99th Legislative Day

þ

ŧ

April 4. 1984

- Speaker McPike: "House will come to order. House will come to order. Members will be in their seats. The Chaplain for today will be the Reverend James A. Johnson, Monroe Street Christian Church, Springfield. Reverend Johnson is a guest of Representative Mike Curran. Will the guests in the balcony please rise and join us in the invocation?"
- Reverend Johnson: "... bow our heads. Our Father and our God, we are indeed thankful unto Thee for Thy continued blessings of life; and, as we come before Thee just now, our prayers that Thou would be with this distinguished Legislative Body that, O God, as they enter into their deliberations. that the decisions derived therefrom certainly might be accord with Thy divine will, always with their minds centered upon the impact that it will have upon humanity. would ask that You would guide their thinking, direct their paths so that the totality of mankind might somehow better off., Lead them, we pray, and guide them in the he direction that Thou would have them to qo. assuredly that the end results might be that we are better off having surrendered our will unto Thee without Go with them, we pray, and stand by mental reservations. them. For these and all things we ask in Jesus* Amen."
- Speaker McPike: "We'll be led in the Pledge of Allegiance by Representative Ropp."
- Ropp et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Bepublic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker McPike: "Roll Call for Attendance. 112 Members answering the Roll Call, a quorum is present. Representative

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Greiman, do you have any excused absences?"

Greiman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, let the record show that

Representative Vitek is excused because of an illness in
the family."

Speaker McPike: "Representative Vinson, I don't think you are on the Roll Call."

Vinson: "Yeah, but I'm here so count me."

Speaker McPike: "Okay."

Vinson: "And all the rest..."

Speaker McPike: "Do you have any excused absences?"

"All the rest of the Republicans are here, too, today." Speaker McPike: "Good. Thanks. Sam, would you sign in, since you're not on the Roll Call? Thanks. Committee Reports." Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Richmond, Chairman Committee on Agriculture, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 3, 1994, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 2492. Representative Giglio, Chairman ο£ Committee on Cities and Villages, to which the following Bill was referred, action taken April 3, 1984, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do not pass' House Bill 2378. Representative Terzich, Chairman from the Committee on Executive, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 4, 1984, reported the same back with the following recommendation: 'do pass' House Bill 703; 'do pass as amended' House Bill 2509; 'do pass' Short Debate Calendar House Bill 2466. Representative White, Chairman of the Committee on Human Services, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 1984. reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bills, 1757, 2348, 2469 and 2489; 'do pass Short Debate Calendar' House Bills 2166

and 2468; do pass as amended Consent Calendar' House

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

2488. Representative John Dunn, Chairman of the Committee on Transportation and Motor Vehicles, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 3, 1984, reported the same back with the following recommendations:

'do pass' House Bill 2437 and 2458; 'do pass as amended' House Bills 2454, 2441 and 2505."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Greiman in the Chair. On the Order of... Second Readings. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1597. Mr. Clerk, would you read the Bill?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 1587, a Bill for an Act relating to the physical facilities of Illinois public community college districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments \$1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greinan: "Are there any Motions with respect to any Amendments?"

Clark O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills
Second Reading appears House Bill 1632. Mr. Marzuki in the
chamber? Alright. Out of the record. On the Order of
House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 1839. Mr.
Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 13..."

Speaker Greiman: "1839."

Clerk O'Brien: "... 1839, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment \$1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Motions with respect to Amendment #1?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No Motions filed."

Speaker Greiman: "Any Amendments?"

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Clerk O'Brien: "No Ploor Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Alright. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2304. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2345. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears 23... House Bill 2355. Mr. Flinn? Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2355, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the Radiation Protection Act. Second Reading

of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Are there any Ploor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #1, Nelson, amends House Bill
2355 on page one by deleting line one and inserting in lieu
thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Greiman: "On Amendment #1, Representative Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would prefer to wait on this Amendment until after the meeting between the Department and JCAR next Tuesday and would like to ask the Sponsor if he would take it out of the record at this time."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Plinn."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I've got a chance to look at the Amendment, and I talked to the Director, and what the Amendment does is the absolute reverse of what the Bill And I would like to ask the Lady to withdraw Amendment so we could move the Bill on. The Bill won't cause any harm to the meeting come next Tuesday with Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. I see no sense in waiting for an Amendment which does the reverse of what the Bill does. The Bill... The Amendment was introduced without my knowledge. No one had talked to me ahead I was not aware of it until the Bill was called time. yesterday, and I was courteous enough to take the Bill out

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

of the record until we could find out what it was about. But the Amendment is abhorrent. We cannot stand the Amendment on the Bill. If somebody wants a Bill like that introduced, they should introduce it as a Bill rather than an Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Nelson."

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr..." Speaker Greiman: "DuPage."

Nelson: "Both. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. to explain, if I may, briefly what the would like A couple of years ago, there were controversy is about. hearings held in Chicago that had to do with the licensing persons in medical radiation technology fields. issue was one of health and safety for the residents of the State of Illinois. And, at that time, legislation passed that, in general, gave powers to the Department of Nuclear Safety to promulgate rules and to make some decisions about who shall be licensed. The Department went ahead and. following what they believed to be the instructions and the intent of this Legislature, their rules and have been, ever since, implementing those rules and licensing people to work as medical radiological technologists in this state. Ιn August of 1983 the proposed rules were printed in the <u>Illinois Register</u>. public hearing was held in September, and there were members of the public who commented on the proposed rules. During the review process, which all of you know is a process that involves JCAR looking at rules promulgated by departments to see whether or not the intent of the Legislature is being carried out, some 83 questions were raised. Of the 83 questions, the Department of Nuclear Safety satisfied all but six, and a final hearing was held OB the rules on November 17, 1983 in Chicago. At that

99th Legislative Day

April 4. 1984

time, JCAR did not vote to suspend the DNS rules but voted some objections and recommended that the Department of Nuclear Safety introduce legislation to clarify its authority. This Amendment, which is identical to some other legislation that is a more thorough working out JCAR and legislative intent, is precisely that. The Amendment is a clarification of the law that has to do with licensing of those persons who are working as radiological technologists in this state. The Amendment provides that students may be exempted in accordance with national standards from accreditation requirements, provides for different classes of accreditation and clearly states that there is a requirement of passing an examination and minimum experience. It clarifies the authority to suspend revoke accreditation and allows the Department Nuclear Safety to establish fees. The question this. around Some people in this state were not grandfathered in. It is true that in order to become licensed it is necessary to pass a test, and those persons in this state who were not grandfathered in, I believe, may be behind this movement which is represented in House Bill I would certainly suggest to Members of this General Assembly that we do not want people working in this state with radiological equipment who cannot pass a test that is on national standards. And I would urge you to look very closely at the Amendment which does what JCAR asked for. and that is precisely to clarify the earlier legislation and specifically set out their powers. And, for that reason, I would ask for Amendment #1 to House Bill 2355."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from DuPage has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2355. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Plinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. you've heard the Lady read from the transcript of the hearings and bore you to death. Let me tell you real simply what took place. JCAR held a meeting with Department of Nuclear Safety and issued six objections to rules, because they did not have the statutory authority. at that time, explained to the Department that in order to promulgate these rules, you must get authority from the Legislature. So, they proceeded to install the rules regardless and said, 'We'll introduce legislation later.' And I understand they've introduced legislation in the Senate, and I... All this Amendment does is put a rider on the Bill, piggyback on this Bill, the Department's I'm saying to you that 2355 should not be All it does is negate all the rules that have been illegally promulgated without the authority of the Legislature. And if they need the authority of the Legislature passed, then they ought to put it in their OWB If the Legislature decides to pass such a Bill, the Bill. Governor can simply veto this one; or, if it's still in the Legislature, I will withdraw it at the time. But in meantime, we must have a vehicle to get the attention of the Department of Nuclear Safety. And I would ask that you vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Lee, Mr. Olson."

Olson: "Thank you, ar. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. secretary οf As the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules, Ι will substantiate what Representative Flinn has discussed, and I would urge a strong 'no' vote on Amendment #1."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Marshall, Ms. Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

House. Ι Concur with Representative Olson and Representative Flinn and rise in opposition to this particular Amendment. What we have here is a classic case of a legislative advisory Committee that has run amuck. This Committee was given a sort of mandate by Legislature to compile some rules and regulations. what they did was they went far beyond their legislative mandate and, furthermore, they excluded some people who are practicing in the field nowadays. And the legislative intent of the original legislation was to have some sort of grandfathering for those people who are currently practicing under the current law. And this particular piece of legislation allows no provision for those people. There are about 53 of them currently practicing around the state, and I know many of you have probably had cards and letters from them. And this advisory Committee has put together a whole series of rules and regulations that have gone far beyond what the legislative intent originally was. you to join with me in opposing this Ι legislation."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Representative Nelson, do you wish to close?"

Nelson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. apologize for being on the other side. Very briefly I say to you that the Department of Nuclear Safety has gone ahead with the very best of intentions to license those people in this state who are going to administering radiation to friends and relatives of And if this Bill goes through in its original form, we will find that those people already licensed will have to have their money returned to them and that the whole system will be thrown into chaos. It is for that reason that I would suggest to you that you vote 'yes' on Amendment #1 to House

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Bill 2355 and that we work this out amicably between JCAR and the Department of Nuclear Safety. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment 1 to House
Bill 2355 be adopted?' All those in favor signify by
saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the...
In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nays' have it, and the
Amendment is defeated. Further... Are there further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2360, Ms. Topinka. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2410, Mr. Keane. Is Mr. Keane in the chamber? Out of the record. Alright. We're going to go back for one that we passed over. House Bill 2304, Mr. Van Duyne. Is Mr. Van Duyne in the chamber? Mr. Clerk, on the Order of House Bills Second Reading appears House Bill 2304."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2304. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unified Code of Corrections. Second Reading of the Bill., Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, for what purpose does the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, seek recognition?"

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, how did we get to this particular Bill on the Calendar? We just did 2355 and 2304 comes before that."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes... Mr. Van Duyne was off the floor. We thought we'd back up and pick them up. I went through..."

Vinson: "We never granted leave for that though, Mr. Speaker, and you can't just go through these Bills arbitrarily."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr..."

Vinson: "I would certainly object."

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson, I went through the call of Second Reading, and we were preceding to begin Second Readings again. Now, I can call them again from the top if you like."

Vinson: "I think we ought to go in order."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, they were in order, Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "... prescribed procedure, and I think we ought to go in order."

Speaker Greiman: "Okay. Are there any Motions?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 2304 by Representative Vinson."

Speaker Greiman: "Representative Vinson, Gentleman from DeWitt,
on a Motion to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 2304."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Assembly. Last fall you'll recall that in several brutal days of Committee and House and Senate action, detailed discussion among Leaders, Members of the House and the relevant Committees we hammered out a comprehensive package to solve the corrections crisis in this state. particular package preserved in tact the tough penalties that we enacted in Class X and provided the cell space necessary to incarcerate dangerous offenders in this state and to keep the correction system up in this state. what the Gentleman's Bill does, the Gentleman had a Bill and that is this Bill 2304, that proposed a variety of different solutions to the corrections problem in this That ... The philosophy behind his Bill was rejected by this House, and now we're coming back and dealing with this almost as a relic of a previous crisis. The Amendment that I would take off of this Bill is contrary to the philosophy of the solution we worked out last fall. 80 need not deal with this now. We need not be occupied by this now. We could quickly adjourn rather than going

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

through this. but the Gentleman persists in dealing with the Bill. And I would move for the adoption of the Motion. The Motion removes Amendment #1. And I think that's we need to do, because this House something responsibly last fall on the state correctional problem." Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, has moved to table Amendment #1 to House Bill 2304. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman's Motion to table Amendment #1 actually is contrary to his whole conversation. As you know and everyone in this General Assembly knows that we have a space problem all over State of Illinois. It is not necessarily relegated to the state penitentiaries. Representative Plinn it was Representative Flinn who was the actual Sponsor of this Bill or the genesis eminated from him anyway - brought to my attention that the space problem not only applied to state institutions but also to county jails. Now, you know that there's a strong philosophical especially from the courts, that a lot of prisoners view. either now, because of the space problem, have to either be turned loose by the Circuit Courts or else they have to sent to a state penitentiary. And some of the crimes that they're put in the county jail for really could be taken care of by the county. And, not to really persist with the space problem; but, nevertheless, it is just as prevalent in the county and in the cities as it is in the state. only is it still prevalent there, but it really compounds the state problem if we don't have this Amendment. It's a very important Amendment. Representative Flinn will this as well as myself, and I move that we do not adopt his Resolution (sic - Motion). And it should be

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

resoundingly."

- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor of the Motion yield?"
- Speaker Greiman: "He indicates that he will yield for a question."
- Cullerton: "Representative Vinson, I think you indicated in your debate that you felt that this Amendment goes contrary to what we passed."
- Speaker Greiman: "Please give the Gentleman your attention."
- Cullerton: "If they don't think it's important, it's okay with me
 if they want to talk. Representative Vinson, if I
 understood you correctly, I think you said that the
 Amendment went contrary to what we did with regard to the
 prison crisis last fall. Is that what you said in the
 course of the debate?"
- Vinson: "That's the thrust of my comment, and I would explain that further by saying that we worked out a comprehensive solution last fall. And there's no need for legislation at this point."
- Cullerton: "Well, as I understood it, we allowed for double celling last fall. Is that correct."
- Vinson: "We allowed for double celling in state cells last fall.

 That's correct."
- Cullerton: "And what this Amendment does is to allow for double celling in county fails that are just as overcrowded in some cases as the state."
- Vinson: "No. No, you're mistaken. What the Amendment does is provide that standards developed by the State Department of Corrections shall not mandate minimum floor space in cells. Now, let me tell you what's going to happen if you do that, if you adopt that Amendment. You knock out any regulation on the subject. When you knock out any regulation on the subject, the immediate response of the Federal Court system

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

is going to be that there is no longer state regulation, state due process involved in this thing, and you're going to immediately have all of these jails subject to Federal District Court regulation on this subject. What you're doing is saying that we're going to abdicate the responsibility and transfer it to the Federal District Courts. That's the problem with the proposal. It's a bad proposal for that reason."

Cullerton: "Mas there been a law suit filed already with regard to the overcrowding of any county prison in the State of Illinois?"

Vinson: "There have been law suits filed on several of them."

Cullerton: "Even though we have minimum floor space requirements?"

Vinson: "Yes. My understanding is that in several of these cases

the fact that we have regulations on the subject have, in

essence, aborted the suits."

Cullerton: "Thank you for answering my questions."

Vinson: "Certainly."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Plinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose the Gentleman's Motion. We're about to build an addition to the St. Clair County Jail; and, with the present restrictions in the statutes, we just could not afford to do it. I think the objections that Representative Vinson raises are valid with the exception of one thing. He's not taking cognizance of the fact that the next Amendment solves that problem. If we pass both Amendments, his problem will be solved. Our problem will be solved in St. Clair County, and I think that we should not treat the jails any differently than we do the state prisons. I would move that... I would ask that we vote 'no' on the Gentleman's Motion."

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, to close."

Vinson: "I would move for adoption of the Motion."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall Amendment #1 to House
 Bill 2304 be tabled?' All those in favor signify by saying
 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair,
 the 'nos' have it, and the Motion fail... to table fails.
 Are there further Motions?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "A Motion to table Amendment #2 to House Bill 2304

 by Representative Vinson."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson."
- Winson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Again, I would make the same general comments. We dealt with the subject adequately last fall. We need not legislate on the subject today, and I would urge an 'aye' vote on the Motion. Which is to table Amendment #2."
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt has moved to table
 Amendment #2 to Senate... to House Bill 2304. And on that,
 is there discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Van
 Duyne."
- Van Duyne: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. As Representative Flinn has mentioned - by the way, I'll speak on Amendment, or against his Motion to table, but this is Representative Cullerton's Amendment, by the way. Representative Flinn made the point and I think the only point that really is important here. Ιf you. Amendment #1 to stand and def... and table Amendment #2, you're really going contrary to the... to the whole idea of the Bill and to the Amendments. I think, if you leave Amendment #1 stand and defeat this one or table this one, you really have defeated the whole purpose of it. and I would... I'm sure Representative Cullerton is more eloquent than I on this. And I would hope that we all vote this one

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

down, too."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."

Cullerton: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will yield for a question."

Cullerton: "Now, as I understand this Bill, this Bill deals with

the state prisons. Is that correct, Representative

Vinson?"

Vinson: "Well, the Bill deals with state prisons and local jails."

- Cullerton: "This particular... I think Amendment #1 dealt with local jails. I think Amendment #2 refers to the original Bill which amends the Unified Code of Corrections."
- Vinson: "Yes, but you asked me about the... you asked me about the Bill, and it deals with both things."
- Well, now I'm addressing myself then Cullerton: "Okav. Amendment #2. All I did with Amendment #2 was to correct what Representative Van Duyne did with his original Bill. With his original Bill, he struck paragraph (b) altogether. And what I wanted ... What I suggested would be a better way to proceed would be simply to strike the words 'for each That way when we construct new prisons, we can make them... manufacture them, allowing for 50 square feet of cell space, so that if the prison crisis is alleviated in the future, we can go back to single cells. thought that rather than strike the whole paragraph, it would make more sense to simply just strike the words 'for each person'. So that's what the effect of Amendment #2 It simply corrected the original Bill. And, by the way, it's my understanding that the original Bill is already law so that I don't really quite follow why you want to table this Amendment, and I would... I would oppose the Motion."

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion?"

Vinson: "Well, what's the question?"

Cullerton: "It's a question if he wanted to respond."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, proceed."

Vinson: "I don't understand the question. I under... I heard a long speech, but I didn't hear a question at the end."

Cullerton: "Well, I just think you don't understand the Amendment perhaps, and I'm trying to find out if I understand what you're trying to do with your Motion."

Vinson: "Is the question whether or not I understand the Amendment?"

Cullerton: "Yes. First of all..."

Vinson: "I do understand the Amendment."

Cullerton: "Okay. And why are you trying to table it?"

Vinson: "I beg your pardon?"

Cullerton: "Why are you trying to table it?"

Vinson: "Because it's a bad Amendment."

Cullerton: "Why is it a bad Amendment?"

Vinson: "It's a bad Amendment, because it preserves this whole concept which you and a number of people who share your philosophical belief have that we have to provide optimal conditions for prisoners, felons, people who violated the law and have been incarcerated. There's no reason that we have to go out of our way to protect their civil rights, to grant them X amount of floor space, to grant televisions, air conditioning, super food conditions or something. What your Amendment does and the reason it's a is that it tries to help coddle prisoners, bad Amendment felons in this state. By defeating the Amendment. adopting this Motion to table, we say that we want to be tough on these people who violated the law. violent criminals. That's the whole purpose of incarceration - the punishment. And the philosophy behind the Motion to table

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

is a philosophy of punishment, and the philosophy behind the Amendment, which the Motion would table, is a philosophy of coddling criminals. That's the reason that I'm for the Motion and against the Amendment."

Cullerton: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Cullerton, is this on the... on the Motion?"

Cullerton: "On the Motion, yes."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed."

Cullerton: "It's always a lot of fun to debate a Bill which already the law, because, you know, it's just ... it's for free. It's like a free discussion. Representative Vinson doesn't understand the Amendment. He doesn't understand the Bill. The Bill allows for double celling. Amendment just corrects the original Bill but still allows for double celling. Right? If we hadn't passed the last November - and pretend now that this isn't already the law - the previous law allowed for ... said you couldn't double cell. You had to have 50 square feet of cell for each person. So, we're striking for each person. We're allowing for double celling again. So, that's what the Bill does. That's what the Amendment does. and Representative Vinson is wrong for the first time that I can recall in the last five years."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. I have a sense... The Chair has a sense that it has been abused by the question and answer period; but, in any event, we'll... the penalties cancel themselves out. So, there will be no yardage lost. Further discussion? The Lady from Marshall, Ms. Koehler."

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the

Koehler: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question, please?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will yield for ... "

Koehler: "Well, I am somewhat confused by all of the flowery

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

- debate, and I would just like to ask a simple question.

 Last year the Legislature passed legislation that would allow double celling in some of the prisons that had previously not allowed it. Now does this Amendment undo what we did last year?"
- Speaker Greiman: "This is a question I think directed at you,

 Representative Vinson. You are the Sponsor of the Motion."
- Vinson: "Okay. I had understood the Representative to pose the question to the Sponsor of the Amendment. I'll be glad to respond. What the..."
- Speaker Greiman: "Well, if you'd rather have Representative Van

 Duyne explain it, that's alright with me. Representative

 Van Duyne, proceed."
- Vinson: "No. The Sponsor of the Amendment is Representative Cullerton."
- Speaker Greiman: "Oh, Cullerton. Representative Cullerton, proceed."
- Cullerton: "No."
- Speaker Greiman: "Purther discussion? There being no further discussion, the Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, to close."
- Vinson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My Motion is a simple Motion. The purpose behind the Motion is to say that we ought not coddle serious felons in Illinois in the future. That's the language that would be stricken, criminal coddling language, and I would urge an 'aye' vote on the Motion."
- Speaker Greiman: "Question is, "Shall Amendment #2 to House Bill 2304 be tabled?" All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'nays' have it, and the Amendment... and the Motion is... the Motion fails. The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, for what purpose do you now seek recognition?"

99th Legislative Day

- April 4. 1984
- Vinson: "I didn't request a Roll Call on the first one; but, on this one, I think the... I think the voices were close enough that we probably ought to..."
- Speaker Greiman: "I think it comes a little late. It was really overwhelming."
- Vinson: "You're going to deny us a Roll Call on something like that?"
- Speaker Greiman: "We'll be glad to give you one, but you made it
 a little late, Sam. If you want one, we'll certainly give
 you one if it's necessary. But it's a little late I think.
 Are there further Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Johnson, amends House Bill
 2304 as amended by deleting the title and inserting in lieu
 thereof the following and so forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "Representative Johnson. Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #3. Leave is hereby granted.

 Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Further Amendment?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."
- Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Yeah, okay. On the Order of Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 751. Mr. Levin."
- Levin: "... bring this back to Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman moves that Senate Bill 751 be returned to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? Gentleman has leave, and the Bill is returned to the Order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"
- Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Levin, amends Senate Bill 751 as follows on page three, line three and so forth."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Nr. Levin."
- Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 was developed by the Secretary of

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

State's Office in conjunction with the IEA and the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. The underlying Bill clarifies a problem of dual jurisdiction over commercial driving schools and transfers the jurisdiction to the Secretary of State. What the Amendment #3 does is to make clear that the existing rules and regulations with respect to commercial driving schools promulgated by the State Board of Education shall continue in full force and effect unless and until such time as the Secretary of State, through normal rule-making procedures, adopts new rules and regulations."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from... The Gentleman from Cook,
Mr. Levin, has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to
Senate Bill 751. And on that, is there any discussion?
There being none, the question is, 'Shall this Amendment be
adopted?' All in favor signify by saying 'aye', those
opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes'
have it, and the Amendment is adopted. Further
Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. Did you want to keep that on Second Reading, Mr. Levin? Alright, it's on Third Reading.

On the Order of House Bills... House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 569. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 569, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code and an Act in relation to state monies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Braun."

Braun: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like to use this opportunity to advise the Members of the House that today is South African lobby day and that a number of constituents from across the state have come to Springfield in order to talk with you about the specifics of this

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

legislation. We are not going to call the Bill at this like to have it taken out of the record. and I'd However, I would like to say to the Members here that we have seen an all-out lobbying attempt in the last few days by the South African Government using instruments own local constituencies to, in my opinion, spread false information among not only the Members of this General Assembly, but your constituents who are concerned about the viability of their pension system. I want to sav to you that if you look closely at the information you ve gotten, you will see, as they say in the debate these days, 'Where's the beef? There is no beef. There's indication that Illinois or the Illinois pensioners will lose one nickel by virtue of this action which is in the humane and economic interest of all Illinois citizens. arge you to please stop down at lobby day central, I quess to call it, Room 114, I believe it is, on the first floor. They not only have films, but they have information regarding the specifics of the horrendous human situation we are facing in South Africa which I think will bring it to your consciousness how important, how vital issue is to the entire Illinois community especially to Illinois black community. So, with that, Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am not going to call the Bill at this time. I'm going to ask that it be taken out of the record, but I strongly encourage you to stop downstairs to take a look at some of the information that they have about South Africa, about the use of our pension dollars there and about the jeopardy that we put all Illinoisians in by using our dollars to support slavery. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Alright. House Bill 569, out of the record.

The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, for the purpose of

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

an announcement."

Leverenz: "The Appropriations Committee will not meet at 8:00 in the morning tomorrow. It will meet at the hour of 10:00.

The Appropriations I Committee will meet at 10:00. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from DeWitt, Mr. Vinson, for an announcement."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, immediately on adjournment today there
will be a Republican Conference. It's most important that
all Members attend. It will not be a lengthy Conference,
but is a very important Conference, and we would appreciate
you coming to that immediately on adjournment."

Speaker Greiman: "Yes, Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "I presume, Mr. Speaker, you'll allocate Room 114 for us for a Conference."

Speaker Greiman: "118, I think."

Vinson: "118?"

Speaker Greiman: "114 I think is taken."

Vinson: "118."

Speaker Greiman: "Unless you'd rather be there."

Vinson: "We'll use it a lot next year."

Speaker Greiman: "Consent Calendar Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Consent Calendar Second Reading, Second Day.

House Bill 2346, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois

Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Third Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Hr. Jaffe, seek recognition?"

Jaffe: "Nr. Speaker, just for an announcement. I just wanted to announce that the Judiciary Committee would meet today at the time indicated on the Calendar. However, tomorrow we have an additional meeting, and that meeting will be at 9:30 a.m. instead of at 8:00. So it would be at one and a half hours later than what is printed on the Calendar."

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Pierce: "Yes, I'd like to ask the Chairman of the Judiciary

 Committee. You're meeting at 2:00 p.m. today and 9:30 a.m.

 tomorrow morning, is that right?"
- Jaffe: "That's correct, Representative."
- Pierce: "And your notice for 8:00 a.m. tomorrow morning is postponed till 9:30 a.m."
- Jaffe: "Is incorrect. That is correct. You can sleep for an hour and a half later."
- Pierce: "Alright. Thank you very much."
- Speaker Greiman: "Alright. On the Order of Motions appears House
 Bill 15. House Bill 15. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr.
 O'Connell."
- O'Connell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of House Bill 15 addresses the problem of Class B the House. pickup trucks and RV vehicles, recreation vehicles, are presently required to go to a lane testing facility twice a year. Many of you, I know, have received calls and letters from your constituents who own Class B trucks that are not in business, that are simply owners of pickup trucks asking that this ominous and onerous legislation... statute be removed through this legislation. opposition to this measure is the operating lane testers themselves. We think that the Bill deserves a fair hearing before the Transportation Committee and before this Body and, therefore, the Motion to take from the table. I ask for your favorable Roll Call."
- Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. O'Connell, has moved to take House Bill 15 from the table and place in the Committee on Transportation. On that, is there any discussion? Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."
- Dunn, John: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

the House. As Chairman of the House Transportation Committee, I rise in support of the Gentleman's Motion. He has been very accommodating to our Committee during the course of the life of this Bill, and I think that he has done everything we've asked him to do. He would like to bring his Bill to the Transportation Committee for a hearing, and I think he deserves that accommodation now from us. And I would request the Membership to support his Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would rise in support of Representative O'Connell's Motion.

I had a lot of constituents that contacted me that were outraged that they had to take their RV truck over to the safety lane and have it inspected. It's a big inconvenience for them, and the trucks are not used as commerce. They're just used to go hunting and fishing, and I certainly would support his Motion."

Speaker Greiman: "The Lady from Cook, Ms. Topinka."

Topinka: "Yes, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm strongly in support of this Motion; and, in past discussions with Secretary of State, Jim Edgar, he said that I could use his name on the House floor in saying that he, too, is in support of this particular Motion. So, let's move it out." Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. The question is, 'Shall House Bill 15 be taken from the table? All those in favor signify by saying 'aye' ... No, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. It takes 71 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Motion there are 108 voting 'aye', none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this... and this Bill passed... House Bill 15 is taken from the table and placed

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

in the Committee on Transportation. Third Readings. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 1128. 1129. Out of the record. On the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 2327. Mr. Leverenz?

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2327, a Bill for an Act to amend

Sections of the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2327 would provide a restriction on the issuance of the General Assembly scholarships. It would provide that no scholarship could be given to relatives, et cetera. It would provide that the same rules that we use for our district office expense allotment, in terms of who can receive those monies, apply also to scholarships. If you have any questions, I'd be happy to answer them. I would ask for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Cook has moved for passage of House Bill 2327. And on that, is there any discussion? There being none, the question is, 'Shall this... Shall this Bill pass?' Yes, Mr. Tuerk. I'm sorry. I didn't see your light. Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Greiman: "He indicates he'll yield for questions."

Tuerk: "I'm not sure I understand the Bill. I don't have an analysis in front of me. Would you please explain it a little fuller than you did, please?"

Leverenz: "Why, certainly, so that you do not make any mistakes."

Tuerk: "Right."

Leverenz: "On the issuance of a General Assembly scholarship, either by your own action or that of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, you would not be able to give a scholarship to your spouse, parent, grandparent, child,

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

grandchild, aunt, uncle, niece, nephew, brother, sister, first cousin, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law or dauther-in-law. I wouldn't want to give it to my mother-in-law, but maybe I would want to. But this would provide that for all those I have mentioned, you would not be able to give a scholarship. Basically, it is the same provisions as you can access your district office allotment expense. And voting green is for good, clean government, and I would ask for your 'yes' vote."

Tuerk: "Thank you for your answer."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Yes. Further discussion?

The Gentleman from Rock Island, Nr. Brunsvold."

Brunswold: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd just like to state for the record that I'm going to support Representative Leverenz's Bill, but I'd like to also state that this situation is going to put my family, I have a son at the University of Illinois, and my family's being discriminated against as the only family in my district that can't qualify for a scholarship. Now, we should get some other benefits someplace. I'd like to know where it's going to be."

Leverenz: "Check with your Senator."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "Representative, just so I understand the legislation.

This would only prohibit those designated relatives of
Legislators from receiving a scholarship from that
Legislator. Am I correct? For example, a few years ago
Representative Hanahan's cousin, I think, lived in my
district. He didn't apply for a scholarship; but, if he or
she had, I would be able to consider giving a scholarship
to that particular person if they otherwise met my

criterion. It's only... It only prohibits X Legislator from

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

giving to X Legislator's designated relatives?"

Leverenz: "You are entirely correct."

Johnson: "Okay. Thank you."

Leverenz: "Your Senator can apply for yours."

Johnson: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from DeWitt,
Mr. Vinson."

Vinson: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."

Leverenz: "No."

Speaker Greiman: "No, he indicates that he won't. Proceed. I think he will if you ask the question."

Vinson: "Representative, I'm sure that you're aware of the sneaky way in which this Bill has gotten to Third Reading and the number of Amendments that were filed to make it a much better and much stronger Bill. Would you be willing to take the Bill back to Second Reading now so that those Amendments, those strengthening Amendments that would eliminate a much wider range of abuses so that they could be adopted?"

Leverenz: "I have read your strengthening Amendments from certain Members of the House and have determined that they are not, indeed, strengthening. And maybe I should not have taken your question to begin with, but I think you're a nice guy.

I'd ask for your 'aye' vote."

Vinson: "So, you'll take it back to Second Reading?"

Leverenz: "Absolutely not."

Vinson: "Well, let me ask you a further question then, Mr.

Representative, Mr. Sponsor. There is one of those

Amendments that would prohibit a Legislator from nominating

a dog for a legislative scholarship. Now, would you... Do

you have a problem with that Amendment?"

99th Legislative Day

- April 4, 1984
- Leverenz: "Oh, no one... I never had a dog apply for my scholarship in my district, and I'd probably turn the dog down. But we all have dogs in our districts."
- Vinson: "Are you aware that there are abuses where Legislators have nominated dogs for scholarships?"
- Leverenz: "I think that's just scandalous."
- Vinson: "I do, too. Now, if you would take your Bill back to Second, we could adopt an Amendment to clean up that problem. I cannot understand why you would not be a reformer in that matter."
- Speaker Greiman: "Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson. Mr. Vinson, the Gentleman has answered rather directly that he will not take it back. So, if you have further questions, ask them; otherwise, we'll proceed."
- Vinson: "Well, yes. Mr... Mr. Leverenz, why is it that you don't want to reform that abuse?"
- Leverenz: "Introduce your own Bill, Representative Vinson."
- Vinson: "Well, we've got an Amendment here that you could correct the abuse with and make sure that it no longer occurs."
- Leverenz: "The Amendments were not timely, and the Bill was moved under the proper rules of the House."
- Vinson: "So, you don't want to correct that abuse at this time."

 Leverenz: "Next question."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Will,
 Mr. Davis."
- Davis: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to address the Bill, but also, as a matter of personal privilege, I may deviate from the general subject matter just somewhat. When this Bill was introduced, I approached Representative Leverenz and asked to be a Cosponsor, because I was involved in the, quote, 'expose' of the Sun-Times because my daughter was a recipient of a General Assembly scholarship, signed and granted by me last year. How that

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

came about is a matter of public record. How that happened a matter of public record. All of my appointments and scholarships have always been a matter of public record and never did I try to hide or do anything that would sully the name of the General Assembly, nor would I ever do that. article has caused me to change Sun-Times procedures in the... in the district somewhat, in district, and go to a lottery, unfortun... and to be held by the press and, unfortunately, cuts out a few high schools by the luck of chance, because my scholarship committees operate within high schools that guarantee one high school... or the high schools in my district at least scholarship each. So, my district has already been wounded by the Sun-Times in their ill-timed and, I rather stupid expose. But, nevertheless, I have been a consistent opponent of legislative scholarships because there never were any rules. There aren't any rules; and, because when left to our own devices. intentionally or not, we make mistakes. And we shouldn't be making those mistakes in the public perception on behalf of the Body, on behalf of elected office and on behalf of ourselves. So, I approach Representative Leverenz and say, Representative Leverenz, it's a good Bill. I'd like to be a Cosponsor of that Bill, and I also have a series of Amendments I would like to offer to that Bill. Would please hold it on Second Reading for me? And he agreed at point to do that, and then, subsequently, did not do The Amendments that I wanted to offer to it included a homosapiens Amendment to quarantee that Representative Currie, who rightfully in her own mind was trying to protect the constituents of her district, by holding scholarship over, unfortunately, gave a scholarship to a dog. And to hold it over. Now, she had no intention of

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

defrauding or of bringing abuse and disintegrity on this chamber; but, nevertheless, she did that. It was included the <u>Sun-Times</u>, quote, 'expose', unquote. I had another one that said all scholarships under this Section are abolished. provided, however, the rights of scholarships awarded under this Section prior to the date shall not be affected, an abolition Amendment. I had an Amendment that said no person who is an elected public official or an appointed or elected officer of any political party or person who is the spouse. parent. grandparent, child, grandchild, uncle. niece. nephew. brother. sister. first cousin. mother-in-law, sister-in-law, mother-in-law, et cetera of an appointed elected officer or elected officer of any political many of you would like to see, with the Freedom of Information Act, all of your scholarships listed newspaper of your choice in your district with vour precinct captains on them, if they do exist? I had another one that abolished them altogether. I have another one that requires those names to be published in the major newspaper in your district. I had another Amendment required academic excellence with an ACT score of 25 or more before you could apply for a legislative scholarship. that's true reform. I... I have joined today with Representative Countryman from DeKalb County as a Chief hyphenated Cosponsor once again, as I ioined with Representative Hawkinson, to abolish legislative scholarships forever and not have this come down upon our heads. But I will simply tell you now. Mr. Speaker, I wish my name to be stricken as a Cosponsor from House Bill 2327 in the name of my daughter who is not a second-class citizen and fairly obtained her scholarship, and I have asked her to join the lottery this year to be pulled by the

99th Legislative Day

- April 4, 1994
- Herald News. And I, by God, hope she wins and this Bill is
 defeated."
- Speaker Greiman: "Let the record show that Representative Davis is not a Cosponsor of House Bill 2327. Is there further discussion? The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Alexander."
- Alexander: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ted... Representative

 Leverenz, may I ask a question? I was out of the room. Is

 your Bill... Does your Bill contain any Amendments at all

 or just your original? None. Thank you very kindly."
- Leverenz: "It's a nice, clean Bill."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Hallock."
- Hallock: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. in support of the Bill, but it's still a weak Bill. filed to this Bill an Amendment which would have said that all scholarship recipients would have to be made public with the Secretary of State. The key to that idea was that it would curb all the abuses that exist in General Assembly scholarships. We're all very cognizant here of abuses that occur, but they aren't just with sons and daughters and family members. There are politicians and others who really aren't qualified to get in these scholarships. I would say, first of all, we should abolish these Secondly, if that won't happen, we should scholarships. make sure that all the names of all the winners are published and that, I would hope, would bring some decency to these scholarships. I would say that this is a Bill, but we should make it better this year later on and amend it further. Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? There being none, Mr. Leverenz to close."
- Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I hope the folks that have risen about Amendments and things like that feel their

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

souls are a little more cleansed. The Bill simply provides some limitations so that we do not have some examples as we had cited in newspaper articles, et cetera, and may or may not be bad judgment on the part of a Legislator. The fact is we have little left at our own discretion as Legislators representing thousands of people in our district, little left in terms of perk, but I believe that if you allow the State Scholarship Commission to advise you on who is the best, you don't have to go to all the other silly gizmos of running lotteries and having the press pick your people. The press have their faults also. So, I'd ask for your 'aye' vote on House Bill 2327 to eliminate the abuses on this... limited number that exist."

- Speaker Greiman: "The question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. The voting is now open. The Gentleman from Will, for what purpose do you seek recognition, Sir?"
- Davis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege.

 There's something I forgot to do. This is a point of

 personal privilege that I'll take during the Roll Call, if

 you will allow that."

Speaker Greiman: "Proceed. Go ahead."

Davis: "I would just like to briefly read - it'll take two minutes. I copied this over the telephone some time ago from the application my daughter sent to my office. 'Dear Representative Davis, I am a graduating senior, and I plan to go to ISU in the fall of 1983. I wish to apply for a General Assembly tuition scholarship, and I understand my handicap with this application. But I don't think I should be treated any differently than anyone else in competing for this award. I'm sending my transcripts along, and you will see that my grade point average is high. My ACT scores are in the high twenties, and I was often an honor

99th Legislative Day

April 4. 1984

roll who also vas very active in student government, serving as president of the student council, as well as participating in various other activities. always been active in the community and have worked in the political process with you and other candidates Republican Party, and I look forward to continuing my civic and political involvement even while in college. I plan to attend ISU for one year in general studies and transfer to the U of I as a sophmore in the college of liberal when I will ... where I will major in political science or mass communications. I feel I have earned the honor of being nominated for a G.A. scholarship; and, because of my unique relationship, I doubt if any local agencies will recognize me for any local scholarships given annually by my community. I realize there will be no more no special consideration from the Committee. Thank you for your consideration. Heather Davis, your daughter. **

Speaker Greiman: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are 106 voting 'aye', 1 voting 'no', 3 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared... Mr. Davis, do you wish to vote? Alright. Then there are... Mr. Clerk, there are 2 voting 106 voting 'aye', Is that correct then? 2 voting voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 2332. Is Mr. Bowman in the chamber? Out of the On the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears record. House Bill 2377. Mr. Clerk, read the Bill."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 2377, a Bill for an Act to amend
Sections of the Senior Citizens' and Disabled Persons'
Property Tax Relief Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Nr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Chairman and Members of the House, we have a rule that you can't add your name as a Cosponsor after a Bill is passed, and I just know that after some of you hear about this Bill, you're going to want to have your name on And I have a form. So, you better do it before this Bill. we announce the Bill passed. House Bill 2377 is a Bill which provides that when a person becomes 65 years of age, they immediately become eligible for the Senior Citizen or Disabled Person Circuit Breaker Act. The law is now that you become 65 January 1 or 2 or 3, you have to wait the following year to become eligible for your Circuit Breaker grant. I feel that that's unfair. I think all of you have had the same thing happen to you that's happened to me that somebody becomes 65 and they say, 'Can't I get the senior citizens Circuit Breaker grant? And I said, 'No, got to wait till next year. This Bill prorates it so that you're 65 in the middle of the year, you get six months of the grant. Everybody sign up. There's a lot of... lot of ... You know, I've got a better one, but it's on Second Reading. The Department of Revenue came in Committee. They're in support of the Bill. The cost impact is two and half million dollars; but, having served on the Appropriations Committee for many years. ¥ e always over-appropriate for the grants. Unfortunately, manv qualify don't people who apply for the grants. Ι appreciate your support of this very good senior citizen Bill, and I hope that they don't announce it because we've got a lot of people adding their names."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake has moved for passage..."
Matijevich: "So, let's wait. Don't announce it until we..."

Speaker Greiman: "Well, we have to have a little debate and take
a Roll Call before we declare it passed. John."

99th Legislative Day

- April 4, 1984
- Matijevich: "Somebody else want to get up and say how good the Bill is while they're signing their names?"
- Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Lake has moved for the passage of House Bill 2377. And on that, is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Kendall, Mr. Hastert."
- Hastert: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to compliment the Sponsor of this Bill on bringing the Circuit Breaker and the issue of the 65 year old or the senior citizen approaching 65 years old and to extend the policy that we set up last year across the board to extend the Circuit Breaker. This is a good piece of legislation. I commend him for bringing it before the General Assembly and ask for your support."
- Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Cullerton."
- Cullerton: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a guestion?"
- Speaker Greiman: "Indicates that he will."
- Cullerton: "There's two ways of phrasing this question. Perhaps

 I could phrase it this way the best. How much money will

 be saved by the senior citizens of this state as a result

 of the passage of this Bill. Collectively how much money
 will be saved?"
- Matijevich: "How much will we save? It will cost the state..."

 Cullerton: "No, no, no, no, no, no. I don't want to know how much it's going to cost the state. I want to know how much money will be saved the senior citizens, will be put into the senior citizen's pocket."
- Matijevich: "Well, anytime you help seniors, that's a savings, as far as I'm concerned, because you know what seniors do with money. Put them into savings..."
- Cullerton: "How much... So, how much will they save collectively as a result of the passage of this Bill?"
- Matijevich: "How much will they what?"

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Cullerton: "Save. How much money will be put into their pockets as a result of the passage of this Bill?"

Matijevich: "I really don't know."

Cullerton: "I think it's two and a half million dollars."

Matijevich: "Oh, right. Well, what costs the state, they save.

Yeah, you're right."

Cullerton: "Does that sound like the number is accurate? Two and a half million?"

Matijevich: "That sounds good to me, John."

Cullerton: "Okay. Thank you. I think it's a good idea to save that money for the senior citizens, the 64 year olds out there."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? The Gentleman from Peoria,
Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I've had some people ask me if a Bill I carried last year didn't take care of this problem. The answer to that is no. The Bill that I had addressed the homestead exemption which is the same idea as the Gentleman from Lake is proposing for the Circuit Breaker. I, too, think it's a good idea and would urge passage of the Bill."

Speaker Greiman: "Further discussion? Question is, 'Shall this Bill pass?' All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Voting is now open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this Bill, there are '12 voting 'aye', and none voting 'no', none voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Yes, Mr. Christensen. Was your switch not working?"

Christensen: "No, Sir. I think it's working, Mr. Speaker, and I want to thank you. And I know this is against the rules of the House, but we'd like to welcome the eighth grade

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

students from Shanahan. They're right up here..."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you very much. Okay. Mr. Clerk... For mechanical reasons apparently, Mr. McAuliffe's switch did not work on that, and so let the record show that he was voting 'aye' on that Bill. Alright. Let the record also show that Mr. Countryman's switch was apparently mechanically defective. Alright. Okay. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 791, DeJaegher: 792, Jaffe..."

Speaker Greiman: "I'm sorry, Mr. Clerk. For what purpose does

the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Wolf, seek recognition?"

Wolf: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for purposes of an announcement.

The Personnel and Pensions Committee will meet at 4:00 p.m.
today in Room C-1."

Speaker Greiman: "Thank you. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolutions 793, Giglio. House Joint Resolution 134, Oblinger; 135, Oblinger; and 136, Marzuki." Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 791, DeJaegher, congratulates John and Mary Kehoe on their 50th anniversary. House Resolution Jaffe, commends Jean Callaghan on her retirement. 793, Giglio, congratulates Edward Deal on becoming an Eagle House Joint Resolution 134. Oblinger, appreciation to Cornelia Hodges for her leadership in women's affairs. House Joint 135, Oblinger, commends Laura Ratkie for service in the disabled American Veterans! 136, Marzuki, commends the Monee volunteer fire department. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Greiman: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the

99th Legislative Day

- April 4, 1984
- opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. General Resolution."
- Clark O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 133, Representative Johnson."
- Speaker Greiman: "Committee on Assignment. Committee Reports." Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Mulcahey, Chairman of Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken April 4. 1984, reported the same back with the following recommendations: 'do pass' House Bill 2375; • do pass as amended! House Bills 876 and 1069; and 'be adopted! House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #14."
- Speaker Greiman: "Announcements. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima."
- DiPrima: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just wanted to inform you that House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #2 which removes the tax from the veterans' homes just passed out of the Senate 52 to nothing. And just about all of you were Cosponsors. I'm grateful to all of you and to the Senate for today. Now, the photographer is going to be here right after adjournment, and those of you that haven't taken a picture with your citation from the Veterans' of Foreign Wars will remain after adjournment. We'll take pictures. Those of you that haven't received a citation, I've got them here at my desk. So, please come Thank you."
- Speaker Greiman: "I would remind the Republican Members that immediately upon adjournment a Republican Conference has been called in Room 118. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, on the Adjournment Resolution, allowing the Clerk 15 minutes."
- McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

move the House stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of 12:00 noon, allowing 15 minutes for perfunctory."

Speaker Greiman: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike, has moved that the House stand adjourned until the hour of 12:00 tomorrow. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it, and the House stands adjourned."

Clerk O'Brien: "Introduction and First Reading of Bills. House Bill 2710, Caparelli and Terzich, a Bill for an legalize and validate appropriation Bills and tax levy ordinances of certain counties. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2711, Barnes - et al, a Bill for an Act certain appropriations and amending various Acts herein First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2712, named. for an Act making an appropriation to the Environmental Protection Agency. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2713, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Metropolitan Civic Center Support Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2714. Bullock, Bill for an Act to make the State of Illin... to make the State of Illinois' Dr. Martin Luther King, Junior holiday conform with the National Dr. Martin Luther King, Junior's holiday. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2715. DeJaegher and Stuffle, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2716, Preston, a Bill for an Act relating to public financing of qubernatorial campaigns and amending certain Acts herein named. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2717, Jaffe, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2718, Doyle, a Bill for an Act in relation to notice of services and compensations available to crime victims and victims of domestic violence. First Reading of the

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Bill. House Bill 2719, Pangle and Matijevich, a Bill for to amend Sections of the Medical Practice Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2720, Levin, a Act to amend Sections of an Act concerning public utilities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2721. Yourell, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Library Systems Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2722, Yourell, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Commission to Study County Problems. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2723, Barnes, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Labor and Law Revision Commissions. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2724, White, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2725, White, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2726, Preston, a Bill for an Act in relation local criminal correction systems. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2727, White, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the House Bill 2728, White - Friedrich and Alexander, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Commission on Children. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2729, Woodyard and a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Vinson. Secretary of State. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2730, Terzich, a Bill for an Act making an appropration the Law Revision Commission. Second... First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2731, Steczo and Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2732, Greiman, a Bill for an Act creating the Center East Metropolitan Exhibition Auditorium and Office Building Authority. First Reading of

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

House Bill 2733, Christensen and Barger, a the Bill. for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Commission Atomic Energy. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2734, Mautino, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2735, Panayotovich - Capparelli, a Bill for Act to amend Sections of the Chicago Regional Port District Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2736. Panayotovich - Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Chicago Regional Port District Act. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2737, Richmond, a Bill for Act to create the Cemetery Merchandise Consumer Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2738, Richmond, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Park District Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2739. Curran, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capital Development Board. First Reading of the Bill. 2740, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend House Bill Sections of the County Home Act. First Reading of the House Bill 2741, Shaw, a Bill for an Act making an Bill. appropriation to the Board of Governors of state colleges and universities. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2742, Levin, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 2743, Richmond - Hicks - Marzuki and Doyle, a Bill for in relation to the administrative costs of the Department of Revenue conducted for collecting local occupation and use taxes. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2744, Terzich, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois Public Employees Pension Law Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2745, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill.

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Bill 2746, Keane - Cullerton, a Bill for a Act making appropriations to the Criminal Sentencing Commission. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2747, McAuliffe -Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. 2748. Keane. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the House Bill 2749, McAuliffe - Capparelli, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2750, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill Matijevich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill 2752, Jane Barnes and Woodyard, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act creating the Department Children and Family Services. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2753, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to create sanitary districts and remove obstructions in the Des Plaines and Illinois Rivers. Pirst Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2754, Berrios, a Bill Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2755, no Sponsor... get back to 2755. House Bill 2756, DiPrima, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2757, DiPrima, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2758, Countryman, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2759. Ralph Dunn, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Election Code. First Reading of the Bill. Returning to House Bill 2755, DiPrima, a Bill for an Act to amend

99th Legislative Day

April 4, 1984

Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2760, DiPrima - McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2761, Ewing, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Soil and Conservation District Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2762, Mautino, a Bill for an Act to Sections of the Unemployment Insurance Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2763, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act amend Sections of the State's Attorneys Appellate Service Commission Act. First Reading of the Bill. Bill 2764, DiPrima, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections αf Illinois Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2765. Barnes, a Bill for an Act appropriations the Capital Development Board to Southern Illinois University for permanent improvements. grants and related purposes. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2766, John Dunn, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2767, Vinson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2768, Vinson, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2769, Brummer, a Bill for an Act making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Office of State Appellate Defender. First Reading House Bill 2770, Matijevich, a Bill for an Act the Bill. making appropriations to the Suburban Problems Task Force its ordinary and contingent expense. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2771, Matijevich and Johnson, a Bill Act making appropriations to the Commission on for an Uniformity of Legislation in the United States. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2772, Breslin, a Bill for

99th Legislative Day

April 4. 1984

an Act to amend Sections and the title of an Act to require the installation of windshield or windshield cleaning devices in tops on track motor cars operated by railroads and providing a penalty for failure to comply with requirements. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2773. Satterthwaite, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Juvenile Court Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2774, Cullerton, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Joint Condominium Study Committee. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2775, Madigan - Capparelli, a Bill for an Act concerning the efforts of law enforcement agencies locating lost, missing or runaway children. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2776, Madigan - Capparelli, a Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Law Enforcement for the Illinois State Enforcement Agencies to Recover Children Program. First Reading of the House Bill 2777, Steczo. a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2778, Wait, a Bill for a Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code and the Criminal Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2779, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2780, Braun, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Foreign Banking Office Act. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House now stands adjourned. "

REQ STORE PRINT DOUBLE COPY WIDTH DEPTH ERROR

T040484 61 0 pj 02/20/85 1 66 78

09/07/84 12:30

STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF BEFRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE

LIGE

APRIL 04, 1984

	API	SIL U4,	1984		
HB-0015	MOTION	9		PAGE	23
HB-0569				PAGE	20
HB-0569	OUT OF	RECORD		PAGE	21
HB- 1587	SECONI	D READIN	G	PAGE	3
HB+1839				PAGE	3
HB-2304				PAGE	9
HB-2327				PAGE	25
HB-2346				PAGE	22
HB-2355				PAGE	4
НВ-2377 НВ-2710				PAGE PAGE	33 39
HB-2711				PAGE	39
HB-2712				PAGE	39
HB-2713				PAGE	39
HB-2714				PAGE	39
HB-2715	FIRST	READING		PAGE	39
HB-2716				PAGE	39
BB-2717				PAGE	39
HB-2718				PAGE	39
HB-2719				PAGE	40
HB-2720				PAGE	40
HB-2722		READING		PAGE	40
HB-2723				PAGE PAGE	40 40
		READING		PAGE	40
		READING		PAGE	40
HB-2726				PAGE	40
		READING		PAGE	40
HB-2728	PIRST	READING		PAGE	40
HB-2729				PAGE	40
HB-2730				PAGE	40
		READING		PAGE	40
		READING		PAGE	40
HB-2734		BEADING		PAGE	41 41
HB-2735				PAGE PAGE	41
HB-2736				PAGE	41
HB-2737				PAGE	41
HB-2738				PAGE	41
HB-2739				PAGE	41
HB-2740				PAGE	41
HB-2741				PAGE	4 1
HB-2742				PAGE	41
HB-2743		READING		PAGE	41 41
HB-2745				PAGE PAGE	41
HB-2746				PAGE	42
HB-2747				PAGE	42
HB-2748				PAGE	42
HB-2749	FIRST	READING		PAGE	42
HB-2750	FIRST	BEADING		PAGE	42
HB-2751				PAGE	42
HB-2752				PAGE	42
	FIRST	READING		PAGE	42
HB-2754				PAGE	42
НВ- 2755 НВ- 2756				PAGE PAGE	42 42
HB-2757				PAGE	42
HB-2758				PAGE	42
HB-2759		READING		PAGE	42
HB-2760		READING		PAGE	43
HB-2761		READING		PAGE	43
HB-2762		READING		PAGE	43
HB-2763		READING		PAGE	43
HB-2764	FIRST	BEADING		PAGE	43

09/07/84 12:30

STATE OF ILLINOIS 83RD GENERAL ASSEMBLY HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 2

APRIL 04, 1984

HB-2765	FIRST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2766	FIRST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2767	FIRST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2768	FIRST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2769	FIRST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2770	FIRST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2771	FIBST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2772	FIRST	READING	PAGE	43
HB-2773	PIRST	READING	PAGE	44
HB-2774	FIRST	READING	PAGE	44
HB-2775	PIBST	READING	PAGE	44
HB-2776	FIRST	READING	PAGE	44
HB-2777	FIRST	READING	PAGE	44
HB-2778	FIRST	READING	PAGE	44
HB-2779	FIBST	READING	PAGE	44
HB-2780	FIRST	READING	PAGE	44
SB-0751	RECAL	LEC	PAGE	19

SUBJECT MATTER

HOUSE TO ORDER - REPRESENTATIVE MCPIKE PAGE	1
PRAYER - BEVEREND JAMES A. JOHNSON PAGE	1
PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE PAGE	1
ROLL CALL FOR ATTENDANCE PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS PAGE	2
REPRESENTATIVE GREIMAN IN CHAIR PAGE	3
AGREED RESOLUTIONS PAGE	37
GENERAL RESCLUTION PAGE	38
COMMITTEE BEPORT PAGE	38
A DJOURN MENT PAGE	39
PERFUNCTORY SESSION PAGE	39
PERFUNCTORY SESSION - ADJOURNMENT PAGE	44