

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

EIGHTY-THIRD LEGISLATIVE DAY

JUNE 6, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the floor will you please retire to the gallery? All those not entitled to the floor will you please retire to the gallery? All those not entitled to the floor will you please retire to the gallery?"

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order and the Members will please be in their seats. We will be lead in prayer this morning by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplain."

Krueger: "In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Goast. Amen. O Lord, bless this House to Thy service this day. Amen. Oliver Wendell Holmes once commented 'talking is like playing the harp, there is as much in as laying the hands on the strings to stop their vibrations as in twanging them to bring out their music'. Let us pray. O God, the Lord of all righteousness, mercy and love, give unto the Members of this House of Representatives all grace and strength to conceive and execute whatever may be for Thy honor and the welfare of this State that we may become, at last, through the merits and the session of our common redeeamor a great and a happy because a wise and an understanding people to Thy honor and glory. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Consent Calendar's Second Reading. Second Day."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 322. A Bill for an Act in relation to the designation of abandoned cemeteries as nature preserves. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 444. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 451. A Bill for an Act to amend the Law Enforcement Officers and Firemen's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 559. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 560. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 655. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for distribution of township funds. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 656. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide into law in relation to construction... construction of the statutes. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 659. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second



Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 677. A Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 668. A Bill for an Act to amend the Highway Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 688. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Public Utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 608. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 841. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 852. A Bill for an Act creating State Property Insurance Study Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 865. A Bill for an Act concerning Public Utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 881. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the creation of Forest Preserve District. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 916. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to define the powers of members of police forces established and maintained by Boards of Park Commissioners. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 943. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide into law in relation to divorce. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 948. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 946. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Senate Bill 947. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Senate Bill 951. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 984. A Bill for an Act to amend the County Problems Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1004. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise into law in relation to township organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1108. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1146. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1158. A Bill for an Act to provide for the creation of management of forest preserves districts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1282. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1377. A Bill for an Act to revise into law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1387. A Bill for an Act to amend



the Non-Profit Hospital Service Plan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1447. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Services Plan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1460. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. ...Bills' Second Reading. Senate Bill 8, Second Reading now. Any Amendments on that?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 8. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second...out of the record, Jerry Shea wants..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. 56. Hold that one for an Amendment. 73."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 73. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the adoption of persons. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea. Representative Shea."

Shea: "That was on the order of Third Reading, it was taken back at the request of the sponsor and asked to be held until I got an Amendment for it yesterday."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, we'll pass that one again. Pass 98. 155. Is Representative McMaster on the floor? 162."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 162. A Bill for an Act creating the Illinois Metropolitan Financing Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I do have an Amendment coming that I agreed to put on with Representative Tipsword, but if he has no objections, I'll move that Bill to Third with the assurance that it will be taken back."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Shea: "Mr. Tipsword, this is my 162, that financing vehicle, and I'd like to move it to Third with the assurance that I'll bring it back and put the Amendment on when I get it from the Treasurer's office."

Tipsword: "Thank you very much, I understand that Amendment has been prepared, but I haven't seen it yet either."

Shea: "I haven't either so do you have any objections..."

Tipsword: "...no sir..."

Shea: "...if I move it to Third then?"

Tipsword: "None at all."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to impose upon the Members and violate a rule which we adopted the other day to introduce two former State Senators from Chicago in the gallery behind the Speaker's podium. Former Senate Eddie Sweeney, stand up Eddie, and former Senate Phil Carey."

Speaker Redmond: "Senator Sweeney is famous because he was the only man in the General Assembly that was shorter than the present Speaker. 173."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 173. A Bill for an Act to revise into law in relation to animal fighting. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there any Amendments on that? Pass that, there's an Amendment. 184."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 184. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to compensation for Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, that's Representative Ebbesen's Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Right."

Terzich: "He's not here and I do have an Amendment on that and I'd appreciate it if you would take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 214."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 214. A Bill for an Act to recodify the Probate Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 220."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 220. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 221."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 221. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal



Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 222."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 2...there's an Amendment on that Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "There's an Amendment on that Bill, we'll take that out...we'll pass that. Representative Hoffman, R. J., is he on the floor? 222."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 222. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 222 on page 1, line 1, by adding immediately after the phrase 'to amend Section 3-6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would you please table that Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman...it's your Amendment, is that correct?" Representative Hoffman."

Schlickman: "Yes sir."

Hoffman: "Yes Mr. Speaker, under the agreement on this, he is tabling this Amendment and it was taken off the Consent Calendar for that purpose and I would ask for leave of the person taking that Bill off the Consent Calendar and ask to have it put...er, return it to the Consent Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "You'll waste more time doing it that way than just to let it go to Third Reading. Amendment #1 is tabled. Any objections? Third Reading. 234."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 234. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmens' Occupational Disease Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 235."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 235. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmens' Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No



Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 290."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 290. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Judicial Inquiry Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 304."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 304. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Illinois State Board of Investments. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 183. Representative Sangmeister is in the chamber now. Representative Sangmeister on 183."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 183. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "183."

Jack O'Brien: "Amends Senate Bill 183 on page 1, line 1, by deleting Section and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Yeh, well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the Retail Theft Act and it came into our Judiciary Committee and was put into a Subcommittee chaired by Representative Getty. A...the Bill has been worked over very well and these are the Committee Amendments which I'll be happy to discuss with anybody that would want them, but I would move for their adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "How many are there?"

Jack O'Brien: "One."

Sangmeister: "There's only one."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 183. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amend-



ments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 305."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 305. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 336."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 336. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to counties. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos on the floor? Take that one out of the record. 34...342."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 342. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Institute of Environmental Quality. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 345, there's an Amendment. Representative Taylor on the floor? 345."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 345. Taylor. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Pollution Control Board. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 345 on page 1 by deleting line 24 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 345. It's an agreed Amendment, it does not increase the appropriation at all, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 345. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 355."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 355. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. There's an Amendment on that."

Speaker Redmond: "There's an Amendment on that. Is Representative Keller here? Take that one out of the record. 406."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 406. There's an Amendment on that."

Speaker Redmond: "There's an Amendment on that one. 419. There's an Amendment on that. Representative Matijeich on the floor? 419."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 419. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 419, as amended, on page 1 by deleting lines 18, 19, and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijeich."

Matijeich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Committee Amendment worked out by the Department of Conservation. The Bill has to do with half fees for senior citizens and this Amendment would..would a...was a...given to us so that a whole group of people under...a...62 years old accompanying a person wouldn't get the one-half fee. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 419."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Senate....of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 419. All in favor say aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 442."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 442. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 447."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 447. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 448."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 448. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Tipword? 459."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 459. Tipword. A Bill for an Act to amend the Health and Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 459 on page 1 by inserting immediately after 27, the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "This...this a...the Amendment to 459 removes from the application of this Bill those who are employed as licensed medical personnel by any employer so that the good samaritan aspects of this Act do not apply to those who are...are medical personnel employed by an employee...a...so that they would not receive the benefits of this if they were negligent in their administrations to any injured employee. I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 459. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments? Any further Amendments Mr. Clerk? Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 479."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 479. Laurino. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 485."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 485. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Brummet on the floor?
501."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 501. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 501 on page 1, line 1 and 6 by deleting Section 7-171 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brummet."

Brummet: "This was on the Consent Calendar, I thought, wasn't it?"

Speaker Redmond: "It was removed from the Consent Calendar."

Brummet: "Oh was it? Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 501. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 506."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 506. A Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act."

Speaker Redmond: "A fiscal note has not yet been filed, we'll have to hold this one. 514."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 514. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Teachers' Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 524."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 524. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of State Universities Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 541."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 541. A Bill for an Act to amend the School



Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 543. Representative McClain on the floor? 558, Representative Epton? 561."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 561. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 562."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 562. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 596, Representative Terzich. Representative Terzich on the floor? 596."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 596. Terzich."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich, do you want to have the Amendment to 596?"

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 596 on page 1, line 1 and 8, by deleting 14-135 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "That's Gene Hoffman's?..."

Terzich: "A...this Amendment simply...a...approves entry into the Pension Plan. It's changed annually and it just changes the date from 1975 to January of 1976."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 596. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 617. Representative Beaupre."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 617. A Bill for an Act to regulate the employment of children. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee



Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 617 on page 1, by deleting line 19 through 21 and inserting in lieu thereof..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre on the floor? We'll have to take that out of the record. 626."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 626. A Bill for an Act to require State agencies to pay fees for services rendered by County Recorders and Registrars of Titles. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 636."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 636. A Bill for an Act to direct the transfer of money between funds in the State's Treasury. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 638."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 638. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 639."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 639. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment...Amendment #1. Walsh. Amends Senate Bill 639 on page 1 by deleting lines 8 and 9 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an Amendment which was considered in the Judiciary II Committee and amends the Bill so that the penalty is a Class IV misdemeanor on the first offense of eavesdropping and a Class II on the second offense and I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1



to Senate Bill 639. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 640."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 640. Walsh. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1 was withdrawn in Committee. Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 640 on page 1 by deleting lines 15 and 16 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, again this is a Committee Amendment and one that the Judiciary II Committee thought better than the language in the Bill. It provides for a frequency of notifying...a...a...the States Attorney and then the person eavesdropped upon and eavesdropping is discovered and I move the adoption of the Committee Amendment. And how about 638 Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "We already moved that one."

Walsh: "Well it had an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Did that have an Amendment?"

Walsh: "Yes it did. Could we move the adoption of this one while..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 640. Is that correct? Amendment #2?"

Walsh: "Yes sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Amendment #1 was the Committee Amendment?"

Walsh: "A..Amendment...Amendment...a...a...#1 was a Committee...was killed in the Committee-...a...yes."

Shea: "What does #2 do?"

Walsh: "Well #2 is what I described. It sets the...a...and it also is a Committee Amendment. It...a...a...provides for a sequence in notifying the...a...either the States Attorney or the subscriber in the case of eavesdropping being discovered."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 640. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no."



The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 638."

Jack O'Brien: "On Senate Bill 638, there's a note that Amendment #1 was withdrawn, there is no Amendment #2 included, but it indicates next Amendment is #3, so there must be Amendment #2 missing somewhere."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "There is an Amendment #2 that should be there and I suppose we can...well, if you'd advance...well, it doesn't matter, leave it on Second Reading and we'll get the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it...return it from the order of Third Reading to the order of Second Reading and we'll leave this in the record there. 642. Representative Willer on the floor? Take that out of the record. 651."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 651. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees to the General Assembly Retirement System."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan. Representative Madigan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, again we have two other former Senators with us on the floor. Behind me on the Democratic side is former House Member and Senator Bill Snananger from the North Side of Chicago and standing next to Jim Houlihan, former Senator Dan O'Brien from the North Side of Chicago."

Speaker Redmond: "651."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 651. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the Board of Trustees of the General Assembly Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill, No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 676."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 676. A Bill for an Act to amend the County Executive Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 694."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 694. A Bill for an Act to amend...A Bill for an Act to amend the Electric Suppliers Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 693."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 693. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. There's a fiscal note."

Speaker Redmond: "A fiscal note has been requested on that, Representative Schisler, were you aware of that? Oh, is that Dan Houlihan? We'll have to hold that on Second Reading. 693. Or was it 693 the one with the fiscal note? 694 was listed here out of order. 698."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 698. A Bill for an Act to repeal an Act to provide for the establishment, maintenance, and operation of the Illinois Telecommunications Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 703."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 703."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. ~~709~~."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 709. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 727."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 727. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the Conservation Soil and Water Resources. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 740."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 740. A Bill for an Act in relation to the establishment of public community colleges. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 749."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 749. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the practice of dental surgery and dentistry in the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washburn. Take it out of the record. 778."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 778. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 783. G. L. Hoffman, 783."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 783. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise into law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 783 in line 9 and 10 by deleting 450,000 and inserting in lieu thereof 3,000,000 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative G. L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...a...Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 783 is the Amendment which was adopted in Committee which returned Senate Bill 783 into the same condition that House Bill 1935 was when it passed the House, which...a...it changes...it eliminates the classification of counties to relative to increasing the ceiling from one cent to two cent for the conduct of elections of counties which do not have...a...a...election commissions. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 783. Any discussion? All those in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 789."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 789. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No



Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 790."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 790. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 792."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 792. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 793."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 793. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 794."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 794. A Bill for an Act to amend the Chicago Sanitary District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 796."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 796. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "797."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 797. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 798."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 798. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 824. Representative McClain on the floor? Pass that one. 845."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 845. A Bill for an Act to amend the Personnel Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 859."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 859. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "885."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 885. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 894."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 894. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 900."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 900. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise into law in relation to Clerks of Court. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."



Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 904."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 904. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 932."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 932. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise into law in relation to counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "No additional Amendments on that, is that correct?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 942. Senate Bill 942. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate the employment of children. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 944."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 944. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 945."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 945. A Bill for an Act...Campbell...A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 94...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House...."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold it...hold it."

Campbell: "This simply changes the wording from..."

Speaker Redmond: "...hold it."

Campbell: "...regular and asks...."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. It appears that the Amendment that we have is the wrong Amendment."

Campbell: "Take 946 out of the record too, Mr. Speaker."



Speaker Redmond: "I don't have 946. It's on the Consent Calendar. 953? Do you want that out of the record? Take 945 out of the record. 953 out of the record, is that correct? Representative Campbell, do you want 53 out? 968. There is no Amendment on 53, do you want.....953."

Campbell: "I haven't caught up with all my Bills here."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 953. A Bill for an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 968."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 968. A Bill for an Act relating to the use of human tissues, blood, and organs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 983."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 983. A Bill for an Act to amend the Court Reporters Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 985."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 985. A Bill for an Act to amend the Court Reporters Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 986."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 986. A Bill for an Act in relation to the taxation of mobile homes. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1005."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1005. A Bill for an Act in relation to medical payments by the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1065."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1065. A Bill for an Act to provide for the perpetration of land survey monuments. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1085."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1085. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1090."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1090. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1105."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1105. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Amend Senate Bill 1105 on page 1, by inserting between line 19 and 20 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "G.L. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1105 was an agreement made in Committee that ah.. I would offer this Amendment ah.. on Second Reading and ah.. this Amendment provides that if the Director of Finance or the ah.. Comptroller designates someone represent then on the State Employees Retirement System ah.. Board, that the person they designate will ah.. be one individual as opposed to ah.. just having an open ended designation and send anybody they want. The idea was to have some ah... consistency in representation. I think this is a reasonable Amendment and I would move for its adoption."



Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1105. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and all opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1106."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1106. A Bill for an Act relating to state employee compensation claims. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1112."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1112. A Bill for an Act relating to state motor vehicle insurance. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Merlo. No Amendments, pardon me. Third Reading. 1122."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1122. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1147."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1147. A Bill for an Act to revise the law in relation to support of spouses and dependent children. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1160."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1160. A Bill for an Act to amend the Coal Mining Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1181."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1181. A Bill for an Act making appropriations



for the painting of the portrait of the President of the Senate, Cecil Partee. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1..... Representative Ryan. Third Reading. 1186."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1186. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act compelling gas companies to pay interest on deposits made by parties at the request of such companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1184."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1184. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to authorize for a period of time public corporations to issue bonds. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1259."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1259. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1293."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1293...."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative D'Arco on the floor? D'Arco? 1301. Take that one out of the record. No, take 1293 out. 1301."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1301. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1381."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1381. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois



Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1381 on page 1, line 12 by inserting after the word 'inhabitant' the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Neff."

Neff: "Ah.. Ah... Mr. Speaker, this is a Committee Amendment asked for by the Committee and all this does is ah.... automatic repeal in two years. And I recommend its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1381. All in favor indic..... Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "This Bill, in its amended form, is it now identical to a House Bill previously passed?"

Neff: "Gene, it's very close to it, but not identical. The other Bill ah... that we did pass ah.. with 250 population to incorporate and this is 200. This came about by ah... we had a little river on the ah...."

Schlickman: "I'm sorry. Ah.. that does it. I don't want to go any further now."

Neff: "This moves it to 200 instead of 250."

Schlickman: "O'kay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1381. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. 1395. Ah... Third Reading on that one."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1395. A Bill for an Act relating to certain advertising. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 56."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 56, Keller. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"



Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Hart. Amends Senate Bill 56 on page 1, line 1 and 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment ah.. picks up two widows ah.. of state policemen ah.. who were not being paid. Ah.. the Attorney General has ruled that ah.. the provisions of the law would apply to these, but the Pension Laws Commission has not paid them so this would incorporate this in this Bill. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and opposed 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 73, Representative Greiman. Are you ready on that one. Representative Hart."

Hart: "I have the Amendment on this Bill too and it's filed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman, 73. Will you read the Amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 73, ah..."

Speaker Redmond: "Just a moment, please. The T.V. has requested permission to take silent films. The permission is granted ah.. so act accordingly."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 73. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation of the adoption of persons. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond; "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1, Hart. Amend Senate Bill 73 on page 5, line 16 by inserting immediately after the word 'back' the following."

Hart: "This Amendment cleans up the language in one of the concent forms in the Adoption Act, which has been a problem..."

Speaker Redmond: "Would you give the Gentleman attention, please?"

Hart: "In one of the concent forms in the Adoption Act, which was passed ah.. in the last Session; there was conflicting language in the concent of the unborn child by the father. And this merely cleans up



the language in that concert. I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 73. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Is Representative Porter in the hall? Is Representative McClain in the hall? Representative Maragos? Representative Laurino? Representative Epton? Representative Beaupre? Beaupre? 617. Take that one out. Representative Lundy on 703. Is the Amendment ready on that? Take it out? The order of business is Concert Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day. I've been advised by the Clerk that ah.. the Concert Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day should include those ah.. listed under Third Reading, Second Day on page 19. There's a mistake in the printing. Instead of Second Day there, it should be Third Day. So Concert Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day begins on Senate Bill 197."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 197. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 243. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 313. A Bill for an Act relating to transfer of functions of the State Housing Board of the Department of Local Government Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 445. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 532. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 544. A Bill for an Act to amend the Coal Mining Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 566. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 650. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 319. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 319. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 439. A Bill for an



Act to amend the Credit Union Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 440. A Bill for an Act to amend the Credit Union Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 441. A Bill for an Act to amend the Credit Union Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "This will be final action on those Bills. And the question is shall these Bills pass. All those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Tipsword. Concent Calendar, Third Reading, Third Day."

Tipsword: "O'kay."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison votes 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, where did this ah... or what number did this start with?"

Speaker Redmond: "197."

Daniels: "197, that's where it starts. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question ah... there's 134 'aye' and 'nay' and the Bills having received the constitutional majority are hereby declared passed. The order of business is First Reading and Introduction. Representative Shea."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3060, Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 5 of an Act making an appropriation to the Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Ya, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an Amendment to the 1975 appropriation to the Secretary of State. And what it does is ah.. there is \$2,200,000 for payment of phase 3 work. The Amendment would make it for phase 2 and phase 3 work. The Attorney General and the Secretary of State are now ah.. defendents ah... or the Secretary of State is a defendent in a law suit ah.. defended by the Attorney General. And what they want to do is make this phase 2 and phase 3 money ah... deposit the money with the court and let the court make the payments under court order. I've cleared this with both sides.... ah.... with the Republican Leadership and the Republican Appropriations Staff and I would ask that this Bill



be put on the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee.

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved to advance 3060 to the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, could the Gentleman explain the content of 3060? I'm sorry, ah.. I might have missed it. I was in conversation."

Shea: "Would you like for me to go through it again, Jim?"

Houlihan: "Yes, I'm very sorry, Jerry, to have missed your explanation."

Shea: "The Attorney General represents the Secretary of State in a law suit. In the 1975 appropriation for phase 3 work on the Capitol, there is an item of \$2,200,000. This would change it for phase 2 and phase 3 ah.. that same money, allowing the Attorney General on behalf of the Secretary of State to make a deposit with the court and let the court ah.. pay off the wavers ah.. etc. rather than have the ah.. Secretary of State or the Attorney General try to determine who has money coming from the phase of the work."

Houlihan: "Representative Shea, wasn't ah.. that leave given to post that for Monday in the Appropriations Meeting?"

Shea: "I got a call from Mr. Norbert Johnson of the Secretary of State's Office asking me if I couldn't speed this along. It's got ah... if it's going to be done, it's going to be done and be law by June 15th.."

Houlihan: "You've checked this with the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee?"

Shea: "Yes, I have."

Speaker Redmond: "The motion is that House Bill 3060 be advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. All those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Lechowicz. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 117 'aye' and 1 'n' and the motion carried. 3060 will be advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to Committee. I'd like to make an announcement. In response to the ah.. suggestion of one of the House Members yesterday ah.. I did go to the Senate and made inquiry as the procedure on ah.. posting and giving of notice of House Bills that are



in Senate Committees. And I found out that part of the problem is that because ah.. the Senate received about 1200 Bills and had but two weeks to hear them, they suspended their six day notice provision. So some of the notices were probably tardy in getting there. So I arranged to have the Senate give the Speaker ah.. the Speaker Office a copy of all notices for posting. And I said that I would see that all of the House Members would be notified. Now there's a hearing in the Senate Judiciary Committee today, June 6th.... Representative Shea.

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, there's a Gentleman in the gallery taking movies ah.. movie pictures ah.. which I have no objection to if the House knows that they are being taken. Did he get permission....."

Speaker Redmond: "He did and I announced it to the House and ah..."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "To continue ah.... there is a Senate Judiciary Committee Meeting today at 2:30. I have put a memo on the desk of every House Member that has a Bill in that Committee. They're as follows: Representative Kosinski, Duff, Washington, Peters, Hudson, Jaffe, Mugalian, Daniels, Hart, Yourell, DiPrima, Grotberg, Holewinski, Chapman, Catania, McClain, Skinner, Ewell, Getty, Coffee, Huff, Kosinski, Sangmeister, Porter, Berman, Marovitz, Getty, Hirschfeld, Katz, Porter, G.L. Hoffman. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what I have been doing is going over to the Senate every day to get a Calendar. May I suggest to the Speaker ah.. that maybe one Calendar could be at the Clerk's desk for the Senate Daily and then we"

Speaker Redmond: "There always is one."

Geo-Karis: "There is? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, in reference to this list; House Bill 1470 shown on the list will be postponed until Tuesday, June 10th."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I just wanted to be sure that the House Members received a notice because of the waver of the six day rule ah.. there's a little crunch on that and I will ah.... when the Senate gives me ah.. I will do the same thing everyday. The order of business, House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 1360, Representative Kozubowski."



Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1360. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. Five Committee Amendments. Amendment #1, amends House Bill 1360 on page 5 by deleting line 35."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is merely a language change and a technical Amendment and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1360. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill.... House Bill 1360 as amended, by striking all of Section 1 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #2 is a Committee Amendment agreed to by the Department of Law Enforcement. It authorizes a reduction of \$2,495,000 from their departmental budget and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1360. All in favor say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3, amends House Bill 1360, as amended, by deleting and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 is also a Committee Amendment. It increases funding for the juvenile officers record information file by \$17,500 and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1360. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adoption. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4, amends House Bill 1360 as amended, by



striking all of Section 3 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 was offered by Chairman Boyle. It would authorize the hiring of an additional 200 state troopers. The Sponsor of the Bill has no objection to this Amendment and I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He will."

Ryan: "Who is the Sponsor of this Amendment, Representative Kozubowski?"

Kozubowski: "Representative Boyle."

Ryan: "Representative Boyle ah.. is he on the floor?"

Speaker Redmond: "I don't see him."

Ryan: "Can you answer some questions on this ah...?"

Kozubowski: "I'll try to."

Ryan: "Can you tell me what the ah.. legal requirements are for the ah.. for increasing the ah... what's the maximum number of troopers that can be employed now?"

Kozubowski: "I'm sorry, I can't give you that answer."

Ryan: "Well, do you know if there's a statute ah...if there's a limitation on the number ah.. by statute?"

Kozubowski: "I believe there is, but I cannot give you that exact figure."

Ryan: "What authority then ah.. does this come with ah.. to add 200 troopers?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much ah.. Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the questions that are being asked on this Amendment ah.. may I have a little order, Mr. Speaker? The questions that are being asked on this Amendment was asked and answered in the Appropriations Committee Division II."

Speaker Redmond: "For what purpose does Senator Vadalabene enter the Chamber?"

Barnes: "Getting back to the serious side of business.... the ah... answer to the question is that ah... the authority for the additional



200 troopers will be ah... ah.. amended on to a Senate Bill that is now pending in the Senate. These questions was asked in the Committee and answered, I think, sufficiently. The department did not object to this Amendment. I think ah.. that ah.. we should adopt it and ah.. in consideration of the Committee's actions."

Vadalabene: "Mr. Speaker, my former colleagues of the House, I'm making my annual tour over here into the House of Representatives. I know that in the next four or five weeks ah.... Representative Stone, I'm standing up. I know we're going to have problems with the Senate and with the House in the next four or five weeks. And you know that I'm a loving man. However, I do have my Legislative Aide with me..... and he'll take care of any problems you have with me between now and June 30th. May God Bless each and everyone of you and don't forget my Legislative Aide. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, now we all know why Senate Bill 16 went to the Executive Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. I wonder if we could ask Representative Barnes to repeat his explanation. I think it got kind of swallowed up."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In answer to the Gentleman's inquiry; ah... on this Amendment on House Bill 1360 ah.... the ah... these questions were asked and answered in the Committee of ah.. Appropriation Division II. There is a vehicle in the Senate that is currently pending there that will be amended to reflect this addition and the statutory authority for the state police. These police was requested by the department and ah.. was not submitted in the budget ah.. due to the deliberation of the Bureau of the Budget. The Director was there. They are much needed. The need that was sited in terms of ah.. some downstate counties that are now the board of the proper police protection due to the fact of the lack of ah.. patrolmen available. This was discussed in the Committee. It was voted out. It



was not a straight party vote. Many of the Republican supported this Amendment. And I think that we should do likewise here in the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order."

Speaker Redmond: "State you point."

Schlickman: "Your practice this Session has not ah... has been to not consider the adoption of Amendments when the Sponsor is absent. Now the Sponsor of this Amendment is not present. The Sponsor of the Bill is not familiar with the Amendment. And I respectfully suggest that we follow ah.. the practice of the House to go on to other Amendments and this one be taken out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, it is the practice ah.. and has been the practice of this House ever since I've been here, in Amendments ah.. that ah.. concerning appropriation matters, ah... I have for one have offered almost every Amendment in the Appropriations Division II this Session, I myself. But what we have did is allow the Sponsor of the Bill to explain the Amendment on the House floor. Now I was chairing that meeting while I was there. This Amendment was offered and adopted in Committee as we have did concurrently all Session. We have adopted Amendments on the House floor in concert with this action that we're taking this morning and if you are raising objections, I believe the objections are being raised at the wrong time. You should have raised it long ago in the Session and not wait until this point in time. We have did this consistently and no objections have been raised. And it has been concurrent that the Minority Spokesman has did the same identical thing all Session long."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, my recollection is that during your tenure as Speaker, and I'm very appreciate of your tenure and the rulings you've made, that the practice has been not to consider the adoption of an Amendment in the absence of the Sponsor. And I would suggest that one could easily presume that by his absence he has no desire for the adoption of this Amendment. Now that's a



rebuttal presumption, but I think it's a reasonable presumption to be made."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, let me refer the Gentleman to rule 34 (e), which says that if a Committee reports out a Bill as 'do pass' as Amendment ah... the Amendment shall not be offered to the Bill unless the Sponsor of the Bill or a Member of the Committee moves the adoption of the Amendment. Representative Barnes is a Member of the Committee and he has moved the adoption and it was clear to me that that was within the purview of Rule 34. Now furthering explaining ah... what I did was ah.. if a Sponsor of an Amendment was not on the floor and the Amendment was hostile to the Bill ah... and the Sponsor of the main Bill objected to it, ah.. I didn't want that Amendment to be defeated in his absense, but I think it is in order now. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Well, how come ah.....ah..... I think also in addition to that, Mr. Speaker, not only am I here to speak and Sponsor the Amendment, but I chaired that meeting and if the Gentleman would look at the Committee Report he will see that it is signed by me."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer. Reopresentative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ah... during the period that Senator Vadalabene and his aide came in ah.. I kind of ~~lost~~ my mike. I hadn't finished my questioning on this issue. Who do I direct my questions to now? Well, however can answer. Ah... how much money is involved in this ah... do you know? Mr. Speaker, would you recognize Representative Kozubowski?"

Kozubowski: "I believe that the figure is \$48,000,000."

Ryan: "How much?"

Kozubowski: "\$48,000,000."

Ryan: "No ah... you're talking about the total Bill. I'm talking about the increase of ah... of the ah... it's about \$5 1/2 million dollars, as I understand it. For Amendment #4 I'm talking about now. The total for 200 troopers is \$48,000,000?"

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I defer to Representative Lechowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."



Lechowicz: "The extra 200 troopers is \$5,000,000 but the total dollar amount for Amendment #4 as amended, would be then \$48,000,000. So the Sponsor's ah.. Representative Kozubowski's answer is correct, but ah.. for the additional 200 troopers it amounts to about \$5,000,000."

Ryan: "Ya, ah.. can you tell me where these funds come from?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lauer, do you desire recognition?"

Ryan: "Wait a minute, I'm not through, Mr. Sneaker. Nobody has answered by question. I believe that they come from the Road Fund, is that right?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "That's correct. They come from the Road Fund."

Ryan: "Now ah.. on that part that I talked about earlier here, I'm not sure that I ah..."

Kozubowski: "Representative Ryan, if I might interrupt. These are a repetition of the questions that you asked in Committee and I thought at that time ah... although I had just walked into the Committee Room when the Director was answering, I thought these questions were answered to your satisfaction."

Ryan: "Well, they were, but ah.. I've had some of the Members of the floor ask me and I thought maybe it would be good for the edification of the entire House to hear the answers, Reoresentative Kozubowski. My other question is ah.... goes back to the authority to increase the number of troopers. As I understand it, ah.. the total number is somewhere around 1600 and that's set by statute."

Kozubowski: "That's correct."

Ryan: "All right, by what authority do you increase ah.... do you plan on getting..."

Kozubowski: "I believe that question was already answered by Representative Barnes, Sir. It's presently a Senate Bill, which would give the authority for these additional troopers."

Ryan: "Could you give me the Senate Bill number? As I understand it ah.. Representative Boyle's answer in Committee was that he ah... that there was a Senate Bill that could be amended, but had not yet been amended."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."



Barnes: "The Senate Bill ah.. the vehicle that's in the Senate currently resting there and being considered is Senate Bill 624."

Ryan: "Can you tell me what that Bill says, Representative Barnes?"

Barnes: "I have no idea."

Ryan: "Well, does it include the authorization for the increase?"

Barnes: "All I can tell you is that the vehicle as was explained in the Committee, that was being amended in the Senate to reflect this additional 200 troopers is Senate Bill 624."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to address myself to the Amendment ah...."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Ryan: "I would say that we've got a bad Amendment here. There's no authorization for an increase of 200 troopers. From the questions that were answered in Committee ah.. I'm not sure how these 200 troopers are going to be used and ah... the Sponsor couldn't answer it. He said that he wanted to put them down in southern Illinois to cut down on the crime or something. I think this is a bad Amendment. It's a \$5 1/2 million dollar expenditure. It's a reoccurring expense. This is an annual thing. It comes out of the Road Fund ah.. and will be over a twenty year period should build some thousand miles worth of road. So I would recommend a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Cunningham: "Representative Barnes, did you accept the arithmetic that was offered there a moment ago, that 5.4 million is the annual cost of these additional state troopers?"

Barnes: "I have it front of me now, Representative Cunningham and I was just giving a round figure off the top of my head, but now I have it. The additional cost is \$5,423,600 and to clarify one of the earlier statements that added that the total of this Amendment would be \$48,000,000 ah.. but they failed to add to that statement that the request of the amount per to this Amendment is \$43,200."

Cunningham: "No, next question ah..."



Barnes: "No, ah.. I don't want the House to get the impression that the additional of these 200 troopers will add ah... some ah... extremely outrageous cost to the budget. You're talking about an addition of \$5,443,000."

Ryan: "Representative Barnes, what's the purpose of the additional 200 state troopers?"

Barnes: "Well, the purpose of that was explained and accepted in Committee and was explained by the Director of Law Enforcement. It is that these additional troopers had been requested originally and that for whatever reason ah.... and I can't tell you exactly verbatim what was said, for whatever reason, it was not included. The reason for the Amendment, as I understand it, is that there are counties in downstate that are in serious need of police protection. And due to the lack of the increase in the number of personnel, they simply cannot be serviced. So therefore, with that explanation and the explanation that these 200 additional policemen, in the main.... in the majority, would be used in the downstate counties to supplement police protection there. That was the reason for the adoption of the Amendment."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, if I may in the fifteen seconds that's left after these long answers, I would like to comment on the Bill. Now obviously the only purpose ever for adding additional state troopers is in the name of highway safety. And yet, ah.. there's a much better way to approach this particular problem. We all realize that it cost \$100,000 a mile to widen and reservice the highways in Illinois to make them safe for modern traffic. As I drive from here to my home in Lawrencville, 160 miles, I always meet six state troopers ah... that's just an average. Some of them stop to talk to me as they go along. It's obvious to me that this administration seeks to match the number state troopers with the number of chuck holes, but the State Treasury can never afford to make that many state troopers on the taxpayers back. The way to do this, rather than to increase the number of state troopers is equal the chuck holes on this route, is to reduce the number of chuck holes. And the way we do it is we take this 54.43 miles each year that we can widen and re-surface with the



road. This is an unconscionable assault upon common sense for this administration to say in one side of its mouth it has no money no money for widening and reservicing because that awful Legislature beat the Capital Development Bond Program and then on the other side of its mouth with a straight face say, but we want to add 200 additional state police to the force. In the name of fiscal responsibility and public safety and doing you duty as State Legislators, for all of the people, we urge you to beat this Amendment. Vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Anderson."

Anderson: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment yield to a question, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Anderson: "Representative Barnes, isn't it true that I asked the Director if he was in favor of this Amendment and he said, 'No.' He's not in favor of it? He was carrying on a study right now to see if this was feasible?"

Barnes: "Representative Anderson, I would say that you are essentially correct. What the Director said was that they had requested these 200 troopers prior to the initiation of the current budget. They did not receive it and there was a management study of about \$87,000 I believe, that was looking into the total problem of personnel as it is related to this area and to the department in general. He said that ah... he would oppose the Amendment on that basis ah.. due to the management study that was in process, but that they could use the additional 200 state troopers based upon the previous request originally."

Anderson: "Well, didn't I also say that he could come in for a supplemental appropriation once he had completed his study?"

Barnes: "Yes, you did."

Anderson: "And he agreed with that."

Barnes: "Yes, I would say ah.. essentially yes, you're correct."

Anderson: "Don't you think that this is premature then?"

Barnes: "Well, I wouldn't say that this was premature based on the fact of the ah.. need that I think was brought out and essentially was agreed to that there is a need.... a great need in the downstate areas for additional police protection. As it had been explained



there ah.. some of the police that had normally been available downstate had been taken off and had been put into a special program in other areas of the state. Now based on those factors, I would say that to me ah.... the need to me was established."

Anderson: "Well, don't you think it would be better to let the Director complete his study and if he needs the troopers, we'll certainly give them to him, but let the man carry out his study and see if they're needed first."

Barnes: "Well, I don't think that these ah... this would be in opposition to that. I think the study can still be completed and carried out and I think that in the meantime, since the critical need has been established for additional law enforcement downstate, we can't very well tell those people that need additional police protection, that we gotta wait until someone decides whether or not the need is there since the need exists already."

Anderson: "But if the study is completed and ah.. they are needed..... maybe we don't need any. Maybe there should be some laid off. I think we should give the man a chance to run his department correctly. Why don't you withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Barnes?"

Barnes: "Well, I don't think I have that authority. The Amendment was offered and adopted in the Committee. And I think it is my responsibility as Vice-Chairman of the Committee and Chairman of the meeting that day, to put forth the recommendations of the Committee and that is what I'm trying to do."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Director of this department stated that the.... they are presently spending \$87,000 in a study as far as the total manpower within that department. And in turn a Member of the General Assembly says. 'Well, we don't care about your studies, we're just going to increase it by 200 people, you can use it anyway that you want.' We could amend every Bill on this floor and increasing the number of the people working for state government by an additional 100,000 people. I think that this Amendment is premature. I think that the proper recommenda-



tion should be adhered to by the department, by the Chief Executive Officer and this Amendment should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder. Oh, pardon me. Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was also in that Committee Meeting and we have attempted to bring out here the information that was given in that meeting. However, we have been deficient in bringing out just a couple of points of information. First of all, there is absolutely no plan at this point, as to where those troopers shall be used. The management study will not even be completed until July 15th and then it will have to be evaluated ah.. as to whether or not the troopers actually are needed. We can get a supplemental appropriation if they are needed. And I would like to point out that it cost \$30,000 per each ah.... and it's a continuing cost, annually, for each additional trooper we put on the road. For ah... now there are two trooper studies that are presently done that show that 15% of the troopers are not performing up to the expected level of duty. So it was suggested that there needs to be a management consolidation in the Department of Law Enforcement with regards to specifically to the state troopers. So that we do not have this deficiency in duty. One further thing, there was a Bill passed last year and signed into law that permitted a 2% variation over the statutory limit to allow for those troopers who are presently in training so that quite conceivably ah.. starting January 1 there could be an authorization of up to 150 additional troopers on the road. So certainly this Amendment is premature. I certainly don't know what the genesis of the Amendment is. I would also like to point out that I ah... am certain ah... and the Sponsor of the Amendment can refute this in ah.. his closing statement if he wishes, but I would be willing to bet you money, marbles, little green apples or anything else you want to bet, that this amount is certainly not in the Governor's budget. I suggest that we defeat this Amendment and save the people of the state 5 1/2 million dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."



Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I maybe be able to clarify this issue. The Chief Sponsor of this Bill, Mr. Kozubowski, speaks of good faith, but unfortunately his arrival in Committee was delayed and it was essential that the Bill begin. In consequence, by leave of the Committee, I was the sponsor of the Bill during the course of this Amendment. Without question, the Director of the Department of Law Enforcement, opposed the Amendment. The premise upon which he opposed the Amendment has already been explained. He's spending \$87,000 for a personnel and management study to determine how many additional people are needed. That money is spent in vain if we shove 200 troopers down his throat. In addition, the argument for ah... this Amendment was; one, that certain counties need additional patrolling. The inputs there in my mind of course, was that they wanted state money and state troopers to do a country job. I didn't buy that. The second question was that they needed the assistance because of the input of hard drugs. May I point out to this House that 200 state troopers, who are on traffic patrol attempting to pick up speeders are not particularly concerned about hard drugs. That's the Department of the I.B.I.'s concern. So that argument didn't set with me. To repeat, the department is in progress with a personnel study. I think that the Amendment is premature and I would recommend it's defeat in agreement with the Director of the Department of Law Enforcement."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "I would move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is shall the main question be put. All in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Representative... not yet. Let's see whether this goes. All those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Main question. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 91 'aye' and 11 'no' and the previous motion prevails. Representative Barnes to close."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, acting on this Amendment, I think that some of the questions that



were raised as they relate to the Amendment are valid questions. As Representative Kosinski pointed out and as Representative Anderson pointed out, as it relates to the study that is currently going on as it relates to the overall personnel of the total department. But now that study is directly itself at the overall personnel of the Department of Law Enforcement, not just solely focusing in on the state police. Now the premise of this Amendment and the point and the genesis for this Amendment, as I understand it, is because of the dire need of additional protection for law enforcement in downstate counties. I think that was pointed out and I think that that need was explained in total in the Committee. I think that the Committee acted responsibly on the urging of the Chairman of the Committee to adopt this Amendment. I can understand the position that the Director of the Department Law Enforcement was in. He did not say that he would not use these people. He said that these people could be very well used and would be needed and would be used efficiently. So I think based on that and based on the findings that the Committee deliberated on these very questions that was raised here and the Amendment was moved and adopted with an overwhelming majority of the Committee being in attendance and consideration. I believe that the Members of this House floor should agree with the Committee recommendation. And I would move for the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 1360."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann."

Mann: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I might be recorded as voting 'aye' in the last Amendment? It will not change the results."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? Record him as 'aye'. The question is shall this Amendment be adopted. Those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise?"

Totten: "Just to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Go ahead."

Totten: "Let me point out that the Sponsor of this Amendment has indicated that the vehicle to do this is Senate Bill 624. If you look in your Digest, you'll find that Senate Bill 624 is recommended 'do not pass' and has been tabled. And any state trooper who is hired under this



Amendment would be arrested for impersonating a police officer. And this Bill is quite premature."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In explaining my vote to the Gentleman from Hoffman Estates that just spoke. I ah.. I think that there is a vehicle over there and as we know here in the General Assembly, that you never say never here. There's always something that's coming along. But with all due respect to the Gentleman from ah.. Logan ah.. down there, I hope we wouldn't have to reverse this Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 16 'aye' and 116 'no' and the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Amends House Bill 1360 on page 8 by deleting line 5 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Who's the Sponsor of this one? Representative Catania, is it? Younge? Representative Younge on the Amendment. Representative Younge. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, thank you very very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #5 is Representative Catania's Amendment. It was adopted without a descending vote in the Committee. The Amendment simply says, in fact, what is law, that no funds would be appropriated in violation of our F.E.P. and our E.,E.O.C. regulations. And the Amendment was adopted without a descending vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 1360. All in favor say 'aye' and opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #6 was withdrawn in Committee. Floor Amendment #7, Ryan. Amends House Bill 1360 as amended in Section 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. What's the Amendment number? Ah.. this is with the agreement of the Sponsor of the Bill. It adds \$45,000 to the Fire College and the Regional Fire School. They have not had an increase in the past three years in their budget and have requested



this. I would ask for an 'aye' vote on this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #7 to House Bill 1360. All in favor say 'aye' and all opposed say 'no' and the 'ayes' have.... Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor of the Amendment yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Madison: "Representative Ryan, is this not the same Amendment that was offered in Committee?"

Ryan: "No, it's not, Representative Madison. The one in Committee, I think, was for \$83,000 and this is for \$45,000."

Madison: "I mean it's essentially the same substantive matter."

Ryan: "That's right ah..."

Madison: "What was the action of the Committee on that?"

Ryan: "I withdrew the Amendment in Committee with the agreement that we would talk to Representative Kozubowski and work it out for Second Reading and that's what we've done."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Remond: "Representative Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, just to answer the question of Representative Madison; there was some problems with the Amendment originally in the Committee, but since that time we've been able to sit down and iron out the differences. Now the Sponsor has no objections to this Amendment and I would urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the adoption of the Amendment. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2036, Representative Ryan."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2036..."

Speaker Redmond: "The permission has been granted to the photographer."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2036. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Attorney General. Second Reading of the Bill. Ah...Committee Amendment #1 was defeated in Committee."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan requests that that be taken out of the record. Is that correct? 3005, is Representative Boyle on the floor? Representative Tipsword, can you handle the Amendment on 3005? 3005."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 3005. Ah... House Bill 3005. A Bill for an Act making appropriations for certain claims against the State of Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 3005 on page 28 by deleting lines 3 through 6."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "It's my recollection that this Amendment is a corrective Amendment to House Bill 3005, which would provide for the payment of all the claims that have been approved by the Court of Claims in the State of Illinois. And I move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3005. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. 3035."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3035. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3036."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3036. A Bill for an Act to repeal a Chicago Regional Port District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. We are unable to take anymore House Bills, Second Reading because the remaining ones are not printed yet. Return.... Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, what about 3006?"

Speaker Redmond: "What one?"

Lechowicz: "House Bill 3006."

Jack O'Brien: "We read it."



Lechowicz: "Oh, did you? O'kay."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, Second Reading. Representative Porter? Is he on the floor? 638. Senate Bill 638."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 638. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Committee Amendment #1 was withdrawn. Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 638 on page 1 by deleting lines 16 through 18 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment for Senate Bill 638 does exactly what the Amendment for 640 ah... heard earlier this morning did to that Bill. And that is provide that the notification is in sequence of the finding of a bugging device. And I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 638. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "642, Representative Willer. 642."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 642. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Control Substance Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 642 on page 1, line 6 by inserting the word '201' immediately after the word 'Sections' and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Willer."

Willer: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Amendment be adopted."

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 642. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2, Collins-Leinenweber. Amends Senate Bill 642 on page 10 by adding immediately after line 29 the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber. Representative Leinenweber."



Representative Willer, are you familiar with that Amendment? What's your pleasure, Representative Willer? Well, it's Leinenweber according to this."

Willer: "Am I ah..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins, are you Co-Sponsor of this Amendment? Representative Collins, is he on the floor? Is that Representative Collins talking to ah.... right back of Representative Meyers? Will you turn around? Is that Phillips, the former Member? Chairman Mao from DuPage County. Neither Leinenweber or Collins are here. What's your pleasure, Representative Willer."

Willer: "Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "It's been the policy of the Chair not to delay moving Bills because the Sponsor of the Amendment is not here unless the Sponsor agrees."

Willer: "May I take this out of the record?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. We adopted one and we're holding it now. 824, Representative McClain? 824? Will you read the Amendment? I think we read the Bill before."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 824. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 824 on page 1 by deleting line 11 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Caldwell handled the Bill for me in Banking and Savings and Loans. Could he explain the ah.. Committee Amendment for me?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you explain the Amendment, Representative Caldwell? It's 824. Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Ya, ah.. this is a Committee Amendment, Mr. Speaker and I move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 824. All in favor of the adoption Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I do think that we should have an explanation of the Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caldwell, Representative Schlickman desires a more lucid explanation."

Caldwell: "Take it out of the record for a minute. I have to ah..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 1293, Representative D'Arco. 1293."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1293. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to the mechanics lein. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1293 as amended in the fourth paragraph, Section 5 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D'Arco."

D'Arco: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. How about 543? Has the fiscal note been filed on that? The fiscal note has been furnished on 543. Any further Amendments on that?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 543. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 543 as amended on page 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McClain."

McClain: "Mr. Speaker, ah.. Committee Amendment? 543? It's a Floor Amendment, isn't it?"

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #1."

McClain: "We better take it out. As far as I was aware, ah... all that was required of 543 was a fiscal note and there was no Committee Amendment. So I'll have to check it out. Please take it out of the record, Sir."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 155, Representative McMasters. 155."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 155. A Bill for an Act to amend the River Conservancy District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 155 on page 2 by deleting lines 33, 34, 35 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Committee Amendment was to provide for the appointment of the trustees



in the Water Conservancy Districts ah.. formerly were made by the Members of the House where the district crosses the county lines to have it done by the presiding officer of the County Board ah.. with the advise and consent of the County Board. This is similar to a series of Bills that ah... I believe were Sponsored by Representative Deavers, and ah...inadvertantly this Water Conservancy District was overlooked. So we added this Bill because it was are understanding that it was germane to the ah... special statutes we were changing. I urge its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 155. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, Hart. Amends Senate Bill 155 on page 1, line 1 by deleting Section 4(a) and inserting in lieu thereof Section 4(a) and 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this ah... Amendment was sponsored by Representatives Hart, Choate and Winchester and I would like to turn the explanation over to one of them. Choate or Winchester, would you like to explain this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Hart, Choate and Winchester on the Amendment."

McMaster: "Clyde."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate. Representative Winchester. Is Representative Winchester here? What's your pleasure, Representative McMaster?"

McMaster: "Well, I am not really that familiar with the Amendment. And they have not come over and talked to me about it. I would rather they explain it. If they're not prepared to ah... then I think we better hold the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, could we move it to Third and then if they ah... still come over and want this Amendment, ah.. I'll move it back for them."

Speaker Redmond: "It's all right with me. It's the policy ah... no



Amendments after Amendment #2, is that correct? We've adopted #1 and #2 has been offered, but no action. We'll hold it on Second. I don't ah... Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I now have a copy of the Amendment and I can explain it. I think it was Representative Hart's Amendment. What it really does, Representative McMaster, is ah.. it creates really another title as far as officers in the Rend Lake Conservancy District is concerned. As you are well aware, this complete area down there ah.. the state, federal and ah.. governments and the conservancy district are exerting an awful lot of effort, money and will power to bring about a real fine recreational complex. The ah.. Executive Director of the Conservancy District has been all over the United States visiting with industrial leaders and people and it was felt that if he had the title of Executive Vice President, which the Amendment does ah.. it adds the title of Executive Vice President, ah.. to the conservancy district. Basically, it's that simple."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster. The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 155. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "No further Amendments. Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. Oh, pardon me, ya. I understand that Senate Bill 642 is now in ah... Representative Leinenweber. Representative Leinenweber. Nether of them want the Amendment. Representative Collins or Representative Leinenweber."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2, amends Senate Bill 642 on page 10 by adding immediately after line 29 the following and so forth."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an excellent Amendment, however I think that the subject requires further research so I ah... I think at this time we'd better table the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves to table the Amendment. Does he have leave? Leave granted. Amendment #2 is tabled. House Bills,



Third Reading. House Bill 339, Representative Mugalian. Out of the record. Is that correct? 783, Representative VonBoeckman? 899, Duff ah.. I understand that you want that held. 1552, Kozubowski. Representative Kozubowski? Held. 2103, Representative Chapman on 2103. Representative Chapman, 2103. Out of the record. 2240, Representative Boyle... not on the floor. Out of the record. 2445, Representative Yourell. Out of the record. 2476, Representative Shea. Representative Shea.... out of the record. 2580, Representative Deuster.... out of the record. 2790, Representative Washburn.... Representative Washburn... out of the record. 2812, Representative Taylor. Will you read 2812?"

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2812. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Local Government Affairs. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 2812 is the same Bill that we had up yesterday. It deals with the local government affairs and appropriates \$300,000 to give those municipalities downstate who does not have the legal profession. I ah.. so I solicit your support for House Bill 2812."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed.... Representative Downs."

Downs: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Downs: "I understood you to refer to this as being ah.. \$300,000 for local governmental units downstate to draft ordinances. Does that mean that it does not include Cook County?"

Taylor: "Cook County does not need this. There are many other cities throughout the state that does not need this Article, but there are some that do need it."

Downs: "Are they included in this Bill? Is Cook County included?"

Taylor: "Well, I don't have the answer, but I doubt if Cook County is included in this Bill."

Downs: "Well, is there a home rule Amendment on the Bill?"

Taylor: "No, there's not."



Downs: "Well, may I speak to the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Downs: "This Bill was..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chairman indicates the money goes to the Department of Local Government Affairs."

Downs: "This Bill was up yesterday and as I understand it, it's ah... meant to be an appropriation to draft ordinances for local units of government. I don't believe there was expressed specifically what the need for this is and I oppose the Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is shall the Bill pass. All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, I trust this doesn't get 89 votes. You know, the Department of Local Government Affairs is supposed to be following the law and equalizing assessments and they aren't. What makes anyone think that they will be able to write a law that anybody can follow any better?"

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to briefly explain my vote. I noticed that the other two Gentlemen representing the 47th District are not participating on this ballot and I would like to point out that in our district we have many small towns that don't have the money to pay for lawyers for the expertise to help assist them in this matter and I think that this Bill would go a long way in helping the small towns, which basically our district is. I would appreciate some more votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe votes 'aye'. Representative Mautino, 'aye'. Representative McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I was away from my seat and did not realize this Bill was up. Would you please add me as an 'aye' vote?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McMaster, 'aye'. Representative Mulcahey, 'aye'. Representative Choate, 'aye'. Representative Richmond, 'aye'. Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lundy: "I want to change my vote to 'present'."



Speaker Redmond: "Change the Gentleman to 'present'. Representative Bluthardt, 'aye'. Any more? Representative Byers, 'present'. Have all voted who wish? Representative McAvoy, 'aye'. Representative Jones, 'aye'. Maragos, 'aye'. Randolph, 'aye'. Representative Rigney, 'aye'. Have you got all those? The Clerk will take the record. There are 94 'ayes' and 34 'nays' and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 2942. Representative Brinkmeier. Take that out of the record. 2970, Representative Barnes."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2970. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to pay compensation for services rendered to the state. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this is a direct appropriation for certain employees that was not paid ah... for work rendered after the end of the last fiscal year due to the transfer of funds and the non-funding of the Governor's Office of Human Resources. These are valid debts owed by the state for work performed for the two week period that we were in Session after June 30, 1974. The total amount of salaries is \$19,794.04. The vacation time is \$3,745.89. And it comes to a total of \$23,539.93. The total amount of the appropriation is ah... \$26,000. I would move for the adoption of House Bill 2970. This Bill was heard and fully aired in Committee. It was recommended 'do pass' by the Appropriations Committee on a vote of 18 to 2."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think this Bill constitutes a terribly bad practice. This Bill would appropriate money and ah.. as the Sponsor indicated, directly to former employees of the state. Now it seems to me that if they have a valid claim for services rendered, then that claim should have been submitted to the appropriate department or agency of state government, which then could seek an appropriation to itself for this money. Or in the alternative, if these former employees have been rejected by the appropriate state agency of government, they should then file a claim with the Court of Claims which



we previously, in many years established, to handle this kind of situation. If Members are going to be bringing in Bills to appropriate money directly to individuals ah.. we subvert the process. And I repeat ah... I think it is a terribly bad practice and should be voted 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in theory of course, Gene is correct. However, let's face the facts of this situation. This Bill to speed up payment and justify payment was passed through Committee. At a later date, the Court of Claims demands for monies for reimbursement deleted this amount. Hence, there is no overlap of payment, while the procedure that Gene suggests may be correct, in this emergency situation for quick payment, the Appropriations Committee expedited the payment in this matter. And I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "This ah... is unorthodox, but perhaps ah.. the former ah... the Gentleman who just spoke has clarified this issue. May I propose a question and then they can dispose of it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Realizing full well that you're violating the rules, absolutely."

Schlickman: "Join with me in that, please. Do I understand that the claim of these people did go to the Court of Claims and it was heard? Oh, ah.. well then I persist in my opposition. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "In answer to your question, Gene, if you would ah... I won't say examine the Bill... I won't do that because I don't like people to do that to me, but in answer to your question.... no, it did not go to the Court of Claims. But you will find, if you examine the Bill, that these amounts that are in here are very small. Now you have some amounts that are in here that was owed these people and it has been almost one year now. It's as small as \$50.00. Now there was consistent criticism just the other day in the Appropriations Committee of the Court of Claims for processing small amounts. They



said that it was unnecessary and they shouldn't do it, saying that it costs the State more to process the claims than it did to pay for the amounts that we were talking about. That is the reason why this Bill was drafted in office. These are valid...these are valid debts incurred to the State by people. These people work for these money. The Comptroller has no problem with it, it's not something that they should not do. They just need a way to be paid for their valid work that they did as an employee of this State."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, I see we have enough votes there and I hope we will because there is no controversy about this Bill. This is valid work and these people did, hard working individuals for agencies of the State. These people got laid off, they did not get paid. They have no other way to get their compensation. Now we've authorized payments this Session for, in the case of some lawyers fees, for various kinds of things that did not go through the Court of Claims, some of them as much as \$750 a day. Now some of these people...these people worked for two weeks, they worked for the money just as if you are working here now. What happened was no money was funded. So what do we tell these people to do, that you just donate two weeks to the State. I think that we would really and truthfully and honestly give these people this small pittance of money that they worked hard for. I would solicit your vote on it."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question...Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote if I could."

Speaker Redmond: "It'll be pretty hard, proceed."

Totten: "I won't be. The sponsor has indicated that this is the only way to do it. Of course it isn't. The Court of Claims is the proper way to do it. Of the twenty-some claims that are in this Bill, only four of them are below \$100. Some go up to \$1300. To pass this Bill and use this as a medium for paying these employees these who are, at this time, mostly lobbying for their Bill down here, would



certainly gore the State to death and I think this is the wrong way to do it and this Bill should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 ayes, 24 noes, the Bill having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 3017."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3017. Lechowicz. A Bill for an Act making appropriations to the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kane."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3017 is the omnibus appropriation Bill for the various Commissions of State government. Basically the Bill totalled \$2,455,300 before Amendments. After the Amendments stayed primarily because of the appropriation...a...reappropriation of \$2,500,000 for the Space Needs Commission for the purchase of land within the Capitol complex, the appropriation now reads \$5,004,200. The difference between '75 and...a...'76 is approximately \$279,008, the Membership recalls in the last appropriation, omnibus appropriation Bill, included the figures for the salary increases for the General Assembly. Over all the various Commissions have been within their budget requests from last year. I move for the adoption of House Bill 3017, as amended."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has requested the passage of House Bill 3017. The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 132 aye, 11 nay, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bills' Third Reading, this morning we moved Senate Bill 235, 596, and 694 to Third Reading, but they had the request for a fiscal note, which had not been furnished so we'll have to return them to the order of Second Reading to furnish the fiscal note. Is there any objections to returning Senate Bill 235, 596, 694 to the order of Second Reading. Hearing no objections, they will be so returned. On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 944. Representative



**The appearance of the 1 images
following this page is due to**

Poor Quality Original Documents

and not the scanning or filming processes.

**Com Microfilm Company
(217) 525-5860**

Campbell is organized. Representative Campbell moves that Senate Bill 944 be referred to the order of Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment. Representative Campbell."

Speaker, when this Bill was heard in Committee, the Department of Public Health asked if an Amendment would be adopted which would have no objections that they had and we agreed to do that and for the Amendment, Mr. Clerk. 944, we moved it this

Speaker Redmond: "I moved the Amendment, Mr. Clerk. 944, we moved it this morning from Second to Third. It was really out of the control of the Clerk, we're bringing it back. ...the Amendment? The Amendment is Representative Campbell's? Announcement to the Membership. We're not going to be out for lunch today. If we make adequate progress hopefully, we'll be out of here reasonably early this afternoon, so either fast or slow. As soon as we can...we got...I don't know."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 944 as follows on page 2, line 8, by striking line and insert in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Campbell: "Yes, Representative Campbell."

Speaker Redmond: "As I said, we agreed to adopt this Amendment in Committee."

Campbell: "As I said, we agreed to adopt this Amendment in Committee. but the Amendment was not then drafted and the Department of Public Health gave the Amendment and it says have a clear and direct impact on the administration, or fiscal procedures of the Illinois Department of Public Aid. And this removes their objection to the Bill. It is agreed to by Members of the Legislative Advisory Commission and also by the Director of Public Aid and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 944. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes indicate the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 944. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes indicate the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Speaker Redmond: "I understand the Amendment is not in our... considered?"



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 945. Campbell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill: One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 945 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Campbell."

Campbell: "This was a Committee Amendment, it was agreed to and Mr. Speaker I move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 945. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed, no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills' Third Reading. On Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 4. Representative DiPrima on the floor? Senate Bill 4."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 4. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima. Senate Bill 4? Have we read the Bill."

DiPrima: "Yeh, well all this does, it amends the Municipal Code because veterans' preference decision be granted on an equal basis for the equivalent preferences in other divisions of the Act. I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the passage of Senate Bill...all in favor...the question is, shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye and opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 115 aye, 1 no ...Stearney, aye. 116 aye, 1 no, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 28."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 28. An Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 28 amends the Juvenile Court Act by providing that in every case of the judication of neglect or dependency under the Act,



the Court shall determine if that neglect or dependency is the result of physical abuse through the minor inflicted by a parent, guardian, or legal cusodian, in such finding, shall appear in the order of the Court. Further the Bill provides that the custody of the minor shall not be restored to any parent, guardian, or legal custodian found by the Court of have neglected a minor through physical abuse until such time is the hearing is held and the issue of fitness of such person to care for the minor and in an order of the Court has been entered if such person is fit to care for the minor. This Bill is very similar to Senate Bill 33, which passed in the 78th General Session, or the 78th Session of the General Assembly, what was vetoed by the Governor on the stated basis that as drafted the language was too broad. This is now supported by the Department of Children and Family Services, it, of course, is recognized as one of the series of Bills which constituted a legislative response to hearings held in this State following the tradgedy, the Johnny Lindquist case. It is a very important Bill and I urge the support of the Membership."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 139 aye. No nay. And the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared...Choate, aye...140...Pierce, aye...Mann, aye... White, aye; Macdonald, aye; Stubblefield, aye. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 99."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 99. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedure. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, have you read the Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yeh, he read the Bill."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 99 amends the Code of Criminal Procedures to provide that a witness before the Grand Jury shall have a right to appear with counsel. The Bill, by its terms, restricts...a...the counsel's participation in the proceedings. He is only there to advise the witness...a...for many...for a number of years, most of the court decisions involving



criminal defendants have made sure that they have counsel, made sure that they are advised of their rights....a...at the arrest stage, at the...a...at every stage, as a matter of fact, proceedings, except for the grand jury. This Bill substantially corrects that and requires also that a witness testimony be provided him by transcript. I think it is a...an extension of...a...protective rights of potential defendants and I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 143 ayes, 5 no, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 100. Representative Greiman."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 100 a...a...relates to essentially the same area. However, this provides that a transcript of all questions asked and answers given before a Grand Jury be kept and available. There is a Amendment that also was adopted, that also provides that the statements of counsel be made a part of the transcript. This is essentially is in the same kind of a...a...protective area for the people appearing before Grand Juries. I ask that this be given the same kind of approval. Thank you."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 100. A Bill for an Act to amend the Code of Criminal Procedures. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any questions? Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If I may address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Palmer: "I'm concerned about one aspect of this Bill and that was the Amendment that was placed on it in Judiciary II, which I think I voted present on...the...or voted no. The Amendment requires that every answer given by the witnesses and every word spoken by any prosecutor of juror before the Grand Jury, etc. shall be made available for the defendant. Within that framework there can become a dispute as to what words were questions...every word...the words of a question...and the words of an answer given, which then would necessitate a hearing before a judge on that part. I'm afraid that



in this respect we may have gone too far in destroying the secrecy of the Grand Jury and that's a peril in this case. I believe in the concept, but I think that with the Amendment we've gone a little too far with it and for that reason, I shall not vote for the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Friedrich: "Wouldn't this involve an unbelievable cost to have a...a... stenographer come and take down all the proceedings and transcribe. I can just imagine..."

Houlihan: "Well let me say this that in Cook County that this is already being done and I believe that there may be some of the other larger counties which are already doing this in any event. I...I...there was a cost, of course, to the County, but they are already doing it in some places and when we compare it against the rights to be informed I think the cost is very minimal, particularly since the Grand Jury is being used less and less as time goes on."

Friedrich: "Well on the Bill, itself, Mr. Speaker, I have had much experience with Grand Juries, I served on one and no a little bit about it, but I thought the purpose of a Grand Jury was to find whether there was enough evidence to proceed with the prosecution. Now you are making a full-blown trial out of a Grand Jury hearing and I know people appear before Grand Juries who particularly don't want...to...they do it, they were willing to appear because the accused is not going to know what they say. I think this is a bad Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think it's important that we remember that the Grand Jury is used by a State's Attorney in more than one district and in more than one capacity and that is to return indictments. He also uses that Grand Jury for investigative purposes. Now whether you like that or you don't like it, that's his responsibility in the county that he serves and many times he has an investigation going on where he's not schooling in on any particular defendant at all, but trying to get the facts



together on a problem in his community. Now as I stated before, if we are going to get rid of the Grand Jury then why don't we just pass the Bill and get rid of it all together. The way we are doing it now is we are impairing the State's Attorney's position and he's going to have a lot of difficulty in conducting a proper investigation. And number two, Representative Friedrich I believe, who just spoke is absolutely right, they may be doing this in Cook County now, but they are not downstate and your County Boards are just going to have to pick up one big additional bill for all this nonsense transcript which I tell you will be used for only one purpose and that is by defense attorneys to use it to impeach witnesses that have appeared before the Grand Jury. That is the only purpose, this is a smoke screen, nothing else, it's a terrible Bill and should be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewing. Representative Ewing?"

Ewing: "My mike. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this Bill for I feel that it is diametrically opposed to what is good for law and order in this State. I believe that the costs are going to be enormous for our counties. The Grand Jury system, which isn't perfect works well downstate and I would ask for a no vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions or discussion? Representative Greiman to close."

Greiman: "Well, where do I start? Firstly, from the statistics that we have seen, the number of Grand Jury, actual Grand Jury cases are small...a...so that I don't think the costs would be particularly great. Secondly, I think that as far as Representative Palmer's remarks were concerned, I think the secrecy issue is really a losery at this point. There is very little that is secret about the Grand Jury anymore. As far as impeachment is concerned, a witness should be required to respond the same way at the same time. And if a witness does give different and conflicting testimony, I don't think there is anything wrong with that thing in the power of the defense attorney and in the hands of the defense attorney. I think that this Bill is a make-sense Bill. We open up the light of day on everything in government today. Who conducts investigations without having an open



and clearly visible kind of profile. Only the State's Attorney and perhaps Representative Sangmeister is correct, perhaps it is time to get rid of it, but in any event, I can think of nothing that is more...a...a...somehow...start chamber proceedings on a Grand Jury where no...where no...a...transcript is taken...a...as far as the question eluded to by Representative Palmer as to conflicts as to what was actually said, this is true of transcripts always...a...a... court reporter is a human being, he takes down as best he can. And very often we do have hearings, judges do conduct hearings as to what was actually said to conform the transcript to the actual...a...the actual course of the trial. This is a good Bill. This is a Bill, by the way, which I believe passed this House in another form in another Bill and it is presently in the Senate. I ask for a green vote.

Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: The question is, shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? Representative Stearney."

Stearney: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in answer to certain arguments made by the opposition, one is that this Bill would not deter any investigation. It only attempts to keep the prosecutor within the bounds of propriety. By this I mean occasionally you have an over ambitious young zealous prosecutor seeking the indictment of John Doe. However, the Bill provides that if we were to have a transcript of each and every word that he spoke to the Grand Jury, we know that he would remain within the bounds of propriety. This is all it does, it insures fairness because remember, if that young zealous prosecutor were to seek and obtain an indictment of any one of you Gentlemen and assuming, nevertheless, you were vindicated at the trial, you...your reputation would forever be tarnished. Once an indictment is returned, you have no reputation any longer and this merely protects the individual. It doesn't preclude the indictments of any one and an indictment can be returned just as easily with this Bill or without it, but nevertheless, it just insures a bit of fairness that we know what the prosecutor has said to those grand jurors in attempting to receive



to have them to return a true-bill indictment. It does nothing... nothing more and nothing less. It doesn't hurt the system and it only does, it insures fairness to each and every one of you and any one of your relatives. I thank you and I ask you for a yes vote on this particular measure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mann to explain his vote."

Mann: "Well Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd invite all of you to take a closer look at this Bill. One of the obligations of a State's Attorney, it's true, is to enforce the law, but he also represents all of the people and that is a double-edged responsibility. Now there's not been an institution that has been more abused than the Grand Jury. These fishing expeditions that Grand Juries go off on in which names are leaked to the media and which sometimes innocent people from your districts and mine are sometimes associated with an investigation, no indictment comes down, there's no way of recording or finding out what was said about a given individual and the result is that very frequently reputations are blemished. Now there's nothing in this particular measure that would frustrate the right or the ability of a State's Attorney to go ahead with a Grand Jury. All we're saying is that if a person is going to appear before a Grand Jury and go under oath, it seems reasonable that what a man says under oath to the person, if you'll forgive me, what the person says under oath be recorded. Now everyday across the State of Illinois we have thousands of depositions in civil suits in which people give evidence and they are sworn in and that evidence is reduced to writing. Now we're talking about investigations that may result in criminal indictments which may involved in the deprivations of peoples' liberty and all we're saying here is 'By God, if a penitentiary offense is involved, let's find out what was said'. And it's not all to the detriment of the prosecution, it may very well be useful to the prosecution to have a record of what a given witness said. He may use that as impeachment in a trial himself, but for God sake, given all of the abuses of the Grand Jury system in this country and in this State, it seems to me that it would be in our own interest to be able to say to our constituencies 'Look, we tried to write



some safeguards into this institution'. Otherwise, those of you who find some redeeming value in the Grand Jury may find some day that it has been so discredited that legislation will be presented down here to wipe it out absolutely. This is not an anti law and order Bill, I think it makes sense and I'd urge a green vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel that this Bill, and I speak now as a former Assistant State's Attorney, this Bill will make the State's Attorneys be more competent than ever because he will have to be more careful in just what he does. I might say in my county, there has been...there has been transcripts filed of Grand Jury testimony and I had occasion to subpoena one to use it in a civil suit for accounting against a public official. I think that a person is entitled to have a fair hearing for a Grand Jury. I can tell you in one instance of my own county many years ago, 102 meat indictments for horse meat came out, only one was tried. Now these are now fair things because a State's Attorney does have a lot of influence in a Grand Jury. Therefore, I request a favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, this Bill properly epitomizes the fact that there is some good in the works, notwithstanding the fact that it probably could not have gotten the worst Senate sponsor, it's a good bill and I'm voting aye."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Representative Washington, aye. Have all voted who wished? On this question 108 aye, 41 nay, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 179."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 179. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Community College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter. 179."

Porter: "Take it out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 196."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 196. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections



8, 9, 10, and so forth of an Act in relation to the practice of barbering and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duester. Duester. 196."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Senate Bill 196 amends the Illinois law in relation to the practice of barbering. One of the provisions of Senate Bill 196 is to give this Act a name that is called the Illinois Barber Law. There are some changes in the law that are in the nature of housekeeping, but the two principle changes here are that...a...we would repeal or eliminate the requirement that barbers in their applications and renewals for licenses as student barbers and apprentices and barbers themselves have to have their photograph on the license application and also the report of the T.B. test or a chest X-ray. Of all of the thirty professions that are regulated by the Department of Registrations and Education, the barbers are the only ones that have to have the photo or the T.B. test and these are regarded as really inconsequential and unnecessary requirements. Doctors and dentists and others who... beauticians who come in closer contact with people do not have to have these tests or the photos and this...these two requirements, in a way, are discriminatory against the barbers. I would urge the support of Senate Bill 196 and I'd be happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, there's 123 ayes, 2 nay, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Maragos, aye. 208. Out of the record. 209."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 209. Out of the record."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out of the record. 241."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 241. Hold that. Hold it."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold that. 271."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 271. Williams. An Act to provide for an alternative authorization for the issuance of sale of general obligation bonds for certain local governmental units. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I am presenting here Senate Bill 271, which authorizes local governmental units to issue a general obligation bond in lieu of bonds otherwise authorized for sale to the Illinois Municipal Financing Agency. This legislation is actually designed to assist the small, the economically depressed, and the new municipalities as well as other governmental instrumentality to market their bonds without paying the punitively high interest rate. This plan would also reduce the overhead costs of marketing bonds for municipalities and pay such expenses as legal in opinions, advertising payments for ratings and so forth. It would be empowered to purchase small bond issues of the various municipalities and in turn, issue bonds of its own with which to purchase these smaller units. There are many units of local government that can't get anyone to pick up a bond issue of \$50,000 or \$100,000 that might be needed to build, say a library or a recreational center, and they'd be more interested in..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, this Bill is a companion to Senate Bill 162, which sets up the agency through which these bonds are to be issued. It seems to me that it would be more appropriate to hold this Bill until that Bill reaches Senate Bills' Third Reading than to hear it now. And I would request that the sponsor do that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "162 is on Senate Bills' Third."

Walsh: "Well, is it in the correct order? Perhaps we ought to wait, then, until we get to the order of the calendar that is 162 and then we can hear these together."

Shea: "Jack, why don't you take it out and we'll accommodate them for a few minutes."

Williams: "Alright, we'll do that then, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. 321."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 321."

Speaker Redmond: "Was that your wish, Representative Williams?"

Williams: "Alright, that's the wish of our Majority Leader and I'll go



along with that."

Speaker Redmond: "321."

Fred Selcke: "An Act in relation to extension of time for filing returns under the Gas Revenue Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin. McPartlin. Take that out of the record. 325, Representative Keller on the floor? 334."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 334. An Act to amend the State Housing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge. Take that out of the record. 335."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 335. Schraeder. An Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The sponsor requests that be taken out. 353."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 353. An Act to amend the Vital Records Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, under Senate Bill 353 the Illinois Veterans Commission will be responsible for maintaining a record for burial of all Illinois war veterans and will be provided the periodic information from funeral directors of deceased U. S. war veterans along with a Certificate of Burial of U. S. war veterans. This will allow the Illinois Veterans Commission to have a complete and up to date burial record of all Illinois war veterans. When the time comes that the I.V.C. can use a computer and have all the burial records of Illinois print-outs for counties or townships when needed. This will eliminate any need for printing of books listing of burial records of war veterans which is costly and soon becomes out of date. The computer would have the information in a completed record form available when needed. This is a good Bill and I would appreciate an affirmative vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Question of the sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Simms: "The funeral director still has to file the Certificate, right Larry?"



DiPrima: "Well I suppose he does, naturally."

Simms: "Alright. Where...where is sent to now under your Bill?"

DiPrima: "Well I guess it'll go to the Illinois Veterans Commission who is going to compile the records for their computers."

Simms: "Instead of Washington right?"

DiPrima: "Well now they'll also be notified too."

Simms: "Okay."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Stearney, aye. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 149 ayes, 2 nay, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 334. Representative Younge."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 334. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Housing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Younge."

Younge: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill would merely amend the State Housing Act to prevent frequent auditing...auditing of grants of the Local Housing Authority. I move for the passage of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The Lady...Representative Huff. Duff."

Duff: "Will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Duff: "Representative, is this auditing...a....effect the Auditor General? Is the auditing to be done by the Auditor General?"

Younge: "I am not certain. The Legislative Audit Commission recommended that more frequent audits be made. Now who will make them, I really don't know."

Duff: "No questions."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote. no. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 147 aye, 1 nay. The Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 375. Representative VonBoeckman on the floor? Representative VonBoeckman?"



Fred Selcke: "Not here."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the record. 391. Representative Deavers."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 391. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections 20 and 21 of the an Act to give tax legacies and inheritances and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the General Assembly. Senate Bill 391 is an Amendment to the Revenue Act pertaining to inheritance tax. And what it does is send 25% of the tax collected back to the county. There is a fiscal note filed on this and if you will recall, I think Buz Yourell had one that did the same things that...that his percentage was 10% retained in the county and this allows for 25% and I move for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the sponsor yield for questions?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "What is the difference, dollar-wise, between 4% and 25%?"

Deavers: "The fiscal impact of this Bill, by our estimates, was \$18,000,000. The Department of Revenue said it'll cost this next year, in '77, \$20,000,000."

Schlickman: "And this would constitute a diversion from the State General Revenue Fund of that amount?"

Deavers: "Correct."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Here we are at it again in another Bill, giving away our State tax money to local government. You know we can't do this. Why are we attempting it? We are spinning our wheels and wasting our time. Why in the world do any of us want to give away State taxes to local government. I can't understand it and urge you to vote no."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs."

Downs: Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm doing some quick calculations here and as of this date, if we include another



Bill that is on the pike, headed this way, the total right now is...a.. \$76,000,000 in State funds that are being diverted...a...to the local units without any further control from the State and I think that that needs to be noted and remembered, \$76,000,000 up to this point."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this approach to healing the...a...financial ills of county government by tampering with our inheritance tax is one that seems to have nine lives. It keeps coming back in various forms time after time after time again. The problem with this approach is that it doesn't really address itself to any particular need. It's not a sound taxing practice. There is no reason why we should return to county government based merely on the circumstance that some one happens to die in their county...a...tax dollars in order to deal with...with local revenue problems. There is nothing commensurate with the need involved, it's a very foolish and unsound approach to aiding the local counties in their tax structures. I'm..I'm very much opposed to this. It seems to come back all the time...I can't for the life of me, understand the rationale of this approach. If county governments have need, let's address ourselves to the needs, but this sort of formula just sort of makes no sense whatsoever and I would encourage your no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I couldn't agree more. I have great respect for the sponsor of this Bill, but as I look at the digest, I see it came out of the Senate with 49 affirmative votes and I think we probably sent a similar Bill at some other Session to them where it was their turn to kill this type of legislation and I would...it's our turn now and I certainly would encourage a no vote on this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm intrigued by some of the dialogue that is going on around a Bill like this. Two previous speakers were standing up strongly in support of this previous Bill here about fifteen minutes ago, that upped the cost of court reporting for Grand Juries with no State appropriations



to help pay for it, it's coming out of the county. That's why the counties need money, we nitpick them to death with Mickey-Mouse Bills down here and never send any possible means of recovery back to them. Now this would be a classic example that we could give them a little touch of the money that they are already doing the work for the State of Illinois to recover through the inheritance tax process. For heaven sake, have a little sensibility to those that we are always mandating little things and some times big things, but seldom, if ever, coming back with any means for them to pick up the differential. And I would recommend a green vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to answer a prior speaker on this Bill, when people die in a certain county, I think it's only proper that if there's any benefit to come out of their estate, it should be shared on the basis with the county than with the central part of the State government. We have enough bureauacracy in Springfield. I think this is a good Bill and there is a cost of the services already impaled by the State and county offices to do the bookkeeping and paper work, a lot of it on this tax business, and I don't think it's a bad Bill at all and I'm for it and I respectfully ask for your consideration for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "All of us are inconsistent on this floor at one time or another, but I'd like to point out that county government has been waiting since the 1970 Constitution was passed by a Constitutional Convention that didn't have enough people in it to know what harm it was going to give to county government, to the very operation of county government. When they cut out the fees that the automatic three percent of everybody else's tax dollar, county government... everybody knew county government was going to be in jeopardy. Now I've been sitting here for two and a quarter years waiting for someone to come up with a plan and Gil has a plan here. The Department of Local Government Affairs, which is supposed to be their Godfather, hasn't come up with, you know, item one. I think we ought to give Gil credit here and we ought to pass this certainly, if we



pass the last Bill that we...that diverted income tax money directly to it...a...this has more relevance to it than that did. I would urge that we vote in favor of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Are you ready for the question? The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Representative... Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Yes Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, perhaps the...a... the sponsor of this Bill would come up with a really fine answer for downstate Illinois. If we can just prevail upon the people in Cook County who are in extremists to perhaps spend their last few dollars downstate why we wouldn't have to worry so much about our funding down there."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "A...Mr. Sneaker, in explaining my yes vote, I think this is an excellent Bill because it does bear a direct relationship to the kinds of services that county government is required to provide for people. County Clerks provide the birth certificates and the death certificates, all court services are provided at the county level. It's a highly appropriate kind of tax that we should share with the local government that is performing these services. For the sake...for those of you who believe in keeping local government alive and responsible, I urge a yes vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we're going to distribute State money, let's do it on some kind of a regional basis, either on population, if it's road money on the basis of the number of miles, or some basis, but this is just going to be distributed on the basis of whether you got a fat cat that dies in your county. And you know well, there are some of the counties that have a concentration of wealth. Well this will be an inequitable distribution on any way you want to figure it. This is the wrong way to distribute State money."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker, in explaining my aye vote, I'm still mysteriously amazed that, we have people with gore on their



tombstones and we have people with the State of Illinois and the United States on their tombstone, but somehow or another, we can't get their.. their Livingston County emblazened on their tombstone when they pass into the next world, when they leave everything that they earned and made in the county, it's processed by the county, and handled by the county, and it's ripped off like everything else in the State. Not even one touch of your posterity nor your...wealth is left behind where you lived and loved everybody you knew and where everybody worked and you and your neighbors and the county government, everybody that has a personal investment in a Bill like this, it's the least you can do if you go behind...a..go...a...go...beyond, I'm sorry, let's get 89 votes and send it to the Governor's desk and see what he says. You know, maybe he'll veto out something else to help these counties, but county governments are broke and we're breaking them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I think there is a couple of things that have been overlooked. Number one, two years ago we sent this out and it went out of both Houses and it went to the Governor's desk and he vetoed it. Number two, some of those people from Cook County, I don't understand why you'd be voting yellow or red or anything else, because 25% of it is going to go back to your county and everyday you asked me to vote for a piece of pork for you and nothing for me, and one thing that we are doing here is that we are kind of sharing in the wealth and most of the wealth is up in your area and I would think you'd be very much appreciative of my efforts to help you pay some of the Bills that you have. Eight to ten million of it will go to your county and I think the other day you were in here wanting 8.8 million dollars to pay for a special election that the Governor crammed down your throat and here you are, you have an opportunity to get it again. Now we are up to 17.6 million and that's not too bad for one week. If you can do that for the six months that you are going to be down here, it won't be a whole hell of a lot less for the rest of us, but I think that if this Bill is worthy of 89 votes and I would appreciate the fact that if you put the other five on there."



you should not vote for it because you are not going to get your fair equitable share. While you are taking the money out of your right hand pocket, the State funds, when you put it back into your left hand pocket, the county funds, you are not going to get your fair share because DuPage and Will, the towns of the State, money people, are the ones that are going to get the returns and the small counties downstate who have the poor people who do not have rich people, will receive less money and I encourage you to think about this."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "The only thing I'm going to say, Mr. Speaker, is that there are people that are missing voting on this Bill and I think we are just spinning our wheels because I'm going to ask for a verification if it gets over 89...89."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I was going to say the same thing that Mr. Hill was...just requested so this...I...think... this is...this Bill really bears no relationship to the needs of the counties. I'm very much for helping the counties, but we just can't afford to utilize \$20,000,000 of State funds and that's the price tag on this Bill to help solve the local financial problems of counties are no rational...for no rational rhyme or reason formula and I respectfully request a red vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my no vote, I very much am in sympathy with the problems of the counties in terms of giving them revenue and in fact, my voting record indicates that I vote very often to support them, particularly the small counties. However, the thing I fear about this Bill is the basic concept of revenue involved in it. When we talk about income tax or sales tax or anyother kind of revenue, other than this, we are generally talking about a privilege which the State takes. But what people don't commonly understand is that the basis of the inheritance tax is the privilege which the State gives in terms of the capacity to inherit and the State, the sovereign State I'm talking about, in



relationship to its citizens and their right to pass property on from generation to generation. When we introduced the counties into this situation, I fear very much that we complicate seriously the potential litigation that will take place involved in this basic difference in the right to tax. I don't know how wide spread the problems would become, but you are dealing here in a revenue source which is considerably different under its common law nature than the other kinds of revenue which...with which we generally concern ourselves."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duester."

Duester: "Well Mr. Speaker in briefly urging some more green lights, I was going to say that some of the prior speakers have mentioned the concept of the distribution. We already distribute the funds. About all this Bill does is to change from 24% to 25%. Now that's just increasing the percentage so that it makes no difference really with the essential philosophy of making a distribution back to the counties on the basis of inheritance and wealth and who dies where. And actually it would be possible for some who are concerned that maybe the Governor couldn't live with this loss of revenue. He could always use his amendatory veto power and cut this back to 20% or 15% or something, but essentially all this is doing is just making a percentage change adjusting it upward and I would urge some more aye votes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Well Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, someone just said that inheritance is the gift of the State, if I understood rightly. I think that is the most outrageous statement I've heard here in a long time. Inheritance is not the gift of the State, it's the hard work and the blood and the energy that we put into the building the State, it's ours, it's the same as our background and thousands of thousands of years of history. That's no inheritance of the State I resent that idea. I think that when I go to my great reward whenever it shall be, it will be...I would prefer to think that my grand children will be able to recover a little bit of that instead of handing it over to someone that will pass it on to God knows where."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well Mr. Speaker...well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House,



I think we're talking about two different philosophies. We're talking about financing county government versus financing State government. And while I have great respect for the sponsor in attempting to help the counties, I think we are all undertaking that same serious situation where our counties need money. But let's take care of first things first. The State of Illinois is providing service for the county which was in for the common good and we're talking now of giving \$20,000,000 to the county with no justification for the needs of that \$20,000,000. We've had study after study and we should go from that premise, not only just giving \$20,000,000 to the counties that may or may not need it for any given service and I, too, think that this is a very bad concept and would ask for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Deavers.

Go ahead. The Clerk will take the record. Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Would you poll the absentees please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Poll the absentees. Representative Simms."

Simms: "A..yes, Mr. Speaker, I would like to change my vote from no to yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Change him from no to yes."

Fred Selcke: "Arnell. Gene Barnes. Brandt. Capparelli. Davis.

Ralph Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Fleck. Gaines. Garmisa. Getty.

Klosak. Kosinski. Leverenz. McPartlin. Meyer. Molloy. Rayson.

Shea. Cissy Steihl. Terzich. Yourell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully request a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman requests a verification. The Clerk will.. Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Due to the fact that it's Friday and I know a few of my good friends who wouldn't want to let me down aren't here and I move for postponed consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stiehl."

Stiehl: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Speaker Redmond: "He's moved for postponed consideration."

Stiehl: "Uh-huh."



Speaker Redmond: "I think we better let him have it. Postponed consideration. 404."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 404. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Representative Madigan in the Chair."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, and Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 404 amends the School Code. It provides that consumer education courses shall include, and I quote 'an understanding of the rolls of consumers, business and government in formulating and achieving the goals of competitive enterprise system'. Now we're not with this legislation, mandating anything new. We're only putting into the...into the Code some additional language in what should be taught in our consumer education course. The course has already been taught, it's just that we think that the free enterprise system that, as we know it today, needs to be explained to our children in a fuller roll insofar as how it encompasses the consumers with business and with government. And how it interreacts with agriculture, with business, with business and with government and I ask for the adoption of Senate Bill 404."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...Jerry...a..."

Madigan: "Do you wish to ask Mr. Bradley a question?"

Schneider: "That's correct, Mr...a...will the sponsor yield?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Schneider: "Would you explain the Amendment please, Representative Bradley?"

Bradley: "The Amendment eliminated or...yes, eliminated the word 'competitive' and inserted 'mixed free' instead of 'competitive' in the language..."

Schneider: "Would you...would you give me a quick run-down on what that means?"

Bradley: "Well the, I understand that in our textbooks in economics that the word 'mixed free' is used and not 'competitive' and so that we would be in line with the language of the textbooks, we put



in the mixed-free system."

Schneider: "Do you have a survey of all the textbooks in the State of Illinois? They used a mixed-free what? Economic system?"

Bradley: "It just deleted the word 'competitive' and used...they inserted the words 'mixed free'."

Schneider: "Now you're saying the American system is not a competitive system?"

Bradley: "No I didn't say that."

Schneider: "Well you said the words."

Bradley: "It's...it's referred to in the textbook as a mixed-free system instead of a competitive system so in order to not confuse the children that you're teaching in your school...a...we're trying to stay on the same keel all the time and use the same terminology."

Schneider: "But you're not able to do anything with the terminology yourself, right? And now you're expecting the students to comprehend a broad term like 'mixed free competitive enterprise system...a... this is gonna be...a...imposed through statutory requirement and yet that definition is so vague and so broad as to be a meaningless...a... use of the language."

Bradley: "You are already using mixed free in the textbooks today, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Well I'm not so sure that that's the ~~term~~ used and I'm not so sure that you can establish that except that you are reaching that conclusion kind of like a priority arrangement...a..."

Bradley: "...there was...there was testimony in your Committee that mixed free and I didn't hear any objections from you at that time..."

Schneider: "...I think you heard it about five days straight on five different Bills..."

Bradley: "...not on that...not on that term, mixed free, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Alright then, let me just speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Once again this Bill managed to get to the floor. Last year we defeated the Bill when it did reach the House, we defeated three Bills similar to this in the Committee and by the time we reached this one, it was a matter of exhaustion and finally, it passed out. What I would just suggest again is that, first of all, the



system in the State of Illinois when it deals with definitions of economic terminology requires a lot of work, a lot of explanation, and it is done in a variety of ways. It's done in economic classes, it's done in social studies history classes, we study, for example, the areas that relate to the depression which certainly must deal with the free enterprise system. We do study the panic of the 19th Century and other preceding periods in history. I don't see now why we are going to impose, once again, from that great School Board down in Springfield another requirement for the students that is meaninglessa goal that is unreachable, and just simply wastes our time of the School Board. If the School Boards want to, through some kind of an edict, determine that there is a hard, fast decision for mixed free enterprise...a...competitive economy, whatever that is, I think they can do that. We've got a lot more important things to deal with that to impose this from Springfield, I would solicit once again a no vote."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is that perennial Chamber of Commerce Bill that I think should be defeated. And I'm simply going to incorporate by reference the remarks of the previous speaker."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the house, I rise in support of this concept...this Bill...I introduced one similar to it myself on the House side as did Representative Bradley. It is back, yes it has survived, at least the Senate Bill has survived whereas the House Bills were not quite so successful, but the Senate Bill has survived because enough people saw enough merit in that and I might mention even the Members of the Education Committee. Finally, I think after we came back time and time again, began to see the wisdom of introducing to a greater extent than now, the concept of free enterprise and to get hung up over the terms, I think is silly and foolish. Whether we call it mixed free enterprise, whether we call it competitive enterprise, whether we call it just free enterprise, it makes very little difference, we're talking about the right of free men in this free country of ours to compete in an open market insofar as possible,



but be that as it may, the allegation....a...it's...it's ...asserted that the schools are already doing their job. Well I think the teachers are doing the best they can, probably to address themselves to this...to this...a...problem, but I don't think with any measureable success. Surveys have been taken and the surveys have shown that many college youngsters, and highschool youngsters in particular, don't even understand that the American businessman has to make a profit. He has to show a profit so that that profit can go back into research, it can go back into development of new products, it can go back to do a lot of things to make more business for more people so our people can become gainfully employed. We're now asking for a new course, all this Bill does is ask for an extension of a course that's already there on consumer education. This merely extends to some small degree the teacher's ability, it will give her a few little helps and guidelines to introduce this concept of freedom, Ladies and Gentlemen, that's what we are talking about. We live in a great country, we progressed, we've come to where we are because we've been free, we've been free to compete, free to develop our time...to...to use our time, our talents, and our energies. To do for other people, to participate in business. We've got the greatest land in the world, we produce more goods, we've become...a few years ago, we were known as the arsenal of democracy because of this. We were supplying arms and ammunitions, now we are supply food and other products to people all over the globe because of our system. I see no harm in extending just a little bit a course that's already there on consumer ed to help the teacher get some of these concepts and these ideas, freedom philosophies in the market place across to our young people in the classroom. Surely it's going to help them, it's going to help us all and it's going to help us preserve freedom. We can't have it, we lose our ability of economic freedom in this country, the other freedoms, I think, will...will fall. They're all inter-related and inter-dependent so I really see no great bugaboo here, nothing to be feared, I think it's a wholesome thing, it's a good thing, I would encourage you all sincerely and honestly to support this Bill. It's modest in its requirements and I do feel that it is a step in the



right direction. I urge you, my colleagues, to consider favorably an aye vote on this good Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would not like to refer to any Bill proposed in this House or in the Senate as a 'Mickey-Mouse Bill'. And I won't refer to this as a 'Mickey-Mouse Bill', but I'm thinking about what good the adoption of an enactment of this law would do for the welfare of the people of the State of Illinois. I'm really trying very hard to see what good can come of a Bill that we are going to spend about ten or fifteen minutes with. I would suggest that not only will no good at all result, but confusion and some degree of counter-productiveness if teachers in our schools try to follow the mandate of this Bill. This Bill has, frankly, two mandates. The first one is to explain to our students the rolls of consumers in business and government. Now I submit to you that if you were to get the faculty of the Harvard Business School, the University of Chicago, Columbia University, and all the best brains in this country to try to agree as to the rolls of the consumer and the businessmen of government, you'd get absolutely no consensus whatever. But the big one is to explain the goal of our mixed system or whatever it is. Now how can you get any kind of consensus or understanding of value judgement in our pluralistic society. I would suggest that if this Bill does anything at all, it would be to try to indoctrinate students into the values of the specific teachers that have charge of that classroom and I say this Bill should be buried once and for all and let's get on with other business. Thank you."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Madigan: "The question is shall the main question now be put. All those in favor signify by saying aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley, to conclude the debate."

Bradley: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker, and Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have to emphasize that this is not new



Legislation. In the wisdom of the former General Assembly, the Consumer Education Act was already passed. We're simply adding some additional language and for some very good reasons. Now I have complete confidence that the teachers in our great State can handle the...the mandate that I hope that we give them here today and include it in their consumer education courses. I don't think that it is that complicated. The Bill came out of the Committee, it was either 18 to 2 or 17 to 2 after a fair hearing and it's not, I think somebody referred to it as the Association of Commerce Bill, but the Illinois Consumer Education Association is in favor of it, the Illinois Council on Economic Education, the Illinois Agricultural Association, the Illinois State Chamber, the A.F.L.C.I.O., and they are all in favor of are supporting this Bill. I don't think it is anything that is going to turn around the thinking of our students, but at least we are going to make them aware that we do have a free enterprise system and teach them a little bit about what it is all about. And I don't think that will hurt any of them so I ask for a favorable vote."

Madigan: "The question is shall Senate Bill 404 pass. All those in favor signify by voting aye. All those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 106 ayes, 30 noes, 7 voting present. Senate Bill 404 having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 432."

Fred Seicke: "Senate Bill 432. Washington. An Act to amend the Fair Employment Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington. Is Mr. Washington on the floor? On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 449. Out of the record. On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 456."

Fred Seicke: "Senate Bill 456. Schisler. A Bill for an Act to amend the Game Code of 1971. Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler."



Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 456 simply would allow the State to charge \$5 for a migratory water fowl stamp and I move for the adoption of the Senate Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, would the sponsor yield please?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Hirschfeld: "A...Representative, I wonder if you can tell me the purpose behind imposing an additional \$5 on migratory wild life from the State of Illinois when they are already paying a license fee and who pay a national duck stamp fee now in order to hunt these birds because of course, they are under federal control?"

Schisler: "Yes,...a...I would say to the distinguished Representative that this would go for a...a...a non-profit organization such as Ducks Unlimited to help with the nesting areas such as in Canada and when you talk about \$5 to a hunter, you're talking about one box of shotgun shells so I think the cost is rather small."

Madigan: "Mr. Hirschfeld?"

Hirschfeld: "Was this Bill sponsored at the request of Ducks Unlimited? Or the Illinois Wildlife Federation?"

Schisler: "This..this is a...a...originator in the Senate, Representative, sponsored by Representative Buzby and I'm not real sure who asked for it, but I know that the Wildlife Federation and all of the organizations in the State are behind this."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker?"

Madigan: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "I have my serious doubts about this, being a member of Ducks Unlimited myself. I have heard nothing from the Illinois Wildlife Federation or D.U., either one, that they are supporting this concept. As a matter of fact, Ducks Unlimited is a not-for-profit corporation that used its own money in order to promote breeding grounds in Canada and it has been extremely successful and to the best of my knowledge has never moved for any type of a tax on hunters in order to do that. It's all a voluntary proposition and the thing that really bothers me about this is, of course, the sponsor



of the Bill is from an area that has a number of wildlife refuges and as I read the digest it says it is for the purposes of promoting waterfowl refuges in Illinois, all of which lie in his particular area of the State...a...for one thing; and secondly, those are already being promoted by the federal government because this is a migratory bird. And I think this is a very bad concept, I think it is a pork-barrel concept for that part of the State and I don't think it is necessary, I think the State is infringing, in this case, on areas that are best controlled by the federal government and I, for one, as a hunter and am sympathetic with many of our elderly hunters to whom \$5 is a great deal of money, I would oppose this Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Yes, Mr. Chairman, I would have to agree. Although Representative Schisler, I know, is an avid outdoorsmen this year I've seen legislation come on this floor...a...wanting...a...to charge fees for sportsmen or fishermen's children to run their outboard motors, additional fees for gun registration, all kinds of things that go against the outdoorsmen and sportsmen. I would ask the House not to support this. And it may be on the price of a box of shotgun shells, it's getting damn hard to find something to shoot a box of shotgun shells at in the State of Illinois at anymore. So I ask for non-support of this Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I feel that this is good legislation and if those two Gentlemen that had spoken previously would have looked at the last bulletin for Ducks Unlimited they would have found that the State of Iowa has already gone through this course. And I say to you that when it becomes hard to find something to shoot, you've got to get back to where you've produced it originally and that is exactly what this does. If we can go ahead with this type of legislation and all of us know that duck hunters aren't a bit bashful about paying for this thing, I think this is good legislation and I urge an aye vote."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Marion, Mr. Friedrich."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if some of this money



could be used to reimburse the farmers for the wheat and corn that is being eaten up by the geese in our area, I'd be glad to vote for it. What they're wanting is a little bit of decrease in the goose population, not an increase."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler, to close the debate."

Schisler: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would say to the distinguished Gentleman from Champaign that I am also a member of Ducks Unlimited and that this money will be used for the nesting grounds so that we will have something to hunt. And just to reiterate, \$5...a...in the millions and millions of dollars that are spent by hunters in the State of Illinois is just a pittance and I would ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Madigan: "The question is shall Senate Bill 456 be passed. All those in favor signify by voting aye. All those opposed by voting no. The Clerk will take the record. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld, to explain his vote."

Hirschfeld: "I withdraw my opposition, I've been informed that D. U. does support the Bill and so I withdraw my opposition."

Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are...there are 113 ayes, 15 noes, 9 voting present. Senate Bill 456 having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 496."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 496. A Bill for an Act in relation to retention and compatability of certain public offices. Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "Who's the sponsor?"

Fred Selcke: "Grotberg."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 496 is the Bill that we called the other day and had a little discussion over and took it out of the record and all of the problems have been resolved in it. There's a little stronger Bill in



the Senate dealing with the same issue and we want to make sure that both Bills go through. It resolves the question of the incompatibility of townships' supervisors serving on the County Board pursuant to the Attorney General's ruling of a few weeks ago. It does now, with this Act and when appropriately signed by the Governor, make it lawful for them to hold for township supervisors who are now County Board members, to simultaneously hold both offices until twenty days following the township election of 1977. And there's a Section 3 in it which validates all of their acts in the interim which may have been in question and I would move for the passage of Senate Bill 496."

Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is...for what...the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from LaSalle, Mr. Anderson."

Anderson: "Where is it listed on the calendar? I can't find it."

Madigan: "The Bill was inadvertently omitted from the calendar by the printer. And the question is shall Senate Bill 496 be passed. All those in favor signify by voting aye. All those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wished? Will someone push Mugalian's switch aye? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 ayes, 3 noes, 2 voting present. Senate Bill 496 having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order...for what purpose does the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, arise?"

Cunningham: "Well I didn't object to proceeding because I wanted to vote green, but have we established a precedent now that inadvertent omissions from the calendar waives the rule requirement that it be in future calendars and if so, is it available to all of the Members on both sides of the aisle."

Madigan: "You've asked me a question that I don't know the answer to, Representative Cunningham, could..."

Cunningham: "When you get the answer, let me know."

Madigan: "Could you go back into the Speaker's office and ask him?"

Cunningham: "Shall I tell him you sent me."

Madigan: "Well...he's available, he's back there."



Cunningham: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of Bill with the following title: House Bill 2998 together with the following Amendments thereto and the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House, passed the Senate, as amended, June 6, 1975. Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Madigan: "On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 522. Take that out of the record. On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 529. Is Mr. Williams on the floor? On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bill 572."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 572. An Act to amend Section 2 of an Act to provide for licensing..."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Beaupre.."

Fred Selcke: "...Third Reading of the Bill."

Beaupre: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 572 addresses itself to a problem that recently arose when it appeared that the Attorney General was about to rule that insurance adjustors fell within the provisions of the Private Detective Licensing Act. I'm sure that all of you can envision the kind of difficulty involved in an insurance adjustor being required to be licensed as a private detective. The most honourous of which is that the requirement that one have at least five years of police training on an active police force. I don't think that the provision of the Private Detective Act were intended to apply to those who investigate accidents for insurance companies. That's all the Bill does and I would ask for your favorable support."

Madigan: "Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Peters: "A...Representative Beaupre, does this legislation do anything in regard to the requirement that an individual must be a citizen of



United States?"

Beaupre: "Well it's...a...if this legislation passes, insurance adjustors would not be subject to licensing. They are currently not subject to licensing now, there is...a...apparently an Attorney General's opinion now that indicates that because of their investigating activities..."

Peters: "...Representative, I have no question on that part of it, I'm just reading the digest and the digest indicates that this Bill would delete the requirement...a...for registration referring to U. S. citizenship."

Beaupre: "If you'll note Senate Amendment #1 in the digest strikes everything after the enacting clause of the Bill."

Peters: "Alright, thank you."

Madigan: "Is there any further discussion? There being no further discussion, the question is shall Senate Bill 572 be passed. All those in favor signify by voting aye. All those opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Thehave all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 122 ayes, 3 noes, 9 voting present. Senate Bill 572 having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears ~~Senate Bill~~ 609. Again, this Bill was inadvertently omitted from the calendar by the printer. Senate Bill 609."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 609. An Act to amend Section 13 of the Environmental Protection Act. Third Reading of the Bill. Bradley."

Madigan: "Is Mr. Bradley on the floor? Mr. Bradley? Take that out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "Okay."

Madigan: "On the order of Senate Bills' Third Reading appears Senate Bills 645."

Fred Selcke: "645. Senate Bill 645. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Aeronautics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker, could I have permission to bring the Bill back to Second Reading for the purpose of a very simple Amendment that



was brought up in Committee, we had a chance to put it in."

Madigan: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave being granted Senate Bill 645 shall be placed on the order of Second Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment number...you got it?"

Giglio: "Amendment #...."

Fred Selcke: "Wait a minute, I haven't read it yet. Amendment #1, Giglio. Amends Senate Bill 645 and so forth."

Giglio: "What it does it just adds a line on line 20 that was...some people misunderstood it and interpreted it and what we did, we tried to clean it up and make it very understandable. It says 'anybody performing any maintenance on an aircraft and that's under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any narcotic drug or other controlled substance to a degree'...here's the Amendment... 'to a degree which renders the person incapable of safely performing any such Act'. It's to protect those if they are sick and under the doctor's control with some narcotics or some controllable substance that they are still permitted to perform as a mechanic to work on the plane. I would ask for your favorable support."

Madigan: "Would you like to move for the adoption of the Amendment first?"

Giglio: "That's what I'm asking, that's the Amendment. I move that the Amendment be adopted."

Madigan: "Mr. Giglio has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 645 and on that question the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ron Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, just one quick question. In whose judgement then, would be the degree that he would be rendered incapable of working on the aircraft? Who makes the judgement?"

Madigan: "Mr. Giglio?"

Giglio: "Well that's...that's where the hairy part comes in, Representative Hoffman, we felt that in Section 2, where it says...on line 13, where it says... 'anybody that knowingly would know of anybody that is under the intoxication or under the influence of drugs could not do it'. Nobody really knows, but except, perhaps, the person himself. Just like driving a car, who knows if you are intoxicated, if you had one drink or twenty drinks, but this...they



felt downstairs in the Reference Bureau, I spent some time trying to go over it and truthfully two lawyers said you didn't need it and two said you did, and they thought with this Amendment that would help the Bill and perhaps, not make it as controversial."

Hoffman: "Well the question in my mind would be if a technician is on medication, a controlled substance, and he is working under a supervisor and the supervisor not knowing whether this Gentleman is beyond that point or not, he would make a critical mistake to that aircraft to create an accident or something like that, wouldn't then the responsibility and the liability then fall on the immediate supervisor for not making, in his judgement, a determination as to his capabilities?"

Giglio: "Well I would...I would say yes and under the Act...the Section 2...a...that person, his supervisor, would have the authority, the way I interpret the Bill, to ask that individual if he has a prescription, say from the doctor or if he's under the doctor's care, and perhaps from there determine what is the proper dosage and what he is taking."

Hoffman: "And what you are telling me is then the supervisor, in his best judgement, even if he's in doubt, would take this man off the aircraft."

Giglio: "Yes, I would interpret that."

Hoffman: "I would buy that."

Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Lady from Cook, Mrs. Catania."

Catania: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I raise the question in Committee when this Bill was there about people who had to be taking drugs that were on a controlled substances list in order to control some malfunction such as epilepsy, people who, for example, had not had seizures for two or three years and had established that this medication was very adequately controlling the disease and who may now well be working for airlines in Illinois as grounds mechanics with controlled situations. Under the Bill, as it originally stood, these people would automatically lose their jobs, I believe that in the best judgement of the Legislative Reference Bureau, this Amendment



corrects that situation and I think it's a good Amendment."

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Will the sponsor yield to a question?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Kosinski: "Mr. Giglio, what...when...we passed the House, can implied consent can in any way protect the pilots of this Amendment?"

Giglio: "I didn't get that."

Kosinski: "Will implied consent protect the pilot through this Amendment?"

Giglio: "Implied consent? What does that mean?"

Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Will the Gentleman yield?"

Madigan: "The sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Peters: "Representative Giglio, is there any definition in the Bill, itself, as to what constitutes controlled substance or what classes in the controlled substance?"

Giglio: "No, the Bill is just a one-page Bill, but it does under the Controlled Substance Act that we follow in the State, that's my understanding."

Peters: "If I might speak to the Bill, Mr. Speaker."

Madigan: "Mr. Peters."

Peters: "I think Representative Giglio, in terms of Senate Bill 645, has a very good and solid Bill. I think the Amendment goes to weakening what he wants to get at. In terms of controlled substance in the question raised by, I believe Representative Catania, when we talk about controlled substance drugs to control epilepsy or to control another malady of this nature, we are talking about the kind of drugs which put people in a condition which they can continue to work and to work properly. Without those kind of drugs, they do not function normally. The other half of controlled substance drugs, however, is to either stimulate or depress the nervous system to take a person outside of what might be normal kind of activity and I think that Amendment does open up the entire Act here in the making of a determination of these controlled substance drugs a very dangerous one and a very delicate one for the supervisor or whoever is making



them and I would urge opposition to the Amendment."

Giglio: "Roll Call...I would ask for your favorable support, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye...the question is shall the Amendment be adopted. All in favor indicate by saying aye. Opposed no. The ayes have it; the Amendment is adopted. Third Reading. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bills' Third Reading, 675."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 675. A Bill for an Act to vacate, extinguish, ~~bann~~ easements for highway purposes in Lee County, Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill 675 vacates the highway easement over a barrel pit and a stream channel in Lee County. It was not intended to be a permanent easement when it was purchased by the State back in March 22, 1949. This now creates a blemish on the title of the property, it's located at the interchange of South Valley College and it's involved in another land transaction between the D.O.T. and the landowner for a road into South Valley College. It's an agreed transferral by the Department and I checked it out with the Dixon office of the Department of Transportation, Land Acquisition Division, and they support it. It passed the Senate Committee by 11 to nothing, in the Senate Chambers, 42 to nothing, and I ask for its favorable vote here on the floor."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass. All in favor vote aye. Opposed...Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Will the sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "You indicated that the State had paid for this...for the acquisition of this easment previously. Will there be any consideration paid by the land owner to the State for the return of it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Representative Schlickman, it was purchased for \$470 in 1949 and I have no...a...I have no information other than that given to me by the Department where it would be turned back free and clear to the property owner with no other money changing hands. So therefore



there would not be...the State is giving it back to Mr. Shick as the property owner."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it seems to me that if this land owner received money for the easement at the outset, then as a condition for the return of the easement to him, he should pay the State his fair market value. That has been the case with all previous easement vacation by this Body and as a consequence, I would urge a no vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote aye. Opposed vote no. Have all voted who wished? Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, may I explain my vote?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Mugalian: "There apparently isn't a lot of money involved in this, but it seems to me that it dramatizes the case of principle. A clear cut case of principle on which we may cast our vote and in explaining my no vote, I would merely like to say that in principle, it makes no sense at all to give back the property to someone who received money for its original exchange in the first place and I can't see how anybody would vote for this kind of concept."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 95 aye, 18 nay, the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 704."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 704. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to have leave of the House to take Senate Bill 704 back to Second Reading for the purpose of tabling an Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 704 will be returned to the order of Second Reading. Representative Schisler."

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, I move to table Floor Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 704."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to table Amend-



ment #1 to ah... Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Lechowicz: "Well, what did the Floor Amendment do?"

Schisler: "It said simply ah.. that the ah.. principle offices of the State Scholarship Commission ah.. shall be located in Springfield ah... by the Amendment ah... that was the Amendment. Now the Bill would read that ah... simply that the Illinois State Scholarship Commission shall have established by July 1, 1977, and office in Chicago and an office in Springfield."

Lechowicz: "O'kay. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman moves to reconsider the vote by which Amendment #1 was adopted. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it. Representative Schisler. Now Representative Shisler ah.. moves to table Amendment #1. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 705."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 705. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 705 is a Bill that allows for the elimination of the proportional representation requirement on unit school districts, unit school district boards after this date. That's about it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye'.... Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, will the Gentleman yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Walsh: "Did this.... is the requirement now that representation on a board ah.. for a unit school district be proportionate to the amount of unincorporated or rural area that that district has ah.. to the urban areas that that district has?"

Skinner: "No."



Walsh: "What then does this Bill do?"

Skinner: "At the present time ah.. if you have ah.. I believe it's over ah... let's see..... no township in a muliti-township unit district may have more than four members on a school board. Now that's absurd especially if 95% of the people live in one township, which is going to be the case if we form a unit district in Carrick."

Walsh: "I see, ah.. it's not urban and rural ah.. it's by township is ah.. the way that the representation ah..."

Skinner: "Right. This is an extension of the one person, one vote principle."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions?"

Skinner: "Excuse me. Could I stress that this only will effect those unit districts that are formed after January 1, 1975. So you know ah... if you've got a unit district ah... you don't have to worry. If you're from the small end of the township, you're still going to have your representative."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Berman: "Cal, will this Bill in any way deter consolidation or affect it in any way?"

Skinner: "As a matter of fact, it will encourage it."

Berman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish?"

Skinner: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members."

Speaker Redmond: "The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 116 'aye' and no 'nay' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 742."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 742, Capparelli. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Caparelli."



Capparelli: Take it out of the record please, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 753."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 753, Calvo. A Bill for an Act authorizing the Director of Conservation to convey certain real property. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill that transfers a piece of property near Alton to the Southern Illinois University from the Department of Conservation. It has the approval of the Department of Conservation. And I know of no opposition. By Amendment there's also a transfer of a ah... other small piece of property to be used by the Village of Maryville in the district ah.. for a water tower. And ah.. the department desires this be done and there is an Amendment on the main Bill that the property shall always be kept in its natural state and any interference therewith ah.. it will return to the Department of Conservation. I would appreciate you favorable vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is shall..... Representative Walsh. The question is shall this Bill pass. Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 120 'aye' and no 'nay' and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. 767."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 767, McPartlin. A Bill for an"

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the record. 779. Is Representative Berman here?"

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 779, Berman. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 779 is a step to alleviate some of the ah... administrative burdens that are imposed upon the School Board of the City of Chicago. It is a permissive Bill to allow an attorney to act as hearing officer in dismissal matters. The hearing officer would be ah.. recommendatory to the entire board. This will ease up the number of hours that the board now involves itself in on these hearings. I would ask you affirmative approval."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Representative Ebbesen."



Ebbesen: "Ah... Representative Berman, ah.. this only effects the ah... City of Chicago?"

Berman: "Yes, Sir."

Ebbesen: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any other questions? Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Yes, Mr. Speaker ah.... will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Brinkmeier: "In Committee ah... as I recall, Representative Lucco brought to our attention the fact that ah.. the hearing officer would have to be a licensed attorney. Now I'm wondering ah.. if you've given any consideration to ah.. eliminating that specific requirement? I can't understand why certain other people who have education backround ah.. couldn't just as well serve as a hearing officer as a licensed attorney."

Berman: "Well, Bob, ah.. you're asking the wrong fellow for an opinion on that. All I can say is that I did give it consideration."

Brinkmeier: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative VanDuyne."

Duyne: "Ah... will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

VanDuyne: "Ah... Mr. Berman, wouldn't this, in effect, give the school board the authority to fire a person by proxy? Ah.... they could just send them a notice and ah... whoever the person was that was fired ah.. would just simply have no recourse but to go to this unbiass ah...quote unquote ah.. attorney?"

Berman: "Well, no ah.. Leroy, we're not taking away the ultimate responsibility of who has to act. The Board of Education still must act in a public hearing to make a determination. The difference is is that the actual hearing itself ah.. will be in front of ah... as in the language of this Bill, an impartial hearing officer. May I merely point out that the ah... union ah.. does not appear in opposition to this Bill. And I'm sure that if there was any threat to their membership, we certainly would have heard from them."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question?"



Berman: "Yes."

Walsh: "How is the hearing officer selected?"

Berman: "By the board."

Walsh: "By the board exclusively? Ah.. the teacher who's being heard has no input in this at all?"

Berman: "That's right. Ah... let me explain. This is not an alternative to the Bill that we had passed out for school districts outside of Chicago ah... mandating hearing officers. This is not the same thing. This is an administrative approach to ah.. ease up Chicago's ah.. problem as far as the time that the present board members involve themselves in on these dismissal hearings. Ah... personally, I would have no objection to ah.. making that hearing officer Bill that we passed for ah.. non-Chicago districts applicable, but that's not this Bill and I'm not selling it as the alternative. This is an administrative Bill to allow a hearing officer to sit in on these hearings instead of members of the board."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Bill does improve slightly the hearing process and as ah... Representative Berman suggests ah.. that it does relieve the board of the burden of ah...processing the hearings for teachers. And it does place that responsibility in the hands of a hearing officer, but I do think also that the recommendation is subject to the board approval. Is that not right, Art?"

Berman: "Yes."

Schneider: "Right. So ah.. although it's not the best procedure in terms of providing ah.. probably an independent hearing officer, what it does do, in my judgement, is begin to ah.. address itself to becoming fair and equitable in terms of hearing and I would solicit an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? Representative Lucco."

Lucco: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I certainly am in sympathy with this particular Bill, however, ah.. I would like for the esteemed Representative Berman to attach an Amendment to this striking 'for licensed attorney'. I think that if we get this Bill and it applies



to Chicago, which is all right with me, that eventually that Bill or that type of a Bill will be extended to the rest of the State of Illinois. And personally, I believe that the hearing officer should be an impartial hearing officer. He can be without having to be a licensed attorney. And I would just like for the hearing officer to be an impartial person, not necessarily an impartial licensed attorney. I'm wondering if the Sponsor of the Bill would consider now an Amendment taking this back to Second Reading and putting on an Amendment striking the licensed attorney as the only impartial officer. I believe the members of the Board of Education do have the final say so in this matter and they generally are not licensed attorneys and as a result, I don't think it's necessary for the licensed attorney to be the hearing officer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "May I respond? I didn't mean to be flipant in my response to Representative Brinkmeier, but let me elaborate on why ah.. I did not proceed with an Amendment. I think that what we have to do here is recognize that a hearing officer is going to sit in and there will be a record made and that recommendation is going to be made regarding the ultimate dismissal of a teacher. Now I'm very concerned about the due process rights of that teacher. And I think that it can only be an improvement, both from the point of view of the teachers and from the point of view of the Board of Education interest, to have a person who has some knowledge of the rules of evidence and the rules of procedure. It doesn't ah... that means that inherently it ... it probably would do a better job by having a attorney because if there is ah... an improper recommendation of dismissal that the board would follow through on ah... this matter may wind up in the courts. And I think that we are going to save ourselves a lot of time, a lot of trouble, a lot of money, and probably in the long run... safeguard the interest of that teacher by having an attorney there. And that is the reason why I think that it's proper to have a requirement ah... especially from the point of the view of the person who may be dismissed by having an attorney as a hearing officer."

Speaker Redmond: Representative Lucco."



Lucco: "I agree with Representative Berman ah.. accept this. I believe that it is fine for the attorney to be there representing the client ah.. the teacher and the attorney to be there representing the Board of Education. I'm sure that those two attorneys would be there, but I don't believe that the impartial hearing officer need be an attorney. And that's the point that I'm raising. I agree with the impartiality of the attorney and all that, but I hate to discriminate against anyone else in any other walk of life or in any other profession and say that he cannot assist impartially as a hearing officer. I go along with the fact that the attorney should be there. I still think that he should consider the an Amendment striking out 'licensed attorney'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I would concur with the previous speaker. I'm not in opposition to what's being did here, but having a bit of experience in arbitration hearings and what you have in the labor field.... ah... I cannot understand the need for this to be simply a prerequisite as a licensed attorney being the hearing officer. I think that the concept is good, but I would be very leery to restrict it to just licensed attorney. I can't see that there's a need. I think that the issues that are involved are not so complicated as ah.. to that extent that a prerequisite should be a licensed attorney. There are other issues involved as it relates to educational procedure and I'm not sure that a licensed attorney can have any more expertise in that field than other ah.... than some other layman person that has more direct relationship to the educational system that's involved and that is in question. I think that the thrust of the Bill is excellent, but ah.. supporting is as only being confirmed to a licensed attorney to be the hearing officer, I think is a mistake. I ah.. I'm not going to opposed it, but I'm not going to vote for it either."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Waddell: "The last time that we had this Bill up ah.. they had a provision ah.. and I don't have it here. They had a provision for \$200 a day and expenses. Does that still exist?"



Berman: "That's not this Bill."

Waddell: "What are the limitations?"

Berman: "There is none. They will be determined... their compensation will be determined by the ah... ah... by the Chicago Board. Mr. Speaker, let's take this out of the record and I'll...."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the record. I may advise the Members that we're aiming for a 3:00 get away here. 804."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 804, Farley."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Farley on the floor? He doesn't appear to be. Take it out of the record. 819."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 819, Jaffe. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 819 does exactly what the Digests says. It amends the School Board by reducing the age eligibility requirements for membership on school boards and offices of trustees of schools to eighteen years of age. I think we passed out a Bill similar to this by Representative Peters and I would urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass. Representative Walsh. 3:00."

Walsh: "I can't talk that long, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and...."

Speaker Redmond: "Want to bet?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, even though we did pass out a Bill similar to this ah... it should be brought to the attention of the Members that the Senate may ah.. execute a little wisdom ah.. that they are not known for, but it's possible that they may beat it over there and that when we pass this Bill now ah.. it goes from here to the Governor's desk and if the Governor has a weak moment ah.. then we have eighteen year olds on the school board and I suggest to you that that is not a good idea. There should be a little judgement in school board members and it's not necessarily follow that because someone can vote in a General Election... that they ought to be put in charge of the expenditures of millions of dollars and ah... establish policy over what can and cannot be taught. I suggest



that this is a bad Bill and we should beat it here and let the Senate do that to the House Bill that went over there."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Gentleman yield?"

Jaffe: "Ya."

McGrew: "Arron, as you know ah... we had the Bills up to provide for eighteen year olds the right to consume alcoholic beverages specifically beer and wine. I opposed it at the age of eighteen and asked that it be considered nineteen because that's the age that most kids are out of school. They are either out on their own or married or in college or they have a much more sense of responsibility than they do at eighteen. And I was wondering what your feelings would be ah... on an Amendment to provide this for nineteen? I'm sorry, but I didn't realize that the Bill was going to be moved that quickly."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Well, you know, Sam, ah.. if you look at the law ah.. in most offices you can hold office at age eighteen. You can be Mayor of the City of Chicago at age eighteen if you want to. You could probably be President of the County Board too. And I think that all this really does is conform it with the law that we have elsewhere. I would like to say that this really doesn't mandate that ah.. you know, that eighteen year olds are going to be on the board. They still have to run and they still have to be elected. So I think the eighteen year old concept is a good one. The Senate Committee passed it out, we passed it out one time and I think we oughta pass it out again."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

McGrew: "My personal opinion is, Sir, that the whole thing should have been nineteen in the first place. And I'm sorry, but I don't think that two wrongs don't necessarily make a right."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've noticed a lot of lack of faith in our youth. I'd like to ask who knows more about what's right and wrong in high school than the most recent graduate. I know my administration in my school board didn't have any



conception of what was coming off the year I graduated and they probably still don't. What are we afraid of? Does anybody really seriously think that even one eighteen to twenty-one year old will get elected in ah... in virtually any high school district or ah... grade school district or unit school district in this state? I doubt if even one will. And it seems to me that you ought to give them the opportunity to participate in democracy... in fact, if there is anything wrong with this Bill, it's that it doesn't take it down to age sixteen. We want to get them interested in democracy, right. They have their civic classes then they go out before they couldn't vote. Now they don't vote because maybe they don't think that there's anything at stake. Well, they know that something is at stake in the school system because nobody is satisfied with it right now. That type of involvement would be good."

Speaker Redmond: "3:15 is approaching. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "I'll explain my vote. Go ahead, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier. The question is shall this Bill pass. All those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I urge consideration of this measure. When we give the eighteen year olds the right to vote, we give them the right to be a full citizen. And I contend that there are more problems when we have the non-voting school member than one who is elected by the people because then he can sit on all of the hearings. And it's high time that he learn something about what's going on and what it costs to maintain the schools."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to oppose this and mainly from a selfish interest ah.. with again ah.. with Illinois State University. And with our local school board that you can elect a member with about a thousand votes. We got 18,500 students ah... about half of those are registered in the town. And I can see that the school board in unit district #5 would probably be seven eighteen year olds from the university. So that bothers me a little bit and I would appreciate some 'no' votes."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, ah.. I would concur with the remarks made by Representative McGrew. I think we should take into consideration that if... if an eighteen year old is elected that in all probability, that person would still be in the school... in the high school and serving on the school board. And I don't think that this would be a good situation. I voted for the lowering of the... the voting age to eighteen and I supported most of them, but I think this is one instance that ah... where common sense dictates to me ah.. at least that we shouldn't go this far. I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 97 'aye' and 36 'no' and the Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, I was going to suggest a little earlier that if any buttons had been pushed by people that weren't here, that perhaps whoever pushed the button could put it over on 'present' in the interest of time ah... rather than take another Roll Call, I think it's a serious matter and I would be the last one that would want to suggest a verification. If there's anybody not here ah... why don't you push the button 'present!'"

Speaker Redmond: "834, Representative Mugalian."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 834. A Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Third Reading of the Bill. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill very similar to one that ah.. this House passed about three weeks ago. It creates the offense of sound piracy. It tries to deal with the illegal trafficking in counterfeit or pirated sound recordings that are made by the ah.. established record companies. What this practice does is to deprive the musicians and the composers and the artists of legitimate royalty. It's a widespread practice ah.. and it's very similar to the Bill that originated in the House. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass."



Those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 125 'aye' and 1 'no' and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed.

I'd like to make an Amendment ah... I mean an announcement. There's going to be a meeting of the Pension's Personnel at 10:30 Monday in the Senate. That's the Senate Personnel and Pensions, which ^a is before we will come back here. Also the Senate Revenue Committee Monday at 10:30. And Senate Local Government Committee on Monday at 10:30. Now we are in the process of distributing the lists to all of the Sponsors of House Bills in the Senate. There's one other Committee that's meeting Monday and that's the ah... that is prior to the time that we get here and that is the Senate Executive Committee. We're in the process of preparing the notices for the Members, but I would caution you ah... anyone who has something in the Senate ah.. Executive Committee Monday ah... you should be sure and go back to the Speaker's Office and make sure that the ah.. notice is available for you. Now as we said before ah.. we're trying to wind down here by 3:00. On the order of concurrence ah... is the order of business. On the order of concurrence appears House Bill 2238, Representative Jane Barnes. Take that one out of the record. 2998, Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2298 is the deficiency appropriation which we have discussed for the Department of Registration and Education. The Senate attached two Amendments. The first deleted about \$17,000 and specifically detailed people who are not to receive this pay. I have serious reservations about the appropriateness of this type of an Amendment. I think it raises a very serious constitutional questions ah... on whether it is appropriate. I find myself in a very difficult situation because ah.. employees of the Department of Registration and Education would not be paid if we could not adopt this deficiency today and get it to the Comptroller. Therefore, I'm going to have to move to concur. Likewise, there's a second Senate Amendment, which deletes \$50,000 from the testing program... the licensing..... and this is money that would go to outside sources to conduct licensing exams, but I think it would put a serious crimp on....."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker, a point of parliamentary inquiry. I don't have House Bill 2298 on my Calendar. "

Speaker Redmond: "It's on a Supplemental Calendar."

Madison: "I'm sorry. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed, Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I think that the department has made a major step forward in trying to upgrade the licensing procedure. And I'm not appreciative of the difficulty they will be put in in terms of this reduction, but again... for the same reason, because of all of the employees and the need for them to receive their pay ah.. I'm going to have to move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 and Senate Amendment #2 and I have ah.. discussed this with the Appropriations Chairman and the Minority Spokesman and the Members of the other side of the aisle and I would ask for an 'aye' vote on concurring on House Bill 2998,"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Shea: "I don't understand. If you're not happy with the Amendment, then why are we concurring with it ah.. if you think that there's something wrong with it?"

Houlihan: "Jerry, I was trying to explain ah... you might have been in conversation ah..."

Shea: "No, I was listening and you said something about....."

Speaker Redmond: "I think that the inquiry is out of order. He's moved to concur and it's not really important. The Gentleman has moved to concur with Senate Amendments #1 and #2 to House Bill 2998. The question is on concurrence and this is final action. Those in favor vote 'aye' and those opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? It take 89 votes. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 108 'aye' and 12 'no' and the House does concur in Senate Amendments #1 and #2. On the order of Speaker's Table appears.... the report on the election contest. Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the final report on the



qualification challenge to Representative Ronald A. Stearney, in the Marcantonio vs Stearney Election Contest in the 20th Legislative District. The Committee recommends that the challenge to the residency qualification of Ronald A. Stearney as a Representative from the 20th Legislative District in the 79th General Assembly, be dismissed and that action taken by the Committee be approved and adopted by the full House. This is adopted by the Committee on a Roll Call vote of 13 to 0 on June 3, 1975. I move for the adoption of the Committee Report."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is on the ah... does the House adopt the Committee recommendation on the Election Contest following the qualifications of Representative Stearney. All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it. The Report is adopted. Resolutions. Representative Williams."

Williams: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. At this time I would request a leave of the House ah... that the rules be suspended on posting so that Judiciary II Committee can on Tuesday, June 10th ah.. consider drafting a substitute Committee Bill for House Bill 892. I discussed this with the Republican Leadership and I discussed it with the Chairman and I know of no opposition to this. I'd like leave of the House to do this."

Speaker Redmond: "Leave granted? I've been advised that we would be safer if we had a Roll Call vote on the adoption of the ah.. the report on the Election Commission. So we'll return to that. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Mann, 'aye'. Take the record. Appeal the judgement of the Chair? The Chair is not sustained. Have all voted who wish? Maragos, 'aye'. Lauer, 'aye'. Deuster, 'no'. Deavers, 'aye'. Deuster, 'aye'. Dump this Roll Call and take another one. Take a second Roll Call. All in favor vote 'aye'. Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to ask leave to have the Members of the Sub-Committee on the Election Contest of ah...Money vs. Mahar excused from attending Monday so that they can proceed with the Election Contest. The Members are Representative McPartlin, DiPrima, Huff, Bluthardt, Collins, and also Representative



Mahar who is involved in the contest."

Speaker Redmond: "Is there Leave? Leave is granted. Take the record on this one. Resolutions. On this question there are 157 'aye' and no 'nay' and the report is adopted."

Jack O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution #59. Resolved by the House of Representatives, 79th General Assembly, State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein, that when the House of Representatives adjourns on Friday, June 6, 1975 that it stands adjourned until Monday, June 9, 1975 at 12:00 noon. And when the Senate adjourns Friday, June 6, 1975 it stands adjourned until Monday, June 9, 1975 at 9:00 am."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "I would also like to ask Mr. Speaker, that that Sub-Committee have leave to meet ah.. while the House may be in Session. They may run afternoon when we go in Session. I'd like to ask Leave of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Is leave granted? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I just noticed the ah... Chairman of the Appropriation Division II is in and ah.. I started to make the announcement so I will continue to do so. There's no meeting of the Appropriation Division II Monday ~~so~~ all of those Members who are on Division II will not have to be here until noon."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "As Chairman of the Elections Committee... there was leave to have those heard if they went past ah.... while we were in Session, is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct, ya."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would move for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle, for what purpose do you rise?"

Boyle: "Mr. Speaker, before we adjourn ah... I would ask leave to table House Bill 2240."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Leave is granted. Table House Bill 2240. Representative McGrew. Representative Mudd."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, we have several Bills on House Joint Resolution



Constitutional Amendments that ah.. have deadline dates that ah... would come due ah.. Sunday."

Speaker Redmond: "They would automatically go to Monday."

McGrew: "O'kay. Thank you, Sir. Is there anyway that we could extend them until Tuesday?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "All those have dates along side of them. It's my understanding of the rules ah.. that they are not covered by any thirty day rule."

Speaker Redmond: "You might be interested in the program. The tentative program ah... incidentally ah.. I saw a printed program handed out and I wondered where it came from because there was no authority. I understand that one of the staff members of one of the Committees did it. This is a tentative program. We're going to leave here at 3:00 and return Monday at 12:00. There are no Committees Monday, but there will be floor action from 12:00 on until ah.. infinity, I presume. Sometime Monday ah.. we're going to go to the rules. On Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday; Committees from 9:00 to 1:00. Floor action at 2:00 till infinity. Friday doesn't appear ah... to be any necessity for Committee Meetings on Friday as of now. So we'll have floor action all day Friday. Against your wishes ah.. we probably won't work Saturday or Sunday. Representative Shea."

Shea: "I move for the adoption of the Adjournment Resolution."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the Adjournment Resolution. All in favor say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it. We is adjourned. I guess we gotta back up and read Committee Reports. We're unadjourned. Representative Shea."

Shea: "We've adopted the Adjournment Resolution, but nobody has made a motion to adjourn. Now I will ask that the House stand in recess for five minutes and let the Clerk finish his business and then we adjourn until 12:00 noon, Monday."

Speaker Redmond: "You've heard the motion. All in favor say 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and the 'ayes' have it and the motion is adopted."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment and Energy and Natural Resources, to which Senate Bills 805 and 820 were referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation



that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended, do pass. Mr. Pierce, from the Committee on Environment and Energy and Natural Resources, to which House Bill 2261 was referred, reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23 (d) and the Bill was ordered tabled. Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment and Energy and Natural Resources to which House Bill 2839 was referred, recommended the Bill be assigned to the Interium Study Calendar. Mr. Londrigan, from the Committee on Motor Vehicles to which Senate Bill 340 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Londrigan, from the Committee on Motor Vehicles, to which Senate Bill 192 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do not pass. Mr. Londrigan, from the Committee on Motor Vehicles, to which Senate Bills 57, 773 and 957 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Ms. Chapman, from the Committee on Human Resources, to which was referred House Resolution #227, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Ms. Chapman, from the Committee on Human Resources, to which Senate Bill 247 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass. Ms. Chapman, from the Committee on Human Resources, to which Senate Bill 454 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass, Consent Calendar. Ms. Chapman, from the Committee on Human Resources, to which Senate Bills 418 and 669 were referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Ms. Chapman, from the Committee on Human Resources, to which Senate Bills 254, 785, 874 and 1118 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendations that the Bills do pass. Mr. Matijevich, from the Committee on Executive, to which House Joint Resolution #48 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Matijevich, from the Executive Committee, to which House Resolution 264 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Matijevich from the Committee on Executive, to which Senate Bills 104 and 105 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the



JUN 6 1975

113.

Bill be assigned to the Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Matijevich, from the Committee on Executives, to which Senate Bills 320, 981 and 1255 were referred, recommended that the Bills be assigned to the Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Matijevich, from the Committee on Executives, to which Senate Bill 605 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and those amended do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Matijevich, from the Committee on Executive to which Senate Bills 665 and 814 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Matijevich, from the Committee on Executive, to which Senate Bills 198, 800, 822, 1180, 1177 and 1298 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Matijevich, from the Committee on Executive, to which Senate Bills 652 and 653 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass and be re-referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills. Mr. Matijevich, from the Committee on Executive, to which Senate Bills 301, 716, 1109, 1131, 1380 and 1465 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Mann, from the Committee on Higher Education, to which Senate Bill 1083 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Leon, from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan Associations, to which House Bill 933 was referred, reported the same back and pursuant to rule 23 (d) the Bill was ordered tabled. Mr. Schisler, from the Committee on Agriculture, to which Senate Bill 362 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Schisler, from the Committee on Agriculture, to which Senate Bill 682 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass. Mr. Schisler, from the Committee on Agriculture, to which Senate Bills 364, 427, 428, 731 and 1175 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Maragos, from the Committee on Revenue, to which was referred, House Bills 3008, 3009, and 3010 were referred,

JUN 6 1975

114.

reported the same back with a substitute therefore being House Bill 3061 and recommended that the substitute Bill do pass. House Bills, First Readings. House Bill 3061, Committee on Revenue. A Bill for an Act to create the Illinois State Property Tax Commission by adding certain Acts... by amending certain Acts named therein. First Reading of the Bill. No further business. The House now stands adjourned."

INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 6, 1975

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| 1 | 10:15 | Speaker Redmond | House comes to order |
| | | Reverend Krueger | Prayer |
| | 10:17 | Speaker Redmond | Roll Call |
| 3 | 10:18 | Speaker Redmond | Consent Calendar |
| | | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill, Second Reading |
| | 10:23 | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 73, Second Reading. No Committee Amendments. |
| | 10:24 | Shea | Move it to 3rd Reading. |
| | | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 162, Second Reading No Committee Amendments |
| Shea | | Move it to 3rd. | |
| 4 | 10:25 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | Madigan | Introduced 2 former senators. |
| | 10:26 | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 173, Second Reading Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 184, Second Reading. No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading. |
| | 10:27 | Terzich | |
| | | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 214, Second Reading. No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 4 | 10:27 | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 220, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | 10:28 | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 221 Second Reading. No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 5 | 10:28 | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 222, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | Schlickman | Please table that Amendment |
| | | Hoffman, R. | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment Tabled. 3rd Reading. |
| | | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 234 3rd Reading, No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | Jack O'Brien | Senate Bill 235, Second Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 6 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 290 Second Reading, No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 304, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments |

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 6 | 10:30 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 183, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 10:31 | Sangmeister | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment Adopted. 3rd Reading |
| 7 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 305, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 342, Second Reading, No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 345 Second Reading, No Committee Amendments. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Taylor | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 Adopted. 3rd Reading |
| 8 | 10:34 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 419, Second Reading, 1 Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 10:35 | Matijevech | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted. 3rd Reading |
| | | O'Brien | S.B. 442, Second Reading No Committee Amendments |

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 8 | 10:35 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Second Reading, No Committee Amendments--S.B. 447 |
| 9 | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 448, Second Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 459, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| | 10:36 | Speaker | |
| | | Tipsword | Amendment #1 |
| | 10:37 | Speaker | Amendment adopted. 3rd Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 479, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 485, Second Reading, No Committee Amendment. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 10 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 501, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Brummet | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment Adopted, 3rd Reading |
| | 10:38 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 514, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 10 | 10:39 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 524, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 541, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | 10:40 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 11 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 561, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 562, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 596, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Terzich | Amendment #1 |
| | 10:42 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment Adopted. 3rd Reading |
| 12 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 626, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 636, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | 10:43 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 638, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 12 | 10:43 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 639, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments 1 Floor Amendment |
| | | Walsh | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd Reading |
| 13 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 640 2nd Reading, 1 Committee Amendment |
| | 10:44 | Walsh | Amendment #2 (H.B. 638 has an amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amended |
| | | Shea | |
| | | Walsh | |
| | 10:46 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted-3rd Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 638, Amendment #1 withdrawn, amendment missing |
| | | Walsh | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Return S.B. 638 from third reading to 3rd reading to 2nd reading. |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 651 |
| 14 | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Madigan | Introduced 2 former Senators |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 651 2nd Reading, No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 676, 2nd Reading No committee Amendments |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 14 | 10:46 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 694, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 15 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 693, 2nd Reading Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Fiscal note requested- Hold on 2nd |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 698 No Second Reading, Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 709, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 727, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 740, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| 16 | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 778, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 783, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | Hoffman, Gene | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd Reading |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 16 | 10:46 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 789, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 17 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 790, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 792, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 793, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 794, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 796, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 797, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 18 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 798, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 845, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 859, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 18 | 10:46 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 885, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 894, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 900, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 19 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 904, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 932, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 942, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 944, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Campbell | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Wrong Amendment |
| | | Campbell | 946 out too |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 20 | 10:46 | Campbell | |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 953, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 968, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 983, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | 11:00 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 985, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 986, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1005, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 21 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1065, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1085, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | 11:01 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1090, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1105, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 21 | 11:02 | Gene Hoffman | Amendment #1 |
| | 11:03 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted.3rd Reading. |
| 22 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1106, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1112, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | 11:04 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1122, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1147, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1160, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1181, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | 11:05 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| 23 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1186, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1184, 2nd reading. No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1259, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 23 | 11:08 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1301, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1381, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| 24 | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Neff | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:09 | Schlickman | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment Adopted, 3rd Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1395, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:10 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 56, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendment 1 floor amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 25 | | Hart | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted. 3rd Reading |
| | | O'Brien | |
| | | Hart | |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 73, 2nd Reading No Committee amendments 1 floor amendment |
| | 11:10 | Hart | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 adopted. 3rd Reading |
| | 11:14 | Speaker Redmond | Mistake by printer |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 197, etc. 3rd Reading |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 26 | 11:16 | Speaker Redmond | Above bills passed. |
| 27 | 11:17 | Daniels | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 28 | | O'Brien | House Bill 3060 |
| | 11:18 | Shea | First Reading Sponsor |
| | 11:20 | Speaker Redmond | Move to advance to 2nd without reference to committee |
| | | Shea | |
| | 11:21 | Hulihan, J. | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 3060 to 2nd. Motion carried. |
| 29 | 11:22 | Speaker Redmond | Announcement |
| | | Shea | |
| | 11:25 | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Geo-Karis | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 30 | | O'Brien | House Bill 1360, 2nd Reading 5 Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:26 | Kozubowski | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted |
| | | Kozubowski | Amendment #2 |
| | 11:27 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted. |
| | | Kozubowski | Amendment #3 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted. |
| | | O'Brien | Amendment #4 |
| | 11:28 | Kozubowski | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:28 | Ryan | Yield |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| 31 | 11:28 | Kozubowski | Yield |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:29 | Barnes, E | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 32 | 11:30 | Sam Vadaabene | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:31 | Matijeovich | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Barnes | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 33 | 11:33 | Schlickman | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Barnes, E. | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:35 | Schlickman | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:37 | Barnes, E. | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 34 | | Ryan | Question |
| | | Kozubowski | Question |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 35 | | Lechowicz | |
| | 11:40 | Ryan | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 36 | | Cunningham | Question |
| | 11:41 | Barnes, E. | Question |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 36 | 11:41 | Speaker Redmond | |
| 37 | | Anderson | Question |
| 38 | | Barnes, E | Question |
| 39 | 11:47 | Lechowicz | Oppose Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 40 | | Lauer | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 41 | 11:50 | Kosinski | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:52 | Schoberlein | Move Previous question |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 42 | 11:53 | Barnes, E | To close |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 11:55 | Mann | Recorded as voting aye in last amendment |
| | 11:56 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #4, H.B. 1360 |
| | | Tottin | |
| | | Barnes | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #4 fails |
| | | O'Brien | Amendment #5 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 43 | 11:57 | Barnes | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #5 adopted. |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 43 | 11:57 | O'Brien | #6 withdrawn in Committee Amendment #7 |
| | 11:58 | Speaker Redmond | |
| 44 | | Ryan | Amendment #7 |
| | 11:59 | Madison | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Kozubowski | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #7 adopted. 3rd Reading |
| | 12 N | O'Brien | H.B. 2036, 2nd Reading |
| 45 | | O'Brien | House Bill 3005, 2nd Reading. 1 Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 12:01 | Tipsword | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 adopted Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | House Bill 3035, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | House Bill 3036, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments |
| | 12:02 | Speaker Redmond | Third Reading |
| | | Speaker | |
| | | Iechowicz | |
| 46 | | O'Brien | House Bill 638, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| | 12:03 | Walsh | Amendment #2 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #2 adopted, 3rd Reading |
| | 12:05 | O'Brien | House Bill 642, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---|
| 46 | 12:05 | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Willer | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 adopted |
| | | O'Brien | Floor Amendment #2 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 47 | | Willer | Take out of the records |
| | 12:08 | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 824, 2nd Reading 1 committee amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | McClain | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 12:09 | Caldwell | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Schlickman | Should explain amendment |
| 48 | 12:09 | Caldwell | Take out of the records. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Take out of the records. |
| | 12:10 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 1293, 2nd Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 543, 2nd reading 1 Committee amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 12:11 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 155, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | McMasters | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment adopted. |
| 49 | 12:13 | O'Brien | Amendment #2 |
| | | McMaster | Move it to 3rd |
| | 12:14 | Speaker Redmond | Take out |
| 50 | | Choate | Amendment #2 |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 50 | 12:15 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #2 adopted. Third Reading |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 642, 3rd Reading 2 Committee Amendments |
| | | Leinenweber | Table Amendment |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Amendment Tabled. 3rd Reading |
| 51 | 12:16 | O'Brien | Third Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Taylor | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 12:19 | Downs | Yield |
| | | Taylor | Yield |
| | 12:20 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 2812 |
| | | Skinner | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 52 | 12:21 | McGrew | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | McMasters | |
| | 12:22 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 2812. Passed. |
| | 12:24 | O'Brien | House Bill 2970 |
| 53 | | Barnes, E. | Sponsor |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 12:25 | Schlickman | Oppose |
| 54 | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 12:28 | Barnes, E. | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 2970 |
| | 12:30 | Barnes | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 55 | 12:31 | Totten | Explain my vote |
| | 12:32 | Speaker Redmond | H.B.2970, Passed. |
| | | O'Brien | House Bill 3017, 3rd Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 56 | 12:32 | Lechowicz | Sponsor House Bill 3017 |
| | 12:33 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 3017, Passed. |
| 57 | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 944 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 12:35 | Campbell | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | O'Brien | Amendment #1 |
| | 12:38 | Campbell | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 944, Amendment 1 Adopted |
| 58 | 12:39 | O'Brien | House Bill 945, 2nd Reading 1 Committee Amendment |
| | | Campbell | Amendment #1 |
| | 12:40 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 adopted. |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 4, 3rd Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | DiPrima | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 4, Passed |
| | 12:43 | Houlihan | |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 28, 3rd Reading |
| | | Houlihan, D. | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 28, Passed. |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 59 | 12:43 | Selcke | Senate Bill 99 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 1:45 | Greiman | Sponsor |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 99 Passed. |
| 60 | | Selcke | Senate Bill 100 |
| | 1:47 | Speaker Redmond Greiman | Sponsor |
| | | Palmer | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 61 | 1:48 | Friedrich | |
| | | Greiman | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 62 | 1:50 | Ewing | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 1:51 | Greiman | |
| | 1:52 | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 100 |
| 63 | 1:52 | Stearney | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 64 | 1:55 | Mann | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| 65 | | Geo-Karis | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Madison | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 100, Passed. |
| | | Selcke | Senate Bill 196, 3rd Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 66 | | Duester | Sponsor |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 196. Passed |
| | | Speaker | |
| 67 | | Williams | Sponsor |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Walsh | Comp. to S.B. 162 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Shea | |
| | | Walsh | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Take out of the records |
| | | Williams | |
| 68 | | Selcke | Senate Bill 353, 3rd Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | DiPrima | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Simms | Question |
| | | DiPRIMA | Question |
| 69 | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 353, Passed. |
| | | Selcke | Senate Bill 334, 3rd Reading |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| 69 | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Younge | Sponsor |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Duff | Yield |
| | | Younge | |
| 70 | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 334, Passed. |
| | | Selcke | Senate Bill 391, 3rd Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Deavers | Sponsor |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Schlickman | Yield |
| | | Deavers | Yield |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Londrigan | Oppose |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 391 |
| 71 | | Downs | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Beaupre | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Ebbesen | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Grotberg | |
| 72 | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Geo-Karis | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 72 | | Skinner | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 391 |
| 73 | | Hirschfeld | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Dyer | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Friedrich | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 74 | | Grotberg | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Deavers | Senate Bill 391 |
| 75 | | Kempiners | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Ebbesen | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Londrigan | |
| 76 | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Hill | Going to ask for verification |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Beaupre | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Duff | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 77 | | Duester | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| 77 | | Speaker Redmond Borchers Speaker Redmond | |
| 78 | | Schraeder Speaker Redmond Deavers Sims F. Selcke Speaker Redmond Beaupre Deavers Speaker Redmond Selcke | Would ask for verification Senate Bill 391-poll absentees No to Yes Poll absentees. Request verification Made post-poned consideration Senate Bill 391, postponed consideration Senate Bill 404, 3rd Reading |
| 79 | | Madigan in Chair Bradley Madigan Schneider Bradley | Sponsor Yield Yield |
| 80 | | Madigan | |
| 81 | | Schlickman Madigan Hudson Madigan | |
| 83 | | Mugalian | Oppose |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| 83 | | Madigan | |
| | | Peters | Move previous question |
| | | Madigan | |
| | | Bradley | to Close. |
| 84 | | Madigan | Senate Bill 404. Passed |
| | | Selcke | Senate Bill 456, 3rd Reading |
| | | Madigan | |
| 85 | | Schlisler | Sponsor |
| | | Madigan | |
| | | Hirschfeld | Yield |
| | | Schisler | Yield |
| | | Madigan | |
| 86 | | Mudd | |
| | | Madigan | |
| | | Waddell | |
| | | Madigan | |
| | | Friedrich | |
| 87 | | Madigan | |
| | | Schisler | |
| | | Madigan | Senate Bill 456 |
| | | Hirschfeld | Withdraw my opposition |
| | | Madigan | S.B. 456, Passed |
| | | Selcke | Senate Bill 496 |
| 87 | 1:55 | Grotberg | Sponsor |
| | | Madigan | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| 88 | 1:56 | Anderson | Senate Bill 496, Passed. |
| | 1:58 | Madigan Cunningham | |
| | | Madigan | |
| 89 | | Selcke | Message from the Senate |
| | 1:59 | Madigan | |
| | | Selcke | Senate Bill 572, 3rd Reading |
| | 2:00 | Madigan Beaupre | Sponsor |
| | | Madigan | |
| | | Peters | Question |
| | | Beaupre Madigan | Senate Bill 572, Passed. |
| 90 | 2:02 | | |
| | 2:03 | Selcke | Senate Bill 645 |
| | 2:04 | Giglio | Leave to bring back to 2nd for amendment |
| 91 | 2:04 | Madigan | Leave |
| | | Selcke | Amendment #1 |
| | | Giglio | Amendment #1 |
| | | Madigan | |
| 92 | 2:06 | Hoffman, R. | Question |
| | | Giglio | |
| | | Madison | |
| | 2:09 | Catania | |
| | | Madigan Kosinski | Question |
| 93 | 2:10 | | |
| | | Giglio | Question |
| | 2:11 | Peters | Question |
| 94 | | Giglio | Question |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|--|
| 94 | 2:12 | Speaker Redmond | Amendment #1 Adopted. 3rd Reading |
| | | Selcke | Senate Bill 675, 3rd Reading |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 2:13 | Mautino | Sponsor |
| | 2:14 | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Schlickman | Yield |
| | | Mautino | |
| Speaker Redmond | | Senate Bill 675, Passed. | |
| 95 | 2:15 | Mugalian | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 704 |
| | 2:16 | O'Brien | Third Reading |
| | | Schisler | Leave to return to 2nd for amendment |
| | | Schisler | Amendment #1 |
| 96 | | Lechowicz | Amendment #1 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Move to reconsider vote and moves to table. OK 3rd Reading |
| | 2:18 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 705 |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 2:19 | Skinner | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 705 |
| Walsh | | Yield | |
| Skinner | | Yield | |
| 97 | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 2:20 | Berman | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| 97 | 2:20 | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 705, Passed. |
| | | O'Brien | Senate Bill 753, 3rd Reading |
| 98 | 2:23 | Calvo | Sponsor |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Senate Bill 753, Passed. |
| | 2:25 | O'Brien | Senate Bill 779, 3rd Reading |
| | | Berman | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 99 | | Ebbesen | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Brinkmeyer | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 2:26 | VanDuyne | |
| | 2:27 | Berman | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 2:28 | Walsh | |
| 100 | 2:28 | Berman | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Schneider | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 2:29 | Lucco | |
| 101 | | Berman | |
| | | Lucco | |
| 102 | 2:32 | Barnes, E. | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Waddell | |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 103 | 2:32 | O'Brien | House Bill 819 Jaffee-3rd Reading |
| | | Walsh | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 104 | 2:37 | McGrew | |
| | 2:38 | Skinner | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| 105 | | Geo-Karis | |
| | 2:40 | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 819 |
| | | Geo-Karis | Explain vote |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Deavers | Oppose |
| | | Speaker Redmond | |
| | 2:41 | Brinkmeyer | Urge No |
| | | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 819 |
| | | O'Brien | House Bill 834 |
| | 2:42 | Mugalian | |
| | | Speaker Redmond | House Bill 834, Passed. |
| | | Speaker Redmond | House concurrence |
| 107 | 2:45 | Houlihan | House Bill 2998, Move to concur |
| | | Shea | Question |
| | | Houlihan | |
| 108 | 2:47 | Speaker Redmond | House does concur with Senate Amendment 1 & 2 |
| | 2:48 | Fennessey | Com. recommends Stearney beseated |
| | | Speaker Redmond | Election contest-eyes have it |

| <u>PAGE</u> | <u>TIME</u> | <u>SPEAKER</u> | <u>INFORMATION</u> |
|-------------|-------------|------------------------------|--|
| 109 | 2:48 | Williams Speaker Redmond | Leave granted. Return to election contest |
| | 2:51 | Fennessey Speaker Redmond | Leave asked to exam Rep. Monday, Leave granted |
| | | O'Brien | House J.R. #59 |
| | 2:52 | Fennessey Speaker Redmond | Leave to have sub-comm. while House is in session Leave |
| | 2:53 | Barnes, E. Shea | Move for adopt of adj. Resolution |
| | | Boyle Speaker Redmond | Table H.B. 2240 Leave granted |
| | 2:54 | Mudd Speaker Redmond | |
| 111 | Shea | Shea Speaker Redmond | |
| | | Shea Speaker Redmond | Move for adj. of resol. |
| | | Shea | Stand in recess for 5 min. then adjourn till 12 N Monday |
| | 2:56 | O'Brien | Committee Reports |
| 114 | | O'Brien | Consent Calendar |
| | | O'Brien | House Adjourns |