1.

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in prayer by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost, Amen. Oh, Lord, bless this House to thy service this day, Amen. Allen Barth said, 'Public service has always offered, and will continue to offer, the greatest challenge to those who can bring to it a sense of honor and dedication'. Let us pray. Our heavenly Father, we ask thy blessing this day, upon the Members of this House of Representatives, that they may fulfill their solemn obligations for the good of the people of this great State of Illinois. Instill within them a sense of the immediacy as they ponder the Legislation for this State....plus all the labor for the good of these people in any capacity.....whether elected, appointed or hired. May the same sense of dedication pervade their hearts....as it does withing the hearts and minds of those in this House. All of which we ask in the name of Jesus Christ, Our Lord, Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, now that the House is back in Session after its brief recess, I move that the House do now stand at ease for fifteen minutes, while the Leadership meets in the Speakers Office....and I'm led to believe....from the Republican Leadership, and I know from the Democratic Leadership, that at about eleven thirty there will be conferences of the respective parties."

Gentleman's Motion......We have a Roll Call..."

Clerk O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright,
Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the

House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate. You've heard the

the House in passage of the Bill of the following title,

2.

towit: House Bill 3946, together with an Amendment, passed by the Senate as amended, July 1, 1976, by a three-fifths vote, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has receded at the request of the House for a second Conference Committee on House Bill 2736..... Action taken, July 1, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of the Bill of the following title, towit; House Bill 3602, passed by the Senate June 30, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages..... Representative Giorgi, Speakers Office, please..... Representative Bradley.....Representative Gerald Bradley, please report to the Speakers Office.

## AT EASE

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder if we could get some attention, Mr. Speaker? The Leadership on both sides of the aisle met with the Senate and then have just come from a meeting in the Speakers Office. We are getting, at this time, some staff sheets put together by the Staff, with regard to the Bills that are still pending, so that the Membership may be completely informed of the content, all the Membership. So that there will be a Democratic Conference, about....a little after 1:30, when we return....

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



Shea:

with regard to a Republican Conference."

3

House. Yes, we will reconvene the House at 1:30 and then shortly thereafter, when information has been gathered and put together for the benefit of the Republican Members, the Republicans will then recess for a Conference in Room 118, shortly after we reconvene at 1:30."

Shea: "And, Mr. Speaker, the Democrats will have a Conference in Room 114, shortly after we return to the House Floor at 1:30. So, I would move now, Mr. Speaker, that the House stand in Recess until the hour of 1:30."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the Gentleman's Motion. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', .... the 'ayes' have it and we stand in recess until 1:30."

## RECESS

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, the Members will please be in their seats. Representative Catania, you are recognized. I think the rules say you are supposed to rise. She yields to Representative Geo-Karis, if Representative Geo-Karis can rise...." Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since so many of us are here this afternoon, perhaps we could expedite the order of business..expeditiously ..." Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, Third Reading... Representative Hart...was it you that said ... 'Speaker'... somebody did? Hart: "I said 'Bingo'." Speaker Redmond: "Huh?" Hart: "I said 'BINGO!'." Speaker Redmond: "Oh...." Hart: "A happy new fiscal year, to you, too." speaker Redmond: "What's that, financial, or every other thing? Hart: "I don't know, it has something to do with your muscles, 'fiscal'...you know... ha...ha.." Speaker Redmond: "This is a 'fiscal' job....Ha..Ha...Repres-



entative Deuster..."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, this might be a good time for me to say something that I've wanted to say for a couple of days. It seems, the other evening in jest, in explaining my vote on a school matter I made a reference to the Chicago school teachers and I think what I said was essentially this, 'a lot of them were a bunch of duds'. I want to apologize for those remarks. Sometimes we say those things, I know particularly, my colleague, Representative Jesse White, on the other side of the aisle, has been a Chicago school teacher and we visited about that and he reminded me of the many...many conscientious, capable school teachers. And, this is one of these things that happens....that I regret the choice of words and I do extend an apology to the many devoted, good school teachers in the Chicago school system."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser. Telcser,
Telcser. Try a different microphone, you've worn that
one out.....Don't try Ryan's, that's really worn out."
Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I just thought I'd tell the Members of

the House, Representative Merlo is doing fine. We took him to the hospital last night and between the long hours of the Session these closing days and a bit of a flu virus....Jack took sick. He is resting well, spent the night in the hospital. And, we think he might be coming out as early as today."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you very much. In the meantime I understand that you're asking leave to cast his proxy vote, is that right, Representative Telcser? Any objections?

Hearing none, .....Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in this soul bearing section, I wanted to observe that yesterday in the rather acrimonious...beginning of the day that ended so harmoniously. I used the phrase that obliquely might have been thought directed at my great



and good friend, Representative Joe Mudd, and I wanted to assure him and each of you that it was not in any way in derogation of the admitted talents of that very fine gentleman, it was a term of endearment. And, as I learned as the day went on, that my high esteem of him was fully justified because he was instrumental in getting Senate Bill 1936, Conference II, here to the Floor, in the form that was ultimately adopted by the House. So, I wanted to publicly express my appreciation for the magnitude of Joe Mudd and express to him my conviction that he's smart enough that he should belong on this side of the center aisle...in the years that lie ahead. And, I hope that he'll continue his splendid efforts on behalf of that particular item in SB-1936. Best Wishes, Joe."

Speaker Redmond: "He assures me that he will, because it is anticipated that there will be 118 Democrats the next time and that will necessitate some sitting on that side of the aisle. Representative Hart."

Hart: "As long as we're apologizing and making confessions, I

want to apologize for the nice thing that I said about

Representative Cunningham the other day. I confess

error and ask forgiveness."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Gentleman's Motion that he be forgiven, are there objections or no objections? Did you notice in this mornings paper that Representative Cunningham's youngster...started her public career. Is that why there weren't any papers downstairs in the newsstand?

...The 54th District is going to have one for every...

...Representative Epton, do you have any...letters....
that you have to read today...to the beloved?......

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, unfortunately I have nothing to relieve the tention of the moment, but I would remind this House,



2.7

Ladies and Gentlemen, that some years ago, Mark Twain made the statement that it could be probably shown by facts and figures that there is no distinctly native American criminal class except Congress. I certainly hope that we will not put that truth to the test here today."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I was so happy not to hear Representative Epton give us another lecture, you know, the other week, last week he lectured us on not using someone's name in debate. And, he gave a real testimonial to Ray Hudson. And, I counted after that .... three different times where he used person's names in debate, sometimes not in the best manner either. I thought I was going to get another lecture. I appreciate the fact that he didn't lecture us...again."

Speaker Redmond: "The Journal will so show....Representative Epton."

I think that my colleague is perfectly right, however,

I would point out there is a marked distinction between

speaking about a fellow Member by name and speaking well

of him...as against speaking about a fellow Member with

venom."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz for an announcement."

Katz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I talked to the Department of Public

Health and they said if the State of Illinois was in as

good a shape as Jack Merlo, we'd have nothing to worry

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

about."

Madison: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think there are a lot of us on the Democratic side of the aisle that would like to partake of some peanuts in Chicago tonight and I'm wondering if the Speaker knows whether or not we'll make it?"

Speaker Redmond: "I can't tell you yet. The next couple of



hours may tell you....I can't tell you yet."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: ".....In the meantime, does anybody want to sing that song....'Peanuts'...? Representative Ralph Dunn, to sing 'Peanuts'."

Dunn: "I was going to suggest that Representative Madison tell us when we're going to get out..."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in Executive Session. Representative Giorgi, will you take Representative Hanahan off the Floor, we have some business to transact out here.... Representative Shea....I understand that quite a bit of the Membership is in the Conference Room, is that correct?.......Representative Keller..."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker....you told us that we were supposed to be here....the House was going to come in....to order at 11 o'clock this morning and....unbeknown to any of the Members...the Leadership finally called their meeting for 11 o'clock and now we are approaching the hour of 2 o'clock. I'd like to know what we're going to do because there's some of us that do have plans....to do things and have to get back to take

care of our businesses....or our law firms or whatever they might be....and I think that you deserve us the right to tell us what we're going to do...And ... tell' us when we're going to meet and if we're going to meet at 2 o'clock or 1:30. We're supposed to go in at 1:30.....and have conferences...that was what was said to us about 12 o'clock when you finally went in....And, find out what we are going to do..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea.."

Shea: "Well, to help straighten Mr. Keller and the rest of the Membership out, at this time, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House stand in recess until 3 o'clock and that there be a Democratic Conference in Room 114. As far as what



8.

the plans are, I'm sure that ...that depends on what happens in our respective conferences. There are, at the present time at least, five agencies of State government that remain unfunded and I would assume it's going to be the posture of all of the Members that they will stay in Springfield until those agencies are funded."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Republicans will meet for an....in conference...in Room 118 and we'll try and be back here at 3 o'clock also....The Republicans will meet immediately in Room 118."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that we stand in Recess until 3 o'clock, for the purpose of party conferences....All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', .... the 'ayes' have it .....The House is in Recess until 3 o'clock.........What dinner.....I

Matijevich: "I might tell the Members that you've got some

'Blue Books' in your Offices....the Bicentennial

Edition....if you want to pack up your cars...There's

about a dozen of them in each office..."

## RECESS

Doorkeeper: "All persons not entitled to the House Floor, please retire to the Gallery..."

Speaker Redmond: "Republican and Democratic Leadership of the
House are meeting with the leaders from the Senate. We
anticipate they'll be back around five.....well....
I had other problems....The House will come to order,
Members will please be in their seats. Roll Call for

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd

attendance.....Representative Marovitz.."



9.

like to report on the condition of one of our fine Members and I would also like the Record to reflect that Representative Merlo is absent because of illness, but I'm happy to report that our good friend, Jack Merlo, is out of the hospital, back at the State House Inn. He's feeling much better and he sends his best to everybody and thanks everybody for thinking of him."

Speaker Redmond: "Take the Attendance Roll Call, Mr. Clerk.

On the Order of Business is Conference Committee Reports. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, J. J.

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and I thank the Members for spending the day here while the Leadership has met and we've had conferences. Let me explain where I think the posture of where we're at with regards to funding of State government, so that we all know. The Bill to fund the Department of Corrections had a Second Conference Committee Report and that report was adopted in the Senate and the House did fail to adopt it last evening. The Department of Children and Family Services, both Houses rejected the First Conference Committee Report and that is now in the posture of a Second Conference Committee Report. With regards to the funding of the Department of Mental Health, both Houses rejected the First Conference Committee Report and we are now theoretically in a Second Conference Committee. With regard to the funding for Junior Colleges, the Senate adopted a Second Conference Committee Report and the House last night failed to adopt a Second Conference Committee Report. The Department of General Services is a Senate Bill that has been amended in the House that is now on the Senate Calendar waiting for concurrence or rejection of House Amendments. The Capital Bond or the Bonding Authority and the amount of Bonds outstanding is a



House Bill that passed the House, went to the Senate. There are three Amendments from the Senate and it is now back in the House for either the House to concur or reject the Senate Amendment. The Bills for the Capital project passed the Senate, came to the House and was amended and is now back in the Senate waiting for concurrence. The Department of Conservation went to a Conference Committee, was adopted by this House and now resides in the Senate waiting adoption. The miscellaneous Judicial agencies, such as the Appellate Defender, etc. is in a Second Conference Committee, the First Conference Committee Report having been rejected by both Houses. And the funding for the Waterway projects of the State is in it, there was a Second Conference Committee and the House failed to adopt the Second Conference Committee. Under our Rules, as I read them, under Rule 68b or c, under 68c, all the Bills that were in Conference Committee and were not acted on prior to Twelve O'Clock this morning are now dead. At least as far as the House goes. It will take 107 votes to revive those Bills. and put them back in the posture they were. It will take 107 votes to suspend the appropriate rule and revive them. We met this morning with the Republican Leadership across the aisle, discussed our problems, went to our respective conferences. After meeting with the Republican Leadership, when we came back, it is, their opinion that we must act on these one at a time, to suspend the Rule that they will not give us the votes to do it on one Motion. Further, at least Members of our Conference wanted to see if there was a possibility of reviving the Second Conference Committee Report in Corrections and we just came from the meeting and when I say we, I went there with a Member of the Minority Leadership and was subsequently joined by the Leadership, the Speaker, the Minority Leader,



and the Senate has taken the position that they have adopted the Second Conference Committee Report on Corrections, and that is there position, so in order to fund State government, to discharge at least my responsibility and I hope every Member of this House in order to get off the dime, I would now move, Mr. Speaker, to suspend Rule 68c with regard to the Department of Corrections' Bill, which is Senate Bill 1625, so that the House will be in the posture to hear that Bill, so that we may fund State government and I would so move."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I regret that we have to be here in Session on July 1, when we should have adjourned in an orderly fashion yesterday or even a day or two before that, and we all know why we're here and we know we're here because of the lack of effective leadership on the Democratic side of the aisle and we know that we're here past our deadline because of petty party politics played over on that side of the aisle. In fact, their unwillingness to settle down and go to work. Now the Republicans have been here every day we've been in Session and I think, Mr. Speaker, it's a shame and very unfair for you to call a Session of this House at Eleven O'Clock this morning and not really come into session until 6:30 at night. Now we're here to do our part. I hope that the Democrats are here to do their part, too. We're going to find out pretty soon."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Would the maker of the Motion yield for a question?"

Shea: "Yes."

Hanahan: "Mr. Majority Leader, you said that you're offering the Motion to suspend, so that the House may, I can't



recall the correct word, may decide the issue of the Second Conference Committee Report?"

Shea: "Last night, the Motion was to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. I think I was in the Chair at the time that Motion was made by Mr. Kosinski. On that Motion, there were not 89 votes and I ruled that the House did fail to adopt. That Bill, if this Motion prevails, in my Opinion, is in a position for somebody to make a Motion to adopt the Second Conference Committee

Report and if somebody makes that Motion, I will support it, so that we may fund State government."

"Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, so that we

make this perfectly clear, we're being asked to suspend the Rule so that the gun could be loaded and so that the trigger could be pulled by a deal set up between two Leaderships, both Republican and Democratic Leadership, to negate a policy in a plank of the Democratic Party. That's what's being asked here. I want to make it perfectly clear that this is Russian Roulet with a lot of lives and a lot of People at stake, that this request is being made at. I don't like to be put in the position of being an obstructionist for the sake of being an obstructionist, but the fact remains you're asking us to give for your benefit so that you can turn the cannon on ourselves so that you could pull the trigger on what the Motion, the only Motion, that will be allowed and the only discussion that could take place will be to adopt, when the real issue and the real position should be, so that we may confer and that we may be able to discuss intelligently, logically, and with some conscienceof what we are doing on this issue, but I'll tell you, Mr. Majority Leader, I'll support your Motion. I'll meet you more than halfway. I'll support your Motion to suspend. I would like the same courtesy in behalf of the sixty thousand



State employees being granted back to us. We would then like to confer instead of having that gun placed to our heads, so you and your almighty power from Chicago could pull the trigger."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, two brief points. First in response to my good friend, the Minority Leader. We are not here because of the failure of the Democratic Leadership. We are here because the two sides have failed to come to agreement and it appears to me that if we were here, not agreeing, then we would have failed the Public. Our Leadership has been magnificant. They have been thorough. They've been complete. They've been honest. I have not always agreed with them, but I cannot sit idly by and let them be castigated from a reasonable grounds. I've been here 12 years. Four of those terms we were here beyond the deadline. There's nothing magic about a deadline. Legislation is a continuim and it should be. You don't shut off at one date and say we take no more input here. You constantly struggle to do the best you can for the People you represent, and for that reason, I've gone along with Mr. Hanahan. I think he is absolutely right. I think he has put the case for labor in this State very ably, whether you believe it or not, admit it or not, he's done a magnificant job for the State Employees, which Chew set up the agencies to employ, and I support him. I shall continue to support him. I submit to you, however, Mr. Hanahan, that in going along and I'll go with you, we're putting ourselves in a crippled position. I have a feeling that if this Motion carries, Collective Bargaining will go down the drain. You don't want to frustrate the work of this Assembly nor do I, but I'm use to getting it



whipped. It's getting to be my style, and every year at this time, the things we fought for throughout the entire year go down the drain. They don't go down the drain because of the Leadership. They go down the drain because of failure of us to recognize and face up to our fundamental responsibilites. The issue is not whether and how they arrived at the Collective Bargaining Agreement. We agreed with it by funding that agency. That's over and done with. The simple issue is does the State have the funds, I submit to ask. Go back to DOT. Go back through all those bonds. We could pull out the money there and some of the People who are saying that we shouldn't give this money for Collective Bargaining were the first sticking their hands down to the elbow into the public coffers for boundoggle and special interests in their own districts. Shame on you. When this Bill goes out, without Collective Bargaining, I'm going to walk home proud because I think I've put up the fight for labor in this State. I don't think some of you will. Don't get on your high and mighty and start calling each other names. Don't put the blame on anybody but yourselves. On both sides of the aisles, if we fail to do that which we should do for labor in this State."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, as most of you know, I'm quite firm in my belief that the State's faith in Collective Bargaining is on trial tonight. I'm going to ask Jerry Shea one question before I continue my discussion. Jerry, if we grant you this request to give you the 107 votes, and then the vote is taken on 1625, after the discussion that will be held, will you assure

us that that Bill can go back for discussion if it is defeated as it has been in the past, if it takes

I'll go along with your Tom. I'm not happy about it."



a Third Conference, a Fourth Conference to get the consensus of this Membership in which it was defeated the last two times? Would you give us that assurance that it would go to a Third Conference?"

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, or Mr. Schraeder, let me first state that along with the questions I asked the Leadership in the Senate was, what about a Third Conference Committee and I got told that the Second Conference Committee was it; that there must ben an end to debate, negotiation, to legislation, but let me try to answer, if I might, Representative, one other question for you, because I had Labor tell me or at least a voice that purports to be Labor that there is no money for Collective Bargaining in this Bill. The Collective Bargaining Agreement was signed in 1975 and is up for negotiation in November of this year and the State has met its obligation under that Contract. Let's talk dollars. In the Department of Corrections last year, the estimate expenditures are just a shade over \$91 million. In this, in this...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Bill, there are \$99 million...."

Tipsword: "The Gentleman has answered the question. His time is over."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder,"

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure that answer is sufficient. He told me what the Senate was trying to tell this House of Representatives. I want to know the Majority Leader's position on a Third Conference.

Is he opposed to it? And if so, I would like to know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "I think the Motion before the Body is to suspend the
Rules and I think all of this is out of Order."



Shea:

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. The Point is well taken.

Representative Duff."

Duff: "Has a Motion, in fact, been made?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we're talking about a de-

liberative Body. We're talking about the State of finances. We're talking about whether or not we're going to fund it and Representative Kane, why don't you sit down and listen once in a while. Even if I'm out of Order, I'm entitled to a Point of Personal Privilege, I'll ask for it. May I have a Point?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Riccolo. I think all of the discussions should be aimed on the question of the Gentleman's Motion to suspend the Rule. Representative Riccolo."

Riccolo: "Point of Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Riccolo sought recognition

prior to you, Representative Matijevich. O'kay, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Representative Kane happened to be right.

in the Point of Order that all of this is Out of Order,

and it is a Motion to suspend the Rule, which is not

debatable and he happens to be right. That's my Point

of Order."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. The Chair agrees with you.

Representative Riccolo."

Riccolo: "Point of Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your Point."

Riccolo: "If this Motion is adopted, will it then take 107 or 89 votes to accept the Second Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Redmond: "107."

Riccolo: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Gentleman's Motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 68c, with respect to



the posture of Senate Bill 1265 of the Second Conference Committee Report. Representative Madison, for what purpose do you arise?"

Madison: "On a Point of Order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your Point."

Madison: "Has it been the Chair's position that the provisions of Rule 68c that contained a date certain being June 30th, is it the Chair's position that if this Rule.

is suspended, it can be retroactively applied."

Speaker Redmond: "By 107 votes."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea to close. Question's on the Gentleman's Motion to the suspension of Rule 68c

Those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? 107 votes. Representative James Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we spent a great deal of time in our caucus, discussing this Motion and our Leadership suggested to us that this was the only way that we could get into the posture of even dealing with the issue that we weren't able to deal with last night. It was pointed out that time and time again, this House, not just the Democratic side, but this House went on record as being in favor of these funds, in favor of Collective Bargaining and in favor of the Bills as they went out of the House. I don't think it's Leadership for us to be asked to walk into a brick wall a second time. Representative Shea has indicated, no we're not going to reconsider. We're not going to evaluate the Conference Committee. We're not going to ask the Senate to recind. We're just going to ask you to walk into the wall again and I don't think that's Leadership.



I don't think the issue here is whether Labor from outside can tell us what to do. The issue is whether or not our Leadership will listen to the elected Members of our Parties or whether they will listen rather to an outside voice to try to tell us how we should be Democrats. They're not listening to the elected Democratic voice in the Legislature and that is a sad day. I would suggest that when we pass these Bills we may look at next Session. We may even begin to consider the agreed Bill process. We may take a big step backward for the Democratic party to be determining their plank here in Springfield."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann:

"Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to explain that present vote. You know, there is a premise which has been expressed here, which I do not accept. Namely, that I am called as a Legislato now to fund State government. Don't put that collar on me. Put that Collar on the Senate. It is the Senate that has said the Second Conference Report or nothing. It is the Senate that's handing down a ultimatum to every Member in this House. You do it our way, because we are the Senior Body. You are our little brother. Let's get that record straight. The question of whether or not State government should be funded at this Point is a combined responsibility of the Senate and the House and when the Senate says we will sit down with you to discuss Conference Reports that you do not feel are fair or equitable, then we are in a position of taking some responsibility for the funding of State government and its continuity. I regard this vote as a vote on whether or not we're going to accept Conference Report #2 on Corrections and I want to say right now, I am not going to accept that Report because it comes from a Body which is shoving



it down my throat. When they're ready to sit down as a Legislative Body on parity with the House and discuss the issues involved, then I will take responsibilities for funding and the continuity of State government. Nobody's going to put a gun to my head and tell me what I have to do because they are intransient about the their own duties."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea:

"I ask my Colleagues to put 107 votes up on the Floor, not to vote for the Bill up or down, but to put it in a posture to discuss the Bill; that's what we want to do. We want to discuss the Bill. We want to find out if we should or should not accept the Second Conference Committee Report. What's in it and how does it affect us and how does it affect the State employees? And we can't get to that position unless there's 107 votes on that Roll Call, so we can talk about the Bill in its present posture."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's not many Members in this House, but what have seen the hussle go on between the House and the Senate as far as final actions on various and sundry pieces of legislation is concerned and there's certainly not a Member of this House that what is aware of the feeling that I have as far as the House is concerned and its ability to make up its own mind as far as the direction it wishes to take on Legislation, on Conferend Committee Reports and various other matters of Legislative government that comes before the House and the Senate both, and there's no one but what can doubt that throughout the years that we've been involved in Legislative process that I've always maintained the right of 177 if you will versus the right of the 59. That's 177 Members of this House, certainly has the



perogative, the ability and the constitutional right to have input into Legislation on an equal basis, the same as 59 State Senators. I don't think that that's really the basic question that this Roll Call is all about. I think that I agree with my friend, Tom Hanahan and Jack Hill, the Majority Leader and others that the basic question is whether we keep this piece of Legislation alive for discussion, for debate, for input as far as the House Members and the Senate Members are concerned and yes, for the due and orderly operation of State government. The question that is contained in this suspension of the Rule is only to keep Senate Bill 1625 and the Conference Committee Report alive. I would think that it would be in the best interest of each and everyone of us as well as the employees affected by this Legislation as well as the operation of State government and all of the People of this State, that we do keep it alive; that we continue to discuss; that we continue to have input and continue, and continue, attempting to bring about, the resolvement of this question to the best of our ability and the interest of these employees and certainly in the interest of the People of the State of Illinois. That's why I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm thinking about yesterday and what happened yesterday and a lot of us thought we would be here and I'm reminded as saying that today is that tomorrow that so many of us were worried about yesterday and I'll tell you, if we don't do what we should be doing today, then we can say the same thing tomorrow, because we're going to be here tomorrow and we may be here next week and I've heard it said about the Senate putting us in this box. We put us in our own box. We enacted



this House Rule. The Senate didn't enact that Rule. They can actually do anything they want over there. They've come to a decision. The problem is we don't have any joint rules that ought to work out the problem that we've got now. We've been actually working through a maize because we don't have Joint Rules, under which we ought to operate, but I think what's most important now and I hear People say that we ought to stay here. There's nothing magic about a deadline, that we can solve these things, just stick around. We are in annual session. We are coming back in November. There's nothing in these Conference Committee Reports that we cannot really adopt right today, actually, and take care of our problems when we come back. Let's face it. We all know that. can get out of here today. We can resolve this matter today, and if there are any deficiencies, we can come back in November. We know that, and even if we couldn't do it in November, we know that the new Body can do it next year. Everything can be done. I don't know all of the solutions to the problem. None of us do. Don't anybody think that by staying here tomorrow, the next day and next week, that we're going to solve all the problems. Really what is behind this is a power struggle. It's a political struggle. a labor struggle and I helped Labor last night because I've been a friend of Labor, but at Midnight, they lost me. At Midnight, they lost me, because now we have to take care of the needs of People and I'm confident that when this Roll Call is over, there's going to be cooperation to fund State government, cooperation with Democrats and Republicans alike; to make sure that patients are taken care of, not labor unions, patients are taken care of and that all of State government will operate. We've got some work to do, but we can do it tonight and



22.

therefore, I vote 'aye' and I ask others to join to vote 'aye' and get our work done. Otherwise, we just look like fools and we know it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll speak along the same lines as Representative Matijevich. Inthink the thing's that's important to this Body is not at this stage of the game a Collective Bargaining or the Party Politics or who's right, the House or the Senate. I think that the families of the children served by the Department of Child and Family Services, I think that the patients in the Mental Hospitals in Illinois, the inmates in the Institutions, the employees who work for these agencies of government that are not funded, they're not at this stage of the game concerned about Collective Bargaining, about party politics, or House-Senate bickering, but I think the operation of State government has to transcend these problems that we here in Springfield sometimes get ourselves involved in and I think it's time that we should start worrying about the patients and the families and the People that we're sent here to represent and put 107 votes on this Bill so we can go on about our business in an orderly fashion and do the Job that the People elected us and sent us to Springfield to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Point of Inquiry."

Speaker Redmond: "State your Point."

Washburn: "So we'll know exactly what we're voting on here,

would you have the Clerk either read the Motion or have Representative Shea repeat it. My Point is, is the Motion to resurrect only Senate Bill 1625?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes. Only 1625."

Washburn: "The Motion only pertains to Senate Bill 1625. Thank

you."



Speaker Redmond: "Read the Motion, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. I move that the House suspend Rule

68c in order to consider the Second Conference Com-

mittee Report on Senate Bill 1625'. Gerald Shea."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative

Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, to hear the tone of this Conference, you'd think that it was the Labor

Conference. I think that's wrong. I think our concern

has to be the People of the entire State and the People

of the entire District. I've been down here a number

of years also and I've seen us make our case, go all

the way to the end and see our hopes and aspirations

die in that pit they call the Senate. The Senate has

traditionally ruled this House. The Senate has set

the pace and we have followed and I'd like to say that

I've heard many of the Members stand up and castigate

one of our past Speakers. I've heard mice, mind you,

from the other side when the Lions had the gavel who

refused to even squeak. Now, these mice rise up and

defame his name, but in defense I'll say that Robert

Blair was the only man whoever brought the Senate back

because the House said 'no'. I think, I think we can

say 'no' to the Senate. I think we can tell them, come

back for a Third or fourth or Fifth and a Sixth. We

get paid on a yearly basis and in the wisdom of the

Members of this House, we accepted it monthly and maybe

we ought to start monthly, but I'm willing to cooperate

I'm willing to go with the Leadership and I've got my

bag packed, but I'll stay here. I'll stay here today,

tonight, tomorrow, next week and all summer if we get

something out of this deal, but I want to make it clear

right now that don't count me in that part that says

this is strictly a labor Bill. I want something for

my People. I want something for my District and I'm



going to stay here and fight to get it and I'll vote 'aye' on that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

wrong. The emphasis in a compromise is on give and take and this is what it's all about, and I don't see any reason why we can't meet and why we can't give and why we can't take. Fundamentally, this is what Democracy is all about. That's why I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there's 133 'aye' and l 'no'. The Gentleman's Motion prevails. Provisions of Rule 68c are suspended. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, so I understand the posture of where
we're at, Senate Bill 1625 failed to receive the
requisite votes to be adopted, and the Chair announced
that the Motion to adopt failed. Now that Bill is
still before the House in a position for Mr. Kosinski

to make his Motion to adopt now, is it not?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, it is Sir."

Shea: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, as Conference Chairman, in the interest of immediate public safety for the People of Illinois....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I have a substitute Motion to move
to recommit this Second Conference Committee Report
on Senate Bill 1625 to that Second Conference Committee
and to instruct the House Conferees to refuse to
agree to the Report recommending that House recede from



House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 1625."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle, for what purpose do you rise?"

Boyle: "I rise to make a Motion regretably to Table the Substitute Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman's Motion, in my opinion, is not in Order. In the first place, we can't move to recommit and to instruct at the same time, but I've never heard of a Motion to recommit a Conference Committee Report to a Conference Committee, because they simply don't exist after the Report is made."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, do you seek recognition?"

Duff: "No, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I was under the impression that the Majority Leader,
upon making his Motion, clearly said we were going to
confer before this Motion was put. Are we going to
negotiate after the Roll Call or before it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well perhaps the voice of Labor didn't hear what I said, so let me reiterate what I said."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, it appears that this is all ludicrous.

The Motion to lay on the Table was unnecessary because

the Motion by Representative Houlihan was out of Order. He made a substitute Motion to which no Motion had been

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Thank you. Representative Kosinski. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose

do you rise?"

made."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, at the time that Representative



Kosinski makes his Motion, I would like to move to make my substitute Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "It's an anticipatory breech. Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, in anticipation of consideration of a Motion in the usual fashion, by Members of this Body, which in my mind is a period of discussion, I wish to proceed with the Conference Committee Report and the Motion. As Conference Chairman, and I repeat in the interest of immediate public safety for the People of Illinois, and on the assurance the monies to pay our contractual obligations to employees by 2% line item transfer, as shown by Democratic Leadership, and with the addition of 100 Correction guards and employees to public safety by Amendment and with the assumption that the will of the Conference Committee was a Democratic Bill, I repeat my Motion to concur with the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1625 as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "On a Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. I think the

Gentleman's Motion is out of Order. The Conference

Committee Report #2 has been acted on and it failed.

It appears to me that the Gentleman now has to move
to consider, to reconsider the vote and he has to have

voted on the prevailing side."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, the Conference

Committee did not fail. The Motion was that it be
adopted and that Motion failed and the reverse Motion
was not put. Representative Matijevich. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "It was my understanding, Mr. Speaker, that our original Motion put this Conference Committee Report into the posture it was at the beginning, for further consideration. Is that correct, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."



Kosinski: "Then my Order is in Order and I open it to discussion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your Point."

Totten: "Is the Person making the Motion saying Conference Committee Report is amended?"

Kosinski: "I did."

Totten: "What does 'as amended' mean?"

Kosinski: "The Amendment was the addition of \$1,165,200, for the specific purpose of hiring 100 additional Correction guards."

Totten: "Then a Parliamentary Inquiry. That was part of
the Conference Committee Report and the Motion should
not be as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're right, Representative
Totten. The Conference Committee Report did show the
\$1,165,200 and there was no Amendment to the Report."

Rosinski: "That is correct, Mr. Speaker. It is part of the

Report under Section 4.1. Yes, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, would you please rule then, Sir, on Robert's Rule of Order on dilitory and improper Motions on Page 291 on presenting a likewise Motion as out of Order that present practically the same question as a Motion previously decided at the same Session or that conflict where a Motion has been adopted by the society and has been neither rescinded or reconsidered and rejected after adoption. If a Motion of this kind is adopted, it is null and void."

Speaker Redmond: "Robert's Rules of Order provide for the renewability of a Motion on a different day, and if you will refer to that Section of Robert's Rules of Order, I think you'll find it prevails."

Hanahan: "On a different day, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."



Tahan: "What part is that under? I read this through and I couldn't find it."

aker Redmond: "Section 37. Representative Dan Houlihan."
iihan: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Kosinski having made
his Motion, I would now like to renew my Motion that
says a substitute Motion."

that I have been here, I've never seen the Motion or a similar Motion. Therefore, Representative Madison has previously questioned whether or not the Motion is in Order and I would have to agree, that as far as I'm concerned, there is no Rule that covers it.

I have never seen it and custom and practice, so I will have to Rule that the Motion is Out of Order.

Representative McGrew."

rew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

- aker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question.

  The question is, shall the main question be put?

  Representative Shea."
- a: "I would ask my Colleague to withdraw that, because

  I think there should be some debate because I think

  there's been some misrepresentation made that some

  of us would like to talk about."
- Paker Redmond: "The Chair would appreciate it if that

  Motion would be withdrawn. I concur with the Majority

  Leader. Representative McGrew."
- Grew: "Well, I will yield to the Chair's wisdom, but I would also point out that we do have one minute in the Rules to explain our votes, that anyone who has something to say can amply say it."

eaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

nahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of this House. The adoption
of this Conference Committee Report is exactly as
predicted and I apologize publically to Representative

Washington, his observations are probably more acute than mine, in that we were allowed all of a minute and a half between the adoption of the Motion to allow as Gentlemen, given and granted to the Majority Leader's Motion and then the shotgun is immediately turned upon us, with no time to discuss, no time to participate in a Democratic process, but to be shoved down the tube, with being forced to vote up or down on another Conference Committee Report that has already been discussed by this Body. Only difference is now it needs 107 votes. Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of this House, I intend to vote 'no' and that vote 'no' isn't because I'm a purported spokesman of Labor. That vote 'no' reflects the hopes and aspirations of working men and women. That vote 'no' represents the hopes and aspirations of guards and of employees of our State prisons that are going to be shafted by this Conference Committee Report. That vote 'no' represents the negating, the negating in how the Leadership of the Democratic Party on this Floor could stand there, bold-faced, in control of the State Convention and put in a plank, a plank for Collective Bargaining and then stand here and say that they're going to vote 'aye' on this Motion to concur. Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Democratic Party is going to be around a lot longer than some of the People that are speaking for this kind of action, because the Democratic Party, like Representative Ewell is going to be hearing from People, from working men and women and the Democratic Party is going to be asking them for help this November and the Democratic Party is going to be needing their help, but Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there's going to be thousands and thousands of workers and I don't mean just State employees, I mean employees in private



industry who will walk with their brothers and sisters in total solidarity, in total solidarity on this Point, and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, I just say this in behalf of those of you who may not even concern yourself with the hopes and aspirations of Labor, that now start thinking of the types of inhuman treatment that is going to be brought about by reduction of amounts of money that are needed to properly train or to properly have, covering our State institutions in the Department of Corrections. Stop and think what the sentencing that you're now performing by an affirmative vote on this measure, the sentencing to the inhuman conditions that are created with overworked employees and under-paid employees of the Department of Corrections. This Amendment will help foster that kind of condition and how any Democrat, who says he's a Democrat could stand up here with those two issues being at the forefront, say that they could be proud and walk home with their head held high knowing full well what this vote is going to mean, I don't understand that kind of Democrat, but the kind of Democrat that I think I'd like to follow, the kind of Democratic Leadership that I'd like to think I belong to is the kind that helps people that need their help; the kind of Democratic Leadership that shows a little light at the end of the tunnel; not a boldfaced 'no' and a slammed door to hopes and aspirations of working People. Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, this is going to be a sad day and it's not going to be so sad for the Republicans. I don't blame them for what they're doing. I don't even care what they're doing. There's 101 Democrats sitting in this House that were elected with commitments made, prior to election, saying that they were going to stand fast and help working men and women in this State. They



asked for that support before the election and were delivered of votes at election day to be seated here. Now all of a sudden, because it's past Midnight, because it's a little hairy because you want to get home or because you're tired, that all of a sudden you turn your back on human beings and that's what this Motion is all about. The turning of your back on the needs, the hopes and the aspirations of our State employees, who fundamentally have every American right granted to them, except the right to be a first class citizen with the action of some of the Leadership that is advocated an affirmative vote. As for me, I would sooner stay here until Labor Day. I would sooner stay here until Labor Day and weep at some of the actions of some so-called Democrats, who have been beating their breasts about how great and liberal they are. I say to them, we're better off with some of our enemies than some of our friends."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Ah...."

Speaker Redmond: "There will be no demonstration in the Gallery. If there is another Demonstration, I will clear the Gallery. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the issue isn't all as the voice of Labor purports to tell it. Conference Committee Report #2 on Senate Bill 1625 provides monies for those additional guards, the Department of Corrections has requested. As a signer of that Conference Committee Report, I didn't necessarily agree with everything that it contained, but I think if this General Assembly is going to act in a responsible fashion, that at least this compromise is in the best interest of the People of the State of Illinois. I think also that transcending everything we do down here in these next few hours



is the issue that the Gentleman from McHenry has brought before us. As a Member of the House Appropriations Committee, I am concerned that if we follow the course, honoring the Collective Bargaining Agreement, that we might as well close our doors as Members of this Legislature. By having these Collective Bargaining Agreements honored, the Appropriations Committee becomes a farce. All monies for salaries will be dictated to us before we even come down here. Twenty-five percent of our budget is made up of Federal grants that we have to match. There's little we can do on it, and a good portion of the rest of it will be negotiated by People before we even get down there. I think it's a sad day, not only for the People of the State of Illinois, but all over this Nation if we bow to that blackmail and fail to adopt this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to speak to two topics and very briefly. The first one is the safety of the citizens of the State of Illinois. As a Member for the last four years of the Peniteniary Visitation Committee, I have talked with many prison guards, many wardens; in fact, all the wardens in the State that are now serving and I've done so with other Members of the Legislature, and I've certainly talked with many security officers in the peniteniary system and if you would know the serious problems that they face in the peniteniaries on security, you would know this is not an issue to be taken lightly. These People are serving at a very minimum wage for the security that they must give to the citizens of Illinois and I've gone behind those bars and they've closed behind me and I felt as a free citizen, what a feeling it is, what



must it be for a man who is there to secure our protection, when he knows he's amongst them all day long, all year long, and to think that he's been given something in Collective Bargaining to show our appreciation, something that he did within good faith, that the State of Illinois did in good faith; gave him a wage increase, hopefully to compensate for his travice within the peniteniary halls, where he might face death upon immediate action by one of the inmates. We've got to protect the citizens of Illinois and we've got to make sure that we have an adequate sufficent supply of security officers and when we give them an adequate payroll, they will be there, but when we tell them, here is your pay, but it's not what we agreed to give you, but it's a token, and then we take it away, how can they in the world feel like they ought to protect us, who can't even protect them when they negotiated in good faith, and I say, if we don't want to protect the security guards in what we've offered them, then we ought to offer some protection for the citizens in Illinois and we ought to maintain those prison guards in the prisons where they're doing the job. Keep the force up, add the money that Roman Kosinski has said we should give to increase the guard force, but give them the wages that they deserve and which they negotiated for and I'm for one am going to say I want my citizens protected and if you don't feel that way, then you vote green, but if you want the citizens protected, then you send this back to the Conference Committee or reconvene the other, whichever is the legal way of doing it. We do not have to accept this Conference Committee Report. There's nothing prohibiting us from doing that. I say send it back, get the wages for these guards that they negotiated for and protect the citizens of Illinois. 'I'm going to vote 'no' "



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I've heard a lot of rhetoric in the last two days as to who's to blame for blocking this particular Conference Report. I've heard the Senate blamed. I've heard all kinds of People blamed. The fact of the matter is, there has been no public affirmation by this General Assembly to the concept of Collective Bargaining to public employees. I can understand the frustration of the Man from McHenry. He is the Man who has sponsored the Bill. He has been defeated on a number of occasions in this General Assembly. I understand that it's not pleasant to get defeated. I've been defeated a number of times in my eight years here on a number of occasions which I have opposed him. We've had some friendly debates. They've been good debates on this Floor. There's no animosity between the two of us, but the mere fact remains there has been no public affirmation by this General Assembly to the concept of Collective Bargaining for Public employees. Now if they want to blame somebody and if he wants to blame somebody, he can go to the Second Floor, who by Executive Order, established the concept of Collective Bargaining and funded these agencies not by an appropriation by the General Assembly for this purpose, but he's taken the money from other agencies in order to do this. Now until such time as this General Assembly ratifies the concept of Collective Bargaining for public employees, I don't think that the Gentleman from McHenry ought to stand on the Floor of this House and give the type of speeches he gives. He should reintroduce the Bills, bring them before this Body, take them to the Senate and have it become law, at which time, then, I think he has a leg to stand on. Up to this point, I don't think he does, and if this



35.

wants to take the dictates of that man, plus the labor leaders, who he represents and ratify that type of action, then I think this General Assembly might as well pack up its bags and go home. But, I don't think that the 177 in this House, nor the 59 in the Senate, should take that position, and I just wanted to call those facts to your attention because if you listen to him he would give you all kinds of reasons why you should vote red on this and I just feel the opposite and I think everybody in this Body should be voting green."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, look at Senate Bill 1625, .... it's an appropriation Bill.. not one word appears within that Bill with regard to phrase 'collective bargaining' ..... not one word. The expenditure in the...fiscal year that ended yesterday, with anticipated lapsed spending will be \$91,000,000. The amount of money in this Conference Committee Report is \$99,837,000, an increase of nine point six three percent. There is not one word in this Bill that says that the department and the State will not keep its commitment under a collective bargaining agreement signed in 1975...not one word. That's a sham, that's straw, that's fodder, raised by my friend. Under the terms of this Bill there is money to pay the State employees their due.....under collective bargaining. There is a hundred plus...new guards in this Bill to protect the people of the State of Illinois. Now, I don't know, certainly it is not as much as we'd like to give people and certainly it's not as many new people as we'd like, but I tell you, we are in a position where we haven't got all the money in the world either. As some of my colleagues have said, 'no, we don't get everything'. Let me raise another issue. And, I was



there with Leadership, on both sides of the aisle, and I went over with the Senate and asked them if there was a way to get this back into a second Conference Committee, if there was a way to do it and negotiate it, and I got told 'here is no way, we must come to an end'. And, I would hope ....I would hope...that no Member would ever talk to me about my labor record on the Floor of this House, because I come from a grandfather who was a printer for a number of years, and a father who was a printer, who fought....who fought for the trade labor movement when it wasn't done just in words on a House Floor, but it was done by some pretty tough knuckle bustin' out on the streets. Perhaps long before people thought about the trade labor movement. But, I tell you again, the money is in this Bill to keep the commitment. There's nine million....er..... eight million additional dollars in this Bill, the money is there to give the raises. The money is there to keep the commitment. The money is there for 100 new employees, and I would ask my colleagues to join me ... and vote 'aye' to adopt this Conference Committee Report. And, there is another thing, if we don't fund it, if we don't fund it....those guards, are they going to get paid? Are there families going to get fed? What's going to happen to the people in those institu $\div$ tions? And, perhaps that's another thing we haven't talked about. The people in the institutions and the guards, who's going to feed their families, if this doesn't get passed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've heard it said here today by a number of people, and as recently as just a moment ago by the Majority Leader, that in this Bill there is money for the agreement. Now, the agreement, presumable is the collective bargaining



agreement that the Governor, unilaterally entered into. One that we played no part in. One that is not a law of this State. I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that I know of no such money in this Bill and if I did know of any such money, I would not vote for the Bill. would be illegal if that money were in the Bill. to implement an illegal agreement. So, I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that that money cannot be in this Bill or if it is and the director of this department uses it, then that director ought to be cited for misfeasance. I'm going to vote for this Bill, Mr. Speaker, because I thing it's a good Bill, I think the State Government must continue to operate, that the Majority Party in both Houses have been sadly delinquent in their duty, in not moving the work of this Legislature along so that we could complete our work and not have people wondering whether they are going to be paid when the next payday  ${f I}$  heard too, Mr. Speaker, that there were people who were going to suffer because of the failure of us to implement the agreement entered into by the Governor that those people were overworked and underpaid. suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that if that is the case, then we are the people that they should bring that matter to and not some pompous union leader. We have the responsibility for funding State Government. don't have the responsibility for following their...the directions of these union people."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs."

"Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In years that I've been down in this House, I've always felt like a little brother to the Senate over ....across the Rotunda. Now, once again we're being asked to knuckle down to the wishes of the Senate, and what makes it doubly hard for me is to know that that



Jacobs:

....

Senate is Democratic controlled. And, they're asking us to sell the working men and women of the State of Illinois, down the river. And, if we're not careful with the collective bargaining, which is a law by executive order, we're going to have and we're going to make the Boston public employees strike look like a family picnic. And, when just a little while ago, one of the speakers said 'collective bargaining is not mentioned'. Well, it's certainly no coincidence that the amount of money removed is right to the penny, the amount of the collective bargaining agreement...it seems strange. Ladies and Gentlemen....think and vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff:

"Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I will not take the time to repeat some of the comments of the Majority Leader and Assistant Minority Leader, which I think are most apt, when they comment on the fact that indeed the money is there in this Bill. But, this House, this General Assembly has been placed in a confrontation that won't be heard for the last time, and I hope that this and future bodies will remember that when one man, who proposes himself as a labor leader, can stand up and threaten one party and incidentally ignor the other, regardless of their actions in responsibility to government, it's a shame, but you can expect it.....to hear it again and again....over the years. Because, the fundamental question we have hear today, is the effort of one gentlemen to put into the structure of government, by statute, a line item, which recognizes collective bargaining and abnegates the responsibility of this Body in the appropriation process. I said, yesterday, that I would not vote for this Bill unless there was a raise, an adequate raise, for the prison guards. I mentioned the fact that I have traveled the



State and visited the institutions and it was an absolute necessity. And, I would say to those people if they could hear me now, the money is there indeed, but if we accept the principle that the gentleman would have, where we itemize and acknowledge collective bargaining agreements by statute, in this State, we will put ourself in the posture of history of nations who have surrendered to labor and which has elected officials who must bow straight and kneel to massive labor movements as in Great Britain, Italy and France, when they can literly bring the government to its knees. This may, in fact, be one of the most significant struggles that this General Assembly has seen in a couple of decades. If we are to surrender to this argument that we heard last night and we will hear again ad infinitum, if not ad nauseam, then we must not approach this on either a partisan or political basis but literally, quite literally, to protect the elected democrat process which we are all sent down here to represent. There are great leaders in this State who have marvelous records and support of unions both past and present....including the Mayor of Chicago, whose representatives in this Floor have said what they think of surrendering this responsibility at the municipal, county or state level. I'm happy to say that in our conference some of our Members spoke more articulately than I ever could, on this issue. But, I would say to the Members....the working Members....who are effected by this....that no good citizen....no Member of the rank and file....would, I think, knowingly surrender representative government to the power of big labor bosses who, are more interested in their own selfaggrandizement than they are in the future of democracy. And, I strongly recommend we support the motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."



Washington: "I'll be brief, Mr. Speaker. We're in a fine kettle of fish here. The Majority Leader says, 'the money for collective bargaining is in this package'. The Minority Leader says, 'it's not in this package'. Another Minority Leader says, 'it's in the package'.... Mr. Duff. And, my good friend who's been maligned on this Floor, and I don't think he should be because he's a wonderful exponent of a cause he believes in..... Mr. Hanahan says, 'it's not in the package'. Well, in terms of leadership, it's two to one on the Republican side. And, I'm going to take the Republican word and vote for it. I think it argues one point, I don't think anybody knows what's in this package. And, if you vote for it, you're just not being smart....you know it's not in our stars but in ourselves that we are underlings. There's no point in railing against the Senate, that they misuse us and abuse us. We hear those speaches every year at this time. They shouldn't even make them.....we should just pull them out and paste them upon the wall. We hate the Senate. But, the problem is, I think they have intimidated us, and reduced us to second class Legislative Body. And, the reason is, they stick together and we don't. There's no point in railing the Senate. Do what you think you have to do and take the heat. That's all. don't know what's in this package, four honorable, intelligent, efficient, first-rate Legislators have disagreed on it. I'm not going to get in that fight. I'm going to say, 'since we don't know, send the Bill back to the Senate and ask them what's in it'. I think we need a conference, Gentlemen. If you vote for this you're voting for a 'pig in the poke'. I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Much of what I had to say



has already been said, however, yesterday the spokesman for labor on this House Floor opened his speech by saying, 'this is a government of laws, not of men'. Then he gave the most absurd example of what he meant by that by saying 'the Governor, by executive fiat had declared that we would have collective bargaining in this State, for public employees. Now, if that's government by law, then I don't understand the process of law making. I thought the General Assembly made the laws and the Governor saw that they were enforced. That is one of the reasons I'm opposed to this Bill. I think I know what the Bill includes, Mr. ....the last speaker.... I know that it doesn't, by implication, recognize collective bargaining for public employees. If there is anything that excites me here in Springfield, besides golf, it is the idea of collective bargaining for public employees and the idea of unionization of public employees. I think the greatest danger, at least one of the great dangers to this country, is the unionization of public employees. If we allow them to be unionized, if we allow them to dictate the State government, the county and local governments, what need is there for us to be here? What need are there for city and village councels? What need is there for us to legislate maximum tax rates? What need is there for us to require referendum, when the union bosses can sit down and say 'this is what we demand, this is what you'll give us and the hell with the tax rates and the limitations'. They then run this country. They then run state and local governments....not the duly elected people of this State, not the duly elected people of the country and local government. We better think about it before we ....as my friend Duff said, 'reach the catastrophe, the chaos, the instability of a France, of an England



and especially of an Italy. I plead with you to vote 'aye' on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Contlance

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Member of the Conference Committee, who voted not to accede to the Senate wishes, I would like to make just a couple of comments. First of all, as a freshman, I have been very....very much impressed with the sense of loyalty of every Member in this group. I am always, at this time of the year, impressed with the amount of divisibility ....divisiveness that exists in this Body. I feel that every person here, in his own mind and conscience, feels that he or she, represent the people of their area, and of this State, to the best of their ability. I feel a little bit ignored, a little bit slighted, when we've been debating here for about an hour or so and I want to apologize to my friend, the Representative who seems to be the spokesman for labor. But, I resent very....very much that this House is getting divided to the point where we're now saying 'Hanahan wins if the thing goes for labor; or we lost if labor doesn't get it' ..... I resent that very ....very much. I have one vote and many times I feel badly that I only have one vote. Sometimes I feel badly that I have gave that one vote....but I'll assure you I'm just as proud of my vote and my vote will count just as much as anyone elses when we get 107 up there, whichever way I decide to vote. I believe that no one in this particular House wants divisiveness such as Labor Party, Communism, Capitalism, all the other things that do exist....in Italy and all these countries that we know democracy does not mean anything. I resent very ...very much when the so-called spokesman gets up ...as someone else has already said....and chastises my party and



ignores the other party. I want 107 votes up there. I want 107 people who will vote because they care for people. They're not just voting for labor, or they are not just voting for Tom Hanahan...the so-called spokesman. I haven't seen any credentials. He doesn't represent me. He doesn't represent anyone else, as far as I'm concerned. He represents his district and I'm here to represent my district, I'm here to represent my people. I want another Conference. They say you can't do that. I said this same thing last year and they said a man came running down here from sitting over there ....he said 'Joe', you can't do that, there is precedent, you can't have a third Conference. What do you mean, 'there is precedent'? Show me rule 37A... 9 or thatever it is that says we can't have more than two Conferences? Henry Clay never quit just because he didn't get his compromise on the first go-around. I'm going to propose and ask you to solicit the vote of everyone of this House....on both sides of the aisle.... Whether you're from the rural area, the urban area, .... whether you're UAW.....whether you're coal miners UM... and soforth....or not.... I want your vote up there. I think that this report of this Conference Committee of which I was a member, should be rejected and let's let the State and the people know that we in the House ....we want the compromise...we want to work, and if the Senate rejects it, then let them carry the burden. I'm going to vote red."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,
like I told my Republican colleagues in Conference, I'm
the labor vote. Like the illustrious Majority Leader
my grandfather was a union man also. My father and my
uncles had to take their trowels with them when they



went to the masonry union meeting in order to get in... and to get out.... They had to fight their way into the unions then they had to fight the management goons when they got out....because they were in the union. My mother helped organize the first social workers union in this country.....and I was a member of the social workers union when I worked for the Cook County Department of Public Aid.....So, I believe in the right of employees or the public to organize. When you replace the patronage system, you left the little employee without any protection against his supervisor. He no longer can go to his sponsor....to get his raise. He can no longer go to his sponsor to get equal treatment. So, he organizes and has a union...to do that job.. And, it disturbes me when I hear the party that goes through my district. which is a labor district, proclaiming to be the labor party....so divided. It hurts me when I know over the years that the craft unions have been given contracts and I want to say this, most of the crafts unions are the most lily-white unions and yet we may have an industrial type union, that has a lot of blacks in it...they don't want to give them a contract. And, the voters of the 29th Legislative District knows where I stand and I don't apologize. And, I'm going to say that if you don't give the public employees the right to organize, you're going to be missing the boat. You say that the Governor didn't ask you, well, I happen to have a degree from the University of Illinois, in History and Political Science and this is a three pronged government...it takes the executive, judicial and legislative....and if the Legislature does nothing, the executive usually steps in....if you want to tell the governor how to negotiate, then we should pass Legislation, until then he has a right under the Constitution, until the courts tell him differently, to



do so. Because it's been done with the class unions all over the times. And, therefore, I want to say that both parties have men and women who are interested in labor and both parties have men and women who are not so interested in labor....and therefore I am urging everyone to put aside their party differences and to think about the employees who, if they don't have an opportunity to organize, you're liable to have much more different ferment than you have now. So, I'm urging everyone to join with me in sending this back to the Conference Committee because I don't think the Senate is any better than we are either. Nobody is better than me....and I'm going to vote to sent it back to the Senate Committee....and if they don't like it they can lump it...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I promise you I'm going to be extremely brief, but there is just a couple of observations that I feel should be made. Representative Washington and ....brought out one of them very explicit....example as why....this Bill should not be rushed through now....The Majority Leader, whom I have know for an awfully long time, never has intentionally prevaricated as far as I'm concerned in his life, but he says the money is in the Bill. The Assistant Minority Leader gets up and makes a speech for the Bill and he says ....if the money is in the Bill ... I wouldn't vote for it. When I voted to suspend the rules, a moment ago...to keep this important piece of Legislation alive, I said I was doing so ....so that we could have input...so that we could find out the answers....It has not been done...because immediately after the suspension of the rule...then there was a motion made to adopt the Conference Committee Report...



I agree with one of the other speakers a moment ago... I don't think there is a single solitary sole under the dome of this Capitol Building, whether it's in this room or any other room, that does know everything that's in this Bill. I know that the employees of these institutions throughout the State of | Illinois are concerned. I know that they've been talking to us and calling us and they are not happy with the way it's written at the present time, but I'm going to make a prediction to you in closing....I've been a part of the conferences between leaders in the past, and regardless of whether it's in the interest of the employees, I'm going to predict to you, that the Bill is going to fly...... because when the Leadership gets together and they make those commitments 'baby'.....you might as well save your breath....she's about gone. I've been there as Gerry just muttered ..behind us, but I don't think. that inasmuch as we have been here for this extended period of time that we have in this Session, that it would hurt to take a little bit more time and to find out totally what we're doing and exactly who we're doing it to. I don't think that inasmuch as we've been here this length of time, that I can, under any circumstances, agree with my friend the Majority Leader who in one of his statements again...when he said that he went to the Senate and they told him that this is it... let's go....I would say to you, that if nothing else alone made made me want to pose further consideration of this matter, that's like waving a red flag in front of this old stag.....because I wouldn't vote for it now if I did know everything that's in it.... I wouldn't vote for it now when they tell me across the Rotunda, through the lips of my Majority Leader, that this is it...let's get it over with....I say to you, let's make 'em sit down and talk to us. If they know everything that's in



it, let 'em tell us everything that's in it. I have great admiration and respect for the little coach, Representative Lucco, who was a member of the Conference Committee. He said he wasn't satisfied with it. And I think that Tommy Hanahan has been maligned and I think that some of the people on the other side of the aisle who has consistently opposed labor's causes in other Sessions of the General Assembly are happy to join with him in the agreement to pass this Bill because I think that they know maybe in their heart at least they wish it....that it's a slap....at the working man and the laboring people of the State of Illinois. and in good conscience, I would ask you to further consider this matter and stand on your own two feet as a Member of the House of Representatives and say 'yes' I'm going to be consulted with, I'm going to be considered, I'm going to stand on my Constitutional rights, and I'm going to be a part of the deliberations in helping resolve this important matter and I'm not going to be told what to do by that august Body on the other side of the Rotunda."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Von Boeckman: "I'd like to renew Representative McGrew's Motion and move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question

The question is, shall the main question be put? All

those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'....the 'ayes'

have it...the Motion carries. Representative Kosinski

to close."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I'm working on the assumption the money is in this

package. But, if there is a problem, we're coming back

in November and supplementals in this Body are rules

rather than the exception. I do have a major consider
ation. Public safety is my personal greatest concern.



And, when they break out of Statesville, they come to Chicago and I don't want 'em there. The guards work in eight hour shifts. That's three shifts per day. The inmates are there on a twenty-four hour basis. We must fund this department, as a foremost public concern. The prevention of crime....I'm a four flag union booster.....The problems presented are secondary to public safety, and these problems can be met another day..these problems can be met another day and I solicit your green vote...."

Speaker Redmond: "Actions is on the Gentlemen's Motion to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report of Senate Bill 1625. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.

Final action. 107 votes required. Have all voted who wish? .... Representative Kane."

Kane: "There has been a recent....Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote. There's been a recent book written by Larry O'Brien, entitled 'There are no Final Victories'. Today, what we're voting on is two point three million dollars. Some in this Body would raise that vote to a matter of eternal principle along side truth and justice and other great things. But, this really isn't, I don't think, a vote on principle. We're not voting today on collective bargaining, I've voted for collective bargaining Bills and I will vote for collective bargaining Bills in the future. Some will say that this is a vote, it's a litmus test on whether or not someone is liberal or conservative, or pro-labor or anti-labor. But, it's not that, we're voting on an Appropriation Bill for the Department of Correction. Last year the Department of Corrections was funded at a level of \$91,000,000. This Conference Committee Report that we're voting on today will fund the Department of Corrections at ninety nine point eight million dollars.....for an increase of eight



point eight million dollars over last year. Some people think that the increase should be eleven point one million dollars or two point three million more than Conference Committee provides for. This isn't the final action that this Legislature is going to take on this Bill or this Department this year, this is only the first day of the fiscal year. There are 365 more to come. Leap Year. Leap Year is passed, 364, I'm sorry. This isn't final action. Whatever side wins today it's not going to be a final victory. Because all the actions that we take are up for review later on And, I think that to raise the vote today to a matter of eternal principle is a mistake. And, I'd urge an 'aye' vote and let's get on with the business of the State."

Speaker Shea: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell:

"Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, my bags are packed, because we're going home. But we're coming back and we're going to have to scuffle with all of these problems. Anytime that I, as a Democrat, can look up and see my entire Leadership, the Speaker, Shea, Davis, Madigan, Giorgi, Brummet, voting on a particular issue...Bradley; they've taken a party position and I think it's up to us not to look upon ourselves as the Alphas and the Omegas of everything but to respond that sometime and might I say for one of the few times, that the entire Leadership has taken a position. I believe it's up to us, as troops, we're not the leaders, we're the troops and the pace has been set and I think at some point we've got to have come to a reasonable conclusion and extend ourselves. And put aside our own pettiness and try to join our Leadership, if we believe in it. If we don't, if we renounce our Leadership, then we surely will be a drift in a sea of chaos. Gentlemen, we as Democrats, can not afford it and despite the shortcomings, I would ask you to join with me, to join our Leadership and to support them because we are Democrats first; and I vote 'aye'." Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan." Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, lest any of you Members who feel you're violating your Leadership and voting red. I remind you about June 1st, because on June 1st, our Leadership voted, all those who were voting, voted with uninimity to approve a supplemental appropriation for the Department of Transportation, for the specific purpose of funding the collective bargaining agreement arrived at by the Teamsters. Let's talk about the issues. Our Leadership was for that particular position. They supported that, they actually worked for that financing. Maybe it was the wrong union that negotiated. Let me remind the Gentleman who said that this is .... action by only one Member....that that's entirely untrue. Section 9 of the Personnel Code, which was enacted by this General Assembly, gives the Director of Personnel the power to conduct negotiations on wages, hours and working conditions. This House has passed, House Bill 1, which seems to linger in the Senate, it's passed House Bill 1584, providing for negotiating equipment for the law enforcement personnel. There has been other instances, the meat cutters have negotiated with the State. This is not the first time. It may be the first

Leadership, our Leadership has abandoned us."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I

am looking at those purple lights up there, I think it's

purple or blue up there...there's 76 of them....and...

ah...I'm reminded that on this issue of the quote, 'To

Be or Not to Be'. You know that's really the question

time that the wrong union has done it. It may be the first time that the Leadership has decided that we shouldn't be with the working men and women. But, I would suggest to you that we're not violating our



here. And, hay, look at them get up there. But, really I've heard some talk about Democrats and some talk about Republicans and I'm really not ashamed of being a Democrat....and never have been....and in that discussion about Democrats, I heard someone say something about the shaft. Let me tell you what can happen I'll tell you about the shaft, if you've got a little memory. I recall there was a Senator from Kankakee who introduced a hundred dollar a month pay raise and ....no....no...a point is going to come out of this. It will not demean the Senator at all...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, state your point.."

Ryan: "This man is not talking about his vote or explaining his vote. If he can't explain his vote then shut him off."

Speaker Redmond: "Confine your remarks to your vote, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I do not demean that Gentleman. All I'm saying is
....let's put it this way.....'A Gentleman introduced

a Bill for a hundred dollar a month public employee

pay raise...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tipsword: "Do the rules of the House apply that you cannot explain your vote if you participated in debate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Except the Sponsor, that is correct."

Tipsword: "Is the Gentleman the Sponsor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I'll knock all that off.....because really what I was going to point out ....that one can lose an election and help public employees. And, the Governor, at that time, was against the pay raise, and I think he was trying to get back and help the public employees because he at one time said 'I did you wrong'. So, then he participated in the agreement, because he thought he



was going to get some political mileage out of it. And, then he got the shaft. I was going to be bipartisan about this matter of explanation of my vote. So, really what it amounts to, Ladies and Gentlemen, one of these days....one of these days when we allow any executive .... any executive by collective bargaining.. to have that power....we're going to have some real problems because what you're talking about really is a tax increase. That's really what you're talking about. And, I promised to all of my people, Democrats and Republicans, that I'm not going to be for a tax increase, and that's why I'm voting aye'....Because really, just look down the road just a little bit and if this carries on any further, you re talking about a tax increase and I'll have no part of it and therefore I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs."

"Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this isn't the first time that working people have been given short trips, but it is perhaps the first time that it's being done officially and as a matter of record. And, a previous speaker recounted his Leadership on the Democratic side and if you'll look up there you'll see all the Leadership on the Republican side. Now, I can respect the position of those who oppose collective bargaining for public employees. I disagree with them but I respect the honesty of their position. I happen to be committed publicly and through my party to collective bargaining for public employees. And, I must denounce the sheer hypocrisy of this official action which betrays the trust of that platform. this isn't the first time that the working person has been given short shrift. And, as a gentleman on the other side of the aisle has said, 'Tom Hanahan has been beaten', but not on this one Tom, 'you've been sold out



Maybe."

Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis.".

"Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I consistently voted yesterday to reject Conference Report II. I did it because in my own heart I believe in collective bargaining with no strikes...and I know there is such a contract. I have never supported any strike Bill for public employees, even if it did include collective bargaining because I do not believe the public employees have the same right to do so in view of the fact that the taxpayers have to keep paying their taxes whether the employees on strike or not. owever, we 'gotta' reach a sense of balance....and mis time, much as I hate to do it; but I think it's important for the sanctity of this State and to save the taxpayers additional money by meeting constantly and getting nowhere. So, we've had the word form the enate, they're not going to go along with any change, nen we know there's a joint leadership of both Repalicans and Democrats up there. And, whether we agree ith our Leaders all the time or not, I for one, feel spelled, in order to do my duty, to keep the people orking, and I feel that if you want public employees me have collective bargaining, with compulsive bargainng arbitration believe me I'11 help you then and I sel we should vote 'yes' and let's get on with the usiness of the House."

- Fadmond: "Representative Madison."

"Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen the House, in explaining my vote, I've listened with sterest to the comments of my distinguished colleague this side of the aisle and .....as he talked about llowing Leadership.....and I think he almost connced me. The thing that concerns me, Mr. Speaker, wever, is that if you honestly feel that your Leader-

ship is leading you on a grand march into Lake Michigan, while I don't necessarily believe in retreat, there are times when it becomes necessary to advance to the rear. And, I'll vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I am supporting this Conference Committee Report because I believe that this compromise is in the best interest of good government. And, it is in the best interest of the people of Illinois. And, this concern is so important that I believe it must transcend all other concerns. We are elected by the people to provide the orderly processes of State government and to maintain three viable branches of government. Now, in the Legislature, the most important function we have is to insure the proper appropriation of State moneys. And, I very much fear, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we are abdicating this function to the Executive Branch if we do not accept this Conference Committee Report and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to point out a couple of things; first of all this Bill originated in the Senate and the issue we are discussing here today arose in the Senate, where funds were taken from this budget. When this Bill came to the House, House Amendment #3 was added on in Committee. That Amendment restored the funds we're talking about today and that Amendment was approved on the Floor of this House 107 to 7. So, let no one say that the Members of this House or any Member in particular are against collective bargaining. We did our job when this Bill was in this House. Now, it is deadlocked in Conference Committee. We have a Conference Committee Report,



. .

that is the best we can get, up to this point in time. That Conference Committee Report provides for nine point six three percent more money than the Department..... Corrections had last year to spend. An increase of over eight million dollars. There is two percent transferability, there is a flexibility to provide for the money that the collective bargaining agreement provides for.. and as has been pointed out by previous speakers, if there is a shortage of funds, if the funds are not sufficient, we can come back here in the fall and take care of the problem. Those of us who believe in collective bargaining, and who are voting green on this Bill, also believe in funding State government....which is what we are hear about today. We should fund State government and let it go on so that we can proceed with the orderly business of the people who sent us here to do that job."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is a couple of things here that haven't been managed. I just want to tell you, if you think your State employees in the Department of Corrections are going to be unhappy, if you don't vote 'no' on this Bill, you just go home and them not have a payday on July the 15th and you'll really find something they are mad about. Now, we've had six months to provide the appropriations to run this State government. We've got Staff people all over the place.....on both sides of the aisle, in the house and the Senate.....who have prepared these Bills. Now, if you don't know what's in it, it's because you haven't asked. But, this Bill neither advocates nor precludes collective bargaining. It has nothing to do with it. It does provide money for the Department of Corrections to pay their employees with. And, it particularly provides money to pay them on July 15th and if this Bill doesn't get out of here, they're not going to get payed. And,



there's enough money to pay 'em clear up 'til February or March or April and surely we'll be back by that time. I don't know what you're concerned about, but I'm going to take the responsible position that we're doing today what we should have done three weeks ago. And, I'm going to vote for all of these Bills to see that there is money available to pay State employees on July the 15th."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think we should set the record straight on some of the statements made here this evening. I'll only take a couple of minutes.....Sam....I happened to have been in all the fights for collective bargaining for public employees....and; last year we funded the Department of Labor with an office for the Office of Collective Bargaining, to the tune of \$270,000 and in that year 17 units came into being.....17 units. And, I heard a couple of Members talking about....they didn't know what was in Senate Bill 1625, but it sailed out of here June 24, with 143 votes and one only against 'em. I think what you're talking about here is lay-offs. I think what you are talking about is the tax increase. The Bill that Representative Houlihan referred to as my Senate Bill, my House Bill 3427, that provided three million dollars to recognize a collective bargaining agreement with the Teamsters. And, we've also recognized 'em with the Association of Machinists and the IFT. I think what we're really saying here is that because of these pay increases, there might not be enough money in the budget to maintain the employee level ... and you're talking about maybe lay-offs. The only answer to no lay-offs is a tax increase and I haven't heard any of the ones with the red lights advocating tax increases. So I'm going to stay with the green light."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hudson, do you seek recognition?"



Hudson: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it has been .... said here that this Bill has nothing to do with collective bargaining and I happen to think that it does, at least the opposition has a great deal to do with collective bargaining. It seems to me that the underlying question here and it's been stated before, but it is this; who will run State government? Who will control the purse strings of State government? Will it be the representatives of the people or will it be the Governor in consort with executive agreement and members of the labor unions? I think we have arrived at a fork in the road hear today, Ladies and Gentlemen, and my colleagues, one which may very well lead to a labor government in this State....and it's interesting for me to note, that even some of the labor leaders in days passed....did not go along with the concept of collective bargaining in the public sector ... and this includes Samuel Gompers, it includes none other than Franklin Delano Roosevelt, himself....because they felt that to do so, would leave the elected representatives of the people sitting on the sidelines virtually powerless to represent their people as they should when it comes to how much money is spent by government. And, if this isn't one of our responsibilities, as elected officials, I don't know what it is and I'm going to close by saying, there's been a great deal of talk here today about protecting our citizens, well I think the best way to protect all of our citizens is to keep the responsibilities where they belong and the responsibilities I believe for the expenditures in State government, in the control of costs..... and hence the taxes...belong to the elected representatives of the people, because once it escapes the elected representatives of the people there's no control over it and we will rue the day, and I would urge a green light."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I sit here patiently and waited and my seatmate behind me who is.....we've enjoyed six years together down here now, said to me that if there is anyone that knew what was in this Bill that it should be me. And, I guess he was right since. I've lived with this issue now for the last three or four months in Appropriations, and since I offered the Amendment that was defeated on this House Floor by 107 to 7.... I believe ... on trying to get this Bill into a Bill that I thought was comparable and fiscally responsible for all of the citizens of the State. Let me say this one thing about the issue that we are dealing with here and now. Built into this Bill for all of the employees of this department, some five thousand plus employees, is a 2.1 percent step in merit increase, or a pay raise for this fiscal year....2.1 percent. For the..some..2460 people that this whole discussion has been about, that was involved in the collective bargaining agreement last fall, in addition to that 2.1 percent, included in this Bill and the House Amendment, was a 4 percent increase. All for 2460 people, if we do not adopt this Bill as it is now presented to us, they will receive a 6.1 percent increase, 2400 people will receive a 6.1 percent increase. The other 2500 people in this same department, working along side of that same 2400 people will only receive a 2.1 percent increase. Now, I implore you here, if we are talking about parity, and if we are talking about equal rights for equal work, if we are going to give anyone an increase that they duly and desperately dis.....should have....the increase should be comparable. We should not give 6 percent increases to people in this department who's working along the same people, doing the same hard work, doing the same task, with the same hours and only give



2.1 percent to the majority of the people for this department, some 2500 and walk away and go home and say we've taken care of a job. I don't think we have. I've fought this issue here in Committee, I've fought it here on the House Floor, yes, I support labor. I think I have supported labor probably more or as much as anyone on this House Floor. I've been involved in more rump..... labor movements I think than anyone here. What brought me to this hall of....this Chamber was a rump movement in Chicago with the Chicago Transit Authority. But, I say to you today and to each and everyone in this Chamber if we are going to treat our brethern in the labor movement in equal fashion, we should give them the same raise for the same work, for the same kind of possible position that they have in this department. I think that's what's proposed by Representative Kosinski here, is a far greater and better compromise than anything possible, to be worked out on this budget. I think if we're trying to do parity for the people in this State, we've gotta watch the funds in an overall fashion and we gotta be sure that we are giving equal protection to everybody under the Statutes. I am proud to vote 'yes' and I suggest to all of my colleagues in this House....if we are attempting to have any fiscal constraint on our budget we have to lay the ground rules therein. We should be voting 'yes' on this budget."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, on a point or order....I believe that
Rule 56(b) says that we have one minute to explain our
vote. The previous Gentleman spoke for a grand total of
four minutes and twenty-two seconds, I think that the
Chair should abide by the rules of the House and turn on
the light and let's get on. Everyone knows what you're
going to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sangmeister."



Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wasn't going to speek, but when you hear a lot of debates and of course thoughts come to you and I think things ought to be clarified. The Sponsor of this Conference Committee Report stated that he was concerned about the people that may be escaping from ....for example; Stateville, which is in my district, and be heading back to Chicago, which is the city from whence they came..... And. I wish to advise you that we are also concerned . about that because they pass through Joliet on their way back to Chicago and I want to remind you of that. However, I also know a number of the employees out there, and I really thing that they're very responsible people. They are very concerned about what's happening on this Floor today too.....but, I don't think you're going to see them abandoning their jobs. At least I hope that even the words that I say here may fall on their ears so that they act responsible too. And, if we do send this back for another Conference Committee Report, I'm sure that they're willing to do their job and await a rational decision by this Body. And, to Representative Ray Ewell, who says that you know we have leadership up there that's 100 percent in favor of this and that the troops ought to follow, I would only say to him that I agree with you, there has to be leadership and there has to be troops but when the troops have to become pawns, I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to change my vote and the way the board looks if some other people don't change their votes around here....Representative Hanahan's right...we will be here 'til Labor Day. But, the problem with that is, Tom, while we're here 'til Labor Day, the people who..... the employees and the people who work for these depart-



ments will be eating wallpaper....because they're not going to be paid. And, the patients in these hospitals are not going to be properly cared for, and the families that are served by Child and Family Services are not going to be properly cared for. I think that this debate on collective bargaining is fine, but it should have taken place six months ago, not after the deadline for the General Assembly. I think it's time now that we better wake up to our responsibilities as Legislators and run State government and if we're going to carry on this type of debate let's do it in November or whenever we come back. Let's cross the threshold then. Representative Shea has told us there's sufficient money in here to carry on with these contracts 'til then....Gerry Shea's word has always been good. He's never lied to me and I'm going to change my vote and vote 'aye' to carry on the business of State government and I'd hope that others here would do the same...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, despite the fact that I've been threatened...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise?"

McGrew: "My third point of order....The last Gentleman spoke

in .... bate and so did the Gentleman that is now speaking."

Speaker Redmond: "You object to Representative Davis....ex
plaining his vote? He advises me he did not speak in

debate.... Proceed, Representative Davis.."

Davis: "I stook up to speak but Ray Ewell spoke and I sat down.

Speaker Redmond: "You're correct....Representative Davis.."

Davis: "That's right....I didn't talk....I did not speak in debate....Just a minute.....See that Bible....I swear....

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Davis."

Davis: "I swear by the ever-living God, I did not speak in



...now..."

debate. I rise now to explain my vote. I've been threatened, my phone almost rang off....my girl will tell you .... every minute in there I've been threatened.... people saying that I voted against their raise...I'm responsible for them not receiving their money. Now, I'm going to tell you what I base my action on. We have two Chairmen of Appropriations Committee here. You've heard both of them. I have one sitting here next to me. The first black man that has ever obtained this since Charley Jenkins, twenty years ago had that spot, he's got it now....and he assures me that there's money in there for the raise. Now, who am I going to believe? Am I going to believe my seatmate here who's been toiling with this every since we've been here? Am I going to believe the chairman of Appropriations Committee here? Or, am I going to believe somebody who threatens me, some people who call over my home, who call me here, call me at my hotel?.....I will not .....I will not succumb to your threats. The money is there and I know the hardship that these people will suffer if those checks don't go out. And, I ask you to put an 'aye' vote up there and send those checks out and let's go home and stop charging the people \$36 a day for these Sessions down here when we

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

can end it today .... "

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think we've heard it all. Let's get the votes up there. If we've got the votes, we're going to have a verification and I thing we've been on it

long enough. I make a motion we take the Roll."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins....."

Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of
the House, I couldn't agree more with Representative
Terzich. I think this becomes more of a spectacle all of
the time. There are those that suggest that this problem
was created by the Democratic Leadership in this House,



and they are right. There are those that would say that the fault lies at the feet of the Senate Leadership, which is also Democrat, and they are right. There are those that would say that this problem was created by a power struggle within the ranks of organized labor, and they are right. But, do you think that anyone observing these proceedings gives a damn about that? Do you think anybody reporting these proceedings cares about that? Do you think that anybody in the State of Illinois cares what's caused this problem? No. They just know that there is a problem and the problem is that this government in the State of Illinois is on the verge of collapse. And, I say to you, I say to all of us, this is a problem that has to stop being political and pretty darn quick. This is government, we are charged with the orderly process of government and we must fund this government. Let's quit beating each other over the head, let's put those votes up there, let's carry on our duty and let's go home."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm one who has been aligned with labor on many occasions and on many many issues. And, certainly I'm one who thinks that the money is here to meet the obligations...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Representative Washburn has already made one political speech. I don't believe he is in order."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct, I believe the rule said that
you must be in your own seat before you can address the
Chair. Representative Washburn. Representative
Washburn. Representative Byers.

Byers: "Point of Order, I think Representative Washburn has spoken today."



Speaker Redmond: "No, he didn't. Representative Washburn, you are recognized...."

Washburn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker,.....Thank you, Mr. Speaker...I'm one who has supported labor on many occasions and on many issues. .... and certainly, I hope at least, the money is contained in these Bills for the negotiated agreements that are now in effect, because I think it's a moral obligation of the State to fund those. My concern here is the abdigation of power and authority and control by the General Assembly which we all dearly love. And, if you're voting 'no', you are certainly giving up...some of that authority and control and the responsibility that is ours. I would hope that....not only to get government under way again, to prohibit payless paydays, but to exercise the duties for which we are elected, I would ask you to vote green so that we can

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

get under way."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I sit here and I find this is a very difficult vote....I consider myself a good labor Legislator. I've always been accorded the highest recognition by the labor movement....because of my vote on the issues that are important to the working man. I think that we have found ourselves painted into a corner here on this side of the aisle...from this point of view....But, for the speech that was made by a Republican Senator that offered this Amendment that's in question here, I don't think we would really be in a very perplexed position. And, I think the Senate made a mistake..... I hope next year that we can correct some of those. But, I think that we find ourselves in this situation, the Democratic Party and the labor movement have always been partners....And, the Democratic Party has delivered on issues of importance to the laboring man time and time again; and we have done it



this Session as we have in the past. I walked over to the Sponsor of this Bill before and I asked him. heard my Majority Leader and I take his word for it .... and I walked over to the Sponsor of this Bill to reconfirm his statement and I asked the Sponsor if there is money in this Bill to abide by the contracts that were entered into.....It doesn't disturb me that a collective bargaining agreement was entered into. Itas a Legislator don't have to confirm every contract that the executive branch enters into. I think it's a proper contract....and I support that concept of public employees collective bargaining...We'll be back here in November. I believe that my friends in the labor movement recognize that they are entitled to the merit and negotiated raises that they have bargained for. I implore and I request of the executive department to live up to that bargain. If I were in their shoes I will live up to their bargain. I believe that by my vote today I am going to give them the ability to live up to that bargain They have certain abilities under our statutes for transferability....where they can transfer in....to personnel services...amount of money to live up to this contract and I charge them with the responsibility of living up to it... I don't consider a green vote a breach of an obligation to labor. I believe a red vote is a breach of an obligation to the people of the State of Illinois....to fund the operations of State government For those reasons and with a very careful view of what's going to happen between now and November when we come back. And, I pledge that if the funds are not there I will support supplemental appropriations in November or in January to live up to that contract. I intend to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Maragos."



Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in order to get the state of government functioning, I too make a pledge that if the executive department does not live up to its obligations to fund according to the agreement I will be back here in November, God willing, and even later, in a different capacity....hopefully, to support those agreements. And, I have to vote 'aye' for responsible government."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voete

who wish? The Clerk will take the record...Representative

Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Parliamentary Inquiry."

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, you have been a Member of this House many years, and as an experienced Member of this House, and as the Speaker of the House, I put this inquiry to you. With respect to the rules of this House, and with respect to the tradition and precedent of this House, is there any basis for a Third Conference Committee Report?"

Speaker Redmond: "In the judgement of the Speaker, as a result
of 18 years experience here, I have never known of any
case where there was a Third Conference Committee....so
on the basis of that I would say the answer is 'no'."
Schlickman: "Now, Mr. Speaker, with respect to your knowledge,

and not as speaker of the House, .....do I have the Floor

Mr. Speaker?

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, you are the Speaker and now I don't call upon your experience as the Speaker. But, looking at the Rules, is there any basis for putting a Conference Committee Report on Consideration Postponed?"

Speaker Redmond: "There is nothing that I know that precludes

`it. Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?"



Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, there is a Roll Call on the Board. I think he is out of order and I think you should rule it.

This isn't a matter of.....make the ruling and give the Roll Call and then let him ask the question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?...Ebbesen?" Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Ebbesen: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Well, Mr. Speaker. How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being 'absent'."

Grotberg: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as a Member along with

Representative Schraeder, of the House Visitation Committee to Examine State Institutions and the Department of Corrections, I have been in and out of every jail in this state, as he has; and thank God, thank God that we're some of those that have been both ways, in and out. But I would suppose at this point in time, I have been leaned on harder, Mr. Speaker, than anybody in this House of Representatives. It was me who was dragged around by members of the State Employees Union, the other day when they were down here and hammered. It was me that they lean on when I go to the parade in Pontiac and I gave my commitment to those people, that I would stay by them in the name of safety and correction and vote 'no' on issues like that, which I did last night to get their...to get their position clarified. Now I would say to you and Larry Reinholdt, wherever you are, the Members of Labor, who are in the balcony or whoever you are, I would say at this point in time, if it's a case of not getting paid or sticking by your guns, I'm in favor in District 38, that has six prisons and more public employees in the prison business than any other District in the State of Illinois, that I'm going to go on record as getting you paid. As long as I've been assured that the money is there and I'll come back and talk about those other things. But I can see the flag, I can see the flag running off the record with Labor and by God, if that's the



way it's giong to have to be to get you paid, I guess there's another redskin that's going to bite the dust. And I will be happy at this point in time to deal with all that on the campaign trail, this summer, in Pontiac, in Dwight, in Sheridan, in St. Charles, in Geneva, in Valley View and yes, maybe at Fox Center in Dwight in the Mental Health Division. So, Mr. Chairman, please record me as 'aye'."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

71

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Neff: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Neff: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record him 'aye'. Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Mr. Speaker, it seems like everybody is avoiding this question, so at the rise of maybe sounding a little stupid, I'd like to ask you specifically and honestly, is there any prohibition in your 18 years of having a Third? I mean a specific prohibition of having a Third or Fourth or Fifth Conference Committee?.....I think I'm entitled to an answer...."

Speaker Redmond: "Under the previous Rules, when we had ......
there were....there was an expressed prohibition."

Van Duyne: "Is there any now, Sir?"

Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "No...."

Van Duyne: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Van Duyne."

Van Duyne: "Well.....and again at the rise of being stupid,
because I'm a Freshman, that I'd like to say this ...
that I think we're hinding behind the ...the false protection of ancient history....by saying or avoiding
the issue that there should or should not be a Third
Conference Committee. And, I think before everybody
panics, and runs up there and votes their green vote...
I think that everybody should be made aware of the fact
that there is no prohibition against a Third or a Fourth

Speaker Redmond: "The only thing I would suggest is that it also requires the concurrence of the Senate. Representative Getty.

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been voting with labor all the way through, but I've been



convinced that the arguments that have been raised in this instance is one of form rather than substance. I think the money is there, I'm sure that the commitments will be honored. And, I would pledge my support if they are not, to rectify that in November. Change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'.

Representative Lundy, do you still seek recognition?"

Lundy: "I had a Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker, but I would prefer that you take the record first, I'll make it later."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record...."

Clerk Selcke: "It's already...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman, for what purpose do you rise?.....Schuneman.....Representative Wolf....

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?" Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being 'absent'."

Wolf: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Representative

Von Boeckman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Von Boeckman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the first time in my 8 years in the House of Representatives, I'm changing my vote against organized labor and I'll tell you why. If....if I may? .......

It might bear thought to many other things that might happen. In my community.....in my community, we had these similar programs going on....this year the city council disbanded this type of program.....half of the employees lost their jobs, and the other half took an eight thousand dollar a year cut. Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I'm going to change my 'no' vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Luft." Luft: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"



Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Luft: "Please change that to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'aye'. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?.....Your

light is flashing....Representative Marovitz."

Marovitz: "..., how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?...Marovitz.."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Marovitz: "Just leave me there, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer...Griesheimer....

Representative Griesheimer?....Anyone else.? What's the

score, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: ".....107 'aye' and and 37 'nays'."

Speaker Redmond: "....107 'ayes' and 37 'nays'.....Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Purposes of verification...I rise, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Request made for a poll of the absentees...

Representative Ewing......Poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell....."

Speaker Redmond: "....Let's everybody ....all the Members please take their seats....including Representative

Hanahan.....Representative Schlickman..."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I understood you to say that all Members be in their seat was no exception....And, the

Rules do provide for that."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "May I suggest that the Sergeant-of-Arms be

directed to implement your directions?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, I don't think so. Proceed with......

Proceed with the Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Campbell, Capuzí, .....what do you want......

Craig, Dyer, Ewing, Fleck, Hirschfeld, Klosak, Leinenweber

Macdonald, McPartlin, Merlo, Miller, Palmer, Pierce,

Randolph, Rayson, Rose, Ryan, Schneider, Schuneman,



Stearney, Waddell."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Proceed, Mr. Clerk." Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, E. M. Barnes, Beatty, Berman, Bluthardt, Boyle, Bennett Bradley, Gerry Bradley, Brandt, Brummet, Capparelli, Collins, Cunningham, Daniels, Darrow, Davis, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Domico, Duff, John Dunn, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Friedrich, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Grotberg, Gene Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Hudson, Huff, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Kane, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Luft, Madigan, Mahar, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, ....McPartlin is absent...McMaster, Meyer, Molloy, Mudd, Mulcahey, Nardulli, Neff, Patrick, Peters, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Reed, Rigney, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Shea, Simms, Skinner, E. G. Steele, 'Cissy' Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser,

Terzich, Totten, Tuerk, Vitek, VonBoeckman, Wall, Walsh,

Washburn, White, Willer, Williams, Winchester, Wolf,

Younge, Yourell and Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?

Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Yourell....?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell?....How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him...."

Hanahan: "Representative Epton.?....Oh! Wait a second. Did
you take Yourell off?...."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Hanahan: "All right. Representative Epton?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Epton, is he here? How is he recorded?"

rocoraca.

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."



Hanahan: "Representative Polk?"

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute....wait a minute....Remove him,

yes....Polk is here..."

Hanahan: "Did we remove Epton?...."

Clerk Selcke: "Yes.."

Hanahan: "Where's Polk?.....Where is Representative Polk?

Oh!....I can't see with everyone standing.....Representative Carroll?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being 'present'."

Hanahan: "Representative E. M. Barnes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes....He's here.."

Hanahan: "Representative Ray Ewell?"

Speaker Redmond: "Ray Ewell is here. Representative Leverenz,

....er....Mautino, for what purpose do you rise?"

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker...I have debated with myself internally

with this issue for quite some time and of course I've

been contacted by the AFSCME people in my area. But,

I've also been contacted by an employee of the Dixon

State School who asked me a question which I could not

answer. It hasn't been answered here yet either. That

if this piece of Legislation does not pass, does she get

paid on the 15th?....I cannot in all good conscience

give her an answer. So, therefore I'm going to change

my 'no' vote to 'yes'..."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman... Representative Winchester."

Winchester: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Winchester: "Change my vote to 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'present'.

Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, as one who originally started out

as 'aye' because that was my best judgment and realizing

this is not a collective bargaining issue, we're voting



on an appropriation which is 9.6 percent more than last year. And, even though I am from a district that probably has more other State employees than anyone else, and as I said, I am very proud of my labor record, it is my best judgment that these State employees have been mislead. That they truly believe that we should vote 'no'....in their behalf, but we are put down here often times to use our best judgment....and it is my best judgment that it will be in the best interest not only of these employees, these State employees, but all of the people in my district and all of the people in the State of Illinois. Our Leadership, who are our negotiators, have all said this is the best we can do, they all support this position. We must support this Leadership and give up our individual interests and negotiate in good faith....And, therefore, I shall switch from 'no' to 'aye' and I suggest a few more do so."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed.....Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ....

How am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being 'absent'."
Ewing: "I would like to be recorded as 'yes'. And, I would

like to take just a moment to explain that vote."

Hanahan: "Oh!.....No...No...Mr. Speaker, we're on explanation of ....verification....I object."

Ewing: "I have listened to almost everyone in this House talk and I have six of the people in six of these institutions...."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close, Representative

Ewing..."

Hanahan: "Point of order....Point of order...Point of order...

Mr. Speaker...Point of order, Mr. Speaker....is no remarks...they're on a verification...I'm recognized for that purpose. I'm recognized for the purpose of verification ...Am I being shut off?"



Speaker Redmond: "No...Representative Ewing was recognized."

Hanahan: "For what purpose?"

Ewing: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Hanahan: ".....to make a speech?"

Ewing: "I believe this is fair to the employees...I think the money is there. I promised them they'd get their money and I vote 'yes'. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker....I won't question as to whether

the verification of this particular action is dilatory or not.....I'm only seaking the Ruling from the Chair in

terms of the orderliness of it... It's my understanding that we're operating under Rule 68 (d), which suspends

the question of Conference Reports. However, under Rule
47 (a), which states that any Member may demand a veri-

fication of a Roll Call vote except for a vote which requires a specific number...of affirmative votes and which has not received the required vote. I think that

I'd like to get a ruling on this.... If you please?"

Speaker Redmond: "I believe that Rule says...'which requires

a specific number of votes ...which has not received the
required votes'. This requires 107 and it did receive
the 107. So, I believe he is within his rights when he

Huff: "Mr. Speaker....it says.....'except for a vote which requires a specific number'. It's my understanding, once we invoke 68 (d), all consideration that's required is the specific number of votes....107...votes.."

Speaker Redmond: "Well it did require it and it had the specific number. If it had 106 he would not be entitled to it, but it had 107. Proceed with the verification."

Hanahan: "Representative Mulcahey?"

asked for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey?...How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



5 T L -

Speaker Redmond: "Is he in the Chamber? Remove him."

Hanahan: "Representative McCourt?"

Speaker Redmond: "He is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Marovitz?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz? How is he re-corded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Hanahan: "Representative Kelly?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Hanahan: "Representative Dan Houlihan?"

Speaker Redmond: "Marovitz has returned. D. L. Houlihan is

here.....Marovitz."

Hanahan: "Representative Giorgi?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here....He is the good looking man there in the aisle."

Hanahan: " I couldn't believe it, that's the reason I wanted to make sure that he was...."

Speaker Redmond: "Turn around....that's Mr. Giorgi."

Hanahan: "Representative Clarence Darrow, the namesake of

labor......I have no other question...Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk?.....Representative Sevcik?"

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'.

Sevcik: "Change it to 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'aye'. Repres-

entative Schuneman?"

Schuneman: "Please vote me 'aye', Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Repres-

entative Griesheimer?"

Clerk Selcke: "Slow it down a little..."

Speaker Redmond: "That's what I used to ask you to do...."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is Representative Griesheimer recorded?"



Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being 'present'."

Griesheimer: "Please change that to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'aye'. Representative Hirschfeld?"

Hirschfeld: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being 'absent'."

Hirschfeld: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Ron Hoffman.....

Representative Ron Hoffman?"

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being !present'."

Hoffman: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Representative Friedrich?"

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, a point of inquiry. On a verified
Roll Call a person cannot change their vote after it's
been announced. Is that true?"

Speaker Redmond: "After it's been announced:.... it is..."

Friedrich: "Okay, they cannot change their vote after it's

been announced. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier?"

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, please change my vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no', to 'aye'.

Mr. Clerk, what's the score?"

Clerk Selcke: "Well, let me figure it?"

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there is 112....Repres-

entative Coffey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Coffey: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being present."

Coffey: "Please change that vote to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'aye'. On this

question there is 113 'aye' and 36 'no'....and the Motion



carried. The House does adopt the Second Conference

Committee Report to Senate Bill 1625. Representative

Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in the same posture as this Bill was are two Bills that are in the position of being in Conference Committees if they are revived. I would now move to suspend the provisions of Rule 68 (c), so that the Department of Children and Family Service's budget and the Department of Mental Health's budget ...and I would do it in one ....All right I'll do 'em one at a time. The question, my friends, is that this has gotta go back and have a Conference Committee. It is in the second Conference Committee. Both Bills are in the same posture. Do you want them one at a time? All right, then we'll make 'em one at a time. I would move that the budget for the Department of Children and Family Services, which is House Bill 3392, that we do suspend the appropriate Rule 68 (c) so that House Bill 3392 may be brought back and heard in a Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, couldn't we have leave to use the same

Roll Call we did on the first Motion?...huh.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you rise?"

Jaffe: "I would like to know the exact wording of that particular Motion, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "The Motion is that the provisions of Rule 68(c), with respect to ...Hosue Bill 3392....."

Jaffe: "Is there a written Motion?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes there is..."

Jaffe: "Is there a written Motion, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "There is a written motion..."

Jaffe: "I would like to have the Clerk read it please."

Clerk Selcke: ".....Motion, I move to suspend the provisions



81

of Rule 68c with respect to the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3392. Jerry Shea. June 30, 1976."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I would have several parliamentary inquires on that. First of all, would we have a time limit for when we would actually hear the Second Conference Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "An inquiry, Mr. Speaker. We are at the posture now of suspending the Rule to revive House Bill 3392.

Is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Schlickman: "Now, Mr. Speaker, prior to Midnight last evening, what was the posture of House Bill 3392?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "And I'd like the Clerk to confirm what I'm going to say because I've only tried to piece this Record together. We took a vote on the adoption of Conference Committee #1. That failed. My understanding is, that the Clerk then sent a message to the Senate stating that the adoption failed in the House and asked for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee; that the Speaker then did appoint five Members of this House to a Second Conference Committee and that was the posture of the Bill last night when we adjourned or early this morning. I am trying to suspend the provisions of the Rules so that the Bill will return to the exact posture it was in at the time Midnight arrived."

Schlickman: "Well, I'm being anticipatory, assuming we were
to suspend the Rules to revive House Bill 3392, could we
not move to reconsider the vote by which Conference
Committee Report #2 failed?"

Shea: "In my considered opinion and after talking to the Senate today, the answer to that would be 'no'. We have o journalized the fact that that Conference Committee did



fail and that was on another day. That would be in yesterday's Journal. We took a Motion, not on the adoption that didn't pass, but a Motion to reject the Conference Committee Report."

Schlickman: "Well, didn't we Journalize that the Conference Com....."

Shea: "Well, can I finish, please, Sir?"

Schlickman: "Sure."

Shea: "That the Clerk then-Journalized a Message to the Senate that the..... Sir, Mr. Speaker, I can't hear myself think."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman Order. Representative Shea."

Shea: "That the House Journalized the failure of it and the message went to the Senate that we rejected the first Conference Committee Report and asked for the appointment of a Second Conference Committee. It's my understanding that further that message was read into the Senate and that there has been a Second Conference Committee appointed. Now I discussed with the Senate the posture of this Bill when I was there and it's my understanding that they are willing and able to appoint a Second Conference Committee and meet. It's my understanding further that, and I share Representative Jaffe's concern that the first Conference Committee was short some \$30,000 for the School for the Death, that Hull House, I believe he said, wasn't funded. He further said that there were, or Herrick House, that there was \$140,000 that separated the two adverse positions in the First Conference Committee. There is another thing. It just seems to me that People have talked about honest negotiation. If we get that Second Conference Committee going, that if they hold a public meeting in one of the Conference Rooms and give everybody the chance for input, then we should not have the trouble with this Bill that



we did the last one."

Schlickman: "Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your inquiry."

Schlickman: "Has the Senate acted, has the Senate acted on

Conference Committee #1? Committee Report #1?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Schlickman: "Have they taken any other action?"

Speaker Redmond: "They appointed the Second Conference

Committee. Representative Jaffe."

Schlickman: "You said it did appoint a Second Conference
Committee."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, maybe I can enlighten the Gentleman."

Speaker Redmond: "The computer printout indicates that they appointed a Second Conference Committee."

Jaffe: "They appointed a Second Conference Committee. We met

and we then recessed, Representative Schlickman."

Speaker Redmond: "It would be the opinion of the Chair that

the posture of the Bill would be that the Second Conference Committee could then meet and consider and prepare a Second Conference Report. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, my response would simply be this, Mr.

Speaker. If the Senate has appointed a Second Conference Committee Report, then there can't be any reconsideration of the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that is correct. We're on the question of Second Conference Committee."

Jaffe: "That is not Mr. Shea's Motion, Mr. Speaker. My only inquiry, Mr. Speaker, was whether or not we had a timeframe in which to actually meet and whether or not

timeframe in which to actually meet and whether or not there was a time set for us to report to the House."

Speaker Shea: "I don't know of any time limit set, but since
you are the House Sponsor and you would....."

Jaffe: "Well, would there be a time we would have to report

to the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "There is no time normally in the Rules or in the Motion."



Jaffe: "All right, then, we have as much time as we need.

Is that correct?"

Jaffe: "All right, fine."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "So that it's perfectly, I hope, clear what I'm trying to do here in the posture of these Bills, is I'm trying to get Mental Health and the Department of Children and Family Services back into the posture of being live Bills. It is, at least, the intention of the Speaker and I talked to him and it would be my hope that the Members of this Body would stay and work tonight as late as they can, that they will work tomorrow until we get the business of this House finished and if we don't get it done by tomorrow, to finish it up hopefully by Saturday, but I intend to stay here until we fund the operations of the State government."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, the question I have of the Sponsor of the Motion is that because this Bill is on going to, if the Motion prevails, going to a Second Conference Committee, has this Bill been amended in the Senate to exactly take the dollar amount that was negotiated in the Contract with the Department and the agencies representatives and the Union representatives ...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schlickman: "Well, simply suggest the Gentleman is our of order."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. Confine your remarks to the Gentleman's Motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 68c. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Might I just suggest to the Gentleman that if it goes



to a Second Conference Committee, that they have a public hearing and let him have all the input he wants into that Conference Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is, shall the main question be put? All those in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 68c in order that the Second Conference Committee to House Bill 3392 may report All in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Hanahan, to explain his vote."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, if it was a simple matter of just, you know, giving permission and then me having my input, you know it would be really the thing, but I want to make sure that everyone is aware than when the Second Conference Committee Report comes, now is the time to question whether or not the issue I have raised, whether or not the funding for the Collective Bargaining Agreement will be or will not be in that Conference Committee Report. I'd like to make both the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader, maybe they could get on the same side of this next issue and maybe they could give all the Members of this House a truthful answer of whether or not Collective Bargaining money is in there in the manner in which a Conference Committee Report. As for me, I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 136 'ayes' and 3 'no'. Gentleman's Motion carries. Representative Washburn, do you seek recognition? Representative Washburn, do you seek recognition?"

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. So that the Members will know, Representative Leinenweber, shortly after we



convened tonight was called home. His wife became ill this afternoon and is hospitalized. Representative Leinenweber arrived home just a little while ago and we understand that she is resting comfortably and hopefully it's nothing too serious. However, we want the Record to show that his absence is due to the hospitalization of his wife this afternoon."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, the Journal will so show. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, being a Member of that Conference

Committee now, I would move that that Conference Committee meet in Room 400 immediately."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you notified the Senators and the Members of the Conference Committee?"

Bradley: "We will notify them of the action that's taken place immediately and I've talked to the Chairman and he indicates to me that he's willing to sit down and so I suggest that we'll notify the Senators and they've indicated their willingness to sit down. Let's go to work."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would move on House Bill 3377, which is the Mental Health. Let me explain the posture of the Bill to everybody and make my Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, to request that the Gentleman hold that Motion for a few minutes while we discuss it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh, I plead with you and I ask you and you have been most cooperative because without you, we cannot do anything and I ask you to please let me take ..... you want me to hold it for five minutes? I will."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley. Representative

Ryan is making an inquiry, at the well. Representative Ryan, there's Representative Bradley. He can answer your



inquiry. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Kane, the cafeteria has been closed downstairs.

Is there anyway of getting it reopened? If we're going to be here all night?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know. We'll find out. Representative Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. It seems as though we're having problems getting the door unlocked up in 400, so we'll convene the Conference Committee meeting in the east corridor, right here next to the House Floor. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Conference Committee will meet in the east Corridor. Representative Meyer."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, just a Point of Information; that 200 years ago today, the Second Contintental Congress, on July 1, 1976, began deliberations of the Declaration of Independence in Philadelphia."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you, Sir. Representative Washburn.

Stand at ease for ten minutes, at the request of the Minority Leader. House will come to Order. Members please be in their seats. On the Order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1739. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would ask leave to waive ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

you seek recognition?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to apologize to the Sponsor. He asked me if I had any objection to this, because this is a Bill in which I had some interest and I have no objection to the substance of the Conference Committee.

I did not, however, know that you were going to call it now, Art. I thought that we were going to call another Bill and I would object to calling it at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the Record. Representative Shea, do



Shea: "I would at this time like to place a Motion with regard to House Bill 3377."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, state the Motion."

Shea: "Again, so the Membership may be apprised of what we hope would be the intention of the General Assembly or at least the Leadership, there are presently five Bills - the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Local Government, the Omnibus Commission Bill and the Judicial Bill. I think that's five. Four. I guess there are four left. Four Bills that must have Conference Committee Meetings in order to have reports filed and acted upon by this General Assembly. I have been advised by my colleagues across the aisle to take them one at a time and I will start with Mental Health. Now it would

Motions..... Could I have some Order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman Order, please."

be the intention of the Speaker that if these four

Shea: "So People can understand what I'm talking about. If
these four Motions pass, it would be the intention of
the Speaker to set Conference Committee Meetings tomorrow morning between the hours of 8:00 and 10:00, so that
every Member could have the opportunity to appear before
those Conference Committees and have all the input that
is necessary, so that no Member could stand on the Floor
of this House and say he did not have an opportunity to
be heard in those Conference Committees, so now, Mr.
Speaker, I would move that House Rule 68c be suspended,

be heard in a Conference Committee tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any discussion? Question's on the Gentleman's Motion that provisions..... Representative Ryan."

so that House Bill 3377 may be placed in a position to

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Now, I'm a little bit confused here as to what we're doing. As I understand the part of the agreement that we've got here was that the reason



that we waited was so that we could go into the Department of Children and Family Services Conference Committee
Report. That Conference has broken up. That Report is
ready and I understood that was to be the next thing
that we were going to take a vote on."

Speaker Redmond: "Rerpesentative Shea."

Shea: "No, Sir. We've got to print Calendar and we've got to wait three hours."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that there's enough interest generated tonight through the actions that have occurred on this House Floor that we don't have to wait until Ten O'Clock tomorrow morning to get the business of the House done. Why don't we just stay and get it done? If you want to have a Democratic process, let's have a vote on what the Membership wants, not just the Leadership."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, if it's the will of this Body to stay and work all night, I'll do it, but I would hope that we would keep the People here and if the Membership wants to continue now, I'd be the first to try to wind it up this evening."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, is there a possibility of suspending the Rule that, for the three hour...."

Shea: "Well, we're on 3377 and I'd like to get that done now."

Laurino: "O'kay, I'll hold that for the moment."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on the Gentleman's Motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 68c with respect to House Bill 3377. Representative Shea."

Shea: "If this Motion is successful, then whatever the Will of the Body is with regards to the meeting of Mental .

Health, I will certainly go along with it. It was my intention to set it for Eight O'Clock in the morning, but



if it can bet set this evening and there is full participation and an opportunity for every Member to be heard,

I'd just as soon get it done this evening."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? Question's on the Gentleman's Motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 68c with respect to status of House Bill 3377. Those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I was told that the votes weren't going to be forthcoming for the other side on this Motion. I don't know why they don't want to get this back into a posture of being heard, but if this Motion fails, I'm prepared to file a new Bill on the Department of Mental Health now, ask the Speaker to lay it before a Conference Committee, or a Committee of the Whole tomorrow and then move to suspend the appropriate Rule so that we can get it done. I'm not asking one person to vote on this Bill now. What I am asking is to get the Bill the Bill in a posture to get in a public hearing, that everybody said they wanted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative LaFluer."

LaFluer: "Mr. Speaker, would you ask the Clerk if he has on his desk a Bill that is compatible to 3377, that was filed earlier this evening?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk said he doesn't know what compatible means. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, was there any Bills filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "There hasn't been any..... First there hasn't been any First Readings or Introductions of Bills that we haven't gone into that Order, so it would be impossi-

Skinner: "Has there been any Bills filed?"

ble...."

Speaker Redmond: "Couldn't have anything filed because we



haven't gone to the Order of Introductions of Bills.

Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, what we're taling about here, trust, and I hope you will excuse us if some of us on this side of the aisle, through the performance of the other side of the aisle this year, have very little trust left. We have....."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman is Out of Order. Proceed with Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "If you ever get to 107, I know I want a verification now, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "That's your right, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "You're a bit arbitrary, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "I think the Rules provide that you should have good manners and I don't think it's good manners to question anybody's....."

Skinner: To say that we've been betrayed several times perhaps dealt with not quite fairly, maybe?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, in just explaining my present vote, I thought it was the understanding on this side of the aisle that we were going to proceed this evening, and I thought I heard it expressed on the Floor one by one, and I understand this Motion, this is a blanket Motion to suspend the Rule for all of the....."

Speaker Redmond: "3377. Representative Shea."

Shea: "I just left the Speaker's Office with the Republican
Leadership and told him I was going to come out and make
this Motion. Now, there's a question of whether we should
attempt to adopt the Department of Children and Family
Services Conference Committee. It hasn't been filed.
When it's filed, it's got to be on the desks. There's
got to be a Calendar printed and it's got to sit there
for three hours and once the Calendar is out, I'll make
a Motion to suspend it after everybody's read it, but all



I've heard is openness and honesty. Now there are four more Conference Committee Reports that have to be acted upon. All I want to do is get them in the posture to be acted on. It takes 107 votes to adopt those Conference Committee Reports. It takes 107 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Katz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Katz: "Just to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. Since that ultimate passage stage, 107 votes is going to be required, it would seem to me that the Minority is more than adequately protected and I would urge a vote for the Motion of the Majority Leader."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, and thank you, Mr. Katz. You know, tomorrow's another day and when the Majority Leader spoke that he wanted to get this into position, into Conference Committee, so that a public hearing could be heard tomorrow, I don't see why that can't be the first order of business in the morning and to place in the Conference Committee and we'll assign a hearing room and post a notice and it will be more public tomorrow and I'm sure there's going to be more people awake and around. tomorrow then there is tonight and there should be better attendance and more interested persons should be there, so I would hope that this could be placed in Conference Committee tomorrow. He could make his Motion tomorrow and have the full blown hearing on it, the way it should be."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "I asked my Colleagues across the aisle to join with
me and get the Bill in the posture to be heard tomorrow.
You know that it's sometimes hard at Eight O'Clock in the
morning to get a quorum. If we get, if we get the
Bill in a posture to be heard, the announcement can be



made tonight at what time it can be heard. Now, there's been some talk that the Members, if we pass this, would like it heard tonight. If they want it heard tonight, we'll get it heard tonight. I want to get it done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Majority Leader made at least one very accurate statement, one of which was it was suggested to him by some People that we didn't believe, in fact, that the votes were available to pass this and as a matter of fact, we don't and if he'll look around him, he'll see a whole lot of empty seats with People that are up there that aren't here. Now, if we weren't going to do anything other than tell the truth, for the sake of the activites of this House, we don't think the votes are available."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask the Minority Leader, who knows me fairly well, that what we have to do here is suspend this Rule so we can have a Conference Committee appointed and then turn, whether it's tonight, and I hope it would be tonight, because many of the Members on both sides of the aisle, want to get home and we also want to complete the business of state government and to the Membership that is concerned about a certain issue, appearing in a certain Bill, please listen carefully, that issue will not be there. I can only speak for one, but in turn, I would hope, that Cal Skinner, you know me real well, and when I give you my word, or if I give anybody my word, you can bank on it and believe me, you can check the Record, not only here in Springfield, but any place I go and I give you my word and I would appreciate additional votes in order for this Motion to carry."



Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Ted Lechowicz' word is good, but we were called in here and convened at Eleven O'Clock this morning. Now the Democrats wanted to work so hard, why didn't we start at Eleven O'Clock this morning instead of Eleven O'Clock at night. We've wasted twelve hours already today. Let's wait until tomorrow when we're fresh and other People are around here and can get involved in this, rather than all the empty seats. I know, there's red lights, green lights, up on that Board. People aren't here and I think this is a very improper time to bring this matter up, especially since we've been in here since Eleven O'Clock this morning and sat around here until, I don't know what hour of the night it was before we ever started and now it's about Eleven O'Clock, twelve hours later, and if we had worked all day, we would have been home by now."

Speaker Redmond: "Had to have a Republican Conference. Have

all voted who wish? Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to

explain my vote. We're now going into the Fourth of
July weekend, celebrating the 200th Anniversary of our
Nation and I think you're going to find a lot of Members
have engagements coming up. They have family affairs
lined up and I think if we don't get our work done tonight, we might as well just adjourn this House and
come back some other day and let the problems of the State
wait and that's what is facing us tonight and I would
urge the Members to give us 107 votes and see if we can't
work out our problems and hit the road for the holidays."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I humbly request a Poll of the

Absentees."



Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has requested a Poll of the Absentees. Mr. Clerk, will you please proceed with the Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson. Arnell. Campbell. Capuzi. Carroll. Catania. Coffey. Collins. Collins votes 'present'. Craig. Daniels. Dyer. Ewing. Fleck. Friedrich. Hanahan. Gene Hoffman. Klosak. Leinenweber. Macdonald McPartlin. Merlo. Miller. Palmer. Peters. Randolph. Rayson. Reed. Rose. Schneider. Simms. Stearney. Waddell. Washburn."

Speaker Redmond: "102 'ayes' and 4 'nays'. The Gentleman's

Motion fails. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Might I ask the Chair to go to the Order of First Reading and Introduction of Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Order of business, First Reading, Introduction of Bills and First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 4005. Shea."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Mental Health, and Developmental and Disability. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I just held the Meeting on the Committee on Assignment and that Bill was assigned to Appropriations II. Now I have a Motion to make with regards to that Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Motion."

Clerk O'Brien: "Motion. Pursuant to Rule 31b, I move to advance House Bill 4005 to Second Reading without Reference to Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, on the Motion."

Shea: "Is it to take from the Committee, was that the Motion? I want to take it..... My Motion, Mr. Speaker, is to take from the Committee on Executive and place on the Order



of Second Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Executive or Appropriations?"

Shea: "I'm sorry. Appropriations II."

Clerk O'Brien: Pursuant to Rule 66a, I move to discharge

Committee on Appropriations II from further consideration of House Bill 4005 and advance to the Order of Second

Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Did the Clerk finish reading the Motion? I want to speak to the Motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't know

when or where the Committee on the Assignment of Bills met. I believe the Republican Member wasn't even informed of the meeting, but let me tell you, Mr. Speaker and particularly the Members on my side of the aisle, the Majority Leader wants to put up a smoke screen of some kind to introduce some Bills, to try to bludgeon us to do something that we ought not want to do. Now, Mr. Shea, you can do what you want. You're not going to out-bluff us. We helped you on the first Bill and did the responsible thing and you know some of the things we're asking for and talking about. Let me remind you, Mr. Shea, you're going to need 107 votes for those Bills some time yet, so you're not fooling us and we're not going to submit to that kind of phoney publicity stunt."

Shea: "The phoney publicity stunts are already cranked out with the news releases. What I'm asking for and have pleaded with and tried to negotiate was to get the Bills in a posture to be heard. I asked, I pleaded, I did everything I could to get some help from that side of the aisle. All we want is to get the Bills in a posture for a public hearing, so that we can get the public input that everybody wanted in these Bills."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I guess the cat's out of the bag, because I heard on the Floor, somebody say 'you know what we want. You know what we're negotiating for'. Well I don't. I don't know what you want, so don't blame me. I was here at Eleven O'Clock in the morning and let's face it, we singular Members know that once that clock went by Midnight last night, it wasn't just the Democratic Party, it's got to be both parties to cooperate, to get this House moving. That's all there is to it. Both Parties have to do it. I don't think, you know, I sat by and they can give the Democratic Party and the Leaders all the Hell they want. I don't think, you know, I sat by and you know, but the fact of the matter is, that we have to put it together, both of us, and I don't think that anybody's working responsibly unless we sit right down here now and don't say wait until tomorrow, because I was here this morning at Eleven O'Clock and there was some missing over there and there was some missing over here. Don't tell me all the Republicans were here, because they weren't. Don't tell me all the Democrats were here, because they weren't and don't tell me tomorrow morning, that all the Republicans are going to be there, because they're not and all the Democrats aren't going to be here. The only way we're going to get something moving is by both sides of the aisle working right now until we get it done. Anything other than that is

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, he said he didn't know what we wanted on this side of the aisle. I for one, if I'm Out of Order."

irresponsible and we all know that, so let's get it done."

Speaker Redmond: "No, no. The Gentlemen who are standing between you and the Chair are Out of Order."



Ebbesen: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker. My only point is he wants to know what we want on this side of the aisle, and I don't think it's necessarily confined to this side of the aisle, but I'll tell you what I want as one individual. I'd like some meaningful malpractice Legislation. Some watering down of the Workmen's Compensation. Some watering down of Unemployment Compensation and if we have to stay here as Representative Hanahan has expressed, until Labor Day, for that meaningful Legislation, I'll stay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Majority Leader confuses me a Little bit. Here, just a couple of minutes ago, he was trying to receive a 107 votes to suspend the Rule, so that Mental Health could be put into Conference Committee, so that a Public Hearing could be held tomorrow. Now he's making a Motion on another Bill to bypass Committee so there will be no hearing at all on it. One minute

he wants a public hearing on one thing, two minutes later he wants to shut off all hearings at all. Not even a

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

Committee hearing."

Boyle: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would urge one of my brothern over there who voted on the prevailing side to reconsider and if you're going to do this sort of thing, do it to some other Bill except the Department of Mental Health. Why don't you let us get that one out. You've got other things that you can hold bondage except the mentally retarded and the mentally handicapped in Illinois. You don't have to play with them. If we're going to play politics, let's play with some other agency of government except the retarded children of Illinois. Let's be decent. If we're going to be phoney-baloney, let's be phoney-balonies all the way, but not with the mentally



handicapped and the mentally retarded in Illinois. Get on with the business at hand."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm only one of the foot polsters over here on the Republican side, but since you're the Constitutional Officer who's suppose to run this crazy place, it must be pretty obvious to you that we're not getting a damn thing done here and we haven't all day today. Now the Majority Leader has already acknowledged we're going to be in Session tomorrow. You've got a lot of pretty tired People on the Floor, making some pretty big asses out of themselves, why in the world don't you call a quit to this and would you entertain a Motion to adjourn to a reasonable time tomorrow morning, so Mr. Shea can cool off, so Mr. Washburn can put it all together and then we'll come back here and try to wind this thing up in some good order instead of going on here and all we're doing is giving a lot of news media coverage to another bad situation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I assure you, I'm very cool. Not too clam and not too collected, but I think Mr. Ebbesen finally put it all right on the Table. The Republican Party ground State government to an absolute halt to try to get some changes in Workmen's Compensation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you rise?"

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I think my remarks said it did not confine itself to this side of the aisle. There are Legislators here who are interested in meaningful watering down of Unemployment, Workmen's Compensation, Unemployment and a good Medical Malpractice Legislation and I said it did not confine itself to this side of the aisle.

Don't put it on the Republican Party."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, let Representative Shea continue."

Shea: "I've met and have tried to meet all day to try to get
it solved. An hour and a half ago, we had a very fragile
package put together and started to move. When we got
Children and Family Services out, the Report filed, I
met with the Republican Leadership and now there's some
question as to whether I should have called 3277 first."

Speaker Redmond: "Let him conclude."

Shea: "I did what I thought I had an agreement. Now all I'm trying to do and have been doing is to get the Bills in a posture to get heard, and that's why I filed this Bill and filed this Motion. I have issued a news release.

I haven't made a statement until I was told by Mr.

Ebbesen what he wanted. Now if it's Workmen's Comp, if it's RTA, that's right, Cal, grind Transportation to a halt, close the Mental hospitals, do everything you can to get what you want. Sometimes I try."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Shea: "Perhaps that was my fault, for addressing the Gentle-man, and I apologize to the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Floor now ....."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the Democratic majority started out this Session by showing how incapable they were of running government. We had the longest balloting for Speaker in the history of the State. All throughout this Session 101 Democrats could not find among their own number, 89 votes with which to pass Bills, and Representative Shea has the temerity to stand on the

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, for what purpose do you rise? I don't think you're in Order, Representative Telcser. You're not addressing yourself. This Chamber is not intended to be a voice to harange each other.

We're here to conduct State business and I'm not going



to recognize anybody for that purpose. Representative McClain, for what purpose do you rise?"

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, if you would just strike your name in the transcript and add mine, that's exactly what I was going to say."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, is there anything before the House.

Representative Duff, what is there before the House that you want to address your remarks to?"

Duff: "To the remarks of the Majority Leader, Sir, if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think that's a proper...."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, he made some remarks and I would
like the opportunity. He is the Representative of the
Leadership of the Democrat Party and I would like an
opportunity to respond to the Statement he made only
that. Sir, and as temperately as I can. I would suggest,

Mr. Speaker, that this...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, we have before us now, a Motion to suspend the Rules and it would seem to me that any person who speaks other than to that Motion is out of Order.

I'm sick and tired of all these lectures. I am going to lock my key and I will be at my hotel. You can send the State Police after me."

Speaker Redmond: "Your Point is well taken, Representative

Madison. Question is on the Gentleman's Motion to discharge the Committee on Appropriations and advance House
Bill 4005 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Question is on that Gentleman's Motion. All
those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Gaines to explain his vote."

Gaines: "As the Co-Chair President or Republican spokesman on the Subcommittee on Mental Health, we have not had a single meeting with the Mental Health Department. Nothing has been done through Committee and about the substantive



program and I'm very concerned about the Mental Health program. I am concerned about it, where affirmative action is concerned. There's rapid discrimination in that agency and I certainly feel it ought to have a public hearing on it. I'm going to vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 92 'aye' and 20 'no'. The Gentleman's Motion carries. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 9:30 tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves that the House now adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. We stand adjourned.

