

1.

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. We're in the Regular Session and...ah...we'll have a Roll Call for Attendance."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Partick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tip sword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative... Introductions and First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2111. Washington, et al. Amends the Illinois Municipal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2112. Washington, et al. Amends the Code of Criminal Procedure. First Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 671. M. K. Anderson."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Resolution 671 congratulates Mr. and Mrs. Lester Dumhow on the occasion of their fiftieth wedding anniversary and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has asked for the adoption of the Resolution. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. Death Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 670. Nardulli, et al. In respect of the memory of Senator Stanley J. Mandalla."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Nardulli, offers to move the adoption of the Death Resolution. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolution is adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the regular Session stand in recess until after the adjournment of Special Session Five."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the Regular Session do stand in recess until the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Regular Session does now stand in recess. First Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, asks leave to use the Attendance Roll Call for the Regular Session, to use the Attendance Roll Call for the First Special Session. Hearing no objections that will be the Attendance Roll Call. Nothing on First. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the First Special Session...ah... stand in recess until after the adjournment of the Regular Session."



Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the First Special Session do stand in recess until after the Fifth Special Session adjourns. All in favor 'aye'... After the Regular Session adjourns. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The First Special Session does now stand in recess. The Second Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, asks leave to have the Attendance Roll Call of the First Special Session, be used as the Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session. Hearing no objections, that will be the Attendance Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. There's a Message from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate...wait a minute."

Speaker Telcser: "No Messages, an error on the Clerk's part. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Second Special Session stand in recess until following the adjournment of the First Special Session."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman moves that the Second Special Session do stand in recess until the adjournment of the First Special Session. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Second Special Session does now stand in recess until the adjournment of the First Special Session. The Third Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asks leave that the Attendance Roll Call for the Second Special Session be used as the Attendance Roll Call for the Third Special Session. Hearing no objections that will be the Attendance Roll Call for the Third Special Session. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate for the Third Special Session, by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate



has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 42 and House Bill 43. Passed the Senate, Third Special Session, November 29, 1973 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further Messages."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now the House will be at ease for just a couple of more moments. There are a number of Members who are gathering their Amendments to the series of Bills...ah... Senate Bills, Second Reading. So we'll give them an opportunity to get their Amendments filed and their thoughts gathered."

Clerk Selcke: "Can I have your attention for a moment? Somebody left a pair of gloves in the Republican Conference. If they want to get them they'll be up here at the Clerk's rostrum."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Members please be in their seats. Those of you who have Amendments to offer have indicated the Amendments are filed and ready and we'll now go to the order of Senate Bills, Second Reading, in the Third Special Session. Senate Bill 2."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2. An Act in relation to state program of loan assistance for mass transit carriers in the counties of Lake, McHenry, Kane, DuPage, Cook and Will. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 3."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 3. An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 6."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 6. An Act to make a supplemental appropriation to the Capitol Development Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor?"



Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Kosinski. Is he here?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Kosinski on the Floor? Here he comes. Here he comes Fred."

Clerk Selcke: "Okay. Amendment #1. Amend...ah... Third Special Session. Senate Bill 6. Amended on page 1 by deleting all of section 1 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Ah...This Amendment is my Amendment. It adds to Senate Bill 6 to reduce the amount of the appropriation in addition to those reductions in the Senate another seventy-five thousand dollars which is scheduled for attorney's fees. The basis for the Amendment is the fact that this Board has contingency funds in excess of one hundred thousand dollars. It will properly take care of this need and this seventy-five thousand dollar re-appropriation..appropriation rather, is not needed in Senate Bill 6. I ask for your approval of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kosinski, did you wish..."

Kosinski: "Can we take this out of the record, with the Senate Action? The Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want to take the Amendment out of the record?"

Kosinski: "Please."

Speaker Telcser: "Certainly. Are there further Amendments? Do you want that Amendment tabled or just... The Gentleman will withdraw the Amendment, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk...The Sponsor indicated he was going to withdraw the Amendment. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. At the request of the sponsor, the...Senate Bills 12 and 13 will be called later in the Second Reading call. Okay. Senate Bill 27."



- A. Telcser: "You want to take the amendment out of the record. Certainly. Are there further amendments. Does he want.. Do you want that amendment tabled or just.. Gentleman will withdraw the amendment, Mr. Clerk. Mr. Clerk, the sponsor indicated he's going to withdraw the amendment. Are there further amendments. Third Reading. O.K. at the request of the sponsors ah.. Senate Bills 12 and 13 will be called later in the ah.. Second Reading call. Okay. Senate Bill 27."
- F. Selcke: "Senate Bill 27. An Act to provide for the establishment of a Regional Transportation Authority for the Northeastern Illinois area to provide for public transportation services, facilities and funding. Second Reading of the Bill. No committee amendments."
- A. Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor."
- F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 1, Sangmeister. Amend Senate Bill 27 Third Special Session.."
- A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."
- Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, ah.. this amendment was filed earlier today. I don't know whether the Clerk's office has been able to get copies out by now or not, but I guess we can go ahead and presume that they have. Is that right. This amendment is Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 27, which has been discussed on the Floor of this House for many hours and for a long period of time on prior bills. I do not intend to spend a lot of time on it this morning. This is a referendum provision, only it



is by county-by-county and this amendment is being proposed for the same reasons that it was proposed to all the other bills. This is a fair amendment. One that should.. One that should be.. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. One that should be placed on so that each individual county can have its own say in this matter. This is probably one of the momentous pieces of legislation that will ever be passed by this General Assembly this year. And, certainly, it's deserving of our counties being able to vote on it on a county-by-county basis. We do not think it will be fair to allow a six-wide county referendum whereby we have to vote against the City of Chicago and Cook County. Let it be decided county-by-county. If Will County or Kane County, McHenry want in, let them come in, if they don't, let them out. And I urge your support for this amendment."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah.. I thought that the gentleman's amendment that he had offered ah.. to the Garmisa bill ah.. had some merit. That was because considering the substance of that particular bill I could well see why ah.. there ought to have been perhaps the county option as we look at it. It was for that reason that I voted in favor of ah.. his amendment on the Garmisa bill. But, here we have an entirely different bill. One which I think has very adequate protections in it for the suburban area and the outlying county and just let me point out that if we were to adopt this amendment that it could result in a non-contiguous



region and that board members could be from non-member ah.. counties, voting only on planning. And so, for those reasons, I would be in opposition ah.. to the amendment. Although I certainly understand the gentleman's reason for offering it."

A. Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm going to speak on this amendment and I hope.. I hope my remarks will suffice for the sponsors of all of the amendments that we're going to hear. First of all, let me say that at times I have been critical of the leadership in this House. When I felt that the leadership ought criticized I've criticized. Ah.. I think I was one of those who criticized the fact that we should leave on a Friday night and come back on a Sunday. I thought that was wrong. And when I think that the membership ought to be criticized, and I'm one of the membership, I'm going to get up on the Floor of the House and criticize the membership. Now, ladies and gentlemen, this is a unique type of session that requires the work of the leadership and the executive department to get together. I've often said that the executive department ought to stay away from the legislative process, but this is a unique type of session that needed heads to get together and work something out. Now, at this time I'm going to commend the leadership because when we were home all of that Thanksgiving recess, the leadership was working together with the executive department and they





hammered something out. Now, everybody got their press on the Garmisa bill. They've all gotten up on the Floor of the House on their amendments. We all know how.. where they stand. I ought to support this amendment because it gives a voice to my Lake County, but let's face it, if we're going to have an RTA we're going to have an RTA and a referendum in each county would only do one thing, it would gut the RTA and I'm not for that. I think we need some regional type of transportation. But now to the real issue. We sat here week before last 'til all hours of the morning on these very same amendments. Do we want to do that. I'll tell you, it's not an issue with the voters. A bigger issue with the voters today is duplication, the real nonsense that we're going through in these legislative sessions. That's the bigger issue. So if you want to be here 'til the wee hours again, you're going to offer these amendments and get.. bring them up to a vote. I think that the sensible thing to do is to make a short presentation, if you so desire, and say that you recognize that these amendments are going nowhere as they got nowhere in the Senate and not ask for a roll call vote, but say that you withdraw the amendment and be reasonable and decent people for a change and I think we'll all be the better for it because.. Thank you."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just as my colleague from Lake County, Representative Matijevich has risen to commend the leadership for their hard work over the Thanksgiving vacation, I would like to



join in paying tribute to the hard work of the leaders on both sides of the aisle in hammering out a much better bill than was produced by the commission last Spring. However, I don't think my colleague from Lake County wants to suggest publically, as I thought he did, that every members of this House roll over and play dead and swallow something that the leadership has suggested to us simply because it's presented by the leadership, particularly since that gentleman represents the same county that I do. A county that doesn't even have a voice on the board in this RTA. And so, I think as a courtesy to all of the members who have worked hard like Representative Sangmeister on this subject and who have deep thoughts, the suggestion should be retracted that we simply swallow the bundle and give no careful thought and consideration to the specifics. I rise in support of this amendment because I think if Lake County or Will County had a voice on the board, Representative Sangmeister wouldn't have to offer this amendment and I wouldn't feel compelled to support it. But the basic guarantee here is that if you're going to tap the people for a 5% gas tax and go back and face them and say, 'Well that's the way it is.' Do we have a voice on how the service is going to be provided 'no'. That's an intolerable situation. So, I urge a very thoughtful consideration of all these amendments. Even though it is wearing on all of our patience. We are putting together a proposal that will last us through the year 2000 and we want to do it right. I thank you. And so I hope all of the

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

members will vote individually and conscientiously on Representative Sangmeister's amendment and all the others. And I urge an 'aye' vote."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this seems to be a Lake County debate. I want to say this to my colleague from my district who just spoke, he's concerned with a 5% gasoline tax. Let me tell you, as Chairman of the Energy Crisis Investigating Committee of the Illinois House, that you won't have any gasoline to pay a 5% tax on if we don't have mass transit in the Chicago Metropolitan area including our county. If the CTA closes down, if our suburban commuter railroad, such as the Rock Island, are forced to close. If the bus companies go out of business and all these people are forced on the highways, there won't be enough gasoline to go around for you to worry about that 5% tax. Let's go ahead now with public transportation on a package that Republicans and Democrats in the Senate and the House, the Executive Branch of government and the press are not all completely happy with, but feel is a package that can be voted into law this week and save public transportation in the Chicago area. In view of the nation's energy crisis, we have no other choice. We must go ahead this week with this plan, including the referendum, on the district-wide basis. And I intend to oppose this amendment and all other amendments that cripple the compromise reached by the legislative leaders, Senate and House, Republican and Democratic."



A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this may be the only amendment where the people have a chance to get in on the RTA. I represent a county, a part of a county where less than two percent of my constituents ever ride a bus or a train. They could care less. I am forced to at last speak out for the people of my constituency and those people in Kane County. And many of the other representatives of Kane County know what I'm talking about. For heaven's sake let us once and for all help the people make a decision as to whether or not they're going to be sucked in to the second biggest government in the State of Illinois. We do our share in this House and in Springfield to confuse the facts of state government. Heaven help if that region gets so big that the people will never understand and yet continue to pay to the tune of 80 to 100 million dollars a year from the General Revenue the penalties of our mistakes here today. I would support this amendment 100%."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I understand there are quite a few amendments to this particular piece of legislation and in regards to the referendum vote, county by county, I have given approximately three or four speeches and all I'm going to do now is to renew those three or four speeches. You know how I stand on it and I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote. Thank you."



A. Telcser: "Alright, Representative Sangmeister, to close."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just briefly in response to some of the remarks on the Floor, there's no intent here to delay anything. This is a very important thing to those of us who represent the outlying counties and we think we are entitled to a county-by-county referendum. And, I don't think the few minutes that we're going to devote to this ah.. which is now being ramrodded through on us is that unimportant that we cannot take that time. And, because of my colleagues who I certainly respect on my side of the aisle are very vociferous, Mr. Speaker, when they answer in chorus to an 'aye' or 'nay' request for a vote, I would suggest that we have a roll call vote on this amendment."

A. Telcser: "Alright, the gentleman is joined by five members? Okay. The gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 27. All in favor of the gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Clerk will take an oral roll call."

F. Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh. Collins. Craig. Craig. Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee. Deuster. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbessen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. 'aye'. Getty. Gibbs.



Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan.  
 Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman.  
 Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Robert Holloway. D. Houlihan.  
 J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs.  
 Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones. Dave Jones. Juckett. Katz.  
 Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent. Klosak.  
 Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kucharski.  
 LaFleur. Lauer. Laruino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber.  
 Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Madigan.  
 Maher. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe.  
 McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McCourt. McGah. McGrew.  
 McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenny Miller.  
 Tom Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Neff.  
 'aye'. North. Did North vote? Palmer. Pappas. Patrick.  
 Peters. Philip. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson.  
 Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Ryan 'yes'. Sangmeister.  
 Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider. McCourt 'no'. Schoeber-  
 lein. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Shurtz. Timothy  
 Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer.  
 Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich.  
 Thompson. Tip sword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell.  
 Wall. Richard Walsh. William Walsh. Walters. Washburn.  
 Washington. Williams. J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and briefly, Ladies and Gentle-  
 men of the House, I would like to make one brief comment on  
 the remarks of our colleague Matijevich. And I think that



his remarks were misinterpreted and if I may I would like to attempt to interpret them. It's now 12:17 or 18. We've been on this one amendment for more than 20 minutes. There's more than 40 amendments filed on this particular bill. Substantially 90% of them have been heard by this House and have been voted down. Now what John was saying is this, we can.. these amendments can be read, but let's accept, under Rule 49, either a voice vote and, if the Speaker isn't sure about the voice vote, he can, under ah.. 49-B, have the Affirmatives stand at their seats and then he can have the Negatives stand so that there's no mistake about the vote. We can see from this vote at this time that most of the members of this House feel that first, priorities come first and that is this, we've got to have an RTA and statistics don't tell us how many ride or don't. I'm in a district that has suburban voters as well as Chicago voters and I was amazed to get telephone calls from the suburbs from business people who own businesses that utilize mass transportation even though they themselves do not utilize it and impress upon me the importance of a mass transportation system and I think that's what we have to do. Pass the bill out, ladies and gentlemen, we're going to be back in April, we can put all the amendments we want on at that time. I vote 'no'."

F. Selcke: "Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

A. Telcser: "One minute, Representative.. Representative Collins votes 'no'. Wait a minute, let's go slow now."



Phil Collins 'no'. Maher 'aye'. McAuliffe. Fred, do you want them to come up here. The Clerk says it would be better if those of you who wish to come on the roll call come up to the Clerk's desk, give him your name and he'll put you on. Now, while the members are coming up to the Clerk's ah.. desk to get recorded, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten with a point of ah.. personal privilege or inquiry. We'll see what it is when he makes it."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if I could ah.. jüst have a few moments to answer the inquires regarding the length of time ah.. that ah.. we may be taking on Second Reading, ah.. with the amount of amendments that will be introduced. We had a meeting of the Republican suburban and outlying county members this morning. We did have prepared, at that time, somewheres around ah.. 50 amendments with indications that there would be another 30 introduced. Ah.. we decided at that time to key on ah.. 10 amendments which are going to be Numbers 3 through 13. And that we would, as some members requested, go for a roll call on those. It was generally agreed ah.. that if none of those amendments were successful ah.. we would then either table or take the last roll call on the succeeding amendments so we would not be in any manner ah.. dilatorious ah.. on the procedures so that we can speed up and get out of here ah.. in a hurry and that is our intention and I think all members will keep that."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



A. Telcser: "Alright, Mr. Clerk do you have the tally on that amendment. On this question there are 41 'ayes', 94 'nays' 1 answering 'present'. Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 27 fails. Are there further amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 2: Sangmeister. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session.."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just a few moments in reply to my colleague, B. B. Wolfe's, remark. I would say that B. B. took more time in explaining his vote than I took in presenting the amendment. Amendment No. 2 is what I call the common decency amendment. Now that you have decided that the people in our districts do not have a right to vote as to whether or not they want to be a part of the Regional Transportation Authority, the least you can do, and I appeal to the Democrats to consider this amendment, I don't see how it can possibly hurt you, all we're asking for is that we can have somebody sit around that long table at the other end who can jump up and down once in a while and say, 'Hey, remember us from Will County? We've got a problem, we'd like you to listen to it.' That's all this amendment does is give us a representative on the board and, of course, to all the other outlying counties as well. This amendment proposes a board of four from the City of Chicago, two from Cook County, and five from the outlying counties. Those 11 will then elect a chairman. So, 4 and 2 is six fellows in Cook County, which still gives you your 6.



There's still only 5 of us from the outlying counties. It still gives you the control. I see no reason why you can't give us the common decency that I'm asking for is to allow us to have somebody on the board that can say, 'Hey, we've got a problem. We'd like to talk about it and not be just shunted aside.' It's just that simple and I again urge an affirmative vote."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah.. this ah.. Amendment No. 2 would destroy the balance of compromise which we have obtained thus far in this proposal. Technically it's also insufficient since in various places in the bill we provide for numerical votes. That is, 5 directors, which would be less than a majority of this particular board. So, for those reasons, although I understand the reasons why the gentleman is offering the amendment, ah.. I would ah.. suggest that it not be adopted."

A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion. Gentleman from Cook, Representative B. B. Wolfe."

B. B. Wolfe: "Point of personal privilege."

A. Telcser: "State your point, sir."

B. B. Wolfe: "My name was mentioned in vain by my good colleague, George. George, I took 80 seconds on my explanation. There's more than 40 bills and my explanation will go to every bill. So that's 2 seconds per bill and I have nothing more to say on the subject."

A. Telcser: "Representative Sangmeister, to close."



Sangmeister: "I think that remark was appreciated by both sides of the aisle. Ah.. again, this is similar to the amendments that we proposed previously on the other bills. Again, I don't like to take up a lot of time of the House. Ah.. but this is very important to us. This is a different concept than the referendum. As I said before, you've stated that you don't want to give us a chance, I can't possibly see, despite what the Speaker has said about compromise and how the board has been put together, as I see it it still gives the control to Chicago where they want it. I see no reason why you just can't give us a representative on the board and, again, because of the loud voices, I request a roll call vote."

A. Telcser: "Are you joined by five members sir? Okay, the gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 27. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Bob Dunne, for what purpose do you rise, sir."

R. Dunne: "Have the amendments been distributed."

A. Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, have they been distributed. Yes, the Clerk says they have been distributed. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you rise."

B. Wolfe: "Would a motion be in order to use the roll call on Amendment No. 1."

A. Telcser: "Ah.. there are some objections, Bernie. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Clerk will take an oral roll call."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

F. Selcke: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if you will read the bill correctly, after the long negotiations by many people, and there was input as far as more people are concerned rather than just the leadership, and rather than just the Executive Branch of government, but if you will look at the board as composed in the bill which is now under discussion, you'll find out that an extraordinary majority of 6 of 9 members.. 6 of 9 members, must agree to any major decision that the board might make. This certainly will assure the outlying counties of representation as far as the majority decisions of the board is concerned and I would vote 'no'."

F. Selcke: "Clabaugh. Collins. Craig. Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee. Deuster."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think all of us should know, at least an experience that I had, the Governor of the State of Illinois discussed this ah.. subject with me and the Governor, Governor Walker, gave his word to me that ah.. Mr. Speaker, I appreciate your gavel because I wanted to make sure that what I said



was heard. Ah.. as Representative Sangmeister and many, many of us from the outlying counties have suggested, we have been on our hands and knees begging and pleading, not for control, not for nothing, not for anything except just the right to send some poor little humble person down to sit in and listen. And ah.. when the Governor, Walker, called me he said, 'Don, I give you' I'm his State Representative along with two others, he said, "Don, I give you my word that I will work for a larger board so that the suburban counties will have a voice.' Now, I was not privy to the negotiations, but I know the Governor is a great leader. I know he's effective. I know he's gotten a lot of things done. But, somehow, somehow, his word was not translated into one word, one comma, apostrophe, or anything in this bill and I think all the members should know that and should know that even the slightest, slightest suggestion of representation for our area has been denied, despite what I regarded as a word and a commitment from the Great Governor of our State. And, with that, I vote 'aye' on this bill, hoping that some other members may also take pity on the surrounding collar counties and give us representation."

F. Selcke: "DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill. Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. R. Holloway. D.



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey.  
Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones. Dave Jones.  
Juckett."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to call to the attention of our distinguished Minority Leader that what he had said previously was not exactly true. He indicated that it took an extraordinary majority for all actions in this bill. I would call to him page 51, line 2, that when it limits the home rule powers of our home rule communities all it takes is a bare majority and that's certainly not extraordinary. And, I'm sure he'd like to have his record corrected. And I vote 'aye'."

F. Selcke: "Katz. Keller."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, I am voting against this amendment because it would upset the balance, but I want to say that I consider it grossly unfair that we give a board the power to tax five outlying counties and do not give representation on that board to the counties. And, if another amendment comes along that does not change the balance, I think it should be added and I would support it."

F. Selcke: "Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent.  
Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Kriegsman. Krause. Kucharski.  
LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber.  
Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Macdonald.  
Madigan. Maher. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich.



McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McCourt. McGah.  
 McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenny  
 Miller. Tom Miller. Tom Miller 'aye'. Molloy. Mugalian.  
 Murphy. Nardulli. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas.  
 Patrick. Peters. Philip. Pierce. Polk. Porter.  
 Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan.  
 Sangmeister. Schisler. Schlickman. Schneider.  
 Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Shurtz.  
 Timothy Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer.  
 Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Telcser. Terzich.  
 Thompson. Tip sword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell.  
 Wall. Richard Walsh. 'no'. W. Walsh. Walters."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, looks like this Amendment No. 2, Sangmeister's amendment, is going down the tube. I'm very glad to see that because without this amendment I think this makes the whole bill and all the work that we've had for the past several months an unconstitutional bill and I'm happy to vote 'aye'."

F. Selcke: "Washburn. Washington. Williams. J. J. Wolf.  
 'no'. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

A. Telcser: "Alright, Representative Gibbs, for what purpose do you rise, sir."

Gibbs: "For two purposes Mr. Speaker, one vote me 'aye' on this bill, also.. on this amendment.. it's my understanding we're suppose to have the amendments distributed either in the box or on our desks. Have they been distributed. I haven't seen any copies of them at all."



A. Telcser: "The Clerk indicates they.. that they're all distributed. George? The Clerk indicates that they've all been distributed. Representative Gibbs has asked if the amendments have been distributed. Rodney, would you get some copies of the amendment for the Joe Gibb's row. Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise."

Dunn: "Mr. Speaker, have they been distributed, then. We don't have any here at all."

A. Telcser: "George, have they been distributed. Yes, a moment ago."

Dunn: "They don't have any over here either."

A. Telcser: "Representative Bob Dunne, for what purpose do you rise."

Dunne: "Well, the same question.. I raise the question before and I didn't pursue it because I was told that they were back in our box and I went back there I had a stack there. I've gone through it all and the amendments to this bill have not been distributed. I don't have them."

A. Telcser: "Okay. Representative Philip, for what purpose do you rise."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think I'm recorded. I'd like to be recorded as voting 'aye'."

A. Telcser: "Record Representative Philip as voting 'aye'. And, let's see what the situation is with the distribution of the amendment. Here they are now. Rodney is bringing them down the aisle. Give me a tally, Mr. Clerk. Give Representative Gibbs a copy, Rodney. Some cynics.





Fifty-two 'ayes', 87 'nays' the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment Number 2 fails. Are there further amendments?"

F. B. Selcke: "Amendment Number 3, Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster. Is Representative Deuster on the floor? Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dunn: "I was just handed some of the amendments to the bill. 1, 2, 14, 15 and 16. But the others, the one we're about to address ourselves to has not been distributed. I think we ought to hold up here so we can see.."

A. Telcser: "Has Amendment #3 been distributed, Mr. Clerk?"

F. B. Selcke: "There being distributed right now."

A. Telcser: "I'm informed that they're being distributed right now in the boxes that we have in the rear of the Chamber. All right. Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah.. previously in the day ah Representative Totten indicated that a large group of concerned Representatives representing.."

A. Telcser: "Representative Dunn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dunn: "The page now tells us that the amendment is not here yet."



A. Telcser: "Okay. Well, then we cannot offer the amendment. Are there further amendments beyond three? We'll just have to move to third reading without amendments; that's all. Okay, amendment number three will have to be withdrawn if it's not distributed. Are there further amendments? Now wait a second. Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, I know we have rules that we like to proceed by and I wouldn't want any member to consider an amendment he doesn't have a chance to look at even though his mind might be closed on the subject. But, I think that the orderly way to proceed, I know there are a lot of other amendments coming along besides mine, I think we ought to have them printed. They were submitted earlier. I'd be glad. I'd be very happy to explain it but objection has been raised by another member and I don't think that that should place ah.. a sponsor of an amendment in a position where he's ah.. asked to pass over it. I don't know what other procedure you might recommend that we follow. I'll be happy to comply with any suggestions or guidance from the chair."

A. Telcser: "Well, does Representative Dunn persist in his objection?" Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Dunn ah.. of course raised this point. Ah.. I think before we proceed, I'd be happy to proceed without the amendment. I can certainly describe it. It's a very simple one. And I think it has broad support. But, I wonder if we might have an indication



when the other amendments are going to arrive. Some of them may be longer and more complex than this simple proposal."

A. Telcser: "All right. Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. We have sat and we have waited while the leadership has devised these bills. And I compliment them for spending the time on doing it. But, I think every member of this House has the right and the privilege to offer amendments to these bills. Now, we didn't get a copy of these bills til yesterday. Until late yesterday. We did not know what form these bills were going to be in until the Senate passed it. And I think it would be unfair that we preclude any amendments. Now, we would have two alternatives. We could either recess this House for a period of hours until these amendments were printed and distributed, or we could advance it to third with the understanding that tomorrow they would be called back for the purposes of amendments. Now, I would like to ask Chair whether either of these two possibilities could be done by the Chair."

A. Telcser: "Yeah. Well, the Clerk informs me that there are two printing presses working now to get the amendments printed. If we have an amendment in which the Members insist upon copies being distributed, perhaps we can go on to those amendments where copies are available and as we discuss and debate those amendments, more will come from the printers."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I think if it's possible to complete second reading on today's legislative day, it would expedite matters and give the members a chance to.. Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "What would be the problem, though, if a Member objects to the amendment without having a copy in front of him? I don't think that there could be anything done by the Chair in that case."

A. Telcser: "Not unless the Member moved to suspend the rule which ah.."

Juckett: "You know and I know that's not going to be done, so.."

A. Telcser: "Well, if someone put a motion to suspend the rule with respect to distribution of amendments ah.. on this matter, you'd get the 107 votes."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we did stand at ease yesterday for a while and I think it would only be fair to those Members who do have amendments. And let me state I do not have any amendments to this bill. I think we should, though, give them every opportunity to present amendments to the bill and have those amendments either voted up or voted down. But, I would hate to think that we would preclude any Member from presenting it."

A. Telcser: "You're certainly right. Where's the amendment. Is the thing distributed? Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In order to expedite the proceedings, I'm amenable to moving ah.. and I shall move to suspend the appropriate rules so that amendment number three may be considered



although the amendment has not been distributed to the Members. And I would so move.. I believe that Section 34 A..."

A. Telcser: "All right. The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 34 A for the purposes of hearing Amendment #3 at this time. Gentleman has asked leave to use last unanimous roll call. Hearing no objection.. Are there any objections? On that question 153 'ayes', no 'nays'. The provisions of Rule 34 A will be suspended for the purposes of hearing Amendment #3. Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #3 is an amendment that has been discussed by a great many Representatives and it is regarded as a very crucial amendment that simply really makes no change in this bill but it fortifies and articulates what the Governor and all our leaders have been saying this bill does. I think all the Members have heard us say that the real guts of this matter is whose money is going to be spent where. And so the Governor and Speaker Blair and the other Legislative Leaders said 'Yes. That's fair. We're going to provide that 2/3 of any money raised in a county or in an area must be spent there.' Unfortunately, the language that.. the bill was turned over to some lawyers for drafting. And I believe they missed the point ah.. they drafted the language in such a way that it doesn't require that the money be spent in a county. It just says services to that county. So, the amendment simply



substitutes for the word 'to', the words 'within and directly benefiting'. We've discussed this before. I think this really conforms the bill to the true spirit of what all of our leaders have been telling the press and ah.. what is the common understanding of what's in this bill. I would be happy to respond to any questions, but I urge a favorable vote on this very crucial amendment and I might add this one thought. That there's some of us who are teetering one way or the other.. not really sure of whether we can vote for this bill or not. And I want to tell you that this is one of the key amendments and there's just one or two that'll make the difference for me. I don't know how others feel, but this is a key. I could go back to my people and sell this bill if this amendment were in there, I believe. And, so I urge your support for it and I'll be happy to respond to questions."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker. The language ah.. that is in the bill to which this amendment addresses itself is very, very precise language. It's very, very strong language that does mandate the return of the two-thirds of the revenues ah.. where they are.. come from levies by the board. Now, the language that is being suggested here. I'm afraid would be a.. a hinderance ah.. to the regionalization operation of the board. Ah.. the reason I say that is that there is no way if this language were to be adopted that any bus or rail car would ever be able to cross over



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

any county line. So, for those reasons, I would urge that this amendment not be adopted."

A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Kane, Representative Skinner.. or McHenry, I'm sorry Cal."

Skinner: "That's all right. I represent both. Mr. Speaker, in the Republican Conference this morning I asked what the difference was between the.. this wording and the wording of the Garmisa bill which Mr. Garmisa accepted which said that 2/3 of the money would directly benefit the various service areas. I was told that the lawyers said that this would be spent in McHenry County. Now, I'm not so sure. It seems to me there is a difference between the preposition 'to' and the preposition 'within'. And if the.. if there is a good faith effort on the part of the proponents of this bill to make sure that all areas get some benefit. It seems to me that this is absolutely a minimal concession that must be made to Suburban Cook County and the suburban counties. And for that reason, I would ask for an 'aye' vote just to prove that there is some good faith in this bill."

A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, Representative Deuster to close."

Deuster: "Ah, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In closing, on this subject.. ah.. perhaps we were mistaken to respect your interest in expedition and moving to suspend the rules. Because a distinguished and learned Member, Harold Katz, came to me and said, 'What does this amendment



do?' And it's unfortunate, of course, that you don't have it printed in front of you. And so I do want to read the part of the bill so you know what it's all about. On the bottom of page 34, it says for each fiscal year, the board shall allocate an amount to be used for the provision of public transportation facilities and services to each transportation area. And I might say that there are five, six, seven transportation areas. Each of the surrounding counties are one. The City of Chicago is one and Suburban Cook County is one. So, I want to address the plea to those of you are interested in protecting Chicago and those of you who are interested in protecting Suburban Cook County as well as the outlying counties that this is the amendment that puts teeth in it that will protect Chicago. Because, you mark my words that when the population starts growing out in the suburbs, the board is going to start taking your buses and sending them out to Lake County and you're going to wish that you had some teeth in the bill to protect you. And I might say that substituting for the word 'to', we simply put in there 'within'? That would mean within Chicago? And directly benefiting Chicago or within Suburban Cook County and directly Benefiting Suburban Cook County. Likewise, with the surrounding counties. And so I urge you that this is the basic guarantee. This is the guarantee that Governor Walker gave his word on. This is what you've been reading in the newspapers. Two-thirds of the money is going to be spent in each area.. in Chicago, Suburban



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Cook County, and the surrounding counties. Now, let's put in black and white right in this bill what everybody's been talking about so we can go to our people and say, 'This is not a sham and this is not a fraud. This is not blip service.' But this is putting into the bill what we've all been saying. Because we're all men of honor and our word. And I urge an 'aye' vote for this amendment. It's the key to passage of RTA in my judgement. Thank you."

A. Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 27. All in favor of the Gentlemans motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Don't get excited. All right. There are five members who have joined the Gentleman for a roll call. Question is shall Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 27 be adopted? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. An oral roll call."

F. B. Selcke: "Alsup.. Anderson.. See enclosed roll call."

A. Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. To explain my vote.. these are the promises made as the result of a compromise. If this money was going to be spent within the counties affected. And certainly, if we're not going to accept it, then we're not telling the public the truth. We're creating another fraudulent attitude about it in order to pass a very, very loaded bill that allows us to issue as



much as \$500,000,000 in bonds without restraint. I vote 'aye'."

A. Telcser: "Reocrd the lady as voting 'aye'."

F. B. Selcke: PROCEEDS WITH ROLL CALL.

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote very briefly here. I believe I was the only Republican Suburban Legislator that co-sponsored the Garmisa Bill. And I'd like you all to know that the reason I did that is that the Garmisa Bill provided some protection for the outlying counties in that it showed good faith in saying that these funds would be used for the direct benefits of the county. I feel that the compromise bill has certainly compromised us just as a good woman might be compromised by her not-so-good boyfriend. I think that we've been compromised to the point that we have no ethic left here. I urge everybody to vote for this. This is the only way that we can possibly support this bill. I vote 'aye'."

F. B. Selcke: PROCEEDS WITH ROLL CALL.

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

It seems to me that what we're witnessing here is clearly a demonstration of an inflexible, unmovable, implacable opposition to any changes whatsoever be it one jot, one dot over the 'i'.. one jot or tittle of this so-called compromise plan. In so doing, I think we're making a mockery of the legislative process.. no attempt to debate.. no attempt to discuss.. just a plain 'no'. Not even an answer



to the question being raised. And I would ask this.. was part of the compromise planned? Was part of it? That no change however reasonable be made anywhere along the line? Was this part of the understanding? If so, I think we should.. we be made.. that should be made clear. We should be told that. That that was the way Members came out of the conference. Mr. Speaker, I proudly vote 'yes'."

F. B. Selcke: PROCEEDS WITH ROLL CALL.

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker. We were asked to day whether, I think by the Gentleman from DuPage on his explanation of his why ah.. some of these amendments can't be put in the bill. I want to point out that many of these amendments were rejected by this House two weeks ago. They were neither in the Speaker's bill nor in Representative Garmisa's bill. Therefore, they weren't a matter of compromise between the Democratic approach and the Republican approach. They were in neither bill and they were defeated by record vote of this House two weeks ago. So how in the negotiation could we put in to the bill an amendment rejected by this House that appeared in neither the Republican or the Democratic RTA bill. The present bill does guarantee that 2/3 of the money raised will be spent for the benefit of the people in the suburban counties and in Suburban Cook County and that's enough. I vote no on this amendment. This amendment is not a matter of compromise. It has previously been rejected by this House."



F. B. Selcke: PROCEEDS WITH ROLL CALL.

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. ON page 35 of the bill, the second paragraph. I think clearly spells out that 2/3 of the money raised in those seven areas will be spent within those areas for the purposes of public transportation, services and facilities, therefore I vote 'no'."

F. B. Selcke: PROCEEDS WITH ROLL CALL.

A. Telcser: "Okay. The immediate people in the back of the room, if you're not shooting pictures now could you turn the lights off for a few minutes. Ah.. the Clerk's people are having trouble recording while the bright lights are on. Representative Dunne, for what purpose do you rise? Robert Dunne."

Dunne: "I rise to do something that I have seldom ever had to do and that's to change my vote. I would like to be recorded as voting 'aye' and I think the reason is again, that we don't have these amendments to read. Now, I tried to listen to Don Deuster's explanation but the noise in this House and the total disregard for the Speaker that are offering this amendment make it impossible to understand. Now, Representative Totten has asked us to seriously consider ah.. ah.. on behalf of all the suburban committeemen or ah.. Representatives.. amendments 3 through 13. Now, if we are going to seriously consider these, I ask that we stop until these amendments are distributed. Now, it's impossible to cast an intelligent vote without



reading the amendment."

A. Telcser: "All right. Change the Gentleman's vote to 'aye'. Representative Ebbesen, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Ebbesen: "Ah.. how am I recorded?"

A. Telcser: "How is Representative Ebbesen recorded?"

F. B. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Ebbesen: "Ah.. vote me 'aye', please."

A. Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. On this question, there are 51 'ayes', 81 'nays'. Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "AH.. Mr. Speaker. In explaining the request I am about to make, I want to direct some remarks to the leadership on both sides of this aisle. Ah.. what's happening right now is that the leaders have obviously gotten together and they're going to reject everything and anything. And I just want to say, that if the referendum that's still in this bill stays in there and this matter goes out to the voters, if you blindly and absolutely and arbitrarily, viciously reject each and every amendment without reference to the merits and just wipe them all off, you're going to have some red hot Representatives racing around here urgin everybody to vote 'no' on this thing and it might go down the tube with the voters. And I think that if we don't get a couple of amendments that will at least give us some little thread to hang on you're going to have this thing rejected. Now, with that in mind, I do want to request a call of the absentees."



- A. Telcser: "All right. The roll call currently stands at 51 'ayes' and 81 'nays'. The Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Will the members please be in their seats and the Clerk will read the absentees."
- F. B. Selcke: "Borchers. Bradley. Carter. Chapman. Clabaugh. Dee. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. Fleck. Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Hirschfeld. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Robert Holloway. Hyde. Keller. Kent. Kriegsmann. Kucharski."
- A. Telcser: "Record Representative Kent as voting 'aye'."
- F. B. Selcke: "LaFleur. Lauer. Londrigan. Martin. Matejevich. McCormick. McCourt. McMaster. Murphy. Neff. North. Pappas. Peters. Polk. Rose. Schisler. Schneider. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp. Timothy Simms. Springer. Yourell."
- A. Telcser: "On this question there are 53 'ayes', 81 'nays'. Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 27, fails. Are there further amendments?"
- F. B. Selcke: "Amendment #4, Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27 and so forth."
- A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."  
Deuster: "Ah, Mr. Speaker, Amendment #4 to my knowledge has not been distributed."
- A. Telcser: "Do you want to withdraw Amendment #4, Don?"  
Deuster: "I don't want to withdraw Amendment #4, but I think if we're going to adopt a transit system that's going to last this state for many years, we ought to do it with some respect for the rules of the House, and we ought to



proceed in an orderly manner. And I don't think even though these amendments are going down that we want to disrupt and taint the prestige and image of the Illinois House by doing this. Ah.. if that can be done. And so I ah.. certainly think we ought to print these things. What's wrong, is the printing press broken down? I'm sure if this was a leadership amendment, it'd be right here. Now, why can't an ordinary Member have his amendment available for the Members? Ah.. can someone explain that?"

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I think that at all times, the Clerks Office has tried to facilitate getting the amendments over on the floor. I know the other night I had a 23-page amendment and they're getting them over. I understand there are 50 some amendments to this bill. You know, - we're at a little bit of disadvantage being away from our service unit. We're in some temporary quarters. I think that perhaps the Gentleman could very simply explain his amendment. I think every Member has a copy of the bill. It's a short, brief amendment. I think he could explain it, and then if there's an insistence upon having the printed amendments, we'll just have to wait a minute or two."

A. Telcser: "All right. Let the Clerk explain to the Members of the House just why Representative Deuster finds himself in the position he is in. Mr. Clerk, I'll leave it to you."

F. B. Selcke: "Ah.. when this bill was called, the ah.. Amendments 3 to 13 had not even been introduced to us yet."



And they were brought up here while we were working on Amendments 1 and 2. And we immediately sent them over to the printer. And it's a physical impossibility to get the stuff done in three seconds. If these amendments were presented here in proper time, when they should have been, they would back here. The printing presses have been working incessantly. And there isn't going to be a tree left in the whole world since yesterday afternoon.. and I mean yesterday afternoon, all last night and now."

A. Telcser: "Representative Bob Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dunne: "The only thing that doesn't tie in with the Clerks explanation was we have Amendments 14 and 15.. they were given to us."

F. B. Selcke: "They were introduced earlier!"

Dunne: "I thought they came in numerical order."

A. Telcser: "No, Bob. As a courtesy.. as a courtesy to ah.. those of you who are offering amendments from 3 to 13, those numbers were reserved to you. The sponsors of the amendments asked for them. The Clerk accommodated them. That's why amendments number.. subsequent to 13 are printed and.."

Dunne: "Okay, I'll go along with that. But I want to go back to.. ah.. Representative Shea suggests that we should proceed even though we don't have the amendments. Now, I went along with that on Amendment #3 and I ended up having to change my vote. I think that as Representative Deuster





has pointed out. We are engaging a very.. ourselves in a very, very important piece of legislation that's going to affect the people of Illinois for a long time to come. And we sure as hell can wait a few minutes for these amendments, it seems to me."

A. Telcser: "Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I just did want to explain to the Members this. Yesterday, when I arrived here in Springfield, I went over to the Senate and I picked up a copy of the bill. And ah.. last night I was in my office preparing amendments and I had them all ready at 1 o'clock. And, out of respect to the request from some other Members, I agreed to bring those amendments to an 8 o'clock breakfast meeting which was held. And it was suggested at that time, although I was ready to file these amendments, that out of consideration for the group that the amendments would be put in in a certain order. So, I simply wanted to go along and cooperate. And as I say, these things were ready last night at 1 o'clock. But, they were not put in til a little earlier this morning and ah.. that's the story of those amendments. Now, some other members had amendments that were a part of that package. And I'm not sure when they were drafted or when the Legislative Reference Bureau had them."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm glad to get this all on the table. Because by innuendo, Representative Deuster had



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

accused the Clerk of giving preferential treatment<sup>42.</sup> to Leadership and other people, when in all practicality he didn't even give the Clerk his amendment until the bill was on call... and he's admitted it. Now, as far as priority is concerned, I heard that some of your Members and not Leadership had requested specific numbers of 13, 14 and etc. I resent the fact that you're haphazardly throwing accusations around by innuendo saying that somebody is getting preferential treatment and when you know in your own heart after it's been acclaimed by the Clerk that you're getting just as rapid of treatment as far as amendments are concerned as anyone else. And you know it, you admit it."

A. Telcser: "Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, I'd like to respond to that. I.. I think it is perfectly clear that the Clerk of the House is not at fault, but I can tell you this. As sure as we're sitting here, if the Leadership had some amendments and they weren't ready, we'd take a recess for about an hour. And you know it as well as I'm sitting here. That's what we'd do."

Choate: "Well, I'll tell you one thing. If I'd have had amendments, they would have been introduced before the bill was called."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, you know. We had a caucus yesterday and I suggested at that time we ought to have time to study these bills after they get here. You know, this bill



got here late last night. And I know everybody's got problems. And we don't all have a staff to get amendments ready. But, I'm wondering.. we're on second reading and voted last night before I left here. And I remember specifically now, it may have been changed since I left, but it said that we were going to put these bills on second reading when they came over from the Senate. And it did not say second reading, second legislative day. I'd like to know how the hell we're even considering amendments today."

A. Telcser: "Ah.. the motion.. All right. Now, I'm informed that amendments 3 through and including 7 and amendments 17 through and including 26 are on their way over here right now from the printers and they have already arrived. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. It seems to me that when we're going to debate funds that are going to affect the whole state, not just the six county, when we get a bill late yesterday afternoon.. of 56 pages in content.. and very important phrases and clauses, it seems to me that we should have more time to study it anyway. So, why can't we recess for lunch for two hours to give the amendments a chance to get here? Why not? After all, you're spending my tax money as well as yours on the left side of the aisle. And I have a responsibility to the people that I represent back in Lake County and if you don't want to face it, that's too bad. I'm going to face it right now. And I say, we're not doing the people a bit of good when we're rushing through 56 pages of language that is very



detrimental to the State of Illinois."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I want to remind the Lady from Lake, there's nothing partisan about this bill. I understand it's sponsored by the Republican State Senator from her very home district. Her State Senator sponsored this bill. This isn't a Democratic bill."

A. Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker, the State Senator from my district is a very fine Gentleman, but he does not speak for me. Number 2, I cannot help the compromise that all the leaders might have to arrive at. However, we've got to have some common sense. And we are not proceeding with common sense, we are only proceeding with expediency, the expediency of those who will get the most out of it at the expense of the other five counties. And I'm not kidding you one bit.. I do not like the way we're proceeding. I'm disgusted and I feel it's a shame that we cannot consider the tax money, the people a little more seriously than just trying to ram the bills through."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I ah.. heard Representative Griesheimer get up and say this compromise.. sort of like the way of a good woman would be compromised and led astray. I kinda feel like this bill's like a shot-gun wedding and we're not getting our side across. And I don't really know who's holding the shot-gun."

A. Telcser: "Well, the Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."



Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,  
I moved that we recess for lunch for two hours."

A. Telcser: "Ah.. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, ah.. under the Rules, that motion should  
be in writing and I'd like to make an inquiry as to whether  
it's in writing."

A. Telcser: "No, it's not, Sir."

Ewell: "Well, ah.. I would object to it and ask that it  
be put in writing. I would also like to add a further  
comment if I might. And that is that I've been around  
here just a little while and I know that everybody has  
got to have their day on this bill and everyone has to  
have their time to speak on the bill. But, I should  
like to point that no one is going to be elected to any  
office, simply on this single bill. And I think that  
we're going to have to get to it. I incidentally think  
that if leadership would put their heads together, perhaps  
we could come to some reasonable form of compromise that  
the time of all the Members of the House might not be  
taken up with needless conversation and all of us going  
through this painful process. Now, I'm asking very simply  
that perhaps it is in order that the leadership put their  
heads together, talk with the sponsors of these various  
amendments and see if they can't work out some simple  
solution where everybody's rights would be protected, but  
nevertheless the House could move in an orderly procedure.  
And it's a simple request of the Chair and the leadership...  
that they get together with the sponsors."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

A. Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First, of all, I want to apologize for speaking so fast and sounding so shrill, I do apologize. But since I've got my bearings going back and forth, I would like to know. to have ah.. the Gentleman on the other side know that I have submitted my motion in writing... for a motion to recess for two hours for lunch. And I might add one more comment. I don't care whether I get re-elected or fail on this bill, but my conscience bothers me to spend the taxpayers' money in such an unlimited fashion without some fairly good safeguard."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative.. Representative Kennedy has already had his lunch. Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

William Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker. As much as I hate to ah.. oppose my friend, Adeline, I am compelled to because I, too, have already eaten. And Adeline can slip out, I'm sure, and have a bowl of soup while we're voting on the next amendment. But, the Speaker also said that the Amendments 3 through 13 will be over here in five minutes. They're on their way now and we can be about our business. We can't afford to lose two hours time."

A. Telcser: "Okay. Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Well, being in the unfortunate position of being the sponsor of this amendment, I'd be very happy if the other members of this House want to follow this way."



If the Clerk would read the amendment, I'd be glad to explain it. I don't know what numbers they've put on these amendments. I think maybe, ah.." All right. Rodney

A. Telcser: "All right. Rodney tells me that ah.. Amendment #4, which is the amendment we are now discussing, is being distributed right now. Ah.. does the Lady wish to persist in her motion to recess? Amendment #4 is being distributed right now, Representative Geo-Karis. Do you still wish to persist in your motion to recess?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly do wish to persist it. We have to have a little time, and the fact that some people have managed to eat because they didn't really care much for some of the amendments. Well, we do and we do care about the bill. And I'd like to renew my motion ~~to recess for two hours which I have already submitted~~ in writing and I'd appreciate your consideration."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Murphy."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, I think that my colleagues from Lake County are getting out of order. I think I'll make a motion to lay that on the table."

A. Telcser: "Okay. The Gentleman has moved that that motion lie upon the table. All in favor, signify by saying 'aye'.

Members: "Aye."

A. Telcser: "The opposed 'no'."

Members: "No".

A. Telcser: "The Gentleman's motion is carried. Do you wish a roll call? Okay. Is he joined by five members. The Gentleman has moved that Representative Geo-Karis' motion



lie upon the table. All in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'."

Jack O'Brien: "Alsup. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley. Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Caldwell. Calvo. Campbell. Caparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh. Collins. Craig. Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee. Deuster."

A. Telcser: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know whether I'm in order or not. But, ah.. since I see the handwriting on the wall, and it's very clear. Rather than to waste time on this motion, I'd like to have leave to withdraw my original motion on which.."

A. Telcser: "The Lady has asked leave to withdraw the motion to recess. Hearing no objections, that motion will be withdrawn as will Representative Murphy's. Now, with respect to Amendment #4, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, the amendment has been distributed, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think you all have this green Amendment #4 in front of you. This is another area where ah.. ah.. the bill does have unlimited taxing authority and I think if we're interested in making sure that there's some reasonable limit so that we can go out and adopt this at a referendum in the six counties, I think that it would be good to ah.. tighten up





the language here a little bit. As it is, the bill reads and you'll see on page 38 that there's just limitless power to impose taxes. So, if there were a Jewell Shopping Center out in rural Kane County or ah.. even ah.. a private parking facility even your own garage, I guess.. your own house could be taxed under this thing. And, I don't think that was the intention of the leadership at all. In fact, everyone has said, you know, what we're really talking about is putting a parking tax on downtown Chicago for those suburbanites who come in and foul things up by bringing their cars into the central city and ah.. We had discussed this many times. We know that the parking tax will be probably imposed in Chicago, but the burden will be paid by suburbanites who are driving their cars in. Now, the amendment simply says that first of all, the privilege of parking motor vehicles the tax will be on public, not private facilities. Public facilities, I think that's reasonable, in the City of Chicago. And then it also says, out in the rest of the metropolitan area, it would be public parking facilities ah.. somewhere near the routes and services.. in close proximity to the routes and services of the authority. I think this does not impair the tax power in the least. At least, the tax power that's contemplated. It's contemplated that ah.. we would try and discourage cars from going into the congested central city. So, in order to make the language conform with what everybody's been talking about,

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY**STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I offer Amendment #4 and would be happy to respond to any questions on it."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment #4 would allow a general parking tax to be levied by the board only in Chicago. It would impair that from the language of the amendment that it would exempt from the tax privately owned parking lots even in Chicago. It limits the parking tax outside Chicago to facilities to the RTA facilities. For example, Park and Ride. And I'm sure that the board would want to have the power ah.. to exempt Park and Ride facilities. So, ah.. this amendment for the reason that I have stated is not acceptable. And I would suggest that we defeat it."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipword."

Tipword: "I hope I understand this amendment correctly." And if I do, I apologize to the suburban members but I would oppose this amendment. This so-called compromise ah.. bill is brought to us here has severely restricted the possible taxing powers of the authority over the bills that previously here before us. And speaking as a member from downstate who won't be living in this district, but who is going to be providing a lot of money for it. This is the very kind of a proposition along with what they've already done in restricting taxation in this bill that's going to bring this authority, once it's created, right back here to the General Assembly for additional state funds. Now, we in downstate Illinois, who won't be served by this will



comprise then, only 30% of the membership of the General Assembly. There'll be something near the 70% from the area encompassed in the authority. And I can't blame you members from that area. I'm sure you won't be voting to increase local taxes. I'm sure you'll be down here to take it from the State of Illinois and we'll not being able to do anything about it, you'll even have a 3/5 membership that you can do it on emergency legislation. So, I would certainly, regrettably oppose this amendment that is being proposed at this time, because it's just going to make it that much worse for those of us in downstate Illinois who are complete unprotected from raids on the State Treasury in this bill."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Palmer."

Palmer: "Point of parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

A. Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Palmer: "Ah.. this Amendment #4 indicates that it amends lines 22 and 23 on page 38. My inquiry is this.. as to the compatibility of language."

A. Telcser: "Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, ah.. this morning the Legislative Reference Bureau made a change in this amendment and looking at the bill, I see they've made a change that should be corrected. They refer to page 38, lines 22 and 23 and, actually, it should be lines 15 and 16, the way I originally had it. And I believe the Clerk, if the record will indicate this, it's possible for the Clerk to make this clerical

correctment ah... correction so that the amendment conforms to the bill. It simply would change a 22 to 15 and 23 to 16."

A. Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "I will withdraw the point of parliamentary inquiry on the grounds that the grounds of the bill that I was reading from was the amendment or the amendment that I was reading from, was presented yesterday afternoon and the ah... I've seen the amendment... amended bill and it is compatible."

A. Telcser: "Ok, ah.. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I'd also like to make a parliamentary inquiry."

A. Telcser: State your point."

Juckett: "Has the actual bill as printed in the House, been distributed to the members?"

A. Telcser: "The Clerk says 'yes'. The lady from Cook, Representative Macdonald."

Macdonald: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I rise to support this amendment. I think as this bill is written, with unlimited power against a tax. This means any parking facility in a small shopping center, in the Jewel parking lots, the A & P, any kind of parking facility can be taxed in an unlimited way. I think there has to be some restrictions. There is no possible way that I could go back to my district and support this kind of passing authority with this bill. So I strongly urge you to consider what we're doing and to accept this amendment, it's a very good amendment and I move to accept it."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

Day: "Ah... point of information Mr. Speaker, the ah... members should know that the bill in its amended form as it came from the Senate, has been reprinted. And I picked up my copy, not on my desk, because I don't have a desk, but in the pigeon holes in the back of the hall here. So I think it would be helpful to all members if they would pick up their amended copy of the bill there and you can follow these amendments much easier."

A. Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Representative Deuster to close."

Deuster: "Well once again, ladies and gentlemen of the House, ah I think that there is an opportunity that the wise leaders will recognize, ah.. I remember last week we had the Garmisa bill just fall about three or four votes short of passage and had on second reading the gentlemen leading on the other side of the aisle been a little reasonable and accepted a amendments I probably would have been voting for that and you might have gotten a better package than you've got now and I would hate to see us pass a bill with unlimited tax power and then have the people rise up and reject this in a referendum. And so I'm trying to look ahead to that referendum. I don't think there is anyone more committed to the need of an R.T.A. than myself, and I want us to do it and I want to be able to go out and tell voters that they can vote for this. And with an unlimited tax power it's going to raise all kinds of hoggoblins and ah.. they're going to be looking at Representatives and saying why in the world did



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

you impose this on us, couldn't you have put some limit on and we'll have to say, 'Well, we just didn't want to accept any amendments. And so I think this is a beautiful opportunity to make this proposal a little bit more acceptable by the voters in the region, so that when that referendum comes on March 19, the vote is going to be affirmative and positive and we're gonna have an R.T.A. and we're not gonna have alot of wild citizens groups justifyingly, going out and shooting this down. And so I hope that you will put this mild slight limit on which does no great damage that I can see to the revenue that's contemplated, but simply confines a little bit the area in which parking taxes may be imposed, and urge your 'aye' vote for Amendment #4."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman's offer to move the adoption to Amendment #4 to Senate Bill 27, all those in favor of the gentlemen's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no', join by five members, all in favor of the gentlemen's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed by saying 'no', the Clerk will take a oral roll call."

Fredrik B. Selcke: "Alsup... Anderson... Arnell... See the enclosed roll call."

A. Telcser: "Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, ah. Since this does involve the question of imposing unlimited tax power on bureaucracy the.. I think we oughta... I would like to request a simple call of the absentees, just so there might be just one last opportunity for the repentance of



sinners.

A. Telcser: "Alright Mr. Clerk, will you read the names of the absentees?" He wants to pull the absentees. Read the names."

Fredrik B. Selcke: "Barry..Bluthardt..Borchers..Boyle.. Bradley.  
Brandt..Brummet..Capparelli...Carter..Chapman..Collins..  
Cunningham..Deavers..Dee..Douglas...R.Dunn.."

A. Telcser: "Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you rise?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, it's been pointed out to me that all I'm getting are the sinners coming in the back door. So I'd like to withdraw that request for the pull of the absentees."

A. Telcser: "Alright, can we have a count, Mr. Clerk?"

Fredrik B. Selcke: "Yes."

A. Telcser: "In question are 33 'ayes', 71 'nays', the gentlemen's motion to adopt Amendment #4 fails. Are there further amendments? Further amendment?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #5, Schlickman."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman.

Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "Form of inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

A. Telcser: "State your point."

Palmer: "I'd like to see the amendment, I have'nt seen it yet. Has it been distributed?"

A. Telcser: "Has Amendment #5 been distributed? It's in the boxes in the back where your mail and other things are. Gentlemen from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentlemen for raising that question ah..because I was going to do that myself. I



assume then that the amendment has been printed and distributed, is that correct?"

A. Telcser: "The Clerk informs me it has, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Then I'll proceed. Mr. Speaker and members of the House, Amendment #5 deals with Section 1.05 of the bill. That section is entitled "Referendum on Establishment". Ah.. it seems to me Mr. Speaker and member of the House, the question of whether or not there be a Regional Transportation Authority, in the sixth county area, Northeastern Illinois area, is just as important to the citizens and tax payers of that region, as any constitutional amendment, upon which they have voted in the past. And this point, Mr. Speaker and members of the House, is emphasized by the fact, as in this bill, as we now have it before us, prescribes that the color of the ballot, in that referendum, be blue. And as you know, the color blue generally reserved to or for constitutional amendments. Now as to the referendum, as it's now contained in the bill, it simply provides that there shall appear on the ballot this proposition: shall a Regional Transportation Authority be created for Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will counties Illinois. What does that mean? What will it mean to the voters of that region? The answer obviously is that'll mean everything to anybody and anything to everybody. What this amendment will do Mr. Speaker and members of the House, is inform the voters as to what they'll be called to vote upon. More specifically it provides that the Secretary of State, will develop a



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



57  
brief discription of the R.T.A. proposal. And that accom-  
panying this brief description, there will be presented the  
arguments for, prepared by the majority of the General  
Assembly, and the arguments against, prepared by the minority  
of the General Assembly. This precedure of informing the  
voters as to the proposition, is identical to Chapter 7 1/2  
of the revised statutes, prescribing the manner by which  
we, the General Assembly and the Secretary of State, are  
required to inform the electorate, with regards to the con-  
stitutional amendments. It would seem to me, Mr. Speaker  
and members of the House, for this referendum to be mean-  
ingful, that this amendment is the least that we can do,  
informing the voters so that they can vote intelligently on  
this most important proposition. I respectfully solicit  
your support ah.. of this amendment and it's adoption."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, careful reading of this proposed  
amendment, indicates that it is impractical and unworkable.  
For example: It says that the General Assembly would pre-  
pare for this now ah... who would do it? It addresses it-  
self to saying that there will be a brief explanation re-  
garding the powers and duties and the minority of the  
General Assembly if they so desire may also prepare a  
brief argument against creation of the Authority. Well who  
is the minority of the General Assembly? There is no  
definition of that. So it just doesn't..I dont understand  
that at all ..ah there are no controls on whether the  
arguments are responsible or even accurate under the proposal



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

so ah.. we would be in opposition to this. Actually, careful reading of the Election Code will show and in the act itself it says that the provisions of the Election Code with submission of referendum shall be applicable to the ah.to this referendum so I am sure that the ah.. that the matter can be handled in that fashion."

A. Telcser: "Is there any further discussion. Representative Schlickman to close."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and members of the House, apparently the gentleman who preceded me wasn't listening. I said that the contents of this amendment are taken verbatim, verbatim that means word for word, from Chapter 7 1/2 of the Illinois Revised Statutes, which prescribes the manner by which the voters of this State are to be informed as to the contents of Constitutional amendments. Now if he wants to criticize the language of this amendment, then he should criticize, not only Chapter 7 1/2 of the revised Statutes, but he should criticize the manner by which the Illinois General Assembly in past years has implemented that Chapter, has caused to be developed by the Secretary of State a brief description of the proposition, and he should also criticize previously leaders of previous General Assemblies. Because they had implemented that chapter by appointing Members of the majority to develop the arguments for, and by pointing members of the minority to develop arguments against. It seems to me Mr. Speaker and Members of the House as I indicated previously, if we are going to make this referendum meaningful, then we have a responsibility to inform



the residents and taxpayers of the region as to what's involved so that they can vote intelligently on the proposition. It seems to me that this is just basic in our form of government. And on that basis, I again solicit your favorable support of this amendment."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to Senate Bill 27. Five members wish a roll call? All in favor of the Gentlemans motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'."

Jack O'Brien: ROLL CALL.

K. W. Miller: "The Gentleman.. Mr. Hudson, to explain his vote."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not silly enough to think that anything I have to say is going to change votes here. As a matter of fact, there aren't many votes here. But, be that as it may, it would seem to me, incumbent upon me, Mr. Speaker, to at least say this much in the interest of the people that we proprot to represent, that they have at least the chance to be reminded as they go to the polls to vote on this at.. referendum time in March, at least be reminded that what they're doing is establishing a unit of local government. Now, this is what the bill says. Now, we are creating in passing this bill, another unit of government with all of the powers intendent to a unit of government, including the power to tax and apparently, unlimited power. And I think it would be salutary to the tax payers and the citizens of this State if at least they have that basic



understanding or were reminded of that at the polls as they go to the polls and vote. And, I, therefore, cast my vote as 'aye'."

K. W. Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye' and continue with the roll call."

Jack O'Brien: ROLL CALL.

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner to explain his vote."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it is obvious from the way this roll call is going that the Members of this House are perfectly satisfied to rely on the one-sided media presentation that will come from Chicago during this campaign. And, it seems to me that this may be the way of getting this referendum passed but it certainly is not democracy. I vote 'no'.. I vote 'aye'. Excuse me. Almost blew it."

Jack O'Brien: ROLL CALL.

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Mulgalian. How is he recorded?"

O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Mulgalian: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

K. W. Miller: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Mr. Arnel, 'aye'. Mr. Duff, 'aye'. Mr. Rayson, 'no'. Mr. Lauer, 'aye'. Lundy, 'no'. Ewell, 'no'. All right, Mr. Schlickman is recognized."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I respectfully request a poll of the absentees."

K. W. Miller: "Ah.. may we get the count first, please?"

On this question, there are 53 'ayes' and 63 'nays'. Now, Mr. Schlickman has requested that the absentees be polled.



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Schlickman, desired to be recognized?"

Schlickman: "In light of the result, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my request."

K. W. Miller: "All right. The Gentleman has withdrawn his request. So, on this question there are 35 'ayes', and 63 'nays' and the House does not adopt Amendment #5. Are there further amendments, Mr. Clerk?"

J. O'Brien: "Amendment #6, Schlickman. Amends House Bill 27, Third Special Session."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, may I inquire to the Clerk as to whether or not this amendment has been printed and distributed."

J. O'Brien: "It has been distributed."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #6 is not new, it's an old friend. In fact, it's an amendment which previously had been considered by this body. And on those two previous occasions, it was adopted. First to the the proposal commonly referred to as the Garmisa Bill. Secondly, to the proposal that was commonly referred to as the Katz proposal. Now, we're presenting it a third time and I believe the reason we're presenting it, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, is that it was inadvertently ah.. omitted from the compromise bill. What this amendment does, ah.. very briefly, is to strengthen Section 2.12, entitled Coordination with Planning Agencies. And since I assume that it is non-controversial, and we'll get the last favorable roll call, I'll just briefly describe it. Number one, it says that the public agencies concerned with



long-range and comprehensive planning with which the Regional Transportation Authority is required to cooperate, shall be those agencies that are statutorily charged with this responsibility. Number two, the amendment prescribes that not only shall the five-year program be submitted to the statutorily-charged public agency for long-range comprehensive planning but also the annual budget. Finally, ah.. the bill prescribes or ah.. the amendment prescribes that the Regional Transportation Authority ah.. shall submit both the five-year program and the annual budget, 60 days ah.. prior to their adoption to the agency for reviewing comment. Ah.. in as much as it was unanimously...

unanimously adopted ah.. to two previous bills on the same subject and assuming that there is no controversy relative to it, I would ask leave for the last favorable roll call."

K. W. Miller: "Ah.. before I recognize someone else, the Chair would like to call to the attention of the Members that on the printed bill with respect to Amendment #6, there appears to be two errors on the bill. In two different places there's a reference to House Bill 27. The Clerk tells me that on the original amendment, that will be changed to Senate Bill 27 in those two instances. It's on line 1 and line 2. And, I'd like to do something that's a little unorthodox if I could have your attention. We do have a former colleague on the House Floor and I'd like to intro recognize him at this time, Mr. Tony Scariano. All right. Now, the Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."



W. Robert Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker. This is an attempt to limit the planning aspects of the operation of the RTA to NIPSI. And I can understand the Gentleman's reasons for offering the amendment. But, it would limit the cooperation of the RTA with planning agencies to statutory agencies. What we're talking about really, is NIPSI. Now, that's bad because the region does have some useful planning agencies that are created by agreement of Chicago and Cook County and other districts. I'm thinking about the RPTB, ah.. the CTS, ah.. and one or two others. Ah, that group has been the basis for federal funding going into the area, because that group put together some of which are not statutory creations. Ah.. have been acting as the overall comprehensive planning group for the area. This amendment, in my judgement, would be a basis for jeopardizing those federal funds from coming in. The requirement for 60 days prior filing of the five-year plan with NIPSI is unnecessary. We already require it be prior submission of it."

K.W. Miller: "All right. Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolf."

B. B. Wolf: "No discussion. Just a short point of personal privilege. If the rules permitted me, I would introduce my former seatmate and colleague of this House.. now the scourge of the race tracks, Tony Scariano. Tony?"

K. W. Miller: "He's already been introduced."

B. B. Wolf: "I'm sorry."

K. W. Miller: "Okay. Now, is there any discussion? Mr. Schlickman



from Cook to close the debate on the motion."

Schlickman: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The remarks from the Gentleman from Cook really take me aback. As I pointed out at the outset, twice previously.. twice previously this amendment was considered by the House. And twice previously, without any objection from anybody, the amendment was unanimously adopted.. to the Garmisa Bill and to the Katz Bill. And, as a former Speaker full well knows, I have no interest in NIPSI. I'm just simply a Member of this House concerned about the welfare of the region in which I live.. concerned about the welfare of the people that I was elected to represent. Now, let me tell you something, which he didn't tell you... about the agencies charged with long-range. And not and comprehensive planning as we have in the amendment, but as in the bill.. long-range or comprehensive planning. There aren't put together as we have them in the bill. Now, there are four agencies, public agencies, in the Chicago Metropolitan Area concerned with either long-range or comprehensive. One is Chicago Area Transportation Study which is highway dominated and oriented. Number two, is the Regional Transportation Planning Board, RTPB, which office is in the City Hall in the City of Chicago. Number three, we have the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission which was created by this body and charged by this body to be the comprehensive planner for the region. And then finally, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, we have a fourth agency, public agency, we have the Bi-State, which consists of both



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



Illinois and Indiana interests. And of those four bodies, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, there is only one that is responsible to the General Assembly and that's the Northeastern Illinois Planning Commission. And not only is it responsible through annual appropriations, this body in its wisdom, saw fit four years ago to create a Legislative Advisory Committee to it. And I should point out to you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, NIPSI has two representatives from each of the collar counties on it as Commissioners. There is a body that will meet the deficiencies that presently exist in this bill if it's not to be corrected by another amendment. Now, I see nothing wrong with this amendment. It doesn't proscribe. It proscribe RTA from going to CATS, RTPB or Bi-State. It simply prescribes, it says, of all four agencies, the one it must go to.. the one that it must go to. It can go to the others. What I want to prohibit is RTA shopping.. shopping among the planning agencies and selecting the agency that does not have responsibility to us and will have a special interest as far as a mode of transportation. Not all modes. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we're going to have a well-developed region up there, one that is concerned not only with transportation or highways in particular, but's concerned with all modes of transportation. It's concerned with water supplies, it's concerned with rainwater run-off, flooding. It's going to be concerned with sewage disposal. It's going to be concerned with housing and where jobs are



located. And we want this Regional Transportation Authority to be an intrigal part of the overall. We don't want it to be a dominating monster that will ruin the region. And I solicit, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, with all due respect and sincerity that you take the same action on this amendment as you did twice previously. Thank you."

K. W. Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment #6 to Senate Bill #27. All those in favor will vote 'aye', those opposed 'nay'. Do you want a roll call? I was taking that for granted. All right. There's five members with their hands requesting roll call. Mr. Clerk, call the roll."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL.

K. W. Miller: "Record Choate as 'no'. And the Chair recognizes Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Dee, to explain his vote."

Dee: "To explain my vote Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You know, this has been a Herculean effort on the part of our leadership. For the past 11 months wrestling with an insurmountable amount of objections, they put this bill together, and I think we owe them.. the Majority Leader, the Speaker of the House, Minority Leadership, our full and 100% cooperation. I, therefore, vote no on this amendment."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL.

K. W. Miller: "All right. Record Mr. Telcser as 'no'. Mr. Neff as 'aye'. Mr. Bluthardt 'no'. Mr. Cunningham 'no'. Mr. Caldwell 'no'. Mr. Ryan 'aye'. All right. Have all



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

voted who wished? On this question there are 34 'ayes' and 63 'nays'. And the House does not adopt Amendment #6 to Senate Bill 27. Are there further amendments?"

J. O'Brien: "Amendment #7. T. H. Miller. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session."

K. W. Miller: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment #7 is really one that ah.. none of us should have any problem in supporting. Unlike the Amendment #1 or 2 introduced by Representative Sangmeister, it will hold the six-county RTA district in tact if it is approved. What it does require, though, is that in order for the referendum to pass, it must be approved both, by a majority of the voters voting on it in Chicago, as well as the majority of the voters in the balance of the RTA area.. that would be suburban Cook County and the five collar counties. Now, why is this important to me as a suburban legislator and to 29 other Republican suburban legislators from the outer suburban area. It's important because we feel that a referendum, if held, will be approved based on the plurality of votes delivered in the City of Chicago. It is not too difficult to conceive a 75 or 80% plurality in the city.. perhaps a 25 or 30% favorable vote in the remaining area and lo and behold, we'll have an RTA against the wishes of half of the peoples in that six-county area. There are good and justifiable reasons for suburban voters to vote against an RTA. Milton Purcharski, Chairman of CTA, within 48 hours after a compromised had been reached, indicated that he expected the CTA to get \$130,000,000



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

of the \$170,000,000 that we proposed in this bill. That's about 75% of the total take. And where does the money come from? If we break down general revenue based on that 3/8% sales tax as well as the automobile related tax, we all know that about 3/5 of the money will come from the suburban area and in return we're going to get about 25% of the service. When a deal is made, and the compromise was a deal between friends, between Republicans and Democrats, it was a compromise. But, in order to make a deal, it takes two or more people. And why don't we as city legislators and we as suburban legislators say, 'Let's extend our hand together. If we're for it, and if you're for it, we have an RTA. But if you're not for it, and we're for it, we don't have an RTA.' Why not be partners and progress together, rather than having one hand stick itself out and say 'Yes, we want an RTA. And the reason we want it is that we want your money to keep the CTA going'. Why not do it together and vote for a split referendum? I ask for your favorable support."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker. There are certainly some attributes to this proposal. As a matter of fact, ah.. during the negotiating sessions that went on, serious consideration was given to it. It certainly is better than the county opt-in or opt-out because this proposal holds forth the capability of either you have a region or you don't have a region. However, the different sides when we were discussing compromise were on the one hand no referendum at all and on



other hand, this type of referendum and the compromise was a region-wide referendum.. and I think that that certainly gives the people in the entire six-county area the opportunity to express their wishes with regard to this RTA proposal. Certainly, just on the mere numbers there are 300,000 more people outside the City of Chicago than there are inside the City of Chicago in the six-county region. And they have the capacity, if they so desire in presenting united front in opposition to RTA, to see it defeated at the March 19th.. the March primary. So, I think that the area wide referendum is adequate protection and for that reason, I would be in opposition to this proposal."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. If one were to look at Cook County and see that at the present time the CTA services some 50% of the counties. I look at some of my colleagues like Representative Malloy and think about the parts of his area that are serviced. In my own district, over 2/3 almost 3/4 of the population in my district is directly serviced by the CTA. So, I'm confused about what this would do. We'd have, perhaps, that area outside the city being in the region and the city being without the region. I think the Speaker put it very aptly, there's been compromise in this referendum and I would be opposed to this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Miller to close the debate."

T. H. Miller: "Well, I appreciate the remarks, Representative



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Shea, with regard to the people of the Berwin-Cicero-Riverside area. Because, if in fact they are riding the CTA and they need it, they're going to go out and vote in favor of that referendum on March 19th. And I think we ought to give the people an opportunity to express themselves on this. With regard to his mentioning that CTA serves 50% of the county and calling the CTA on Tuesday of this week... breaking down their 2,000,000 average.. ah.. 1,000,000 riders a day.. they indicate to me that they cannot prove that any more than 12 or 13% of suburbanites ride the CTA which says that 80% of CTA riders come within the city itself. I encourage your support of this. I consider it necessary for the passage of an RTA bill on March 19th or we're not going to have any RTA at all and we better have one this way with a split referendum rather than one no RTA at all."

K. W. Miller: "All right. The question is shall the House adopt Amendment #7 to Senate Bill 27. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'. Do you want an oral roll call or do you want... Well, the Chair's willing to do whatever the membership desires. Five members ask for a roll call? Mr. Clerk call the roll."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL.

K. W. Miller: "All right, record Toby Barry as 'aye'. Jack Hill as 'aye'. Tipsword as 'aye'. Gibbs 'aye'. Pierce 'no'. Stone 'no'. Katz 'no'. Day 'aye'. Caldwell 'no'. Have all voted who wish? All right take the tally, Mr. Clerk. On this question, there are 30 'ayes' and 68 'nays'."



The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Tom Miller."

T. Miller: "Mr. Speaker, I question a quorum."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Miller, I did not recognize you to question a quorum and the Chair is of the opinion there is a quorum in the.. ah.. on the Floor."

T. Miller: "Roll Call."

Members: "Roll Call."

K. W. Miller: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Tom Miller, arise. I have not declared this motion passed or lost yet. I've just said there were 30 'ayes' and 68 'nays'. Do you desire recognition, Mr. Miller."

T. Miller: "No, Mr. Speaker. Ah.. if you would finish with.."

K. W. Miller: "Then the Chair will declare that Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 27 does not.. is not adopted. Are there further amendments, Mr. Clerk."

J. O'Brien: "Amendment No. 8, Porter. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session, on page 29, line 25, be deleting 9 and inserting in lieu thereof 21, and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "The Chair will recognize Mr. Porter, the sponsor of Amendment No. 8. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Tom Miller, arise."

T. Miller: "Mr. Speaker, if I understand the House Rules correct, a question of a quorum present is in order at any time and I so request.. or question a quorum at this time."

K. W. Miller: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, arise."

Ewell: "To ask that the motion be reduced to writing."



K. W. Miller: "Well, the gentleman has the right to question the question of a quorum at any time. Ah.. for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I would ask Mr. Miller, respectfully, that on the last motion there was over 100 votes. Now, I know that because of the cramped conditions we're working on, ah.. the fact that it's ah.. many of the members are going to the cafeteria for coffee. Two of my members have come and asked that they go back to the nurse's quarters. They're laying down. I'm sure that the membership is either on the Floor or immediately accessible to it and I would ask him if he wants to go through with this, ah.. and I would respectfully ask him to think about it."

K. W. Miller: "May the Chair call to the attention of the membership that on the roll call just taken place there were 98 members who answered 'yes' or 'no'. Now, does Mr. Miller desire further recognition. Mr. Miller."

T. Miller: "Yes, in respectful response to the Assistant Minority Leader, I would suggest that there is a very good likelihood that there are not 89 in the room at this time and if they're interested in walking home with an RTA package of that sort let them work for it a little bit and put their people on the Floor."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, the gentleman persists in his request for a quorum call. Therefore, Mr. Clerk, call the attendance roll to determine whether or not there's a quorum present."

J. O'Brien: ATTENDANCE ROLL CALL.



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



K. W. Miller: "Mr. Clerk, and Members of the House, we're going to poll the absentees now. Please be quiet 'cause there's many members on the Floor now who are not recorded. Call the absentees, Mr. Clerk, on the question of the quorum. Call the absentees from the top of the list."

J. O'Brien: "Alsup.."

K. W. Miller: "Chair is informed that Mr. Alsup is in the nurse's station laying down. Is that right, Mr. Choate. Alright, record Mr. Alsup as being available - 'present'."

J. O'Brien: "Arnell.."

K. W. Miller: "Arnell is here."

J. O'Brien: "Beatty.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Beatty is here."

J. O'Brien: "Beaupre.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Beaupre is here."

J. O'Brien: "Borchers.."

K. W. Miller: "I don't see Mr. Borchers."

J. O'Brien: "Boyle.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Boyle."

J. O'Brien: "Bradley.. Brinkmeier.. Brummet."

K. W. Miller: "The gentleman is here."

J. O'Brien: "Calvo.."

K. W. Miller: "The gentleman, Mr. Calvo, is here."

J. O'Brien: "Campbell.. Caparelli.. Carter.. Catania.. Chapman.. Dee.. Deuster.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Deuster is here."

J. O'Brien: "Douglas.. Ralph Dunn R. L. Dunne.. Ebbessen.."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Ebbessen.. Farley.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Farley is here."

J. O'Brien: "Fleck.. Garmisa.. Giglio.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "Griesheimer.. Grotberg.. Hanahan.. Harpstrite.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "Hirschfeld.. J. D. Holloway.. R. H. Holloway..

D. L. Houlihan.. "

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "J. M. Houlihan.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "Hunsicker.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "Huskey.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "Emil Jones.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Grotbert is also here, Mr. Clerk."

J. O'Brien: "Emil Jones is there. Keller.. "

K. W. Miller: "Keller is here."

J. O'Brien: Kelly..Kriegsman.. Kucharski.. "

K. W. Miller: "Kucharski's here."

J. O'Brien: "LaFleur.. Lundy.. Lundy.. Madigan.."

K. W. Miller: "Madigan is here."

J. O'Brien: "Matijevich.."

K. W. Miller: "Matijevich is here."

J. O'Brien: "McAuliffe.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

J. O'Brien: "Pappas.. Pappas.. Redmond.. Schisler.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Lundy is here. Mr. Ebbesen is here."

J. O'Brien: "Schraeder.. Springer.. Springer.. Stedelin..  
Tipsword.. VonBoeckman.. Waddell.. R. A. Walsh.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "Walters.. Washburn.."

K. W. Miller: "He's here."

J. O'Brien: "B. B. Wolfe.."

K. W. Miller: "Did you have Mr. LaFleur marked present. He's on the Floor. The roll call shows there are 149 members on the Floor. 150. 150 members on the Floor and that being sufficient for a quorum we will proceed with our business, Mr. Clerk. Are there further amendments."

J. O'Brien: "Amendment No. 8, Porter. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session.."

K. W. Miller: "Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "I'd be happy to take the last roll call as the 'aye' votes on my amendment. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I wonder.. Mr. Speaker, I wonder if we could have some attention."

K. W. Miller: "I gave you all I could. Okay, will the members please be in their seats and keep the noise level down."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, I think that this amendment is one that is very important to making this bill one that is palatable to the five outlying counties and at the same time it does nothing to change the balance and the power within the board



for Cook County. The amendment substitutes a larger board and a smaller executive committee for the 9 member board that is presently contained in the bill. This amendment comes directly from House Bill 39 which was that compromise bi-partisan bill introduced by my respected colleague, Harold Katz. The bill would have the same exact balance as the 9 member board. On the 21 member board there would be 10 from Chicago, in place of the 4 presently provided. There would be 5 from the suburbs in place of the 2 presently provided. And, most significantly, there would be 5, one from each of the five outlying counties instead of 2 chosen from among those counties. So, each county, McHenry, Kane, Will, Lake and DuPage, each county would have a representative on the board of the RTA. In addition, there would be a chairman chosen in the same way, that is by 3/4 of the members of the board. The board itself would handle all the major policy matters. There would also be an executive committee of five members, again with the same balance, two of that executive committee chosen by the 10 members from the City, one chosen by the 5 members from the suburbs, and one chosen by the 5 members from the outlying counties, plus a chairman. This executive committee of 5 members would handle all of the day-to-day operations of the Authority and would exercise the powers of the board except in certain specified important matters. You would, therefore have a 21 member board for the important policy decisions and a 5 member operating executive committee. Now, the



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

reason for this amendment is obvious. First, it provides a much more efficient operating Authority, that is a 5 member executive committee in place of a 9 member board. That would be more efficient for day-to-day operations. The policy decisions would be made by a larger board which would gain a great deal of representation and input from more individuals. But, most importantly, it gives each of the five outlying counties representation on the board and I certainly, for one, do not wish to be a party to any legislation which gives taxing authority that is unlimited taxing authority over citizens of this state without providing concurrent representation. I think that this concept, representation for those being taxed, is rather basic to our democratic system and I would urge your support for this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we gave a great deal of thought to the board composition that ah.. and, as you'll recall, the commission recommendation in their bill was a 9 man board ah.. structured in the same fashion as we have in this bill and actually the Garmisa bill was a 9 member board, too. If you wanted to carry out the full thrust of this ah.. proposal, I suppose you could say that each township in the Cook County suburban area, some of which are certainly of the size population wise of the outlying counties, that each of the townships ought to have a member represent the township. You could ah.. you could build this thing up to



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

a great number of people. Now, ah.. there's no question that the suburban area is going to be represented by the two members that have to reside in suburban Cook County and that the outlying five county areas are going to be represented by the two members that are going to be selected by the five county board chairmen. We feel that a 9 member board is a good workable size board. The attempt to cut back from the 21 to the 5 would be.. ah.. that being the executive committee, was considered by the conferees and we decided that, really, we were concentrating just too much power in a limited number when you get down to four and five for the size region that we have here. So, that was the reason for the compromise proposal coming out where it was. I think that's a valid situation. There's certainly going to be representation here from all these various areas. I think that that representation will be adequate. So, I would recommend opposition to this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Well once again we have a chance to put on a decency amendment and without question ah.. Representative Porter has come forward now with another alternative as to representation on the board. We were told the first time that ah.. 12 man board was not acceptable because politically it wasn't right. Now we're up to a 21 man board. I suppose now the argument, partially, is that it's an unwieldy board because we're this big. It seems very strange to me that somewhere in between here we cannot



arrive at a board that's going to give basic, decent representation to the people who are being asked to support this with their taxes. And I would strongly urge you to give this amendment serious consideration because those of you that are serious about having this referendum passed in the primary and next March had better consider that there are going to be a lot of people on the fence as to the way to vote. And if you can go back to them and say to them, 'Well lookit, you know, you're going to have somebody sitting on that board,' you're going to have a lot better chance of passing this thing in the referendum. But if you can't even go back and say we were decent enough to give them representation I think you're going to get a lot of 'no' votes in that referendum in areas that might surprize all of you. I suggest an 'aye' vote on this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, the previous question has been moved.

I recognized the gentleman. All those in favor of the gentleman's motion to the previous question say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, the 'nos' have it. The Chair will recognize the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe that we have here a very, very



important principle. Now, I don't represent the people in the outlying five counties and everyone knows that I have strongly opposed giving the people in these five counties the power to opt out, because I believe it's a natural area. But how in the world can we give a board the power to tax them without giving them representation on the board. It is the unfairest thing in the world. I thought the Boston Tea Party had to do with something called 'taxation without representation'. At least give the people in the five counties an opportunity to be represented on the board that has the power to impose auto-related taxes on them. It is a simple, basic principle. Now, I have opposed all of the amendments up to now because I have not wanted, really, to encumber this agreement with something that was not vitally important. But, I know of no principle in government that is more critical than the right of people who are being taxed by a board to have representation on that board. And the principle of ordinary, common decency, it seems to me, requires that we give those people who we will not let opt out the right to have a representative on the board. Now, we heard the Speaker give certain reasons why he felt that this was unfair and he said that if we are going to give the people of Will County and Lake County and Kane County representation, well then, we will have to give the people of every little town and hamlet in the six county area the same right to representation. Now, I submit that that argument is so baseless that the fact that it has to be





advanced in support of a position opposed to this amendment indicates the lack of merit in opposing Representative Porter's amendment. The only other reason advanced by the Gentleman from Will in opposition to this was that it gives too much authority, he said, to a five man operating board. That is also a baseless objection, in my opinion. Under the proposal of the Gentleman from Evanston, this five man board only has day-to-day operating authority. The smaller it is the more efficient it is. It does not have the authority to impose taxes. It cannot take critical action that requires the whole 21 board. And, I submit to you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that this is the most vital amendment that will be offered. Now, I do not know why they did not include this in the agreement. They had meetings that went on to which the members of the General Assembly were not privy. I know that this proposal had been advanced in a previous bill. It was presumably within their knowledge and they had a time to consider it and I have yet to hear one reason why it was not adopted. I have yet to hear one justification for denying the people of Lake and Will and Kane and McHenry and DuPage a representative on the policy board and I would strongly urge that this principle should not be compromised and that a vote should be had in favor of Amendment No. 8."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, is recognized."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would urge a yes vote on this amendment for a different



reason, perhaps, and that is that a 21 man board under Representative Porter's arrangement will be cheaper than a 9 man board under the compromise plan. There is a maximum appropriation for the 21 man board of \$189,000,000 at a \$100 per day maximum; whereas, the other bill has a maximum of \$225,000,000 at \$200 per day. Frankly, I can't think of anyone in the outlying counties that's worth \$200 a day on transportation matters."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, is there further discussion. Alright, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter, to close the debate."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think the issue is very clear here. It's a question of whether we want to provide to the five outlying counties one representative each so that they may have a voice on this RTA Board and participation in the powers that it will exercise over them. The balance between the Cook County and the other counties is not changed one whit by this new proposal for a 21 member board. There is no great power in the 5 member executive committee and whatever powers they would have specifically could be limited by the board itself. The costs are less and I think that if you look at the vote over in the Senate on RTA you will see that if the House passes this amendment those people who voted against the RTA over there will quickly concur in it because those are the very people who were voting against it over there, those from the five outlying districts. If we're going to have an RTA I see no reason why we can't have one giving repre-



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

sentation to all six counties on a fair basis. I urge your 'yes' vote."

K. W. Miller: "The question is shall the House adopt Amendment No. 8 to Senate Bill 27. Do five members request a roll call. Yes. Alright, the Clerk will call the roll and those desiring to vote 'aye' will vote 'aye' and those desiring to vote 'no' will vote 'no'."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL .... Deuster."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Duester, to explain his vote."

Duester: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just very, very briefly, I think if there is one amendment this is it that reflects, as far as I'm concerned, the personal commitment that the Governor of Illinois gave to me as a person, at least, that he would work for a larger board. I think if Governor Walker learned anything when he went around through the six counties in all these hearings, he learned that all our folks out there, at least, we wanted a voice on the board. I think that this will greatly increase the chances that the referendum is going to pass and this is at least going to give some representatives a chance to go out to their folks in the surrounding counties and say, 'Vote 'yes' on this thing 'cause we've got a voice on the board,' and I strongly urge this. It does.. it respects the principle of one-man one-vote. It does not disrupt the voting structure at all. It's a good amendment and this may be the key to the passage of the referendum. I vote 'aye'."



J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL ..... Dyer."

K. W. Miller: "The Lady from DuPage, Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to utter a plea for anyone of either Party who really wants an RTA to work, I'm not talking about passing it, I'm talking about setting up something that will really work through the years, will please give a 'yes' vote on this. The only way to get cooperation from the five outside counties, outside of Cook, is to let them have at least one person on at least an advisory council. This is the least you can do to support, as Representative Katz said, the idea of 'representation' if we're going to be taxed'. I urge a 'yes' vote on this amendment. Thank you."

J. O'Brien: "Dyer 'aye'."

K. W. Miller: "Record Choate 'no', Mr. Clerk."

J. O'Brien: "Duff.."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Duff. The Chair recognizes Mr. Duff to explain his vote."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, on the basis of representation there's no member listed here from Neutrere Township on this board and I think somebody in the First District ought to vote against it so I'll vote 'no'."

K. W. Miller: "Proceed with the roll call, Mr. Clerk."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL ..... Ewell.."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, very briefly in



explaining my vote, let me say that this bill has been worked out. It's the essence of compromise. Now, I might very well say that I wasn't represented when the compromise was made, but I was. I was represented through the elected leadership that I chose and I have faith in our leadership, I even have faith in the Republican leadership and I think that to a degree that we expect to get along with the legislative process we are going to have to learn that at some point we must accept the compromises that have been made. It's a good faith compromise, a good compromise and a good bill. And I think all the people who would rather slay the baby rather than watch it go another direction I think they are in error and I think they ought to consult their own consciences as to what they are doing. I think that we all ought to vote 'no' on this amendment and the other amendments. Any amendments which might be offered in good faith in the Spring Term when we're all fresh, back from the wars and election primary, I think at that time could receive adequate consideration and we could change any of the things that we find that are not working to our satisfaction. Record me as 'no'."

K. W. Miller: "Record the gentleman as 'no'. Proceed with the roll call."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL ..... Geo-Karis."

K. W. Miller: "Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, to explain her vote."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House,



it's because.. to explain my vote, it's because I do have a conscience and a very strong one, when I realize that because these other five counties are coming into an RTA more federal funds will be available and federal funds will be available to the main county, the big county of the state. I don't think this is an unfair compromise. If anything, this amendment is trying to rectify the errors of the bill relative to representation. It has balance to it and, furthermore, if there's any compromising, I'd like to remind the prior speaker, we in the other five counties, we really done been compromised. And I speak 'aye' for this amendment."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL .... Sangmeister."

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Sangmeister to explain his vote."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just briefly I forgot to mention in standing up and supporting this bill that I think it's been circulated to you a resolution from the Will County Board of Supervisors and I think you ought to take note on there, it's very interesting, that the Will County Board of Supervisors, equally divided almost in Democrats and Republicans, voted 23 to nothing to support representation on the board. And I'm sure, now, that when the Clerk calls out for the vote of the Mr. Speaker that I'm sure he will join with the rest of the 23 members of the Will County Board and vote 'yes' for this very fine amendment. Thank you."

J. O'Brien: ROLL CALL



87  
 K. W. Miller: "Alright, those that want to vote. Ah.. for what purpose does the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, arise."

Skinner: "I rise for a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. I don't mind getting beat fairly on a vote, but when they're calling out people's names who aren't here, like a new Representative from Southern Illinois, I deeply resent having my vote diluted. Now, if someone wants to, whoever made that comment, wants to take him off the roll call, that's fine. Is he here. Did he vote no. I couldn't find him."

K. W. Miller: "Well, we recognize that members are sitting at different seats."

Skinner: "I apologize if I made a mistake, but I sure didn't see him and the sound I heard came from that corner."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, now the Chair wants to recognize many of those that seek to be voted. Mr. Jaffe 'no'. Mr. Brinkmeier 'no'.. 'aye'.. 'aye', I'm sorry. I apologize. Mr. Hart 'aye'. Mr. Brummet 'no'. Mr. Pierce 'no'. Mr. Barnes 'no'. Alright, have now all voted who wished. Complete the tally, Mr. Clerk. Just a moment. On this question, so everyone knows, there are 51 'ayes' and 82 'nays', at the moment. Record Mr. Hirschfeld as 'aye'. So that makes it 52 'ayes' and 82 'nays', and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 8 to Senate Bill 27. Are there further amendments, Mr. Clerk."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 9, Palmer. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session and so forth."



K. W. Miller: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer, with respect to Amendment #9."

Palmer: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.. I don't want to trouble you for any length of time with this amendment. It's been up three times before and the last time I almost won it and I might win it this time by the looks of the people on the absents.. the people on the other side. I do seriously feel that this is a very important amendment for government. When established, the RTA will be the second largest geographical, governmental, special purpose, taxing body in the State of Illinois. Number one.. and it will set a precedence. It involves some six and a half million people and that number is growing. It involves some hundreds of millions of dollars annually to be spent for the purpose of transporting people within Northeastern Illinois. It will involve some 15,000 employees, so I've been told in this process. No one here on the floor of this House should underestimate the importance of the creation of this Regional Transit Authority not only from the standpoint of transporting people, but from the standpoint of the creation of a new governmental body. And if it is to be acceptable, and it should be acceptable, there should be some provision in this act where the area of politics cannot be involved so that the people who are engaged, either as an officer, director or employee of the RTA can do their and perform their functions without the shackling impediments of politics and I say that for both parties, either Democrat or Republican or



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



whatever it might be in the future. The people are ready for a change. I think we've read about that. The climate of politics is changing all over the nation. It's changed in the last election and it will change again. This amendment will remove the temptation, the great big huge plum from the political parties and from the candidates that would like to use it. If it is adopted, it will insulate the officers, directors and employees from politics and a possible political ciftum in the future. It will allay the fear, as I've indicated before, Mr. Speaker and Members, of many people in my area who feel that it might in the future that it might be used politically in a way that it should not be used. And for this reason I offered the prior amendments and I've also offered this amendment and I believe in it very, very deeply. Not only from the standpoint of creation of this governmental body, but also from a standpoint of the maintenance and the serving the purpose for which it was created. Milton Pucharski, a dedicated public servant and Director of the CTA on the Floor of of this House stated that he had no objection to this amendment. I it will make it platible, I think it is good government, and I earnestly solicit the support of every member of this House. I will ask for a roll call vote after we're through. Thank you."

K. W. Miller: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, thank you Mr. Speaker. I certainly can understand



the Gentleman's concern about the political involvement in so far as the employees of the RTA and many of the carriers it would be involved with in so far as service purchase of service contracts are concerned because the amendment applies not only to the RTA, but employees of all public employers with whom the RTA would contract. Now, with that in mind, ah.. we ah.. we were ah.. we asked that the drafters be sure to put language in that would be strong enough to see that we would not have a discriminatory political practices engaged by the RTA and actually the language is on page 17 of the bill line 34 and it says, 'No discrimination shall be made in any term or aspect of employment because of race, religion, national origin, sex or political reasons or factors. The authority shall establish regulations to ensure that discharges shall not be arbitrary. and that hiring and promotions are based on merit.' No it certainly seems to me that that is satisfactory and adequate protection that the Gentleman is seeking to have and for that reason, ah.. the amendment would not be necessary."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Barnes is recognized."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Would the sponsor yield to a question or two?"

K. W. Miller: "He indicates he will, proceed sir."

Barnes: "One of the things on line 6, section a, it says that the director or officer or employee of the Regional Transit Authority may not at any time whether on or off duty, may participate. Now, what is your definition,



there is none in this amendment, of employee. Now the way I read this amendment, this could be anyone that is doing business with the Authority, which would include any legal agents or any lawyer or something that contracts in business and other services with the Authority. Now, is there any definition to that effect here."

Palmer: "The influence that they're talking about here, and it would apply to lawyers, and it would apply to architects, would apply to engineers, as well as the people.. the operating personnel, and many others, is the type of activity whereby they would go out and say that if I can get that contract, or something of that nature, or I'll give you the contract if you'll vote for a particular Party, or a particular candidate. And this is the kind of thing that we're looking at. The actual Act itself is ah.. is a well defined act, it's been held ah.. constitutional in many ah.. cases and also by the U.S. Supreme Court in that jurisdiction. And the scope of the prohibitions are fairly clear."

Barnes: "Okay, to extend that, one additional question, on page 2, line 9, section C, says the provision of this section may apply to the Authority and any other public employee whose operations are financed wholly or in part by the Authority. Now, that takes in a lot of ground. You're not just dealing with the employees of the Authority itself, according to your definition of employee, but you're also dealing with private concerns that will be financed in some way wholly or part by the contract that they receive from



the Authority that are not directly responsible under the Authority itself."

Palmer: "You're right, and the reason for that is that they're getting public taxpayer's money and it would apply to the CTA, it would apply to any other governmental body that furnishes transportation or any other body that might furnish any transportation, the same way."

Barnes: "Well, what I'm getting.."

Palmer: "I understood that the CTA was not a political thing, so I don't think there's be too much to worry about there."

Barnes: "Well, I'm not that concerned about the CTA as such. I personally don't think it's a good amendment, but what I'm pointing out here, I believe that the way the amendment is drafted, not only are you talking about the CTA, but you're talking about any private concern that would contract with the RTA, that has no public employees other than that contract that firm has with the RTA. This is the way I interpret what your amendment says and according to your answers to me that's the way it would be interpreted. Now, if I have a concern, advertisement firm, or what do you have, and get a contract with the RTA, none of my employees have anything whatsoever to do with the RTA as such, they're just responding to that contract. Yet, under the scope of this amendment, they would be in violation, because my firm has a contract with the RTA."

Palmer: "Not if they.. not unless they engaged in political activities. The theory here is, of course, that you can't



use public monies, now should you use the monies of everyone, for the purpose of building political empires and this bill removes the temptation, whether it's private or whether it's public."

Barnes: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, could I just address it just for a minute."

K. W. Miller: "You may."

Barnes: "I think that one of the things here is that the amendment definitely goes too far. I don't know how many of the members have had the chance to read it and interpret it, but, as the sponsor says, not only will it pertain to employees as defined for the RTA, but it would also concern itself with private industry that happens to contract with the RTA. So, in effect, this goes much farther than the Federal Hatch Act, which only concerns itself with federal employees themselves. It has absolutely nothing to do with people that contract with the federal government whereas you're trying to set apart a certain class here. I think it's a bad amendment. It's a bad precedent to set and we should finally defeat this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, is there further discussion. Alright, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer, to close the debate."

Palmer: "In closing, in reference to what the speaker had to say about it, the adding of the words in the ah.. that he had quoted is nothing much more than a kiss at the principle. It doesn't provide ah.. any.. that I saw, any penalties. It's an added verbage ah.. without any definition. At



least with the Hatch Act, of course, there is a series, in almost every jurisdiction, of language or ah.. interpretation as to meaning. In reference to the last speaker, Representative Barnes, ah.. you will find the same language, I don't have it here with me, ah.. Representative Barnes, but you'll find the same language in the Hatch Act itself as it was last amended. The reason for it is obvious, ah.. no person should ah.. who might have one political persuasion, should contribute his money to build somebody else's political empire. But, beyond that, it.. the insulation factor insofar as the personnel is concerned, operating as well as management personnel, it relieves them of any political duties ah.. that may have been the reason that they came on there. I'm certain that the RTA that what we want is the very best. What we want also are people who are dedicated ah.. to the principles of transporting people and that alone. I don't think they should be hampered. It's a good amendment. We have ample authority in other statutes in the State of Illinois of this principle. And so I ask your favorable consideration and I ask for a roll call vote on this because I think, Mr. Speaker and Members, that this will be pretty important for future years."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, the question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 9 to Senate Bill 27. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed will vote 'nay'. We'll have an oral roll call and Mr. Clerk will call the roll."

F. Selcke: ROLL CALL .... R. L. Dunne."



K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. R. L. Dunne, to explain his vote."

R. L. Dunne: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, a short while ago my fellow Chicagoian, Representative Ewell, took us to task, those of us that are supporting these amendments, saying that we were trying to ah.. scuttle a bill that our leadership, our bipartisan leadership, has worked out. Well, as a Chicagoian who desperately needs this bill and will vote for it and will work for it in the referendum, our leadership's attitude, both sides, their stubborn attitude in not accepting any reasonable amendment that the suburban people and that the far out five county people want on this bill to make it more palatable to their voters, they're the ones that are going to scuttle this legislation when it comes to the vote in March. I think Representative Duester made a very telling point this morning. You're giving all the suburban and out county Representatives all the ammunition. You're aggravating them and they're going to go out and work for it and we in Chicago, who badly, badly need this RTA more than they do, are going to be the ones to suffer. And our leadership should take note of this. Do they really want RTA legislation to pass the referendum. They should allow some of these reasonable amendments that the suburbanites want to go on. And I proudly vote 'aye'."

F. Selcke: ROLL CALL .... McGrew."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. McGrew, to explain his vote."



McGrew: "Ah.. this is a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker, I was wondering, now that we have Mr. Skinner as a Clerk, if that means that there's a vacancy in the 33rd District."

F. Selcke: ROLL CALL

K. W. Miller: "Record the Speaker as 'no'. Alright, we'll get some of those who would like to get on if we can. Mr. Sangmeister 'aye'. Mr. Ryan 'aye'. Mr. Leon 'no'. Mr. McAvoy 'aye'.. 'no'. Mr. Rigney 'aye'. Mr. Capuzi 'no'. Mr. Caldwell 'no'. Alright, have all voted who wish. On this question there are 42 'ayes', 67 'nays' and 2 answering 'present'. This in the House fails to adopt Amendment No. 9 to Senate Bill 27. Are there further amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 10, Skinner. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session, and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, this is a.. an honesty in government amendment. This is a straight out appropriation of \$171,000,000 to the Regional Transit Authority. It seems to me that we are deluding our downstate constituents by making them think that this is going to be paid for out of the pockets of the people in the metropolitan area. Now, since we are in the process, once we pass this bill, of redefining what downstate means and making 70% of the state upstate, or really Chicago, and 30% of the people downstate, I see no reason not to start the subsidy right now. Why should we wait five years until the accumulated deficits,





or three years, of almost a billion dollars comes down the pike and when we all know we're going to come down here and 70% of us will be co-sponsors of a bill to raise the state. to take the money out of the General Revenue Fund and raise people's taxes state wide and let the people downstate, who have now gotten their 30 pieces of concrete, ah.. why don't we do it right now. I'm sure that I will not be able to get the last most favorable roll call, because certainly anyone from downstate wouldn't want to vote for this, but it would seem to me those of you who don't think that the .. that we ought to have to increase the taxes in the Chicago metropolitan area at all, on a regional basis, ought to be voting for this amendment. I will be perfectly willing to accept any roll call that anyone will let me have."

K. W. Miller: "The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair, is recognized."

Blair: "May the gentleman have leave for the roll call on ah.. Amendment No. 9."

K. W. Miller: "Does the gentleman have leave."

Blair: "Oh, okay, objection's been heard. This deletes all the funding provisions except for the Chicago match and it provides instead a \$171,000,000 from the state, but it actually isn't even an appropriation bill. It just says the assembly shall appropriate annually to the Authority for expenditure pursuant to.. so, actually the only monies provided would come from the City of Chicago. And, then, later on if we appropriated.. yeh, because that's not an



appropriation bill, technically. So, I don't think we ought to vote for this one."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "I rise in support of Speaker Blair and I think that's enough said."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion. Mr. Skinner, care to close."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that this clearly is an authorization and an accompanying appropriation bill would have to be adopted, but obviously there's no reason to be precipitous about this. We have plenty of time when we come back in session after next March 19th to vote such an appropriation. And, certainly if it passes, I pledge to vote in favor of that appropriation bill."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, the question is shall the House adopt Amendment No. 10 to Senate Bill 27. All those in favor say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "All those opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No'."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nos' have it and the House does not.. does not adopt Amendment No. 10. Are there further amemdments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 11, Geo-Karis. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session, and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, is recognized."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, thank you, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I have a real simple little amendment. Amendment No. 11



amends Senate Bill 27 on page 43, line 2, by deleting the words 'or private'. What the bill refers to in that portion on page 43 is the sale of bonds. That sentence says that such funds or notes shall be sold in such a manner.. in such manner as public or private sale. My amendment deletes the word private because I feel that bonds of such a nature are important enough that they should be subjected to public notice and public sale, not private sale, because I'm afraid private sale will create too many pork barrels. That's the basis of my amendment. It is very simple and I urge your support of the amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion. Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, certainly the Act does not preclude public competitive bidding of ah.. bond sales and ah.. there are a number of times when the Authority certainly, I can think in instances of bond anticipation.. notes, that ah.. those are handled ah.. on a negotiated basis and I don't think we ought to restrict the Authority from having that power. Financial institutions that engage in the issuance of bonds ah.. public bonds of a municipal corporation of this type ah.. highly recommend this kind of flexibility. And I don't think we ought to restrict the authority by the amendment that the lady is offering."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion. Alright, the Lady from Lake, Mr. Geo-Karis, to close the debate. "

Geo-Karis: "Much as I am very fond of the distinguished prior



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

prior speaker, I heartily disagree with his reasoning and I ask for a voice vote in support of my amendment."

K. W. Miller: "The question is shall the House adopt Amendment No. 11 to Senate Bill 27. All those in favor will say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nos' have it and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 11. Are there further amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 12, Geo-Karis. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session, page 12, and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, is recognized."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, I would like you to look at your Senate Bill 27 on page 12. Amendment 12 deletes lines 4 and 5 and lines 4 and 5 give the Authority, in paragraph C on line 12, this is really important to all these various municipal bodies, county bodies, and so forth, 'cause it gives the Authority, the way it reads, it says the Authority to have the power to use and by ordinance, etc., etc., ah.. to use without any franchise, charge, permit or license, any public street, road way, highway, bridge, tollway, or toll bridge, within the metropolitan region for the provision of public transportation. I contend that when you do that you are violating and usurping the authority of your local governmental units. Therefore, my amendment simply inserts therein the following, the word 'region, but only with the approval of the corporate



authorities of the unit of local government or other public body which has jurisdiction over such roads, streets, ways, highways, bridges, toll highways, or toll bridges. And on page 12, by deleting lines 6 through 19 and providing that the Authority shall be subject to the Illinois Commerce Commission Act rather than not. And ah.. the rest of the amendment is dedicated to that ah.. cleanup. I urge the passage of this amendment and I'm willing to abide by a voice vote."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion. Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is the vulcanization amendment. It actually makes the RTA subject to the Illinois Commerce Commission regulations and it subjects all the transportation agencies providing services by purchase of service agreement for the RTA to all regulation and licensing by units of local government. Simply takes the heart out of the Authority's power. So, we would object and ask for the same kind of vote the lady requested."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion. Does the lady care to close. Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "My only closing comment is this, the Regional Transit Authority, as contemplated by the bill, will be the second most arrogant display of power in an Authority that we'll ever see in our lives in the State of Illinois. And all I'm doing is trying to make this a little more legal and not, not infringe, and I feel it's an illegal infringe-



ment on local governmental units, the way it is without the amendment."

K. W. Miller: "The question is shall the House adopt Amendment No. 12 to Senate Bill 27. All those in favor say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nays' have it and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 12. Are there further amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment 13, Geo-Karis. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session, and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "The Lady from.. for what purpose ah.. does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Totten, arise."

Totten: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker,"

K. W. Miller: "State your point."

Totten: "As ah.. we'd indicated earlier, we had Amendments 3 through 13, it actually turned out to be 3 through 12, ah.. that we were interested in. Ah.. due to the overwhelming success of these amendments, ah.. to date, we have talked amongst the suburban legislators in the outlying counties, and even those sponsors of amendments who weren't in our meetings, ah.. and in order to speed up the process ah.. everyone that I have talked to of about 12 sponsors has agreed to accept another roll call. So, if we could limit debate, they would just like to introduce their amendments, ah.. and accept one of the previous roll calls so we could speed along. We thank you. We sure could have



wished we had some more votes."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Well, all my little amendment No. 13, which is destined for oblivion, does is ah.. insert on page 48, on line 35 the words 'or calendar'. At the present time, the bill says the Authority shall not enter into any purchase of service agreement or make any financial grants for any fiscal year of the Authority. All I'm asking is for any fiscal or calendar year of the Authority and I'm willing to abide by the great magnanimous, magnificent vote of the Assembly."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion. Is there discussion regarding Amendment No. 13. Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Alright, I understand that from here on out now, at least for a while, they're just going to be asking for ah.. the last roll call. Ah.. Third Amendment Roll Call? Alright, they're going to ask for leave for the Third Amendment Roll Call. Alright, spell out what the Third Amendment Roll Call.. Clerk tell us what.."

K. W. Miller: "Can the Clerk tell the Chair what the result of the roll call on the Third Amendment. I have the Fifth Amendment, but I don't have the Third. What were the results of the roll call on the Third Amendment."

F. Selcke: "The roll call on Amendment No. 3 were 52 'ayes', 85 'nays'."

Blair: "That was the one that proposed the modification of



language on the return of the two-thirds of the revenue. That was Amendment No. 3. Now, as I understand it, the what's been suggested is that, as they move along now, they're going to ask leave for the roll call which was 52 'ayes' and 85 'nays'. 52 'ayes' and 85 'nays' on these various amendments as we move along. So, on that basis, we will just say that we have reasons to be in opposition to all of these amendments as we move along and we would concur then on the ladies request for leave for the roll call on the Third Amendment which was ah.. a 'no' vote. 80 some to 50 as I indicated. So that's fine. "

K. W. Miller: "Alright, as long there's discussion ah.. for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, arise."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, with regards to the suggested arrangement I, for one, am not going to assume that the sponsor of this bill opposes all of the coming amendments. I will do this, Mr. Speaker, as I present each of the four amendments that I have remaining, I will ask in the alternative for the last unanimous roll call or roll call number 3, or the roll call on Amendment No. 3, depending on the sponsors response to the amendment."

F. Selcke: "The Clerk informs me, on Number 3, that the actual count is 54 'ayes' and 85 'nays'. So there's no misunderstanding. Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, alright, ah.. now, on Amendment 13, then, is that Mr. Schlickman's amendment."





K. W. Miller: "No, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Blair: "Alright, now wait a minute now, ah.. apparently what Mr. Totten says is not ah.. tactically accurate with relation to the proceedings. So, we'll back up and we'll take 'em one at a time."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, does the Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis, have leave of the House, with respect to Amendment No. 13, to have a roll call that was established for No. 3, which is 54 'ayes' and 85 'nays', stand as the roll call with respect to Amendment No. 13. Does the lady have leave. Is there objection. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jake Wolf."

J. J. Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, I don't know how I'm recorded, but if I'm recorded as voting 'yea' on Amendment No. 3, I want to be 'no' on all the rest."

F. Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'no'."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, is there objection. Alright, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk, arise."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, wouldn't it be a lot simpler on these remaining amendments to take an oral roll call because perhaps on some of these amendments that are forthcoming I may have a different position than I did on one of the previous amendments. So, yeh, right, so I offer that as a suggestion. An oral roll call you know right where you stand. It's either up or down. I have an amendment coming, Number 20, that's what I plan to suggest, take an oral roll call."

K. W. Miller: "You mean a voice vote."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuerk: "Voice roll call, I'm sorry."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, ah.. Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, if it will make it easier for everyone else and convenient I ask can we just have a voice roll call on my Amendment No. 13 for the sake of expedition."

K. W. Miller: "Alright, it's agreeable with the Chair to follow that procedure. All those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 13 say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nays' have it and Amendment No. 13 is not adopted. Read the next amendment."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 14, Schlickman. Amend Senate Bill 27 Third Special Session, be deleting lines 25 through 35 and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, you may recall that last year when the first Regional Transportation System bill was caused to be introduced by a commuter railroad there was a most offensive provision in that bill. That offensive provision would have required the Regional Transportation System to acquire, by purchase, any of the modes of transportation that apply to the system for acquisition. Some uncharitably referred to it as a ripoff. That principle, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is contained in this bill. And it's contained in a discriminatory



fashion. Specifically, this bill requires, mandates the Regional Transportation System to enter into a purchase of service agreement with any transportation agency that desires to enter into such a purchase of service agreement. And, furthermore, that privilege or right, given to transportation agencies, is restricted to those who provide rail service. It's discriminatory against another major component within the transportation system and that is suburban buses. Now, why should those transportation agencies that provide rail service have the right to purchase of service agreement when those transportation agencies that provide bus service don't. Seems to me Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the way to correct this is to eliminate in its entirety that mandatory provision that the RTA must, shall enter into purchase of service agreements with transportation agencies to provide rail service. Seems to me that we are imposing an undue, absolute obligation upon RTA when we should be allowing the board of that agency discretion in light of its varying ability and capacity or capabilities and I would move and request the adoption of this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion. Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this provision was put in as a protection for the suburban areas with regard to rail service. There's a very valid distinction between purchase of service with regard to rail and purchase of service with regard to



bus. We did not mandate purchase of service with regard to bus because we did not want to put the authority in the position of having to resuscitate ah..defunct bus companies. The Authority can get into the business and probably will, as a practical matter, get into the business of actually operating ah.. starting up bus operations in the suburban areas. So you don't want to mandate purchase of service with regard to the bus companies. On the other hand, with regard to rails, they're very complex, they're very technical and for quite a while they'll undoubtedly have to be the operation for rails being performed by the rails themselves. So, we have provided for mandatory purchase of service by the RTA ah.. rail service and if the rail carrier and the Authority can not get into agreement ah.. with regard to the purchase of service then the Illinois Commerce Commission becomes the arbitrator. So, this is a protection for the suburban areas. A protection to see that we have continued rail service for our suburban areas. And I would, for that reason, be in opposition to this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, care to close. Alright, the question is shall the House adopt Amendment No. 14 to Senate Bill 27. All those in favor say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "All those opposed say 'no'."

Members: "No."



K. W. Miller: "The 'nos' have it and Amendment No. 14 is not adopted. Next amendment, Mr. Clerk."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 15, Schlickman. Amend Senate Bill 27 Third Special Session and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, this is a very simple amendment and I think a very reasonable and proper amendment. In the bill the Regional Transportation Authority is given certain powers. One of those powers is to go on privately owned land and conduct surveys, boring tests, etc. What this amendment does, Mr. Speaker, is simply to provide that before the RTA goes upon private property it shall give reasonable notice to the private property owner advising the private property owner as to the RTA's intent and the amendment further provides that the entry upon private land shall be done at reasonable times within reasonable hours. I can't, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, foresee any opposition to this because of its reasonable nature and I would ask for the last unanimous roll call."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion. Gentleman from Will, Mr. Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, there's absolutely no reason to adopt this amendment. The things that the gentleman refers to in it are all constitutional guarantees. There's absolutely no reason to put into the statute what is already guaranteed under the Constitution. So, we'd be in opposition to this amendment."



K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion. Gentleman care to close. The question is shall the House adopt Amendment No. 15 to Senate Bill No. 27. All those in favor say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "All those opposed say 'no'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nos' have it and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 15. Read the next amendment Mr. Clerk."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 16, Schlickman. Amend Senate Bill 27....."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "That was awfully close, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, this next amendment is probably the simplest amendment that has been presented and will be presented, except for one later, relative to the RTA. What it does is simply to strike the word 'one' and insert the word 'two'. Now I can't think of anything more simple and less controversial. What we do here, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, is to extend from one to two years the period of time in which a citizen may file a claim against the Regional Transportation Authority. For attorneys, of course, we are speaking of Statute of Limitations. And for my authority or precedent, I simply call to your attention that the Statute of Limitations for the CTA is two years. I don't know why we shouldn't have consistency between the CTA and and the RTA. And I should also mention, Mr. Speaker, Member) of the House, that the growing development within



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the State, as well as the Nation as a whole, is to liberalize the provisions relative to claims private citizens have against municipal corporations. Again, Mr. Speaker, because of the simplicity of this amendment, because of its overwhelming reasonableness, I request respectfully the last favorable, unanimous roll call."

K. W. Miller: "The gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Mr. Speaker, the one-year Statute of Limitations is common in the case of Public Bodies. With the respect of the CTA, there is even a prior notice provision within which I think it is six months ah.... that notice has to be given about the suit being filed and in the judgement of the conferees ah.... the one year period would reduce the number of frivolous suits and allow the authority to budget more effectively."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Mr. Schlickman care to close? He indicates no. The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 16 to Senate Bill 27? All those in favor, will say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The nos have it. The 'nos' have it and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 16."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 17, Gene Hoffman. Amend Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "Chair recognizes Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."



Gene Hoffman: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment No. 17 is very simple. It appears on Page 4, Line 24 and it changes one word. It changes the word 'blue' to 'pink'. The present ah.... Bill provides that this proposition be submitted to the voters on a blue ballot. Now as you all know, we have historically and traditionally dealt only with a constitutional issue on blue ballots. Therefore, I'm suggesting that we not confuse the voters any more than we are going to already by eliminating the use of the blue ballot for this particular purpose and provide that it be submitted to the voters on pink paper. It seems to me to be a very reasonable and ah.... simple suggestion and I would encourage your support."

K. W. Miller: "Discussion. Gentleman from Will, Mr. Blair."

Blair: "Well, this was certainly one of the weightier matters that the conferees deliberated. Ah.... It was determined that ah.... blue would be the preferable ah..... paper ah.... color to use and if gentleman would take the last last roll call, that would be fine."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman, Mr. Hoffman to close. Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Hoffman."

Hoffman: "I would take an oral roll call, and of course blue is discriminatory as far as sex is concerned, too, and I know that ah... we want to give the fair ladies a chance and it seems to me that pink is a reasonable way to go."

K. W. Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 17 to Senate Bill 27? All those in favor say 'aye'."





Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The nays have it. The nays have it and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 17."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 18, Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 27. Third Special Session and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Amendment No. 18 concerns itself with a most important and significant matter within Senate Bill 27. We've heard discussion about this previously and it deals with the question of the parking tax. Now an amendment was offered which the sponsor of this Bill suggested was efficient in its nature and we have this as a follow-up, hopeful that in the opinion of the majority of this Body, that it will prove to be corrected and complete in its content. What we do, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, is to define what parking facilities comprise, and we say specifically that it means any place whether privately or publicly owned or operated, designed and used for the parking of motor vehicles for a fee, for a fee, including but not limited to parking garages and parking lots. I should say, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the Sponsor of this Bill has publicly admitted that the Bill as it presently is drafted, could result in a tax on a shopping center parking lot, on a church parking lot, and the purpose for that definition of a parking



facility is to exclude expressly and specifically that possibility, which we're told is not intended but also with the admission that it could if the Board so desired. Furthermore, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, by the second part of this Amendment, we satisfy another fear - A fear which the Sponsor of this Bill has publicly admitted could, theoretically, he said, theoretically recognized, and it's an amendment that prohibits the parking tax from being applied to those parking spaces which are meters, meters that collect revenue in most cases for the paying of bonds that have been issued by municipalities for the acquisition of land. Again, Mr. Speaker, like so many amendments offered previously by me and others, this is a reasonable amendment. I think it will go a long way to overcome the fears that some have relative to this Bill and I encourage the adoption of this amendment."

K. W. Miller: "All right. Is there discussion? Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Mr. Speaker. Ah... we give the Board the power to make reasonable classifications with regard to the ah... application of any parking tax that it would levy. I think that's the way the matter should be addressed, and for that reason we would be in opposition to the gentleman's amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, to close the debate."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House, I will take



the roll call on Amendment No. 3 which was the previous amendment relating to this same subject."

K. W. Miller: "Does the gentleman have leave to use the roll call on Amendment No. 3? Hearing no objections, the roll call on Amendment No. 3 will be used for the roll call of Amendment No. 18 and that roll call is 'aye' 54 and 'nays' 85, so the House fails to adopt Amendment No. 18. Jake Wolf wants to be recorded 'aye'. Next Amendment, Mr. Clerk."

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment No. 19, Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27. Third Special Session...."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, This is a so-called Amtrak Amendment that has worked so well in the State of Illinois to bring us additional train service and this is the amendment I would like to simply asked a few questions of the leaders about it, so that we have established a good record on it. This was the Amendment that was adopted and added to the Garmisa Bill. It was also added to the Katz Bill. It was originally in my Bill, and I thought that there was broad support on both sides of the aisle for it. This is the Amendment that will provide that even though you don't have a voice on the Board, even though with the watered down two-thirds guarantee, you may have a township that has got some money and they want to run some buses. This way they could come in or any unit of government could and they could petition if they are willing to pick up the bulk of the share of the cost of



running those buses or whatever it might be. This is a wonderful way to bring in some extra assets and some local initiatives. Now the reasonable share means that between sixty-five and ninety percent of the cost of that service or the deficit, whatever it might be. And if there's any difference of opinion, there probably would be, the Secretary of the Department of Transportation would decide that issue and he would consider among other things the financial ability of RTA to bear this, and so I think it's safe, I think it's good and it is the one thing that will allow localities on their own initiative, like Evanston has done. To slap on a gas tax in their own area or something cause they want buses, but especially in view of the fact that our local units of government in the six county region have received about a hundred and twenty million dollars in Federal Revenue Sharing money and many of these townships haven't committed it. This would provide a great opportunity for local initiative to be applied and for the services of the RTA to be supplemented and expanded. And I would simply like to inquire of the Leadership whether this feature, which was in the Garmisa Bill and the Katz Bill and mine, was considered in the compromise considerations and what the reasons might be for the record, so we all understand on why it was dropped out."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah.... this amendment would set up



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

a requirement for the RTA to provide service to any area that requested and which agrees to bear their portion of the loss. It's similar to the Amtrak provision, which I know the distinguished sponsor of this Amendment is very close to, having participated in the drafting of a large part of that legislation, but in the judgement of the conferees, this type Amendment could end up in running the RTA out of money for service it didn't think had the highest priority. And simply because the RTA had no way of ah... if the local area would come up with the money that ah... that would be required under the circumstance the RTA would have to ah.... enter into providing provision of service. So that was the reason it was dropped out, and it would be for that reason that we would be in opposition to the gentleman's amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to close the debate."

Deuster: "I thank the distinguished speaker for the ah... reasonable explanation and I will be willing to ah... accept, if it's agreeable with the House, the roll call on Amendment No. 3. If that's alright."

K. W. Miller: "Does the gentleman have leave to consider the roll call which we had on Amendment No. 3? Hearing no objections, the roll call on Amendment No. 3 will stand as the roll call on Amendment No. 19 and that was... that roll call was 54 'ayes' and 86 'nays', and Amendment No. 19 is lost."



F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 20, Tuerk. Amend Senate Bill 27. Third Special Session....."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. This really is not a simple amendment, but it's certainly an uncomplicated one. Ah.... transit has been a problem as we all know for a number of years and certainly the problems are not privileged or reserved as the Chicago area, nor the six county area. Ah.... we've had problems downstate on transit. I don't know all the problems that Springfield has gone through, but I do know and am conversant with the Peoria problems. We decided some years back to take a vote on forming a Transit District and with that referendum gave a five cent levy on each hundred dollars assessed valuation of property and this provides more than three hundred thousand dollars in the Peoria area for such service. Now what I'm proposing to do with this Amendment is to provide the same equity for the six county area and it will give the people in the area a real opportunity to help pay for the service just as others have done downstate. I would accept a voice roll call, and I know that undoubtedly, I'll hear more 'ayes' than 'nays' on this one."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion with respect to Amendment No. 20? I hear no discussion. Speaker Blair desire to be recognized?"

Blair: "Well, this is a real property tax levy power in the Authority and I would assume that the voice vote on that



would take care of it."

K. W. Miller: "All right, Mr. Tuerk care to close the debate?  
Mr. Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. I don't necessarily think it will take care of it. I think this will give an opportunity to the People who really want this Authority an opportunity to raise something like sixteen million dollars and help defray the cost of this proposition. So I think it's a very solid amendment and it comes into equity with ah.... Springfield, Peoria and perhaps others that might have the same arrangement. I would urge your favorable response."

K. W. Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 20 to Senate Bill 27? All those in favor will say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The nos have it. And Amendment No. 20 is lost. Amendment No. 21."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 21, Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27,  
...."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. When I read over this Bill, I detected that my good friends, the railroad lobbyists had done a beautiful job and I thought I saw Tom Smiley back there a little while ago,



and I accused them of distorting the Bill a little bit, because on Page 8 ah.... it provides that there shall be Purchase of Service Contracts for public transportation by rail and somehow Tom assured me that they weren't even involved in this, so it was just accident, but somehow we left out bus, and if there's anything we need out in the surrounding areas, I think maybe we need everywhere, is a good coordinated rail bus system, so my Amendment No. 21, simply took out the word rail and put back in rail, bus, or other means of transportation. I think this is inadvertent and I thought it was a good amendment, but I would like to hear a response as to what the thinking might have been on excluding and leaving out the word bus."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, the gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman, had a similar provision, and ah... had raised the question, he wanted to do away with the mandatory purchase of service with respect to rails, and was wondering why we didn't have mandatory purchase with respect to bus. The reason that we didn't have the mandatory provision with regard to bus purchase of service, because we did not once put the RTA in the position of having to resuscitate ah.... bus companies that might be defunct because they really can get into the business operationally of operating bus companies. In comparison to rails, that is a much more difficult situation as you well know, to have them get into the business of operation of the rails, so we have mandatory





purchase with regard to rail service. No mandatory purchase outside of that. Because the other areas that you mentioned, limousines and taxi and bus, are areas that the Authority can and properly should and probably will get into operation itself at a fairly early date, and for that reason, we would be in opposition."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to close the debate."

Deuster: "Yes, the Speaker indicated that this ah.... the Bill if it were changed, might allow defuncted companies; but I direct your attention to the language that says the only people that can get purchase of service agreements are agencies, which and it says is providing at the time of the request, in which services were either in operation for at least one year. I'm not sure that is an adequate response, but I'm pleased that it is in the record, so historians will know what the thinking was in providing this, what apparently is a discriminatory feature allowing for rail and totally excluding ah.... bus, and again I would be happy to accept the ah...same roll call as was on that last Amendment."

K. W. Miller: "All right, does the gentleman have leave to... I believe it is a little easier, Mr. Deuster, if I might, have a voice vote. All right. All those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 21 will say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed, 'nay'."

Members: "No."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

K. W. Miller: "The 'nos' have it and Amendment No. 21 is not adopted. For what purpose does the gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Gibbs arise?"

Gibbs: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think appears quite obvious here, where we just have an exercise in futility. I think ah.... I have three amendments to this Bill, and I would, with leave of the House, at this time move to Table those Bills, because I know that even if those Amendments contained twice the appropriation of the money going to the RTA they still wouldn't be approved tonight. So I would like to move so we can get onto some of the other business to table the Amendments that I've already filed."

K. W. Miller: "Can we have the numbers of those Amendments?"

Gibbs: "I notice one is 29. 306."

F. Selcke: "You got two, Joe?"

Gibbs: "I find 29 and 43."

F. Selcke: "Amendments No. 29 and 43."

K. W. Miller: "All right, does the gentleman have leave to Table Amendment No. 29 and Amendment No. 43? All right, leave is granted, so those two amendments are tabled."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 22, Day. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session..."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is not a technical Amendment and to my knowledge it deals with a subject that has not come before this House in its



consideration of any of the RTA Bills. If you will turn to Page 45 of this Bill, Section F, you will find that the Authority has the right to issue five hundred million dollars in bonds. Now this can be done by any six of the nine members of the Board. These are appointed Members. They are not elected. There is no necessity for any referendum in the Bill to issue these Bonds, five hundred million dollars worth. They can go over a forty year period. If you will look at the paragraph on Page 45 just above that, you will find that there is language in there that says the State pledges and agrees with the holders of the bonds and notes of the Authority issued pursuant to this Section that the State will not limit or alter the basis on which State funds are to be paid to the Authority as provided in this Act. The net affect, the net affect of these two paragraphs is to give six members, six appointed members of the Authority the right to bind the State of Illinois for up to five hundred million dollars in bonds with no referendum. And this can go on for forty years, and as those bonds are retired, they have the right to issue new ones. What it means is that we are voting, when we vote for this Bill, we are voting to tie in the State for the ninety-six million dollars, which this Bill would appropriate, for at least a period of forty years if there are any bonds issued and I'm sure there will be bonds issued. Now I submit to you that we wouldn't give this Authority to any coming General Assembly, elected by the People of this State, and I submit to you that if this language stays in this Bill the people



will not approve this Bill by a referendum. I further submit to you that if this matter comes before the Courts, and I have no doubt but what it will, a Court is not going to sanction the power in a six man appointed Board which could be from one County to bind the State of Illinois for bonded indebtedness to the extent of five hundred million dollars. I mentioned this to a number of members on this floor, Mr. Speaker. They feel it is not right. They feel it would be a serious mistake to pass this Bill in this condition. They would like to be recorded on a roll call vote and I ask for a roll call vote."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to do what the gentleman is asking to do would result in the impairment of the bonds and we do not want to have that occasion to arise. That's the reason we put the language in that's in there. Now, the other State bonding acts that we have in this State contains this type language. Actually the thing that he's referring to is a simply a restatement by the Board in the bonds that are issued of the language that we are putting in the Act and that is the State will not do anything to impair the obligation of these bonds. Ah.... now, we ought to understand from a practical standpoint, that in the first instance, the levied money has to go for the retirement of any bonds issued by the authority. And that the amount of levying power that we are giving the Authority is sufficient to fund the five hundred million dollars worth



of bonds without having to fall back on that part of the money that would be coming from the State's General Revenue Fund. So it's merely a backup, but it's.... as far as the State is concerned, but it's absolutely necessary as we see it in order not to impair the bonds, and that means so that we can.... the authority can market these bonds. So we would be in opposition to the deletion of this language."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Since all these debates are now being recorded, I can't find anything in the language of this part that's read here where the State becomes a guarantor or obligor under these bonds. And I want to make it perfectly clear, as far as I'm concerned, I can't find anything in the reading of this language, where the State is guaranteeing payment on these bonds under the present language. I would be opposed to the amendment. "

K. W. Miller: "Mr. Blair, the gentleman from Will."

Blair: "Well, I just want to make it clear, too, that there was no indication or any implication ah.... intended in the remarks that I might have made that there is any guarantee. It's just simply that ah... we are simply saying that there is no impairment. Ah.... no acts that the State shall impair."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? All right, the gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day, to close the debate."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. If you will



look at the language on Page 45 and I'll read it again. It's pretty clear, it's pretty obvious. It says this: 'In addition, the State pledges and agrees with the holders of the bonds and notes of the Authority issued pursuant to this Section that the State will not limit or alter the basis on which State funds are to be paid to the Authority as provided in this Act.' Now what is the basis of the State bonds that are referred to in this Act? Three thirty seconds of the sales tax that's collected in the area and fourteen dollars, the first fourteen dollars off of every motor vehicle ah... license that's registered in the City of Chicago. This comes to ninety-six million dollars. Now that is this language here, which we are authorizing this Authority to write into these bonds says that the State will never change that while these bonds are out. That's exactly what it says, and that is a guarantee. It purports to be a guarantee. And certainly to the People who buy those bonds, they're going to rely on that language and they have a right to because we put it in that Act. And I ask for a roll call vote."

K. W. Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 22 to Senate Bill 27? The gentleman has asked for a roll call vote. Is he joined by five other members? All right. We will have a roll call vote. The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 22 to Senate Bill 27. And on this question, the Clerk will call the roll."

F. Selcke: "ROLL CALL."



K. W. Miller: "Record Mr. Choate as 'no'." Have all voted wished? Mr. Brandt 'no'. Mr. Caldwell 'no'. Mr. Palmer 'aye'. Mr. Borchers 'aye'. Now, have all voted who wished? Is Mrs. Geo-Karis recorded? She's recorded as 'aye'. Record Emil Jones as 'no'. Jim Houlihan 'no'. Keller 'aye'. Kennedy 'aye'. Record Mr. Hart as 'aye'. On this question, there are 39 'ayes', and 59 'nays' and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 22. Next Amendment Mr. Clerk."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 23. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session, Page 26, by deleting Line 25...."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Before accepting a voice vote on this Amendment and handling it very quickly, I do want for the record ah.... describe the amendment and get a response from our Leadership, because this is another, in my judgement, a glaring deficiency and a very important feature of the Bill. You might know there's a power given in here to the RTA and I quote, 'if it determines advisable to do so, the RTA may set up a public corporation to be known as the Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter Railroad Corporation, to be an operating unit to operate in behalf of the authority, commuter railroad services and facilities'. Again, the bus service is so badly needed and isn't in here at all and I don't know why in the world, I think the Speaker a little while ago indicated that the bus companies were defunct. That's an additional reason why we need a vehicle. If we need



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

anything we need a corporation to operate bus service, so my amendment simply says that this would be called the Northeast Illinois Regional Commuter Railroad and Suburban Bus Corporation and it would operate commuter railroad and suburban bus services and facilities. This is a very, very important amendment for the great areas where there will be gross population growth and industrial expansion and where we need bus service to tie in with the commuter railroad and I believe that it would be important for the record to have a response from someone familiar with the reasoning behind this, so the record may reflect why once again ah... this great area of bus service has been left out."

K. W. Miller: "Is there discussion? The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would just like to take this opportunity to introduce the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, Mr. Justin Taft, who's on the floor of the House."

K. W. Miller: "All right. The gentleman recognizes the gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, ah... the Base Bill allows the Board to set up an identical body as a subsidiary to operate rail service, and this was to allow the application of the Federal Railway Labor Act to rail operations. What the gentleman from Lake proposes to do here, is to add bus powers to this subsidiary, and in our judgement this would interfere with such application, the specific purpose for which we set up





the power to have a holding company, if you will, in this situation. And for that reason, we would be in opposition. Is there further discussion? Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster, to close the debate."

Deuster: "I would just like to address one more specific question to ah... the distinguished Speaker, and that is, in view of the fact that the bus companies, many of them are defuncted, and some of the service has never been provided, and we contemplate there will be a bus service developed in the suburban areas as tying in with the railroads and feeding the railroads and providing cross county service, what vehicle will there be to provide this service if we don't have this corporation? We've got to breathe life into something and how are we going to run the bus services?"

Blair: "Well, the alternatives to the Board, I guess, are two, really. They can operate the service directly themselves or they can set up a division of the Board that would specifically address itself to bus operations. It's just that this Amendment addresses itself to the holding rail thing, which was strictly on the question of the Federal Railway Labor Act, and that was the reason that was set up. I'm sure they will be able to move right ahead with ah...."

Deuster: "I thank the Speaker for the response and at this time, I will accept a voice vote on this Amendment."

K. W. Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 23 to Senate Bill 27? All those in favor saye 'aye'."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nos' have it, and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 23."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 24. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session..."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Yes, again Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Every Member ought to know that on Page 37, there's tax authority given to the RTA. We've heard about that. But the language says 'all tax authorized by this Section may be imposed' and then it says in addition 'to any other taxes of whatever kind'. So the RTA could impose any other taxes of whatever kind. Now it has been explained to me that this isn't what they really meant. What they were contemplating was that they wanted to make sure that RTA could impose the same kind of taxes that maybe the State of Illinois or units of local government were imposing. And so my Amendment simply says that, to avoid the fear that some may have in reading and thinking that the language means what it really says. And so I've just added words 'in addition to any other taxes imposed by the State or any unit of local government.' And this has been offered to simply to ah.... put into language what I think everybody is thinking about, but somehow the lawyers might have left out."



K. W. Miller: "All right. Is there discussion? The gentleman from Will, Mr. Blair."

Blair: "Well, that part of the Bill, which.... to which this Amendment is addressed, provides that all taxes authorized by this Section may be imposed in addition to any other taxes of whatever kind. And there just simply isn't any need to add the additional language that the gentleman has in there because the application of this language clearly covers the ah.... language that he would like to add. So it's our position that from the legal standpoint, it is unnecessary to have this addition."

K. W. Miller: "Is there further discussion? All right. The gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster to close. All right, the question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 24? All those in favor, say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed 'nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nays' have it, and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 24."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 25. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27 ...."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Well, I think this is an amendment that deals with a subject that is going to come back to haunt us a little later maybe, and that is, it provides in here that the RTA Chairman, he's going to be the big cheese. The RTA Chairman will be the great person who's chosen by all of the

other Members of the Board to run this thing, and the language of the Bill says 'he shall be a person of proven skill and experience in public transportation or management.' Now, it's possible we could get some person, some lady or gentleman who had management experience but didn't know a darn thing about transportation. On the other hand, we have excluded the whole area of private enterprise from looking for talent. Now it might be that we would find an outstanding person who had been serving with a private bus company or a private railroad, or some private airline perhaps, and certainly we need this kind of skill, but when we say public, we have just narrowed out all of those kinds of people and we know that public transportation has been deteriorating or has been in bad shape and is growing, the area where we could look for a great person with skill and expertise is limited, so the Amendment simply says instead of public transportation, we just want a person who has experience in transportation management. Ah.... I'm sure the Board is going to have to come back to the General Assembly when they get going to maybe have us amend this and why not do it now. I'll take the same roll call, unless there's a response or an explanation."

K. W. Miller: "All right, there appears to be no discussion. The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 25. All those in favor, say 'aye'.

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Those opposed 'nay'."



Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nos' have it and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 25."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 26. Deuster. Amend Senate Bill 27.."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "I want.... Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment would provide that the Chairman of this Board, instead of being chosen by the Cook County members would be appointed by the Governor. I think when you're pouring eighty million dollars of State funds into a bureaucracy, and you don't provide the Chief Executive Officer of the State or even the Senate of any say so, or any responsibility, you are looking for trouble. This again will come back to haunt us. This Amendment would simply provide that the Governor of the State would appoint the Chairman and the Senate would confirm that. Ah.... this has been, of course, already decided by the Leadership, and decided not to be in, and so I'll simply asked for the voice vote on that and no response on that I'm sure is required."

K. W. Miller: "Is there a discussion? Does the gentleman from Will, Mr. Blair, care to respond?"

Blair: "Well, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker, to have the Chairman appointed by the Governor to serve during the Governor's term of office, seems to me politicizes the operations of the Authority. The Chairman is the operating Director the way the Bill is drafted and it seems to me the Region should



its own Director who should not be subject to the politics of each new Governor, and for that reason, we would be in opposition."

K. W. Miller: "The question is, shall the House adopt Amendment No. 26 to Senate Bill 27? All those in favor, say 'aye'."

Members: "Aye."

K. W. Miller: "Opposed 'Nay'."

Members: "No."

K. W. Miller: "The 'nays' have it and the House does not adopt Amendment No. 26."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 27. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session...."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "It gives me great delight to move to Table Amendment No. 27."

K. W. Miller: "Does the gentleman have leave to table Amendment No. 27. Amendment No. 27 is tabled."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 28. Deuster. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session and so forth."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, I don't have a copy of Amendment 28. I don't have the foggiest idea what it is and I'll move to Table it."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman have leave? Amendment No. 28 is Tabled. Amendment No. 29 has already been Tabled."



F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 30. Sangmeister. Amends Senate Bill 27. Third Special Session and so forth."

K. W. Selcke: "Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "No I'm not going to Table it. Excuse me, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. Ah.... this is another fine amendment that we would like to have. This Bill is being heralded as the savior for the outlying areas because two-thirds of the money raised in our particular area is going to come back. We just want to make awful sure of that. Ah.... the way it is worded now, I doubt if we are going to get anything at all. Ah.... this amendment makes specific that we're going to get two-thirds of the fares, two-thirds of the parking fees, and also two-thirds of that eight million or whatever is going to come out of the General Revenue Fund is going to come back. However in the interest of time, and because I cannot stand loud noises and boos and hisses and cheers, I'll accept the roll call on the Amendment to House Bill 27, Amendment No. 2, as the roll call on this particular amendment."

K. W. Miller: "Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Blair: "Yeow.... Well, I just want to correct the record. There is... and make it clear once and for all, that it's the intention not only of the sponsors but of the Conferees that were involved in the drafting of Senate Bill 27, that it does provide protection to guarantee the outlying suburban area and to the Cook County, the outlying counties and to Suburban Cook County and to provide the two-thirds



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

return of funds and that is the intention and that is the way the language was drafted by the attorneys and that is what it does and that is what the Board will do."

Arthur Telcser: "Do you want roll on Amendment No. 3? Amendment No. 2? Where's Representative Sangmeister. Do you want 2 or 3? Three. On this question, there are 54 'ayes' 86 'nays'. The Amendment is lost. Further Amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 31. Kempiners. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session and so forth."

Arthur Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Amendment is similar to Amendment No. 30, but ah.... it doesn't go quite as far as that did. It deletes some language that would ah... in my opinion, permit some of the two-thirds funds raised in the outlying counties to be used somewhere other than the counties. And ah.... in hopes in saving time, I, too, would accept the roll call on Amendment No. 3."

Arthur Telcser: "This question, there are 54 'ayes', 86 'nays' and the Amendment is lost. Further Amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 32. Geo-Karis. Amends Senate Bill 27, Third Special Session..."

Arthur Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to have leave to Table this Amendment because it is covered in another one."

Arthur Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Amendment No. 2 is Tabled. Further Amendments."

F. Selcke: "Amendment No. 3 . Geo-Karis. Amends





A. Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis.

Amendment No. 33."

Geo-Karis: "Ah.. Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah.. my Amendment No. 33 would amend Senate Bill 27, on page 25, line 14, by making the provision that the investments of the Regional Transit Authority could make should not include bonds or other financial obligations of toll highways or bridges or of the Chicago Transit Authority. I move the adoption of this amendment which I know will have some problems."

A. Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall Amendment #33 be adopted? All in favor, 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The amendment is lost. Are there further amendments?"

F. B. Selcke: "Amendment # 34. Harold Washington. Amend Senate Bill 27 and so forth."

A. Telcser: "Representative Totten, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Totten: "I.. Mr. Speaker, thank you. I think it would be a point of parliamentary inquiry. Ah.. I have talked to the remaining sponsors who have.. I think we have up to Amendment #46, and I believe and I would like to check it, that they would agree to accept the roll call on Amendment #3 on each of the amendments ah.. that they have proposed so that we can cover them all in one roll call. Ah.. and it is my understanding and I think their understanding that their amendments would be a matter of record ah.. because they've been introduced so that we



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

can speed up. And I think I have Representative Geo-Karis, Representative Skinner and Representative Deuster who have agreed to that. Ah.. Representative Washington has one in.."

A. Telcser: "Gentleman indicates he does not agree with that. Is that right?"

Totten: "And the only other sponsor is Representative Day."

A. Telcser: "Representative Day.. ah."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm perfectly willing to go along with the roll call with Amendment #22, but I would like to present the amendment."

A. Telcser: "All right."

Totten: "Then I wonder if I can put that in a form of a motion on the amendment except for Representative Day who wants a different roll call."

A. Telcser: "Why don't you just wait til we're done, Don. We're in the middle of it. Let's get Representative Washington and then go right back to you, okay? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington."

H. Washington: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, before making a motion, I simply want to say this. You may recall that there was an attempt to amend Representative Garmisa's bill by providing for a dicenntial census reapportionment ah.. pursuant to ah.. relative to the Regional Transit Authority. And that amendment was defeated here in the House. It was placed on Senate Bill 27 in the Senate and is now back before us. I was opposed to that amendment. I won't go into the reasons now, I'll simply save it for



Third Reading. Suffice to say that Amendment No. 34 would have brought, I think, the balance.. of this board back into balance. No point in wasting time, I'll save my comments for Third Reading.. I ask leave of the House to table Amendment #34 to Senate Bill 27."

A. Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Amendment 34 is tabled. Now, Representative Day, what is your amendment number? What is the number Bob?. All right 37."

F. B. Selcke: "Amendment # 37."

A. Telcser: "Wait a second, wait a second, Fred. Ah.. Ah.. all right. Let's take Amendment No. 37. Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day."

F. B. Selcke: "Amendment No. 37, Day. Amends Senate Bill 27 and so forth."

A. Telcser: "Representative Day."

Day: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All this amendment does is to provide a few crumbs of what is left for the downstate transit systems. This bill does not earmark 3/32 of the sales tax that is collected in the downstate districts back to the mass transit districts, but it would earmark the first \$12 in motor fuel license fees that are collected within the district ah.. back to the district, the same as we're doing for the RTA. And.. ah.. seems to me that it's just a matter of common fairness. All of the downstate mass transit districts are also in serious difficulty. They would like to be able to extend ah.. half-fares to senior citizens. They would like to be



able to increase their routes and to improve their service and ah.. all we're asking is part of the subsidy that is being offered to the RTA by House Bill 27, also be offered to the downstate transit districts and I would ah.. if it's agreeable with the House, I'd be willing to accept the roll call on Amendment #22."

A. Telcser: "All right. Now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten has moved that Amendments #35 through and including Amendment # 46 be journalized with the same roll call as we had on Amendment #3, which was 54 'ayes', 55 'ayes' and 86 'nays'. So, on the question of Amendments #35 through and including #46, all those in favor were voting.. all those in favor voting 'aye' were 55, the 'nays' 86, and all those amendments are hereby declared lost. The record roll call will be journalized as was the roll call for Amendment #3. Are there... Ah.. Representative Day now with Amendment #37, he's just agreed to it in this last motion. Oh, oh, Representative Day wanted the roll call for Amendment #22 to indicate this roll call for Amendment #37. So, the vote on Amendment #22 will be journalized as the same vote for Amendment #37. Anything else, Mr. Clerk?"

F. B. Selcke: "No."

A. Telcser: "Are there further amendments? Third Reading, Senate Bill 28. Let's whip them through, come on. Senate Bill 28."

F. B. Selcke: "Senate Bill 28."



Clerk Selcke: "An Act to have Section 11.1 of the Urban Transportation District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 29."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 29. An Act to add Section 5.05 to the Local Mass Transit District. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 30."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 30. An Act to amend the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 32. An Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Budget Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 32. An Act to amend the Illinois Municipal Budget Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 33."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 33. An Act to amend the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 34."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 34. An Act in relation to taxes upon persons in gainful business filling tangible personal property and so forth. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 35."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 35. A Bill for an Act to amend the Use Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading.  
Senate Bill 36."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 36. An Act to amend an Act relating  
to interest on bonds. Second Reading of the Bill. No  
Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading.  
Senate Bill 37."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 37. An Act to add Section 10-b to an  
Act concerning Public Utilities. Second Reading of the  
Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading.  
Senate Bill 38."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 38. An Act to amend the State Finance  
Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading.  
Senate Bill 41."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 41. An Act to amend the School Code.  
Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading.  
Senate Bill 42."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 42. An Act to make an appropriation  
to the Department of Transportation. Second Reading of  
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Tuerk. Amends Senate Bill 42..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Tuerk."

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker, I would ask leave of the House to table  
that Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Amendment #1 to  
Senate Bill 42 will be tabled. Are there further  
Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #2. Cunningham. Amends Senate Bill 42."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.  
This particular Amendment puts me in a embarrassing position,



because with uncharacteristic modesty that's nevertheless slight genuine, I must confess error. When I spoke to you last spring about the Abraham Lincoln Freeway from Effingham to Lawrenceville, I acted from honest motive purely and thought that it was in the best interest of all concerned. But a survey has been made by engineers who's judgement I rely upon and they say that the cost of the construction of that particular freeway would exceed the cost of the infamous crosstown expressway. So for that reason and being responsible to you and to them, it has become advisable that we make the suggestion to you that on page 7, of the Bill that we have before us, we delete the one million dollars that is supposed to be expended for making the study and instead that we expend that one million dollars for the acquisition of land for the construction of F.A.B., 4-11, on the eastern side of the State of Illinois. Now in making this suggestion, I am not unmindfull of any danger that might be created for the Bill, and perish the thought that we would ever knowingly do anything that would jeopardize this Bill because, I, for one, and all of the constituents that I represent are indeed indebted to Speaker Blair for the magnificent effort that he has brought to the downstate area on this freeway construction. We say to him, in this public meeting, God Bless your efforts, Speaker Blair, and we do not want anything that we do in any way to jeopardize those splendid efforts. And I also want to say to you, in regard ...simmer down, I want to say to you in regard to the Effingham to Newton Road, it's quite as bad as I told you before, except for the fact that our good Governor has widened and resurfaced half of that way and we hope and believe and pray that he will continue those efforts. Now in that explanation, Mr. Speaker, I humbly ask each Member of the House, to vote to accept Amendment #2 to this particular Bill, which does not increase in any degree the amount of money spent in the 54th

**GENERAL ASSEMBLY**

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

District, It just gets it from a use that is proposed that we've decided that is no longer feasible, to another need that is equally desperate. We would appreciate your 'aye' vote. In the interest of time, we would just accept a voice vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 42. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is lost. Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you rise."

Cunningham: "I presume it's too late to ask for a Roll Call, thank you for the vote anyway."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #3. Schlickman. Amends Senate Bill 42..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Bill 42 is one of the part of the overall program relative to Transportation in the State. Much attention is being given and has been given today...ah...to a major part of that program, the Regional Transportation Authority Bill. Now, included among the parts of Senate Bill 42, which appropriates the sum of one hundred and thirty million, thirty nine million, two hundred thousand dollars, for the supplemental freeway system, we have Senate Bill 46, excuse me, Senate Bill 45...ah...which increases from six hundred million to eight hundred million of the authorization of, for bond issuance for highway acquisition, etc. We have Senate Bill 2, which is the emergency Public Transportation Loan Act of 1973. Now in adversity...ah...apparently occurred over in the Senate. Senate Bill 2, Senate Bill 45, Senate Bill 46, all have Amendments saying that their effectiveness, that their effectiveness is dependent upon the the approval of the R.T.A., in one case, by the Governor and in the other two Bills, by the people on the referendum on March 19, 1973.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



What this Amendment, Amendment #3, to Senate Bill 42 does, is simply to, is simply to make up what is an apparent inadversity and to bring about consistency and simply provides that this Bill, House Bill 42....Senate Bill 42, will not be effective until of the R.T.A. referendum is successful. I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Telcsr: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to Senate Bill 42. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is lost. Further Amendments? Huh? I announced that it was lost, did you want a Roll Call? He said okay, he was only kidding. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 43."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 43. An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Transportation, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "For the record I wasn't kidding, but in deference to your good will. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "God Bless you, thank you. Third Reading. Senate Bill 45."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 45. An Act to amend Section 2 of the Transportation Bond Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 46."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 46. An Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Now we've got to go back and pick up 12 and 13. Senate Bill 12."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 12. A Bill for an Act to establish the State Lottery. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee



Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading.

Senate Bill 13."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 13. An Act to make an appropriation to the Department of Revenue. Second Reading of the Bill.

No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading.

Okay. Now what else? The Gentleman....The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, am I correct to assume that all Bills that are on the Calendar on the Order of Second Reading have now been read a Second time and moved to the Order of Third Reading?"

Speaker Telcser: "All the Senate Bills, Second Reading have been moved to Third."

Shea: "That's what I had reference to. 2 through 46 on page 5 of the Calendar?"

Speaker Telcser: "Right."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Third Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 9:00 a.m., tomorrow, December 1."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the Third Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Third Special Session does now stand adjourned until the hour of 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. Fourth Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asks that the Attendance Roll Call from the Third Special be used for the Fourth Special. Are there any objections? Hearing none, that will be the Attendance Roll Call. Now we're in the Fourth



now. Oh, the regular Attendance Roll Call. Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh,"

Walsh: "There's a Bill on Third Reading, Mr. Speaker, that I think the Sponsor would like called."

Speaker Telcser: "Which one?"

Walsh: "House Bill 2. Senate Bill 6, close."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, is that Bob Walters'? Okay Senate Bill 6. Senate Bills, Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 6. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters."

Walters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. At this time, I'd like to, Representative Jerry Shea has asked to call this Bill back to Second Reading and we've agreed. Representative Shea would like to read and explain his Amendment to Senate Bill 6."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 6, will be returned to the Order of Second Reading, for the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Amends Senate...Shea...Amends Senate Bill 6 on page 3..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1, would change some of the language of the Revenue Act. We passed in the earlier part of this year, Senate Bill 138 through both Chambers, which in Cook County will allow a speeded up or earlier collection of taxes. The first installment due March 1, will be based on an estimated tax bill and the final installment will be due, August the 1st. There was a technical flaw in the Bill, however, and where there were new taxing districts created there is not... way to estimate the taxes. This hopefully will clean up that problem and without this technical Amendment, there's



some fifty million dollars in school funds that will not be able to be assessed and taxed in the first installment and put a tremendous burden on some of our local school districts in suburban Cook County. So I would ask...ah... move that we adopt Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 6 of the Fourth Special Session."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 6. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a Third time, Gentlemen, do you wish to call it on Third Reading, Bob? The Gentleman from Madison, Representative Walters, the Bill is now on Third Reading with the Amendment. It has been read a Third Time."

Walters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, the main part of the Bill before it was amended and now this Amendment has passed unanimously as the Bill was and this is a Senate Bill, we had the same Bill in the House it's a duplicate Bill. The Bill merely changes two words in the School Code, from 'while holding' to 'and hold'. That's the only change it makes. I move it's adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 6 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman has asked leave to use the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? Hearing none, on this question there are 170...what is it George? 165 'ayes', no 'nays'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Now Bud, do you want to try yours again? Senate Bill 3. Senate Bills, Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 3. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act in relation to State Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill that we discussed yesterday, that ...ah...wouldn't inconvenience anybody or penalize anybody. It's a simple Bill, a one paragraph...ah...piece of legislation that would require that all the appropriations...ah...contained in the Governor's Budget Book, would be presented in Bill form to the Legislative Leaders or to the Legislature no later than the first day...first Friday of April, which is about three weeks after the Budget Book has been prepared and presented. I can't see where it's going to inconvenience ...ah...any Governor at any time...it is a step in the direction of better legislative control and better legislative process and I would ask for your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, this is that same Bill we talked about last night. They still haven't done anything to provide the information to the Membership. I don't know why it should be so exclusive in this Bill. I agree that we should have the information available somewhere but ought to be available to everybody and to the public."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn want to respond to that?"

Washburn: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, we've heard a lot said about compromise here in the last two or three days, or week or so and this is one of those compromise pieces of legislation that...ah...is a first step, compromised by the Governor's Office, Bureau of the Budget and the spokesman of the Appropriations Committee on both the Democratic and Republican side of the aisle."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the



House. What Representative Washburn has just pointed out is absolutely correct. In fact the people that raised the questions yesterday, we asked, we had our staff contacted and we talked to them personally in reference to this Bill. I know I spoke in it's behalf and I went over to the Senate with Representative....Senator Regner, who's handling this Bill. This is a Bill that the Governor and Doug Kane, have agreed to, they stated that initially they were in opposition to it and also with the B.O.B., and after much soul searching they in turn said that yes indeed, they can live with it. Ah...As ref...in reference to what Representative Tipword has just pointed out as far as the Membership and the general public, yes, the Membership may receive complete input through the Leadership offices or the, file it with the Clerk, which is the other option of the Bill. They have complete access to it. I can't see why the, in fact Representative Tipword is a Member of the Appropriations Committee and he knows exactly the amount of time it takes to analyze the Bill and our staff, in order to give a thorough study of it. I would hope that this Bill would receive the unanimous vote of the House. It deserves it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 3 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman has asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? You objecting Representative Hart? You want to just change your vote Dick or are your objecting to the...pardon. I just wanted to ask him if he just wants his vote changed or he's objecting to the...ah... The Gentleman from Franklin, Representative Hart."

Hart: "Well, there's a...165 people on the unanimous Roll Call and there's obviously not that many here. So I would object to using the unanimous Roll Call."



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall Senate Bill 3 pass? All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no', Call a Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham. Do you want to vote 'aye' Ms. Catania? D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Gibbs, Getty, Gibbs. McGrew...no. Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hart, Harpstrite, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Hoffman Gene, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick...McClain 'no'. McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Mugalian...Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell and Mr. Speaker. Borchers 'aye'. Gibbs 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative...Do you want to get on the Roll Call? Why don't you come up here to the Clerk. He says



it's easier for him."

Clerk Selcke: "McGrew 'no'. I got you, Ralph Dunn 'aye', Jaffe 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 111 'ayes' 5 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional three-fifths majority is hereby declared 'passed'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Fourth Special Session adjourn to the hour of 11:20 a.m. tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giorgi, for what purpose do you rise?"

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, you going to move Senate Bill 1? I'd like to take Senate Bill 1 and move it back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "You want Senate Bill 1 called? Yeah, I recognized... Representative could we hold the motion? You have a Bill? It's a Bill on what?"

Giorgi: "It's the consolidation of elections Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 1."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1. A Bill for an act to amend the Election Code. Third Reading of the Bill."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to move that that be sent back to Second Reading for the purpose of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill is on the Order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1. Giorgi. Amends Senate Bill 1..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Am I on? Mr. Speaker, because some of the counties in the State of Illinois have shown some concern over the four elections that they're going to be conducting next year, this Amendment is to allow those counties that have chosen to go to the...an elective primary in February,





to move that elective primary to March and it allows the...  
it doesn't allow caucus counties anything but to stay  
within the caucus system and I move for it's adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to  
move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1. All in  
favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted.  
Further Amendments. Third Reading. The Bill has been read  
a Third time. Do you want it? You don't want?...All right,  
the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "I move that the Fourth Special Session stand adjourned  
until the hour of 11:20 a.m. tomorrow, December 1."

Speaker Telcser: "11:20. It's now on Third Reading. The  
Gentleman has moved that the Fourth Special Session do  
stand adjourned until the hour of 11:20, did you say Bill?  
11:20 tomorrow morning. All in favor signify by saying 'aye',  
the opposed 'no'. Fourth Special Session does now stand  
adjourned until the hour of 11:20 a.m. tomorrow morning.  
Fifth Special Session will come to order. The Gentleman  
from Cook, Representative Walsh has asked leave to have  
the Roll...the Attendance Roll Call for the Regular Session  
be used as the Attendance Roll Call in the Fifth Special  
Session. Are there any objections? Hearing none, that  
will be the Attendance Roll Call. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.  
Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives  
the Senate had concurred with the House in the passage of  
a Bill of the following title, House Bill #1. Passed the  
Senate the fifth...wait a minute...The Fifth Special Session,  
November 29, 1973, by a three-fifths vote. Edward E.  
Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Telcser: "Fred, anything else? All right. Senate Bills,  
Second Reading. Senate Bill 6."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 6. A Bill for an Act to amend Sections  
1,2,3,4,5 of a title of an Act to provide transportation to



school children. Second Reading of the Bill, No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading, Senate Bill 7."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 7. An Act to provide for the reimbursement of reduced transit fares for the elderly. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Fifth Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 11:30 tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman has moved that the Fifth Special Session stand adjourned until 11:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Fifth Special Session does now stand adjourned until the hour of 11:30 a.m. tomorrow morning. The Regular Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Regular Session stand adjourned until the hour of 11:00 a.m. tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second. Is there an Agreed Resolution here, in Regular Session. All right. Agreed Resolutions. Let's hold that motion for the adjournment."

Clerk Selcke: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 672. Madigan, et al. 673 Madigan, et al. 674 Polk. 675 Polk. 676 Stone, et al. W. D. Walsh. 677 Laurino. 678 McClain, et al. 679 Dave Jones. 680 Brinkmeier, et al."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh has offered to move the Agreed Resolutions."

Walsh: "Right, I would like, however, Mr. Speaker, for the Clerk to read House Resolution 676."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 676. Walsh et al. Whereas our beloved friend..."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Stone, for what purpose do you rise?"



Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I would appreciate it if we could have order while this Resolution is being read because it is of interest to all of us and I'm sure that you will want to hear it. Thank you very much."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 676. Whereas our beloved friend and distinguished colleague, the Honorable Charles W. Clabaugh, has announced that he will not seek re-election and will retire at the expiration of his present term in January, 1975, and whereas upon his retirement Mr. Clabaugh will have completed thirty-six years of continuous service in the House of Representatives, the second longest uninterrupted tour of duty of any downstate Member in this century and whereas, prior to convening of the present General Assembly, Mr. Clabaugh, has sponsored six hundred and seventeen Bills in the House. Four hundred and eighteen of which became law. With the true measure of his greatness as a Legislator lies not in the quantity but rather in the nature and quality of the legislation with which he is identified and whereas, although primarily renowned for his indefatigable interest in the championship of progressive and productive legislation in the field of education he is also a pioneer in sponsoring important pieces of legislation in other areas, including the Council Manager Form of Municipal Government and the Registration of Professional Engineers and whereas he has been a Member of the School Problems Commission since its inception and served as its Chairman for seventeen years and his diligent and conscientious work has played a major role in making that body one of the most respected and effective Commissions in the history of this state. Therefore, be it resolved by the House of Representatives of the Seventy-eight General Assembly of the State of Illinois, that we express our deep appreciation to our friend and colleague, the Honorable Charles W. Clabaugh, for his many years of unselfish public service as a Member of the House. That while regretting



his decision to retire upon the expiration of his present term, we extend to him and Mrs. Clabaugh our best wishes for the future and that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Mr. Clabaugh."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd always hoped that some way or another I just wouldn't be here when this time came but you are at least going to have me around, I hope, Lord willing, for another year or so. I'm grateful that Representative Stone didn't presume to take the vote on Amendment #3 as the vote on this Resolution. Ah... I am grateful, of course, for the expression made in that flattering Resolution. I can't help but remember that my grandmother used to tell us that flattery was like perfume, it was meant to be sniffed and not to be swallowed. Lest one gets mental indigestion or inflated ego or some one of those things. Ah...this has been a very happy thirty-six years for me in the Legislature, in the last few weeks quite often some Member would say, 'Well have you ever seen one as bad as this before?' Yeah, the worst one I ever saw was the night of the last Session when the voting machine went dead and I think we had just about this same kind of a hassle when we established the C.T.A., some thirty years ago. So it's running through the form in that way. So, I just say to all of you that...ah...I'll...I'll be around for the rest of this time. I'm too humblè, I believe to admit all the statements that was made in there but I'm proud to deny any of them. So I just say, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave of the House to have all Members added as Co-Sponsors to this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any objections. Hearing none, all the Members will be added as Co-Sponsors. The Gentleman



has offered to move the adoption of the Agreed Resolution, All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no' and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted, Death Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 681. Washburn. In respect of the memory of Chester P. Windsor. House Resolution 682. Jaffe, et al. In respect of the memory of Morris Greiman."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh offers to move the adoption of the Death Resolutions. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Resolutions are adopted, Introductions and First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2113. Cunningham. Requires Public Utilities to request mailing customers to subscribers. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Regular Session adjourn to the hour of 11:00 a.m. tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the Regular Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 11:00 a.m. tomorrow. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Regular Session does now stand adjourned until the hour of 11:00 a.m. The First Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the First Special Session adjourn until the hour of 11:10 a.m. tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentelman moves the First Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 11:10 a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The First Special Session does now stand adjourned until the hour of 11:10 a.m. tomorrow morning. Second Special Session will now come to order. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, moves that the Second Special Session do stand adjourned..."

Walsh: "Stand adjourned until the hour of 11:20 or...11:15."



Speaker Telcser: "Okay, 11:15. The Gentleman has moved that the Second Special Session do stand adjourned until the hour of 11:15 tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Second Special Session does now stand adjourned until the hour of 11:15 a.m. tomorrow morning. That's it Ladies and Gentlemen. The Clerk wants to make an announcement. We're in at 9:00 tomorrow morning for the Third."

Clerk Selcke: "Can I have your attention please? Representative Farley came up and suggested that we announce that everybody better get their gasoline either tonight or tomorrow morning or tomorrow afternoon because the stations will be closed tomorrow night and Sunday. We don't want you running dry."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1		Speaker Blair	Calls Regular Session to order.
1		Clerk Selcke	Oral Attendance Roll Call
1		Speaker Telcser	Introductions - First Reading
1		Clerk Selcke	Reads Bills
2		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions
2		Clerk Selcke	House Resolution 671
2		Speaker Telcser	
2		Walsh	Moves adoption of Resolution
2		Speaker Telcser	Death Resolutions
2		Clerk Selcke	House Resolution 670
2		Speaker Telcser	Resolution adopted
2		Walsh	Moves recess
2		Speaker Telcser	Calls 1st Special to order
2		Walsh	Moves recess of 1st
3		Speaker Telcser	Second Special Session
3		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Seante
3		Speaker Telcser	No Messages
3		Walsh	Moves recess
3		Speaker Telcser	Calls 3rd Special to order
3		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Senate
4		Speaker Telcser	House at ease
4		Clerk Selcke	Announcement
4		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills, Second Reading
4		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 2
		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 2, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 3



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
4		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 3, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 6
		Speaker Teclser	Senate Bill 6....
5		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1 to S. B. 6
		Speaker Telcser	
5		Kosinski	explains Amendment #1
		Speaker Teclser	
		Kosinski	Take it out of the record
5		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 6 is taken from the record Senate Bill 27
6		Clerk Selcke	reads Senate Bill 27
		Speaker Telcser	
6		Clerk Selcke	reads Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
6		Sangmeister	explains Amendment #1
7		Speaker Telcser	
7		Blair	speaks on Amendment #1
8		Speaker Telcser	
8		Matijevich	speaks on Amendment #1
9		Speaker Telcser	
9 & 10		Deuster	speaks on Amendment #1
11		Speaker Telcser	
11		Pierce	speaks on Amendment #1
12		Speaker Teclser	
12		Grotberg	speaks on Amendment #1
12		Speaker Telcser	
12		Hill	speaks on Amendment #1



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
13		Speaker Telcser	
13		Sangmeister	To Close
13		Speaker Telcser	
13		Clerk Selcke	Oral Roll Call
14		Speaker Telcser	
14		B.B. Wolfe	
15		Clerk Selcke	
15		Speaker Telcser	
16		Totten	Point of personal privilege
17		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 fails
17		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendments #2
17		Speaker Telcser	
17		Sangmeister	Explains Amendment #2
18		Speaker Telcser	
18		Blair	Speaks on Amendment #2
18		Speaker Telcser	
18		B.B. Wolfe	
18		Speaker Telcser	
19		Sangmeister	To Close
19		Speaker Telcser	
19		R. L. Dunne	
19		Speaker Telcser	
19		B.B. Wolfe	
19		Speaker Telcser	
20		Clerk Selcke	Oral Roll Call
20		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
20			Explains vote
20		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
20		Speaker Telcser	
20		Deuster	Explains vote
21		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
22		Speaker Telcser	
22		Juckett	Explains vote
22		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
22		Speaker Telcser	
22		Katz	Explains vote
22		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
23		Speaker Telcser	
23		Walters	Explains vote
23		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
23		Speaker Telcser	
23		Gibbs	Question
24		Speaker Telcser	
24		Dunne )	
24		Speaker Telcser )	
24		Philip	How am I recorded?
24		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails
25		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #3
25		Speaker Telcser	
25		Dunne	No copy of Amendment
25		Speaker Telcser	
25		Clerk Selcke	
25		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
25		Deuster	Discussion of withdrawal
25		Speaker Telcser	
25		Dunne	Objects
26		Speaker Telcser	
26		Deuster	
26		Speaker Telcser	
26		Deuster	
27		Speaker Telcser	
27		Juckett )	Discussion
		)	
27 & 28		Speaker Telcser)	
28		Speaker Telcser	
28		Deuster	Moves to suspend Rule 34a
29		Speaker Telcser	Motion to suspend carries
29		Deuster	Explains Amendment #3
30		Speaker Telcser	
30		Blair	Discussion
31		Speaker Telcser	
31		Skinner	Discussion
31		Speaker Telcser	
31		Deuster	To close
32		" " "	" " " "
33		Speaker Telcser	
33		Clerk Selcke	Oral Roll Call
33		Speaker Telcser	
33		Geo-Karis	Explains vote
34		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
34		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
34		Speaker Telcser	
34		Griesheimer	Explains vote
34		Speaker Telcser	
34		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
34		Speaker Telcser	
34		Hudson	Explains vote
35		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
35		Speaker Telcser	
35		Pierce	Explains vote
36		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
36		Speaker Telcser	
36		Shea	Explains vote
36		Clerk Selcke	Resumes Roll Call
36		Speaker Telcser	
36		Dunne	change from 'no' to 'aye'
37		Speaker Telcser	
37		Ebbesen	how am I recorded?
37		Speaker Telcser	
37		Clerk Selcke	recorded as not voting
37		Ebbesen	record me 'aye'
37		Speaker Telcser	
37		Deuster	Discussion-poll of the absentees
38		Speaker Telcser	
38		Clerk Selcke	Polls the absentees
38		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
38		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #4
38		Speaker Telcser	
38		Deuster	
38		Speaker Telcser	
38		Deuster	explains Amendment #4
39		Speaker Telcser	
39		Shea	
39		Speaker Telcser	
39		Clerk Selcke	explains Amendments
40		Speaker Telcser	
40		Dunne	
40		Clerk Selcke	
40		Dunne	
40		Speaker Telcser	
40		Dunne	
41		Speaker Telcser	
41		Deuster	
41		Speaker Telcser	
41		Choate	
42		Speaker Telcser	
42		Deuster	
42		Choate	
42		Speaker Telcser	
42		Tipsword	
43		Speaker Telcser	
43		Geo-Karis	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
44		Speaker Telcser	
44		Pierce	
44		Speaker Telcser	
44		Geo-Karis	
44		Speaker Telcser	
44		Huskey	
44		Speaker Telcser	
45		Geo-Karis	
45		Speaker Telcser	
45		Ewell	Inquiry
45		Speaker Telcser	
45		Ewell	Objects
46		Speaker Telcser	
46		Geo-Karis	
46		Speaker Telcser	
46		Walsh	
46		Speaker Telcser	
46		Deuster	
47		Speaker Telcser	
47		Geo-Karis	Renews motion
47		Speaker Telcser	
47		Murphy	Motion to table
47		Speaker Telcser)	Vote on motion
		)	Motion carries
		)	Oral Roll Call
48		Clerk O'Brien	Reads Roll
48		Speaker Telcser	
48		Geo-Karis	Withdraws motion



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
48		Speaker Telcser	
48		Deuster	Explains Amendment #4
49		" " "	" " "
50		Speaker Telcser	
50		Blair	Asks defeat of Amendment
50		Speaker Telcser	Wins
50		Tipsword	
51		Speaker Telcser	
51		Palmer	Parliamentary Inquiry
51		Speaker Telcser	
51		Palmer	
51		Speaker Telcser	
51		Deuster	Error in Amendment
52		Speaker Telcser	
52		Palmer	Withdraws Inquiry
52		Speaker Telcser	
52		Juckett	Parliamentary Inquiry
52		Speaker Telcser	
52		Juckett	
52		Speaker Telcser	
52		Macdonald	Supports Amendment
53		Speaker Telcser	
53		Day	Point of Information
53		Speaker Telcser	
53		Deuster	Close debate
54		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
54		Clerk Selcke	Oral Roll Call
54		Speaker Telcser	
54		Deuster	Call of Absentees
55		Speaker Telcser	
55		Clerk Selcke	Reads absentees
55		Speaker Telcser	
55		Deuster	Withdraws Poll of Absentees
55		Speaker Telcser	
55		Clerk Selcke	
55		*Speaker Telcser	Amendment #4 fails
55		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #5
55		Speaker Telcser	
55		Palmer	Inquiry
55		Speaker Telcser	
55		Palmer	
55		Speaker Telcser	
55		Schlickman	
56		Speaker Telcser	
56		Schlickman	Explains Amendment #5
57		Speaker Telcser	
57		Blair	Speaks on Amendment #5
58		Speaker Telcser	
58		Schlickman	Close debate
59		Speaker Telcser	
59		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
59		Speaker Miller, K.	
59		Hudson	Explains vote



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
60		Speaker Miller	
60		Clerk O'Brien	Continues Roll Call
60		Speaker Miller	
60		Skinner	Explains vote
60		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
60		Speaker Miller	
60		Clerk O'Brien	
60		Mugalian	Votes 'aye'
60		Speaker Miller	
60		Schlickman	Poll of the absentees
60		Speaker Miller	
61		Schlickman	Withdraws request
61		Speaker Miller	Amendment #5 fails
61		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #6
61		Speaker Miller	
61		Clerk O'Brien	
61		Schlickman	Explains Amendment #6
62		Speaker Miller	
63		Blair	Speaks on Amendment #6
63		Speaker Miller	
63		Wolf	Point of personal privilege
63		Speaker Miller	
63		Wolf	
63		Speaker Miller	
64		Schlickman	Close debate on Amendment
65		" "	" " "



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
66		Speaker Miller	
66		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
66		Speaker Miller	Choate 'no'.
66		Dee	Explains vote
66		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
66		Speaker Miller	Amendment #6 fails
67		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #7
67		Miller, T.	Explains Amendment #7
68		Speaker Miller	
68		Blair	Opposes Amendment
69		Speaker Miller	
69		Shea	Opposes Amendment
69		Speaker Miller	
69		T. Miller	Close debate
70		Speaker Miller	
70		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
70		Speaker Miller	
71		T. Miller	Questions quorum
71		Speaker Miller	
71		T. Miller	
71		Speaker Miller	
71		T. Miller	
71		Speaker Miller	Amendment #7 lost
71		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #8
71		Speaker Miller	
71		T. Miller	Requests quorum



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
71		Speaker Miller	
71		Ewell	Asks motion in writing
72		Speaker Miller	
72		Shea	
72		Speaker Miller	
72		T. Miller	
72		Speaker Miller	
72		Clerk O'Brien	Attendance Roll Call
73)		Speaker Miller)	Poll of Absentees
)		)	
73)		Clerk O'Brien )	Calls absentees
)		)	
74)		)	
)		)	
75)		)	
75		Speaker Miller	Quorum sufficient
75		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #8
75		Speaker Miller	
75		Porter	
75		Speaker Miller	
75		Porter )	Explains Amendment
		)	
76		)	
77		Speaker Miller	
77		Blair	Opposes Amendment
78		Speaker Miller	
78		Sangmeister	Supports Amendment
79		Speaker Miller	
79		Lechowicz	Previous Question
79		Speaker Miller	Motion fails
79		Katz	Supports Amendment



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
80		Katz	Continues
81		Speaker Miller	
81		Skinner	Supports Amendment
82		Speaker Miller	
82		Porter	Closes debate
83		Speaker Miller	
83		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
83		Speaker Miller	
83		Deuster	Explains vote
84		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
84		Speaker Miller	
84		Dyer	Supports Amendment
84		Clerk O'Brien	
84		Speaker Miller	
84		Clerk O'Brien	Continues Roll Call
84		Speaker Miller	
84		Duff	Explains vote
84		Speaker Miller	
84		Clerk O'Brien	Continues Roll Call
84		Speaker Miller	
84		Ewell	Explains vote
85		Speaker Miller	
85		Clerk O'Brien	Continues Roll Call
85		Speaker Miller	
85		Geo-Karis	Explains vote
86		Clerk O'Brien	Continues Roll Call



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
86		Speaker Miller	
86		Sangmeister	Explains vote
86		Clerk O'Brien	Continues Roll Call
87		Speaker Miller	
87		Skinner	Point of personal privilege
87		Speaker Miller	
87		Skinner	
87		Speaker Miller	Amendment #8 fails
87		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #9
88		Speaker Miller	
88		Palmer	Explains Amendment #9
89		Speaker Miller	
89		Blair	Opposes Amendment
90		Speaker Miller	
90		Barnes	Sponsor yield?
90		Speaker Miller	
90		Barnes	Questions Sponsor
91		Palmer	
91		Barnes	
92		Palmer	
92		Barnes	
92		Palmer	
92		Barnes	
92		Palmer	
93		Barnes	
93		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
93		Barnes	Speaks on Amendment
93		Speaker Miller	
93		Palmer	Closes debate
94		Speaker Miller	
94		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call
95		Speaker Miller	
95		R. L. Dunne	Explains vote
95		Clerk Selcke	Continues Roll Call
95		Speaker Miller	
96		McGrew	Parliamentary Inquiry
96		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call
96		Speaker Miller	Amendment #9 fails
96		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #10
96		Speaker Miller	
96		Skinner	Explains Amendment #10
97		Speaker Miller	
97		Blair	Speaks on Amendment
98		Speaker Miller	
98		Houlihan	
98		Speaker Miller	
98		Skinner	Closes debate
98		Speaker Miller	Amendment #10 fails
98		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #11
98		Speaker Miller	
98		Geo-Karis	Explains Amendment #11
99		Speaker Miller	
99		Blair	Opposes Amendment



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
99		Speaker Miller	
99		Geo-Karis	Close debate
100		Speaker Miller	Amendment #11 fails
100		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #12
100		Speaker Miller	
100		Geo-Karis	Explains Amendment #12
101		Speaker Miller	
101		Blair	Speaks on Amendment
101		Speaker Miller	
101		Geo-Karis	Closes debate
102		Speaker Miller	Amendment #12 fails
102		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #13
102		Speaker Miller	
102		Totten	Point of Personal privilege
102		Speaker Miller	
102		Totten	States point
103		Speaker Miller	
103		Geo-Karis	Explains Amendment #13
103		Speaker Miller	
103		Blair	
103		Speaker Miller	
103		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call from Amendment #3
103		Blair	
104		Speaker Miller	
104		Schlickman	
104		Clerk Selcke	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
104		Blair	Question
105		Speaker Miller	
105		Blair	
105		Speaker Miller	
105		Wolf	Votes 'no'.
105		Clerk Selcke	
105		Speaker Miller	
105		Tuerk	
105		Speaker Miller	
106		Tuerk	
106		Speaker Miller	
106		Geo-Karis	Voice Roll Call
106		Speaker Miller	Amendment #13 fails
106		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #13
106		Speaker Miller	
106		Schlickman	Explains Amendment #14
107		Speaker Miller	
107		Blair	Speaks on Amendment #14
108		Speaker Miller	Roll Call
109		Speaker Miller	Amendment #14 fails
109		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #15
109		Speaker Miller	
109		Schlickman	Explains Amendment #15
109		Speaker Miller	
109		Blair	Speaks on Amendment #15
110		Speaker Miller	Amendment #15 fails



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
110		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #16
110		Speaker Miller	
110		Schlickman	Explains Amendment #16
111		Speaker Miller	
111		Blair	Speaks on Amendment
111		Speaker Miller	Amendment #16 fails
111		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #17
111		Speaker Miller	
112		Hoffman	Explains Amendment #17
112		Speaker Miller	
112		Blair	Speaks on Amendment #17
112		Speaker Miller	
112		Hoffman	Closes debate
112		Speaker Miller	Amendment #17 fails
113		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #18
113		Speaker Miller	
113		Schlickman	Explains Amendment
114		Speaker Miller	
114		Blair	Opposes Amendment
114		Speaker Miller	
114		Schlickman	Closes debate
115		Speaker Miller	Amendment #18 fails
115		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #19
115		Speaker Miller	
115		Deuster	Explains Amendment
116		Speaker Miller	
116		Blair	Opposes Amendment



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
117		Speaker Miller	
117		Deuster	Closes debate
117		Speaker Miller	Amendment #19 lost
118		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #20
118		Speaker Miller	
118		Tuerk	Explains Amendment
118		Speaker Miller	
118		Blair	Speaks on Amendment
119		Speaker Miller	
119		Tuerk	Closes debate
119		Speaker Miller	Amendment #20 fails
119		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #21
119		Speaker Miller	
119		Deuster	Explains Amendment #21.
120		Speaker Miller	
120		Blair	Opposes Amendment
121		Speaker Miller	
121		Deuster	Closes debate
121		Speaker Miller	Amendment #21 fails
122		Gibbs	Table Amendments
122		Speaker Miller	
122		Gibbs	
122		Clerk Selcke	
122		Gibbs	
122		Clerk Selcke	
122		Speaker Miller	Leave - Amendments Tabled



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
122		Clerk Selcké	Amendment #22
122		Speaker Miller	
122		Day	Explains Amendment #22
123		"	" " "
124		Speaker Miller	
124		Blair	Opposes Amendment
125		Speaker Miller	
125		Shea	Opposes Amendment
125		Speaker Miller	
125		Blair	
125		Speaker Miller	
125		Day	Closes debate
126		Speaker Miller	
126		Clerk Selcké	Roll Call
127		Speaker Miller	Amendment #22 fails
127		Clerk Selcké	Amendment #23
127		Speaker Miller	
127		Deuster	Explains Amendment
128		Speaker Miller	
128		Shea	Introduces Justin Taft
128		Speaker Miller	
128		Blair	Opposes Amendment
129		Deuster	Closes debate
129		Blair	
129		Deuster	
129		Speaker Miller	Amendment fails



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
130		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #24
130		Speaker Miller	
130		Deuster	Explains Amendment
131		Speaker Miller	
131		Blair	Opposes Amendment
131		Speaker Miller	Amendment #24 fails
131		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #25
131		Speaker Miller	
131		Deuster	Explains Amendment
132		Speaker Miller	Vote on Amendment
133		Speaker Miller	Amendment #25 fails
133		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #26
133		Speaker Miller	
133		Deuster	Explains Amendment
133		Speaker Miller	
133		Blair	Opposes Amendment
134		Speaker Miller	Amendment #26 fails
134		Clerk Selcke	
135		Speaker Miller	
135		Deuster	Tables Amendment #27
135		Speaker Miller	
135		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #28
135		Speaker Miller	
135		Deuster	Tables Amendment #28
135		Speaker Miller	Leave granted - 29 Tabled
136		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #30



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
135		Speaker Miller	
135		Sangmeister	Explains Amendment #30
135		Speaker Miller	
135		Blair	Speaks on Amendment #30
136		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #30, lost.
136		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #31
136		Speaker Telcser	
136		Kempiners	Explains Amendment #31
136		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #31 lost
136		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #32
136		Speaker Telcser	
136		Geo-Karis	Tables Amendment
136		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #32, tabled
136		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #33
137		Speaker Telcser	
137		Geo-Karis	Explains Amendment #33
137		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #33 lost
137		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #34
137		Speaker Telcser	
137		Totten	Parliamentary Inquiry
138		Speaker Telcser	
138		Totten	
138		Speaker Telcser	
138		Day	
138		Speaker Telcser	
138		Totten	Motion



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
138		Speaker Telcser	
138		H. Washington	Leave to table Amendment #34.
139		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #34 tabled
139		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #37
139		Speaker Telcser	
139		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #37
139		Speaker Telcser	
139		Day	Explains Amendment #37
140		Speaker Telcser	Journalize Amt. 35 to 46 with Roll from Amt. 3. Amt. 22 same as 37.
140		Clerk Selcke	No further Amendments
140		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading. S.B. 28
140		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 28 - Second Reading
141		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments, Third Reading.
141		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 29 - Second Reading
141		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
141		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 30 - Second Reading
141		Speaker Telcser	Moved to Third Reading
141		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 32 - Second Reading
141		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
141		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 33 - Second Reading
141		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
141		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 34 - Second Reading
141		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
141		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 35 - Second Reading
142		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
142		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 36 - No Amendments
142		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
142		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 37 - Second Reading
142		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
142		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 38 - Second Reading
142		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
142		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 41 - Second Reading
142		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
142		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 42 - Second Reading
142		Speaker Telcser	
142		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
142		Speaker Telcser	
142		Tuerk	leave to table Amendment #1
142		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1, tabled.
142		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2, Senate Bill 42
142		Speaker Telcser	
142		Cunningham	Explains Amendment
144		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #2 lost
144		Cunningham	
144		Speaker Telcser	
144		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3, Senate Bill 42
144		Speaker Telcser	
144		Schlickman	Explains Senate Bill 42
145		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3, lost
145		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 43
145		Speaker Telcser	
145		Schlickman	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
145		Speaker Telcser	
145		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 45 - Second Reading
145		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
145		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 46 - Second Reading
145		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
145		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 12 - Second Reading
146		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
146		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 13 - Second Reading
146		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
146		Shea	
146		Speaker Telcser	
146		Shea	
146		Speaker Telcser	
146		Shea	
146		Speaker Telcser	
146		Walsh	Moves adjourn Third Special Session till 9:00 a.m. Dec. 1.
146		Speaker Telcser	Third Special Session adjourned Fourth Special Session to Order
147		Walsh	
147		Speaker Telcser	
147		Walsh	
147		Speaker Telcser	
147		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 6
147		Speaker Telcser	
147		Walters	asks to return to Second Reading
147		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 6 - Second Reading





<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
147		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1 - Senate Bill 6
147		Speaker Telcser	
147		Shea	Explains Amendment #1
148		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted - Third
148		Walters	Moves adoption of Bill
148		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 6 passed
148		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 3 - Third Reading
148		Speaker Telcser	
149		Washburn	Moves adoption of Senate Bill 3
149		Speaker Telcser	
149		Tipsword	Speaks on Senate Bill 3
149		Speaker Telcser	
149		Washburn	
149		Speaker Telcser	
149		Lechowicz	Speaks in favor of Senate Bill 3
150		Speaker Telcser	Vote
150		Hart	Objects to unanimous Roll Call
151		Speaker Telcser	
151		Clerk Selcke	Calls Oral Roll Call
151		Speaker Telcser	
152		Clerk Selcke	
152		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bill 3 - passed
152		Walsh	Moves Fourth Special Session adjourn until 11:20 a.m.
152		Speaker Telcser	
152		Giorgi	Question on Senate Bill 1
152		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
152		Giorgi	
152		Speaker Telcser	
152		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 1
152		Giorgi	Moves back to Second Reading
152		Speaker Telcser	S.B. 1, Second Reading
152		Clerk Selcke	Reads Amendment #1
152		Speaker Telcser	
152		Giorgi	Explains Amendment #1
153		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #1 adopted
153		Walsh	Moves Fourth Special Session adjourn till 11:20 a.m., Dec. 1.
153		Speaker Telcser	Fourth Special Session adjourned. Fifth Special Session to order.
153		Clerk Selcke	Messages from the Senate
153		Speaker Telcser	Senate Bills. Second Reading
153		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 6 - Second Reading
154		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
154		Clerk Selcke	Senate Bill 7 - Second Reading
154		Speaker Telcser	No Amendments - Third Reading
154		Walsh	Moves Fifth Special Session adjourn until 11:30 a.m. Dec. 1.
154		Speaker Telcser	Fifth Special Session adjourned. Regular Session to order.
154		Walsh	Moves Regular Session stand adjourned until 11:00 a.m., Dec 1.
154		Speaker Telcser	Agreed Resolutions
154		Clerk Selcke	House Resolutions 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680.
154		Speaker Telcser	



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
154		Walsh	
154		Clerk Selcke	House Resolution 676
154		Speaker Telcser	
155		Stone	
155		Clerk Selckē	Reads House Resolution 676
156		Speaker Telcser	
156		Clabaugh	Thanks for Resolution
156		Speaker Telcser	
156		Stone	All Members cosponsors
156		Speaker Telcser	All Members cosponsors Agreed Resolutions adopted.
157		Clerk Selcke	House Resolution 681 (Death)
157		Speaker Telcser	Death Resolutions adopted Introduction and First Reading
157		Clerk Selcke	House Bill 2113
157		Speaker Telcser	
157		Walsh	Moves House adjourn
157		Speaker Telcser	Regular Session adjourned until 11:00 a.m. Dec 1. First Special Session to order
157		Walsh	Moves First Special Session adjourn
157		Speaker Telcser	First Special Session adjourned
157		Walsh	Moves Second Special Session stand adjourned until 11:15.
158		Speaker Telcser	Second Special Session adjourned
158		Clerk Selcke	Announcement about gasoline.

