

MAY 5 1976

1.

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the House floor, please retire to the gallery."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Klosak, will you turn around and let everybody see your tie. The House will come to order and Members please be in their seats. We will be lead in prayer this afternoon by Reverend Kruegar."

Kruegar: "In the name of the Father, the Son, and the Holy Ghost. Amen. O Lord bless this House to Thy server this day, I humbly ask You to continue with me in our prayers for the former Governor of this State. I just called upon the Lord with my voice and he heard me out of his Holy hill. Hear us O mighty and mercerful God and savor, extend Thy accustom goodness to Thy servant Otto, who is grieved with sickness, visit him O Lord with Thy loving mercy and so restore into his former health that he may give thanks unto Thee in Thy Holy church through Jesus Christ, Our Lord. Amen. Nobody belives anything anymore, it would appear that nobody thinks anymore instead we feel, how do you feel Mr. Secretary that the poor hurt more than the rich by inflation, do you feel that two and two equal four, do you feel that men and women are the same. Truth is now mulitable and reality, teachers are advised not to tell their pupils when they are right or wrong, it might damage their emotional make-up and leave them with a servere complex, it might also explain painful fact that so many High School students can not read, or spell or add or even speak in complete and coherent sentences. Those quaint things have become irrelevant it is more democratic for everyone to feel in his own democratic way. What is truth as Pontius Pilate, Jesus says I am the truth. Let us pray. Light of the world shine upon Our minds and



MAY 5 1976

2.

Our hearts, spirit of truth guide us into all truth Holy Father, sanctify us with Our truth and make us wise in Our legislative capacities that in... the end we may enjoy Thy promised salvation in Jesus Christ Our Lord. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Roll Call for attendance. The House will stand at ease until 4:30."

Mulcahey: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... while we have this little lule right here, I would like to ah... ah... take this opportunity to introduce to you, in the gallery up to my right. Forty American Government Students from my home town and former students of mine, under the able leadership of ah... 'Miss Judy Nims' and 'George Krale', from Durand High School in Durand, Illinois. Stand up gang."

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to order. Roll Call for attendance. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk O'Brien: "A Message ah... from the Senate by Mr. Wright Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate concurred with the House in passage of the Bill of the following title to with House Bill 3277, passed by the Senate May 5, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. A Message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate passed Bills of the following title, passage of which I am instructed to ask for concurrence of the House of Representatives to with Senate Bills #1544, 1546, 1547, 1616, 1639, 1708 and 1744 passed by the Senate, May 5, 1976. Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Committee Reports, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Redmond: "Committee Reports."



MAY 5 1976

3.

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Lechowicz, Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations I, which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 5, 1976. Reported the same back with the following recommendations, do pass, House Bill 3302, 3744 and Senate Bill 1617. Do not pass, House Bill 3412, do pass as amended House Bill 3344 and 3818. Representative Pierce, Chairman from the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources to which the following Bills were referred. Action taken May 5, 1976, reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass, House Bill 3797. Representative Matijeich, Chairman from the Committee on Executives to which the following Bills were referred. Action taken May 3, 1976 reported the same back with the following recommendations. Do pass, House Bill 3425, 3562, 3563, do pass as amended Senate Bill 31, be adopted House Resolution 678, House Resolution 703, Tabled by Rules 64 (c) House Resolution 635. Representative Matijeich, Chairman from the Committee on Executives to which the following Bills were referred.... the following Amendments were referred. Action taken May 4, 1976, reported the same back with the following recommendation, Tabled by Rule 64 (c), HJRC 36. Representative Schneider, Chairman from the Committee on Environment and Secondary Education, reported the following Committee Bills for introduction. House Bill 3850, being a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the School Code, action taken May 4, 1976. Representative Pierce, Chairman on Environment and Natural Resources reported the following Committee Bill for introduction House Bill 3851, being a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Action taken May 5, 1976."

Speaker Redmond: "Introductions and First Reading."



MAY 5 1976

4.

Clerk O'Brien: "3850. Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill." House Bill 3851. Committee on Environment and Natural Resources. A Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1727. Waddell. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1921. Lechowicz. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Office of State Treasurer. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1546. Kornowicz. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Unemployment Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1547. Kornowicz. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Reading of the Journal."

Clerk O'Brien: "Monday, April 26, 1976. 130th Legislative Day. The House met pursuant....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that we dispense with the reading of Journals 130 and 131 and approve them as printed."

Speaker Redmond: "You've the Gentleman's move that the Journal 130 and 131 be dispensed with. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Motion carries. We have three Bills on First Reading, that so far we don't see any House Sponsors. Representative Shea, do you know anything about House Bill 1921? What two did you read? 1713. Representative.... Senators McCarthy and McBloom in the Senate. Anyone know anything about those in the House? The Electronics Funds Transfer System. Representative Kelly. Representative Kelly, Mr. Clerk. He'll take 1713."



MAY 5 1976

5.

Clerk O'Brien: "Senate Bill 1713. Kelly. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Electronic Funds Transfer Study Commission. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 3343. Oh, pardon me. House Bills, Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, appears House Bill 3379. Representative Getty."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3379."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor. 3380."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3380. Stubblefield."

Speaker Redmond: "Out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor. I understand that 3406, 3408 and 3409 are to be held. Is that correct? Well, hold those. 3820 will be held at the request of the Sponsor. 3822."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 3822. Eugene Barnes. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to certain governmental agencies. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative E. M. Barnes."

Clerk Selcke: "Two Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends House Bill 3822 by deleting all of Sections 4 and 5 and inserting in lieu thereof the following: Section 4 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "E. M. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment that was offered by Representative Ryan, so he has the explanation."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendments makes the reduction of \$177,100 for the Department. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill 3822. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Motion carries. The Amendment's



MAY 5 1976

6.

adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Amends House Bill 3822. Section 6, by deleting all the Section and inserting in lieu the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Amendment #2 is the Section 6 for the Illinois Industrial Pollution Finance Authority. This Amendment reduces the operation by \$1,000 and breaks out the lump sum requested by the item. This ah..... is a Committee Amendment that was adopted in Committee, Appropriations II. I would move its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Amends House Bill 3822, Section 7, by deleting all of Section 7 and inserting in lieu the following and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative E. M. Barnes."

Barnes: "Amendment #3 was adopted in Committee. It's a Committee Amendment. This Amendment reduces operations by \$11,100. It was a Committee Amendment and I would move for this adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Any discussion? Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #3. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #4. Amends House Bill 3822 on page 1, line 10 and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment reduces operations by \$177,100 and it breaks out the laboratory services and the EDP line items. This was also a Committee Amendment and I would move for its adoption, Mr. Speaker."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman's moved for the adoption of Amendment #4. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendment #5. Amends House Bill 3022 as amended by deleting travel and so forth."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment reduces the travel line item of the Division of Water Pollution Control by \$8,000. It is a Committee Amendment and I would move for its adoption."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #5. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Any further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Committee Amendments 6 and 7 were Tabled in Committee. Committee Amendment #8 amends House Bill 3822 on page 5, excuse me, on page 4 by inserting immediately after line 2 a new Section 2 as follows: Section....."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative E. M. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. This is a new section 201. This Amendment would appropriate \$1,471,000 in Federal funds, totally Federal funds and ah..... Section 2 would appropriate Federal monies from the to the Agency to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for the purposes forstated in Section 201. I would move for the adoption of Amendment #8."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise in opposition to this Amendment. It's a very important Amendment and I certainly hope that everybody here is listening. This Section adds to this Bill, this new Section, any.... for the consideration of any further monies that the Agency may receive that can be spent without any consideration



MAY 5 1976

8.

by this Body. It's an open ended contract by the Agency to expend any further Federal funds that they get. We have never done this in the past and I see no reason to start this procedure today, so I recommend that Amendment #8 be defeated."

Speaker Redmond: "Any further discussion. Representative Barnes to close."

Barnes: "Yes, well, Mr. Speaker, this is all totally Federal funds. The purpose of these funds, as was presented to us in the Committee. What it does is appropriate for the Division of Water Pollution Control to meet the State obligations as specified in the new public.... new section of public law 95.-500 and what happens in effect here is that for a water waste treatment management program, that is totally funded by the Federal government and this is the Illinois share of the 50 states and what in effect this Amendment would do is to insure that we will get that amount of money to develop programs in Illinois for water waste treatment programs. That's the net effect of that Amendment. The one portion that says in effect, if there's additional funds forthcoming that..... if there are additional funds forthcoming that the Department would be allowed to use it. That is the only thing this Amendment does and I would suggest that we would adopt this Amendment. Otherwise, we would lose these valuable funds coming to us for the purpose that would be helpful to the health and safety to the citizens of Illinois."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we have no objection to that portion of the Amendment that Representative Barnes explained. In the Committee hearing, we tried to split that out. Our objections are to money that may be forthcoming that this Department is not going to have to come back for and ask permission to spend. It's an open ended



MAY 5 1978

9.

contract in the second part, in Section 2.02 of this Amendment and it's certainly a bad procedure to get started and I would certainly hope that we would defeat this Amendment."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Ah....."

Speaker Redmond: "Will you give the Gentleman order, please?"

Barnes: "Mr. Speaker, I think there should be a little attention here, because I don't think that Representative Ryan and I are disagreeing by very much. In fact, I believe it is true that when the Director, Mr. Briceland, came before our Committee with this Amendment, he stated that it was his intentions at all times when Federal funds came to his agency that he would come before the Appropriations Committee to request the usage of those funds as he had done in this Amendment and I think that we must take Doctor Briceland on his word, because he has always kept his word with the Committee and has always come to us prior to expending any of the Federal funds that he received for any purpose. So I would again reiterate and move the adoption of Amendment #8 to House Bill 3822."

Speaker Redmond: "Question's on the adoption of the Amendment. Those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Chair is unable to determine. Those in favor of the adoption of the Amendment, vote 'aye'. Opposed vote 'no'. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I have Amendment #9. We want to correct it. Representative Barnes indicates to me that he agrees with my Amendment #9 and so I now have no objection to Amendment #8."

Speaker Redmond: "Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the Record. On this question, 86 'ayes' and 36 'nos' and the Amendment's adopted. I understand that there are three more Amendments. Is that right, Mr. Clerk,



MAY 5 1975

it has not yet been printed? We will have to take this out of the Record and await the printing of those. Is #9 printed? It's not printed yet. The Chair would like to announce that I'm not really too sure whether I received this honorarium from Representative Palmer, because he read the results of the Texas and the Indiana Primary, but whatever the reason, he sent me some peanuts and he says they're from Plains, Georgia and suggested that any Republican that wanted to repent should come up and have some of these peanuts from Plains, Georgia. House Bills, Third Reading. Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd just like to comment that I also have some wine from California over here for those Republicans who want to repent."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Totten has advised me that Governor Brown has sent him some wine from California. House Bills, Third Reading. 3212."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3212. Chapman."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Chapman. Do you want to use Representative Walsh's microphone. This is like the miracle of the loaves and the fishes. Representative Chapman is in the middle aisle and her crutches are over here. Use Representative Shea's microphone."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3212. A Bill for an Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Aging. Third Reading of the Bill."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, you may recall this Bill that we took out of the Record last week. It appropriates over \$1,000,000 in Federal money to the Department of Aging, for their Job Opportunities Program under Title 10. It is providing that 3,000 jobs for Senior Citizens throughout our State and these jobs are being, the employment is by the area aging areas..... no pardon me, I got that mixed up. If you remember, our problems was ah..... that the question



MAY 5 1976

11.

arose as to whether these People fell under unemployment or not and at Mr. Polk's request, we took this out of the Record. The Department of Labor has provided us with information that is in response to that request and if I understand correctly, Mr. Polk is now able to support the Bill. Mr. Speaker, could you call on Mr. Polk so that he could indicate whether I understand his position accurately?"

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I did appreciate it when Mrs. Chapman took the Bill out of the Record. I believe and I believe she concurs that our questions were astute in as much as it took the Department quite some time to come out with a final determination whether or not these People were to be considered, that this was to be considered as employment. It turns out that this is contractual. They would not be eligible for unemployment compensation as formal state employees, so the question that was before us has been answered."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate very much your support for House Bill 3212, which provides over 300 jobs for senior citizens."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, do you seek recognition on this Bill, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to one question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will."

Lechowicz: "When can we anticipate this Title 10 money?"

Chapman: "It is already available to us, Sir."

Lechowicz: "How much?"

Chapman: "\$1,195,932. The Legislation was introduced last November when the Federal government made it available to us."

Lechowicz: "So all we have to do is pass the Bill, sign it



MAY 5 1976

12.

into law and the Bill will be transferred into an account."

Chapman: "Ah... my understanding is that... that this has already occurred."

Lechowicz: "Well the only thing is this money must be appropriated by the Federal Department of Aging by December 31, 1975 and that's... I just wanted to know if that's been done or not."

Chapman: "Ah... Comptroller Lindberg has setup a special account in order to get this ah... project ah... going."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ah... Ladies and Gentlemen. Representative Chapman, ah... will you yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "She indicates she will, Sir."

Ryan: "I didn't hear the ah... question by Representative Lechowicz, how much of this money is already been spent, Representative Chapman?"

Chapman: "Ah... I can not give you the exact amount but...."

Ryan: "90% of it?"

Chapman: "Ah... I would think ah... not, but it is to be spent by ah... June ah... 30th, 1975, so I ah... assume that there has been a substantial amount spent ah... through this special account which the Comptroller ah... set up in order that the... the funds could be utilized. The legislation was introduced last November and we have moved this along as quickly as we could."

Ryan: "Well, Representative ah... Chapman ah... I am kinda doubtful as to why you even bother to take the time of this Chamber... to ah... get the stamp of approval on this money when it's already being spent. I think you... the Department on Aging and the Comptroller should probably work and they wouldn't even need us



MAY 5 1913

13.

on this Bill. I can't imagine why... why we're even brothering with it here today, they have already spent the money, or they are spending it. Why do we have to appropriate it, what is the reason for that can you tell me?"

Chapman: "You... you may recall, Mr. Rayan that we discussed this at length in Appropriations II and then last week it had a very full discussion on the floor of... of this House... it is..."

Speaker Shea: "Miss Chapman, would you hold on... I don't know if anybody can hear you but, I can't hear you up here... Would the Members please be in their seats and would those people who are not entitled to the floor of the House, please remove themselves and would the Doorkeepers please make sure that the people that are on the floor of the House are entitled to that privilege. Now, Miss Chapman would proceed, please."

Chapman: "All right, in trying to respond to the Gentlemans question. Ah... I... I think this... this is certainly ah... a matter which legislators could be expected to ah... ask, it is one way of the legislature being able to get a handle on Federal monies which is flowing into the State corporates, that in... in this way we are aware of the funds, otherwise if we ah... did not appropriate the money we might find that there was considerable Federal dollars that were being spent in our State that this legislature ah... had no knowledge of."

Ryan: "Representative Chapman, that's my point exactly ah... this money has already been spent, I don't see how our approvals will make... give us a handle on this. They have spent this money without... without even having to come to this General Assembly, they have already gone ahead and started to spend this money



MAY 7 1973

14.

and I just ah... I not opposed to the Bill, it just kinda baffles me to think that we have to take the time and the effort and the expense to run this through this Chamber and then over to the Senate, when the money will probably be spent by the time it gets to the Senate, or before it gets to the Governors desk..."

Chapman: "You may be..."

Ryan: "I just wanted to point that out to the Membership ah... Mr. Speaker, I think that it is important ah... this money has already been or will be, probably spent before the Bill reaches the Governors desk."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, will the Lady yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "She indicates, she will, Sir."

Lauer: "Mrs. Chapman, is this ah... the Bill that you presented to the Appropriations ah... Committee in Chicago? That had to do with the employing Senior Citizens as fully funded...."

Chapman: "That was.... yes, sir, that was the first time that there was a hearing on that measure."

Lauer: "Ah... Thank you. Mr. Speaker, if I may speak to the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Lauer: "This... this is one of those Bills that I find myself very much of two minds, I'm in favor of the concept to the Bill except once again we find ourselves in that situation where Federal Funds are used to start a program, this is a clause in this program for Federal Funding and it will die at the end of this year. Once we set the program up, though, my question is... and I think each Member of this General Assembly should ask himself, is this a program that the State can afford to fund and carry on after the Federal Funding is pulled out. This brings about to me ambivalence in... our



MAY 5 1976

15.

situation because I think it is a good program, it does employ Senior Citizens but I, really question rather or not the State can afford, at this point, to put in new programs... pay for the in the future or... would it be better ah... to disappoint our Senior Citizens now and simply say, this is one of those gifts we can not afford to accept. Ladies and Gentleman of the House, make up your own mind but, do be aware of the sole financial implications of the Bill. Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman to close."

Chapman: "Ah... testimony at the hearings on this measure brought forth the fact that these were temporary jobs, that individuals being employed accepted them ah... knowing that they were temporary jobs, however, this additional money can be very important to an elderly citizen, this is one way that we can speak to the problem of unemployment in our State and also show our support for our Senior Citizens by providing these Federal Funds... no State money... no State money at all will be spent, so that 334 jobs will be available for Seniors throughout our State. I ask for your support."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman moves that the House do concur in Senate Amendment #1, to House Bill.... oh, no this isn't that.... it's Third Reading, pardon me. The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman moves that the House do pass House Bill 3212, the question is shall House Bill 3212, pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Vote Shea, 'aye', please. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Leverenz, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Kempiners, 'aye'. Ewell, 'aye'. Might I ask the Members if they please won't use their switches and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 5 1976

16.

vote from their seats. We are now taking the Roll Calls electronically and it marks and we print from those Roll Calls and every time that we put on an additional Member, it means much additional hand work for the Clerk, so I would ask the cooperation of the Body. Have all voted who wished? Take the record, please. On this question there are 129 'ayes', 24 'nays' 10 Members voting 'present' and House Bill 3212, is hereby declared passed. On the order of Third Reading... Mr. Barnes, what about 3820 are we holding that... or... you want to hold that, Sir? Mr. Washington, you don't want to move your Bill, do you? Okay, Mr. Davis on 3585, is Mr. Davis on? You want to call that Bill, Mr. Davis? Turn the Assistant Majority Leader, Mr. Davis on."

Clerk Selcke: "ah... House Bill 3385, an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Fair Employment Practice Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a regular appropriation, Fair Employment Practice Committee... for the amount... total amount from General Revenue Fund is hundred eighty-four thousand, two hundred dollars. Special projects, is a hundred, eighty-two, five.... I appreciate the votes of everyone in the House, if there are any questions I would be glad to respond, this is a regular appropriation for FEPC."

Speaker Shea: "All right, are you ah... through, Mr. Davis?"

Davis: "Yes, if there...."

Speaker Shea: "There are going to be some gentlemen... questions. The Gentleman from Cook... or from Winnebago, the Minority Whip, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Would the Gentleman yield? Ah... Representative Davis."

Day



MAY 5 1976

Davis: "Yes."

Simms: "Could you ah... give to us ah... the figure of what the appropriation was for the last ah... FY 76 and what the increase or decrease would be ah... over ah... for the new ah..."

Davis: "About fifty-seven thousand dollars."

Simms: "Fifty-seven thousand dollars increase, am I correct."

Davis: "Yeah."

Simms: "Fifty-seven thousand dollars increase..."

Davis: "Ah... yes. Fifty-seven.... fifty-seven, one, forty-six."

Simms: "Okay, one further question ah... based on the projections, will the Department ah... use ah... the full expenditure for FY 76 as ah... appropriated by the General Assembly, will there be any lapsed ah... money?"

Davis: "Ah... no, very little if any, because..."

Simms: "Okay."

Davis: "The Federal Government is after us..."

Simms: "Okay, fine."

Davis: "Spending too much of that money now."

Simms: "Okay, thank you very much."

Speaker Shea: "Are there any further questions? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates, he will."

Davis: "Yes, Sir."

Schlickman: "How many new positions?"

Davis: "Three."

Schlickman: "Thank you. And, what are those positions?"

Davis: "A Deputy Director, an account and Public Education Officer. The ah... you want to go to salaries?"

Schlickman: "Would you mind, advising us as to the salaries that will be paid to each of these new officers."

Davis: "Yes, I've had the Director here and I'll tell you



MAY 5 1973
18.

what it is... just a minute I have it here.... Just a minute, I have it... I had the whole thing here.... oh yeah, here it is ah... Public Relations is eighteen thousand, two hundred, the Bookkeeper with the Federal Government is demanding that we have will be thirteen... the salary will be thirteen thousand, two hundred and Deputy Director will be twenty-four thousand."

Schlickman: "May I ask a few more questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Schlickman: "Thank you. How many Deputy Directors does this Agency now have."

Davis: "It doesn't have any Deputy Directors."

Schlickman: "None."

Davis: "None, Deputy Director."

Schlickman: "Okay, what will the Director be doing ah... since he...."

Davis: "Ah...."

Schlickman: "Excuse me, what will he be doing...."

Davis: "Let me say that ah... "

Speaker Shea: "Gentleman.... Gentleman, please Mr. Davis, Mr. Schlickman..."

Davis: "Let me say, the extra added responsibility as you know in 71, we amended the Bill, to ah... include ah... discrimination because of sex and of 43% of the cases now ah... are mostly women who are being discrimated against because of sex. Now, the duties would carry him all over the State of Illinois, is just... from the backlog of cases, it's just too many cases for one man to cover... one man to cover and let me say this... ah.... one of my friends was over here from the other side of the aisle...."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Davis, please you can answer his questions but not discuss the Bill. Do you have any further questions, Sir."



MAY 5 1976

19.

Davis: "It has to go all over the State, more than four hundred miles he has to cover by himself, that's why we need somebody else. We needed... somebody here in Springfield, in this office here."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. ah... Sir. May I address myself to the Bill, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Shea: "Proceed, Sir."

Schlickman: "Will Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. It would just seem to me that during this period when State Revenue are scarcest that the funding of the administrative overhead positions, such as a public information officer appear to be a deferrable luxury and on account of... the present plight the State if facing financially not having the money, the Revenues to meet the ah... appropriations that are being sought I would respectfully suggest that we vote 'no' to this Bill can be prevented from passage and brought back to Second Reading for amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "This House passed ah... the equal rights Amendment by about 110 votes or more, last year and even the opponents stood up and argued, well I'm in favor of equal rights but... I think we ought to do it through the State Law. There is only one agency in this State that has the assemblance of capability of dealing with the problem of equal pay for equal work and it's the Fair Employment Practice Commission. Now, I have some questions about the additional fifty-six thousand dollars or so, one of which is.... why on earth an accountant would not be a coded employee, why would it be a simple patronage employee... that I can not understand. Ah... I also question the... the Sponsors apparent unwillingness to ask for an additional one point six million for the back log of next year of



MAY 5 1976 20.

frustrated women wanting to get paid, what they're worth, will not be... longer than it is today and certainly if anything this budget is too small, it is not too large."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis... Mr. Caldwell, do you want to talk on this issue, Sir? Turn Mr. Caldwell on."

Caldwell: "Ah... merely to say, Mr. Speaker, that I rise in favor of this motion ah... I want to emphasize the fact that this is the one agency in the State that has this type of responsibility and I would suggest that, almost every Code Department, every Agency not only has Directors it has more than one Deputy Director. They have Public Information Officers and I would suggest that we not ah... get hung up on the fact that this FEPC budget is probably about one-third funded as it should be, if we were going to get the job done. I would suggest that all of us vote 'aye' on this measure."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis to close."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is one non-partisan Commission so my friend was concerned about whether it was a political mic... Commission. To begin with the... the distinguish Director of this FEPC, is Mel Jordan... who works for the Chamber of Commerce and came to us from the Chamber of Commerce, I know not what his politics is... but I know of ah... many years he was with the Chamber of Commerce. Ah... the Republican Members ah... too, I know Mr. ah... Tom McCracken is from ah... I think he is from Geneva, Illinois that's... that's two of them it has to be under the law, it must... it's two Republicans and three Democrats, it is really non partisan. The only difference was, that ah... they asked for the stupendous amount, the awesome amount.... the huge



MAY 5 1978

21.

amount of fifty-seven thousand dollars and of course it was amended out of the Bill and I asked that it be put back, because the man must go all over this State he must travel four hundred miles or more and he certainly needs some help if there was ever any help. Now... finally let me say this, we're going to do a lot of talking here about... Public Aid, you know that many of these young youths reach the age of majority without ever having a job. If they ever was a Bill that says, give us a chance instead of charity, this is it... give us a chance instead of charity.... that's is exactly what this Bill says and I ask you... won't you kindly consider... voting 'aye' for this non-partisan Bill ah... as one of the distinguish speakers on that side of the aisle said, this non-partisan... under funding... it's underfunded Bills, we realize ah.... the ah... amount of money that is being asked for here in the State, if anything it's under funded. I would appreciate your vote and your support."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 3385 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Shea, 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Take.... take the record. On this question there are 121 'ayes', 21 'nays', 77 Members voting 'present', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. House Bills, Second Reading. Miss Geo-Karis, votes 'aye' on the last measure. On the order of House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 3822. Mr. Clerk, where are we on that, we've got 8 Amendments taken care of, we're on Amendment #9."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah... Amendment #9, Ryan. Amend House Bill 3822, as amended by deleting Section 2.02."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, the Minority



spokesman, for Appropriations, Mr. Ryan. Turn him on."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, ah... Amendment #9, is what I was concerned with ah... in Amendment #8, that Representative Barnes handled a few minutes ago on this Bill. Ah... this is ah... will eliminate that Section of ah... Representative Barnes Amendment that gives the EPA an open ended contract to spend Federal Funds ah... anyway they feel that they should spend them, and Representative Barnes, as I understand it is in agreement with me and this will delete that Section. This similar to the weasel clause with which ah... this has simply eliminated the in most Appropriation Bill and I would ask for the adoption of Amendment #9, to House Bill 3822."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Bar... ah... Mr. Ryan, moves for the adoption of Amendment #9. On the Amendment, is there any discussion? All those in favor of the Gentlemans motion to adopt Amendment #9, will say 'aye', those opposed say 'nay', in the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #10, Deavers/Lauer, amends House Bill 3822, as amended in Section 1, by deleting Division of Land and Noise Pollution Control and so forth."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Amendment #10, to House Bill 3822, deletes ah... three hundred and fifty-nine thousand dollars from the total in the land and ah... noise pollution control segment of the Bill. Now, the ah... purpose in deleting this is to slow up the operation of the noise and pollution control section, because the noise pollution control section has proved itself to be a rather obnoxious interfering agency in this State. It interferes with auto and sport racing, it ah... takes



MAY 5 1976

23.

unto itself such magnanimous and tremendous undertaking as putting car wash... auto matic car wash operations out of business, it harrasses country elevators and we have the noise pollution control section guilty of expost facto situation of having an industry that has been established for a considerable period of time and then somebody buys the property close by, builds a home on it or something else, then starts complaining about the noise. They were fully aware of the fact that the noise was there when they brought the property. It would also save ah... considerable amount of money, three hundred and fifty thousand dollars when we are at a considerably tight financial situation in this State. I think all of us over the period of the last few years have experienced the situation of the Environmental Protection Agency growing like a mushroom, it has expanded, it has grown, it has.... come up by leaps and bounds. In fact, it probably the greatest busy-body in the history of this State, I have heard it likened to a midget in a nudist colony, always running around sticking its nose in everybody's business. The Environmental ah... Protection Agency should be slowed down and I think the best place to ah... start is with the noise and pollution control section and the purpose of Amendment #10, is to do exactly that. I strongly solicit an 'aye' vote on Amendment #10."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer offers Amendment #10, is there any discussion here? Hearing none... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment. It's really legislator over kill and a very inapporriate use of the appropriations process. What the ah... the Amendment was put on in Committee, it was a very close



vote, as I recall, one vote.... and the Sponsors in the Committee indicated that ah... they were reacting to proposed regulations and I emphasize, proposed regulations.... each proposed ah... not by the Environmental Protection Agency at all, but by the pollution control board ah... proposing the regulation of motor vehicle race tracks. Now, I don't have a particular strong feeling on whether the pollution control board should adopt regulations or not.... but, it seems to me to make absolutely no sense... to ah... take away all the appropriation for an operating division of the EPA, because the pollution control board are completely separate agencies, it's proposing regulations on noise control. Now, as the ah... Representatives of EPA testified before the Committee, this division presently doesn't spend any of its time regulating automobile racing noise because it's not subject to regulation under any existing regulation. But, they do spend their time doing ah... I'm happy that they do, is responding to complaints from owners of residential property about noise which.... interferes with their enjoyment of their property construction.... noise from construction sites noise from ah... ah... commercial or industrial site ah... in most of what they spend their time doing is helping homeowners protect and enjoy their own property. Ah... again this is really legislative over kill, it is not an appropriate use of the appropriations process and there is pending on the Calendar, a motion to discharge Senate Bill 193, which would specifically prohibit the Board from adopting regulations to regulate noise at automobile race tracks. If you feel strongly about that issue, that's the ah... Bill that you ought to be supporting and not voting for this Amendment. I strongly urge a 'no' vote."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 5 1976

25.

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from ah... Joe Davies... or no, Stephenson, Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will, Sir."

Rigney: "Now, Mr. Lauer, can you tell is that the entire appropriation ah... for this one division of EPA?"

Lauer: "No, it is not the entire appropriation... it... it represents the amount of money ah... that would be spent by the Noise Pollution Control Section of the ah... land and noise control division. The land control division ah... is left alone."

Rigney: "No, but I mean as far any noise regulation activity, are you taking out the entire appropriation in that area?"

Lauer: "The amount of... of money is equal to the entire amount, however, I would like to point out... that we have not changed the substantially legislation, rather we are in the situation of cutting down the funding in order to slow up the operation. I would like to point out to the Gentleman from ah... Stephenson, that in many cases the Noise Pollution Control Section has come into a bussiness and said, you're making too much noise, they say okay... ah... how do we cut it down and the Pollution... Noise Pollution Control Board say, we don't know, that's your problem... we're not even sure that the technology exist... to cut it down, but you're going to have to cut it down anyhow or go out of business."

Rigney: "Do you know in how many areas they are now regulating ah... ah... noise ah..."

Lauer: "All over the State ah... Mr. Rigney."

Rigney: "Well, they haven't developed Noise Control Standards in all areas yet, but I mean you mentioned motorcycle racing and grain elevators and so forth, there is a lot of these things that they don't even have regulations



MAY 5 1976

26.

on yet, right?"

Lauer: "They may not have regulations Mr. Rigney, but ah... the grain elevators ah... the automatic car washes are being harrassed and... and they are being threatened with being put out of business. It has happened ah... all over my district ah... I think you probably ah... being a farmer, you have probably read of the Walten Green ah... co-operative ah..case and this is a case not only of particulate ah... pollution but also of noise pollution when they turn on the grain dryers ah... in the ah... fall of the year."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Pierce, the Chairman of the Environmental Committee."

Pierce: "Ah... thank you, for welcoming me to Cook County ah... Mr. Speaker but, I'm still a little bit over the line in Lake. I don't know what I would do in Cook."

Speaker Shea: "Well the, I will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes or should I just say the Gentleman from Lake, Sir."

Pierce: "I just lost this area. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think this ah... Amendment is bad government... this Amendment is trying to cure a wrong to an appropriation process by removing the appropriation for a ah... Department of State Government and not addressing itself to the Act where the abus is supposedly exist. It's bad government, if there is something wrong in the Pollution Control ah... system or the Environmental Protection Act, then Amendment of that Act should be introduced, I think that was done in the Senate and we have Senate Bill 193, Tabled or floating around here somewhere and Mr. Hart has a motion, I don't know if that has been pointed out by the Gentleman from Evanston, Mr. Lundy. It's wrong to try to obtain an objective and a punish a regulator



by removing the funding of that type of regulation. That's not the way that we should legislate in this Body, that's an abuse and a bad abuse to the Appropriation process for a substantive purpose of not determining what money is needed to do something but by saying the legislator said that we should regulate the noise the courts have agreed that we regulate noise, but now we in the appropriation processes are not going to appropriate the money to do it. Let's come forward... straight forwardly and see what is being done wrong... in the field of noise regulation, noise pollution. There are many many people in this country who have lost their hearing, who are really deaf because of noise pollution, either on a job in a factory ah... in cities, rock concerts and so on and so forth. And, certainly there should be some regulation of noise, if that authority is being abused by the EPA, then let us come in with a Bill to restrict the regulating noise. But, let's not knock all the funds out from that Department of the EPA, which regulates noise because we don't like what they are doing to a grain elevator in our districts... that is over kill and it is certainly my opinion, the wrong way to approach a serious problem and I don't see how anyone who is interested in abating the Noise Pollution and believe me, we have it right here in this Chamber... but the noise pollution in our State, by taking all funds away from the Agency that we... have charged with regulating noise, remember the Noise Pollution Regulations of this State tend to rise... tend to rise out of a vacuum, this General Assembly before either of these Gentlemen were here, passed a Environmentalist Protection Act and Governor Ogilvie, signed it in 1970 and if we are going to amend that Act then let's amend the Act, but let's not pull the rug out by playing games with appro-



MAY 5 1976

28.

priations. I urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Madigan, in the Chair."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I arise in support of this Amendment, I think that Representative Lauer and Deavers are responding to the needs of their constituency. Without question this Agency has been very unrealistic... dealing with many individuals today of the free enterprise market. I'm informed that the new regulations pertaining to grain drying regulations were reduced by 40% the capacity next year which will be required to complete their type of use, at the same time these Agencies have imposed a very undo and unrealistic type of regulation in such business as the foundry business and other types. Representative Lauer, indicated... or another one in debate, indicated the problems resulting from those in the motor car industry racing... this is just a small part of it, but this Agency is not responding to the needs of the people of the State of Illinois, they are not responding to the Illinois General Assembly that is reflective of the people of the State of Illinois. And, the only way you can impress upon these people... that you have to live with realism and have to live with common sense, its by through the use of the appropriation process, and I think both of the Sponsors of this legislation are responding to the needs of their constituency... not to some environmentalist that's reading something out of hypothetical book that's not dealing with realities of our times. In order to bring this Agency into realism and the necessities of our own constituency, I think this Amendment deserves support."



MAY 5 1976

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Deavers."

Deavers: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think if you go back to Representative Pierce's statement on noise control in factory settings and situations, this Bill awed the State EPA Noise Pollution Section has nothing to do with that whatsoever under this Section and that is carried out under OSHA's standards and the whole thing and so I think his description there was totally inaccurate and misconceived. When we looked at what's going on with the Noise Pollution Control Section, their big deal is going around and closing down a car wash. They don't worry about the economic impact. They're not worrying about the traffic going up and down the highway and you talk about a case of a bunch of people who are on a payroll and trying to justify the fact that they're someplace and have to be someplace, maybe we ought to send them down and put them on Walker's staff and let them campaign for him, but they should be killed and whether or not we do it under this Bill or another Bill, and you'll find me voting to kill them at every turn that I can."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. The question is, shall the main question now be put. All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The 'ayes' have it and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from McLean, Mr. Lauer.... The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, to close the debate."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it is important that we slow down the burdening growth of the Environmental Protection Agency and it seems to me that this is the best place to do it. If Mr. Pierce wants to introduce legislation to knock



MAY 5 1976

30.

out the noise pollution control section, I will be delighted to support it. He calls this a perversion of the Appropriations process; bad government. Ladies and Gentlemen, down on the farm, when we want to talk to a mule, first we have to get his attention and the best way to do that is hit him between the ears with a two by four. Now the best way to talk to a bureaucrat and get his attention is to bite him in the left hip pocket and by cutting the appropriation that is exactly what I propose to do. We have to get these clowns to talk with us. We have a bunch of punk engineers 24, 25, 26 years old, who have never had to meet a payroll. They've never lost a buck. Their theoretical knowledge is fantastic, but their stupidity is absolutely appalling and they are totally out of touch with reality. One of the reasons we are here, I would suggest, on the allegation that this was passed before Mr. Deavers and I came into this House, one of the reasons that we are here is because of the need of our constituents to have us come in and attempt to rectify the mistakes of the previous General Assembly. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I strongly solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Amendment #10 to House Bill 3822 be adopted. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'." The Chair recognizes the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I notice that the EPA now has a budget of approximately \$340,000,000. It's bursting out at the seams. There is no doubt, when this was first instituted, there was a decided lack of expertise and many small businesses and people were hurt unjustly and unduly. Now, with this Noise Pollution business, there is no reason why any municipality



or any county cannot have a nuisance ordinance and stop the noise pollution on their own, without cutting into a lot of taxpayers money. There is absolutely no reason why we should spread out without any common sense and this is the whole trouble. The EPA in my district is going to allow a landfill in choice residential area which was Tabled and lost by 18 to 1 votes of the County. They were against it. The municipalities around it were against it. EPA tells us, well landfill isn't so bad. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, I do vote 'yes' for this Amendment. It's high time we put some common sense into EPA."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Ah..... Mr. Speaker, not to explain it. My red button is not working and I would like to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Board is you're recorded as 'no', Mr. Mann. Mr. Mann's light is broken. Ah.... The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, to explain his vote."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think somebody on this side of the aisle ought to say something good about this particular Division. I've got a problem in my District, with a mine or a quarry, that's blasting right next to some neighbors home and doing considerable amount of damage and let me tell you, for ten years these neighbors have been complaining to various governmental agencies and nobody has done a damn thing. I got in touch with this Division and believe me, they came out. They spent a week trying to find out what information they could. They've written a report. They are meeting with the industry officials and as far as I'm concerned, they are trying to do a job; not just



MAY 5 1976

32.

for my constituents but for the industry involved, trying to come up with some rational solution to the problem. The EPA makes mistakes. That's granted and I know some of them, but I also know that I've worked with this particular Division and it upsets me to know that when no governmental agency has acted for ten years, when one does come in and tries to do a responsible job, they are being cut from underneath my feet, when it is doing that responsible job and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, to explain his vote."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, it would seem to me that if we adopt this Amendment, we'd be doing a great dis-service to all of the People of the State of Illinois, including the farm people. Now I suppose that there are not many here that are more farm people than I am. I've had many, many dozens of letters and phone calls from People in small communities who've lived next to grain elevators and who have lived there for many, many years. The elevators ~~then~~ come in and install this very noisy and dirty grain drying equipment, which makes the value of their home go down to more than nothing and in effect is taking their property without giving them any money for it. This, and for many other reasons, I believe that we should not now try to undo what this Agency has made many giant steps forward in trying to attain for those people in the State of Illinois who cannot alone protect themselves. I think we should vote 'no' on this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the Record. On this question, there are 70 'ayes', 90 'nos', none voting 'present'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Lauer: "I'd like a poll of the absentees and a confirmation of the negative Roll Call."

Speaker Madigan: "The Clerk will poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Capuzi. Choate. Craig. Hart. Emil Jones. Kosinski. Lucco. Macdonald. Mulcahey. Sangmeister. Tipsword. VanDuyne. VonBoeckman. Wall. Washburn. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "For what purpose does the Lady from cook, Mrs. Macdonald, arise?"

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am refraining from voting on this particular issue because it might be construed as a conflict of interest. My husband sells noise pollution equipment."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I'll withdraw my request for a verification."

Speaker Madigan: "Before we do that, Mr. Sangmeister wishes to be recorded as 'no'. On this question, there are 70 'ayes', 91 'nos' and the Amendment fails. Are there further Amendments? The Clerk informs me that there is another Amendment, which has not yet arrived for distribution. On the Order of the Speaker's Table there appears Senate Joint Resolution 65. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich."

Terzich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Joint Resolution 65 deals with our Pension System. As you know, this was previously brought up, whereby we requested an impact statement, regarding any change to our Pension Program. I'm sure all of you have received or have read about the financial problem that we are having with the under-funding of Pension Programs, not only in Chicago, but throughout the United States. Now I have amended the Resolution, whereby any changes affecting any Pension System would require an impact



MAY 5 1976 34.

statement. The wording that I did take was from the fiscal note principle. In other words, any Pension Bill would have to have an impact statement. If this is not provided within 7 days of the request by the Pension Laws Commission, that the Bill can proceed without the Impact Statement. Now, at the present time, the State of Illinois is approximately 6 billion dollars under-funded. These Pension Bills that are appearing before the Members of the Legislature include very complex problems which involve actuarial assumptions and expertise in this matter, and I don't think it's fair for the Members of the Legislature to vote on some Bill that would require, not thousands, but millions of dollars, so the taxpayers of the State of Illinois, without realizing the full impact of what the Legislation is being put before them. Under this situation, I would ask for support of Senate Joint Resolution 65."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Resolution. Is there any discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "If..... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as you all recall, this Resolution came before us once before and had to be postponed. Now it has been amended since then, but I don't think the Amendment makes a bad Resolution any better. All it does is change some wording and says that the impact statement has to be, has to be delivered in a certain period of time. I ask you to consider what you're being asked to do with this Resolution. This would write into the Joint Rules a Rule that would take away the perogatives of the Members of this House and a Stand in Committee of this House by mandating that they go before a Commission that is not even entirely a Legislative Commission and present their Bill and get an Impact Statement from this Commission before they would be allowed to move



MAY 5 1976

their Bill. Now I say that this is a serious infringement upon the rights of the Members of this House. I just don't think that this is the way this should be handled. If there's a crisis in Pensions today, you do not solve it by telling the Members of this House that they cannot, they cannot initiate their prerogatives to which they are entitled just by being Members of this House. We do have a fiscal note Statute. Any Member of this House can ask for a fiscal note on any Bill and one must be provided before a Bill may be moved off Second Reading. This is ample protection along these lines, but please don't strip yourselves of your own prerogatives. Don't advocate your responsibility once again. This Resolution is really a move to tie the hands of every Legislator in this House. I don't see why I or any other Legislator should have to go before a Commission, hand in hand and say to public members, before I move my Bill, I must have your Impact Statement. I don't know why I should have to go before an actuary, who I don't trust in the first place and say I want your Impact Statement before I can move my Bill. I've seen that Actuary and that Commission come out with three and four sets of figures on any one Bill. I respect the Members of the Commission. I do not respect the particular actuary of whom I speak and I say to you, do not surrender what you have to a Commission. Let's hang on to our own prerogatives and our own responsibilities. Let's defeat this very bad Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Yes, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in support of this Resolution and I think that my distinguished Colleague, Representative Collins, is a little bit misinformed in the indicating that you should have to come with your hat in your hand with your



Legislation before the Commission. This couldn't be further from the truth. The idea is that we not only have one, but three actuaries now working for the Commission and the State of Illinois and the purpose of this Resolution is such that anyone who introduces any Legislation whatsoever that the Commission will see that these actuaries give us an Impact Statement so that when those pieces of Legislation do go before the Committee, both of the House and the Senate, that the Committee, if you believe in the Committee System at all, and heaven only knows that many Bills get out of Committee just based on the fact that we don't have the intestinal fortitude to say 'no' and I think that having an Impact Statement with every piece of Legislation as it appears before the Committee, it will enable the Members of that Committee to cast an intelligent vote based on the number of dollars that are involved, especially at a time when the State of Illinois is in the situation that it is with this financial crunch. As Representative Terzich has indicated, there isn't any question that the accrued liability of the Pension System for the State is now over six billion dollars and here we are adding on benefits and passing out this do good legislation for all these systems when the whole foundation is crumbling and if you don't think that we are in a posture where eventually if we continue this, we could see that system go broke, well, you're sadly mistaken and for that, I would really genuinely say that give this a 'yes' vote, as one of the most important proposals that will come before us this spring and I would encourage a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that this is an extremely important Resolution and



I think it ought to be adopted by this House. The Gentleman, Mr. Ebbesen, I think put it very distinctly in the fact that we are in most of the Pension Plans in the State level, at a very low funding and if you start looking at the local funds, you'd find that the unfunded liabilities of many of the municipalities throughout this State is staggering. It is easy for us to sit here and vote for things that we say are for widows, orphans, firemen, policemen, but it's devastating to think that one of these days, the property tax in many of our local communities will have to go up to pay for this unfunded liability and that we in the State level are going to be forced one of these days to start funding the Pension Plans that are more reasonable levels, so I would urge the adoption of the Gentleman's Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question? I understand he's yielding. Just so we all understand the procedure, if any Member of this House wants to introduce a Bill affecting the Pension System, if this is adopted, he would have to request this Impact Statement and then is there a time limit with which that Impact Statement must be provided by the Commission?"

Terzich: "Well, we have ah..... ah..... in the Resolution the same as a fiscal note that they would be required to reply to within seven days. Now this could be sooner, but that would be, seven days would be the maximum."

Deuster: "And then if they don't reply, is my understanding correct that the Committee can proceed to consider the Bill and the Sponsor can move the Bill so they can't stall and obstruct?"



Terzich: "That's correct and what we're very simply are saying is that the Membership should be advised of the fiscal impact of any Pension Bills that are put before the Membership of the House."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, in rising to support this, I think what we ought to take is a good look at what we've done in the past. Constantly, Members have come in with a Bill that in theory will benefit only one unfortunate individual who has suffered a great financial hardship. We open the door to what we technically believe is a limited class of people to be one, two or three and in the name of humanity and in the name of decency, mercy and goodness, we vote out one Bill after another only to find out in the succeeding months that we have appropriated a million or millions and millions of dollars which one day we will have to pay for. I think it is only right and just that the entire Body understand the exact impact of the Bill that they are proposing, because when you sit here and then vote 'aye' for one little widow, one little orphan or one little other unfortunate individual who can't help themselves, we will realize that we are now spending millions and millions of State dollars that we are going to have to fund. If it's your last year. If you're retiring. If you only want to loot the kiddy, sure. But if you're going to be here. You're going to fight legislation. You're going to be responsible, there's only one way to vote on this particular Resolution and that's 'aye'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this



MAY 5 1976

39.

on face appears to be a very responsible proposal. I regret to say in my own judgement, as a person who dealt pretty closely with Pensions the first four years in Springfield, that I have some real apprehension about supporting it. Number one, I recall as a Member of Pensions that the Actuary always came unfailingly and unquenchingly into the Pensions Commission, made his recommendation and ah..... despite that recommendation, the Commission or the Committee rather would many times go contrary to that. What I think we're doing is really stepping on a different kind of prerogative and I think that prerogative belongs to the Committee and to the Members of the Legislative Body. I think any Member can certainly seek out the advice of the School Problems Commission if they're serious in making that as part of their presentation, but I do think it would be an error to make it a requisite that a Bill have an Impact Statement relating to the economic cost of Pensions. Not that I'm not concerned anymore than anyone else is about that proposal, but I do fear that depending upon the makeup of the Commission, depending on party, depending on geography, depending on which axe they may have to grind, it appears to me that the information can be squed to defeat a Bill, so I think that we ought to be diligent as a Body to seek ways to define and reform the Pensions System. This is not even a small step. It seems to me a step that would go backward and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to oppose this matter on the grounds very similar to the last speaker. This is a very dangerous precedent and really infringes upon our Legislative prerogative, and I think if we carry this further - you



know, I heard somebody talk just a little while ago, about the problems of EPA and the matter of impact statements and so forth and we're getting to the day if we follow this procedure, so that we're going to have to have a Pension Impact Statements. We're going to have to have Impact Statements attached to every Bill. We've got staffs that can provide this information. I've been around the Legislature for a long time and I think the courtesies to other Members. They always hold a Bill if there's some information that is necessary for us Members to cast an intelligent vote on a matter, but I think this is a very dangerous route to go. It sets a bad precedent and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I therefore urge you to vote against Senate Joint Resolution 65."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Madigan: "Question is, shall the main question now be put? All those in favor, signify by saying 'aye'. Opposed. The 'ayes' have it and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich, to explain his vote, or to close the debate."

Terzich: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm very, very surprised at the opposition of this very important Resolution, that we on the Pension Committee and also the Pension Laws Commission, a Commission that was set up by the Illinois Legislature to perform a very important function in dealing with our Pension System for the State of Illinois. Now we have a number of dedicated men and women on the Pension Laws Commission as well as the Pension Committee. It's a very, very difficult position to be in when we were inundated with 250 pension requests, requesting over two billion dollars in pension funds. We had more



MAY 5 1975

special interests coming in at our Committees and Commissions then you can shake a stick at. Now we have to bring this under control. We've debated this particular problem for many, many hours, Members of the House and Members of the Commission and the Committee. We find that, I think, that the Legislature, who is charged with the passage of any Pension Bill that the Legislature at the present time has insisted in putting us in the unfunded liability condition that we are presently in; that it is imperative that we, as Members of the House, are informed as to what the impact of a Pension Bill would be. Now unless we are technical experts, of which I am not, and I have to depend upon our Actuary, I think every Member should be aware of what he's voting for. Now there's a statement that just appeared in the paper by the Civics Federation, says that there's no question that the funds are adequate to continue benefit payments for the next four or five years, at least. It says that new benefits, it says but we keep rising benefits and creating new benefits and do nothing about funding them. We are asking that benefits not be raised until we stop and take a look around to devise a rational system for long-term funding of pension before we raise current pension levels or enact any new benefits, and I think that's a very, very sound suggestion and I would suggest that if you want to keep our pension system in a good financial condition that you support the Members of the Commission and the Members of the Pension Committee in voting 'aye' for this Resolution."

Speaker Madigan: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 65. This is an Amendment to the Temporary Joint Rules and therefore requires 107 votes. All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. All those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes



the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh to explain his vote."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker.... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think we have to distinguish what we're doing when we amend the Joint Rules, we were called upon last week to amend the Joint Rules in such a way as to tie our hands in our everyday activities and we in did....indeed did provide Joint Rules for this House and the Senate which last year cause absolute chaos. This year we have not got those deadline rules, but this Joint Rule Amendment is entirely reasonable and indeed as Representative Ebbesen and Terzich have pointed out, is imperative if we're going to keep our pension systems afloat. We of... an obligation of six billion dollars, as Representative Ebbesen has said, that is not funded... this is horrible, now we not, in passing this... forclosing the passage of pension changes in the future. All we're doing is requiring that there be an impact statement and we're doing that by our rules, we're not doing it statutory... as we have with the Fiscal Note Act. The Fiscal Note Act is far more restrictive than this is. This is an entirely reasonable use for the Joint Rules and I urge you... urge you to take this step, we're doing this very coolly and calmly... it's entirely reasonable when were voting on pension Bills we are frequently having those people who would benefit from those changes, breathing down our necks... we don't have a chance in that emotion fraut atomosphere to go into what the fiscal impact is. We commit ourselves and this is our error, we commit ourselves in advance of finding out what the fiscal impact is.... that system has brought us to where we are now, six billion dollars unfundéd deficit and I submit to you that, we ought to change

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 5 1976

43.

this and change it right now. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 118 'ayes', 24 'nos', 14 voting 'present' and the Resolution is adopted. On the order of House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 3822. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah... Amendment #11, Coffey. Amends House Bill 3822, as amended on page 1, by deleting lines 9 through 33, and so forth."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Barnes. The Chair recognizes the ah... the Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Coffey." On the Amendment."

Coffey: "Mr. Chairman, this Amendment on House Bill ah... 3822, amends the further.... amends and further reduces the EPA budget by 1.5 million by holding various line items."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Coffey: "Yes, I would."

Barnes: "Would the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Madigan: "The Sponsor indicates that he will yield."

Barnes: "Representative Coffey, what is the net affect of the overall reduction that is embodied Amendment #11, realitive to the ah... fiscal 1977 ah... the budget of the EPA."

Coffey: "Ah... the total amount of deductions..."

Barnes: "Right, the net affect of the reductions."

Coffey: "It reduces the Amendment...."

Barnes: "I see in the Amendment there is various reductions and I'm trying to find out what is the total reduction in total and what is the net affect. Does it reduce



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 5 1976

44.

a portion of the ah... ah... requested increase of fiscal 77, does it ah... just what's the net affect of it?"

Coffey: "This... this Amendment ah... with the Amendment #4 ah... which has already been attached in Appropriations Committee, ah... makes a total reduction of... of one million, six hundred and seventy-eight thousand dollars ah... if you want... did you want a line item breakdown on that, it's basically... with both Amendments places the ah... EPA budget back to fiscal 1975, budget."

Barnes: "Thank you. May I speak to the Amendment, Mr. Speaker? Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "May I speak to the Amendment, please? Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I hope you clearly understood the answer that I received from my inquiry to the Sponsor of this Amendment. What this Amendment does is, reduce the appropriation of the Statutory Authority Department, the EPA, back to the ah... appropriation of the 1975... fiscal year, not 76... 1975. So, what in affect that we're doing here, if we adopt this Amendment is in essence hand stringing totally... the total of the Environment Protection Agency in this State. Now, it seems to me that ah... this is inconsistent with anything, any of the actions ever taken in the General Assembly in the years that I've been here and to have a reduction Amendment to reduce the appropriation, not to the current fiscal year but, beyond that... back to two years ago, I think is not an action that we would want to take in keeping with ah... the spirit of the law here in the General Assembly. I would urge a 'no' vote on this Amendment to reiterate, this takes the EPA back to the 1975 fiscal, two years



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 5 1976

ago."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there further discussion? Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Coles, Mr. Coffey to close the debate."

Coffey: "Well, first of all, I would like to point out that in Amendment #8, there was an increase of approximately 1.5 million to that particular budget for FY 77, ah... fiscal year. So, they will have additional funds, number 2, in Appropriation Committee, when we heard this Bill Director Briceland stated, that they were over regulated at that time, when I explain that... that some of the problems occurring in my district as well as many of the others ah... of trying to close down industries of... four industries in my district ah... causing problems for the Agriculture Community... causing problems in ah... about every area that you can think of, in my district and I think many of the rest of you ah... realize that. And, I think that the only way that we get their attention is by, slowing them down, not eliminating their budget and this request is not ah... close out anyone of the particular areas ah... for the EPA budget. This only holds that budget back and also as I pointed out earlier, it allows them in Amendment #8, to pick up a million, five hundred thousand in Federal Funds. So, I think with the Director saying that already stating to the Appropriation Committee that they were over regulated and I think that with the... with the economic ah... problems that we have today ah... I think the... we shouldn't close down industry, that we should support them so we can have jobs for our laboring type of people and I think that this is the way that we can do that and come up with another proj... ah... 1.5 million dollars in our General Revenue Fund to keep from having an increase tax.



MAY 5 1976

MAY

46.

And, I would urge you to give a 'aye' vote on this Amendment."

Speaker Madigan: "The question is, shall Amendment #11, to House Bill 3822, be adopted. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye' all those opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster to explain his vote."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I simply make two observations in explaining my 'aye' vote. Well, first of all... all of us who expect to be re-elected and there is going to be next year whether we have a Democratic Governor or a Republican Governor, we going to have a Bill probably presented by our Administration asking us to increase taxes, and we're all going to be screaming and we are all going to look back to these days and wished that maybe we had voted for some Amendment that might cut spending a little bit. Secondly, everybody knows the facts of life that the school teachers are being fired and we're talking about cutting down our schools so that we don't have a full school year and, we gotta choose between our high priority and our low priority items ah... when you ask anybody in my district whether they are for EPA.... if you had a dollar to spend for them or for teaching the children school, they would certainly choose the school. We've got to set our priorities and cut some spending and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Madigan: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 48 'ayes', 80 'nos', 3 voting 'present' and the Amendment fails. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. On the order of motions appears House Bill 3834, the Chair recognizes the



MAY 5 1976

47.

Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "Ah... Mr. Ah... Speaker ah... this may seem like an unusual request for the Chair of a Committee to make, but ah... last week... the Human Resources Committee voted ah... unanimously to request the... the Chair to file a motion to advance House Bill 3834, without reference to the Order of Second Reading. This is because the Bill has had such careful hearings, it is the ah... it amends the Alcoholism and Intoxication Treatment Acts, there were hearings held throughout the State where ah... the public views were heard and ah... Amendments to the Alcoholism Act were developed. The Bill had the strong support of the Committee on the... the motion was made by the Minority Spokes person of the Committee, Mr. Grotberg. Ah... I hope you will provide the 107 votes, so that this well considered Bill and this good Bill will not be sent back to Committee ah... one more time. Please, vote 'yes'."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Polk."

Polk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. I believe this motion was made by... Representative Grotberg, and he had to leave the city and he asked if I would speak in behalf of the... the ah... the ah... Bill has been heard extensively and it was felt that there was no negative votes in relation to the Bill and it was suggested that we move it and I would so concur."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Perry, Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Ah... Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I am having trouble finding the Bill, I can... the last two or three Bills I don't even find anywhere. Are the Bills been distributed, I got the Amendment we just voted on after the Amendment was voted on."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 5 1976

48.

Speaker Madigan: "Will the Republican Pages supply Mr. Dunn with a copy of the Bill."

Dunn: "I just wondered if they had been distributed."

Speaker Madigan: "I'm not sure."

Dunn: "Ah... Amendment #11, we just voted on, I've got it right after I voted against it."

Speaker Madigan: "Fine. Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "The Board is in error, Sir. This is a motion it is not Second Reading and believe this is why Representative Dunn is looking for an Amendment. We are not talking ah... about an Amendment, we are talking about a motion to advance..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Dunn does not have a copy of the Bill, Mrs. Chapman... and neither does Representative Palmer, Representative Leinenweber... do you wish to proceed or do you wish to wait until the Bills come from the Bill Room?"

Chapman: "Is ah... do the rules require that on a motion of ah... type that the actual Bill be in the Bill Book? We... we're not voting on the Bill, we're voting on a motion to ah... advance. The... the... ah... this is the Alcoholism Act..."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Chapman..."

Chapman: "It has been considered extensively.... in Committee hearings."

Speaker Madigan: "Mrs. Chapman, has moved to move this Bill to the order of Second Reading without reference to the Committee because it is a Committee Bill.... and she is asking if we could place this on the order of Second Reading with full knowledge that the Bills are not on the ah... desk of the Members and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh. You object, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "I object."

Speaker Madigan: "Then we will take this out of the record."



MAY 5 1976 49.

On the order of House Bills, Second Reading appears House Bill 3380, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Stubblefield."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3380, a Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Labor. Second Reading of the Bill, ah... Amendment #1, has been adopted previously... Amendment #2, amends House... amends House Bill 3380 as amended in Section 1, and deleting the following and so forth."

Stubblefield: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and... a couple of days ago this Amendment was discussed rather extensively ah... Representative Lechowicz had a question and we ah... pulled it out of the record to give him an opportunity to study the Amendment. I believe his concerns have now been alleviated, very simply ah... this moves some money around from ah... one line item to another ah... by adding money in one place and ah... deleting the same amount in another, creates a position that can make a better service to the Director of Labor and ah... eliminates ah... a situation or enough money to compensate for it, where talking about just switching eighteen thousand, one hundred and forty-four dollars and I would urge an 'aye' vote on the Amendment. It's an Agreed Amendment, incidentally."

Speaker Madigan: "Is there any discussion? There being no discussion, the question is shall Amendment #2, to House Bill 3380, be adopted. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed... the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk O'Brien: "No further Amendments."

Speaker Madigan: "Third Reading. Committee Reports."

Clerk O'Brien: "Representative Ewell, Chairman from the Committee on Counties and Townships which following



Bills were referred, action taken May 5, 1976. Reported the same back with the following recommendations, do pass House Bill 3316, 3332, 3492, do pass as amended House Bill 3137, 3218, 3485, 3486, 3559. Representative Boyle, Chairman from the Committee on Appropriations II, to which the following Bills were referred, action taken May 5, 1976. Reported the same back with the following recommendations, do pass House Bill 3483. Representative Chapman, Chairman from the Committee on Human Resources reports the following Committee Bill for introduction. House Bill 3853, action taken May 5, 1976. Representative Chapman, Chairman from the Committee on Human Resources reports the following Committee Bill for introduction. House Bill 3854, action taken May 5, 1976. Representative Chapman, Chairman from the Committee on Human Resources reports the following Committee Bill for introduction. House Bill 3855, action taken May 5, 1976. Representative Katz, Chairman from the Committee on Judiciary II, reports the following Committee Bills for introduction. House Bill 3856, action taken May 5, 1976."

Speaker Madigan: "Introduction and First Reading."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 3852, Younge. A Bill for an Act to provide for the Metropolitan Exhibition Auditorium Authority in St. Clair County. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3853, Committee on Human Resources, a Bill for an Act to allow the sale of drugs under their generic names by amending Acts named therein. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3854, Committee on Human Resources, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Illinois Clinical, Laboratory Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3855, a Committee on Human Resources, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Nursing Home, Sheltered Care Homes and Homes for the



MAY 5 1978 51.

Homes for the Aged Act. First Reading of the Bill.
House Bill 3856, Committee on Judiciary II, a Bill for
an Act to amend Sections of the Code of Criminal Procedures.
First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 3857, Yourell,
et al. A Bill for an Act to enlarge the corporate
limits of the Metropolitan Sanitary District of Greater
Chicago. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Madigan: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 787, Griesheimer. 788,
Marovitz. 789, McAvoy. 790, Washburn. 791, DiPrima.
793, Schoeberlein. 794, McMaster."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from
Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, 787 Griesheimer, honors Charles Isely
to being elected President of the Illinois Association of
Chamber of Commerce executives. 789 by McAvoy. Honors
Kenneth Peary from receiving Eagle Scout award. 790
by Washburn. Honors the Volunteer Fireman Clarence
"Cloggie" Red, who served the people of Coal City for
forty years. 791 by DiPrima. Honors John Vitacco for
his sh... many years at Elmhurst. 793 by Schoeberlein.
Honors Harvey Sterkel was a great pitcher of baseball
and 794, by McMaster. Honors Lena Donaldson from Knox
County on her 100th birthday and on Resolution 788, I
will defer to Representative Marovitz."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from
Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Marovitz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Resolution 788,
Whereas, our beloved colleague, Representative Arthur
Berman, is today celebrating his 41st Birthday and
whereas, we are not mindful of the fact that Mr. Berman
has sought refuge in the Senate and will henceforth,
beginning with the 80th General Assembly, celebrate
his birthdays in that less than august Chamber; whereas,



it is our intention that this parting birthday shot shall be one of lasting substance and class, whereas, the furtherance of this lofty goal, the accumulated wit and wisdom of the Members of the House has been condensed into noble poetry; and reads as follows:

"A year older, a year wiser
But that's not always true -
For in the case of Senator Berman
You've given us up for something new

We've cradled you like a baby
with 8 years of devotion and play
and now on your turning 41
you reward us by going away

But birthdays are for all the wishes
and warm kind thoughts so pooled
for without you Senator Berman
Our Illinois children might be poorly schooled

You lead us in our caucus
Where we hold our private bouts
And you hold us all together
While we struggle with our doubts

Whereas, you are truly a fine father
And loyal husband to Sony, we know
But your tennis game does consistently lack
And your scoring, we hear, is faithfully low";

Resolved, by the House of Representatives of the 79 General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we congratulate our esteemed colleague, The Honorable Arthur L. Berman, on the occasion of his birthday; that we extend our best wishes for many more years of exemplary services to the State of Illinois; and be it further Resolved, that a suitable copy of this preamble, poem and Resolution be presented, in a sealed container if possible, to the Honorable Arthur L. Berman. Happy Birthday, Senator Berman. Move for the adoption of all Resolutions."

Speaker Madigan: "The motion has... for the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed.... there is a request for a Roll Call. All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. Take the record. On this question there are 1 'aye', 64 'nos' 1 voting 'present' and we have a referendum on Representative Berman."



MAY 5 1978

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. For a minute I thought I was really in trouble because Roscoe was voting green. I want to tell you that ah... I appreciate the Resolution, Billy, and ah... it is always ah... somewhat ah... ah... moving too have ah... a birthday, especially when it is recognized in this ah... dramatic way. But, ah... I have spent eight birthdays in this Chamber, they have been enjoyable ones, I ah... regret that this will be the last one that I will be spending here. I hope that the voters will see fit that the next one will be spent in the Senate but ah... I want to thank each of you for your kind consideration through the years and ah... on my next birthday, I hope to come back here and celebrate also. Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. On the order of motions, appears House Bill 3600, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I seek unanimous approval to suspend the posting requirement under Rule 18 (k), so that ah... House Bill 3600, a Bill for the reorganization of the State Fair Board may be heard in the Committee on Agriculture, tomorrow at 10 A.M."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Richmond has moved to suspend the posting requirements, in order to hear House Bill 3600 in the Committee on Agriculture tomorrow. This motion requires 107 votes, all those in favor signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no'. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker... did... did the Gentleman ah... ask the Minority Leader ah... what his position would be on.... this action."

Richmond: "Who's he?"



Walsh: "You... you didn't do that, I wonder if he would take it out of the record so that he could consult with ah... Representative Washburn."

Speaker Madigan: "We'll take it out of the record in order to allow...."

Walsh: "That's the courtesy thing to do, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Madigan: "Yes, you're correct Mr. Walsh, and Mr. Richmond you can walk across the aisle and talk to Mr. Walsh, right now and this matter will taken...."

Walsh: "No... no... it's Mr. Washburn, that I wanted him to talk with."

Speaker Madigan: "Will you talk to Mr. Richmond?"

Walsh: "Well...."

Speaker Madigan: "Would you please, do that...."

Walsh: "Well, in the absence of Mr. Washburn...."

Speaker Madigan: ".... that courtesy. On the order of announcements. Are there any Committee Chairman? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Again I announce that the Revenue Committee is going to have its ah... meeting again at 8:30 A.M. in Room A-1, at 8:30 sharp. We have a very important package of Bills dealing with the acceleration... ah... matter of collective Revenue and we want everyone who is interested to please be there at 8:30, so we can get the witnesses lined up and the hearing started, because it will be several hours I'm sure before we finish, before we come to a vote on this matter, so, please be there at 8:30 sharp, Room A-1."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You can't tell a Committee Room now days without a program, so I would like to announce the Executive



MAY 5 1976 55.

Committee is being heard, rather than Room 114, tomorrow Room 118, at 1 P.M. tomorrow."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House...."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Shea, we have further announcements. I'm sorry, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The Appropriations Committee ah... I, will meet in Room 114 at 9 o'clock in the morning and Mr. Speaker, we'll be through by 1 o'clock, I'm sure so if the Exect wants to use that room it will be available."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Appropriations II, will be meeting at 10 A.M..... 10 A.M., tomorrow morning, Room 118."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker ah... am I ah... correct to assume then that the House will still be in ah... Session on Friday, for a floor Session?"

Speaker Madigan: "I'm not in a position to answer that question, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "Well, the only reason of my inquiry was ah... for the benefit of the Membership... if we were not going to be here on Friday, I'm sure many of the Members would like to have that knowledge so they can make the necessary arrangements with their Hotel accommodations."

Speaker Madigan: "Possibly Mr. Shea and his motion will explain our plans."

Simms: "Fine, thank you, Mike."



MAY 5 1978

56.

Speaker Madigan: "And ah... Representative Richmond, are we prepared to proceed? Mr. Walsh hasseling you... Death Resolutions."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Resolution 786, Emil Jones, et al. With respect to the memory of Dr. Theodore R. Mason Howard, House Resolution 792, Redmond, et al. Respect to the memory of Mrs. Mary Catherine Freed Spencer."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Giorgi moves for the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed... the 'ayes' have it and the Resolutions are adopted. The House will stand at ease. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Is Rules met.... Committee meeting, in the morning, Mr. Speaker... do you know?"

Speaker Madigan: "My understanding, Mr. Choate is that the Committee will not meet tomorrow. I do not see the Chairman on the floor, but I was at the meeting this morning and he announced that we would not meet tomorrow."

Choate: "That's what my memory was saying that I thought that I heard him make that announcement, but I was not positive."

Speaker Madigan: "I... I heard him say that we would not meet tomorrow. Mr. Katz has returned to the floor and we will approach Mr. Choates to explain his plan. Mr. Katz desires to address the Body... and the Clerk will turn on Mr. Choates microphone."

Katz: "Ah... there was a Rules Committee meeting that had been scheduled for next Tuesday, the Rules Committee met all morning and all yesterday... heard a number of Bills and the Rules Committee was reconvened on the floor of the House, next Tuesday morning at 9 o'clock and I believe this ah.... Madigan, answers your question with regards to the next meeting of the Rules Committee."



Thank you."

Speaker Madigan: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Jackson, Mr. Richmond."

Richmond: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... I withdraw the motion."

Speaker Madigan: "Mr. Richmond withdraws his motion and the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until 4 o'clock tomorrow afternoon. Now, with regards to the question of will we be here Friday, or not... if and I say this, if the Committees dispose of most of their business tomorrow and if we can finish up on the House floor most of the Bills that should be on Third Reading tomorrow, and we can get most of it done and if we can get an agreement from Mr. Walsh about reading in the Bills and getting them on in the perfunctory, perhaps we won't have to be here Friday, but we will know tomorrow afternoon."

Speaker Madigan: "Are you saying, Mr. Shea that it is in Mr. Walsh's hands whether we will meet on Friday? Mr. Shea, has move that we adjourn until 4 P.M. tomorrow. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed... the 'ayes' have it. House stands adjourned."



INDEX
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
MAY 5, 1976

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1	4:00	Speaker	House to Order
2		Rev. Krueger	Prayer
		Speaker	House at ease till 4:30
	4:24	Mulcahey	Intro
	4:30	Speaker	House to order
		O'Brien	Message from Senate
3		Speaker	Committee reports
		O'Brien	
		Speaker	Intro and 1st reading
4		O'Brien	
		Speaker	Senate Bills, 1st reading
		O'Brien	
		Speaker	Reading of Journal
		O'Brien	
		Speaker	
		Shea	Move to dispense with Journal reading
		Speaker	Motion carried
5		Speaker	Senate Bills, 1st reading
		O'Brien	
		Speaker	
		O'Brien	HB 3822, 2nd reading, 8 committee amendments
		Speaker	
	4:40	Barnes, EM	Amendment #1
		Speaker	
		Lechowicz	
6		Speaker	Amendment adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker	
		Barnes, EM	
		Speaker	Amendment adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #3

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
		Speaker	
		Barnes	
		Speaker	Amendment adopted
	4:42	O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Speaker	
		Barnes	
7		Speaker	Amendment adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Speaker	
		Barnes	
		Speaker	Amendment adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #8
		Speaker	
		Barnes	
		Speaker	
8		Ryan	
		Speaker	
		Barnes	To close
		Speaker	
9		Ryan	
	4:47	Speaker	
		Barnes	
		Speaker	Amendment #8
		Ryan	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
9		Speaker	Amendment adopted, take out of record
		Totten	
		Speaker	
		O'Brien	HB 3212, 3rd reading
		Speaker	
		Chapman	
		Shea in Chair	
		Polk	
		Shea	
12		Lechowicz	Yield?
		Chapman	
		Shea	
13	4:55	Ryan	Yield?
		Chapman	
		Shea	Asks for order
		Chapman	
14		Ryan	Discussion
		Shea	
15	4:59	Lauer	Yield?
		Chapman	
		Shea	
		Chapman	To close
16	5:01	Shea	HB 3212 passed
		Fred	HB 3385, 3rd reading
		Shea	
		Davis	
		Shea	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
17	5:06	Simms	Yield?
		Davis	
		Shea	
		Schlickman	Yield?
18-19		Davis	
		Shea	
20	5:10	Skinner	
		Shea	
		Caldwell	
		Shea	
21		Davis	To close
		Shea	HB 3385 passed
		Fred	HB 3822, 2nd reading, amendment #9
22		Speaker	
		Ryan	Amendment #9
		Shea	Amendment adopted
		Fred	Amendment #10
		Shea	
23	5:16	Lauer	Amendment #10
		Shea	
24		Lundy	
25		Shea	
26		Rigney	
		Shea	
27-28	5:25	Pierce	
		Madigan in Chair	
	5:29	Simms	
29		Madigan	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
	5:30	Deavers	
		Madigan	
		Kozubowski	Move previous question
		Madigan	
30	5:30	Lauer	To close
		Madigan	
		Geo-Karis	Explain vote
		Madigan	
	5:35	Mann	Vote no
		Madigan	
31-32		Kempiners	
	5:37	Madigan	
		Stone	Explain vote
		Madigan	
33		Lauer	Verify vote
		Madigan	Poll absentees
		Fred	
		Madigan	
		MacDonald	
		Lauer	Request verification
		Madigan	
33-34		Terzich	SJR 65
	5:43	Madigan	
34-38		Collins	Against
		Madigan	
35-36	5:46	Ebbessen	Support
		Madigan	
36-37		Shea	Support
		Madigan	
	5:50	Duester	Yield?

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
38		Terzich	
		Madigan	
		Ewell	Support
		Madigan	
38-39	5:52	Schneider	
		Madigan	
39-40		Matijevich	Oppose
40-41		Madigan	
	5:55	Totten	Move previous question
		Madison	
		Terzich	To close
42		Madigan	
43		Walsh	Explain vote
	6:01	Madigan	SJR 65, adopted
		Fred	HB 3822, amendment #11
		Coffey	
		Madigan	
44		Barnes	Yield
	6:02	Coffey	
		Madigan	
	6:04	Barnes	Address the amendment
		Madigan	
45	6:05	Coffey	To close
		Madigan	Amendment #11
		Duester	Explain the vote
		Madigan	Amendment fails, 3rd reading

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
46	6:10	Chapman	HB 3834, Motion
		Madigan	
		Polk	
		Madigan	
	6:11	Dunn, R.	
47		Madigan	
		Chapman	
		Madigan	
		Walsh	
49	6:13	Madigan	Take out of the record
		O'Brien	HB 3380, 2nd reading, 2 committee amendments
		Madigan	
	6:15	Stubblefield	
		Madigan	Amendment #2 adopted, 3rd reading
50		O'Brien	Committee reports
		Madigan	Intro and 1st reading
		O'Brien	
		Madigan	Agreed resolutions
51	6:20	O'Brien	
		Madigan	
		Giorgi	
		Madigan	
52	6:20	Marovitz	HR 788 (Berman's birthday)
		Madigan	
		Madigan	
53	6:22	Berman	
		Madigan	Resolution adopted
		Richmond	HB 3600, motion, leave to suspend rules
	6:25	Madigan	

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>NAME</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
54		Walsh	Will be taken out of record
		Madigan	
		Maragos	Announcements
		Madigan	
55		Matijevich	
		Madigan	
		Shea	
		Lechowicz	
		Madigan	
	6:26	Barnes	
		Madigan	
		Simms	Question
56		Madigan	
	6:30	Madigan	Death resolutions
		O'Brien	
		Madigan	Resolution adopted
		Choate	
		Madigan	
		Katz	Announcement
57		Madigan	
		Richmond	Withdraw motion
		Madigan	
		Shea	Move House adjourn
		Madigan	House adjourned