133rd Legislative Day

Piel:

"Yes.

Mr.

Speaker.

June 13, 1984

Speaker McPike: "The House will come to order. Members will be in their chairs. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend Wayne Meyer, Pastor of First Christian Church of Watseka. Reverend Meyer is a guest of Representative Chuck Pangle. Will the guests in the balcony please rise and join us in the invocation?"

Reverend Meyer: "Oh God, we stand in reverence before You with our heads bowed, and by this physical act we declare that You are involved in the destiny of humankind. We realize are privileged to live in the United States of America and proud to live in Illinois. By fate, hard work or luck. in this chamber to continue the magnificent experiment called democracy. Oh God, we don't always feel good about being here. We have said things about those who tried to defeat us that are not true. We have not voted the way we know we should have, and unquestionably our families have had to endure difficulties because we chose the political road. Neverless, with Your help, Oh God, there may be times in which our conscience and moral integrity will rise and we will again know not only that we are politicians but statesmen and women as well. Lastly, Oh God, I give thanks that I have the honor of leading these people in prayer who understand so fully the concept of public servant. Amen."

Speaker McPike: "Be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."

Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. 117 Members answering the Roll Call, a guorum is present. Representative Piel."

would

the

record

show

that

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Representative Neff is excused because of illness."

Speaker McPike: "Yes. Thank you. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "No messages from the Senate."

Speaker McPike: "Committee Reports."

- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Leverenz. Chairman Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1984, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass as amended' Senate Bills 1549. 1552. 1556 1565. and Representative Bowman. Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 12, 1984, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'Do pass' Senate Bills 1573, 1591, 1593, 1594, 1599 and 1654; 'do pass as amended. Senate Bills 1590, 1592 and Senate Bill 1600. further Committee Reports."
- Speaker McPike: "Approval of the Journal, Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal, and that Journals numbered 69-113 inclusive be approved as read."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves to dispense with the reading of the Journals, and that Journals 69 through 113 be approved as read. And on that, the Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Would you... I would yield to Representative Vinson at this point."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the maker of the Motion yield for a question?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes, he indicates he will."
- Vinson: "Representative, can you tell me roughly what dates those

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Journals cover?"

Greiman: "I can't, but the Clerk can provide that for us."

Speaker McPike: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "June 23, 1983 through May 3, 1984,"

Vinson: "Okay. Mr. Clerk, can you tell me if the Committee established by the Speaker to review the Journals has approved those Journals?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Well, it's my understanding that copies of the Journals were provided to you, Mr. Vinson and to Mr. Friedrich, your Conference Chairman. And that you... There was a request that there be notations made if there were objections to them and none have been given to the Clerk. Is that... Is that correct understanding? This was several, I guess, two or three weeks ago. Is that correct?"

Vinson: "It was my understanding that a number of corrections had been made as a result of the review."

Greiman: "Well, it's our understanding that perhaps they were, but they were made in the solitude of, perhaps, your own office and not communicated to the Clerk. We don't have a divining stick to know what those were. If you... Now I would certainly be more than happy to, if you want, to take this out of the record if you have some that you can give us and the Clerk can look at them. That's perfectly alright, but we have not... The Clerk advises me that we have not received any notations, corrections or additions to the Journal."

- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could take this out of the record for a few minutes then, at least, please."
- Speaker McPike: "Certainly. Out of the record. Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would be prepared to go ahead with Mr. Greiman's Motion at this point if the Chair would so

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

desire."

- Speaker McPike: "Thank you. Then, Representative Greiman, would you renew your Motion?"
- Greiman: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would move that we dispense with the reading of the Journal and that Journals numbered 69 through 113 inclusive be approved as read."
- Speaker McPike: "You have heard the Motion to dispense with the reading of the Journal, to approve Journals 69 through 113 as read. Is there any objection to using the Attendance Roll Call? Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I don't object to using the Attendance I would like to make a couple of comments. is that, as a Member of this Committee, we... we have nat met-I think it's ... it's unfortunate that accumulate this many Journals without approval. There were a number of errors that were made in the Journal as you have it printed. In fairness, the Clerk has made some corrections, but some of those were rather wild things in the first Journal in that whole Conference Committee Reports were left out and other things. So if you'd been reading the Journal, it was not accurate. Our ... The Clerk of the House has been diligent in trying to correct these. One of the most flagrant one was, and in fairness I can't honestly blame this onto the Clerk, was the House Committee Energy, Environment and Natural Resources where Resolution which is on the Calendar now was voted out by a voice vote, and we have a Motion to put that back in Committee so it can be handled in a proper manner. But I think the Journal which is a final record, and once approve it as you know the courts have sustained that that's what happened regardless of what else was said. I think this is a very important function, and I would hope that we would have more frequent meetings and that we would

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

not have to approve this many Journals at one time. But I... We will be pressing the Motion to put House Resolution 564 back in Committee, even though the... And in fairness to the Clerk, the Committee Clerk was the one in error, but... and the Clerk of the House had no jurisdiction over that part, so I don't want to blame him for that."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

- Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I... to set the record straight, having been the of that Committee, I would hope Representative Friedrich would go back to that Resolution created that Committee. I\*ve served in the Legislature as Chairman of Committee and have always, always lived up to my responsibilities. The intent of that Committee was never to be a Committee to approve Journals. So if you're expecting me to call a Committee to approve Journals, forget it. That was not the intent of the Committee. I have always taken my responsibilities religiously and will continue to every responsibility I have. You had better go look at that Resolution. That wasn't the business of our Committee.\*
- Speaker McPike: "You have heard the Motion. All those in favor of the Motion signify by saying "aye", opposed "no". The 'ayes' have it, and the Motion carries. On page nine of the Calendar, Consent Calendar Second Reading, Second Day.

  Read the Bills, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O\*Brien: "Consent Calendar Second Reading, Second Day.

  Senate Bill 1381, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning the Chain O\* Lakes Fox River Waterways.

  Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1456, a Bill for an Act in relation to the computation of the principal and interest payments on certain bonds. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1457, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

in relation to state monies. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1518, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate solicitation and collection οf funds charitable purposes. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1602, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Eill 1655, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to law enforcement agencies concerning lost, missing and runaway children. Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1659, a Bill for an Act to authorize municipalities, counties and townships to appropriate funds to private nonprofit organizations servicing runaway youths. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1801, a Bill for an Act to provide for the use of gas transmission facilities for private energy entities together with Committee Amendment #1. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1862, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Bill 1938, a Bill for an Act to amend the Senate Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1944, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the labeling of equipment and facilities concerning hazardous waste materials. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1953, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Hazardous Material Railroad Transportation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1954, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. Representative Breslin in the Chair."

Speaker Breslin: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1039, Madigan - McGann and Keane; House Resolution 1040, Byder; 1041, McNamara; 1042, Barger. And House Joint Resolution 161, DiPrima - et al."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 1039, Madigan, congratulates Margaret Halpin on her 90th birthday; House Resolution 1040, Ryder, commends the people of Barry: Rouse Resolution McNamara. congratulates Lawrence and Pauline Buckley on their 50th wedding anniversary; Bouse Resolution Barger, extends... congratulations on the 50th wedding anniversary to Mr. and Mrs. Grebe: House Resolution ... House Joint Resolution 161, DiPrima, congratulates Mr. and Hrs. Guy Ranallo on their 50th wedding anniversary. And I understand, Mr. Speaker or Madam Speaker, Ladies Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 1035 was taken off the agreed yesterday, Woodyard - Stuffle, asking Congress to keep the post office in Vermilion, Illinois open, and I would ask leave and the Attendance Roll Call that House Joint Resolution (sic - House Resolution) 1035 he from the Committee on Assignment for discharged the immediate consideration and adoption on the Resolution list."

Speaker Breslin: "You have heard the Gentleman's Motion. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the Gentleman asks leave to include House Bill... Resolution... House Resolution 1035 in this group. The Gentleman... Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave to use the Attendance Roll Call for that purpose. And on the Motion to accept the House Resolutions as read, all those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. On the Order of Senate Bills Second Beading, Short Debate Calendar, on page three of your Calendar, we will begin at the beginning and take all of these Bills. First appearing on that Calendar is Senate Bill 835,

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13, 1984
- Representative McGann. Ladies and Gentlemen, we are on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, Short Debate Calendar. Senate Bill 835, Representative McGann. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 835, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment \$1 was adopted previously last year.

  This year erroneously another Amendment #1 was adopted."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Oblinger moves to table Amendment #1 which was adopted last year."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady moves to table Amendment #1 to Senate
  Bill 835 that was adopted last year, the previous year of
  this Session. All those in favor say "aye", all those
  opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes"
  have it, and Amendment #1 of the previous year is tabled.
  Are there any further Motions or Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion by Representative McGann to table

  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 835 which was adopted this year
  in Committee."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann moves to table Amendment #1 adopted this year to Senate Bill 835. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'.

  Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Piel: "I was just wondering if the Gentleman could explain to us
  what exactly the Amendment is doing that he's taking off.

  Is he the Sponsor of the Amendment? Why don't we slow
  things down a little bit?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann is the Sponsor of this Amendment. Yes."
- Piel: "Then would the Sponsor explain to us what he's doing, what the Amendment does that he's taking off?"

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Okay. I understand, Representative Piel, that he is going to take this Amendment off and then proceed with an Amendment that is properly drafted. Is that... Is that correct, Representative McGann?"

Piel: "Thank you."

McGann: "That is correct, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. All those in favor say 'aye', in favor of the tabling Motion. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the orinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #1 adopted this year to Senate Bill 835 is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, by Representative Oblinger."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"

Piel: "Madam Speaker, according to our records, we have not received Amendment #2 or Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk indicates that Amendment #2 has not been circulated. Is that correct? I see. Very good. I understand that Mrs. Oblinger plans to withdraw Amendment #2 anyway. Does the Lady have leave to withdraw Amendment #2? And the Lady has leave. Amendment #3 has been printed and distributed. Representative Piel."

Piel: "We don't have it."

Speaker Breslin: "Read Amendment #3, please, Mr. Clerk. And would somebody provide Representative Fiel with Amendment #3? Yes, we withdrew #2. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, McGann, amends Senate Bill 835 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof the following: An Act to amend Section 19.9(a) of the Revenue Act of 1939."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative McGann."

McGann: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the Assembly.

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 835 actually becomes the Bill itself. It's contents and purpose is to certify, as far as real property is concerned, with the Federal Government and the State Government as to their tax ability. That's all the Bill does. It comes from court decisions between the United States and Cook County, and it's a resolution now that we should be taxing some of the federal properties where the Federal Government states that we should be taxing such property. Therefore, we are looking into millions of dollars of revenue for localities as a result of this Bill, and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 835. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative McGann, do I understand then that the
Bill as originally filed dealt with exempting from taxation
certain housing projects owned by not-profit...
not-for-profit housing corporations? Is that still in the
Bill?"

McGann: "No, it is not, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is... The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger. Excuse me."

Oblinger: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor respond to a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield to a question."

Oblinger: "Thank you. Representative McGann, the reason, as you know and I explained to you and I think the rest of the Members should know here, is that we have a number of housing projects under Section 202... 202 Section 8 that

133rd Legislative Day

June 13. 1984

HUD is no longer granting money, and I... a lot of you have come to me on this. I don't know whether you're qoing to pay any attention or not, but don't ask me this question again then. You've all asked me why there are some housing projects that are tax exempt and some are not, and because we don't have uniform rule here in the State of Illinois and each county decides whether they re going to or not. HUD has now made a statement. There will be no more 202 Section 8 housing unless that locality exempts it from taxation and substitutes for it a service charge. This is what we were trying to get at. indicate for those who are present the reason this was objected to came out of the City of Chicago, and they something I can't quite understand. They said, 'Oh, we'd lose too much money. And I said, "How was that?" Thev said, 'Well, we handle it our own way'. What they do, they take the amount of money that the people pay for rent, which is one-fourth of the charges at that place, and they tax that building on that one-fourth. I assure you that the assessors are not supposed to do this. Sc some are getting around it by just ignoring it. Some places have substituted their own thing, and I think that we should have kept that Amendment in. I hope you will vote 'no' on Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McGann, to close."

McGann: "Representative Oblinger asked a guestion, but she did not finish the question; and, therefore, I don't know what the total context was. But from what I observe from it, Representative Oblinger, the problem with the original Bill was that the Revenue... the Bouse Revenue Committee felt as though that there was some unconstitutionality to it; and,

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

therefore, it could not be worked out. In the meantime, we have gutted that Bill, and we put Amendment #3 on the Bill in order to bring out another more important or equally important, whatever way you look at it issue and that issue in regards to the United States Government's liability for taxes in certain counties in the State of Illinois. And this came from a Federal Court decision which... which they consent and state that they should be paying real estate taxes on certain properties. Therefore, are going to have a little short windfall here for the residents of the State of Illinois inasmuch as we will taxing some of these federal properties. This is a good Bill. It's a good Amendment which is the Bill, and I would appreciate an affirmative vote because this is one area where tax dollars will be coming in and helping all of our constituents. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of...
or adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 835. All those
in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting
is open. The Clerk will take the record. On this
Amendment, there are 62 voting 'aye'... 61 voting 'aye', 35
voting 'no' and none voting 'present', and the Amendment is
adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Leave... Does the Gentleman have leave to keep this Bill on the Order of Short Debate? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. Excuse me, Representative Piel objects, Representative McGann. Senate Bill 626, Representative Currie. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1179, Representative Jaffe or Representative White. I'm sorry. Inadvertently, I went to the wrong page on the Calendar in Second Reading. We should be on Senate Bill 1374, Representative Curran. Out of the record.

- 133rd Legislative Day

  Senate Bill 1384, Representative Hastert. Clerk, read the
  Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1830... 1384, a Bill for an Act in relation to State Property Tax Appeal Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Ewing, amends Senate Bill
  1384 by deleting the title and inserting in lieu thereof
  the following: An Act in relation to the property taxes
  and the State Property Tax Appeal Board."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing has the Amendment,

  Representative Hastert. What is your pleasure since the

  Gentleman is not here?"
- Hastert: "I'll take it out of the record."
- Speaker Breslin: "Okay. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1385,

  Representative Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1385, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Currie Greiman, amends

  Senate Bill 1385 on page four, line 22 by deleting 'and'

  and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie, on the Amendment."
- Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

  Senate Bill 1385 proposes to decouple the State of Illinois
  from certain changes in the Federal Tax Law. The Amendment
  that I offer, Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1385, does
  precisely the same thing with respect to certain other tax
  changes at the federal level. I think that it's important
  for this state to make independent decisions about tax
  benefits, advantages, increases and decreases, and I urge
  adoption of Amendment #1. I'm happy to answer any

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1305. And on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Sponsor of Amendment #1 spoke very quickly really didn't tell you exactly what she was trying to do with this Bill. It's a decoupling Amendment - an issue very controversial that has never to my knowledge come out of the Senate Revenue Committee where they've had some pretty long discussions on this issue. She... I'm sort of ambivalent about her Amendment because I have always been supportive of trying to decoupling where we... In Congress they've given tax breaks to business, but that has hurt us revenue-wise in the State of Illinois. But my ... The Senate Bill that I have here as a Sponsor is trying to exempt the retirement income benefits from state taxation, and I don't think that we should support her Amendment which is highly controversial and jeopardize this good Bill. If this were an agreed Amendment. it would different. I would be totally supportive. So I hope we have a lot... a lot of loud 'nos' on her Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker... Madam Speaker. I rise in support of this Amendment. Over the years people who are experts in revenue, if there are such things, have said that the best kind of state legislation you can have is a state income tax which follows the federal income tax, so that you can look at one bottom line in a federal return and you can put that over on the state return, and bingo, you've got yourself a... some income to tax. But we changed that. We changed that in Illinois in terms of

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

capital gains. We changed that last year in terms of ... of our state income... of our state real estate tax so that if you take... you are a property tax owner and have a you take that off your state income tax. So we no longer ride along with the federal adjusted gross income. Once we have changed that - once we change the formula then it follows that we are... we are on a roll. We need not look at the same way slavishly. We need not be slaves to the Pederal Internal Revenue Service; that we can make our own destiny in terms of how we tax. Accordingly, this would merely bring us back to where we were before the 1981 Economic Recovery Tax Act, so called. That was benefit for a lot of wealthy people. And I'm not against benefits for wealthy people. I just like to see little bit. And accordingly, I think this spread out a decoupling would provide us with the kind of revenue we are searching for, the kind of revenue necessary to fund our schools, the kind of revenue necessary to fund all the foibles that... that people are throwing in here in these last days. This is where the money will come from - not a new tax on your on your constituents, not voting merely a decoupling. Accordingly, I would ask that you vote 'aye' on this very important and significant piece of legislation."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Lady from Cook, Representative Currie, to close."

Currie: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House. I
think the issue is clearly defined. I would just like to
remind the Members of this chamber that in addition to the
priorities we all place on issues like education for our
children in the state and Representative Greiman's point
about the additional dollars that this small change, not a
tax increase, but a small change in our tax laws would

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

enable us to spend in this coming fiscal year, this Amendment would bring us into compliance with the actions of our neighbor states. We're always talking about how Illinois compares with Indiana, Iowa, Ohio, Minnesota, Wisconsin. Each of those states has made changes either to decouple or to change the corporate tax rate in their state in response to the federal actions in 1981. We could bring Illinois into conformity with our neighbors, in effect, make our state as competitive with respect to business as all of our neighbor states, and we could do a job for the children of the state if we adopt Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1385. I ask for your affirmative votes."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate
Bill 1385 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all
those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this
question, there are 12 voting 'aye', 75 voting 'no' and 3
voting 'present', and the Amendment fails.
Representative... Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Pierce - Virginia Frederick, amends Senate Bill 1385 on page two, in line 26 and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 is the same as Bouse Bill 1734 as amended which passed out of this House earlier only to meet its demise in the Senate Rules Committee - the notorious Senate Rules Committee. House Bill 1734 as amended by Representative Friedrich and myself cured incongruity in our law by allowing pension payments to a partner or a deceased partner's survivor from being exempt on the Illinois income tax. We all know that under Keough plans the pension is exempt, under HR 10 pension is exempt, but

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

the... prior to the Keough plans there are some older partnership pension plans that are not exempt under Illinois lav. The Department of Revenue has no objection to this. Corporate pension plans are exempt, and this just makes sure that the older partners, whose partnership agreements were drawn up prior to the HR Keough ... 10 era, will have the same exemption under pension payments under Illinois income tax as those more recently retired partners who have the benefit Keough plans. And I. therefore, move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1385, and perhaps Representative Frederick wants to close. I don't know."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1385. And on that question, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Yes, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 is far different from Amendment #1, and I rise in support of it. Bepresentative Pierce is trying to clear up a problem in the Illinois law where the ... there is the only bit of retirement income that is taxed, to and. we're trying remove that from the law\_ Inadvertently, I believe, the Senate Bules Committee didn't put his Bill, exempt his Bill out of Bules, and they mended their ways, I understand now, by ... by amending the Social Security Bill over there which is my including his Bill onto that Bill through Amendment. would, therefore, feel that it is proper that this Bill also be amended and rise in support of it."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Yes, Madam Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pierce will yield for a

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

question."

Vinson: "Representative, as I read and understand the Amendment, it exempts certain retirement income from Illinois income taxation. Is that correct?"

Pierce: "That's right. Retirement income, as you know, under the Keough plan - HR 10 - your retirement income is exempt from federal and state. These effect retirement of accounting CPA partners and other partners. It was actually a CPA, retired CPA, that brought it to Representative Prederick's attention and my own that under the Illinois Income Tax Act, they fall between the cracks if they retired... if their plans were drawn up prior to Reough. Their... Their pension... retirement payments are subject to Illinois income tax, and they're, therefore, on a different footing than the more recently retired partners who have the benefit of the Keough plan."

Vinson: "So Keough income is currently exempt..."

Pierce: "Right."

Vinson: "...and this would exempt people who have the same thing as Keough plans but the plans were not qualified under the Keough provisions."

Pierce: "Yeah, maybe even Keough didn't exist when they're plans were drawn 10, 15 years ago."

Vinson: "Mr... Madam Speaker, to the Bill. I would rise in support of the Amendment. I think it's a fine Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, Representative Pierce or Representative Frederick, to close. Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Madam Speaker... Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I simply would just ask for 'aye' vote."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, \*Shall Amendment #2 to Senate

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Bill 1385 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments.

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Fill 1399,
Representative Klemm. Clerk... Excuse me. On Senate Bill
1385, does the Gentleman have leave to keep that Bill on
the Order of Short Debate? Hearing no objection, the
Gentleman has leave, and the Bill remains on the Order of
Short Debate. On Senate Bill 1399, Representative Klemm.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1399, a Bill for an Act authorizing the McHenry County Conservation District to convey certain real property in exchange for certain other real property.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted previously."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 was withdrawn previously. Floor
Amendment #3, Hallock, amends Senate Eill 1399 as amended."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock."

Hallock: "Yes, this may interest you, Madam Speaker. I would ask leave to withdraw Amendment #3."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #3. Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1401,
Representative Stuffle - Matijevich. Clerk, read the Bill.

Excuse... There is no need to ask leave for Short Debate on
your Bill, Representative Klemm, because there were no

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Amendments added. Just to clear up the record, does the Gentleman have leave to keep Senate Bill 1399 on the Order of Short Debate. Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave. Now on Senate Bill 1401, Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1401, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act to regulate the practice of podiatry in
the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Erien: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1425,

Representative Steczo. Excuse me. Representative

Matijevich, for what reason do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Madam Speaker, we moved 1385 to Third Reading, and it was amended. Could I have leave that that remain on Short Debate?"

Speaker Breslin: "We did ask for leave, and it was granted,
Representative Representative Steczo. Out of the record.

Senate Bill 1462, Representative Ewing. Representative
Ewing. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1462, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing, there is a request for a state mandates note on this Bill. The Fill can, therefore, not be moved. Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Madam Speaker, the Bill is, as amended, indicates that the state exempts the State Mandates Act, so I don't think that request is proper or timely."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, on the guestion."

Cullerton: "I would ask leave to withdraw that request."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton withdraws his request for the State Mandates Act; therefore, the Eill can be moved and will be moved to Third Reading. Senate Bill 1462 moves to Third Reading. Senate Bill 1519, Representative Olson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1519, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to regulate solicitation and collection of funds for charitable purposes, providing for violations thereof. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Eill 1618,
Representative Oblinger. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1618, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Wildlife Code. Second Beading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Notions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Hallock, amends Senate Bill

1618 on page one by deleting line one and two and so
forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hallock. Clerk...

Representative Hallock on the Amendment."

Hallock: "I would ask leave to withdraw this Amendment, please."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman asks to withdraw Amendment #2.

Hearing no objection, the Amendment is withdrawn. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. With leave of the House, I

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

would to go back to Senate Bill like 1384, since Representative Ewing has returned to the floor. 1384. Clerk. read the Fill. Excuse Representative Cullerton objects to going to that Bill at Senate Bill 1781, Representative Markette and Alexander. Out of the record. S∈nate Bill Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Fill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1791, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Eill 1870,
Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1870, a Bill for an Act to release highway easements and to restore access rights to certain described lands. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions..."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions and no Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1876,

Representative Vinson. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1876, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois and the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Hallock, amends Senate Bill 1876..."

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13, 1984
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1878,
  Representative Ropp. Representative Bopp. Clerk, read the
  Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1878, a Bill for an Act to create the
  Child Support Enforcement Trust Fund. Second Reading of
  the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No... No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"
- Cullerton: "I wonder if the Sponsor could hold the Bill for a few minutes so we can see if there's a need... I believe there might be a need for a technical Amendment, and we're just checking it out right now, if the Sponsor could just hold it for a few minutes."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman agrees. Out of the record.

  Senate Bill 1881, Representative Hensel. Clerk, read the
  Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1881, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Purchasing Act."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hensel, for what reason do you rise?"
- Hensel: "Madam Speaker, could we take this out of the record?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1887,

  Representative Nash Fiel. Out of the record. Senate

  Bill 1911, Representative Homer. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Erien: "Senate Bill 1911..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1928,
  Representative McCracken. Clerk, read the Bill."
- clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1928, a Bill for an Act in relation to indemnification of certain public employees in certain civil lawsuits. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Eill 1929, Representative McCracken. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1929, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee
Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, McCracken, amends Senate Bill 1929 on page one in line one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1929 provides that where certain assets subject to abandonment and retention by the Department of Financial Institutions provide for the possibility of extensions, and by that I mean where a maturity date of those instruments can be extended pursuant to contract, that the presumption of abandonment would not begin until the end of that renewal period. And I move for the favorable adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1929. And on that guestion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative McCracken, I wonder if you could explain this Amendment in a little bit greater detail by, perhaps, giving me an example of how... how this would work. Now..."

McCracken: "Yes, I'd be happy to."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Cullerton: "...I'm not sure exactly what it covers. Does it cover passbook, or checking accounts or just what... If you could give me a typical example of how you think it would work, I'd appreciate it."

McCracken: "Well, if a deposit is made for a period of 90 days..."

Cullerton: "...in a passbook deposit or checking?"

#cCracken: "No, no, no,"

Cullerton: "What kind of a deposit? Savings account?"

McCracken: "I don't know how to give you the precise... Let me look at the Amendment."

Cullerton: "I didn't mean to force you to actually read the Amendment. I apologize."

McCracken: "This is terrible I had to read it. What I... What I have here is that in any type of instrument subject to the abandonment rules, where they provide for an extension. the abandonment period doesn't begin until the extension period has expired. Now whether it applies to passbooks, the Amendment states, 'Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, no deposit except passbook. checking, NOW accounts, Super NOW, money market accounts or such similar accounts held by the banking and financial organization shall be presumed abandoned if ... with respect to such a deposit which specifies a definite maturity date.\* The organization was authorized to extend or roll over the account. So, yes, to those types of accounts which specify the authority to roll them over abandonment period would not begin until the expiration thereof. That would apply to passbook, checking, NOW accounts, Super NOW accounts."

Cullerton: "What is the purpose of the Amendment?"

McCracken: "To accommodate time deposit type instruments currently being issued by financial institutions."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Cullerton: "Okay. Now, was this the subject matter of another
Bill that..."

McCracken: "Yes. Yes, it was. It was Bouse Bill 395, sponsored by Representative Leverenz last... last year."

Cullerton: "And... And what happened to that Bill?"

McCracken: "It passed the House, and it did not pass the Senate."

Cullerton: "Fine. Ckay. Thank you very much."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from... Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1929 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave to keep this Bill on the Order of Short Debate? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave. Senate Bill 1936, Representative Terzich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1936, a Bill for an Act in relation to the applicability of the replacement vehicle tax.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Eill 1945,
Representative Barnes. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1945, a Eill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second

Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in

Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes, for what reason do you rise?"

Barnes: "I have just been notified that there is going to be an Amendment filed, so I would like to keep that Bill on Second Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "Out of the record. Senate Bill 1949,

Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1949, a Bill for an Act to create the Hazardous Waste Technology Exchange Service Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Fill 1951,
Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1951, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1957,

Representative Friedrich. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1957, a Bill for an Act in relation to obsolete and duplicative provisions in the statutes.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment \$1, Dwight Friedrich, amends

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Senate Bill 1957 on page 25 by deleting line 30 and 31."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich."

- Friedrich: "Madam Speaker and Members of the House, this is the
  Legislative Reference Bureau revisory Bill, and the
  Amendment is just another thing that's come up in the
  Reference Bureau to be added to it. It's nonsubstantive.
  I move its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1957. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Fill 1957 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

  Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Dwight Friedrich, amends Senate Bill 1957 on page 294."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Friedrich."

- Friedrich: "The same explanation, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker).

  It's just a..."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1957. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to House (sic Senate) Bill 1957 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Dwight Friedrich."

- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Friedrich on Amendment #3."
- Friedrich: "The same explanation, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker)."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1957. And on that guestion,

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, I just want to make it clear that I believe that... Did the Gentleman ask for leave to consider these without having them printed?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman did not ask leave to do that."

Friedrich: "I would ask leave, Madam Chairman (sic - Speaker).

This is... Amendment has just been adopted. I have an agreement with Representative Cullerton to move this to Third Reading with the understanding it'll be moved back if in their examination of it by staff there's any problems.

The original Bill was only printed in a limited number by leave of the House."

Speaker Breslin: "The Clerk advises me that you need leave to...

to proceed with Amendments #2 and 3, and the Assembly is
therefore asked. Does the Gentleman have leave to proceed
with these Bills (sic - Amendments) without them being
printed and distributed? Hearing no objection, the
Gentleman has leave. Is there any further discussion?
There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall
Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1957 be adopted?' All those in
favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the
opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment
is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Does the Gentleman have leave to keep this Bill on the Order of Short Debate? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave to keep this Bill on the Order of Short Debate. With leave of the House, we would like to go back now to Representative Rcpp's Fill, Senate Bill 1878. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1878, a Bill for an Act to create the
Child Support Enforcement Trust Fund. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Senate Bills Second Reading, at the... Ladies and Gentlemen, we are going to the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, Short Debate Calendar. It's on page two on your Calendar. Senate Bill Third Reading Senate Bill 1448, Representative Giorgi - Greiman. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1459, Representative Keane. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1459, a Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance and Transfer Tax Law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Keane."

Keane: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Senate Bill 1459 amends the Inheritance and Transfer Tax Law. requires county treasurers to transmit taxes collected under the law to the state treasury by, rather than on, the 10th day of each month. This was a finding... an exception in that the Audit... the Audit Commission found with the State The Treasurer introduced this legislation at Treasurer. the Audit Commission's request. The Bill has an Amendment on it. Amendment #1 takes a problem away. without being appointed quardian or legal quardian of any minor child whose interest is not adverse to said child may, on behalf of the minor child, may make an election and sign without court approval an agreement pursuant to Section 2032(a) of the Internal Revenue Code for the valuation of property. It has ... If they make that election, it has the same legal force as if the election had been made and the agreement had been signed by the minor child. I'd be happy to answer any questions and ask for a favorable Boll Call."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1459. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Representative Keane, did you indicate what the position of the county treasurers might be with regard to this Bill?"

Keane: "As far as I know, there's no opposition to it. The Bill... There was no one that spoke in opposition to the Bill when it was in Committee. It came out of Committee 10 to 1, and the county treasurer did not record any opposition to it."

Cullerton: "Well, maybe you could describe to me what additional duties the county treasurers would have or what different duties the county treasurers would have under this Bill."

Keane: "It basically says that they must... the taxes must be transferred... transmitted by the 10th rather than on. So it could be done before."

Cullerton: "And what's happening now?"

Keane: "It says on the 10th."

Cullerton: "Right now it says on the 10th they must transfer it."

Keane: "Yes. Yeah, all it does is it takes that from on the 10th to received by the 10th."

Cullerton: "So they would have to mail it... mail it sometime before the 10th."

Keane: "It has to reach the freasurer by the 10th."

Cullerton: "What happens if it doesn't?"

Keane: "We didn't change any of the penalties or any... any of that."

Cullerton: "Alright. Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from... Is there any further discussion? There being no

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

further discussion, the question is, Shall Senate Bill 1459 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote \*no\*. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 109 voting "aye", none voting 'no' and none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 1879, Representative Vinsor - Ryder. Out of the record. Ladies and Gentlemen, on the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading, page two on your Calendar, appears Senate Bill 450, Representative Giorgi. Clerk, read the... Out of Senate Bill 1424, Representative Jaffe the record. White. Out οf the record. Senate Bill 1481, Representative LeFlore. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1650, Representative Cullerton. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1650, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the State's Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton,"

Cullerton: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and This would allow the State's Gentlemen of the House. Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission to assist state's attorneys in connection with the Collective Bargaining Act. The Collective Bargaining Act, as we all know, was recently enacted into law. This would allow for the Commission, which is a state-funded and county-funded program, to assist the county state's attorneys in their duties with regard to the negotiations conducted on behalf of a county pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement with regard to these negotiations and possibly trial and appeal of such cases. Be happy to answer any questions, and I would appreciate the support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Senate Bill 1650. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin."

Levin: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Levin: "Representative Cullerton, earlier in the Session, you had a Bill which authorized the extension of the State's Attorneys' Appellate Services Program to include Cook County. There's nothing like that in this Bill, is there?" Cullerton: "No, the State's Attorneys' Appellate Service Commission is a... is prohibited from operating in Cook County, and in the downstate counties, it's done on a volunteer basis. If the county decides that they wish the services then they can opt in. This does not change that provision at all. It only deals with the Illinois Public Labor Relations Act in allowing the Commission to represent certain counties if they need the help with regard to

Levin: "So what you're saying is, even in connection with labor relations, this would not apply to Cook County."

handling labor relation negotiations and disputes."

Cullerton: "I'm sorry. Couldn't hear you."

Levin: "In connection with labor relations, this would also not apply to Cook County,"

Cullerton: "To Cook, no."

Levin: "Bight? Right."

Cullerton: "Because they're not authorized to work in Cook."

Levin: "Thank you."

Cullerton: "Unfortunately."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative Bawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Hawkinson: "Representative Cullerton, the State's Attorneys'

Appellate Service Commission does not presently have staff

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

that can handle this new duty, do they?"

Cullerton: "That's right. They do not have the staff."

Hawkinson: "How many new positions would be required?"

Cullerton: "They have requested in their appropriation three additional attorneys, I believe. Three attorneys, right."

Hawkinson: "And they feel this will be adequate to cover the 101 counties in labor relations?"

- Cullerton: "Well, it's... it's designed to help the smaller state's attorneys' offices which may not have the expertise or the manpower to handle labor relations. I wouldn't expect that large counties like DuFage or even any of the collar counties would... would utilize this. I think it's a downstate proposal, and it was requested by these smaller counties."
- Hawkinson: "I have had one of my larger counties contact me thinking that this would help them that has, you know, 10, 15 assistants in the office. But you... you would anticipate that this would be more likely the counties under 10,000 or the like."
- Cullerton: "Well, if they're... if they're willing to participate in the program, they certainly are authorized to request the help. But the... the Commission felt that they only would need three attorneys to...to assist. They'd be specialists in this particular field, and they could go throughout the state whenever there was a labor dispute."
- Hawkinson: "Would these attorneys represent only counties or would they represent also individual elected county officials whose... would... I think there's some guestion as to whether they'd be separate bargaining units, for example, with a state's attorney's office or a county clerk's office or not. Would they represent individual office holders as well?"
- Cullerton: "Well, my understanding is that they would take the

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

place of the assistant state's attorney. So whatever role the assistant state's attorney would have with regard to representing the county, they would have the same... same authority, so..."

Hawkinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Bond, Representative Slape."

Slape: "Yes, would the Gentleman yield, please?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Slape: "Yes, Representative Cullerton, under this legislation, do you explain the term employer? Who exactly will the Commission be assisting?"

Cullerton: "The Commission may assist county state's attorneys in the discharge of their duties under the Illinois Public Labor Relations Act."

Slape: "Okay. What are those duties? It's my understanding those rules haven't even been laid down yet."

Cullerton: "Well, we say in the law it would include negotiations conducted on behalf of a county, trial and appeal of cases with regard to labor relations."

Slape: "Thank you. Madam Speaker, to the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

Slape: "I think... I think this is... we're in the wrong stage of this to start deciding who's going to pay for the negotiations. I don't think that the State of Illinois should be all that willing to run in rapidly and start picking up the legal tabs for these negotiations till we actually find out how wide a scope we're going to be. It's no... I have no doubt that if we start doing it for the counties, it won't be long and the municipalities will ask us to do it for them. And I think we should take a very close look at this before we decide that the State of Illinois is going to start paying the legal expenses in all the negotiations."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative McCracken."

McCracken: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

McCracken: "Representative, the state would pay these additional costs in salaries in the first instance. Is that right?"

Cullerton: "Well, the way the... Whatever the state pays, the individual counties pay one-third. That's how the State's Attorneys Appellate Service Commission works."

McCracken: "Okay. So they'd be charging the counties on the same basis that they charge them now for services?"

Cullerton: "Right. That's correct."

McCracken: "Would there be a separate charge, or would it be itemized or do you know how that would..."

Cullerton: "It's going to be done, as I understand it, on a contractual basis with the counties paying one-third and the state paying two-thirds."

McCracken: "Okay."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Plinn: "Madam Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Flinn moves the previous question. The question is, "Shall the main question be put?" All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the main question is put. Representative Cullerton, to close."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I indicated, since we now have a Labor Relations Act, there are going to be some smaller counties that are going to..."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Cullerton.

Representative Vinson, for what reason do you rise?"

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Vinson: "Madam Speaker, I apologize for interrupting the Gentleman's closing, but I wonder if he might be willing to take this Bill out of the record at this time."

Cullerton: "Sure."

Vinson: "Thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Cullerton, what is your pleasure on this Bill? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "If I could have leave to come back to the Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "At the end of this Order of Business?"

Cullerton: "...sometime during this Order so I can close on the

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Does the Gentleman have leave to take this Bill out of the record for a short time and come back to it later on? Hearing no objection, the Gentleman has leave. At this time, Ladies and Gentlemen, we would like to go back to an appropriation Bill that is considered an emergency appearing on this Order of Call. It is Senate Bill 1371. Representative Neff and Homer are the Sponsors. Representative Mays. I understand, will handle the Bill. Representative Mays. Representative Homer, Senate Bill 1371. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1371, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act
making appropriations for educational and other purposes.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Homer."

Homer: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen.

Representative Neff is the Chief Sponsor on this Bill. I'm

a hyphenated Cosponsor. In Bepresentative Neff's absence

I'll handle the Bill. What we're asking here for is a

supplemental appropriation of 600,000 dollars to Western

Illinois University which would come from the Governor's

University Income Fund, not from GRF. The reason for the

need is that a couple of years ago we put in a coal

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

conversion power heating plant in the university, and the projections at that time showed that there would be a decline in the cost of natural gas usage. It turns out that that coal conversion process has not come on line as rapidly as hoped; and, therefore, the university has incurred additional natural gas heating expenses of 600,000 dollars. To my knowledge, the Eill has no opposition and is agreed to by all the parties including the Governor's Office, and I would ask for your support."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill 1371. And on that question, is there anv Seeing no discussion, the question is, \*Shall discussion? Senate Bill 1371 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote \*no\*. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 113 voting 'aye', none voting 'no' none voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 1755. Representative Homer. Clerk, read... Representative Homer, you want to do another one? Out of the record. Bill 1755? Senate Bill 1798. Representative Christensen. Representative Christensen. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1812, Representative Out of the record. Senate Bill 1841, Representative Keane. Ladies and Gentlemen, it should be the record. remembered that we have only two weeks for consideration of these Bills, and you would be well advised to call your Bill if at all possible. Senate Bill 1844, Representative Braun. Out of the record. Representative Cullerton, are at the end of this Order of Call. Would you still prefer to wait? Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "I would think that it would be appropriate for me to have... to be able to take up with the Bill where I was

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

when I was interrupted that being in close..."

- Speaker Breslin: "That would be fine, and would you prefer to do that now?"
- Cullerton: "No. We'll do that some other time when it's more propitious."
- Speaker Breslin: "Well, Representative Cullerton, I... I can't guarantee that. Senate Bills Second Beading, page five of your Calendar. Representative Braun, are you ready to proceed on Senate Bill 495? Representative Braun. Out of the record. Senate Bill 626, Representative Currie. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1179, Representative Jaffe and White. Out of the record. Senate Bill Representative Nash. Representative Nash. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1217, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "Madam Speaker, there's a Motion..."

- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Excuse me. Are there any Motions filed?"
- Clerk Leone: "Motion one. 'I motion... I move to table Amendment
  #2 to Senate Bill 1217. Representative Nash.'"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."

- Nash: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's an agreement with the other side of the aisle and with the Sponsor of the Amendment to table Amendment #2."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1...

  excuse me, Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1217. All those...

  Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1217 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the Motion

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13, 1984
- carries. Are there any further Motions?"
- Clerk Leone: "Motion. •I move to table Amendment #5 to Senate
  Bill 1217. Representative Nash.•"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash."
- Nash: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
  Amendment #5 is also in agreement with the other side of
  the aisle to be tabled. It's my Amendment, and I move to
  table it."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1217. And on that guestion, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the guestion is, 'Shall Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1217 be tabled?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment is tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Amendment #7, Olson, amends Senate Bill 1217 as amended."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1217 is an identical Amendment to which was included in House Bill 3181 which permits precinct committeemen, who may act as registrars in a county, also serve to challenge registrations in a county. I move for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1217."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1217. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nash."
- Nash: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's an agreed Amendment. I also move for its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a

133rd Legislative Day
question?"

June 13, 1984

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."
- Hawkinson: "Representative, did you say that this Amendment was the same as the substance of Ecuse Eill 3181?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Representative Hawkinson, the substance of this Amendment is exactly the same as the Amendment I offered to 3181.
- Hawkinson: "But it is not the same as the Bill 3181."
- Olson: "No, it's not exactly the same as the Bill. It's my Amendment to that Bill."
- Hawkinson: "Would you refresh my recollection on what your Amendment did?"
- Olson: "My Amendment basically provides that registrars who may now be permitted to register in the entire county may also challenge registrations."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative. Representative

  Cullerton, for what reason do you rise?"
- Cullerton: "I don't think we can determine whether or not this is identical to another Bill because this hasn't been printed yet, I don't believe and distributed."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman is correct. The Eill (sic Amendment) has not been printed or distributed. Representative Olson. The Clerk indicates that the Bill has not... the Amendment has not been printed and distributed. We, therefore, have to take this..."
- Olson: "Take it out of the record. Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "...Amendment out of the record and the Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 1375, Representative Mautino.

  Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1375, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1, Johnson, amends Senate Bill 1375 as amended."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Johnson, on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1375. Excuse me. The Clerk indicates that this Amendment has not been printed and distributed either. Representative Mautino says to take this Bill out of the record. Senate Bill 1382, Representative Mautino Giglio. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1382, a Bill for an Act to regulate the transportation on rail of hazardous nuclear materials in Illinois. Second Reading of the Eill."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, Amendments have just been filed to this Bill. They have not been printed and distributed either. What is Your pleasure? The Amendments, therefore, cannot be heard. The must, therefore, move to table the Amendments that have been filed. Representative Mautino. How many Amendments are there, Mr. Clerk?"
- Clerk Leone: "There are ten Amendments to Senate Bill 1382."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mautino, do you wish to do this as one Boll Call or individually?"
- Mautino: "One Roll Call. The Bill was sent out of Committee last
  Thursday. I... No one spoke to me about any Amendments.

  I'd like to move my legislation to Third Reading, and I
  would move to table the Amendments that have been filed
  late as... on 1382. I think it's basically a tactic to...
  to kill the Bill and to disallow the movement of the Bill
  is its entirety and its original proposal. Therefore, I
  move to table those Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino, moves to table Amendments 1 through 10 to Senate Bill 1382. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook,

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Representative Piel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would ask the Gentleman to reconsider his Motion, the reason being, you know, saying that people had time enough to file Amendments. Hell, when the Bill is on Second Reading is the time to file Amendments. I don't have the slightest idea whose the Amendments are, whether they're Republican, Democrat or whose Amendments they are. But I would ask him to reconsider his position, because, you know, that's what Second Reading is for is a time to introduce Amendments. And if the Amendments are bad, fine, vote the Amendments down. If they're good, let's put them on the Bill. far as, you know, making a blanket Motion of tabling ten Amendments that haven't, you know, been distributed. I think the man is being unfair to the Members of Assembly, and I would ask him to withdraw his Motion."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Mauting, to close on his Motion."

Mautino: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker. Mr. Piel has been here long enough to know the... the current position of the Sponsor of any piece of legislation. If there were ten individuals who intended to amend this legislation in any way, shape or form, a common courtesy was to at least come to the Sponsor and inform him or her that they are considering Amendments. That has not happened in this case. I think it's a delaying tactic used to possibly kill this Bill. Therefore, I renew my Motion, because I'd like to have it in the same form in which it was sent out of Committee and placed on Third Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendments 1 through 10 to Senate Bill 1382. All those in favor vote 'aye', all those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

this question there are 67 voting \*aye\* and 44 voting \*no\* and 1 voting \*present\*. Representative Piel, for what reason do you rise?"

Piel: "Want to verify the ... the 'yes' votes, please."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has asked for a verification of the Roll Call. Representative Mautinc asks for a Poll of the Absentees. Proceed with a Poll of the Absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the Absentees. John Dunn. Flinn. Hastert."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hastert votes "no"."

Clerk Leone: "Jaffe. Neff and Taylor."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed with the Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Leone: "Poll of the affirmative. Alexander. Barnes-Berrios. Bowman. Braun\_ Preslin. Brookins. Brummer. Brunsvold. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. CiPrima. Domico. Farley. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hanniq. Homer."

Breslin: "Representative Giorgi Speaker asks leave to be verified. Representative Piel, is that acceptable? the Gentleman has leave. Representative Markette asks for the same courtesy. That is granted. Representative LeFlore asks for the same courtesy, Representative Piel. Representative DiPrima asks for the same courtesy, Mr. Piel. Representative Panayotovich and Representative Steczo ask to be verified, also. And that is granted. Representative McNamara asks leave to be verified. The Gentleman's request is granted. Representative C'Connell asks leave to be verified, and the Gentleman's request is granted. Mr. Clerk, proceed with the call affirmative."

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13, 1984
- Clerk Leone: "Huff. Keane. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. LeFlore.

  Leverenz. Levin. Markette. Marzuki. Matijevich.

  Mautino. McGann."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Hannig asks leave to be verified, Representative Piel. And that is granted. Representative Curran asks for the same courtesy, Bepresentative Piel. And that is granted. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk Leone: "McPike. Mulcahey. Nash. O\*Connell\_ Panayotovich. Pangle. Pierce. Preston. Rea\_ Rhem\_ Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Satterthwaite. Shaw. Slape. Steczo. Stuffle. Terzich. Turner. Van Vitek. White. Wolf. Younge. McNamara. Mr. Speaker."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Fiel, do you have any guestions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"
- Piel: "Yes. Representative Barnes. First of all, Madam Speaker,
  may I ask what we're starting with? Are we starting with
  672"
- Speaker Breslin: "Br. Clerk, what is the count? 67 'aye', 45
- Piel: "Okay. Representative Barnes."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Barnes? Is the Lady in the chamber? The Lady is not in the chamber. Remove her.

  Representative Ewing, for what reason do you rise?"
- Ewing: "Would you record me as 'no'?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Ewing wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Satterthwaite, for what reason... Representative Satterthwaite asks leave to be verified, Representative Piel. And that is granted, Representative Satterthwaite. Do you have any further questions of the Boll?"
- Piel: "Let's see. We took Representative Barnes off, correct?"

  Speaker Breslin: "Yes, Representative Barnes has been removed

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

from the Roll Call."

Piel: "Okay. Representative Brookins."

Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me. Representative Madigan asks to go from 'aye' to 'present'. Change the Speaker from 'aye' to 'present'. Proceed, Bepresentative Piel. Representative... Excuse me. Bepresentative Hicks, for what reason do you rise? Representative Hicks goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Bepresentative Younge asks leave to be verified. Does the Lady have leave? The Lady has leave? Proceed, Bepresentative Piel."

Piel: "The ... Okay. Representative Brookins."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brookins. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Piel: "Representative Brummer."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Brummer. Representative

Brummer. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Piel: "Representative Currie."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Currie is in her seat."

Piel: "Representative Domico."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Domico? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Representative Domico. The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Piel: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli. Bepresentative Capparelli. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Remove him."

Piel: "Representative Krska."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Capparelli has just returned to the chamber. Add him to the Roll Call. Representative Preston asks leave to be verified, Representative Piel. That is granted, Representative Preston. Representative Turner, for what reason do you rise? The Gentleman asks leave to be verified. Does the Gentleman have leave, Representative Preston (sic - Piel)? The Gentleman has

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

leave\_"

Piel: "I'm Piel. Preston's over there. Bepresentative Krska."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Krska. Representative Krska.

Is the Gentleman in the chamber? The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Piel: "Representative Laurino."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Laurino. The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Piel: "Representative Pierce."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Pierce. The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Piel: "Representative Rea."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Rea? Representative Rea. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Remove him."

Piel: "Representative Terzich."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Terzich. The Gentleman is in the chamber."

Piel: "Representative McGann."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McGann? The Gentleman is in the chamber. Representative Homer, for what reason do you rise? Representative Homer."

Homer: "How am I recorded, Madam Speaker?"

Speaker Breslin: "You are recorded as voting 'aye',
Representative."

Piel: "Bepresentative Nash."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? The Gentleman is in the chamber. Bepresentative Braun, for what reason do you rise? The Lady asks leave to be verified, Representative Piel. Does the Lady have leave?"

Piel: "She can have leave, but I'm finished verifying. No further questions, Madam Speaker."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Breslin: "Very good. Representative Brummer, for what reason do you rise?"

Brummer: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Erummer, you were removed from the Roll Call. How do you wish to be recorded?"

Brummer: "Please record me 'aye'."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman wishes to be added to the Roll

Call as voting 'aye'. On this Foll Call there are 60

voting 'aye'... Representative Piel."

Piel: "Madam Speaker, I would ask that he would check that one more time, because I can tell you who we took off, and we've got 59 "ayes"."

Speaker Breslin: "Did you just add on Representative Piel (sic - Brummer)?"

Piel: "We had 58 'ayes"..."

Speaker Breslin: "Or Representative Brummer?"

Piel: "We had 58 'ayes' before Representative Frummer went

Speaker Breslin: "We'll ask the Clerk to check his... his figures again."

Piel: "If he would like, I can give him the names of the people that we took off."

Speaker Breslin: "Do you have Representative Capparelli as going back on, Representative Piel? Representative McPike, for what reason do you rise?"

McPike: "Please change me to 'present'."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative McPike goes from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Rea asks to be put back on the Roll Call. Representative Brookins asks to be put back on the Roll Call. Change Representative Breslin from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Brummer? Representative Brummer changes from 'aye' to 'present'. Fepresentative Slape goes from 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Pangle goes from

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

- 'aye' to 'present'. Representative Pangle went from 'aye' to 'present'. On this Motion there are 56 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present', and the Motion carries. Are there any further Amendments? Representative Fiel."
- Piel: "Maybe I misunderstood. You said the Motion carries?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Motion carries. The Motion to table carries."
- Piel: "It was a Motion to table. Correct me if I'm wrong. You can ask the Parliamentarian. Are... Doesn't the rule state that on a Motion to table takes 60 votes?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The rules state that a Motion to table requires a simple Majority of those voting on the issue, the same as the passage of an Amendment in the first place. There are 56 voting 'aye', 47 voting 'no' and 6 voting 'present', and this Motion fails. There are no further Amendments. Third Beading. Senate Bill 13... Excuse me. The Motion has passed. The Amendments are tabled. There are no further Amendments. So, the Bill goes to Third Reading. Senate Bill 1395. With leave of the House, I'd like to go back to Senate Bill 1223, Representative Hoffman Friedrich. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1223, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #1 has been withdrawn. Floor Amendment #2, Hoffman, amends Senate Eill 1223 as amended." Speaker Breslin: "Representative Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you very much, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The effect of Senate Amendment... or of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1223 we've deleted the provisions that provide that any legislation introduced after January 1, 1985, which increase benefits in cost

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

shall be accompanied by an appropriate increase in the minimum contribution rates. This Amendment was drawn up with agreement of the Chairman of the... of the Pension Committee, Representative Wolf, and the parties that it involved, and it's been agreed to by the Senate Sponsor. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1223. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton. The Gentleman indicates he does not seek recognition. Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1223 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Leone: "Third Reading. Again with leave of the Assembly the Chair would like to go back to Senate Bill 1217 as the Amendment is presently ready. Senate Bill 1217, Representative Nash. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1217, has been read a second time previously with Amendments #2 and 5 being tabled. Floor Amendment #7, Olson, amends Senate Fill 1217 as amended."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash... or excuse me
  Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment \$7 to 1217 has now been distributed. It basically says this in essence. It permits precinct committeemen to challenge the validity of registrations anywhere in the county as they are now permitted by an Amendment to this Bill to service the deputy registrar anyplace in the county in which they reside. This is not

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13, 1984
- applicable to Cook or Chicago, as they do not have precinct committeeman in that jurisdiction. So I would move for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1217."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1217. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nash."
- Nash: "Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I stated earlier this is an agreed Amendment, and I move for its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Dunn."

  Dunn, J.: "Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."
- Dunn, J.: "It's tough to hear over here. Did you say this will allow a precinct committeeman to register anywhere in the county?"
- Olson: "An additional Amendment to this Eill, Representative Dunn, permits a duly authorized committeeman, a registrar, to register any place in the county. That is a part of 1217. Amendment #7 permits the same committeeman to challenge anywhere in the county."
- Dunn, J.: "I can't hear you. What did you just say about...
  What's the Amendment do?"
- Olson: "The Amendment permits a duly appointed registrar to challenge anyplace in the county."
- Dunn, J.: "I still... I still can't hear."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, Representative Dunn. The Gentleman has indicated that the Amendment allows any precinct committeeman to challenge any person in the entire county rather than just in his precinct... his or her precinct. That's the answer."
- Dunn, J.: "Okay, well then why do you want to do that?"
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson."
- Olson: "Thank you, I'll try again. Do you hear me now,

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Representative?"

Dunn, J.: "Why do you want to do that?"

Olson: "We seek to do that is put into context the same authorization that an Amendment to this Eill permits duly authorized committeeman, registrars, to register anyone in the county. It puts it into the same context. They can register anyplace in the county or in essence they will be able to challenge registration anyplace in the county. This was a part of 3181 that was passed a week ago and got hung up in the Senate."

Dunn, J.: "And who wants this legislation and who's opposed to it?"

Olson: "The County Clerks Association feels that this should be in tandum."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huff."

Buff: "Yes, Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Huff: "Well as I... Representative Olson, as I understand your Amendment it will allow the registrar to register anyone and to challenge anyone, right?"

Olson: "Downstate."

Huff: "Well, what's to stop that guy... that registrar from challenging everybody in that... in that county including the cows and the pigs and everything else?"

Olson: "Well we have a number of those downstate. Understanding this is not applicable to Cook. It has... It has to be done, Representative Huff, in context with the State Board of Election's standards as they are now."

Huff: "Yes, but I mean what... what provisions do you have in there to safeguard that against that happening? I mean we've... we've experienced this in Chicago. We've had one challenger challenge everybody in the ward, everybody."

Olson: "Well this is not a applicable to your standards in

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Chicago because you are exempt from this."

- Huff: "Yes, but you haven't answered my question. What's to stop this registrar from challenging everybody in that county?"
- Olson: "The standards that are set by the State Board of Election. Representative Nash, would like to respond to that."
- Speaker Breslin: "Bepresentative Nash has an answer to the question."
- Nash: "In reference to what Representative Buff is referring to, in the City of Chicago right now the election judges can register people, and they can challenge them. They can take them off if they don't live there. And this Amendment does the same thing. As long as a registrar can register someone to vote, if they find they don't live there anymore, they can challenge him. They can take him off. It's a very simple Amendment. It puts the Fill in the order. It's an agreed Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Huff, to the Bill... or the Amendment."
- Huff: "As we found in Chicago, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker),

  Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these affidavits that
  these challengers present to precipitate the challenge are
  usually... on this face. They haven't met any of the
  requirements, and the Board of Election should strike these
  depositions, but they allow them to stand nonetheless. And
  we go through all of this effort and money and time and
  really don't knock off anybody. I think that this is a
  waste of time, and this Amendment should be struck."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash, for what reason do you rise?"
- Nash: "In answer to the last..."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Nash, you have already spoken to the question. Representative Pangle, from Kankakee."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

- Pangle: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. A question to the Sponsor."
- Speaker Breslin: "Question of the Sponsor. The Gentleman indicates he will yield for a question."
- Pangle: "When the challenge is made, who will the challenge actually be made to?"
- Olson: "To the county clerk or the Election Commission whichever it might be. This is strictly a downstate challenge."
- Pangle: "So it would be to the county clerk of, let's say Kankakee County, is that correct?"
- Olson: "I'm sorry, Representative, I was talking..."
- Pangle: "I'm glad you're getting all that support from Cook

  County on a nonpartisan Cook County Bill. If it's

  challenged, the County of Kankakee, for an example, the

  county clerk will determine that challenge. I mean it will

  be up to his discretion?"
- Olson: "The deputy registrar who is making the challenge in the county, the same as he would be going around signing people up to vote, would take it to the proper authority, and they would have plenty of time under the State Board of Election standards to challenge it prior to the election, a couple of weeks up front. They just can't walk in and have their allegation accepted. It has to be supported by substantial evidence."
- Pangle: "So there will be enough time if there is a challenge made that that person if challenged, if it's 28 days before election, can still be eliqible..."
- Olson: "Yes, there's very adequate time. The county clerks are supported with this Amendment."
- Pangle: "The county clerks figure they have enough time to take care of the challenges in the voter registration..."
- Olson: "That's right, we wouldn't have suggested it otherwise."

  Pangle: "Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from Jefferson, Representative

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

- Hicks."
- Hicks: "Yes, Madam Speaker, a question of the Sponsor of the Amendment please."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield to a question."
- Hicks: "Yes, Representative Olson, is this Amendment similar to the Amendment that we placed on 3181?"
- Olson: "Yes, Representative, this is exactly the same Amendment as we put on 3181 which we had visited with you."
- Hicks: "And currently under Illinois Election Code cannot any registered voter in the State of Illinois challenge any other registered voter if they feel like they're... they're illegal?"
- Olson: "I didn't hear you. Someone was rapping the gavel."
- Olson: "They may within the jurisdiction, yes."
- Hicks: "Fine. Madam Speaker, to the Amendment. The Amendment is very similar to what we placed on my Bill, House Bill 3181.

  As a matter of fact, I understand it's exactly like it.

  It's not an Amendment that's going to create any problems.

  I support the Amendment, and I'd ask everybody else to support the Amendment."
- Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Lee, Representative Olson, to close."
- Olson: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This has had adequate discussion. I would reemphasize this is only applicable to downstate. It gives a balance to registering and challenges the proper safeguards are spelled out by the State Board of Elections and supported by the county clerks. And I urge its adoption."
- Speaker Breslin: "Representative Olson moves the adoption of

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1217. All those in favor say "aye", all those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1395,

Representative McGann - Satterthwaite. Clerk, read the...

Out of the record. Senate Bill 1420, Representative Keane

- Levin. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Breslin: "1420."

Clerk Leone: "1420, a Bill for an Act in relationship to delinquent taxpayers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Beading. Senate Bill 1470. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1470, a Bill for an Act relating to the sale of retail of merchandise by or on property of state institutions of higher learning. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Flcor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "None."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1478,
Representative Wojcik - Karpiel. Out of the record.

Senate Bill 1484, Representative Greiman - Nash. Clerk,
read the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1484, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments? Representative Greiman, for what reason do you rise?"

133rd Legislative Day

June 13. 1984

Greiman: "I did file an Amendment. I'm not sure that it's been... has it been distributed? Apparently it's been distributed."

Clerk Leone: "Amendment #1, Greiman, amends Senate Fill 1484 and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Yes, thank you. This Bill as it was originally filed deals with bus drivers, school bus drivers, who are intoxicated or found guilty of driving while under intoxication, driving a school bus under intoxication. Τn the Senate they increased the penalty from a Class 4 Felony to a Class 3 and passed the Bill. It seemed to us, to the Committee that heard it, the Transportation Committee, that that would be an unusually harsh penalty. should have a harsher penalty and raise it from a Class A Misdemeanor to a Class 4 Felony. So this puts it in the condition that it was originally filed, a Class 4 Felony. It also requires that a student or the school children be present on the bus at that time. The thrust of the Bill is that we punish those people who are driving buses, school buses, and are intoxicated. And the thrust of it therefore, that there be children present and that the children be endangered. And accordingly, I ask adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1484."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1484. And on that guestion, the Lady from Chicago, Representative Alexander."

Alexander: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."

Alexander: "Are we inferring to intoxication via alcohol or other forms of intoxication that prove that your drunk? You know they do have other drugs out there that produce a drunkness. Are we just limiting it to alcohol?"

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Greiman: "No, it would be also drugs as well, other drugs as well, yes."

Alexander: "Okay, that is also included?"

Greiman: "Yes."

Alexander: "Alright, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #1 to Senate
Bill 1484 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all
those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the
"ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any
further Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Beading. Senate Bill 1491,
Representative Flinn. Clerk, read the... Clerk, read the
Bill."

Clerk Leone: "Senate Bill 1491, a Bill for an Act to amend the
Uniform Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk Leone: "Floor Amendment #2, Zwick, amends Senate Pill 1491 on page one and so forth."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. proposing Amendment #2 which simply expands on what the original Bill does. Okay, the original Eill several recommendations and certainly nothing that I object It is a definition in the Unclaimed Property Act for an act of expressed trust. The problem is that the definition is simply inadequate in that there is no distinction in the definition between an act of expressed trust and an inact of expressed trust. So I add five words to the definition which are "and that purpose has not ended, which draws a d stinction between active expressed trust and inactive expressed trust. Now the purpose is

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

very important, because an inactive expressed trust has to treated very differently and is currently addressed by litigation in the State of Illinois between Department of Financial Institutions and Chicago Title and Trust. The Department of Financial Institutions is supposed to under the Unclaimed Property Act search the records of financial institutions or be... have the financial institutions report to them on a search that they have done of their records for inactive property or trusts so that we can notify the beneficiaries or trustees of this unclaimed property and return it to the proper owner; or, that is not possible, that we can turn those funds over to the pension funds, which is where the money would go to if it's unclaimed property that is not claimed after proper notification procedures. If this Bill is passed the way it is without this Amendment on it, those enactive trusts will be able to be held by the title companies or whatever financial institution is holding them without proper notification to the beneficiary or trustee and without properly channeling those funds back to the state where they should be. They will be held by the trust companies, and they will earn the interest that the state should be getting or the person who is rightfully entitled to that property. I would submit to you that this is a very important Amendment. I hope you will pay attention to it. It is very important that we get the Amendment on the Bill. It will overturn. It will actually negate the effect. This Bill without the Amendment will negate the effect of the current litigation that is pending for the Department of Financial Institutions to go in and search for inactive trusts in the trust companies. I ... I urge your support of this Amendment, and I would be glad to answer any questions that you might have."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1491. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Question of the Sponsor."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Lady will yield for a question."
- O\*Connell: "Representative, your Amendment was the same Amendment that was offered in the Financial Institutions Committee.

  Is that correct?"
- Zwick: "Yes, that is correct."
- O'Connell: "Okay. You said that this will negate any litigation that's pending right now. Is that your statement?"
- Zwick: "It will make it mute. If the Bill passes without this Amendment, it will make that litigation mute."
- O'Connell: "Make it mute, okay."
- Zwick: "Right, it will... it will negate the effect of it, actually. I mean the litigation will not be able to continue, because it will be overturned, really, by this Amendment. I mean I... by this Bill."
- O'Connell: "Well, the litigation is an interpretation of what is an expressed trust... active trust."
- Zwick: "It's a question between what is active and what is inactive and if the Department had the right to search for those inactive trusts."
- O'Connell: "So if you don't put the Amendment on, you're going to leave it up to the courts to decide what is an active trust?"
- Zwick: "No, if you don't put the Amendment on, then the Bill will pass as it is, which will say that there is no difference between an active and an inactive trust so that the Department cannot go in and search for inactive trusts, because there will be no difference under our definition."
- O'Connell: "Well presently, doesn't the court decide what is an active trust and what is an inactive trust?"

133rd Legislative Day

June 13. 1984

Zwick: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

O'Connell: "Presently, isn't the current practice that the courts in equity determine what is an active trust?"

Zwick: "No, it's not the court. It is by our statutes that we create. I believe it's after seven years of inactivity without... unless there was like, of course, the first payout of the trust did occur for after seven years."

O'Connell: "The courts... The courts have some jurisdiction over this matter, now. Do they not?"

Zwick: "You can only appeal to the courts if you believe that the statutes are insufficient, which is what has been done, because there is currently no definition."

O'Connell: "Well, who interprets the statute?"

Zwick: "Well, the courts do, of course."

O'Connell: "Alright now with your Amendment cn..."

Zwick: "Yes?"

O'Connell: "Are you not giving the Department of Financial
Institutions the determination to decide?"

Zwick: "No, I'm putting... I'm putting it in the law. I'm putting it in the statute book. I just think it's better to have a good definition or no definition. What this Bill proposes to make the definition is an inadequate definition."

O'Connell: "Well who's going to decide whether... excuse me,

Representative... Who will decide whether the purpose has

not ended?"

Zwick: "That is determined by law."

O'Connell: "Somebody has to interpret the law."

Zwick: "Right and we create the law and say that after seven years of enactivity. Then we look at it to see if it is unclaimed, and they can certainly debate that point. If the Department would say this is an inactive trust at this point according to the statutes, then anyone could

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

certainly appeal that. And they could even begin by doing it internally before going on to the courts. But we do create in the statutes specific legislation as to what is an active trust and what is inactive. There is a definition in <u>Black's Law Dictionary</u>, for example, that is very different from this one."

O'Connell: "To the Bill, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Breslin: "Proceed."

O'Connell: "I would have to recommend that there be a negative vote on this Amendment. I think that the Amendment does, in fact, gut the intent of the Bill, and I think pursuant discussion in Financial Institutions the OHT---Committee my concern is that you are taking out of the courts the determination as to what is an active trust and placing it more prominently in the hands of the Department of Financial Institution. Albeit written into the statute, I don't think the statute is that clear. Anytime anyone can differ on the language and that purpose has not ended. I don't think that that determination should be placed in the hands of the Department of Financial Institutions. I would recommend a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Flinn."

Plinn: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Amendment to my Bill. The Bill originally came from JCAR, and it came about simply because the Department was having negative reporting. Each year, whether you had any trusts or not, you had to do a report. It also came about because the Department was trying to institute rules which took away from the bank of commissioners who has the right, right now, to audit active trusts. It also takes away from the equity court which has been making decisions on active trusts. This Amendment was offered... as an Amendment in

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

the Senate and was turned down. Ιt was offered in the Committee and turned down. The Lady who is sponsoring it did not have the benefit of being at the Committee that day and didn't get to hear all of the things that were said the Amendment and the fact that it guts the Bill. And I don't think she appreciates what the Bill is She's a super nice person. I hate to oppose her, but I think that she ought to know what she is talking about. And I believe she's been had by some Department representative. I would ask that you defeat the Amendment once and for all."

Speaker Breslin: "There being no further discussion, the Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick, to close."

Zwick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I appreciate some comments that have been made, and I regret that I did miss the Committee hearing that day. But I have pretty thoroughly researched this Bill, and I do believe that there is some misunderstanding that is quite widely spread around ... on I admit that this is a JCAR this particular issue. recommendation, but I think that perhaps JCAR has been on this one to tell you the truth. This... What this does is basically what I said. My Amendment does gut the effect of what Chicago Title and Trust is trying to do. What my Amendment does is... is safeguard against the permitting of inactive or failed trusts to escape the coverage of this and that is what the intention of this Act was, for unclaimed properties so that we can find unclaimed property and notify the proper owners, not leave it sitting with Chicago Title and Trust or some other financial institution for them to earn the interest. The interest rightfully belongs with the beneficiary or trustee even if, when trust is inactive or failed, or it should go to the State of Illinois pension funds, and perhaps we can save a little

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

bit of money that we might have to appropriate. I would request your support on this Bill, and I appreciate your consideration of the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate
Bill 1491 be adopted?' All those in favor vote 'aye', all
those opposed vote 'no'. Voting is open. Have all voted
who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this
question there are 46 voting 'aye', 53 voting 'no' and 3
voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Are there any
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading."

Speaker Breslin: "Senate Bill 1509, Representative Terzich.

Representative Terzich? Out of the record. Senate Bill

1522, Representative Greiman - Currie. Excuse me,

Representative Terzich is back. With leave of the House,

let's go back to Senate Bill 1509, Representative Terzich.

Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1509, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Park District Code. Second Reading of the

Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1522,
Representative Greiman. Out of the record. Senate Bill
1524, Representative Stuffle. Representative Stuffle? Out
of the record. Senate Bill 1538, Representative Giorgi.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1538, a Bill for an Act in relation to the interest rate on special assessments in certain sanitary districts. Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative... Are there any Floor

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Giorgi, amends..."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #1...

it's the... is the result of the problem created by the
Federal EPA placing certain requirements upon sanitary
districts. They order that sanitary districts have
pretreatment programs to control... users by permitting
contracts and then also the ability to remedy noncompliance
and immediately to affect and hault threatening pollutants
in the sanitary districts services. This Bill allows
sanitary districts to prepare the necessary permits and
contracts and to allow the sanitary districts to force
these people to comply with their regulations with a
subpeona. That's all this does, and I urge the support of
the Amendment."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1538. And on that question, is there any discussion? Seeing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1538 be adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Giorgi."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Floor Amendment #2 is the... the contents of Floor Amendment #2 is the same as the House Hill #2523 that went out of the House. We've had profusely quite a bit of debate on the Amendment, and it died in the Senate Rules. And I'd like to put it on this Hill so we can keep House Bill 2523 alive. And what that allows is for emergency repairs to sanitary sewers or sanitary districts. It's the same as the Act that municipalities have, and it's no

#### 133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

different. All it allows is by emergency it suspends bidding and purchasing requirements because of an emergency. It takes two-thirds of the vote of the members of the board, and what they do has to be on display for a year to show that there is no chicanery. I urge for the adoption of Amendment #2."

- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1538. And on that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Piel."
- Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question, please?"
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman will yield for a question."
- Piel: "Representative, this Amendment basically with a two-thirds vote is giving a carte blanche to where they can, you know, buy things up to \$40,000 without bidding. Is that correct?"
- Giorgi: "Only in the case of a dire emergency."
- Piel: "Well the way we, you know, read the Amendment here is that, by a vote of two-thirds of the board, they can suspend the advertise and competitive bidding procedures.

  You know, what in the Amendment sort of would classify, you know, the emergency situation?"
- Giorgi: "Okay, the emergency situation would be a situation where, for example, a shopping center like the Woodfield Shopping Center or the Oakbrook Shopping Center or the Rockford Cherryville Shopping Center has a main break, has debris spewing all over the shopping center, and it's going to take 20 or 30 or 40 thousand dollars in this day's prices, to do the job."
- Piel: "Well I know what an emergency is... I know what emergency is, but what I'm asking is where is it in the Amendment that you're saying only in emergency situations. The way I'm reading the Amendment is that at any time with a

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13. 1984
- two-thirds vote of the board, they can waive advertising and bidding procedures up to \$40,000."
- Giorgi: "What it says is, in the event of an emergency, it takes
  two-thirds of the board to suspend their bidding and
  purchasing procedures so they can go into the emergency
  action. This does not apply to the Metropolitan Sanitary
  District of Chicago."
- Piel: "Thank you."
- Speaker Breslin: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from Winnebago, to close."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, I've explained the Bill. I think this..."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has... The question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 58... 1538 he adopted?' All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. Excuse me, are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Giorgi, amends Senate Bill 1538 by deleting the title and so forth."
- Speaker Breslin: "Excuse me, this Bill remains on the Order of Second Reading. Representative Giorgi, for what reason do you rise?"
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, Amendment #3 is identical to Amendment #2

  that we just adopted. So I d like to withdraw Amendment
  #3."
- Speaker Breslin: "Very good. The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #3. Are there any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Senate Eill 1589,
  Representative Alexander. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1589, a Bill for an Act to amend the

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Breslin: "Are there any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kirkland."

Kirkland: "Withdraw Amendment #2, please."

Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman withdraws Amendment #2 there.

Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Kirkland."

Speaker Breslin: "Representative Kirkland."

- Rirkland: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Amendment #3 we intended to add in Committee, and I walked out of the room, and the Bill was called and went past. This is to amend the no fault portion of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution Act to clarify, I believe, that separation periods occurring prior to July 1 when the Bill goes into effect will be counted toward the separation periods called for in the statute, and I ask for support."
- Speaker Breslin: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1589. And on that question, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Effingham, Representative Brummer."
- Brummer: "Yes, excuse me, but I did not completely understand the clarification. How does... This undoubtedly deals with the length or period separation. How does it change existing law?"
- Kirkland: "It doesn't change the provisions for length of separation, but it simply said where... says that... clarifies, I think, that where there is separation prior to July 1 and up to and through July 1 that that period of

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

separation will count toward the numbers called for in the statute. The numbers aren't being changed."

Brummer: "Okay, and is that a... that's a one year period, if I recall correctly."

Kirkland: "It's six months when the parties agree and two years when they don't agree."

Brummer: "Okay, and that's a consecutive period of time?"

Kirkland: "That's correct."

Brunmer: "And we aren't changing that."

Kirkland: "I'm sorry."

Brummer: "We are not changing that. This Amendment would not alter that in any way."

Kirkland: "That's correct."

Brummer: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Breslin: "The question is, "Shall Amendment #3 to Senate
Bill 1589 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", all
those opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the
"ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any
further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Breslin: "Third Reading. Representative Braun, in the Chair."

Speaker Braun: "Senate Bill 1612, Bepresentative Hoffman.

Representative Hoffman? Out of the record. Senate Bill

1625, Representative Ropp. Is the Gentleman in the

chamber? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1629,

Representative Giorgi. Representative Giorgi? Is the

Gentleman in the chamber? Do you wish to have your Bill

called? 1629. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1629, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Horseracing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13, 1984
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion by Representative Cullerton to table
  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1629."
- Speaker Braun: "On that Motion, Representative Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1631, Representative Giorgi. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1644. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1664, Representative Oblinger. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1691, Representative Steczo. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1691, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Credit Union Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions. No Floor Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1692, Representative Cullerton. Cut of the record. Senate Bill 1707. Out of the record. For what reason does the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fiel, rise?"
- Piel: "Yes, could we back up to 1691? We never moved that to

  Third Reading. You said there was no Floor Amendments and

  then we just went onto the next Bill."
- Speaker Braun: "Yes, we did, Representative. It was moved to Third Reading."
- Piel: "Fine, as long as it was moved to Third Reading I got no problems, but I didn't hear Third Reading."
- Speaker Braun: "No it went to Third Reading. The Chair recognizes the Lady from DuPage, Bepresentative Karpiel."
- Rarpiel: "Yes, thank you, Madam Speaker, on a point of personal privilege. I know this is against the rules, but I would like to introduce the Pfizer Management Team that are up in the balcony up there that are here to celebrate their 5th... their 5th annual Springfield Hospitality Day. And they do invite all Legislators to join them this evening."

  Speaker Braun: "Representative Karpiel, that is against the

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

rules. However, since you've already done it. House Bill 1725 (sic - Senate Bill 1725), Representative Homer. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1725, a Bill for an Act in relation to victims of violence and abuse. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. House Bill 17... House Bill 1727

(sic - Senate Bill 1727). Out of the record. House Bill

1733 (sic - Senate Bill 1733). Out of the record. House
Bill 1743 (sic - Senate Bill 1743), Representative Terzich.

Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1743, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to compensation of Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Terzich, amends Senate Bill
1743 on page four line 24 by deleting 'January 9, 1985' and
inserting in lieu thereof 'July 1, 1984'. Representative
Terzich, on Amendment #1... 2 on Amendment 2."

Terzich: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Amendment #2 simply changes the effective date from January 1, 1989 (sic - 1985) to the fiscal year starting July 1, 1984, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Braun: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Kirkland. Hearing none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 to Senate Eill 1743 he adopted?'

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

All those in favor say 'aye', all opposed say 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1746, Representative Hoffman. Cut of the record. Senate Bill 1747. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1790, Representative Jaffe Representative Dunn. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1793, Representative Cullerton. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1794, Representative Topinka. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1794, a Bill for an Act in relation to the offense of aggravated fleeing or attempting to elude a police officer. Second Beading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 by Representative
  Cullerton."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Cullerton, on a Motion to table Amendment #1. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1803, Bepresentative Pierce. Bepresentative Fierce? Senate Bill 1803, do you wish to have your Bill heard? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1811, Bepresentative Saltsman. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1811, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Beading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Representative Saltsman, amends Senate Bill 1811 on page one, line one and so forth."
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Saltsman, on Amendment #1."
- Saltsman: "Yes, Madam Speaker, I'd like to withdraw Amendment

133rd Legislative Day

June 13. 1984

# 1\_ "

Speaker Braun: "Amendment #1 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, by Representative Friedrich."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Friedrich, on Amendment #2."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment merely permits schools to lease parochial schools, which they are doing now, but it would permit them to use the building fund money as opposed to operating money. And as far as I know there is no opposition to it. We did have some opposition to a previous one because it said public buildings, and there was some opposition because they said that could include legion halls and everything else. But this limits it to public schools and parochial schools. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Madam Chairman (sic - Madam Speaker), was #1 tabled?"

Speaker Braun: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn."

Friedrich: "I would like to withdraw #3."

Speaker Braun: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Hallock, amends Senate Bill 1811 on page one by deleting line one and two."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Winnetago, on Amendment #4."

Hallock: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Members of the House. This

Amendment merely allows some schools to give land or, I

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

should say, lease land to municipalities, land that is not being used. We have a situation in my district whereby some vacant schools are being used by the cities which are not for profit, of course, and this would allow this to be done. And I would ask for your support."

Speaker Braun: "Is there any discussion on Amendment #4. There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?" All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Eill 1845, Representative McGann. Ont n f the record. S∈nate Bill Representative Madigan. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1853, Representative McGann. Out of the record. Bill 1855, Representative Nelson. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1857, Representative DeJaegher. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1864, Representative Vinson. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1873, Representative Oblinger. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1875. Representative Countryman. Representative Countryman? Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1875, a Bill for an Act to create grant in aid programs to link the techno... technological..."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "...of the state's universities with research and development needs for small businesses. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments? No Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1883, Representative Waite. Mr. Clerk, read the Fill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1883, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of an Act creating attorneys' liens and for
enforcement of same in the Illinois Public Aid Code.
Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Representative Waite, amends

Senate Bill 1883 as amended as follows and so forth."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Waite, the Gentleman from Boone, on Amendment #2."

Waite: "Yes, Amendment #2 simply deletes minors from the award that would have to maybe be given back to the Department of... for the minors given for... Fublic Aid. We simply want to leave this off because this was not the intent to put this in in the first place."

Speaker Braun: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?" All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the orinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Johnson, amends Senate Bill 1883 as amended as follows and so forth."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Johnson, on Amendment #3. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? Bepresentative Johnson? Representative Waite, what is your pleasure with regard to this Amendment?"

Waite: "I guess we can hold it until he gets back."

Speaker Braun: "Out of the record. This Bill will be held on Second Beading as amended. Senate Bill 1888,

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Representative Nash. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1889, Representative Terzich. Out of the record. Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich, on Senate Bill 1889. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1925, Representative Flinn - Representative Levin. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1925, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to rules and powers of various state agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Levin and Flinn, amends

Senate Bill 1925 on page one by adding after line 32 the
following and so forth."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Levin, on Amendment #4."

Levin: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1925 is the omnibus Joint Committee on Administrative Rule Bill, and Amendment #4 is an Amendment agreed to by the Department of Law Enforcement and the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority. And what it does is to provide that the ... the Authority, rather than the Department of Law Enforcement, shall establish rules to allow individuals to review criminal history record information which is held by the Department. In addition, the Amendment allows the Authority to establish reasonable fees for such reviews and also allows the Authority to establish rules regarding the correction of such information."

Speaker Braun: "Is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, "Shall Amendment #4 be adopted?" All those in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Levin and Flinn, amends

Senate Bill 1925 on page one, in line two and so forth."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Cook."

- Levin: "Continuing as the spokesman for law enforcement. Amendment #5 is proposed by the Department of Law Enforcement and provides that the Superintendent of Folice shall be appointed by the Director of the Department rather than by the Governor. This is also supported by the Governor. This is... the Superintendent of State Police is the only Deputy Director that is not currently appointed by the Director, and one of the results of this is that he earns a different salary level. It is supported by the Police Lodge and by the Governor as well as by the Department."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5 is there any discussion? There being none the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Levin and Flinn, amends House

  Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1925 on page five, line five

  and so forth."

Levin: "Withdraw Amendment #6."

- Speaker Braun: "Amendment #6 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Levin and Flinn, amends

  Senate Bill 1925 with reference to the page and line

  numbers in House Amendment #1 and sc forth."

Speaker Braun: "Representative Levin."

Levin: "Yes, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

133rd Legislative Day

- June 13, 1984
- this Amendment simply clarifies that the Department of Public Health shall inspect nursing homes. We've adopted similar Amendment on the Speaker's Nursing Home Reform Act."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall the Amendment be adopted?' All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Beading. Senate Bill 1935, Representative Madigan. Representative Currie. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1935, a Bill for an Act concerning long-term care facilities and amending certain Acts herein named. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed... No, any Floor Amendments?"

  Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Topinka and Cowlishaw, amends

  Senate Bill 1935 on page two by deleting line nine and so forth."
- Speaker Braun: "On Amendment #1, Representative Topinka."
- Topinka: "Yes, Madam Chairman (sic Speaker), I would like to withdraw Amendments 1 and 2, please."
- Speaker Braun: "Amendments 1 and 2 are withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1939, Representative Barnes. Representative Barnes? The Lady from Cook... The Clerk will read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1939, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Health and Hazardous Substance Registry Act.

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill... Senate Bill 1940,
Representative Barnes. Br. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1940, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1941, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1941, a Bill for an Act to amend certain Acts in relation to environmental protection.

Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Beading. Senate Bill 1946, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1946, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Second
Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in
Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

133rd Legislative Day

June 13. 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. With leave of the House we will return to Senate Bill 1707, Representative Cullerton. Is there leave? Mr. Clerk, read the Fill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1707, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the regulation and administration of trusts by trust companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed... I mean any Floor
  Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No Committee Amendments and no Floor Amendments."

  Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. With leave of the House we will

  return to Senate Bill 1884... I'm sorry 1883,

  Representative Waite. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1883, this Bill has been read a second time previously. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from... Any further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Johnson, amends Senate Bill
  1883 as amended as follows on page nine by deleting line 17
  through 19 and so forth."
- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson, on Amendment #3."
- Johnson: "Yes, thank you, Mr. (sic Madam) Speaker, Members of the House. I've talked to the Sponsor about this. It's an agreed Amendment. It simply restores the Bill or restores the last paragraph of the Bill to the way it was before... before the proposed changes. I don't think it's controversial. It has to do with the Wrongful Death Act, and I move its adoption."
- Speaker Braun: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Adams, Representative Mays."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Johnson: "I just look like Mays. I'm just using his thing here."

Speaker Braun: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. The House will be at ease for a moment. We will now return to the Order of Second Beading, appropriation bills, on page six on the Calendar. House Bill 1559 (sic - Senate Bill 1559). Out of the record. House Bill 1560 (sic - Senate Bill 1560). Mr. Clerk, read the Eill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1560, a Bill for an Act to making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of the State Fire Marshal. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2 was withdrawn in Committee. Floor
Amendment #3, Saltsman, amends Senate Bill 1560 as amended
on page one, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Saltsman, on Amendment #3."

Saltsman: "Yes, Madam Speaker, what this Amendment does is it puts back in some of the project that the State Fire Marshals wanted in the beginning that was cut cut and what it will do. It is not putting back in everything that was there, but we think it is something that will be agreeable to the Director of the Budget and the Governor's Office. And we think that he will accept this Amendment."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Amendment #3 is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Fiel."

Piel: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for a question please?"

Speaker Braun: "He's indicated he will."

Piel: "Representative, first of all if this Amendment goes on the Bill what are we talking about total increase costs?"

Saltsman: "Approximately \$124,000."

Piel: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Braun: "Is there any further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman has moved... There being none the question is, "Shall Amendment #3 be adopted?" All in favor say "aye", opposed say "nay". In the opinion of the Chair the "ayes" have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1561, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Fill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1561, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1563, Representative Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1563, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Judges' Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1570, Representative

133rd Legislative Day

Clerk O'Brien:

June 13, 1984

an Act making

Barnes. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1570, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mines and Minerals. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

the bill. Amendments #1 did 2 wele adopted in com

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment... Amendment #3 was withdrawn in Committee. No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. Senate Fill 1571. Out of the record. Senate Bill 1578. Representative Farnes. Mr.

record. Senate Bill 1578, Representative Earnes. Mr Clerk, read the Bill."

1578, a

Bill for

appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employees Retirement System. Second Reading of

the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee.

Speaker Braun: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

"Senate Bill

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. For what purpose does Representative Bowman rise?"

Bowman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just wish to announce for the benefit of the Members of the Appropriations II and anyone who has an interest in legislation in our Committee that we will meet immediately following adjournment. We recessed the morning meeting because we didn't have time to here all the Bills, and we will meet immediately upon adjournment in Room 118.

My understanding is that we will be adjourning very soon and so the Members... I wish the Members of the Appropriations Committee to take heed and be prepared to

83

Speaker Braun: "I'd like to remind the Members of the House we have moved through almost all of the Calendar today. Those Bills which were not called were not called in instances because Amendments are not yet ready to be heard. I'd encourage the Membership to have your Amendments ready so that we may shorten our work time and calmly get through this end of Session. So if you have any... if you have that you think you can move, please try to get it moved tomorrow so that we can clear the Calendar up. So can conclude our business. The Chair recognizes Gentleman from the Winnebago for purposes οf an announcement or the Adjournment Resclution."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, do you want to read the Agreed Resolution before we adjourn?"

Speaker Braun: "Yes, we do. Mr. Clerk."

Giorgi: "House Resolution #1043."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 1043, Kirkland - Karpiel."

Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, House Resolution 1043 by Kirkland Karpiel, honors the Pfizer Incorporated Corporation for
their world-wide success. They have... They employ 41,000
people throughout the world and operate 142 production
facilities in 43 nations. I move for the adoption of the
Agreed Resolution."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13. 1984

- Speaker Braun: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor... All in favor of the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it, the Resolutions are adopted."
- Giorgi: "Madam Chairman, does the Clerk need any perfunctory time?"
- Speaker Braun: "Representative Giorgi, we'd like you to hold the Adjournment Resolution for a moment. Representative Nash has requested leave of the House to return to the Order of Second Readings for purposes of hearing Senate Bill 1888.

  Is there any objection? There being none, Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1888, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of
  the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Braun: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker Braun: "Third Reading. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."
- Giorgi: "Madam Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m.... 9:00 a.m. Thursday morning."
- Speaker Braun: "Allowing five minutes for Ferfunctory Session, the House will adjourn until 9:00 a.m. Wednesday morning...

  Thursday morning. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it.

  The House stands adjourned until 9:00 temorrow morning."
- Ropp: "If I can have your attention Members of the Bouse and the softball members, we're going to have practice this afternoon at 4:30 at the normal park where we do have, about two blocks west of the Capitol, 4:30 softball practice. Thank you."

133rd Legislative Day

June 13, 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Report. Representative Bowman ... "

DiPrima: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen, I just want to make a report. The total receipts for the Poppy Day sales were \$342.77, which I'm very grateful, but it was a little bit less than what we had done in the past. I hope we'll improve on it next year. Jack Davis made a donation of \$10. Myron Kulas gave a donation of 10 and Tommy Lyons gave us 20. Thank you one and all. Thank you."

Clerk O'Brien: "Further Committee Reports. Representative Bowman, Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken 13, 1984 and reported the same back with the following recommendation: \*do pass as amended\* Senate Bills 1557, 1575, 1583, 1595, 1597 and 1574. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. \*MI-Speaker, directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: Senate Bill #2711, together with Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 11, passed by the Senate as amended 1984. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Further Committee Representative Leverenz, Chairman Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken June 13, 1984 and reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' Senate Bills 1460, 1474, 1510, 1513, 1546, 1553, 1562, 1564, 1567, 1572, 1577, 1579, 1581, 1582, 1586, 1587 and 1656; 'do pass as amended' Senate Bills 1450, 1534, 1541, 1547, 1548, 1554, 1558, 1566, 1576, 1649 and also Senate Bill 1551 on the 'do pass as amended'. No further business, the House now stands adjourned."