

Doorkeeper Koehler: "All persons not entitled to the House floor please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer this morning by Father Ernie Pizzamiglio, Pastor, St. Thomas Catholic Church, Mt. Pulaski."

Father Pizzamiglio: "Let us pray, Heavenly Father, we ask sincerely for your Divine guidance and constant help that we may work together in true peace and harmony. Rid us of all inordinate selfish desires, of all animosity, of all petty jealousy and envy. Purify our motivations that we may fulfill your will for the common good. Help us to realize that without you we can do nothing constructive. We ask that our judgments be based not on the fear of man, but on an honest desire to be just and right toward everyone. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading, 238. Out of the record..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill...."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Go ahead, read it."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 238, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 252. Sponsor's not here. 252..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 252, a Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pullen. Not on the floor. Out of the record. 253."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 253, a Bill for an act to create the Guardianship and Mental Health Advocacy Commission, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 255."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 255, a Bill for an Act to protect the confidentiality of records and communications of recipients of mental health or developmental disability services, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. On the Order of Concurrence, 1803, Representative Robinson. Robinson."

Robinson: "Yes. House Bill 1803, the Senate put two Amendments on it that add other systems. We'd like a chance to take a look at these in



greater detail later on so at this point I move that we nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to 1803."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "What page of the Calendar is that matter on?"

Speaker Redmond: "Ten....Pardon me, 7."

Madigan: "Page 7?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's on the Order of Concurrence, 1803."

Madigan: "And the Gentleman moves for nonconcurrency?"

Speaker Redmond: "Moves to nonconcur."

Madigan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments. Those in favor of the motion say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2.Yeah, nonconcurrency, Senate Bill 1546, Representative Kane? Good morning, Mr. Von Boeckman, I trust you rested well. 1546, nonconcurrency, page 10."

Kane: "I move to nonconcur in the Senate Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane refuses to recede from Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1546. Is that correct?"

Kane: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want to request a Conference Committee also, ...or just refuse to recede?"

Kane: "Yeah, I guess that's the proper procedure. Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House refuses to recede from House Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 1546. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede. Conference Committee requested. Is Representative Hoxsey on the floor? Senate Bill 1598, on Order of Concurrence, page 10. Do you want that out of the record for a while? Out of the record. Representative Brady on the floor? Lechowicz on the floor? Tuerk on the floor? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "What Bill are we on now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "We're not on any Bill."



Madigan: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "We went through these Nonconcurrences....on page 10.

Representative Hoxsey, are you ready now? On the Order of Noncon-
currence, page 10, appears Senate Bill 1598."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate
does not concur with Amendment #1. I would move that we recede from
that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady requests that the House recede from House Amend-
ment 1 to Senate Bill 1598. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote
'no'. Final passage. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will
take the record. On this question there's 93 'aye', and one 'no',
and the House recedes from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1598.
On the Order of Concurrence appears House Bill 25...2950, Representa-
tive Corneal Davis.....is recognized."

Davis, Corneal: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Conference Committee....
ask for a Conference Committee. Nonconcurrency and the Conference
Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis moves to nonconcur in Senate Amend-
ment 1 to House Bill 2950. Those in favor say 'aye', 'aye', opposed
'no', the 'ayes' have it, the motion carries and the House does not
concur in Senate Amendment #1. Are there any....well, let's start
with House Bill 15, on the Order of Concurrence. Yourell on the
floor? Cunningham? Richmond? Representative Richmond, on House
Bill 3884.....384. Out of the record. ...1470, Representative
Beatty. Is there anything on the Order of Concurrence that the
House Sponsor does not want to concur....so we'll get that one going.
Representative Kempiners. Representative Polk. What have you got,
Representative Kempiners? Which one? 3368. Everybody know that
Representative Kempiners is getting married July 5. Representative
Campbell moves that the vote by which Representative Kempiners is
getting married be considered. Okay. Representative Kempiners,
on 3368."

Kempiners: "On that Bill you were talking about, there are only two that
count, Mr. Speaker....and we're unanimous. ...House Bill 3368 is
the Appropriation Bill for the Intergovernmental Cooperation



Commission. I move to concur in the Senate Amendment which reduced the appropriation by 15 hundred dollars. It reduced the personal services by 9 hundred and equipment by 3 hundred and printing by 3 hundred and I would move that we concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak. The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House...."

Kempiners: "...Mr. Speaker....I guess it's Amendments #1 and 2. Amendment #1 is the Amendment....is the Hynes Amendment and then the #2 is the one that reduces it by 15 hundred. I would concur in both Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 97 'aye' and 6 'no', and the House does concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2. 1 and 2, correct. Representative Polk, what is it, 2653? 2653."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 2653 was the Farm Labor Contract Bill. Had to do with extending the rights in regard to the crew leaders. The Senate put on an Amendment to limit that to one year while they did some work on it and I have no objection. We're concerned about getting the tomatoes in this year and I would move that we do concur with their Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "No, I was waiting on another Bill, Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk has moved that we concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2653. Those in favor vote 'aye', Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield? Do I understand now that it's only for one crop year that we're going.....What did that Senate Amendment do?"

Polk: "The Senate Amendment put a restriction on it for one year. That's right."

Rigney: "So, in other words, if we're going to have that exemption another year you've got to have another piece of legislation next year?"

Polk: "That's true. The same people came in and testified in opposition to it. They could not find anybody to sell the insurance. ...They



had their noses out of joint. So they put a one year Amendment on it. The Senate Sponsor and I both agreed because they have said that if they don't...if they can't come up with a way to find the insurance people to sell it by Christmas of next year, that they will come back and testify in behalf of the legislation."

Rigney: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 2653. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 104 'aye' and 5 'no', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2653. House Bill 3135. Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker, I move concurrence of House Bill 3132 (sic), Senate Amendment...All it does is....This was recommended by the Secretary of State...and it has to do with the staggered registration that exists in 43 different fees due on reclassification. This Amendment clarifies the fee schedule and reduces the number of possibilities faced in such transactions. I urge an ...an 'aye' vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Could you refresh my recollection of what the House Bill 3135 does?"

Von Boeckman: "Well, it's the Bill that....that had to do with the people who hire a bus for tours and they are allowed to have liquor on the buses."

Daniels: "And the Amendment that you're talking about being on this Bill deals with liquor transportation?"

Von Boeckman: "The Bill in general passed the House with no problems, passed the Senate with no problems."

Daniels: "Well, the Bill that you're...the Amendment that you're adding is on staggered registration though, right?"

Von Boeckman: "Yes. This...It was the only Bill that this Amendment..."

Daniels: "This is the program that the Secretary of State has wanted and has advocated, right?"



Von Boeckman: "Yes."

Daniels: "Well, we're for the Secretary of State. I think...if it's the same Amendment that I'm thinking of it's a good Amendment and should be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan...Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Democratic file does not have this Amendment. Would you take this Bill out of the record, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative Friedrich, I can't see. Is that Friedrich, somebody is standing up and I can't see. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "That's your colleague from DuPage County, I believe."

Speaker Redmond: "Good man."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, in connection with House Bill 2843, which is the appropriation for the Legislative Reference Bureau, the Senate has elected to emasculate this Bill to where we will not have enough money to run that bureau next year. Now, I know the clamor around here for getting your Bills out and for getting a good product from the Legislative Reference Bureau. We have failed to convince the Senate of this and even though the salaries there are lower than any other comparable agency. So I'm going to take this out of the record. We're having a meeting of the Legislative Reference Bureau in the morning and, Mr. Speaker, I hope, if you can you can attend that meeting...as a Member...an ex officio Member of that meeting. But we're going to have to decide whether to put this in Conference Committee or let the Senate figure out how to get their Bills out next spring. It's a very serious matter and I don't understand how they can treat us this way, but we will move this Bill one way or the other, after we've had that meeting in the morning. I want to take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 2490, Representative Griesheimer, do you...Representative Griesheimer? 2490?"

Griesheimer: "On House Bill 2490 I would move to concur with the Senate Amendment. All that was done on this Bill was to change the effective date from the Constitutional date of October 1, to March 31, 1979. This is the increase in the hunting license fees in the State of



Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2490. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 105 'aye' and 9 'no', and the House concurs in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2490. 2491. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Formerly of DuPage County."

Griesheimer: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker. A Lombardian, at that."

Speaker Redmond: "Father was a fine man. Great lawyer. ...Proceed. Good partner too."

Griesheimer: "House Bill 2491 is the fishing license Bill. I would move to concur with the Senate on Amendments 1 and 2. Senate Amendment 1 is the same change in the effective date where it will become effective March 31, 1979, rather than the Constitutional date. Amendment #2..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is not in the file. Would you call Mr. Mark O'Brien to come to the floor and tell us where the Amendments are? Mr. Mark O'Brien to the floor or any other Member of the Democratic Staff who is responsible for Amendments to be in the file."

Speaker Redmond: "In the meantime, what do we do with Representative Griesheimer's motion toMark O'Brien, if you are within the sound of my voice, will you please bring your files up? Proceed, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is a provision to create a one-day nonresident fishing license in Illinois so that we can maintain our competitive nature with our adjoining states. And this had been approved by the Department of Conservation, in fact, they prepared the Amendment. I would move to concur with both of these Amendments to Senate...House Bill 2491."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield?"



Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Brummer: "What is the charge for the one-day, nonresident fishing license?"

Griesheimer: "The one-day license will be 2 dollars plus a 25 cent charge to the seller."

Brummer: "Do other states have a similar one-day license?"

Griesheimer: "Yes, matter of fact, before we prepared this Amendment, Jim Helfrich, from the Department of Conservation, called Wisconsin and based his Amendment upon the Wisconsin provision. I just was up in Michigan, myself, two weekends ago and they have a one-day license as well. Their one-day license is slightly less than ours, but that would make us competitive with the two adjoining states; the main conservation and wildlife states."

Brummer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Peggy Smith Martin."

Martin, P. S.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Martin, P.S.: "I'd like to know, please, if in the Bill or in either one of the Amendments, if it states where the stocking of fishes were for this year? Particularly in the northern region or if they were all done and if so, what kind of fish was put in there. I'm very interested in the subject matter because I am a fisherperson. I find that I catch more fish in the Springfield Lake than I do in Saganawski Slough, in northern Illinois. So, I'm just trying to find out what is going to be happening with all of the monies that we're getting in raising the fee?"

Griesheimer: "Representative Martin, the Bills themselves do not specifically state where the fish will be planted with the additional money that will be generated by the licenses. However, the Director of the Department of Conservation, Dr. Kenney, did issue a rather lengthy statement as to how the money would be used and stated that a very significant portion of the fishing license fee, over 1/3 of it, will go toward the building of a new fish hatchery in the State of Illinois that will generate, for the first time in over 25 years, a vast number of new fingerling fish which will be implanted throughout the State of Illinois. He is most concerned that all of the



fish implant be recognized in lakes, ponds and streams throughout the State of Illinois, from Lake Michigan on the north all the way down to Cairo. So I'm absolutely positive that he will have a plan that will include your particular slough that you mention. I can't say, specifically, if they said what will go in there or how many, because as you see, one of the problems we have is that it will still take about 4 years to build this fish hatchery because the money is going to be generated very slowly. Fish hatcheries can cost 19 million dollars. So that I would say before you and I have grey hairs running through our head there will be fish in that slough and we can all go up there and have an outing in your slough, as we've been doing in Lake Michigan."

Martin, P.S.: "Thank you very much. This is a matter that is very close to the end of my fishing poles. And though I have a conflict of interest, I will vote 'aye'. Thank you."

REPRESENTATIVE GIORGI IN CHAIR.....

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. A question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Richmond: "This one-day out-of-state fishing permit, is it new to Illinois, or do we have anything similar now, but you're just changing the fee?"

Griesheimer: "We... Representative Richmond, we have nothing like it at the present time. The only reason we adopted this is that the other states in our area have been adopting this. It maintains a degree of, let's say, friendship with the other states. It brings us in concurrence with the other states. It's a popular thing at the present time. It's reasonable because it will bring in revenue at an amount where maybe other out-of-state fishermen might just try to get away without^a license in the State of Illinois."

Richmond: "One other question. You compared this with the states that border us on the north. I'm more concerned...or I'm at least as concerned with some that touches on the lower end of the state... Missouri, Kentucky and Indiana...and Tennessee. Do you have any information as to what their status is on this issue?"

Griesheimer: "I don't specifically know whether Missouri and Kentucky have



such provisions. I have a representative of the Department back there who is wildly making a phone call and we will check it out for you and we will let you know."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Griesheimer, to close. Is this your motion?"

Griesheimer: "I'd just urge the Membership to concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2. They do not significantly change the Bill. The Bill overwhelminglypassed the House. I feel it's a very good Bill for our Department of Conservation and all fishermen in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. The motion is to concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2491. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no', Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. 101 'ayes', 15 'noes', none voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2491. Representative Yourell on ...Do you want to go to 2554 to concur? Out of the record. How about House Bill 2578, Representative Lechowicz and Marovitz? Concur, 2578, you and Lechowicz are principal Sponsors. Representative Marovitz on House Bill 2578."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House do concur with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2578."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion?The Gentleman moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment....Representative Kempiners would like an explanation."

Marovitz: "One of the Amendments allows towing fees to be paid with credit cards and the other Amendment has to do with allowing certain municipalities to opt out in case their particular municipality does not wish to participate in the purview of the Bill. This is the towing legislation Bill. Towing.... T-O-W-I-N-G...."

Speaker Giorgi: " Representative Darrow, on the concurrence."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could you hold this a minute? We don't have the file together."

Speaker Giorgi: "Could you hold it, Mr...Representative Marovitz?"



Marovitz: "Sure, I'll be happy to hold it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jones, on 2619.. Out of the record. Representative Porter, on 2754. Out of the Record. Representative Tipsword, on 2790, ...Out of the record. Representative O'Brien, out of the record. Representative Leverenz, on 2851...Out of the record. Representative Houlihan...Dan...on House Bill 2857, out of the record. Tipsword, on 2870, out of the record. Representative Matejek, on 2894. Out of the record. Representative Jane Barnes, on...Would you like to go with House Bill 2891, concurrence? Page 9, out of the record. House Bill 2988, Representative Stiehl, Mr. Clerk. House Bill 2988. Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl, C.M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would move that the House 'do concur' with Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 2988. Senate Amendment #1 is the Hynes Amendment and Senate Amendment #2 reduces the contractual service line item 65 thousand dollars....65 thousand, 6 hundred dollars. I would move that the House 'do concur'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow, on the Amendment."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could you hold this one also, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "...No objection. She'll...."

Stiehl, C.M.: "All right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, regrestfully, the Democratic Staff has not properly prepared the file for the Democratic Leadership. I would suggest to any Sponsors that when they plan to call a Bill on the Order of Concurrence, if they have copies of the Senate Amendment would they please bring them over to this station to allow us to examine the Amendments. Then maybe we'll get the Amendments, if the Sponsors bring them over....The Sponsors generally are familiar with the Senate Amendments. They could bring them here, we would examine them. Then maybe we could move the Bills along."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond, for what reason do you arise?"

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I have a Bill that meets those necessary qualifications and guidelines, House Bill 3231."

Speaker Giorgi: "House Bill 3231, Mr. Clerk. 3231...."



Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3231. This is merely a reappropriation of some funds that we have already passed ...passed, in last Session, for repairs to a roof of the Johnny Logan Community College. I move for the concurrence of this motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves...er.. Representative Tipword on the Concurrence Motion. Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "I just have a question of the Sponsor. Did they ever sue the contractor on that?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Did you hear the question, Mr. Richmond? Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "I...Rolly, I think....I...I can't tell you the status of the case, of course I'm not prepared to answer that. This Amendment just...just was sent over from the Senate, but I do now that they did have a case pending, but I don't know the status of it."

Tipword: "I have no objection to this Amendment, it's gotta be fixed, but I...I think there ought to be some means of assuring that they are bringing action against the contractor....on this. "

Richmond: "When we passed these funds before, it was contingent upon the ...them being replaced if and when they did get favorable judgment against the contractor, which would seem at the time to have been a foregone conclusion that they could get judgment unless the contractor's out of business or something. I do not know the status of the lawsuit."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten on the Concurrence Motion." ..

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Our Digests are not up to date, so I...I'd like for you to tell me again what that...Senate...We had a House Amendment on it, was that taken off in the Senate Amendment?"

Richmond: "No, the House Amendment took out the Joliet, 500 thousand dollar reappropriation item which was deemed by the Legislators from that area, to be unnecessary as a result of the fact that they had already received these funds. So this was agreed with the former Sponsor of this Bill, Representative Kempiners, that this would



be removed; and it was, by that House Amendment. The Amendment is still on and we have Senate Amendments which...#2, which merely adds the other item that's for reappropriation that I have just described."

Totten: "What is the total appropriation, with the Senate Amendment?"

Richmond: "Before the Amendment, it was 100 thousand dollars and they have added one hundred and eighty-seven thousand, 5 hundred. That'd be 287 thousand, 5 hundred dollars...of reappropriated funds."

Totten: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber on concurrence Amendment...er motion."

Leinenweber: "Yes, as I understand it, this adds some money for the roof of your junior college?"

Richmond: "Yes, that's right."

Leinenweber: "How much was that?"

Richmond: "One hundred and 87 thousand, 5 hundred dollars."

Leinenweber: "All right. Is the Jackson-Union County Port District still in for one hundred?"

Richmond: "Yes."

Leinenweber: "Is anybody else in it now?"

Richmond: "That's all."

Leinenweber: "So it's 2 hundred and 87 thousand dollars?"

Richmond: "Right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond to close."

Richmond: "Just ask for a favorable ...Roll Call, please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond moves that the House 'do concur' to Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3231. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Richmond to explain his vote. Representative Robinson to explain his vote."

Robinson: "No. Not to explain my vote, but....we are...in the Democratic study group trying to get some advice on how to vote on some of these Amendments and Mr. Mugalian doesn't have a number of them either. So that when Sponsors bring them by Representative Madigan's station could they also bring them by Representative Mugalian's station as well?"



Speaker Giorgi: "Would you like your switches synchronized also? Representative Flinn, to explain his vote. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "I don't want to explain my vote, I wanted to find what he was talking about. Democratic study group, what is that?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson, would you like to respond to that inquiry? What is the Democratic study group? Well somebody better own-up to it. Sorry, no one wants to answer your question. Representative Friedrich, to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "I just wanted to ask if we had two Democrat leaders that we were supposed to report to. Now I'm a little confused about what's going on here."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber to explain your vote on this motion."

Leinenweber: "No, not to explain my vote, but to explain what the Democratic study group is. It is a group designed in fact to find out whether there is a Democratic Party."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Richmond."

Richmond: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote. I am amazed in looking at the Board. Apparently there is something afoot that's not obvious because this is just more or less a clerical type of an action that is needed to reappropriate some CDB funds that we have already passed upon through the regular procedures of the Appropriation Committees and on the floor of the House and ...in last year. These were all approved last year and it's a matter of reappropriation. These are CDB funds...there's no new money. It's a very routine matter. I would ask that you reassess your position."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

The Gentleman asks that we poll the absentees. Mr. Clerk, take the record. Representative Mugalian on the issue. Oh, Mugalian wants to vote 'aye'. Willer, 'aye'. Just a moment, he hasn't got the record in his hands. Okay. Poll the absentees. Kozubowski, 'aye'. Terzich, 'aye'. Excuse me. Capparelli, 'aye'. That was.... Brummet, 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Hang on, let me catch up."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. Schisler, 'aye'."



Clerk O'Brien: "Wait a minute, let me catch up."

Speaker Giorgi: "Vinson wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'?...No?...No, Vinson wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Vinson. Do you have Willer as 'aye'? On this question there are 89 'ayes', 66 'nays', Representative Ryan, for what reason do you arise?"

Ryan: "Request a verification, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Minority Leader has requested a verification. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bartulis, Beatty, Bradley, Caldwell, Chapman, Deavers, Ewell, Hart, Hoffman, Keats, Kornowicz, Kucharski, Laurino, Madison, McAuliffe, Schisler, Schlickman, Stanley, Stearney, Vinson, W. D. Walsh and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Minority Leader has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. So are you ready now, Mr. Ryan? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Would you verify Mr. Brady and Davis, they wish to leave the chamber?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Was that...Representative Brady and Representative Corneal Davis...they have other duties."

Ryan: "I would certainly agree to Corneal Davis ...but I'd have to think about...no, that's all right....I'll vote them as green."

Speaker Giorgi: "They're verified, Brady and Corneal Davis. Representative Vinson, for what reason do you arise?"

Vinson: "The Clerk may have missed your instruction to record me as voting 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "You were recorded as voting 'no'. Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Pardon me, Mrs. Chapman wants to vote 'aye'. George, that is 90 'aye'. Representative Schisler, did you want to be recorded as voting 'aye'?...."

Schisler: "Aye."

Speaker Giorgi: "Schisler, 'aye'. That's 91. Representative Ryan, there are 91 'aye' votes."

Ryan: "91 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes."

Ryan: "All right, the Clerk can go ahead and read them if he wants."



Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, Birchler, Bowman, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Byers, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Christensen, Darrow, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Farley, Flinn, Friedrich, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Harris, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, J. M. Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Kelly, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Lechowicz, Leverenz, Levin, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Mann, Marovitz, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McPike, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Richmond, Robinson, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shumpert, Steczo, C. M. Stiehl, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Tipsword, Van Duyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, R. V. Walsh, Willer, Williams, Winchester, Younge, Yourell."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Minority Leader, Ryan, questions the Affirmative Roll Call. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Dawson?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dawson, how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Dawson in the chamber? Dawson? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, what was the count, again...What did we start at?"

Speaker Giorgi: "It is now 90."

Ryan: "Farley back there, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Farley? Representative Farley, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Farley in the chamber? Representative Farley? Take him off the record. Excuse me a moment. Representative Caldwell would like to be recorded as 'aye'. Caldwell. Caldwell, 'aye'. Do you have that, Mr. Clerk? Representative Harris would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. He's already on the record...He's already recorded. Okay. That's 91 again, George."

Ryan: "Representative Garmisa?"



Speaker Giorgi: "Garmisa? Representative Garmisa, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Garmisa in the chamber? Representative Garmisa? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio? How is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Giglio in the chamber? Representative Giglio? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Greiman?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Greiman? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Greiman in the chamber? Representative Greiman? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Hanahan?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan? Hanahan, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Hanahan in the chamber? Hanahan? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Griesheimer?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer, is he in the chamber? Is Representative Griesheimer in the chamber? Take him off the record. Representative Keats wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Keats, no."

Ryan: "Representative Huff?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Huff? He's in his seat."

Ryan: "Representative Jaffe?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jaffe? How is Representative Jaffe recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman..... is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Jaffe in the chamber? Representative Jaffe? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Emil Jones?"



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Emil Jones? Representative Jones, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Emil Jones in the chamber? Representative Emil Jones? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Kane?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Kane? Representative Kane, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Kane in the chamber? Representative Kane? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Mann?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mann? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is representative Mann in the chamber? Representative Mann? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative McClain?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Who?"

Ryan: "McClain."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain. Representative McClain, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative McClain in the chamber? Representative McClain. He's.....Take him off the record. Representative Hanahan has returned. Representative Hanahan is in the middle aisle. Representative Caldwell, you're recorded."

Ryan: "Representative O'Brien?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative O'Brien, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative O'Brien in the chamber? Representative O'Brien? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Pierce?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce? Representative Pierce, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce? Is Representative Pierce in the



chamber? Representative Pierce? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "Representative Schneider?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schnieder? Representative Schneider, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Schneider in the chamber? Representative Schneider? Take him off the record."

Ryan: "No further questions."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 78 verified 'aye' and 68 'noes', and Representative Richmond... Representative Richmond?..."

Richmond: "May I put this on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay...No objections...on Postponed Consideration. The three that I've called previously are now ready. Representative Stiehl, on 2988. Representative Cissy Stiehl, on 2988. Is she in the chamber? We'll go to 2754. 2754, Representative Porter. Is he... Representative Porter on 2754. Representative Porter, on 2754."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2754 originally exempted from the EPA noise regulation skeet, trap and sport shooting events. The Senate added to this subject motor sport events and high school and college outdoor sports events such as football games. I would move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2754."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Reed, on the motion."

Reed: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this seems to be an annual thing that Representative Porter and I go through. Representative Porter's very interested in removing from noise regulations... any kind of gun clubs. I'm not necessarily opposed to the removal from regulations of these clubs. I have a great many in my own district. But I'd also like to point out that there are only recreational sports exempted from noise pollution control. I'd like to point out that grain elevators, small industrial complexes, ball games, that sort of thing, are subject to regulation of noise pollution regulation. I would speak to the Bill or to this motion



for concurrence, only to bring back to the attention of this House. Regulations are removed from sporting events and not from any other controlled industry, grain elevators, any source of noise in the State of Illinois, if we concur in this Amendment. I move for non-concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz, on the motion."

Marovitz: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Marovitz: "Does this exempt all motor racing?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Representative Marovitz, this is not my Amendment so I'm going to try and explain it...just reading it. What it says, ...the United States....these are sanctioned events by the United States Auto Club, the National Association for Stock Car Auto Racing or the Association for Motor Sports. That's all...really I can tell you about it. I don't know whether it covers, for example, sports car rallies, I can't tell."

Marovitz: "Okay. Okay, then, I'd like to speak to the motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Marovitz: "This motion and the Amendment that was put on in the Senate, is a further expansion of the EPA regulation. I think we ought to take a very careful look at this because we have gone along with certain expansions under the Environmental Protection Agency, but now this goes much...much further....and creates further inroads in doing away with our noise regulations. If we're going to expand this to all auto racing, stock car racing, motor racing, what's next? We've agreed to certain Amendments which many Members of the House were reluctant to agree to in the first place, but now to further erode EPA regulations, I think, is going to open up a Pandora's Box and I would suggest that everybody take a careful look at this and vote....not vote to concur with the Senate Amendment. Put this in a Conference Committee and let's keep things under proper tow so that we don't have further erosion of the noise regulations under EPA. This is a very...bad Amendment that the Senate put on. We should not concur."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoxsey, on the motion."

Hoxsey: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think what we're considering here is something that is not something we're subject to every day of our lives. These are not daily things that we're speaking of. They are things that are done periodically....and I see absolutely no harm in going along with this Amendment. It is not a daily thing that we're exempting, it's an occasional thing. There is a difference."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Waddell, on the motion."

Waddell: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Waddell: "We now have jet boats and if you've experienced any of them why they're really something and I wonder if your terminology of motor racing would include a jet motor as used in a boat?"

Porter: "Representative Waddell, I would think not because they don't really talk in those terms. They talk about sanctioned events of the United States Auto Club, the National Association of Stock Car Auto Racing and the Association for Motor Sports. I doubt if jet boats would be included in any of those, although I can't stand here and tell you that they are not included in the last category. I just don't know."

Waddell: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Willer, on the motion."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm still not clear, but I think auto racing or sports car racing are now exempt from these controls. No? No one seems to be too clear about this..."

Porter: "No. The House and the Senate passed, in the 79th General Assembly, Senate Bill 193 that would have done that, but Governor Walker vetoed that and there was an override in the House but not in the Senate, I think."

Willer: "Well, then, if we vote for this, can I assume that the controls .. the pollution control, noise control on stock car racing is still in effect. Well, it's off then. It's off?"

Porter: "If this...if we concur in this Amendment, and the Governor then signs the Bill, the EPA would be out of the business of regulating



motor sports events, gun clubs and high school and college football games."

Willer: "Thank you. I'd like to speak to it then. I agreed....I received quite a bit of mail from gun clubs and I agreed to a change in the law that would exempt them. But, one of the speakers on the other side of the aisle said these are simply intermittent events. I would ask her to come to my district where we have a stock car race track and we have received numerous complaints and in no way could I support this if auto racing or stock car racing is exempt. It goes on nightly in my district."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Porter, to close."

Porter: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my own personal interest was in the subject that Representative Willer just mentioned first - in skeet, trap and sport shooting events. The Senate, in their infinite wisdom, has added this Amendment. I think that rationally, thinking about it, it is the same type of noise, in other words, from a stationary or relatively stationary source, that can be regulated at the local level and I would just hope that the House would be...realize that these are roughly the same and I would concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Porter moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2754. All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Marovitz, ..to explain his vote."

Marovitz: "I'm not explaining my vote. Request a verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz requests a verification. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Porter requests that the absentees be polled. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Adams, Antonovych, E. M. Barnes, Jane Barnes, Beatty, Bradley, Brady, Collins, Conti, Corneal Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Ewell, .."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewell would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'."



Clerk O'Brien; "Friedrich, Gaines, Hart, Hoffman, Emil Jones, Kornowicz, Madison, Meyer, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pechous, Pierce, Schlickman, Stearney, W. D. Walsh, ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, Harold Adams would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Harold Adams."

Clerk O'Brien: "W. D. Walsh, ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "...And Mulcahey would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mulcahey. You're on the Roll Call, forget it. Excuse me. Representative Mulcahey, for what reason do you arise?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, would you please change my vote from a 'yes', to 'no'?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mulcahey would like to be changed from 'yes', to 'no'. Representative Ryan, the Minority Leader, for what reason do you arise?"

Ryan: "Record me as 'aye', would you please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "And Williams."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Capparelli would like to be recorded as 'aye'. Capparelli from 'no' to 'aye', and Terzich, from 'no', to 'aye'. Terzich and Capparelli from 'no', to 'aye'. Any other changes? Marovitz, do you persist in your verification?"

Marovitz: "Yes, I think so."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Marovitz. Representative Matijevich... Matijevich,..."

Matijevich: "From 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Matijevich from 'aye' to 'no'."

Matijevich: "How about Giorgi?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Giorgi, leave him like he is. Kozubowski would like to be changed to 'aye'; from 'no'. Kozubowski from... 'no'... to 'aye', from 'no', to 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "From 'no', to 'aye'?"

Speaker Giorgi: "From 'no'. to 'aye'. Representative Murphy would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Murphy from 'no', vote to 'aye' vote. Representative Marovitz, for what reason do you arise, again?"

Marovitz: "What are we starting at?"



Speaker Giorgi: "We'll tell you in a moment....when we get all of the changes in. He'd like to know what the number is. There are now 99 'aye' votes, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Well, we'll give it a shot."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me. Representative Getty. Ed? Representative Getty."

Getty: "Would you change me to 'no', Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Getty, from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Pechous, Pechous. Just a moment, the Minority Leader has got the radio man all tied up here. Pechous from 'aye' to 'no'. Continue with the verification. 98 'aye'. Continue with the verification. Verify the affirmative."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Anderson, Bartulis, Bianco, Birchler, Boucek, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Byers, Campbell, Capparelli, Christensen, Cunningham, Daniels, Deavers, Doyle, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Edgar, Ewell, Ewing, Farley, Flinn, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Harris, Hoxsey, Hudson, Huskey, Jacobs, Dave Jones, Kane, Keats, Kelly..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Lechowicz, for what reason do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Change me from 'no', to 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz from 'no', to 'aye'. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mrs. Barnes, Jane Barnes, for what reason do you rise? Jane Barnes to 'aye'. Representative Vitek, for what reason do you rise?"

Vitek: "Change my vote from 'no', to 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vitek, from 'no', to 'aye'. Got a couple of more changes, Mr. Clerk. Representative Walsh, W. D. Walsh to 'no'. He's not recorded. Representative Gene Hoffman, to 'aye'. Gene Hoffman...to 'aye'. Representative Domico to 'aye'. Domico to 'aye'. Excuse me a moment, Mr. Clerk. Representative Marovitz, again. Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my request for verification."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman requests the withdraw of his verification."



Request granted. Give me the record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Dyer, for what reason do you arise?"

Dyer: "Would you change me from 'yes', to 'no'?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dyer, from 'aye' to 'no'....'aye' to 'no'. The final count is 103 'ayes', and 47 'noes', and this measure is ...having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Von Boeckman, on House Bill 3135, ...3135. Representative Von Boeckman on House Bill 3135."

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3135 passed the House and the Senate, but the Senate saw need for an Amendment because of the request of the Secretary of State. What Senate (sic) Bill 3135 was, it was the...it...with the chartered bus, it puts the responsibility on chartered bus...for the exemption... and puts the exemption from any alcohol on the bus from the passengers. But what the Amendment was necessary....as part of the adjustment to the staggered registration system that now exists....43 different fees due on reclassification. This Amendment clarifies the fee schedule and reduces the number of possibilities faced in such transactions. I move toconcurrence, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels on the motion."

Daniels: "This is the same Bill that we heard a few minutes ago and I think it's been reviewed now and appears to be in good form and I would urge your concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Von Boeckman to close."

Von Boeckman: "I move concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3135. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 102 'ayes', and 22 'nays', 10 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3135. Mr. Marovitz, on House Bill 2578. House Bill 2578, Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Yes, I believe that ...I talked to the people who asked me to take this out of the record and I would now move that the House



'do concur' with Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2578."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Explain what it does, will you, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "I will explain it again for Representative Hanahan. The Amendment #1 allows towing fees to be paid by credit card. That was not in the original provision. It had to be paid by cash. Second of all, it allows certain municipalities the option of opting out of the purview of the Bill. I would ask for concurrence with Senate Amendment 1 and 2."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2578. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner, on the motion."

Skinner: "Yeah, in case anybody hasn't figured out, this is another licensed profession. We're dipping pretty low in professional ranks...or at least the use of that term. We're licensing tow truck operators here. What we're doing here is solving a problem or attempting to solve a problem which the City of Chicago's leaders absolutely and positively and consistently refused to even approach. Now there's no reason anybody should be voting for this Bill unless you think the people in the City...who run the City of Chicago are incompetent to solve their own problems. On the second thought, maybe we should be voting for the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Johnson, to explain his vote."

Johnson: "I guess it's really too late for a question of the Sponsor, but he might respond to my comment. As I understand this Bill, this would seek to undo the decision of the Illinois Supreme Court which eliminated the possessory liens on the part of ...of haulers and towers. But if anybody ever has a question of whether their Bill is properly assessed, the only way they're going to be able to remedy that is by paying the Bill first and going to court later, which ...practical matter nobody can afford. To the contrary, maybe the reasons given by Representative Skinner, I think think this Bill, as it is written, does not provide sufficient protection for



consumers. It ought to be opposed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz, to explain his vote."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Well, the fact is it was not a Supreme Court decision that Representative Johnson referred to, it was an Appellate Court decision and, in fact, today when people are going to pick up their cars they are not getting their cars without, in fact, actually paying cash because the ...the ownership requirements that the towing companies are demanding, ...proof of ownership requirements are such that very few people are able to comply with and consequently the cars are being held in lieu of cash. This is not a problem that is specifically a Chicago problem. If you are from Springfield, if you are from Champaign, if you are from Bloomington, you know that those kinds of situations occur in those areas and the areas where towing problems are going to occur, are going to be consistently on the increase. I think it's important that some unit of government take control of this on a uniform basis and make sure that the proper rules and regulations are set up. What my friend on the other side of the aisle fails to recognize is that the violence and the deaths that have occurred as a result of this industry have been excessive and we have sought to deal with this problem by very strict regulations and very strict insurance requirement and very strict hiring requirements in the Bill. This is...the Bill came out of a Subcommittee investigation. It was supported bipartisan, by the Subcommittee, by the Judiciary Committee, Representative McMaster had some questions. I sat down with him and we worked out his particular problems and this does come out of a bipartisan work... over quite a few months...in trying to come up with some answers to some very...very serious problems. It has been supported by the newspapers and by the ...the media...and I would think that if you take a look at this Bill, if you have any specific questions, we'll be happy to answer it. There are those, also, on the other side of the aisle, who would be happy to answer those questions...who sat on the Subcommittee with us....looking at this problem. This came out as a suggestion from the State's Attorney of Cook County, Bernard Carey, as well as the Chicago Police Department, police



officials throughout the State of Illinois, the Chairman of the Illinois Commerce Commission, and numerous testimony from consumers throughout the State of Illinois."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Catania, to explain her vote."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a very severe problem right in the Governor's own neighborhood up there on the near northside of Chicago where he lives. I think we all ought to support this and pass it so that the Governor will get a chance to show his neighbors up there that he really has their concerns at heart. Just as a personal example I want to let you know what really happens there in case you haven't been trying to park a car on the north side of Chicago. I pulled into a private parking lot one day a couple of weeks ago so that I could run into the lobby of a building and leave something there. When I came out the Lincoln Towing Service was there to remove my car. Fortunately I got there just before they put the chain on, but they're extremely efficient and I would have to agree with Representative Skinner that the Chicago Administration doesn't seem to be able to handle this problem by itself. I think we need a little help from the state. I think we need to give the Governor a chance to sign this Bill, to show his neighbors up there that he really is concerned about their problems."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, to explain her vote."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Genlemen of the House, if there's one exception we should make to our bias against licensing this is it. Apparently some of the Members of this House prefer to pay attention to legendary songs and think that it is a funny situation. But this towing company grab on the City of Chicago, and on people who park in the City of Chicago is not a funny situation. If you live in the city or the suburbs, you should certainly be voting for this Bill and I urge an 'aye', vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Abramson, to explain his vote."

Abramson: "I just had a question. Does this preempt home rule powers?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Abramson, repeat that, please."

Abramson: "Does this preempt home rule powers?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz, the question is, does this preempt



home-rule power? Representative Madigan, on the question."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I suggest that that question is more properly directed to the Chair, in the form of a request for a Parliamentary ruling rather than being addressed to an individual Member."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Abramson, we ruled on that originally and the Amendments did not change the original ruling. We ruled on that originally and these Senate Amendments do not change that original ruling. 89 votes. Representative Ewell, on ... Representative Ewell would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Lechowicz, you would like to speak on ... explain your vote."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first of all I'd like to ask that the House give a favorable consideration to this Bill. It passed overwhelmingly...when we did consider it. The Senate did put on two Amendments and basically Amendment #1 added the commercial vehicle relocation in the Bill. It makes relocators, lien and towed vehicles payable by cash or major credit cards. I don't see any objection to that measure and I believe that the House should concur with the Amendment. Amendment #2 authorizes cities and villages and unin... incorporated towns in Cook County to opt out. This was a provision that was raised by Senator Moore and in conjunction with the other Members of the Counties and Villages ... Members of the Senate. I believe that this measure is probably one of the most vital issues in certain districts in urban areas in this state where we've had people unfortunately be ... be abused as far as the way their cars were absolutely hijacked from a specific location. I don't see why this measure has any controversy whatsoever. I strongly encourage that you would reconsider... the people who are voting red or present... to reconsider your position and vote on the merit of the Bill and vote 'aye'. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 103 'aye', 51 'nays', 12 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2578. Representative Stiehl, on Amendment... House Bill 2998.... 2981... Cissy Stiehl. 2981."

Stiehl, C.M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's House Bill 2988....."



Speaker Giorgi: "Sorry. Your number is 2988."

Stiehl, C.M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I would move that the House 'do concur' with Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 2988. Senate Amendment #1 is the Hynes Amendment and Senate Amendment #2 reduces the contractual services line by 65 thousand, 6 hundred dollars. I've checked with the Democrat Staff and they are in agreement with this. It's been an Agreed Amendment and I would ask that we 'do concur'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on House Bill 2988."

Skinner: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I would like...you to rule on the relevancy of ...er...the germaneness that is, of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "On the germaneness of Amendment #1. Where is my Parliamentarian? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I've been implored to bring up my picky little point on another Bill and I shall do so."

Speaker Giorgi: "Do you withdraw this...then?"

Skinner: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "He withdraws. Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion. The question is, shall the House concur to Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2988? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'....to concur. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk.Polk...let me read the record first. On this question there's 115 'ayes', 21 'nays', 3 voting 'present', and this motion to concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2988 is passed. Representative Polk, on this motion....Representative Polk."

Polk: "Sir, on House Bill 2754, I was inadvertently voted 'aye'. It will not change the outcome. I request permission to be changed to 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "What's that number again?"

Polk: "2754."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to vote on 2754? No objection..."

Polk: "Thank you."



Speaker Giorgi: "And Representative Totten, on 2988...from 'aye' to 'no'.

Does it change the results? Okay. Does the Gentleman have leave?

No objections. Leave is granted. Representative Houlihan on House Bill 2885. 2885."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do 'nonconcur' in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2885. This is the appropriation to the Supreme Court for the judicial system. Staff tells me there is a technical defect in the drafting. We have to put this into a Conference Committee to correct it, so in consequence I move that we nonconcur to Senate Amendment 1 to the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the Gentleman moves that we nonconcur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2885. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The House does not concur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2885. Representative Leverenz, on House Bill 2851. Concurrence. Out of the record. Representative Houlihan, on House Bill 2857. Out of the record. Representative...I called that one. Representative Kane, on House Bill 3197. Is he in the room? Representative Kane. Vinson, on House Bill 3220. Representative Vinson, on House Bill 3220. Representative Vinson."

Vinson: "Why don't you take that out of the record at this point, so I could....for a couple of people, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. Representative Macdonald, on House Bill 3225. 3225."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We took this out of the record last night to talk to Representative Schuneman and after adjournment Representative Johnson came down and talked to me. I'm still ready to ask for concurrence....and I would like for each of these to be taken separately....the Amendments. There are 3 Amendments.

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay, then, you move for the Senate Amendment #1 first..."

Macdonald: "Yes, I move for Senate Amendment #1. Now, that Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3225 creates a Hazardous Waste Study Commission of 16 members....there are 10 Legislators, 4 public members, the Director of EPA, the Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission. Now, while I'm not...you know, I don't really care one way or another



about this Commission, the one advantage to is that it will self-destruct in July 1, of next year and their report has to be back to the General Assembly by February 2, of 1979, which is just about 6 months away. So I do move for concurrence of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schuneman, on the motion."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to oppose the concurrence with Senate Amendment #1. I rise reluctantly because I'm sorry to have to oppose the very good Sponsor of this Bill. But in the Senate, an Amendment was attached which has absolutely nothing to do with this Bill and should not be attached to the Bill. I had a Bill, House Bill 2535, which would affect the rate charge or the fees collected by the State of Illinois, in connection with the radio active waste disposal site at Sheffield, Illinois. That Bill received unanimous approval of the House Environment and Energy and Natural Resources Committee. It passed out of the House by a vote of 130 to 1. It went to the Senate where it was referred to the Committee on Local Government. They put it into a study Committee. Now I have no particular objection to that, but it seems foolish to me to appoint a Commission when we already have an existing Senate Committee who is studying the particular problem that has to do with radio active waste disposal. This Commission that is proposed to be established is a catchall Commission in that it would include...not only the hazardous...the radio active waste materials that are presently controlled by the Department of Public Health, but also they would seek to study the problem which is the subject of Representative Sharp's Bill, a very good Bill, which he worked hard to ...to achieve passage here in the House, and I submit to you that the Senate has ...two very good House Bills in the Senate that deal with the subject matter that they are now trying to establish a Commission. For that reason I stand in opposition to this concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sharp, on the motion."

Sharp: "Yeah. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I also rise to oppose Senate Amendment #1 because I believe it is a meaningless Amendment. The House passed House Bill 3153, which was a piece of legislation worked out by the House Environment and Natural Resources Committee



dealing with the problems of hazardous waste in the State of Illinois. The Bill passed the House 159 to nothing. When it got to the Senate I was told that House Bill 3153 was going to Subcommittee because all the other Bills went to Subcommittee. The parties that worked on this Bill, both from the industry and from the public, the Environmental Protection Agency, seemed to have some kind of consensus of what needed to be done to deal with the problems of hazardous wastes in the State of Illinois when we passed this Bill out of the House. When it got to the Senate many of the parties that were involved in working out the problems in House Bill 3153 came out of the woodwork and opposed the Bill. The Bill is in a Subcommittee in the Senate. I think that if we are going to do anything meaningful to bring about a solution to the problems of handling hazardous wastes in the State of Illinois, it has to be in that Subcommittee where the Bills presently are. We can work out the problems, if there are problems, while the Legislature is out of Session, and possibly act when we come back for the Veto Session. If we approve this Commission, all we are doing is putting off, delaying any meaningful legislation to deal with the problems of hazardous wastes. And I ask the House to nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1, so the Subcommittee of the Local Government Committee in the Senate can work out the problems that they say exists in these Bills and we can get some action when we come back this fall."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Macdonald to close."

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I really...because of the former two speakers and my great respect for them...I really reluctantly have to say that there are over 18 Bills in that Subcommittee in the Senate. As a Member of the House Energy...Natural Resources Committee, of course, I supported their Bills, and I do think that we have to go forward with these studies and with the solution to the problems that we face. I regret, to some extent, that this Amendment was tacked on to this very important Bill, but I do think that the subject of the Commission and possibly these two Members of the House could be placed on that Commission. And I ask for your support for adoption of Amendment #1."



Speaker Giorgi: "The Lady moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3225. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'nay'. I'm notified that there are unauthorized persons now on the floor of the General Assembly and will they please remove themselves immediately. Representative Catania, to explain her vote. Representative Catania."

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I agree with Representative Schuneman and Sharp that this is indeed a very unfortunate circumstance that we find ourselves in, but I think the Senate has demonstrated that we aren't going to be able to force them to do something they don't want to do. What this Commission would do is to involve House Members in the process. Now we just heard that we've been done in by the Senate, but then we've been told, 'let's leave it to the Senate Committee to do an investigation.' I don't think that's logical. I think that this Commission, where we are right now, is the logical solution because it puts House Members into the determinative process. So while it's not the ideal solution at all, I think that we need some House Members working with Senate Members to try to investigate the problem of hazardous wastes and radio active waste disposal in the State of Illinois. We can't leave it to the Senate to do it exclusively. They've demonstrated that very clearly. So, to put House Members into the process, I think we all should vote green."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Geo-Karis, would you like to explain your vote? On this question there are 34 'yeas', 89 'noes', and the Lady's motion fails. Would you like to....Representative Macdonald"

Macdonald: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to now move to nonconcur with Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "You've heard the Lady's motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', and those ...and those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House moves to nonconcur to Senate Amendments to House Bill 3235, 1, 2 and 3. Representative Leverenz, on House Bill 2851."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr Speaker. I wish to move to nonconcur with



Senate Amendments #1 and 2 and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Giorgi: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion to nonconcur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2851. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and the House does not concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2851. House Bill 3270. Representative Stuffle."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, I would move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3270. The Amendment reduces the General Revenue Fund Appropriation by 97 hundred dollars and increases the federal appropriation for monetary awards in the Scholarship Commission by a like amount. This is possible because we have received, from the Federal Government, an additional amount of money which will allow us to lapse further funds from General Revenue."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative Stuffle moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3270. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 124 'ayes', 8 'nays', and 4 voting 'present'. The House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3270. Representative Tipword, for what reason do you arise?"

Tipword: "I have received the Amendments on 2870 and whenever you are ready I can proceed on that."

Speaker Giorgi: "We'll go to that now, then. 2870. House Bill 2870...."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, this is the appropriation for the Judicial Inquiry Board. The Senate amended the appropriation in the following respects. In Senate Amendment #1 they added the so-called Partee or Hyde Amendment that they can only spend half of the money during the first half of the...or up until the first of next year. In addition, in the second Amendment, they reduced the personal services by 450 dollars and reduced travel expenditures by 2 thousand, 5 hundred dollars. I move for concurrence with these two Senate Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? Representative Skinner on the motion by Representative Tipword."



Skinner: "Yes, I rise to a point of order, Mr. Speaker. I question the germaneness of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Senate Amendment? My Parliamentarian has escaped for a few moments...with our permission. Use my personal Parliamentarian. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, that's the so-called Partee or Hynes Amendment that was ruled on in this House a couple of years ago, in this House, and has been ever since."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner, my Parliamentarian, Mr. Schwartz, advises me it is germane."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Schwartz is not the Parliamentarian. If you wish to base the decision on your own knowledge, that's fine, but I would suggest you not make it based on his instructions from Chicago."

Speaker Giorgi: "The record will indicate that I made the decision."

Skinner: "How quaint."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, I just wanted to ask the Sponsor a question. What is the rationale behind that Amendment?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "The rationale behind that Amendment apparently is that they'll spend their money only in regard to...during the current term and when...you know, the term of the general officers of the State of Illinois, they'll spend 50 percent and they'll spend the other 50 percent in the last half or the new term of the ...the officers of the State of Illinois. Why it's on this I cannot tell you, but it certainly doesn't...I don't think in any way ^{will} adversely affect the operation of the Judicial Inquiry Board."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Tipsword moves that the House concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 2870. Is there more than one Amendment? 1 and 2, the House concur to Senate Amendment 1 and 2 to House Bill 2870. All in favor signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk."



On this question there are 115 'ayes', 34 'nays', and 3 voting 'present'. And the House concurs to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2870. House Bill...House Bill 3027, Representative Getty. House Bill 3027."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment...Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3027 makes a corrective change in error in the version as it went to the Senate. It provided that the effective date would have been January 1 of 1978 and this has been changed to January 1 of 1979. And it deletes a reference to reports to be made in 1977 and makes it beginning in 1978. I would move for concurrence in the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, Representative Getty moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3027. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and the others by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 115 'ayes', 30 'nays', 4 voting 'present', and this motion having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. And the House does concur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3027. Representative Peggy Smith Martin would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative O'Brien, on House Bill 2995. 2995. Page 9 on the Calendar. Representative O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, this is merely an enacting clause...an Amendment that was put on in the Senate that gives it an enacting clause. Makes it become effective immediately upon the signature of the Governor. I'd move to concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the Gentleman moves that that Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2995 be adopted. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 149 'ayes', 2 voting 'nay', 2 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 2995. Representative McAuliffe, on House Bill 29....Out of the record. Representative Yourell, on



House Bill 15. Representative Skinner on House Bill 32...er...
 Cunningham on House Bill 32. Out of the record. Representative
 Richmond on House Bill 384. Out of the record. He said out of the
 record. He's the first Sponsor...Cunningham... House Bill...Representative Beatty, on House Bill 2857, on Concurrence. Dan Houlihan..."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 2857 is the annual appropriation for the State Appellate Defender. I'm going to move that we do concur in Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to the Bill. The net effect of those Senate Amendments is to reduce personal services by some 65 thousand dollars and I move the concurrence of Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the motion is ...will the House concur to Senate Amendments #1 and 2 to House Bill 2857? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 109 'ayes', 30 'nays', and 3 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 2857. Representative O'Brien, on 2835. House Bill...Out of the record. Representative Tipword, on 2790. Representative Tipword...2790? Out of the record. Representative Emil Jones on...Representative Jones on House Bill 2619. Out of the record. Keep playing ring-around-the-rosie? On page 10, on nonconcurrence, Senate Bill 1798, Representative Tuerk...Senate Bill 1798. Representative Tuerk. Senate Bill 1798. Representative Tuerk. Out of the record. Representative Kane on the nonconcurrence on Senate Bill 1546. You've already done it? That's okay. Who's handling House Bill 3283..for the Insurance Committee? House Bill 3283 on a concurrence? Insurance Committee...House Bill 3283...Representative Kempiners, are you handling that Bill for the Insurance Committee...House Bill 3283?

Kempiners: "Isn't Representative Kane handling this?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Are you handling the insurance Bills? I'm sorry. Representative Tipword, on House Bill 3283, the Insurance Committee Bill, on a concurrence? Kane?"



Tipsword: "I'll yield to Representative Kane because that was his proposal in the Insurance Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Kane, are you ready to go with that? House Bill 3283?"

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what House Bill 3283 did as it left the House was to forbid discrimination against handicapped persons on life insurance rates as well as health and accident insurance rates. We had already agreed before that Bill left the House to amend it in the Senate to have it apply only to life insurance premiums because of problems that had developed in ...on definitions of handicapped and previously existing conditions. And the insurance industry had pledged to work with the House Insurance Committee to develop language - over the summer - to include or to get nondiscriminatory language for handicapped persons, for health and accident insurance. But in the meantime, we are going to...or they have agreed...there are no problems...to forbid discrimination in life insurance rates for handicapped persons, if the handicap does not affect life expectancy rates. So I would ask the House to concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3283."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, Representative Kane, the motion then is to concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3283. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 150 'ayes', 2 'nays', one voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3283. The Minority Leader, Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Chair might tell the Members what the program is for today? What the schedule is going to be?"

Speaker Giorgi: "As far as I know it, I've been assigned the concurrences, and Senate Bills, Second Reading, after we get most of the concurrences taken care of."

Ryan: "Do you know...Do you have any idea how long we're going to be here today...past 5 o'clock?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan, would you like to enlighten the



Minority Leader? "

Ryan: "Representative Madigan, do you have the answer to that question or do you have to check with Speaker Redmond?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, do you think it's possible for us to enlighten the Minority Leader?"

Ryan: "I suppose if the three of you got together you might be able to do it."

Madigan: "Mr. Ryan, it is my understanding that the Speaker intends to work straight through into the neighborhood of 5 o'clock and then adjourn."

Ryan: "Is that around the hour of the Democrat cocktail party?"

Madigan: "I think it's approximately the same hour of the day that you requested when you had both of your parties."

Ryan: "...and didn't get out until 6:30, that's right. We're going to work straight through until 5, is that the program...until it's changed in ten minutes. Thank you."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jane Barnes, on House Bill 1068. 1068, Representative Barnes. Barnes...Move to the next mike.."

Barnes, J.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 1068. Senate Amendment 1 is just a cleanup of some of the language. It prohibits electioneering near any registration area or voting place for 40 days before election. It corrects an omission in the Bill. Senate Amendment #2 provides the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners in Chicago may receive a salary up to 48 thousand dollars."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady, on the motion."

Brady: "As the Chief Cosponsor with Representative Barnes, I also move to concur and urge your favorable support...for this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, on the motion."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If the Sponsor would explain Amendment #2, attached in the Senate. That would be an increase over the current salary...by how much?"



Barnes, J.: "The current salary is 35 thousand dollars....And what this Amendment actually does is remove the cap, which is now at 35 thousand dollars, up to 48 thousand."

Leverenz: "For whose position?"

Barnes, J.: "Well, it's going to be the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners. If you've been reading about ^{it} in the newspapers, at present, that position is open."

Leverenz: "I see. It's permissive. Not that we're going to put...set it at that but...it's permissive."

Barnes, J.: "Yes, that's right."

Leverenz: "Okay. Then I could go along."

Barnes, J.: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Collins, on the motion."

Collins: "Just a question. Is it written into the Amendment that this will be a full-time employment?"

Barnes, J.: "Yes."

Collins: "I don't ask that question to throw you a curve ball. I am going to vote for iton that stipulation."

Barnes, J. "Well, Mr. Collins, presently the position is vacant, as I stated, to the previous Legislators. The Chairman is not permitted to hold any other job while serving."

Collins: "All right. That takes care of any objection I might have."

Barnes, J.: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson, on the motion."

Robinson: "Would Representative Barnes explain Amendment #1 again?"

Barnes, J.: "Well, that's the simpler one. Be very happy to. It's just a cleanup of some of the language in the Bill. It prohibits electioneering near any registration area or voting place, for 40 days before the election. It corrects an omission in the Bill. Now perhaps I should explain the original Bill. House Bill 1068 was permitting people - 40 days before an election - to apply for an absentee ballot or to go down to the State Board of Elections, or the County Board of Elections and vote in person and what this does it would prohibit any electioneering. In other words, no one behind the counter or around the person that is about to vote,



could influence their choice of vote. It's a very simple Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich, on the Amen...Motion."

Friedrich: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Barnes, J.: "Yes, I will."

Friedrich: "Would this prevent, in a small county like some of mine, the County Clerk, who does the absentee voting, from posting candidate signs right around where the person votes and handling that. We have the problem, in one of my counties, where there's a Democratic County Clerk and there's posters all around the polling spot, for the Democrat candidate."

Barnes, J.: "This is exactly what this would correct. We do not have that in our county, however, but I can understand."

Friedrich: "I'll vote twice for this one."

Barnes, J.: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen, on the motion."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield? Would you explain Amendment #2, please?"

Barnes, J.: "Amendment #2, ...Okay....It provides the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners, in Chicago, may receive a salary up to 48 thousand dollars. Now, at the moment, it's 35 thousand dollars. There's a cap on that salary. What we're trying to do is to remove the cap. Presently the position is vacant. John Handley had resigned and they are out looking for a new candidate and they are trying to attract the best candidate possible. And for this reason with inflation, they felt that they must remove the cap from the 35 thousand dollar salary. And as I mentioned to Representative Collins, this is a full-time position."

Ebbesen: "In other words, if ...let's just say...if it happens to be a lawyer who is appointed to that position...he couldn't practice law?"

Barnes, J.: "He could not practice law, no, Sir."

Ebbesen: "I thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin, on the motion."

Levin: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."



Barnes, J.: "I'll be delighted."

Levin: "With respect to Amendment #1...would it clear up the following situation; we have a problem in Chic...in my district where a polling place will be in a high-rise building. On the day of the election there will be signs in the window....of people living on the first floor, for a particular candidate. As people come in to vote they see that. Would this affect that at all?"

Barnes, J.: "Well, Representative Levin, this Bill addresses itself to absentee ballots. So the absentee ballots, by the time they reach the polls, they are already voted. So this Bill is...you know, not really germane...your question isn't....to this particular...piece of legislation."

Levin: "I'm talking about Amendment #1...which deals..."

Barnes, J.: "I'm referring to Amendment #1, too, Representative. I'm over here..."

Levin: "Okay...Well....Let me ask the second question then. With respect to Amendment #2, is the only thing Amendment #2 does is to increase the maximum salary. This does not in any affect the residency requirements for members of the Board of Election Commissioners?"

Barnes, J.: "The only thing the Amendment addresses itself to is to the salary itself."

Levin: "Okay. It does not...correct...it does not affect the residency requirement or anything else?"

Barnes, J.: "No, it does not."

Levin: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, does the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 1068? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Concurrence, Senate Amendment 1 and 2. Representative Martin, from Winnebago, for what reason do you rise?"

Martin, L.: "Now, Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote. I did have questions of the Sponsor. The Sponsor is correct, it's an excellent Bill, but the Amendment is a little questionable. Although it is permissive, I would have liked to have known who will decide what that salary is and where the request for this Amendment came."



One does not wish to vote against such a good Bill. But I'm reminded that in our own County of Winnebago, Mr. Speaker, and in our own town, our Mayor, of 150 thousand, of the Democratic Party, makes only 27 thousand dollars a year. The Governor of our state whomever he may be, makes only 50 thousand dollars a year and I wonder, in a year when we are talking about putting on lids, that even permissive legislation that allows a salary up to 47 thousand dollars, if that can be in the public's interest. I am sorry that such an excellent Sponsor with such an excellent Bill, had to get kinda stuck with this Amendment because now on concurrence, I will not be able to vote for this good Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Barnes, I didn't ask you to close so you can answer the question by explaining your vote."

Barnes, J.: "Representative, I feel that I was very privileged to handle Amendments that was offered by Senator Mark Rhoads...who is a Republican Senator and he's been a long-time family friend of mine. I'm happy to see that there are 90 votes up there because I think this is a good Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 99 'aye', 41 'nays', 6 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendments 1 and 2 to House Bill 1068. Representative Mulcahey would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Vinson wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Lechowicz, do you want to go to your nonconcurrence, on the nonconcurrence Calendar? Senate Bill 1792? Page 10."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move that we...the House nonconcur to Senate Bill 1792 and a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz moves that the House non recede from Senate Amen...refuse to recede from Senate Amendments 1 and 4, to Senate Bill 1792. On the question...and he requests a Conference Committee. Representative Keats, on the question."

Keats: "Could we please have an explanation on the Amendments that we're opposing?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all, those were House



Amendments that were adopted to the Senate Bill and they did not move to concur with them. The question as far as the make-up in the membership of the respective board which was contained in the Amendment #1...they want to have some additional consumer representatives and I think it's going to be all worked out in the Conference Committee. As far as the fair plan on housing, there is no objection on that... that's the only hang-up...with the Senate. I think we just about have it worked out and they will concur with House Amendments 1 and 4 that were adopted on 1792, here and I move that the House ask for Conference Committee. We refuse to recede and I request a Conference Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. Representative Keats, are you completed? Have you completed? The question is,...on Representative Lechowicz's motion, that the House refuse to recede to House Amendments 1 and 4, to Senate Bill 1792, and he asks that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' and ...just voice vote...indicate by saying 'aye', and those opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it ...the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede to House Amendments 1 and 4. and a Conference Committee should be started...formed... Senate Bill 1790, on page 10, non-concurrence. Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Senate nonconcurred in the Amendment we placed on the Bill because they said that there was not an effective date on it...that they thought should be January 1. I would like to get a Conference Committee on this so that we can correct that omission. So I think the technical way is to refuse to accede to that request and ask for a Conference Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady asks that the House not recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1790. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', and opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to recede from Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1790, and that a Conference Committee be formed. Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 736, Representative Capparelli. Senate Bill 736."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 736, a Bill for an Act to exempt from certain occupations and use taxes, machinery and equipment, repair and



replacement parts, which will be used by the purchaser, or a leasee, or the purchaser directly in manufacturing or assembling tangible personal property for sale, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Robinson, amends Senate Bill 736, on page 3, by deleting line 8 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Robinson, on the Amendment."

Robinson: "I'd like leave to withdraw the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw the Amendment #2. Is there any objections? Leave is granted. Amendment #2 is withdrawn."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Hart-Lucco-Pierce-Geo-Karis-Ralph Dunn, Amends Senate Bill 736 on page one by deleting line one and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lucco, or whoever is going to speak, on Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 736. You mentioned some names. What were they again?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Hart-Lucco-Pierce-Geo-Karis-Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any volunteers? Senate Bill, Second Reading, 736, there is an Amendment Sponsored by five Members...and we don't have a spokesman. Should we take that out of the record and continue. Representative Capparelli."

Capparelli: "I would like to oppose the Amendment anyway, at this time. We have to move it today, don't we?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bills have to be moved out today and that's why we're on it. Would you...Representative Madigan on the question."

Madigan: "I question the germaneness of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "First time in my memory that this has happened. We'll temporarily go to Messages from the Senate....just to keep moving."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in adoption of their Amendment to Bills with the following titles. Senate Bills 1527, 1530, 1534, 1723 and 1747. Action taken by the Senate June 25, 1978, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."



Speaker Giorgi: "The ruling is that it is germane. Now is Representative Hart ready to go with the Amendment? Representative Lucco, are you ready to go with the Amendment. Representative Pierce? Representative Geo-Karis, are you ready to go with the Amendment? We have to move these Bills today. Representative Geo-Karis, on the Amendment. Amendment 3 to Senate Bill 736."

Geo-Karis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I mean...Mr. Hart is not here and it was his Amendment and I guess you can't take care...I suppose you can't take the Bill out of the record. ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Withdraw the Amendment ...then? Representative Lucco, asks leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #3. Any objections? Leave is granted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Ewing, amends Senate Bill 736, as amended, by deleting everything before the enacting clause..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewing, on Amendment #4."

Ewing: "I would ask leave to withdraw Amendment #4."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #4. Any objection? Leave is granted, #4 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Ewing-Madigan, amends Senate Bill 736 by deleting the Title and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewing, on Amendment #5."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #5 becomes the Bill and it has been worked out, I think, on both sides of the aisle, a compromise, to allow for a tax relief for business, for the purchase of new machinery and equipment. The main features of this Bill is it will provide a gradual refund of sales tax paid on the purchases of manufacturing machinery and equipment...The refund will apply to all purchases of machinery and equipment, not just those for new manufacturing facilities. The refund will not apply to repair and replacement parts for machinery and equipment. The proposal in this Amendment would allow for a six year period of implementation. In 1979 the refund will be equivalent to one and one fourth cent, on a four percent sales tax. In 1980, it will be the same percentage. In 1981, this will increase to two and a half



cents and in 1982, it will increase to three and a fourth cents and in 1983, it will go to the full four cents. In order to receive a refund, a purchaser of new or used machinery will have to file a claim with the Department of Revenue. This will be administered at the state level and will not put the responsibility on the local sales to determine whether a person qualifies for this refund. Those who purchase machinery and equipment and lease it to manufacturers will also be eligible for a tax refund. According to the Bureau of the Budget, the cost of this package will be 19 million in 1979; 40 million in 1980; 63 million in 1981; 108 million in 1982; and 150 million in 1983. This Bill does not take away local tax revenues as the original measure did. It does provide an option though, where local taxing units can relinquish their tax as another incentive to business and industry. I'd be most happy to answer any questions and would ask for your favorable support of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Representative Madigan, on the motion."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I'm a Cosponsor of this Amendment and I would be willing to support the adoption of the Amendment in order to facilitate the movement of the Bill to Third Reading. However, I have not had an opportunity to completely examine the Amendment. I see good points contained in the Amendment but there are other aspects of the Amendment that I think I would wish to reflect upon for a short time. So I am saying to you that I will support the adoption of the Amendment with the reservation that I may change my position."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew, on the Amendment."

McGrew: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

McGrew: "Did I understand you to say that we're going to be doing this kind of like the old circuit breaker procedure whereby everyone is entitled to it but you have to file a claim in order to get it?"

Ewing: "You do have to file a claim with the Department within 90 days after the purchase. That's correct."

McGrew: "How many extra jobs is that going to create?"



Ewing: "I couldn't tell you how many extra jobs it's going to create, but it does..."

McGrew: "What's it cost, then?"

Ewing: "It does facilitate the administration of this, because I think it's going to be difficult for many local sales operations to determine whether, in fact, a person does qualify for this exemption."

McGrew: "You don't have any idea how many extra jobs nor what the cost of those jobs would be to the State of Illinois?"

Ewing: "No, I could not answer that question."

McGrew: "Then, if I may, just a moment, Mr. Speaker. I think that those are two very permanent questions...pertinent questions to this Amendment. I don't think there is any question but what we're adding more bureaucrats to be on the state payroll. I don't think it's a procedure that's necessary. I think it's going to end up being a costly procedure and therefore I'd oppose Amendment #5."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mudd, on the motion."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I believe that there has been a fiscal note requested for this Amendment on this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Mudd, the Calendar notes that a fiscal note has been filed."

Mudd: "A fiscal note can be requested any time prior to action upon the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The fiscal note has been filed. Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker. ... Tom, does this ... does this tax credit favor only manufacturing concerns?"

Ewing: "It...it's available on purchases of manufacturing machinery and equipment. Yes, I would say it does."

Schuneman: "So we, in effect, are giving a credit to manufacturing concerns in the hope that this incentive might create more manufacturing jobs, apparently. But are we giving the same sort of incentives to other businesses? I think of retail businesses who maybe enlarging and who may technically not be considered manufacturing. That kind of business will not be receiving any benefit under this plan. Is that correct?"



Ewing: "This is limited, as I understand it, to manufacturing machinery and equipment. I think though, there is very good statistics available about how much...a hundred new jobs in industry create in the outside business world. And the objective here is to create new jobs in this state, which in turn will will create new jobs in manufacture....in retailing and service industries. I think it will have a trickle-down effect."

Schuneman: "I don't object to creating that kind of incentive, but it's... I am struck by the fact that apparently agricultural jobs are not going to be created through this incentive because agricultural machinery is excluded. Certain agribusiness enterprises that I know of, for example; grain storage facilities and that sort of thing, will not be granted this extension or the tax credit. But, I...I think you've cleared up the point that this does only apply to manufacturing jobs."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian, on the Amendment. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield...to ...question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Mugalian: "In the event that the Amendment passes and the Bill becomes law, and a portion of the state sales tax is eliminated from this item, is there anything in this Bill that would prevent the vendor from increasing the price by the amount that the sales tax is reduced?"

Ewing: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Mugalian. Representative Brummer, for what reason do you seeking recognition?"

Brummer: "Yes, has this Amendment been distributed? No one seems to have it around here."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have the Amendments been distributed? The Amendment is not distributed? Last day for Senate Bills. Take it out of the record if the Amendment hasn't been distributed. Representative Capparelli, what is your pleasure? Third Reading."

Capparelli: "Yeah, please."

Speaker Giorgi: "No, the Amendment hasn't been printed and it's been a practice of this Chair to send these Bills to Third Reading and if the Sponsors of the Amendment have a valid Amendment they can prevail



on the Sponsor to bring it back to Second. But we have to move the Bill to Third Reading. Representative Ryan, for what reason do you arise?"

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, has the Sponsor agreed to bring it back? I mean, I think he's the Cosponsor of the Amendment and I don't...."

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates that if it has a valid...validity, he would bring the Bill back to Second Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading appears Senate Bill 1456, Representative McClain."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1456, a Bill for an Act making appropriations to the State Board of Education for the disbursement of certain monies."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative McClain in the chamber? He's the Sponsor of the Bill? Take the Bill out of the record. Representative Brady, on Senate Bill 1469. Senate Bill 1469."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1469, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Registration and Education for the Illinois State Museum, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions to the Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion to table Amendment 1, by Representative Tipword."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tipword. Chairman of....Excuse me. Representative Brady, for what reason do you arise?"

Brady: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm a little lost....I'm at a little loss at this point. The Chairman of appropriation of that Committee that put the Amendment on it is not even on the floor and I wonder if we could wait on this matter?"

Speaker Giorgi: "We could...take it out of the record."

Brady: "Will you take it out of the record, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stiehl, on House ...Senate Bill 1575, Representative Cissy Stiehl...on Senate Bill 1575. "

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1575, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of Lieutenant Governor, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stiehl, on ...what are the Amendments?..."



What are the Amendments?...What are the Amendment...status?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment 1 adopted in Committee. Any motions to that Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "...Floor Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz, amends Senate Bill 1575, on page 1, line 15, by deleting 34 thousand and inserting in lieu thereof 30 thousand 8 hundred."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, on Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1575. Representative Leverenz. Representative Leverenz. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "The Sponsor of this Amendment is not on the floor. I indicate to the House we move this to Third Reading....Bring it back after the Sponsor and the ...the Sponsor of the Bill work it out."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan, on the motion."

Madigan: "What was Mr. Mudd's request?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Mudd requests that...inasmuch as Representative Leverenz is not in the chamber, his Amendment be ignored and the Bill be sent to Third Reading."

Madigan: "That's becoming common practice here, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "I think....This is the last day for Senate Bills, Second Reading. If we want to move the Calendar we have to make some decisions. Representative Madigan again."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's only fair that the Sponsor of the Bill inform the Membership if Mr. McNeal intends to use this money to work against ERA?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stiehl, on that question."

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask too that this Bill be moved to Third Reading. The money is a cut of 3 thousand dollars in operations. The operations were submitted at 11 percent less than last year. I would ask that we move this. I've discussed this with the Sponsor of the Amendment several times and I think that it's important ...that he's not here on the floor...that we do move it."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Minority Leader, Mr. Ryan."



Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the Majority Leader, if Senator Stone could agree with Comptroller backlash, I'm sure that we could probably agree on what Governor McNeal wants."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Keats, on this motion. Keats."

Keats: "I just wanted to know if Representative O'Madigan, over there, the Majority Leader was making an attempt to defame the Office of Lieutenant Governor?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers, for what reason do you arise?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, they adopted that Amendment.."

Speaker Giorgi: "How's that?"

Byers: "They adopted that Amendment in Committee, didn't they?"

Speaker Giorgi: "No, it's a floor Amendment. It's a floor Amendment. And if the Sponsor knew the Bill was going to go to Third Reading... Representative Mudd...Mudd..."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Sponsor has control of this Bill and indicated that she wanted to move to Third and I think she should do that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take Amendment 2 out of the record. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment 3, Greiman, amends Senate Bill 1575, as amended, in Section 1, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Greiman in the chamber? Is he here today? Representative Greiman? Let's take that Amendment out of the record. Is there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stiehl..."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would urge that this Bill be moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1576. Representative Ryan, Minority Leader."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1576, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of Governor, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions to that Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "A motion to table Amendment #1, by Representative Ryan."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative who?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Ryan."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, do you move to table Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1576?"

Ryan: "I believe that's what the Clerk said, Mr. Speaker. There's a motion filed to that effect."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there any discussion on the Minority Leader's motion to table Amendment #1? Representative Darrow, on the motion."

Darrow: "I believe this is my Amendment, isn't it...George?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Darrow's Amendment?"

Darrow: "Well, I'd like to briefly speak on this. This..."

Speaker Giorgi: "It was your Amendment."

Darrow: "...What this Amendment does is that it reduces the amount of the appropriation for the Governor's Staff. What we did ...we figured out how many members of his staff were making over 20 thousand dollars a year and we took out any raise for those people. The Democrats are trying to fight inflation. Jimmy Carter, our leader, is encouraging executives and people with big salaries not to go for higher wages and to combat inflation we thought it only proper that we try to limit these people who are making 20 thousand dollars a year."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers, on the motion. Byers."

Byers: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The Sponsor of the motion or the Amendment?"

Byers: "The Sponsor of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow?"

Byers: "Representative Darrow, does this do anything with the use of personal telephones?"

Darrow: "No, we're not going to fool around with telephones. I think that's a matter of discretion with elected officials. I think we're getting into an area of privileged communication. It's just regrettable that the newspapers don't honor that sort of thing."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan to close on his motion."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This is the Governor's Appropriation Bill. For the ordinary and



contingent expense of that office and as has been in the past, I don't think that this budget has ever been disturbed and I think that it's just a political move and I'm sure you're aware that it is and I would ask that you support my motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, moves to table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1576. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 81 'ayes', and 37 'nays', and 3 voting 'present', the motion prevails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Leverenz-Mautino, amends Senate Bill 1576, as amended, on page 1, line 30, by deleting 48 thousand and inserting in lieu thereof 31 thousand 7 hundred and 55."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mautino, on Amendment #2."

Mautino: "Since my seatmate isn't here to present it, as I understand this Amendment it's a cutback in the expenditures that the Mansion ...for equal rights for all. Those of us elected at 36 dollars a day for each person and 5 dollars a day for the dog...dog food. I think it's...it takes off about 16 thousand 2 hundred and 45 dollars but remains ...but remains at the same level of which we in the General Assembly are here. And I...Since Ted isn't here, I have to have someone answer the questions."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, on the motion."

Matijevich: "Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Matijevich: "Is there anything in there for baby food?"

Mautino: "We'll agree to any position on breast-feeding or anything else...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes. Are Members of the General Assembly allowed 5 dollars a day for dog food?"

Mautino: "No. That's one extra we threw in there. No allocation."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mautino, to close."

Mautino: "I did close."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, on the motion...on this Amendment."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the same logic goes with this ...with this



...Amendment as with the last Amendment. I would encourage a 'no' vote on the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The motion is on the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1576. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'noes' have it and the Amendment failed. Any further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1581, Representative Dave Jones."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1581, a Bill for an Act making appropriations for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employee's Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Giorgi: "No Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1583....Out of the record. Representative Telcser. Senate Bill 1587, Representative Telcser, what is your pleasure? Senate Bill 1583 and 1587. Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "It's a pleasure to talk to you, Zeke."

Speaker Giorgi: "I've called it...if the Clerk will read it. Have you read it a second time?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Which one?"

Speaker Giorgi: "1583....87....1587. Do you want 1583 out of the record?"

Telcser: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Out of the record. 1587."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1587, a Bill for an Act making certain reappropriations to the Capital Development Board for permanent improvements, grants, and related purposes, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions for those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment 4, McPike, amends Senate Bill 1587, as amended, on page 23, line 4, and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McPike, on Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1587."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #4 deletes approximately 29 million dollars from the Bill, which was to fund one of the two prisons that we approved last year. This one is for the site near ...near Centralia, which is located in Clinton County. The intent of this Amendment of this Amendment is to give the Governor the opportunity to live up to his commitment. Last year the Department of Corrections sent a letter to all the County Board Members, all the County Board Chairmen rather, in this state notifying them that they were looking for two sites for medium security correction centers. If a county was interested in having the prison, they were supposed to respond to the letter. They were supposed to notify the Department prior to July 20, 1977, and complete a site evaluation. The letter stated, and I quote, 'Lack of response will indicate that you are not desirous of having such a facility built in your county.' So we proceeded along those lines. A number of counties answered the letter. Clinton County did not answer. Clinton County was not one of the 19 sites that were finally selected. However, Marion County where most of Centralia is located, was one of the counties that responded. It was finally nailed down to five locations, I believe. A hearing was held on a site, supposedly in Marion County, near Centralia, Illinois. The original Bill that we approved last November indeed had Marion County in it. It was later, however, amended to read Clinton County. At no time did Clinton County ever respond saying that they wanted a prison. In mid-March of this year, after the Bill had been approved, the original sitesome complications came up on the original site, relating to mineral rights and oil wells. So a second site was selected, in Clinton County. Still no one from Clinton County had advised the Governor that they were in favor of it. In fact they were very much against it. One hearing held in Clinton County nearly unanimous attendance at the committee ...at the hearing...indicated that the residents in Clinton County did not want a prison in their county. Well, here we are now in



June, proceeding with the aquisition of land and construction of a prison in Clinton County. All this Amendment does is give the Governor a chance to live up to what he stated, the Department of Corrections stated, and his administration has held on the very beginning on this...and that is, if the County Board does not approve of a prison site, the County does not even answer the original response, whether or not they want a prison in the district, then indeed the State of Illinois will not proceed. I might add at this point that we do have alternatives available. Last year we converted the Logan Center in Lincoln, Illinois. It took approximately 4 months and it cost the state 5 million dollars. These prisons. the one in particular. is going to cost 29 million dollars. We had a study prepared recently that showed that it's costing us 12 million dollars a year just for the upkeep of vacant buildings owned by the Department of Mental Health. Surely there are numerous sites in Illinois that can be converted. There is a correct way to proceed with this. The Governor has not chosen to live up to his word. This Amendment will only allow for a more efficient and more equitable way of building the prison site.

I urge your 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich, on the Amendment."

Friedrich: "The only problem, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, with Mr. McPike's statement is, that he did agree he doesn't know what he's talking about. I've lived with this thing since it started. Every Member of this House, I think, got a notice from the Governor...if they had...from the Department of Corrections, if they had anybody interested in a prison to so notify and prepare. The City of Centralia, which is partly in Clinton County and partly in Marion County, submitted a site. The site was in Clinton County, it was advertised all over the front page of the newspaper and it was carried on the radio for two weeks and a public hearing was held specifically for a site in Clinton County. One person showed up to oppose this site. One person. No other comment was had from anybody opposing the prison until finally the site selection committee decided this was site number one. Not one.. When it was



picked, then a couple of families who live in the area decided they'd start some opposition. When it developed that the site had some problems it could have been used but a better location just a little way...away was picked. Then this group called a public meeting, I went to it and told them that a contract had already been signed for the purchase of the site and I thought they probably were too late to protest. If they wanted to they could get a lawyer. It developed that they got ...the former Governor of this state, Dan Walker, as their Governor...(sic) He did something which was without precedent, in my opinion, he called a press release to announce that he was going to represent these people. I didn't know you handled law suits that way, so I figured it was a publicity stunt, which I still think it was. ...Now, since that time, the ground has been purchased with state money, number two, the contract has been let for the sewer and water, and construction is starting to proceed this week. Now, with respect to that Bill, the Bill it passed this House by both Houses and Representative McPike knows that, did name Clinton County as one of the sites, he knows that. Why he would stand here and say that a Bill passed this House ... saying Marion County....just shows he doesn't know what he's talking about. I'm amazed that he would do this. Now, this thing was heard in the Committee, the other day, the Appropriations Committee, and Representative Willer had these people all lined up...these dissidents...and they testified and I can tell you what the Appropriations Committee thought of it, they voted not to put this Amendment in, 18 to 7. That gives you some idea of what happened in Committee. After they heard these people make their gripes. Now, I hope you will vote 'no' on this Amendment because the construction has already started, the ground has been bought and anything at this point would just be dilatory."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, Representative Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I only rise to defend Jim McPike. Jim McPike was totally accurate. He has been at every Appropriations Committee that we've had. I wish



every Member of this House were a Jim McPike. Jim McPike is fighting for what he believes in. I happen to agree with him. I voted that way in Committee. I really think the Governor didn't know what he was talking about. What he did, really, was, in fact ...write into the Bill, Marion County, they had to come back in November and change. He didn't even know the difference between Clinton County and Marion County. The Clinton County Board didn't take a position. They did not take a position by resolution. So I do support Jim McPike in what he's doing and I defend him right to the end. He's a damn good Member, not only of the Appropriations Committee but of this House of Representatives."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, on the Amendment."

Pullen: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Pullen: "Is this Amendment supported by the National Organization for Women?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Did you hear the question, Mr. McPike? Repeat the question, Mrs. Pullen.I'm sorry, Miss Pullen. I'm sorry."

Pullen: "I asked whether the Amendment is supported by the National Organization for Women?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Does NOW support this, Mr. McPike...NOW?"

McPike: "I haven't been in contact with the National Organization of Women but I'm sure that the conservative union is highly in support of this."

Pullen: "I'm surprised. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers, on the Amendment."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I too, represent this district where this controversy is engaged in now. I was the only Representative or Senator present at the public meeting that was first held. There was only one person that opposed the original site when this first meeting was held with members of the Governor's Staff. There was one person that spoke about 20 seconds against the whole proposal. There were many people from the Centralia community, which includes ...Centralia is located in two different counties...really three different counties, it...the people in that area from senior citizens



groups, the black citizens, the labor groups, Chamber of Commerce, were in support of that and I testified at that time in support of that prison being built there. There's high unemployment. It's going to help alleviate the jobs in that area...provide employment. I will say what Representative McPike has presented is true and factual, as I have followed it from the very beginning. It's a somewhat controversial project...It's become a little bit more controversial now. The....There is going to be a referendum... supposedly held this fall, if they get enough voters to sign the ...the public advisory referendum...whether the site should be built there, of course that would be nonbinding. But it is something that has been worked out and I think that we should proceed with this site in Centralia and I would recommend a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummet on the Amendment."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, first of all I don't know whether the National Organization of Women support this or not but I can tell you that we have some women guards down at Vandalia. We have a prison in my particular area. We're very proud of it. It does help out the community. I'm at a loss to understand why a small group of people, down in Clinton County, oppose this. There was no land that was condemned for this. The land was for sale, so we're not stealing land from somebody who wanted to continue owning it. But, I think, at this stage in the game it's too late to do anything about it. It would cost the State of Illinois too much money. I can remember when they were trying to choose these sites through out the state, there were some other places that were considered that definitely did not want it. It was a proposition of trying to put it someplace where it was wanted. I can assure you that most of the people in that area do want this. We do need it. As far as converting some of these places are concerned, I'm sure that the Corrections Department have converted Lincoln to good results in that particular place, but there's some of these other places that cannot be converted. I can remember a couple of years ago when we talked about converting a place up in



the northern part of the state, and there was such a hue and a cry put up by the Representatives down here that it was not even considered. But let's do vote against this Amendment. Thank you."

Speaker Giglio: "Representative Cunningham, on the Amendment."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would be remiss if I weren't to arise at this time and point out certain unhappy facts concerning this whole sorry spectacle. Various speakers have said they defend this man or they defend that one and the motives of all, but I want to point out the conduct of the Governor and his ample staff in regard to this whole prison fiasco. First I would report to you that their conduct has been inept, unconscionably wasteful, disgraceful, shocking. We had an opportunity by the people of the State of Illinois, to have a prison site for free, an excellent prison site, I hope you got what Representative Friedrich said a moment ago about buying it, they've spent in excess of 500 thousand dollars buying the site over there. All of us, each of us beat his chest and say how we're dedicated to fiscal responsibility. That's so much hogwash. It's nauseating to have that proclaimed so often, in view of the sorry record, because we have a concrete example, a dirty example at least, of going out and buying land where a better site was available and a community was on their knees begging for the prison to be located in their area and I'm speaking of Lawrence County. But despite that tragic series of events, I would point out to the Sponsor of this particular Amendment that he is in strange company indeed coming from the deep south part of the state, in Madison County, to open...to engage in open conspiracy with the people of Cook County to steal from our section of the state, one of the few chances we'll ever get to get a 15 cents worth of state expenditure. So if you live anywhere south of ... I-80, I suggest that it's in your constituent selfish interest to vote 'no', on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Willer, on the Amendment."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just to set the record straight on a few statements made around here, as my name was mentioned by Representative Friedrich. I did not line up those people to come



before the Committee meeting. They were the ones who contacted me for weeks before. I didn't know anybody down in the Centralia area. They happened to learn of my interest in getting one of the prisons put in the top part of the state and they started contacting me. They asked me to keep them apprised of when these meetings were taking place and I did so, but certainly they initiated the action, I did not. Another Representative from the district pointed out that there was no one that showed up at the original hearings. That hearing took place about a different site. I submit that if the hearing at that time had been about the site next to Kaskaskia College, they certainly would have shown up. I can't imagine putting a prison right ...a medium security prison right next to a college. I think it's unconscionable. As far as the cost, ...as far as the cost, we have only purchased the land. We can always sell that and get our money back and probably more than what we put into it. So I think now is the time to stop it. I don't see why the Governor, CDB, Department of Corrections,are so afraid of saying they made a mistake. This is human. We all do it. There's nothing wrong in saying we made a mistake this time. Let's look it over and may have another search and put an end to this fiasco. They're constantly running into problems with it. I think we should support this Amendment and start over again."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. Representative McPike, to close."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I've not tried to misrepresent what this is. Indeed we're deleting 29 million dollars that we approved last year, for a prison to be built in Clinton County. That's the way it left here. I will only reiterate one thing, the people in Clinton County have never, and I repeat, never had an opportunity for a public hearing on this site. Never once, ...until 50 people from Clinton County



who got up around three thirty or four in the morning and came to our Appropriations Committee Meeting last week, that was the first time they have ever had a chance to voice their opposition to this site in Clinton County. Now there are a number of ways to choose a prison site. In Missouri, recently, the Governor decided to build a prison outside of St. Louis. Not because it was wanted there but because it was needed there. That is one way to do it. One way is to say, where do we need a prison, then go about and select a site and build it. Governor Thompson didn't choose to do that. He decided to put it up for option. Prison, Prison, who wants a prison? And he went around to all of the counties and said if you want one let us know. Those were his guidelines. He selected the Clinton County site, which has been stated as three quarters of a mile from the Kaskaskia Community College, in fact they are going to share the same campus. He selected this site in total violation of his own guidelines. He called for it to be built only ...only in a county whose County Board has given its approval. Clinton County Board has never approved this project. Now if you're going to....live up to its commitment, if you want to give your Governor a chance to stand by his word, then vote 'yes' on this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McPike moves that Amendment #4 be adopted to Senate Bill 1587. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Peggy Smith Martin to explain her vote."

Martin, P.S.: "Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman....Mr. Speaker. I rise in support of this Resolution. I happen to think that since the majority of residents of these facilities....that are incarcerated... come from the northern area of our state and that this facility should have been built around Cook County or Will County area. As a matter of fact, where Stateville Correctional Facilities....is now located, they have enough land presently there to build a facility before the present structure is even torn down. So I would certainly hope that you will look at this Amendment and consider it where people don't want it and where people do want it and



vote in favor of this Amendment so that another site can be found. As many of you know, Representative Willer has made studies around our state locating places that could be converted into correctional facilities. I think that certainly these places should be considered before appropriations are . . . monies are appropriated for . . . to build two correctional facilities in the same area. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Griesheimer, to explain his vote."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to point out to Representative McPike that during the last week of the Legislative Session, we should be thinking in terms of compromise. I believe I have a logical compromise that will accomplish the end he wants. We do definitely need more prisons in the State of Illinois. As Representative Cunningham pointed out, they should be in Southern Illinois, where it's such a nice area to have prisons. Now, as was pointed out by Representative Friedrich, we've already purchased the land and as you know I'm involved with the Department of Conservation. I would suggest that we use the land for a new park in Illinois and that should satisfy all the people in his area. And as you know, we have two major institutions of learning in Southern Illinois and I feel that the SIU Campus at Edwardsville should be closed. That could be turned into a prison as we already have the buildings there. It would seem to me that that would keep the penitentiary in Southern Illinois. It would save us 35 million dollars for unnecessary institutions. It would use the land for public interest. We wouldn't have to sell anything and everybody would be happy."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Van Duyne, to explain his vote. Representative Van Duyne to explain your vote."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Really I am very sorry to see so many red lights up there. I just take the position that Ann Willer is right, I think Jim McPike is right. Truthfully, we're talking about two things here...cost and inconvenience of our people. I don't know whether it's a dubious distinction or a dubious honor to say that 70 percent of our prisoners come from the Chicagoland area, but nevertheless it is true. The argument put out that



Stateville Penitentiary has one thousand acres of vacant land that we are now going to put back into farming production, is also true. You could locate it there and save your quarter of a million dollars in land acquisition. Something that hasn't been brought up so far, that I know is true, and that is, we have a multibillion dollar facility sitting in Manteno, which is about 80 percent abandoned. We had....at its heyday, it had something like 8 and 9 thousand mental patients inhabited there and we are now down even lower than one thousand. The place is literally going to rack and ruin. And I've been out there in front of the place three different times. I think it's by design rather than by accident and one of these days some building inspector is going to go in there and say that the place is uninhabitable and it should be torn down or sold. Now this place, if you bought it and if you built all these buildings they had there, including a hospital, which has been abandoned and a gymnasium, which is not used, virtual town in itself, was used; you could save the State of Illinois...my own guess is, something upward toward 20 million dollars just in itself. Now I think it's ridiculous to make everybody who has somebody in the penitentiary travel all the way from Chicago or even Joliet, clear down to Lawrence County or wherever this prison is going to be built, in central or central-southern Illinois, to visit their people, is very ...very inconvenient when you have two ideal locations right in the 30 mile vicinity of Chicago. Not to mention, as I said when I started talking, that there's two things, first of all location, and secondly saving some money. Now we constantly hear about proposition 13, we constantly hear about the state not having any money, and yet we're headlong down the hill to blow at least 20 million dollars and put two prisons where maybe one should be built, but not two. I exhort you people..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Please bring your remarks to a close..."

Van Duyne: "...not come up with a partisan argument here and get side-tracked as far as your voting. It's a very logical thing to put one of these penitentiaries up in the northern part of the state. I think you should be voting for Jim McPike's ...Amendment."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz, please, for one minute, to explain your vote."

Katz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we know that when we put people in prisons that they are going to be coming out. We hope that when they come out that they will not go back to a life of crime. It's very clear that the one thing that can do most for the prisoner to assure that he doesn't return to a life of crime is that he maintain his relationship with his family, with his wife, with his brother, with his sisters, his children, why should we make it difficult for the individual to do that. Why should we make it so that he's going to be isolated away from the community so that his friends stop coming to see him, his family stops coming to see him, if not for the prisoners' point of view, from our point of view, from the point of view of the people who don't want him to return to a life of crime, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Porter, for one minute, please, to explain your vote."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, those of us who sit around Representative Griesheimer think we have the ultimate solution to this problem. We think it might be wise to build us a mini-Alcatraz and float it off of Waukegan Harbor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 59 'ayes', 93 'nays', 7 voting 'present', and this Amendment failed. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Harris, amends Senate Bill 1587, as amended, on page 25, by inserting between lines 2 and 3, the following....Section..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris, on Amendment #5.. Senate Bill 1587, Representative Harris."

Harris: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker. This Amendment says the money will not be used at Centralia or Hillsboro, it would be used in Eddyville, which is in Pope County....."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no discussion, the question is on the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1587. All those in favor..."



will signify by saying 'aye', opposed....Roll Call? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. It's Amendment #5, Harris's Amendment....to Senate Bill 1587. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 18 'ayes', 95 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6,..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Amendment #6..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Johnson, amends Senate Bill 1587, on page 17, by deleting lines 1 through 5..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Johnson, on Amendment #6."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like leave to withdraw Amendments #6 and 7.

There was a technical error and they are embodied in Amendment #8."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to withdraw Amendment #6 and 7? No objections? Leave is granted. Amendment 6 and 7 are withdrawn. Amendment #8."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #8, Johnson, amends Senate Bill 1587, on page 17, by deleting lines 2 through 5."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Johnson, on the Amendment #8."

Johnson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is joined in....the introduction of, by both Representative Wikoff and Representative Satterthwaite, to serve the districts that are affected by this. I suppose you could call this Amendment the anti pork barrel Amendment, because it seeks to eliminate 20 thousand dollars of intended state funds from our district. The people in our district in Moultrie County don't want the 20 thousand dollars expended in a particular area or for that matter at all, in Moultrie County. What they intend to do with this 20 thousand dollars is to establish a levee in southern Moultrie County....a what they refer to as a waterfowl resting place. There's about 5 or 6 different bases that somebody would have in this General Assembly, even if they weren't affected and it's purely a local matter...by the particular project in question. For those of you in the General Assembly who refer to yourself as fiscal conservatives, this is simply a matter of taking 20 thousand dollars out of the budget that's unnecessary,



and superfluous and is repeated.. waterfowl resting places all through that area. We don't need it. So it's 20 thousand dollars out. For those of you in the General Assembly who like to refer to yourselves as populous - and I hope a good many of us do - to follow the people's will, this is one where over 100 people have appeared at public meetings and in meetings with myself and Representative Wikoff and Satterthwaite, to oppose this project. The County Board of Moultrie County, which is a 7 to 2 Democrat Board, has unanimously opposed it. Republican Central Committee has passed a resolution unanimously opposing it. The people in Moultrie County, to my knowledge, are unanimous in their opposition to this project. For those of you who like to consider yourselves environmentalists, the primary objection to this project is that it's located in an area where there's terrific drainage problems. One of the worst drainage areas probably in east central Illinois. And the people there are concerned about accentuating the drainage problems by creating a levee and a bottleneck and constricting the flow of water upstream...that we're going to have at least a substantial increase in the drainage problems. Perhaps wiping out crops at key points in the year. It's also a possibility that a standing stagnant puddle of water is going to create mosquito problems and so forth. To those of you who vote in the agricultural direction, it's simply a matter of fact that this takes 50 acres of productive farmland out of production;..enough land to feed 150 people's worth of pork in a year, or 250 people's beef supply for a year. We don't need, in today's world, to take more productive farmland out of production. And, generally, those of you who like to look at matters objectively, ...it's simply an inadvisable project. It's an area that's underlain with power lines which make it hard to hold the water. There's been a terrific problem in the past there and we simply are saying that if you want to have a waterfowl resting place, don't put it in southern Moultrie County where nobody wants it. I think I talked to most of the Members of the General Assembly about this project. Perhaps Representative Satterthwaite and Wikoff would like to fill-in on some of the points



that I've missed. But we simply ask you, as a local matter, if you want it, put it somewhere else, but don't impose 20 thousand dollars on a project that we don't need and we don't want in the 52nd District."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, on the Amendment."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Leverenz: "Who suggested the Amendment, ...really?"

Johnson: "Pardon me?"

Leverenz: "Who really suggested this Amendment?"

Johnson: "I don't understand your question?"

Leverenz: "I thought it might be a group of people or something that..."

Johnson: "The Farm Bureau opposes this project. The Moultrie County Board opposes the project. To my knowledge all the residents of about a 6 township area are unanimous in sending us a multitude of letters in wanting to introduce an Amendment to knock it out."

Leverenz: "What is Conservation's explanation^{as} to why it should be there?"

Johnson: "The Department of Conservation, as I understand, wants to put it in for a waterfowl resting place."

Leverenz: "Isn't it a flood plain area...currently?"

Johnson: "Pardon me?"

Leverenz: "Isn't that location a flood plain currently?"

Johnson: "I can't answer your question."

Leverenz: "The answer is, yes. What is the Department of Transportation's position?"

Johnson: "The Department of Transportation, I assume, favors the project, or we wouldn't be here now. ...Have to remove any objections before we can proceed..."

Leverenz: "20 thousand dollar cost, that's the total, right?"

Johnson: "Right."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Winchester, on the Amendment."

Winchester: "Representative Johnson..."

Johnson: "Yeah."

Winchester: "...Who owns this property? Are you talking about the purchase



of 50 acres additional land or is this land that already belongs to the Department of Conservation?"

Johnson: "This is land that's publicly owned now. I think it's owned by the ...by the Corps of Engineers. It's privately farmed on a leasehold basis. What we're concerned about are the affect on private land that's upstream. We're not concerned about the land in question.

Winchester: "The point that I was trying to clarify is that they're not taking 50 acres of ...of farmland off the tax rolls or away from any farmers. It's property that already belongs to either the Corps of Engineers or the Department of Conservation. Now, would this project...would it impose a flood control threat to the area?"

Johnson: "Yes, it certainly would."

Winchester: "Okay. I'm under the impression in some correspondence that I have from the Department of Conservation that it would pose no flood threat whatsoever. Do you know how the Corps of Engineers feels about this project?"

Johnson: "I have no idea."

Winchester: "Okay. Do you know how the duck hunters feel about this project?"

Johnson: "At the public hearing we were at there were two or three representatives.....two or three duck hunters who said they were in favor of going ahead with the project."

Winchester: "That they were in favor of it."

Johnson: "Right."

Winchester: "Okay. Now, you said...is there an appropriation for 20 thousand dollars in the Bill? Is that what it's estimated to cost to do this project?"

Johnson: "To my knowledge, that's right, yes."

Winchester: "Well, my figures here show it would cost substantially less than 15 thousand dollars. Okay, thank you, Representative."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Satterthwaite, on the motion for the Amendment."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the project is very opposed...the people of my district are very opposed to the project. Part of their opposition, I am sure, arises from the fact that even though the money in this Bill, to cover the



project would do what the Department of Conservation wants, it does not provide them with any extra relief for the extra traffic on the highways, the extra facilities that they have to provide in the local community to back up the additional obligations that they have to have to the hunters and the people who come in to see the ducks as they are there in large numbers. And so this money, although it would complete the project in question, really does not provide any additional cushion for the local community to absorb these other costs. And so that, along with the problems of the project itself and whether or not it might be detrimental to the farmland around ...surrounding it, is another deterrent for the people there and they are very anxious not to have this project. I would urge your support for Representative Johnson's motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I can well understand how the Sponsor of this Amendment feels because I think everyone of us from time to time have certain constituent pressures when a state agency wishes to do something in a district. I do, however, believe that the arguments the Gentleman has made are subject to debate. Let me first point out that this is a reappropriation. The General Assembly, I think last year or the year before, appropriated the same 20 thousand dollars for the same purpose. The Gentleman has risen with his Amendment and in doing so has made a number of allegations which...which the Department of Conservation indicates are not necessarily valid. What this area is intended to be as I understand, is a duck hunting area. The area would be flooded the three months in the fall, which is the duck hunting season. This 50 acre tract would then be drained and grains would be planted ...grain crops which would keep the ducks in the area. I'm told this would be one of the better duck hunting preserves in the State of Illinois, if not among the adjacent states also. Certain fears such as the mosquito problem, I don't think are founded because of the time of the year during which the area would be flooded. In addition to that the hydraulics of the area indicate that the 1.8 to 2 foot level of the flooding area would not pose any



kind of flooding problem which the Gentleman indicated may be the case. This is a project which the Department of Conservation has looked at for some time. I wish to remind you that we approved this project in last year's appropriation. I really see no reason why we should oppose it this time. I think that the Members of the Assembly ought to take in context the feeling of the local Representatives and I do understand how they feel and I certainly think they are doing the right thing in making a case for what they perceive to be their constituency. Nevertheless, on balance, I believe that the Gentleman's Amendment should be opposed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Johnson, to close. I'm sorry, Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Mr. Speaker, I would.."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment...I have a motion delivered to the well by Representative Van Dwyne, but before we go to the motion, Mr. Wikoff, on the Amendment. Representative Wikoff."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was going to close...I believe Representative Johnson was going to suggest I close on it. That was my comment."

Speaker Giorgi: "We'll just hold for a moment. Representative Bowman, for what reason do you arise?"

Bowman: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Bowman: "What is the position of environmental groups on this ...piece of legislation?"

Johnson: "...I think you're probably acquainted with John Marlin, who is fairly active in the Environmental causes around the state. He's taking an active roll in helping us with our position. Those environmental people, if you want to call them that, that I have talked to, have indicated that they would support our position...to knock out the 20 thousand. "

Bowman: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, on the Amendment."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, only to say that when a district is unanimous in their feeling, which this district is, Representative Wikoff is



going to close. Representative Satterthwaite has given her feelings and so has Tim Johnson. I feel strongly that we should support Tim Johnson on the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Van Duyne, on the motion...Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think I have the solution to the whole problem and if all of the Members of the House are amenable, I'd like to have the Clerk read the motion, please."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion, I move to amend this Amendment on its face and transfer the 20 thousand dollars for the wildlife resting place in the DesPlaines Conservation area. Representative Van Duyne."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like leave of the House to vote on this motion right now. It would solve the whole problem. We have a DesPlaines Conservation Area in Will and Grundy Counties and my God, everybody in the area, especially duck hunters know that we need some more resting places...in the area and it would solve the whole problem, Jim."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'...Your motion fails, Van Duyne. Mr. Johnson."

Johnson: "If I might, I'm not sure the motion is in order, although from my standpoint I...we're just as concerned about it going into our area...I would have no objections if he wanted to file a later Amendment to ..supporting it...I'm just not sure it's in proper form to amend an Amendment in that way."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wikoff, to close."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, many of the comments made by the...Representative Johnson and Satterthwaite...do not need to be repeated. I think they were clear enough at the time. A couple of things, I think, maybe should be reiterated. The people of the area are quite concerned that ... this is another case of the government bureaucracy...dictating to the local ...local constituents. "

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Wikoff, I've got an urgent call for the



Speaker to go to his office, there's an urgent call for the Speaker. Continue."

Wikoff: "The Moultrie County Soil Conservation District which is recognized as one of the most progressive in the area in tilling and drainage of farmland, has done an excellent job of trying to drain some of the land which has not been too productive and there's a potential danger of completely stopping what they have done. Representative Satterthwaite mentioned the extra traffic that has come into the area because of Lake Shelbyville and this would be another case of this and has created many road problems with really no additional motor fuel tax funds coming into the area for repair of those roads. I think the case has been pretty well made. I would urge a...the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Johnson moves that Amendment #8 be adopted to Senate Bill 1587. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 98 'ayes', 36 'nays', 6 voting 'present'; and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Representative Byers, would you like to explain your vote? Is that what it was?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue..."

Byers: "Last night, after we adjourned here, I had the privilege of watching public television and watching two of our Representatives discuss taxes in the State of Illinois, Representative Don Totten on his Constitutional Amendment 44, and Representative Danny Pierce on his tax proposal to give relief to the homeowners in the State of Illinois. I thought both the Representatives did an outstanding job and I think the House of Representatives should join in commending them, giving a round of applause to the fine work that they did last night on public TV."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bills, Second Reading, 1592. Representative Dan Pierce."



Pierce: "After discussing taxation with Representative Totten, I saw....

I think the people back home are still more interested in Memorial Day than they are in our proposals. Anyway we did a good job."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten, on the performance last night."

Totten: "Well the performance can only be climaxed by moving to that order of business. I'll take mine first and then we can go to Pierce's."

Speaker Giorgi: "We're on 1592. Senate Bill 1592."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1592, a Bill for an Act making certain appropriations to the Teachers Retirement System, Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sumner requests that be taken out of the record. Senate Bill 1595, Representative Wikoff, out of the record. Senate Bill 1601, Representative Telcser. Out of the Record? Senate Bill 1617, Representative Jones, Senate Bill 1617."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1617, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, D. L. Houlihan, amends Senate Bill 1617, on page 3, line 2 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, on Amendment #1."

Houlihan, D.L.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What the Bill does is raise the eligibility for the circuit breaker for persons with income ...up to 12 thousand as distinct from what it was in the existing law, which is 12 thousand. (sic) All that the Amendment does is to take Section...after the Act, which refers to additional grants, consistent with that same 12 thousand dollar level. I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to oppose this Amendment on the basis of logic ...or rather the illogic of the Amendment. If this Amendment passes someone earning no money will get a 50 dollar rebate from the state under the sales tax rebate program and someone earning 12 thousand dollars will get a rebate of 120 dollars. This is a Bill which gives more money to those people who need it less than



it does to those who need it more. That is a total inversion of the philosophy of the Party of the Sponsor and for that reason I think it deserves to go down in defeat."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schuneman, on the Amendment."

Schuneman: "A question of the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Schuneman: "Representative Houlihan, I believe you misspoke yourself when you explained this, I understood you to say that this raised it from 12 thousand dollars to 12 thousand dollars and I'm sure you didn't mean that."

Houlihan, D.L.: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

Schuneman: "What are the dollar amounts here...what are you raising it to?"

Houlihan, D.L.: "From 10 thousand to 12 thousand, that's what the Bill itself does. We're just simply amending Section F of the Act, which refers to the additional grant, which is tied in onto the sales tax."

Schuneman: "Okay, thank you. You're not changing the dollar amount then, from the original Bill?"

Houlihan, D.L.: "No, I'm not."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, to close."

Houlihan, D.L.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In closing, let me point out that all this does is stay consistent with what the Sponsor is doing with the Bill, in raising the eligibility level from 10 thousand to 12 thousand dollars. What we're doing is making it consistent throughout the Act and not just in the one Section that he is referring to. Staff informs me that the money is there in the Governor's Budget, for this proposal. I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on Representative Houlihan's motion to adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1617. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', ...Roll Call....Gentleman recorded... All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 78 'ayes', 48 'nays', 3 voting



'present', and the motion..to amend...to adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1617, is carried. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Pierce, amends Senate Bill 1617, in the House, on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce, on Amendment 2."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, all that Amendment #2 does is extend the circuit breaker principle from senior citizens to all taxpayers and renters... homeowners and renters of our state...whose income is less than 30 thousand dollars and whose taxes are more than 3½ percent of their household income. It would then pick up 50 percent of the taxes over 3½ percent of their household income to a maximum of 650 dollars, less 2 percent of income. In other words, it will extend the circuit breaker provisions of our law that worked so well for senior citizens, to all of the citizens of our state, in lower and middle income group, both homeowners and renters.... For the purpose of renters, 30 percent of the rent would be considered to be taxes. It's a ...It's a modest proposal. It's already passed out of the ...this House with 112 votes, as House Bill 3279, with the support of myself and many Members on both sides of the aisle and backed by the Illinois Public Action Council. I wonder whether Mr. Jones might agree to accept this Amendment and to allow us in the House to amend the Senate Bill in the same way that we passed 3279 out of the House. I urge your support and urge Representative Jones, on behalf of the Governor, when he speaks for the Governor in this instance, to accept the provisions of circuit breaker for all of the citizens of Illinois whose taxes are more than 3½ percent of their income. At least all those low and middle income taxpayers who are covered by this Bill....I urge your support and I'm sure Representative Jones might want to comment on it, and hopefully, although I have no promise from him, hopefully, he has persuaded the Governor to accept this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jones, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Jones, J.David: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in ..objection to this Amendment....because it's absolutely unnecessary. It's a concept...Mr. Pierce has in House Bill 3279, which has



already passed this House, is over in the Senate and on Third Reading, where they may take what action is needed in that respect. So there's no ...no need for this to be in this Bill and I object to it being amended into the ...into Senate Bill 1617."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of Representative Pierce's Amendment. This Amendment has been presented to us on one previous occasion and a more liberal version of the Amendment was presented to us in the form of a House Bill Sponsored by Representative Pierce, which is now on the Order of Third Reading in the Senate. The Bill of Representative Pierce, the previous Amendment, and this Amendment provide to the people of the State of Illinois, a reasonable, rational response to our need for property tax reform in this state. We've heard quite a bit of rhetoric during the last few days, quite a bit of histrionics from many Members of the House, concerning the question of property tax relief. I suggest to you that the variety of proposals which have been discussed contain a variety of provisions. Some of those provisions are good, some are moderate and some are far too restrictive to allow for the orderly and efficient operation of government in this state and especially for the efficient and orderly operation of local governmental units in this state. This Bill is not radical, this Amendment is not too restrictive, this Amendment will not hamstring the operations of school districts, and local governments in this state. When I rose on behalf of this Amendment last week, I told all of you that it would be your first opportunity to vote on this Amendment and to vote for good, reasonable property tax relief. And at that time I told you that there would be a second opportunity and a third opportunity. This is your second opportunity. I know that there are many who are saying to you, 'Do not vote for this Amendment. This Amendment is not a good Amendment.' Or, maybe they say to you, 'This Amendment will give credit to someone else....instead of me.' Or, 'This Amendment will give credit to some candidate rather than our candidate.' But I suggest to you, especially those of you on the other side of the aisle, in



many instances you don't know who your candidate is. In other instances you don't know what your candidate will do. You've been led down the primrose path on many occasions already. You've been asked to stand up and to stand with your candidate, and in subsequent days he's pulled the carpet out from under your feet. This is your second opportunity. There will be another opportunity and maybe more. I suggest you vote 'aye' now, establish your record now...in favor of this Amendment so that when you go out on the campaign trail you will not be subject to questions, 'Why did it take you so long to see the wisdom of this Amendment? The General Assembly passed this Amendment. Why in the world did it take one, two, three ...Roll Calls for you to see the wisdom of this Amendment?' I recommend an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Anderson, on the Amendment."

Anderson: "The Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Anderson: "Is there any difference, Representative Pierce, between this Amendment and House Bill 3279?"

Pierce: "Yes, there is a difference...in this Amendment and House Bill 3279, as it passed the House. We...in this Bill, ...in this Bill we are only reimbursing 50 percent of the taxes over 3½ percent of ...of household income, rather than 60 percent, which was the figure in the Bill as it passed here. Since then there has been a Senate Amendment that has changed the Bill to 50 percent of taxes over 3½ percent."

Anderson: "Are you saying then that this is less costly than 3279?"

Pierce: "Right. This is somewhat less costly than 3279, as it was approved in the House by 112 votes last month and I understand that you did support that Bill."

Anderson: "Do you know how much less costly it is?"

Pierce: "It...that would be a reduction of somewhere around 12 million ... dollars."

Anderson: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson, on the Amendment...Vinson..."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, would you admonish Mr. Madigan to stop giving



partisan political speeches and just work for good government, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan, would you take note of the Gentleman's remarks. Representative Stuffle, on the Amendment."

Madigan: "...I'm nonpartisan.."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield? Representative Pierce, in this Amendment, this version of your legislation, what do you do with the issue of the coverage of farmland, in this Amendment, in this Amendment?"

Pierce: "That's an advantage of this Amendment, farmland is in...farm land is in this Amendment. Farmland was taken out by an Amendment on the Senate, not in Committee in the Senate, but on Second Reading in the Senate, someone, I think our former colleague, Sam Maragos, slipped in an Amendment that took out farmland. This Amendment is just as the Bill passed the House, with the exception of the Committee Amendment that we had in the Senate which phased in the senior citizen relief over three years and reduced the grant from 60 percent^{of taxes}/over 3½ percent of income to 50 percent. We didn't touch the farmland benefits. Therefore, I should think, Mr. Stuffle, you'd be especially excited about this Amendment because here's a chance to send back to the Senate a ...a homeowners relief Bill that covers the family farm, which the Senate apparently is not as concerned with as those of us in the House are."

Stuffle: "Mr. Speaker, on the Amendment, then."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed."

Stuffle: "Representative Pierce did anticipate my position and my remark. It is important to the marginal farmer, small acreage farmer who may not benefit because of the poor farmland that he may be producing on under the program that we established last year and have extended this year, with regard to prime agricultural land and its assessment. This Amendment is important, very important to homeowners and it's very important that farmland be included for those marginal people. I applaud Representative Pierce for again putting the farmer and the farmland back in the Amendment. It's an infinitely better proposal than the one the Senate somewhat emasculated. It's



one that is fair to all, I think. To the poor people, this deals with income...directly. It is a fair proposal to all. It is one that should be supported...by all."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, on the Amendment."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we've seen this Amendment before us a number of times this Session. I might say, were it not for the type of atmosphere in which we're in today, this type of proposition would not had nearly the attention nor the number of votes which it has been receiving in recent days. I'm referring, of course, to Proposition 13, which has turned everyone's attention to the question of tax relief. It's interesting to note that in a recent survey a similar...a similar number of people who said they favored property tax relief, also were opposed to reduction of government services. Now there's no question in my mind but that the citizens of this state have a right to better accountability for the money we spend in government and indeed have the right to expect us to spend less money whenever and wherever possible. I am of the opinion, however, that Proposition 13's success goes far beyond the question of tax relief. I'm of the opinion that what the people of California were doing was to ...to...express and exhibit their deep frustrations with people in government. I think they've expressed the feeling that they don't trust those of us who hold public office. We've also seen that feeling expressed in recent years by the lower and lower voter turnout at every election. That, my friends, is what we're dealing with. I'm indeed sorry that the Majority Leader took it upon himself to make this a partisan issue this afternoon because I sincerely believe that the public is not concerned with whether or not a Republican or a Democrat or an Independent has tax relief or whether or not a member of one party or another does something regarding the discharging of their duties as a public official. The people of this state and yes, of this Nation, want sincerity, candor, and forthright statements and responses to the problems which we exhibit in discharging our responsibilities. I might add, Mr. Speaker, as long as the Majority Leader wants to make this a partisan issue, I might add that one of the



leaders of his party and the General Assembly, opposes this measure... opposes this measure. I'm not talking about some independent Democrat who happened to win in a primary, and now sits on the other side of the aisle, but I'm talking about a card-carrying member of the Leadership of the other side of the aisle who has forthrightly and honestly said, 'This proposal doesn't make sense.' Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Majority Leader was correct when he said that this proposal will not cost school districts or local governments extra money. Of course it won't, because they are passing the burden in this Amendment to state government. It could cost us up to 400 million dollars to pay for this tax relief without any safeguard or without any brakes. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is not tax relief of any kind. This will simply encourage more spending. The only way to have tax relief, if that is our goal, is to spend less money. The Gentleman's Amendment does nothing...does nothing to inhibit the spending of money by local government. It simply shifts the burden of the taxpayer to the state. Every Member of this House knows what's going to happen. Every Member knows that the possibility of an increased income tax is the result of this Amendment. I believe that the Majority Leader ought to say that. The Majority Leader ought to say that he is for this Bill because he wants to shift responsibility from his local government to the state and thereby, he wants to raise the state income tax, because that is the net effect of this Amendment. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, any thoughtful Legislator, any thoughtful Member of this House, on either side of the aisle, they know and they understand the complexities of state government, they know that this is not tax relief. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm asking the Members to remember Proposition 13, and remember what the real deep seated meaning of that Proposition is. The public wants some honesty and some candor from the people who represent them. They do not want to see one side of the aisle bickering with the other side of the aisle to gain some temporary political advantage at the expense of the taxpayer. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that is what this Amendment is, it's political



bickering, it's not tax relief, it's not an honest approach, and Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there are Members on both sides of the aisle, Members in the House and in the Senate who agree with me and I sincerely hope that the Gentleman's Amendment is defeated."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti, on the Amendment."

Conti: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the previous speaker just practically gave my whole speech....what I intended to do. We, for the last 13...14 days have been hearing about Proposition 13, in California. We've been hearing about the 'Bacala' program, we've been hearing about the Thompson program, but the electorate in the State of Illinois are not stupid, they want some tax relief. ..I'm not sure Don Totten's not running for anything, and I think if we were really sincere in some tax relief that we wouldn't have been bickering for the last three weeks, on the Totten Amendment. If we really want to give the poor people back home... and quit shedding these crocodile tears, about the poor taxpayers back home, let's forget about the 'Bacala' program, and the Thompson program and let's give them some real programs."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten, on the Amendment...on the Amendment."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Oh, how the words of the Majority Leader ring hollow. He talks about this tax relief proposition not being a partisan issue and yet here we are twice voting on the same proposal...when he won't let a Member of this House bring a proposal addressing property tax relief to the floor of this House. Oh, how his words ring hollow. Is he really for tax relief? Well, this proposal will burst the bubble at the other end. It'll burst the bubble right here in Springfield, because by the lid you put on in this proposition, you'll force state spending to go up. 100 million the first year, 200 million the second year, a total take of over 400 million...for an average rebate of 37 dollars and 50 cents. And he wants us to vote on this twice. Where's his Leadership on tax relief? Why does he prevent real proposals by coming before this House? Why has he silenced Members of his own party, when they want to get another proposition



before this House? Why, Mr. Madigan? Why? Mr. Madigan, get up in this House and let everybody have their proposals heard. We've heard this one twice, and, yes, we'll probably hear it again; because your tunnel vision has only one way to go. Well, there's Members of this House that want to be heard. Let us all have a Roll Call on tax relief. This is not reasonable, this is not reasonable...and it may help local government or local taxpayers, but in the end run they're going to be asked to take the brunt of it when you come before us with a state income tax increase....to pay for the cost of this proposal. Mr. Madigan, if you're sincere, then let's get on with the business of tax relief and let's hear HJRC-44 and quit silencing the Members of your own party."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce...."

Skinner: "...or two.. How much will this cost?"

Pierce: "Mr. Skinner, as you know, there were some differences of opinion between the Bureau of the Budget, the Economic and Fiscal Commission, the Illinois Public Action Council. This Bill...This Amendment is scaled down from the Bill as it passed the House, in that we only reimburse 50 percent of the taxes over 3½ percent of family income, and we phase in the additional senior citizen aid over....over four years...."

Skinner: "How much will it cost...."

Pierce: "...It is our estimate that this will cost, in the first year, some 35 million dollars. The Economic and Fiscal Commission estimated 50 million dollars and as you know, the Bureau of the Budget, by assuming 100 percent participation, and by assuming the income over the state was the same as it was in Chicago, said 100 million. My best guess is that it would be around 38 million dollars the first year and that would gradually increase as the program phased in. But this isn't money we're spending, this is money we're reimbursing to the taxpayers of our state. This isn't money that's being spent on some project, some fancy lobster and shrimp for the Governor's Mansion, it's money that's going back to your voters,



the taxpayers. The 38 dollarsis only the first year....each and every year after that the reimbursements would increase. We don't spend money when it goes out to the people, we save money when we give it back to the people."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I thank you for your comments on the Governor's budget, but you missed your chance. That's already gone to Third Reading. You say it's going to cost 35 million dollars. And what percent participation are you estimating?"

Pierce: "Cal, that's assuming 60 percent. And that's where the argument is at, maybe 40 on the low-side. Then it may be 65 or 70 percent the first year."

Skinner: "Is the Bureau of the Budget....would this 100 million dollar estimate....assuming 100 percent.....participation?"

Pierce: "Yes."

Skinner: "Well, if that's correct, that's absurd."

Pierce: "There was one other difference on the amount of household....We might have been a little low on the number of households."

Skinner: "All right. How much will the average grant be the first year?"

Speaker Giorgi: "How much would the average grant be the first year?"

Pierce: "The first year the average grant would be somewhere around 38 dollars and then it would go up from then on. I have a....the Governor first said 16 dollars....in the televised debate, but I see his spokesman, Mr. Telcser, is already up to 38 dollars."

Skinner: "How many households do you think will be eligible under this program?"

Pierce: "We....We figure somewhere in the neighborhood of 2.5 million, 2 million, 700 thousand....eligible....Are you talking about eligible?"

Skinner: "Total households that would be eligible....If everyone applied, how much would the average grant be?"

Pierce: "I think the average grant would be somewhere between 38 and 50 dollars the first year."

Skinner: "How can that be?"

Pierce: "If everyone applied?"



Skinner: "Yeah."

Pierce: "Then our figure...then the Governor's figure...it would be closer to his 100 million dollar figure than it would be to our 38 million dollar figure."

Skinner: "I see. So you think the average rebate to taxpayers will be about 38 dollars?"

Pierce: "I'm willing to say that for purpose of argument. That's what Mr. Telcser now figures. The reason they were wrong the first time is they divided our 42 million, our original cost, by their number of households, at 100 percent participation. They didn't divide it into their 110 million dollar estimate. They divided into our 42 million dollar estimate...total participation by 2 million, 700 thousand homes. So they were taking apples and oranges. And the Bureau of the Budget thinks we're a bunch of dummies and they think we'd accept that. That man, 'Kolhauser' that testified in the Senate, he thinks we're a bunch of idiots, he misrepresented the facts to the Legislature, then he flew around in a state plane, at state expense, to misinform the Rockford paper, to misinform the Peoria paper, and try to get editorial opposition to the Bill. that the Chicago Sun Times...had already run two favorable editorials toward."

Skinner: "I appreciate your answers. I'm not sure how I'm going to vote on this..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner, I think you've asked enough questions...would you speak to the Bill, please?....the Amendment..."

Skinner: "I am...Didn't you notice?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Speak to the Amendment. Okay."

Skinner: "I do now have a personal conflict of interest on thison this Amendment because I will be a recipient, being a full-time Legislator, with limited income."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mautino, on the Amendment."

Mautino: "No, Sir. Point of inquiry to Representative Conti. The Italian block would like to know back here, if the 'Bacala' proposal includes onions, mushrooms and olive oil? Would you explain it to the rest of the people, Mr. Conti?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti."



Conti: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to those who don't know what Bakala means, that's the fish that we hang up to dry-up for a couple of weeks. And if you're Greek, it happens to be 'Bacalyara'. And if you happen to be of Scandinavian decent... it's Lutefisk. Just hang it up and dry it up and sometimes you don't get to use it. It smells pretty bad."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hoffman, on the Amendment."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. ...Make basically the same comments on this that I made before and I pointed out that we're taking a simple problem, applying a complex solution to it and coming up with a mistake; because what we're doing is we're taking from Peter to pay Paul and that happens to be the state. It's merely a matter of transfer. I very quickly calculated...if you did take 38 dollars per household, multiplied it by 2.5 million, you'd come out with 95 million dollars. That's 95 million dollars of state money. Let me also point out that some of the same people who were supporting this are willing to settle for 51 million dollars of increased money...in the General Distributive Fund for schools. Their suggestion is that we take nearly twice that much money out of the budget for this purpose as opposed to distributing it to the schools which takes pressure off of the local tax payers to support school programs. I might also ... I might also point out that there's really only one real way to get at the problem that they're trying to address and that is a simple direct approach where you take the assessed valuation and move them from some figure other than 33½. What we're suggesting is that you move them to 29½. That's the only way that you can deal at it directly and be fair to the taxpayers, be fair to local taxing bodies, and be fair to the state. It is for that reason that I rise once again to oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Bradley, on the Amendment."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's a number of reasons why we should be supporting this Amendment. The last speaker suggested that the state reimburse the...with the passage of this we would be losing state dollars that would be



available for schools. That might be so for those schools who get state dollars. There are many schools in this state...and he well knows...some 10 percent of the schools receive only one percent of the money. Strayer-Haig schools receive a flat grant of 60 dollars. So I'm quite sure that those people that are in a Strayer-Haig district are not heavily supported...that are not heavily supported by the school aid formula that unfortunately we have to fund our schools under that particular formula, that this Amendment will help those people a great deal in the Strayer-Haig districts. So, what that Gentleman says is not completely true for everybody in the State of Illinois. If it was and it was true for everybody...the kind of formula that we would have to have I would certainly be suggesting...and be supporting his position. Some other speakers are suggesting that other people...er..many people on this side of the aisle want to go to the proposition of House Joint Resolution 44, Mr. Totten had that opportunity last night. That vote last night, I would like to suggest again, was not a vote on the proposition, as has been reported throughout the news media in the state. Some news media were reporting that by a vote against that proposition we were against tax relief. Well, I would suggest today we will see who really is for tax relief and who is not for tax relief. I suggest to you when the time comes to vote on this issue, that the Members on this side of the aisle who are concerned about tax relief and for the first time in the last two days have an opportunity to vote for tax relief, will be supporting this Amendment that Mr. Pierce has before us. I think now then we can suggest, and I do so strongly; if we want tax relief in Illinois, let's start here and let's have tax relief and let's support this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Simms, on the Amendment."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on the surface, perhaps, this Amendment looks good, but basically the only way you're going to get tax relief is when you quit spending money. What this does is, very frankly, places the state in the responsibility of start reimbursement to cover what the local units of government have done in the past ten years, and that's increased by



astronomical amounts, the amount of spending. I can only look to my own county, for example, where spending has just went out of sight. The City of Rockford's budget has increased 15 million dollars since I've been a Member of the Legislature in the last seven years. At the same time the County of Winnebago has a surplus of 5 million dollars. If they wanted to give tax relief they ought to cut their rates, their corporate rate. But it doesn't solve the problem of the local irresponsible spending. What's happened up in the City of Rockford because of irresponsible spending policies of the city administration and the city council, there's a move at the present time to take home rule powers away. Perhaps that's one of the only ways you're going to stop the wild irresponsible spending. But what this does, very frankly, is transfer over and require the state to spend more money. The State of Michigan has a similar proposition...and program in existence today..as what this Amendment calls for. What are the people of Michigan doing, they are doing what Representative Totten wants to do and this is the only approach to solve the problem of tax relief. Tax rebates such as this...of using the state's dollars for reimbursement to the taxpayer for local taxes and irresponsibility on the part of local units of government and spending is not the answer... it's to cut spending...and you start cutting spending, you start it at home and you start it in the state, and perhaps one day...at the federal level in Washington. This is not the answer, my friends."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels, on the Amendment."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope you all understand that what we're dealing with is local real property tax relief. In order to properly accomplish that there are a number of things that you can do. Since the General Assembly first determined that 33 1/3 to be the level of assessment on fair market value, we could move that level of assessment back to the figure suggested by Representative Hoffman and the figures that we will use in the Amendment if Senate Bill 1783 is ever called by the other side of the aisle. That would be to a level of 29.5 percent.



You can also consider a proposal as advocated by C. L. McCormick, many years ago, as recently advocated by Representative Yourell, and in Amendment #19, to Senate Bill 1783, which we are proposing, which would freeze the extension of taxes. Basically that would mean that they could collect no more taxes than they did last year. In other words your tax bill would be the same. Now you can also encourage the local units of government to retard their increase in tax levy and this General Assembly can have a direct affect on non-home rule units of government. In essence, what it amounts to, is that no tax relief will be granted in actuality and in reality, unless local units of government maintain responsibility and in certain cases where they have been irresponsible they achieve responsibility in their tax bills. Under our multiplier and the level of assessment and inflation, many of our local units of government have experienced windfalls. One municipality in DuPage County they received 50 percent more in funds this year than they had the prior year. Irresponsibility? Yes it is. Where does that responsibility rest? With the local units of government. So in actuality, we can affect those changes, we then have the bottom line responsibility to tell our local units that no more will we stand by and look and watch your irresponsible action. Property tax relief has to come in the area of reductions of level of assessment or freezing the extention of taxes. That's where it's at. The Bill that we have before us right now doesn't do that. It does not stop a local unit of government from increasing their levy or spending more money. It doesn't put the responsibility where it belongs. And Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you're trying to sell your constituents that this is tax relief in the true form, you're wrong. You're very wrong because this is not tax relief, it only encourages additional spending and I suggest you vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Edgar."

Edgar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to suggest that we should vote 'no' on this Amendment and my reason is very simple. We have already passed out, as Representative Pierce has mentioned, a Bill that



accomplished this, House Bill 3279. I think it'd be a mistake to take this Amendment and tack it onto Representative Jones's Bill, which does something else, provides tax relief for senior citizens. Since we passed House Bill 3279 out of the House, the Democratic gubernatorial candidate has decided to get on the bandwagon of that Bill and has now got it tied into gubernatorial politics and has made it a very controversial issue. I think it'd be a mistake to tie that controversy to Senate Bill 1617, which provides tax relief for senior citizens. It could jeopardize that Bills passage. I think we've already spoken on this question, we should allow Representative Jones's Bill to remain as it is, tax relief for senior citizens, and not jeopardize its chances of passage too."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer moves that the main question be put. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Pierce, to close."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I want to compliment the other side of the aisle on the ...on the caliber of this debate. I think especially the Assistant Minority Whip, was much more nonpartisan and rational in this debate. In fact, the first half of his speech, I thought could be used as a textbook speech for ...for political science students at our universities. And the second half he went off a little far when he ...when he called it a dishonest approach; but that's rhetoric that we're allowed to use. And he even hinted that one Democratic Leader in the Senate might not support the Bill. This is a serious drawback. I want to tell him don't worry too much about one Democratic Senator in the Senate not voting for it because I have news for you, Art, about 5 1/2 minutes ago this Bill received 32 votes in the Senate, House Bill 3279, and was passed by the State Senate. I understand there was at least one Republican that voted for it, maybe there were more. So, I always like to give you some late news, the press that covers the House has the latest news. What we're trying to do here, and by the way, the fact that one.... the fact that one Democratic Senator might not have liked the Bill



is negated by the fact that about 20 Republican House Members liked it well enough to vote for it. They didn't think it was dishonest. I'm talking about Anderson, Antonovych, Bartulis, Bennet, Bianco, Campbell, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Edgar, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Johnson, Kucharski, Margalus, Lynn Martin, Matula, Miller, Neff, Polk, Reilly, Tuerk...Tuerk...hear that, Tuerk, Steele, Simms, Stanley and Sandquist. All voted for it when it passed the House. What we're doing here is giving the Governor a chance. I told Representative Jones, and he'll...he'll admit this...two weeks ago, that I intended to amend his Bill, to put it a shape like 3279 and he said 'Well, that's interesting, let me go back and check with my people.' I gave him an opportunity. I'm sure he checked with them. We were hoping that we could get this out of partisanship. Because I'm not as partisan as our Majority Leader, he's a good friend of mine, he comes from a much more partisan background than we in Lake County do. I don't think I've even been in the 13 Ward unless it's...unless the International Amphitheater is there or something there, I don't know. But at any rate, we come from much more nonpartisan background and we hoped the Governor could embrace this program...and come back to Representative Jones and said 'Here's our chance to be heroes.' Pierce thinks it's his Bill. The Illinois Public Action Council thinks it's their Bill. Bakalis thinks it's their Bill...But only one man signs the Bill, only one man signs into law...the Governor of the State. As Governor of the State, I'm telling you Representative Jones, let my Bill be amended. Let our tax relief Bill...I know you're a little ashamed to even present...just raising from 10 to 12 thousand...the income limitation for senior citizens, that's...I'd be even a little bit ashamed elected as a loyal party man, to present that to the Legislature as tax reform...Let the Democrats amend our Bill, we'll vote for it, I'll sign it and I'll be the hero of the taxpayers and J. David Jones's picture will be in the paper again. but this time supporting legislation that gets into law. But the Governor apparently didn't do that and he's here again in opposition. I was most interested in my friend, Representative Conti, though, when he said that Don Totten isn't running for



anything. That's the best news I heard all day. Didn't Conti say that, that Totten's position is a good Bill because he isn't running for anything. And that's some news we had here today, also, that I'm sure they'll be interested in the Northwest Suburbs. ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is..."

Pierce: "One unfair thing about this Bill, as I close, and that is, to say this Bill is caused by Proposition 13, for the record, I want, Mr. Speaker, for the record I want you to realize that this Bill was introduced, passed by the Rules Committee, passed by the House Revenue Committee, passed by the full House of Representatives, 112...112 to 40, before Proposition 13 was approved by the California voters. It preceeds Proposition 13 and I think we should adopt it today. It is a little more modified, a little less costly than the Bill we passed originally because it phases in the senior citizen additional aid and because...and because it does not ...because it is modified also in only giving 50 percent of the tax rebate above 3½ percent. I urge you ...all of you...on a bipartisan basis, to support Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1617, for meaningful, responsible and ...yes, and yet honest...yes, honest tax relief."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, on Representative Pierce's Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1617. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed will...by voting 'no'. Representative Ewing, to explain his vote."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to explain my vote on this matter. I think there's some important things and they've been said here many times, but maybe to reiterate, first of all you can't have tax relief as long as units of government continue to overspend. The State of Illinois, by certain projection, is facing maybe up to a 290 million dollar deficit in the next fiscal year. We cannot continue to give all manner of tax relief without increasing our revenue somewhere. When you vote for tax relief, particularly tax relief out of the state coffers at this time, then you've got to be prepared at a later time to make up the difference. We can never have true tax relief until we cut down spending, spending on the state level, the local level, and on



the national level. This idea has gone much farther than any of its proponents ever believed at the beginning. I think that tells you something, there may be real merit in this type of proposal, but it has to be tied with a reduction in the spending. I don't see that reduction and I would encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schuneman, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'm voting against this Amendment for two reasons. First of all, I'm not sure how many of you understood when Representative Pierce said that his Bill has already passed the Senate.....so we don't need this Amendment. The Bill has passed the Senate and apparently will now go to the Governor. So, we certainly don't need this Amendment. I'm also voting against it because the philosophy of this Amendment is phony...it's completely phony. We're asking to give tax relief..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Schuneman, the Sponsor of the Amendment has an inquiry...Pierce."

Pierce: "...Inquiry. The Gentleman made a mistake, I think it was an honest mistake, he said the Bill goes to the Governor. There is a Senate Amendment and it must come back here for concurrence. I'm sure you didn't deliberately mislead, but it passed the Senate, with a slight Amendment that will require concurrence."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Representative. I had no intention to mislead. However, the fact remains that the Bill has passed the Senate. This measure has been acted upon in both houses. It would be a mistake to put this same Amendment on which this House has already acted, on Representative Jones' Bill. I certainly urge you to vote 'no' on this measure."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis, to explain her vote, for one minute."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, God knows we do believe in meaningful tax relief, but I certainly do not think we should ...attach this to the Senior Citizens Bill at all. I think we're wrong to do it now. I am one of the Cosponsors of the Representatives Bill, 3279 that just passed the Senate, I will support



it again and I'll commit myself right now. But I think it's bad if you're trying to make Amendment after Amendment to other people's Bills in the same effort. I think that the only decent thing to do is let this Bill pass without this Amendment because you're going to have to answer to many senior citizens if something happens to their ...their....their rights in here that are being jeopardized. We're a little bit too loose on these things and if you really want meaningful tax Amendments for heaven's sake pass Constitutional Amendment 44, as amended, because that's really meaningful tax Amendment...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lynn Martin, to explain her vote for one minute."

Martin, Lynn: "As the notable Sponsor pointed out, I was one of the Republicans who voted with him. On another part of the Bakalis package I was the 89th vote. Because of my theory that no one in the state really cares who the Sponsor of meaningful tax relief is, whether it be Democrat or Republican. I believe that that is true. So the other evening when there were not enough votes to pass Representative Totten's tax relief package, I was appalled by what I view as the hypocrisy and double-dealing from the other side of the aisle....Now it was a pleasure to vote with you before, but until you will vote for other tax relief and not use the partisan argument, I am off this Bill and I am glad that the other Bill is coming back for concurrence....because before that time it is my hope we will have passed out Representative Totten's Constitutional Amendment which is another good form of tax relief. 'No.'"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell, to explain his vote for one minute."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I too was one of those who voted for 3279, as it went over to ...as it went out of the House, but since that time, as the previous speaker had spoken, that Representative Totten's Amendment was called the other night when there was no possibility of getting the required number of votes for passage, and this has become a political football and I don't intend to support this type of



legislation, particular^{ly} Amendments to someone else's Bill on something we've already passed, until we can get an opportunity on both sides of this aisle regardless of who the Sponsor is, for some decent reform in ...in our tax system...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Marovitz, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Marovitz: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I've heard some Members on the other side of the aisle say that the only way to get tax relief is to cut spending. Well if you'll remember last week we had an omnibus Bill ...that would cut a lot of the waste and fat in various departments of state government. The same people who are opposing true tax relief today were supporting the inflated budgets of various departments of state government. So let's get the truth on the table. You can't have your cake and eat it too. If you want tax relief, vote for this Bill. If you want to cut spending, then cut the fat out of state government."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jones, the Sponsor of the Bill, to explain his vote."

Jones, J. D.: "I urge a 'no' vote for the very simple reason that this legislation that you may be for or against in this Amendment, is already passed. Action has been taken both by this House and the Senate...affirmatively, so far, and there is no need to clutter up this meaningful legislation for improving the senior citizens tax relief circuit breaker and I urge a 'no' vote to...so that it can be passed in its proper form."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, to explain his vote for one minute."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This seems to be a typical approach that the other side of the aisle takes to the problem of local government taxes. On the one hand they create the problem by putting in all these Bills to allow units of local government to raise their taxes without a referendum and it takes the people on our side of the aisle to point this out so we can kill them. You people have put in at least ten Bills this year to allow local government to raise their taxes without a referendum. I don't



blame you for putting a Bill...an Amendment on like this because of all the problems you've created for the local taxpayers."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Roll Call. Representative Schneider...would like to be recorded as voting 'aye'.

Representative Schneider from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Robinson...from 'present' to 'aye'. That's Schneider and Robinson. Right. Wait until we get the record in our hands. Representative Pierce, the Sponsor of the Amendment."

Pierce: "I'd like a poll of the absentees, when you are ready for that and then I want to verify the negative vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. That was Robinson and Schneider, from 'present' to 'aye'. Representative Bartulis wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative Bartulis wants to be recorded as voting ...'no'. Representative Pierce requests a poll of the absentees. Any other changes? Poll the absentees. I'm sorry. Representative Harris, for what reason do you arise? Wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Harris wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'....from nothing to 'aye'. Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Byers, Dawson, Domico, John Dunn..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Dawson wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Domico wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative John Dunn wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative R. V. Walsh wants to be recorded as voting 'no' to 'aye'...R. V. Walsh. Continue with the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Ewell, Greiman, Hart, Johnson, Kornowicz, Lechowicz, .."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz....wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Mann, Peggy Smith Martin, Satterthwaite, Stearney.....
No further."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce requests a verification....What's the count, first? Representative Telcser...if it's the other way? Representative Telcser. Representative Telcser asks to be verified. How are you voting, Mr. Telcser?"

Telcser: "I'm voting 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to be verified at this time?"



We're going to.....We're....Representative Friedrich, can Representative Friedrich have leave to be verified now? Any objections? Yes, give us the count, Mr. Clerk. There are 80 'ayes', and 81 'nays'. 80 'ayes' and 81 'nays', and Representative Pierce asks for a verification of the Negative Roll Call. So read the Negative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, Adams, Anderson, Jane Barnes, Bartulis, Bennett, Bianco, Bluthardt, Boucek, Campbell, Collins, Conti, Cunningham..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment. Could the Republicans in the Republican aisle spread themselves thin so they could see through? Representative Madigan. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "I request an Oral Verified Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. The Majority Leader has requested an Oral Verified Roll Call. Mr. Clerk. Oral Verified Roll Call. Members will be in their seats and indicate how they're going to vote so the Clerk can record it. Representative Pullen, on the question of the Oral Roll Call."

Pullen: "Will Mr. Friedrich and Mr. Telcser be granted their leave to vote?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes."

Pullen: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, they will. They were verified. We began on the Verified Roll Call and we recognized their plight and we recognized them. They left with good intentions. They were verified on the Roll Call. They left the House with good intentions. Just a moment, Mr. Matjevich. Representative Pierce..."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I gave those Gentlemen leave to be verified and I don't want to get into any technicalities, but as far as I'm concerned they can be recorded as voting 'no'....That's the two of them."

Speaker Giorgi: "Gentleman's agreement. Representative Daniels, on this point....on the Oral Verification. Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we have a meeting going on in Representative Telcser's Office..... I wonder if Representative Hoffman and myself, we're both here right now, could be verified as 'no'?"



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pierce, the Sponsor of the Amendment.
What's your position?"

Pierce: "I want to confine it to those four then. We have Telcser and
who else? Hoffman, Daniels..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment. Daniels and Hoffman..."

Daniels: "And Friedrich...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Friedrich, is he back in the room? Representative
Friedrich is back in the room, in the other...."

Pierce: "I give those Gentlemen leave because they must be fighting for
suburban schools."

Daniels: "You're right."

Speaker Giorgi: "Good enough. Three people verified. Daniels, Telcser
and Hoffman. That's the only three verified at this point. Proceed
with the Oral Verified Roll Call. Please sing out so that the Clerk
can record your message."

Clerk O'Brien: "Abramson, 'no'; Adams, 'no'; Anderson, 'no'; Antonovych,
'present'; E. M. Barnes, 'aye'; Jane Barnes, 'no'; Bartulis, 'no';
Beatty, 'aye'; Bennett, 'no'; Bianco, 'no'; Birchler, 'aye';
Bluthardt, 'no'; Boucek, 'no'; Bowman, 'aye'; Bradley, 'aye';
Brady, 'aye'; Brandt, 'aye'; Breslin, 'aye'; Rich Brummer, 'aye';
Don Brummet, 'aye'; Byers, 'pass'; Caldwell, 'pass'; Campbell,
'no'; Capparelli, 'pass'; Catania, 'pass'; Chapman, 'aye';
Christensen, 'aye'; Collins, 'no'; Conti, 'no'; Cunningham, 'no';
Daniels, ...voted 'no'; Darrow, 'aye'; Corneal Davis, 'pass';
Jack Davis, 'no'; Dawson, 'aye'; Deavers, 'pass'; Deuster, 'pass';
DiPrima, 'aye'; Domico, 'aye'; Doyle, 'aye'; John Dunn, 'aye';
Ralph Dunn, 'no'; Dyer, 'present'; Ebbesen, 'no'; Edgar, 'no';
Epton, 'no'; Ewell, 'pass'; Ewing, 'no'; Farley, 'aye'; Flinn,
'pass'; Friedland, 'no'; Friedrich, 'no'; Gaines, 'pass';
Garmisa, 'aye'; Geo-Karis, 'no'; Getty, 'aye'; Giglio, 'pass';
Giorgi, 'aye'; Greiman, 'pass'; Griesheimer, 'no'; Hanahan, 'aye';
Harris, 'aye'; Hart, 'pass'; Hoffman voted 'no'; Holewinski, 'aye';
Dan Houlihan, 'aye'; J. M. Houlihan, 'aye'; Hoxsey, 'no'; Hudson,
'pass'; Huff,"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Huff."



Clerk O'Brien: "Huff, 'aye'; Huskey, 'no'; Jacobs, 'aye'; Jaffe, 'aye'; Johnson, 'pass'; Dave Jones, 'no'; Emil Jones, 'pass'; Kane, 'pass'; Katz, 'pass'; Keats, 'no'; Kelly, 'aye'; Kempiners, 'no'; Kent, Mrs. Kent...'no'; Klosak, 'no'; Kornowicz, 'pass'; Kosinski, 'aye'; Kozubowski, 'aye'; Kucharski, 'pass'; Laurino, 'aye'; Lechowicz, ...Lechowicz.."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz..."

Clerk O'Brien: "'Pass'; Leinenweber, 'no'; Leverenz, 'aye'; Levin, 'present'; Lucco, 'aye'; Luft, 'no'; Macdonald, 'pass'; Madigan, 'aye'; Madison, 'aye'; Mahar, 'no'; Mann, 'pass'; Margalus, 'no'; Marovitz, 'aye'; Lynn Martin, 'no'; Peggy Smith Martin, 'pass'; Matejek, 'aye'; Matijevid, :...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Matijevid, Representative Matijevid, how do you vote?"

Clerk O'Brien: "'Aye'; Matula, 'no'; Mautino, 'pass'; McAuliffe, 'no'; McBroom, 'no'; McClain, 'aye'; McCourt, 'no'; McGrew, ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "McGrew, will someone hit his button?"

Clerk O'Brien: "McGrew, 'aye'; McLendon, 'aye'; McMaster, 'no'; McPike, 'aye'; Meyer, 'no'; Miller, 'no'; Molloy, 'no'; Mudd, 'pass'; Mugalian, 'no'; Mulcahey, 'aye'; Murphy, 'aye'; Nardulli, 'aye'; Neff, 'no'; O'Brien, 'aye'; Pechous, 'aye'; Peters, 'no'; Pierce, 'aye'; Polk, 'no'; Porter, 'no'; Pouncey, 'aye'; Pullen, 'no'; Reed, 'no'; Reilly, 'no'; Richmond, 'aye'; Rigney, 'no'; Robinson, 'aye'; Ryan, 'no'; Sandquist, 'no'; Satterthwaite, 'pass'; Schisler, 'aye'; Schlickman, 'no'; Schneider, 'aye'; ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Will someone push Schneider's button?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Schoeberlein, 'pass'; Schuneman, 'no'; Sharp, 'aye'; Schumpert, 'aye'; Simms, 'no'; Skinner, 'no'; Stanley, 'no'; Stearney, 'pass'; Steczo, 'aye'; ...Steczko 'present'; E. G. Steele, 'no'; C. M. Stiehl, 'no'; Stuffle, 'aye'; Sumner, 'no'; Taylor, 'aye'; Telcser voted 'no'; Terzich, 'aye'; Tipsword, 'aye'; Totten, 'no'; Tuerk, 'no'; Van Duyne, 'aye'; Vinson, 'no'; Vitek, 'aye'; Von Boeckman, 'aye'; Waddell, 'no'; R. V. Walsh, 'aye'; W. D. Walsh, 'no'; Wikoff, 'no'; Willer, 'aye';....

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Willer...'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Willer, 'no'; Williams, 'pass'; Winchester, 'no';



Wolf, 'no'; Younge, 'aye'; Yourell, 'aye'; Mr. Speaker, pass."

Speaker Giorgi: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Byers, Caldwell, ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Caldwell voted 'aye'....'aye'...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Caldwell, 'aye'....Capparelli, 'aye'; Catania, 'pass';

Corneal Davis, 'aye'; Deavers, 'no'; Deuster, 'no'; Ewell, 'pass';

Flinn, 'aye'; Gaines, 'pass'; Giglio, 'pass'; Greiman, 'pass';

Hart, 'pass'; Hudson, 'no'; Johnson, 'pass'; Emil Jones, 'aye';

Kane, 'no'; Katz, 'pass'; Kornowicz, 'pass'; Kucharski, 'pass';

Lechowicz, 'aye'; Macdonald, 'pass'; Mann, 'pass'; Peggy Smith

Martin, 'pass'; Mautino, 'pass'; Mudd, 'aye'; Satterthwaite,

'present'; Schoeberlein, 'no'; Stearney, 'pass'; Williams 'aye';

Mr. Speaker. Speaker votes 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewell wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'.

The buttons are still on.

Hit your button, Mr. Ewell./ Ms. Peggy Smith Martin wants to be

recorded as what? Peggy...'aye'. Mr. Levin, you're recorded as

'present'. Change Levin to 'aye'. Representative Gaines, from

not voting to 'no'. Will you hit your button, Mr. Gaines? Rep-

resentative Dyer, for what reason?"

Dyer: "Speaker, change me from 'present' to 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dyer wants to be recorded as voting 'no'.

Representative Willer?"

Willer: "Change me from 'no', to 'yes'...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Change Mrs. Willer...hit your button...Your button is

changeable. Representative Antonovych? Antonovych?"

Antonovych: "My vote 'no', please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Representative

Mautino wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative

Stearney, would someone record Stearney as 'present' and voting

'no'. Representative Catania would like to be recorded...Rep-

resentative Catania..."

Catania: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "You're not recorded."

Catania: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you vote...hit your button, Mrs. Catania...."



Representative Pierce, any questions? Representative Pierce?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, the reason we had to have this kind of Roll Call was that so many Governor's aides in the aisle over there I couldn't see the Republican side. They were rude, they stood in the aisle, the fellow walking away with his back to me, the other fellow standing there in the aisle, blocking the aisle. Those Republican aides can be on the floor but they should sit down on a verification. The Governor's aides are standing up and blocking the aisle.

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers, do you seek recognition? Representative Byers wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish, now? All changes.....Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 82 'aye', and 84 'nays', and the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Skinner, amends Senate Bill 1617, on page one, line 11, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on Amendment #3."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm hopeful that this Amendment will not be so close. What this Amendment does is put Senate Bill 1617 in the same form as House Bill 44 was when originally introduced. It will give senior citizens meaningful tax relief, not token tax relief, as this Bill provides. This Bill as presently...it exists, will benefit 35 thousand relatively affluent senior citizens of the State of Illinois. The Amendment, however, will give increased circuit breaker grants to every senior citizen and disabled person in the State of Illinois who is eligible for a circuit breaker grant right now. It will also raise the maximum eligibility limit from 10 thousand to 15 thousand dollars. The estimated cost is an additional 11 to well let's say 12 to 15 million dollars above what the Governor already has in his budget for senior citizens property tax relief, which is a trifling 6 million dollars. The total cost of the Bill, if this Amendment is adopted, will be from 17 to 20 million dollars. I certainly can't speak for the Governor, but I know if I were Governor I could find 12...I could find an additional 12 to 15 million dollars...or 11 to 14 million dollars to provide the money necessary to solve the senior citizen



property tax relief problem. Now, I used the word 'solve', and I've used it only once before or twice before on this House floor and each time it has been with reference to this concept of giving tax relief to senior citizens. If there are any questions I'd be happy to answer them. If not, I'd be happy to have an overwhelming nonverified Affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin, on the Amendment."

Levin: "Yeah. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Levin: "Cal, the Bill, as it's currently constituted, would increase, I believe, from 10 to 12 thousand dollars...the income limits. What does your Amendment do, I don't have a copy on my desk?"

Skinner: "The Amendment does two things. It says that every dollar by which property taxes exceed 4 percent of a senior citizen's income, those dollars will be rebated to the senior citizen. At the present time maximum grants are limited to 650 dollars, minus 5 percent times the recipient's household income. For that reason nobody gets 650 dollars. This will allow...this will solve the problem. A senior citizen's ...a senior citizen's property tax Bill, the net property tax Bill will not increase unless his or her income goes up as long as his or her household income is less than 15 thousand dollars per year."

Levin: "Could you give an example of what the effect would be for say, a senior citizen with an income of ...4 thousand dollars a year, and paying 190 dollars a month rent, or whatever example you would like to give. What it is now, what it would be under your proposal."

Skinner: "Well, 4 percent of 4 thousand dollars is 160 dollars. So that means that if a senior citizen's property tax Bill exceeded 160 dollars, everything by which 160 dollars were exceeded would be rebated."

Levin: "And this would include renters, also?"

Skinner: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you."

Levin: "It would include renters, also?"

Skinner: "It would include renters, also.This is a superb concept."



Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, before we close, Mr. Skinner. The Clerk has an announcement to make that has to do with the weekend. Would you read the announcement now, Mr. Clerk? It's an important announcement."

Clerk O'Brien: "It has been determined that any Member who went home on Saturday and returned either Sunday or today, is entitled to mileage. Please notify Nancy Fritzsche, in the Speaker's Office if you went home."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, to close."

Skinner: "Are there no further questions? No opposition?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1617? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', ...the opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Roll Call has been requested. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner, to explain his vote...for one minute."

Skinner: "To explain...Well, I guess it is to explain my vote. To explain the Amendment also. What this Amendment does is guarantee that no senior citizen will have his property tax Bill raised unless his or her income gets raised. It'll solve the problem. I would solicit a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 106 'ayes', and 30 'nays', and the Amendment ...Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1617 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Representative Telcser, on Senate Bill 1672, Senate Bill 1672. We're trying to move along."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1672, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Capital Development Bond Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1, 2, 3 and 4 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any...motions to any of those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #5, Daniels, amends Senate Bill 1672, as



amended, in Section 3, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels, on Amendment #5. Daniels...."

Telcser, ...Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment is also"

Cosponsored by Representative Schneider. Is he on the floor?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Schneider, on Amendment #5, you're Cosponsor. Senate Bill 1672...."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, maybe I can explain the Amendment. It increases the state's bonding authority by 10 million dollars...with which to build a state office building. I think it's in Dupage County...is that right? And I'm told that the additional 10 million will cost the state 17 million dollars to retire at today's interest costs. I'm happy to take the Amendment, it's up to the Members of the Assembly."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, on the Amendment...James Houlihan, on the Amendment. Just a minute, Jim, for a moment. Is Representative Matijevich on the floor? Wasn't this Amendment adopted in Committee?"

Telcser: "No, it's a Floor Amendment, Representative."

Speaker Giorgi: "Go ahead, Mr. Houlihan. Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Wait. Wait."

Telcser: "The appropriation was adopted in Committee, on another Bill, but this one was not."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan....James."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative, can you tell me, this is 10 million dollar authorization for purchase of land or..."

Telcser: "This is...it's to build a new building, Representative. And this is simply the authorization to sell the bonds. But it's to construct a new building in DuPage County. It's not my Amendment by the way, it's Representative Schneider-Redmond andDaniels.."

Houlihan, J.: "Well then you shouldn't handle it then, if it's not your Amendment."

Telcser: "Well, I'm trying to be a little courteous to the Sponsors. If the Assembly wants to reject it's up to them..."



Houlihan, J.: "Well, can I ask you some questions?"

Telcser: "Sure. By all means."

Houlihan, J.: "It's to build a new building in DuPage. Can you tell me what the building is for?"

Telcser: "What did you say? What's the building for? Apparently the people in DuPage County feel there's a need for office space...for the state, I don't know."

Houlihan, J.: "We're in the process of talking about construction of a new state office building in downtown Chicago, I believe."

Speaker Giorgi: "This is in DuPage County."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Giorgi, my question is, what is this building going to be used for? How it relates to the construction of the office building in downtown Chicago, if we are going to create a new office building there and move most of the state agencies to that location, are we going to have a need for this particular project?"

Telcser: "Representative, your questions are very well taken. I wish I could answer them. ...It's not my Amendment..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schneider wants to answer the questions."

Schneider: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Amendment was being offered by Representative Daniels and he's off the floor. I, as you might suspect, am one of those Sponsors from DuPage...who's interested in possibly giving some consideration to having an office area in DuPage County. DuPage has been notorious for not having any of those kinds ofluxuries..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me.....Excuse me, Mr. Schneider..."

Schneider: "...that are the benefit of political power..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative"

Schneider: "...and I would like to ask for the adoption of the Amendment if Mr. Houlihan would not ask any more questions."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan.....James."

Houlihan, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I will accede to Representative Schneider's request that I not ask any more questions. But I will object to the Amendment being offered since the principal Sponsor's not on the floor. I will not ask any more questions."



Speaker Giorgi: "Well, I understand they are equally Sponsors.....they are equal.Sponsors. Schneider is the hyphenated Sponsor, which is a major Sponsor."

Schneider: "I'm the last hyphenated Sponsor."

Houlihan, J.: "Well, would you be able to answer these questions then?"

Schneider: "No. We have the appropriations, Representative Houlihan... It would be for space...for a building rather ...for DuPage County, should that approval be agreed upon. That's the answer I can give you and I hope that's beneficial to you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz, on the question....is that correct."

Lechowicz: "That is correct, Mr. Speaker, I rise reluctantly to speak against Amendment #5. It was pointed out on the House floor, I believe, that there is some thought as far as building a new state office building. Presently the facility that we have is rather old and does need some major renovation and there's a possibility that the best thing to do is to have it as a new facility. I believe that to have two State of Illinois building within a 60 mile radius of the City of Chicago would be rather duplicative to say the least. I believe that the people of Illinois are entitled to have an adequate facility in Chicago and to have the state complex as it is in expanding and providing better services for all the residents. But I have to reluctantly rise against Amendment #5 because I believe that the taxpayers of Illinois cannot afford to have two State of Illinois buildings within a 60 mile radius of one another."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels is now on the floor....and Representative Houlihan, do you want to continue your questions of Representative Daniels?"

Houlihan, J.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, maybe Representative Daniels could answer the questions that Representative Telcser and Schneider were not able to answer."

Speaker Giorgi: "Do you have to put that on record? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "If you'll ask me the question, I'll do my best."

Houlihan, J.: "Representative Daniels, this 10 million dollar authorization



is for the construction of a new office building. Can you tell me what agencies are going to use that office building?"

Daniels: "The...I might correct some possible misimpression. Forgive me for not being here sooner. But when I walked in, Representative Lechowicz was talking about a new state office building. It is not a new state office building that we're proposing, in DuPage County. It is the purchase of the old courthouse facility in Wheaton, Illinois, when we build the new courthouse in DuPage County, which will run the county approximately 25 million dollars...for the new courthouse and jail system. The old courthouse facility, which has now been declared a historic landmark and many of you are familiar with, having been out in DuPage County, then would be vacated. It was our intention in seeking the authorization of the level of 10 million dollars, hopefully, that we wouldn't need anywhere near that amount, but at the present time it is an estimate as to what it would cost to purchase the facility and to convert it to offices. At that time we would intend that all of the offices in DuPage County would then be situated in the old courthouse facility; for instance, the Department of Public Aid, Unemployment Division, the Driver's License Division, and the like. In other words, to bring all of the facilities that are already in the county, under the roof in the old courthouse facility."

Houlihan, J.: "Would you think there would be an ultimate savings of dollars, then?"

Daniels: "I don't think there is any question about it, that we would have an ultimate saving of dollars. Now we would hope that we wouldn't need this kind of money but we're using the estimate because of the projected remodeling costs."

Houlihan, J.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Amendment, in that case. You see, the original legislation, which was to preserve county courthouses, was one that I had introduced and I think that some of our history in Illinois, very important history will be preserved if we are able to restore and utilize county courthouses that are now being modernized



and the courts moving to other locations. One of the problems that we've had in many of our county seats is that the county courthouses have been destroyed and a whole era in Illinois has been ...has been ...has vanished from the area. I just wanted to point out that what we need is to have the Sponsor on the floor so that the Amendment can be discussed and rather than having someone get up and just say that they are moving it for somebody else, like the Minority Whip, which has no information to it, just ask us to accede to it without any examination, I think, is irresponsible. But I do support his Amendment #5."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane, on this issue." On the Amendment."

Kane: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Kane: "Is the...If the old courthouse isn't good enough for county offices, why is it good enough for state offices?"

Daniels: "It's not a question of whether or not it's good enough for county offices, because we're presently using it. The fact of the matter is, the old courthouse facility is strictly limited at the present time to use as the name implies, courthouse facilities. When we build our new courthouse in DuPage County, as I previously indicated, the courts will then shift out to the county complex on Old Carding Farm Road. And that cost, of the new courthouse facility, when it's built, will be borne by the taxpayers of DuPage. What we are trying to do here is to create a centralized facility for those existing state offices right now. This is not a second State of Illinois building, as one of the prior speakers indicated it might be."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels, to close."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we certainly would hope that it would not cost in the sum of 10 million dollars. This is an estimated figure and obviously would not be spent if it wasn't needed. Our purpose in offering this Amendment is to provide centralized state offices in DuPage County...those offices that are in existence now. We feel that it will be a savings measure because we are paying rent throughout the county in various locations at the



present time. And we feel that this is a good Amendment and one that will be excellent for the state in the long-run and also for the citizens that will be using the facility and I urge your 'yes' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schneider and Daniels move that Amendment #5 be adopted to Senate Bill 1617 (sic). All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay'. Better take a Roll Call. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative McGrew, on the...to explain his vote."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. As an antique collector of beginning possibilities now, I think perhaps what the Gentlemen are trying to do is we'll run all around the state buying up antique courthouses and we're going to store them somewhere and then eventually we can have an auction because they'd be a real collectors' item. Please vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Dyer, to explain her vote.....for one minute. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, I want to point out that this does have bipartisan sponsorship. I know that Speaker Redmond strongly supports it as well as Representative Hudson, Hoffman, Daniels and Dyer. This is a chance to recycle a building that is in the heart of Wheaton. It is a historic landmark, it's a chance to rejuvenate the economy of Wheaton...to use a building that is already there. And to furnish employment to many...many people. I'm sure the RTA can transport the workers out to this building. I thank you very much for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevec, to explain his vote."

Matijevec: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is another case where the Representatives in the area are unanimous in what they want. This is ...in no way would conflict with the Illinois State Office Building, in Chicago. I think I heard the Sponsor of the Amendment say that it would be a place where they would put all of the facilities in DuPage County. DuPage County is the second most populous county in the State of Illinois. I think



that they also said that there would be a savings and we would still keep the courthouse when the new courthouse facilities are built. I really think it makes sense and I think some are voting against it thinking it would be in competition with the Chicago State Office Building and I don't see in any how it could."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative....Take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes', and 82 'nays', and 7 'present', and this Amendment ...Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 1672 fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Stuffle, amends Senate Bill 1672, as amended, in Section 3, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle, on Amendment #6."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members, Amendment #6 deals with those higher education projects which are alive in various Appropriation Bills before the General Assembly and have passed out of this House and the Senate during this Session. It includes authorization for each of the projects between those at the Governor's cutoff level and including down to and including the SIU Carbondale Law School. This Amendment is brought on behalf of the various institutions, the board of governors, University of Illinois and so on...Board of Higher Education, who have talked to the Capital Development Board. It's my understanding that the Capital Development Board's position is that the authorization Bill should include authorization for each of the projects for which Appropriation Bills are alive....So that the Governor can act on these with the appropriations and the authorizations accompanying each other."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen, on the Amendment."

Ebbesen: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: " He indicates he will."

Ebbesen: "Is there any understanding on this at all? Did you say they were listing everything between the priority...where they shut the priority off...the Board of Higher Education and then going on down to the Law School? On that priority list, is there any kind of an understanding...at all...with the Governor? He's the one with the pen."



Stuffle: "Not to my knowledge, there's not...on each of these. I'm saying that the Capital Development Board, as I understand it, suggested that we have the authorization Bill in such a posture that it accompanies the appropriation. In other words, we'd have the authorization there for the appropriations if they are approved. What I have done is include those projects between the original cutoff level and the Governor's program, down through and including the project for Southern Illinois University's Law School, at Carbondale."

Ebbesen: "Is...Is...What's the total between that 6 plus million dollar ...figure and everything intervening...where they cut it off.... how many dollars?"

Stuffle: "At the current time, Representative Ebbesen, there is 555 million dollar authorization for the particular program within which this Amendment would go. That's about 6.6 million above what's needed for the Governor's original forecasted level. In addition we would need, in this Amendment, about 18 million dollars to cover the various projects, and I'd be happy to go into what those are. There are a number of them, if anyone would like to know."

Ebbesen: "That's not necessary, but..."

Stuffle: "One of them is at Northern Illinois University."

Ebbesen: "Yes, I just wanted to make sure it was there. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle, to close."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, in urging an affirmative vote and with regard to the comments that I've made, this includes, among other projects, the program at Northern Illinois University, for one million dollars, a number of programs at Southern Illinois, Edwardsville, Southern Illinois, Carbondale; including the law school, which we passed out of here some time ago. Educational Television, for Western Illinois University, Representative McGrew's Bill. Three programs at the University of Illinois. A program for slightly over a million dollars at Eastern Illinois University, in Charleston, in the 53rd District. Chicago State University, a million...Four.... programs at ISU, Normal. One community college program, Oakton. And University of Illinois Law School building program, and Morton



Community College program. And I would urge an affirmative vote on Amendment #6."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle moves that Amendment #6 be adopted to Senate Bill 1672. Representative Leinenweber....He closed."

Leinenweber: "Oh, he already closed....Explain my vote, then."

Speaker Giorgi: "All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Leinenweber, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Leinenweber: "I would say, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that all of you keep worrying about the Illinois Conservative Union and their voting lists. - If there ever was an Illinois Conservative Union record vote, I believe this is it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 71 'ayes' and 44 'nays', and 3 voting 'present', and this Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Telcser, amends Senate Bill 1672, as Amendment, in Section 3."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, on Amendment 7."

Unknown: "What's it do?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #7 brings the appropriation authorization into balance....as it came out of the Senate and it adds 3.5 million to the authorization in order to accomplish that end...and...This is by the way in the state agency category. I appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no discussion, the motion is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #7...to Senate Bill 1672. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Jack Davis, amends Senate Bill 1672, as amended, in the title, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Davis, on Amendment 8."

Davis, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #8 would increase the school construction bonding authority by 10.6 million dollars to address the problem in seven special



consideration school districts that have been identified by the Illinois Office of Education..... around the state...for building school facilities and buildings that are currently involved in trying to house the vast 'unhousings' in those particular districts."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "On the Amendment?...Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to oppose Amendment #8. Let me, on the outset, admit that one of the 7 or 8 school dis... school buildings that he's talking about is located in my legislative district. That, notwithstanding, however, I think this is the extension of one of the ...a very bad precedent that we've set in the General Assembly, and that is simply, that the State of Illinois has become involved in the building process for local school districts. It seems to me that if...in reading the Constitution...larger moral responsibility, we should educate children in the State of Illinois. That does not include buildings. If the local school district wants to build a Taj Mahal with a swimming pool, et cetera, let them. If they feel that education can be in a much lesser building, where certainly it can, we, as Representatives in the General Assembly, have no business appropriating money for that purpose. Therefore, I ask for a 'no' vote on Amendment #8, to Senate Bill 1672."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady, on the Amendment."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Amendment. The Amendment was put in primarily because a Bill that came over from the Senate with this and a further part of the agreement which will be Amendment 9, was left in the Rules Committee and not taken out, inadvertently. They didn't realize they needed the substantive legislation along with the appropriation. But this does just part of what Amendment #9 will do totally. In its concept is where projects that were started and promised and I don't believe there is any Taj Mahals in here at all, it is necessary legislation. I urge your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Davis, to close."

Davis, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just in closing I might point out



that the seven districts have been researched thoroughly by the Illinois Office of Education. This authorization and a companion Appropriation Bill has the quasi support of the Governor at this point. We are hopeful that he will commit completely to it before too much longer. I might also add that I've talked to Representative McGrew about his objections and he has some merit to his objections to the Amendment, however, these particular districts do not have the tax base at this point to fund local construction simply because they are burgeoning and increasing suburban area enrollment schools and the tax base is two or three years behind catching up. The un...the unhoused liability of these schools ranges from 22 to 56 percent of the students being unhoused in these districts. I would solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jack Davis moves that Amendment #8 be adopted to Senate Bill 1672. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. Roll Call. Let's have a recorded Roll Call. All of those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 86 'ayes', and 44 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and the Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 1672 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, Brady, amends Senate Bill 1672, on page one and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady, on Amendment #9."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members. As I said, part of Amendment #9 we just passed on the Amendment #8, which was 10 million, 600 thousand for 7 downstate projects. There was a commitment last year when the total bonding authority was at 420 million dollars that in the division of one section of that, it would be divided 200 million downstate and 100 million Chicago area. What happened was when the project started downstate got involved in overspending and committed to 15 million extra in projects. There was a verbal commitment that Chicago would give up that portion of 15 million if they went and put it in the Bill this year so that downstate could proceed with its school projects. What this Bill is doing then in total is taking



the bonding authority...up that 15 million, adding the 10 million, 6 hundred....it was already added in, so we're not adding it, for the 7 existing downstate projects, and 10 million dollars for special ...special education construction projects. Changing the total bonding authority from 430 million to 455 million, 600 thousand; and I urge your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request for discussion, the question is, shall Amendment #9 be adopted to Senate Bill 1672? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and Amendment #9 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10, Conti, amends Senate Bill 1672, as amended, in the first paragraph of Section 3...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Conti, on Amendment #10."

Conti: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, the Amendment I have...requested to offer you is on Senate Bill 1672, has become necessary due to an oversight of the Senate Appropriations Staff in not including in Senate Bill 1606, to be amended into this subject piece of legislation. This is not an appropriation amount, but the authorization for the like amount contained in Senate Bill 1556. The Governor, in his budget message, did request 3.5 million dollars in new appropriations for the Space Needs Commission. This amount will enable us to continue the land acquisition program and allow for the purchase of parcels now under consideration. You will find his remarks concerning the funds on page 252 of the Illinois State Budget Report, for FY79. This has bipartisan support for the Space Needs Commission. It's to complete our purchase and acquisition of land around the State Capitol Complex. I urge your favorable support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #10 be adopted to Senate Bill 1672? All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #10 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #11, Telcser, amends Senate Bill 1672, as amended, in Section 3, in the first sentence, by increasing the underscored by one million, 800 thousand...."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, on Amendment #11."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #11 does ... regarding the House ... Committee action, brings into balance the Authorization Appropriation. The earlier Amendment took care of what action the Senate did, this will take care of what the House Appropriations Committee did. I urge your favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 1672. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #11 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #12, Telcser, amends Senate Bill 1672, on page one, and so forth..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, on Amendment #12."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #12 takes out the language referring to the Board of Governors, so the bonds are operable and I assume therefore salable. I appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any questions? The question is on the Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 1672. ... Representative McClain, on the Amendment #12."

McClain: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman kindly explain what language he is taking out of the Bill?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, would you explain what language?"

Telcser: "We're taking out the language that refers to the Board of Governors....leasing....so the Bonds are operable, so they can be sold."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Representative Madigan. Representative Tuerk. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "I had heard some rumor that one of these Amendments takes out some money for county jails. Now, is that....not in this Amendment? What Amendment is that? Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew. Do you seek recognition? On the Amendment?"

McGrew: "I'm sorry, I misunderstood. What did the Gentleman say? We're



taking out leasing privileges, under the Board of Governors?"

Speaker Giorgi: "We're taking out the language which refers to that, so we can put it somewhere else...If it remains here, then the bonds won't be able to be operable or sold or whatever..."

McGrew: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment 12 to Senate Bill 1672. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #12 is adopted. Any further Amendments? "

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #13, Adams, amends Senate Bill 1672, as amended, on page 1, line 14, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Adams, on Amendment 13."

Adams: "Yes, what I am attempting to do with Amendment 13 is to restore the categorical cuts that were made and to bring it back up to a funding level that will keep the conservation program going the way its been going the last number of years. I'd like to...I'll answer any questions anyone has."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? There being no request for discussion, the motion is on the Gentleman's adoption of Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 1672. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #13 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #14, Telcser, amends Senate Bill 1672, as amended, by deleting Paragraph b (1), and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #14 is really a very, very important Amendment. I think the Members of the House ought to give serious consideration as to how you're going to vote regarding this particular matter. The Bill, right now, provides for a number of things. Some of which are new functions or new authorizationsor it can be stated, new ways in which to use the bond authority as we know it today in the State of Illinois. We're talking now about money for local jails, money for private universities, and money for industrial parks. Now there's no one in this House who can deny that these three causes are indeed necessary



and worthy and perhaps ought to receive the consideration for those of us who manage the state budget, so to speak. But...if.. we defeat Amendment #14, and it in effect becomes law, we will then be embarking on some new functions for the use of the bond authority in our state. Now from time to time Members of both sides of the aisle have expressed very serious and grave concern about the bonded indebtedness of the state...and the burdens which that bonded indebtedness is going to place upon the future citizens of the State of Illinois. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House that is the crux of the issue. The issue is really not the question of funding local jails or industrial parks or what have you; the question in Amendment 14 is whether or not you want to increase the function and the purpose for issuing bonds in this state. I contend, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we take that course this afternoon we're going to be opening up another door, another flood-gate to further increase the bonded indebtedness in our state. Up to this point we have been able to maintain a very fine bond rating. And because of the management of the Capital Development Board, and I might add, because of the prudence of the Legislature over the years, we have been able to maintain that very fine high-grade bond rating. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I sincerely believe that increasing the function of our bonding authority could have very serious effects on the future bond rating for the state. If that should happen we could then be jeopardizing almost every project which we finance with bonds. So, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let me emphasize that that is the issue. I'm sure that opponents to my Amendment are going to be discussing the need for local jails, which I do not dispute, the need for industrial parks, which are good causes; but, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, many of those projects are financed privately, or they are financed by local government. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we are consistently fighting the battle in this Assembly of who is going to pay for the various functions of government. Proponents or defenders or advocates of local government are constantly coming to the General Assembly and seeking to relieve them-



selves of a variety of responsibilities and shift those responsibilities to the state. That is one of the things which will happen if Amendment #14 is defeated. The state government will be taking on functions which have been and perhaps ought to continue to be taken on by local government. We will be adding to the state budget the funds necessary to retire these additional bonds. But more importantly, and I repeat myself, more importantly, we are adding a new purpose and a new responsibility for bond financing in the State of Illinois. I contend that that is a danger to the bond ratings for everything in the state. I say to you that the cost to General Revenue Fund in the State will be great because of the necessary cost to retire the bonds which will have to be issued in order to perform these functions. So, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I hope that we will receive a necessary number of votes to adopt Amendment #14."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to the Gentleman's Amendment for the following reasons: What the Assistant Minority Leader attempts to do with this Amendment is to remove additional bonding authorities that were added in the Executive Committee. He attempts to remove authorizations for what I consider to be worthwhile projects, worthwhile endeavors by the State of Illinois. The Bill, in its current condition, proposes that the Capital Development Board would receive 20 million dollars of authorizations to provide for a program of assistance to local county jails, which has been found to be not in compliance with standards established by the Federal Government. And because of restrictions upon their local taxing abilities will be unable to finance the improvements required under the federally imposed standards. Also, this Amendment attempts to remove authorization for a proposed new program which I consider to be extremely worthwhile. This new program would provide that the Capital Development Board could engage itself in a program of capital construction at private colleges and universities throughout the state. Today the State of Illinois provides annual operating assistance to



private colleges and universities. The students at these schools are the recipients of grants from the Illinois State Scholarship Commission, and Representative McClain now proposes that the Capital Development Board finance capital construction at these fine schools which have in the past contributed so much to the educational excellence of the State of Illinois and which I am sure all of us realize are an essential element, if our state is to continue to be the cradle of educational excellence that it is today. Lastly, the Committee has proposed that there be an authorization for the construction of industrial parks. This program has been proposed by Representative Younge, all of us are aware of the dire need presented to this Assembly by Representative Younge. And I'm sure none of us would wish to deny to her and to the people of East St. Louis, the ability to benefit from state subsidy just as all other sections of our state benefit from state subsidy. The Assistant Minority Leader argues that we ought not to include the additional authorizations proposed by the committee because we would then possibly impair the bonding ability and the bonding rating of the State of Illinois. On that score I suggest two rebuttals. Number one, the Capital Development Board itself has already proposed 20 million dollars of increased authorizations in this Bill alone. So as the Gentleman suggests that we ought not have increased authorizations, he as the Sponsor of this Bill - although he doesn't tell you this - is proposing other additional increased authorizations that he in his Leadership position has doled out to those that he considers to be favorite, for one reason or another, and I won't ask him to divulge those reasons. He can keep those to himself and to his fine Minority Leader for their private meetings in their private offices. Lastly, I suggest to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that the authorization to the Capital Development Board certainly will not affect the bond rating of the State of Illinois. The bond rating of our state is affected by bond sales authorized by the Governor in conjunction with the Capital Development Board and actually put out to market and bought on the open market. Simply because there's authorizations for additional sales will not impair



our bond rating. It is the actual sale, which can only be authorized by the Governor and the Capital Development Board. So after the fine Assistant Minority Leader informs the Governor of these problems with the bond rating, I am sure the Governor would never...never issue any proposals for additional sales including the authorizations that are already placed in the Bill by the fine Sponsor. And for all of these reasons, I urge all of you to oppose this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mudd, on the Amendment."

Mudd: "I would yield to Representative Dunn and explain my vote later."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dunn, on the Amendment."

Dunn, J.: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to echo the comments of the Majority Leader. What we're asked to do here today is to take off - to take off an Amendment that was added by the Executive Committee of this House, to this Bill. The Amendment that I refer to is the Amendment that would provide the bonding authorization for county jails. This Amendment is necessary as an important element of this package. Without this package we're going to have to go home and face our local people and tell them that one more time, one more time we have a mandate. A mandate from the state, from the State Department of Corrections, which mandate tells us that we have to do certain things to our county jails to improve them. These are jails not in one specific locality, but all over the State of Illinois. There are certain jails which have been mandated and there are many many more about to be mandated. Do you want to go home and face your people and tell them that you have received a mandate and that you are not willing to do anything about it, and that you are willing to leave them with only one alternative to solve the problems and that is to raise local property taxes. Property taxes are the subject of this General Assembly right now, and a vote for this Amendment is a vote to increase property taxes. All of you who are voting for Proposition 13, those of you who want to save property taxes, want to keep them down, want to go home and have good rapport with your people, better vote red on this Amendment. Defeat this Amendment and provide the necessary bonding authority which will be minimum, which will be



reasonable, which can only be approved after proper application, to both the Department of Corrections and the Capital Development Board. Bonding only for capital items to meet the mandates of your state. Go home and tell your people you've acted responsibly. Vote red on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Meyer, on the Amendment. Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, I call for a division of the question."

Speaker Giorgi: "That motion isn't proper at this time, Representative Meyer. Representative Tuerk. Is the motion in writing, Mr. Meyer? Is the motion in writing, Mr. Meyer?"

Meyer: "No, it isn't."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you put it in writing? Representative Tuerk, on the motion, on the Amendment."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I believe I support Representative Meyer on the division of the issue."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Tuerk, are you going to speak to the Amendment?"

Tuerk: "Yes....I'll speak to the Amendment, but I just wanted to make that clear. The reason I said what I said is because all three things have been lumped into one Amendment....and the maker of the motion of this Amendment puts me in a very precarious position.... in that I could possibly support some things, what he is attempting to do, but I think my position has been made clear being the Sponsor of House Bill 297, which just passed the Senate today, and what my position on the floor in many occasions has been, relative to mandated programs. I think what Representative Dunn has said - prior to my comment - is that the State Department of Correction has mandated the program to counties throughout this state, that we have to make some improvements to the jails within certain counties of this state. Therefore, what this amounts to is, is what he wants to do is take out a certain amount of money, namely 20 million dollars, that would assist the various counties, Peoria included, and many other counties throughout this state, I cannot support this Amendment to strip those funds from this Bill and, therefore, I'd have to oppose the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Meyer,....Representative Meyer, again...."



Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, as per your instructions, I have filed a written motion and I would like a division of the question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Parliamentarian invites...The Parliamentarian has instructed me that the Amendment is not divisible. You have to either move the Amendment up or down. You cannot divide the Amendment. It's a Senate Amendment, that's all there is to it. The motion is out of order. Representative W. D. Walsh, for what point? Excuse me, Mr. Walsh. Mr. Meyer, do you understand the ruling? Your motion is out of order."

Meyer: "I don't see the Parliamentarian there."

Speaker Giorgi: "Never mind, take my word for it."

Meyer: "Well, now wait. Now, Mr. Speaker, why isn't it divisible? I'd like to have a rationale and reasoning for your ruling, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "We do not divide an Amendment. This is a Senate Amendment...."

Meyer: "I'm dividing the question, Mr. Speaker, I'm not dividing the Amendment..."

Speaker Giorgi: "If you want to...If you want to change the thrust of the Amendment...file your own Amendment, but you cannot divide this Amendment. You can file a later Amendment, or you can have the Senate do some...concurrence, but you cannot divide this Amendment. That's the final ruling. Mr. Walsh, for what ...do you arise?"

Meyer: "Can you multiply it?"

Speaker Giorgi: "You can divide or subtract..."

Meyer: "That's what I want to do, is divide it..."

Speaker Giorgi: "I didn't say that. Representative Matijevec, for what reason do you arise? Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, since there is strong feeling that ...something ought to be done in the way of dividing and I don't dispute your ruling. Why don't you take this out of the record so that those who feel it should be divided can make up another Amendment? Would you agree to that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Walsh, I suggest you speak to the Sponsor who is Telcser, the Minority Leader."



Walsh: "Why don't you ask him from there?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, Mr. Walsh asked me to ask you what you just heard."

Telcser: "CDB wants to go. I'll bring it back to Second, if he wants..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Would you walk over to Mr. Walsh? Walk over to him. Representative Pierce...Pierce, on this point."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I hope all these delays won't make us late for the Victor DeGrazia Testimonial Banquet, this evening, that we're having at 5 o'clock, at Forum Thirty. I want to be there on time."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm trying to expedite things, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Vic for Governor. DeGrazia all the way."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further discussion on Amendment...then? Representative Schlickman, do you want..."

Schlickman: "We want Walker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser? Excuse me. Still on the issue of Amendment 14. Do you want to speak on the issue? Okay, Representative Telcser. Thank you. Representative Telcser.....to close."

Telcser: "To close? Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it's unfortunate that the Majority Leader, in his deal to make a partisan issue out of this, didn't pay attention to what I had to say. The issue regarding Amendment #14, is whether or not we want to create new categories of bond financing in the State of Illinois. That's the entire issue. Now I know that Members have feelings about one or two or perhaps all three of the questions that are embodied in that central issue; but I say to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that adding three new categories to bond financing in Illinois is going to ultimately place an unbelievable financial burden upon the future taxpayers of this state; and that, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is really the central issue. It is not whether or not you want to help a school or industrial park or what ever. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, make no mistake about this, Mr. Speaker, the Majority Leader is attempting, once again, to take away from his unit of local government their responsibilities and their responsibility to pay for those functions.



There's no question about that. That is the thrust of the activity which the Majority Leader engages in throughout the Session, throughout the Session. And he has no regard, whatsoever, for the state's fiscal condition or the state's budget, but all he wants to do is to protect the home rule powers and prerogatives of his particular home rule unit and at the same time to relieve himself and his friends from the responsibilities of running their particular local unit of government. And, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that's the issue, that's where it is, and I hope, Mr. Speaker, that enough Members will vote for Amendment #14."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1672. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Mudd, to explain his vote."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm going to speak to one portion of this particular Amendment and that is the bonding for the state's contribution to local government for mandated jail projects. I think this is...we've talked for a week and I think that Representative Dunn mentioned earlier, this is a project or a program which we are identified with in mandating that these things be done to local government or that they should abide by our regulations. It's going to be a great expense on local government to build these types of facilities. I think that we should share in the burden of that cost. And the other day I mentioned, I may be one of the big spenders in the House, but I try to direct my spending toward those projects that would have a definite impact on real estate taxes in the local community. I don't necessarily agree that possibly some...in some areas we should have new jails, but I agree that if we mandate it, by the state, that we should share in it. Some of the counties that are mandated to build schools in the State of Illinois under the mandated program, are Brown, Monroe, Champaign, Madison, Macon, Peoria, Menard, Rock Island, Fulton, Jersey Counties. So this is a state-wide effort, it's not pork barrel Amendment or program for any county, it's to support something that we've mandated, to take a little pressure off of



these local communities on their real estate taxes and I ask all of you to consider voting 'no', give the Governor and the state the opportunity to make an investment in the local real estate taxes by this option. Thank you..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk.

On this question there's 64 'ayes', and 87 'nays', and 2 voting 'present', and the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Telcser...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, one moment.... Representative Walsh, on Amendment #15?"

Walsh: "No. I wonder if I could have leave to change my vote from 'no', to 'yes', on the last Amendment?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to change his vote from 'no', to 'yes','no', to 'aye'....? Objection? Objections have been heard. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would object to Mr. Walsh being allowed to change his vote. He knows that he has voted against private colleges and universities and he wants to go back to those people and tell them that he did vote for them when he didn't. I don't think it's fair to the Membership for one Member to be allowed to do this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Walsh, on the Motion to change your vote."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, if I may respond to the distinguished Majority Leader I want to do exactly the opposite. I want to vote against the private colleges and universities and want to change my vote to accomplish that. So he'll like that. I want to change from 'no', to 'yes'...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes. Okay. All right. Representative Madigan, on this point."

Madigan: "I remove my objections."

Speaker Giorgi: "Okay. From 'no', to 'yes'. Representative Mugalian, wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. Is there any objection? Representative Steczo, wants to be recorded voting 'no'. No objections. They are recorded. 15....Amendment #15."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #15, Telcser, amends Senate Bill 1672, as amended, on page one, line 14, and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "The final Amendment. Representative Telcser, on Amendment #15."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #15 brings the authorization up to the appropriation level for Conservation, Mental Health, the Port Districts, and Food for Century Three. I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan, the Majority Leader, on Amendment #15."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Madigan: "Mr. Telcser, does this Amendment propose an increase in the authorization or a decrease in the authorization?"

Telcser: "It's an increase, Representative."

Madigan: "In which category?"

Telcser: "Conservation, Mental Health, Port District and Food for Century Three."

Madigan: "I see....."

Telcser: "You sure you don't want me to list them again?"

Madigan: "Would you be willing to render an opinion that this...what effect this Amendment would have on our bond rating?"

Telcser: "Well...Representative, ...Representative the...the authorization and appropriation for these functions are not new categories, as you propose. These are functions which we have been engaged in for many...many years. As a result, Representative, it is my opinion that these would not affect the bond ratings of the bonds issued in the state, simply because we have had a proven track record in dealing with these categories.....Don't run away. Where are you going?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow, on the Amendment."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Darrow: "Representative Telcser, would you explain the Food for Century Three program? In particular, are there funds in there for Western Illinois University?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Did you hear that, Mr. Telcser?"



Telcser: "This is not....it does not deal with Western Illinois University. As I understand it, it's the U of I."

Darrow: "No, it deals with Food for Century Three, and I was wondering if some of those funds would be directed at Western Illinois University?"

Telcser: "No, they would not, Representative."

Darrow: "Where, specifically, would the Food for Century Three funds be spent?"

Telcser: "It's at the University of Illinois, and at Southern, and Western may have a project, but I don't believe that this deals with that. This deals, as I understand, with the U of I and Southern."

Darrow: "Well, there were supposedly some funds available for Western Illinois and for an area in Mercer County and I believe in Representative Schisler's District, but evidently they've deleted those. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mudd, on Amendment 15."

Mudd: "Yes, would the Sponsor yield...for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Mudd: "Representative Telcser, I've been working in regard to the debt service bonding authorization we have today, and it's been indicated by the Governor's Office that they don't intend to release any of the debt service bonds because this may jeopardize their bond rating. How much...bonds are authorized in this Amendment, how much additional bonding authorization is in this Amendment?"

Telcser: "Approximately 3 million, Representative."

Mudd: "Do you feel that this will have any impact at all, if we authorize and spend these bonds on the debt service bonds that ...that we presently have...authorization for?"

Telcser: "No."

Mudd: "Do you feel that if we authorize these bonds that the Governor will write...issue these bonds to provide for these three agencies?"

Telcser: "Well, Representative, it's difficult for me to answer for someone else and I just wouldn'tI wouldn't be able to answer that question."

Mudd: "Isn't....isn't..."



Telcser: "What we're simply doing here is matching the appropriation."

Mudd: "Excuse me....I didn't hear you."

Telcser: "What we're doing...with this Amendment is matching the appropriation. Raising the authority up to the appropriation for these various agencies...."

Mudd: "Okay. That's fine. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr....Representative Telcser, to close."

Telcser: "I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #15 to Senate Bill 1672. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. ...Third Reading. 1674.....Senate Bill 1674... Senate Bill....1704, excuse me. Senate Bill 1704."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1704, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Higher Education Cooperation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 failed in Committee. Floor Amendment #2, Brady, amends Senate Bill 1704, as amended, on page 1, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, first let me say that I believe some of the Amendments that have been distributed...say Amendment #1 instead of Amendment #2. I wonder if that could be amended on its face, with leave of the Body?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave to amend it on its face? Are there any objections? No objections, the Amendment is changed on its face. Continue, Mr. Brady."

Brady: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 1704 allows public institutions of higher education to participate in programs of interinstitutional cooperation with not for profit corporations. Currently, what we have, Ladies and Gentlemen, is public institutions of higher education can establish and participate in programs of inter-institutional cooperation with each other. This Bill, without this Amendment, will allow any institution of higher education to extend beyond that and go into participation with a not for profit



corporation in educational television. I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we need this Amendment. What this Amendment says simply, is that no institution can enter into those kind of agreements, which agreements will then setup an outgrowth corporation of any kind that would allow them to extend beyond what they can do under their existing statutes that we submitted here. If we do not put this Amendment on, not for profit corporations can be set up, corporate outgrowth can take place, and these not for profit corporations can get in situations where they go into debt and the State of Illinois will be liable for it. They can go into debt and participate in activities well beyond the statutes that the universities have for themselves. I submit to you that without this Amendment we are opening up a Pandora's Box in setting up public television where it's good or it's bad, wherever, where a university an institution of higher education anywhere in this state can then participate with it, either have members on the board or not have members on the board, and not even have control of what that public educational television entity does. We need this Amendment to stem the corporate outgrowth that is possible in our higher educational institutions today and I urge your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain, on the Amendment."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

McClain: "Mr. Brady, does this only deal with educational television?"

Brady: "As the Bill is written right now, educational television is what is being added to the Act. And up until now, the universities could only participate in not for profit corporations or institutions which they, themselves, totally controlled in the higher education realm. Educational television will go beyond that, it will go into entities that are not controlled by universities."

McClain: "I understand that. Does it in any way ...restrict or affect or amend the Illinois Community College Act?"

Brady: "No, it doesn't but let me say, in Chicago for example, the community college system there, along with some of the university systems, are trying to put together a not for profit corporation



beyond them to go into educational television and it will certainly restrict them from allowing that institution they're setting up to go beyond what we can do in education."

McClain: "All right. Now, that...you're leaving me just where I would like. So let's say, that we...in Western Illinois we have what we call consortium, whereby the local community college purchases, they have no campus, purchase services from a composite, 5 or 6 other colleges and universities, for educating kids. Now, would this, in any way, restrict that contractual agreement between the community college and those other schools?"

Brady: "If, in fact, the contractual agreement is set forth as such, that the university is not entering into an arrangement, to participate in funding or helping a consortium, that can then go well beyond the university in its structure as far as doing things. Because, if we don't restrict it to that point, then the funds coming through this Legislature of the Higher Education Corporation Act can go to funding a given public television entity who decides for themselves what, in fact, they can do and what debt they can get into."

McClain: "Well, then, let's ...let's go further..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain, would you like to speak to the Bill?"

McClain: "Well, just two more questions, if I could, Mr. Speaker? Let's say that that consortium then purchased mobile homes and took those mobile homes out into rural areas and used those homes as outreach student centers to teach classes. Would that be in violation... would that be outside the statute's limitations?"

Brady: "I don't have the statute in front of me as to what institutions of higher learning can do in the State of Illinois, but if in fact it is and you want to do that we ought to amend the statutes for the institutions of higher learning in this state. We should not create outgrowths beyond them so that they can do whatever they want; whether it be a worthy or an unworthy cause."

McClain: "Well, then, you must know something that I don't know and I fear the Amendment. You're probably 100 percent correct and it's



probably laudable, but for some reason I fear the Amendment. If I...
 ...Does the Amendment have to go on today...for us to ...Do we have
 to pass this Bill out by midnight tomorrow night? Is that the rule
 of the House? Is there any way that we can find this out? Can you
 get an agreement with the Speaker to come back to it in half an
 hour so that we can find out if indeed that kind of an Amendment
 would restrict ...our unusual circumstances of consortium?"

Brady: I would be glad to wait as long as you want on it. We moved to
 discharge Committee on the basis that we would take this Amendment
 up and it wasn't solved then, it's been a matter pending for almost
 a week and a half now and no one has attempted to come forward and..."

McClain: "Well, that's my fault. I mean....you know, if you want to
 proceed.....well, that's your right..."

Brady: "I certainly don't have to proceed, Representative, but it's my
 Amendment to Representative McGrew's Bill. I'll be glad to wait if
 you want to seek an answer."

McClain: "Could you give us ...half an hour?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew...the Sponsor of the Bill..."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
 Frankly, I think that at this point we've reached an impasse of the
 magnitude that we're not going to be able to solve in half an hour
 and I would really prefer to take the issue at hand and vote it up
 or down as the House would see fit."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Waddell."

McGrew: "May I proceed on the Amendment, Sir?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, no, Mr....there's a few ahead of you, Mr. McGrew,
 If you....you're the Sponsor of the Bill....you can wait a little
 bit. Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Waddell. Representative McClain, to
 speak to the Bill."

McClain: "Well, Mr....Mr. Speaker, because of that...if I could speak on
 the Amendment for a second? In Western Illinois, we're the only
 community college system in the State of Illinois that does not
 have a campus, of sorts - I think Decatur, also - we have a



consortium. Now that consortium purchases services from a myriad of services from other colleges both in Missouri and in Illinois. This Amendment to this Bill, which is probably laudable, I fear because I don't exactly know what kind of restriction it places on this unusual corporation, the John Wood Community College. I'm sure that if maybe we had discussions a long time maybe I can get the answers resolved, but at this time I fear the Amendment and because of those unusual circumstances in western Illinois, I would ask you to please vote 'present' anyway, on the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew, the Sponsor of the Bill."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Representative Brady is attempting to do is frankly laudable, however, it...literal interpretation of the Amendment leads me to believe that, frankly, we're talking about a subject area much...much greater than this. If you'll look at the Amendment it says that...'no public institution of higher education may participate in the establishment and operation under this section, with any other insitution or not for profit organization where an entity organized for the governance or administration of the program is authorized to exercise any power or function not specifically granted by statute to the governing board of the public institution.' This goes way...way beyond... public education in and of itself. Let me give you a quick example of an area that has been greatly expanded under the HECA Act that we are now...the Higher Education Cooperative Act...that we are now discussing. In Black Hawk Junior College District, in St. Louis...or, in Rock Island area, there does not exist any public four-year institution. That is the largest metropolitan area in the United States without a four-year institution...a public four-year institution, excuse me. Because of that, Western Illinois University, along with many... many other universities including the University of Illinois; Northern, ISU, University of Iowa, and Iowa State, as well as private colleges of Marycrest of Augustana and others...have organized what they call the Quad Cities Graduate Center. They are in the process of sending professors and frankly have hundreds and hundreds



and I don't know the numbers...it's probably even thousands of students up there now, that are taking courses toward a degree as well as toward a master's degree. That would all be deemed illegal if we adopt this Amendment. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm very, very sure it is not the intent of the Sponsor or the House of Representatives that we are going to disallow that type of cooperation that is going on. That is what we would be disallowing by the adoption of this Amendment. Now the Sponsor of the Amendment is frankly trying to curb some of the corporate outgrowth of universities...and perhaps we do have to have ...do have to address ourselves to that situation. I do not deny that. However, at this time it is a meat-ax approach that goes way beyond what I think that we can do at this time and I vehemently ask that you do not adopt this Amendment. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, on the Amendment."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady?..."

Leinenweber: "...When he gets off the phone.."

Speaker Giorgi: "On the question.."

Leinenweber: "Are you off the phone yet?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Leinenweber would like to ask you a question."

Leinenweber: "Representative Brady, is the gist of your Amendment, that so as to amend Senate Bill 1704 would permit public institutions of higher education for purposes of educational television with not for profit...to cooperate...for purposes of educational television with not for profit corporations, is to prohibit these public institutions of higher education from entering into agreement to do something which they are not yet allowed to do under the ...the powers granted to them under the ...managementit would be....under the Bill that ...the next Amendment, the Act that...Amendment #3, which is Representative McGrew's Amendment, seeks to give them power to do. Is that the gist of your ..."

Brady: "Let me see if I can run it by you once more, Representative.

We now have a Higher Education Cooperation Act. And in that



institutions and higher education can get together and form a cooperative venture, but that's totally interinstitutional, between higher educations together. What is being done here, with this Bill, is setting up another category and saying, 'We can now enter into agreements and contracts with educational television organizations that are not for profit.' I submit to you, public television corporation, okay, that's not for profit. Now, the problem with this is, we're going beyond the university arena here. We're not controlling this public television entity, or this not for profit educational television corporation, and yet we're entering into an agreement to help finance it because we'll be allowed to expend state monies under the Higher Education Cooperation Act, should this go on. We have no control, then, over the debts that this not for profit institution may run up, which will be the debt of Illinois, or we have no control over whether or not it goes well beyond the scope of the statutes that we operate our institutions of higher education under...."

Leinenweber: "The second question. Is this the same Bill, that the House Sponsor indicated did nothing more than ratify what was going on right now, when it was sprung from the table a day or two ago?"

Brady: "Is that for the Sponsor of the Amendment....asking that?"

Leinenweber: "No, it's for you.It seems to me that was the Bill he said...this Bill did absolutely nothing...other than what..."

Brady: "I don't remember his exact wording, but I said at the time that I would move to discharge if we would take up this Amendment. There was a misunderstanding in the Committee on it. I submit that I think that this Amendment could make the Bill palatable for all of us."

Leinenweber: "Well very....very...I don't know if it will make it palatable for all of us, but it certainly makes the Bill what was...what is a very bad Bill slightly better. So I certainly would urge that Representative Brady's Amendment #2 be adopted."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wikoff, on the Amendment."

Wikoff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker....Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."



Wikoff: "Representative Brady, does the ...or...do the units of higher education support this Amendment?"

Brady: "The only thing I have heard is from Representative McGrew, who says that Western, they want it the way he has the Bill, and Representative Satterthwaite, behind me, I don't know whether I'm at liberty to say that or not, but she said it publicly to me that the University of Illinois, President's Office, called and said that they would not like the Amendment on the Bill."

Wikoff: "...Mr....May I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Wikoff: "I think that statement is very true and I think you'll find most of the other ...units of higher education do oppose this, because, very simply, if this Amendment does come on it's going to be the end of the Higher Education Cooperation Act that's presently been working in very good state and I would oppose the Bill and urge a no vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, on the Amendment."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Leverenz: "Did this come out of the Legislative Audit Commission? And perhaps you can enlighten us as to the suggestion made relative to the organization that might be involved here and the purchase of data processing equipment, contracts entered into, loans made for which they did not or they had questionable authority in that area? I believe it was that they had no authority for which they did, thus what you are doing here would doubly reinforce our position that they will live within the statutes that they have. Is that correct?"

Brady: "That is correct, Representative."

Leverenz: "And the Audit Commission or the Auditor General, which is probably the genesis of the Amendment that you have. What were the specific problems that did come up, relative to data processing equipment and contracts?"

Brady: "Well, in the data processing, I think Representative Waddell is up on the floor and I thought he was going to address himself to



that. Maybe we ought to let him do that since that's in the purview of data information."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Waddell....on Amendment 2."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to inform you of two things. Number one, your guarantee of the legislative process, and your passing on the budget as they exist. Consortium is not new, they've had it for some time, as long as it has operated under our mandate that is in the law today. What we are finding here are people who enter into a consortium without responsibility. In other words, if you have two or more institutions that go into a long-term contract that you have no control over, that goes into succeeding years, then the responsibility is not put on anybody's shoulders....because they can just withdraw from the consortium. So what you have here is a convenient mode so that they can go and incur debt that you do not allow. What you're saying here is that the parameters under the consortium, right now, are great enough and McGrew should not have any fear of it. They can make those, but what they should not be allowed to do is long-term contract that binds us when we have not approved of it. And I think that's the substance and I would go for the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Vinson, on the Amendment. Vinson."

Vinson: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to urge the defeat of the Amendment. I was in Committee the day the Amendment was discussed and there was no systematic discussion of what relationships we may be harming with this Amendment. There's no doubt that we ought to adopt a systematic state policy on corporate outgrowth. I think Mr. Brady should be commended for raising and developing that issue, but before we do it we ought to know what relationships, what organizations and so forth we're damaging and there's been no systematic testimony on that subject. The language of the Amendment goes far beyond the simple question of television. It could harm a number of relationships institutions throughout the state have engaged in. And before we infringe on those relationships, I think, we just ought to know what we're voting on. Therefore, I urge the defeat of the Amendment."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz, were you through with your line of questioning? Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I was a member of the Audit Commission and this was the problem that did come up there and it was just that it was pointed out that contracts had been entered into by the consortium for data processing equipment, et cetera, for long periods of time. It's probably without malice. It did circumvent the Purchasing Act or legislative authority for which to act. And this would merely put that into line and I stand in support of the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think the Legislative Audit Commission should be commended for having found an area that needs to be addressed. However, I agree with Representative Vinson's statement that this Amendment is really very far-sweeping and it may indeed cover a number of areas that the Legislative Audit Commission has not yet determined as needing to be addressed. I think the University of Illinois is not in opposition to having some kind of restrictive language drawn and will cooperate with the Legislature in trying to do that. However, I think, we'd be putting the cart before the horse if we tried to pass this Amendment first before we knew exactly what needed to be addressed by specific statutory authority so that the universities could continue with the kinds of programs they already have in existence. I don't think we would want to eliminate all of those without at least identifying them and finding out what their worth might be. I remember, for instance, as we were discussing, last year, the possibility of the community colleges having greater computer capacity than they needed for their own uses, they were very anxious to have the time on those computers and the programs for those computers shared with other corporate entities, so that the cost could be shared and indeed it would be a more economical use of our state dollars going into those community college computer centers. I assume there will be a number of other such areas that will be involved in both the community colleges and the universities. We



do not really object, in the sense of having legislation drawn up to deal with these problems. This, however, is really going too far too soon, before we can have specific statutory language drawn up to address the multiple kinds of problems that we may have to address and I urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, you're...and Members of the General Assembly, you're seeing most Members of the Legislative Audit Commission stand up here just aghast at this / ^{end} run on the part of the Gentleman from western Illinois. What are these universities afraid of, why are they afraid of following the law? If they want to change the law let them change it. All this Amendment says is that the universities corporate outgrowth cannot do anything that the university can't do. If it's illegal it ought to be illegal regardless of whether the guy has a university president's hat on or some private corporation's ...vest on. There should be no debate on this whatsoever. I ...do I cannot speak to the specifics of past indiscretions on the part of the universities. Representative Friedrich can speak to that, Representative Brady can speak to that, but I can speak to you with regard to the reaction of the university presidents and corporate controller types who have sat in the Legislative Audit Commission slipping and dodging and ducking a straight forward guideline. They are looking for loopholes, they have something to hide. Now is the time to plug those loopholes with this Amendment. Vote 'yes', please."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen, on the Amendment."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know I represent and live in a university community and I'm going to stand in favor of this Amendment. You know we go back into the daysand I remember when the universities weren't in the greeting card business and the hotel-motel business, the restaurant business, the book business, the clothing business, talk about the corporate outgrowth, now we're getting into something which I think is meaningful as far as education...delivery of a quality education is concerned, however, I think the thrust of this Amendment is



excellent, it's absolutely excellent. Now I know what it's going to do to the Bill as far as ...when it goes back to the Senate for concurrence, probably Senator Wooten will reject it. It'll go to a Conference Committee, then maybe we can buy the time that Representative McClain and others would like..to..to attempt, at least, to resolve something meaningful...meaningful as far as the basic Bill is concerned. I certainly would appreciate it if everyone votes affirmatively on the Amendment...if nothing else to form it into a confer...to get it into a Conference Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword moves the previous question. The motion is on, should the main question be put. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the motion carries. Representative Brady, to close."

Brady: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, thank you for your indulgence on this subject. I think it is a very...very important subject that we're taking up. It is unfortunate when the universities or those who represent them have to stand up and say that we may do something which will inhibit what they're doing now, because if they're doing something now, this inhibits, they are breaking the law. If we in the State of Illinois, in the General Assembly, submit that the university system should be allowed to break the law, I can see that we would vote this down. If we think that they should live by the existing statute, this will only keep them living by the existing statute. I urge your support of this motion. If the Senate Sponsor won't go along with it it will give the time that Representative McClain talked about. I urge your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McCourt, to explain histake...have... The question is on the adoption of Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 1704. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative McCourt, to explain his vote, for one minute."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sure my



colleague from the 11th District has laudable reasons for wanting this Amendment, but at the same time we in Evanston have a serious problem like they do in Quincy, we would like to have a contractual community college, or at least the opportunity to explore this. It would appear to me with this Amendment we would not have that opportunity. Seems to me that this is not an emergency item and it could well wait for....until next Session and at that time be considered..after we have more time to resolve all the questions this had on it. I would appreciate a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, apparently there's sufficient votes to support Representative Brady, but I want to tell you that these universities have spun some webs that are almost impossible to follow. They've entered into agreements and agreements into agreements to where they now say, 'Well I'm sorry about that, but you can't audit any more because it's no longer public funds.' It's the only one group in the state that I know that's arrogant enough to go into this kind of an arrangement and appear before the Legislative Audit Commission and indicate they don't have to answer the questions. I think it's time, with all the volume of money that goes into the universities that somebody ought to be able to look and know where the money's going and they ought to be responsible for it. I appreciate your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew, to explain his vote, for one minute."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There's been a great deal of misunderstanding. If anyone will open the Revised Statutes to Chapter 144, Paragraph 283, you will find it specifically says, any public institution of higher education may participate in the establishment and operation of programs of inter-institutional cooperation with others....et cetera...et cetera. That's what you're amending. Today you're standing here saying that's illegal. There is no way, I repeat, no way that the universities can back off all the programs that they have been doing under the HECA Act... the Higher



Education Cooperative. Right now we have thousands of students going to Rock Island in a cooperative effort from both states, from universities all around the State of Illinois, as well as Iowa. If this Amendment is adopted there is no way....I repeat, no way that can continue to happen, because this Amendment specifically states that every governing board, be it the University of Illinois, be it the board of regents, the board of governors, or any other. Every board...every board has to be amended so that they would specifically have the right to do that. I don't believe that's the intention of the General Assembly. I don't really think that's the intention of the Sponsor. We have some problems, we are willing to work those out, but we cannot live with a meat-ax approach. This Bill cannot see the day of light....the light of day, excuse me, with this Amendment on it. Please change some votes to 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jones, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Jones, J. David: "I rise in support of this Amendment because as I understand the thrust of it, this prevents colleges and such institutions of being involved in private enterprise actions in which they go in competition with other existing businesses and who were raising the taxes now to pay for support of the institution. It's a very fair...unfair situation where this is being done in many areas and not just in this proposition, as such. I urge your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question...87 'ayes', 44 'nays', 3 voting 'present', Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 1704 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, McGrew, amends Senate Bill 1704, on page 1, by deleting lines one and two and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew is the Sponsor of the Amendment. Representative Leinenweber, for what reason do you arise?"

Leinenweber: "I question the germaneness of Amendment #3."

Speaker Giorgi: "Where's my Parliamentarian? One moment. Representative McGrew, on the germaneness...question. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "First of all, Mr. Speaker, there was a misunderstanding...as the



original Committee Amendment, I would just question whether this is appropriately labeled Amendment #3 or not."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, on the same point."

Leinenweber: "Well, I never did make the point ... other than it was germane. I was just called to the attention that the Bill itself amends the Higher Education Cooperation Act. And the Amendment seems to amend an Act and to amend certain Acts in respect to educational television.

Speaker Giorgi: "The Parliamentarian informs me it is germane. Same subject. It is germane. Representative McGrew, continue on the Amendment #3."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the exact wording that Representative Totten removed from the appropriation Bill for the Capital Development Bonding Act and I would move for its adoption. It specifically limits that procedure for the educational television, it talks about land lease and so on and so forth for the purposes of educational television."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, do you want to speak to the Amendment?"

Leinenweber: "Yeah. Mr. Speaker, I think this is the same Bill, I think we defeated or the Governor vetoed or got lost in the Senate...he's trying to add that. Senate Bill 1704 apparently was to permit the institution of higher education to enter into a cooperation agreement with educational television with not for profit corporations. Now, Amendment #3 seems to grant power to the board to lease land or structures for location of educational television facilities owned by the board...and also lease educational television facilities owned by the board to not for profit corporations in the field of educational television. Now, by recollections, when Senate Bill 1704 was sprung from the table, just a few days ago, the Sponsor said that this was merely...a merely Bill, which was to permit certain educational institutions or to ratify certain Acts of educational institutions which there was some question of legality upon. Now, with Amendment #3, we're going with the entire subject of whether or not our institutions of higher education ought to lease



land or structures for location of these educational television facilities. It's a completely different Act. The Parliamentarian indicates to me, privately, that it's the same subject matter, therefore, it's germane. But they're two completely different Acts, different subjects, and I think it's contrary to what the Sponsor of this Bill indicated he intended with this Bill when he asked us to give him 107 votes to bring from the table. I think a lot of people probably voted on the basis of what he said at that time, not on what he's trying to do now. I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady, on the Amendment."

Brady: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me...Excuse me...The Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an announcement ...to announce three former outstanding Members of the House of Representatives. Former Representative Harry Semrow, Joe Fanta, and Jesse White."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady, on the Amendment."

Brady: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I would just like to advise the Body that what we took their time with on Amendment #2, was about a one-hour discussion of what's just taking place here in Amendment #3. We are now setting up a situation where the university or the board can lease land on the university proper, if you will, to a not for profit public corporation that is not necessarily controlled by the university. It will be subject to yearly appropriations from this Body. I'm not suggesting that we should oppose or ...or support this Amendment, I'm just suggesting it only took about 7 minutes to let what was out of the can, hidden in the can."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McGrew, to close. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr...Mr. Speaker. First of all let me point out that the Gentleman that spoke two previous to me, did the typical thing and didn't listen to a word I said and got up and rattled on as a traditional attorney and did not pay any attention to what was said previously. In discharging this Bill, I specifically said that it would allow us to continue the practice that frankly is



now being set up at the consortium of Western Illinois University. That is extremely accurate. We are now in the process of spending state monies for the towers at Bluffs, at Jacksonville, that would include the Educational Television Consortium, and they would be running it. We have some problems of whether or not all of this could be done and this Bill is designed specifically to clear up those legal problems. Now, if the Gentleman would prefer that we do not have an educational consortium, that we do not include the private, I would be happy to put in a Bill instead of 2.168 million dollars, it would cost 5.7 million dollars because the rest of the money is coming from this not for profit organization for existing equipment and fund raisers that we are having. Now I don't think there's a Member of the House that wants that. What I'm asking you to do is put up about 40 percent of the money, somewhere between 35 and 40 percent of the money, for the total cost of this educational television consortium. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on Representative McGrew's motion to adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1704. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question...32 'ayes', 78 'nays', 2 voting 'present', and the motion fails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1760."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1760, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to a comprehensive County Hospitals Governing Commission, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "...Motions to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any Floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Who is the Sponsor? Representative Levin? Representative Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, this...this Bill was up yesterday and Amendment 3 was



withdraw...was tabled and Amendment 4 was defeated. So, I believe, we're on Amendment 5, which I ask leave to withdraw."

Speaker Giorgi: "And that's the last Amendment, is it not?"

Levin: "There's an Amendment 6."

Speaker Giorgi: "Oh, is there an Amendment...5, then, is withdrawn?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Five, is...you wish to withdraw?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Withdrawing."

Levin: "Withdrawing 5."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is there Amendment 6?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #6, Levin-Madigan, amends Senate Bill 1760, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin, on Amendment #6."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #6 is a revised version of Amendment #4, which removes the provision that Representative McMaster objected to yesterday. We checked it out with him. I believe he has no objections to it in its present form."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1760. Representative Johnson. Did you explain the motion?"

Johnson: "I didn't....I didn't...What does the Amendment do?"

Levin: "The Amendment in its present form does two things. First of all it deals with the cash flow of the Cook County Hospital Governing Commission. Secondly, as far as the jails, it permits jails to recover money from third party providers only, not from Public Aid. There is absolutely no fiscal impact of any kind on the State of Illinois."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McMaster, on the motion."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Levin is completely accurate. We worked this out between Mr. Levin and myself and the Department of Public Aid and we are not in opposition to this Amendment. We will support it."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is on the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1760. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #7, Levin-Madigan, amends Senate Bill 1760, as amended, and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Levin, on Amendment 7."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #7 was drafted and is supported by the Department of Public Aid. I have a letter to that effect on my desk here from the Director...Director Quern, to Zale Glauberman. It is a pilot program for expediting paper work with respect to the main program."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McMaster, on Amendment #7."

McMaster: "Let me say again that the Sponsor of this Amendment is accurate. This Amendment was worked out with the cooperation of the Department of Public Aid and we are in support of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1760. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #7 is adopted. Any further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 1827...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 17...."

Speaker Giorgi: "1827..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...1827, a Bill for an Act to establish Pre-Hospital Emergency Medical Services Act, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #2 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels on Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 1827."

Daniels: "I was trying to get your attention so I could ask a question of the Chair."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes."

Daniels: "Have we skipped over Senate Bill 1783?"

Speaker Giorgi: "At the request of the Sponsor, it was taken out of the record."

Daniels: "...And, may I ask a point of clarification, since we're moving forward here? Is it necessary to move Senate Bill 1783 to Third Reading, today, by the rules of the House? What is the situation?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Unless the rules are extended...yes. Appropriation Bills are the only ones that are exempt."

Daniels: "So Senate Bill 1783 then must be moved to Third today. Is it



the intention of the Chair to return to this order of business, 1783, before we adjourn today?"

Speaker Giorgi: "It's at the discretion of the Sponsor."

Daniels: "Would the Chair return to it at the request of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Giorgi: "I certainly will."

Daniels: "Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "We have to go back to a couple of others that were called earlier and people weren't on the floor."

Daniels: "Thank you."

Speaker Girogi: "Representative Friedland, on Senate Bill 1827, Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, take this fantastic Bill out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "You request leave of the House to take it out of the record? Out of the record. Senate Bill 1861, Representative Geo-Karis. Senate Bill 1861. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1861, a Bill for an Act to transfer certain powers and duties relating to energy of the Department of Business and Economic Development, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions to that Amendment?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motion filed."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, J. M. Houlihan, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, in Section 19, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative James Houlihan, on Amendment #2, to Senate Bill 1861."

Houlihan, J.M.: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan, J. M.: "...Amendment #2 should be withdrawn. It..."

Speaker Giorgi: "It's your Amendment. Would you like to withdraw it?" Representative James Houlihan requests leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #2. Any objections? Leave is granted. Amendment #2 is withdrawn. #1 was adopted in Committee. Amendment...any further Amendments, Mr. Clerk? Any further Amendments? Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, may I please address a query to Representative



Houlihan? ...Representative Houlihan, I ...I was under the understanding with Representative Kane, that Amendment #1 was also withdrawn. Was that right, or not?"

Houlihan, J. M.: "Adeline, what we have to do, I believe, is move to table Amendment #1, since it was adopted in Committee."

Geo-Karis: "Okay. I have no objection to that."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves to table Amendment #1. Was there a motion to that effect? Whose motion was it? Representative Houlihan, on a motion to table Amendment #1. That was a Committee Amendment. No objection? Okay. The Gentleman moves that we table Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is tabled. Now we withdrew Amendment #2, and now we are on Amendment #3. Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3, Lucco, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lucco, on Amendment #3."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to withdraw Amendment #3 at this time."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves - with leave of the House - to withdraw Amendment #3. Any objections? Amendment #3 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4, Kane, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, on page one and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane, on Amendment #4."

Kane: "I would withdraw Amendment #4."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #4. Any objections? The Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Lucco...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lucco, on Amendment #5."

Lucco: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I ask to withdraw Amendment #5."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any objections to the Gentleman withdrawing Amendment #5? No objection. The Amendment is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #.....somebody stole 6....Amendment #6, Kane..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Kane. Representative Kane, on Amendment #6."



Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #6...is the Committee on State Government Organization response to the Governor's Executive order. There is basic agreement on that Amendment. What it does is to create an institute of natural resources for ... to conduct research, collect data on the natural resources of the...of the state. What it does is combine the Institute of Environmental Quality, the Energy Section, in Business and Economic Development, the water survey, the geological survey, the natural history survey and the State Museum, from the present Department of Regulation and Education. Those are basically the provisions of Amendment #6. I would urge the adoption, by the House, of Amendment #6."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stiehl, on Amendment #6. Cissy Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr.Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Some weeks ago when this House approved the Governor's Executive Order combining the Division of Energy with the Illinois Institute of Environmental Quality, the Minority Leaders of the state government...the Minority Members of the State Government Committee issued a minority report objecting to the transfer of the surveys in this reorganization program. We did so because we felt that the Amendment was defective, that the action was precipitous, and that it would in some way interfere with the independence of the surveys and may hinder their work and the excellence of their reputation. However, since that time, the chairman of the Committee and the Sponsor of this Amendment have met continuously with representatives of the Governor's Office, with the Directors of the survey, with the Director of the Department of Registration and Education, and with the Director of the Institute of Environmental Quality. They have presented here an Amendment which is good, an Amendment which actually transfers the surveys to a division where they should be. We were all agreed that the surveys did not belong in the Department of Registration and Education. This Amendment insures the independence of the surveys. It provides for an orderly transfer. We believe that this government reorganization program submitted in this Amendment will truly give us a better and more



efficient and more effective operation. Everybody is in agreement with it and I would ask for support of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, on the Amendment #6. Pullen."

Pullen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Pullen: "Will this Institute for Natural Resources have anything to do with inventorying or indexing scenic rivers in Illinois?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane.....to answer the inquiry."

Kane: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, he said 'no'."

Pullen: "I heard him."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any further questions?"

Pullen: "No."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane, to close."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd urge the adoption of Amendment #6."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane moves the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', ...the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Amendment.....Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #7, Kane, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane, on Amendment #7."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #7 clears up a few problems raised by some of the agricultural groups in this state. It provides for rules to be adopted by the institute before employees may enter upon private land in the state. Also changes the term of office of the director of the institute and provides for ...being appointed with the advice and consent of the Senate. I would urge the adoption of Amendment #7."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #7 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #8, Kane, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended



and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "I would withdraw Amendment #8."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #8. Any objections? Amendment #8 is withdrawn. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #9, Kane, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane, on Amendment #9."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #9 deletes all of the references to the ASHRAE Standard for con...conservation requirements on municipalities and I would urge the adoption of Amendment #9."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane moves for the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #9 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #10. Lucco, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, in Section 5, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lucco, on Amendment #10."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move at this time to withdraw Amendment #10."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lucco asks leave of the House to withdraw Amendment #10. Any objection? Amendment #10 is withdrawn. Representative Leverenz, for what reason do you arise?"

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, is there a possibility to get a little clearer explanation of 9? I know that the panel you have there does get reflection from the lights above you and it's difficult to see. But you took away, in Amendment #9, certain restrictions, as I understood it, by the Department of Conservation, on municipalities. I just wondered what those were."

Speaker Giorgi: "We have another Amendment, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #11, Madigan, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, why don't we allow Kane just to answer Leverenz's inquiry, if he could, quickly, before we go to



Amendment #11. Representative Kane. Leverenz's question. Kane."

Kane: "What the original Bill did was to involve some Amendments that were included in another Bill...which would have set up permissive standards for municipalities to adopt...by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, known as the ASHRAE Standard 90-75, to provide, design requirements for improvement of energy utilization in new buildings. It ...Amendment #9 removes all references to those standards in this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz....We're straining a little bit.."

Leverenz: "...So that they are not already standards that we are removing, but proposed standards that were in the Bill?"

Kane: "They are not standards now. These were proposed standards."

Leverenz: "Thank you.....to the Chair, also."

Speaker Giorgi: "Back to Amendment #11, Representative Houlihan, on Amendment #11."

Houlihan, J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with the adoption of Amendment #6, the Illinois State Museum and the Public Museum Grant Program are to be transferred to this Illinois Institute of Natural Resources. Consequence, then, Amendment #11 would provide that the Institute shall formulate rules and regulations relating to the allocation of any funds appropriated by this General Assembly for the purpose of contributing to the support of the public museums in the state and I move the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker Giorgi: "There being no request....Representative Totten, on Amendment #11. Representative Totten, on 11."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I question the germaneness of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten asks the germaneness of the Amendment. The Parliamentarian, Representative Kane,.....on this point..."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd indicate to Representative Totten that the Bill that has already passed this house, providing for this in the Department of Registration and Education, which now runs the State Museum ...is that the State Museum, with Amendment #6...is transferred from the Department of Registration and Education to the Institute of Natural Resources. So this would be a natural follow-up of that."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I accept this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "If Representative Totten's satisfied we'll accept the motion to Amendment #11."

Totten: "I still question the germaneness."

Speaker Giorgi: "My Parliamentarian...Give us Amendment #11.

The Parliamentarian informs me that the Amendment is germane, Mr.

Totten. The Gentleman moves that Amendment #11 be adopted to

Senate Bill 1861. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye',

the opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted.

Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #12, Lucco, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, in Section 5, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lucco, on Amendment #12."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amend-

ment #12 deletes certain parts of this particular Bill. As

Chairman of the Energy Resources Commission...speaking for the

Commission, we are in harmony with the formulation of the new

Department to be known as the Institute of Natural Resources. The

select Committee on government organization had added about 11

paragraphs to the Bill. When the Bill went to the Senate, the

Senate took the ...about the first 10 Amendments off...or 10

paragraphs off. Now since coming back here to the House, this

Amendment would restore various paragraphs and deleting others

which are overlapping and which are duplications as far as duties are

concerned. This Amendment deletes subparagraphs 3,4,5,6 and 8,

and renumbering the remaining subparagraphs in Subsection A, to

correspond in correct numerical order. I move for the adoption

of Amendment #12."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative Lucco moves for the

adoption of Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor

signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and

Amendment #12 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #13, Kane, amends Senate Bill 1861, as amended, and so forth."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane, on Amendment #13.",

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #13 removes the general findings in Section 1 of the Bill. Some of the people in the agricultural sector thought that it was overly broad and so those are being removed. It's very specifically spelled out in the remaining sections that this institute is going to be a research and data resource institute and will not have any administrative or regulatory functions. I would urge the adoption of Amendment #13."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any discussion? Representative Kane moves that the Amendment #12be adopted to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor ..13, I'm sorry....13. Representative Kane moves that we adopt Amendment #13 to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. There were two Bills I read that were asked to be put out of the record by the Sponsors. We want to read them a second time, solely to put it in the record. Senate Bill 1783."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1783, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act, Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "The next one."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1827, a Bill for an Act to establish the Pre-Hospital Emergency Medical Services Act, Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 250, Representative Sandquist.....Senate Bill 250."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 250....."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Daniels, on Senate Bill 250..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...a Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to mental health and developmental disabilities, Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee. Amendment #1 and #8 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions to those Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No motions filed. Now this Bill has been read a second



time previously. One was adopted, 2 lost; 3 to 7 were withdrawn; 8 was adopted; 9 and 10 withdrawn; 11, 12, 13 lost; 14, 15, 16, 17 were withdrawn..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Any motions to any Committee Amendments?....Just a moment. Any further Amendments on Senate Bill 250?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #18, Leinenweber, amends Senate Bill 250, as amended, and so forth."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, on Amendment #18. Representative Ryan, on Amendment #18 to Senate Bill 250."

Ryan: "No, not at all, Mr. Speaker. On the rights of Representative Daniels, who's been trying to get your attention for the last 5 minutes. Would you recognize him, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "We'll recognize him after we get done with Senate Bill 250. Representative Leinenweber, on Amendment #18."

Leinenweber: "Well, I think the posture was, when we left Saturday, at about 4:15, Representative Byers had made a motion to reconsider Amendment ...I believe, 16, I don't recall the number,....14.... and there was a motion to table, which a Roll Call had been taken on and we were in the process of a verification when it was taken out of the record. Now, it would be, ...ask your Parliamentarian... it would be my desire to proceed to a further Amendment. I don't know quite what we have to do with Representative Byers' motion, the motion to table was taken out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Leinenweber. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D.: "Mr. Speaker, in reference to what Representative Leinenweber is saying, the motion to reconsider was to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #12, which was Representative Leinenweber's Amendment, was defeated. Even if that motion to reconsider were to be considered again, it's my understanding that Representative Leinenweber would now table his Amendment #12. So in consequence, really the issue is moot and we can proceed right now with Amendment #18, which I understand you are going to table also."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "The Gentleman is right. I just wondered if that's all right



with the Parliamentarian, I will ask to withdraw 18 and proceed to 19, if that's all right?"

Speaker Giorgi: "What happened to Amendment #12? What are we doing to Amendment #12?"

Leinenweber: "Amendment #12, there was a motion to reconsider the vote by which that Amendment lost. Representative Houlihan... Representative Byers made that motion. Representative Houlihan made a motion to table. We were in the process of a verification when the entire matter was taken out of the record. Now it is my desire not to proceed with Representative ...the motion to reconsider, #12, I want to proceed to withdraw 18 and go to 19, but there is pending a motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "It was your motion to reconsider #12?"

Leinenweber: "It was Representative Byers' motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers is not on the floor and ... Representative Collins, on this point. Representative Collins, on ...this point.....go ahead."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, since there is no objection, any more, wouldn't it just be a simpler matter to put the motion to table, to a voice vote and dispose of that and proceed to his new Amendment?"

Speaker Giorgi: "To table Byers' motion?"

Collins: "Yes. I understand that all parties are in agreement on this now, so there's no problem."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, on this point."

Matijevich: "Yeah, why don't we just forget about that motion because I can make the point of order that it is now...not now...the Bill was taken out of the record, right? Now that motion was not made in writing the next day, therefore, it is out of order, so we can proceed. How is that?"

Speaker Giorgi: "So, let's proceed. It's moot. It's a moot question. All right, what are we doing with Amendment 18, Mr. Leinenweber?"

Leinenweber: "Withdraw it, please."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to withdraw Amendment #18. Any objection? Leave is granted. Withdraw it. Any other Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #19, Leinenweber, amends Senate Bill 250, as



amended, and so forth."

Spekaer Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, on 19."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #19 deals with the subject ...most of the subject matter that Amendment #12, which was narrowly defeated on the floor of the House. There has been some adjustments to Amendment #19, which has now brought it into a posture whereby the joint sponsors, Representative Sandquist and Representative Houlihan, can, I think, wholeheartedly, or perhaps certainly endorse. Very briefly, the ...certificate procedure for involuntary commitments directly to a mental health institution is the same as the procedure...the permit...or the procedure that was in Amendment #12. The change is in the procedure for a court commitment, involuntary commitment. In such an instance no longer is it necessary that the second certificate be of a psychiatrist. It may be of any of the three, provided that one of the certificates available to the court at the time the commitment is made... commitment hearing is held, be by a psychiatrist. The other ... The other certificate may be by a physician, a clinical psychologist or a qualified examiner, and we went into quite detail what qualified examiner is. As I pointed out, it does have the support of Representative Sandquist and Representative Houlihan, and I would urge the adoption of Amendment #19."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the Amendment...on Amendment #19."

Skinner: "No, I'm standing here waiting to make a parliamentary inquiry about your fast shuffle on Senate Bill 1873."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham on Amendment #19? Cunningham?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Sponsor of this Amendment was more modest in his comments than he needed to have been. He would be justified if he had the look of the cat that swallowed the canary. He has demonstrated here, tenacity pays off. The Freudian victory is almost total, in this particular instance. All they gave up in this quote compromise, end quote, that they have worked out here, after being soundly defeated in the Verified Roll Call. They gave up this one small



concession, they deigned to give quasi recognition to the clinical psychologists and that group folded their tent and stole silently away. They didn't get anything but just that...that promise that they be recognized as being somewhere above midwifery. Now, what did the Freudians get, they've got a situation where they never can lose because they have to be either in the first or the second of the hearings. You couldn't tell that from the Gentleman from Will in his comments about it, but they are the big winners. And who brought all this about, well that was the Gentleman from Highland, and it was supreme irony because it was he who pulled their chestnuts out of the fire, yet last March he was the victim of the group that was primarily involved, because they were floating his opposition. So it was a real fine play. But I want to say to the downstaters that the validity of their objection to Amendment #12 remains utterly unchallenged. There isn't a psychiatrist that I know of south of Springfield except at Carbondale and East St. Louis. We'll have to import them from the four corners of the earth. In truth, Ladies and Gentlemen - time isn't up - in truth, this Bill should be labeled as the featherbedding Bill for the psychiatrists. And that comes as a shock to those of us who are proud to be thought of as conservatives, because we thought that featherbedding was restricted to the railroad. But, no, it extends to the honored profession of psychiatry. You'll raise the cost of doing business in the mental hospitals throughout the State of Illinois, but the deal has been cut, the compromise has been affected, I complement those that arranged it, they have rendered a singular service to their clients, if not to the taxpayers."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jaffe, on the Amendment."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, very seldom do I agree with Representative Cunningham, but I think Representative Cunningham is right on the button today. Representative Cunningham is absolutely correct. I am really sort of sad and dismayed to see the Sponsors of this Bill really sell out to the psychiatrists. Let's really take a case right through an institution....How do you go about getting someone admitted. If someone goes to get admitted, or



the family wants to get someone admitted, they'll never see a psychiatrist in the admission process anyway. What happens is that that person is given six tests. Two of those tests are usually given by a neurologist, four are given by a clinical psychologist. Well, if you take a look at that you can see instantly that this Bill...this Amendment shares no resemblance to reality because, in essence, what you're saying is, regardless of the neurologist, regardless of the clinical psychologist, what you're going to have is a psychiatrist's okay. And if you think you can get a psychiatrist in there...most of the time you're absolutely mistaken. Now let me tell you further that they have to come within 24 hours, where you have an emergency situation. Well, where you have an emergency situation, as Representative Cunningham indicated, in Southern Illinois, Central Illinois and most parts of this state you're just not going to find a psychiatrist around. So you want to take a look at Section 610, it says, 'If the respondent is not examined'...or, you know, 'if the psychiatrist does'...and they're talking about...not examined by a psychiatrist, well if the psychiatrist does not execute a certificate pursuant to a certain section, the respondent shall be released forthwith. So what are you going to have? You're going to have situations where someone can bring in a patient who is obviously bizarre, obviously who needs treatment, if you can't find a psychiatrist, that person is going to roam the streets, they are going to be kicked out of the mental institution even though the family and everybody else wants them in there. You are taking it away from the physician, you're taking it away from the neurologist, you're taking it away from everybody. You're just giving it to the psychiatrist and, I think, the Sponsors of this Bill have really done an injustice to that Commission which worked so diligently on it and they're really in essence destroying the Bill...with this one particular Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sandquist, the Sponsor of the Bill."

Sandquist: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my distinguished colleague from Lawrenceville has thrown Freud into this and maybe we are all victims of Freud. But I want



to tell you this that this is no sellout to the psychiatrists, or the medical society or anybody else. This is a worked upon compromise that Representative Houlihan and I have agreed to. What we're doing is, we're going back to the position of the Governor's Commission on the Mental Health Code. The Joint Committee of the House and the Senate did go further than that. We feel now that we should go back to the position of the Governor's Committee. And all this says, don't forget, it's a question...the timing is taken out of it. There are two certificates and one of them can be by a clinical psychologist, but the one does have to be by a psychiatrist. That's the second level. We are not selling out. We are making a compromise to hold all the good things in this Bill. We think it's a good one. Now has the support, not only of the Hospital Association, Psychiatric Association, and the Medical Society. This is an Amendment to get a Bill in position so we can put it forward and we urge your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Willer, on the Amendment."

Willer: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Sponsor. I am really sad to hear the Sponsor of this Bill say what he has said. Who says the Commission made the right decisions. I worked on the Committee that studied the proposed revisions and you know, Elroy, you're not kidding anyone, it is a sellout to the psychiatrists. The people who are really hurt, that are most helpless victims in our state, those who are mentally ill. There is no lobbyist for them down here...at all. Yet they are the ones who are going to be hurt by this. Maybe you had no choice, maybe it's just as usual, we have to go for compromises and the compromise always seems to hurt the people, and always seems to favor the special interest groups. I'm really sorry to see you accept this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman, on the Amendment."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I join with those who are in opposition to this Amendment. In the last analysis, it's a judge who will determine in his or her discretion as to whether or not a person is in need of mental treatment. I don't think, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we should shackle the



discretion that a judge has, relative to whether or not a person is in need of mental treatment. I think we should leave it to judicial discretion as to the relative weight given to certificates signed either by a clinical psychologist or a psychiatrist. I'm sad, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that consideration is being given to including within a Bill for needed reform of a mental health code, a preferred status to psychiatrists over the clinical psychologists. I would urge a 'no' vote. And if I may, Mr. Speaker, I should like to announce, for those who have lost faith, that the Cubs won today. Larry Bittner got a bases loaded home run and that Mr. Suter struck five of six men that he faced. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian, on the Amendment."

Mugalian: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly. One man's compromise is another man's sellout. I don't want to characterize this compromise as a sell out, but I think you'd be fair to say that the Sponsors of this Bill, in both the Senate and the House, and the Members of the Joint Committee, would be very happy if this Amendment could be defeated. But they can't tell you so publically. They're a little bit afraid that if this Amendment is defeated that maybe the Bill won't pass because the special interest groups that have been pushing for this Amendment says that it won't support these proposals. Now that's ...you might call that a threat in some circles, but you know it would be a very wonderful thing today if we could all think a little bit more about this Bill and say to blazes with that special interest group, let's give this Bill a 10 to 1 ...this Amendment a 10 to 1 defeat. I assure you that the Sponsors of the Bill, in both Houses, would be delighted, and that would be a signal that the day of the special interest pressures may be coming to an end and we can vote on all these Bills on their merit."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dan Houlihan, on the Amendment."

Houlihan, D.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as one of the Sponsors referred to by Representative Mugalian, let me inform him he is absolutely incorrect. As a Sponsor, and speaking also for my Cosponsor here in the House, Representative Sandquist, this is a compromise that we feel is reasonable. We are not under



any threat to accept it. It is one...the framework of which has been discussed for over a period now of three weeks. I think it's a reasonable one. It is essentially the position of the Governor's Commission. What it does do is to balance the input, both of the medical person and of the mental health professional. The Sponsors in the House feel that it's reasonable. The Sponsors in the Senate also feel that it is reasonable. We would urge your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman moves the previous question. The main question is, shall the main question be put. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', and opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. Representative Leinenweber, to close."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There certainly seems to be a lot of misunderstanding here. Now, if they're saying this is a special interest Amendment, then the whole Bill is a special interest because the Bill was drafted as the result of the Governor's Commission.... report. And the procedures in this Amendment, as are in the Bill, come directly from that Governor's Commission report and that is to permit nonmedical people to have some say in the commitment, involuntary commitment, of mental health patients. That's what I say, because this does permit, as does the Bill, the expansion to non-MD's for people entitled to sign certificates for involuntary commitments of people. All this does is balance out the problem that exists between medical people, the people who treat and differentiate and diagnose organic diseases, from those who do not treat, or diagnose organic diseases, but are limited to the inorganic type. So I suggest to you that this Amendment is a far departure from the existing law which completely excluded non-MD's from the commitment procedure. This Amendment, as does the Bill, now opens up the involuntary commitment to nonmedical people; those people who are qualified, the clinical psychologists, the nurses and the social workers. All it says is that the expertise of a MD shall in the instance of the involuntary commitment, not be overruled by



one who is not an MD. It must go into court, as Representative Schlickman pointed out, although incorrectly, ...I hope the score of the baseball game was more correct than he was when he addressed the Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The motion is, by Representative Leinenweber, that the House adopt Amendment...#19..

Leinenweber: "I haven't completed my oratory..."

Speaker Giorgi: "...to Senate Bill 250.."

Leinenweber: "I haven't completed my oratory. All I wanted to point out was, that when the person does go into court and he must do it even after an involuntary commitment directly into the institution, when he goes to the court, the court has the responsibility and the discretion of getting a non-MD certificate. He may also...he must get a psychiatrist certificate, but he has the discretion to get and rely upon a non-MD certificate, from a clinical psychologist, or a qualified examiner, that term is interpreted...or defined in the Act. I urge your support of Amendment #19, which is a fair, reasonable compromise from the competing, special interest....if you want to call them that...but also, it is in direct...directly in line with the Governor's Commission...on the Mental Health Code recommendations. I urge your support."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Leinenweber, renews his motion to adopt Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 250. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Daniels, to explain your vote. Representative Daniels, to explain your vote for one minute."

Daniels: "Only to ask you...if before adjournment, are you going to recognize us for a parliamentary inquiry on Senate Bill 1783, before adjournment?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The Speaker gave me instructions to get some work done because of the deadlines and if there's time, I'm sure we will do it."

Daniels: "You'll just recognize us before adjournment..."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm not making any promises."

Daniels: "That's what we thought."



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Huff, to explain his vote....for one minute."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Chairman....Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm voting 'no' on this because for the simple matter, Ladies and Gentlemen, when these circumstances arise, you simply will not be able to find a psychiatrist...unless it's a foreign... national (sic)...and they...and you'll find that they will not be able to converse with you in English, and they will also exhibit absolutely no apathy^(sic) for the situation in those circumstances. I think this is a bad Amendment and we should vote to defeat it as we did Senate Amendment 12."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are ...Vote the Speaker 'aye', he gave me specific instructions....On this question there are 94 'ayes', 46 'nays', and none voting 'present', and the Amendment is adopted. Any other Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Giorgi: "Third Reading. Representative Van Duynes, on this issue?"

Van Duynes: "Yes, I'd like to have leave of the House to be voted 'aye' on that last one."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Gentleman asks leave to be voted 'aye' on that last one. Any objections? Representative Conti wanted to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Matejek requests leave that Senate Bill 1786 be moved from Third to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. Does the Gentleman have leave? All right. Read Senate Bill 1786. 1786. It's on Third, he wants to move it to Second for an Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1786,did you get leave to take it back to Second....?"

Speaker Giorgi: "He says leave....And what is the Amendment...what number is it? The Amendment to Senate Bill 1786..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Matejek, amends Senate Bill 178....2?"

Speaker Giorgi: "...86..."

Clerk O'Brien: "...Conti, amends Senate Bill 1786, on page one, line 14, and..." (See special next page.)



Clerk O'Brien: "Conti. Amends Senate Bill 1786 on page 1, line 14."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti on Amendment #1."

Conti: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, first of all you've heard an awful lot about the courtesies extended to people on this floor. Let me thank my colleague on the other side of the aisle who's been very patient. This Bill has been on Third Reading for almost two weeks and gave me the opportunity to prepare some Amendments to a Bill that has a good concept but in its present form, it's not workable. So briefly to... try to briefly explain the menu... the Amendment. The Amendment... I'm hungry, that's why I was talking about a menu. The Bill refers to reverse mortgages, may be given to persons holding property in joint tenancy over persons of sixty-five years of age. The way the Bill reads now that people can go in and get a mortgage to pay their real estate tax bills and that they can do this continuously year after year and the rate of interest is anywhere from seven to ten and a quarter percent. It's a floating interest. They can go on and on until the person dies or both parties dies and then property goes back to the mortgage lender. Now this Bill the way it works now is just a cruel hoax on the people over sixty-five years of age. Let me point out some of the problems. Provides that reverse mortgages may be given to persons owning joint property...property in joint tenancy if both persons are sixty-five years or older. As the Bill was drafted, a sixty-five year old man and his twenty year old wife or grown child or child could have obtained such a loan. The loan would come due in fifty years or whenever the joint... the young joint tenant died. This would have discouraged the making of reverse mortgages and would have created a drain on the borrowing estates. The Amendment deletes the provision that the property could be secured by a first mortgage. As the Bill was written, it was unclear whether the property must have a first mortgage outstanding or whether it must be free of letting such liens. If the bank shows the former interpretation, only those seniors who are still paying on the first mortgage would be eligible for these loans. This would not only be bad banking practices, but it would make the reverse mortgages available only to a few senior



citizens. Specifies the reverse mortgages to be under the floating usury rate. In the Bill it is not absolutely clear that the floating rate applies. The lending institutions might have been able to charge a higher rate. What happens if the property value declines? If a neighborhood turns around and it is a reverse mortgage which exceeds the value of the House, the lending institution will be unable to foreclose in which case the heirs would be sued at the time of the mortgagee's death. What happens if the home owner borrows money for taxes and then does not pay taxes with the money? Is he or she committing fraud? Can it be sold at a tax sale? Does the lending institution have any liability in this regard? What happens if the senior citizen wants to repay his or her reverse mortgage before he or she dies? There may be a prepayment penalty. What points will be charged? Closing costs? These are all the things that this good Bill would raise and that's why I'm asking for the Amendment to be placed on this Bill so it would be a better Bill and a workable Bill. However, I still feel that the banks, Commissioner of Banks have enough federal control over the banks and that this Bill should actually have gone under the mortgage statutes instead of the banking statutes. I ask for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti moves that Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1786 be adopted. Representative... no request for debate? The question is on the Gentleman's motion. All in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Senate Bill 1786 on Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 2706, Memorial Day. Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ain't going to waste any time on this. You know what it's all about. Just give me an 'aye' vote and let's get..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. DiPrima. Read the Bill a third time."

Clerk Hall: "House Bill 2706. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to Memorial Day. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Read the... correct the numbers up on the board."

Representative DiPrima on House Bill 2706."



DiPrima: "Yes, Sir. As I said before, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you know what this Bill is all about so all I could do is ask for your affirmative vote."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan on House Bill 2706."

J. Houlihan: "Will the Gentleman yield? This is an act in futility. This is a House Bill, a House Bill on Third Reading. What are you going to do with it? This just for your press release?"

DiPrima: "Who's talking?"

J. Houlihan: "You going to be like one of those liberals, you got press releases and you got issues and you don't want to pass Bills."

DiPrima: "Listen, you fraud, after what you did to me in Appropriations the other day, I won't even answer such a stupid question."

J. Houlihan: "Representative, this is a House Bill."

DiPrima: "Well, that's..."

J. Houlihan: "Now I remember in the campaign in the district north of me, Representatives... two of them that were running in the primary exchanged charges about putting Bills in and not passing them because they couldn't get through. Now we got to stop that kind of thing. You're just putting this Bill in for the publicity, I'm sure."

DiPrima: "That's for sure. I really need that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz."

DiPrima: "I got a letter..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's a fine Bill. It will solve the problems of Memorial Day. Some people raised the question the other day that if we only did it for schools it would still leave things all messed up because other units of government wouldn't be in compliance. This simply brings it into compliance with the federal law, but in Illinois leaves it a commemorative holiday to honor General Logan as a commemorative holiday."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich on House Bill 2706."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm a little reluctant to support this Bill. There's a rumor on this side of the aisle that DiPrima's batting average for veteran's organizations is only going to be ninety-nine instead of a hundred now. And I think maybe we ought to keep



his record perfect here and oppose this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Move the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The previous question. The Gentleman has moved the previous question. Representative DiPrima to... first, let's take a motion on the previous question. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. DiPrima to close."

DiPrima: "All right. I was the recipient of a letter today from the Catholic War Veterans and it went as follows: 'Dear Representative DiPrima, We are enclosing herewith a copy of your membership card in our organization which we have cancelled. From here on in you are not to consider yourself our spokesman. If you wish to find out our policy, you may ask Representative Aaron Jaffe who is our new leader.' And it goes on...congratulate Jaffe, yeah. All right, now this goes one. It says, 'You were all right in your day. It is too bad that you had to forget the veterans who made you what you are. Very truly yours.' It's signed by Johnny Soldier, Post Adjutant. No, all kidding aside, that Jaffe came to me yesterday and he asked me if I... could borrow my Catholic War Veteran's card. Then what he did, he made a Xerox copy, then made up this letter. But if he gets to be..."

Speaker Giorgi: "One moment. Representative DiPrima, just hold for a moment. Representative Pierce on House Bill 2706."

Pierce: "A parliamentary inquiry. I couldn't hear Representative DiPrima that clearly. Were you dismissed from the Catholic War Veterans or were you totally excommunicated? I couldn't quite hear what they did you ya'."

DiPrima: "Well, Jaffe is the man you should ask. He knows. He's the new Cardinal of the Chicago Archdiocese."

Pierce: "Okay, well we'll ask Bishop Jaffe whether it's a total excommunication or a mere defrocking as a veteran."

DiPrima: "Bishop Jaffe. All right, give me an 'aye' vote and let's get this over."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima, just a moment. Representative Pullen, do you have a point of order you're asking? Representative Pullen on a point of order. Representative Pullen."



Pullen: "Since this is not an Appropriation Bill, I'm wondering how the House could pass it at this stage. Are we not past that point? Is there not a deadline in our rules?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima on the point raised by Representative Pullen."

DiPrima: "Penny, just forget it. Just vote for the Bill if you want to. If you vote red, I won't feel bad about it. When I... usually when I have a Bill and I get a red light, I connect it with communism. But..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, the Parliamentarian informs me that it was..."

DiPrima: "...vote red, you're still hitting in the hide."

Speaker Giorgi: "It was discharged..."

DiPrima: "Let's vote for a good Bill."

Pullen: "Pardon me, Mr. Speaker. Would you repeat that?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijeovich. I want to get out of here, too."

Matijeovich: "On the Pierce line of questioning to Jaffe, now we all believe in fair exchange. If Jaffe is now a Member of the Catholic War Veterans, now does DiPrima... are you now a Member of the Jewish War Veterans? All right, okay."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, further on your point. Representative Pullen."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, my point had nothing to do with Committees. I thought we had a deadline by which House Bills that are Nonappropriation Bills had to clear the House."

Speaker Giorgi: "Time out. We're making a profound study of the rules. Representative Ebbesen on this point."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think if you look under Rule 73(x) you will find that that rule applies to everyone but Representative DiPrima."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Pullen, do you object to this procedure?"

Pullen: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if we are going to consider this House Bill which is not an Appropriation Bill, I think we'd better open it up for everybody's House Bills and let's plan to be here through



Thanksgiving."

Speaker Giorgi: "That would take a motion."

Pullen: "I do object."

Speaker Giorgi: "To set aside the rules."

Pullen: "I think there should be a motion to suspend the rules if there's going to be. And in that case, it should be open for everything."

Speaker Giorgi: "To clarify the air, we'll... Representative DiPrima moves that 35... the rule covered in 35(g) be suspended for the purpose of allowing this Bill to proceed. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. It'll take 107 votes. 89 votes, I'm sorry. 89 votes. The rule is 35(g). Have all voted who wish? On this question... take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 119 'ayes', 21 'nays' and 1 voting 'present' and the rule is suspended. Now back to the main motion on does the House adopt House Bill 2706? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. And we've got to hold it for the record to clear. The question is specifically, shall House Bill 2706 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. And Representative DiPrima to explain his vote."

DiPrima: "Well, all I wanted to do is invite each and every one of you to the American Legion Convention on Friday, July the 21st. Hold it now, hear me out. Mayor Bilandic is going to be the first speaker, then Governor Thompson and then Congressman Crane and then yours truly. And I'm going to give my... I'm going to give my explanation as to why I made this change. Yes, and then I'll see that you'll... meet me at ten o'clock in Room 2050W, the Palmer House at ten o'clock. You can have breakfast there, you can have drinks, whatever your pleasure is. It's the distinguished guest room and I'll be there with Bob Mitchler and Phil Collins and I hope the rest of you come up there. We'll have you introduced and made a distinguished guest of the convention. Thank you. Friday, May the 21st... June the 21st at ten o'clock, Room 2050W, July."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brummer to explain your vote."



Representative Brummer."

Brummer: "No, I was just wondering. Did Representative DiPrima indicate that he was going to explain to us then what we just voted on now?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 136 'ayes', 20 'nays', 1 voting 'present' and House Bill 2706 receiving a Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. We have a request... we just passed Senate Bill 1861 from Second to Third and the Sponsor asks that it be returned back to Second for the purpose of an Amendment. The Sponsor is Geo-Karis and Nimrod. Does the Lady have leave to bring the Bill back to Second Reading? No objections, the Lady has leave. Senate Bill 1861 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Any further Amendments? Excuse me a moment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #14, Kane. Amends Senate Bill 1861 as amended on page 1 and so forth. Kane-Geo-Karis."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis on Senate Bill 1861. It's Senate Bill, Jack."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, unfortunately Representative Kane had this Amendment and it hasn't come through and I want to give him the courtesy. That's why I asked to go back to Second Reading. All this Amendment does is it just... retitles the first part and calls it an Act in relation to Natural Resources Research Data Collection Environmental Studies and I move its adoption."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Wolf on Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1861."

Wolf: "I don't have a copy."

Speaker Giorgi: "The copies are...have been published. Would someone run him a copy of the Amendment?"

Wolf: "Well, the rules state, Mr. Speaker, that copies shall be printed and distributed."

Speaker Giorgi: "They're printed and distributed."

Wolf: "I think if we're going to have some rules, we ought to stick by them. And if not, let's forget them."

Speaker Giorgi: "Get the Amendment to Mr. Wolf. Wolf on the Amendment."



Wolf: "Could the Sponsor of the Amendment explain it?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis, could you please explain the Amendment?"

Geo-Karis: "I'll be happy to. It....It simply changes ...just amends the title and calls it an Act in relation to Natural Resources Research Data Collection Environmental Studies. The only thing that was added was Research Data Collection Environmental Studies. That's all."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "I'm still waiting for you to explain about that Bill of Representative ... O...O'Hartigan, is it."

Speaker Giorgi: "I thought you wanted to explain your vote on the Amendment."

Geo-Karis: "I move the adoption of this Amendment, Sir."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis moves the adoption of Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 1861. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. ..Say 'aye'....opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading...again. On the Calendar, page 7, appear concurrences. Concurrence, House Bill 15, Representative Yourell. Out of the record. House Bill 16, Yourell, out of the record. Representative Cunningham, on House Bill 32, concurrence. House Bill 32, Representative Cunningham. Representative Ryan, on House Bill 32, concurrence?"

Ryan: "No, not at all, Mr. Speaker. Representative Daniels is still trying to get an answer from a question that he asked...."

Speaker Giorgi: "We indicated earlier what the answer might be. Representative Cunningham, on House Bill 32."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there was a small change made in this Bill, in the Senate. The change made is that the Cook County and other districts throughout the state can make a token payment of the total judicial salaries of the members of the bench. The purpose of that is that in Cook County there is a small...there's a question of insurance rights for the judges. This does not increase in any way...judicial salaries. There was



a Digest report that came out - analysis - that may have raised some question in your minds. You remember you passed this Bill a couple of months ago with a good vote and it passed out unanimously, in the Senate. The effect of it is to make the state treasury responsible for the entire judicial salary, except the total of 500 dollars each year. It's recommended by administrator, Roy Gully, and the court administration office. It is also recommended by the County Boards of all of the downstate counties. We request your concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham moves that the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 32. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 100 'ayes', 34 'nays', 9 voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 32. Representative Richmond, on House Bill 384. Representative Brady, on House Bill 1068. Representative Leverenz, on House Bill 2219. Leverenz. Out of the record. Representative Lechowicz on House Bill 2344. Representative Emil Jones on House Bill 2619....Out of the record. Representative Tipsword on House Bill 2790....Out of the record. Representative O'Brien, on House Bill 2835...Representative O'Brien, on House Bill..... Representative Friedrich, on House Bill 2843? Friedrich...He's holding it?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to hold that until tomorrow, please. Take it out of the record."

Speaker Giorgi: "Fine. Representative Matejek, on House Bill 2894? Representative McAuliffe, on House Bill 2969? Representative Gaines, on House Bill 2979, concurrence? Representative Gaines, on House Bill 2979." We'll get back to it in a minute. You weren't here. Representative Gaines, to concur? Out of the record. Representative Pierce...Representative Jane Barnes, on House Bill 2981...Out of the record. Representative Kane, on House Bill 3197. Out of the record. Representative...3386...on state government, concurrence. Representative Schuneman, on House Bill 3386 on state government? Representative Schuneman on House Bill 3386."

Schuneman: "No, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask leave of the House to be



recorded 'no' on...."

Speaker Giorgi: "No...no....the question is House Bill 3386. Do you want to vote on the Bill? Representative Schuneman?"

Schuneman: "I'm asking leave of the House, Mr. Speaker, to be recorded 'no', on the concurrence on House Bill 32. I inadvertently pressed the wrong switch."

Speaker Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Are there any objections? Leave has been granted....for the Gentleman to vote on House Bill 32. Back to House Bill 3386, on the reorganization of state government. And on that Bill, Representative Ryan. Representative Ryan, on House Bill 3386. Representative Stiehl, on House Bill 3386."

Stiehl, C.M.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move that the House concur with the Senate Amendment to House Bill 3386. It's just a technical correction and it just provides that the Division of Investigation will be changed to the Division of Criminal Investigation. That's what should have been in the Bill. It's just a technical correction and I would ask that the House do concur."

Speaker Giorgi: "The Lady moves that the House concur to Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3386. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Ryan, to explain his vote....on House Bill 3386."

Ryan: "No, that's not what I wanted, Mr. Speaker. I don't want to explain my vote....I would like to...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Right below....concurrences, on nonconcurrence, appears the Bill...."

Ryan: "...I would like to have...."

Speaker Giorgi: "...by Representative Tuerk, Senate Bill 1798.."

Ryan: "I would like to have a parliamentary inquiry of the Chair..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk...."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take the record...."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "On this question there are 130 'ayes', 138 'ayes', one 'nay', one voting 'present', and the House does concur to Senate



Amendment 2...er...the House does adopt Senate Amendment 2 to House Bill 3386. And on the Calendar, below concurrence, appears non-concurrence, and we recognize Representative Tuerk, with Senate Bill 1798. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd move that the House recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1798. The maker of the Amendment was Representative Friedrich. He is agreeable to that. This would send it back to the Senate and therefore eliminate the need of a Conference Committee. I would move that the House recede from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1798."

Speaker Girogi: "Representative Tuerk moves that the House recede from Senate Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1798. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Skinner, to explain his vote, on Senate Bill 1798..."

Skinner: "Yes, this is one of the few vehicles to amend the Revenue Act. And since the Chair is obviously not going to call Senate Bill 1783, for Second Reading, so we can vote on Jarvis-Gann or what Representative Daniels wants to vote on. I would suggest we not concur and that we have at least one revenue Bill in the Conference Committee." How does that hit you?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Ryan, do you care to explain your vote...on Senate Bill 1798?"

Ryan: "No, I don't, Mr. Speaker, I want to talk about Senate Bill 1783."

Speaker Giorgi: "Well, we're taking the record now on the Roll Call..."

Ryan: "When will you recognize me, Mr. Speaker, on Senate Bill 1783? Why don't you get out of the Chair, put the 'Velvet Hammer' in there and let him handle it himself instead of letting him pull your string like a puppet."

Speaker Giorgi: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Take the record. On this question there are 108 'ayes', 12 'nays', and the House adopts RepresentativeTuerk's.....recedes from Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1798. The House will be at ease for a few moments till I recollect my thoughts."

The House is at ease.
The official posture of the House is at ease.
The Speaker might have some hearing aids in the back room.
The House is at ease....for a few moments.



Representative Madigan in Chair.....

Speaker Madigan: "I've been told by the previous Speaker that the House is at ease.Are there any announcements? Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I've been asked by Representative Matijevich to announce that the Victor DeGrazia Testimonial Dinner has been postponed until adjournment of the House.Tickets available. And it will be at the Forum XXX. Representative Matijevich has some tickets. Some of the Department Directors might want to buy some tickets from him. To honor Victor DeGrazia and the Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "On that point, there's no tickets left, the State Fair Manager bought all the rest of them up. On another point, the Appropriations I and II Committee, after working so hard this year, and they have all worked hard, including Roscoe Cunningham, my dear friend, and they've got a social and all of the Leadership is invited to it. That's at 7:30, at the Stables."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's an agreed Resolution. I don't know if we'll get to it today but I think that since today is the day, we ought to, at least, address ourselves to it. Today marks the 41st wedding anniversary of our dear friend and colleague, Joe Lucco and his charming wife, the former Marina Tepatti. They were married in Pocahtonas, Illinois, 41 years ago today. They are, of course, celebrating their 41st wedding anniversary. So, I think, today we ought to wish a happy anniversary to my seatmate, Joe Lucco, and his lovely wife and really congratulate him for staying here for the entire day when, in fact, he really ought to be home in Edwardsville."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Thank you very...very much, Mr. Speaker and my very good friends here in the House....My seatmate, Aaron, I regret very much...of course, Marina, I'm sure regrets very much, that she is not here at the present time. On her behalf and mine I certainly want to thank all of you. I would say that 41 years to me has only seemed like 41 daysto her it seems like 41 years. But, if you baseball



fans, I want you to know that there are many many things I want you to remember....His and her wedding anniversary, they gave a baseball score a while ago and if Marina were here, I would say, Marina, let's celebrate the afternoon, at least, like we did 41 years ago. Don't get any silly ideas. Forty-one years ago we went out to the old Sportsman's Park, on our honeymoon of course, and watched Dizzy Dean beat Hubble by a score of one to nothing. So those of you that are baseball fans know what baseball people we are. Thank you again very..very much on behalf of Marina and myself."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker while you are marking time, I was reading through a Journal and discovered that I was recorded on 3340, which did not pass, as voting 'aye' and I would like to be recorded as voting 'no'. It doesn't affect anyone. It was not a verified Roll Call, but I was incorrectly recorded. Leave to be recorded as 'no', on 3340, House Bill 3340."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave to be recorded as voting 'aye'.....'no'. "

Katz: "...on 3340...House Bill 3340."

Speaker Madigan: "House Bill 3340, and on that request, Mr. James Houlihan at Mr. Domico's desk."

Houlihan, J.: "I wonder if Representative Katz could tell us if there were any other interesting things that he found as he was reading through these Journals. Whether he found any other items of importance or significance as he was reading through them? The record should note that he said he was reading through the Journals to find that he was voted wrong."

Katz: "Well, frankly, I felt that I'd voted the other way. I thought I'd been voted ~~'no'~~,... but apparently I must have hit the 'aye' button. ...I was told, Mr. Houlihan, that you had a very excellent voting record when you voted along with me and I would want to commend you on it."

Houlihan, J.: "I was just concerned if there were any other items that you found in the Journal. I know that one...but were there any other items in the Journal that need be corrected?"

Katz: "No. No. No. Thank you, Mr. Houlihan. It really has been a pleasure



to sit here with you. I found nothing else of interest, but it is very good for insomnia if you would like to read the Journal."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of Announcements, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask of you, in the Chair, to allow the Republicans to have a Conference now, before we go on with any more business of the day and wait until we come back...about 30 minutes."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ryan, I would have to consult with the Speaker."

Ryan: "Is he at the Vic DeGrazia Memorial? Have you got a direct line over there or..." I'm very serious about this, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, I'll have to consult with the Speaker, Mr. Ryan, on that matter."

Ryan: "When will you do that, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "I will call Mr. Giorgi to the Podium."

Ryan: "That's wonderful. Tell him what to say."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi. Mr. Giorgi. Would Mr. Giorgi come to the Podium? "

Representative Giorgi in the Chair...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Supplemental Calendar #1....appears House Bill 2891.... Excuse me. Messages from the Senate first."

Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of the Bills of the following titles, to wit; House Bill 2891, together with a Amendment 1, concurred in June 26, 1978, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Giorgi: "On Supplemental Calendar #1, for concurrence, appears House Bill 2891. On that House Bill I recognize Representative Harris. Representative Harris, on concurrence."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We all know of the problems that we've had the past few weeks with the school aid formula throughout the state. Senate Amendment #1 for concurrence to House Bill 2891, is a school aid formula revision that distributes new school aid dollars, next year, in a way that is fair to every section of the state. Several weeks ago we adopted



school aid Amendments on the floor of this House by a large majority which indicated the feeling of this House that the schools in the City of Chicago should not receive any more than...."

Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment....Just a moment, Mr. Harris. Representative Ryan, on this concurrence, on House Bill 2891?"

Ryan: "Yes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I'd like to see the Amendments, Mr. Speaker, have they been distributed?"

Speaker Giorgi: "The Amendment is the possession of your side of the aisle."

Ryan: "We haven't received it yet."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue. Representative Totten, on this concurrence..... by Representative Harris? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Point of order. I believe that the rules call for a concurrence to be on the Calendar for one hour when we are in the last week. That Supplemental Calendar just was distributed at this moment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten, the Calendar reads 4:45...."

Totten: "I don't care what it reads. We didn't get it. We're supposed to have an hour to review that. That's what the rules say."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, on the question."

Matijevich: "Well, depending on how you are ruling...if you're ruling... if...if there's an adverse ruling, I move to waive that rule."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Harris, the Sponsor of the concurrence. Representative Ryan, on the concurrence of House Bill 2891."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, a few minutes ago I asked the Majority Leader if he would allow us to have a conference prior to any further consideration by this Chamber on any matter. I wish you'd take this out of the record. Get back to the back room and find out if the real Speaker and the phony Speaker have made a decision yet. And stop whatever you're doing here until you can get me that decision."

Speaker Giorgi: "For the moment we'll continue with Mr. Harris, on this concurrence. Continue Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to have a little order if I might, please."



Speaker Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Harris. Representative Totten, on the concurrence....on the..."

Totten: "On my point of order. I was mistaken, it's June 16th to June 27th, three hours, and that's dated 4:45 and I think 7:45 before we can consider the concurrence. I think we're out of order in considering it."

Speaker Giorgi: "On that point, Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, I understand it has been three hours. That's my understanding."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan, on the concurrence...to House Bill 2891."

Ryan: "Yes, ...Mr. Speaker, I noticed that the Supplemental Calendar is dated ...has a time of 4:45 p.m. I'd like to ask the Clerk if he had this concurrence motion over here from the Senate at that time. I understand that they just completed action on it five minutes ago. Now, I don't mind a few shenanigans from you guys once in a while, but to be just out and out ...I can't believe what you're doing here, Zeke. I mean it's just unbelievable. This thing has to be on the Calendar for three hours. You know that and so do I."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Minority Leader, Mr. Totten's point is well taken."

Ryan: "We don't need to hear anymore about thisJust make your ruling."

Speaker Giorgi: "His point is well taken. I recognize Mr. Harris on this point. Mr. Harris."

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, just for the sake of clarity, I understand it's been three hours. I'd like to remove the rule if I might. I'd like to waive the rule."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Harris moves to suspend the rule ...Rule 68, for the immediate consideration of this concurrence. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Ryan, on the...Representative Telcser, on Harris's motion."

Telcser: "How many votes does it take, Mr. Speaker, 107?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Eighty-nine votes. 89."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, should this get 89, I want to verify it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Totten, to explain his vote."



Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to explain my 'no' vote, not necessarily because I am opposed to the concurrence motion, but because of what we are trying to do. The idea of the rule for 3 hours is to provide the Members of this Body with time to deliberate on the action that's before us. When you bring before us an action that was just completed a few minutes ago in the Senate, and ask us to vote on it, and this matter, it is a horrendous abuse of the rules of this House. There are other motions which may take the same course of action between now and June 30. This is a deplorable procedure for us to follow. The motion may be a valid one, that Representative Harris has, on the concurrence, but to do it in this manner is no way a deliberative body should act. I think everybody should be voting 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative McClain, to explain his vote."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. On the motion to suspend the rule. I don't see how anybody downstate could be voting against this motion. It's probably the best deal downstate could ever get this Legislative Session, on amending the school aid formula. All of you know that what happens if the motion doesn't go through, we don't hear the Bill and it doesn't pass tonight, what happens is the big wheels have another 12 hours or 18 hours or 24 hours to cut a deal to cut us out. Now the way you guys are acting the harm is great by voting a party line. Right now if the school aid formula is not changed at all, downstate will lose 500 thousand dollars. There is no way and as all of you know, Ben Polk and others know, the computer print-out on this formula change has been around a long time. The issues have been before you for a month or so. I don't see any reason why downstate Republicans should be voting 'no' on this motion. Don't give... Excuse me, Ron..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue...Continue the concurrence, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Well, the issues are clear. The computer print-out has been out for two days with Representative Polk. The issues on amending the school aid formula have been out for a couple of weeks. Doug Kane's Amendment. All of you know what those Amendments do. What downstate



Republicans are letting you do, at least your Leadership, they are manipulating you again, like they did five years ago, on the resource equalizer, in the first place. So I strongly ask you to put your district first and not your Republican Leadership."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schneider, to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very seldom that I think we get this far along and the educational questions that are always raised at the end of the Session, and particularly the formula. Last year, as you know, a lot of us were disturbed about the fact that it remains as one of the last items to negotiate. And I put in that term in quotes, because we know what that means. I think there are some real winners in this proposal and I think it's uniform across the state. I feel that I gave up something as a suburban Legislator. I believe the city did, I believe downstate did. When it comes out of the Senate 44 to 4, I believe there's uniformity on that perspective. I see no reason why we shouldn't hear this proposal now, in order to resolve the toughest ...among the toughest questions that we always face in the last week. The issue is not new. I know Representative Reilly's concern, and I think is sincere, but I do think the information on this Amendment and it's essentially what came out of the Senate...is not unfamiliar to the majority of the Members that really want to deal with this matter today. So it's not a surprise. It's a good item to clear off the Calendar and I think this is the time to do it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kent to explain her vote."

Kent: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. First of all I want to tell you I am not being manipulated. What I am doing is trying to be careful and look it over. If I don't have time to look at what the Amendment is, how can I vote for my district? If it is so great, why has it not been explained to us? I think that if it is worth explaining, it is not....there must be something you're hiding...so let me know. I will vote for it when I know what's in it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Peters, to explain his vote...for one minute."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think our



interest here on this side of the aisle is not what might have been indicated by some...and that is to put a...put a stop or a damper to what is being attempted by this Amendment; but, in fact, to make sure that what we think is in this Amendment, or may be in this Amendment is, in fact, here. We certainly have had negotiations and discussions with a lot of people in downstate suppers, union people and what have you, as to how this formula might be changed. Some of us have some idea of what it is, but to throw it out here and in six minutes expect us to look at all the numbers that are in here and the additional language, and then go back and say that we have made some rational decision on it, and to find that it may be doing what we might not think it's doing, is not fair to the Members. I am not opposed to what was originally the Stuffle Proposal, I think it was a good one. I am not sure whether, in fact, that's what this Amendment talks about. All I am asking the Members of this House, the Members, certainly on this side of the aisle, is to withhold their approval for further consideration until we can take a good look at this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Stuffle, to explain his vote."

Stuffle: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Peters will know, within a few short minutes, exactly what is in this proposal. He will know that it is not much different than the proposal we talked about. In fact, very little different from that proposal, in my opinion. If there are those who disagree with that proposal, I think, they will have the facts and the knowledge and, indeed, the figures, to make a rational judgment on whether to support the Bill and support the schools in their district in such manner, and I think we should persist in putting green lights up here. We should hear this. We are near the end of the Session. The crucial issue is time, the crucial issue is for once doing something fair for the schools without sending this to a Conference Committee and emasculating it."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Sumner, to explain her vote...for one minute. Sumner."

Sumner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know I'm rather new around here, I'm finishing my freshman year. But I feel very disgraced and disgusted that we can't follow rules



around here. It seems to me that whenever it's a certain or a privileged person, for some unknown reason, he gets to change rules. Some of us never have that opportunity. These...This Amendment was thrown on my desk just a few seconds ago, it's 17 pages long. I know that the people of my district aren't going to expect me to vote upon this with good judgment in that short a period of time. I have to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Chapman, to explain her vote...for one minute."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am looking at Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2891, and have examined it carefully in the time that Members have been speaking and I want to compliment every Legislator in both the House and the Senate, who was involved in developing this compromise. A great frustration that I have had during the seven terms I have been here is seeing school aid, what is more important to me than almost any other issue, decided at about five minutes till twelve or sometimes at half past twelve, on June 30. Usually this happens as a result of a Conference Committee. All of us in this House and in the Senate are at the mercy of the ten Members of a Conference Committee....and are in the posture of either taking it or leaving it. This has been a great frustration to me and I think it is really a great achievement on the part of this Legislature that this year, for the first time that I can recollect, on Monday, five days before the end of the Session, we are in a position to resolve this in a way that is fair to downstate and to suburban areas and the city in a way that takes all of our concerns into consideration and know that we will have this important issue resolved, that it isn't going to get involved in other nonrelated issues..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me...excuse me.. Representative Chapman..."

Chapman: "I urge you to vote 'yes'. I see those wonderful lights up there. I know that there is going to be time to discuss the contents of Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Giorgi: "I have at least 15 requests to explain their vote... Representative Abramson, to explain his vote. Representative



Hoffman, to explain his vote. Gene Hoffman, for one minute, please."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in opposition to this motion. We've got plenty of time to deal with this issue. Anyone from Chicago and the suburban areas that is voting for this is...needs help, we'll send them to a psychiatrist. Also, let me suggest, that the 90 percent of the hold harmless is absolutely disequalizing. You have school districts with the same number of kids, everything else being equal, but they are going to be getting different support. If you can buy that, then vote for this."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lynn Martin, to explain her vote, for one minute, please."

Martin, Lynn: "I guess there are some questions that, maybe, have to be answered. First of all, I'm a little curious as to why they are even allowing it to be called...and that there are, I think, Chicago votes on this particular motion, and then on the Bill. I would have to suggest that seems suspicious. If it's the same formula, and I think some of us are talking as we're trying to read through it, it's fine, and again even though that same Amendment or one close has already been prepared for another Bill, I don't think those of us from downstate care on what Bill it is, but it's got to be curious that it's being allowed. I think we fear that deep down underneath that this is really a betrayal of what is commonly known now as the 'Kane Amendment'. Mr. Harris, I'm going to take your word, and I'll go with the green on it, but this one better not go down the tubes. These Amendments better stay for downstate Illinois."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Brady, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Brady: "Well I think the last two speakers have said something interesting. One being a downstater has said that she'll vote for this and she'll continue to vote for it because the Amendments are good. Another Member of that side of the aisle suggested that if you're from Chicago, and voting for this, you have to be crazy, or from the near suburban area of Chicago. Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow Members, I am from Chicago and I represent the suburban area of Chicago."



What we've attempted to do here is please the people all over Illinois and not just one regional area. I think we're trying to give equitable treatment to school children around Illinois. And yes, this does help downstate Illinois. It helps them dramatically when they need the help, now, this year. We're proposing this now, I think this is serious, I think we should consider it tonight. The Senate was able to put 44 votes on, that's not a partisan issue, that's enough people overwhelmingly to say that this is a good Bill for the State of Illinois. I think some of the Members from the other side of the aisle ought to consider that."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich, to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's probably no one single thing that affects more people in the State of Illinois than what is being dealt with here right now. Every Member of this House certainly is concerned about how this Bill affects his district and the school district within his district. I think this may very well be a good Bill, but I don't see how we can, after 30 seconds, walking in here, be expected to know about it. Representative Polk and Representative Hoffman have done a lot of work on this and on Representative Polk's work, I had a printout of what was going to happen to every school district in my district. I have no idea how this is going to affect them. I think I'd probably vote for it if I had a chance, but this is Monday, this isn't the 30th, we've got all week to do it and certainly if there is anything that deserves our attention, this is 2 billion dollars of the State budget we're talking about. Let's do it tomorrow."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Kane, to explain his vote...for one minute."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there have been some references to Mr. Polk's Amendment and the printouts that Mr. Polk has had in the last several days, that people have looked at, There've been some references to the Amendment that I sponsored several weeks ago, that went onto Representative Hoffman's Bill and also onto Representative Stuffle's Bill. This Amendment that is now before us, now, distributes exactly the same number of dollars to the ..."



Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Kane. Representative Johnson is raising a point of order. On which point are you raising your point of order?"

Johnson: "I believe the motion is....the order of business before the House is a motion to suspend the rules. And I don't think Mr. Kane's comments are relevant to that particular motion."

Speaker Giorgi: "Everyone has been abusing the rule so let's continue for a little while. Representative Kane."

Kane: "...is that the amount of money that is being distributed to school districts around the state, is the number of dollars that are represented in the printouts that Mr. Polk has had, on the Republican side, for the last two days, and that most of you have looked at, and the printouts that have been distributed on this side of the aisle and been available for the last two days." The only difference in this Amendment and the Amendment that was put on Mr. Hoffman's Bill and Mr. Stuffle's Bill, three weeks ago, is that the guaranteed level is reduced from 13 hundred dollars to 12 hundred and 93 dollars, which reduces everybody's dollars proportionately around the state. This is a fair Amendment...it puts the fair amount of dollars to all school districts, suburban, Chicago, and downstate. There is one other change in this Amendment and that is that Representative Jones's Amendment, which...which has Title I dollars...or half of the Title I dollars...follows the Title I students to attendance centers in their particular school district. So I think that what this Amendment has done is incorporated all of the changes that are beneficial to the Title I attendance centers, to the suburban school districts, and to the downstate school districts. I think that this is equitable and fair and I would urge that the House consider this Amendment at this time. The figures are the same as have been distributed on this floor and been available since about noon on Sunday."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schuneman, to explain his vote...for one minute, please."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House each year I've been in this General Assembly we come down to the



last few days of the Session trying to make the most important decision that we make here during the time that we spend in the General Assembly. Each time I've voted blind. I have to admit that and I think that represents the position of most of the Members of this House and I resent the Leadership of this House causing the House Members the embarrassment of trying to vote on the most important issue of the Session and vote blind. The idea that you would distribute, just a few minutes before we're expected to vote, the Amendment that calls for the School Aid Formula for the entire State of Illinois. I think it's a sham, Mr. Speaker, and I think it's a disgrace. I would ask the Members of the House to vote 'present' on this matter until we have some idea of what's in this Amendment. We should have an opportunity, Mr. Speaker, to be able to study what we're voting on, to have some idea how this will affect our districts, and I think what you're asking us to do is totally out of order."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, to explain his vote...for one minute."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I'm only going to explain my vote as to the issue on why we should suspend the three hour rule. Because if we don't vote for the suspension of the three hour rule we're going to be here for three hours. If you think we're going to leave now, you're crazy. There's no party that's going on in Springfield that's more important than this Bill. I want to see you vote against it. I want to see any of you vote against it... that are downstate. I've been here a long time. I've never seen a better deal made. Many of you are...many of you Republicans were using Doug Kane because you didn't think Doug Kane could negotiate with Chicago...and he did it and he did a good job...Now you're against that. You can't be for it. We're going to be here three hours and we're going to vote this Bill out. It's the best we've ever done and I want to see that Roll Call. That's why I'm voting for the motion to suspend the three hour rule."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Campbell, to explain his vote, for one minute."



Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explanation of my 'no' vote, I don't care if we're here for the next nine hours, and I don't care if there's any party, but I want to tell you this, that this ...if this is the same Amendment that we've been discussing that most of the people have had on their minds, then why do we have to make up our minds in about four or five minutes and take the word of somebody else that it is. What are you afraid of? Why can't we wait until tomorrow so we have time to digest this Amendment to make sure....it's taking care of the people downstate and it's equitable. That's the reason I'm voting 'no' and urge the rest of you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dunn, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Dunn J.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with the exception of the Title I portion and the federal portion...the federal flowed portion of this Bill. People of my district came to me with information about the very Amendment today. The information had gotten from this chamber, back to my district, for them to digest, for them to come to Decatur and present to me. If it can go that far, I think, we're in a position to vote on it. The reason we don't want to delay, is you've got a chance, you downstaters now, to get what you need to get what you want. We started having meetings in central Illinois last December, working toward this goal. We have this chance, it should be a bipartisan vote up there to pass this formula Bill, to get it out of here, to get it to the Governor's Desk, and get what we need and what we deserve and what is our fair share for the heartland of Illinois, once and for all. Let's put the votes up there to pass this out."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Conti, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Conti: "No, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just want you to ask every Member to explain their votes because I've been reading this for 20 minutes and I'm only on page 4. If I have another hour and a half or two hours I might be able to absorb what's in another 17 pages...yet. Will you please all explain your votes until I get a chance to read this Amendment?"



Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Byers, to explain his vote...for one minute."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think it's been pointed out that this very similar to what Representative Polk has been talking about to the House Republicans and I'd like to remind them that educating boys and girls is not a Republican issue, it's not a Democratic issue, it's an issue that the entire General Assembly should be concerned with. This is our chance to do it for downstate and I'd urge downstate Republicans to get on this Roll Call, let's get the...the main motion and pass this Bill that we help educate boys and girls downstate."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record...Representative Ryan, to explain your vote?"

Ryan: "No, Mr. Speaker, to go back to the original point that I'd asked for a conference before you continued along with any other motion, or had taken any other business in the House. I know that your cocktail party is important, but we're talking about one billion, 360 million dollars and if Speaker Redmond can't get off that throne he's on, in the back room and come out here and act like the Speaker of the House, when these kind of issues are before us, then we ought to throw him out as Speaker. Now this is nonsense, Mr. Speaker,"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan...the question is on the Roll Call...The question is on the Roll Call. Representative Telcser asked to be recognized on the Roll...Representative Ryan, if you'll confine your remarks to explaining your vote...to the Roll Call."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I had asked for a conference before we had moved to any other order of business...and 'Muscle Madigan' told me that he had to go back and check with the 'Real' Speaker, whoever that is, and get an answer. Now I've waited a long time for that answer, we've sat here and waited while you rammed this thing through the press, and shoved it down our throats. The least you can do is give me the courtesy of a conference so we can go in and talk about this issue and let your cocktail party wait a few minutes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser asked for recognition on the Roll Call. What was your point?"



Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, my point is if you persist in this idiocy....get a verification on this Roll Call."

Speaker Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk.

All right. Poll the absentees.....on the way to a verification.

Clerk O'Brien: "Hart, Kornowicz, Kucharski, and Mann."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Telcser, do you persist in your verification? Representative Harris. Representative Harris, for what purpose do you seek recognition?"

Harris: "Mr. Speaker, would you call the Affirmative vote, please?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative asked that the Affirmative Roll be verified. Poll the absentees, he did that. Verify the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, on the verification...James..."

Houlihan, J.: "I wonder if Representative Telcser would give me leave to be verified for this and for the substantive Bill? Extend the same courtesy we extended to him....earlier today."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Telcser, Representative Jim Houlihan asked to be verified. He has some other matters."

Houlihan, J.: "On this and the substantive Bill, thank you."

Telcser: "Wait a minute. Wait. Wait. Only this Roll Call, not another.. not a subsequent one."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's objecting, Representative Houlihan."

Telcser: "Not to this one.....it's future ones I'm objecting."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed with the verification. Let's go on."

Clerk O'Brien: "Beatty..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman, on the verification?"

Schlickman: "Yes, simply to request the Members to be in their seats and to raise their hands when their names are called."

Speaker Giorgi: "Please cooperate by raising your hand or making some sign that you are in the chamber when they call your name. Rep..... Clerk, go ahead."

Clerk O'Brien: "Birchler, Bowman, ..."

Speaker Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk.....Go ahead, continue..."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bradley, Brady, Brandt, Breslin, Rich Brummer, Don Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Capparelli, Chapman, Christensen, Darrow, Corneal



Davis, Dawson, DiPrima, Domico, Doyle, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Harris, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, J. M. Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Kozinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leverenz, Levin, Lucco, Luft, Madigan, Madison, Marovitz, Lynn Martin, Peggy Smith Martin, Matejek, Matijevich, Mautino, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McPike, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Murphy, Nardulli, O'Brien, Pechous, Pierce, Pouncey, Richmond, Robinson, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shumpert, Steczo, Stuffle, Taylor, Terzich, Tip sword, Van Dwyne, Vitek, Von Boeckman, R. V. Walsh, Willer, Younge, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Questions of the Affirmative vote?"

Telcser: "Representative Darrow?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Darrow? How is Representative Darrow recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Darrow in the chamber? Representative Darrow? Take him off the record. Take him off the record."

Telcser: "Representative Dawson?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Dawson? Is Representative Dawson in the... He's sitting in his seat."

Telcser: "Representative Domico?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Domico is in the middle aisle."

Telcser: "Representative Doyle."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Doyle is in his seat."

Telcser: "Representative Farley?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Farley is in his seat."

Telcser: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Flinn? He's in his seat."

Telcser: "Representative Garmisa?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Garmisa? How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "He's in the... He's in the newspaper lounge."

Telcser: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Giglio? Representative Giglio. How is he



recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Giglio in the chamber? Take him off the record."

Telcser: "Representative Getty?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Getty? Representative Getty, how is hesitting in his seat. In his seat."

Telcser: "Representative Hanahan?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan? Hanahan, how is he record, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Hanahan in the chamber? Representative Hanahan. Take him off the record."

Telcser: "Representative Jacobs?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Jacobs? Representative Jacobs, how is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Jacobs in the chamber. Representative Jacobs? Take him off the record."

Telcser: "Representative Laurino?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Laurino? Laurino? How is Representative Laurino recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Is Representative Laurino in the chamber? Excuse me a moment, Mr. Clerk. Majority Leader, Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, responding to the request of the Minority Leader, for a Republican caucus. The caucus will convene immediately in which room, Mr. Ryan? 118. The Republican caucus will convene immediately in room 118, Mr. Speaker and I move that we now adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning."

Speaker Giorgi: ".....that we now adjourn. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', all opposed 'no'. The House is adjourned until 9:30 tomorrow morning."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1.	10:00	Speaker Redmond	House to order.	
		Fr. Pizzamiglio	Prayer...	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-238	
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-252	
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-253 - 255	
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR ...HB-1803	
		Robinson	Moves nonconcur in SA-#1	
2.		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Kane)	SB-1546...HA #2	
)		
		Speaker Redmond)	House refuses to recede	
		Madigan		
3.		Speaker Redmond		
		Hoxsey	SB-1598, HA #1	
	10:08	Speaker Redmond	House recedes	
		Davis, C.	HB-2950...SA #1	
		Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur	
		Kempiners)	HB-3368, SA #1 & 2	
)		
4.		Speaker Redmond)	House concurs	
		Polk	HB-2653...SA #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Friedrich		
		Speaker Redmond		



2.

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
5.		Rigney)	Yield?
)	
		Polk)	
		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
		Van Boeckman	HB-3135...SA #1
		Speaker Redmond	
		Daniels)	
)	Question
		Von Boeckman)	
		Speaker Redmond	
6.		Madigan	TOOR
		Speaker Redmond	
		Friedrich	HB-2843 SA-#1
		Speaker Redmond	TOOR
		Griesheimer	HB-2490...SA #1
		Speaker Redmond	House concurs
7.		Griesheimer	HB-2491 SA #2
	10:20	Speaker Redmond	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Griesheimer	Continues
		Speaker Redmond	
8.		Brummer)	Yield
)	
		Griesheimer)	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Martin, P	Yield?
9.		Griesheimer	
		REPRESENTATIVE GIORGI IN CHAIR.....	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
10.		Richmond)) Griesheimer)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Griesheimer	to close
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs
		Marovitz)) Speaker Giorgi)	HB 2578 SA #1 and 2
11.		Darrow	Hold it?
		Speaker Giorgi	TOOR
		Stiehl, C.M.	HB-2988... SA #1 and 2
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Darrow	Hold it?
	10:30	Madigan	
		Speaker Giorgi	
12.		Richmond	HB-3231 SA #2
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Tipsword)) Richmond)	Question
		Giorgi	
		Totten)) Richmond)	Question
13.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber)) Richmond)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Richmond	to close
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Robinson	
14.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Flinn	question?
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Richmond	Explains vote.
	10:38	Speaker Giorgi	Poll absentees
		Ryan	Request verification
		Speaker Giorgi	
15.		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	Verify Brady and Davis
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Vinson	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ryan	
		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Giorgi)	
)	
16.		Ryan)	Questions Affirmative Roll Call
17.		Speaker Giorgi	
18.		Richmond	Postponed Consideration
19.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Porter	HB-2754 SA #2
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
		Reed	Object to Amendment	
		Speaker Giorgi		
20.		Marovitz	Question	
		Porter		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Marovitz		
21.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Hoxsey		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Waddell		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Waddell		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Willer)		
)		
		Porter)		
22.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Marovitz	Verification	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	11:08	Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
23.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Marovitz		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijeovich	'Aye' to 'No'	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Marovitz	Question	
24.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Getty	Change to 'no'	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Lechowicz	Change to 'aye'
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Vitek	Change to 'aye'
25.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Marovitz	Withdraws verification
		Speaker Giorgi	
	11:15	Dyer	Change to 'no'.
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs
		Von Boeckman	HB-3135...SA #1
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Daniels	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Von Boeckman	To close
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs
26.		Marovitz	HB-2578 SA #1 & 2
		Speaker Giorgi)	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Johnson	Question
		Speaker Giorgi	
27.		Marovitz	
		Speaker Giorgi	
28.		Catania	Support
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	7.
		Pullen	Explain vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	11:26	Abramson	Question	
		Speaker Giorgi		
29.		Madigan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Stiehl, C.M.	HB-2988 SA #1 and 2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
30.		Skinner)	Point of order...germaneness	
)		
		Speaker Giorgi)	House concurs	
		Polk	HB-2754...Change vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
31.		Houlihan, D.	HB-2885 SA #1	
		Speaker Giorgi	House nonconcur	
		Vinson		
		Speaker Giorgi		
32.		Macdonald	HB-3225 SA #1,2,3	
		Speaker Giorgi	Senate Amendment #1	
		Schuneman	Oppose Amendment #1	
		Speaker Giorgi		
33.		Sharp	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Macdonald	to close	
34.		Speaker Giorgi		
	11:41	Catania		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
		Macdonald	Move to nonconcur	
		Speaker Giorgi	House nonconcur 1, 2 and 3	
35.		Leverenz	HB-2851 SA #1 and 2	
		Speaker Giorgi	House nonconcur	
		Stuffle	HB-3270 SA #1	
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Tipsword	HB-2870 SA #1 and 2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Skinner)	questions germaneness	
)		
		Tipsword)		
)		
36.		Speaker Giorgi)	Amendment is germane	
		Willer)	question	
)		
		Tipsword)		
37.		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Getty	HB-3027 SA #1	
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Martin, P.S.	Votes 'yes'	
		Speaker Giorgi	HB-2995	
		O'Brien		
38.		Speaker Giorgi	House does concur	
		Houlihan, D.	HB-2857	
		Speaker Giorgi	House does concur	
39.		Tipsword	HB-3283	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane		
		Speaker Giorgi	House does concur	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Ryan))	
40.		Madigan)	Discuss adjournment time
		Speaker Giorgi	HB-1068
		Barnes, J.	Amendment 1 and 2
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	Chief Cosponsor
		Speaker Giorgi	
41.		Leverenz))	
		Barnes, J.)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Collins))	Question
		Barnes, J.)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Robinson))	Question
		Barnes, J.)	
42.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Friedrich))	Question
		Barnes, J.)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ebbesen))	Question
		Barnes, J.)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
43.		Levin))	Question
		Barnes, J.)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
44.		Martin, L.	Explains vote
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Barnes, J.		
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs	
		Keats		
45.		Lechowicz)	SB-1792 HA	
		Speaker Giorgi)	House refuses to recede	
		Brady	HB-1790 HA #1	
		Speaker Giorgi	House refuses to recede	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-736, Second Reading, No Com. Am.	
46.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am #1 failed...Am. #2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Robinson	Withdraw	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Capparelli	Move the Am.	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Madigan	Questions germaneness	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from Senate	
47.		Speaker Giorgi	Am. germaneness	
		Geo-Karis	Am. #3	
		Speaker Giorgi	Withdraw	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #4	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ewing	Withdraw	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
	12:21	Clerk O'Brien	Am. #5
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ewing	
48.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	
		Speaker Giorgi	
49.		McGrew)	Yield
)	
		Ewing)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mudd	
		Speaker Giorgi	Fiscal Note filed
		Schuneman)	
)	
		Ewing)	
50.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mugalian	
		Ewing	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brummer	Am. distributed?
		Speaker Giorgi	Third Reading
51.		Ryan)	
)	
		Speaker Giorgi)	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB 1469, 1456 Second Reading
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	TOOR
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
52.		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1575, Second Reading, Am. 1 adopted	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mudd	request Bill moved to Third	
		Speaker Giorgi)		
)		
		Madigan)		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Stiehl, C.M.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
53.		Ryan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Keats		
		Speaker Giorgi)		
)		
		Mudd)		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Stiehl, C.M.	Urge Third Reading	
		Speaker Giorgi	Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	Sb-1576, 2nd Reading, Am. 1 adopted	
	12:37	Speaker Giorgi		
54.		Ryan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Darrow	Speak on Amendment	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Byers)	Question	
)		
		Darrow)		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ryan	To close
55.		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #1 tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #2
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mautino	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Matijevec	Yield
		Mautino	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brummer	Yield
		Mautino	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mautino	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ryan	Against
56.	12:42	Speaker Giorgi	Am. fails, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1581, Second Reading, No. C.A.
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1587, 2nd Reading, Am. 2,3 adopted
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 1 failed, Am. 4
		Speaker Giorgi	
57.		McPike	
58.		Speaker Giorgi	
59.		Friedrich	Oppose
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	14.
60.		Matijevich	Support McPike	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pullen)	Yield	
)		
		McPike)		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Byers		
61.		Speaker Giorgi		
	12:55	Brummet		
		Speaker Giorgi		
62.		Cunningham	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
63.		Willer		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ebbesen	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Giorgi.		
		McPike	to close on Am. 4	
64.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Martin, P.	explains vote...supports	
65.	1:02	Speaker Giorgi		
		Griesheimer	Explains vote	
66.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Van Duyne	Explains vote.	
67.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Katz	Explains vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Porter		
	1:10	Speaker Giorgi	Am. #4...fails	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	15.
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Am. #5	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Harris	Explains Am. #5	
68.		Speaker Giorgi	Am. 5 fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Am. 6	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Johnson	Withdraws Am. 6 and 7	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Am. 8	
		Speaker Giorgi		
69.		Johnson	Explains Am. 8	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Leverenz	Yield?	
70.		Johnson	discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
71.		Winchester	Yield?	
		Johnson		
		Speaker Giorgi		
72.	1:17	Satterthwaite	Supports	
		Speaker Giorgi		
73.		Telcser	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Wikoff		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Bowman)	Yield?	
)		
		Johnson)	Discussion	
		Matijevich	supports	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	16.
74.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Van Duyne	Motion	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Van Duyne's motion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Van Duyne	Explains his motion	
		Speaker Giorgi	Motion fails	
		Johnson		
		Speaker Giorgi		
75.		Wikoff	to close on Am. 8	
		Speaker Giorgi	"Urgent call for Mr. Speaker"	
		Wikoff	Continues	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. 8	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Giorgi	Third Reading	
		Byers	Personal privilege	
		Speaker Giorgi		
76.		Pierce		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	1:27	Totten		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB 1592	
		Speaker Giorgi	TOOR	
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads SB 1617	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Am. 1	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan	Explains Am. 1	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	17.
		Skinner	Opposes	
77.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Schuneman)	Yield?	
)		
		Houlihan)	Discussion	
	1:30	Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, D.	To close on Am. 1	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. 1 is adopted	
78.		Clerk O'Brien	reads Am. 2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pierce	Explains Am. 2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Jones	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
79.		Madigan	supports (speech)	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Anderson)	Yield?	
)		
80.		Pierce)	discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
81.		Vinson		
	1:40	Speaker Giorgi		
		Stuffle)	Yield?	
)		
82.		Pierce)		
83.		Speaker Giorgi		
84.		Telcser	Speech...opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		
85.		Conti	Opposes	
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
86.		Totten	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Skinner)	Yield?
)	
87.		Pierce)	Discussion
88.	1:55	Speaker Giorgi	
		Mautino	
		Speaker Giorgi	
89,		Conti	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Hoffman, G.	Opposes Am. 2
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Bradley	Supports
90.		Speaker Giorgi	
91.		Simms	
		Speaker Giorgi	
92.		Daniels	
		Speaker Giorgi	
93.		Edgar	
94.		Brunner	Moves previous question
		Speaker Giorgi	
95.	2:06	Pierce	to close
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ewing	explains vote
96.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schuneman	explains vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Pierce	inquiry



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Schuneman)	
)	
		Pierce)	
97.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Martin, L.	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Campbell	explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
98.		Marovitz	explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber	explain vote
99.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Pierce	poll absentees
100.		Clerk O'Brien	Affirm. Roll Call
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	Request Oral Call
		Speaker Giorgi	
	2:25	Pullen	questions
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Matijevich	
101.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Daniels	requests leave to ve verified
		Speaker Giorgi	
102.		Pierce)	Oral Roll Call and
)	
		Speaker Giorgi)	poll of absentees.....
)	
		Clerk O'Brien)	



	<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	20.
Tape II			Speaker Giorgi		
			Catania	Vote 'no'	
			Speaker Giorgi	Am. 2 fails	
			Clerk O'Brien	Am. 3	
			Speaker Giorgi		
			Skinner		
	105.		Speaker Giorgi		
			Levin	Question	
			Skinner		
			Speaker Giorgi		
	106.		Speaker Giorgi		
			Clerk O'Brien		
			Speaker Giorgi		
			Skinner	Explains Amendment	
			Speaker Giorgi	Am. 3 adopted...SB 1617	
			Clerk O'Brien	SB 1672, Second Reading	
			Speaker Giorgi		
			Clerk O'Brien	Am. 5 SB-1672	
			Speaker Girogi		
	107.		Telcser	Explains Amendment	
			Speaker Giorgi		
			Houlihan, J.		
			Telcser		
			Speaker Giorgi		
	108		Houlihan, J.)	questions	
)		
			Telcser)		
)		
			Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	21.
		Schneider	answers question	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan)		
)		
109		Schneider)		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lechowicz	Opposes Am. 5	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan	questions sponsor	
		Speaker Giorgi		
110.		Daniels)	discussion	
)		
111.		Houlihan)	supports Amendment	
		Speaker Giorgi		
	3:04	Daniels	to close	
		Speaker Giorgi		
112.		McGrew	Explain vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Dyer	yes vote	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijevich		
113.		Speaker Giorgi	Am. 5 fails	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 6	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Stuffle		
		Speaker Giorgi		
114.		Ebbesen	Yield?	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Stuffle	Discussion
	3:08	Speaker Giorgi	
115		Stuffle	to close
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 7
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted
116.		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 8
		Davis, J.	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McGrew	Novote
117.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	Support
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Davis, J.	to close
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. 8 adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 9
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	Am. 9
118.		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 10
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Conti	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 11
119.	3:19	Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	23.
		Telcser		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. 11 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 12	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Telcser		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McClain)	Explain?	
)		
		Telcser)		
		Speaker Giorgi		
120.		Tuerk)	Yield?	
)		
		McGrew)		
		Telcser		
		Speaker Giorgi	#12	Am adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 13	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Adams		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 14	
		Speaker Giorgi		
121.		Telcser		
122.		Speaker Giorgi		
123.		Madigan	Oppose	
		Speaker Giorgi		
124.		Mudd	Yield to Dunn	
	3:32	Speaker Giorgi		
		Dunn, J.		
125.		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Meyer	calls for division of question
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Meyer	Files written motion
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Tuerk	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Meyer	have filed motion
126.		Speaker Giorgi	out of order
		Walsh, Wm.	
127.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Pierce	
		Speaker Giorgi	
128.		Telcser	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. 14
		Mudd	
129.		Speaker Giorgi	Am. fails
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 15
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	
	3:45	Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 15
130.		Madigan)	
)	
		Telcser)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
131.		Darrow	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	25.
		Telcser) Darrow)		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Mudd		
		Telcser		
132.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Telcser	to close	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted, Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1704, Second Reading, No C.A.	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #1 failed...Am. #2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Brady	Amendment amended on face	
		Speaker Giorgi	Leave granted	
133.		Brady		
		Speaker Giorgi		
134.		McClain	Yield	
135.		Brady		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McGrew		
	3:57	Speaker Giorgi		
136.		McClain		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McGrew		
		Speaker Giorgi		
137.		Leinenweber)	Question	
138.		Brady)		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Giorgi	
139.		Wikoff)) Brady)	Question
139.		Leverenz	Question
		Brady	
		Speaker Giorgi	
140.		Waddell	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Vinson	Urge defeat
141.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leverenz	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Satterthwaite	
		Speaker Giorgi	
142.		Skinner	On Amendment
		Ebbesen	On Amendment
		Speaker Giorgi	
143.	4:16	Tipsword	Moves previous question
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	to close
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McCourt	Explain vote
144.		Speaker Giorgi	
		McGrew	Explain vote
145.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Jones, J.D.	Support
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
146.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber	Questions germaneness
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McGrew	Appropriately numbered
		Speaker Giorgi	
147.		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	
	4:25	Brady	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	Announcement
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber)	
)	
148.		McGrew)	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #3 fails, Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1760, Second Reading, Am. 1 & 2 adopted.
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3
		Speaker Giorgi	
149.		Levin	Withdraw #5
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #6
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Johnson)	
)	
		Levin)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	28.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McMaster	Support	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #6 adopted	
		Speaker Giorgi		
150.		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #7	
	4:32	Speaker Giorgi		
		Levin		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		McMaster	Support	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted, Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1827 , Second Reading, Am. #2 adopted	
		Speaker Giorgi		
151.		Daniels	Question of Chair	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Friedland	TOOR	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1861, 2nd Reading, Am. 1 adopted	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #2	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.	Withdraw	
		Speaker Giorgi		
152.		Geo-Karis)		
)		
		Houlihan, J.)	Move to table #1	
		Speaker Giorgi	Tabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #3	
		Lucco	Withdraws	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	29.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #4	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane	Withdraws	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #5	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lucco	Withdraws	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #6	
153.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane	Sponsor	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Stiehl, C. M.		
154.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pullen)	Yield	
)		
		Kane)	Discussion	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane	to close	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #6, SB1861...adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #7	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane	Sponsor	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. #7 adopted	
155.		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #8	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane	Withdraws	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	30.
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #9	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane	Sponsor	
		Speaker Giorgi	#9 adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #10	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lucco	Withdraws	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Leverenz	asks for clarification on #9	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #11	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane	Answers Leverenz	
		Speaker Giorgi		
156		Leverenz)	Question	
)		
		Kane)		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.	Am. 11	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Germaneness questioned	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Kane		
		Speaker Giorgi		
157.		Goe-Karis	Accepts Amendment	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Totten	Persists	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	31.
		Speaker Giorgi	is germane, adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #12	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Lucco	Sponsor	
		Speaker Giorgi	Adopted	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 13	
		Speaker Giorgi		
158.		Kane	Sponsor	
		Speaker Giorgi	Adopted, Third Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-1783, 2nd Reading SB-1827. 2nd Reading	
		Speaker Giorgi	SB, Second Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	SB-250 2nd REading, Am. 1 and 8 adopted	
159.		Speaker Giorgi		
	4:56	Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. 18	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Leinenweber		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, D.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
160.		Leinenweber	Withdraw #18	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Collins	Table Byers Motion	
		Speaker Giorgi		

Tape III



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Collins	
		Speaker Giorgi	Table Byers motion
		Matijeovich	Point of order
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber	Withdraws #18
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Am. #19
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Leinenweber	Sponsor
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Cunningham	
162.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Jaffe	
163.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Sandquist	
164.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Willer	
		Speaker Giorgi	
165.		Schlickman	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Mugalian	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Houlihan, D.	
166.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Flinn	Moves previous question



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	33.
		Speaker Giorgi		
167.		Leinenweber	To close	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Daniels		
168.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Huff		
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted, Third Reading. Matijek wants 1786 returned to Second Reading	
	5:15	Clerk O'Brien	Am. #1	
		Speaker Giorgi		
169.		Conti	Am. #1	
		Speaker Giorgi	Am. adopted, Third Reading	
170.		DiPrima	HB-2706 Third Reading	
		Clerk Hall	Reads Bill	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		DiPrima		
171.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Houlihan, J.		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Katz		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Friedirch		
172.		Speaker Giorgi		
		Matijeovich	Moves Previous Question	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		DiPrima		
		Speaker Giorgi		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	34.
		Pierce	Parliamentary inquiry	
		DiPrima		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	5:25	Pullen	Point of order	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		DiPrima)		
173.		Pullen)		
		Matijevich		
		Speaker Giorgi		
174.		Pullen		
		Speaker Giorgi		
	5:30	Ebbesen		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Pullen		
		Speaker Giorgi	DiPrima moves rule be suspended Motion carries HB-2706	
		DiPrima)		
)		
175.		Brummer)		
		Speaker Giorgi	HB-2706 passed	
			SB-1861 returned to Second	
		Kane	Am. 14	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Geo-Karis		
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Wolf	on Am. 14...SB-1861	
		Speaker Giorgi		
		Geo-Karis	Explains Am. 14	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Wolf	
176.		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Skinner	Answer Rep. O'Hartigan
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Giorgi	Adopt Am. 14
		Ryan	Rep. Daniel's question
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Cunningham	HB 32
177.		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs Am. #1
		Friedrich	
		Speaker Giorgi	
178.		Schuneman	'No' on SB-32
		Speaker Giorgi	HB-3386
		Stiehl	Just technical correction
		Speaker Giorgi	House concurs in Amendment
		Ryan)	Asks to be recognized
)	
		Speaker Giorgi)	SB-1798...concurs
179.		Tuerk	
		Skinner	Leave in Conf. Com.
		Speaker Giorgi)	
)	At ease
		Ryan)	
180.		REPRESENTATIVE MADIGAN IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Madigan	House at ease.
	5:45	Pierce	Announcement
		Speaker Madigan	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Matijevich	Announcement
		Speaker Madigan	
		Jaffe	Announcement
		Speaker Madigan	
181.		Lucco	
		Katz	Leave to change vote
		Speaker Madigan	
		Houlihan, J.	
182.		Speaker Madigan	Announcements
		Ryan)	
)	
		Speaker Madigan)	
		REPRESENTATIVE GIORGI IN CHAIR.....	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	Messages from the Senate
		Speaker Giorgi	
183.		Harris	HB-2891, SA #1
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Giorgi	
184.		Totten	Point of order.
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Harris	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ryan	Completed action 5 minutes ago
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Harris	Waive the rule



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Telcser	How many votes?
		Speaker Giorgi	89
		Ryan	Requests verification
		Speaker Giorgi	
185.		Totten	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		McClain)	
)	
186.		Speaker Giorgi)	
		Schneider	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Kent	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
187.		Peters	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Stuffle	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Sumner	
188.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Chapman)	Explain vote
)	
		Speaker Giorgi)	
189.		Hoffman	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Martin, L.	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Brady	Explain vote.
		Speaker Giorgi	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
190.		Friedrich	Explains vote
	6:14	Speaker Giorgi	
191.		Kane	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Johnson	Point of order
		Speaker Giorgi	All abusing rule
		Kane	
		Speaker Giorgi	Continue
		Schuneman	
192.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Giorgi	
193.		Campbell	Explain vote
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Dunn	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Conti	
194.		Speaker Giorgi	
		Ryan)	Discuss Roll Call
		Speaker Giorgk)	
	6:24	Telcser	Possible verification
		Speaker Giorgi	
195.		Harris	
		Speaker Giorgi	
	6:25	Clerk O'Brien	Aff. Roll Call
		Speaker Giorgi	On verification
		Houlihan, J.	

Tape IV



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Telcser	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Schlickman	Members be in seats
		Speaker Giorgi)	
196.		Clerk O'Brien)	Verification
197.		Telcser)	
		Speaker Giorgi	
		Madigan	Regarding Republican caucus Rm. 118
			Moves adjourn
	6:30	Speaker Giorgi	Adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow.

