESOLUT	TION FAILED	PAGE	15

SUSJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER YOUNG	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND LAMBERT	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAG≓	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
COMMITTES REPORT	PAGE	2
SPEAKER KEANE IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	4
CONSENT CALENDAR	PAGE	4
SPEAKER LAURING IN THE CHAIR	PAGE	5
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	34
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	35
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	35
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	35
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION	PAGE	35
FIRST SPECIAL SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	37
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	37
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	38