

APR 17 1975

1.

Doorkeeper: "All those not entitled to the Floor, will you please go to the Gallery?"

Speaker Redmond: "The House will come to Order. The Members please be in their seats. Roll Call for attendance. The invocation will be pronounced this morning by Jack O'Brien."

O'Brien: "Let us pray. Lord, Bless this House and all those that serve and work here. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Geo-Karis wants to pray."

Geo-Karis: "I pray for the souls of all our citizens in Illinois because sometimes the prayer is needed with some of the things we do here, but we love each other anyway. Amen."

Speaker Redmond: "Amen. Consent Calendar, Second Reading."

O'Brien: "Calendar, Second Reading, Second Day. Senate Bill 86. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act authorizing towns to establish and operate hospitals. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 54. A Bill for an Act to amend the Humane Care Animals Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 152. A Bill for an Act to amend Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 169. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating a Board of Higher Education. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 172. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Public Aid Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 191. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to leins, for internal revenue taxes. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 253. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to provide for the exercise of right of eminent domain. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 340. A Bill for an Act to amend the Library District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 349. A Bill for an Act to amend the Children and Family Services Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 361. A Bill for an Act to amend the Collection Agency Act. First Reading of the Bill.

House Bill 366. Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to athletic trainers. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 457. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to revise the law in relation to township organizations. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 507. A Bill for an Act creating the Consumers Loan Brokers Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 512. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Civil Defense Act. Second Reading of the Act. House Bill 638. A Bill for an Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 667. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act creating the Board of Higher Education. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 703. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Local Library Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 704. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Local Library Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 711. Bill for an Act to repeal Section of the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 855. Bill for an Act to amend an Act concerning public utilities. Second Reading of the Act. House Bill 856. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 917. Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. House Bill 918. Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 422. Davis. A Bill for an Act making appropriation to the Department of Public Aid. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Redmond: "Messages from the Senate."

O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary."

Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed Bills with the following titles and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit:

APR 17 1975

3.

Senate Bills 98, 146, 167, 200 and 223, passed by the Senate, April 16, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary."

Speaker Redmond: Representative Merlo, 177. Is that ready to be considered on on Second Reading? Order of House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 177. Read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House Bill 177. Merlo. A Bill for an Act requiring automatic fire sprinkler systems in high rise apartment buildings. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Leinenweber and Sangmeister, 187. Is that quarrelsome? Illinois Municipal Code. House Bill 187."

O'Brien: "House Bill 187. Leinenweber. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 216. Representative Palmer."

O'Brien: "House Bill 216. Palmer. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to provide for distribution of township funds. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 145. Representative McDonald. 145. Election Code. House Bill 145."

O'Brien: "House Bill 145. McDonald. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code."

Speaker Redmond: "Sponsor indicates that that should be taken out of the Record. Representative Ryan."

Ryan: "Did you just move House Bill 216 to the order of Third Reading?"

Speaker Redmond: "Yes we did."

Ryan: "Isn't that a bit unusual when the Sponsor of the Bill isn't on the Floor?"

APR 17 1975

4.

Speaker Redmond: "I suppose, but 2963 Bills are unusual too."

Ryan: "Well, I think for the interest of the People here, they're probably just as important as 2900 bills, Mr. Speaker. I would question a quorum here this morning."

Speaker Redmond: "Quorum has been questioned here this morning. The Clerk will take the Roll. We will take a machine roll call then. Clear the Board. Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Point of Order. On that last point, I certainly see nothing wrong with calling a Bill on Second Reading if there's no Amendment to it. I don't see why a sponsor necessarily has to be on the Floor if no amendments are on the Clerk's desk, either a Committee amendment, Sponsor's amendments or other Members amendments, so I don't think there should be any criticism of moving, calling a bill and reading it a second time, if no one has an amendment to it."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, a quorum has been called and the Chair is unable to do anything except proceed, unless the Motion is withdrawn. You persist in your quorum call, Representative Ryan? Will those that are present, indicate by for and present. Those absent, vote absent. Everybody present, have you so indicated? It appears there are only 85. There are only 86. Some people are voting 'no', which really doesn't seem appropriate. There is a quorum present, so we'll now go back to the Order of House Bills, Second Reading. Correct the Record, Mr. Clerk. House Bill, Second Reading, appears House Bill 145."

O'Brien: "House Bill 145. McDonald. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 354."

APR 17 1975

5.

O'Brien: "House Bill 354. Pierce. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in regard to judgements and decrees. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 372. Is Representative Hirschfeld here? Evidently not. Representative Stearney. Representative Berman. Representative Younge. Representative Fennessey. House Bill 416. You want to read the Bill."

O'Brien: "House Bill 416. Fennessey. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Alcoholic liquors. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Coffey on the Floor? House Bill 465."

O'Brien: "House Bill 465. Coffey. Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Representative Shea, you have an Amendment on 465? Maybe we ought to take this one out of the Record. Take 465 out of the Record. Representative Williams on 484. Representative Williams."

O'Brien: "House Bill 484. Williams. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act to regulate campaign financing. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Williams, will you turn your switch on."

Williams: "I would like to leave that on Second, Mr. Speaker. I was speaking to the Board of Elections and that will probably be a vehicle bill for other things that they want to bring over."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. The sponsor on House Bill 489. Representative Kelly. Take that one out of the Record. How about 334? OK. Kempiners in the Chamber? 491. House Bill 491."

APR 17 1975

6.

O'Brien: "House Bill 491. Kempiners. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to revise the law in relation to Counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 495, Representative Peters on the Floor. Representative Lundy. 518. House Bill 518."

O'Brien: "House Bill 518. Lundy. A Bill for an Act to create the Illinois Empoundment Control Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? No Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Fredrich? House Bill 524."

O'Brien: "House Bill 524. Fredrich. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to composition and election of county boards in certain counties. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Mugalian. Representative Matijeovich. House Bill 546."

O'Brien: "House Bill 546. Matijeovich. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. For what purpose does Representative Kempiners arise?"

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, you skipped over 537. Do you intend...."

Speaker Redmond: "Because there's an Amendment on it. I thought at this stage, we would only take those without amendments."

Kempiners: "OK, thank you."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Hirschfeld. Representative Grotberg. Representative Maragos. House Bill 603."

APR 17 1975

7.

O'Brien: "House Bill 603. Maragos. A Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Tipsword. Representative Hirschfeld. Representative Caldwell. Representative McLendon. House Bill 663. Representative McLendon."

O'Brien: "House Bill 663. McLendon. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to a liability of co-signers of certain retailer contracts. First Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Ewell? Is that Ewell's or Yourell's. 31, is that your's Buss? Kind of looks like it ought to be. House Bill 681."

O'Brien: "House Bill 681. Ewell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vital Records Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "I guess somebody else has stolen something from the Recorder's office. We'll take that out of the Record. Representative Leon. Representative Tipsword. House Bill 643."

O'Brien: "House Bill 643. Tipsword. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bill 689. Representative Leon."

O'Brien: "House Bill 689. Leon. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Leon. Any Amendments from the Floor? 689. Third Reading. 709. Representative Giorgi."

APR 17 1975

O'Brien: "House Bill 709. Giorgi. Bill for an Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Lundy. 17 for Lundy. He's here. 717. House Bill 717."

O'Brien: "House Bill 717. Lundy. A Bill for an Act to create an Advisory Commission to the Director of the Department of Children and Family Services. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 718. Representative Giorgi. 718."

O'Brien: "House Bill 718. Giorgi. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. 719."

O'Brien: "House Bill 719. Giorgi. A Bill for an Act to repeal Section 150 of the Illinois Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Maher on the Floor? House Bill 733."

O'Brien: "House Bill 733. Maher. Bill for an Act to amend the Probate Act. Second Reading of the Act. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Richmond. House Bill 757."

O'Brien: House Bill 757. Richmond. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Horse Racing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Fleck on the Floor? Representative Fleck isn't on the Floor, but I understand that we've been joined by our former colleague, Carl Hunsicker. Where's Carl? Carl Hunsicker. Oh, there he is, over there. He said things have improved a great deal since he left. Representative



APR 17 1975

9.

Schisler. Representative Willer. 779. Take it out of the Record. Representative Holewinski. 796."

O'Brien: "House Bill 796. Holewinski. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to the communicable disease report. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Redmond: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Representative Brinkmeier. Griesheimer. Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, so that the Record will show that Representative Brinkmeier is absent because of illness in the family. I would like that shown as an excused absence."

Speaker Redmond: "The Record will so show. Who's handling former Representative Lemke's 940? He's been demoted to the Senate. Representative Beatty. House Bill 940. 940."

O'Brien: "House Bill 940."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Record. Representative Beatty, I understand there are no amendments, either Committee or House Amendments. Representative Berman. Take that one out of the Record. A Republican Conference, I believe, is about to be requested. We'll recognize Representative Washburn. Representative Neff, pardon me."

Neff: "Conference for 45 minutes. This would ah..... we'll try to be back here definitely at a quarter till 11, if we have your permission."

Speaker Redmond: "Gentleman has moved to recess for 45 minutes for the purpose of Republican Conference. Any objections? Stand in recess for 45 minutes. Representative Neff?"

Neff: "Room 114, Republican Members."

Redmond: "Room 114. I thought if maybe we didn't have the room number, why would have a successful conference. Forty-five minutes. Representative Hudson, do you suppose you could step up here a minute. Sangmeister feels a movement, would you turn his light on."

APR 17 1975

Sangmeister: "Yes, while we're waiting here for things to develop, Mr. Speaker, I'm looking at the schedule that you've developed here, and I notice here that it says there is a tentative schedule. I know a number of us, including myself, are chairmen of subcommittees, have scheduled hearings in Chicago on days that we are now going to be in session, for example the 28th, which was originally on the other schedule, not to be an active day down here, and I'm just wondering, what is the feeling of the Chair? Is this going to be an adhered to schedule, or do you still mean tentative, because we've got to get some of these hearing dates scheduled, and as you know, the rules of the House do not allow us to have committee hearings going while we're in session, so if I've got to cancel things in Chicago, I'd like to know that."

Speaker Redmond: "I would think it would be best to cancel them. The workload is just so heavy here, that there's no way we can meet that May 2nd deadline unless we do."

Sangmeister: "What I was asking about is this schedule that was passed around, which is now six days a week, and I was saying as a subcommittee chairman, I had some hearings scheduled in Chicago that are obviously now going to be canceled, but the schedule that was sent around had the word tentative in it, so I was just wondering how firm this schedule is going to be, and according to the remarks of the speaker right now, apparently we're going to be down here six days a week. Yeah, well, I presume this means ....."

Speaker Redmond: "Well, I was going to talk to the Committee Chairmen for a little guidance on this thing, but it is tentative now, but it looks like we're going to have it permanent. We've got to go down in Choate's country this Saturday and Sunday. The House will be in order. Will

the Members please take their seats? The business is Motions. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, Mr. Ewell has a Motion regarding House Bill 2328, and then...."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos. Oh, you yield to Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, very reluctantly, I wish to give up the Chief Sponsorship of House Bill 2328, and I should like Representative Maragos to be recorded as the Chief Sponsor."

Speaker Redmond: "Representative Maragos accept the honor?"

Maragos: "I accept the duty and the honor. Thank you very much and I also thank Mr. Ewell for his graciousness."

Speaker Redmond: Representative Maragos. Leave granted on that first request."

Maragos: "Granted? All right, Mr. Speaker, On House Bill 182, inadvertently, when the Clerks were first assigned, a mistake was made that this Bill was on the interim Study calendar and Representative Frederich, we had it kept in committee and it was put in a subcommittee. I would like to have leave at this time to take House Bill 182 out of the Interim Study Calendar of the Revenue Committee and put on Second Reading, First Legislative Day, on the Calendar because of an error in Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "Any objections? Representative Hart. We'll hold that Motion: Representative Berman on Motions."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In relation to House Bill 288, which appears on the Order of Second Reading, I wish to make a Motion that this Bill be re-referred to the Committee on Appropriations. It is part of the Capital Development Bond Act proposal, and it was my understanding that after it came out of the House Committee on Elementary and Secondary

APR 17 1975

12.

Education, it was going to go to Appropriations. House Bill 288, and I would move to refer it to the Appropriations Committee."

Speaker Redmond: "The Motion, the question is to commit the Bill to Appropriations Committee. Representative Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a similar Bill in the same kind of situation. It's on Third Reading, that might well be considered with this Motion. I don't know what would be proper in terms of protocol or procedure, but it's a Bill on Third Reading that I would like to have rereferred...."

Speaker Redmond: "What's the number of it, Representative?"

Houlihan: "291. House Bill 291, which is the Appropriation of additional bonding authority for Illinois Housing Development Authority."

Speaker Redmond: "Question is on Representative Berman's Motion to commit House Bill 288 to the Appropriations Committee. All in favor, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Bill will be committed to the Appropriations Committee. Now, Representative Houlihan. Similar Motion with respect to House Bill 291. Where is your Bill now?"

Houlihan: "It's on Third Reading on the House Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Question by Representative Houlihan to commit House Bill 291 to the Appropriations Committee. Which Division is that, Mr. Houlihan. The appropriate Appropriations Committee. You want to discuss 291, Representative Maragos? All in favor of the Motion to recommit House Bill 291 to the Appropriations Committee, indicate by saying 'aye'. Opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it. The Bill is recommitted. Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I renew my Motion for leave to take House Bill 182 of the Interim Study Calendar of the Revenue Committee, which was put there by error, and I'll need a 107 votes to do this, and I ask for leave."

Speaker Redmond: "The question is to discharge the Committee and

APR 17 1975

13.

re refer House Bill 182 is it?"

Maragos: "182 is the number, but not to be referred. To take it out of the Interim Study Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "Take it out of the Interim Study Commission and place it on the House Calendar. Is that correct?"

Maragos: "Second Reading, First Legislative Day on the House Calendar."

Speaker Redmond: "It takes 107 votes. Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Question of the Sponsor."

Maragos: "I am not the Sponsor. I'm the Chairman of the Committee.

There was an error made in Committee, Representative Schlickman, and Representative Fredrich.... Initially this Bill was suppose.... was referred to subcommittee, and by mistake, the Clerk said Interim Study Calendar, and it should have been.... it was in effect in the subcommittee, and therefore we recommended it 'do pass' to be brought on the floor of the House by mistake though, because of a previous error on the Clerk's part, it was considered being Interim Study Calendar, so it's locked in because of the Report and we have considered the Bill in subcommittee rather than Interim Study, and it's unfair to Mr. Fredrich who's the Sponsor on your side of the aisle to keep that Bill in Interim Study, when he.... 'do pass', even though you and I may not vote for it, I think the Record should be straight. That's why we're making this Motion."

Schlickman: "Well, this is the Bill that we increased the exemption under the Illinois Inheritance Tax from \$20,000 to \$40,000. Is that correct?"

Shea: "Mr. Schlickman, might I suggest this, Mr. Maragos. Why don't we have Mr. Fredrich talk to the Leadership on his side of the aisle and the leadership on our side of the aisle, the gentleman from Marion, Mr. Fredrich."

APR 17 1975

14.

Fredrich: "I think the only problem is, the Bill was voted out of Committee with a recommendation 'do pass'. It just failed to get on the Calendar. There was a bookkeeping error. That's all there was to it."

Speaker Shea: "Well, then, we don't need any floor action to do that. All he has to do is correct it back the action of his Clerk. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have now been advised that the full Committee and not just a sub-committee had reported this Bill out, recommendation 'do pass'. Therefore, I withdraw my objection."

Speaker Shea: "Then all we'll have to do, Mr. Maragos, all you have to do is submit.... have your Clerk submit a report to the Clerk, correcting the earlier report."

Maragos: "No, that is not correct. I respectfully challenge, because I was advised that....."

Speaker Shea: "Sam, come here."

Maragos: "Forget it. Just forget it."

Speaker Shea: "Motion is, you want to put it on the Calendar."

Maragos: "We need 107 votes."

Speaker Shea: "All right, the Motion is to put it on the Calendar. All those in favor of First Legislative Day, all in favor of that, will vote 'aye'. Those opposed, vote 'nay'. This question, there are 125 'aye'.... 126 'aye' votes, no 'nays', and the gentleman's motion carries and House Bill 182 will be put on the Calendar in the Order of First Reading..... Second Reading, First Legislative Day. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on page 5 of the Calendar, House Bill 644 inadvertently lists me as the principal sponsor. I just wanted to point out that it should be Representative Griesheimer."

Speaker Shea: "Thank you, Mr. Hirschfeld. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. On Page 3 of today's calendar, there appears House Bill 241, which is an appropriation matter, requesting \$250,000 to the Illinois Public Employment Board, and unfortunately that Bill was never referred to the Appropriations Committee, either 1 or 2, and I would hope that that Bill would be taken off the Calendar and be referred to the Appropriations Committee for hearing."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz, do you want to talk to Mr. Rayson, or have you talked to him about that?"

Lechowicz: "No, I'm sorry I haven't, but it's an error on the Calendar, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Why don't we talk to him and see if he's got a Motion to put it back in Appropriations."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, there will be no Committee meeting of the Committee on Higher Education today. I've talked to the Sponsors of the Bills and ah.... they will be heard next week."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd."

Mudd: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I rise to make a Motion on Senate Bill 540. I would like to move that it advance without reference to the Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Speaker Shea: "That's been cleared with both sides, has it not, Mr. Mudd?"

Mudd: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman's Motion is to advance House Bill..... Senate Bill 540, which appears on the Calendar on the Order of Senate Bills, First Reading to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, on the question."

APR 17 1975

16.

Walsh: "Well, a question of the Sponsor. I wonder if he talked with the Minority Leader about this Action?"

Mudd: "Ah..... Mr. Speaker, it ah.... I talked to the ah.... cosponsor from my district on that side of the aisle and he assured me that he was going to do this, so I thought it was all taken care of. There's been quite a bit of discussion on it."

Speaker Shea: "You want to take that out.... that Motion out of the Record until Mr. Walsh..... Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Yeow, I'd appreciate it if this was taken out of the Record, maybe until July 15th."

Speaker Shea: "Mudd, you want to wait until you talk to him, or you want to go with it now?"

Mudd: "Ah.... No, we'll go with it now."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman's Motion is to move House Bill ..... Senate Bill 540...Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, isn't this being taken out of the Record?"

Speaker Shea: "Did you want to talk to him about it?"

Walsh: "Well, I thought you said that it was being taken out of the Record, and here we are back at it."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Mudd, why don't you take it out of the Record and talk to the Minority Leadership about it."

Mudd: "All right, take it out of the Record."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Marion, Mr. Fredrich."

Fredrich: "Mr. Speaker, on Page 12 of the.... House Bill 366 on the Consent Calendar, and I'd like to ask that be put on the regular order, Bills on Second Reading. The Sponsor is agreeable to this. House Bill 366 on Page 12."

Speaker Shea: "You want to come down to the Clerk's well, Mr. Fredrich, and file a form on that? The Lady from St. Clair, Mrs. Younge."



APR 17 1975

17.

Younge: "Mr. Speaker, I request Leave to Table House Bill 2793, which I Sponsor?"

Shea: "The lady ask Leave to Table House Bill 2793 of which there's . . . of which she's the Chief Sponsor. Hearing no objection, Leave is granted."

Younge: "Thank you."

Shea: ". . . and the Bill is Tabled. House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 3. Gentleman from Mr. Mc . . ."

O'Brien: "House Bill . . ."

Shea: ". . . Lean . . . hold it . . . wait a minute, Mr. Clerk. The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask permission of the House to Table House Bill 617 that I am Chief Sponsor of that Legislation."

Shea: "The gentleman asks to Table House Bill 617 of which he's Chief Sponsor, is there any objection? Hearing no objection the Bill . . . Leave is given to Table the Bill. House Bill 617 is Tabled. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like Leave of the House to Table House Bill 633. I'm the Chief Sponsor."

Shea: "The gentleman asks to Table House Bill 633 of which he's the Chief Sponsor, is there objection? Hearing no objection the Bill will be ordered Tabled. House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 3, Mr. Katz on the floor. House Bill 6, Mr. Kosinski."

O'Brien: "House Bill 6, a Bill for an Act to amend the Code . . . Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 6 on page two by deleting line 11 to 14 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentleman of the House, the Committee Amendment was to delete one sentence that was superfluous to the Bill and I recommend its adoption."

APR 17 1975

18.

Shea: "Are there any discussion? The gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Is there any further Amendments. Third Reading. House Bill 22, Mr. Deuster. Hold that for a minute, Mr. Clerk. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mahar, had his light on, did you want something, sir?"

Mahar: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, as principal Sponsor of House Bill 815, I ask Leave to Table House 815."

Shea: "The gentleman's asked Leave to Table House Bill 815 of the . . . of which he is the Chief Sponsor, is there any objection? Hearing no objections, the Bill will be ordered Tabled. Now back to the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, on House Bill 22."

O'Brien: "House Bill 22, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act to prevent fraudulent and corrupt practices in making official appointments. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 22 on page one by deleting lines 29 and 30 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, on Amendment Number One."

Deuster: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Bill itself deals with the subject of conflict of interest . . . ah . . . with respect to school districts in local units of government. Amendment Number One, which was adopted in the Committee, would make the Bill provide this that the Bill would read that 'the Law does not preclude a Member of the Governing Body of a unit of Local Government or a School District from having an interest in a contract provided he does not vote other than "present" on any matter relating to that contract and provided he publicly identify the nature of his interest'. That is the Amendment and pretty much the Bill, too. I would urge the adoption of Amendment Number One to House Bill 22."

APR 17 1975

19.

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Will the gentleman yield for a question?"

Deuster: "Yes, I'll be happy to."

Leinenweber: "Representative Deuster, what was the Committee's reasoning to prevent a person with a conflict of interest . . . ah . . . in . . . from voting against his interest?"

Deuster: "I'm sorry, I cannot hear you . . . ah . . . there's a little disorderly in the House, I think, perhaps, we might have a little order."

Shea: "Proceed."

Leinenweber: "The Bill . . . as I understand the Bill he must vote 'present', he cannot vote 'aye' or 'nay' on any matter on which he has a conflict of interest. My question is, why did the Committee decide that the person should not be permitted to vote against his interest?"

Deuster: "Well, sometimes . . . ah . . . you might have a motion to discontinue a contract . . . ah . . . its a little hard to compose the unusual circumstances which might relate to it. If you said he couldn't vote 'yes' or wouldn't vote 'no', we thought . . . felt that, normally, . . . ah . . . when a person senses that he has a conflict of interest he . . . ah . . . says 'I'm voting "present" because I have a possible interest in this'. We felt that would be the easiest way to handle it, recognizing that sometimes you can support the contract with a 'yes' vote or a 'no' vote or oppose it the same way."

Shea: "Further discussion? the gentleman has offered Committee Amendment Number One. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment Number One is adopted. Are there any further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 44, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington."

O'Brien: "House Bill 44, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fair and Point of Practices Act. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

APR 17 1975

20.

Shea: "Are there any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading.  
House Bill 46."

O'Brien: "House Bill 46, Washington, a Bill for an Act in relation to the revocation and suspension of the Authority to do business in Illinois."

Shea: "Take that out of the Record at the request of the Sponsor. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Rayson, for a motion."

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker and Members, Representative Lechowicz brought to my attention a Bill . . . ah . . . House Bill 241, which somehow bypassed Appropriations Committee, and I would so move at this time or ask for Leave of the House to take House Bill 241 from the Order of Second Reading, Second Day, for reassignment of Appropriations."

Shea: "The gentleman's motion is to re-assign . . . take from the Calendar and place in the Appropriate . . . Appropriations, House Bill 241. The motion . . ."

O'Brien: "Committee on Assignment of Bills . . ."

Shea: ". . . to the . . . to the Committee on Assignment of Bills to assign to the proper Appropriations Committee. All those in favor will say 'aye', all those oppose 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it and the Bill is so . . . taken from the Calendar and rereferred to the Committee on Assignment. Now back to the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Mudd, on his motion on House . . . or Senate Bill 540. Mr. Mudd, Mr. Walsh, I understand that Mr. Tuerk has talked to the people on your side of the aisle, is that correct? All right, Mr. Mudd, on your motion."

Mudd: "Mr. Speaker, thank you . . . ah . . . I'd just like to renew my effort on behalf of Senate Bill 540 and restate my motion to advance with reference to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day."

Shea: "The gentleman's motion is to take House . . . Senate Bill 540, which appears on the Calendar in the Order of Senate Bills First Reading, and put in the Order . . . on the Calendar in the Order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, Senate Bill 540; and on the question, the gentleman from

APR 17 1975

Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, first of all, Mr. Speaker, if we go along with the gentleman's motion, then we might as well tear up the Rules and . . . ah . . . play it according to the way the Majority Leader asks us to. They'll be the "Shea Rules". This is a Senate Bill, we've had very few Senate Bills here, and the gentleman is asking that the Rules be suspended and that . . . ah . . . we consider this Bill without benefit of Committee consideration. Now, I submit to you that the Bill is very, very significant, historically; in the last Session of the Legislature, after several trys, the Bill that this gentleman's Legislation attempts to correct passed this House and the Senate after a, somewhat, bitter battle and it did not pass by very much; and what it does is this, it provides that the State will guarantee bonds issued by Civic Cen . . . Civic Authorities or Civic Center Authorities in about eight Illinois Communities. Now, if you're one of these eight Illinois Communities and you're irresponsible, fiscally, then I suppose this may be a good idea, if you're not, I think you ought to give this some consideration. Now, since the Legislation passed, there has been a budget submitted by Governor Walker, which provides that the State will spend about three or four hundred million dollars more than it collects in this coming fiscal year. This adds to the fiscal difficulties to the State in addition to providing that there are more bonds issued that the State has to guarantee. Now, . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh . . ."

Walsh: ". . . the gentleman . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Walsh, could we have a little order in the Chamber, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh, I'm sorry for the interruption, sir."

Walsh: "The gentleman shakes his head as though this is not true . . . ah . . . perhaps there are parts of the . . . some of the things that I'm saying that are not, I am speaking pretty much

memory . . . ah . . . about the Legislation that this seeks to correct because it turns out that there was a flaw in it and this is correcting the flaw. But in any case there is sufficient time for this Bill to go to a House Committee and be considered by this Committee before being submitted to us and I suggest to you that this action is unwarranted. If there's a time consideration here at all, its a matter of ten days or two weeks. We've been messing with this thing for two or four years and I don't see how ten days or two weeks is going to very much effect it. So I suggest to you that you vote 'no' on the gentleman's motion and let's see if we can operate according to the Rules, instead of forever suspending them on very important matters."

Shea: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise to disagree with my respected Assistant Minority Leader. We passed this Bill. It was Senate 166 and its now Public Act 78-1289, and really all Senate Bill 540 does is to move back the effective date and that's all it does, we already have the Law and its a matter of making this effective in the middle of April so that we, for example, in Peoria can proceed with the projects that we have under consideration. Now, when he says that we debated this Bill many times, we did, and he's truthful there, but it did pass by a substantial majority. We're not debating the relative merits of the concept, we're debating whether or not to suspend the Rules to allow this to go on the Calendar on Second Reading so we can pass the Bill, get it out, get it signed so it is effective; and, therefore, we can go ahead and issue the bonds for the project. I would ask for your favorable support of the motion."

Shea: "The gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, just, briefly, I would like to respond to the respected

Minority Leader's comment. After all, when we talk about the Rules . . . ah . . . the Rules we know that can be suspended; and that's what we're asking here; and as long as you have the votes, you can suspend the Rules. All this Bill does is move more current the effective date of this Act, that's all it does. Some of these cities its effected are getting ready to build their building and go to contract and they need this to be moved up so they can proceed orderly without incurring additional expenses; and I ask your favorable vote so that we can suspend the Rule on something that's . . . that really is . . . ah . . . pretty much inconsequential when we're just talking about changing the effective date of the Act and I'd appreciate a favorable vote."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I . . ."

Shea: "I said the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, I don't find genate Bill 540 in my book, and I've checked around and they don't have . . . some other Members don't have . . ."

Shea: "Mr. Clerk, is Senate Bill 540 in the Bill books? Gentleman is very much in order, its not in the Bill books, take the motion out of the Record. On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, appears House Bill 46."

O'Brien: "House Bill 46, Washington, a Bill for an Act in relation to revocation or suspension of the Authority to do business in Illinois for dealings detrimental to the Public interst. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 46 on page one by deleting lines 16 through 19 and so forth."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, to explain Committee Amendment Number One."

Washington: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Committee Amendment Number One, simply, deletes from House Bill 46 the right of the Secretary of State to regulate certain agencies

which are now being regulated by various agencies, such as Department of Utilities and so forth. I move adoption of the Amendment."

Shea: "The gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One. Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, on Committee Amendment Number One."

Schlickman: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for one question?"

Shea: "The gentleman indicates he will, back to Mr. Washington."

Schlickman: "By your Amendment, are we transferring from the Secretary of State to another agency the authority to revoke or suspend the privilege of doing business in Illinois?"

Washington: "ah . . . Certain Departments like the Department of Insurance and the Department of Banks and so forth and Utilities, those Departments do have that right. What House Bill 46 did, inadvertently, was to give that right to the Secretary of State. By this Amendment it transfers it back to the Regulatory Agency."

Schlickman: "By your Amendment then you're, simply, avoiding duplication . . ."

Washington: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "ah . . . the authority to suspend or revoke."

Washington: "That's correct."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Shea: "Are there any further discussion? The gentleman's motion is to adopt Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 46. All those in favor will say 'aye', all those oppose will say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chairs, the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment Number Two, Washington, amends House Bill 46 on page two, line one, by deleting 'forward a copy of the complaint file', and so forth."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Amendment Number Two to House Bill 46 is put in there to bequest of the Secretary



of State; and it, simply, deletes from the Bill the requirement that complaints filed against a given business in the State should be falling to the Secretary of State; they felt it would, simply, encumber the Secretary with too much work and what they really wanted was the final judgment in the case. I know of no opposition, and I move the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 46."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, for a question for the Sponsor . . . he indicates he'll yield."

Schlickman: "The judgment will be filed with the Secretary of State, but not the complaint."

Washington: "That's correct, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman's motion is for the adoption of Committee Amendment Number Two. All those in favor will say 'aye', those oppose will say 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it; and the Amendment Number Two is adopted. Are there any further Amendments. No further Amendments, Third Reading. House Bill 76, Mr. Deuster, are we ready on that one?"

O'Brien: "House Bill 76, Deuster, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to meetings. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 76 from page two line one and so forth."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 76 relates to the general subject of the Open Meetings Law. Amendment Number One would make the Bill, . . . ah . . . virtually, identical to the Wisconsin Law by providing that Public bodies may go into a Closed Session to consider the various subjects relating to the employment and performance of personnel. The Amendment adds these four words that appear in the Wisconsin Statute, and, which really, in my opinion, are intended by the original Act anyway, and that is the words

"promotion, demotion, compensation and discipline" . . . ah . . . the reason for the Bill and the Amendment is because the Attorney General of our State . . . ah . . . rendered an opinion which was very restrictive and said that you consider . . . in Closed Session you could discuss hiring a person or firing an employee but nothing in between. I would differ with the Attorney General's opinion, however, . . . ah . . . many of our schools and municipalities are concerned by it. So Amendment Number One would answer that question, clarify the Law and make sure that the reputation and character of employees of Local Units of Government could be protected by having their 'promotion, demotion, compensation and discipline' discussed in Closed Session, although no action could be taken, of course, in Closed Session; and I would remind the . . . the Members, too, that the Open Meetings Act does not govern the Illinois General Assembly at all. We are, entirely, exempt from that Act. I would urge the adoption of Amendment Number One, which was adopted in the Committee."

Shea: "Is there any further discussion on Amendment Number One. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "If . . . ah . . . the Sponsor of the Amendment would yield to a question? O.K.?"

Shea: "He indicates he will."

Palmer: "All right, the question is whether or not . . . ah . . . collective bargaining and matters of this type to be under this Amendment to be done in Closed Session . . . by use of the word . . . those words that are there, at least the compensation end of the thing . . ."

Deuster: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Mr. Palmer, I might . . ."

Palmer: ". . . is this a good idea, shouldn't the Public . . . ah . . . whose money is being spent . . . ah . . . shouldn't they be involved in this thing, too?"

Deuster: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, in responding to the question, I might say this that

APR 17 1975

27.

when the Open Meetings Act was originally enacted . . . ah . . . there were a great many exceptions. One of the exceptions . . . ah . . . was that the Illinois General Assembly was, entirely, exempted. Secondly, meetings of the Parole Board or Parole and Pardon Board . . . ah . . . those meetings and deliberations were . . . ah . . . exempted. Also, they exempted the Collective Bargaining Sessions, collective negotiation matters. This Bill does not address itself to the wisdom of of that aspect of the Law at all, this Bill, simply, relates to the employment situation. ah . . . That could be covered by . . . ah . . . separate Legislation, I suppose. The Committee and I did not consider that at all. That's not included in this Bill."

Shea: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Seems to me that it . . . ah . . . certainly does, if you're going to talk about employment, if you're going to talk about employment one by one or collectively . . . ah . . . I don't understand how you arrived at that conclusion."

Deuster: "ah . . . Mr. Palmer to respond, may we have some order Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker?"

Shea: "Will the Ladies and Gentlemen please be in their chairs, Mr. Deuster wants to explain his Amendment."

Deuster: "Representative Palmer, I think the philosophy of the Open Meetings Act is that, generally, . . . ah . . . Local Units of Government should conduct their business entirely in the Public but now and then there are matters that require them to get together and let their hair down privately and . . . ah . . . one of them is discussing the performance and the employment of employees; another, in the original Act, of course, is . . . ah . . . these other things we've mentioned including collective bargaining negotiation. I think we have to . . . ah . . . recognize the Public's right to know, but on the other hand, limit it, too; and I think the media don't have the right to nose themselves in every

APR 17 1975

aspect of it; and I might say that the . . . if this Bill were adopted it would conform, I think, with the spirit found in the exemption for collective bargaining to recognize there are some private negotiations and discussions that . . . ah . . . should, discreetly, take place . . . ah . . . in a Closed Session; but . . ."

Palmer: "All right, thank you very much."

Deuster: "Thank you."

Shea: "Are there any further discussion? The gentleman offers the adoption of Committee Amendment Number One to House Bill 76. All those in favor say "aye", those oppose say "nay"; the "ayes" have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments? Third Reading. The Chair will now go to the priority of call on House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 488."

O'Brien: ". . . Bill 488, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend the Unemployment Compensation Act. Second Reading of the Bill. The next Amendment . . ."

Shea: "The gentleman from . . ."

O'Brien: ". . . to be presented is Amendment Number 8, Lauer."

Shea: "Now, Mr. Clerk, this was taken out of the Record, yesterday, 'cause this Amendment was not printed. Is it printed and on the desks today?"

O'Brien: "The Bill . . . the Amendment is printed and on the desks."

Shea: "All right, now the gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, on Amendment Number Eight."

O'Brien: "Amendment Number Eight amends House Bill 488 on page one, line 6, by inserting immediately after 500 and so forth."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, you heard me describe the effect of this Amendment, yesterday; but to refresh your memory, I will, simply, say that the thrust of Amendment Number Eight to House Bill 488 is to require an employee contribution to the Unemployment

APR 17 1975

29.

Compensation Fund. The contribution would be one half the amount that is . . . ah . . . contributed by the employer. Its a two-to-one match. I think this is a most important concept because we take away the idea of something for nothing and the employee can then claim, and legitimately that this is a pure insur . . . insurance plan to which he has contributed and that it is a right to receive Unemployment Compensation and not a privilege. A privilege is paid for by someone else, a right is paid for by the individual himself. Further, I think that . . . that this is a very important Amendment because as things, presently, stand the Unemployment Compensation Fund is going to run out by about the end of the year. With a broader base of funding, no one is hurt too bad. The employee makes a small contribution, the employer makes a larger contribution; but if they base their recognition of the fact that from time to time economic conditions become such that employee lay offs are necessary; and it gives a provision, whereby, in the economic good times a fund can be built up with the employees contribution that will tie that same employee over evil times. I really think that . . . that this is a highly significant Amendment and it is a new concept; but it is based upon the same sort of an idea as is the Social Security Law. Now, there are many who are critics of Social Security, saying that it does not do enough, that the benefits are not high enough. All right in 4 . . . in House Bill 488, there is a proposed increase in benefits in under Unemployment Compensation; and while we recognize that the Social Security Income is supposed to be supplemental income, basically, Unemployment Compensation Income is also supposed to be supplemental income to tie it over a temporary period of unemployment. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that . . . that this is a most significant Amendment and without belaboring the point any further, I request your favorable . . . ah . . . vote."

APR 17 1975

30.

Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook . . . or . . . from . . . ah . . . McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I think the form of this Amendment is in error, and I ask the Representative, Representative Lauer, if he'd yield, that he has reference here on the line 12, page 2, of his Amendment to Sections 382, 576 and 577; and also on . . . ah . . . page 1, line 3, he uses the reference of Section 576 of the Act. No where in the Unemployment Act are these numbers and I was wondering where he got these numbers or are these taken . . . ah . . . from the Illinois Bar Review referral numbers, and they're not really Statutory numbers . . . ah . . . where does the Representative get these numbers on his reference to Unemployment Comp'?"

Lauer: "The . . . ah . . . Amendment was drafted at my request by a Member of our Staff, so I would have to get him down here to find out, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is, exactly, the problem I'm faced with, now. The Reference Bureau didn't draft this Amendment then, and the Amendment is in error because there are no Sections as eluded to 382, 576 and 577; and if we're amending an all-important Bill, I hope that the, at least the Reference Bureau, had the time to . . . ah . . . draft this Amendment and use the correct numbers; but these . . . these numbers, I object to the substance of the Amendment, I mean if you want to persist and offer it, is one thing, but the Amendment is in error and . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "You're raising the point that the Amendment is not correct, Mr. Hanahan?"

Hanahan: ". . . The Amendment is not correct."

Shea: "In reviewing the Amendment with the Bill, I think your objection is well taken and that the Amendment is, technically, incorrect, and, therefore, out of order. Are there any further

APR 17 1975

31.

Amendments, Mr. Clerk? Third Reading. Gentleman from Kankekee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I wanted to make a motion before you move that Bill?"

Shea: "Its on Third Reading, what did you want to . . ."

Ryan: "Well, I wanted to move that . . . ah . . . persuant to the Rules of the House that the Bill be recommitted to the House Labor and Commerce Committee, and . . . ah . . . yesterday, I had an agreement from the Speaker that he would recognize me before he put this position on that Bill."

Shea: "Well, the Bill's on Third Reading, but I'll recognize you for the motion, if you want to make the motion."

Ryan: "I've made the motion."

Shea: "The gentleman's motion is to recommit the Bill to the Labor and Commerce Committee and on that the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I object to this motion because it a . . . ah . . . what it is its a dilatory tactic. Now, this Bill has been on the Calendar for over two weeks and the Bill was heard twice in the Industry and Labor Committee. It had a two-hour hearing the first day and it had another two-hour hearing the second time it was posted. Its been on the Calendar a month and just a moment ago you saw a Member coming in here and trying to amend the Bill with a . . . an Amendment that should have been a Bill, should have been thoroughly aired, should have been studied by all these experts in the field. This is a very terrible motion and its an attempt to stall this Bill. This Bill has had enough of a hearing; I think the Members have received a lot of mail. They want this Bill dispensed with, and I think this motion is out of order and it should be defeated."

Shea: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Parlimentary Inquiry, yesterday I filed a request for a fiscal note for House Bill 488. Has

APR 17 1975

32.

that note been filed with the Bill?"

Shea: "Yes, it has."

Simms: "ah . . . Would the Clerk read what that fiscal note it with financial ramification is?"

Shea: "The fiscal note is up here if you want to look at it, Mr. Simms. Is there any further discussion? Mr. Ryan . . . or Mr. Tuerk, the gentleman from Peoria."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I don't think this motion is out of order, whatsoever, the Sponsor of the Bill said that it did have a hearing and it did; but it wasn't sufficient input into the Bill regarding the ramifications of what this Bill is about to do to the business climate of the State. Now, we talk about on the one hand about Unemployment Comp' Benefits, which is fine; we should have them. It should be done on a reasonable level; but what this Bill will do, is create such a business environment, which is going to drive people out of the State of Illinois, and I think its of sufficient import that it should be . . ."

Shea: "Would you hold . . ."

Tuerk: ". . .heard again; and it should be referred, and I would . . . ah . . . ask you to support Representative Ryan's motion."

Shea: "Mr. Giorgi, did you have a question?"

Giorgi: "Listen . . . I've been listening to Sena . . . Representative Tuerk for the last ten years . . . ah . . . same words flowing from his mouth, now. Is this the same . . . ah . . . is this motion debatable or is it just . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "I think this is an important enough Bill, Mr. Giorgi. The Lady from Lake, Miss Geo-Karis, on a point of order."

Geo-Karis: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on a point of order and my point of order refers to a phone call I made to Representative Giorgi, yesterday; and I asked him when he would bring up 488 for Third Reading, and 'Zeke' did you not tell me you would not be calling it until next week?"



APR 17 1975

33.

Shea: "This is Second Reading, Angela."

Geo-Karis: "No, but there was some talk here about it going to Third Reading, today."

Shea: "Its on the Order of Third Reading, today."

Geo-Karis: "So then may I finish my query then. You're not bringing up the Bill for final Reading, is that right 'Zeke' until next week? Is that correct, sir? O.K., thank you."

Shea: "The gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan, to close."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I feel this motion is in order. We've had seven or eight Amendments attempted here today on a Bill that's . . . ah . . . certainly of significance . . . significant importance that . . . ah . . . it should be certainly clear in everybody's mind. Now, yesterday, there was some . . . ah . . . some thought on of the Amendment's foreign sentiment were offered and . . . ah . . . several of us were led to believe that they were identical Amendments, which, in fact, is not true; and I would think that if this Bill could go back to the Committee on House Labor and Commerce that we could get some of these questions ironed out . . . ah . . . I think maybe Representative Lauer could then get his Amendment in order . . . ah . . . for this House to decide whether it should be part of the Bill or not; and I would renew my motion to recommit House Bill 488 to the House Labor and Commerce Committee."

Shea: "The gentleman's motion is to recommit House Bill 488 to the Labor and Commerce Committee from the Order of Third Reading on our Calendar. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all those voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 55 'aye' votes, 88 'nay' votes, 7 voting 'present' and the gentleman's motion is lost; and this Bill stays on the Order of Third Reading. House Bill 114."

O'Brien: "House Bill 114, a Bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "Will you hold this a minute, Mr. Clerk, the gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms."

Simms: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, out of inquiry of House Bill 488 in the fiscal note, which I requested, the fiscal note was filed but it was not filed by the Department or the agency effective; and, therefore, I request . . . ah . . . indicate that the fiscal note is not a proper one that has been filed and request that a proper fiscal note be filed to the Bill as required by the Illinois Statutes."

Shea: "Mr. Simms, if you'll check with your Parliamentarian, Mr. . . . ah . . . the gentleman behind you, he'll show you the Section in the Law that permits a Sponsor to file a fiscal note."

Simms: "o . . . only if it does not effect a agency or a particular Commission."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, he made the point I was going to make. That the Sponsor can only sign it when there is not an agency effected."

Shea: "We'll look at it. Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, in checking the Statute Book and also checking the Bill, House Bill 488, I must protest your ruling that the Amendment is not properly drafted because . . . ah . . . on the face of the Amendment . . . ah . . . line 3, I make reference to . . . ah . . . amending line 6 of the Bill by inserting immediately after 500 and immediately before and the number 576, so that this does bring into the . . . ah . . . proper context of the Bill the Amendment, which then goes on makes change in . . . in Section 576 of the proper chapter."

Shea: "Mr. Lauer, do you want to come up here and I'll go over it with you. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder . . . ah . . . the point was raised as to whether that fiscal note was properly filed or not"

APR 17 1975

35.

If it was not properly filed then that Bill cannot go to Third Reading."

Shea: "Well, the Bill is on Third Reading, Mr. Totten. I told Mr. Simms that I'd look at it and I'll get back to him on it and I'll do it before we get out of here today."

Totten: "Well, the question is whether you can advance the Bill or not to Third Reading."

Shea: "Well, the objection was not made prior to the time the bill went to Third Reading. The Bill went to Third Reading then Mr. Ryan asked there's been a lot of intervening business. The . . . Mr. Ryan has made a motion to recommit this Bill, we took a Roll Call vote on it. Mr. Simms is raising the objection after that. I said I would look . . . and further Mr. Simms, I'm informed by the Parliamentarian that the fiscal note indicates that there's no effect on State Revenue and the fiscal note Section deals with State Revenue. So if you'll just give us a couple of minutes to get our house in order here. Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Simms:"

Simms: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would disagree with your Parliamentarian. There is a contribution by the State of Illinois effecting those State employees, so . . . ah . . . he is incorrect in that regard and according to the Statutes and, as well as, the Rule of the House, that Bill cannot be advanced if the fiscal note is not properly drawn."

Shea: "House Bill 114, . . . huh . . . take that out of the Record. House Bill 121. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

O'Brien: "House Bill . . ."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, a Parliamentary Inquiry, if we're following the Calendar, I was just wondering how we got to House Bill 1 . . ."

Shea: "I sent to the Republican Leadership and the Democratic Leadership and the . . . according to . . . and it should be on the Members desk . . . House Bills, Second Reading of priority of call according to date of expiration on the Calendar."

APR 17 1975

36.

Gentleman on the Calendar of Third Reading appears several Bills that will expire today or tomorrow. So I thought we'd go to that Order and get rid of them. House Bills, Third Reading. The Order of House Bills, Third Reading, there appears House Bill 79, Representative Emil Jones."

O'Brien: "House Bill 79, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Small Business Purchasing Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Jones: ". . . Ladies and Gentleman of the House, House Bill 79 is a similar Bill we passed out of this House overwhelmingly last Session. It amends the Small Business Purchasing Act to include construction as a category; and I ask for a favorable Roll Call."

Shea: "Any further discussion? The gentleman moves that House Bill 79 be passed. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'nay'. This takes 89 votes. Have all voted who wish? Dyer 'aye'. On this . . . take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 152 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 voting 'present'; and House Bill 79 having received the Constitutional Majority is, hereby, declared passed; and on the 'aye' votes, add Mr. Lauer and Miss Geo-Karis. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading, appears House Bill 128, Mr. Greiman."

O'Brien: "House Bill 128, a Bill for an Act to amend the Fair Practices Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 128 seeks to end discrimination in employment, where that discrimination is based solely upon the attainment of chronological age. Similar Legislation is pending in Congress and its being contemplated by . . . ah . . . Home Rule Units. It has been adopted in several States. It restores a very fundamental right that Americans have to be viewed as individuals, rather than classified, merely, on the basis of artificial chronological age. There was an Amendment to the Bill . . .

APR 17 1975

37.

which was requested at the time the Committee heard the Bill. The amendment ah..... removed from the operation of this particular Act, union apprentice programs and management training programs, as well as government application of certain governments..... ah units of government.

The Bill is a significant Bill and I ask for its adoption."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty."

Beatty: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will."

Beatty: "Mr. Grieman, will this in any way affect the compulsory retirement ages for government?"

Greiman: "No, it would not."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Sponsor, would you yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will."

Hanahan: "On House Amendment Number 1, as read in the Digest, is that correct?"

Greiman: "I think House Amendment Number 1, as it appears in the Digest, is a little misleading."

Hanahan: "It sure is."

Greiman: "Yeow, it's very misleading. What we did, was to divide this Section so that one section deals with non-apprentice functions and ah..... it speaks of all of the various categories, sex and race and what not and age."

Hanahan: "What I'm really particularly concerned about, according to the Digest, it says that it would be an unfair Labor practice, or an unfair practice, fair employment practice, if you were including an apprenticeship or in any craft trade, if you discriminated on age."

Greiman: "No, that's just the opposite."

Hanahan: "That's what I was going to say, it should be just the opposite."

APR 17 1975

38.

Greiman: "Yeow, that was a mistake by the ah...."

Hanahan: "Most apprenticeship programs having an age limitation of 26 or 27."

Greiman: "That's right, the Amendment is misstated in the...."

Hanahan: "Well, then, the Digest is incorrect?"

Greiman: "It is incorrect, that's right."

Hanahan: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is typical of an un-union published digest, that we happen to be confronted with, another error in it, of misleading, completely opposite. Tell them un-union printers over there, the least they could do is print up the amendments correctly."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Hanahan, you'll be happy to hear a union shop will start printing the Digest this week."

Hanahan: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maher."

Maher: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a question for the Sponsor."

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will yield."

Maher: "Does this affect municipal employees, such as the present police and fire commission act and that sort of thing?"

Greiman: "No, it would not. We have.... they are specifically taken out of the operation of the act by the Amendment. Amendment 1 would specifically take that out of the Act."

Maher: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield? Have you ah.... filed a fiscal note? If you have, what is the anticipated cost on this?"

Greiman: "Yes, ah.... we have in the anticipated cost... based... we're advised by the ah.... Fair Employment Practices Commission, that when you add a new jurisdiction, it takes about five percent. The budget last year ah.... under House Bill 2709, of last year's appropriation, was \$930,000, so it would be about five percent of that apparently. That's their estimate."

APR 17 1975

39.

Totten: "Five percent of \$930,000?"

Greiman: "That's what it would cost."

Totten: "O.K. thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will."

Hudson: "Al, what happens to all of these pension programs that are geared on an age, retirement of 60 or retirement at 65, or whatever, into which the employee has been paying, you know, with this in mind. What's the impact on all of that?"

Greiman: "Well, I... you know, there are two interpretations essentially, and I... I... partly maybe it's for a later determination. There's a question whether that's a contract and whether this Bill would impair that contract, so if that's one interpretation, it would have no effect on them at all, and the other would be of course, that they would have to be changed in accordance with law. Ah... my own judgement is that ultimately, this Bill will be extremely advantageous for the development of very favorable pension programs, because if employers want to ah... have employees out at age 65, or 60, or whatever, they will be very much encouraged to develop strong, significant pension programs, but there is that area of doubt, if the Court would interpret it."

Hudson: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The Parliamentarian, Mr. Schlickman, the gentleman from Cook."

Schlickman: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Shea: "He indicates he will."

Schlickman: "Does this Bill in its amended form prohibit employers from establishing compulsory retirement policy on the basis of age?"

Greiman: "That is precisely what it does."

Schlickman: "So that an employer could no longer determine that an employee shall retire at a given age such as 60, 62, or 65. Is that correct?"

Greiman: "It leaves the same judgement that you would have for employee, 42, that you would have for employee 62, Gene."

Schlickman: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Marovitz."

Marovitz: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, it seems to me that in regards to this Bill, one of the great benefits with the advancement of age is the gaining in experience, and by forcing People to retire at a given age, we negate the substantial benefits, and I think it's a great inducement for those who are advancing in age and to our senior citizens to allow them to put the many, many years of experience to beneficial use, and I would urge a 'yes' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield to a question? Alan, as.... on House Bill 128, as it has been amended, who would be included in your Bill now?"

Greiman: "Who would be ah..... Well, it would be in the private sector. We've essentially amended out the public sector and we've amended out application of labor, apprentice programs and management training programs, so that everyone other than those three categories, similar to other categories of the Fair Employment Practice Act would be included."

Lechowicz: "All right, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question? What do you propose that this law will do to contracts that are now in existence that have been negotiated between the private sector and the unions, relative to retirement policy?"



APR 17 1975

41.

Greiman: "I have a feeling that if they're contracts, this law could not affect them, because it would be an impairment of contracts. That would be my best guess. That's a constitutional issue, but that would be what I assume, a court would hold."

Tuerk: "Would this Bill, then, nullify any future relationships between the bargaining agent for the union and the company relative to future retirement policies."

Greiman: "I think they'd have to take this into contemplation when they ah..... establish new systems or new contracts."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah.... I'd like to speak on the Bill and oppose the Bill, in terms of many aspects. The bargaining agents and the companies sit down across the bargaining table and knock out an agreement. Among that, the specifications of an agreement would encompass the retirement policy. Many of the larger unions are calling for not only compulsory retirement at 65, but they're calling for 30 and out, which means that a man who has 30 years of service with the company has the right to retire at age 48, 50, 52 at a guaranteed annual monthly retirement income. Now the Unions are saying to the companies that we have to move out the older people and make room for the younger work force. Now whether or not you agree with that concept, that's the direction that most negotiations are going these days, and most agreements are being made. Now this Bill squeaked out of Committee, and it merely squeaked out of Committee 9 to 7. This is an encompassing type of Bill. I think there are many ramifications of it. I've spoken to the problems of the retirement aspects, but there hasn't been anything said that would guarantee anyone that he couldn't hire a six year old boy to run the lathe in the shop. Now, it sounds ludicrous, it sounds impractical, but from the constitutionality of the issue, I think this should all be part of the total consideration. There's other

APR 17 1975

42.

aspects that would disallow a company from even testing people in the 60 to 62, 64 age bracket, to see, in effect, whether or not they could perform in their present capacity. I think this is a dangerous Bill. I think it ought to be defeated. I think the Bill.... the concept is one that should be bargained at the bargaining table between the employer and the bargaining agent. I would urge your support to defeat this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Greiman, to close."

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on Page 1310, of our synopsis there, are listed the various Bills that we have put in for senior citizens. Ah.... and they run the gamut of human activity almost, but I submit to you that the truly important bill for ah.... aging Americans is one that will allow them to continue to work and continue to be a valuable and important part of our society. That's really the most significant thing we can do for any senior citizen, and I ask that we get a green light on this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The question is, shall House Bill 128 pass? All those in favor, will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wished? McCourt 'no'. Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 117 'ayes', 19 'nay' votes, 7 voting 'present'. House Bill 128, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 323, Mr. Griesheimer. Is Mr. Griesheimer on the Floor? Mr. Polk, will you remind him that his Bill is going to die on the Calendar. Thank you. House Bill 368. Mr. Hirschfeld."

O'Brien: "House Bill 368. Hirschfeld. Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, I had agreed with Representative Katz to hold this Bill at Third until he had an amendment prepared. I have not seen an amendment distributed, and I frankly don't see Representative Katz on the Floor at this time."

APR 17 1975

43.

Speaker Shea: "Take it out of the Record. Will it die? When will it die? My understanding it will die tomorrow. It was extended through the 18th, so I suggest that you and Mr. Katz, being good attorneys, get together. On the Order of House Bills, Third Reading appears House Bills 510. Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

O'Brien: "House Bill 510. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Health Facilities Authority Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to return House Bill 510 to the order of Second Reading for an Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The Lady ask leave of the House to move House Bill 510 back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment. Is there any objections? Hearing no objections, the Bill is taken back to the Order of Second Reading."

O'Brien: "Amendment Number 2. Chapman. Amends House Bill 510, on Page 17, Line 27, by deleting 'is' and inserting 'in lieu thereof 'are'."

Chapman: "As you can see, this is a very simple amendment. It changes an is to an are and it makes it clear that this refers to banks. This is an Illinois Health Authority Bill and that it refers to banks rather than the possibility it would be considered to refer to a bank. This was an amendment requested by BOB. I'm happy to ah.... accept this Amendment, and do move the adoption of Amendment Number 2 to House Bill 510."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner. The Lady..... Is there any discussion? The Lady from Cook, Miss Chapman, moves the adoption of Amendment Number 2. All those in favor will say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'nay'. Those opposed say 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Is there any further Amendments. The Bill will be returned

APR 17 1975

44.

to the Order of Third Reading and stay on the Calendar until tomorrow, so it can go through Enrolling and Engrossing. On the Calendar appears House Bill 591.

The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

O'Brien: "House Bill 591. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections of an Act in relation to state monies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 591, would provide that where the State Treasurer deposits State monies in a bank, that the... and that money is deposited into an account and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, that there will be no need for the bank to put up collateral in the form of securities or notes as is currently required. The change is that where the money goes into a fairly insured account, there's no need for the collateral any longer. I would request a favorable roll call."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion? The gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Perhaps a question, first, Mr. Speaker. Ah... could you explain this once more. As I understand it, the FDIC has increased the insurance amount on public monies to some numbers of tens of thousands of dollars. Perhaps even a hundred thousand dollars per account. Could you fill..."

Madigan: "One-hundred thousand dollars."

Skinner: "All right, what you're saying is, if a government deposits a hundred thousand dollars or less in an account in a bank for a given fund, they will be fully covered by the FDIC. Therefore, there's no reason for backup ah... paper?"

Madigan: "Backup collateral."

1950 7 7 1975

Skinner: "Well, collateral, paper, commercial paper or Federal paper, sure. Right?"

Madigan: "Correct."

Skinner: "That's an excellent idea, Mike."

Madigan: "Oh, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? The gentleman moves for the adoption of House Bill 591. All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'nay'. This question takes 89 affirmative votes. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 146 'aye' votes, no 'nay' votes, 9 voting 'present'. House Bill 591, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Senate Bills, Third Reading. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if it's really necessary to take up the time of the House for Senate Bills, Third Reading. Since we're trying to beat the calendar with respect to House Bills."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Telcser, Mr. Campbell from Vermilion has requested that we go to that Order. Mr. Cook from the Comptroller's Office was in to see the Speaker this morning and informed him that Mr. Lindburg will be unable to pay certain recipients of public aid, unless there's an appropriation out of this House and into.... up to the Governor for signature. Now it was the intention of the Chair to try to accommodate the Comptroller and the State Officers. That's the reason we're going to Senate Bills, Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading, appears Senate Bill 294, the gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I ask leave to have Senate Bill 294 returned to the Order of Second Reading for purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman asks leave to take Senate Bill 294 back to Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

APR 17 1975

46.

Hearing no objections, the Bill is back to the Order of Second Reading."

Campbell: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I defer to Representative Davis for the purpose of offering the Amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, the Assistant Majority Leader, Mr. Davis."

O'Brien: "Amendment Number 1. Davis. Amends Senate Bill 294 on Page 1 by adding immediately after line 16 the following: 'for aged, blind, disabled under article III, five million'."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this amendment was given to us. The reason it isn't in the Bill, the deficiency appropriation bill, is because they thought, when I say they, the public welfare, Director, thought they were going to get this five million dollars from ATW. The reason they didn't get it, they will get it later on, but they need it now, but the reason they did get it.... didn't get it is because ATW would only give the money on homes, nursing homes especially that had been inspected, that had been inspected. Some 237 of these homes was not inspected. Some of them declined to be inspected, and now that they've found out that they must be inspected, they've considered to be inspected. In other words, I tell you what they said to me. They said that the only way that they could get this home.... this money for these people, without the homes being inspected, would be that they would have to immediately move 4,000 people out of the homes that haven't been inspected and the point is, if 4,000 people moved out of homes that have not been inspected, where are they going. All of these homes will be inspected in the future. But we must have this five million now, and I'll tell you how serious it will be. They have about a million left. If they don't

APR 17 1978

47.

get this five million now, the checks will not go out for me, because for me, they will be better than almost three million, better than 2.6 million, will have to go out in May and they don't have the money, and it's just that serious. I leave it up to you and leave it up to your conscious. I move the adoption of the amendment."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment Number 1 and on the question, the gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Representative Davis, last week, the Director of the Department of Public Aid came before the Appropriations Committee, seeking some 68 million dollars, totally unprepared to answer any questions or even make a statement before our Committee. Is this.... and now, you're back asking for another five million dollars, that I'm certain is deserving and should be passed out of here, but I question the ability of the present administration to handle the funds of the Public Aid department. This.... I understand they're going to be back in for another 140 million next month, all deficiency appropriations. Now, certainly the poor people are deserving of this money, but if it can't be administered any better than that, Representative Davis, I would suggest there be some changes made. Can you explain why this five million? Wasn't it included? The Speaker earlier said that ah.... Comptroller Lindburg wouldn't be able to pay the Bill, through no fault of his own, I might add, Mr. Speaker. It's through the fault of the Director of the Department of Public Aid or whoever helps him figure out how much money they need over there, and I think that should be made very clear."

Davis: "Well, I'm as much concerned as you are, and I'm sure that Representative Chuck Campbell is as concerned as you are."

APR 17 1975

48.

We asked, we asked for the explanations. I'm sure they have sufficient staff, but they said that they were depending or expecting to get this money from ATW, and ATW will not give them the money now. It will come later. They will get the money, but ATW will not give them the money now. I ask the question ah.... that was proposed here about whether or not ah.... these so called ah.... panthem agencies or whatever you call them, I asked how many of those employed were being paid out of public welfare and the answer was, and I'll give you the answer, what they told me. Sixty-three. But this is, this is what they explained to me. Now there's two parts to this Bill. When it comes in, when the total Bill comes in, there will be two parts to it, Sir. One part will be administrative part, and the other part will be the assistants, and when the Director explained to you that not one of these people who were on GORE and those other agencies, not one of these people will be paid out of the assistants, they'll be paid out of the money that's appropriated for the administrative Department of Public Aid and not out of the money that's appropriated for assistants of Public Aid. I don't know whether I've given you all of the answers, but I've done my very, very best."

Ryan: "Well, Representative Davis, I certainly appreciate your answers. My point is, that a week ago, we were not permitted to ask questions of the Director, and had we been, he wasn't prepared to answer them, and so I'm curious as to why all of a sudden we've got to have five million dollar emergency measure. That's a lot of money, and I'm concerned about the total operation for that Department. A year ago when the Department of Public Aid came before the Appropriations Committee, they were told they were two hundred million dollars short from their request, and they completely ignored it, and it appears to me that the Governor of the State of



APR 17 1975

49.

Illinois is trying to 'hood-wink' the public by not letting them know the exact cost of this program, and that's my concern. Thank you."

Davis: "If you want me to read exactly what they said here, I'd be glad to read it. Ah.... initially 238 homes chose not to meet the immediate care home not to be inspected. And additional homes have been unable to meet these standards. 5,583 AAD recipients have been identified as shelter care cases, and must now be paid from the aged and blind. In other words, the current battle in the appropriations for aged and blind and disabled is less than two million dollars. Warrants totaling 28 million are scheduled to be processed the week of April 21, 1975. This Amendment will enable those warrants to be processed and sent out on schedule. In other words, they thought they were going to get this five additional million from ATW but they're not going to get it, and so they brought the Bill back, gave it to my distinguished colleague on the other side, Chuck Campbell, and myself and said 'now it's on your shoulder' and we're trying to do the very best we can with it, because we know if those checks are not made out, a lot of People will suffer who ought not suffer."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Ryan.... Mr. Ryan....But Mr. Ryan, I just want to make it perfectly clear that when I mention the fact that the Comptroller had asked for the money, I think all he's trying to do is insure that he will fulfill his statutory duty and I know he's got nothing to do with the amount of money that the agency requests, but I think he was concerned for the welfare of the people. Gentleman from Kankakee."

Ryan: "Mr. Speaker, I think that we're all concerned with the welfare of the People, and that's my concern, that the agency has to come in here and ask for 68 million, five million, 140 million, to get to the total that they want. I ask the Director last week how he could prepare a 12 month budget when he can't give us 30 days in advance."

4-21-1975

Speaker Shea: "Well, Mr. Ryan....."

Ryan: "And so certainly, we're all concerned about the welfare of the People here in this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "I know, Sir, but I just wanted to make it clear to you that I was in no way looking to put anything on Mr. Lindburg. The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, in support of them, I think it ah.... I think the Members of the General Assembly would like to know that for every dollar of Public Aid that's spent over fifty cents goes into the hands of people like Representative George Ryan. Mr. Speaker, out of every dollar spent, you know you get the stereo-type that it's a no good that's reaching his hand out for that welfare dollar, it's a black person, it's an Appalacian person. For every fifty cents out of every dollar, finds itself in the hands of doctors, pharmacists, people in the Medical Field. People in nursing homes, and there might even be a conflict of interest that he's standing up here talking about this Bill, Mr. Speaker. Fifty cents and more out of every dollar finds its hands in the professional, the upper crust of the population, the do-gooders, if you please, the do-gooders. Fifty cents out of every dollar and more. And if the pharmacists would cut their rates, the State of Illinois would save substantially."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think it's a little early in the Session for that kind of irresponsible name-calling....."

Giorgi: "I think there should be more of it."

Walsh: "And I think the gentleman ought to apologize, specifically to Representative Ryan and generally to everyone else here."

Speaker Shea: "I didn't here him use anybody's name in debate."

Walsh: "He used Representative Ryan's."

APR 17 1975

51.

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I think that the gentleman who just spoke from your side of the aisle owes an apology to every member of this House for implying that anyone would stand on this Floor because of a conflict of interest, take a position on a Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "A question to the Sponsor, Mr. Speaker, if we could get back to business."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer, indicates he wants to question Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis indicates he'll yield. Ladies and Gentlemen, this involves the expenditure of five million dollars of public funds. Might I ask you to be in your seat, so we can finish the debate."

Meyer: "Mr. Speaker, I am informed that this five million dollars has been withheld by the Federal Government because of the bungling and the inept administration of Governor Walker's program. Is this the reason why the five million dollars has been withheld, Mr. Davis?"

Davis: "Well, I will explain again. Perhaps you didn't hear me and I want you to know that I want to do this with the greatest courtesy at my command. I recognize every man's right in this House, because after all, you must submit your election to the cool, calm, judgement of the people in your district and they expect you to know about these things, and I'm going to give you the answer that was given to me. And this is the answer. I think maybe so that you'll better understand it, I'm going to read it off. Number 1, they expected to get this money from ATW. When I say 'they', I mean the Welfare Department, but ATW held up the money on all of those homes that had not been inspected and some of the homes, nursing homes I'm talking about with the aged and blind in it, some of the homes did not submit for inspection. They said they didn't need an inspection, but now that they find the money is

APR 17 1975

52.

being held up, the five million that they thought they were going to get, is being held up. Now that they find that the money is being held up, they've considered to be inspected. To be inspected, and they will be inspected, as has been explained to me and after they are inspected, they will get this money, this money will be released by ATW. This was the way it was explained to me. The money is really for the aged, for the blind and for the disabled. I know that by your questions, that you do not need to cause any injury to the aged, blind and disabled. I know that. I know that you are concerned about these answers, because the People in your district wants these answers. I know that. I know you're concerned because sometimes there is an attempt to make political issues and political footballs out of some of these people, but I don't believe that any Member of this House would attempt to do a thing like that, but all you want is answers, and I'm giving you the answers. Now Number 1, there are.... I think that in this House we have the finest group of lawyers anywhere in these United States, and while we abolish.... not abolish but refuse to fund GOHR and to other agencies, when we refuse to refund those other agencies, we should not repeal the Statutes of Authority, and the agencies so far as this legislature is concerned, so far as the law is concerned, is still on the books, and the Governor of the State, if you will just wait a minute, I'll.... the Governor of the State can and has been transporting money from one agency to the other. I have in my hand a letter that he sent to the Honorable George Lindburg, and let me tell you what he said. I hereby respectfully request for pursuant to the first sentence in Article V of Section 11 of the Illinois Constitution, Section 11 B of the Finance Act of 1919 that the unobligated balance of the appropriation to the Department of Mental Health and Development Disabilities for the operation of the Peoria State Hospital be now transferred

APR 17 1975

53.

to the Department of General Services. In other words, he transferred this money from the Peoria State Hospital. I have at least a half a dozen letters where he's been transporting this money. Now, it seems to me....It seems to me If you will bear me out.... that if you don't want this done....."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Davis....."

Davis: "What you should do is pass a law. You lawyers draw bills and make it unlawful for him to transport this money and this is what I'm saying. I'm just a layman. I'm not a lawyer. But it seems to me that he does have the right to do this, as long as he quotes the statute here, constitution and everything else and it seems that our Governor does have the right and he's exercising that right."

Speaker Shea: "Back to the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Meyer."

Meyer: "Thank you for recognizing me, Mr. Speaker. I have the utmost respect for the sponsor, but unfortunately what he has to do and the burden is that he has to drag along the bungling bureaucrats in the Department of Public Aid and it's a shame, Mr. Speaker, that the poor people, the sick and the infirmed are persecuted, mind you, persecuted by the Governor of this State by not making these inspections. The tragedy of justice, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, perhaps I can throw a little clarification on this. Actually ATW within the last couple of weeks has extended... given an extension to the State for those people that are in the intermediate care, life safety code ah.... houses and for those that were not inspected, there are 5,583 people on Aid, blind and disabled that would not meet specifications. This was originally paid out of a medical. Now it must be paid in the way of a grant form to those individuals and these people were not given an extension, and the reason the

Comptroller is interested in this, he doesn't want to be placed in a position that he can't issue the checks to those people, and for those on the Republican side of the aisle, I'd like to remind you that this was certainly an amendment, very interested in, by Comptroller George Lindburg."

Speaker Shea: "Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask Representative Davis a question. I'm entirely sympathetic, but I'm curious, in case ATW ah.... eventually gives us the five million, does that go into the General fund. Do you know or do they stick it in the pocket of the welfare authorities to use on some other projects?"

Davis: "Well, I would.... this is a curbstone opinion. You'd really have to see the Director, but I would say that it does go to the General Fund. All of the money that comes in from ah.... ATW goes to the General Revenue Fund."

Borchers: "Well, I hope you're right, because it would be interesting to watch it."

Davis: "I hope I'm right. I'd have to get the answer from the Director."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'm amazed at the amount of the debate we've had on this Amendment, seeing most Members do not have copies of it. I don't have a copy of it. I cannot find a Member on this side of the aisle that has a copy. I ask that it be taken out of the Record."

Speaker Shea: "The Clerk indicated to me that the Amendment had been distributed. Mr. Campbell put it on the desk yesterday, I believe, wasn't it, Mr. Campbell? Today, earlier today. Let's us check to see. The Clerk informs me that it has been distributed, or it's being distributed. I'm sorry. I think that young man in the red jacket has one there for you. The gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

APR 17 1873

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman has moved the previous question.

All those in favor will say 'aye'. All those opposed will say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the previous question was moved, that.... I'll take the 'yeas' and 'nays' again. All those in favor, say 'aye'. Those opposed say 'nay'. We'll take a roll call vote. Takes two-thirds. Somebody raising a point of order. Mr. Totten on a point of order."

Totten: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, thank you. Ah.... I persist in my objection on the amendment. It is not distributed over here and the page does not have it."

Speaker Shea: "The Clerk informs me that they're being distributed."

Totten: "It has not been distributed."

Speaker Shea: "Is that a Page on the Republican side with the Amendment? Will you please make sure that Mr. Totten has a copy? Now the question is, shall the debate end on this question? All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wished. Take the Record. On this question, there are 117 'aye' votes and 26 'nay' votes. The Motion carries. Now back to Mr. Davis to close."

Davis: "Ladies and Gentlemen, I move the adoption of the Amendment and I think the debate has been extensive enough. I've done my very best to explain it."

Speaker Shea: "All those in favor, will say 'aye'. Those opposed will say 'no'. In the Opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. Are there any further amendments? The Amendment is adopted and Third Reading. Now back to Mr. Ryan on a Point of Personal Privilege."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would like to thank the gentleman from Winnebago for his kind statements made earlier about me and

ah.... the attack he made on all of the physicians, the nursing home owners, the dentists and certainly his lack of concern for the taxpayers of the State of Illinois. I don't think there was anybody in this Chamber that was against this Bill, including me, but when there's five million dollars of the taxpayers money involved, all we wanted to do is ask a few questions. We were treated in the same manner a week ago in committee and we couldn't ask any questions, on a 68 million dollar bill and evidently you don't care about the taxpayer's dollar down here and so that's your prerogative, and I just wanted to thank you for your kind statements again, Mr. Giorgi, and I'll just tell you one more thing, that if it weren't for legislation for House Bill 488, we wouldn't probably have as many people on the relief rolls. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Third Reading. On the Order of Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 294, and the Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell. Senate Bill 294."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 294. A Bill for an Act making supplemental appropriation to the Department of Public Aid. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, ....."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Campbell, would you wait a minute. I think Mr. Telcser wants to raise a point of parliamentary inquiry. The Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, I raise a point that Rule 35, with respect to Amendments being engrossed in the Bill before it can be called a first time. I wouldn't want the Bill to pass and then for some reason to be declared invalid."

Speaker Shea: "I checked with the Clerk's office and we do not enroll and engross Senate Bills. We merely put on a copy of the original amendment, with the roll call vote, and I've been informed by the Clerk, we have done all the work necessary that we do in this Chamber in order to put this Bill



in Third Reading. We merely put the amendments and the roll call vote on the amendment. We do not enroll and engross the Bill in this Chamber."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, you're then saying that we treat Senate Bills different from House Bills?"

Speaker Shea: "Absolutely, because we send the Senate Bills back to the Senate for concurrence in the House amendments and they're enrolled and engrossed in the Senate Bills if they're concurred in. If not, they'll come back and be the subject of a conference committee. Does that satisfactorily answer your question, Mr. Telcser?"

Telcser: "For now, yes, Representative Shea."

Speaker Shea: "Now, back to the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Rule 35, which the gentleman invoked, makes no distinction between House and Senate Bills, and I would like to know how the Clerk's office can take upon itself not enrolling and engrossing the Bill? The Rule specifically says that that should be done, and I'd like to know how they can ah.... take it upon themselves not to do it."

Speaker Shea: "We do not alter a Senate Bill, Mr. Walsh...."

Walsh: "But the Rules do not make a distinction...."

Speaker Shea: "Can I finish, please, Sir?"

Walsh: "It looks like you're going to have to, Mr. Speaker, they turned me off...."

Speaker Shea: "No, I don't think they'll ever turn you off, Mr.

Walsh. I'm informed by the Clerk's office that in a House Bill, if we amend it on Second Reading, it's enrolled and engrossed with the Amendment so that when we vote on it in Third Reading, it's in final form. If it passes, it is sent to the other Chamber. With regard to Bills from the other Chamber, we add amendments to those Bills. The Amendments that are adopted are made part of the Bill with the roll

APR 17 1975

58.

call they've received in this Chamber. They are then sent back to the other Chamber. If the other Chamber concurs, in the House amendments, then they are enrolled and engrossed in that Chamber, then sent to the residing officer of that Chamber for signature, and then sent to the presiding officer of this Chamber for signature and then sent to the Governor's desk, hopefully for his signature."

Walsh: "Thank you, Dean Lee. Now, let me go back to the question which has not been answered. The Rule makes no distinction between House and Senate Bills and I do not see how the Clerk's Office can take that upon themselves, and I think that if a Member would ask that Rule 35 be invoked, then the Chair would have to have that Bill or any Bill, Senate or House, enrolled and engrossed, before considering it on Third Reading."

Speaker Shea: "I've been informed that the Order says the Speaker shall order the Bill and Amendment transcribed, typed and advanced to the Order of Third Reading. The Amendment has been transcribed and typed, the roll call by which the Amendment adopted was put along with the Amendment in the Bill. Does that answer your question now, Mr. Walsh?"

Walsh: "Well, my understanding of that rule is that the ah... Amendment must be incorporated as a part of the Bill and ....."

Speaker Shea: "Not with regard to Senate Bills, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, it doesn't say that, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "It doesn't say that it has to either, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Yes, it does. It says that after Second Reading of a Bill, and we consider House and Senate Bills here, the Speaker shall order the Bill and amendments transcribed, typed and advanced to the Order of Third Reading, so I submit to you that since it does not make a distinction, the Amendments are House and Senate."

APR 17 1975

59.

Speaker Shea: "The Amendment has been transcribed and typed.  
The...."

Walsh: "Of course it has. It has to be, but the Rules suggest  
that the Amendment become a part of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "Do you see the word engrossed in there somewhere  
in there?"

Walsh: "I don't think the word engrossed needs to be in here.  
There would be no point to the Rule if it did not mean  
engrossed."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, in order to clarify your ruling, what  
you are, in effect, saying, then, is that House Members who  
wish to have their House Bills passed have to go through  
an extra step, then do the Members who have Senate Bills.  
In other words, the Bills from the Senate that come over  
here from the House of Lords are easier to pass than are  
the House bills which originate in this Body. Now, is that  
what you're saying, Sir?"

Speaker Shea: "No, Mr. Telcser. I'm saying that Bills in the  
House of origin, before they leave the House of origin,  
are in final form."

Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, you're more concerned about  
the Senate than the House?"

Speaker Shea: "Now, Mr. Telcser, you know that's not true."

Telcser: "Well, your ruling doesn't indicate that, Sir."

Speaker Shea: "No, Sir, I'm just telling you what.... how the  
parliamentary procedure and the way the rules read, because  
the Senate, like when you send a Bill over to the Senate,  
and they amend it, you want the chance to tell them that  
you will not accept their amendment."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, if a House Member has a Bill on  
Third Reading, a few days before the deadline and he or she  
wishes to bring it back for amendments, that Bill may very  
well never pass out of this House because of the Ruling you  
are now making."

APR 17 1937  
 Speaker Shea: "I'm not making a ruling. . ."

Telcser: ". . . And let me tell you, next month the Members of this House are going to remember today and remember how you discriminated against House Members."

Speaker Shea: "Art', you know that's not true, the gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Campbell."

Campbell: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the first installment of a deficiency for the Department of Public Aid. The reason being that they have tried to set forth an amount necessary to carry the Department through the end of May; and at that time because of the economic conditions, they will be much more able to determine what the deficiency Appropriation will actually be; and for all of the Members on the House, when I handled the Appropriation Bill in the last Session, I think that I made it perfectly clear to you that the budget at that particular time was certainly . . . ah . . . under funded, but be that as it may, some at that time thought it would be in the neighborhood of \$75 to \$100,000,000. I understand its in the neighborhood of \$175 to \$200,000,000, so . . . but the idea being that they did want to come with this deficiency Appropriation to carry them through the end of May and, of course, as you know, from the information that was given for us as the result of the last Amendment that they will be out of money and I, simply, ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion on the Bill? The gentleman moves the adoption of House . . . Senate Bill 294. All those in favor will vote 'aye', all those opposed will vote 'nay'. Vote me 'aye', please. Have all those voted who wish? Geo-Karis 'aye'. Daniels 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. Tuerk 'present'. On this question there are 136 'aye' votes, 12 'nay' votes, 11 voting 'present'; and Senate Bill 294 is, hereby, declared passed. On the Order of House Bills, Second Reading, there appears House Bill 114, that's Mr. Hart's Bill. Do you want to call that today? Se . . . or

APR 17 1973

61.

House Bill 121. Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . ."

O'Brien: "House . . . House Bill 121, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 121 on page one by deleting line 17 through 28 and so forth."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask that . . . ah . . . Amendment Number One be Tabled. ah . . . We'll have Amendments Number Two and Three to offer in place of it."

Speaker Shea: "Is Amendment Number One a Ta . . . ah . . . a Committee Amendment, Mr. Porter?"

Porter: "Yes."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman moves to Table Committee Amendment Number One. Is there any discussion? Hearing none, the Amend . . . Committee Amend . . . Committee Amendment Number One is Tabled. Are there any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment Number Two, Porter, amends House Bill 121 on page one by deleting lines 17 through 28 and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following and so forth."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . Amendment Number Two . . . ah . . . to House Bill 121 provides a particular procedure for giving notice to all persons required to file Ethics Statements under present Law. There would be ninety days prior to the date on which statements are due to be filed. The Comptroller and the Clerk or Secretary of each Local Unit of Government would certify a list of those persons required to file . . . ah . . . to the Secretary of State and forty-five days prior to the due date, the Secretary of State would mail a notice from those lists indicating to each individual required to file the place where and the time when the statement should be filed. ah . . . He would also at the same time mail to each Unit of Local

APR 17 1975

62.

Government an alphabetical list of those persons required to file with him and this Amendment would, therefore, give each person in the State a proper notice of the time within which and the place where his statement should be filed; and I would move its adoption. The adoption of the Amendment, please."

O'Brien: "Representative Giorgi in the Chair."

Giorgi: "Representative moves the adoption of Amendment Number Two to House Bill 121. Questions? Representative Lechowicz on Amendment Number Two to House Bill 121."

Lechowicz: "Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Giorgi: "He indicates he will."

Lechowicz: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . John, what does this . . . ah . . . Amendment do?"

Porter: "This Amendment would . . . ah . . . provide a procedure, whereby, all those persons required to file Ethics statements would receive a notice from the Secretary of State of the place where and the time when they should file, its the basic purpose of it."

Lechowicz: "Now, what's the procedure that the Secretary of State is supposed to follow?"

Porter: "All right, he would receive from the Comptroller with respect to certain State employees and from the Clerk or Secretary of each Unit of Local Government a list of those persons who are to file. ah . . . Those would be provided to the Secretary of State in ninety days before the filing date. forty-five days prior to the filing date, he would mail from those lists a notice to each individual on them telling each individual the place where and the time when they're to file their Ethics statements; and he would also send an alphabetical list to each Unit of Local Government listing the people who are to file with that particular County Clerk. That's . . . that's the procedure."

APR 17 1975

63.

Lechowicz: "What's the fiscal impl . . . impli . . . implication . . ."

Porter: "I can't . . ."

Lechowicz: ". . . of your Amendment?"

Porter: "I can't . . ."

Lechowicz: "What's the fiscal impact of your Amendment?"

Porter: "I can't tell you, Ted, exactly what it would be . . . ah . . . I would say that probably it would require a mailing to . . . ah . . . approximately 20,000 people. So you can figure the . . . the cost of that would be . . . ah . . . maybe \$2,000 in First Class Mail, plus whatever time it would take for the employees of Secretary of State to do that."

Lechowicz: ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask for a fiscal note on this Bill."

Porter: "Well, this . . . this is Second Reading, we can, certainly, provide that."

Giorgi: "Fiscal note must be . . ."

Lechowicz: "Yeah . . . now, has the Secretary of State asked for this authorization, as well?"

Porter: "The . . . ah . . . Ted, we provided the Amendments to the Secretary of State about two weeks ago and have been in touch with . . . ah . . . Norm Billington, but I have not received any . . . ah . . . word from him positive or negative. He's here on the floor now. I have held the Bill for about two weeks waiting to hear from him."

Lechowicz: "Why don't you take it out of the Record for awhile."

Porter: "Well, we can do that, if you'd like, we'll go back to this Order today."

Lechowicz: "Thank you."

Giorgi: "Take it out of the Record. Senate . . . ah . . . House Bills, Second Reading, House Bill 154, Ron Hoffman. House Bill 154, Clerk."

O'Brien: "House Bill 154, Ron Hoffman, a Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One

Committee Amendment amends House Bill 154 on page one, line one, by deleting Sections and so forth."

Giorgi: "Ron Hoffman on Amendment Number One to House Bill 154."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Committee Amendment and what the Amendment does is make . . . ah . . . the provision for change of terms permissive; and I would move for its adoption."

Giorgi: "Is there any discussion on House . . . on Amendment Number One to House Bill 154? O.K., all those in favor for the adoption of Amendment Number One signify by saying "aye", the opposed "no"; the Amendment is adopted. Any further Amendments? Third Reading. House Bill 160."

O'Brien: "House Bill 160, Borchers, a Bill for an Act to prohibit employment in this State of aliens who are in the United States illegally. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment amends House Bill 160 on page one by deleting line 19 and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Borchers on Amendment Number One, House Bill 160."

Borchers: "ah . . . Amendment Number One . . . One it is unlawful for any employer in knowingly or intentionally . . . ah . . . in this State to employ an illegal alien. All it does is, its a housekeeping Bill, to reiterate in the first Section of the Bill what's in the second Section of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion?"

Unknown: "Roll Call."

Giorgi: "O.K., all those in favor of . . . ah . . . Representative Schlickman on Amendment Number One to 160."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, my only question is as to the form of the Amendment . . . ah . . . by it, apparently, new language is being inserted and I'm wondering if that shouldn't be . . . ah . . . underlined through the process of Engrossing."

Giorgi: "Is it a new Act or . . . ah . . ."

Schlickman: "It is a new Act."



Giorgi: "The Amendment's in Order then. All those in favor will signify by saying 'aye', the oppose 'nay'; and the 'ayes' have it. Amendment Number One to House Bill 160 is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Committee Amendment Number Two amends House Bill 160 on page one, line 14, 15 and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Borchers on Amendment Number Two."

Borchers: "Amendment Number Two is, again, just a housekeeping Amendment, it deletes the words 'State of Illinois' and inserts, thereof, 'the United States'; and . . . ah . . . in lines . . . ah . . . on page one, line 17, it inserts 'Treaty of the United States or any other National whose visa has been expired and who at the time of employment is residing illegally in this Country', that's to make certain those people who have . . . ah . . . don't have a . . . ah . . . whose visas have expired . . . ah . . . have not left the Country cannot get a job. I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion to Amendment Number Two? All those in favor of adopting Amendment Number Two to House Bill 160 signify by saying 'aye', the oppose 'no'; and the 'ayes' have it, and the Amendment Number Two is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Committee Amendment Number Three amends House Bill 160 on page one by deleting line 19 and inserting in lieu, thereof, the following and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Borchers on Amendment Number Three."

Borchers: "ah . . . This being Amendment was suggested by one of the Members of the Committee . . . ah . . . it, simply, points out and takes care of the fact that sometimes an employee agent does the hiring and brings the people into an employer. So it makes the employing agent liable instead of the employer; I move the adoption of the Amendment."

Giorgi: "Further discussion on Amendment Number Three? No further discussion. All those in favor in of adopting Amend-

APR 17 1975

66.

ment Number Three signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', and the 'ayes' have it; and Amendment Number Three is adopted. Any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Committee Amendment Number Four amends House Bill 160 on page one, line 20, by inserting after employment the following and so forth."

Giorgi: "Representative Borchers on Amendment Number Four."

Borchers: "Representative . . . ah . . . Representative Jacobs is the Author of this Amendment of which I accept . . . ah . . . it, merely, . . . ah . . . makes a seasonal . . . ah . . . seasonal agricultural labor . . . ah . . . for appeal of processing operations exempt . . . ah . . . from this Rule. Although there's approximately 6,000 in the State of Illinois according to Mr. Bar . . . Bartler the Head of Immigration of the State of Illinois, approximately 400,000, they don't on those how many, but approximately 400,000 illegal aliens in the State of Illinois. So . . . ah . . . we felt that . . . ah . . . because of the agricultural difficulties by the movement of illegal aliens or legal aliens as far as that's concerned, and most are illegal that would be too much of a difficulty for migrant workers to be . . . ah . . . checked out as thoroughly as people that go into the urban areas; if . . . ah . . . Representative Jacobs cares to make any further comment on that, I'll be glad . . . I'll yield to him now."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion? Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, it seems to me that this is a highly, discriminatory Amendment. The Bill is . . . was introduced and as I understand it prohibits the employment in this State by any employer of an illegal alien. By this Amendment we exempt from that prohibition the employment of seasonal agricultural labor for field or processing operations. It would seem to me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, if there are people in this

APR 17 1975

67.

State who are here illegally, they shouldn't be allowed to work anywhere and no group of employers by not being subject to the prohibition should be encouraged to employ illegal aliens. Seems to me that what's good for one group of employers should be good for another; and I'm not satisfied by the rationale that was given to this Amendment by the Sponsor. I would suggest a 'no' vote because of its discriminatory nature and by the encourage . . . encouragement that's contained in this Amendment to a certain group of employers to employ illegal aliens. It will just add to the traffic."

Giorgi: "Representative Lechowicz on Amendment Four."

Lechowicz: "Very briefly, Mr. Speaker, I concur completely with the comments made by Representative Schlickman, I think this is a bad Amendment and it should be defeated."

Giorgi: "Representative VanDuyne, from Will, on Amendment Number Four."

VanDuyne: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I concur with Representative Lechowicz and Mr. Schlickman also; and I . . . I submit to you that if you do allow these people to come into the Country and work as Mr. Borchers says . . . ah . . . how does he proceed to find them after the summer's work is over; and I, too, would hope that it be defeated."

Giorgi: "ah . . . Representative Jacobs on Amendment Number Four."

Jacobs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment Number Four was put on at the request of the Farm Bureau, the Independent Farmers Union and the NFO, National Farmers Organization; and, evidently, those who are speaking against the Amendment haven't visited the farms where they grow tomatoes, beans and the produce that goes into the canning industry. Now, what happens in each year during the harvest that the migrant workers come up from Texas in buses and so on and they go all the way up North to where they pick beans in other States and so on. Now, what happens is that they come up, the farmer does not speak Spanish, therefore, he is at a dis-

APR 17 1975

68.

advantage. He has no way of knowing. There's no other source where the farmer can go and get people to pick the tomatoes and the corn and so on that goes into the industry. So this was at the request of the Farm Bureau, and the Farmers . . . ah . . . National Farmers Organization and the Independent Farmers Union; and I recommend that this bi . . . Amendment be accepted."

Giorgi: "Any further discussion on Amendment Number Four. Mr. Borchers to close."

Borchers: "I . . . all I have to say is that it . . . in the Committee meeting I agreed to put on this Amendment. I accept this Amendment and I will abide by the Roll Call."

Giorgi: "All right then I think that the in the event the question will be shall the House adopt Amendment Number Four to House Bill 160, and we'll ask for a Roll Call vote, is that what you want?"

Borchers: "Yes, I do."

Giorgi: "So . . . ah . . . please vote 'aye' for the Amendment and 'nay' if you're against the Amendment. Have all voted who wish? Representative Schlickman on . . . ah . . . to explain his vote."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote and I'm doing so not take up the time of the House, but, apparently, there's some of the Members who are not familiar with what this Amendment would do. It is discriminatory in nature. It would allow one group of employers to employ illegal aliens while all other employers would be prohibited from doing so and subject to criminal sanctions. Seems to me that the Amendment is bad for two reasons. Number one, it discriminates . . . ah . . . between employers; and number two, it would encourage one group of employers to promote the traffic of illegal aliens into the United States . . . into the State of Illinois; and for those two reasons, I do encourage a 'no' vote."

APR 17 1975

69.

Giorgi: "Representative VanDuyne from Will."

VanDuyne: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote, I'd like to echo Mr. Schlickman's comment. ah . . . How do you really get across the essence of this Bill . . . ah . . . if you delete the provisions for a certain amount of people. Now, there's not anyone saying that the Farm Bureau or any of the migrant farm workers should come . . . should not be allowed to come into this Country, but they should come in legally. If the Farm Bureau and the small produce farmers want to get these people into the Country to help them out in the summer, that's fine, but just do it il . . . legally; and I . . . and I reiterate what I said before, how are you going to find these people . . . ah . . . after November. They migrate off and the sight of . . . and you lose sight of them they get . . . they go to work someplace else and its pretty tough to find them."

Giorgi: "Representative Cunningham on the . . . to explain his vote."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would, respectfully, suggest that the Speaker and those the rest of you who are voting 'red' are missing the point of what Representative Jacobs said. After his explanation there should have been no question about how this Amendment should be adopted. When you vote 'red' in this instance, you're voting for higher prices in the grocery stores; and you want to remember this, that there is no line of people wanting, whether they be employed or unemployed, that are waiting at the farmers door to do the kind of work that's involved here. This is hard, medial labor; its no credit to the workers of Illinois that they prefer to let foreigners do it, but we must recognize the realities of life; and a few weeks ago I saw all of you at the Farmers Breakfast and you enjoyed the hospitality of Illinois Agriculture, but you ought to in your own hearts decide that its time to have

APR 17 1975

70.

a day to be kind to farmers and in the . . . in that process be kind to yourself because there is no industry in Illinois that has shown the progress and the productivity of the Illinois farmers have during the post World War II years. This is a vital issue of the farmers of this land. Vote for the consumers in your area who are tired of high grocery prices, vote for yourself, vote 'green' in this instance and you'll be voting in the right direction. There isn't one of you here who could name a single, unemployed citizen in your District that wants a job on the farm and he'd go get it in a moment if he had it; and this is the way to help yourself and help your constituents. Vote 'green'."

Giorgi: "Representative Craig on . . . to explain his vote."

Craig: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I agree with . . . with the previous Speaker because this is the kind of work, I tell you, that you cannot get our people in our areas to do. This is really hard work and you'll have a lot of asparagus and a lot of your crop go by the wayside if we don't have this kind of help in and get this job done, and you're talking about a food shortage and it may be lost right in the field because of not being able to have enough workers of this type to do the work, to get these in, to get them in the can goods that your consumer has to buy; and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Giorgi: "Representative Neff to explain his vote."

Neff: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I speak in favor of this Amendment. We want to realize that these agricultural farmers quite often only employ these people for one to two weeks, they're short crops and . . . ah . . . vegetables and I do know this. I talked to some tomato growers and if we put this type of Legislation on them, they're just going to quit growing tomatoes; and has been brought up here, this is going to do nothing but raise your price of food and vegetables, and . . . ah . . . again, I want to emphasize that these farmers employ in these

APR 17 1975

71.

only employ . . . ah . . . these people two and three weeks quite often. Its just a short crop, these people come through the Country and to put this on an obligation to have to check these folks out and put a penalty on them is very unfair. I support this Amendment."

Giorgi: "The Assistant . . . no . . . the Minority Whip, Representative Simms."

Simms: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Amendment. Now, let's be real frank about where are the biggest abuses of individuals that migrate into this Country for in essence of some categories of slave labor; and that's an agricultural business. These people . . . ah . . . go down to the southern portions of this hemisphere. They encourage people to come to the 'Promise Land' . . . ah . . . for employment, they're brought up here and they're exploited, and what you're doing is, you're setting certain categories of people that its illegal to hire and the same time you're setting up another category that is legal; and I agree with Representative Lechowicz said to have to set up this type of system and to encourage this type of thing by State Legislation to authorize this type of a differential. Its discriminatory and what we're doing is encouraging slave labor in this Country."

Giorgi: "Representative Mautino to explain his vote."

Mautino: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, after hearing this . . . ah . . . Amendment in Committee, I think that what is important is that this Amendment has to do with a prerequisite for employment that the person have on his . . . ah . . . show proof of his citizenship. Its very difficult to have a migrant farm worker show his proof of citizenship as he . . . ah . . . moves along the . . . ah . . . picking of . . . ah . . . agricultural products. Its not to do with the hiring, the Amendment has to do with that man showing his proof of citizenship. If you'll read the Bill and put the Amendment in its proper prospective. Its for a

APR 17 1975

72.

job application, not for hiring."

Giorgi: "Representative Hudson to explain his vote."

Hudson: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'red' vote, it seems to me that what we're doing here is perpetrating perhaps a cruel hoax on these people. What we're really doing is encouraging some so called, illegal aliens, to come in and to take jobs and to earn money . . . ah . . . and realize the benefits from that kind of labor on their part. On the other hand, we're saying to other illegal aliens its wrong for you, its wrong for the employer and I think this is . . . I think . . . how does . . . how does the illegal alien who can't work explain his position to one who can, or the who can explain his position to the one who can't. Now, I don't . . . ah . . . I'm not a constitutional lawyer or authority, God knows, but I fail to see equal protection under the Law here. I can't imagine a group of people, employers, farm bureaus, or anyone else who would encourage something that they know to bill . . . be illegal in another sector, encourage the same thing, that is, encourage those who would participate illegally for their benefit. It seems to me to be irresponsible. I am not insensitive to the needs of . . . of labor and to the farmers to get the job done; but maybe what we're doing here is mounting arguments that will assure of a defeat of this Bill proper when it comes up. It seems to me that if . . . if we are designing, shaping a Law here, it means its improper for illegal aliens to be here working then it should be . . . ah . . . it should be equal for all across the board, and its as simple as that, and I . . . this is the reason for my 'red' vote. I don't understand."

Giorgi: "Representative . . . Representative Friedrich to explain his vote."

Friedrich: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, over a period of time a lot of Mexicans came in to my area to pick straw-



APR 17 1975

73.

berries, but the truth of it is, they . . . this does not preclude, if this Amendment is defeated, it does not preclude using aliens to pick strawberries or anything else. It just says they have to be . . . come in on a visa. Now, the ones that come in . . . ah . . . all . . . if you put this Amendment in, you're encouraging illegal aliens to come in. If you leave it off, if you defeat this Amendment, you just say that the aliens that come in have to come in on a work permit visa, and I don't think that there's anything . . . I think it should be that way because they can still come and the Department of Agriculture gives them a work visa. This Amendment should be defeated."

Giorgi: "Representative Jacobs to explain his vote."

Jacobs: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . I don't think really you grasp what the Amendment actually does. What you are assuming that all of the migrant workers are illegal aliens. Let me give you an example, if you were the farmer hiring migrant workers and you hired fifty. One . . . ah . . . migrant worker may be an illegal alien. This subjects the farmer to a fine of up to a \$1,000 a day, and there's no way that you can take and go through with migrant workers and have them show visa and everything else, because you can buy visas a dime a dozen anyplace you want to get them. I think that what you're doing is assuming that all of these migrant workers are illegal aliens and there not. There's only 400,000 probably in the whole Country and we're . . . here we are working on just a few, maybe 200 migrant workers that might come into the State of Illinois."

Giorgi: "Representative Rigney to explain his vote."

Rigney: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope you will consider for just a moment what you are doing under this Legislation to the vegetable growers of the State of Illinois. Now, a friend of mine who was a tomato grower

APR 17 1975

74.

told me one time that he had a group of migrant laborers there for about one week. A government man showed up one day and about half of his migrant laborers was out in the corn field, and that's the first that he had any inclination that there was anyone there illegally, but that was the tip off on that occasion. So, when you stop to realize that we're only talking about a very few thousand people, that we're talking about a seasonal type of thing for just a week or two in length and to subject our farmers, our vegetable growers, to these severe penalties; for this type of a situation is totally unwarranted, and I hope you're going to reconsider this thing and . . . and give this . . . ah . . . the 'green' vote that it deserves."

Giorgi: "The final person to explain his vote, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I was going to ask the Sponsor of the Bill, since we have a very close vote on the Amendment, . . . ah . . . to be recognized . . . to indicate just where his desires and thoughts were on this. I would think we normally want a Bill, the Sponsor thought of this idea, we'd like it in the shape that he thinks is best and I would like him to . . . to comment if he could by way of explaining his vote on how he would like this Bill shaped. I know how he is voting, but I'd like to hear his explanation."

Giorgi: "ah . . . Mr. Borchers is the final person, I'm sorry, he has to explain his vote, Mr. Borchers, to explain your vote."

Borchers: "I would just like to point out a couple of facts that actually only around 6,000 people are involved in migrant . . . ah . . . work in this area in the State of Illinois. Most of them, by far, are here legally. They move like a mass over the countryside. They move from one place to another with maybe a week or two weeks at the most as the crops advance from North . . . from South to North. I do not feel that this unnecessarily a bad Amendment. I'm half and half in

. . . in my opinion, of this is true, but when you consider that the urban area, the migrants, the illegal aliens are a permanent fixture. They go there to live, like in Chicago, or whatever town in Illinois. They stay there, they continue to stay there, they take a job and they hold it. They caught one man, for example, about three weeks ago in Chicago in the Sears Building that had \$15,000 that he was getting ready to send back. He didn't earn that overnight. He earned it over a long period of time. Now, there is some merit to this Amendment, and I feel that since only approximately 6,000 that are not all illegal, by any means, that about this number are involved in this that the Farm Bureau may have a valid point to . . . ah . . . because of the shortness of time involved and the difficulty in checking everyone, the difference in language; and that most of them are here by permission of the Federal Government, anyway, that this may be a valid Amendment until we see otherwise."

O'Brien: "Representative Shea in the Chair."

Shea: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz:"

Lechowicz: "All right, Mr. Speaker, before they announce the results, I would like the verification . . . ah . . . request a verification."

Shea: ". . . time there are 76 'aye' votes, 71 'nay' votes. The gentleman has requested a verification, Mr. Clerk. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Speaker, would you kindly take a poll of the absentees first."

Shea: "You want to poll the absentees before the . . ."

Lechowicz: "Yes, sir."

Shea: "The gentleman's request to the poll of the absentees first. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

O'Brien: "Brinkmeier. Byers. Choate. Deavers. Epton. Ewell. Ewing. Fleck. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Griesheimer. Hart. R.

K. Hoffman. Keller. Kosinski. Mann. Richmond. Sharp. Mr. Speaker."

Shea: "Proceed with the verification of the Affirmative Roll Call."

O'Brien: "Anderson. E. M. Barnes."

Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Speaker, could we have a little order and ask them to follow the Rules of the House as far as when their name is called? Thank you."

Shea: "Will the Members, please, be in their chair, we're at a verification of the Roll."

O'Brien: "Anderson. E. M. Barnes. J. M. Barnes. Beatty. Beaupre. Birchler. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capuzi. Carroll. Chapman. Coffey. Craig. Cunningham. Darrow. Davis. DiPrima. John Dunn. Ralph Dunn. Ebbesen. Farley. Fennessey. Flinn. Friedland. Gaines."

Shea: "Hold on for a minute, Mr. Ewing, did you wish to vote 'no'? Record Mr. Ewing is voting 'no', please."

O'Brien: "Giglio. Giorgi. Greiman. Grotberg. Jacobs. Jaffe. Katz. Kent. LaFleur. Lauer. Leinenweber. Mautino. McAvoy. McClain. McCourt. McGrew. McMaster. Malloy. Nardulli. Neff. O'Daniel. Palmer. Peters. Polk. Randolph. Reed. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Schraeder. Schuneman. Stearney. E. G. Steele. C. M. Stiehl. Stone. Stubblefield. Tipword. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Wall. Washburn. Washington. White. Winchester, and Younge."

Shea: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Collins came by and said this is a very simple Amendment. Vote 'yes' if you're in favor of low farm prices at the expense of human misery; and he persuaded me that that is correct. I'd like to change my vote from 'present' to 'no'."

APR 17 1975

77.

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld, wishes to change his vote from 'present' to 'no'. The gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I request the verification of Negative Vote, please."

Speaker Shea: "Can we finish with the Affirmative Vote first, please, sir. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on questions of the Affirmative Vote."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Mrs. Barnes, how has Mrs. Barnes voted?"

O'Brien: "E. M. Barnes . . ."

Lechowicz: "No . . ."

O'Brien: "votes . . ."

Speaker Shea: "J. M. Barnes."

O'Brien: "The lady votes 'aye'."

Speaker Shea: "She is in the Chamber."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. ah . . . Representative Beaupre?"

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman's in the Chamber."

Lechowicz: "ah . . . Brummet. How's the gentleman voted?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's voted 'aye'."

Speaker Shea: "Is Mr. Brummet in the Chamber? Take him off the Roll Call."

Lechowicz: "Representative Coffey."

Speaker Shea: "Is Mr. Coffey in the Chamber, yes, he is, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Representative John Dunn. I'm sorry, Ralph Dunn."

Speaker Shea: "Is Mr. Ralph Dunn in the Chamber's? The gentleman from Perry here? Take him off the Roll Call."

Lechowicz: "Representative Ebbesen."

Speaker Shea: "He's in the Chamber."

Lechowicz: "Representative Farley."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative Farley in the Chamber? Take him off the Roll Call."

Lechowicz: "Representative Flinn."

APR 17 1975

78.

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative Monroe Flinn in the Chamber?"

Take him off the Roll Call."

Lechowicz: "Representative Greiman."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative Greiman in the Chamber?"

Lechowicz: "All right, he's right here, I'm sorry, he came back."

Speaker Shea: "He's in the Chamber."

Lechowicz: "Representative Lauer."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative Lauer, the gentleman from Logan, here? Is that . . . ah . . . right next to you."

Lechowicz: "O.K., O.K."

Speaker Shea: "Would you put Mr. Flinn and Mr. Farley back on the Roll Call, please."

Lechowicz: "Representative McAvoy."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative . . . he's in the Chamber."

Lechowicz: "Representative McClain."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative . . . McClain is in the Chamber."

Lechowicz: "Nardulli."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative . . . Nardulli is in his chair."

Lechowicz: "Representative Polk."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative Polk on the floor?"

Lechowicz: "They're switching around on me. Representative Reed."

Speaker Shea: ". . . ask the Members to, please, be in their seats during the verification; I'm sorry, sir, proceed."

Lechowicz: "Representative Reed."

Speaker Shea: "Representative Reed is in her seat."

Lechowicz: "Representative Rose."

Speaker Shea: "Representative Rose is in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Representative Stubblefield."

Speaker Shea: "Representative Stubblefield is in his seat."

Lechowicz: "Representative Tuerk."

Speaker Shea: "Representative Tuerk, is Representative Tuerk on the floor. He's in the back."

Lechowicz: "Representative Waddell."

Speaker Shea: "Representative Waddell is in his seat."

APR 17 1975

79.

Lechowicz: "Who'd I miss. Frank. Representative McGrew."

Speaker Shea: "Is Representative McGrew on the floor? Take him off the Roll Call."

Lechowicz: "Representative Malloy."

Speaker Shea: "Representative Malloy? He's in his chair."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further questions?"

Lechowicz: "No, sir."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Clerk, the gentleman, Mr. Clerk, how many Affirmative Votes are there at this point?"

O'Brien: "73 . . . 7 . . ."

Speaker Shea: "No, how many Affirmative Votes?"

O'Brien: "73."

Speaker Shea: "There are 73 Affirmative Votes verified. The gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters."

McMasters: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I was off the floor for awhile, I, merely, wanted to know whether I had been verified off the Roll Call."

Speaker Shea: "How is the gentleman recorded on the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk?"

O'Brien: "This is McMaster . . . gentleman's recorded voting 'aye'."

McMasters: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. LaFluer. Mr. LaFluer . . . ah . . . the gentleman from DuPage."

Unknown: "Stay awake back there."

O'Brien: "Republican side."

LaFluer: "How . . . how am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

O'Brien: "The gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

LaFluer: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate." You're recorded as not voting."

Choate: "Vote me 'aye', please."

APR 17 1975

80.

Speaker Shea: "Record Mr. Choate 'aye'. Mr. Hart 'aye'. Mr. Keller 'aye'. Mr. Richmond 'aye'."

O'Brien: "You got those four names?"

Speaker Shea: "Mr. McGrew is here, vote him 'aye'. Miss Chapman . . ."

O'Brien: "You getting these names, George."

George: "uh hmm."

Speaker Shea: ". . . Chapman. Would you turn Miss Chapman's mic' on? Miss Chap-person."

Chapman: "Mr. Speaker, ah . . . I am convinced that I have been in error and I would like to change my vote from . . . ah . . . "aye" to 'nay', please."

Speaker Shea: "Miss Chap-person requests to change her vote from 'aye' to 'nay'. Mr. McAuliffe."

McAuliffe: "Mr. Speaker, I change my vote from . . . ah . . . from 'no' to 'yes'."

Speaker Shea: "McAuliffe goes from 'no' to 'aye'. Are there any further switches Amendments? Leverenz goes to "no". Leverenz, Representative Leverenz. Representative Greiman goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Representative Dunn is back in the Chamber's and wishes to vote 'aye'. Mr. Ralph Dunn. Leverenz goes to 'no'. Greiman goes from 'aye' to 'no'. Ralph Dunn is back in the Chamber, he's an 'aye' vote. Von-Boeckman is 'aye'. Now, could we tell me how many Affirmative Votes we have now, Mr. Clerk. . . . votes do you have Mr. Clerk, 'aye' votes. There are on this question there are 80 . . . 81 'aye' votes, 71 'nay' votes, 10 people voting 'present'; and the Amendment is adopted. Are there any further Amendments?"

O'Brien: "Amendment Number Five, Lauer, amends House Bill 160 on page one, line 20, by striking words, etc."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Logan, Mr. Lauer, to explain Amendment Number Five."



APR 17 1975

81.

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment Number Five, I think, will prevent a . . . ah . . . possible charge of discrimination because it strikes the language that requires that the employer have prior proof, prior to employment, . . . ah . . . of the . . . ah . . . alien. It is a . . . a situation that . . . that the owners of proof is on the employer and it must be before the employment of the individual. It seems to me by striking that language and . . . ah . . . permitting him to fur . . . to secure that information after employment that . . . ah . . . he is going to be protected from . . . ah . . . possible charges of discrimination under the Fair Employment Practices Act. I seek a favorable vote."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion? Is there any discussion? The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "There's two Amendments, which one is this one that's designated . . . both are sort of similar in a way. Now, which one is designated one or five. The one that is . . . ah . . . striking for the lines . . ."

Lauer: "21 through 23."

Borchers: ". . . 21 through 23, that's correctly . . ."

Lauer: "That's Amendment Number Five."

Borchers: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to oppose this Amendment. The Amendment would strike these lines, It says as follows: "As a prerequisite to employment, every employer shall demand and receive proof by the job applicant or prospect of employee of his citizenship or legal residence in the United States"; now, all this takes is a paper that he's . . . ah . . . required to take with him anyway, if he's an illegal alien, his visa, his entry permit . . . ah . . . or his social security number or birth certificate; and this all requires that if it . . . ah . . . knocks these . . . ah . . . this prerequisite out, why, it will really . . . this would, I think, nullify the

APR 17 1975

82.

the effect of the Bill. We should not hire . . . we should not hire . . . ah . . . any illegal alien without proof of citizenship or to prove that he is a legal alien."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a Point of Order. I do not have a copy of Amendment Number Five and would suggest that it has not been distributed . . . ah . . . according to the Rules."

Speaker Shea: "Has Amendment Number been . . . Five been distributed? Mr. Schlickman, you're right; the Amendment hasn't been distributed, we will just take this Bill out of the Record and leave it to sit on the Order of Senate . . . or House Bills, Second Reading and call it again. Agreed Resolutions. The gentleman from Winnebago on Agreed Resolutions. Turn the gentleman from Winnebago on, please."

Giorgi: "I'm on, the Clerk should read . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Clerk on the Agreed Resolutions."

O'Brien: "House Resolution 190, Beaupre. House Resolution 191, DiPrima. House Resolution 192, DiPrima. House Resolution 195, Hirschfeld. House Resolution 196, Yourell. House Resolution 197, Geo-Karis. House Resolution 201, Madigan."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, the Agreed Resolutions are . . . ah . . . 190 by Beaupre for Harry Skelski, who is going to retire after 27 years of service; by DiPrima to Gray Salisbury, who was chosen National President of the Ambassador Auxiliary; by DiPrima, Esley Burdine, who was elected National Committee of Veterans of World War II; by Hirschfeld for Mrs. Frieda Morris on her 25 years with the County Clerk's office; by Yourell honoring Mrs. Merry Mac . . . Marckeral, Clerk of the City of Chicago, who is pronounced "clean as a whistle" by United States Attorney in the Northern District of Illinois; the . . . ah . . . by Geo-Karis to Richard F. Kennedy, publisher of the New Sun Waukegan on his golden deeds award; by Madigan who wants to honor the parishioners

APR 17 1975

83.

of Saint Marie's parish in a surprise dinner; pastor of a . . . and a surprise dinner and the other two are . . . ah . . . Death Resolutions. I move for the adoptions of those Agreed Resolutions . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Winnebago on the Agreed Resolutions."

Giorgi: "I move for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Shea: "Gentleman moves for the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All in favor say "aye", all oppose say "nay"; the "ayes" have it and the Resolutions are adopted. Mr. . . . Mr. Madigan for the purposes of suspending a Rule."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker, I move to postpone the posting requirements for all Committees which will meet next week until tomorrow at noon which would be Friday, April 18 at noon."

Speaker Shea: "Gentleman's motion is to postpone and allow the Committees to post for all meetings next week until Friday at noon. The gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn, on the motion."

Washburn: "ah . . . Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this has been discussed and as a necessary motion I would . . . ah . . . move for this . . . its adoption along with Representative Madigan."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion?"

Unknown: "Roll Call. Roll Call."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz, on the motion."

Lechowicz: "No, sir, I'm sorry."

Speaker Shea: "All right, the gentleman's motion is to allow the Committees to post until noon tomorrow for the hearing of House Bills commencing next week. All those in favor will vote "aye", those opposed vote "nay". It takes 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk."

APR 17 1976

84.

On this question there are 135 "aye" vi . . . "aye" votes, 1 "no" vote, 2 voting "present"; and the gentleman's motion carried. Now, there's a number of people here that are requesting recognition for announcements and various and miscellany. The gentleman from McLean, Mr. Bradley."

Bradley: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it so often happens when you thank some people for doing a commendable job, you, inadvertently, miss a few people; and last week I thanked the people in the Reference Bureau for going to . . . ah . . . doing such an outstanding job of preparing the Bills for Introduction last Saturday night. It has been called to my attention that we also have . . . we're using . . . ah . . . the people in Enrolling and Engrossing, the secretaries over in the Secretary of State's office, secretaries in the Speaker's office . . . ah . . . the workers and young people, the young men in the Computer Room and so if I've missed anybody its . . . ah . . . another opportunity for me to say "thank you" to for each and everyone of them for us to meet that deadline of Saturday night. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Thank you, Mr. Bradley. Now, let's see, we'll take these in order. We'll start with Mr. Katz for an announcement."

Katz: "Ah . . . this is . . . ah . . . to let everyone know that Judiciary II, which would normally be meeting tomorrow afternoon in 113, will be meeting tonight in D1 at 7 p.m. so that Members and people having Bills before the Committee should know that the meeting is tonight at 7 p.m. in Room D1."

Speaker Shea: "Was that posted properly, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Oh, it certainly was, Mr. Shea, It was properly posted, but I just wanted to alert everyone because they're used to coming to Room 1113 and I thought they may not have read the posting very carefully."

APR 17 1975

85.

Speaker Shea: "Now, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this morning I had filed with the Clerk a Resolution: House Resolution 199 and I asked that . . . ah . . . that Resolution be read by the Clerk at this time. Let me just briefly summarize what's contained in the Resolution. As you know, tomorrow is the date that the Mayors through the City of Chicago will be sworn into office, and, in turn, many of the Members from this Assembly, unfortunately, will not be able to attend the ceremonies in City Hall; and for this reason and for many other reasons, I prepared a Resolution and submitted it to the Clerk's office this morning, hopefully, hoping that it would be adopted today, so we could send it to the Mayor, the City Clerk and the City Treasurer expressing our best wishes on this very important day in his life and the life of all the people in the City of Chicago. Unfortunately, the Minority Leader thought that he'd seen some words in there that he didn't agree with. Maybe he didn't agree with my description as far as the progress in the City of Chicago under the Mayor's Administration and his Staff as well; but I would hope that the House . . . that Members of the House would give me this permission, supply the necessary votes to suspend the Appropriate Rules for immediate consideration of House Resolution 199."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman asks for immediate consideration of Resolution 199, do you want to read the Resolution?"

O'Brien: "House Resolution 199, Redmond, et al. Whereas, on April 18, 1975, the honorable Richard J. Daley commences his sixth term as Mayor of the City of Chicago, and, whereas, Richard J. Daley has served as Mayor of the City of Chicago for twenty years during some of the most challenging and dynamic times in the history of that great city, and, whereas, Mayor Daley has deservedly achieved a reputation as an

APR 17 1975

Administrator, as a Leader, as an advocate of the best interest to the people of Chicago and the big cities of the Nation . . . ah . . . unequaled by any other municipal official in the history of this Nation, and, whereas, this special honor to the people of Chicago have elected Richard J. Daley to six successive terms, which is unprecedented, and, whereas, James C. Marson has served five terms as Mayor Daley's . . . with Mayor Daley as the City Clerk of Chicago and has been re-elected for his sixth term, and, whereas, John Marson has served loyally and with dedication to people of Chicago and Mayor Daley and will be sworn into his sixth term of office April 18, 1975, and, whereas, Joseph G. Bartran has served one term as City Treasurer of the City of Chicago and has been re-elected for a second term, and, whereas, Joseph G. Bartran has served the people of Chicago and Mayor Daley with loyalty and distinction will be sworn into his second term of office on April 18, 1975, and, whereas, the Members of this House in honor and respect to Mayor Daley and his many achievements . . . achievements and share this admiration and respect with Mr. Marson and Bartran, who have served with Mayor Daley in governing the City of Chicago; therefore, be it resolved by this House . . . this House congratulates the Honorable Richard J. Daley on the occasion of his commencing his sixth term in the office of Mayor of Chicago, the Honorable John C. Marson on the occasion of his commencing his sixth term in the office of City Clerk of Chicago, and the Honorable Joseph Bartran on the occasion of his commencing his second term of office of City Treasurer of Chicago; and be it further resolved that this House salute Mayor Daley for the great honor bestowed upon him by the people of his city and in electing him to the Sixth term and wish him well in his coming term of Office, and be it further resolved that suitable copies

APR 17 1975

87.

of this Preamble and this Resolution be presented to the Honorable Richard J. Daley, Mayor of Chicago, the Honorable John C. Marson, City Clerk of Chicago, and the Honorable Joseph Bartran, City Treasurer of Chicago at their swearing-in ceremonies on April 18, 1975."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz moves to suspend Rule 41 for the immediate consideration of the Resolution. Is there any discussion? The Assistant Minority Leader, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the gentleman suggested that the Minority Leader objected to this, that is not accurate. The Minority Leader has delegated the consideration of Agreed Resolutions to me and . . . ah . . . I don't consider that a particular honor, incidently, I did, however, take this to one of the other Assistant Minority Leaders and talked with him about whether he thought that this should be an Agreed Resolution. We concurred that it should not be. Briefly, our reasons are this . . . ah . . . these, Mayor Daley is not just a Public Official, he is a "party" Official; and in both . . ."

Speaker Shea: "There's a Point of Order, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "My Point of Order, Mr. Speaker, that item is not covered in the Resolution at all."

Speaker Shea: "I think your point is well taken. Proceed Mr. Walsh."

Unknown: "That's a terrific point."

Walsh: "Now, let me call your attention to the third, whereas, in the Resolution, and I wonder if everyone here can agree with what this says, "whereas, Mayor Daley has deservedly achieved the reputation as an Administrator, as a Leader, and as an Advocate of the best interest of the people of Chicago and the big cities of this Nation unequalled by any other municipal official in the history of this Nation", now, I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, that this is at least open to discussion. I think if I had to vote on that particular

FEB 17 1976

88.

"whereas" right now, I'd vote "no". I call your attention to the next "whereas", "whereas, it is a special honor that the people of Chicago have elected Richard J. Daley to six successive terms, which is unprecedented"; well, I agree that its unprecedented and I suggest to you that . . . ah . . . Richard J. Daley, himself, is unprecedented in many, many respects. There's no reference in here to the \$200,000, Mr. Speaker, that Richard J. Daley's son got in insurance commissions . . . I . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Lechowicz on a Point of Order."

Lechowicz: "I would hope that the Assistant Minority Leader would re . . . contain his remarks to the Resolution. Now, if you want to go in by chapter and verse, I'll debate Dick Daley's record with you or any other Member in this House between now and the end of this Session, my friend, and believe me we still wouldn't have enough time for all the good that he's done for the City of Chicago and this State of Illinois. Now, I would he was . . ."

Speaker Shea: "On the Point of Order, Mr. Lechowicz..Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, that's the purpose of . . ."

Speaker Shea: "On the Resolution, sir."

Walsh: "Well, that's the purpose of Committees and I think that . . . ah . . . since Mr. Daley is a . . . ah . . . an at least controversial person and because this language is . . . ah . . . laudatory, I think that the Resolution ought to go to Committee."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion? Is there any further discussion, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: ". . . Resolution 44."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any further discussion on this Resolution? Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to break party ranks in this matter to vote for the Mayor of Chicago. We live far down in the Country in the



54th District, but we know where the political muscle is and it might interest the people across the aisle to know that I had a Resolution to make . . . ah . . . a State Holiday of his birthday because, I didn't get it down to the LRB in time, but we recognized the real muscle that's involved and I'm trying to tie our freeways with cross-town express, maybe we can work something out. So I'll vote "aye" on your Resolution."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Collins on the Resolution." Is there any further discussion on this? Mr. Lechowicz to close on the suspension of the Rules."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd appreciate your Affirmative Vote, as you know, tomorrow is the day that they're being sworn in; I'd like to have this Resolution there to be presented because we can't be there in person; and I would hope that the will of the House would giving this a favorable consideration. Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "On the gentleman's motion, all those in favor will vote "aye", those opposed will vote "nay". Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this there are 116 "aye", 3 "nay", 2 voting "present"; the Rules are suspended for the immediate adoption of the Resolution, now, to Mr. Lechowicz on the Resolution."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Resolution was read. I appreciate suspension of the Rules. I would hope that the Membership of the House sees fit to pass the Resolution, and, in turn, I would state, publicly, anyone who is interested become a Co-Sponsor, please ask your name . . . have your name added at the Clerk's desk, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Is there any discussion on the Resolution? The gentleman from Kane, Mr. Grotberg, on the Resolution."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; and Ladies and Gentlemen of

the House, . . . ah . . . Mr. Lechowicz, of course, I'm going to vote for your Resolution, but I think there's a glaring error, on behalf of the House, at this same period and time, and its too late for such a Resolution, I would like the Record to show that there are several hundred Mayors that are being sworn in tomorrow and Alderman, etc., many of whom, fortunately or unfortunately, have served as long as Dick Daley and some of them longer, and I would like the Record to show that this House also commends each and every one of them; and that's all I have to say."

Speaker Shea: "On the gentleman's motion to adopt House Resolution 199; all in favor will say "aye", those oppose "nay", in the opinion of the Chair, the "ayes" have it and House Resolution 199 is adopted. To the Lady from lake, Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . House Resolution 198 . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, relates to a very important incident in the Bicentennial Celebration of this Country. ah . . . Would you like to have the Clerk read this Resolution? All right, . . . ah . . . all that this does is . . . is commemorate . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Can . . . Can I explain why this is not an Agreed Resolution. It calls for the Expenditure of Public Funds and takes a Roll Call vote. Do you . . . now, you move to suspend the Appropriate Rule for immediate consideration of the Resolution, if you want to explain what the Resolution does."

Geo-Karis: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Resolution commemorates the 19th day of April, 1975, as the Bicentennial Anniversary of the Shot heard around the World which inspired a "Lexington Dream" and all this Resolution is asking is that, and it goes on to

talk about the ride of Paul Revere and . . . ah . . . William Daws in Boston-Lexington. All this Resolution does it is asking that a tree be planted . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Does it have to be . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . at each facility of the State of Illinois beginning with the various sights of the State Capitol and we urge all local communities within this State to undertake a tree-planning program on the occasion of the Bicentennial Anniversary of the death of the original Liberty's tree."

Speaker Shea: "The . . . the lady moves for the suspension of the Appropriate Rules for the immediate consideration of the Resolution. Is there any objection? Leave to use the last unanomous Roll Call? Leave at the unanomous Roll Call.

The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan, are you objecting?"

Houlihan: "I have a question for the Sponsor."

Speaker Shea: "Well, can we get to the Resolution or have you . . . is it on the suspension of the Rule that you're . . ."

Houlihan: "Its on the suspension of the Rule."

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Houlihan has a question with regards to the suspension of the Rules. The Sponsor indicates she'll yield, Miss Geo-Karis."

Houlihan: "Adeline, in suspending the Rules, we would not allow the Department of Conservation or anybody who would be responsible for this to testify in Committee. Have you . . . ah . . . has approval or brought this to the attention of those people who would be required under this Resolution to plant these trees."

Geo-Karis: "ah . . . No, because, frankly speaking, the 19th of April takes place in two days from today. It was just called to my attention this week about getting such a Resolution through and the expenditure, it doesn't require the State Conservation Department to do it, it, simply, says that the State of Illinois will plant the trees at various sights in commemoration of the Bicentennial Celebration of

this Country, that's all; and the local communities are urged to follow through, but that's a very . . . its as simple as that. It isn't that expensive and its no mandatory requirment on the Department of Conservation."

Speaker Shea: "Does that answer your question?"

Houlihan: "No . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I answered it."

Speaker Shea: "Do you still object? The gentleman would . . . removes his objection. Now Mr. Katz on a question."

Katz: "No, Mr. Speaker, you asked if anyone objected to bypassing the Committee and I indicated that I did, that's how it was. I just think that this kind of matter ought to go before the Committee and not be raised on the floor in this way."

Speaker Shea: "The lady renews her motion to suspend the Appropriate Rules for the immediate consideration of House Resolution 198; this will require 107 votes. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay'. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 77 'aye' votes, 14 'nay' votes, 6 'present', and before I announce the Roll Call, did you want to say something Miss Geo-Karis?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know that the Rules are made to be followed, but I also know there's been exceptions to the Rules. I would not have asked to receive special consideration on this Resolution if it was in an effort to show joint Sponsorship, more or less, this whole House agreeing with me that the 19th day of April which is the day of 19th . . . 1775, April 19, that Paul Revere made his famous ride and, maybe, as a commemoration item of the Bicentennial Resolution, we haven't done anything on it, and the day is two days past, and I was hoping that we could get a unified support on this thing. I think its important

to the History of this Country. That's why I asked you to suspend the Rules, not because I'm trying to to. I don't think I've suspended the Rules before in my two and a half years that I've been here."

Speaker Shea: "Is the . . . is the voting machine still open, Mr. Clerk?"

Geo-Karis: "Would it . . . I would appreciate your respectful consideration of this Resolution. Its not for me, its for all of us, for everyone here . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Adeline has made such a great plea. Dump the Roll Call and take a new one for the lady. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed vote 'nay', and this requires 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Porter 'aye'. Take the Roll. On the main motion, the question is shall the Resolution . . . on this Roll Call there are 114 'aye' votes, 10 'nay' votes, 5 voting 'present'. The lady's motion to suspend the Rules is adopted. Now, to the main question, shall the Resolution be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', . . . I'm informed by the Clerk that it expends money and it requires a Roll Call vote. So all those in favor will vote 'aye', those oppose say 'nay', and I will call on the gentlemen to explain their votes. The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, we talk about Appropriation, but I haven't heard any amounts involved; and I don't know who's going to plant the trees and who's going to be responsible; and I think this is a little bit irresponsible for the General Assembly to willingly pass an Appropriation Amendment without having any debate on it; and I would have to voice an objection. If I don't even . . . ah . . . I might ask for a fiscal note, if I don't get some kind of answers."

Speaker Shea: "Back to the lady from Lake to explain what the Resolution does."

Geo-Karis: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are now in the Bicentennial Era. The expense for a tree to be planted at each facility of the State of Illinois for the various sights of the Capitols, and then urging the local communities to . . . within the State they are to take a tree-planting program. I think its a very progressive, constructive and one that's indi . . . indicative and commemorative very properly of the . . . ah . . . famous tree that was cut down by the British. May I tell you that during the Stamp Act Rebellion the Sons of Liberty hanged British Loyalists in effigy from the Liberty Tree. The Liberty Tree was a graceful Elm, which stood on Washington Street in Boston Common. When, in 19 . . . 1775, the British cut down the Liberty Tree in a vain attempt to erase the memory of that symbol of Freedom, they did not succeed in erasing the desire for Freedom in our Revolutionary Patriots. All I'm asking is for you to consider this Resolution favorably and I'm sure the State could work out the finanace. How much finance will it cost, \$10,000 at the most to plant the trees in the State facilities. Its one lousy little tree, but its an important symbol of the Bicentennial Revolution Era. That's the day that the midnight ride of Paul Revere took place, and that's the same day nearby Concord that the Minimin fired the first field shots at the Redcoats of King George the Third. I, respectfully, request your consideration and your favorable vote. Its nothing to expense it at all. Its a Resolution."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, as long as we're going to hold Committee hearings on the House, I have a question I would like to inquire of the lady. Can she advise me the amount of money that the State of Illinois is spending in this year for the Bicentennial Commission?"

Geo-Karis: "ah . . . Mr. Katz, I really can not, but I do not know of any tree planting program in . . . involved in any of the State's expenditures for the Bicentennial."

Katz: "Well, I would want to say to the lady that I believe that upon investigation it would be disclosed that we are spending more money for the Bicentennial Celebration in Illinois than we are spending for any Commission you can name, the School Problems Commission, the Election Commission; you can name a half a dozen critical Commissions, and we are spending more money for the Bicentennial Commission than we are spending for any of those; and I do believe that it is, rather, a misplaced kind of expenditure and that it is also a, rather, not very good kind of procedure under which when we are spending a half a million dollars for some Commission somewhere that we then raise on the floor of the House the question of other expenditures. I do believe that we ought'to look . . . take a look at the whole question of whether or not we are really serving any useful purpose by the hundreds of thousands of dollars that are being spent, which does not really celebrate anymore than if we didn't spend the expenditure. That's all I have to say."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that the true intent of this Resolution has not been brought forth yet. I . . . I know that Geo is a . . . a dog lover, and for all future generations of dogs I suggest that you all vote for this Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, again."

Schraeder: "Again, I'd like to reiterate the cost of this program, and I think, maybe, I'm not going to vote for it; and I'm going to ask for a fiscal note if we don't get one, but I think we'd better off sending it to Committee and maybe they

would want to include in that a . . . a planning of a Carry Key program to honor George Washington."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker, thank you, I just wondered to whom the lady gave credit for making that ride, whether or not the Resolution states its Paul Revere."

Geo-Karis: "That . . . the . . . that night marked the midnight ride of Paul Revere and William Daws from Boston to Lexington. It only comes once every two hundred years and that's why I respectfully ask your consideration . . . ask your consideration, only once."

Palmer: "Well, the . . . the point that I . . . Revere didn't make that ride, he made part of it, Daws really made the ride, so I suggest that you get your facts straight, as a matter of historical accuracy."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madison."

Madison: "A point of Parliamentary Inquiry, Mr. Speaker, I know that the . . . that the Chair has, generously, allowed explanation of votes. Is it the decision of the Chair that it will also allow the explanation of no votes. I've heard a couple of people explain their votes and they are, in fact, not voting, Mr. Chairman."

Speaker Shea: "Your point is well taken. Have all voted who wish? The gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers, I'll get back to you, Mr. Schraeder."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, and Members of the House, I'm a member of the Special Events Commission . . . Commission, which is working with the Bicentennial Commission in number . . . numerous ways and I wanted to second what Representative Katz had to say. We are spending a lot of money. Now, I have no . . . nothing against the . . . ah . . . ride of Paul Revere . . . ah . . . but it just happens, it seems to me we must consider how many State Institutions there are, who's going to plant these trees, are they going to



APR 17 1975

97.

buy these trees all over the State of Illinois and then ship them out to all these Institutions; this is quite a problem. We have a lot of Institutions. Does it mean the University of Illinois? Does it mean the Mental Health Facility? I presume it does. Well, now, buying all those trees, properly placing them, paying for them, the bids being . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Please, Mr. Borchers, if you'll wait a minute; Miss Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Obviously, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentleman of the House, its not quite clear to some of the Members, and rather than take up very valuable time of this Assembly, I ask that it be taken out of the Record, so I can bring it back tomorrow with your fiscal note and even the O.K. of the Department of Conservation."

Speaker Shea: "The lady requests to take it out of the Record. The Resolution is out of the Record. Now, are there any more announcements before we adjourn? The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, in the rush of Bills last Saturday . . . ah . . . one of the . . . I was, inadvertently, listed at Key-Sponsor of one of Representative Mann's shore line Bills that probably seizes my house in Harlem Park and turns it over to the State. That's House Bill 2618, and I, therefore, ask leave to withdraw as Key Sponsor which is a clerical error and have Representative Mann listed as Key Sponsor of House Bill 2618."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Pierce, you move to . . ."

Pierce: "I ask for leave to have my name removed as Key Sponsor, which is a clerical error in the rush . . ."

Speaker Shea: Is there an objection? In hearing no objection . . ."

Pierce: "on House Bill 2618 and Mr. Mann listed."

APR 17 1975

98.

Speaker Shea: ". . . your name will be removed. Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, a Parliamentary Inquiry. We passed the suspension of the Rules, again, for all Committees to be posting for next week as of tomorrow noon."

Speaker Shea: "That's correct."

Maragos: "Will that be . . . what is the position if we do not complete all the Bills that are heard in Committee tomorrow afternoon? Can we continue those Bills 'til Tuesday morning, for instance, for the Tuesday Committees without further notice?"

Speaker Shea: "No, I think the Rule is that they must be posted, Mr. Maragos. So I might suggest that when you post for next week that perhaps . . ."

Maragos: ". . . all the Bills that were on the . . ."

Speaker Shea: "You could do it that way."

Maragos: "All right, thank you kindly; and I would like to announce that the . . . ah . . . Revenue Committee will be meeting tomorrow afternoon and, again, on 8 a.m. next Tuesday morning, April 22. So those of you who want to postpone your Bills tomorrow afternoon, let us know so we can put 'em on for 8 a.m. on Tuesday morning, April 22, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "All right, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "ah . . . Yes, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise on a Point of Personal Privilege or a Point of Order, I'm not sure which, but it is to protest the schedule that has been given us for the next two weeks, which I can only describe as ludicrous and ridiculous. I think that it would . . . that we are in a situation, now, that was quite predictable last week when many of us rose to protest the way in which Bills were introduced over the weekend, completely, out of control of . . . of the Sponsors of the various Bills and, merely, to satisfy an arbitrary deadline which had been imposed by ridiculous Rules, which

APR 17 1975

99.

I have, consistently, opposed in the past, and I do recall at the time, Mr. Speaker, and I must speak, directly, to you, we were led to believe that no Bills would be introduced in shell form. I am now informed that there are many Bills and as a matter of fact, I am told hundreds of Bills that have been introduced in shell form and I hope I'm mistaken, but I am told that you, yourself, have introduced a number of those Bills. So I think the Membership was misled and I do believe its unfair, but it, merely, points out that we were put in a position of lack of information due to these deadlines that were established arbitrarily and I . . . and I, certainly, believe unfairly. Now, we are given a schedule, which at best can be described as man-killing, again, only to conform to arbitrary deadlines, which should be and must be, I think, . . . ah . . . lifted at this time. And I do implore you and the Leadership of the . . . on your side of the aisle to please bring some sense to this schedule. I notice the last day there's something about cleaning up Committees; I suggest we better clean up the House and we better do it fast. We don't have time in this schedule that you're giving us crushing everything into a short two-week period to give proper consideration to all the matters that come before us. We all know we have a record number of Bills to consider. We can't, we can't . . . honestly and sincerely address ourselves to all of these Bills and all you will have to do is sit down with your counterparts in this House and in the other House and lift these ridiculous deadlines, take the load off the Members of both Houses and let us proceed in an orderly fashion; and I would make that request of you and will put it in the form of motion if necessary."

Speaker Shea: "I will tell you that the Leadership is going to meet today, Mr. Collins. Now, the gentleman from Cook, Mr.

Porter, for a motion. The motion appears on the Calendar, and its to take House Bills 148 and 156 from Interim Study Calendar and have them heard today by the Veterans Affairs Regulation . . . Registration and Regulation Committee, and its my understanding that Mr. Porter talked to the Committee Clerk and there's no objections. Now to Mr. . . . ah . . . to the Committee Chairman, I'm sorry, now, Mr. Porter on the motion."

Porter: "ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these Bills were Bills that were prepared and filed by Representative Bob Juckett, and they were heard in Committee. There was objection to them at the time the Committee voted them to go on the Interim Study Calendar. We have now cleared up the objections to them, they have been posted properly for hearing tomorrow, and I would, therefore, move that they . . . ah . . . be removed from the Interim Study Calendar and re-assigned to the Committee on Veterans Affairs, Registration and Regulation."

Speaker Shea: "Is there question? The gentleman moves that the Bills be taken from the Interim Study Com . . . Calendar, the 45-day Rule be waived and that those Bills be posted for immediate consideration today. All those in favor will vote "aye", those opposed vote "nay", it will take 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record, Mr. Clerk. Now, for Mr. Hoffman . . . ah . . . the gentleman's motion . . . On this question there are 122 "aye" votes, no votes, no . . . no "nay" votes, two voting "present". The gentleman's motion is adopted and the Bills will be heard in Committee today. Geo-Karis "aye". We have two more people before we adjourn. Mr. Hoffman. Mr. R. K. Hoffman, the gentleman from Cook."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise just for a Point of Edification. Immediately

after adjournment, Representative Dan Pierce and the late Representative Bob Juckett will be honored in the Chamber's, here, at 2:00, by the Illinois Association of Park Districts. For any of those that have a few minutes to spare, I think Representative Dan Pierce and the recipient who will receive in behalf of Bob Juckett we'd appreciate their attendance, thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Thank you, Mr. Hoffman. Now, the gentleman from Kane, Mr. Friedland. The . . . I . . . Take that out of the Record. Now, Mr. Lauer, the gentleman from Logan. Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to request . . . ah . . . leave of the House to Table House Bill 179."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman moves to Table House Bill 179. Is there objection? Are you the principal Sponsor, sir?"

Lauer: "I'm the principal Sponsor."

Speaker Shea: "The gentleman moves to Table House Bill 179, no objection being raised, the House Bill shall be Tabled. Now for the . . . gentleman from Fulton, Mr. Schisler, do you wish to be recognized?"

Schisler: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the House Agriculture Committee will meet at 3 p.m. in Cl."

Unknown: "What did he . . ."

Speaker Shea: "Is there anything further, any of the Members, any announcements. Mr. Tuerk, the gentleman from Peoria."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, earlier today there was a Motion made on Senate Bill 540 and I don't think we disposed of that piece of business. Would that be in order . . ."

Speaker Shea: "I talked to Mr. Mudd, and they're going to make that for tomorrow, sir."

Tuerk: "Thank you."

Speaker Shea: "Now, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan on the adjournment."

Madigan: "I move to adjourn until tomorrow morning at 9 a.m.

Speaker Shea: "Mr. Madigan, would you move to recess for five minutes first, the Clerk tells me he's got some records . . . or some messages he wants to read in. The gentleman moves to recess and then read some Committee Reports and then to adjourn until 9 a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor will say "aye", those opposed "any", and the House stands in recess. Messages from the Senate."

O'Brien: "A message from the Senate by Mr. Wright, Secretary; Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution for the adoption of which I'm asked concurrence of the House of Representatives to wit'. Senate Joint Resolution 32, adopted by the Senate April 17, 1975, Kenneth Wright, Secretary. Committee Reports."

Speaker Shea: "Committee Reports."

O'Brien: "Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations One to which Senate Bill 225 was referred. Reports that came back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended to pass. Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations One to which Senate Bill 366 was referred. Reports that came back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriation One to which House Bills 110, 993, 1320 and 1321 were referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Lechowicz from the Committee on Appropriations One to which House Bill 1419 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do not pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriations Two to which Senate Bill 389 was referred. Reports that came back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appro-

APR 17 1975

103.

priations Two to which Senate Bill 23 was referred. Reports that came with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriations Two to which House Bill 803 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriations Two to which House Bill 1090 was referred. Reports that came back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Boyle from the Committee on Appropriations Two to which House Bill 272 was referred. Reports that came with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities to which House Bill 828 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. McPartlin from the Committee on Public Utilities to which House Bills 937 and 938 were referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which House Bill 1080 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which House Bill 1147 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 27 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Consent Calendar. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which Senate Bill 275 was referred. Reports that came with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Merlo from the Committee on Insurance to which House Bill 684 was referred. Reports that came back with Amendments,

thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do not pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary Two to which House Bill 62, 63, 65 and 66 were referred. Reports that came back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended to pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary Two to which House Bill 258 were referred. Reports that came back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended to not pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary Two to which House Bills 183, 211, 259 and 548 were referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bills do not pass. Mr. Katz from the Committee on Judiciary Two to which House Bill 64 and 260 were referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which House Bill 623 was referred. Reports that came back with Amendments, thereto, with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which House Bill 486 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Mr. Fary from the Committee on Labor and Commerce to which House Bill 622 was referred. Reports that came back with the recommendation that the Bill do pass. Further Resolutions."

Speaker Shea: "Further Resolutions."

O'Brien: "House Resolution 189, Ryan. House Resolution 193, Schraeder. House Resolution 200, Madison. House Resolution 203, Marovitz. House Joint Resolution 38, Washburn. House Joint Resolution . . ."

Speaker Shea: "House . . . Mr. Clerk, House Joint Resolution 38 was assigned to the Executive Committee by the Committee on Assignments."



O'Brien: "Executive Committee. House Joint Resolution 39,  
Schraeder. House Joint Resolution 40, Hart."

Speaker Shea: "Committee on Assignments."

O'Brien: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

Speaker Shea: "Senate Bills, First Reading."

O'Brien: "Senate Bill 58, a Bill . . . Birchler, a Bill for an  
Act to amend . . . ah . . . Law Enforcement Officers and  
Fireman's Compensation Act. First Reading of the Bill.  
Senate Bill 243, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act to amend  
the Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill.  
House Bill 244, McAuliffe, a Bill for an Act to amend an  
Act to revise the Law in relation to counties. First  
Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 304, Terzich, a Bill  
for an Act making Appropriation in the Illinois State  
Board of Investments. First Reading of the Bill. Senate  
Bill 305, Terzich, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois  
Pension Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Shea: "The House stands adjourned until 9 a.m. to-  
morrow morning."

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-NINTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FORTY-FOURTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

APRIL 17, 1975



GENERAL ASSEMBLY  
STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

INDEX

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

April 17, 1975

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
1	9:30	Speaker Redmond	House to Order
	9:30	Jack O'Brien	Prayer
2		Speaker Redmond	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Redmond	Consent Calendar, 2nd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bills, 2nd Reading
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Speaker Redmond	Senate Bills, 1st Reading
		Jack O'Brien	Senate Bills, 1st Reading
		Jack O'Brien	Senate has passed bills
		Speaker Redmond	House Bill 177, 2nd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 177, 2nd Reading Merlo. No Committee Amendments
3		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 187, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 216, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
		Ryan	Sponsor not on floor, unusual, quorum
		Speaker Redmond	Quorum question, roll call
		Pierce	Point of order
		Speaker Redmond	
4		Speaker Redmond	quorum present, only 85 present - 86

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
4		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 145, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
5		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 354, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	9:45	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 416, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
	9:46	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 465, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	Take out of record
		Williams	Take out of record
	9:48	Speaker Redmond	
6		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 491, Kempiners 2nd Reading, No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 518, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
	9:49	Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 524, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 546, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	
		Kempiners	Skipped House Bill 537
7		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 603, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 663, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 643, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 689, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
8		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 709, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 717, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 718, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 719, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 733, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 757, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Speaker Redmond	Carl Hunsicker
9		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 796, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
		Speaker Redmond	3rd Reading
		Speaker Redmond	
		Giorgi	Brinkmeier excused

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
9		Speaker Redmond	
		Speaker Redmond	
		Neff	Request Republican conference - 45 minutes
		Speaker Redmond	
		Neff	Room 114
		Speaker Redmond	
	10:00	Speaker Redmond	
10		Sangmeister	Schedule
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:06	Speaker Redmond	House to order
11		Ewell	Give up sponsorship, HB2328
		Maregos	
		Speaker Redmond	Leave granted Take HB 182 out and put on 2nd reading, error in committee
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:08	Berman	House Bill 288, motion: Re-referred to Committee on Appropriations
12		Speaker Redmond	Motion
		Houlihan	House Bill 291, same motion as 288
		Speaker Redmond	Berman's motion, motion adopted
		Speaker Redmond	
	11:10	Houlihan	
		Speaker Redmond	Houlihan's motion - adopted
		Maregos	House Bill 182, leave
13		Speaker Redmond	Re-Refer, take out interim study

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
13		Schlickman discussion	Question sponsor
		Maregos	Not sponsor - chairman
14		Shea Schlickman (discussion)	Withdraw objection
		Shea	Motion - put it on calendar 2nd reading, 1st legislative day
		Shea	Motion adopted
		Hirschfeld	
15		Shea	
		Lechowicz Shea (discussion)	
		Shea	
		Mann	No committee meeting on Higher Education
		Shea	
		Mudd	
		Shea	Advance Senate Bill 540 - to 2nd reading, 2nd leg.day
16	11:17	Walsh ) Mudd ) Shea )	Question
		Walsh	Take out of record
		Mudd	
		Shea ) Walsh ) discussion	Senate Bill 540
		Shea	
		Mudd	Out of record
		Shea	
		Fredrich	
		Shea	
17		Younger	House Bill 2793



## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
17		Shea	House Bill 2793, tabled
		Shea	House Bill 3, 2nd Reading
		Bradley	House Bill 617, table? Tabled
	11:20	Shea	
		DiPrima	Table 633?
		Shea	House Bill 633, tabled
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 56, 2nd Reading One committee amendment
		Kosinski	Amendment #1
18		Shea	Amendment #1, adopted, 3rd Reading
		Maher	House Bill 815 - table?
		Shea	House Bill 815 - tabled
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 22, 2nd Reading One Committee Amendment
		Shea	
		Duester	Amendment #1
19		Shea	
		Leinenweber) Duester) discussion	Yield?
		Shea	Amendment #1 adopted, 3rd Reading
		Shea	House Bill 44
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 44, 2nd Reading No Committee Amendments
20		Shea	3rd Reading
		Shea	
		Rayson	House Bill 241
		Shea	Take from calendar, place in Appropriations Committee, o.k.

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Shea	
		Mudd	Senate Bill 540
21	11:28	Shea	Put in order of 2nd Reading 2nd legislative day
		Walsh	
22		Shea	
		Tuerk	
	11:32	Shea	
		Calvo	
23		Shea	
		Palmer	
		Shea	Take out of second
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 46, 2nd Reading One Committee Amendment
		Shea	
24		Washington	Amendment #1
		Shea	
		Schlickman) Shea)	Yield
		Washington) discussion	
		Shea	Amendment #1 adopted
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Washington	
25		Shea	
		Washington	
		Shea	House Bill 46 - Amendment 2 adopted, 3rd Reading
	11:38	Jack O'Brien	House Bill 76, 2nd Reading One Committee Amendment
		Duester	Amendment #1

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
26		Shea	
27		Palmer) Duester) discussion	Yield
		Shea	
28		Palmer) Duester) Shea) discussion	Amendment 1 adopted, 3rd Reading
		Shea	House Bill 488
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 488, 2nd Reading One Committee Amendment
		Shea	
		O'Brien	
		Lauer	Amendment #8
30		Shea	
		Hanahan) Lauer) discussion	Yield?
		Shea	
31		Ryan) Shea) discussion	Motion to re-commit
		Giorgi	
		Shea	
		Simms	Parliamentary Inquiry, fiscal note
32		Shea	
		Tuerk	
		Shea	
		Giorgi	
		Shea	
33		Geo-Karis) Shea) discussion	Point of order
		Ryan	To close

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Shea	House Bill 488, Motion is last bill on 3rd
34		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 114
		Shea	
		Simms)	
		Shea) discussion	
		Walsh	
		Lauer	
		Shea	
35		Totten)	
		Shea) discussion	
		Simms	
		Shea	House Bill
36		Griesheimer	
		Shea	House Bill 79, E. Jones
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 79, 3rd Reading
		Jones	
		Shea	Bill passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 128, 3rd reading
		Shea	
37		Greiman	
		Shea	
		Beatty	
		Shea	
		Hanahan)	Yield
		Greiman) discussion	
		Shea	
38		Mahar	Question
		Greiman	
		Shea	

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
39		Totten	
		Shea	
		Hudson	
		Shea	
		Greiman	
		Shea	
		Schlickman	
40		Greiman	House Bill 128
		Schlickman	
		Shea	
		Marovitz	Urge yes
		Shea	
		Lechowicz	
		Greiman	
		Tuerk	Yield
41		Greiman	
		Tuerk	
42		Shea	
		Greiman	To close
		Shea	House Bill 128 bill passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 368, 3rd Reading
		Shea	
		Hirschfeld	
43		Shea	Take out of record
		Jack O'Brien	House Bill 510, 3rd Reading
		Chapman	Return to order of 2nd reading for amendment
		Shea	2nd reading

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Chapman	
		Shea	Amendment adopted, 3rd reading
44		O'Brien	House Bill 591, 3rd Reading
		Shea	
		Madigan	
		Shea	
		Skinner) Madigan) discussion	Question
45		Shea	Bill passed
		Shea	
		Telcser	
		Shea	
		Campbell	Senate Bill 294, leave to take back to 2nd reading for amendment
46		Shea	O.K.
		Jack O'Brien	Amendment #1
		Davis	
47		Shea	
		Ryan) Davis) discussion	
48			
49		Shea	
		Giorgi	
50		Shea	
		Walsh	
		Shea	
51		Telcser	

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
52		Shea Meyer)	House Bill 291, amendment 1
53		Davis) Shea Meyer	
54		Shea Campbell Shea Borchers)	Question
55		Davis) Shea) Totten Shea Totten Shea Davis Shea	Roll call Point of order Motion carries Ayes have it, amendment 3 adopted
56		Ryan Telcser	Personal privilege Point of parliamentary inquiry
57		Shea Walsh Shea Telcser	
60		Shea Campbell	Senate Bill 294, ask for support

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
61		Shea	Bill passed
		O'Brien	House Bill 121, (Porter) 1 committee amendment, 2nd reading
		Shea	
		Porter	Amendment 1 tabled?
		Shea	Amendment 1 tabled
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
62		Porter	Amendment #2, explain
		Giorgi (in chair)	
		Giorgi	
63		Lechowicz)	Yield
		Porter) discussion	Take out of record
		Giorgi	
		O'Brien	House Bill 154, 2nd reading 1 committee amendment
64		R. Hoffman	
		Giorgi	Amendment adopted, 3rd reading
		O'Brien	House Bill 160, 2nd reading 1 committee amendment
	1:10	Giorgi	
		Borchers	
65		Giorgi	
		Schlickman	
		Giorgi	Amendment #1, amendment adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #2
	1:11	Giorgi	
	Borchers		



## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Giorgi	
		O'Brien	Committee amendment #3
		Giorgi	
		Borchers	Explain amendment
66		Giorgi	Amendment #3 adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #4
		Borchers	
		Giorgi	
		Schlickman	Against
		Giorgi	
67		Lechowicz	Against
		Giorgi	
		VanDuyne	Against
		Giorgi	
		Jacobs	
68		Giorgi	
		Borchers	Amendment #4, HB 160
		Giorgi	
		Schlickman	Explain vote
69		Giorgi	
		VanDuyne	Against
		Giorgi	
		Cunningham	For
70		Giorgi	
		Craig	For
		Giorgi	

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
71		Neff	For
		Giorgi	
		Simms	Opposition
		Giorgi	
		Mautino	
72		Giorgi	
		Hudson	
		Giorgi	
		Friedrich	
73		Giorgi	
		Jacobs	
		Giorgi	
		Rigney	
74		Giorgi	HB 160, Amendment #4
		Deuster	
		Giorgi	
		Borchers	Explain vote
75		Giorgi	
	1:32	Shea in chair	
		Lechowicz	Poll absentees
		O'Brien	
76		Shea	
		O'Brien	
		Lechowicz	
	1:33	Shea	
		O'Brien	
	1:39	Shea	

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
77		Hirschfeld	Change vote to no
		Shea	
		Jacobs	
79		Shea	
		Lechowicz	Poll aye votes
		Shea	73 verified ayes
		McMasters	How recorded
		Shea	
		LaFleur	How recorded
		Shea	
80		Choate	Vote me aye
		Shea	
		Chapman	Change to no
		Shea	
		McAuliffe	No to aye
		Shea	Amendment adopted
		O'Brien	Amendment #5
		Shea	
		Lauer	Explain amendment #5
		Shea	
81		Borchers	Oppose
82		Shea	
		Schlickman	No copy of amendment #5
		Shea	Take out of record
		Shea	Agreed resolutions
		Giorgi	
		O'Brien	House Resolution

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

## TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
83		Giorgi	Move for adoption of agreed resolution
		Shea	Resolution adopted
		Madigan	Move to postpone posting
		Shea	Motion to postpone and allow committee to post next week til Friday noon
		Washburn	
		Shea	
84		Shea	Motion carriers
		Bradley	
		Shea	
		Katz)	
		Shea	
85		Lechowicz	Suspend rules for HR 199
		Shea	
		O'Brien	HR 199 (Redmond) Mayor's Resolution
87		Shea	
		Walsh	
		Lechowicz	Point of order
		Shea	
		Walsh	
88		Lechowicz	Point of order
		Shea	
		Walsh	
		Shea	
		Shea	
		Cunningham	HR 199

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
89		Shea	
		Lechowicz	To Close
		Shea	Rules are suspended
		Shea	
		Lechowicz	
		Shea	
		Grotberg	
90		Shea	Resolution adopted
		Geo-Karis	Leave
91		Shea	Move last roll call
	2:10	Houlihan	On suspension of rules
		Shea	
		Houlihan	
		Geo-Karis	
92		Shea	Gentlemen removes objection
		Katz	
		Shea	
		Shea	198 - suspend rules
		Geo-Karis	Motion
		Shea	
		Geo-Karis	
93		Shea	Dump roll call
		Shea	motion to suspend rules adopted
		Schraeder	Explain vote
94		Geo-Karis	Explain resolution
		Shea	
		Katz	Question

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
95		Geo-Karis	
		Shea	
		Yourell	
		Shea	
96		Schraeder	Reiterate cost
		Shea	
		Palmer	
		Geo-Karis	
		Shea	
		Madison	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Shea	
		Borchers	
97		Shea	
		Geo-Karis	Ask taken out of record
		Shea	Out of record
		Pierce	Have name removed as chief-sponsor
		Shea	O.K.
98		Maragos	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Shea	
		Collins	Point of personal privilege on point of order
99		Shea	
100		Porter	Take HB 148 and 156 from interim study, 45 day rule
		Shea	Motion adopted
		R.K. Hoffman	Table HB 179
		Lauer	

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

TRANSCRIPTION INDEX

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>TIME</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>INFORMATION</u>
		Schisler	
		Tuerk	
		Madigan	
102	14:32	O'Brien	Moves to recess for 5 min to read bill
		Shea	
103		O'Brien	Committee reports
104		Shea	
		O'Brien	Further resolutions
		Shea	House Resolutions
		O'Brien	
		Shea	
		O'Brien	House Joint Resolutions
		Shea	Senate Bills, 1st reading
105		O'Brien	Senate Bills
	14:38	Shea	Adjourned