104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. The Chaplain for today is Father Richard Chiola. Father Chiola is affiliated with Hospital Sisters Health Systems with Saint John's Hospital in Springfield. Father Chiola is the guest of Representative Hasara. The guests in the balcony may with to rise and join us for the invocation."

Father Chiola: "Lord God, when the children of Israel were given no straw by their slave masters that they might produce their quota of bricks, Your people cried out to You but they also fell to arguing among themselves. But Your spirit came upon Your servant Moses who opened up a new way for Your people. When Your servant Jesus of Nazareth asked his disciples to feed thousands, his disciples argued with him that they did not have enough. Your spirit came to rest upon Jesus who thanked You for what little he had and sharing it with thousands, showed his disciples that there was more than enough for all. Lord God, may that spirit of Yours which came upon Moses and rested upon Jesus now come upon these men and women in Legislature. May they not seek simple political advantage from the lack of our resources now facing this state. But, may they turn to You in grateful confidence and find new ways of caring for the needs of all. We ask this in Your name and for the sake of Your people. Amen."

- Speaker McPike: "We ill be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Jane Barnes."
- Barnes et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Matijevich."

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

Matijevich: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, on this side of the aisle,

Representatives Giorgi, LeFlore, and Rice are excused."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Kubik."

Kubik: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let the record reflect that Representative Johnson is excused today."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Clerk, take the roll. 114

Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present.

Committee Reports."

Clerk Leone: "The Committee on Rules has met pursuant to Rule 29(c-3) and the following Bill has been ruled exempt on January 8, Senate Bill 1470 on January 8. The Committee on Rules also met and pursuant to Rule 46.1 makes the following report on Bills Amendatorily Vetoed by the Governor, compliance with Rule 46-1(b), House Bill 1097."

Speaker McPike: "Introductions and First Reading."

Clerk Leone: "Introduction - First Reading of Bills. House Bill 2718, offered by Representative Woolard, a Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2719, offered by Representative Tenhouse, a Bill for An Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2720, offered by Representative Capparelli, et al, a Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2721, offered by Representative Capparelli, et al, a Bill for An Act to amend certain Acts in relation to gaming. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2722, offered by Representative Ropp, a Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 2723, offered by Representative Black, a Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois Nursing Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2724, offered by Representative Harris, a Bill for An Act to amend the General Assembly

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2725, offered by Representative...no further."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk, Constitutional Amendments."

Clerk Leone: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #26, offered by Representative Woolard. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 87th General Assembly State of Illinois. The Senate concurring herein, that there shall be submitted to the electors of this state rejection at the general election next occurring at least 6 months after the adoption of Resolution, a proposition to amend Section 3 of Article IX, of the Illinois Constitution to read as follows: Article IX, Revenue, Section 3, limitations on income taxation. Paragraph (a), until January 1, 1993, a tax on or measured by income shall be at a non graduated rate at any one time there may be no more than one such tax imposed by the state for state purposes on individuals and one such tax so imposed on corporations. In any such tax imposed upon corporations, the rate shall not exceed the rate imposed on individuals by more than a ratio 8 to 5 on or after January 1993, the tax on or measured by income shall be at a graduated rates. At any one time, there may be no more than one such tax imposed by the state for the state purposes on individuals and one such tax so imposed on corporations. In any such tax imposed on corporations, the highest rate shall not exceed the highest rate imposed on individuals by more than a ratio of 8 to 5. Paragraph (b), Laws imposing taxes on or measured by income may adopt reference provisions of the laws and the regulations of the United States and they then exist or thereafter, may be changed for the purposes of arriving at the amount of income upon which taxes imposed. This Amendment takes

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

effect upon its adoption by the electors of this state. First Reading of this House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #27, offered by Representative Hicks. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 87th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein; that there shall be submitted to the electors of the state for the adoption or rejection at the general election next occurring at least 6 months after the adoption of Resolution. A proposition to amend Section 10 of Article IV, of Section 11...of Article V of the Constitution as follows; Article IV, of the Legislature, Section 10, effective dates of law. The General Assembly shall provide by law for a uniform effective date for laws passed prior to May 1st, of a calendar year. The General Assembly may provide for a different effective date in any law passed prior to May 1st. A Bill passed after April 30th, shall not become effective prior to May 1st of the next calendar year unless the General Assembly, by a vote of Three-Fifths of the Members elected to each House, provides for an earlier effective date. Article V. Executive, Section 11, Governor Agency reorganization. The Governor by Executive order may reassign functions among or reorganize executive agencies which are directed responsible to him. Ιf such а re-alineament reorganization would contravene a statute, the executive order shall be delivered to the General Assembly. General Assembly is in annual Session and if the executive order is delivered on or before, February 1st, the General Assembly shall consider the executive order at the annual Session. If the General Assembly is not in annual Session or if the executive order is delivered after February 1st,

#### 104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

the General Assembly shall consider the executive order at its next annual Session in which case the executive order shall be deemed to have been delivered on the First day of the annual Session. Such an executive order shall not become effective if within 60 calendar days after the delivery to the General Assembly, either House disapproves the executive order by a record vote or the majority of the members elected. An executive order may not so disapprove shall become effective by its terms but not less than 60 calendar days after its delivery to the General Assembly. Schedule: This Amendment takes affect upon approval by the electors of this state. First Reading of this House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment."

- Speaker McPike: "...on the Calendar, Senate Joint Resolutions appears Senate Joint Resolution 107, Representative McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the Assembly. I rise relevant to the SJR 107, and I move to discharge the Committee on Executive from further consideration and advance to the Order of the Speaker's Table for immediate consideration."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright, you hear the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any opposition? Hearing none, Attendance Roll Call will be used. Leave is granted and the Motion carries.

  Mr. McGann, on the Resolution."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. SJR 107 passed the Senate yesterday. It places a hiring freeze on our state agencies, asking the Governor to issue an executive order accordingly. It does exempt positions in corrections, mental health technicians, case workers, veterans' home aids and nurses. All others would be subject to this hiring freeze. Savings on an annual basis

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

is estimated to be approximately \$100 million. It is for this reason and for the crisis that we have in the state, as far as its budget is concerned. I believe it's a worthwhile approach, and I would ask this Assembly to support this SJR 107."

Speaker McPike: "On the Gentleman's Motion, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, he will."

Black: "Representative, on the Resolution at hand, on line 17, you have an interesting figure there. It says, a fact which results in an estimated 2,000 new hirers in any given month, now I see the word, estimated. Are we estimating that or do you have some factual evidence that in fact, 2,000 people are being hired each month in the State of Illinois."

McGann: "The information that we have received is the fact that in the months of July, August, September and October, there are well over 1,000 in each of these given months that were hired. It's roughly a total of 6,000 people have been hired. I know that the Governor's Office does not agree but the information we received that this is solid, that these hires have been made."

Black: "My information is a little different than yours. My information would indicate that in the calendar year, 1991, 4,400 people where hired. And at the present time, as we stand here today, we have 6,200 fewer state employees now than we did a year ago. That's including the 4,400 people that my information indicates were hired in 1991. Now, if we're hiring, if we're hiring 1,000 or 2,000 people a month, if there's anybody in here who represents a district

#### 104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

where that's happening, let me know, will you. Because I haven't seen anybody hired from my district. Not in month, not in the month before that, or not in the month before that or the month before that. Now, I don't want to play lose and fast with the figures, Representative, and I appreciate you answering the question, and I don't find any fault with your Resolution as to it...on the face. I think all of us know that we're in a bind and we have to save some money. But, I think there are some certain facts that we want to put on the table. One of those facts is there are 6,000 fewer employees now than there were a year The other question I need to ask you, this is a Resolution, is it your intent that this Resolution be that. Or is it your intent that this carry the actual force of law."

- McGann: "It is my understanding, by this Resolution, that we're calling upon the Governor to issue an executive order to carry out the intent of the Resolution. Certainly, it is not a...an approach to place in statute, if we were going to do that then we would present a Bill and of course, the Bill would be in a different category."
- Black: "In your Resolution, are you requesting the Governor to follow the intent of the Resolution at hand or are you ordering the Governor to follow the intent of the Resolution at hand?"
- McGann: "I believe that you have the Resolution before you,
  Representative. It states very clearly that the Governor
  be requested to codify the intent of this hiring and
  contracting freeze through executive order. In other
  words, he is being requested."
- Black: "Okay, and I appreciate that answer because that clears up a question I think many of us had. We think the Illinois

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

Constitution is quiet clear that laws may be enacted by Bill and certainly not by Resolution, and since you've clarified that, I think that answers a good many of questions that we have. Just one further point, Mr. It is my understanding that the Governor has directed his cabinet level officials that none of the employees who have retired under the early retirement option shall be re-hired except under very stringent conditions. I think the Governor's intent and I think as we'll think back, we built in about a \$50 million savings in this years budget based on the early retirement option. It begins to look as if we'll meet that figure and perhaps with this Resolution we can exceed that figure. I think since the Sponsor was kind enough to answer some questions, perhaps we're ready to move on this Resolution."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Weaver."

Weaver: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Resolution while I agree with the Sponsors intent, I think there may be some problems with the Resolution in terms of some of the things that they attempt to do. One portion of the Resolution deals prohibitions against filling positions on boards and commissions. These, for a large part, are non-paid positions, so it really doesn't effect us in a budget sense much of any way, and here we will be preventing the boards and commissions from completing their assigned duties even though it doesn't cost us any money. consideration that we have to look at very closely is. the previous speakers indicated, I'm not sure how hiring is going in your district, but I've got six-seven vacant positions in our DOT facility, a number of which are engineers. If we cannot replace those engineers, our road

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

work is going to come to a halt. Those are not excluded under this Resolution and Lord knows, we need to have those potholes filled, and we need to have the streets fixed. But unless we have the engineers capable on duty to do the work, we're not going to find it feasible to complete these projects many of which are federally funded, in the next year. While I agree with the Sponsors intent, I think that the Resolution still needs some work, and I think it places undue controls on the management of the state and as the previous speaker has already indicated, we have 6,200 fewer employees now that we did last year. So, we're headed in the right direction, why carry coals to new castle."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Weller."

Weller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House. Like my colleagues that have addressed Resolution before me, I certainly don't disagree with the intent of the Sponsor of this Resolution. You know, frankly, because really it seems that this Resolution endorses a lot of what the Governor has already been doing, as he's been working to address the states budget problems. Think about some of the things that he has accomplished in the effort to bring government spending under control. It's frankly, it's pretty refreshing to have a chief executive who thinks it's time that we rein in government spending and live within our means. Governor Edgar has established that spending control committee which has cut areas such as travel, has cut contracts, equipment, staff, saving the taxpayers \$50 million in GRF in just the first five months of this year. He established the capitol plan which was revised to slow expenditures, holding unnecessary projects and reducing the states debt service up to \$8 1/2 million in this fiscal year.

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

spending programs for FY-92 programs and services are already least than what we spent in 1991. While non human service agencies were already cut 12 1/2%, we've seen DCCA, that one agency that so many people point fingers at has been cut almost in half, 45% over FY-91. And, of course, the FY-92 budget actions brought in \$1 billion in new federal revenues. Governor Edgar has been successful in capturing those federal dollars, and one of the intents of this Resolution, of course, is to reduce the number state employees, and I want to commend Governor Edgar for the approach he's taking by reducing the state employment roles with 6,200 fewer employees than last year through early retirement and attrition. Mr. Sponsor, Resolution, I don't have any problems with and I'm glad to see you endorsing so many things that Governor Edgar is already doing. Thank you.

Speaker McPike: "Representative Parcells."

Parcells: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I wonder if the Sponsor would yield for one question?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Parcells: "On line 60 which is the second page of the Resolution, you do mention that nurses, mental health technicians, correctional officers, case worker and veterans home aids..."

McGann: "Mr. Speaker, I cannot hear."

Parcells: "Starting at line 60, Representative McGann, you mentioned that nurses, mental health technicians, correctional officers, those people would be exempt if they were sick or fell ill that they could on a permanent basis, that they could be replaced. I mean, if they left their positions. Is that correct? Is that what your intent was there?"

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

McGann: "That is correct."

- Parcells: "I'm wondering if that is enough coverage, for instance, let's say, four or five of the secretaries that serve your Representatives over there, decided to all move to Arizona or Florida. Does that mean that you would not replace those four or five secretaries in April and May and June?"
- McGann: "The likelihood of that happening is probably fairly nil.

  We're concerned on this section of the Resolution that
  those critical positions for health and safety, that they
  should be cared for, and we should not interfere or try to
  diminish their total compliment."
- Parcells: "I agree with you on those completely, I think that's a great idea."
- McGann: "But for all other areas we are saying, there has to be a freeze. And that would, in direct answer to your question, would include if our secretaries or whatever, leave us.

  But maybe we would be asking for an exemption if the pressures got to great on that."
- Parcells: "That's what I was wondering if in an exceptional circumstance..."
- McGann: "But maybe there would be more emphasis placed on your secretary here in Springfield rather than your district office, and that may cause some inconvenience for us in our serving the public in our own constituencies. But that is something that everyone is going to have to take a little hurt on this whole program in the next coming months."
- Parcells: "So, you're saying, if you secretary for instance, in your local district office quit you could not replace her?"

  McGann: "This is my understanding of this Resolution."
- Parcells: "Well, I think...I think the intent is good, I'm not sure we've covered all the bases on emergency. Certainly,

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

you've covered them for health care and prisons and so on, but I'm wondering if there aren't Representatives here who thought they wouldn't be lost and maybe some other people in state government who wouldn't be lost if their right hand person left them."

- McGann: "No. I am sure that everyone of us will endorse this approach but we're like swallowing a bitter pill. We don't like it but it's nothing else we can do. Much rather see us take approaches like this and Representative Ronan's approach and things like that that will help save us from cutting other vital areas which have been suggested. Everybody is going to help a little bit on this program, the Governor said he was open for suggestions. This is one of those suggestions."
- Parcells: "And I agree with the intent, and I think the Governor has done a terrific job by having 6,200 fewer employees now than when he took office. I think your intent is good, I think maybe we could have covered a few more bases, but I certainly approve of the intent. Thank you."

McGann: "Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Joint Resolution 107 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

  The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Mr.

  Clerk, Supplemental Calendar."
- Clerk Leone: "Supplemental #1 to the House Calendar has now been distributed."
- Speaker McPike: "Under Amendatory Veto Motions appears House Bill 1097, Representative McNamara."
- McNamara: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendatory Veto of 1097 is an issue that was originally set up for a school district in Grace Mary Stern's area and also in Senator Maitland's area. What the Governor has done in his Amendatory message

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

is two things: one, he has opened it up for the entire state, which seems to be acceptable by all parties. The second thing that he has done is, he has changed the effective date from January 1st to effective immediately since January 1st has already passed. I know of no opposition to it. I move to accept the Amendatory Veto."

Speaker McPike: "And on the Gentleman's Motion, Representative  $\overset{\cdot}{\cdot}$  Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Black: "Representative, it's my understanding that this is simply a correction of a Amendatory Veto that was perhaps typographical error and the Governor is in complete agreement with your Motion. Is that correct?"

McNamara: "That's correct."

Black: "Thank you, very much."

Speaker McPike: "Further discussion? There being none, question is, 'Shall the House accept the specific recommendations for change with respect to House All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. all voted? Have all vote who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 111 'ayes' and no 'nays', and this Motion has received the required Constitutional Majority. The Motion is adopted, and the House accepts the Governor's specific recommendations for change. House Bill 1097 having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Kulas, Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 1231."

Kulas: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move to accept Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1231. It's a combination of about 4

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

different Bills. I understand they are all agreed to, some dealing with the Secretary of State Office and one dealing with the Airport Authorities which is needed by the City of Springfield, Rockford, and DuPage County, and I would move for the passage of the Conference Committee."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall the House adopted Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1231?' All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Wennlund."

Wennlund: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of It's appropriate that the House quiet down at this point and time to consider just what it is that we are At a time when Illinois saw an unprecedented revenge in revolt from taxpayers throughout the State Illinois and particularly property taxpayers, and at a time when the State of Illinois is looking to cut \$350 million more out of its budget, property taxpayers are screaming, take a look at what we're doing here. What this Conference Committee Report does is, it's going to let 25 airport authorities who levy property taxes in Illinois raise property taxes to build golf courses. A case in point, the DuPage County Airport levies \$6 million a year in property taxes because it went out and bought 248 acres next to airport and it wants to build a golf course on it. So. we're going to let these 25 airport authorities raise property taxes throughout the state, all but I subject to the Property Limitation Act, the other 24 are not. We're going to let them increase property taxes. Well, I can see the direct mail pieces coming this November, October, and September saying that this Representative allows Airport Authorities to raise your property taxes to build golf courses, without a referendum. But, yet, we're going

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

to require school districts in Illinois, before they can increase their property taxes to go to a voter referendum. Now, I don't think you and I want that kind of thing in a time when economic times are tough. School districts can't afford it, we're looking at cutting even the school funds in Illinois. But yet, we're going to allow airport authorities to increase some property taxes to build golf courses. Now, that's ridiculous. In these tough economic I'll tell you what, this will come back to haunt you and every time that you really allow a tax increase and a rise in a tax levy by airport authorities to build golf courses, something is wrong with the State of Illinois and with the people of Illinois. They're not going to stand for it. I know they're not going to stand for it. This is the same thing as authorizing a tax increase without a voter referendum. And, Mr. Speaker, if this receives the required number of votes, I will seek a verification and request a verification at this point."

Speaker McPike: "It only has 6 votes. Representative Balanoff."

Balanoff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker for a question. Does this have
anything to do at all with the Lake Calumet Airport?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Kulas."

Kulas: "No."

Balanoff: "Does it have anything to do with the vehicle mission standards that the Pollution Control Board was recently having hearings on?"

Kulas: "No."

Balanoff: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Kulas."

Kulas: "Mr. Speaker, one of the previous speakers is completely erroneous in his information and that in these economic hard times this will raise property taxes. What a portion

# 104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

of this Conference Committee does is allow airport authorities to use non aviation land for commercial, farming and recreational purposes in order to not increase property taxes. So, this would lower your...the taxpayers property taxes, it wouldn't increase them. So, I would ask for an 'aye' vote on this Bill."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Schoenberg, 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 12 'ayes', and 89 'nos', and the Motion to adopt the First Conference Committee Report fails. Representative Kulas."
- Kulas: "Let me request, since we'll be back next week, a Second Conference Committee Report."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has asked for a Second Conference Committee Report. Page 3 of the Calendar under Motion appears House Bill 2698. Mr. Clerk, let the record reflect that that's Madigan...Matijevich. Representative Matijevich on the Motion."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to amend the Motion so, with regard to House Bill 2698 so that it would read, pursuant to Rule 77(a), I move to discharge the Committee on Appropriations, Public Safety and infrastructure from further consideration of House Bill 2698 and the Bill be placed on the Order of Second Reading for immediate consideration."
- Speaker McPike: "Alright, you've heard the Gentleman's Amendment.

  The question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in
  favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The
  Amendment is adopted. Mr. Matijevich on the Motion."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, we've now heard the Motion, and I would move..."

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Matijevich, the Amendment was adopted now, on the Motion."
- Matijevich: "The Motion now, I would make that we adopt the...adopt this Motion. You've heard the Motion."
- Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, Representative Ryder."
- Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Inquiry of the Chair, I had my light on on the previous Motion, did we have a coffee cup problem or something there? Or did you just ignored me."
- Speaker McPike: "I actually didn't see you, it was an Amendment.

  We just adopted an Amendment to the Motion."
- Ryder: "I was simply asking or at this point, I will if the Gentleman would yield? Could you tell me if this is a vehicle Bill at this point with no content, perhaps you could tell me your intentions for the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "This is a supplemental Appropriation Bill that has been assigned to the Committee on Appropriations Public Safety and infrastructure and the intent is to put it on the Calendar in case it has to be considered."
- Ryder: "You went weak in the last few words there, John, perhaps you could tell me in case of what?"
- Matijevich: "Well, this is the Governor's supplemental Bill, and we don't know if we have to move on it but, we want to put it in that..."
- Ryder: "I'm not opposing it, I just didn't hear the...you said, in case something, and I didn't hear the in case of."

Matijevich: "In case it has to be considered."

Ryder: "I see. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Matijevich, for further clarification."

Matijevich: "Yes, the..."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Ryder."

Matijevich: "Mr. Ryder, the Amendment that the Governor is

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

offering is going to be considered today, so that's why we have to move it."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. An inquiry of the Chair. I assume in that, we did something very quickly and I assume that in that haste we did...we did suspend the necessary Rule 33(b). Is that correct? That requires 71 votes, I think I heard you do that. I mean there was some lights on. It may have been an oversight, I'm sure it was."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black, we don't have to suspend that rule.

The Chair doesn't follow you, because we do not have to suspend that rule. The Motion has been amended."

Black: "I thought the Gentleman's original Motion was to suspend Rule 33(b)."

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Sir, you're correct."

Black: "And that was the one that was done on the voice vote."

Speaker McPike: "No, that...the Gentleman offered an Amendment to his Motion and the Amendment was adopted by a voice vote."

Black: "So, we haven't suspend the applicable rule?"

Speaker McPike: "No, we have not. That's the Motion right now."

Black: "And that...an inquiry of the Chair. Does this Motion then takes 71 votes?"

Speaker McPike: "No. The Motion was amended. The Motion was amended. We're now on the Amended Motion to discharge. It takes 60 votes. The amended Motion takes 60 votes."

Black: "I'm familiar with 33(b), now what rule are we suddenly are we under where this amended Motion only takes 60 votes."

Speaker McPike: "77."

Black: "So, we've kind of doubled it here up from 33(b) to 77.

Can you bare with me for just a second. The new glasses

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

make it a little hard to see."

Speaker McPike: "77(a)."

Black: "A Motion to discharge committee?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes. The Bill has been assigned to the committee."

Black: "And that was part of the Gentleman's original Motion as well?"

Speaker McPike: "No, Sir. Mr. Black, this is not confusing. The Gentleman amended..."

Speaker McPike: "Well, the Gentleman amended his Motion so that the Bill can be discharged from committee, it's been assigned to committee so it's a normal discharge Motion from a committee so we can put it on the floor, amend it today with a supplemental and pass it next Wednesday, when we come back. That is the intent."

Black: "And that is then the ruling of the Chair?"

Speaker McPike: "That is the Gentleman's Motion."

Black: "Alright. Thank you, very much."

Speaker McPike: "Alright. On the...Mr. Homer, did you want to speak on the Motion?"

Homer: "I just want to get a clarification Mr. Speaker. We're talking about a lot of budget numbers and if I understood the floor spokesman on the other side, that he multiplied 33 times 2 and came up with 77, and I was wondering if that's the kind of arithmetic that we can expect to be dealing with this next week?"

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Black is not in charge of appropriations.

Thank you. There being no objections to the Motion, the Attendance Roll Call will be used and the Motion carries.

The Bill is now on the floor. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2698, a Bill for an Act making various appropriations. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Any Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1 offered by Representative Hannig."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

This is the actual...this is the actual Bill or will become the Bill and it provides that we give authority to the state to spend these federal dollars. None of this money is state, 1.2 million for employment security, I'm sorry, \$2.1 million for employment security. Arts Counsel, 160,000. DCCA, 3 million. Criminal Justice System, 2 million, again this is all federal money, and I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "And on that, Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of that Amendment yield for some questions?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes."

Ryder: "Thank you. Representative, I believe that you stated that this was all federal moneys. It is my understanding that there is some money in here for a statewide grand jury for the Attorney General that is non federal money. Is that correct?"

Hannig: "It's my understanding that this is federal money."

Ryder: "The money for the statewide grand jury is federal money?

That's not my understanding. Give us a moment, please."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ryder."

Ryder: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate the consideration that was given to us. We stand in support of the Governor's Amendment as proposed. We believe that this Amendment is not as the Governor proposed and, therefore, we are in opposition to this Amendment in this form. We

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

would like to have the opportunity to have worked to put the Amendment in agreeable form. I simply state to the Chair and to the other Members of the House, it is not in a form that we find agreeable at this point. Therefore, I would ask for Republicans and others who may he sympathetic, not to vote in favor of this. It contains specifically our objection. It contains use of federal funds for use of a statewide grand jury. That is the portion that we find objectionable at this time. For reason, we ask for a Roll Call vote on the Amendment, and we ask that the Amendment be defeated. Thank you, for your courtesy, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig to...Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm puzzled by the opposition on the other side of the aisle. Is the opposition telling me that you want to use state funds when you have federal funds available. I thought we are in a difficult crunch. You have no problem using federal funds to help reimburse, to pay off that \$500 million loan. Yet, to implement the grand jury system that you supported, that we supported, you find that troubling. Are you opposed to going after drug trafficking? Are you opposed to using federal funds to go after drug trafficking? I am amazed at that. I thought that that side of the aisle was for law and order. I am amazed at that opposition. But keep it up, you're telling the public where you really stand."

Speaker McPike: "On the Amendment, Representative Black."

Black: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In response to the following Representative who is amazed at whatever he was amazed over. It's my understanding, Representative, that there are no state dollars appropriated whatsoever to start a grand jury, a statewide grand jury this year. I think

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

what people behind me are trying to work out is a priority usage of federal dollars. You're absolutely right on one thing, we absolutely agree on one point. This state is in serious financial condition and the federal funds we are able to capture by an aggressive Governor, who has found his way to Washington and has argued strongly and is attracted over a billion dollars in additional federal funds, we want to make sure those federal funds are prioritized and used, perhaps, for medicaid providers or human service concerns rather than a statewide grand jury. I think that's argument at hand. Let's not throw out any red herrings here today. There isn't \$1 of state money as far as I know, appropriated for statewide grand juries. this isn't a shell game. It isn't using state money. It's trying to make sure we prioritize federal dollars to the highest order possible and that, I don't find amazing."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Talk about a red herring. The Gentleman suggests this money might be available for other state purposes. That's not so. This is money that the federal government, through the Federal Crime Bill, has funneled into the hands of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority to make grants to law enforcement agencies and for law enforcement purposes. This not available for medicaid. This money is not available for schools. This money is sitting there under authority of criminal justice authority going to no use whatsoever, unless we approve this Amendment to Appropriation Bill. This Republican side of this aisle fought the statewide grand jury Bill every step of the way. We even took their Amendments on the Bill. Then they still tried to torpedo that Bill. Now, after the Governor signed

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

it, now they want to deprive the attorney general of authority to get federal dollars earmarked for law enforcement to implement that program to put a stop to drug dealing in the State of Illinois. Shame, shame, and don't talk about diverting moneys for some other reason, because these moneys have to be used for law enforcement, and if you bottle this up, then you, in effect, are playing right into the hands of the drug dealers of this state."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Hannig to close."

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. We should be applauding the Attorney General for going out and finding this federal money to fund our statewide grand passed this proposal last year. The Governor signed it. There is no one in this chamber who's in opposition to that concept to try and crack down on drug dealers and drug pushers in this state, and yet we are in a difficult financial situation, and the attorney general recognizing that rather than come here to us and say, I need \$500 million in general revenue money, money that could be used for schools or for the poor, has found federal moneys to fund this project. So, we should be applauding the attorney general, and we need to approve this Amendment and move it on. I ask for your 'yes' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 70 'ayes' and 39 'nos', Amendment #1 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, read the Adjournment Resolution."

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

- Clerk Leone: "Senate Joint Resolution 115, resolved by the Senate of the 87th General Assembly of the State of Illinois. The House of Representative concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, January 9, 1992, they stand adjourn until Wednesday, January 15, 1992, at 12:00 o'clock noon."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Adjournment Representative is adopted. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk "House Joint Resolution #88, offered by Representative Preston; House Joint Resolution #90, offered by Representative Speaker Madigan; House Resolution 1406. offered by Representative Cowlishaw; 1407, Representative Woolard; 1409, by Representative Granberg; 1410, Representative Granberg; 1411, by Representative Granberg; 1413, by Representative Dunn; 1414, by Representative Harris; 1415, by Representative Harris; 1416, by Representative Harris; 1417, by Representative Ronan, et al; 1420, Representative Hartke; 1421, Representative Bugielski; 1423, by Representative Weller; 1424, by Representative Weller and 1425, by Representative Kirkland."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, these Resolutions have been reviewed.

  I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.

  The 'ayes' have it, the Agreed Resolution are adopted.

  General Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1418, offered by Representative Currie, et al."

104th Legislative Day

January 9, 1992

Speaker McPike: "Committee on Assignment. Death Resolutions."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1404, offered by Representative Morrow, in respect to the memory of Owen O. Washington.

House Representative 1405, offered by Representative Burgielski, in respect to the memory of Roman Stefanski.

House Resolution 1412, offered by Representative Dunn, in respect to the memory of Joseph James Slaw and House Resolution 1422, offered by Representative Parke, et al, in respect to the memory of Bruce Clement Lind."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor say 'aye', opposed The 'ayes' have it. The Death Resolution are adopted. Standing at Mr. Weavers desk right now is, former Legislator and former Congressman, Jack Davis. January 13, at 11:00 a.m., the four House Appropriations Committees and the two Senate Appropriations Committees will meet in the Chicago Auditorium, State of Illinois Center. Monday January 13, at 11:00 a.m. On Tuesday, January 14, at 12:00 noon in Springfield the same four House Approp. Committees and the two Senate Committees will meet in the House chambers at 12:00 noon, January 14. Wednesday, January 15, at 9:00 a.m., the six Committees again will meet in the House chambers, 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday. The House will meet at 12:00 noon. Any announcements? How about Thursday? Mr. Ronan has announcement about Thursday. Any announcements? Representative Matijevich now moves that the House stand adjourned until next Wednesday, at the hour of 12:00 noon. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House stands adjourned."

REPORT: TIFLDAY PAGE: 001

STATE OF ILLINOIS

87TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

09:02:04

92/09/29

JANUARY 09+ 1992

HB-1097 VETO ACTION	PAGE	12	
HB-2698 SECOND READING	PAGE	50	
HB-2698 MOTION	PAGE	16	
HB-2718 FIRST READING	PAGE	2	
HB-2719 FIRST READING	PAGE	2	
HB-2720 FIRST READING	PAGE	2	
H8-2721 FIRST READING	PAGE	2	
HB-2722 FIRST READING	PAGE	2	
HB-2723 FIRST READING	PAGE	2	
HB-2724 FIRST READING	PAGE	2	
SB-1231 CONFERENCE	PAGE	13	
SB-1231 MOTION	PAGE	16	
♦HJR-0026 FIRST READING	PAGE	3	
SJR-0107 MOTION	PAGE	5	
SJR-0107 ADOPTED	PAGE	12	
SJR-0115 ADOPTED	PAGE	24	
SJR-0115 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	24	
SUBJECT MATTER			
HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MCPIKE	PAGE	1	
PRAYER - FATHER RICHARD CHIOLA	PAGE	1	
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1	
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1	
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2	
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	24	
GENERAL RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	24	
DEATH RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	25	
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	25	