

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

NINETY-SEVENTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 24, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor will you please retire to the gallery? All those not entitled to the Floor will you please retire to the gallery?"

Speaker Redmond: "...Keeper. Turn the doorkeeper on."

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor will you please retire to the gallery?"

Speaker Redmond: "Be led in prayer this morning by Rabbi Myer Abramowitz."

Rabbi Abramowitz: Mighty God, who has permitted us to see the dawn of this new day and to greet its light. We lift up our hearts in grateful praise of your goodness toward us. We invoke your blessing, O God, upon our country, our nation and our state. Humbly we beseech your guidance in the entrustment of the guardianship of the rights and our liberty of our citizenry. May the deliberations of this Assembly be conducted with wisdom and understanding; every word unselfish and sincere. Enlighten the minds of those whom we the people have set in authority to comprehend your love that their decisions may be just and upright. Inspire them, O God, with the radiance of your Spirit so that they may consecrate and dedicate their daily deeds and thoughts to the betterment of their fellow men. Strengthen them in their devotion and help them to remain faithful to the immortal American ideals which are our heritage. May peace abide within our borders and righteousness among our inhabitants that your Spirit, O God, guide our Nation and all Nations of the world leading us forward out of strife into peace; into freedom and justice for all mankind. And let us say, Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will be at ease until 11 o'clock to permit the Appropriations Committee to continue their work. The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. Roll call for attendance. Proceed, Mr. Clerk. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright,



Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate adopted the following preamble and joint resolution the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #43 adopted by the Senate June 23, 1975.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate adopted following preamble and joint resolution, the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Joint Resolution #44 adopted by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate passed Bills of the following titles, passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit: Senate Bills #629, 683 and 1498 passed by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in passage of Bills of the following title to wit: House...Bills #152, 235, 420, 484, 495, 497, 598, 607, 638, 646, 745, 944, 993, 1086, 1502, 2790 and 2991 passed by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in passage of Bills of the following title to wit: House Bills #704, 723, 833 and 856 passed by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment 1 to a Bill



JUN 24 1975

3

of the following title: Senate Bill 806. I am further directed to inform the House the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of their Amendment #2...action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 to the House...House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 284. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to concur with the House in adoption Amendments #1 & 2 of the House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 488. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate refused to concur with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 of the House of Representatives to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 512. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference between the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill #4. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 57. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975.





JUN 24, 1975

4

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference between the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 211. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the differences of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 295. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives to consider for a conference committee/ the difference of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 419. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses/to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 432. in regard

Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright,

Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference of the Houses in regard to House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 638. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate



acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 640. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representative for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 642. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to a request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 682. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 944. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Selcke: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the Senate has acceded to the House for a conference committee to consider the difference of the two Houses with regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 945. Action taken by the Senate June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred to House...Bill of the following title House Bill 229 together with the following Amendments passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform



JUN 24 1975

6

the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 328 together with the following Amendment, passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 527 together with the following Amendment.

Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred...the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 612 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 623 together with the following Amendment.

Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred...the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 635 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 647 together with the following Amendment.

Passed as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 707 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with...you want me to, I talked to you on the other...the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 726 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 731 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 735 together with the following Amendments. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 741 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 765 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 777 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 816 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 826 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 827 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as



JUN 24 1975

8

amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 854 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 898 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 959 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: 1048 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 1303 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House the Senate has concurred with the House and passed the Bill of the following title: House Bill 1882 together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended June 23, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Selcke: "Committee reports. Mr. Merlo from Insurance to which Senate Bill 1500 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Agreed Resolutions."

Selcke: "House Resolution 401. Ralph Dunn, et. al. House Resolution 402. Davis, et. al. House Resolution 403. Timothy Simms, et. al. House Resolution 404. Lauer."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 401 by Ralph Dunn congratulates Norman W. Beck on his retirement from Monroe County as Educational Service Region Superintendent. House Resolution 402, we congratulate Jessie White, our colleague for having endured for 41 years as of yesterday. House Resolution 403, Tim Simms, we congratulate Mr. and Mrs. Fred Olsen from Rockford on their...their 35th wedding anniversary. House Resolution 404 by Representative Lauer commends the candy strippers in general and D. N. Nolanberg, specifically, for her selfless service as a candy stripper in Lincoln Memorial Hospital. Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of these agreed resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen has moved the adoption of the agreed resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Resolutions are adopted. Death Resolution."

Selcke: "House Resolution 399, Leinenweber, et.al. In respect to the memory of Earl. R. Moss."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen has moved the adoption of the death resolution. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Resolution is adopted. Any further resolutions?"

Selcke: "House Resolution 398. Schisler, et.al. House Resolution 400, Schraeder."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on Assignments. Senate Bills First Reading. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the phones are off, are you aware of that?"

Speaker Redmond: "We are aware of it."

Selcke: "Senate Bills First Reading. Senate Bill 629. A Bill for an Act..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich. Representative Matijevich."



Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, on the phones, if the Members would hold it for quite a while, just hold it up you'll get a dial tone, but you have to hold it for quite a while."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman from Lake, thanks for the instructions, or lady from Lake. Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, on House Resolution 398, I wanted to move to suspend the rules, would that be in order? To bypass the Committee?"

Speaker Redmond: "398? Just hold that a minute, will you please?"

Schisler: "Thank you."

Selcke: "Senate Bill 629. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission. First Reading of the Bill.  
Senate Bill 683. An Act making appropriation for Department of Transportation. First Reading of the Bill.  
Senate Bill 1498. An Act making appropriation for ordinary and contingent expenses for certain agencies. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee report."

Selcke: "Mr. Schisler from Agriculture to which Senate Bill 1366 is referred recommended that the Bill be assigned to the Interim Study Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "...business concurrence."

Selcke: "Concurrences. Porter is here on 1375."

Speaker Redmond: "You want 1375, Representative Porter? Out of the record. 2328. Representative Maragos. 2328 on concurrences..."

Selcke: "You want...House 2328..."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I move that we concur with Amendment #1 to House Branching Commission..."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is shall the House concur? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Can we have an explanation of that Amendment?"

Maragos: "The purpose of that Amendment is that in the event



...there are prophecies now to allow a free trade zone and if that is accomplished that...when the purposes of this Bill are accomplished that free trade zone also be put on there and that's why we didn't want in any way to jeopardize that consent."

Schlickman: "May I ask him a further question, Mr. Speaker?

Are we still transferring...are we still repealing the Chicago Regional Port District Act and transferring assets and liabilities to the district of the City of Chicago?"

Maragos: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "May I ask why we are abolishing the Chicago Regional Port?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "The...the thing is, Mr. Schlickman, this whole area here, we've already discussed it, gone out of the House and it's in the Senate...always ask for...right now concurring under the Amendment and therefore...is because the...in many ways the State has not progressed enough to give that district the funding that it needed to do the job that it had to do."

Schlickman: "I notice that there is a nonhome rule limitation tradition. Could you tell me what that means?"

Maragos: "That once the district is attained there will be no home rule limitation on this district."

Speaker Redmond: "Further discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2328. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Final action. Ebbesen, aye. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question 95 aye, 3 nay. The House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2591. 2780, Representative Schoeberlin. 2780. Representative Schoeberlin."

Schoeberlin: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move we concur in the Senate Amendment 1 in the Bill Senate. It does not harm the / in any manner, it just deletes two words that were superfluous. Ask for your





aye vote on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2780. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 102 ayes, no nay. The Bill...the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to 2780. 2313. Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 1 to House Bill 2313 is an Amendment which deletes duplicate language from Enrolling and Engrossing. And I move the adoption...I move to concur in Amendment #1, Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2313."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any questions? The gentleman...Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "I didn't get that description of Amendment, Senate Amendment #1."

Yourell: "What it does, Fred, is that the Senate file up in Enrolling and Engrossing, there is duplicate language in the...just deleted that language."

Schraeder: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "...Question shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2313. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question there's 100 ayes, 2 nays and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2313. 2591. Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2591. The Senate Bill merely expanded the language from ethnic discrimination to include ethnic race, color, creed, national ancestry or sex or on religious grounds as an expansion for the basis for the outlawing of discrimination. I move the concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2591. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no."



Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record.

On this question, 100 aye, no nay. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2591. 1910."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, last night when we attempted to move concurrence on 1910 there was a question raised and pending your ruling, Mr. Speaker,...have you come to a ruling on that question, the germaneness on this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't know how it can be raised in the second Chamber, quite frankly. I...I probably would have ruled that it was not germane if it was in the House of origin, but I don't know how..."

Tuerk: "Well, in order to protect House Bill 1910, I would move to nonconcur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1910."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? The gentleman has moved that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1910. All in favor say aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 1910. 2891. Representative Berman."

Berman: "...Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2891. This is a Bill that creates the Truancy Prevention Act. The Senate Amendment set forth the substantive language that had previously been offered in the appropriation Bill which is not proper, but we've included task force for the Illinois Truancy and Dropout Prevention Program in this Amendment. I move to concur with them, Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any questions? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2891. All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 114 ayes, no nay and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2891. Representative Skinner on the floor? 2876. Representative Cunningham."



Representative Cunningham. "2876. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know anything about the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "You don't know anything about the Bill?"

Is 2876...is that Representative Skinner's Bill? Illinois Library Act. Well, Cunningham, says he doesn't know anything about it. 2876, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Could you take that out of the record. I'm still waiting for....back from the Library."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out of the record. 2704. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Senate Amendment #1. What this does is create an oversight on my part, the Bill was drawn with the Springfield Park District in mind where they...the park district commissioners run on party labels. What the Senate Amendment does is allow for watchers for every candidate, not just every party and that seems to be...that seems to have statewide significance."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2704? All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. This question, 104 ayes, no nays. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2704. 2872. Representative Skinner. Representative Skinner, 2872."

Skinner: "Beginning to feel like a jack in the box."

Speaker Redmond: "School code."

Skinner: "Would you take that out of the record, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record, request of the Sponsor. 2915. Representative Getty."

Getty: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2915. The Amendment reduces the mandatory comprehensive general liability from \$1,000,000 to one-half million dollars and I move that we do concur."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The gentleman has moved



...the question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2915. All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 114 aye, 1 no. And the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2915. 2957. Representative Flynn. Is he on the floor? Representative Schisler. 3028."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Brinkmeier is going to handle that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, these three Amendments from the Senate are more or less housekeeping and I would ask leave of the House that we could take one roll call and...for concurrence on all three. I'd very briefly explain what they do, if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "What are the Bills?"

Brinkmeier: "Amendment #1 simply states that if any provision of this Act is held invalid such invalidity does not affect the other provisions of the Act. Amendment #2 states that no person shall serve on the state fair board who is also a member of a county fair board, association or body responsible for a county fair. And Amendment #3 stipulates that the counties when these districts are established that they must be contiguous. And I would move for concurrence on these three amendments and hopefully we could just take one roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "A...wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question on Amendment #2?"

Brinkmeier: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion?"

Schraeder: "I wonder if the Sponsor would yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Schraeder: "...Would you explain Amendment #2. Does that eliminate members serving on county boards from being a member of the State Agency Board?"



Brinkmeier: "Yes, on the County Fair Board, yes. The Amendment is very brief. I'll read it to you in its entirety. It states simply that no person shall serve on the State Fair Board who is also a member of a county fair board association or a body responsible for a county fair or who is a member of the governing board of any other exposition or agricultural fair."

Schraeder: "All right. Thank you..."

Brinkmeier: "...Simply to avoid any conflict of interest."

Schraeder: "...On the...Amendment #2, I think the Amendment #1 and #3 are...are okay but #2 eliminates from any input on the State Fair Agency any member now serving on a county board. Now it seems to me that we need the expert serving the county...serving the State Fair Agency who are not experts in the county and if you eliminate every member of every county fair board from serving on the State Agency you then have eliminated all the expertise that's been gained by service on those county boards. And it would seem to me that we should, in voting for these Amendments handle #1 and 3 separately from #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Jaffe: "Amendment #3, you said these districts have to be contiguous? Don't they also have to be compact?"

Brinkmeier: "The...the Amendment just adds one word and that is contiguous and if you'll look on page..."

Jaffe: "I don't have it in front of me, that's why I'm asking."

Brinkmeier: "Well, it simply states that the counties must be no more than 12, no less than 8 and that they must be contiguous, that was the word initially. We just said no more than 12, no less than 8 counties. And that's all the language that we used. This Amendment would require that they do be contiguous."

Jaffe: "Well, it could be a long strip, though. Couldn't they



be from one end of the State almost to the other end of the State?"

Brinkmeier: "Well, I suppose that's possible, it isn't very probable."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #1, 2 and 3 to House Bill 30...Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, since there's not going to be a division of the three Amendments I'd like to be recorded as voting no on #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier, what's your pleasure about dividing the...Amendments?"

Brinkmeier: "Well, I don't care. It's the pleasure of the House to take them individually, that's perfectly all right with me."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. The question is, shall the House ...Representative Dunn, did you want recognition? Ralph Dunn."

Dunn: "Yes, thank you Mr. Speaker, I...I think that somehow or other...maybe your stature or something or the way you stand up there, I...you can't see my light. Representative Bradley has done a fine job and even Representative Shea oftentimes sees it. I...I seem to have a little problem with Amendment #2 and I'd like to know if the Sponsor'd yield for a question?"

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my request. Let's take them individually."

Dunn: "I...could I...could I also..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with your question, yes."

Dunn: "Would you read Amendment #2 again. Does it say a member of any fair board...county fair board. I'm stockholder in a county fair board."

Brinkmeier: "Okay. Once more. It stipulates: 'No person shall serve on the State Fair Board who is also a member of a county fair board, association or a body responsible for a county fair or who is a member of the governing



board of any other exposition or agricultural fair.' The intent, I think, is to avoid any conflict of interest that might be there."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, if...if I might just speak on that Amendment just a second..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Dunn: "I...I...Representative Jones also came down and talked to me about it. Now all this means, as I understand it, was if a member of a county fair board were appointed or elected to the State Fair Board he'd have to resign his office on the county fair board. I don't think that this would preclude expertise, it would just mean they couldn't serve on both boards at the same time. Now I...I withdraw my objection to Amendment #2. I think it's all right that way."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones, do you...recognition?"

Jones: "I think it's a fair...fine as Representative Dunn has explained it. This does not prohibit a county board member from...from serving on...being appointed to and serving on a board...State Fair Board but he would not be able to serve on both boards at the same time. That's all this does, that he would...if he should be devoting his time to the...he or she...to the activities of the State Fair Board and not in conflict with the local county fair board. I...there's no problem with this...it was put on by the Sponsor in the Senate and I...there's no problem indicated over there about."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is,...Representative Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I enquire now. Are we going to vote on each amendment individually?"

Speaker Redmond: "1 and 3 and then 2 separately."

Rigney: "Ah, you'll take one and three first?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah."

Rigney: "Okay. At the proper time then, I'd like to ask some comments on #2 but..."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig. Representative Craig, do you desire recognition?"

Craig: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I just want to remind the people of this General Assembly that we're voting here to set up a board that we have no control over whatsoever the expenditures of state fair money. I...if you think this is a good practice I think we're really going far out of field and I'll venture to say that it won't be very long that we'll be changing the site and set up of this board. What you're doing is letting the county fair people name and appoint people here to a board which we have no control over to run that State Fair and if that's going to be fiscal responsibility on our part you've got a different version than I have and I'll be opposed to any part or concurrence of any kind on Amendments to this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is, shall the House concur... Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Well, I personally don't see anything wrong with a member of a county fair board serving on this because the idea of the Bill in the first place was to get some coordination by...with between the county fair and the State Fair and to get some people involved that were agricultural people and they are...that's the kind of people that are on these county fair boards. And I don't...frankly I don't see any conflict of interest."

Speaker Redmond: "The question...shall the House confer in Senate Amendment #1 and 3 to House Bill 3028? All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question 106 aye, 22 no. And the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and 3 to House Bill 3028. Representative Brinkmeier, on Amendment #2."

Brinkmeier: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as two previous speakers have already mentioned, this does not prohibit a member of a county fair board from becoming a member of the State Board. All it does is say that they





may not hold both positions simultaneously and although I have no hang up on this I...I think it's a good Amendment and I would move for concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3028. All in favor indicate by voting aye; opposed vote no. Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to point out in... adopting this Amendment it eliminates from the State Fair Agency any members serving on a county fair agency. Conversely if they are serving on a State Fair Agency they must resign their position with the county fair agency and we look for expertise in the business of operation of county fairs and it seems to me there has to be a coordination between the county fair group and the State Fair Agency because they're related. They're agriculturally minded and they're definitely related. It seems to me that this would be a bad Amendment to automatically eliminate 20 or 30 people from a county board from serving on a State Fair Agency. And I'd ask for the defeat of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well, in explaining my vote, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, once again, let me remind you. This does not preclude a member of a county fair board from serving. I would presume since this...this State Fair Board is going to meet, or mandated to meet at least once a month and probably much more often than that they would not want to continue serving on the county board. So again it doesn't preclude them from becoming members. It simply says they can't serve on both boards at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 100 ayes, 23 nay. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 3028. 957. Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and



Gentlemen of the House. 2957 was the Bill that dealt with security guards carrying arms on the public streets and all the Senate Amendment does is include the armored service cars and I would move for concurrence with..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any questions? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "By the description of the Senate Amendment #1 that I have, we're talking about special agents employed by a railroad or public utility. Is that correct?"

Flinn: "Well, that's what the law covers right now, I don't know whether that...that's included in the Amendment or not, the purpose of the Amendment was to include them along ...the armored car service people along with the railroad detectives and so forth. The present law covers them."

Schlickman: "What's the purpose of the Amendment then?"

Flinn: "Well, the purpose of the Amendment was to include the armored car personnel. The...the original Bill covered plant personnel travelling on public streets from one plant to another of the same company, 30 or more people. And some of the people in Chicago asked if they could include the armored cars and I agreed."

Schlickman: "Well, aren't the armored car operators now exempt from the prohibition...."

Flinn: "No they are not."

Schlickman: "...Or unlawful use of weapons."

Flinn: "Well, Gene, apparently they are not. I...I was not aware that they were not. They said they had a problem. Instead of filing a new Bill they asked if they could amend mine and I agreed."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as... may I address myself to the Amendment, Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "As I read this Amendment we are adding two classes of people. One class being the guards of armored car



companies. The other class are special agents employed by a railroad or a public utility and I don't see in this Amendment the restriction that these special agents are to be members of a security force of 30 persons or more. And I respectfully suggest that the restriction that they be members of a security force of 30 persons or more would provide protection to the public because in a security force of 30 persons or more you do have supervisory personnel that exert control and discipline over individuals who do possess weapons. Individuals operating by themselves subject to no adequate supervision or control could easily abuse the privilege of the use of weapons. I would suggest that one half of this Amendment is good, the one half described by the Sponsor but I suggest the other half is not good and that this House should therefore not concur with Senate Amendment #1 so that it can go back to the Senate and this Bill, with the Amendment, could go to a conference committee to protect the good part but to eliminate the bad part. I would urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2957. All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? We'll take the record. On this question there are 93 ayes, 10 nays. And the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2957. 2437. Representative Laurino."

Laurino: "Mr. Speaker, I move to concur with Amendment #1 to House Bill 2437."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? Representative Downs."

Downs: "What does it do?"

Laurino: "It's actually clean-up language. The...it moves the Act from one part of the Code to the Election Code. It was in the law already but we moved it into the Election Code to concur with the uniformity of the law."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Any other questions?"



Downs: "Yes, I'm just reading the Senate Amendment and it deletes a period and in suit thereof and to repeal Section 22a and so forth. What's the effect of that? I mean, is this clean-up language of a purely technical nature or is this a substantive amendment?"

Laurino: "No. We've moved the language into the Election Code and repealed it from where it was before."

Downs: "I...I still don't understand your answer."

Laurino: "...More specific I can be, Bob. It goes one part of the Code into the Election Code. It was in another part of the statutes."

Downs: "Solves a technical."

Laurino: "Right."

Downs: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Will the gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Kempiners: "I'm afraid my question is going to have to be the same as the previous speaker's question. The Bill basically states that an election contest does not abate upon the death of the person whose election is being contested. Now what does the Senate Amendment do?"

Laurino: "It's a clarifying Amendment. It was in the statutes already. It was not in the Election Code. We've moved it from where it was into the Election Code."

Kempiners: "Well, what was the purpose of the Bill then?"

Laurino: "It was actually just to move it and we found out later that it was already in the...we didn't know it was in the statutes."

Kempiners: "Okay."

Laurino: "Through...research it was found that it was in the statutes so the Amend...the Senate put it into the Election Code."

Kempiners: "Then what do you do? Delete everything after the enacting clause and then take this language from another part of the statute?"



Laurino: "No, we didn't. We passed it over the way they... they accepted it and just repealed language that was in another part..."

Kempiners: "Oh. Repealed the language in the other Code. Very...okay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is, shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2437. All those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 121 aye; no nay. The House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2437. 1313. Representative Sharp. Out of the record. 1375, I understand, out of the record. Is that correct? 2425. Representative Shea, can you handle that one? Representative Lechowicz, will you... Representative Shea, can you handle 2425?"

Shea: "I can't seem to find what the Senate Amendment is on this from what I have here."

Speaker Redmond: "Maybe we better wait for..."

Shea: "If you'll hold it for a minute I'll see what we have in the file."

Speaker Redmond: "Yeah, read it."

Selcke: "Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2425. Amends House Bill 2425, page 1 line 13 by deleting "or outside" and on page 1 line 14 by deleting the period and inserting in lieu there.. "the following or outside the municipality to the nearest suitable drainage course"."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. I have an announcement to make. There's a mistake in the calendar on page 12 starting from House Bill 2706; none of the Bills which follow that should be on the calendar. We had action on those just today. Representative Shea on 2425."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as the Bill left the House it authorized the municipal use of motor fuel tax for sewers outside as well as within the municipality and the Senate limited the outside use to



'outside the municipality' to the hearest drainage course' and I would <sup>move</sup> /concurrance with Senate Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion?, Question is shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2425? Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 136 ayes, 1 nay. And the House does concur Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 2425. Senate Bills Second Reading. Wait a minute. House Bills Third Reading. We went through all of those Bills yesterday with the exception of 3064. Representative Mulcahey here? 3064. Are you ready on that one now?"

Selcke: "House Bill 3064. An Act to transfer certain funds into fiscal year 1975 appropriation for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

House Bill 3064 amends the fiscal year 1975 appropriation for the Illinois State Scholarship Commission. It simply transfers \$850,000 from the appropriation for grant awards to fulltime students to the appropriation for military veterans scholarship at...public community colleges. Now there are two main reasons why this transfer is needed. First of all, the Chicago city colleges charge tuition for the first time in fiscal 75 and veterans enrolled this year require \$325,000 so far compared to only \$6,200 last year. And secondly, the usual midyear increase of veterans enrollment was unexpectedly higher than in the past year; 22% midyear, this year instead of the usual 15%. That's the reason for the transfer, the necessity of the transfer. I ask your aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? The question is, shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's a 126 aye, 1 nay.



Having received...this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bills Second Reading. On Senate Bills second reading appears Senate Bill 37. Representative Sevcik?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 37. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Horseracing Act."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. 241."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 241. A Bill for an Act in relation to the Transportation of Law Enforcement Officers by the Metropolitan Transit Authority. Second reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the floor?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "We've already acted on that amendment, have we not? What's that? Is this a different amendment? You know, we had one before on this Bill, didn't we?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Kornowicz. Amends Senate Bill 241 on page 1 by deleting lines 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Ladies and Gentlemen. This amendment...uh...if the Governor or the Mayor does not approve or disapprove the appointment by the Mayor or the Governor respectfully within fifteen days after the receipt, the person is appointed. This is actually, this is the peoples amendment and I move for the adoption of amendment #1 and I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. We have in Senate Bill 241 a Bill providing in the form that was introduced in the Senate and in a form that was passed by the Senate...by the Senate, a provision to the effect that employees of the Cook County Sheriff's Department shall



receive free transportation on vehicles of the Chicago Transit Authority. That's one subject. The subject of Cook County Sheriff's Department employees receiving free transportation on Chicago Transit Authority vehicles. I might indicate that when the Bill was originally introduced we...the Bill not only covered free transportation of CTA facilities but also free transportation on Regional Transportation Authority vehicles. Now we have in this amendment an entirely new and different subject. One that does not deal with Cook County Sheriff Department employees, which is the subject and their right to have free transportation, but we have in the amendment a provision that deals with the appointment of CTA board members, an entirely different subject. And Mr. Speaker, members of the House, I respectfully suggest that this is not a germane amendment...germane amendment in as much as the amendment deals with an entirely different subject, members of the Board of Directors of the CTA and how they shall be appointed on one hand and the different, entirely different, subject of free rides for Cook County Sheriff employees, and I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, for a ruling that this amendment is not germane and therefore out of order."

Kornowicz: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz."

Kornowicz: "I think you made your decision previously and...uh... and I ask for the adoption of this amendment. There is a vacancy, this board...uh..."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. I asked for a ruling as to germaneness and I think that is the subject that is before this House now and I do respectfully suggest that you render a ruling in respect to germaneness. And if the gentleman wants to address himself to the issue, it should be the issue of germaneness and not to the merit or substance of his amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."





Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, regarding Representative Schlickman's request regarding the germaneness of the amendment, my understanding it's been the practice of the chair during this session to hold that where an amendment amends the section of the statute which the Bill attempt to amend that the amendment has been held to be germane, Mr. Speaker, and I would request very respectfully that this amendment be held to be germane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I was just waiting for your rule on the germaneness and then speak on the amendment...uh... a question for the sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Take this out of the record now till I get my parliamentarian, wherever he may be. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "In response to the statement by the Assistant Majority Leader from Cook County and to assist you in your ruling I call to your attention House Bill 2576. And with respect to House Bill 2576, on May 9, 1975, I offered an amendment, now that Bill amended the payment of interest on security deposits by lessors act. It's a three section act, Mr. Speaker are you listening? The payment of interest on security deposits by lessors act is a three section act, now that Bill as it was introduced amended section 3. I offered an amendment to the Bill which amended section 2. The issue of germaneness arose that day and my amendment was ruled not to be germane by you, even though my amendment concerned itself with the same act...concerned itself with section 2 of that three section act, so I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the precedent established by you is that whether or not an amendment and a Bill are involved with the same act is not the basis for determining germaneness. I respectfully suggest that the basis for germaneness is as set forth in Robert's Rules of Order and that is subject matter, not act."



Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out temporarily. 347."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 347. Kosinski. A Bill for an Act to provide for the Ordinary and Contingent Expense for the Local Government Law Enforcement Officers Training Board. Second reading of the Bill. Two committee amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

O'Brien: "Was amendment #1 tabled in committee? Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 347 on page 1 by deleting line 30 and inserting in lieu thereof the following, section 2."

Kosinski: "Please continue to read the amendment would you Clerk?"

O'Brien: "No funds appropriated in this act may be expended in violation of the rules and regulation of the Illinois Fair Employment Practice Commission."

Kosinski: "Mr. Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move the committee be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "I move the adoption of the committee amendment, Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #2 to Senate Bill 347. All in favor indicate by saying aye, opposed no. The ayes have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 348."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 348. Beaupre."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre. Take that out of the record. 471."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 471. A Bill for an Act to provide for the Ordinary Contingent Expense for the Illinois Community College Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Four committee amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 471 on page 1, line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



I move for the adoption of committee amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Gentleman's moved for the adoption of amendment #1. Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the sponsor explain the amendment please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Explain the amendment please."

Keller: "Just a minute John, I'm looking for it. That's the amendment that took...uh...sixty some thousand dollars out of the...uh...the Board officer here in Springfield."

Dunn: "The sponsor indicates that this takes \$60,000 out of the appropriation."

Keller: "Correct."

Dunn: "And that's all it does?"

Keller: "Amendment #1, yeh."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #1 to Senate Bill 471. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, amendment #1 is adopted. Any other amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill 471 on page 2, line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move for the adoption of amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you explain the amendment please?"

Keller: "Uh...amendment #2 puts...uh...an additional approximately five million dollars back in the Junior College Grant."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further disucssion? Questions?"

Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Keller: "Yes."

Schlickman: "What is the purpose for the additional five million dollar appropriation?"

Keller: "Well, what the deal is, Gene, in the committee we decided that the increase in the full time enrollment has gone up substancially in the junior colleges, and...uh...



they need...uh...this money so they won't have to come in for deficiency next year. Their budget was prepared on a smaller enrollment in...in the beginning...uh...and...uh... already through the summer, the summer program has increased 125%, the enrollment has, and...uh...this only allows for a 5000 full time enrollment increase over last year."

Schlickman: "Is this within the Governor's budget?"

Keller: "Uh...yes, it was within his budgets and its complicated, its...uh...progmat~~ed~~ on the formula that was used...uh... last year and its not on the new formula so we'd have to adopt a new formula and have to increase this substantially more."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #2 to Senate Bill 471. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' ...Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Will the sponsor yield for a question? Was this amendment in the Senate version of the Bill when it came to the House? And what was the...and was the appropriation committee action on this amendment?"

Keller: "Well, do you mean on appropriation, we adopted this amendment in appropriations."

Dunn: "And...and was this, I'm trying to get the history. Did the Senate cut this money out of the Bill?"

Keller: "Well what happen is the Senate was basing it upon 145,000 full time enrollment and the...uh...already we have an increase of...uh...to 150,000 full time enrollment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, I was going to make a point of order. Didn't I just hear to adopt the amendment and now there's some discussion on the amendment. I think that's out of order because we've adopted the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The point is well taken. Any further amendments? I guess your right there. I don't think we have adopted #2."



Clerk...Clerk poisoned a doubt in my mind. Anything further, Representative Dunn?"

Dunn: "Uh...yes, Mr. Speaker...uh...I'd like to speak to the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Dunn: "If I...I'm perfectly willing to stand corrected if I'm wrong but I believe in the Appropriations II Committee, we had a lot of discussion about this amendment and there is some...uh...uh...uh...there are some real questions whether this amendment ought to be in the budget or not. One of the Senate...uh...uh...I was going to say Senate sponsors but I guess not...uh...one of the Senators came to testify before Appropriations II and indicated that this amendment if adopted would be in violation of an agreement entered into...uh...uh...by ...uh...uh...by a Senator, Charlie says, by...uh...uh...those who got together, the association that got together with regard to this budget and there is some question about whether the budget was too low to begin with or just right or too high and...uh...uh...in view of all the discussion about this amendment I recommend that we do not adopt it and vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #2 to Senate Bill 471. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. Chair is unable to determine. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. This question 93 'ayes', 20 'no', the 'ayes' have it the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Committee amendment #3 was ruled out of order in committee. Committee amendment #4 amends Senate Bill 471 on page 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller."

Keller: "Uh...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is Representative Catania's amendment on the...uh... rules and regulations of the Illinois Fair Employment



Practice Commission. I move for the adoption of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The gentleman has moved that amendment #4 to Senate Bill 471 be adopted. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Floor amendment #5. McGrew, Polk. Amends Senate Bill 471 on page 4 by inserting between line 22 and 23 the following: Section 5-A, and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew. Representative Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will. Representative McClain would you come up here please? McClain."

Schlickman: "Amendment #5 would appropriate the sum of \$300..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain would you come to the podium? Go ahead."

Schlickman: "May I proceed Mr. Speaker? May I proceed?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "Amendment #5 appropriates the sum of \$300 to the Illinois Community College Board for Blackhawk Community College to reimburse one Jace Rockflow for tuition. Could you explain the circumstances underlying this amendment?"

Polk: "The gentleman is a veteran and...uh...paid his fees without, if I remember correctly now, he paid his fees without realizing that he had the Illinois GI benefit and he's been unable to get it back. We've tried through the Veteran's commission, there's been tons and tons of paper of work and Sam has all of it, I don't have it here on my desk, but that was the intent and purpose to see that this gentleman got reimbursed for the tuition he paid which he never really should have paid to start with."

Schlickman: "May I proceed, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."



Schlickman: "Well was this an inadvertency on his part or was it an inadvertency on the part of Blackhawk Community College?"

Polk: "I think it was Blackhawk East at Kewanee that made this mistake."

Schlickman: "Is there any other means by which Jack Rockflow could be reimbursed for this \$300?"

Polk: "It seems to me that we've gone, again I wish Mike was here, it seems to me that we that we went, I met with the gentleman, so did McGrew, he lives in McGrew's district so it's not one of my constituents but we..uh...went through every process that we felt was available to us here in the State. By going to the Veteran's Commission and Mr. Houlihan and I think this was the final suggestion that...uh...this would be the only way he knew of that we'd get this veteran his...uh...refund."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes; Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to support this amendment but when it gets to the point when...uh...we have errors such as this that do occur and we haven't got some procedure whereby we can have to reduce ourselves to having legislation passed in a situation like this I think we ought to be attacking some of the procedures, now whether they're policies or somebody is locked in by legislation, I don't know but it seems to me that at the earliest opportunity we ought to review the areas of concern here and make sure that the statutes are put in a posture where we don't have to go through a ritual such as this."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions or discussion? Representative Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to stand to oppose this amendment because I don't think this is the place we should come in for individual reimbursement and put it right on the annual



appropriation to our Junior Colleges. I think this is wrong, I feel that we should do it if we have to do it by legislation but it should come in through either the Veteran's Commission or whatever agency it might be and do this as a separate piece of legislation and not in something that is an annual appropriation for our Community Colleges. I would urge the defeat of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a parliamentary point.

I would just simply site to the membership that article 4, Section 13 of the Constitution states 'that if the General Assembly shall pass no special or local law when a general law is or can be applicable' and it would seem to me, Mr. Speaker, members of the House, this is not the constitutional way to relieve a situation rather if there is not a method for providing remedy in this kind of situation there should be such a procedure that would be applicable not only to this named individual but to other individuals who may similarly situated, now or in the future and therefore on parliamentary...or on constitutional grounds...um...on the parliamentary basis, I would oppose and suggest a no vote on this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schuneman."

Schuneman: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question.

The question is shall the main question be put, all in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Keller to close."

Keller: "Uh...Mr. Speaker, did you rule on whether this would be germane to the issue or not? I think that it's probably not relevant to the point here."

Speaker Redmond: "I didn't rule. In fact, I was distracted. I am advised that any appropriation is germane to an appropriation Bill."





Keller: "Ok, I would urge, just real short, urge the defeat of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Alright, the gentleman has moved that amendment #5 to Senate Bill 471 not be adopted. Representative Polk to close."

Polk: "I would respectfully request a roll call on this and at that time Representative McGrew is back on the floor he has the complete file on, I'm sure he'll be glad to explain his vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved that the House adopt amendment #5 to Senate Bill 471. All in favor of the adoption vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative McGrew to explain his vote."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Quite simple what happened here was a gentleman in my district enrolled in Blackhawk East College and upon his application for admission they told him that he qualified for a veteran's preference, or excuse me for the veteran's benefits. Subsequently he found that he was three days short and they would not reimburse him, they would not give him any money out of the Veterans' Commission, under the Veterans' Scholarship. So this is merely an appropriation to Blackhawk East to reimburse them for this gentleman's scholarship. We, Representative Polk and I took this route in lieu of putting in another Bill that would have cost the State of Illinois almost \$2000. And we feel that this was quite the way to go instead of trying to get a separate piece of legislation and cost the taxpayers more than it would cost if we would pay the gentleman the scholarship he is due. I have moved for an affirmative roll call, we're only three short and I'd appreciate if we could get some more votes. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 68 'ayes, 67 'no'. Representative Keller."



Keller: "Mr. Speaker...uh...I'd like to request a poll of the absentees because I think what we're going to do here is open Pandora's box and everybody is going to be coming in asking for an appropriation for something that happened to a person in their own district...uh...on all of our appropriation bills, and I think that this would be a bad precedent to start and to set here."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, in the interest of the time of the House, Mr. Speaker, I'm in sympathy with what they're trying to do here and I can't help but believe that there's got to be some procedure whereby we can get that \$300 back to that individual and therefore I would request to change my vote from yes to no."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman from yes to no. Representative Stone 'no'. Representative Choate 'aye'. Representative Stearney 'aye'. Friedland 'aye'. Representative Craig 'aye'. Representative O'Daniels."

O'Daniels: "Mr. Speaker change my vote to 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change Representative O'Daniels to 'no'. It might be easier to dump this one and start over again. Dump it. The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #5 to Senate Bill 471. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In explanation of my vote, when this Bill comes back to the House with a veto I'm going to estimate at that time the cost of this amendment in terms of material and time and suggest that at this time it would considerably more than the \$300 that is provided for in it. I, also, respectfully suggest that we are in violation of the constitution and / prohibition on special legislation and do urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the



record. On this question there is 69 'ayes', 67 'nos', Representative Keller."

Keller: "Under the ruling you had the other day, when the roll call is what it is up there, do you count the present votes and..."

Speaker Redmond: "No, only those voting on the question."

Keller: "Then I'd like to ask for a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will poll the absentees."

O'Brien: "Bluthardt, Caldwell, Catania, Catania, Chapman, Collins, Deavers, Ewing, Fleck, Frederick":

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Frederick votes 'aye'."

O'Brien: "Gaines,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd votes 'no'."

O'Brien: "Gaines, Giorgi, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Emil Jones, Katz, Kempiners, LaFleur, Lauer, Lucco,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stubblefield for what purpose do you rise?"

Stubblefield: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Stubblefield: "Will you vote me 'no' please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Put the gentleman 'no'."

O'Brien: "Madison, Merlo, Palmer, Pierce, Randolph, Reid, Rose, Schuneman, Sevick, Sevick votes 'no', Sharp, Van Duyne, and Williams."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines, for what purpose do you rise?"

Gaines: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Gaines: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the gentleman 'aye', Representative Reed."

Reed: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the lady recorded?"



O'Brien: "She's recorded as not voting."

Reid: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote her 'aye'. Representative Schuneman  
'aye'. Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lucco: "Vote me 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the gentleman no. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lauer: "Vote me 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the gentleman 'no'. Representative  
Schneider. Vote Representative Schneider 'aye'."

O'Brien: "From 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell, do you seek  
recognition?"

Caldwell: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Caldwell: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the gentleman 'no'. Representative  
Keller, it's 74 'ayes', 73 'nay'. Now what do you do?"

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I hope that when this Bill goes back  
for concurrence in the Senate that this is taken out  
because we are setting up a bad precedent here and I  
question the germaneness of the issue but I don't want to  
take up the time of this House for a verification right  
now but...uh..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is the gentleman recorded, Representative  
J. Dunn?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Dunn: "Please change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman from 'aye' to 'nay'."

Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, would you kindly make the announcement that all unauthorized personnel be asked to leave the floor?"

Speaker Redmond: "Only authorized persons are permitted on the floor. Any unauthorized people, please leave.

What does that, it's 73 'aye', 74 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? On this question there are 73 'aye', 74 'nay', the amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #6. Ryan. Amends Senate Bill 471 on page 1, line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I would table amendment #6, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved to table amendment #6. Any objections? Hearing none, amendment #6 is tabled. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 478. Representative Washburn is recognized."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have two very distinguished guests with us in the gallery. Right behind me, Gurd and Evar Grimsguard are visiting us from Oslo, Norway, would they please stand?"

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 478. Geo-Karis. A Bill for an Act to Provide for the Ordinary and Contingent Expense for the State Fair Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Two committee amendments. Amendment #1, amends Senate Bill 478 on page 1, line 17 and so forth, by deleting 1,273,500 and inserting in lieu thereof 1, 308, 700 and page 1, line 20 by deleting 59,000 and inserting in lieu thereof 41,800 and on page 1, line 21 by deleting 190,700 and inserting in lieu thereof 179,300 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."



Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there was a diminution, as you notice, by the Committee on... by both Amendments. I move for the adoption of both Amendments inasmuch as they are reductions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? ...Move the adoption of Amendment #1...Senate Bill 478. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 478 on page 1 by deleting line 11 through 33 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Again, this is another reduction Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 478. All favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 484."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 484. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for Department of Mines and Minerals. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 484 on page 3 on line 7 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "This is merely a technical Amendment. I move for the adoption of it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or discussion? Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 484. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 484 on page 1 line 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."



Hart: "This Amendment reduces the budget \$114,000. It also removes \$10,000 for a compact and \$3,000,000 for land reclamation. Committee Amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 484. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #3. Boyle. Senate Bill 484 is amended on page 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "This...Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment restores \$43,146.00 for the purpose of the Surface Mine Conservation Land Reclamation Act which was passed last Session. It also restores \$2,863,146.00 for reclamation under the Abandoned Mines Land Reclamation Act. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or discussion? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Representative will the...Representative yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Ryan: "Kenny, how much money did you say was in there?"

Boyle: "The total...the total increase is \$2,863,146.00 which includes the \$43,146 and 2,820,000 for land acquisition under the Abandoned Mine Lands Reclamation Act which is a 3,000,000 less 6%."

Ryan: "I see. Is this General Revenue money?"

Boyle: "I assume that it would be, yes."

Ryan: "It is General Revenue money?"

Boyle: "Yes."

Ryan: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."



Schlickman: "You indicated that Amendment #3 restores 2.83 million dollars for implementation of the Abandoned Mines Land Reclamation Act but the Bill as it came to us from the Senate appropriates \$3,000,000. Was that \$3,000,000 as the Bill, as was contained in the Bill as it came to us taken out somewhere along the line?"

Boyle: "Amendment #2. Amendment #3 is the \$3,000,000 less 6% which is \$2,820,000 if my calculations are correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMasters."

McMasters: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

McMasters: "Representative Boyle, I know you and I have worked on this for quite some time and I know what you are trying to do. Is there is possibility that federal money will still be available?"

Boyle: "Well, one of the problems, Tom, with the thing is the fact that the federal act was vetoed."

McMasters: "Yeah."

Boyle: "And they failed to override on the veto and...I don't know without federal money this is going to get vetoed too, I mean because without federal funds we're not going to have enough money in here to...to do much reclamation. But I want to put it back in there and in any event...in the event lightning may strike and somehow we could look forward to receiving some federal funds in the next fiscal year."

McMasters: "I agree, Kenny. And if I could speak to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

McMasters: "The land that Representative Boyle wishes to reclaim is some land that is doing a great deal of polluting to the streams of our State of Illinois. I think we need to provide a means by which the State of Illinois can match some federal funds, if and when federal funds will be available. I appreciate the efforts of Representative





Boyle on these abandoned lands and the need to restore them, whether to productivity or not but at least to the point where they are not polluting everything. And I would certainly support Representative Boyle in his efforts with this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "...Questions? Representative Boyle to close."

Boyle: "I just say that I think it's a worthwhile program and as Representative McMasters said, and he's worked very hard particularly with the Surface Mine Conservation and Reclamation area as these are needed fund. ...A time when we in Illinois start doing something about these problems that have existed through the years and I would ask for...favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 484. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 510."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 510. Harold Washington. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Labor. Second Reading of the Bill. Five Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 510 on page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #1 <sup>an</sup> to Senate Bill 510 was/unanimously agreed upon Amendment in the Appropriations II Committee. The...reduced the total appropriation in that budget by \$56,000; there was no opposition to it. I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 510."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion or questions? Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 510. All favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 510 on page



3 by inserting between lines 17 and 18 the following and so forth."

Washington: "Amendment #2, which was also unanimously adopted in the Appropriations Committee #2 deals only with federal funds to the tune of \$1,000,000 for the design and implementation of unemployment insurance benefits. Payments ...that would include local office procedure, local office processing and wage earning records processing. No objections to this Amendment in this Appropriations Committee II and I move adoption to...House Amendment #2 on Senate Bill 510."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Where is the restriction in the Amendment or the Bill that this would...this appropriation of \$1,000,000 would be from federal funds and not from the General Revenue Funds?"

Washington: "May I shift that question to Representative Barnes of Appropriations Committee II. I think he offered that Amendment. Representative Barnes would you respond to Mr. Schlickman's question, with leave if we can get it from the Speaker, he wanted to know what enabling action was in the Bill or anywhere which would permit us to appropriate a million dollars for the purpose of Amendment #2?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. The... Gene, I call your attention to...if you give me just a second to get to it...okay. The Amendment #2 is all federal money, Gene, there's no State money involved. It is money from the interest and penalties collected under the Unemployment Compensation Act as of fiscal 1976. They estimated that they will have collected \$1,800,000 from... that purpose. But they cannot spend that money unless it is appropriated. What we did in Amendment #2 is appropriate approximately...approximately \$1,000,000 of the \$1,800,000 of which they have already collected.



It's all federal funds, no State dollars involved; not a penny."

Schlickman: "Well, if I may proceed, Mr. Speaker, into which fund..."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "...Do those federal monies go?"

Barnes: "To the best of my knowledge, Gene, according to the information that I received on it, the monies...okay. The monies are deposited into a special administrative fund and interest and penalties is collected from storing... to the enforcement provision under the Unemployment Compensation Act. And for the expenditures from those funds for the director to have authority to expend anything from those funds we must give the director the statutory authority to do so and that's what we are doing in Amendment #2."

Schlickman: "Well, may I suggest that if this money is to come from that administrative fund that the Amendment show that the appropriation is being made from the administrative fund rather than having it open ended where it could come from the General Revenue Fund."

Barnes: "Ah, well, Gene, if it does not show that it comes from that administrative fund, I assure you, that's where it comes from and it does not and it should be clearly delineated. I'd happy to work with you to do so but that's where it comes from: not General Revenue. It's clearly all federal dollars based up penalties and interests collected under that special fund. And the statute is so prescribed that they collect the money..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Perhaps I can respond...solve it."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, on page 3 of the Bill, line 14, it states: 'payable from Federal Unemployment Compensation Special Administrative Fund for purposes set forth in section so forth and so forth' and in between line 17 and 18, Amendment #2 would be lodged Mr. Schlickman. And I think that introductory language



clears up and confirms what Mr. Barnes stated. Have you got the Bill?"

Schlickman: "Well, I want to apologize. I don't have Senate Bill 510 in my book and I was looking at 511. I withdraw any...objections that I have."

Washington: "We forgive you, Gene."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I therefore move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 510."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is my...this... is the Amendment that I offered in Committee. This is an excellent Amendment. There isn't anything wrong with this. This is to see if we can't get the unemployment benefits paid a little faster and have a study made on it. And I would certainly concur with Representative Washington and move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on the Amendment #2 for a million dollars for the design and implementation of unemployment insurance benefit payment system, I'd like to know what the cost from the million dollars is for design and a breakdown on implementation. I received...in fact the man from the Department of Labor just gave me a breakdown on two million dollars so I don't know if it's a approximate one half of the two million dollars or what. My question, specifically, Mr. Speaker, is the dollar amount for the design of the system and who is supposed to do the design work."

Washington: "Well, there is as you can see in the Bill, Mr. Lechowicz, all ready 250,000 in there."

Lechowicz: "Yeah. Right."

Washington: "The original request from the Department was a figure of two million; after negotiation with both sides of the aisle in Appropriations II it was agreed that one million would be more reasonable figure and in the event,



JUN 24 1975

48

and I'm now speaking for Mr. Ryan if I may, in the event that there was a deficiency it could be gone into at a later date but the Assembly, or rather the Appropriations Committee, wanted to keep an eye on the whole problem and so they halved the request. The net effect will be that the Department will receive \$1,250,000. So it was actually halved, the two million request was halved."

Lechowicz: "Harold, I don't know if...I don't believe you responded to my question. You have a breakdown as far as dollar amounts are designed?"

Washington: "Well, I think you...you stated it was just handed to you, did you not?"

Lechowicz: "That was on a two million dollar figure. Do we... do we just split it in half or what?"

Washington: "I think you've got...I think you've got the  
though,  
final item in your hand/ don't you?"

Lechowicz: "No, I'm sorry I haven't."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, I think I can answer that for you, Representative Lechowicz. For the general design it's \$250,000, for the implementation 750 for a total of a million dollars. They ve ...the Department of Finance feels that the cost of this system can be better estimated after the general design phase is over. And these are estimates."

Lechowicz: "General design what will be done by Department of Finance...out of revolving..."

Ryan: "No...no...no...no...these are the estimates of the Department of Finance."

Lechowicz: "Whose going to do the design work?"

Ryan: "Well, they'll have to...they'll have to let a bid on it, I guess...contractor."

Lechowicz: "What about the implementation for 750? What about that? Is that in House or out of House?"

Ryan: "...I...I can't give you a straight answer on that, Teddy, I don't know. Probably out of House is the information I receive."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Lechowicz: "I'd like to know if we could do it in House and save the taxpayers some money for a change."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative...Washington."

Ryan: "Well, this is all just an estimate, Teddy. I'm sure that could be done that way. I mean..."

Washington: "Yes, it's an estimate and not only that, these figures based on 2,000,000 stand but what the Department will do is to spend the money as it comes in on all these four items if they run out, and we hope they don't obviously. Then the purpose in having it was to make them come back and to account as to how they spent the 1,250,000. Thank you. I move the adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 510. All in favor of the adoption indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3 amends Senate Bill 510 on page 2 line 6, so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Amendment #3 was also unanimously adopted by Appropriations Committee #2 to the sum of \$425,000 for the public safety sector of the Department of Labor. This is area in which there is a crying need and a tremendous amount of pressure from organized labor; they want public employees protected. This particular Amendment is designed to do that. There was no opposition in the Appropriations Committee. I move adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "...tive Ryan."

Ryan: "...Will the gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Washington, as I recall now, this is the Safety Unit Amendment that was deleted by the Senate. This was amended out of the original Bill by the Senate?"

Washington: "That's correct. The only Safety Unit now."

Ryan: "Well, this has got a personal services dollar amount



in here of over \$300,000. What...what, you mean to tell me they're going to use that many people for a safety unit?"

Washington: "It covers 29 people all together; 21 will actually be safety inspectors. You're talking about the entire State, public buildings throughout the entire State."

Ryan: "Are these...are these people presently on the payroll now or..."

Washington: "Some are and some are not."

Ryan: "I hate to ask you this but are there GORE employees in here."

Washington: "By no stretch of the imagination. Even now or prospectively, categorically no. These are specialist who have been trained, skilled, who know the field and are designed to inspect public facilities to make certain that the situations under which public employees work are brought up to the level of private employees. There's no question but that the safety hazards and the safety scale of accidents in the public sector is far, far and away higher than in the private sector. And these...gentlemen who will be working in this field are designed to enhance and improve the safety and health of public employees whom we pay and whom we trained."

Speaker Redmond: "Further questions? Representative Washington to close."

Washington: "I move adoption of Amendment #...."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 510. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. Ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4 amends Senate Bill 510 as amended in Section 1 and so forth."

Washington: "After Amendment #4, I particularly address my remarks to the members of the Appropriations Committee #2. In particular I address my remarks to them. This Amendment was a pass out unanimously. And what it did was to combine and in put in a cumulative form the Safety Div-



ision and Labor Relations Division. There was no extra dollars added other than those for the Labor Relations Division. After it passed out unanimously there was some confusion, or the Leadership on this side of the aisle, felt that there might be confusion in this Amendment and so to avoid any confusion, I'm now moving to table Amendment #4 and we will have that Division separately in Amendment #6."

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody..."

Washington: "...I wanted the Amend...the Appropriations Committee #2 to understand thoroughly why we're doing this Mr. Ryan."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections. The gentleman moves to table...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, I'm not sure. What...what are you going to do with #6, Harold?"

Washington: "Well, #6..."

Speaker Redmond: "We're not on that yet...."

Ryan: "Well, well, that's why he's tabling this one, Mr..."

Washington: "It's predicated on that, Mr. Speaker. I showed him."

Speaker Redmond: "Go ahead."

Washington: "Amendment #4 is a cumulative amendment bringing together safety and labor relations but not increasing it anymore than the labor division. There was some confusion. To alleviate that confusion, I'm asking leave to table 4 and come back with 6 which will clearly delineate and specifically spell out the labor relations addition to the Bill."

Ryan: "But will the function still be the same as..."

Washington: "Oh, yes, yes."

Ryan: "...In #4?"

Washington: "Yes, that's correct."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections tabling Amendment #4? No objections. Table Amendment #4."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5 amends Senate Bill 510 on page 3





by deleting line 33 and so forth."

Washington: "Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 510 was Mrs. Catania's Amendment. I think we discussed it thoroughly. Unless she has something to say I move adoption on Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not sure that Amendment #6 has been passed out, it certainly was not presented in Committee and I would have to oppose it until I see it."

Washington: "Well, we're on 5."

Speaker Redmond: "...5..."

Washington: "Was 5 last night..."

Ryan: "5, All right, I have no objection to 5."

Washington: "Yeah, but I want Mr. Ryan to thoroughly understand and so if there's any problem I'll just hold it... as for 6 when we get to it."

Ryan: "Well, I think you may have to do that. I have no problem with 5. I...if this is the FEPC, this is standard FEPC Amendment?"

Washington: "Ah, this is Miss Catania's Amendment. Yes."

Ryan: "Right. Okay. I have no objection to that."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 510. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; and Amendment #5 is adopted. Any further Amendments? Have to hold this on Second the Amendment #6 has not been passed out. Representative Taylor, what's your pleasure with respect to 550, 551, 552. You want those called?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take them out of the record, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Take them out of the record. Not yet. 556. Representative Tipword."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 556. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Department of Public Health. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker,..."



JUN 24 1975

53

Jack O'Brien: "Ah, two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 556 by deleting Section 1, 2, 3, 6, 8 and 9 and so-forth."

Tipsword: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment is a House Amendment that was approved by the Appropriations I Committee of this House. It is a rearrangement and reordering of priorities in the Amendment that had previously been attached to this Bill by the Senate. It also actually increases the reduction of General Revenue Funds by \$13,800 over that which the Senate had reduced this appropriation. It provides for in excess of 6% reduction in funding in general revenues. There will be no loss in grant funds and it is...was agreed upon both by the Department of Public Health and the Appropriations Committee and I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 556. All in favor signify by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 556 on page 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I believe this is the FEPC Amendment that was offered in Committee and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 556."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 556. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 598."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 598. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. Dunn."



Jack O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 598 on page 4 immediately after line 32 by adding the following: Section 2 this Act is effective January 1, 1976."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative J. Dunn. 598."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 1 puts on the effective date of January 1, '76."

Dunn: "Move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 598. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 860."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 860. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Economic Fiscal Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 is a 6% reduction; amounts to \$22,590. I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 860. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 958."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 958. Geo-Karis. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of State Fair Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 993."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 993. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Com-



mittee amends Senate Bill 993 by deleting everything on page 3, line 29 through 35 and on page 4, line 1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #1 in effect would provide that the reimbursement to the... the reimbursement which would be provided to the transportation of private school students would come in the same ratio and percentage as the reimbursement which currently comes for students in the public schools. It's a Committee Amendment and I would move for adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 993."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman. Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 993. All in favor... Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Go ahead, take the roll call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "All in favor, indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Second Amendment is sponsored by Representative Deuster who apparently is off the floor, if we could hold this until he returns. Or if we could move it to Third and bring it back to Second. Either way."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1057."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1057. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer. Representative Meyer on the Floor? Take it out of the record. 1392. Representative Hart."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1392. A Bill for an Act to amend the disability for injuries in the line of duty act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1492. Representative Kosinski."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1492. A Bill for an Act to amend an



Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense for the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1492 as amended on page 1 line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the General Assembly, I wish to move to table Amendment #1 from Committee. This Amendment was not put on in the Senate...in the Committee but did go on on Second Reading and this would be a duplication so I ask for its tabling."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved to table the Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1492. Any objections? Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Kosinski. Amends Senate Bill 1492 as amended on page 1 line 27 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #2 is a technical one. The Senate deleted \$76,612 from line items within this Bill but neglected to change the total; so this corrects the total in conformance with the entire line item."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ask the Sponsor to take this Amendment out of the record for the time being."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you take it out of the record? 1496. Representative Kosinski. You want to call that one? Is Representative Hart here? Senate Bills Third Reading and on Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1170. Representative Griesheimer. Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd ask leave at this time to take 1170 back to Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave is granted. Returned to the order of Second Reading."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Griesheimer. Amends Senate Bill



1170 as amended by deleting section 10 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: section 10. This Act should take effect upon becoming a law and shall apply only to causes of action arising on or after its effective date."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This is the State Employees Indemnification Bill that came up on Third Reading last night which I took out of the record. In discussing this with many of the opponents, their prime objection is that they felt that this carried onus as the McBroom Bill of last term in that we would retroactively compensate employees that had been involved in actions and claims against them. In order to correct this situation I have prepared Amendment 4 which deletes any terms or terminology or reference to retroactivity explicitly stating that this Bill granting this indemnification will only have a prospective effect; that is it will take care of claims made against state employees from the date that the Act takes effect on and not those before that date. I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 1170. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading. On Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 37."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 37. Sevcik. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Six Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik, will the...please clear the aisle. Can't see the Sponsor."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 35 on page 5, line 9 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah, is my microphone all right tonight?"



Speaker Redmond: "Yes, but I can't see you."

Sevcik: "All right. That's because somebody's in the aisle."

Speaker Redmond: "Somebody's in the aisle."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #1 was a Committee Amendment offered by Representative Kozubowski and it just provides necessary technical corrections and...and takes care some misspelling in the Bill. I ask for adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 37 on page 30 line 14 by deleting 'State' and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Amendment #2 was offered by Representative Lauer in Committee. It lowers the taxation rate to 8% from 10% for charity racing dates. I ask for the adoption of Amendment #2. This is the existing level at the present time. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, if the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Holewinski: "Can you repeat, Representative, what that change was and how...what dollar loss that will produce for the State of Illinois?"

Sevcik: "This is the existing law at the present time, 8% being charged for charity racing dates."

Holewinski: "I see so...so..."

Sevcik: "We...we...we tried to increase it to 10% but we amended it back to the present law."

Holewinski: "Okay, so it's a change from your Bill but no change from the existing law."

Sevcik: "That's correct."



Holewinski: "Thank you."

Sevcik: "Move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor indicate by saying aye. All in favor vote aye; opposed vote no. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is the Sponsor voting on these or is he not?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think he wanted to. Have all voted who wish. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there 104 aye, 1 nay. The Amend...the motion is carried and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3 amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 48 and so forth."

Sevcik: "Amendment #3 was also a Committee Amendment and offered by...Representative Kozubowski. It provides that investigators appointed by the Illinois Department of Agriculture will determine the eligibility of Illinois...Foal Horses. This was a Committee Amendment. Ask for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, my question of the Sponsor would be what changes this from what the practice is now?"

Sevcik: "The Governor now appoints them. Now they will be appointed by the Illinois Department of Agriculture. Ask for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor indicate by saying aye. No. The ayes have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 17 line 23 and so forth."

Sevcik: "Amendment #4, Mr. Speaker, was offered by Representative Capparelli in the Committee. It reduced the distance requirement to 45 miles from 50 miles in which two racing meets may be held simultaneously. I ask for the adoption of Amendment #4."







JUN 24, 1975

Speaker Redmond: "Constitutional, Schlickman."

60

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "What is the significance of reducing from 50 miles to 45 miles?"

Sevcik: "Well, there was a question between Aurora and Balmora that Balmora racetrack was 49.7 miles and they were operating at the same time Aurora; so to conform with the law we reduced it to 45 miles so there's no doubt that they're within the mileage limit. I had a letter...later Amendment that defines the way that the miles are measured by the Department of Transportation."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Holewinski. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Only one question to the Sponsor. What is the reason for the justification for a minimum requirement as it relates to miles in this Act?"

Sevcik: "I didn't hear the question too clearly but I think you're...want to know why the mileage requirement. Well, we try to preserve the market. We can't have two racetracks running so close that neither one of them will produce enough money for the State."

Barnes: "Am I correct in my assessment and I'm not necessarily opposing this Amendment as you well know, I'm just trying to clear some stuff up in my own mind, some things in my own mind. Am I correct in the assessment that the reason for the mileage minimum limitation is in fact for to reduce competition as it relates between...dual meets?"

Sevcik: "No."

Barnes: "Well, what's the reason, then?"

Sevcik: "I said to preserve competition and to preserve the market in order for us, the State, to receive...increased revenue. If we have tracks running right alongside of each other by competition you're...you can rest assured



JUN 24 1973

61

we're not going to get as much revenue for the State."

Barnes: "Well, the question then is, if it's to preserve competition how do you preserve competition by having a minimum requirement which in itself does not preserve but restricts competition. What you're saying is you're restricting. So how can you preserve and restrict at the same time?"

Sevcik: "Well, by 45 miles I'm sure that if you live say 30 miles from a racetrack you're going to go to the closest racetrack. We're trying to preserve the revenue for the State and you're asking about the mileage and said no two racing meets may be held less than 50 miles. Our prior law was 150 miles which was reduced to 50 and now reducing to 45 so that Aurora and Balmoral can compete."

Barnes: "Well, let me ask you this question and I don't want to get into a colloquy because I think we're both looking at the same picture and getting a different viewpoint. Are you saying in fact that with additional competitiveness competition as such in this economy of ours and the country as a whole is built on would be harmful in fact, you said in fact that it would reduce the amount of revenue to the State which I think would run contrary to our competitive free enterprise system that we built the nation on?"

Sevcik: "Well, all I have to say is that the racing market is limited and by keeping a track separated by this mileage requirement that they both can't compete and the State receives additional revenue. "

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, could I speak to the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Barnes: "I'm not going to oppose the Amendment because I...I... I agree with the Sponsor in essence to what he's attempting to do and I have another Amendment down the line which will add to this. But I would like to point out one thing here so everybody realize thoroughly and clearly I think



what's involved is to restrict competition as it relates through...uh...uh...a minimal requirement amount between dual meet. I'm not saying that that's necessarily inherently bad or that there is anything wrong with that concept but to indicate that there would be a reduction in overall revenue, as it relates to restrictive mileage clause in the racing act, I think is contrary because people as we know, people that are willing and that are interested in going to racing meets is that there is more competition will simply gather to which ever meet that serves their best interest. What we are doing in fact, and I'm not necessarily opposed to it, but I think we should make it clear, is attempting to maintain, I'm sorry, attempting to maintain in a grandfathering sort of way, to maintain the people, in fact, who have racing dates and tracks operating to insure that they will be able to continue to...uh...to...uh...benefit at the level at which they are now, I think that's what's involved here and we should realize that and...uh...make it perfectly clear. I'm not necessarily opposed to the concept but let's understand that it has no relationship to how much increase or decrease revenue that...uh...uh...will attend to the state, that's not really what is involved. What's involved is insuring the separation of dual meets and I'm not sure that...uh...uh...we want to set up a special kind of class with special kind of people."

Sevcik: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in answer to his question I want to say that the present law was 150 miles for thorough bred racing and 50 miles for standard bred or harness racing. And they found that Aurora and Balmoral were competing and Aurora and Balmoral were actually not 50 miles apart, so we reduced it to 45 so there's no question about the legality of the two meets progressing at the same time. I move for the adoption of amendment #4."

Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner on amendment #4."



Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, several years ago in my county before FAP 201 was discussed..."

Giorgi: "Excuse me Mr. Skinner, Representative Matijevich for what reason do you rise?"

Matijevich: "I thought Sevcik was closing but evidently he was not."

Sevcik: "Wrong again."

Giorgi: "He was answering the question, Mr. Matijevich." Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "There was considerable talk in the Richmond area that Marge Eppert had bought about 800 to 1000 acres for the purpose of a race track. Now that freeway is nearing the point to where we can see it being completed, I wonder if you can tell me how many miles Richmond is from Arlington Park, is it over 45 miles?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "I wouldn't know how far it is from Richmond to Arlington Park. You live out there you probably know better than I do."

Skinner: "Yea, but I can't get to Richmond from Arlington Park by RTA."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik to close."

Sevcik: "I move for the adoption of amendment #4."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik moves for the adoption of amendment #4 to Senate Bill #37. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #5. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 50 by deleting lines 23 through 35 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Whose amendment is that?"

Selcke: "Committee amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik on amendment #5."

Sevcik: "Amendment #5, Mr. Speaker, was introduced in committee by Representative Caparelli. It limits the no bureau race track security to owing investigators and not security police."



Also provides that all expenses of the bureau art to be paid from Agricultural Premium Fund. This was approved in committee, I ask for it's adoption."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is the amendment of the adoption...the adoption of amendment #5 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, amendment #5 is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Committee amendment #6 amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 31 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "I ask that committee amendment #6 be tabled because we take care of it in a further amendment."

Giorgi: "The gentleman moves that the amendment be tabled. Does he have leave? Leave has been granted, #6 is tabled. Is that right, #6?"

Selcke: "Floor amendment..."

Giorgi: "Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Floor amendment #7. Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 37 page 27 by inserting between lines 11 and 12, the following and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Pierce, on this abominable amendment."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As the Speaker indicated this is a very important and much needed amendment. Amendment #7 would prohibit political contributions by race tracks, that is legalized gambling institutions, their directors and officers. Under present law they are permitted. Under this Bill which came out of the Senate, under the present law they are prohibited under this Bill which came out of the Senate they would be allowed to give political contributions that is a legalized gambling that we have legalized in the State of Illinois and I would opposed the legalization of race tracks but certainly I would prohibit and this amendment prohibits race tracks from using the money they



gain from the legalized gambling to influence legislation or political campaigns. We've already payed the price in Illinois, one in my opinion, one of our finest governors and judges being entrapped by the race track in their holding out and the various schemes and we saw that tragedy and we all lives through that tragedy, those of us in this House. The amendment #7 would prohibit political contributions by a race track, directors and officers. Now some will say, some will say our Campaign Disclosure Act takes care of the situation I'll tell you why it doesn't take care of the situation. Under our campaign disclosure act you can give, a race track could give under this Bill and each director and officer one cent less than \$150 per year to a candidate and that candidate would have no reporting requirement and it wouldn't be filed anywhere. Now let's take one cent under \$150 a year, let's say a race track has ten directors, that's \$1500 a year, let's say a Senator has a four year term that's six thousand dollars less a few cents that could be given to that Senator in his four year term without the need for him to disclose on penny of that contribution. Now let's say that there are ten tracks in the state, let's say each track has ten directors, that a 100 donors, 100 donors of \$150 a year less one cent. Now say they give a donation above the \$150 and it has to be disclosed, there's no requirement that the donor in our law, that the race track discloses, only that the recipient the political candidate discloses. If the political candidate chooses not to disclose there's no penalty on the donor, he takes no risk, it's just the same as when our former governor was prosecuted but the donor was not prosecuted, Mrs. Everett at Arlington Park was not prosecuted and that's just what would happen here if the political candidate received the contribution above the \$150 amount and chose not...and chose not to report it. There's no liability on the donor, on the race track, on the legalized

only the donee, the politician and we saw the tragic result of that already in the Kerner case where...where the donor went free to go out in California and start another track and buy up other tracks, she lives high off the hog while a wonderful political career was ruined. Now, in conclusion, on this amendment, let me say this. There is nothing wrong with legalizing race tracks, I've always been for it and this basically has many fine things in it, I could vote for this Bill, I could support this Bill on Third Reading if amendment #7 is adopting...adopted keeping our present prohibition on political contributions by race tracks, their directors and officers. If the amendment is not adopted, each director could give one cent less \$150, as I pointed out, ten directors could give \$1500 a year for a Senator for four years and not one bit of reporting is done to the State Board of Elections; and therefore, I move the adoption of amendment #7 which I sincerely offer in effort to improve this Bill so I can vote for it on Third Reading."

Giorgi: "Representative Lauer on amendment #7."

Lauer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I, too, rise in support of amendment #7. I think that the disclosure argument that is made sometimes against the idea of not having political contributions is as, Representative Pierce said, factitious. The only fault I find with this amendment is that it could be interpreted in the way it's worded to go into your county offices, and the school board elections, the park district elections which...uh...would certainly be involving contributions to people who in no way would have any possibility of being able to have any influence whatever upon treatment of race tracks or racing dates or any of the other little goodies by which an individual race track may be able to benefit. There is another amendment coming up that if this amendment is adopted, and frankly I hope it will be adopted, I will table my own amendment #18, which



is not quite so far reaching. But I would strongly like to support this amendment and I would like to see a lot of 'aye' votes up there for it."

Giorgi: "Representative Calvo. In the meantime, Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, I'm opposed to amendment #7 for several reasons. One there's the question of the constitutionality of this amendment prohibiting people to make campaign contributions. In the past, the only reason they made campaign contributions were to receive preferential racing dates. This Bill...under this Bill members have a term of six years, members of the racing board. They grant three year racing dates, there is no reason for any race track to make any campaign contribution to obtain preferential racing dates. And when they mention the campaign disclosure act, let me tell you that that campaign disclosure act is nothing but a sucker list. They publicize the campaign disclosure act and the amounts that are given or contributed to a candidate and then you have religious groups and charitable groups going after these people asking them why they do not contribute to the charitable or religious group if they can't contribute to a political candidate. I say this Bill has built into it the six year term for members, they don't have to be coerced into giving preferential racing dates and I think that this amendment is a bad amendment and even in the wording of the amendment where it states that no contribution can be given to a man who is considering campaigning for public office and under public office I don't know what the definition of that is, if he's campaigning for coronor or whatever he's campaigning for so I ask that this amendment be defeated."

Giorgi: "Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know the members that were here one Saturday morning





when I spoke on a Bill that was sponsored by the present occupant of the Chair knows my feeling so I won't repeat all of it but I would just tell you that this is a bad amendment. We have ethics and reporting of campaign contributions in this state and everyone no matter what office he's running for, be it local coronor or whatever it might be, must make a report of what he receives and how much he receives in campaign contributions. And there is absolutely no reason the racing industry, the tracks themselves would be better without this amendment, would be better as Senate Bill 37 is drawn in that they then would do on top of the table what they have been doing. And, believe me, everyone here knows I'm without question that contributions have been made by racing interests, those contributions have been the subject of indictments of law suits and a lot of problems for the racing industry and I tell you we're doing them the greatest favor that could ever be done for them if we defeat this amendment and put everything open and above board as it is with everyone else and should certainly be with them and I ask, sincerely, the defeat of this amendment. Thank you."

Georgi: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I heartily concur with the prior speaker. I think this amendment is ridiculous for the following reasons. It's alright for people, without mentioning names, to give bribes constantly, constantly, but it's not alright for them to declare campaign contributions. I think that is so stupid. I think they should be allowed to give contributions and allowed to report them. We have a financial disclosure act, lets follow it, lets not be sanctimonious because its utterly ridiculous."

Georgi: "Representative Frederick."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I'm going to preface my remarks by saying that I've never owned any



race track stock, I've never solicit or receive a contribution of any kind from anybody connected with one, to my knowledge. But I would remind you that this body and the body across the hall regulates everybody's business around here. You regulate the plumber, the electrician, the banker, the beauty shop operators, everybody else. Now if we're going to put in amendment like this, let's just say that nobody that has any way...in any way regulated by the State of Illinois can contribute. We just as well do it to everybody because I don't see that race tracks should be discriminated against, it's a legitimate business because the Legislature said it's a legitimate business. And I think that some people will be scared off and voting for this amendment because their afraid what the press will say. Well that doesn't bother me too much one way or the other because when I'm right, I think I'm right. And I don't know any reason why this group should not be allowed to contribute because we hang our linen to dry after every election. We have to disclose our economic interest, and what on earth is wrong with this, I don't know. In the first place, if it's unreported you know they gave you less than \$150 and if there's anybody on this floor who can be bought for \$150, he'd better go home."

Giorgi: "Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, apparently the prior speaker didn't hear my explanation that each director and directors of a track could give \$150 and if there five or ten tracks each track would give you that, you wouldn't have to report it. And not only that, the lady from Lake indicated she thought that the contributor had to report it. There's nothing in our law that requires the contributor to file a contribution statement when he makes a contribution. The race tracks don't have to file. The only one guilty of not disclosing would be the donee, that would be you the politician that asked the race track for a contribution,



and we'd be right back to where we were when the recipient in the Kerner case went to prison and the donors got off scott free. Now what is different about race tracks, of course, we know insurance companies can't contribute, banks can't contribute now, so there are other industries who can't contribute but why is a race track different, why is a race track different from other industries we regulate. It's different because it's gambling, that's what it is. It's gambling and we say gambling should be legal under certain circumstances regulated by the State. Now I agree, it should be legal under those circumstances. At the same time there should be certain conditions upon it and one condition that they don't try to influence legislation or the election of public officials with their income from gambling. The gentleman from Logan indicated that why should they be prohibited from contributing to city candidates, village candidates, I'll tell you why. Their not going to give out philanthropic reasons, they'll give the village candidates and the city candidates in the cities and villages where they're located because of zoning because of noise and because of things they do, parking they demand, police protection they need, they'd be very likely to give donations to a village trustee candidate, a mayors candidate, city council candidate, county board candidate, where they're located in order to get the breaks. Now some people may want to finance their next election partially out of contributions from race tracks and that's why I think we should have a record vote on this amendment. I think we can get by, we've been getting by all these years without contributions from race tracks. And I think we can get by in the future without contributions from race tracks. The racing industry would be better off. I haven't heard from one person in the racing industry opposing this amendment. They are tired of being shaken down, both below and above the table

in order to conduct racing in our State. If it's legitimate...if it's legitimate they shouldn't have to contribute politically. I urge the adoption of Amendment #7 and ask for a roll call vote."

Giorgi: "Fine. The question is on the adoption of Amendment #7. All those in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. Vote Giorgi no. ...Vote who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Mr. Pierce, explain your vote?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like a...a poll of the absentees."

Giorgi: "Mr. Pierce...poll the absentees. Mr. Mudd, no. Mr. Mudd, answer the absentee roll call, would you please? Count ...Even count, 62 to 62. Like it is on the board."

Selcke: "Beaupre, Bluthardt, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Caldwell, Chapman, Collins, Deavers, Duff, Epton, Fleck, Garmisa, Hirschfeld, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Kelly, Laurino,..."

Giorgi: "Mr...Mr....Kelly, votes no."

Selcke: "Kelly, no. Laurino, Kornowicz, Londrigan, Lucco, Lucco, no. Lucco, no. Macdonald, Mahar..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Mahar, aye."

Selcke: "Mahar, aye. McGrew, McMaster, Merlo,..."

Giorgi: "Merlo, present."

Selcke: "Merlo, present. Miller, Mudd,..."

Giorgi: "...Mr. Mudd, no."

Selcke: "Mudd, no. Yeah. Caldwell, aye. Mulcahey, Neff, Palmer, Peters, Porter, Porter, aye. Reed, Rose, Ryan, Schisler, Schuneman, Stearney, E. G. Steele, Totten, Van Duyne, Washburn, Washington, ...Washington, aye. Williams, Yourell, and Mr. Speaker. Telczer from no to aye."

*Telczer*  
*Telczer* Giorgi: "Telczer aye. Mr. Mahar. Mr. Mahar, wants to be voted present. Mr...Mr. Ebbesen, for what reason do you arise?"

Ebbesen: "Would you change my vote from yes to present."

Giorgi: "Mr. Ebbesen wants to be changed from yes to present. Ebbesen, yes to present. Just a moment, the Clerk will



get you in a minute. Mr. Ryan, for what reason do you arise?"

Ryan: "Record me as no, will you please?"

Giorgi: "Just a moment. Mr. Ryan, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The gentleman's recorded as being absent."

Giorgi: "Vote him no. Mr. Stearney wants to be recorded voting no. Mr. Grothberg wants to be recorded as voting no. Mr. Darrow, for what reason do you arise?"

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to change my vote to present."

Giorgi: "Mr. Darrow from aye to present. Anyone else want to change your votes or express their votes? What's the count, Mr. Clerk."

Selcke: "Right now it's...64 ayes, 67 nays, and 9 present."

Giorgi: "Well, on this question 64 ayes, 67 nays, 9 present. This Amendment having failed to receive a majority, you ask for verification? Bob, just a moment. Mr. Duff wants to be recorded as voting no. And the gentleman has asked for a verification. We'll proceed with a verification of the affirmative and then the negative. Excuse me, Mr...Mr. Birchler, for what reason do you arise? Mr. Birchler wanted to be recorded as voting no. Mr. Birchler, no."

Selcke: "From yes to no."

Giorgi: "From yes to no, that is. Mr. Boyle. Mr. Boyle, how are you recorded? Vote Mr. Boyle, no.....Your verification, Mr. Pierce?"

Pierce: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker,...thank you for your fairness."

Giorgi: "This point we have 63 ayes, and 70 nays and add Mr. Laurino as a no vote. That's 71 nays. Garmisa, no, so that's 72 then? That's 72 to 60. Should we dispense with the affirmative roll call? Just call the negative then, Mr. Sevcik? Let's just call the negative roll call because that's the one that's going to be verified. If it meets no objections? Just call the negative

roll call."

Selcke: "Jane Barnes,..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz, have leave of the House. Well, we can do whatever, you know...continue."

Selcke: "Berman, Birchler, Boyle, Brummet, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carroll, Craig,..."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Carroll, your...fine... go ahead Mr. Clerk."

Selcke: "D'Arco, DiPrima, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Friedland, Friedrich, Gaines, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Giorgi, Grieman, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hart, Dan Houlihan, Huff, Kane, Keller, Kelly, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, LaFleur, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Lucco, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McCort, McLenden, McPartlin, Mudd, Mugalian, Nardulli, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pouncey, Rayson, Richmond, Schlickman, Sevcik, Shea, Stearney, Cissy Stiehl, Taylor, Terzich, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Wall, White, Young."

Giorgi: "Mr. Pierce, do you have any question of the negative roll call?"

Pierce: "Well, we'll start with Mr. Hart."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hart, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Hart in the chambers? Take him off the roll call."

Pierce: "Mr. Berman."

Giorgi: "Mr. Berman. How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Take him off the roll call."

Pierce: "Mr. Keller."

Giorgi: "How is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Mr. Keller in the chambers? Take him off the roll call."

Pierce: "Mrs. Dyer."

Giorgi: "Mrs. Dyer is in her seat."

Pierce: "Ralph Dunn."



Giorgi: "Ralph Dunn is in the aisle."

Pierce: "Mr. Hanahan."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hanahan, how is he recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Selcke: "No."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Hanahan in the Chambers. Take him off the record."

Pierce: "Mr. Huff."

Giorgi: "Mr. Huff. He's in is seat."

Pierce: "Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Giorgi: "Mr. Dan Houlihan is in the back of the room. Stand up Dan."

Pierce: "I believe you."

Giorgi: "He's always here."

Pierce: "Mr. Kane."

Giorgi: "Is Mr...how is Mr. Kane recorded?"

Selcke: "No."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Kane in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Pierce: "Mr. Terzich."

Giorgi: "Mr. Terzich. How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "No."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Terzich in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Pierce: "Mr. McPartlin."

Giorgi: "Mr. Matijevich, for what reason do you arise?"

Matijevich: "How did we ever start with the negative votes, anyway? Are you starting with negative votes?"

Giorgi: "We got leave of the House. Mr. Pierce. Mr. McPartlin. Mr. McPartlin, how is he recorded?"

Selcke: "No."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. McPartlin in the chambers. Take him off the record."

Pierce: "Mr. Gaines."

Giorgi: "Mr. Gaines, how is he re...here he is in the aisle."

Pierce: "Mr. Friedland."

Giorgi: "Mr. Who...?"

Pierce: "Friedland."



Giorgi: "Representative Friedland. How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "No."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Friedland in the chambers? Take him off the record."

Pierce: "Mr. Klosak."

Giorgi: "Mr. Klosak, how is he recorded...he's in his seat."

Pierce: "Mr. LaFleur."

Giorgi: "Mr. LaFleur is in the aisle. He should be at his desk, he says. Mr. Berman, you can put him back on the roll call."

Pierce: "Mr. Grieman."

Giorgi: "Mr. Grieman, how is he recorded? Mr. Grieman is in the balcony, wants to be verified in the balcony. Is that kosher, Mr. Speaker?"

Selcke: "Yeah."

Giorgi: "You might ask Grieman. I guess that takes care of Mugalian, he's all Jew. He's Armenian." Mr. Stearney.

Pierce: "Mr. Stearney."

Giorgi: "Mr. Stearney, how is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Giorgi: "Mr. Stearney in the chambers? Take him off the roll call."

Pierce: "Ah, that does it, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Okay, Mr. Clerk, give me a total. Pierce, the results are 63 ayes and 64 nays in your verification. Mr. Jones. How is Mr. Jones recorded? J. D. Jones."

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Giorgi: "Vote Mr. Jones. Mr. Jones votes aye. Mr. Beaupre, for what reason do you arise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to vote aye."

Giorgi: "Mr. Beaupre would like to vote aye. Mr. Yourell." Is Yourell, aye? Is...Mr...Mr...Washburn, for what reason do you arise?"

Washburn: "I'd like to vote no, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Mr. Washburn votes no. Return...return Friedland to the roll call, no vote."





Selcke: "Okay. Wait a minute."

Giorgi: "Mr. Mulcahey would like to vote no. Friedland no. Returned to the roll call as a no vote. Mr. Mulcahey wants to vote no. McGrew wants to vote no. Return Terzich to the roll call. Return Stearney to the roll call. Representative McMaster no and Kelly aye. Can we have the roll now Mr. Clerk, when you're done with that?"

Selcke: "Kelly, what?"

Giorgi: "...Miller, aye. I'm sorry, Mr. Miller. Miller, aye."

Selcke: "Miller, aye. Wait a minute. Wait a minute. Totten, aye. Well, I can't...no...no..."

Giorgi: "This Amendment there are 68 ayes, 71 nays and this Amendment is lost. Verified roll call. Amendment... any further Amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #8. Pierce. Amends Senate Bill 37, page 28 line 13 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Pierce on Amendment #8."

Pierce: "This Amendment, Mr. Speaker, increases the penalties for violation of this Act which is weak enough now as it is. What...what it does...Amendment #8, what it does is make sure if there's...if there's a violation of the Act the license will be revoked. The way the Act is stated now, they can get by with a suspension for 5 years. Under this Amendment the penalty for violation of various sections of the Act would revocation of a license rather than a mere 5 year slap on the wrist and I...feel that the Amendment improves the Bill. Provides for meaningful penalties for someone violating the Act instead of increases the penalties instead of a mere revocation if you violate this wonderful Act, at least your right to operate a racetrack in Illinois would be revoked permanently and therefore I move the adoption of Amendment #8 which strengthens the Act by somewhat increasing the penalties for violation of the Act."

Giorgi: "Representative Schisler on Amendment #8."

Schisler: "Mr...Ladies and Gentleman of the House, I oppose



Amendment #8 for various reasons. The present Act permits suspension of license for any corrupt practice for a period of not less than 5 years. It also has in here of passing a fraudulent ticket which Representative Pierce's Amendment wants to revoke...revoke. This Amendment requires license suspension of occupational licensees for administering drugs, entering a horse under a false name, using improper devices like a electric prod to stimulate a horse. I think the suspension of license...licensee for a period of not less than 5 years is enough of a penalty. I ask that this Amendment be defeated."

Giorgi: "Mr. Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the gentleman pointed out if you fraudulently violate this Act the way it's drawn, 5 years later they can license you again...5 years later they can license you again to operate at the track and...and to keep doing it. At least if you violate the Racetrack Act, because we're in a sensitive area. We're in an area where we have a lot of scandal. We want to keep...those of us that want to keep legalized gambling in Illinois want it to be operated in a clean manner. And if someone fraudulently violates the Act their license should be suspended, I mean revoke permanently, not to suspend it for merely 5 years. Now unless we clean up racing in Illinois, we're going to have a movement someday to prohibit it and I'd be against that. And I'd say let's keep racing going by keeping it honest and by keeping meaningful penalties in there and I believe Amendment 8 is aimed at keeping meaningful penalties in the law. Senate Bill 37 reduces the penalties in the existing law and therefore I'm amending the Bill here by Amendment 8 to strengthen them and make it a better Bill."

Giorgi: "On this...the..."

Pierce: "I urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Giorgi: "...The question/<sup>is on the</sup> adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 37. All those in favor will signify by



saying aye. And those opposed by saying no. Mr. Pierce, do you suggest the no's have it and the Amendment is lost?"

*now* ✓  
Pierce: "I leave that to you, Mr...."

Giorgi: "The Amendment is lost."

Selcke: "Amendment #9. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 17 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Barnes on Amendment #9."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if the Members like #6 they'll love #9. #9...All #9 does in fact is insert a definition into the language on the mileage minimum requirement; it reduces it there to 25 miles. What it says is 25 mile road travelled by the most practical route. Now if you notice in Senate Bill 37 there's no definition of miles. As they say, they reduced the mileage to 45 miles but then there's no definition. As I understand in talking to people in the racing industry and people on the Racing Board that can be interpreted, as I was told by them being a big city boy I'm not sure of this, as the crow flies. Well, I'm not sure which way the crow flies, so what I'm doing here is making a definition in how you will measure the most practical route as it relates to the mileage in the Act. I think that this is a necessary Amendment. I think that if we're not going to restrict competition as the Sponsor of this Bill said on Amendment #6 that this Amendment should be adopted if it is the intent and the purposes of this Act to insure every citizen having the opportunity to participate whether as a...whether as a person in attendance for...for the purpose of attending the racing acts or in order for people to participate in the industry as a whole, I believe that this is a good Amendment. It leaves there a 25 minimum restriction which I think is more than ample in talking to many people in the racing industry. What we are in fact talking about is not downstate tracks. We're talking about the tracks up around the City of Chicago; so the downstaters shouldn't get uptight about this Amendment

this is what it is addressing itself to and I think that 25 miles as it relates to the interstate highways that we have in the Chicagoland area is more than sufficient to cover any...any...any...admonitions by the industry in terms of competitiveness involved in dual races. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #9."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on the ...I know the Sponsor referred to Amendment #6 which was an Amendment we tabled. Also, he mentioned the...how you distinguish the various miles from one racetrack to another. We have Amendment #6 that defines...I mean Amendment #26 that defines how the mileage shall be certified. So that'll be taken care in Amendment #26. But this Amendment reduces the distance to 25 miles isn't favorable because it would reduce the market for each track and possibly prevent either of the two competing track from being profitable. Another thing that we must consider is the shortage of horses to conduct these various race...racing meets. And if we have them 25 miles away, just like his next Amendment which reduces the mileage altogether that they can race alongside each other, I think it's going to be unfair for the State of Illinois, it's going to be unfair for the horsemen and unfair for the racetrack to make a profit by having these meets so close. So I ask that this Amendment be defeated."

Giorgi: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to take a crack at this Amendment from the other side in order that you can really understand what we're asking here. Now, I'm not going to get into the argument about competition and the fact that if you reduce competition you make more money because that's unrealistic. What we want to talk about is this. My district is approximately three miles by four miles. Now a district in downstate Illinois is multiple miles. There's some districts that are almost 200 miles apart and it's not uncommon for you to have districts of 50, 60 miles or



45 miles. Now what we're talking about is legislative districts in the City of Chicago. Now if we adopt this Amendment in terms of that we can't have over one track per legislative district we might have 30 tracks within this area. Now that's not what we're talking about. All we want to do is give this a reasonable mileage solution to allow the people to go to the tracks and pay the money who give the money to the State in terms of taxes and help finance the State. And to be specific, if I wanted to go to the track from where I am I would have to pass through approximately five legislative districts, five legislative districts to get to the tracks out at Sportsman. And if I wanted to go the other way I'd have to pass through approximately three or four legislative districts to go to Washington Park. Now we don't want to have to traverse all these legislative districts and I ask you Members downstate to think about it. If we told you that you would have to pass through three or four or possibly have a combined total of seven or eight districts before you could get to a racetrack for your constituents you would say that that's unreasonable. It would be like putting on a 250 mile radius with four tracks. The City of Chicago, the metropolitan area of Cook County is extremely congested. There are lots and lots of people there. Lots and lots of money for the racetrack. Lots and lots of money, indeed, for the taxes of the State of Illinois. Now all we're asking for is a reasonable limitation. What we don't want to do is say if you're in we're going to freeze you in and if you're out you're out forever. Think of it that someday perhaps after we retire Representative Barnes and I might want to open a track in our district. And surely we wouldn't want to be prevented. But aside from that point think about it in terms of the number of legislative districts that you cross. Think about it in terms of the population that you're serving and certainly this is a clear, valid and reasonable Amendment and we would urge the Body from favorable...for a

favorable vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Barnes to close."

Barnes: "Thank you...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, I will close on one point that was raised by the Sponsor of this Bill. And I think that we should get that clear. This Amendment, this restriction, just like the Amendment that he offered had no direct correlation to how much the State will receive in revenue from the racing industry. That has absolutely nothing to do with that. And contrary to what he said if we increase competition there's only one thing that he can do for the State but increase the amount of revenue that we're paying to the State. When you reduce and restrict competition than you restrict the amount of money that can come into State General Revenue from this... purposes. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Question is on the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 37. And a roll call has been requested. So all in favor will signify by voting aye. And those opposed by voting no. ...representative Stearney. Will somebody push Representative Stearney's no button? Take the record. On this question there are 32 ayes, 62 nays, 12 voting present. This Amendment having received...failing to receive a majority is declared lost."

Selcke: "Amendment #10. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 17 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Who is this? ...Barnes, I'm sorry. Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't want to be dilatory in my actions and I see what the wishes of the House is, so I'm not going to offer this Amendment for the simple reason that this Amendment would eliminate the mileage requirement altogether. But I do want to say one thing before I ask for the Amendment to be tabled. And I want to say one thing to the Sponsor of the Bill. One thing that we should all here recognize and understand that



according to the...the overall financial figures of the racing industry itself a large majority of the overall handle comes from an area of this State that's not now being served by the industry. I think the industry should looked toward trying to rectify that situation and I, for one, will not continue in this vein at this time but I put them on notice that I will continue to do so in the future. I would move to table...Amendment #10."

Giorgi: "Gentleman have leave to table Amendment #10. Leave has been granted. Any further Amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #11, Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 19 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Same Barnes?"

Selcke: "Yeah."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #11 and 12 and 13 is in the same vein so I will simply explain 11 then move for the adoption of 12 and 13 at the proper time. What Amendment #11 does is the same thing that we amended House Bill 1886 that went out of here with agreement on these Amendments. What we are attempting do here is to require affirmative action, planning, recruitment, training and upgrading of minorities within the racing industry. This is all these Amendments do and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #11."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik on Amendment #11."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree to Amendment #11, 12 and 13 that they be adopted."

Giorgi: "You want to move all three then Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "If it is the will of the House..."

Giorgi: "Does he have leave to...Mr. Clerk will read the Amendment."

Selcke: "Amendment #12. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended and so forth. Amendment #13. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended and so forth."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. If I have leave



I would move for the adoption of the three amendments, Amendment #11, 12 and 13."

Giorgi: "Does the gentleman have leave? Leave has been granted. Who objects? Is there objection? The question is on the adoption of Amendments #11, 12 and 13 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor will signify by saying aye. Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it and all three Amendments are adopted."

Selcke: "Amendment #14. Barnes. Amends Senate Bill 37 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Excuse me. Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Ewell, for what reason do you arise? It's your Amendment? I'm sorry. Go ahead, Mr. Clerk."

Selcke: "Amendment #14. Ewell. Amends Senate Bill 37 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this Bill is a money Bill. What we're talking about here is the Agricultural Premium Fund and the General Revenue Fund. Now this Amendment is one of the Amendments that says that what we really ought to do is allow everybody to share into the pie. Now we don't believe in creating the racetrack which come in the urban areas which are sponsored by the people in the urban areas and the people in the urban areas contribute all the money to the tracks, they contribute all the tax revenue to the State and they put all the money in the General Revenue Fund, I mean in the Agricultural Premium Fund. And this is fine. We want to encourage the breeding of horses. We want to keep the fine quality of stock and breeding in Illinois. We want to have adequate prize money. But also there are some other needs within the State and I notice that even the Governor said that the General Revenue Fund is being depleted at a very rapid rate. Now what this Amendment attempts to do is cut up the pie and put just a little bit back into the General Revenue Fund. What we want to do is change the formula in order that 25% of the revenue can go into the General Revenue Fund. And I should like





to point out that there's never an occasion on this House floor that each and every Member wants to dig his hand and put it into the General Revenue Fund for the benefit of his district. Now you cannot continually deplete the General Revenue Fund and expect they will be there at all times to service all of your needs. This is a very reasonable, a very meager attempt to divert just 25% of the racetrack funds into the General Revenue Fund. This is a good Amendment. I would encourage every Member to vote for it. And I suggest that if you think about this whenever you get ready to adopt your resolutions, your bills, your appropriations that dig into the General Revenue Fund, you've got to put something back. This is a good Amendment and I would ask for the support of the entire House."

Giorgi: "Representative Barnes, do you wish to speak on this, your button's on."

Barnes: "Yeah. Well, I can....file, the opposition..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Keller please on Amendment #14."

Keller: "Yes. Are you the Sponsor of the Amendment, Ray, or..."

Giorgi: "Yes, he is."

Keller: "I'd like to ask him a couple of questions."

Giorgi: "Continue."

Keller: "Is it...you're wanting to reduce the Agriculture Premium Fund in other words in this here...you...you talk about just reducing 25% but in theory you're really reducing it 50%. Isn't that right? Cutting it in half?"

Ewell: "Yeah. We're putting 25% of the gross revenue...into the General Revenue Fund. Agriculture used to get 50%."

Keller: "Agriculture gets 50% right now. You're going to reduce it to 25%. Isn't that right?"

Ewell: "Correct. Correct. Right."

Keller: "Does not the...the McCormick Center in the City of Chicago receive their money from the Agriculture Premium Fund?"

Ewell: "The what?"

Keller: "The McCormick Center. From..."



Ewell: "The McCormick Center?"

Keller: "Yes."

Giorgi: "Yes, they do Mr..."

Keller: "It was built out of the Agriculture Premium Fund."

Giorgi: "Yes, they do, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Well, could I answer that? You don't...Well, we never received anything when you take the books and add them up and find out that, yes, McCormick Place was a small drop in the bucket, I would acknowledge that we did get some funds for McCormick Place. But when you match the funds from McCormick Place against the funds that we spend... to the other 101 counties and other agriculture areas in contrast to where the revenue comes from, we come out pretty. This is just a Bill to share. So that we might rejoice together."

Keller: "Oh, the Agriculture Premium Fund, though, is used for helping our county fairs and our state fairs throughout the State of Illinois and also used for many good things on...in the rural areas of Illinois where we do upgrade our livestock and...so that we can put food on the table for you people in the City of Chicago and I would urge the defeat of this Amendment here because to reduce a fund in half we would literally just have to...to close down all the county fairs in the State and probably the State Fair of Illinois and would not have any money left to...to upgrade agriculture in Illinois. So I would urge the defeat of this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I wonder if the Sponsor of the Amendment remembers that the State of Illinois through this Agriculture Premium Fund built the great metropolitan exposition hall in the City of Chicago? And then after it was built we had a great fire and in the wisdom of the State Legislature they again appropriated numerous, and I say numerous millions of dollars from the Ag Fund to, really build the exposition in Chicago

and let me say this, I was in all...heartedly accord with rebuilding because it's done much for the State of Illinois but it's done even much, much more the City of Chicago. We continue to finance that through the Ag Premium Fund and now to come back and say we ought to take away another 50% of the fund, well even 25% would be a serious mistake. I think we now have the proper balance and let's keep it that way."

Giorgi: "Mr. Waddell. Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, maybe I can shed a little light on this. The data reveals that 409 million from racing receipts went into other funds other than the General Fund since July of 1964. However, what Mr. Ewell is trying to do is already being done thus making this unnecessary because since that time 330 million or 81% of that amount was transferred back to the General Fund. So this is unnecessary."

Giorgi: "Mr. Madison. Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Madison: "Representative Ewell, are you familiar with what the balance is on the Agriculture Premium Fund at the present time?"

Ewell: "At the exact moment I am not but I have found that throughout the years the Agriculture Premium Fund is one of the few funds that has an excess balance in it."

Madison: "You...you couldn't ball park it then?"

Ewell: "No, I can't i...just...Mr. Barnes could give you..."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Madison, Mr. Waddell seems to know the answer. Mr. Waddell, would you give him the answer?"

Waddell: "Yes. That's not correct because the Governor with one swoop of the pen transfers those funds back over into the General Fund. The remainder that was stipulated by this, General Assembly was \$2,000,000. We also had an Amendment on the Scariano Bill that you had passed in here to the



fact that was to be...reduced to 50...which would then start up \$50,000 which would then start up this for the remaining year. So this is absolutely not true that the Governor then takes the funds from the Agriculture Premium Fund put them back into the General Fund."

Giorgi: "Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Well, Mr...well, Mr. Speaker, I would simply beseech the Members of this Body to pass this Amendment out if for no other reason we can at least save the Governor some ink."

Giorgi: "Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would just like to point out to the Members here that we had \$27,000,000 and, I believe that's the correct figure very close to it in 1973 and the...put into the Agriculture Premium Fund. Now just to make it clear, what this Amendment does so everyone will know that in that year had this been law, we would have only had 13 1/2 million dollars. Now we passed, and I think wisely so particularly in the times that we have now, Bills to create additional auditorium authorities throughout the State of Illinois whose bonds will be funded from this fund and I would just say to you that just be aware of what you're doing when you're going to cut the funds from which McCormick Place was funded and these other auditorium authorities are funded 50%; from 27,000,000 to 13 1/2. That's what it does and of course we all know, particularly the people in industry and agriculture what these funds do other than that for our fair systems, county fairs and state fair and other things. So just be aware that you're reducing this by... by one half and I don't think we can really do that. I'd urge you to vote against this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Gaines."

Gaines: "I wish to take this opportunity to point out that the areas surrounding Chicago has about half the population of the State and it's not agricultural. And you know what happened in this crunch that everybody's talking about and



let's save some money. Now let's put some of this money into the General Fund so we can vote out for these bridges and these highways that we've appropriated for downstate elsewhere. So we're not just taking this money for Cook County. We're taking it out of the General Fund so all these things and goodies that have been passed out of this House and Senate can be done without also at the same time reducing education. So I say let everybody share in the hardtime and let the Agriculture Fund share with it too."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have to agree wholeheartedly with Representative Waddell, Calvo, and Keller. This is a bad Amendment and should be defeated."

Giorgi: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, let me clear up one thing. About the building of McCormick Place. Number one: there has been a couple speakers said the Ag Premium Fund built it; that's just a lot of hogwash. One third of the money came from the Agriculture Premium Fund and two thirds came from local taxes in the local Chicago share of the gasoline tax, so the Ag Premium Fund did not build McCormick Place. Ten million dollars goes annually for the retirement of bonds but ten million dollars don't even approach the amount of money that is accrued to that fund from overall handle that comes from the largest tracks in the State in the Chicago area. Plus the fact last year I took it upon myself to ask the Racing Board to do a little research in terms of where the majority of the people that comes to those tracks come from and I found that over 60% of the people that attended the largest track where the majority of people in this State live comes from the minority community in/or supporting the tracks thereby supporting the Ag Premium Fund. They are not deriving any direct benefits from the Premium Fund because the majority of them are used as the speaker said some local county fairs and...and state fairs and



what do you have and boys clubs and the like. Now I'm not opposed to that. I think that's fine. And I think those are laudable things and should be continued but what I am saying is some of those funds should be, could be, diverted by using direct correlation to where they are produced from. We do not get those benefits. We have not gotten those benefits. And now it's time that some of them was returned to those areas where would be better benefitted by the funds as whole. One way to do that is to increase the amount of funds that go to the General Revenue Fund. And I would support this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Ewell to close, please."

Ewell: "...Speaker, roll call."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment 14 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor signify by saying aye. The opposed no. The no's have it and the Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #15. Klosak. Amends Senate Bill 37, page 31 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Klosak."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we recently passed...we recently passed a House Bill..."

Giorgi: "Mr...excuse me. Members of the Assembly, Mr. Klosak doesn't take the floor very often. Let's give him some attention. Mr. Klosak."

Klosak: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen,... we recently passed a House Bill #223 which removed prohibitions against taxing race tracks by certain local units of government. The reason we did this is that it is expensive for a municipality to have a racetrack within its borders and not pay part of those expenses, so House Bill 223 removes those prohibitions and is presently sitting in the Senate on Third Reading. Now the purpose of Amendment #15 is to give the Governor a choice if he finds a new racing act, I want the same language to be in the new racing act as we have put into the existing racing act by the



passage of House Bill 223. I ask concurrence of this amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Jaffe on amendment #15."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise in opposition to this amendment. Both the major racing bills that were introduced, both Senate Bill 37 and 1886, both were in opposition to this concept. What this, in essence, would do, it would open the door to unlimited local taxing without a ceiling level which could lead and would lead to increase of admission prices as well as taxes on the amount set. I think it would have a severe affect on attendance and would therefore be injurious to the horse industry altogether. And I think it would be in opposition to the best interest of this particular state. Let me state that municipalities really make plenty of money off of horse racing in their area...uh...they get alot of money in sales tax, they get a lot of money in property tax, if local municipalities thought they were going to lose money...uh...they would not permit zoning of a track. So as I said beforehand both of the major racing bills were in opposition to this Bill and in opposition to this amendment and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Giorgi: "The majority leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise to support this amendment, I was a co-sponsor of House Bill 223 along with my colleague and the problem we have is that within our districts we have a track and adjacent to our district, we have a track. The track within our district has at one time did go along with an admissions tax and now has refused to pay it on what I believe to be the advice of the racing board. I think that this is a good amendment. I don't think there should be any prohibition such as this in the Act and I would ask for support of the amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik on the amendment or Mr. Klosak to close."



Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I see where my two colleagues of the same district support this amendment. Well I oppose this amendment. The Bill clearly states that there would be a 10%...a 10 cent...uh... tax for admission which would go back to the municipality. I think that that is enough for the municipality to obtain for services that it renders and I ask for the defeat of this amendment."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of amendment #15. Mr. Klosak to close, I'm sorry."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Just a couple of points I want to clear up. Number one, on the racing revenue, the gentleman has stated that we will damage race track attendance by having some type of tax on admission. Well when the Majority Leader stated that one of the municipalities in our district did enact a 10 cent per head admission tax, the race track came right around and raised admission price by 50 cents...by 50 cents that's how much they bothered about race track admission and race track attendance because of our ten cents. Second is I want to call the Houses attention to our constitution. The constitution prohibits licensing for revenue. It doesn't ...if we remove this restriction it doesn't means a municipality having a race track within its borders can go and tax the handle for example, we can only license for regulation purposes. We must be able to show how much money we have expended and we license accordingly just to regulate. The gentleman that has mentioned that a municipality has an asset by having a race track within its borders, that it receives so much money, is not aware of the facts. He stated that we can change the zoning, we didn't have to invite them. Let's keep in mind that these race tracks have been there for the past 100 years. At the best, a race track is a tolerable tenant it is not a desirable one."





I submit amendment #15 is fair, is equitable, it isn't... it is in conformity with House Bill 223 which we have passed to amend the present racing act and I ask for the adoption of this amendment."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of amendment #15 to Senate Bill 37. Mr. Jaffe requests a roll call. All those in favor...Mr. Ewell I had Mr. Klosak, well you can qualify your vote Mr. Ewell. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', all opposed by voting 'no'. Vote me 'aye' Mr. Matijevich. Mr. Ewell or Mr. Barnes? Mr. Ewell to qualify his vote. Mr. Barnes to qualify his vote. Fellows let's go Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "One of the things that distresses is that what we're doing by this is we are allowing a diversion of money that heretofore everybody simply didn't want to allow to be diverted. Now it doesn't make sense to me, if you can divert in one instance, you can divert in another instance and I don't think you want to make it possible to have this type of diversion. Either your going to be consistent and say let's have no diversion or let's break it up and share it equally with everyone."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this amendment there are 49 'ayes', 70 'nays', 7 voting 'present'. This amendment having failed to receive a majority is hereby declared lost. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #16. Klosak. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 18, line 20 by deleting 'shall be prohibited'..."

Giorgi: "Representative Klosak on amendment #16. We have how many amendments on the desk, Mr. Clerk? We have 34 amendments we have 18 to go fellows."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The present law in Illinois prohibits Sunday racing. Now Senate Bill 37 opens the gate by opening it a local option type of thing. What Senate amendment #..."

Giorgi: "Time out, I don't what it is. I don't know what to in



this case."

Klosak: "What amendment #16 does is again re-establishes the flat prohibition of Sunday racing in the State of Illinois. Ladies and Gentlemen I believe this amendment makes alot of horse sense."

Giorgi: "Mr. Klosak please, Mr. Matijevich, Mr. Pierce please."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, apparently there are members of the Senate sitting up in the gallery on the Democratic side here, I want to know what their doing up there."

Giorgi: "Look on the record, the Minority Party from the Senate. Stand up please. Now if we get some order for Mr. Klosak again."

Klosak: "Ladies and Gentlemen I submit to you that we have year round racing in the State of Illinois. We don't need Sunday racing. There's a shortage of horses, some gentleman said, and the horses need a rest. Let's vote down Sunday racing and let's vote for amendment #16 to Senate Bill 37."

Giorgi: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know we've enjoyed this little bit of levity but I would like you to think about this amendment. As we said, we have one track in our district and that's Cicero. We also have a track adjacent to that in Stickney, that's Hawthorne. And I want to tell you, it's bad enough to try and find a parking place around your house on any day those tracks are running but I tell you the people won't even be able to go to church on Sunday if we let racing go here. Well I've heard some ahs and maybe I'm not the most religious person on this House floor but I'll tell you there are a number of people in my community that are and I just think that it would be terrible to allow racing on Sunday in this state and I would hope that this amendment would be put on."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hanahan, amendment #16."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, contrary to the Majority Leader's position. I find that this amendment is a bad amendment for working



men and women in Illinois that don't have an opportunity to be that wealthy to take a day off of work during the work week to go and watch the sport of kings. Now if you ever talk about a discriminatory act, it's any act that prohibits a sport of kings, a very legal enterprise in Illinois, from being observed by working men and women on the day of Sunday. Now the Bill is written would not allow Sunday racing unless the municipality by ordinance or by referendum allowed it. I think that's far enough that the local home rules area would determine whether or not they could put up with the parking or the traffic but to specifically prohibit Sunday racing and not allow working people who would like to watch the sport of kings on a Sunday, the only day they may have to relax and enjoy that sport I think is wrong, so therefore I'm opposed to this amendment #16."

Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I find very interesting the opposition by the Majority Leader to this amendment or his support, excuse me, his support of this amendment because it is totally absolute anti home rule. The Bill provides that horse racing on Sundays shall be prohibited unless...unless authorized by ordinance or referendum as the municipality in which the race track or any of its pertinent facilities is located. Why does he want to take away local government? Why does he want to take away from the people back at home, the authority to determine what they will have in their corporate limits? His position is completely contradictory from positions that he's taken earlier. I would also suggest Mr. Speaker, Members of the House that if this amendment is adopted you have a limitation of home rule and the sponsor of this Bill had better work for the 107 votes right now."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Representative Schlickman just mentioned and so did Representative



Hanahan that the Bill clearly states that horse racing is prohibited on Sunday unless an appropriate ordinance or referendum is passed that they may have Sunday racing. Now let me say this, there are certain communities down state that have come forward for this Bill. East Moline came to me and they want Sunday racing, Cahokia, Clairmont want Sunday racing...uh...Maywood and Aurora want Sunday racing but it is up to the municipality to pass the ordinance in order for them to have Sunday racing and also the racing board still has the discretion to grant a license for Sunday racing. I want to say in the State of California, that the Sunday race date brings in as much revenue as their Saturday date, also when they run on Sundays they do not run the races on Monday. So for the downstate tracks, they could close on their bad days Monday and Tuesday and run from Wednesday through Sunday if they want to. I ask that this amendment be defeated."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, we've heard from all these different gentlemen from Arlington Park and from Sportsman Park and Hawthorne Park, I can't figure out which one of them is on the right track."

Giorgi: "Mr. Klosak to close."

Klosak: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Several legislators came to me and said, 'We're able to see a baseball game on Sunday, we're able to see a football game, why can't we introduce our children to the sport of racing.'. For those legislators, I say I'm sorry for you. If you don't know the difference between the racing game and a wholesome baseball and football game, then you just haven't been exposed to the so-called sport of kings. Can you imagine that this all of sudden is a union bill, all of a sudden all our poor workers can only go out on Sunday to enjoy this great king of sports, and I am trying to deny that to them. Let me tell you that I have been born within



a half mile of a race track and I reside at the present time within a half mile of a race track. I enjoy racing year round but a body can only stand so much enjoyment. Now let me say to you, we have a residential area immediately next to the race track, immediately adjoining the race track. Next to this...this race track is Sportsman Park why play games. A race track outside our district is outside my municipality, my municipality will prohibit Sunday racing, there is no question about it. Now the municipality immediately adjoining mine is Stickney where Hawthorne Race Track is located, now no Stickney resident lives within two miles of the race track but the Cicero residents live right across the race track by a quirk, fifty, seventy-five years ago annexation Hawthorne Race Track belongs to the village of Stickney but it is surrounded by the town of Cicero except for a corridor which binds it to Stickney. That is my greatest concern. We in Cicero will prohibit by ordinance Sunday racing at Sportsman but now let me tell you what it means living next to the race track. For nine months out of the year, six days a week you cannot park in front of your own home. You cannot go shopping and come back and think that your going to unload your car in front of your house, it can't be done. The race track has sufficient parking, I'm not quarreling with the race track but for the convenience of egress and so forth the people prefer to park on the street right in the residential area. Now Sunday is the only day we have. We like to go out and cut our grass on Sunday, can you imagine that that is prohibited for nine months out of the year because of the race track. Now this gentlemen, this...by opposing amendment #16 you want to take away our Sundays from us...why? For they say, for every wrong there must be a right, I say to you that this amendment would at least solve our problems on Sunday. Let us go out and cut our grass."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of amendment #16 to



Senate Bill 37. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', those opposed by voting...roll call, the nos have it...roll call, you asked for a roll call. The gentleman asked for roll call. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. All voted who wish? Mr. Hanahan to explain your vote."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, once again I, too, live within four blocks of the race track at Sportsman Park and I too shared a few years of misery of parking but Cicero, the town of Cicero which is a municipality at any time could certainly put in parking meters or at any time could certainly pass ordinance prohibiting parking for more than two hours on residential streets if they so chose. I think this is a home rule issue and if the town of Cicero does not want to have racing that is their prerogative and they certainly also could ban parking in the town of Cicero. But for the other tracks and for the people who would like to be exposed to the king of sports and that is racing, they should be allowed at least to observe it on a Sunday if all the other conditions are met by local referendum or by ordinance if allowed and the racing board giving the racing dates on a Sunday, I think it is a bad amendment and should be defeated."

Giorgi: "Representative Shea to explain his vote."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's not very often that I disagree with my colleague that tells me he supports the labor movement but I noticed he left there and now lives out in quiet McHenry County and he's forgotten some of his days in Cicero so I again would ask for some 'aye' votes on this good amendment."

Giorgi: "Ms. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I am absolutely amazed that the unions appear to be supporting Sunday racing. They keep telling us that they don't want the butchers to work on Saturday or Sunday or even after six o'clock, why do they want the people at the race track



to work on Sunday? I think that the unions ought to be against Sunday racing and I have to vote green."

Giorgi: "Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Inconvenience comes to everyone in many aspects. Inconvenience comes to everyone. I live next to the Dan Ryan, we have people who come over and park and take the Dan Ryan and ride it down to work and leave their cars. I should like to point out that there are inconveniences for all of us. I want to point out that the tracks have a local option and I don't think that they can put an absolute prohibition to stop everyone from doing it. As has been pointed out, if they don't want parking, they can completely ban parking on the streets there and they would have no problem. I suggest that this Bill applies to all of the people of the state and you cannot make all of the people of the state suffer the inconveniences simply because one person or a couple say, well it affects their particular district. I think it's an error. I think we ought to consider the Bill as a whole and allow the people as a whole on Sunday, their day of recreation, if they want to go out and make the races they ought to be allowed to do so."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. Mr. Mann, while he's rolling the tape go ahead."

Mann: "How am I recorded, this switch is not working?"

Giorgi: "You are recorded as 'aye', I believe."

Mann: "No is the way I want to vote."

Giorgi: "Mr. Mann change his vote from 'aye' to 'nay' and on this question there are 72...Mr. Taylor for what reason do you rise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "You were recorded as 'aye' on the tally."

Taylor: "I would like to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Giorgi: "Mr. Taylor votes 'no'. Mr. Mann did you change your vote to 'no'? Mann from aye to no, Taylor from aye to no."



Just a moment. Mr. Mulcahey 'aye', Mr. Lucco 'aye', I'm sorry, Mr. Lucco changes from 'aye' to 'no' Lucco 'no', Mulcahey 'aye'. Does anyone else want to change their votes? Mr. Huff...Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker change my 'aye' vote to 'no'."

Giorgi: "Huff goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Mr. Davis for what reason do you rise? Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Do you mind if I say a word, you always ask me to pray for you all the time all of you in here."

Giorgi: "Continue Mr. Davis."

Davis: "I want to explain my vote. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Did you ever read Ed Gibbons, The Rise and Fall of the Roman Empire the decline of the Roman Empire, do you know what one of the reasons was? I know you say that this can't happen here but the foremost nation in all the world, it only took one hundred years for it to go out of existence absolutely. You know what one of the reasons was, if you read his book he'll tell ya. You know what it was, I'm going to tell you what it was. The decay of religion, that's what it was, the decay of religion. Now six days is enough for you to lose your money because you know you can't win out there, six days is enough for you to take your money away from your family and go out there and spend it all on the race track and lose it. Of course, the state gets their part of it. But remember it is sacredly written, six days shall thy labor and languish divine but remember the Sabbath. The Sabbath is mine."

Giorgi: "What's the toll Mr. Clerk? Just a moment Mr. Clerk. Mr. Luft for what reason do you rise?"

Luft: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "How's Mr. Luft recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Luft: "Would you vote me 'no' please."

Giorgi: "Vote Mr. Luft 'no'. Mr. Waddell, for what reason do you rise?"





Waddell: "How am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "How's he recorded, Mr. Waddell?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Waddell: "Record me 'no', please."

Giorgi: "Change Mr. Waddell to 'no'. Count Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 70 'ayes', 77 'nays', the amendment is lost. OK, Mr. O'Brien would you please make an announcement to our friends in the gallery."

O'Brien: "Members of the Holy Fools of the First United Methodist Church are here promoting the youth circus which will be in Springfield, July 13th. Tickets are being sold in the rotunda and proceeds go to the home for alcoholic women which will be built in Springfield. One of the Holy Fools works for the Democrat House Staff. His name is Morey Meister, known to us as MUD."

Giorgi: "Thank you Mr. Clerk. To our friends in the gallery. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #17. Washington. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended by inserting after section 21, the following."

Giorgi: "Representative Washington on amendment #17 and we have 13 to go."

Washington: "You only have one more after I finish. This amendment conflicts with 11, 12, 13 which were adopted to I ask for you to table this amendment."

Giorgi: "The gentleman asks leave to table this amendment, does he have leave? The amendment is tabled. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #18. Lauer. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 27 by inserting after line 11 the following and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This amendment is quite similar to amendment #7 upon which we have previously had a vote. However, there is a very significant difference. Whereas the amendment #7 which forbade the making of political contributions to any candidate



for any public office this amendment restricts the making of contributions or gifts of anything of value to any person who is a public official or a candidate or a nominee for a constitutional office for the General Assem...for a constitutional office for the General Assembly, for the Judiciary or for a gubernatorially appointed office that requires confirmation by the Senate. In other words this amendment, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, puts the B right where it belongs. Since the state, and the state only, has influence and regulates gambling it is the state officers, the members of the General Assembly, the members of the Judiciary or those nominees for gubernatorially appointed offices that require confirmation by the Senate or those who are holding those offices to not receive a contribution or a gift of anything of value. It restricts it to those of us who are holding office on the state level. It seems to me that this an amendment that puts the illness exactly where it is. That those of us who hold state office must disclose, but as Representative Pierce pointed out, it is a case that there are many times ways that we can actually support the ethics bill and the disclosure act more in the breach than by its substance, by restricting contributions but having them given by quite a number of different people a number of different tracks. It seems to me that this is a proper amendment, it seems to me that if we are going to keep the image of racing clean, if we're going to improve the image of racing in this state that this is an absolutely necessary amendment and with this amendment I could, with a great deal of freedom and particular joy vote in favor of Senate Bill 37 and think that it is a very good amendment to the Illinois Racing Act. I strongly solicit an 'aye' vote on amendment #18."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The last speaker has mentioned that we defeated the, Representative



Pierce's amendment which would also ban contributions. This amendment is just as bad as that amendment and I ask that it be defeated without going into too much dialogue regarding the reasons why."

Giorgi: "Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Mahar: "John, I understand you to say that this would not allow anything of value to go to a public official or an elected official from the race track."

Lauer: "That's...only those are restricted who are the organizations or concessionaires or officers or directors or holders or controllers of five percent or more of legal or beneficial interest in any organization or concessionaires that conduct race meetings. Charitable organizations are exempted."

Mahar: "Well, I'm a public official I think at least I will be in a few days, I hope...uh...I live a block from Washington Park and I've been getting horse manure for my garden for the last twenty years, will this not allow me to do that?"

Lauer: "I don't know whether that would come under the preview of the amendment or not."

Mahar: "If it does, I oppose the amendment."

Lauer: "I don't think it would bother you too much."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lauer to close. Mr. Beatty, excuse me, Mr. Beatty"

Beatty: "Representative Lauer, would this in anyway inhibit the governor's people from getting money from race tracks by eliminating contributions to the Illinois Democratic Fund."

Lauer: "I would assume that it would."

Beatty: "Does it specify a fund?"

Lauer: "It does not specify the fund, no it does not."

Beatty: "It just names candidates for office."

Lauer: "Candidates for office. Anyone who would be a beneficiary would be covered."

Beatty: "The fund could continue to solicit money and later



through...by funding it through their group actually make... make this another deep nothing. Isn't that true?"

Lauer: "I think that that is probably erroneous, Mr. Beatty, because since the candidate for state office could conceivably become beneficiaries of the IDF then I would think that the IDF would be forbidden to receive contributions or else the State officeholders, or the candidates for State office would be forbidden to receive funds from IDF."

Giorgi: "The question is on adoption of Amendment #18 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor will signify by saying aye. The opposed, no. The no's have it. Mr. Lauer...Mr. Lauer wants a roll call. So those in favor of adopting Amendment #18 will signify by voting aye and those opposed by voting no. ...All voted who wish? Mr. Lauer to explain his vote."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote I'd like to see a lot more green lights up there. Ladies and Gentlemen, don't be worried about this loss of potential purse fertilizer for your gardens. I think this would be considered rubbish by most except...unless you're a mushroom farmer. So let's not worry about that."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr... Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 16 ayes, 63 nays. This Amendment having failed to receive a majority required is lost. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #19. Sevcik. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 1 line 2 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik on Amendment 19. Mr. Sevcik on Amendment 19."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a very simple Amendment that amends the Finance Act and adds new funds created under this Act; namely, the Illinois Thoroughbred Breeders Fund, the Illinois Standard-bred Breeders Fund and the Illinois Race Track Improvement Fund. I ask for your adoption of Amendment #19."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is on the



adoption of Amendment #19 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor signify by saying aye. And those opposed by saying no. The aye's have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #20. Jacobs. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 30, line 21, 22."

Giorgi: "Representative Jacobs on Amendment 20."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when the two Amendments that I have 20 and 21 were presented they were numbered in the wrong order and I'd like to have leave of the House to hear Amendment 21 first and then follow with 21 if I may."

Giorgi: "Gentlemen ask leave to renumber the Amendment. Does he have leave? Leave has been granted. Mr. Clerk do you have that numbered 21 as number 20? 21 will be called first. That number 20 is that renumbered 20 then? Number 21 will now be numbered 20. Mr. Jacobs continue on Amendment #20."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #20 to Senate Bill 37 was a Bill which has been requested by the downstate horsemen. And I hope that when you're voting on this that you will remember the downstate horsemen. Actually what the Amendment does is to change on page 30 line 21, 22, by deleting zero to 100,000 and inserting thereof 200,000. And in line 23 by deleting 3.75 and inserting thereof 2.5. Now Ladies and Gentlemen, I would just like to mention to you an article that was in the paper pertaining to Senate Bill 37. And it said while the major is surely generous in its tax break for the... substantially increasing the large plants, handle share, it does little for the state horsemen and industry in general downstate. Now Senate Bill 37, I think we all realize, rewrites the Illinois Horse Racing Act and as such we should also consider the downstate tracks. Now if we're looking for what these small tracks do, I happen to be one of the few Legislators who represent districts where downstate racetracks are and I feel we...because of this I must

take an active interest in this legislation. I want to relate to you what the small track does for the State of Illinois. In 1975, and I...only track I can use would be the one in my district which is just three years old now, in 1975 the State of Illinois will derive approximately 1 1/2 million dollars in the privilege tax plus the admissions and sales taxes. Additionally, the impact of 300 local employees and of course the spending and the attraction of the out of State visitors gives this track added impact in the economy where it's located in the quad city area. Another thing,...fully 35% of the gross business at the local track is...generates from outside the State of Illinois. Now there's four good reasons why we should stimulate racing in the downstate area. The track in my area provides a proving ground for young horses bred and trained in Illinois, which in turn prepares them for racing in Chicago. Horses included, in number two, horses excluded from racing in Chicago because they do not meet claiming or speed qualifications have a place to come to race without leaving the State of Illinois. Another thing, almost 90% of the horses now racing at East Moline Downs are from the State of Illinois. Number three, the track in our...downstate areas give the downstate horsemen a place where they can race while continuing to provide quality horses which they supply to county fair races and so on. And although Senate Bill 37...provides some relief for downstate tracks it does not provide the incentive that is needed to keep our tracks in operation. Ladies and Gentlemen, I ask you to give an affirmative vote on Amendment 21 to Senate Bill 37."

Giorgi: "Amendment 20. Representative Holewinski."

Jacobs: "I'm sorry, Senate..."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Holewinski: "Representative Jacobs, just so I have my Amendments



straight now. This Amendment now lowers the privilege tax on the first \$200,000 from 4.75 is it..."

Jacobs: "No."

Holewinski: "...To 2.5?"

Jacobs: "No, Mike. What it does is in the Bill, it's 3.75 and would be lowered to 2.5 on the first \$200,000. After that it would go to 4.25 and then on up for the amount...the more to handle the larger the amount."

Holewinski: "Fine. I've got my notes straight now. What I... I can certainly sympathize with the need to aid some of our downstate tracks and particularly a track in East Moline Downs which has had some severe financial problems as a new track getting going. But I think the problem here is that we also benefit tracks that are not really not necessarily in need of financial help and that loss, I understand, from this Amendment is going to outweigh the benefits the tracks like East Moline and across the State somewhere in the neighborhood of a half million dollars and therefore I would be opposed to this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Giorgi: "Yes he will."

Grotberg: "Representative Jacobs, I'm...I'm just questioning Amendment #20 that I have..."

Jacobs: "Yes."

Grotberg: "...Doesn't mention anything about 2.5."

Jacobs: "Mr. Grotberg, Amendment 21 is now 20. Amendment 21 is 20, in your possession."

Grotberg: "Thank you...very much."

Giorgi: "Mr. Darrow, on Amendment #20."

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, come from the 36th District where East Moline Downs is located. I don't feel you can put a dollar value on what you lose here just by calculating this on one Bill. You have to think of the number of people, the number of people that are employed there, the amount of tax these people pay."

You have to also consider the fact that we have a majority of people coming over from Iowa and placing bets and buying various items at the track, plus we have a number of shopping centers around this area where they're also contributing to the sales tax. I don't think you can fairly judge this Bill by saying well, the State's going to lose so much money. I think by increasing this by adopting this Amendment what we're actually doing is having more citizens of Iowa come over to our State. We're increasing the amount of sales tax, the amount of other taxes, I feel in the long run this will be very beneficial to the State and I would ask an aye vote. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I, too, feel that it's not about how much revenue that the percentage will cost the State of Illinois; if you don't do this for these small tracks you may not be getting any revenue at all out of these small tracks that are starting. A lot of these tracks here are just starting out, for instance East Moline and there is a hard time getting started as any other business is and as they progress, and I feel like that track will be a good track and..I'd say a big percentage of money will be coming from people out of State. I think that this percentage would be a great help to...to inspire these tracks to keep in business and the State will benefit in the long run if they didn't get anything until they got started. And I...I...I support Representative Jacobs on his Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sorry I have to oppose Representative Jacob's Amendment. And the reason being that if this Amendment is adopted, the State would stand to lose at one point \$6,000,000. I am going to go along with Amendment 21 which is the next Amendment where the State will lose \$420,000 in revenue. You must remember where a new privilege tax structure we have





reduced the tax for the lower amount from six and a quarter to three and three quarters."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik, why don't you move to the next mike, you're mike is faulty. Continue."

Sevcik: "I don't know if you heard my previous statement where I stated that under this Amendment the State stands to lose 1.6 million dollars. Under the next Amendment, we are granting to East Moline \$420,000 that they didn't have before. Also, we revised the privilege tax structure which was previously and is now where they pay approximately six and a quarter percent. We have reduced that to 3.75 so we are giving East Moline tax relief. Now if we're going to start subsidizing all new racetracks in the State of Illinois, we might as well remove the tax structure on the low end. We increased tax structure on our next Amendment. I mean we reduced it from 4.25 to '375' for this saving for East...Moline Race Tracks and I ask that this Amendment be defeated."

Giorgi: "Mr. Jacobs to close."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and...Gentlemen, I just received here a note where it says 57 telegrams are on my desk at the present time from horsemen asking for support of Senate Bill 37 with Amendment 20. Now, I just want to be very brief now because I think we've put everything on the table. One of the Representatives asked the question about the amount of money and, Joe, mentioned...Representative Sevcik mentioned it \$1,600,000. I don't know where these figures came from but I'll accept them but if just one track downstate track, like East Moline track, should fail it...the State would lose well over a million and a half dollars. So if...if it's \$400,000, I'd gladly trade 400,000 for a million and a half any day. Now, I just want to close with this. That if we're going to help the large tracks certainly we can help the small tracks. And if you'll look in the Bill it is full of help for the large tracks, giving very little consideration for the downstate tracks. And my



theory is now, with the House Bill the way it is, without Amendment 20, it's certainly not a cure all unless it is by strangulation. I ask for an aye vote."

Giorgi: "The question's on the adoption of Amendment 20 to Senate Bill 37. All those in favor will signify by voting aye and those opposed by voting no. And Mr. Polk to explain his vote."

Polk: "Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen, as Joe Sevcik as pointed out and very rightfully so, it's not the responsibility of the State to...to subsidize all the tracks in the State. But let's...let's ask the question, how many new tracks have we had in the State of Illinois recently? I think you'll find that the one we have in East Moline is one of the newest and we're also very proud to say it's one of the very finest. We've had excellent public relations with the community and as the gentlemen from East Moline has pointed out, our largest number of people not only come from the Quad Cities but they come from across the River in Davenport and we're picking up a considerable amount of money from people out of State. We would like to keep that track going, we think if you'll give us the opportunity at this time to do so, we would sincerely appreciate it and would request an aye vote."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 68 ayes, 34 no's, 8 voting present. This Amendment's adopted. Representative Kempiners, aye. The Amendment is adopted. Representative Lauer, aye. Just a moment, please. Representative Geo-Karis, aye. Representative Polk, aye. Representative Jacobs..what reason do you arise?"

Jacobs: "Are you through, sir, with..."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Birchler, wants the floor. An aye vote for Mr. Birchler. Mr. Stearney, also aye. Well, the outcome is not in doubt. Want to go up to the well and tell them what you'd like to do with your vote? Any further Amendments?"



Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 21, Jacobs..."

Giorgi: "Representative Jacobs, Amendment #21."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to ask leave to table Amendment #21."

Giorgi: "Gentleman asks leave to table Amendment 21. Does he have leave? Leave has been granted and Amendment 21 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 22, Flinn. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 29 lines 17 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Flinn on Amendment 22."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 37 offers a 3 and 1/2 percent tax on the multiple wager and it takes 1% of that tax and puts it into the Special Horsemen's Fund and the purpose of this is to increase the purses. Senate Bill 37 does a great job now / <sup>it's</sup> has been amended so far of helping the tracks but there's not enough help to encourage the better horses to come into Illinois and that's the purpose of this Amendment. I'd be glad to answer any questions. I would ask for...that we adopt this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed Amendment and I ask..."

Giorgi: "Get the other mike."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed Amendment and I ask for its adoption."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment 22.

All in favor will signify by saying aye. Those opposed, no. The ayes have it and 22 is adopted. Amendment...any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 23. Emil Jones. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 18 by inserting immediately after line 23 the following."

Giorgi: "Anyone here to handle Emil Jones' Amendment 23? Clerk, why don't you read the Amendment, is it a lengthy Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "It adds Section 19.1. Each person desiring to hold or conduct a horse race meeting within the State shall



first submit to the Board on forms prescribed by the Board a request for a determination that such person qualified for an organization license to hold such..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik, can you enlighten us on the Amendment? Take Friedland's mike."

Sevcik: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I...I was going to opposed this Amendment because this Amendment would require prospective licensees to obtain an indication from the Racing Board that they will receive a license before they even file an application. And also that it duplicates a...in case there was a conflict in dates, they would conduct a lottery on who would get these dates, so I would oppose this Amendment."

Giorgi: "Gentlemen...all in favor of adopting Amendment'#20' signify by saying aye. Those opposed, no. The nos have it. But Mr. Barnes, would you like to speak for Mr. Jones?"

Barnes: "No, I don't want to speak on the Amendment but I'm just wondering who offered it?"

Giorgi: "...It's Mr. Jones' Amendment. I don't know what else we can do."

Barnes: "But who offered it? That's...that's...I still ask a valid question, who offered it?"

Giorgi: "I asked the Clerk to read it because it was on the Clerk's desk. How...Mr. Barnes, we know...you can't... put it on record..."

Barnes: "I know you can just offer a person's Amendment. I don't know...to do on it."

Giorgi: "I asked what the pleasure of the House was."

Barnes: "...Really that familiar with it, otherwise, I..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Van Duyne would offer...volunteer to offer the Amendment. Is that..."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Van Duyne or anyone else is going to offer another Member's Amendment, I'd like to explain the purposes and what the Amendment intends to do. Now we're not playing games here."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik...Well, Mr. Barnes, I think the next procedure is to have someone move to table the Amendment."



Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I was going to say that this Amendment as I understand it is similar to a Bill that already failed the House and was placed on postponed consideration. And I really think there has already been an expression of the House. I know it's usually not the procedure to go ahead with somebody's Amendment when they're not here but I think that we'd have to move the Bill to Third Reading and I'm sure that Mr. Sevcik if Emil Jones persists would bring the Bill back if he had to but we're on a time deadline now."

Giorgi: "Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as many of you know and I happen to know for a fact the reason that Representative Jones is away from here. It's due to extreme emergency in his family. Now I know that there has never been in this House a procedure for someone else offering another Member's Amendment. And this is not a game. Representative Jones is quite serious about his Amendment. So I would like to suggest to the House Sponsor I realize we do have to move on but I would suggest if Representative Jones is persistent in his Amendment, I would like to know from the House Sponsor whether or not he will allow him, or afford him, the opportunity to offer that Amendment when he's back in attendance. I understand that he will attempt to be back here today but there was... extreme emergency in his family and he had to go home to take care of that and I think in all deference to the Member he should be allowed that prerogative."

Giorgi: "Mr. Farley, do you want to..."

Farley: "Well, I was just going to suggest that with the Sponsor's agreement he would bring this back if we moved it to Third so Mr. Jones could present his Amendment."

Giorgi: "I think...Mr. Sevcik, would you agree to that? Have a discussion with Mr. Jones when he returns about his Amendment? In the meantime it's defeated. Any further Amendments?"



Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #24. Waddell. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 17 line 23 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Mr. Waddell on Amendment 24."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table as the identical Amendment has been adopted and the method will follow in another Amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Move to table."

Giorgi: "Does the gentleman have leave to table Amendment 24? Leave has been granted and Amendment 24 has been tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #25. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 13 on line 18 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Deuster on Amendment #25."

Deuster: "Yes, I was hoping that Representative Chapman would be within the sight and sound but if you go out to the racetracks you'll find that the exercise boys, some of them are not exercise boys at all; some of them are exercise girls and exercise women. Now this is a very simple little Amendment that substitutes persons for boys. And the Illinois Racing Board has concurred with this language change and everyone knows what a great defender cause of the equality of rights for women. I am and I am happy to urge this adoption to refine this Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Representative Deuster, if you'd look to the line above the...in both of those changes they refer to foremen. Do you want to change them to forepersons or what?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Everybody has his limitations and I have mine. I thought this was as far as I could go. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, I approve of this Amendment and move for its adoption."

Giorgi: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment 25 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor signify by saying aye."



The opposed, nay. The aye's have it and Amendment 25 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 26. Sevcik. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended in the House on page 17 line 25 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik. Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #26 provides that the distance between tracks will be determined by the Department of Transportation as the most commonly used public way vehicular travel. I ask for its adoption."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #26 to Senate Bill 37. All in favor signify by saying aye. The opposed, nay. The aye's have it. And 26 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 27. Sevcik. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 2 line 3."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #27 defines the word family by deleting wife and inserting in lieu thereof wife, brother and sister and it also provides in this Amendment that no person employed by the Board during the 12 months preceding the effective date of this Act shall be terminated from employment due to a violation of these prohibitions. This would take care of two stewards that are currently working for the Racing Board and I ask that this Amendment be placed in here. I ask for its adoption."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #26. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed, nay. The ayes have it and 27's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #28. Sevcik. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 4..."

Giorgi: "Representative Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Amendment #28 clarifies the person definition and... in...puts in there a corporation which is a wholly owned subsidiary of an auto licensee. And we delete line 4 and 11.. I ask for its adoption."



Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is on the adoption of Amendment #28. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Sponsor would yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Holewinski: "Representative Sevcik, if we were to have two sister corporations that convey, say three shares of stock or one share of stock at any rate a de minimis interest to...to a third party and...the parent corporation was disqualified from holding a license for violation of the law because the second corporation is no longer wholly owned, someone owns one share, would that corporation be qualified to apply for a racing license?"

Sevcik: "Yes, they would be."

Holewinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, yes and just on the...on the Amendment I would say that that's the reason I'm opposing the Amendment. I...and further Amendment, Amendment #31 that will help to solve that problem but I think the problem we want to get around is...is that of corporation that is ineligible to hold a license setting up another corporation to buy and to carry on in racing."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik on Amendment #28."

Jack O'Brien: "Representative Shea in the Chair."

Sevcik: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I ask that Amendment #28 be adopted because it provides that wholly owned subsidiaries of other licensee corporations shall be deemed to be separate purpose ...persons...for the purposes of this Act. This ensures that Madison Square Gardens Corporation that they will be able to get a license for Washington Park and for Arlington Park and I ask for the adoption of Amendment #28."

Shea: "I've been asked again to all those people not authorized to the floor, would they please leave the floor? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik has moved for the adoption of Amendment #28. On the question, is there any discussion? Is there...there's been a request for a roll call. All in favor will vote aye; those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record





Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 73 ayes, 17 nays. Mahar, aye. 74 ayes, 17 nays, 7 Members voting present. Senate Bill...Amendment 28 to Senate Bill 37 having...is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #29. Choate. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 58 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Franklin...oh, the gentleman from Union is here. I was going to give the gentleman from Franklin a chance to do some work. Turn on the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the ratification really of the legislation we passed in the last Session of the General Assembly to give the proceeds from one race to the DuQuoin State Fair to make possible to retain the Hambletonian in the State of Illinois and I would urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Shea: "The question is shall Amendment #29 be adopted. On the question, is there any discussion? The gentleman...is that Mr. Friedland or Mr. Sevcik back there? Phone. I mean microphone."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, this is an agreed resolution and I ask for its adoption."

Shea: "All in favor of the Amendment will say aye. Those opposed nay. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Is there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #30. Sevcik. Amend Senate Bill 37 in the House on page 32 line 9 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Amendment #30 states that nothing in this Act shall be construed as a limit on the powers of the home rule unit. And I ask for its adoption."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe, do you raise...rise on a point of order or..."

Jaffe: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Your point, sir."

Jaffe: "My point is that I think the Amendment is not in order."



If the Speaker would look at page 32, I think he would read that it says that no other organization, license fee, privilege tax, excise tax or racing fee shall be assessed or collected from any such organization, licensee, by units of local government provided however any municipality that has a racing...racing board licensed horse race meeting at a track wholly within its corporate boundaries may charge a local amusement tax not to exceed 10...10 cents per admission to such horse race meeting by the enactment of an ordinance. And then another...the Amendment that Representative Sevcik offers says 'nothing in this Act shall be construed as a limit on the powers of a home rule unit' I would suggest to the Chair that that is inconsistent and therefore that that Amendment would be out of order."

Shea: "What's the page number again, sir?"

Jaffe: "Page 32. And if we start with line 2 and go on from there."

Shea: "Mr. Jaffe, the...parliamentarian tells me the Amendment is not in conflict with the Bill and therefore it's germane. Continue, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I ask for the adoption of Amendment #30."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #30 to Senate Bill 37. On the question the gentleman...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, if the Sponsor would yield?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Holewinski: "Representative Sevcik, if you would tell me how...how would this function. Would this mean that a municipality could set up its own taxing structure in addition to that set up by the State in terms of maybe an attendance tax, a tax on parimutual wagering, etc.?"

Sevcik: "Well, all the powers that the municipality has under the home rule unit I'm sure that they could tax."

Shea: "Anything further sir? Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, the Assistant Minority Leader."



Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this makes ridiculous everything that we've done heretofore. As the gentleman suggests, the municipality may, if we adopt this Amendment, they set up whatever taxing structure they want. They may establish whatever dates they want at whatever track or Cook County, if there were a track in the unincorporated area could run the track for 365 days a year irrespective of what the Racing Board said about racing dates at a track that might be as in the case of Cicero and Stickney right next door to one another. Here we really have a perfect illustration of how ridiculous the home rule article of the Constitution is and when it's invoked in a situation like this, it is absolutely absurd and I would urge that if the Racing Board is going to mean anything and if we aren't going to have whatever number of Racing Boards there are...as many Racing Boards as there are home rule units we better vote no on this Amendment."

Shea: "I've just been asked by the Speaker to make the following announcement: That he intends to go approximately 5:30 and then break and return here between 7:30 or 8 and that Appropriations I and Appropriations II will meet immediately at the 5:30 hour to...dispose of the few Bills they have left. On the question on this Bill the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. You know, as much as I have great respect for the parliamentarian I...I do differ with him on this particular clause and I do think that there is a conflict there. But in addition to that, I think if we take a look at Amendment #15 which we defeated which was Representative Klosak's Amendment, it essentially did the same thing beforehand. We defeated that Amendment once, I think we ought to defeat it again. And I would urge a no vote."

Shea: "Have all...Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it was with deep regret that I listened to the argument of the dis-



tinguished Assistant Minority Leader because he clearly demonstrated that he apparently does not understand the Amendment and does not understand the provisions and the effect of the 1970 Illinois Constitution. The Amendment simply states that the Bill in the event that it becomes law will not operate as a limitation on a home rule unit. So that if a home rule unit does have a race track located within its boundaries, then that home rule unit could place a tax on the track in order to pay for some of the local municipal improvements which quite obviously would be needed to be constructed in close proximity to the race track. The Amendment along with the Bill does not provide that a local municipality or a home rule unit would be setting racing dates. That function would be left to the Racing Board as it is now. So that I think we should consider the Amendment on its merits, let's not fall victim to some of the red flags and the red herrings which are raised whenever this is proposed. All you're saying is that these units all across the state, upstate and downstate, will be allowed to derive a certain amount of tax revenue from the track and thereby ease some of the burdens on the local taxpayers. I urge adoption of the Amendment."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik...oh, I see Mr. Schlickman on the question."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think we should all very seriously consider...proposed Amendment #30 to this Bill which states that nothing... 'nothing in the Act'...it doesn't say nothing in subsections e and f of the appropriate section; but 'nothing in the Act', the entire Act, shall be construed as a limit on the powers of home rule unit. Now home rule units have the authority under the 1970 Constitution to do whatever they please unless specifically proscribed by the General Assembly. They can do it on their own or they can do it concurrently with the State. The issue here is whether it will be the State that will regulate horse racing in Illinois or whether home



rule units will engage in racing control concurrently with the State Legislature. This Amendment provides for concurrent regulation. And I don't think, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that I, as a Representative from a community a municipality in which is located a race track, want that village board consisting of volunteers, part time officials, setting up a racing board engaging in concurrent activities. I think that the regulation of horse racing should be an exclusive exercise by the State. It will be an exclusive exercise by the State if we defeat this Amendment. If we let this Amendment be adopted then all home rule units, the City of Chicago, the County of Cook, and other municipalities over 25,000 or those units...those units of government that opt into home rule will have the authority to regulate horse racing within their geographic confines. It seems to me that it's enough trouble to regulate it through one unit of government, the State. We will be compounding a problem by adding in other units of local government. So I urge... earnestly and sincerely solicit your opposition to this very bad Amendment, Amendment #30."

Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Would the gentleman yield? Representative Sevcik, in line with Representative Schlickman's question, ordinarily the home rule amendment is placed at the end of an Act because it applies to all of an Act. What made you place the home rule amendment in Section 27?"

Shea: "That was directed to you, Mr. Sevcik."

Matijevich: "The question...Representative..."

Sevcik: "Mr...Mr...Mr. Speaker. I heard you John."

Matijevich: "Oh. All right."

Sevcik: "John, I was requested to place this Amendment by the Senate to add this to the various Amendments."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak to that."

Shea: "Proceed, sir."

Matijevich: "Because one would believe that by placing it in Section 27 that it applies to Section 27 only and one



probably could buy the argument that...that probably a home rule unit of government ought to place the tax because there are some...some things that the government must do when there is a track within its corporate boundaries. But I want to reiterate what Representative Schlickman that this home rule amendment applies to the whole Act, the whole Act. And I don't think there's a Member here on this Body that wants the home rule unit of government to regulate racing in Illinois. Now I have...another reason that I am opposed to this Amendment, even as to the matter of taxation by home rule units of government. I haven't gone to a track in a long time but the times that I have gone to the track I...I watched the people who are playing the \$2 window and they're putting a lot more than \$2 at that window and I've always felt some compassion for many of the people feeling that they can't afford to be at that window. I felt some compassion because I noticed that if...if a bottle of beer cost you a half a dollar outside the track it'll cost you a buck inside. And I don't know what it costs now, it's probably more than that. Nobody really is doing any favors for those horse players some of whom can't help it they're addicted to it and I don't want any home rule unit of government placing a further tax on the horse players. They're paying enough for what the...want to do some for amusement, some who are doing it because they have no choice they can't stop it and so I would hope Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that you defeat this Amendment. Leave the State regulate racing and leave it stop at that."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik, to close."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, I ask for the adoption of Amendment #30."

Shea: "The question is shall Amendment #30 be adopted. All in favor will say aye. Those opposed no. All in favor will vote aye; those opposed will vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. ...On this question, there are 55



eyes, 66 nays, 3 Members voting present. Mr. Hart wishes to vote aye. Keller, aye. On this question there are 57 eyes, 66 nays, 3 Members voting present. Senate Amendment 30...Barnes, aye...Senate Amendment 30...Richmond, aye... Duff, no. Amendment fails. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #31. Holewinski. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 4 by deleting line 8 through 10 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment tries to get at the potential problem I alluded to on an earlier Amendment. And that is, it seeks to guarantee that the ineligibility to hold a license of a parent corporation extends to control subsidiaries. There are several circumstances under which a corporation can be ineligibility to hold a license and the one...the two major ones that this Amendment refers to are failure to pay or being default of paying taxes to the State or having been convicted of a violation of a State or Federal statute. And I would move the adoption of Amendment #31."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment 31. On the question, is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I oppose Amendment #31 because this Amendment has too many loopholes in it. It doesn't cover all the latest corporations or sister corporations. It mentions the control subsidiary or control corporation and...which I don't understand, and the controlling parent. And I want to ask that this Amendment be defeated. It was supposed to define persons, which it does, and we already passed the Amendment that defines person. I ask for the defeat of Amendment #31."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Holewinski, to close."

Holewinski: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in closing I would just say that the problem we're trying to get at in this Amendment by the definition is that when a parent corporation is disqualified



from holding a license in Illinois to participate in racing, by reason of violating...being in violation or convicted of violation and...by Federal or State statute or being in default of taxes, they cannot set up a subsidiary corporation to apply, and get a license and operate in racing. And I think it's a good Amendment and would ask for the approval of the House."

Shea: "The question is, shall Amendment #31 pass. All those in favor will vote aye; those opposed will vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 39 ayes, 72 nays and the Amendment fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #32. Jaffe. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 4 line 32 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House,...Amendment 32 increases the number of board members from 5 to 7 and requires that no more than 4 be from one political party. As you all know at the present time the board is made up of 7 members. These members are required to hold different types of hearings. In the other Bill that I handled they wanted to reduce the membership to 5 providing that they would get hearing officers to relieve them of part of their burden. Since under this Act they do not have hearing officers it would be a hardship to cut down the amount of the members. Five members cannot do all the work that they have to do and hold hearings in addition to that too. So therefore I would urge that we adopt Amendment #32."

Shea: "The gentlemen moves for the adoption of Amendment #32. On the question, the gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller."

Keller: "Yes, will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Shea: "He indicates he will, sir."

Keller: "Mr. Jaffe, on the Bill that you Sponsored you had in it for only 5 members, is that not correct?"

Jaffe: "Yeah, Charlie, but under that Bill, remember we had





hearing officers and we were going to have hearing officers who were going to do some of the hearings that the members are supposed to do. And under this Bill we don't have the hearing officers so if you cut down on the number of board members they just won't be able to do the hearing officer."

Keller: "In this Bill, in your amendment here do you have any body from the industry itself sitting on the board?"

Jaffe: "No, we don't deal with that. All it does is deal with numbers that says that there should be seven members and four can be..."

Keller: "In other words, by this amendment it could be and has been done in the past by particular governors they could appoint people who have no knowledge of the racing industry at all, is that correct?"

Jaffe: "That's always a possibility in any branch of the government I would think."

Keller: "Well I hate to oppose my good friend here Mr. Jaffe on this amendment but I would like to see it left alone and see this amendment defeated because there are provisions whereby the industry would have some say because...uh... and the people who do know a little bit about horse racing would be sitting on the board making some of the decisions so I would urge the defeat of this amendment."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Uh...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Originally the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission conducted hearings for...uh...over two years. We listened to everybody from the horse racing industry. When our original bill was sent...uh...placed in the Senate we provided for three members to serve on the board, various states only have one commissioner of racing. So we agreed in the Senate to make it five members, this was agreeable at the time with the Illinois Racing Board and it was also incorporated into Jaffe's bill, now he wants to increase it to seven which they have now and we all know that some members



on this board never do a damn day's work at all. So I ask that this amendment be defeated."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe to close."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to clarify one thing with Representative Keller and that is that I did make a mistake the Bill does require that the individuals do have reasonable knowledge of racing. So I was wrong in that response to you, Charlie. In response to Representative Sevcik...uh... I would like to tell him that where they have one race commissioner those people do not have to hold hearings. Under this type of Bill there are all types of hearings that the Board has to handle. They can't possibly do it with five members unless you give them hearing officers and your not giving them hearing officers under this particular bill which you were under 1886. So I'm telling you that if you vote against this particular amendment what you, in essence, will be doing will be to tie the hands of the board and I think that that would be unfortunate. I think seven members are necessary, they are necessary to hold these hearings and I would urge an 'aye' vote and request a roll call."

Shea: "Do you want a roll call Larry? I only see two of you requesting it. The question is shall amendment #32 be adopted. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 26 'ayes', 66 'nays' 9 members voting present. Amendment #32 is lost. Are there further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #33. Waddell. Amends Senate Bill 37 on page 45, line 17 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell on amendment #33."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now we come to the interesting part of the Bill. Number one I



am for the breeders receiving something to improve those animals that we have racing in the state of Illinois so that we can get some of those animals to race up in Chicago for the simple reason that the better class animal comes in from out of state, walks away with our purses here and we're left in the state of Illinois with very little to take a look back at the other end of the year. Now it's also interesting that the small tracks and I'm speaking of Aurora Downs, Balmoral, Rock Island and the rest of them that at those tracks, and Cahokia, that those tracks provide more of the action that the owners from the state of Illinois and the operators from the state of Illinois that they have racing there. So that the provision whereby you can increase the quality of those animals that race here through Illinois breeders is indeed a good one. However, we have a dichotomy. That dichotomy is this, that if you take and on those races where you are paying the greatest portion of the purses that go to Illinois breeders you are then getting those out of those small tracks. Those small tracks can least afford to pay the amounts that you see before you here as 12-1/2% to the fee. So what then logically becomes the right fee for the right number horses so that the money can be plowed back to the state of Illinois. I submit to you that this amendment which reduces that amount on page 45, on line 17 from 12-1/2% to 10% is a good fee if it would be coupled with the fact that that be paid only to three...two, three, and four year olds. In other words, your not penalizing those tracks so that your literally going to force them into a non-profit situation. What we have had heretofore is the fact that not only are these small tracks given the lousiest dates that they can give them in the year and I mean the dead of winter. Aurora races in the dead of winter. And if they can get half of those racing dates when they don't have snow and ice up to their ears their doing well. So I would submit to you that this is a good amendment, I concur with

the fact that the breeders should be given an amount to improve the breeding stock and what they're doing here in this portion of it is that they are paying a sum equal to \$20,500 out in this area. In the case of Aurora Downs, I can tell you that the Racing Board, the Racing Board demanded that they prove...improve the back of the track. After they sold this to...bought this from Knox College, they did exactly what the Racing Board told them to do, all of their profits have been reinvested in the back of the track. All of the owners around the State of Illinois have received zilch as far as profit is concerned and now to tax them to the tune of 12-1/2%, I think is just a little too much. I therefore offer this Amendment of 10% and then for 2, 3, and 4 years...old...and I solicit your support."

Shea: "The gentleman offers Amendment #33. On the Amendment is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah, will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question?"

Shea: "Indicates he will."

Sevcik: "Does this only apply to the harness racing?"

Waddell: "Yes, it does."

Sevcik: "...Does not apply then to the thoroughbred?"

Waddell: "No, it does not. There are two different systems as you are well aware of Joe that they delineated out on the flat track race they had made monies proportioned not only to females as well as males. On the harness side it went strictly to males and strictly to breeders."

Sevcik: "...I'd like to speak against this Amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik, on the Amendment."

Sevcik: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think this Amendment should be defeated. This only benefits the harness racing and not the thoroughbreds and we already have given the tracks great advantages when we passed Amendments...of Jacob's Amendment. And we've already given them great advantages by lowering the privilege tax and another thing I must state that we



did not talk about. We have reduced the admission tax, the State admission tax, at every race track from \$.40 to \$.15. I think we've given these tracks enough benefits and I ask for the defeat of Amendment #33. And I want to also say we only have one more Amendment after this."

Shea: "Gentlemen from Peoria, Mr. Mudd, on the Amendment."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that we've discussed the...the merits of the horse racing industry to the State of Illinois and those good benefits that the citizens have derived from...from this industry and I would hope that everyone could find...it in their hearts to give them...those people that have helped us so much, a little help also."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell, to close."

Waddell: "Well, I think that of these so-called benefits as alluded to before, not by Representative Mudd but by the previous speaker are in fact reductions to income to the State of Illinois as to taxation rather than benefits and I submit to you that I would appreciate an aye vote."

Shea: "The question is shall this Amendment be adopted. All those in favor will vote aye. Those opposed vote nay. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 28 ayes, 65 nays, 10 Members voting present. Amendment #33 is lost. Is there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #34. Sevcik. Amends Senate Bill 37 as amended on page 28 line 13 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #34 which is the last Amendment, and I wish to thank this Body for their indulgence, simply deletes the word misdemeanor and inserts in lieu...of business offense. This...Amendment changes this offense and provides for a penalty of a fine not to exceed \$5,000 for any licensee which has not paid the money the Racing Board



is due. And I ask for its adoption."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #34. Is there any discussion? All those in favor will say aye. Those opposed, nays. The ayes have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Shea: "Third Reading. House Bills Third Reading. House Bill 1947. Out of the record. House Bills Second Reading, I'm sorry. On Second Reading? Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thanks Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. With leave of the House could we handle House Bill 1948 first? That's a reappropriation since we, that goes into 1947 which is the main appropriation."

Shea: "Is there leave? Hearing no objections 48 will be heard first. Read the Bill."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1948. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses..the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, on Mr. Yourell's mike."

Ewell: "Oh...this one is on Second Reading. I see. I'm sorry."

Jack O'Brien: "One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1948 on page 1 line 12 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on the Amendment. Mr. Totten, do you want to handle this Amendment or ...is the Amendment distributed? Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd be more than happy to take the Amendment, it's an agreed Amendment and it is a Committee Amendment."

Shea: "Go ahead and explain the Amendment, then, sir."

McClain: "Thank you. The original request from the Department of Transportation for House Bill 1948 of reappropriation was around \$982,809,000. When we got into Committee, we discussed the...an Amendment which we made several alterations. We went to maintenance contracts and the original request was \$2,000,000. We placed an Amendment,



\$3,000,000 which is really a wash out from the...the Appropriation, the difference being \$1,000,000. In construction contracts the request is \$590,000,000; the Amendment reappropriation was \$525,000,000; a difference of a minus \$65,000,000. Supplemental freeways, the reappropriation was \$300,000,000. In the agreed amendment it was \$136,217,900 a difference of a minus \$163,782,100. In metropolitan planning the request in the original Bill was \$3,000,000. The Amendment was agreed upon with \$2,748,100, a difference being of...a minus \$251,900. In...Section 7 ...railroad relocation, the request had been \$1,222,000 the Amendment asks for \$1,347,800 a difference of a plus \$125,800. In Section 11, capital improvements, the request had been \$50,000. There had been some problems with the Capitol Development Board so the reappropriation in the agreed amendment was \$3,660,600, the difference being a plus \$3,610,600. This Amendment also incorporates the language of the FEPC Amendment previously filed on House Bill or...we will discuss later on House Bill 1948. It's a total of sum of \$758,511...511,400 and I would move for the Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 1948."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could you tell me the amount appropriated to the Road Fund?"

Jack O'Brien: "Speaker Redmond in the Chair."

McClain: "Request had been, sir, of \$596,372,000. The agreed amendment was \$535,856,500 a difference of a minus \$60,515.00 and \$500."

Shea: "All right. Of that \$525,000,000 how much of that represents federal aid due the State of Illinois."

McClain: "Informed that probably be in the vicinity of 60 to 70%."

Shea: "67%?"

McClain: "60 to 70%."

Shea: "Well, could you explain to me, sir, a federal aid runs either 90 - 10 or 70 - 30. Is this because we've



used some of that money for State only road projects?"

McClain: "Could you clarify that? That had a bag of tricks of about three different issues, there, Representative Shea."

Shea: "If federal aid represents either 90 - 10 match or 70 - 30 match, is the reason that that amount of money coming into that fund representing federal aid is less than either one of those items? Is it because there are some federal ...nonfederal road projects in there or only State only road projects?"

McClain: "That's correct."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions?"

McClain: "I'd move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 1948."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen has moved the...Amendment #1 to House Bill 1948 be adopted. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The Amendment #1 is adopted. Any other Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Next one on this one, Jack." 1492, is that correct? Representative Shea, will you explain what the agreement is on the sequence of the call of these Bills?"

Shea: "Yes. The Representative took 47 out of the record to hear 48 and we were going back to 47."

Speaker Redmond: "1947."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1947. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingency expense of the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. Eleven Committee Amendments. Amendments 3, 8 and 12 were tabled in Committee. Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 1947 by deleting sections 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, that's not my Amendment. I'd be more





than happy to table Committee Amendment #1, if the Sponsor is not here."

Speaker Redmond: "Whose Amendment is it?"

McClain: "I believe it's Representative..."

Speaker Redmond: "By whom? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It is a Committee Amendment. I wonder if the Chairman wants to handle it? If he doesn't I would move to table it. I see he's not here. I'd rather not do that but I would move to table Amendment #1 if he's not here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, well then I'll move to table Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 1947."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, the Chairman was just here. I know he's had a toothache and he's had a tooth pulled yesterday. I wonder if the gentleman wants to wait a few minutes until he gets back?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "With all due respect to the Majority Leader, we've had a policy in the House that if a Member was not here to handle the...the Amendment that..."

Shea: "Well, Representative Kane said he'd handle it."

McClain: "My motion is still persisting I...I move to table Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what Amendment #1 to House Bill 1947 does is to reduce the amount of appropriation by some \$6 1/2 million. \$6.1,000,000 of that comes from the Road Fund; about 35,000 from EDP; about 244,000 from Waterways from General Revenue Fund; 82,000 in the aeronautics from the General Revenue Fund and the Road Fund and 41,500 from Public Transportation, General Revenue Fund.... 1,000,000 of the 6.1,000,000 reduction from Highways is in form of contracts and the net



reduction in highway operations is about \$5,1,000,000 which is basically, well, which is all in personal services, retirement and social security line item. What this would do, the effect of this Amendment would be to leave the manpower of the Department of Transportation at the present funded level 7,680 fulltime permanent positions with the provision of additional money for overtime and extra help as requested by the Department of Transportation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, unless the Republican Party is switching sides without telling the troops this is a darn good Amendment. This Amendment brought forth one of the best charts that I have ever seen. Over a 4 year period...over a 6 year period, it shows that the Department of Transportation builds up its overtime in hourly temporary employees tremendously preceding the election date and then all of a sudden everybody gets fired and down they go. Now where...this budget projects a build up which will peak at the primary election next year and if this Amendment isn't adopted the Walker Administration which/<sup>now</sup> has enough field generals to command primary campaigns in about 20 states will the manpower..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, for what purpose do you arise?"

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Skinner, is not directing himself to the Amendment..."

Speaker Redmond: "I agree with you. Direct your attention to the Amendment, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "There will be so many people on the Highway who will have..."

Speaker Redmond: "Direct...direct your remarks to the Amendment Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "You know what the Amendment is, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes, I do. Do you?"

Skinner: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. It has to do with increasing the budget of the Highway people...of the Highway Department. If we don't..."



Speaker Redmond: "Confine your remarks to the Amendment."

Skinner: "...Put the screws on this Amendment there will be so many people running around that they just won't, they'll have more than enough time to do more than fill potholes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I stand in support of Amendment #1 which is offered in Committee and adopted in Committee. Basically what it does it provides 7,000..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you arise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. Isn't the motion to table not debatable?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I recognized Representative Kane as the proponent of the Amendment. We were groping around because I did know Representative Lechowicz did have dental trouble and..."

Schraeder: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's Representative Totten's Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, I think that on old Amendments that we have not recognized motions to table, but motions to adopt, and we are either voting Amendments up or down. I think that's been the procedure of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're correct. Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I...I appreciate the rule you just made because we spent several days on these appropriations and just to table an Amendment or pass it without debate, I think, would be wrong. Number one there may be some opportunities for cuts in personnel over the original budget but this...this Amendment would cut them back to the present level of employees. One of the things I was concerned about was maintenance employees because in our area, and I don't know about yours, but two years ago maintenance employees was cut to a bare minimum."



In fact, I'm a little disturbed about the fact that I think maintenance has...is not as good as it was. So I went to the trouble to find out what's going to happen in maintenance. There are only 45 people going to be added to the maintenance crews throughout the whole State. In my area, there's none to be added in my county, none in Clinton County, none Bond County, none in Fayette County. In Jefferson County there's going to be 5 added and the simple reason is that there's a new section of interstate. Now you gotta maintain that with somebody and when I checked it out, at least in our area, these people are in new sections of road where they're going to be needed. Now this is only 45 out of the total increase but the road program is also supposed to be accelerated and the building program and if you do, you've got to add supervisory personnel and construction and planning and so on. So I think, I don't think this Amendment should be put on."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I think the rules, don't they say about the number of people that the Governor's Office is allowed to have on the floor lobbying for a Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Because of the complexity of this Bill, I had extended the privilege of the floor two gentlemen; one is the Director and the other one is a man sitting back... Representative McClain. Sitting back there with Representative McClain."

Keller: "Well, I'm not objecting to the Director being on the Floor but I see several Department of Transportation employees on the floor and I see more than the number of the rules provides for in the Governor's liason people."

Speaker Redmond: "Anybody not authorized to be on the floor, please leave. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd adhere to Representative Keller's remarks. We in no way...we think we have the merits to handle this appropriation, Chuck, and I appreciate your comments very much."



Keller: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "You want to speak on the Amendment, Representative McClain?"

McClain: "I'll...I'll let Representative Lechowicz speak first and then I'll speak after him."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, we're getting a little..."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may I just remind the Members...Membership of the House, we're talking about in Amendment #1 is the authorization level of the number of people that are presently working within a department. Let me point out the fact that the 19...fiscal '76 request called for 8,215 people...under the Bill that was introduced within this General Assembly. What we did is we went back in...to the May 15 figure that a number of people on hand presently employed and that 7,680 number of employees on hand and we provided that figure plus 518 man years of overtime and extra help is included in Amendment #1. Let me also provide to you that the reduction of approximately \$6,000,000; there's a \$1,000,000 reduction in the form of contracts which was transferred in the reappropriation which in House Bill 1948. But included within Amendment #1 is still an increase of 25% in contractual services of...the figure is \$9,571,000. Travel and increase of 16% for \$1,800,000. Commodities increase of 31% which is \$5,719,000. Printing and increase is 75% to \$580,000. Equipment, an increase of 109% for a total of \$11,300,000. An increase in Telecommunication of 38% for a figure of \$2,300,000. In Operations an increase of 10% for a figure of \$8,290,000. Let me just remind you that these are tremendous increases but in turn there was a feeling of the Committee that as far as the number of people on hand should remain at a constant figure with the provisions for the necessary number of employees for overtime and extra help. This is reflected in Amendment #1. It was adopted in Committee. It should



be adopted on this House floor. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 was adopted in Appropriations I with a vote of 11 to 10. I would like to point out to the Membership that the program set aside for fiscal 1976 is a much larger one than put out by the Department of Transportation in 1975. 1975 was a \$642,000,000 and this year we are designed to handle \$791,000,000 program. This Amendment deals with the operating budget of the Department for those offices funded from the Road Fund and General Revenue Funds. Not included in this Amendment is the administration of the Motor Fuel Tax Fund. The Amendment reduces the operating appropriation request by \$6,501,950. The Department supports the reduction of \$1,472,850 of which \$1,000,000 is from the Road Fund and the remaining '\$472,000' from the General Revenue. The Department does not support the reduction of \$5,129,100 from personal services, social security and retirement. Such a reduction means 502 positions can not be filled this fiscal year. For those offices affected by this Amendment, the authorized personnel is 246 less than fiscal year 1975. More of a consequence is the fact that 440 of those 502 positions are in districts offices; 256 of these positions are the additional authorized personnel requested by the Department to staff construction projects and maintain the additional new mileage of road open to traffic. The districts were actually getting 261 additional because the central offices were budgeted for 5 less positions than fiscal year 1975. The remaining 241 positions are vacancies that currently exist from authorization of last year's budget. To be more specific this Amendment reduces positions as follows: District I, Schaumburg, 86 positions; District II, Dixon, 42 positions; District III, Ottawa, 54 positions; District IV, Peoria, 57 positions; District V, Paris, 42 positions; District VI, Springfield, 71 positions; Dis-



trict VII, Effingham, 37 positions; District VIII, East St. Louis, 26 positions; District IX, Carbondale, 15 positions. Of the total 440 positions not funded in the Districts 133 are in maintenance and traffic of which 45 were the additional employees requested for new mileage to be opened; 19/office personnel, accountants and clerical; and 288 are engineering personnel. The 62 positions not funded in the central office are 5 janitors, 1 accountant, 1 technical administrator and 55 engineers and technicians. This Amendment does not consider the construction needs of the Department where the manpower needs change annually because of the shift in workload. If limited to the manpower as provided in Committee #I the Department can only supervise the carryover construction program from fiscal year '75. Any new projects awarded in fiscal year '76 will have to be supervised by outside engineering firms at a cost three or more times greater than if done in House. Additional mileage opened to traffic would not receive adequate or reasonable maintenance coverage. Maintenance on current mileage will not receive the required attention. Overlooked by this Amendment is the Department's fiscal year 1976 request for personnel services which \$5,521,800 greater than in fiscal year '75 and \$400,000 of that amount is for increase and overtime rates and extra help. The Department's total increase is 5% greater than the amount appropriated for fiscal year '75. When looking at the additional \$50 per month cost living raise that was granted this year annualized for fiscal year '76, merit and step increase for code and technical employees and funding the additional employees requested by the Department \$5,000,000 does not seem to be an unreasonable request. I'd urge a no vote on Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane to close."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the item that the opponent or the Sponsor of this Bill is leaving out is that with the manpower that the Department



has had on board this year that they have done all the planning and putting together/a very large program that was first submitted to us as the accelerated building program and is now being resubmitted to us to be funded by the federal funds that are becoming available from...from those that were impounded at the federal level. And this road program has already been put together; the work has been done on it and the work has been done at substantially lower personnel levels than is being requested for this coming year. And I say that the Department can get along on its present level; that if we look at what is happening to Road Fund Revenue and administrative expenses that over the last three and four years that administrative expenses in the Department of Transportation have risen at an annual rate of 10 to 13% and that Road Fund Revenues are staying level and are going down. And that if we are going to avoid any kind of a Road Fund tax increase in the next year or two we're going to have to put a stop to the kind of administrative expense increases that's represented in this budget. And I would urge an aye vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor indicate by voting aye; opposed by voting no. Representative James Houlihan...both yeah. Have all voted who wish? Representative Epton."

Epton: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm voting in the affirmative on this and I'm somewhat confused by the presentation made by Representative McClain. I didn't have an opportunity to ask him, but in the correspondence that was distributed, I get the very definite impression that the Department was willing to accept Amendment #1 and it's contrary to the expressions indicated. Therefore in view of the information conveyed by all the individuals, I'm pleased to vote the affirmative."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten, do you seek recognition?"





JUN 24 1975

140

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, to explain my vote, if I can, Amendment #1 was handled by myself in Committee. It was quite controversial, in fact we spent four days before we adopted it. There are three parts to Amendment #1; one which eliminates some 500 and some odd jobs from the Department of Road Fund, that was the controversial part, the other part provided the 6% reduction from General Revenue which the Department is willing to take and will be coming in a further Amendment if this one is not adopted. And the third part, line items by district and that will be coming in another Amendment if the Department agrees to that. So the controversial part we're talking about here is the jobs. There were serious questions on my part and many Members when we voted on another Amendment having to do with a \$200,000,000 bond authorization and there's serious questions as to whether the Department could do it. I indicated those questions when I voted on this Amendment and I now feel that in order to do the Road Program which I think is important, it's important to many downstate Members that we're going to have to supply the money and the people to do and I think that this Amendment should be beaten. And I would encourage a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "...I...I/. sound speaking...system...is speaking...is working adequately, Mr. Speaker. The remarks of the last gentleman that spoke sounded rather hollow?"

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, 55 ayes, 95 nays. And the Amendment's lost. Any further Amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment...Committee Amendment #2 amends House Bill 1947, page 12 by striking section 22 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor?"

Selcke: "Committee Amendment...Appropriations."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz. Representative Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "...This Amendment was offered in Committee by Representative Hanahan. It was adopted in Committee by a unanimous vote of 10 aye...14 ayes, 10 nays, none recorded as present. We have a Membership of 24 people in the Committee so everybody was there. This Amendment, line items of fiscal 1976 request for series A bonds for the supplemental freeway projects. The fiscal '76 request for \$200,399,000. It also provides 75 projects that were not obligated for a total dollar amount of \$43,950,000. It also provides for 5% transfer of funds between line items and provides the Department \$7,500,000 in planning and engineering money. I move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1947."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee is exactly correct this Amendment received extensive debate, there was some Amendment...or changes made to it and I believe the Department agrees with it, the Committee was generally in agreement with it. And I would encourage an aye vote on Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Basically it...following with the commitment of General Assembly of line item supplemental freeway, we are in agreement of line item...supplemental freeway as a commitment to...the General Assembly we do have some problems to \$7.5,000,000 for professional engineering; however, Representative Totten, Representative Lechowicz are entirely correct and I'd also urge the adoption of Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1947. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The aye's have it; the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Fred Selcke: "Amendment . . . Committee Amendment Number 3 was tabled in committee. Committee Amendment Number 4 amends House Bill 1947, page 9, line 16, and so forth. Lechowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz. Repre . . . Representative Kane. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, Amendment Number 4 adds \$16,400,000 to the M.F.T. appropriations to counties, and municipalities and road districts. This change is in accordance with the changes made in the M.F.T. Allocation Formula, which was passed by this House, in House Bill 1539, and in the Senate, which passed Senate Bill 693. To point out to you that the fiscal 1976 M.F.T. request in the budgets call that the municipalities receive a \$100,000,000, to counties 72,000,000, to road districts 31,000,000; for a total of \$204,000,000. With the passage of House Bill 1539, the municipalities are supposed to receive \$108,000,000, the counties 78,000,000, road districts \$32,000,000; for a total cost of approximately \$220,000,000. This is the difference as reflected in . . . ah . . . Amendment Number 4, which provides \$16,400,000. Let me just give you a comparison to 1973, the Governor's Accountability Book shows that local units of government getting less from M.F.T. revenue this year than it did in 1973. What does he propose . . . how does the Governor help to . . . ah . . . how does the Governor propose to help them? Well, unfortunately, nothing has been increased. In 1973, the counties received 72,000,000, the municipalities 100,000,000, the townships 31,000,000; and if you take a look at the 1976 request, again, the counties are receiving 72,000,000, the municipalities 100,000,000, townships at 31,000,000. In fact, there's a difference of \$100,000 less for the counties and the municipalities. Also, I would hope that you'd take a look at as far as the comparison, in the number of miles that are under the jurisdiction of the state and units of local government. The state has approximately 17,000 miles of road, which is approximately 12 percent; the counties have 16,000 miles of road, approximately 12 percent; while the municipalities have 29,000 miles of road, or approximately 21 percent; and the townships, mind you, have 74,000 miles of road, or



54 percent. Yet, the state has 12 . . . yet, the state has 12 percent of the roads to maintain and the units of local government have 87 percent. Where is this additional help to local government? The state has authorized so far \$800,000,000 for the supplement of free-way systems; and has \$800,000,000 yet this year for the state road systems. I believe that Amendment Number 4 is in complete order. We're providing 16.4 million dollars of reven . . . revenue to help the local districts. I move for the adoption of Amendment Number 4 to House Bill 1947."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten. Totten, yes."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the House. Amendment Number 4 is as the Chairman indicated . . . ah . . . similar to a bill that has passed the House and a Senate bill, I believe, we passed also. Ah . . . This does take 16.4 million from the Road Fund. The members of the House have supported the legislation of the past; and . . . ah . . . I would encourage an 'aye' vote on Amendment Number 4."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, if anybody . . . if anybody is not in on whatever little deal that's just been made on this bill, they ought to vote for this amendment, because its the only way they can make darn sure that they're going to get money to their district from the State Road Fund."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Yes, yes, Representative Schraeder, a question. No? Mr. Speaker, and ladies and gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . it is true that Amendment Number . . . ah . . . 4 to House Bill 1947, we have consistently opposed the concept of and House Bill 1539 and Senate Bill 693; however, in deference that we believe that 200,000,000 appropriation from the Series A Bonds will help us with our Road Fund Problem, and if this . . . if the 200,000,000 is not . . . appropriation is not adopted, then we will bankrupt the state. It is the department's belief that we will also support this amendment in consideration that we will receive that \$200,000,000 appropriation. So we would urge . . . ah . . . the of this amendment to House Bill 1947."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would answer one question?"



Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schraeder: "Can you give me a breakdown on what goes to Cook County . . . ah . . . versus the other counties?"

Lechowicz: "Representative, I only have the figure for municipalities; and for the figure of municipalities as this . . . on Amendment Number 4, it would be \$108,000,000, or an increase of 8.1 million dollars. I don't have the breakdown for the City of Chicago or Cook County, but I'll be . . . I'll try to figure it out and I'll give you the answer when I do."

Schraeder: "Well, per . . . perhaps, Representative McClain can answer it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'll be glad to help Representative Lechowicz out. The City of Chicago and Cook County will receive 2.8 million, the rest will go outside the . . . ah . . . City of Chicago."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan, are you seeking recognition? The gentleman has moved Amendment Number 4 to House Bill 1947 be adopted. Those in favor vote 'aye', oppose vote 'no'. Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House, I hope that all of you listen very carefully to what Representative McClain was saying. He was saying that he's going along with \$16,000,000 to be distributed to local governments around the state for current expenditures in exchange for a \$200,000,000 Bond Program. Now, what we're doing, ladies and gentlemen, with this \$200,000,000 Bond Program that's coming along later is bonding for current expenditures. That's what's happened to New York City. They're bonding for current expenditures; and when you start bonding for current expenditures, you're going to go broke sooner or later. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ladies and gentlemen of the House. Well, Representative Kane is distorting what I did say, what the department's belief is, is try to help out local municipalities and counties throughout the State of Illinois if we get the revenue as proposed in the \$200,000,000 appropriation. All we're trying to do



is help out local governments, . . . ah . . . Doug . I don't understand your . . . ah . . . your implication of . . . ah . . . some kind of an analogy with us with New York City. I think you're distorting the issue."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Totten."

Totten: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I want to explain my vote. Ah . . . I have consistently voted 'no' on Representative Houlihan's bill doing this, because I was concerned with the Road Fund. I believe there was maybe only one or two 'no' votes in committee, but most of the members of the House have supported this. Ah . . . I still think it presents some difficulties. There's over 120 votes, I think, in the House on this. Ah . . . I would like to maintain my position of a 'no' vote, even though the members, I believe, wish this to be done as it does supply money to the townships and counties; and, apparently, its needed, but I will continue to vote 'no' on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the Record.

On this question there's 119 'aye', 17 'no'; and the amendment's adopted."



Selcke: "Amendment #5. Amend House Bill 1947 as amended by by deleting section 14 in its entirety and inserting in lieu there...the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who is this?"

Selcke: "Representative Lochowicz again."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #5. This Amendment in line items the inner city rail passenger subsidies by route. This approach is consistent with the appropriations form I made in previous years in the State's inner city rail passenger service programs. In 1976 request is for \$3,500,000. This Amendment also changes source of funding of...inner city rail passenger programs from General Revenue to the Road Fund. And I move for adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Department of Transportation favors this Committee Amendment #5. I would like to explain, however, that we did work with Democratic staff and Republican staff and I believe, Representative Lechowicz, did you go through the line iteming? For which, the Department is in full agreement with it. We are very happy that we include, especially Decatur route which badly needs it and the Quincy route, obviously. We'd ask your favorable support of Committee Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentlemen has moved the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 1947. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you arise?"

Dunn: "Purpose of a question. Sponsor of the Amendment...Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Dunn: "Does his recent remark about favoring this Amendment and inclusion of the Champaign to Decatur branch of the passenger rail service indicated in the Amendment indicate the Department of Transportation is going to complete this



portion of the Amtrack service this year if this Amendment is adopted. And...and they have the funds to do so?"

Speaker Redmond: "Question...Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I hate to say this, Mr. Speaker, but I've had my light on for some time. I know I'm on the edge of a bore but I wish you wouldn't get the papers altered. I wanted to make...make clear in my own mind..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of order."

Speaker Redmond: "What's your point?"

Lechowicz: "Representative Dunn asked a question, I don't believe he's...I'm sorry Representative Borchers but that question has never been responded to."

Speaker Redmond: "I think it would be better procedure if we would wait until previous speaker's have had their questions answered before we jump up. I don't know whether there's a fire or what's going on here. Representative McClain and Representative Dunn."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I believe the question was posed to Representative Lechowicz. I'd be more than happy to answer if Representative Lechowicz would like to defer."

Dunn: "The question is to Representative McClain."

McClain: "I thought you said Sponsor. Well, Representative Dunn, it's the full intention of the Department of Transportation to include full service from...Chicago, Kankakee, Champaign, Decatur. Those studies are being made now. I've consistently met almost daily with Representative Tipword on this very real problem that he feels very deeply about and it's every intention of the Department to proceed."

Dunn: "To proceed to what?"

McClain: "My understanding, the studies are being made now for full service."

Dunn: "I understand the studies are being made but does this... the question is will the service be put into effect if this Amendment is approved and you have...and these funds are appropriated to you in this fiscal year '76?"





McClain: "Representative Dunn...I've just been informed that that there have been problems in implementing, obviously... Representative Tipsword would know, we've appropriated the same sum last year as this year and it's the Department's yearly commitment that they...that those...implementations shall be done and service shall be given to Decatur. That's all I can tell you. I can't bring the train here and take you on your way but it's the Department's word that they have every intention with this constant commitment of \$400,000 to give you full service."

Dunn: "I appreciate the second annual commitment of the Department to do this. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers, do you seek recognition now?"

Borchers: "Yes, I do. ...On two parts...the first part is... Representative Lechowicz took care of that. The second part is that now for the second year or...third year, we have had a promise of something being done...due to a switching problem, I can't...forget the name of the little town, at the moment, but that all that seems to be the trouble in this particular line. And I can't see why it takes three years of study to figure out one switching problem and I...I...I'd like to ask the Sponsor just one question, that in case they fail to make the study in solving the switching problem if as usual any excess money is then put back into the Transportation Fund?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Borchers: "I...haven't been answered, sir. I want to know if that money falls back as usual in...Transportation Fund?"

Speaker Redmond: "I understand Representative Tipsword is prepared to answer, am I correct?"

Tipsword: "No, No...I..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Borchers, it's...I've again been informed that there are engineering problems, there have been problems in implementing the



the full service to which I referred. Representative Choate had this appropriation last year. I'm sure it was his intention as being the House Sponsor to give full service to the City of Decatur. It's my intention again. I've talked to Representative Tipsword daily about this problem. It's the Department's belief that...continuing to try to overcome those implementation problems it's the Sponsor's belief that those are...will be full. And it's a \$400,000 <sup>and</sup> commitment from not only Ted Lechowicz/ the Appropriations Committee, who went over this very strongly and Garrett Deacon but also the Department's and that's about all I can tell you, sir."

Borchers: "But in other words, the money, if they do not solve this...really not such a great problem, the money goes back in the Transportation Fund and we lose it again. Is that correct?"

McClain: "No, sir. We have line itemed it. It is our full intention that that money shall be there and if not fully expended by the end of the fiscal year that it be left and I'm sure Representative Lechowicz and the next House Sponsor and I'm sure the Department of Transportation will continue with whatever lapsed funds are available and to continue until you get full service."

Borchers: "Well, I hope this happens and that we don't go through this again next year."

McClain: "Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I'd just like to say I appreciate the comments I've just heard. I'm glad to hear the Sponsor of the Bill say what he has in regard to that particular line Representative Dunn and Representative Borchers and are indicated to Decatur. And I think it's...they indicate how very interested we are in that in finding service to that Illinois city and in...I'd just like to say that I'm so delighted that the Sponsor and... and those that are advising him say that they are doing



everything they can to get a...a train in there. And so consequently I'll look forward their voting yes on Amendment 17 which I think will give us some assurance."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in support of Amendment #5. It is a continuing commitment by the Department, the line iteming is something that we started several years ago and in addition in this Amendment this year there is a 15% transferability for one line item to another in order to provide the flexibility that sees that some of these jobs get done. And I would recommend an aye vote on Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is, the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment, vote aye; opposed vote no. ...Have a roll call vote on that? Vote aye. Vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, 119 aye, 1 no. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #6. Committee Amendment #6. Amend House Bill 1947 on page 2 by inserting between lines 22 and 23 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #6 is for the sum of \$1,730,000 as appropriated from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation for refunding the City of Carbondale further reimbursement received and deposited in the Road Fund for expenditures made for railroad relocation demonstration project in the City of Carbondale. This is really federal pass through money, the Amendment was offered in Committee by Representative Richmond, the Department did not object to it because it is federal passenger money. I move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 1947. And all in favor indicate by saying



'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Committee amendment #7. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 14 by deleting lines 12 and 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee amendment #7 is FEPC amendment and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This was presented by Representative Young in committee and its with the full support of the Department and I urge your adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #7 to House Bill 1947. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Committee amendment #8 was tabled in committee. Committee Amendment #9 amends House Bill 1947 by adding after Section 17 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee amendment #9 was offered in committee by Representative Ted Meyers for Mr. Duff. It appropriates \$475,000 for the re-surfacing for Lake Avenue in the village of Wilmette Illinois from Ridge Road to Skokie. It was adopted in committee 15-2 and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Department's position is to oppose this. We believe that it ought to be stable within the Department under their professional guidance of their engineers and their planners not to appropriate individual projects. We think if this amendment gets put on we'll be here forever adding special projects for each individual legislators. We



think it ought to be done through the appropriation process and within the professional negotiations within the Department and our position is to be opposed to this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyers."

Meyers: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This is a good amendment.

Representative Duff told me how many pot holes there were in the area and I urge it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I, too, oppose this amendment. If every member of the House comes in every year with a bill or with an amendment to the Department of Transportation Bill taking care of his favorite street in his favorite municipality in his own district, we will be here far beyond July 1 of that year. I think it's of special local nature, it shouldn't be handled this way and I join with the gentleman who previously spoke against this and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #9 to House Bill 1947. All in favor vote 'aye', all opposed vote 'no'. Vote. Representative Porter."

Porter: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I know Representative Duff wanted to speak on this but it seems to me that very little does our district ask for particular items for our citizens and very often do other districts ask for other items for theirs, and I would urge an 'aye' vote. It's a very small amount and a very small matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question there are 34 'ayes', 68 'nos', Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I would just suggest to all those members that have got all their special interest amendments in that your either going to hang together or your all going to hang separately and if this one isn't going to get passed none of them are going to get passed, now you've got your choice."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think I'd rather be a little bit more placating than that. I'm sorry I wasn't on the floor, I've been in a meeting with the Minority Leader and...uh... you should try it sometime...uh...seriously this is a... I'm out of breath and I'm getting breathless, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "So are we."

Duff: "This is a small portion of road which hasn't been repaired in years and the reason is because it's an unmarked state highway and because it's unmarked there is nobody who feels they have to take public responsibility for it. It's the only east-west road that goes the entire distance of the village of 32,000 people. It has a real serious need of repair and the director of the Department of Transportation indicated in committee that it was a new thought to him. But in previous conversations he did not indicate opposition to the idea simply, perhaps, opposition to having it a line item. I would appreciate it Mr. Speaker since I have not put in a Bill to assist my constituents in five years this way. That my sincerity in this regard would be considered valid and legitimate, I would also remind the members of the House that since I've been here I have never failed to assist them in their efforts to accomplish these kinds of things for their constituency. And I have every intention of continuing to assist them in that regard. I would appreciate it Mr. Speaker if we could take a roll call on this and get some 'aye' votes on it."

Speaker Redmond: "We did take a roll call on it. There were 34 'ayes', and 68 'nays'."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, this is the first time you called an amendment when somebody who wanted to speak for it clearly wasn't allowed to throughout the last several weeks."

Speaker Redmond: "I've taken the record Mr. Duff before you returned to the floor. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Dyer 'aye'."



Speaker Redmond: "Dyer 'aye'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well I'm not sure that Mr. Duff has the point quite correct. He wasn't the one who was speaking or asking for the floor before the record was taken, I was. And, that's fine, let's kill them all."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #10, Committee amendment #10. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 6, line 19 by deleting \$1057 and inserting in lieu thereof \$1180 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, you did not yet announce that roll call and that's why I was hoping some people would change their vote. You moved the next amendment without announcing the roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "I announced the roll call of 34 'ayes' and 68 'nay' and the amendment being lost."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side I'd like to reconsider the vote by which that motion was not passed."

Speaker Redmond: "You didn't vote Representative Duff."

Duff: "I did vote Mr. Speaker. Look at the roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been corrected, Representative Duff did vote 'aye'. That doesn't seem to be the prevailing side though. Call the next amendment. Representative Lechowicz. Representative Peters for what purpose do you rise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker on that last amendment...uh...having voted on the prevailing side meaning a 'no' vote on that, I move that we reconsider the vote by which that amendment was lost."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe that that is timely and if rule that it is, I'd like to move that that motion lie upon the table."

Speaker Redmond: "I'll rule that's timely and I'll recognize your motion that that motion lie on the table. All in favor



of the motion to table indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the 'ayes' have it the motion is tabled. Ok. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I not unaware of the fact that on this House floor in the last twenty minutes because of some what I would consider to be...uh...uncertain waves of agreement and disagreement because between people not including me who have indicated that they perhaps are not taking positions that were predictable, might be causing some effect on these amendments. Now, Mr. Speaker, I am not any part of any of those conversations. I have not been and I would appreciate the courtesies that always been extended on these kinds of things to members of the House. Do you want me to lay it straight out front? This is the only time in my tenure here that I put a Bill in like this that was important to my constituency and to the village I live in. Now, Mr. Speaker, I have not been part of any of those conversations. I had the Director, Secretary of Transportation lobby to me and try to trade votes with me and I've had other sides do the same thing and I had nothing to do with any of it, but I cannot if I don't get the normal consideration necessarily feel that I won't have to inquire more deeply into everything that is going on and say so on the House floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Well, I believe the gentleman is finished so therefore there is no need of my making the point that he has not addressed himself to the particular motion on the floor, and I'd ask that the Speaker take the roll and proceed with the orderly course of business."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 95 'aye', 54 'no' and the motion to table prevails. Any further amendments?"





Selcke: "Committee amendment #10. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This amendment was offered by Representative Jones in committee. I opposed it in committee and I'd like to defer to Representative Jones."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is what might be called the engineers amendment because it adjust the salary bracket of the technical employees of the Department of Transportation in such a matter to enable those who are judged by their superiors as meritorious to receive the deserved merit raise for work performed. The effect of the engineers on the staff, it affects the engineers on the staff of DOT who are not under the personnel code, does not appropriate any funds, just raises the permissive ceiling on judgements to be made by the Department. The Department now spends some 35 million dollars for outside engineering contracts at cost. Surely our costs of outside engineers should prevail at a lesser amount in the Department engineers and I move the adoption of this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Department's position would be in opposition to this. We do offer a cost of living increase to all employees but we don't believe that technical employees ought to be treated any differently. We offer those same options available to those technical employees. We'd ask for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The gentlemen has moved the adoption of amendment #10 to House Bill 1947. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it, the amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment...Committee amendment #11. Amends House Bill



1947 as amended on page 12, line 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Whose the sponsor?"

Selcke: "Committee amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee amendment #11, this amendment deletes the appropriation of \$261,000,000 of series E bonds for the accelerated highway construction and secondly this amendment increase the road fund appropriation for construction of 100.29 million to 464.8 million dollars. I'd like also to defer to Representative Shea for comments on amendment #11."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "I offered this amendment in committee along with Representative Lechowicz. I gives the highway department the amount in the road fund needed to complete their 791,000,000 dollar road project with federal money this year. I'd move for the adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Will the sponsor of the amendment yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "Your line of questioning in the committee was so good I wonder if you could explain to us alittle more in alittle more detail why you think this amendment is a good amendment. Why don't they need the \$200,000,000?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Skinner and Members of the House the Congress of the United States passed House Bill 3786 and then it was amended in the Senate to allow states that they not be required to have any federal match for federal aid projects that the state wanted and approved by the Secretary of Transportation of the United States between February the 12th of 1975 and ending September 30, 1975. The Secretary has the right, and I say the Secretary I mean the Secretary of the Department of Transportation of the United States has



right to approve these projects until November 15, 1975.

During the committee hearing we discussed with the Secretary the amount of these projects that could be put under contract between now and September and where we are with federal aid.

At the end of May with the release of the impounded funds and the anticipated amount of federal funds to the State of Illinois for 197...for fiscal year '76 there was approximately \$587,000,000 of federal funds available.

In June, the state obligations were approximately \$53,000,000 which left available for fiscal year 1976 approximately

\$534,000,000 in federal funds, of that money \$341.3 million was for interstate construction which is 90% federal money, 10% state match. 192.7 million dollars of that was 70/30

money, 70% federal, 30% state match. Prior to the close of this fiscal year there will be \$146,000,000 of projects that Illinois currently has that may be eligible for federal

aid, of the money, federal project listed by the Department they listed \$215,000,000 in interstate projects that would require a total state aid of 21.5 million dollars, 24.3

million dollars in projects on the supplemental freeway system that would require 7.2 million dollars, on the state primary road system 171 million dollars, in projects that

would require 51.3 million dollars in federal match and on the urban system 60.7 million dollars which would require 18.2 million dollars in federal match for a total federal

match of state money in this year's federal/state program of 98.2 million dollars of that, Secretary Bond said that approximately 65 million dollars of the state's portion

could be put under contract under House Bill 1786 which would require no match of state money but would be a debt owed the government. Therefore, the program laid out by the

director there would 15 million dollars owed the government for fiscal '75 and 65 million dollars from fiscal '76 making a total of 80 million dollars owed the federal

government on January 1, 1977 in fiscal 77. The total cost



to the state this year, the total match required would be 33.2 million dollars in federal funds and 56 million dollars for state road projects only or somewhat less than 90 million dollars. With the total available dollars in the road fund the state could make all these payment, do the 791 million dollar job and still end up being solvent at the end of fiscal year '76."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, could I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Skinner: "In the appropriation committee hearing where we discussed this at great length, there were two statement that were made that were absolutely incredible. One was by the Department of Transportation, we don't want to enter..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "Can we have some quiet? We're trying to put together an appropriation spending over a billion dollars of state money and I think we should be at least a little interested."

Speaker Redmond: "Members be in their seats and please be give the gentleman order."

Skinner: "There were two statements made in that committee that I found absolutely unbelievable coming from the chief fiscal officer of a major department. The first one was, we don't want to enter into contracts we can't pay immediately. Now I would ask this General Assembly since when has the State of Illinois cared one iota about paying things immediately. Why does Representative Londrigan have to introduce a Bill every year to mandate the Comptroller to pay within 30 days or else interest will have to be paid on vendors bills. This morning we learned in the revenue committee that we could solve our fiscal crisis by delaying Medicare payments by one month. Thirty day delay in payment to Doctors and hospitals and there wouldn't be a fiscal crisis or at least the semblance of a fiscal crisis. The second thing that we heard which I just couldn't believe was that



the \$200,000,000 is wanted to bond tax flow. Has anybody in this House ever heard of spending of...of borrowing \$200,000,000 over 20 years to...to provide a temporary tax float? Well, Representative Shea's Amendment goes to that problem. It says Department of Transportation, you have the money. Now spend it, but stop the shell game; let's get the projects on the road and if you need more authority next year we'll discuss it next year. This Amendment certainly deserves to be passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Representative Shea has done an excellent job both on Committee and on the floor on trying to determine where the money is to do the road program. ...As...I indicated in Committee, I am still...<sup>was</sup>still somewhat perplexed as to what we do. And I'd like to ask one or two questions of Representative Shea if he would yield?..By the end of fiscal year '76 would the cash flow projections...from DOT, what do you figure the cash balance in the Road Fund will be?"

Shea: "Using DOT's figures or mine?"

Totten: "Well, I was going to ask you what your's are second so let me have them both:"

Shea: "DOT's figures indicate that the end of fiscal year '76 the Fund will have a cash balance...at the present time the Fund has a cash balance, the Road Fund has a cash balance of 89 plus million dollars. The...at least the figures they supplied to me and other Members indicate that they can do the large road program and do all the things necessary to finance that large road program and have at the end of fiscal year '76 a cash balance of 78.7 million dollars and will have...well, that...that's it, 78.7 million dollars cash balance."

Totten: "What is your figure?"

Shea: "I would say it would be somewhat less but I'll go on their figure."



Totten: "...I...did DOT indicate in Committee that they were estimating MFT revenues on the high side?"

Shea: "I don't know what they indicated. I...I would estimate that the total road fund revenue for fiscal year '76 would be something in the neighborhood of \$440,000,000. In my estimation. If I look at their charts and I...I can't ever for the life of me figure how they jumble apples and oranges together but I think I'm pretty much in agreement with your people that 440 is the estimated revenue for the Road Fund for the fiscal year '76 consisting of...of motor fuel tax and payout from the Secretary of State's office."

Totten: "...DOT has indicated in a memorandum that I believe they circulated to the Members of the General Assembly that they are in support of this Amendment provided we provide an additional 200,000,000 which would be approximately what they'd ask for in an increased bonding authority. What is your response to that?"

Shea: "Well, even the figures that are given to me by DOT indicate that in fiscal year '76 they are able to complete the big program without the additional influx of cash. Their project of cash balances through fiscal year '80 which is...indicates a net loss with the big program of \$192,000,000 so I would assume that the \$200,000,000 bond issue would carry them someplace through fiscal '80."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your questions to a close, Representative Totten, I think you two went over this in Committee."

Totten: "Well, new figures have been supplied and...I really respect the Majority Leader because I thought he did an excellent job on this. He confused me and I've had trouble trying to decipher this since then to find out what a position should be and...there was information on our desk daily...regarding this."

Shea: "Could I go...Could I go through..."

Speaker Redmond: "Bring your remarks to a close."

Shea: "Could I go through very quickly what I think the cash



is and then somebody can correct me? Total Road Fund is \$440,000,000. I used...an administration and operating cost of \$174,000,000 and I don't care if you take 180 or 174 leaving a balance of 266. I think I'm a little on the high side for other State agencies, 130,000,000 leaving 136,000,000. \$20,000,000 for bond and interest leaving a balance of 116. Taking the complete State only road projects and the additional \$33,000,000, if we used every penny of federal aid we've had, and I have gone back and never found us to be able to spend more than 70% of what we put in these books. But assuming expenditures at 100% that \$89.2 million which leaves a balance of \$36.8 million dollars and there are some bridge and other federal work I...in my opinion, would leave us at least \$20,000,000 more in the Road Fund than when...than when we started doing everything in sight."

Totten: "I have one more question, Mr. Speaker. The Department has indicated although I haven't seen the Amendment...I... I don't have it on my desk yet but they were going to introduce an additional Amendment for the full amount of funding necessary to carry out the road program and if this Amendment is carried do you intend to support that Amendment?"

Shea: "Which Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think that's a proper question."

Totten: "Well, I think the Amendment has been filed..."

Speaker Redmond: "It has nothing to do..."

Totten: "...What it is."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Lechowicz." Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. There about..."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Lechowicz: "...40 Amendments on this Bill. I don't believe that question is germane at this time."

Speaker Redmond. "I agree. Representative Turek."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Tuerk: "As I understand it, Amendment...11 now is a 200,000,000



bonding program. Is that correct? Just briefly."

Shea: "No, sir."

Turek: "No."

Shea: "Amendment 11 increases the road fund from approximately 102,000,000 to 464,000,000; strikes section 25 of the Bill which amend...which appropriated \$261,000,000 in series C bond funds which failed to pass. So I took that Amendment out of the Bill and renumbered the sections to subsequents sections 25 through the last number."

Turek: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Huff: "Ah, Mr. Shea, this is two questions. Can you tell me of the what percentage/interstate...downstate segment of the highways are complete?"

Shea: "My understanding from the Department that approximately 85 to 90% of the interstate highways system is completed."

Huff: "All right. Could you also tell me how...how much, if any, of the crosstown apportionment has been used over the last 20 years for the completion of those interstate highways, downstate?"

Shea: "I don't have any idea."

Huff: "Well, of the...of the number of miles that's left to be completed by the interstate systems downstate, would you say it's less than a hundred miles?"

Shea: "I haven't that information available, sir."

Huff: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if the Sponsor of the Bill...that I could ask him...Representative McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, do you care to..."

McClain: "Of course. I'd like to comment anyway."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, I believe that's out of order at the present





He maybe can explain his vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Technically you're correct."

Neff: "Mr. Speaker...well...I'll...Representative...pardon me."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I though we had...it's consistent in a large appropriation such as this, the House Sponsor just explain the Department's position. Depends. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm sorry for some of the 'timecake' on this Amendment. ...The Department does support this Amendment. The Amendment increases the Road Fund appropriation for construction from section 17 from \$102,900,000 to \$464,800,000. It also reduces...or deletes section 25 which appropriates 261,000,000 from series C bonds. The Department will seek to appropriate 200,000,000 series A bond funds for obligational authority required...embark on its...total program to capture its share of the impounded federal funds which are available for obligation til September 30th 1975. The 200,000,000 is an addition to the \$207,700,000 requested for supplemental freeway program. I would like to answer Representative Totten in saying that our judgments on the motor fuel tax were on the high side. I did not understand Jerry's comments or apples and oranges reflect Jerry Shea's apples and oranges exactly at \$440.2 million and we will discuss the 200,000,000 later. We also support this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I yield my time to Representative Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the rules. That's prohibited. That's what the rules say and I didn't write 'em. Ready for the question. The gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #11 - 1947. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. ...All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question 125 ayes, 2 nay. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Barnes."

Selcke: "Committee Amendment #12 was tabled in Committee."



Fred Selcke: "Floor Amendment 13. Sangmeister. Amend House Bill 1947 on page 14, by inserting after line 2, the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz. Representative Sangmeister. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "A...Mr. Speaker, I know that this is Representative Sangmeister's amendment, but I'd like to get a ruling from the Chair whether it is germane or not for this bill at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Lechowicz: "Well, I'd also like to bring to the attention of the Chair that this is substantive language in the appropriation bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner sought attention, I have to find out what he wants."

Skinner: "In making your ruling, I trust you will take into account the subsequent amendments which are coming upon which similar points of order may be made."

Lechowicz: "You're right."

Skinner: "And may be equally applicable."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "While arriving at your decision, I would like to advise the Chair, you may not have been out here, but when the Majority Leader was sitting in that Chair, Representative Shea, about a month ago, he made the unequivocal statement that there is no amendment that is not germane to an appropriation bill. Having made that ruling, I suggest we stay with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "The point is whether it is substantive language or not, Mr. Speaker, and according to the Constitution, you've got to act accordingly."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I have the opinion of the parliamentarian, here, and in short, it says the second part of the amendment clearly goes beyond the subject of the appropriations in two respects. And that this amendment must be ruled out of order and not within the subject of appropriations. Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm not...I'll tell you, frankly, I'm not going to appeal the ruling of the Chair on this. I have too much



respect for you on that. But I'll tell you one thing, this amendment without question, is germane. It puts a provision on when this money can be spent, and if that isn't germane to an appropriation bill, I don't know what is. And there's a lot of people that are interested in having a hearing on the floor of this House on a very important matter and you are foreclosing those people from that right."

Speaker Redmond: "Independent of the parliamentarian's ruling, in my opinion, this amendment is not germane. I think there's a different way to get at it and I don't believe its on an appropriation bill, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Well I respectfully dissent from the parliamentarian, who I presume, knows a little bit about this issue also."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 14. Duester. Amend House Bill 1947 on page 14, by inserting between lines 2 and 3, the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duester."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment No. 14 is a very simple amendment and I'll read it because it is so brief and so much to the point. It simply says 'none of the funds appropriated in this section may be expended for consulting fees to a single consultant or consulting firm in an amount in excess of \$100,000 per year.' This is a section that relates to the money going to the Regional Transportation Authority and its really not substantive language, it simply is like we always find in an appropriation bill, a ceiling, and I'd..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Lechowicz: "I believe this is an R.T.A. limitation and it deals with the bond issue as far as the R.T.A. is concerned and I'd like to raise the same point."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duester."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, we have added to just about every appropriation bill coming through this General Assembly, a provision that none of the money may be expended in violation of the rules and regulations of the Fair Employment Practices Commission or of the Federal Equal



Employment Opportunities Commission. Now that's stretching it quite a bit...a....this amendment does not go that far and I think, certainly, falls within the ambits of the traditional Catania-Young amendments that have gone on on all these bills and have been found to be germane. This amendment just puts in a dollar amount...a... as a ceiling as to what can be paid and I think it is germane and I'm speaking...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker, this is a substance language and I would like a ruling from the Speaker and the parliamentarian."

Speaker Redmond: "I did rule that it was not germane and had the same question been poised to me with respect to the Fair Employment Practice Amendment, I would have ruled the same way. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, consultant fees are incurred by even the Department of Transportation. I submit, Mr. Speaker, that all this amendment does is limit consultant fees to \$100,000. There's a point of order that I would like clarified. Aren't consultant fees...aren't consultant fees incurred or not by the Transportation Department? I would like to ask the sponsor of the bill if I may."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

Geo-Karis: "Are consultant fees incurred by the Department of Transportation at any time? Its a point of order. I think it should be answered right now and I'm in order, Mr. Lechowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well Mr. Speaker, didn't you rule that 14 was out of order?"

Speaker Redmond: "I did."

Lechowicz: "Well, I'm sorry, I'm out of line, but I don't believe your comments, you only have one alternative."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask for a further clarification of the ruling of the Chair? I am agreeing with...a....Mr. Speaker, but did I hear you say that...a....the F.E.P.C. amendments and the like would have



also been ruled out of order?"

Speaker Redmond: "Had the question of germaneness of amending an appropriation bill with that raised, I would have ruled the same way."

Lauer: "These...these were on appropriation bills. I would really like to stop wasting the time of the Appropriations Committee with these idiot amendments, if that is going to be the consistent ruling of the Chair."

Speaker Redmond: "Never fear. The question was not raised. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like, and perhaps this is the wrong time to ask the question, but an appropriation bill, it is my understanding, is not limited to one subject matter such as other bills so that Section 2 or Section 3 of an appropriation bill could carry an appropriation to a different department or agency."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you repeat that, Representative Shea?"

Shea: "Appropriation bills, by the Constitution, are not limited like other pieces of legislation, limited to a single subject matter. Is that correct, sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct."

Shea: "But appropriation bills are limited to the subject of appropriation and cannot carry a substantive matter within the appropriation. Is that correct, sir?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's my understanding."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Next amendment."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment No. 15."

2094



Selcke: "Kane. Amend House Bill 1937 as amended on page 12 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Kane: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This is a very simple Amendment. What it does is remove some of the projects that are lying in the supplemental freeway system, in the list of projects. The reason that they are removed is that the Secretary of Transportation in the Committee hearing said none of these projects are in the Department of Transportation's plans for this year and quote "it's impossible for this money to be spent in fiscal year 1976"and so this Amendment removes that amount of money and those projects. And it amounts to some \$45,000,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm sorry to oppose my colleague on his Amendment. I know what he's attempting to do and certainly other Members of the Committee were startled to hear the Secretary consider some portions of the supplemental freeway system a phony appropriation matter concerning freeways in their areas. But at the same time a commitment was made to each for those Members that were here that were serving in the General Assembly and we've honored that commitment through the fused Session since then that the commitment to pass the supplemental freeway bond program through this General Assembly was made upon that we would line item the projects around the State and those projects would be time and time again introduced as part of the appropriation process of the supplemental freeway. Now I don't care if the...the Administration or the Secretary whoever he may be or she may be in the future determines that these projects may not be completed. As long as I am a Member and I know as long as there are other Members here who retain integrity of commitment that the supplemental freeway system was a commitment to each other. It may not bind you legally, but



it certainly binds people morally that these projects be line itemed and continued in the appropriation process and therefore I oppose the Amendment of...Amendment #15."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "...you. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I wonder if the gentleman will yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "Indicates he will."

Boyle: "What is the Department of Transportation's position with respect to this reduction of over \$43,000,000?"

Kane: "Well, what the Secretary of Transportation said in the Committee Hearing, he said it didn't matter whether these projects remained in the Appropriation Bill or not; there wasn't going to be any money spent on them and I don't see any point in appropriating money that isn't going to be spent."

Boyle: "Well, it appears that the material that the Department of Transportation has circulated to the Members of the General Assembly would indicate that they're opposed to this reduction amendment. And I...in my wildest dreams I can't imagine why the Secretary of Transportation would make a statement like and then circulate material that's obviously detrimental...in opposition to this Amendment. And I wonder if you can explain this?"

Kane: "Well, I can't explain the actions of the Secretary of Transportation but he said very specifically and I wrote it down and it's quoted "impossible to be spent in fiscal 1976."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Department's position is we're to oppose this Amendment. We believe we ought to follow up with our continued commitment of last year...when Tom Hanahan and others continue at the line items for the supplemental freeway system and the Department's position. Would urge everybody to vote no on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the





House, Tragically, it doesn't matter to two whoops in Hades whether you adopt this Amendment or not because this Administration and this Secretary of Transportation are never going to be on 409 and 411. And for the sake of candor and truth the Secretary of Transportation made that abundantly clear at this marvelous Committee Meeting we had in Appropriations I last Friday evening. ...Representative Kane didn't give you his full statement. His full statement was in reference to these phony items; phony p-h-o-n-y. We have carefully circulated that harsh, accurate description to all of the papers in our area. I want to publicly say to Representative Hanahan, the people in my community are used to bad roads, they have no hope, no hope whatever under this Administration, of any lessening of their burden but we do thank you for your gesture of good will, your expression of faith, your desire to... uphold the pledge of prior administrations, the State of Illinois, the Highway Act of 1969 in regard to the freeway program. I say to the rest of you before you get too elaborate in your concern about 409 and 411, save your vile...your energy, your enthusiasm to beat an Amendment that's coming along here in a few minutes, it's 27, or is it 26, anyhow it says \$200,000,000; it's being offered by Representative McClanahan, that's where the meat of the subject is...the rest of it...McClain, excuse me, McClain, that's where the meat is; that's the only thing that counts; if you want to do something for everybody, including the people in your district, you beat that Amendment and you'll any other sins you've had will be washed away. How you vote in this Amendment makes no difference at all."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, respectfully, we have 22 amendments to go in Appropriations Committee ten minutes ago. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question."

The question is, shall the main question be put. All in



favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Representative Kane to close."

Kane: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Sponsor of this Bill said he opposed this Amendment because the Department, and he is the Sponsor of the Bill, is still committed to these...supplemental freeway systems. I would submit that that's an empty commitment because this money isn't going to be spent. And if the money is not going to be spent and the Secretary of Transportation says it's impossible to spend this money in fiscal '76, we shouldn't be appropriating it. And it's just that simple. Are we going to have a legitimate budget making process or not? And I would urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #15 to 1947. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wish? Representative Simms."

Simms: "In explaining my vote, it's a question for Mr. Kane if he would respond. Is the money for the North-South Expressway going to be cut out with this Amendment, Doug?"

Kane: "If it's a real project, it's not going to be cut out."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my aye vote there was pointed out in Committee that the 1975 projects not obligated amounted to \$43,000,000. \$43,000,000 that the Secretary at that time stated that she'll be more than happy to have them included on the books but in no way will they even be worked upon. Now this Amendment clarifies that situation; removes \$43,000,000 in excess that the Secretary pointed out in Committee she had no intention of doing one iota of work. They don't know what a commitment is and I would appreciate an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I sure don't profess to be an expert on where these FAP routes are so maybe perhaps some of my



downstate brethren can help me. But on the alignment of FAP 409 from Illinois 64 to Illinois 127. In the fiscal '75 budget, there was an appropriated amount of \$64.8 million. That was further reduced by an item vetoed down to \$38,000,000. It's in this budget at the request of the Department this year at \$30,000,000 and the Department told us and the Secretary told us in Committee that the most he would spend out of that is \$400,000. Now all I say to you is...as...particularly you people that are on that route and I guess it's in District 8 someplace, that all I can say is don't get your hopes held too high that you're going to get the road built because the money is there. The Secretary of Transportation flat out said that what they're going to spend is \$400,000 out of that."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 46 aye, 96 nay. The Amendment's lost. Any further Amendments? Representative Hanahan, do you seek recognition?"

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. The hour is a quarter to 6 and I was wondering if we could have a relief by taking a break now. I move to recess until the hour of 8 o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it'd be whatever your wish is but I think we're going good now and the Membership is here and this budget is...is a \$2.2,000,000,000 budget; a \$100,000,000 less than the entire appropriation of the State of Missouri and I think that the House ought to handle it as we're moving right now. Of course, it'd be whatever the wish of the House is."

Speaker Redmond: "I had previously announced that we would break. There's 41 Amendments in case you don't know it and I would suggest that we should recess now and come back at 8 o'clock. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I would like to announce that the Appropriations Committee are going to meet immediately upon recess and I would move, Mr. Speaker, that the House now stand in



recess until 8 o'clock."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Appropriations I will reconvene immediately in Room 114 for the purpose of hearing two Bills. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes." "Representative Boyle."

Boyle: "For purposes of announcement, Mr. Speaker, Appropriations II will meet on the House floor right now for the purpose of hearing two Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a point of inquiry. Perhaps I'm the only one but I don't have Amendments 28 through 36 on this Bill and I'd like to know if they've been distributed and if not perhaps I can get a copy."

Speaker Redmond: "28-36 we'll see you get 'em. 8 o'clock. Committee Reports. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'm very interested in seeing a supplemental calendar when we get back because there's somethings that have to be moved tomorrow and unless... unless I see 'em on that supplemental calendar they won't be moving things."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Garmisa from the Committee on Transportation to which House Bills 13, 16, 2037, 2078, and House Resolution 29 were referred reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23D, the Bills and Resolution were ordered tabled. Mr. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriations II to which Senate Bill 565 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended, do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations I to which Senate Bills 477 and 554 and 632 were referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended, do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations I to which House Joint Resolution 55 was referred recommended the



the Resolution be assigned to interim study calendar.

Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations I to which was referred House Bill 414 referred the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3122, recommend the original Bill lie on the table and the substitute Bill do pass.

Speaker Redmond: "Introduction to First Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3121. Polk. A Bill for an Act to allow officers and employees of the State of Illinois to purchase flags of the State at cost from the Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3122. Commission on Appropriations I. A Bill for an Act creating the Medical and Dental Schools Commission Study Commission define its powers and duties. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The House now stands in recess until 8 o'clock p. m."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. ...Lucco, give me the lineup."

Doorkeeper: "All not entitled to this House Floor, will you please retire to the gallery? Thank you. Now all that are not entitled to this House Chamber, will you please retire to the gallery? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman from Lake. Agreed Resolutions."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 405 by Ron...Hoffman, commends the Director of the Chicago Zoological Park at Brookfield, Peter ...brook. House Resolution 407 by Representative Porter commends and congratulates Representative Terry Leinenweber and Senator Harber Hall upon their stunning victory tennis doubles champs in the Legislature. House Resolution 408 by...by Beaupre commends Superintendent Rhue Hall of Kankakee County on his 35 years of service as County Superintendent of Schools. House Resolution 410 by Representative Deavers



welcomes the Cooperative Extension Service Rural Development Center of Tipton Georgia 1975 Corn Fact Finding Tour. House Resolution 410 welcomes the Cooperative Extension Service ...oh, that's the same one, I'm sorry. House Resolution 411 by Representative Davis commends Reverend William E. Krueger, Chaplain of the House of Representatives, for the service which he has rendered to this House and that we extend our thanks for his guidance. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it, the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Any further Resolutions?"

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 406. Birchler. House Resolution 409. Meyer. House Joint Resolution 68. Washington."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee on assignments. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendments #1 and 2 to a Bill of the following title: Senate Bill 1499 concurred in by the Senate June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House in the passage of bills of the following title, to-wit: House Bills #72, 78, 574, 611, 681, 682, 737, 779, 796, 939, 965, 973, 1432, 1533, 1831, 1938, 2193, 2832, 2892, 2964, 3021, and 3022 passed by the Senate June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representative in passage of bills of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 985 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate



as amended June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary.

A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bills of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 464 together with an Amendment passed by the Senate June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate has concurred with the House of Representative in passage of Bill with the following title, to-wit: House Bill 583 together with Amendments passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate concurred with the House of Representative and passed the Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 603 together amendment passed by the Senate as amended June... 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representative and passed the Bill of the following title to-wit: House Bill 635 together with amendment passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representative the Senate concurred with the House of Representative in passage of Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 694 together with amendment passed by the Senate June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives and passed a Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 705 together with Amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from



Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate concurred with the House of Representative and passed a Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 756 together with amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives and passed the Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 1244 together with amendment.

Passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House

of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 1328 together with amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright,

Secretary. ...read numbers. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform

the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of Bill of the following title, to-wit: House Bill 1939 together with amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975.

Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate concurred with the House of Representatives in passage of Bills of the following titles, to-wit: House Bill 2071 together with amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended June 24, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. No further messages."

Speaker Redmond: "...tive Washington, will you come up here? Would you come up here, please? Senate Bills Second Reading. I thought we'd wait until we got a little bigger House. Huh? Representative Hart,... Senate Bills Second Reading...510. Which one is he? "

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 510 has been read a second time





previously. We were on Amendment #6. Amendment #6 amends Senate Bill 510 as amended on page 2 line 6 by deleting 991,000 and inserting in lieu thereof 1,165,300 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington." Believe this is the one we took out of record because the Amendments were not available."

Washington: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "Amendment #6...Amendment #6 in a different form, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, was voted out unan-  
imously by the Appropriations II Committee yesterday and it was tabled as Amendment #4 because of some confusion in the minds of some of the Members of the Committee which I explained at some length this morning. Now, the Department of Labor has in general two main functions. One is to enforce Federal Labor statutes and the other is to represent and serve the best interests of the working people. We've already agreed to an amendment which would apply for safety inspection of the various public institutions to guarantee that the high rate of accidents suffered by public employees would be lessened by close surveillance of the various places they work in; but to serve labor...labor, particularly the UAW and also the State Federation AFL-CIO in the presence of Stanley Johnson, have supported this amendment because they realize that in order to educate, in order to have the finest...relationship with labor vis-a-vis of the State Government that there must be an ongoing program designed to inform the laboring people of this State as to what their rights are and how they can best function under State Government. This is a rather meager appropriation of \$225,000. It consists of approximately 15 employees most of whom will be functioning with labor people everyday in State Government. As I indicated before, there was no opposition to this amendment in Committee and it is only recast in this form because of the confusion it created. I



therefore move the adoption of #6...Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 510."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Any discussion? Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I stand in opposition to this amendment. And I don't want to be misleading anyone in this opposition to this amendment by saying anything but what is truly what I feel. If the Membership of this House wants to set up 15 or 20 jobs for the purposes of trying to bridge a better relationship between this Governor and the trade union movement, maybe you should vote for this amendment. But remember this, you're taxing people back home on food, clothing and medicine and on their income to provide for this political bridge. The fact remains that the Governor came before this Body and asked for everyone to cut 6% from their budgets. Now, as Senate Bill 510 came into the House personal services for the employment relationship was at a level \$657,400 for personal services. With this amendment you will now be up to the figure of \$1,165,300. Now, it's very true you could justify every penny of it for all laudable purposes but the fact remains that nobody has shown anyone in this House a usage of this money that will better serve the people of Illinois. And that's what it's all about. We're taxing people, taxing them on sales tax and on income tax to provide a political bridge by this Administration to the trade union movement. I would say that as a carpenter and as a union member and as a union official, I don't need that bridge. Nobody has to build up any relationships with me on what this Administration has done or has failed to do. I could clearly decipher where they have been good and where they have been terrible and I suggest that this kind of an amendment is a cover-up, a cover-up, for the inadequacies and the promises not kept to the trade union movement made by this Administration when they sought office three years ago. I think this amendment, Amendment #6, is just a phony



cover-up to bridge the gap between the trade union movement and this Administration. And you'll find that the trade union movement does not need, nor has asked for, nor supports this kind of an amendment to its...cover-up of this Administration and I ask for a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you...thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Well, I'm a little aghast at the...at the fact that the labor representative in this House would be opposed to having a better...the labor union movement having a better relationship with the Department of Labor. The fact is, Mr. Speaker, that all of these arguments pro and con were heard in Appropriations II Committee and notwithstanding those arguments, the Appropriations Committee II adopted this amendment with not a dissenting vote. I repeat with not a dissenting vote. And I think that the Director of the Department of Labor explained very well the need for this amendment and that is why the Committee on Appropriations II adopted it. And I would suggest very strongly that this House follow the dictates of the Appropriations Committee by adopting Amendment #6. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,...the previous is absolutely right. Amendment #6 came out of the Committee without a dissenting vote and it also came out without a positive vote because it wasn't offered in Committee. It's not a Committee amendment being offered on the floor. The merits that are outlined in this amendment are very questionable. I wonder about the...advising the workers of their rights which seem rather unnecessary to me given to the extent which many workers have access to their unions. The fiscal problems of the State makes...these expenses seem even more unnecessary and I would certainly concur with...the gentleman from McHenry, that this is absolutely unnecessary and it certainly looks to me like this could be...a branch of or a part the GORE



Program and so I would ask for a no vote on this amendment.  
Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there's some confusion about this amendment. True, this is a amendment that has been offered from the floor, but what is not been told the Members of this House, there was an amendment...a committee amendment that was tabled because of technical reasons and this amendment replaces that which was tabled. And it bears the corrections that was made, or was requested. Now one of the previous speakers purported to speak for organized labor and said that as a member of the building trade he doesn't need this Department, and that's true. I've represented the machinist's union and we hardly need that Department either because we're covered by the Federal Act and we're covered and represented by the National Labor Relations Board. But if I were a public employee, I would need that Department and I would need to be serviced because they do not come under the jurisdiction of the National Labor Relations Act nor do they come under and are they serviced by the National Labor Relations Board. This is needed legislation for our brothers and sisters who work in the public employee's union and who are not covered by federal law and who are not serviced by a federal agency. Now we have not heistated to spend money, the taxpayer's money, to promote the Department of Business and Economic Development. We have not hesitated to spend tax dollars to support a Department of Agriculture which provides this type of service to the farmer and I suggest to you that the members of organized labor where public employees and are not covered by a national act are entitled to some protection at state government and it won't bother me and it won't bother other trade unionists to pay a few cents for that service. Now I suggest to you that you would be ill-advised to accept the fact that this amendment is not supported by the AFL-CIO. They are in support of this amendment. The UAW is in support of this amendment



and all of those who are public service employees that are without protection and without service are in support of this amendment. And I urge a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you'll take a look at your digest it's rather interesting to see how this Bill went. Wasn't referred to Labor and Commerce, was referred directly to Appropriations and that ought to tell you something because if you divide out the number of these employees as 15 you'll find out that they start out with an average of \$15,000 a year from the start and that isn't bad. And I suggest to you that we ought to take a look at this and if we're going to go this way then we're in dire straits from here on out and I suggest a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Madison: "I...I resent the...Representative Ryan's remarks suggesting that I was attempting to mislead this Body. Representative Ryan knows full well that while Amendment #6 was not heard in Committee the substance of...of Amendment #6 was contained in Amendment #4 which did come out of Committee without a dissenting vote and was tabled because of technical deficiencies. And so I would just indicate to this House that the remarks that I made earlier was certainly not an attempt to misrepresent what happened in the Committee and Representative Ryan knows full well that that's the case."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes." ...tative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, just to clarify one thing this Bill is an Appropriation Bill. This is the ordinary and contingency expense for the Department of Labor therefore it was routed in its correct course to the Appropriations Committee II. Now this amendment, the substance of this amendment



was fully discussed in Appropriations Division II. I'm a bit surprised the gentleman from McHenry raising these points on this amendment at this point. Absolutely no one, no one, I reiterate, came in Appropriations Division II to testify against this amendment. No one. They raised no points there. The amendment was fully discussed. The Director was there and the amendment came out as Amendment #4 in Appropriations Division #2 without any nay vote. It was a...it was a...agreed amendment. It was between both sides of the aisle and therefore the amendment is out here now. It seems to me something is wrong when there is no opposition raised against the issue in Committee, absolutely none, the speaker that spoke against the amendment is fully cognizant of the Appropriations Committee process and did not find time to come there to speak against that amendment but find time to come here on the House floor at this point. This was enacted by the Committee; the Committee recommended a do adopt and I so recommend it to this House floor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in opposition to Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 510. I spoke to the Sponsor about this Bill. And he told me that it did solve...it was not Governor's Action Office. I spoke to the Director and the Director made a statement in Committee and it sounds like Governor Action Office. When anything sounds like Governor's Action Office I am opposed to. Anytime you're going to put 15 or 20 people on the street for political organization and I am opposed to their...taxpayer's money. And I urge a no vote on this Bill...on this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman. Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. And the question is, shall the main question be put. Those in favor indicate by saying aye. Aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. Representative Washington to close."



Washington: "To comment briefly on some of the confusion which has been spread manifest by two of the previous speakers. I'm a little amazed at anyone getting up here and saying he's voting against sounds. I thought people were voting for or against programs based on whether they were good or not good for the people of the State of Illinois. Mr. Hanahan, and I call his name not in anger because we're old friends and to call him by any other name would be somewhat misleading; Mr. Hanahan tried to imply that this labor relations bridging gap here in this amendment was designed to bring the Governor closer to the labor movement. That is absolutely and categorically untrue. What we're attempting to do here is to assuage the ills and the friction and ease the paths so that state employees can get along better with their employees...employer, the government. It's just that simple. There are many, many, many things and benefits available for the labor movement in this country which they aren't aware of. And I submit to you that any person who would sit on the floor of this House and state that he is a labor man and represents labor and who would have 50,000 or more odd state employees mainly unmindful and ignorant of their rights, I submit to that person that he's not a very good labor man or he hasn't done his job. Furthermore, I represent labor and I submit to the previous gentleman that he doesn't represent the laboring people where I come from. They have never heard of him. Never heard his name. My credentials representing labor are as good, categorically, as his and perhaps better because the people I represent exclude no one. I submit to you this is a good amendment. It is designed to help the people of this state. The budget is meager; 15 working people whose average salary will be 9,000 not 15,000 but \$9,000. Let's stop fighting myths. Let's stop fighting chivalrous and let's stop responding to sounds and deal with the substance and the substance of this is that labor supports it. The State Federation of Labor supports it. The UAW supports it. Every laboring organization



I am familiar with supports Amendment #6 and I respectfully submit this deserves your support and I ask for an aye vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 510. Those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. Unable to determine. Those in favor vote aye. Those opposed vote no. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "To clear up any smoke screen that the previous gentleman alludes to, I'd like to know what programs he's talking about with this. You know the facts remain that nobody has said what they're going to do with this extra quarter of a million dollars. Representative Taylor and myself point out that we think we know what they want to do with the money but nobody that's a proponent of this amendment has said one word about one program in betterment of the people of Illinois by this amendment. Now as far as any support by the UAW, the AFL-CIO, the Teamsters, the mine workers or anyone else, I think I'm pretty close to most of those people. It's...just a few minutes ago I was talking to an officer of the AFL-CIO; nobody mentioned to me any need of support of this. I would say that to those representatives that confuse loyalty to the Governor versus loyalty to where they came from, they should examine their conscience. And that's the problem with some labor leaders that somehow get elected that forget where they come from. That all of a sudden they have political aspirations and political loyalties instead of real loyalties to who put them here in the first place. As far as I'm concerned this is a queer smokescreen for a boondoggle to cover up the inept attitude of this Administration in its treatment of the trade union movement and its promises to the people of Illinois when they ran for Governor of this State three years ago. And all you're doing with this amendment is giving them money to cover it up. And anyone that does know prove it. All I ask you is to tell me what these 15 people intend to do with the taxpayers money for the





taxpayers. It's a cover-up for the Governor's inept attitude toward labor."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote and also to explain some remarks I've made, the text that I'm speaking from was handed to me by the lobbyist for the AFL-CIO. He gave it to me for the specific purpose of documenting these remarks and indicated first hand that he did support the amendment. And anyone who challenges that statement either is misrepresenting the truth or don't know what they're talking about. Now we can talk about GORE and we can talk about the Governor's Action Program if you want but some of those people happen to be doing the job and if they are ghost employed, representatives of the Legislature have forced the Governor into that position to provide services for the people. If you really don't want them to be ghost employees then let's give them a legitimate status. Let's give them the pay to do the job they're supposed to do and remove them from the Transportation Department and other places where you don't want them nosing around. Let's give them some legitimacy. Now they provide some service for public employees who have no one else to go to and I think we ought to put a few more green votes up there and get this money appropriated so that some of our people who are without service and without any legal status can have representation and have it legitimately. Let's put this thing above...the board and forget all about the political wrangling that we're doing against our own party and our own Governor."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. This question, 79 aye, 74 no. Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I request a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman...Representative Washington."

Washington: "I request two things, Mr. Speaker. I didn't get



a chance to explain my vote as the Sponsor. And two, I asked for a poll of the absentees at my conclusion."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, explain your vote."

Washington: "Yes. Some statements have been made in response to my statement that this amendment was supported by organized labor but it was not supported...by organized labor. I submit to you that that is true what I said. Mr. Earl H. Ray, the Director of...Legislative Assistant for the Illinois State GOP, AFL-CIO, submitted this statement to the Appropriations II Committee yesterday in support of this amendment. And they state, 'the labor relation section Department of Labor can help cut through bureaucracy of government so that services available to workers really are available to workers'. There are numerous state programs for working people; employee alcoholism assistance programs, drug abuse and abuse programs, job counseling for exoffenders, licensing of trade and businesses, public health programs, food stamp programs and many others intended to help solve their personal problems. Unions and other organizations representing working people have developed community service programs of their own choice which is staffed by union members who volunteer their time. Decatur has more than 100 such volunteers. Springfield just graduated a class of 40 counselors. Various state service programs which look good on paper do not work because there is a lack of personnel with the experience and understanding in working with labor union representatives. This poses a severe communication barrier which noticeably lessens the chances for successful implementation of their programs. The labor relation section can fill this need. The results should be beneficial to all elements of society. This section should be funded..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you arise?"

Ebbesen: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I would like to hear what the Representative is saying. The noise level is just something out of this world. Thank you very much."



Speaker Redmond: "Give the gentleman order."

Washington: "I'll conclude very briefly, Mr. Speaker. I submit to you that this is the...position of...labor. They recognize the need, they want government to help them fill that need. They feel that this amendment will do so. This amendment has absolutely nothing to do whatsoever with the relationships of Governor of this State vis-a-vis the labor movement. I think the real reason, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think the real reason for the opposition of this amendment seeped out through pores of the remarks of one of the previous speakers when he spent two-thirds of his time castigating the Governor. That's the old Whiffenpoof game, divert people, confuse them, throw out...(unintelligible), throw out venom throw out hatred, do anything to advance and stop the advancement of the working man. That's the ...sum, substance and total of the opposition to this amendment. And I now ask for a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I have only one question of the Sponsor of the amendment. Are these new job?"

Washington: "No, these are not all new jobs. Some of these are transfers within the Department. I don't know the exact figure, Mr. Lechowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Apparently I inadvertently voted yes. Vote me no, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Poll of the absentees has been requested. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Jack O'Brien: "Berman, Capuzi,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Capuzi, aye."

Jack O'Brien: "Collins, Deavers..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers vote no. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I would hope that we would...only have authorized personnel on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "All unauthorized personnel leave the floor."



Proceed with the poll of the absentees."

O'Brien: "Epton, Grotberg, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Jacobs,  
J.D. Jones, Lauer, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, McDonald,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "My question hasn't been answered, kindly record me  
as present."

O'Brien: "McAuliffe, Myers, Malloy, Peters, Rose, Shoeberlein,  
Terzich."

Speaker Redmond: "That concludes the poll of the absentees.  
Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, would you please record me as voting  
'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the gentleman as 'aye'. Representative  
Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Since there are only 13 new jobs, record me as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman as 'no'. Representative  
Kelley."

Kelley: "Please vote me 'no' instead of 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kelley vote him 'no'. There's  
been a request for a verification of the affirmative roll  
call. Kelley, Lechowicz, Ron Hoffman, Brinkmeier, 'yes'  
Brinkmeier. Proceed with the affirmative...verification  
of the roll call."

O'Brien: "Arnell, E.M. Barnes, Beaupre, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier,  
Byers, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capuzi, Catania, Chapman,  
Craig,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Craig: "Change it to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman to 'no'. Representative  
McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."



McGrew: "Change that to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change it to 'aye'. Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Calvo: "Change it to 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change it 'no'."

O'Brien: "Darrow, Davis, Downs,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McDonald, for what purpose do you rise?"

McDonald: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's the lady recorded? McDonald."

O'Brien: "The lady is listed as not voting."

McDonald: "Vote me 'no', please."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the lady 'no'. Representative Grotberg vote him 'no'."

O'Brien: "Dunn, Dyer, Ewell, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Gaines, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giorgi, Greiman, Hill, Holewinski, Jim Houlihan, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Klosak, Kosinski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, while I was convinced in committee by the declaration of the director that these people would not be used for political purposes, the opinion expressed on this floor make me less certain. I wish to change my vote to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman to 'no'."

O'Brien: "Kucharski, LaFleur, Kornowicz, Londrigan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you rise?"

Berman: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record Representative Berman as 'no'."

O'Brien: "Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Madison, Mahar, Mann, Maragos."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Until Representative Lechowicz gets the answer to his question I vote 'present'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the gentleman as 'present'."



O'Brien: "Marovitz, Matijevich, Mautino, McAvoy, McClain, McGrew, Merlo, Mudd, Mugalian, Mulcahey, O'Daniel, Palmer, Pierce, Randolph, Rayson, Sangmeister, Satterwaithe, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Stone."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I would hope that we'd have a little order and I'd hope that the membership would be in their seats because there is a verification going on and all unauthorized people be asked to leave the floor. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any unauthorized person thats on the floor please leave the floor. Give the gentleman some order here."

O'Brien: "Stubblefield, Tipsword, Van Duyn, Walsh, Washington, Willer, Williams, Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Yes, before I don't know how I'm voting on this issue, I see I'm voting green but I wonder if anyone of the individuals who are deeply involved in this can answer a question for me so I can vote intelligently on this matter. Either Representative Hanahan or Representative Stubblefield, one or the other. The question I want answered is how does the lobbyist from U. S. Steel Workers, Mr Lecia, want us to vote on this? Because that is going to determine how I vote. He wants me to vote 'aye', I vote 'no'. Change me to 'no' Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman to 'no'. Representative Washington."

Washington: "That may strike some people as being funny, I don't think so one damn bit. Now if that is a legitmate bonafide question then Mr. Yourell is entitled to an answer if not then I think his levity is entirely misplaced."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

O'Brien: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my 'yes' vote to 'present.'"



Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman from 'yes' to 'present'. Representative Schoeberlein. He indicates...how is he recorded? Schoeberlein, how is he recorded? How was Representative Schoeberlein recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Redmond: "He desires to be recorded as 'no'. Representative McCourt. Representative McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my present to 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the gentleman to 'aye'. Representative Taylor. Any questions?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, would you tell me what the count is at this moment?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count Mr. Clerk?"

O'Brien: "Uh..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's he recorded? Duff."

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Duff: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the gentleman 'aye'. What's the score? 76 'aye', 81 'no'."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, for fear that there might be another verification I think I should check record here...uh... Arnell."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Taylor: "Representative Boyle."

Speaker Redmond: "Wall."

Taylor: "Boyle."

Speaker Redmond: "Boyle, Representative Boyle is he here? Representative Lauer for what purpose do you rise?"

Lauer: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Lauer: "Vote me 'no', please."



Speaker Redmond: "Vote him 'no'. Is Representative Boyle here?"

How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Davis."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Davis, is he on the floor?"

How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Dyer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer is in her place."

Taylor: "Representative Fleck."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck, is he here? How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines. He's here."

Taylor: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Speaker Redmond: "She's here."

Taylor: "Representative Meyer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer is here. Representative Geo-Karis."

O'Brien: "The lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Geo-Karis: "Change that to no please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change it to no."

Taylor: "Representative Klosak."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak, how is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Is he here? Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative LaFleur."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative LaFleur, how is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Londrigan."





Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan, is he in his place?  
How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Marovitz. How is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Matijevich."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich. How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Randolph."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Randolph is here."

Taylor: "Representative Sangmeister."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sangmeister is here."

Taylor: "Representative Sevcik."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik. Is he here? How's he  
recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Taylor: "Representative Stone."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone is he here? How's he  
recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off. Representative Londrigan is in  
the balcony, put him back on."

Taylor: "Representative Emil Jones."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Emil Jones is in his seat."

Taylor: "Representative Katz, Harold Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. OK."

Taylor: "Representative Holewinski. Representative Luft."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Taylor: "Representative Williams."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams here? How's he recorded?"



O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him from the roll call."

Taylor: "Representative Schraeder."

Speaker Redmond: "Who?"

Taylor: "Representative Willer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer is here."

Taylor: "That concludes my..."

Speaker Redmond: "Whats the score now? 65 'ayes', 83 'nays'  
the amendment is lost. Representative Washington."

Washington: "No, I want the poll of the negatives."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman requests a verification of the negative  
roll call. He's entitled to that. He's entitled to that.

The Clerk will poll...verify the negative roll call."

O'Brien: "Anderson..."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

O'Brien: "J.M. Barnes, Beatty, Berman, Birchler, Bluthardt,  
Borchers, Brandt, Brummett."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller, for what purpose do you  
rise?"

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could you give me the negative count?"

Speaker Redmond: "81 going in."

Keller: "It's 81 and whats the...65. Thank you."

O'Brien: "Calvo..."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been corrected, it's 83 Representative Keller."

O'Brien: "Carroll, Choate, Coffey, Craig, Cunningham..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge,"

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, may I be verified, I have to go to the  
Senate?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is she given leave? Representative Younge,  
will you verify her now?"

O'Brien: "Mrs. Younge, Daniels, D'Arco, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima,  
Ralph Dunn, Ebbesen, Ewing, Farley, Farey, Friedland, Frederick,  
Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Greisheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan,  
Hart, Ron Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Hudson, Huff, Kane, Keller,  
Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Lauer, Laurino



Lechowicz, Kornowicz, Leverenz, McDonald, Madigan, McLendon, Masters, McPartlin, Miller, Nardulli, Neff, Patrick, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Reed, Richmond, Rigney, Ryan, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Simms, Skinner, Stearney, E. G. Steele, C.M. Stiehl, Taylor, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Walsh, Washburn, White, Winchester, Yourell,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones. How is the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Jones: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Vote the gentleman 'aye'. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would you please change my vote to 'yes'. I'll believe the tape in committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Change it to 'yes'? Change the gentleman to 'yes'. Any questions of the negative? Representative Washington."

Washington: "What is the count now, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "What's the count now, Mr. Clerk? 67 'ayes', 82 'nos'. Any questions?"

Washington: "J. M. Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "She's in the back."

Washington: "Bluthardt."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bluthardt. Is he here? I can't see through Mr. Duff. Is Representative Bluthardt here? How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Washington: "Brummett."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "Carroll."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Carroll in his seat? Here he is, he's not in his seat, but he's here."

Washington: "Craig."

Speaker Redmond: "Craig is here."



Washington: "Deavers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers, he's here."

Washington: "Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Ralph Dunn, is he here? Representative Ralph  
Dunn here? How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Washington: "Friedland."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "Giglio."

Speaker Redmond: "Who was that?"

Washington: "Giglio."

Speaker Redmond: "He's over here."

Washington: "R. K. Hoffman."

Speaker Redmond: "R. K. Hoffman, is he here? Yes."

Washington: "Kane."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane. How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Washington: "Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, he's up in left field up there."

Washington: "He's here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Kane is here, yea."

Washington: "Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners, is he here? How is  
he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Washington: "Laurino."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Laurino is here."

Washington: "Madigan."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan is here."

Washington: "McPartlin."

Speaker Redmond: "McPartlin, how's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."



Washington: "Miller."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Miller, how's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Washington: "Patrick."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "Huff."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "McLendon."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "Pouncey."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "White."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Washington: "Stearney."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stearney, is he here? How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Washington: "Telcser."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser, is he here? Yea, he's here."

Washington: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman, here, down in front."

Washington: "Washburn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn, is he here? He's here. Terzich is here."

Washington: "The last one is Kornowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich has asked to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Kornowicz, he's here. Kornowicz. Is that all? Any further questions? What's the score? 67 'aye', 76 'nays'. The amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"



O'Brien: "Amendment #7. Totten. Amends Senate Bill 510 as amended on page 2 by deleting lines 18 through 30."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

Amendment #7 is a corrective amendment which removes the lines as indicated by the Clerk. They are the...uh...sections of the Bill that refer to the office, Governor's office of collective bargaining. The amendment...uh...removes them from the Bill. What the reports point out to the members of the House in explaining the amendment, that the performance of the Governor's office is really...that the bargaining has really been less than desired...uh...there haven't been many employees unorganized and in fact the amendment only deals with employees only under the office of the Governor not with the other many thousand of State and public employees that are employed throughout. In addition, the Governor has asked for \$111,800 more this year...uh...with this rather sad performance. Similar amendment in the Senate was tried in a very lengthy debate and was defeated by only one vote. So I think..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "For a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Excuse me Don, would you kindly ask all the unauthorized personnel to leave the floor, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Unauthorized personnel please leave the floor."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. In addition, the Illinois State Employees Association and the AFSCME, the State's largest public employee both have publicly criticized the office because it denies employees due process. The Board serves as both an investigator, a hearing board, and appeals process which is rather a difficult situation to act very fairly. In addition, the members of the Board are entirely appointed by the Governor, their totally responsible to the Governor, and their not subject to confirmation by any branch of the



Legislature. This is a time when I think the Legislature has to act on this agency and to cut the funds out for the office of collective bargaining and I move for the adoption of amendment #7."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "I object to the removal of the adoption of amendment #7 because it would effectively delete the collective bargaining section of this Bill. Now this section passed out of the Senate after exhaustive hearings with no opposition whatsoever known to me. I gather it was more or less unanimous in the opinion that the agency or that section of the agency was doing an adequate job. The primary responsibility of the collective bargaining agent is to administer rules and primary regulations for collective bargaining as promulgated by the Department of Personnel. The determination of appropriate bargaining units and conduct elections to determine an exclusive bargaining representative and to certify that representative. Other responsibilities of the collective bargaining division after certification and doing contract negotiations are resolution of disputes regarding the negotiability of a particular contract item to act as referee when as in pass in reaching any such contract item and a re-determination of an appropriate unit of its composite changes. Now what I have spelled out are the essential ingredients of any viable collective bargaining agency. I know of no unions who are opposed to this. I know of no opposition among the people who are being serviced by it in the State government, as a matter of fact, I've never heard of any opposition to this particular phase of it until Mr. Totten's amendment #7 was introduced. I think it's necessary that we do have this kind of a procedure whereby the legitimate complaints of State employees are adequately and correctly processed through people who have not a vested interest in anything but making certain that there is harmony in State government. Now on the Governing



Board of this agency is Mr. John Cullerton, Chairman, Vice-President in charge of labor relations for Hilton Hotels Corporation and former director of the Illinois Department of Labor. Arthur Melonosky, Professor of Law, University of Chicago and member of the Blue Ribbon American Arbitration Association and lastly, Mr. Milton Durber, Professor, University of Illinois, Institute of Labor Relations. Now no one by any stretch of the imagination would imply that these people are tools of any Governor. These men are steeped in the whole concept and problems of labor relations. They were appointed to make certain that the mandate imposed in that executive order were carried out. There have been no objections raised to this agency that I know of, I ask that amendment #7 to Senate Bill 510 be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "So that's there no confusion with some people that question some times my feelings about things I have to do and things I don't have to do, I've reviewed this request in this amendment and I'm going to oppose the amendment and I'm going to oppose it for some reasons I think everyone should be aware of. First of all, there is collective bargaining whether we pass the law or not in the public sector in the State of Illinois. There is collective bargaining because some State employees have decided to belong to various trade unions of their own will. Somebody has to administer the grievance procedures under the executive order of collective bargaining, executive order of the Governor. Whether I like the executive order or not is not what is important to this Legislature. What is important is whether or not this agency with a major amount of money could operate to the best interest of its employees and the citizens of Illinois. Now while I oppose the former amendment on good sound judgement I support this one on the same good sound judgement and I ask those may have thought that we should support this to reconsider it because if we don't allow





some money and this isn't a heck of a lot of money this is \$286,000 to go for that office what we're truly doing is upsetting the needed, and I preface that, the needed labor relations machinery that we must have in the Department of Labor in order for it to operate. Now, I don't feel and unless somebody can show me differently I don't feel that there's any of this money that could be utilized for political purposes. I think this money would be a money well spent towards obtaining good labor relations with our employees in the State and this State government and this State Legislature in the needs and aspirations of the working men and women that work for State of Illinois. Now I...I... I am going to oppose the amendment based on the fact that I have been assured and I hope this Legislature is reassured time and again by...by experience that this money will not be utilized in any other way except to obtain good labor relations with our own State employees and I oppose the amendment at this time."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I stand in opposition to amendment #7 and I concur wholeheartedly with the last speaker's remarks that the modest amount of dollars, \$286,000, for a very important field. I would hope that this House would defeat amendment #7, vote 'no'. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."

Washington: "I concur in the remarks of the last two speakers and I particularly concur in the remarks of the distinguished gentleman from McHenry County, Mr. Hanahan. It just shows that he isn't always wrong, he's right on this issue. I ask you to defeat this amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of amendment #7 to Senate Bill 510. Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 14 'ayes', Representative Borchers 'no', on this question there are 14 'ayes', 98 'no'. The amendment is lost. Representative Gaines 'no'. Any



further amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. I'd just like to advise the Membership that the Clerk advised me that that was non-controversial, that's why we took it. Committee reports."

O'Brien: "Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations I to which Senate Bills 683, 1498 were referred reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation that the amendments be adopted and the Bills do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "1947. House Bill Second Reading. What amendments were we on?"

O'Brien: "Next amendment will be #16."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendment #16."

O'Brien: "Amendment #16. Deuster. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 14 by inserting at the end of Section 26, the following and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Deuster. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to have a ruling from the chair as far as the substance amount of this amendment. Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Yes, Mr. Lechowicz..."

Lechowicz: "I'd like to have a ruling from the chair."

Giorgi: "Alright, let him continue with his disertation."

Deuster: "Yes, I would like to suggest that this amendment is germane...uh...the speaker in ruling on a prior amendment..."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Deuster. Hold it for a moment."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm not questioning the germaneness of the amendment I'm asking if it's substance legislation."

Giorgi: "On amendment #16, I know what the ruling was and I think that..."

Lechowicz: "Well, I wish you'd abide by it."

Giorgi: "It's a limit of conditions and therefore it's within the section..."

Lechowicz: "No, I'm sorry. I think if you check with the former



Speaker..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz, my parliamentarian..."

Lechowicz: "But listen to your parliamentarian..."

Giorgi: "Number 13 went beyond the subject, call for referendum,  
this is more confining. This fits the structure and the..."

Lechowicz: "According to the ruling of the Chair, #16 is in order?"

Giorgi: "Yes, it is."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Mr. Deuster, continue."

Deuster: "Well, first I'd like to thank the chair for a very fair  
ruling...uh...amendment #16 provides that none of the funds  
appropriated in this section which relates to Regional  
Transportation Authority may be..."

Giorgi: "Excuse me Mr. Deuster. Mr. Lechowicz, again, on a point  
of order."

Lechowicz: "You better have your parliamentarian stand right  
near close to you because according to my interpretation  
of the amendment this is a limit as far as the salary..."

Deuster: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker, the gentleman does not  
concur with the ruling, he can appeal the ruling of the  
chair."

Giorgi: "Point is well-taken Mr. Deuster. Go on Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Starting again, amendment #16 provides that none of  
the funds appropriated in the section may be paid to the  
regional transportation authority if the salary of its  
chairman exceeds \$72,500 per year. I think everyone is  
familiar with the fact that ...uh...the taxpayers are looking  
to us in the General Assembly and the taxpayers are concerned  
about our wild and reckless spending, it is true that the  
Regional Transportation Authority had established a salary  
for their chairman of \$82,500 a year but the chairman very  
judicially recognizing the overwhelming violent public reaction  
against that excessive salary voluntarily accepted a reduction  
so the salary now is exactly \$72,500 a year. This amendment  
will provide no hardship for anybody. It is consistent with



our general policy setting salary ceilings for individual positions and you'll find in House Bill 1947 many other salary positions for which a salary...positions in government for which a salary is suggested. I think all of us would like to go home and tell our people that we have put a ceiling in a place where presently the sky is the limit. And I would urge that this is a reasonable amendment and in no way does it hamstring or limit operations and functions of the Regional Transportation Authority. I urge your support of amendment #16."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House. I'd like to speak against amendment #16 and I would hope that the membership would listen very carefully what the amendment pretends to do. None of the funds appropriated in this section may be paid to the Regional Transportation Authority if the salary of the chairman exceeds the amount that he is being paid now. Now, I don't have the control and neither does any member of this House floor have the control as far as the setting of the salary of the chairman. That's up to the Board. But what your doing here, is your stating that that salary cannot be adjusted for any reason at all. Now if you were probably the most qualified person in the United States whose heading the RTA Board now never to receive a salary adjustment then you'll vote on amendment #16 and in its favor. But I for one think that person can be paid what he's entitled to and in turn in this section if there's any slight salary adjustment that person, the RTA won't receive any funds. I think this an atrocious amendment and should be defeated."

Giorgi: "The Majority Leader, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know if anybody raised the point with you yet but as I read the amendment you are putting something in an appropriation bill with this amendment other than an appropriation item I'm not questioning the germaness of the



amendment, sir, sir all I am raising with you is whether or not in an appropriation an attempt to amend an appropriation ordinance your putting substantive language."

Giorgi: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Haven't you ruled on that issue?"

Giorgi: "Yes sir Mr. Skinner, the subject is to speak to the amendment."

Skinner: "Maybe you can tell them to speak..."

Shea: "Wait, Mr. Speaker as I heard...as I heard...as I heard the ruling you rules with regards to the germaneness of the amendment."

Giorgi: "Correct."

Shea: "I am not raising that point with you, sir. I raise with you the point if whether in an appropriation you are putting language which limits or puts substance in a bill. Now, sir, I go back to what the Speaker rules on other amendments when he was in the chair that appropriations are limited to the subject of appropriation and they can contain more than one item but bills of appropriation cannot contain within them substantive matters and I raise that issue to you sir."

Giorgi: "I'll take it under advisement. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

The reason I am speaking for this amendment, among many reasons, is that if you will look at the Illinois Department of Transportation letter to all the members of the General Assembly dated June 23, 1975, it says that the DOT position on this amendment is, quote 'The Department takes no position on this Bill.' Therefore, I'm sure DOT doesn't mind, furthermore, I come from one of the six counties that had the RTA..."

Giorgi: "Mrs. Geo-Karis..."

Geo-Karis: "and I'm speaking in support of the amendment and its high time that we are listened to as well as the proponents of RTA. We're not hurting Mr. Pucharski, let him establish himself first. He hasn't established himself yet, at least



for one year. So gentlemen yell all you like..."

Giorgi: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a very simple little amendment and I can't understand why you people are so upset..."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Lechowicz, I don't find Mr. Hill abrogating any points of order. State your point. I've taken under advisement your earlier point of order, so we'll continue on for a couple of minutes. Representative Hill, do you want to continue."

Hill: "I'd be happy to continue."

Giorgi: "Let's state the points by number because we have more than one point of order."

Hill: "I'd appreciate if the democratic colleagues of mine would just give me a couple of minutes..."

Giorgi: "Representative, I have to recognize...Mr. Hill, excuse me, I have to recognize my Majority Leader, Mr. Shea. Just a moment. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "All I'm asking is a ruling and until you rule I ask the House to stand at ease."

Giorgi: "I persist in my earlier ruling."

Shea: "I'm sorry, I didn't understand your ruling, sir."

Giorgi: "My ruling earlier was germane."

*germaneness* Shea: "I'm not raising the point of germaneness, sir, I'm asking you if in an appropriation bill that you can put a limitation or substantive language, are you ruling that you can do that sir?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Shea, in my experience in the General Assembly, as a member of the Appropriation Committee that amendment is in order."

Shea: "Sir, no sir, I'm asking you a question."

Giorgi: "That amendment has to be worded like it is."

Shea: "Sir, I'm asking you a question and I will stand by your ruling, I'm asking you if in an appropriation bill you can add substantive language."



Giorgi: "It isn't substantive language, it's just the language needed to explain the amendment. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I tried to point this out to you before."

Giorgi: "Excuse me Mr. Lechowicz. Mr. Hill insists that his point of order has precedent over yours. Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you made a ruling and if the Majority Leader insists then he'll just have to make a motion to try to overrule your ruling. Now, why go on with this thing you made your ruling, I think it's my turn to talk on the amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hill. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Now, Mr. Speaker, on the interpretation and I tried to bring this out as far as not checking the substance of the bill but I wanted a direct ruling from the chair because the RTA director, his salary is assigned..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz, it seems like..."

Lechowicz: "Would you kindly listen for a second?"

Giorgi: "Yes, the Minority Whip seems to have a more precedent point of order."

Lechowicz: "I don't think so."

Giorgi: "Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, the gentleman is arguing with the chair if he has a motion he should move to overrule, if not rule him out of order. Dilatory tactics on the part of the Chairman of the Appropriation Committee."

Giorgi: "Let's get some order in the General Assembly. Mr. Lechowicz continue your point."

Lechowicz: "My point, Mr. Speaker, and I'll be very brief, that the RTA Director's salary assigned by the Board is in a completely different act and I don't believe that this amendment at this time because of that reason is in order."

Giorgi: "Representative Farley. I want to see what Mr. Farley has to say about enlightning us."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, on amendment #14, the chairs ruling was



in accordance with what Representative Shea and I call for a transcript on amendment #14."

Giorgi: "Just a moment. Mr. Deuster."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not finished."

Giorgi: "Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Deuster, wait until Mr. Farley finishes. Mr. Farley continue."

Farley: "I would say that your ruling and I don't want to overrule the chair but that your ruling is not consistent with the ruling of the former Speaker on amendment #14 and I would call for a transcript."

Giorgi: "I wasn't on the floor when #14, when there was a ruling on amendment #14."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I call for a transcript."

Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I'd like to know what happened to my point of order. How about a ruling?"

Giorgi: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I submit that on my point of order, that the prior two gentlemen are out of order because amendment #14 is not amendment #16 and a ruling on that prior amendment may not apply here even if the Majority Leader says that this amendment is germane. I don't know what we're arguing about. Let's take a vote."

Giorgi: "Do you want to stand at ease until we look at the tape? I won't change the ruling otherwise. In as much as Mr. Farley raised that we should look at the tapes, we'll take five minutes to look at the tape and that's the only way I'll change the ruling otherwise....Mr. Lechowicz, I will not change the ruling otherwise. Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. There's people taking pictures in here and I don't see the red light on and I wish the Speaker would instruct those folks who are taking pictures that they can't do so in the House unless the lights are on."

Giorgi: "One of the House rules is that the red light must be





on above us before you can take pictures from the gallery. Would you please...uh...Representative Hoffman, Gene Hoffman. Representative Hoffman is the one taking the pictures they tell me. Representative McMasters."

McMasters: "Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that you bring some semblance of order to this House or else adjourn until tomorrow. This is getting crazy."

Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, Mr. James Houlihan. Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a very simple little amendment. It seems to me that you people could at least give on something like this. Give us a little confidence in the collar county to prove to us that those people who are operating RTA are going to do it properly. Now, why should you complain about \$72,500 for a salary for an individual. It seems to me that that salary is high enough. In fact, the original salary was so embarrassing that the chairman himself said he would be happy with a salary of this size. Now you want to take the limit and consequently after it's in for a little while and maybe put in a gasoline tax and few other taxes it might go up to 80 or 100,000 dollars. Well the people in the collar counties are very discourages, very disgusted if you don't believe me, you call Mr. Pucharski and ask him what he found out in those meetings in those collar counties. Now this is just token, fellows, just token and it seems to me, for once, you can give just a little and it seems to me that you people from downstate, those on the Republican side and the Democratic side, could vote for a real simple amendment like this. You know we got it jarred to us pretty good in the last session of the General Assembly. We're stuck with this thing and now those railroads up there are going to get your dollars that the people in your area paid so hard to earn for those private railroads so those stockholders can put that money in their pockets. So it seems to me for once...it seems to



Giorgi: "...He's out of order...Mr...just a moment. Mr. Hill.

Hill: "You could go for an amendment that...."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hill."

Hill: "...would benefit the people in these...."

Giorgi: "Mr. Farley, for what reason do you arise?"

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as we go on through the night it seems as if we have several different speakers in the Chair. Now I would hope that the rulings from the Chair would be consistent. Therefore, I persist in my request for transcript of amendment and ruling on Amendment #4 to House...or, yes, to House Bill 1947. I don't think that we can continue on this Bill until we have a positive ruling and a consistent ruling from the Chair as a Speaker of this House and this Assembly and therefore I would persist in my request <sup>for</sup> the transcript so we can clarify the rulings on these amendments."

Giorgi: "The...request for a...transcript, they tell me isn't timely, they can't stop it and go back and read it. We don't want to quit this evening so I'm going to have to deny you your request, Mr. Farley. Mr. Deuster to close. Deuster to close."

Lechowicz: "Mr...Mr...Speaker..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Deuster to close. There's a..."

Lechowicz: "...Point of order. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask for a positive vote on this, but I would like to simply remind you that the...that the salary level here is way, way above..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz on a point of order, state your point. Turn Mr. Deuster off. Mr. Lechowicz on a point of order."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I ask you to rule as far as the ruling of...the RTA Board sets the salary."

Giorgi: "Let the man continue..."

Lechowicz: "...And...that's a different statute. And this one is completely different statute. I hope you'd rule on it."



Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz...Mr. Lechowicz, you seem to have detractors and I can't hear your point of order."

Lechowicz: "Well, why don't you get a little order in this House?"

Giorgi: "Everybody is here on their own."

Lechowicz: "My question is, the RTA Director sets the salary for the different statutes..."

Giorgi: "The RTA Board you mean."

Lechowicz: "That's right."

Giorgi: "...Matijevich, for what reason do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "I want you to make a ruling on this..."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,

I may be out of order but I'm going to move that we recess for 10 minutes if for no other reason than to...

well, all right, I've got a right to make a motion and

if you could beat it down, I don't care. But if for no

other reason, to clear some of the order. I don't know

how anybody can understand what's going on, I think it's

a mockery and we're all contributing to it and I think

this 10 minutes might be able to clear everybody's head.

I...I do make that motion."

Giorgi: "The...the gentleman has moved to recess. All in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed, nay. The nays have it and we will not recess. Now fellas, I know that. Let's have a roll call on that motion to recess. All in favor of recess vote aye and those opposed vote no. Ten minute recess; motion on ten minute recess. All in favor vote aye and those opposed vote no. ...Take the record. 27 ayes, 112 nays; there will be no recess. The question is on adoption of Amendment #16 to House Bill 1937. All in favor of the amendment will signify by voting aye and those opposed by voting no. You'll have your point of order when we vote. All those in favor...Mr. Garmisa on a point of order."

Garmisa: "Now, Mr. Speaker, let's try and do this rationally."



I heard no motion to close debate. There was no motion I...there was no previous question raised and you shut off debate on your own, I was...I was waiting to talk on this matter; debate was not shut off and you're now asking for a roll call. After you would ask for a closing he didn't even finish closing..."

Giorgi: "That's right."

Garmisa: "I want to talk on this matter and you did close debate."

Giorgi: "Continue. Make your point. Continue talking, go ahead. ...Chair, fellas. Go ahead, Garmisa. Garmisa, you going to make a statement."

Garmisa: "Before I talk, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a little order in the House."

Giorgi: "The gentleman asked for order. I know that we are all in here independent of each other, but give him some order."

Garmisa: "I want to say this, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the RTA has been in existence just a few months. Every time we've been in Session since it has been enacted into law, the anti-RTA legislators keep rearing their head and taking potshots at the RTA before they've even been established or have made a move to try and clear up the tangent mess that now exists in the northern counties of Illinois today; and this type of legislation, Mr. Speaker, is bad legislation, it's uncalled for. If we're going to knock the RTA out of the box, let's come in with a repealer at a future Session. There's no such thing on...before us right now. This is a bad amendment and every thinking Legislator should vote against this amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Shea on the amendment. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Could I have some order please, sir?"

Giorgi: "Let's give the gentleman some order."

Shea: "I assume we're voting on the amendment, is that correct sir?"



Giorgi: "That is correct, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "All right, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, we're now voting on a billion dollar road program for the State of Illinois and perhaps...and Mr. Skinner, perhaps you think this is the right way to approach the program and perhaps some of my colleagues feel that this is the way to do it. And I would ask you that some of those green lights that I see over there and perhaps some of these red lights that we just vote those members that are on the floor. But I will ask you this, gentlemen, it's now almost ten o'clock; if this Bill isn't out of here by midnight, could be in very bad jeopardy. Well, gentlemen, just give me your eyes in all we've tried to do this, I think, fairly rational up to this time and all I ask you to do is think about what you're doing with this amendment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, now isn't that strange. All of a sudden we have to be careful what we're doing with the DOT's budget, the hour is late and everything else. I want to tell you the hour was damn late when we passed RTA down here and it's going to be late tonight because this amendment should go on. I want to tell you downstaters, you're paying for this man's salary from Cairo all the way to Chicago. That's where the funds are coming from, you better start thinking about it. We got sold out with the downstaters last time around; it's time you get the hell on it and do something about this RTA and let's get on the board and vote aye."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record, Mr. Clerk. Take the record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 68 ayes, 78 nays, 8 voting present. This amendment is lost. Amendment 17."

Selcke: "Amendment 17. Tipsword. Amend House Bill 1947 as amended in Section 14 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Mr. Tipsword on Amendment 17."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment 17 is



a very simple amendment. It is just a one word amendment to one line. In the part of this Bill that relates to the passenger train service that is provided by the Department of Transportation. It relates to that line that we spoke of earlier on another amendment as it was presented. That part of the itemization of trains being the one Chicago, Kankakee, Champaign, Decatur which as you recall this is the third year it has been a part of this budget. All of this word "inclusive" does is to just absolutely insure and that the Department of Transportation is telling us the truth when it tells us it supports the full implementation of this train because it has put part of it on for the last two years but hasn't put it all on. And it merely just now says Chicago, Kankakee, Champaign, Decatur, inclusive. And I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #17."

Giorgi: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I...I'd like to support the words of Representative Tipsword. I just like to point out that the Department...the Amtrack has been operating successfully to Champaign. All we're asking is to go from Champaign to Decatur. It would jeopardize in no way any program or any of the effects of the present Amtrack operation of the train. So it's a simple thing to ask you to help us on this matter. Now for...for two years they have...they have given us each, as I recollect, \$400,000 each year for this purpose. All it does is fall back, except maybe a few dollars, into the hands of the...Transportation...Department of Transportation. For this time, for heaven sake's, let us have the use of this \$400,000 and to get something out of it. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly, Decatur is...is at the end of the Amtrack line, just like my county is at the end of the RTA line. Just like Representative Sangmeister's district is at the end of the line and just like Representative Hill's district is at the end



of the line. All he is asking for is that the train, Amtrack in this case, get to Decatur. Now Representative Tipsword is not one of the downstaters that sold out his constituents in RTA. He voted against RTA. For that reason, I think we in the six county area..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner. Turn Mr. Skinner off... Mr. Lechowicz, for what reason do you arise? What's your point?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't appreciate those remarks about anybody being sold out. That's not true. I hope everybody voted their conviction and I would hope that that man would take those words back. There is nobody on this floor that sold out for anything."

Giorgi: "Mr. Skinner, would you please confine your remarks to the amendment?"

Skinner: "During the first year of RTA's existence, 30% of the taxes came from the Chicago and 84% of the money went to the CTA. Now the downstaters that voted for RTA didn't sell out their own district, who did they sell out?"

Giorgi: "Mr. McClain, on Amendment 17."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I personally support this amendment very much and I'd like to ask everybody to vote aye."

Giorgi: "Okay. Any further discussion? The question is the adoption of Amendment 17. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "Indicates he will."

Tipsword: "I will."

Shea: "I'm looking at Amendment #5, Representative Tipsword, and the way I read the lines in here, it said for continuous service between Chicago, Kankakee, Champaign and Decatur."

Tipsword: "...Yes, sir."

Shea: "Now, can you tell me why you need the word inclusive?"  
And I want to start off with this that I want the service in Decatur."

Tipsword: "Thank you, I appreciate that very much, and I also



appreciate the remarks made here that I think are all very sincere. But this, we hope, stands on its own. The reason, sir, is that this is the third year. First year it was put in by amendment on the floor of the House. Last year this same item was put in by the Department of Transportation. It is again in with the approval of the Department of Transportation; the trouble is they've never put the train on. They put the train on only part way instead of running it all the way and that's why we need to have the word inclusive."

Shea: "Well, can I ask you a question?"

Tipsword: "Certainly."

Shea: "You...you start from Chicago, you go down through Kankakee, I would assume you'd go next to Decatur then to Champaign."

Tipsword: "No, sir. You go from Chicago to Kankakee to Champaign and then to Decatur."

Shea: "Where does it go then from Decatur?"

Tipsword: "It goes the Illinois Central Gulf down to the Norfolk and Western and...south of Champaign and across on the Norfolk and Western to Decatur, sir."

Shea: "In...in other words..."

Tipsword: "Decatur is southwest of Champaign."

Shea: "All right. Do you feel that this amendment will do what you want or can we ask Representative McClain to speak on...half of the Department and see what they say?"

Tipsword: "I'm not sure...well, I would certainly like to give it a try because they've told me for three years they're going to put the train on in accordance with the direction of the General Assembly but to this day they have not...done so and now for the first time they'd like to study it to death. This is the first year I've heard of that so, there's been a lot of lip service but no action."

Shea: "Well, I want to support the amendment but I'd like a commitment from the Sponsor of the Bill that it's going to get you the service."





Tipsword: "Thank you, sir."

Giorgi: "Mr. McClain, on the question. On the amendment."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I appreciate Representative Shea's remarks very much. I wish I could insure a hundred percent that they would receive the service. I promised Representative Tipsword and he...he and I have talked daily, Representative Dunn, Representative Borchers, that they're making every effort to have full service. The only problem with the word inclusive is some kind of legal battle...later on in the year if service is not started within the fiscal year but as you so well know, Jerry, a hundred percent commitment can't be made because of the technical negotiation problem. I...I would assure Representative Tipsword as Representative Choate did, I'm sure, a year ago, that if every effort and every intention of the Sponsor and I'm sure the Department that full service will be given."

Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Giorgi: "Anyone...the previous question has been moved. All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed nay. Mr. Tipsword to close."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I...I thank everybody that's debated on this issue. We just simply feel that that this is about the last alternative that we have because we've had a lot of good intentions given us but no action and so we ...all of those of us who represent the general area would appreciate very much if you would adopt this amendment to 1947."

Giorgi: "The question is the adoption of Amendment #17 to House Bill 1947. All in favor signify by saying aye. Those opposed nay. The ayes have it and the Amendment's adopted."

Selcke: "Amendment #18...John Dunn. Amend House Bill 1947 by inserting after Section 27 the following and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."



Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Those of you who were listening to the previous amendment are now aware that we do not have passenger rail service in the City of Decatur. We are not on the interstate, we do have some two lane highways and we have some paved streets in our community. One of those paved streets is badly in need of widening to four lanes and that is State Route Illinois 48 in the City of Decatur, Illinois from Pershing Road to Cushing Street. This is a top priority project for the City of Decatur and has been for a number of years and I urge your favorable support to adopt this amendment to include the widening and reconstruction of this surface, this street, from two to four lanes in the City of Decatur in the fiscal 1976 year budget for the Department of Transportation."

Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment 18 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor...the gentlemen from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, on the amendment."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is similar to an amendment that was offered previously and which was defeated and rightfully so. We have in this amendment an appropriation for specific local improvement. As I suggested previously, if each of us here in the House 177 of us, were to select a favorite road and that favorite town within our district we would emasculate this budget. We would destroy a statewide program for road improvement and we would be here far beyond June 30 of 1975. I suggest that amendment is out of order as the one previously and urge it's defeat."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jimmy Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor of the main question being put say aye. Opposed say no. The opinion of the Chair, the ayes have it. Mr. Dunn wish to close?"

Dunn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House, first of all to the best of my knowledge this amendment, certainly, is not out of order. I believe it's a proper amendment. It's an amendment placed before this House in all seriousness. We in Decatur, Illinois come to this General Assembly to do our part; to pay our share of the taxes and we only ask that we receive our fair share of the tax dollars back home. This is a badly needed improvement in our community. It's a long standing need. It's a need that our citizens deserve to be fulfilled and I certainly request and urge a favorable vote on this amendment. Thank you."

Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #18 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor will signify by voting aye and those opposed by voting no. And the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I speak in favor of Amendment #18. Let me tell you why. ...On Amendment #2 we put in \$43,000,000 additional within the road program and Amendment #15 was defeated. Now... Amendment #2, the \$43,000,000 was a commitment through this General Assembly and in turn the commitment has been made in that...in that area of the State that these roads will be put together and repaired. And if there's a commitment made I'm a firm believer in commitments being followed through and I vote aye."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, to explain his vote."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, if you would just take a look at that amendment...you will see it's on Route 48, a State Route 48. This is a state route. And it goes to a state route and I think that is justification alone for voting these funds. I might have another reason. I see that...my seatmate Representative Cunningham is voting no upon this point. For heaven's sakes, we've never...never once have I asked you for a road, and you well know it. You hear all the time about the roads in southern Illinois. And I



you ought to give us a vote because we've kept our mouth shut."

Bradley: "...Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 83 ayes, 30 nays, 5 voting present. And the amendment is adopted. Further amendment."

Selcke: "Amendment #19. Ewell, et.al. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended on page 12 and so forth."

Bradley: "For what purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, arise, sir?"

Madison: "On a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "State your point, sir."

Madison: "Now I know that there's probably some members on both sides of the aisle who are not exactly pleased with my being in this Assembly but I resent the fact, Mr. Speaker, that they would let me know by taking my damn chair."

Bradley: "Well, I think that that indicates that all members better stay in their chairs. And somebody please return his chair to him. Representative Ewell on Amendment #19."

Ewell: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #19 is a very simple and elementary attempt by the people who live within the City of Chicago to get what might be classified as not a fair share, but just some share of the road building program. I suggest to you that we in the City of Chicago have been constantly neglected, constantly denied, practically any share...program..."

Bradley: "Mr. Ewell...pardon me, I'd like to be able to hear what you're saying, sir, and I think all the members would. Could we please give Mr. Ewell some order? Proceed, sir."

Ewell: "...I do not wish to dwell on the fact that there's some \$791,000...a million dollars..."

Bradley: "Mr. Ewell, just a minute. Mr. Lechowicz on a point."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd pose a question to the Chair whether Amendment 19 imposes substantive language in the Bill?"



...Million dollars does this...wishes for the City of Chicago?"

Bradley: "Opinion of the Chair the amendment is in order and if you'll proceed, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "All right. Mr. Speaker, very briefly, what we find is there are \$791,000,000 budget. I have here...I have here before me..."

Bradley: "Mr. Ewell..."

Ewell: "...One of the breakdowns..."

Bradley: "Would you wait just a minute, Mr. Ewell? Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Point of edification. Why in the world do you rule that thing in order when it's substantive language? I'd like to know why. "

Bradley: "It talked about the appropriation of certain amounts of money in the language and that's why we're ruling it in order, sir. Mr. Ewell, proceed. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, again I go back and ask with regards to substantive language in an appropriation. An appropriation calls for the expenditure of dollar amounts of money. Could I go through this with you, sir, and I will not..."

Bradley: "Proceed. And would you make...would you make the point, sir, in the amendment. You have it in your hand where it is substantive in...in the matter."

Shea: "Yes, sir, I'm going to make the point. What I'm saying is you are limiting the appropriation to 10% of the appropriation for these purposes, allocation for the construction, reconstruction, extension and improvement of arterial streets within 500 feet of the center line of any state maintained highway and municipalities having a population of over 500,000. What you are attempting to do, sir, by the additional language is tell people how and what can be done with the appropriations, sir, and it's not just the allocation of money. And I raise the point not of germaneness



but whether or not, sir, the language is of such substantive nature that it goes outside an appropriation bill and gets into the fact of whether it's substantive or not in nature."

Bradley: "Sir, if I understand you correctly, you're saying that they're allocating money in the appropriation bill, is that your point, sir, and not appropriating money..."

Shea: "No, sir. They're telling you how to use the appropriated amount for construction, reconstruction and wherein it can be spent and in which county it can be spent."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, it's apparent to me...or it's apparent at this time and I've not like to monopolize the time of the House, it's apparent as to this particular amendment that it's not going anywhere at this time. I'll just take Amendment #19 and 20 out of the record and let the House get on with the business."

Bradley: "Does the gentleman have leave? Leave is granted. Amendment 21. Mr. Ewell, we're going...you want to table those two amendments, sir? Table the two amendments. Proceed with Amendment 21."

Selcke: "Amendment 21. Who the hell is that? Amendment 21. Brinkmeier. Amend the House Bill 1947 by inserting at the end of Section 16 the following and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment 21 would appropriate \$1,800,000 for 12 miles of resurfacing of state roads in the 35th Legislative District. Now the reason for this amendment is very simple. If you take the total amount that has been appropriated dividing it by 59 you come to something like \$13,400,000. Now that should be an equal share for each Legislative District. For the third time in a row, third consecutive year, the 35th is getting somewhere within 50 to 60% of our fair share. This Legislative District is over 100 miles in



length and about 40 in width and very obviously there are many two lane roads that need some help. I know it's special interest but I just would want to point this one thing out. This plus two amendments to follow will come to a total of less than \$6,000,000 which would still leave us short of our fair share. All I'm asking is the help of the House so that we get not an excess of amount but only what we are entitled to and I would urge a green vote."

Bradley: "The Minority Whip from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this amendment. Carroll County was one of the only counties in the state that did not have anything in the road program. And I can't understand how any Legislative District that has two Democrat representatives and they don't get any money for one county how this could be. And for this reason, I'm going to vote yes."

Bradley: "The gentleman wish to close, if not... On the question of Amendment 21 the question is shall Amendment 21 be adopted. All those in favor will say aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendment."

Selcke: "Amendment #22. Rigney. Amend House Bill 1947 as amended on page 12 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suppose you're going to look at Amendment #22 and say that this is a poor type of amendment. Well, maybe it is but keep one thing in mind, we're talking about a county here that not only didn't get any pork, we didn't even get the tail off the pig. I'm talking about Carroll County specifically and that's what's covered in this amendment. We did not get one dime for a load of concrete for any asphalt, a load of gravel, we didn't fix up a cow-path. Absolutely not one penny in this budget went for Carroll County. Now I think it's high time that we do something about this. Keep in mind that this is the



third year in a row that this county did not get any resurfacing money. I don't see how we can possibly call this justice and I think it's only fair that we adopt Amendment #22."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the members of the General Assembly would kindly look at the amendment. That all he's asking for \$2,400,000 for a little tail. Well, in my opinion it's a pretty expensive tail. Now I...I'm sorry I don't know the population of Carroll County. I don't know the...16,000 people in Carroll County. I know we have 33,000 registered voters in my ward but unfortunately we don't have this type of expenditure in the City of Chicago and in turn we have 16,000 people in Carroll County and an expenditure of \$2,400,000. Now I want to know the equity is involved. I don't know what...the road usage is but in turn I think if you take a look at the road usage and the number of people on those roads, you take into consideration these number of people that work and travel and commute daily within the metropolitan area, I think we need some of this money in other parts of the state. And I would ask for a no vote."

Bradley: "Question is on the adoption of Amendment...the gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners. The question is on the adoption of Amendment #22 on House Bill 1947. All those in favor will signify by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it and the amendment's..."

Selcke: "...Amendment #23. Skinner. Amend House Bill 1947 as amended on page 12 line 3 and so forth."

Bradley: "Mr. Skinner on Amendment #23."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, before we start tacking on all these local projects I was of the opinion we wouldn't need any bond money, but it's quite obvious..."

Bradley: "Could we give the gentleman some order, please?"

Skinner: "It's quite obvious that some bond money is going to be required now because in the three pork, and I think





that's p-o-r-k, not p-o-r-t this time, barrel bills were up over \$6,000,000 extra. Now let me run you through the Department of Transportation's tax flow, which really isn't a cash flow, it's a cash projection chart that they have given to the Appropriations Committees. They estimate they will have \$148,000,000 in the till on July 1st 1976; \$148,000,000 if they sell \$200,000,000 worth of bonds. Well, I would suggest that having \$48,000,000 is enough. Of course, we won't really have \$48,000,000 in the till because House Bill 1539 will take another \$8,000,000... that will bring it down to \$40,000,000.

Bradley: "Mr. Skinner, pardon me...pardon me sir, the gentleman from Cook, J. Houlihan, what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, it appears to me that Amendment #23 is in conflict with previous amendments adopted and therefore it's technically incorrect and I'd like a ruling from the Chair."

Bradley: "Which amendment are referring to, sir, there... been kind of...not consistent with..."

Houlihan: "Oh, the reference to Section 25 which it refers to has been deleted."

Bradley: "By what amendment, sir?"

Houlihan: "By Amendment #11, amendment by Representative Shea."

Bradley: "What's the Amendment #11? Think it's correct, sir, and the amendment is out of order. Amendment #11 took that section out. It's not in order, sir, and you've got time to get one in order, I think, before we proceed and before we get done. I'm not trying to cut the gentleman off but I...certainly don't intend to do that. Could you have another one drafted, sir? And table this amendment. It's not in proper order. It's tabled. Further amendments."

Selcke: "Amendment #24. Sangmeister. Amend House Bill 1947 by inserting after Section 27 the following Section 28 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman...the gentleman from Will, Mr."



Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Ah, Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #24 certainly does a few things for Will County. We are a little disturbed that we're not getting the public transportation we'd like to have so we <sup>would</sup> like to resurface a few of our roads. I can assure you that the three roads that are in this particular appropriation, or this amendment, have been discussed with the district highway engineer from that area and he has assured me that he is in complete agreement that every single one of these areas need improvement and, therefore, I'm assured that he would not be opposed to it. I don't think that the Department wants to see these kind of amendments being put on at this point but also I don't think they're taking any position against it. So as you have given Mr. Rigney a part of the tail, I hope you give Will County a little bit too, and I ask for a favorable aye vote."

Bradley: "Discussion. If none, the question is shall Amendment #24 be adopted. All those in favor will say aye. Opposed will say no. The ayes have it. The Amendment's adopted. Further amendments."

Selcke: "Amendment #25. Mulcahey. Amends House Bill 1947 by inserting after Section 27 the following."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #25 to House Bill 1947 appropriates \$3,600,000."

Bradley: "Pardon me, sir, I'm afraid your amendment after just adopting the last amendment that this amendment is not in the proper form and...you would get a...of 24 possibly 25 written the way you want it, but the last amendment just deleted that and added a new section. The same section by number so this is not in...in the proper form, sir."

Mulcahey: "It's been a...been a great day."

Bradley: "All right. Do you wish to table that amendment then, sir? Well, it's not in the proper form, sir."

Mulcahey: "No way you can do it."



Bradley: "Mulcahey, Amendment #24.....yeah. Inserted after Section 27 the following Section 28 and went down the line 20 and you're doing the same thing, inserting after 27 the following and it just can't be done, sir. The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I guess we can inquire, Mr. Speaker, is there any possibility of doing this by interlineation with just a slight change on that amendment perhaps?"

Bradley: "...It's inconsistent with the prior amendment and you'll have to redraft it and say...you're going to amend it as amended if you want it in the proper form. And get a...new number on your amendment. And...and a new section number, sir. Turn Mr. Mulcahey on."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, may I have leave to amend it on its face?"

Bradley: "How would you propose to do it, sir?"

Mulcahey: "Whatever...whatever's necessary, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "You can't...the Chair...the Chair is saying you can't...the Chair's ruling, Mr. Mulcahey, and I'd like to go along with you but it just simply can't be done. Now I'll tell you how it can be done. If you'll get another one drafted and put in a new number and you'll amend it as amended and a new section number and get it in proper form then we can go with it and I'm sure you've got plenty of time to get that done."

Mulcahey: "Thank you...thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "He's tabled Amendment #25. Further amendments."

Selcke: "Amendment #26. McClain. Amend House Bill 1947 as amended on page 13 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #26 is technically incorrect and with leave of the House I'd like to table Amendment #26."

Bradley: "Does the gentleman have leave to table Amendment #26? Hearing no...objections to table...the amendment is tabled. Further amendments."



Selcke: "Amendment #27. McClain. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended by deleting Sections 1 and 2 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, on the amendment."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after we defeated Committee Amendment #1...the appropriation went back into a bulk appropriation because the Department's belief and my belief that we ought to hold true to accountability that we were led under Clyde Choate's direction last year and the will of the General Assembly, we have Amendment 27 to House Bill 1947 with line item by...by district throughout the State of Illinois. We have also made the 6% General Revenue Fund reduction as per requested and that would be to the tune of about \$472,000 and I'd move for the adoption of Amendment #27 to House Bill 1947."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to a question or two?"

Bradley: "He indicates he will, sir."

Lechowicz: "Representative McClain, how does this differ from Amendment #1 that was offered in Committee? And adopted in Committee?"

McClain: "Well, as you...as you recall Representative Lechowicz, Committee Amendment #1 was defeated on the House floor..."

Lechowicz: "...Wasn't my question. My question is how does it differ from Committee Amendment #1?"

McClain: "I'm getting to it, sir. It's a full budget request of the Department except as to the will of the General Assembly. We feel that to lend accountability to the General Assembly and to the people, we've line itemed by district, we've made the 6% reduction cut, so that's basically the difference, sir."

Lechowicz: "Now/what rate of employment does...Amendment #27 based upon? How many jobs by district? How many do you



have in the personal services per \$9,872,000 in the Central Office Administration and Planning?"

McClain: "Full...full employment level is 8195."

Lechowicz: "Pardon me?"

McClain: "Full employment level for the whole State of Illinois is 8195."

Lechowicz: "That doesn't answer my question. How many jobs do you have in Central Office Administration in Amendment #27 on line 11 for \$9,832,000?"

McClain: "Excuse me for just one second, please. As you recall, in fiscal '75 authorized in Central Administration and Planning we had 822 authorized; and in fiscal 1976 request fulltime permanent for Central Administration and Planning we request 812."

Lechowicz: "812?"

McClain: "That's correct."

Lechowicz: "Could you give me a breakdown on the \$1,900,000 of contractual services?"

Bradley: "Are you through, Mr. Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "No, I'm not. I'm going right...right...line by line down the amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Where are we...are you...respond Mr..."

McClain: "Yes, sir. I'm trying to get the response."

Bradley: "Oh, fine. All right."

McClain: "We thought it would be the will of the General Assembly line item after Committee Amendment #1, was defeated."

Lechowicz: "Well, there's a Committee difference, I want to know the difference. And Amendment #1 was defeated. I want a complete justification. Also want you...want to point out in Amendment 27 there is a new line item, Ladies and Gentlemen, for formal contracts and agreements for \$1,342,000 that has never been justified in our Committee, or our staff, one iota."

McClain: "Representative Lechowicz, I disagree. We fully explained our...our...well this proposed..."



Bradley: "Excuse me, sir, I didn't...pardon me, Mr. McClain, there was no question in that, it was just a statement by the gentleman. If there aren't any more questions, Mr. Lechowicz, we'll just...proceed. Go ahead, sir."

Lechowicz: "I'm awaiting my questioning on contractual services for \$1,942,000 in the...only in the area of the Central Services Administration."

McClain: "Representative Lechowicz, I'm sorry I don't have that...I've got everything I have here on my desk and I'm sorry I don't have that, I'll be happy to get that to you tomorrow."

Lechowicz: "Well..."

Bradley: "Pardon me, Mr. Lechowicz, the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "I rise on a point of order. We don't have to listen to the question and answer dialogue. That could have been done in Committee, if the Committee didn't do its work it's too late now. But some of these questions and answers and dialogue are waste of time, if he has a speech to make let him make his speech and go on with the business of the House. We have too much dialogue. No one else can speak but one person on the floor tonight. We're tired of the dialogue. If you didn't do your Committee work, it's too late. Let's go ahead."

Bradley: "That's fine, Mr. Pierce, your...your point is well taken and Mr. Lechowicz we've recognized you continually tonight and we intend to keep doing that but we wish that you would...continue in the proper way that we've conducted ourselves in this House this Session. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, the Majority Leader."

Shea: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Bradley: "I don't know that Mr. Lechowicz is through yet, sir."

Shea: "Oh, pardon me."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, / <sup>out of</sup> respect...to my Majority Leader I'll yield."

Bradley: "Mr. Shea."



Shea: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Shea: "I'm looking at Amendment #1, sir, which was defeated; had contractual services at 1,942,000 for Central Office and Planning and now we've got for formal contracts an agreement 13,524,000. This is an additional amount to the 12,000,000 that was requested and later reduced for the supplemental bond program. Could you explain please, sir, what this covers?"

McClain: "Representative Shea you're...you're going from Central Offices....Offices Administration and Planning which agreed on line 15 for contractual services it is 1,942,100 and then you skip to Central Offices Division of Highways for a sum total. Could you be...could you delineate your question a little bit more...a little more specificity please."

Shea: "Sir, on line...on page 2, line..."

Bradley: "...Let's give the gentleman some order, please. Proceed, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "On page 2 line 9 of your Amendment, sir, there appears the item for formal contracts and agreements for \$13,524,000 which is approximately 50% of the Central Office Division of Highways. Could you specify, sir, for what that amount is requested?"

McClain: "Sir, it was...in Section 1.2 of the original... original bill."

Shea: "Well, sir, I...I don't know what was in the original bill. Would you specify please, sir, for what that amount is requested?"

McClain: "I'll certainly try, sir."

Bradley: "Mr. McClain."

McClain: "I'm getting that information to him, sir."

Shea: "We, ah...could we find, Mr. McClain, or is Mr. Nelle is still on the phone getting the late flashes?"

McClain: "Well, sir, if...first of all it was in the original Committee Amendment which..."



Shea: "Sir, you've explained that to me once all ready, I understand that. What I'd like to know..."

McClain: "Well, then, please be as gracious as I am, sir."

Shea: "Would you want me to wait until Mr. Nelle gets off the phone?"

Bradley: "Could you make it a true, false question?" Your ten minutes are going fast."

Shea: "Can I ask another question to another section?"

Bradley: "Proceed, sir."

Shea: "...And then we can come back to this one?"

Bradley: "Proceed....I think he has the...Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I honestly don't have all the information on each line item / <sup>which</sup> I hope Representative Shea doesn't do otherwise we'll be here all night. Guard rail maintenance on the City of Chicago Expressway is 200,000; barrier repair City of Chicago 100,000; City maintenance agreement of 1.3 million; ...bridge of Chicago 260,000; agreements with other states on interstate maintenance of 60,000; weed spraying City of Chicago 150,000; bridge painting 890,000; 8.5 million electrical maintenance City of Chicago."

Shea: "The 8.5 is with a contract with the City or 'Kimbark' Electric?"

McClain: "With 'Meade' Electric."

Shea: "With 'Meade' Electric. That's not with the City of Chicago then, is it sir?"

McClain: "No, sir, it is for the City of Chicago."

Shea: "No, sir, it's for the County of Cook is it not? Ask Mr. Nelle if...if..."

McClain: "...An entire area."

Shea: "Yes, sir, which is not the City but the County; could you tell me how the 'Meade' contract is let?"

McClain: "Comparative bidding."

Shea: "The 'Meade' contract last time was let by competitive bidding?"

McClain: "Yes, sir."





Shea: "Are you positive of that, sir?"

McClain: "Year contract with an option to renew."

Shea: "Sir, was the last time your statement was that the last time that contract for 8-1/2 million dollars was let it was let by competitive bidding. Was that contract the last year let by competitive bidding?"

McClain: "No, sir, we picked up the option."

Shea: "No, sir, it was not let by competitive bidding was it?"

McClain: "That's just what I said, sir."

Shea: "All right. Can that contract again be renewed for this year without competitive bidding?"

McClain: "No, sir, it's a one year with a...one year renewable option."

Shea: "In other words you're telling me that that contract can not be let without competitive bidding this time, sir?"

McClain: "I'm not for sure but it's my understanding, yes, you are absolutely correct."

Shea: "Pardon me?"

McClain: "I am not for sure but I would say I believe you're absolutely correct."

Shea: "That it couldn't...it could be placed without competitive bidding, is that correct?"

McClain: "No, sir, that's not what I said at all."

Shea: "Can it be placed without competitive bidding?"

McClain: "I said to you, sir, that I believe...the best of my knowledge that it has to be put up for competitive bidding this time."

Shea: "Could you find out..."

Bradley: "Mr. Shea, please..."

Shea: "Yes, sir, I'm going to drop it right now. Could you find out, sir, before this Bill is called for passage if with regards to the contract, was those contracts you specified, <sup>if</sup> they can be placed by the Department under any circumstances without competitive bidding?"

McClain: "Well, sir, I'll make every effort for the Majority Leader."



Bradley: "Mr. Shea, I wasn't trying to cut you off, Mr.

Houlihan was rising on one point or another. Mr. Houlihan. The gentleman from Cook, James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Well, I just wanted to move the previous question."

Bradley: "Gentleman moves the previous question. The main... the question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor will say aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The gentleman from McClain...the gentleman from McClain. The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, to close the debate."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this amendment provides accountability to the Illinois General Assembly and the people of State of Illinois. It's line iteming by district and it also includes the 6% cut out of General Revenue Funds. I'd urge your adoption of House Amendment #27 to House Bill 1947."

Bradley: "The question is shall...on the adoption of Amendment #27 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor will say aye. All those in favor will signify by voting aye and those opposed by voting no. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain his vote and would somebody turn off Mr. Skinner's light if he's not there and..."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want you to know exactly what you're doing on Amendment #27. And you're breaking it down by districts and I would hope you'd go back and look at the charts as being distributed by Representative Skinner as far as the...exactly how the DOT Appropriations Bill works during the four years cycle. And this Amendment deserves a no vote...in turn they're not only voting for their authorization level but they're in turn voting more or...607 more employees than they had in the last year. I think this is ...a little pork, not only do you have the tail and the head and the ears you...got the whole body. And when you point out to the Members here these are the bodies and I don't care how you put, you're going to be working not only in behalf of DOT but in any way you want to place it



and I vote no."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted that wish. The Clerk will take the record. On this question we have 83 ayes, 50 no's, 3 voting present. And this Bill,..this Amendment is adopted. A...amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #28...Winchester."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. Yes, sir, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I'd like a verification of that. And I want to call of the absentees."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, with all deference to my learned friend, Representative Lechowicz, I believe his request is untimely."

Bradley: "Your point is well taken. Further amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #28. Winchester. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended on page 2 line 3 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I ask for leave of the House to table Amendments 28, 29 and 30."

Bradley: "I don't know if we can get that done tonight, sir. Does he have leave to table those three? No objections, they are tabled. Further amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #31. Skinner. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended on page 12 line 3 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, the Majority Leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "After you recognize the proponent of the motion, I would like the Clerk to read it in full and then I would like to raise a point."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could have leave to table this amendment for the time being?"

Bradley: "The gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #31. Hearing no objection...hearing no objections the...state your objection, Mr. Shea."



Shea: "I'd like the amendment read before he has leave to table it."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is most extraordinary unless the Majority Leader is intending to try to support the amendment. If he intends to try to kill it why doesn't he rationally save our time and let the gentleman table his amendment as all of us have always given the courtesy to do, Mr. Majority Leader."

Bradley: "Your point is well taken, sir, the amendment's tabled. Further amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #32. Totten. Amends House... Amendment 1 to House Bill 1947 on page 1 line 11 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, on the amendment."

Totten: "...I'd like to table Amendments #32 and 33, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "The gentleman asks leave to table Amendment #32 and 33. Hearing no objection...the...amendments are tabled. Further amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #34. Winchester. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended on page 12 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #34 to House Bill 1947 appropriates from the Road Fund for...Department of Transportation for the purpose of widening and resurfacing on county highway 7 from intersection county highway 7 and interstate 57 in Pulaski County to the intersection of county highway 7 and Illinois 37 in Pulaski County, Illinois...the amount of \$1,000,000. I ask for the adoption of this amendment."

Bradley: "Discussion. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of parliamentary inquiry."

Bradley: "State your point, sir."

Farley: "I would like to know from the Speaker, how it takes



to get a portion of the transcript of the proceedings tonight?"

Bradley: "Quite a while."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I would renew my request at this time for a transcript of Amendment #14 to House Bill 1947."

Bradley: "You'll have it in the morning, sir. ...On the Amendment. If not the question is shall Amendment #34 be adopted. All those in favor will say aye. Opposed, no. The question...the question is shall Amendment #34 be adopted, all...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Have you declared that Amendment adopted, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "No, sir, I haven't."

Walsh: "You may do that if you will and then recognize me."

Bradley: "Well, they asked for a roll call. We're going to give them a roll call on Amendment #34. All in favor will signify by voting aye. Those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? The lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, on a point of order."

Geo-Karis: "...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with all the confusion and the noise I...I'm sorry would ...would somebody just tell me what 34 is briefly about. I couldn't hear with all the arguments and points of order."

Bradley: "Would the Sponsor of the Amendment in explaining his vote explain the Amendment to Mrs. Geo-Karis please?"

Do you wish to explain your Amendment to Mrs. Geo-Karis in explaining your vote? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question 101 ayes, 23 no's, 5 voting present. And the Amendment is adopted. ...Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #35..."

Bradley: "Oh, I'm sorry, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh. What purpose do you arise, sir?"

Walsh: "I arise, Mr. Speaker, to question the presence of a quorum."

Bradley: "I think we have a quorum, sir."



Walsh: "Well, I question the quorum, sir, and I think that that requires that we have a roll call."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think it's obvious by looking at the floor, we have a quorum and I respectfully suggest to the Chair under Roberts Rules of Order that his request is dilatory and I would..."

Bradley: "I...I don't think so. I'm going to say to Mr. Walsh in regards to your request we just had a roll call and it indicated to the Chair that we do have a quorum by that ...that roll call, sir. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "That roll call was not verified and I question the presence of a quorum and all you need do is ask people to indicate whether they're here or not. And when they do, I intend to verify that roll call."

Bradley: "Well, sir, in the opinion of the Chair..."

Walsh: "Well, the opinion of the Chair has nothing to do with it, Mr. Speaker. I question that there is a quorum present."

Bradley: "I think...I think you're..."

Walsh: "And I'm entitled to..."

Bradley: "Sir, the request, I believe in the opinion of the Chair, as you state, then you would wish to verify, and I think that...using dilatory tactics, Mr. Walsh. Further Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #35. Polk. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 12 by inserting between lines 3 and 4 the following: Section 17c and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, Amendment #35 is a...is...resurfacing 9 miles of an unmarked State highway, nondescript, one you've never heard of it's sometimes known in my district as Chadwick Flat, but I know it's one you people haven't...familiar with. We passed it out of here and I know that...that Langhorne Bond is grinning over there; he wants to make sure that



the people up there get this highway and I'd appreciate your support."

Bradley: "Discussion. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster. Sorry, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Bluthardt, and would Vito in front of him please sit down so the Chair can see him when he's addressing the Chair, please?"

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask that all those not entitled to the Floor be removed and I now ask and I do question a...quorum and ask for a roll call." You have a parliamentarian up there, let him cite to me that I am out of order, that my request is not in order. I'll tell you this that the request for a roll call is a matter of privilege, a matter of...that I'm entitled to; a matter that any Member of this House is entitled and you have no right to stand up there and ignore that request. It's a matter of right, sir."

Bradley: "I asked you, sir, to state..."

Bluthardt: "You...sir, you are the presiding...quote to me where I am wrong."

Bradley: "I will, sir. In Roberts Rules of Order it's provided that in the opinion of the Chair, at that particular time, in the opinion of the Chair, a request such as you're making is dilatory that then we can rule you out of order, sir, enacting Roberts Rules of Order. And I'm ruling you out of order on that point, sir. Continue with the Amendment. Roll call. The question is, shall Amendment 35 be adopted. All those in favor will signify by voting aye and opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, to explain his vote."

Keller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I had some questions I'd like to ask about the Amendment. I've tried to get recognized and you wouldn't recognize us."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich." Mr. Keller, pardon me, sir."

Keller: "You didn't answer my question, I...I..."



Bradley: "What's your question, sir. State your question."

Keller: "I had some questions. I had my light on long before you asked if they're asking questions about this Amendment. I want to know what it does. It's never been explained and where we are and everything else here."

Bradley: "Mr. Keller, your light was not on, sir."

Keller: "It was on, Mr. Speaker. But you just look at that board instead of watching everything else and taking orders from the Governor's Office you'd learn that it was on."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Mr. Keller, what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Keller: "Well, I would like to know what we are voting on?"

Bradley: "We're voting on Amendment #35."

Keller: "Well, what does Amendment #35 do?"

Bradley: "The Chair never enters debate, sir."

Keller: "Could...could it be read in full please, I'd like to have Amendment #35 read in full?"

Bradley: "Your request is not timely, sir. We're...on the roll." The question..."

Keller: "I want to...I want to ask a question on the Amendment."

Bradley: "It's not timely, sir."

Keller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, at the proper time I'd like to have a verification of the roll call."

Bradley: "You can request that, sir. The gentleman from... the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I saw Representative Keller punch his light. Now, I'm not and Ben we don't need any side comments from you either. I saw Representative Keller punch his light. I'm not criticizing the...the Chair for not seeing it because after all you've got 176 Members to oversee but I'm saying to you, Mr. Speaker, that if Representative Keller wants to know what the Amendment is about, to ask a question or two, that's entirely within...he's entirely within his rights as a Member of this General Assembly. And I would suggest to the Chair that from now on before he calls for the roll call that he scrutinizes his desk to see whether





the lights are on or not. "

Bradley: "The Sponsor of the Amendment, Mr. Choate, indicated that he did not wish to answer a question by the lady as to the...and that's his right to do that."

Choate: "Then...then, I suggest to you, Mr. Chairman...Mr. Speaker, that regardless whether the Sponsor wishes to ask questions or whether he does not wish to ask questions."

Bradley: "Pardon me."

Choate: "...Answer questions, that the questioner still has the prerogative of asking the question."

Bradley: "Fine. That's right, sir. The gentleman from Polk ...the gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk. And I think that I'm in error, it was..."

Keller: "Thank you...I'd like to..."

Bradley: "...Mr. Winchester and so if you'd like to respond to any questions by Mr. Keller. Mr. Keller, proceed with your questions."

Keller: "Yes, ah...exactly what does this Amendment do...?"

Polk: "It resurfaces 9 miles of a state highway in Whiteside County and 9 miles of 'a' Illinois Route 82 in Henry County."

Keller: "Has the Governor vetoed this particular appropriation before?"

Polk: "No, sir. He has not vetoed this."

Keller: "Is this...is this one that the House...Appropriations passed?"

Polk: "Yes, sir, it passed."

Keller: "...once before?"

Polk: "Yes, sir, it did."

Keller: "This is what that..."

Polk: "This is infamous Chadwick Slab."

Keller: "Chadwick...has the Amendment been introduced here tonight before?"

Polk: "No, sir, it was introduced as legislation."

Keller: "In previous Sessions it has been introduced..."

Polk: "No, sir."



Keller: "It has not?"

Polk: "No, sir."

Keller: "But...uh...this amendment is the same as the House Bill that you had that we passed out of committee, is that not correct?"

Polk: "No, sir. My Bill was amendment and as amended is now the same piece of legislation. But my original Bill was just the Chadwick Slab."

Keller: "But...uh...it is a separate piece of legislation that has been defeated in the past, is that not correct."

Polk: "No, sir. It has never been defeated."

Keller: "Not in the Senate, either."

Polk: "No, sir."

Keller: "What happened to that Bill?"

Polk: "It's in the Senate."

Keller: "It's in the Senate, on where?"

Polk: "Second Reading."

Keller: "Second Reading?"

Bradley: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, on a point of order, sir."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, your allowing questions and answers four and five times going back and forth. It's been the policy of the House that one or two questions is asked and then the gentleman may speak to the amendment and not enter into a colloquy."

Bradley: "I think your point is well-taken, the chair simply doesn't want to cut anybody off from not having to vote on something that they wouldn't know what they were voting on. Would you bring your questions to a close, Mr. Keller?"

Keller: "Well, I think he's pretty well answered what I want to know and I feel that...uh...this is...becomes that it is just a piece of legislation since the House has already gone on record and has passed this, I see no need of us putting it in this...uh...particular budget...uh...because there's so many things already in here that...uh...I question and some of them may not or may be germane to the issue or not



and I think we ought to stand up and look at this thing and scrutinize this a little closer and...uh...see what exactly we are voting on. But...uh...this...uh...

Chadwick Slab I know about it and I realize the good folks who came down here from your district, Representative Polk, and...uh...they...I feel sorry for them because they haven't had that slab built for them in the past, that's why at the proper time I'd like to have a verification of the roll call, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Alright, for the edification of the members, Mr. Houlihan had his light on, it was flashing, he doesn't want to talk. Mr. Borchers had his light on and flashing, he doesn't want to talk. Mr. Deuster, I don't know if you want to, your light is flashing. Mr. Walsh's light is flashing, who's sitting in his seat, so you see sometimes those members have lights flashing and we can't determine but Mr. Lechowicz I'm sure wants to speak and his light is flashing, so Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I just wanted to point out that my light is flashing as well."

Bradley: "Your...that's why we're recognizing you, sir. For what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Verification."

Bradley: "On this question...have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the roll. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 36 'no', 9 voting 'present'. The gentleman requests a verification. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do this respectfully; everybody has the right to a verification but I think under the rules and under Robert's Rules, that the Chair does have the latitude when he thinks that something may be dilatory that he may so declare it and I say that when a roll call shows 37 votes more than another that that is dilatory and I'd like to have your ruling Mr. Speaker."



Bradley: "Well, in the opinion of the chair I've never seen anybody's request denied and I'm not going to deny it tonight and we're going to have a verification. Call the affirmative roll."

O'Brien: "Anderson,..."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow."

Darrow: "On behalf of the 36th District we would ask that you poll the absentees."

Bradley: "Sir, poll the absentees."

O'Brien: "J.M. Barnes, Bradley, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Carroll, Choate, Collins,, Craig, DiArco, Davis, DiPrima, Ebbesen, Ewell, Farley, Fennessey, Fleck, Friedman, Getty, Giglio, Grotberg, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Emil Jones, Katz, Keller, Kozubowski, Laurino, Leinenweber, Kornowicz, Matijeovich, McClain, McGrew, McPartlin, Miller, Malloy, Mulcahey, Nardulli, Pierce, Randolph, Richmond, Rose, Sangmeister, Schoeberlein, Sharp, Shea, E. G. Steele, Stone, Totten, VanDuyne, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Washington, White, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, this roll call verification serves no useful purpose, I would respectfully request that.... and I change my vote from present to aye."

Bradley: "The gentleman will be recorded as 'aye', record Bradley as 'aye'. Read the affirmative roll. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have everybody in their chair and all unauthorized personnel removed."

Bradley: "Would all members please be in their chairs and all those not authorized to be on the floor please leave from the floor immediately. The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as voting 'aye'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"



Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to change my vote 'no' to 'aye'."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. Schneider 'aye'.

Matijevich 'aye'. DiArco 'no'. Mr. Randolph 'aye'.

Kozubowski...go back from Jim Houlihan. DiArco wished to

be recorded as 'no'. Mr. Randolph wished to be recorded

as 'aye'. We have Kozubowski as 'no'. Garmisa as 'no'.

Read the affirmative roll. Call the affirmative roll.

Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I don't see all the membership in their chairs and I still see unauthorized people on the floor."

Bradley: "Call the affirmative roll. Houlihan, D.L., 'no'.

Mr. Kornowicz at Mr. Yourell's desk for what purpose do

you rise, sir? Mr. Kornowicz, how is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "He's recorded as not voting."

Bradley: "He wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. The gentleman from Macoupin, Mr. Boyle, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Boyle: "Yea...thank you Mr. Speaker, I'd like to know what the count is now on the affirmative and negative roll call."

Bradley: "Just a minute, sir. 78 'aye', 42 'no', sir.

Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as 'no'. Mr. Leon, change the gentleman's vote from present to no. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Nardulli."

Nardulli: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Nardulli: "Vote me 'no'."

Bradley: "Vote him 'no'. Record him as 'no'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."



Giglio: "Vote me no."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. White."

White: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The member's changed his vote to vote 'no'."

Bradley: "Call the affirmative roll. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Ewell: "Would you vote me no."

Bradley: "Record him as voting 'no'."

O'Brien: "Anderson, Arnell, E.M. Barnes, Beaupre, Birchler, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Brummett, Byers, Campbell, Capuzzi, Catania, Coffey, Cunningham, Daniels, Darrow, Deavers, Duff, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Epton, Flinn, Frederick,..."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, Mr. Speaker, there's a lot of people standing and I can't see if Representatives are in their seats or not."

Bradley: "Your point is well-taken sir, would everybody please be in their seats and clear the aisles, and those not entitled to the floor, would they please leave."

O'Brien: "Gaines, Geo-Karis, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Gene Hoffman, Jim Houlihan, Jacobs, J.D. Jones, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Londrigan, Luft, Madison, Mahar, Matijevich, Mautino, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McMaster, Meyer, Neff, O'Daniel, Palmer, Peters, Polk, Porter, Reed, Rigney, Ryan, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Schuneman, Sevcik, Sharp, ..."

Bradley: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jim Houlihan, rise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, since we're going through the arduous task of verifying the affirmative roll call, Representative



Lechowicz, I'm sure is going to do a very thorough job, I wonder if we might move at this time to consider all the subsequent amendments on this same roll call, do I have leave of the House to do that?"

Bradley: "Objections are being heard, proceed with the verification."

O'Brien: "Simms, Skinner, Stearney, C. M. Stiehl,..."

Bradley: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Would the gentleman in front of Mrs. Stiehl's desk, sit down so I can see if she's there or not."

Bradley: "Would the gentleman...alright, I think everybody should be in their seats, I think your point is well-taken, it's difficult to verify and he wants to do a good job and we certainly want to help him."

Lechowicz: "Include the second statement, all unauthorized personnel off the floor."

Bradley: "And all unauthorized personnel leave the floor."

O'Brien: "Stubblefield, Telcser, Tipsword, Tuerk, Wall, Washburn, Winchester, and Younge,"

Bradley: "Questions of the affirmative roll, Mr. Lechowicz. For what purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor, arise?"

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Taylor: "That's the correct way, thank you."

Bradley: "Questions of the affirmative votes. Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Pierce: "How am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Pierce: "Vote me 'aye'."

Bradley: "Record him as 'aye'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"



Farley: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Farley: "Would you vote me no, please."

Bradley: "Record him as 'no'. Questions of the affirmative roll, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Representative Anderson."

Bradley: "Right here."

Lechowicz: "Representative Arnell."

Bradley: "Arnell, He's here."

Lechowicz: "E. M. Barnes."

Bradley: "She's here."

Lechowicz: "Representative Beaupre."

Bradley: "He's...who, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Beaupre."

Bradley: "Beaupre, is he in the chambers? He's in the balcony."

Lechowicz: "I see him. Representative Birchler."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Lechowicz: "Representative Catania."

Bradley: "She's here."

Lechowicz: "Representative Coffey."

Bradley: "Representative Coffey, is he here? He's in his chair, sir. For what purpose does the gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond, arise?"

Richmond: "How am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Richmond: "May I please be recorded as 'no'."

Bradley: "Record him as 'no'. Any further questions, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir. Representative Cunningham."

Bradley: "Representative Cunningham, there he is, he's here.

In Mr. Walsh's seat."

Lechowicz: "Representative Darrow."

Bradley: "Representative who? Darrow. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, in a point of order."





Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I question that this verification is required and necessary. It's quite obvious that the man asking for the verification is taking every name that is on the positive roll...would you let me finish please, I've got as much right to this floor, as you have."

Bradley: "Your point is not....just a minute, just a minute, Mr. Schraeder, your point is not well taken. Continue Mr. Lechowicz, I think you did miss one. Go ahead sir. Questions of the affirmative roll, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I think we were questioning Mr. Cunningham, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "I think we acknowledged that he was here in Mr. Walsh's chair, sir."

Lechowicz: "Alright, Representative Darrow."

Darrow: "Here I am."

Lechowicz: "Alright, Representative Deavers."

Bradley: "Deavers, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir."

Bradley: "He's in the back, sir."

Lechowicz: "Representative Duff."

Bradley: "Representative Duff...Representative Duff is in his chair, or near it."

Lechowicz: "Representative R. Dunn."

Bradley: "R. Dunn, he's in his chair."

Lechowicz: "Mrs. Dyer."

Bradley: "She is where she always is, sir, in her chair, standing next to it."

Lechowicz: "Mrs...uh...Mr. Epton. I'm sorry. Mr. Epton."

Bradley: "Mr. Epton, how's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Take him 'off the roll."

Lechowicz: "Mrs. Geo-Karis come back yet. Mr. Greisheimer."

Bradley: "Who, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Mr. Greisheimer."

Bradley: "Mr. Griesheimer, he's in the chambers."



How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Tom Hanahan."

Bradley: "Mr. Tom Hanahan, is he in the chambers? How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Jacobs."

Bradley: "Mr. Jacobs, how's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "He's in his chair, I'm sorry."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. Representative Kempiners."

Bradley: "Kempiners is in the middle of the aisle, sir."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. Representative Klosak."

Bradley: "Representative Klosak, is the gentleman here?"

How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Lechowicz: "Representative Kosinski."

Bradley: "Kosinski, Representative Kosinski here? How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Lechowicz: "Representative Madison."

Bradley: "Representative Madison? Madison, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Madison, Jesse Madison, 18th District."

Bradley: "Is he here, sir? Here he is."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. Representative Meyer. Representative Ted Meyer."

Bradley: "Meyers is in his chair."

Lechowicz: "Representative Reed."

Bradley: "Sir, did you say Byers or Meyer on the last one?"

Lechowicz: "Meyer, Ted Meyer."

Bradley: "Ted Meyer. Is Representative Meyer in the chambers?"



How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Lechowicz: "Representative Reed."

Bradley: "Representative Reed, she's in her chair."

Lechowicz: "Representative Schraeder."

Bradley: "He's...Representative Schraeder?"

Lechowicz: "That's correct."

Bradley: "He's in the aisles."

Lechowicz: "Where?"

Bradley: "In the aisle, sir."

Lechowicz: "OK. Representative Schroeder."

Bradley: "Schroeder?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry. Schraeder."

Bradley: "Schraeder. He's here, sir. He's been verified."

Lechowicz: "Representative Schuneman."

Bradley: "Schuneman, he's in his...uh...in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Representative Sevcik."

Bradley: "Representative Sevcik is in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Representative Sharp."

Bradley: "Representative Sharp, Representative Sharp right here."

Lechowicz: "He's already recorded, I'm sorry, sir. Representative Stearney."

Bradley: "Representative Stearney...he's in the chambers in the rear of the hall, sir."

Lechowicz: "Representative Telcser."

Bradley: "Representative Telcser is here."

Lechowicz: "No further verification, Mr. Chairman."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Sangmeister: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Sangmeister: "Vote me 'aye'."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as 'aye'. The gentleman from



Franklin, Mr. Hart, in my chair, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Hart: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Hart: "Please record me as voting 'no'."

Bradley: "Vote him 'no'. The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'no'."

Keller: "I was."

O'Brien: "I mean...excuse me...as not voting."

Keller: "Yea, that's what I thought. Now, let's see. Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote, can I explain my vote."

Bradley: "No, sir. You're out of order."

Keller: "Then I'd like to be voted present."

Bradley: "Record him as present. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Williams. How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Williams... gentleman is recorded as voting present."

Williams: "OK, leave it that way."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will not verify the negative vote and it's alright if you want to go ahead and take the record."

Bradley: "We've taken the record, sir."

Polk: "Then announce the roll call or whatever I'm supposed to say."

Bradley: "On this question there are 74 'ayes', 50 nays, 9 voting...on this question there are 74...how many Ted?"

O'Brien: "74, 50 and 10."

Bradley: "74 'ayes', 50 'nays', 10 voting present and the amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #36. Winchester. Amends House Bill 1947



on page 12 by inserting between lines 3 and 4 the following:  
Section 17D and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of  
the House. Amendment #36, co-sponsored by Representative  
Choate and Hart, appropriate \$500,000 from the Road Fund,  
Department of Transportation, for the purpose of assisting  
the re-building of a county highway I in Polk County, Ill.  
from Eddieville, Illinois, westernly for approximately 6  
miles. I appreciate the adoption of this amendment."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves for the adoption...the gentleman  
from Effingham, Mr. Keller on the question."

Keller: "Yes...uh...I'd like to ask the sponsor some questions."

Bradley: "Proceed, sir."

Keller: "Yea, Bob, now this here does some things in the  
county of Polk."

Winchester: "Yes."

Keller: "What highway exactly is it and where does it lead?"

Winchester: "This is a county highway that leads from Route  
145 to Polk County and connects with Route 146."

Keller: "Now is that the one that goes through the Shawnee  
Forest."

Winchester: "It goes through the Shawnee National Forest.  
It is a county highway owned by Polk County."

Keller: "It's a county highway. First of all, before I ask  
you a question there Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask if  
this particular language in this Bill germane to the issue."

Bradley: "Yes sir, it is. We checked it."

Keller: "You've checked it out already. Uh...this highway,  
what type of material is on this highway, Bob?" Is it a  
bituminous surface or asphalt with crushed rock or a..."

Winchester: "I assume it is, Chuck."

Keller: "How much are you asking for here?"

Winchester: "\$500,000."

Bradley: "And that's for how many miles?"



Winchester: "I might add that that is...uh...or so much thereof as is necessary."

Keller: "Well, now that kind of leaves an open door, doesn't it."

Winchester: "Yea...but less than \$500,000."

Keller: "I noticed that Polk County's been good this session or has been treated well here from what I read in the newspapers...uh...says that there's some Polk County Bills over in the Senate that are being held up right now."

Winchester: "But that's problem, Chuck, there still in the Senate."

Keller: "Uh...well, you've got a good Senator over there that should...I hope help push those things around, cause I realize that Polk County, you know, in financial disaster because...uh...the biggest part of the county is taken up by the Shawnee National Forest."

Bradley: "Mr. Keller, would you excuse me for a minute, sir? The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipword, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, is the gentleman addressing himself to the amendment?"

Bradley: "Your point is well-taken, Mr. Keller would you address yourself to the amendment, sir."

Keller: "Yes...uh...this particular highway, I am looking at where it's supposed to go through the forest there from Route 145 to Route 146, it's bituminous surface and what number of miles did you say, Mr. Winchester?"

Winchester: "Six miles. Only six miles."

Keller: "Only six miles and we're going to send something like at least..."

Bradley: "Mr. Keller, would you bring your questions to a close, sir?"

Keller: "Well I think I have the right to question him on this."

Bradley: "Not this type of questioning, sir."

Keller: "But, it's german to the issue, Mr. Speaker, because..."



Bradley: "You know, sir, we haven't allowed dialogue like this."

Keller: "We are spending the State's money here on highways here in Illinois and I think that this budget here, being the several billion dollar budget, I think that it would be germane to the issue if I do ask him questions on it."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The gentleman to close. Mr. Winchester to close."

Winchester: "I'd just appreciate the adoption of this amendment."

Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of amendment #36 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, to explain his vote."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #36, what we're voting for is 6 miles in Polk County for \$500,000 and I would hope that you remember the fact that there isn't one iota within this budget for planning or road acquisition for this amendment. Now I don't know what's going on here tonight but I'll tell you this if you win every porkbarrel in this bill, we'll prepare amendments from 50 to 150 and we'll be here to July the 15th. And if you want to play games, we'll play them with you. We'll put in amendments for \$120,000,000, one by one for every project in the City of Chicago. Now, I'll tell you this my friend, you just listen to me closely, because I don't make you very, very, ...idle threats in this General Assembly.....but I would hope that the membership of this House would have proper discussion and proper opportunity to discuss the issues as they are presented, I don't relish the thought of being cut off, I don't relish the thought as far as appropriating \$500,000, maybe it's



needed in Polk County, I don't know. This item was not discussed in the Appropriations Committee. It's not in the budget as far as it was presented to this House and I would recommend a 'no' vote until those questions are answered."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, to explain his vote."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Every Member that's elected to this House has the prerogative of introducing Amendments to any Bill and having them voted upon by this House and if he can get enough votes to pass his Amendment he's perfectly in order and I think the previous gentleman was out of order admonishing him for that act."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ted, I listened to you, now you listen to me. I don't care Ted, if there's one hundred billion dollars in this budget, it all ends up in Conference Committee and you know it. And the sooner we get it over, we ought to do it like we did it late one Session, we put it all over in one roll call after we went over certain amendments, you didn't fight that did you? You didn't fight it then, let's get it over there because the longer we delay the more deals that are going to be made. The more people that are going to be unhappy or happy, let's get it over there, what are you afraid of, you weren't afraid last Session. Do it now."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on a point of personal privilege."

Lechowicz: "My point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. It's not a question as far as I'm not afraid of anything or anyone. Let me make that perfectly clear. That's right, you can go ohhhhhh and you can see on this floor or after the floor, but I'll tell you this, when I can't ask a question on this amendment or any other amendment I think it's germane. And for that reason I'm voting 'no'. And





let me tell you this, John, I wasn't part of any deal last year, this year, or in the future years. If you don't know me by now my friend, I don't deal."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that members would, first, quit sounding back forth to each other. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, I don't mean to chastise you because you've been eminently fair on various occasions but there was members asking to be recognized before you took, before you looked that way and took the vote. Thirdly, I want to say to this House that I find it a little bit disagreeable that I disagree with my friend, Teddy Lechowicz, because I'm going to vote against him, I'm going to vote 'aye', because I'm a co-sponsor of this amendment. But, fourthly, Mr. Speaker, I thought that this was supposed to be the session that people didn't slam the iron hard gavel up there and I thought that it was supposed to be the session that there was no deals made. I thought it was supposed to be the session that everything was open and above board, I thought it was supposed to be the session that people, like directors, were not on the floor to lobby. I thought it was supposed to be the session where directors were only on the floor only at the request of a sponsoring member of a budget bill to explain that budget. Evidently, evidently, Mr. Speaker, there's been alot of erroneous assumptions, I will satisfy myself on behalf of the 59th district with the majority vote of those members present and voting without somebody else pushing switches and I would hope, Mr. Speaker, that in the spirit of fair play, I would hope that no one kinda holds any grudges or wants to do anything vindicative. That they would vote with Representative Winchester, Representative Hart and myself in behalf of the 59th District. I vote 'aye'."

Bradley: "Your point is well-taken, sir. The only exception, the chair would mention to you that I've never touched that



gavel since I've been up here tonight. The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman. The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Any time that you stand on the chair, regardless of whether you rap the gavel or you don't and when you ignore members, it's the same as rapping the gavel, when you look that way and ignore members over here, whether their with me or whether their not and Representative Lechowicz wasn't with me and you did ignore him and when he was asking for the privilege of speaking."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman, to explain his vote."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to make the observation that was made by the previous speaker but not directed at the chair and I concur, there are some members on the floor of this House that has exasperated the situation in which we find ourselves."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, to explain his vote."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Choate: "Just a question of information, my learned friend from the County of Dupage, used a word that this poor country boy doesn't understand and would you tell it to me again Jim, and then explain it to me."

Hoffman: "I'll catch you later."

Choate: "Alright."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, to explain his vote."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have a deep concern for Southern Illinois and also for Polk County and the roads in the 59th District. I know what it means to my good friend, Representative Winchester, and my good friends, Representative Hart and Representative Choate, to have this porkbarrel of fat put in their district



but I still have to stand here and oppose this because I think this is the wrong way to go about making up the budget for the Department of Transportation and I would, at this time, I don't want to upset them too much, so I would like to be voted as present and at the proper time I'd like to have a verification of the roll call."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Marion, Mr. Frederick, to explain his vote."

Frederick: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think anybody has to be very smart to figure out that the people are not really trying to conduct the business of this House. I don't know the reason and they have the right to do what they are doing whoever they are, I think we're involved in a inner party fight which I have no interest one way or another. But in fact, it's the duty and obligation of the chair to run this House. It's not an easy job. I've had my light on many times when you didn't recognize me and I didn't stand up and make a big deal out of it. Now you can't let everybody speak on every bill and expect to go home July the 1st so I hope these people who are making silly statements that they know have no bearing on the subject, on the matter at hand would be responsible as the electorate expects them to be."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, to explain his vote."

Madison: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my present vote, I would just like to say to those members of this body that are of the Jewish faith that I humbly apologize for all this pork."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The clerk will take the record. On this question, there are 54 'ayes', 47 'nos', the gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller. Let me see what Mr. Keller wants first, please."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, at the proper time, like I said before I'd like to have a verification of this roll call. It's



close there and I think that there are some members voting who aren't here."

Bradley: "Your request will be granted. The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre, on a point of order."

Beaupre: "Point of order, Mr. Speaker. I wonder if one who is voting present can ask for a verificaton of a roll call."

Bradley: "Any member is entitled to ask for a verification. The clerk will call the affirmative roll call."

O'Brien: "Anderson, Arnell,"

Bradley: "Representative Choate."

Choate: "The ususal custom, my good friend, Representative Winchester who is the chief sponsor of this amendment should ask for a call of the absentees."

Bradley: "Do you wish to call the absentees, sir?" Call the absentees."

O'Brien: "E.M. Barnes, Borchers, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, Calvo, Carroll, Catania, Collins, Cunningham,"

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, earlier in the evening it was pointed out that I was voting red in regards to somebody's..."

Bradley: "Sir, you weren't recognized for that purpose, sir. For what purpose did you rise?"

Cunningham: "You don't have to be stoney with me because I'm the wrong side. I have a chance to speak sometime in the course of the evening. It's the duty of the chair..."

Bradley: "We're on a verification of the roll, sir."

Cunningham: "I rose to explain my vote, do I have a right to do so or do you want to gag us or..."

Bradley: "Your not timely, sir."

O'Brien: "Daniels, Deavers, Dyer,"

Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Yes, I just want a point of inquiry."

Bradley: "Yes sir, state your point."

Choate: "Do you need an aide to the Governor to stand up there and tell you..."



Bradley: "No sir, I didn't request him to be up here."

Choate: "...anything about the germaneness or the importance of this amendment."

Bradley: "No, sir. Continue with the verification."

O'Brien: "Ebbesen, Epton, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Giglio, Greiman, Griesheimer, Grothberg, Hanahan, Hill, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman,"

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, sir."

Matijevich: "Change me to 'no'."

Bradley: "Change the gentlement...how's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Bradley: "Record him as 'no'. Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Chairman, change me to 'no'."

Bradley: "Change the gentleman to 'no'. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Farley? The gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Farley: "Would you change that to 'aye', please."

Bradley: "Change him to 'aye'."

O'Brien: "Huff, Jacobs, Emil Jones, Kelley, Leinenweber, Lucco, Luft, McDonald, Mahar, McClain, McGrew, Meyer, Miller, Mulcahey, Patrick, Porter, Randolph, Reed, Rose, Schoeberlien, Schuneman, Sevcik, Skinner, E.G. Steele, VonBoeckman,"

Bradley: "For what purpose does the gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, arise?"

Borchers: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Bradley: "He'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye'. The lady from Cook, Mrs. McDonald, for what purpose do you rise?"

McDonald: "How am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How is she recorded?"

O'Brien: "Lady's recorded as not voting."

McDonald: "Vote me present, please."

Bradley: "Record her as voting present. The gentleman from



Cook, Mr. Sevcik, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Sevcik: "How am I recorded, sir?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Bradley: "He wants to be recorded as voting no."

Sevcik: "AYE."

Bradley: "Aye? Aye, it is. Record him as voting 'aye'."

O'Brien: "Waddell, Washington, White, Willer, Williams, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Record Representative Hill as voting 'aye'. Mr. Keller. Call the affirmative, I'm sorry. Call the affirmative roll."

O'Brien: "Anderson"

Bradley: "What purpose does the gentleman from Tazwell, Mr. Luft, arise?"

Luft: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Luft: "Vote me 'aye', please."

Bradley: "Record him as 'aye'. The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as not voting."

Lucco: "Can you give me the total of the vote as it stands now?"

Bradley: "Sir, that has nothing to do with your voting."

Lucco: "Please record me as 'aye' then."

Bradley: "Record him as 'aye'. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Leverenz, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Leverenz: "How am I recorded, sir?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Leverenz: "Respectfully, I ask that my vote be recorded as 'aye'."

Bradley: "Record him as 'aye'. Proceed with the affirmative roll."



O'Brien: "Anderson, Arnell, Birchler, Borchers, Bradley, Brummet, Byers, Campbell, Capuzi, Choate, Craig, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Farley, Fennessey, Friedrich, Gaines, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giorgi, Hart, Hill, G. Hoffman,"

Bradley: "There are a number of people who want to change their vote and we'll recognize them as soon as we get done calling the roll."

O'Brien: "J.D. Jones, Kent, Klosak, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leverenz, Lucco, Luft, Mautino, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McMaster, Neff, O'Daniel, Peters, Polk, Richmond, Rigney, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Sevcik, Shea, Simms, Stearney, C.M. Stiehl, Stone, Stubblefield, Telcser, Tuerk, VanDuyne, Wall, Washburn, Winchester, Younge, and Yourell."

Bradley: "If the members want to change their votes. The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Darrow, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Darrow: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting present."

Darrow: "Please vote me yes."

Bradley: "Record him as voting 'aye'. The lady from Champaign, Mrs. Satterwaithe, for what purpose do you rise?"

Satterwaithe: "Mr. Speaker, may I have my vote changed to 'aye', please."

Bradley: "Record the lady as 'aye'. The gentleman from Cook, I'm sorry, Ms. Barnes, the lady from Cook."

Barnes: "How am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's she recorded?"

O'Brien: "The lady is recorded as voting present."

Barnes: "Change my vote to 'aye', please."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Friedrich: "Would you note in the record that it is now, Wednesday, June the 25th."

Bradley: "Yes sir. The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."



Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would you please vote me on whatever side is losing at the present time."

Bradley: "You would be so recorded, sir, if we knew.

Questions of the affirmative roll. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I've been convinced that this is a wonderful amendment, I'd like to change from no to aye."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as voting aye. The gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Leinenweber: "Vote me aye."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as aye. Questions of the affirmative roll, Mr. Keller. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, sir?" Record the gentleman as voting aye. Mr. Keller, on questions of the affirmative roll."

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could you give me the before we start here what the...uh...totals are so I know what we're coming from of the affirmative."

Bradley: "Be glad to sir. 68 ayes, 45 nays."

Keller: "68?"

Bradley: "Yes, sir."

Keller: "Garmisa."

Bradley: "How's the gentleman recorded?"

O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Is the gentleman in the chambers? Take him off the roll."

Keller: "That's 67, right?"

Bradley: "We'll keep track, sir."

Keller: "OK. Uh...Anderson."

Bradley: "Representative Anderson, he's in his chair."

Keller: "He's here right? Arnell."

Bradley: "Representative Arnell is in his chair."

Keller: "OK. Next, Birchler."

Bradley: "Representative Birchler is in his chair."





Keller: "Good, I'm glad he's here, he's representing Southern Illinois. Webber Borchers." OK, I see him. Representative Don Brummet."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Keller: "Representative Charlie Campbell."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Keller: "Representative Capuzi."

Bradley: "He's here."

Keller: "Good there's another Southern Illinois boy. Married a girl from Southern Illinois."

Bradley: "Gentleman, your remarks are out of order."

Keller: "Representative Brian Duff."

Bradley: "He's here."

Keller: "Where's he? Oh, he's on the phone. OK. Representative Ralph Dunn, from Southern Illinois."

Bradley: "Representative Dunn is in the chambers, there he is."

Keller: "Good, he's still up tonight. Representative Bruce Farley. He was here a little while ago, is he still here."

Bradley: "Mr. Farley, he's here."

Keller: "Representative Dwight Friedrich from Centralia."

Bradley: "He's here."

Keller: "Representative Zeke Giorgi."

Bradley: "Pardon me, the gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully submit to you that we're operating in violation of the rules. Rule 8 says that the Clerk shall publish a daily calendar and place it on the desk of members before convening the House on each legislative day. We're operating in violation of the rules."

Bradley: "Your remarks are out of order. Continue with the verification."

Keller: "I had Representative Zeke Giorgi from South Rockford."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Keller: "Representative Richard Hart, from Benton."

Bradley: "Mr. Hart in the chambers? He's here."

Keller: "He's not in his chair, though, I missed him."



Representative Jack Hill."

Bradley: "Representative Hill, he's in the aisle. I can't hear you sir. Record Mr. Hill as voting no."

Keller: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Keller: "Representative J. D. Jones."

Bradley: "David Jones is here."

Keller: "Representative Emil Jones."

Bradley: "Emil Jones is in his chair." The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I make the point again and now I think we can tell by how he is making the verification that his verification is now dilatory."

Bradley: "I think your point is well-taken, there are 68 ayes, 45 noes and the amendment is adopted. Further amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #37, McClain. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended by deleting all of Section 25 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #37..."

Bradley: "The chair will recognize the Majority Leader, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I'd like to be recognized when the gentleman is through explaining his amendment."

Bradley: "Fine, alright. Mr. McClain on the amendment."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #37 to House Bill 1947 is a technically incorrect because it refers to Section 25 which was deleted with Jerry Shea's amendment #11 and I'd move to table."

Bradley: "Gentleman moves to table. Hearing no objections, the amendment is tabled. Further amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #38."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Yourell: "Yes, thank you. I was over in my office, Mr. Speaker,



and I came over to be verified because when they polled the absentees, my name was not called. Now in my absence somebody voted me and you refused to recognize me so that I could change my vote and vote the way I wanted to vote. Somebody voted my switch. Now I want to change my vote."

Bradley: "Does the gentleman have leave to change his vote?"

It will not affect the outcome at all. Leave being granted you can come up here and change your vote."

Yourell: "I vote no."

Bradley: "Record him as voting no on that particular roll call."

Selcke: "Amendment #38. Winchester. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended on page 12 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Hardin, Mr. Winchester, on Amendment #38."

Winchester: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #38 is co-sponsored by Representative Choate and Hart. It amends House Bill 1947 by appropriating \$1,000,000 from the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation for the purpose of curve widening and re-surfacing on Illinois 34 from intersection of Illinois 146 and Illinois 34 in Hardin County, Illinois to the intersection of Illinois 145 and Illinois 34 in Saline County. I move for its adoption."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #38 to House Bill 1947. Discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Representative Friedrich raised an interesting point in respect to Rule 8 and I would ask that the Chair rule on why we are functioning in contradiction to Rule 8. I make that as a point of order."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully suggest that you've already made your decision on that and the gentleman is out of order."

Bradley: "Point is well taken. On the question of the Amendment the lady from Lake, Ms. Geo-Karis, on the Amendment."



Geo-Karis: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't mind being merciful and I don't mind being helpful to other areas of the State of Illinois because the people there are part of Illinois but I think we already gave the gentleman \$500,000 in a prior amendment and therefore I'd like to speak against the amendment."

Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of amendment #38 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor will signify by voting aye, opposed...the gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to say that this is a good amendment. I'd like to see it pass for our good friend, C. L. McCormick, this is right down in his territory and as a matter of fact I'd like to see Paul Winchester do good on his freshman term, I think this would be a good bill for our old friend, C. L. McCormick, a good amendment."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, on the amendment."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think I heard my name attached to this amendment. I think I heard Representative Hart's name attached to this amendment. Now, Representative Dunn, has just stated that this is for good ole C.L....uh...Bob I have nothing against you and he also said he wanted to make a hero out of you. You've got to be a pretty big hero in the state because after all you got more votes than any other single candidate in the Legislature on the Republican side in the State of Illinois, I do believe. But I don't want to make too big of Hero out of you, I want to ask you what this amendment does."

Bradley: "Does the gentleman wish to respond?"

Winchester: "Yes. This appropriates one million dollars, Clyde, out of the Road Fund to the Department of Transportation for curve widening and re-surfacing of Illinois 34. As you know, Route 34 weaves in and out of the foothills of the Ozark mountain range in the Southern part of the State,



they're very bad curves. We recently had two automobile wrecks on it because they've been hit on with coal trucks, I think you'll recall it. We had one lady who was seriously killed and another family...seriously killed...who was killed. This one million dollars would be used in just re-widening and re-surfacing the curves from 146 to 34... seriously killed."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich. The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate." Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I only want to say this to you. That we gave C.L. all of that money for mosquitos bond and if I had known that you had this one I wouldn't have talked in behalf of the other one, to be quite frank with you, because this is more money than the other one was, but I do want to say also, that in as much as Representative Winchester and Representative Hart and myself really truthfully dearly love that little ole part of the country down there where we come from, I hope that the guided wisdom of this House can see fit to give us this money to widen, re-surface, take out the curves, and it ain't for C.L. it's for us'ns."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's very unusual that I speak against an amendment that will benefit my good friends in Southern Illinois, Representative Choate, but in turn and this amendment asks for one million dollars for the intersection of 146 and Illinois 34 in Hardin County, Illinois. I'll tell you this, if we pass all these bills for the 59th district they'll be better off than any other General Assembly that's been down here and I would hope that the membership would take a serious look at what's going on in this General Assembly at this late hour. In fact, the page just gave me a copy of amendment #49 on this fine bill and there were only 11 amendments offered in committee so the longer we stay



the more amendments we are going to be getting and I would hope that this amendment would be defeated and subsequent amendments as well. Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Question is on the adoption of amendment #38 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor will say aye, opposed will say no. Roll call. All those in favor will signify by voting aye, all those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Bradley no. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 31 ayes, 53 nos, 4 voting present, the amendment is lost. Any further amendments?"

Selcke: "Amendment #39."

Bradley: "Pardon me, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Shea: "I just had a calendar put on my desk indicating Tuesday, June 24th, supplemental II. I don't know what time it was printed but there are, if any other supplementals come out, could they please show Wednesday June 25th."

Bradley: "Point is well-taken."

Selcke: "Amendment #39. McClain. Amends House Bill 1947 as amended by deleting all of Section 25 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #39 is technically incorrect..."

Bradley: "Pardon me, sir. Pardon me. The gentleman from Union on a point of order."

Choate: "Uh...yes it is a point of order. I want the record to also show that the time that Representative Shea mentioned the supplemental calendar being placed upon his desk, it was the identical time that the supplemental calendar was placed on my desk and the House clock showed it being 12:14."

Bradley: "It will be so recorded." The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, do you seek recognition?"



Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I...I had a supplemental calendar put on my desk much earlier but I don't think that's germane, so I just on with the business of the House."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Lechowicz: "On a point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "State your point, sir."

Lechowicz: "This Amendment deletes the RTA Appropriation the effective..."

Bradley: "Mr. Lechowicz, your remarks are not timely. Let's the let the Sponsor of the Amendment explain the Amendment as usual, sir."

Lechowicz: "Now wait a minute. I would hope you'd order that the Amendment is not in order because Section 25 is... deleting Amendment #11."

Bradley: "Mr. Lechowicz, what was the prior Amendment you were referring to, sir?"

Lechowicz: "Amendment #11."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman from Cook would have been gracious enough to give me the opportunity, I would have made the motion to table Amendment #39 because it's technically incorrect. It referred to Section 25 which was taken out of Amendment #11. And I so move."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves to table Amendment #39. Hearing no objections the Amendment is tabled. Further Amendments."

Selcke: "Amendment #..."

Lechowicz: "Did you...did you table...?"

Bradley: "It's tabled, yes sir."

Selcke: "Amendment #40. Duff. Amend House Bill 1947 by adding after Section 17 the following and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, on Amendment..."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I yield to the Minority Leader."

Bradley: "Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of



House, I would ask leave that the House stand at recess until 1 o'clock for the purpose of a Republican conference. In Room 114."

Bradley: "...The gentleman asks leave of the House...for the purpose of recess until the...until 1 o'clock and if you would...Mr. Washburn. 1 o'clock?"

Washburn: "1:45 in Room..."

Bradley: "12:45?"

Washburn: "No."

Bradley: "1 o'clock. We'll we back on the floor of House ...will be..."

Washburn: "About 45 minutes."

Bradley: "The House will stand in recess for 45 minutes for the purpose of a Republican Conference, in what room, sir, did you want it?"

Washburn: "114 somebody said we had. Yeah."

Bradley: "114. Will everybody be back on the Floor at 1 o'clock."

Bradley: "The House will be in order and the Members will be in their seats. What did you want... The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff, on Amendment #40 to House Bill 1947. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on a point of order. State your point, sir."

Lechowicz: "Ah, yes, Mr. Speaker,... Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like a ruling from the Chair whether Amendment 40 is the same as Amendment #9 which was defeated?"

Bradley: "Amendment # which, sir?"

Lechowicz: "9." Amendment #9, Mr. Speaker, which was defeated."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I bring to the attention of the Chair and the representative from Cook, that the...there is a difference if he'll compare the two."

Bradley: "...Just a minute, sir, we'll compare the two Amend-





ments. The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, what purpose do you arise, sir?"

McClain: "Thank you, Mr...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would submit that Amendment #40 to House Bill 1947 is different than Amendment #9..."

Bradley: "We'll make a ruling on...make a ruling..."

McClain: "...And is germane."

Bradley: "We're going to reply to Mr. Lechowicz' point of order very shortly. In the opinion of the Chair, it's a dilatory Amendment. It is the same and we'll go to Amendment 41 to House Bill 1947."

Selcke: "Amendment 41. McClain. Amend House Bill 1947 as amended by inserting after Section 24 the following, Section 24.1 and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "...No, I tried to get an aye out. Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I disagree with you, Mr. Speaker. I think this is a re-worded different Amendment in different form, different language, and I...don't think it's identical to the other Amendment that was defeated here a couple of hours ago."

Bradley: "In the opinion of the Chair it's...word for word exactly the same except for the difference between resurfacing and reconstructing and the same dollar amount and I believe the Amendment is dilatory and we're going to proceed with Amendment 41. The gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Did you say the difference was reconstruction and rebuilding..."

Bradley: "Resurfacing, sir, was the only...the Chair has ruled on..."

Washburn: "Well, resurfacing is a lot different than reconstruction. Reconstruction, bridges, widening. Resurfacing is...places some blacktop on an existing road. That's an all together different project."

Bradley: "That's exactly right, sir, and we think in the opinion



you can't do the one for the same price as you can the other, obviously,...so it's a dilatory Amendment."

Washburn: "...I...And I...I can't see where it's a dilatory Amendment after all that gone on on the other side of the aisle up to this point tonight."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I discussed this. The Sponsor of the Bill recognizes that I was in error in my first Amendment and I've corrected that error with this Amendment. And it isn't dilatory, Mr. Speaker, it's an honest effort."

Bradley: "You proceed with the #9, it was defeated..."

Duff: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, and it was an error and I talked it over with the Sponsor and he has just indicated he agrees that it is not dilatory but is properly amended."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I make the point of order, you've made your ruling. The only thing that the gentleman can do is appeal your ruling. And not only that, we've proceeded on with the next Amendment."

Bradley: "I think your point is well taken. Mr. McClain, on Amendment 41. The gentleman appeals the ruling of the Chair. Roll call. All those in favor...the Chair being overruled will vote aye and those opposed will vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 84 ayes...or 54 ayes, 85 no's and 1 voting present. And your motion is lost, sir. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff. What purpose do you arise, sir?"

Duff: "I asked for recognition, Mr. Speaker, because of two reasons. Number one, I have had an opportunity to see the board to see how well people keep their word. Number two, I would like to put it on postponed consideration and I ask for that before you made the final roll call."

Bradley: "I don't think we can postpone an Amendment, sir. Or a motion. We've made that ruling, we so rule. Now,



further Amendments. Amendment 41, Mr. McClain. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Before we proceed with this Amendment, could the Clerk please read it in full, it's a very short Amendment."

Bradley: "The Clerk will read the Amendment."

Selcke: "Amendment #41. Amend House Bill 1947 as amended by inserting after Section 24 the following: Section 24.1. The following name sum or so much as may be necessary as appropriated from the Transportation Bond Series 'A' Fund to the Department of Transportation for federally assisted construction, reconstruction, extension and improvement of bridges. \$200,000,000."

Bradley: "The gentleman from...the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, sometime ago, I raised the question with the Speaker with regard to putting substantive language in an Appropriation Bill and I might read from the transcript earlier. 'Mr. Speaker, I would like and perhaps this is the wrong time to ask the question that an Appropriation Bill it is my understanding is not limited to one subject matter such as other Bills so that Section 2 or Section 3 of an Appropriation Bill could carry an appropriation to a different department or agency. Speaker Redmond: 'Would you repeat that, Representative Shea? Shea: Appropriation Bills by the Constitution are not limited like other pieces of legislation, limited to a single subject matter, is that correct, sir? Speaker Redmond: That is correct.

Shea: But Appropriation Bills are limited to the subject of appropriations and cannot carry a substantive matter within the appropriation. Is that correct, sir? Speaker

Redmond: That is my understanding.' And the Amendment before you, sir, there appears an appropriation from the Series 'A' Bond Fund. The Series 'A' Bond Fund was passed by this House for a downstate supplemental freeway system. Originally passed this House in an amount of \$600,000,000 and was subsequently raised to \$800,000,000. I would like,



if possible, for your parliamentarian to have a copy of that Act so that I may ask a question. My question, sir, is that this Amendment seeks to limit the purposes for which those authorized bonds, authorized by this House, can be used for; and specifically, sir, I point to the words 'to federally...for federally assisted'. Nowhere can I find within the Transportation Bond Series, sir, any limitation for the use of those funds for only federally assisted roads. I think by the wording of this we seek to either amend or limit the contract for which these bonds can be sold and, sir, I don't think we can do that in an appropriation. The issue that was passed, the law that was passed, by 107 Members of the House and a three-fifths majority of the Senate and signed by then Governor Ogilvie allowed those bonds to be sold for any purpose for the building of roads. And I would specifically ask your parliamentarian to read what the purposes of those bonds are and then ask you, sir, if this is not a substantive amendment within the meaning of the Constitution."

Bradley: "Well, if you'll give us a minute, Mr. Shea, we'll check the Act and give you a ruling. The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the parliamentarian is looking up the Transportation Bond Act, I would refer you to what I just sent up to you; ask further request from the Majority Leader and Appropriations I Committee we received a telegram from Chapman and Cutler which I have distributed to all the Members of the House yesterday and also a copy to yourself, sir, which it says quite specifically and I'd like to read it if I may. 'In our opinion, the authorization of Section 2 of the Transportation Bond Act to issue bonds for the acquisition, construction, reconstruction, extension and improvement of state highways, would include expenditure of proceeds of Transportation Bond Funds Series 'A' for construction



of federally assisted highways and would not be limited to supplemental freeway systems. Then it goes on and it's signed by Chapman and Cutler and I distributed it yesterday."

Bradley: "We have a copy of that here, we'll make a ruling in just a minute."

McClain: "Thank you."

Bradley: "Representative Shea have a copy of that? Wonder if you have a copy. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I've read it but I would direct the Speaker's attention to the letter. And that merely says that the bonds can be used for that purpose which I don't quarrel with. All I say to you is in the appropriation you cannot limit what the contract between...when you sell those bonds you cannot limit the proceeds to federally assisted highways. If you go back to Section 17, sir, in this very Bill we're dealing with, we've authorized \$253,000,000 worth of those bonds...or an appropriation of that amount of money, from those bonds, sir, and you will find that in that amount there is going to be \$23.4 million worth of federally assisted highways. And I don't notice them limiting the use of the proceeds in that section to federally assisted roads. Now when we put this bond issue together it was to do all the things that were in that telegram and to take advantage of federal assistance if available. But what we are seeking to do is not take advantage but limit."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I want to be heard on that, too. I didn't know we had a closed debate because someone makes a point of order I think that it's in order to debate that point of order so that a parliamentarian and a Chair can most appropriately rule. And...and I recall many times that I made a point of order in past Sessions on the matter of the Constitution calling for matters as to subject in Appropriation Bills. And I really think the intent of the Constitutional Convention on that was to



limit the Legislature from placing into....into Appropriation Bills many substantive matters. But I believe that Amendment #41 does what the Constitutional Convention really felt we should do; where we appropriate funds what purpose should they be used for and there's many times...as I recall, that there's been a transfer of funds and we...we say how those transfer of funds, for example, should...should be used. I think this would be appropriately, for example, the Transportation Bond Series and that the Amendment is truly within the Constitution. And I frankly recall one time...you know I don't appreciate anybody changing and making points of order when it is in one's best interest. I think we have to be consistent. I recall quite vividly when that point of order was made once before in a different...a certain ruling was made and I sat here sort of in amazement because I didn't think it was right and I think that to be consistent with the Constitution that you have to rule that Amendment #41 is in order as to the subject matter of an appropriation."

Bradley: "The lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it is very obvious to me that we're only getting completely conditioned not to understand what is going on, much is going on, we've been flooded with Amendment after Amendment and I think it would be wise if we were to adjourn and come here in the morning and start fresh and go on with this thing. I really mean this. I think we're doing a disservice to the public of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, if we don't use our just faculties that we're not in condition to use our best faculties when we're so darn tired after 15-1/2 hours straight."

Bradley: "You're not recognized for that purpose. Mr. Shea, in the opinion of the Chair, the Amendment stated...the Amendment does limit the funds but we don't find it is in conflict with the Bond Act itself. The Bond Act refers...in...in respect that you call it...it refers throughout



the Bond Act, state highways. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Sir, that is exactly what I said and you have just hit the nail on the head. You are, by that Amendment, attempting to limit the use of those funds for a purpose other than what they were authorized for. Anytime you seek to limit them, you go outside an appropriation ordinance, sir, and go into the substantive law."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I submit to you that in the subject that you have just discussed that you merely are saying that this is for one of the authorized purposes in the Bond Act that these can be used for. And it can be used for anyone of those authorized purposes. The Bond Act is general. I don't think that you are substantive in any way in this...in this Amendment that is being offered here and if anyone considered it to be in the least bit substantive, it is certainly...would be well within the rule of de minimus and I think what they're talking about in our Constitution is something that is...is something that is definitely, totally, wholly, generally, and expensively substantive in an appropriation act and I think that this is...is such a minor thing that it falls strictly within that Bond Act that it certainly does not violate the Constitution of the State of Illinois."

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, your point is well taken, sir. The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, on Amendment 41."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment 41 is one of the Amendments we've been waiting for all night. I think that I'd like to refer to you again that...of the copy of the telegram we all received and have on our desk from Chapman and Cutler which refers the authorization of Section 2 and that it is not limited to these...construction of federally assisted highways. This Amendment would provide for \$200,000,000 appropriation of a Series 'A' Bond Fund that financed, then, federally aided highway constructions. This Amendment



would provide for \$200,000,000 appropriation of a Series 'A' Bond Fund to finance...federally aided highway construction. This Amendment is necessary. The Department is able to undertake the full 791,000,000 fiscal '76 highway program for three basic reasons. One, the State Finance Act, prohibits incurring obligation in excess of appropriations. House Bill 1947 is reported out of the Appropriations Committee to appropriate funds sufficient to place only \$464,000,000 in nonsupplemental freeway projects under contract in fiscal '76. An additional appropriation of \$200,000,000 is needed just to get the full program under contract. If the additional appropriations were made out of the Road Fund rather than the Series 'A' Bond Fund, Road Fund revenues would not be sufficient to pay bills during the first full construction season after the mass of lettings and still take future construction in fiscal '77 and beyond. This project could be placed under contract and progress payments could somehow be made without the federal reimbursement generated by the bonded project. The Road Fund would be bankrupt or nearly so when 54,000,000 in deferred match had to be repaid to the Federal Government in fiscal '77 in accordance with federal law assuming we want to have a road program in fiscal '77 at all. Consequently if the \$200,000,000 Series 'A' Bond appropriation is not provided by the General Assembly, the Department will recommend cutting the fiscal '76 road program by whatever amounts were required to assure the solvency of the Road Fund. This would require a program cut in excess of \$200,000,000 because the ripple effect of the bonds. The heaviest cuts would of course have to be made in those programs that involve the most state road fund dollars. The \$30,000,000 state only program would have to be eliminated. The state primary program which is financed on a 70-30 matching basis would be reduced from \$190.9 million to 45,000,000. And the interstate program which





is financed on a 90-10 matching basis would be reduced from 219,000,000 to 169,000,000. A list for the individual projects that would have to be dropped and will be recommended to be dropped from the program was distributed to every Member on the House Floor by myself yesterday. I would state the intention of the...of the Department to only designate those projects for the 20 year design plan study. I'd urge the adoption of Amendment #41 to House Bill 1947."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, on the Amendment."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, some for the glories of this world and others pine for the profit Paradise to come but I say take the cash and let the credit go nor heed the call of the distant drums You know, of course, that Omar Khayyam was writing about highway bond issues when he wrote those lines and you know, too, that a thing closest to the heart of a thinking administration is the cold, quick cash that comes from a bond issue. Because a bond issue forces a confrontation with two other desperate choices in life. A public proclamation of bankruptcy or the politically unthinkable thing of raising taxes. Now others have spoken to you about the Department of Transportation's moment of truth with Appropriations I last Friday evening. I wish it had been possible for all of you to be there and to have seen first hand that marvelous experience. It would have reassured your wavering faith in the committee process. Messrs.Kane and Shea held DOT's feet to the fire so long and so closely that you could hear the flesh sizzling. And what did those worthies from DOT do under those circumstances? Well, I'll tell you what they did. The Assistant Secretary of Transportation, he finally after the cross examination, this grilling that bore upon the inhumane, said why yes, yes, as a matter of fact the purpose of the \$200,000,000 bond issue was to produce a



thing that the economist explained to us was called cash flow. Cash flow is a marvelous device as it puts off the realities of life. It's a way by which government doesn't have to publicly confess bankruptcy or raise taxes. If you would issue enough of these bonds or authorizations to this Administration, not only would it have to do any of those unpleasant things, it could actually issue a pre-election tax rebate to the voters throughout the State of Illinois. I'd...and don't for a moment think that that's not well within the realm of the possible and the probable. I plead with you; I beg with you; do not be taken in by this self-serving drivel from this Administration that they're giving any thought whatever to the curtailment of the program; to the abandonment of the program or to the lessening in any manner. If they should start in that direction the aroused citizenry of Illinois would rise up and run 'em out of office before the term was out. Happily, you were each given this little black book, I...the time isn't up, shut up, Duff... I...I want to say this to you. Happily you were each given this little black book and you got a chance to read it very carefully, I'm sure. You would have enjoyed watching the Secretary of Transportation. His pride, he swelled up like a poisoned toad when he told us about \$791,000,000 for the highway program for fiscal 1976. You would think that the State of Illinois had...had climbed to the top of Mt. Everest and someone had the audacity, I believe it was Representative Skinner, to point out that the State's commitment was actually \$180,000,000 less in fiscal '76 than it was in '75. This is no achievement of the Administration. The achievement is made possible by a windfall by the federal government. Their contribution comes to \$558.1 million in fiscal '76. Do they have the money to get the dough the...the Christmas present from Uncle Sam? I wish that each of you while I'm talking would turn to the table #3 at the next to the last table in the book. You read



the language, the same as I, and you will find that their initial balance, by their own figures, they stand convicted of \$97.8 million on the first day of July of 1975. Their projected receipt for this year are \$440,000,000. There isn't an economist on the face of the earth that knows anything about the matter that won't agree that's a very conservative figure. It doesn't take into consideration the fact that they'll get sizeable rebates from the State of...from the federal government for the tax that's going to be put onto the thing. At the end of this period, Ladies and Gentlemen, they'll have \$148,000,000 in the bank..."

Bradley: "Would the gentleman bring his remarks to a close."

Cunningham: "...Now the one thing that's important about this matter that the...takes...that includes \$121,000,000 of things called diversion. If you want to see the diversion treble, treble during the next year you'll vote for this bond issue and you will see MFT Funds spent for every use on the face of the earth..."

Bradley: "Mr. Cunningham..."

Cunningham: "...except to build roads." Now..."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, on a point of order."

Cunningham: "...I plead with you...that was second close..."

Schraeder: "You put the timer on because he never knows when to shut up."

Bradley: "I've been watching the time, sir. ...close, sir."

Cunningham: "...my microphone. Is it back on? Thank you very kindly. I realize the late...the hour is late. I do want to say to you that you've been very kind to listen to me. I would gently say to you, don't mortgage the future, the future of your children and your constituents children for an Administration...that refuses to face reality. Twenty years is too long to put a mortgage on the public for the benefit of operating expenses in government. It's just not in accordance with accepted



standards. Vote no. It'll be the best vote you ever made."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Kane."

Kane: "Would the gentleman yield to a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Kane: "Could you tell me how you arrived at the \$200,000,000 and when you expect to start spending it?"

McClain: "We expect to start spending it whenever it's...

whenever we receive the appropriation Representative Kane."

Kane: "Would you tell us how you arrived at the \$200,000,000?"

McClain: "Representative Kane, I'd be happy to. The program total this year is \$791,000,000. The unprogrammed and emergency work, that includes embankment failures, washouts blowout...blowup, etc. is about \$20,000,000. Periphery bond is about 4.7 million. Local share of federal aid projects 15.3 million. FA to Chicago, Cook, 3,000,000. Federal aid to billboard program is 5.2 million. Federal aid to highway beautification program is 3.5 million. Third party and consultant agreement is 22.3 million with a total of 865,000,000. In Series 'A' Bond Fund supplemental freeways 167.7 million. City of Chicago 32.5 million. Portion of federal aid highway program is 200,000,000 to include the total Series 'A' appropriations which we hope is 400.2 million. From the Road Fund 464.8 million. A total of about \$865,000,000 to match that."

Kane: "How much of that 865,000,000 do you expect to actually pay out this fiscal year?"

McClain: "We tried to prepare for every question. They're in about five different desks. Excuse me just for a second. As you probably know, Representative Kane, there's Pete 'Nelle' that's helping me find out the information. The payoff are...are...as fast as the contractors can get the bids worked, depends on the weather, depends on all kinds of things. To give you an elaborate formula it all depends on a lot of different attributes. Obviously



we have different options but we can go through the options if you like."

Kane: "Well, I have a cash flow statement here that's put out by the Department of Transportation which indicates that they're not going to go below the zero level until the second quarter of fiscal '77. So that you aren't going to need any bond money to pay out for construction, actually pay out for construction, until the second quarter of fiscal '77."

McClain: "Representative Kane, I'm sure you recognize that's not true. That's not exactly true and I think you know that."

Kane: "Well, can you tell me where the Department of Transportation is in error in its figures?"

McClain: "Well, we'll go right down the line if you will and I'll show you we receive it. The zero balance as of this date is 97.9 million. From MFT and MDR the total of 440.2 million. Miscellaneous and that is from sale of maps, issuance of permits, etc., that comes to 22.5 million. Total revenue is about 462.7 million. If you take the total dollars from state sources and a total available you come to total of 560.6 million."

Kane: "Representative McClain, now I'm not questioning those figures, I'm looking at this chart that was prepared by Pete 'Nelle' who is sitting beside you. Could you tell me which of those figures are in error?"

Bradley: "Mr.Kane, are you through, sir?"

Kane: "No, I'm asking...he's answering..."

McClain: "I don't know which map of which...we went through, as Representative Totten informed you, we've revised the figures; trying to be as accurate as we can with the Democratic staff and the Republican staff. I really don't know which...which scale you're showing me. Could you..."

Kane: "I'm...I'm showing Mr. 'Nelle' this note."

McClain: "There've been quite a few, Doug, can you have somebody ..."



Kane: "Yes, there have been a lot of different figures supplied by the Department of Transportation, they all seem to be contradictory."

McClain: "I...Representative Kane, what I'm trying to say is we've worked very closely with Republican and Democratic staff trying to get the most accurate figures, as you can imagine as MFT goes up or goes down, it's very difficult to exactly state the exact figures and we've tried to work closely with both staffs and I...real...honestly don't know which...which scale you're going to and that's all I'm asking you is to bring it back and see which one to which you're referring."

Kane: "May I ask one more question, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "Proceed, sir."

Kane: "Representative McClain in this piece of paper that you've put out explaining the need for this \$200,000,000 of Series 'A' Bond appropriation, you make the statement in order to undertake this expanded program the Department will require a \$200,000,000 Series 'A' Bond appropriation to finance a portion of the federal aid program and as well as a 4% increase in personal services. Now I draw your attention to Amendment #41 which says that the \$200,000,000 is to build highways. Could you explain to me how you divert money from building highways to paying for personal services?"

McClain: "Well, Representative Kane, I don't quite understand. I'm trying to find the material right now."

Kane: "On page 5 of memorandum to Members of General Assembly distributed by Representative Michael McClain."

Bradley: "Just a minute, Mr. McClain. The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms, on a point of order. State your point."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is not a committee meeting. Now, we're entering into a...a dialogue between Mr. Kane and the Sponsor of the Amendment. It's been the policy again to allow one or two questions but are now into a



series of questions."

Bradley: "The gentleman asked leave to ask one more question. I think this is his last question. The Chair recognizes that and I think that will conclude his remarks." You wish to reply to the..."

McClain: "...Mr. Speaker, of course. All I was referring to and maybe I'm lawyer but I haven't passed the bar yet. I'm ...maybe as specific as I want to be, the amount was only referred to as trying to enhance our position that we need a...a personnel boost in order to handle this kind of program. In no way, Doug, in no way am I implying Series 'A' fund appropriated here would be used to fund personnel. And I'm sorry I misstated it and I should have stated it more accurately."

Kane: "May I address myself to the Amendment, Mr. Chairman."

Bradley: "Continue. You have ten minutes time to proceed and make it as quickly as possible, would you..."

Kane: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the statements have been made and they are very clear from the Department of Transportation by the Secretary of the Department and by his assistant that this bond money...that this bond money that we're being asked to appropriate; it is being taken away from the downstate freeway system; is going to be used for cash flow for liquidity and to finance the ongoing functions of the Department. Let me show you how that works. Is that the highways that they're going to fund by bond money is basically 30-70. Thirty percent state money and seventy percent federal money. But instead of bonding only the State share, what the State is doing is bonding the whole project so that if we're appropriating \$200,000,000 worth of State bond money instead of getting another seventy percent so a total of some 600 or 700 million dollar's worth of highways they're going to be getting only \$200,000,000 worth of highways and when the seventy percent share of the federal government comes back to reimburse the State that



money is going to go into the Road Fund. And what's the Road Fund used for? Most of it is used for personal services; for diversion for the Secretary of State's Office; for the Department of Law Enforcement; and that's where this money is going to end up. It's not going to end up in concrete; it's not going to end up in blacktop; it's going to end up paying for ongoing expenses of State government that come out of the Road Fund. And Ladies and Gentlemen, this is what has happened in New York City and in other parts of the country that when we go and start bonding for current expenses we're digging ourselves into a hole that we're not going to climb out of. This isn't a question of whether or not we're going to have a large highway program or a small highway program. It's a question of whether we're going to have a big bust in the Road Fund or small bust in the Road Fund. Ladies and Gentlemen, if you vote for this appropriation of \$200,000,000 of bond money the Road Fund is going to come to a big bust. And you and I are going to have to pay for it."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer. Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Lauer: "Representative McClain, what are your best figures on the effective interest rate which these bonds which you propose would be issued?"

McClain: "Representative Lauer, this is no new authorization. It's just an appropriation."

Lauer: "I realize this is an appropriation but it...if \$200,000,000 of bond funds therefore I think the interest rate on those bonds is a significant issue here."

McClain: "Representative Lauer, it's usually the current market, but it's 5.5%."

Lauer: "5.5%. Thank you, Representative McClain. If I may speak to the Bill..."





Bradley: "Proceed..."

Lauer: "...To the Amendment, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, I am normally of a mood even though he's on my side of the aisle to warn the House to beware of the dulcet though stentorian tones of the gentleman from Lawrence. And I would also warn the House against his honeyed words and magnificent phrases because sometimes a well turned phrase is a guise for (unintelligible) thought. But let me put it this way, Mr. Speaker, if I may borrow a phrase from the gentleman from Macoupin, if you put even a blind hog in an oak eventually he'll likely find an acorn and the gentleman from Lawrence is right on this issue because he has found the acorn. Because if I may point out that if 5%, just giving the benefit of the doubt, a 5% of \$200,000,000 in 15 years will double to where it cost the State \$400,000,000 you add to that at a compound interest the extra half percent and this adds to it again about \$40,000,000. I think I may make my point the best if I point out that William the Conqueror had put one English penny out at compound interest of 5% at the time of the Battle of Hastings there is not now in the world enough money to pay the interest upon the investment. This is the sort of situation that the State of Illinois is...is being led into with our bonded spending program. Especially when we consider that many of these projects do not last long enough even for the bonds to come mature. This being the case, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would strongly recommend that this Amendment be defeated."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he will."

Shea: "Mr. McClain if in this \$200,000,000 authorization where does the interest from the investment of this money go?"

McClain: "Representative Shea you pay interest, you don't get interest."

Shea: "Sir, if you sold these bonds and didn't take the money



but invested it, where does the interest on the Series 'A' Bond Fund go? I...I'll phrase it another way. If you sold the bonds, you pay the interest out of the Road Fund but if the bonds are invested by the Treasurer, the proceeds of the bonds are invested by the Treasurer, where do those proceeds go that are transferred to the General Revenue Fund, are they not, sir?"

McClain: "Representative Shea, they are only sold as needed and they go into the General Revenue."

Shea: "In other words any interest off this is a transfer into the General Revenue Fund, is that right?"

McClain: "That's what the Bond Act calls for, sir."

Shea: "Now, sir, you're talking about selling \$200,000,000 worth of bonds and using it to build federally assisted highways. Those would either require the federal government to come up with a match of 90% or 70%; either a \$180,000,000 or \$140,000,000. If you were to sell the \$200,000,000 worth of bonds and pay for the highway project would the 140 or \$180,000,000 of federal money that was returned would that go to use...would that money be used to pay off these bonds or would it be returned to General Revenue...or I mean to the Road Fund?"

McClain: "It would go to the Road Fund, sir, but the Road Fund pays off the interest on the bonds."

Shea: "But if...sir, if the federal government reimbursed us for the building of all those roads with that \$200,000,000 within the next two years, we would still pay off those bonds over 20 years and use that money to build either other roads or for operating expenses, would we not?"

McClain: "That's the usual procedure in authorization of bonds and I...I think that's the right procedure, don't you?"

Shea: "No, sir. May I speak to the Bill, sir?"

Bradley: "You certainly may, sir. Proceed."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we spent several hours in Committee going over this and the Department showed absolutely no need for additional cash



at this time. Now I've never seen such a bunch of my good friend Bill Pollock used to say, such a bunch of sawdust. When you've got that black book with all those projects lined out and get cold without this bond issue you couldn't get those projects and we wouldn't get \$331,000,000 in federal monies. That's the biggest joke, the biggest hokum-pocum I've heard of; and you know I'm beginning to find out what Ray Ewell was talking about when he said the fellow that steals \$50 or \$100/<sup>goes to jail</sup>and the fellow that takes in millions and hundreds of millions nobody understands and you just go ahead and do it. Well, let me tell you, we've got absolutely no need for this bond money and this program this year. But let me go one step further. Let me ask you, you that were here in '71 when we voted the Series 'A' Bonds, it was for a downstate supplemental freeway system. Where is the moral commitment made to this General Assembly to use the money for downstate supplemental freeways? With the Amendment by this Administration it breaks every pledge that was made for the use of those bonds. I think it's a bad Amendment and I think it should be defeated."

Bradley: "The gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put? We'll have a roll call on this question. All those in favor of the Amendment will...or the motion will vote aye and those opposed will vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 86 ayes, 49 no's and the motion carries, the...the motion loses. The motion is lost and the lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "May...will the...will the Sponsor yield to a few questions, please?"

Bradley: "He indicates he will."

Geo-Karis: "Ah, Mr. Sponsor, when you say transportation bonds



Series A Fund, would you tell me, are these revenue bonds or Federal obligation Bonds?"

McClain: "They are General Obligation Bonds."

Geo-Karis: "And...uh...Mr. Sponsor...uh...and I don't object to you asking all of these questions, I have to know some answers here. I can tell you that two years ago, I believe, there was a lake front highway contemplated in Lake County, I don't see it in the Black Book, I don't see it in the Yellow Book, can you tell me just what happened to the plans that were told to this General Assembly within the last two years, relative to some of the highways planned for Lake County, Illinois."

McClain: "Adeline, I've been informed that they are continuing to purchase the right-of-way for the Lake Front expressway."

Geo-Karis: "Well, I can tell you this if I may speak on the amendment, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We were notified, first of all I'm speaking against this amendment, to show what is happening to Lake Front Highway, we have been told will not go past Waukegan, Illinois. Which leaves out the cities of Zion, Winthrop Harbor to the border of Kenosha which goes north. Number 2 if these are general obligation bonds they carry the full faith and credit of the State of Illinois. Now, if there are not sufficient funds to pay these bonds then the tax-payers of Illinois will have to get a tax increase to pay them, and I can't see the sense of it when the Governor in his message said that we need to cut down because we're short 300... we're short better than 300 million dollars and yet we are going to undertake a 200 million dollar bond whether it's bonds or not, it's still money and if it's going to go for personal services as what prior speakers spoke about what are we doing. We are not taking care of the roads



we need so badly, I submit that we are doing it as a waste of tax payers money."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr....thank you Mr...."

Bradley: "Your on, sir."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to speak to the amendment in what I would call an uneducated fashion. I've listened to the arguments here all evening, read the memoranda that have been distributed with regard to this amendment, I've heard the arguments on one side there are those who say we need zero additional bonds to get by, on the other side we hear those that say we need 200 million dollars to get by, there are those who say we need to have bond funds immediately, there are those who say we can survive until 1978 without them and at 2:00 in the morning, all I can think of to do if the State and I'm willing to effect compromise, I haven't heard anybody propose this, I don't know what all the ramifications are, but I certainly willing to vote for 100 million dollars in additional bonds and nothing else at this point."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, this raises a point that I was just going to make and I wanted to ask the sponsor of this amendment a question. On the table that was submitted to us by the Highway Department which reads in its Title - Proposed Highway Program Without 200 Million Series A Bond Authorization, and going down to the bottom line it shows a balance of 71.2 million dollars without any bond money. Now, there have been some additional diversions and appropriations to other programs which comes off that and leaving some room for error or mistake in the anticipated income and using the suggestion that was just made, if this Body authorized the issuance of 100 million dollars in bonds would there be any legitimate excuse for the Director or



the Governor to...uh...wash the program and say that he would not be able to build it? Now taking that into account that we'll be back here in October, we'll be back here in January if it develops by that time that he has been able to initiate the road program fast enough and... to get this done. Also, keeping in mind that three weeks after the pay out of any state monies they are reimbursed by Federal monies. Now I want to ask the question that is brought about by that, if the suggestion of the last speaker was adopted and we authorized the issuance of 100 million dollars, could the Governor or the Director or the Secretary if you want to call him that, legitimately refuse to start the programs that are outlined to obtain the federal money."

McClain: "Thank you Representative Friedrich. I'd be more than happy to direct myself to your question. The year end remaining balance available as projected would be about 78.7 million dollars. With the acceptance of Dan Houlihan's House Bill 1593 or Senate Bill 693 or what ever and reduce that by 17 million dollars that leaves us approximately 61 million dollars in the road fund presently without any bond authorization. If you take into account that we would have to pay back if we instituted the whole program, approximately 65 million dollars not excepting any bonding appropriation would mean that you are putting the road fund in bankruptcy and I would think, and I speak from just personally that I think any staff member that would suggest the Governor to continue a full road fund program under that kind of auspices ought to be fired on the spot. So what your dealing with then is what level do you need. Now, I've looked over the figures a lot more since the appropriation meeting the other day and in order to effectuate what I think is a stable road program and I mean the entire road program I think you need the two hundred million and I differ with Representative Dunn because we would still



be short of 100 million dollars of obligation authority in order to fluctuate the entire program. I think as a practical matter one has to see that it is not a year by year basis. We have to look at projections, we estimated Motor Fuel Tax at the high level, which Representative Totten has suggested, and I think that I stand by this amendment and I stand by it up or down. Thank you Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Then I want to make a brief statement, the chart..."

Bradley: "Proceed."

Friedrich: "The chart further shows in case you haven't looked at that at the end of FY 80 it would take 170.7 or allowing for these other diversions let's say 200 million by the end of that time. Now I think the thing you can't lose sight of is that we are going to adjourn hopefully next week but we will be back in October, that's not even enough time to get all these programs going, in terms of really spending any money, and then we'd back in another two months in January and I would like to think that this Body is responsible and would not want to be responsible for losing federal money. Now the dilemma that the body has and this is no secret because you've all talked about it, nobody wants to lose the federal but yet nobody wants to pump a bunch of money in here which will be recovered and become cash which becomes a thing which can be used by the Governor for political purpose, we might as well put the cards on the table, and that's what the cards are nobody wants to be responsible for losing this federal money and nobody wants to be responsible for putting a big bunch of cash in the Governor's hand to spend any way he wants, now that's dilemma we have and we give him none maybe we could justify scrapping the program if we give it all to him then he has money to play with and I don't think any of us want to go either route."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



I rise in the interest of the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. I rise in the interest of the taxpayers versus special interest. Special interest from the standpoint if this amendment is adopted it will be a political special interest because it's going to save the chief executive from the standpoint of facing up to the facts, whether he should have a tax increase or whether he should not to resolve the problem of the fact that the road fund is soon going to be bankrupt. I rise to oppose this amendment simply because the Director of the Department of Transportation, all of the Governor's Aides, and anybody else they could get on the floor of this House under the rules of this House has for the last two weeks been walking into Democrat members and, yes, Republican members and twisting their arms, and you know it and I know it. They've been twisting and they've been promising. And I want to say to you Republican members, I want to say to you Republican members tonight that if you were even half way politically smart you'd be totally opposed, sometimes I don't even think your half way politically smart because many of ya, many of ya, behind a promise have said your going to go along. I've got news for you all, the amendment that we adopted earlier tonight for the 59th District, sponsored by Representative Hart, Winchester, and myself, I've been offered, we could have had anything we wanted if I didn't oppose this thing. I've got news for you all. I happen to think, I happen to think in the interest of the taxpayers of this State, I happen to think of the interest of my daughters, my daughters children and yes, my grandchildren, hopefully, one of these days, that we're not going to ever adopt a policy simply for political public relations to bail the Governor out of this State. And if it's done tonight, my friends, it's done by you all on the other side of the aisles. You should be protecting your own party. You should vote against this amendment."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Bradley: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Bradley: "He indicates that he would, sir."

Tuerk: "Are these bonds a new authorization or is it a re-authorization of the bonds?"

McClain: "No, sir, it's not an authorization or re-authorization. It's an appropriation from the Series A Bond Fund to which Representative Shea has referred to pass in '71."

Tuerk: "Well..."

McClain: "The Transportation Bond Act passed in 1971."

Tuerk: "Yes, I realize that."

McClain: "But it's not an authorization, Representative, sir."

Tuerk: "Well, it's an appropriation. Now, in effect, this appropriation is a hedge to get access to the federally recently released impounded funds, is that a true statement?"

McClain: "Could I give you a little bit of history without prolonging the issue? When President Ford released the impounded funds, about two billion dollars, thirty-five of the fifty states had the immediate matching funds, fifteen states, out of whom of which Illinois was one of them, did not have the matching funds available, hence House Resolution #3786..."

Bradley: "That is not the sun coming up."

McClain: "I just thought the General Assembly was being enlightened. Hence, House Resolution #3786, it passed the House and passed the Senate and the President signed it on, I believe, June the 4th. Now what this means, Representative Tuerk, Members of the General Assembly, is that all programs must be approved by the...uh... federal highway administration by September 30th and all bids must be advertised by November 15th. The issue that is being raised, I believe, by Representative Friedrich by Representative Dunn, by Representative Choate and now by yourself is that this is a haste or not program. We have to do it now and we cannot wait for some kind of God's



gift in the future. We have to be practical, pragmatic, to receive the monies now and that's really the issue."

Tuerk: "Are the facts of the case, we do not have the funds to qualify for the recently released federal impounded funds? Isn't that a fact?"

McClain: "Your just close enough..."

Tuerk: "Let me re-phrase it, sir."

McClain: "Please."

Tuerk: "How do we get after the federally impounded funds that have been recently released?"

McClain: "We offer the Federal Highway Admin...well, first of all, the Federal Highway Administration has asked for a statement from us concerning the solvency of our road fund. Second of all, we offer plans to the Federal Highway Administration for approval by September 30th. Then we offer bids, or we advertise bids for those programs, we then...uh...and I'm not, after that I get a little confused, but we let the bids and we pay out to the contractors and we used to receive the reimbursement from the Federal government within three weeks. That's about the time table, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I've heard some of the talks made tonight, I've heard some talk by the Representative from Southern Illinois about having being given an offer to help with this program and I suppose if he was given an offer of assistance for his part of the district it was because he could probably deliver some votes. But let me say this to you here tonight. I speak as an individual from my district, I deliver only one vote, that's the vote I was given by the electorate in my 46th district and I choose at this point and time to make the decision, as to whether or not we need this assistance to the State of Illinois for roads. And let me say further, when the



Representative from downstate was leader of our party in the last session of the Legislature, he convinced me that RTA and the funds we needed out of this House of Representative and the Senate, should go to Chicago to fund transportation in Chicago, I was one of the first ones to put my green light on, reluctantly, but I thought it was a necessity and I did it, I did it on the expense of my leadership. And when I got back home, people asked me why I voted for the RTA and I said because Chicago is a part of Illinois and they need it and Illinois needs it. I think we ought to be a little bit realistic, we've got unemployment, we've got bad highways, and yes in my district we've probably got as bad of highways as Cunningham's got down in the swamps. But let me say this, I probably won't get anything out of this, we very seldom get anything when it comes to roads, but I'm willing to sacrifice part of my system to get downstate roads which we haven't had anywhere. I think we're entitled to our share and I consider downstate part of our share from the last RTA package last year, this is our share. And I'm not concerned about the State transferring some funds into the General Revenue Fund but I think this is a honest approach to get good government, good roads, and we need them, it's an attempt to get federal funds and, Lord only knows, we need it, but beyond that we have unemployment in the State of Illinois and believe me it takes a lot of man-power to do a road and if we've got our hand out here for federal funds we'd better grab it and put our unemployed to work. That's all I'm saying and I'm going to give it a green vote for the full 2 hundred million dollars."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and fellow members of the House."



It's late I'm not going to take much time. I just want to tell you a couple of political facts in relation to my district and I think I know it, if Governor Walker runs tomorrow, he'd be thoroughly defeated and I come from a Democratic district, I think I know what would happen in my district, I won't guarantee next November or next spring but tomorrow he'd get skunked. Now I'm going to vote for my grandchildren, I like what I heard Representative Choate say about his not having grandchildren and hope that he does someday, well I got them and I haven't voted for anything in this House or anywhere else, I haven't done a thing yet, since 1959 when the first one was born, except what will it do for my grandchildren and I am not going to put any 200 million dollars a burden on my children and my grandchildren to have to pay for under these conditions. And I could care less about federal funds, I'm sick of it all."

Please go to next page #303



Bradley: "The gentleman from Madison, how did he...all right, the gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I'll not take much time but there are many here on the Floor of this House who fought and bled for road programs in past years. We tried to get roads for their districts no matter what part of this State that...they may live in; what part of the State they represent. One of the problems that we have had over a long period of years is the impoundment of federal funds. The federal funds that supplement state money that we had is...increasingly more shorter supply. We're impounded in Washington and we could not have the kind of programs that we were all so desperately wanting and our people in our districts were so desperately needing. This time we're not asked to authorize an addition to any bonds program. This is an appropriation from an...all ready authorized program and it takes \$200,000,000 for us to get all of the federal funds that can be made available to the State of Illinois. I want to see those funds come to Illinois. Alabama's got enough of it; and Mississippi's got enough of it. There have been too many in fact going into those different states and it's high time that Illinois start getting its share. In fact, we've been darn low in our share of the federal return of the tax dollars that the State of Illinois' been sending to the federal government for far too long. I want to see these funds come back here and to see us have the best kind of a road program we possibly can. I don't want the Department of Transportation coming to me and saying well, I'm sorry, we can't build the roads in your district or in that district because you didn't give us the authorization that we needed to have the funds that we could obligate so that before the 30th of September we could have these contracts available and take advantage of all of those federal funds. We can't wait until October. It's got to be done before the 30th of



September or otherwise we're not going to get those monies. So I say that what we have to do at this time is the best we can; get the \$200,000,000 and say all right now come up with all of those federal funds and give us this highway program otherwise we certainly we don't have any... any reason to complain if we don't do this."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Bradley: "The gentleman moves the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All those in favor say aye. Opposed say no. The ayes have it. The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, to close."

McClain: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would just like to address the concerns expressed that the federal reimbursement for highway construction obligated against bond fund appropriations might find a way into the operations budget of the state agency. I've had a number of members express concern about this possibility and I indeed share their concern. I would like to go on record that I'm agreeable to cosponsor a Bill in the next Session with anyone who cares to join me that would require that any federal aid reimbursement received from bonded highway construction would be placed in an earmarked fund and would be available only for highway construction. Secondly, Mr. Speaker, what we are really talking about here and I've never tried to malign in any other way is the difference between a big program and a small program. I'm saying again that any DOT official that recommended to go ahead with the full program as outlined in those black books without this \$200,000,000 appropriation is a fool. But if we go ahead and defeat this Amendment and if the Department of Transportation would go ahead, what we'd really be doing in this General Assembly right now is putting the road fund into bankruptcy and a year from now when we're in a 'mace'...a primary we'd have to be discussing whether to raise motor fuel taxes or not and for us downstate, we



would not be reelected. In my area and in like Paul Stone's area; in collar areas we'd be defeated by even considering raising motor fuel taxes. That's the...basic issue, it's whether you either believe in a big program or a small program and I've never said it any other way. I'd urge your aye votes."

Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #41 to ...House Bill 1947. All those in favor will signify by voting aye; and opposed by voting no. The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, to explain his vote."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm totally happy to hear the Sponsor admit...admit that he's afraid to go back in his district and talk about the responsibility and the financial responsibility of...the motor fund...of the road fund. He, by self admittance, admitted...admitted that the motor fuel road fund is totally bankrupt and that by subscribing to his position you've got a little self saving face saving for the Administration. And he, by his own admission, said that the Director had been on this Floor, making promises to many members on the Democratic and Republican side to bail this Amendment out. Well, let me tell you all one thing, my friends, that if you have any question of doubt at all about the face, the responsibility and the ability to keep promises I can be the very first example of how and the... my colleague from my district can be the very first examples of how the present Administration keeps promises because two years ago I handled DOT's budget and a year before that I handled DOT's budget and a year after that I handled DOT's budget and the only commitment that I asked for in behalf of my colleagues, the people of southern Illinois and myself, was to have the freeway built from Shawneetown, Harrisburg into the East St. Louis-St. Louis market area. Well, they got around to buying a couple of acres of land; three years later they've gotten around to buying a couple of acres of land; they're now getting



ready to going...going into the planning and feasibility stage, three years later after the commitment. And the only thing I ever asked out of this Administration; the only thing I ever asked out of this Administration was to build that freeway into the marketplace of St. Louis. No jobs, no commitments of any nature and if anybody in this Administration says they ever gave me a job, they're a damn liar. And you on the other side and you on this side tonight take the same commitment that I took two or three years ago and you're voting on false promises. Promises that will not be kept. And I'm telling you all one thing. You are the one that's going to go back to your constituents and say yes, I cut a deal. Yes, I took the word of the Administration. And Glen, raise your point of order, you can ask me and then I'll answer you, because I truthfully know more about this thing than you do. You are the one, you are the one, that owe responsibility to the people of your district and you owe responsibility to the people of the State of Illinois..."

Bradley: "Mr. Choate, would you bring your remarks to a close?"

Choate: "Yes, I will."

Bradley: "Thank you, sir."

Choate: "And if you make an erroneous commitment, and a commitment that you can't keep that will not be fulfilled by the Administration, then your record will rest on your shoulders. I don't care, truthfully, whether this passes or whether it loses but I'll tell you all one thing, by past experience there is absolutely no way that you can commit yourself...you can commit yourself to the people of your district, to the people of the State of Illinois and keep faith with them...shut the mike off if you want to... but I'll...I'll still talk to them. Keep faith with the people of the State of Illinois and vote the way of the promises that has been made to you all this week."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, to explain his vote. Is that what you want, sir?"





JUN 24 1975

307

McClain: "No, sir. I rise on a point of personal privilege."

Bradley: "State your point, sir."

McClain: "Representative Choate, I did not even honor your remarks about Director Bond or anyone being on this floor making deals. I didn't even honor that nonsense. I would like to go further, sir, by saying I'm afraid to campaign. Sir, I'll match you any district in the State; and, sir, I'll have so many people going door to door, it'll be your last term."

Bradley: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Bankruptcy. I'm not the one that proposed the \$11,000,000,000 budget to bankrupt this State. Bankruptcy. I'm not the one who voted to rip \$100,000,000 from the General Revenue Fund this year to subsidize the RTA. Bankruptcy. I'm not the one who voted to rip \$60,000,000 right off the top of the road fund this year, Mr. McClain. You did."

Bradley: "The gentleman from..."

Skinner: "Bankruptcy. I'm not the one that's proposing to bond tax flow. You are. Raise road taxes. I didn't vote for RTA, you did. Now, I would suggest, Mr. McClain, that if want...if you think you can pull people out of the woodwork and..."

Bradley: "Would the gentleman..."

Skinner: "...Beat people out of a district..."

Bradley: "...Keep...remarks to the Amendment, please..."

Skinner: "...Come in my district...you try to get your Governor a majority in any county in my district, if this thing gets passed. If anyone who is interested in the fact will take a look at Option III you'll see there's a...balance according to DOT of forty...of \$148,000,000. Now why? Anybody got a guess? Could it possibly be because the Governor wants to get the next fiscal year's projects under way and the road bloc before the November election could not possibly be the reason? I think it's the reason. I think this is the most politically corrupt



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

budget I have ever seen. It is destined to do one thing and that is renominate and reelect a Governor of the State of Illinois who can't..."

Bradley: "Sir. Sir. You're out of order. You're out of order. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich, to explain his vote."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I rise..."

Bradley: "Just a minute. Just a minute, Mr. Matijevich..."

Matijevich: "I rise..."

Bradley: "...The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, on a point of order...or on a point of personal privilege, sir?"

Choate: "That's right, sir."

Bradley: "Proceed, sir."

Choate: "I don't think, Mr. Speaker, that I referred to the young gentleman from Adams in my remarks. I did not say the Sponsor. I said the...I said the Director. And John we don't need to use the prompter, 'cause I can hear you over my shoulder. Then I'll answer you. That's when I passed this budget for him. You're right, John. But, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as long as a young gentleman challenges me to come to my district, as long as the young gentleman challenge me that he would go house to house in my district, I invite him, not with a challenge, to come down there and talk to my people because he's due for a sad awakening. They believe in Clyde Choate. They believe, or I wouldn't have been here this long and I'll be here as long as I want to and the Governor knows that because he ran his son-in-law against me. I will be here as long as the people of my district wants me to be here...and I have always to the very best of my ability represented the interest of the people of Southern Illinois. Secondly, I have represented the interest of the people of...in the confines of the entire State of Illinois. And Mac, I invite you to come down fishing with me, breaking bread with me, eating country gravy and then if you want to go out against me, fine. I'll take that too."



Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijeovich."

Matijeovich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, to explain my vote, it's 3 a. m. and I guess sometimes I'm sorry that God, my mother and father gave me a good memory because sometimes it gets me into trouble and believe it or not I respect Clyde and he knows that during the speakership race I said some things I shouldn't have said. But let me say that it's 1979...or '75 here and there's some intervening years that something happened. You know, I recall quite vividly when Bob Craig, my good friend Bob Craig and I walked over to Paul Simon's office and pleaded with him and he agreed with us that we...this is germane, I'll tell you it's germane, if you'll listen, Buz."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Matijeovich: "...Because we..."

Yourell: "Point of order."

Bradley: "State your point."

Yourell: "I think the gentleman should confine his remarks to Amendment and not past history."

Bradley: "Think the point's well taken, John."

Matijeovich: "Everybody else has been talking about past history because we're talking about a bond issue and I'm telling you who got us where we're at today. Now the... Clyde can talk about the fact that we're going broke; that we should live within our budget, but I want to tell you that he supported every one of those bond issues and took the lead in them...took the lead. Oh, well, I don't know where you would have been Clyde, I don't remember, I guess wasn't here then. Well, I helped you beat it then."

Bradley: "John, let's not..."

Matijeovich: "Well, it is important because...after all what he stood then for was to use those bond issue for road purposes, for road building and evidently that's what Mike McClain is trying to do by this Amendment. I recall that Adlai Stevenson always saying that we're never getting our fair share of federal funds. Well, we're not going to get



them. We're not going to get them if we don't produce ...our state...share of revenue. And that's what the Amendment is all about. But I want to sit here and say that there's a lot of hypocrisy going on, I'm...I'm sure my friend Bob Craig won't get up here and say it but I know just what he's thinking right now."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?"

The gentleman from Cook, Mr...Farley to explain his vote."

Farley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the roll call on that board is very clear and to explain my no vote I would only say that on the other side of the aisle I would hope that they get what was promised. On this side of the aisle, I would hope that those would get what they were promised. To the citizens of the State of Illinois and in that primary I would hope that they would ask who's knocking on their door and from where they come and from where they work. The Sponsor of this Amendment made it very clear to the gentleman of Union what the intentions of this Amendment were. I vote no and at the proper time I'd like to be recognized for a verification of the roll call."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn, to explain his vote."

Dunn: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, I've been interested all evening in learning and listening to distinguished gentlemen and...and the Sponsor of the Bill talk about transportation bonds Series 'A'. Earlier I heard the Majority Leader say that these bonds were issued, or authorized to be issued in 1971 under the then Governor Ogilvie. If we use these bonds for this purpose as we're about to do by the looks of this Amendment, I would like to ask you how and when are we going to have to vote. We people who are in this House now vote another series of bonds so we can someday build the freeways that were promised under the 1971 bond issue. I'd like to say that I certainly think that this the wrong use of the money.



I'm sure the people that were here then, I wasn't here in 1971, in fact I look around I guess the majority of us were not here in 1971 but I can imagine the agony and sweat those people went through, the promises that were made to them that they would build the freeways throughout the State of Illinois in return for their vote for the bond issue. I've heard Representative Farmer, Representative Jim Holloway tell about that; I've heard former Representative Doc Springer tell about how that they voted so that we would have some roads in southern Illinois and then how under the first year of the Walker Administration we were totally ignored. I wondered why, then, now I see why. He thought that he was going to lead our state into bankruptcy. Maybe the only ace he would have would be the credit that he could generate out of these Series 'A' bonds that you people who were here in 1971 to help vote and help pass. I think this is the wrong use of these funds. This is the reason I'm voting no. I'd rather not have any roads in southern Illinois for the next two years and go ahead like Roscoe and drive my mule and wagon to get to town if I have to rather than to go broke. And rather than not to have the freeway someday. I'm voting no. I urge a no vote."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Down, to explain his vote."

Down: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it is the time for quiet voices and to get away from some of the innuendo and that kind of thing and I think that's over with now and I praise that. I hope in these brief moments I am afforded the same latitude and the same courtesy that has been afforded some of the previous speakers. Freedom is something that we can possess internally and the security of feeling of what we do is an expression of our conscience and what we were elected to come here to do. And I feel especially free right now to do what I think and I may be wrong but I think is the right



thing to do. Now I don't understand all of the history of this thing and I don't understand all of the ins and outs of the accounting and I sure as heck don't understand some of the accusations and deals and things; a little bit I understand. I've seen some of it go on and I know how has gone on before I ever came here and I know who participated in those deals, so let's stop kidding ourselves about the sanctimoniousness of some of the people on this Floor. I'm going to vote green for this because I think it's a good idea. Not because anybody owns me. You won't find my name in any of that pork barrel Amendment and it is a matter of affirming my integrity with my name on one of those Amendments and yet still voting against it still proves something there too. And I'm going to vote for it and apparently it's a losing vote but I want to tell you all right here and now if you're going to keep up this kind of talk about whose independent and whose integrity is..."

Bradley: "Would the gentleman bring his remarks to a close, please."

Down: "...Then come and say it to our faces. Let's cut out all of the hypocrisy. Let's conduct ourselves with the dignity that was granted to us when we were all elected here; we were all elected with our own fight. 6 a. m. on the L-stop and whatever else you all went through. Thanks for this time. It wasn't the most brilliant speech but I mean it. And that green vote is because I think it's the right vote."

Bradley: "The lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you can vote as you like, I'm voting my conscience. When I see that this \$791,000,000 fiscal 1976 DOT budget and out of that \$558,500,000 in federal funds and then we want \$200,000,000 cash flow which eventually will go into general revenue to support different projects



which has to do with the offices, I'm not satisfied. I'm not satisfied we're doing the right thing when we've been told we should be a steer in our budget when we have been told we should 6% across the board and we're trying to do the best we can on that item and I'm sure that we're common sense when we add \$200,000,000 more debt in general obligation bonds that are funded fully by accredited...full faith and credit in the State of Illinois. I don't know what's happening here tonight but I can assure you it's a bad move to add \$200,000,000 indebtedness. I don't want to see bankruptcy like is happening...is happening in the City of New York and it's possible to have it here. And I certainly urge you to change your votes to no. I'll tell you I can explain my no vote when I get home. I have no doubt in my mind about it."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Bureau, Mr. Mautino, to explain his vote."

Mautino: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it's been a very informative and educational evening to say the least as far as I'm concerned. I've done a lot of deliberations here and I...I want to throw out one sentence; one that's important to me because it's in the Department of Transportation's little booklet. It says the Department recommends that working capital be raised to Series 'A' bond sale. Anyone who's been in business form themselves; served on a school board; or city and county government know that the working capital fund is strictly a bandaid approach to an overall problem. My limited field of finance and accounting tells me that this is not the overall approach. I'm sorry to say it, there are programs within my district but it is a bandaid approach stated by the Department of Transportation on the issue portion of the booklet. Therefore, I vote no."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Effingham, Mr. Keller, to explain his vote."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Keller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I realize what the last speaker just said and he is saying the truth here. This is a bandaid approach to try to bail the Governor out of something that he's got us into and he doesn't want to face reality. Do you want him to face reality and tell the people of this State the truth? That the road fund is broke and come in and ask for a gas tax increase? He'd be facing...his own responsibility that he should be facing. But instead he wants to push it on to generations beyond. If he...come...in here tonight and told the truth, he'd be coming in and telling us that he needed a four cent gas tax increase. But what he...what he's doing he's pushing it off and I'll predict that by 1978 to 1980 they're going to come back here after Governor Walker is gone and they're going to be voting for a ten cent gas...tax increase; and nobody wants to vote for that. But he has admitted that he... he has broke the tail and he hasn't told the people the truth but he's going to come in and ask us to try to help him out of this mess. I, for one, like Representative Choate would invite Representative McClain to my district. I had the Governor's people in my district going door to door with paid employees during the campaign to try...to try to beat me and I ran hard. That's how popular he is in my district. I think that we've got a commitment here to the taxpayers of Illinois and to the people downstate. This Governor has come in the last two Sessions of this here Legislative Session in the last two weeks and caused chaos, concentration and he does have charisma and gets things. He talked about coal and energy last year but this year he talked about his budget and how bankrupt the State was. And now it's the Department of Transportation that's taking the brunt of it and if there's any Department I hate to see take the brunt of it, it's the Department of Transportation..."

Bradley: "Would you bring your remarks to a close, sir?"





Keller: "Because we need roads in southern Illinois as well as northern Illinois and western Illinois. Missouri has a budget that is higher than ours but they don't spend all their road fund money on other things for judges..."

Bradley: "Your time is up, sir."

Keller: "...And for other things. And I would like to be recorded as voting no on this issue."

Bradley: Record him as no. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, to explain his vote."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I shall be brief. I'm serving my fourth term. When I came to this Body it was my pledge to represent the people in my district and also to get a share of what those people in my district had coming. I feel in my four terms I've been fairly successful at accomplishing some of those objectives without making any deals with anybody. And my vote on this Board tonight reflects that image. I have made no deals with anyone, I'm perfectly willing to work with the state agencies to get a job done. I'm also conscious of fact that I think we need to provide the funds in order to get some of these important projects completed. And I know for a fact that there are many, many important projects within my district and without the money we just can't succeed in that effort. And that's why I'm voting aye."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Dunn, to explain his vote."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm not going to go into history; first of all I haven't been here very long and second of all I think we're dealing with a budget that we've only had about a week so we're at the moment in ancient history. I've seen nothing since I spoke earlier to change my other persuasion and that is the...those who argue for the full \$200,000,000 in bonding present figures that are vulnerable to attack. And those who present the argument that we should have



zero bonding present figures which are also vulnerable to attack. Quick to say that my position is not supported by any figures at the present moment but I'm confident that given the time and given a little bit of expertise and help that I would need that I could come up with a set of figures that are as convincing as anyone else's who have proposed a bonding level of \$100,000,000 and try to compromise right down the middle. I realize that this is perhaps not the most sophisticated way to approach this problem but I'm not sure that it's any worse than what we've seen so far, so as I indicated earlier I can not support \$200,000,000 in bonds at the present time. I will support a level of \$100,000,000 if it is offered at a later date. I vote no."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Lucco, to explain his vote."

Lucco: "I'm voting yes. I want to explain my vote. I'm not voting against Clyde Choate. If Clyde Choate were running for Speaker today as much as I've learned to like and admire Bill Redmond, Clyde Choate would have every vote I could give him. This particular thing here; I'm not voting for the Governor...say it again. What? Okay, I don't want to be interrupted / <sup>from</sup> anyone in the back room either. I'm not voting for the Governor because the Governor sent several men in my district; not necessarily to defeat me, but to help elect someone else. So I have no particular love or distaste for the Governor. I'm voting yes because the people of my district in the 56th District that I represent, that I proudly represent, want me to vote this way. And that's the way I feel about it. And I'm going to vote the way that I think the people that I represent that sent me here, the ones that elected me and not anyone else."

Bradley: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 78 ayes, 77 no's, 5 voting present. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."



Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would like to verify the roll call."

Bradley: "The gentleman requests a verification. The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would you poll the absentees first please?"

Bradley: "Poll the absentees."

Jack O'Brien: "...well, Carroll, Deavers, Epton, Ewing, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Emil Jones, Mahar, Marovitz, Mulcahey, Rose, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schuneman, Waddell, Washington."

Bradley: "Call the affirmative roll, sir." The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley. For what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the aisles be cleared and the Members be in their seats."

Bradley: "Your point is well taken. Clear the aisles. And would Members please get in their seats. Proceed with the affirmative roll call, sir. Calling of the affirmatives votes."

Jack O'Brien: "Arnell,..."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Again, sir, would you ask the Members to please be in their seats so we can see who is here."

Bradley: "Again, would the Members please be in their seats? And let's clear the aisles. Proceed, sir, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Lechowicz and Mr. Mulcahey, would you please sit down and the gentleman could...proceed, sir."

Jack O'Brien: "Beaupre, Birchler, Bluthardt, Boyle, Bradley, Brinkmeier, Byers, Calvo, Campbell, Capuzi, Chapman, Craig, Deuster, Downs, Duff, Dyer, Ebbesen, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedrich, Gaines, Getty, Giorgi, Grotberg, Hill, Holewinski, Jim Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, J. D. Jones, Kempiners, Kucharski, Leinenweber, Londrigan, Lucco, MacDonald, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Mudd, Mugalian, O'Daniel, Palmer...Palmer, Peters, Pierce, Randolph,



Rayson, Reed, Rigney, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Simms, Stearney, C. M. Stiehl, Stone, Stubblefield, Telcser, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Wall, Washburn, Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Question of the affirmative roll, sir? Any questions, Mr. Farley?"

Farley: "Yes, I do."

Bradley: "Proceed, sir."

Farley: "Mr. Beaupre."

Bradley: "Mr. Beaupre? He's over here on the other side."

Farley: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Bradley: "Representative Brinkmeier? He's in the Chamber.

How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Farley: "Representative Calvo."

Bradley: "Representative Calvo? He's in the Chambers. How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Farley: "Representative Duff."

Bradley: "Representative Duff? He's in the Chamber. How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Farley: "Representative Getty."

Bradley: "Representative Getty? In the Chambers? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Farley: "Representative Holewinski."

Bradley: "Representative Holewinski is right here in Schneider's chair."

Farley: "Representative J. D. Jones."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Jacob."



Bradley: "Representative Jacobs is in the center aisle, sir, rear of the Chambers."

Farley: "Representative Kucharski."

Bradley: "Representative Kucharski is in the rear of the Chambers, sir."

Farley: "Representative LaFleur."

Bradley: "Representative LaFleur. In the Chambers. How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting present."

Bradley: "Leave him there. Mr. Duff has returned and wants to be put back on the roll call as voting aye."

Farley: "Representative McAvoy."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Farley: "Representative McGrew."

Bradley: "Representative McGrew. Is in the Chambers. How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Farley: "Representative Meyer."

Bradley: "Representative Meyer, Ted Meyers, is in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Miller."

Bradley: "Representative Miller? Ah, he's in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Molloy."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Randolph."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Stone."

Bradley: "Representative Stone. In the Chambers, how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Farley: "Representative Von Boeckman."

Bradley: "Representative Von Boeckman." How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."



Farley: "Representative Sharp."

Bradley: "Representative Sharp is in the Chambers. How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

Farley: "Representative Sevcik."

Bradley: "Representative Sevcik. In the Chambers? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll." ...Here he is. Right here. Put him back on."

Jack O'Brien: "Who was that Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Pardon, sir?"

Jack O'Brien: "Who was that you just put on?"

Bradley: "Representative Sevcik is standing right here. and Representative McGrew has returned to the Chambers. We'll put him back on the roll."

Farley: "Representative Stearney."

Bradley: "Representative Stearney is in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Arnell."

Bradley: "Representative Arnell is in the rear of the Chamber, sir."

Farley: "Representative Bluthardt."

Bradley: "Representative Bluthardt is in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Byers."

Bradley: "...Representative Byers is in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Capuzi."

Bradley: "Representative Capuzi? He's over in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Craig."

Bradley: "Representative Craig is in the Chambers, in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Kempiners."

Bradley: "He's in the Chambers, sir, rear of the Chamber."

Farley: "Representative Dyer."

Bradley: "She's in her chair."

Farley: "Representative Ebbesen."



Bradley: "Ebbesen? He's there."  
Farley: "Representative Deuster."  
Bradley: "He's here, sir, in the middle aisle."  
Farley: "Representative Fleck."  
Bradley: "Representative Fleck is in his chair."  
Farley: "Representative Friedrich."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
Farley: "Representative Washburn."  
Bradley: "Representative Washburn, he's there."  
Farley: "Representative Rayson."  
Bradley: "Representative Rayson is in his chair."  
Farley: "Representative Ryan."  
Bradley: "Representative Ryan is in his chair."  
Farley: "Representative Schraeder."  
Bradley: "He's right behind you, sir."  
Farley: "Representative Simms."  
Bradley: "He's here, sir, in the aisle."  
Farley: "Representative C. M. Stiehl."  
Bradley: "C. M. Stiehl, there she...rear of the Chamber."  
Farley: "Representative Telcser."  
Bradley: "Telcser's here."  
Farley: "Representative Tuerk."  
Bradley: "He's here in his chair, sir."  
Farley: "Representative Macdonald."  
Bradley: "She's is the chair."  
Farley: "Representative McAuliffe."  
Bradley: "McAuliffe, Representative McAuliffe, he's there."  
Farley: "Representative Mugalian."  
Bradley: "Representative Mugalian? He's in the Chambers,  
right over here."  
Farley: "Representative McMasters."  
Bradley: "He's in his chair."  
Farley: "Representative McCort."  
Bradley: "He's in his chair."  
Farley: "Representative Leinenweber."  
Bradley: "In his chair."



Farley: "Representative Flinn."

Bradley: "In his chair."

Farley: "Representative Gaines."

Bradley: "Representative Gaines is in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Giorgi."

Bradley: "Representative Giorgi is in his chair."

Farley: "Representative Grotberg."

Bradley: "He's in his chair." Question, sir..."

Farley: "Is the Speaker on the Floor?"

Bradley: "We...here I go, sir. Are you questioning him, sir?"

Farley: "Yes, I am."

Bradley: "We'll get him out here. Any further questions of  
it...of the affirmative roll?"

Farley: "Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "I asked you if you had any further ones other than  
the Speaker, sir."

Farley: "Did you take the Speaker off?"

Bradley: "No, sir."

Farley: "I...I am questioning the Speaker's vote."

Bradley: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."

Bradley: "Take him off. Further questions, sir."

Farley: "...Mr. Speaker."

Bradley: "Further questions."

Farley: "Mr. Stubblefield."

Bradley: "I would suggest, Mr. Farley, that you take a look  
around and see some of these people that are here. You've  
called almost everybody on the affirmative roll which you  
have the opportunity and the right to do. But you're not  
even looking to see who's here. If any further questions."

Farley: "Mr. Stubblefield."

Bradley: "I...he said he was here, sir. Put the Speaker back  
on."

Farley: "Mr. Hill."

Bradley: "Did you look in his chair, sir?"

Farley: "I'm trying to watch the sheet so I don't miss anybody."





Bradley: "Mr. Hill is here and Mr. Von Boeckman is back on the floor, put him back on the roll."

Farley: "Representative Campbell."

Bradley: "Campbell's here."

Farley: "Representative Chapman."

Bradley: "She's here."

Farley: "No further questions."

Bradley: "Fine. What purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Caldwell, arise?"

Caldwell: "How am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Caldwell: "Vote me no."

Bradley: "Vote him no."

Bradley: The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Mulcahey, what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Mulcahey: "Please vote me yes."

Bradley: "Vote the gentleman yes." The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Could you give me the count of the affirmative votes then I'd like to verify the negatives, please."

Bradley: "I...I think you're right. At the present time we have 74 ayes, 78 no's and the Clerk will call the negative roll."

Jack O'Brien: "Anderson, E. M. Barnes, J. M. Barnes, Beatty, Berman, Borchers, Brandt, Brummet, Caldwell, Capparelli, Choate, Collins, Cunningham, Daniels, D'Arco, Darrow, Davis, DiPrima, John Dunn, Ralph Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Giglio, Grieman, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hart, Ron Hoffman, Dan Houlihan, Hudson, Huff, Kane, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kent, Kosinski,..."

Bradley: "What purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski



arise?"

Koskinski: "One of personal privilege. May I now go back to bed?"

Bradley: "Continue, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Kozubowski, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Kor-nowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Luft, Lundy, Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Mautino, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Nardulli, Neff, Patrick, Polk, Porter, Pouncey, Richmond, Satterthwaite, Shea, Skinner, E. G. Steele, Taylor, Terzich, Van Duynes, Walsh, White, Willer, Williams, Winchester, Younge, Yourell."

Bradley: "Questions of the negative votes, sir."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If you'd first recognize Representative Getty is back on the Floor, did you..."

Bradley: "Representative Getty, put the gentleman back on the roll as voting yes."

McClain: "Representative Friedland."

Bradley: "Representative Friedland back there? Is he in the Chambers? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting, no."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

McClain: "Mr. Griesheimer."

Bradley: "Mr. Griesheimer...Griesheimer in the Chambers? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

McClain: "Mr. Kelly."

Bradley: "Mr. Kelly is in his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Kane."

Bradley: "Mr. Kane? Mr. Kane in the Chambers? There he is... right here."

McClain: "Mr. McPartlin."

Bradley: "Mr. McPartlin, the gentleman in the Chambers? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."



McClain: "Mr. Patrick."

Bradley: "I think Mr. Patrick is in his chair, "if I can see him back there. He's there."

McClain: "Mr. Maragos."

Bradley: "Mr. Maragos was here. Mr. Maragos in the Chambers? There he is in the back of the hall."

McClain: "Mr. Mann."

Bradley: "Mr. Mann is right here in the aisle."

McClain: "Mr. Dan Houlihan."

Bradley: "Dan Houlihan, the gentleman in the Chambers? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

McClain: "Mr. Nardulli." Forgive me, he's here."

Bradley: "Dan Houlihan is in the doorway. Put him back on."

McClain: "Mr. Lundy."

Bradley: "Mr. Lundy is in the aisle."

McClain: "Mr. Steele."

Bradley: "Mr. Steele? He's in his chair."

McClain: "...That's Mrs. Kent."

Bradley: "Further questions, sir?"

McClain: "Yes, of course. Did you take Mr. Steele off?"

Bradley: "Mr. Steele is in his chair, sir."

McClain: "Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Giglio."

Bradley: "Giglio." Mr. Giglio in the Chambers? There he is in the rear of the Chambers."

McClain: "Mr. White."

Bradley: "Mr. White? Mr. White in the chair, there he is in the center aisle."

McClain: "Mr. Huff."

Bradley: "Mr. Huff. Mr. Huff in the Chambers? How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Bradley: "Take him off the roll."

McClain: "Mr. Kozubowski."

Bradley: "He's in his chair."



McClain: "Mr. Anderson."  
Bradley: "Mr. Anderson? In the aisle."  
McClain: "Mr. Richmond."  
Bradley: "In his...chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Capparelli."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Shea...I see him. Mr. Terzich."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Van Duyne."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Williams."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Yourell."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Garmisa."  
Bradley: "He's in his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Porter."  
Bradley: "He's...standing next to his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Polk."  
Bradley: "Standing right behind Mr. Porter."  
McClain: "Mr. Darrow."  
Bradley: "Darrow's in his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Luft."  
Bradley: "Mr. Luft? In the press box."  
McClain: "Mr. Collins."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Leon."  
Bradley: "In his chair."  
McClain: "Mrs. Satterthwaite."  
Bradley: "She's in her chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Grieman."  
Bradley: "Mr. Grieman? He's over in your chair."  
McClain: "Mr. Madigan."  
Bradley: "Mr. Madigan is right here in the front."  
McClain: "Mr. Ron Hoffman."  
Bradley: "Ron Hoffman's in his chair."



McClain: "Mr. Hart."

Bradley: "Mr. Hart's in his chair."

McClain: "Mr...Mr. D'Arco."

Bradley: "In his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Lechowicz."

Bradley: "In his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Deavers."

Bradley: "Mr. Deavers? Is the gentleman recorded, sir?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Bradley: "Further questions, sir?"

McClain: "Yes, sir. Mr. Coffey."

Bradley: "Mr. Coffey? In his chair."

McClain: "Mr. Kosinski."

Bradley: "You've all ready verified him once, sir."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, I didn't verify him. I thought he was making a joke. We'd all like to go back to sleep."

Bradley: "We've verified Mr. Kozubowski once, sir."

McClain: "Mr. Kosinski."

Bradley: "Kosinski? Right in front."

McClain: "Mr. Richmand."

Bradley: "Sir, you've verified him once also. Further questions? Further questions, Mr. McClain?"

McClain: "No, no further questions."

Bradley: "What purpose does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, arise?"

Berman: "How am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How is the gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman is recorded as voting no."

Berman: "Thank you."

Bradley: "On this question there are 75 ayes, 74 no's, 5 voting present and the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #42. Winchester...."

Bradley: "What does the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, arise?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, I had my light on to request how I was recorded on this?"



Bradley: "You were a little late, sir. Amendment..."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker,..."

Bradley: "Further Amendments. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I don't know how the gentleman's going to vote...but if he was seeking recognition, which he said he was, I think he's entitled to be recognized to vote."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, earlier this evening, I did not at any time attempt to castigate you as a Speaker or anyone else who was on the podium but I did remind you that you were looking off to your left and not looking at the little round disc in front of you when you announced a...when you announced a roll call. The only thing I want to say to you, Mr. Speaker, is I don't know what Representative Madison wants to do but he was entitled to recognition. That's all."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, how do you wish to be recorded, sir? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison, how do you wish to be recorded, sir?"

Madison: "This damn...oh...okay, I'm sorry. Mr. Speaker, I've listened...that everybody discussed the pros and cons of this issue and I just try to work it out in my own mind in terms of which way I should vote. I probably should not have voted present in the first place but it kind of catches me in a bind at this time. But I've listened to all of the comments and it appears to me that the only comment that I heard that made any sense relative to this issue came from one gentleman and that gentleman was Representative Kane and for that reason I'm voting no."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as voting no. And the gentleman from Stevenson, Mr. Brinkmeier. Mr. Brinkmeier wishes to be recorded as aye. Mr. LaFleur, he was taken off. Mr. Brinkmeier was taken off and he's put back on. Mr. LaFleur wishes to be recorded as aye. Now, the gentleman from Coles, Mr. Coffey."



Coffey: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to be changed from present to aye, please."

Bradley: "Record the gentleman as aye. Just a minute, we'll get to everybody. Mr. Ewing, were you seeking recognition?"

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker,..."

Bradley: "Pardon, sir."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Bradley: "How is the gentleman recorded?" Mr. Ewing."

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Ewing: "Vote me no."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to a point of order."

Bradley: "State your point, sir."

Lauer: "I...I find myself on losing side of the announced vote, but this vote has gone on for approximately 45 minutes through a verification of the positive and the negative roll call. You announced the vote after verification and I submit that any request beyond that point are not timely."

Bradley: "It's been the policy of this Chair, sir, to be fair and give everybody the opportunity to vote and that's what we're here to do and there were objections on that side when I announced the roll and we're being as fair as we can. Anybody else? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, no speeches, I vote aye."

Bradley: "Mr. Steele from Madison."

Steele: "Mr. Speaker, change my vote to aye, please."

Bradley: "Vote aye. Anybody else? Okay that's all there are. Mr. Van Duynes?"

Van Duynes: "Aye."

Bradley: "Aye. What's the totals? Question there are 81 ayes, 74 nays and the Amendment is adopted. Further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #42. Winchester. ..."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain, what purpose do you arise for?"



McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, having voted on the prevailing side, I ask to reconsider the vote."

Bradley: "Any...the gentleman moves to vote...to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #41 to House Bill 1947 was adopted. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Move that lie on the table."

Bradley: "He moves that lie on the table, all in favor... The gentleman from Cook...the gentleman asks for a roll call. All those in favor of the motion to table will vote aye and opposed will vote no. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 78 ayes, 73 no's the motion to table carries. Huh? Prevails. Motion to table prevails. Amendment 42. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "...Speaker, ah, an inquiry, please. How many aides to the Governor are allowed to be on the Floor?"

Bradley: "A number of them."

Collins: "I...I think our Rules specify to that."

Bradley: "I don't think they specify exactly to the number, sir."

Collins: "I think they specify two, sir."

Bradley: "I'll check the Rules, sir."

Collins: "Rule 53."

Bradley: "It says the administrative assistants to the Governor, it doesn't specify...an amount...or number. The lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, at this point I move that we adjourn."

Bradley: "You weren't recognized for that purpose. Amendment #42. Winchester, the gentleman from Hardin."

Winchester: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is not a pork barrel Bill or Amendment. Amendment #42 amends Amendment 27 to House Bill 1947 on page 1 line 9 by striking the colon and inserting in lieu thereof the following: excepting that none of the funds appropriated herein may be used to pay the cost associated





with the tax and functions of the maintenance training advisers. I appreciate the adoption of this Amendment."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on a point of order."

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, on a point of order I believe that Amendment #42 is not technically correct because on page 1 line 9 Amendment #27 was adopted."

Bradley: "See the Amendment. Mr. Giorgi, come up to...just a second. Mr...the gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, on a point of order."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I will within the next few minutes file with the Clerk a written motion to recon...to lay on the table the motion to reconsider and I would call your attention to Rule 64a which in a final sense a question that requires 89 votes or more to carry requires 89 votes to reconsider. I think that it takes 89 votes to lay that motion on the table."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, it didn't take 89 votes to carry that Amendment and he knows it so he's entirely out of...the order. He can file it and then you can throw it away."

Bradley: "Your...point is well made, sir. ...Point is well taken and your Amendment is out of order because you're amending the Amendment, that was previously adopted, sir. And it would have had to have been amended at that time. Amendment 43."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment 43. Sangmeister. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 14 by inserting between line 2 and 3 the following."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, after we've gone through all these Amendments spending money, it is, I think, encouraging to everyone to see that we have an Amendment here that's going to save some money. I can read this very simple Amendment in its entirety where it



says that no funds may be disbursed under this section if the RTA imposes any motor vehicle parking tax or motor fuel tax."

Bradley: "Mr....just a minute, please, sir. What purpose the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, arise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I'd like a ruling from the Chair whether this is substantive legislation?"

Bradley: "Let's see the Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Sangmeister: "Before you make any ruling on that I'd like to be heard."

Bradley: "Speak to the point while we're checking."

Sangmeister: "I have in front of me a prepared document that was prepared to knock out my Amendment #13 and on this direction to the Chair is to a parliamentary interpretation of this Rule it says in writing; now this was given to the Speaker in writing. 'On a constitutional issue such as this which has not been clarified by the Supreme Court, the Chair is inclined to rule liberally in favor of Amendments. That's number one. Inclined to rule liberally. As long as the Amendment is a direct condition, limitation or prohibition on expenditures.' And I would say to you Mr. Speaker, that terminology that says no funds may be dispersed under this section is certainly a direct condition, certainly a limitation and certainly a prohibition on expenditures and this Amendment is entirely germane. And this is in writing to the Speaker on that previous Amendment and that's the way Speaker Giorgi ruled at that time."

Bradley: "Chair feels that the Amendment is in order and we'll continue with the Amendment."

Sangmeister: "On that basis we'll proceed, I... this Amendment is important due to the fact that if we're going to spend some \$114,000,000 for public transportation that certainly the people that reside in the RTA district ought to not be required to spend additional money. Everybody's concerned about this now because the RTA Board is begin-



ning to work in the suburbs with grandiose ideas of big programs that we're going to have and then all they're going to do is turn around and say to the people in that area well, if you want these programs we're going to have to levy this gas tax and we're going to have to levy this parking tax. I say to you that they've been able to fund the CTA fully, absolutely fully fund the CTA through the end of this month. Meanwhile the suburban transportation company can't get enough money to continue to operate on. I say to you that the Governor has been very clear in this area and that he says he wants no new taxes. The people in this district don't want any new taxes. The people in Chicago don't want any new taxes. Representative McClain even said that he would be defeated if he ran in a district where there's a motor fuel tax increase, so there's nobody that wants any increase in taxes and nobody wants a parking tax and nobody wants a gas tax. So I say to you, Members of this General Assembly, that you don't want to establish a new tax also and therefore you ought to vote very favorably on this Resolution."

Bradley: "The question is the adoption of Amendment 43 to House Bill 1947. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Might I ask you, sir, if the purport of this Amendment is an attempt to repeal the RTA statute by implication? I'm asking that of the Speaker, sir."

Bradley: "State your inquiry again, sir."

Shea: "The RTA statutes set up the authority in the Board to impose the taxes that are talked about here. It also talked about the mechanic...mechanical method for passing the funds to the RTA. If this Amendment were adopted, it would in effect repeal that statute and, sir, I ask you if it does that, the Amendment is not germane to this Act."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "On that point, sir, Mr. Speaker, this in no way repeals that Act whatsoever. This is merely a limitation on the funds in this particular budget. There is absolutely



no repealing of any Act at all and it's ludicrous to take that position. This is absolutely only a restriction on the dispersment of these funds in this fiscal year in this budget of the DOT and nothing else. "

Bradley: "I think your point is well taken, sir. Proceed with the Amendment. I think the...there are no other questions the gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, on the Amendment."

Skinner: "Well, Ladies and Gentlemen, those of you...those of you who were here last year, downstate Illinois and even from the suburban...suburban area, DuPage County included; Lake County included; who voted for the RTA now is the time to get right with God and your constituents. Pass this Amendment and you won't let old Milty carry out his wish list."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Garmisa, on the Amendment, sir. "

Garmisa: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, here we go again with another anti RTA Amendment and this has absolutely no business here on this DOT Appropriation Bill. And let me tell you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if we pass this type of legislation we would put in jeopardy any Bill that we have passed on any past General Assembly by amending any apporriation bill to fit the whim of any member of this Assembly. And Mr. Speaker, once again, I want to remind the Members here that this RTA is still in its infancy. They're trying to get going and if in every subsequent Session we have, where they introduce these Amendments to cripple or knock out of the box the Regional Transportation Authority we're way off base and everybody in this House should definitely come up with a resounding no to this Amendment."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, you have not yet ruled on the question of germaness, have you sir? Because I would like to say that if this kind of Amendment would be permitted you



could say in any appropriation matter that no funds may be dispersed if the mayor of this city does something. What they're talking about is not an action, Mr. Speaker, of the secretary DOT, they're talking about an entirely separate board created under an entirely separate act. It could not possibly be germane. It has nothing to do with the DOT. It purports to say that no money can be dispersed under this Act if under some entirely separate Act the public officials under that Act purport to do something. And I would submit, Mr. Speaker, that if this kind of Amendment would be germane every appropriation bill could be tied up in matters that are wholly unrelated to this particular Bill and I would urge and join in the request that this be ruled as not germane."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Well, how many times are we going to discuss the issue, it's all ready been ruled on..."

Bradley: "They have...this is the first time this germaneness has been brought up, sir."

Sangmeister: "Well, what did you rule on previously, Mr. Speaker?"

Bradley: "That whether it was limited to the subject or not. So it's not to the germaneness."

Sangmeister: "Well, I fail to see what the difference is. I...I recited to you the ruling of the parliamentarian before that any appropriation bill can have an Amendment as long as a direct condition, limitation, prohibition on expenditures and that's exactly what this is."

Bradley: "That doesn't go to the germaneness of the condition, sir. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "No, I was only going to make the point that you have ruled on that."

Bradley: "The Amendment is not germane. Next Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #44. Neff. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 12 by inserting between lines 3 and 4 the following and so forth."



Bradley: "The gentleman from Henderson, Mr. Neff."

Neff: "Ah, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment #44 to House Bill...1947 is just a little small Amendment of \$200,000 to raise the road near Keithsburg, Illinois approximately 4 1/2 'foot' one-quarter of a mile. This town,...this town has been flooded out for years; the fact is every spring it's flooded out and the fire department has to go 20 miles to get just a mile out of town and therefore it is real important and I would appreciate a favorable vote on this...this Amendment."

Bradley: "The question is on the adoption of Amendment #44 to House Bill 1947. All those in favor will say aye. Opposed say no. The no's have it. The Amendment's lost. Further Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #45. Schraeder. Amends House Bill 1947 on page 14 by inserting between lines 6 and 7 the following and so forth."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Marion, first, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I move we adjourn until noon on this day."

Bradley: "You weren't recognized for that purpose, sir."

Friedrich: "That doesn't make any difference..."

Bradley: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, on Amendment #45."

Schraeder: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, this Amendment..."

Bradley: "Just a minute. Just a minute, sir. Back to Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I know the Rules, the motion to adjourn is always in order and you know that, too. And don't you rule me out of order because you know it's order."

Bradley: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do stand in adjournment until 12 o'clock noon today."

Bradley: "All those in...recess...recess, Mr...?"

Shea: "No, sir. I want to adjourn until 12 o'clock noon today."



Bradley: "Gentleman move that we adjourn until 12 o'clock noon today. All those in favor say aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it. The House stands adjourned."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVE

JUNE 24, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
10:35	Speaker Redmond	House to order.
	Rabbi Abromwitz	Prayer.
	Speaker Redmond	House at ease until 11:00 for attention.
11:05	Speaker Redmond	House to order.
11:07	Jack O'Brien	Message from the Senate.
	Selcke	
11:18	Selcke	House Resolution.
11:19	Matijevich	
11:20	Speaker Redmond	Resolution adopted.
	Selcke	Death Resolution.
	Speaker Redmond	Senate Bills First Reading.
11:20	Geo-Karis	
	Selcke	
11:20	Matijevich	
	Speaker Redmond	
11:21	Schisler	
	Selcke	
	Speaker Redmond	Committee reports.
11:21	Selcke	
	Speaker Redmond	Concurrences.
	Selcke	
11:24	Speaker Redmond	
	Maragos	House Bill 2328 Senate Amendment #1



	Schisler)	Question.
	)	
11:25	Maragos )	
	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
11:28	Schoeberlein	House Bill 2780 Senate Amendment #1
	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
11:29	Yourell	House Bill 2313 Senate Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	
11:30	Schneider)	
	)	
	Yourell )	
11:30	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
11:30	Berman	House Bill 2591 Senate Amendment #1
11:31	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
	Tuerck	House Bill 1910 Senate Amendment #1.
11:33	Speaker Redmond	House nonconcur.
11:34	Berman	House Bill 2891 Senate Amendment #1.
11:34	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
	Skinner	Take 2876 out of record.
	Speaker Redmond	
11:35	Skinner	House Bill 2704 Senate Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
11:37	Skinner	Take 2872 out.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Getty	House Bill 2915 Senate Amendment #1.



11:37 Speaker Redmond

House concurs.

Schisler

House Bill 3028. Brinkmeier will handle.

11:38 Brinkmeier

Leave to take all.

Speaker Redmond

Brinkmeier

Amendments 1, 2, 3, move for concurrence.

Speaker Redmond

Schneider )

Yield.

Brinkmeier )

Schneider

Should handle 1 & 3 separate from 2.

Speaker Redmond

Jaffe )

Brinkmeier )

Speaker Redmond

Schneider

Record me as 'no' on Amendment 2.

Speaker Redmond

Brinkmeier

Speaker Redmond

Dunn, R. )

Question

Brinkmeier )

Withdraw my request.

Speaker Redmond

Jones, J. D.

Speaker Redmond

Rigney )

Speaker Redmond)

Craig



Speaker Redmond

Friedrich

Speaker Redmond

Brinkmeier

Speaker Redmond

Schneider

Speaker Redmond

Brinkmeier

Speaker Redmond

Flinn

Speaker Redmond

Schlickman )

Flinn )

Schlickman

Speaker Redmond

Laurino

Speaker Redmond

Downs )

Laurino )

Speaker Redmond

Kempiners )

Laurino )

Speaker Redmond )

Shea )

Selcke

House concurs Senate  
Amendments #1 & 3.

Amendment #2.

House concurs.

House Bill 2957 Senate  
Amendment #1.

Yield?

"Address myself to Amendment."

House concurs.

House Bill 2437 Senate  
Amendment #1.

Question.

Yield?

House concurs.



	Speaker Redmond	Take out.
	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
12:00	Shea	House Bill 2425 Senate Amendment #1.
12:01	Speaker Redmond	House concurs.
	Selcke	House Bill 3064 Third Reading.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:02	Mulcahey	
12:03	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 2069 passed.
	Jack O'Brien	
	Speaker Redmond	Out of record.
12:04	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 241 Second Reading No Committee Amendment. Floor Amendment.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
	Kornowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:05	Schlickman	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Kornowicz	
	Schlickman	Point of order.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Madigan	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Houlihan, J.	
	Speaker Redmond	Take out of record.
	Schlickman	Responds to Madigan.



Speaker Redmond

Take out temporarily.

Jack O'Brien

Senate Bill 347 Second Reading  
2 Committee Amendments.  
Amendment #1 tabled in Committee.

Kosinski

Jack O'Brien

Amendment #2.

Kosinski )

Speaker Redmond )

Kosinski )

Speaker Redmond

Amendment adopted. Third Reading.

Jack O'Brien

Senate Bill 471 Second Reading  
4 Committee Amendments.

Speaker Redmond

Keller

Amendment #1.

Speaker Redmond

Dunn, J. )

Explain Amendment, please.

Speaker Redmond )

Keller )

Speaker Redmond

Amendment #1 adopted.

Jack O'Brien

Amendment #2.

Speaker Redmond

Keller

Speaker Redmond

Schlickman )

Yield?

Keller )

Speaker Redmond

Amendment.

Dunn, J. )

Yield?

Keller )



Matijeovich  
Speaker Redmond

Point of order.

Dunn, J.  
Speaker Redmond

Speak to Amendment.

Jack O'Brien  
Keller

Amendment adopted.

Committee Amendment #3 out of order. Amendment #4.

Speaker Redmond  
Jack O'Brien

Amendment #4.

Amendment #4 adopted.

Speaker Redmond  
Polk

Amendment #5.

Speaker Redmond  
Schlickman

Yield?

Speaker Redmond  
Schlickman )

"McClain come to podium."

Polk )

May I proceed?

12:23 Speaker Redmond  
Ebbesen

12:24 Keller  
Speaker Redmond

Oppose.

12:25 Schlickman  
Speaker Redmond

Parliamentary point.

12:25 Schuneman  
Speaker Redmond

Moves previous question.

Keller  
Speaker Redmond

'Ayes' have it.

To close.

12:27 Polk



	Speaker Redmond	
12:28	McGrew	Explains vote.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Keller	Poll absentees.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:29	Ebbesen	Change to 'no'.
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Daniels	Change to 'no'.
12:30	Speaker Redmond	Dump the roll call.
12:30	Schlickman	Explains vote.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:31	Keller	Poll the absentees.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:32	Jack O'Brien	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:34	Stubblefield	Vote me 'no'.
	Jack O'Brien	Continue to poll.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:35	Gaines	Vote me 'aye'.
	Reed	Vote 'aye'.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:35	Lucco	Vote me 'no'.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:35	Lauer	Vote me 'no'.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:36	Caldwell	Vote 'no'.
	Speaker Redmond	





12:37	Keller	
	Dunn, J.	Vote me 'no'.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lechowicz	Unauthorized people to leave.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan	Table Amendment #6.
12:38	Speaker Redmond	Third Resolution.
	Washburn	
12:39	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 478 Second Reading 2 Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:40	Geo-Karis	Move for adoption of Amend- ments 1 & 2.
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
12:41	Geo-Karis	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, Third Reading.
12:42	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 484 Second Reading 2 Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:42	Hart	Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
	Hart	
12:43	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Floor Amendment #3.
12:43	Boyle	
	Speaker Redmond	



12:43	Ryan ) ) Boyle )	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:44	Schlickman) ) Boyle )	Question.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:46	McMaster	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Boyle	To close.
12:47	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3 adopted. Third Reading.
12:48	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 510 Second Reading 5 Committee Amendments.
	Washington	Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
12:49	Washington	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:49	Schlickman	Question.
	Washington	
	Speaker Redmond	
12:50	Barnes ) ) Schlickman)	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Washington	
12:54	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan	
	Speaker Redmond	



12:55	Lechowicz )	
	)	
12:56	Washington)	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan )	
	)	
	Washington)	
12:59	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 Senate Bill 510 adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #3.
	Speaker Redmond	
12:59	Washington	Amendment #3.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan )	Yield?
	)	
	Washington)	
1:00	Speaker Redmond	
	Washington	To close.
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3 adopted.
1:01	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #4.
	Washington	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 tabled.
1:04	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #5.
	Washington	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted, hold on Second Reading for Amendment #6.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Taylor	
	Speaker Redmond	
1:05	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 556 Second Reading 2 Committee Amendments.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	Speaker Redmond	
	Tipsword	Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	Senate Bill 556 Amendment #1 adopted.
1:07	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
	Tipsword	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted Third Reading.
	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 598 Second Reading 1 Committee Amendment.
1:08	Speaker Redmond	
	Dunn, J.	Amendment #1.
1:09	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted Third Reading.
	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 860 Second Reading 1 Committee Amendment.
	Speaker Redmond	
1:10	Lechowicz	Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted Third Reading.
	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 958. Geo-Karis Second Reading. No Committee Amendment.
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
1:10	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 993 Second Reading 1 Committee Amendment.
	Speaker Redmond	
1:10	Madigan	Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Madigan	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.
1:11	Madigan	Hold on Second or move to Third Reading and bring back.



	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 1392 Second Reading No Committee Amendments.
	Speaker Redmond	Third Reading.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Kosinski	Move to table #1.
	Speaker Redmond	Tabled.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
	Kosinski	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lechowicz	Ask Sponsor to take out.
	Speaker Redmond	Take out.
	Griesheimer	Take Senate Bill 1170 to Second for Amendment.
	Speaker Redmond	Leave granted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #4.
	Speaker Redmond	
	Griesheimer	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted Third Reading.
1:16	Jack O'Brien	Senate Bill 37 Second Reading 6 Committee Amendments.
	Sevcik	Amendment #1.
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2.
1:18	Sevcik	
	Speaker Redmond	
1:18	Holewinski )	Question
	Speaker Redmond )	Amendment #



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	Sevcik)	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2.
	Shea	Question.
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #3.
	Sevcik	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Holewinski )	Question.
	)	
	Sevcik )	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #4.
	Sevcik	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Schlickman)	Yield.
	)	
	Sevcik )	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Barnes )	Question
	)	
	Sevcik )	
1:25	Barnes	Speaks for Amendment.
	Giorgi	
	Skinner	
1:28	Matijeovich	
	Giorgi	
1:29	Skinner	
	Sevcik	
	Selcke	Amendment #5.
1:30	Sevcik	



	Giorgi	Amendment #5 adopted.
	Selcke	Amendment #6.
	Sevcik	Table #6.
	Giorgi	Tabled.
	Selcke	Amendment #7.
	Giorgi	
1:31	Pierce	
	Giorgi	
1:35	Lauer	
	Giorgi	
1:37	Sevcik	Opposed.
1:38	Giorgi	
1:39	Calvo	Oppose.
	Giorgi	
1:40	Geo-Karis	
	Giorgi	
1:40	Friedrich	
	Giorgi	
1:42	Pierce	To close.
	Giorgi	Amendment #7.
1:45	Pierce	Poll the absentees.
	Giorgi)	Kelly votes 'no'.
	)	
	Selcke)	Continues.
	)	
	Giorgi)	
1:49	Ebbesen	'Yes' to present.
	Ryan	'No'.
	Giorgi	



1:50	Darrow	'Aye' to present.
	Selcke	64 ayes 67 nays 9 present.
1:51	Giorgi	He asks for verification.
1:51	Pierce	
	Giorgi	Call the negative roll call.
	Selcke	
1:56	Giorgi)	Questions?
	)	
	Pierce)	
	)	
	Selcke)	
	Matijevich	
1:58	Giorgi	Amendment #7 lost.
2:00	Selcke	
	Pierce	Amendment #8.
	Giorgi	
2:04	Sevcik	
	Giorgi	
2:05	Pierce	To close.
2:07	Giorgi	Amendment #8 lost.
	Selcke	Amendment #9.
	Giorgi	
2:07	Barnes	
	Giorgi	
2:09	Sevcik	
	Giorgi	
2:10	Ewell	
	Giorgi	
	Barnes	





2:14	Giorgi	Amendment #9 Amendment Lost.
	Barnes, E. M.	Table #10.
2:16	Giorgi	
	Selcke	Amendment #11.
2:16	Barnes, E. M.	
2:16	Sevcik	11, 12 & 13.
	Selcke	Amendment #12 - Amendment #13.
	Barnes	
2:17	Giorgi	Amendment 11, 12 & 13 adopted.
2:18	Fred	Amendment #14.
2:18	Ewell	
	Giorgi	
	Barnes, E. M.	
2:21	Keller)	Question
	Ewell )	
	Giorgi)	
	Schneider	
	Giorgi	
	Waddell	
	Giorgi	
	Madison)	Yield.
	Ewell )	
	Giorgi	
	Waddell	
	Giorgi	
	Madison	
	Giorgi	



	Calvo	
	Giorgi	
	Gaines	
	Giorgi	
	Sevcik	
	Giorgi	
	Barnes	
	Giorgi	
2:29	Ewell	
2:30	Giorgi	
	Selcke	Amendment #15.
2:31	Klosak	
	Giorgi	
2:33	Jaffe	Oppose.
	Giorgi	
2:33	Shea	Support.
	Giorgi	
2:35	Sevcik	Oppose.
	Giorgi	
2:35	Klosak	To close.
	Ewell	
	Giorgi	Amendment #15 lost.
2:39	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #16.
	Giorgi	
	Klosak	
	Giorgi	
	Pierce	"Members of the Senate" etc.



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	Klosak	
2:40	Shea	
	Giorgi	
2:42	Hanahan	
2:43	Schlickman	
	Giorgi	
2:43	Sevcik	
	Giorgi	
2:45	Duff	
	Giorgi	
2:45	Klosak	
2:49	Giorgi	Amendment #16.
	Hanahan	
2:50	Shea	Explain vote.
	Giorgi	
2:50	Catania	
	Giorgi	
2:51	Ewell	
	Giorgi	
2:52	Mann	Change to 'no'.
	Giorgi	
2:53	Taylor	'No'.
	Giorgi	
	Huff	
2:54	Giorgi	
	Davis	Explain vote.
	Giorgi	



	Luft	No.
	Waddell	No.
2:55	Shea	Amendment 16 lost.
	Jack O'Brien	Members of the Holy Fools.
2:57	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #17.
	Barnes	Table 17
	Giorgi	Tabled.
2:58	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #18.
	Giorgi	
2:58	Lauer	Explains Amendment #18.
	Giorgi	
	Sevcik	Speaks on Amendment #18, bad Amendment.
	Giorgi	
	Mahar	Question of Sponsor
	Giorgi	Yields.
	Mahar)	Question put.
	)	
	Lauer)	Discussion.
	)	
	Giorgi	
	Beatty)	Question of Sponsor.
	)	
	Lauer )	Discussion.
	Giorgi	Roll call vote.
3:05	Giorgi	Amendment #18.
	Lauer	Explains vote.
	Giorgi	Amendment lost.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #19.
	Giorgi	
	Sevcik	



	Giorgi	Amendment lost.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #20.
3:07	Jacobs	
	Giorgi	
3:11	Holewinski)	Yield?
	Jacobs )	
	Giorgi	
3:12	Grotberg)	Yield.
	Jacobs )	
	Giorgi	
3:13	Darrow	'Aye' vote.
	Giorgi	
3:14	Craig	
	Giorgi	
3:15	Sevcik	
3:16	Jacobs	"57 Telegrams".
3:18	Giorgi	Amendment #20
	Polk	Explain vote.
	Giorgi	Amendment adopted.
3:19	Jacobs	
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #21.
	Jacobs	Leave to table #21.
	Giorgi	Tabled.
3:20	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #22.
	Giorgi	
	Flinn	Amendment #22.
3:21	Giorgi	



	Sevcik	
3:21	Giorgi	Amendment #22 adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #23.
	Giorgi	
3:22	Sevcik	
	Giorgi	
	Barnes	
	Giorgi	
3:25	Matijevich	
3:25	Barnes	
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #24.
	Waddell	Leave to table 24.
	Giorgi	Tabled.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #25.
	Giorgi	
3:28	Deuster	
	Giorgi	
	Matijevich	
3:29	Sevcik	
	Giorgi	Amendment 25 adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment #26.
	Giorgi	
	Sevcik	
	Giorgi	Amendment #26 adopted.
	Jack O'Brien	Amendment 27.
	Giorgi	
3:29	Sevcik	



3:29	Giorgi	Amendment #27 adopted
	O'Brien	Amendment #28
	Giorgi	
	Sevcik	
	?	Yield
	Sevcik	Yields
	SHEA IN THE CHAIR	Amendment #28 adopted
3:32	O'Brien	Amendment #29
	Shea	
3:33	Choate	
	Shea	
3:33	Sevcik	
	Shea	Amendment #29 adopted
	O'Brien	Amendment #30
	Shea	
3:34	Sevcik	Amendment #30
	Shea	
3:34	Jaffe	Point of Order
	Shea	Amendment is Germane
	Sevcik	To close
3:36	Shea	
3:37	Holewinski	Yield
	Sevcik	
	Shea	
3:38	Walsh	
	Shea	Announcement 5:30 - 7:30



3:39	Jaffe	
	Shea	
	Madigan	
	Shea	
3:43	Schlickman	
	Shea	
3:44	Matijevich	
	Shea	
3:45	Sevcik	
3:45	Matijevich	
	Shea	
3:46	Sevcik	To Close
	Shea	Amendment #30 Lost
	O'Brien	Amendment #31
	Shea	
3:48	Holewinski	
3:49	Shea	
3:50	Sevcik	Oppose
	Shea	
3:50	Holewinski	To Close
3:51	Shea	Amendment #31 Fails
	O'Brien	Amendment #32
	Shea	
3:51	Jaffe	
	Shea	
	Keller	Yields
3:54	Jaffe	





	Shea	
3:54	Sevcik	
	Shea	
3:54	Jaffe	To Close
3:56	Shea	Amendment #32 Lost
	O'Brien	Amendment #33
	Shea	
3:57	Waddell	
4:01	Shea	
	Sevcik	Question
	Waddell	Response
4:02	Sevcik	Speaks against
	Shea	
4:03	Mudd	
	Shea	
4:05	Waddell	To Close
4:05	Shea	Amendment #33 Lost
4:06	O'Brien	Amendment #34
	Shea	
4:06	Sevcik	
	Shea	Amendment #34 adopted - Third
4:07	Shea	House Bill 1947
	Shea	
	McClain	Leave to hear HB 1948 First
	Shea	Leave granted
	O'Brien	House Bill 1948 - Second Reading
	Shea	



4:08	Ewell	
	Shea	
	O'Brien	One committee amendment
	Shea	
4:09	McClain	House Bill 1947, Amendment #1
:23	McClain	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:25	Lecowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:27	McClain	
4:30	Speaker Redmond	
	Kane	
4:32	Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1947
4:33	Opton	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:34	Totten	Explain Vote
	Speaker Redmond	
4:35	Hart	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 Lost
4:35	Fred Selcke	Amendment #2
	Speaker Redmond	
4:35	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:37	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:38	McClain	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

	Fred Selcke	Amendment #3 Tabled in Committee Amendment #4
	Speaker Redmond	
4:38	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:41	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:42	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:43	McClain	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:43	Schraeder	Question
	Lechowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:44	McClain	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4
4:44	Kane	
	Speaker Redmond	
4:45	McClain	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	
4:45	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #5 Read
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Explains Amendment #5
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	Supports Amendment #5



	Speaker Redmond	
	Dunn	Question of Sponsor of Amendment #5
	Speaker Redmond	
	Borchers	Light on
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Point of order
	Spekaer Redmond	
	McClain	
	Dunn	
	McClain	Responds to Dunn's original question
	Speaker Redmond	
	Borchers	Discussion
	McClain	Discussion
	Speaker Redmond	
4:52	Tipsword	House Bill 1947 continued
	Speaker Redmond	
4:52	Totten	Supports Amendment #5
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #5 adopted
4:54	Fred Selcke	Amendment #6
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	
4:55	Speaker Redmond	Amendment Adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #7 read
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Explains Amendment #7
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	



Speaker Redmond	Amendment #7 adopted
Fred Selcke	Amendment #9
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	Explains Amendment #9
Speaker Redmond	
McClain	
Speaker Redmond	
Meyer	Good amendment
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #9
Schlickman	Oppose amendment
Speaker Redmond	
Porter	Explain Vote
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	Explain Vote
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	Explain Vote
Speaker Redmond	
Dyer	
Speaker Redmond	
Skinner	
Speaker Redmond	
Fred Selcke	Amendment #10 read
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	Did not announce roll call
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	Reconsider Vote



Speaker Redmond	
Duff	Did Vote
Speaker Redmond	
Peters	Reconsider Vote
Speaker Redmond	
J. Houlihan	
Speaker Redmond	
J. Houlihan	Move to lye on Table
Speaker Redmond	Vote on motion
Duff	
Speaker Redmond	
Stearney	
Speaker Redmond	Motion Prevails
Fred Selcke	Amendment #10 read
Speaker Redmond	
Lechowicz	Defer to Jones - opposes am. #10
Speaker Redmond	
J.D. Jones	Explains amendment #10
Speaker Redmond	
McClain	Urges a 'no' vote
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #10 Lost
Fred Selcke	Amendment #11 read
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	Explains amendment #11
Speaker Redmond	
Shea	
Speaker Redmond	



	Skinner	Question of Sponsor
	Speaker Redmond	Yields
	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Responds to Skinner
	Skinner	"May I speak to the Bill?"
	Speaker Redmond	"Proceed"
	Skinner	Speaks to the Bill
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Quiet in the House
	Speaker Redmond	Be in your seats
	Skinner	Continues Discussion on am. #11
	Speaker Redmond	
	Totten	Question of Shea
	Shea	Discussion on amendment #11
5:19	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	Bring remarks to close
	Totten	
	Shea	
	Totten	One more question
	Shea	Which amendment?
	Totten	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Point of order
	Speaker Redmond	
	Tuerk	Question
	Speaker Redmond	Yields



	Tuerk	Question asked
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Huff	Question
	Speaker Redmond	Yields
	Huff	Question asked
	Shea	Response
	Huff	Discussion
	Shea	
5:24	Huff	Amendment #11 Discussion
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Neff	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	"That's out of order."
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	Discussion on amendment #11
	Speaker Redmond	
	Ryan	
	Speaker Redmond	Vote on am. #11 - Adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #12 tabled, #13 read
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Request ruling of germaness
	Speaker Redmond	Discussion on germaness
	Skinner	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Sangmeister	





	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
5:28	Sangmeister	Discussion of germaness
	Speaker Redmond	
	Sangmeister	
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #14 read
	Speaker Redmond	
	Deuster	Explains amendment #14
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Point of Order
	Speaker Redmond	
	Deuster	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Point of Order
	Speaker Redmond	Germaness of amendment #14
	Geo-Karis	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Geo-Karis	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #15 read



5:34	Kane	Explains the amendment #15
	Speaker Redmond	
	Hanahan	Opposes the amendment #15
	Speaker Redmond	
	Boyle	Question
	Speaker Redmond	Yields
	Boyle	Question asked
	Kane	Response
	Boyle	
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Cunningham	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Kozinski	Moves Previous Question
	Speaker Redmond	
	Kane	To Close
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #15
	Simms	
	Kane	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Explain Vote
	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	
	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #15 Lost
	Hanahan	Moves to Recess
	Speaker Redmond	
	McClain	



	Speaker Redmond	
	Shea	Appropriation Meeting
	Speaker Redmond	
	Lecowicz	Announcement
	Speaker Redmond	
	Boyle	Announcement
	Speaker Redmond	
	Matijeovich	Supplemental Calendar
	O'Brien	Committee Reports
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	Introduction First Reading
8:00	Speaker Redmond	House Come to Order
	Doorkeeper	Announcement
	Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolutions
	Matijeovich	Explains the Resolutions
	Speaker Redmond	Agreed Resolutions Adopted
	O'Brien	HR 406, 409; HJR 68
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	Messages from Senate
	Speaker Redmond	
	O'Brien	Senate Bill 510, previously read a second time
	Speaker Redmond	SB 510
	Washington	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Washington	Amendment #6 explained
	Speaker Redmond	
	Hanahan	Opposed amendment #6



8:30	Speaker Redmond	
	Madison	Speaks on amendment #6
	Speaker Redmond	
	Byers	Speaks on amendment #6
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stubblefield	Confusion on amendment #6
	Speaker Redmond	
	Byers	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Madison	Point of Personal Privilege
	Speaker Redmond	
	Barnes	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Taylor	Rise in opposition to am. #6
	Speaker Redmond	
	R. Hoffman	Moves Previous Question
	Speaker Redmond	So moved
	Washington	To Close
	Speaker Redmond	Vote on amendment #6
	Mann	Explain vote
	Speaker Redmond	
	Stubblefield	Explain Vote
	Speaker Redmond	
	Taylor	
	Speaker Redmond	
	Washington	Explain vote and poll absentees



Speaker Redmond	SB 510 continued
Ebbesen	Noise Level
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	Question of Sponsor
Speaker Redmond	
R. Hoffman	
Speaker Redmond	Clerk, poll the absentees
O'Brien	Polls the absentees
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	
O'Brien	Continues Poll of Absentees
Speaker Redmond	
Brinkmeier	'Aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	'No'
Speaker Redmond	
?	'No'
Speaker Redmond	Verification of Affirmative Roll Call
O'Brien	
McDonald	
O'Brien	Continues Verification
Kosinski	
Speaker Redmond	
O'Brien	
Berman	'No'



Speaker Redmond	
O'Brien	Continues Verification
Speaker Redmond	
Maragos	'Present'
Speaker Redmond	
O'Brien	Continues Verification
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	Let's have some order.
Speaker Redmond	
O'Brien	Continues Verification
Speaker Redmond	
Yourell	'No'
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	
Speaker Redmond	
O'Brien	
Speaker Redmond	
Merlo	'Present'
Speaker Redmond	
O'Brien	
Speaker Redmond	
McCourt	'Aye'
Speaker Redmond	
Taylor	
Speaker Redmond	
Duff	
O'Brien	



Duff	
Speaker Redmond	Checks Verification
Taylor	Checks Verification
O'Brien	Checks Verification
Geo-Karis	'No'
Taylor	Continues Check of Verification
Speaker Redmond	"
O'Brien	"
Speaker Redmond	Amendment #6 Lost
Washington	Verification of Negative
O'Brien	Verification of Negative Roll Call
Speaker Redmond	
Keller	
Speaker Redmond	
Younge	
O'Brien	Continues verification of negative
Speaker Redmond	
O'Brien	
Jones	'Aye'
Speaker Redmond	
?	change to yes
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	"What's the count?"
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	Checks Negative Verification
Speaker Redmond	Checks Negative Verification
O'Brien	Checks Negative Verification



Speaker Redmond	Amendment #6 Lost
O'Brien	Amendment #7 read
Totten	Explains Amendment #7
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	Point of Order
Speaker Redmond	
Totten	Continues Explaining Am. #7
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	Objects to Amendment #7
Speaker Redmond	
Hanahan	Opposes Amendment #7
Speaker Redmond	
Lecowicz	Opposes Amendment #7
Speaker Redmond	
Washington	
Speaker Redmond	Vote on Amendment #7 - Lost
O'Brien	Committee Reports
Speaker Redmond	House Bill 1947
O'Brien	Amendment #16 read
Bradley	
Lecowicz	Questions
Bradley	
Deuster	
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Bradley	Discussion on ruling of chair





Lecowicz	
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Bradley	
Deuster	Finally explains Amendment #16
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Deuster	Point of Order
Bradley	
Deuster	Continues Explanation
Bradley	
Lecowicz	Speaks against amendment #16
Bradley	
Shea	
Bradley	
Skinner	
Shea	
Bradley	
Geo-Karis	Speaks for Amendment #16
Bradley	
Hill	
Bradley	
Shea	Stand at Ease
Bradley	Persist in His Ruling
Shea	Disucssion on ruling of Chair
Bradley	
Hill	Question of Chair
Bradley	



	Lecowicz	
	Bradley	
	Farley	
	Bradley	
	Farley	Calls for Transcript
	Bradley	
	Geo-Karis	
	Bradley	
	Boyle	
	Bradley	
	McMasters	
	Bradley	
	Hill	Speaks on Amendment #16
	Bradley	
9:52	Farley	Ruling of Chair consistent
	Bradley	denies request
	Lecowicz	Ruling
	Bradley	
	Lecowicz	
	Bradley	
	Matijevich	Recess for 10 minutes
	Bradley	Vote on Amendment #16
	Garmisa	
	Bradley	
	Garmisa	
	Bradley	Order in the House
	Garmisa	



Bradley	
Shea	
Bradley	
Sangmeister	
Bradley	Amendment #16 Lost
Fred Selcke	Amendment #17
Bradley	
Tipsword	Explains Amendment #17
Bradley	Discussion of Amendment #17
Borchers	
Bradley	
Skinner	
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Skinner	
Bradley	
McClain	Supports
Bradley	
Shea	Question of Sponsor
Tipsword	Discussion
McClain	
Bradley	
Houlihan	Moves Previous Question
Bradley	So Moved
Tipsword	To Close
Bradley	Amendment #17 Adopted



Fred Selcke	Amendment #18 read
Bradley	
Dunn	
Bradley	Amendment #18
Schlickman	
Bradley	
?	
Bradley	Vote
Lecowicz	Explain Vote
Bradley	
Borchers	
Bradley	Amendment #18 Adopted
Fred SELcke	Amendment #19 read
Bradley	
Madison	Point of Personal Privilege
Bradley	
Ewell	Explains Amendment #19
Bradley	Order in the House
Ewell	Continues to Explain Amendment #19
Bradley	
Lecowicz	Question of the Chair
Bradley	Amendment in order
Ewell	Continues
Bradley	
Lecowicz	Why?
Bradley	Explains His Ruling
Shea	Questions Ruling of the Chair



	Bradley	Discussion on Ruling
	Shea	
	Bradley	
	Shea	
	Bradley	
	Ewell	Take out of the Record Table #19 and #20
	Bradley	
	Brinkmeier	Explains Amendment #21
	Bradley	
	Simms	Supports the Amendment
	Bradley	Amendment #21 Adopted
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #22 read
	Bradley	
	Rigney	Explains Amendment #22
	Bradley	
10:25	Lecowicz	
	Bradley	Amendment #22 adopted
	Skinner	
	Bradley	Amendment #23
	Skinner	
	Bradley	
	J. Houlihan	Ruling of Chair
	Bradley	Amendment #23 tabled
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #24 read
	Bradley	
10:29	Sangmeister	Explains Amendment #24
	Bradley	Amendment #24 Adopted



	Fred Selcke	Amendment #25 read
	Bradley	
	Mulcahey	Explains Amendment #25
	Bradley	Tabled Amendment #25
	Tipsword	
	Bradley	
	Mulcahey	Requests Leave
	Bradley	
	Mulcahey	
	Bradley	
	Fred	Amendment #26 Read
	Bradley	
	McClain	Tables #26
	Bradley	
	Fred Selcke	Amendment #27 read
	Bradley	
10:34	McClain	Explains Amendment #27
	Bradley	
	Lecowicz	Question of Sponsor
	McClain	Discussion
	Lecowicz	Discussion
	McClain	Discussion
	Lecowicz	Discussion
	McClain	Discussion
	Lecowicz	Discussion
	McClain	Discussion
	Lecowicz	Discussion
	Bradley	



Pierce	Point of Order
Bradley	
Shea	
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Bradley	
Shea	Question
Bradley	Yields
Shea	Question asked
McClain	Response
Shea	
Bradley	Let's have some order.
Shea	
McClain	
Shea	
McClain	Discussion
Shea	
Bradley	
J. Houlihan	Moves Previous Question
Bradley	So moved
McClain	To Close
Bradley	Vote on Amendment #27
Lecowicz	Explains Vote.
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Bradley	



McClain	
O'Brien	Amendment #28 read
Bradley	
Winchester	
Bradley	Table Amendments #28, #29, #30
O'Brien	Amendment #31 read
Shea	
Bradley	
Skinner	Table Amendment #31
Bradley	
Shea	
Bradley	
Duff	
Bradley	Amendment #31 Tabled
O'Brien	Amendment #32 read
Bradley	
Totten	Table Amendment #32 and #33
Bradley	Tabled Amendments #32 and #33
O'Brien	Amendment #34
Bradley	
Winchester	Explains Amendment #34
Bradley	
Farley	Point of Parliamentary Inquiry
Bradley	Quite Awhile
Farley	
Bradley	





Walsh	
Bradley	Vote on Amendment #34
Geo-Karis	Point of Order
Bradley	Amendment #34 adopted
Walsh	Question presence of Quorum
Bradley	
Matijeovich	
Bradley	
Walsh	Persists
Bradley	
Walsh	
O'Brien	Amendment #35 read
Bradley	
Polk	Explains Amendment #35
Bradley	
Bluthardt	Question quorum and roll call
Bradley	
Bluthardt	
Bradley	Vote
Keller	Question on Amendment #35
Bradley	
choate	
Bradley	
Keller	Discussion on question
Polk	
Bradley	



Simms

Bradley

Keller

Speaks on Bill

Bradley

Lecowicz

Bradley

Matijevich

Bradley

Darrow

O'Brien

Poll of Absentees

Bradley

Londrigan

Changing of Vote on Amendment #35

Bradley

Lecowicz

Bradley

?

Bradley

Houlihan

Bradley

Lecowicz

Bardley

Boyle

Bradley

Nardulli

O'Brien

Bradley



Giglio	
O'Brien	
Bradley	
White	
O'Brien	
Bradley	
O'Brien	Verification of Affirmative
Bradley	Roll call on Amendment #35
Lecowicz	
Bradley	
O'Brien	Verification
J. Houlihan	
O'Brien	Proceeds with Verification
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Bradley	
O'Brien	Continues Verification
Taylor	
Bradley	
Pierce	
Bradley	
O'Brien	
Pierce	
Bradley	
Lecowicz	Questions of Affirmative Roll Call
Bradley	Questions of Affirmative Roll Call
O'Brien	<del>Questions of Affirmative Roll Call</del>



Schraeder	Point of Order
Lecowicz	Questions
Bradley	"
O'Brien	"
Bradley	
Keller	
Bradley	
O'Brien	
Keller	
O'Brien	
Keller	
Bradley	
Polk	
Bradley	Amendment #35 Adopted
O'Brien	Amendment #36 read
Bradley	
Winchester	Explains Amendment #36
Bradley	
Keller	Questions of sponsor
Winchester	Discussion
Bradley	
Tipsword	
Keller	Amendment #36 Discussion
Bradley	
?	Moves Previous Question
Bradley	So moved



Lecowicz	Explains His Vote
Bradley	
Tuerk	Explains His Vote
Bradley	
Matijevich	
Bradley	
Lecowicz	Point of Personal Privilege
Bradley	
Choate	
Bradley	
Choate	
Bradley	
Hoffman	
Bradley	
Keller	
Bradley	
Choate	
Bradley	
Keller	
Bradley	
Frederick	Explains His Vote
Bradley	
Madison	Explains His Vote
Bradley	
Keller	Verification
Bradley	



Beaupre

Bradley

O'Brien

Bradley

Choate

Bradley

O'Brien

Polls Absentees

Bradley

Borchers

O'Brien

Bradley

Choate

Bradley

Changing of Votes

O'Brien

Bradley

Shcraeder

Bradley

Farley

Bradley

O'Brien

Farley

O'Brien

Continues

Bradley

TAPE MESSED UP AT THIS POINT

11:54 Bradley

O'Brien

Proceeds with Affirmative



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bradley	Amendment #36 Verification
O'Brien	
Bradley	
Darrow	'Yes'
Bradley	
Satterwaithe	'Aye'
Bradley	
Barnes	'Aye'
Bradley	
Frederick	
Bradley	
Skinner	
Bradley	
?	
Bradley	Questions of Affirmative
Keller	Questions of Affirmative
O'Brien	Questions of Affirmative
Frederick	
Bradley	
Matijevich	
Bradley	
Fred Selcke	Amendment #37 read
McClain	
Bradley	
Shea	
McClain	Moves to table amendment #37



Bradley

Yourell

Bradley

Yourell

No

Bradley

Fred Selcke

Amendment #38 read

Bradley

Winchester

Bradley

Walsh

Bradley

Peters

Bradley

Geo-Kairs

Bradley

Vote

Dunn

Bradley

Choate

Winchester

Bradley

Choate

Bradley

Lecowicz

Bradley

Vote on Amendment #38 - Lost

Shea

Bradley

McClain





	Bradley	
	Choate	
	Bradley	
	Houlihan	
	Bradley	
	Lecowicz	
	Bradley	
	McClain	
12:16	Bradley	
	Fred Selcke	
	Bradley	
	Washburn	Recess till 1:00
	Bradley	
	Washburn	
	Bradley	
	Tipsword	
	Bradley	
	Rigney	Moves Previous Question
	Bradley	So Moved
	McClain	
	Bradley	Vote on Amendment #41
	Choate	Explains Vote
	Bradley	Bring Remarks to Close
	Choate	"I will."
	Bradley	
	McClain	Point of Personal Privilege



Bradley	
Matijevich	
Bradley	
?	Point of Order
Matijevich	
Bradley	
Farley	Explain Vote.
Bradley	
Dunn	Explain Vote -No
Bradley	
Downs	Explain Vote
Bradley	
Geo-Karis	Explain Vote
Bradley	
Mautino	Explain Vote
Bradley	
Keller	Explain Vote - No
Bradley	
Tuerk	Explain Vote - Aye
Bradley	
Dunn, J.	Explain Vote - No
Bradley	
Lucco	Explain Vote - Yes
Bradley	
Farley	Verify Roll Call
Bradley	
McClain	Poll Absentees



O'Brien	Polls Absentees
Bradley	Call Affirmative Roll Call
Farley	Request Aisles Clear
Bradley	So Requested
O'Brien	Verifies Affirmative Roll Call
Shea	Members Please be in seats
Bradley	So Requested
O'Brien	Continues Verification
Bradley	Questions of Affirmative Roll Call
Farley	Questions of Affirmative Roll Call
Bradley	
Caldwell	Vote me no
O'Brien	
Bradley	
Mulcahey	Vote me yes
Bradley	
McClain	Count of affirmative?
Badley	
O'Brien	Calls Negative Roll Call
Bradley	
Kosinski	Point of Personal Privilege
Bradley	
O'Brien	Continues
Bradley	Questions of Negative Roll Call
McClain	Questions of Negative Roll Call
O'Brien	Questions of Negative Roll Call



Berman	"How am I recorded?"
O'Brien	
Bradley	Amendment #41 adopted
O'Brien	Amendment #42 read
Madison	
Bradley	
Shea	"He's entitled to recognition."
Bradley	
Choate	
Bradley	
Madison	Vote no.
Bradley	
Coffey	Change to aye
Bradley	
Ewing	Vote me no.
Bradley	
Lauer	Point of order.
Bradley	
Washington	I vote aye.
Bradley	
Steele	Vote aye
Bradley	
Van Duyne	"Aye"
Bradley	Amendment Adopted - again
O'Brien	Amendment #42
McClain	Moves to reconsider the vote
Bradley	



Schraeder	Move it lie on table
Bradley	Roll Call Motion prevails
Collins	Inquiry?
Bradley	Rule 53
Geo-Kairs	Move to adjourn
Bradley	Not recognized for that
Winchester	Amendment #42
Bradley	
Lecowicz	
Bradley	
Lauer	
Bradley	
Matijevich	He's out of order.
Bradley	Amendment out of order
O'Brien	Amendment #43
Bradley	
Sangmeister	
Bradley	
Lecowicz	Wants rulling from chair
Sangmeister	Like to be heard.
Bradley	
Sangmeister	Amendment is entirely germane
Bradley	Amendment in order
Sangmeister	Amendment #43
Bradley	
Shea	Inquiry
Bradley	



Sangmeister	Does not Repeal RTA Act
Bradley	
Skinner	
Bradley	
Garmisa	
Bradley	
Katz	Joins in asking germaness
Bradley	
Sangmeister	
Bradley	
Matijevich	
Bradley	Amendment Not Germane
O'Brien	Amendment #44 read
Bradley	
Neff	
Bradley	Amendment #44 Lost
O'Brien	Amendment #45 read
Bradley	
Frederick	Move we adjourn
Bradley	Not recognized
Schraeder	
Bradley	
Frederick	Motion to adjourn in order.
Bradley	
Shea	Move House Adjourn
Bradley	House Adjourned

