

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 13, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the Gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members please be in their seats. We will be led in prayer this morning by the Reverend Father Krueger, House Chaplain."

Father Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh Lord bless this House for Thy services this day. Amen. Alexander Dumas wrote in the 'Count of Monte Cristo', 'Punctuality is the politeness of kings.' Let us pray. For perfect trust in God. O Lord, our heavenly Father, Who orderest all things for our eternal good, mercifully enlighten our minds and give us a firm and abiding trust in Thy love and care. Silence our murmurings, quiet our fears and dispel our doubts, that, rising above our afflictions and our anxieties, we may rest on Thee, the Rock of everlasting strength; through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Jack O'Brien: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following Joint Resolution, to wit: House Joint Resolution 52, concurred in by the Senate, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 601, together with Amendments. Adopted by the Senate as amended, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, Senate Bill 146. Concurred in by the Senate, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the



the following title, to wit: House Bill 580, together with Amendments. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker; I am directed to inform the House of Representatives, the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 582, together with an Amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 526, together with an Amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 221. Action taken by the Senate, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment 1 to a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 496. Action taken by the Senate, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 585. Action taken by the Senate, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has refused to recede from their Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 1173. Action taken by the Senate, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary.



Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in passage of a Bill of the following titles, to wit: House Bills #422, 437, 454, 467, 470, 487, 491, 516, 517, 537, 540, 542, 554, 631, 632 and 634.

Passed by the Senate, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: "The Order of business, Consent Calendar, Third Reading Third day."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 85. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to County Zoning. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 121. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to alcoholic liquor, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 147. A Bill for an Act in relation to fire protection districts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 148. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to create street light districts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 149. A Bill for an Act to amend the Misquito abatement district Act, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 150. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Building Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 151. A Bill for an Act in relation to Public Water Districts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 152. A Bill for an Act relating to Water Districts, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 153. A Bill for an Act to provide for the establishment of Water Authorities. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 154. A Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 156. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Library District Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 168. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 229. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to Counties, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 246, A Bill for an Act creating the Creve Cour Tricentennial Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 267. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 269. A Bill for an Act to amend the Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 270. A Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital District Law. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 306. A Bill for an Act to amend the



Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 315. A Bill for an Act relating to Crab Orchard National Wildlife Refuge. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 322. A Bill for an Act in relation to the designation of abandoned cemeteries as nature preserves. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 322. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 358. A Bill for an Act creating the Capitol City Planning Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 369. A Bill for an Act concerning powers of corporations authorized to accept and execute trusts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 444. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 451. A Bill for an Act to amend the Law Enforcement Officers, Firemans Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 454. A Bill for an Act to amend the Ambulance or a Surgical Treatment Center Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 521. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 559. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 560. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 594. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act providing, ratifying and enacting into law the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 597. a Bill for an Act to vacate an easement in Jackson County. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 605. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Purchasing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 655. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for distribution of Township Funds not needed for activity and guidance for the older inhabitants of the Township. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 656. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to construction of statutes. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 59. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 667. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway



Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 668. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Highway Code, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 688. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Public Utilities, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 707. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 713. A Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 780. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 806. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 808. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 839. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the practice of Dental Surgery and Dentistry in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 840. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the practice of Dental Surgery in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 841. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 852. A Bill for an Act creating the State Property Insurance Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 854. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 865. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Public Utilities. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 870. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 884. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 901. A Bill for an Act to repeal Sections of the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 916. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to define the powers of members of Police Forces established and maintained by Boards of Park Commissioners. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 946. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 947. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate



Bill 951. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 984. A Bill for an Act to amend the County Problems Commission Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1004. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to Township Organizations. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1082. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1086. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1088. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to Vocational Education Vocational Rehabilitation of a disabled person. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1108. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1111. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to establish a Joint Legislative Reference Bureau. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1112. A Bill for an Act relating to State Motor Vehicle Insurance. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1113. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Purchasing Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1125. A Bill for an Act to authorize attendance at the National Conference of State Legislators, Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1137. A Bill for an Act to amend the Northeast Illinois Planning Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1146. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code and the Soil and Water Conservation Districts Law. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1177. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the regulation of rivers, lakes and streams in the State of Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1178. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the creation of management of Forest Reserve Districts. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1282. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1288. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1310. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Capitol City Planning Commission and make an appropriation therefore. Third Reading of the Bill.



Senate Bill 1377. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the Law in relation to Counties. Third Reading of the Bill.

Senate Bill 1460. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "This is final action. The question is, shall these Bills pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Grotberg 'aye'. Representative Carroll, 'aye'. On these questions, the Bills having received the Constitutional Majority, are hereby declared passed. 142 'aye', no 'nay'. House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 802."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 802. Leverenz. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Capitol Development Board concerning the improvements of various State Agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 802 on page 1, line 16 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman...the adoption of the Amendment. Lev...Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Amendment #1 reduced the Capitol Development Bond Fund from a original appropriation of two hundred and seventeen million, two seven six, eight hundred, down to...ah...thirty-four million seven hundred forty eight thousand four hundred dollars. Roughly a hundred and seventy-nine million dollar cut."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 802. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 802 on page 9 by inserting between line 13 and 14 the following. Section 9-1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "I also move for adoption of Committee Amendment #..."

Speaker Redmond: "Could you explain the Amendment please?"

Leverenz: "This is an additional appropriation for the Capitol Development Bond Fund for University of Illinois, Urbana, Champaign

campus. Three hundred thousand dollars for research animal facility



JUN 13 1975

8.

a laboratory in Basic Scientist Building, four hundred and twenty thousand. Remodeling of a laboratory for six hundred thousand. the Agricultural Engineering Sciences Building, three hundred and fifty thousand and veterinary medicine phase I project of four hundred and twenty thousand and was agreed on in Committee. I move for the adoption of this...Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Committee Amendment #2 to House Bill 802. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 802 on page 9 by deleting line 26 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following. Section 11 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz. Will you explain the Amendment?"

Leverenz: "Yes Mr. Speaker. I also move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #3 which brings the...ah...appropriation into the...ah...Fair Employment Practices Commission law."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 802. All in faovr indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Lechowicz. Amends House Bill 802 on page 6, line 19 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Would the Clerk read the Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amends House Bill 802 on page 6 line 19 by deleting four hundred and seventy four thousand and inserting in lieu thereof, eight hundred and sixteen thousand and on page 6, by inserting immediately after twenty...line twenty-one, the following. 'Quincy Armory, for Construction of a new Armory including fixed equipment, utilities and all nec...all other necessary of the completion of the project...two hundred'..."

Lechowicz: "What Amendment #4 does, in the Committee process we had some testimony from the Military and Naval Deartment and the Amen..."



Committee Amendment #1 that was adopted there was a question as to whether we would loose any Federal Funds and if that was the case that we would restore that in the budget. I talked with the with the...adjutant and attorney, he did check and there was a...If we remove these two projects which we did in Amendment #1 we would lose Federal Funds so this will correct that situation and I move for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 802."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 802. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Regardless of what Federal Funds we may lose, how much is going to be diverted from the General Revenue fund of the State of Illinois?"

Lechowicz: "None."

Schlickman: "Why not?"

Lechowicz: "It's bond money."

Schlickman: "Which bond money?"

Lechowicz: "The Capitol Development Bond Fund, to the Capitol Development Board."

Schlickman: "Well you're saying that this is an authorization Bill rather than an appropriation Bill?"

Lechowicz: "Correct."

Schlickman: "Okay."

Lechowicz: "I move for the adoption of Amendment #4 Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 802. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Younge. Amends House Bill 802 on page 9 by inserting between line 13 and 14 the following. Section 9.1, the sum of two million two hundred thousand or so much thereof as may be necessary appropriated to the Capitol Development Board for the Capitol Development Board for the School District #189. Local matching fund for the construction of school building."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."



Young: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House...ah..."

This Amendment would make it possible to build school buildings in District 189. I...As a result of series of conversations with the...ah...Director of the Capitol Development Board, it becomes clear that no schools can be built in District 189 unless there is made available by the state and local matching shares and...ah...For that reason, I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes, I'd like to ask a question of the Sponsor of the Amendment also...ah...Was this Amendment thoroughly discussed with Appropriations I staff and the Chairman of Appropriations I?"

Young: "Ah...It has not been discussed with either...ah...I will do so...ah...I was unaware at the time the Bill came into Committee that it was coming up in the Committee. Due to all the confusion as to the...if the Bill. So I will take that and do that."

Leverenz: "Ah...To the Amendment. I personally...ah...ask for a 'no' on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, do you desire to address yourself to the Amendment?"

Lechowicz: "Yes Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Unfortunately I have to oppose Amendment #5...ah...What we're talking about is two million, two hundred thousand dollars for a special area in this state and I'm sure that if we wanted to do this on a piece meal basis, we would restore the entire accelerated bonding program, which was defeated in this House. So unfortunately I have to oppose Amendment #5 at this time. It's special Legislation and I know every one of us can introduce a similar Amendment in the same vein and then this entire program would be right where it started. It'd be defeated. I strongly oppose Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Young to close."

Young: "Yes, there are special conditions in School District 189"



and we have a situation which there has not been...ah...until a couple of years ago, any new schools built and that one that was built was built only as a result of a propane gas explosion creating a total disaster..ah...the..ah..School district has not been able to sell any bonds and so therefore, most of the schools there are schools which have a building date of 1890 or something. We are in the process of creating a whole generation of children who do not have the opportunities for a adequate and desirable learning experience because they have to go into delapidated dungeons. Dungeons that demean those who must work there and those who must try to seek quality education and...ah...I think that the condition of the School District there and the lack of any new buildings at all warrant a special consideration by the General Assembly. I have talked with... this Amendment over very thoroughly with Mr. Lafever who is the director of the Capitol Development Board and...ah...he has reassured me that this, he has first of all recommended the Amendment and the amount here an...ah...involved. For those reasons I now ask the House to please pass the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you rise?"

McGrew: "Go ahead...go ahead."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment.

The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 802.

All in ...Representative Choate."

Choate: "...vote."

Speaker Redmond: "All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'.

The Chair is unable to determine. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's very seldom that I would ever ask any Member of the House to vote for an Amendment of this nature. However, this is one of the most unique circumstances in our educational system that I know of that exists in the State of Illinois. It's one of the most unique circumstances that I dare say exists in the entire fifty states. We have children and I sympathize with Representative Young and



the other Members of the Legislature from that District, both the Republicans and Democrats. Because we have children totally unable to secure the proper education today. The Constitution of this State and the statutes of this State, charges the Legislature with the responsibility of providing an equal education for all people of this state and in this instance it just is not being done. There are two areas of this State that needs this type of help. It's the area that we're talking about right now and it's the area of Cairo, Illinois. I think that if we're going to be responsible in providing an equal education to all children of this State that we should adopt this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, out of courtesy to the Sponsor of the Amendment, I think that it's...ah...should be taken out of the record for discussion. I don't want to defeat the Amendment here but I think that the process is a little bit wrong, because what she's doing is asking for State Bond money to a...for the local share to apply to state funds to build a school and that's why I think it's wrong. I...maybe there's a little bit of confusion on this matter. It should be taken out of the record and see if we can deal with it the proper way."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "The Lady indicates she wants to take it out of the record, So I'll..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, in the process of taking this Amendment out of the record. Could we have the assurance of the...of the Sponsor of the Bill that he will hold the Bill on Second Reading until this matter's resolved?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Yes I will, I did, I believe two days ago, when I was first approached on the Amendment asking that the Chairman of the Appropriation Committee be applied to the Amendment and make sure we have a ...agreed situation. I will hold it on Second Reading or do what's necessary so we can work this problem out."



Madison: "Well as long as we have that understanding, Mr. Speaker, then I think we should hold it. Take it out of the record."

Giorgi: "Take the Bill out. Representative Leverenz, you want your Bill out of the record? Temporarily? Okay. Take the Bill out of the record. House Bill 1342."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill...Representative Giorgi in the Chair."
House Bill 1342, O'Daniel. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of sa...Highway Safety Programs. Second Reading of the Bill. Four Committee Amendments. Amendment #1, Amends House Bill 1342 on page 1, line 5 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Whose Amendment is it? Committee Amendment. Representative Daniels...O'Daniel."

O'Daniel: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a Committee Amendment and I move its adoption."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion on Committee Amendment #1? Mr. Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, could the Sponsor explain the Amendment?"

Giorgi: "Mr. O'Daniel, would you please explain the Amendment?"

O'Daniel: "Ah...This Amendment deletes five hundred and sixty eight thousand for...ah...eight projects funded in four State Agencies under the same.....money and increases the dollars going to local agencies by three hundred and ninety six...three hundred and ninety seven six hundred dollars for projects which are available for one hundred per cent Federal Funding."

Giorgi: "All in favor of the adoption of Amendment #1 signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 1342 on page 3, line 15 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Mr. O'Daniel on Amendment #2."

O'Daniel: "On Amendment #2 I would...ah...Chairman Lechowicz is in the House, I'd like to yield to him to explain Amendment #2. And also Amendment #3."



Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz on Amendment #2 please. Would you give us an explanation."

Lechowicz: "Amendment #2. Line item funds for personnel for local Government Project Coordinators. There is no reduction in funding. The Office of Transportation Safety. This Amendment does not specify that funds are to be used only for people established in D.O.T. Districts Offices working in local Government units. Rather the intent of Amendment #2 is to suggest that the Office of Transportation and Safety seriously consider establishing district Representatives who are close to the people and governmental units whose projects they help develop, approve, fund and administer. With Committee Amendment #2 discussed with both sides of the aisle, I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? You heard the motion to adopt Amendment 2? All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 1342 as amended on page 1, line 12 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz on Amendment #3."

Lechowicz: "Amendment #3 deletes five hundred and sixty eight thousand dollars for eight projects funded in four state agencies under the seventy thirty money. It increases the dollars going into local agencies by three hundred ninety seven thousand, six hundred dollars for projects which are available for one hundred per cent Federal money."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? You've heard the motion to adopt Amendment #3 to House Bill 1342. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #4."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Amends House Bill 1342 on page 4, by deleting line seven and inserting in lieu thereof the following. Section 5."

Giorgi: "Mr. O'Daniel on Amendment #4."

O'Daniel: "Ah...This Amendment is Representative Younge's Amendment,

I don't see her on the floor at the present so I'll try to explain

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



the Amendment. Amendment #4...ah...to House Bill 1342...ah...

On page 4 by deleting line 7 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following. No funds appropriated in this Act may be extended in violation of the rules and regulations of the Illinois Fair Employment Practices Commission or the Federal Equal Employment Opportunities Commission. I move for its adoption."

Giorgi: "You've heard the...ah...Any further discussion? You've heard the motion to amend Amendment #4 to House Bill 1342. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #4 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Giorgi: "Third Reading. Mr. Totten. For what reason do you arise?"

Totten: "I had my light on Mr. Speaker...Ah...There are four Amendments on this Bill and we haven't had the Appropriations Committee has not had the opportunity to review the total appropriation right now and in view of the Governor's message the other day regarding the six per cent across the board cut, I wonder if the Sponsor would hold this on Second Reading so that both the Chairman and I have the opportunity to review this to see whether there's additional cuts that can be put in this Bill in order to conform with the Governor's Fiscal Message."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lechowicz, would you like to reply to that?"

Lechowicz: "Well to be very honest with you Mr. Speaker, if there's any Bill that...ah...we discussed in Committee, was this one. We had about a three hour hearing on it and I think we've cut more than six per cent out of this budget already."

Giorgi: "Mr. Totten, this Bill's going to the Senate and they're going to do the same job..."

Lechowicz: "Let me also point out that there are no G.R.F. funds on this appropriation."

Giorgi: "Does that satisfy you Mr. Totten?"

Lechowicz: "Just hold it."

Totten: "Well I'm just trying to check through the Amendments to do that, I wonder if we could just hold it temporarily till I can go over it with the Chairman and then if we can move it to Third, okay...."

Giorgi: "I've already moved it to Third Reading. It's on Third now."

Totten: "I would have no objection to that."

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Giorgi: "I moved it to Third. They'll call it back if you need to..."

Totten: "Yeah. I had my way. I was seeking recognition before you moved it to Third so that...an Amendment."

Lechowicz: "That's right. Well we'll get together."

Giorgi: "Ah...Third Reading on 1342. House Bill 2989. Representative Hanahan...Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2989. Is a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. Eleven Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 Amends House Bill 2989 on page 15, line 27 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Who's the Amen...Is it a Committee Amendment or a persons Amendment? Committee Amendment. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Is Representative Barnes in ...ah... around?"

Giorgi: "Which Barnes."

Hanahan: "Representative Gene Barnes. He handled all the Amendments in..."

Giorgi: "Representative Eugene Barnes. Is he in the Chambers? Would you like to take it out of the record temporarily?"

Hanahan: "Yes, I'd like to take it out of the record then. Because this is a major half a billion dollar appropriation and there's eleven Amendments to be debated....so...I might have to request taking it out of the record, unless...."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry Mr. Hanahan. Mr. Barnes is in the back of the room."

Hanahan: "Is he not ready?"

Giorgi: "What seat is he in?..."

Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker...ah...Temporary Speaker, who's in the Chair now. I'd ask the permanent Speaker to hold this Bill until the staff is ready for it."

Giorgi: "Very well. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, in Appropriations II this morning...ah...I thought that we had an agreement with the...ah...minority...with the Chairman and the Assistant Chairman to make some attempt to call this Bill back into sec...into Committee and...ah...I thought that we had an agreement on that and I wondered whether he's still in agreement with that or not?"

Giorgi: "Representative Barnes, to reply."

Barnes: "Thank...Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. That's what I was



saying to Representative Ryan. I had came back here and I didn't notice that the Bill was going to be up. But I came right down to the Speaker as soon as we came out of the meeting and ask that this be held until we worked out the necessary things to proceed."

Giorgi: "I agree with you and that shall be held at this time."

Ryan: "Well Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the motion to recommit this Bill back to Committee is now in order."

Giorgi: "Representative Barnes....Is this your Committee? Boyle... Is Representative Boyle in the Chambers. Why don't you hold that motion till the Chairman..."

Ryan: "No, that's all right, that was only a question. Not a motion."

Giorgi: "...Okay. Then we'll go to House Bills still Second Reading. House Bill 3062. Representative Beaupre. Is he in the Chamber or the Committee on Insurance. Is Beaupre in the Chambers? Take it out of the record. How about House Bill 3093. Representative Hirschfeld, is he in the Chambers? Committee on Personnel and Pensions? Okay which one...Representative Capuzi...There's no Amendments. We'll just move it."

Capuzi: "Just move it."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3093. A Bill for an Act to amend the University Civil Service Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Giorgi: "Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Giorgi: "Third...Third Reading.30..4."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3094. A Bill for an Act to amend the downstate Policemans Pension Fund Article in the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Giorgi: "Any Com...Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Giorgi: "Third Reading. Representative Deuster. For what reason do you rise?"



Deuster: "Ah...yes, Mr. Speaker. I've tried to get copies of some of these Bills a little later on here, and, in order to consider whether there can be Amendments. I was just wondering how far down this list you're going to go. Ah... to consider Bills, because at some point...ah...the Bills aren't available, and I would think it wouldn't be appropriate to move them."

Giorgi: "Would you...ah...come up to the Well, and ask the Clerk ...how we doing? Your point is well taken Mr. Deuster. The, they expect some of those Bills momentarily. So, we're going to stop where we're at. We'll go to Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bills, Second Readings. On the Calendar appears Senate Bill 16. Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 16. A Bill for an Act to establish a Board of Trustees at Southern Illi...Illinois University at Edwardsville. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment's."

Giorgi: "Any Amendment's from the Floor?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Ebbesen. Amend Senate Bill 16 on page 1, by deleting line 1 through 4, and inserting in lieu thereof the following, so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't think this Amendment's been distributed yet, so I'd appreciate it if you would take it out of the Record and hold it for just a moment...ah...Representative."

Giorgi: "Hold it. Pull out of the Record. Pull it out of the Record."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison on the Floor? Senate Bill 37."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 37. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Keller on the Floor? Take it out of the Record. 45."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 45. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to require the payment of interest by lease sellers on residential real property, and security deposits. Second Reading of the Bill."



One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 45 on page 1, line 1, by deleting Section 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners. Representative Kempiners' on the Floor? Take it out of the Record. 223."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 223. Gene Hoffman."

Speaker Redmond: "G. L. Hoffman? Take that out of the Record. 283. Representative Kempiners has returned. 45."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 45. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to require the payment of interest by lease sellers on residential real property, and security deposits, made by leasees. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 45 on page 1, line 1, by deleting Section 3 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is an Amendment which the Senate Sponsor and I both agree upon. It was offered by...ah...the...ah...realtors who asked that the Bill be amended so that the security deposit could be paid at the time the security deposit is returned, and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes', have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 283."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 283. A Bill for an Act creating the Local Government Tax Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment's from the Floor?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Beatty on the Floor? Is Representative Beatty on the Floor? Take that one out of the Record. Representative Madigan you...can you handle that Amendment? Take it out of the Record. 285. We'll pass that one."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 28.."

Speaker Redmond: "No, take that one out of the Record. Do you want it



called now? 285."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 285. A Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendment's from the Floor?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was tabled in Committee. Amendment #2, Tuerk. Amend Senate Bill 285 on page 1, line 9 and 10, by deleting 'dependent's allowances', and on page 1, line 21 by deleting 'less'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk. Give the Gentleman order."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the Hou..."

Speaker Redmond: "Will the Members please be in their seats? I can't see Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It's a corrective type of Amendment just to clean up the Bill, I offered in Committee. Unusual...ah...situation occurred in Committee. The Committee...ah...turned it down...ah...for reasons that were ludicrous. But, at least, they turned it down. Now what it does is just clean up the language of the Senate Bill to the point where it puts it back in shape...ah...and in good order. I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Speaker and Members of the House. What Representative Tuerk decries as ludicrous sometimes is...ah...necessarily true. Ah...this Amendment where offered, if it was needed to the passage of the Bill, I would be certainly the first to advocate its adoption, but this Amendment is offered knowing full well that under the Constitution of the State of Illinois, any redundant language or any mistaken language that is meaningless in intent would...could easily be removed by the Governor. So, while Representative Tuerk, you know, questions the motives or the intent of the Sponsor in not accepting Amendment's to the Bill as being ludicrous, I have very good and strong reason that any attempt to amend the Bill in this manner is not so ludicrous if you investigate the rationale behind it. The Amendment that's offered which truly does affect not only language



is not needed at this time for two reasons. The language can easily if Senate Bill 285 becomes the law, and goes to the Governor's desk, can easily be amendatorily amended by the Governor under the powers of the State Constitution. Secondly, if this did not happen, and it did not occur, we have other vehicles that could amend...ah...hopefully other Bills that will pass at a later time that will go to the Governor's desk at a later date that could easily amend this type of redundant language. So at this time I oppose the Amendment offered by Representative Tuerk."

Speaker Redmond: "Further discussion? The question is on the adoption...Representative Tuerk?"

Tuerk: "Well I just simply close by saying to the Representative Hanahan that it's not up to us to send Bills to the Governor that are not in shape. It's our responsibility to put them in shape. Now, when he eludes the fact that you can amend another Bill and take care of these deficiencies in this Bill that's what I'm labeling as ludicrous. Now, I don't know what he's afraid of, in terms of Housekeeping Amendment going back to the Senate. Ah...shouldn't be any problem in concurrence in that type of an Amendment, and therefore I would renew my Motion to adopt this as Amendment #2 to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to adopt Amendment #2 to Hou...Senate Bill 285. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if I may just briefly explain my vote. This is absolutely ridiculous...ah...what the House of Representatives is doing, on this particular Bill. What it's doing is...ah...rejecting a clean up or a Housekeeping Amendment to a Bill and there's no reason at all why this should not go on the Bill and go back to the Senate for concurrence to put the Bill in shape. It's...ah...merely a Housekeeping Amendment as will Amendment #3 in a moment be a Housekeeping Amendment, and there's just no reason whatsoever to reject this type of action."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the



Record. This question 46 'ayes'; 78 'no'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment's?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Tuerk. Amend Senate Bill 285 on page 8, by deleting lines 19 through 21, and inserting in lieu thereof 'amount'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House..."

Speaker Redmond: "The House Rules prohibit passing between a Member addressing the Chair and the Chair. Proceed Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Here again in...ah...amending this Bill in the Senate, they amended part of it, left in some language that...ah... that's not germane to the Bill, and what this Amendment does is remove which...just stay away while I'm...what the Bill does is take out the unnecessary language in the Bill and puts it in the shape that it should be and return to the Senate for concurrence. Now, we just took some action on Amendment #2 to this Bill which I term, and I repeat, it's ludicrous that this House would take the position it's taking in rejecting Housekeeping Amendments. Time after time after time in this Chamber, I've heard Members get up, make Amendments to Bills which would merely put the Bill back in proper shape. Now if you want to take the attitude that you'll send the Governor Bills that are ill conceived, poorly drawn, I presume you can take that responsibility. But I submit to you, that it's our responsibility to put these Bills in shape if nothing more...ah...substantive language, at least, put them back in the shape they should be when they pass both Chambers and go to the second floor, and that's all this Amendment does, is strike some language from the Bill and put it in the shape it should be to send it to the second floor for signature. I would move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

McClain: "Representative Tuerk, exactly what language is struck?"



Tuerk: "Well, if you look...do you have a Bill in front of you?"

McClain: "No sir. I tried to get it. I have a Synopsis and I have the Amendment, but I don't have the Bill."

Tuerk: "All right. On page 8 of the Bill in Section 403, Sub-Section B, it has a sentence that says 'Any dependent's allowance is payable to such individual shall be in addition to such maximum total amount of benefits.' Well what the Bill does in the present form it...ah...it does on a percentage basis and there's no provision for the variable maximum, you see, so the language is unnecessary. It doesn't jive with the Bill. It has no relevance at all to the Bill and therefore it ought to come out of there."

McClain: "Thank you sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Once again, ...ah...this language I concur with that...ah...Representative Tuerk is trying to take out of the Bill is redundant language that is not necessary to passage of the Bill. But it will not affect the intent nor the legality nor the passage of the Bill if left in. Once again, I ask for a 'no' vote on the Amendment based on the fact that the Governor may very simply strike this language out of the Bill because it is redundant and not necessary if he so chooses. If he chooses not to, it will not adversely affect the intent or passage of Senate Bill 285. So I ask for a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, if there are no other questions, I'll briefly close by saying.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I... I appreciate the remarks of the distinguished Sponsor of the Bill, but he forgets that when the Governor does make a change, the Bill then has to come back to the House and to the Senate as an Amendatory Veto."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."



Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker. What this language in the Bill in its present form sets up two standards of payment for benefits for unemployment comp.. Now I ask you, simply, is that the way you want to pass a Bill out of this House or attempt to pass A Bill? It's ludicrous, and I use the word once again. I've heard the Sponsor of this Bill get up on the Floor, many, many times critical of the Administration, and yet he wants to send a Bill down to the Governor and let him do what he wants to do with the Bill. Now, that's ridiculous. I submit that we ought to put the Bill in shape, if no Substantive Amendments are adopted to this Bill, at least, we ought to put the Bill in shape, language wise, and therefore, I would ask for an 'aye' vote to this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill...Senate Bill 248... 285. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, at this time, would it be proper to make an inquiry of the Chair?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Tuerk: "Would you tell me, so that I can become better educated in this process, how you can amend another Bill on the same subject and it would affect this Bill? In other words, let's say for a moment this is Bill X. We amend Bill Y. How would an Amendment on Bill Y be applicable to Bill X?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, we are considering Bill Amendment #3, to Senate Bill 285. I believe the Gentleman's question is not in order."

Speaker Redmond: "I agree. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there's 53 'ayes', 68 'nos'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment's?"

O'Brien: "Amendment #4, Tuerk. Amend Senate Bill 285 on page 15, line 13, by deleting immediately after provided the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I'd make the same inquiry to you. Maybe sometime



we...you and I can get together and, privately, you can explain to me. You've been down here a little longer than I have. So has Hanahan. Ah...maybe you can explain to me so that I can understand that process sometime. But beyond that, Amendment #4 gets into a little more substantive issue. What it does is strike the language that said 'anybody engaging in a lockout or a failure to cross the picket line', what the Bill provides for now, is that those people would be eligible for Unemployment Comp. benefits. What this Amendment says is that those people would not be eligible for Unemployment Comp. benefits. That's as simply as I can explain it. Ah...I could go on for hours in a philosophical vein, but that's what the Amendment says. It just strikes that language and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill, Senate Bill 285, I want to make crystal clear to everyone, does not pay any striker any funds for unemployment compensation. Now, the Gentleman knows full well that the Amendment that he's offered on Amendment #4, he alleges does that very thing, and he knows very well it does not. This Amendment that he's offering is going to prohibit the...the language that we have added to the Act, that in most cases in Hearing Officer Cases, on this question of whether or not a person who has been locked out, and Representative Griesheimer had that very Bill in before this House, a person who is locked out or a person who cannot cross a picket line, that in itself, and I point out the words, in itself, would not make him ineligible for receiving benefits in Unemployment Compensation. Now, we have case after case of decisions in Illinois that allow that in itself that they could not be precluded. And I might point out to make it easier for anyone that has any further questions on this issue. That this doesn't mean that a person who is locked out or a person that honors the picket line, who has no economic gain on that picket line would automatically go to an Unemployment Compensation Office and gain...and have a check presented to them. They still



have to meet other requirements. The requirements of whether or not they've been unemployed for 21 days before they...under this Bill, before they can even gain the first week's unemployment on a picket or a lockout honoring situation. Most strikes don't last that long, especially in the area where you honor a picket line. This Bill is...does not need this Amendment for clarification. This Amendment really gets into an area of a knee-jerk response of trying to put a boogy man on a Bill that isn't necessary. Once again, no where in Senate Bill 285 is there any allowance for a striker to receive unemployment benefits, and I ask a resounding 'no' vote, because somebody's trying to confuse the issue and not read it as it's called for in the Bill, and once again, no striker under Senate Bill 285, no striker can receive unemployment benefits under this Act. And I ask a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm rather appalled at the over simplification that the speaker just who spoke, have made of this whole issue. If you read Amendment 4 it relates to the fact that in this Bill it said that a person who honors a picket line is not deemed to be a participant in a labor dispute. This is completely contrary to the National Labor Relations Board's interpretation of anyone involved crossing a picket line relative to a strike. Now, the over simplification by the prior speaker, I'm rather shocked at, cause he knows exactly that it means that he can honor a picket line and collect Unemployment Compensation because this Bill says he's not deemed, quote, he's not deemed to participate in a strike, end of quote. I submit that the Amendment is valid."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk to close."

Tuerk: "Representative Geo-Karis just made my closing argument. She's absolutely correct. The Sponsor of the Bill is absolutely incorrect, and I would move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote



'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "I want to explain my vote. My 'no' vote. I have to take issue with my lesser seat mate here that the...honoring a picket line does not necessarily mean you're a participant. Ah...Last year when several strikes were on in Chicago, I know people had their...were spit in the face and their tires were slashed and the people don't want to go through all that. Why should they have to be worried about going...risking their bodily harm to go through a picket line...ah...just to receive some money. Therefore, they are not necessarily have to be participants. So I'm saying is, whether a person go through a picket line or not does not mean that they are or are not a participant and under this...ah... Legislation those people have a right not to have to go through a picket line that may be dangerous to their health and safety."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 67 'aye', 78 'nay'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #5. Tuerk. Amends Senate Bill-285 in the House on page 1, by deleting line 1 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following, an Act to amend Section 235 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'll attempt to be as brief as possible on this Amendment. It's really a substantive Amendment and it needs to be that substantive. In brief, and I want you to listen, because this Amendment does provide an average of twenty per cent increase in present maximum unemployment compensation benefits. An increase of over twenty per cent. It also provides for an emergency unemployment comp. tax increase for 1976 to keep the trust fund from going into the red and keeps everything else in the unemployment comp. law in it's present form. And I repeat. This does increase benefits substantially. And I think most of us, particularly most of us on this side of the aisle recognize the need for increased benefits in unemployment comp. Now in the four terms I've been here and the people on the other side of the aisle know this. They know it to be a fact, that I



have worked conscientiously to increase unemployment comp. benefits. At the same time, I have worked as conscientiously to retain the philosophy of what unemployment compensation is all about. The sizable increases are far ahead of the rise in cost of living, but are added to our present unemployment comp. benefit structure. Senate Bill 285, which completely changed the pay method from our variable maximum concept to a percentage at a time when more confusion in our unemployment comp. system is the last thing we need and I say it's the last thing we need because everyone on this Floor has heard in the last two months how fouled up the unemployment compensation administrative people are. Now the special tax increase has really been sanctioned by the Illinois Bureau of Employment Security and it includes the necessary authorization to the Governor to borrow money as such is needed to insure the payments of unemployment comp. benefits. Even if the fund does temporarily go into the red. Now let me emphasize to you that at the present rate this fund is going to go broke this year or certainly the first part of next year. What this Amendment addresses itself is a reasonable approach to this whole area and a problem of unemployment compensation and I submit to the Members of this House that if you want that fund to go broke sooner than benefits can be paid to employees who are agrieved by being on lay-offs, this is the route to go. Now if you want to be responsible and take the attitude that a reasonable increase and sometimes a twenty per cent can be characterized as reasonable but I say it's a substantial increase. It does the job of trying to compensate those people who are on lay offs in a very sensible manner without throwing the whole unemployment compensation trust fund into a turmoil. I submit to you that this is a reasonable Amendment, substantive as it is, I think it is a reasonable approach to the whole problem, I would ask for your favorable support to Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 285."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"



Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Shea: "Could you tell me what kind of a tax increase you're talking about Mr. Tuerk?"

Tuerk: "Special tax increase for...would go from...It would raise the tax by at least one percentage point."

Shea: "Is that across the board?"

Tuerk: "Yes."

Shea: "In other words the small shop keeper who's got maybe four or five employees would pay the same rate as Chrysler Corporation?"

Tuerk: "Yes, but I might answer that by saying to you that 285 is across the Board and that would affect the small employers as well as the large employers."

Shea: "What dollar figure are you talking about sir?"

Tuerk: "I don't understand your question."

Shea: "A one per cent minimum increase right now, some people pay, as I understand the law, three per cent. Some are down to where they're only paying a half a per cent. Is that correct?"

Tuerk: "I don't have those precise figures in my hands but I don't think it has that much of a variation."

Shea: "Well what is an employer with twenty people who has had those same twenty people for the last ten years and has had no claims paying. What per cent?"

Tuerk: "It's in the neighborhood of one to one point five per cent."

Shea: "All right. Let's take one per cent. What is Chrysler paying, who's had a substantial amount of lay offs in the Belvedere area?"

Tuerk: "I don't know...I don't know..."

Shea: "Are they paying the maximum?"

Tuerk: "...I don't know what Chrysler is paying. I would presume they are but I don't know what the figures are."

Shea: "So...For the little shop keeper, it's a hundred per cent increase and for the big corporations it's a thirty three per cent increase. Is that correct sir?"

Tuerk: "You can juggle the figures any way you want sir...but.."

Shea: "I'm not juggling them. I'm asking you if that's what you're proposing. A hundred per cent increase increase ...businessmen and a third increase on large corporations."



Tuerk: "I'm proposing a one year special tax on all employers across the state on the unemployment compensation tax so that the trust fund will survive."

Shea: "How many dollars will that produce?"

Tuerk: "I beg your pardon."

Shea: "How many dollars will that special one per cent tax you're proposing, a tax increase, how many dollars will that produce?"

Tuerk: "It will pay for the increase in benefits...ah...under what is proposed."

Shea: "How many dollars will it produce Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "I don't have that figure at my finger tips."

Shea: "Well how can you propose an Amendment. You tell me that the fund might be in solvent and yet you're proposing an Amendment that you can't tell me how...how many dollars it will produce?"

Tuerk: "Well I'm just trying to...ah...protect the trust fund, the best I know and this at the moment is the...ah...an alternative on a one year basis that is going to tax the employers. There's no question about it. But without this type of an Amendment the cost on those employers is going to be so substantial that I think this is a reasonable approach to the problem."

Shea: "What's the fund balance as of now?"

Tuerk: "Around three hundred million dollars."

Shea: "And what's the weekly pay out rate that we have nine per cent unemployment in this state?"

Tuerk: "I don't know precisely what that figure is sir."

Shea: "Well then how...How do you have any idea the fund might be insolvent if you can't tell me what the pay out..."

Tuerk: "What I'm telling you, what the experts in this field who are administering the Act and making payments are telling me."

Shea: "Well is that Gentleman next to you an expert?"

Tuerk: "Well..."

Shea: "No...I...I don't say that, that I want him off the Floor or anything Fred..."

Tuerk: "What? Why did you ask me?"



Shea: "If the Gentleman that's giving you this information is an expert and if so, could you..."

Tuerk: "I don't think that there's anybody in this Chamber that's an expert in this field."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tuerk: "...Including you."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker..."

Shea: "Absolutely not."

Simms: "I...I think the discussion going on between the Majority Leader and Mr. Tuerk is not according to the rules. It's just been more than one or two questions. It seems to be a debate going back and forth and I think it's untimely and not according to the rules."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, if I might answer that. Here's a Gentleman proposing a tax increase for the businesses of the State of Illinois. A...For the big corporations such as Chrysler and General Motors and Ford, that tax increase is going to be one third of what they're paying for the little business man with a good employment record it's going to be a hundred per cent increase and if you don't think I'm entitled to ask Mr. Simms..."

Simms: "Mr...Mr. Speaker. The Majority Leader, when he sits on the Chair is always criticixing any other Member of the House that asks more than two questions in debate. So the same rules should apply to him that apply to anybody else."

Speaker Redmond: "I think you're correct. Will you end your question?"

Shea: "Well I think that he's answered the question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs."

Downs: "Well Mr. Speaker with all respect and on that point sir. There's no question on anybody's mind that these Bills are of great and significant importance and there are concerns that all of us have about these Bills. I can't recall debate in the form of questions and answers that has been more informative and more orderly than what we are now hearing and I think that they should be allowed to continue. Both of them are conducting themselves in a very fine fashion and I'm learning a lot."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I too have some questions to ask and I think number one, I will limit myself to two questions following the vein of Representative Shea and it's very difficult at this moment to...to...ah... limit it to two because the myriad of questions that his Amendment generates is...is so unbelievable the I'm frustrated in limiting to two but I will try to conduct it to two questions. Number one. Representative Tuerk, where in Senate Bill 285, do you read that there is a tax increase on the business corporations and companies in the State of Illinois. Would you show me the page and the line item in Senate Bill 285 where there is a tax increase in Senate Bill 285."

Tuerk: "I think you're astute enough to know that I didn't say that but what..."

Hanahan: "You said to Representative Shea...."

Tuerk: "No...Now you just keep your mouth shut a moment until I answer you, then you can ask me the next question. How's that?"

Hanahan: "Answer the first one then."

Tuerk: "Well, what I was about..."

Hanahan: "And don't lie, I've got a tape of you."

Tuerk: "Are you finished now or do you want to say something else?"

Hanahan: "I want nothing but the truth sir."

Tuerk: "So help you God...Right. The present Act requires that the trust fund must be kept at five hundred million dollars. You know that...."

Hanahan: "I take offense on a few things you said but I'm well aware that I know that. I...I'm not an expert but I know that right."

Tuerk: "I'm sure you're aware that...Well I don't consider myself to be an expert on this subject either it's a pretty complicated field. But, in order for the trust fund to be kept at that level, it's only logical to assume that the employers are going to have to pay more. Now I don't think in Senate Bill 285, you have to site chapter and verse that it's going to require a tax increase. I think that's self explanatory."



Hanahan: "Well then Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. That was my first question and I believe that Representative Tuerk had wanted the answer a yes or no he would have to be honest with the Members of this House that Senate Bill 285 does not, like he had suggested... to Representative Shea, does not by it's amendatory language in itself site any tax increase on the business community of this state. I'm full well aware of the implications of the benefits but the Bill before this House is Senate Bill 285, which in itself is a benefit Bill, not a tax Bill. This is the amount of benefits we're going to give out to the people that are unemployed. That's all Senate Bill 285 does. My second question sir. I'd like to... to...ah...put it in a broad sense and you could answer it any way you want. If an employee working the last twelve months earns a hundred and thirty dollars a week, on an average week. Or if that same employee earns a hundred and sixty dollars a week or two hundred dollars a week or three hundred dollars a week, or five hundred dollars a week in the last twelve months. Under present law, with no Bill be...with changing any of the present law. What would their benefits be now compared to what your Bill, your Amendment to Senate Bill 285 going to provide for that single individual in...you recall of course, fifty five per cent of all claimants are single. So would you explain to me the exact amount of benefits that you're Amendment will give to those employees earning a hundred and twenty five, a hundred and sixty, two hundred, three hundred and five hundred dollars a week. What do they get now and what will they get under your Amendment?"

Tuerk: "An average of twenty per cent increase."

Hanahan: "Well what would they get now sir. That's the question.

Answer the question."

Tuerk: "Right now?"

Hanahan: "Right."

Tuerk: "Twenty per cent less than what they would be provided under the...ah...provisions of the Amendment before the House."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'll address myself now in opposition to the Bill, or to the Amendment. If Representative Tuerk wanted to be completely and full faced honest with every Member of



this General Assembly in a very complicated area. He would have said that a person who is unemployed today, a good worker, a worker who is unemployed not to his own choice that whether he made a hundred and twenty eight dollars a week or five hundred dollars a week for the last twelve months, would receive the magnificent sum of sixty-seven dollars a week in benefits. That's a real tremendous amount of money in todays economy. Now he propoerts that he will raise this amount of money, twenty percent. Twenty per cent of sixty seven dollars, I believe is in the neighborhood of thirteen dollars. So Representative Tuerk is now going to provide that employee under this magnificent gesture of his in Amendment #5. He's going to give to the unemployed worker, who is used to earning three hundred dollars a week or a hundred and twenty-five dollars a week, the maximum sum of about eighty dollars a week. I suggest that this Amendment is at best, bad and had it come two years ago or four years ago, may have been acceptable. But in the year 1975, to provide employees that are unemployed not through their own wishes, unemployed without their own choice, the grand and magnificent sum of eighty dollars a week after they've been employed with their sweat and blood providing the profits to the companies to give them a magnificent sum of eighty dollars a week, I suggest is in bad taste in todays economy and I urge a resounding 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

McGrew: "Ah...First of all let me preface my remarks by saying that

I am one of this side of the aisle that did not vote for 488 so I am trying to have some realistic questions, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

McGrew: "I'm not a lawyer, I don't understand all of that terminology, nor an I an expert on unemployment comp. Would you tell me, maybe you have and I missed it, would you tell me what is the difference in your increase, in other words, the Senate Bill 285 has a difference between single, married with dependents and so on, would your provision still include that?"



Tuerk: "Oh yes. There are some variable maximums and that's the concept that I am...ah...attempting to restore to some assemblance of order to this Bill. And I could explain to you and also respond to the previous speaker that when he starts quoting figures he doesn't have all the facts and I readily admit that I don't have all the facts but some people don't seem to want to do that. Now in the single category, he did mention and this is germane to your question, that the single person now draws, it's true, sixty-seven dollars a week and the Amendment that I'm proposing, that same person would get eight-five dollars a week or a twenty-seven per cent increase and this takes into account fifty five per cent, more than half of all the claims of unemployment compensation. So I submit to you and to the rest of the House that this is a pretty substantial increase."

McGrew: "You have somewhat anticipated my next question. That was how much in each category. You're saying that the single would go from the State average of sixty-seven to eighty five and the married then would not be as much proportional raise under your Bill as it is...or under your Amendment as it would be under 285. Do you know about how much that would be?"

Tuerk: Yes, and incidently the forty-five per cent remaining...ah... the married with one child is thirteen per cent and the married with two children is twelve per cent, so you add that, that's another twenty five per cent of the total claim. It's true. Those people on a per centage increase is not going up to twenty seven per cent but it's more than fifteen per cent and in the category of a married person with one child he would go from ninety nine up to a hundred and fourteen per week."

McGrew: "Okay, thank you very much. One other thing if I might, there's so much flying through the air here..."

Tuerk: "Keep in mind, if I may add to that that this is non-taxable income."

McGrew: "Yes, I realize that and frankly that's one thing that blows this totally out of proportion because when this was conceived I know it was two thirds but we were on a much less income basis or income tax basis than we are under the ...the existing thing."

But my last and final question sir, is currently, we have in..in this
GENERAL ASSEMBLY



Bill that it would...May we have a little order? I can't even hear myself think....Currently in this Bill we say that it has to be the individuals income or the state average, whichever is less. What does the individual, be he single, married or married with dependents, have to earn to reach the provision that you're now talking about? In other words, what would his income be. Is it based that he has to have...ah... fifty thousand dollar a year job to get the maximum or how do they figure it? To get...to get the, you said eighty-five for single, ninety-nine to a hundred and ten for married with dependents. What do they have to earn to get that?"

Tuerk: "I don't have that figure precisely but it would be around ten thousand or eleven thousand dollars a year."

McGrew: "That is extremely important to my vote sir, if you could some way..."

Tuerk: "We'll try and get that precise figure."

McGrew: "Thank you. I appreciate your candid."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio."

Giglio: "Will the Sponsor yield for one..."

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Giglio: "Well Representative Tuerk, can you tell me...ah...in response to a person who collects the unemployment compensation from say, my company, if you happen to know how much that person would collect if he was single or if he was married with dependents if he was to place himself on welfare. If he went for the welfare benefits up against the unemployment compensation benefits. Do you have...do you have those figures, do you know?"

Tuerk: "No I don't, I'm sorry to say. I don't have that comparison."

Speaker Redmond: "Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question also? Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Mautino: "Ah...Fred, since you mentioned the fact that the income received under unemployment compensation benefits is nontaxable income is it also not a fact that the corresponding accounting ledger figure of that amount paid into the fund is also a deduction

as a gross business expense for the employer? Aren't they offsetting...

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



for example, as far as the State of Illinois is concerned, are they not offsetting county figures?"

Tuerk: "Well my answer to that is any tax on anybody is a deductible item, certainly."

Mautino: "Well I just didn't want to have apples and oranges mixed saying that the money they're receiving is nontaxable but it is also...it is also a deduction from the business entity that's paying it."

Tuerk: "Of course I think it's important to add that we had some small business people testify in Committee that there may come a day, with this type of Legislation that they're not going to have the income to even pay the tax."

Mautino: "Thank you sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk to close."

Tuerk: "Am I recognized to close Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Tuerk: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In my four terms down here, I've heard many Bills Sponsors, that is going to tax employers at the extent to which some employers just can't handle it. Now it's interesting to note that I'm proposing an Amendment that's going to tax employers a little additional money in one years time so that we can address ourselves to the responsibility of keeping the trust fund during these times when the trust fund has to be funded properly in order to pay the claim. Now I don't see the objection as incomprehensible to me, why the objection is coming from the other side of the aisle when I'm proposing to tax the employer. Here again I just don't understand the reasoning behind some of the arguments against this Amendment. That's point number one. Point number 2 is that when you think in terms of what the employers are paying it's based on proportional payroll and therefore the tax is going to be equitable across the board. There are many more points that I could make but I think during the opening remarks and during the question and answer period on this particular Amendment it can all be summed up by saying that this is a reasonable approach to the whole problem of unemployment compensation. On the other hand,



Senate Bill 285, is an unreasonable approach, it's an approach that's going to bankrupt the fund. It's going to add to the dilemma and the problems of this whole area and I think that it's time that this House has to address itself to the responsibility of taking a reasonable approach to the problem and adopt this Amendment to the Bill. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 285."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed...Roll Call vote? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my 'aye' vote if I may. The fact of the matter is, no matter whether Representative Tuerks Amendment is adopted or not, the fund is going to be depleted, we're going to have a tax increase for the persons that are employing the minimum number of people to qualify under the Workman's Comp. Act. The proposed Amendment would raise the average pay out from sixty seven to eighty five dollars and nine cents if the twenty per cent is accurate. It seems to me that a twenty seven per cent increase, if they are willing to accept that is very much within reason and I think everyone agrees that the system is archaic and way behind the time and that the employees have not been payed enough. If...It seems that if we are willing to work this out to resolve the difference over seven dollars when we are conceding eighteen dollars and nine tenths according to my figures that it is something that would merit consideration of the entire House and would give something that would...would get away from a lot of the arguments and strife that we now have before us. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 60 'aye', 87 'nay'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendments."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #6. Tuerk. Amend Senate Bill 285 in the House and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I think that is Mr. Walsh's Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #6 is somewhat similar to Amendment #5, in that it changes the present Unemployment Compensation Act so that it restores some sanity from what 285 would do. It provides that you must be involuntarily unemployed to be able to collect benefits. It has the same provision with respect to the tax on employers that Amendment #5 had, namely that it increases approximately one percent across the board the tax on employers to pay for the present claims under Unemployment Compensation, and for those people who don't think there ought to be a tax increase on employers or in effect a premium increase, I would inquire of them where they think the money is coming from. Now in addition to that, it increases the benefits from the present approximately twenty-five percent across the board, that is across the present board, and retains, Mr. Speaker the reasonable classifications, namely that the number of children in a family makes some difference as to what that families expenses are for living. It retains that in the Act. Now I would suggest to you Mr. Speaker, that this twenty-five percent increase is substantially higher than the cost-of-living has gone up since the last time there has been an increase in Unemployment Compensation benefits that we have to do something about paying for the increase that we have now because of the unfortunate unemployment that we have now, and that this is an entirely reasonable Amendment and I would urge your support for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "To be very brief Mr. Speaker, you know if we stand around here long enough, maybe we'll get increases that'll exceed 285 if we keep puttin these Amendments off. Really, right now, where we're going is that we've heard from a twenty percent increase by the business community being offered through their spokesmen in some way, now we're up to twenty-five percent. If we defeat this Amendment, maybe the next Amendment will bring thirty percent or



thirty-five percent and that will then exceed the benefits as provided for under Senate Bill 285. I...I...I thank the Gentleman for being magnanimous in offering a twenty-five percent increase to the unemployed of Illinois, but I think that the position that 285 presents itself in the way of amending the Unemployment Compensation Act is a much better system, in that to...that this Amendment like the one previous, comes about two years too late, and I...and when we go to pass 285 on Third Reading, I sure hope that these Members who are offering these magnanimous increases justify the difference, now not the total, but the difference between what they've offered and what 285 calls for as being the true increases of the Unemployment Compensation benefits of Illinois, and I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk to close."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Walsh is handling that Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh , Representative Walsh, I'm sorry:"

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I noticed the Gentleman did not address himself to the changes in the classification that are entirely reasonable within the present Act, and which are retained in this Amendment. And which provide for substantial, and I repeat, substantial increases and I might point out to the Gentleman, that this is the Walsh Amendment, not the business community or whatever else he might call it Amendment. I feel very strongly that labor has a...plays a very great part in the current unemployment situation. They are being totally unreasonable. They are going to strip this state of the business that has made us so viable for so very many years, and I would urge again that you vote 'yes' on this 'reasonable Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #6 to House...Senate Bill 285. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question there's 57 'yes', 82 'no'. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment's?"

Selcke: "Amendment #7, Tuerk. Amend Senate Bill 285, page 1 by deleting



line 1, henceforth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, here again I don't know how the confusion has crept into these, but...ah...that's Mr. Walsh's Amendent."

Speaker Redmond: "That's Walsh's too?"

Tuerk: "Yeah."

Speaker Redmond: "This yours, Representative Walsh?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have finally reached one that cannot possibly be objected to, because it provides for a way of paying the benefits as they are laid out in House Bill 285 or possibly if the people of the State of Illinois get a break, and House Bill 285 doesn't find its way to the Governor's desk or the Governor does not sign it. Then under the present Act, because payments are far exceeding receipts and there has to be an increase in the payments by the employers. Amendment #7 provides that the amount of wages subject to the tax is increased from \$4200.00 to \$5000.00, and it increases the tax on employers by approximately one percent across the board. If we do not, and I repeat, if we do not adopt this Amendment or if some other way this is not provided for, then the fund for which Unemployment Compensation benefits are paid will run absolutely dry by March of 1976. So I suggest to you that this is a crisis situation. The fund will drop below the Fifty Million Dollars that is considered emergency long before that if we do not do something in this area to provide for funding, then there are going to be no benefits, and if there are no benefits, then it's going to be the responsibility of the Sponsor of this Bill and of the Majority Leader and people like them. I urge your support for Amendment #7."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Just for a point of clarification. What section of the Unemployment Compensation Act does this Amendment apply to? This Bill, Senate Bill 285 only amends Section 401, 403, 500, and 604 of the Unemployment Compensation Act. I'm wondering if this Amendment is germane to any of that...of those Sections of the



Unemployment Compensation Act?"

Walsh: "What Amendment #7 does is add a Section to the Unemployment Compensation Act and I submit to you that it is germane."

Hanahan: "No, I'm...ah...I'm questioning the Section of the Act that you're amending because nowhere in 285 is the Tax Act or the Eligibi...or the Kaft Act."

Walsh: "Well, I'm told that it adds Section 2100 to Chapter 46, paragraph 6."

Hanahan: "Then...then, once again Mr. Speaker...ah...I question the germaneness. 285 is a Benefit Act amending specific Sections of a very broad Act and Statute in the State of Illinois, specifically Sections 401, 403, 500, and 604, and in noway in the intention of this Sponsor or the Senate Sponsor are we adding to any unnamed act, any new act or in anyway a Tax Act. So, I believe he's in the wrong chapter for amendatory process on this...ah...Amendment. Ah...I suggest that House Bill 707 is in that...over in the Senate which is amending that Act, and this Amendment would be best directed to that Section of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, it was a Parliamentary Inquiry on Germaneness. This Amendment that he's offering does not amend what the Bill calls for. It is a new Section, has nothing to do with anything we touched in the Bill 285, and I suggest that this Amendment be better be placed...ah...in...in...in a legislative dialogue on another Bill, not this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "I believe it's germane, Representative Hanahan. You ready for the question? Representative Walsh, do you want to close?"

Walsh: "I thought I did close Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay. Question. The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment's?"

Selcke: "Amendment #8, Geo-Karis. Amend Senate Bill 285 in the House, page 7 henceforth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amend-



ment #8...ah...shows that the...in order to claim a dependency allowance as...ah...claimant for unemployment, you should furnish, at least, fifty percent of the support. The way the present Bill is written...ah...if there is a couple, for example, husband and wife, under the present Bill if one pays up to twenty-five percent of the total support of the child dependent and the other pays twenty-five or more, they both can claim the dependent's benefits. Now, I submit, when I argued the same point on House Bill 488, I was told it only applies to one claimant. That is not true, the way the Bill is written and it's in page 7, lines 9 to dash 13, it allows an individual to claim dependent's support if that individual simply furnishes twenty-five percent of the total support of the child. I submit, that you have two claimant's for dependants under the way the Bill is written, and I submit, it should be only one, because I can see the Union's Fund...Union Trust Fund being depleted by such excessive. I am not against children, I love them, but I think we should be fair when we write up the Bill and when it is told to me that only one can claim it, and yet under the way the Bill is written, two can claim it, and I request your...ah...favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, generally, I've been known as the...ah... opposing the Equal Rights Amendment, and sometimes have been called the male chauvinist because of my great stand against ERA, and I'm surprised that the Lady from Lake, who purports to be a supporter of ERA...ah...sometimes would come in with an Amendment like this, because she knows full well that if you're talking about sex discrimination, this Amendment that she is offering, would really deny the full and equal opportunity of the female of the sex...of the gender in employment from being allowed to ask for and be eligible in the request, and not denied because of the request, the support of a child. One of the reason's 285 is a good Bill and I oppose the other Amendment's that have been offered by Representative Walsh, particularly in changing of the scheduled benefits back to the old system is that under the new system, we only allow a claim of



dependency for one or more child...children, and therefore it is not as significant any longer of the question of who is supporting what percentage of benefits to that child. So I suggest this is a bad Amendment in really in putting the question to the Membership of...it...it's almost hard for me to understand why an Amendment of this nature would be offered by the Lady from Lake, and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I am well aware how well versed the prior speaker is to sex discrimination. Well aware of this. This is not a sex discrimination Amendment. This simply says that two can collect instead of one, and whether it's the male or female, and I don't know why my distinguished colleague insist on bringing in the ERA's question, unless he has a guilty conscience. So I request a vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #8 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment?"

Selcke: "Amendment #9, Geo-Karis. Amend Senate Bill 285 on page 1, henceforth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On Amendment #9, what Amendment #9 does is to restore a level of earnings. Under the present way that Senate Bill 285 is written, it will allow a person who...that works only one week, who is not interested really in working, and is layed off because of his or her inefficiency, and I repeat, his or her inefficiency, it can be layed off and this claimant can go and claim unemployment compensation for twenty-six weeks, because when the word gets around that they're inefficient, who's going to hire them? Now, they took the base off of approximately \$2975.00 in order for a person to claim to earn that and then be able to claim twenty-six weeks of unemployment. And I submit that this Amendment which deletes that provision of Section 20...ah...285, will not give everyone a



automatic twenty-six weeks of benefits, unless they've earned it, because you're going to deplete the Union Trust Fund. The State of Pennsylvania already is broke in their Union Trust Fund. New Jersey is getting that way. Michigan is getting that way, and some prior speaker referred to Chrysler Corporation. I wouldn't want the problems Chrysler Corporation has right now. So I respectfully request an 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #9 to Senate Bill 3...285. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment's?"

Selcke: "Amendment #10, Simms. Amend Senate Bill 285 in the House, Page 1, line 1, and henceforth."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment would restore the one week waiting period as it is presently under the Illinois law. Past facets of many years have shown that the we...that the waiting week, the best time in which to find another job, and the cost has been estimated Twenty Million Dollars just for this year alone, to the Trust Fund, if the waiting week period is to be modified to allow payment for it. The average duration of Unemployment Compensation Benefits in the State of Illinois is twelve weeks. So it must be assumed that almost everyone would qualify for payment of the one week waiting period as is now provided for in Senate Bill 285. The basic reasons for the one week waiting period would serve as an incentive to seek other type of employment, and a method of providing just that much more bene...benefit to the long term unemployed. So, Mr. Speaker, I would say that...ah...Amendment #10 would improve the merits of this Bill, and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, the House has addressed itself often to this question of one week, and the House for the last four years sent it out, Representative Giorgi debated this issue prior to this in the Session. House Bill 2...Senate Bill 285 allows the first week to be picked up after twenty days of unemployment. It's really not what we have even...anywhere near as far as we've gone in the past."



I think it's a bad Amendment, and we should resoundingly say 'no' on this vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms to close."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would disagree with the previous speaker of the Bill. I think we have to look at what the magnitude of... of this legislation will do. It's my understanding that this would cost employers of Illinois in the area of Thirteen Million Dollars just for the first year. And for...to accept any type of reason in the historical import of Unemployment Compensation is to assist those individuals that have...that are unemployed for long periods of time. So I would urge that...ah...Amendment #10 be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #10 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor say 'aye', opposed say 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment?"

Selcke: "Amendment #11, Simms. Amend Senate Bill 285 in the House, page 13, and henceforth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well, Amendment #11. Although I realize that I'd basically like to preserve the one week waiting period as it presently is, we recognized the possibility that payment of the waiting period might help alleviate financial difficulties in some of those long term unemployed cases. This Amendment would permit the payment of the waiting week to the persons who have received eight consecutive weeks of unemployment benefits, and I would urge that the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, you in favor of this Amendment?"

Hanahan: "No sir, and I think that once again it's an offer like Representative Walsh said earlier. If we hold out long enough, maybe you'll come back and modify the three weeks that we have presently in the Bill for waiting and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms to close."

Simms: "I would urge the adoption of Amendment #11."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #11 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos'



have it. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment's?"

Selcke: "Amendment #12, Simms. Amend Senate Bill 285 in the House on page 13, henceforth."

Simms: "Well, Repre...Representative Hanahan's right. Then we're engaged here in a little collective bargaining that...ah...might have been able to solve, if we'd had the Agreed Bill process, management-labor. Amendment #12 address itself, and it...ah... would reduce this period to six consecutive weeks instead of eight in the previous Amendment. And although it's not as good as the previous Amendment, it's still an improvement, and I would urge the adoption of Amendment #12."

Speaker Redmond: "You for this one, Representative Hanahan?"

Hanahan: "No...no Mr. Speaker, but I would like a Roll Call on this. I would like to see how Representative Simms votes on this...ah... so we could send it back to the district he resides, that he's for eliminating the one week waiting period after six weeks, so I'd like a Roll Call on this Amendment, but I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman. Representative Simms to close."

Simms: "Well, I'd urge the adoption of Amendment #12, and...ah...I would urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #12 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it. The Amendment fails. Any further Amendment's? Representative Kane, do you seek attention?"

Selcke: "Amendment #13, Simms. Amend Senate Bill 285 in the House, page 13, henceforth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "This is our last attempt at negotiating this figure down, and I'm sure Representative Hanahan will be extremely eager to have this Amendment adopted. It reduces down to three consecutive weeks of Unemployment Compensation Benefits that an individual would be out of work, and after being out of work for those three weeks, then he'd be eligible to pick up his one week, and...ah...I think this might be some type of compromise that we could come home with a little something Tom, and I would urge a 'yes' vote for Amendment #13."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, that is exactly how the Bill is now. So I hope that by Representative Simms offering this Amendment that when Senate Bill 285 comes before the House for Third Reading that knowing that his Amendment is in there and calls for the one week waiting period to be picked up after three weeks of unemployment that he could support Senate Bill 285. This Amendment is...ah... is not needed because it's presently in the Bill."

Simms: "Well Mr. Speaker, speaking to that. It's my understanding that there is a three or four day late period that this Amendment...the...ah... provisions of the Bill as they now stand would not cover and it would actually be...ah...more than that. So what this really does is bring it down to an exact three weeks and for these reasons I would urge a 'yes' vote on Amendment #13."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #13, to Senate Bill 285. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'no's' have it. The Amendment fails."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #14. Craig. Amends Senate Bill 285 in the House on page 13 line 34 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I feel like Unemployment Compensation and I have no quarrel with the man who makes the smaller income and it...is increases and benefits. I have no quarrel with that whatsoever. This Amendment, what it does, if his annual income of a single individual is twelve thousand or more, or if he is a member of a household of which a combined annual income of the various members of the household is sixteen thousand or more. He shall not be eligible for benefits unless he's been unemployed for a waiting period of a hundred days. The reason I put that Amendment in, it's a little disturbing to me, the people that are making large salaries are by the way of their occupation, that they work eight or ten months out of the year and then they draw on this fund when I think it's time for us to make, when we're making that kind of money that we certainly should learn to manage this money better than drinking the next waiting week or so that we should be on Unemployment Compensation. I feel like this Unemployment Compensation



is for the needy and not the greedy and I'd like to be...urge your support of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative...ah...Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I know Representative Craig has offered this Amendment in good faith, in speaking to a problem that is bigger than both of us and bigger than the State of Illinois. The problem he's eluding to is based in law on Social Security, Medicare our own Pension System in the Legislature is based on the concept that people do not take care of themselves. People need help when they're unemployed or they're injured. That's the basic concept of Unemployment Compensation. And I don't care if you're earning twenty thousand dollars a year or you're earning six thousand dollars a year. You've established a standard of living and the concept of the very premise of this, of Unemployment Compensation goes back to this. That the difference between Unemployment Compensation and Welfare is Unemployment Compensation is a temporary relief ...temporary relief that a person, who through no fault of his own or her own is unemployed finds themselves the needs of paying their mortgage payment, their car payment, food, medical payments and the other necessities of life that they just do not have the money in the bank to pay these bills and we are already penalizing that person who earns twelve and sixteen and twenty thousand dollars a year. The penalty they got is that they, we use the average wage week of the State of Illinois of a hundred and eighty four dollars in Senate Bill 285. That nobody, no matter how much a week they earn can exceed that amount of the average weekly wage of Illinois at a hundred and eighty four dollars to draw benefits of Unemployment Compensation based on that fifty per cent of that amount. So...So you know, the average person, the average Carpenter, or Plumber, Electrician who may earn twelve thousand dollars a year, you and I know has not saved out of that salary, has not saved money to tide him over in periods of unemployment and the alternative to this Bill or this Amendment would be welfare for those people, or at least



the application for welfare and the small merchant and the small businessman, the utilities and all the other business people in this state would not have their bills paid to them and therefore the cycle of unemployment would come full circle and feed upon itself like a breeder, it would breed unemployment and...and breed more unemployment. Because when bills aren't paid by people who are obligated for bills to the fact that they would not be able to pay their mortgage payment and the rest of their bills, it would breed more employment. It's a bad Amendment, it would bankrupt the State of Illinois, it would bankrupt half the people who are in the professional trades, the craft trades or the high earned skilled trades and factories that have to, through no fault of their own, have to draw Unemployment Comp. It may be a good idea, it may have emotional support, but logic I hope will prevail to defeat this Amendment and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Well Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of this Amendment that Representative Craig is offering. I think he's hit a very valid point, with a historical basis for Unemployment Compensation is and the reasons that it was enacted and for this reason I think perhaps this is the one of the most important Roll Calls that on this Bill I would ask for a Roll Call and ask that five other Members join with me for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Further discussion? Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Chairman...or Mr. Speaker and Members of this House. I am amazed that someone stands up here and says if someone makes that kind of money that they'd over live their means and they can't go for a hundred without a, some kind of relief. I'm here to tell you that is one of the problems that we're facing today in this country, is the cause when people get on Social Security or some Pension Plan that it won't provide them the means that they've been use to living when they've been making full money and big money and they expect Social Security and their Pension Plan to let them continue, if you please, live as they've been living. I don't think Social Security and Pension Plans were



meant in any way to give you the kind of life that you've been living when you was earning hundred per cent earning power. They are ma...being to give you relief to help you, if you please, to go on and help you after you have saved something for, if you please, for the years that you have worked. Now they talk about the small businessman, the farmer, anyone else. I had a bad year last year and I'm going to go about twelve months, if you please, without any relief, but I've got to go to a bank and I'm going to pay interest. Now when a man is making twelve or a family sixteen thousand in a twelve month period. I don't consider them really on a paupers wages. I think this Amendment does consider...con...should have your full consideration and I think it's time as we as law makers, when he said it's a law and we can't do anything about it, that's why we're suppose to be here in this General Assembly making these laws and things like that and I urge your support of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor say 'aye', the Gentleman has requested a Roll Call. All those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Hanahan for what purpose do you rise?"

Hanahan: "To explain my vote Mr. Speaker. I suggest to the Members of the House, while emotionally the appeal to vote for this Amendment may be strong but facts and logic should prevail in opposition to this Amendment. You and I know that we have a Legislative Pension based on the fact that we do not save money that we earn to provide for us in old age. Now if anyone has to look at how our whole system is set up, look at our own... ourselves for example. How many Legislators would have provided with themselves and their families with the insurance that we provide our widow and our dependent children in case we die with some sort of savings account? So we took many years ago, not only for ourselves but the teachers and the policemen and all the other workers, we have provided pension systems to provide when we are not earning that we get some sort of relief. Unemployment



Compensation, like that is provided for people who did not save, who do not have the great resources that Representative Craig eludes to, that a family could subsist on twelve thousand dollars a year income and then provide for three months the mortgage payments, the car payment, the food payment, the medical payment, the clothing needs and tuition maybe to parochial schools when it's needed and contributions to their church that they decide to go to when they're unemployed for a hundred days. I defy anyone in the House to point out that kind of wealth. That kind of wealth that is saved by people who earn twelve thousand dollars a year. It's just pure follie. We have started Medicare, we started Social Security, in all other kinds of social benefits based on the premise that people do not provide for themselves when they're not earning or put away for a rainy day. This Legislature did not do it on its own pension system. So I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment. Based just on one concept. It's not fair when we are already penalizing those employees earning that money by not allowing them to have a percentage of that earning, not allowing them to have a percentage of their high high earning on their calendar quarter when they are working for unemployment benefits. That's what's wrong with this Amendment and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Hanahan makes a good point and it might be well for every Member of this House to remember what people in what salary ranges are paying largest proportions to unemployment to make sure that everyone in this state and this country can survive when their not able to make a living."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Jacobs....no.."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker...ah...before you have the Roll Call, I'd just like to have the Members in here look at the Amendment #14. We've been hearing twenty thousand dollars thrown around as the wage and however, in this Amendment twelve thousand dollars are

more is what's in the Amendment's 12% wage rate of earner in

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Illinois is approximately or practically eleven thousand dollars a year. This would mean that practically everyone that works in a factory would have to have a hundred day waiting period and I'd just ask to take a good look at the Amendment and then vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker I'd like to ask for a verification of this. I just want everybody to realize what they're voting on."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman has asked for a verification. The Clerk will...There's been a request of a poll of the absentees. Poll the absentees Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Bradley, Brandt, Brummet, Capparelli, Chapman, Epton, Gaines, Giglio, Hart, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Keller, Klosak, Kucharski, McAuliffe, McAvoy, Muclahey, Peters, Rayson, Rose, Stearney, Terzich, Wall, White, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Verify the affirmative Roll Call."

Fred Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Birchler, Borchers, Brinkmeyer, Campbell,..."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay you may move up. You can stand next to Giorgi."

Fred Selcke: "Covrroll, Coffey, Craig, Cunnringham, Daniels, Deavers, Deuster, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ewing, Friedland, Friedrich, Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Ron Hoffman, Hudson, Dave Jones, Kempiners, Kent, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Luft, Macdonald, Mahar, Mautino, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McMaster, Meyer, Miller, Molloy, Neff, O'Daniel, Palmer, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Reed, Richmond, Rigney, Ryan, Schisler, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Schuneman, Sevcik, Simms, Skinner, E. G. Steele, Cissy Stiehl, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, Waddell, Washburn, Williams, Winchester."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman."

Champan: "Vote me 'aye'...I mean 'no'. 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "I mean maybe...Representative Bradley."



Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, would you please record me as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. 69 'aye',
77 'nay'. Representative Barnes, how do you desire to vote?
no, no, no, no,. No this was the affirmative. Now we'll
proceed to verify the negative Roll Call. Representative
Keller 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Terzich 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart, 'aye'. Representative
Mulcahey, 'aye'. Any others desire to be added to the
affirmative Roll Call? That was Keller, did you get Keller?
Keller, Hart, Terzich Mulcahey. Representative Hanahan...
who? Terzich 'no'. I guess....That's right. 72 'aye',
78 'nay'. Now verify the negative Roll Call. Yea..., okay.
Any questions of the affirmative Roll Call? Representative
Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Is Representative Arnell?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Arnell in the Chambers? I don't
see him. Is he here. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Hanahan: "Representative Birchler."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Birchler is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Coffey?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Coffey? I saw him a while back. He's
here."

Hanahan: "Representative Deavers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers here? Is Representative
Deavers in the Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Hanahan: "Representative Deuster."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster in the Chamber? Is he
here now? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Hanahan: "Representative Duff."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff in the Chambers? How's he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Hanahan: "Representative Ewing."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing in the Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Hanahan: "Representative Ron Hoffman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ron Hoffman. How is he recorded?"

Fred Welcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Hanahan: "Representative Dave Jones."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Kempiners."

Speaker Redmond: "...Who was that?"

Hanahan: "Kemp...Kempiners from Joliet."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kempiners, is he here? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him from the Roll Call. Representative Ewing has returned. Put him back on..."

Hanahan: "Rep...Representative...ah...LaFleur."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative LaFleur in the Chamber?"

Here he comes. Representative Ron Hoffman has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Is Representative LaFleur here?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Hanahan: "Representative Luft."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Luft is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Mahar."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mahar is here. Duff has returned put him back on."

Fred Selcke: "Who?"

Speaker Redmond: "Duff."



Hanahan: "Representative Mautino."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino is here."

Hanahan: "Representative McGrew."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew in the Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Hanahan: "Representative Molloy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Molloy. I don't see Molloy. Oh yes, there he is."

Hanahan: "I...I don't see him sir."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Hanahan: "Oh...Oh there he is back there. Representative Porter."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter in the Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Hanahan: "Representative Randolph."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Randolph? He's here."

Hanahan: "Representative Reed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Reed is here."

Hanahan: "Representative Schuneman."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here."

Hanahan: "Representative Sevcik."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik? Representative Sevcik? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "He's not here but..."

Hanahan: "That's all the questions I have Mr. Speaker...How many is that?"

Speaker Redmond: "No..."

Hanahan: "That's seven off Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brandt. 'no'. Any other questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"

Hanahan: "Not at this time sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rose, votes 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Rose 'aye'."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski...change Kosinski to 'no'.

Representative Deuster has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call.

Representative Kempiners is here. Put him back on the Roll Call.

Anything further?"

Hanahan: "Is that five off of the Roll Call Mr. Speaker? Representative Arnell, Representative Deavers, Representative McGrew, Representative Porter, Representative Sevcik I believe are the five."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sevcik in the Chambers? Take him off.

Five off....68 'ayes'. Now verify the negative Roll Call.

Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I have a Bill up now in a Senate Committee.

I wonder if I could be verified now on the negative Roll."

Speaker Redmond: "Verify Representative Lundy now. Representative Berman.

He has the same problem. Representative Caldwell. Representative

Kosinski. You're going? Representative Kosinski is leaving.

Representative Collins has the same problem. Representative Dunn,

same problem. May he be verified now? Representative James

Houlihan. Representative Barnes, same problem. Representative

Boyle, same problem. Representative Garmisa same problem,

Representative Brandt, the same problem. Now we're starting with

80 'nays'."

Fred Selcke: "Gene Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "He was verified."

Fred Selcke: "Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Brandt, Byers, Caldwell,

Calvo, Catania, Chapman, Collins, D'Arco, Darrow, Davis, DiPrima,

Downs, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa,

Getty, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan,.."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Miller, for what purpose do you rise?"

Miller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, could you have the Clerk slow down about

ten per cent."

Speaker Redmond: "I can try, you've been chastised Representative Sel...

Clerk Selcke."

Selcke: "Hill, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Huff..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane, for what purpose do you rise?"

Kane: "May I be verified?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's here and now he may be excused....Representative



Choate, seconds...objects..."

Fred Selcke: "Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Kelly, Kosinski,
..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you
rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, could I be verified now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes."

Fred Selcke: "Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Kornowicz, Leon,
Leverenz, Londrigan, Lucco, Lundy, Madigan, Madison, Mann,
Maragos, Marovitz, Matijevich, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo,
Mudd, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Pouncey, Sangmeister,
Satterthwaite, Schlickman, Schneider, Sharp, Shea, Stone,
Stubblefield, Taylor, Terzich, Tipword, VanDuyne, VonBoeckman,
Washington, Younge, Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Luft."

Luft: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I tried to go this full session without
making a mistake and I just made one. Would you change my 'aye',
to 'no'. please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Mulcahey."

Mulcahey: "Mr. Speaker, would you change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'.
Please."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman from 'aye' to 'no'."

Fred Selcke: "Who was that?"

Mulcahey: "Mulcahey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gaines."

Gaines: "I...Put me on as 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "I'd like to be recorded as 'no' sir."

Speaker Redmond: "As what?"

Mautino: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "After eating my entire dinner in three bites, would you put
me back on as 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "McGrew 'aye'. Leinenweber...."

Leinenweber: "Speaker, switch me from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Willer..."



That was Leinenweber."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, put me on as 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Lady as 'no'. Representative Daniels...
Daniels."

Daniels: "Record me as 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Anderson."

Anderson: "Record me as 'no' please."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative
Deuster."

Deuster: "Similarly I hope you will record me as 'no' Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Please record me as 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. Representative Craig."

Craig: "Berman."

Speaker Redmond: "He was verified, he went to a Senate Committee."

Craig: "Brandt."

Speaker Redmond: "He also went to the Senate Committee."

Craig: "Byers."

Speaker Redmond: "He's in his seat."

Craig: "Caldwell."

Speaker Redmond: "He was verified. He went to the Senate Committee."

Craig: "I want everybody to know that I come from a Senate Committee
here too. Downs."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs is in the Gallery."

Craig: "Dunn."

Speaker Redmond: "Is the Gentleman in the Chamber? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Craig: "Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell, how is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Craig: "Farley."

Speaker Redmond: "Farley's here."

Craig: "Lechowicz."



Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Lechowicz in his seat? Take him off..."

How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Craig: "Mann."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann? How's he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Craig: "Patrick."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Patrick, is he back there? There he is."

Craig: "Schlickman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman is here."

Craig: "Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor is here."

Craig: "Van Duyne."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VanDuyne. How's he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Craig: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. VonBoeckman is he here? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Craig: "Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Yourell, is he here? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Craig: "Flinn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn, is he in his seat? Representative Flinn? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Craig: "James Houlihan."

Speaker Redmond: "James Houlihan? Is he in his seat? How is he recorded?"



Fred Selcke: "No."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan..."

Craig: "Lundy."

Speaker Redmond: "He was verified...Representative Lechowicz has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call."

Craig: "McClain."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain, is he here? How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Ah...The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'yes'."

Craig: "That's all."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Dyer...Representative Dyer changed from 'yes' to 'no'. Winchester changes from 'yes' to 'no'. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you...She wants to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Jane Barnes. Representative McAuliffe, record him as 'no'. Representative Craig."

Craig: "Mr Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "Who."

Craig: "Gaines."

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised that he wasn't verified. Oh, here he comes now. Representative Catania. Representative Yourell has returned. Put him back on the Roll Call. Representative Capparelli. How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: "Absent."

Speaker Redmond: "He wants to be recorded as 'no'. Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Change my 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change his 'aye' to 'no'. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "I'm sorry to do this Mr. Speaker, but I just had explained how low the minimum is. Please change me to 'no'. Any other questions? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I think this is an exercise in futility and since it's going to be obvious that my own side is changing to 'no'. Please change me to 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Lady to 'no'."



Speaker Redmond: "Any other questions? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "How is he recorded?"

Fred Selcke: Skinner...Skinner is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Skinner: "Okay the next time somebody doesn't think I'm voting right, remember I'm remaining 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Ah Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I did the best I could for my colleague across the aisle. Change me to 'no' will you."

Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'no'. ...ski 'no'.

Representative Bradley, 'no'. Kucharski 'no'. Bradley 'no'.

Schraeder...Representative McClain desires to be recorded as 'no'. It's been suggested that we dump this Roll Call and take a new one. The Clerk advises me that it's impossible for the girls to decipher this so we'll dump the Roll Call and take a new one."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, there are a number of people here who were recorded 'no' and were verified and they've now gone to the Senate. There's no possible way..."

Speaker Redmond: "We know who they were."

Madison: "Will they be included in this Roll Call. In the new Roll Call?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well I would so direct the Clerk."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Dump it and let's take another one. Dump it..."

The question is on the adoption of Amendment #14 to Senate Bill 285. All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment say...vote 'aye' and the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? On this question. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 24 'aye', 111 'no'. The Amendment fails."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #15. Walsh. Amends Senate Bill 285 on page 1 line 1 and so forth."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #15 is an Amendment or a concept that the Sponsor of...ah...Senate Bill 285...ah...endorses but not on Senate Bill 285. Now this



very same Amendment was offered on...ah...Senate Bill 248.
Which we just slipped over...ah...Mr. Speaker and...Mr.
Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Yea...Ah...I...I wanted to talk to Representative Hanahan
about this to see if he would be...would join me in asking you
to return to Senate Bill 248. I've talked with the House
Sponsor of Senate Bill 248 and he is perfectly willing to...ah...
take that Bill. If we can do that why we can..we need not bother
offering this Amendment to House Bill 285."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, I spoke to the Minority Leader and...ah...
and I agree that the...the Amendment that he is offering to 248
should be adopted. I will support his motion to adopt to that
Bill...ah...Not to this Bill because it really would adversely
affect the eligibility on a special act of employment and not
pertaining to 285 it would be better suited to the other Bill.
This is concerning the Teachers Unemployment and I'd ask him
publicly to table this Amendment so that we can address ourselves
and I will support him on the Amendment on 248."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well...Then you will return to that Mr. Speaker. We just
slipped over it...Yeah. Then I move to table Amendment # 15 to
House Bill 285."

Speaker Redmond: Does he have leave? Hearing no objections Amendment
#15 to 285 is tabled. Any further Amendments? Are you ready
for the announcements? No further Amendments. Third Reading.
Senate Bill 248. Is that your desire? Yeah. There's some
collusion between Walsh and Hanahan."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 248. A Bill for an Act to amend Section
500 of an Act in relation to the system of Unemployment
Compensation. Third Reading of the Bill. Ah...One Committee
Amendment. Amend HouseAmend Senate Bill 248 in the House,
page 1, line 1 and so forth."



Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption...Representative Luft."

Luft: "Mr. Speaker, I understand there is a Floor Amendment and I would defer to Mr. Walsh at this time. I'd move the adoption of the Committee Amendment first."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 248. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it the Amendment's adopted."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Walsh. Amends Senate Bill 248 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to House Bill 248 addresses itself to the problem created by the Federal Employment Assistance Act of 1974. Which, through an oversight, provided that Schoolteachers could receive Unemployment Compensation benefits. The Federal Congress has attached an Amendment in both the House and the Senate to A Bill that would correct that oversight but unfortunately the Bill has other matters in it and is...ah..ah...has not passed the Congress. So against the possibility that it will not and in order to protect possibly the...the State of Illinois Treasury. Because in this mornings Journal-Register, Congressman Erlenborn, who is the Sponsor of the Resolution in Congress...ah...warned that it's a possibility that the State may have to pay these Unemployment Compensation Benefits for Teachers who are under contract...ah...because both the House and Senate have approved his...his Amendment and...ah... so this Amendment to our Unemployment Compensation Act would prevent teachers who are under contract in both public and private schools from receiving Unemployment Compensation Benefits and I move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 28..248."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes...I...I concur with the Gentlemans...ah...Amendment the intent of it. I...I do have some serious reservations as to whether or not we could do what we intend to do with this

but in good. In show of fate, in good faith, I've heard from

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



teachers even that could and may take advantage of the special unemployment Act that did...does not...do not, that they have said publicly and privately to me that they do not wish to be covered by this and with this Amendment somehow maybe the Legislative intent will reach the ears of Congress so that people who are truly unemployed. Teachers who are truly unemployed at the end of this current term, will be eligible to collect unemployment. But those teachers who have got a contract coming in September and those teachers who have the two term of employment that, that while they're off on their sabbatical or going to school or picking up whatever of educational benefits vacation provides for them that they too...would not be eligible under the intent of this Amendment to Unemployment Comp. I...I...I join with the Gentleman and I believe very strongly that Unemployment Compensation Benefits belong to those citizens who are truly unemployed and are seeking work. Not to somebody who's on vacation from their job. So I join with them on the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Walsh to close."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, I just want to add that..."

Speaker Redmond: "Pardon me. Representatives Harts light was on and I didn't call on him."

Hart: "I wanted to ask the Sponsor of the...ah...Amendment. The Digest doesn't indicate that this has...this Bill has an early effective date and I wonder if the Amendment provides for it."

Walsh: "The Amendment does...yes."

Hart: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Now Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "I...I just want...In closing I'd like to say that it's a lot more comfortable being with Representative Hanahan than being against him."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 248. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Redmond: "No further Amendments? Third Reading. 286. Representative Dan Houlihan here? Take that out of the record.

379. Representative McPartlin."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 379. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code in the Capitol Development Board Act. Thir...Second Reading of the Bill. "

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House..."

Jack O'Brien: "Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 379 on page 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Ah...Committee Amendment #1. All it states is that it ...to exercise the powers and preforms of functions and duties conferred on the Board by the School Code and I would move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 379. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 379 in the House on page 3, line 7 and so forth."

McPartlin: "Amendment #2 recommends the proper organization and administrative procedure and arrangements for the maintenance and operation and educational functions of a permanent residential educational training facility and I would move for Committee Amendment #2 to be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "What does this Amendment have to do...ah...with deaf and blind individuals?"

McPartlin: "Well what it does, as far as the facility itself is concerned, ...ah..they would have to build the facility in accordance with the...ah...specifications in the School Code."

Schlickman: "Did I hear the use of the word residential?"

McPartlin: "Residential, educational training facility."



Schlickman: "Does that fit within the definition of the word service as originally provided for in the Bill?"

McPartlin: "I didn't hear you sir."

Schlickman: "Does residential fit within the definition of service as..."

McPartlin: "I..I would say definitely."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

McPartlin: "I move for the adoption, Mr. Speaker, of Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "I fear something is coming. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 379. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "There's been a request for a Fiscal note filed by Representative Schlickman so we'll stay here on the order of Second Reading. 283."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 283. A Bill for an Act creating the Local Government Tax Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Yes there's...I believe there's one Amendment on this...we want to limit the study just to the replacement of Personal Property Taxes. It's an agreed Amendment. Mr. Skinner's the Sponsor and I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 283. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. With respect to 284. Appears that's an appropriation measure until we figure out what we're going to do about the cuts...why, we're going to pass Appropriation Bills. Representative D. L. Houlihan. Is he here? 286. Representative Beatty."



Beatty: "Aren't you going to move 284 to Third Reading, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "An Appropriation Bill and we are just trying to hold those until Monday until we can decide what we're going to do on Appropriations."

Beatty: "Well it's been through...It's...ah...under the rules of the House, it's on Second, there are no Amendments, it's been through two Committees. If you want to cut it later, I don't have any objection but we ought to move it to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "There's a problem here in the light of the request to reduce all the Appropriations, we felt that it would be better to hold them on Second Reading now than to move it on and then bring it back. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Sponsor of the Bill has requested that the Bill be moved to Third Reading. Any request forthcoming from the Governor for the reduction of budgetary Bills can be initiated by the Governor and if it goes all the way to the end of the process it can be initiated by way of the amendatory veto. I think the Gentleman's well within his rights to ask that the Bill be moved to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair has no objection to 284. Representative Totten for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Well I just think that this Appropriation Bill is no different than any of the others and that...ah...it should be held here until we all have a chance to determine what this Legislature's going to do and I would raise an objection to moving it to Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Ah...Mr. Speaker...ah...As you know, we discussed earlier today about keeping Appropriation Bills on Second Reading and this is one and I...I move that it should stay on Second and I hope you'll leave it there. The Sponsor will let it be there until at least we get back on Monday."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, I've been pushed around on these two Bills. The App...the companion Bill was put in Committee when it didn't have to be there and...ah...I'm willing to go along with anything almost, but



...ah...I think...ah...this Bill should go on Third. I'll... We'll cut it at a later date. I don't want it delayed. I don't want this Bill to die somewhere because it isn't on Third. I want it to pass. I'm willing to cut it later but...ah...I don't care what these Republicans want to do. I want to move it to Third as every Bill has done in the past year. Let's follow the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Anything further? Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry, is this staying on Second Reading?"

Beatty: "I want it moved to Third. I don't want to keep it on Second Read..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman wants to move it to Third..."

Telcser: "Pardon?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested it be moved to Third Reading."

Telcser: "Well Mr. Speaker, you and I and Representative Washburn had a discussion earlier today and you told us that Appropriation Bills would not be moved off of Second Reading. Now I understand how this Gentleman feels...ah...but in view of the Governors message on Wednesday night, we...we talked, you and I and Representative Washburn and we agreed that all Appropriation Bills, Sponsors not withstanding...ah...would not be called until Monday. You said you had three...ah...House Bills I think you wanted called today and we said fine and based on that...ah...well we had an agreement."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Well Mr. Speaker, I don't want to interfere with some bipartisan agreement I'll let this thing stay on Second."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you very much."

Telcser: "Thank you Representative Beatty."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair appreciates it, thank you. I can assure you that any effort to restrict the flow of this was not on the part of the Speaker because...286."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 286. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedures. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 286 on page 1, line 15 by deleting



JUN 13 1975

everything after the period by deleting all of line 16 through 20."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...This is a Committee Amendment...

ah...it was adopted, I believe, unanimously by the Committee.

It strikes the Senate Amendment #1. What it does is put the Bill into the shape that ~~the~~ the Senate Sponsor and the House Sponsor desire it and I ~~was~~ the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 386. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'aye's' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. To give you an idea of our procedure we'll go right through until probably 5:15, 5:30 and then adjourn. We will not be in Session tomorrow. It's really against my better judgment but...we'll take a chance and we will return Monday at 12:00. But next week it looks like we're going to have to work every night and probably Saturday and Sunday unless the pace picks up, it's been pretty slow today and it looks like that may very well be. So it would seem to me that we should contemplate working late next week every night and spend Saturday and Sunday here. 382."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 382. A Bill for an Act to amend the Fish Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 388."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 396. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act codifying the powers and duties of the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 397."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 417. A Bill for an Act to amend the Mental Health Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 418."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 418. A Bill for an Act to amend the Comprehensive Health Planning Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 418 on page 1, by deleting line 1 and 2 and inserting in lieu thereof, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sharp...Take that out of the record? 438."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 438. A Bill for an Act to create the Commission on Mental Health and Developmental Disability. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 461...No we'll adopt the Amendment on it...461."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 461. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 461 by inserting between Section 1 and 2 the following. Section 1(a) and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker is on this Bill and it should be Representative Mudd as the House Sponsor of this Bill so I would suggest you take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 463."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 463. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Elections. Second Reading of the Bill One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 463 in the House on page 1, line 2 and so forth."



Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, would you please take this...ah...or leave this Bill on Second Reading. We're still waiting on a new corrective Amendment that has just been discovered this morning to take care of another situation and we must leave it on Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "463. Out of the record. 468. Representative Stone here?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 468. A Bill for an Act making certain Appropriations, reappropriations for the Board of Trustees at the University of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. Four Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone.."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 468..."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I would be glad to advance this Bill but this is an Appropriation Bill for the University of Illinois and I believe it should be held."

Speaker Redmond: "Very well, it will be held. Representative Keller."

Keller: "Mr. Speaker, I see the Chairman, vice-chairman of the Committee's off the Floor but we had an agreement to ask that Senate Bill 468 Senate Bill 476 and there was another one on here, that they be rereferred to the Appropriations Committee due to the fact that the six per cent that the Governor wanted on his veto..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Sponsor has requested that they be held on the order of Second Reading. That's where they will be held. 470."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 470. A Bill for an Act to amend the Hospital Liscensing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 470 on page 1, by deleting line 1 and 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber. Is he on the Floor?"

Leinenweber: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...Amendment #1 places this Bill exactly the same as the Jaffe Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Is this an Appropriation Bill? Proceed."

Leinenweber: "No, it's a...It provides, provides service, Hospital service to rape victims...the Senate Bill that we...Amendment #1



places the Bill the same as the Jaffe Bills that left the House. I move the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of

Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 470. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 486."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 486. A Bill for an Act to amend the Administrative Review Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 486 on page 1 by striking all of Section 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D. L. Houlihan. D. L. Houlihan... 486."

Houlihan: "Has he read the Amendment #1 Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes he has."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1...ah...is in effect a technical change in the language of the Bill simply to tighten the drafting language...ah... It is a Committee Amendment and I move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 486. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 486 as amended by adding at the end of Section 2, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2, which is also a Committee Amendment is simply a notice provision...ah... that administrative agencies would have to form parties to the proceedings that review would be pursuant to the terms of the Administrative Review Act. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 486. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"



Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 506. Has a Fiscal note been furnished on that one?...525."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 525. A Bill for an Act creating the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. McClain. Amends Senate Bill 525 on page 2, line 14 by deleting 'May' and inserting in lieu thereof, the following, 'shall'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain. Representative McClain on the Floor? Representative Barnes, what's your pleasure with respect to moving Senate Bill 525?"

Barnes: "Since Representative McClain is not on the Floor...ah... We had discussed this Amendment in Committee and the Committee decision was not to accept it. I don't want to call it without him being here. Are you going to move it now Mike? Here he is."

Speaker Redmond: "It's been the policy of the Chair to move Bills that are on Second Reading. Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Forgive me for not being here...ah...Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 525 was discussed in the Sub-committee of which I'm Chairman of, it was the ...ah... I believe it was defeated in the Sub-committee with a vote of 2 to 2. Gene might remember better than I. I did not offer it in the full Committee because we...time was running late and we wanted the Bill out. I asked for the Bill not to be placed on the Consent Calendar so that the people could at least decide for themselves...ah...What the Amendment does is it requires...ah...that the public policy for any person in the State of Illinois without a criminal penalties to report any Child Abuse Cases in the State that they become familiar with or become known of and I'd move it's adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption...Representative Barnes...Representative Schneider, you're in the way."



Barnes: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. As it relates to this Amendment, to this House Bill, I think all of the Members should take a very close look at this Bill and the Amendment. This is one of the most important Bills that I think will come before us this Session. This is the Bill that repeals and recreates the Child Abuse Act of this State. I think this is extremely, extremely important and what we have did here is worked together with the various elements of child care and child care delivery systems in the state to bring forth to you, I think, the best possible Bill that could be put together at this point. The point that the Gentleman is raising in this Amendment is to make every person whoever does not report a case of Child Abuse liable for that action. I think that that in itself is destructive toward what we are attempting to do here. The whole concept and the whole idea of recreating the Act was to encourage more people to come forth and...with information to report various kinds of acts that are perpetrated on children. It just simply does not run concurrent that if you make every allocation, if you make every action that is not reported by a public and I ...I want to remind you that this is the public that we're talking about, a public individual liable under the law with a penalty involved he simply is not going to have the po...the patience nor are you going to have the kind of cooperation from the public at large and the public as a whole to bring about any kind of solutions to the tremendous problem that we have in this state as it relates to Child Abuse. That's what's involved in this Amendment. It was the concensus of that Subcommittee with four Members present that three Members voted against this Amendment and only the Sponsor of this Amendment was in concurrence with the...the concept involved here. So I think you should take a very, very close look at this Amendment. It would be detrimental to the Act, it would be perpetrating on the public something that has never been enforcable for some... some kind of liability to be forced on them for their enactment



and something...in something as it relates to a moralistic decision on someone else's part. I think this is in all good intentions of the Sponsor who happens to be a personal friend of mine, but I think this is a bad approach. This is an Amendment that should go down, this is a well thought out, well put together packet and it should be moved in...in...in the spirit and the concept that it has been developed at this point. I believe that in...in all honesty and all fairness this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mike, will you tell me the essence of your Amendment because I can't find it here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain...McClain."

McClain: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...Representative Geo-Karis.

If you remember, you were in the Subcommittee that discussed this Amendment. What we did was is...ah...we discussed removing the word 'May' and inserting the word 'Shall'. Okay? Ah...If you recall it is...It is not a question of any criminal penalties, it's only a question of...as a public policy, that it's a social crime and that every citizen in the state shall be held to report Child Abuse Cases without any criminal penalties."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak on the Amendment. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I was one of the four Members of the Subcommittee that heard this Bill in Human Resources. The Amendment would make it obligatory...or amend...amendatory to someone who knows of Child Abuse to report it or else be penalized. I submit as a former Assistant States Attorney, who handled Child Abuse cases, people who think they must, will not come forward. We've had cases, for example, like the case of Kitty Genevese, who was beaten and raped and killed in the streets of New York and people looked at it and didn't do a thing about it. Well the same thing will happen in Child Abuse cases. I think the Bill, the way it is



written is better addressed to the...the problem and the problem we have in Child Abuse and it's still going on. I submit that the Amendment should be defeated so more people can be encouraged to come on a voluntary basis and report Child Abuse when they know and see it."

McClain: "Close?...Mr. Speaker would you like me to close?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Well I'm surprised at the opposition of the Amendment. Ah...I think Representative Geo-Karis has clouded the issue. As anyone would know after reading the...the ah...Act, there are no criminal pen...penalties for anyone not reporting a... a Child Abuse incident. What the Bill would provide, as in any case right now, is that if someone failed to report a Child Abuse Case and was taken to...and they were taken to court for gross negligence, they'd be held civilly liable. Same thing as present case at the present level, without the word 'shall'. What we're doing with this Bill is saying as a public policy, that in the State of Illinois, Child Abuse, is such a social disease that every person, every citizen in the State of Illinois shall be held to de...to declare to the Department of Children and Family Services as soon as they become knowledgeable of a Child Abuse incident. It is a matter of public policy, there are no criminal penalties and I'd urge your adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Will the Speaker yield...or the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates...."

McClain: "I thought I closed."

Speaker Redmond: "You're a little late, but go ahead."

Macdonald: "I'd like to know what the...the Commission on Children, what their input into the Amendment or into the Bill has been."

McClain: "Well Representative Macdonald...ah...I'm...I'm trying to recall. They were in the Subcommittee, they...ah...made no presentation as to the Amendment, as I recall, Paul Costello of the Republican Staff referred...ah...to...ah...the Commission on



Children on some input. Paul did mention to me...ah...their feeling on it but they did...they took no stand as far as I know."

Macdonald: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very...thank you very much Mr. Speaker. In answer to Representative Macdonalds question, the Commission on Children was one of the Agencies that worked as an intricate part of putting the package together and they oppose this concept. They have always opposed it and they oppose the concept that's embodied in this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 525. All in favor of the adoption vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish ...Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker. I just wonder if you could take this out of the record for just a minute...ah...I want to...Nobody's talked to me about this Amendment. I was in Committee I missed it. I...I've been sitting here doing something else and as Minority spokesman of that Committee, I think that Mr. McClain just take it out for just a minute and come back to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes...Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 22 'aye', 62 'no' and the Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 608. Leave that on Second... Representative Jones, it's an Appropriation Bill, we're going to leave it on Second. Technical Amendment you say? I would suggest that we just leave it here. 610. Can anybody handle that for Representative Epton? Representative Schuneman."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 610. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 610 on page 1, by deleting line 2 and 3 and so forth."



Schuneman: "Yes Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 610...ah...is being handled now by Representative Deavers and...ah...I would like to offer Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 610, which has been agreed upon...ah..with Representative Deavers. Amendment #2 is merely a technical Amendment to correct Amendment #1 which was adopted hurriedly in Committee last Wednesday and it brings the Bill into compliance as to the intent of the Insurance Committee and I would like to move adoption of Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption....Yes, we have never adopted one. The Gentleman...the..."

Schuneman: "Amendment #1 was adopted in Committee Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it has to be adopted on the Floor. The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 610. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment's adopted. Amendment #2."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 610 on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 610. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Stand at recess here for about two minutes. Or I guess at ease. Yeah...Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bill 1493 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and that the Bill as amended, do pass. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit: House Bill 1324, 3060. Passed by the Senate June 13, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker.



I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title, to wit:
House Bill 504. Together with an Amendment. Passed by the Senate as amended, June 12, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Representative Giorgi in the Chair."

Giorgi: "We'll go back to where I left...to where I left off this morning on Committee Bills. House Bills, Second Reading, Committee Bill 3095. Committee on Appropriations. "

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3085. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3095 on page 1, by deleting line 33 and 34 and so forth."

Giorgi: "The Chairman of the Appropriations Committee I. Is that Ted Lechowicz? And is Mr. Houlihan...Jim Houlihan here? Is that a Committee Amendment? Mr. O'Brien can you take care of the Committee amendment for Appropriations Committee I? It's a Committee Amendment. No...Pull that out of the record. Would you...Could you handle Committee Amendment #1 to I.D.A.? Committee Bill 30...3096."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3096. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capitol Developmental Board Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Giorgi: "Representative Peters."

Peters: "Ah...For Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker, the...These Bills are not on the Calendar after 3092 and I'm not sure that we got a Supplemental, I haven't seen one."

Giorgi: "Yes sir, they're on page 2 of your today Calendar. House Bills Second Reading."

Peters: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Representative Williams."

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Giorgi: "Just a minute...Representative Williams."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, I understand there is an Am...an Amendment to 3096. I don't know if it's been distributed but I...you know,



would certainly like to hold this if that Amendment has not been distributed...ah..."

Giorgi: "We'll hold it then if...ah...Let's hold it. 3098."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3098. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Giorgi: "Representative...Any Committee Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Giorgi: "Third Reading. 3099."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 3099. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Giorgi: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Giorgi: "Rep...Just one moment. Representative Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to prolong things but I think someone from the Committee should indicate if we're talking about an expenditure of added money in these Bills and if they are, I think we ought to leave them where they're at."

Giorgi: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "There's no expenditures indicated."

Giorgi: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank...Thank you very much Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker. I think that I...I would have to agree with the Gentleman from Cook on the Minority side of the aisle that we're trying to work out some problems in all of these Bills and as it relates to the Appropriation and the Appropriations Committees and I think it would en..it would be encumbent upon the Sponsors and or the Speaker to inquire whether or not they are appropriating funds because if so we're trying to work out a definitive method in which we would deal with them and I think they should be held in the order that they in...that they are in if there appropriated money involved."

Giorgi: "Any further questions? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. There is not



a copy or any copies of H.B. 3099 that had been distributed."

Giorgi: "I am informed by the Speaker that they were distributed and that's why he instructed that I call them."

Schlickman: "Well I have, and I've been keeping these, I've got 3098 to 3101. I don't have inbetween."

Giorgi: "He indicates that 3099 has been distributed."

Schlickman: "Well did he do it?"

Giorgi: "Well, I think he might have done it yesterday."

Schlickman: "No sir."

Giorgi: "Mr...Head Page, did you distribute 3099? I'm sorry. Representative Tipsword has one, Representative Jacobs has one..."

Schlickman: "Yeah, but they're on the other side."

Giorgi: "Would someone run one to Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Could I have one of 30..."

Giorgi: "We're not. This is as far as we're going on that three. That's as far as has been distributed. That good enough."

Schlickman: "Well I've got more after that."

Giorgi: "No, we're not going to go to those because they haven't been distributed."

Schlickman: "Oh sure, 3101 has and 3102."

Giorgi: "Well the Speaker asked me to stop at 3099 and I'd rather not incur his wrath. Rep...Representative Downs, for what reason do you rise?"

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, inquiry of the Chair. Two days ago, I got leave of the House to move a Bill that had inadvert...inadvertently been moved from Second to Third Reading, to take it back to Second Reading for an Amendment. But it...The Calendar did not get printed to reflect that so for two days I have indicated that I would like it called at some point and I would be guided by the wisdom of the Chair but you're now on House Bills Second Reading and I would like to put the Amendment on if it's at all appropriate."

Giorgi: "I'll find out..."

Downs: "It's 3068."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Giorgi: "I'll check it out in a couple minutes. 3068."

Downs: "Yes and I do not anticipate controversy, it's just a mechanical thing."

Giorgi: "Any further Amendments or any further discussion on Amendment #...no Amendments to House Bill 3099. Any further discussion. No...Any further Amendments."

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Giorgi: "Third Reading. House Bills Third Reading. He asked me to go to House Bills Third Reading and we're not going to call Appropriation Bills. House Bills Third Reading, House Bill 3035. Committee on Cities and Villages. Calvo. Or is the Vice-chairman of that Committee here?...He's not here, take it out of the record. Committee on Cities and Villages the next one? Take that out of the record. I'm sorry. Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah...Yes, Mr. Speaker, what was the last Bill that you considered on....ah... on House Bills Second? Is that 3099?"

Giorgi: "3099."

Ebbesen: "Okay, thank you."

Giorgi: "We're back to House Bills Third Reading. 3037. Committee on Judiciary II. Representative Palmer."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3037. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Prosecutors Advisory Council. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Palmer on 3037. Committee Bill."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 3037 is a Bill which creates...ah...the Prosecutors Advisory Council. Primarily for the purpose of...of...Take it out of the record."

Giorgi: "Take it out of the record."

Palmer: "We got a call from the Chairman...so..."

Giorgi: "Take it out of the record. Committee Bill 3038. Committee on Higher Education. E. M. Barnes and Hart. The Clerk will read the Bill."



O'Brien: "House Bill 3038. A Bill for an Act to provide grants for Family Practice Residency Programs to the Council for Family Practice Residency Programs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Barnes or Hart in the room? I don't see either one of them in the room Mr. Clerk. I'm sorry, take...oh, here's the Chairman of the Committee. Higher Education, your Committee. I'm sorry, Representative Schlickman. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Ah...I appreciate your holding Appropriation Bills until there is some sort of agreement with respect to them. Shouldn't that also include Companion Bills?"

Giorgi: "Why, I suppose when we get to them on the Calendar, we'll...ah...."

Schlickman: "Cause generally, you'll be treating them as... packages."

Giorgi: "But, if the Bill passes in the Appropriation...the appropriate Bill doesn't go along, it's moved anyway."

Schlickman: "Yeah, but that's a precedent for the subsequent fiscal year."

Giorgi: "Okay...ah...now who's going to point out to me which are the Companions Bills?"

Schlickman: "Well, 3038 is a Companion to 3039, an Appropriation's Bill. They can't go together. Ah...ah...they shouldn't go separately."

Giorgi: "You're right. We'll drop 3038 and 3039 at the request of the Chairman anyway. 'How 'bout 3040? Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan. Representative Peters on...Read the Bill Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3040. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Peters on Committee Bill 3040."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker...ah...Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't see the Chairman of the Committee here, Representative Leon. I'm sure that he might want a comment or two. Hold on...hold



on 3040 and 3041, if we take them out of the Record for now."

Giorgi: "You'd like them out of the Record. Should we try 3042? Representative Ryan. You want to try that? Take those out of the Record. Is 3044 an Appropriation Bill?"

Ryan: "Are you calling Appropriation Bills?"

Giorgi: "No I'm not."

Ryan: "Well, that...that one you asked me about is the Attorney Generals Appropriation Bill. I'd be tickled to death to move it."

Giorgi: "No, well, we better hold that, because...ah...I think he wants to be cut also. House Bill 3044."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3044."

Giorgi: "Hold it Mr. Clerk. Hold it Mr. Clerk. Representative Deuster, for what reason do you rise? Ah...Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, what Bill are you talking about...are you talking about 3042? That's not an Appropriation Bill."

Giorgi: "Oh, I thought you told me that it was George, and...ah... you being a Member of the Appropriation Committee, I try to take your advice."

Ryan: "Well, I'm glad that you finally decided to take my advice."

Giorgi: "House Bill 3042 on Third Reading. Committee Bill on Banks Savings and Loan handled by Representative Ryan."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3042. A Bill for an Act to amend the Credit Union Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "I was under the impression, Mr. Speaker, that...ah...Representative Leon as Chairman of that Committee was going to handle all these Bills, and here he is right here, he's going to do that."

Giorgi: "Representative Leon, would you...ah...Greg, Representative Leon. The other mike, Mr. Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 3042 is a Bill that was lost in the shuffle on the concluding days of our Session on May 23. Ah...as a result of that, the Bill was returned back to Committee, and the Committee reported out as a



Committee Bill, the same Bill is a substitute for one that was reported out with a 'Do Pass' Motion by the Committee. Mr. Ryan was the Sponsor of the Bill in Committee. Ah... this Bill provides that credit unions would increase there basic charge by one-quarter of one percent, and it is, and was an agreement with...ah...mo...a majority of the credit unions. There was no opposition expressed in Committee and I believe that the Bill is worthy of your consideration. I would recommend a favorable vote for it, and I would defer now to Mr. Ryan, if there are any questions."

Ryan: "I would just like to point out, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that this is not a mandatory increase. This is strictly permissive. Ah... there's some credit unions in the state that need this increase to keep up with the inflation, and it's a quarter of a percent increase. Certainly not mandatory, as I said, and...ah...I, too, would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Georgi: "Representative Hill on House Bill 3042, a Committee Bill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This is a piece of legislation that has had, at least, five different lives to it. I was responsible in knocking it off of several agreed lists. Ah...the final list that was the Uses list. I...ah... was successful in knocking it off of there, and now this Bill comes out as a Committee Bill. Now apparently, there is...ah... some difference between two credit union leagues. One in the State of Illinois and one that they call the Midwest Credit League. And I have had letters from officers of credit unions in my area, asking me to do everything in my power to see that this piece of legislation is defeated. They don't want the stigma attached to their credit unions by being allowed to increase the rate from one percent to one and a quarter percent a year. And I would appreciate very much if you would vote in opposition to this Bill. The people that I know in the credit union movement up in my area are opposed to it, and I think we should go along with those people and vote this Bill down. They don't want it. Thank you."



Giorgi: "Representative Byers on Committee Bill 3042."

Byers: "Will the speaker yield?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Byers: "Mr. Ryan, what was the old number of this Bill?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "I'm not sure I can tell you, if it's not on the Calendar.
2567."

Byers: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Mr. Ryan to close."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Leon, I think, has a comment."

Giorgi: "Mr. Leon to close."

Leon: "No, not to close, but just to speak in favor of the Bill.

Ah...this Bill had an exhaustive hearing as Mr. Hill said, it seemed to have five or six or eight lives. The reason it had five or six, eight lives is it came out of Committee and was recommended he put it on the Consent Calendar. It was removed from the Consent Calendar. Subsequently to that it was on the Call of the General Assembly. It was then put on one of the Users list. It received a sufficient number of votes on the Roll Call and then was removed from the User list. It was then placed in the position of being Postponed Consideration. I felt as Chairman of the Committee and this Bill having received a unanimous vote in the Committee, I felt that the Sponsor of the Bill was entitled to have a hearing on the Floor, and I certainly agree that this Bill is not mandatory. The credit unions who are opposed to it do not have to exercise that privilege of increasing their interest rate. If any members of a credit union that do take advantage of this increase, their members can get a loan elsewhere cheaper, they certainly will do so. So I highly recommend a affirmative vote on the passage of this Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Ryan to close."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I'd like to point out that I did not...that I...I...I...sponsored this Bill at the request of the Midwest Credit Union Association. They asked me, they came to me, asked me to sponsor this Bill, and



ah...I was told that there were really nobody that was in opposition to this, and...ah...I can see no reason for any opposition. It's not mandatory, strictly permissive, and it will allow some of these credit unions that have got a problem to bale out of it, and...ah...I can't understand why Representative Hill is...ah...is so opposed to this Bill. I'd also like to point out that I had nothing to do with putting it on the Consent Calendar or any User list that went through this Chamber. I have made no attempt to make any special item out of this Bill, and so I'll certainly live with the will of this Chamber, and I would encourage an 'aye' vote."

Giorgi: "The question is, shall House Bill 3042 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Byers to explain his vote."

Byers: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Now this only allows the interest rate to go up from one to one and a fourth percent per month. That's twenty-five percent in one month, and over a year that's four percent, and I think that's entirely too much to allow, and I think people should vote 'no' on this Bill."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Representative Ryan?"

Ryan: "No, I'd like to explain my vote. You've closed the switch is that right?"

Giorgi: "Representative Mann? Oh, he wants to be recorded as voting 'no'. I'm sorry George if I said take the Record. I did, I guess I said take the Record. Do you want to dump the Roll Call? Dump the Roll Call Mr. Clerk. The question is, shall House Bill 3042 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Opposed by voting 'no'. Please vote."

Ryan: "I'd like to explain my vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Ryan to explain his vote."

Ryan: "As Representative Leon pointed out as Chairman of the Banks and Savings and Loan Committee. This Committee had a hearing there. No one appeared in opposition to the Bill at that time."



not even Representative Hill. And...ah...at that time the Committee passed it out unanimously, and I think that's why it got put on...the first time got put on the Consent Calendar. Ah...I can't understand why anybody would be opposed to this Bill. It's not mandatory as I pointed out, it's strictly permissive, and I would ask all of you to give me a vote. This affects several credit unions...ah...that needs this money. I know of a couple in Kankakee that the Catholic Credit Unions need the money, and...ah...I would certainly appreciate an 'aye' vote, and I know Representative Molloy wants to speak on this issue too."

Giorgi: "Representative Ron K. Hoffman, to explain his vote."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There's no great mystery to this Bill. This happens to be one of those circumstances where a good Bill just got left in the schuffle, and I think everybody's just a little bit apprehensive because of the route it traveled to finally get to Third Reading. This had no opposition in Committee. There was no one registered as an opponent of this Bill. We've debated it...we've debated it in Committee. The only fault that lies is that it got tangled up in a process and I would ask the Members to put the 'aye' votes on and move it out. It was as previously stated on the Consent Calendar. It's a good Bill, and let's have a few more green lights."

Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn to explain his vote."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speakers, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah...ah...I too come from a district where there's a lot of people who belong to credit unions, and I know one thing they don't want is more expenses. This Bill provides another quarter of a percent per month in interest which would mean a larger payment for these people who are borrowing money from themselves and their credit union associations. Now, I know these people don't want this...this Bill. And those of you who want to go back and face your constituent's and say you've done a good job to keep cost down this Spring should



get red on this Bill and take those green lights down below 89 and defeat this Bill."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Byers to explain his vote."

Byers: "Now one of the speaker's said that no one testified in the Committee. Probably no one knew this Bill was back up again. I'm sure if someone testified against the Bill the first time, and...ah...I think that if there's not someone there, they certainly should have been one. Here we have all these people that...ah...are against the people trying to get Unemployment Compensation, but when it comes time to raise interest rates, boy, we've got a lot of green lights up there all of a sudden, and I think it's time to be fair about this and I think it's time that we get some more 'no' votes up there. I want to verify the Roll Call at the proper time, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Representative Friedrich to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think some people are voting no just plain don't understand what they're doing. Now, the lending...if you dealt with interest as I have all through the years, I was in the small loan business. I was involved with a building and loan, a bank and some other things, and I've dealt with interest all my life. This is no higher rate with this add on than a plain seven percent add on which you're allowed now for...ah...five and ten year financing ...ah...in banks, building and loans, or even as individuals. Even the retail stores can charge one and a half percent a month on revolving credit. This is not an excessive rate at all when you're dealing with small amounts where it requires a lot of bookkeeping, where your losses are heavy. Ah...the rate is reasonable, and again, I don't know why you're voting no because there's many places in the law where a higher rate than this is allowed now."

Giorgi: "That concludes the explanation of votes. Ah...have all voted who wished? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. Representative Byers. Representative Byers, Greg."



Byers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to verify the Roll Call."

Giorgi: "On this question there's 100 'ayes', 28 'nays', 4 voting 'present', and Representative Byers asks for verification of the Roll Call. Mr. Ryan, on that question maybe?"

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully...ah...I hate to take the time of the House on this, but I'd have to ask for a Poll of the Absentees."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk ...ah...Representative Hill on the question."

Hill: "Parliamentary Inquiry. How many votes did this Bill get coming out of the Committee as a Committee Bill? I wonder if the Chairman...huh."

Giorgi: "The Digest has it. The Digest has it. Who has a Digest? What is the vote Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "Well, it came out of the Committee without an opposing vote originally. The original Bill."

Hill: "That is not the question I asked. How many votes did this Bill receive in Committee to come out as a Committee Bill?"

Giorgi: "Well enough, I don't know. It had to be a majority, I don't know."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is very important, and...ah, ah... there's certainly...there is certainly a Rule on these Committee Bills that has been adhered to by all the Committees."

Giorgi: "In our Rules, it provides that a majority of a Committee must vote for a Committee Bill to come out of Committee."

Hill: "And my Inquiry is, how many votes did this piece of legislation receive?"

Giorgi: "Just a moment Mr. Hill. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, when it was 2567 in Committee Mr. Hill, it came out and was placed on the Consent Calendar."

Hill: "That is not what I'm asking of you."

Ryan: "It's the same Bill, that's all I can answer you."

Giorgi: "That's not the question. You want to take it out of the Record? Mr. Tuerk, for what reason do you rise?"

Tuerk: "Well...I...I would just respond, I wasn't there, but...ah... you have to have a quorum in a Committee, which means the majority and if it was unanimous, therefore it had enough votes to



pass as a Committee Bill."

Giorgi: "No, that's not the point. Ah...Mr. Ryan with this Roll Call as it is, do you want to take it out of the Record until your clerk tells you how many votes...ah...were cast?"

Ryan: "Well I understand, Representative Molloy's on the Committee. He said 16, and that's probably all that were in the Committee that day."

Giorgi: "Representative Molloy, would you like to speak on the subject?"

Molloy: "The Bill came out of the...the Committee Bill came out 16 to 0. There never was any opposition to this Bill. This is an optional thing, as was pointed out earlier. Credit unions that don't want to use it, don't have to, and I'm sure most of them won't, but some of them are caught in a price squeeze, and George happens to have some of these credit unions in his area that are caught in the price squeeze. I see absolutely nothing wrong with this Bill."

Giorgi: "Just a moment. We're on the Inquiry by Representative Hill. Hill is that...is Mr. Molloy's response sufficient?"

Hill: "Well the Chairman is..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Leon. Mr. Leon. Go ahead, he knows where you're at."

Leon: "Ah...I checked with the Committee Clerk and I was advised that this Bill received 16 affirmative votes, and 1 no vote to come out of Committee as a Committee Bill."

Giorgi: "Is that sufficient Mr. Hill? Representative McGrew, for what reason do you rise?"

McGrew: "I'd just like to point out Mr. Chairman, that we're now in the process of taking the Roll Call. I don't believe now is the proper time to ask any question whatsoever. You can explain your vote and go on with it."

Giorgi: "All right now, Mr. Byers, do you persist in your verification?"

Byers: "Yes sir."

Giorgi: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what reason do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, may I be...ah...acknowledged in my verification because I've got to go help one of our other Representative's on a Child Abuse Bill in the Senate Committee."



Giorgi: "Does that meet with your approval Mr. Byers? Can she be verified and leave? Call the Roll of the Absentees, Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes. Berman. Boyle. Caldwell. Catania. Collins. Davis. DiPrima. Epton. Ewell. Gaines. Garmisa. Giglio. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Holewinski. Dan Houlihan. Huff. Emil Jones. Keller. Lechowicz. Leverenz. Londrigan. Lucco. Luft. Madison. Marovitz."

Giorgi: "Excuse me Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, Representative Londrigan wants to be voted 'aye', and Mr. Luft wants to be voted 'aye'. Londrigan and Luft vote 'aye'."

O'Brien: "Mautino. McCourt. Mulcahey. O'Daniel. Polk. Rayson. Rigney. Schoeberlein. Stearney. VanDuyne. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Washington. White. Winchester. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Representative Davis wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Mr. Rigney. Mr. Rigney 'no'. I'm sorry 'aye'. Rigney 'aye' and Davis 'aye'. Mr. Borchers asked...Mr. Byers? Mr. Borchers asked to be verified so that he can attend the Senate Problems, Mr. Borchers, and...ah...Mrs. Geo-Karis, of course. Representative Beatty, for what reason do you rise?"

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, on this particular matter, the House of Representatives has prev...previously passed a Bill out to increase the rates to make more generous the profit available to consumer finance companies. Mr. Kempiners had House Bill Number 1921 which passed out of here, the Democratic side of the House with 95 votes, passed it out. It's out of Committee on the other side. It lets people in the finance business increase their rates because the..."

Giorgi: "Mr. Beatty, we're verifying the Roll Call."

Beatty: "Yes, well you didn't allow for the...ah..."

Giorgi: "We're beyond that point."

Beatty: "You're beyond that point, but you're allowed to have the other Bill. This is the first time I've explained my vote in...ah... probably six months. Don't I have the right to do anything in this House?"



Giorgi: "If you haven't explained your vote in six months, continue."

Beatty: "Pardon me?"

Giorgi: "If you haven't explained your vote in six months, continue."

Beatty: "All right. If we're allowing people in the finance business to expand their authority for loan, and we know that the cost of money temporarily is low and it's going to go up higher in the fall, this is just a permissive Bill. It's got 104 votes. I can't understand..... we've got 95 on the Democratic side for a finance company, why a not for profit credit union would not be allowed to have a permissive right."

Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Beatty. Representative Hart changes his vote from 'aye' to 'nay'. Representative Kempiners wants to be voted 'aye'. Representative Mautino wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Brummet wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. Representative Schisler 'aye'. Brummet 'aye'. Schisler 'aye'. Representative Mulcahey, what was..... No for representative Mulcahey. Do you have all of those? Representative Jim Houlihan, 'No'. For what reason does Representative McGrew arise?"

McGrew: "Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Continue."

McGrew: "What is the point of the vote now? Is it not around 107 or 109, which means he would have to take some 20 Members off?"

Giorgi: "Your inquiry should be to Mr. Byers, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Well I would certainly implore the Gentleman to let us get on with the business of the House. We're going to be here at least 13 days in the next two weeks, the Speaker has already announced. Let us have a Sunday."

Giorgi: "Representative Byers, you want to respond?"

Byers: "What's the count, Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "What is it, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "107 'ayes'."

Byers: "I have a number of them that are absent here, and this is a 3% increase, and I feel that someone should look into that, and be sure that everyone is recorded for it."

Giorgi: "Representative Ewell wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'."



Byers: "If Representative Ewell is going to vote 'aye', I'll withdraw my request."

Giorgi: "He withdraws his request. On this question, how many votes do we have 'aye'?"

Jack O'Brien: "108 'ayes'."

Giorgi: "On this question, there are 108 'ayes', 28 'nays', 4 voting 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Is 3043 ready to advance?"

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3043. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Savings and Loan Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Deuster, or Representative Leon on 3043. Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, this is another one of the Bills that was caught up in the crux of the last moments of May 23rd. I believe there are two Bills, I believe 3043 is a companion Bill. The Sponsor of the original Bill was it Mr..... Mr....."

Giorgi: "Deavers."

Leon: "It was Mr. Deavers. Well, I don't think he's on the Floor. I would like now at this time, that 3043 and 3043 in the absence of Mr. Deavers be taken out of the record."

Giorgi: "Take it out of the Record at the request of the Chairman. Is Representative Fleck on the Floor? Representative Molloy on 3046."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3046. A Bill for an Act to amend the Credit Union Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Molloy on House Bill 3046."

Molloy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Bill, House Bill 3046, increased from 40 to 50% the portion of credit union funds that may be invested in insured savings and loan associations. It also does what Senate Bill 512 did last evening that you passed out of here by 145 votes. It authorizes State chartered credit unions to sell money orders and travelers checks to their Members. I request your favorable consideration."

Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The Question is, shall House Bill 3046 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Representative Lucco 'aye'."



Jun 13 1975

96.

On this question, there are 123 'ayes', 3 'nays', 8 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill or Committee Bill 3047, which is now House Bill 3047."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3047. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Deuster on 3047."

Deuster: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Committee Bill that came out the last time 13 to nothing. The first time it came out of the Committee 13 to 1. It provides the due process for downstate school principals. A similar Bill providing about the same due process procedures for Chicago principals failed out of the House 137 to 3. I'm hoping that you'll give this the same good favorable vote. What the Bill does very basically and simply is to provide that before a principal is reclassified and by demotion or reduction in rank, that three things. One, that he's simply entitled to reasonable advance warning in writing and secondly, if he wants to, he can request a private hearing with the school board. If he's not satisfied with that, a public hearing, but then the decision of the Board is final. This is supported by the Illinois Principals Association and ah..... there was one objection, the School Board had, and that has been removed by Amendment #1, so I believe I know of no opposition to this. I urge your support of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Palmer, on House Bill 3047."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor will yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "Did you indicate that at a certain point there could be a public hearing?"

Deuster: "Yes, I did. When the principal is notified that he is being reclassified or reduced in rank or shifted around, if he wants to, he may request a private hearing with the Board and then he may request a public hearing with the board. The answer is yes, he is entitled to a public hearing."

Palmer: "I just wonder if your Open Meetings Act is not being violated by the enactment of this Bill. It has to do with employment."



Deuster: "Not at all. I don't believe it is."

Palmer: "Well, then, what does it have to do with? If it's not employment. It has to do with the continuance of employment at a certain level."

Deuster: "Yes, it depends on how you would interpret the Public Meetings Act, Representative Palmer. The House did pass and sent a Bill to the Senate, of course, saying that you could go into a closed session at the Board's request for considering matters of employment. However, this is a case of the request coming from the principal, the employee, involved. I don't believe that it's in conflict with the Open Meetings Act. A matter of fact, as you'll see on Page 1 of the Bill, he may request a public hearing."

Palmer: "Well, it's up to the Board if the right.... Does the Board have any discretion or power to give him a public hearing? Or is it the absolute right on the part of the employee?"

Deuster: "Well, this is a due process for the principal, and ah.... before the Board's decision is final and it simply means that he has a right to come to the Board and say 'how come you're doing this to me, what are the reasons', you know, and he may do that in private if he wishes, or he may request a public hearing."

Palmer: "He has that right now, does he not?"

Giorgi: "Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Yes, just a couple of questions if the Sponsor will yield."

Giorgi: "Continue."

Sangmeister: "Ah.... you mentioned that the school boards had an objection to it, and that has been cured. What was that objection?"

Deuster: "Yes, Representative Sangmeister, originally the Bill said that he must be given reasonable advance warning in writing, stating the specific reasons for the proposed reclassification. The School Boards felt that requiring specific reasons might be something that would be litigated or tie them up in court, and so Amendment #1 struck that out, so all they have to do now under the Bill, as amended, is to give him reasonable advance warning in writing, and that is simply give him a piece of paper that says we are re-classifying you."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Sangmeister: "All right, then you say they remove their objections. Does that mean that the Illinois Association of School Boards now endorses this Bill?"

Deuster: "Ah.... Yes, I believe so, Ron Cardoni discussed this with me and Bill Sullivan, and I think we reached an accord on that. Yes, it's my understanding."

Sangmeister: "Is it your understanding that the Illinois Association of School Boards endorses this Bill?"

Deuster: "To be ah.... as precise as I can. They have no objection."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 3047 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 128 'ayes', 3 'nays', 1 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. All right, 'aye' for C. M. Stiehl. I inadvertently missed a Bill. I'd like to go back to it for a moment. 3045. House Bill 3045."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3045. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the rate of interest and other charges in connection with the sale of credit. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Leon, for Representative Fleck, on House Bill 3045."

Leon: "Ah..... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3045 is a Committee Bill on a Bill that was on the Floor previously, House Bill 921. When the Bill was called for passage, there was some objection because the Bill had an illegal concept in it; namely, that it would be retroactive. Mr. Fleck, in accordance with the demands of the Floor, removed that. The Bill, then, was in the shape that the House could support it and it was lost on the user list, lost on the last May 23rd day. The Committee took it back and in its consideration and it was voted out with a 16 to 1 or 2 'nays', and the Bill is now on the Floor for passage. The Bill provides that contracts for purchase are included in the present Usary Act. At the time the Usary Act was extended last year the opinion of most of the persons who voted for it was that contracts for Purchase were covered. A Ruling by the Attorney



General stated that in his opinion he did not think they were, so in order to provide monies for persons to contract to build homes, under the present Usury Act, this would include Contracts for Purchase in it. I believe the Bill is worthy of your consideration. I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question."

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Madison: "Ah.... Representative Leon, the fact that this Bill attaches itself to the Usuary rate limit, ah.... we passed a Bill out of here that would extend the Usuary rate to ah.... to 9-1/2 percent, I think it is, until July of 1977....."

Leon: "January 1 of 77."

Madison: "All right, ah.... is there a ah.... time certain when this Bill is no longer in affect?"

Leon: "It will only remain in effect so long as the present Usuary Act extension will remain. In other words, when that dies, this Bill, or this Section of the Usuary Act will also die with it."

Madison: "Does the Bill specifically say that?"

Leon: "It certainly does."

Madison: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "One inquiry, ah.... I didn't get it in the explanation, because..... this is not retroactive."

Leon: "No, it is not retroactive."

Maragos: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill.... You want to close, Mr. Leon? The question is, shall House Bill 3045 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Roll. Take the Record, I mean. On this question, there are 106 'ayes', 20 'nays', 8 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Committee Bill and now House Bill 3047. I'm sorry, 3058."



Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3048. A Bill for an Act to Amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 3048 which is now Committee Bill, was initially House Bill 1569 and it deals with ah..... school health examinations. You may recall that when this Bill 1569 was on Third Reading, the objection at that time the only objection that I could determined, was the fact that age was to be lowered to three years. Now that change has been taken out of the Bill, so that the physicals will be administered exactly at the same time that they are now administered, and I do have the support of the..... this Bill has the support of the State Medical Society, the Chicago Board of Education, the Illinois Association of School Nurses, the Illinois Office of Education, plus many others, and I would solicit your support."

Giorgi: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you recall, this is the original Bill that some of us like Representative Walsh and I had problems with, because it mandated a couple of other things. Those Sections have been removed and this is a great Bill now, and I'd urge everybodys support."

Giorgi: "Further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 3048 pass. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye'. Those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 133 'ayes', 2 'nays' and 2 voting 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Bill 3050."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3050. A Bill for an Act to amend the Capital Development Board Act in the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Committee Bill 3050 is a Bill that was worked out in conjunction with the CDB and the Illinois Office of Education. It merely, ah..... assigns to the Illinois Office of Education the responsibility for determining educational need



and allows the authorization of grants and construction in the Capital Development Board, as prescribed by law, so it is just simply ah.... allows, establishes, allows IOE to establish educational priorities, which CDB feels rightfully belongs there and yet CDB retains the ah.... construction function and the grant function, and I ask for a favorable roll call."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 3050 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there re 143 'ayes', 1 'nay', 2 voting 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Borchers 'aye'. House Bill 3051."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3051. A Bill for an Act to Amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Shea, on House Bill 3051. I'm sorry, Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gent men of the House. House Bill 3051 is an Election Committee Bill. It provides that delegates and alternate delegates to national conventions, other than the national nominating convention, shall be chosen according to the procedures established by the appropriate State Central Committee, which may also include the procedures established within the Election Code, Section 7-14.1. I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Giorgi: "Mr. Kozubowski, Mr. James Houlihan, would like to ask you a question."

Kozubowski: "Certainly."

Houlihan: "Walter,....."

Kozubowski: "Yes."

Houlihan: "Can you explain to me if this deals with the delegates to the Democratic National Convention?"

Kozubowski: "No, it does not. It specifically addresses itself to the problem of the Democratic Mini-Convention."

Houlihan: "Does this ah.... allow the State Central Committee or the the Democratic Party in Illinois to conform with the National



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Guidelines and be in compliance with the efforts for affirmative action for the Mini-Convention?"

Kozubowski: "That's exactly what it does."

Houlihan: "And it, you know there is another Bill, here, that's on Third Reading that deals with the ah.... National Delegates selection, which prohibits....."

Kozubowski: "That's the next Bill."

Houlihan: "I see, where it's prohibiting the party from participating in accordance with the National ah...."

Kozubowski: "I'm not aware of that at all."

Giorgi: "Representative Pierce, on 3051."

Pierce: "Representative Kozubowski, will you yield to a question? Won't this actually save money for the State by making sure that the Mini-Convention delegates will be elected by the State party and it won't be required that they be elected in a ah.... primary with the taxpayers paying the money. Is that correct?"

Kozubowski: "Yes, the State Party mechanism, which is the State Central Committee, which is an elected Body, in conformity with National Party Rules, can conduct the election at the expense of the State Party and the taxpayers won't have to pick up the tab, because only one of our two parties has the mini-convention as of now and there's no reason why, therefore, the taxpayers should be saddled with it."

Pierce: "It sounds like good legislation, then. Why should the Republican voters have to pay for the election of the Democratic Mini-Convention Delegates. The Democrats should do it themselves like we had to do it."

Kozubowski: "That's the purpose of the Bill exactly, Representative."

Pierce: "I'll support your Bill, then."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Tipword: "This does provide, however, that the ah.... among the ways that are authorized may be the way that are provided in Section 7-14. I does it not?"

Kozubowski: "Yes, it does, Representative."



Tipsword: "And 7-14.1 is.... proposed to be amended, does it not, in 3052?"

Kozubowski: "It does."

Tipsword: "Which would provide for election of delegates under certain very disastrous circumstances for the downstate part of the Democratic Party, does it not?"

Kozubowski: "Representative, I have an Amendment to...."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry, just a minute. Do you have a question, Mr. Tipsword?"

Kozubowski: "Yes, I'll try to complete that answer. Representative Tipsword, I have an Amendment to House Bill 3052, which I think you will find very agreeable and I'm going to ask leave of the House to move that Bill back to Second Reading at the appropriate time."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Before I accept pig in a poke, how agreeable?"

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Kozubowski, to c.lose. Question, Mr. Tipsword?"

Tipsword: "I asked a question."

Giorgi: "Oh, I didn't hear you. Did you hear, Mr. Kozubowski?"

Kozubowski: "Yes, I heard the question. Representative Tipsword, I think that question really belongs on House Bill 3052, but to ah.... go along with your wishes, the Amendment that I'm going to offer would allow for the election of delegates and alternate delegates 100% within the District."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Then, you really don't change the procedures that you are proposing in the next Bill in 7-14.1, with exception of the 10% that you originally provided to be elected at large."

Kozubowski: "You just apply the other very bad provisions to the election of the 10%."

Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich, for what reason do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Point of Order. I think both Representative Tipsword and Representative Houllhan have been talking about the next Bill and I think we ought to stick to this one."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "This Bill provides that they can be elected in accordance with the provisions that are to be set up in the next Bill."



specifically in the terms of this Bill. Look at the language of it John."

Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I understand this Bill, and I don't have a copy of it now, but I understand it has...ah...relation only to the Mini-Convention...ah...."

Giorgi: "Representative Byers. Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As I recall the last Mini-Convention, this election was not conducted at the expense of the taxpayers. It was conducted by the Democratic Party in the various Congressional Districts, so there is no taxpayers money expended at the last time."

Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski to close then."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. I just ask for a favorable Roll."

Giorgi: "The question is, shall House Bill 3051 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Mr. Kozubowski to explain his vote."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to take this time to explain my vote. This Bill is designed to allow the Democratic Party in Illinois to be within the Rules and Regulations set forth by the Democratic National Committee. It's designed to allow for total participation by the people within the state. I think it has a great deal of merit. It passed through the Elections Committee the first time with, I believe one descending vote, and I...ah...it was allowed as a Committee Bill without a single descending vote, and I think this Bill deserves our support and I would ask for an 'aye' vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword to explain his vote."

Tipsword: "Mr. Chair...ah...Mr. Speaker. I would be voting 'yes' for this Bill if this Bill merely provided a procedure for selection rather than by election of the delegates. I...I realize that would comply. I realize that we shouldn't be hav...ah...expending the money of the state in any election



for the selection of the delegates to these Mini-Conventions, but it includes in addition, the procedure of elections set forth under 7-14.1, which is the procedure that they amend in the coming Bill 3052 which provides a very disastrous proportionate...ah...means of selection or election, I should say, of delegates. Now this includes that as well as the possibility of convention selection."

Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich. Re...Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to point out to all of those Downstate Democrats and all of those on the other side of the aisle that...that sometimes help us out in...the..the...this type of situation. I didn't know precisely what figures to use in the last Primary, but we just kind of made a quick guess-stimate to figure out what my Congressional District would have to send to this Mini-Convention. And we began to follow through the procedure which is rather complicated, rounded off I came up with the example that we just might, if we get a good turnout, we just might get .732 percent of one delegate. I think you better check the way you're voting. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative Byers to explain his vote."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, the more I think about this, I think more Downstate Democrats should definitely vote 'no' on this Bill. I think for example the Twenty-Third Congressional District, at least we had the right to elect the people from our Twenty-Third Congressional District, if we wanted the right to elect. Now if everybody goes together in the State Central Committee, there's certainly more people from the Cook County than there are from Downstate, and those votes are going to influence who's going to be elected from Madison and St. Clair County, and I think we should have that right as local Democrats in Madison and St. Clair County to elect our own people. So I think some of the guys from Downstate should vote 'no' along with me."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "There may be some valid arguments against the way we...we



elect National Convention delegates, in that some Congressional Districts elect fewer and some more. My district elects fewer because we don't do as well in the Presidential election and the Primary as they do in Chicago or in St. Clair or Madison County. But this Bill doesn't deal with the election of National Convention delegates to the conventions that nominate the President and Vice-President that...that...meet for instance next year. This Bill, even though it refers to the other Section, it refers to it only to the point of electing delegates and alternate delegates to national conventions other than National Nominating Conventions. That's the only subject of this Bill is electing Mini-Convention delegates, and although you...you might argue...ah...that all Congressional Districts should elect the same number of delegates to Mini-Conventions, that's not what the Rules of the Democratic National Committee provide. They provide for a weighted vote, partly on population and partly on the vote from previous Presidential elections, and that's how you get the delegates. We may not agree with that, but that's what the National Party has imposed on us, and we found out sometimes if we don't go along with them, they...they kick us out, and this is...and this is...ah... based on the Rules of the Democratic National Committee, and which relies on Congressional Districts, and whether we like it or not, the Congressional Districts are equal in population. They are under the new Bill, we're considering under the old Bill. So this is Mini-Convention only. It takes it off the back of the taxpayer. It has the Democrat National Committee set the rules; we have to go along with them, whether we want to or not. Otherwise, what good does it do to elect more delegates to the Mini-Convention if they're not seated, because we haven't done it in conformity with... with their rules that they have imposed on us, and if they won't seat our delegates. So even though I have criticism of the National Rules, would oppose them. I feel that this Bill by Representative Kozubowski and the Committee on Elections is



as sincere attempt to somewhere in our law, put...ah... some legalization of the way we elect Mini-Convention delegates. If the Bill doesn't pass, we'll still elect them the way we did two years ago, but...but...ah...all the Bill does is...ah...give some justification in the Illinois law to the State Central Committee adhering to the National Committee Rules on Mini-Convention delegates. It's really a technical Bill, even if it's not passed, we'll probably do it, but there's no reason why we shouldn't pass it. I can see nothing wrong with this Bill and I think all the frustrations can be taken out on the next Bill, 3052 which deals with elections to the National Nominating Conventions. This is Mini-Conventions only and I urge a favorable vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I see there are some Republicans either voting 'no' or voting 'present' on this Bill. Let me say and remind them, that Representative Walsh had a Bill relating to the election of delegates...ah... the Republic...Republican option, and that Bill would have been stalled here unless...ah...except for us Democrats getting the necessary votes to send it over to the Senate. It's easy to say this is a Party matter and I don't want to get involved, but the truth of the matter is that this Bill and the next Bill have been approved by the Democratic State Central Committee. This Bill ought to have...ah...no controversy about it. There can be some in the next Bill, but...ah...really I think that those who vote in a Democratic Primary, it really doesn't hurt Downstate, it hurts my area. The Suburban areas not the Downstaters. But I go by the theory that people ought to get involved in the Primary and we ought to allow those who...ah...get involved in the Primary to have that weighted vote according to those who get involved, and if in my area they don't get involved in the Primary, then they ought to be penalized by it. I'm sorry, but that's the way it ought to be. So I would plead with those Republicans who



think they ought not to get involved. Remember just a couple weeks ago, we...ah...sent the Bill over to the Senate which...with our help."

Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. My thoughts along the same line as the prior speaker. I think it's regrettable that so many of the Republicans are staying away from their responsibility. Apparently, under the misguided notion that it's not our struggle or either or brother's keeper or we're not. It's the responsibility to lead where there is no leadership. We mustn't let the Democratic Party fall into an irresolvable deadlock. It reflects on all of us to have a repetition of the mess of 1972. So I urge all Republicans to get off of the...the neutral position and march in there and help those people learn which way they should be going. We should vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, I, too, Mr. Speaker, I...ah...Representative Matijevich is absolutely right. There was a Bill somewhat similar, except it...ah...ah...ah...referred to National Nominating Conventions. This Bill does not. I understand the Bill that does will be coming up and I expect to endorse...to support that also. Ah...it seems to me Mr. Speaker, that this Bill is reasonable and that there's no reason on earth that Republicans can't support it."

Giorgi: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to be recognized for verification if this passes."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry, you finished? Have all voted who wished? Mrs. Willer. I'm sorry. Representative Willer."

Willer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Sponsor a question?"

Giorgi: "It's a little past that Mrs. Willer."

Willer: "Well then, Mr...Representative Matijevich said something that I'm...I'm trying to explain my vote as it hops from green to yellow, I mean from yellow to green to yellow again. I heard



a comment on the Floor that refers to the Suburban area, now, if no one can clarify this, I'm going to have to stay on yellow."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record Mr. Clerk.

On this question there's 107 'ayes', 12 'nays', 38 voting 'present', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. I'm sorry. I called for Mr. McGrew, I called for him. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, when you recognized me, I said I would like a verification."

Giorgi: "I apologize, I didn't hear you."

McGrew: "It is distinct, and it's on the Record sir."

Giorgi: "All right. Would you persist in that then?"

McGrew: "I would now withdraw it, but I would like to have been recognized to do so."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry, I recognized you, but I didn't hear. Representative Kozubowski? I declared that Bill passed, did I not? House Bill 3052. Representative Laurino, for what reason do you rise?"

Laurino: "Having voted on the prevailing side...withdraw."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3052. A Bill for an Act to provide for the selection of delegates, National Nominating-Conventions to establish Political Parties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Speaker, I'd ask leave of the House to move House Bill 3052 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Giorgi: "The Gentleman requests that House Bill 3052 be moved back to Second Reading for the purpose of Amendment. Does he have leave? Leave has been granted. House Bill 3052 to Second Reading."

O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Kozubowski. Amends House Bill 3052 on page 20, line 20, by deleting 'ninety percent' and on page 21 by deleting lines 3 and 4, and inserting in lieu thereof the following.

Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski."



Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I now ask leave of the House to amend House Amendment #1 on its face, by changing on line 3, from lines 3 and 4 and making it from lines 2 and 3."

Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, I don't happen to have a copy of that Amendment, and from my previous conversations with Representative Kozubowski, I'd like to look at that Amendment. Have they been distributed?"

Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, that Amendment was distributed yesterday, and I'm sure it's on the Representative's desk."

Giorgi: "Do you have a copy you can hand him, Mr. Kozubowski? Representative Madison, in the meantime. We'll come back to Houlihan in a couple of moments. You want off of that? Then, Mr. Matijevich, you ask recognition?"

Matijevich: "Yes, on the Amendment. Ah.... this Amendment, as I understand it, have...."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, John, just a minute. Representative Kozubowski, what's the problem."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, before we proceed on the Amendment itself, I would again renew my Motion for leave of the House to amend House Amendment #1 on its face by changing on line 20 from lines 3 and 4 to lines 2 and 3. It's a technical, just a grammatical mistake and I'd ask leave to be changed on its face."

Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave has been granted. Representative Matijevich, back to Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I understand this Amendment, if it is what I think it is, I support it, it would allow the election of delegates throughout this State through congressional districts rather than state, certain amount ten percent at large. That was one of the criticisms, the only criticism received by, I believe, the Democratic Women's caucus and another group, that ah.... there would be certain control of the delegation if we allowed ten percent to be run at large. So



I think this ah.... Amendment now satisfies those objections and is appropriate and we ought to pass it."

Giorgi: "Is there..... Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, was Representative Matijevech explaining the Amendment?"

Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski is the Sponsor."

Madison: "Well, he never explained the Amendment, Mr. Chairman."

Giorgi: "Explain the Amendment."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think I had a chance to explain the Amendment, but I'd be more than happy to do it. What this Amendment does is allow for the 100% election of delegates to the National Convention within the District from which they are elected. All delegates to the National Convention, under this plan, will be elected within the District."

Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Houlihan: "Ah.... Walter, was there some suggestion at the Democratic National Mini-convention to have some effort to have some elected at large or some chosen at large to ah.... work as an affirmative action, for participation of all the minorities in party policies?"

Kozubowski: "No, I think it was the feeling of the convention at that time that in order to get total participation, we would have elections within the Districts themselves, 100% election of delegates."

Giorgi: "Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "To further answer Representative Houlihan's question, the rules of the National Committee not only don't encourage the election of the delegates at large, they prohibit; they prohibit and this was raised at the State Central Committee meeting that I attended as a Member. They prohibit the election of National Convention Delegates at large. They can't be elected from any district larger than a congressional district. They do allow, the National Rules do allow the selection of at large delegates by the State Central Committee, which certainly doesn't sound too darn democratic, especially since we were elected two years before, or also by the delegates from the



districts who were elected, they could meet under the National Rules and select National Convention delegates, but it's been the feeling of the Democratic State Central Committee, rather than get all these hassles about the delegates choosing them, or the State Central Committee choosing them, why not, as long as we're having an election in the District for the district delegates, elect all the delegates, 100% of the delegates, which this Amendment does, 100% of the delegates in the congressional districts and you can go in and contest them. I know in Representative Houlihan's Congressional District, it's a good contest. At the last National Convention, in three or four independent candidates, were elected delegates to the National Convention from the ninth congressional district. So that's what this Amendment does and whether or not you like the Bill, there's nothing wrong with the Amendment and I'll support it."

Giorgi: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Giorgi: "Yes he would. Go ahead."

Madison: "Representative Kozubowski, under your Amendment now, the Bill would now allow 100% election of delegates from each district, from each congressional district?"

Kozubowski: "From each congressional district. That's correct."

Madison: "Representative, is that now the procedure?"

Kozubowski: "No, it's not. Some delegates are appointed at the State Convention as delegates at large."

Madison: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. Insofar as this Amendment to 3052 is concerned, I have no objection to it, because it does provide at least for election of these.... of the total number of delegates through each of the congressional districts. My only objection is, is not the matter under consideration now, it's the method of determining that election. I think we are prohibited at least within our party of electing them at large in the State of Illinois."



Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to rise in support of this Amendment. Representative Kozubowski has tried to respond to one of the problems that was raised earlier and I am in full support of this Amendment to House Bill 3052."

Giorgi: "The question is, shall the Amendment be adopted? All in favor signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. This Bill will go to Third Reading again. House Bill 3053."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3053. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like to ask leave to bring House Bill 3053 back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave has been granted. Housed Bill 3053 is back on Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Fennessey. Amends House Bill 3053 on Page 1, line 12, by deleting 407 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "It's just a technical Amendment. It doesn't change the substance of the Bill at all. It corrects some language and changes ah.... oh, they had 5.16.3 and it should have been 5-16.3. Just technical Amendment. It doesn't change the meaning of the Bill at all. I move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Giorgi: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2. Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I didn't understand the explanation, except that Representative Fennessey said it didn't change anything in the Bill. Is it adding or deleting a Section, I didn't....."

Giorgi: "Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "I didn't hear you, Phil."

Collins: "I.... I said you threw out some numbers, and I said what were the numbers and what do they do?"

Fennessey: "Well, these were mistakes that the printer discovered in the Bill, that ah.... 407, it should have been 4-7."



Collins: "Oh, I see it now, thank you."

Giorgi: "All right, the Gentleman..... All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment #2 to House Bill 3053, signify by saying 'aye'. The opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Third Reading. Now, we'll move to Speaker's Table..... We'll read House Joint Resolution 63."

Jack O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 63. Whereas the Governor of Illinois has the Constitutional responsibility for preparing the State budget and submitting it to the General Assembly under Article XIII, Section 2 of the Constitution of 1970; and whereas the Governor of Illinois has the Constitutional responsibility for limiting his proposed budget expenditures to the funds that will be available for that fiscal year under Article XIII, Section 2 of the Constitution of 1970; and whereas the Governor of Illinois has the Constitutional responsibility for estimating the receipts and funds to be available....."

Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you arise?"

Houlihan: "Ah..... Mr. Speaker, are we considering this Resolution at this time or what is the procedure right now?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan, did you put a Motion on this Resolution? Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, ah..... I ask leave to go to the Order of Speaker's Table for House Joint Resolution 63."

Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I don't ah.... believe I heard the Gentleman ask for leave. We have a lot of Bills and a lot of matters to be taken care of."

Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, according to the Rules, the Speaker can ah.... go to different Orders of business, but if you want to put a Motion here ah.... you're entitled to put your Motion."

Houlihan: "What Motion would I....."

Giorgi: "Representative Collins. Just a moment. Just a moment. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I would move that the House go to the Order of



Speaker's Table to consider House Joint Resolution 63 and pursuant to that Motion, I would request that the Clerk read the Resolution."

Giorgi: "Just a moment. We have some inquiries, Mr. Madigan. Just a moment. Mr. Collins on a Point of Inquiry."

Collins: "Yes, just a point of information, Mr. Speaker. I can't find it on my calendar."

Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, the Clerk would inform Mr. Collins that he did tell me this morning that it was inadvertently left off the Calendar last night."

Giorgi: "We're accepting that, Mr. Collins. What's your point, Mr. McClain? Who else is raising an inquiry? Mr. Clerk, proceed then."

Jack O'Brien: "Whereas the Governor of Illinois has the Constitutional responsibility....."

Giorgi: "Hold it, Mr. Clerk. Ah..... Mr. Schlickman's here today. Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'll accept the fact that through inadvertency, House Joint Resolution #63 is not on the Calendar where it may possibly should be, but, once going to the Speaker's being at the Order of Speaker's Table, there's nothing to be done with regard to this House Joint Resolution because next it goes to Committee, and if we're being called to consider this Amendment, then somebody better move to suspend the Rules."

Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan..... That Point is well taken."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, my apologies. Intwisted in my Motion was an inference that the Rules would be suspended, but to be more exquisite for a fine lawyer such as Mr. Schlickman, in addition to moving that we go to that Order of business, I move to suspend the Rules for that purpose."

Giorgi: "Representative Downs on the Motion."

Downs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I also look in the Digest and it's not listed there. Of course, none have been distributed. I think this is wholly inappropriate."

Giorgi: "Mr. Downs, we have been accepting the Clerk's word that many times things are inadvertently left off the Calendar. Mr. Pierce."



Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I've not seen a copy of this Resolution. It's not been distributed. I don't think this is appropriate. I think we should take this out of the Record."

Giorgi: "I respectfully inform you that Resolutions aren't printed ah.... constantly, because I handle Agreed Resolutions daily and everyone doesn't have a copy. Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "A Point of Inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Ah..... It has been said that this should have been on the Calendar, but wasn't, and my question is, when was this Resolution, if that's what it was, first filed?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Mugalian, I have to admit, being here in the podium, that I saw the Resolution being filed myself yesterday. I saw it being filed."

Mugalian: "You have to admit."

Giorgi: "I saw it. I have to admit it, yes."

Mugalian: "I didn't ask you for admission."

Giorgi: "Some of us go to confession for other reasons. Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, ah..... I know that this has happened to several Representatives, that things were left off of the Calendar, and I think as a courtesy to Representative Madigan that we should allow it to be heard. The Resolution has been distributed. There's copies on the Republican and the Democratic side and I would suggest that we try to ah.... go along with this thing to try to save some time and hear it."

Giorgi: "Mr. Madison on the question."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, you know, I'll accept the ah..... the Clerk's indication that it was inadvertently left off the Calendar, but as Representative Downs indicated, it is neither in the Digest and if it has been distributed, it certainly has not been distributed to me, and I don't know what it is. I'm sure the Clerk can read it, but notwithstanding his reading it, I'd like to have it in my hand, so I can see what it's all about."

Giorgi: "Let's proceed with the Clerk reading the Resolution. Read the Resolution."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, are you ignoring my request?"



Giorgi: "Mr. Madison, I handle the Agreed Resolutions daily and those are not placed on your desk, and the Motion.... this is on Agreed Resolutions, but he has made the Motion to set aside the rule, so he can immediately consider it, so it's in Order. Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think it would be advisable and in order to act upon the Motion for consideration before the Clerk reads it."

Giorgi: "Well, I've been answering inquiries in the meantime, and I don't find....."

Schlickman: "And I should also suggest, Mr. Speaker, and I hereby make demand that that Motion be reduced to writing, specifically specifying which Rule he wants suspended."

Giorgi: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, we might as well go according to Rules, and I'll support our Assistant Majority Leader, whatever he wants to do, but I think the appropriate way we ought to do it, the Speaker rightfully changed the Order of Business. He can do that and we are not on the Speaker's Table, and ah.... and I think now the appropriate Motion would be for Representative Madigan to move that ah.... the ah.... Rule 41, I believe it is, relating to Resolutions be suspended so that ah.... we can have the immediate consideration of that Resolution. Ah... he needs 107 votes, but I think he wants it read so that you know what you're voting on. Is that right, Representative?"

Giorgi: "He is moving to suspend the appropriate Rule, which will take 107 votes. Are there objections to reading the Resolution? All right the, Mr. Madigan, would you put your Motion?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, if we are not going to have the Clerk read the Resolution, so that everyone knows what they're voting on, then I would take this opportunity to briefly explain the Resolution....."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Madigan. Wouldn't you rather have the Clerk read the Resolution? One objection isn't enough is it? Read the Resolution. Read the Resolution, Mr. O'Brien. It's recorded."

Jack O'Brien: "Whereas the Governor of Illinois has the Constitutional responsibility for estimating the receipts and funds to be available to the State as part of his budget, under Article XIII, Section 2 of the Constitution of 1970; and whereas the Governor of Illinois and



the General Assembly has been advised for several months by Treasurer Alan J. Dixon and Comptroller George W. Lindburg, there are not sufficient State revenues to fund Governor Walker's budget for fiscal year 1976; and whereas Governor Walker has proposed an across-the-board six percent reduction in his budget, which would arbitrarily cut funds from both low priority and high priority State services; and whereas the General Assembly does not desire to reduce services and State commitments in the crucial areas of education and welfare, support for senior citizens and mental health and other humane areas, and whereas the General Assembly is obligated under Article XIII of Section 2 of the Constitution of 1970 to limit appropriations to the funds estimated to be available; and be it further resolved that the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that the Director of the Budget is directed to appear before the Joint Session of the General Assembly, sitting as a Committee of the Whole General Assembly, to explain the basis of the Governor's original budget request in the administration's original economic forecast of revenue and lapses which lead to the submission of a fiscal year 1976 budget. It now appears that fiscal year 1976 budget requires significant reductions and that the Director of the Bureau of the Budget respond to questions by Members of the General Assembly concerning reductions to be made prior to June 27, 1976; and be it further resolved that the House and the Senate shall meet in Joint Session to sit as a Committee of the Whole General Assembly for these purposes on June 16, 1975 at the hour of twelve o'clock noon."

Giorgi: "Representative Peters, for what reason do you arise?"

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if I might, just on a Point of Order, before we get on discussion of the Resolution."

Giorgi: "Continue."

Peters: "I would suggest, Mr. Speaker, that the Members of this House take a little look at themselves. We're getting close to the kind of activity and action here which was represented by the French Assembly during the days of the Revolution. Now, Mr. Speaker, I have not often agreed with your Rulings, as Speaker of this House,



but when you sit there as a Temporary Speaker, you are the Speaker.

I have the right to question your Rulings. I have the right to throw whatever verbal comments I might want at you....."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "But I don't have much right to throw more and for our side of the aisle I apologize to you."

Giorgi: "Thank you. Ah..... the People, I remind you, don't have permission to take pictures at this time. If they want to take pictures, they clear it with the Speaker's office. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah..... I would like to respond to my colleague. I've never visited the French Assembly, but I would say this, that the Governor did initiate the presentation that he made last week. It was not initiated by the General Assembly. He did say that we were in a period of crisis. Excuse me, am I..... Oh, is he agreeing with me."

Giorgi: "You're both in the same wave length."

Mann: "I'm sorry..... forgive me."

Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Point of Order....."

Giorgi: "Mr. Peters, just a moment, Mr. Matijevich. Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Representative Mann, I was not directing. It was specifically indicated that my comments had nothing to do with the Resolution here but some of things that had preceded the discussion of the Resolution."

Giorgi: "Representative Madigan. I'm sorry, Representative Man.."

Mann: "Forgive me, Mr. Peters, I misunderstood you."

Giorgi: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House of Representatives, as set forth in the Resolution, the Constitution of the State of Illinois places the responsibility upon the Governor to prepare a budget and to submit that budget to the General Assembly for our consideration. The Constitution further requires that the Governor in the preparation of that budget prepare a budget which will allow the State to live within its economic means."



Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Madigan. Mr. Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Just a Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Continue."

Barnes: "I didn't see or hear any vote on whether or not we're hearing consideration on this Resolution."

Giorgi: "On the Motion. He's on the Motion to suspend the Rules."

Barnes: "Well, he's talking about the Resolution. He's not talking about no Motion."

Giorgi: "That's right, Mr. Madigan, confine your remarks to the Motion."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And under the Constitution, the responsibility of the Governor to prepare an intelligent, responsible revenue estimate....."

Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you arise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I suspect ah... Representative Madigan didn't hear your directive to indicate the urgency of this and to speak to the Motion and not to speak to the Resolution."

Giorgi: "All right, thank you, Mr. Houlihan. Mr. Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Well, I make the point of order, Mr. Speaker, that the Assistant Majority Leader is proper in doing what he's doing, because if you really want to vote an intelligent vote on this matter, you have to hear a little bit about what this Resolution's all about. We've done that ever since I've been here, that you allow the person making the Motion to explain what the Resolution's about, so you can intelligently make up your mind. I think that's in Order."

Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Matijeich. Mr. Schneider, for what reason...."

Schneider: "On the Motion, Mr. Speaker. Ah..... Our question is whether or not we want to deviate from the practice of circumventing the Committee, not the contents of the Motion, so why don't we address ourselves to that Motion. I think that if this is ah... a heavy idea or something, maybe it ought to go to Committee, and let's forget what we're doing here. It's a silly proposition, ah... Resolution, to take up more of our time, is all it is, so I thought it ought to go back to the Committee where it belongs and ah.... the Gentleman ought to address himself to the Motion."



Giorgi: "Mr. Washburn, the Minority Leader."

Washburn: "Thank you, ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'll speak to the Motion. I support the Motion presented by Representative Madigan, and would ask for an 'aye' vote to suspend the Rules so that it might be heard again today."

Giorgi: "Mr. Washington on the question."

Washington: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I don't know whether I support this Motion or oppose it. I would appreciate it if they would distribute the Resolution. It was read. It's noisy. I don't know what's in that Resolution. Why don't we distribute it. We might sustain the Motion. Otherwise, I don't know what we're doing. These Motions, oft time, hinge on one phrase or one sentence or one comma, so I think we ought to have it before us."

Giorgi: "Representative Madison, inquiry?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, on the Motion. I rise to oppose this motion, Mr. Speaker, for one basic reason. Now we've sat here and we've heard the Speaker tell us that because of the crunch of Bills that we're going to have to work next Saturday and possibly next Sunday to get all of these Bills out, and we're faced here with the situation where now comes before us a Resolution, which has as its basic function to embarrass the Governor. Now, I've got no problems with embarrassing the Governor, but I think it ought to be done ~~after~~ June 30th, when we get out of here and not spend our time where we could spend dealing with House Bills and Senate Bills that have to be out of here so I can go home with my family on weekends. If you're going to take the time of this House to listen at a Resolution that may be debated item for item, and we can pass ten or fifteen Bills during that time, Mr. Speaker, I object to it. I think it's an imposition on me as a Member of this Body, and I would hope that we would vote 'no' on this Motion."

Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, on the question."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, since we're taking up so much time, valuable floor time, I think possibly the more appropriate Motion would be a substitute Motion to send this to Committee as it is appropriate. I think we have already demonstrated that this is a very charged issue. It ought to be debated in Committee and evaluated there so that we could



save the time of the Floor, and then we could follow the directions of that Committee. We could designate that Committee to hear all the pros and cons and report back to us so that we could do it with dispatch. If that is appropriate, I would make that Motion."

Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, I'm informed that if this Motion fails, that will automatically go to Committee. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, if Mr. Houlihan made the Motion, I'll move to have it lie on the Table, if he's got a substitute Motion. Then we can get one quick vote and no what we're doing."

Giorgi: "Well, he hasn't made his Motion. Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Washington made a legitimate request, I think, that all of us are entitled to. Ah.... He asked that he have a copy of the Resolution. Somebody accommodated him by walking over to his desk with it. Well, this isn't a small debating society that we're engaged in. There are 177 of us and we all would like to see what the Resolution says, and I think it ought to be distributed before we even debate it."

Giorgi: "Thank you, Mr. Beaupre, except our Rules don't provide for that. Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Motion, simply because we can't have the Resolution distributed on our desks. It's impossible for me, I don't know what's in the Resolution. I have no idea of how ah.... how far it goes and I don't think you can ask the Members of this Body to vote on a Motion ah.... that has the importance that I ascertain that this one does without having it distributed and on the desks, and I think we ought to wait until the Motion is on the desk of every Member before we vote this Motion up or down."

Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, to get Mr. Bradley's vote, could we ah.... take 5 or 10 minutes and ah.... have the Resolution reproduced, distributed to the Members and then, Mr. Speaker, after the Resolution is available, come back to this Order of business, Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "I think that question should be put to the Assembly. We'll leave this Order of business temporarily and go back to House Bills Third Reading. Mr. Schlickman."



Schlickman: "They've taken my Rule book away from me, so I'll have to address you. Ah..... Mr. Speaker, may I also suggest that in anticipation of Amendments that the Resolution have the lines numbered so that Rule, or Amendments will be considered in order?"

Giorgi: "That's an added clerical procedure for the Sponsor of the Resolution, I believe."

Schlickman: "I think it's proper procedure to have lines numbered so that Amendments can be in Order."

Giorgi: "I think that once you have the Resolution in your hand, it's your duty to draw the Amendment, is it not? Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, a question. Am I wrong that we have a Motion by Representative Houlihan, a substitute Motion...."

Giorgi: "No, there's no Motion by Mr. Houlihan."

Ryan: "That didn't come about?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Miller."

Miller: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I understand that there's a Monday meeting date in the Resolution."

Giorgi: "There is, a Monday Noon meeting date. Yes."

Miller: "This is a House Joint Resolution. I would suggest to the Chief Sponsors of the Resolution that they prepare an Amendment or an amended version of this, because there's no way that the document can be approved by both Houses in time for a Monday meeting."

Giorgi: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I understand that the Assistant Majority Leader was going to amend it on its face until Tuesday, but I'd like to speak briefly to the Motion, as long as it's being reproduced right now, and as I've said, I haven't studied the Resolution, but I want to say that....."

Giorgi: "Pardon me, Mr. Matijevich. Pardon me. There's a Point of Order by Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, my Point is I think the Gentleman who offered this Motion, this Resolution, has suggested that it be taken out of the Record for ten or fifteen minutes until it be distributed, and the House can go about other business until it's distributed and come back to that Point in business and then...."

Giorgi: "Your Point's well taken."



Giorgi: "Your Point's well taken. Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "It's well taken as soon as you have leave, but you hadn't...hadn't gotten leave to do that yet."

Giorgi: "I indicated that we did have."

Matijevich: "You did not. But if it's alright now, I won't speak to it."

Giorgi: "Thank you John. Where were we? Representative Byers. No, not for that purpose. We did that. House Bill 3055, Committee on Appropriations. That's not going to be called. 3056. The Elections Committee. A Committee Bill, 3056. House Bill, Third Reading."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3056. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Jaffe, for what reason do you rise?"

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, what happened to 3055?"

Giorgi: "It's an Appropriation Bill Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffee: "No, it's not an Appropriation, it came out of the Appropriations Committee but it's not an Appropriation's Bill."

Giorgi: "Then if it is not, Mr. Fennessey,...ah...would you leave, ...ah...we'll call 3055. Leave of the House to go to 3055."

O'Brien: "House Bill 3055. A Bill for an Act creating the Violent Crime Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Ah...this creates the Violent Crime Study Co...ah...Commission. What happened was that we've already passed the Appropriation out. This has passed through the Executive Committee through the Appropriations Committee. Was caught on the deadline and then it was sent back and...ah...so the Appropriations Committee passed this Bill out and I was...ah...would like to have a 'aye' vote."

Giorgi: "Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Oh, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Giorgi: "Yes, he indicates he will."

Pierce: "Ah, Mr. Jaffe, you know, the last biennium you've been the expert on rape. Are you now expanding from rape to all violent crimes? Isn't there a big enough world for you right in rape?"



You have to get in tu...into murder and assault, aggravated assault and what else are you getting into here?"

Giorgi: "Representative Jim Houlihan. Representative Dan Houlihan."

Houlihan, D.: "Yes, because I don't have a copy of the Bill, could you tell me just what the jurisdiction of this Commission's going to be?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Jaffe, would you please answer those two inquiries?"

Jaffe: "Yes, as soon as you turn on my mike, Mr. Speaker. And it says the...ah...Commission may make such recommendations as it deems necessary to effect solutions to problems of violent crimes including legislation, rehabilitation programs for convicted offenders, medical and counseling services for victims of violent crimes and investigative and reporting procedures to be required of local police."

Houlihan, D.: "And I asked a further question. What was the appropriation for this Commission?"

Jaffe: "Ah...Fifteen Thousand Dollars."

Houlihan, D.: "Fifteen or Fifty?"

Jaffe: "One five."

Giorgi: "Fifteen Thousand."

Houlihan, D.: "Well, if I may speak to the Bill."

Giorgi: "Continues."

Houlihan, D.: "I can see on the Rape Stu...Study Commission because that was addressing itself to one particular...one particular problem, but this is so broad, The Violent Crime Study Commission, it includes practically everything...ah...prohibitive by the cri...cri...criminal laws. Assume it does prohibit everything prohibited by the criminal law. Anything involving any kind of an element of force or violence. And I...sim...sim...simply wonder if what we aren't doing here is made this so overly broad that the effectiveness is going to be minimal at best and absent some more definite showing by the Sponsor as far as what the direction or approach of this Commission is going to be and despite it's relatively limited appropriation, I still don't see the justification for it, and I'm personally going to vote 'no'."



Jaffe: "Well, Mr. Houlihan, in response to you, I want...I would call your attention to Section 2 of the Bill, and it is limited. It's limited to Sections 9-1, 9-2, 10-1 of the Criminal Code. 10-2, 11-1, 11-3, and it has the limitations right in there. I would suggest that you read the Bill and then you're going to vote for it, I'm sure of that."

Houlihan, D.: "Well, let me respond Mr. Jaffe. You just referred to approximately half a dozen to eight or nine sentences of the Criminal Code. You were talking about all batteries. You were talking about all forms of homicide, and if you don't think that's a rather general approach, then I submit that your knowledge of the Criminal Code is a little thin. Now, ...ah...if you think that we can do with the Commission any kind of an indepth study of all forms of violence covered by ...ah...cri...criminal prohibition, then I...I...sim...sim... simply cannot agree with you. When you say that you're only talking about six or eight Sections of the Criminal Code, I suggest that you're mis...mis...missing the point. You're talking about the entire panoply of all crimes involving any element of force."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Jaffe to close."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker, I would just like to say in response to the last speaker. We get all sorts of piece-meal legislation regarding all sorts of violent crime. I think it's about time that we step back and look at the broad picture, and I would solicit a 'aye' vote."

Giorgi: "The question is, shall House Bill 3055 pass? All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'.

Jaffe you want to explain your vote quickly?"

Jaffe: "Yeah. Let me just say to you that we really have discussed this quite thoroughly. It went through the Executive Committee. It passed out of the Executive Committee. It passed out of the ...ah...Appropriations Committee. We've already appropriated the money, and that sets in the Senate just waiting for this particular Bill. It seems, you know, we don't have much attendance today, but nonetheless, I think that it's about time that we did



take a broad look at...at the area of violent crime. At the present time all we do is take piecemeal approaches to it, and I would suggest to you that we need to take a broad look at these particular crimes, and do everything that we possibly can to address ourselves to the area that, I think, is probably the most important to our citizens at this particular time. As I indicated to you before hand, the Commission will deal with the necessary things to effect solutions to the problems of violent crime including legislation, rehabilitation programs for convicted offenders, medical and counseling services for the victims of violent crimes, and let me say that we have not really spent enough time with regard to victims of violent crimes. And I think that we as a Legislature have to do that, and it would include investigative and reporting procedures to be required of local police. I can tell you from the investigation that we have done...ah...that the investigative reporting procedures are not uniform and we need these things in order to make them uniform and to actually have an effective program to fight violent crime in the State of Illinois."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Mr... Representative Dyer, for a moment."

Dyer: "I just wanted to explain my vote before the Record is taken. Is there still time?"

Giorgi: "Go ahead, you can explain your vote. Continue."

Dyer: "All right. I would like to see some more green lights go up there. I think in a society that we live in where people are afraid to walk in the streets of their cities at night, and where we spend millions of dollars on security devices, get security personnel, locks for our homes and so on. I think a Commission to try to dig into the...the causes of violent crime, the reporting and...ah...possible ways to help victims, I think we're...especially since the Appropriation Bill has already gone over to the Senate, I think we're missing a good...a good thing here, and I would certainly like to see some more green lights there."



Giorgi: "Mr. Jaffe. On this question..."

Jaffe: "Well, Mr. Speaker, is the Board off, is it locked?"

Giorgi: "The Record has been taken. The Record has been taken."

Jaffe: "Okay, the Record having been taken, could we put it on Postponed Consideration?"

Giorgi: "Leave to put on Postponed Consideration. Leaves been granted. Mr. Leon for the purpose of an announcement."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like permission to have a meeting of the Banks, Savings and Loan Committee called on Monday, immediately after adjournment and for that purpose I would like to suspend the appropriate Rule to waive the six and a half day posting limit. We have two Committee Bills that were heard this morning and I received 12 votes and I needed 24. Many Members were absent from the meeting due to being at other Committees. They indicated they desire to vote 'yes' on those Motions, and I would appreciate leave."

Giorgi: "This will take 107 votes. He makes a Motion to suspend the appropriate Rule for posting. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. This Motion having received the 128 votes, no nay votes, and 1 voting 'present', declared passed. Representative Madigan. Representative Madigan. 3056."

Selcke: "House Bill 3057. A Bill for an Act to amend the Elections Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Wait a minute. Give me 3057. Did you want 3056 or 7? Okay, we read the right one. Correction. House Bill 3056. A Bill for an Act to amend the Elections Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I would like to take 3056 out of the Record. The Amendment's being prepared for that and it's not ready at this time."

Giorgi: "Take it out of the Record."

Selcke: "House Bill 3057. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."



Giorgi: "Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. 3057 is a Committee Bill. Ah...Elections Committee...ah...establishing the procedures for hearing and determining Statewide election contest of the Executive officers. Provides for the filing of contests in the Supreme Court. Appointment by the Supreme Court of a Three Judge Panel of the Circuit Court to hear and decide the contest. No more than two of the designated Circuit Judges on the co...on the panel may...ah...be of the same political party. Sets out requirements of petition and filing fees and procedures. It implements Article 5, Section 5, of the 1970 Constitution. I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Giorgi: "Representative Kempiners. Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Well, will the Gentleman yield for a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "He will."

Kempiners: "Ah...were the Amendments or at least the suggestions offered in Committee incorporated into this Bill as it was submitted?"

Fennessey: "Yes, the Amendment's or the suggestions that you made Representative Kempiners are incorporated in the Bill. I believe that was on the rejection of judges."

Kempiners: "Right...ah...ah...the challenge of the judges. I would Mr. Speaker, if I could address myself to this Bill. I would like to say that this Bill was presented to the full Committee on Elections with proper posting, in that it was held for consideration for a full week so that each Member of the Committee could have an opportunity to fully read the proposed legislation and to make any comments or suggestions, and in the second hearing, we on the minority side did have several recommendations to make which Chairman Fennessey indicated are incorporated into this Bill, and I for one would be voting 'yes' on this legislation."

Giorgi: "Mr. Fennessey to close. The question is shall House Bill 3057 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record Mr. Clerk. On this question there's 141 'ayes', 0



'nays', 1 voting 'present', and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Back to the Speaker's Table. House Joint Resolution 63. Representative Madigan on the Motion to suspend the Rule, the Temporary Rule for immediate consideration of House Joint Resolution 73. Representative Houlihan do you have an Inquiry? Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker. The Motion is to suspend the Rule for immediate consideration which means that there is an urgency contained in the language of the Resolution. The urgency is very simple. There's only two weeks left in the Session. We have been told that we are confronted with a budgetary crisis. The purpose of the Resolution is to call a Joint Session, Committee of the Whole, to request the Director of the Bureau of the Budget to submit to the General Assembly, for questioning relative to the estimate of revenues available, which now appears to be faulty and also to submit to the General Assembly the suggestions of the Governor for changes in his budget which would bring the budget into line with the estimated revenues available. I would move for a suspension of the Rules, and request a favorable Roll Call, Mr. Speaker "

Georgi: "Mr. Bradley on the question."

Bradley: Well, thank you, thanks, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm still going to have to oppose the Resolution for a number of reasons after finally getting a copy and reading it. But the...the Governor in his Message stated that experts were predicting in January 1, that the gross national product would decline by only one percent. He also indicated that pessimists were predicting a seven percent decline. And as it eventually came out after the first three months of the first quarter of this year we had eleven point three percent decrease in our gross national product. Thee proposed budget...the requested budget proposal by the Governor on March 1st did not have the figures available for the first quarter of this year. Now I don't know...."



Giorgi: "Ah...pardon me Mr. Bradley. Point of Order by Mr.

Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, would you ask the Representative if he would confine his remarks to the Motion to Suspend the Rules?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Bradley, would you confine yourself to the urgency of the Motion?"

Bradley: "Well, I'm opposing the Motion on the grounds then that what the Resolution contains has already been answered by the Governor of this State in his message to us two nights ago, and I see no...I can't foresee us having any, or gaining any knowledge from the Comp...from the Auditor who we're supposed to sit in a Committee of the Whole and listen to as to why we have to have a six percent decrease in the budget. I think every man setting here realizes we're simply not going to have the anticipated revenue coming into this state in the Fiscal Year 1976 that we anticipated...that we anticipated three months ago. Now, I don't know what white balls, crystal balls that the Comptroller and the Treasurer of this state can look into and make their predictions some months ago, but I suggest to you that that was a political...I say a political statement at that time. I'd also like to say to you, that even if we address ourselves to this Motion, and the Motion passes, the Senate has already adjourned and they can't address themselves to this fact...to this problem today, so we're really addressing ourselves to something that we can't act upon anyway, so I urge a 'no' vote on this Motion...on this Resolution."

Giorgi: "Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I have mixed emotions about this Resolution. I do believe that the General Assembly should go on Record in response to the Governor's request, which in my view is a rather simple-minded approach to the subject of budget cutting and say that we have priorities even if the Executive Branch doesn't. On the other hand...and I also believe that it would be very useful for the Director of the Bureau of the Budget to sit down with those members of the General Assembly who feel that we have to impose our priorities on



this budget cut and discuss the subject. But it seems to me having a Joint Session, Committee of the Whole, in which every Member of the House and Senate...ah...shoots questions at the Director of the Bureau of the Budget would not only be futile, it would be a waste of time for most Members, indeed most Members probably would not even attend. I do believe it would be very useful to have a joint meeting of the Appropriations Committees of either House to meet with the Bureau of the Budget and work out jointly a rational way to cut the budget overall by six percent. I would hope that we would do that. I don't think we need to pass a Joint Resolution to accomplish that purpose. I feel certain the Director would respond with alacrity to an invitation from either the House or the Senate or both Appropriations Committees. I would hope that we would do that, but it seems to me what is proposed in this Joint Resolution would simply turn out to be, in the end, a gigantic waste of time for Members of this House and the Senate when we have many other more important things to do, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Giorgi: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I was on the verge of making the same suggestion that Mr. Lundy made and which I wholeheartedly agree with. I have no quarrels with the substance of this Resolution, the Whereas Clauses. I think you're entitled to any information dealing with the fiscal situation of this state, adindefinitum anytime you wish, but we have delegated the orderous task of going into the budget and going into the agencies and bringing people in as witnesses to deal with the budget to our appropriate Appropriations Committee's in each House. And it seems to me, (1) it would be a tremendous disservice to that group which, let's face it, is more highly skilled on these matters than a general Member of the House is and (2) it'll be a waste of time, as Mr. Lundy said, might well degenerate into a political...ah...ah...ah...fight, if we took the time of the two Houses to bring the Director of the Bureau of the Budget before us. I'm not in quarrel with what you're asking here. I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

simply think that the Resolution should be recasted, and we should strike House and Senate and include in there the Appropriations Committees of each House. I think that they're led by able...able men who are qualified to understand what they are listening to and interpret into common sense and bring it back to us and also to do a job on those various budgets whatever it's called for. But I just don't think, as Mr. Madison said, in light of the urgency of our Calendar, with some of the very serious Bills on that Calendar, that we should take the time to do this. I urge you to send this matter to the appropriate Appropriations Committee and get on with the business of the House."

Giorgi: "Mr. William Walsh, do you wish recognition?"

Walsh: "Well, I hesitate to get into this Mr. Speaker, except to say that...ah...certainly I think that there's a crisis. We...ah...have been discussing the Resolution, we ought to be discussing the need for considering it immediately, and I'd like to address myself very briefly to that. We have just two weeks left in this Session. Two weeks in which we have to solve or attempt to solve the Fiscal problems in this state which were laid before us, I think rather inadequately, by the Governor the other day. The Governor, suggested very unrealistically, a six percent reduction across the board. A six percent reduction in such things as income tax return, welfare payments...ah...common school fund...ah...etcetera. Now Mr. Speaker, everybody knows, who has any familiarity at all with government that that is simply unrealistic. That you can't cut across the board. That we are going to have to establish priorities. We have a very short time in which to do it. I think we have a duty to request that the Director of the Bureau of the Budget appear before us. We really should request that the Governor appear before us and establish his priorities. However, we're going to have to settle for the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, and it seems to me, we ought to get about doing it. The urgency and that's what we're addressing ourselves to now Mr. Speaker. The urgency is



that there are just two weeks in which we have to approve the budget and to pass Appropriation Bills for Fiscal 1976. We can't very well do that without having priorities laid before us by the Executive in accordance with the Constitution and I would urge an 'aye' vote for the suspension of the Rules."

Giorgi: "Representative Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, there are two ways of looking at...at this motion. One is to move for immediate consideration the other way to look at it as to move to not send this Resolution to Committee. In the short time we've been discussion this motion itself to adopt or to move for immediate consideration we've already been presented with a very excellent idea to improve the Resolution and to assist and to adequately examining and proceeding with the task ahead of us and that is cutting the spendings within the State Revenues. Representative Lundy and Representative Washington have both indicated an alternative that might be very...might very well be more productive than the form that this Resolution is in and for that reason and for the reason that may be a Committee hearing would help us proceed with a much more orderly discussion of this Resolution, hopefully would allow us to consider this without some of the partisanship that's been injected into this current discussion of our budgetary crisis. I think we ought not to move for immediate consideration of this Resolution but rather draw the Senate to the light and to the clear and concise discussion that would be presented in the Committee and therefore I would urge a 'no' vote."

Giorgi: "Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Ah...Turn me...Oh, I'm sorry...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I...I've now read the Resolution and...ah...I support the motion. As far...If you read the Resolution if it goes to Committee...ah...it' would be too late to have...to have any impact at all. The Resolution calls for a Committee of the Whole. Now I've never been a fan of...of a Committee of the Whole. They...They're mostly publicity but some have said there ought to be Joint Committees of the Appropriation Committee. Well if you have Joint Committees for the Appropriation



you might as well have a Committee of the Whole, if someone's interested because we can't meet in Session anyway if they're meeting in Joint Committee ah...of the Appropriations Committee. So we might as well have a Committee of the Whole. Now maybe these...ah...additional remarks aren't appropriate as far as speaking to the...to the motion. But I'd like to add that I've always tried to support...ah...first of all the Legislative Process. I've also tried to support the Governor when I can and sometimes I've done it against my better judgment. But I want to say as a Legislative Members I...I really...ah...felt that this was the most cockeyed thing I ever heard in my life. For...In the last two weeks to come up with across the board six per cent reduction. I thought that it was as cockeyed as can be. Now let me say that if we are going to make reductions we ought to have some...somebody meet and have input both from the Executive Department and the Legislative Branch of Government to determine what those cuts ought to be. And I say that I was sick and tired, last session at the last moment...ah...the free-for-all we had after...between Attorney General, Bill Scott, Neil Hartigan and Governor Walker. I was tired of that and I heard the Governor himself say, 'Do you think this Session that will end up on time?' and I say to him that if we don't end up on time, it's his fault. It's his fault. He did it to us last time and there was three of them that did it to us. If we don't end up on time now, it's going to be his fault because we would have ended in orderly Session of the Legislature. We would have ended probably before June 30th. But now in the last waiting moments of this Legislative Session to come up after we've had months...ah... both Treasurer Dixon...You know, I used to wonder whether I had any input at all. I used to read the Comptroller say one thing, the Treasurer say one thing, the Governor say one thing. This was when Ogilvie was Governor too and I'd say, 'Who's right?' I don't know. Well really what the Governor's saying in the waiting



moments is that for the last many months both Treasurer Dixon and the Comptroller are right. Well if that's true then he should have seen the light a little while ago, but not in the waiting moments to tell us Legislators that all along they were right and let's have a meat act approach to the budget right now and that's why I'm pretty damn mad about it and...and I...Therefore, I support both this motion and I'm going to support the Resolution too."

Giorgi: "There are about five people that want to speak. Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of the motion to suspend the rules and I think the Assistant Majority Leader is ... is to be commended that...for his bipartisanship in the supporting and introducing this Legislation. Last week, this week, the Governor appeared before the Assembly indicating our state as a demonstrable emergency, and he is asking the Legislature to take appropriate action with the remaining two weeks of this Legislative Session. He has brought for the Legislature his recommendation to cut taxes across the board by six per cent. Any responsible Legislator has the right to ask questions of his Department head and the Bureau of the Budget as to what priorities and the basis for his recommendation taking this strong of action the action of which he has recommended to this Assembly and I urge that we put away the bipartisanship and the partisan..."

Giorgi: "Just a moment Mr. Simms. Just a moment...Mr. Simms... Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, would you have him talk to the motion please?"

Giorgi: "Would you confine your remarks to the urgency of the motion Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well I have, I'm sorry Representatives...ah...does not understand what the problem happens to be in Illinois. But I think the problem is evident. We have to put away the partisanship whether or not we like Governor Walker or his administration..."



Giorgi: "Excuse me...Simms. I have a point of order. Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, Parliamentary Inquiry. Since the Senate has adjourned...ah...I would like to know before we entertain any more discussion on this matter how it would be implemented...ah..if it were to pass."

Giorgi: "That's a good question. Mr. Madigan on the question as to the Senate adjourning and whether they can deliver this..."

Madigan: "In response to the Parliamentary Inquiry of Representative Beaupre. I have prepared a Resolution which would provide that the Resolution would read that the Committee of the Whole would convene on June 17, which is Tuesday, at 12 noon. Therefore we pass the Resolution today, the Senate passes it Monday, the Committee of the Whole is on Tuesday."

Giorgi: "Mr. Taylor....Mr. Taylor. Does Mr. Taylor desire recognition?"

Taylor: "I move the previous question."

Giorgi: "Mr...Would you hold that just for a moment Mr. Taylor? Mr. Beaupre on a Point of Order."

Beaupre: "Well, if we have a new Resolution that we're discussing then it seems to me that that new Resolution ought to be distributed to the Membership."

Giorgi: "Mr. Madigan has the new Resolution been distributed to the Members?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, we're not talking about a new Resolution. We're talking about a different day and I suggest that we get to the motion and let's find out who in this Body wishes to be a man or a woman by himself or herself or who wants to be pushed around by public relations and media gimick perform on the Floor of this House."

Giorg: "Repre...Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, this precisely proves my point. Representative Madigan has lowered himself into the bases kind of political..."

Giorgi: "Point of order. Just a moment Sir, go ahead.. Mr. Houlihan hold it. Mr. Washing...Mr. Taylor on a Point of Order. Mr. Taylor."



Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Giorgi: "The question....All right. Then there's a point of order on your point of order Mr. Taylor. Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well I...Mr. Speaker, I wasn't through with my comments and after I...."

Giorgi: "I'ts too late Mr. Simms....too late..."

Simms: "Well after I'm through, then Mr. Taylor can make the motion that he is. Now in finishing my comments when I was rudely interrupted by some of those on the other side of the aisle. I rise to support this Resolution and I agree a hundred per cent with Representative Madigan. Let's get on with it and let's give this a resounding 'yes' vote."

Giorgi: "The question is...Representative Washington on a point of order. Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker. It should be a point of Personal Privilege. I resent anyone, and I mean anyone in this General Assembly of the House of Representatives standing up and challenging anyone to be a man or a mouse or a woman or a mouse because they do or do not vote for given Resolution. Sir, I think you should recouch that. You have the upmost audacity in suggesting to us that if we don't vote for this Resolution we're less than a man or a woman. Now I resent it and I think everyone should resent it here. I'll vote by conviction. I can prove I'm a man someplace else."

Giorgi: "The question is on the suspension of the rules for the immediate consideration of House Joint Resolution 63. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', those opposed by voting 'no'. On the motion. We ignored the motion by the previous question. We ignored the...this is on the motion to suspend the rules for the immediate adoption of House Joint Resolution 63. I'm sorry, I apologize. Mr. Taylors previous question is the motion now. Takes two thirds of those voting. Takes two thirds of those voting to cut off debate. Mr. Byers."



Byers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think there's several people that haven't got to talk on this motion yet so I think they should vote 'no' on this motion and let the Members have a chance to be heard. I think the...the...ah... motion to move the previous question was in haste."

Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker, I would suggest that this is an exercise in futility because Robert's Rules of Order provide that there may be no debate on a suspension of the rules and I think we ought to go right to the vote on a suspension of the rules."

Giorgi: "Except we ignored that for an house on...when...On the motion to cut off debate. Take the record. We have sufficient votes to cut off debate. Mr. Madigan to close."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, everyone now understands the substance of the Resolution, what it calls for is for the budget director to submit himself to the General Assembly and to explain the basis for computing the faulty revenue estimate which has brought about the Fiscal crisis and also to receive comments from the Governor as to where intelligent rational changes shall be made in the budget. I request a favorable Roll Call on the motion to suspend the rules."

Giorgi: "Now the motion is to suspend the rules for the immediate consideration of House Joint Resolution 63. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. This takes 107 votes. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To explain my vote...We've already taken almost an hour and a half to two hours on this...ah...motion. I don't think that the motion should be considered on the Floor and take the valuable time that we have left. We ought to consider this in Committee. We ought then probably to invite the budget director and others to discuss in the Appropriations Committee what is the best way of averting this financial crisis. What is the best way for proceeding. We ought to proceed like Gentlemen we ought to proceed



in a reasonable fashion and not in the partisan fashion and
Mr...Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully ask for a verification
at the proper time."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hem....did you position your point of order...Mr. Madison?"

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, did I understand the Chair to rule that a...a
motion to suspend the rules is not debatable?"

Giorgi: "Is that correct?...They're explaining their votes, they're
not debating..."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, is not explanation of votes a form of debate?"

Giorgi: "Not the...Not the way I understand it."

Madison: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker if you haven't abandoned the right to explain votes,

I'm going to proceed to explain my vote. Personally, I don't
know how we're going to do this and I'm one of those who want
to know how. And when you talk about whether or not we're going
to plunge this...ah...question into politics, it's already in
politics. Now the thing I...the reason I am going to vote for
it is, I want to do something to take it out of politics and the
only way we're going to take it out of politics there must
be some dialogue between the Senate and the House. I hold in
my hand the letter now that says just that if you want me to
read it. They're going to have to be some joint action between
the Senate and House before we'll know how to proceed with this
six per cent cut. Do you...do you...Well I'll read some of it.
I'll read some of it if you...if you...if you give me time...
The Governor's drastic request that all State General Revenue
Funds Budget be cut six per cent across the board will be extremely
difficult and I want to help him to do it but it's going to be
extremely difficult to carry out unless somebody tells us how
to do it and unless there's some agreement. All of us, including
the Governor, know that making an overall budget cut is much
more complicated than just taking the bottom line and subtracting
of six per cent..."

Giorgi: "Excuse Mr. Davis.....excuse me..."

Davis: "In other words...in other words I want to know how you do this thing."



Giorgi: "On a Point of Order. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I distinctly saw our Majority Leader push the Gentleman's button. I think that's out of Order and I renew my request if we go to 107 to have a verification."

Giorgi: "Representative, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote, because I am concerned about this matter of cutting the budget by six percent. When I heard a number of Members on this Floor get up and argue with me about the Governor's action office, it has been my experience in my forty-five years that when you start the cutting, the first to be cut is the black, because we are the last heart and first spot. I want the Bureau of the Budget to tell me something about that."

Giorgi: "Mr. Totten and then Mr. Ryan. Mr. Ryan, explain his vote."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to explain my 'aye' vote. This General Assembly has now been put in a rather difficult situation. The Governor has requested a six percent across the board budget cut, the most difficult thing to do. Members have risen on this Floor to indicate that maybe this should be done in another manner through the appropriations committee. Well, let me tell you why I don't think we can do that. Presently on our Calendar, there are 39 appropriation Bills that are either on Second Reading or Third Reading and will not no longer be going through the Appropriations process. The entire 177 Members of this General Assembly are going to have to address themselves to Amendments on the Floor regarding those Bills. The entire Membership should be informed of what the Governor's intent is regarding those Bills. In addition, many appropriation Bills have passed both this House and the Senate and will be coming back either in the form of concurrences or be in Conference Committees, and we are all going to have to vote on those appropriation Bills at that time. It's important that the entire General Assembly be informed by the Director of the Bureau of the Budget as to how we can determine the priorities on these cuts. There is no other way to do it. This is an important Resolution and we should all be voting 'aye' so that we're all informed as to how to Act on the House Floor when these Bills come back to us."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Giorgi: "Ah..... Representative Byers, you had a Point of Order?"

Byers: "Did you take a Roll Call?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Huff."

Huff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to explain my vote and in doing so, hopefully interject some dispassion into this legislative process. The question has been raised as to the solvency of the State and I'm sure that ah.... that question has been magnified even tenfold in the eyes of our constituency, and I feel that we have the duty to support this Resolution so that we might delineate where we may best give priorities and make these cuts. I'd just like to quote that even the Governor is suggesting that he doesn't know, I'd like to quote from the speech that he made the other night in which he says 'that there may be those who will not willingly assume their fair share of this burden. There may be special interests who feel they should be immune from any cuts', and I think that the only way that we're going to realistically delineate where these cuts can be made responsibly is to use this Resolution as the vehicle to achieve that purpose and I support this."

Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my vote, I think that all of you here should understand that last Tuesday, the Governor came before this Body and on Page 6 of his statement, I quote 'The Illinois Constitution requires a balanced budget. The Constitution also prohibits the General Assembly from appropriating more money than will be available'. With that statement, Ladies and Gentlemen, he's put the burden on your back and mine. This morning, in Appropriations II Committee, the Majority Party could not collectively interpret the meaning of the Governor's statement last Tuesday. Consequently, not one appropriation Bill passed that Committee this morning, because of the confusion by the Majority Party. Now I suggest to you that this is important and it should be passed out of here today. We should suspend these Rules. This is a matter of urgency. We're talking about whether we're going to be responsible for maintaining the Constitution and living with it, or whether we're going to be the People that are



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

going to have to come back in here and vote for a tax increase, and I want no part of that. I think we ought to put 107 votes on this Board."

Giorgi: "Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, ah..... I'm sure ah..... everyone shares my feelings. I am tired. I want to go home. Let's push 7 buttons and have a verification and get the hell out of here."

Giorgi: "Mr. Terzich, I would tend to agree. Mr. Collins, do you seek recognition? Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. Take the Record. Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we need a 107 votes. I see we have 109, but I do feel, we're two votes over what we need, but in explaining my 'aye' vote, I would like to say I've been going through the Governor's speech. At least in a half a dozen times, he implored us the other night, he said we must cut spending and we must do it now. I've been going through the speech to see how many times and I will say that at least in a half dozen places, he says we must....."

Giorgi: "Mr. Hudson, excuse me. Mr. Boyle, for what reason do you arise?"

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, I believe you directed a Roll Call to be taken and I....."

Giorgi: "Your point's well taken. 109 voting 'aye'. 25 'no' and 2 voting 'present'. Mr. Byers, you request a verification of the Roll Call. For Duff, for what reason do you arise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I have had my light on to be recognized on this, and I would like to be heard and to explain my vote as is the normal courtesy. I have a reason for wanting to do it."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff, let me suggest that when they call the Roll of the absentees or verification, you might take that moment."

Duff: "Thank you, Sir."

Giorgi: "Proceed with the Mr. Madigan, for what reason do you arise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, would you please poll the absentees."

Giorgi: "We're just about to do that. Mr. Clerk, poll the absentees."

Selcke: "Arnell. Capuzi. Carroll. Deavers. Epton. Fleck. Flinn.



Gaines....."

Giorgi: "Excuse me. Mr. Flinn 'present'."

Selcke: "Gaines. Getty. Giorgi....."

Giorgi: "Hold it. 'No' for Giorgi."

Selcke: "Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Katz. Kelly. Klosak. LaFluer...."

Giorgi: "Hold it, Mr. Clerk. Speaker 'no'. Mr. Speaker votes 'no'. Put him on the Roll Call now."

Selcke: "O'kay. No. Redmond 'no'. Where did I quit? LaFluer.

Leinenweber....."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Mudd 'no'."

Selcke: "Who?"

Giorgi: "Representative Mudd 'no'. Leinenweber 'aye'."

Selcke: "Leverenz. Lundy. Macdonald. Macdonald 'aye'. McAuliffe.

McCourt. Meyer. Molloy. Mudd 'no'. Mugalian. Palmer. Rayson.

Rose. Sangmeister. Satterthwaite. Schneider. Sevcik. Sharp.

Stubblefield. Stubblefield wants recognition."

Giorgi: "Representative Stubblefield."

Stubblefield: "Sir, Record me 'no'."

Giorgi: "Record him 'no'."

Selcke: "Stubblefield 'no'. Tipsword. Tipsword 'no'. VanDuyne.

Willer. That's it."

Giorgi: "Representative Sangmeister wants to be recorded as voting

'no'. Representative Lundy 'no'. I'm sorry, Mrs. Willer. Mrs.

Willer 'no'. We're verifying the Roll Call. Yes. Right. All

right, 111 'ayes' and 33 'nays' and Mr. Houlihan wants to verify

the affirmative Roll Call and Mr. Mugalian wants to be recorded as

voting 'no'. Mr. Mugalian 'no'. Representative Schisler, for what reason do you arise?"

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I had voted

'no' and when I wasn't looking, someone changed mine to 'aye'.

Would you please record me as 'no'."

Giorgi: "Representative Schisler wants to be changed to 'no'. Would

you..... When you're ready, Mr. Clerk, proceed with the Affirmative

Roll Call. 110 'ayes', 35 'nays', 3 'present'. Proceed."

Selcke: "Anderson. Jane Barnes. Beatty. Berman. Birchler. Borchers.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Brandt. Brummett. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Catania. Choate.
Coffey. Collins. Craig. Cunningham. Daniels. D'Arco. Darrow.
Davis. Deuster. DiPrima. Duff....."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff, ah....."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah.... thank you for allowing me to do this now. I did have my light on before. I would like, because, looking around it looks to me like this vote could be in jeopardy under ah... under a verification. A week ago, this General Assembly in Joint Resolution gave the Governor of Illinois an unprecedented....."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff, Mr. Duff, I'm sorry, but there are objections to ah....."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, this is by your leave at the time I could have explained my vote."

Giorgi: "Let's give him a couple more minutes. Go ahead, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "I intend my remarks just as temperate as I possibly can, Mr. Speaker. A week ago, this General Assembly, by Joint Resolution, granted the Governor of Illinois an unprecedented privilege, to come before us so that he might express to us a crisis in State government. On the first week of March of this year in this General Assembly, the Governor of Illinois did respond to the Constitutional requirement of Article VIII, Section 2 of the Illinois State Constitution. That Constitution, I would say briefly, says that the Governor shall prepare and submit to the General Assembly at a time prescribed by Law a State budget for the ensuing fiscal year. It then details what must be in that budget. Now, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this General Assembly, which granted the Governor of Illinois the opportunity to express that crisis to us is now asking the Governor's department to come before us and give us the remaining provisions which are found in that Constitutional Section, which include the budget setting force, the estimated balance of funds."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff, would you please come to a close, please?"

Duff: "I would be happy to, Mr. Speaker. The Governor has, by an unprecedented move, asked this General Assembly....."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Duff. Mr. Duff, excuse me. Mr. Houlihan, for what reason do you arise?"



Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is referring to the request to have the Governor's aids and the Bureau of the Budget appear. I don't think anybody is questioning that. What we're questioning is the suspension of the Rules for immediate consideration. I think he's out of Order twice."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff, I think we're all in agreement. Let's proceed with the verification.. I have information. Mr. Barnes changed his vote from 'present' to 'yes'. Continue with verification."

Selcke: "Verifying Gene Barnes. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Dyer. Ewell. Ewing. Farley. Fary. Friedland. Friedrich. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Giglio. Greiman. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Hart. Hill. Ron Hoffman. Dan Houlihan. Hudson. Huff. Jacobs. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Kane. Keller. Kempiners. Kent. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kurcharski. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Kornowicz. Leinenweber. Leon. Lucco. Luft. Macdonald. Madigan. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Marovitz. Matijevich. Mautino. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Miller. Nardulli. Neff. Patrick. Peters. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Pouncey. Randolph. Reed. Richmond. Rigney. Ryan. Schoeberlein. Schuneman...."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, Mr. Clerk. Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I have to get back to the City to attend a Memorial in honor of my Mother, I wonder if I could be verified now."

Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Have leave. Excuse me, Mr. Grotberg, for what reason do you arise?"

Grotberg: "For the same reason. May I be verified, Mr. Houlihan? I have an aged Mother, a sick child and three constituents who have to be attended at their wakes and I must leave."

Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Mr. Houlihan, the verifier."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I resent ah.... your making light of ah... previous gentleman's request. I think he made a very honest and sincere request....."

Giorgi: "Golly, I would not have..... if I do, I apologize. Really, I'm sorry, but....."

Grotberg: "I am asking to be verified, Jim....."

Giorgi: "If there are no objections, does the Gentleman have leave? I'm sorry, there are objections. It's too late for that, Jim."



Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, on a Point of Order. If courtesy is going to be extended to one Member on one side of the aisle, then it's only fair that Representative Grotberg has the same courtesy."

Giorgi: "Mr. Simms, the Ruling was...."

Simms: "I'm objecting."

Giorgi: "Your objection is too late."

Simms: "Well, I object."

Giorgi: "It is not timely. You ask to reconsider the Ruling of the Chair, then."

Simms: "I move to reconsider the Ruling of the Chair, then. If we're not going to treat both sides of the aisle the same, we're going to have a whole lot of problems from this side."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Grotberg, did in fact make a sincere request. Representative Houlihan's interpretation of it should not be a factor in whether the courtesy should be extended to two Members."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff, to refresh your memory, there were no objections to Mr. Mann. There were objections to Mr. Grotberg, and that's where we're at. Proceed with verification."

Selcke: "Shea. Simms. Skinner. E. G. Steele. Sissy Stiehl. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Walsh. Washburn. White. Williams. Winchester. Younge. Yourell."

Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, on the verification of the affirmative Roll Call. The Clerk informs me there are 111 people voting 'aye'."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, was that 111 or 110."

Giorgi: "111."

Selcke: "It was 110 and then Gene Barnes came up and changed his vote from 'present' to 'aye'."

Houlihan: "Who did?"

Selcke: "Gene Barnes."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ron Hoffman."

Giorgi: "Ron Hoffman. How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Giorgi: "Is Mr. Hoffman in the Chambers. Ron Hoffman. Take him off the record. He's off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Mary Lou Kent."

Giorgi: "Representative Ken, how is she recorded?"

Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Mrs. Kent in the Chambers? Take her off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Sissy Stiehl."

Giorgi: "How is Mrs. Stiehl recorded?"

Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Stiehl in the Chambers. Take her off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Griesheimer."

Giorgi: "Representative Grie..... how is he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Griesheimer in the Chambers? Take him off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Ray Ewell."

Giorgi: "Representative Ewell. How's he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Ewell in the Chambers? Take him off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Younge."

Giorgi: "Representative Younge. How is she recorded?"

Selcke: "Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Younge in the Chambers? Take her off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Yourell."

Giorgi: "Representative Yourell. How's he Recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Yourell in the Chambers. Take him off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Craig."

Giorgi: "Representative Craig. How's he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: Is Representative Craig in the Chambers. Take him off the Record."



Houlihan: "Representative Fennessey."

Giorgi: "Representative Fennessey is in his seat."

Houlihan: "Representative Calvo."

Giorgi: "Representative Calvo, how's he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Calvo in the Chambers. Take him off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Giorgi: "Representative VonBoeckman, how is he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative VonBoeckman in the Chambers? Take him off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Hanahan."

Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan. How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Hanahan in the Chambers. Take him off the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Brummett."

Giorgi: "Representative Brummett. He's in the back of the room."

Houlihan: "Representative Berman."

Giorgi: "Representative Berman. How's he recorded?"

Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Berman in the Chambers. Take him off the Record."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, ah..... I noticed Representative ah.... Grotberg standing up. I'd like to verify him."

Giorgi: "Representative Grotberg, you're verified."

Houlihan: Representative.... If I could look between Dave Epstein and ah.... Is Representative Keller there."

Giorgi: "Representative Keller. How is he recorded?"

Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Keller in the Chambers? Take him off the the Record."

Houlihan: "Representative Kucharski."

Giorgi: "Representative Kucharski. He's in his seat."



Houlihan: "Representative....."

Giorgi: "Excuse me, for what reason do you arise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, it's quite obvious that the vote count is dwindling and the Membership is not present....."

Houlihan: "That was my point originally, Mike."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, could I be allowed to continue my remarks."

Giorgi: "Continue, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And therefore, Mr. Speaker, I would request that the Motion to suspend the Rules for immediate consideration be withdrawn and Mr. Speaker that you Rule that the House Joint Resolution 63 be taken from the Speaker's Table and assigned to the Committee on Assignments."

Giorgi: "I think that's proper."

Madigan: "Is that your ruling, Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "That would be my ruling."

Madigan: "Fine."

Giorgi: "Mr. Shea, is he in the room? Mr. Shea, would you like to..... It's already too late. Mr. Shea, would you like to..... Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I understand this matter is now assigned to Executive Committee, I would ask leave now that ah.... the posting rules be waived so this matter, I don't know, Representative Houlihan's objecting to something he hasn't heard yet. I guess he's reading my mind. Ah.... be heard immediately after adjournment Monday night, or Monday whenever we get out of the Session. Also, I'd ask leave that two other matters that are pending in the Committee, two Resolutions....."

Giorgi: "Mr. Matijevich, one moment please. Mr. Houlihan, for what reason do you arise?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I'd raise a Point of Parliamentary Inquiry."

Giorgi: "Continue."

Houlihan: "That Resolution was on the Speaker's Table. I suspect that you haven't had time to take it to the Assignments Committee. I suspect that the Assignments Committee hasn't met yet and I would wonder if ah..... Representative Matijevich's Motion is in Order?"



Giorgi: "Jim, if Mr. Shea, for what reason do you arise?"

Shea: "I heard you just take that Resolution from the Table and put it in the Committee on Assignments. Now, might I just state that Mr. Bradley and I just met. Representative Klosak isn't here, and it was assigned to Mr. Matijevich's Committee."

Giorgi: "Mr. Shea, might I tell Mr. Houlihan that it's after adjournment Monday and if we didn't settle it today, it would be put again Monday, so we'd have the same show Monday. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Representative Giorgi, what I resent and what I have called into question was how the Rules can be bypassed for some, how sometimes Bills can be considered immediately for maybe special members, and I think that's unfortunate. I think that's going back a step or two, going back to the kind of House we had before, and I was just raising that question."

Giorgi: "Mr. Houlihan, you know, when you appoint a Speaker, you appoint his emotion and his past history and his past problem. Mr. Matijevich, for what reason do you arise?"

Matijevich: "Well, only to pursue my Motion. I think it was proper. The Assignment Committee evidently has met and it's been assigned to Executive Committee. I haven't cleared this yet with the Minority spokesman or Representative Lauer, but I think he feels like I do that if you're going to consider this Motion, it would have to be done readily or it has no value, so therefore, I would move to again....."

Giorgi: "I understand your Motion is to suspend the temporary rules...."

Matijevich: "Except I wanted to add two other Resolutions and then in one meeting we could ah..... shoot the whole works, so the Executive Committee won't have to meet probably....."

Giorgi: "We have a Point of Inquiry, Mr. Matijevich. Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Is the Gentleman's Motion, then, to suspend Rule 31f, which requires that the Committee on Assignment of Bills may not reassign Bills or Resolutions unless at least one Member of the Majority Party, one Member of the Minority Party assigned to the Committee on Assignments are present? Is that the Motion?"

Giorgi: "This is the Assignment of a Bill, not reassignment, Mr.

McClain.



McClain: "The same....."

Giorgi: "Mr. Tipsword."

McClain: "The same....."

Giorgi: "It's not reassignment. Mr. Matijevich, do you want to proceed with your....."

Matijevich: "I didn't hear you."

Giorgi: "You want to proceed with your Motion."

Matijevich: "I move that the ah.... posting ah.... rule be waived so that ah..... this House Joint Resolution may be considered in Executive Committee immediately after adjournment Monday. No, not today. Ah..... I know an improper thing when I see one. It will be Monday afternoon after adjournment and also that House Resolution 314 and House Resolution Constitutional Amendment 33 all be considered on that day."

Giorgi: "Mr. Schraeder, on a Point of Inquiry. Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Point of Order, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to have two points of the Chair. First of all, can the Assignment of Bills Committee meet while we're in Session, and secondly, if they are allowed to meet, does not representatives of both parties be represented at that meeting?"

Giorgi: "On your first question, I know that our Committee on Assignments has been meeting while we've been meeting this Session. On your second question....."

Schraeder: "I didn't ask that. I asked if that's proper? Is that in accordance with the Rules."

Giorgi: "It's only a service committee and not a committee....."

Schraeder: "I'm asking if that's in accordance with the Rules?"

Giorgi: "On the first part of your question, yes. I don't understand the second part of your question."

Schraeder: "All right, can the Assignment of Bills Committee meet and make a determination without both parties being represented."

Giorgi: "That's a good question. My Parliamentarian tells me that if it's an assignment, that is proper, but if it is a reassignment, a Minority Member would have to be present. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, ah.... Parliamentary Inquiry. Maybe it might



be more appropriate...Point of Personal Privilege."

Giorgi: "Continue."

Houlihan: "This matter is obviously extremely charged and there's been politics raised concerning it. We established earlier, and I think Representative Madigan acknowledged that there isn't a full component of the House present, and I would suggest that Representative Matijevich possibly consider making this Motion on Monday only for the reason that we now are ah..... not in full compliment. We don't have everybody here. It is a very controversial Bill request. There has been a lot of objections raised and a lot of accusations made and I think, John, you wouldn't lose any time if you made that Motion on Monday. We could have that meeting, consider this in a little less heated environment and proceed in a more orderly fashion."

Giorgi: "We're going to adjourn at the conclusion of this question. Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If you'll look to Rule 31-F, Sir, it says, the first sentence 'Once a Bill or Resolution has been assigned to a Committee by the Committee on Assignment of Bills, it may be reassigned'. I suggest that the Resolution has been assigned to the Committee on Assignments and now you are reassigning it to Executive."

Giorgi: "No, Mr. McClain, I don't read that Ruling. Mr. Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker....."

Giorgi: "Excuse, Mr. Tipword. Mr. Matijevich is insisting on his Point of Order."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to end the whole show now and say that I will accede to that reasonable request by Representative Houlihan and consider this matter Monday."

Giorgi: "Fine."

Matijevich: "I'm sure he will support me Monday."

Giorgi: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House....."

Giorgi: "Excuse me for a moment. There are a couple of announcements. Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would like to ask leave to have the subcommittee on Elections on the Brinney Mahar election



contest excused so they may work in Chicago on Monday and also ask leave to have them have the right to vote on any Bills Tuesday if they so desire."

Giorgi: "You understand he wants permission for the Election Committee to be allowed to vote and be absent. Does he have leave."

Fenneseey: "Also, Representative Mahar, who's involved in that contest."

Giorgi: "All right, just a moment. We're going to get to everyones announcement. Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, is that with the understanding that they may vote on the next day if it does not affect the outcome?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Fennessey agrees to that. Who else wants to make an announcement. Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, since the House is in such a congenial mood, I would move to suspend Rule 18 in order to post the following Bills for Monday. It will be at nine o'clock in Room 114 of the State House, or the Capitol Building. For Senate Bill 637, which is the Governor's appropriation request, and we were hearing this Bill in Committee today, but unfortunately no one showed up in the respective department to answer some questions that were asked of the Sponsor, and in turn, I'm hoping a little bit more cooperation would be extended and that we would have proper representation to answer some of the questions that have been raised in Committee by various Committee Members. So it is the intent of the Chair, if I have leave, to suspend Rule 13, to hear Senate Bill 637 at nine o'clock Monday morning. And then we would also have posted for the same day House Bills 1947 and 1948, which is the Fiscal 76 appropriation request from the Department of Transportation. We started hearing on those Bills this morning, but unfortunately, we had to come back into session before we had an opportunity to go into any questioning and in conjunction with that, we have not answered the written request that we had to the department. They tell me they will try in their utmost to have the replies to us by Monday morning in a written form. It has also been brought to my attention that the Republican Minority Leader and his party want to have a conference with the Minority Leader in his office at 9:30. The Chair will have the intention of hearing the



Governor's Bill and in turn a recess in the meeting at 9:30 to approximately 10:30, so the Republican Members can meet with the Minority Leader and reconvene the meeting at 10:30 to Noon, however late we have to go to hear DOT's budget bills. So, Mr. Speaker, with that in mind, I'd like to suspend Rule 18 so we can post Senate Bill 637, House Bill 1947 and 1948 for Monday morning."

Giorgi: "Will this require 107 votes, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "I ask for leave and if leave is granted, we could use the attendance roll call."

Giorgi: "Use the attendance roll call. Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker. I'm not sure what it is that Representative Lechowicz, he carried on here so long, I'm not sure I know what he wants to do."

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear your question."

Ryan: "Well, I don't understand what you're trying to do."

Lechowicz: "All we're trying to do is to suspend the Rule 18 to repost and hear Senate Bill 637 and House Bill 1947 and 1948. I discussed this with the Minority Leader and Representative Totten, and there is no objection."

Giorgi: "If there are no objections, the Gentleman has leave. Your next Motion."

Lechowicz: "My next Motion deals with the Bills that were posted for Wednesday because of the schedule of the House, we'd like to have them posted for Tuesday instead. This would again suspend Rule 18 in order to post the following Bills for Tuesday, June 17, at eight o'clock in the morning in Room 114. House Bills 1745, and 3054, Senate Bills 433, 652, 653, 763, 813 and 1499. These Bills were originally posted for Wednesday and what we want to do is move them up one day so we can have an additional day of work."

Giorgi: "Mr. Totten on a Motion."

Toten: "Mr. Speaker, to get to clarify the conversation I had with the Chairman of Appropriations I Committee, he has agreed to recess the Committee at 9:30 because the Minority Leader has asked that the Co-spokesman of the Committee meet with him in order to ah.... go over some appropriation matters, so he would recess the meeting for approximately an hours while we do that."



Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan, on the same question."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I've got the same situation only I don't get the same courtesy from the Chairman of the Appropriations II Committee. He wants to come in here at ten o'clock or ten thirty Monday morning. Ah..... "

Giorgi: "Mr. Boyle, would you like to respond to Mr. Ryan."

Boyle: "I don't know if I want to particularly want to respond to Mr. Ryan or not, but I ask leave to suspend the Rules to post House Bill 835....."

Giorgi: "Just a moment, Mr. Boyle, we're on Mr. Lechowicz' Motion to suspend.....to post. Mr. Lechowicz' Motion has still not been put. Does the Gentleman have leave. Leave has been granted. Mr. Boyle."

Boyle: "I'd like the same courtesy to extend the Rules for House Bill 835, 3023, 3034, Senate Bill 348, 658 and 989, to post them to consider them at Committee meeting of Appropriations II at ten-thirty on the House Floor on Monday."

Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan has a question."

Ryan: "Are these the Bills ah... Kenny, that we spoke about in Committee this morning?"

Boyle: "Those are the same Bills."

Ryan: "This is OSPI, University of Illinois."

Boyle: "No, No, No. Those Bills haven't been recommitted."

Ryan: "Well, what Bills have you got? Can you give me an idea of what Bills you're talking about?"

Boyle: "Well, House Bill 835, I'll have to look them up. House Bill 835 is the Fire Commission. 3032 is.... I don't know, I'd have to look them up. I have to look them up in the Digest. They are the same Bills that were posted today on ah..... on the ah.... call that we didn't reach."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I would object to House Bill 835 and ah..... I would like to say, I don't know what we're going to accomplish at ten-thirty Monday morning. We couldn't get anything accomplished today. I don't know why we should suspend any of these things. We didn't get anything accomplished today, but I certainly will object to 835."



Giorgi: "Mr. Boyle, would you want to withdraw 835 from the rest of the package?"

Ryan: "As I understand it, Mr. Speaker, nobody's talked to me about this, and they certainly haven't talked to Representative Washington about it."

Boyle: "What do you mean I haven't talked to you. You were over here just before talking to me about it."

Ryan: "About suspending the Rules to post these Bills?"

Boyle: "You were over here talking about what time the Committee meeting was. What did you think we were going to consider? We can only consider those Bills we had today. Now you've been around here long enough. You trying to claim there's some surprise?"

Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? No objection, the Gentleman has leave. I didn't hear any objection."

Ryan: "You didn't ask for a Roll Call."

Giorgi: "Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Minority Co-spokesman of that Committee objected."

Giorgi: "As far as I know, I heard Ryan asking inquiries about a maybe a projected objection, but I don't think he objected officially."

Duff: "He has objected and I objected for him."

Giorgi: "Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I definitely objected to House Bill 835, the Fire Commission Bill. I know I objected to that."

Giorgi: "Mr. Boyle, you want to withdraw that Bill so you can have the rest of them?"

Boyle: "Look, all I want to do is post them. I don't mean we're going to hear them. I've got to ask leave to suspend the Rules to post them. If I don't do that, you can't hear it all next week."

Giorgi: "O'kay, Mr. Ryan?"

Ryan: "Maybe we can suspend the Rules on Monday or Tuesday for the for that Fire Commission Bill, but I would object to that Bill."

Giorgi: "You can have all of them except the one that he mentioned."

Boyle: "All right, we'll take 835 out then. We'll go with 3023, 3034, 348, 658 and 989."

Giorgi: "Are there any objections? The Gentleman has leave. Mr. Shea.



For an Adjournment. Excuse me, he wants five minutes for announcements. Mr. Fary. Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, do to the fact that we've been so busy here nights and days, we've been neglecting a lot of very important things, our dedication and respect to our flag, Independence Day, Flag Day is coming up within the next day or two, the 14th. Father's Day is Sunday and I would like to read a belated, this is from last year. 'Jim Metcalf was my friend. He was the People's poet. Jim had died. When he died he left me a bunch of his poems. Among them is one I want to read, so we can leave here in a little lighter vein. It's called 'Dad'. He is the one who shaves each morn and leads the breakfast prayer and kisses all the family and dashes down the stairs. He works all day in some big place upon a busy street. At dusk he hurries home to bring his little ones a treat. He reads the paper, smokes a pipe and talks so very wise. He drives the car, he mows the lawn and walks for exercise. He never seems to have enough to pay the Bills on hand and yet he manages to meet each family demand. His kindness and his goodness are his very thought indeed. He's always where he's needed and he always feels the need. Happy Fathers Day."

Giorgi: "Thank you Congressman Fary. Mr. Shea for adjournment. I'm sorry, read the adjournment Resolution."

Jack O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution 64. Resolved by the House of Representatives of the 79th General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn Friday, June 13, 1975, they stand adjourned until Monday, June 16, 1975 at Twelve O'Clock Noon."

Giorgi: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I move for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Giorgi: "Question, all in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the House is adjourned. I'm sorry. The Adjournment Resolution is adopted. Mr. Shea, on the Motion to adjourn."

Shea: "I move that the House now stand in recess for five minutes, that the Clerk then be allowed to read in his Committee Reports and that the House then stand adjourned until Twelve O'Clock Noon Monday."



Giorgi: "You heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House is adj...The House is in recess for five minutes and then adjourn until Monday."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations I to which Senate Bill 484 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended, do pass. Mr. Mann from the Committee on Higher Education to which Senate Bill 781 was referred recommend the Bill be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Matijevec from the Executive Committee to which was referred House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #34, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted, do pass. Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive to which Senate Bill 799 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Matijevec from the Executive Committee to which was referred House Resolution 93 reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Matijevec from the Executive Committee to which was referred House Resolution 306 reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive to which Senate Bill 14, 550, 551 and 552 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive to which Senate Bills 32 and 1018 were referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended, do pass. Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive to which House Bill 3106 was referred....Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive reported the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3106 and recommended that it do pass. Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive reported the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3107 and recommended that it do pass. Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive reported the following Committee Bill, House Bill 3108 and recommended that it do pass. Mr. Matijevec from the Committee on Executive to which



was referred House Bill 844, was reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3109. Recommended the original Bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill so pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 2952, reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3110. Recommended the original Bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill, do pass. House Bill...Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive to which was referred House Bill 2809, reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3111. Recommended the original Bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill do pass. Mr. Matijevich from the Executive Committee to which was referred House Bill 2801. Reported the same back with the substitute therefore being House Bill 3112. Recommended the original Bill lie on the Table and the substitute Bill, do pass. Introduction and First Reading. House Bill 3106, Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act in relation to notice of issuance of certain subpoenas. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3107. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act relating to the practice of Beauty Culture. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3108. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3109. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3110. Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act in relation to the late payment provisions and residential leases. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3111 Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Metro East Exhibition performing Arts Authority Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3112, Executive Committee. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Southwest Regional Port District Act. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House stands adjourned until Monday, 12:00 Noon."



June 13, 1975

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1	10:30	Speaker Redmond	House come to order
"	10:30	Reverend Krueger	Prayer
"	10:30	Speaker Redmond	Roll Call
"	10:33	Jack O'Brien	Message from Senate
3	10:42	Speaker Redmond	Consent Calendar, 3rd Reading, 3rd Day
"	10:42	Jack O'Brien	S.B. 85, etc. 3rd Reading
7	10:51	Speaker Redmond	All preceeding Bills passed
"	10:52	O'Brien	H.B. 802, 2d Reading 3 Committee Amendments
"	10:53	Leverenz	Amendment #1
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #1 Adopted
"	10:54	O'Brien	Amendment #2
"	"	Leverenz	"
8	10:56	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 Adopted
"	"	O'Brien	Amendment #3
"	"	Leverenz	"
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3 Adopted
"	"	O'Brien	"
"	"	Leverenz	"
"	10:57	O'Brien	Amendment #4
"	"	Leverenz	"
9	10:58	Schlickman	Question
"	"	Lechowicz	Question
"	10:59	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 Adopted
"	"	O'Brien	Amendment #5
"	"	Younge	"

10	11:00	Leverenz	Amendment #5
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	"	Lechowicz	"
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	"	Younge	To close
11	"	Leverenz	"
"	"	McGrew	"
"	11:05	Choate	"
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
12	"	Lechowicz	"
"	"	McGrew	Would she take it out?
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	"	Madison	"
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	11:06	Leverenz	"
13	"	Madison	Out of record
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	11:07	O'Brien	H.B. 1342
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	11:08	O'Daniels	Amendment #1
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"	Madison	Please explain amendment
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"	O'Daniels	Amendment #1 explains
"	"	Giorgi	Amendment Adopted
"	"	O'Brien	Amendment #2
"	"	O'Daniels	"
14	"	Giorgi	"

14	11:09	Lechowicz	Amendment #2
"	"	Giorgi	Amendment Adopted
"	11:10	O'Brien	Amendment #3
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"	Lechowicz	"
"	"	Giorgi	Amendment #3 Adopted
"	"	O'Brien	Amendment #4
"	"	O'Daniel	"
15	"	Totten	"
"	"	Giorgi	"
16	11:12	Lechowicz	"
"	"	Totten	Out of record
"	"	O'Brien	H.B. 2989, 2d Reading 1 Committee Amendment
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	11:13	Hanrahan	"
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"	Barnes	Hold the Bill
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	11:14	Ryan	"
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"	Barnes	"
17	11:15	Ryan	"
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"	Ryan	"
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"	O'Brien	H.B. 3093, 2d Reading No Committee Amendments

17	11:15	Giorgi	3rd Reading
"	"	O'Brien	H.B. 3094, 2d Reading No Committee Amendments
"	11:16	Duester	"
18	"	Giorgi	Go to Senate Bills 2d Reading
"	11:17	O'Brien	S.B. 16, 2d Reading Floor Amendment, No C.A.
"	"	Giorgi	
"	"	Ebbeson	Take of record, amendment amendment not distributed
"	"	Giorgi	"
"	"		Speaker Redmond in chair
"	"	O'Brien	S.B. 37 2d Reading Committee Amendment
"	11:20	O'Brien	S.B. 45, 2d Reading One Committee Amendment
19	"	Speaker Redmond	Take out
"	"	O'Brien	S.B. 45, 2d Reading One Committee Amendment
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	11:21	Kempiners	Amendment #1
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment Adopted, 3d Reading
"	"	O'Brien	H.B. 283, 2d Reading Floor No Committee Amendment
"	"	O'Brien	H.B. 285, 2d Reading Floor No Committee Amendment
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
20	"	Tuezk	Amendment #2
"	11:23	Speaker Redmond	"
"	11:24	Hanahan	Oppose
21	11:25	Speaker Redmond	"

21	11:26	Tuerk	Amendment #2
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #2 S.B. 285
"	11:28	Tuerk	explain vote
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment fails
22	"	O'Brien	Amendment 3
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	"	Tuerk	Amendment #3
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	11:30	McClain	Yeid
"	"	Tuerk	Yeid
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
23	11:32	Hanahan	Oppose
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	11:33	Turek	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	"	Geo-Karis	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
24	11:35	Stone	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #3 fails
"	"	O'Brien	Amendment #4
25	11:36	Tuerk	inquiry - Amendment #4
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	11:37	Hanahan	Oppose
26	"	Speaker	
"	11:39	Geo-Karis	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	11:41	Tuerk	To close
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4

27	11:42	Gaines	explain vote
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #4 Fails
"	11:43	Selcke	Amendment #5
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	"	Tuerk	
28	11:46	Speaker Redmond	
"	"	Shea	Yeild
29	"	Tuerk	Yeild
31	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	11:52	Sims	
"	"	Shea	
"	"	Sims	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	11:53	Downes	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
32	11:54	Hanahan	Question
"	"	Tuerk	Question
33	11:56	Hanahan	Oppose Amendment #5
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	11:59	McGrew	
"	12:01	Tuerk	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
36	12:06	Giglio	Yeild
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:07	Mautino	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
37	12:08	Tuerk	To close
38	12:10	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #5

38	12:11	McGrew	
"	12:12	Speaker Redmond	Amendment lost
"	"	Selcke	Amendment #6
39	"	Walsh	Amendment #6
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:15	Hanahan	
40	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:16	Walsh	
"	12:17	Speaker Redmond	Amendment#6 fails
"	12:18	Selcke	Amendment #7
41	"	Tuerk	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	"	Walsh	Amendment
"	12:20	Hanahan	Question
42	"	Walsh	Question
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:21	Hanahan	Parliamentary Inquiry on Germaneness
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #7
"	"	Walsh	
"	12:22	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #7 fails
"	"	Selcke	Amendment #8
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	12:23	Geo-Karis	"
43	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:25	Hanahan	
44	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:26	Geo-Karis	

44	12:27	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #8 Lost
"	"	Selcke	Amendment #9
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #9
"	"	Geo-Karis	"
45	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment Fails
"	"	Selcke	Amendment #10
"	12:28	Sims	Amendment 10
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:30	Hanahan	
46	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	"	Sims	To close
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #10 fails
"	"	Selcke	Amendment #11
"	12:31	Sims	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:32	Hanahan	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #11 Fails
47	"	Selcke	Amendment #12
"	12:32	Sims	
"	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	12:33	Hanahan	
"	"	Sims	To close
"	"	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #12 fails
"	"	Selcke	Amendment #13
"	12:34	Sims	"
48	"	Hanahan	
"	"	Sims	
"	12:35	Speaker Redmond	Amendment #13 fails

48	12:35	Selcke	Amendment #14
"	"	Speaker Redmond	"
"	"	Craig	"
49	"	Speaker Redmond	
50	12:37	Hanahan	
"	"	Sims	asks for roll call
50	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	"	Craig	
51	"	Speaker Redmond	
"	"	Hanahan	Urge no vote
52	"	Mudd	Urge no vote
"	"	Jacobs	Urge no vote
53		Speaker	
"		Craig	Asks for verification
"		Speaker	
"		Selcke	Polls absentees
"		Speaker	
"		Chapman	Vote me no
"		Speaker	
54		Bradley	Record me aye
"		Speaker	
"		Selcke	
"		Hanahan	Questions of affirmative Roll Call
"		Speaker	
"		Selcke	
"		Speaker	

57	Lundy	Could I be Verified?
	Speaker	
	Selcke	Regular Roll Call
	Miller	Can Clerk slow down?
	Speaker	
	Selcke	Continues
	Speaker	
	Kane	May I be verified?
	Speaker	
58	Selcke	Continues
	Speaker	
	Madigan	May I be verified?
	Selcke	Continues
	Speaker	
	Luft	Change aye to no
	Speaker	
	Selcke	
	Speaker	
	Mulcahey	Change to no
	Speaker	
	Gaines	No
	Speaker	
	Mautino	Record as no
	Speaker	
	McGrew	Aye
	Speaker	
	Leinenweber	Switch to no
	Speaker	

59	Willer	No
	Speaker	
	Daniels	Record me as no
	Speaker	
	Anderson	Record me as no
	Speaker	
	Duester	Record as no
	Speaker	
	Peters	Record me as no
	Speaker	
	Craig	Questions regular roll call
	Speaker	
	Fred Selcke	
	Speaker	
	Craig	
	Speaker	
61	Hudson	Change to no
	Speaker	
	McGrew	Change to no
	Speaker	
	Geo-Karis	Change to no
	Speaker	
62	Skinner	
	Speaker	
	Campbell	Change to no
	Speaker	
	Madison	
	Speaker	Dump it

62		Speaker	Amendment #14 fails
		Selcke	Amendment #15
		Walsh	
63		Speaker	
		Hanahan	
		Speaker	
		Walsh	Move to table
		Speaker	Tabled, 3d Reading
		Selcke	S.B. 248, 3 Reading 1 Committee Amendment
64		Speaker	
		Luft	Move for adoption of Amendment #1 defer to Walsh
		Speaker	Amendment Adopted
		Selcke	Amendment #2
		Walsh	
		Speaker	
		Hanahan	
65		Speaker	
		Walsh	To close
		Speaker	
		Hart	Question
		Walsh	
		Speaker	
		Walsh	
		Speaker	Amendment #2 Adopted 3rd Reading
66	1:27	O'Brien	S.B. 379, 2d Reading 1 Committee Amendment
		Speaker	

66	1:27	McPartlin	Amendment #1
		Speaker	Amendment Adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
	1:28	McPartlin	
		Speaker	
67		Schlickman	Question
		McPartlin	
		Speaker	Amendment Adopted, Stay on 2d Reading, Request for Fiscal note
		O'Brien	S.B. 283
		Speaker	
		Beatty	Amendment#1
	1:29	Speaker	Amendment Adopted, 3 Reading
68		Beatty	Wants H.B. 284 moved to 3d
		Speaker	
	1:30	Madigan	Agrees
		Speaker	H.B. 284
		Totten	Object to moving it
		Speaker	
		Telscer	
		Speaker	
	1:32	Beatty	
69		Telscer	
		Speaker	
		Telscer	
	1:33	Beatty	leave on 2d Reading
		Speaker	O.K.

69	1:33	O'Brien	S.B. 286, 2d Reading 1 Committee Amendment
70		Speaker	
		Houlihan	Amendment #1
	1:34	Speaker	Amendment Adopted
	1:35	O'Brien	S.B. 382, 2 Reading no Committee Amendment
		Speaker	3rd Reading
		O'Brien	S.B. 398, 2d Reading
		Speaker	
71		O'Brien	S.B. 417, 2d Reading no Committee Amendment
		Speaker	3d Reading
		O'Brien	S.B. 418, 2d Reading no Committee Amendment
		Speaker	Take Out
	1:36	O'Brien	S.B. 438, 2d Reading No Committee Amendment
		Speaker	Third
		Speaker	
		Jones, E.	Should be Mudd Sponsor Take out
		O'Brien	S.B. 463, 2d Reading 1 Committee Amendment
72		Tipsword	Leave on 2d reading
		O'Brien	Out of record
		Speaker	
		O'Brien	S.B. 468, 2d Reading 4 Committee Amendments
		Speaker	
		Stone	should be held
		Speaker	
		Keller	

72		Speaker	
		O'Brien	S.B. 470, 2d Reading 1 committee amendment
		Speaker	
		Leinenweber	Amendment #1
73		Speaker	Amendment #1 Adopt, 3 Reading
		O'Brien	S.B. 486, 2d Reading 2 Committee Amendments
		Houilhan, D.	Amendment 1
		Speaker	Amendment #1 Adopt
	1:42	O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker	
		Houlihan, D.L.	
		Speaker	Amendment #2 Adopted, 3d Reading
74		O'Brien	S.B. 525, 2d Reading, No Committee Amendment, Floor Amendment
	1:43	Speaker	
		Barnes	
		Speaker	
	1:44	McClain	Amendment #1
		Speaker	
75	1:45	Barnes	
		Speaker	
76	1:48	Geo-Karis	Question
77		McClain	
		Speaker	
	1:51	MacDonald	Question
		McClain	
78	1:52	Barnes	
		Speaker	Amendment #1

78	1:53	Grotberg	Will you take it out
	1:54	Speaker	Amendment lost, 3 Reading
		O'Brien	S.B. 610 2 Reading 1 Committee Amendment
79	1:55	Schunneman	#1 be handled by Downs, wants #2
		Speaker	Amendment #1 Adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker	Amendment #2 Adopted
		O'Brien	Committee Reports
80	1:57	Giorgi	In Chair
	1:58	O'Brien	H.B. 3095, 2d Reading, 1 Committee Amendment
		Giorgi	Take out
		O'Brien	H.B. 3096, 2 Reading, 1 Committee Amendment
		Giorgi	
	1:59	Peters	
		Giorgi	
	2:00	Williams	Hold it
81		Giorgi	
		O'Brien	H.B. 3098, 2d Reading No C.A.
		Giorgi	Third
		O'Brien	H.B. 3099, 2d Reading No C.A.
		Giorgi	
	2:01	Peters	
		Giorgi	
		Barnes	
		Giorgi	
		Schlickman	No copies distributed

82	2:01	Giorgi	Speaker said they were
	2:02	Downe	
		Giorgi	3d Reading H.B. 3099
83	2:05	O'Brien	H.B. 3035
		?	
		Giorgi	
		O'Brien	H.B. 3037, 3d Reading
		Giorgi	
		Palmer	Take out of record
84	2:07	O'Brien	H.B. 3038, 3rd Reading
		Giorgi	
		Schillickman	
		Giorgi	
	2:08	O'Brien	H.B. 3040, 3 reading
		Giorgi	
		Peters	Take out of record
85		Ryan	Are you calling Appropriation Bills?
		Giorgi	
		Ryan	
		O'Brien	H.B. 3042, 3d Reading
	2:10	Leon	
86		Ryan	
		Giorgi	
	2:12	Hill	
87		Byers	
		Ryan	
	2:13	Giorgi	
		Leon	

87	2:13	Giorgi	
88	2:15	Ryan	To close
		Giorgi	
	2:16	Byers	
		Giorgi	
		Ryan	Explain Vote
	2:17	Giorgi	Dump to roll call
		Ryan	
89	2:20	Hoffman, R	Speaks for
		Giorgi	
		Dunn, J	
90		Giorgi	
	2:21	Byers	wants to verify roll call at proper time
	2:22	Fredrichs	For
		Giorgi	
91		Byers	Verify roll call
		Ryan	Poll absentees
	2:23	Hill	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Ryan	
		Tuerk	
92	2:24	Giorgi	
		Ryan	
		Giorgi	
		Molloy	Committee Bill came out 16-0
		Giorgi	
		Hill	
		Leon	
	2:26	McGrew	

92	2:26	Giorgi	Do you persist, etc.
		Byers	Yes
		Geo-Karis	Can I be verified?
93		O'Brien	Poll absentees
		Giorgi	Londrigan & Luft vote aye
		O'Brien	continues
		Giorgi	
		Beatty	
		Giorgi	
94		McGrew	Point of inquiry
		Giorgi	
		Byers	What's the count?
		O'Brien	
		Giorgi	
95		Byers	Withdraws
		Giorgi	
		O'Brien	
		Giorgi	H.B. 3042 passed
		O'Brien	H.B. 3043, 3d Reading
		Giorgi	
		Leon	Take out
		Giorgi	Take out
		O'Brien	3046, 3d reading
		Giorgi	
		Molloy	
		Giorgi	H.B. 3046 passed
96		O'Brien	H.B. 3047, 3d reading
		Giorgi	

96	Duester	
	Giorgi	
	Palmer	Yield?
97	Duester	
	Giorgi	
	Sangmeister	Yield
	Duester	
98	Giorgi	H.B. 3047 passed
	O'Brien	H.B. 3045, 3rd Reading
	Giorgi	
	Leon	
99	Giorgi	
	Madison	Yield
	Leon	
100	Giorgi	H.B. 3045 passed
	O'Brien	H.B. 3051
	Giorgi	
101	Kozubowski	
	Giorgi	
	Houlihan, J.	Question
	Kozubowski	
102	Giorgi	
	Pierce	Yield?
	Kozubowski	
	Giorgi	
	Tip sword	
	Kozubowski	
	Giorgi	

103

Tipsword
Giorgi
Kozubowski
Giorgi
Matijevich
Giorgi
Tipsword

104

Giorgi
Matijevich
Giorgi
Byers
Giorgi

Kozubowski

To close

Giorgi

Kozubowski

Explain vote

Tipsword

Explain vote

105

Giorgi

McGrew

Giorgi

Byers

Explain vote

Giorgi

106

Pierce

107

Giorgi

Matijevich

108

Giorgi

Cunningham

Giorgi

Walsh

Giorgi

McGrew

Recognized for verification

108	3:01	Willer	Question
109		Giorgi	H.B. 3051 passed
		McGrew	withdraw verification
	3:04	Kozubowski	
	3:05	Laurino	Withdraw
		O'Brien	H.B. 3052, 3rd Reading
		Kozubowski	Move back to 2d Reading for Amendment
		O'Brien	Amendment #1
110		Kozubowski	
		Giorgi	
	3:07	Houlihan, J.	
		Kozubowski	
		Giorgi	
		Matijevec	
111		Kozubowski	
		Matijevec	Support Amendment
		Giorgi	
	3:08	Madison	
		Kozubowski	Explain Amendment
		Giorgi	
	3:09	Houlihan, J.	Question
		Kozubowski	
		Giorgi	
	3:10	Pierce	
112		Giorgi	
		Madison	Yield?
	3:11	Kozubowski	
		Giorgi	

112	3:11	Tipsword	
113		Giorgi	
	3:12	Houlihan	
		Giorgi	Amendment Adopted 3 Reading
		O'Brien	H.B. 3053, 3d Reading
		Giorgi	
		Fennessey	Bring back to 2d Reading for Amendment
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Fennessey	
	3:13	Giorgi	
		Collins	
		Fennessey	
114		Giorgi	Amendment Adopted 3d Reading
	3:15	O'Brien	H.J.R. 63
		Giorgi	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Giorgi	
		Madigan	Leave for Speaker's Table for H.J.R. 63
		Giorgi	
	3:16	Madigan	Move House to to order of Speaker's Table
115		Giorgi	
		Collins	
		Madigan	
		O'Brien	
		Giorgi	
		Schlickman	
		Giorgi	

115	3:18	Downs	
		Giorgi	
116		Byers	
		Giorgi	
	3:20	Mugalian	
		Giorgi	
		Mudd	
		Madison	
117		Giorgi	
	3:21	Schlickman	
		Giorgi	
		Matijevich	
		Giorgi	Are there objections to Reading Resolution
	3:22	Madigan	
		Giorgi	
	3:23	O'Brien	Reads resolution
118		Giorgi	
	3:27	Peters	Apologizes for Schlickman
119		Giorgi	
		Mann	
		Matijevich	Point of order
		Giorgi	
		Peters	
		Giorgi	
		Mann	
		Giorgi	
	3:28	Madigan	

120	3:28	Giorgi Barnes, E.	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Giorgi	
	3:29	Madigan Giorgi Houlihan, J. Giorgi	
		Matijevich Giorgi	
	3:30	Schneider Giorgi	
121		Washburn	Ask for aye vote to suspend rule
		Giorgi Washington	Distribute resolution
		Giorgi	
	3:31	Madison Giorgi	
	3:32	Houlihan, J. Giorgi	
122		Shea	Move to have lie on table
		Giorgi	
	3:33	Beaupre Giorgi Bradley Giorgi	
	3:35	Madigan	5 or 10 minutes to reproduce resolution

125	3:35	Giorgi	
126		Schlickman	
		Giorgi	
		Ryan	
		Giorgi	
		Miller	
		Giorgi	
	3:36	Matijeovich	Speak to the motion
		Giorgi	
		Bradley	
		Giorgi	
124	3:38	Matijeovich	
		Giorgi	
	3:39	O'Brien	H.B. 3056
		Giorgi	
		Jaffe	
		Giorgi	
	3:40	Pierce	
125		Giorgi	
126		Houlihan, D.	
		Jaffe	
		Giorgi	
		Jaffe	To close
		Giorgi	H.B. 3055
	3:43	Jaffe	
127		George	
	3:45	Dyer	Explain vote
128		Giorgi	

128	3:45	Jaffe	Postponed consideration
		Giorgi	
		Leon	
	3:47	Giorgi	Motion to suspend rules passed
	3:48	Selcke	H.B. 3056 3d Reading
129		Fennessey	Out of record
		Giorgi	
		Kempiners	Yield
		Fennessey	
	3:50	Kempiners	Speak on Bill - yes
		Giorgi	H.B. 3057 Passed
130		Giorgi	H.J.R. 63
		Madigan	Motion to suspend rules
		Giorgi	
	3:52	Bradley	
131		Giorgi	
	3:54	Madison	Point of order
		Bradley	Oppose motion
		Giorgi	
	3:55	Lundy	
132		Giorgi	
	3:58	Washington	
133		Giorgi	
	3:59	Walsh	Urge aye vote
134		Giorgi	
	4:01	Houlihan, J.	
		Giorgi	

134	4:03	Matijevich	Support motion
136		Giorgi	
	4:07	Simms	
		Giorgi	
	4:08	Byers	
		Giorgi	
		Simms	
137		Giorgi	
		Beaupre	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Giorgi	
		Madigan	Have prepared resolution, etc
	4:09	Taylor	Move previous question
		Giorgi	
		Beaupre	
	4:10	Madigan	
			Mass confusion-go to tape
138	4:11	Washington	
		Giorgi	Motion carries
139	4:13	Madigan	Motion to suspend rules
		Giorgi	
		Houlihan, J.	To explain vote, I ask verification at proper time
140		Giorgi	
		Madison	
		Giorgi	
	4:15	Davis	Explain vote
		Giorgi	
		Houlihan, J.	Point of order
		Davis	

141	4:15	Giorgi	
	4:17	Taylor	
		Giorgi	
	4:18	Totten	Explain aye vote
142		Giorgi	
		Byers	
		Giorgi	
		Huff	Explain vote
		Giorgi	
		Ryan	Explain vote
143		Giorgi	
		Terzich	
		Giorgi	
		Hudson	Explain aye vote
		Giorgi	
		Boyle	
		Giorgi	
		Duff	
		Giorgi	
		Madigan	Poll the absentees
		Giorgi	
		Selcke	
144		Giorgi	
		Selcke	
		Giorgi	
		Stubblefield	Record me no
		Selcke	Continues
		Giorgi	

144	4:18	Schissler	Change to no
		Giorgi	Proceed with affirmative Roll Call
		Selcke	
145		Giorgi	
		Duff	Explain vote
		Giorgi	
146		Houlihan, J.	
		Giorgi	Barnes change to no
		Selcke	Continues
		Giorgi	
		Mann	
		Giorgi	
		Grotberg	
		Giorgi	
		Houlihan, Jr.	
		Mann	Asking to be verified
		Giorgi	
147		Sims	
		Giorgi	
		Duff	
		Giorgi	Proceed with verification
		Selcke	
		Giorgi	
		Houlihan, J.	
		Selcke	
		Houlihan	Questions official roll call
150		Giorgi	
		Madigan	

150	Houlihan	
	Madigan	Motion to suspend rules be withdrawn-assigned to committee
	Giorgi	
	Matijevich	Ask leave posting rules be waived
	Giorgi	
	Houlihan	Parliamentary Inquiry
151	Giorgi	
	Shea	
	Giorgi	
	Houlihan, J.	
	Giorgi	
	Matijevich	
	Giorgi	
	McClain	
	Giorgi	
152	Matijevich	Renews motion
	Giorgi	
	Schrader	Point of order
153	Houlihan, J.	Point of Personal Privilege
	Giorgi	
	McClain	Rule 31-F
	Giorgi	
	Tipsword	
	Giorgi	
	Matijevich	
	Giorgi	
	Shea	

153	Giorgi	
	Fennessey	Announcements
154	Giorgi	
	Duff	
	Giorgi	
	Lechowicz	Move to suspend Rule 18
155	Giorgi	Leave
	Ryan	
	Lechowicz	
	Giorgi	
	Totten	
156	Giorgi	
	Boyle	
	Giorgi	
	Boyle	
	Giorgi	
	Ryan	Question
	Boyle	
157	Giorgi	Want to withdraw 835
	Ryan	
	Boyle	
	Giorgi	Leave
	Duff	
	Giorgi	
	Ryan	
	Giorgi	
	Boyle	All I want to do is post

157	Giorgi	
	Ryan	
	Giorgi	
	Boyle	Will take 835 out
	Giorgi	Leave
158	Fary	
	Giorgi	
	O'Brien	H.J.R. 64
	Giorgi	
	Shea	Move for adoption
	Giorgi	Adopt
	Shea	Move stand in recess for 5 minutes
159	Giorgi	House in recess for 5 minutes
	O'Brien	Committee Reports, etc. House Stands adjourned