

6-29-76

Speaker Redmond: "House will be in Order. Members please be in their seats. We'll be led in Prayer this morning by Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Reverend Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O LORD, bless this House to thy service this day. Thomas Jefferson said 'The God who gave us life gave us liberty at the same time'. Let us pray. Almighty God, our Heavenly Father, as the days draw nigh to the anniversary of our independence, may we be mindful of the fulfillment of the gift of liberty which was bestowed upon us at that same time. We ask Thy special blessing upon us that all our efforts toward enacting legislation for this State may be in concurrence with Thy Divine Plan for life and liberty, which we so enjoy and that each of us in our way may be contributors to this cause, through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for Attendance. Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask permission to attend the funeral of Ike Sims, along with Representative Taylor, Representative Patrick, Representative Caldwell and Huff. We'll return for the afternoon Session. His funeral is at Eleven O'Clock this morning. We'd like to have permission to attend."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, permission granted. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1877, concurred in by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the



Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee to House Bill 3553, adopted by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1881, concurred in by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 and 2 to Senate Bill 1789, concurred in by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1603. I'm further directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1603. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for Second Conference Committee to Senate Bill 1614. Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for Second Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1621.



Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for First Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1650.

Action taken by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1934, adopted by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bills with the following titles, to wit: House Bills #3222, 3903 and 3912. Passed by the Senate June 28, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Shea: "On the Order of Motions appears Senate Bill 1525 and on that, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this is the Motion to discharge the Revenue Committee, which did not have a quorum to consider various Bills last time around. This is the Bill that would allow the Rockford School District to have a working cash fund. The Rockford School District is the only school district in the entire State, to the best of my knowledge, that does not have the ability to levy a working cash fund. I would ask leave to use the Attendance Roll Call to discharge it from the Revenue Committee."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman asks leave to amend the Motion on its face to read Second Legislative Day. Is there



objection to that? Hearing none, there is objection to using the Attendance Roll Call, and at that juncture, Mr. Skinner says we'll hold that Motion for a minute. Assistant Majority Leader, Mr. Madigan. What are you shaking your head for, Mr. Walsh? You read that little Trib and the publicity goes to your head. Mr. Skinner, do you want to try 1526? Mr. Skinner makes a Motion to amend the Motion on its face from First to Second Legislative Day. Is there objection? Hearing none, the Motion will read Second Legislative Day. Now, Mr. Skinner, do you want to state your Motion?"

Skinner: "Well, you're doing a much better job than I'm doing, Sir. What Motion do you think I should make?"

Speaker Shea: "Well, I would think that you would want to explain what 1526 is and why you want to move it."

Skinner: "1526 is the Bill I apparently explained before when you called another Bill. Is that correct? Yeah. 1526 is the one that allows the working cash fund for the Rockford School District."

Speaker Shea: "There's objection. You want to hold that Motion?"

Skinner: "Well, certainly, unless you desire to get a quorum quickly."

Speaker Shea: "Oh, I think we've got a quorum. There's 89 people here if you want to run the Motion. I'd be happy to run it."

Skinner: "Oh, sure, why don't we get a room full."

Speaker Shea: "All right, the Gentleman moves to suspend the appropriate Rule and take from the Committee on Revenue and send Senate Bill 1526 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and on that, it takes 89 votes. The Gentleman moves....."

Skinner: "Why don't I explain the Bill again?"

Speaker Shea: "All right, why don't you explain it and we'll





see if there's any opponents to the concept."

Skinner: "To the best of my knowledge, every school district in the State of Illinois has a working cash fund which may be levied without referendum except for the City of Rockford, and since the City of Rockford, as Representative Stubblefield and Representative Giorgi and Representative Simms, have previously explained, it's in extraordinary financial state, because it is the highest assessed school district in the State of Illinois and because of that high assessment, it loses about 3.5 million dollars of State aid a year. They need some way to get from here until the time when everyone is equalized at 33% and they think the working cash fund would be a way to get part of the way. If there are any questions, I would be happy to answer them."

Speaker Shea: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor indicate why these Bills should be taken from the Committee?"

Skinner: "I certainly would be happy to, Mr. Speaker and I would suggest the reason they should be taken from Committee is because during the last Committee meeting we did not have a quorum. We came close to having a quorum. We passed each other in the hallway coming in and out several times, but we never all got in the same Room at the same time."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I feel sorry for Mr. Skinner. However, he's not the only Member of the Body who has faced a problem of a lack of quorum in a Committee. He states that certain Members of the Committee were present at the time of the hearing on these Bills and certainly that indicates to me that he had the opportunity to go to the Members of the subcommittee to solicit their attendance at the Committee Meeting and then solicit



their 'aye' vote for his Bill, so that he did have his opportunity pursuant to the procedures and practices adopted by the House of Representatives for Committee Consideration of Bills, and I just don't see how he or this Bill deserves treatment any different from any other Member of the House and therefore I would oppose his Motion."

Speaker Shea: "On the question....."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, if I may close, I would respectfully suggest....."

Speaker Shea: "I have an inquiry from Mr. LaFleur, who's one of the Gentlemen on the Committee of Revenue. For what purpose do you arise, Mr. LaFleur?"

LaFleur: "I would like to oppose this Motion along with other ones, because I think....."

Speaker Shea: "No, Mr. LaFleur, on Motions, we still have the Rule that there is the one person, and even though you oppose it, you can't speak against it now and there's no explanations of votes. Mr. Skinner to close."

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Shea: "On a Point of Order. ~~The~~ Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "No, Inquiry. Did you say it takes 89? I didn't look at the Book yet."

Speaker Shea: "Pardon me?"

Matijeovich: "Did you say it takes 89? I thought it takes 107."

Speaker Shea: "Will you point that out to me."

Matijeovich: "I haven't even looked yet. It was just my Memory, because it hasn't even been reported from Committee yet, has it?"

Speaker Shea: "All right, why don't we stand at ease for a minute....."

Matijeovich: "I think it takes 107 because it has not yet



been reported."

Speaker Shea: "Why don't we stand at ease for a minute.

Mr. Matijevich, would you check the Rules and we'll stand at ease. The Parliamentarian is over in the Senate this morning. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy, arise?"

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think Rule 66c indicates that it only takes 89 votes."

Speaker Shea: "Well, we seem to have a difference of opinion. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I'm not there yet, but all I want to say is, 66c doesn't apply because it refers to 66, which part of that is A, where any Member may move by giving written notice that a Committee be discharged from Committee of any Bill or as referred to and not reported back, do not..... oh, I guess you may be right. All right, I think he's right."

Speaker Shea: "If you read the Rule and let me perhaps read it. It says 'Any Member may move by giving written notice that a Committee be discharged from consideration of any Bill or Resolution referred to it and not reported back.' So, if it is not reported back, it takes 107 votes and a suspension of the Rule. If it is reported and in no matter which way it is reported, it seems that it takes 89 votes, is the way I read the Rule that if there is no Committee action, it takes 107 votes. If there is Committee Action, it takes 89, so that it is my interpretation of these Rules that when a Bill is assigned to Committee, it takes 107 votes to take it from Committee, if the Committee has not acted and it takes 89 if the Committee has acted. Mr. Lundy, if you read in Section A, consideration of any Bill or Resolution reported to it and not reported back, so that means the not reported back refers to nonaction by the Committee. Is that



right, Sir?"

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, that's my interpretation."

Speaker Shea: "All right, then....."

Lundy: "But it could also include for example a Do Pass Motion that didn't get sufficient votes in Committee."

Speaker Shea: "Then it would still require 107 votes to take it from the Committee."

Lundy: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't understand your Ruling in light of Subsection c of that Rule, which seems to say very clearly that if a Motion discribed in A receives the affirmative vote of 89 Members, the Bill should be placed on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day."

Speaker Shea: "I'll tell you what, why don't we stand at ease for about five minutes and I'll get Lundy and Matijeovich up here and we'll figure out exactly what this is. Mr. Walsh, will you come up and bring your Parliamentarian, because he won't come up without you. Conference Committee notices."

Clerk O'Brien: "Notice relating to a Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1614. Members appointed to the Conference Committee are Representatives Kosinski, E. M. Barnes, Bradley, Ryan and Totten. A meeting of the Second Conference Committee will be Tuesday, June 29 at Ten O'Clock in the East House Corridor. Notice of Conference Committee relating to Senate Bill 1997. Members appointed to the Conference Committee are Representatives Shea, D. L. Houlihan, Getty, Palmer and Duff. Meeting of the Members of the Conference Committee will be Tuesday, June 29 at 10:30 AM in the East House Corridor. Notice of Conference Committee relating to Senate Bill 1594. Members appointed to the Conference Committee are Representatives Terzich, Merlo, Capparelli, Ebbesen, and McCourt. A meeting of the Members of the Conference Committee will be



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, June 29 at the Hour of Eleven O'Clock AM  
in the East House Corridor."

Speaker Shea: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from  
Winnebago, Mr. Stubblefield, arise?"

Stubblefield: "Moment of Personal Privilege, Mr. Speaker,  
if I may."

Speaker Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Stubblefield: "Last year, I sponsored a Bill that was passed  
by this House, authorizing the Secretary of State  
to provide a space on your drivers license for a  
organ donar decal. These decals have now been approved  
by the various foundations that are interested in  
that kind of program and Secretary Howlett has imple-  
mented the program by putting this type of display  
in each driver's station throughout the State. They  
are also available from the Kidney Foundation and  
the Illinois eye bank for doctors offices, hospitals  
or your own district office. If you would be in-  
terested in having those, see me on the Floor and I'll  
give you the information where you can obtain them.  
We should give some publicity to ~~to this~~ program. Thank  
you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "All right, back to the main motion of Mr.  
Skinner and on Mr. Skinner's Motion, Mr. Matijevich,  
for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "I move that Motion lie on the Table."

Speaker Shea: "On his Motion, so we understand where the  
number of votes required are, the Rules say that  
prior to the time it's sent to a Committee, it takes  
107 votes to take from the Committee on Assignments  
and place on the Calendar on Second Reading. Prior  
to the time it's sent to a Committee, that when it is  
assigned to a Committee and a notice to take from the  
Committee is put on the Calendar and stays there for  
one Legislative Day, it takes 89 votes. This has



been assigned to a Committee. The Gentleman's Motion to take from the Committee has appeared on the Calendar One Legislative Day. It is in writing and it takes 89 votes. Based on that, the Gentleman's Motion would take 89 votes to take from the Table. Now, Mr. Matijevich has moved that that Motion lay on the Table and it is my understanding that that takes a Majority of those voting on the question for Mr. Matijevich's Motion to prevail. Based on that, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, moves to suspend the appropriate Rule and advance Senate Bill 1526, take it from the Committee of Revenue and place on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Mr. Matijevich moves that that Motion lay on the Table. All those in favor of Mr. Matiejvich's Motion to Table will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, J. M. arise?"

Houlihan: "A mistake, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "All those in favor of Mr. Skinner's Motion will, or in favor of Mr. Matijevich's Motion will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'no'. For what purpose does Mr. Stubblefield arise?"

Stubblefield: "I'd like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. I don't know if you know what is involved in this Bill, but this is the situation that Mr. Skinner is trying to take care of, by this Legislation that would provide a working cash fund for the Rockford School District. This doesn't cost the State anything. We're the only District in the State that does not have the authority to establish a working cash fund. Now I think I have said enough on this Floor to let you know that there is a serious financial crunch in Rockford. We have asked for State assistance. This is a provision that would allow our own taxpayers to



help offset that deficit and it's sorely needed and it is extremely unfair that we'd be the only District without that provision. I'd like to have this Bill heard and I'd urge you to vote 'no' on the Gentleman's Motion to Table."

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wish? We've suspended the Rule as I understand it, Gentleman, on explanation of our votes on Motions. Have all voted who wish? For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFleur, arise?"

LaFleur: "The proponent of the Bill was explaining the reason for the Bill. Do we have a chance to explain our vote?"

Speaker Shea: "All right, Mr. LaFleur, I was in error in allowing him to speak, but in order to not only rectify but perhaps compound my error, I'll let you speak."

LaFleur: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think the People here should be aware that a package of Bills came over from the Senate that were assigned to the Revenue Committee that was not a diligent attempt to have those heard, and they're taking this method of trying to bypass Committee and the procedures of the House. Now the reasons these Bills are trying to be expedited over here is a very, very serious reason and the reason is they were held as hostage over in the Senate until the RTA Bill was killed. The Sponsor of these Bills in the Senate is the person who took a walk on the RTA. Now I don't want to stand in judgment of whether Rockford needs this or not, but I know that the suburban area needed some relief from the RTA and if this is the trade to put these Bills in a posture that they can be passed for Rockford, then I think they should be held to be measured against the harm they have done to this suburban area in preventing them from bringing forth an RTA Bill that would have



been helpful."

Speaker Shea: "All right, have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this, there are 78 'ayes' and 66 'nays'. For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, arise?"

Skinner: "Well, after all that obstination, I think we should have at least a call of the Absentees."

Speaker Shea: "Call the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Arnell. Bluthardt. Boyle. Caldwell. Campbell. Capuzi. Catania. Craig. John Dunne. Dyer. Friedrich. Greiman. Griesheimer. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Madison. Mann. Maragos. McAvoy. McPartlin. Malloy. Mudd. Rose. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Sharp. Terzich. Washington. Winchester. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Report Mr. Hirschfeld as 'no'. On this question, there are 79 'ayes', 66 'nays' and the Gentleman's Motion to Table the Motion of the Gentleman from McHenry, prevails and the Motion is Tabled. Mr. Madigan, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you please inform me as to what action, if any, was taken on the Motion relative to Senate Bill 1525?"

Speaker Shea: "On that Motion, there was a Motion to amend on its face. I understand we can withdraw that Motion. It seems that Senate Bill still resides in the Senate and has not got to the House."

Madigan: "Is that Senate Bill 1525, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Shea: "Yes."

Madigan: "In other words, the Calendar is in error?"

Speaker Shea: "No, the Motion has been filed, but Mr. Skinner has a Motion, I think, coming up to withdraw that Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "At this time?"

Speaker Shea: "Turn Mr. Skinner on, please."





Skinner: "The Calendar was not in error. I was in error. I filed the Motion on the wrong Bill. I would ask leave to withdraw the Motion or Table it or whatever the procedure is."

Speaker Shea: "All right, the Gentleman makes a Motion to withdraw the Motion with regard to Senate Bill 1525. There's no objections. That's allowed. Now, on the Order of Senate Bills Motions, appears a Bill on Senate Bill 1679. Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If the Clerk will look at the original Motion that was filed, the Motion was to advance it to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. I think the Calendar is in error on the original Motion that was filed. May I proceed, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Shea: "You're saying what?"

Berman: "I'm saying that the Motion that I filed was a Motion to discharge the Committee on Higher Education and advance Senate Bill 1679 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Shea: "The Motion indicates that, and based on that, we'll change the Calendar to Second Legislative Day. All right, now, on your Motion, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill came over, I think it was on Friday or Saturday. I touched base with the Chairman of the Committee on Higher Education, Mr. Mann. I don't see him here. He indicated that he had some problem with the Bill. I checked with the Minority Spokesman, Mrs. Dyer, and she indicated that she had no objection to my Motion. I ask the Chairman if he would set a meeting of the Committee and one has not been set. That's why I didn't call this Motion yesterday, but I would like to proceed with this Motion today. The Bill would increase the maximum for the scholarship or grants from the Illinois State Scholarship Commission by \$50 for this forthcoming year



beginning in the August awards from \$1500 to \$1550. The reason for the need of this is because of the increase in tuitions that have been occasioned in all of the nonpublic schools essentially, schools of higher education throughout the State and I would appreciate an affirmative vote on the Motion to discharge the Committee on Higher Education and move this to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, moves to take Senate Bill 1679 from the Committee on Higher Education and place on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. On that Motion, is there an opponent to the Motion? Is there an opponent to the Motion? Does the Gentleman have leave to use the Attendance Roll Call on that Motion? Is there objection to using the Attendance Roll Call on that Motion? Hearing no objection, the Motion will be adopted on Attendance Roll Call, minus Mr. Katz' vote, and the Gentleman's Motion to place on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day will be adopted. On the Order of Motions appears the Motion on Senate Bill 1716 and on that, the Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill is not one I think that would be controversial. I have checked this request for this action with both sides of the aisle and find no objections and so therefore I move to advance Senate Bill 1726 to Second Reading without Reference to Committee, Second Day."

Speaker Shea: "First of all, the Gentleman asks leave to amend his Motion on the face and go from First Reading, Second Reading, First Legislative Day to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Is there objection? Is there objection to the Motion to amend it on its face. Mr.



Walsh objects. Mr. Walsh, on an inquiry."

Walsh: "This Bill was heard and approved as an emergency measure in the Rules Committee several days ago.

Why wasn't it heard in a Committee, Bruce?"

Richmond: "Ever since I've had the Bill, it's been on the list for Motions, Motion Calendar, because I didn't think it had time to go through Committee."

Walsh: "Did you make any effort to have it assigned to a Committee?"

Richmond: "No, I didn't."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Since there is no objection, the Gentleman's Motion will be amended on its face to read Second Legislative Day. Now the Gentleman renews his Motion. Mr. Lundy, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would request a ruling of the Chair as to the number of votes required to adopt this Motion and I raise that question because it is not clear to me whether Rule 66 on discharge of Committee applies only to a discharge of standing committees or also to discharge of service committees of the House such as the Rules Committee or the Committee on Assignment of Bills."

Speaker Shea: "The Rules Committee or the Assignment of Bills Committee, as you so adroitly state, are service committees and it is the opinion of the Chair, that a Bill residing in either one of those two Committees has not been assigned to a substantive committee and therefore would require 107 votes."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Hoffman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hoffman: "Inquiry of the Chair. What Rule would you use for that kind of ruling when the Standing Committees are in that Section of the Book relating to all committees?"



Speaker Shea: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, arise?"

Matijevich: "Well, I would think the Rule would be 31 under Assignment of Bills where there's a Section b. Actually what we're doing here is advancing to the Order of Second Reading without reference to Committee. Under 31b and that takes 107 votes, because it has not been referred to a Standing Committee. Therefore, what we're really doing is advancing to Second Reading without reference."

Speaker Shea: "And that would so be the Ruling of the Chair. All right, on Mr. Richmond's Motion to take from the Committee on Assignments, and place on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Is there objection? Is there objection? Hearing no objection, the Motion will be adopted on the Attendance Roll Call, minus Mr. Katz' name. Mr. Houlihan, do you seek recognition? Turn Mr. Houlihan on. All right the Gentleman's Motion, the Gentleman from Jackson's Motion to take Senate Bill 1726 from the Committee on Assignment and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, is adopted with the requisite, more than the requisite 107 votes by using the Attendance Roll Call. On the Order of Motions appears the Motion with regard to Senate Bill 1749 and on that Motion, the Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman. Is Mr. Schuneman on the Floor? Mr. Lundy, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hesitate to raise this.... Oh, Mr. Schuneman is on the Floor. I would question the propriety of this Motion because it is a Motion to discharge the Rules Committee and as I understand it that would require suspending a Joint Rule."

Speaker Shea: "Well, now we have allowed People to take



from the Rules Committee, by unanimous consent. There are two Motions by Mr. Schuneman and prior to the time that you raised that objection, can I find out the posture of the Bill. Mr. Schuneman, what is the posture of Senate Bill 1749?"

Schuneman: "Mr. Chairman, the Motion to discharge Rules was filed on Sunday and since that time, the Bill has been exempted by Rules Committee."

Speaker Shea: "All right, now, you're going to withdraw your Motion on taking from the Rules Committee. Is that right, Sir?"

Schuneman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Shea: "All right. On the Second Motion, that Bill presently resides in the Committee on Assignments?"

Schuneman: "That's my understanding. Yes, Sir."

Speaker Shea: "That Motion takes 107 votes to carry."

Schuneman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, is it your ruling then, I'm not certain where this Bill is, whether it's still in the Committee on Assignments or if it's been assigned to Labor and Commerce."

Speaker Shea: "Well, that's why I asked you, Sir. I don't have an exact posture of the Bill up here and I thought perhaps you would know and that's why I asked you if it was in the Committee on Assignments."

Schuneman: "This was one of the last Bills acted upon by the Rules Committee yesterday and I'm not aware of whether or not the Committee on Assignment has made any assignment since that time."

Speaker Shea: "Well, could I suggest this to you, Sir, that we just hold this and the House will stand at ease while I find out."

Schuneman: "O'kay, fine."

Speaker Shea: "I just checked with the Secretary for the Chairman on the Committee on Assignments and that Bill still resides in the Committee on Assignments."



Therefore, it is treated as a Motion to advance to Second Reading without Reference to a Committee and requires 107 votes. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, arise?"

Walsh: "Just to say, Mr. Speaker, that I disagree with you strenuously on that Ruling with respect to the Committee on Assignments and would ask what the schedule in the Chair is today? Will we see the permanent Speaker at all today, because I think he ought to Rule on important things like this."

Speaker Shea: "I'm sure he will be here, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Could you get him out here, Mr. Speaker, and let's Rule on that question?"

Speaker Shea: "He'll be out here at the appropriate time, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "A lot of People would like to see him now, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Back to Mr. Schuneman's Motion. Mr.

Schuneman moves to discharge the Committee on Assignments and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Is it First, Mr. Schuneman?"

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I should first ask leave to amend the Motion on its face to change it now to Second Legislative Day rather than First Legislative Day."

Speaker Shea: "Is there objection? Is there objection to that Motion? Mr. Giorgi objects. Turn Mr. Giorgi on. Mr. Giorgi do you just object or wish to make a statement?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Members ought to be alerted that this is a Workmen's Comp Bill and all the Bills in the House on Workmen's Comp have been assigned to Committee and Senate Bill 1967 is already on the Governor's desk. I think maybe we ought to explain what they're trying to do. I'd hate to characterize this as Representative Schlickman called yesterday, dishonest



attempt to pull something."

Speaker Shea: "All right, back to Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd be happy to explain what this Bill does. This Bill is similar to a Bill that the House passed out of here a few days ago by a large majority. Representative Doug Kane's Bill that would provide for a change in the assigned risk plan for Workmen's Compensation and the posture of that situation is that House Bill 3604, which was Representative Kane's Bill got into the Senate, but the Senate is not going to act. This Bill, Senate Bill 1749, is the Senate version of that measure and arrived in the House at a very late date. It didn't arrive here until Sunday, so this is the reason we're asking that we discharge Committee in an attempt that this General Assembly can pass some corrective Legislation in an attempt to ease the problem that we've been having in the assignment of Workmen's Compensation policies. I think, Sir, that it is a noncontroversial matter, actually, if the Members understand what we're doing."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Giorgi, do you still object? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, arise?"

Mautino: "To address the Motion, if I may, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "As a proponent or opponent?"

Mautino: "Proponent."

Speaker Shea: "Well, the Gentleman is under the policy adopted by more than 107 Members of this House."

Mautino: "Therefore, I move the previous question."

Speaker Shea: "We're there already. Now, Mr. Schuneman renews his Motion to take from the Calendar-or from the Committee on Assignments and place on the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day, Mr. Schuneman."



Schuneman: "Do I have an opportunity to close, Sir?"

Speaker Shea: "I'm going to let you do it as soon as I get the Motion phrased correctly, Sir. Mr. Schuneman moves to take Senate Bill 1749 from the Committee on Assignments and advance to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Is there an opponent to that measure? Mr. Giorgi from Winnebago speaks for the opponent."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, if you'll pull it out of the Record for about ten minutes, we may remove our objections. If he persists, I still object."

Schuneman: "I'd be happy to take it out of the Record."

Speaker Shea: "All right, now, Mr. Schuneman moves to take the Bill out of the Record, or the Motion. Is there objection? Hearing none, it will be taken out of the Record. Now, Mr. Flinn on a Motion with regard to Senate Bill 1756."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to amend the Motion I made on the face by changing the Motion from reading First Legislative Day, Second Reading, First Legislative Day to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Shea: "Is there objection to the Gentleman's Motion to amend on its face? Is there objection to the Gentleman's Motion to amend on its face? Hearing none, that Motion will be allowed, by unanimous consent. Now, on the Motion, the Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, moves to take Senate Bill 1756 from the Committee on Transportation and place on the House Calendar on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. On that Motion, the Gentleman from Madison, or from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, to explain the reason for the Motion."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, the reason for this Motion is very simple. The Transportation Committee Chairman desired





not to call the Committee meeting for this one Bill. It's an annual Bill that we put in to fund the Bi-state Development Agency for the Illinois share of the transportation. Missouri, the St. Louis and St. Louis County furnishes 82% of the funding and we furnish 18% for our share and this is an annual Bill and the Chairman of the Committee on Transportation chose not to call a Committee meeting and asked that I file this Motion."

Speaker Shea: "All right, is there an opponent to the Gentleman's Motion? Is the Chairman there? I can't see. Is there an opponent to the Gentleman's Motion? Mr. Deuster, for which purpose do you arise?"

Deuster: "Well, I know this is a little unusual. I'm not really an opponent, but I'm not sure I understand the need for this Transportation Bill and it seems to be related to continuing something that was done in the past with respect to removing Statutory Transportation requirements for one particular down-state public transportation district, and reserving the right to object, I believe ~~maybe~~ Mr. Flinn ought to explain the substance of the Bill rather than to just indicate that this is something that's been done and it's an annual Bill. We do lots of things annually that are mistakes and we and the Chicago Region have been trying for a long time to get some relief for something that's troubling us and....."

Speaker Shea: "Are you speaking to this Motion, Mr. Deuster?"

Deuster: "Yes, Sir. I'm asking Mr. Flinn to explain why we should extend this. What's the substance of it?"

Speaker Shea: "For what purpose does the Majority Whip, Mr. Bradley, arise?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to remind the Members if I could of the Second Conference Committee on the Department of Law Enforcement. It meets at Ten O'clock



We're in the corridor right now. If all the members of that Conference Committee would please come out we could get things moving. Also, to remind the Members that 10:15 the first Conference Committee on 1650 will meet and I wish the Members would try to arrive on time for these Conference Committees. Now, we've got about eight or nine set for between now and 1 o'clock. If they would check their schedules so that they would be in attendance we could move things along. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "As long as we've been disturbed for a minute on this, Mr. Leon wishes to make an announcement with regard to a Conference Committee."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Conference Committee scheduled for 10 a.m. in Senator McCarthy's office, on House Bills 3115 and 3116 at the request of the Senate has been postponed until tomorrow morning at 10 a.m."

Speaker Shea: "All right, now, Mr. Deuster, you only had an inquiry. Mr. Totten, do you wish to object to the Gentlemen's Motion? Turn Mr. Totten on."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, while we're on the subject of Conference Committees, I was looking over the schedule of Representative Bradley, it indicated one of the problems we were into yesterday was that the Conference Committees were overlapping and that was with an half hour interval inbetween. And, I would hope that they would take into consideration this 15 minute interval is going to cause some serious problems on these Conference Committees."

Speaker Shea: "Well.....Mr.....turn Mr. Bradley on at Mr. Brandt's microphone....Mr. Terzich's microphone, I'm sorry....."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would possibly agree with Mr. Totten but he



JUN 29 1976

23.

knows that everybody is not showing up at the right time. Now, Mr. Totten and Mr. Ryan and myself have been there if it's scheduled at 10 o'clock, we've been able to get to work. It's when we've been delayed by Members not showing up .....in running into that overlap proceeding, but I don't know how in the world..... if we're going to do our job, that with as many Conference Committees as we've got right now and I can see more coming down the road, and we're holding them as requested by the Members of this House....In the..... holding the Committee Meetings someplace where everybody can attend. It's right next to the Chambers. I don't see how we can do it in any finer manner than we're doing right now. If the Members would just be in attendance at the proper time that it's scheduled, we won't run into the problem. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Back to Mr. Flinn's Motion. Now, Mr. Schlickman for what purpose do you arise? Is it on this Motion? All right, Mr. Schlickman arises as an apponent to the Motion."

Schlickman: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. First of all, let me say, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House..."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Schlickman, would you hold on for a minute? .....Could we have some order? Would the Ladies and Gentlemen please be in their seats? Proceed, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "As I was saying, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if this Bill is of such importance as represented by the maker of the Motion, I think the attitude of the Chairman of the Transportation Committee was rather cavalier or irresponsible....suggesting that the Committee didn't have time to hear such an important Bill and simply pass on to the full House the consideration of whether or not it should be read a second time and presented....Third



Reading for consideration. I call to your attention, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that this is the second time that we've been asked to extend the formula of 3/32....er....excuse me....2/32 of the sales tax to give assistance to the bi-State Transit Authority covering the State of Illinois and the State of Missouri. Now, the difference between the 2/32 and 1/32 without this Bill, is 1.2 million dollars of additional aid from the State of Illinois. Now, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the 2/32 formula is arbitrary, there is no basis for it, there is a need for coming up with a formula from ....that, from a policy standpoint, is... in relation to....the need of the Illinois area....of the bi-State Transit Authority. By passing this Bill we simply defer the inevitable and that is sitting down and having a rational formula develop. And, for those reasons, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I oppose the Motion to discharge Senate Bill 1756 from the Transportation Committee and placing it at the order of Second Reading."

Speaker Shea: "In the absence of the Chairman ..... on Transportation. .... does Mr. Neff, the Minority spokesman wish to make a statement? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman arise?"

Schlickman: "Well, with all deference to the Minority Spokesman on the Transportation Committee, you're calling upon him is contrary to the rule. He has announced by you previously and that was to have one proponent and one opponent. Now, the maker of the Motion was the proponent, I was the opponent. Let's vote."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Schlickman, in your debate, you cast some doubt on either the Chairman or the Transportation Committee. Mr. Neff, sought recognition to explain something with regard to the Transportation Committee... Mr. Neff."



Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman referred to an increase and I believe....Representative Schlickman, that there is no increase. I just don't know where you are getting at that and I would just like to say a couple of words. This Bill, actually what we're doing here is extending State support to the Bi-State Transit System for one year. But, if the Bill is not passed it's going to....the Illinois Commuter System in St. Louis will lose their bus service. Now, the Transportation Study Commission is studying this problem at this time and hopefully we will have a report from the Transportation Committee and come up with a permanent answer to this solution that we're faced with right now. Therefore I think it's important that this Bill ...be moved and hopefully passed out."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, to close."

Flinn: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I didn't want to get into a full blown debate about this Bill. We'll have plenty of time when it gets on Third Reading. But, the problem exists because the Transportation Study Commission has not gotten around to coming up with a permanent solution. Originally the ...there were funds appropriated each year out of the General Funds to support the Illinois side of the Bi-State Transportation System. Along come the Downstate Transportation Act, with the 1/32. This was not enough to support our portion of the St. Louis Transportation System and we had to have a 2/32. And, each year we are coming back and asking for the same thing. I certainly would appreciate very much, as a Legislator from that area, of having a final solution to the problem, but there is nothing that we can do about it until this Body decides what shall be done about it. So, each year we have to come back and ask for this again. Now, I think that my Motion is .....should be adopted,



very simply because of the fact what Mr. Neff said..... Starting next Thursday morning there will not be any bus service for the east side of Metropolitan St. Louis area. Very simple, that's what it amounts to. We cannot expect St. Louis and St. Louis County to support our side of the river with transportation....."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn, moves to take Senate Bill 1756 from the Committee on Transportation and placed on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this there are 121 'aye', 6 'nays', 5 Members voting 'present' and the Gentleman's Motion is adopted. Mr. Schuneman, for which purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to report that we have worked out the differences on the Motion on Senate Bill 1749 and I think we can proceed with that matter now, with the permission of the Chair."

Speaker Shea: "Well, we'll get back to that in a moment. In the meantime we're going to take care of a little other business. Conference Committee Notices."

Clerk O'Brien: "Conference Committee Notice relating to Senate Bill 1650. Members appointed are Representative Stone, Berman, Bradley, Totten and Ryan. The meeting will be Tuesday, at the hour of 10:15, in the East House corridor, which is immediately. Conference Committee relating to House Bill 3377, the Members appointed are Representatives Byers, Boyle, Bradley, Totten and Ryan. Meeting of the Conference Committee will be Tuesday at the hour of 10:30 a.m., in the East House corridor. Conference Committee relating to House Bill 3392, Members appointed are Representatives Jaffe, Gerald Bradley, E. M. Barnes, Totten and Ryan. The meeting will be held



Tuesday at 10:45 a.m., in the East House corridor. Conference Committee relating to Senate Bill 1936; Members appointed are Representatives Mudd, Madigan, Bradley, Ryan and Totten. Meeting will be Tuesday, at the Hour of 11:15, in the East House corridor. Conference Committee relating to House Bill 3818. Members appointed are Representatives Lechowicz, Kozubowski, Bradley, Ryan and Totten. The meeting will be held Tuesday at 12 o'clock noon in the East House corridor. That last one was House Bill 3818, with Lechowicz, Kozubowski, .....meeting at noon in the East House corridor."

Madigan in Chair

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schuneman, on your Senate Bill 1749, I understand that one of the objectors is Mr. Giorgi and he has not withdrawn his objections. Would you talk with Mr. Giorgi.....and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm wondering if I might have just a couple of minutes of the House's time and some order."

Speaker Madigan: "Would the Membership give its attention to the Majority Leader, Mr. Shea?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, at this time I'd like to unvail for the Members of the House a portrait of our Speaker, the Honorable William Redmond. It was done by Mr. John Powell, from LaSalle, Illinois, and he's here with his wife, Mrs. Powell. Mr. Powell, if you please, Sir. Can we unvail that portrait there? Might I ask that.....Mr. O'Brien, might I ask that you move it to the center of the .....if we could.....Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I think we ought to hear from Mr. Redmond."



Speaker Madigan: "...And, the Chair recognizes the Speaker, at Mr. Madigan's desk, .....Mr. Speaker."

William A. Redmond: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like first to introduce two members of my family. My daughter, Mary, whom I believe many of you know..... And, my son, Bill, who was a fighter pilot in the Navy, who was able to be here today for this happy occasion.....my son, Bill. ....Needless to say this is a very joyful occasion for me and I may say also that it's an occasion that in my wildest dreams I never had anticipated would come my way. But, this should be a lesson to all of you that no matter where you sit, or how low or mighty your station, you can aspire to be Speaker of the House. I don't think there is any honor that anybody could receive that transcends, in dignity and importance, the office of Speaker. I certainly am indebted to all of you for your efforts in conducting the affairs of this Chamber in the manner in which they have been conducted. I see my good friend, the President of the Senate, here. And, I, at this time would also like to thank President Partee and the Members of the Senate for their cooperation. The Governor's Office, whose representatives on the Floor, and all the Constitutional officers. I think we've had a reasonable successful tour of duty and if we have, the credit of that belongs to all of you. I also would like to thank the Speaker's Staff, including Miriam Ringo, Mary Jo, Nancy and Mary Beth, Jim Andrews, I better be very careful that I don't overlook somebody, but all of the Staff, for all of the work and the help that they have accorded us. I would also like to thank Mr. Powell, it seems to me that he gives lie to the statement that...'You can't make a silk purse out of a sow's ear'. I think he's done that.....and I think it's probably at least as good as the portraits of previous Speakers, and I hope that in





future years that the people who occupy the position or any other position will see the name on there and see it's Mr. Powell from LaSalle and make sure that he is given the opportunity in the future. Again, thank you very much and if I don't see all of you, I have no idea when we're going to adjourn, with good luck it should be by the seventh of July.....Have a good summer and again thank you very much for all of the help....."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Minority Leader, the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Certainly, Bill, the portrait does do you justice....It's a beautiful....beautiful painting and I want to thank you on behalf of the Minority for your understanding and your cooperation and even though we have differed many....many times on procedures and rules we all agree on one thing, Bill, that you are a helluva good friend of ours. You're a heck of a good man and we're proud to have you as Speaker and as a friend."

Speaker Madigan: "Conference Committee notices...."

Clerk O'Brien: "A notice to the Conference Committee relating to House Bill 3403. Members appointed are Representative Boyle, O'Daniel, Lechowicz, Totten and Washburn. Meeting of the Members of the Conference Committee will be Tuesday, June 29th at the hour of 11:30, in the East House Corridor. Notice of Second Conference Committee relating to Senate Bill 1637. Members appointed to the Conference Committee are Representatives Kane, Mautino, Bradley, Ryan and Totten. Meeting of the Second Conference Committee will be on Tuesday, June 29th, at the hour of 12:30, in the East House Corridor. Second Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1637, 12:30 Today, Representatives Kane, Mautino, Bradley, Ryan and Totten."

Speaker Madigan: ".....Mr. Ohler, come to the Podium....."



On the order of Motions there appears Senate Bill 1847. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move to place Senate Bill 1847 on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, and discharge the Committee on Assignments. In response to 1847, is the Bill that would amend the...repayment plan for the court reporters that work for the Illinois Industrial Commission. There are approximately 18 court reporters that have not received a wage increase since 1967. I have agreed with the Rules Committee Members to provide an Amendment on the Bill as it came over to the Senate. That Amendment is ready for introduction here that would comply with the wishes of some of the Members of the Rules Committee and I move for the adoption of this Motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hanahan moves to discharge the Committee on Assignments and to advance to the order of Second Reading without reference to Committee. And, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I object to the Gentleman's Motion. I wonder if he would take it out of the record so that we can discuss it?..."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman agrees to take the Bill out of the record.....On the order motions appears Senate Bill 1853, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos.....Mr. Maragos..."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, unfortunately last week there was not a quorum for the Revenue Committee to meet during the day, when there's conflict of the Rules Committee and many other Committees that afternoon and there was several Bills in Committee that had to be heard and as a result were never heard. I therefore on behalf of.....I would like to have the second motion .....which is to discharge the Committee on Senate



Bill 1853 and to advance to order of Second Reading, Second Day. And, I ask that the House give me leave to do so..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Maragos moves to discharge the Committee on Revenue and advance to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, relative to Senate Bill 1853. This Motion requires 89 votes. Is there discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Could you tell us what this Bill does?"

Maragos: "This Bill and 1854 are a package of Bills which gives the same tax benefit to those people who are investing in, what you call the individual .....Real Estate .....er.....Investment Act.....The IRA Act....And, they give to the State, because they are being treated differently on our tax laws and personal property tax laws.....today....than those who are on pension funds and the Keough Trust Fund. These are the people who have a right to invest up to \$75,000 .... of their earnings which are not earned from gainful employment but from investments and they have been.....there's an arbitrary conclusion now so it would give them the benefits like we do the others and we're just trying to put them in the same classification as those who receive the others...."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate..."

Choate: "...For what?"

Speaker Madigan: "You tell me, you're light was on..."

Choate: "It was totally by accident then....or someone, you know, playing a little game...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Maragos, do you wish to proceed with your Motion?"

Maragos: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker.....These are .....deals with the individual retirement accounts which have been



set up out of the federal tax statutes and I want to the same treatment for these accounts as they are for the Keough."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, again we have Motions on the Calendar that you have a hard time understanding why they are on the Calendar and what are behind them. You can see many shadows in these Bills because again they are tampering with the income tax and the relief of taxes. And, there are also the special interests that deal only with trusts and I am not sure right now if they deal only with the trusts of Cook County. But, I think the way these Bills came here make them suspects and I couldn't urge anyone to vote 'yes' on this.....I would urge them to vote 'no'. Because it seems strange to me that the Sponsor of this Motion is moving to discharge the Revenue Committee, which he is Chairman of. Now, if the reason is that he couldn't get a quorum in his own Committee, then I would begin to wonder why. And, I would refer to the argument used with Mr. Skinner's Bill, by the man who is now sitting in the Chair, Mr. Madigan, that they had their chance, if they wish to get these Bills passed, they could certainly urge the Members of the Committee to attend and remove these Bills from Committee. So, again I would urge everyone a 'no' vote on these. I think there is much more to them than there is on the surface. And, I don't think anyone in their good conscience could put these forth on the Floor to be voted on at this late hour."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Maragos moves to discharge the Committee on Revenue, Senate Bill 1853 and to place that Bill on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed



by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos to explain his vote....for one minute."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is nothing surreptitious about these Bills. This has tried to fit these people who invested their money, the older people, and therefore I ask....."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, arise?"

Schlickman: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker....He's explaining his vote. That's verboten."

Speaker Madigan: "....That's what....?"

Schlickman: "Verboten.....verboten....Forbidden.....Not kosher...."

Speaker Madigan: "Your point is well taken, Mr. Schlickman. And, there is no explanation of votes....at this time. For what purpose does the Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer, arise?"

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, once again, I had my light flashing back during the debate because I wanted to ask a question, for clarification about this pair of Bills. So, ....."

Speaker Madigan: "The Lady requests leave to ask a question... Is there leave?.....Mr. Schlickman objects....joined by Mr. LaFleur...."

Dyer: "Well, how does one...if one has one's light on and one has not been recognized, how does one ask a perfectly natureal question. I hate to see Members of my own Party deny me the right of personal privilege to ask a question at the proper time.....By way of explaining my 'no' vote then I am confused about these Bills. I wonder in what way they are different from House Bill 991....until that question is answered I will have to explain my 'no' vote as a point of confusion."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record.. On this question there are 76 'ayes', 39



'nos', 11 voting 'present', and the Motion.....For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, arise?"

Maragos: "To poll the absentees."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. The Clerk will proceed to a poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Boyle, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, Campbell, Capuzi, Catania, Chapman, Craig, Daniels, Darrow, Davis, Deavers, Downs, Ralph Dunn, Fleck, Friedrich, Gaines, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hirschfeld, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Jim Houlihan votes 'aye'.....J. D. Jones, Katz, Klosak, Kucharski, Madison, Mann, Matijevich, Mautino, McAvoy, McPartlin, Meyer, Molloy, ....."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Darrow as 'aye'.....And, record Mr. Epton as 'aye'...Griesheimer 'no'.....?'....'aye'. Friedrich, 'aye'. Palmer, 'aye'. McAvoy, 'aye'....."

Clerk O'Brien: "Friedrich, Palmer, McAvoy...."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you have Palmer and McAvoy?.....The Chair....For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, arise?"

Porter: "Mr. Chairman, change my vote to 'aye', please."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Porter is 'aye'. Are you up to date, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz, 'aye'. Mr. Boyle, 'aye'. Mr. Giorgi, 'aye'. Mr. Matijevich, 'aye'. Mrs. Chapman, 'aye'. Mr. Mugalian, 'aye'. Mr. Rayson, 'aye'. Mr. Downs, 'aye'....Are you up to date?.....Mr. Hanahan, 'aye'. Mr. Lauer, 'aye'. Is there anyone else who seeks to be recorded? Mr. Schisler, 'aye'. Mrs. Catania, 'no'. Is there anyone else who seeks to be recorded? On this question there are 94 'ayes', 40 'nos'....and this Motion carries. For what purpose does



the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFleur, arise?"

LaFleur: "I would like a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. LaFleur requests a verification of the Affirmative Roll Call.....The Clerk will proceed to a verification. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Stubblefield, arise?"

Stubblefield: "May I be re....recorded as 'aye'?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes."

Stubblefield: "I'm verified now?"

Speaker Madigan: "Verify Mr. Stubblefield. Mr. Duff wishes to be recorded ....Do you wish to speak, Mr. Duff?"

Duff: "Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed."

Duff: "We must have put on about 15 to 20 'ayes' there that are not on the Board. If in fact there is going to be a verification, why don't we just take another Roll Call and not vote the lights that are here.....that are not here.....The people with the lights....who are not here."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Duff, Mr. LaFleur, who requests the verification shakes his head 'no'.....And, you Republicans are fighting again....Mr. Sevcik....?"

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, change my vote from 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Sevcik as 'aye'....Record Mr. Hirschfeld as 'aye'. Mr. Stearney as 'aye'. Verify Mr. Schoeberlein as 'aye'. Record Mr. Mautino as 'aye'. Does anyone else seek recognition....to be recorded? Record Mr. Anderson as 'no'. Record Mr. Daniels as 'no'. Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "93 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "93 'ayes'."

Clerk O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Boyle, Gerald Bradley, Brandt, Brummet, Byers, Capparelli, Chapman, ....."



Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, arise?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, where I think the count of the Clerk is mistaken, because when he started out he said ....93... Then he added on five more people and according to my count it should be 98."

Speaker Madigan: "I suggest that he will read through all those recorded as 'aye', at this time and Mr. Domico can help you count up the 'aye' votes and then we'll see what our counts are. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "Chapman, Choate, Coffey, Collins, Darrow, DiPrima, Domico, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Flinn, Friedrich, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Tim Houlihan, Huff, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Keller, Kelly, Kornowicz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Madigan, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McAvoy, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, Merlo, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Nardulli, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pierce, Porter, Pouncy, Randolph, Rayson, Riccolo, Richmond, Sangmeister, Satterwaite, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Shea, Stearney, Stone, Stubblefield, Taylor, Terzich, Tipsword, Van Duyne, Vitek, VonBoeckman, Washington, White, Willard, ..... Calendar error. Williams, Wolf, Younge, Yourell."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Wall as 'aye'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "I can see where the Clerk made a mistake and he didn't put down some additional names, because he didn't call 'em on the Roll Call. Representative Schisler wanted to be voted 'aye'....and he was not mentioned as an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "That's right."

Maragos: "Representative Palmer asked to be recorded."

Speaker Madigan: "Palmer wishes to be recorded as 'aye'."





Maragos: "I think Hirschfeld.....was Hirschfeld mentioned?"

Speaker Madigan: "Hirschfeld wishes to be recorded as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "Schisler?"

Speaker Madigan: "Yes.....Take it slow, Sam.....  
Schisler, Palmer, and Hirschfeld."

Maragos: "How about Hanahan?"

Speaker Madigan: "At this time record Mr. Brinkmeier as 'aye'.  
Where are you at, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Schisler, Palmer and who was the third?"

Speaker Madigan: "Hirschfeld."

Maragos: "Hirschfeld."

Clerk O'Brien: "I called Hirschfeld....."

Speaker Madigan: "Are all three of those recorded as 'aye'?  
Schisler, Palmer and Hirschfeld."

Clerk O'Brien: "I changed Schisler, Palmer ....Hirschfeld was  
already recorded.....as 'aye'."

Maragos: "How about Hanahan....did you get him?"

Speaker Madigan: "And, now, Mr. Brinkmeier, Mr. Clerk. Mr.  
Brinkmeier....wishes to be recorded as 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: "He is already recorded as 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "He is already recorded as 'aye'. What's  
the count?"

Clerk O'Brien: "96 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "96 'aye'....."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, there's supposed to be two more.....  
there's 98...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Maragos, it's possible that you heard  
people wish to be recorded as 'aye', but they were  
already recorded as 'aye'. That's generally what  
happens. They've already.....their buttons are pushed..  
and they don't know it and they are standing up asking  
to be recorded as 'aye'. Mr. LaFleur, do you have  
questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?"

LaFleur: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. LaFleur."



LaFleur: "Judge Palmer?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Palmer? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Yourell?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Yourell? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Ms. Younge?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Younge? How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Lady from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Jack Williams?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Williams? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "James Taylor?.....Mr. Speaker....Mr. Speaker.....

Mr. Speaker....."

Speaker Madigan: "I hear you, Mr. Laleur...."

LaFleur: "Excuse me."

Speaker Madigan: "Who is your next question?"

LaFleur: "Did you take care of the last question?"

Speaker Madigan: "I ordered Mr. Williams removed. What's your next question."

LaFleur: "Mr. T A Y L O R....?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Taylor?.....How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Stubblefield?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Williams has returned to the Floor. Restore Mr. Williams. Mr. Stubblefield was already verified.....Mrs. Younge has returned to the Chamber. Restore Mrs. Younge to the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Schoeberlein?"



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schoeberlein was already verified and he is standing in the center aisle....Then vote him again, 'yes'."

LaFleur: "Mr. Schneider?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schneider? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Porter?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Porter....? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Mudd?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mudd is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Leverenz?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz? ....He's standing in the center aisle."

LaFleur: "Jim Houlihan?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. James Houlihan? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Lucco?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lucco is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Keller?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keller?...How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Jaffe?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jaffe? He's in his chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Hill?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hill? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

Mr. Hill has returned to the Chamber. Restore Mr. Hill.



and record Mr. Deavers as 'aye'.....Deavers as 'aye'."

LaFleur: "Mr. Huff?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Porter has returned to the Chamber.

Restore Mr. Porter. Mr. Huff? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Hanahan?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Hanahan is at his chair."

LaFleur: "Gene Barnes?"

Speaker Madigan: "Gene Barnes is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Beatty?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Beatty is in the front of the Chamber."

LaFleur: "Mr. Beaupre?"

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Holewinski as 'aye'. Are you ready, Mr. Clerk?.....Mr. Beaupre is in....How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call.

Mr. Shea wishes to be verified....he is at the front of the Chamber. Verify Mr. Shea."

LaFleur: "I didn't have you, Jerry.....Mr. Bradley."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bradley is standing next to Mr. Maragos."

LaFleur: "Mr. Kelly?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kelly? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Darrow?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Darrow is in his chair. How is Garmisa recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

LaFleur: "Mr. Ewell?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Ewell? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "And....he's in the Chamber..."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

LaFleur: "Mr. Lundy?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lundy is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Farley?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Farley? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Stone?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stone is at his desk..."

LaFleur: "Mr. Flinn?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Garmisa?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Garmisa is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Ms. Geo-Karis?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Geo-Karis is in her chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Giorgi?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi is at the rear of the Chamber."

LaFleur: "Mr. Greiman?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Greiman?...is on the Democratic side."

Do you have further questions, Mr. LaFleur?"

LaFleur: "Yes, I do, Mr. Chairman....Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Griesheimer?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Griesheimer is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Jacobs?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jacobs is in his chair."

LaFleur: "Could you give me the count now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Madigan: "Sure....What's the count, Mr. Clerk?.....

The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Mahar: "Change that to 'aye', please?"

Speaker Madigan: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Ah.....

.....the count, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk O'Brien: "89 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "On this question there are 89 'ayes', 37



'nos' and Mr. Maragos' Motion carries. Mr. Maragos, do you wish to call your Motion on Senate Bill 1854?"

Maragos: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to assemble a Motion for the same relief for Personal Property taxes. A similar Bill is 1853 and I would like to do the same count if I can. I move the same Motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Maragos moves that the Committee on Revenue be discharged of Senate Bill 1854 and that the Bill be advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. What purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. LaFleur, arise?"

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if you would observe on the Calendar, you would see that these are four Motions lying there, two on 1853, two on 1854. The difference is, one was moved from the First Legislative Day to the Second Legislative Day, Second Reading. This seems quite strange to me again, that a Bill would come over as a Senate Bill at this late hour and appear on the Calendar in this form, because there was plenty of time. It was assigned to Committee. It had it's chance at Committee. It comes back here. A Motion is filed to remove to the Calendar on the First Legislative Day and amended. It could have been called before and again it seems extremely, it seems extremely difficult for me to understand how the Chairman of the Revenue Committee can make a Motion to discharge his own Committee, but besides that, if you would look at the Bill, it's an extremely bad Bill. Again, it speaks to Trust Companies and to a special interest and to a group that absolutely needs no relief. It has had a Companion Bill on the Calendar for a long time in 991 that would not be called and I think in these dying hours, the failure to look at these Bills, the Sponsorship of them and who brought them down here to be passed could stand a lot of examination and I think everyone here needs to



be concerned about the type of Legislation going out of this House and I would think that each Person in these dying hours, if a Bill is being removed on a Motion to bypass Committee for the public and where the experts on these matters cannot testify that you would examine these Bills and see what type of Bill is being put through this House and I would ask that this Motion be denied."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I know that we're not suppose to go into the merits of the Bill, but before I would be willing to vote to discharge Committee, I'd like to at least know what this Bill is about. All I know at the present time is it involves Personal Property. I wonder if the Chair would allow the Sponsor to yield just to answer the question and give us a very brief description what this Bill is about?"

Speaker Madigan: "It would appear as if Mr. LaFleur objects to your Request, Mr. Griesheimer and I'm told by the Parliamentarian that our practice has been to allow the proponent and one opponent to speak to these Motions and then to proceed to the Roll Call, so that on this question, all those who wish to vote 'aye' will do so by voting 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'no' and for what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry make so much noise?"

Skinner: He's making so' much noise, Mr. Speaker, because leave was asked for the last Roll Call. Now, you going to take a separate Roll Call, because there's a very much separate issue?"

Speaker Madigan: "Well, I did not intend to move to the last Roll Call, ....."

Skinner: "Well, that's what he ask for and....."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine, we're proceeding on a new Roll Call and



the Clerk will take the Record. Have all voted who wish? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, would you verify me 'aye'? I have to leave for a little bit."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giglio wishes to go to the nurses station and wishes to be verified as 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos arise?"

Maragos: "Regretfully, Mr. Speaker, I ask for a Poll of the Absentees."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. And the Clerk will Poll the Absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson. Arnell. Bluthardt. Caldwell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Craig. Daniels. Davis. Deavers. Deuster. Downs. Duff. Ewell. Ewell 'aye'. Fleck. Friedrich. Gaines. Gio-Karis. Greiman. Hirshfeld. Hudson. Huff. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Kane. Katz. Kelly. Klosak. Kucharski. Lauer. Laurino. Luft. Luft 'aye'. Madison. Mann. Mautino. McAuliffe. McCourt. McPartlin. Mulcahey. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Schuneman. Simms. E. G. Stiehl. Telcser. Terzich. Totten. Washburn. Washington. Washington 'aye'. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there anyone who wishes to be recorded? Mrs. Willer. Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Yes, I would like to change my vote from 'no' to 'yes'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mrs. Willer as 'aye'. Willer 'aye'. Mautino 'aye'. Greiman 'aye'. Laurino 'aye'. Arnell 'aye'. Deavers 'aye'. Stubblefield 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is already recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Downs 'aye'. Is there anyone else who





wishes to be recorded? Mr. Hudson. Mr. Hudson 'aye' and Mr. Anderson 'no'. Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "At the proper time, if you have enough votes to remove this from the Calendar; I would ask for a verification of the Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? On this question, Mr. Clerk, there are 91 'ayes' and 38 'nays' and Mr. LaFleur requests a Verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. The Clerk will proceed with a Verification."

Clerk Selcke: "If I could have your attention, please. The Second Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1625, which was scheduled at 12:45, has been canceled."

Speaker Madigan: "Would Mr. Bradley come to the Podium, please. Mr. Bradley, would he come to the Podium and the Clerk will proceed with a verification."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell."

Speaker Madigan: "Verify Mr. Leon."

Clerk Selcke: "E.M. Barnes. Jean Barnes. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Birchler. Boyle. Jerry Bradley. Brandt. Brummett. Choate. Coffey. Collins. Darrow. Deavers. DiPrima. Domico. Downs. Ewell. Farley. Flinn. Garmisa. Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Griesheimer. Hanahan. Hart. Hill. Holewinski. Dan Houlihan. Jim Houlihan. Jacobs. Jaffe. Keller. Kornowicz. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Leverenz. Londrigan. Lucco. Luft. Lundy."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, will you verify Mr. Holewinski?"

Clerk Selcke: "Madigan. Mahar. Maragos. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McAvoy. McClain. McGrew."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Totten as 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "McLendon. Merlo. Mudd. Nardulli. O'Daniel. Palmer. Patrick. Pierce. Porter. Pouncey. Randolph. Rayson. Riccolo. Richmond. Sangmeister. Schisler. Schoeberlein."



Speaker Madigan: "Verify Mr. Kozubowski, please."

Clerk Selcke: "Schraeder. Shea. Stearney. Stone. Stubblefield. Taylor. Tipsword. VanDuyne. Vitek. Von-Boeckman. Wall. Washington. White. Willer. Williams Wolf."

Speaker Madigan: "How is Mrs. Younger recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Madigan: "Record her as 'aye'. How is Mrs. Chapman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mrs. Chapman as 'aye'. How is Mr. Capparelli recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Speaker Madigan: "Record him as 'aye'. Record Mr. McPartlin as 'aye'. Mr. LaFleur, do you have questions of the Affirmative Roll Call? Mr. LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed."

LaFleur: "E. M. Barnes."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Barnes is in the center aisle."

LaFleur: "Jerald Bradley."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Bradley. Gerald Bradley. He's in the center aisle."

LaFleur: "Mr. Collins."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Collins is at his desk."

LaFleur: "Mr. Darrow."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Darrow. Mr. Darrow. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Farley."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Farley. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call. For what purpose does Mr. Leverenz arise?"



Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Madigan: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Leverenz: "Thank you."

LaFleur: "Mr. Garmisa."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Garmisa is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Giglio."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giglio was verified."

LaFleur: "Mr. Giorgi."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi, on the Republican side."

LaFleur: "D. L. Houlihan."

Speaker Madigan: "D. L. Houlihan. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "J. M. Houlihan."

Speaker Madigan: "J. M. Houlihan. Dan Houlihan has returned to the Chamber. Restore D. L. Houlihan, and J. M. Houlihan, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Madigan: "J. M. Houlihan is recorded as 'aye' and remove him for the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Jacobs."

Speaker Madigan: "Jacobs. In his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Jaffe."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jaffe is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Keller."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Keller is at his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Kelly."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Kelly."

Clerk Selcke: "Recorded as being absent."

LaFleur: "Mr. Laurino."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Laurino is on the Democratic side."

LaFleur: "Mr. Leverenz."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Leverenz is at his Chair."



JUN 29 1976

48.

LaFleur: "Londrigan."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Londrigan is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Lucco."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Lucco is at his desk."

LaFleur: "Marovitz."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Marovitz is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "McGrew."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. McGrew. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Nardulli."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Nardulli on the Democratic side."

LaFleur: "Mr. O'Daniel."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. O'Daniel is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Randolph."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Randolph is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Richmond."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Richmond is at his desk."

LaFleur: "Mr. Schraeder."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schraeder is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. Stearney."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stearney is standing behind you."

LaFleur: "Mr. Stone."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stone is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mr. VonBoeckman."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. VonBoeckman is on the Democratic side."

LaFleur: "Mr. White."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. White is in his Chair."

LaFleur: "Mrs. Younge."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Younge. How is the Lady recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove her from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Schneider."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos, arise?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I thought Mrs. Younge was verified when she asked to be put on the Roll Call. She was present at the time she voice voted."

Speaker Madigan: "What does your Record show, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady was recorded as being absent. She requested to be recorded as voting 'aye' and she was marked as 'aye'. Now she's been removed."

Speaker Madigan: "Was she verified, Mr. Clerk? She was not verified, Mr. Maragos."

LaFleur: "Mr. Schneider."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schneider. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Schisler."

Speaker Madigan: "Schisler. Is Mr. Schisler in the Chamber? Remove the Gentleman from the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Mr. Flinn."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Flinn. How is Mr. Flinn recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Madigan: "Remove him from the Roll Call. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs, arise?"

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Monroe Flinn went over to the man who asked for the verification and asked that he be verified and he went right upstairs. He'll be right back."

LaFleur: "And Mr. Jacobs, I told Mr. Flinn I would verify him."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, let the Record show that Mr. Flinn asked Mr. LaFleur if he could be verified and Mr. LaFleur responded with the intentions of verifying him, and remove Mr. Flinn from the Roll Call." Further questions? Mr. Darrow has returned to the Chamber. Record Mr. Darrow. On this question, there 89 'ayes', 38 'nos'



and Mr. Maragos' Motion carries. On the Order of Motions appears Senate Bill 1749. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. After a Conference with Representative Giorgi and some of my colleagues from the other side of the aisle, I think all of the objections have now been removed to the Motion that I filed earlier on Senate Bill 1749 and I would now move again, Mr. Speaker, that the Motion be amended on its face to read Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and would ask leave of the House to make that Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Gentleman requests leave to amend his Motion on the face to read Second Legislative Day. Is there leave? Leave is being granted. The Motion shall be so amended. Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and now I would ask leave of the House to discharge the Committee and place this Bill on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave to discharge the Committee on Assignment of Bills and advance Senate Bill 1749 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Is there leave? Leaving being granted, the Motion shall be ordered as carried."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Schuneman on Senate Bill 1802."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, Senate Bill 1802 is the last in a series of Bills that came out of the Senate that were sponsored by the State Employees Group Insurance Commission. This Bill arrived late in the House and I wasn't able to have the Bill heard properly in hearing. I think it's a noncontroversial matter and I would move that the Bill be discharged, or that the Committee be discharged and the Bill moved to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."



Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schuneman, where is this Bill at the present time?"

Schuneman: "The Bill has been exempted by the Committee on Rules and would, therefore, I suppose be in the Committee on Assignment. To the best of my knowledge, that's where it sits."

Speaker Madigan: "In light of this position in the Committee on Assignments, that Motion will require 107 votes." Mr. Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, would it be proper for me to phrase this requesting leave of the House that the Bill be placed on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schuneman requests leave that Senate Bill 1802 be placed on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Is there leave? Leaving being granted, Senate Bill 1802 shall be placed on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. On the Order of Motions appears Senate Bill 1756. Mr. Flinn. What was the position of that? It fail? Prevailed. For what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, arise?"

Skinner: "You haven't learned to recognize my voice, Mr. Speaker. It was Mr. Stearney, who was seeking recognition."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, arise?"

Stearney: "A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Since you have gone out of order on the subject of motions, I'm asking now if you would return to House Resolution 955, which was passed over very conveniently . . . by the previous Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman requests leave to go to House Resolution 955. Is there leave? Leave being granted, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, on House Resolution 955."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm asking that the appropriate rule be suspended and I get 107 votes for immediate consideration of House Resolution 955. As we all know, this Resolution is directed at . . . to any incorporation or business whose corporate official or agent has publicly admitted or been convicted of attempting to bribe or bribing a public official in this state. The Resolution provides that in . . . that we call upon the Governor to issue an executive order barring the allotting of state contracts to any such corporation or agency which is engaged in corporate corruption; and I think it's appropriate at this time that we consider this. It's an apropos subject. I think every Member of the House is aware of what has being going on about us, namely, directed against material service and the rather infamous Lester Crown; and I think most people of this state are in accord that Lester Crown and McHale's Service should not go unpunished; and this Resolution provides a somewhat poetic justice, and Lester Crown could not foresee such punishment when he attempted to corrupt certain public officials. And I think this is an appropriate of the State of Illinois, the people of the state in northern Illinois reeking certain vengeance upon individuals who go about corrupting public officials; and I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this measure."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stearney, what is your motion?"

Stearney: "My motion is to take from the Committee on the Assignment of Bills and bring before this House for immediate consideration of adoption this Resolution, 955; and I believe it calls for 89 votes."





Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stearney moves to suspend Rule 41A, that requires 107 votes; and on that motion, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I still think that my proposals for a Lester Crown weigh station on Route 62 and perhaps 72 would be more poetic justice than this; but certainly if anyone in the entire United States deserves to be called a corporate crook, it is Lester Crown; and the fact that he was given immunity by the Federal District Attorney's Office makes him no less guilty in my mind than any of the Gentlemen who were found guilty by the Grand . . . by the jury; and I certainly would urge adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is the motion of Mr. Stearney to suspend Rule 41A, and on that question all those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed . . . the 'ayes' have it, the rule has been suspended. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, arise?"

Matijevich: "Well, I think you'd better show 107 votes on the board to be legal about it, Mr. Speaker. I don't care how anybody votes, but . . ."

Speaker Madigan: "Do you request a Roll Call?"

Matijevich: ". . . Well, I think you have to."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine, on this question all those in . . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, arise?"

Schlickman: "May I express opposition?"

Speaker Madigan: "Do you wish to speak on the motion?"

Schlickman: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, we are not dealing with a matter of substance. This Resolution simply calls upon the Governor to enter an executive order. He doesn't need us for that. Number two, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you look at the Resolution, it calls upon the Governor to enter an executive order punishing all corporations having officers that have made a public admission with respect to a criminal act. Now, Mr. Speaker, there are corporations and there are corporations; and you take a typical corporation with officers, directors, share-



holders and employees, and you may have a corporation of 100 people, shareholders, directors, officers, employees. You may have one with 5,000; and what you would be doing, Mr. Speaker and Members of this House, by influencing the Governor, if that's possible, is providing that because of the misdeeds of one individual, a corporate official, you would be affecting, you would be injuring, harming innocent employees, shareholders of that corporation. This is guilt by association. I think it ought to be repudiated; and I think this Resolution ought not to be considered because of its non-substantive nature and because of the fact that it could do harm to innocent people."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I speak in favor of this motion because it's high time . . . it's high time that the perpetrators be found guilty. I think this motion . . . I would like to be heard, if I may, Mr. Speaker . . . I . . . if anybody's being arbitrary and capricious, I suggest the Gentleman who just spoke is . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe in justice and equity. Is it equitable to permit contracts to companies that use fraud so obviously and so blatantly that they don't care where they stop? I, certainly, favor Mr. Stearney's Resolution, the motion to dismiss . . . dispense with the rules."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, would I be in order still to ask the Sponsor a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Stearney, I have a legal concern here. On the surface this appears like good law and order, at the same time, explain to me this, if there is a corporation where one of its members, without sanction of the corporation, engages in something nefarious, would this preclude the corporation from engaging in transactions with the state?"

Stearney: "Well, I'm not entirely certain of the import of your question."



However, I will respond, Mr. . . . Representative, by saying . . ."

Kosinski: "Shall I clarify?"

Stearney: ". . . No, we have . . . we have the principle in law of principle in agent that if an agent has certain duties and responsibilities, and he makes certain representations, that binds the principle in law. So I think in certain instances, especially when we're talking about corruption, if an agent attempts to corrupt an official, and he has the authority to do a great number of things, I think we can imply that admission against the corporation itself; and I think it's been . . . it's an ancient principle of law."

Kosinski: "Your answer of that, I trust, is 'yes'?"

Stearney: "Yes."

Kosinski: "Then if we have a, and let's take an example of banks, where one of the Vice-President's is kinky, and he does something irregular in this area. The whole bank, despite the Bank's Board of Directors would be against this action, would be subject to this Resolution?"

Stearney: "Well, if it's a Vice-President, he's certainly an officer of this corporation, and whatever he does binds the corporation in law. Now, you can't . . . you can't allow such an exception or theory to devour this rule, Representative, because in that instance, every corporation, his Board of Manager's would disavow what one agent or officer had said at an earlier time. I can't allow that."

Kosinski: "I understand . . . I understand the principle of law involved. Thank you for answering my question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, to close the debate."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in answer to one of the opponents, who mentioned as to this executive order calling upon the Governor, I think that this House can certainly call upon the Governor of the State of Illinois expressing our intentions, our desires as to what he should do in issuing executive order; and I think . . . I don't think that



we should be precluded from asking the Governor anything. This here Resolution is reasonable, it's a rational approach to a most serious problem, and that is the corporate corruption in our state, and I think we should address to this serious problem; and I don't think you can fault this Resolution by some picayunish excuses; and for that reason, I ask for a favorable Roll Call on this Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stearney moves to suspend the provisions of Rule 41A. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Would Mr. Epstein come to the Podium, please? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer to explain his vote for one minute."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to vote in favor of this Resolution; but I would point out to the Sponsor that if we follow his reasoning to condemn corporations for what individual officers have done, you have just condemned 177 Members of the House of Representatives for what a few have done and have been found guilty of just a few days ago."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Epton, to explain his vote for one minute."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a conflict of interest in this matter. One of the gentlemen, one of the corporate gentlemen, whose name was mentioned in debate, is a close friend of mine. He's a gentleman who has done much good. I recognize that some of the individuals who are defendants were also and still are close friends of mine. At the appropriate time, however, I will vote my conscience."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow, to explain his vote for one minute."

Darrow: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As you all know, I do not always agree with Representative Schlickman. However, in this case, I do. I feel that in the heat following the jury verdicts of last week, we should not take this action. I feel we should calm down and act a little more rational, and I would solicit an 'nay' vote. Thank you."



Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, to explain his vote for one minute."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think this entire thing is ridiculous, and if Representative Stearney is interested in . . . in something of this nature, he should introduce a Bill to take care of that. Simply passing a Resolution is not going to help one bit; and I'm sure Representative Stearney is just looking for a little bit of ink in the papers; and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Rigney, to explain his vote for one minute. Mr. Rigney does not seek recognition. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 111 'ayes', 15 'nos', 18 voting 'present'; and the provisions of Rule 41A are suspended to allow for immediate consideration of House Resolution 955. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney on the Resolution."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . ."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers, arise?"

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have a copy of ~~this~~ Resolution, and I don't think we can consider it if we don't have copies."

Speaker Madigan: "The rules do not so provide, Mr. Byers, in the case of Resolutions. Proceed, Mr. Stearney."

Stearney: ". . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had certain comments prepared for this Resolution. However, the Gentleman over there, who just mentioned my name, rather incensed me. He says I'm doing this matter for . . . purely for matters of publicity. I wish to remind that Gentleman that there was an individual sitting over here, right behind me, who is going to the penitentiary for a mere \$200; and Lester Crown, who originated its scheme to get together \$50,000 to bribe Legislators is going to the French Riviera. He's going back to his palacial home. Well, what about this individual Legislator here? And I resent that inference. I did this because I believe in what I'm



doing; and Crown should not benefit and should take advantage of the people of the State of Illinois, and continue to benefit by money and sheer profit. He's going to make the money, he's going to continue making money, and he's going to continue bribing officials; but this individual, who sits behind me, won't be back here. That's why I introduced this Resolution; and I want you to know it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman. Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is an area . . . this subject matter which easily lends to demagoguery on both sides of it; and I don't mean to make reference to anybody by that. It seems to me the problem that I have with this Resolution, primarily, is that it asked the Governor to do an illegal act. It asks the Governor to violate the Purchasing Act of Illinois; and I'm not sure that he could . . . I'm not sure that we can ask to violate the law; and I'm not sure that he can violate the law. We have spoken as to how purchases shall be made. That's a very sacred kind of . . . or direction that we give to the executive, telling them the manner in which they are to make purchases. This Resolution tells them to do contrary to that. Without discussing the intricacies of corporate law and respondent superior and holding the corporation liable, it seems to me that this is just not the way to approach this kind of problem. Yes, there is a serious problem; yes, no one should profit from their wrongdoing; but this is not the way, and I think that we . . . if we are to have integrity as lawmakers, as Legislators, we must follow the laws of this state that we have put down. With respect to immunity, if it's wrong, and I'm not an advocate of it by any means, we should . . . it should be changed in the appropriate manner. Through the . . . through this state, through our Legislature that we can show the criminal laws of Illinois and through our Federal . . . and through the Congress, where this is additional input that we have. It seems to me that we ought to not beat our breasts as this moment. We



ought to be men of integrity, and we ought to vote this down or perhaps . . . perhaps we should just not vote on it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, Mr. . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will not yield."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we know . . . all know and feel in some way what's involved here. I was interested in the remarks of my fellow running mate, Representative Greiman, on the other side, and I understand his interest and civil liberties; but I would remind Representative Greiman that in the past this House has by Resolution asked the Governor of this state to executive orders in which the state would not do business with any corporation that did not have union agreements with people. We have entered and the Governor has entered executive orders, which have called for affirmative action programs in order for companies to participate in the bidding statutes of the state, in the bidding practices. So this is something that has been done before, Representative Greiman, and I think what we're really doing here is in some sense expressing the outrage of this House as the use and misuse of a statute which had some good initially, in the immunity statutes, in which people who are in the positions corrupt, who have the power, who have the money, who have the influence, who have the legal talents abuse and misuse those gifts which this country has given them really, and bring disrespect upon our entire kind of system, and further add to the kind of low moral tone we have in this country proving that might, that wealth makes things right if you know the right people and if you know how to get away with things. I, certainly, support this Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry,



Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, some of the opponents of this Amendment or of this Resolution I fear would have people infer that a vote for the Resolution is a vote in favor of legislative corruption, or vote against it is a vote in favor of legislative corruption. I do not agree with that. We did not make an agreement with the U. S. District Attorney's office to provide immunity to corporate crooks, and the Governor of this state has been . . . not been known to follow our advice. So this Resolution probably won't do any good anyway; but I think that the people of the State of Illinois in this case know less than in the case of Richard Speck have a right to know what the Legislators think of men in Mr. Crown's position, men who can buy immunity from anything. We may not have this in proper legal form, but then, again, I'm not a lawyer; and I've heard a lot of lawyers on this floor contend that the Speaker, who is a lawyer, shouldn't decide on constitutional issues. If this is unconstitutional, I would suggest to my colleagues the courts can tell us that it's unconstitutional, and then we can sponsor a Bill, which one of my colleagues has asked me to cosponsor, and which I hope a number of people will cosponsor next year, so that the State of Illinois has in its Purchasing Act a prohibition similar to what this Resolution asks the executive officer of this state to promulgate."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Stearney, to close the debate."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Resolution merely attempts to redress a most serious wrong; and that is the complete abortion of justice that we see in Cook. I don't think that any wrongdoers should go completely unpunished, and that is what has happened in this instance. I think justice should be applied evenhandedly; and it applies, not only to the poor, but to those who are very wealthy and who are influ . . . have a great deal of influence. This here Resolution would simply attempt to punish in some form, perha . . . and I admit not sufficiently; but it does attempt to punish those individuals





who have used their profits, their money to influence and bribe public officials. I think they should be punished. This is poetic justice because these individuals could not foresee such punishment at the time they originated and conspired to bribe public officials or even at the time they were granted immunity. I think if we do deprive them, and what we're doing now is asking the Governor to issue an executive order. He is not bound by this, but, hopefully, he would issue an executive order barring such corporations and businesses from profiting by . . . from the people of the State of Illinois for their . . . for their wrongdoing; and for that reason, I ask, respectfully, that you give me a favorable vote on this particular matter. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Stearney moves for the adoption of House Resolution 955. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote for one minute."

Madison: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, my intuitive reaction was to vote in favor of this Amendment; but I asked the Gentleman sponsoring the Resolution if he would yield to a question, and he refused to. Now, it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that it is dastardly on the part of any Member of this Body to come before this group and ask us to vote on a Bill or a Resolution that he or she is sponsoring and then steadfastly refuse to even attempt to answer some questions. It seems to me that we all ought to vote 'present' on this, and have the Gentleman put this on Postponed Consideration until he at least has a change of heart and decides that he is going to raise . . . going to allow us to raise questions and at least attempt to answer those questions before this Bill is passed; and I vote 'present'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck, to explain his vote for one minute. Does Mr. Fleck seek recognition or was . . . someone have his light on? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld, to explain his vote for one minute."

Hirschfeld: "Well, just very briefly, Mr. Speaker, in reply to that



last Gentleman from Cook. Let me say that the refusal of a Representative to accept questions is not unusual under the former Speaker of the House here. It happened quite often."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain his vote for one minute."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, we're passing a Resolution which may state an intent. It may not have much real affect as to what happens; but I suggest that we try to remember what you're attempting to do here because I'm going to bring back that white-collar crime Bill that I've been introducing for year after year, and that everyone just turned down and said, 'No, no, no'. Perhaps this time you'll take a close look at it; and I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "Any . . . have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', 8 'nos', 20 voting 'present'; and the Resolution is adopted. The House will stand in recess until 12:30. Excuse me, correction, the Chair wishes to announce that the House will recess at 12:30 for approximately an hour and a half; and on the order of . . . the purpose of this recess is to provide an opportunity for the Membership to attend a Capitol complex ground breaking, which will occur this afternoon at Spring Street, between Monroe and Adams. The Clerk wishes to read into the Record."

Clerk Selcke: "The Members appointed to the Second Conference Committee on House Bill 3820 are as follows: E. M. Barnes, Bradley, Giorgi, Totten and Ryan. Meeting of the Members of this Second Conference Committee will be held Tuesday, June 29, at the hour of 12:50 in the east House Corridor. That was 3820, House Bill. Introduction and First Reading. House Bill 404. Hill et al. Amends the Illinois Controlled Substance Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of Concurrence, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, on House Bill 3099."



Mann: "Mr. Speaker, with the regard to House Bill 3099, I move that we do concur with the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3099. All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Shouldn't there be an explanation what the Amendment is?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mann, would you wish to explain what the Amendment does? I can offer the explanation from the Podium, with leave."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, having just arrived in, if Mr. Leinenweber would not mind, I'd appreciate it if you did."

Speaker Madigan: "The Amendment provides that the City colleges of Chicago..... Are you objecting to my request for leave? Mr. Walsh objects to the request for leave. The Bill shall be taken from the Record. Yes, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, if it wouldn't interrupt you and it won't change the result, I'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye' on the Resolution sponsored by Representative Stearney."

Speaker Madigan: "Record Mr. Mann as 'aye' on House Resolution 955."

Speaker Shea: "On the Gentleman's, Mr. Mann's Motion to adopt or concur in Senate Amendment #1. On that, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 3099 as amended would provide statutory authorization for the City colleges of Chicago to contract with the Public Building Commission of Chicago to issue bonds for the construction of school buildings in the City of Chicago. The need for this Legislation....."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Madigan, one moment, please. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, arise?"



Walsh: "On a Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. The Temporary Speaker just said that this was being taken from the Record so that the Sponsor could learn more about it. Now, let's take it from the Record and let the Sponsor learn more about it."

Speaker Shea: "I didn't hear the request of the Sponsor Continue, Mr. Madigan."

Walsh: "The request was made and the Temporary Speaker said he would do that."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The need for this Legislation is caused by the opinion of Chapman and Cutler, Bond Council in Chicago, that in order for the Public Building Commission to float bonds, construct two new Junior Colleges in Chicago, the Southwest College and the Olive Harvey College, that there would be need for this Legislation to provide the Statutory Authorization for the contracting between the city colleges of Chicago and the Public Building Commission in Chicago. I feel that this is much needed Legislation in order to provide for the continuing, adequate education of those in Chicago. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman, or the Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to speak very strongly against this Amendment. I think many of you do not have copies of it on your desk. You don't know what it would propose. What it proposes is to take the, to give the community colleges in the City of Chicago the opportunity to contract with a Public Building Commission for their new building. It does remove them from out from under the Capital Development Board. Here we are in a position of appropriating money to these colleges and yet, we would lose any control over how these monies are spent. The Capital Development Board now as you know does have a Review



procedure. This would remove them from this procedure. It would review architects and contracts, leases and so on. It also removes them out from under the Board of Higher Education's rights to review and make recommendations to this General Assembly. I just can't urge you strongly enough to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "Is there further discussion? The Assistant... Mr. Walsh, for what purpose do you shout? I can see your light. I'll recognize you."

Walsh: "I shout, Mr. Speaker, to ask you to dump that Roll Call. We're not in a Roll now. We're on the Order of debate. Now, that's intimidating to have that up there at this point and you know it."

Speaker Shea: "I don't know who would be intimidated, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, dump it."

Speaker Shea: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, arise?"

Schlickman: "Parliamentary question."

Speaker Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 3099, as it was introduced, reduces from 21 to 18 the age of eligibility for being a Member of the Board of a Public Community College District. Now this Senate Amendment has nothing to do with the qualifications or the eligibility of Members of Boards of Public Community Colleges. Rather, it deals with the authority of a Board to enter into rental agreements and I question the germaneness."

Speaker Shea: "It's been the posture of the Speaker that when the Bill comes over from the Senate with that Amendment on it, if perhaps someone over across the Rotunda has already ruled, and it would be the opinion of the Chair that the Amendment before us. Is there further discussion?"



Schlickman: "May I address myself to those Amendments?"

Speaker Shea: "Sir, I've Ruled. You know how to appeal the Ruling of the Chair."

Schlickman: "I'm not appealing the Ruling of the Chair. I'm asking if I can address myself to the merits of the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "All right, Sir, proceed."

Schlickman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this Amendment has nothing to do with the eligibility of Members of a Board of a Public Community College. What it would do, is to permit all Chicago Community Colleges to rent or lease an unlimited amount of office space or rent other facilities from the Chicago Public Building Commission, a municipal bonding authority for which the State has no oversight authority. This would grant all Chicago Community Colleges an unfair advantage over other downstate community colleges, which must go to the Capital Development Board for authorization to rent or build their facilities. This Amendment would remove a vital check on Chicago Community Colleges' expenditures. I urge a 'no' vote on the Motion to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3099."

Speaker Shea: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I apologize to all of you for agreeing with Representative Walsh. This is one of the very, very few times in this Session that he has been absolutely right. He could not possibly be more right. Now, I wish that all the People downstate would please listen to what I have to say. In order for....."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Stone, would those People not entitled to the Floor of the House please remove themselves, and would the Members please be in their seats? We'll wait until we get some order, Mr. Stone, and the Floor looks



like the Floor of the House. Will the Members please be in their seats? And would those People not entitled to the Floor, please remove themselves from the Floor? All right, proceed, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. I think that all of you on the Floor of this House know my interest in higher education. I believe all of you also know that I have tried to get things for the City of Chicago as they are entitled to them. Now, under the present rules, the Junior Colleges cannot have new buildings built without going through the State Junior College Board and the State Junior College Board then goes to the Board of Higher Education, who approves or disapproves of the new Building. Most of us, in fact, all of the Junior College Districts, have been refused on buildings that they think they have to have, that they cannot operate without, but there is a shortage of money. We cannot have everything we want and the downstate college districts are renting other buildings that are vacant and are getting along. Now, as I understand this Bill, it bypasses all of the other safeguards and allows the Public Building Commission ~~to~~ build a building and then the City of Chicago Junior College could rent that building from the Building Commission. Now by doing this, and if you pass this Bill, then the City of Chicago alone will be the judge as to whether or not they have a Junior College District and the rest of the Junior College Districts in the State of Illinois will still be waiting in line to have the Board of Higher Education say whether or not they get a building. Now, again, I want the City College, the Junior College of Chicago to have everything they need, but I think that since none of us can have all we need, we must all sacrifice a little, but we have to go through the exact same process. We must continue to do this in order



to have fairness in our Junior College System and I certainly hope that this Bill will not receive the 89 votes for the adoption of this Amendment. I would ruin the whole Junior College System in the State of Illinois. The City of Chicago needs these buildings, but they are not entitled to them until their turn comes up, so I would hope even in the matter of fairness that the Members from the City of Chicago would even vote against this, and Mr. Speaker, one thing further, I believe that we are not in a Roll Call situation. In fairness to all of us, I would hope that we would be in the proper posture and that the board be blank until we are in the Roll Call situation."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, to explain his vote, or to speak on the question. I'm sorry, Sir."

Walsh: "Yeah". thank you, Mr. Speaker. I see the Roll Call is dumped and everything's on the square again. I hope that doesn't mean you've got the votes, Mr. Speaker. First of all, I'd like to commend Representative Stone. It's a shame he gets so smug so late. We'll be losing him soon."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Walsh, would you refrain from using another Member's name in debate, because then you'll prompt somebody to rise on a Point of Personal Privilege and you know that's contrary to the Rules. Proceed, Sir."

Walsh: "I sure wouldn't want that, Mr. Speaker, but wouldn't you rather be called Mr. Stone than the Gentleman from Moultrie. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an attempt by the City of Chicago to control absolutely their junior colleges to determine what is spent by them while at the same time providing none of the money to be spent. It is absolutely ridiculous to divorce them from the balance of the State. It's a wrong concept. It's a brand new concept and we





certainly should not at this late stage be even considering anything like this. This is no more an emergency than the man in the moon. It's a blatantly power play....."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Walsh, would you wait a minute. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, arise?"

Mann: "Well, I'm just sitting here stunned by the Assistant Majority Leader's voice...."

Speaker Shea: "Minority Leader."

Mann: "Majority, pardon me."

Speaker Shea: "Minority."

Mann: "Minority, pardon me. He get's me so flustered, I can't even talk. In any event, lunch is upon us, Mr. Speaker. Will you take this out of the Record."

Speaker Shea: "Take it Out of the Record. Turn Mr. Lechowicz on, at Mr. Luft's seat and on that, House Bill, on Nonconcurrences, House Bill 1932."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do not recede from House Amendments 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15 18, 19, 20, 21 and 24 of Senate Bill 1932 and a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Shea: "Gentleman moves that the House do not recede from the main Amendments and ask that a Conference Committee be appointed. All in favor will say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. In the Opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. The Gentleman's Motion is adopted. Make sure that Mr. Collins is recorded 'no' on that, and that the Gentleman's Motion is adopted. The House refuses to recede from Amendments #1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 24 and that we request from the Senate the appointment of a Conference Committee to settle the differences between the two Houses. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Madigan: "To move to adjourn until Two O'Clock."



Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman moves that the House do now stand in Recess until the Hour of Two O'Clock P.M. The House stands in recess until Two O'Clock."

RECESS

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Walsh, could you come to the Podium, please?"

Doorkeeper: "All Persons not entitled to the House Floor, please retire to the Gallery."

Speaker Madigan: "Ladies and Gentlemen, the Chair would like to announce that we have a power shortage problem at this time and if everyone would stand at ease while the electricians attempt to rectify our problem, the Clerk will read some messages into the Record."

Clerk O'Brien: "Conference Committee Announcement relating to Senate Bill 1621. Second Conference Committee Members are Representatives Richmond, Berman, Bradley, Ryan and Totten. Members of the Conference Committee will meet Tuesday, June 29, at the hour of Three O'Clock in the east House corridor. Conference Report, or Conference Committee Announcement relating to Senate Bill 1936. It has been rescheduled until 3:30 this afternoon. The assigned Members are Mudd, Madigan, Bradley, Ryan and Totten. Rescheduled until 3:30 on Senate Bill 1936. An Announcement on a Second Conference Committee relating to Senate Bill 1514, the Members assigned are Representatives Schraeder, E. M. Barnes, Bradley and Totten. Meeting of the Members of the Conference will meet Tuesday, June 29, at Four O'Clock in the east House corridor."

Speaker Madigan: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 3952 and requests



a Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Seante by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments of the House of Representatives to a Bill with the following title: Senate Bill 1999. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Second Conference Committee on House Bill 3820. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for First Conference Committee, to consider the differences between the two Houses regarding House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1997. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for First Conference Committee, to consider the difference of the two Houses regarding House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1594. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a First Conference Committee to Senate Bill 1939. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Madigan: "Is Representative Bradley..... Representatives Bradley and Berman, if they would come to the Speaker's Podium. Conference Committee Announcements."

Clerk O'Brien: "Announcement relating to Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1932. The Members appointed are Representatives Lechowicz, Madigan, Bradley, Totten and Ryan. Meeting of the Members will be held Tuesday, June 29 at 4:30 in the east House corridor. Notice of Conference Committee relating to House Bill 3952. Members of the Conference Committee are Representatives Kempiners, Collins, Laurino, Kozubowski and D. L. Houlihan. Meeting of the Members of the Conference Committee will be Tuesday, June 29 at 4:45 in the east House corridor."

Speaker Madigan: "The House will be in Order, and all those who are in the offices, please be advised that we are ready to transact business. For what purpose does the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson, arise?"

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise on a Point of Personal Privilege, if I may."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed."

Hudson: "While we're in somewhat of a low period here, I would like to share something with the Members of the House. During our lunch break, we had a few minutes and I took some of my time to take a walk over to Lincoln's Home. I needed some exercise, so I felt. I took a look around the home over there and it appeared to me that the paint on that noble edifice is in a state of, I would say, a deplorable, horrible, miserable state. It's peeling off. It's absolutely a shame and disgrace and I know that Mary Lincoln, the story is told, had the second story added to that structure while Lincoln was away on one of his periodic trips. He came home. He didn't argue with Mary about it. I think he accepted



gracefully and in so doing, of course, I did add considerable to the structure and the responsibility but I would say that I don't know how Abe would feel about it, but I think that Mary would certainly favor keeping that home in the best possible shape that we can keep it in. Keep in mind, all of the visitors that will be coming to the State of Illinois this summer, so Mr. Chairman or Mr. Speaker, with all the money bills that we passed out, I just want it to be entered into the Record that I hope that in some of those Appropriation Bills, that there is a sum of money, whatever it may cost, for the repainting of Abraham Lincoln's Home. I think it's something that is desperately needed. I'm not known here as a big spender, I don't think, but I am arguing that a few dollars, if possible, be spent on bringing Abraham Lincoln's Home into the state of repair I think it should be and I also feel I can go back to the my Constitutents and have them understand my reason for calling...."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill, arise?"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I enjoy very much the speech that's being given here on the Floor, but I would suggest to the Gentleman that he contact President Ford immediately because that is in the arm of the Federal government and maybe President Ford could do something. If not him, see if you could contact former Governor Reagan. I'm sure he'd be interested, too."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of Motions there appears Senate Bill 1847. Is Mr. Hanahan in the Chambers? Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1847 is, I'm making a Motion to move the Bill to Second Reading, Second Day, and discharge the Committee on Assignments. The purposes of the Bill is for the



correction of the inequities of nine years of no pay-raises for the Industrial Commission's Court Reporters and the objection that Representative Walsh had raised earlier, we have met and I believe there is no objection at this time."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there leave? Leave being granted, your Motion carries. On the Order of Speaker's Table there appears House Resolution 931. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've introduced House Resolution 931 to investigate the Police, the State Police Merit Board. It's been my feeling for some time that there are some inequities and I want to, this thing was created in about 1950 and no one has taken a look at it and I would like to have a Committee to check their practices and I would ask for a Roll Call vote."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purposes does the Gentleman from Know, Mr. McMaster, arise?"

McMaster: "Mr. Schisler, I would like to have your permission to be added as a co-sponsor to that Resolution."

Schisler: "You certainly have it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes.... Is there anyone else seeking recognition?"

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, I've discussed this with Leadership on both sides."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Schisler moves for the adoption of House Resolution 931. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 98 'ayes', 2 'nos', 4 voting 'present' and House Resolution 931 is adopted. On the Order of Concurrences, there appears House Bill 3099. The Chair recognizes



JUN 26 1937

the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann. On the Order of Concurrences, there appears House Bill 3560. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to remind the Body of House Bill 3560, it is a Madigan Bill to amend the Securities Act upon which we jointly placed an Amendment to allow small towns in Illinois, through the efforts of private corporations for reworking downtown communities, to be exempt from some sections of the Securities Act, so that we could involve total communities as private investors in these. Senate Amendment #1 to this Bill helps the Bill. It removes many of the objections that, for instance, Representative Grieman had. There is now a preregistration clause in the Bill. There is now a subject matter of a preoffering .... they call it....an offering sheet, to the Secretary of State and nothing may be sold until the Secretary of State approves that offering sheet. There is a monthly reporting of every issue sold and for those of you who are interested, they did reduce the number and population of the cities involved back down to 50,000. The Secretary of State felt that the bigger the dimension of this Act, the more difficult it would be in larger communities, to administer in its earlier years. And.....the Secretary of State helped draft this Amendment also, and is not going to oppose the Bill in any sense at all and I would recommend that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3560."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? Mr. ....the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Grieman. Mr. Maragos, would you come to the Podium....Mr. Grieman."

Grieman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You may recall on this Bill we had some 53 'present' votes....because of the....not because the concept was bad but because the technical protection



afforded in the Securities Act was being waved. The Senate, I must say, has come up with a very fine Amendment that has essentially embodied all of the things that opponents of this Bill raised in debate and the .....almost as if the Senators were listening for a change and I think this is now a very good Bill and I will be glad to vote for it."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, to close the debate."

Grotberg: "Yes, simply in closing I would remind the Body that all but 18 municipalities in the State of Illinois, some 1,000 other municipalities will benefit by this opportunity to generate downtown redevelopment through the private sector.....a lot faster than they could without this Bill and I would again ask for an 'aye' vote....and the House concur."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3560, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 133 'ayes', no 'nos', 7 voting 'present' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3560. On the Order of Concurrence, there appears House Bill 3191. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that permits the counties of the State to have law libraries available for citizens and for lawyers and for judges. It is not funded out of State money but out of .....an amount up to two dollars .....charge to the plaintiff and the defencant in connection with cases that are filed in court. Senate Amendment..... Senate Amendment 1, simply makes clear that....in the





smaller counties downstate, they do not have to build a courthouse .....that they can locate the library in a public building....for example if there is a city hall a municipal building and space available, the law library could be located there. I would urge that the Senate.....that we concur in Senate Amendment #1 which I believe improves the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm aware that most of you do not have law libraries and maybe never will have one, but I would point out to you that if you do, every small claims case that goes before that ...in the court.....your merchant or ....some fellow citizen will have to put in a couple of bucks to pay for a law library that's to be used 95 percent by the lawyers. I'm not opposed to law libraries, but I think it's wrong when it gets down to the kind of cases that are tried in small claims court."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, then the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz, to close the debate."

Katz: "The Bill is an optional Bill. No county board need undertake it unless they desire to do so. Cook County and several of the other counties around Cook....I know Winnebago, Lake, other counties have done so because the right of free people cannot be realized unless there is access to the decisions of courts. Since it is optional and since it is available to all, and since also, any person who cannot afford to file a law suit does not have to pay anything, they've the right of paupers to do so. I would very strongly urge that we concur in Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment 1 to House Bill 3191. All those in



JUN 29 1976

78.

favor signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk shall take the record. On this question there are 104 'ayes', 15 'nos', 11 voting 'present' and House Bill 3191 having received.....excuse me.....The Gentleman's Motion to concur with Senate Amendment #1 is adopted. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment to House Bill 2736 and request a Conference Committee. The action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendments of the House to the Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1956. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1976...Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of the Amendments to Senate Bill 1950. Action taken by the Senate, June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Madigan: "On the Order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 3099. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Mann, do you wish to move on 3099?...The Order of Concurrence, House Bill 3099, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, now that we're back from lunch and settled and I've had an opportunity to take a further look at House Bill 3099, the Bill is not complicated, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it merely says that the Chicago Community College Board may enter into contract with the local public building commission, to



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

provide for community college facilities which will be financed, and I want to underline this, financed solely by Chicago tax payers. There are presently 'insufficient' funds .....insufficient funds through the Capital Development Board to build all of the needed community college facilities throughout Illinois, therefore, the Chicago community colleges have asked that they be allowed to build the facilities needed in Chicago ....now. And, there are facilities both at Olive-Harvey, Mr. Speaker, and at Southwest, that are required now if the programs are to be carried out. And, of course, as I indicated before, they will be financed solely .....and payments will be made, in the form of rentals to the Public Building Commission of Chicago. ....Under the Public Building Commission, rentals are secured by a local property tax. Thus putting absolutely no burden on taxpayers outside the City of Chicago. Mr. Speaker, this is a good Bill, it will provide the financial mechanism in order to build needed facilities in and around the City of Chicago for students attending the Chicago Community College facilities and I urge its adoption. I urge concurrence."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I spoke on this Bill earlier. I had been misinformed as to its effect on the junior college building program in the State of Illinois. The Gentleman is absolutely correct when he says that the rental cost of these buildings will be paid by the people of the City of Chicago and I too urge that this Bill be passed."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."



Porter: "Representative Mann, what referendum rights are there in connection with this building program?"

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Mann..."

Mann: "I do not believe that there are any..."

Porter: ".....And how many dollars are involved in it?"

Mann: "Well, the dollars would depend upon the amount needed with regard to the facilities of each of the institutions involved..."

Porter: "Well, what's the authorization?"

Mann: "With regard to this particular measure?,,,,,I don't know the amount of the authorization...."

Porter: "So, there's some amount of money ...without referendum, but you don't know what it is?"

Mann: "I'm informed it's 23 million."

Porter: "....23 million without referendum...Thank you."

Mann: "That is correct."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the problem with doing this is that it's a .....a diversion from what we have been doing. ~~The~~ Capital Development Board takes into consideration for State purposes all those things for which the State should go in debt. They consider school building as well as junior college buildings, senior college institutions, the building of the Department of Mental Health and all of the agencies of State government. Now, in doing this they can control in some way that amount of debt that the State takes on in any single year. The problem with this Bill is that it circumvents the Capital Development Board and permits the building of buildings for State purposes and borrowing money for State purposes without the control of the Capital Development Board. For that reason, Mr. Speaker, and because our borrowings have become so serious in this State as well as in our local governments, I oppose very



strenuously, this Bill....and feel that the Chicago Junior College Board ought to come to the State Board and to the Capital Development Board for their construction, just as every other junior college board in the State."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I think, if you look at your Bill synopsis, you'll be somewhat enlightened over what's happening here. This idea, which is being urged as so important, is exactly four days old. This Bill went out of this House in a condition which might charitably be called a shell bill. It came back totally different and this idea was not offered until June 25th. There has never been a Committee hearing on this Bill. There was not a Committee on the Bill as originally set up in the House. It bypassed Committee, went out of here 102 votes to 30 votes....it arrived in the Senate, referred to Committee on Assignment, was discharged; was advanced, with no reference to Committee. It was placed on Second Reading, this entirely new idea, this entirely new Bill was put on this on June 25th, just four days ago. I suggest to you that this is a rather major departure from existing State policy. It should be studied. Its ramifications should be studied. This is certainly the wrong time of year to put something like this into law and I urge the defeat of the Motion to Concur."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from....  
.....the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question here?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Geo-Karis: "Now, Mr. Sponsor, is my Digest correct? The



original purpose of this Bill was to reduce the age of  
 .....from 21 to 18, for eligibility of a Member of the  
 Board of....the Public Community College District? Was  
 that the main Bill?"

Mann: "That's true, but we ...we learned, subsequent to the  
 introduction of that particular Bill that there is an  
 at large Member created by executive fiat of the Chicago  
 Community College Board."

Geo-Karis: "Now, the Amendment that was put on by the Senate,  
 I believe, is that correct? What's stated in our Digest,  
 that the Amendment empowers the board to leave from any  
 public building commission, any real or personal property,  
 not to exceed forty years, is that right? ...Is that  
 the Amendment?"

Mann: "I'd have to get the...."

Geo-Karis: "It's on page 907....I just want to make sure I'm  
 talking about the right thing."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Giorgi in the Chair."

Giorgi in Chair.....

Mann: ".....'Geo', I dor't have the applicable.....Statute..."

Geo-Karis: "It's on page 907 .....in Volume I.....It'll have  
 to be the current Digest...'Bob'.....June 25..."

Mann: "You read pretty good....I'm sure that...."

Geo-Karis: "All I'm asking is ....is that the Amendment that  
 we're talking about? Because I haven't seen it come  
 across, but I presume that's the Amendment...."

Mann: "Yeah...."

Geo-Karis: "Okay. Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak on  
 the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "I heartily concur, which I don't often do, with  
 my Assistant Minority Leader, that the addition of this  
 Amendment does entirely obfuscate the main purpose of the  
 Bill, whether it ....be a shell Bill or not....and what



it does, in effect, is deviates from the Capital Development Board to which we have consigned an awful lot of money for purposes of the nature and contemplated an Amendment #1 of the Senate which would allow the Board to lease from any public building any real personal property for a period not to exceed 40 years and I did serve in the Higher Education Committee my first term and I was not too impressed with the business, or should I say the lack of business ability on the part of some of the Members representing some of these Public Community Colleges and I do think in this era of necessity for curtailing expenses to the best of our ability and giving our money where it's absolutely needed, we should not concur in this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima, for what purpose do you rise?"

DiPrima: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, over on the Republican side of the Gallery over there, there's a delegation of Mothers of World War II who are having their State convention over here in Springfield. Let's give them a little hand."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, May I address a question to Mr. Stone?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Madigan: "Mr. Stone, did I understand you to say that you've change your position on this Bill?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Stone. For what purpose do you rise, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I rise, Mr. Speaker, because this is irregular. He may request a question of the Sponsor of the Bill, but no one else."

Speaker Giorgi: "I'm not sure that's right. Mr. Stone, would you like to....."

Stone: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and ....."



Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Walsh, Mr. Stone asked for the Floor. Mr. Stone, the question's out of the Record. Mr. Stone has the Floor. Well, I don't have a tally sheet up here."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, someone directed a question to me and I'd be pleased to....."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Stone, on a Point of Personal Privilege."

Stone: "Well, someone has been using my name and I suppose that since I have been referred to in debate, it might be in order that I explain to the Assembly why I have changed my position from this morning. Now, the....."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Stone, Mrs. Dyer, for what reason do you rise?"

Dyer: "I would like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Continue."

Dyer: "May I proceed. All right. I'm continuing my objection to concurring in this Amendment. It's very rare that I disagree with my friend, Bob Mann, and I suppose none of the rest of us should object if Cook County wants to raise \$23 million without referendum if they really want to raise their taxes in Cook County. However, I think this is a side-ways way of getting new monies for community college buildings in Cook County. It is highly irregular. If it is good for Cook County, perhaps everybody over the State would like to use the same technique. It ignores the Statutory responsibility of the Board of Higher Education to supervise and approve leases. It ignores the Capital Development Board. It doesn't give any of the organizations set up by this General Assembly for accountability and control. It doesn't allow any of them to operate. It is an Amendment that was passed on to a Shell Bill at the last minute. This is an issue that should be discussed in Higher Education Committee and for all these reasons, I urge a 'no' vote on this Motion."





Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm somewhat surprised at the Gentleman from Moultrie would sell out the downstate community colleges, and for you folks that have community colleges downstate, you better take a good look at this Amendment, because it's exactly what it does. What's good for Chicago as far as the community colleges are concerned should certainly be good for the community colleges downstate. This is a horrible Amendment. I'm surprised at the rest of the, that the Gentleman from Chicago would be trying to slip something like this through at this hour and I would certainly think that this concurrence should be defeated. I would move that you do not concur on this and vote 'no' on this Concurrence."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Hudson, excuse me... Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a Point of Personal Privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "State your Point. Let him state his Point."

Stone: "My Point simply is, Mr. Speaker, that some Gentleman stated that I had sold out downstate. I'd like to be heard on that Point. May I proceed, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Proceed."

Stone: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I don't care how many points of order are raised, I am entitled to speak on a Point of Personal Privilege and I hope that I am allowed that privilege."

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan, do you object to his using a Personal..... What is your objection?"

Ryan: "What's his Point of Personal Privilege."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I stated my Point. The Gentleman said that I had sold out downstate Illinois. Now either I have or I haven't and I'm entitled to be heard."



Speaker Giorgi: "I think Mr. Stone's entitled to be heard on a Point of Personal Privilege. Continue, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Now, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill and I must refer to the Bill so that you can decide whether I have or haven't been guilty of the Gentleman's accusation. This Bill makes one or two simple provisions. Under the Statutes of the State of Illinois at the present time, we have the Public Building Commission Act, which provides that the Public Building Commission may borrow money to build buildings and that they may then rent these buildings to a Public body. This Act merely provides that if the Public Building Commission Act, the Building Commission, if they build a building, that the Chicago Junior College Board shall have the power and the authority to rent that building back from the Public Building Commission. It further provides that if the city college of Chicago does not pay the rentals, then the City of Chicago must access a personal and real estate property tax to pay these rentals. Now I am not selling and I would not sell anyone out, but especially I'm not selling out the community colleges down state, because if the city college of Chicago does not have money from other sources to pay these rentals, they must then, by this Act, access a real estate property tax. Now aside from not selling the community colleges downstate out, this Bill will help the community colleges downstate and the rest of the State of Illinois because the City of Chicago would be paying rental and paying for these buildings that they build and the rest of the State of Illinois would not be paying for it while the City of Chicago would be paying for the Junior College Buildings built downstate. Now I hope that we get at least 89 votes up there, so that all of you will know that what I have said is correct."

Speaker Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Stone. Mr. Deavers."



JUN 29 1922

Deavers: "Ryan, do you want the Floor again?" Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Giorgi: "Mr. Hudson, Deavers has the Floor. Yes, he'll answer some questions. Mr. Washburn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washburn: "Representative Hudson had the Floor when he was interrupted by Representative from Moultrie."

Speaker Giorgi: "I think Representative Ryan had the Floor, didn't he?"

Washburn: "Mr. Hudson had the Floor."

Speaker Giorgi: "Do you yield to Mr. Hudson? Mr. Hudson, would you proceed."

Hudson: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to imple the motive of no man on this House Floor, but I think what we're doing here is wrong in certainly operating procedure. When I consider that this Bill started out to Amend the Public Community College Act and it mentioned reducing, just look at your digest, reduces from 21 to 18 the age of eligibility for being a Member of the Baord of Public Community College and then to see in these closing days an Amendment tacked on to this Bill that started out in that way, this is shades of United States Congress, in my opinion, when they proceed very merrily to attack ungermane Amendments to Bills down there, with the resulting cauos that we all witnessed. Now we have not been doing that in the Illinois General Assembly and I hope that we do not do it. This Amendment apparently has been ruled germane, but it is far afield from where the Bill originally started and I think we are giving ourselves very little time to consider the matter. I think it's wrong in principal, wrong in operating procedure and I would hate to see this become a precedent. I would prefer that we wait until we all have time to consider this matter with more care before we put



our stamp of approval on this Amendment and I would therefore urge very careful consideration of not approving or going along with this concurrence and I would so urge my colleagues on the Floor of this House. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Deavers, for questions."

Deavers: "How do they get on the Board that you're giving all these powers to. Are they elected or appointed?"

Mann: "You mean the Chicago, the Local Public Building Commission, is appointed in Chicago."

Deavers: "O'kay, thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to give the Members of the House the benefit of the background to this Legislation. Stated very simply, there are two campuses of the City Colleges of Chicago, the Southwest Campus and the Olive Harvey Campus, which today are operating full time, full fledged junior colleges without the benefit of permanent building structures. The Southwest College is located in my Legislative District. It accommodates 6,500 students, full teaching staff, full facility, in temporary demountable buildings. The City Colleges of Chicago have applied to the State for years for Capital Funding to build permanent buildings. These requests have not been honored and it has been the decision of the City of Chicago, in light of the denial of those requests, to proceed through the mechanism of the Public Building Commission of Chicago, which would allow the Chicago Property Taxpayers to provide for the funding of the construction of the permanent campuses. In light of the number of requests pending before the State Board, this means that two of these requests will be removed from the Agenda and a complete construction program of the city colleges of Chicago will be completed. There



will be no more future requests from Chicago. This is good reasonable Legislation. The reason that it is proposed at this late day is that when the Public Building Commission sought opinion of bond council, Chapman and Cutler in Chicago for approval of the bonds, it was told that there would be need for this Statutory authorization to allow for the mechanism of the Public Building Commission to construct a building. There is nothing unreasonable about this. It allows a local governmental entity and its taxpayers apply for the construction of this building."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewing, do you seek recognition?"

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move for the previous question."

Speaker Giorgi: "The previous question has moved. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Mann to close."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, a few Members have expressed some surprise about my handling the Bill. I wish you would not. The Bill started out as a Bill enabling students instead of having to be 21, to reduce the age to 18 in order to serve. ~~We~~ We learned from the Community Collège Board in Chicago that now on an ad hoc appointed basis, those students are eligible to serve at the age of 18, thus removing the necessity and the need for the particular Bill in its original form. I was then asked if I would agree to an Amendment to meet the pressing need of two institutions in the City of Chicago, both with large enrollments and both with few Housing and facility needs to the Point where State funds are literally being abandoned because of the absence of modern and useful facilities to meet the needs of the students. Now, Representative Walsh is incorrect when he states that State funds are involved. That is absolutely untrue. As a matter of fact, by not



going through the State, we are freeing up other funds which may be used by other community college districts in the State. However, in answer to Representative Geo-Karis' question, does this apply to the entire State, it applies not to the entire State, but to Chicago, but anyone in any District could make application for the same rights to rent from a local Commission and to secure that rental by the raising of taxes in the City of Chicago and the County of Cook. Now as you well know, I have not always looked with favor on Chicago Legislation, but on the other hand, I'm asking for you not to respond with disfavor simply because it involves the erection of some community college facilities in Chicago. It does not allow State funds. It does not triple. The Capital Development Board. It frees up other money, which can be used upon proper application. There's nothing sinister. There's nothing clandestine. There's nothing under the Table about this Legislation. It will help us to educate some more People who need junior college in and around the City of Chicago with Chicago taxpayers money and on that basis, I urge you quite earnestly and sincerely to adopt and concur in this Amendment."

Speaker Giorgi: "The question is, does the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3099? All in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, by way of explaining my vote, I'd like to know whether or not the money raised for the Public Building Commission will be matchable on a three to one basis from State Capital Development Board funds? Perhaps someone on the other side could assure me one way or another. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Beatty to explain his vote."



Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, as Representative Madigan indicated, part of these funds that would be authorized by this vote would go to give the college in our area and that of Representative Kucharski's a full-time permanent campus. Part of the reason for this late development is, this was an area of the City that was late in developing. The College itself has only been in existence about 12 or 15 years. We've got these thousands of young People that wish to be educated. It's my belief that they have a right to have a permanent campus to have more elbow room than they have now in these quads and huts that they are using. Many of these youngsters are my neighbors' children. They don't all have money for sending their children to the colleges out of town. They would get a better education if they had more room. It would then better facilities for their library and I don't know why city boys and girls should not have a right to a permanent building just as the children of the more affluent society in the suburban areas, who have been objecting to the City paying for its own college system as illustrated by this Bill. I think we have a right. I'm here representing my District. I think my People are willing to pay if necessary to increase their taxes and I think this Legislature should authorize this Bill."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Gaines, to explain his vote."

Gaines: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my District is in between these two schools and residents of my District go to some of the junior colleges in the City of Chicago and I personally know that the Olive Harvey campus needs new buildings. It needs permanent buildings, so the students can actually get the education that we're paying for. Now, you're being penny wise and pound foolish when you don't allow the students to get the education that you're paying



for. Our tax dollars are paying the cost of these students by keeping these schools there and if we're not going to let our local taxpayers pay the Bills to make it a first class college, then we're wasting the rest of the money, which is State money. Therefore, I'm asking for some more green lights."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Epton, to explain his vote."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm happy to see that the law of averages really does catch up with us. It was inevitable that one of these days, Representative Mann would come up with a good Bill and I'm happy that today, while his two daughters are here, that Bob not only came up with a good Bill, but almost gave a lucid explanation of what it is. Therefore, in view of that, I think it behooves us, since the occasion may never repeat itself, I think it behooves us to vote favorably for this Bill, and Bob, I'm always glad to help you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Londrigan, to explain his vote."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if Chicago wants to build schools and pay for them themselves, why in the world would anyone from downstate hesitate to give them the authority to do so? We're constantly, some of us, not I criticizing Chicago for trying to get some State funds for their schools and here, they're seeking to use their own money to build their own schools. Now Chicago, as Ray Ewell has pointed out before, has been very generous for downstate in helping us solve our problems. Why or why won't we give them the authority to solve their own problems and I encourage the downstate Democrats and Republicans to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, very briefly,





if we ever hope to avoid a verification of the vote, we might need just a couple more votes and again we point out that we in the City of Chicago are using our own money. We're not dipping into the egg premium fund. We're not coming from the race track fund. We're not coming from the Breeder's fund. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "I don't believe that will be necessary, but I just want to point out, tell the Membership that this will increase to the downstaters and portions of the Central and Western State of Illinois, an increase in funding to you from the Capital Development Board. We will withdraw our request of funding from the Capital Development Board when this Bill passes, and I really strongly encourage an 'aye' vote, to permit your share to be greater. Thank you."

Speaker Giorgi: "Representative Walsh, to explain his vote."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Gentleman from Cook, the Sponsor of the Bill, represented that I said that ~~this was~~ going to cost the State money. I didn't exactly say that. As a matter of fact, I didn't say it at all. What I said was that this was a way to get around the Capital Development Board as the agency that determines how much debt the State incurred for various State purposes, including all agencies of government. Now, Mr. Speaker, if we are to permit this, then there's no reason why other junior colleges can't side in with a Public Building Commission; for example, the College of DuPage built a tremendous campus, using the Wheaton Public Building Commission and this is a defeat, Mr. Speaker of the purpose, the Legislative purpose of creating the Capital Development Board and requiring them to oversee State indebtedness. Now, finally, Mr. Speaker, I would ask that you rule on the number of votes that it takes to pass



this Bill and I would ask you to keep in mind, Mr. Speaker that this is State, this in indebtedness for a State purpose and I submit to you that it takes 107 votes."

Speaker Giorgi: "Has everyone voted who wish? Has everyone voted who wish? Take the Record. On this Bill, there are 115 'ayes', 41 'nays', 9 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. The Ruling is 89 votes, Mr. Walsh. Yes, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I respectfully dissent from that Opinion, Mr. Speaker and I will do so in writing."

Speaker Giorgi: "Yes, Sir, Mr. Walsh. Note his remarks. Mr. Madison, Representative Madison votes 'aye'. Representative Leverenz votes 'aye'. Kosinski from 'aye' to 'present'. On the Order of Concurrences appears House Bill 3518. On that, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, 3518 is not a controversial Bill, but yet it is yet a little non-controversial. 3518 is the School Aid Formula change. Just to refresh your recollection, as that Bill left the House, it had the following items in it. It had something for declining enrollment, which would allow a school district to use either its current weighted average daily attendance or the average of the previous three years, when computing its State-aid. This would help areas that were suffering declining enrollment that could not adjust to the changes, because of some unfair language actually in the School-Aid Formula. The Second thing that the Bill contained was an elimination of the Rollback provision. The elimination of the Rollback provision would permit districts that wanted to raise additional local taxes without affecting their State-aid to do so. The third thing that was in 3518, as it left the House, was the inclusion of the transportation



tax and the operating tax rates for the purpose of figuring State-aid. This would help primarily down-state schools who do charge for transportation. The fourth item that we had in there was the reducing of the operating tax from unit districts from \$3.00 to \$2.90. As you know, unit districts did not reach their operating tax without going to 93 cents referendum whereas high school districts do that without going to referendum and elementary districts had to go something like 60 some cents in order to reach that particular area of funding. The Senate Amendment to House Bill 3518 contains the following additions. All the things we have in 3518 are still in there. What we did was lower the operating tax for elementary district from \$1.95 to \$1.90. This would allow the elementary district, as I indicated before-hand, elementary districts do have to go somewhat before, with referendums, before they can reach their taxing rate. We then changed, in Senate Amendment 1, the penalty section of the School-aid formula and what we did is, we said that instead of it being a 1% penalty, what we will have is a one 176% penalty for each day that a school is closed during the last year. Basically what this means is that the City of Chicago will be penalized \$25 million instead of about \$55 million. I might further say that I have an opinion from the State Board of Education, which indicates that, and I would like to read the last paragraph of it that 'if House Bill 3815 is amended and becomes law in the present form, it is the opinion of the legal division that school districts, which are penalized for failure to provide the minimum school term cannot recoup by virtue of the whole clause, which I will discuss later. If 3815 as amended becomes law, it will be administered consistent with this Opinion unless otherwise directed by the General Assembly and



that is a legal opinion from the State Board of Education. Another thing that is in the Amendment is that there is some language clarifying the rollback position, it appears as it went out of the House, it was not clear enough and the Senate did include clarifying language. In addition, it added language to Section 2412 to protect teachers with seniority from being dismissed before teachers without seniority. I think there's been some question on this and let me say that the added language applies to tenure teachers licensed to teach in a particular subject area or grade level. It is not the intent of the Amendment to cause an inadequately certified teacher due to this layoff procedure to obtain the position of a properly certified teacher of lesser seniority. I might say that I state that on the basis of an opinion handed down by the law firm of (unintelligible)

Additionally, what we did in the Amendment in Senate Amendment 3518 was that we provided that any school district receiving less aid as the result of the changes mentioned would not in effect be penalized for the changes, but would receive what they would have received without the changes. In other words, school districts have a right to formulate their State-aid and compare it as to what they would do before this Bill is passed and what would happen after this Bill was passed and this is thought of as sort of a whole-hamlet State-aid provision. No district will receive less under this formula than they would have received if we would have not made the formula changes. As you know when we passed out, the Senate, when we passed out the funding Bill, we added \$22 million to cover the whole provision. I can report to you know that the Senate has concurred in that Amendment and so we have passed out a Bill which will now be funded according to



the provisions in 3518. Let me say this that in 1976, we funded at the level of 1173. This year we are funding at a level of, when we try to compute the formula at 1262. Now, when we did it at that, we came up a little bit short and therefore, we had to add the \$22 million, which would in effect would be the whole harmless provision and so when we passed out the Bill from the House and from the Senate, the funding Bill, that figures stands at \$1,287,000,000 which is about \$25 million more than the Governor has asked for. However, as I read some of the local papers, I understand that some of the news people have talked to some of the Governor's aides and the Governor says that that's totally within the realm of his ballpark and so I feel confident that when we pass 3518 the Governor is going to change it and we're going to be able to fund it at 1287, with no district getting less than they would have under the old formula. Let me say that 3518, I think, has had more input by more people in this General Assembly than I have ever seen any other Bill have. I think it makes some very important changes in the School Formula. These are things that are necessary and I would now move that we concur in Senate Amendment 1."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, on a Point of Order."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know if the Parliamentarian is at your side or not, but I would like to make this Point of Order. I would like to direct the attention of the Chair to the Constitution of the State of Illinois, Article IV, Section 8d, which provides that ....."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deuster, would Mr. Epstein come to the Podium, please? Why don't you wait until he arrives, rather than repeat it a second time? Do you wish to hold your point and let someone else speak?"



Deuster: "I think I ought to make the Point now. It's a simple Point and maybe by the time I finish concluding ....."

Speaker Madigan: "You expect a Ruling on your Point?"

Deuster: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Madigan: "Well, I would suggest you wait until the Parliamentarian arrives."

Deuster: "All right, that's fine, but I would imagine that would be before we vote on this, because....."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed. He's here."

Deuster: "Thank you. I'd direct your attention to the Constitution, Article IV, Section 8d. That Section indicates that Bills shall be confined to one subject. I would suggest that as amended in the Senate this Bill relates to two subjects at least. It relates to the subject of financing the School-aid formula in making changes in the School-aid formula. It's an entirely a financial Bill. However, along with many other Amendments, all of which are related to financing the schools, we have an Amendment related to the dismissal of school teachers, the School Teachers Tenure Law and the provision relating to the seniority of tenured school teachers, specifically on, well I guess the pages aren't numbered of the Senate Amendment. This relates to this dismissal of the school teacher, providing that those who were hired last would be fired first. I suggest and submit to the Chair that these are two different subjects, one financing of schools, and the other the school teacher tenure law and that as a result, this Bill could not be considered by the House, because it's in conflict with the Constitution."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I might say that the permanent Speaker has already ruled on that subject. He indicated on a prior Bill that we could not go beyond that which the



Senate sends to us. I think if you'll check the ruling of the permanent Speaker, you will find that that has been his ruling and I think the Gentleman is out of order."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deuster, do you wish to point out to the Parliamentarian which provision of the Bill you raise objection?"

Deuster: "Yes, I would and I would like to say, as I'm doing that, in response to the Sponsor who has spoken, we're not considering a question of the Senate. We're talking about the Constitution and the Senate can't violate the Constitution. Now the provision that I'm relating to is on page 3, line 30 through line 33 and the language says as between teachers who have entered upon contractual continued service, the teacher or teachers with the shorter length of continuing service with the district shall be dismissed first. That is the language that amends Section 2412, relating to the removal or dismissal of teachers in tenure or contractual continued service. That's an entirely different subject than the common school fund in the school financing. It's an entirely different section. The whole Bill relates to Section 19, which has to do with financing. This aspect of the Amendment is Section 24, relating to the tenure and seniority of teachers and their dismissals."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair rules that your Point is not well taken, Mr. Deuster. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider, on the Bill."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we have, during the course of the growth of 3518, referred to it in a number of fashions. We've called it a Christmas tree. We've called it a garbage can. It has not developed into a sanitary landfill with the Senate Amendment, but basically I find it has problems in its



origin, that is the concept around which the Bill has been constructed....has been more related to geography than it has to education. I would suggest to you most of the Members, I think, would find acceptable....the notion....that schools should not be penalized one percent but would accept 1 1/76 as fair but what this Bill does is add 1 1/76 for one year for one rather significant district. I would say ...on that alone...it is a problem for many of us who want equity in leveling a penalty. The 'hold harmless' concept certainly acceptable ....but it does damage to those of us who have districts who are taxing at three dollars and more. We're being penalized when it drops to two dollars and ninety cents....in the vicinity of a dime and the money that we have made effort, locally, to try to compensate for the cost of education in Illinois. I'm seriously worried as to why the Sponsor would include two..... ninety....Basically if he just wants to raise the guaranteed assessed valuation, we could have done that without penalizing all of those district which have made an effort. I doubt the psychological advantage of a district which is stuck at around two dollars and seventeen cents....really being too encouraged by reducing it from three dollars to two....ninety. I believe there is sympathy in this General Assembly for increasing that guaranteed assessed valuation which would help all districts without effecting those of us who have reached the top of our limit. As to the tenure Amendment and to my good friends the IEA, which I think have made an effort to try to attach a .....current issue on this Bill, I think my teachers say to me .....mostly 'give us the money we're entitled to and we won't have to be too concerned about the notion of who gets fired and hired, first and last'. The provision itself has many frailties, it does not make distinctions within the





academic profession, it does not make distinctions as it relates to buildings within a district. And, I think within that sense it is a poor provision. I'm willing, as a Member of the Committee in Education, to look at it as a separate concept when all of those problems are ironed out. Certainly it is not related to the question of whether or not the formula should be changed in relationship to the economic circumstances. So, I doubt again whether or not that provision has any validity with regard to that. So, on this Motion, the Motion to concur, I would ask that the Members nonconcur. There are things that should not be in this Bill and the only way they are going to be altered is to place them in a Committee where Members can have a good look and a fair look at how we deal with the school aid formula. I don't think we do it geographically. I think we do it in relationship to the students in the district. I think we do it in relationship to local effort. I think we do it in relationship to what the Governor is willing to sign and willing to distribute throughout the State of Illinois. So, again, I would ask the Members to nonconcur so that we can have Members sit down and take another look at the way the formula is funded."

Speaker Madigan: "Would Representative D. L. Houlihan come to the Speaker's Podium?....And, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I can't tell you how pleased I am that we have an opportunity to finally concur on Concurrence #2 of House Bill 3518. I think each and everyone of us have gone through time and time and time again, each one of these issues that are before us today that as we now consider them as separate Amendments. When the 'WADA' came up ...the first issue that was considered one that many wanted, but it wasn't one that was satisfactory. There were many from downstate



who came to us and said, isn't it about time that we get an opportunity, through our transportation, to fully fund at least .....attempt to fund our school system. We have those in the collar counties who have the roll back on this and I think you'll find that the roll back is on three other Bills as well as on this one. And, I sincerely hope all of those who so ...were so concerned about the roll back are going to stand up today and support this issue because we've certainly supported their issue in the past. We were concerned about the penalty for the City of Chicago and do we as the Members of the General Assembly have a responsibility here of seeing that that 55 million dollars isn't fully taken from the school children in the City of Chicago. Is that our responsibility or is that the Cities ...responsibility? We've attempted to address ourselves in that relation....in that Bill....here today. There has been the additional Amendment put in by the teachers in relation to the firing...the seniority, and that's a Bill that was introduced earlier and didn't have an opportunity for a hearing and so was placed in the Senate this time.....And, obviously that's the one that's causing a lot of people a lot of concern. But, every Bill that we've had before the Education Committee is finally in one package, and it gives us an opportunity after completely looking this Bill over, we've made our peace with everyone possible. It's going to cost the State some additional funds but what we're going to do is make sure that we have quality education not only in downstate Illinois but quality education in Chicago and the collar counties as well. You've agonized with me as I've agonized with you on Bill after Bill after Bill, as it's come out of the Education Committee. It hasn't been easy for any of us. But, I think we've finally got a Bill in some posture that we can all support and I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

would sincerely appreciate your concurrence."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First of all I want to concur in the statements that Representative Jaffe and Representative Polk have stated on the Floor. They have summarized this Bill quite well. I want to bring out one point that I think is important. Some of the people that speak against the Bill have other suggestions and there is nothing, as far as chiseled in granite, in 3518.... that makes this Bill the only approach. But, I will point out to you this, this is a Bill that is shaped so that there is relief provided to every segment of the State within a dollar amount that both houses of the Legislature can support, that hopefully the governor can sign and that is within the ability of the State to afford. One of the previous speakers talked about raising the guaranteed assessed valuation without decreasing the qualifying rate. Well, that would be fine but I haven't seen that Gentleman voting either for either the 22 million dollar addition the other day to the appropriation Bill or for any additional monies and that's what would happen if you just raised the guaranteed assessed valuation without decreasing a qualifying rate. Now, what we've tried to do is to address the problem throughout the State. I come from an area that many people said have preferential treatment. Chicago suburbs and the City of Chicago...the past three years. We've recognized some of the pleas from downstate. We've tried to respond to those. No one is getting everything they would like. Primarily because of dollar limits within the ability of the State to pay don't allow us to give everyone what we would like to pay. If we funded all of the changes in 3518 fully and



fully funded the resource equalizer formula would be approximately 200 million dollars over where the present appropriations Bill is. We can't afford that. So, what we've tried to do is respond in a responsible and reasonable way to every school district in the State.... primarily giving greater relief to some of the downstate districts. Giving some of the relief that the suburbs have asked for with the roll back we have recognized the problem of decreased enrollments and we've recognized the inequity of the full one percent old penalty that's being assessed for early closing. I think this Bill is not a panacea for all of our problems, but it's certainly a reasonable approach to many complex issues. I urge your support."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House....I rise to express my opposition to the concurrence to the Senate Amendment to House Bill 3518 and request that we nonconcur and send it to a conference committee. I do so for a number of reasons that have already been mentioned....But, let me emphasize that in a conference committee we can make some of the kinds of changes which would make this a more reasonable and responsible approach to ...to school district funding and State funding of education across the State. I think we have to keep in mind that we're concerned about boys and girls and what we can do to provide them the best educational opportunity. I would suggest as did the Chairman of the Education Committee suggests, that if we are going to change the penalty from one percent a day for every day that you don't hold school ...below the State maximum .... to 1.176...which is a reasonable penalty, in my judgment....it should be done for all of the State, not just for one school district. Last year



we had about four or six school district that didn't hold the minimum number of days and they were penalized. It isn't fair that districts from one part of the State be treated differently than any other district...in the State. When you think about it, there is absolutely no equity in this program and it is merely an effort or a way to cut a penalty which has been incurred by the larger school districts in the State of Illinois by about 25 million dollars. So, this particular penalty was put in the Bill to make it possible for one school district not to pay back to the rest of the school districts of the State 25 million dollars of their penalty.. Let me just speak briefly on the methodology that is used to deal with declining enrollment. Let me say that it's very obvious that something should be done in this area. However, I would suggest to you that the using of a three year average for declining enrollment is much more expensive than is necessary. It's not necessary to commit ourselves to a 30 million dollar expenditure in FY 78, to alleviate the declining enrollment problem that school districts face. We can do this on a much more modest basis than this program intends to do. The cost of this program is above the resources that are available, we all know it. We also know that we're going to be caught in a proration basis even if the Governor signs this Bill we will find ourselves in two or three more years of proration. This is a bad presedent and it's a bad program for everyone involved. School people don't know what to expect from the Legislature and the Legislature is encouraged to move the formula around more because everybody says the bottom line will be the same, we'll just shift the money around. Which means we take from some school districts to give to others. This is wrong and this is unnecessary and in my judgment a Conference Committee



JUN 26 1977

with the right people on it could straighten this problem out. One final comment on the Bill related to the dismissal of tenured teachers, on the basis of seniority. This is obviously unrelated to formula change and is a brazen example of disregard and disrespect for the Legislative process by the lobbying group who have pressed this particular Amendment into this Bill. Now, this is wrong and we all know it. We know this is an issue that ought to be considered on its own merits and it's an effort to maneuver this position into a formula Bill. Let me say that if this Amendment relating to the reduction of force of tenured teachers were to go on the book it would fly in the face of many contracts which have been negotiated in local school districts. Reduction in force language and contracts is lengthy. You can....this particular Amendment to this Bill....is inoperable and is ....is wrong. It doesn't take anyone very long to come to the conclusion that this type of an Amendment is not in the best interest to the boys and girls of the State of Illinois. It's very obvious that this Amendment was put in to deal with the specific class of people who work in school districts. I have always been under the impression that schools exist for boys and girls not for teachers. And, that teachers are there for boys and girls the boys and girls are not there for teachers. I think this Amendment is wrong and for this reason alone it should be defeated. For these reasons I recommend and encourage each of you to vote 'no' on the Concurrence and give the Conference Committee a chance to work on some of the problems. Hypothetically, for some of you, I might point out, if we made no change in the formula at all, applied the 55 million dollar penalty to the resources that are available, we could fund the formula at about 99.8 percent. What I'm saying is that we'd be



.2 of one percent away from fully funding the formula, if we did absolutely nothing. I suggest that we nonconcur and send this to a Confereency Committee where we can work out the differences."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor of the Bill yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Pierce: "Mr. Jaffe, what is the rationale of the Senate in reducing the qualifying rate for unit districts by a full ten cents but for elementary districts...elementary dual districts, only by five cents. What is the reason for that disparity or discrimination?"

Jaffe: "Well, there really is no disparity or ...you know.... ..as you know, Danny, it takes ....the elementary... go from \$3 to \$2.90.....I mean the units go from \$3 to \$2.90, the elementaries go from 1.95 to 1.90. The taxing rate is lower, as you know."

Pierce: "Well, to speak on the Bill, Mr. Kane put an Amendment on the Roll-back Bill that called for a full ten cent reduction on the elementary rate, from \$1.95 to 1.85 as well as ten cents on a unit, from \$3 to 2.90. So, we have some discrimination here in that the elementaries, although they are being recognized by the Senate Amendment, which is some improvement, still are not getting the full reduction that the units are getting. But, even more serious and the reason why I'm going to recommend that this Bill go to a Conference Committee is the Amendment put on that we didn't consider in any Committee of the House or the Senate and that is the Amendment that takes local control from school boards and dictates to the school boards what will be in their contracts. It dictates to them when they are forced to reduce their staff....their tenured staff....that they have no alter-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

native ....but to let go first, on the basis of seniority. Now, I'll be first to say that if we had a collective bargaining agreement and a reduction in forced seniority should be a most important ...if not the most important element. But, there are other elements, there is a quality of education, there is the ability of the teacher, there is the areas in which he is competent. Many teachers are getting certified in areas they've never taught in just to hold on in case there is a reduction in force ...and so the school districts might be required to eliminate a very well qualified mathematics teacher in junior high school because some teacher with a little more seniority has gone out and been certified in mathematics at junior high school level without ever having ever really taught it.....just to hold onto the position based on seniority. I think we will see that in foreign languages at the junior high school level and at the high school level and other fields which teachers will go out and get certified in many fields where they have no particular experience....in order to take advantage of their seniority over some very well qualified teacher with less experience. Now, this matter was snuck onto the Bill in the Senate, it was never introduced in the elementary and secondary education committee of the House. It may be the fault of the Rules Committee, I'm informed that a Bill was introduced in the House but the Rules Committee refused to let it out, said it didn't belong in this Session. Apparently the Senate does feel it belongs in this Session. But, unfortunately it never came to elementary and secondary education in the House, we've never debated it, the Gentleman from Rock Island said everyone of these issues has been well discussed, the tenure issue, the dismissal of teachers...based on seniority only, has never been well discussed. And,





really what it is...it's taking from local school districts ....their local control and having us in the Legislature dictate the terms of employment. I believe in collective bargaining, I voted for collective bargaining....but, if we're going to dictate to local school districts what the terms of dismissal and seniority should be, then we're saying we can't rely on collective bargaining. That collective bargaining is meaningless because we're going to tell you from Springfield who gets dismissed first, who gets dismissed second and who gets dismissed third. For this reason alone, as the Gentleman from DuPage said, this Bill, 3518, which has some very good things in it, and I'm not all adverse to helping Chicago out, I'll support that, this Bill has many good things in it, but because of this provision taking local control ....of reduction of force...away from the school districts of our State....because of that along, this Bill should go to Conference Committee and we should not concur ....we should not provide the 89 votes to concur in Senate Amendment #2."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you may remember that I had criticized this Bill quite severely when it was first proposed. It started out as a rather looney concept to protect ourselves from the reality of falling enrollments in our schools and then it was added to and added to undermining first ....and completely the balance of the resource equalizer formula and then having other Amendments added onto it and added onto it in the House. It went over to the Senate, and they have done even worse. I was discussing the Bill with Senator Brad Glass, over there, my Senator, and as many of you know, a Member of the School Problems Commission, he advised me that the Bill would cost about



\$170,000,000 outside of the Governor's and our own budget for education, this year, and if those figures are anywhere near correct, I think everyone of us can be assured that the Governor will not sign the Bill and nothing will have been accomplished by it. It seems to me, however, that the worst feature of this Bill was the Amendment added onto the Senate, without any public hearing, and that the instance of the Illinois Education Association, which has really shown itself publicly, now for what it really is, and that is a protector of the incompetent and not dedicated to the bettering of the education of our children. It seems to me that any young teacher of confidence and promise, who comes into our system will now have to look forward to being sacrificed to the incompetence of those who have more seniority. The standard is now seniority for all of our school districts rather than ability. This Bill, of course, contains something that I want very much for our districts on the North Shore.....and that is the elimination of the roll-back. God knows, we need it to have our schools survive, but it's simply too high a price to pay for us..even if we were to get this, even if the Governor was to sign this Bill, to give in to a principle that this country must stand for, that is, to give away a principle that this country must stand for, and that is the right to have a person judged on their merit rather than how long they've been around. Seems to me that we can't give up that principle and it seems to me that this Concurrence must be defeated, the Bill sent to Conference Committee and maybe in the long-run what we really ought to do with it is simple have a Conference Committee report and dispose of it by defeating it and get rid of the Bill entirely and I would urge all of the Members to vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I move the Previous Question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves the Previous Question.

All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'.....opposed  
 :.....in the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it  
 and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr.  
 Jaffe, to close the debate."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I think that we've really covered this quite adequately. I would just like to cover one thing, evidently the Representative from Lake County did not listen to my explanation. The added language applies to tenure teacher's license to teach in a particular subject area or grade level or it is not the intent of the Amendment to cause to inadequately certify teachers due to this layoff procedure....to obtain the position of properly certified teachers of lesser seniority. I would also say that the language in the Amendment relating to that topic is relatively simple because it is not meant to interfer in any shape, fashion or form, with collective bargaining agreements that exist at the present time. Suffice....is to ~~says~~ as I said before-hand, House Bill 3518 contains some very....very important changes that are needed throughout the State of Illinois, in the field of education. I want to stress that it was the product of more people than I think has gone into any other Bill that we had in the General Assembly, and I would solicit a concurrence vote on Senate Amendment 2."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that House Bill 3518 pass. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. I'm sorry.....The Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3518, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McGrew, to ex-



plain his vote ....for one minute."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Disregarding all of the good things in the formula changes, I would like to address myself specifically to those people that are expressing their amazement to the inclusion of dismissing teachers by seniority. Frankly what has happened is they are showing their lack of understanding of the school system and the school code. Seniority ... or the....I'm sorry....The tenure teacher only is allowed due process....that is all it means; It does not mean if you live, you get the job. All tenure is, is a due process. Now, the dismissal of teachers on seniority makes a great deal of sense because you would be dismissing the teacher that is not as familiar with the district. That is not as much a resident of that district. That is not been as much import into the school district as those with less seniority. I would like to stress that seniority dismissal would be only within whatever categories they are certified to teach. In other words, a PE teacher would not be .....changed to Home Ec if she is not certified to teach Home Ec. You and I both know that the IOE will not certify that school district if they have teachers that are not certified in that category. So, that the arguments.... against this particular Amendment are mote....and it is a minor part of this Bill anyway and I would like to cast an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote.....for one minute."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have talked to members of each union and the one union tells me...teacher's union, that we're taking away the bargaining power of the union, in contract negotiations, by supporting the Bill, with the teachers tenure provisions in it as it is. And, since I was the only one



from my district who voted to override the Governor's veto for full funding, last November, and since I feel very constrained . . . . I feel very upset that I can't vote for the Bill as it is and I'm going to vote 'present', no matter what anyone says about a 'present' vote, because I'm in sympathy with the Bill, with the financial situation, but I can't quite vote for it the way it is."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Stephenson, Mr. Brinkmeier, to explain his vote . . . . for one minute."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would urge concurrence on this particular matter. And, very briefly I would like to explain to you why. I think there is a misunderstanding of what this teacher tenure thing involves. I don't think there is a Member of this House that doesn't agree that the best teacher of all is experience and if we buy that concept then it necessarily follows it in the majority of the cases, those teachers of the greatest amount of seniority, are the best teachers. I support this particular part of the proposal because I think it's for the best interest for the children, because I've dealt with school boards for 25 years as a teacher and I know, as pressed as they are for money today, they are logically . . . without this they are going to discharge those teachers that are highest on the salary schedule and that is going to be, in most instances, the best teachers, those that have been serving the longest. And, that is why I feel that we shouldn't be so concerned about this and will help. Then, also, I think too, we should keep this in mind, those of us from downstate, here is one chance we get to get the operational . . . . the transportation monies considered as operational expense and thereby qualifying for more money, so, for this plus many other reasons, I would urge that we do concur to this Amendment . . . . House



Bill 3518."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, to explain his vote, for one minute."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I would just like to point out to every Legislator who doesn't come from Chicago, that if your school district and your home were to make a contractual agreement with teachers, that gave them more money than they could afford, on the promise that they could come down to the Legislature and get it ....later...Do you think that when you came in here asking for the money that Chicago would help you? I would suggest that what we have here is to ....remind everybody....and I hope those Chicago Legislators will recall, that Chicago school district entered into an agreement that it couldn't afford, betting that we would be suckers. And, then they come down here and they put up a Christmas tree for \$55,000,000 which left this House primarily depriving the suburbs and the collar counties of their fair and equitable share of the formula even though they are hurting as much as anybody in the State, but then it comes back to us from the Senate ..... even worse. Every penny of the money that is coming out of this Bill is going to hurt every school district....."

Speaker Madigan: "Bring your remarks to a close, Mr. Duff.."

Duff: ".....in the State except Chicago...."

Speaker Madigan: "Thank you. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski, to explain his vote.... for one minute."

Holewinski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I intended to ask a question to try and clear up an area that is of great concern to me, that is with regard to the topic of seniority, contained in the Amendment. If Representative Jaffe might include that in



his explanation of vote. I understand that teacher competencies in particular subject areas will be taken into consideration under this Amendment. But, one other thing that bothers me is that it's been my observation that in Chicago we have a large number of schools that have senior faculties and while we have other schools that have faculties that are relatively new teachers. And, what I'm concerned about is that under this Amendment what we will do is have the potential for removing the entire faculty of a school midyear if it became necessary to affect major layoffs. I think that would be a destructive thing. I think it would be better if we further defined it. I'm going to support this Bill.....I think Representative Jaffe has done an enormous amount of hard work on it but I hope that we have some clarifying language in the next Session so that these things will be explicitly layed out."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, to explain his vote, ....for one minute."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, when House Bill 3018 was considered in the House, I among others didn't consider it a panacea to solve all of the problems throughout the State. However unbalanced.....I supported the Bill thinking that it did address itself to many of the problems that existed. Now, with some of the Senate Amendments, particularly the one that's been discussed relative to dismissal, which I in fact, philosophically feel is strictly a collective bargaining issue, rather than a mandated statement from the State of Illinois and the General Assembly. I think the best way to solve the entire problem is to nonconcur in this Bill, send it to a Conference Committee, work out the real problems within it and then come back with a very saleable type of Bill which would then meet the support



of the entire House.....In the present....."

Speaker Madigan: "Would you bring your remarks to a close...?"

Tuerk: ".....form I cannot support it."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco, to explain his vote...for one minute."

Lucco: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I probably have put in as much time on the sidelines with these various proposals, to help the educational set up in our State. I've seen more print-outs in the last three weeks than I knew could ever come from any agency. I've gotten to the point that I don't believe any of them any more. I ....I am the original cosponsor of this Bill. I've seen it change many...many times. There's one or two things in the Bill that I don't particularly like as they are worded and I would like to send a message to those who put them on....and I would like to have some input in whatever changes can be made. But, I feel that there are so many good things in this Bill that we can't lose it. I suggest an 'aye' vote for this Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen, to explain his vote ...for one minute."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have to label this...it's been given a lot of labels like a 'Christmas Tree'....with all of the Amendments, but I call this 'Robin Hood' Legislation, because the bottom line is the same ....and all we're doing is robbing from those that have and giving it to those who have a little bit less. And, to me this lateral shift of money just doesn't make sense. It's a terrible way to operate anything, especially a school system. It's been indicated that the cost in the Bill is greater than the resources available, the Comptroller said that a long





time ago...relative to a bare boned budget that the Governor put in and this is above that and I just think that when you get to the point that you're taking from one school district and shifting money from them and giving it to somebody else, plus all of the other things that are in there such as tenure, that should be addressed separately. It's poor Legislation and it belongs in a Conference Committee so that these things can be worked out and therefore I vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman, to explain her vote....for one minute."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House....It's been suggested that this measure does something for everybody I disagree. In my district what we're talking about, is not what it does for you, but what it does to us. Eight of the nine districts in the Third Legislative District and this is true of most areas where we have been willing to tax ourselves for our schools, eight of the nine districts will suffer. Not only this year because, let's not kid ourselves, we know there is a limit as to the number of dollars to be spent this year and if anybody gets more....that means that some of us are going to get less. So, what we are doing is putting our hands in the pockets of other taxpayers, other school districts, and hurting children and teachers and taxpayers in certain parts of the State. And, I think it's clear to everybody what parts of the State those are. Those are the parts of the State that have taxed themselves... that have been willing to put forth effort for education. The one school district out of the nine....in my Legislative District that might benefit is the one that even though the people are just as well off as the people in the rest of the District, is the one where they happen to have some industry. They've had more money to spend, per kid, ....than any of our other districts."



Speaker Madigan: "Would you bring your remarks to a close, ...."

Chapman: ".....They've had more money to spend but they've had to tax themselves less to do it.....And, that is the one district ....in my Legislative District that is going to benefit. Now, I suggest to you that if we're going to redistribute State funds ...through a modification of the school formula.....that a year when there can't be greatly increased funds...is not the year to do it and I ask you to vote 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Whiteside, Mr. Schuneman.....to explain his vote...for one minute."

Schuneman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The schools in my District would like to get some of the goodies that are on this Christmas Tree. But, I'm voting 'no' on this issue because I think they are being asked to give up too much. The seniority provision that's been added to this Bill will erode the right of our local school boards to manage their own schools. Now, I've heard a lot about quality education.....since I've been in the Legislature. But, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I think we're striking a blow to quality education when we tell our local school board that they cannot dismiss teachers on the basis of the best teacher being retained. What we're telling them is that they must discharge the newest teacher and retain the oldest teacher, regardless of the qualifications or the experience or the effectiveness of their teacher. I urge a 'no' vote on this matter. Send it back to the Senate and let's get this Bill in the right shape."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain his vote....for one minute."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. ...this isn't the



best Bill possible, it is not all things to all men, but I'd like to point out that when you have a family you don't even treat your own kids equal. You do that to those....according to their needs and the need may be individual. I'd say here, that you've tried to come to a reasonable compromise, a reasonable agreement, and all things have not been met....And, yes, some sections of the State are getting a little more, perhaps more than they deserve. Others are perhaps getting a little less. But, I think in the final analysis we have to look at it in terms of statesmen and look at it in terms of the kids. So, for the benefit of the kids of the State, even though it's not all things to all men, I'm going to go along with this package in an effort of compromise and an effort in hope to prove the quality of education of the kids in Illinois."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote for one minute."

Skinner: "It's been said that the Sponsor and others have worked hard on this Bill. So did Doctor Frankenstein, but he still came up with a monster. If we vote yes, we will be creating a Frankenstein Monster that threatens to force us to raise taxes, to make us liars ....continuing liars to the taxpayers, parents and teachers. When we don't fully fund this formula; and thirdly, it threatens to strangle some of the best teachers in this State. Don't let this monster loose in the land of Illinois. Kill it before it's too late."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Stubblefield to explain his vote...for one minute."

Stubblefield: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as House Sponsor of the Bill that would have provided for the layoff of tenured teachers....by



seniority, I'm most happy to know that the Senate has added this Amendment to House Bill 3518. I believe that that is an improvement in the Bill. I suppose as a former negotiator for the Machinists Union, I've heard almost every argument that could be advanced for why the company or the management should have the right to select among employees, without recognition of seniority. That is a principle. If we establish seniority as a basis of layoffs, then we have some principle for guidance. Without that I would submit to you that we have no principle at all and the apple polisher will invariably be selected. For whatever reason and perhaps for the proverbial reason that they can't type. Now, for those who have suggested that we ought to allow collective bargaining to prevail, I would remind those distinguished Gentlemen and Ladies that there is no collective bargaining provision as law for the teachers of our State and I hope they'll remember that next year when perhaps we'll be considering a collective bargaining Bill for teachers and not change their minds. And, I would urge that we vote for Concurrence on this Amendment and pass this Bill out for the Governor's signature."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Gaines to explain his vote for one minute."

Gaines: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am what you might say, 'caught between the rock and the hard place on this Bill', I see some benefits on it, having been a Member of the Education Committee that voted it out and I feel that in talking with Legislators from all over the State, that I have responsibility to the rest of the State to vote for it, even though the school....parents in my district.....at one time were very much adamant...against the relieving .... Chicago Board of the right to close the schools. But, since



the schools have already been closed, then that's a moot question. I'm going to feel....use my own judgment and vote 'yes' on this reluctantly."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey, to explain his vote....for one minute."

Mulcahey: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just want to thank everybody for giving us the additional funds we need downstate. We're certainly grateful for everything. But, I would just like to point out one other thing for the record. If the Jaffe Bill were to fail, and the Schneider Bill were to fail, and if Chicago were to pay the \$55,000,000 penalty, we would be able to full fund with \$4,000,000 to spare. However, this is a compromise, it's better than nothing, the lesser of two evils. I'll vote 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer, to explain his vote....for one minute."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A Representative from the other side of the aisle, who's a freshman this year, who I respect very highly in this subject, and who serves on the ...on the Committee of Elementary and Secondary Education, made the comment that there were so many good things in this Bill that he was forced to vote for it..well knowing there were many bad. Well, let me tell you, Ladies and Gentlemen, I've never seen so many blackmailed for so little in my life. In the RTA Bill, two years ago, the downstaters were told 'vote for this Bill and you're going to get roads'. Well, you voted for RTA and you still didn't get your roads. Now, you're told you are going to get more money through the formula for your transportation. Well, to the freshmen that are here, I'll see you next year if I'm lucky enough to be back here, and I



challenge to see any of you with any more money in your pocket. There is going to be one school district in their pocket and it's going to be the Chicago School District. It makes absolutely no sense to support this Bill. Not only have we been blackmailed in the suburbs, with the concept of how they were going to give us something that would not cost the State a dime, namely the rollback, but they've also had the indignity to come to us and say 'we're going to put down your school system so that it falls to the same level as Chicago'.....which is nothing but a unionized camp of school teachers. Now, if we have any dignity left in this House, we'll vote this Bill for nonconcurrency and hope that the Senate and the House Conference Committee will somehow do something with this Bill to truly help education."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to explain his vote....for one minute."

Deuster: "Well, what I've discovered is that somebody has suggested ....downstate is getting something out of this. My schools, even though....the ones that are going to get some money ....have said to the Representatives of Lake County, 'we don't want it'. The tenure provision is so bad there's been some suggestion...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Deuster.....did you speak in debate?"

Deuster: "No, Sir....I didn't..... I raised a Parliamentary Inquiry which you ruled on. I'm going to say this, that you're going to find also that the union school teachers don't want this provision.....at least half of them don't. The junior tenure teachers don't want this. The junior tenure teachers think they are professionals and would like to be judged and retained or dismissed on their ability to teach our little boys and girls.....not on what year they entered the school system. We all know that there was a time when all you had to do was to breathe in



and out and you get hired and that's one of the problems with the Chicago school system. They are filled with 'duds'.....a lot of schools are filled with 'duds' and our administrators would like to be accountable to the mothers and fathers for the money we're heaping on the schools by providing....."

Speaker Madigan: "Would you bring your remarks to a close, Mr. Deuster?"

Deuster: "I'm bringing my remarks to a close .....In some of the Chicago schools and my schools we've had this situation....we have had a librarian transferred into a classroom under existing law....this would be a catastrophe and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 114 'ayes', 53 'nos'.....Mr. Byers wishes to be recorded as 'aye'..... On this question there are 115 'ayes', 53 'nos', 2 voting 'present' and House Bill 3518.....the Motion to concur on Senate Amendment #2 passes. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I move to reconsider the vote by which House Bill 3518 passed."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "I move that Motion lie on the Table."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that that Motion lie upon the Table. 'All those in favor signify by .....For what purpose does the Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane, arise?"

Kane: "To amend my Motion to .....reconsider the vote by which we concurred in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3518."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "I move that Motion lie on the Table.....Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Jaffe moves that that Motion lie upon the



Table. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed.....The 'ayes' have it.....Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "The Members appointed to Conference Committee on House Bill 2736 are as follows: Katz, Laurino, Dan Houlihan, Kempiners, Collins. Meeting of the Members of this Conference will be held Tuesday, June 29th at the hour of 5:30 p.m., in the East Corridor. Members appointed to the Second Conference Committee on House Bill 3417 are as follows: Williams, Bradley, Matijevich, Coffey and Totten. A meeting of Members of this Second Conference Committee will be held at 6-29-76, at the hour of 6 p.m., in the East Corridor. The Members appointed to the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1950 are as follows: Maragos, Jerry Bradley, Gene Barnes, Neff, E. G. Steele, a meeting...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Clerk, would you hold one second?.... The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, before the have the Committee appointed, I have to move that we not....that we do not recede and ask for appointment of that Committee so will you.....I so move now...if you...."

Speaker Madigan: "Proceed, Mr. Clerk.....that's all right, Mr. Maragos..."

Clerk Selcke: "A meeting of the Members of this Conference Committee will be held 6-29-76, at the hour of 6:30 p.m., East Corridor. Messages from the Senate. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption of the Amendments of the House of Representatives Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1524, which Amendments are 3,5 and 6. Action taken by the Senate June 29th. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report, Senate Bill 1614....."





...adopted by the Senate June 29, 1976. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to the Bill of the following title, House Bill 3318. Further directed to inform the House that the Senate has requested first Committee Conference Action taken by the Senate June 29th. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the House Amendment #2 to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 1712.... concurred in by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendments 1, 2 and 3 to a Bill of the following title, House Bill 3318. Direct..request...House of Representatives, the Senate requested Committee Conference action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. Mr. Speaker, ..... No further messages...."

Speaker Madigan: "On the order of Non-Concurrence on Supplemental Calendar #1, there appears Senate Bill 1603, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would move that the House do not recede from House Amendment 2 and 3 and that a Conference Committee be appointed in Senate Bill 1603. House Amendment #2 appropriates \$31,000 to the Employee and Labor Relations Division and Amendment #3 restores \$13,899 to the Affirmative Action Program and I would move that a Conference Committee be reported."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do not recede from House Amendments 2 and 3 and that a Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye'....opposed.....In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And a Conference Committee shall be appointed. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman



from Cook, Mr. Maragos.....Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that the House do not recede from House Amendments 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1950 and respectfully ask for a Conference Committee.....to be appointed."

Speaker Madigan: "Where is that....on what order of business is that, Mr. Maragos...."

Maragos: "It's on Non-Concurrence on the Second Supplemental Calendar of the day."

Speaker Madigan: "I don't even have that. Why don't you hold until we....get to it.... We'll get to you later, Mr. Maragos...."

Maragos: "Thank you..."

Speaker Madigan: "On the .....normal Calendar....the regular Calendar....on the Order of Conference Committee Reports, there appears House Bill 3374, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson.....Mr. Rayson, on House Bill 3374."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, I would move to adopt the First Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 3374. The Senate put on some Amendments. One of which was a 50 percent increase with regard to certain expenditures for personal travel. Another a reduction of \$6800 in operations. By receding from them .....we got those out, but the Conference Committee did pick up the other Amendments which were, in ....effect; one, specific line-item for the purchase of a gas, topograph mass-spectrometer computer, 'whatever the hell that is!', plus an appropriation of 5,400,000 for the Race Track Improvement Fund, pursuant to Section 32 of the Horse Racing Act. The 5,400,000 fund is a fund that the operators, and not State money, and that there will be a hearing in July with regard to adopting rules and regulations to allocate these funds. And, that with this in mind, with these last two being the Amendments, in the Senate, we all concurred that



this is the way to go on this Bill so I now move to adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3374."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Schlickman: "What kind of race track facility improvements are we talking about?"

Rayson: "Well, I think my colleague, Representative Lechowicz, will answer that and I will so yield to him."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The improvements that that are provided by statutes are in two areas on the backstretch and also the facilities that house the jockeys and also the facilities for the horses. May I also point out to you that this is not General Revenue money, it's money that is contributed by the tracks for that purpose."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair now recognizes the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House I particularly welcome the chance to serve on this Conference Committee because it gave me a chance to escape from the anonymity into which all of us chafed during the last few days. You remember in 1968, in the Kentucky Derby, they had a horse named Dancer's Image that won by a length and a half. And, then it was discovered a few days later....a day or so...that Forward Pass was truly the winner because there was some technicality of over-medication in the horse that was first proclaimed the winner. And, that technicality was, it flunked its test when they gave it the urine test. The machine that is used for that purpose is called a gas chromatograph



mass spectrometer calculator ...It's a long bunch of words....Cost \$140,000 and it was the decision of the Senate and we concurred in it in the Conference Committee that we should have one of those gadgets here in Illinois. Unfortunately, the Department of Public Health already had one but they won't let the Racing Board use it because they said it wears it out. But, we want to keep up.....we want to keep up with everyone else in being sure that the urine and the blood samples of our winners is impeccable. Now, there is one other aspect that I want to talk to you about, just for a couple of seconds. I found in studying the Statute of the Bill that we passed last year in regard to the Racing Board, that there are some of most fascinating terms, you should read it sometime, it's Chapter VIII, Section 37, and the Section I am referring to is Section 32. And, it provides, among other things, words like 'handle' and 'breakage' and 'breakage' is what we're talking about here today. 'Breakage' is the penny left over when they determine how much money is paid out. And, these pennies amount up rather marvelously. They've mounted up so that in the course of a year there is 5.4 million dollars to be divided among the race tracks in the State of Illinois. We overlooked all that though, but the Senate didn't and they put in an Amendment that that money should be taken and made available to the racing board for the improvement of the tracks throughout the State. That just came from the breakage and yesterday the question came up in...regard to where the money came from downstate and I want to report to Representative Giorgi and all of the rest that beat us over the head about the premium fund, which gets 50 percent of the take. But, the downstate tracks have contributed more than 12 percent to the breakage fund that's available for distribution. Suffice to say, the Conference Committee



worked hard on its Bill, and you all should vote 'aye' on this particular report."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson, to close the debate."

Rayson: "Well, I think it was well spoken here by all of these experts and articulate spokesmen and these learners of new words and I can only say that the 5.4.....which is an astronomical sum....is added by the Senate, wisely added, to meet ....let's say, the technical requirements of the State Constitution. I so move, Mr. Speaker, for the adoption of this report."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3374? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', all those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there are 134 'aye', 5 'no', 10 voting 'present', and the House does adopt the first Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3374. On the order of Conference Committee Reports the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams, on House Bill 3417. Mr. Williams on House Bill 3417...."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, there is no need to call that now, the Senate has asked for a Second Conference Committee, and so we are going to have one tomorrow morning at about 10 o'clock."

Speaker Madigan: "Therefore you move to nonconcur....then.."

Williams: "Nonconcur...."

Speaker Madigan: "Excuse me...you move to 'do not adopt', and request a Second Conference Committee."

Williams: "Right."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Williams, moves that the House donot adopt the First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3417 and that a Second Conference Committee be appointed. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed ...."



....the 'ayes' have it. On the order of Conference Committee Reports appears Senate Bill 1625. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski. Mr. Kosinski, on Senate Bill 1625. It's on the Calendar, Mr. Kosinski....Mr. Clerk, could we have the Conference Committee Report on this Bill?....1625....The Clerk has it and informs me it has been distributed. Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "This was the normal budget for the Department of Corrections....and the Committee receded from House Amendment 3 and 5. House Amendment 3 was the collective bargaining Amendment. So I move for its adoption."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves that the House do adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1625. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Ryan: "Representative Kosinski, you're saying that the House will recede from the collective bargaining agreement?"

Kosinski: "That was the Committee Report, yes, Sir."

Ryan: "And, you want to move to concur in this?"

Kosinski: "I had moved, yes, Sir."

Ryan: "I thought you told me there were going to be several hundred prison guards that were going to leave the prisons, if we went through with this."

Kosinski: "That was my concern in Committee, yes, Sir."

Ryan: "That's why I didn't sign this report and I would have to say that maybe we shouldn't concur in this report. And, I would suggest that...."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Well, I think that the point that was just made by Representative Ryan was well taken here. I don't know what kind of games are being played here, with this



appropriation, and I'm not so sure that I've got all my facts straight and the people that have given them to me. But, as you all know we're concerned in the Joliet area. We've got Stateville Penitentiary and the fact that has been given to me is that we may lose between Stateville and the Branch of Stateville, some 73 jobs...if we can't watch what we're doing here. Now, obviously that is a personal interest for the people in my district but certainly you people from Chicago who send a great number of individuals, I may say, down to Stateville for our safe keeping, had better well be concerned about the number of guards there to properly take care of them. And, the safety of our community is also involved down there. Now, again I am looking at some statistics that have been furnished me and I'm presuming they are correct. That in the State of New York, for example, we have one correctional officer for every 3.12 inmates. In Illinois we got one correctional officer for 7.38 inmates. So, we're certainly not out of line at all. I too, and you can take a look at my voting record since I've been here...certainly for fiscal austerity, but there comes a place where the ~~safety~~ of our community and the safety of the people that work in these institutions had damn well be considered. Now, it's also my understanding that we're going to have an increase in our penal institutions of some 800 inmates, next year. So, how can we be cutting back on guards and increasing the population at these insitutions. I also wish to remind you that it costs the State of Illinois \$200,000 here this last because of a riot that we had up in Stateville Penitentiary. I don't know if these additional guards are going to prevent any riots, but I'll tell you one thing, taking them away could very well add to that situation. And, also I resent having the fellows across the rotunda from us take an Amendment that we put



on by a vote of 107 to 7.....we said that this appropriation should stay in there and they've decided to cut it out. I don't think we should 'cow-tow' to their wishes. I think the responsible vote here is not to concur."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in favor of the Motion to adopt the Conference Committee Report #1. The Conference Committee Report as it's before you has a little bottom line of \$98,672,000 which is \$4,000,000 less than when it was introduced. The blackmail that is being attempted to us on the reduction of the guards, is just not true. Both the Department and the Governor are at odds over this thing and there is no evidence to substantiate the fact really that there will be 200 less guards if this money isn't in there and I would recommend an 'aye' vote on the Conference Committee Report's Motion to adopt."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Motion. When we talk about the possibility of losing guards somebody had better take another look and find out that there is almost three and a half million dollars between additional federal funds and additional 'ILEC' funds that are going to the Department of Corrections. They won't have to lay off one employee.....if this Bill passes in the form that it is in. And, if they tell you they have to ...what they're doing is threatening you trying to get votes to restore that money. They don't need it. There's additional federal funds in 'ILEC' money there that will make their budget big enough to keep every employee they've got on and I rise in support of adopting this Conference Com-





mittee Report."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield....for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Grotberg: "Representative, will you review quickly the Amendments one at a time? Amendment #3, what was the thrust of Amendment #3 and what does it delete?"

Kosinski: "This Amendment, as introduced by the House, restores the following funds covering collective bargaining costs in FY 77 which was deleted in Senate Amendment #3. Personal Services, \$2,870,100; Retirement, \$139,700; Social Security \$100,000; for a total increase of \$2,326,900 to cover collective bargaining."

Grotberg: "And, Amendment #5."

Kosinski: "Amendment #5 was an individual Amendment put in by a Member on this side of the aisle which appropriated \$130,000 from the 'GR' Rest Fund to the Working Capital Fund for the operation of prison industries."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Representative. Now, if I may address the Motion. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have to join in the Nonconcurrency side of this situation. I don't think it comes as any surprise to you that ....in the District 38 as in the District of the Gentleman from Joliet, who just spoke, but we have five prisons...six prisons rather and it is the biggest effort of the State of Illinois in our district and there are some 1,500 employees in all and in moving against this Motion as I am doing, I'm saying to you, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House that to error on the margin of safety might be well called for with the expected growth in the prison situation that we are expecting in the State of Illinois in the high incidence of crime and the increasing incarceration of men and women in this State.



I do not think that we can back off of the ....an Amendment like this and take it lightly because we will be frustrating the whole attempt.....and the law and order of this State of Illinois if we are looking forward to that kind of sentencing....fixed time sentencing....all of the things that we're talking about, we could very well begin to deteriorate if we back off from these Amendments. So, I would recommend voting 'no' on this Motion, send it to Conference Committee and try to get it restored."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I too, as a Member of the Appropriations Committee recommend a 'no' vote on this and nonconcurring and sending it back to Conference Committee. There's a lot at stake here. There's a lot of prisoners in the State. There is more prisoners now. There's more people that are in our prisons, it's going up every day and the first thing you know we're going to be in some trouble as Representative Sangmeister spoke about. And, I think the proper vote on this Amendment is to vote 'no' so it can go back to Conference Committee. There's two Houses here and the other one over on the other side tries to dictate to the House....and I think it's time we stand up and say 'no', we want to have a voice too. So, vote 'no' on this Concurrence."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Fleck: "Representative Kosinski, you made reference to the fact that Amendment #3, if the House recedes from that, that that will knock out collective bargaining?"

Kosinski: "It removes the funds for.....contracts under collective bargaining."



Fleck: "Now.....in specifics.....I got the Amendment... before me....I have the Bill before me....and I don't see where there is any line item that deals with funds being appropriated for collective bargaining...And, I don't know why there should be."

Kosinski: "It was the balancing amount for collective bargaining."

Fleck: "What line is that on?...Where does it show in the line item?"

Kosinski: "It covers various institutions on various lines."

Fleck: "Well, on.....just give me....name one?"

Kosinski: "I don't have the original Bill here.....I'm looking at the Conference Committee Action Report."

Fleck: "If indeed this does cut the funds ....."

Kosinski: "In any event, Mr. Fleck, it's my understanding..."

Fleck: "I don't know....my point is this, Mr. Kosinski, I don't know if what you're saying is what actually it does"

Kosinski: "It was my understanding from the Department, Mr. Fleck, that this amount of money in approximation was the amount of money that was used for collective bargaining purposes and this was a restoration of those funds."

Fleck: "Now I'm more confused than ever."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I want to point out to you that have correction institutions in your district and that covers Joliet, Stateville, Pontiac, Dwight, Menard, St. Charles, Vienna, and all of the other institutions ..... you can very well be faced with a severe critical shortage of guards within the penitentiary system. But, that's only part of the problem, I dare say that if the State of Illinois negotiated in good faith with the employees who are involved in security and protecting our citizens from penitentiary inmates, that we ought to live up to the bargaining ....process that has



been going on through collective bargaining. It would seem to me the statement was made....there's federal money, if that is true...then it should have been told to us.....much....many days ago. If it is not true, then we have to have this two million...point three million dollars for the security of the State of Illinois. I don't want to be responsible for having the security people in our penitentiaries walking down the street saying that we didn't get our money that we were .... obtained in good faith through bargaining. And, those of you who supported the teamsters position in collective bargaining with the State of Illinois, were now faced with the same situation. Those of you and almost ..... unanimously we passed a collective bargaining agreement reached by the Governor that the teamsters organization for State maintenance workers. We passed that and the Senate passed that. Now, we find that the Senate said... no, these are different people. They aren't covered by that bargaining agreement, they are covered by a different one, but let me say they are State employees... the same as transportation people, they bargain collectively in good faith. They are entitled to the same consideration from this General Assembly. And, I say if we want to live up to our word, then we ought to give them the 2.3 million dollars that was negotiated in good faith."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Washington: "Mr. Kosinski, was a separate vote taken on the Amendment #3 in the Conference Committee?"

Kosinski: "Yes it was, Sir."

Washington: "What was the result of that vote?"

Kosinski: "It was a shifting vote. I think it was 7 to 3 and 6 60 4....on a vote on a piece of scratch paper."



Washington: "Well, I noticed two Members of the Conference Committee didn't sign.... Mr. Ryan said why he didn't sign....Why did not the other Member sign?"

Kosinski: "Senator Wooten, I think, was the Senate Sponsor."

Washington: "Well, there are only eight signatures. Mr. Ryan said he didn't sign for a reason. Who was the tenth Member?"

Kosinski: "Senator Wooten...."

Washington: "He didn't sign?...."

Kosinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House I'm inclined to agree with Representative Schraeder and particularly Representative Sangmeister. It seems to me that a very strong case was made when this Bill was before us on Third Reading, to the effect that there would be a tremendous shortage, the estimate was 200 guards, in our penal systems in this State, if we did not sustain that line item. Now, we hear contrary testimony from the Majority Leader to the effect that there are federal funds. And, if everyone here is like I am, well I think we are all confused. It seems to me that the best thing that we can do, I'm inclined not to support the Conference Committee but the best thing we should do is take it out of the record and perhaps nail down these facts and figures.....because if this vote means we are going to lose a substantial number of guards in our penal system in this State, I for one am not about to vote for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put. All those in favor say 'aye', ...'aye'. Opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it....Who's the ....Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I go by the democratic will of the Committee and so move."



Speaker Redmond: "Your motion is.....'to adopt', is that correct, Representative Kosinski? The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1625. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "In explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, I urge a negative vote....a 'no' vote on this. What in effect we're doing if we adopt this Conference Committee Report is negating the collective bargaining that has taken place in good faith, by the employees and representatives of government. And, if this happens, this is going to be a slap in the face towards the process of true collective bargaining in this State. If this is how the Legislature is going to adapt under an executive order, what would they do under a full collective bargaining law and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to make two points in explaining my 'no' vote. First is that this house voted on the precise issue of restoring the collective bargaining pay increase negotiated in this department on an Amendment on Second Reading. The vote on that Amendment was 107 to restore the money and 7 against, 107 to 7. The second point I'd like to make is that I serve on Appropriations II which considered this Bill. Director Sielaff came before that Committee. I consider him one of the more competent, better Directors that serve in this administration. He is, by no means an alarmist. I asked the Director, what would be the effect of cutting out the money for the pay increases that his guards have already been getting for six months. And, he said there could...there were two possible results. One is, that he would have to cut back



the number of guards and pay the remaining guards the increased salary. He said with the institution population increasing, in every institution in this State, that alternative would present a public safety hazard. A public safety hazard. He said the other alternative was that he could keep the same number of guards and take away the pay increase they've been getting for the past six months and it was his guess, if he did that, he would be faced with a strike and have to call the National Guard to man the prisons. Now, if you want to be responsible for that, then go ahead and vote 'aye' for this Conference Committee Report. I suggest a 'no' vote.

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 56 'aye' and 96 'no'.....the Gentleman's Motion failed. Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise for the purpose of an introduction. The 'Save the Bridge Committee' being six, ten year olds from Lawrence County are in the center Speaker's Gallery. These young folks came to Springfield to discuss roads and bridges with the Department of Transportation and are represented by...you know who."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages."

Clerk Selcke: "The Members appointed to the Conference Committee on House Bill 3318 are as follows: Hanahan, Hill, Giorgi, Tuerk, Deavers. A meeting of the Members of this Conference Committee will be held 6-29, at the hour of 6:45 p.m. in the East House Corridor. Messages from the Senate...from Mr. Wright, Secretary, Mr. Speaker I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to adopt the First Conference Committee Report, Senate Amendments 1,2,3,4,5,6,7 and 8 to House Bill 3417, the Senate requested a Second Conference Committee. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House



the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1625, action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1935, adopted by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee Report to House Bill 3374, adopted by the Senate, June 29th, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee on House Bill 3818, adopted by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones, J.D.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just to set the record straight, of a discussion earlier this afternoon, in regard to the condition of the Lincoln Home. For the information of all of those present, the Lincoln Home is now a part of the National Park Service, Federal Agency which is developing the whole four block area according to the master plan and the receiving center the reception center is now under construction and almost finished, on Seventh Street and I assure you that in all of the work that is being done to restore the area, that the proper painting job will be done on the Lincoln Home. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos, do you seek recognition?"

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, the reason I'm seeking recognition is I'd like to have the order of business to be .....if the Speaker advises....the Speaker's Table nonconcurrence on Senate Bill 1950 because the Conference Committee has already been set for 6:30. So, I'd like to have that disposed of before we meet."





Speaker Redmond: "I can't find it on my...."

Maragos: "Supplemental II, first page of Supplemental II."

Speaker Redmond: "The only one.....let me see what you've got there.....On the Order of the Speaker's Table..... on Nonconcurrency, appears Senate Bill 1950. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I move that we do not recede from House Amendments 3 and 4 and ask that the Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you renew your motion?"

Maragos: "I move that the House do not recede from Senate Bill 1950, Amendments 3 and 4...."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do not recede from Amendments 3 and 4 to Senate Bill 1950. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman's Motion carried. The House refuses to recede from Amendments 3 and 4. Conference Committee Reports....appears House Bill 3818. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House do adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3818. Basically what the Conference Committee Report.....makes the following changes in House Bill 3818.....that the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #1 which would have provided that no more than 50 percent of the funds appropriated for Personal Services and Travel Expenditures of the Vehicle Recycling Board, the State Civil Service Commission and the State Employee's Retirement System may be spent prior to January 1, 1977. That the Senate recede from Senate Amendment #2 which would have eliminated all funding for the Vehicle Recycling Board for fiscal 77. And, Section 3, which provides funding for the ordinary and contingent expense of the State Employee's Retirement System .... is deleted. Also, deleted are Sections 4,



which makes an appropriation to the Board of Trustees, of the State Employee's Retirement System, and Section 5 ....which contains the effective date. These deletions resulted from the passage of House Bill 3371, which provides funding in the exact amounts as that contained in the aforementioned Sections. And, House Bill 3371 is on the Governor's desk. The provisions of Senate Amendment #2, namely the elimination of all funding for the Vehicle Recycling Board, are reinstated by the Conference Committee Report. The provisions of Senate Amendment #1, the 50 percent expenditure Amendment are reinstated by the Conference Committee Report ....an effective date of July 1, 1976, is also added. The net effect of the Conference Committee Report is to adopt the Senate Amendments and to eliminate the duplicate funding for the State Employee's Retirement System. Adoption of the Conference Committee Report would reduce the amount of funding contained in House Bill 3818, by \$1,610,613....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, under the provisions of Rule 68 (b), a Conference Committee Report must be on the Member's desk for three hours. This Conference Committee Reported at 4 p.m., it is now 6:10 p.m., we have 50 minutes to go.... before we can continue..."

Lechowicz: "May I point out to the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader, this has passed the Senate already."

Walsh: "That has nothing to do with it..."

Lechowicz: " I'm just trying to move things along 'Bill', that's all.....So, making a total appropriation of ....."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute....Wait a minute. The point is well taken, if your figures are accurate. Mr. 'Page', what time was the Conference Committee Report distributed on 3818?"



Lechowicz: "Do you have a time stamp there?"

Walsh: "Well, we have a time stamp here that indicates 4 o'clock,  
Mr. Speaker."

Lechowicz: "I don't believe .....I want to know from the Clerk  
if there is a time stamp."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "That's when the Second .....Supplemental Calendar was  
distributed. Doesn't mean....when the time....when the  
Amendment was distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "That's the reason for the time stamp on the  
Calendar, ..... the Rule is, three hours after being on  
the Calendar. I'm going to call Commissions anyway....I'm  
just telling you what the Rule is."

Speaker Redmond: "You're correct, but I can't tell from my  
Calendar when it was stamped.....Well, who can tell?  
Representative Collins can tell? Mine goes 7, 8, 9, 10,  
11, 12, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.....and I can't tell...Repres-  
entative Collins.."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "I have no arrow..."

Collins: ".....if you'll look at the reproduction of the time  
stamp, there is an arrow pointing to the 4. Maybe it's  
not on yours but ....it is on mine...it's a....."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, yours is the official one, what  
time does yours show?.....It shows 4 o'clock, therefore  
we'll have to wait 50 minutes. Take this one out of the  
record.....How about 1617?....Any problem with that one?  
Representative Kane.....Representative Kane on the Floor?  
.....Representative Lechowicz?...."

Lechowicz: "To my distinguished Assistant Minority Leader,  
9 out of 10 find the report and I couldn't find the other one  
but....I want to thank you...."

Speaker Redmond: "Speaker's Table, Nonconcurrency, the first  
Senate Bill 1956, Representative Stubblefield..."



Stubblefield: "Thank you...Mr. Speaker, I would now move that the House refuse to recede from Amendments 1 and 3 and a Conference Committee be .... appointed.."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions....The Gentleman has moved the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 3 on Senate Bill 1956. Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I thought we had decided that the Sponsor would always indicate what the Amendments were, regardless of whether we concur or whether..."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you please....would you please indicate this?...."

Stubblefield: "On the substantive Bill, 1524, Representative Anderson had amended that to include counties that were assessing in excess of 33 1/3, instead of 40 percent on the original Bill. And, both of these Amendments added additional money to take care of that appropriation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Walsh: "Is this the House Bill that ...that did the same thing that Senator Hickey's Bill, that was amended?...."

Stubblefield: "This is Senator Hickey's Bill...."

Walsh: "And it....There were several House Amendments....to this Bill, including the 1/176th penalty instead of one percent...."

Stubblefield: "I believe you're talking about the substantive Bill...15--,...this is the appropriation Bill..."

Walsh: "This is the appropriation for that. All right. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ryan: "Representative Stubblefield, as I understand, you want to nonconcur in Amendments ....."



Speaker Redmond: "Refuse to recede.....They are House Amendments...1 and 3."

Ryan: "One and three.....Is that....Okay..."

Speaker Redmond: "Refuse to recede.."

Ryan: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House refuse to recede from House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1956. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries and the House refuses to recede from House Amendments 1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1956. 1999...."

Clerk Selcke: "A message from the Senate ....by...Mr..Wright."

Speaker Redmond: "I called 1999....I think Representative Terzich is...itchy... Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, this 1999.....has to do with the Teachers' Pension Fund. When the Bill was sent over to the Senate, there should have been a cutoff date and therefore ...I do make a motion that we refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 and that a Conference Committee be formed..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The Gentleman's moved that the House refuse to recede from House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1999, those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries and the House refuses to recede from House Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1999. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the intention is to go right on through until we finish up this evening so if anybody wants to order food they can go ahead."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane.....Yeah....Messages from the Senate.."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to adopt the Conference Committee Report on House Amendments 2 and 4 to Senate Bill 1650,



requested Second Conference. Action taken by the Senate June 29th, 1976. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform.. the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ryan: "A question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. I'm not sure that I understood Representative Shea's statement....'til we finish up.....what?...I don't understand what he means?"

Shea: "Until we finish today's business and adjourn ....to come back tomorrow."

Ryan: "Oh, we're going to be here tomorrow?....Thank you."

Clerk Selcke: "I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has acceded in the request of the House for a First Conference Committee to consider differences of the House in regard to House Amendment to Senate Bill 1932, action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Redmond: "On Calendar, supplemental #3, ....the Speaker's Table, nonconcurrence....appears Senate Bill 1524. Representative Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and I would now move that the House refuse to recede from Amendment 3, 5 and 6. House Amendments 3, 5 and 6....and that a Conference Committee be appointed."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House non-concur in Senate....in House Amend.....er...refuse to recede in House Amendment 3, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1524. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Motion carries and the House refuses to recede from House Amendments 3, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1524. Conference Committee Reports.....on Calendar #3. Senate Bill 1594. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe that the Conference Committee report has been distributed ....."



Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Out of the record....."

Terzich: "....1934...."

Speaker Redmond: "Where's that....What Calendar is that one on?"

Terzich: "That should be on...."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh! I see it. It's number two, page two, 1934.....Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "....1934...."

Terzich: "....Senate Bill 1934 is the judicial omnibus appropriation Bill. I move that we concur with Conference Committee #1....The summary of the Conference Committee recommendations is that the House recede from...House Amendments 2, 3, and 10. House Amendment 2 is replacing \$3000 in travel expense to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Amendment #3 was for \$3500 for the incidental expenses in the Court of Claims. Amendment #10 is the restoration of the appropriation for the Prosecutor's Advisory Council....And also that the Senate concurs in the remaining of the House Amendments....which is 1, 4, 6, 7 and 9. I move for adoption and concurrence with Conference Committee #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Stearney: "Representative Terzich, does the ...the action of the Conference Committee ...asking the House to recede from Amendment #10, put back into this omnibus Bill, \$359,000 for the Prosecutor's Advisory Council?"

Terzich: "That's correct. And, also Amendment #7 reduces the travel by \$18,000."

Stearney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I address myself to the question?"

Speaker Shea: "Proceed."

Stearney: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wish to remind



you that just one week ago ...approximately, this House by a vote of 106 to 33 decided to eliminate from this Bill the entire appropriation for the Prosecutor's Advisory Council....And, I wish to remind one of the gentlemen who signed this report that just moments ago, on House Bill 1625, he urged the House to reject the Conference Committee Report because the House at that time voted by over a hundred votes, to put back into a Bill an appropriation, so it doesn't take long, it doesn't even take more than one day before we can see Members of this House speaking out of both sides of their mouth. But, the question now, really, that is of great import, is why the House rejected ...rejected this entire appropriation of \$359,000. Well, the reason was is that this Prosecutor's Advisory Council, the Executive Director, who was to get \$38,500 per year, that they were simply abusing the taxpayers funds. They were flying around the country, to San Francisco, Las Vegas, New Orleans, Los Angeles,...Orlando, Florida, and to Houston, Texas.... and I wish to remind the Members, furthermore, that these prosecutors ....this agency is meant to advise prosecutors around the State what the law is, how to abide by it, how to prosecute. And, these very same individuals, namely, the executive director, on down, abused and violated the travel regulations of the State of Illinois, which said forth very clearly, what an individual is allowed to spend on his per diem lodging. And, the vouchers are here that the executive director violated those travel regulations....that he collected far more, over twice the amount that he was entitled to...in violation of these regulations. I want to say to you that prosecutor's came up to me asked me to recede from my ...from my opposition to this Bill...And, what they told me is that they promised to repay the State the amount that they unlawfully took. And, second of all, they had the audicity to say





to me that they promised never to violate the law again. My God!...This is shocking because those very same individuals would not give you or I the opportunity to promise not to violate the law once again. This is absurd, this agency performs no function, no intelligent rational function. The State is being asked merely to take over an 'ILEC' created project which is funded by federal funds. There's no reasonable basis for the State being asked to fund an agency that merely dissipates and wastes the taxpayers funds. I add that we do the same thing that we did over a week ago and that is, by a vote of over 106, we decided to eliminate this entire appropriation from the budget and I ask that we do this same thing again. Send it back to Conference Committee, and say to the Senate that they do not run the House. That, if the House says by 106 votes, this appropriation goes, that the House stick by it...its determination and not back off from what the Senate asked us to do. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, well, that individual that Mr. Stearney was referring to of course, was myself. I don't think that there is any agency down here that in one respect or another hasn't had some problems with their travel vouchers and I would say that there is probably even some Representatives who have gilded the Lily at times. So, you know, to pick out one isolated area..from this entire appropriation and run down a fine agency which is still in its infancy and does a lot for the prosecutors for the State of Illinois, I think is really 'nit-picking'. And, the Director, who I have spoken to personally assures me that there has been some problems in this area but that he is certainly going to rectify them and keep a closer rein on it and for those few isolated incidents, I don't think that we should shoot



down this agency and .....with all of the other items that are in this Conference Committee Report, I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser, for what purpose do you holler?"

Terzich: "I want to get your attention, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "I see your light flashing, Sir."

Terzich: "I'd like for you to pay attention to our side of the aisle once in a while."

Speaker Shea: "I do, Sir.....Mr. Sangmeister is on your side. . . of the center aisle.....Do you want to speak to the question?"

Terzich: "Yes, I do, Sir."

Speaker Shea: "Proceed."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there're two things that I'd like to discuss about this particular Motion. In the first instance you recall earlier in this Session there was a great deal of discussion about omnibus Bills and grouping of Bills together so that we could save time in the process. Those of us who have expressed concern about this procedural kind of move, said that at the end of the Session, when Conference Committee Reports are brought to our attention, we're going to be forced to vote for or against a Bill...

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Telcser.....Mr. Telcser....For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz ...arise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of Order, Mr. Speaker. That has been discussed thoroughly here and in Committee a number of times. I would hope the man would address himself to Senate Bill 1934."

Speaker Shea: "He assures me he will....Turn Mr. Telcser on."

Telcser: "Now, Mr. Speaker, we've got an omnibus Bill in front of us today. Now, I think most Members of this House know how hard I have fought in previous Sessions for the Appellate Defenders Office and how much I believe in that



particular agency and that I have also supported every other agency contained in this omnibus Bill. But, I think that the abuses of the States Attorney's Advisory Council or whatever it's called, is so outrageous that everyone should oppose this First Conference Committee Report. Now, those of you who are worried about the other four agencies should be reminded that this is simply the first Conference Committee Report. We should oppose the Gentleman's Motion, send this back to a Second Conference Committee and see if the Conferees can't eliminate or at least reduce the appropriation for the States Attorney's Advisory Council. It is one of the worst abuses that I have seen in my ten years in the Legislature. And, so Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope that all of you are not fearful about voting against the other agencies. This is only the First Conference Committee Report...And, I urge a 'no' vote on the Gentleman's Motion."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I only, Mr. Speaker, would like to ask the Gentleman that made the Motion, I think, to not concur in the Conference Committee Report, a couple of questions. If it's permissible and if he will yield."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman, Mr. Terzich, made a motion to concur. You're talking about wheather Mr. Stearney will yield to a question?"

Choate: "Representative Stearney."

Speaker Shea: "He indicates that he will."

Choate: "If I understood you correctly, Representative Stearney, you made a fairly serious allegation as far as some of the people involved in this agency are concerned because if I understood you correctly you said that there had been more than one contrary to what the Gentleman from Will said, more than one had been to you personally asking you to



withdraw your objection because they readily admitted that they had prostituted, so to speak, to a degree, the travel payment that they were to receive for trips that they had made throughout the 50 states. Is that correct?"

Stearney: "Mr. Choate, they made mention, especially as to the travel within the State, that they did abuse and violate the travel regulation issued by the Department of Finance. And, there's signed vouchers here from the executive director on down ...evidence that they did turn in a voucher for an amount in excess of that for which they were allowed under the Department of Finance, travel regulations."

Choate: "And, these are the people that are to advise the prosecutors throughout the State of Illinois who often ....and does not often....but dilligently look at other State employees vouchers for an excuse to prosecute that employee. Isn't that right?"

Stearney: "Yes, it is. It's an unfortunate situation of the fox watching the chicken house."

Choate: "I feel that Mr. Stearney is entirely correct in his suggestion as far as nonconcurrence is concerned, Mr. Speaker. It's again, like someone said a moment ago, 'letting the shark go loose, and we look for the minnows'. In this case it's the very people ...the very people who are attempting to prosecute other people throughout the State of Illinois, readily admitting that they are doing the very things that they are attempting to prosecute other citizens for. I think that I encourage each and every Member to do as you have done in the past on this particular Amendment and disagree with the Conference Committee Report and show that we are adamant as far as enforcing the intent of this House when we adopted the Amendment in its original form."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."



Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As a Member of this Conference Committee I'd like to point out that there's been no reduction made other than the \$18,000 that we took out of the travel line, in the Prosecutor's Advisory Council. And, I'd like to remind all of you Ladies and Gentlemen of this Chamber, the Executive Director's salary presently is at \$32,500 and next year it goes to \$42,600. Now, there isn't anybody in State government that's going to get a \$10,000 increase in their salary but the Executive Director of the Prosecutor's Advisory Council. This should go back to the second Conference, we can negotiate down ... this figure that they've put back in of \$359,000 and get it down to where it is more reasonable and see if we can't get the executive director to take a little less money, even if it is statutory. And, besides, we've also cut in this the Appellate Defender, by \$71,636 and I think that figure should be renegotiated. And, so I would request that you vote 'no' on this Motion and send this Bill back to the Second Conference. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Senate Bill 1934, has got more lives than any Bill I've seen since I've been in the General Assembly. It disturbs me to see the expenditure of State funds for some kind of an elite corps ...of State's Attorneys who we're going to pay to come down here to lobby us for Legislation that they want. Now, for the life of me I cannot understand why....with the needs of all of our human service agencies, we are willing to pour this money into this new agency and I hope he will forgive me....the Gentleman from Joliet,....from Will.... says....has such a fine record. It hasn't even started... yet....to show all of the abuses which it is capable of. But if you want to set up councils for every constitutional officer in the State of Illinois, let's do it on a parity



basis. I'm sure Agriculture would like one. I'm sure Public Health would like one. I'm sure the Treasurer would want one. Public Aid, every single one of them. What is so marvelous and special about the prosecutor's council that we should take this kind of money to give them to lobby us and travel all over the world. I would ask Representative Ryan not only to adjust the money, but to knock it out .....period."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.....I move the Previous Question."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall the main question be put. All those in favor will say 'aye', all those opposed 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair the 'ayes' have it and the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich to close."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am handling this Bill in the fine fashion of which a Legislator should do. And, I will say that in this here Conference Committee Report, that it was brought to the attention that there has been some misunderstanding or so-called abuses of travel allowances, that the Prosecutor's Attorney Advisory Council was set up in September of 1975 and it is in its infant stage. I don't think that there is any agency in the entire State that does not have any discrepancies on their travel vouchers. I don't know where some of these attorneys think that they should go to conferences. Maybe they think that they should go to Peoria or all in the State of Illinois rather than try to expand their knowledge in this particular area. And, I also, I don't understand how we as a Member of the House, who voted to have this Advisory Council put into the Statutes and work to advise the good lawyers and state's attorneys of the State of Illinois and in addition to this also pass out of this House a Bill to have an intern program and then say that now that we've set up the



council...that we don't want to fund it. Now, the director has mentioned that there will be corrective action taken on some of these socalled .....travel vouchers.....he did cut their appropriation by \$18,000. We have an appellate defender program and I also think we should also have a ....an ...a prosecutor's advisory council. This is a very serious situation with all of the crime going on ....in the world today. We need competent state's attorneys.....and I'm sure that the lawyers will provide 'em. So, I think that we have discussed this in the Conference Committee that the advisory council should have the opportunity to establish a good working record with the attorneys of the State of Illinois and that we should give them a chance ...by funding them....and as I said before Amendment #7 did cut down their travel appropriation by \$18,000 and I think that's satisfactory and as far as the director's salary is concerned....that the reason the director is getting a salary increase is because of a law that again....that we passed....he did not ask for the salary increase. I will admit that he is happy that he is going to get it, but he didn't ask for it. This was put in by the Statutes. And, therefore I think that if that's what we say he should have, then well be it.....But, he has mentioned to me that he is not concerned about that and so I would urge your support that we have been to the judicial inquiry board, to the court of claims and I think that we have a fine appropriations Bill on our hands and I would urge your support for concurring with Conference Committee #1 on Senate Bill 1934."

Speaker "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House .....adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1934. Those in favor vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'no'. Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I stand up to support



Representative Stearney in this effort. If you look at the Newsletter for the Illinois Prosecutor's Advisory Council, you would more aptly state that this is an appropriation for a travel agency. They are going to such places over the next year as .... Houston, ... Philadelphia, Los Angeles, Kansas City, Charleston, Atlanta, Memphis, Quantico, Salt Lake City, and on and on. It seems to me absolutely ridiculous if we have indigenous State's Attorneys who are trying cases with criminals in the State of Illinois under Illinois Law, they are traveling all over the country to learn something about their own State's laws. It's ridiculous. Also, for you Members who are concerned about your county boards. On the front page of this newsletter....they had a survey taken across the United States where if the Assembly does not give them the money they took a survey where they will find out the success in suing their county boards to give them more money to run their offices. This is indeed the fox....letting the...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich..."

Terzich: "I don't think this is going to make it. Could I ask for another Conference Committee Report?..."

Members: "Ha ..... Ha.....Ha....Ha..."

Terzich: "Hey....Hey....By the way, I might mention that I had 107 'no' votes last time....Guys. So we're improving."

Members: "Yey.....Yey....."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 27 'aye', 109 'no', and the Gentleman's Motion failed. And....he's asked for a Second Conference Committee Report. Conference Committee Announcement."

Clerk Selcke: "The Members appointed to Second Conference Committee in Senate Bill 1650 are as follows:--Stone, Berman, Bradley, Totten and Catania.... A meeting of the Members of this Second Conference Committee will be held





at 7:30 p.m. in the East House Corridor."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bill 1650....Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, that is the Conference Committee that was just now appointed. I move that we refuse to adopt the First Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman refuse.....Representative Leinenweber...."

Leinenweber: "Why?"

Stone: "The Senate refused to adopt it, so it wouldn't do us any good to adopt it..And the second....."

Leinenweber: "...That's a good reason...Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House refuse to adopt the first Conference Committee Report. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'... the 'ayes' have it and the House refuses to adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1650. 1614.....Representative Byers.....Kosinski....."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's some good news tonight....."

Speaker Redmond: "Ha...Ha...Ha..."

Kosinski: "...I think all you downstaters and all of you who have been pretending to protect the road fund will be most pleased with this Second Committee Report. If you remember...in the Department of Law Enforcement....this Body, determined by 95 votes, not to accept the Conference Committee Report. At that time the Senate had predetermined without our consideration....th...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan..."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the last time this Bill was handled for Representative Byers, I think he had some disagreement with it..... Roman, do you think you could take it out of the record until....."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Kosinski.."

Kosinski: "Mr. Byers, just before his leaving to a wake, told



me he is in agreement....I repeat, the Senate had Amended this in such a manner that 75 percent of the funds were coming from the Road Fund and 25 percent from the General Revenue Fund. There was great objection in this House. The Senate in its wisdom, however, in Conference Committee, has determined they will recede 25 percent to a 50-50 equitable basis. This was the major concern of this House. The Senate then voted to concur with the report of this Committee by a vote of 47 to 2 vote. Indicating the Senate protectors of the Road Fund and the Senate downstaters were in agreement with the committee action. The second consideration was that the Senate has now reinstated that no funds appropriated for the state police shall be used for the re-districting of state police districts. Now, we know in committees of this nature, it is never possible to satisfy everyone to a hundred percent....But I think Mr. Mulcahey, had some very strong expressions on 75 percent coming from the Road Fund and only 25 percent from 'GRF'....and should he be in a position to make a comment at this time, I would be gratefully agreeable."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that, Representative ..Mulcahey..?"

Mulcahey: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just would add my comment to Representative Kosinski....and concur with him and ask the House to indeed concur with the Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Kosinski has said there is good news tonight and I've heard enough of these stories, especially with Conference Committee Reports in this time of the Session, I'd like to hear what some of the bad news is. One of my questions..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Ah...There was no other action in the Second Conference Committee. We continued with the appropriation



as originally ....recognized by that Conference Committee. I repeat.....those were the two bones of contention....to my knowledge by the general body and they've been ironed out.....The only other consideration was.... that we had hoped possibly to obtain a 5 percent increase for the State police. We were not able to achieve that. It was a two and a half percent increase that was decided on in both conference committees and this was based upon the two and a half percent increase in other agencies they thought it was equitable. We were all....I think... in agreement....with the final analysis of this Bill.... Mr. Ryan and I had both hoped for 5 percent, but we know that in this sort of a situation...compromise is essential... We're very grateful now I think....and I think Mr. Ryan will join me..."

Ebbesen: "Representative Kosinski...."

Kosinski: ".....didn't aim to get an increase for the State Police....."

Ebbesen: "How many people signed the Conference Report?"

Kosinski: "Eight."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'll give you a little bit of bad news about the Conference Committee. I'm looking at the two Conference Committees and the only thing that I've seen that they've added on, I believe, Amendment #19, which has to do with locating some stations or something of this nature, otherwise there are no changes. Now, we attached an Amendment giving the IBI agents a salary adjustment, which is I think 160 or 170 agents compared to about 14 or 15 hundred State Police. And, they felt that since it was good to cut it down to two and a half percent, I don't see any salary adjustment for the IBI agents and I'm not saying that either they are underpaid or overpaid or anything of



this nature, but what's good for the goose is good for the gander in this case. Since when are we to say that these people are not affected by inflation or don't need the money anymore than the State Police. Now, why can't the IBI Agents have the same two and a half percent cost of living increase the same as the State Police? I don't see any change in this Amendment or this Conference Committee and I don't even know what compromise was made. So, if that's the case let 'em go back and tell us what they actually did."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "Just a couple of questions of the Sponsor....if I might?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Choate: "Representative Kosinski, how much money is involved ...what's the dollar amount. I don't remember myself and I don't have the Conference Committee Report or the Bill. How much money are we talking about coming out of the Road Fund and the General Revenue Fund....that is going to be now 50-50?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Choate, on a 50-50 basis....that's approximately two dollars.....two million dollars from each in the four divisions."

Choate: "In other words we're talking about four million dollars total?....What was the percentage that came out of the Road Fund....in the last?"

Kosinski: "It was 47-53.....The Senate wanted 75-25....but they receded to 50-50."

Choate: "47-53.....53 out of General Revenue and 47 out of the Road Fund so actually you're still increasing your..... you're dipping into the Road Fund an additional three percent.....of four million dollars?....Is that not correct?"

Kosinski: "That's correct."



Choate: "Well, it's a little better news than the news that you had at the original .... Amendment which was 75-25... but as far as I'm concerned and I think that as far as any and all downstaters are concerned, when you look at the Road Fund it's still not totally good news and I still resist, as far as I'm personally concerned, the additional 3 percent. In fact, I don't even think that the 47 percent should come out of the Road Fund."

Unknown: "Do you want to respond to Mr. Choate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Well, Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Conference Committee Report. We did reduce the rate on the Road Fund from 75 percent to 50 percent....which is not where it was last year at 47 percent. I think that's a considerable improvement over the original Senate Amendment. In addition, for those Members who are interested, the bottom line figure on this Bill right now is \$59,014,200.....that's a reduction from where it was when it was introduced, which was then \$60,580,600."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "In the past there has been a limit of two Conference Committee Reports. Is that still the rule?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's the custom. That used to be the Joint Rule. We don't have any Joint Rule, but I believe it's the custom that you have two Conference Committee Reports."

Schlickman: "Do I conclude correctly then, if we don't adopt this Conference Committee Report #2, there won't be any appropriation?"

Speaker Redmond: "Correct."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski to close.....Representative Ryan.....pardon me...I can't see Representative Ryan. There is somebody standing between him and the Chair."



Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I believe that if this Conference Committee is not adopted the Bill will then lie on the Table. Would that be correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "I think so, yes."

Ryan: "Well, then....."

Speaker Redmond: "...There's no provision for anything other than Second Conference Committee."

Ryan: "Well, then by a Motion, it could be revived. Is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "No. Representative Schraeder..."

Ryan: "Wait, I'm not through ...."

Speaker Redmond: "The answer is no."

Ryan: "All right. You mean then if this Committee Report is not adopted then there is no money for the law enforcement department. Is that what you're saying, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, .....that's my understanding. Representative Keller..."

Keller: "I think that's true....If they don't adopt this here .....by the Rules ..... that the Bill will die, George, but there's plenty of other Bills lying around that the appropriation can go on."

Ryan: "Well, it was my impression that this would lie on the Table and then it could be revived by a Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "....Don't believe so....Representative Schraeder..."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, would you be kind enough to call your Parliamentarian in from the Senate and find out?"

Speaker Redmond: "I will do.....If I can find him."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that if you said....if I understood what you said....you said the ...we used to have Joint Rules....that covered this situation we had.... no more than two.....but, if we do not have Joint Rules, then that cannot be covered and we can have three, four or five Conference Committees....it seems to me. And, I would



like to reiterate, is there a Joint Rule covering this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me two years ago, when the Senate or when we had the Environmental Protection Agency, when the Republican Party had all of the same six members from both Houses on each and every Conference Committee, and that when the EPA went down in this House, that we did take it off of the Table and then adopt it....but we adopted the Second Conference Committee Report after it had gone down. And, it seems to me that the Senate stood firm under the belief that there would be only two Conference Committees and I think Mr. Ryan and his new running mate are fully cognizant of what happened."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich..."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, is it possible that ....I can make a Motion that they go back to the Conference Committee before we take a vote on the Conference Committee Report? I'd like to make that Motion.....if it's possible."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in the Second Conference Committee Report and he has closed. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "No.....I was just going to object to that Motion, but as long as you haven't recognized it....that's all right."

Speaker Redmond: "Stand at ease for a moment...."

Matijevich: "I was going to object to the fact that the Senate has already met.....the Conference has already met....and the Senate has already concurred.....er.....adopted the report....and I think it would be futile to try and go back..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich..."

Terzich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I always thought that the House could do whatever they wanted to do...And, if that Motion



is in order, let's take care of our own House and let the Senate take care of their place."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me that it is not in order...."

Terzich: "It was a good idea..."

Speaker Redmond: "Question....Representative Ryan...and the Parliamentarian also advises me that if the Second Conference Committee Report is not adopted that the Bill is dead."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to Representative Shea, I don't know what he means by my new running mate. Maybe he'd like to explain that remark."

Speaker Redmond: "Point of order has been raised and the point is well taken. Representative Ryan, do you have anything further?"

Ryan: "I had a question of Representative Shea, maybe he didn't hear it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, that is not directed to the Motion."

Ryan: "But, Mr. Speaker, he used my name,.....and....I'd like to know what he's talking about."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, after we take the vote, then we'll get back to that order of business....The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House....Representative Kosinski..."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, this Committee action was thorough. We have certain personal hopes and that was the five percent increase for the State Police and two and a half for the IBI. This was not conceivable, not obtainable, we did the best we possibly could, the GRF Fund and the Road Fund are now balanced. All the issues we are concerned about are in this. Don't let the Bill die. Please concur."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House concur in the Second Conference Committee Report. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'.





Have all voted who wish? Representative Collins, do you seek recognition?"

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to comment on this arduous session. Have you noticed how the Clerk has aged?.....Ha...Ha...."

Speaker Redmond: "All I can say is that one minute he is the Speaker and the next minute he is the Clerk. Looks like the same fellow...Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question .... 114 'aye', and 26 'no', and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. Representative Geo-Karis, 'aye'. Representative Ebbesen, 'aye'. Ebbesen, 'no'!!! Pardon me. On the Order of Concurrence....appears House Bill 3637....Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House 'do concur' in Senate Amendment #1. It cleans up the Bill. The Bill had on line nine, the words 'Superintendent of Public Instruction' and it changes that to 'State Superintendent of Education'. The Bill originally amended the Illinois Educational Facility Authority Act by ...adding the words 'related academic institutions' to the definition of private institutions of higher education and I would move for concurrence with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Skinner: "I've been told that this Bill is for the purpose of finding finances for a national..."

Speaker Redmond: "Louder...please, I can't hear you, Sir."

Skinner: "...depository .....of the National Archives. Is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you, Sir."

Skinner: "My staff has told me that this Bill is primarily for the purpose of finding financing for a building to be



built next to the University of Chicago, which will be a national depository for the National Archives. Is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "I believe that your staff is very good and very correct."

Skinner: "Is there anything else anybody has in mind?"

Speaker Redmond: "...Not to my knowledge. This is to add a building out by the University of Chicago Complex, for the purpose you stated."

Skinner: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question's on the Gentleman's Motion that the House do adopt ...do concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3637. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 124 'ayes', and 7 'no'. and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3637. Representative Geo-Karis 'aye'. Conference Committee Report ...appears....Senate Bill 1935. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 1935 is the Office of Education Grant and Aid Teachers Pension Ordinary and Contingent Expense Bill....totalling \$870,000,000. The Conference Committee met and after a long deliberation ...we have a four page Amendment and I'll go through it on a brief synopsis of what we've done. On Amendment #1, the House receded from Amendment #1 by deleting the following: \$235,252 from Personal Services. The various categories that these ...this \$235,000 cut would take place in....General Office for \$1,511.....or excuse me...\$18,000 reduction. Program Services of \$47,942 reduction. Management Services, a \$38,060 reduction. Chicago Office, \$18,400 reduction. Vocational Education a \$12,850 reduction. That's Amendment #1. Amendment #3, the Senate concurs in



Amendment #3 by adding \$917,453 in Federal money to Illinois Office of Education operations...an additional \$223,782 added in federal money to the Illinois Office of Education in operations Title VII, Title IX, and Title IX Bilingual \$15,000. Amendment #4, Senate concurs in Amendment #4 removing \$612,500 from the Adult Education Americanization Grant. Amendment #5, the House recede from Amendment #5 by removing \$113,350 from the gifted area service centers but keeping in the \$328,000 in General Revenue Funds in Gifted Grant programs. Amendment #10, the Senate concurs in Amendment #10 by removing original clause in Senate Bill 1935 regarding the receiving and expending of federal funds. Amendment #11, the House recedes from Amendment #11....technical error in House Amendment is corrected in the Bill. And, Amendment #12, Senate concur in Amendment #12....adds \$24,000 to supervisory expense funds of the superintendents.... Regional Superintendents.. Amendment #16, the House recede from Amendment 16....request for a rewritten version of cause regarding receiving and spending federal funds. The new wording is that any federal funds requiring expenditure within 90 days or less ...of receipt of such funds....in excess of the appropriations in Section 1 and 3, shall be paid in the proper trust account in the State Treasury. Prior to expenditure of such funds .... the State Superintendent of Education shall notify in writing the Chairman, Minority Cospokesman of the House Appropriations II Committee and the Chairman and Minority Spokesman of the Senate Appropriations Committee of the receipt and intended disbursement of such funds. Unanimous approval by such persons must be obtained before such federal funds may be expended. Also the Senate and the House agreed to remove an additional two point five million in General Revenue Funds from the Transportation Grant which allows then \$42,040,000 total amount for the Pupil Transportation Fund and I move to concur with



Conference Committee Report #1 to Senate Bill 1935."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, moves for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1935. On that question is there discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Pierce: "Representative Hanahan, I heard some Legislators had to approve some type of executive expenditure. You were going kinda fast, can you do that one again? Because, it would seem to violate the separation of powers if before the executive branch could spend funds some legislators would have to give it some kind of okay."

Hanahan: "Yes, we've had this problem in the past and this is on the federal funds requiring expenditure...that we specify that it's the federal grants that sometimes are offered to the Office of Education, that are required to to be expended within 90 days of offering. That if these funds ....you know...which would lapse if we weren't in Session, in that 90 day period... and would have to go back to the federal government or we would just not be able to participate, that there will be the Minority Spokesman, the Chairman of the House Appropriations Committee, the Chairman and Minority Spokesman of the Senate Appropriations Committee, unan- imously would have to authorize and approve the intended disbursements of these funds. That is how the Amend- ment reads."

Pierce: "It seems to delegate to four Legislators either the responsibility of the Legislative Branch of government... I've always been a little suspicious and hostile to some of these ideas we have of taking our powers of the Legislature and delegating them to three or four of our Brothers....some kind of executive committee....of the



Legislature....board of directors...impoverished to act for all of us. And, secondly, it seems to violate the separation of powers that four Legislators have to approve an executive department expenditure. Have you considered the constitutional problems of separation of powers that we've gotten into .... problems with already on the State Board of Elections...appointments..."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Pierce....please...you can ask questions.."

Hanahan: "Yes, was that a question, Mr. Pierce?.....I might answer by saying that we have...."

Speaker Shea: "I said it was ....debate..."

Hanahan: "....done this last year...already, this is not a new type of procedure. Either we ...either we give somebody the authority to ....for the office....to spend the money in these times ...of bequeathals that the federal government gives us....or we blow the money. It is as simple as all of that. If you want to come back in session every 90 days to make sure we have an approval of approving the federal grants....that would be one way but the fact remains is that the 12 years that we have been here no federal grant money has been turned down or even amended, so now, it's not like you were going to give 'em some authorization that we, if we were in Session wouldn't do, so that's really the rationalization and hopefully we could come to a better language next year ....in the next Session but right now this is the best we could come up with."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh.....Oh!

Pardon me, Mr. Pierce...."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I have a long question or two...Mr.

Hanahan, if you say this worked in the past....it's the only way we can do it....I suppose I go along on your representation that it works. I just hope that it does not violate the separation of powers ...constitutional provision by having a Legislative Committee ....meet with



the executive and approve expenditures as we go along. I hope it is not an improper delegation of our authority. If this is the only way that we can spend these federal funds, certainly Illinois doesn't get back everything we should from Uncle Sam, we pay in a lot more than we get back, if this helps us get back and spend some federal money, I'll go along with you on it, but I wish maybe we could find another way of doing it."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh.....Well, your light is on, that's why I was curious..."

Walsh: "Thanks a lot....Just wanted to see if you were paying atten...."

Speaker Shea: "I always pay attention. I think Sarunas hit the wrong switch. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, it's the first time I've ever known Mr. Walsh to be lit.....Will the Gentleman answer a question?.....Representative Hanahan, we've got some confusion over here on this side of the aisle and I'm somewhat confused about your explanation to Senate.....er...House Amendment #1. That was completely deleted. Is that correct?"

Hanahan: "The House receded from Amendment #1 by deleting \$235,252. As you recall.....the House Amendment called for a five hundred and sixty some.....thousand dollar increase over what the Senate had granted. We then agreed in Conference Committee to reduce that \$235,000. And, the exact figure came up....\$235,252: That's a reduction from what the Bill, as it was sent back to the Senate, looked like."

Ryan: "Well, then, I think that's where the confusion is..... We receded from Amendment #1....period...And, then added that money back in....did we not ....in Senate Amendment ....er....in House Amendment #9? Is that right?"

Hanahan: "No, not by Senate Amendment #9...."



Ryan: "No, I mean House Conference Committee Report.....  
Amendment #9..."

Hanahan: "It's in the....."

Ryan: "In the Conference Committee, that's right.....In other words, Amendment #1 is completely wiped out. \$562.....er \$560,252. And, then, in the Conference Committee, we added back \$325,000 of that....is that right?"

Hanahan: "It worked really just the opposite. What we did was we restored ...by line items...throughout the Bills... from the Senate refusing to accept the House Amendment... we then took and added that amount of money....you're correct in the addition....of that amount of money.... which was exactly \$235,252....less than what the Amendment to House....Appropriation Committee #2 in the House of Representatives attached to the Bill."

Ryan: "Mr. Hanahan, that's not the way I understood what we did in conference. Would you pull this out of the record and I'll come over there and talk to you?"

Hanahan: "I'd really like to answer any other question....If you want to come over...the girl is right here and she could....if we could continue on with the questions.. It's a major Bill and I'd like to get rid of it..... tonight."

Ryan: "Well, Representative Hanahan...Okay, here's my question then. How much money have you got in the House Amendment #1?"

Hanahan: "House Amendment #1 is reduced to three hundred and sixty some thousand dollars....."

Ryan: "All right...then in Amendment .... in what we did.... in point #9...in the Senate...er...in the Conference... We added three hundred and twenty-five more? Is that what you're saying?"

Hanahan: "I don't have an Amendment #9. That's the problem. That's where you're losing me.....we have no Amendment #9."



Ryan: "All right, that's whw we did in the Conference.....  
Look in the Conference Committee Report, Mr. Hanahan...  
Under #9...."

Speaker Shea: "I think he's saying, you deleted House Amendment  
#1, and in lieu thereof, replaced it with \$360,000, as  
item nine."

Hanahan: "It didn't work exactly like that because....you....  
see, when we receded from House Amendment #1, then we  
Amended, after point number nine, it says amends Senate  
Bill 1935....and it replaced the amounts of money as I  
read....in the synopsis. It replaced in General Offices,  
it reduced their bottom line by \$18,000. And, Program  
Services....it reduced their bottom line by \$47,942. In  
Management Services, it reduced that line itme by \$38,060.  
And, the Chicago Office, it was reduced \$118,400. In  
Vocational Education, it reduced \$12,850....."

Ryan: "Okay, Mr. Hanahan, I understand that. And, I think  
you ought to make it clear that these aren't really re-  
ductions, they are still increases...."

Hanahan: "It's an increase, but we reduced what the House of  
Representatives d'f....in explaining to the House what  
we had done....when we passed the Bill."

Ryan: "Okay, we're in agreement with that. Now, in Amendment  
#5, we receded from Amendment #5, is that right?"

Hanahan: "Yes, Amendment #5, we kept....what the House of  
Representatives did, we restored in the Conference  
Committee the \$328,000 in the Gifted Grant Program. But,  
we did agree to the Senate demands of removing \$113,350  
additional funds that the House of Representatives had  
placed in the Senate Bill for the Gifted Area Service  
Centers."

Ryan: "Okay, then, .....but actually we have increased the  
money to the Gifted Area....the Gifted Program. Is that  
right?"

Hanahan: "I'm sorry, Sir."





Ryan: "The gifted program was increased. Is that correct?"

Hanahan: "Right. It was increased \$328,000 in the House of Representatives over what the Senate Bill grant was."

Ryan: "And the gifted centers remained unchanged?"

Hanahan: "The gifted centers remained unchanged from what the Senate Bill was when it came to the House."

Ryan: "Okay. I have no further questions. And I would agree with you that we should concur in this Conference Committee."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Porter...."

Porter: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "A brief one."

Porter: "Tom....what sort of reimbursement is there in here for special ed summer school programs? Is the first \$100 subject to reimbursement, or not?"

Hanahan: "That's in the State Aid Bill, not in this one."

Porter: "Not in this one?"

Hanahan: "No, this is the grant program and not in that.... and summer school is not in this Bill."

Porter: "Okay. Thank you....I would like to compliment the Sponsor upon the excellent way that he presented the conference Committee Report and for those of you who are listening, I think that's a model for all of those to come. I hope each of you are as clear. Thank you."

Hanahan: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan, to close."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, all of us would have liked to seen more money for the gifted, more money for special ed grants, more money in the operation of the Office of Education. Working realistically with a budget that is under severe restraint. I move now to concur with this Conference Committee Report, knowing full well that had we had more money we probably would have done better but at this time ...at this point



and time...this is the best that we could offer to the people of Illinois in this Conference Committee Report and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall the House adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1935. All those in favor will vote 'aye' those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 163 'ayes', no 'nays', no 'present' and Senate Bill....The House does adopt Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1935. On supplemental...on the Regular Calendar, under the order of Concurrences, appears House Bill 3816 and on that the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, this is the IDOT, ordinary and contingent expense Bill. I would move that the House do concur with Senate Amendments 1 thru 18....20 thru 25...29 thru 33."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman has moved that the House do concur with Senate Amendments ....Senate Amendments number 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33. And, on that question, is there discussion? The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword, moves for the adoption of the named Senate Amendments. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Shea 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Parliamentary Inquiry. Which Bill are you going to put the RTA funds in now? It was on the School Aid Bill last night when you voted for it."



Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, are all of the Amendments included in this Motion?"

Speaker Shea: "Yes, Sir. There was no motion to divide, and the Gentleman moved for the adoption of all of the Amendments."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. The .....in explaining my vote....the vote surprises me because it's apparent that something else is going to happen in the wee hours that all of us are not aware of. As you know there has been a cut in the RTA funds in this and it's apparent we'll see this surface somewhere else. I'm suspicious of that and I think that I'll just switch over to 'present' vote."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.....the overwhelming vote that shows on the board shows how utterly unreliable these latrine rumors can be. When the report came back we were told.....and whispered in every possible direction, that Tipsword representing downstate, would move with great alacrity to protect us against the Chicago crowd. And that they'd suffered a humiliating defeat in the Senate. That the Senate had just cut 'em and sliced 'em in all directions and our good survival required us to move instantaneously for concurrence lest they get into a conference committee where they do all manner of evil to us. Well, you notice the Chicago crowd is unanimous. They'll laugh all the way to the bank and those of you who were taken in with this 'con' just go ahead and keep your green light up there and explain it when you get back there with your constituents. There was something in the Bill when it



started and left here ...for my district. There isn't anything now. I'll continue to vote 'no' and you explain your green vote when you get home."

Speaker Shea: "Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 145 'ayes', 15 'nays', 7 members voting 'present' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33 to House Bill 3816. Yes, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "You missed 18."

Speaker Shea: "Well, if I did, 18 was included."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to change my vote to 'present' because I don't like the Department of Transportation....period."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative....change Representative Geo-Karis to 'present'. Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side I move that the vote by which we concurred with House Bill 3816 be reconsidered."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, I move that that lay on the Table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword ...having voted on the prevailing side moves that the vote by which the House concurred in Senate Amendments to House Bill 3816 ....be reconsidered.... Representative McClain's moved that that lie on the Table. The question is on the Motion of Representative McClain to lie the Motion on the Table. Those in favor indicate by saying 'aye', those opposed 'no'....The 'ayes' have it and the Motion prevails. Conference Committee Report 3818. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker....the hour being 7:15 I believe....er....7:20....I'm sorry....7:22 and it's being more than three hours since the Conference Committee



has been on the desk of the Members...er the Calendar... May I also point out that we worked on this Conference Committee this morning and the report has been out for some time. House....Conference Committee...I move that we do adopt Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3818. I mentioned earlier the provisions of the Conference Committee. The Senate recedes from Senate Amendment #1 which would have provided that no more than 50 percent of the funds appropriated for Personal Services ...travel expenditures...of the Vehicle Recycling Board in the State Civil Service Commission and the State Employees Retirement System may be spent prior to January 1, 1977. That the Senate Recedes from Senate Amendment 2 which would have limited all funding for the Vehicle Recycling Board for Fiscal 77. Section 3 which provides funding for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Employees Retirement System is deleted. Also, deleted is Sections 4 which makes an appropriation to the Board of Trustees for the State Employees Retirement System and Section 5 which contains the effective date. These deletions resulted from the passage of House Bill 3371 which provides funding in the exact amounts contained in the aforementioned Section and House Bill 3371, Ladies and Gentlemen, is on the Governor's Desk. The provisions of Senate Amendment #2, namely the elimination of all funding for the Vehicle Recycling Board are reinstated by the Conference Committee. The provisions of Senate Amendment #1, the 50 percent expenditure Amendment are reinstated by the Conference Committee Report .....an effective date of July 1, 1976 is added. The net effect of the Conference Committee Report is to adopt the Senate Amendments and to eliminate the duplicate funding for the State Employees Retirement System. Adoption of the Conference Committee Report would reduce the amount of funding contained in House Bill



3818 by \$1,061,613 making a total appropriation of \$241,500 and I would move the adoption of Conference Committee Report #1 to House Bill 3818. More than happy to answer any questions at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any questions. The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report. All those in favor vote 'aye'....Representative Ryan. I can't see you.....Representative Deuster is between you and...."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Lechowicz, we've got a little confusion over here and several people have asked me. Is the Recycling Board still in this Bill or not?"

Lechowicz: "It's out. It's been deleted."

Ryan: "Deleted?"

Lechowicz: "Deleted."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House adopt the First Conference Committee Report to Hosue Bill 3818. All those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Final action, 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record..... The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there is 149 'aye' and 2 'no'.....and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report. Speaker 'aye'. ....Thank you, Representative Cunningham. 1637..... Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and gentlemen of the House, this is the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1637. What this Conference Committee Report does is recommend an additional \$45,000 to the Board of Higher Education to fund the intern program that is sponsored by a group of about 8 or 10 universities in the northern part of the State....When the Bill went out of the House it had \$65,000 in for this program. That has been re-



duced now to \$45,000 and I would ask for the adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The Gentleman has moved that the House adopt Conference Committee #2 to Senate Bill 1637. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 144 'aye' and 7 'no'....and the House does adopt the Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1637. ....On the Order of Motions appears Senate Bill 1678, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I at this time do move to discharge the Committee on Revenue on Senate Bill 1678 and advance it to the Order of Second Reading. I have an agreement from the Minority Leader...Assistant Minority Leader and also Mr. LaFleur the Minority Spokesman and Mr. Randolph, the Minority Spokesman of the Revenue Committee. This is a taxpayers relief Bill.... for those in the Cook County area and I ask for the adoption of the Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to discharge the Committee on Revenue and advance Senate Bill 1678 to the Order of Second Reading. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'....89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 107 'aye' and 9 'nay'....and the Gentleman's Motion prevails and Senate Bill 1678 is advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Day. On the Order of Motions appears 1526, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, now that we have a full House, could I try again on this one? This is the Bill that allows a working cash fund....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea..."

Shea: "That Motion was Tabled this morning on the Motion from the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."



Skinner: "I beg your pardon, Sir. I filed another Motion.

This is another Motion to try to get Rockford a tax increase without a referendum. This would allow ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, what is the Motion on the Calendar this time?"

Skinner: "Well, let's see, it's on Supplemental Calendar #1 on the front page. To discharge the Committee on Revenue and advance to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Shea: "All right. That appears today for the first time? That Motion appears today for the first time. Is that correct?"

Skinner: "Don't ask me, I'm not the Clerk....Mr. Clerk.."

Shea: "If it does, doesn't the rule say that it appear on the Calendar for one Legislative Day?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Motion was filed today."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, under Rule 66 (d), the Motion shall be carried on the daily Calendar for the next Legislative Day and therefore he is out of order at this time. Gotta wait 'til tomorrow for that one.....and we'll be happy to hear it."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I just cannot understand....if people want to punish Senator Hickey....why they wouldn't want her to be responsible for Sponsoring a Bill to..... taxes without an increase.."

Matijevich: "We just like to follow the Rule."

Skinner: "Without a referendum, that is..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it appears ..."

Skinner: "May I move to waive the Rules then?"

Speaker Redmond: "Surely. Takes 107 votes. Representative LaFleur... Representative LaFleur.."

LaFleur: "Do we have a Motion before us, now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "We have a Motion to waive ....the Calendar requirement ...so that we can hear a Motion with respect





to Senate Bill 1526 immediately."

LaFleur: "Then it is my understanding that this takes 107 votes?"

Speaker Redmond: "107 votes."

LaFleur: "Is there going to be debate on the question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Just put the question. The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to suspend the Calendar Rule with respect to the Motion on Senate Bill 1526. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'...."

LaFleur: "I'd like to explain my vote...I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "I believe everyone in the House should know that this is the hostage Bill for the RTA back before us and I do not think that it should be taken lightly. That what we have traded here for the RTA..."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Do you want to explain your vote, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "No, I wish to ask for a poll of the absentees. So Representative Giorgi may have more time to get his Democratic colleagues into a favorable frame of mind."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees. Take the record. Representative Shea."

Shea: "I think this is a frivolous motion to poll the absentees. If every person absent voted with the Gentleman, he still wouldn't have 107 votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson, E. M. Barnes, Beaupre, Boyle, Brummet, Byers, Campbell, Capuzi, Carroll, Craig, Downs, Duff, John Dunn, Ewing, Fleck, Friedrich, Grotberg, Huff, Keller, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kucharski, Leinenweber, Luft, Lundy, Madison, McCourt, McPartlin, Miller, Molloy, Neff, Patrick, Porter, Rayson, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Sharp, E. G. Steele, Telcser, Terzich, Tipsword, Totten, Van Duyn, Waddell, Walsh, Washburn, Willer, Young,



Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Keller, 'aye'. All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 49 'aye', .....52 'aye' and 72 'no', and the Gentleman's Motion fails. On the order of Motions appears a Motion with respect to Senate Bill 2007. Representative Schneider. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on the Calendar appears the Motion to discharge the Committee on the assignment and advance Senate Bills 2007, ...08 and 09 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. This is necessitated by the fact that the Bills were just moved out of the Rules Committee last evening and...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you arise?"

Washburn: "I arise, Mr. Speaker, to ask leave to have the House stand in recess until 8 minutes after 10 for the purpose of a short Republican Conference in Room 118. Ten minutes after eight, rather."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "I move that the House do now stand in recess until 8:15."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. M. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, would it be appropriate to ask for a Democratic Conference? To ask the Chairman or the Speaker to discuss those Bills?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman....the Chairman of the Conference.....2007, 2008, 2009."

Berman: "There's a procedure that ....is established in our Rules and I haven't been requested by 21 Members."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. M. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe there is also two other alternatives.....that is that the Speaker or the Chairman of the Conference Committee would call a Conference."



Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House recess until 8:15....was that Representative Shea?.....Stand in recess until 8:15."

RECESS

Speaker Redmond: "Where's Representative Walsh? Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, is that you or the 'painting'? Somebody propped the painting up?"

Members: "Ha...Ha...Ha...."

Speaker Redmond: "You're out of order. If Representative Walsh is not here, Tom Ohler had observed the other day that the House is 'unWalshed'.....Where do you want it Joe, do you want it....Parliamentarian or something says it's 'disWalshed', not 'unWalshed'. The House will come to order....Members please be in their seats. At ease until the Clerk munches his pizza..... Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1739, Representative Berman."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1739, a Bill for an Act in relation to the implementation of a Public Liability Self-insurance Plan on behalf of the State by the Department of Finance, Division of Risk Management, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask for leave to bring this Bill back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, .... returned to the Order of Second Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Walsh..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman..."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker....we've been working on this Bill because"



of certain technical difficulties. Amendment #2 was adopted and I would now move to Table Amendment #2.... it's....the same purposes of two are addressed in Amendment #4 that I will offer later."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #2. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and Amendment 2 is Tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3, Walsh, amends Senate Bill 1739 in the House by striking paragraph (h) and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was the Sponsor.?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move to Table Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #3. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment 3 is Tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Berman, amends Senate Bill 1739 on page two by deleting line 10 through 21 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #4 does several things. The purpose of Senate Bill 1739 is to provide the Department of Finance, Division of Risk Management, the option of going self-insured for purposes of automobile public liability coverage. Amendment #4 does a number of things, first, it restates the option for self-insurance, and an option to provide excess coverage for State employees that have their own coverage when operating their automobiles that are owned by the State in the performance of their duties. Second, there is a provision in there that will increase the limits for liability coverage up to \$1,000,000 for occurrence. Third, it provides that any driver who may be....who is an employee of the State, acting within the scope of his authority, will be defended and any judgment entered against them



arising out of the course of their employment will be defended and held harmless in the circuit courts or in any other form in which the drivers may be sued. Lastly, it provides that the State, if it is sued, will be sued in the court of claims, that there will be one million dollar limitation on the provisions as to the awards that can be awarded in the court of claims and restate the existing limit of one hundred thousand dollars on all other types of torts for which the State may be self-insured. I would be glad to respond to any questions and I move the adoption of Amendment #4."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #4. All those in favor say 'aye'.....Representative Pierce....pardon me.. Representative Pierce....Dan Pierce."

Pierce: "Yes, is this the Amendment we had in Committee or was this adopted on the Floor?"

Berman: "It carries out the same in print as we had in Committee. In Committee we were concerned about the limits of liability, having to go to the court of claims, et cetera. Amendment 4 addresses all of those things."

Pierce: "At present, with the insurance, they're in the civil court with no limitations....is that right?"

Berman: "Yes, at the present time..."

Pierce: "With the private insurance..."

Berman: "...there is private insurance, but the private insurance does not even reach the limits that we're providing in this. We're talking about a million dollar ... per occurrence coverage, by the State, that would be defendable in the circuit courts or in the federal courts, any other jurisdictional....."

Pierce: "The only difference here is that the plaintiff will not have the right of course to a jury trial....in the court of claims as he did in the civil court."

Berman: "If he sues the driver he still would, we've provided



for that in this Amendment."

Pierce: "And, the State would defend the driver?"

Berman: "That is correct."

Pierce: "And the driver wouldn't have to have his own insurance."

Berman: "That's correct."

Pierce: "Well, then.....I think this is a very fine answer to this problem. A fine Amendment and I intend to support it. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentlemen's Motion to adopt Amendment #4. Those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.....the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Sacunas, .....the Motion with respect to 2007.....Gene Hoffman will you come to the Podium? Gene Hoffman....Notice of Conference Committee Meeting..."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Notice of Conference Committee Meeting. Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1524 will be held June 29th at 9:30 p.m. in the East House Corridor.."

Speaker Redmond: ".....of business is Motions. At the break we were on Senate Bill 2007. Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House....I renew the Motion I made prior to breaking at about 7:30. My Motion at that time was to discharge the Committee on the Assignment of Bills and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Day, the Senate Bill 2007, 2008 and 2009. These Bills were passed out of Rules Committee only last night and it's for this reason and no other, to try to meet our time schedule, that I am asking that we discharge the Committee and place them on the Calendar on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. For those of you who are interested, Senate Bill 2007 deals with spreading out the transportation



claims of the schools into four quarterly payments. The Senate Bill 2008 spreads the special education reimbursement out over four payments and 2009 separates impaction in summer school from the general distributive formula because it is a reimbursement of a claim rather than a current claim and therefore will be unaffected by any future prorations, so these are the three Bills and I move .... my Motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman....The Gentleman has moved that Senate Bill 2007 be advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to the Committee. Did he ask leave to have 007, 008 and 009 Considered together? Any objections?...Representative Berman."

Berman: "I object. I think that there are different issues involved in each one. I ask for a separate Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. The first one is on the Gentleman's Motion. Representative Shea."

Shea: "As I understand the Gentleman's Motion, this is a Motion under Rule 31 (b) to advance to the order of Second Reading....er....Second Reading, Second Legislative Day....without reference to a Committee and needs 107 votes. Is that correct, Sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's Motion is to discharge the Committee on Assignment and advance to the Order of Second Reading. And, the Parliamentarian has advised me that that amounts to a Motion to bypass a Committee and it does require 107 votes. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, on a Parliamentary Inquiry, I'd just like to know if the Motion is in order because it has been heard in Elementary and Secondary Committee and the Committee....er....the Motion should be to discharge that Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Different Bill. This is a Senate Bill. The question is on the Gentleman's Motion. All those in favor of the Motion to advance it to the Order of Second



Reading, Second Day, without reference to Committee, vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'.....107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Representative James Houlihan.

Yes, 2007.....there was an objection."

Houlihan J: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of Representative Hoffman's Motion. This is a bipartisan effort to solve one of the most serious problems that the State faces and that is providing adequate funding for education and yet at the same time recognizing the severe fiscal crisis which the State of Illinois faces. By moving these Bills to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, we will keep alive the opportunity to make some serious effort at dealing with the cash flow problem that the State faces. These Bills deserve your support. These Bills were included in the Governor's accelerated cash management program. They were adopted and recommended by Comptroller Lindberg. I believe that almost all of the State Officers are in agreement that these Bills are essential. I would ask all of you to consider voting for these measures which provide some reasonable governmental solution...To put politics aside, to remember that we must run government and not run elections after the day of the election. I would urge an 'aye' vote on ...House...Motion...on House Bill 2007...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the thing that amazes me about this series of Bills, and I just talked to somebody from the Bureau of the Budget, what there is is there's an attempt here to straighten out, as they said, a 'U' shaped curve by spreading the payments over a year .... in four payments instead of one payment. But, when you look at the Bills what happens to the next man that has to make the payment, in September of 1977, he's going to be saddled with making





very same thing, with an overwhelming vote, a number of weeks ago. This does not contradict that nor conflict with the concept so it's not new, it's something that makes sense and it avoids the day when we have to face up to what might be an inevitable...tax increase and I solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the.....Representative LaFleur.."

LaFleur: "In looking at the overall cash picture of the State and the revenue that we had hoped to generate, I cannot imagine that anyone here would not want to join in on this. ....It gives us a viable alternative of moving in this direction for payment. And, when you take the time to examine this and what can possibly happen next year, I think it's the belief of most people and especially the reasonable people, that this will be the way we'll have to go. I would think that there would be enough people in this House that could think well and put up there 107 votes so this viable alternative would come forward. All we're doing is asking here to suspend the Rule.....and bring this here ....Bill...forward."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that this is the most viable concept that we can come up with at this time for the cash flow problem...the State of Illinois is now facing. Everyone that's knowledgeable admits that we have a very serious problem in this regard and it would seem to me that this is one measure, probably the only measure that we have left to us to solve this critical State problems. Comptroller, the Bureau of the Budget, the Fiscal Economic Commission, everyone admits that we're in very serious trouble with the cash flow. And, if we don't accept this provision, in this type of situation, to correct what is now facing us, we don't have anymore chance.....and we've just got to have 107



those payments at one time. And, further, if there's a problem, why not let the State borrow the money instead of forcing the local school districts into borrowing it?

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? All voted....."

Representative Gene Hoffman.."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I think the last Gentleman's point was a good point and certainly I am not opposed to ..... making this as a permanent program, however, during the Legislative processes of these Bills, the decision was made to do it for the fiscal year 1977. We are going to amend the Bills on Second Reading to provide for interest payments and if it does in fact as frequently happens in the Legislative process, if in fact it does work, well for us, I'm sure that we as a deliberative body will extend it to a longer period of time. Let me say in my own mind, my idea is that this is the first step toward what we call in the business, a current funding of these programs, and I would solicit an 'aye' vote so at least we can put the Amendment on and debate the issue here on the Floor of the House in the time we have left. I'm asking that we put it on the Calendar so we can put the Amendment on, you can look at it in final shape and we can debate the issue on the Floor when that time comes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Schneider.....to explain his vote."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Much of the debate has focused on the question of where Illinois is going to be able to stand come September. We resolved part of our problem of cash flow very simply by making it possible for us to cope with the immediate ....immediacy of making payments in September. The quarterly payment is a fair payment. We already passed out a 'Lucco' Bill on bilingual education, doing the



votes if we want to keep this State in some kind of a fiscal that's reliable and realistic and if you don't vote for this then we're in serious trouble."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen .....as everyone knows, there's been people taking different attitudes and flip-flops in relation to this supposedly ninety-five to one hundred and eighty million dollars, but it's been.....now to the point that everyone believes seriously, we are going to have to cut out that big curve, that....big 'U' come September. This is one way to do it. On your desks are Amendments to help the school districts in case it does pass. All we need are five more votes and I sincerely like to see this pass this evening."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was originally opposed to this too, but as a matter of fact, for those of you who are .....some of you who are voting 'present' at least, who are concerned about what your school districts might think, I think the fact of the matter is that you're going to have a delay in payment anyway because the money simply isn't going to be there and the only question really remaining for you if you are concerned about your school district is whether they're going to be paid for the interest they'll lose having to borrow the funds to meet their payments....And, I think this Bill provides the vehicle to get that money reimbursed by the State and for those of you voting 'present', or at least some of you, if you ....are concerned about the school districts, please vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think that most people in here have to run for election November second and the curve is going to take all of the money out of the State



in September and you're going to be out campaigning in October and the people are going to wonder, you know, why in the world is the State of Illinois broke. And, if you are one of the Representatives, they may be looking for a replacement. And, I think one of the best things to do is to vote 'aye' on this so that you won't have to be answering those questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk....Representative Deuster...."

Deuster: "Well we've been quite liberal but I understood that the rule we were living under was that there were no explanation of votes on Motions like this. I know we've heard from speakers on both sides of the question, maybe we ought to enforce the rule and not have any more explanations."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to do what I could in order to get four or five more votes there to give ....Representative Hoffman the opportunity to debate these Bills....I think the key point in this whole situation is the fact that school districts are.....as has been stated....going to be shortchanged on their payments. I think what this will do is provide that the schools aren't going to receive any less money, as a result of these Bills they'll get their payments and it'll be in a much orderly ....more orderly fashion and I think it merits the consideration of this House otherwise they are going to be in serious problems and we're not going to be able to address ourselves to it. So, I think we need that two or three more votes up there to give Representative Hoffman the opportunity to debate the Bills and the opportunity to pass 'em."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Deuster made a Point of Order. He directed it at you and you acted as though he



did not say anything. Would you respond to his point?"

Speaker Redmond: "Wait until I get the Parliamentarian. Have all voted who wish? Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker....I would like to explain my vote very briefly in saying that, first and most importantly the Sponsor of this Bill, this Motion, has said that it will not cost the school district money because they will amend it to give us the interest. What other reason is there for voting against this Bill? It's good cash management, I've talked to many of the Superintendents in my district, they do not oppose it and I would certainly like to see one hundred and ten 'aye' votes and no verification. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise."

Berman: "I request a verification....Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 109 'aye' and 41 'no'. Representative Berman has requested a verification Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, would you please poll the absentees?"

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Campbell, Capuzi, Coffey, Craig, Farley, Friedrich, Maragos, McAuliffe, McLendon, McPartlin, Rose, Schisler, and Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the Verification. Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Proceed with the Verification."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson...."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative.....Representative Shea.."

Shea: "Could we have the Members in their seats, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Please be in your seats.....during the verification..."



Clerk O'Brien: "Arnell, J. M. Barnes, Beaupre, Birchler, Bluthardt, Boyle,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, because of the number of people standing around, we can't see if the Members are in their seats or not."

Speaker Redmond: "Please be in your seats....The Gentleman's request is reasonable. Representative Geo-Karis..please be in your seat. Representative Deuster...Representative Telcser....Proceed with the verification.. Representative Bluthardt. How is he recorded? Is that Bluthardt?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Clerk O'Brien: "Boyle, Bennett Bradley, Gerald Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Carroll, Catania, Chapman, Collins, Cunningham, Daniels, Deavers, Downs, Duff, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewing, Flinn, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Getty, Grieman, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Holewinski, Jim Houlihan, Hudson, Jacobs, Jaffe, J. D. Jones, Katz, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Macdonald, Madison, Mahar, ...Mahar, Mann, Mautino, McCourt, McGrew, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, O'Daniel, Palmer, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Reed, Richmond, Ryan, Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Schuneman, Sevcik, Sharp, Simms, Skinner, E. G. Steele, C. M. Stiehl, Stone, Telcser, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Van Duyne, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, Walsh, Washburn, Washington, Willer, Winchester, Wolf, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Would you change my vote to 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'aye'. Representative Friedrich?"



Friedrich: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Friedrich: "Record me as 'aye', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record him as 'aye'. Representative  
Berman."

Berman: "On the verification, Lee Daniels?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Daniels. He's here."

Berman: "Representative Wall?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Berman: "Representative Klosak?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak...How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Bluthardt?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt. Representative  
Bluthardt, is he here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Catania?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Catania is coming down the  
middle aisle."

Berman: "Representative Boyle?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Gerald Bradley?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gerald Bradley. Repres-  
entative Bradley in the Chambers? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative ....You took off Bradley?"

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Berman: "Representative Brinkmeier?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier...He's here."



Berman: "Representative Brummet?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummet is here."

Berman: "Representative Carroll?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Carroll. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "I seen signals there. Is Carroll there?  
Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Collins?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins. That doesn't look  
like Collins. Representative Collins?...Remove him.  
How is he recorded, incidently?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Duff?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Ralph Dunn?"

Speaker Redmond: "What was that?"

Berman: "Ralph Dunn?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn is here."

Berman: "Representative Friedland?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland...is here."

Berman: "Representative Getty?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty. Representative  
Collins has returned....Put him back. Representative  
Getty? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Ron Hoffman?"

Speaker Redmond: "Ron Hoffman is in the back."

Berman: "Representative Kempiners?"

Speaker Redmond: "Kempiners is here."

Berman: "Kucharski?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kucharski. How is he





recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Pierce?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Berman: "Pierce?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce. How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Rayson?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rayson. He's here."

Berman: "Representative Tuerk?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff has returned. Put him  
back on the Roll Call. Tuerk is here."

Berman: "Representative Jane Barnes?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jane Barnes...How is she re-  
corded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove her."

Berman: "Representative Jaffe?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe, is here."

Berman: "Representative Jacobs?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jacobs? Representative  
Pierce has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call.  
Representative Jacobs has .....Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Flinn?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, for what purpose  
do you arise?"

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, will you change my vote from 'present'  
to 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'."

Berman: "What happened to Flinn, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Flinn here? How is he  
.....How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



JUN 29 1976

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Lauer?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer is here. Representative James Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe ....on Representative Jacobs, he was verified a second time. Would the Clerk?"

Berman: "No, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't believe so."

Houlihan: "Will the Clerk check that?.....Mr. Speaker, I understand Representative Berman doesn't believe he's been checked. But, I'm asking you to check with the Clerk to see if he was checked a second time."

Speaker Redmond: "Did we verify Representative Jacobs previously?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No, we haven't."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk advises me we did not....Representative Flinn has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. Representative McAvoy, for what purpose do you arise?"

McAvoy: "Change from 'present' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change from 'present to 'aye'."

Berman: "Representative Sevcik?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik....is here."

Berman: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Redmond: ".....What's the score? On this question there's 105 'aye' and 40 'nay'....Representative Mulcahey, for what purpose do you rise? Mulcahey, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Deuster?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Deuster: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Is there any other changes? What's the score? Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise?"



Collins: "I'd like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh won't let you. Have all  
.....Representative Kucharski ....has returned.....what  
did we do with him?"

Clerk O'Brien: "He was voting 'aye' and he was removed from  
the Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Put him back on the Roll Call. Now, what  
is the score? Anybody else returned that should be put  
back on the Roll Call? What is the count?"

Clerk O'Brien: "107 'aye' and 40 'nay'."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 107 'aye' and  
40 'nay' and the Gentleman's Motion carries.....2008."  
2007 is ...the Order of Second Reading, Second Legis-  
lative Day. 2008, Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ...  
could I have leave of the House to have 2008 and 2009  
carried on the same Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Hearing none, leave is  
granted. Representative Berman.....Representative  
Berman objects. 2008."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, am  
I correct that Representative Berman objects to using the  
same Roll Call on 2008 that we used on 2007?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman?"

Berman: "Yes, I object. I think the issues are different."

Speaker Redmond: "He objects."

Hoffman: "Senate Bill 2008 does exactly the same thing as  
2007 did for transportation, 2008 does the...spreads out  
the payments for special education. As I've indicated  
to you, we plan to put an Amendment on the Bill on Second  
Reading. Representative Polk has the Amendment.....to  
provide for interest payments to the school districts  
and I would ask for ...at least or better ....the same  
vote on 2008 as we had on 2007."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Berman."



Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, there's a difference and let me explain why I've asked for division of the question and different Roll Calls. 2007 that just passed with a bare 107 votes, deals with reimbursement of transportation. Under the changes that were passed in the Jaffe Bill, and the established school aid formula, many school districts get paid twice for transportation. This Bill deals with payment for special education. No school district gets paid twice for special education. In fact, Ladies and Gentlemen, the school districts in the State of Illinois didn't even get paid fully once, for FY-75 payments that they expended, that we short changed them by failing to pass the supplemental appropriation for special education. Now, I would point out to you, Mr. Speaker, if I could have a little attention, "

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order .... please."

Berman: "Now, what the Sponsor of the Bill has suggested is that the State is going to pay the school districts interest on the late payments. Now, I would point out to you that there are school districts in the State of Illinois that interest won't do them any good. They are short on the cash to pay their special education obligations. We've imposed upon them the obligation of paying for special education out in front. This is a reimbursement program. Not only are we not giving them the money as proposed in 2008, we're asking them to go out and borrow ....and I would dare say that there are school districts throughout the State of Illinois that just can't borrow, so the gesture of giving them interest isn't going to help those school districts. This is a Bill that deserves a 'no' vote. That's the kind of vote I would solicit from this Body."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan.....Representative Shea.."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, under the suspension of rules that Mr.



JUN 29 1976

201.

Lundy passed with regards to House Rules 55 and 56, on a Motion, the proponent gets one shot....the opponent gets one shot and there's no explanation of votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lundy, will you clarify your Motion? Did your Motion refer to Senate Bill....."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think if the Clerk will look at the Motion he'll see that it applies only to House Bills, not to Senate Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Well, yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't see anything so miraculous about ..... and unique....about this Bill, because I filed the same Bill on April 1st, Representative Schneider filed it about April the 10th. I Tabled mine so we could use his vehicles to do the same thing. Pay 'em out in six months installments, four months installments, any variation you please. All of a sudden this has gotten to be a political Bill and I think that we should pass this Motion and get it on and get the work of the House done."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well, yes....."

Speaker Redmond: ".....The Gentleman between Brinkmeier and the Chair....will he please be seated?"

Brinkmeier: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, .....Members of the House, I just want to support this Motion because this afternoon I got on the phone and talked to the superintendents back home and they felt, with this type of an Amendment, that they could support the Bill, there wasn't going to be any problem with the downstate school districts and for that reason I think the Membership should be advised to support it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Mr. Speaker, I move the Previous Question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the Previous Question."



JAN 29 1976

202.

The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor say 'aye'....'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. Representative Hoffman to close."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think a number of the previous speakers who have risen to support this Motion have explained it very well. And, in fact, when Representative Berman started to speak, I thought he was going to support the ...this Motion but I noticed in his closing comments that he moved in the other direction. But, be that as it may, this is more significant to the State of Illinois ...this particular Bill, because of the amount of money that it will spread, than the previous Bill. And, so, certainly if you supported the last Bill, you should certainly support this Bill and I solicit your support."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to advance ....Senate Bill 2008 to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Tom Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote, I understand....just moments ago, the Senate defeated the House Bill that would have reimbursed special education at the tune of \$24,000,000 ....that the Governor had amendatorily vetoed just last year. Now, if you want to really hurt special education, I don't know who talked to what special ed administrators, but I talked to the special ed administrators in Kane and McHenry Counties and they think this is devastating. They can't afford it, they're saying to me that if we .....not only put back....if we don't put back, the money that's owed them, and we put off paying them the money that we should be paying them, we're going to cripple special education as this Legislature has dictated to the people of Illinois that they are going to do. Now, either we're going to work forward or backwards in special



education. To me a green vote up there means you want to rescind and step backwards in aiding special ed in this State. And, it's very odd that of the 24 votes over in the Senate, not one 'aye' vote was a Republican. Now, if the Republicans want to ruin special education twice tonight, continue to vote 'aye' up there."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it should be very clear what the Bill is. It's reimbursement for services that a district has given for special education. On top of that the Amendment will provide interest that they will have earned had they gotten their lump sum. They lose no money, they have their regular amount of cash to apply to the program and they loose no interest. The Illinois Association of School Boards was against this Bill in Committee in its House form because I would not accept the interest Amendments. We are now willing to do that and the Bills therefore have been endorsed by that group. Now, if there is any group that is conscious of the needs of schools from the point of view of funding and from the point of view of the amount of interest that is involved, it's the Illinois Association of School Boards and they are endorsing these proposals."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is a clear cut confrontation between two principles that most of us hold. On the one hand if we vote 'no'.....I mean there is a very great incentive to vote 'no' because that will allow us to have our Governor go down in flames and be blamed for what he brought on the State, which is basically bankruptcy. On the other hand, there's the principle of .....should we be responsible and be ...and vote for the



type of Legislation that will allow us not to go into the red, until the new Governor can get in and lead us to an income tax or something like that. Now, it seems to me there is a common denominator among those who are voting 'no', and most of them support someone other than Governor Walker.....including my colleague from McHenry County."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I hesitated to rise on this question, as on the last Bill, in that I think I've indeed debated this issue before. But, Representative Shea in his comments on the last Bill talked about straightening out a curve. He's talking about straightening out a curve in Revenue in expenditure, in the General Revenue Fund. On the last two Bills we've heard a great deal of discussion about education and the effects on school districts and so forth...but we're talking about the General Revenue Fund. We've talked about red balances, going into the 'red'.....well, the fact of the matter is, in the General Revenue Fund you can't go into the 'red'. It's impossible to go into the 'red'. When there are no funds left in the treasury...the Comptroller just stops making payments. That's what happens. That's what we're talking about. We're not only talking about whether payments will be delayed to school districts, we're talking about whether they will get them at all. We're talking about whether Public Aid recipients will get their checks at all in September or October. We're talking about whether health care providers .... that provide Medicare services to the citizens of this State who are needy will get paid at all. What I'm suggesting to you is that you may be looking forward to an encampment on the Capitol lawn like we've never seen in this State if we don't do something about this cash flow crisis. It is indeed a crisis. Those..... who are not willing to





JUN 29 1976

205.

recognize it....have their head in the sand. Every major office seeker in this State has recognized it.... but one. Thank God he is not a member of my party, but let me suggest to you that the leaders of my party ought to be standing up for what is indeed a statesman like act, and that is .....to bail this situation out. We have to do it, we must do it, it's not a political question, obviously it transcends both parties. I'm proud to say that my colleagues on the other side of the aisle are standing up and doing what they believe is necessary for the operation of State government. We're not only talking about schools, we're talking about ...will government function in this State."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I spoke on the last one and I'm going to speak very briefly on this, but I'd like to point out.....to the Chairman of the Fiscal Economic Commission, who probably knows as well as anybody in this House, at least as well and probably better, that we are in an extreme critical financial position and it would seem to me that his red light ought to be removed and green put up there so that the people would know by his leadership that we are in a fiscal problem. As Chairman of that Commission he knows very well that we are going to be bankrupt if we don't use this kind of a means to solve it and he should stand up and say 'yes, we need 107 votes and give it to us'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I appreciate the comments made by the previous speakers, I have attempted over the ten years that I've been in the General Assembly, to do what I believe is right,..... what I believe is fiscally sound.....and morally honest."



JUL 29 1962

I don't think ... in the past five terms that I've been in the General Assembly, that I could be accused of being a particular partisan Legislator. I've attempted to do what I feel is right for all of the people of the State of Illinois, and in particular the boys and girls of the State of Illinois. And, I tell you tonight, and I tell you with all sincerity tonight, that I wouldn't be sponsoring these Bills if I didn't think that this was in the best interest of not only the boys and girls in the State of Illinois, but all of the citizens of this great State. We can ill-afford in these times, to be partisan...and I rise before you tonight as a representative of, not only the people of my district but I sincerely believe as a representative of all of the people in the State of Illinois, as the Sponsor of this Bill, in encouraging a few more people to go on and give us the votes that are necessary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of the Motion that would put Senate Bill 2008 on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day and I do so as a bipartisan effort. I think if you'll look up on that board you'll see that there are Members of both sides of the aisle voting in support of this Legislation which will allow the State to operate and yet would allow the school districts to receive their full share. We've witnessed what politics did to funding of education last Session. We witnessed what people did in their efforts to bring politics into the educational area. I think that was unfortunate. What we need now is a bipartisan effort to remedy a very serious situation. The State just does not have the money to make a full entitlement at that period of time. The State is willing to make that on a quarterly basis, willing to pay the interest, and I think they ought to be given the opportunity to solve this



problem so that the school districts will get what they deserve.....and yet the State will not go bankrupt. And, I would urge an 'aye' vote, I would urge those Members who are voting 'red' to put aside the politics of the Primary, to put aside their feelings of about, vindictiveness or their feelings that there ought to be a crisis in State government. I don't think State government ought to have a crisis just for political reasons. I would urge an 'aye' vote.....on this Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, it seriously bothers me to stand and speak on two-zero-zero-eight this evening because I spoke this afternoon on 3518 and really vented my feelings and indicated there'd be nothing more today that would make me feel necessary to stand up and try once again; the reason why I believe we should support this...and, support those young people. What we're talking about is education. We talked to someone,...one of the Gentlemen on the other side of the aisle, indicated earlier, that we wouldn't be putting the money back into the schools if we did this. Well, let me tell you it's been pointed out by everyone from both sides who are running for the....in the executive branches of this government, that if we don't, when that 95 million dollar curve hits the bottom, there will be no money for the school system. And, here is one way that we can guarantee and prove to the schools that they will have money coming in on a quarterly basis, plus if we get the Amendment put on, give them back the interest that they would have lost otherwise. I think it's extremely important that both of these Bills get out of here tonight. We have an opportunity to amend them."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 114 'aye' and 36 'no', the Gentleman's.....Representative Berman."



Berman: "We were so close last time....I'm prevailed upon to ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested a.....Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think this is a waste of the House time.. Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Representative Schneider. Do you seek recognition?"

Schneider: "Poll the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's requested a Poll of the Absentees. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Aye.....Representative Kelly?.....'aye', Representative Londrigan?"

Londrigan: "Change to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him from 'no' to 'aye'. Poll of the Absentees has been requested."

Clerk O'Brien: "J. M. Barnes, Bluthardt, Campbell, Capuzi, Carroll,....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I ask that the Members be in their seats and the Clerk take it a little slower."

Clerk O'Brien: ".....the absentees..?"

Lechowicz: "I'm watching those too..."

Speaker Redmond: "...That's a good trick.....the absentees please be in their seats!.....Members please be in their seats."

Lechowicz: "I'd like to have the Clerk take it a little slower."

Speaker Redmond: "Members, please be in their seats....Representative McMaster, for what purpose do you arise?"

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote from 'present to 'aye'?"

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'present' to 'aye' Proceed with the Poll of the Absentees."

Clerk O'Brien: "Coffey, Craig, Getty, Kane, Klosak, Leon,



Leverenz, Maragos, McAvoy, McPartlin, Riccolo, Rose, Stubblefield, and Younge."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson, ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Gene Hoffman, do you seek recognition?"

Gene Hoffman: "....It's okay..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Anderson...Arnell, Beaupre, Birchler, Boyle, Bennett Bradley, Gerald Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Myers, Caldwell, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Collins, Cunningham, Daniels, Deavers, Deuster, Downs, Duff, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Ewing, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Geo-Karis,"

Speaker Redmond: ".....Started with 120...."

Clerk O'Brien: "Grieman, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Holewinski, Jim Houlihan, Hudson, Jacobs, Jaffe, J. D. Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill....for what purpose do you arise?....Mr. Hill..."

Hill: "There's a person on this Floor helping to verify this Roll Call....with a beard on his face, and either he moves away from there ....off the floor....and I resent him being here for that purpose.....I don't believe he has a pass to be here."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken. Will the ..... Representative Madigan?.....Mr. Berman's....."

Madigan: "The Gentleman he's referring to is an employee of the Staff and Staff people always participate in these things.....from what I've seen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill..."

Hill: "Is he on the State payroll?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's on the Speaker's payroll."

Hill: "He's on the Speaker's payroll?"



Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Hill: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Does he have to shave?.....Proceed."

Clerk O'Brien: "Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber,  
Londrigan..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do  
you rise?"

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I cannot see through some of these  
people that are standing in the aisle, on the other side."

Speaker Redmond: "Please be in your seats. Representative  
Simms, will you please sit down? Representative Deuster,  
you're sitting down...Representative ...Ryan, Repres-  
entative Mugalian....take your seat. Representative  
Anderson....Representative Deavers, will you please be  
seated. Representative LaFleur, take your seat. Rep-  
resentative Leon, for what purpose do you arise?"

Leon: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Leon: "Record me as voting 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Proceed with  
the verification."

Clerk O'Brien: "Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Macdonald, Madison, Mahar,  
Mann, Mautino, McAuliffe, McClain, McCourt, McGrew,  
McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mudd, Mugalian,  
Mulcahey, O'Daniel, Palmer, Peters, Polk, Porter, Randolph,  
Rayson, Reed, Richmond, Rigney, Ryan, Sangmeister  
Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider,  
Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Schuneman, Sevcik, Sharp, Simms,  
Skinner, E. G. Steele, C. M. Stiehl, Stone, Telcser,  
Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Van Duyn, Von Boeckman, Waddell,  
Wall, Walsh, Washburn, Washington, Willer, Williams,  
Winchester, Wolf, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the Affirmative Roll Call?  
Representative Berman."



Berman: "Representative Boyle?.....Excuse me, Mr. Speaker,  
what are we starting at?"

Clerk O'Brien: "....119..."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Boyle?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle, is he....in his seat?  
Remove him."

Berman: "Gerald Bradley?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gerald Bradley? He's here."

Berman: "Mrs. Catania?"

Speaker Redmond: "She's here.....She's a little girl!"

Berman: "Mr. Duff?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Friedland."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedland. He's here."

Berman: "Representative Hart?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart?.....How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Representative Hart was taken off?"

Speaker Redmond: "Hart was taken off."

Berman: "Ron Hoffman?"

Speaker Redmond: "Ron Hoffman. He's here."

Berman: "Jaffe?"

Speaker Redmond: "Jaffe...He's over there. With his counsel."

Berman: "Kucharski?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kucharski? Kucharski? Is  
that Kucharski phoning there? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "John Dunn?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative John Dunn? How is he re-  
corded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Redmond: "He's here. He's in the back."

Berman: "Representative Mahar?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's in the Press Box.....He's changed jobs.  
More pay."

Berman: "McAuliffe?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe? How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "McGrew?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew.....How is he recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him."

Berman: "Meyer?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer is here."

Berman: "Polk?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew has returned, put him  
back on the Roll Call."

Berman: "Polk?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk?"

Berman: "I see him."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Berman: "Sevcik?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik is here."

Berman: "Sharp?"

Speaker Redmond: "Sharp is here. Representative Duff has re-  
turned. Put him back on."

Berman: ".....question...., Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "No further questions? Representative  
Kelly? No. Representative Stubblefield? No. What's  
the score, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Who's the other change, Stubblefield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Stubblefield, no."

Clerk O'Brien: "114 'ayes'...."





Speaker Redmond: "114 'aye'..."

Clerk O'Brien: "38 'nay'."

Speaker Redmond: "38 'nay', the Gentleman's Motion prevails.

The order of Second Reading. 2009....is that on here too....or just...Representative Hoffman, 2009."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, did you say that 2008 passed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes....yes I did."

Hoffman: "Motion passed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Motion carried and it's on the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 2009....separates the summer school and impaction aid entitlement from the general distributive formula. These two payments are reimbursement payments, whereas the distributive formula is not and by separating them out....they are separated from any proration that will certainly be necessary if the Bill that was passed this afternoon is signed into law. I ask for your affirmative votes on Senate Bill 2009, which I move to discharge the Committee and place on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Berman: "Representative Hoffman, does this Bill make it easier to refuse to pay summer schools for the summer of 1977?"

Hoffman: "That can be done anyway, Representative Berman; what this does is it separates the two sections ...so..... ..in fact, I think it's the same as, 3149.....er whatever the number was....3147....which was part of that Bill which separates them and they don't ....be treated the same as .. the general distributive formula for proration."

Berman: "Does this mean that we'd have to ....allocate separate dollars on a line item .... if this Bill passes?"

Hoffman: "I believe that the .... there was a separate line



item in the appropriation Bill, 1712, this year."

Berman: "Well, that's true but the reason I asked this is because there's very few summer schools in operation. Chicago for example has a very protracted summer school session this year. We've cut down in 1712, from 37 million to only 3 million. Now, if the financial condition of the State turns around and we want to re-instate a State summer school program for FY-77, won't these Bills cause us .... a more difficult time in doing that?"

Hoffman: "In my judgment, ...no."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Hoffman?"

Hoffman: "I just renew my motion."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that ....Senate Bill 2009 be advanced to the order of Second Reading....Second Legislative Day. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 119 'aye' and 25 'no'.....the Gentleman's Motion carried. 2009 is on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Hoffman: "I want to .....extend to the Members of the House, regardless of how they feel on this issue, my thanks for taking the time and engaging in the serious debate and discussion on this issue at this late hour."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "The Members appointed to the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1956 are as follows...Stubblefield, Berman, Bradley, Totten, Ryan...The Meeting will be held



at 9:30 in the East Corridor. Members appointed to the Conference Committee on Senate Bill 1524 are as follows, Stubblefield, Berman, Bradley, Totten and Ryan. Meeting in the East Corridor at 9:30. Messages from the Senate. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate acceded the request of the House....First Conference Committee to consider the difference in regard to Senate Bill 1950, action taken by the Senate June 29th. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded the request of the House for a Second Conference Committee to consider the difference regarding Senate Bill 1625. Action taken by the Senate June 26th, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1594, action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee, Senate Bill 1637, action taken by the Senate June 29th, 1976...Man, I can't get my numbers straight. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following First Conference Committee Report on House Bill 3952, adopted by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title, House Bill 3512, passed the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title, House Bill 3721, together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title, House Bill 3810,



together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate as amended, June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of the Bill of the following title, House Bill 3891, together with the following Amendments, passed the Senate as amended, June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further Messages."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything more?"

Clerk Selcke: ".....Messages.....Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of the Amendment of the House of Representatives Bill with the following title. Senate Bill 1804, action taken by the Senate June 29th, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1651, concurred in by the Senate June 29th, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded in the request of the House for a First Conference Committee to consider the difference ~~of the~~ two houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1999, action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded in the request of the House for a First Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 2 and 3 to Senate Bill 1603, action taken by the Senate June 29th, 1976. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded in the request of the House for a First Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments #1 and 3 to Senate Bill 1956, action taken by the Senate June 29th, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

messages."

Speaker Redmond: "On the order of business is Motions. On Motions appears Senate Bill 1854, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "How about 1853 first?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's carried already."

Maragos: "Good."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummet, for what purpose do you rise? 2015.....We're going to get there."

Brummet: "2015..."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll get there if everybody is quiet, we'll get to all the Motions. Wait a minute.....What happened to 1854? That's listed twice on the Calendar, Mr. Clerk? Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Both of these Bills.....we had 'em this morning on a verified Roll Call for the Motions for First Legislative Day should be off of the Calendar. We passed two of them this morning.....on Motions."

Speaker Redmond: "Wait a minute now...."

Maragos: "We spent an hour and a half on 'em this morning .... verified Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk advises me that 1853 carried, is that right, Mr. Clerk?...And, 1854, Second Reading, Second Legislative Day is carried."

Maragos: "The other Motions for the First Legislative Day are withdrawn, both of them."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay."

Maragos: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection, hearing none, leave is granted to withdraw the Motion with respect to advancing it to the Order of Second Reading, First Legislative Day. Senate Bill 1949, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1949, there's a Motion to discharge the Committee on Assignments and place it on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. We appeared



before the Rules Committee and it was exempted there but there was no Committee that we could put it into because the time was running short. The Bills concern themselves with an extension of time for the reporting of the State's Attorney Study Commission as September 1st at which time the Act will be repealed and to extend for one year the Deaf Impairment Study Commission, which is Senator Johns' over in the Senate. So, I move you, Mr. Speaker, to discharge the Committee on Assignments and place it on the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day..... I might add, I've talked to the Leadership on both sides and there's no objection."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to discharge the Committee on Assignment of Bills and advance to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. It'll take 107 votes...I believe All those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Shea, 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 'aye' and 2 'no'.....the Motion carries and Senate Bill 1949 is advanced to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Representative Lundy, with respect to the suspension of Rules 55 and 56....is that.... Out of the Record.....Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe this Motion has already been adopted. Last Wednesday or Thursday."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Representative Hart. Representative Hart here? Okay, take his Motion out of the record. 2015, Representative Brummet. Representative Brummet? I can't see Representative Brummet. The rather large Gentleman standing in front of me, that's Representative Berman. Representative Pouncey...."

Pouncey: "I'll try to stand tall."



Speaker Redmond: "Senator Hynes....Can't see....Representative Boyle, please be seated."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Motion to take Senate Bill 2015 from the Assignment of Bills and put on the Second Reading, the Second Legislative Day. And, what it does, it just restores access rights to a piece of property down in Madison County, and this got lost somewhere in the schuffle; the reason it's this late coming through, it was supposed to have been done last spring, it's an agreement between the Department of Transportation and it carries a fee of \$8700, which sounds to me like a tremendous amount of money for just letting somebody into their property....off of an old Rouse.....but that's what it is. I'd appreciate a favorable report."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to take Senate Bill 2015 from the Committee on Assignments and advance it to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, without reference to Committee. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? 107 votes. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 125 'aye' and no 'nays' and the Motion carries and Senate Bill 2015 is advanced to the Order of Second Reading, without reference to Committee. Second Reading, Second Legislative Day.....1711, Representative Hanahan..... That's lurking around here someplace."

Hanahan: "1711....no.....18...ah..."

Speaker Redmond: "Houlihan....pardon me. D. L. Houlihan..... I knew it was one of you guys. D. L. Houlihan...1711... You got a Motion lurking around here....Discharge Committee on Rules...and advance to the Order of ....."

Houlihan: "Take it out..."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the Record....Request of the Sponsor. Conference Committee Reports.....Senate Bill 1594, Rep-



representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 1594 is ....regarding ...to the pension impact note that there was a problem that we had to amend a Bill because there wasn't a provision whereby a Member could request an impact statement on Second Reading and with the agreement of the Sponsor of the Amendment and the Leadership on both sides of the House there was unanimous agreement on the Conference Committee Report and I would urge adoption of the Conference Committee Report #1 on Senate Bill 1594."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Collins?"

Collins: "Yes. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd just like to thank the Sponsors of the Bill, in both the Senate and the House for their cooperation in meeting the objections of some of us, mainly myself, to this Bill as originally introduced. I think that the compromise that was worked out is reasonable. It is.... it allows the Sponsors of the Bills to accomplish the aims they set out to do with the Bill. It removes the objections that some of us had.....as to the restrictions that it would place on individual Members of the House and I would urge the adoption of this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "Further discussion? The question's on the Gentleman's Motion that the House adopt First Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1594. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Final action....89 votes. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 140 'aye' and no 'nay' and the House does adopt the First Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1594. Senate Bills, Second Reading, 1678, Representative Maragos."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1678, .....got it?.....Second Reading....."





Maragos: "No Amendments."

Clerk Selcke: "What Calendar is it on?"

Speaker Redmond: "It's on the Supplemental #4."

Clerk Selcke: "Supplemental #4? Senate Bill 1678, a Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Maragos: "No Amendments from the Floor."

Clerk Selcke: "No Floor Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading....What happened to 1678? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1. Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "No Amendments...Third Reading...Representative....."

Washburn: "Wait a minute....I think we ought to wait until the Calendar is distributed ...at least before we take any action so we'll know what's on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Page...Calendar #4 been distributed?"

Washburn: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Page..."

Washburn: "It's being distributed now.....Yeah, up in the front of the room."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I just got a copy of a Calendar that says Supplemental #4, and what appears on the Calendar are three Bills that just got advanced and they are marked Amended. Now, is somebody anticipating something?...Or,"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know..."

Shea: "...I was just wondering who had them printed with the Amendments on here?"

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised by the Clerk that there have been Floor Amendments filed...and the Clerk..."

Shea: "On Third.....on Third....Third Reading, the Calendar should look like this and is somebody getting us all set for tomorrow or what?"



Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk says it's a scrivener's error. You just take ....just take your pen and strike out the 'A' in front of the numeral '2'. Question's on the Gentlemen's Motion.....No....No...Third Reading on 1678. 2007.....Representative Hoffman...Representative Schuneman."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2008...."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, a question of the Chair, Mr. Speaker. Are we skipping over Supplemental Calendar #1 and going to the Bills on Supplemental #3?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we're going on Supplemental #3 to the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading. Which one do you have reference to, Representative Schuneman?"

Schuneman: "Well, there are a number of Bills on the Supplemental #1 Calendar, Mr. Speaker, and I...."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll get back to 'em. I'll call every Bill here. Representative Porter, 2007....right.."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker....Mr. Speaker, I don't think we have this on the Calendar, do we?"

Speaker Redmond: "Supplemental Calendar #4."

Porter: "I haven't got it..."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill, 2007, a Bill for an Act to Amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Is there a time limit on this Calendar?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't have any time on mine."

Clerk Selcke: "No time stamp...."

Lechowicz: "I'd like to have a time stamp. Give us three hours, will you?"

Speaker Redmond: "Seven thirty....wasn't it? Representative Matijevich?"

Matijevich: "That's only for Conference Committee Reports."

Clerk Selcke: "That's right."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken. Representative



Hoffman on the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Polk, Schneider, amends Senate Bill 2007 in the House on page three, after line 25 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman....'G. L.' Hoffman, .....Polk....."

Unknown: "Representative Polk has it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk.....So used to bowing to my colleague that I always call.....Representative Polk."

Polk: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, we've debated the issue at great length on 2007 and it was brought out...the point that we're trying to make in relation to these payments, that there would be available, during this four payments, interest to make up for the loss that the school districts would have. The decision was reached that it would be at 6 percent, paid on a quarterly basis. It will make up the loss that the school districts would have. We have discussed this with practically everybody that's possible, in relation to the school system and they've all agreed that this would certainly be an asset and help and I would appreciate your support on Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2007."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will.....Representative Matijevich..... What's your point?"

Matijevich: "Well, in order to clarify it. It had Conference Committee Report up there and I knew that was an error."

Speaker Redmond: "That wasn't right. Maybe that's anticipatory. Representative....Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could you tell me ....do you feel it's necessary to include interest payment at 6 percent on these?"

Polk: "Yes, or I wouldn't have introduced the Amendment, Gerry."



Shea: "Okay, could you tell me at what rate the State is borrowing money?"

Polk: "No."

Shea: "Could you tell me that ...as I understand these Bills, rather than having a fiscal impact, or this Bill, rather than having a fiscal impact and making the payment in September, it will spread that payment over four equal installments. Is that correct?"

Polk: "Yeah..."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, could I have some order? I can't hear the Gentleman."

Speaker Redmond: "Give the Gentleman order."

Shea: "Now, could you tell me if this Bill does not pass, what the September payment would have been or should be?"

Polk: "If this Amendment doesn't pass?"

Shea: "No. If the Bill.....what will the September payment... should have been? How much would have the State had to pay in September without this Bill?"

Polk: "Ninety-five million."

Shea: "All right. With the introduction of this Bill, that ninety-five million dollars will be spread over four payments. Is that correct, Sir?"

Polk: "Yes."

Shea: "And those payments will be approximately sixteen million dollars....er.....what are we talking about....twenty-three and a half million dollars .....plus?....On September, twenty-three and a half million dollars...plus. In December, twenty-three and a half million dollars... plus.....In March, twenty-three and a half million dollars in June. Is that correct, Sir?"

Polk: "Just a second."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan.."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, unaccustomed as I am to disagreeing with the Majority Leader, I think he's operating under a false premise. I think the State Board of Education



recommended level for the funding of the Pupil Transportation Bill is forty-two million, not ninety million."

Shea: ".....That was Mr. Polk's statement....not mine."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question?"

Houlihan: "...operating under a false premise...."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed....Proceed."

Polk: "Forty-four point five..."

Shea: "All right, so then it's ten plus million. So we'll end up paying interest at least at six percent ...to the school districts. Is that correct, Sir?"

Polk: "Yes."

Shea: "All right. What happens to those school districts that cannot borrow funds?"

Polk: "I don't know any that cannot."

Shea: "All right. Now, let me ask you ...if we spread the forty-two million dollars out over four payments, what, and we assume that the payments are forty million dollars apiece, under this Legislation, what is the payment in September of '77?"

Polk: "That isn't covered.....That's September of '77?"

Shea: "Yes, Sir."

Polk: "That will have to be determined next year."

Shea: "Well, without any other changes in the law, will the Office of Education be obligated to pay approximately forty million dollars in September of '77?"

Polk: "If the claim stays the same."

Shea: "Why....you know....what I'm trying to get at is why are we only making these for one fiscal year instead of over ad infinitum?"

Polk: "Because that's the way the Bill was drafted and I....it was necessary for me to make my Amendment to fit the Bill."

Shea: "Could you tell me what units of local government are paying for interest now?"

Polk: "No.....We were advised that six percent was about what they were paying.....But to specifically tell you what



Cairo is paying and what Chicago is paying, I cannot."

Shea: "What is Cairo paying?"

Polk: "I cannot...."

Shea: "Oh!...I'm sorry, I thought you said you could. But, what you're in effect doing, is admitting here that you're forcing the school districts to borrow this money in anticipation of these payments..."

Polk: "Mr. Shea, that was the Bill....My Amendment now allows these school districts to receive compensation for what the Bill did....I'm not making them do anything."

Shea: "But...But, I know, but you're anticipating that they're going to have to borrow this money or lose interest on it, that's why you're attempting to pay them interest, is it not?"

Polk: "Well, without a doubt, but some of the districts that normally would have deposited their funds, would be losing money that they would normally put into the process of the school system. Therefore by making up the loss in the interest we are alleviating that problem."

Shea: "But, you are ...by this Amendment...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm afraid that Representative Shea is entering a dialogue which is prohibited by our rules."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're correct. Please bring your question to a close, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, again I ask you, what you're doing by this Amendment is admitting that they will either lose interest or be forced to borrow, is that correct, Sir? Mr. Polk?"

Polk: "You're absolutely right."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it does not seem consistent to me, that we have a Bill before us that will



help alleviate the cash flow problem of the State.... and by doing that it is increasing the cost to the State by some four and a half million dollars to alleviate the cash flow problems of the schools. And, it also does not seem consistent to me that it is good business practices or good management, that the schools would be coming to us in the cash flow time and asking us to replace the money they will lose if they had the money to deposit in the bank to earn interest. Or, the money they would have to borrow and the interest they would have to pay on the money they would borrow. Now, this just seems like a poor business practice, it just seems like a kind of a raid on the Treasury here and it certainly doesn't help the spirit of the Bill where we're trying to get at the root of a problem .... the cash flow problem of the State and I would urge everyone to vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Move the Previous Question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the Previous Question."

The question is, shall the main question be put? All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'.....Representative Madigan....Representative Madigan.."

Madigan: "Ah...Mr. Speaker....there's a young page here who took an order for some peanuts from one of the Members and the..."

Speaker Redmond: "That was Representative Schnieder..."

Madigan: "The store ran out of the peanuts and he's got the money and he...wants..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider is a Carter delegate and I'm sure he is the one that wanted the peanuts..... Did you order the peanuts? Brinkmeier.....The question is on the Gentleman's Motion for the Previous Question. All those in favor that the main question be put say 'aye' opposed 'no'....the 'ayes' have it. Representative Polk



to close."

Polk: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, the total amount of cost to the State will be one million dollars and interest. The Bureau of the Budget has indicated that already in the budget they were prepared for that so there'll be no additional money cost here to us and I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #1. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 86 'aye' and 26 .....Representative Mulcahey. Representative Mulcahey. Mulcahey. On this question 86 'aye', 26 'no' and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Representative Miller, for what purpose do you rise?"

Miller: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "...2008."

Clerk Selcke: " Amendment #2...."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading.."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz.

Clerk Selcke: "We've got another Amendment."

Lechowicz: "There's a second Amendment on this, Mr. Speaker."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, I'm getting ready to read it..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2, Pierce, amends Senate Bill 2007 on page one, line one and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I see no number two Amendment circulated on the desks."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Shea..."

Shea: "If the Amendment is on file but not distributed, can we take the Bill out of the record until the Amendment is on the desk?"





Speaker Redmond: "No. No. The answer is 'no'. 2008....."

Third Reading. Representative Lechowicz. Representative  
.....Lechowicz."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker....Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz.."

Lechowicz: "It's been the policy of this House that a person on Second Reading has an opportunity to file....Amendments. Amendments were filed on 2007, 2008 and 2009 and in turn I expect to have those Amendments heard and either adopted or rejected, but we're not going to go through this process again of denying a Member his right to have Amendments offered."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I distinctly heard you say that this Bill went to Third Reading. Now, I don't understand what the argument is about. But, when you made ....when you made that statement, as far as I'm concerned, that Bill is on Third Reading.....and you can't deny you didn't say that."

Speaker Redmond: "You are correct. 2008....Representative Lechowicz."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate....."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I asked when that Amendment was offered to the Clerk and I think that should be put into the record because in turn, by your ruling, right now, you're denying a Member his right and that Bill just came on Second Reading. We went up to the Clerk's desk, filed the Amendment and in turn expected to have it heard."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, not more than five minutes ago, I talked with the Clerk, I was told that the Amendment was filed, the Second Amendment, and I was told it was not distributed. You were elected on a platform of fairness for the House of Representatives, and this is NOT FAIR!!! If you're supposed to be fair ....give people an oppor-



tunity to offer their Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you rise?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, until that Motion receives 107 votes to discharge Committee and place it on Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, we didn't know it was on Second Reading. As soon as that.....as soon as that Motion passed, almost simultaneously...I placed Amendment #2 to House Bill 2007 on the desk. I went over to Representative Hoffman to tell him about it. He already had it. Representative Hoffman already had a copy of it in front of him....at least half an hour ago....just after his Bill received the necessary 107 votes....and Schneider say it, they all had it over there. Why it's not distributed, I don't know."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker, just a Parliamentary Inquiry. Were you in the Chair or was Representative Madigan in the Chair when a similar ruling was applied to a Republican Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "I haven't any idea. Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you declared that Third Reading. I think we should go on to 2008."

Speaker Redmond: "...2008."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I'd like to ask the Speaker how many Amendments are filed with the Clerk on 2008?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Two.."

Speaker Redmond: "Two.....2008."

Lechowicz: "Two...Have they both been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Page, have they been distributed? Amendment one has, how about the other?"



Lechowicz: "Well then take the other one out of the record. Take it out until both of them are distributed."

Speaker Redmond: "...2008."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2008, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, how many Amendments are on the Clerk's desk on this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "How many, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke; "Two."

Speaker Redmond: "Two."

Shea: "All right. Have they both been distributed?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know. Mr. Page, have they?..... One is distributed. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, ..."

Speaker Redmond: "He says one has been distributed."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, all right, before you call the Second Amendment, I'd like it on my desk and I would not like the Bill moved to Third Reading and further I would like a fiscal note and I'll file it in writing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative ~~Schneider~~."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is a fiscal note on the desk of the Clerk and if there are two Amendments to be heard on 2008, I think we should be prepared to hear them.....007 is already on Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "...2008, we will proceed. Representative.."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment...Floor Amendment #1, Polk, amends Senate Bill 2008 in the House on page three after the period and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Polk."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, since we have decided total cooperation and spirit of harmony in



relation to Amendment #1, I'd like to point out it's the same Amendment that was on 2007 except it relates to special education. The interest will be paid the same way at six percent....and I'd appreciate your affirmative Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I'm still waiting....I have House Bill 2007, the Amendment #2 here and the Clerk was never given the right to call it."

Speaker Redmond: "I'm calling you on....you seek recognition on ...."

Pierce: "...2008....I have Amendment #2, which is waiting to be distributed....but I want to assure we don't go to Third until we call it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman. ..Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, it does seem to me that my colleague from Lake should be entitled to be heard on the Amendment that he has filed with regard to 2008....the distinguished Gentleman from Lake....has been in the Legislature quite a good number of years. He had no way of knowing and I would certainly hope that the Clerk would be able to distribute that Amendment so that the Gentleman from Lake would be able to have his day in court. We lawyers are very much about the belief that everybody ought to have their day in court and I believe that Legislators are the same way and I do believe that the distinguished Gentleman from Lake is entitled to argue his Motion that has been filed. His Amendment, he is entitled to be heard and I do believe that under the circumstances that the Gentleman ought to be heard."

Speaker Redmond: "No....there will be no problem about hearing the Gentleman. I've been advised by the Clerk that Amendment #2 will be ready in about ten minutes. Now, does the suggestion of the Speaker, with the leave of the House



JUN 29 1976

234.

questions of the Sponsor. If....what I'd like for the Sponsor of the Amendment to deal with is to outline for me in his explanation of vote....the cost to the State, of this Amendment and how that cost will be distributed between Chicago, the collar counties and downstate."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who....Representative Polk, you seem to.....have you got that information? Representative Geo-Karis? Representative Polk?"

Polk: "It's my understanding a fiscal note has been filed and it is at the desk...in total."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Berman?"

Berman: "I didn't get a response to my inquiry?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I asked him if desired to respond.... Representative Polk?"

Polk: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? On this question there's 96 'aye' and 29.....Take the record. 97 'aye', and 31 'no', and the Gentleman's Motion carried. Representative Shea?"

Shea: "I have a request on the Clerk's desk for fiscal note on this Bill and 2009."

Speaker Redmond: "We know it. This will stay on the order of Second Reading.....'til that....."

Shea: "Can I ask.....whom the fiscal notes are prepared?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider?"

Schneider: "Fiscal Note is prepared by the Bureau of the Budget, and I filed it prior to the consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "The Bureau of the Budget, if I understand the Fiscal Note statute, it is required by the Department that is going to make the expenditure, which in this case is the ...either the Superintendent of Public Instruction or the Office of Education....and I would like a ruling of the Chair with regard to who can file the fiscal note in this



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

that we proceed on the question of Amendment #1 and we'll leave it on Second Reading for the purpose of considering Amendment #2. The question....Representative Epton. Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker....a Point of Personal Privilege. I hate to interrupt at this time but something has just come to my attention and I would like the assistance of the House. With your permission, may I read this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I presume it's germane."

Epton: "Well, it is important. It's addressed to me and it says....'Dear Mr. Epton, I have a problem and I thought you might be able to help. I have two brothers. One is a lawyer, the other was just sentenced to death in the electric chair for murder. My nother died of insanity when I was three years old, my sister...' I wish the press would control themselves."

Speaker Redmond: "Please give the Gentleman order. It's most important."

Epton: "Thank you. I appreciate that, Mr. Speaker. '....my sisters were prostitutes and my father sells narcotics. Recently....' Please Gentlemen. 'Recently I met a girl who was just released from a reformatory where she served time for smothering her illegitimate child to death and I want to marry her. My problem is, if I marry this girl, shall I tell her about my brother who is a lawyer?'"

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further on Amendment #1? The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2008. Representative Shea."

Shea: "On the adoption of this Amendment, I would like a Roll Call, please."

Speaker Redmond: "You will get it. The question is on the Gentleman's Motion to adopt Amendment #1. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Berman." Berman...."

Berman: "Before you call the Roll, Mr. Speaker, I have some



case?"

Speaker Redmond: "The appropriate statute provides that the Fiscal Note.....the Sponsor of each Bill referred in section....are presented a copy of the Bill with the request of...the Fiscal Note.....to the board, commission, department, agency or other entity of the State which is to receive or expend the appropriation proposed or which is responsible for collection of the revenue proposed to be increased or decreased or to be levied or provided for. So, the Fiscal Note shall be prepared by such board, commission, department agency or other entity and furnished to the Sponsor....So, it would appear that that is the correct fiscal note that must be furnished ....with Senate Bill 2008....Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have what I am told is a copy of the Fiscal Note....and it's not it....So, could I have a copy of the proper Fiscal Note, because where I...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "...You'll add....the proper note Art, shows ... there another line which states the statewide impact.... all right, it also should be noted that the Illinois Office of Education has signed the Fiscal Note as well."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, you ruled that the proper fiscal note had been prepared and accepted and I think that ought to end it.... because..."

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't rule that...I said that I read the statute as to what the requirements are with respect to a Fiscal Note. Now, the posture of this Bill is that Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 2008 has been adopted. And, I stated that we would keep this Bill on the Order of Second Reading to let Representative Pierce's Amendment be prepared. ....Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I just thought I'd make sure that every thing is clear.... Have you read into the record that this



Bill has been read a Second time on this Legislative Day?"

Speaker Redmond: "The record will so show."

Hoffman: "The record will so show. We'll take...Take this out of the record then and go to Senate Bill 2009....Holding it on Second....Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I'll wait until the Clerk reads in Senate Bill 2009."

Clerk Selcke; "Senate Bill 2009, a Bill for an Act to amend ...the School Code....Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, at this time I'd like to know how many Amendments have been filed?"

Speaker Redmond: "How many Amendments have been filed with the Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "None?...none..."

Clerk Selcke: "None...No Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "No Amendments....Third Reading."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan..."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, on a Point of Parliamentary Inquiry, if there is a Motion to Table Amendments, does that Amendment have to be distributed?..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea?"

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was informed that there was a Fiscal Note filed on 2008. Was there the same note filed on 2009?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk?.....Representative Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, not only did Mr. Shea speak, not at his desk previously, but now he's not timely. I think 2009 is on Third Reading..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well....Well....that's....Inquiry is all right. Representative Shea."





Shea: "I at the time, before these were called, asked for a Fiscal Note, that request is in writing, filed with the Clerk, and if it's not there, I'm going to ask the Speaker to take it back to Third Reading....and if it is there, then it's entitled to be moved..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider.."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, 2009 has no fiscal implications."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I remember quite clearly that Representative Shea asked on 2008 and 2009...a Fiscal Note...and I think that the good Representative knows it has to be filed with the Clerk."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I wrote zero for 2009, is that the right agency?.....There are no implications for nine."

Speaker Redmond: "Fred, let me see that again. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that whatever the implications are, whether it has a fiscal impact or not...hasn't been determined but, there is a note filed now and I believe the Bill is on Third Reading, is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Houlihan: "It remains on Third Reading...."

Speaker Redmond: "Where it is right now. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Rule 31 (e), I read, 'all Bills providing for an expenditure of public funds shall be referred or re-referred to the Committee on Appropriations before final action is taken. All Bills, except those Bills making a direct appropriation, the purpose or effect of which is to increase or decrease the revenue of the State, either directly or indirectly, shall be referred or re-referred to the Committee on Revenue before final action is



taken by this House. Mr. Speaker, I ask you, that pursuant to Rule 31 (e), you recommit, House Bills 2007, 2008 and 2009 to the Committee on Revenue, pursuant to the rules of this House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. M. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, two points on Representative Shea's Motion. One, these Bills do not increase or decrease revenue. And, secondly, I believe that Representative Hoffman moved ....earlier this evening....to suspend the appropriate Rule. And, I believe that that would be one of the appropriate rules....And, we're now on Senate Bills...Third Reading....and Senate Bill 2009 is on Third Reading, I believe."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I'm requesting of you, as long as Lee Schwartz is on your payroll, I would like to have that Gentleman come over to me and advise me on the rules of the House in regard to this situation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schwartz....."

Members: "Yeah.....Yeah..."

Speaker Redmond: "That's a legitimate request. Representative Schwartz.....He lives in Highland Park... Ha...Ha...Ha... Representative Katz.."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, ....if he's in Highland Park, then you'd better send the sheriff after him or someone and get him down here on this Floor. I want advise, he's on your payroll and I'm entitled to, and I want that man right here."

Speaker Redmond: "Turn around.....turn around....."

Members: "Yeah.....Yeah.....Yeah....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, just to correct the record, ...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Lechowicz: "Thank God that Lee Schwartz does not live in Highland Park, he lives on North State Parkway....in Chicago...."

Houlihan: "And, Mr. Speaker, also it should be noted that that's my district and he's not a Representative yet."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, but I happen to know where he's contemplating moving and that's Representative Katz's district. Representative Katz."

Katz: "Yes, as usual, Mr. Houlihan and Mr. Lechowicz are just a little late. He's a citizen of Glencoe, the finest community in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, could you clarify where we are on the order of business, at this time please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk....We're on 2009, it's been moved to the order of Third Reading, is my memory."

Schneider: "All right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, these Bills were Amended on Second Reading. Now, the Gentleman's Motion was to take 'em from the Committee on Assignment, and order them to Second Reading, without reference to a Committee. Those Bills, as Amended, fall under the purview of Rule 31 (e). And, I ask that you follow the Rules of this House Sir, and rerefer them to the Committee on Revenue."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Is that a Motion .... to refer to ....to commit to Committee? If it is, would you explain how many votes is necessary?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "No, Sir. That is not a Motion. I ask that the Speaker follow the rules of the House and recommit 'em."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis.....for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Did I understand correctly, the Sponsor of this Bill to say, there's not any more money anticipated to be expended. Is that correct, Mr. Schneider?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative....Who's the Sponsor? Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to refer to the Motion that was made ....we moved to discharge the Committee on Assignment and advance to the Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. That Motion is very clear, we asked specifically that that be done and it was done pursuant to the Rules. We had 107 votes.... and I think the ruling of the Chair....should be the negative to Representative Shea."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, this Bill 2007 and 2008, these Bills, did not call for an expenditure of State money until they were amended. Once they were amended it requires the payment of interest by the State, to the school districts....and the fiscal note indicates that there is an expenditure of State money that's attached to 'em. That did not exist when the Motion was made to move 'em to the Order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. Therefore I think that Mr. Shea's Motion....er....not Motion, but Mr. Shea's reference to 31 (e), is perfectly proper and that the Chair should act on that Rule."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is no money in this Bill. This is a substantive Bill, this is not an appropriation Bill, this is not a revenue raising Bill, this is a substantive Bill and that Motion is out of order."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, point of information. If we're going to pay six percent interest, then we're going to earn equivalent of six percent interest on the money that we're not spending so I would think there would be no expenditure of any money, it would just be a transfer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I had earlier called to your attention that Representative Hoffman's Motion was to suspend the appropriate rules...and I indicated that I believe that included this Rule which Representative Shea is now raising....And, I'd asked you at that time for a ruling and I believe that the Amendment did include the appropriate rules to move these Bills. I believe that the necessary votes were on the board, these Bills were moved and I assume that that's the order of business we're on now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Rule 31 (e) clearly says that there is either a requirement for an appropriation or it effects the revenue....either one directly or indirectly....you must send them to the Committee ... ~~either~~ or Revenue or Appropriations. I asked for a Fiscal Note and the Sponsor of the Legislation filed Fiscal Notes informing this Body that they do require the expenditure and appropriation of State funds. Now, by their own Fiscal Note, in our rules, you must rerefer them to Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Rule 31 (e) also goes on to say that all Bills except those making a direct appropriation. These Bills did not make a direct appropriation, we're going to get interest on money coming in...and therefore I submit the Gentleman's Motion is out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, if ....if the House recalls....or if they were listening anytime in the last couple of weeks,



when I was speaking, I filed a few of these Motions myself. And, at one time I asked the Chair to rule on this and the Chair ruled that I was not timely. Another time I appealed for the ruling of the Chair and was defeated soundly by the opposition from the other side of the aisle. Another time, the Chair ruled that I was out of order, today, I moved twice on two motions....or argued two motions here, where the Chairman of the Revenue Committee asked his Bills to be exempt from the Committee. Now, I don't know what good it does to do these things, although I'm sympathetic to the people on the other side of the aisle in their frustration, but I've certainly had my frustrations on this side of the aisle for the past two weeks, trying to convince them that 31 (e) was a Rule to follow. And, the odd thing about it it seems that under 31 (e), where...it's Appropriations Committee, the Committee on Assignment of Bills, automatically does this and the Committee hearing the Bills, but when it's a Revenue Committee, they completely forget about this. So, I wish the Chair would rule on this, whether they are timely in their Motion, when I was not timely in my Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, the Majority Leader is trying to suggest to you, in an interpretation of the Rule, which is indeed a most narrow interpretation. Throughout this Session we've had hundreds of Bills, substantive pieces of Legislation, that as a result of the expenditure of funds, has required that there be a Fiscal Note and yet under no circumstances were those Bills either referred to Appropriations or to Revenue. I'm suggesting to you that you'd be stepping aside precedence, to rule in accord with what the Majority Leader is asking you to rule..... It would be a most narrow interpretation of the Rule and entirely out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."



Berman: "Mr. Speaker, if I may respond to the last speaker, parliamentary procedures require that a motion or an objection be raised at the proper time. To my recollection this is the first time that this issue has been raised on this Rule and I think it's been raised now and it's properly before the House, and if it hasn't been raised before, then it goes by the board."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, would you please clarify for me, I suppose you would call this a Parliamentary Inquiry, would you please clarify for me, if in the motion to suspend the appropriate rules, Rule 31 (e) has not already been suspended by the vote of more than 107 Members, pursuant to the direction of the Chair, that it would take 107 votes to suspend the appropriate rule to bring this Bill to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair.....The original Motion by the Sponsor of Senate Bill 2007, 2008 and 2009, was for the suspension of the Rule.....or the suspension of the Rule and advance these Bills to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. It is suggested that the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day occurs after the question about the rereferral. Now, since the Amendments have gone on, which may possibly have an appropriation rather than a revenue connotation, it would appear to me, according to Rule 31 (e) that the Gentleman's Motion is well taken....and Representative Deavers seeks recognition."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, at this time, then, I'd like the House to suspend Rule 31 (e) and I'd like a Roll Call vote on that, and will that take 89 votes?"

Speaker Redmond: "That takes 89 votes. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, if that Motion is in writing, and appears on the Calendar, the next Legislative Day, it takes 89 votes. According to Rule 61 (b), that Motion must be in writing, and appear the next Legislative Day on the Calendar,



and I so ask that it be, because according to your ruling you just sent Senate Bills 2007, 2008 and 2009 to a Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe that you're talking about the Rule which requires discharge....and it is my judgment..."

Shea: "Sir, you just said that my statement was proper, therefore before he files his Motion, you must send those Bills to Committee....and once you do, Sir, it takes 107 votes to take 'em from Committee. Now, I asked that you send them there, you said I made a proper motion and as Speaker of the House, I ask that you assign them to Committee and let him then take them from the Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian advises me that if we had already sent them there that your Motion would be correct."

Shea: "Sir, ...."

Speaker Redmond: "But, they have not been sent to the Committee on Appropriations and therefore the Parliamentarian advises me that the Motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 31 (e) requires 89 votes."

Shea: "Sir might I ask you, Mr. Speaker, you are obligated..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hill: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. You made your ruling, let's go on with the vote. I'm getting a little tired of holding this body up."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on Representative Deaver's Motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 31 (e). Those in favor indicate by voting 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative James Houlihan.....89 votes."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I take it that Representative Deaver's Motion was in regard to the three Bills?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I suppose it's the same ....Have all voted who wish? Representative Matijevich."





Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I really don't know how to vote unless I hear that Motion. I understand it's in writing. Could the Clerk read that Motion?"

Clerk Selcke: "I move to suspend Rule 31 (e), Representative Gill Deavers."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that Motion does nothing. All it says is it suspends a rule. It doesn't do anything. ....No it doesn't.....Well, Adeline, I think I know the rules a little bit around here....and that Motion that I heard read ...all it does is suspend the rule, it doesn't go further than that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that you have the Clerk read that over again. I don't think he read it fully and this will clarify it."

Clerk Selcke: "I move to suspend Rule 31 (e), as it pertains to Senate Bills 2007, 2008, 2009, Representative Gill Deavers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Just for the record, that was changed while the vote and the board was open and I ~~object~~ to that procedure and you know it isn't fair and it isn't fair for anyone of the Members of this House....It's wrong."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it takes an awful lot of audacity on the part of anyone who's opposed to these Bills to make comments about anyone else being dilatory or trying to drag the action of this House. It's obvious to everyone here, including those who are doing it, what they're doing. I mean if we're going to play it, let's play it for what it is, let's play it straight and leave the phony three dollar bills somewhere else."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative



Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "I don't think anything is absolutely phony, I just want to put it in the record, exactly what transpired, and in turn, if you think it's phony, Hoffman, I disagree with you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 103 'aye' and 35 'nay' and the Gentleman's Motion prevails. Representative Gene Hoffman, do you seek recognition?.....Senate Bill 2008, I understand #2 has been distributed."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2008 has been read a second time before...Amendment #1 has been adopted...Amendment #2, Pierce, amends Senate Bill ...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #2 to House Bill 2008 is the same Amendment that I tried to put on 2007, which was never called. Earlier today, in accepting .... on concurring in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3518, the School Aid Formula Revision Bill, there was a provision in that Bill that many of you didn't like but you had to vote for concurrence because there was other things in there that you did like, like transportation tax, or roll back, or reducing the qualifying rate...or hold harmless, so on and so forth. Well, there was a provision in that Amendment, Senate Amendment that we concurred in, House Bill 3518, which completely removed the local control by school boards...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Pierce: ".....dismissal of teachers who are certified...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson...."

Anderson: "My point is, how can you amend something that isn't law yet?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know.....Representative Pierce, do you want to continue?"



Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Bill here that ....I have a Bill here that amends a final Act of this Legislature, and if the Gentleman thinks we can't amend a final Action of this Legislature, he better go back to law school. Now, there's....the Legislature can amend...."

Speaker Redmond: "He's a metallurgist..."

Pierce: "....a Bill that has.....he is a metallurgist....excuse me....Mr. Anderson, what this Bill does ....what this Amendment does, is takes out that provision which dictates to the local school board that they must reduce force strictly on seniority. Now, I feel seniority is important and should be considered...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Pierce: "....but it's surely not the only consideration for removing teachers..."

Anderson: "I would like a ruling on that point, Mr. Speaker.."

Speaker Redmond: "I think his Amendment is in order.."

Anderson: "It's in order?"

Pierce: "It certainly is..."

Speaker Redmond. "Representative Hoffman..."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce, will you please..... continue.."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we all understand what Representative Pierce is doing, but I'm asking for a ...ruling from you.... he strikes language that is not in the law. You can pick out any copy of the School Code that you want to and it is not in the law and it will not be in the law until it is signed ....or not signed.....or until it would be signed by the Governor. Therefore, I think this Amendment is out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "The Parliamentarian has inquired, what isn't



in the present law?"

Hoffman: "What isn't in the present law is what he has stricken  
The language that is stricken is not in the law."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you ruled on Mr. Anderson's objection.

There's been final action of this House and there certainly  
ly is a way .....final action of the General Assembly....  
in concurring in the Senate Amendment....and the Legis-  
lature certainly has got the power to change something  
that it has passed through final action, even before it  
is signed by the Governor, otherwise it would be helpless  
.....helpless to undo its own Act. Now, what this Amend-  
ment does, is restore to the local school boards their  
right to collective bargaining and also to the teacher  
organizations their right to bargain collectively on re-  
ductions in force. I've talked to representatives of  
teachers unions who feels it's wrong ....it's wrong to  
take out from collective bargaining, the right of sen-  
iority to be negotiated, and that's what that Amendment  
did in the Senate. I know the House Sponsor, Representative  
Jaffe wasn't crazy about that, but it was part of Amend-  
ment #1 and he had to move to concur. Now, here's an  
opportunity for us to undo, in a good Bill,....for us to  
undo, something that we shouldn't have done, but were  
forced by Senate to do, and that is remove local control  
completely over the reduction in force of certified  
teachers. Seniority is important; it should be considered,  
but it certainly isn't the only consideration. Teachers  
have been running around and getting certified in fields  
where they have no experience, only so they can bump a  
teacher that has taught in that field because they have  
more seniority....and they are all running around trying to  
get certified in areas where they are not experienced.  
This is a good Amendment, it should be considered on its  
merits, it will restore the law to what we all want it to

#11



be, and take out the Senate Amendment...that part of Senate Amendment #2 which really didn't belong in the formula revision, and should be taken before the Committees of this House. This provision was never considered by the Education Committee.... "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, this Amendment is clearly out of order; the Gentleman inserts language and strikes that language that is inserted. I wish you would rule that this Amendment is out of order for the reason that Representative Anderson and Hoffman stated and ask these crybabies to stop this travesty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, you've already ruled on the Amendment and said it was germane and Mr. Walsh certainly knows how to appeal the ruling of the Chair....if he feels it is necessary."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that your ruling on Representative Anderson's request was different from the ruling that Representative Hoffman asked for and I think that they asked different questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, since we are not making much progress, I would move that Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2008 lie on the Table."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "I was in the middle of my presentation as Sponsor of the Amendment and I certainly think I have the right to finish my presentation....and...."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed.....and bring it to a close."

Pierce: ".....not be interrupted very rudely by Motions to Table. Now you've ruled on the Parliamentary point; certainly the Legislature has the right to undo something



it's done on final passage, prior to the Governor's action. There's no question you're correct in that ruling. And, all this Amendment attempts to do is to take out language that none of you want. Representative Walsh didn't want that language that took away local control over reductions of certified teachers and took out, even from collective bargaining between teachers and boards, the seniority provisions to be used upon reduction in force of certified teachers. It's a bad impression for us to be dictating to local school districts just what their procedure and precedent should be in reductions in force. It's an insult to home rule, to local control of school boards and certainly it was an insult to this Legislature that that provision was put on in the Senate..."

Speaker Redmond: "Please bring your remarks to a close..."

Pierce: "...without having been heard by either committee... Education Committee in the House or the Senate. I think we know what this Amendment does, I therefore offer Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 2008 to restore a bad mistake that we made earlier this afternoon when we had no choice of separately voting on the different provisions of the concurrent motions that were tied in by one Senate Amendment which included many good things and one definitely bad thing, and that is the seniority provision which I attempt to remove by Amendment #2 and I move adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "I would like to renew, Mr. Speaker, and Members, my Motion to Table Amendment #2 to 2008."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved that Amendment #2 be Tabled. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. Have you determined whether or not the Amendment refers to anything that is in the Bill? If it is not in the Bill, then his Motion is out"



of order."

Speaker Redmond: "I said that the Motion was adequate at the time and Representative Schneider has moved that Amendment #2 lie on the Table. Simple majority. Those ..... Representative Shea."

Shea: "Before you announce final action on this, I'd like to have the absentees polled and to verify the prevailing side."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Shea....Gerry....Mr. Speaker, I just want to point out to you now there are a number of Senate Bills on Second Reading from people on both sides of the aisle. These Bills have been all read a second time. I hope, Mr. Speaker, you'll get to at least reading those Bills, either if you'll leave them on Second Reading for tomorrow so that the Members on both sides of the aisle, who are sponsoring Senate Bills, Second Reading, can still have a chance to have 'em passed in the next Legislative Day, which starts after midnight. I simply want to bring it to your attention."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point is well taken and we will attempt to accomodate all the Members who have Bills...Senate Bills, Second Reading. On this question there's 82..... Take the record. On this question there's 83 'aye' and 64 'no'. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, he can....you can accomplish that very easily, Mr. Speaker, by taking these three Bills out of the record for now and coming back to 'em tomorrow.....They've been read a second time, it will not delay their passage. I've asked that we have a Poll of the Absentees and a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, I will not agree to the notion that we would leave this order of business to satisfy the Gentleman from Cook. I have no opposition towards the verification, although I think it's dilatory.... But,



nonetheless, I would not be willing to leave this order of business, or leave these Bills on this order of business."

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman request a poll of the absentees.....Yes he does."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt, Boyle...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, again, a point of clarification....because things seem to be moving a little bit fast for this old country boy. We were ...."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close...."

Members: "Ha....Ha...Ha...Ha.."

Lauer: "I'm not explaining a vote, Mr. Speaker, I'm asking for a point of clarification."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. I don't know whether that's in the rules, but....."

Lauer: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Lauer's rules. Point of clarification."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I've always been wanting to work under those rules. Now, the Motion is to Table the Amendment. Is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Lauer: "So, if we are in favor of the Amendment, we would vote 'no' on the Motion."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Lauer: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll of the absentees. "

Clerk Selcke: "Boyle, Campbell, Capuzi, Coffey, Craig, Darrow, Epton, Ewell, Geo-Karis, Getty, Hart, Keller, Klosak, Leverenz, Mautino, McAuliffe, McGrew, McPartlin, Peters, Randolph, Riccolo, Rigney, "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rigney, 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Rigney, 'aye', Rose, Schuneman, Washburn, Wolf, Yourell."





Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers, for what purpose do you rise,"

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, change me from 'no' to 'aye',"

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'no', to 'aye', Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, record me 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman 'no', Representative Bradley....Bradley,....."

Bradley, Bennett: "Change me from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Bennett Bradley from 'no' to 'aye', Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm on 'present', really I was waiting for Lee Schwartz to give me some advice, but Hill had him tied up. Change me to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'no', Anything further, Representative Keller, 'no'. Keller."

Clerk Selcke: "Keller, no?"

Speaker Redmond: "Keller, no. Representative Geo-Karis, 'aye', Representative Leverenz, 'no'. Representative Shea,"

Shea: "Just so long as I understand the posture, Representative Pierce put in an Amendment to strike the tenure."

Speaker Redmond: "...no....no..."

Shea: "...I'm just trying to find out the posture..."

Speaker Redmond: "I know you are...."

Shea: "Representative Pierce put in an Amendment ...."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct.."

Shea: "...regarding tenure."

Speaker Redmond: "...and a Motion to Table, the Motion to Table carried and you requested a poll of the absentees... and we've done that...and you've also requested a verification, Mr. Clerk, will you proceed with the verification....of the affirmative Roll Call. 87 'aye', 66 'nay'. Representative Yourell, 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Beaupre, Birchler, Bennett Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Carroll, Catania, Chapman,



Choate, Collins, Daniels, Deavers, Downs, Duff, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ewing, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Gaines, Geo-Karis, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jim Houlihan, Hudson, Jacobs, Jaffe, Dave Jones, Kane, Kempiners, Kent, LaFleur, Leinenweber, Lucco,...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Could we ask the Members to please be in their seats?"

Speaker Redmond: "Please be in your seats, Representative Collins, Fleck, Stearney, Van Duyne, Sangmeister, Luft, Washburn, Ryan.....sit down...Representative Telcser, Representative Hudson, J. Dunn, please be in your seats. Hanahan, be in your seat."

Clerk Selcke: "Luft..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, may I be verified now?"

Speaker Redmond: "May he be verified? Okay."

Clerk Selcke: "Lundy, Macdonald, Madison, Mann, McClain, McCourt, Meyer, Miller, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, O'Daniel, Polk, Rayson, Richmond, Rigney, Ryan, Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Simms, Skinner, E. G. Steele, Sissy Steihl, Stone, Stubblefield, Telcser, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Van Duyne, Von Boeckman, Wall, Washington, Willer, Williams, Winchester, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any.....Representative Giorgi?.....Representative Giorgi from 'aye' to 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "...to 'no'....yeah...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, any questions...of the affirmative Roll Call?"

Shea: "Could you give me the starting count, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count?"

Clerk Selcke: "86 'aye', 68 'nay'...."



Shea: "Representative Anderson?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "Representative Beaupre?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre? He's here."

Shea: "I see him. Representative Carroll?"

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Shea: "Representative Carroll?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Carroll here? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Shea: "Representative Friedland?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "Representative R. Hoffman?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "Representative J. David Jones?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "Representative LaFleur?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "Representative Sevcik?"

Speaker Redmond: "Sevcik?...How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Shea: "Representative Sharp?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "Representative Simms?...He's here, I see him."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "Representative Totten?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Shea: "Representative Von Boeckman?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Von Boeckman? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."



Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Shea: "Representative Tuerk?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Shea: "That's all the questions I have."

Speaker Redmond: "Now, what's the score? .....82 'ayes' and  
68 'nay' and the Motion prevails. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading,  
1949.....Representative Palmer, 1949."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1949, an Act to extend the life and  
change the reporting date of certain commissions. Second  
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Represent-  
tative Shea.....1949."

Snea: "Mr. Speaker, in order to conserve some of the time of  
the House, I wonder if the Clerk might read all of the  
Bills that are on Second Reading. Read them a second time,  
and leave them on the Order of Second Reading, so that  
people may file Amendments and get them heard, because if  
we don't we might run past 12 o'clock..."

Speaker Redmond: "Your suggestion is well taken.....Mr. Clerk,  
have you got the ....."

Clerk Selcke: "What Calendar are you on?"

Speaker Redmond: "Here, I have a list here. Where is 1949,  
did we move that to Third Reading?"

Clerk Selcke: "No, we just held it on Second, we haven't moved  
it yet. Are we going to move it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments on this?"

Clerk Selcke: "No Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "No Amendments. Third Reading....1952."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1952.....an Act to revise the law  
in relation to medical malpractice, et cetera. Second  
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments...."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute.....wait a minute..."



Unknown: "Did you move it to Third?"

Speaker Redmond: "1949 I did....Yeah....Representative Shea.  
I didn't move 1952...."

Shea: "Well, that's what I thought, we were going to read them  
a second time and leave them on Second Reading, not move  
them to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay.....That's correct. We will read them  
a second time and hold them.....1952,"

Clerk Selcke: "That's been read a second time...."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold it on Second.....1726."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1726, a Bill for an Act to repeal  
an Act in relation to State Finance, Second Reading of the  
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?.....0000ps...  
Second Reading.....1749."

Clerk Selcke: "You know it'd be much easier...."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, let the Clerk read 'em."

Clerk Selcke: "1749, an Act to amend Section 3 and 4 of an  
Act to provide insurance for employers, Second Reading of  
the Bill. Second Reading of the Bill. 1853, an Act to  
amend the Illinois Income Tax Act, Second Reading of the  
Bill. 1854, an Act to amend the Revenue Act, Second Read-  
ing of the Bill. 1847, an Act to amend the Workmen's  
Compensation Act, Second Reading of the Bill. 1756, an  
Act to amend the Downstate Public Transportation Act,  
Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 2015, an Act to  
restore access to property adjacent to Federal Aid Route  
12, in Madison County, Second Reading of the Bill. 1679,  
an Act to amend the School Code, Second Reading of the  
Bill. 1802, an Act in relation to contributions to  
Group Insurance Premiums, et cetera, Second Reading of the  
Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Member that has a Bill on Second Reading  
that we didn't read? Representative Schuneman?"

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, did the Clerk read Senate



Bill 1749?"

Speaker Redmond: "He did."

Schuneman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate, by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1514, adopted by the Senate June 29th, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House that the Senate has exceeded in the request of the House for a First Conference Committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment 3, 5 and 6 to Senate Bill 1524. Action taken by the Senate, June 29th, 1976, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following Preamble and Joint Resolution....Senate Joint Resolution #82, adopted by the Senate June 29th, 1976....Kenneth Wright, Secretary.....What Bill?....I don't know, Jack's got 'em....Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has acceded in the request of the House for a Second Conference Committee to consider the difference in the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9 and 10 to Senate Bill 1934. Action taken by the Senate June 29, 1976. No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Order of Concurrence. House Bill 3721. Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I admit to the House that at this time I do not have a copy of Senate Amendment #1 to the House Bill in my hands. I do understand that it is totally technical but rather than take up the time of the House I would rather postpone it until tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record....then. 3810, Representative Kelly."



Kelly: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would move to concur with the Senate on Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2 on this Bill. This is this election where we had passed from the House the Bill called for the appointment of two special election judges to count the judiciary ballot, in the retention of these ballots. The Senate Amended the Bill, first of all, to give it an effective date, which is effective upon becoming law and that's Senate Amendment #1. Mr. Speaker, I would ask that this be taken out of the record for the present time."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 3891, is that Representative Lundy? Representative Schneider, Representative McClain will you please sit down? I guess the rules say, 'take your seat'."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3891 is the emergency patient removal from long term care facilities when the patient is in serious and imminent danger to the patient's life or health. The Amendment offered by Senator Fawell, in the Senate, is entirely consistent with the original purpose of the Bill. It clarifies the procedural rights of the operator of the home. Also, extends the period of advance written notice from 72 hours to 10 days. And, adds a lengthy procedural section that spells out in detail, the rights of the operator of the home to seek injunctive relief in the courts if the order of the department...is arbitrary or capricious or if the department cannot show that it has a likelihood that it will succeed on the merits, if the matter is tried before the courts. I would move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3891."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3891. All in favor of Rep-



representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, I believe it's Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Is it Senate Amendment #2?"

Lundy: "The Calendar says Amendment #1. The Amendment that's been distributed to our desks says Amendment #2. I honestly can't tell you which is correct. Perhaps the Clerk can."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #2 is correct. The question is on the Gentleman's Motion that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3891. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'...89 votes, final action. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 114 'aye' and 10 'no'.....and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3891. We'll be at ease for about two minutes until we.....chart our course here....."

AT EASE.....

Representative Telcser...Representative Telcser, do you have an inquiry? Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I'd like to report to Mr. Telcser and the rest of the House that the Revenue Committee is not going to meet tonight. "

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah.....On this question, 114 'aye' and 10 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 3891.....Two.....Two....Amendment Two... Is there any Member that has a Bill in some kind of a posture that has to require attention tonight? Representative Schneider? Schneider? "

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, on the order of Concurrences is House Bill 3147. There's been an Amendment attached to it in the Senate by Senator Brady.. I would move that we concur. It basically does as the synopsis indicates. It increases for the School Construction Bond Act, \$20,000,000.....to be appropriated for





reimbursement for special education. This means that we will not be taking the money from General Revenue. We will be taking from bonds for one year only and I would move that we do concur, but I would also ask. . . . if it takes 107 votes, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Where is David? Representative Shea,"

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, why don't we just hold this until tomorrow morning. Let 'em take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record."

Shea: "All right. Mr. Speaker, I....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 9:30....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?.....Walsh.."

Walsh: "To suggest that you recognize Representative Washington."

Speaker Redmond: "He's not in his seat. I can't recognize him. Recognize Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "First of all I want to apologize to Representative Pierce. And, this is on a Point of Personal Privilege. I just read his Amendment 2, I'd like to have leave at this time to .....it won't effect the vote.....to change my vote to 'no', on Amendment 2, to Senate Bill 2008."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, we'll get back to that later. Representative Walsh.....er....Washington rather."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Motion on the Supplementary Calendar #4, relative to Senate Bill 1680. Briefly the problem is this; On June 17, this Bill, Sponsored in the Senate by Senator Carröll, came over to the House. I was not aware that my name had been put on it as Sponsor. As a matter of fact I didn't know it until this morning..... And, this morning when I found out I filed this Motion.



I've spoken to the Minority Leader; they have no objection to discharge the Committee on Rules, and advance it to the Order of Second Reading, Second Day. It's a Tax-payers Relief Bill; it's recommended by Ernst and Ernst, in the Department of Revenue's last audit report. It simply amends the Motor Fuel Tax Law by changing the period of time it usually has to apply for refund of Tax on fuel used for non-highway purposes. It also changes the amount of the fuel distributor keeps of the tax for collecting and administering the tax. Presently the distributor is to collect only actual cost, not to exceed two percent of the amount collected. This Bill would let them keep a flat two percent, which is indeterminate now, of the amount collected. It is definitely a taxpayers Bill.....and I would appreciate it if we could suspend the rules and discharge the Committee on Rules and advance it to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day.

Speaker Redmond: "Does the Gentleman have unanimous consent?"

Hearing no objections, .....we'll.....read the Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill, 1680, an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Just to make the record clear, the Gentleman's Motion to discharge the Committee on Rules and advance Senate Bill 1680 to the order of Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, was adopted....So, hold it on the order of Second Reading. Anything further? Read the Second Time. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey, do you seek recognition?"

Mulcahey: "Yeah....Mr. Speaker, I was just wondering if we were on concurrence, Second Readings, Messages from the Senate, or what...."



JUN 29 1976

263.

Speaker Redmond: "We're on adjournment they tell me."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you very much, Ladies and Gentlemen, the question's on the Gentleman's Motion that the House adjourn until 9:30 tomorrow morning. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'.....The 'ayes' have it and we stand in adjournment until 9:30 tomorrow morning."

