140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Simon A. Simon, Pastor of the Pirst United Presbyterian Church of Jerseyville, which is celebrating their 150th anniversary year this year. Reverend Simon is a guest of Representative Tom Ryder. Will the guests in the gallery please rise for the invocation?"

Reverend Simon: "I suppose you've heard by this time what happened - I think it was in the Colorado Legislature when they were voting on an appropriation for an increase in their salaries, and it was going to be such overwhelming vote that many of the Legislators decided at the to end to switch to a 'no' vote so they could tell their constituents that they voted 'no', and too many of them switched and defeated the proposal. Was yesterday? I'm sure there's a message in there more meaningful to you than to me. My appreciation to Tom Ryder for making this opportunity possible. My father was a local politician of some stature in Omaha, Nebraska, for many years, and through that, I've come to appreciate the kind of work you are doing. I also have had a quiet ambition over the years to do similar work, and one day, I hope that something like that might be possible, and if, in way, I can be of any service to the Representatives of the state, I would welcome the opportunity. In our time of prayer this morning, I'm attempting to focus on some of the elements of faith which we might hold in common, given our diverse religious backgrounds. I hope that this will be more than a routine experience for you. It is certainly a routine experience for me, as it is the first time I have had the privilege of addressing Legislators in prayer. Will you please join with me now in prayer? Almighty God,

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

You have plans for this state and more than plans. have given resources, energies and the power to make this day, we pray, please give these Legislators, executives and staff a knowledge of Your will great desire to bring Your will to pass. Let them remember that they serve a public trust, and their abilities and their energies combine for the well-being of all Your people. Give to them, we pray, a consuming commitment to what is right and fair and just. In Thy holy name we pray. Amen."

- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Ropp will lead us in the pledge to the flag."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States

  of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one

  Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
  all."
- Speaker Greiman: "Roll Call for Attendance. Alright. Alright. Ladies and Gentlemen. if it is your intention to nonconcur... to nonconcur with Bills that are on the Order of Concurrence, come to the well. There will be a list available for you to mark down, so that we may call Bills in which there is to be nonconcurrence in some order, it will be here. We're going to be presentation at this time, and there will be a sheet well. So. if you are going to nonconcur, please advise us. Thank you. Mr. Clerk, take the record. There are 118 Members being present, and a quorum is present. Are there any... Mr. Piel? Message from the Senate."
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright,
  Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House
  of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the
  House in passage of Bills of the following title, together
  with Amendments: House Bills 2600, 2605, 3041, 1474, 3957,

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

3060 and 2556 passed the Senate as amended June 25, 1984. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

- Speaker Greiman: "We are joined this morning by the Morton Potters, the 1984 AA state baseball champs. Representative Koehler in the Chair."
- Speaker Koehler: "Thank you very much, Representative Greiman, and thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen, for allowing us opportunity to honor these young people. It is always a pleasure to honor young people in their academic and their athletic achievements. And the Morton Potters High School baseball team won the 1984 State AA Baseball Championship year, right here in Springfield. This is their first state championship that they have brought home to the Morton community. In doing that, they defeated Belleville West, Taylorville and Burbank Reavis, and in earning championship title, the team broke so many records that they almost broke a record for breaking records. And perhaps the Clerk will read the Resolution that talks about their accomplishments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1105, offered by Representative Koehler. Whereas. personal excellence. consistent striving towards a mutual goal, and the very best sportsmanship characterize the exceptional success of Morton High School Potters in capturing the Class AA Baseball Championship this season; and whereas, the Morton Potters captured numerous tournament records on their way to becoming state champions, including an all-time tournament record of 46 hits, a remarkable batting of .474, which overwhelmed the previous tournament average of .388, and a record-breaking 45 runs scored, and 36 hits batted in; and whereas, in addition to setting all state tournament records, the Potters also became the first Morton High School baseball team to ever capture the state

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

title, and the first Peoria area team to do so in 16 years: and whereas, each member of the Morton Potters baseball contributed to the team's phenomenal success. including Kurt Hummel, who was named the most player of the tournament; and whereas, these young men are a source of pride to the community of Morton. to their school, to their families and friends, and indeed, to everyone who recognizes and appreciates such qualities as determination, fairness and excellence; and whereas, the fine display of athletic powers, strength of character. team spirit and good sportsmanship exhibited by the Morton Potters should be an example for each of us to follow: therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the 83rd General Assembly of the State of Illinois hereby honor, commend and extend our congratulations to the Morton High School Potters for their outstanding state tournament win: and be it further resolved that we applaud their sportsmanship, athletic excellence and team character so ably developed by their fine coach, Mike McDonald, and be it further resolved that suitable copies of this Resolution and Preamble presented to the Morton High School, Coach Nike McDonald, and to each member of the Morton team... Morton Potters' team."

Speaker Greiman: "All those in favor of the Resolution signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' prevail, and the Resolution is adopted."

Speaker Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. While we have the opportunity, I would just like to introduce those members of the team who were able to be present today, including their head coach, Mr. Mike McDonald, Doug Flusser. Perhaps you might each wave your hand as I introduce you. Doug Flusser, the pitcher: Tim

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Taylor, pitcher and outfielder: Sam Kent, the catcher: Todd Hummel, who is an ... the outfielder and. the all-tournament team; and Kirk Hummel, the first baseman and most valuable player. I might mention that ... Now, did I get that correct? Is it Kirk or Todd? Yeah. Kirk. given this and the most valuable player award was y∈ar because he set an all-time record of three home runs in the tournament, tied the all-time homer mark for a single game with two against Taylorville, and had eight BBI's and a batting average. Perhaps some of you on the House softball team would like to have him as a member οf your team, right? Okay, the other members are Steve Brusso, an outfielder; Rich Melton, the shortshop; Larry Lomolino, outfielder: and John Hostetler, designated the hitter and outfielder. And Mr. Wayne Newland, the Superintendent of Schools, is here also, and would perhaps like to say a few words to you. Okay, may I recognize Representative Saltsman first?"

Saltsman: "Yes, thank you, Representative Koehler. Being from the district next to Morton, I want to also congratulate you, and I know all the Peoria teams are proud of the accomplishment you made, even though you did knock our favorites off. But we still appreciate what Morton did for central Illinois, and I know the team, with their spirit and with their desire to win, takes after their coach, because I competed for many years against him in the Peoria-Pekin area. And if you follow his footsteps, you'll be back again. Congratulations."

Speaker Koehler: "Thank you."

Mr. Newland: "I just... On behalf of this team and our school, I
wish to express appreciation for the opportunity to be
here. I would also like to point out that these young men
represent the student body at Morton High, one of the fine

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

schools in the State of Illinois, for which you've been debating and talking about regarding financing. Just keep that in mind as you continue. Thank you very much."

- Speaker Koehler: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen, while do all recognize that we have many мe fine individuals here, I think they would be the first to tell you that it, of course, was a team effort that helped them to beat Burbank Reavis, and I believe that Burbank is in Representative McGann's district, and perhaps he might have a few words of consolation for his team - for the... for the wonderful accomplishments of the Morton High School team. Hr. McGann."
- McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

  Reavis is not in my district. It is in our great Speaker's district, the Michael J. Madigan district. But I am very familiar and very supportive of Reavis High School. We're good losers, and we applaud the winners' tremendous job. We'll done."
- Speaker Koehler: "Thank you, Representative McGann. I am sorry that I was under the mistaken impression that it was in your district, but the victory is even more sweet it it is in the Speaker's district. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Greiman in the Chair. Thank you very much for joining us this morning. We'll stand at ease for a few moments. For the newly arrived, a list of Bills where the Sponsor desires to nonconcur nonconcur is being compiled. If you will come to the well and give the number of your Bill, it will be on a list for nonconcurrence. Thank you. Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1101, Curran; 1111, Madigan Christensen; 1112, Pangle; and 1113, Pangle."
- Speaker Greiman: "On the Agreed Resolutions, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

- Matijevich: "Thank you, Speaker and Members of the Resolution 1101, Curran, congratulates the Den Chili Parlor on its relocation and expansion. Speaker Madigan, on House Resolution 1111. congratulates Simon Rosenberg for receiving a degree from Northwestern at the age of 1112, Pangle, commends the Crescent City Arrowcrest 1113, Pangle, designates July 20th as Illinois 4-H Club. POW-MIA Recognition Day. I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Hr. Matijevich, has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Besolutions. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1114, offered by Representative White, with respect to the memory of Mrs. Sarah Sess."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of House Resolution 1114. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. Representative Vitek on a matter of personal privilege."
- Vitek: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, yesterday we passed a Resolution honoring our former seatmate, Congressman John Pary, and with the help of Jack O'Brien and Kenneth Wright and the Members of the Senate, we were successful in adding all the House Nembers, and especially those that served with John Fary to the Resolution. So, rather than going around to each one of you, I thought I'd ask for this point of personal privilege to let you know your names will be on the Resolution, and I thank the Speaker, I thank Jack O'Brien, I thank Kenny Wright and the Members of the Senate for allowing this

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

privilege to all the House Hembers. Thank you very much."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Representative Vitek. In a moment or two, we'll be moving to the Order of Business, Senate Bills Second Reading, in which are appropriations on the Order of Second Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 1386. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1386, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Capital Development Board for the Board of Trustees of Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Bowman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Leave to withdraw Amendment 1."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1450. Er. Leverenz, do

you wish to proceed? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1450, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Transportation for expenditure by the Division of Water Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1450, by Bepresentative Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on a Motion to table."

Leverenz: "I ask leave to withdraw that Motion."

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook withdraws the Notion to table Amendment #2. Are there further Amendments... Floor Amendments? The Notion is withdrawn. Yes, Mr. Panayotovich, for what purpose... no... alright."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Giorgi."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi, on Amendment #3. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi, on Amendment 3."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1450 has to do with the bridge that connects Highway 51, which is a state highway, and Highway 2, which is also a state highway, and I ve cleared this with the appropriate Department heads, and they're all in agreement. This appropriates \$300,000 to the Department of Transportation for repair work on the Riverside Boulevard Bridge over the Rock River in Loves Park. This connects those two highways, and I urge the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnebago moves for the adoption of Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 1450. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

  Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Johnson Woodyard."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson. Mr. Johnson, on Amendment #4."
- Johnson: "Nr. Speaker and Members of the House, this, I think, is an agreed Amendment. It has to do with a line item reduction in the amount of approximately 49,000 dollars. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Champaign moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1450. Is there any

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Hr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the ... Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for a question."

Johnson: "Yes, Sir, I will."

Leverenz: "Bould you explain that a little more in detail as to what the Amendment does?"

Johnson: "Well, it has... it has to do... reduction... line item reduction in an amount precisely equal to the salary of the Director of the Division of Water Resources. Representative Hoodyard and I, Representative Stuffle, have had a real problem with the Director, in terms of not allowing some of our constituents to clean out a stream. So, we want to get a message to the Director."

Leverenz: "To the Amendment then, I think it's a good Amendment, and we can send a strong message to the head of that bureau and would ask everyone to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Effingham,
Mr. Brunmer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for questions."

Brummer: "Is the Director Br. Vonnahme? What is the... I guess

I'm a little surprised at the difficulty, because I've

always found him to be a rather cooperative individual.

What's the... Bhat's the nature of the difficulties..."

Johnson: "We found him to be a totally uncooperative individual.

We have had a project pending for farmers simply to clear out a stream called Deer Creek that flows in between Douglas and Coles County. Farmers have had their crops flooded out for a couple of years, and we've run through the most incredible bureaucratic snags all rooted in that Department that you could ever imagine. Representative Leverenz has been very, very good to us and tried to serve his good offices in terms of getting a... some action from

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

the Division on what's a very, very simple thing. Everybody..."

Brummer: "What have you needed from him, a permit?"

Johnson: "From the... Yeah, we need a permit from the Division of Water Resources. All the other relevant Departments have said okay."

Brummer: "Okay. Thank you."

Johnson: "EPA said okay. Department... Department... Three different entities have said okay, everybody but this Division. And sometimes there's only one way you can get a message across."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Well, I'm going to speak in opposition to the Amendment simply because we all use this strategy from time to time to get the attention of a Director. This particular Director, along with Bepresentative Brummer, I've probably spent 500 hours of my life with this guy over a flood control project in Will County. I've found him to be not only the most responsive, but the most creative and innovative Director of that Department that I've ever seen. I think that the message is good; but, with the three quys that you re talking about here trying to get through I guarantee you your message is well heard. all you're going to do is you know damn well that the Amendment will be stripped off in a Conference Committee or later on. It won't stay on the Bill or be vetoed by the Governor or whatever. So, I think your message is there. And if you want to withdraw it, Representative Johnson, I'll be glad to help you with Don Vonnahme to make sure you get what you want done. I think this is silly."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Stuffle."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Stuffle: "We appreciate Representative Davis' remarks. Representative Johnson's carried the ball on this issue since it affects his district directly in Douglas County, but I can assure you that despite the fact I don't represent that district at all anymore. We've been flooded with calls and letters and requests from... from effected people iust to the end that Representative Johnson indicated here; that they've not been responsive to requests or the requests of the individuals involved. I think you have to send them some sort of a message. Τf can't get the message through the Legislator that works in that district very effectively and Representative Woodyard and through our office and other offices, and particularly Representative Johnson, problem, and you've got to address it. Obviously, the age-old way to address it is here on floor of the House and stop the buck here and work through the appropriations process. And if they don't like it, too bad. I would ask for an 'aye' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I... You know, it's amusing hear Representative Davis get up there and chide the ... chide the Body for its silliness. And, you know, don't understand him, because the comments he made were all But the trouble is that Representative Davis, at true. that time, was the winner. He and another fellow that was very well known to this House scuttled a 12 or a 13 year process in the very town that we live in in favor of a little. bittv. boonie town out in Mokena that Representative Davis represents there out and at the of about six to expense ten thousand people living across... around Hickory and Spring Creek in Will Now if I can take away Representative... I mean, the

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Director Vonnahme's whole salary, you know, it would absolutely serve him right, and I intend to give him a resounding 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Davis, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Davis: "My name was mentioned in debate, Mr. Speaker, and I take somewhat umbrage at my distinguished colleague from the other side who is obviously a very poor loser; but, in losing, he became a winner and saved the taxpayers of Will County some three million dollars in so doing on a wrong embarked project that he had been working on for 12 years and could not get done but is now underway. So, I would just admonish the Gentleman that personalities should not be involved in this, I don't think..."
- Speaker Greiman: "He's admonished. Alright. Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Nembers of the House, I, too. rise in support of this Amendment. What we have found in process of trying to deal with these issues of clearance of drainage ditches in farmland is that there are no rules and regulations on file by the Department that even can be used as guidelines. And so, engineering companies go in and suggest the remedy, that can be overruled, because there's nothing on record shows how these projects will be approved or disapproved. I don't believe that it is our intention as a Legislative Body to give any state agency complete control without going on record for the guidelines that will be used on these projects. And for that reason, I think that we should pass this Amendment to give that message to the Department."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Edgar, Mr. Woodyard. Mr. Woodyard."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Woodyard: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I do also rise in support the Amendment. I realize that ... that this may not stay on the Bill in its final passage stage, but certainly this is one way to send a message to some people who have been nonresponsive to a group of people, particularly Representative Johnson's district. The permit desired bγ those people to clean out a simple creek also runs down into my district in Coles County. And... Well. opponent here says, 'And a Democratic township'. So, I urge all of your support on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I think the point here... I think we're getting off track a little bit. Obviously, in some instances, the gentleman does cooperate, and obviously, in other instances, the two honorable Members of this Assembly, with great integrity, have indicated the gentleman has failed to cooperate. And I don't see any reason why where, in an instance, he has failed to cooperate, that this Assembly can't send a message. And so I would urge an 'aye' vote on the Gentleman's Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson, to close."

Johnson: "Well. I really appreciate the comments and help of people here on both sides of the aisle, Representative Leverenz and Satterthwaite, Stuffle, Woodyard, Vinson and so forth. This... This has been a real frustrating For two and a half or three years, farmers' process. fields all over southern Douglas and northern Coles County, primarily in Douglas, are being flooded out because of credible amount of obstructions in a stream. In fact. there's probably... probably the stream's not even jurisdiction of the Department of Transportation.

#### 140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

because it's probably not going to be considered by the courts to be a navigable waterway. We have tried every conceivable way. They have had to spend money for lawyers. substantial amounts of money for engineers. A number of drainage districts and farmers are all involved in this project for that length of time, and all they ve gotten, as a result of their continued trying to go along with the system, is one more letter, one more letter, one more request, one more laundry list of things that ... that this one entity says has to be complied with, even though every other governmental entity, federal, state and local across the board, have approved the project. Now, maybe on projects this gentleman is a cooperative individual, and I'm sure that in the long run, he's going to keep his quarantee you on this project, and everybody involved in the project will tell you, he's been anything but cooperative. He's absolutely obstructionist, rude to me as a Legislator, and I don't know about the other ones. certainly has treated me as though I don't have any business talking to him about it, and I resent that. think that when we come here to represent our constituents and try to keep our farmers! fields from being flooded out. that we ought to at least be listened to. And Т don't think that's happened. I realize that this process is one of helping one another out, and I sincerely appreciate people on both sides of the aisle being willing to use this that we had to try to help mechanism some of our constituents out and to try to prevent our fields from being flooded by acres and acres and acres. And I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair... In the opinion of the Chair,

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
  Homer."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."
- Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Leave to withdraw Amendment #5.

  I'm asking for leave to withdraw."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes. Amendment #5 is withdrawn. Further
  Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative Wolf."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf."
- Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Amendment. #6 is an Amendment that would appropriation of \$300,000 from the anti-pollution bond fund Environmental Protection Agency to a community in Madison County, for the purpose of assisting that community in replacing sewer lines which have been devastated high water table. When the original sewer lines were put in in this area, heavy industry in that area was using deep well pumps, which had the effect of keeping the water table well below the danger point. Several years ago, industry in that area went to a direct line into the Mississippi River, stopped using their deep well pumps, and this had the effect of raising the water table to the point where all of the sewer lines in that area have crumbling and breaking. They are now in the process of replacing these lines with plastic lines. They have spent \$2,000,000 up to this point, but now, they need a little assistance from the state to help them in doing the job that they're trying to do. I would ask your support of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison has moved for the

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

adoption of Amendment 6. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative Satterthwaite."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #7, please."

Speaker Greiman: "Pardon?"

Satterthwaite: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #7."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #7 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative
Homer."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Amendment #8 would appropriate \$40,000 from the Grade Crossinu Protection Fund to DOT for the purpose implementing and installing railroad crossing signals in... on East Chestnut and East Elm Streets in Canton. Illinois. necessitated by a change in traffic direction from one-way to two-way streets, as a result of the closing οf International Harvester. And I would ask for vour approval."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Fulton moves for the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1450. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, offered by Representative Satterthwaite."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."
- Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is an Amendment for \$150,000 from the road fund to be appropriated for a road that belongs to the University of Illinois and is currently in the process of being repaired. We know of no other way to fund that, other than taking it from the road fund to reimburse the local government that is currently paying for that project, and I would ask for your support in this Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign moves for the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1450. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, offered by Representative Panayotovich and Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Panayotovich. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you. Amendment #10 would add \$5,000,000, appropriating it to the Capital Development Board for the Chicago Regional Port District for construction of the boat marina, the access road, ramps, parking lot, storm and sanitary sewers, the utilities to provide the water and electrical distribution, and right down to the landscape, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #10."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 1450. Gn that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

favor signify by vote... by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1541. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1541, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the various legislative support agencies and the legislative commissions. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
Keane."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane, on Amendment #2."

Keane: "Please withdraw Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Nr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment increases the ADP line item. It breaks out the funding for the Legislative Staff Intern Program and adds an effective date - a bit of a sunshine Amendment, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1541. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1546. 1546. Mr. Nash. Mr... Would you like that called? Alright. Out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1549. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1549, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Agriculture. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #2, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 10 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, As. Barnes. Oh, I'm sorry.

Were there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Notions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, offered by Representative

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to withdraw... leave to withdraw Amendment #11."

Speaker Greinan: "Amendment #11 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, offered by Representative

Parnes and Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Amendment #12 to the Department of Agriculture Bill
incorporates Amendment #2, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 10 that were
adopted in Committee. The total is one million, one

### 140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

hundred and seventy-three thousand dollars, two hundred. It's one million, twenty-three thousand, two dollars in appropriations, and one hundred and fifty thousand dollars in reappropriations. To recap for the benefit of the Nembers, Amendment #2 was twenty-three thousand dollars for the tractor pull awards at Illinois State Pair: Amendment #3 adds a hundred and six thousand, six hundred, for ethnic and cultural state fair events; Amendment #5 adds thirty thousand, two hundred dollars for the Galatin County Fair Association: Amendment #7 reappropriates a hundred and fifty thousand dollars for state entertainment. And as everybody knows, assure the entertainers that we do contract with, some funding up front and also a portion of the ticket sales realized at the fair. Amendment #9 adds five hundred and fifty thousand dollars for research and technical assistance for promotion of international trade, Amendment #10 adds three hundred and thirteen thousand dollars, four hundred, to restore the Senate cut. If there aren't any questions, Mr. Speaker, I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 12 to Senate Bill 1549. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "The Amendment simply cleans up everything that we added in Committee, and I would hope that it would be adopted comfortably."
- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #12 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Hicks."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks, on Floor Amendment 13."
- Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 13 is the same as Amendment 11 was, that was withdrawn. The only difference is that it takes the money out of the Ag Premium Fund and allows \$2,500 for a premium in awards to be given at the state fair this summer during the rabbit breeding show. I'd ask for its approval."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jefferson moves for the adoption of Amendment 13 to Senate Bill 1549. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Beading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1555. Mr. Hastert, do you wish to proceed with 1555? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1555, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill.

  Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 5 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Espresentative Davis."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis, on Amendment 6. The Gentleman from Will. Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 6 adds \$50,000 into the contractual

### 140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- service line for Stateville Penitentiary in my district in Will County, for medical services and ambulance services for that particular facility, and I would move for its adoption or answer any questions you might have."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will has moved for the adoption of Amendment 6 to Senate Eill 1555. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Hr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have no objection to this Amendment. I urge its support."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
  Davis."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Davis."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Amendment #7 adds \$390,000 into the Department Corrections budget, which is a shift from the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs, who formerly funded state's attorneys... additional state's attorneys in areas that have correctional centers in them. The state has funding additional state's attorneys for obvious reasons in correctional centers, for about seven or eight years, now, and it has been funded out, for some unknown reason, out of the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. There's a like amount reduction in their budget, and this is a transfer to Corrections, and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Will has moved for the adoption of Amendment 7 to Senate Bill 1555. And on that,

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Mr. Bowman."

- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Gentleman is correct. There's no net increase to the budget. This is simply a more appropriate home for the money for these state's attorneys. I urge support by the whole House."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative
  Bowman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #8 reduces funding for the retirement contributions by 1.1 million dollars. If this Amendment is adopted, the payout ratio for retirement contributions will be 60 percent. This is the Governor's recommended level. We did approve a Bill yesterday to provide for amortizing the unfunded liability over a 30 year period. This Amendment is consistent with that legislation, and I believe that if we are to have a balanced budget this year, it is necessary to appropriate at this level, and that is why I move adoption of Amendment #8."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, moves for the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1555. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #8 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

- 140th Legislative Day

  Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1557. Rr. Clerk, read
  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1557, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Emergency Services and Disaster Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1, offered by Representative Klemm."
- Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Hastert, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this Bill, momentarily, out of the record."
- Speaker Greiman: "We'll take it out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1565.

  Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1565, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions with respect to the Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment #4, offered by Representative Woodyard."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Edgar, Hr. Woodyard, on Amendment #4."
- Woodyard: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  Amendment #4 is the product of a lot of work and research

  over the past five or six months with members of the

  Underwater Search and Recovery Team of the State Police.

  Presently, we have 13 certified scuba divers on... that are

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

volunteers of the State Police. They furnish their own equipment. Amendment #4 would add \$51,500 to the State Police budget to actually one-time purchase equipment for these teams. It would at least put their equipment in a consistent and compatible manner, which they don't have at this time. Many times when they arrive at the scene of an accident or where they have to dive, their equipment becomes torn or broken, and they cannot interchange. So this would at least allow the state to purchase equipment for these three teams. I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Edgar moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1565. Is there any discussion? On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Bould the Gentleman yield to a question or three?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Leverenz: "How many teams?"

Woodyard: "This would be enough equipment for three teams. It would be located in a northern region, central region and southern region."

Leverenz: "That makes sense. How many individuals to the team?"
Woodyard: "There would be approximately 25 certified divers, and
I assume that they would... there would be approximately
eight members - eight volunteers in each region."

Leverenz: "Three goes into 25 how many times? Eight?"

Woodyard: "Eight and a third."

Leverenz: "On your side of the aisle, it may. Could you tell me the total amount of dollars, now?"

Woodyard: "51,500."

Leverenz: "51,500. And these people are using their own equipment now?"

Woodyard: "Yes."

Leverenz: "So, that equipment will go unused, probably, if we buy

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

all this other equipment. Right? I mean, they're doing it volunteer, now. Right?"

Woodvard: "That's correct."

Leverenz: "Could you name a couple of the items that are in the four-figure category that we are purchasing with this 51,000? I mean the four-figure. Forget the pulleys and the \$30 diving harness and the lift bag straps and the line ascenders and the three diving lights."

Woodyard: "We have the underwater metal detectors at \$1,650;
those lift bags that you mentioned at \$1,800; the full face
masks with wet communications in them. \$2.400."

Leverenz: "Well, I've been asked to support it, so I guess I will. Thank you."

Woodyard: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion?"

Leverenz: "I'm in the tank."

Speaker Greiman: "There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Bepresentative
Leverenz."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #5."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #5 restores \$524,600 for the strategic anti-felony team, better known as the 'SS', or that's the KGB. I'm sorry. It would restore 14 special agents and support costs that have been eliminated by Committee Amendment #2. Now, the new level will enable the Department to maintain a 44-member team to be located in four command units, never to use the scuba gear, and I

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1565. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #6."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment adds 101,700 in General Revenue Funds we're trying to spend this money as fast as possible to the Division of Support Services for the forensic science trainees. Hopefully, they'll not work any precincts. The trainees will be trained in one of eight disciplines, five that I can say, one of which that I cannot pronounce, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1565. There being no discussion. the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. That was Amendment #6-We're going to correct the board. Amendment #6 is Further Amendment." adopted.
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #7."
- Leverenz: "Now that we've put all the general revenue in, Mr.

  Speaker and Ladies an Gentlemen of the House, we'll peel a

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- little bit off. This would reduce retirement by 5.6 percent out of personal service line items to put the funding for retirement at 60 percent, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1565.

  There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

  Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

  Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1557. Mr. Clerk, read

  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1557, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Emergency Services and Disaster Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Is there any Motion with regard to Amendment #1?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1557, by Representative Klemm."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm."
- Klemm: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if I could have leave of the House to make a Notion to table Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, you have made a Motion to table. Is that right?"
- Klemm: "Yes."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright, I'm calling you on... I'm recognizing you for that Motion to table."
- Klemm: "Oh, fine. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry. I was speaking to some people next to me."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "It's alright."

- Klemm: "Yes, Amendment #1 has been corrected with Amendments 2 and 3, so I'd ask leave that we table Amendment 1 to correct it...."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McHenry moves to table

  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1557. On that, is there any
  discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "I just want to... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I support the Gentleman's Hotion, and we ought to table this and move the Bill along. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #! be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #! is tabled. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
  Barnes."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."

Barnes: "I wish to table Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "That has not been adopted. Is that correct?"

Barnes: "That is correct."

- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. So you'll withdraw Amendment #2.

  Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Bepresentative
  Klemm."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm, on Amendment #3."
- Klemm: "Yes, thank you, Ur. Speaker. #3... Amendment #3 simply does, in the Bill, saying that the Emergency Service and Disaster Agency for grants to local emergency organizations will continue to go to the local authorities, rather than being, perhaps, allocated to other activities, and it's part of Amendment 1, and I do ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm, moves

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1557. Mr.
  Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment makes no dollar change in the budget, but it is useful clarifying language, and I urge its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, offered by Eepresentative
  Barnes."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Barnes."
- Barnes: Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1557 appropriates \$24,600 State Emergency Service and Disaster Agency to enable the Agency to re-establish a regional office in 'ESDA' region 3, which was closed in Fiscal Year 484. The Federal Government will provide 50 percent reimbursement, \$12,300 General Revenue Fund, and \$12,300 federal funds: for antenna and transmitter - just a one-time charge: \$2,600, telephone installation and line charge: \$1,200, leased line to Oak Brook to one-time charge: connect new regional office to Springfield: \$10,000 office If there aren't any questions, I would ask for the adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 1557. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Amendment is exactly as the Lady describes it.

  It's a modest increase. I support it."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, offered by Representative
  Bowman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a 7.2 thousand dollar reduction in the retirement line to fund the retirement at 60 percent of estimated payout. This is the Governor's recommendation, and I move the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greinan: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1557. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?" All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

  Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1568. Hr. Clerk, read
  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1568, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Medical Center Commission. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 lost in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Bowman."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Nr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 is a \$1,000 reduction. Again, it would be consistent with the retirement policy that we established in the other Bills. I move its adoption."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1568.

There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

  Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1571. Mr. Clerk, read

  the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1571, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Pollution Control Board. Second Reading of the Bill.

  No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Vinson."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Amendment #1."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of Assembly. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1571 adds \$258,000 to provide scientific and technical support Pollution Control Board for the Pollution Control Board's rule-making activity. The Amendment adds a scientific technical support Section. The scientists will provide support to Board members relative to the complex rule-making requiring both scientific expertise and knowledge of highly specialized federal lays and reculations. I believe that Members of the Assembly on both sides of the aisle are pretty much in detail aware of the increased requirements we placed on the Board last year and this year, particularly in the area of hazardous waste.

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

What the Board would like to have is some scientific and technical support to arrive at these decisions, and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1571."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeRitt moves for the adoption of Senate... of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1571.

  There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted.

  Further Amendment?"
- Clerk C'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 would decrease the appropriation for retirement lines by \$2,700. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1571. There being nο discussion. the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 bе adopted? All in favor signify by saying opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Beading appears Senate Bill 1574. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1574, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 were adopted in Committee."

140th Legislative Day June 26, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1574, offered by Representative Hanniq."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig, on a Motion to table. Do you wish to withdraw your... alright.

The Motion to table will be withdrawn. Are there further Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Motions."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, offered by Representative Saltsman."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Saltsman. Mr. Saltsman on Amendment 13."

Saltsman: "Yes, there was a Motion to... to discharge Amendment #7 on this Bill. Yes, Hr. Speaker. Amendment Amendment #7\_ similar to This Amendment corrects a technical language oversight in Amendment #7\_ This Amendment will provide for the construction and operation of a Women's strength headquarters in Peoria. And when I spoke before this in Committee, the Amendment never had the word 'Peoria' in it. All this really does is put the word 'Peoria' into the Amendment, which should have been in It's taking care of a technical error."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria moves for the adoption of Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 1574. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #14, Vinson, amends Senate Bill 1574 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson. Mr. Piel, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- Piel: "Just a question of clarification, Mr. Speaker. Did we table Amendment #7... by 13?"
- Speaker Greiman: "No. No."
- Piel: "Wouldn't we have to table #7 to be taken... if 13 was going to take place in it?"
- Speaker Greiman: "If I understood Mr. Saltsman's presentation correctly, he added the word 'Peoria', and adding the word 'Peoria' never puts anything out of order, so that it would be in order. Alright?"
- Piel: "Well, you know, he was adding more than just 'Peoria' to it. See, that's what I was saying. It's basically the same as #7, but adding Peoria in there besides. So I think probably the best thing to do would be for 7 to be tabled. I mean, to be correct."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Piel, we're going to look at that for a moment. Apparently, there's some other movement afoot on Amendment 13. Alright. The Chair recognizes Mr. Saltsman."
- Saltsman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I move to table Amendment #13."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Peoria moves to table Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 1574. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #13 be tabled?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #13 is tabled. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #14, Vinson, amends Senate Bill 1574 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, on Amendment #14."
- Vinson: "What happened to putting 'Peoria' into the Bill?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Apparently, Amendment 13... it has been tabled by the Representative from Peoria. Is that... Are you on

140th Legislative Day >

- June 26, 1984
- 14, or do you want to discuss the other Bills, Mr. Vinson?"
- Vinson: "Well, if he signals me, it's okay. I just didn't want him strong armed on something for Peoria. If that's... If he's okay, I'm okay."
- Speaker Greiman: "You're okay, he's okay. Amendment 14, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "I'll withdraw that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment 14 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #15, Hastert, amends Senate Bill
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on Amendment #15."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a point of order on Amendment #13 and Amendment #7, what actually happened.

  The game plan was to withdraw 7 and adopt 13. Is that what happened, or what did we do, there?"
- Speaker Greiman: "No, I think 7 stands and 13 has been withdrawn... has been tabled. Not the first game plan to go astray. That is your pleasure on Amendment 15, Sir? Proceed. I'm recognizing you on Amendment 15."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman (sic Speaker). I ask for the adoption of Amendment #15. Amendment #15 deals with a... or, a 50 percent increase... 50 cent increase in funds to pharmacies for prescription filling, and it's been about seven years since they've had an increase in that area. I would ask for its positive... "
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall has moved for the adoption of Amendment #15 to Senate Bill 1574. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #15 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #15 is adopted. Further Amendments?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #16, Slape, amends Senate Bill 1574 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bond, Mr. Slape, on Amendment #16. Mr. Slape. Yes, Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Bowman: "With leave of the House, I can handle this Amendment."

  Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Does Mr. Bowman have leave to handle

  Amendment #16? Alright. Mr. Bowman, you have leave. Mr.

  Bowman on Amendment #16."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the This House. Amendment is necessary because it Mas originally placed in the budget for Southern Illinois University, and an arrangement was worked out whereby it was shifted over to this budget. So, this is coming out of another budget and putting it in this budget. It's verv like Mr. Davis' Amendment earlier on the state's attorneys. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, moves for the adoption of Amendment 16 to Senate Bill 1574. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Gr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. I rise in opposition to Amendment #16 to Senate 1574. It's an Amendment that should appropriately be considered in the Higher Education appropriation, the Public Aid appropriation, and if we're going to keep this process going in a deliberative fashion, we ought be throwing Amendments out of everywhere onto Bills that appropriate for specific agencies and functions. The next thing we'll be seeing are commission Amendments and everything else. I would urge a 'no' vote on this and request a Roll Call."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun. Ms. Braun.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm really very disappointed in the Gentleman After all. the... what we're doing here is precisely the same thing that one of his own members aia with respect to the Department of Corrections' budget just a moment ago. We're trying to get these Bills in proper shape, and I don't know why he has any complaint about which particular budget this goes on. This Amendment was adopted to the SIU budget in Committee, and SIU and the Board of Higher Education specifically asked to have Amendment placed on the Fublic Aid budget. So. if the cause of concern for the Representative from DeWitt is that we're putting this on the ... that we're putting it on wrong budget, that it should be over in Higher Ed, rest assured that we are doing this to respond to the concerns raised by the Board of Higher Education, which felt that this was a more appropriate home for the money in question, and so, we're doing this to accommodate the education community. And so, I think the Gentleman's point is not well taken, and I urge that this Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #16 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #... "

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, excuse me. Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I requested a Roll Call on that."

Speaker Greiman: "During your presentation, did you? If you did... If you did, then you'll get a Roll Call. Okay. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote

140th Legislative Day

- June 26. 1984
- 'nay'. Voting is now open. The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, to explain his vote."
- Mautino: "Thank you very much. I'd like to make a request of the distinguished Minority Leader over there, that if we're going to do it on this Amendment, Representative Vinson, we're going to do it on all of them."
- Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Hav
- Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #17, Hastert, amends Senate Bill 1574 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I ask leave to table
  Amendment... Committee Amendment #10. Ask leave to table
  Committee Amendment #10."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert, I was recognizing you on 17, on Amendment #17. Did... Did you want to do that first... Did you want to first table Amendment #10?"
- Hastert: "We need to do that first, yes."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Gentleman from Kendall moves to table Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 1574. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 be tabled?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #10 is tabled. Amendment #17. The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #17 is the technical change that corrects a flaw in Amendment #10. What it does is allow for \$700,000 for food stamp distribution, and I ask for its favorable passage."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall moves for the

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

adoption of Amendment 17 to Senate Bill 1574. Is there any discussion? There being none... I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Sinnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Mulcahey: "What... Representative Bastert, what is this for? The Amendment just has a couple of numbers on there. What... "

Hastert: "Would you repeat your question? I can't hear you."

Mulcahey: "Amendment #17. What is the increase in the funds for?

Where is it going? Why is it needed?"

Hastert: "Representative, it's not an increase. It's a technical Amendment that takes the place of Committee Amendment #10, but what is does is allow \$700,000 which was appropriated in Committee for food stamp distribution through currency exchanges, and basically, this is done in urban areas, not in rural areas, because of the safety of those packets."

Mulcahey: "Okay. Thank you."

Hastert: "You're welcome."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Since there's some question about this, I just want to point out that the money that this Amendment deals with was in the Governor's budget as it was presented to the Senate. The Senate Committee took the money out. We restored the money in House Committee, but because the Amendment was not properly drafted, we had to table that Amendment and adopt this Amendment, so this doesn't make any change in the Governor's budget as it was introduced."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #17 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #18, Bowman, amends Senate Bill

- 140th Legislative Day June 26, 1984
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Amendment #18."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment restores the operation cuts made by the Senate based on their productivity guidelines. It also reduces the retirement level to the original request, 5.6 percent for personal services, and the net changes by line item are... well, they... they're in several different line items that they total in addition of 5.67 million dollars. The personal services dollars restores 158 various positions throughout the Department's operations. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 19 to Senate Bill 1574. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #18 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #18 is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #19, Hastert, amends Senate Bill 1574."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on Floor Amendment 19."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to table Amendment #19.

  Or withdraw."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert withdraws Amendment #19. Further
  Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #20, Hastert, amends Senate
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on Floor Amendment #20."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to withdraw Amendment #20."

140th Legislative Day

- June 26. 1984
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall withdraws Amendment #20. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #21, Hastert, amends Senate Bill
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastart, on Amendment #21."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #21 adds \$2,250,000 to the budget to create 130 new positions, which basically are people who go out and check nursing homes, according to the... some of the legislation we just passed in this House."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall moves for the adoption of Amendment #21 to Senate Bill 1574. And on that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I thought you... Would the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."
- Leverenz: "I thought you were doing Agreed Besolutions, there.

  This adds how many people?"
- Hastert: "A hundred and thirty positions, Sir."
- Leverenz: "A hundred and thirty positions. That's more positions than I have preciucts in my legislative district. How much money is that?"
- Hastert: "As I said before, it's \$2,250,000, and this goes along with the Madigan plan that was passed out of this House."
- Leverenz: "Hell, you're saying some of the right words, but you're still not hitting all of the bells."
- Hastert: "Representative, I might add, too, with comment on this.

  It's 75 percent reimbursable federal funds."
- Leverenz: "And then, the 25 percent, what is that? General Revenue or Road Fund?"
- Hastert: "It's GRF fund, sir."
- Leverenz: "GRF. I think there might be some hesitation on this side of the aisle on this Amendment, and we'd ask for a

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Hr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Same request, Hr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr., Bowman,"

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Amendment. For the benefit of people on this side of the aisle, this Amendment is what's known in the trade as 'the program'. There are a lot of substantive Bills going through the Legislature and many of them imposing certain requirements on various Departments. This is one Department that is being imposed upon, and we need this money in order to be able to fund some of the substantive Bills. So I think that this is a good Amendment, and we ought to adopt it."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to tell Mr. Mautino that if we're going to do it on this one, we're going to do it on all of them."

Bowman: "Yeah, I urge the Representative to consider withdrawing his request for a oral... or, for a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz, on Roll Call."

Leverenz: "I'm not convinced. I know he mentioned one name, but nobody checked with me."

Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, Mr. Hastert, from the County of Kendall, to close."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what this Amendment does is to recapture 75 percent of this money back into federal funds. What it does is approve... allow people that we can go and approve care plans, and nursing homes verify that services are actually being delivered, withhold payment for services if they're not delivered favorably. It approves all transactions with the nursing homes and the Department and assures

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

appropriate movement of clients from one nursing home to another. Now, I'm trying to move this piece of legislation through here to accommodate some very important Bills that have been passed through this House previously. I'm just trying to accommodate and certainly would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Amendment, there are 61 voting 'aye', 25 voting 'no', none voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #22, Braun et al... "

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #22 follows up on the Governor's press conference yesterday, in which he indicated that there would be a grant increase for public aid recipients in the energy assistance line. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #22 to Senate Bill 1574. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #22 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #23, Hastert, amends Senate Bill 1574 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Because of the watchful eye of the people on the other side, I... move to withdraw this

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #23 will be withdrawn. My former seatmate, Harold Katz, is working the floor, I see. Hello, Harold. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #24, Hastert, amends Senate Bill
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on Amendment #24."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #24 adds \$2,095,000 to the medical assistance budget. What it does is replace... What it does is to add the cost-of-living increases to medical providers to people on medical assistance."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Kendall has moved for the adoption of Amendment #24 to Senate Bill 1574. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #... I'm sorry. The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."
- Braun: "No... No questions."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #24

  be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those

  opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'

  have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further

  Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1577. Ms. Barnes, do you wish to proceed? 1577. Alright, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1577, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the office of the Commissioner of Savings and Loans. Third Reading of the Bill. I mean, Second Reading of the Bill.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Barnes, amends Senate Bill
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, As. Barnes, on Amendment
- Barnes: "Thank you, Er. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1577 adds \$42,100 for two additional positions and the use of an accountant for consulting purposes. I would ask for adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #! to Senate Bill 1577. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #! be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #! is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill 1577."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on Floor
  Amendment #2."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment simply reduces, by \$3,100, the General Revenue Fund funding of the retirement line item.

  I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1577. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

- 140th Legislative Day

  Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1583. Mr. Clerk, read
  the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1583, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department on Aging. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Oblinger, amends Senate Bill 1583."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger, on Amendment #4."
- Oblinger: "Hr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like permission to withdraw Amendment #4."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #4 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Oblinger, amends Senate Bill 1583."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger, on Amendment #5."
- Oblinger: "Amendment #5 is the Amendment that would allow \$395,000 for... \$320,000 for settlement of the Court of Claims from vendors. The reason for this, the Community and Home Care Program is an entitlement program, and all people who are eligible must be accepted, and so the funds were depleted, and they need this money now to pay the vendors on the Court of Claims charges. That's for FY '83.

  I'm sorry."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Sangamon moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1583. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All in favor signify...
  Yes, Mr. Bowman. I'm sorry."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Bowman: "Thank you. I oppose the Amendment. The customary procedure is to go through the Court of Claims. This circumvents that procedure, and I think it is inappropriate. We certainly do supplemental Bills for this sort of thing all the time, and I see no reason to have it in the budget. It's \$395,000 that we might be able to spend on something else that's very worthy, and so, I would oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Further discussion?"

Oblinger: "This is now ... This is in ... "

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Ms. Oblinger. Let's see if there's any further discussion. Alright. There being none, Ms. Oblinger to close."

Oblinger: "This is being processed through the Court of Claims now, and will be in order to be paid within the next couple of weeks, and that's why they re asking for it now, rather than to come again for a supplemental."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates she'll yield for questions."

Brummer: "Yes, is... I do not have the Amendment in front of me.

Is the language such that it is subject to the approval of the Court of Claims?"

Oblinger: "The way it reads is, 'the sum of \$395,500 or so much thereof as may be necessary is appropriated to the Department on Aging for outstanding obligations incurred during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1993. This is back quite a ways, and the Court of Claims is working on it now."

Brummer: "So it would not be subject to approval by the Court of Claims, then?"

Oblinger: "Not at this time."

Brummer: "How many claims are pending, here?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Oblinger: "There are about 10. They have to do with local health agencies, Catholic charities, Lutheran charities, the ones who have provided service in the in-home care program."
- Brummer: "What happens if the Court of Claims finds, for example,
  that some of these claims or one of these claims is
  invalid, and we appropriate this money for the payment of
  it?"
- Oblinger: "The Department is not fighting any of the claims.

  They are all authenticated."
- Brummer: "Well... But, doesn't the Court have to make a decision as to whether or not they're valid claims? I mean, isn't that the function of the Court of Claims?"
- Oblinger: "That's the function of the Court of Claims, and usually, they'll follow the agency's recommendations, if they are liable for those amounts."

Brunner: "And the agency concedes these amounts?"

Oblinger: "Yes."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Could the Sponsor yield? What happened to Amendment

Oblinger: "I withdrew it."

Leverenz: "Will you withdraw 6 also, if 5 is adopted?"

Oblinger: "6 is for '84 claims, 5 is for '83 claims."

Leverenz: "Could you tell me, as far as I understand from the Amendment, it says for the immediate payment. Is that correct, to make immediate payment to the vendors?"

Oblinger: "No, it says, 'It is appropriated to the Department on Aging for outstanding obligations incurred during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1983.' It does not say, 'for the immediate payment'."

Leverenz: "Hell, did they just overspend?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Oblinger: "I explained that, Representative Leverenz, but I will again. About 20,000,000 plus was allocated for the in-home care... Community In-Home Care Program. The Department on Aging has no control over how much they spend on that program, because the Court held it's an entitlement program. So everybody that's eligible, they have to accept. They have done this, and this is why they're short on the payment to the vendors."
- Leverenz: "So have any of these people gone in now to the Court of Claims to go through the entire process, because I don't think it's going to pass in the Senate. And they'll not go with the Court of Claims which has not been signed off on by the Court of Claims in the Attorney General's Office."
- Oblinger: "They are in the Court of Claims now. That's all I can answer."
- Leverenz: "How long have they been going through that process?

  Is there some faulty work going on in the Court of Claims,
  that they're not processing these fast enough?"

Oblinger: "About a year, they've been there."

Leverenz: "They have been there about a year."

Oblinger: "Yes."

- Leverenz: "You're giving us reason that there should be, perhaps,
  a problem when the Court of Claims Bill comes up. It's on
  Third Reading here. Is this all going to pop up in the
  Auditor General's next audit?"
- Oblinger: "I've been informed that their load of cases this past
  year has been so heavy that they have not rendered their
  final decisions in any of these instances."
- Leverenz: "Uas there some attempt to... Or what was the purpose of putting them in the two different Amendments? Just because there are two different years or..."

Oblinger: "Yes."

Leverenz: "It would sound much bigger if Amendment 5 and 6 were

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- added together."
- Oblinger: "I don't think that was the reason. I think they separated them, because they are for different years."
- Leverenz: "How much then will the total be? It's 320 thousand...
  320.000 for this Amendment. correct?"
- Oblinger: "Alright. They don't know their '84 one. So that one leaves it open that as much as is necessary."
- Leverenz: "Was there any reason why you didn't make an attempt to put this in the Court of Claims Bill itself? Obviously, it's in the wrong place."
- Oblinger: "As I understand it, it cannot go into the Court of
  Claims Bill until the Court of Claims has rendered their
  final decision, and that's why they're asking for
  permission now so that they can pay these vendors who have
  provided the services as soon as the Court of Claims acts."
- Leverenz: "Well, I'm sorry to raise these questions,

  Representative, but it just sounds a little goofy. Thank

  vou-"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the chamber. I think... I don't ever represent to be an authority on this topic, and I want to clear that first off, but it would seem to me that the reservations that have been raised by the other side of the aisle on the appropriateness of this measure in this Eill do have some merit. It would also seem to me that with Senate Bill 1546 still on the Order of Second Reading, it might be appropriate for the Sponsor of this Amendment to consider drafting Amendment #8 or 9 to 1546 subject to Court of Claim's approval. And I think we could get around this whole problem."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Lady from Sangamon to close, again."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Oblinger: "I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on Amendment #5, because this will be coming very soon, and they would like... The vendors are small, local vendors, your local health authorities, your local Catholic and Lutheran charities who cannot wait much longer for this kind of reimbursement."
- Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?'

  All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Bowman, do you wish to explain your vote? I think you spoke in debate, Mr. Bowman. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill (sic Amendment) there are 41 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no', 1 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Oblinger, amends Senate Bill 15..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Sangamon, As. Oblinger"
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, I ask permission to withdraw Amendment #6."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #6 is withdrawn. Further
  Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #7, Hicks, amends Senate Bill 1583..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Hicks, on Amendment #7. Mr. Hicks. Well, it appears Mr. Hicks has stepped away. Do you want to take this out of the record, or what is your pleasure, Ma'am?"
- Oblinger: "I do not support Amendment #7. I would like to withdraw it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, you'll have to ask to table Amendment #7."
- Oblinger: "Alright. I would like to table Amendment #7."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Leverenz: "I would ask leave to handle the Amendment for him."

Speaker Greiman: "What did you say, Mr. Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "I'd ask leave to handle the Amendment for him."

Speaker Greiman: "There's been objection to you handling it. Mr. Mulcahey..."

- Leverenz: "Then I would ask that the Bill be taken out of the record for a few moments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Mulcahey. Alright. Hs. Oblinger.

  Alright, we'll take this Bill out of the record. We'll

  come back to it shortly, Ms. Oblinger. On the... On the

  Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill

  1587. Mr. Terzich? 1587? Hr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1587, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright. On the Order of Senate
  Bills Second Beading appears Senate Bill 1595. Mr.
  Stuffle. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1595, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Trustees of the State Community College of East St. Louis for Fiscal Year 1985. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "Motions to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1595, filed by Representative Klemm and Bepresentative Keane."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Klemm."

Klemm: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. During the Committee

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

debate, we were talking about some allocation of some funds for the equalization towards the community colleges in Chicago. And, unfortunately, there misunderstanding, and I've filed ... and Amendment #1 was adopted which would have transferred the million, hundred and seventy thousand, two hundred dollars from the equalization category to the credit hour category. Subsequent to the passage of that, I had an opportunity to talk with Representative Keane, Representative McGann. They clarified the situation of why that money was needed because the formula had been changed in subsequent they had lost some additional funding and that the Community College Board has agreed to work with to bring some fair and equitable funding for the community colleges that do not receive the equity... or the equalization formula funding. So. I would like to leave to with... to table Amendment #1, because we have reached an agreement on that Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from McHenry moves to table
Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1595. And on that, is there
any discussion? The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr.
Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Hembers, just to point out that everything Representative Klemm says is accurate and that there is no disagreement at this point in time. agreed to look at the issue of equalization grants next year in the early on and to have some input that probably should have been there for a long time from the Members of the General Assembly and the appropriations people. I would support the Motion to table Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I also support the tabling of this Amendment by Representative

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

Klemm. I want to applaud him for his thoughtfulness and understanding in this matter in being able to work out a fair and equitable compromise at this time. And I want to thank Representative Klemm in behalf of all of us in the city colleges and in the community college district."

- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, all those in favor of tabling Amendment #1 signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is tabled. Further Amendment?"

  Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill
- Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #2, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 would add the sum of two million dollars to the Illinois Community College Board for the purpose of providing matching grants to Illinois community colleges for program development, material, equipment and procurement of the curricula specifically impacted by advanced technology. These are the high tech grant monies. We took it out of the Higher Ed appropriation, and we are now placing it in this Bill as the substantive Bill has passed both Houses. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1595. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you. I rise in support of this Amendment. This is the same Amendment that had been on the Board of Higher Education budget, and it was shifted over here because it is an appropriation to the Community College Board. It's more appropriate in this location. I support the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?'

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

  The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, McGann, amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig, on Amendment #3. Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. McGann, on Amendment #3."
- McGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Younge, amends Senate Bill 1595 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "Lady from St. Clair, Ms. Younge, on Amendment
- Younge: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 would appropriate
  20,000 dollars from the State Community College Income Fund
  for reimbursement of prior year's liability to the
  Community College Impress Fund. I move for the adoption of
  the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from St. Clair moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1595. On that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I know this is against House rules, but I'm used to breaking House rules. I want to introduce a distinguished member of the Chicago City Council. He's Chairman of the Education Committee. He's going to come down here and explain to us how he solved all of our education problems, Alderman Fat O'Conner from the 40th Ward, great Ward Committeeman and the Alderman of the 40th Ward."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Ronan. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of Amendment #4. The money did lapse. This replaces the money in the Impressed Fund. I would hope the Amendment would be adopted very easily. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
  Mr. Berrios."

Berrios: "Just a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes."

- Berrios: "I also wanted to take the opportunity to introduce the first Puerto Rican Alderman ever elected in the City of Chicago, Alderman Higel Santiogo from the 31st Ward."
- Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'

  All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #5, Hannig Rea Mulcahey, amends
  Senate..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Hannig, on Amendment #5."
- Hannig: "Yes, thank you..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me. Mr. Bowman... Excuse me, Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Bowman: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I believe Amendment #5 is out of order with the adoption of the previous Amendments. I would appreciate it if the Parliamentarian would take a look at the Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Hannig, we will have the Parliamentarian examine Amendment #5 to see if it is in order. Mr. Bowman, your observation is correct. Amendment #2 amends the same Section. Accordingly, Amendment #5

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- would be out of order. Mr. Hannig, the Amendment is out of order. Further Amendments? Yes, Mr. Mulcaney, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- Nulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentlenan would take this
  Bill out of the record for a few minutes."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Stuffle, there has been a request by Representative Mulcahey that the Bill be taken out of the record for a few minutes."
- Stuffle: "I'd be happy to take it out of the record."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Bill will be out of the record.

  We will return now to Senate Bill 1546. Mr. Nash, are you prepared on that? Out of the record again? On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1597.

  Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1597, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"
- Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1(sic), Pedersen, amends Senate
  Bill 1597 on page one and so forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Federsen. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pedersen."
- Pedersen: "Mr. Speaker, I move to withdraw Amendment #1 (sic)."

  Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #... No, Amendment #2, I think that

is."

- Pedersen: "Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 is withdrawn. Further
  Amendments?"
- Clerk Leoue: "Floor Amendment #3, Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1597..."

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Floor
  Amendment #3."
- Bowman: "Mr. Speaker, just to clarify things. Which Amendments are now on the Bill? Could the Clerk advise us?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #2 was withdrawn. Amendment #1 remains on the Bill. Mr. Bowman, on Amendment #3."
- Bowman: "Then I ask leave to withdraw Amendment #3."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman withdraws Amendment #3. Further
  Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Pedersen, amends Senate Bill 1597..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pedersen, on Amendment 44."
- Pedersen: "Thank you, Ar. Speaker and Members of the House.

  Amendment 4 to Senate Eill 1597..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Fxcuse me, Mr. Pedersen. Bepresentatives and Aldermen, please, let's give the Gentleman our attention.

  Mr. Pedersen, the Gentleman from Lake, on Amendment #4."
- Pedersen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

  Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 1597 will permit the funding of

  33 new positions in the Student Loan Collection Division
  for eleven months, as opposed to the ten months the Bill
  calls for with Amendment 1. It would also... included is
  the appropriation for the State Employees' Pension Program.
  The addition of one month for the funds is supported by the
  Bill's Sponsor. It is an agreed Amendment, and I would
  appreciate your affirmative vote on this Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake moves for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1597. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

  Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1599. Mr. Clerk, read
  the Bill"
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1599, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the retirement purposes of higher education institutions and agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Bowman, amends Senate Bill
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Amendment #1."
- Bowman: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #1."
- Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1599..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Amendment
- Bowman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 removes a total of 6.9 million dollars and also makes adjustments, comparable adjustments in the General Assembly and Judges' Retirement Systems to reflect the 60 percent payout policy that was in the Governor's budget. I move adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Eill 1599. On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Greiman: "He'll yield for a question."
- O'Connell: "Would you indicate the adjustments that you're referring to in your remarks?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Bowman: "Yes. The... It removes a total of 6.9 million dollars from the University Retirement System of contributions. The Amendment also includes appropriations to the General Assembly and Judges' Retirement System. Assembly system is provided for a total... Well, I don't have the reduction here. I have the total. hu t payout level for the General Assembly and Judges system is 60 percent. We've already passed those Bills out of the If this Bill passes, the Governor can simply sign House. this and veto the other ones. But if I can anticipate your concern. let me... let me assure you that we're not trying to do anything to any other retirement systems that we're prepared to do to our own and to the Judges Retirement System. We're trying to be consistent across the board." O'Connell: "No, I'm not talking about the Judges' Retirement System. The University Professors Retirement System reduced from 67 percent to 60 percent, is it not?"

Bowman: "That is correct, yes."

O'Connell: "In this Amendment."

Bowman: "That is correct."

O'Connell: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Brunsvold."

Brunswold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for a question."

Brunsvold: "The six million dollars is... where is it going to go? Where's it going to be used?"

Bowman: "Well, Representative Brunsvold, that... in a way that's an easy question; but, in a way, it's a hard question. The fact is, we've probably already spent it in a variety of other Bills that have already passed, and that's the reason we've got to do this. No, the simple fact is that the budget as introduced contemplated a 60 percent payout. The

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Senate upped that to 67 percent and made some other... it made some cuts. However, the cuts the Senate made did not begin to compensate for the increase in the budget that they passed. And so, at the present time, this is necessary to try and bring the budget back into balance. I'm... I'm sorry, go ahead."

Brunsvold: "This wouldn't be enough money for private busing, would it?"

Bowman: "Absolutely not. Absolutely not."

Brunsvold: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Champaign, Ms. Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members cf the House, there appears to have been some kind of an agreement struck but it certainly was not something that went through the process in the Appropriations Committee to agree to cut back the retirement system funding levels for this year. I think that it is really sad when we are in a position of trying to balance the budget on the backs of the retirement systems when the state has been underfunding those systems for several years now, not even putting enough money to meet the payout from that particular system. I think that we have taken a step in the right passing a Bill out of here yesterday that direction by provides for a future level of funding that will catch in the meantime, we are really penalizing our pension systems and the retirees, because we constantly reject improvements to the system that are warranted for particularly those who have retired a number of years ago. And I really object to the fact that whatever kind of agreement has been struck here was not done in аn open forum, was not something that went through the Committee process. And for that reason, I am opposing this Motion to adopt Amendment #2."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I can only echo
Representative Satterthwaite's contention without
reiterating them to the Body, but my main concern is here
that we all go on record as how we're voting. And I ask
the Chair for a Boll Call vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey.

Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "To the Amendment. I would just suggest that some of the prior speakers that aren't in favor of this and especially one mentioning that it was not discussed in Committee, many things cannot go to Committee but will be dealt with in Conference. And I would move that we put the Amendment on so we can get there expeditiously. Thank you."

"Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, to close." Speaker Greiman: Bowman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to point out to the Membership to remind them that we passed Senate Bill 1223 yesterday. Senate Bill 1223 provides for amortization of the unfunded liability of the pension systems. The starting point was a funding at a 60 percent payout this year. So. we are doing with this particular Amendment consistent with the legislation that we passed yesterday which does provide over a long term the gradual reduction of the unfunded liability of the pension system. These... these systems are not placed in jeopardy by the 60 The fact is that we have to balance the various needs of the various agencies and various lives, and particular Amendment is consistent with the Governor's budget. It is consistent with the Bill that we passed yesterday. And I believe that it behooves us to adopt this

Amendment now. I so move."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'. those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair ... 'Ayes' have it. Mr. Van Duyne, I'm reminded that you did ask for a All in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed Voting is now open. vote 'no'. Mr.... Ar. Piel. Mr. Piel's seatmate, vote him 'no'. He asks to be voted 'no'. Ar. Piel, you don't have a seatuate apparently. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? explain your vote, sir?"

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would strongly urge the Members to cast an 'aye' vote on this Amendment. I believe that if we attempt to persist in appropriating at a high level on the pension issue, we're going to put ourselves in the position where there is no conceivable way to balance the there is no conceivable way to provide money for elementary and secondary education. If we don't adopt this kind of an Amendment which really represents an act of courage and integrity by the Gentleman from Cook, then we are going to put ourselves in a position where you cannot balance this budget. I believe Members ought to at this particular point, now, make that decision and get this issue off the And I would strongly arge table. 'aye' votes on Amendment."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, to explain his vote."
- Bowman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In the hopes that with a little more time some of the Members might see the err of their ways, let me... let me say... Well, I hear people yelling for recognition."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Van Duyne, under our rules, the Sponsor...

  Excuse me, Mr. Bowman. Under our rules, Mr. Van Duyne, the

  Sponsor may explain his vote even though he has spoken in

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

debate. That is our rules. Mr. Bowman, you may proceed." Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Bowman: "Thank you. You're very fair. The ... Yes, I think that the people ought to reconsider the vote. The problem is simply this. When you aggregate the fact of increasing the retirement funding levels to percent, the ... it comes to 50 million dollars over the budget level. Now, we're being asked to fund a things, and 50 million dollars is an awfully big piece of change, granted this particular Bill involves seven million dollars. But let me tall you, one of two things is either going to happen. Either we put all the Bills consistent posture, or we're going to overappropriate on and underappropriate on the others, and then what the Governor is going to do is take the ones that are overappropriated, such as this one, and then he's going to veto them back. Now, maybe you want to put the Governor in that awkward posture, and I suppose that's okay, too, but the fact is that if we... if we don't prepare the Bills in a consistent fashion, we're simply inviting the use of the veto pen. And you're not going to succeed anyway. think it would be better if the House and the Senate to behave responsibly and to behave consistently... at the 60 percent level."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Bowman, bring you remarks to a close."

Bowman: "I just did."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Hastert, the Gentleman from Kendall."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Greiman: "To explain his vote. One minute."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, what we have before us here is we have certainly a self interest. This is the General Assembly pension. We are looking at also university professors and

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

Judges. What is being done is we're saying, 'No, we're not willing to bring our pension down from 67 to 60 percent like we've done in other areas, even on this floor today', but; yet, it's okay to go along and lower everybody else's pension. I think politically and otherwise this is a very shortsided move to vote 'no' against this move, against this Amendment. I think we ought to look at the whole picture. I would encourage Members on both this side and the other side to vote 'yes' on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Stuffle, to explain his vote. One minute."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker and Nembers, that last statement speaks to the issue of all the cuts. All the other Motions on these Amendments were taken on voice votes so far. The issue cuts across what system we're in. It speaks to the fact that year after year we've promised only one year of borrowing, if you will, from payout. We have two things we all talk about doing - funding education and funding pensions, and we consistently don't do either one. We can send this signal today - there are plenty of days left in this Session - that we don't like what's going on by killing this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Hr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, having been involved in the appropriations process for a good many years and knowing, as all of us do, that we really don't know until right near the end how we are going to apportion the funds that totally make up the budget for the State of Illinois, this is a very important issue. We've got to adopt this Amendment. It is possible that the Senate and the House may agree on a percentage of funding for retirement; but, if we adopt this Amendment, we can put everything out of kilter. I've received the same letters

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

that all of you have. I've received people in my office, as all of you have, but we must be responsible in passing the appropriations Bills in the end product. So that we can come to a responsible and responsive end product, I would urge that enough Members do support this Amendment, and we can finally do our work."

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Amendment there are 49 voting 'aye', 45 voting 'no', 13 voting 'present'. This Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Beading. On page six of the Calendar, continuing Senate Bills Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 1600. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1600, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of state universities civil service system. Second Beading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1609. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1609, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Bowman, amends Senate Bill 1609..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, on Amendment

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

#1\_0

Bowman: "Leave to withdraw Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Braun, amends Senate Bill

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun, on Amendment #2."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is 300 million... or 300,000 dollars for the Auditor General for his auditing of cost containment."

Speaker Greiman: "Lady from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1609. Is there any discussion?

The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert, on Amendment #2."

Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wasn't aware of these
Amendments going on. Would the Sponsor of this Amendment
please say a little bit louder what this is for?"

Braun: "I'm very sorry."

Hastert: "You have such a soft voice."

Braun: "It's because I'm a nice person. It's a clear-cut
Amendment, Representative. It just provides for the
Auditor General so he can discharge his functions in
reviewing hospital expenditures."

Hastert: "The Auditor... So this appropriates money to the Auditor General."

Braun: "That's correct."

Hastert: "I was misinformed, I guess. I thought this Bill was a

Bill that dealt with the Department of Public Aid. He's

not doing anything with public aid."

Braun: "Yes, this is pursuant to the Bill that we passed yesterday, Senate Bill 495."

Hastert: "So it's for his looking after the nursing home
situation?"

Braun: "Cost containment for hospitals."

140th Legislative Day June 26, 1984

Hastert: "Cost containment for hospitals."

Braun: "Yes. Yes."

Hastert: "That was Senate Bill..."

Braun: "495."

Hastert: "495. Thank you for your explanation."

Braun: "You're welcome."

- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Brunsvold, amends Senate Bill 1609..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Brunsvold, on Amendment #3."
- Clerk Leone: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 would grant 15,000 dollars for a rental of a punch card system for the County of Rock Island. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Bock Island moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1609. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1610. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1610, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to certain state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1384

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Matijevich Davis, amends

  Senate Bill 1610 on page..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, on Amendment #1."
- Matijevich: "Yes, if I could, I'd ask leave that Representative

  Stuffle be added as a Sponsor also."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman has leave to add Representative
  Stuffle as a Cosponsor."
- Matijevich: "Because he and I and Representative Jack Davis have worked with the state troopers' lodge on this particular Amendment. What it would do it would appropriate 480,500 dollars to the Department of Law Enforcement for the payment of a five percent salary increase at the beginning sworn officers 17 and a half year of service. increment increase would affect 524 officers in the Department. Supported and endorsed by the state troopers! Allan Bennet, I believe, has talked to some of you lodge. about it. I ve talked to the agency about this, and this comes within the appropriation level, total appropriation They're discussing it in their Department. with and we want to make sure that it's included as a troopers. half year funding. We want to include it, because they are very close to coming into terms with this. And I appreciate your support."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1610. On that, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

1610---

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Leverenz: House. Amendment #2 would appropriate 56,000 dollars to State Board of Education for a study to determine the financial implications of legislation passed in regarding high school graduation requirements, and I would so move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Graiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1610. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment be adopted?4 All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1649. Mr. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1649, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State's Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Motions?"

Clerk Leone: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz, amends Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 would remove 5800 dollars and 2800 dollars. It reduces the level of retirement payoff to 60 percent, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Graiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1649. There being no further discussion, question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills

Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1656. Mr. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1656, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Department of Law Enforcement agencies to recover Children Search Program. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Mr. Nash, are you prepared to proceed on 1546? We'll... Yes, turn Mr. Nash on. I'm sorry. Mr. Nash."

Nash: "Can we take it out of the record for just a second?"

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, we'll take it out of the ... We'll come back to it. Yes, Mr. Bowman, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Bowman: "If we're... If we've got a little... little time, maybe we could go back and pick up Aging?"

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman, we always have time. What can we do for you? What is your pleasure?"

Bowman: "Well, if we could go back... I think it was the Department on Aging that was... Bill was taken out of the record. I believe we worked something cut that might make it go smoothly."

Speaker Greiman: "Hold it just for a second, Mr. Bowman."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Bowman: "Oh, okay."

- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr... Excuse me. Mr. Bowman, we'll get back to you in a little while. Mr. Nash, are you prepared to proceed on 1546? Alright. Hr. Clerk, read 1546."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1546, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Court of Claims and to the State Comptroller. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Keane, amends Senate Bill 1546 on page...."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Nash asks leave... He asks leave to handle that. Alright. The Gentleman has leave to handle Amendment #1. Proceed, Mr. Nash."
- Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  I move to table Amendment #1. It's technically incorrect,
  and it's being replaced with Amendment #6."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Gentleman asks leave to withdraw
  Amendment #1. Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Proceed.
  Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Mays, amends Senate Bill 1546."

  Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."
- Mays: "Thank you very... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 adds 35,831 dollars to the Court of Claims Bill. Eack in 1982 when we were going through the cuts that we were going through over there, there were some bills that were left unpaid to the city for fire protection, water and sewer. There were two claims filed in the Court of Claims last December; one dealing with fire, one dealing with water and sewer. The water and sewer was dealt with within two weeks, and so that is a part of the current bill. The fire portion of

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- that bill, however, was not dealt with until last week when Judge 'Roe' signed the order for this amount. It still has to get some other signatures. So, we're putting in there 'pursuant to the revised statutes' and so on. So, I move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1546."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Adams moves for the adoption of Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1546. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment #2 is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #3, Countryman, amends Senate Bill 1546."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman, on Amendment #3."
- Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #3 appropriates \$239.06 to Carolyn Suttie. Carolyn Suttie is a constituent in my district who had a contract with the Department, and the Department failed to process her... her voucher and then failed to properly process the matter in the Court of Claims before all the appropriations lapsed. The matter will pass the Court of Claims if there's a sufficient appropriation. I'd ask for a favorable vote on Amendment #3."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1546. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?' All in favor... I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Ms. Oblinger, the Lady from Sangamon."
- Oblinger: "May I ask the Sponsor a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Certainly. Of course. He'll yield for a question."
- Oblinger: "Has the Court of Claims adjudicated this?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Countryman: "Yes."

Oblinger: "Okay, fine."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?'

All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #4, Nash, amends Senate Bill 1546."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash."

Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1546 is the spring awards Bill
for the Court of Claims. This Amendment adds 9,666,933
dollars to the Court's spring awards Bill. I'd move for
its adoption."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of
Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1546. And on that, the
Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Would the Gentleman yield for a quickie?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a quickie."

Leverenz: "All of these have gone through the total process on both sides, is that correct?"

Nash: "Yes, it has."

Leverenz: "Is there anything in here for one Medley Movers?"

Nash: "There isn't but should be."

Leverenz: "There is not?"

Nash: "But it should be."

Leverenz: "But it should be. Probably come in a further

Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?'

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed
'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #5, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill 1546..."

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #5."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For awards that have been gone... they have gone through the process. Various trucking companies overpaid licensing fees. This will appropriate 134,719 from the Road Fund, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1546. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash."
- Nash: "A question of the Sponsor of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Proceed, Mr. Nash."
- Nash: "Mr. Leverenz, is one of the trucking companies Mr. Medley's that's included in this Bill?
- Leverenz: "I'm not going to tell you. Maybe I will. No. That's in a further Amendment, I think."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The guestion is, 'Shall Amendment #5 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted.

  Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #6, Keane, amends Senate Bill 1546 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "Does Mr. Nash have leave to proceed on that?

  Gentleman has leave. Mr. Nash, proceed."
- Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  This Amendment is the spring... is another spring awards
  Bill for the Court of Claims. It would appropriate 450,682
  dollars to the Comptroller for payment to the city collges
  of Chicago. I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash, moves for the passage... moves for the adoption of Senate Bill... of

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1546. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #6 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"

- Clerk Leone: "Ploor Amendment #7, Giorgi, amends Senate Bill 1546 as amended."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi is in the chamber, Mr. Nash."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #7 provides for a death benefit award for a firefighter, Terry Berg, who died as a result of his injuries. The courts and everybody agreed that he died as a result of his injuries, but he didn't die within the one year prescribed by law. So, this is going to attempt to change the law and allow the Court of Claims to pay the claim. I urge the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Winnetago moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1546. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #8, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill 1546 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Withdraw on Amendment 8."

Speaker Greiman: "Amendment 8 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #9, Leverenz, amends Senate

Bill..."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

you've all here's the one bean waiting for, even Representative DiPrima and McAuliffe. Amendment #9 would appropriate 92,400 dollars on a court ordered entered in the United States Court of Appeals for the 7th District on November 1st of \*83 ±α the firm Sonnenschein, Carlin, Nath and Rosenthal who represented one friend of all of ours here in Springfield, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1546. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nash."

Nash: "A guestion of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question."

Nash: "Is this the Pat Quinn Amendment, Mr. Leverenz?"

Leverenz: "Well, you had to do it, didn't you? I said this is the one everyone's been waiting for. Yes, that's true.'

Nash: "I will ask for a Boll Call on this Amendment."

Leverenz: "Objection."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Quinn, as you recall, is the man that cut this House down by one-third. Now, you know, what this did was did away with the minority representations in various districts. I would oppose this thing vehemently, and I would ask every Member of this House to do likewise."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I also would rise in opposition to this Amendment. I wouldn't vote to appropriate one nickel to Pat Quinn unless it was to bury him. So I'm very definitely opposed to this."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bullock."

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

Bullock: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

- Bullock: "Representative Leverenz, could you tell this Body and certainly this Representative what 92,400 dollars is actually expended for over and beyond reading the language in the Amendment?"
- Leverenz: "Nope. It's in the language of the Amendment, and  $I = 0.0000 \, \mathrm{loop} \, \mathrm$
- Bullock: "Well, that's consistent, Representative Leverenz. I do not ask the question in folly, even though you reciprocate in folly. I wanted to know what the case was all about. And since you refuse to tell us, I certainly am disposed to voting 'no', but I would like to know what it is, in fact, involving."
- Leverenz: "Well, to respond to the Gentleman, I did not answer him in folly. I don't know what all of the fees represent. I would imagine the clock was on and ticking. I would imagine that it took filing fees. I would imagine that it takes travel and whatever had to be done in the suit against the State Board of Elections to recover the amount provided by a judgment entered in the United States Court of Appeals, which I explained earlier. I don't know how to explain it any further."
- Bullock: "Could you tell us what the case was, Representative?"

  Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Bullock. I wanted to point out to people who may be on the floor that under our rules, access to the floor is set out in Rule 63 and that lobbyists and people who are lobbying do not have access to this floor and would be well advised to stay off the floor.

  No, Mr.... or Senator Jones is... you may stay with us.

  Mr. Bullock, proceed."
- Bullock: "Nr. Speaker, I was trying to get some clarification on the actual case involved. Several people had alluded to it

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

as the Pat Quinn Amendment, and I, you know, whatever your opinions and feelings are about Pat Quinn aside, but I think when we're appropriating whether it's 92,000 dollars or, in some case, we're asking for a 12 dollar increase of cost of living for Public Aid recipients, it's usually customary on this floor that we try to explain to the Members who are not as enlightened as others what exactly is involved. And if the Gentleman would tell us the case, the disposition of that case, I personally would be satisfied."

- Leverenz: "Very well. I'll try to answer your question very succinctly. Case #84-CC3557, and the question was, 'Does a circulator of a petition have to come from the same jurisdiction.' That was the question. On the circulation of petitions for the cut-back Amendment, the attorneys won. The Court has ordered the attorneys paid."
- Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that was important to this Body so that the individuals would know that a court order has been entered and not only that, in terms of what the money was incurred for."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Countryman."

Countryman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I indicated the last time this was up, I am a named defendant under the Civil Rights Act in these cases and, therefore, will only cast a 'present' vote. I would be glad to try to explain to anyone the complex nature of this litigation, and I don't think I agree with everyone in the House. I'm not happy about doing this, but I think it happens all the time. And it's something that just needs to be taken care of because there's an interest clock running and a Federal Judge running the meter. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Van Duyne: "Yes. Would the Sponsor yield, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he'll yield for a question?"

Van Duyne: "Representative, would it be advantageous for us to know who the other attorneys were? Do you have their names?"

Leverenz: "What other attorneys do you allude to?"

- Van Duyne: "I thought you... Maybe I misunderstood you then. I'm sorry. I thought you said that there... that there were attorney fees adjudicated by the Court of Claims, and we were ordered to pay those."
- Leverenz: "Well, I have named the law firm, Sonnenschein, Carlin,
  Nath and Rosenthal. And the attorney for that firm that
  actually handled the case was William Barker and James
  Scanlon of the Board of Election represented by the Board
  of Elections."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Van Duyne, you through? Further discussion? There being none, Mr. leverenz, to close."
- "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen and Mr. Speaker, I think we Leverenz: all have a responsibility to do what is right. The longer this goes, the longer the clock runs for interest. believe it's going to have to be done whether we like it or is, in fact, a court ordered payment, and I've explained the case. I have explained the number of the case, the attorneys in the case. And I think we just better adopt the Amendment and find another way to take out our vengence or whatever or anything that we want to can all file petitions and run against Mr. Quinn personally, if you think you've got the guts to do it the wherewithall to win in the organizational skills that the Gentleman has. So, let's get to the Amendment and adopt it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #9 be adopted?'

  All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Oh. Under our rules, five people have to join in requesting a Roll Call. I guess there were five. I, apparently... Question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. Yes, Mr. Leverenz, to..."

Leverenz: "Would you order the Clerk to cut the board off before they put me in the Century Club again on this lovely number?

Speaker Greiman: "You're doing alright. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Amendment there are 42 voting 'aye', 41 voting 'no', 12 voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Yes, the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Hays, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Mays: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is this the final Amendment for the Court of Claims Bill today?"

Speaker Greiman: "I don't know. We'll find out in a few minutes."

Mays: "No. At that time... Never mind."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Clerk, are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #10, Nash, amends Senate Bill 1546 as amended."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ur. Nash, on Amendment #10."

Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #10 is all the case... all of these cases...

Can't read the note."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from... Yes, Mr. Nash? Yes, Mr. Johnson, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Johnson: "I hope he hasn't finished."

Speaker Greiman: "I couldn't tell."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Johnson: "I mean, I really like Representative Nash, but I
wish... I have absolutely no idea in the world what he said
about this Amendment or the Bill or anything else."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Nash."

Nash: "Well, I didn't speak on the Amendment yet, Mr. Johnson.

I'm trying to find out what the Amendment is, because I'm trying to accommodate your side of the aisle."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Ar. Johnson, Mr. Nash is about to speak on this Amendment at which time you will be able to question him."

"Amendment #10 deals with the Illinois Replacement Hospital Nash: construction costs. It has 35,000 contract replacing chiller pumps in the cooling towers of the University of Illinois Medical Center, campus Chicago, Illinois; to burn out Goldberg Associates, Smith, Garden and Erickson and... the Illinois... University of Illinois Hospital architects contract additional service to provide the Capital Development Board with a new design known as H Plan for the University of Illinois Beplacement Hospital: \$215,471.05 to Alcay Comstock contract increase in base contract due to change orders authorized by the Capital Development Board at the University of Illinois Replacement Hospital; \$32,938.76 to Robert Show Controls Company an in base contract due to change orders authorized by the Capital Development Board at the University of Illinois Hospital; \$23,979.30 to S. N. Nielson and Company revisions to contract drawings and additional iron bracing supports at the University of Illinois Replacement Hospital; and \$22,510.54 to Pora Construction Company contract increase in base contract due to change orders authorized bу the Capital Development Board at the University of Illinois Replacement Hospital. I move for its adoption."

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 1546. Mr. Johnson, you may question him as long as you like."
- Johnson: "Are each one of these six subappropriations all ones that have been ordered after the judicatory process by the Court of Claims?"
- Nash: "Can you repeat it?"
- Johnson: "Yes. Are each one of these six separate items that have been approved by adjudication of the Court of Claims?"

  Nash: "Yes."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #10 he adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #10 is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #11, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill
  1546. Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #11. Mr. Leverenz, we are on Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 1546."
- Leverenz: "I was trying to get Zeke Giorgi's approval. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  Amendment #11 would provide court ordered payouts of attorneys' fees. The first case, 84-CC2588 for 18,650.

  The next is 84-CC3558 for ten thousand, seven. The next, 84-CC3584 for 6900. The next, 84-CC3582 for ten thousand, three. And 81-C6093 for legal fees assessed in the case 408,000. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment 11 to Senate Bill 1546. And on that, is there any debate? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #11 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #11 is adopted.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Further Amendment?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third... Yes, Mr. Nash, for what purpose are you seeking recognition?"

Nash: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, there is one more Amendment that
Representative Oblinger has. It's not ready, and I have
promised her that we'll bring the Bill back from Third
Reading to adopt that Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, alright. The Bill will... We'll place the Bill on the Order of Third Reading then. Now, Mr. Bowman. Yes, the Chair recognizes Representative from Cook, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you. I believe we've worked out some problems on the Department of Aging's Bill, and I believe Representative Oblinger would like to now move the Bill...

I mean... So, I'd like to go back to pick up the Department on Aging, 1547, I believe it was."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "I'm sorry. 1583."

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1583. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1583 has been read a second time previously. Before the House is Senate Amendment... House Amendment #7, Hicks, amends Senate Bill 1583."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks."

Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Senate Amendment... House Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1583

would add a 100,000 dollars for the operation of the Love

Joy Adult Day Care Center located in Mt. Vernon.

Currently, the Love Joy Adult Day Care Center had applied

to the Department of Aging for funding. That funding level

was denied. The Love Joy Adult Day Care Center has alleged

that there has been discrimination in the findings for

#### 140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- that. They have filed a claim with the Department of Human Rights to investigate the area aging... Agency on Aging; and, during that time period and that interim period of the year, we're asking for a one year's funding for that day care center that currently is only funded with donations. And I would ask for its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Jefferson moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1583. There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #...' I'm sorry. Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger. You were on the other side of my vision here. I'm sorry, Ms. Oblinger. Ms. Oblinger."
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, when Representative Redmond was up there,

  I used to have two little Irish flags, because I sat way

  out here and waved them at him. So, I'm going to have to

  get some kind of a little gadget like that."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, I'll give you the country for me. Go ahead."
- Oblinger: "I have several guestions to ask the Sponsor if he'll yield."
- Speaker Greiman: "He'll yield for questions. Proceed."
- Oblinger: "Representative Hicks, has this Love Joy Adult Day Care

  Center in Mt. Vernon ever been funded by the Department on

  Aging?"
- Hicks: "No, Ma'am. This exact center has never been funded. The group that is backing the... that is involved with this is a group out of Centralia, Illinois, which is a funded adult day care center for the elderly. They're the ones who have put the proposal together. That's why the charges of discrimination and everything else with the local area agency have been brought forth, because they have been funded in the past in similar type situations with the same type of people involved."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Oblinger: "The second question I would like to ask, is the suit

  before the Human Relations Commission against the

  Department on Aging or the area agency on aging?"
- Hicks: "No, Ma'am. They've brought the discrimination charge against the local area agency, not the Department of Aging."
- Oblinger: "Is it true that the Department on Aging has funded a similar program in Centralia called the Joy Adult Day Care Center?"
- Hicks: "That's correct. The Love Joy and the Joy Center are both the same group of people who are operating both of them."
- Oblinger: "Has there been any discrimination alleged in the Centralia one?"
- Hicks: "No, Ma'am, there has not. The local area agency in Mt.

  Vernon is not the same agency that takes care of the one in

  Centralia. That's the reason for the charges being brought
  about."
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker, to the Amendment. I do not believe this is a proper Amendment to be entered against the State Department on Aging. They have given the technical assistance, worked with this Love Joy Adult Day Care Center, which was not up to the point system that they required. They are not being sued on the discrimination. It is the local area agency, and there is no reason for the Department on Aging to fund a program which they have never funded before while they are in litigation before the Human Rights Commission, and I would suggest a 'no' vote on Amendment #7."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Priedrich: "Is this Dr. Eaglins' outfit?"

Hicks: "Yes, Sir, that's correct."

140th Legislative Day

- June 26. 1984
- Friedrich: "Well, I... I hope you'll have him move the whole operation in Centralia to Mt. Vernon. You're welcome to him."
- Speaker Greiman: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Jefferson, Mr. Hicks, to close."
- Hicks: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would simply ask for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 be adopted?'

  All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed

  'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes have it. The

  Amendment is adopted. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, offered by Representative
  Bowman."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #8 reduces the Bill by 14,500 dollars as per the 60 percent payout for retirement. I move its adoption."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1583. Is there any discussion?

  The Lady from Sangamon, Ms. Oblinger."
- Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and... May I ask Representative Bowman a question?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indeed you may. He'll yield for questions."
- Oblinger: "Representative Bowman, this is not facetious. I really want to have an explanation of this and nobody else has given it to me. Why did we pass Senate Bill 1223 yesterday and then we're putting all these reductions on today? I mean, it's hard for me to understand, and it's hard for my constituents, a number of them who are in these retirement systems."
- Bowman: "Well, Representative Oblinger, I have been informed that Senate Bill 1223, when it was drafted, was predicated on the assumption that we would fund this year at 60 percent.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

So, what we're doing is consistent with 1223. Now, next year may be a different story, but at least, at this time for this fiscal year, what we are doing is consistent with that Bill."

Oblinger: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none... Yes,

Mr. Dunn, the Gentleman from Macon."

Dunn, J.: "Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Dunn, J.: "If this Amendment is adopted, what will be the level of payouts in the retirement system for this agency?"

Bowman: "Sixty percent."

Dunn, J .: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Bowman?"

Bowman: "I'd move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The question is, 'Shall Amendment #8

be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye',

those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the
'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further

Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third... Third Reading. Yes, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to request a 30-minute recess for the purpose of a Republican Conference in Room 118."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Priedrich has requested a
Republican Conference in Room 118 for a half hour. The
Democrats will go to lunch, and we will return at 1:30
promptly. Yes, Mr. Ewing, for what purpose do you seek
recognition?"

Ewing: "Your Party goes to lunch everyday. Can we go with you?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well, Mr. Ewing, if you've noticed the portly

#### 140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

manner of the Speaker, I could probably do without lunch today and a few other days, but you can come along. Sure. Thank you. The House will be in recess until the hour of 1:30. 1:30. The House will... The House will... The House will be in order. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McFike."

- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the record show that

  Representative Slape is excused because of family...

  because his wife is having a baby?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record show that Representative is excused today and that the House extends its congratulations to ... That's no illness. That's blessing, Mr. Cullerton. In a few moments we will be going to the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading where the Sponsors have requested leave to return the Bills to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. While we are awaiting the Members to return, we will go to the Order of Concurrence on page six for nonconcurrence Motions that have been... of which the Chair has been advised. On that Order of Business appears House Bill 877 on page six of your Calendar. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."
- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that we nonconcur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 877."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Ar. Levin, moves that the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think it would be a good practice for all Hembers of the House with regard to the issue of when they wish to nonconcur to just briefly explain what the House Bill did and what the Amendments did and why you

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

wish to nonconcur."

Levin: "Amendment #1 provides that the State Board of Education's annual report to the Governor shall include the number of men and women teachers, their assignments, the number of students served in these assignments and the number of time devoted to these assignments. Our staff analysis indicates that this Amendment is overly vague. It should be corrected?"

Cullerton: "What did the Bill do?"

Levin: "The... By the way, it struck... Amendment 1 also struck
everything after the enacting clause. The original Bill
dealt with asbestos in the schools."

Cullerton: "So, okay. Were you upset when they struck your Bill, or do you want to try to... on a Conference Committee or..."

Levin: "I have a lot of asbestos in my, you know, schools in my school district."

Cullerton: "I mean, do you expect a Conference Committee to come back with your Bill? Is that what your hope is, or is that..."

Levin: "I doubt it. I doubt it."

Cullerton: "Hell then, what's wrong with the Senate Amendments then?"

Levin: "The, you know, the... It's overly vague."

Cullerton: "Oh, okay. And so was your answer, I might add, but that's fine."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly,

I believe after carefully analyzing the Bill and the
Amendments and the potential damage that Conference
Committees could do in this area that I would offer a
substitute Motion that the House concur in Senate
Amendments 2 and Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 877. And

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

I offer that Motion for a variety of reasons. First of all, the Amendments that have now become the Bill are basically Amendments that can't harm anybody. They are an Amendment that simply permits any downstate teacher to reside anywhere they want and not be... not be fired for so doing and an Amendment that simply requires an additional line relating to the number of students and their assignments in a report to the Governor."

- Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Mr. Vinson. We are under debate with respect to Mr. Levin's Motion to nonconcur. Accordingly, your Motion to concur is out of order, but you may continue certainly on... with respect to Bepresentative Levin's Motion to concur. Mr... You have the floor, Hr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, you're ruling that you cannot make a substitute Motion from the floor?"
- Speaker Greiman: "I'm ruling that we are presently debating, under our rules, under Rule... The Parliamentarian advises me that under our practice it is a principle Motion. It could be subject to Amendment, but it is... it is... it will not take a substitute Motion. That is our Parliamentarian's suggestion. That's my ruling..."
- Vinson: "What do you mean it might be subject to Amendment, Mr.

  Speaker? You mean you may rule differently on the next
  substitute Motion? Is that what you're really telling me?"
- Speaker Greiman: "You could within the scope of Robert's Rules amend Mr. Levin's Notion which was to concur. You could amend it, I guess, to delete nonconcur and delete 'non' and strike 'non', and that would read 'concur'."

Vinson: "I so move."

Speaker Greiman: "I think it is..."

Vinson: "I so move."

Speaker Greiman: "Wait. We'll get to you in a minute, Mr...

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

We're going to ... Mr. Levin, we are checking Alright. Robert's diligently at this moment. If you would like to take this out of the record for a while, we will come back to it. Honest injun. Okay. This is out of the record. the Order of Concurrence, Motions to nonconcur, appears House Bill 2395 on page seven of the Calendar. Ar. Steczo. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence. nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill 2400. Bowman, the Gentleman from Cook, on page seven of Calendar."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the 2400 is the academic House. House Bill scholarship revision Bill. The Bill, as it passed the House, provided for up to a thousand dollar scholarship, but the amount of scholarship would be based on the need of the student. However, the Senate, in its infinite wisdom, completely revised the concept and simply provided for a flat grant of 500 dollars, which is less than the current academic scholarship currently provides, completely changes the concept. I have spoken with the Senate Sponsor about this piece of legislation, and I think we can work something But in order to do so, we must nonconcur in the House, and they must nonrecede in the Senate. And so I now move to nonconcur, "

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook moves to nonconcur with .

Senate Amendment..."

Bowman: "1."

Speaker Greiman: "... #1 to House Bill 2400. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Maybe a question, and you can just agree or non-agree with me, Mr. Bowman."

Bowman: "I will do one or the other, sir."

Piel: "Ours has a ... the way it went over there was a thousand

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

dollars with the show of need. The way it came back from the Senate was a 500 dollar one year. You didn't have to show need. It would just be anybody in the top five percent. Is that correct?"

Bowman: "That's correct."

Piel: "Thank you. I would support your Mction."

Bowman: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Hautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To Representative Bowman, if

I may. If this Bill goes to a Conference Committee, is it
your intention to allow for a grant proposal for those
academically... academic achievers very similar to what the
Senate Amendment is, or is it your intention to eliminate
totally the grant provision, unless it's based on need?
Because I believe that there should be... I sponsored the
legislation five, six years ago with Don Anderson that
implemented this program so that the achievers would
receive some recognition for the excellent work they've
done in high school. I would not want to see the
provisions of this Amendment to be totally eliminated."

Bowman: "I think I can guarantee, as much as we can guarantee anything around here, that when you see the Conference Committee Report, there will be a... part of it will award all achievers."

Mautino: "Ckay. Fine. Thank you."

Bowman: "He will have a compromise."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2400?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2400. Now, we will return to Senate... to House Bill 877. Mr. Levin's. Is Mr. Vinson in the

- 140th Legislative Day

  June 26, 1984

  chamber? I don't want to take... Mr. Vinson, we are
  - returning to House Bill 877. Mr. Levin."
- Levin: "Mr. Speaker, can we take it out of the record for a little while? Mr. Vinson and I are in the process of conferring on it."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Mr. Levin, if that's your pleasure, that's your pleasure. On the Order on Concurrence appears
  House Bill 2458. Motions nonconcur. Gentleman from Cook,
  Mr. Capparelli. Mr. Capparelli. On page seven of the Calendar."
- Capparelli: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendments, and I would ask the House to support me."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Capparelli, moves that the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment House Bill 2458. On that, is there any discussion? being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur Chair. with Senate Amendment #1. On the Order of Concurrence. nonconcurrence Motions. appears Senate Bill 2576. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, 2576 is regarding the Police Training Act which would include correctional officers to be... go through the police training. There has to be some technical changes made on the Bill to put it in proper order. And so, therefore, I'd move to nonconcur."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved that the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2576. There being no discussion... Yes, the Gentleman from Adams, Mr. Mays."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. On this Amendment that we're nonconcurring in it seems like it was a technical Amendment or a cleanup Amendment in its own right. Are you saying there's more cleanup, nonsubstantive?"

Terzich: "I've got from the... I got it from the director of police training, Hr. Appa, that wanted to put it in the proper order so that they could exercise the thing. So, I'm adopting his changes to put it in its proper order."

Mays: "So, if you're nonconcurring in, you're saying we need technical, nonsubstantive changes to make it all..."

Terzich: "That's correct."

Mays: "Fine."

Speaker Greiman: "Question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Eill 2576?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2576.

On the Order of Concurrence, nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill 2693. Mr. Keane? 2693. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrences... Yes, Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you seek recognition? Mr. Vinson, what... for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "To what? What Sponsor? We don't have a Bill on the floor."

Vinson: "2693, nonconcurrence on #1."

Speaker Greiman: "No, we took it out of the record."

Vinson: "Oh, I see. The Clerk just can't get it off the board, huh?"

Speaker Greiman: "On the Order of Nonconcurrence... of Concurrence, nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill 2810. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill. I'm sorry, the Lady from Kane, Miss Deuchler."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Deuchler: "Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment

- 2. It effectively strikes the effect of Senate Amendment
- 1, and Representative McMaster has taken care of Senate Amendment 2 in one of his Bills."

Speaker Greiman: "Excuse me, Representative, there is on this Bill Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Deuchler: "Yes."

Speaker Greiman: "You wish to ..."

Deuchler: "I move to nonconcur."

Speaker Greiman: "Concur? You wish to..."

Deuchler: "I have moved to concur with Senate Amendment 1 and nonconcur with Senate Amendment 2."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. The Lady from Kane moves to concur with Senate Amendment ! to House Eill 2910. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, Representative Deuchler, first of all you didn't
explain what the House Bill does. Secondly, you didn't
explain what the Amendment you want to concur does. Could
you please do that first? And I think what you said was,
you think the Senate's going to recede from their Senate
Amendment #2 which really means this is going to be final
passage. And so I wondered if you could explain what the
Bill did and how Senate Amendment #1 modified it."

Deuchler: "House Bill 2810 as I am... originally proposed it the requirement for a referendum in townships where townships were trying to sell property at an annual meeting by imposing a new tax and that was the way Senate... or House Bill 2810 originally went through the House. Then in the Senate we had two Amendments placed on the Bill, Senate Amendment 1 and Senate Amendment 2. Both of these Amendments had the input and advice of the townships organizations, and Senate Amendment 1 required the county boards, no later than January 1 of 1986.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

adopt a plan to alter the boundaries of townships so that each township would have an equalized assessed valuation of not less than 10 million as of the 1982 assessment year.

Now this is a... a provision that townships have been interested in for many years and has their support."

Cullerton: "This would mean that all townships in the state would redistrict themselves?"

Deuchler: "This would require a referendum in... for any change in township boundaries and those townships having an assessed valuation of not less than 10 million."

Cullerton: "The original Bill makes it easier for a township to buy or sell its property without a referendum though, isn't that correct?"

Deuchler: "Yes. Yes."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2810?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is now open. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk. take the record. On this Motion there are 88 voting 'aye', 13 voting 'no', 2 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2810. Representative from Kane, Representative Deuchler. Ibe Representative from Kane... The Representative... The Lady from Kane, Representative Deuchler, moves that the House do nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2810. On the Order of Concurrence, Motions to nonconcur, appears

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- House Bill 2837. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan. On page nine of the Calendar, Mr. Ronan."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur in Senate
  Amendments 1, 2 and 3."
- Spaaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ronan, moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2837. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor might yield
   for a few questions?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."
- Vinson: "Representative, would you explain the Amendments and why
  it is that the Amendments are bad Amendments or what you
  might want to get into this Bill in addition to the
  Amendments?"
- Ronan: "Representative Vinson, these Amendments are not the kind of Amendments that I think we should look at at this point.

  So that, I think, we'll nonconcur and do a little work on this Bill."
- Vinson: "Could you outline what you mean by a little work?"
- Ronan: "Sure, Representative Vinson, I'm sure that you and I will work together this last week and come up with something that we can all agree on."
- Vinson: "I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion then."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2837?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3. On the Order of Nonconcurrence appears House Bill 2892, Hr. Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 2892 was the Bill that we... this House had passed with

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

relation to stipends for clerks of the Circuit Court.

During the deliberations in the House, we had amended a

Bill, both the House version and the Senate version, to

delete reference to the Circuit Court Clerk of Cook County.

The Senate opted to put that provision back in. I believe

it is not the will of this House to do that; and therefore,

I would ask to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House

Bill 2892."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo, moves to nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2892. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Vinson: "Representative, your intention is to have... try to get the Senate to recede from Amendment #1."

Steczo: "Representative Vinson, yes, it is. I would hope that they would recede from Amendment #1. This Bill, this provision, or this concept has been before this House twice. In each case we have passed it without the provision relating to the Cook County Circuit Court Clerk. So, therefore, I feel it's in our best interest to have it perceived that way."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the House concur... nonconcur in Amendment #1 to Senate Bill... to House Bill 2892? • A11 those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill On the Order of Concurrence, Motions to nonconcur, appears House Bill 2917. The Gentleman from Cook. Steczo."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House 2917\_ The Senate attached an Amendment with relation to senior citizens' housing in townships which we think But we have just been made aware of a few other problems that have cropped up, and we would 1ike opportunity to take House Bill 2917 to Conference Committee to try to iron those problems out. And I would ask for a nonconcurrence."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman moves that the House do nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2917. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur... and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2917. On the Order of Concurrence, Motions to nonconcur, appears House Bill 2953. The Gentleman from Bock Island, Mr. Erunsvold. On page nine of the Calendar."

Brunsvold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, House Eill 2953 started out as a request for a foreign trade zone for Rock Island. East Moline, Silvis and Milan. And then Senate Amendment #2 was added which expanded the area to covered to any county, city, village or town within the state or a public or private corporation authorized license to do business in the state. So it expanded the role of foreign trade zones for application to anyone And Amendment #2 restricted - no foreign trade zone can be established within 50 miles of another trade with that I would motion not to concur with So 201 e. Senate Amendments 2 and 3."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is ... Further discussion? There

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1384

being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 2953?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendments 2 and 3 to House Bill 2953. Mr. Levin. On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 877. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
Representative Vinson and myself have finished our
conference, and at this point I would like to renew my
Motion to nonconcur in Amendments #1 to Fouse Bill 877."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves to nonconcur with House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill... with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 877. On that, is there any discussion? Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Nr. Speaker."

Speaker Greiman: "Do you wish to renew your Motion?"

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"

Speaker Greiman: "Do you wish to renew your Motion?"

Vinson: "No, I'm in favor of the Motion to nonconcur."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson, you have deprived the Chair of an opportunity to make one of the great rulings of this House.

We will save it for another day. The Gentleman from Cook,

Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "What about that speech that he gave though when he spoke in opposition to the Gentleman's Motion to nonconcur?"

Speaker Greiman: "Well that was in a prior life, Mr. Cullerton, and his speeches are like the sands of time. Yes, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Levin has consulted with me as to what he intends to

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

put into this Bill in Conference Committee. I think that it's a good idea of what he intends to do, and I believe that we ought to nonconcur and get this Bill to Conference Committee so that we can accomplish Mr. Levin's objective."

- Cullerton: "So, Mr. Speaker, that means if anybody wants to nonconcur, they should go over and check with Sam to see if it's okay and tell them what they intend to put it in the Conference Committee."
- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 877? All those favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 877. Mr. Levin, your Motion was for 1. Your Motion was Amendment #1 so you would renew your Motion as to Amendment Alright. The question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 877? A11 in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 877. On the Order of Concurrences, nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill 2987. Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrences, nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill Mr. Brunsvold, the Gentleman from Rock Island. he in the chamber? Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Conconcurrence, nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill 3177, Mr. Keane."
- Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move to nonconcur to Senate
  Amendment... House Bill 3177."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves that the House nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3177. Is there any discussion? Being none, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1?' All in favor

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1. Yes, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wasn't here when I think you called House Bill 2693."

Speaker Greiman: "I was going to return to that, Mr. Keane, as
long as we have you on the hook. On the Order of
Concurrence, nonconcurrence Motions, appears House Bill
2693. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to nonconcur with Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2693."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves to nonconcur with

Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2693. Is there any
discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, I wonder if the Sponsor might yield for a question,
Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Vinson: "Representative, what's wrong with that provision?"

Keane: "I'm sorry I didn't hear you."

Vinson: "I say what is wrong with Senate Amendment #1?"

Keane: "We are trying to clean up some mechanical problems because of pending federal legislation. Does that satisfy... I'll repeat it, we are trying... It's just for... It's going back for some mechanical cleanup because we... of pending federal legislation."

Vinson: "The... My only concern is that the Bill itself appeared to deal with the federal audit thing and... where required by the Federal Government. And it appeared that all the Amendment did was to broaden that so that the Legislative Audit Commission could request one even when the feds didn't. And I just... I thought that that was good authority for the Audit Commission."

Keane: "That's the law now. Basically what we're going to do is

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

send it back, use it as a vehicle for pending federal legislation on the cleanup, on mechanical cleanup."

Vinson: "Okay."

Keane: "Thank you."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall the House nonconur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2693?" All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2693.

  Representative DiPrima, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"
- DiPrima: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  we have with us today our former city... State Treasurer,
  Mr. Cosentino, right here, Jerry Cosentino. We also have
  Alderman Roman Pucinski. That's Capparelli's alderman."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Steczo, are you prepared on 23... 2987? On the Order of Concurrences, nonconcurrence Motions, appears
  House Bill 2987. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House
  Bill 2987 is a Bill that we passed which allows the
  Commerce Commission to encourage various kinds of energy
  conservation measures. The Senate put Amendment #1 on.
  That would deal with public television stations in
  Rockford. That's acceptable to me. However, it's come to
  our attention that there are some other problems related to
  the Commerce Commission that have to be addressed before
  the Session adjourns. And, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would
  ask for nonconcurrence in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill
  2987."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Steczo, is that Amendment 2 or Amendment #1? They... you only have 2."

Steczo: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright, thank you. The Gentleman from Cook

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

House nonconcur in Senate Amendment 2 to moves that the House Bill 2987. Is there any discussion? There being discussion. the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2987. We are now on the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading. We will be considering only those Bills on Senate Bills Third Reading which are appropriations for which there has been a request to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. And on that Order appears Senate Bill 1510, Br. .... 1510, Mr. Keane. Out of the racord. On that Order of Business Senate Bills Third Beading appears Senate Bill 1513, Mr. Leverenz. Out of the record. 1534. Out of the 1515, Mr. Hastert. Mr. Hastert. Out of the record. 1551. The Lady from Cook, Miss Barnes. 1553. Miss Barnes. We are on the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading... Bills... On that Order, Senate Bill 1513. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz. Mr. Leverenz."

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd ask leave to take this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook asks leave of the House to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave?

  He has leave. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Hr. Speaker, Amendment #1 would reduce the level of payout on the retirement as we did this morning.

  It would delete \$11,251. I would move for the adoption of

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1513."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1513. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by...

Hr. Mays, your light is not working. Alright, it's on now. Mr. Mays."

Mays: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates he will."

Mays: "What's the agency that this is the... reducing the retirement for?"

Leverenz: "I think this was specifically your retirement, but

I've learned since that it is the State Treasurer's

budget."

Mays: "To the Amendment. You know all morning long we have doing exactly the same thing as we are seeking to do on this particular Amendment - reducing the funding levels for the retirement systems across the board from 67 to 60 percent. We've not been selective in the past, and I quess we're going to apply the clean sweep we ought to go ahead and support this Amendment and all those subsequent Amendments that we will be seeing on this topic matter. I would suggest, however, that all of the Amendments that we been adopting by voice votes, increasing various agency budgets, be scrutinized in the future as well as Conference Committee, so that we may be able to reverse the trend that has emerged this... early this week. So I'm personally going to yell 'no' or vote 'no', however the requirement comes down, and I would hope that others would join me."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I guess without any further questions - to the State

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- Treasurer's retirement line item. We are deleting 11,251 by the Amendment, and I would ask also that we adopt the Amendment and provide leave for Representative Mays to be journalized as a big 'no' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman has moved... The question is,

  'Shall the House adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1513?'

  All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'.

  In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House... Senate
  Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1534. Mr.
  Leverenz, the Gentleman from Cook."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the budget of the Office of Comptroller, I would ask leave that we be allowed to take the Bill back to Second Reading."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook moves... asks leave of the House to return the Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave?

  Leave is granted. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, offered by Representative

  Leverenz."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, on Amendment #4."
- Leverenz: "The Amendment would reduce the funding for retirement from 66 to 60 percent. It is roughly... roughly \$40,000 reduction. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #4."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1534. On that, is there any discussion? There being none, the guestion is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

is adopted. Further Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Representative Breslin in the Chair."
- Speaker Breslin: "On page two on your Calendar is Senate Bill 1550, Representative Hastert asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave.

  Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, offered by Representative
  Didrickson."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson."
- Didrickson: "Madam Speaker, I'd like to ask leave to withdraw Floor Amendment #5."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #5."
- Didrickson: "Thank you. I offer Amendment #7 which is simply a technical Amendment. It cleans up a typo that was in Amendment #5."
- Speaker Breslin: "Mr. Clerk, what is the status of Amendment #5?"
  Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5 was adopted."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady, therefore, moves to table Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1550. And on that question, Bepresentative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Didrickson."

- Leverenz: "Would you explain what happened in Amendment #5 which apparently was adopted that you're cleaning up with 7?"
- Didrickson: "Yes, Amendment #5 had on page three line 26, it said by deleting \$288,100 is what we want to put in with Amendment #7. Amendment #5 had a typo that incorrectly stated \$228,100. That's the prevention line."

Leverenz: "Alright, you're tabling 5."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Didrickson: "Correct."

Leverenz: "Replacing it with 7. Thank you."

Didrickson: "Correct."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #5 to Senate
Bill 1550. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed
say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have
it, and the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Didrickson."

Speaker Didrickson: "Representative Didrickson."

Didrickson: "Yes, Amendment #7 now makes that correction and inserts \$288,100."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to adopt Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1550, and on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1550 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1551,

  Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1551, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the commissioner of banks and trust companies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes. The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "This Bill is on Second Beading. On Amendment

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- #2, Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Ask leave to withdraw Amendment #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #2. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1553,

  Representative Barnes. The Lady asks leave to take this

  Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 1554, Representative

  Barnes."
- Barnes: "I'd like to bring it back for purposes of an Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Hearing no objections, the Lady has leave. The Bill is on Second Reading. Hr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment... We've got a Notion here first..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz, Representative Barnes indicated she did not want to bring 1553 back for the purposes of an Amendment, so she went to 1554.

  Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "I think she might want to just take this out of the record."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good, out of the record. Senate Bill 1556. Senate Bill 1552, Representative Barnes."
- Barnes: "Hadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to bring House... Senate Bill 1552 back for purposes of an Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment.

  Hearing no objections, the Lady has leave."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, offered by Representative Barnes."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes, you have been recognized on Amendment #7."
- Barnes: "Madam Chairman, Senate Bill 1552 is one that we wanted

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

to hold. Senate Bill 53 (sic - 1553) is the one we wanted to bring back for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Did she now take 1552 out of the record as planned?"

Speaker Breslin: "She is asking for that now. Take Senate Bill

1552 out of the record and move it back to Third Reading.

Representative Barnes?"

Barnes: "Yes."

- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Move Senate Bill 1552 back to Third Reading, then take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1553."
- Barnes: "Nadam Speaker, Representative Leverenz has all these
  Amendments, and I would like to bring Senate Bill 1553 back
  to Second for purposes of an Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks leave to return this Bill back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Hearing no objections, the Lady has leave. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, offered by Representative

  Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #1 removes \$1,450 from the Commission's retirement line item. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1553. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1553 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1556,

  Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes asks leave

- 140th Legislative Day

  to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the
  - purposes of an Amendment. Hearing no objections, the Lady has leave. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. On Amendment #2, it would reduce the payment of contributions to the retirement system by \$4,100 in general revenue. And I guess Representative Mays would like to be journalized again as 'no' on all of these. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1556. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1556 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those... Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Without any further remarks, could I be journalized as voting 'no' also?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Would you repeat that,

  Representative Van Duyne?"
- Van Duyne: "I understand that this is another one of those Bills
  where it diminishes the participation of the State of
  Illinois in a retirement plan. And if that's true, I
  would like to be journalized as voting 'no' also, along
  with Mr. Mays."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Van Duyne, we do not journalize anyone on a voice vote."
- Van Duyne: "Well then I would like to ask for a Roll Call Vote."

  Speaker Breslin: "Very good, that's fine. All those in favor

  vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no' on Amendment #2 to

  Senate Bill 1556. Voting is open. Bave all voted who

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 45 voting 'aye', 24 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1559,
Representative Barnes. The Lady asks leave to return this
Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an
Amendment. Hearing no objection, the Lady has leave.
Representative Barnes."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Hr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, offered by Representative Leverenz."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. On Senate Bill 1559, we have a tidy-up Amendment that would reduce the appropriation by 13,500 in the Retirement Fund line item.

It would be at the 60% payout level. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1559. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1559 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 65 voting 'aye', 18 voting 'no', none voting 'present'. And the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Motions on page twelve on your Calendar appears

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- Senate Bill 1893. That's the Order of Motions on page twelve on your Calendar. The Chair calls Senate Bill 1893. Hr. Clerk, read the Motion."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. 'Fursuant to Rule 77(a), I move to discharge Committee on the World's Fair and further consideration of Senate Bill 1893 and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, offered by Representative Nash and Bullock."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash on the Motion."
- Nash: "Hadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask for a favorable Boll Call on this Motion. The Committee had to recess. There wasn't enough time to take a vote on it, and that's why this Motion was necessary."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to discharge the Committee on the World's Fair and advance this Bill to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Speaker Madigan."
- Madigan: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Motion would discharge the Committee on the World's Fair from further consideration of Senate Bill 1893 which provides for a continued study of the World's Fair in Chicago. It would provide for permanent funding for the McCormick Place expansion in Chicago. And it would provide for a Statewide Tourism Frogram. The plan is to simply take the Bill from Committee today and place the Bill on the Order of Second Reading. We do not plan to move to consideration of Amendments today, but rather to move to consideration of Amendments tomorrow. So, Madam Speaker, I would rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Hadam Speaker, I am sorry that we're dealing with this subject at this late date. But the Senate Bill did

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

not come over, and the House hearings were held as quickly possible, but there was not time to consider all of the So, I'm going to support this. I think also unfortunate that these three issues got all tied up in package because it's kind of a all or nothing saving. I think everybody is for tourism, and 1 think 1 o t people for McCormick Place. I think some people have mixed emotions about the Fair, but I quess this is one way of bringing it out to the Body for consideration of the various Amendments. And for that reason I'm going to support this Motion to discharge. But as I say I do think it's unfortunate from the standpoint that we're considering this very complicated matter in the last few days of the Session and that we're considering all three matters at one time, which makes it a little more complicated than ever."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Currie. The Lady has decided she does not wish to speak. Ladies and Gentlemen, the question is, 'Shall the Bouse Committee on the World's...' Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Yes, Madam Speaker, this does require a Holl Call Vote. Is that correct?"

Speaker Breslin: "Yes, it does."

Mulcahey: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House discharge the Committee on the World's Fair and advance Senate Bill 1893 to the Order of Second Reading?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Representative Mulcahey to explain his vote."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just... to explain my vote very briefly. If there's ever been a Bill before this General Assembly in recent years that ought to stay in Committee for another week, another six weeks, another six months, it's this one right here. Now there are those of

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

you who are... who support the World's Fair, those of you There are those of you who support who support tourism. McCormick Place, whatever the case may be. All three of these are wrapped up in one Bill, one Eill. It's all right Now if you're for the world's Fair. if you think it's going to do the job, then go ahead and vote green, and let them ramrod this thing through because that's exactly what' going to happen. Now you can't separate the other two issues. So, there's only one question you have to ask yourself. Are you in favor of the World's Fair? Are you in favor of bailing out McCormick Place? If you are, vote green. If you're not, vote 'no'."

- Speaker Breslin: "Have all voted who wish? This Bill requires 60 votes... This Motion requires 60 votes for adoption. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Motion, there are 72 voting 'aye', 39 voting 'no' and 4 voting 'present'. And the Motion is adopted. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill a second time, please."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1893, a Bill for an Act creating the
  Tourism Fund in the State Treasury, authorizing deposits of
  revenue therein, and transfers and appropriations therefrom
  and amending Acts relating thereto. Second Beading of the
  Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill has been read a second time. Tomorrow we will entertain Amendments and Motions on this Bill. Back on page three on your Calendar on the Order of Appropriation Bills that need to be brought back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an That is on page three Amendment appears Senate Bill 1562. on your Calendar. Senate Bill 1562. Representative Barnes. The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Does the Lady have leave? Hearing no objections, the

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

has leave. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, offered by Representative Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. Madam Speaker, Ladies and of the House, this Amendment breaks out various line items which concurrently are appropriated to Department of Insurance exclusively from general revenue. Between general revenue and the new Insurance Producer Administration Fund, which will be established January 1, 1985, pursuant to the provisions of House Bill Amendment distributed the Department of Insurance Fiscal Year '85 appropriation between the General Revenue Fund and the Insurance Producer Administration Fund. The total the Bill is still \$7,829,100. There is no change in the total dollars appropriated to the Department of Insurance. fund will be funded by receipts collected by the Department for annual insurance producer license renewal fees. This single license will replace all the agent and broker licenses which the Department of Insurance currently issues and which do not expire until March 1 of '85. The monies in this new fund will be used to pay for all of the functions of the Department's consumer division except its market conduct activities, as well as that division's allocated share of support services provided administrative support, EDF, and staff services division. A total of \$498,100 will be appropriated out of for the direct activities of the consumers' division which include: (1) producer regulation: (2) licensing: (3) policy form evaluation; (4) consumer services. Activities of other divisions which support the consumer division will be funded out of this new fund include: Administrative Support Services \$296, 100; (1) collection and processing of for the licensing of insurance producers; (2)

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- maintenance of consumer division files and records; (3) postage and processing of mail; (4) rental of office space; (5) word processing; and, (6) graphic services. I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1562. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1562 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, offered by Representative Barnes."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

- Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 restores \$25,000 of the \$50,000 which the Senate cut from general revenue in the contractual service line for the Administrative Support Division of the Department of Insurance. Recent events concerning the rehabilitation of the Baldwin United Companies show that the Department will need this money which was budgeted for legal services. I would ask for an adoption of this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to adopt Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1562. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1562 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Leverenz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 would provide one dollar funding for the entire Department for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1562. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1562 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1564-Representative Barnes. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1567. Out of the record. Senate Bi11 1573-Representative Hastert. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1573, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Prisoner Review Board. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert asks leave of the Assembly to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave.

  Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, offered by Representative Bowman."

  Speaker Breslin: "Representative Bowman, on Amendment #3.

  Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you. Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #3 reduces funding for retirement contributions by \$2,700 as amended. This funding level reduces the payout ratio to 60% from the Senate approved

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

66% level. I move its adoption."

- "The Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #3 to Speaker Breslin: that question, is there any Senate Bill 1573. And on discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1573 be adopted?' those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 67 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'no' and 5 voting 'present'. And this is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?" Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1575,

  Representative Hastert. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1575, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Governor's Purchase Care Review Board. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to return this Bill to
  the Order of Second Beading for the purposes of an
  Amendment. Does the Gentlemen have leave? Hearing no
  objections, the Gentleman has leave. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, offered by Representative
  Leverenz."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Kadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 would reduce the level of retirement by \$400. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1575. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Hearing no discussion, the guestion is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1575 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Breslin: "Third Speaker Reading. Senate Bill 1576. Representative Barnes. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1579. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1581, Representative Barnes. Out οf the record. S∈nate Bill 1586. Representative Nash. Representative Nash. Take 1586 out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Senate Bills Third Beading, appropriations only, appears Senate Bill 1590. Representative Keane. This is Third Reading of the Bill. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1590, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations for higher education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

- Keaue: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker... or Madam Speaker. Senate Bill
  1590 is the appropriations for the Board of Higher
  Education. I'd be happy to answer any questions on the
  Bill and ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1590. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1590 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1591, Representative Satterthwaite. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1591, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations of the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, this is the appropriation for the University of Illinois. It is in the same condition in which it came from the Senate appropriating \$463,000,000. It's about 6.9% increase over last year's expenditure level. I would be happy to try to respond to questions."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1591. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1591 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1592, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1592, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you. This... Senate Bill 1592 is the appropriation for ordinary and contingent expenses of Southern Illinois University. And I would ask for the approval of Senate Bill 1592."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1592. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1592 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion there are 102 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1593, Representative Ropp. Representative Bowman, for what reason do you rise?"
- Bowman: "Well, with leave of the House I'd be happy... it came through my Committee. I'd be happy to handle it for Representative Ropp."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to handle this Bill on behalf of Representative Ropp. Hearing no objections, proceed, Representative Bowman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1593 (sic Senate Bill 1593), a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Regents.

  Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Hadam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This appropriation is for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Regents. The Senate amended it to provide for the appropriation of the increased tuitions. And the House Committee found nothing to modify. There's no Committee Amendment, no Floor Amendment. I urge that we approve this Bill and send it to the Governor today. And I move for its adoption... I move for its passage."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1593. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1593 be passed?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'noo. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1594, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1594, a Bill for an Act making

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

appropriation to the Board of Governors of state colleges and universities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

- Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1594 is the ordinary... appropriation for ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Governors of state colleges and universities. I'd ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1594. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1594 pass?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 1621, Representative Satterthwaite. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1621, a Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation of the Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Satterthwaite."

- Satterthwaite: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, this is a supplemental appropriation to the Board of Trustees of University of Illinois in the amount of \$645,000 as a result of fire damage in two buildings on campus at the Urbana location. These were fires that had heen deliberately set apparently, and a person has been apprehended. But because we đс not carry insurance, a supplemental appropriation of this sort has to be I'd be happy to answer guestions." requested.
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the passage of Senate
  Bill 1621. And on that question, the Gentleman from

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Brummer: "What are these buildings?"

Satterthwaite: "There were two buildings on the Urbana campus.

One is the lumus lab, and one is noise lab."

Brummer: "Okay. Why in the world does not the University of Illinois carry insurance for fire on the buildings? I mean I support the University of Illinois, I think, on all their appropriations, but I find it hard to believe that an entity such as the U of I would not carry insurance."

Satterthwaite: "The practice has been with the University properties, as well as with state property in general, that no insurance is carried. It would be prohibitably expensive to carry insurance on an annual basis. And studies have shown that it is more practical simply to absorb the cost when there is damage occurring."

Brummer: "You mean most state buildings are not insured."

Satterthwaite: "That's my understanding."

Brummer: "Do you know if the building in which we stand is insured for wind or fire?"

Satterthwaite: "I have no idea, but my understanding is that none of the state properties are insured for fire damage."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "On that question, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. If I could just speak very briefly and try to clear some of this stuff up. We did talk about this in Appropriations to some extent. We had statistics provided to us to show that it's economical not to insure. If we insured all the state university buildings and all the state buildings, it would really be a prohibitive amount as was stated before. We've also asked the state officials to provide us with further statistics

140th Legislative Day

- June 26, 1984
- and information on this procedure. There is an exception.

  Dormitories and those types of things where it has personal property in it are insured. But buildings, the standard university-type buildings and buildings that the state provides does not have insurance."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Senate Bill 1621 pass?'

  All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

  Voting is open. The Gentleman from Knox, Representative

  Hawkinson, one minute to explain his vote."
- Hawkinson: "Madam Speaker, this is on a point of personal privilege. Would the record show that the Clerk's Office has just changed my... put in a new switch on my 'no' button and that I intended on Senate Bill 1574 Amendment 21 to vote 'no' and on Senate Bill 1559 Amendment 3 to vote 'no' but that the 'no' switch did not work?"
- will Speaker Breslin: "Your remarks be transcribed, Representative Hawkinson. The Clerk will take the record on Senate Bill 1621. On this Bill there are 107 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and none woting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby 1654; declared passed. Senate Bill Representative Leverenz. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1654, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Arts Council. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Illinois Arts Council. We are passing it at the Senate level of 6,051,100, and the request originally was 6,963,600. If there are any questions, I'd be happy to answer them. I ask for your 'aye' vote."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of Senate Bill 1654-And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Senate 1654 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 voting 'aye', 4 voting 'no' and 10 This Bill, having received the Constitutional 'present'. Majority, is hereby declared passed. With leave of the Assembly, we will now go back to Representative Nash's Bill, Senate Bill 1586. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1586, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to certain officers and agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash asks leave to return Senate
  Bill 1686... 1586... Fepresentative Friedrich, for what
  reason do you rise?"
- Friedrich: "Madam Speaker, if you're looking for something to do,
  1474 and 1510 I don't think will be controversial."
- Speaker Breslin: "I'm sorry. I can't even hear you,

  Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "1474 and 1510 I don't believe are controversial if you want to consider them."
- Speaker Breslin: "Just one moment, Representative. Out of the record on Senate Bill 1586. Ladies and Gentlemen, with leave of the Body the Chair would now like to go to page five on your Calendar, Senate Bills Third Reading, Senate Bill 1549. Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1549, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Agriculture. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady asks leave to return this Bill to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment.

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

Hearing no objections, the Lady has leave. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, offered by Representative
Brummer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the Assembly.

Amendment 14 adds 48... excuse me, \$96,000 appropriation for grandstand renovation at the 4-H Center in Lawrence County. It is similar to provisions that we have done with regard to county fairs in other areas. In fact, I think there was a prior Amendment on here by Representative Friedrich regarding renovation of the grandstand at the Marion County Fairgrounds. I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks for the adoption of Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1549. And on that question, the Gentlemen from AcLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a guestion."

Ropp: "Representative, is this a new construction or a replacement or a old one, and did you have any insurance on it?"

Brummer: "It is a renovation."

Ropp: "I thought at some time a number of years ago we decided not to do that."

Brummer: "I think we did so last year or the year before with regard to White County and with regard to Bichland County.

Representative Friedrich has one on here with regard to Harion County. It's Amendment... I don't know what Amendment it is. In fact, it's \$350,000 or something of this nature. You know, it seems that we have continued to do that practice for some time."

Ropp: "Is this General Revenue or Ag Premium Fund?"

Brummer: "It is GRF."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Ropp: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1548 (sic-Senate Bill 1549).

All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. On page five on your Calendar,

Ladies and Gentlemen, appears Senate Bill 1595 on the Order

of Second Beading. Representative Stuffle. Clerk, read

the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1595, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Illinois Community College Board and the Board of Trustees of the State Community College of East St. Louis for the Fiscal Year 1985. This Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendment #1 was tabled; 2 was adopted; 3 was withdrawn; 4 was adopted; And we were on Amendment #5, offered by Representative Bannig - Rea and Mulcahey."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig."

Hannig: "Yes, would you withdraw that Amendment?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to adopt Amendment #5 to Senate... Excuse me. Withdraw Amendment #5. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Mulcahey - Rea and Hannig."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Well, Madam Speaker, against my better instincts I reluctantly... very reluctantly withdraw Amendment #6."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #6. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, offered by Representative  $\operatorname{Hannig}_{-}$ "

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hannig."

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1384

Hannig: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker. In an effort to move
this Bill along, I would nove to withdraw this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Withdraw Amendment #7. Are there any further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, I am told that when I announced the adoption of Amendment #14 to the last Bill, I announced Senate Bill 1549. That was supposed to be Senate Bill 1549 for the record. Let the record also show that Representative Hawkinson's switch has been replaced by the electrician. Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would like leave of the
  Body to be recorded as voting 'no' on Amendment #21 to
  Senate Bill 1574 and voting 'no' on Amendment #3 to Senate
  Bill 1559, which occurred just prior to my switch being
  replaced."
- Speaker Breslin: "Thank you, Representative. The Gentleman asks leave to be so recorded on those Bills as he had intended to vote in the first place. Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. On the Order of Concurrences on page six on your Calendar appears House Bill 598. Ladies and Gentlemen we are on page six on your Calendar on the Order of Concurrences. The first Bill on that Order is House Bill 598, Representative Braun. Representative Braun. Is the Lady in the chamber? Take the Bill out of the record. House Fill 577, Representative Levin. House Bill 1190, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1190, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would ask for concurrence

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 1190. Amendment #2 provided just a clarification of the intent of the original Bill which deals with local school district reorganization, and it was meant as a cleanup. dealt with transportation, and it clarified combined school districts must only provide pupil transportation if the combined district includes any school district which was previously required to provide pupil transportation. Amendment #1 is the exact language that passed by this House unanimously in House Bill 1188. And simply what that does is to take the transportation reimbursement formula and put that on a five year basis rather than a six and two-thirds year basis, as is present law. And also it made one minor change codifying present practice regarding contracts with service region superintendents. And, Madam Speaker, I would like to move for concurrence these two On Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1190. And on that is there any discussion? There being discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1190? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question. 104 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting there are 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments House Bill 1130and 2 to House Bill 1348, Representative Brunner. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1348, a Bill for an Act in relation to occupation and use taxes together with Senate Amendment #2."

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brunmer."

Brummer: "Hadam Speaker, Members of the Assembly, House Bill 1348 as it left this chamber, simply provided that when a retailer purchases manufacturing equipment... I'm sorry. a manufacturer purchases manufacturing equipment from a retailer which is exempt from sales tax as a result the exemption on manufacturing equipment, that if that manufacturer had a retail number, they did not have to file a certificate. That passed out of the House here with a it was near major vote. Ι do not recall. I think unanimous. Anyway the Senate left that portion intact added what has generally been referred to as the Bottlers' That Amendment arose as a result of a Amendment. Supreme Court decision recently, which indicated that if a wholesaler had not obtained a retail number the wholesaler may be liable for the tax even though the wholesaler could show that the sale was clearly for resale. Amendment #2 in the Senate leaves the existing language regarding the requirement that the retailer or that the wholesaler obtain the number, but adds following lanquage. failure to present an registration or resale number and a certification that a sale is for resale, creates a presumption that the sale is not for resale. This presumption other evidence that all of the seller's sales rebutted by are sales for resale or that a particular sale is a for resale.' What that really means is, if the wholesaler does not obtain the number, the wholesaler is running a considerable risk that he or she is going to be liable for the sales tax. It creates a presumption that the sale not resale. However, with this Amendment wholesaler would not be precluded from bringing in evidence that would be persuasive to the Department, to the hearing

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

officer, or ultimately to the court sufficient evidence to rebut that presumption and show that the sale was a sale for resale. I would be glad to respond to any questions. If there are no questions, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslia: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

Amendment #2 to House Bill 1348. And on that question, the

Gentleman from Kendall, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Brummer: "Yes."

Hastert: "Just a simple question on this. This is caused... and I think you tried to explain this, I just want to clarify it. You... This is caused because some of the bottlers such as a company like distributors for Fepsi-Cola and 7up, once they are audited sometimes couldn't go back and because the nature of their business and different types of businesses that they deal with, they could not go back and get that number in many cases. Is that correct?"

Brummer: "Yes. I mean it is applicable more to the bottlers. It arose specifically as a result of the Iri-America Company case. But the entities that have particular concern are the bottlers. And they have been the one that have really pushed this Amendment because they distribute to hundreds or maybe thousands of individual retailers, some of which go in and out of business. And as long as they can show that it was a sale for resale under this and can present adequate testimony to rebut that presumption, they would be allowed to do so."

Hastert: "Thank you very much. This is a good Amendment. We support it on this side of the aisle. And it deserves everybody's affirmative vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Klemm."

Klemm: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

"Representative Brummer, just more concern on the Senate Amendments. You say that a business would have to provide the registration number or the resale number and certification. Could you clarify what the two differences Could you tell me what the difference is between a registration number and/or a resale number and the certification? Is that actually ... we photocopy the certificate from the Department of Revenue and must that to all these people that we do business with? it sufficient under this intent just to give the number off of the certification or certificate?"

Brummer: "Are you looking at Senate Amendment #2?"

Klemm: "No, I'm not. I'm looking at a synopsis. That's why I need your clarification."

Brummer: "The language in the second to last paragraph is not new language, if you have the Amendment. And it refers to a sale for resale unless the purchaser has an active registration number or a resale number. That's the existing law. Now I really cannot tell you precisely what the difference is between an active registration number or a resale number, but those are both numbers issued by the Department, and I cannot distinguish between them. What I referred to is the sales tax number, but there is apparently two different types."

Klemm: "I would too. Now, if we... under that assumption they provide that number, then it would not be the presumption that the sale is not for resale? Then they would satisfy your Amendments?"

Brummer: "Would you repeat that, please?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Klemm: "If they provide that resale number that you and I are agreeing with, then does that satisfy the requirements of the Senate Amendment #1 and 2?"

Brummer: "That is the existing law, and we are not changing that in any significant manner."

Klemm: "Alright. Thank you very much."

Brummer: "Under the existing law, there needs to be a... that resale number provided. And that requirement is still going to exist. What this Amendment does is creates a... an alternate methodology in those rare instances or those instances where the number isn't available or the wrong number was given. And when the Department of Revenue is auditing the wholesaler, and they punch in these numbers, and they don't show up on the computer of the Department of Revenue as an active number at that time under the existing law, there's nothing else the wholesaler can do. This Amendment will allow the wholesaler to reput a presumption that it was not for resale by showing other evidence that, in fact, it was for resale."

Klemm: "Alright. Fine. Thank you very much, and I stand in support of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1348?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', and none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1348. House Bill 1427, Representative Steczo. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1427, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Steczo."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Steczo: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I would move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 1427. Senate Amendment #1 affects Public Act 83-275 or last year's Senate Bill 341 that was passed by this General That Bill stated where there is unemployment of 10% or more, the county board can extend the delinquency date by taxes... for taxes by 60 days. There was a technical error which could create a difficult problem in those particular counties. And Senate Amendment #1 tries to address that particular problem by saying that the... filing the correct amount of any tax paid under protest shall be made in December rather than October to conform Senate Amendment #2 is an exact with that legislation. duplicate of House Bill 1855, which passed this House by a of 110 to 3. And that deals with the ability of park districts to operate property such as the concession stands, et cetera, that are operated at profit while not being subjected to property taxes... property taxes in those areas. And I would ask the House to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1427."

Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Speaker Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1427-And question. There being is there any discussion? discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur i n Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1427? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Laurino asks to be voted 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 1427. House Bill 1448, Representative Terzich. Clerk, read the Bill. This is a State Nandates Act Bill."

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1448, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to compensation of sheriffs, coroners, county treasurers, county clerks, recorders and auditors, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleren of the House, the Bill was previously passed by the House and subsequently amended in the Senate with regard to the sheriffs and the various counties throughout the state. What it does is it adjusts the salaries' minimum approximately the same amount as the State's Attorneys. It's been 12 years since there was any last adjustments on This provision would not go into the minimum amount. effect for another two and a half years, which would 14 years. And this is simply a minor adjustment in the minimums. And I'd appreciate your support in concurring with Senate Amendment #1. It received 58 votes in the Senate."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 1448. And on that question, the
Gentleman from Knox, Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

McMaster: "Representative Terzich, did the Senate Amendment change the minimum salary on these officials from what it was when we passed it out of the House?"

Terzich: "That's correct."

NcMaster: "A county such as mine, which is Knox County, what will now be the minimum salary of sheriff, of treasurer, clerk, all of those people?"

Terzich: "This only deals with the sheriffs. And I don't know what your county is. If you tell me what the population is, I could give you an idea."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

McMaster: "Above 60."

Terzich: "Above 60,000. In 1986, December of '86, it would go to a minimum of \$40,000."

McMaster: "Don't you think that's rather high, Bob?"

Terzich: "No, the... We can beat that to death, but it's been 12 years since there has been an adjustment. This would make 14 years, and under this Bill it simply provides that at the current time that they could be right in near that range at the present time. And like I say, there hasn't been any adjustment in the salary, and this would make it 14 years."

McMaster: "Madam Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

McMaster: "I would not be against some sort of increase for the sheriffs or any other elected county official for that matter. But my feeling is this, I think we're going a little bit overboard when we say wedre going to go to a minimum salary of 40,000 per year for the sheriff in a county of my size. I feel that over the years down here many of us have tried to get out of the position of setting mandating salaries for local officials. And now we are just that by raising the minimum, again mandating salaries. I would rather... I have seen the time when have taken off maximum salary limits, and I have supported that. But when we go to the position of raising the minimums, mandating in other words as far as local officials are concerned, I think that is wrong. would urge that we defeat this."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "The same arguments that we made before are obviously

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

applicable to this debate as well. We passed laws to toughen up our criminal justice system. We give the tools to the prosecutors in law enforcement. He've got to have the people in law enforcement, namely the sheriff, and people in the prosecutorily branch of the government. namely the State's Attorney, who have sufficient incentives to do their best. They do that now, but I don't think pay them at their best level. And I think this is a reasonable attempt to try to put pay for that branch of the government in line with what we expect them to do in our total criminal justice system. I think it's a good Bill. I'm going to vote 'yes'. And I would hope that others would do likewise."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative
Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Brummer: "Is there a state mandates exemption under this, or this an item that would be reimbursed by the state?"

Terzich: "There's a state mandate exemption."

Brummer: "So, it would not be reimbursed by the state. The local counties would have to pick up the increase."

Terzich: "That's correct."

Brummer: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Ewing: "Bob, in this particular case, the sheriff's salary is set as a percentage of the state's attorney's? Is that..."

Terzich: "No. This... This is simply adjusting the minimum. The state's attorney was adjusted 15 thousand dollars which is also under this Bill. This simply adjusts the minimum.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

There are some sheriffs that are making close to the basic minimum now, so the adjustment might be two thousand dollars in '86 or it might be 10 thousand dollars in '86 depending upon the size of the county."

Ewing: "Is it an eight or a ten thousand dollar adjustment in the minimum?"

Terzich: "It would be depending upon what they are currently paying them. For example, if you had a minimum of 31 thousand and they're currently paying that share of say 28 thousand then there would be a minimum or an adjustment of three thousand dollar minimum."

Ewing: "Right. But I meant the raise in the minimum is either eight or ten thousand, or is it more than that?"

Terzich: "I believe it's adjusted about 10 thousand, I understand."

Ewing: "Ten thousand total."

Terzich: "Yes."

Ewing: "Now, it was my understanding there was one Bill out in regard to sheriffs' salary that set it at a percentage, two-thirds of the state's attorney."

Terzich: "That got beat in the Senate."

Ewing: "That is not this Bill."

Terzich: "Right."

Ewing: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, to close."

Terzich: "Well, again this is similar... this also includes the state's attorneys, and it's, I think, a fair and equitable adjustment. It did receive 58 votes in the Senate, doesn't go into effect until 1986, and it has been 14 years since this adjustment. I appreciate your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... The guestion is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1448?'

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1384

All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Representative Alexander is voting 'aye'. Ιt is working Representative, and it is working on the board. wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 70 voting 'aye', 42 voting 'no' voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1448\_ House Bill 1563. Representative McGann. Clerk, read... Out of the record? House Bill 1658, Representative Braun. Out of the record. House Bill 2211, Representative Greiman. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2211, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act regulating transactions concerning vehicles and vehicle parts, together with Senate Amendments #2, 3, 4, 5 and 6."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Speaker. I would move that the House concur with the Senate Amendments to House Bill 2211. This was the Bill that reformed the chop shops.... reformed the law enforcement of chop shops. With the Amendments... Some the Amendments in the... in the Senate related specifically Bill. Some were to accommodate and make clear the kind of records that were to be kept by scrap processors. There was a concern that the records to be required by scrap processors were too ... unwieldy and too complex, so there was a... there was a definitional change in order to help the processors. There were also changes respect to certain technical problems that were encountered in terms of definition of lost and abandoned cars and how to respon... how to... the kind of requirements that you needed for an auction where there was a total... a total of a car, because there had been found that often the auctions and the insurance sales where there was total out of a car

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

were the main sources of cars that were allowed what called switching and retagging. There were... There are two Amendments that are not particularly germane to the Bill but were added on. And while I... I don't have any strong feelings about them except that they don't... I don't think they affect the original Bill, and the original Bill is significant enough since it will make startling changes in how we go after chop shops. One authorizes the Secretary of State to issue a special Armed Forces Reserve plate. We do that now for National Guard. This would just be Reserve. The other one changes ... changes the presumption on a rental car when the title... as to title when the ... when the car has been stolen from a That's what the Amendments are about. I rental agency. would move for concurrence of Amendment... of Amendments to Senate... House Bill 2211."

- Speaker Breslin: "Ladies and Gentlemen, Channel 5 has asked permission to do some videotaping from the press box. Do the gentlemen have leave? The gentlemen have leave. Ladies and Gentlemen, Representative Greiman has asked that the House concur in Senate Amendments 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 to House Bill 2211. And on that guestion, the Gentleman from DeBitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."
- Vinson: "Representative, Senate Amendment #5, as I have just very briefly perused it, and I wonder if you would confirm or correct my quick reading. There has to be a notification sent to somebody who improperly possesses a vehicle..."
- Greiman: "That's not part of the chop shop Bill, but that's correct. That's my understanding. Yes."
- Vinson: "And if that person doesn't give up the vehicle within

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

three days of the mailing of the notification, then they are committing the crime of theft."

- Greiman: "Apparently it's decreased from seven to three."
- Vinson: "Now, my only concern is that that's a mailing date notification, and quite frequently, I get letters from constituents 15, 16, 18 days after they've been mailed, after they're postmarked. And it just seems to me that that particular provision is extraordinarily enerous. What do you think about that?"
- Greiman: "I probably wouldn't have put the... put it on, but the

  Senate did, and they... I notice its the... one of my

  Senate Sponsors was the Sponsor of it. So that is why I am

  here, and I..."
- Vinson: "Don't you think we really ought to concur in the other

  Amendments and nonconcur in this one and see if they won't

  recede in this one?"
- Greiman: "Well, I've made a Motion to concur in it. I said initially that it wasn't quite my cup of tea, but I... I think it's not so onerous. We already have the... the thing in the law that provides for seven days. This reduces it, and that's what it does."
- Vinson: "Well, how often do you get your mail within three days of when it was postmarked?"
- Greiman: "Nobody ever writes me."
- Vinson: "I beg... Ladies and Gentlemen, to the Bill. I think
  the Gentleman has worked long and hard on this Bill. The
  underlying Bill I have no problem with, and all of the
  other Amendments appear to me to be in order. But this
  particular Amendment that the Senate put on would have a
  person committing the crime of theft... a felony if they
  didn't receive their mail within three days under certain
  circumstances. And I think given the record of performance
  by the United States Postal Service that that's just too

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

much to... too much of a penalty to put on the people of the State of Illinois, and I... so I would urge a 'no' vote on the... on the Motion. As a matter of fact what I would do, Madam Speaker, is move to divide the question so that we can vote on each of these Amendments separately."

Speaker Breslin: "And which Amendment do you want divided,
Representative Vinson?"

Vinson: "I would like the vote on Amendment 5 taken separately."

Speaker Breslin: "That Motion is in order. On the question for

Senate Amendments 2, 3, 4 and 6, the Gentleman from

Effingham, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."

Brummer: "With regard to the major Bill, the major portion of the Bill, as you sent it over to the Senate, are the auto rebuilders, recyclers, I'm not sure exactly their association name, are they in support of this legislation now as amended?"

Greiman: "Hell, I'm... I'm not sure. I've sort of lost track of who is and who isn't. The last Amendment, Amendment 6, provided for the scrap processors, and they are clearly on board as is the Secretary of State, the Department of Law Enforcement, the state's attorneys, the State's Attorney of Cook County and everybody else. So, I don't know. I'm not sure whether they are or not. I don't know that..."

Brummer: "Does this..."

Greiman: "...process... significant process in the Senate as well as the House."

Brummer: "Does this legislation still provide for marked titles?

I think the Secretary of State's Office is currently stamping them rebuilt in large letters on the title. Does this alter that in any manner?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

140th Legislative Day June 26, 1984

Greiman: "Yes, just one moment, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Currently the Secretary of State stamps in large on titles... Rebuilt, letters on... 1 think is the language that is stamped on there. There's considerable amount of concern with regard to the auto recyclers regarding that because that results in substantially lower sale price. There's an auto recycler who the Sponsor I know has talked to from my regarding that issue. And I'm... I'm wondering if that has been changed in any manner in the legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "I don't think that has been changed. I think that they've talked about the possibility of changing that. I don't think they have. There were some proposals that were offered back and forth. I think that remains. But that's the current law. That's not... That's not changing anything in the law. That's the current law."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed, Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, very briefly to the Bill. When this Bill was before us on Third Reading, originally Representative Greiman indicated that everybody was in favor of this except organized crime. I would respectfully disagree with that statement. But with a statement like that I suspect there's going to be concurrence by a substantial margin. I do feel that this addresses the auto rebuilding industry in a manner that's unfair. It seems to me that they came forward with several proposals to address the chop shop problem without unduly crippling their industry, and I would respectfully disagree with the Motion to concurregarding this Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman, to close."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Greiman: "With respect to some of the issues that Representative Brummer has raised. I see that in Amendment 2... the initial Amendment 2 which we added on provides for separate definitions for rebuilders and for repairers. receive a clean title, and a rebuilder would receive a salvage title. But that doesn't change the lav. what the law... I mean that changes it, but they don't get a clean so-called title at this point. So we're not changing that law in any event. Those Amendments that have adopted by the ... by the been Senate that considering now strengthen the Bill, make it a... have all of the other people who were for this Bill who are in law enforcement for the Bill, and I respectfully ... understand that people certainly can oppose the Bill doesn't demean their character. I have, Lord knows, opposed enough Bills on this floor. But this is a Bill. It is a Bill that will seriously move toward... toward attacking chop shops, and I would ask that we concur in those Amendments that are part of this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The ... The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 2, 3, 4 and 6 to House Bill 2211. A11 those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted Voting is open. who wish? The Clerk will take the record. question. there are 104 voting \*aye\*, 4 voting \*no\* and 5 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 2. З. and 6 House Bill to 2211 Representative Greiman on Senate Amendment #5."

Greiman: "I think... Speaker, I think that I will adopt what

Representative Vinson has suggested. I think that... I

think that is right. I think he is correct. I think that

is a little onerous and questionable, and so I will move to

nonconcur."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 2211. And on that question, there any discussion? There being no discussion, all those say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #5 to House Bill 2211. House Bill 2296. Representative Hallock? Out of the record. House Bill 2325. Representative Ronan-Representative Ronan. Out of the record. House Bill 23... Representative Ronan. Clerk, read the Bill. That was House Bill 22... 2325. Excuse me."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2325, a Bill for an Act creating the
Illinois Infrastructure Bank Act, together with Senate
Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Roman."

Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2325.

Basically what they do is that they incorporate divisions of House Bill 3063, an Act to create the Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act, which is Amendment #1.

Amendment #2 is a further clarification which establishes a Minority and Female Business Enterprise Act set aside program, and I move to concur."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2325. On that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2325. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. This is final passage. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 84 voting 'aye', 21 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present, and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House...

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

2325-House Bill House Bill 2334. Representative t.o Madigan. Out of the record. House Bill 2355. Representative Flinn. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2355, a Bill for an Act to amend the Radiation Protection Act, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Flinn."

"Thank you, Madam Speaker. Madam Speaker and Ladies Flinn: Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2355. Senate Amendment restores the January 1, '84 accreditation deadline and permits unconditional accreditation for persons employed in the field of not less than 24 months or 48 months preceding January \*84. It very simply settles the argument we had... JCAR had with the Department of Nuclear Safety, was an agreed to Amendment in the Senate. Senate Amendment generally speaking, excludes the podiatry... licensed podiatrists and administrators of radiation for human beings that... for diagnostic purposes, where such a podiatrist attests to the nurses or technicians or other systems' competence... I would move for the adoption of these two Amendments."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
  Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2355. And on that
  question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I have no problems with Senate
  Amendment #1, but I do have a significant problem with
  Senate Amendment #2. It's bad public policy, and I'd like
  to divide the question."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has asked to divide the question.

  The Gentleman is within his rights. On the issue of concurrence, on Senate Amendment #1, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Brummer: "Representative Plinn, several months ago, I remember receiving several letters from hospitals in my district that were very concerned about this legislation. They had some specific suggestions. Quite frankly, because of the length of time that it's been, I don't recall those suggestions. Could you indicate, is the Illinois Hospital Association in favor of Amendment #1?"

Flinn: "I... I don't know particularly whether they are, but what... their main objection was grandfathering in their technicians so they may take X-rays, and this has been done in Amendment #1."

Brummer: "That's with regard to people employed not less than 24 of the last 48 months?"

Plinn: "Right. That's right."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Eill 2355? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the On this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', and I voting 'present'. And the House dnes concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2355. Representative Flinn, what is your pleasure on Senate Amendment #2?"

Flinn: "Well, Hadam Speaker, the Senate Sponsor agreed to this
Amendment, and I cannot very well move to nonconcur, so I
would nove to concur in Senate Amendment #2. It does,
indeed, take the podiatrists out of the Act, so to speak,
by simply having the physician in charge verify that the
person's qualified. I understand there's some problems

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

with it. The medical association has a problem, and other people, but I would still move to concur in Senate Amendment #2--- "

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
  Amendment #2 to House Bill 2355. And on that question, the
  Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ronan."
- Ronan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I think Representative Flinn has done one of the great understatements of all time on Senate Amendment #2. It really does establish bad public policy. What it does, it opens up a Fandora's box on the delivery of the Health Care Service System in this state. The Illinois State Medical Society and a lot of other significant groups in this state have serious problems with Senate Amendment #2. I move that we nonconcur and send this to a Conference."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Ronan. The Motion has already been made, and that is to concur, and that is what we are discussing. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Well, Madam Speaker, I certainly take umbrage with denial cf Representative Ronan's right to offer substitute Motion. I think that that's always in order the General Assembly, and I believe Roberts' Rules of Order clearly established that. Beyond that, since you're going to do that with the Chair, I rise in opposition concurrence in Senate Amendment #2 as well. If anybody would have any doubt about voting against the Amendment just on the basis of that very bad ruling, they ought to vote against the Amendment on the merits of the Amendment. It is an Amendment which would, in essence, grandfather people who apply radiation to other people. And if there shouldn't be some legitimate certification process for that, I can't imagine what there should be certification

- June 26, 1984
- for. I would urge a 'no' vote on the Motion to concur on this Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn, to close."
- Flinn: "I'd just ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2355?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 3 voting 'aye', 110 voting 'no', and 2 voting 'present'. And the Motion to concur fails. Representative Flinn?"
- Flinn: "Hadam Speaker, could I have permission to carry a gun, please? Madam Speaker, I would now move to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2355."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman... The Gentleman moves to nonconcur on Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2355. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House does nonconcur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2355. House Bill 2359, Representative Nelson. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2359, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning urban forestry programs, together with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nelson."
- Nelson: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2359. The original Bill was the Urban Forestry Assistance Act. There is no funding in the Bill. This is substantive legislation. It does provide a mechanism for eventually, when we have the funding in place, giving to municipalities that have a forestry

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

program matching grants up to 50% but not exceeding 10 thousand dollars to a municipality that develops a planned forestry program. Senate Amendment #1 does two things. It corrected an oversight in last year's Act and adds the word 'or' between 'timber buyer or person who uses timber produced on his own land'. And secondly, the Amendment adds that a timber buyer shall retain the books, accounts, records and papers used in the conduct of his business for a period of three years. That is presumably to ensure that the tax is correctly collected by the Department of Revenue, and I would move to concur."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2359. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2359?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those... Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I just wondered if the Sponsor might yield."

  Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question, yes."
- Cullerton: "I really have a question more about the original House Bill, and I'm not sure whether this was addressed.

  Did this have a fiscal impact? The Amendment #1 on the House Bill, did it have a fiscal impact?"
- Nelson: "In its original form, it had a fiscal impact but

  Amendment #2 removed that. It removed Section 7,

  Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Well, Amendment #2 provided that the grants under this Act would come from the General Sevenue Fund rather than the Illinois Forestry Development Fund."
- Nelson: "That's correct. We would have to, of course, make a specific appropriation for that purpose, and...."
- Cullerton: "How much money is coming from the Illinois Forestry

  Development Fund?"

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Nelson: "No money is coming from the Illinois Forestry

Development Fund."

Cullerton: "None. So this would just... This would say that if
we decided to fund it, it should come from the General
Revenue Funds."

Nelson: "That's correct."

Cullerton: "Okay. Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Arendment #1 to House Bill 2359?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2359. With leave of the Assembly, we will go back to House Bill 2334, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk C'Brien: "House Bill 2334, a Bill for an Act in relation to the mental health programs and services, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2334. The Bill deals with the community mental health clinics in Chicago that apply for grants in aid from Health and Department οf Mental Developmental Disabilities. And it's ensured that there would be a local community mental health board representative of the area to What the Amendment does is to be... to be served. that the ... First of all, it was recommended by the Illinois Association for Retarded Citizens. The Amendment ensures that applications submitted by private agencies operating within the city limits would not be subject It's only the... review. The board would only review grant applications by local public agencies.

- June 26, 1984
- good Amendment, and I would ask for concurrence in it."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2334. And on that question,
  the Lady from Cook, Representative Alexander."
- Alexander: "Thank you, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker). Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "He will yield for a question."
- Alexander: "John, to what extent is the city's allocation grant-in-aid affected by this Amendment?"
- Cullerton: "I don't think that it's affected at all. I think
  what the Bill did was to set up a Board that would review
  requests for grants in... in-aid. So it doesn't in any
  way affect the funding directly."
- Alexander: "This definitely would not affect the funding of the city's position at this time."
- Cullerton: "Okay. Let me... Let me just explain one more time what it does. Requires a community mental health clinic that apply for grants-in aid from the Department of Mental Health to obtain review and comment on such applications by a local community mental health board. And the Bill sets up who shall be included on that mental health board. Okay?"
- Alexander: "Could you..."
- Cullerton: "So that a local community mental health makes application for grants-in-aid. This sets up a board which reviews those grants-in-aid. The Amendment only makes it clear that it refers to grant applications by public agencies rather than private agencies."
- Alexander: "Then the... Are you saying that the City would have the right to... the communities themselves would appoint their own board or council to review these grants?"
- Cullerton: "Bell, no. It sets up one community mental health board that's representative... Oh, 1'm sorry. You're

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

right. Double-check and see how many boards there are. I
think it's... Let me just double-check. Yes, I'm sorry.
It is city wide. It's a city-wide board."

Alexander: "It would be a city-wide board. Who would the... be vested in to make the appointments to this board?"

Cullerton: "Mayor."

Alexander: "The mayor would have that power? Alright. Thank  $you_\bullet \ ^{\text{\tiny II}}$ 

Cullerton: "So I move for concurrence in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Amendment #1 to House Bill 2334. The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1? A11 those vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will On this question, there are 108 voting 'ave', 6 voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. And the House Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2334. concur in House Bill 2381, Representative Hanniq. Out of the record. House Bill 2388, Representative Ewing - Hastert - Woodyard. Out of the record. House Bill 2395, Representative Steczo. Representative Steczo. Out of the record. House Bill 2475, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a State Mandates Act Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2475, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to coroners, together with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I'd like to take it out of the record, please."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 2479,

Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2479, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 5."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

you very much, Madam Speaker. I move to concur Mautino: "Thank with the Senate action on House Bill 2479-The Senate Amendments that were added to the legislation was to allow for the manufacture of a candy with an alcohol base in protect Kankakee area to the environs of the 100 foot provision at the local level as it pertains to licenses within the 100 foot radius of a religious facility or church. And it included the Amendment by Representative (sic - Newhouse) allowing nonresident liquor dealers to warehouse their goods in Illinois and copartnerships whereby the license is authorized. The copartnership is eligible unless any other member would be ineligible for some other reason than residency, and I move for the concurrence in the Senate Amendments to House Bill

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the concurrence on Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 5 to House Bill 2479. And on that question, the Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask a question, please."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Pullen: "In Amendment #1 there is a change in the definition of adulterated food in... on page three lines eight and nine, to change the definition of adulterated food as respects confectionary if it is containing less than 5% rather than one-half of one percent alcohol derived solely from the use of flavoring extracts. Could you tell me, please, what kind of candy requires this change in the law to go from a half a percent to 5% alcohol?"

Mautino: "Yes, Representative. Basically what happens is it's very similar to cooking when you cook with sherry. You may put 5% sherry in the mixture which you're cooking, but it cooks down to less than one-tenth of one percent. That's

- June 26, 1984
- in the manufacture of the candy as I understand that particular provision. The original implementation is at 5%. The end product is like one-half of one percent, because it boils away the alcohol in the process of making the candy."
- Pullen: "But it doesn't say if it has had added to it 5%. It says containing 5%."
- Mautino: "That is only because the existing law says one half of one percent is what's authorized by statute. In order to get this down to one half of one percent, you must input 5% because it cooks away. Alcohol cooks away they tell me."
- Pullen: "Excuse me, Sir. It doesn't say if you input 5%. It says if it contains 5%. So that's the cooked down version, is it not? The way the Bill is actually worded."
- Mautino: "No, I... I believe that as presented to me in the Senate it was a Senate Amendment by the manufacturer of that candy in Kankakee County, that was the language that is necessary for that business to continue to operate, as I was informed by the manufacturer. I don't know much about making candy with booze, but..."
- Pullen: "Could you please tell me what kind of candy they're making in Kankakee County?"
- Mautino: "It's that... Have you ever had a cherry cordial candy, a grape cordial candy? That' what it is. It's a piece of chocolate with a filling in it. Okay? With a cherry and a grape, but it's blended with an alcohol base. There's also a rum, as I understand it, a rum candy. It's about... It's about 15 to 18 dollars a pound, this candy."
- Pullen: "So they're making alcoholic cherries in Kankakee County, huh?"
- Mautino: "Well, yes, but you've got to understand something. We have that same product in candy stores in Illinois. It's allowed to be exported in here because they make them in

- June 26. 1984
- Belgium. Marshall Fields has those candies. They're all made for... in other states and in other countries. This would allow them to be made in Illinois, no different than anything else."
- Pullen: "How many of these cherry candies would one have to eat before one could be picked up by Secretary of State Edgar?"
- Mautino: "There's one ounce of alcohol in ten pounds of candy.

  You'd probably have to eat 40 to 50 pounds of that candy to
  get maybe 5% alcohol."
- Pullen: "Thank you. I think that puts it in perspective."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Pangle."
- Pangle: "To answer the Representative's question, you have to eat at least 60 pounds of that candy, and it runs between 18 and 22 dollars a pound. And also, as Representative Mautino said, we export that candy into the State of... Illinois now, and if we can produce it in Manteno, we will provide at least 75 jobs for people in Kankakee County."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion... Excuse me.

  The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Brookins."
- Brookins: "Maybe the Representative from Kankakee will bring a couple of pounds of that candy so that we can see... judge it."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion,

  Representative Mautino to close."
- Mautino: "I just ask to move to concur with the Senate Amendments

  1, 2, 3 and 5 on House Bill 2479."
- Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 5 to House Bill 2479?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all vote who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion, there are 96 voting 'aye', 17 voting 'no' and 1 voting 'present', and the House

- June 26. 1984
- does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 5 to House
  Bill 2479. House Bill 2509, Representative Madigan Levin. Representative Levin. Clerk. read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2509, a Bill for Act in relation to senior citizens and certain boards and Commissions, together with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would nove that the House do concur to Senate Amendments! and 2 to House Bill 2509. House Bill 2509 is the Bill that puts senior citizen..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative. Representative

  Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"
- Cullerton: "Representative Levin, could you take this out of the record for just one moment, please? Come right back to it."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. House Bill 2513,

  Representative Karpiel. Clerk, read the Bill. Oh, out of
  the record. House Bill 2516, Representative Nelson.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2516, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code, together with Senate Amendment #1."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nelson."
- Nelson: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Tadies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur with Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2516. Senate Amendment #1 addresses a concern that was raised when it got over there that perhaps only a portion of a fiscal year might be used for the basis of determining fair market value. This has to do with a House Amendment that was put onto this Bill which allows that the fair market value of a water system can be determined by the capitalization of income method

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

when a condemnation proceedings is in process. The Senate Amendment only adds the words, 'for the preceding fiscal year', which is perfectly reasonable. And Representative Cullerton had raised a question about this the last time we were on the Order of Concurrence, but we have cleared up with staff. Furthermore, the underlying Bill another 'tree' Bill which authorized municipalities to establish ioint long-term programs with other municipalities and enter into long-term contracts in order to get a better deal. And I'd be glad to answer any I move to concur." questions.

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendment #1

to House Bill 2516. And on that guestion, is there any
discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative
Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Tuerk: "As I recall, in 1983, there was some Bill floating around that had the overtones of this particular... the Amendments that have been put on about using a part of the earnings as a basis for establishing the worth of a company and so forth. Is that the same concept as was prevalent a year ago?"

Nelson: "Yes, you are correct. The Sponsor of the Amendment is

Representative Hastert, and 1°d be glad to yield to him if

you have further questions on it. But he advises me,

Representative Tuerk, that this is an agreed Amendment."

Tuerk: "Well, agreed by whom?"

Nelson: "I... The water companies."

Tuerk: "All water companies?"

Nelson: "The Association."

Tuerk: "I see."

Nelson: "And it is permissive."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Tuerk: "What do you mean it's permissive?"

Nelson: "The language... Well, I mean the language of the Amendment says the fair cash market value acquired under this division and so on, and so on, may be determined in accordance with the following valuation principles, may be determined."

Tuerk: "I see. Well, I had forgotten all the particulars about the Bill because it's been over a year ago. But as I remember then, there were some serious questions about it. I opposed the Bill then, and I think I'll continue to oppose it now based on some of the knowledge that I had a year ago. I'm not completely up to date as to what has transpired during that interim period."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from McHeury, Representative Klemm-"

Klemm: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "She will yield for a question."

Klemm: "I... I guess the same guestions that Representative Tuerk is asking I would have to ask too. What prohibits a water company now from working out any agreement with a municipality for whatever value they wish to determine without having a mandate another way which they could use voluntarily?"

Nelson: "I don't know the answer to that, Representative Klemm.

Let me yield to Representative Hastert, because it was his

Amendment, if I may."

Klemm: "Fine."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert to answer the question."

Hastert: "It needed statutory authorization to do it."

Klemm: "To do... To do what? To just sit down and determine how much a company is worth? They have to... They have to spell out how they arrived at those figures?"

Hastert: "Yes."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Klemm: "Unbelievable. Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2516?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion, there are 105 voting 'aye', 5 voting 'no' and 4 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2516. With leave of the Assembly, I'd like to go back to a Bill that we missed, House Bill 2040, page six on your Calendar, Representative Younge. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2040 amends the Environmental Protection

Act, concurring with Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker and Members of I move to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2. Senate Amendments #1 and 2 delete the language that came over from the House. This Bill amends the Environmental Protection Act. The language that came from the House made it impossible to site a regional pollution control facility in a 100 year flood plain. The Senate in Amendment 1 to make the law that after the local siting changed that approval has been approved by the local municipality. if the applicant does not apply for a development permit to the EPA within two years. that approval will lapse. Amendment #2 states that, and was put in by the... by the Pollution Control Board, that the Department of Energy and Natural Resources would environmental research support for the Pollution Control Board. And I concur in both Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2040. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2040?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 84 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2040. With leave of the Assembly, I would now like to go to House Bill 2475, Representative Cullerton, on page seven on your Calendar. Hr. Clerk, read the Bill. This is a State Mandates Act Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2475 amends an Act to revise the law in relationship to coroners, concurring in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Perhaps the first thing I should do is to point out that the Department of Commerce Community Affairs has issued a State Mandates Act fiscal note indicating that this Bill does not come under the Mandates Act because it does not require State expenditure of any additional funds whatsoever. Amendment is not controversial. It prohibits a coroner from performing an autopsy. I would be happy to answer any questions, and I would move for the concurrence of Senate Amendment #1 to House Eill 2475."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate

Amendment #1 to House Bill 2475. And on that guestion, the

Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a guestion."

Vinson: "Yes, Representative, would you explain to us just what

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

coroners are going to do in this world if they're prohibited from performing autopsies?"

Cullerton: "Yes, I would ask Representative Davis if he could answer that question. I would yield to Representative Davis who will tell you what the coroners... You know, in Cook County we don't have a coroner, so perhaps it's inappropriate for me to answer the question."

Vinson: "I'll withdraw my question."

Cullerton: "Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Well, thank you, Madam Speaker. I'll rise in support the Amendment simply because the Coroners' Association has talked to my about that. There's an Attorney General's opinion that it's bad public policy and that coroners ought to do their own autopsies with their own hands on for obvious reasons. There are... There are about 36 coroners in the state, only two of whom are physicians and currently do not do their own autopsies, but have other physicians or forensic pathologists do them. The Attorney General's... opinion states that it is indeed had policy because if indeed a coroner was inclined if a guy walks in with bullet holes in him and he's inclined to do natural causes it thwarts the law enforcement community for whatever reason. And so they suggested that this change would be... would be good for the Attorney General's opinion to be put into law. It's really as simple as that, Sam."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a couple of questions, please."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Pullen: "Is the underlying Bill still in it, or does the Senate

Amendment remove the underlying..."

Cullerton: "Yes, and I will explain the underlying Bill. It

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

provides that a juror shall be paid up to ten dollars per inquest but no more than 40 dollars per day for his services. And again, it does not affect Cook County. It changes the current law from a strict per diem amount of ten dollars per day to one based on the number of inquests served with the per diem ceiling of up to ten dollars per inquest and 40 maximum per day. So it doesn't..."

Pullen: "You said for a juror. You mean for a coroner's juror?"
Cullerton: "Only a coroner's inquiry."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Cullerton: "Madam Speaker, if I could close on the..."

Speaker Breslin: "Please do."

Cullerton: As... Perhaps I can also clarify Senate "Right. Amendment even further by indicating to Vinson that the point is that the actual coroner himself is bluow cdw be precluded under the Amendment from performing the autopsy of ... Certainly his office still would perform the autopsy. And it's basically a clean hands for coroner Amendment, so they don't have to do these autopsies and have a question as to whether or not who are in charge of the actual performance of their... their fine office, make sure that there's no collusion. therefore, I would think it's a very good Amendment that the Senate has put on the Bill, and I would move to concur with the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2476 (sic - 2475)?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'.

Voting is open. House Bill 2475. Have all voted who wish?

The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion, there are 112 voting 'aye', I voting 'no' and I voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2475. House Bill 2560, Representative Bowman.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Representative Bowman. Clerk, read the Bill. Excuse me.

Representative Van Duyne, for what reason do you rise?"

Van Duyne: "What happened to 2534 and 2542?"

Speaker Breslin: "Would you come to the podium, please?

Representative Bowman on House Bill 2560."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker ... "

Clerk Leone: "House... House Bill 2560, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act, concurring in Senate Amendments 1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2560. The underlying Bill establishes a Pharmaceutical Assistance Program for senior citizens and disabled persons. We've passed program... similar program once before, and this particular Bill has passed the House with a vote of 96 to The ... When it got over to the Senate, there was a ... a technical Amendment put on that solved... resolved some remaining problems with the pharmacists. For example, it requires a drug formulary indicating the specific products to be covered. Requires a survey of dispensing fees and costs be done on an annual basis. Limits dosages to days only except for reasons of medical utilization control as indicated by rule. Clarifies the generic drug pricing policy will apply if that type of product is available, and makes clarifications in terminology. As a further attempt to try to respond to people concerned about the cost of the program. Senate Amendment 2 limits the program to cardiovascular preparations. And I believe that with a new program of this sort, it is appropriate to begin with a small targeted population and build. And I think that this is a wise approach. So I now move that we concur in

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2560."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate
Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2560. And on that
question, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative
Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes. Madam Speaker, I rise in opposition to Gentleman's Motion for concurrences on House Bill 2560. The Bill has been very substantially changed by the Senate Amendments. The fees for pharmacies now are somehow placed on a floating basis. They can increase. The prescription drugs permitted are decreased so that. for insulin is no longer permissible under this Bill. would certainly seem to me that you have a very fundamental equal protection problem if you're going to take care of some drugs for some people but not insulin for diabetics. I would strongly urge that the Assembly remember what the cost of this kind of program is and that it's been worse in the Senate, probably by, in the one hand, by some special interest and on the other hand, bу somehody who doesn't like diabetics. And I think that that's wrong, and we all ought to be voting against this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman, to close."

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen I'm really very surprised at the Gentleman from It seems to me that this is one of the few times I can remember that he has gotten to his feet and arqued against a cost control measure. The Amendment that was adopted in the Senate was done in a good faith effort respond to concerns about the cost of the program. believe that what we have done here is to program to those senior citizens who have debilitating heart conditions. Now what we're talking about here is

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

heart disease, Ladies and Gentlemen, people who have heart attacks, who have hypertension and who are in danger of having heart attacks. These... This... These people are... lives are very much threatened. Their lives are hanging in the balance. We need to put this program on the books so that we can help protect our senior citizens who are being threatened by heart disease. And I think the Gentleman as being very callous in his remarks, and I urge us just to disregard him and go ahead and vote for this Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2560? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted is open. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 100 voting 'aye', 11 voting 1001 and 2 voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2560-Rouse Bill 2566, Representative Wojcik. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2566 amends the Illinois Plumbing
License Law, concurring in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Wojcik."

Wojcik: "Yes, Mr. (sic - Hadam) Speaker and Members of the House, I move to concur with Senate Bill ... Amendment #1. This is the Amendment that I told you would be placed on the and has been agreed to by all parties. What the Amendment states is that it provides that persons who are not licensed plumbers, customarily perform who certain installation, repair or maintenance work on service lines and water meters prior to the effective date of this may continue to perform such work, and that licensed plumbers who customarily performed any such work prior to the effective date of this Act must continue to perform such work. Many, many hours have been put into this Bill,

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

and many meetings were made and had, and the Bill finally is in form for all concerned. All municipalities now have a status quo as it was before. I move..."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady... The Lady moves to concur in Senate

  Amendment #1 to House Bill 2566. And on that question, the

  Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Well, I just want to compliment the Sponsor. I know she has gone through many hours on this particular Bill. I know what you went through. Some of us went through that last year, and what you are doing by this Amendment is really following through with the legislative intent that we try to put on the floor of the Bouse, but now we are doing it statutorily. And I would urge unanimous approval of this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative
Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a guestion?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady will yield for a question."

Tuerk: "I only have one clarifying question. You mention the status quo would apply. This would also apply to the privately owned water companies who operate within a municipality. Is that correct?"

Wojcik: "Yes, that is correct."

Tuerk: "The status quo would apply?"

Wojcik: "Status quo."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Thank you. Maybe my guestion has been answered, but would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Lady will yield for a question."

Alexander: "Does the Senate Amendment means that unless I was a licensed plumber, I would not be entitled to work even though I may have had the experience... expertise as an

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

unlicensed plumber?"

Wojcik: "No. If you are a licensed plumber, you would be welcomed on the job. As it stands now, if you are on the job as an unlicensed plumber, it is okay also."

Alexander: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2566? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? open. The Clerk will take the record. On this question, are 112 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 1 voting The House, therefore, does concur in 'present'. Senate #1 to House Bill 2566. House Bill 2574. Representative Brummer. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2574 amends the School Construction Bond Act, concurring in Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "House Bill 2574, as it left this chamber, amended School Construction Bond Act, reduced the debt service by six million dollars and authorized the issuance of million dollars of bonds for grants to school districts for rehabilitation of school buildings condenned by regional superintendents of schools prior to January 1, 1984. passed the House here with 108 votes. The Senate added two The second Amendment increased that amount by three million dollars. Was placed on by Senator Laura Kent-Donahue to address a problem at Beardstown, Illinois, in Representative Mays' district. Senate Amendment #1, placed on by Senator Demuzio at the request of the Illinois Farm Development Authorizy Act. lowered the Farm Development Authority Act's bond set aside for the agri business loans without decreasing the overall bonding authorization of the Illinois Farm Development Authority

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Act thereby making additional funds available for the first farmer program, or the New Farmer Program. The reason for the reduction in the... set aside for the agri business loans and... was because there has not been a large number of applications for that Program, and they are short of funds with regard to the New Farmer Program. It's a... an Amendment at the request of the... of that Agency, that Parm Development Authority, and I would move to concur in both Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2574-And on that the question. Gentleman from Cook. Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Frequently when candidates run for statewide office. they make as their theme. \*Bringing the state together. There is no upstate. There is no downstate. It's all one big happy family. I think sometimes when we do favors for each other like passing this particular that. helps Edwards County and then in a Senate Amendment that helps Beardstown, it's nice to reflect that though the Representatives from Chicago frequently don't have requests like this for bridges and rehabilitating school districts and buildings, and it's nice to reflect on well we work together and how well we cooperate with each other. And I think it's appropriate when we vote this Bill that we remind our friends from throughout the state that we are in favor of their projects which help them in their own community."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2574?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 96 voting 'aye', 19 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2574. House Bill 2598, Representative Capparelli. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2598 amends the Illinois Housing Development Act, concurring in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I do concur with the four Amendments from the Senate. Amendment #1 makes it clear will always make available the number of units for low and moderate income as required bv federal 2 makes it clear that the energy efficiency techniques and building materials should be available the low and moderate income. Amendment #3 requires the Authority adopt these rules regarding energy standards no later than January 1st, 1986. And Amendment 4 provides that IHDA investments and certain investment instruments for the sole purpose of hedging to reduce the financial risks associated with the fluctuations of I do concur with House (sic - Senate) Amendments !. 2, 3 and 4."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 on House Bill 2598. And on that question, is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to House Bill 2598?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 111 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' and 3 voting 'present', and the

140th Legislative Day

Bill."

June 26, 1984

House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 to
House Bill 2598. House Bill 2657, Representative Barnes.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2657 amends the Capital Development Bond Act, concurring in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move not to concur in Senate Amendment #! to House Bill 2657." Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2657. And on that question, is there any discussion? The being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2657?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Senate non... the House. rather. nonconcurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2657. House Bill 2666, Representative Mautino. Clerk, read the

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2666 amends an Act in relationship to licensing and regulating certain games of chance, concurring in Senate Amendments #1 and 2."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. I move to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2666. In original form, this authorized the Nonprofit Voluntary Business Organizations to hold raffle and chances and licensed under that provision. Amendment #1 by Senator Bloom absolves the... that the lessor of the premises used to conduct the raffle from criminal liability in the event the organization conducting the raffle is unlicensed. And Amendment #2 is by Senator Bloom and Watson that adds to the Bill a minor revision to the revenue distribution formula in the personal property tax replacement so that

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

townships which receive replacement tax revenues in proportion to amounts formerly levied under the abolished personal property tax for the care and maintenance of public graveyards must remit these proportionate amounts to the graveyards for use... these revenues... for the use... for the graveyard purposes. And that's basically what it does, and I move for the concurrence of Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2666."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2666. On that question. is there any discussion? There being no discussion, question is, 'Shall this House concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2666? All those in favor 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. this question, there are 112 voting 'aye', 2 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and this House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2666. House Bill 2684, Representative Nash. Clerk, read the Bill. This is a State Mandates Act Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2684 amends the Illinois Public Aid Code, concurring in Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2684.

Senate Amendment #1 was an Amendment that was put on this Bill by the Department of Public Aid. It tightens up the language."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate
Amendment #1 to House Bill 2684. And on that question, is
there any discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago,
Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Question of the Sponsor, Madam Speaker.

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Representative Nash, where is the language tightened?"

Nash: "What it did, the income district... or it applies to the individual and not to the amount the family gets. As the Bill left the House, the language was a little loose, so Public Aid put an Amendment on which tightened it up and it allows an individual rather than the amount the family receives in public aid."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Vinson: "Representative, the... as I understand the import...

You're moving to concur. Is that correct? And that would
be final action on this Bill. Is that correct? And as I
understand the import of the Bill, we're going to disregard
certain income, general assistance recipients, for
determining whether they're eligible for the grant. Is
that correct?"

Nash: "In its present form... As the Bill left the House, what it does, it permits a welfare recipient to earn the difference between 144 and 286, which is 142 dollars, for a three month period only within a one year. In other words, a welfare recipient goes out and gets a job that earns 142 dollars..."

Vinson: "Well, this says that if they... if they go out and get a job and get some income, this encourages them to do that?"

Nash: "Let me finish."

Vinson: "That's what it's all about?"

Nash: "Let me finish. Let me finish."

Vinson: "It's a workfare Bill?"

Nash: "Let me finish, Sam."

Vinson: "Okay."

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Nash: "If they go out and they get a job and then they get laid off, they won't be knocked off. What the Amendment does, it tightens that up to... to reflect only an individual and not a family. It was the Fublic Aid Department's Amendment."

Vinson: "What I'm not sure of... Does this let them... Does this disregard the sum amount of income that they earn on the job or does this disregard..."

Nash: "The sum amount of income they earn on the job. Right now Public Aid permits welfare recipients to go out and earn an additional 65 dellars a month."

Vinson: "So this is basically a workfare concept."

Nash: "Yes."

Vinson: "I'm not sure why I should be against this. I think I'm for this."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Representative Nash is recognized to close."

Nash: "I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Fill 2684?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 85 voting 'aye', 28 voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2684. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2692, Representative Olson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 2692 is an Act concerning the Department of Conservation, concurring in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

I move to concur in Senate Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2692. This is a conveyance vehicle that moved out of here on a 101 to 1 vote relative to moving some property to the Church of God in Oregon. the fair market value at 3400 dollars, and Senate Amendment put in place in the Executive Committee affirmed that. Senate Amendment #2 deals with the conveyance by quick claim deed of some property to the Bristel-Kendall Cemetery in the amount of one dollar. It actually was an old borrow pit on which the Cemetery Association is prepared to put in some fill and utilize it. Amendment #3, the Department of Conservation is authorized to lease in whole or in part the City of Kankakee or its successors or assigns for a period not to exceed 60 years the Kankakee River Illinois, and the necessary state-owned land, surplus waters in their purposes for hydro-powered Representative developed. Pangle was prepared to offer this Amendment if necessary. I move for the adoption of the concurrence Motion on House Bill 2692."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to concur in Senate
Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2692. And on that
question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative
Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Olson: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "The Sponsor will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative, if you could speak up a little bit,

I'd appreciate it."

Olson: "Yes."

Cullerton: "The... The House Bill authorizes the Department of
Conservation to convey a parcel of land currently in its
possession to the Oregon Church of God in Oregon, Illinois;
and, as we passed it, it was for no less than the fair

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

market value of the property. Is that correct?"

Olson: "Correct."

- Cullerton: "Then the Senate amended the Bill and deleted that

  Section that said the property will be sold for the fair

  market value and specified that it will be sold for 3400

  dollars."
- Olson: "The appraisals came in at an average of 2800 plus 600 dollars for moving it, or a total of 3400. They formalized the appraisals that we offered in Executive."
- Cullerton: "And what was the date of the appraisals?"
- Olson: "I don't have the exact date. Approximately the middle of April."
- Cullerton: "Well, what do you think of the propriety of changing from... selling it for the fair market value, which seems to make sense the way you drafted the Bill to having a fixed amount of how much the land is actually worth as of whenever the Bill gets signed?"
- Olson: "Well, it was based on the assessments which we... we gave them the same appraisals that we had over here. They decided to put a fair amount value on it."
- Cullerton: "But what do you... if you can answer my question, isn't it... isn't the Bill better the way you drafted it to say it shall sell for fair market value?"
- Olson: "The Senate, in its wisdom, sought to amend it..."
- Cullerton: "Well, we know about the Senate's wisdom. That's why

  I'm asking why you're concurring with the Amendment.

  Alright. How about Senate Amendment #2 which, in their

  wisdom, they authorized the conveyance of property from the

  Department of Conservation to the Bristol-Kendall Cemetery

  Committee for cemetery purposes?"

Olson: "Yes."

Cullerton: "This sounds like it's in Representative... Is this a cemetery for animals or people?"

140th Legislative Day June 26, 1984

Olson: "No, it's going to be for people. At the moment, it's a borrow pit, which is not worth..."

Cullerton: "A what?"

Olson: "A borrow, B-O-R-R-O-W, not barrow, but borrow pit."

Cullerton: "Is this in Representative Hastert's district?"

Olson: "It's in Representative Hastert's district."

Cullerton: "What is a borrow pit?"

Olson: "That's a place where they borrowed ground from and take the ground someplace else. That's a borrow pit."

Cullerton: "And that's not called a lake?"

Olson: "No, there's... It's not filled with water. It's just an empty hole in the ground."

Cullerton: "I see. And we're going to... we're going to fill that up with bodies?"

Olson: "Eventually, as soon as we put some dirt in there... with the bodies."

Cullerton: "Now, what is the appraised value of that hole in the ground?"

Olson: "A dollar."

Cullerton: "That's the appraised value?"

Olson: "That's right. An appraisal wasn't necessary because of the conveyance method."

Cullerton: "The appraisal may not be required by our... by our law, but... or the Senate, but this... You can still get land in Illinois for a dollar?"

Olson: "If you saw this borrow pit, you might only pay 75 cents, Representative."

Cullerton: "How... A dollar a hole."

Olson: "A dollar a hole. I've lost a lot of ... for a dollar."

Cullerton: "How big is this hole? How big is this hole?"

Olson: "It's very large."

Cullerton: "How many acres?"

Olson: "It's a huge hole. Representative Hastert said it's a 150

- June 26, 1984
- yards by 37 yards. That would be the size of a football field."
- Cullerton: "For a dollar."
- Olson: "For a dollar. It's a very good value."
- Cullerton: "And if they don't use it for cemetery purposes, we're giving the state the right of entry?"
- Olson: "They're going to get back a hole in the ground."
- Cullerton: "Well, does the state get it back, or do we just have the right to go into the hole?"
- Olson: "Well, we've been going in the hole in this Assembly for years."
- Cullerton: "Well, really, I just wondered... I just wondered if... if... Do we get the land back? Does the state get the ownership back, or do we just have the right to..."
- Olson: "They would get it back, if they decide not to put some bodies in that hole."
- Cullerton: "I see. Does this... Does this have anything to do with landfills?"
- Olson: "Nothing to do with landfills. That would be unsanitary.

  We'd have to call it a hazardous waste."
- Cullerton: "I see. Well, it sounds like another program for downstate, doesn't it?"
- Olson: "It is a program for downstate, something that they're very deserving of."
- Cullerton: "Fine. Well, it sounds like a good program to help out certain individual Representatives in the state, proving once again there is no downstate there is no upstate. It's just all one big, happy state."
- Olson: "I'm happy to have your support, Representative Cullerton.

  I'd appreciate your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from... The Gentleman from Hill, Representative Van Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Thank you. Would the Gentleman yield for a guestion

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

or for a request, really?"

Olson: "Yes."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield."

Van Duyne: "Would... I see in our synopsis, the new ones, that it authorizes the Department of Conservation to convey the land along side the Kankakee Biver Cam in Kankakee for a hydrological energy plant. Would you be amisble to amend this on its face to include and Wilmington"?"

Olson: "I have no problem with that. At this late date, might have a concern."

Van Duyne: "Don't say you can't."

Olson: "I didn't say that ... "

Van Duyne: "You can do anything you want to if you have leave, as

I understand it."

Olson: "If we do that, we'd have to go back to the Senate. I would move for passage in its present form."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the House concur Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2692? those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. This is final passage. Have all voted who The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no' and And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 2692. and this Bill. received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Ladies and Gentlemen, we have been operating the Order of Concurrences. In those Amendments that we have concurred in and where there were no nonconcurrences. final that was action. Then where there was a Constitutional Majority, those Bills were declared passed. Agreed Resolutions. Representat..."

Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1115, Kulas; 1116, Topinka; 1118, Wojcik - Karpiel; 1119, Mautino; 1120, McGann - Keane; and

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

1121, Virginia Frederick."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, 1115, by Kulas, memorializes Congress to establish a Commission on the Ukraine Famine: Topinka, congratulates the Congo Men's Club: 1118. by Wojcik - Karpiel, tells us Schaumburg was honored by the Governor's Home Town Award: 1119, by Mautino, tells of the appointment of a marshal for their parade; 1120, by McGann heralds a police retirement; and Virginia Frederick's 1121 really tells us someone was married for 75 years. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions." Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair... Excuse me. Representative Vinson, on this Motion?

Vinson: "Will the Gentleman yield for questions?"

Representative Vinson."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question on the Agreed Resolutions."

Vinson: "Did that first Resolution have something to do with a Commission, did you say?"

Giorgi: "The first Resolution memorializes Congress to establish

a Commission to study the Ukrainian Famine."

Vinson: "The what?"

Giorgi: "The Ukrainian Famine."

Vinson: "The what?"

Giorgi: "Ukrainian Famine."

Vinson: "Okay. Secondly, is there anything in any of these things that affects the Chicago Skyway?"

Giorgi: "No, just sky hooks."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall the Agreed Resolutions be passed?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those

140th Legislative Day

June 26. 1984

opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Besolutions are adopted."

- Speaker Breslin: "Death Resolutions."
- Clerk Leone: "House Resolution 1117, LeFlore, in the respect to the memory of Elizabeth Abram."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich moves for the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Death Resolution is adopted. Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank vou. Madam Speaker. During the time that my voting box was being soldered. we voted on five appropriation Bills, all of which I voted 'yes' on. T've been shown a Roll Call on 1592, the SIU appropriation. which recorded me as voting 'no'. I have confirmed this with the Gentleman who was doing the soldering confirmed that I did indeed press green on all of those. I would like... as leave of the Body to be recorded as 'aye' on Senate Bill 1592. The Gentleman asks leave to be recorded as voting 'aye' on Senate Bill 1592. Rearing no objections, the Gentleman will be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Matijevich on the ... Representative Mautino. for what reason do you rise?"
- Mautino: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I had the same request for 2560. I did not have the opportunity to vote on it. It would not change the outcome, and I would like leave to be voted 'aye' on 2560."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, the only reason we have done this for Representative Hawkinson is because of the problem with the electronics. We will note your... your remarks for the record. Representative Matijevich on the Adjournment Resolution."

Matijevich: "Not on the Resolution ... "

140th Legislative Day

June 26, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "On the Motion."

Natijevich: "On the good news, I now move that the House do stand adjourned until tomorrow, Wednesday, June 26th, is it, or 27th... 27th at 10:00 a.m."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves that this House stand adjourned until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Motion is carried. This House is adjourned until 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning."

DOCUMENT NAME REQ STORE PRINT COMMAND DATE COPY WIDTH DEPTH ERROR

T062684 9 0 pj 09/25/84 1 66 78

10/02/94 09:00

.

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

JUNE 26, 1984

	•		
HR-0877	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	91
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	103
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	94
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	132
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	133
	CONCURRENCE		
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	137
		PAGE	139
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	164
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	143
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	149
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	155
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	150
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	153
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	94
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	96
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	165
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	157
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	161
HB-2560	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	168
HB-2566	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	170
HB-2574	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	172
HB-2576	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	96
HB-2598	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	174
HB-2657	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	175
HB-2666	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	175
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	176
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	178
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	105
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	97
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	100
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	100
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	101
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	102
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	106
	CONCURRENCE	PAGE	104
	SECOND READING		
	SECOND READING	PAGE	8
	RECALLED	PAGE	8
	RECALLED	PAGE	107
	SECOND READING	PAGE	109
		PAGE	19
	SECOND READING SECOND READING	PAGE	74
		PAGE	20
	RECALLED	PAGE	129
	RECALLED	PAGE	110
	RECALLED	PAGE	111
	RECALLED	PAGE	113
	SECOND READING	PAGE	22
	RECALLED	PAGE	113
	SECOND READING	PAGE	29
	RECALLED	PAGE	115
	RECALLED	PAGE	118
	SECOND READING	PAGE	25
	SECOND READING	PAGE	32
	SECOND READING	PAGE	33
SB-1573	RECALLED	PAGE	121
	SECOND READING	PAGE	34
	RECALLED	PAGE	122
	SECOND READING	PAGE	46
	SECOND READING	PAGE	48
SB-1593		PAGE	86
	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	54
SB-1587		PAGE	54
	THIRD READING	PAGE	123
	THIRD READING	PAGE	123
SB-1592	THIRD READING	PAGE	124

10/02/84 09:00

4 to .

# STATE OF ILLINOIS 93RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

JUNE 26, 1984

SB-159	3 THIRD READING	PAGE	125	
SB-159	4 THIRD READING	PAGE	125	
SB-159	5 SECOND READING	PAGE	54	
SB-159	5 SECOND READING	PAGE	131	
SB-159	5 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	59	
SB-159	7 SECOND READING	PAGE	59	
SB-159	9 SECOND READING	PAGE	61	
SB-160	O SECOND READING	PAGE	68	
SB-160	9 SECOND READING	PAGE	68	
SB-161	O SECOND READING	PAGE	70	
SB-162	1 THIRD READING	PAGE	126	
SB- 164	9 SECOND READING	PAGE	72	
SB-165	4 THIRD READING	PAGE	128	
SB-165	6 SECOND READING	PAGE	73	
SB-189		PAGE	116	
HR-110		PAGE	3	
			-	

#### SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND SIMON A. SIMON	PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	2
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	2
MESSAGE FROM SENATE	PAGE	2
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	6
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	7
RECESS	PAGE	91
HOUSE RECONVENES - REP. GREIMAN IN CHAIR	PAGE	92
REPRESENTATIVE BRESLIN IN CHAIR	PAGE	110
AGREED RESOLUTIONS	PAGE	183
DEATH RESOLUTION	PAGE	185
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	186