28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- Speaker Madigan: "The House shall come to order. The House shall come to order. The Members shall be in their chairs. We shall be led today in prayer by the... Representative Brookins. Representative Brookins. Representative Brookins. Could I have your attention, please? We shall be led in prayer today by the Reverend Eric Stumpf, Pastor of the Faith Evangelical Lutheran Church, in Cicero, Illinois. Reverend Stumpf is a guest of Representative Judy Topinka. Will the guests in the gallery please rise to join us in the invocation?"
- Reverend Stumpf: "Dearest heavenly Father, we praise You for all the blessings our nation enjoys. Forgive us when we sin and follow other councils, rather than look to You as God and Lord of our lives. Bless our state and its law makers, in their tasks and responsibilities. Guide them by Your holy spirit, to legislate for the common good, and be Your instruments of justice, benevolence, and peace. Instill in their hearts a zeal to seek the better way, a desire to be impartial, and to honor their office. By Your grace, we yield our persons to Your will. In the name of our resurrected Lord, Jesus Christ, Your Son, Our Savior.
- Speaker Madigan: "We shall be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States
  of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one
  nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
  all."
- Speaker Madigan: "Boll Call for Attendance. Representative

  Topinka. Would you come to the podium, please? We are on

  Roll Call for Attendance. Mr. Greiman, are there any
  excused absences?"

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Representatives Henry Dunn, Shaw,
  Vitek, and Taylor are ill today, and I'd appreciate the
  record indicating. Representative Taylor was ill
  yesterday, and the record did not..."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record show that those Representatives are excused. Mr. Greiman."
- Greiman: "Representative Alexander is excused for official business. The record should show that, too."
- Speaker Madigan: "Let the record also show that Representative Alexander is excused. Mr. Reilly. Do you know if there are any excused absences on the Republican side? For what purpose does Representative Bopp seek recognition?"
- Ropp: "... Mr. Speaker. I raise... I have a point of personal privilege. I have a comment on our agricultural situation across the street."
- Speaker Madigan: "Could I implore upon you to hold that just for a little bit? We'll surely come back to you. If... Mr. Clerk, take the record. We'll enter the Republican absences at a later time. Mr. Vinson, you're 6 minutes late. Mr. Vinson, do you know the Republican absences? Mr. Vinson."
- Vinson: "Apart from the ones which may be resulting from
  Committees which are in session, while the House is
  supposed to be in Session, Mr. Speaker, I'm aware of
  Representative Daniels, Davis, and Hoffman."
- Speaker Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Vinson. Let the record show that those Representatives are excused. Would Mr. McPike come to the podium? Mr. Clerk, have you taken the record? And there are 108 Members responding to the Attendance Roll Call. There is a quorum present. Mr. Clerk, what business do you have? Introduction and First Reading."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1108, Giglio, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. First

#### 28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1109, Bowman - Greiman -Braun, a Bill for an Act in relation to tenants' rights. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1110, McMaster, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Agriculture. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1111, Leverenz, a Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1112, Matijevich - Steczo, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Education. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1113, Stuffle, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board Education. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1114, Didrickson, a Bill for an Act relating to special education services for handicapped children. First Reading of the House Bill 1115, Yourell, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1116, Mulcahey, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1117, Steczo, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Construction First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1118, Bond Act. McPike, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1119, Kirkland, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School House Bill 1120, First Reading of the Bill. Stuffle, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1121, Dunn - DiPrima, a Bill for an Act to regulate the selling, practice of fitting, dispensing, or servicing of hearing aides in the state of Illinois. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1122, Sam Wolf, a Bill for an Act in relation to the salaries and fees of the Clerks of the Supreme and Appellate Courts. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1123, Sam Wolf, a Bill for an Act to amend the Metro-east

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Sanitary District Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Committee reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Domico, Chairman of the Committee Registration and... Registration and Regulation, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 6, 1983, reported the same back with the • d o pass\* House Bill 552 and 562. recommendation: Representative Christensen, Chairman from the Select Committee on Aging, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 6, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendations: "do pass" House Bill 424: 'do pass as amended Consent Calendar' House Representative Steczo, Chairman of the Committee on Counties and Townships, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 6, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 381 and 701: 'do pass as amended' House Bill 398: 'do amended Consent Calendar House Bill 576. pass as Representative Mulcahev, Chairman of the Committe on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 6, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendations: House... 'do pass' Bouse Bills 631, 694, 563, 754, and 633; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 351, 631, 477, and 722; 'do pass as amended Short Debate\* House Bill 628; \*do pass Consent Calendar' House Bills 629 and 669. Interim Study Calendar. House Bill 458. Representative Van Duyne, Chairman of the Committee on Energy, Environment, and Natural Resources, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 1983. report the same back with following 'do pass' House Bills 494, 691, and 771. recommendation: Representative Satterthwaite, Chairman of the Committee on Higher Education, to which the following Bills were

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

referred, action taken April 6, 1983, report the same back with following recommendation: 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 196. Representative Wolf, Chairman of the Committee on Personnel and Pensions, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 6, 1983, report the same back with following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills 758, 429, and 675; 'do pass as amended' House Bills 380, 637, 377, 379, and 638; 'do pass Short Debate' House Bill 776; 'do pass as amended Short Debate' House Bill 755; 'do pass Consent Calendar' House Bill 639."

Speaker McPike: "Senate Bills First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 177, Representative Reilly, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Public Aid. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Reilly."

- Reilly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. By agreement with Representative Bowman, the Chairman of Appropriations II, I would move that this Bill be advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. It is a supplemental. We discussed it thoroughly in Committee this morning. It is important that it move along through the process, so on that basis, I would make that Motion."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved to advance Senate Bill... Senate Bill 177 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Are there any objections? Representative Bowman."
- Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. He is quite correct. We did have a hearing on the subject matter of this Bill in Committee today because it is important, and we anticipated that we might not be able to get control of the Bill in time to

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

actually post the Bill, itself, for hearing, but we did consider the issue. One of the... matters that needed to be resolved was that we needed to secure an agreement between the Illinois Department of Labor and the Illinois Department of Public Aid, as to how these monies, which are to be appropriated by the Bill, shall be spent. I do have, in my hand here, a signed agreement, signed by both directors of those de... agencies, in accordance with the testimony we heard today. So I think everything is in order, and I would support the Gentleman's Motion to advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day, without reference to a Committee."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved to advance Senate Bill

177 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. Motion... the Motion requires a unanimous consent. I would ask leave to use the Attendance Roll Call. Are there any objections? The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "What are you asking unanimous consent for?"

Speaker McPike: "For Representative Reilly's Motion."

Vinson: "Well, it's got to be a good Motion, if it's his."

Speaker McPike: "Hearing no objections, unanimous consent is granted. Senate Bill 177 will be placed on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. On the Calendar, on page 3, under the Order of Second Beading appears House Bill 244. Representative Nash. For what reason does the Gentleman from DeWitt rise, Representative Vinson?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker. I would just suggest that since a number of Judiciary Committee Members aren't here, it might be appropriate to call over to the Judiciary Committee and tell them that the House is in Session."

Speaker McPike: "I understand that the Committee has adjourned,

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

and thank you for the suggestion. Is Representative Nash in the chamber? Do you want to call ... out of the record. Representative McGann. Representative House Bill 275. Bill 276. Out of the record. House Representative Levin. Representative Levin on the floor? Out of the record. House Bill 277. Representative Levin. Out of the record. House Bill 280. Representative Levin. Out of the record. House Bill 295. Representative Out of the record. Representative Jaffe on Satterthwaite. House Bill 319. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 319, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law relating to industrial home work. Third Reading... Second Reading of the Bill.

This Bill has been read a second time previously."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. It is my attention today to put the Bill in absolutely perfect shape, and as you know we've had this Bill on the floor for a long period of time, but I think we now have everything straightened out and can do that. So therefore, I have filed a Motion, and I would move to reconsider Amendment #2, and I have filed a written Motion on that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved to reconsider Amendment #2. Is there any discussion?"

Jaffe: "Okay. Next Mr. Speaker, I would move to table Amendment #2."

Speaker McPike: "There's no discussion. The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #2. Is that correct, Representative Jaffe?"

Jaffe: "That's correct."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved to table Amendment #2.

Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, the

Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11. No other Amendments are now adopted to the Bill. Amendment #11, Vinson, amends House Bill 319 as amended in the paragraph, 'donated as Section G and so forth.'"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson, on the Amendment."

Vinson: "... take it out of the record, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson, do you want to withdraw
Amendment #11? Amendment #11 is withdrawn. Further
Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Barger, amends House Bill 319 as amended by inserting immediately after the last sentence of the paragrapgh donated, 'denoted as Subsection G of Section 2 the following, so forth.'"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Barger, on the Amendment. Is the Gentleman in the chamber? The Gentleman is not in the chamber. Representative Jaffe. Representative Vinson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Vinson: "Here's the Gentleman."

Speaker McPike: "I'm sorry. The Gentleman from DuPage,

Representative Barger, on Amendment #12. The Gentleman

from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "... Mr. Speaker. I would question the germaneness of Amendment #12, and I think... okay, well, yeah... well, not only is it... is this also... it's also out of order. It says Amendment to House Bill 319 as amended. There are no Amendments on 319 at this time."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Jaffe. We will give you a ruling in just a second on that. Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "I have two objections to that Amendment. Number one, the form is amended, and the second thing, its' germaneness.

This has nothing to do with municipal governments or governments of any kind. So I do... do not believe it's germane."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Speaker. Ιn addressing Mr. Jaffe's Vinson: "Well, Mr. objections, and for the purpose of consideration by the Parliamentarian in the Chair, I would point out, number one, that the Calendar today shows the Bill as being an 'amended Bill'. How else can a Member file an Amendment unless he can trust to the Calendar, and the words 'as amended certainly have ... do no violence to the purpose, intent, or the understanding of Members of the chamber, Number regarding the Amendment. two, regarding germaneness. As I have briefly read the Gentleman's Amendment, the Gentleman's Amendment stengthens the Bill. It makes clear that the Bill applies in home rule units, as as nonhome rule units, and it's thoroughly consistant with the general thrust of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger."

Barger: "Mr. Speaker. I'm sorry that I was a little bit late getting here, but that is over and done with. This Amendment was..."

Speaker McPike: "Sir... sir, could you hold that until we rule on Representative Jaffe's part... point."

Barger: "Right."

Speaker McPike: "Thank you. The Chair rules the Amendment is in... is in order. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger, on the Amendment."

Barger: "I appreciate the fact that Amendment #12 can be considered as an Amendment to a Motion that has been amended. In this particular case, I discussed the basic Bill yesterday with the representatives of the Ladies Garment Workers Union, and I told them about this Amendment, that I had suggested, which would protect their

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

people, not only in the small communities but also in the large communities, which have home rule and which could overcome the intent of this Bill by imposing their home rule rights to ignore it. This Amendment is highly desirable. It strengthens the intent of Mr. Jaffe's Bill, and I feel that it is in order. It is desirable. The Ladies Garment Workers feel that it is desirable, and I am most happy to have the opportunity to serve them by presenting it. Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffee."
- Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. This is a specious Amendment. It really is an Amendment of the worst sort. All that it tries to do is to attach something on to this Bill that will make it require a three-fifths vote. That's all that it does. This Bill has nothing to do with home rule units. The Ladies Garment Workers are not for it. They're absolutely against it. They don't want it. I don't know of anybody that wants it, outside of the people who want to cripple this particular Bill. I... you should just vote 'no' on this Amendment."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there further discussion? No further discussion, the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Barger to close."
- Barger: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I had not anticipated that Mr.

  Jafee, whom I know to be a strong proponent of labor
  legislation, would not be in favor of having his Bill
  strengthened. I still hold that this is a good Amendment.

  It is desirable. The representatives of the garment
  workers, that I did speak to yesterday, favored it, and
  I... would request a positive vote on this issue. Thank
  you."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #12. All those in favor indi... indicate by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 46 'ayes', 58 'no', 1 voting 'present'. The Amendment fails. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, Jaffe."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Well, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to move to table Amendment #13 and move on to... withdraw Amendment #13."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment 13 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, Jaffe."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Jaffe."

Amendment #14 is the Amendment I Jaffe: "Yeah. Mr. Speaker. think now, in good shape, that has all the things on it that people have requested that are reasonable. It has the Merchants Association definition of Illinois Retail 'garments', and they do not oppose it with this particular I have satisfied Representative Koehler's objection with the reference to repairs and so on and so I've also satisfied Representative Bastert's objection, and in addition to that we've also satisfied Representative Younge's objection because the paragraph says that it does not apply to producer cooperatives, and I would move to adopt Amendment #14."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #14. Is there any discussion? The Lady from Lake, Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "Indicates he'll yield."

Frederick: "Representative, will this exempt people who make

28th Legislative Day

- April 7, 1983
- garments or other articles on consignment for small shops? Will they be exempted from coverage?"
- Jaffe: "Yeah, you're taking about the individual who's going to make a ski cap or something and then sell it to a store.

  Is that right?"
- Frederick: "Yes. And they're not really under the employment of the shop."
- Jaffe: "... the only person that this Bill applies to is the employer. So that person is not included. There's been a lot of conversation on the floor of the House about these women who make ski caps in their homes, and then sell at the stores. They are not covered under this Act. That has really been misleading."
- Prederick: "Okay. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Any further discussion? Representative Jaffe, to close."
- Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker. I think everybody understands this particular Amendment, and I would urge an 'aye' vote on it."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #14. All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this Amendment there are 84 'ayes', 14 'nos', none voting 'present'. The Amendment 14 is adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 336. Representative Preston. Representative Preston, in the chamber? Out of the record. House Bill 394. Representative Leverenz. Out of the record. House Bill 454. Representative Huff. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 454, a Bill for an Act to amend

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Sections of an Act creating the Chicago Community School Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Zwick Tate, amends House Bill 454, on page 1 and so forth."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Mr. Speaker, I have an inquiry of the Chair. I believe that this Bill is... this Amendment is not germane. It refers in... on line 5, page 1, to an Act to abolish certain advisory bodies. The Eill refers to study commissions, so I believe that the... in one particular study commission, so I believe that this Amendment would be nongermane."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think this is a particularly important ruling for the following reason, the Sponsor of the Bill has offered a Bill which would affect a particular state agency. The Gentleman sponsoring the Amendment. Amendment #1, has offered on a Bill... had offered an Amendment which would also affect that agency. It would affect other agencies as well, admittedly. But if you can't affect more than one agency on an Amendment to a Bill which starts out as a Bill for one agency, then we're going to get into very serious problems in the rest of this session. And I'm going to ask that the ruling be read in detail, into the record, and I'm qoing to quote that ruling on a number of Sessions later ... on a number of times later in this Session if that ruling should hinge on what I suspect it may."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Speaker McPike: "The Chair rules Amendment #1 is out of order.

Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "No further Amendments. Third Reading. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Now Mr. Speaker, I asked you to explain why the Amendment was out of order at the time of my inquiry, and I would ask you to do that now. It's also appropriate after you make a ruling, to offer the opportunity for Members of the chamber to overrule the Chair. Now, what is the meaning of the ruling?"

Speaker McPike: "The Parliamentarian will read the ruling for you."

Assistant Parliamentarian Jacobs: "Amendment 1 is ruled out of order because the original Bill that will, with the creation of a Commission, the Amendment deals with the abolishment of advisory bodies and the two are distinct and different, and therefore, not germane."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Inquiry of the Parlimentarian."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

Vinson: "You're saying that you can not in a Bill which deals with one agency, come back with an Amendment which deals with that agency and another agency. Is that the nature of the ruling?"

Assistant Parliamentarian Jacobs: "To repeat, the purpose of the original Bill was to create a commission. The Amendment seeks to abolish advisory bodies. That is the limitation of the ruling, and that... and for that reason Amendment 1 is out of order."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker. I would move to overrule the Chair on that ruling, and I would move to overrule the Chair because the Chair is setting one of the most dangerous precedents this

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

General Assembly has seen since the 1970 Constitution The entire purpose of language in Mr. Speaker. the 1970 Constitution was to demonstrate that there were that there was a broadened area of germaneness in legislation before the General Assembly. What this ruling does is to say that if you have an Amendment which affects the Dangerous Drugs Commission, if you have a Bill which affects the Dangerous Drugs Commission, you can't come in and amend that Bill in a fashion which amends the Dangerous Drugs Commission and which amends the Department of Now Mr. Speaker, that's a terrible precedent, and if that's what your ruling, and that's what you are ruling the Lady's language, then what that will do is tie our hands, this Assembly's hands throughout this Session. I think you're trying to do is dispose of Mr. Tate and Ms. Zwick's Amendment in an irresponsible fashion, and a high handed fashion, and you're going to try then to rule inconsistantly and differently on further Amendments in the future, because you can't live with that Amendment, no Speaker can, no General Assembly can. You've got to able to deal with more than one agency in an Amendment appropriate purpose for quite often. The pr... germaneness ruling is to rule out of order Amendments which inconsistant with the thrust of the completely legislation. And when we're dealing with an Amendment that extends the life of a commission, the whole question's whether that commission should exist. And what they've offered is an Amendment to abolish that commission goes right at the question of whether that commission should exist. It's totally consistant with that Bill as drafted. with the entire thrust It's totally consistant αf conversation regarding the Bill. You're going to tie your hands. You're going to tie Mr. Madigan's hands on

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

everything in the future, because we're going to get a transcript of the record if this ruling isn't overread, and we're going to read it back into the record on ever Amendment where you attempt to do that in the remainder of this Session, every day. I would urge you to withdraw your ruling, and if you don't I move to overrule the Chair."

- Speaker McPike: "... the Bill should not have been moved to Third Reading. The Bill is on Second Beading. The Chair has ru... has ruled that the Amendment is not in order. The Chair recognizes Representative Vinson to make a Motion on that ruling."
- Vinson: "Mr. Speaker. I move to overrule the Chair in regard the last ruling on germaneness of the Amendment. I would futher point out that the Amendment changed the title Bill and deleted everything after the enacting clause. thoroughly legitimate procedure used often in this chamber, and if you can't do that, and if the contents of the Amendment are consistant with the new title of Bill, then I don't know what you can do. It's the most It's the ludicrous ruling I've ever seen anybody make. partisan ruling, I've ever seen anybody make. It's a ruling designed to do nothing except to protect Mr. Buff's Commission, to protect the Democrat Members Commission, and to protect Mr. Bakalis' job. That's what you're really trying to do, and I urge everybody to vote to overrule the Chair on this matter."
- Speaker McPike: "Mr. Vinson. Any 6 Members may move an appeal from any ruling of the Chair. Will 5 Members join you? 5 have joined Bepresentative Vinson. On that Motion, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think it's time to just kind of calm down and look at what the ruling was, what the Bill says, and maybe,

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

next time we want to pick our fights, pick a better argument. The Act refers to a commission. The Amendment refers to certain advisory bodies. It says nothing about a If the Amendment simply said, \*An Act to abolish certain Commissions, then perhaps, it would then in order. It's a very simple ruling. It will have ... we will have no problems having this ruling read back to us throughout the rest of the year. As a matter of fact, to anything other than this would ... would throw open ... would mean that we could amend any Bill with any other In fact, that's indeed what this Bill is. Speaking of protecting commissions ... in the integrety of process, what this Amendment is, is a Bill that was defeated in Executive Committee yesterday. So the ruling It makes sense. It's nothing to get is very on line. really excited about. I think that Representative, the Gentlemen from Clinton, should wait until his rights are really trampled upon before he should get so upset. a result, I would ask that we... we defeat his Motion overrule the Chair."

Speaker McPike: "On the Motion, the Gentleman from Macon, Representative Tate."

Tate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My distinguished colleague on the other side of the aisle has made the point that this is just a matter of fact solution in this ruling, and I can only encourage you to do one thing. Just take in consideration that it was... it was a very matter-of-fact way that in yesterday's Committee, when Representatives Zwick and myself offered this Bill in the Executive Committee, it was a very matterof-fact way that it was defeated, nine to seven. And a 'do pass' Motion was defeated, nine to seven, and a 'do not pass' Motion... we were not given the opportunity to hear this Bill, and this

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Bill deals with commissions. We are offering the Amendment. The Amendment deals with commissions. We are offering the... the Bill deals with commissions, and I don't see how anything can't be more germane to the subject matter."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I resent the remarks made by the previous speaker, that the Bill did not receive an adequate hearing in the Executive Committee. This Bill was in Executive Committee yesterday. We extended every courtesy to the Sponsor of the Bill. extended every courtesy to any witnesses. We did not rush it through the Bill. We took the vote on the Bill, and the Bill was defeated. And it was defeated overwhelmingly, and Motion was on a 'do not pass' Motion carried, nine to seven. I don't see where the Bill did not receive a fair hearing just because the Representative did not get the favorable vote count, does not mean that the Bill did not receive a fair hearing. The Bill was posted. As a matter of fact, I think Representative Tate came in at the end of the testimony, and saying that he was also a Cosponsor of the Bill. He wasn't even there when the Bill Representative Zwick. So I think its... he's with the... out of order saying that the Bill did not receive a fair hearing, or got a fair vote. There was no protest on that, and if he can't follow the rules or take the Committee report, he shouldn't be putting in af these type Amendment."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A prior speaker, Representative Cullerton, indicated that we should

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

hold our indignation until we're really trampled on. I don't know if that's a threat of things to come, but I don't really think that... very pertinent to this matter. The real issue is here, what are you doing to this House, and what are you doing to our right in having the opportunity to put our Amendments on your Bills. What are you afraid of? Give us a vote on the Amendment. I hope every Republican votes to overrule the Chair in this."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative

"Well. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Laurino: Mr. Executive Committee, and I sit on the Representative Zwick presented the Bill, she was afforded all the possiblities of passing this Bill when it There was a Motion made to ... on a 'do thoroughly heard. not pass' Motion. She substituted a 'do pass' Motion. had received its second life then. After that Holl Call was taken, it received a nine to seven 'do pass' ... 'do not pass' Motion. As its third life, she's come here and this Amendment on this Bill. I suppose if this were defeated, she'd ask for a discharge Motion. How many lives does a cat have to have before its dead completely? Mhy beat a dead horse? Let's just defeat the Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick."

Zwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Th...

I'd... I'd like to just explain somewhat, what happened in

Executive Committee, and why the attempt is being made
today to put this on as an Amendment, to perhaps give you a
little better understanding of my theory for this. There
is a discharge Motion which is also filed to lay the Bill
of the table, and to have immediate consideration on it.

However, in Executive Committee, the nature of this Bill is
that it became extraordinarily partisan. I think we are

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

all aware of the fact, that we can all get away with that I believe that the dynamics of in Executive Committee. this issue at this time in history is so important, that it must be dealt with on the floor of this House, everyone of us can discuss it in the open, where the press is covering us, where the votes go up, up on that board, and our constituents and everyone out there who elects us can see what our feelings are on these commissions. don't think that the Bill was clearly understood. We did discuss it to a certain extent, only because I pursued it. The... the pursuit of the Bill was not extensive, and it was not really an opportunity to, to look at the Bill in a serious manner. I don't think I got across the point that this is not a Bill only to abolish all the commissions. simply sets up a mechanism to eliminate those that are not necessary, and to keep those that are good, and to, to reorganize those that haven't been looked at for five, ten, fifteen years. Many of them were created before Committees had the authority to meet when this legislature was not in Now we have that authority. Session. Things are They must be looked at, and I think the whole different. House should look at them, and that is why I'm asking for consideration on it, and I would just appreciate your consideration of this either now or at some later date, when my Motion comes up. I think that we deal with the same statute that Representative Huff is dealing with in his Bill, and that was my reason for feeling that it would be germane on this issue. So I would simply ask for your consideration of it."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker. Parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker McPike: "Proceed."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Mulcahey: "Shat Order of Business are we on now?"

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has made a Motion to override the ruling of the chair and we are discussing that Motion."

Mulcahey: "Is that ... is that debatable?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes. The Gentleman for Cook, Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Laurino raised the interesting question about when Bills ever die. always believe that if I were... if I came back in another life, I'd like to come back as a Bill or a concept of the General Assembly because I would live forever then. may, we are a little off the subject, in any that as it subject is not really whether Ms. Zwick event. The received a fair treatment in the Executive Committee. She has an opportunity to litigate that before us in connection with the Motion discharge. And she advises us that she has done... that she's prepared to do that, and so, we will all be on record one way or another whether we are for Bill, or the concept of her Bill, whether we are going to turn our backs on the committee system again. We will on record when her Motion to discharge is called. However, we have no germaneness rule, although, there was a germaneness rule offered at the time we adopted of rules. Since we have no germaneness rule in our... in our books, we must, therefore, look at Robert's Bules, which provides, and I tell you, I suggest that you look at Section 12, that an Amendment mus... must always be germane, that closely related to or having bearing on the subject of the Motion to be amended. That no new subject matter generally be introduced. In this case, we have taken out an entire... we have taken out the Bill completely. you are doing, if you were to overrule the Speaker, is to allow any Member here to take any Bill, any Bill and amend

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

it to any subject, because in this case they have taken out... they didn't have the courtesy to even to leave in the old, so that the Speaker might look and say, 'Can I connect the old with the new?' They have taken it all out. That means... that means literally that no Bill in the General Assembly that's filed, once he gets on the floor in Second Reading has any subject matter, that all the Bills are subject to being re... the subject matter is subject to being removed completely. I think that we should, therefore, uphold the ruling of the Chair. The Chair's ruling is correct, and I will vote to uphold the ruling of the Chair."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "I have an inquiry of the Parlimentarian."

Speaker McPike: "State your inquiry."

Johnson: "Madam Parliamentarian. I'll wait til you're done with your telephone call if..."

Speaker McPike: "No, proceed."

Johnson: "In light of your ruling, and in light of... of the Amendment's purport, and change of the title of the Bill,

I'd like your direction in terms of how the substance of this Amendment of Representatives Zwick and Tate, could be framed to make it germane."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman, Representative Matijevich."

Johnson: "We just... we just want to know Represent..."

Matijevich: "Point... point of order. He is asking..."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "He is asking advice to the issue from the... he is asking advice of a Parliamentarian. That's not the role of a Parliamentarian. He is not making a point of order, and that is my point of order."

Speaker McPike: "Your point is well taken. The Chair does not

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

make advisory positions. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Johnson, on Representative Vinson's Motion." Johnson: "Well, it was not really an attempt to seek advise. attempt was to try to further illucidate the nature of this in light of the refusal of ruling. 1 quess Parliamentarian, the other side of the aisle to let Members know what the ... the full basis and thinking behind the ruling is. I really ... have nothing more to say, but I'm really shocked that people on that side of the aisle, who opposed our efforts in the debate on the rules to try to narrow the scope of germaneness to... to... to Amendments more carefully coincident with the thrust of the Bill, would all of a sudden turn and go in the opposite direction, and not only do that, but pull the veil secrecy over 118 Members here, as to the real basis for the So in light of that unfortunate effort, and that ruling. unfortunate combination of Parliamentary objections and Parliamentary rulings and Memberships refusal to answer, I guess there's nothing more to ask.

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce." I don't think anyone seriously here Pierce: "Mr. Speaker. believes that this Amendment is... is at all germane to the I don't even think the Gentleman on the before us. other side, who makes this Motion, believes in his own besides that's never stopped him in the past, and I'm sure it won't in the future. It's not germane. ruling spoke for itself. The ruling was read. The ruling had nothing to do with what he keeps on blabbering about, that a Bill on one Commission can't be amended to affect That wasn't the ruling another Commission. Parliamentarian. He keeps saying that was the ruling of the Parliamentarian, however, he never listened when the ruling was read, and what the ruling said was this

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Amendment, which abolishes all these advisory councils and on, was not germane to the Bill itself, not that an Amendment can affect additional commissions to that, additional departments to that in the original Bill. He misstated the ruling. He didn't listen to the ruling. He doesn't care about the ruling. He's hypocritical. doesn't believe in his own Motion, and in my opinion, having looked at the Bill and looked at the Amendment, any anyone serving as Parliamentarian right-minded person, would rule it wasn't germane. We should gone on with our business, and we're wasting time here, and in my opinion, looking at the Gentleman's demeanor, he doesn't even believe in his own Motion."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. The Motion's carried. The question is put. Representative Vinson, to close."

Vinson: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do happen to believe in this Motion, and I think anybody, who cares about the integrity of this process, this Motion, and ought to vote for the Motion. believe in What we are dealing with is a ruling, that in essence says, 'You can't abolish commissions.' That's what the ruling says, and the ruling further says that you cannot deal with two agencies in a Bill that deals with one agency, something specifically overruled by the Constitution of the State of Illinois, in the language adopted in 1970. It's a bad ruling. It's a ruling which attempts to protect money, it's... that ought to be, that's being extorted from the taxpayers, and being spent on unnecessary purposes. It\*s a

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

ruling which attempts to protect unnecessary travel and unnecessary jobs. I would move that we overrule the Chair, and I would ask everybody to vote with me on this matter, so that we can save the taxpayers a little bit of money for a change."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved to overrule the Chair.

  The question is, 'Shall the Chair be overruled?' All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'.

  Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Macon. For what purpose do you rise? Representative Tate."
- "Mr. Speaker. As a courtesy, my name was... been mentioned Tate: in debate, and in reference to the Committee meeting, again, I can only encourage every Member in this General Assembly, that what this ruling is essentially saying is this General Assembly has no right to abolish that commissions. We can only continue to create commissions, and the people are sick and tired of us living on the political perks, the taxpayers dollars. This General Assembly, this year, would address a two billion dollar tax program, and we have right now 103 commissions, that we are looking at, just to review, and people you know, that many of you are on those commissions, that you eat on a basis. You live off tax dollars, and we're concerned about people going out to dinner. We're concerned about the ... "
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "Excuse me. Didn't the Gentleman speak in debate? He's certainly speaking now."
- Speaker McPike: "He rose on a point of personal privilege. His name was used in debate."
- Greiman: "Oh, that's way before... that's a speach, Mr. Speaker.

  That's not a personal privilege. If he has a personal matter to be defended, God, let's let him defend it, but

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

that certainly seems to be substantive, and I think he should limit his... outrage and rampage to just a personal matter."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Tate. Will you bring your remarks to a close?"
- Tate: "Yes, again Mr. Speaker, thank you. I guess my point is that I would like to make is... is an issue that is concerning the entire state, that every... every taxpayer in this state is going to be affected by this issue. We would just have... we would like the opportunity to have a fair hearing in front of this full House, because it's an issue that affects each and every one of us, and an issue that we will have to face in 1984, when you go back to your districts. Thank you."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion, there are 41 'ayes', 64 'nos', 2 voting 'present', and the Motion fails. We have a request for a Fiscal Note. The Bill will remain on Second Reading. House Bill 467. Representative Kulas. Out of the record. House Bill 486. Representative DiPrima. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 486, a Bill for an Act to add Sections
  to an Act concerning certain rights of medical patients.

  Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. The Gentleman from Kendall,
  Representative Bastert."
- Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to interrupt the business of the floor for just a second, maybe it's just as well, to introduce the Aurora Suzuki Violins. It's a group of young people from Aurora Illinois, representing the Aurora area, representing the

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

counties of Kane, represented by Representative Deuchler, the counties of DuPage, represented by Representative Cowlishaw, and the counties of Kendall. They put on a concert, a performance down stairs in the rotunda for the last hour, and did an outstanding job, and I'd like to have those young people, I think they're filing by here, but they'll be introduced as they're coming right through the door. And I'd like to have them receive your recognition. Thank you. Bepresentative Deuchler. Would you like to say anything on this behalf?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Deuchler."

Deuchler: "Well, just that it's our pleasure and honor to have this fine group, representing the community in the area of Aurora, here with us today. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "House Bill 495. Representative Bowman.

Representative Bowman in the chamber? Out of the record.

House Bill 514. Representative Steczo. Out of the record.

House Bill 532. Representative Matijevich. Read the Bill,

Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 532, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 533. Representative Matijevich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien; "House Bill 533, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 584. Representative Tate. Representative Tate, do you wish to have your Bill

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

called? Out of the record. Bouse Bill 596.

Representative Brookins. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 596, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 599. Representative Braun. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 599, a Bill for an Act creating the
Urban Health Commission. Second Reading of the Bill.

Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any Motions filed?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 609. Representative Davis. Representative Davis. Out of the record. House Bill 622. Representative Peterson. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 622, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker McPike: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Cullerton, amends House Bill 622, on page 1, line 9, and so forth."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton, on Amendment #1."

Cullerton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is very simple. Again, it deals with the School Code. This was...

I explained yesterday, there was some confusion as to whether or not, the Bill... whether Chapter 24, Section 24

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

applies to Chicago or not. It's the intention of the Sponsor, Representative Peterson, that it not apply to Chicago, and therefore, we're trying to clean up the Bill, so that it better reflects the intention of the Sponsor. I would ask for the adoption of the Amendment."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment 1... #1. Is there any discussion? Being no discussion, the Amend... the quesiton is. 'Shall Amendment #1 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. This Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Pullen, amends Bouse Bill 622 on page 1, by inserting after line 32 the following and so forth."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pullen."

- Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This
  Amendment conforms this backdoor referendum Bill to the
  other provisions in the statutes concerning backdoor
  referenda, with respect to informing the voters by
  publication of the details that they need to know with
  respect to the backdoor referendum. It is the same
  language that this House adopted last year for every other
  Section of the statutes involving backdoor referenda, and
  it's my understanding that the Sponsor of the Bill supports
  the Amendment, and I would appreciate its adoption."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? Feing no discussion, the question is, 'Shall Amendment #2 be adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. House Bill 682. Representative Matijevich. Read the Eill, Mr. Clerk."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 682, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."
- Speaker Mcpike: "Any Floor Amendments?"
- Clerk G'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Matijevich, amends House Bill 682 on page 2 in line 3 and so forth."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 was an Amendment which was... I was directed by the Committee to offer, and the amortization of the unfunded accrued liability, we left out 'including interest'. All this Amendment does is add 'including interest.' I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for adoption of Amendment #1. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall Amendment #1 be adopted?" All in favor signify by saying "aye", opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Stuffle Matijevich, amends
  House Bill 682 on page 1."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Stuffle."
- Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members. Amendment #2 makes it clear that the actuarial decision making, with regard to this Bill, and the decision about the cost of normal cost and amortization of pension costs in municipalities, is to be performed by an actuary for the Department of Insurance."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich, on the Amendment."
- Terzich: "Yes, Representative Stuffle. Right now, what does it provide that the actuarial evaluation to be made by an

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

actuary and not the Department of Insurance or what?"

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

- Stuffle: "As you know, Representative, right now the Department makes the decision about the cost factor. The question tightening up who makes the actuarial decision on that cost The problem has been one of, frankly, different factor. opinions being rendered on the municipal cost, even though the Department's supposed to make the decisions on what the There have been actuarial evaluations figures are. submitted from cities that low-balled the actual so that this Bill, originally, had two different factors in You could either have an actuary from a department or a local actuary. The problem is using the local actuary. we sometimes come up with differing figures from those at This makes it clear that the the department level. actuarial decision is by the Department through their actuary, and they would make the decision. They already make the decision, as you know, with regard to what the tax figures are. This just makes it clear that they, too, will decide through their actuary the validity of those local figures."
- Terzich: "Well, also, that there's over 200 different systems, and I also know that the Department of Insurance does not have actuaries to take accounting of 200..."
- Stuffle: "They already look at those systems. That's not Currently, we have problems that have been accurate. addressed to Representative Mat... Matijevich, myself, the figures submitted from local wherein, others, municipalities low-balled the actual normal This Amendment, along with the Bill, amortization figures. make it clear that the Department has the ablility through their actuary to certify the figures, and would not

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

have to accept the local figure, if it was too low."

Terzich: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.

The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Matijevich."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I'm withdrawing 3."

Speaker McPike: "Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

The Gentleman Speaker McPike: "Third Reading. from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker ... was there a Pension Impact Note Filed fir... as amended?"

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Coles, Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would indicate first that I am from Vermillion, thanks to the new map, but I would indicate on this Bill as is the case with the unamended Bill and the amended Bill, the indication from the Pension Laws Commission, initially, is that this does not have a pension impact. It does not affect benefits as introduced, nor as amended, and for that reason as with the original Bill, the pension impact statement request is not in order if made, because there is no impact on benefits."

Speaker McPike: "The Chair rules that the Pension Impact Note is The Bill will remain on Second Reading. properly filed. House Bills On the Calendar, on page 4, Third Beading, House Bill 137. Representative Terzich. Short Debate. Rea... out of the record? Representative Terzich, do you want to have your Bill called?"

Terzich: "No."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. House Bill 308.

Representative Bice. Out of the record. House Bill 426.

Out of the record. House Bill 462. Representative

Hastert. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 462, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Hastert."

Hastert: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Bill 462 addresses a problem of municipalities being able to purchase municipal or sewer and water utilities. It's a problem that developed out of a... two communities, two cities that I represent in my district. What we've done is try to establish... capitalization of income as a method of determining the value of existing ... worth of waterworks and sewage systems. What it does, in the condemnation proceedings is allow municipalities a much easier and quicker way to purchase those types of facilities. was two cases, one was a 1953 case in the state of Illinois, that the Supreme Court said that the legislature has the power and should be able to set that type of Just recently, in the state of California, there formula. was a Supreme Court decision that set about ... and set that same principle exactly, and this is what this ... this was modeled after. I'd like to have your positive vote on this issue."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 462. On that question, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Bill 462 was committed to the Public Utilities Committee, and at that time it had no opposition. Since that time, the Vice-president and Manager of the Peoria Water Company has been in contact with me on several occasions, and he's down

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

there today talking to some of the legislators. I... he opposes the Bill, and has good reason for opposing it, and therefore, I oppose it, on the grounds that for one thing, there is a Constitutional question to it, plus the fact that as he points out very vividly to me, even though the Sponsor is attempting to solve a problem in his area, and I appland him for that, it at the same time has implications state-wide and would affect any utility in the state as it relates to the problem that the Sponsor relates to the House, today. It... the Bill, itself, addresses a problem, which I concur, that there ought to be some solution, but it also contains some language as to the determination of fair cash market value of the utility property, and according to my source, and I concur on that feeling, there's no way that the current net earnings of any utility should be used to determine the market value of the facilities and plant in service. And also, the removal of the prior approval by the Illinois Commerce Commission is an objectionable part of the Bill. but the objectionable part is trying to determine the cash market value as it relates to the earnings. because earnings... one year could be 12, 14%. Next year it could be 8%. The next year it could be 6%, and depending on timing, it could be very detrimental in determining the ... the market value of the property. So even though I realize that the Sponsor is attempting to solve a problem in... area, and as I say, I applaud him for that, I must oppose the Bill on the grounds that it has state-wide implications and could affect public utilities throughout the state, and therefore, I would urge a \*no\* vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman from Kendall, to close, Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Bill creates a very legitimate way for value to be term... determined for the purchase of sewer and water facilities, privately owned sewer and water facilities. The valuation is a capitalization process. It's por... carried out over the total life of the plant, and brings about a very just and equitable way for these settlements to be made. The other way is... becomes a very expensive proposition for both sides. I ask for your favorable support of this Bill and your 'yes' vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 462. All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote 'no'. The Gentleman from Lake, to explain his vote, Representative Pierce."

I'm not sure this Bill had adequate Speaker. Pierce: "MI. consideration of the Public Utilities Committee. What we're doing is setting a definition of a way of taking someone's property, by imminent domain, and we're telling the courts and the Commerce Commission what ... how to com... arrive at fair value. If there's land to be used in the future for the water, sewer company, it's valued at the present earnings of the company, and can really be by the city, below fair market value. under this The formula is all right for partial formula. consideration of value, but its too limiting, I think, and really its confiscatory. I wouldn't be surprised if the courts strike down this piece of legislation, because we're dictating to the courts under what formula they should find fair value, and only los... and only using the current income formula carried out into the future and not the potential ... not the potential income of the property. It ... it may ... the Bill may work, I don't think it had a proper hearing in that it didn't go to the Judiciary Committee, where it probably should have gone, and it may

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

serve a good purpose allowing a municipality to acquire, for below market value, the property of a water company in one district, but it affects the entire state, and really, I think, the courts'll find it a potentially confiscatory, confiscatory of property, and I don't think this legislation wants to pass a Bill that's going to provide for the confiscation by government of peoples' private property, without receiving fair value for it."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this guestion, there are 70 'ayes', 12 'nos', 22 voting 'present'. House Bill 462 having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Van Duyne, for what purpose do you rise?"
- Van Duyne: "I just want to be voted 'aye' on that Bill, please."

  Speaker McPike: "Representative Van Duyne, I'm sorry. Our rules

  do not permit that. House Bill 482. Representative

  Terzich. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 482, a Eill for an Act to amend an Act relating to the care of persons suffering from hemophilia.

  Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Terzich."
- Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

  In 1979, the House passed a Bill assisting the hemophiliacs in the state of Illinois, of which there are approximately 552 patients, who are receiving benefits under the hemophilia program. What this Bill simply does is, it changes the qualification to receive benefits by raising the deductions from their gross income from 50... \$3,500 to \$5,500, and the deduction for each family member from \$3,500 from \$3,000. The Hemophilia Foundation of Illinois requested the adjustment for exhibarating family

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

participation fees. The Foundation reported that although family income has risen, so has the cost of child care and the medical cost. There is an urgent need for these benefits for the hemophiliacs in the state of Illinois. Since the program was into effect in 1979, their budget has not increased since that time, as a matter of fact, it's decreased or it's only increased \$5,000 in over 4 years. This will simply allow the family to qualify benefits a little bit sooner. It will not increase the appropriation, just the participation fee. The Eill came out of Committee 13 to nothing, and was on the Consent Calendar, but was moved to Short Debate. I'd be more than happy to answer any questions and would appreciate your support."

moved for the adoption of Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has Being House Bill 482. Is there any discussion? discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 482 All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 99 'aves', 7 'nos', 1 voting 'present', and House Bill having received a Constitutional Majority is hereby House Bill 483. Representative passed. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Capparelli.

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 483, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. House Bill 483 is a pension Bill that even Dr. Ebbesen got on. It's the first, I guess, that we could save money with a Pension Bill. The Bill provides that the position held at the will of a fire commissioner shall be considered to be a temporary position, and the firefighters' permanent salary will be the salary based for his pension purposes. I would ask for

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House Bill 483. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 483 pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 108 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. House Bill 483, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 510. Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 510, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stezco."

you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. House Steczo: "Thank Bill 510 seeks to address a problem that was created by the passage of Public Act 82-554 in the last Session of the deals with elected township Assembly, that General assessors. One of the things that House Bill ... the former Public Act did was to delete any references to and actions that assessors could bave activities suburban Cook County. It was brought to my attention Township County Officials Association an... or Officials Association, rather, and we checked with former Sponsor and everybody else and no one can really state why this was done last Session, although most people said it was an oversight. So this just attempts to put that language as it relates to township assessors and multi-township assessors back into the law, SO the assessors in downstate and suburban Cook County will be treated equally, and I would ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption... for the passage of a House Bill 510. Is there any discussion?

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

- Speaker McPike: "I would remind the Gentleman that we're on Short

  Debate, and the Sponsor will yield for a question."
- Cullerton: "It's for legislative intent. Bepresentative Steczo.

  In the... by including Cook County, the townships outside

  Chicago, you make reference then to the power to determine

  and improve the budget of the assessor, the power to

  determine the salary for its assessor, and the set

  compensation for its assessor. Is it your intention that

  that apply to the township assessor, not to the Cook

  County's Assessor's Office?"
- Steczo: "Representative Cullerton. The Eill specifies, or the title of the Section we're amending is the role of township and multi-township boards with regard to elected assessors, and the language in the Bill indicates or makes reference to its assessors, and I would take that to mean the... the township assessors and not the county assessor."
- Speaker McPike: "Is there further discussion? There being discussion, the question is, 'Shall Rouse further que... those in favor signify by voting Bill 510 pass?\* All Have all voted who wish? 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. this question, there are 104 'ayes', no 'nays', 3 voting having received \*present\*, and House Bill 510. Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 520. Representative Satterthwaite. Read the Bill. Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 520, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker McPike: "Representative Satterthwaite, on the Bill."

  Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 520 requires that local school boards provide time in their

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

public meetings for input from the general public. Most of our school districts are already doing this. There are a few, however, where it has been a problem, and we would by this Bill direct those school districts to make sure that some portion of their time be reserved for public input. I know of no real opposition to the Bill and ask for your support."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved for the passage of House Bill Is there any discussion? Is there any question? 520. There being no discussion, the guestion is, 'Shall House All those in favor indicate by voting 520 pass? 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. this question, there are 104 'ayes', no 'nays', 3 voting Bill 520, having received a 'present', and House Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 530, Representative White. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk." Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 530, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of

the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative White."

White: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a simple piece of legislation. All this Eill seeks to do is to make sure that anyone who operates a motorcycle have both hands, one or two hands, on the vehicle. They cannot operate the motorcycle without having any hands on the motorcycle. That's the Eill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 530. Is there any discussion?"

White: "No... No wheelies."

Speaker McPike: "There being no discussion, the question is,

\*Shall House Bill 530 pass?\*. All those in favor indicate

by voting \*aye\*, opposed vote \*no\*. Have all voted who

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 104 \*ayes\*, 2 \*nos\*, none voting \*present\*. House Bill 530, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 571, Representative McAuliffe. Bead the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 571, a Eill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Eill."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this

Bill would merely allow the use of articulated buses, which

are the new buses that are in use by the Transity Authority

in Chicago. They're... They have the rubber separation in

the middle, and they come in two parts. And they're

fuel... they're a fuel saver, and they can handle more...

more passengers. And this would allow the use of these

buses in the rest of the state, only by public transit

companies."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 571. Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from
Cook, Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Just... Just a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Bowman: "Is this the same Bill that Representative Telcser had last Session?"

McAuliffe: "To tell you the truth, I don't know if Telcser had it or not. It could be. I really couldn't say."

Bowman: "Okay, I just seem to recall that... that Bill blossoming and... to something else later on."

McAuliffe: "This is not going to blossom into anything else later on. I give you my word."

Bowman: "Well..."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- Speaker McPike: "Any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 571 pass?'.

  All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Bave all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 102 'ayes', 3 'nos', none voting 'present', and House Bill 571, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 626, Representative Steczo. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 626, a Bill for an Act to amend

  Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Steczo."
- Steczo: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 626 is a revisory Bill that was offered by the Illinois Office of Education, and it simply deletes unnecessary and outdated language in the School Code and conforms and makes some changes that reflect current practices. And I would appreciate the support of the House. The Bill was approved by the House Elementary and Secondary Education Committee by a 19 to nothing vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House
  Bill 626. Is there any discussion? There being no
  discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 626 pass?'.

  All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote
  'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
  Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108
  'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present', and House Bill
  626, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
  declared passed. House Bill 645, Representative Rea. Read
  the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 645, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Rea."

- Speaker and Members of the House. "Thank you, Rea: Mr. the last Session we made provisions for POW's to receive one set of free license plates for their ... for their automobiles, but we did not make any provision whatsoever for those who do not own a car, who may own a pick-up truck. And what this does is to provide the one set of free license plate to the POW. If they should happen to own both a car and truck, then they can make their choice. If they only have a truck, then this would make them eligible for the POW license plate. I know of opposition to this and would ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for passage of House Bill 645. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall House Bill 645 pass?".

  All in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

  Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this guestion there are 98 'ayes', 8 'nos', none voting 'present', and House Bill 645, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. On page five, under House Bills Third Reading... For what purpose does the Lady from LaSalle, Representative Koehler, rise?"
- Koehler: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. May I add one correction first? It is the Lady from Marshall County, please."
- Speaker McPike: "Well, we'll have to get that corrected up here.

  Our apologies."
- Koehler: "Thank you very much. But, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may I please have leave of the Body to suspend the appropriate rules to immediately consider House Resolution #157?"

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Representative Koehler, we are on the Order of

Third Reading at this time; and, if you will put your

Motion later, we will recognize you for the Motion."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I appreciate that. When that..."

Speaker McPike: "Under... Bepresentative Koehler."

Koehler: "When would that be?"

Speaker McPike: "Well, we're going through Third Reading at this point, and we'll see how far we get."

Koehler: "Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "On House Bills Third Reading, appears House Bill

1. Representative Cullerton? Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill #1, a Bill for an Act to protect children who are passengers in motor vehicles, as well as the motoring public in general. First Rea... Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Bepresentative Cullerton."

Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Cullerton: "Thank you. the House. Mr. Speaker, I wish to proceed with this Bill today; however, I might point out that my Cosponsor, Representative Daniels, the Minority Leader, was unable to be here today due to legislative business. And I just want out to the House that if it wasn't for point to Representative Daniels, that last year we could not have passed the Illinois Child Passenger Restraint Act. He was the Sponsor of that Bill, and he is responsible for that Now today, he and I have fine Bill coming into law. Cosponsored House Bill 1, which enacts the Illinois Child Passenger Protection Act, which provides for the use of a child restraint system; that is, a car... car safety seat, a child up to four years of age or under is transported by an Illinois parent in an automobile when it

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

by that parent. Children four and five years of is owned age are to be transported in a child restraint system or safety belt in their parents cars. Now, to give you a little background of this Bill - the reason for this Bill -Representative Daniels and I passed that Bill last year. The Governor made some amendatory changes in his amendatory veto. Now, some of those changes we agreed with and others did not. The ones we agreed with we have incorporated into this Bill. One of the things that the Governor did was to say that... first of all, he added another year. He had the law apply to five-year-olds, and we agree And he also said that four-year-olds could be in a car seat, and we agree with that as well. He also the effective date to July 1st of this year, which we agree with, to give people time to appreciate when the law will come into effect. And he also indicated that if someone was charged with driving their car without child passenger restraint for their child, that if they did come into court and show that they were in possession of a car seat the fine would be waived. We agreed with all of those changes, and they are incorporated in this Bill. some of the things that the Governor did we disagreed with. And those are being changed, and that is the necessity for House Bill 1. Rather than phase-in the effectiveness of this Bill so as to make two and three-year-olds wait until July of \*84 before the law applies to them, we say that they also should have the law apply to them beginning July 1st of this year. The Governor also exempted recreational We put them back into the Bill. But the one vehicles. Amendment that the Governor added is called the personal exemption, and it's been referred ta by some editorials as the 'Crusher Amendment'. Unfortunately, the Governor added this language that said that if you are

### 28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

attending to your child's personal needs, that the law didn't apply. Just recently, in Illinois, a mother who had had her child in a car seat while the car was moving removed that child to breastfeed the child in the front The car was involved in an accident when it was only going thirty miles per hour. The child was thrown from the mother's arms through the window. The child was killed. It's clear that if the car is moving, the child should in the car seat. That is the intent of the Bill. That was the intent of the Bill when over three-fifths of both Houses passed this Bill last year, and that is the provision of this Bill, to take out that personal needs We also had in the Bill - the Governor. exemption. intentioned - well intentioned, said that the first offense someone should be issued a warning ticket. Well, state troopers have the procedure of issuing warning tickets, but other municipalities, most municipalities have no such procedure. So, the law is really ... it would be impossible for a municipality to ever issue a ticket since they don't have warning tickets for the first offense. So, we ... do take that out, but we also make it clear that if you do receive a citation for not having your child in a car seat, when you come to court you just simply show that now you There shall be no fine, and there possess a car seat. shall be no conviction. I think that this Bill is a important Bill. I think that everyone knows what the They should know changes that were made by the Governor. what changes are made as a result of House Bill 1, and I'd be happy to answer any questions and ask for your support of this fine Bill."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 1. Is there any discussion? There being no
discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 1 pass?'.

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed voting 'no'. Gentleman from Effingham to explain his vote, Representative Brummer."

- Brummer: "Yes, I have been up on this Bill I suppose four or five times during the many years we've considered it that they finally passed it last time. The Governor made some amendatory vetoes that indicated good judgement on the part of the Governor. I think, certainly, there should be an exemption with regard to personal needs, with regard to mothers who are feeding their children while someone else is driving the vehicle. And I think this is an example of an area that parents ought to be concerned about the safety of their children without being mandated by State Government to do so and I would respectfully suggest that a "no" vote is the correct vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this guestion there are 83 'ayes', 23 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. House Bill 1, having received a Constitutional Majority, is bereby declared passed. House Bill 21, Representative Topinka. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 21, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Topinka."
- Topinka: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is today's rendition of House Bill 21, which has seen a lot of activity around here. We did pass it out last week, brought it back, provided an agreed Amendment to it so that the City of Chicago does understand that it is not affected by this. And I think we've explained it enough. I would hope that we would get your favorable vote."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved the ... for the passage of

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

House Bill 21. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 21 pass?'.

The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich, on the Bill."

Matijevich: "No, I'd just like her to tell me, even though I heard all that debate, give it to us one more time. I don't think just saying we discussed it the other day is enough. I think you ought to tell us again what it says, Judy."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Topinka."

Topinka: "I'll be happy to, Representative Matijevich. through it rather extensively last week. This would reduce number of names necessary to enact a mandatory referendum in communities under 200,000 people so that they might choose to go wet if they were indeed dry. It still would not preclude upon any of the local powers of the liquor commissioner or of the municipal board that's It would also allow the municipal board to go from dry ... from, yeah, from dry to wet if it chose to. It would continue to keep the law in tact about the opposite situation of going wet to dry at 25% of the previous voters the last general election. And, once again, I would encourage your vote. We got it last week, and it's same Bill. It hasn't changed except for the corroborative language that Chicago is not involved."

- Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is, "Shall House Bill 21 pass?". All those in favor indicate by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". The Gentleman from Cook to explain his vote, Representative O"Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would, in explaining my vote, encourage the Members of this side of the aisle to vote as they did two

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

days ago when the Bill passed, and we, out of respect for Representative Farley to assure that the City of Chicago is not included in the Bill, did so. We then amended the Bill to eliminate any reference to Chicago in the... in reducing the number of signatures from 25% to 5%. As it stands for the City of Chicago, it is as it was prior to this legislation. The Bill has reflected the needs of our area and suburban Cook County and any political subdivision, which is defined as less than 200,000 in population. The Bill has been supported. We knew of no opposition to the Bill, and we strongly encourage your favorable vote now as you did two days ago."

- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Bepresentative Topinka to explain her vote."
- Topinka: "Yes, I... I see we're doing rather well, and I just wanted everyone who may have some question about it that this was supported by the Illinois Municipal League, the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, the Motel and Hotel Association. So, there's.... It's a good group."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 67 'ayes', 35 'nos', 5 voting 'present'. House Bill 21, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 43, Representative Cullerton. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 43, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Out of the record. Bouse Bill 46,
  Representative Cullerton. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 46, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of Cullerton: the House. Mr. Speaker, this Bill has to do with the grand jury. And we had extensive hearings in the Judiciary Committee, and the Bill was extensively amended so that, I believe, most opposition to the Bill has been eliminated. Basically now what the Bill says is that it expands what shall be transcribed in a grand jury. Right now, a transcript shall be made of all questions asked of and answers given by witnesses. And what this Bill says is that a transcript shall be made of all proceedings and matters before the grand jury, other than the deliberations and the vote of the grand jury which, of course, shall remain secret. I \* d be happy to answer any questions concerning the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill Is there any discussion? There being no 46discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 46 pass?'. All in favor signify by voting \*aye\*, opposed vote \*no\*. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 100 ayes. 5 'nays', 1 voting 'present', and House Bill 46, having received a Constitutional Majority, is declared passed. House Bill 55, Representative Greiman. House Bill 67, Representative Out of the record. Ropp. Read the Bill, Mr. CLerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 67, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from McLean, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Not having passed the law... the bar exam yet, I have so enlisted about four of my special attorneys:

Representative Preston, Hawkinson, Kirkland, McCracken,

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Homer and Harris, to assist in redefining any terms that anyone... that I don't understand. So, I lay that as ground work. This House Bill 67 provides new standards to make convicted persons more liable to pay, as restitution, the full amount of damages that have been so besought hia-It ... Actually, what it does - it helps victims of crimes by permitting the total amount of restitution to ordered in sentencing, rather than to be considered the ability to pay. Not that the ability to pay may not considered, but the total amount will still be ordered and that the ability to be paid would be spread over a period time. It also extends the coverage of permissable restitution to include unnamed victims and And three, it also gives the authority to include restitution to victims of crimes where the charges of these crimes are dismissed as a part of a plea agreement on other offenses. And four, it gives clear authority to order in-kind restitution or direct repair. This is a very important piece of legislation, and one that I am sure will help victims throughout the state and will also help to reduce the number of cases that attorneys have pending. And it will also take care of those people who may not have been included in, heretofore, specific charges but may have been a result of arson or other kind of bad acts. welcome any questions, or suggestions, or your favorable support."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 67. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Bullock."

Bullock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will yield."

Bullock: "Representative Ropp, I heard you mention briefly that

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

the court has an option to require restitution, and you indicated that non-complaining witnesses would be eligible for such restitution. Is there any limit to what the restitution would be?"

Ropp: "No."

Bullock: "So, if a person is found guilty of a crime, and they request that you not only restore their house, but that you, in some way, compensate them for inconvenience that their in-laws suffered while they were at a party, that would be covered also?"

Ropp: "Well, I suppose you have to be a little more explicit on that, but they certainly would not rebuild a whole new house if a door was ripped off or was damaged in any way. The individual could actually repair it. If any of the members of the family might have been... anything would have been stolen or damaged, the Judge would have the authority to grant total value of that particular damage of the item that may have been incurred."

Bullock: "Well, you said you had some expert state's attorneys back there."

Ropp: "Yes, I do."

Bullock: "Maybe they could answer my question. I guess what confuses me here is that cannot the court already make such a finding?"

Ropp: "I'll have a couple of the ... my advisors ... "

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative...

Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In answer to your question, Representative Bullock, at the present time if a victim is not named in the complaint, there has been case law prohibiting the court from directing restitution to that unnamed victim. What this Bill would do would only permit restitution to unnamed victims where it was part of a plea

28th Legislative Day

- April 7, 1983
- agreement so that the defendant knew up front who those unnamed victims would be."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman."
- Greiman: "I wonder if the Gentleman might yield for a question or two."
- Speaker McPike: "Yeah, he indicates he will yield."
- Greiman: "Does the defendant have a right to have a jury determine how much the value of the items are for which there's been a loss or alleged loss?"
- Ropp: "I'm going to have my counselor answer that, please, because he's actually..."
- Greiman: "Does he work by the hour, or do you have it on a fee basis?"
- Ropp: "Well, I think it's 36 dollars a day, I think."
- Greiman: "Well worth it."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Knox, Representative
  Hawkinson."
- Hawkinson: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Greiman, that is not presently the law, nor would it be under this Bill. However, as we have under the present law when there is a dispute as to restitution, the prosecutor, through testimony elicited from the victims, still must prove that up in front of the Judge and the Judge makes the determination. That burden of proof and the procedure would not change under this Bill."
- Greiman: "Well, so how do we deny the defendant his constitutional right to have a jury determine that? Don't you believe that he has a constitutional right in a civil matter, essentially civil?"
- Hawkinson: "No. Under the present law, this determination is made only after a conviction or a finding of guilty for which, of course, he has the right to jury, but there is

28th Legislative Day

- April 7, 1983
- not right to determination of that, except in those instances where the value is determinative of whether it's a misdemeanor or a felony."
- Greiman: "Well, so he has a right of jury then on that issue then."
- Hawkinson: "That's correct."
- Greiman: "How about the... Aren't we imposing on the state's attorney an obligation to represent, essentially represent them the complaining witness?"
- Hawkinson: "That's ... "
- Greiman: "Prove value... Isn't that... I mean, isn't that what we do when we enlarge this?"
- Hawkinson: "I believe the state's attorney already has that obligation to represent the victims and, under the present law, to obtain restitution in those cases where it's possible."
- Greiman: "Well that doesn't... Section 9 on five... page five doesn't seem to impose that. But, if that's what you say, okay. Thanks."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, I have a guestion of... Perhaps Representative
  Hawkinson can answer this. On page two of the Bill,
  Section 4(D) you talk about instances when the defendant
  has more than one criminal charge pending against him.
  Now, I want to know whether or not this contemplates... Is
  Representative Hawkinson in the chamber?"
- Speaker McPike: "Yes, he's pay... He's listening."
- Cullerton: "I want to know whether or not this contemplates a situation where, say, a defendant has one set of charges in one court and then he has another set of charges in another court, and what this Bill seems to say is that if he stands convicted, whatever that means. I assume that just means

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

if he's... if he's been convicted of one charge; that a plea agreement can be negotiated with the state's attorney, and the defendant may be required to pay restitution in another charge which is in front of a different Judge. And that seems to me to be possibly a bad policy, in that one Judge would be ordering restitution in a case that's not even in front of him. It's in front of another Judge. And how would the other Judge know that restitution was ordered? So, I wonder if you could address that."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hawkinson."

Hawkinson: "I didn't author the Bill. I have discussed that question with Representative Cullerton and Representative Ropp. Downstate we don't run into that problem, because you're not going to find different charges in front of different Judges. I understand Representative Cullerton's question as the possibility, or perhaps likelihood of that happening in Cook County courts, and it is a possibility. The only response would be that it's the same defendant, the same prosecutor. And it requires the plea agreement in the first case. So, I don't see that it as a substantial problem."

Cullerton: "Well, there's... You say it's the same prosecutor,
but it could be entirely different. It's the same office.
What if it's in a diff... What if there's another charge
in a different county, and it's a different... different
state's attorneys office? I don't see anything in here
that says that it has to be in the same county. It says,
'an instance where a defendant has more than one charge
pending against him'."

Hawkinson: "My feeling is that it would not apply to that... that type of case."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Is there any further discussion? Gentleman from

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

McLean to close, Representative Ropp."

Ropp: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I appreciate the support on this side of the aisle for this important piece of legislation. I think it's time, really, that we give strong consideration and support to victims, in that in this Bill it says their total amount of loss that they have suffered will be granted to them. And I think that we have gone in this area and provided with some security or a lot more support for the victim than we have had in previous years. This is a good Bill, and I welcome your support in its passage."

Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 67 pass?".

All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote
'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106
'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present', and House Bill

67, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
declared passed. House Bill 81, Representative Mautino.

Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 81, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill." Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Mautino." Mautino: "Thank you.. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 81 and Amendment #1, which becomes the Bill, is before you. What this legislation does is allow the Regional Superintendent of Schools to issue a certificate of recognition to the Office Association of Educational Personnel. the secretaries within a school district. The standards for the certificates are based on the Professional Standard Program of the National Association of Educational Office Personnel. There was opposition to the original drafting, because it was to be done by the Illinois Board of

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Education. We have addressed that concern by eliminating their provision and putting it under the auspicious of the Regional Superintendent of Schools, and I know of no opposition at this time since the Amendment has been presented and adopted on the Bill. There is also a provision for fees to be paid by the applicants which cover the costs in total on the certificates, and there is no cost to the State of Illinois, the school districts or the Associa... or the Superintendent of Educational Regional Service in this regard."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 81. On that question, the Gentleman from Kendall,
Representative Hastert."

Hastert: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will yield."

Hastert: "Representative Mautino, just a very honest guestion.

What... What's the purpose?"

Mautino: "The legislation was recommended by the Illinois
Association of Education Office Personnel to meet the
federal standards of their own Association. That's the
purpose of it, and they wanted recognition for the
additional services, the courses that they take and the
experience that they have received. That's the reason for
it."

Hastert: "So, and those personnel really carry on... Is this just in the... in the Office of the Regional Superintendent, or is this in all school offices?"

Mautino: "These are in all school offices. The Office of Regional Superintendent of Schools will issue the certificates based upon the completion of the standards which are listed in their..."

Hastert: "Does this... Does this set up any type of qualifications for pay scale or anything like that?"

28th Legislative Day April 7, 1983

Mautino: "None whatsoever."

Hastert: "And, have we heard anything from the school community whether this is desirable or not desireable?"

Mautino: "They originally opposed the legislation when it was presented under the auspicious of the Illinois Board of Education. Mr. Ron 'Cardoni' drafted the Amendment. So, now there's no opposition to it."

Hastert: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker McPike: "No further discussion, the Gentleman from Bureau to close, Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "I believe I stated exactly what the legislation is, and
I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

- Speaker McPike: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 81 pass?'.

  All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote
  'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

  Clerk will take the record. On this guestion there are 88
  'ayes', 17 'nos', 2 voting 'present', and House Bill 81,

  having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby
  declared passed. House Bill 85, Bepresentative Steczo.

  Out of the record. House Bill 107, Representative
  O'Connell. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 107, a Bill for an Act to amend
  Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

  Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative
  O'Connell."
- O'Connell: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Bill emanated from a situation in my former district in DuPage County wherein a school, elementary school, lay just outside the municipal limits of an incorporated area. They found, the school that is, found that the municipal police, while they were permitted to enter the unimproved property owned by the school that is to say the vacant lot contiguous to it and the playground

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- the municipal police was not permitted to go into the school building itself. So what House Bill 107 does is adds to the Bill the words, and improvements thereon, including buildings, parking lots, other improvements and equipment, so that the existing law would be expanded to include the building, not just the parking area. I know of no opposition to the Bill. It passed out of Committee unanimously, and I would request an affirmative vote."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved for passage of House Bill 107. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 107 pass?'. favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take On this question there are 103 \*ayes\*, no the record. 1 voting 'present'. House Bill 107... 'nays', Representative Hastert 'aye'. Representative Braun 'aye'. On this Bill there are 105 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present'. House Bill 107. having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 108, Representative Van Duyne. Do you want to read... Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 108, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Eill."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Van Duyne."

- Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill was passed by our House last year by a vote of 162 to nothing. It passed out of the Judiciary Committee this... this last week, two weeks ago whenever by a vote of 13 to nothing. We've debated it consistently, and long enough and beat it to death, I believe. And all I would like to do is ask for your concurrence and send it to the Senate again."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman moves for the passage of House Bill 108. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook,

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Representative Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I just would ask that the Sponsor read an analysis or something about what the Bill does, because it's a pretty important Bill. I'm not saying I'm opposed to it, but I just think we should know what the Bill does."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duvne: "Well, if you'll look at your analysis, it's fairly succinct in the first paragraph. It prohibits a person, who intentionally and unjustifiably causes the death another, from receiving any property, interest or benefits by reason of the death, whether as an heir or in any other This is... This has to do with the probate division of our law. It really tries to address itself to crack in the law where there are three parts that aren't covered. The people who are convicted are now prohibited from inheriting the estate, but those found by a criminal court to be not quilty by reason of insanity, by this Bill, remains mute on, those acquitted in a criminal liability, although they are in fact culpable, are charged, those who have managed to escape the criminal trial culpability. Now Judge 'Daul' has rewritten this Bill with help of Representative... former Representative Getty. We've went through this time and time again. I'm really not knowledgeable in court procedures or the law to really even give you any great, lengthy dissertation on this, I think it's been presented to everybody's conviction that this is a proper Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 108. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, 'Shall House Bill 108 pass?'.

All those in favor indicate... signify by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

question there are 107 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 voting 'present', and House Bill 108, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 187, Representative Currie. Out of the record. House Bill 203, Representative Olson. Out of the record. House Bill 208, Representative Saltsman. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 208, a Bill for an Act relating to the responsibility of certain incurred expenses relating to arrestees. Third Reading of the Bill."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Saltsman."

  Saltsman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Fill amends an Act in relation to the jail and jailers and the County Department of Corrections Act. It will provide that an arresting authority is responsible for medical expenses relating to the arrestee until placed in the custody of the sheriff.

  However, the arresting authority shall not be so responsible if the arrest was made pursuant to the request by the sheriff. This Bill was amended in Committee, and it's endorsed by the Illinois Sheriffs' Association. I'd appreciate your support."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of House Bill 208. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall House Bill 208 pass?".

  All those in favor indicate by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 82 "ayes", 13 "nays", 4 voting "present". House Bill 208, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 223, Representative Nash. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 223, a Bill for an Act to legalize and validate appropriation bills and tax levy ordinances in

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

certain counties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nash."

Nash: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the annual validation ordinance for Cook County and the Cook County Forest Preserve District. All it does it add the year 1981 to the ordinance. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 223. On that question, the Lady from DuPage,
Bepresentative Karpiel."

Karpiel: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates be will yield."

Karpiel: "Well, I would like to ask the Sponsor of this Bill if perhaps, since this Bill appeared in the Executive Committee, he has found out the answer to my question which I asked in that Committee and still have not gotten an answer; and that is, why is it that the Cook County Forest Preserve District and Cook County - why do they come to Springfield to have their levies validated which is illegal under Illinois State Statutes? And they are the only two districts of units of government in the entire state that do it that way, and I really would like an answer to that."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Nash."

Nash: "In answer to the Lady's question, the representative from the Cook County Board answered her in Committee and also just to repeat what he said, this is just to make the ordinance legal. And there's nothing illegal about this ordinance. We're just adding 1981. It's been the practice for the County of Cook and the Forest Preserve District to come down to the Legislature and validate this ordinance every year."

Speaker McPike: "Representative..."

Karpiel: "Well, I think that if everybody is listening, and I

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

realize Representative Nash does a good job of mumbling which we all like to do with bad Bills; but, if everyone is listening, he is saying that this has always been done this way, and that's why it's alright. It has been done this way ever since I've been down here in the Legislature. is not alright. It is illegal under state statute. Thev are the only two units of government in the entire state that do it this way and by just saying that it's always done this way does not make it right. And I still would like to know why does the Cook County Forest Preserve District and why does Cook County do it this way, every other county, every other forest preserve district, every other unit of government in the State of Illinois? Why do they? Are they trying to hide something from their citizens?"

Speaker acPike: "The Lady from Cook, Representative Fullen." Pullen: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies of .. and Gentleman of the House, previous speaker is exactly right. And it the interesting to hear the Sponsor say that he would give same answer that the representative of Cook County did in Committee, because the representative of Cook County said, "Gee. I don't know. I'll have to go back and find out for you. That's what the representative of Cook County said in testifying, and we didnot really want to embarrass the Gentleman. But that's always the answer that we get, because they won't tell us why the Cook County and the Forest Preserve District ordinances have to be validated. Democrat staff analysis of a couple of years ago on this Bill told us something, but no one will say it on the floor, and that is that they don't like to itemize their appropriations in their appropriations and tax ordinances the way every other county in Illinois does because every county in Illinois, including Cook, is

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

required by law to do so. And so, the reason that Cook County has to come in and have it validated is that Cook County doesn't follow the law. They adopt an illegal ordinance, and then they have the nerve to come in here and have us validate it, after the fact, so that taxpayers cannot successfully protest their taxes. But, they don't include the rest of your counties in this law. They only include Cook County in this law, because that's where they do it illegally. And then they want us to write the excuse for them into the law. This Bill has been wrong ever since it was first presented, and the fact that it's every year just to update it doesn't make it right now. It's wrong every single year, and we ought to defeat it. Thank you."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bowman."

  Bowman: "Well just in response to the last speaker, if she feels

  that way, she should ask her Governor to veto the Bill.

  He's signed it every year."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook to close, Representative Nash."
- Nash: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate (sicHouse). This is just a technical ordinance... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker McPike: "The question is, "Shall House Bill 223 pass?".

  All those in favor indicate by voting "aye", opposed voting
  "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

  Clerk will take the record. On this Bill there are 40...

  there are 62 "ayes", 43 "nos" and 2 voting" present". And,
  on that, the Lady from Cook, Representative Pullen."
- Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to verify that Roll Call, please."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks for a verification of the Roll

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Call. The Gentleman from Cock, Representative Nash, asks for a Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Alexander. Daniels.

Davis. John Dunn. Henry. Hoffman. Shaw. Taylor.

Topinka. Vitek and Winchester."

Speaker McPike: "Poll the affirmative vote, Mr. Clerk."

Bowman. Braun. Breslin. Brookins. Clerk O'Brien: "Berrios. Brummer. Brunsvold. Bullock. Capparelli. Christensen. Cullerton. Curran. Currie. DeJaegher. DiPrima. Domico. Farley. Flinn. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Hannig. Homer. Huff. Hutchins. Jaffe. Keane. Hicks. Krska. Kulas. Laurino. Lefloore. Leverenz. Levin. Marzuki. McAuliffe. Matijevich. Mautino. McGann. McPike-Mulcahey. Nash. O'Connell. Panayotovich. Pierce. Rhem. Rice. Richmond. Ronan. Saltsman. Preston. Rea. Satterthwaite. Slape. Steczo. Stuffle. Terzich. White. Wolf. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Van Duyne changes from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Doyle from 'present' to 'aye'.

Representative McCracken."

HcCracken: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I may have a conflict on this. I've voted my conscience. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Pangle."

Pangle: "Change my vote to an 'aye' vote, please."

Speaker McPike: "Pangle from "no" to "aye". What's the count,

Mr. Clerk? 65 'ayes', 42 'nos'. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Is Representative Bullock here, please?"

Speaker McPike: "Bepresentative Bullock. Is Bepresentative Bullock in the chamber? Remove him, Mr. Clerk."

Pullen: "Rhat about Representative Domico?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Domico. Remove him, Mr. Clerk."

Pullen: "Representative Farley."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Farley in the chambers? Bemove

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Representative Farley."

Pullen: "Is Representative..."

Speaker McPike: "Put Representative Bullock back on the record."

Pullen: "Is Representative Flinn here, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker McPike: "Yes, Representative Flinn is in his chair."

Pullen: "What about Representative Hanniq?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Hannig is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative Huff."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Huff. Representative Huff.

Remove Representative Huff from the Roll Call."

Pullen: "Representative Krska."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Krska is standing at his seat."

Pullen: "I didn't see Representative Matijevich when we were calling it."

Speaker McPike: "Well, Representative Matijevich is in his chair as always."

Pullen: "As a matter of fact, Mr. Speaker, I was surprised at the time not to see the Gentleman. Bepresentative Mulcahey."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Mulcahey is in the chamber."

Pullen: "Representative Rea."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Rea is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative Richmond."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Richmond is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative Ronan."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Ronan is here."

Pullen: "That's good. Representative Slape."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Slape is in his chair."

Pullen: "Representative White."

Speaker McPike: "Representative White is here."

Pullen: "Representative Yourell."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Yourell. Representative Yourell.

Remove Representative Yourell from the Roll Call."

Pullen: "How about Representative McAuliffe, Mr. Speaker?"

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Speaker McPike: "Repesentative McAuliffe. Remove Representative McAuliffe from the Roll Call."

Pullen: "I don't think I have any further questions, Sir."

Speaker McPike: "On this Bill there are 60 'ayes', 42 'nos', and House Bill 223, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 233, Representative Currie. Out of the record. House Bill 242, Representative Stuffle. Read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 242, a Bill for an Act to provide for a continued group health insurance coverage for disabled and retired downstate firemen. Third Reading of the Bill." Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Coles, Bepresentative Stuffle." Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, House Bill 242, sponsored by myself and Representative McAuliffe, White and John Dunn provides for the continued inclusion of municipal firemen where there are already in place group insurance health in the municipalities. Currently, those people are covered at the option of the cities. This Bill provides that they will be covered if those insurance policies are The Bill came out of the Pension now in force. Personnel Committee with a vote of 11 to 2. On Second Reading we acted by Amendment to provide a reduction in the cost to the Bill and to clarify that the insurance benefits would be offset for those new people brought under the provisions of the insurance coverage of the Bill where their existing Medicare benefits in force, as is the same situation for those already insured. And I would ask for a favorable Roll Call on the vote on the particular Bill and be glad to answer any questions."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman has moved for the passage of House
Bill 242. Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Birkinbine."

Birkinbine: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

a question?"

Speaker McPike: "He indicates he will yield."

Birkinbine: "Mr. Stuffle, our analysis indicates that the City of Chicago is excluded from this Bill. Is that right?"

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "That's correct. This deals only with the downstate
Article, Representative Birkinbine. As you know, the
Chicago Pension System is not included in the same system
as the downstaters are. They have separate funds in each
covered municipality."

Birkinbine: "Is there a companion Bill to mandate this for Chicago as well?"

Stuffle: "Not to my knowledge. I'm not attempting to correct their problems. In fact, they may already have a program in force for this."

Birkinbine: "Thank you."

"Is there any further discussion? Now, the Speaker McPike: question is, \*Shall House Bill 242 pass?\*. All those in favor signify by voting "aye", opposed vote "no". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 27 'nos', 3 voting 'present'. House Bill 242, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. page ten, under the Order of Speaker's Table, appears House Resolution 47, Representative Ballock. Out of the record. House Resolution 77, Representative DiPrima. Out of the record. House Resolution 81, Representative DeJaegher. Out of the record. House Resolution 84, Representative McGann. Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 84 relates to the Illinois

Community College Board. Higher Education Committee

recommends 'be adopted'."

Speaker McPike: "Representative McGann."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

AcGann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly. and ask your support in passing House Resolution #84. In these days when we are focusing on high technology to help our state recover from the present economic conditions with unemployment, our state, our community colleges have placed great input in introducing high technology programs. I feel the Legislature should have a sense of what is really happening in this area. This Resolution was passed by the House Committee on Higher Education. It directs the Illinois Community College Board to survey all community colleges within our jurisdiction and provide to this Assembly an audit, so to speak, on what active steps are being taken at each institution to develop and provide vocational training programs in contemporary and highly technological fields. In this we are asking and directing the Illinois Community College Board to determine the number in enrollment, the number of graduates in these fields and the current placement rates of graduates in each Finally, we are asking that this survey be institution. made available to the General Assembly within 90 days. I'd ask your support on this House Resolution 84. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 84. Is there any discussion? The Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge, on the Motion."

Speaker. I just wanted to say that I Younge: "Thank you. Mr. think that this is an excellent Resolution. I had occasion t o attend а hearing that Representative Satterthwaite held with the Board... with the Committee Higher Education in which many of the higher education institutions told what they were doing in the field of high technology. I think that if we could figure out some way cross-pollinate what they're doing - some higher to

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

education institutions are doing a great deal. Others are doing nothing, and the Bepresentative's Resolution will show that. I think that we should start with this initiative of the Resolution and then figure out some way to get those that are not doing anything to adopt the pattern that those who are doing a great deal, like Triton and the University of Illinois and other places."

Speaker McPike: "There being no further discussion, the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 84. All those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 104 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present', and House Resolution 84 is adopted. For what purpose does the Lady from St. Clair, Representative Younge, rise?"

Younge: "Could, by leave, everyone be added to that Besolution?"

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has asked leave for everyone to be added as a Cosponsor of that Resolution. Are there any objections? There being no objections, everyone will be added as Cosponsor of the Resolution. The Chair recognizes Representative Barnes for a Motion. The Lady from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There was a Bill heard in Appropriations I today, and I would move to suspend the appropriate rule to advance House Bill 888 to Second Reading. And the Chairman, Ted Leverenz, has agreed on this with me."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady has moved to advance House Bill 888 to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is, "Shall the Motion carry?". Those in favor indicate by saying "aye"... I'm sorry. This requires leave for the Attendance Roll Call. Is there any objections? No

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- objections, leave is granted, and House Bill 888 will be placed on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. The Chair recognizes the Lady from Marshal, Representaive Koehler, on a Motion."
- Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
  House. I would like to ask leave of the Body to suspend
  the appropriate rules for immediate consideration of House
  Besolution #157."
- Speaker McPike: "The Lady asks leave to suspend the appropriate rules for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 157. Are there any objections? On that, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
- Matijevich: "Well, my only objection is that I don't see why something, anything doesn't go to Committee. I don't think it's going to really hamper the fact that we can eventually investigate here. I've got, for example, a couple of Resolutions that I think are even more important than this in my district. They're heading right to Committee. So, I think that's the proper place. You know, just because you see something that makes headlines, and you want to get more headlines I don't think that's a good enough reason that we don't go through our Committee system. I'm sure I'm going to vote for this eventually, but we don't have to go bypass Committee."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Cook on the Motion, Bepresentative Leverenz."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would oppose the Motion to bypass Committee and for immediate consideration. I have read the Resolution. The Sponsor is a Member of Appropriations I, and she will be able to exactly see the majority or all of the travel and things that she's looking to look into. The time it would take for the Auditor General to do this would put us into next year. So, I

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

would ask my side of the aisle and the other side of the aisle to oppose it at this time."

- Speaker McPike: "Representative Koehler, objections have been raised. You may make a Motion if you so desire.

  Representative Koehler."
- Koehler: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I most respectively would make Motion that... that we do move to immediate consideration of House Bill... House Resolution 157. I think that it is important that we respect the timely nature of this Resolution. And even though we will, of course, considering all of these travel expenses in the House Appropriations Committee, I think that right now, because in the General Assembly, are considering a two billion dollar tax increase, that it is important for the Assembly and the State Government departments and agencies show the right example and set policy for responsible travel... travel expenses. Now, the problem that has been brought to light is the fact that there is no comprehensive travel policy here in the State of Illinois. eight travel boards, plus numerous agencies that set their own travel policies. And because it is necessary to investigate and set a comprehensive policy, it will take time, and I think it is important to start now, today, while it's on the minds of the citizens back home. So, I would request that we do move ... that we do go to immediate consideration of my Resolution."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative
- Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members. Speaking to the Motion, I think Representative Roehler and Zwick are really to be commended for bringing this before this Body now.

  Among other things, at least newspaper reports are close to accurate, and I think they probably are. Money is being

### 28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

spent at a substantial rate everyday in this... not in this chamber, but in this state by the various departments, agencies, commissions, bureaus and so forth of taxpayers' money, as Representative Koehler says, in a time when we're talking about drastic cut backs in education and otherwise, tax increases. I think it's something that we have an obligation to address as quickly as possible. In addition to that, I would suggest to you that sending this through the Committee process, if the result is the same as what results we've gotten in trying to eliminate unnecessary commissions, that this Besolution will never get out of here, and people will never have an opportunity for this chamber or their elected representatives to look into the expenditure of money. This is a bipartisan thing. I'm sure there are people involved in departments, boards and commissions on both sides of the agencies, Unfortunately, I think in some cases, the administration OF representatives σ£ the administration have not been sympathetic to the efforts of Legislators and the efforts of the media to look into this. The amount of money is dramatic. That amount of money, if directed to education or public aid or other departments of State Government would, at least in some cases, eliminate the necessity for drastic cut backs and, in other cases, obviate the, at least a portion, of our call for revenue This is a big problem. It ought to be enhancement. addressed immediately, and there's no reason at all with the wording of this Amendment, we can't consider this everyone to immediately. т urge join And with Representative Koehler and Zwick in passing this Resolution and moving to it immediate consideration."

Speaker McPike: "The Lady from Sangamon, Representative Oblinger."

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Oblinger: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, I would much prefer that this Bill go to Committee, and I'll tell you why. I've talked to the Sponsors. They haven't even read the regulations and rules that are passed out to each director of a department. I was a director and so was Gordon Ropp. We didn't have any choice about it. There were certain rules and regulations. I think that that ought to be circulated to every Member of the Committee to which this goes and that the people have a good chance to see how it is implemented before they begin to criticize."

Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "I'd like to move the previous question."

Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question.

All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. The previous question is put. The Lady from Marshal to close,

Representative Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Members... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to speak one former Represent... the ta the previous moment Representative who just spoke and indicate to her is because there are eight different governing bodies that... that give out rules and regulations for travel traveling expenses, plus numerous vouchers and for commissions and boards that make up their own rules that we need to have a comprehensive state policy on travel travel vouchers and what makes for valid expenses. that is one of the needs that we need to go into when we address the problem that has been recently brought to light, and I would certainly indicate a \*yes\*... ask for 'yes' vote so that we might have immediate consideration of this Resolution that will ask the Auditor General to investigate the various policies used in State Government

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

and will ask him to recommend a comprehensive policy that will treat all agencies, commissions, boards and those in State Government fairly and equitably. I would ask for your "yes" vote. Thank you."

- Speaker NcPike: "The Lady has moved to bypass Committee for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 157. The Motion requires 71 votes. All in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Livingston to explain his vote, Representative Fwing."
- Ewing: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think the vote up there just shows that we don't care what's happening to the money in this state. Let's get some more green votes up there, and let's find out. Don't be afraid to find out where this money is going and have an investigation. Come on. Let's have some votes on the other side of the aisle."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman... the Lady from Kane, Representative Zwick."
- Zwick: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman. I think it's apparent by the vote up there that we do have some mixed reaction. I... I don't think that this should be a straight Party line vote. One of the earlier speakers commented on how this problem was being approached by the Appropriations Committee, and that's absolutely necessary. And it's good, and we should be doing that. But we should also be doing this. It's not a matter of this or that. can do it in several different ways. We need to set up a policy that's going to extend into the long range. We need to look at the appropriations for next year in the travel We need to do many things. It's a serious budget. problem, and it's been building for many years. And getting worse, and worse and worse, and we can't afford it

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

any longer. Don't fear this. We're just going to have the Auditor General take a look and see what's going on in there. The media has all those records. Why don't we get them? Let's have the Auditor General look at it and give us a report; and, in the meantime, we can attack it in our own different ways, individually. I would please ask for your support on this. It should not be partisan. This is for all the people of the state."

- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Bureau, one minute to explain your vote, Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "I'd like... Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to point out to one of the Sponsors. She does bipartisan support on this legislation. There are green votes on the Democratic side of the aisle. Many of and many of us are assuming that the agree with you, administration and the Governor of this state are in support of this Amendment. I'm assuming that, since you have... excuse me - this Resolution. I'm assuming that that is the case; and, of course if that is the case, we'd like to support the Amendment (sic - Resolution), some of us downstate Democrats, to make sure that there is a complete investigation into the individual departments of government on the travel vouchers. So. you do have bipartisan support. It is not a political issue."
- Speaker McPike: "Gentleman from Marion, Representative Friedrich."
- Friedrich: "Well, just... Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as a Member of the Audit Commission, I can assure you that what the Sponsor has indicated is correct. There are a tremendous variety of travel regulations by the various boards, and I think there's some merit in having it standardized. The Auditor General has much of this information in his files right now, and I'm sure he can do

28th Legislative Day

- April 7, 1983
- it in time for the appropriation process."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman from Madison to explain his vote,

  Representative Wolf."
- Wolf: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Assembly, I rise in opposition to the Lady's Motion. I'd like to remind the Assembly that we're not voting here on the merits of the Resolution. We're voting on whether or not to circumvent the legislative process, and I urge more 'no' votes."
- Speaker McPike: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this Motion there are 57 'ayes', 52 'no'... Representative Koehler."
- Koehler: "Mr. Speaker, may I respectfully ask for a Poll of the Absentees?"
- Speaker McPike: "There are 57 'ayes', 52 'nos', none voting 'present'. The Lady has asked for a Foll of the Absentees.

  It requires 71 votes, if that's the question."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Poll of the Absentees. Alexander. Daniels.

  Davis. John Dunn. Henry. Hoffman. Shaw. Taylor and
  Vitek."
- Speaker McPike: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 57 'ayes', 52 'nos', and the Motion fails.

  Agreed Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 24, Hastert et al.
  House Joint Resolution 25, Neff et al. House Joint
  Resolution 27, Rea Winchester. House Resolution 154,
  Hensel: 160, DiPrima et al; and 161, Madigan Daniels et al. Senate Joint Resolution 19, Oblinger; and Senate
  Joint Resolution 20, Daniels."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi on the Agreed Resolutions."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Joint 19, by Oblinger, recognizes the 15th annual Skills Olympics. 24, by Hastert, applauds the Hall of Fame recipient for James Copely. 25, by Neff,

### 28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- calls attention to the 108th Imperial Council. 27, by Rea Winchester, notes a retirement. 154, by Hensel talks about a 65th anniversary. DiPrima picks out a man of the year. And 161 is a Madigan Daniels' Resolution that wants to create a trust fund and record keeping for the House flower fund. I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Resolutions are adopted. General Resolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 149, O'Connell. 155, Keane.

  158, Bowman et al. And 159, Bowman. And House Joint

  Resolution 26, Matijevich et al."
- Speaker mcPike: "Committee on Assignments. Death Besolutions."
- Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 156, by Representative Younge, with respect to the memory of Mr. Willy Harris of East St. Louis."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton on the Adjournment Resolution. Bead the...what is it? Representative Giorgi on the Death Resolution."
- Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 20."
- Speaker McPike: "No. On House Besolution 156, the Death Resolution."
- Giorgi: "Oh, Mr. Speaker, I move for the ad..."
- Speaker McPike: "Representative Giorgi has moved for the adoption of House Resolution 156. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Death Resolution is adopted. Representative Cullerton on the Adjournment Resolution. Read the Resolution, Mr. Clerk."
- Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Joint Resolution 21, resolved by the Senate of the 83rd General Assembly of the State of

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Thursday, April 8, 1983, they stand adjourned until Wednesday, April 13, 1983 at 12 o'clock noon."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Cullerton."

- Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Senate Joint
  Resolution #21 and move that we adjourn until Wednesday,
  April 13th at the hour of 12 o'clock."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution. All those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Announcements. Representative Mautino."
- Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to announce that the Select Committee on Small Business will not meet as scheduled after adjournment today. It has been rescheduled for April 14th at 5:30 in the same room, and postings will be listed as same."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, the House Committee on Economic Recovery will also not meet today as scheduled, but will rather meet next Thursday evening after adjournment. If there are any Members who wish Bills posted for them that have been assigned and not posted, let me know before we leave today. And we will meet next Thursday, as I indicated, after adjournment. And we will hear each of the Bills postponed from today, in addition, at that time."

Speaker #cPike: "Representative Bowman."

Bowman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is a nonofficial announcement. I'm preparing to introduce a Bill that I believe a number of Members will be interested in. I'm inviting Cosponsors. I want to introduce it this

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

afternoon. So, before you leave, if anyone is interested in the case coordinating units that the Department of Aging is establishing, and interested in some legislation to define what may be and what may not be a case coordinating unit and also to establish eligibility standards for in-home care services, I invite them to see me at the Clerk's desk. And I have a copy of the Bill, in case anyone would like to look it over before going on as a Cosponsor."

Speaker McPike: "Representative O'Connell."

- O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a matter of personal privilege. It's not an announcement."
- Speaker McPike: "Could the Gentleman have your attention? He rises on a point of personal privilege. Representative O'Connell."
- "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the I simply rise to point out to the Body that this Saturday, April 9th, has been proclaimed as Missing Action Day for the 2,500 servicemen who served in Vietnam and never returned. The matter is, what I would consider, extremely sensitive to us in Illinois and this Body, because 106 of our residents never returned from Vietnam and are still unaccounted for. We have listed the names of those 106 servicemen that appear on House each of Resolution 148. I would simply ask the Members to remember in their thoughts and their prayers this Saturday, those who are still unaccounted for and especially be mindful of the tragedy that this has created for the families of those and wondering where who are still wondering why, So, we can thank God for our safe wondering when. existence and simply remember those that did not have it. Thank you."

Speaker McPike: "Are there any further announcements? I wonder

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

if we could get the lobbyists to leave the floor until we've adjourned. Expresentative Cullerton on the adjournment."

- Cullerton: "Ar. Speaker, I move we adjourn till next Wednesday at the hour of 12 o'clock."
- Speaker McPike: "The Gentleman has moved, allowing the Clerk one half hour perfunctory, that the House stand adjourned until Wednesday, April 13th, at the hour of 12 noon. All those in favor indicate by saying "aye", opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned."
- O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate. A message from the Clerk Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Br. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed a Bill of the following title, the passage am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Eill #405, passed Senate April 7, 1983. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Senate Senate Eill 405, sponsored Bills First Reading. by and Reilly, a Bill for an Act to Representative Barnes making appropriations to amend Sections of an Act the and contingent expense of the Illinois ordinary Enforcement Commission and to various state agencies. First Reading of the Bill. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. 'Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolutions, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House ۵f Representatives to wit: Senate Joint Besolutions #19, 20 1983. and 22; adopted by the Senate April 7, Renneth Wright, Secretary. Committee Reports. Representative Jaffe, Chairman from the Committee on Judiciary, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 7, 1983. reported the same back with the following

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 74: 'do pass as amended' House Bills 22, 401, 768 and 581: 'do not pass' House Bill 651: 'do pass as amended Short Debate' House Bill 84; tabled in Committee House Bills 724 and Representative Bowman. Chairman of the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 7, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House House Bill 541. Bill 542: • 40 pass as amended. Representative Farley, Chairman from the Committee on Labor and Commerce, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 6, 1983, reported the same back with the following recommendation: •do pass as amended • House Bill Representative Leverenz, Chairman 561 and 767. Committee on Appropriations I, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 7, 1982...83, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do as amended House Bills 547, 531, 82 and 543. Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House Bill 1124, Hallock, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation public water districts. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1125, Hallock and Olson, a Bill for an Act to enact Illinois Employment Development Commission Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1126, Younge, a Bill to create the Illinois Municipal Assistance Corporation. First Reading of the Eill. House Bill 1127, Representative Younge, a Bill for an Act to create the East Louis Municipal Assistance Corporation. First Beading of the Bill. House Bill 1128, by Bepresentative Klemm, Bill for an Act to amend the Bide Sharing Arrangements Act. House Bill Reading of the Bill. 1129. bν Representative Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend Consumer Fraud Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

1130, by Representative Nash, a Bill for an Act to amend the Liquor Control Act. First Reading of the Bill. 1131, by Representative McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act concerning the Commission on gang crime activity and the Suburban Problems Task Force. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1132, Representative Nash, a Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House 1133, by Representative Mautino - Mulcahey - Davis -Leverenz - and Piel, a Bill for an Act in relation to occupation taxes on motor fuel. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1134, Representative Mautino - Birkinbine - and Laurino, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1135, by Representative Woodyard, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1136, by Representative Steczo and McMaster, a Bill for an Act to amend the Township Law. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1137, by Representative Steczo and Flinn, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to certain investments and public funds by public agencies. First Reading of the Bill."

Clerk Leone: "House Bill 1138, Reilly, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1139, Cowlishaw, a Bill for an Act concerning verification of income of public assistance recipients. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 1140, Cowlishaw, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1141. Steczo, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill. 1142, Ralph Dunn, a Bill for an Act Bill in relationship to the registration of certain professions, trades or occupations amending certain Acts in connection

### 28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

herewith. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1143, Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1144, McGann, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Eill. House Bill 1145, Bernard Pedersen - Yourell, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1146, Giorgi - Kulas, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1147, Giorgi -Kulas, a Bill for an Act in relationship to consumer....and prohibition to raising the prices of goods already marked and displayed. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1148. Giorgi. a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the Rock...Rockford Civil... Civic Service Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1149, Giorgi - Cullerton - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1150, Giorgi Code. - Mulcahey - Saltsman, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1151, Giorgi - Berrios, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Transportation for purpose of removing the median strip on U.S. Business Route 20 between Pier Point Street and Weldon Street in the City of Rockford. First Beading of the Bill. House Bill 1152, Giorgi - Giglio, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1153, Giorgi - Cullerton - Matijevich, a Bill for Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1154, Giorgi, a Bill for Act to amend Sections of the Regional Transportation Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. Rouse 1155, Hannig - Stuffle - Rea, a Bill for an Act to add

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

Sections to an Act concerning public utilities. House Bill 1156, Steczo - Davis, a Reading of the Bill. Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1157, Steczo - Davis, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relationship to plats. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1158, Saltsman - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Personnel First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1159. Code. Woodyard - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the House Bill 1160, Pierce - Jaffe, a Bill for an Act in relationship to regulation of veterinary medicine. Reading of the Bill. Bouse Bill 1161, William Peterson et al. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election House Bill 1162, Bullock Code. First Reading of the Fill. - et al, a Bill for an Act relating to collective bargaining agreements. First Reading of the Bill. 1163, Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Township Law. First Reading of the Bill. Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the County Auditing Law. First Reading of the Keane, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of 1165. the State Comptroller Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1166, Pullen, a Bill for an Act to facilitate access to decendent's (sic - decedent's) safe deposit box in search for a will or burial documents. First Reading of House Bill 1167, Pullen, a Bill for an Act in the Bill. relationship to inheritance and transfer taxes. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1168, Giorgi - Nelson -Kulas, a Bill for an Act in relationship to licensing and regulation of social workers and amending and repealing certain Acts herein named. First Reading of the Bill.

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

1169, Van Duyne - Christensen, a Bill for an Act setting forth certain rights which quaranteed are First Reading of the Bill. enforcement officers. House Bill 1170, Mays - et al. a Bill for an Act to Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1171, Hannig, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1172, DiPrima - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Liquor Control Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1173, Steczo - et al, a Bill for an Act creating the Illinois International Commission and defining its powers and duties and amending certain Acts herein named. First Reading of the House Bill 1174, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relationship to definition, licensing and regulation of community currency exchanges and ambulatory currency exchanges and the operators and employees thereof, and to make appropriation therefore provide penalties and remedies for the violation to thereof. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to an Act in relationship to the definition, licensing, regulation of currency exchanges, ambulatory community currency exchanges and the operators and employees thereof, and to appropriation therefore and to provide for penalties and remedies thereof. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1175 (sic - 1176), Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections in relationship to the definition, licensing, regulation, currency exchanges and ambulatory currency exchanges and operators and employees thereof, and to make appropriation therefore and to provide penalties and remedies for the violation thereof. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1177, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act

28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983.

to amend Sections of an Act to def ... in relationship to the definition, licensing, regulation of community currency exchanges and ambulatory currency exchanges operators and employees thereof, and to make appropriations therefore and to provide the penalties and remedies of the violation thereof. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1178. Breslin, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the School Code. First Reading of the Eill. House Bill Breslin, a Bill for an Act to add Sections to the School Pirst Reading of the Eill. House Bill 1180. Satterthwaite - Bowman - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act creating the Board of Higher Education, powers and duties and making appropriations defining its therefore and repealing an Act herein named. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1181, Satterthwaite - Bowman, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Higher Education. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1182, Stuffle - et al. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1183, Flinn - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Bingo License and Tax Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1184, Mays - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to license and regulate grain dealers engaged in the business of purchasing grain from producers and making appropriation in connection herewith. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1185, Curran -Preston, a Bill for an Act concerning nursing home care alternatives and amending certain Acts herein named. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1186, Steczo - Nelson, Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1187, Steczo -Nelson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1188,

### 28th Legislative Day

April 7, 1983

- Nelson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1189, Steczo - Nelson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1190. - Nelson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1191, Koehler - Van Duyne, a Bill for an Act to designate the week to observe as Illinois Prairie Week. First Reading of the House Bill 1192, Koebler - Breslin - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Court Reporters First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1193, Hannig - et al, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois First Reading of the Bill. House Bill Pension Code. 1194, Didrickson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bouse Bill 1195, Kirkland, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Licensing Act. First Reading of the Bill. Hospital Continuing with introduction and First Beadings. House (sic - 1196), Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to Bill 1176 amend Sections of an Act in relationship to definition, licensing, regulation of community currency exchanges and ambulatory currency exchanges and the operators employees thereof, and to make appropriation therefore and provide penalties and remedies for the violation First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1196, Oblinger, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Barber Law. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1197, Roehler, Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 1198, Bowman - et al, a Bill for an Act in relationship to home and community based services for the aged and disabled. Pirst Reading of ... No further business, the House now stands the Bill. adjourned till Wednesday, April 13th, at 12 o'clock noon."

07/19/83 11:19

# STATE CF IIIINCIS 83RC GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF BEFFESENTATIVES FAILY TRANSCEIPTICK OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

A

### APRIL 67, 1983

BB_4004	THIRD READING	FAGE	44
HB-0021	THIFD REPLING	FAGE	47
HE-0046	THIRD REALING	FAGE	49
RE-0067	THIRD BEALING	FAGE	50
		FAGE	56
	THIRD READING		
HB-0107	THIFD REALING	EAGE	58
EB-0108	THIFT REALING	PAGE	59
58-0206	THIRD REALING	EAGE	61
		FAGE	61
	THIED BEALING		
EB-0242	THIRD READING	FAGE	67
HE-0319	SECOND BEADING	FAGE	7
	SECONE READING	FAGE	12
			33
	THISC REPLING	FAGE	
EB-0482	TEIED READING	FAGE	36
HR-0483	THIRD BEADING	FAGE	3 <b>7</b>
	SECOND REPDING	FAGE	26
HB-0510	THIED BEALING	FAGE	38
BB-0520	TEIFD READING	FAGF	39
	THIRD READING	FAGE	40
	SECOND BEADING	EAGE	27
HE-0533	SECOND FEATING	FAGF	27
BB-0571	TEIRD READING	FAGE	41
HE-0596		FAGE	28
HE-0599	SECOND READING	FAGE	28
HE-0622	SECOND FEADING	FAGE	28
FP-0626	THIED READING	FAGE	42
			42
	TEIRD READING	FAGE	
BB-0682	SECOND READING	EAGE	30
8980-8H	MCTTON	FAGE	70
	FIRST READING	FAGE	2
			2
	FIRST REALING	FAGE	3
HB-1110	FIRST REALING	FAGE	3
HR-1111	PIEST FEALING	FAGE	3
	FIRST READING	FAGE	3
			3
	FIRST READING	FAGE	3
HB-1114	FIRST REALING	FAGE	3
BR-1115	FIFST BEALING	FAGE	3
	FIRST READING	FAGE	3
			3
	FIRST REALING	FAGF	3
BB-1118	FIRST READING	EAGE	3
BB-1119	FIRST REACING	FAGE	3
	FIRST READING	FAGE	3
HE-1121	FIRST BEADING	FAGE	3
HB-1122	FIRST READING	FAGE	3
HB-1123	FIRST FEATING	EAGE	3
	FIRST READING	FAGE	82
BB-1125	FIRST REALING	FAGE	82
BB-1126	FIRST REACING	FAGE	82
BR-1127	FIEST BEALING	FAGE	82
	FIRST READING	FAGE	82
HB-1129	FIRST BEADING	FAGE	82
HB-1130	FIRST REACING	FAGE	83
BB-1131	FIRST FEALING	FAGE	83
		EAGE	83
BB-1132	FIEST READING		
BB-1133	FIRST REALING	FAGE	83
BB-1134	FIRST READING	FAGE	83
BB-1135	FIRST PERCING	FAGE	83
		FAGE	83
EB-1136			
<b>ЯВ−1137</b>	FIRST REALING	FAGE	83
HB-1138	FIFST BEATING	FAGE	83
BB-1139		FAGE	83
_	FIRST BEALING	FAGE	83
HB-1140			
BE-1141	FIRST REACING	FAGE	83
HB-1142	FIFST BEALING	FAGE	83
EB-1143		FAGE	84
, ,			

07/19/83 11:19

j

### STATE OF IIIINCIS 83BC GENERAL ASSEMELY HOUSE OF REFRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REFFESENTATIVES
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEPARE INDEX

PAGE

2

LAILI TRANSCRIPTICE OF DECATE INDE

APRIL 07, 1983

84 HB-1144 FIRST BEACING FAGE HE-1145 FIRST READING FAGE 84 HB-1146 FIRST REALING FAGE 84 HB-1147 FIRST READING 84 FAGE HB-1146 FIRST READING HE-1149 FIRST BEALING 84 FAGE ЯΔ FAGE HB-1150 FIRST BEALING FAGE 84 84 HB-1151 FIFST BEALING EAGE EB-1152 FIBST BEADING HB-1153 FIRST BEATING HB-1154 FIBST BEATING FAGE 24 84 FAGE 84 FAGE HB-1155 FIRST READING 84 FAGE 85 EB-1156 FIRST READING FAGE HB-1157 FIRST REALING HB-1156 FIRST READING HB-1159 FIRST BEALING FAGE 28 85 EAGE 85 FAGE EB-1160 FIRST READING FAGE 85 85 EAGE HE-1161 FIRST BEADING HB-1162 FIRST READING HB-1163 FIEST FEALING EB-1164 FIRST BEADING 85 FAGE ٤5 EAGE 85 EAGE HB-1165 FIRST BEADING FAGE 85 85 FAGE HB-1166 FIRST REALING 85 HB-1167 FIFST BEALING FAGE EB-1168 FIBST READING HB-1169 FIRST BEALING 85 FAGE 86 FAGE HE-1170 FIRST REACING 86 FAGE 86 HB-1171 FIEST EFFCING FAGE EB-1172 FIBST BEADING HB-1173 FIEST BEADING HB-1174 FIRST BEADING PAGE 86 86 FAGE FAGE 86 HB-1175 FIEST BEAUING FAGE 86 EB-1176 FIRST READING FAGE 86 HE-1177 FIRST READING HE-1178 FIRST BEADING FAGE 86 87 FAGE HB-1179 PIEST READING FAGE 87 87 HB-1180 FIRST READING FAGE ٤7 HE-1181 FIRST READING FAGE BB-1182 FIRST READING BB-1183 FIRST BEATING FAGE 87 87 EAGE HE-1184 FIRST BEADING FAGE 87 €7 HB-1185 FIRST READING FAGE HB-1186 FIRST READING FAGE 87 87 HB-1187 FIRST BEADING FAGE HB-1188 FIRST READING 87 FAGE HB-1189 FIRST BEACING FAGE 88 FAGE 88 HE-1190 FIRST READING 6B-1191 FIEST BEALING BE-1192 FIEST BEADING FAGE AA 88 FAGE HE-1193 FIRST READING FAGE 88 FAGE 88 HB-1194 FIRST READING HB-1195 FIFST EFFCING EAGE 88 HB-1196 FIRST READING HB-1197 FIRST READING 88 FAGE FAGE 88 HB-1198 FIRST REACING FAGE 88 5 SB-0177 FIRST REACING FAGE SB-0177 MOTION FAGE 5 68 HR-0084 AICFTED PAGE HR-0157 MOTION 71 FAGE 78 SJR-0021 ACCFTED FAGE

07/19/83 11:19

# STATE CF IIIINCIS E3ED GENERAL ASSEMBLY HCUSE OF REFFESENTATIVES LAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEEATE INDEX

FAGE

3

APBII 07, 1983

SUEJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - SPEAKER MADIGAN	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND ERIC STURPF	FAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE	PAGE	1
BOLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REFORIS	PAGE	4
REPRESENTATIVE MCPIRE IN CHAIR	FAGE	2
AGBEED RESCLUTIONS	PAGE	<b>7</b> 7
GENERAL RESCLUTIONS	PAGE	78
DEATH RESOLUTION	FAGE	78
ADJCURNMENT	FAGE	81
PERFUNCTORY SESSION	PAGE	81
MESSAGES FROM SENATE	PAGE	81
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	81
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT	FAGE	88