

1.

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the Gallery? All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the Gallery?"

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, The Members please be in their seats. Led in prayer this morning by the Reverend Krueger, the House Chaplin."

Reverend Krueger: "In the Name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Ghost. Amen. Oh Lord bless this House for their service this day. Amen. It was Thomas Paine who said, 'Character is much easier kept than recovered.' Let us pray. We Pray, O Lord, for all those in this House who are responsible for our State welfare, health, and security. May thy Holy Spirit guide our Representatives that they may have a care only for what will promote good government; and to all others in positions of responsibility give such a sense of duty what no self-interest shall turn them from it. We also remember before Thee, those who are employed in menial, dangerous, or lowly work; for we are all members one of another and all our labour is honorable in thy sight. Help us, each one, to do well the work we have to do, for the good of all. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources to which Senate Bill 325 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Pierce on the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources to which Senate Bills 713 and 419 were referred with Amendments hereto; the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Pierce from the Committee on Environment, Energy and Natural Resources which Senate Bill 456 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments and the Bill as amended, do pass."

Speaker Redmond: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day."

Jack O'Brien: "Consent Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day. Senate Bill 157. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code...that's Senate Bill 197. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 243. A Bill for an Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 313. A Bill for an Act



relating the transfer of functions of State Housing Board, the Department of Local Government Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 445. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 501. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 532. A Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate 544. A Bill for an Act to amend the Coalmining Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 566. A Bill for an Act to amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 617 A Bill for an Act to regulate the employment of children. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 650. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading....Still Second Reading. 3026."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3026. A Bill for an Act to revise portions of the State Revenue sharing the local government entities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3027."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3027. A Bill for an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3028."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill...House Bill 3028. A Bill for an Act in relation to the Illinois State Fair. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3029."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3029. A Bill for an Act to amend the Electric expires Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3030."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3030. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public



Utility Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3031."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3031. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning Public Utilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3032."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3032. A Bill for an Act to revise provisions of state Revenue Sharings, Local Government entities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3033."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3033. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning fees and salaries. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Clerk, what's the status of...of...Third Reading... What's the status of 783? Those ones that were marked in red. Yes... Where are they now? Well we can move those along too can't we? Has Supplemental Calendar #1, been distributed? It's on the Democratic side but...the administrative leader to the minority leader tells me that...he's got a spasm. Supplemental Calendar? Republicans... we got people on the other side here. Sometimes they're not here, but...Distributed...ah...okay. Let me explain...ah...the Bills that are on this Supplemental Calendar. They were printed yesterday in error, as to Second Reading and they should have been First Legislative Day and we moved them to Third Reading in error. So...I understand they haven't added them all...So...Let's go to Senate Bills Second Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading. 8...McPartlin. Take that out of the record. 73. Take that out of the record. 236. Representative



Mann...36. Representative Ebbesen, we'll have to hold that one.

Representative Geo-Karis. 256. Are there any Amendments on 8 Jack?  
Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 8."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 8. A Bill for an.."

Speaker Redmond: "An Amendment on that, we'll take that out of the  
record. 73."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 73. A Bill for an Act in relation to the  
adoption of persons. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee  
Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 256."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 256. A Bill for an Act to revise the law  
in relation of habeas corpus. Second Reading of the Bill. No  
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 257."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 257. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation  
to the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill.  
No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 258."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 258. A Bill for an Act to revise the law  
in relation to mandamus. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee  
Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 316."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 316. A Bill for An Act to amend the Illinois  
Aeronautics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 321."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 321. A Bill for an Act in relation to the Cas



Revenue Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 329."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 329. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor."

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 330."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 330. A Bill for an Act in relation to the Cigarette Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 338."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 338. A Bill for an Act in relation to use of certified mail by the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None.."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 351."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 351. A Bill for an Act for the Attestment of taxation of private car line companies. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 352."

Jack O'Brien: Senate Bill 352. A Bill for an Act to amend the Currency Exchange Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 391."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 391. A Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. How about 490. Is there an Amendment on that? Representative Terzich on the Floor? 518."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 518. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 527."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 527. A Bill for an Act to provide for reimbursement of reduced transit fares and fare support for special transportation services for the handicapped. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 612. Dan Houlihan on the Floor? 612. Take that out of the Record. 1487."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1487. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1488."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1488. A Bill for an Act to....."

Speaker Redmond: "Are there Amendments on that one?"

Jack O'Brien: "Yes. Lechowicz."

Speaker Redmond: "Oh, yeow. Go ahead. Proceed."

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations to the Department of Finance. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 1488 on Page 1, Line 6, sponsored by Representative Lechowicz. Amend Senate Bill 1488 on Page 1, Line 6 by deleting \$50,000 and inserting in lieu thereof \$100,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Amendment increases the amount needed for Legislative Information Systems



because of the additional printing costs. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House..... Senate Bill 1488. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Hanahan on the Floor? Supplemental Calendar. House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 783. This Amendment was adopted..... wasn't that Amendment adopted yesterday? Or shouldn't it of been? All of these..... 1028."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1028. Hart."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Conservation. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Calendar in error shows this to have been on Second Reading yesterday whereas it should have been first legislative day, so would you explain the Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1."

Hart: "Ah..... there is a Committee Amendment and a Floor Amendment. The Floor Amendment incorporates the Committee Amendment and adds some language, so I'd like at this time to Table the Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Hearing none, Amendment #1 is Tabled."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Hart. Amends House Bill 1028, by striking everything after the enacting clause."

Hart: "Ah..... Committee..... Floor Amendment #2 increases the appropriation to \$175,000 and makes it come from the Road Fund. The Bill was silent as to its source, and I move for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Floor Amendment #2 to House Bill 028. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1048. Representative Barnes."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1048. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Commission on Human Relations. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes. 1048. In error, it showed Second Reading yesterday. It should have been Second Reading, first legislative day."

Jack O'Brien: "Amends House Bill 1048 on Page 1, Line 15, by deleting \$99,000 and so forth."

Barnes: "Yeow, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a Committee Amendment. There is no dollar change. It reallocates the money. The ah.... the difference is the reallocation in terms of the grant procedure. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1048."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 048. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1071."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1071. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 1071, on page 1, line 6 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Ah..... this Committee Amendment changes the source of the funding from the General Revenue Fund to the Road Fund. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1071. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Board said 1048 instead of 1071. That Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1172."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1172. Schneider."

Speaker Redmond: "Schneider on the Floor? Take that one out of the Record. 1366."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1366. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of





Corrections."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski. Representative Giorgi."

Jack O'Brien: "Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments.

Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 1366 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are three Committee Amendments. Amendment #1 is a staff agreed amendment, reducing line items in the budget. I move for its acceptance."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1366. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond? "He will."

Schlickman: "Could he tell us for which line item there is a reduction and by what amount?"

Kosinski: "Yeow, there's five or six pages of this, Gene."

Schlickman: "Could you briefly describe?"

Kosinski: "Well, ah..... do you have a Bill in front of you?"

Schlickman: "No, Sir."

Kosinski: "Ah..... House Bill 1366, on page 1, line 12, by striking \$2,815,500 and inserting in lieu thereof \$2,506,800. There are six pages, I repeat of these reductions."

Schlickman: "May I ask you....."

Kosinski: "Now, this was agreed both staffs, Republican and Democratic."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Representative Schlickman, this is an agreed staff Amendment from both the Republican and Democratic staffs and what it does, did is reduce each one of the categories proportionately by certain amounts. Now, he can lift out the amounts if you so desire, but as he said, this is about a six page Amendment."

Schlickman: "This is an across the board reduction? What's the total amount of the reduction?"



Barnes: "Representative Schlickman, the reductions..... The General office was \$446,000. The Adult Field Services was \$650,000.8. Juvenile Field Services was \$682.4. The Adult Institution was \$177.5 and I think that that came to somewhere in the neighborhood..... I haven't got it added up here. Somewhere in the neighborhood of two and a half million reduction."

Schlickman: "May I pose one more question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "What is the response of the Department of Corrections to the....."

Kosinski: "They accepted the Amendment with no problem."

Hart: "This was worked out in Agreement with both staff and the Department."

Kosinski: "And the Department feels they can do the job that it is charged with. Yes, there are other Amendments here, Gene, that balance some of this."

Hart: "There are other Amendments that put this back in. They will be coming up. About three or four Amendments, Gene."

Kosinski: "And then in the total picture, the Department and Mr. Sielaff were in Agreement."

Schlickman: "Thank you. I just want to observe that with the critical responsibilities that this Department has, I shouldn't like the financial resources to be withdrawn from it."

Kosinski: "It's taken out of one area and put back in another, Gene."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1366. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 1366 as amended on Page 2, Line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "In terms of Mr. Schlickman's original question, Amendment #2 essentially adds 5.4 million in the Adult institutions because of the increase in population. This was also agreed to by both staffs and the Department of Corrections. I move for its adoption."



11.

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1366. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 1366 as amended on page 5, line 26 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Ah..... Amendment #3, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, identifies dollars essentially for community services in the Juvenile Field Services Division. This is an Amendment that will carry with the appropriation on the assumption that our House Bill 199 passed out of the House recently will be adopted by the Senate. Should it not, 199 in the Senate will be heard before the Appropriation in the Senate and this money will not be so earmarked. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1366. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1314."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1314. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Mental Health and Developmental Disabilities. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 1314 on page 1, by deleting lines 11 through 33 and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment that was agreed to by the staffs of both parties and it does four different things. One, it breaks out the five general grant lines, and more specific, accountable items. It adds three million dollars to the community services for the developmentally disabled. It adds three million dollars to community services for the mentally ill and it adds 300,000 dollars for treatment, education and research in the narcotic addiction. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1314."



Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1314. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any other Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 1314 on page 23 after line 31 by inserting Section 14 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #2 allows the Department, with the consent in writing of the Governor, to transfer 2% of the total appropriation so that they will not have to come back in for supplemental appropriation. This last year they came back in for 1.3 million supplemental appropriation, and if they would have had this in their Bill last year, they would not have had to ask for this extra money. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1314."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1314. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 1314 on page 4, line 35 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers."

Byers: "Amendment #3 is for within the operation of the institutions and it ah..... it increases the operation to \$3,592,600,000. This is about a 1% change in total budget and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 1314. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #4. Mautino. Amends House Bill 1314 as amended on 23 by inserting Section 13 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Byers. Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is the Amendment that was added to House Bill 1314 for work to be done at the Dixon State Hospital, in the amount of \$541,000. It's electrical, painting and general repair to the 20 cottages at the Dixon State

School. They are cottages that have not met the State requirements

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



13.

for accreditation and it's the first step in the program ah..... for future accreditation in the Department. It's been asked for by Dr. Edelson, who is the Administrator of the Dixon State School, and ah..... I would ah..... ask for your favorable vote on this matter."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 1314. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1552."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1552. Kozubowski. A Bill for an Act making certain reappropriations to the Capitol Development Board, for permanent improvements."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that out of the Record. 1699."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1699. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriations of funds for the administration of the Animal Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor."

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2036."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2036. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Attorney General. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe that the ah..... the ah.... calendar's in error. I believe this Bill is on Second Reading, or it should be."

Speaker Redmond: "As I explained earlier, yesterday it was Second Reading first legislative day and in error, we moved it to Third, so that's what this supplemental calendar is."

Ryan: "Well, would you take it out of the Record for right now, please. I want to confer with Representative Lechowicz on this. I know there's Amendments for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll take it out of the Record, then, at the request of the Sponsor. 2812."



Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2812. Taylor. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the Department of Local Government Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Lechowicz. Amends..... Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 2812 on page 1, line 5 by deleting and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Taylor. Representative Taylor, this was a Bill that was called in error yesterday. It was amended but it should have been first legislative day, so it's on today's calendar. House Bills, Second Reading. Representative Lechowicz had an amendment which we erroneously put on yesterday. Will you handle the Amendment, Representative Taylor?"

Taylor: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I move for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2812."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 2812. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2971."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2971."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Porter, for what purpose do you rise?"

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, would have have the ah.... Sponsor of the Amendment explain the Amendment before we vote on it, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will do."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2971. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 2986."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 2986. Lundy. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Secretary of State. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"



Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3001."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3001. Sangmeister. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the Department of Local Government Affairs. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3014."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3014. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation and the expenses of certain special elections. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3015."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3015. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Illinois Historical Library. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 3017."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3017. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies. Second Reading of the Bill. Four Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz on the Floor? We'll hold that one. 3019."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3019. A Bill for an Act making an Appropriation for additional expenses for Members of the General Assembly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. 1172."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1172. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses in the Environmental Protection Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 1172 on page 1 by deleting everything after the



enacting clause and inserting in lieu thereof the following."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schneider. You may have thought you did this yesterday, but you didn't."

Schneider: "Ah.... Jack, I'd have to take a look at the Amendment now. Are there two Amendments? Is Amendment #2 to amend Amendment #1, because there were some errors on Amendment #1. In order to make the second amendment appropriate, we have to adopt #1. It amends #1."

Jack O'Brien: "It amends 1172 as amended."

Schneider: "That's correct. O'kay. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 1172. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 1172 as amended on page 1, line 9 and so forth."

Schneider: "Amendment #2 now amends House Bill 1172 as amended, in order to correct the line errors that were made. That is, the numbering was inaccurate. It includes the agreed cut in the Committee, and I would therefore move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill 1172. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. 339. Take that out of the Record. 899. Take that out of the Record. 1552. Kozubowski. Pardon me, that's on Second Reading. 816. Representative Maragos here. Take that out of the Record. Oh, there he is. 1816."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1816. Maragos. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, since the main bill of this..... or the subsequent Bill is in the interim study, I would like this to be re-referred to the Transportation Committee and put it on Interim Study Calendar with the other Bill, 1815."





Speaker Redmond: "Any objection? It will be re-referred to the Transportation Committee for the purpose of assigning it to the Interim Study Committee. Representative Shea, he wants to hold 1881 and 1882. 2038, Representative Hirschfeld on the Floor? Take that out of the Record. 2103. Representative Chapman on the Floor? Take that out of the Record. 2240. Representative Boyle. Out of the Record. 2445. Representative Yourell. Representative Yourell on the Floor? Take that out of the Record. Hold 2476. 2580. Representative Deuster. Out of the Record. 2790. Representative Washbrun. Is Representative Washburn on the Floor? Take it out of the Record? 2834. Representative Keller. Out of the Record. 2942. Representative Brinkmeier. Out of the Record. 2970. Representative Barnes. 2972. Representative Tuerk. Representative Tuerk. Out of the Record. 2985 out of the Record. Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears Senate Bill 295. Representative Kornowicz. 295."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 295. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kornowicz. Any Amendments from the Floor."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Beatty. Amends Senate Bill 295 on page 1, line 1 by deleting d and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is an Amendment that was suppose to be put on Committee and didn't get on there through some error. But this is an Amendment which is to assist Members of the General Assembly in retiring by bringing their credits from the county and from the city, if they have such credit, for services that they had previously worked with either of those governmental bodies. It will cost nothing to the State of Illinois and is of some help to the General Assembly Members, and I ask for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 295. Senate Bill 295. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any



further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "None."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Terzich on the Floor?  
House Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 327."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 327. Tipword."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword."

Jack O'Brien: "Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Compensation  
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Tipword. Amends Senate Bill 327 on page  
2, line 13, by deleting 'Bureau of Internal Revenue' and inserting in  
lieu there of 'Internal Revenue Service'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword. 327. Representative Tipword.  
327."

Tipword: "Senate Bill 327?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Changes Bureau of Internal Revenue to  
Internal Revenue Service."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is merely a technical  
Amendment, which changes the name of the Bureau of Internal Revenue to  
Internal Revenue Service in the existing statute, to comply with the  
current Federal designation. I move the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate  
Bill 327. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The  
'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Second Reading, appears  
236."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 236. Ebbesen. A Bill for an Act to amend the  
Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee  
Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 236 on page 2, line 2, by inserting after  
the period the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, ah..... the Committee Amendment was placed on and I would  
move for its adoption."



Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 236. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment's adopted. Any further amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Beatty. Amends Senate Bill 236 on page 1, line 2 by inserting after 'of' the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Well, ah....., those are not my amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty,"

Beatty: "Yes, I move to Table Amendment #2."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman moves to Table Amendment #2. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. Amendment #2's Tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends Senate Bill 236 on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Beatty: "I move to Table Amendment #3."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved to Table Amendment #3. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's Tabled. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Ebbesen. Amends Senate Bill 236 as amended on page 1, line 1 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment #4 ah.... I conferred with the Chairman of the Pension Laws Commission, Senator Shapiro, and it has his approval, and put the Bill in shape for Third Reading. He has no objection to it. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 236. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5. Beatty. Amends Senate Bill 236 on page 1, line 2 by inserting after 'of', the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beatty."

Beatty: "Amendment #5 assists the General Assembly Member in getting credit from his ah..... time he might have earned in other pension systems. It does not cost the State of Illinois any money and ah..... it makes it consistent with Article VII dealing with the Illinois Municipal Fund."



And I ask for the adoption of Amendment #5."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah...Yes." I conferred with the Senate Sponsor and he has no objection to this Amendment. I'd concur to move for the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill....Senate Bill 236. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Representative Terzich on the Floor? 2489. Representative Hanahan. State Board."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1489. Hanahan. A Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations to the State Board of Education. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker is that the Committee Amendment...er....ah...an Amendment that was brought in by the...State Board because they had a technical Amendment that they wanted to offer and they didn't see me this morning."

Jack O'Brien: "Committee Amendment offered by Representative Choate."

Hanahan: "No...That's not the Amendment. I'm waiting for an Amendment...ah from the State Board. I'll have to hold that Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. Senate Bills Third Reading. Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 28. Dan Houlihan."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill..."

Speaker Redmond: "Take that one out of the Record. 69. Representative Sharp here? Take that out of the Record. 103. Representative Porter here? Senate Bill 103. Out of the record at the request of the Sponsor. 260. Take it out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor. 261. Representative Houlihan on the Floor? Take it out of the Record. 279. Representative McPartlin. 279."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 279. McPartlin. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McPartlin."

McPartlin: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 279 amends the downstate Firemans Pension Fund, Article of the Pension Code."



It provides for removal of requirement of adoption at least one year prior to the Firemans death, if death occurs as a result of an act of duty and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there is 109 'aye' no 'nay' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 298."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 298. Schlickman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Library District Act. Third Reading of the the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 298 amends the Public Library District by giving to these library districts the same authority that libraries have within the municipalities by this Bill these library districts would have the authority to issue general oblig...or to use proceeds of general obligation bonds for the acquisition of books and other equipment in connection with the construction of a new library or the addition to an existing library. The Bill was reported out of Committee by a vote of 12 to nothing and it is supported by the Illinois Library Association and the Illinois State Library. I move for its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Any discussion? Representative Giorgi. Giorgi: "Mr. Schlickman. Ah...in reading the...ah...the House Amendment does the House Amendment proport to do what the Senate Amendment couldn't do? I see in reading the caption here that the Senate deleted the word 'books and periodicals' and the House Amendment included the words 'books and periodicals', is this a trick play or something that...ah...couldn't be accomplished in the Senate and we're trying in the House?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. In response to the Gentleman from Winnebago. What the House Amendment did was simply restore the Bill to its original form and to make the Bill consistent with the existing law."

Giorgi: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, I beg to disagree..."



Schlickman: "And I should...I should add by the way, if I may, that the Amendment was as requested by the Committee."

Giorgi: "Well Mr. Speaker, in addressing the Bill, I think what he's trying to do with this Bill in the Senate they refused the...deleted the words 'books and periodicals', and assume that so forth should come out of Capitol Development Bonds, I guess. And in the House, he's trying to include them in it and I think it's a...a dangerous pressing and I think we ought to watch it."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? The question is shall this Bill...Representative Schlickman to close."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. As I indicated at the outset, Senate Bill 298, in the form that it was amended in Committee simply extends or provides to library districts the same authority that libraries within municipalities have. Specifically, allowing these public library districts the use of proceeds of general obligation bonds which have been approved at referendum for library materials so that the new public library or the addition to an existing public library can be utilized to the degree...to the objective of the voters. Ah...this is not president, this is consistent with the law as was approved by the General...ah...was passed by the General Assembly four years ago and I move for its passage."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 111 'ayes', 3 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 2999...or299...299."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 299. A bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Public Library District Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 299 provides that upon the annexation of territory by a municipality, subsequently a library district may annex the same territory by ordinance or the library district. The purpose of the Bill is to insure that there is contiguity between the corporate limits of a municipality and the corporate limits of a library district and the Bill is in accord with general practice among the municipalities. I move for the passage of



Senate Bill 299."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Skinner: "In Lake County, we had a situation where a library district tried to expand and apparently under the law before we came last year one only had to have a referendum, one did not have to have concurrent majority for annexing an area outside of a district to the district. Now I assume when a city expands there is some type of public...ah... referendum or something like that. I wonder if the referendum requirements of the...of that changes of city couldn't be slightly altered to say and you're also gonna get....going to get an extra the way this Bill is drafted now you're going to end up get...putting people in a library district without any...ah...knowledge on their part."

Schlickman: "The Bill, If I may respond."

Skinner: "Proceed."

Schlickman: "...Allows library...ah...districts to piggy back, so to speak, upon municipal annexation...ah...before there can be a municipal annexation of course, the referendum, referendum provisions of the municipal code...ah...must be followed. As I indicated, the Bill is intended to provide for contiguity of...with regard to the corporate limits of municipalities and library districts and is in accord with the general practice that does prevail. I might add that the Bill is supported by the Illinois Library Association and the Illinois State Library."

Skinner: "Well of course, that wouldn't make much difference to the...ah... to the individuals that were being annexed without any notice. It seems to me that you ought to change the...the...the referendum provisions in the...the municipal annexation law, which could be done in a separate Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "I think your questions are out of order Mr. Skinner.. Any further discussion or questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's



101 'aye', no 'nay'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Leinenweber 'aye'. 318. Representative Keller. Anybody handling that Bill for him? 331...331 Representative Younge."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 331. Younge. A Bill for an Act authorizing municipalities and counties to receive certain Federal Funds, disperse those funds and other funds for certain community development purposes and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman in the aisle between me and Mrs. Younge, please take his seat. Representative Younge."

Younge: "Ah...The purpose of this Bill is just to specify that these entities can receive the Federal funds under the Community Development Act of 1974. It is generally assumed that they can and it is just to make it specifically written into the Act that they can."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is, shall this Bill... Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates that he will."

Walsh: "Who...ah...What agencies receive these funds now?"

Younge: "Ah...Municipalities receive the funds, there are...the State of Illinois receives the funds...ah...the Community Action Committee receives the funds, as for example."

Walsh: "Well, it...isn't it true that, generally, and I can't say specifically in all cases, but generally these Federal funds are received by an agency of State Government and dispersed by that agency to the local governmental agencies."

Younge: "Ah...That is one possibility. The Other possibility is direct Federal local revenue sharing. There are two alternatives under the Revenue Sharing Act. Direct state funding and then Federal local funding."

Walsh: "Ah...Well if I may speak briefly to the Bill, Mr. Speaker. It ah...it seems to me that we may, with this Bill, be doing...ah... something a great deal more than we realize and that is by cutting the state out and cutting...ah...in doing so making a municipal governments...in the county government responsible to the Federal Government and not to the State Government and we may be losing a very





important layer of control. So, I...ah...I would urge that...that we hold this Bill or vote 'no' on this Bill until we find out more about the need for it."

Young: "I don't think that's the case now, I think that there is direct funding and it's just not written into the Illinois Statutes. Counties are receiving..."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker that...that was not a question, if the lady's interested in closing."

Young: "Oh...yes. Counties are receiving revenue sharing funds..funds under this Act but it's just not written into the Illinois Statutes and the point here is to specifically state that they can, in conformance with the Federal law."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, to support Senate Bill 331. What this does is just makes it legal so that cities, counties and townships can use revenue federal sharing funds for community action programs or whatever action they feel they want to do in social services in the communities. If they want to have out reach clinics or crusader clinics or headstart programs, this makes it legal. They can use Federal Revenue Sharing funds for...ah...social work in these cities counties and townships and I urge your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think there's an emergency clause in this and I think she needs 107 votes, is that correct Mrs. Young?"

Young: "Yes."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 118 'aye' 3 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Does Representative Young desire to be recognized, with respect to House Bill 2928?"

Young: "Yes, I do., would you put that on the Interim Study Calendar, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Lady has requested that 2928 be put on the Interim Study Calendar, any objection. Hearing none, it will be returned



to the Committee and there placed on the Interim Study Calendar.  
288...388."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 388. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code,  
Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Greisheimer. Representative Greisheimer's  
388? Take that out of the record. 390. Representative Farley here?  
Take it out of the record. 416."

Fred Slecke: "Senate Bill 416. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pharmacy  
Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams."

Williams: "Yes. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 416  
addresses itself to the problem that...ah...arose or certainly had  
publicity here with the...ah...fee splitting between pharmacies and  
welfare agencies and so forth and what this Bill does, it prohibits  
fee splitting between a pharmacist or pharmacy and a person entitled to  
prescribe or dispense of drugs. It's a good Bill and I move it's  
passage...ah...of Senate Bill 416."

Speaker Redmond: "Any question? Representative Mann."

Mann: "Would...Would the gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Mann: "Representative Williams, I'm...I'm not quite sure about who is  
prohibited. I know the Pharmacist is prohibited but who else is  
prohibited...from fee splitting? Who's the other party?"

Williams: "Well, it would be a Doctor or any...ah...ah...like a HMO or  
something like that, in nursing homes, you know, things like that  
any fee splitting."

Mann: "Oh...Okay. Have you found...ah...this relates only to welfare  
receptients, is that right?"

Williams: "Yes, specifically. That's where the problem was. It's not  
a wide spread but there's...ah...this should stop any kind of practice  
like that."

Mann: "Have you...Is this a result of an investigation or...ah..."

Williams: "This was the result actually...I think about a year or so ago.  
there was...I don't even think it was that long ago, it was in the  
newspapers. There were some abuses of this type of thing, so this stop  
that, you know..."



Mann: You mean the prescription of unnecessary drugs, is that what you're saying?"

Williams: "I suppose that could be included if it were with the intention of kick backs or fees or that type of thing."

Mann: "I see...All right. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Representative Simms."

Simms: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question. Representative would this in any way prohibit a situation where in the nursing homes for example, it has supplies, the drugs for a nursing home and they give some type of a...a fee to an individual in the home for the doing the paper work or the administrative work. Would this prohibit that?"

Williams: "I don't believe anything that would come under the normal operation of the business would be included..."

Simms: "But is it not customary for...for example, if a pharmacist had a contract or an arrangement with a nursing home to supply the drugs for the patients at a customary time to pay a certain percentage to someone there to handle the...the administration, the paper work for the drug store itself. Would that be prohibited?"

William: "That would be now prohibited. Yes. This...ah...I don't know of any arrange...usually the local pharmacies where there is a nursing home or anything like that would either try to spread around or if one has its based on a competitive situation he would be doing a business actually as a regular business practice with the homes. Now if there would be any added inducement or fee splitting or anything like that, that would be outlawed under this Act."

Simms: "Would it prohibit fee splitting in that same situation where a nursing home may have a pharmacist working there but although there's another firm that has the contract. Would that prohibit the pharmacist from fee splitting as well?"

Williams: "It would prohibit all fee splitting. Yes."

Simms: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "A question for the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "Representative Williams. In our area it's to my knowledge that many of the medical groups have as part of their facility, a



pharmacy and I assume that the Doctors or the medical cooperation owns the pharmacy and employs a pharmacist to dispense drugs, presumably therefor, the medical people are receiving, either the corporation or the individuals with the partnership, are receiving profits from the...the pharmacy. Would that be illegal under your Bill?"

Williams: "No that would not be. That was...that was a...a question where the legal operation could be misconstrued so that is specifically amended out of this Bill..."

Leinenweber. "So in other words, a Medical practice group if we passed your Bill, a Medical practice group could continue to receive profits from the operation of a pharmacy."

Williams: "That's correct. If they are the owner of the...the building and they're leasing the space..."

Leinenweber: "I'm not just talking about leasing, I'm talking about actually as I understand it, they actually own the pharmacy and employ a pharmacist to dispense drugs and presumably, therefore, in addition to receiving rent or...ah...they're receiving profits, not rent and not...ah...ah any other kind of a fee. But actual profits from this dispensing and sale of drugs. Would that be illegal under the Bill?"

Williams: "No...That would be all right. That's a legitimate business practice...ah.."

Leinenweber: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to speak in favor of this Bill as amended. There have been too many cases with nursing homes where hospitals have someone in their employ that gets kick backs on these bills of the druggist and all this Bill is trying to do is to eliminate the excess cost that's taken illegally against these poor unfortunate people and I certainly urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 134 'aye' 2 'nay' the Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 432. Do you want that held



Representative Washington? 449. 449. Out of the record. 496."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 496. Grotberg. He's not here either."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg on the Floor? Take that out of the record. 516."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 516. Stone. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the University Civil Service Merit Board. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. This is the appropriation for the Fiscal Year for the University Civil Service Merit Board, this year the...the total appropriation is four hundred forty six thousand eight hundred and seventy five dollars...ah...an increase of fifty-five thousand dollars over last year. I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? Those in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 124 'aye' 1 'no'. The Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. 522. Out of the Record. 526. Is Representative Collins on the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 526. Collins."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Collins on the Floor? Here comes Collins down the back stretch."

Fred Selcke: "A Bill for an Act to amend Section 3-12 of the Illinois State Auditing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Collins."

Collins: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 526 is the...is identical to House Bill 721, which passed this House. This Bill was the..ah..the brainchild of Senator Harber Hall and it comes to you with the unanimous backing of the Members of the Legislative Audit Commission. This is the Bill that requires...ah... prompt cooperation by State Agencies with the Auditor General in the event that he is looking into circumstances such as existed around the hiring of former employees of GORE and other agencies...ah...he...well he did run into a resistance from the administration from the various agencies...ah...who attempted not to cooperate with the Auditor General



ah...This Bill would merely require and emphasize the fact that they are required to...to cooperate with the Auditor General and would further stipulate that if he does meet this type of resistance that he would...he would report promptly to the Leadership of both Houses that the...ah...the...ah...cooperation which is required by law has not been extended to him for whatever remedial action would be taken by the...the House and Senate Leadership. As I say it's an identical Bill which passed this House with 121 votes and I would ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? The question is shall this Bill pass? All in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 140 'aye' 5 'no' and the Bill having received the Constitutional majority, is hereby declared passed. 496."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 496. Grotberg. A Bill for an Act in relation to retention and compatability of certain public offices. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 496 does essentially what the Digest says it does. It is the County Problems Commission Bill to ameliorate the problem of conflict between service on the County Board if you are a Township Supervisor. What it does is that it takes the clout off of the Attorney Generals opinion of a few weeks ago until the election of 1977. The Township Election. Twenty days after that. After which time...ah...the Township Supervisors currently serving on County Boards would have to fish or cut bait on whichever job it is they want to run for...ah...most of the Members of this Body know that the County Boards are suffering from the confusion of that whole thing and I would...ah...be pleased to answer any questions on it but I would appreciate ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker. I'm the Chief Sponsor of House Bill 1645 which goes a little further than this Bill. What it does is permit the County Board Members and the Supervisors to serve out the terms that they were elected to prior to the Attorney Generals decision that they could



not hold both offices simultaneously. I would think that this Bill does not go far enough. It cuts them off in 1977, those people who have been duly elected to office. We are Legislating those people out of office and I doubt if we have that right. I would say that we should not pass this Bill and we should let the Senate pass House Bill 1645 and not because of any pride of authorship, the very fact is, as I state, it permits them to serve out the complete terms that they were elected prior to the Attorney Generals decision. So I would vote 'no' for that reason only."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "If there are no other questions, I would like to close."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, is Representative Palmer behind you?"

Grotberg: "Oh...Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield to a question. Does it apply to Cook County?...It doesn't appear in the Bill."

Grotberg: "Ah....There's no Home Rule Amendment on it if that's what you're suggesting."

Palmer: "Well then, we have different elections and dates and also in Cook County the...by the Legislative scheme, the supervisor is not now nor has he been a Member of the County Board."

Grotberg: "I can not answer the Cook County enternal question that you're raising Representative Palmer. All I can say is that Election Laws have to be uniform throughout the state and I would presume that would be one of the reasons that there is no Home Rule Amendment on there."

Palmer: "Would you like to hold it or not....I may have some problems, I read the Attorney Generals opinion."

Grotberg: "Right and we're aware and I think Mr. Flinn is aware that if... conceptionally the Bills do the same thing but his goes a little further. It would be my recommendation that, you know, get them both under way with no reservation at all as far as...we...we both serve on the same Commission. I have no pride of authorship on this Bill either Representative Flinn or Palmer. You...you can take it out



I think it might be a good idea."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor.

Senate Bills Second Reading appears...Representative Terzich.

Representative Terzich on the Floor? Take that out of the Record.

Representative Houlihan, D. L. Senate Bill 28. Out of the Record.

How about 261, 62, 63. Hold those. Representative Terzich is back.

Senate Bills Second Reading appears Senate Bill 349."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 349. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois

Lottery Law. Have we got an Amendment on this? Second Reading of

the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Grotberg. Amends Senate Bill 349 on page 1

... line 1 and 5 by changing Section 10 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Amendment #1 to House Bill...or...Senate Bill 349 attempts to get

at what I have perceived as a growing situation that I think maybe

the General Assembly should take some action to put a stop to. The

Lottery is a success, the Lottery itself is going well, the prices

are getting bigger, the publicity is increasing and Amendment #1 to

Senate Bill 349 simply states that no elected public official

or candidate for public office shall participate in the selection

of the winning ticket or shares or in the presentation of payment

of prizes to the holders of any such winning tickets. I think that

we elected officials should by no way infer to the winning people

of the Lottery system that is by largess of the State of Illinois

or any particular elected office that they are winning anything and

that we therefore shall stay out of, stay out of that system and that

the publicity inherent thereto to any elected official is incongruent

with the responsibility of holding public office and this in effect is

what Amendment #1 does. It would pre-empt anyone of us elected

officials from standing around in the television spotlight while

other people win money that they have taken a chance upon and has

nothing to do with the largess of any elected official and I would

move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich."





Terzich: "Yes...ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...

I would like to...ah...speak against this Amendment...I...I don't see any need for this Amendment...ah...there are some...ah...public officials I believe Representative Zeke Giorgi, I saw his picture in the newspaper our great Sponsor of the Lottery Bill...ah...but this...this just seems to be no rhyme or reason for this Bill and...ah...If...for this Amendment I mean and If they have some public official who is an attendant of a Lottery drawing and so on, well by golly, he should be up there...ah...with the people and if you can't trust your public official, who can you trust? So I would urgently move...have you vote against this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, It's been the practice of the Lottery officials in every city and...of Illinois of inviting all the elected officials to participate and observe and maintain and be sure that the Lottery is maintaining its highest integrity and its highest honesty and I kind of resent...I think, Grotberg, who I don't think voted for the Lottery. Did you Grotberg? Did you vote for the Lottery Grotberg. ...would you please tell the public. When I was trying to pass the Lottery in the State of Illinois the biggest problem I had were the people who wanted to grab the gambling dollars but who didn't want to be caught voting for gambling dollars. I find that also true in the agricultural premium fund, where we've been skimming money for years and I think it's his district that has the most County Fairs and that pay them from the agricultural premium fund, but he'd be the first to deny it in his district and I kind of resent that because I'm invited to the millionaire drawing in my town next Thursday night and I take this as a personal affront. And if you vote me down, you'll hear from me forever."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker my name having been used in debate, I would hope that I have a chance to respond to my good friend Representative Giorgi."  
Speaker Redmond: "You do."

Grotberg: "There's nothing personal in this Amendment at all Representative Giorgi. I think that it's just a responsibility that we should all know that the big millionaire drawing is on prime time television that the media has gone so far in accepting the publicity factor of this



that not everyone will get equal time or exposure, they haven't to date nor will they continue to do so. So let's just not try to fool the people into thinking that that Lottery money comes from a public official when it comes out of a pot that we all put into and there's nothing personal in this at all and the effective date on this will not preclude you next week from going up to Rockford."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 349. All in favor of the adoption say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'nos' have it the Amendment fails. Rep... I only count two, now I count three. The question is those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Jean Barnes 'no'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 44 'aye' 97 'nay'. Representative Collins votes 'nay' 98 'nay' the Amendment fails. Any further Amendments? No further Amendments? Third Reading. 490."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 490. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 490 on page 4 line 15 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Terzich. In the meantime the Chair will recognize Representative Leon."

Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. A point of personal privilege. I'd like the Members of this Body to know and welcome back our good friend John Grant who was hospitalized for a week and he's on the Floor and we're very happy to have him here."

Terzich: "Yes. Mr. Speaker, this is just a plane uplane which was approved by the Pension Laws Commission and I would urge your adoption of Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 490. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no' the 'ayes' have it the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments? No further Amendments Third Reading. House Bills Second Reading. House Bills Third Reading Senate Bills Third Reading, Senate Bills Second Reading. If there's any Bill that any Sponsor wants called that we didn't call or that we passed over because of his absence from the Floor. Representative



Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. 3024, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative 30...Representative...Is House Bill 3024 and 3025, have they been distributed?"

Hanahan: "Yes, they have sir."

Speaker Redmond: "On the Order of House Bills Third Reading appears House Bill 3024. Representative Hanahan, are you the Sponsor?"

Hanahan: "It's a Committee Bill and Representative Hill is the..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill 3024. A Bill for an Act to amend the minimum wage law. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 3024 is identical to House Bill 1136 in the Digest. It was introduced with the Amendments that were adopted by Representative Geo-Karis, Representative Rigney and Representative Craig. But it does...it amends the State minimum wage law to cover employees...employers with less than five employees. It brings into the Act time and a half for over time for those employees who comply with Federal Law that calls for time and a half for over time over 40 hours. It also brings into view the Amendments to the Federal minimum wage. The amounts of money which would be identical to what the Federal minimum wage calls for up to 1977. It...it also...ah...This Bill is a...ah... it does not cover the time and a half provision, it does not cover the Agricultural workers, nor does it cover the Salesmen that sell automobiles, boats, trailers and various agricultural implements and airplanes, which the Federal Law exempts. It's a good Bill, it's a Labor Bill it's a very major important Bill and it isn't really covering Union people. This is one of the Acts that covers people who are not Union Members. This is to give a floor of wages that is desperately needed by those people who do not have a lobby here and I ask for the adoption of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "If the Sponsor would yield for a question."

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "Presently the minimum wage I believe is a dollar ninety, am I



correct on that? Then what...what does this go up to. I don't have the Bills here."

Hanahan: "Yes...the...Presently it's a dollar ninety an hour and 18...and those under 18 years of age is a dollar fifty-five an hour. In 1976. January of 1976, it will go to two twenty per hour and those under 18 to a dollar seventy five and in 1977, January 1, 1977, it will go to two dollars and thirty cents an hour and those under 18 years of age, a dollar ninety-five cents an hour."

Palmer: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to speak against the Bill. The minimum wage Bills have a good ring to them and it sounds like you're going to raise the wages of those who need it the most and those people on the bottom of the economic pile. But, there are frauds, like all other price fixing measures are frauds and that is they merely tend to disrupt the market and tend to displace people who need the jobs on the low end of the economic totempole and put them out of work because you can not artificially raise a persons value on the labor market. This is unfortunate but this is an economic fact. Virtually, every economist in the United States and perhaps in the world will agree with that statement so I can only suggest that despite this high sounding names, that we vote against this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Could you describe...ah...to me what the Bill does with regard to gratuities."

Hanahan: "In the gratuity area, all we do there is more specifically straighten up the language. We allow the fifty per cent exemption if the employee is a gratuity worker and that is the law today. All we do is make the record keeping provisions to apply for the exemptions by either restaurantemployees or taxi cab companys or those other hotel companies that have gratuity employees. So that we specify that you can't hire somebody who...who is to be employed as a waitress, for example and then have them cooking...washing dishes or working in the kitchen for less than the amount of minimum wage at the fifty per cent



exemption. But we added the word 'shall' instead of 'may' on the requiring the employers keeping the allowance gratuity statements for hours and then also in the period for which the time of exemption is made is specified in the Bill and this has met the agreement with the hotel and restaurant association."

Schlickman: "Well, in other words, gratuities at least to an extent will be taken into account with regard..."

Hanahan: "Right. Fifty per cent of the minimum wage law."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Permission has been granted to take a few still photographs. Representative Simms."

Simms: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Representative Hanahan, I...In essence this Bill now requires that everyone fall under the minimum wage. Is that correct?"

Hanahan: "Excuse me...Yes...It would. No because the agricultural workers would still have the exemption..."

Simms: "Well, other than that...my other question is. We have in Rockford an establishment called Goodwill. That hires handicapped people, some of them severely handicapped. Are these...ah...ah...exempted or are they now included under the Act."

Hanahan: "They...they would come under Section 6, which is the law right now for any occupation director may provide by regulation for employment in that occupation of learners, a sub-minimum wage and also for...ah...supplemental...."

Simms: "They would still be exempt then....okay...thank you."

Hanahan: "They have that provision that...they...but they have to apply, they just can't have it automatically."

Simms: "I understand that, but that still doesn't..."

Hanahan: "They have to register with the director..."

Simms: "...That will still remain then....Fine..Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Madigan: "Representative Hanahan. Has this Bill had a...ah...hearing in the Labor Committee in the form of a Committee Bill. I know it was heard in a Committee initially, but now you report that this is a Committee Bill



and...when was the Committee hearing?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "The Committee hearing was last Wednesday and it did have a hearing in the Committee. It was reported out as a Committee Bill."

Madigan: "Was there a posting of that? I would have liked to have been at the Committee Meeting. I've been checking the notices, was there a posting of this Bill?"

Hill: "It was referred back to the Committee and all Bills whether or not this one...it couldn't have been posted with a number because it doesn't receive a House Bill Number until it's reported out of the Committee and then to the Clerks office in order to receive that number. I don't know of any provision for posting under those conditions."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, this week we are, our Committees are hearing Bills which the Sponsors have proposed to become Committee Bills. Those Bills are posted in the normal Committee posting. I know of several instances. The Cities and Villages Committee, the Elections Committee have posted the former House Bill numbers and stated that the Committee shall consider whether these former House Bill numbers shall become Committee Bills and I suggest that if that procedure was not followed relative to what is now House Bill 3024, that there has not been the notice which is required under our rules."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "It...my refer to the gentlemans information. 1136 died at midnight May 23rd. It was not referred back to a Committee. House Bill 3024 is a Committee Bill, meeting all the rules and regulations of the House rules that we haven't adopted on this Floor of the House and it's been reported out and it's now on Third Reading, I think your point of questioning has come too late."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, as I understand our rules, the rules require that there be posting before a Bill be heard in the Committee and I would request a ruling of the Chair as to whether we can proceed with this Bill unless we are shown conclusively that there has been proper notice."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."



Walsh: "Well, I don't recall that this Bill that the rules were suspended so that this Bill was advanced to the Order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. I think therein lies our problem. This Bill should have been introduced as a Committee Bill and then referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair agrees with Representative Walsh. If it's a Committee Bill it's introduced and then referred to a Committee. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Well I make a point of order, Mr. Speaker, that a Committee Bill is senseless to send the Committee Bill back to Committee. I mean...I...this procedure is being followed, as I understand it, with those Bills that Committees are considering that they come out to the Floor on the Order of Sec...Introduced as Committee Bills and then immediately go on the Calendar. The Committees already considered them. Now tomorrow or Thursday, the House Executive Committee is going to, I'm sure, recommend about 8 Bills as Committee Bills. To me it would be senseless Sir, to send these Bills back to Committee. They are going to be introduced as Committee Bills and I think that it would be totally senseless that the Executive Committee spend more time going over these Bills. We already had a Sub-Committee to hear them and I think that that's the procedure that Representative Hanahan is following here."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker...ah...Yesterday the acting Speaker, the Majority Leader, moved...after First Reading of Committee Bills, just before we adjourned last night, moved them all to Second Reading without reference to Committee. So, if...if we are going to establish a procedure...ah...it seems to me, I would agree with the...ah... Chairman of the Executive Committee that if the Committee has had hearings and if the Bills have already been reported out of Committee that it would seem to be redundant to move them then back to Committee. ...ah...and if that is not the case, then we had quite a number of Bills read last night which moved to Second without reference to Committee by the acting Speaker, Majority Leader."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, briefly, Representative Madigans first point that



the rules provide that Bills are to go to Committee and no exception is made for Committee Bills and I think that's accurate and correct Second of all. It makes good sense. Because the fact is that there may be people other than the Members of that Committee that are interested in the Bill and they should have a right to come before the Committee and maybe persuade the Committee that the Committee was wrong in ever reporting it out. It's the only way that that can be done. There are other Members of the Legislature who are not on that Committee who should have an opportunity to know that a Bill is going to be considered and so I believe that Representative Madigan is correct as a matter of rules interpretation and correct as a matter of policy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I have in my hand a Digest #16 and...ah...in that Digest relative to House Bill 3024, it indicates that on May 28th, 1975 this Bill was advanced without any reference to the Committee so that our Digest indicates that the Bill never went to Committee but yet the Sponsor represents to us that this is a Committee and this to me seems to be rather incongruous that a Committee Bill has been given to us but yet it's never been to the Committee and I think it should go back to the Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I...I'm just trying to figure out what order we're going to be recognized here. I'd like for the ruling of the Chair to point to what rule, in our rules of this House, pointing out that a Committee Bill has to posted or in any way, shape or form treated any differently than what the procedure that we're now on, on Third Reading on the Floor of this House, right now. What rule and what Section permits this kind of dialogue under a point of order?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, to try to clarify this. Under Rule 23, under consideration of Bills, Committees are allowed to...to report to the House recommendations to the House, for example, a substitute Bill or Resolution. In effect, that's what a Committee Bill is. It's a substitute Bill, that goes to the House, under Rule 23 and that's why I say it's senseless to bring that Bill back to the Committee, when the





Committee has recommended to the House Floor a Committee Bill. I you know, we have enough duplication around here and I don't think we need anymore duplication and I say this without any, you know, without any looking at the substance of a Bill. I think this, according to our rules, and I think it makes sense."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I certainly don't understand what's going on with the Leadership over here but if you will look at the report that came to the Clerk, you will find out that the Committee Bill that has been reported to the Floor of the House, was reported to the Clerk as a Bill equivalent to the former Bill that was here in regards to the minimum wage. I checked to find out how to do this, I was told how to do it and this is the way it happened and now we run into a problem with part of our Leadership saying that this is wrong and that so far no one has proved to me that this was handled in a wrong manner and as far as I am concerned Mr. Speaker, this Bill is in very legal form and it certainly should be handled. I've run into a problem with this one and the following Bill, I'd like to have someone in Leadership come up and whisper in my ear and tell me what's going on."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well to save the time of the House, I'm going to make the same objections to the next Bill so that if you're going to make some consideration of it, you might as well take both of the Bills at the same time."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's the opinion of the Chair that there should be a posting any time a Bill is going to be heard, now if the Bill was the original Bill was going to be heard, there should have been a posting and if the Committee would substitute for the Committee... I mean for the original Bill then Rule 33 provides that when a Committee reports a substitute for an original Bill the substitute Bill shall read a first time ordered printed and advanced to the Order of Second Reading without further reference to Committee. Now had there been a posting on the original Bill and the Committee substituted a Bill for that original Bill, then there would have been no requirements for posting and a hearing on the Committee Bill, but as I understand it



in this case, there was neither a posting for the original Bill because of the deadline and I think that what should have happened was that the Committee should have reported the Bill out and it should have been referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills and there should have been a posting and a hearing on it. I just can't believe that...and I will so rule that we can have a Bill to come out of a Committee at which the public had no input. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, you still haven't ruled on my point, where are you basing your rule? You can't make up these rules. You name the rule!"

Speaker Redmond: "33."

Hanahan: "And what does it say? It gives you the right to send a Bill any time you want? To whatever Committee, whenever you want? I beg your pardon, it doesn't..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, point of order. You have made a ruling and I don't think any Member of this Floor has the right to engage in dialogue debate with you. If he wants to move to over rule or appeal your ruling, that's an appropriate motion. But what he's doing right now is out of order."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, I'm...we're in the same situation in the Revenue Committee this afternoon, where we have a Bill that has a base, you know, it comes from a series of House Bills which have been tabled. I...I'm wondering how you post something that is sort of coming out of the head of Minerva or out of the head of the...of the Committee Members."

Speaker Redmond: "...Bill. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Very simple Mr. Skinner, just look at the announcement. The subject matter contained in form of House Bill 3008, 3009, 3010, 11. As long as we've discussed the subject matter as we can be discussed then it's a proper notice."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I make a point of order and your ruling, you, you refer to Rule 33, Rule 33 says, when a Committee reports a substitute for an original Bill, and after all that's what a Committee



I think. The substitute Bill shall be read a first time, or printed and advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to Committee. I think that rules very clear that it shall not go back to that Committee and I still say that's senseless and I think under the Rule that you sight that this Bill should not go back to Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "But there would have had to be a posting for the original Bill and if there's no posting for the original Bill which is the situation here, what I would suggest should be done is that this Bill should be recommitted and it should be posted and it should be reported out....and then we'd have no problem."

Matijevich: "Well Mr. Speaker I say that if the Bill, that if the Committee meeting was posted, I think that when the Committee took the action that suffices. I just think it's senseless to go back..."

Speaker Redmond: "There was no posting for the hearing on this particular Bill though, at least that's my understanding. Is that correct Representative Hill?"

Hill: "How can you possibly post a numbered Bill when it doesn't get numbered until it comes out of the Committee...the original Bill was killed at the deadline."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos and Representative Schneider posted them."

Hill: "I could care less what they've done. I...I asked how this should be handled and it's a part of the Leadership that told me how to handle it. Now you're ruling that it's out of order. Because the other party's Leadership says it's not right. It seems to me you ought to sit down with your Leadership and come to some sort of conclusion and as far as I'm concerned, what about the Rules of the House. Here it is June the 3rd and we haven't even adopted them yet. We're still working on the other rules and that's one of the biggest complaints I've heard that we've got to adopt rules, well by God, let's adopt them. I'd like to know what you're trying to do as Speaker. If I were you, I'd get Shea and Madigan in their office and say 'hey man, what's going on here?' because you people are now dealing and it's wrong to deal with a piece of Legislation that affect human beings in the State of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schisler."



Tipsword: Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 3024

recedes a Bill that is...a Bill that is similar to a Bill that I had on the Floor which will be coming up, 3025. Now it came out as a Committee Bill too and I think it's somewhat, although not exactly in the same situation as 3024. The preceding Bill for 3024 didn't go back and this is a completely new Bill that's coming out of Committee right now on 3024. On 3025, 2404, went back to Committee but that Bill is still laying in Committee and is not...ah...no action has been taken on it it's there. The one that I have coming up is in this respect similar to this Bill. It hasn't come out as a product of the Committee and I see nothing in our rules that says that a Bill that has not been filed here for a first time has to go through this notice and posting provision and we were told by part of your Leadership that there is absolutely no requirement under the law under the rules of this House that it has to be posted and heard. This comes out for the first time, this Bill now and the Bill that will be following it, for the first time as a pronouncement of the Labor and Commerce Committee of this House and it comes here and comes back here on Second Reading and I would submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that there is absolutely no reason for posting if the Bill is heard here in the House, it's a Committee Bill, it had to be considered by the Committee and is a product of the Committees fertile minds and their fertile action in that Labor Committee and it comes out here to be considered now by the House on Second and now on Third Reading and I submit that you're absolutely wrong in any ruling that it has to be posted. This is not a Bill that was filed in the hoppers."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr...Mr. Speaker...ah...and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Harking back to the argument made by Representative Matijevec, in calling this Bill and other such Bills substitute Bills. Mr. Speaker I would suggest to you that...that the very definition of a substitute Bill would indicate that there are some substantive changes or there would not be a substitute Bill...ah...that provision which allows for substitute Bills to go to the Order of Second Reading, I think by the very definition of a substitute Bill would indicate that the Bill does not have to be posted. There will in each and every case be



some substantant changes...ah...from the Bill that was originally posted, if you're going to take that approach. So, that logic, it seems to me, is defied by a suggestion or a ruling by the Chair that the Bill has to be referred back."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well Mr. Speaker, I have a question on a topic that is similar to this but not exactly the same. All these Bills have predecessor Bills. What...How would one post a Committee Bill that doesn't have a predecessor Bill? One that's a new idea entirely?"

Speaker Redmond: "Will you repeat that please? I didn't ..."

Skinner: "All of these Bills could be posted as Representative Maragos posted the Revenue Bills as successors to a former Bill that's already been introduced. What happens when one has a Committee Bill or an idea for a Committee Bill which does not, has not been approached by a Bill that has been introduced? How would one post that? For instance, a conflict of interest Bill for County Treasurers?"

Speaker Redmond: "You could post the subject matter."

Skinner: "Just a broad subject matter?"

Speaker Redmond: "That's correct."

Skinner: "Fine, that sounds reasonable."

Speaker Remond: "The problem here is that the public and other Members didn't have any knowledge that the Committee was going to consider the Bill and it would seem to me...Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I will stand up here and say perhaps, I am the person that is wrong, because Representative Hanahan and Representative Hill talked to me about these two particular Bills. I told them the procedure that was followed by the Committee on Banks on House Bill 3000 that an idea was presented to the Committee, the Committee had other Legislation similar to that before it, that the public had an opportunity to be heard when that other Legislation was there, that the Committee came out with an idea that was germinated by that other Legislation, that it came out as a Committee Bill, it was read by the Clerk and then advanced to the Order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. It was I who told them that and I were wrong...If I was wrong, I will stand on this House Floor, but I don't think that Mr. Hanahan or Mr. Hill



are at fault, I am the one that told them that."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I know that...that in the Committee on Higher Education we've had several Bills introduced and sent to us in reference to the..the same subject matter, we've discussed them, appointed sub-committees and the sub-committees have come up with Committee Bills. Now those Committee Bills, Mr. Speaker, have to do with...with matters that were presented to the Committee...ah...in the form of other Bills. However, when we got a Committee Bill we...ah...passed it out as a Committee Bill and it came to the House Floor with a new number. Now Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that...that if we would follow the procedure we seem to be following now, that we would...the Committee would have sub-committees study a matter and come up with a Bill, send it to the Floor of the House because it has no number, so it would have to be sent to the Floor of the House then receive a number, be rereferred to...to the Committee, somehow, and...ah...posted and heard and it seems to me that this is a cumbersome matter, that...ah...it would be impossible to get the Committee Bills heard by the end of June...ah...is that in your judgement the effect of your ruling?"

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I think that if there was never any posting on this Bill, as I understand it, the original Bill of Representative Hanahan had been in Committee sometime ago and there had been a public hearing and it was reported out and it got caught in the May 23rd deadline, but as I understand it, there was no posting for this particular hearing. Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I'm curious how you're going to rule on Conference Committees then. That are going to change substance in Bills, between the difference of opinion between House and Senate and then change the entire Bill to the whims and wishes of Leadership and the rest that control Conference Committees. Are they going to be posted for public hearing? This Bill, 1136 had a public hearing. Every question that was asked by the public in that Bill was heard by that Committee. The Bill died May 23rd. This Bill is a product of a posting of a Labor and Commerce Committee hearing which includes all issues concerning Labor and Commerce. The Bill was put out as a brand new Bill, it wasn't a substitute Bill, it



was a new Bill of that Committee. I followed the directions of the Majority Leader, this Bill is on Third Reading, I think these questions are way untimely, they should have been raised on Second Reading of how the Bill got on posting on Second Reading. Not at passage stage, under debate, after the issue is being debated, not on a point of order, but on the merits of the Bill and then for an issue to be brought up I just...I think is untimely."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Might we ask the Gentleman if he'll take the Bill out of the record for a minute so we can sit down and figure logically where we're going with this?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "If I have the assurance I'll get back, because I still have the alternative of motion to over rule the Chair..."

Speaker Redmond: "You have an alternative to appeal and you also have a motion to suspend the posting rule."

Hanahan: "Yes. But that only gets me back to Second Reading, I want it Third Reading, I'm on Third Reading today."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you take it out of the record then and the same thing with 3025. Out of the record. On the order of Concurrence, appears House Bill 8. Representative Kosinski on the Floor? House Bill 67. Representative Duff? Representative...79, Emil Jones. 79. Representative Jones. Emil Jones."

Jones: "Yes, Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 79."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the Gentleman explain it?"

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, explain it Representative Jones."

Jones: "Yes. Amendment #2, to House Bill 79, changes from five hundred thousand dollars to three hundred thousand dollars the description of a small business in the center side construction contract."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further Discussion? The question is...the Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 79. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Final action. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record."



On this question there's 126 'ayes' 3 'nay', the House concurs in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 79. 149. Representative Porter on the Floor? 433. Representative Lundy? Representative Lundy? We'll hold that one. Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The Senate added one word to the Bill, it doesn't substantially change the intention of it and I would move that we concur in the Senate Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or discussion? The Gentleman has moved that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 433. All in favor vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 129 'aye' 1 'nay' the House Concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 433. House Bill 506. Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. 506 was a clarity Amendment by the Senate and I move for its concurrence."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions or discussion? The Lady has moved the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 506. All in favor vote 'aye' opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 137 'aye' no 'nay' and the House concurs in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 506. 515. Representative Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House concur with Amendment #... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 15....ah...515. It in no way does this hurt the thrust of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised by the Clerk, there's also Senate Amendment #2, Representative Chapman. Are you aware of the content of Senate...."

Chapman: "Ah...In Senate Amendment #2 that was just a corrective Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to inquire the...of the Sponsor if she could just briefly tell us what those two Amendments did, cause we have changed it considerably on the...."

Chapman: "Yeah...It...It doesn't touch anything that we did in the House Committee Gene, what Senate Amendment #1 does, is it permits the...ah... School Districts, if they want, to use two days of their available





workshop days at the beginning of the school term, rather than only one day, which is permitted now."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Wa..."

Chapman: "Okay...Amendment #2...ah...Oh, that...that is a clarifying Amendment that makes it clear that this applies not only to institutes but also to use of the time for parent teacher conferences."

Hoffman: "The...The whole program or...or the...ah...the early days?"

Chapman: "Ah...No...What it, what it relates to is...ah...the Section that will permit full days rather than half days and it makes it clear that these full days also could be used for parent teacher conferences rather than only for institute days."

Hoffman: "Fine. Thank you very much."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah...Yes. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Ebbesen: "Representative...ah...I've forgotten the exact number of days now as it used to be 186 days that school is in session. Is that 180 now? 180?"

Chapman: "This in no way effects the number of days that school is...ah... in session Representative."

Ebbesen: "But does this Conference Committee Report, these Amendments does it in any way, reduce the number of days that the...ah...those attending that school are not there academically? Does it shorten those days?"

Chapman: "No, it...it does not, it permits a different arrangement."

Ebbesen: "But the Bill does itself, is that right? Is this the Bill that did shorten the number of days of academic..."

Chapman: "No...No, what it...Right now, Representative Ebbesen, there is a provision that permits school districts to take half days for teacher institutes, or teacher workshops. This permits a rearrangement so that when...other than taking the half days, if the school district desires, they may use these, the same amount of time, but use them as full days rather than half days."

Ebbesen: "Let me use a hypothetical situation...Let's say that they're in attendance in the classroom academically 180 days a year. The Legislation as it originally went out of the House and the Amendments in no way reduces that number from 180 to something less, based on the



the Legislation."

Chapman: "Ah, it does not reduce the amount of time that children would spend in school: This is a very simple...ah...Bill. What it says is that rather than the two and a half, rather than the five half days you can take, you can now take two full days, rather than five half days of institute.time."

Ebbesen: "But you, you didn't really answer my question, I'm talking about academically. In the classroom performing what they would normally do on 180 days under a hypothetical figure. It does not reduce that nor allow it to be reduced."

Chapman: "No..It..It does not reduce the time children spend in the classroom. It arranges it in a different way."

Ebbesen: Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, you asked for a vote on this thing before...ah... or the board was open before the matter was debated and I think that the board should be cleared to start with and that we ought to have an opportunity to debate this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "I think that the board was for the previous Bill."

Walsh: "Fine. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "I don't think I called the vote on this."

Walsh: "Fine. Okay. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Now this is final passage on this Bill which is truly a bad Bill and I suppose that the Senate Amendment, well it's not very significant it does give us another opportunity to beat this Bill and I think we ought to avail ourselves to that opportunity. Now, it...it seems to me, Mr. Speaker, that we're going in the wrong direction in expanding institute time. Institute time is something made up by teachers that really has no bearing particularly on what the boys and girls do except that it keeps them out of school and it provides that the state count those days that they're out of school toward school attendance days and provides state aid for that purpose. So the teachers are going to lean on their Superintendent to make sure that these days are taken and the children will be out of school on that day. Now this is bad Mr. Speaker, we're here to provide money for education, institute days don't provide education. Now furthermore,



with respect to the Senate Amendment. Parent teacher conferences should take place after the school day and not have the state pay for time that the children are suppose to be attending school so that the teachers may consult with parents and generally it's not all parents, it's certain of the parents. So why don't we strike a blow for the boys and girls Mr. Speaker and vote 'no' on this and if we do we will be defeating this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Satterthwaite."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, apparently I just haven't explained this Bill very well. Mr. Walsh says that this Bill expands the amount of time for teacher institutes. This is not so. I...I just must have explained this Bill very, very poorly. It does not expand the amount of time that is permitted for teacher institutes or parent teacher conferences. It only permits it to be arranged in a different way. Rather than have half days, it permits you to take the same amount of time that is available in half days and use that not in five half days, but instead as two full days. It gives an optional power, additional optional power to the school district to do what work for the school district, in no way is the amount of time expanded for teacher workshops or conferences and I ask that you vote for concurrence for House Bill 515."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Satterthwaite on House Bill 515."

Satterthwaite: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think we are getting ourselves very confused here. I thought the order of business was to speak about concurrence or nonconcurrence with the Senate Amendment to a House Bill. The House Bill has already passed on the Floor of this House, it has already passed the Senate and we are not now back in a discussion, or should not be, of what the intent of the original Bill was. I agree with the Sponsor of the original Bill that...sh...the Senate Amendments are not going to make any significant changes in the amount of time a school child spends in the classroom and I think that we should confine ourselves to whether or not we con...we concur with the Senate Amendment and I would hope that we can get a favorable vote for these Amendments."

Giorgi: "Representative Gene Hoffman on House Bill 515."



Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Speaking on the concurrence, I would just like to point out that as the law presently stands now, we must schedule 185 days of which we have 180 days of teacher attendance and 176 days of pupil attendance. Out of this 176 days under the present law, we may take five half days of those 176 days in which the pupils attend three hours and the rest of the time is spent in...ah...in instructional preparational institutes by teachers. What this Bill does and what the Amendment from the Senate does is to allow the school boards, and I think this is reasonable, more option so that they don't have to take the five half days, they can take two full days. So under the present law the...ah...pupil attendance is 173 and a half days, if they chose the option as provided in this Bill and this Senate Amendment they would be in 174 days. I think this is a very reasonable Bill and I... ah it gives the local school boards more lee-way to meet their particular situation and I would urge your support of this concurrence."

Giorgi: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Yes. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. To those of you who are proponents of home rule I would think you would all want to support this Bill because as has been mentioned previously, we're not depriving the youngsters of any education whatsoever. All we're doing with this concurrence as I see it is simply to allow the local administrators to decide when it would be best to utilize this time and I certainly would urge a vote for concurrence."

Giorgi: "Representative Chapman to close."

Chapman: "Ah...I'd appreciate 89 green lights."

Giorgi: "The question is shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 515. All in favor vote by...signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 120 'ayes' 6 'nays' and 13 voting 'present'. And the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 515.. Senate Amendment 1 and 2. I'm sorry. Representative Marovitz 'aye' on that concurrence. House Bill 8, on a concurrence. Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment... Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 8...ah...House Bill 8 is the Bill we



passed out of this House and gave to the Senate in terms of mandatory police training for those municipalities which have no such training and use of the firearm and the moral and legal aspects of deadly force. This Amendment, on phase of this Amendment, restricts the travel within police districts for the people to accept this training...ah...I am in accord with that condition. The Second...ah...indicates for the provision of this act shall not apply to auxiliary policemen authorized by Section 365 of the Illinois Municipal Code except for the training course provided for there and shall contain a presentation of the ethical, moral and legal considerations to be taken into account by any person who uses a firearm. I am in accord with that because those statutes from the Illinois Municipal Code provide for these police officers anyhow...I...ah...I...recommend the House concur with the Senate Amendment."

Giorgi: "Representative Waddell. On House Bill 8."

Waddell: "Will the Sponsor yield? On the restriction, what kind of a restriction are we talking about here?"

Kosinski: "In travel do you mean, Mr. Waddell?"

Waddell: "Yes."

Kosinski: "Ah...Well we're going to break this down into the State Police districts for training and all this insures is that a man in a municipality within one of those districts, within one of those districts, won't have to travel two districts over by automobile to take his training. He'll get it within the district nearest his home."

Waddell: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "The question is shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 8. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 149 'aye' no 'nays' and no voting 'present' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 8. Representative Garmisa, for what reason do you rise?"

Garmisa: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask for leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rules

in order to hear Senate Bill 245 of the Transportation Committee this



afternoon...ah...Senate Bill 241 was posted for hearing last week and it was...the Sponsor asked that it be post-poned till this week and it was inadvertently left off of the posting and I would ask for leave of the House to post that."

Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave. Leave has been granted Representative Leon, for what purpose do you rise?"

Leon: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like leave to have the posting rules suspended so that I can in the Committee consider Bills that were put on post-poned consideration as a result of the deadline and to go ahead and have the same Bills introduced as Committee Bills. They were on Third Reading and have had a complete hearing in the House...in the Committee and they, two of them were on the Consent Calendar, but they were taken off and I would appreciate the waiving of the rules so that I may consider those Bills this afternoon."

Giorgi: "Does the Gentleman have leave? Leave has been granted. On concurrences appears House Bill 828. Representative R. K. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I have moved to concur with Senate Amendment #2 for House Bill 828. All this provides is that the Amendatory act of 1971 and 1975 are not limits on any municipality which is a home rule unit."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Hill on House Bill 828."

Hill: No not on the..."

Giorgi: "All right, on the concurrence to House Bill 828. Concurrence Jack. No further discussion. The question is shall the House concur to Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 828. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 120 'ayes' 1 'nay' and 1 voting 'present' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 828. On concurrences appears House Bill 821. Representative Terzich. Is Terzich on the Floor? Mr. Houlihan is Terzich behind you? Take it out of the record. On concurrence appears House Bill 1173. Representative Mulcahey. Take it out of the record. Representative Barnes, I don't see him on the Floor. I'm sorry. Is it Jane Barnes? Take it out of the record. Senate Bills Third Reading. Appears Senate Bill 272."



Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 272. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to tax gifts, inheritance and transfers. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Dan Houlihan on Senate Bill 272."

Houlihan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 272 amends the Illinois Inheritance Tax Act by substituting the Department of Revenue for the State Treasure and the Administration of the tax. The rationale of the Bill is that the custodian of the fund should also not be the collector of the fund. The Bill is supported by the Department of Revenue, by the State Treasurer and the Auditor General. I know of no opposition to the Bill and I ask for your support."

Giorgi: "Representative Schlickman on Senate Bill 272."

Schlickman: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Giorgi: "He indicates that he will."

Schlickman: "Does this transfer include all of the functions of the State Treasurer as they relate to the inheritance tax?"

Houlihan: "All of the collection function."

Schlickman: "What about the Safe Deposit Box examinations?"

Houlihan: "Just a moment please.....I believe that it does Gene. I don't know conclusively though as far as your question is concerned. I can take this Bill out of the record and get you the answer. Take it out of the record."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Take it out of the record Mr. Clerk. Do you want to go with House...Senate Bill 388? Senate Bill 388. Third Reading. Representative Greisheimer."

Greisheimer: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 388. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Greisheimer: "What this Bill does is to...ah...provide that...ah...handicapped children who are presently only able to obtain less than five hours of education per week, will qualify for state reimbursement. At the present time there's a prohibition...ah...children...ah...who do not take a minimum of five hours, who are handicapped, can not receive any form of state reimbursement for their study program. This



Bill, builds into it a provision that if a Doctor certifies that a handicapped student can not take...ah...the five minimum hours that the number of hours that they actually take will be reimbursed on a hour per hour basi...ah...this was supported...ah...ah...by the...ah...various parents of the physically handicapped and...ah... the Department also supported this Bill. I think it will provide a valuable educational asset to the physically handicapped children, especially the ones that are phasing in very slowly to a full educational process in the state and I'd urge it's adoption. Passage."

Giorgi: "Is there any discussion? The question is shall Senate Bill 388 pass. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Capuzi 'aye'. The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 149 'aye'...er... 151 'ayes', no 'nays' 2 voting 'present' and Senate Bill 388 receiving the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. On third...Senate Bills Third Reading appears Senate Bill 280. The Clerk...read 280."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 280. Beaupre. A Bill for an Act to change the dates of elections of County Board Members in certain Counties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Beaupre on Senate Bill 280."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 280 changes the general election for County Board Members from the first Tuesday in April to the Tuesday next after the first Monday in November. This basically is a Bill calling for mandatory consolidation of elections of County Board Members with the general election. As you know, during the last session, we passed a Bill which was permissive allow County Boards to move their elections from April by Resolution to the November general election. All the downstate counties in Illinois, outside of five counties, chose to do so, thereby saving the taxpayers in their various counties a significant cost of election. This Bill makes it mandatory for the County Boards to move that election and consolidate it with the...ah...general election in November. Yesterday when this Bill was considered a question was posed by Representative Choate asking how this Bill related to House Bill 1111 which is now on the Interim Study Calendar of the Elections Committeee





and may be reported out as a Committee Bill. That Bill would consolidate a number of elections in the State of Illinois. In regard to the relationship between the two Bills...ah...this Bill...ah...takes care of the consolidation on a mandatory basis for County Board Members as does the House Bill 1111 which is currently on the Interim Study Calendar. I see no conflict between the two Bills, I would hope...ah... that this would receive the favorable support of the House. It amounts to a savings of about one hundred and twenty nine thousand dollars in election costs to the tax payers of the five counties which have chosen not to make the move. I should in all fairness to those counties, indicate to you that two of them are the counties of Jackson and the counties of Kankakee and since...ah...this Bill was introduced, both of those counties, have by Resolution...ah...chosen to move their elections to the November general election. I would ask your favorable support for the Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Choate on House Bill 280."

Choate: "One question of the Sponsor. I was listening very attentative Jack...ah...however, I did not, was not able to ascertain whether there was a conflict in the event 1111 is enacted into law. If there was a conflict as far as the dates of the consolidations are concerned in your particular instance vs 1111."

Beaupre: "My rather quick perusal of 1111 and...ah...comparing it with Senate Bill 280 indicates that there would not be a conflict, but in effect...ah...Senate Bill 280 does for the County Commissioners and County Board Members, what the Consolidation Bill, 1111 would do if it were passed in...in regard to that particular office."

Giorgi: "Representative Borchers on Senate Bill 280."

Borchers: "Well Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House. One of those counties is my county and I'd like to propose to you that since this is mandatory, you're infringing with our right to make our own decision. If we want to save the money, let us make up our minds to save the money. If I want to spend my money or you want to spend your money, that's your business. It's not my business. Neither is it your business to tell me what to do with my money. So I suggest this is infringing of the right of the local government control. Now if you leave it permissive, it's very possible, the future, another county or so may



want to change back and you can ...they can do this if they want. But you make this mandatory, it's going to be very difficult to change around in the other direction. Leave this Bill as it is...ah... leave the law as it is right now and let the people who want to pay more to keep their local affairs separate from national affairs, do so, because this could seriously effect our county due to the fact that we are equally divided between the agricultural areas and the city of Decatur and I feel it's ...ah...mandatory, as far as I'm concerned and you're concerned, that we be allowed to handle our own affairs in this matter. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, once again...ah...I rise to oppose this Bill. It was in the record the other day when it was withdrawn by the Sponsor. We have a number of Bills that have come to this House that would mandate the consolidation of elections, which I personally do not necessarily oppose. However, I do believe that there ought to be a certain amount of latitude left to local governments. I did support last session a Bill that would permit county boards to consolidate their elections and as I the Sponsor of this Bill has indicated, most of the counties in the state have done this. But I think there comes a time when the fine hand of the Legislature ought to be withdrawn from areas such as this to give some latitude to units of local government. I repeat what I said the other day, there comes a time when a decision has to be made by the County Board and then they have to take the heat from their constituents. Now, if there is an extra cost involved, they have to justify it to the property taxpayers in their area. I don't think this is wrong, because I think there may be a time when it's necessary to have a local election separate from a Presidential, gubernatorial or senatorial election. I did support that Bill that would make it permissive but I can't support this one because I feel that there ought to be some latitude left with the local County board and if they make a bad decision, let them take the heat from their constituents but I think it's time that we in the Legislature give some credit to the voters back home and to the Members of the County Board who run for office just as we do. That they know what is best for their area and for their pocketbooks. I



would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Giorgi: "Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Did I understand you to say that there are five counties in this Bill?"

Beaupre: "Well, the Bill applies statewide, to the 101 downstate counties..

However, excluding the Commission Counties, of course, however,...ah...

there are only five counties according to the State Board of Elections that did not seize upon the opportunity that we gave them last year,

to consolidate their elections with the November election. They

are the counties of Douglas, Jackson, Kankakee, Macon and Vermilion.

I...ah...in...in requesting data from the State Board of Elections in

the Illinois Legislative Council also, to ask them to provide me with

the information in regard to what the cost of those five elections

were. I am told that the election in Douglas County cost seven

thousand six hundred and fifty dollars, that the election in Kankakee

County last year cost twenty two thousand and four 0 nine. The

Election in Vermilion County cost forty four thousand and five hundred

and fifty seven dollars, in Jackson, approximately twenty five thousand

and in Macon approximately thirty thousand and these were the figures

from those County Clerks."

Tuerk: "But these counties now have the option to go ahead and hold their election in November."

Beaupre: "Yes...yes indeed and two of them have exercised that option, I understand by Resolution in the last May."

Tuerk: "Why do you want to mandate the other counties to do this?"

Beaupre: "Well, I'll have to give you my closing speech, I guess...ah..."

Tuerk: "Why don't you hold that till the closing speech, Mr. Beaupre."

Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn on this question."

Dunn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just would like to make it clear for the record that I do happen to come from the same county as the Representative who spoke earlier about the County of Macon and the people in the County are disgruntled about the fact that it did cost them thirty thousand dollars to hold their last County Board Election and the people that I know and talk to back there in Macon County want this Bill passed, so I urge a favorable Roll call on this Bill to eliminate this unneeded expense to



the taxpayers of my county."

Giorgi: "Representative McMaster on 280."

McMaster: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Giorgi: "He will."

McMaster: "Jack, I think we've established that there are three counties left that this Bill would effect, is that right?"

Beaupre: "That's correct, but I think it has been pointed out by a number of the opponents that...ah...it would indeed be possible for counties by Resolution to go back to their old practices and...and...ah...reset their elections in April...if this Bill did not pass."

McMaster: "Another question, if I may Jack. Ah...as one of the counties left that have not consolidated your own county?"

Beaupre: "That's correct."

McMaster: "Kankakee County or which one?"

Beaupre: "They...ah...on May 1st...er...let's see...On May 1st, 1975, they passed a Resolution to consolidate in Kankakee County."

McMaster: "They have passed that resolution at that time?"

Beaupre: "That's correct."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, If I may speak on the Bill."

Giorgi: "Proceed."

McMaster: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we went through this yesterday, we did make it possible for the counties to take advantage of the election consolidation. As received from the testimony of the Sponsor of this Bill, there are only three counties left who have not taken up that advantage and we don't know but what they might decide to at their next board meeting. I think we're foolish to set down...sit down here and mandate these laws to the counties. I think when we give them the right to save their people money, we are doing everything we possibly can and I don't think it should be our responsibility to become so involved in their affairs that we are mandating what they must do as far as election consolidation is concerned. I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill and let the counties go ahead and make their own decisions."

Giorgi: "Representative Frederich."

Frederich: "Mr....Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I don't care if there's only one county left that doesn't do this, as long as they have



the right to do what they want to do. I think we're all getting fed up with the Federal Government telling the states what to do and I've got all-I want of the state telling the counties what to do. If we really believe in this theory, why don't we put all the elections together. The School Boards and everybody else and then when you have a...then when you have a great Democrat candidate, we'll have Democrats clear down to the City Hall and we'll have Republicans and throw all the Democrats out and go from there. Now we changed the Illinois Constitution to take the Governors election away from the Presidents election because you said it wasn't fair when you have a popular candidate for President that he drag in the Governor with him or vice versa. Now we're trying to tie the County Board in with the President. If we ought to be doing anything around here, this is a local election, we ought to be putting the County Board Members in with the Township Officers because this is strictly a local election and it should have nothing to do with who's Governor or who's President. This is a bad Bill and let's leave these counties run their own business. If it's just one, let them have the right to do it."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. One of the counties that presently is still having their...their elections in the Spring for their County Board elections is Macon County which is also in the District that I represent although I don't live within the dis... within that particular county. However, I have had in...enumerable people in that county come to me and request that I do everything I can to see that the election for County Board is moved to the Fall. They're sick and tired of having so many elections. We have repeated elections over and over and over throughout different years, in addition, they are very disgruntled by excessive cost of this one election...in...in Macon County at this time and I would indicate to you that...that they're looking towards, to us, from that county and I'm sure these other counties in the state that have not yet taken advantage of the permissive law, to see to it that we mandate that this election should be held in the Fall. Because most of them...have...are...are working people, they have difficulty getting out to elections



the general election is the one that most of the voters manage to get to that are going to vote during the year. That's when more people get out and more people have the opportunity and take the opportunity to express their preference and so I would strongly urge that we help out the people in those few counties that have not yet taken advantage of the permissive law which we have in action and give those people who are not being listened to by their County Board the opportunity to vote for their County Board Members in the Fall when they will be definite<sup>e</sup> going to the polls and will have no difficulty getting there."

Giorgi: "Beaupre to close."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think Representative Tipword has expressed...ah...better than I could...ah... the reasons for the passage of this Bill. I would just like to point out in addition...ah...that...ah...this measure will increase participation in County Board elections in those counties who have chosen not to consolidate, as permitted under the...the law that we passed last year and in addition I would like to point out that as a Member of the Revenue Committee for the last three years, to those who suggest that we ought to allow local autonomy to govern in this area, that I would suggest that in the last three years, time after time after time as a member of the Revenue Committee I have seen counties come in and ask for additional funds, telling us that they can't afford to run their shops. We know that within the last six or eight weeks...ah the Governor had to go down to one of our counties in Southern Illinois and provide them with an additional fund so that they could pay the salaries of the County Clerk and the County Treasurer and so forth. I can't understand why...ah...this...ah...Bill, which would consolidate elections, save approximately one hundred and thirty thousand dollars of county funds should not be passed as a state function of this Legislature and I ask for your favorable support."

Giorgi: "The question is shall Senate Bill 280 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye' and those opposed by voting 'no'.

Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. One of the things that has not been mentioned and I'm sure that's in every-



body's mind, is that one of the reasons why these elections have not been consolidated in the past when we've made it permissible to do so, is because there are some political complications. There's a tendency for the party in power in those counties to...to maintain that power by holding elections on dates when few people come to the polls. Now let's recognize this Bill for what it is...it's a clean up in our Election Laws. It will provide a...a maximum opportunity for people to express themselves at the polls, it...it ought to be done. It's a kind of necessary change in our Election Laws to bring about equitable and fair elections and to provide for increased participation in the political process. I'd sure like to see a couple more votes up there."

Giorgi: "Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. It seems to me that this is a good Bill. It's good for all of the people of the State of Illinois. I...live neighbor to Macon County and spend some time over there. I've had several people that are residents of Macon County suggest that this would be a good Bill. They have told me that...that the Board of Supervisors in Macon County are trying to perpetuate themselves in office by the present system and that they're not much interested in saving thirty thousand dollars for the people...of the county. I believe it's a good Bill and...and deserves the support of all of us."

Giorgi: "Have all voted who wished? Take the record Mr. Clerk? Madison, Representative Madison 'aye'. On Senate Bill 280. Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "I would request a verification of the Roll Call."

Giorgi: "Okay, we'll...ah...Anyway on this question. Is Madison on the Roll Call yet?"

Jack O'Brien: "Madison...ah..."

Giorgi: "McGrew. Representative McGrew, for what reason do you rise? McGrew."

McGrew: "Point of Order Mr. Speaker. I believe that you first of all had announced the Roll Call and secondly that Member can only speak from his seat. It's in the House Rules."

Giorgi: "Mr. McGrew, I did not announce the Roll Call and we have recognized



Members other than in their seat...ah...on this question there are 95 'ayes' and 55 'no' and Representative Borchers asked for a verification of the Roll Call and we'll proceed then with the polling of the absentees and then the Roll Call of the Affirmative vote. If that's the wish of the Assembly."

Jack O'Brien: "Brandt, Daniels..."

Giorgi: "I'm sorry, Representative Brandt 'aye'."

Jack O'Brien: "D'Arco, Fleck, Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Hirschfeld, Huff, Keller, Kelly, Klosak, Meyer, Molloy, Mudd, Porter, Rayson, Schoeberlein, Stearney, Terzich and Williams."

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, proceed with the affirmative Roll Call. If that's the request."

Jack O'Brien: "Anderson, E. M. Barnes, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Birchler, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Byers, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Darrow, Davis, DiPrima, Downs, John Dunn, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, Holewinski, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Kane, Katz, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Kornowicz, Leon, Leverenz, Londrigan, Lucco, Luft, Lundy, Madigan."

Giorgi: "Pardon me Mr. Clerk. Representative Rayson wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

Jack O'Brien: "Madison, Mann, Maragos, Marovitz, Matijeich, Mautino, McLain, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian, Mulcahey, Nardulli, O'Daniel, Patrick, Pierce, Pouncey, Rayson, Reed, Richmond Sangmeister, Satterthwaite, Schisler, Schneider, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Stone, Stubblefield, Taylor, Tipsword, VanDuyne, Von Boeckman, Washington, White, Willer, Younge, Yourell and Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Ah...Who's asking? Representative Huff wants to be recorded as voting 'aye'. That's Representative Fleck 'no'. Porter 'no'. Is that 97 'ayes' then? We're commencing with 98 'ayes' and 57 'no', do you have any questions of the affirmative Roll Call, Mr. Borchers?"

Borchers: "Yes I do, Mr. Speaker. Beatty."

Giorgi: "Representative Beatty, how's the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is he in the Chambers? Take him off the record."





Borchers: "Boyle."

Giorgi: "How's the Gentleman recorded, Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is he in the Chambers? Take him out of the record."

Borchers: "Brandt...Brandt."

Giorgi: "Representative Brandt is in his seat."

Borchers: "Brinkmeier."

Giorgi: "How is the Gentleman recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Brinkmeier in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Borchers: "Brummet."

Giorgi: "He's in his seat."

Borchers: "Byers."

Giorgi: "Byers is in the aisle."

Borchers: "Caldwell."

Giorgi: "Representative Caldwell is in his seat."

Borchers: "Chapman."

Giorgi: "Representative Chapman, how's she recorded? Here she is coming out of the side door."

Borchers: "Capparelli."

Giorgi: "He's in his seat."

Borchers: "Craig."

Giorgi: "Representative Craig, how is he...ah...he's in the back of the room."

Borchers: "DiPrima."

Giorgi: "Representative DiPrima, how is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. DiPrima in the room? Take him off the record. Put

Mr. Brinkmeier back on the Roll Call, he just returned to the Chambers."

Borchers: "Downs."

Giorgi: "Excuse me a minute...Representative Downs, how's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Representative Kent for what reason do you rise? Representative

Kent wants to be verified because he has to leave. You're verified

Representative. Representative Downs. How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is he in the Chambers? Take him off the record."



Borchers: "Farley."

Giorgi: "Representative Farley is in his seat."

Borchers: "Friedland."

Giorgi: "Who was that?"

Borchers: "Friedland."

Giorgi: "Representative Friedland, how is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Friedland in the Chambers? Take him off the record.

Put Mr. Boyle back on. Mr. Boyle is in the Chambers."

Borchers: "Garmisa."

Giorgi: "Representative Garmisa is in his seat."

Borchers: "Getty."

Giorgi: "Representative Getty is in his seat."

Borchers: "Giglio."

Giorgi: "Representative Giglio. Representative Giglio, how is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'. Take him off the record if he's not in the Chambers. I don't see him."

Borchers: "Hanahan."

Giorgi: "Representative Hanahan is in a meeting in the back of the Chambers but, how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "I'm afraid we'll have to take him off the record. Representative Hanahan's here."

Borchers: "Okay, if he wants to leave and go back to the meeting it's okay with me."

Giorgi: "It's okay with Borchers."

Borchers: "Hart."

Giorgi: "Representative Hart is in his seat."

Borchers: "Holewinski."

Giorgi: "Representative Holewinski, how is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Holewinski in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Borchers: "Emil Jones."

Giorgi: "Representative Jones, how is he recorded? Mr. Clerk."



Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Emil Jones in the Chambers? Here he is."

Borchers: "How could you do this to me Mr. Jones? Kozubowski."

Giorgi: "Representative Kozubowski. How is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr. Kozubowski in the Chambers. Take him off the record."

Borchers: "Laurino."

Giorgi: "How is Mr. Laurino recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Mr...Representative Laurino in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Borchers: "Kornowicz."

Giorgi: "How is Representative Kornowicz recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Kornowicz in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Borchers: "Leon."

Giorgi: "Representative Leon. How is he recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Leon in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Borchers: "Maragos."

Giorgi: "He's in his seat."

Borchers: "Matijevich."

Giorgi: "He's in his seat."

Borchers: "McLain."

Giorgi: "McLain is in the back of the room."

Borchers: "McPartlin."

Giorgi: "Ho is Mr...Representative McPartlin recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative McPartlin in the Chambers? Take him off the record."

Borchers: "Mulcahey."

Giorgi: "Representative Mulcahey is on the Republican side."

Borchers: "Partick."

Giorgi: "Representative Patrick. Is he in the back of the room? There he



is."

Borchers: "Mugalian."

Giorgi: "Representative Mugalian, how is he recorded Mr. Clerk? Representative Mugalian, how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Mugalian in the Chambers? Take him off the record Mr. Clerk."

Borchers: "Satterthwaite."

Giorgi: "Who?"

Borchers: "Satterthwaite."

Giorgi: "Representative Satterthwaite, how is she recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Satterthwaite in the Chambers? Take her off the record. Put Mr. Leon back on, he's in the Chambers in front of the podium."

Borchers: "Ah...Schisler, Representative Schisler."

Giorgi: "Put Leon Back on. Mr. Clerk, Representative DiPrima is return him back to the Roll Call. Representative DiPrima."

Borchers: "Willer."

Giorgi: "Representative Willer, how is she recorded Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Is Representative Willer in the Chambers? Take her off the Roll Call."

Borchers: "Mann."

Giorgi: "Mann is in the second row here."

Borchers: "And...ah...Younge."

Giorgi: "Younge is in his seat."

Borchers: "Sharp."

Giglio: "How is Representative Sharp recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giglio: "Is Representative shar...Sharp in the Chambers? Take him off the Roll Call."

Borchers: "Schraeder. Schraeder."

Giglio: "Schraeder's at the back of the room."

Borchers: "All right that's it."

Giglio: "Representative Stone, for what reason do you rise?"



Stone: "Mr. Speaker, am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "How is ah..."

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Representative Kane, for what reason do you rise?"

Kane: "How am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "Mr. O'Brien, how is Representative Kane recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Kane: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Representative McGrew, for what reason do you rise?"

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "How is Representative McGrew recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

McGrew: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Representative Mautino."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Yes, sir."

Mautino: "How am I recorded?"

Giorgi: "How is Representative Mautino recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The gen...the Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Mautino: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Representative Kelly. How is Representative Kelly recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Kelly: "Vote me 'aye'."

Giorgi: "Vote Representative Kelly 'aye'. Representative Schneider, do you have a question?"

Schneider: "Mr. Speaker, could I ask the Chair a question?"

Giorgi: "Schneider...proceed."

Schneider: "Could I have some order please? There's two of the Members, Representative Willer was taken ill and had to go to the Hospital and Representative Satterthwaite provided transportation. I wonder if the House would acknowledge that absence because of illness? Oh, you guys are bloody....Mautino, how can you go for that?"

Two Members that are legitimately absent, I think we ought to leave it."

Giorgi: "Representative Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded on this?"



Giorgi: "How is Representative Calvo recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Calvo: "Was I verified, I had to leave the Chambers for a few minutes."

Giorgi: "You were left on the Roll Call, was he not?"

Calvo: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Representative Leverenz...Leverenz."

Leverenz: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded please?"

Giorgi: "How is Representative Leverenz recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Leverenz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker."

Giorgi: "Okay, do you have a total ...Hey di...Representative Flinn,  
for what reason do you arise? Get this."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, I've been off the floor, I see I'm recorded 'aye',  
was I removed from the Roll Call?"

Giorgi: "Was Representative Flinn verified?"

Jack O'Brien: "No sir, he remained....he remained on the Roll Call..."

Giorgi: "Let's keep order here....Representative Terzich, I think was  
taken off the Roll Call. Kozubowski was taken off and Kornowicz.  
Would you put the three back on and Representative Giglio. Also  
Beatty....Just a minute, everyone's entitled to be recorded in...in  
fraternity. Kornowicz is on...back...Kornowicz. Wait till we get  
the Members verified. Kozubowski was returned. Giglio has returned.  
I know that Emil wants to be fair. Mugalian has returned.  
Representative Schraeder, for what reason do you arise?"

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, my name was called, was I taken off, I want  
to vote 'aye'."

Giorgi: "I understand how important it is Fred. Is Mr. Schraeder verified?  
Mugalian, I'm sorry....Just a moment it's a little difficult to go  
off and on. Just a minute please, I'm a Member of the Assembly  
and I know how important it is to be verified. Terzich wants to be  
ver...put back on the Roll Call. Mugalian wants to be returned to  
the Roll Call..."

Jack O'Brien: "Wait a minute..."

Giorgi: "Go ahead."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Terzich is recorded as not voting."

Giorgi: "How do you like to vote Mr. Terzich?"



Terzich: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Giorgi: "Terzich 'aye'. Representative Craig, for what reason do you rise? We recognize...I didn't force Borchers back to his seat if you'll check the record. I recognized him in Simms' desk. Representative Beatty, for what reason do you rise? How's Representative Beatty recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman was taken off the Roll Call."

Beatty: "'aye'"

Giorgi: "Vote Representative Beatty 'aye'. How do we stand? Everyone... everyone will get a chance. Representative Simms. Representative Anderson, for what reason do you rise? How is Representative Anderson recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Anderson: "Vote me 'no'."

Giorgi: "Vote him 'no' Representative Daniels."

Daniels: "Mr. Speaker...ah...vote me 'no' please."

Giorgi: "Daniels, how is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Daniels: "Vote me 'no'."

Giorgi: "Representative John Dunn, for what reason do you arise?"

Dunn: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Clerk, Representative John Dunn."

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Dunn: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Leave it like it is. Representative Laurino wants to be returned to the Roll Call. Representative Griesheimer...or Griesheimer... Representative Griesheimer for what reason do you arise? Oh thank you."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded on this?"

Giorgi: "How is Representative Griesheimer recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Griesheimer: "Vote me 'no' please."

Giorgi: "Vote him 'no'. Representative...ah...Representative Reed."

Reed: "How am I recorded Mr. Speaker?"

Giorgi: "How is she recorded?"

Reed: "Vote me 'present' please."



Jack O'Brien: The Lady is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Reed: "Vote me 'present'."

Giorgi: "Representative Tipsword, for what reason do you arise?"

Tipsword: "I was just called off the Floor and someone indicated I may have been taken off the Roll Call."

Giorgi: "Has he been verified or taken off the Roll Call Mr. Clerk?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Tipsword: "Leave it that way. Thank you."

Giorgi: "Now has everyone that's been verified, been verified? What's the total Mr. Clerk? I think if you'd leave the Chamber none of us not many of us would miss you. What's the Roll Call? Would you like your vote changed?"

Skinner: "Why in the Hell do you think I've got my light on! Unlike some of my colleagues on the other side of the aisle, I can see the board....I am recorded 'present', I want to be recorded 'no'."

Giorgi: "Representative Skinner would like to be recorded as voting 'no' Representative Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I simply want to say this."

Giorgi: "For what reason do you arise Mr. Telcser?....Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "I'm rising on a point of personal privilege.....I'm rising on a point of personal privilege and leave the microphone on."

Giorgi: "Leave him on."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I've served in this Chamber, I'm now on my fifth term and I simply want to say to you that....."

Giorgi: "Mr. Telcser....Just a moment...You asked for a point of order...."

Telcser: "When you're on....you're on that podium, Mr. Speaker....I have never seen anyone prostitute that podium the way you do."

Giorgi: "Mr....Put that...put that in neon lights. Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "I...I respect the Leader on the other side and I think he has the right to speak...but I think he has that right after the Roll Call."

Giorgi: "Representative Houlihan, for what reason do you arise? Jim."

Houlihan: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, I think the Gentleman from Cook has delayed our getting a Roll Call and I think that's out of order...I think he's





dilatory and I don't think he should do that."

Giorgi: "Mr. Telcser, we're not all mathematicians. Mr. Telcser....  
Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, we're having a lot of fun here and we laugh and joke and I've had that gavel, just as you have it now and the gavel means an awful lot and I understand that and you understand it.... everyone does. But Mr. Speaker, let me just say this to you sir. That Representative Skinner, just like every Member of this House, is elected by their constituents to represent them. It's not in your judgement or my judgement to question why they are here or why they are not here. I'm simply stating that when you make disrespectful remarks about a Member, it's bad enough when you do it from the Floor, as you do so often, but I say you compound it when you sit on that podium, which as far as I'm concerned is a sacred place, because I respect the Speakers office and when you're on that podium as the temporary Speaker and you make disparaging remarks about another Member. Mr. Speaker, I'm tellin you, you not only demean this entire House, but I want you to know you demean yourself and those kinds of remarks are far beneath you, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Telcser, your remarks are part of the record and I don't object to them. Mr. Shea...What...What's the Roll Call? On this question, Senate Bill 288 it has received, verified, 91 'ayes'... how many 'nays'? 59 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Representative Shea for...ah...adjournment."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now stand in recess until 7:30 this evening."

Giorgi: "All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed...the 'ayes' have it and the House is in recess."

"Test 1,2, testing...2,3,4,...Okay, I got the bad battery...testing 1...  
Hello Jack...would you like to speak into my tie tack? Okay Tom,  
it's all ready."



Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please retire to the Gallery?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Chair recognizes Representative Borchers... Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I hear that one of our very young men in this House has a Birthday today and it's none other than Representative Dwight Frederick...Polly.. So I'd like to wish him a happy birthday."

Speaker Redmond: Have you consulted an oculist lately, Representative Geo-Karis?"

Unknown: "I want Brummet to get in on it too, because he should share this...it's also his birthday."

Geo-Karis: "And I understand that Don Brummet, Representative Don Brummet also has a birthday today and I'm very glad that both Gentlemen were here and happy birthday to all of you. I understand Harry Leinenweber also has a birthday today, I don't know where Harry is, but that makes three. Happy birthday gentlemen and now that we're all here... I understand that Mrs. Petrie, Gerry Sheas Secretary has a birthday today, so we wish her a happy birthday. Anybody else? I'm beginning to feel I'm not born yet."

Speaker Redmond: "The...the Deputy Clerk had dinner at the Sangamo Club too."

Geo-Karis: "And the Deputy Clerk had dinner at the Sangamo Club and did Mr....did Redmond pour?"

Speaker Redmond: "Is Representative Ebbesen here? He's not. Do you want to sing Adeline?"

Geo-Karis: "Sure...Let's all sing Happy Birthday. Happy Birthday to you... Happy Birthday to you....Happy Birthday Dwight, Don and Harry, Happy Birthday to you...."

Speaker Redmond: "Do you want to respond Representative Frederick? If we were in order, we would have never permitted that. Representative Frederick was crying at that and I contacted him and I said 'How come, are you sorry that you're getting a little bit older?' he said, 'No, I'm a musician.'. The House will come to order and the Members' will please be in their seats. Mr. Clerk, do you have anything that we can do here. How about Resolution? I got a Resolution, you want a



Resolution? Representative Collins, do you seek recognition?  
 Representative Totten, do you seek recognition? Representative  
 Kelly? Representative Molloy? Representative Geo-Karis?  
 Representative Miller?...You can't yield on explanation.  
 Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm glad to announce that the National League  
 Ball Team from the City of Chicago won today, 5 to 4."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you Journ...will you journalise that please?  
 Cardinal...Cardinals showed up. They haven't printed them yet,  
 because they don't know their opponent....Representative Miller."

Miller: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I rise on a  
 point of personal privilege. We're trying to organize a Pool  
 Tournament here in Springfield, anybody that would be interested  
 in playing a game of Pool at Capital Q, Billiards, tomorrow night,  
 8:00."

Speaker Redmond: "I was told when I was a young man, that dancing well  
 and playing pool well was a virtue. To do them excellently was  
 an evidence of mis-spent use. The order of business is Resolutions."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 319. Redmond. House Resolution 320.  
 Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Bradley on a Resolution."

Bradley: "Well, not on the Resolution Mr. Speaker, but as long as we  
 have a few minutes, I guess we are on Resolutions...Resolution  
 319, marks the 300th anniversary of the residence of the sight  
 of Chicago of the first Missionary Explorer, Father Jaques Marquette  
 and House Resolution 300...the pringting's poor. House Resolution  
 320. Redmond."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you  
 rise?"

Leinenweber: "I would appreciate having him say the first one over again,  
 particularly the name of the individual..."

Speaker Redmond: "Well he has a...We're going to have Jack O'Brien read  
 that."

Leinenweber: "You know, I'm surprised it's not Marquette University."

Bradley: "Well House Resolution 320. The House congratulating the...  
 the Men and Women of the House in offering the Speakers gratitude and



appreciation for their response to call for...ah...help without this formal task it would have been very difficult and I move for the adoption of the two Resolutions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the two Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye' opposed 'no' the 'ayes' have it. The Resolution is adopted. Copy of that first one will go to Al McGuire the..."

Unknown: "Mr. Speaker if I might...because we're not addressing ourselves to...ah...any real monumentous right now or momentous. The...ah... I would like to...I know we have indicated we wouldn't introduce very many people anymore but there is a Gentleman sitting in the Republican Balcony that I think every Member of this House should make his acquaintance...ah...he's the father of the Resource Equalizer formula that...ah...we're working with all the time and...ah...I wish that he would stand and be recognized by the Members of the House.... Doctor...Doctor Ben Hubbard and his wife, from Illinois State University."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Gene Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, in reference to the last introduction of...ah... Doctor Hubbard, I just wanted you to know that...what little, what little I know about the State Aid formula I learned from that Gentleman in the Balcony. I know thereare a number of you in the Balcony who don't think I know a great deal."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi, will you tear yourself away and come up here a moment? Sorry Representative Chapperson. The House will be at ease till 8:00. 8:00 so you can memorize the New Testament."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Ah...my colleague in front of me keeps insisting that I table a Bill, so I ask leave of the House to table House Bill 1776."

Speaker Redmond: "First thing, I think we better take a Roll Call. Roll Call for attendance. Okay. My three Parliamentarians tell me we don't need a Roll Call. What Bill is it Representative Rayson?"

Rayson: "1776. A very important number."

Speaker Redmond: "What is that? 1776. Any objection? Hearing none 1776 is tabled."



Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order, Roll Call for attendance. Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which House Bill 2742..."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance, will you turn on the juice? Representative McGrew? McGrew."

McGrew: "Mr. Speaker, I believe we recessed and a Roll Call wouldn't be necessary."

Speaker Redmond: "We didn't take a Roll Call before."

McGrew: "Oh. I'm sorry."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "I think the Roll Call from this morning is adequate for tonight Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Nobody made that motion."

Walsh: "There doesn't have to be a motion, there's no need for a Roll Call now."

Speaker Redmond: "Okay, Committee Reports."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which House Bill 2742 and 2759 were referred reported the same back in pursuant to Rule 23(d). The Bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which Senate Bills 209, 335, 506, 740 and 1066 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which Senate Bill 283 and 284 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Maragos from the Committee on Revenue to which Senate Bill 502 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate Bill 98 was referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended, do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate Bill 6...Senate Bill 1260 was referred, reported the same back with the Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate Bill 208, 1258, 1285, 1289 and 1291 were referred, reported the same back



with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Fennessey from the Committee on Elections to which Senate Bills 854, 901 and 1288 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bills 220, 221, 709, 1137 and 1184 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass Consent Calendar. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bills 742 and 1381 were referred reported the same back with the Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 685 was referred reports the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 804 and 1139 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bills 913, 965 and 1030 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 887 was referred, recommended the Bill be assigned to Interim Study Calendar. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 884 and 885 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Taylor from the Committee on Cities and Villages to which Senate Bill 725 and 1013 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which House Bills 1778 and 2016 were referred returned the Bills in pursuant to Rule 23(d), the Bills were ordered tabled."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker...Could you turn on the young Gentleman up there? What I want to do is find out if he can out talk his father."

Speaker Redmond: "'Impetuous'."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Washington from the Committee on Judiciary I to which House Bills 1131, 2087, 2436, 2480, 2486, 2545, 2563, 2635, 2638, 2640, 2771, 2772 and 2773 were referred, reports the same back in pursuant



to Rule 23(d), the Bills were ordered tabled. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bills 1082, 1088, 728 and 698 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass, Consent Canendar. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bills 819, 1084 and 779 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. No further Committee Reports."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Third Reading. Representative Mugalian, you want that 339 held. Representative Duff 899 held? 1881. Representative Shea."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1881. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. These are the Bills that provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the General Assembly and also for the Appropriations Committee. They are the exact same dollar amount as last year and I would appreciate the support of the House and be willing to answer any questions."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? The question is shall this Bill pass? All those in favor vote 'aye'..."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, could I hear these two Bills together, 1881, 1882 together. Any objection? Representative Shea."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 1882. A Bill for an Act making..."

Speaker Redmond: 81, isn't it?"

Jack O'Brien: "A Bill for an Act making appropriations for furnishing of staff, utility service, office equipment, office rental costs for Members of the General Assembly. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Again Mr. Speak..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "With regard to House Bill 1881, you mentioned that the Appropriations for Fiscal 76 in the amount of seven million, three hundred and seventy two thousand dollars is equal to the appropriations



for Fiscal 75. I'm wondering if you could tell us how much of the seven mon...seven million three hundred and seventy two thousand that was appropriated for Fiscal 75, will lapse. Will be unexpended?"

Shea: "I'm sorry Representative I don't have those figures. Is that the amount of money used to run our Committees?"

Schlickman: "Well, it's the ordinary and contingent expenses of the General Assembly."

Shea: "I don't have..... I'm sorry, I don't have those figures. I think Mr. Kesselman from your side of the aisle has them if you want to ask him."

Schlickman: "Well, what I'm simply suggesting is that the proper base for comparing an appropriation for the next fiscal year is not the amount that was appropriated a year ago, but rather the amount that was actually expended."

Shea: "Well, as you know, I yield to Mr. Totten."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to the questions raised by Representative Schlickman, in fiscal year 74, we expended \$5,223,392. \$5,223 in fiscal 74. The estimated expense for fiscal 75 is \$6,548,000, and the fiscal 76 request, which Representative Shea, indicated is the same as fiscal 74 \$77,702,000."

Schlickman: "In other words if I may add, Mr. Speaker, \$800,000 more is being appropriated for fiscal 76 than what is anticipated to be expended for 75."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Are you asking me or the Speaker?"

Schlickman: "No, I was making a statement."

Shea: "Oh, all right."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions? The question's..... Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, may I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Lundy: "Obviously, the Bill containing the monies for the Leadership staff and Committee staff of this General Assembly is going to pass. and I





will be supporting it, but I think the Membership ought to be aware of the amounts of money that we are voting to appropriate for leadership staff when we could not get approval on this House floor for a measley \$3,000 a year increase to help pay for staff for the ordinary members of this House, the non-leadership, the non-committee chairmen of this House. The Leadership staff is getting a \$1,600,000. The general committee staff is getting a million and a half. And the Appropriations Committee staff is getting close to \$600,000. Now all of those amounts are in excess of what it would have cost to increase the contingent expense allowance by a small amount to make it possible for the ordinary members to get some help in dealing with the Bill loads that we've been staggering under for the last four or five or six weeks. And I think it's time that we put things in perspective and that we realize that the Leadership and the Committee Chairmen who hire and control these staff people are not the only ones who have to keep up with the Bill load. The individual Members do, too, and I would like to see some support from my party leadership the next time we try to provide a little additional money, so we can get adequate staff to help us do our jobs in this legislature."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion? Question is, shall this Bill pass? Question is, shall these Bills pass? All in favor, vote 'aye'. Those opposed, vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 135 'ayes', 6 'no'. The Bills, having received the Constitutional Majority, I hereby declare passed. 2038. Representative Hirschfeld. 2032. Representative Chapman. Do you want to hear 2103? 2240. Representative Boyle. Take it out of the Record. 2445. Representative Yourell. Out of the Record. 2476. Representative Shea. Out of the Record. 2580. Representative Deuster. Out of the Record. 2790. Representative Washburn. 2834. Representative Keller. Representative Hart, can you handle that for Representative Keller?"

Hart: "Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Keller wanted this to be referred back to the Interim Study Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Maybe we better wait until he's here."

Hart: "I think it would be better if we waited until he gets back. I



know that's what he wants, but I think he can do it himself."

Speaker Redmond: "Thank you, very much. 2942. Representative Brinkmeier. Out of the Record. 2970. Barnes. Out of the Record. 2972. Tuerk. Representative Tuerk. Out of the Record. 2985. Out of the Record. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is Senate Bill, or I mean House Bill 3014 in a position to be called?"

Speaker Redmond: "3014. Where is it?"

Shea: "It's on House Bills..... It indicates House Bills, Third Reading."

Speaker Redmond: "We read it a second time today."

Shea: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Redmond: "We read that a second time today."

Shea: "All right, that's one of those Bills that are on the supplemental. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "783. House Bills, Second Reading. 783."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 783. VonBoeckman. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Industrial Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends House Bill 783 on page 1, line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, this is on Third Reading, right?"

Speaker Redmond: "No, there was a mistake yesterday. It should have been on First Legislative Day and we moved it in error. It's now on the Supplemental Calendar and it's on Second Reading. We have to move to adopt the Amendment."

VonBoeckman: "O'kay, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is an appropriation for the Industrial Commission. The ah.... Amendment reduces the original appropriation from ah.... \$4,120,000 to \$3,788,400, a reduction of \$331,600. I ask for it."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 783. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. On House Bills, Second Reading, on the



Supplemental Calendar appears House Bill 3017. Representative Lechowicz."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 3017. A Bill for an Act making an Appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies Second Reading of the Bill. Four Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 3017, on page 1, by deleting lines 24, 32, 33, and 34."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Committee Amendment #1 deletes the Spanish Speaking Commission, because it is contained in House Bill 1350. It also deletes the Commission on the Study of Water Pollution, because that was also contained in 1796, to create a new Water Commission by House Bill 1796. I move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1  
1. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield."

Lechowicz: "Absolutely."

Schlickman: "As this Bill will be amended, how will the Appropriations compare to a year ago?"

Lechowicz: "The four Amendments that we are referring to, that were in Committee, ah..... really some of them increased and this one deletes the Spanish Speaking Commission and also the ah... Water Pollution and Water Resource Commission, which is created by another Bill. Amendment #1 has nothing to do with addition. It deletes two Commissions that are presently being funded by two separate Bills."

Schlickman: "This is the first of four Amendments?"

Lechowicz: "Correct."

Schlickman: "My inquiry, Mr. Speaker, is out of Order at this time, and I thank the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further questions?? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3017. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 3017, on page 1, line 26 by deleting \$45,000 and inserting in lieu thereof \$65,000."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment # 2 adds \$20,000 to the request of the Commission on Economic Development. This brings the total amount to \$65,000, which is the amount desired by the Commission. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2, to House Bill 3017. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #2 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 3017, on page 2, line 2, by deleting \$33,000 and inserting in lieu thereof \$38,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Ah..... Mr. Speaker, Amendment #3 adds \$5,000 to the School Problems Commission. It raises it from \$33,000 to \$38,000, and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Bill 3017. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Amends House Bill 3017 on page 2, line 3, by deleting \$10,000 and inserting in lieu thereof \$15,000."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 is for the Illinois Recreation Commission. It raises it by \$5,000. From \$10,000 to \$15,000 and I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions? Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 3017. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 272. Representative D. L. Houlihan. Senate 272."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 272. Dan Houlihan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that was called earlier today. Mr. Schlickman asked a question as to whether or not the State Treasurer would retain ah.... the function of ah.... opening safe deposit boxes following death. The answer to his question as I previously informed him is 'yes, the State Treasurer would retain that duty under this Bill'. Senate Bill 272 amends the Illinois Inheritance Tax Act, by substituting the Department of Revenue for the State Treasurer in the internal administration of the tax, as far as the collection procedures, and the rationale of the Bill is that the custodian of the money should not be the collector of the monies also. As I stated previously today, this Bill is supported by the Department of Revenue, the State Treasurer and also the Auditor General, and I know of no opposition to the Bill, other than Representative Schlickman. I ask for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, Senate Bill 272, as the Sponsor indicated, would transfer from the Office of the State Treasurer to the Department of Revenue the administrative responsibilities with respect to the Illinois Inheritance Tax, but as he also admitted the function of safe deposit box examination, which is an important part of the administrative functions relative to the Illinois Inheritance Tax, are being retained by the State Treasurer. In other words, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, by this Bill, as it's now before us, if it's passed and if it's approved, will not just have the Attorney General involved in the Illinois Inheritance Tax, in his judicial capacity, will not only have the State Treasurer, with respect to the safe deposit box examinations, but will also have the Department of Revenue. In other words, by this Bill, we're going from two agencies to three agencies. I respectfully suggest, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if the State Treasurer of Illinois does not want the responsibility for administering the State Inheritance Act, then he ought to give up all the functions. Now this Bill as it's presented to us relieves the State Treasurer of the



responsibility, but he retains for himself patronage. He retains for himself those positions, which are involved in the administration of the Inheritance Tax, which are the goodies. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if the State Treasurer of this State, a man whom I respect and whom I regard, wants to be relieved of the Administration of the Illinois Inheritance Tax, then give it all up. Don't give up the bad, but keep the good. I respectfully suggest that what the Treasurer is doing is relieving himself of burden, but retaining for himself a benefit politically. These Inheri.... these safe deposit box examiners are an important part of administration, and for the sake of consistency, for the sake of having the administration in one agency, and avoiding complexity with regard to administration, this Bill should not pass. It should all either stay with the State Treasurer or it should all go to the Department of Revenue. You shouldn't be able to have your cake and eat it too in State government, and I respectfully suggest a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Could the gentleman tell me, as I read this Act, it says that the people are transferred to the new Department, but their job status is not affected. Could you show me within the Act or tell me within the Act where it says the people are retained on the payroll of the Treasurer?"

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, the distinguished Majority Leader said something. I don't know if it were in the nature of an inquiry to me, and if it was, I'm not sure if it was in order. Ah.... he does refer to the Bill, shall I proceed, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "May I say this, Mr. Schlickman, and pardon me, I don't mean to be interrupting you. As I read the Bill, the functions in all are transferred to the Department of Revenue. The employees reserve all their job status, whatever, etc. You know, they reserve the employees retain all that, but my understanding was, and I went through the Bill very quickly and perhaps you went through it better than I did, the employees become employees of the Department of Revenue, all but



the safe deposit box examiners, who stay within the jurisdiction of the State Treasurer's office and this has been admitted by the Sponsor of this Bill, but the other employees go over there, do they not? Who are under the Personnel Code. Could we ask Representative Houlihan, who's the Sponsor to answer that question?"

Schlickman: "You can pose whatever questions you wish to whom, ah.... I'm trying to do the best that I can to answer the. Maybe he would like to."

Shea: "Cause I thought I was right. Perhaps I'm wrong."

Houlihan: "To answer your respective questions, you're both right. They are under the Personnel Code, but to indicate what Representative Shea said is correct. They will be transferred to the Department of Revenue. The total jobs, Ladies and Gentlemen, we're talking about are four. Mr. Speaker, in closing, if I may, if there are no further questions ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Representative Houlihan, there's \$100,000 in the Treasurer's budget to administer this Act. Is that entire appropriation transferred?"

Houlihan: "I quite frankly don't know the answer to this question. Ah.... if that part of his appropriation budget would be for this function, than it would not be necessary if we pass this legislation. However, the total..... I mean, the work is not going to be dissolved nor are the number of employee positions. This is simply going to be a transfer from the State Treasurer that the job functions are now going to be handled by the Department of Revenue. Now the testimony in Committee and the representation that I have from Mr. Smith from State Treasurer Dixon's office is to the affect that the total number of job positions, which will be affected by this change in legislation is four employees."

Totten: "Well, specifically, what we would like to know is if the \$100,000, in the Senate Amendment, on the Bill it says appropriations, but it doesn't give any figure. Now in the Treasurer's budget right now, there's \$100,000 to administer the Act, and what I'd like to



know is specifically is that \$100,000 being transferred out of there, given to the Department of Personnel or is part of it, or is it just for Personal Services?"

Houlihan: "Well, I cannot answer your question specifically. I'm not familiar with the budgetary request of the Treasurer, to the extent that it would involve this function, that it would not be needed in his budget."

Totten: "Well, I wonder if you could get an answer, or whether we could just pull it out of the Record so we can....."

Houlihan: "Well, I can make this representation to you, that where that is no longer a function of his office, that I will work with you in seeing that that is not in his budget, if we should pass this Bill. We have not yet, however, passed this Bill.". If there are no further questions, I will close, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Fleck: "You mention the fact that there are four jobs involved. I imagine those jobs are presently located in the Treasurer's office. Is that correct? Now, I would imagine if this Bill becomes law that that frying Department of Revenue that we all know about is going to have to open up another Section, the Inheritance Tax Section. Would that be a proper, logical assumption on my part?"

Houlihan: "Well, I don't know if it would specifically have to open another section. Ah..... they will have to assume the administration of this Tax, which is presently handled by the State Treasurer's Office."

Fleck: "Would they be hiring new employees for this?"

Houlihan: "As far as I am informed, they would not have to hire more employees."

Fleck: "O'kay, Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill, and not to the taxing aspects."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Fleck: "I've looked over this Bill and the great proportion of it deals





with the Inheritance Tax aspects which are presently vested in the Treasurer's Office, and as an attorney that does a sufficient amount of tax work and probate work, I think this Bill in its form will be a bureaucratic von-google and a departmental disaster. What you're asking us to do at this point is to transfer the duties and responsibilities under the Inheritance Tax laws and the Probate laws, which are vested in the Treasurer's Office and transfer them to the Department of Revenue. Now I have no love for the Department of Revenue because, to be quite frank, that Department is so screwed up that the reasonable man must assume that its eight months pregnant. If we would place the responsibilities, which I think are handled quite adequately and admirably by the present Treasurer's office and his predecessors, in the Department of Revenue your estates are going to find problems in getting refunds. Your estates are going to find problems in ten factors, because what do you see in the opening statement of this bill is that the State Treasurer shall transfer all personnel records, paper documents, memoranda, appropriations, equipment, you name it and they're going to get over in the Department of Revenue, and any widow or children who have a pending estate for a deceased relative thinks that something is going to become of that estate, they are badly, badly mistaken. This is a terrible Bill in that respect, and I think everyone should vote a resounding 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, this having had enough debate, I move the previous question."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question be put. All in favor, say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Representative Houlihan to close."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What I would emphasis in urging your support of this Bill is that this piece of legislation will affect a more orderly and more efficient administration of the Illinois Inheritance Tax. The rational is that the custodian of the funds should not also be the collector of the funds. As I have said previously, this is endorsed not only by the State Treasurer, but by the Department of Revenue and by the Auditor



General of Illinois. This happens to be a recommendation from an outside auditing firm, Arthur Young and Company. I urge your support of this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is, shall this Bill pass? All in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in explaining my vote, this is a political bill. This is a Bill that was initiated by the State Treasurer. He wants to relieve himself of administrative responsibilities, wants to relieve himself of the appropriations required for that administrative responsibility, but he wants to keep for himself patronage; more specifically, the safe deposit box examiners. I simply suggest that we keep the entire function either in the State Treasurer's office or we transfer the entire function to the Department of Revenue. I don't think it's proper to give up the responsibility, but to retain the political advantage there is in the function, and for that reason, I am voting 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Hill."

Hill: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I sort of resent the last Speaker saying this is a political Bill. If he will look at how this Bill came out of the Senate Committee, nine to nothing, and passed the Senate over there 54 to something, it seems to me that there was no politics involved in this Bill over there. It also came out of the Committee of the House with a large majority and I don't see why he now is trying to make this a political Bill. This is a good piece of legislation, and I'd appreciate your vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer, do you seek recognition? Representative Frederich."

Frederich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I don't see any need for this Bill, because at least in the downstate area, the banks handle the opening of the safety deposit box. If there's any consent to be issued for the transfer of funds or for release of funds, it's done by the Attorney General. The Attorney General's office does all the work with respect in deciding how much tax is due, and then enters the order, and all that has to be done after that is just mailing a check to the County Treasurer.... to the State Treasurer, and I don't see



where that needs to involve anybody else."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the Record. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "I noticed 105 votes up there, and I have a feeling that while this..... we realize the good Houlihan sponsoring this Bill, I have a feeling that this could be one of the more political Bills of this Session. I can see the present State Treasurer standing up when he runs for Governor against Governor Walker next spring, and saying 'I cut my payroll by over three percent when all the other State government is increasing in numbers of employees'. Now it has worked for Michael Howlett, and if we want to create another Michael Howlett, it seems to me that this Bill certainly ought to be passed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Skinner: "No offense meant to Repr....."

Shea: "Well, let me tell you, Sir, if you could help create another Michael Howlett, a dedicated State employee, elected public official like that, you'd be very, very good."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, so many on our side of the aisle have made irresponsible, unsupportable utterances about this being a political Bill, I feel the desire to stand up and explain my green vote, less you decide that I had banned the party of the elephant. What could be more nonpolitical than this particular Bill. There ain't no way that we can win. We don't have the Department of Revenue and we don't have the Governor's Office or the State Treasurer's office, so it's a no win issue. I urge those on this side of the aisle to concentrate their fire on situations where we can win, and I respectfully..... down Skinner. I want to say this, too, in this particular Department, it is curious to say that he wants to give up the bad and keep the good. Since when is the money been thought to be the bad. I think it's a noble sacrifice that's being made by the State Treasurer. I salute the distinguished Sponsor of this Bill. It makes a lot of sense, and I am proud to be with you, whether it be political or nonpolitical. God Bless you."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question, there are 105 'ayes', 31..... 32 'no'..... Representative Simms wants 'no'. The Bill having received



the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Consideration postponed. Consideration Postponed appears House Joint Resolution Constitutional Admendment #6. Representative Hirschfeld on the Floor? Take that one out of the Record. Appears House Joint Resolution Constitutional Admendment #30. Representative Dyer. Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker, would you take that out of the Record, please?"

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. Consideration Postponed appears Senate Bill 163. Representative Brummet . Take that out of the Record. Consideration Postponed appears House Bill 902. Representative Brinkmeier. Take that out of the Record. House Bill 1154. Representative Schraeder. Give the Gentleman the order please."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is the second time around and I don't think it needs a lot of debate. The questions were all answered. What it amounts to is that the most large taxpayers that willfully neglect to submit payments of their taxes when they submit their forms, and this would penalize them for failing to do that. At the present time, they can get more money by keeping it and lending it out than they can paying their taxes to the State, and I would move the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point. Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, if this is indeed a House Bill and if in deed, the deadline for passage of House Bills has occurred, is this Bill in order? Is consideration of this Bill in order?"

Speaker Redmond: "This an appropriation Bill? I think your point is well taken, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, would it be possible maybe for the, you know, the House Clerk to tell us about Bills like this, so it doesn't happen."

Speaker Redmond: "He said to take it out of the Record anyway. House Bill 1..... Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I don't understand Mr. Skinner's remarks. Would you please have him reemphasize."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "Well, if we were in Court room, we'd ask the Clerk to read



back the Record."

Speaker Redmond: "Your point was well taken. Not being appropriations."

Skinner: "Well, you've made a ruling saying that this Bill, because it is a House Bill and not an exempt Bill, nonappropriation Bill, is not in order to be considered by this House, because the deadline has passed for the passage of ordinary House Bills to the best of my knowledge. Is that correct?"

Speaker Redmond: "We can't move this Bill."

Skinner: "And that I presume would ....."

Speaker Redmond: "We'll address ourselves to one Bill at a time. We'll see what happens. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "I have a point of parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Redmond: "State your point."

Maragos: "I'm amazed that this Bill was not disposed of in one way or another during our many motions in the week of May 20th. And I want to know if Mr. Schraeder was given the opportunity to put this either on the agreed calendar or whether he was allowed to put it in Interim study, because this now stands in limbo."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, it's just we can't move it and Representative Schraeder seems to be satisfied. House Bill 1522. This is an Appropriation Bill. Take that out of the Record. 1569. Is that an Appropriation..... take that out of the Record. House Bill 2056. Representative McAuliffe. Take that one out of the Record. House Bill 2145. Representative Downs. He doesn't appear to be in the Chamber. Take it out of the Record. House Bill 2987. Representative Shea. Will you read the Bill."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I..... Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, although it doesn't appear on this Bill, and I'd asked the Clerk, I think this is the last day to hear this Bill in the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Have you got the date filed there Jack?"

Jack O'Brien: "June the 12th."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to go with the Bill and let it go up or down. I think it's a very simple Bill. The Bill provides that the State of Illinois will pay for voting machines for those counties less than 40,000 and more



than 25,000 that are required to have voting or automatic voting devices, and...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if this Bill does not expire on the Calendar today, then why are we hearing it now, and taking it out of order?"

Speaker Redmond: "The Speaker wants it heard and we've called everything else in order, it is in order."

Shea: "I'd like to go with the Bill. Let them kill it if they want to."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, we have ah.... well several Bills on Consideration Postponed starting with Senate Bill 163."

Speaker Redmond: "That was called and he asked to have it passed."

Walsh: "How about House Bill 415?"

Speaker Redmond: "That was called yesterday."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, might I say....."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bill 415 is not on Consideration Postponed."

Shea: "Might I say this, Mr. Speaker. We're on the Order of Consideration Postponed. It's my Bill. I'd like to have it heard. If it goes up or down, whatever it is...."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Walsh: "I was questioning the Order, Mr. Speaker, in which you were calling Bills."

Speaker Redmond: "It is in sequence, Mr. Walsh. Every Bill that precedes it has been called."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Is it all right with Mr. Walsh, if I go ahead now?"

Speaker Redmond: "Probably not, but go ahead."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill provides for the payment of automatic voting devices in counties of less than 40,000 and over 25,000 in order to accommodate a situation that I promised the Members of this House. I would appreciate a favorable roll call."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Representative Ewing."

Ewing: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, has there been a substantive Bill passed which



provides that counties between 30 and 40,000 will have automatic voting machines?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I think that ah... there was one Bill sponsored by the Republicans. When it got amended, that Bill was either tabled or killed in some manner. There's another Bill sponsored that came over from the Senate and I hope it will pass, but if not, and if this Bill passes this Chamber and the Senate Bill doesn't pass, then I'd be happy to get up and Table this Bill."

Ewing: "Mr. Speaker, I would say that we're not in order than to consider this appropriation if we have no subsidy Bill. I think that's been our procedure."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Representative Shea, Representative Washburn wanted to make some comments on this Bill tonight, and as you know, he's not here. I wonder if you would mind holding this Bill until he's here?"

Shea: "Well, let me tell you, I've pulled this Bill out of the Record a number of times at the request of the Republican Leadership, and I understand the reason for Representative Washburn's absence, I will pull it out, but can I ask you this. The next time we're in Session and we're all here, I call the Bill, can we just vote it up or down. If you're not in favor of the election reform, then kill the Bill."

Ryan: "Well, Representative Shea, I'm only one of the 76 over here, you'll have to check with Mr. Redmond and see if that's all right."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. House Bill 2988. Representative Fennessey. This is the last day, Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, 2988 is the Bill that changes the primary from the Third Tuesday in March to the first Tuesday in May. I think this Bill has been thoroughly debated. As you all know...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise?"

Fleck: "Mr. Speaker, isn't this Bill dead?"

Speaker Redmond: "No. It will be today. This is the last day."



Fleck: "Well, is it exempt from the rules? It's a House Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "This is the last day. Committee Bill. Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "This is the date that was selected by the Committee as a compromise date. I realize that ah... there's probably some opposition to the date, but no one seems to want the primary in March, and this is the best improvement that the Committee could make, so I would ask for a favorable roll call, and we pass this Bill out of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, the last time this Bill was up, and before it was put on Consideration Postponed, the point was made that a 'no' vote should be given to encourage the Sponsor to bring the Bill back up to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment, specifically to offer a day in September. The Bill was put on Consideration Postponed having failed to get the Constitutional Majority, but the Sponsor persisted in the May date, despite the fact that it was called or the Membership was reminded that in the past we've had June. We were dissatisfied with that. We had March, or April. We were dissatisfied with that. We now have March, with which we're dissatisfied. And it was suggested that of the spring months, no month could be worse than May, where we would be in conflict with the operations of State government, specifically the activities of the General Assembly, and also would be in conflict with agriculture, one of the most vital, if not the vital, most vital industry in this State. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, that by going to May, we're simply going to be engaged in another exercise of futility, that we're going to find ourselves just as dissatisfied with April, with June and with March. It's about time that we bite the bullet, we serve the needs of our constituents. We reduce the length of campaigning. We reduced the cost of campaigning and have the primary in September, where it belongs. I'm sorry that the Sponsor of this Bill didn't respond sensibly, affirmatively to the suggestion of this House the last time by bringing the Bill back to Second Reading, having it amended for September primary. Having neglected to do that,





I respectfully suggest that we beat the Bill. That we vote 'no'. Stay in March, which of all the months in spring is probably the best, relatively speaking, and I urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, I know the Sponsor's calling this Bill because it's the last day to call it, and I'm wondering if he could have leave of the House until hearing it until tomorrow, when we might have a more adequate chance to vote on this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has asked leave. Any objection?"

Shea: "I think we need an affirmative vote of 107 members to continue and extend the deadline for this Bill until tomorrow, and I'm wondering if there would be any objection to doing that?"

Speaker Redmond: "Schlickman and Walsh object. Got three more."

Shea: "I'm sure they got that. Mr. Walsh, would you have any objection because of the shortage of Membership tonight, to let the man continue this Bill until tomorrow and extend the day on the Calendar one day for this Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "In response to his question and in a word 'yes'."

Shea: "You would have an objection? Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah... if you would have called on me first, I would have said 'no'. I think it is interesting that the ah..... majority party, who wouldn't extend any deadlines is in a situation now where they'd like to, but I like their Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "On a point of order. Objection should be sufficient and that's it."

Speaker Redmond: "Correct. Representative Frederick."

Frederich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, in fairness to the Leadership on the Majority side, I was extended the privilege of extending a Bill one time. I'll admit they beat it later, but at least I was given the courtesy of an extension, and I would like to see that courtesy extended to the Sponsor in this case."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this really isn't a question of courtesy, as the Majority Leader would have us believe. This Bill could easily have been called earlier today when we had our close to full Membership. There's no reason on earth why it was not. Now I suspect that they had not completed their manipulations earlier in the day, and are once again trying to take advantage of the rules, and for that reason, and because it was the majority leader and not my good friend, Joe Fennessey, who I object to extending the time for this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fennessey."

Walsh: "Furthermore, Mr. Speaker...."

Speaker Redmond: "Don't turn him off. Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Furthermore, I understand, Mr. Speaker, that there is an identical Bill coming from the Senate that we can act on, so...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Matijevid, state your point."

Matijevid: "Point of Order. There's been an objection. The Gentleman is ready to proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "O'kay. Representative Fennessey to close."

Fennessey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I certainly have no control when this Bill is going to be called today, whether it was going to be called earlier today or at the present time. However, in regard to the September primary, all of those dates were taken into consideration by the Committee and the first Tuesday in May was the date agreed upon by the Committee as being the one that was least objectionable to everyone. We took into consideration the County Clerk. We took into consideration the Members of the House. We took into consideration the political parties and so I would like to ask for a favorable vote and get 89 votes up there and pass this Bill out of the House."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, as I understand it, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is going ahead now. He's not interested in the rules."

Speaker Redmond: "That is correct. The question will be put very shortly. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I would like an opportunity to explain my vote, if he's closing,



I don't want to interrupt."

Speaker Redmond: "He has closed. The question is, shall this Bill pass. Those in favor, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. I would suggest that a verification is likely, so therefore you will please vote your switch only. Representative Hoffman."

Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill ah... notwithstanding the Sponsor is as bad as it was when it was heard the first time. If those of us who are Members of the General Assembly believe that we can get away from being in this House on this floor in March and April of next year, you've got to be kidding yourself, and you can't campaign for re-election and be here at the same time, because there is no way you can do that, and those of you who are supporting this Bill, as I said the other evening, are going to end up next year at this time, if this becomes law, out there with the rest of us, who are opposed to it, hanging on the same patard, trying to campaign and trying to be here at the same time and being wrong no matter where we are, and this is going to make it extremely difficult for us to either be a candidate or to be a legislator and although March is not a date of which I am personally enamored, it certainly is better, given the givens that we have to work with, than a May date."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is a Bill that we had up the other day, and although it has an excellent sponsor, I regret that it has the date on it that it has. This is a date that is going to be absolutely impossible in central and southern Illinois to get people out to vote in the small counties, where we depend upon rural votes to get out in the primary. This is an absolutely horrible time for them to get to the polls, on the second Tuesday in May. I agree with many of the others who spoke earlier on this Bill, indicating that this date should be moved back to August or September, but I would certainly assure you that if we pass this Bill, you're not going to get this date moved, you're going to be stuck with May, and May as I indicated when I spoke against this Bill before, is only just a little bit better than the horrible date of March, and I'm not really sure it's better because at least in March they're not out plowing and



planting at that time, or very seldom are. The only thing that's a little bit better about it is that it moves it a little bit closer to November. People are sick and tired of the strungout elections that we have in Illinois, and simply because sometimes we have to accommodate the delegates election, which we can change in five days time, in the House and the Senate here, simply because we have to accommodate a few other inconsistencies that we have in our Statutes. It should be no barrier to defeating this Bill and going for some Bill that will give us a primary date, that meets with what the People want us to do and will be a better date for you, who run for this House, and for every other candidate on both parties, and I urge you to vote no on this Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I ah.... I think that my friend over there is as wrong as he was about the vote this afternoon, but that's not the point at the moment. They.... What I'd like to say is, ten percent of the voting population are over 65. Now this is a considerable vote. Democrat and Republican, and I think of March, in fact I know from experience, that March is a terrible time for the older people, older voters to get out and vote. They often can't go and vote because of the weather. I think we are doing the right thing in changing it to May. It's better by far than March. Now coming from a farming family, I don't think that it's been presented as serious a problem as has been said, in relation to the farmer vote getting out. I believe we'll get out and vote, so I suggest 'yes'."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hill."

Hill: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I spoke against this Bill last time it was up. I'm at a disadvantage now. I'm losing my voice. That should make some people happy. But let me say this to you, that as far as I'm concerned, and I have no intentions of running the next time, none whatsoever. I'll be satisfied to stay home. But what you people are doing to yourselves is ridiculous. This primary should be sometime in September or late August. It seems to me that you'd be satisfying the people back home. This idea of



stretching out the election as much as we have in previous elections is horrendous. It seems to me that for once you'd take under consideration the people and yourselves, and put this in September sometime, because certainly the date of May is not good enough."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, at the proper time, I'd like to be recognized for a verification."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. To explain my vote. I rise to support House Bill 2988 and I'll tell you why. I sat on a Committee last year, a subcommittee of elections, that considered the matter of changing the primary date. We heard all sorts of interesting arguments, but the one that hasn't touched on this Bill is the one that those downstate proponents of changing the measure to September, indicated to us on that subcommittee last year. I thought it was rather interesting proposition that they put forth for being in opposition to a September primary, and that was this. With a primary prior to the summer county fairs, their opponent in the primary could not campaign against in those county fairs. By moving the primary date to the fall, there opponent would be allowed the same access to voters at county fairs ah.... that they are now and for that reason, most downstate Legislators were in complete opposition to moving that date to September. Now here we have a Bill that's a compromise. It may be a week or two too late, or too late for Members of the General Assembly, but I think it's a lot better than the March date that we have now and I would urge a green light on House Bill 2988."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah.... Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, one of the reasons in explaining my vote.... one of the reasons propounded by some of the proponents of this Bill is to the affect that we who are in the Legislature are taking advantage of people who want to run against it. I feel that if this were a September date, anyone can run against anyone including the Legislators and it would be closer to November. The distance of May to March is too



months and I don't think it's feasible, economically or even in common sense terms, and therefore I have voted against this Bill in spite of the fact that I have great regard for the Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Anyone further? Everyone voted who wished? Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well, I would just like to advise the Membership, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that voting for this Bill makes two sessions of six months from Janaury to July. There is no way if we have the primary in May, whereby we could have a session before the primary and get it over with or after the primary that we have now. Under the present conditions that we operate, at least one of the years that we are down here, we get about the business and get out of here. We don't come in until after the primary, on April 1st. If we have a May primary, it's going to mean that next year, we're going to be down here January, February, March and maybe take a few days off to campaign, then the Leadership will call us back after the primary and we'll stay down here until the 1st of July or later, so if you like that kind of conditions, if you want to be here all summer like we are or like we're going to be this year, if you like going home from the summer and in the middle of July, having it over with, about a month later, two years instead of one year, why then you should vote for this Bill, but if you want to get something done and get out of here and spend a little time in your business, a little time with your family, a little time in the center of your hometown, you'll vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 108 'aye' and 47 'nay'. Representative Simms has requested a verification of the roll. Representative Fennessey. Representative Fennessey."

Fennessey: "I'd like a poll of the absentees first, please."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fennessey has requested a poll of the absentees. As soon as we extracate the roll call from the intracacies of the machine, we'll proceed. Will you help please? They've got a lot of stuff here. Will you help? Poll of the absentees."

Jack O'Brien: "Bluthardt. Collins. Daniels. Ebbesen. Friedland. Gaines. Giglio. Hirschfeld. R. K. Hoffman. Keller. Klosak.



LaFluer. Leinenweber. McMaster. Miller. Mudd. Rose. Schoeberlein. Sevcik. Washburn."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed with the verification of the affirmative vote."

Jack O'Brien: "Anderson. Arnell. E. M. Barnes. J. M. Barnes. Beatty. Berman. Birchler. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Capparelli. Chapman. Craig. D'Arco. Davis. Deuster. DiPrima. Downs. Duff. John Dunn. Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Garmisa. Getty. Giorgi. Greiman. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Holewinski. Dan Houlihan. Huff. Jacobs. Jaffe. Emil Jones. J. D. Jones. Kane. Katz. Kelly. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kucharski. Laurino. Kornowicz. Leon. Leverenz. Londrigan. Lucco. Luft. Lundy. Madigan. Madison. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Marovitz. Matijeovich. Mautino. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McGrew. McLendon. McPartlin. Merlo. Meyer. Molloy. Mugalian. Nardulli. Palmer. Patrick. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Pouncey. Randolph. Rayson. Satterthwaite. Schisler. Schneider. Shea. Skinner. Stearney. Stone. Stubblefield. Taylor. Terzich. Totten. Tuerk. VanDuyne. VonBoeckman. Washington. White. Willer. Williams. Younge. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Any questions of the affirmative roll call? Representative Simms."

Simms: "Just a moment, Mr. Speaker. My staff is working on it. I know Representative Brandt wanted to be verified first. He's here. Representative Boyle."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Boyle on the Floor? He's back talking to Representative Ryan."

Simms: "Representative Brinkmeier."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Brinkmeier's here."

Simms: "Representative Calvo."

Speaker Redmond: "He's here. Right next to Fennessey."

Simms: "Representative Capparelli."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Capparelli's right here at the well."

Simms: "Ah.... Representative ah.... Craig."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Craig. There's Craig. Representative Craig."

Simms: "Representative D'Arco."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative D'Arco. Is he in the Chambers? How is he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Remove him from the roll call."

Simms: "Representative Downs."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Downs is here."

Simms: "Representative Deuster."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Deuster is here."

Simms: "Representative Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell is here."

Simms: "Where? Where? Ewell, not Yourell. Ewell."

Speaker Redmond: "His hands weren't very clean. How's Representative Ewell recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "You calling Ewell or Yourell."

Simms: "Ewell. E W E L L."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ewell. Is he in the Chamber? How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Ah..... Representative Flinn."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Flinn. He's there."

Simms: "Representative Garmisa."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Garmisa. He's here."

Simms: "Representative Getty."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Getty. Is Representative Getty here? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off. He was here. Hiding behind the Bill Book. Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Garmisa was right in his seat when he was verified."





Speaker Redmond: "You are correct."

Simms: "No, he wasn't. We just called his name."

Speaker Redmond: "He was right there."

Simms: "Where is he?"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "He was verified."

Speaker Redmond: "You may apologize, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "I apologize for it."

Simms: "Representative Giglio."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio. How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Simms: "I'm sorry. Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "What did they do about Giglio? What did they ask?"

Speaker Redmond: "They wanted to know if he was here."

Maragos: "Yes, he's here. He was on the Floor earlier."

Speaker Redmond: "He didn't vote, though."

Maragos: "He didn't vote. He wasn't on the Floor at the time to vote."

Speaker Redmond: "That answers Representative Schlickman."

Simms: "Representative Giorgi."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi. How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Representative Grotberg."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Grotberg. Representative Grotberg.

How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Representative Holewinski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Holewinski's here."

Simms: "Representative ah..... ah..... Representative Katz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz is here."

Simms: "Representative Kosinski."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Kosinski."

Simms: "Representative Londrigan."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan. How is Representative Londrigan recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Representative Madison."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison. He's here."

Simms: "O'kay, Representative McAvoy."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAvoy. Is Representative McAvoy in the Chamber? How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Representative John Merlo."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Merlo. How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Representative Theodore Meyer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Meyer. Representative Meyer. How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take Representative Meyer off the Roll Call."

Simms: "Representative Mugalian."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Mugalian. Representative Mugalian's here."

Simms: "Representative Palmer."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Palmer's here."

Simms: "Representative Patrick."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Patrick. He's here."

Simms: "Representative Rayson."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Rayson. Is Representative Rayson here? Representative Rayson here? How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Representative Stone."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stone is here."

Simms: "Representative Stubblefield."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Stubblefield. Representative Stubblefield here? How's he recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off."

Simms: "Representative James Taylor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Taylor. There he is."

Simms: "Representative James Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James whom?"

Simms: "Barnes. James Barnes."

Speaker Redmond: "James Barnes. Representative James Barnes on the Floor? How is he recorded."

Jack O'Brien: "Recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Take him off of the roll call."

Simms: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative VonBoeckman's here."

Simms: "Representative, Representative White."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes is here. Representative White. He's over here."

Simms: "Representative Williams."

Speaker Redmond: "Jack Merlo is here. D'Arco is here. Put them both back on. Representative.... any others? Representative Giglio has returned to the Floor."

Simms: "Well, he's not recorded unless...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giglio. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "Gentleman is recorded as 'not voting'."

Speaker Redmond: "He desires to be recorded 'aye'. Representative Boyle has returned. Put him back on the Roll call."

Simms: "Ah.... Representative Lucco."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lucco. He's here."

Simms: "And Representative Pouncey."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Pouncey. He's here. Representative Walsh. for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I've changed my mind and will grant Representative Shea the courtesy to have this Bill heard tomorrow."



Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fennessey, do you desire to exceed to the generosity of Representative Walsh?"

Fennessey: "I appreciate the man's generosity, but I think we'll proceed."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Londrigan is back. Put him back on. Representative Boyle. Representative Boyle desires to be changed from 'aye' to 'present'. Any other questions of the affirmative roll call?"

Simms: "I have no further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "What do we have here, Mr. Clerk? Where's that Amendment? On this question, there are 100 'ayes', 47 'nays'. The Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. We have three more items here. House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 941. Representative Houlihan, James."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think there are some Amendments on House Bill 941."

Speaker Redmond: "The Amendment, Mr. Clerk. We're at House Bills, Second Reading. Hanahan. 941."

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan, do you have an Amendment? 941?"

Jack O'Brien: "House Bill 941. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Racing Board. Second Reading of the Bill. Amendment #1, was adopted previously. Amendment #2 amends House Bill 941, as amended on page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment #2 is the amendment that we were debating the other day, that adds to the language on the personal services for full time and per dieum employees that work for the Racing Commission, that they would be protected with the rights and privileges and guarantees of the restrictions and jurisdictions of A, B and C of the Personnel Code of the State of Illinois. This Division, which is headed by a distinguished X-Member of the House, former Representative Scariano, stood for..... over many years for the protection of Civil Service and Personnel Code and in reviewing what has happened with the Racing Commission under his Chairmanship, we find that there are people



within the Racing Commission that could use the protections of A, B and C of the Personnel Code and I move for the adoption of this Amendment to the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Han...Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentelmen of the House. I believe when we took this out of the record...ah...a couple of days ago you were inquiring of the Parliamentarian as to whether this Amendment was germane and in fact whether or not...ah...it was appropriate in a appropriation item of...I think Representative Barnes was raising the question. Could we have a ruling on the germaneness of this Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "I've been advised by the Parliamentarian and I share his opinion that it is germane it just expands the original Amendment #1 has...for per...personnel services and this expands it and explains what the personnel services are, so I would believe that it was germane. Representative Holewinski."

Holewinski: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to ask a question of the Sponsor of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Proceed."

Holewinski: "Ah...Representative Hanahan. Can you tell me what those Sections of the Personnel Code that you referred to...ah...require?"

Hanahan: "Yes...the...ah...Sections A, B and C of the Personnel Code is merit...ah...rights of hearings of redress of grievances and rights of employment in salary."

Holewinski: "And these would apply to what kind of employees? Those employees under contractual arrangements?...or all employees?"

Hanahan: "Ah...the problem we have with the Racing Commission and if you look in the Personnel Code detail book, you'll find that the Illinois Racing Commission does not come under the purview of the Personnel Code. What we're doing is extending the provisions of the Personnel Code with this Amendment to those employees, so that they would at least have the basic...ah...protections of the Personnel Code considering grievances..ah...fitness and merit and ...ah...and salary, being protected with this Amendment."

Holewinski: "Well...Can...can I ask you, that in view of the fact that many of the employees of the Racing Board, I understand, are seasonal



...are seasonal in nature...ah...what effect would this have...ah... what right would this extend to people that would maybe work three or four months a year for the State?"

Hanahan: "Well...that...that's a good point because, as you look at Representative Jaffes Bill and Representative...or...Senator Rocks Bill, one of which happens to be Represen...former Representative Scariano's Bill, you will find that Racing in Illinois will no longer be a seasonal, seasonal occupation. That we are upon the brink of the passage of either Bills...of...ah...becoming a State with year-round racing, almost unlimited racing outside of Sunday prohibition which probably will be offered as an Amendment to the Bill to include every day of the year as a racing day outside of Sundays in Illinois."

Holewinski: "Does this mean that the Department of Personnel would have to set up criteria for the hiring of horse custodians and urine takers and...all that type of..."

Hanahan: "No not yet...A, B and C does not include that provision, the rest of the Personnel Code would but this would be the basic step to guarantee those employees that they couldn't be fired and they couldn't have grievances unheard and that they would be protected on salary and position without...with at least a hearing before discharge...that's all these three Sections of the Personnel Code do."

Holewinski: "The...the salaries for many of those positions are defined clearly in statute now so we really set the salary for a lot of those positions, don't we?"

Hanahan: "Yes, but in the main you have...you...we have a lot of employees that are not that I'm trying to cover."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, before I address myself to the Amendment...ah...my Parliamentarian, Representative Matijevich, suggested I look at Section 8 of the Constitution and sub-Section B, which indicates that Bills for appropriations must be defined to the subject of appropriations...is it within the preogative of the Chair that the Rule on the...ah...applicability of this Constitutional requirement in this particular Amendment? In other words, would this be Unconstitutional?"



Speaker Redmond: "Well I would...I would feel that...that this Amendment is...ah...is applicable to an Appropriation Bill as the original Bill, because on line 11, I believe it is, it says for personnel services this just expands it. I would feel that it was germane."

Houlihan: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm aware of the...the particular...ah...specific problem that Representative Hanahan is trying to address and I...ah...I'm in agreement that we ought to try to deal with that. I have some serious...ah...problem with the Amendment as it is and I would hope that we could defeat this Amendment and that I could work with Representative Hanahan in trying to get a proper Amendment either in the Senate...agreed upon Amendment which would deal... what he wants to do. Right now, I think we're doing the wrong thing. We're amending the Appropriation Bill when maybe we should be amending the actual statutes. It seems that putting this Amendment on the Appropriation Bill would make it contrary to Section 37-(a)-7 of the existing statutes and therefore would raise serious problems with the Constitutionality...ah...not only of adding substantive language in an Appropriation Bill, but also having it contrary to...ah...the statute which establishes the Racing Board. An additional consideration is the fact that I've been advised that the Personnel Code does not allow for part time per diem employees to be put underneath this code as it's done in the Amendment. I'm checking that out to see whether there's any way around that or whether we'd have to address ourselves to amending the Personnel Code, but because of those two specific problems, I would urge the defeat of this Amendment and then I could work with...ah...Representative Hanahan and the Racing Board to try to achieve the...ah...the end, which I think is a worthy end of his...ah...his intention."

Speaker Redmond: "Ready for the question? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill...House Bill 941. All in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. It appears that the 'no's' have it. Roll Call has been requested. All in favor of the adoption of the Amendment vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted



**who wish?** Have all voted who wish? Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we ought to...ah...look very carefully at this Amendment. This Amendment may well put the Bill in conflict with the statute which establishes its...the Rules and Regulations of the Racing Board. It also might be in conflict with the...ah...Personnel Code. I think it's not appropriate at this time and I'd ask for some more red lights on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I believe the Speaker ruled on it and he said that the Amendment was germane to the Bill, it's a good Amendment and I stand in full support of the Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 62 'ayes'...Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr. Speaker, did you ever make a ruling on whether or not this is in accordance with the Illinois Constitution?"

Speaker Redmond: "I did. I said I thought it was."

Duff: "You say that this is not a substantive matter together with an Appropriations Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "I said that I thought the Amendment was germane and that it did not contravene this."

Duff: "Well, that's not the question I'm asking, about it's germaneness, Mr. Speaker. I'm asking..."

Speaker Redmond: "...Contravene the provisions of the Constitution."

Duff: "Well are you...then you are saying that this is not a substantive matter...or attached to an Appropriation Bill?"

Speaker Redmond: "In my judgment it is not."

Duff: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "On this question there's 62 'aye' 41 'no'...Representative McAuliffe 'aye'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Ewell. Amends House Bill 941 as amended by inserting in Section 1 immediately after 'State of Illinois' wherever it appears, the following. 'Provided that five per cent of such employees be minority.'"

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Washington."





Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Representative Ewell can't be on the Floor now and he asked me to present this Amendment. I don't think any involved presentation is necessary. It's very simple. It provides that any employees of the Board, at least five per cent, shall be a minority group. We know the public policy of the state. I just don't think it's controversial I move the adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Will the Sponsor of the Amendment...ah...respond to a question Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Houlihan: "Harold, I am well aware of...ah...Representative Ewells interest and his efforts to...ah...insure minority hiring and I'm in full accord with this...ah...intention. May I ask you, has this been tried in other cases and has there been previous rulings that adding this language is substantive language to Appropriation Bills?"

Washington: "Well this is not a case of first impression. We just put an Amendment on, which if that isn't substantive nothing is. I think this would very easily sit under that agency. I don't consider it substantive in light of the ruling."

Houlihan: "I understand your response, but that wasn't my question. Have there been previous rulings that language similar to this on other Bills have been ruled as substantive, adding substantive language to Bills. Appropriation Bills?"

Washington: "I don't recall. I rather doubt it. I do recall that at one time we amended this same Bill to provide for..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "In response to that question, Mr. Speaker. We've always also had the Affirmative Action Amendment placed on appropriation matters and that was always ruled germane. I don't b...I believe this Amendment follows the same pattern. I think it would be germane as well."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Katz."

Katz: "Will the Gentleman yield to a question? The Sponsor of the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."



Katz: "How would he react to an Amendment that said that four out of every five employees that are hired have to be white or that a certain per cent have to be Polish. Now aren't we getting into a..."

Washington: "We've got enough work to do without dealing with hypothetical questions....different minorities and if you want to test that put it in and we'll vote on it."

Katz: "I don't think it's hypothetical at all....I don't really...I just to, speaking on that motion. I don't think it's hypothetical at all. I think that...ah...it...it works on way that it works other ways and I believe that there should not be any discrimination and I'd be very much in favor of an Amendment that would prohibit that if you think there is any, but it seems to me when you require that a certain number of people must be this color or that color or this religion or that nationality, that we will be cutting up all of our Bills and all of our agencies and I just personally don't think it's a good idea."

Washington: "My response to you is this. The world is full of people who don't believe in discrimination but don't do a damn thing about it. Now Mr. Ewell wants to do something about it and he's offered this Amendment. If you support it, vote it up, if you don't, vote it down. But don't pontificate about how nice we are about being opposed to discrimination and then not support these kind of things. We have tried consistently and I've been here eleven years, to get the Racing Board to do something about an abominable racist situation which prevails in that agency and under its agents and under its power and they haven't moved. Now Mr. Ewell has grown sick and tired of it and he's taken this step. I sympathize with his step."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. From the standpoint of policy and the standpoint of Constitutionality, I don't think an Appropriations Bill is the place for an affirmative action program. Furthermore, I don't think a quota system, regardless of which way it cuts or operates is appropriate and furthermore Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Putting aside the minority that is involved here, I think it is bad policy to mandate a percentage of a certain race without the consideration of qualification,



finally Mr. Speaker, I do not, have never subscribed to the end justifying the means and would encourage and solicit a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "You ready for the question? Representative Washington to close? Representative Washinton has move that Amendment #3 to House Bill 941 be adopted. All in favor of the adoption say 'aye' opposed 'no'. It would appear that the...Representative Pierce... Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Are we going to have a Roll Call, or what are we doing?"

Speaker Redmond: "We can if you wish."

Pierce: "I'd like to explain my vote if we have a Roll Call."

Speaker Redmond: "All in favor of the Amen...adoption of the Amendment vote 'aye', opposed vote 'no'. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, as laudible as the end here is, we're back to a quota system, the very thing they try in our, our party with at the last convention down in Miami Beach and I opposed. This Amendment would impose a quota system on employment in Illinois for the first time. A per centage quota and those who are voting for this Amendment are the same people who were screaming about quotas when I was fighting them...ah...in Miami Beach, three years ago. I'm surprised they're supporting the concept of quotas, I'll always oppose quotas because people should be hired on their merit regardless of their race, regardless of their religion or their national origin. I think we all should subscribe to that and certainly we should have affirmative action and certainly we should criticize Chairman Scariano or anyone else if he's not employing minority people that are qualified. But this is to me, is a water shed issue, it should be to all of us in state employment. The imposition of quotas. We, if we have a quota for one minority group, we should have all the minority groups and pretty soon we'll have a whole country, a whole employment locked up in quotas and I can't understand the people on the Floor of this House voting for a quota system and I vote 'no' and I vote proudly against the imposition of quotas in employment just as I did in the selection of delegates to the National Convention based on artificial quotas. And I'll vote 'no.'"



Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I rise in support of this Amendment. I listened very carefully to the remarks of the last speaker...ah...I can sympathize with his thinking but I want to advise him that when I came here nine years ago that... incidently this Bill says minorities, it doesn't say anything about 'Blacks', however, I walked these halls of the various offices trying to find out why there were no Blacks employed in the various offices, or sweeping the floor, or doing whatnot. I see a few now. So I have consistently advocated some minorities being hired and if...and...and...I was told by the Department heads that they couldn't find any...ah...I want to emphasize the fact that had I and others not continued that fight, we wouldn't have any now. We only have a few and I imagined if you went a per centage wise, it would be less than two per cent. But, I'm not for quotas either but if that's the only way that we can advise this Legislature that they ought to implement the...ah...edicts of the Constitution of the, of our Country then by whatever means, as long as they're legitimate and I don't know how long we're going to be that way and I think that we ought to do something about it and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Barnes."

Representative Barnes. Eugene Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House.

To explain my 'aye' vote and I want to answer a couple of questions that have been propounded here. Number one, this is...this Amendment relates to minorities, number one and apparently certain people in this General Assembly and I've said it before in Committee, seems to relate the word 'minorities' with a certain ethnic group. I think what you should do is go back and look of the definition of 'minorities', it happens to include that ethnic group, but it also includes many other ethnic groups in that definition and that's what this Amendment says. Number two, someone raised a question of whether or not this had been tried in another form. Yes, it has, I amended the Racing Board Act two terms consecutively and in the 77th General Assembly, also in the 78th General Assembly. We've put in language, we've put in money for affirmative action programs



and what the Racing Board did with that money was to take it and spend it on some consultant, some consultant to the Racing Board with some, some report that they handed out, did absolutely nothing in the affirmative action section, did no updating, no hiring, did absolutely nothing to try to address themselves to a problem that... that...that faced this state within the Racing industry. All this Amendment is trying to do is to address that problem. Now there's some people around here that don't like that, there are some people around here that talk one thing and then when they're confronted with an issue, they vote another. Now if that's your problem and if your problem is, is that you can not, the shoe can not fit unless it's on someone else's foot, then deal with that. Because if you're going to get up here and mouth liberalism and then when the shoe is put on your foot, you talk about how tight it is, well you got that problem. I don't. But let's deal with this subject as it is related to this instance and I think what we're dealing with here is an opportunity for people, people in general, that happen to be a minority, to be employed in industry in this state and that industry is the Racing Industry. Now if we don't want to do that, if we don't want to adhere to the addicts of this state... this state...as the Constitution present it, as we say it is presented, if we don't want to deal with that. Fine. Vote your convictions but don't stand up there talking about you are against quota. Tell it like it is. You are against people being involved in the industry in this state that they've been cut out of..."

Speaker Redmond: "Hold your remarks to the Floor, you're two minutes is getting to a close."

Barnes: "Plain and simple, that's what's involved."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fleck."

Fleck: "Well Mr. Speaker, I'm not normally against minorities, I don't think I've ever been accused of that. But I'm very confused, I often wonder just what is a minority. Is it an albino, is it sex, is it nationality, is it color, is it religion, is it creed? Is it a Polish, is it German, is it the Chicanos or the Jews, is it a hippy and is it that creates minority that we have in this entire



state and maybe I should vote for this Amendment because the greatest minority we have are Republicans and maybe we can hire a few of them on this Board, but that minority will never be hired if this Amendment passes or any Amendment like it will pass so I think we should just go on with the Bill and kill this Amendment because us Republicans will never get a job on that Board anyway."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just remind some of the Members that a quota system could be a two edged sword and somebody might require or request the quota system be imposed on a Chicago Post Office and if that was to happen there would be a lot of minority members that would be out of work. I'm opposed to quota systems in all departments and in all government jobs. I think people should be hired on their merit and their merit alone."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well Mr...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that that Roll Call's entirely too close, there isn't a Member of this House, including the Representatives who've spoken for this Bill and the Sponsor of the Amendment who have or ever will say that I would vote against minorities. My record is absolutely constant in that regard in this Legislature but quota systems are wrong, they can backfire, they put...there's been no showing at all that there's any discrimination against minorities under either of the last two administrations in terms of the hiring in government. Now to put a five per cent quota on a department which probably already has more than five per cent minority seems to me a little bit ridiculous. I think the Gentlemen who have offered this Amendment, I'm sure it will be well received in their districts, I'm sure that they can campaign on it but they're hardly doing the best thing they can for state government."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? Representative Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I think it's up to the individual knowledge of each Legislator and his own integrity as to just what is best for him and his people in those he represents and I sort of resent anyone telling me or implying that I don't know



what my folks want and represent and if I respond to that and they elect me then good for me. This is not my Amendment, it's Representative Ewells Amendment. I couldn't understand Representative Flecks remarks. Everybody is a minority, depending upon time and place. The proposition here is that if you are a minority and you are discriminated against you, on that basis, then this Amendment takes effect. Whether you're a man or woman or black or white or Jew or all the other things that Representative Fleck mentioned. This may not be the right, absolutely right approach to this sort of a problem but it is an approach, as I indicated to you before. What we have tried, at least the eleven years I have been here under Democrat and Republican Governors, to do something about the Racing Board and none of them, absolutely none of them, have responded at all, it appears to us that there is a deliberate conspiratorial absolute attitude to deny blacks particularly, but other minorities as well, none access into the Racing Board and those people whom they're responsible for hiring. This is Mr. Ewells attempt to resolve that question. I for one go to race tracks very sel... seldom. But every time I go I see a lot of my people out there and sometimes I think they constitute more than fifty per cent of all the race track devotees. This disturbs the hell out of me. The money goes to the agriculture premium fund, we don't get any of it in your city. The workers out there are not black. We don't enure any of that benefit. I'm about to come to the point that I think that the best thing that we can do in my community is carry on a mass strike against going to any race track, spending any money out there and let the agriculture premium fund dry up. Now you can vote this up or down, I'm not really concerned about it but I am concerned about what I consider to be hypocritical statements regarding this issue. Mr. Ewell thinks this is the answer, I concur with him. I would hope you would agree but if you don't agree, then darn it, we're going to find another way to resolve that problem."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative James Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we're again following a course which was set earlier by our Majority



Leader, which gave us...ah...a problem and he explained how he felt deeply about that problem and then he said 'I realize that this Bill that I'm Sponsoring has all sorts of difficulties with it, in fact probably won't solve the problem, but I think we've got to do something' and I think the Representative who's explaining this Amendment and one of the Representatives who talked to those who might not be voting for it, suggested that everybody who is voting against this Amendment is against the idea. I think that's unfair. I don't think any apology is necessary for people that are voting against this Amendment. I think very strongly that the worst kind of hoax that we can play upon the voters and upon those who elected us to come down here, is to sit back and pass measures which we know are unworkable, measures which we know are merely fluffs, measures which really do not get at the problem and for that reason, I was undecided until one of the Representatives started castigating Members who are voting against this, castigating them in a...what I thought was a harsh fashion and I think that by passing judgment on other Members who are trying to do their job and saying that they're not with them every time, that that's improper and I will vote 'no'."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 58 'yes', 67 'no'. The Amendment is lost. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #4. Giorgi. Amends House Bill 921 on page 2 by inserting between line 14 and 15 the following. Seven and..."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker. I cleared this with the Sponsor of the Bill. All this does is allow the Racing Board to conduct a study of all track betting with a fifteen thousand dollar appropriation in the Agriculture Premium Fund."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I would concur with the Sponsor. I think..."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #...Representative Shea."

Shea: "Would Mr. Giorgi yield for a question?"





Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Shea: "Zeke, is this the starting of open gambling in the state?"

Giorgi: "The starting of open gamblings happened back in the twenties when...ah...they allowed the Chicagoians to enjoy paramutual betting at the tracks."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #4 ...Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, this is an Appropriation Bill. This subject matter of this Amendment is...ah...far appealed from the matter of appropriations..."

Speaker Redmond: "Reluctantly I agree with you."

Walsh: "Well then I would ask you to rule on the germaneness of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "I rule that it is not germane."

Walsh: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, I would...ah...ask you to look at the Amendment and have your Parliamentarian review that decision. It appears to me that this Amendment does what it's done very frequently in Appropriation Bills. It appropriates a sum of money for a purpose. I think that's entirely consistent, the Racing Board has the right, it has the ability and the capability if they have been given the fund to conduct such a study. I think it's right within the...ah...preview of this Bill and I would ask for you to reconsider your...ah...maybe premature ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "I...Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, as you've told us many times when you have ruled against this the Gentleman has recourse and he may appeal the ruling of the Chair, he may not speak with respect to the ruling after the Chair has ruled."

Speaker Redmond: "The Amendment has been shown to me and I've read it since I made that ruling and it says the sum of fifteen thousand or so much thereof as may be necessary is appropriated from the Agricultural Premium Fund for the Illinois Racing Board to conduct a study and it would seem to me that it is an Appropriation Bill and



therefor it is germane....Representative Walsh."

Walsh: "It should be the subject of another Bill Mr. Speaker and there should be another substantive Bill with it. Now you have ruled Mr. Speaker, this is an entirely new area, a new...an appropriation for an entirely different purpose and I submit to you that your ruling is correct....and I think you should stay with it."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Walsh. When Representative Giorgi explained the Amendment he emphasized the fact that it was a study and feasibility of off track betting and the question of the fifteen thousand dollars was after thought. In reading the Amendment it's pretty obvious that it is a direct appropriation of fifteen thousand dollars and therefore I rule that it is germane. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Again Mr. Speaker, we go back to the Constitution that says Appropriation Bills are not limited to one subject matter such as other Bills and I think that ruling that this is germane is perfectly a fine ruling."

Speaker Redmond: "I rule that it is germane. The Gentleman has ruled... has moved the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 941.... Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I don't seem to have the Amendment, I wonder if Representative Giorgi would explain it just a little bit. I'm not sure that, if it pertains to off ra...track betting or massage parlors and I'd like to know the difference."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I think the Agricultural Premium Fund funds the Illinois Racing Board to the tune of two million dollars, this is only a fifteen thousand dollar...conduct a study because as you recall, we tried to conduct studies when...when we were attempting to enact the Lottery Bill and we were never successful in conducting a study and there's a lot of confusion throughout the state as to what a Lottery Bill would do for the state and I think we ought to not make that same mistake and allow the Racing Board to study off track betting in all its facets and New York is having phenomenal success and I think that it's a one lane item in the appropriation so I wouldn't make...it be a substantive Bill...off track betting."



Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #4 to House Bill 941. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. All those in favor vote 'aye' and opposed vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? This looks like a race course. All voted who wish? All voted who wish? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there's 63 'aye' and 60 'no' and the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. The Chair will inform the Membership of our plans here. There is another Bill, Senate Bill on Second Reading that I understand is an emergency. Representative Hanahan. Senate Bills Second Reading 1489."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1489. A Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriations to the State Board Education. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1489 on page 2 line 29 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes. This is a Committee Amendment that was adopted in the Appropriations Committee #2 and I...I don't know who offered it but...ah...I'll offer it for adoption. I had no opposition to it."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor explain the Amendment?"

Speaker Redmond: "Would you explain the Amendment, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "I'm not the Sponsor of this Amendment. I'm the Sponsor of the Bill. This is a Committee Amendment and I don't recall the Amend...what the Amendment did. It was...ah...in Committee Amendment Representative Choate..."

Speaker Redmond: "What Committee was that?"

Hanahan: "Appropriations II."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Choate."

Jack O'Brien: "On page 2..."

Choate: "Incidentally I did not offer the Amendment. I probably offered



a Committee Amendment and moved for its adoption. The Amendment is for the reimbursement to school districts and for providing free lunches and breakfast program under the provision of the present Act, Representative Schlickman and it added something like three hundred thousand dollars. In fact an exact three hundred thousand dollars."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gent...Has this Amendment been passed out? Been distributed...it has been."

Choate: "George, I think it was your Amendment."

Ryan: "It's not my Amendment."

Choate: "Well it wasn't mine."

Ryan: "Well then maybe..."

Speaker Redmond: "Any reason it has to be adopted if nobody wants to ..."

Ryan: "Well yeah, I think it's kind of an important Amendment if we could have a look at it. It's kind of coming off the wall here if you want to know the truth."

Speaker Redmond: "Read the Amendment...It's a very sensational Amendment."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1...Amends Senate Bill 1489 on page 2 line 29 by deleting eleven million eight hundred and eighty two thousand two hundred and inserting in lieu thereof eleven million eight hundred and eighty two thousand and on page..."

Speaker Redmond: "It reduces the appropriation by two hundred dollars."

Jack O'Brien: "And on page 3 line 13 by deleting eleven million eight hundred and eighty two thousand two hundred and inserting in lieu thereof eleven million eight hundred and eighty two thousand."

Speaker Redmond: "Rep...Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Yes Mr. Speaker, that was a correction in addition, that was discovered by some fast mathematician on the Committee and there is a two hundred dollar mistake in addition and I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan moves the adoption of Amendment ...Representative Choate."

Choate: "Just to explain probably what has happened...ah...if the...if the records show that I offered this Amendment it was probably by



the fast gavel of a Chairman says 'Representative Choate offers for the adoption of the Amendment and I might of been there and I might not have, but I...as sure as the world, it was not Clyde Choate's Amendment, I'll just tell you that.'

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1489. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Floor Amendment #2. Hanahan. Amends Senate Bill 1489 as amended on page 2 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Amendment #2 is more technical, it is the transferring around of the employees at the Office of Education. It originally said they'd like to do in the original Bill and then they changed their mind and reversed themselves and now, according to this Amendment, the amounts of money in the deficiency appropriation of Senate Bill 1489 is according to exactly what they need for the insuing one month so that the employees there would paid correctly according to what they have reevaluated on their transferring of employees in that...in the...ah... Office of Education. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Mr. Speaker would you ask the Sponsor if he'd explain that one more time?"

Speaker Redmond: "Would...Representative Madison would like to have you explain it one more time."

Madison: "I really don't believe he can do it."

Hanahan: "Yes. It's in the operations of the Office of Education and the deficiency appropriation of two hundred thousand dollars. They originally had requested to our staff and the Senate staff amounts of money to pay employees that they had transferred. Since the... adoption of the Bill in the Senate and coming over to the House, they reevaluated their determination of transferring these employees in the various divisions that this Amendment would reflect upon and this is the Amendment correcting them back to the original request the same amounts of money are needed, it's just where they could spend the money, to what employees and what divisions of the Office



of Education."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madison."

Madison: "Then I'm to assume Representative Hanahan that...sorry... that these are line item transfers?"

Hanahan: "Line 15, 18, 22, 25 and 28 on page 2."

Madison: "Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Will the Sponsor yield here for a minute?"

Speaker Redmond: "He indicates he will."

Ryan: "Representative Hanahan. It appears that employees in this Office of Education have been moved into different divisions for payroll purposes. Is that right?"

Hanahan: "Yes. That's the...and they made I mistake in doing that, I suggested..."

Ryan: "This was done at the discretion of the Office of Education. Now the payrolls for each division do not accurately reflect those employees that are working in that division. Is that right?"

Hanahan: "That, that would indicate had we not...had I not offered this Amendment, they would have been transferred almost...ah... not illegally but without Legislative perusal of those employees usage."

Ryan: "Yeah...Well the, the Bill, Senate Bill 1489 in...in the division of General Servies for payroll purposes calls for seventeen thousand dollars, when actually there was only a thousand dollars there."

Hanahan: "Right. Governmental relations."

Ryan: "...Governmental relations...ah...Thirteen thousand five hundred in the Bill when they actually needed thirty six thousand."

Hanahan: "That's in...That's in Dave Cary's division. Yes."

Ryan: "Educational planning and management. Forty four thousand five hundred, when they actually needed fifty eight thousand."

Hanahan: "Right."

Ryan: "And...ah...two more. Pupil and professional services, forty five thousand two hundred when they need thirty one thousand and Supervision of Instruction of seventy nine thousand eight hundred, when they actually needed only seventy four."



Hanahan: "Right."

Ryan: "Are we in agreement on that?"

Hanahan: "We're in agreement, yes sir."

Ryan: "And this Amendment then is correct, is that right?"

Hanahan: "This...this Amendment then will bring in line what they should have been originally in the Bill. They were...I think they've reevaluated according to what this Amendment would do, would be putting it back exactly where the Legislature had originally intended the money to be spent."

Ryan: "Is there anything in the Bill to stop this in the future from happening?"

Hanahan: "No, because we have that State Law that they have a right of transferability of certain percentages and...ah...I guess they were ...ah...extending that a little broader than they should have."

Ryan: "Yeah....A little more than two per cent."

Hanahan: "I hope...I hope in the next year that they don't intend to do that again."

Ryan: "I would certainly hope so, it seems to be a poor practice. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Bill...to Senate Bill 1489. All in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it, the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Third Reading. Announcements. Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to announce that the Insurance Subcommittee on no fault insurance will meet immediately after adjournment."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further announcements? Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. For the purpose of announcement.

Appropriations I will meet tomorrow morning at 8:00 in room 114

Appropriations II will meet at 8:00 in room 118. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Fary."

Fary: "Labor and Commerce will meet tomorrow morning from 8 to 9 o'clock in D-1 and Senate Bill 285 will be called in the afternoon, Senate Bill 541 will be called in the afternoon, Senate Bill 410 will be post-poned and Senate Bill 1292 will be post-poned. The afternoon



meeting will be from 2 to 4 at the, in D-1 also. Thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. The Republican Members of Appropriations II will meet in my office tomorrow morning at 7:30 and then we have a Committee Meeting, as I understand it, at 8:00."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "The room number for that no fault insurance meeting, will be conference room E-1. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Death Resolutions."

Jack O'Brien: "House Resolution 290. Choate et al. House Resolution 291. Daniels. House Resolution 321. Emil Jones et al. House Resolution 323. Marovitz."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker...ah...House Resolution 290 mourns the...ah... death of the father of Representative Keller, House Resolution 291 mourns the death of Paul L. White a Village Manager of the Village of Lombard. House Resolution 321 mourns the death of Ezer Charles a former prize fighter and House Resolution 323 mourns the death of Rabbi Herman L. Davis of Chicago. I move the adoption of the Death Resolutions."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no' the 'ayes' have it the Resolutions are adopted. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now stand in recess and then let the Clerk have five minutes to read in Committee Reports and then adjourn until 10:15 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Redmond: "The Gentleman has moved the...adoption of the Amendment, the adjournment Resolution. All in favor indicate by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it."

Jack O'Brien: "Mr. Garmisa from Transportation Committee to which was referred Senate Joint Resolution 40. Reported the same back Resolution. Mr. Garmisa from the Committee on Transportation to which Senate Bill 13 was referred. Reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Consent Calendar."





Mr. Garmisa from the Committee on Transportation to which Senate Bill 911 was referred reported the same back with the Amendments thereto, the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended. Do Pass. Mr. Garmisa from the Committee on Transportation to which Senate Bills 241, 375, 675, 693, 753, 1032, 1070, 1152, 1153, 1165 and 1173 were referred reports the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bills 404 and 543 were referred reported the same back with the Amendments thereto, the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended. Do pass. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bills 705, 1087 and 1369 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bill 1089 was referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. Mr. Schneider from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education to which Senate Bills 778, 806, 1086, 1090 and 780 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loans to which Senate Bill 369 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loans to which Senate Bill 824 was referred reports the same back with Amendments thereto with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill, as amended, do pass Consent Calendar. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loan to which Senate Bills 829, 830, 831 and 832 were referred, reports the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Leon from the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loans to which Senate Bills 162, 271, 355 and 529 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 100 was referred, reports the same back with Amendments thereto and the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on



Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 90 was referred reports the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 99, 639, 645, 834, 969 and 980 were referred reports the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which Senate Bill 306 and 642 were referred reports the same back with Amendments thereto, the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Resolution 181 was referred, pursuant to, reported the same back pursuant to Rule 23(d). The Resolution was ordered tabled. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary II to which House Bills 508, 509, 1359, 1657, 1661, 1751, 1811, 1812, 1813, 1963, 2051 and 2134, 2624, 2703 and 2850 were referred, reported the same back in pursuant to Rule 23(d). The Bills were ordered tabled. Mr. DiPrima from the Committee on Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bills 749 and 837 were referred, reported the same back with Amendments thereto, the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. DiPrima from the Committee on Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bill 121, 839 and 840 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass, Consent Calendar. Mr. DiPrima from the Committee on Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation to which Senate Bills 4, 196, 353, 572 and 767 were referred reports the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. DiPrima from the Committee on Veterans Affairs Registration and Regulation to which was referred House Resolution 252, reports the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. No further Committee Reports."

No further business. The House now stands adjourned until 10:15 a.m. June 4, 1975."



INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 3, 1975

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
1	10:15	Redmond	Call the House to order
		Rev. Kreuger	Prayer
	10:16	Redmond	Roll call for attendance
		O'Brien	Committee reports
	10:17	Redmond	Consent Calendar, Second reading
		O'Brien	Second day
2	10:18	Redmond	Third reading
		Redmond	House Bills second reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3026, second reading no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading, House Bill 3026
	10:20	O'Brien	House Bill 3027, second reading no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3028, second reading no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3029, second reading no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3030, second reading no committee amendments
3		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3031, second reading no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3032, second reading no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3033, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
	10:22	Redmond	Status of Third Reading, etc.?
	10:25	Redmond	Bills on Supplemental Calendar printed in error

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
4	10:28	O'Brien	Senate Bill 8, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 256, second reading, no committee amendments
	10:29	Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 257, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 258, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 316, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 321, second reading, no committee amendments
5		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 329, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 330, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 338, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
	10:30	O'Brien	Senate Bill 352, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 391, second reading no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 518, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
	10:32	O'Brien	Senate Bill 527, second reading, no committee amendments
6			

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 1487, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 1488, second reading, 1 committee amendments
		Redmond	
		Lechowicz	Amendment #1
7	10:34	Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 1028, second reading, 1 committee amendment
		Redmond	
	10:35	Hart	Table Committee Amendment #1?
		Redmond	Tabled
	10:36	O'Brien	Floor amendment #2
		Hart	
		Redmond	Floor amendment #2 adopted, third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 1048, second reading, 1 committee amendment
8	10:37	Redmond	
		O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Barnes, E.M.	
		Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, Third Reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 1071, second reading, 1 committee amendment
		Redmond	
		Hart	Committee Amendment #1
	10:38	Redmond	Amendment adopted, third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 1366, second reading, 3 committee amendments
		Redmond	
		Kosinski	Amendment #1
		Redmond	Amendment #1
		Schlickman	Yield?

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	10:39	Kosinski) Redmond	
	10:40	Barnes, E.M.) Schlickman )	
	10:42	Kosinski Barnes Kosinski ) Schlickman ) Kosinski )	
10		Redmond	Amendment #1, House Bill 1366 adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Redmond	
		Kosinski	Explain Amendment #2
11	10:43	Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Kosinski	Explain Amendment #3
		Redmond	Amendment #3 adopted, Third Reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 1314, second reading, 3 committee amendments
		Redmond	
	10:44	Byers	Explain Amendment#1
		Redmond	Amendment #2
12	10:45	Byers	Explain Amendment #2
		Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Redmond	
		Byers	Explain amendment #3
		Redmond	Amendment #3 to House Bill 1314 adopted
	10:46	O'Brien	Floor Amendment #4
		Redmond	
	10:47	Mautino	Explain Floor Amendment #4

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
			Page 5.
13		Redmond	Amendment #4 adopted, Third reading
	10:48	O'Brien	House-Bill-f552, second reading
	10:49	O'Brien	House Bill 1699, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 2036, second reading
		Redmond)	
		Greiman)	Take out of the record
		Redmond	Take out at request of the sponsor
14	10:50	O'Brien	House Bill 2812, second reading, no committee amendments, 1 floor amendment
		Redmond	
		Taylor	Amendment #1
	10:51	Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted, third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 2971
		Redmond	
		Porter	
		O'Brien	House Bill 2971, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
	10:52	O'Brien	House Bill 2986, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third Reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3001, second reading, no committee amendments
15		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3014, second reading, no committee amendments
		Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 3015, second reading, no committee amendments
	10:53	Redmond	Third reading
		O'Brien	House-Bill-3017--second-reading--no committee-amendments
		O'Brien	House Bill 3019, second reading, no



TIME

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

16

10:54

Redmond

Third reading

O'Brien

House Bill 1172, second reading, 2 committee amendments

Schneider

10:55

Redmond

Amendment #1 adopted

O'Brien

Amendment #2

Schneider

Explains Amendment #2

Redmond

Amendment #3 adopted, third reading

Redmond

House Bills, Third reading

10:57

O'Brien

House Bill 1816, third reading

Redmond

Maragos

Re-refer to the Interim Study Calendar

17

Redmond

Leave granted

Redmond

Senate Bills, second reading

O'Brien

Senate Bill 295, second reading, no committee amendments

Redmond

11:00

O'Brien

Amendment #1, Floor amendment

Redmond

Beatty

Explain Amendment #1

Redmond

Amendment #1 adopted, third reading

8

O'Brien

Senate Bill 327, second reading, 1 floor amendment

11:05

O'Brien

Amendment #1

Tipsword

Explain Amendment #1

Redmond

Amendment #1 adopted, third reading

11:06

O'Brien

House Bill 236, second reading, 1 committee amendment

Redmond

Ebbesen

Amendment #1

Redmond

Amendment #1 adopted

O'Brien

Floor amendment #2

9

11:07

Ebbesen

Redmond

Beatty

Move to table Amendment #2

Redmond

Leave to table Amendment #2

O'Brien

Amendment #3

Beatty

Move to table Amendment #3

Redmond

Leave to table Amendment #3

O'Brien

Amendment #4

Redmond

Ebbesen

Explain Amendment #4

Redmond

Amendment #4 adopted

O'Brien

Amendment #5

Redmond

11:08

Beatty

Explain Amendment #5

Redmond

Ebbesen

11:09

Redmond

Amendment #5 adopted, thrid reading

Redmond

Hanahan

O'Brien

Amendment offered by Choate

Redmond

Take out of the record

11:10

Redmond

Senate Bills, third reading

11:12

O'Brien

Senate Bill 279, third reading

Redmond

McPartlin

Sponsor

Redmond

Sebate Bill 279 passed

O'Brien

Senate Bill 298, third reading

11:13

Schlickman

Sponsor-Senate Bill 298

Redmond

11:14

Giorgi )

Question

11:15

Schlickman)

Response

20

21

2

23

24

25

TIME	SPEAKER	INFORMATION
	Redmond	
11:16	Schlickman	To close
	Redmond	Senate Bill 298 passed
	O'Brien	Senate Bill 299, third reading
11:17	Schlickman	Sponsor
	Redmond	
11:18	Skinner ) ) Schlickman)	Yield
11:20	Redmond	Question out of order
	Redmond	Senate Bill 299 passed
	Selcke	Senate Bill 331, third reading
	Redmond	
11:21	Younge	Sponsor
	Redmond	Senate Bill 331
	Walsh ) ) Younge)	Yield
11:23	Walsh	
11:25	Redmond	
	Giorgi	
	Redmond	Senate Bill 331
	Giorgi	
	Younge	
11:26	Redmond	Senate Bill 331 passed
	Younge	House Bill 2928, be put on Interim Study Calendar
	Selcke	Senate Bill 388
11:27	Redmond	Take Senate Bill 388 out of record
	Redmond	
	Selcke	
	Redmond	Senate Bill 416
11:28	Williams	
	Redmond	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
27		Mann ) ) Williams)	Question
		Simms ) ) Williams)	Yield?
	11:29	Redmond	
	11:30	Leinenweber) ) Williams )	Question
28	11:32	Redmond	
		Geo-Karis	In favor of Bill, as amended
29	11:33	Redmond	Senate Bill 416 passed
		Selcke	Senate Bill 516
		Redmond	
	11:35	Stone	Sponsor
		Redmond	Senate Bill 516 passed
		Selcke	Senate Bill 526, third reading
	11:36	Collins	Sponsor
30	11:37	Redmond	Senate Bill 526 passed
	11:38	Selcke	Senate Bill 496, third reading
		Redmond	
		Grotberg	Sponsor
		Redmond	
	11:39	Flinn	Explains his House Bill 1645
31	11:40	Grotberg	
		Redmond	
		Palmer ) ) Grotberg)	Yield?
32		Redmond	Take Senate Bill 496 out of the record, at the request of sponsor
34	11:50	Selcke	Senate Bill 490, second reading, 1 committee amendment
		Redmond	
		Leon	Point of personal privilege

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	11:50	Terzich	Sponsor Senate Bill 490, Amendment #1
		Redmond	Amendment #1 adopted
35	11:52	Hanahan	3024 please
		Redmond	
		Hanahan	
		Selcke	House Bill 3024, third reading
		Redmond	
		Hanahan	Sponsor
		Redmond	
		Palmer )	
		)	Question
36	11:55	Hanahan)	
		Redmond	
		Leinenweber	
		Redmond	
37		Schlickman)	Yield?
		)	
	11:56	Hanahan )	
		Redmond	Permission to take Still Photo
	11:58	Simms )	Question
		)	
		Hanahan)	
	11:59	Redmond	
		Madigan)	Yield? Did this bill have hearing?
		)	
		Hanahan)	
38		Redmond	
		Hill )	
		)	
		Madigan)	Discussion
		)	
	12:00	Hanahan)	
		Redmond	
39	12:01	Walsh	Rules not suspended
		Redmond	Chair agrees
	12:02	Matijevich	Point of order
		Redmond	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	12:03	Duff Redmond	
40	12:05	Katz Redmond	
	12:06	Madigan Redmond	Have in hand Digest #16
	12:07	Hanahan Redmond Matijevich	Asks for ruling of the Chair "Try to clarify"
41	12:08	Redmond Hill Redmond	"Don't understand" etc.
	12:09	Hart Redmond	Opinion of Chair, Bill should be posted
2		Hanahan) Redmond)	Point of order Rule #33
	12:10	Schlickman Redmond	Point of order
	12:11	Skinner Redmond	Point of information
	12:12	Maragos Redmond	Point of order
3		Matijevich) Redmond ) Hill )	Point of order
	12:13	Redmond	
4	12:14	Tipsword Redmond	
	12:16	Beaupre	
5	12:17	Redmond Skinner	Question?

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Redmond	
	12:18	Shea	
46		Redmond	
	12:19	Stone	
	12:20	Redmond	"Never any posting, etc."
	12:21	Hanahan	"How will you rule on Conference Committees?"
47		Redmond	
	12:22	Shea	Will they take Bill out of the record for a minute?
		Redmond	
	12:23	Hanahan	House Bills 3024 and 3025, out of the record
		Redmond	
	12:24	Jones, Emil	Move House concur in Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 79
		Leinenweber)	Will Gentleman explain Amendment?
	12:25	Jones, Emil)	
		Redmond	House does concur in Senate Amendment to House Bill 79
		Redmond	House Bill 433
48		Lundy	Move to concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 433
	12:26	Redmond	House concurs
		Redmond	House Bill 506
	12:27	Geo-Karis	Moves for concurrence in Senate Amendment to House Bill 506
		Redmond	House concurs
		Redmond	House Bill 515
		Chapman	Move to concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 515
9		Redmond	Advised about Senate Amendment #2
	12:28	Hoffman, G.	Explain amendment
		Chapman	To explain amendment
9		Redmond	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	12:29	Chapman ) Hoffman, G.) Redmond	Amendment #2
50	12:30	Ebbesen) Chapman) Redmond	Yield? Respond
51	12:33	Walsh	Board should be cleared
	12:35	Chapman Giorgi Satterhwaite Giorgi	Asks for concurrence
52	12:37	Hoffman, G.	Speaking on concurrence
	12:38	Giorgi Brinkmeier Chapman Giorgi	Urge vote for concurrence House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 and 2 to House Bill 515
	12:40	Giorgi Kosinski	House Bill 8, Senate Amendment #1 Explain Amendment
53		Giorgi	
	12:41	Waddell ) Kosinski)	Will Sponsor yield?
		Giorgi	House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 8
	12:43	Garmisa	Leave to suspend appropriate rules to hear Senate Bill 241 in Transportation Committee
54		Giorgi Leon Giorgi	Leave granted Leave to suspend posting rules Leave granted
	12:44	Hoffman, R.K. Giorgi	Move to concur with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 828 House concurs with Senate Amendment #2 to House Bill 828



<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
55		Giorgi	Senate Bills, third reading
		Giorgi	
	12:45	Houlihan, D.	Sponsor
		Giorgi	
	12:46	Schlickman ) Houlihan, D.)	Question
	12:47	Greisheimer	
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 388, third reading
		Greisheimer	Sponsor
56	12:48	Giorgi	Senate Bill 388, passed
	12:49	O'Brien	Senate Bill 280
		Beaupre	Sponsor
57		Giorgi	
	12:51	Choate ) Beaupre)	Question
	12:52	Giorgi ) Borchers)	Infringement of local government control
58		Giorgi	
	12:54	Kempiners	I rise to oppose
59	12:55	Tuerk ) Beaupre)	Yield Respond
		Giorgi	
	12:57	Dunn, J.	
60		Giorgi	
	12:58	McMasters ) Beaupre )	Yield
	12:59	McMasters	Speaks on Bill
		Giorgi	
		Frederich	Against
		Giorgi	

	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
	1:00	Tipsword	
62	1:02	Giorgi	
		Beaupre	To Close
	1:04	Giorgi	Senate Bill 280
	1:05	Beaupre	
63		Giorgi	
	1:06	Stone	Good Bill
	1:07	Giorgi	
		Borchers	Verify roll call
		Giorgi	
	1:08	McGrew	
		Giorgi	Proceed with poll of absentees
64		O'Brien	
		Giorgi	Brandt "aye"
		O'Brien	Poll of absentees
		Giorgi	Proceed with affirmative roll call
		O'Brien	
	1:13	Giorgi	Rayson "aye"
		O'Brien	Continues affirmative roll call
	1:16	Giorgi	Huff "aye", Fleck "no"
5	1:17	Borchers	Questions affirmative roll call
5		Giorgi )	
8		) O'Brien)	Questions of how Representatives voted
		Giorgi	
		Stone	How am I recorded?
		Giorgi	
		Kane	How am I recorded?
		Giorgi	
		McGrew	How am I recorded?
		Giorgi	
		Mautino	How am I recorded?

PAGETIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

70

1:27

Giorgi

Schneider

Question?

Giorgi

Calvo

Giorgi

O'Brien

1:28

Flinn

Giorgi

1:29

Schraeder

Giorgi

Terzich

O'Brien

Giorgi

Terzich

Vote me "aye"

Giorgi )

)

O'Brien)

Anderson

Vote me "no"

Giorgi

Daniels

Vote me "no"

Giorgi

Dunn, J.

Giorgi

1:31

Greisheimer

Vote me "no"

Giorgi

Reed

How am I recorded? Vote me "Present"

Giorgi

Tipsword

Giorgi

Skinner

"Yells"

Giorgi

1:33

Telscer

Point of personal privilege

72

PAGETIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

73

1:34

Giorgi

Matijevich

Giorgi

Houlihan, J.

Giorgi

1:35

Telscer

Giorgi

House Bill 280 passed

Shea

Move House in recess until 7:30 p.m.

74

7:21

Doorkeeper

"All those not entitled to the Floor

Redmond

Borchers

Redmond

Geo-Karis

Birthdays

Redmond

Geo-Karis

Sings "Happy Birthday to Brummett  
and Leinenweber

7:30

Redmond

The House will come to order

75

Schlickman

Announcement--Ball team

Redmond

Journalize that please

Miller

Point of personal privilege

Redmond

Resolutions

O'Brien

House Resolutions 319 and 320

Bradley

Explains Resolutions 319 and 320

Redmond

Leinenweber

Restate

Bradley

Move to adopt the two Resolutions

76

Redmond

Resolutions 319 and 320 adopted

Bradley

Introduction

Redmond

7:40

Hoffman, G.

Comment

Redmond

Giorgi come here

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
77	7:56	Redmond	House at ease until 8:00 p.m.
		Redmond	House will come to order-roll call
		O'Brien	Committee reports
		Redmond	
		McGrew	Question of need for a Roll Call
		Redmond	
		Walsh	Questions need of Roll Call
		Redmond	
		Walsh	No need for Roll Call, use previous
		Redmond	Okay, Committee Reports
78	8:00	O'Brien	Continues Committee Reports
79	8:05	Redmond	House Bills, Third Reading
O'Brien		O'Brien	House Bill 1881, Third Reading
		Redmond	
		Shea	Explains House Bill 1881- Sponsor
		Redmond	Hear House Bills 1881 and 1882 together
		O'Brien	House Bill 1882, Third Reading
		Redmond	
		Schlickman	Will sponsor yield?
		Redmond	Sponsor Will yield
		Schlickman)	Question asked
80		Shea )	Response and discussion
		Redmond	
		Totten	Response to question of Schlickman's
	8:09	Schlickman	Discussion
		Shea	
		Redmond	
		Lundy	Speaks on Bills
		Redmond	Proceed
		Lundy	Discussion on Bills
		Redmond	House Bills 1881 and 1882 passed

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Hart	
82		Redmond	Senate Bills, Third Reading
		Shea	
		Redmond	House Bill 783
		O'Brien	House Bill 783, Third Reading
		Redmond	
		VonBoeckman	Discussion and explanation
		Redmond	Adopted amendment #1, Third reading
		Redmond	House Bills, Second Reading, House Bill 3017
83		O'Brien	House Bill 3017, Second Reading, Four Committee Amendments
		Redmond	
		Lechowicz	Explains Amendment #1
		Redmond	
		Schlickman	Will Sponsor yield? Discussion on Amendment #1
		Lechowicz	Response and Discussion
		Redmond	Amendment #1, adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
84		Redmond	
		Lechowicz	Explain Amendment #2
		Redmond	Amendment #2 adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Redmond	
		Lechowicz	Explain Amendment #3
		Redmond	Amendment #3 adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Redmond	
		Lechowicz	Explain Amendment #4
		Redmond	Amendment #4 adopted--House Bill 3017 placed on Third Reading
		O'Brien	Senate Bill 272 Third Reading

PAGE

TIME

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

85		Redmond	
	8:20	Houlihan, D.	Senate Bill 272, explains bill.
		Redmond	
		Schlickman	Speaks on the Bill
		Redmond	
		Shea )	Question on Senate Bill 272
		)	
		Schlickman)	Discussion
		Houlihan, D.	
		Redmond	
87		Totten )	Yield?
		)	
		Houlihan, D.)	Response
88		Redmond	
		Fleck	Question
89		Redmond	
		Houlihan, D.	To close
90		Redmond	Question, shall this Bill pass?
	8:35	Schlickman	Explains vote
		Redmond	
		Hill	Explains vote
		Redmond	
		Frederich	Explains vote
91		Redmond	
		Skinner	Explains his vote
		Redmond	
		Shea	Explains his vote
		Redmond	
		Cunningham	Explains his vote
		Redmond	Senate Bill 272 passed, Consideration Postponed, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendments
92		Dyer	Constitutional Amendment #30
		Redmond	Take out of the Record

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

93

Schraeder	House Bill 1154 , been explained
Redmond	
Skinner	
Redmond	Take House Bill 1154 out of record
Skinner	Read back the record
Redmond	Point well taken
Skinner	
Redmond	
Maragos	Point of parliamentary inquiry
Redmond	State your point
Maragos	States point
Redmond	House Bill 2987

94

Shea	Reads and explains House Bill 2987
Redmond	
Walsh )	Questions order of calling Bill
Shea )	Response
Redmond	House Bill 2987 in sequence
Shea	Is it alright to go ahead?
Redmond	Go ahead
Shea	Appreciate favorable roll call
Redmond	

5

Ewing	Question
Redmond	
Shea	Response
Ewing	
Redmond	
Ryan	Question of Sponsor
Shea	
Redmond	Take Bill out of the Record
Fennessey	House Bill 2988, explains Bill
Redmond	



PAGE                      TIME

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

96

Fleck

Isn't this Bill dead?

Redmond

No!

Fennessey

Continues explanation of Bill

Redmond

97

Schlickman

Discussion of Bill

Redmond

Shea

Leave to hear Bill tomorrow

Redmond

Shea

Affirmative vote tomorrow

Redmond

Walsh

Yes

Redmond

Duff )

Discussion on Leave

Redmond )

Lechowicz )

Redmond )

Frederich )

Redmond )

98

Walsh )

Redmond )

Matijevich

Point of order

Redmond

Recognition of Representative Fennessey

Fennessey

To close

Redmond

Walsh

Redmond

Hoffman

To explain vote

99

Redmond

Sponsor has closed, take vote

Hoffman

Explains his vote

Redmond

Tipsword

To explain his vote

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
100		Redmond	
		Borchers	To explain his vote
		Redmond	
		Hill	To explain his vote
101		Redmond	
		Simms	* Request for verification
		Redmond	
		Totten	To explain his vote
		Redmond	
		Geo-Karis	Explain vote
102		Redmond	
		Hart	Explain vote
		Redmond	108' ayes' and 47' nay'
		Fennessey	Poll absentees first
		Redmond	
		O'Brien	Poll of the absentees
103		Redmond	Proceed with verification of affirmative vote
		O'Brien	Proceeds with verification of affirmative vote
104		Redmond	
		Simms )	Checks verification
		)	
		Redmond)	
		Lechowicz	Point Of order
105		Redmond)	
		)	
		Simms )	Continues to check verification
106			
107		O'Brien	
		Walsh	Changes his mind
108		Redmond	
		Fennessey	
		Redmond	House Bill 2988 passed, House Bill 941

PAGETIMESPEAKERINFORMATION

109

Houlihan	Amendments on House Bill 941
Redmond	We're at House Bills, second reading
O'Brien	House Bill 941, Amendment #1 adopted previously, Amendment #2 read
Redmond	
Hanahan	Amendment #2, explained
Redmond	
Houlihan, J.	Speaks on Amendment #2
Redmond	
Holewinski)	Question
)	
Hanahan )	Response
Holewinski)	
)	
Hanahan )	Discussion on Amendment #1

110

Redmond	
Houlihan, J.	Speaks on Amendment #2

111

Redmond

112

Houlihan, J.	Explains his vote
--------------	-------------------

Redmond

Lechowicz

Redmond

Take the record

Duff

Redmond

62 "Aye", 41 "No", Amendment #2  
Adopted

O'Brien

Amendment #3, read

Redmond

113

Washington

Presents Amendment #3

Redmond

Houlihan, J.

Question

Redmond

Yields

Houlihan, J.)

Question asked

Washington )

Discussion and response

Redmond

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Lechowicz	Germane?
		Redmond	
		Katz	Question
114		Washington	Answer
		Redmond	
		Schlickman	
115		Redmond	Roll Call Vote
		Pierce	Explain his vote
116		Redmond	Recognition of Representative
		Caldwell	Explain his vote
		Redmond	Recognition of Representative
		Barnes, G.	Explain his vote
117		Redmond	Recognition of Representative
		Fleck	Explain his vote
118		Redmond	
		McAuliffe	Explain his vote
		Redmond	
		Duff	Explain his vote
		Redmond	
		Washington	Explain his vote
119		Redmond	
		Houlihan, J.	Explain his vote
120		Redmond	Amendment #3, lost
		O'Brien	Amendment #4, read
		Redmond	
		Giorgi	Explains Amendment #4
		Redmond	
		Houlihan, J.	Discussion
		Redmond	
		Shea	Discussion
121		Redmond	

122

123

24

<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
Shea	Question
Giorgi	Answer
Redmond	
Walsh	
Redmond	Rule not germane
Houlihan, J.	Review your decision
Redmond	
Walsh	
Redmond	It is germane
Walsh	Should have substantive Bill
Redmond	
Shea	Discussion on germaneness
Redmond	I rule it is germane
Schraeder	Please explain Amendment #4
Redmond	
Giorgi	Explains Amendment
Redmond	Amendment #4 to House Bill 941, Adopted, Third Reading. Senate Bills Second Reading 1489
O'Brien	Senate Bill 1489, Second Reading, 1 Committee Amendment read
Redmond	
Hanahan	Explains Amendment #1
Redmond	
Schlickman	
Hanahan	
Redmond	
Choate	
Redmond	
Ryan	
Redmond	
O'Brien	Reads Amendment #1
Redmond	

25

26

7

8

<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
Hanahan	Correction of addition
Redmond	
Choate	
Redmond	Senate Bill 1489, Amendment #1 Adopted
O'Brien	Floor Amendment #2
Redmond	
Hanahan	Explains Floor Amendment #2
Redmond	
Madison	
Redmond	
Hanahan	
Redmond	
Madison)	Question
)	
Hanahan)	Discussion
Redmond	
Ryan	Question
Redmond	
Ryan )	Question asked
)	
Hanahan)	Answer and discussion
Redmond	Floor Amendment #2 adopted, Third Reading
Beaupre	Announcement
Redmond	
Lechowicz	Announcement
Redmond	
Fary	Announcement
Redmond	
Ryan	Announcement
Redmond	
Beaupre	Announcement
Redmond	Death Resolution

PAGE

TIME

SPEAKER

INFORMATION

O'Brien

Redmond

Madigan

Redmond

Shea

Redmond

O'Brien

Explains House Resolutions 290, 291, 321 and 323

Death Resolutions adopted

Move House stand in recess and then adjourn

The "Ayes" have it

Reads Committee Reports

128, 129, 130.