

1.

Doorkeeper: "Attention, Members of the House. The House will convene in fifteen minutes. Attention, Members of the House. The House will convene in five minutes. All persons not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. Be led in prayer by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Rev. Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Thomas H. Horne said: 'Our children, relations, friends, honours, houses, lands, and endowments, the goods of nature and fortune, nay, even of grace itself, are only lent. It is our misfortune, and our sin, to fancy they are given. We start, therefore, and are angry when the loan is called in. We think ourselves masters, when we are only stewards, and forget that to us it will one day said, "Give an account of thy stewardship."' Let us pray a 1566 prayer for faithful stewardship. O almighty and most gracious God, we heartily thank Thee for the blessings of this day that Thou hast given to us to serve the peoples of the State of Illinois as Members of this House of Representatives, and forasmuch as Thou hast commanded by Thy Holy Word that no man should be idle, but all given continually to every good work, every man according to his calling, we most humbly beseech Thee that Thine eyes may attend upon us, daily defend us, nourish, comfort, and govern us and all our counsels, studies and labours, in such wise that we may spend and bestow upon this day according to Thy most holy will, setting Thee always before our eyes, living in Thy fear, working that which may be found acceptable before Thy Divine Majesty; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the record show that Representative Kornowicz is excused because of illness and that Representatives VanDuynes, O'Brien, Capparelli and Huff are excused?"

Speaker-Redmond: "Is there any objections? Hearing none, the record will so show. Representative Ryan, do you have any excused absences? Representative Epton."



Epton: "Let the record show that Representative McAvoy is excused because of illness."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objections? Hearing none, the record will so show. Approval of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "33rd Legislative Day, Wednesday, March 30, 1977. The House met pursuant to adjournment. Speaker in the Chair. Prayer by Father William Krueger, Chaplain. By direction of the Speaker, a Roll Call was taken to ascertain..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to dispense with the reading of Journal #33 of March 30, 1977, and that it be approved."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the Gentleman's motion. The question's on the motion. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion carries. Journals are approved. I understand that Representative Huskey is also absent because of illness, is that correct? Any objection to the record indicating his excused absence? Hearing none, the record will so show. Introduction and First Reading. None. House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 113."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 113. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "We'd better take that out of the record. Representative Pierce is not here. 308. Are there any floor Amendments on 308? Representative Houlihan. D.L. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "On House Bill 308 I believe Representative Holewinski was holding that Bill pending action on two other Bills of Representative Dyer's which are on Postponed Consideration. I would ask we take this out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 325. Representative Kosinski. Mr. Clerk, 325."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 325. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendments #1 and 2 were adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Are there any motions with respect to Amendments #1 or 2? Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "You rule... considered adopted. Third Reading. 333."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 333. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 failed in Committee. Amendments #2 and 3 were adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any motions with respect to Amendments #2 or 3? Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 341."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 341. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor, for what purpose do you rise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, will you please take that out of the record please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 343, Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, please excuse me for the interruption. I thought I heard the Clerk say that Amendment #1 to House Bill 333 failed in Committee and my synopsis says that it was adopted. And I wonder if that could be checked."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll check it, Representative Madison, and get back."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "343."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 343. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Member... any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 345."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 345. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act relating to wage deductions for the benefit of creditors and regulating the issuance of deduction orders. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1?"



Hearing none, are there any floor Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 363. 363."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 363. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 364."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 364. A Bill for an Act in relation to the number of Judges of Elections and amending certain acts named therein. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion... Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to hold that on Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 368."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 368. A Bill for an Act to create the Mobile Home Landlord and Tenant Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 65, Mr. Clerk. I understand a fiscal note has been furnished, is that correct?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The fiscal note is filed. This Bill has been read a second time previously and Amendment #1 and 2 were adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 379."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 379. A Bill for an Act designating the design for the great Seal of the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion, any Member have a motion with respect to Amendment #1? Evidently not. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Griesheimer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, there was just a Bill called on Second Reading. I believe it was House Bill 368 and I wasn't aware it was being



called. Representative Greiman just brought it to my attention. I wanted that Bill held on Second Reading. There's a number of Amendments..."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave to return House Bill 368 to the Order of Second Reading? Hearing no objections, 368 will be returned to the Order of Second Reading. Representative Bradley, do you want 380 called at this moment? 396. We'll take that out of the record temporarily. 444."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 444. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to promote the welfare of wage earners for regulating the assignment of wages. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion, any Member have any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 464. Representative Ebbesen here? Mautino here? Evidently not. 464."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 464. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 490, no 472, pardon me."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 472. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Member have any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Hearing none, are there any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. This time I would like to turn the Chair over to Representative Schuneman for the purpose of an introduction."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's a great privilege and an honor for me and my colleagues, Representative Ebbesen and Representative Mautino, to present to you the Illinois High School Girls Basketball Champions of the State



of Illinois. From Sterling, Illinois, the Golden Warriors. I'd like to introduce each of these young ladies to you. And as I read your name, I hope you will wave to the Members. First of all March Harris, Dawn Smith, Frances Smith, Jolene Luftzman, Karen McKenzie, Amy Eschelma, Teresa Geiger, Susan Lord, Karen Unterzuber, Dorren Ebersal, Terry Chapman, Sherry Davis. Their managers Elva Poentes, Kim Batley. Their coaches Phil Smith, Jim McKenzie, and Sue Strong. Now, let's give them a hand. I'd like to tell you just a little bit about this team. Their record this year is 21 and 0. But they have been winning for a long time. In 1974, they had a record of 14 and 0. In 1975, 14 and 0. 1976, 14 and 3. So their four-year record is 63 and 3, which I think is truly an outstanding achievement. And they are the first school in the State of Illinois to win the Girls Basketball Championship. I'd like to have a few words from their Coach Sue Strong."

Coach Strong: "On behalf of the Sterling High School Girls Basketball Team, Coaches McKenzie and Smith, I'd like to thank you all for having us here today. We thoroughly enjoyed our tour of the Capitol so far and we appreciate your taking time to pay respect to us. And hopefully, we'll enjoy the rest of our day. I'm sure we will. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "490."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 490. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Stiehl, do you seek recognition? 363. 363."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 363. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 396, Representative Schuneman return yet? 544. 544."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 544. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 563."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 563. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 579."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 579. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of the State Appellate Defender. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Representative D.L. Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "I don't see the Sponsor. I don't see the Sponsor on the floor, Mr. Speaker. And we wanted to go over the staff analysis with the Sponsor and we would ask that this be taken out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "I think the Sponsor is over there, isn't he? Representative McClain, we're calling 579. Representative D.L. Houlihan has in inquiry. Out of the record. 600. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, I'm all for sea shells and balloons but this is an avalanche or a blizzard."

Speaker Redmond: "I understand that Edgar Phelps was here a few minutes ago interviewing the Sterling Basketball Team."

Collins: "We want them off."

Speaker Redmond: "600."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 600. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of wage deductions for the benefit of creditors and the Creditors



Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Hearing no motion, evidently no motion, any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 603."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 603. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Local Library Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1? There is no motion with respect to Amendment #1. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, is he here? Whose Amendment is this?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Yourell. Amends House Bill 603 on page 3 in line 17 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, on the Amendment, floor Amendment #3. Is it 3 or 2, Jack?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2, floor Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Please come to order. I almost heard Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Is this Amendment #2?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Yourell: "This Amendment #2 was suggested in Committee on page 3, line 17 by deleting the word 'annually' and on line 20 by deleting the word 'each' and inserting in lieu thereof the word 'the'. That was done to allay some fears that the levy would be done annually and that's the reason for the, deleting the word. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 603."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Yourell, do you have or does this Amendment have in it or do you have in the Amendment that adds a referendum



requirement to this Bill?"

Yourell: "There is a backdoor referendum in the Bill. That was done on another Amendment."

Ryan: "Well, Representative Yourell..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please come to order."

Ryan: "Didn't we pay the light bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, we blew a fuse. Please come to order."

Ryan: "Mr. Yourell, it was my understanding that there was an Amendment promised for this Bill in Committee to add a front door referendum. Has that been done?"

Yourell: "See Tom."

Ryan: "Tom who?"

Yourell: "McMaster."

Ryan: "Well, you want to hold it for a minute? Okay, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. Oh, Representative McMaster, I can't see him. There's somebody standing between him and me."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to talk to the Sponsor of the Amendment in regard to this Bill. It was my understanding in Committee that this would have a full referendum on House Bill 603. And that was my understanding."

Yourell: "Well, we've been waiting now about ten days, Tom, for your Amendment. You were going to draft the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized people please leave the floor. Representative Yourell. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If the Gentleman will take the Bill out of the record, we'll have his Amendment for him."

Yourell: "Well, how long are we going to wait this time?"

Ryan: "As long as it takes to get the Amendment drafted."

Yourell: "Well, I have no objection, but this Amendment was supposed to be forthcoming about ten days ago and I've held this Bill on Second Reading for that purpose. Now, either we get an Amendment or we don't."

Ryan: "Representative Yourell, it was my understanding and maybe a breakdown in communications, but at least it was my understanding from what I've heard here this morning that you were supposed to, your side was supposed to present the Amendment. If that's not



the case, I'd be glad to do it."

Yorell: "No, that's not the case."

Ryan: "If you'll take it out of the record, we'll have the Amendment drafted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Mr. Yorell, I believe that last week we were not accepting any Amendments on Second Reading due to the busyness of the staff on typing up Bills. We could not do anything in regard to Amendments last week."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative Ryan. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would suggest if we can't have some better order in the House, that we adjourn. It's terrible in here, Mr. Speaker. And I think you ought to use whatever means there at your disposal to bring this House to order."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, I will appoint the Minority Leader as the custodian of the Minority, please bring your people to order. Representative Madigan, will you control the aisles and get the Democrats in order? 619. Representative Flinn, 619."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 619. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 623."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 623. A Bill for an Act to require nursing homes, shelter care homes, and homes for the aged to train certain employees. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "On 623, the Calendar doesn't show any Amendment."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. 629."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 629."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers, for what purpose do you rise?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, 624 has a fiscal note request but we do have an Amendment. We could adopt the Amendment so we could have that



on there."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, 624."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 624. A Bill for an Act in relation to protection of the human rights of persons admitted to nursing homes, shelter care homes, and homes for the aged. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Levin. Amends House Bill 624 on page 4 by deleting line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Levin. Please come to order. I think this is probably the worst of nineteen years. Please come to order. Doorkeepers, will you please circulate among the Members and make them take their seats, be quiet. Even the Doorkeepers can't hear. Representative Levin."

Levin: "Mr. Speaker, thank you. Amendment #1 very simply was discussed in Committee and agreed to with the Sponsor. It eliminates the requirement that in the filing of complaints by nursing home residents that they be notarized and be on official forms. It also makes a technical correction. I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's motion for the adoption of Amendment #1. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "It will remain on Second Reading for the fiscal note. 629."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 629. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to establish the Military and Naval Code of the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 647."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 647. A Bill for an Act in relation to merit system of sheriff's personnel in certain counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Is there any motion with respect to Amendment #1? Any Member have any motion with respect to Amendment #1? There's no motions. Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 682."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 682. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Coin Operated Amusement Device Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Stanley, for what purpose do you rise?"

Stanley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would like unanimous leave of the House to table House Bill 1778 of which I am the Chief Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. It's just been called to my attention that Judge Fleck is on the floor in his judicial robes. Judge Fleck. 702."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 702. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Bradley in the Chair."

Speaker Bradley: "719."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 719. A Bill for an Act to repeal an Act providing for the protection and the safety of persons in and about construction, repair or alterations or removal of buildings, bridges or viaducts. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Mautino. It's been read a second time and do you want to leave it on Second Reading? Leave it on Second Reading. 743."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 743. A Bill for an Act establishing in the Illinois Department of... persons suffering from hemophilia."



Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor. Any motions concerning Committee Amendments? Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Pullen. Amends House Bill 743 on page 1 by deleting line 15 and inserting in lieu thereof and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "Representative Pullen on Amendment #2."

Pullen: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment, I understand..."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute, please. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we have an explanation of Amendment now so that all Members can hear it if you would please come to order. All right, would you proceed please, Ms. Pullen."

Pullen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I seek here to amend a very good Bill to make it a little bit better. This Bill is sponsored by the Gentleman in the Chair currently and it is to provide a program for hemophiliacs in the State of Illinois. This program already exists in the Department of Public Health, but as I understand it, the Bill confirms that in authorization law, my Amendment would restrict the Department so that they are not physically providing the services but they are merely providing the funding for those services through private agencies. It is my understanding that the Sponsor of the Bill is in agreement with the Amendment and I move its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, if I may, could she hold up on this Amendment till I have a chance to review it?"

Speaker Bradley: "Ms. Pullen, we'll take this out of the record and we'll get back to it as soon as he's looked at the Amendment. Fine? Would you... On Second Reading appears House Bill 805. Read the Bill a second time. 805."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 805. A Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Water Resources Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 failed in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 805 on page, Cunningham



is the Sponsor. Amends House Bill 805 on page 1, line 6 by deleting a period and so forth."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lawrenceville, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment will have a happy effect in it'll send to the public a signal of how deep is our dedication to economy here in the House. The original Bill was for forty thousand dollar appropriation to the Water Resources Commission. We had a... it's a water question. We had a titanic struggle in the Appropriations Committee over it, but we finally reached, I think, an agreement with the other Members of the Commission about this. Everyone recognizes the forty thousand dollars goes for salutary effect. The purpose of it is to amass the information necessary for a Supreme Court hearing in regard to the diversion of water in the Illinois River. They want to measure the intake instead of Lockport, at the branch of the river on the lake. We propose by this Amendment to take fifteen thousand dollars from the Water Resources, their present budget, to line item transfer from the equipment section which is presently frozen. It may seem like a book-keeping entry, but the net effect of it is that fifteen thousand dollars will be saved by the taxpayers of the State of Illinois if the Amendment is adopted. I'm hopeful that the Sponsor of the Bill will indicate his approval of the Amendment."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, first of all, I couldn't hear the explanation of the Amendment. I think it's one that we want to defeat that we defeated in Committee, but I just couldn't hear him. Could we have a little attention?"

Speaker Bradley: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if we don't have some order so that the people on our side of the aisle can't even hear the explanation of the Gentleman on, from Lawrenceville, I don't know how we can conduct the business of this state if we can't have some order so we can hear the explanation of the Amendment."

Leverenz: "Might I suggest we just clear the floor."



Speaker Bradley: "Would all those people not entitled to the floor, and Mr. Doorkeeper, would you see that those people not entitled to the floor are removed from the chambers so that we can carry on the activities for which we're here to proceed with. We'll just wait a minute until we get the place quieted down so that they can hear the Amendment. And for the information of our guests in the balconies, we have a rule which prohibits the use of cameras and the use of pictures being taken from the balcony. Now, Mr. Cunningham, would you please explain your Amendment so that Mr. Leverenz might hear it?"

Cunningham: "Well, like I said before, everyone recognizes that the forty thousand dollars is needed, but from whence it comes was the question that we had before the incomparable Appropriations Committee. It was the contention of some of us on our side of the aisle that the taxpayers should be reassured if it were taken from the equipment item which is presently frozen in the Division of Water Resources budget. We have suggested that a compromise is now possible in that Department and that is that the appropriation be reduced from the forty thousand figure to twenty-five thousand. And the balance of fifteen thousand dollars be made available through a line item transfer from the frozen equipment account to contractual services on the water resources. Happily, we have the complete agreement of the Sponsor of the Bill. I hope that he will stand up and reassure Representative Leverenz and any other doubters that the Amendment has exceptional merit."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams, on the Amendment."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Cunningham is absolutely correct this time. This Amendment was in the Appropriations Committee. I believe it was not in proper form. It was not drafted properly, actually at that time. The thrust of 805 is to have a supplemental appropriation to the Water Resources Commission so that the Attorney General can represent the Commission on behalf of the state in getting the sister states to agree to a change in the accounting formula for the diversion, actually at Lake Michigan. The fifteen thousand



of the forty thousand was to be used for, we'll say, an engineering verification in the event that the Attorney General, say, from Michigan or Wisconsin or Pennsylvania would insist on this. Since the engineering report would probably be done by the division, I believe that the transferring of the fifteen thousand from the equipment to contractual services is in order. And I commend the Minority Spokesman of the Appropriations Committee for handling it this way. It will still have twenty-five thousand from the General Revenue Fund, but the fifteen thousand dollars will actually come from a change in the line items to the division. I am in support of the Amendment and I move its adoption."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Williams, you're moving the adoption of... your Amendment?"

Williams: "Well, it's his Amendment but I agree with him."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, Mr. Cunningham moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 805. All those in favor of the motion will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Amendment's adopted."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. Now, we'll return to House Bill 743. It's been read a second time, Amendment #2. And Representative Pullen moves the adoption of Amendment #2 that she explained to House Bill 743. Mr. Terzich agrees. And all in favor of adopting the Lady's motion on Amendment #2 to House Bill 743 say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The motion is adopted. Further Amendments."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 819."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 819. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Structural Pest Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions on the... For what purpose the Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I believe Mr. Brummet is the Sponsor of that Bill and I don't see him on the floor."

Speaker Bradley: "He's there, he's in his seat."



Geo-Karis: "Okay, just take it out because... Okay, we're going to have an Amendment on it."

Speaker Bradley: "You want it taken out of the record, Mr. Brummet? Take it out of the record."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, never mind. The Amendment has been given to me but it hasn't been distributed. We'll take it up later."

Speaker Bradley: "We'll come back to it. 825, Mr. Brummet."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 825. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state finance. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee."

Speaker Bradley: "Any motions concerning Amendment #1? Amendments from the floor."

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Bradley: "Third Reading. 883."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill..."

Speaker Bradley: "Request for a fiscal note, take it out of the record. House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 27, Mr. Ebbesen."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 27. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Vehicle Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Is Mr. Ebbesen on the floor? Is Mr. Ebbesen on the floor? Take it out of the record. Mr. Deuster on House Bill 91. Are you ready to go on that, sir? Third Reading, House Bill 91."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 91. A Bill for an Act to authorize the county boards of several counties in Illinois and the various probation departments thereof to develop programs for the public service employment. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Before we go to Mr. Deuster, I'd like to announce to the Democratic side the Chairmen of the Committees are requested to go to the Speaker's Office. The Chairmen of all the Committees, please. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, even though this is the first Bill on Third Reading and I know there's great interest in it, I would like to proceed to present House Bill 91 to you. As most of the Members of this House who were here on Friday afternoon will remember, the Companion Bill to House Bill



91, which was House Bill 92, was subjected to extensive debate and a verification and it finally passed and has gone over to the Senate. House Bill 92, the Companion Bill, gave our Judges authority to require juvenile offenders to go out as a condition of probation or conditional discharge to perform reasonable acts of public service such as cleaning up trash or litter in the park, along roadsides or doing something else useful and constructive which would help their rehabilitation. House Bill 91 is the companion. And this Bill before the House right now simply authorizes, it is permissive, it provides that counties, if they want to, may establish and work out in cooperation with our Circuit Court Judges, programs by which this public service work would be supervised. So that if a county wanted to do this, for example, they could maybe have the highway department supervise young people going out who were under probation, under this order to go out and pick up trash and litter along the roadside. The purpose of the Bill is to encourage this. If the counties do it, we'll certainly accomplish two things. We'll rehabilitate the young offenders or offenders and we will also clean up our communities. Everyone will learn a lesson and society will be better off. This Bill, 91, authorizes and allows our counties to undertake these programs to develop them in cooperation with the Judges if they want to. It is permissive. Secondly, of course there is a fiscal note for which I've supplied indicating there would be no cost whatsoever on the state here and it would be entirely up to the counties if they wanted to do that. I left this Bill on Second Reading for a while so that if anyone wanted to improve it or add concerns, we could respond to that. As a result, a couple of Amendments have been added. One Amendment limits the liability of the county if they were to do this. Another Amendment provides that the offenders who were out cleaning up trash would not be considered an employee for any purpose and there would be no obligation to pay them anything, so we'd have no problem as a result of that. There's another Amendment to assure that they would be performing this work not in some far away place but in the municipality or the township where they live, close to



home so they could do something for the benefit of their local community. Because..."

Speaker Bradley: "Just a minute, sir. Could we be courteous enough to give Mr. Deuster some attention while he's explaining his Bill?"

Deuster: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Because home rule counties such as Cook probably already have this authority, this is the thrust of this Bill and its major importance will be to allow downstate counties to engage in this activity if they'd like to and provide for the public service work. I would say to the advantage of those who represent Cook that this Bill would allow your county to undertake this sort of program with a limitation on liability and with the provision providing that the employees would not be, I mean the young persons would not be considered employees. I think this is a good Bill. It is permissive. Nobody has to utilize the authority under this Bill unless they want to. And if we trust the judgment and the good sense of our counties, I think that we'll give them this authority so that they can work in cooperation with the Judges to provide this additional way of getting something useful done in rehabilitating offenders. I would be happy to answer any questions and I urge your support for House Bill 91 as you supported and passed House Bill 92 last Friday."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 91 is a step backwards in time in my judgment in two ways. Number one, the Sponsor neatly omits what he's talking about in public service employment when he casually says that it could be or it could include types of work as cleaning up highways. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's a lot of work that can be done under the guise of public service. And certainly all of us too literally remember the days of peonage when prisoners or persons incarcerated in prison were utilized to perform, in quote - 'public service performance work' for the good of the public'. Peonage has been outlawed in Illinois for almost seventy years now and a step backwards in time would once again place on the statute books an allowable peonage-type servitude for persons



that have been found guilty of a minor offense. Now, it doesn't take any genius to realize that when you say limited to but not limited only to a certain kind of work, all sorts of other jobs could be created. Chain gangs used to do public service work along the highways of breaking up concrete. And if we want to step backwards into that kind of environment where our minors are utilized for public service in the form of chain gangs that are used even to this day in some southern states, then possibly you should vote for House Bill 91. But to me there's another reason that's even more important that if these tactics of allowing a Judge to put under probation, to allow some public service employment to be performed by our juveniles. If that doesn't bother you, let me say this to all of you. That if you want to degrade and demean the word work, then make it a punishment for people to do it. And you come to the House of Representatives and you tell me why welfare people don't want to work, you tell me why the unemployed don't want to work. When you say to those very people that work is something that you punish people with, work is something wrong. Work is not a lofty ideal. The work ethic is what we need to enhance in this country, not demean. And I think that this Bill demeans the work ethic that is needed to be educated into our young students. That if they want to go to work that it isn't a punishment to go to work, that it's something useful and something lofty and something that every citizen should aspire to. Not to be punished by having work given out and meted out as a punishment for some violation. As for me, I think that this is a step backwards. We should think before we act emotionally on a piece of legislation that sounds good but does not really wash when you X-ray it. I urge a negative vote."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dan Houlihan."

D. Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In addition to the reasons as cited by Representative Hanahan, I would like to point out several additional reasons why I feel this Bill deserves a negative vote. The Sponsor here has described the Bill as applying to juveniles. I submit there is no such limitation in the Bill and this is as applicable to



adults as it is to juveniles. In addition, there is no limitation of the period of time that a jurist could sentence and an individual, be it a juvenile or an adult, to this type of public service employment program for which there is no compensation. And that effect to this Bill is to give an untrammelled discretion solely to adjudge without any statutory safeguard or criteria as to the nature of the sentence as far as the type work that would be involved, but absolutely no limitation as to the maximum period of time that such a person could be sentenced. Now, there is no similar provision in any of our criminal laws. Additionally, it is a simplistic statement to say that these are not employees of the county. What if the situation where one of these persons should be injured, say he loses a leg or an arm or his life and is not covered by workmens' compensation insurance? Now what about the situation where one of these individuals should injure a member of the public? There is no recourse against the county which would be the one that is supervising the activity of such an individual. Now, I submit that this is one of the most simplistic Bills that has been presented here. It has an attraction, but upon examination, this Bill is lacking, very seriously lacking in substance. It deserves a negative vote and I urge your support for a negative vote on this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in rising in support of this measure, it would seem to me offhand that many of the arguments that were advanced the other day in the successful passage of the Bill that Mr. Deuster, Representative Deuster, introduced could once again be used today in support of this measure. It also would appear to me that references made that would cast this Bill in the frame of a Devil's Island penal colony sentencing-type Bill are overdrawn. The same would go for the allusions to chain gangs, peonage and the impression that such a measure would in some way, demean the concept of work. Quite to the contrary, it would seem to me as argued once before that if these young people are given a chance to be acquainted firsthand with some honest-to-gosh labor and work, it will do nothing but enhance



their respect for work itself. And in my way of thinking, this would be a very desirable thing to do. Furthermore, it does give the courts, it gives the Judge a little bit of latitude that he does not now have. And rather than incarcerate some of these young people, throw them in the jug, in the slammer with hardened criminals, it would seem to me that they might be better off given an opportunity to work out-of-doors under careful and the considerate supervision than they would be to be so incarcerated. I would urge you, my colleagues, to support this very worthwhile Bill of Representative Deusters."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I arise to speak in opposition of my esteemed colleague, Representative Houlihan, in his denunciation of this Bill. Quite frankly, Mr. Speaker, there exists in the County of Cook a very real situation where Judges will not send a juvenile to the Audi Home or to the County Correctional Institution because it isn't safe. The United States of America says it isn't safe. The Cook County Grand Jury says it isn't safe. The Federal Government says it isn't safe. What is a Judge to do when he gets a wayward young lad? The only choice he has is incarceration or fine. And quite frankly, incarceration in most cases is unreal, Judges won't do it. So then what is the only alternative? And that is a fine. And who does the fine fall the most heavily on? It is heavily weighted against the poor. If there was any uniformity that could ever exist in sentencing, it would be that where a Judge could tell everybody that you're going to go out and pick up papers, irrespective of race, class or creed. I urge support for this good Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to close. I'm sorry, Mr. McPike, the Gentleman from Madison, did you wish to... I'm sorry, Mr. Deuster. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. McPike."

McPike: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

McPike: "The other day on your Companion Bill, I alluded briefly to some other work projects that might be included under this Bill."



Now, it says here that you're not limited to picking up litter in public parks or along highways but you can also perform maintenance of public facilities. Would that include planting trees and cutting grass and planting grass in parks?"

Deuster: "The answer to your question is that the, yes, the... first of all, the Judge... you start out with the Companion Bill, 92, which says the Judges may do this and it has to be reasonable public service work. So it has to be reasonable and I would think reasonable would relate not only to the types of work but to the qualifications of the person. If he has no skills, it would not be reasonable to ask him to do skilled work. I think if it might, we generally have in mind those things that are not a continuing project that requires skills. I really wasn't thinking of planting trees or mowing grass which requires running machinery. More of something that is not inclined to carry any risk with it, carrying a bag with a stick and picking up trash. Sometimes, I am told, that local municipalities try to have juveniles who have problems come out to the police department and help the officers polish up and wash the police cars. That gives them a spirit of comradeship and a little pride in their community. That might be in the nature of what would probably be provided. Although, it's hard to draft it narrowly. I'd be happy, you know, in the Senate or somewhere else to, if there's any problem, narrow it up or tighten it up. But we're talking about reasonable constructive public service activities."

McPike: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to address myself to this Bill. Regardless of the Sponsor's intent, it's quite obvious that the Bill does not exclude any type of public work, regardless if that is maintenance of swimming pools, regardless if it's painting or scraping paint on public facilities, planting trees, street repair, hod carrier work, cement finishing. You can just go on. Really, the list is endless. There is no type of work that is excluded by this particular Bill. Under this, the more juveniles that are corrected, the more juveniles that are sentenced under this particular Bill, the fewer public employees that will have to be hired.



So that really there's no way of getting around it. What this Bill is is an anti-labor Bill. It says quite simply that instead of hiring people to do public employment, we would much rather use juveniles to do it and I think it's a terrible Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, Representative Deuster to close."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this subject was thoroughly debated last Friday. We've had further light shed on the subject today. I think it's a good Bill. I think it's going in the right direction. I think it's a Bill that will allow our Judges to use a little independence and creativity in trying to solve juvenile crime problems and other crime problems in another way than putting them into the cell or fining them. And I might say with juveniles, quite often, the parent pays the fine and the kid doesn't learn anything. As I said Friday, when I was in the army and we had to police up around the barracks, I learned a lesson that stayed with me through life, all through my life. There's nothing wrong with work. We're not demeaning anybody here. In fact, we'll probably create a pride in work. And I might say for those who are concerned about taking away jobs from American family men, there's plenty of litter and trash to go around. Now just look around my county, Lake County, and I think other counties are the same way. There's plenty of room. If we ever get to the point where having a few juveniles out picking up trash in the parks gets to where it's going to interfere with jobs for men who are supporting and women who are supporting their families, why I'd come in and amend the Bill. But right now, there's a great opportunity for trash pickup and for constructive work on the part of juveniles. I want to thank those who supported the Companion Bill Friday and urge your support for this constructive and forward-looking law enforcement proposal today."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is shall House Bill 91 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Steele, to explain his vote."

E. Steele: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



this is a good Bill and deserves 89 green lights up there. This gives the probation officials one more option, one more means of rehabilitating the persons that they have in their custody. It is a permissive Bill. It doesn't require that they do anything, but it gives the probation department the opportunity to provide this means of rehabilitation. I think it's a good Bill and deserves your support."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams, to explain his vote."

Williams: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker. For the same reasons that I supported House Bill 92, I think this is a good Bill. I think, I don't envision any chain gangs and I don't envision knocking anyone out of work just because we have an alternative for the courts to assign some duties around the county or around municipalities. I know we have a Parental Responsibility Law, but in many cases as we know, the parents are not there at all and also there are parents who just cannot afford to take care of the damages that their minor children have done. I think this is a good alternative. It is permissive and I would urge more green lights up there on the board. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in brief, there is nothing more self-serving to one's morale when they have been in trouble than some work. Idleness is not the answer. (inaudible)...last week, if you keep your children busy, they won't have time to get into trouble. And I think this would be a very worthwhile thing and save the parents a lot of money and aggravation and grief."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bowman, to explain his vote."

Bowman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Bill. I'm speaking as one who voted against the companion measure partly because I have some concerns, I shared some concerns with those who spoke in opposition to 91 today. However, I want to call the Houses' attention to the fact that



92 which we already passed out and sent over to the Senate authorizes the courts to provide the alternative of public service employment. What 91 does is simply to authorize the county board to set up supervisory agencies, authorizes the probation officers of the counties to design the programs. And I think if we're going to give the courts the authority to establish punishments of this form, that we ought to provide the appropriate supervisory authority. And while I have some misgivings about 92, I certainly think that in view of the fact that we passed it out of this House that House Bill 91 is worthy of our support and I urge a few more 'yes' votes on this one. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished?"

Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 96 'ayes', 51 'nays'. The Gentleman from... 96 'ayes', 51 'nays', 2 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Mr. Waddell will introduce... Representative Waddell here for the purposes of an introduction I think we'll all be interested in."

Waddell: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's my privilege to introduce to you the Deputy Consul General of Great Britain, Mr. Sturdy."

Deputy Consul Sturdy: "It's a very great privilege, Representative Waddell, to be invited up on the dais to say, pass my greetings to the Members of this the Assembly in Springfield. This is my first visit to Springfield of Mr. Lincoln and my first visit to this very beautiful building. Our Consulate General in Chicago has been in Chicago for over a hundred and twenty years but we don't aspire to anything like these surroundings. I also bring the greetings of Her Majesty's Government in London and our own personal greetings from the Consulate General in Chicago. May I say how I wish you well in all your deliberations in the future. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Chair would like to announce we've moved four Bills from Second Reading to Third Reading and there had been a fiscal note request made. We're going to move those Bills from Third Reading back to Second Reading now. And it's House Bill



629, House Bill 623, House Bill 619 and House Bill 563. So they will appear on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading instead of Third Reading. So we're taking those back... On the Order of Third Reading appears House Bill 112. Representative Pierce there? House Bill 212. Out of the record? 216. House Bill 216, we'll read it a third time."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 216. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen. Mr. Speaker, House Bill 216 as amended is the product of many long hours of work by several groups in an effort to provide legislation that will allow local governments to have some control in the siting of landfills. For those Members who were not here during last years' debates on this issue, I might say that this issue was brought about by a Supreme Court decision, a four to three decision of the Supreme Court in February of 1976 which ruled that the E.P.A. could site landfills any place in the State of Illinois irrespective to local, municipal or county control. Now this Bill basically would return to local governments the authority to control the site of landfills within their jurisdiction. In order to exercise the authority, a county or municipality would have to adopt a landfill zoning ordinance as described in Amendment 3 of the Bill. Under the ordinance, approval or disapproval of a proposed landfill site would have to be based on the six criteria that are enumerated in the Bill. The local government would be required to make a decision on the proposed site within 120 days, to hold public hearings and to issue a written or specific information regarding their decision. The decision would be appealable in the Appellate Court. When a local government has adopted this kind of a zoning ordinance and the agency has certified that it complies with the criteria listed in the Bill, then the agency may not issue any landfill permits for a proposed landfill within jurisdiction of that municipality or county unless the applicant shows that he's obtained approval of local government. In all other cases, the E.P.A. will continue to



site landfills provided that the particulars of this Bill are not followed. Now the original Bill contains the input of the E.P.A., Municipal League and I'll be happy to answer any questions and urge your support."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "First of all, Mr. Speaker, in the Bernie Epton tradition, I must admit that although I don't feel I have a conflict of interest in it, it was my lawsuit or a lawsuit that I represented a client that brought about this decision. The decision was Carlson vs. the Village of Worth. And the fact, situation that gave rise to this case was that a local municipality in suburban Cook County had zoned out land... pardon me, had provided for a special use for landfills, but arbitrarily and capriciously adopted an environmental control ordinance that would have prohibited the development and use of landfills within the Village of Worth. The Supreme Court saw fit to, in its decision, to say that landfills were of regional concern and not of unique municipal concern. I think this whole thing can be best described as Mr. Deavers last year when testifying against the Bill pointed out in one statement that everybody likes their garbage picked up but nobody likes it put down. What is happening is and what happened before this decision that there wasn't a landfill opened up in suburban Cook County in the last fourteen years. I am told that Lake County, affluent Lake County, hadn't had one landfill in the last forty years. So quite frankly, it's a problem of regional concern and it's best handled by a state agency who can overall look at the problems, the distribution, the traffic pattern and who will be disrupted the least. There's no question that a landfill will disrupt its neighbors. I'm not denying. But there have been only three cases since this legislation has been... pardon me, since the Supreme Court decision came down in all of the State of Illinois that have caused any controversy. And quite frankly, I think the state has done an admirable job and I would hope you all do vote against this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm



well acquainted with the case of ~~the Court~~ vs. the Village of Worth and I'm glad the preceding speaker ~~mentioned~~ it because he fought it very successfully and won ~~the~~ the village. However in that case, it was a split decision of the Supreme Court. Four people agreed with Mr. Carlson and ~~the~~ said 'no', the E.P.A. was wrong. Speaking about Lake County ~~is~~ dear to me because I come from Lake County. I think it is ~~time~~ time that the E.P.A. has to have some good, solid rules where ~~the~~ will observe proper zoning and proper enforcement of zoning ~~in~~ my county, for that this Bill is a much needed Bill ~~because~~ in the Village of Worth, example, because of the case of Carlson ~~the~~ the E.P.A. took it upon themselves to ~~take~~ the landfill operator complete largess in putting a landfill ~~operation~~ in a very choice residential area in Benton ~~and~~ Newport ~~Illinois~~. I do not think this is right. The E.P.A. ~~is~~ supposed to ~~work~~ in order that we can have clean air, clean ground and clean ~~water~~. I do not feel that the E.P.A. is going to ~~be~~ burdened ~~by~~ this type of a Bill. As a matter of fact, considerably, that ~~the~~ decision of the Supreme Court of Illinois was four ~~to~~ three with ~~three~~ very strong dissenting opinions against this ~~legislation~~. I think this legislation is very necessary because I ~~believe~~ believe in ~~local~~ government control and I urge support of this ~~Bill~~.

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman ~~from~~ Will, Mr. ~~Leinenweber~~. I'm sorry,

The Gentleman from Will, Mr. ~~Leinenweber~~.
 Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. ~~Speaker~~. I would ~~like~~ like this to the Members of the House. Any of ~~you~~ who believe ~~in~~ the concept of home rule must consider very carefully that ~~you~~ should vote for this for House Bill 216. Prior ~~to~~ the Supreme ~~Court~~ decision previously commented upon by ~~the~~ last two ~~governors~~, the Environmental Protection Agency ~~assumed~~ that ~~home~~ rule still existed, that local communities still ~~had~~ the right ~~to~~ locate land, sanitary landfills. The Supreme ~~Court~~ Court ~~decided~~ changed all that, removed home rule and said ~~no~~ longer ~~do~~ we have anything at all to say about where a sanitary ~~landfill~~ ~~is~~ to be located. Now, the question has been brought ~~up~~ that ~~the~~ ~~state~~ wants to have a sanitary landfill. Well, ~~that's~~ your ~~law~~. However, we have



sanitary landfills and it's only been very recently that local government has had taken away from it the right to designate where a landfill is going to be located. There are landfills in my area in Will County, in southern Cook there are a lot of landfills, a lot of them have been there for a long time. There won't be additional landfills. The only thing is that under Representative Mahar's House Bill 216, the local governmental unit will have something to say about where the landfill is to be located. So if you want to prevent the occurrence of a sanitary landfill being located in your district in an area very near an area populated by homes and have the people on your backs, then don't vote for this Bill. But if you want to have some local input so that local people can have some decision where these land uses are to be placed, then I would strongly suggest that you vote for House Bill 216."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Adams."

Adams: "Correction - Ogle. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise to speak in favor of this Bill namely because I have firsthand evidence of what happens when the E.P.A. has total control. We have one of these in our county and we have a terrific problem. And the problem's not whether the landfill is a good landfill or whether it's there or not. The problem is having control of the landfill. That is, when there is a problem, if you're going to have to go to the state to get the problem, by the time it gets to the state and gets back to the landfill, the problem has already deceased and there may be a different type of problem. So I, therefore, rise in support of this Bill and hope to see a lot of green lights up there."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in strong support of House Bill 216 as amended. It so happened that I served on the Subcommittee in regard to this Bill. We worked on it quite extensively over a two-week period to get it in the condition that we felt that everyone in the state could live with whether it be the state E.P.A. or local governments. And I think it's a very good Bill. I think it's something that all



of us should support. It gives some local control back for the opportunity for local governments to take control if they so desire. And I think it's an excellent concept. I think it's a very, very good Bill and I urge your support."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I watched this Bill closely. I served on the Committee where it was heard and I happened at the same time to have a problem in one of my counties where a group of people were trying to put or one man was trying to put in a landfill in an area that would block the growth of a small city and possibly contaminate a beautiful countryside. Under the present law, there is no local control over this matter. If the E.P.A. decides to issue a permit, that's the end of the matter. I think that this Bill restores some semblance of balance to this problem. It provides that the local government if they do their work and provide for landfills in their jurisdiction, they can then decide where these landfills will be and the state cannot come in and arbitrarily dictate it. And I would surely ask your support for a very good measure. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar, to close."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In conclusion, let me say to summarize what most of the speakers have said. This Bill was brought about by a Supreme Court decision in February of 1976 in an effort to bring local government back into the landfill siting fixture. Now, this Bill is a, somewhat of a compromise Bill. It's a Bill that's had the input of the E.P.A., it's had the input of local governments, of the Municipal League and it's been thoroughly discussed and thoroughly heard. And I think it's a good Bill, a good vehicle by which we can get some semblance of control back on the local level. And I urge your support."

Speaker Bradley: "The question is shall House Bill 216 pass. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? I'm sorry. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton, to explain his vote."



Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Once again, I have a conflict of interest but as in the past,
I will vote my conscience. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, to explain his
vote."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would ask you to take
a second look at your votes as they now appear on the board. And
the reason I say that is that I was privileged to serve in the
General Assembly when the E.P.A. legislation was passed under the
administration of Governor Ogilvie. And it was fine legislation.
It continues to be fine legislation. It was needed because our
streams and our rivers and our... Lake Michigan and our country-
side was not being adequately protected by local government.
Now I'm not anti-local government, but I want you to know every
year since the passage of that E.P.A. legislation, we have had to
beat down Bills which would invade what we fought so hard for in
that particular administration. Now, I want you to think for a
moment. Sure, it may affect one person in the sense that it would
improve the condition of his property. But landfill requires
making large holes in the ground. It involves other things with
regard to the environment. And I say to you in a nonpartisan
sense, absolutely because I'm talking about a Republican Governor's
legislation, that you are making a mistake by eroding the E.P.A.
Take another look at that legislation. I didn't see the Sponsor
say that it had the approval of the E.P.A. of this administra-
tion. If it does, I stand corrected. But no one has yet told
me that it does and I really think that until we have more infor-
mation, this ought to be a resounding 'no'. It's an anti-
environment Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, to explain
his vote."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
The E.P.A. drafted the Amendment to this Bill and that made it
in the condition it is today. When the Bill was originally intro-
duced in the Counties and Townships Committee, it was a Bill that
did not meet with the favorable approval of the Environmental



Protection Agency. They drafted the Amendment which made the Bill what it is today. So I have no objection to the Bill. It's not a Bill that I am exactly enamored of because I don't think it does the job that we were looking for, but in lieu of something else, I think it should pass as well as a Bill that will be coming along a little later that I hope you'll vote for, too. So, Representative, the E.P.A. is in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', 29 'nays', 12 voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On the Calendar appears House Bill 244."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 244. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Revenue Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Ewing. 244, take it out of the record. 251, Mr. Deuster. Mr. Deuster. Out of the record. 289, Mr. Leinenweber. Kempiners."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 289. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It's taken you all afternoon to distinguish between the two of us."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, you look alike."

Kempiners: "He's better looking than I am. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a pretty simple Bill that puts the stun gun or taser in the same category as the switchblade knife and the slingshot and blackjack and other illegal weapons. A stun gun is basically one that is two darts that are powered by a battery that when embedded in the skin sends 50,000 volts into the human nervous system. And it got out of the Judiciary Committee with a resounding vote and I would ask for your support on this Bill here. I have checked with the gun organizations in the State of Illinois. They have no objection whatsoever to this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, I'm in agreement with this Bill. I see no



reasonable effect to ownership of this kind of a weapon. It's hardly a defensive weapon, yet history has shown that it's used in some offensive ways in our society. I think with an interest to social welfare, I think we can do very well without this instrument of stun. And I would recommend voting for this Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. Kempiners, do you wish to close, sir? The question is shall this Bill, shall House Bill 289 pass. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 149 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 295, Mr. Walsh. House Bill 295."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 295. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 295 would create a joint underwriting agreement to supply owners, landlords and tenants, manufacturers and contractors, and vehicle insurance and has amended only for units of local government. The plan has a life of two years and is to be put in effect only in the event that the Director of the Department of Insurance determines that there is a lack of availability for these types of coverages for units of local government. It has been my experience that there is. The Municipal League and the Joint Counties Association headed by former Senator Gottschalk have testified to the effect that there is and the Bill passed the Insurance Committee without a dissenting vote. And I'd urge your support."

Speaker Bradley: "Discussion? Hearing none, the question is shall House Bill 295 pass. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schlickman: "Would it be appropriate to ask for a verification since it's Mr. Walsh's Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "I can appreciate your concern. In view of 149 votes



though... Have all voted who wished? On this question there are 149, 151 'ayes', no 'nays', none voting 'present'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Clerk has called to my attention we have one more Bill that was moved inadvertently to Third, will be returned to Second Reading. House Bill 743 and it hurts me deeply because it's my Bill. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a point of personal privilege I think it is."

Speaker Bradley: "State your point."

Mahar: "I think there's a misunderstanding on the movement of 629 back to Second Reading for fiscal note. I don't think that's really a fiscal note needed on that particular Bill. I wondered if it could be moved back. I spoke to Representative..."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I've withdrawn my request for a fiscal note on that."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, the Gentleman has withdrawn his request, so we'll return that Bill to Third Reading. House Bill 629 will appear on Third Reading then tomorrow. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, to explain the rest of the afternoon activities."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the two o'clock Committees will meet at three o'clock and the four o'clock Committees will meet at..."

Speaker Bradley: "Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Taylor, Chairman of the Committee on Cities and Villages, to which the following Bills were referred; action taken April 1, 1977. Reported the same back with the following recommendation: do pass as amended House Bill 184."

Speaker Bradley: "Now, back to Mr. Madigan, for the timetable."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the two o'clock Committees will meet at three o'clock and the four o'clock Committees will meet at five o'clock. And the plan is that we will convene at twelve o'clock tomorrow."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to indicate to the Members of the Judiciary I Committee that we have a very, very heavy load today and if we can get started at three sharp, we can take care of our work. But please be there at three o'clock."



Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

J. Jones: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask leave to be recorded as voting 'aye' on House Joint Resolution 17 when I was off the floor when the Resolution was passed."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave of the House to be recorded as voting 'aye' on Resolution 17? Mr. Conti, did you wish to be... Mr. Jones, I think the Journal has already been printed on that. That's already gone to the printer, I think, Mr. Jones. I'm sorry. Any other announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams."

Williams: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to have leave to table House Bill 172 the Automotive Repair Act. And I would like to say that I would like to thank Judiciary I and the... that was Representative Mann, the Chairman of the Subcommittee on that. Let's see, Aaron Jaffe and all of the Members of that Subcommittee on auto repair. What we have done is come out of the Subcommittee with one Bill on a joint sponsorship, Representative Daniels, myself and Representative Martin. So I think this is Committee action at its best and I would move at this time to table 172 then."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any objections? The Gentleman asks leave to table House Bill 172. And it shall be tabled. The Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 720 whose major Cosponsors are Representative Cal Schuneman and myself, we would like to have leave to have our names removed as major Cosponsors. And in its place, Representative Jerry Bradley."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any objections? That's House Bill 720. No objections, then you are no longer the Chief Sponsor of that Bill and it will be under my name under Bradley. Thank you. Now, the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Republican Members of Appropriations I are requested to meet in Room 220 immediately after adjournment for five minutes please."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima."



DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to remind the Members of the Veteran's Affairs and Registration and Regulation that we will meet in the House immediately upon adjournment. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Laurino. We've got a number of announcements. We'll get them all."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Elections Committee will meet promptly one minute after adjournment. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On... last week, an Agreed Resolution was adopted, H.J.R. 23, and I wondered if I might have leave to be journalized as 'no' on that Resolution."

Speaker Bradley: "The Journal has already been printed from last week, so it's an impossibility."

Greiman: "Well, but you could add it in the Journal today to make it, to give me leave to do that. It's a motion, you know. Just to note it in the Journal."

Speaker Bradley: "Are there any objections to that being noted in the Journal that Mr. Greiman wishes to be recorded... wished he had been recorded as voting how, sir? On H.J.R. 23, voting 'no'?"

Greiman: "No, yes."

Speaker Bradley: "Hearing no objection, it will be journalized. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Counties and Townships will meet promptly after adjournment in the garden spot of the Capitol, Room 314."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave to hear House Bill 976 tomorrow in the Motor Vehicle Committee at nine a.m. in Room 122B."

Speaker Bradley: "Counties and Townships will meet in Room 310 instead of 314 as announced. Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have leave to post, to hear House Bill 976 in the Motor Vehicles Committee tomorrow."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave to hear House Bill 976



in Motor Vehicles tomorrow? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Could we have an opportunity to look at the Bill,
Mr. Speaker? 976."

Speaker Bradley: "House Bill 976. While you're taking a look, the
Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk. Mr. Polk. You're on, sir."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, is this the appropriate time to request permis-
sion to table a Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "Do you wish to table a Bill?"

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 817, I'm the sole Sponsor of that Bill
and I request premission to table."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman have leave to table House Bill 817?
Hearing no objections, it'll be tabled. Now, Mr. Jones, we could
journalize, we could enter it into the Journal today that you
wish to be recorded as voting 'no', was it? Aye on H.J.R.,
H.J.R. 17. It'll so be journalized. The Gentleman from Lake,
Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I would like leave of the House to table
House Bill 430."

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon, Sir?"

Griesheimer: "I'm asking leave to table House Bill 430."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave to table 430, House
Bill 430? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, is the maker of the motion the Chief Sponsor
of the Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Madigan?"

Madigan: "Is the maker of that motion to table the Chief Sponsor of
the Bill?"

Speaker Bradley: "Are you the Chief Sponsor, Sir?"

Griesheimer: "I am indeed or I wouldn't make the motion. I suggest
to the Majority Leader he check that before he raise that sub-
ject."

Speaker Bradley: "Hearing no objection then, House Bill 430...
Mr. Griesheimer, that was House Bill 430. It shall be tabled.
The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, point of clarification. The Majority Leader
announced earlier that the two o'clock Committees would meet at



three and the four o'clock Committees would meet at five. Then subsequently, we were advised that a four o'clock Committee meeting was going to meet upon adjournment which would be in conflict."

Speaker Bradley: "Which Committee was that?"

Schlickman: "Mr. DiPrima's Committee. He announced upon adjournment and I'm wondering..."

Speaker Bradley: "Mr. DiPrima. Mr. DiPrima. Mr. DiPrima, when... yours is a four o'clock meeting and you're going... Turn Mr. DiPrima on."

Schlickman: "I have no objection, Mr. Chairman. I just want a clarification."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, I retract that previous statement. We were going to meet at 4:30 here in the House. I forgot Members got other meetings."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, Veterans' and R and R will meet at 4:30 in the House floor rather than immediately upon adjournment. The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I would just simply like to announce for anybody that's filed a Bill that has been assigned to the Insurance Committee and I think you know if you have an Insurance Bill, I would appreciate it very much if you would let me or my secretary in Room 2128 know when you would like that Bill set because we're going to set all of the Bills that have been assigned, that when we come back... for the period when we come back after the Easter vacation. There'll be no meeting of the Insurance Committee this week because we met twice last week or had the opportunity to. So please let me know if you had a Bill filed over the weekend and you want it set, we'll get it set on the date that's the most convenient for you."

Speaker Bradley: "All right, Mr. DiPrima, your Committee will not be able to meet until five o'clock because there's going to be some conflicts with the two o'clock Committees meeting late. So the two o'clock Committees are meeting at three and the three or the four o'clock Committees are meeting at five."

DiPrima: "All right, and the Veterans' Affairs, Registration and Regulation



will meet at five o'clock in the House."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Bill 2050 was introduced by mistake as the same Bill 1776 and I would ask unanimous leave to table Bill 2050 for that reason."

Speaker Bradley: "Are you the Chairman of House Bill 2050 then, Sir?"

Skinner: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Bradley: "Does the Gentleman have leave to table House Bill 2050? Hearing no objections, it shall be tabled."

Skinner: "No, it's 1776 which it is identical to. I may have mis-spoken to the Clerk's Office earlier."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Members of the Appropriations II Committee, we will be meeting immediately after adjournment in Room 118. Immediately, come right away. We only have one Bill to be heard. We should be right out if we have full attendance right away. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to also announce to all Members that filed any Appropriation Bills or any Substantive Bills that have a lot of appropriations with them, all of those Bills will be set for hearings between the 21st..."

Speaker Bradley: "I'd just like to warn the Members that they'd better stay in attendance because we may be going back to Third Readings. Pardon me, Mr. Barnes."

E. Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. That any one that filed Bills over the last week, all of those Appropriation Bills will be set the week of the 21st and the week of the 25th. If you have any preference, if you have any preference, please get in touch with my office or get in touch with Representative Peters because those Bills will be set without any further notice. They will be set those two weeks. Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McLendon."

McLendon: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to remind the Members of Personnel and Pensions that we will meet at five o'clock in D1 promptly. We have a number of Bills to dispose of. Thank you."



Speaker Bradley: "Mr. VonBoeckman, did you wish... the Gentleman from Tazewell, Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you haven't given me an answer on hearing of House Bill 976. It's okay with the Leadership on..."

Speaker Bradley: "917, what was the motion, Sir?"

VonBoeckman: "It's 976. I move to have leave to hear it tomorrow in Motor Vehicles."

Speaker Bradley: "Were there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Gentleman has leave to hear House Bill 976 tomorrow in Motor Vehicles. On the Calendar under Third Readings appears House Bill 318. Take it out of the record. 383, House Bill 383. Take it out of the record. 392. House Bill 392."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 392. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the River Conservancy District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Bradley: "Is Mr. Winchester... House Bill 408, Mr. Richmond. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure that I approve of your actions here. You announced that we were going to adjourn and go into Committee meetings and most of the Members have departed the floor. And I'm not sure that I think your tactics are in order and I would certainly question what you're doing until we get some more Members back here on the floor."

Speaker Bradley: "Well, we never did move... accept a motion to adjourn."

Ryan: "Well, I understand that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Bradley: "We were just explaining to the Members what we thought the afternoon was going to bring. And we did not accept that and I asked the Members not to leave the House floor, that we were going to Third Readings, Mr. Ryan. You were not on the floor at that time."

Ryan: "That wasn't when you made that announcement, Mr. Speaker. You did that just a second or two ago and I really resent the way you're handling this situation."

Speaker Bradley: "Be so noted."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Bradley: "On Third Reading appears House Bill 421, Mr. Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell. Out of the record. Senate



Bills, Third Reading. On Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 78."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 78. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the State Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I have to object again. You announced Committee meetings at three o'clock. It is now three o'clock. Many of the Members are down in the Committee rooms waiting to have their meetings or their Committees. And I think that what you're doing here just to pass the Bill that you're so eager to pass, is certainly out of order. And I would request that you reconsider what you're trying to do. But if you insist on doing this, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask for a verification on this Bill if it passes and I want that noted now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Nothing. That's okay, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McBroom."

McBroom: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's not my desire to belabor this subject but I listened to the dialogue here and I don't... for my own edification, I'd like to know... this is going to be standard procedure from now to June 30th to at least convey the impression that we're to go to Committee meetings and then jump back to Third Reading. I would be surprised if that met with your approbation, Mr. Speaker. I don't know exactly who said what, but I know that that was the impression that most of the Members had when the dialogue was finished. Is that going to be a standard thing or not or just a mistake today?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take things one at a time when the time arrives. Representative Madigan, do you seek recognition?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, on Senate Bill 78, this is the Companion Bill to Senate Bill 22 which would provide for an increase in the number of leaders in the Senate on both sides of the aisle. Senate Bill 78 is the Appropriation Bill for Senate Bill 22. And the net effect of the Bills taken together is to increase by one the number of leaders on both sides of the aisle in the Senate."



The Bill does not in any way affect the composition or the configuration of the leadership in the House of Representatives.

It only deals with the Senate leadership arrangements and I would request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, for the very reasons that the Representative Sponsor has suggested, this Bill ought to be killed. It does not affect anything in the leadership in the House. And anyone with... well, you don't need too much experience here to figure out that the House does not get a one-up on the Senate too often. This time, they've gone out and created leadership posts without even deigning to ask our permission. And it's quite obvious that if those of us who may indeed sometime aspire to that honored circle of leadership in the House of Representatives which I would suggest should be every Member on the House floor, at least if past experience is any indication, that we can get three leadership posts for every one leadership post in the Senate. And by passing this, we will be taking that lever away. So, I would earnestly solicit a 'no' vote or a 'present' vote or perhaps a 'present' at Committee while we're voting."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Johnson."

Johnson: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Johnson: "Could you tell me what the fiscal implications of the passage of this Bill are in dollar terms for the state?"

Madigan: "There will be no immediate fiscal implications because the money has already been appropriated. The purpose of this Bill is to satisfy an opinion of the Comptroller to the effect that money already appropriated shall not be used for this purpose, that there ought to be a new appropriation. So that in dollar terms, there will be no expenditure of additional dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I think this was fairly well debated and I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall the main question be put."



Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it.
Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Bill has been adequately explained. I believe it is understood by the entire Membership. I request a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? All voted who wished? All voted who wished? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I should like to explain my vote. I'm voting 'aye' for Senate Bill 78 as I voted 'aye' on the Companion Bill that's on Consideration Postponed. I did it and I'm doing it, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, because I think it's within the province of the Senate to determine for itself how it should organize. I think it's within the province of the Senate to determine what was necessary to bring about the organization of the Senate. Number two, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if there are Members of the House who think that we should increase the number of leadership positions in the House, then that could either have been taken care of by an attempt at Second Reading or a separate Bill could have been introduced on the subject. I think, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, and I'm not privy to anything, I think games are being played by the prevention of the passage of this Bill. And for these reasons, I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, you seek recognition?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to put the cards on the table exactly as they are. And I would hope that you may consider some of this stuff as a laughing matter, but in turn when you're talking about the internal workings of this Body or the Senate, that is a internal affair. And in turn, I would hope that as it was discussed with the leadership of both sides that what's pending in the Senate is the supplemental appropriation for this House of three hundred and fifty thousand dollars and for the Senate, approximately eighty-eight thousand dollars. Now if you want to play Russian roulette, you're doing



so today. But in turn, I just want to assure the Membership that that Body also has to consider many actions that we pass on as well. And I think it's an internal matter. It was decided with... internally. There was a provision for both sides of the aisle and accommodation. And in turn, I believe this Bill should pass. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "All voted who wished? All voted who wished? Somebody seeking recognition? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "At the appropriate time, I'd like to poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Bowman, Brandt, Byers, Campbell, Capparelli, Conti, Daniels, Hoffman, Holewinski, Jim Houlihan, Huff, Huskey, Jaffe, Dave Jones, Kornowicz, Kozubowski, Madison."

Speaker Madigan: "Madison 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Peggy Smith Martin, McAuliffe, McAvoy, Mugalian, O'Brien, Peters, Robinson, Schneider, Sharp, Stearney, VanDuyne, Wall, Willer, and Wolf."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Gene Hoffman as 'aye'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Daniels, arise?"

Daniels: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Daniels as 'aye'. For what purpose does the Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Reed, arise?"

Reed: "Vote me 'aye' please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Vote the Lady as 'aye'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster, arise?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I pushed the wrong button. Will you change me from 'yes' to 'no'?"

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. McMaster as 'no'. Does the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Robinson, seek recognition? No? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, arise?"

Collins: "Speaker, if this gets 89 votes, I'd like to ask for a verification."

Speaker Madigan: "Your leader has already requested that."

Collins: "I wasn't aware of that."

Speaker Madigan: "He's doing a good job, as usual. For what purpose



does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins, arise?"

Collins: "Just an inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Are the people that you're looking for in town? If they're not, we could take short recess."

Speaker Madigan: "If we give you the names, will you go chase them down?"

Collins: "Yes, Sir, if they're not too big."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Reed, arise?"

Reed: "It's late, Mr. Speaker. I've seen the error of my ways. Will you record me 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Lady as 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 86 'ayes' and 59 'nos'. And the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, requests that this Bill be put on the Order of Postponed Consideration. The Bill shall be put on the Order of Postponed Consideration. The two o'clock Committees will meet immediately. The four o'clock Committees will meet at 5:30. And the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, moves to adjourn. All those in favor say 'aye', all those opposed say 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. We are adjourned. Mr. Lechowicz, we are adjourned."

Lechowicz: "Till tomorrow at one o'clock?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes, and tomorrow we'll come in at one o'clock and thank you."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "One o'clock."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	1.
1	1:00	Speaker Redmond	House to Order	
		Reverend Krueger	Prayer	
		Speaker Redmond	Roll Call	
		Madigan	Excused absences	
		Speaker Redmond		
2		Epton	Excused absences	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Reading of Journal	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan	Moves dispense with reading of Journals	
		Speaker Redmond	House Bills, 2nd Reading H.B. 113	
		Clerk O'Brien		
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record, H.B. 308	
		D. Houlihan		
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record, H.B. 325	
		Clerk O'Brien		
3		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 333	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 341	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Taylor		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madison		
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	2.
	1:11	Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 343, 2nd Reading	
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 345, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
4		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 364, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Totten	Hold on 2nd Reading	
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 368, 2nd Rdg., no C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 65	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 379, 2nd Reading	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Griesheimer		
5		Speaker Redmond	Return H.B. 368 to 2nd Rdg.	
	1:15	Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 444, 2nd Rdg, Am. #1	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 464, 2nd Rdg, No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 472, 2nd Rdg., Am. #L adopted	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Schuneman in the Chair	Introduction of Girl's Champion Basketball Team	
6		Coach Strong		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 490, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	3.
	1:20	Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 363, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 Adopted	
7		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 544, 2nd Reading, No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 563, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 579, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		D. Houlihan	Take out of record	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Collins		
		Speaker Redmond		
	1:25	Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 600, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
8		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 603, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2	
		Speaker Redmond	Discussion	
		Yourell	Amendment #2	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Yield?	
9		Yourell	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
	1:29	McMasters	Yield?	
		Yourell	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	4.
		Ryan	Yield?	
		Yourell	Discussion	
10		Speaker Redmond		
		McMaster	Responds	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Asks for order	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 619, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 623, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 629	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Byers		
11		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 624, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond		
	1:35	Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #1	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Levin		
		Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, fiscal note, 2nd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 629, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.	
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 647, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
12		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 682, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	5.
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading	
		Stanley	Leave to table H.B. 1778	
		Speaker Redmond	Tabled	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 702, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 719, 2nd Rdg., No C.A.	
		Speaker Bradley	Leave on 2nd Reading	
	1:39	Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 743, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
13		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	Floor Am. #2	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Pullen		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Terzich	Take out of record	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 805, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 failed	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2	
14		Speaker Bradley		
		Cunningham		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Leverenz	Can't hear	
	1:45	Speaker Bradley	Asks for quiet	
15		Cunningham	Continues	
		Speaker Bradley		
	1:46	Williams		
16		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 805 - Am. adopted, 3rd Rdg.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	6.
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 743, Am. #2 adopted, 3rd Rdg.	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 819, 2nd Rdg. No C.A.	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Geo-Karis	Discussion	
17		Speaker Bradley	Take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 825, 2nd Rdg., Am. #1 adopted	
		Speaker Bradley	3rd Reading	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 27, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley	Take out of record	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 91, 3rd Reading	
	1:52	Speaker Bradley		
18		Deuster		
19		Speaker Bradley		
		Hanahan		
20		Speaker Bradley		
	1:01	D. Houlihan		
21		Speaker Bradley		
		Hudson		
22		Speaker Bradley		
		Meyer		
		Speaker Bradley		
		McPike	Yield?	
23		Deuster	Discussion	
		Speaker Bradley		
		McPike	Addresses the Bill	
24		Speaker Bradley		
		Deuster	To close	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	7.
	2:10	Speaker Bradley	H.B. 91	
		E.G. Steele	Explain vote	
25		Speaker Bradley		
		Williams	Support	
		Speaker Bradley		
	2:15	Geo-Karis	Explain vote	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Bowman	Support	
26		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 91, passed	
		Waddell	Introduction	
		Deputy Counsel Sturdy	Deputy Counsel of Great Britain	
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 629, 623, 619, 563, Move back to 2nd Rdg., fiscal note	
27		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 216, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
	2:20	Mahar		
28		Speaker Bradley		
		Meyer		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Geo-Karis		
29		Speaker Bradley		
	2:27	Leinenweber		
30		Speaker Bradley		
		Adams		
		Speaker Bradley		
		McMaster	Support	
31		Speaker Bradley		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	8.
		Ewing		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Mahar	To close	
	2:30	Speaker Bradley	H.B 216	
32		Epton	Explain vote	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Mann	Explain vote	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Yourell	Explain vote	
33		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 216, passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 289, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
	2:37	Kempiners	Sponsor - H.B. 289	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Kosinski		
34		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 289, passed	
		Clerk O'Brien	H.B. 295, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Walsh		
		Speaker Bradley	passed H.B. 743	
35		Mahar		
		Speaker Bradley		
		Houlihan	Withdraw request for fiscal note	
	2:44	Speaker Bradley	Return H.B. 629 to 3rd Rdg.	
		Madigan		
		Speaker Bradley		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	9.
		Clerk O'Brien	Committee Reports	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Madigan	Gives schedule	
		Speaker Bradley		
	2:45	Mann	Announcement	
		Speaker Bradley		
36		J.D. Jones	Leave to vote 'aye' - H.R. 17	
		Speaker Bradley	Journal already printed	
		Williams	Leave to table H.B. 172	
		Speaker Bradley	Tabled	
		Mautino	H.B. 720, leave to have name removed as Sponsor	
		Speaker Bradley	Leave granted	
		Cunningham	Announcement	
		Speaker Bradley		
37	2:49	DiPrima	Announcement	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Laurino	Announcement	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Greiman	H.J.R. 23, leave to vote 'no'	
		Speaker Bradley	Already journalized, leave granted	
	2:50	Yorell	Announcement	
		Speaker Bradley		
		VonBoeckman	Motion on H.B. 976, leave	
		Speaker Bradley		
38		Madigan	Question	
		Speaker Bradley		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	10.
		Polk	H.B. 817, leave to table	
		Speaker Bradley	Tabled, leave on Jones' request on H.J.R. 17	
		Griesheimer	H.B 430, leave to table	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Madigan	Question	
		Griesheimer		
		Speaker Bradley	H.B. 430 tabled	
		Schlickman	Point of information	
39	2:55	Madigan	Discussion	
		Speaker Bradley		
		Schlickman	No objection	
		DiPrima	retract statement	
		Speaker Bradley		
	2:56	Tipsword		
		Speaker Bradley		
		DiPrima	Announcement	
40		Speaker Bradley		
		Skinner	H.B. 2050, leave to table	
		Speaker Bradley	Tabled	
		E. Barnes	Announcement	
	2:58	Speaker Bradley		
		McLendon		
41		Speaker Bradley		
		VonBoeckman	H.B. 976, motion	
		Speaker Bradley	Leave granted	
		Clerk O'Brien		



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	11.
		Speaker Bradley		
		Ryan		
		Speaker Redmond		
42	3:01	Clerk O'Brien	S.B. 78, 3rd Reading	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Ryan	Objects to calling of Bill	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Telcser		
		Speaker Redmond		
		McBroom	Objects	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Madigan		
43		Speaker Redmond		
	3:05	Skinner		
		Speaker Redmond		
		Johnson	Yield	
		Madigan	Discussion	
		Speaker Redmond		
		McGrew	Moves previous question	
		Speaker Redmond		
44		Madigan	to close	
	3:06	Speaker Redmond		
		Schlickman	Explain 'aye' vote	
		Speaker Redmond		
		Lechowicz		
45		Speaker Redmond		
		Lechowicz	Poll absentees	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>	12.
		Speaker Redmond		
		Clerk O'Brien	Polls absentees	
	3:14	Speaker Madigan		
		Daniels	Vote 'aye'	
		Speaker Madigan		
		Reed	Vote 'aye'	
		Madigan		
		McMaster	Change to 'no'	
	3:16	Speaker Madigan		
		Collins	Requests verification	
		Speaker Madigan		
		Madigan		
46	3:25	Collins	Inquiry	
		Speaker Madigan		
		Reed	Record me 'no'.	
		Speaker Madigan	S.B. 78, Postponed Consideration House adjourned.	
		Lechowicz		
		Speaker Madigan	1:00 p.m. tomorrow	

