

NOV 15 1973

1.

Speaker Blair: "The House will be at ease for about a half hour or so while we'll be starting up . . . ah . . . we're having some . . . ah . . . conferences. Would the Doorkeeper notify the Members that . . . that we are prepared now to . . . ah . . . proceed. All right, the Regular Session will be in order and the Clerk will . . . or the . . . ah . . . Invocation will be by Doctor Johnson."

Doctor Johnson: "We pray on the basis of the 46th Psalm. You Lord are our refuge and strength, a very present help in times of trouble, therefore, we will not fear, even though our earth changes and even though the mountains shall sink into the hearts of the sea. When nations raised and kingdoms totter, You, Lord, are still with us because you have established your dwelling place in our midsts. You are the Lord of Hosts, the God of Jacob; our refuge. Therefore, O Lord, grant that we may be still long enough so that in the quiet silence of our own hearts, we may always know and believe You are exalted among all the nations, You are exalted in the earth, You are the Lord of Hosts, our refuge and our strength. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, R. Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz . . . I thought you were Ted . . . Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, MaGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tip sword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right, while we're getting our attendance . . . ah . . . up a little bit here why . . . ah . . . we have . . . ah . . . the acting Governor of Forgotonia, Neil Ganns, who's here and . . . ah . . . I'd like to introduce him for a few words."

Mr. Neil Ganns: "Good morning, Gentlemen, I . . . ah . . . wish to say what a pleasure it is to be here and . . . ah . . . I'd like to take this opportunity to kind of . . . ah . . . assuage some of the myths, I guess you should say that have arisen around the Fargotonian movement, . . . ah . . . a lot of people have tried to equate it with a . . . with a . . . ah . . . a certain political move, but this is not true. It's an effort on behalf of . . . ah . . . the citizens of western Illinois to try to gain some recognition to our problems. I know recently we have had trouble . . . ah . . . getting people to come over and look at our roads. So I decided to bring a few pieces of the road here; and for any of you who care to look at them, this is 136, and . . . ah . . . this is what we're up against in western Illinois and this is what we have to drive on every day, and . . . ah . . . so I'll leave those here for anybody who wants to look at them. They do make nice paperweights and . . . ah . . . things like that. Seriously, I'm sure that most of you are familiar with the problems we're hav . . . we're facing in western Illinois and . . . ah . . . I kind of hate to reiterate them over and over again, but . . . ah . . . we definitely do . . . ah . . . need assistance there as far



NOV 15 1973

3.

as the adequate transportation goes, and . . . ah . . . I think this is one of our major problems. I feel that . . . ah . . . most of you Gentleman are working to help us over there and . . . ah . . . I know Representative Neff has worked with me on this project and helped us sustain our movement . . . ah . . . for the good of, not only western Illinois, but the State of Illinois; and I'd like to thank each one of you and . . . ah . . . ask you to please help us with our problem. Thank you very much."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I got two little observations to make here this morning, inasmuch as we have a president or governor or mayor, Forgotonian. First, I want him to know that I do not resent him being here this morning attempting to raid the Treasury for road funds because there's a lot of other people in the state, I'm sure, would like to join him in that move; but I do resent him being here with this Body this morning for one reason. I resent him for being here because he had been raiding the Democratic Staff. I understand that he's going to marry the secretary of Tom Hanahan . . . Tom Hanahan, and Krause and . . . and Giorgi's secretary. Now, you're stretching things a little far there, partner."

Mr. Neil Ganns: "Well, I'm not really going to take her away from you . . ."

Choate: "Good to have you."

Mr. Neil Ganns: "Thank you very much."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, and Governor Ganns, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't intend to get into a fish monger argument with this Governor as to which is more forgotten, his area or ours, but I wished that he had recognized southeastern Illinois' plight is far more desparate than the Forgotonia or any other remote section of Illinois. The other Governor of Illinois is not against public highway safety, he's not against constructing highways that belong in the 20th Century, he's not against bringing opportunity and industry to southeastern Illinois; it just seems that way, and it . . . it is the duty of this House to give that other Governor the strong lead that he needs to do what is just and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

merciful in the best interests to the people of Illinois; and it's the duty of the leaders from southern Illinois who hold hard places of responsibility in government to give us the lead and the support that we need in the freeway construction. I'm not in the business of polishing the apple with the Speaker because his lack of affection for me is well understood, but I want to . . . but I want to publicly say that the people of my districts are grateful for Bob Blair in the efforts that he's made in an uphill struggle to bring the roads that we need to survive. So Governor Gannes, when you go about this land publicizing the needs of the people of Illinois, don't forget the people of the 54th District because the political realities of life are such that in the years that lie ahead, you'll ultimately win because your people are still there and the persuasion of numbers and politics is recognized; but in our area the pro . . . the pro . . . ah . . . the process of attribution is such that our population is diminished to the point that we no longer have the political clout. So when the day comes that we have a Governor who is 100% dedicated to Chicago, instead of the present 99.44, you can still win, but we can't unless we win now. So help us now to get out of the . . . get out of the dirt, get out on the public highways. If we'd have brought a sample of our roads, they wouldn't . . . they wouldn't clink that concrete as your samples did, they'd have the soft feel of dirt. Thank you for your effort."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Henry, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House; I, too, would like to welcome the Governor of 'Forgotonia'. I have the distinction of having seven counties in the district, and my only question at this time would be what airplane did you drive . . . fly down in? I know that you didn't drive today, but we have . . . ah . . . had quite a little bit of fun with this movement, and I notice that the Governor does have our official flower of the forget-me-not, and I certainly would like to be . . . be behind all the Members who welcome you today, Neil, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Borchers."



Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, Shelby County is the southernmost county in my district. It lies possibly the last county in what we've called, Little Egypt, just north of Little Egypt. If this plan of Roscoe Cunningham, Representative Cunningham, for a freeway goes through, I'm afraid it will increase the indian depredations in our southernmost county. I don't want freeways to make more available indian raids from the south onto my southernmost county. So we got to be very careful and not support his plans."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Johnson, Mr. C. L. McCormick."

McCormick: "So as not to have a new governor floating around somewhere, especially in Illinois, you know, we're getting used to governors moving quite fast, but I think my friend, Clyde, and my friend, Jim Holloway, and the boys over on that side from southern Illinois would have to say if we hadn't had the brief interlude of Governor Ogilvie, our roads would be like yours. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . House Resolution 633, Matijevich. House Resolution 634, Matijevich. House Resolution 635, R. L. Dunne et al. House Resolution 636, Kucharski. House Resolution 637, Kucharski. House Resolution 638, Huskey. House Resolution 639, Juckett. House Resolution 642, Katz. House Resolution 643, Arnell et al. House Joint Resolution 83, B. B. Wolfe et al."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 633 urges that the Department of Revenue investigate and study the feasibility of establishing a marking program in Illinois to differentiate non-taxed and taxed fuel products and that it report its recommendations to this Body no later than March 1, 1974; and House Resolution 634 would urge that the Department of Conservation study the feasibility of establishing a moratorium against chub fishing in Lake Michigan waters, that it study the feasibility of prohibiting the use of gill nets in Illinois, that it meet with Conservation and Natural



Resources officials of Wisconsin, Michigan and Indiana to determine what appropriate cooperative action can be taken to save the fish population in Lake Michigan. House Resolution 635 congratulates James Edward Murphy and Kevin Michael Murphy of Blue Island upon their selection to receive the Eagle Scout Progress Award. House Resolution 636 congratulates Mary and Joseph Hanahan . . . ah . . . on their Golden Wedding Anniversary. House Resolution 637 by Representative Kucharski commends the people of St. Mary's Star of the Sea Parish of Chicago upon the occasion of the observance of their 25th Anniversary; and House Resolution 638 commends the Illinois Retail Merchants Association for vigorously and forthrightly attacking the serious problem of shoplifting in Illinois; and House Resolution 639 congratulates Wallie Winkle upon his attainment of the Eagle Scout Award; and House Resolution 64 . . . 642 commends Ann Landers for the invaluable assistance she gives readers everyday and that's a subject to question; and House Resolution 643 commends Mr. and Mrs. John Stoniky of Chicago Heights on the happy occasion of their 50th Wedding Anniversary; and House Joint Resolution 83 by Representative B. B. Wolfe would ask that we approve that the date for reporting of the Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Commission be extended to April 15, 1974 or to July 1, 1973 . . . ah . . . extended to April 15, 1974; and Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Introductions."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, House Bill 2097, Jaffe, amends the Criminal Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2098, Palmer, amends the Insurance Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2099, McCourt, amends the Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2100, McClain, amends an Act to provide for the privilege tax on mobile homes. First Reading of the Bill. Ah . . . Death Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "Death Resolutions."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 641, Sangameister et al, in respect to the memory of Mr. Alvin S. Sorenson."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh. Oh, move the adoption of the Death Resolution. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the Resolution is adopted. For what purpose does the Gentleman from . . . ah . . . Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham, arise?"

Cunningham: "A parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Those of us who have raised children know what a difficult time it is when we get . . . ah . . . when the baby gets the nights and days turned around, and that's the problem that we now have in the General Assembly. We're here all night and then we miss our meetings the next morning, and I want to publicly apologize to . . . to Romie Palmer for not being at a particular meeting. Now, I don't intend to try to arrogate to myself the prerogative of leadership yet, but I did want to suggest to the Speaker and ask if it would be possible that if we're going to continue this Cinderella Ball process of stopping at 12 o'clock, would it be possible, Mr. Speaker, to accept the proclamation of President Nixon or the . . . his indicated preference that we move ahead the year-a-round daylight savings time and immediately adopt that program in this House, and, thereby, we'd all get out at 11 o'clock or 11:30, instead of 12:30? We'd be both patriotic and we would be saving an hour off of our life every evening. Is that out of the way for me to make that suggestion and humbly beseech thee to adopt this?"

Speaker Blair: "Not at all."

Cunningham: "What's the Chair's ruling?"

Speaker Blair: "With you . . . you have to put it in Resolution form. The . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Alsup, for what purpose do you arise?"

Alsup: "I would like to inform Roscoe that even though he wasn't there he was named secretary. So we didn't forget you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move that the Regular Session stand in recess . . . ah . . . until after the adjournment of Special



Session #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it; and the Regular Session will be in recess until after the adjournment of the 2nd Special Session, which is later today. Now, the . . . ah . . . what's next, Fred? All right, 1st . . . ah . . . yeah, it's the 1st . . . all right, 1st Special Session is now in order. Is there leave to have the attendance Roll Call for the 1st . . . ah . . . or for the Regular Session as the Roll . . . attendance Roll Call for the 1st Special Session? Hearing no objections, the . . . it . . . ah . . . it will be the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Collins' here?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, we have a number of House Bills on Third Reading. Mr. . . . Mr. Collins is not here. We'll get back to him. Mr. B. B. Wolfe is here. Ah . . . House Bill 4."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 4, B. B. Wolfe, an Act to make an appropriation to the Government . . . Governmental Ethics Study Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gent . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe, B.: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and good morning, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4 is the appropriation and companion Bill to House Bill 3, which passed out of the House yesterday with a unanimous Roll Call. It's a modest appropriation of \$18,000; but this appropriation was based upon the actual budget expenditure of the Conflict-of-Interest Laws Commission, which was \$17,800, and I'm asking for a favorable vote on . . . ah . . . House Bill 4."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? All right, hearing none, the . . . ah . . . question is, shall House Bill 4 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe, B.: "Do we have . . . ah . . . the last unanimous Roll . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Well, we . . . we really haven't . . . you're going to be it, hope . . . hopefully."



Wolfe, B.: "All right, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham . . . Roscoe votes 'aye' . . . D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio . . . ah . . . Giglio wants to explain his vote, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Giglio."

Giglio: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just . . . ah . . . found out about this Bill, and I think it's a very good Bill, and I think maybe it could help my House Bill 7. So I just want everybody to take a real good look at it and if this is what we're going to do to investigate and give a Commission to see about this ethics legislation, I think it's very good, and we should all vote for it."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Hollo . . . Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz . . . is he here? . . . Keller, Kelly, Kempiners . . . Grotberg 'aye' . . . Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald . . . okay . . . Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli . . . I got him . . . Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor,



Telcser, Terzich . . . I got you . . . Thompson, Tipword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell . . . I got you . . . Soderstrom 'aye' . . . Waddell . . . Polk 'aye' . . . Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Berman, okay, got you . . . got you, honey."

Speaker Blair: "Von Boeckman 'aye', Dyer 'aye', Huskey 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Griesheimer 'aye', Lauer 'aye', Deuster 'aye' . . . Deuster . . . on this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays'; and the House Bill 4 passes with the required vote. House Bill 9."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 9, Berman, an Act to require public disclosure beneficiaries of bus . . . and beneficial interest of real property held in trust. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 9 is the first of a hard Bill package dealing with the total area of ethics in government. House Bill 9 is an approach to a problem that we have seen highlighted many times and that is the question of the use of secret land trusts. House Bill 9 takes the approach of maintaining the legal use of land trusts, but eliminating the secrecy that's involved with them by requiring the names, addresses and percentage of interests of each beneficiary of a land trust to be filed with the recorder of deeds or the registrar of titles in the county where the land trusts exist. It is a simple approach, but I think it's an effective one to eliminate the secrecy that we have seen . . . ah . . . prevalent in the use of land trusts. This Bill provides for a . . . ah . . . a fine penalties involved on . . . for failure to file the disclosure statement and also prohibits the use of land trusts in certain instances if the disclosure has not been properly filed. I'll be glad to answer any questions and I solicit an affirmative vote."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "Art', I didn't see any language in there that had to do or with any coverage on personal property like bonds, and stocks and



things of this nature. I just wondered why it was left out, and are you taking living trusts, too."

Berman: "The . . . ah . . . the Bill deals with . . . ah . . . interests of a real property trusts only."

Palmer: "That's . . . that's . . . ah . . . I just wondered if there was any particular reason why you left out personal property trusts?"

Berman: "Only because I don't think that it has . . . ah . . . received the type of . . . ah . . . notoriety that we have seen in . . . ah . . . in real estate trusts . . ."

Palmer: ". . . there have been . . . published about it, is that it?"

Berman: "That's right."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to rise to oppose this particular Bill. This matter . . . this matter has become a very popular whipping horse in the media to say that land trusts are the cause for all of our technical problems both involving governmental officials and private officials; but really we passed a very fine land trust Bill when Mr. Porter's Bill was passed in the Session and signed into law; and I think it adequately takes care of the problem of public official trying to hide through a land trust to get special favoritism when he is going in for rezoning in similar types of governmental changes involving the land. I think this is a typical situation where we're throwing out the baby with the bath water. There is no reason that we should do away with the land trust concept. There are certain protections that gives to a person in the sense of an individual or a group of individuals who want to buy a piece of property or possibly own a piece of property and wish to sell this to an individual without their identity being known. If their identity would be known, there could be an inflated price attached ot it. Anyone who has worked with the land trust concept in the practice of law knows that there is a significant position for it in our realm of legal real estate transactions; and I think it would be . . . ah . . . certainly deplorable and



certainly very wish from a business standpoint to do away with the land trusts merely to appease the newspapers of our state; and I hope that you'll all consider this when you're voting on it and cast a 'no' vote."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Macon, Mr. Alsup."

Alsup: "Romie, I would like to point out that . . . ah . . . personal property isn't necessarily recorded in the recorder's office. It is only primarily the real estate. I'm not saying that personal property couldn't be recorded, but there is a vast difference between personal property and real estate, and I think this is probably a reasonable approach to leave out the personal property."

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "A point of personal privilege because my . . . part of my name was mentioned in debate. Ah . . . The . . . the point here I think that the Sponsor of the Bill wants is a disclosure. I don't know whether . . . ah . . . recordation really should make the difference on that. I know that there's a lot of people that would like to know who the bondholders of the old Chicago Transit System might be in the skyway and some of these other things. There are things here that is as much a value to the people of the state and . . . ah . . . serving our area as . . . as anything else. The . . . the primary thrust of the Sponsor's Bill is this closure. Recordation or nonrecordation I don't think should make that difference."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman, to close."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In response to Representative Griesheimer's . . . ah . . . statements, Art', this Bill does not do away with the land trust concept. Land trusts are preserved under this Bill. It's the secrecy of the land trusts that is done away with here; and I do believe that the need for disclosure supercedes the one in a thousand situations where you may have a . . . ah . . . buyer or a beneficiary of a land trust that would cause an inflated price as that speaker had referred to. I think that as with the rest of Bills 9 through 13, this Bill that calls for the disclosure of



NOV 15 1973

13.

the beneficiaries of land trusts really is a call upon us to do our part as Legislators to open up the avenues of information in all aspects of government whether they be in land trusts, which are recorded through the recorder of deeds and other governmental bodies, or in our transactions as elected public officials. I think this Bill still maintains the legally valuable concept and usefulness of a legal land trust, but avoids the secrecy that has always existed; and I urge an 'aye' vote on House Bill 9."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall House Bill 9 pass?

All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvò, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi . . . okay . . . Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey . . . Fennessey 'no' . . . Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kuzubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur . . . did LaFleur vote? . . . LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I've been sitting here watching the Roll Call go and I suppose everybody knows what they're voting on, but this Bill absolutely outlaws any right to privacy to ownership of any interests in real estate, and I don't think we should be so willing to give this up. Now, as far as most of the ethics Bills are concerned, they have been concerned with politicians and officeholders and so forth. This goes beyond that and concerns every citizen in the State of Illinois.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

NOV 4 5 1973

14.

I really don't think that our right to privacy ought to be dismissed and disposed of with such dispatch as apparently is being done here today. I think there are good reasons in this day and age for people to own property without everybody in the state . . . ah . . . who desires to find out knowing about it; unless there's some good reasons for disclosing it, I don't think we should throw away the right to privacy. I would certainly suggest that those of us who in this day everybody has a number . . . a social security number and everything functions around that number and census . . . ah . . . people come in to find out how many . . . whether we have indoor plumbing and outdoor plumbing, and how many washers, how many cars we have and so forth; but there is in some small way some reason for us to have something private. So I would certainly suggest a 'no' vote."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew . . . all right . . . McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Wait, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . it was mentioned earlier that my Bill, which was House Bill 1508 has solved all of the problems of the land trust and while I appreciate that comment by Representative Griesheimer, I don't believe that it's true. My Bill was intended to be complimentary to Representative Kempiner's Bill, House Bill 757, which was bottled up in the Senate during the final minutes of the spring Session. Representative Kempiners has reintroduced a Bill, House Bill 21, in this 1st Special Session that I think would cover the same problems that are intended to be covered by this Bill. This Bill, in effect, uses a shotgun approach to solve a problem on which we should be using a rifle. The legitimate uses of land trust secrecy, namely, estate planning, and legitimate business investments



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

all go down the drain with this Bill. I would urge the House Members to vote 'no' on this Bill, to vote 'yes' on Representative Kempiners' House Bill 21; and I vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall . . . can't see you, John, they got those blasted lights on, 'aye'? . . . okay, let me finish this . . . Richard Walsh, William Walsh, Walters . . ."

Speaker Blair: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh, arise, William Walsh?"

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the . . . ah . . . the people in the back that have the lights on could be directed to turn them off and to keep them off as long as possible since it's very warm in here and it's difficult to see?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, . . . ah . . . I think that's right, the rules provide that . . . that . . . ah . . . there will not be filming during the . . . ah . . . taking of a Roll Call. Yeah, so . . . ah . . . if you'll turn off the lights back there and on the side."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . the Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Hunsicker: "Change that to 'no', please."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, I put you 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer."

Palmer: "A parliamentary inquiry. How many votes does it . . . necessary to pass this Bill?"

Clerk Selcke: "I haven't counted it yet, Sam."

Palmer: "Oh, I'm sorry."

Clerk Selcke: "I don't know, I hope not. Well, you didn't . . . did you



vote? How did you vote? I didn't mark you. All right."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Day; we're looking at Mr. Palmer's point."

Day: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The . . . the Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Day: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Duff: "Please vote me 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman 'no'. The Lady from Cook, Mrs. Chapman."

Chapman: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Blair: "How is she recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as not voting."

Chapman: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Vote her 'aye'. All right, we . . . it requires 89 votes for passage. Mr. Taylor. Mr. Taylor votes 'aye'. Mr. Schisler. Mr. Schisler votes 'aye'. Gibbs. Mr. Gibbs votes 'no'. Mr. Ike Sims votes 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Well, I don't know . . . ah . . . Sam, they changed around, just a minute and I'll find it . . . it didn't, it was down, but I don't know where it is now . . . not quite."

Speaker Blair: "Choate 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Anybody else?"

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 82 'ayes', 22 'nays' . . . Rep . . . 1 answering 'present' . . . Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Berman: "I think this Bill is important enough to indicate the feeling of the House towards . . . ah . . . the general concept of disclosure that with 82 votes I'm going to ask that the absentees be polled."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats, and the Clerk will read the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Bluthardt . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Bluthardt as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Boyle, Bradley, Campbell, Capuzi, Carter, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig . . . let's have a little order, Arthur, I can't hear . . . Craig, Dee, DiPrima, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Hanahan, Hart, Gene Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Keller, Klosak, Krause, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lauer, for what purpose . . . Lauer 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Laurino . . . I think I got you Mr. McGrew, no, no, you want to vote 'aye'? . . . Lechowicz, Londrigan, Madigan, Maragos, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McCormick, McGah . . . wait a minute . . . ah . . . McMaster, McPartlin, Molloy, Murphy, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Pierce, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Shea, Skinner . . . huh? . . . Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Totten, Walters, B. B. Wolfe. . . who? Jimmy Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Berman: "May I explain my vote?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, oh . . . ah . . . quickly, I'm going to time you."

Berman: "Mr. Speaker, I think that there may be some confusion here in some of the remarks that were made during debate. This Bill in no way abolishes land trusts. All it does is to require that the names, and addresses and percentage of ownership of the beneficial interests or the beneficial interests be recorded in the office of the recorder of deeds. It's a simple maneuver, it avoids secrecy, and yet it still allows the use of land trusts for legal purposes. I think it's a good Bill. I think it's a Bill that's consonant with our requirements or interests in openness and disclosure, and I think



NOV 15 1973

18.

we're about two or three votes short, and I would urge everyone who has not voted 'aye' to do so."

Clerk Selcke: "Let me finish counting."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Dyer, for what purpose do you arise? How is the Lady recorded?"

Dyer: "I want to vote . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Dyer."

Dyer: ". . . I want to change from 'no' to 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Dyer as voting 'aye'. Record Representative Bluthardt . . . Representative Bluthardt, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Bluthardt: "Just to announce that I'm going to ask for a verification if he gets the extra votes. So I suggest you call a Roll or announce the Roll, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm . . . I'm waiting for the Clerk to give me the result. Representative Stedelin, for what purpose do you arise? Record Representative Stedelin as voting 'aye'. Representative Maragos 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "What did you say, Sam?"

Maragos: "Am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Maragos: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Maragos 'aye'. Douglas 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "He's already recorded."

Speaker Telcser: "Already recorded. Getty 'aye'. What's the count, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "93 'ayes', 28 'nays', 1 'present'."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 93 'ayes', 28 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt, has requested a verification of the affirmative Roll Call. Will the Members please be in their seats. The Members please be in their seats and the Clerk will call the affirmative Roll Call, which currently is standing at 93 'ayes'."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup . . . you better make them sit down, Arthur, so they can see . . ."



NOV 15 1973

19.

Speaker Telcser: "Now, will the Members please sit down so that those who are questioning the affirmative Roll Call can see who is . . . ah . . . answering to the call and who is not? Will the Members please be in their seats?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, go ahead, take one for me . . . Anderson, Beaupre, Berman, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Deuster, Douglas, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ewell, Epton, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Geo-Karis, Getty, Giorgi, Grotberg, Harpstrite, Hill, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Jaffe, Katz, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Lemke, Leon, Lundy, Macdonald, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGrew, McLendon, Merlo, Tom Miller, Mugalian, Patrick, Peters, Pierce, Randolph, Rayson, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sharp, Shea, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims . . . what do you need, Toby? . . . what do you want, 'aye'? Okay . . . Skinner, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Von Boeckman, Wall, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. Clerk, would you record Representative Toby Barry as . . . okay, you've got that . . . all right, the count is now at 94, Representative Toby Barry . . . McAuliffe just . . . there are 95. Ed, there are 95 affirmative votes right now. McAuliffe and Barry want 'aye'. Questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"

Bluthardt: "Plenty of them."

Speaker Telcser: "Who . . . who was that, Ed?"

Bluthardt: "Yeah, quite a few."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Bluthardt: "Grotberg?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Grotberg? Grotberg on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "AIsup?"

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



NOV 15 1973

20.

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Alsup is in his seat."

Bluthardt: "Peters?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Peters on the floor? Representative Peters? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Anderson?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Anderson on the floor? Representative Anderson? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "J. J. Wolf?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative J. J. Wolf on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Dan Houlihan?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative D. Houlihan on the floor? D. Houlihan? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Beaupre."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beaupre on the floor? Representative Beaupre? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Mahar?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mahar on the floor? Mahar? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Williams?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Williams on the floor? Williams? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."



Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "McAuliffe?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAuliffe on the floor? McAuliffe?
How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Representative D'Arco?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative D'Arco on the floor? D'Arco? How
is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call. All right, now,
Representative Beaupre has returned. Beaupre is back on."

Bluthardt: "Now, are we going to understand that once they have been
verified, they can leave their seats?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, once someone has been verified then they . . ."

Bluthardt: "I'd like to have rules established and be concise . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Right, once their names have been called . . .
well, wait a second now, except that we've always allowed them
to come back on the floor, Ed. I mean, if . . . if during the
course of verification, someone returns, we'd let them come back
on, and once they're verified, they can leave."

Bluthardt: "All right, I understand that."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Bluthardt: "Ah . . . Did I ask about Von Boeckman?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, you did. Did . . . we took him off, didn't we,
Fred?"

Clerk Selcke: "No, he didn't call him yet."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, Representative Von Boeckman is on the
floor."

Bluthardt: "How about Thompson?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Thompson? Representative Thompson
is standing in the back of the . . . ah . . . chamber's."

Bluthardt: "Terzich?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Terzich is in his seat."

Bluthardt: "Taylor?"



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Taylor? Representative Taylor? Is the Gentleman on the floor? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Representative Stone?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Stone on the floor? Representative Stone? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Ike Sims?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ike Sims on the floor? Sims? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Bluthardt: "Timothy Simms?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Timothy Simms on the floor? He's sitting in the back of you, Ed."

Bluthardt: "Shea?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea on the floor? Representative Shea? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Okay, now, Representative D'Arco? D'Arco, Shea . . . the Clerk will pick it up . . . Representatives D'Arco, Shea and Taylor have returned. Further questions?"

Bluthardt: "I think that's all."

Speaker Telcser: "How many . . . what's the count, Mr. Clerk? On this question there are 85 'ayes', 28 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. Representative Beatty, for what purpose do you arise? Pardon? Record Beatty 'aye'. Now, either vote or don't vote, please. It's really tough without that electronic Roll Call. Was Beatty on the Roll Call, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman was recorded as not voting."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Emil Jones 'aye'. On this question there are 87 'ayes', 28 'nays' . . . Representative Berman, for



what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "A parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Berman: "If we place a Bill on Postponed Consideration, what happens with the Roll Call?"

Speaker Telcser: "It's destroyed, the Clerk tells me."

Berman: "All right, and what is . . . when can a Bill be recalled once it's placed on Postponed Consideration? Could it be recalled the same day or do we have to wait a day?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, sure."

Berman: "Well, I think because of the press of other business, I'm not going to ask that this be put on Postponed Consideration and . . . ah . . . we'll let the Roll Call stand as is."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 87 'ayes', 28 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost. House Bill 10."

Unknown: "If it was going to be des . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 10, an Act to establish a State Board of Ethics' and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 10 is a Bill that deals with many aspects of the question of ethics. It deals with the State Board of Ethics, the disclosure requirements of candidates, and state officials and local officials, the financing of political campaigns, the accounting of the fund raising and fund expenditures, the requirement of . . . of disclosure on the part of public officials, suppliers under state contract, and provides for the enforcement of all of these requirement through civil and criminal penalties. House Bill 10, Ladies and Gentlemen, is probably the broadest of the ethics legislation Bills that we have had an opportunity to vote on in these Special Sessions so far. We have debated it at great lengths; and I will not take the time of the House to go into great detail, but I would point out some of the major provisions of this Bill. Number 1, every candidate for public office



must have a campaign committee with a campaign treasurer. There must be a listing of the contributor of every contribution where \$50 or more is contributed. There is a prohibition as to anonymous can . . . contributions, and the . . . and a prohibition against giving multiple contributions are to stay below the \$50 amount. The . . . there is a prohibition against solicitation by . . . from state employees for political contributions, and it requires the full disclosure by any person regulated by the state or doing business with the state to list their campaign contributions. The Bill, as indicated, covers all elected state officers, all Members of the General Assembly, House and Senate, state employees earning \$20,000 a year or more, and employees whose decisions have significant economic impact on private persons or businesses doing business with the state. By an Amendment adopted by Representative Schrader, it also covers and requires disclosure by all local governmental . . . governmental officials who are elected and who are compensated by an amount of \$1,000 or more a year. This Bill is also . . . has the broadest requirements regarding personal financial disclosures. Personal information regarding net worth, sources of income, spouses income, close economic associations all must be disclosed along with pertinent provisions of your Federal Income Tax returns. All of the disclosures are available for public inquiry, except pertinent portions of Federal Tax Returns. By an Amendment adopted by Representative Maragos, public members of advisory commissions who are not compensated need not comply with the disclosure requirements. So that we will not lose the valuable assistance of these people in State Government. In addition, these Bills carry criminal penalties for local violations of its provisions. This Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, is the work product, I think, of a good deal of legislative input as far as the large number of Amendments that were adopted. There were approximately . . . ah . . . eight Amendments that were adopted that I believe tighten up the Bill. There was one provision that I know that received a lot of comment regarding the signature on a receipt by a contributor regarding the absence . . . the . . . ah



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

. . . the absence of any promise of personal benefit in exchange for his contribution, that requirement of a signed receipt has been deleted from this Bill. The Bill really achieves what I think is called for in these times of great lack of credibility between government officeholders and the public. This Bill calls for a full and complete disclosure. I think in normal times, this Bill may not be needed; but, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are not living in normal times. Now, some people say that there really isn't a grass roots call for broad-base ethics legislation as we see in House Bill 10, that there is no great hue and cry by the public because of the lack of letters, for example, by our constituency. So I say to you, Ladies and Gentlemen, that that is not the case. I think that the lack or absence of letters really highlights a great deal of cynicism and apathy and disgust that our constituency feels in being able to correspond with and to urge a high degree of ethical conduct by their elected officials. I think this is a situation where we, as elected Legislators, must take the bull by the horn and take a positive step towards meaningful disclosure, campaign disclosure and personal disclosure. I urge an affirmative vote on House Bill 10."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 10 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; and the Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Anderson . . . no . . . Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brink . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Bradley 'aye'."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Clabaugh, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Clabaugh: "Not very often, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, do I rise to explain my vote; but this is rather an unusual situation. I voted yesterday for an ethics Bill. Ah . . . We're faced with



another one now. I'm thoroughly convinced that my vote 'aye' yesterday was a futile vote or a bad vote. I don't know exactly which yet. I am convinced when I think back over the two years that I have received campaign contributions that any disclosure Bill will probably dry up most of the kind of contributions that most of us would like to have. I am quite sure that it will do nothing to dry up the kind of contributions that most people would like to see dried up. So I think in that manner it can be either harmless or harmful or futile. Now, since I voted one of that kind of votes already in this Session, I don't think I want to either harmful or . . . ah . . . votes that are futile and so on this one I'll vote 'present'."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Deuster, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Deuster: "I rise to explain my 'no' vote. One of the basics we're trying to establish in this country and to protect are the freedoms. We have a secret ballot so the people can go in and vote freely as their conscience requires them, and if we require . . . if we take away the freedom that we now have in the arena of making campaign contributions, we're going to, not only discourage contributions, but we're going to for those who are courageous enough to contribute and have their names published, we're going to bring about the possibility of intimidation and recrimination. This is a bad Bill, and I'm happy to vote against it."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . how did Deaver's vote, 'no'? . . ."

Unknown: "That wasn't Deavers, that was Deuster."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jim Holloway, Robert Holloway, Dan Houlihan, Jim Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jenison, Emil Jones, J. D. Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly,



Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you call?"

Leinenweber: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it would be interesting to put everybody in this House on a lie detector to find out how many people who are voting 'aye' are voting on the assumption that this Bill will not be signed into law? Now, there's one thing about this Bill, I filed an Amendment to it seeking to remove the requirement that you have to file your income tax returns because of my belief that it will serve no useful purpose. In fact, it will be a source of mischief to people inclined to abuse information which has been made public. I can't see any basis at all why, for example, the amount of your medical bills, the amounts of your charitable deductions . . . ah . . . the amount of your business exemptions and this sort of thing have anything to do with whether you would make a good public servant or not. I think it's a totally unreasonable invasion of privacy, when not even the various branches of the Federal Government are entitled to see income tax returns without court orders; and I don't know why in the world if a person because he chooses to submit himself to the electorate should have to file his income tax return. I think we ought to think about this and while the Bill is alive it could conceivably be signed into law, and I would suggest to those of you who are trusting the fact that it won't that . . . ah . . . stranger things have happened. So I would urge a 'no' vote, and I vote 'no'."

Clerk O'Brien: ". . . Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Macdonald 'no' . . . did . . . no . . . Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy . . . did McAvoy vote? . . . McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, T. H. Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan,



Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Tims Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. A. Walsh, W. D. Walsh, Wal . . . Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, Jake Wolf . . . no . . . B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. . . . Representative Duff, for what purpose do you arise ? McMaster's 'no'."

Duff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, how am I recorded?"

Clerk O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote. I've been a proponent of ethics legislation since long before I came to the Legislature, and since coming to the Legislature, I have worked hard to try to get some decent resolution of the problem. I was a Member as a freshman two years of the Ethics and Government Commission. We struggled and came out with what we thought was a pretty good Bill. Ah . . . It was amended and wasn't satisfactory and I feel that there are still some . . . some things which we should, could and will do; but good ethics legislation or legislation which is proposed in the name of ethics should be intended to make government better not worse. To overstep, to overreach . . . ah . . . the valid mandates of ethical conduct is really seriously detrimental to the cause which a Bill will propose to support, and this Bill does just that. This is proposed, and I'm sure that . . . ah . . . when the newspapers print how everybody voted, and they find that I voted 'no', I'm probably a bad guy; but this is a bad Bill and conscience says to me that if we vote for it just because it's a primary confronting us or just because some editorials have said that we should . . . ah . . . then we're making a serious mistake to the long-term cause of good, solid ethics legislation. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no' and Representative Griesheimer . . . Griesheimer 'aye'. Representative Maragos 'aye'. Do you want to give us a tally, Mr. Clerk? Hill 'aye' . . . are



there any other Members who wish to get on this Roll Call or change their votes? Hill 'aye'. Emil Jones 'aye'. Peters 'aye', Peters 'aye': Okay, now, here's a couple more, Jack. Peters 'aye'. Mugalian 'aye'. Schneider 'aye'. On this question there are 73 'ayes', 33 'nays' and 2 answering 'present'. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "What was the count?"

Speaker Telcser: "73 'ayes'."

Berman: "How many 'nays'?"

Speaker Telcser: "33 'nays'."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, that totals up to only 100. I think this Bill is important enough that everybody should know that this is the broadest ethics Bill that we've had an opportunity to vote on yet. I'll want a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees. Will the Clerk read the absentees?"

Clerk O'Brien: "Arnell, Barnes, Boyle, Calvo, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Craig, D'Arco, Deavers, Dee, DiPrima, Douglas, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Fleck, Flinn, Garmisa, Giglio, Grotberg, Hanahan, Hart, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Huskey, Hyde, Keller, Kosinski, Krause, Kucharski, LaFleur, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leon, Madigan, Martin, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McCormick, McCourt, MaGah, McGrew, McLendon, T. H. Miller, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Pappas, Patrick, Philip, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Sevcik, Tim Simms, Ike Sims, Soderstrom, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Totten, Wall, W. D. Walsh, Walters, Williams; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Was there any change . . ."

Clerk O'Brien: "75 'yeas', 34 'nays', 3 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 75 'ayes', 34 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost. House Bill 11."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 11, 1st Special Session, a Bill for an Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Ethics. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."



Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this is an appropriation Bill to the State Board of Ethics. The original Bill calls for \$150,000 appropriation. This amount was decreased in the . . . ah . . . Appropriation's Committee to \$75,000; and I would . . . ah . . . request a favorable vote on House Bill 11."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, since the substantive Bill just lost, what is the point in passing this appropriation Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "Because the State Board of Ethics is presently unso . . . unfunded, is in existence pursuant to executive order. They do take . . . accept and process thousands of filings by . . . ah . . . state employees who are under the Governor's executive order, and I believe that this would be proper in order to allow to allow them to do the kind of job that . . . ah . . . they are required to do."

Walsh, W.: "Well, I wonder if we ought to have a specific appropriation to fund a Governor's executive order that would suggest that there's no need for us at all . . . ah . . . the other thing is that the Board would not be required to do what this Bill calls for them to do, and I . . . I suggest that the appropriation would be way higher than it ought to be to do just what you said. I would suggest that we have the same Roll Call if the Gentleman persists in calling this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not . . ."

Berman: "I'll . . . I'll take the last Roll Call if that's the wishes of the Body."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . okay, the question is, shall House Bill 11 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Leave has been granted to take the last Roll Call. On this question there are 75 'ayes', 34 'nays', 3 answering 'present'; and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared lost. House Bill 12."

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 12, 1st Special Session, a Bill for an Act



to amend Sections of the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act.

Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, to save some time, I would ask leave to take House Bill 12 and 13 and have them considered at the same time?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any objection? Hearing none, will the Clerk please read House Bill 13?"

Clerk O'Brien: "House Bill 13, 1st Special Session, a Bill for an Act to amend Sections of the Lobbyist Registration Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "House Bills 12 and 13 are the last two Bills in this . . . ah . . . five Bill ethics package. House Bill 12 was tied into House Bill 10 with the shifting of certain responsibilities from the Secretary of State to the new Board of Ethics'; and . . . ah . . . House Bill 13 also shifted certain responsibilities under the Lobbyist Registration Act to the Board of Ethics and I would . . . ah . . . unless I hear any objections, I would ask for leave to take the last Roll Call of House Bill 10 for purposes of House Bills 12 and 13."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, why are you picking on him? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, I think the more appropriate action would be to table these two Bills because these Bills depend upon House Bill 10 and . . . ah . . . since House Bill 10 did not pass . . . ah . . . the action ought to be tabled. Ah . . . As you, I'm sure know, Art, . . . ah . . . if these . . . this Bill . . . these Bills pass, then the effect of it would be that the Members of the Legislature would be removed . . . ah . . . from the . . . ah . . . requirements to file disclosures; and . . . ah . . . well, since that's the case, and I don't think anyone here wants that to happen, and by their action in voting on this Bill, it may seem that they want this to happen. Ah . . . I think the appropriate action would be to table these two Bills."



Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, may I indicate that the reason I'm asking it to be done this way was I thought that for purposes of simplicity that when House Bill 10 was called, I did not ask that that be taken on the same Roll Call as 10, 11, 12 and 13; but they are tied together. I think the Roll Call on 10 should apply to the other three. That's what we have done with House Bill 11, and I'm trying to just do the same thing with 12 and 13. If the Majority Leader does not want to be recorded that way, that's his prerogative, and can be . . . ah . . . changed; but I do think that what we are accomplishing here is the extent of the . . . ah . . . Body on a package of Bills that are tied together and we are accomplishing a Roll Call on the package. That's all I'm asking . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentle . . . okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, while I might agree with Representative Berman on the other Bills, House Bill 12, in effect, is a . . . ah . . . is a partial repeal of the Illinois . . . ah . . . Ethics Act and makes the Act only applicable to local officials. So I do think that this Bill, in light of the defeat of the . . . of the earlier Bills, becomes unnecessary and . . . and if anything should be tabled. Now, a Roll Call on House Bill 13 . . . ah . . . perhaps is in order, but I don't . . . I think that . . . ah . . . it . . . we're going into the . . . into futility to vote on House Bill 12, which really becomes meaningless in light of the defeat of the earlier Bills. Ah . . . We are, as the Majority Leader point out, in effect repealing the Ethics Act at least in large part . . . ah . . . if we would adopt House Bill 12. I really . . . I don't think it's an order at this time to call the Bills . . . ah . . . for a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman."

Berman: "I'm not sure Representative Collins understands what I said. I said I will stipulate or ask for leave to take the Roll Call of House Bill 10, which defeated the Bill, for the Roll Call on 12 and 13. You've got a losing Roll Call. I want a Roll Call."



NOV 15 1973

33.

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, do you wish to respond to . . ."

Collins: "All right, Mr. Speaker, if those who voted in favor of the early Bills . . . earlier Bills want to be required in favor of voting for repeal of the Ethics Act, it's all right with me. I'll vote 'no' on this one Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker. Are . . . are . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Duff: ". . . are we . . . ah . . . debating the Bills, and is it appropriate for me to ask the Sponsor if he will respond to a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "I think it's appropriate to ask that question, Sir."

Duff: "Representative Berman, do you want these Bills to pass?"

Berman: "Yes. Representative Duff, I wanted Bills 9 through 13 to pass."

Duff: "Well, do you want . . . now, we've had House action on two Bills, Representative Berman . . . now, we have House Bills 12 and 13 in front of us, which you just asked that we treat them together, now, I ask simply, Representative Berman, do you want these Bills to pass?"

Speaker Telcser: "Wait a minute, wait a minute. Representative Matijeovich, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Matijeovich: ". . . point of order . . . point of order . . . point of order that the Gentleman from Cook ask a parliamentary inquiry, I haven't heard it yet . . . I think I'm . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'm waiting to . . . I'm waiting to hear it. We'll let him continue and maybe we'll get to it."

Matijeovich: ". . . well, I think he ought to make it to the Chair."

Duff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I asked if it was appropriate for me to ask the Sponsor, as a parliamentary inquiry, if it was appropriate for me to ask the . . . the Sponsor a question and the Chair answered my parliamentary inquiry and said what it was."

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct . . . that's correct . . ."

Duff: "So then I will repeat the question, if I may, to the Sponsor of



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

. . . ah . . . House Bills 12 and 13. Representative Berman, do you want these two Bills to pass?"

Berman: "Representative Duff, I quoted the way I wanted these Bills to be handled. If we take my suggestion, you will see my 'aye' vote on House Bills 12 and 13. You may vote as you see fit."

Duff: "Well, you just said, I think, that it's . . . ah . . . or it was said here that if these two Bills pass . . . ah . . . it will remove the Legislature from the Ethic's Act."

Berman: "I did not say that."

Duff: "Well, is it true? Is it true?"

Berman: "Ask the Gentleman who asked it."

Duff: "Well, you're the Sponsor of the Bills, Representative Berman, if these Bills pass, will they remove the Legislature from the Ethic's Act?"

Berman: "Mr. Duff, the route that I took procedurally will result in what you wanted, namely, the death of all these ethics Bills. Now, you are arguing a mute question, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "That's not a mute question, Representative Berman. If these Bills will eliminate the Legislature from the Ethics Act, I presume you don't want them passed."

Berman: "Mr. Duff, you have the opportunity to vote as you see fit on the Roll Call here, you can either keep a 'no' vote, which means that they will die, or you can change your vote. That's your prerogative, and you know the options as well as I."

Duff: "It's . . . do I understand then that you are . . . ah . . . asked to taking away your motion for the last Roll Call?"

Berman: "No, I did not take that away."

Duff: "Well, then I object, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, well, the Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner, seeks recognition."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, may I ask a question of the Sponsor?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, you may, Sir."

Skinner: "Ah . . . I wonder if the Sponsor could answer that last question. It's an extremely serious question. Ah . . . If . . . if these two Bills do, indeed, take the Legislature out of the Ethics



NOV 15 1973

35.

Act, I don't want to vote the same way I voted on the other Bills."

Berman: "Well, then, Mr. Skinner, where are . . . oh, Representative Skinner, if you don't want these Bills to pass, I would suggest that you vote 'no'."

Skinner: "Why are you insisting on not answering the question? The question is, does . . . do these Bills take the Legislature out of the Ethics Act?"

Berman: "House Bill 12, Mr. Skinner, places . . . takes out from the Ethics Act a number of the offices that were covered by House Bill 10. Now, if it . . . if it is the will of this Body that House Bill 12 pass, there are other procedural alternatives that will be available and are still available for House Bill 10. Now, that's exactly where we are at this moment. I, as the Sponsor, of these Bills do not wish to table them, and that's within my prerogative. I want a Roll Call. I'm trying to save some time, notwithstanding Representative Duff's position, to save some time of the House allowing the last Roll Call of House Bill 10."

Skinner: "Art' . . ."

Berman: "If some people want to stand on ceremony, we'll allow that, we'll take a Roll Call."

Skinner: ". . . Are the State Legislators among those constitutional officials that are being taken out from under the Ethics Act?"

Berman: "That's correct."

Skinner: "Well, thank you, that very simple 'yes' could have done it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman, wish to close?"

Berman: "No."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, you ask for leave for the last Roll Call, Sir?"

Berman: "I ask leave for the Roll Call on House Bill 10 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Objections have been raised. The question is, shall House Bill . . . the question is, shall House Bills 12 and 13 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk O'Brien: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre,



Berman, Bluthardt . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "All right, Mr. Speaker, I think that the point that I wanted to make was made in this debate. I think that these Bills, 10, 11, 12, and 13, were interrelated. As I indicated I . . . I wanted to try to simplify the explanation of these Bills and not have them on one Roll Call; but it appears that those people who do not want a meaningful, full disclosure Ethics Bill have . . . are now trying to stagnate the House and take up time when we have many other things that are pending; and for that reason, Mr. Speaker, with leave of the House at this time I would table House Bill 12 and House Bill 13."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked leave to table House Bills 12 and 13. Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bills 12 and 13 will be tabled. The Sponsor of House Bill 2 has returned to the floor. So House Bill . . . Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Skinner: "A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Skinner: "I voted for his other Bills, and if he wants to lump everybody together, that's fine, but that's not correct."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Berman: "I think the previous Speaker was out of order. I did not refer to him and it was not a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I . . . now, wait . . . now, wait, okay, Representative Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, since I was one of those who raised objection to using the last Roll Call, I believe I was lumped in the generalization."

Speaker Telcser: "Any further discussion with respect to . . . ah . . . this matter? House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 2."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2, Collins, an Act to make an appropriation for the administration of the Illinois Election Campaign Act. Third



Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . House Bill 2 is the appropriation of \$75,000 for the administration of the . . . ah . . . of the Act under House Bill 1, the Illinois Election Cam . . . Campaign Act. Ah . . . The Bill was amended yesterday to reduce it to \$75,000 to reflect the need only for one half of a fiscal year . . . ah . . . and I would appreciate the support of the House and would ask leave for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 2 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Gentleman has asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? Hearing none, on this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 14."

Clerk Selcke: "14, House Bill 14 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, wait a second, Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Just a matter of inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure."

Tipsword: "Ah . . . I know this may be a little difficult, but what was the last unanimous Roll Call?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative B. B. Wolfe's Bill first thing this morning on the appropriation to Governor . . . Governmental Ethics Study Commission . . . ah . . . was 139 'ayes' and no 'nays'."

Tipsword: "Okay, thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "This is the information I have on the Calendar when I came to this . . ."

Tipsword: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson, for what purpose do you arise?"

Rayson: "Mr. Speaker, I don't think I was on that Roll Call. So I . . . I want to be on that Roll Call then and now."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, why don't you come up here, Lee, and the Clerk



says it's easier for him if you come up to the . . . ah . . . Clerk's desk. Okay, any Members who came in late this morning and want to get on that unanimous Roll Call come up to the Clerk's desk. House Bill 14."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . House Bill 14, an Act in relation to financing of political campaigns with public funds. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . this Bill, which was heard in the Committee as a whole last week, . . . ah . . . requires a . . . ah . . . what I think is a very limited and responsible . . . ah . . . amount of appropriations to fund it and . . . ah . . . last Tuesday the House Appropriation's Committee, it did not see fit to . . . ah . . . approve the appropriation that goes with the Bill, and, therefore, there isn't much point at this . . . ah . . . time in further debating the Bill. Ah . . . Therefore, I would move to . . . ah . . . to table the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? None? The question is, shall House Bill 14 pass? All those in favor . . . did you want to table this, Joe? . . . the Gentleman has asked leave to table House Bill 14. Hearing no objections, House Bill 14 will be tabled. What about 16, Joe? Call it? House Bill 16."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . House Bill 16, an Act to amend Sections 1-102 and so forth of the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 16 is the long and quite complicated proposal to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. It contains many provisions which are similar to . . . ah . . . the Governor's Ethics Bill and also to Speaker Blair's House Bill 1. Ah . . . For that reason, . . . ah . . . I think and in the interest of saving time of the House, I would like to . . . ah . . . simplify this Bill a good deal and . . . ah . . . amend it to . . . ah . . . to be a simple prohibition on what I consider a rather pernicious practice in the state. Therefore, I'd like to take the Bill out



of the Record at this time with the understanding that if we come back . . . ah . . . perhaps it'll be called on Third Reading when we come back to Third Reading in the 1st Special Session. I'll just take it out of the Record at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, we'll take it out of the Record. House Bill 17. Representative Hyde on the floor? All right, this will take a moment . . . ah . . . Ladies and Gentlemen, to introduce a former colleague of ours, he's standing in the back of the . . . ah . . . auditorium, . . . ah . . . our good friend and my great personal friend, Jim Nowlan. Okay, is Representative Hyde on the floor? House Bill 17? No?"

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "He's not on the floor, just take it out of the Record. House Bill 18, Representative Ewell. Representative Ewell on the floor? Here he is. House Bill 18, Ray."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . House Bill 18, an Act to insure accountability in government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Ah . . . er, Ladies and Gentlemen, . . . ah . . . House Bill 18 is the old Senate Bill 1302, accountability in government. Ah . . . It seems to me that it's rather clear as to our feelings on ethics and what they ought to be. Ah . . . I don't think that this Bill needs much explanation. It was passed by the Senate by a . . . ah . . . resounding vote. It was in the House, and no Amendments have been offered in the past Sessions, nor have any Amendments been offered in this Session. Ah . . . If you believe in ethics, if you want ethics, this is an ethics Bill that will in all probability pass the Senate. Ah . . . I submit the Bill to the House for its consideration. I have submitted it for its consideration, no Amendments were offered yesterday or at any time. It's a good accountability and government Bill. It's worthy of your respect. If you want ethics, if you mean it, I think it's a good Bill to vote for; and I would urge the House . . . I would ask favorable consideration by the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Is there further discussion?"



The question is, shall House Bill 18 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Has he asked leave? The Gentleman has asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? Objection? Objections have been heard. The question is, shall House Bill 18 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers . . . no? . . . Boyle, Bradley, Brandt . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I have consideration for the House at this time, and at this time, looking at the audience that we have and the number of votes the Bill would receive, I'd ask for leave to have it tabled."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has asked leave to table House Bill 18. Are there any objections? Hearing none, it will be tabled. Go back to House Bill 17, Representative Hyde . . . ah . . . we're ready with House Bill 17."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 17, an Act to add Sections 4A-108 and so forth of the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 17 is a very simple Bill and it is designed to obviate the unhappy results of . . . ah . . . the new Illinois Constitution providing for forfeiture of office upon failure to file your ethics statement. Now, there've been two court cases . . . ah . . . one in Chicago and one in Danville, I believe, that have declared this portion of . . . ah . . . the ethics law that requires us to file the ethics statement unconstitutional and I think we have a serious legal problem. Now, what this Bill is designed to do . . . ah . . . is to soften the penalty . . . ah . . . and to give a person who does not file his ethics statement due to sickness . . . ah . . . he may be out of the country due to secretarial inadvertence, or



NOV 15 1973

41.

for whatever reason, except a deliberate refusal to file, . . . ah . . . some mechanism by which they could get this filed and not . . . ah . . . be imposed, not have visited upon him or her the ultimate penalty of forfeiture of office; and so what this Bill does is simply penalize a person required to file an ethics statement who fails to do so by requiring a forfeiture of pay during the period of time that he or she has not filed his ethics statement beyond the date it ought to have been filed. Now, the next step is for the appropriate authority, and that has been the state's attorney of the appropriate county, to bring an action to de . . . have the office declared vacant . . . ah . . . for failure to file the statement; and this Bill simply says that a 14 day notice shall be provided the . . . ah . . . offending person who has failed to file his statement that . . . ah . . . this action is going to be brought by the state's attorney, and that gives the person 14 days to get his statement filed; and if it is filed within that time, then the forfeiture of office shall not occur. So we will have this situation, a person who doesn't file his ethic's statement . . . ah . . . will forfeit his pay and if he has been paid, he may have to pay it back . . . ah . . . and if he . . . ah . . . if an action is brought to have him forfeit his office . . . ah . . . he will be entitled to a formal notice of this and will have those 14 days to file his statement and so the only person whose office will be forfeit will be a person who willfully and with knowledge absolutely refuses to file it. I think this satisfies the requirements of the Constitution, and at the same time will obviate the . . . ah . . . unfair and unhappy result of a person who has been duly elected . . . ah . . . being required to vacate his office."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I rise in support of this Bill, this needed Bill, particularly in the County of Will from whence I come. Ah . . . Last spring the state's attorney of Will County filed something like 150 quo warranto suits because



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the failure of local officials ranging from school board members to county board of school trustees, the city council members to village council members; and that result was that a local judge did declare the filing date portions of the existing ethics Acts unconstitutional. Therefore, in Will County at least we really don't have ethics statement requirements that's necessary for a Bill like this to . . . which is definitely constitutional and proper approach, not the harsh method of the former Act, which called for removal from office for inadvertence. I think this is a fine Bill. It will subject the person to financial loss for failure to file . . . to file, but . . . ah . . . not for inad . . . well, removing from office for inadvertence. I would certainly ask a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Shea: "Henry, I . . . I'm sorry, I was not listening and I apologize. Your . . ."

Hyde: "So what else is new?"

Shea: ". . . you tell me that the penalty . . . there is no penalty under the present Act for failure to file. There's a constitutional requirement that if you fail to file you blow your office."

Hyde: "That is right."

Shea: "Okay. Now, have you . . . has your Amendment only gone to elected officials?"

Hyde: "No, it goes to everybody who is required to file an ethics statement under the law."

Shea: "All right, now, if I fail to file as a State Representative; I would forfeit my pay, is that . . ."

Hyde: "That's right. You cannot be permitted just to get away with not filing it while everyone else does. So the penalty is forfeiture of pay during that period . . . ah . . . when you ought to have filed it, but you haven't. In other words, it could be two months, it could be two weeks, it could be six months."



Shea: "Well, what would they do with us, pro rate it?"

Hyde: "Well, no, if you haven't filed an ethics statement, it would seem to me . . . ah . . . the comptroller would just withhold your pay, and it would be his job. Now, if you got paid, nobody noticed it, when it did come to somebody's attention, you'd have to pay that back."

Shea: "Okay, now what about like in Cook, there's a number of assistant state's attorney that were over the \$20,000 and some public defenders in . . . that didn't file. I don't think they realize they had to. Now, what would happen to a person like that?"

Hyde: "They would be . . . forfeit their pay during the time they didn't file."

Shea: "Well, let's assume that this is due, I think it's April what? 30th?"

Hyde: "I'm not . . . 1st or 30th, I . . ."

Shea: "All right, and then on July 1 or August 1 somebody found out they didn't file. Would they then be obligated to pay back that money?"

Hyde: "Yes, they would, Jerry, because frankly if the law is to mean anything, there has to be some penalty on a person who does not file, and the real purpose of this is to provide that penalty but to obviate the harsh penalty . . . ah . . . of having them forfeit their office; and . . . ah . . . since the constitution says 'as provided by law', we're providing in this Bill that they get a 14-day notice before that can happen, and they can file it; but, meanwhile, you've had to file a statement, I've had to file a statement, . . . ah . . . somebody who just hasn't . . . ah . . . the law is empty and meaningless if there isn't some penalty. To me the appropriate penalty wouldn't be, you know, stand in the corner, but forfeit your pay during that time. That's enough incentive to make that person take seriously his obligation to file his statement on time."

Shea: "All right, now, you're telling me, as I . . . on August the 1st the fellow that was supposed to file April the 30th, they find out he hasn't. Somebody's got to send him a notice."

Hyde: "Well, under our present law, there's no . . . no one is obliged



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 15 1973

44.

to do that . . ."

Shea: "Right."

Hyde: ". . . but sooner or later it does surface that so and so didn't file, whether it's a political opponent or . . . or whatever, and when that surfaces, then somebody takes some action, and it's usually the state's attorney who will do that. Ah . . . In fact, it's only been the state's attorney; but as the condition precedent to the ultimate penalty of forfeiture, you get . . . you're required, the state's attorney is required to send you this notice. Now, he's not required to go through the thousands of statements to see if people have or haven't; but when the question is brought to his attention that Jerry Shea has not filed his ethics statement, he sends you a notice. He's required to, and you've got 14 days to run in there file it and you cannot then be removed from office."

Shea: "All right, now, if I file it within the 14-day period . . . ah . . . again I've got a job that pays over \$20,000 a year . . ."

Hyde: "You still have to pay that money back . . ."

Shea: ". . . You've . . . you've got to pay it back."

Hyde: ". . . I think it's fair that you be penalized for not complying with the law, and the . . . the least serious penalty is just forfeiture of pay."

Shea: "Well, Henry, now, as you know, I was a House Sponsor of Senator Palmer's Bill that extended for 30 days after the effective date of a Bill to allow people to file this year. Now, in doing this, I worked with Don Ed downstairs in the Secretary of State's office, which is the repository. There were some 700 or 800 statements filed by state employees, you know, that all of a sudden found . . . ah . . . some fellow came in at the end of last year, worked three months for the state and made \$5,100 and he found out all of a sudden he was paid at the rate of in excess of \$21,000 a year or \$20,000 whatever it is. Now, he didn't realize between May 1 or April 30th, the day it was due, until some time in July that he should've filed that. Now, here's, you know, a married guy with a couple of kids working for the state, and all of a sudden somebody tells him, you know, you only worked three months for the state last year, but



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

it was in a salary in excess of \$5,100 or \$20,000 and that you've drawn money for three months without filing an ethic's statement, You know owe the state \$5,100."

Hyde: "Now, I realize that you conjure up a specific case that some . . ."

Shea: "Ah . . . I like the Bill, Henry, but I think . . ."

Hyde: ". . . I'm trying to respond to what you're saying . . ."

Shea: ". . . I'm thinking of the poor mo . . . you know, that all of a sudden somebody says pay back \$5,000 and he says, 'What do I do? Hock my kids?'"

Hyde: ". . . all right, for the law to mean anything at all, there must be a penalty for failure to comply with it. I do believe that people don't take this too seriously because so what? There's no penalty . . . ah . . . and so . . . ah . . . to keep the fact that if you are a public official or if you're under the jurisdiction or the purvue of this law, you have to take affirmative action; and . . . ah . . . to me it's empty and hollow not to have a penalty for failure to do so; and I know you can have hardship cases. How about a man who has a stroke and is in an oxygen tent for six months and doesn't get his oxygen . . . his . . . ah . . . his ethics statement filed. You know, all kinds of hardships; and I think . . . ah . . . that we kind find a way to handle this; but the . . . you have to file one, I have to file one, everybody should file one and they should file it on time, and if they don't, there ought to be a penalty. To me the penalty should be forfeiture pay until you file it. Ah . . . Now, if that's unacceptable, then I think we make the law rather meaningless . . . ah . . . and I just . . . I think this is a good Bill. I think it's effective."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish to close?"

Hyde: "Well, just to simply say that . . . ah . . . I think if the ethics law is to mean anything there has to be a penalty for failure to live up to it. I think the only penalty now is forfeiture of



office, and I think that's too harsh. Ah . . . I think that must be ameliorated and that can be done by requiring a 14-day notice before forfeiture can occur and that gives the person time to get his filed. I think that if you don't file it when you're supposed to file, there ought to be some penalty and I can't think of a more effective penalty. I can't think of a penalty that would make people conscious of their obligation . . . ah . . . any better than the fact that they might forfeit their pay; and so I submit this will make the ethics Bill meaningful and a better Bill; and I respectfully solicit your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 17 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman has asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? Hearing no objections, on this question there are 1 . . . Representative Day, do you object, Sir? No objection? There are objections. Okay, the question is, shall House Bill 17 pass? Those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is one of those Bills that it seems to me is good in concept is attempting to do something that is right and just; but for some reason we fail to amend it to get it in proper form; and I say that because it's . . . I think that the points that Representative Shea made are very valid. That here is a Bill that is attempting to alleviate an onerous . . . ah . . . situation, and yet we're coming in and we're going to impose a penalty that is so strict that most people who hold public office or hold a public position will not be able to afford to pay the penalty in order to retain it. So that while we're eliminating the provision for removal of office we may be causing that person to incur a debt that is so onerous and so overbearing that they'll have to quit their job in order to



. . . to pay the debt back. Ah . . . I suggest that it's a bad Bill for that reason. Ah . . . The concept is a good one, but I must vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Berman 'present', Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco . . . did he vote? . . . I thought I heard him say 'aye' . . . Davis, Day . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Day, for what purpose do you arise?"

Day: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker. Yeah, I would like to . . . to remind the Members here that the Constitution says, 'The General Assembly by law shall prescribe a reasonable time for filing the statement'. Now, this we have already done. The next sentence says, 'Failure to file a statement within the time prescribed shall result in ineligibility for or forfeiture of office'. Now, if we don't pass a Bill of some kind to reduce the harshness of that, the first case that goes to court . . . ah . . . the state's attorney is going to cite this language to the court, and he'll have no al . . . as I see it, no alternative except to remove the man from office, and if he's been in office for six months and has performed services, it seems he's going to forfeit his pay because he never had a right to . . . ah . . . even hold that office. So it seems to me that this Bill must pass in order to reduce the harshness of this penalty that is provided in the Constitution; and I think this Bill will do it, and I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent,



Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski,
LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon
. . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Barry 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar,
Mann . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mann, for what purpose do you arise?"

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's very difficult for me to oppose a . . .
a Hyde Bill . . . ah . . . but, Henry, Henry, why don't you put
a notice provision on it on the Senate side? Ah . . . What, you
know, I don't think that that would hurt your Bill, and it would
serve to . . . ah . . . perhaps alleviate the hardship it might
impose on . . . on some people. Ah . . . I will vote 'aye', but
maybe the . . . maybe you'd recognize the Gentleman if he'd want
to comment on that."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Chair will recognize Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Ah . . . Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just want to say that I
do not . . . ah . . . support the concept of putting my duty to
file an ethic's statement on some clerk in the Secretary of State's
office to notify me of what my duty is and . . . I think I ought
to have that obligation and . . . ah . . . I think it's costly and
. . . ah . . . cumbersome to have somebody else, you know, remind
you that you have your duty. Now, they do that, as a matter of
fact. They send you the forms and with a letter. I think that
ought to be sufficient. Otherwise, we will create a bureaucracy
to notify people so we can send our ethic's statement to the other
bureaucracy. I think that we ought to have . . . assume that
responsibility. However, I would accept such an Amendment if the
Senate wants to put it on, and thank you for your vote."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Mann, Maragos . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Maragos to explain his vote."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'aye' vote, I want to reiterate
what Representative Day just finished explaining to us. Even under
the present law the man would lose his salary for days he did not
file and also lose his job. This is a lesser evil. In other words,



NOV 15 1973

49.

all he would do was pay back for the time he did not file his report, his ethics statement. Under the present law, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, he would still lose his job and have to pay back for the time he was relieved from his duty for any salaries that had been advanced to him. So you're not in any way putting a further jeopardy on the present officeholder by passing this Bill. So please you are helping the officeholder, rather than hindering him, and that's why I ask for you to support . . . give him an 'aye' vote."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson . . . no? . . . Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Schrader, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone . . . I got her, I got you, I got you . . . Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. B. B. Wolfe to explain his vote."

Wolfe: "Yes, briefly, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . because of the statement made by our colleague, Representative Mann, and the fact that the Sponsor has indicated that this Bill is not . . . ah . . . amended to include the due notice provision and because it's indicated that that provision may be . . . ah . . . adopted in the Senate and further because House Bill 19, which is on our Calendar, does have the due notice provision, record me as voting 'present' on this Bill and I will vote 'aye' on House Bill 19, which is John Porter."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'. Continue with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "Yourell 'aye', record Mr. Lee Kennedy as 'aye', Mr.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Lundy 'aye', Mr. Lauer? How is Mr. Lauer recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Hudson, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Hunsicker."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote him 'aye'. Mr. Caldwell."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'present'."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Toby Barry, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is not recorded."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. I put you in, Mr.

Kennedy. Mr. Kennedy 'aye'. Ah . . . Mr. Sharp 'aye'. Mr. Beaupre. Turn on Mr. Beaupre's mic'."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, in veiw of the Sponsor's assurance and willingness . . . ah . . . to . . . ah . . . see that this Bill is amended in the Senate to provide a penalty provision, which is an inducement for public officials to file and yet not an owner of penalty, I would like to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "Change the Gentleman from 'no' to 'aye'. Now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Taylor. Record Mr. Taylor as 'aye'. Now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Getty, how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Thom . . . Thompson, Mr. Bob Thompson. Record the Gentleman as 'aye', please. Mr. B. B. Wolfe, checking your vote, do you want to vote 'present'? All right, record him as 'present'. On this question there are 127 'ayes', 1 'nay' and 1 'present'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 19, 19. Read the Bill, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 19, a Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Porter."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 19 addresses itself to the same problem . . . ah . . . that the Bill we just considered addressed itself to, and namely the problem that arises when an officeholder fails to file his ethic's statement. The Bills are complimentary in a sense. House Bill 19 provides that every person required to file an ethics statement shall be accorded 45 days notice prior to the due date by such a notice being placed in the United States mail directed to him. If he has not . . . ah . . . filed within the succeeding 30 days, he will receive a second notice, which would come 15 days prior to the due date. The Secretary of State would send out those notices where the statement is to be filed with his office, and the County Clerk would send out those where there is to be filed with the County Clerk. The purpose of the Bill is to obviate the problem that arises and has arisen in several cases in the past where economic statements were not filed timely and law suits were brought to declare the office vacant. The courts held as was mentioned previously in each case that due process required that notice be accorded the officeholder, and so the Bill is complimentary to Representative Hyde's Bill. It provides for notice to every officeholder . . . ah . . . once or if necessary twice before the due date; and I urge a 'yes' vote from each Member of the House."

Speaker Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. B. B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Would the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Miller: "He indicates he will, you may proceed."

Wolfe: "John, what happens after the due date? You got your two notices, the due date is April 1 . . ."

Porter: "All right . . ."

Wolfe: ". . . you mailed it, you mailed it, the secretary didn't get it, he lists you as a delinquent and now he wants to forfeit your office . . ."

Porter: ". . . right . . ."

Wolfe: ". . . for notices of any kind due process, as the court has



stated, to advise that public holder . . . officeholder."

Porter: ". . . All right, B. B., the present law, as you know, simply provides that the office shall be declared vacant. Ah . . . Under Representative Hyde's Bill, there would be a further notice provision and what would happen if this Bill were adopted by the Senate and signed by the Governor, there would be a further notice and there would be a forfeiture of pay for the period after the due date and before the notice had actually been filed, as we just passed. So this . . . this accords a prior notice before the due date to apprise persons who are in office that they should file their economic statements on time and hopefully would obviate the problem of having to file a law suit to declare offices vacant."

Wolfe: "Does the . . . ah . . . Amendment require that the statements be sent by registered or certified mail . . ."

Porter: "No . . ."

Wolfe: ". . . to the . . ."

Porter: ". . . no, it does not, U.S. mail."

Wolfe: ". . . so it's prepaid U.S. mail?"

Porter: "Yes."

Wolfe: "So the par . . . the Bill is fine as far as it goes, but the problem still remains when the final due date comes and the receiving officer does not have the statement of the candidate or public official that there is no provision that a, 'You file or else' within the X number of days, and that's the notice provision that our courts talk about before you can either impose a forfeiture of office or a reduction or forfeiture of pay. This is the property right and if you don't have due process, then the Constitution . . . ah . . . the whole thing is a nullity. Now, does Hyde's Bill . . . as I understood it, it did not provide for that notice, but he intends to amend it in the Senate, that's why I voted 'present' on the Bill?"

Porter: "Well, I think that both Bills taken together are certainly going to take care of the due process . . . ah . . . notice requirements with both prior notice and subsequent notice . . . ah . . . and I would suggest that if the House would send both of them



NOV 15 1973

53.

over to the Senate, they probably can be put together into a better package."

Wolfe: "Thank you. I think you're right."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise in opposition to this Bill, not because I'm against the principle of the Bill, but it's virtually impossible for a County Clerk to administer such a thing as this. There are all kinds of special districts, especially in my county, that the County Clerk is not even aware that it exists, much less him being to send out a notice . . . ah . . . a 30-day and a 15-day notice. Now, I . . . I think that if this Bill was taken back and amended, it would be all right, but the fact that they've got the County Clerk with the responsibility of notifying all these special districts and all the other county and school district officeholders I think would be impossible to administer. I'm going to vote 'no' if it stays in its present shape."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? All right, the Chair will recognize Mr. Porter to close the debate."

Porter: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Secretary of State's office . . . ah . . . has been aware of this Bill and approves it. They feel that there will be no additional cost, that the problem of identifying those persons to whom notice must be given is exactly the same problem that would obtain . . . ah . . . in determining those persons whose offices would be forfeited in the event they did not file a statement. So the problem is the same problem whether it exists 45 days before the due date or whether it exists after the due date. Ah . . . My suggestion is that it's far better to determine beforehand rather than afterwards, and I urge a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall House Bill 19 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay', and Mr. Clerk, call the Roll on a do pass motion."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, Jimmy Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Koza . . . Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Philip, Pierce, Polk . . . all right . . . Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "All right, now, Mr. Ralph Dunn, how is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Jake Wolf, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Jenison."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman as 'aye'. Mr. Ebbesen."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Jimmy Holloway."



Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Leon."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Bob Holloway."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. All right, now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Stedelin. How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Berman. Mr. Berman."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Getty."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Griesheimer."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Hirschfeld."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Lundy 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Schneider 'aye'. Mr. Brandt 'aye', no, I'm sorry, okay. On this question there are 107 'ayes' and 1 'nay'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, arise?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I arise for the purpose of making a motion and I wish that the Membership would listen because it does involve the voting of all of the Members. I would now move to recess the 1st Special Session until after the adjournment of the Regular Session, which will convene at some time later today . . . we convene rather."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Choate, I'm sure you know that I did not recognize you for that purpose."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, you didn't say what you recognized me for . . ."

Speaker Miller: "I said for what purpose . . . do you arise."

Choate: ". . . and Mr. Speaker, I asked for a Roll Call on the motion



that I've just presented to this Body."

Speaker Blair: "The . . . ah . . . as the Gentleman well knows, I heard the acting Speaker ask him for what purpose and he was recognized, and . . . ah . . . we are on House Bills, Third Reading, and we're on the ethics session and there only two Bills left for consideration, and I think to preclude that would not be a thing that we should do, and we'll proceed to finish off those and move in an orderly course of business."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Yes."

Choate: ". . . I did hear the Gentleman ask me for what purpose I wanted recognition, and I stated the purpose for which I wished recognition by making a motion to recess the 1st Special Session until after the adjournment of the Regular Session today. I did this full well realizing that this . . . a motion of this nature would be decided by the determination of 89 Members of this Body being present and voting if they desired to do so. I full well realize that there's other Bills in this Session that we're considering right at the present time. I so . . . also realize that these Bills can be heard when this Session comes back in accordance with my motion. I also realize, Mr. Speaker, that for the last couple of nights we have been in this Legislative Session until the wee hours of the morning, as we all also know, and it's my opinion that there are a couple of matters of importance to the State of Illinois that's bringing about these long and tiresome and lengthy Sessions; and it would be my hope . . . it would be my hope to move to those matters as immediately as possible so that we might resolve them . . . so that we might resolve them either by an affirmative vote or by a negative vote, whatever the desire of this Membership is concerned; but I believe that if we get to the point of con . . . concerning some Bills that are on . . . considering some Bills that are on Third Reading, which I don't think there's a sacred in anybody's mind as what those Bills are, have a vote on them that we can proceed with this Session in a more orderly fashion and that's why that I would insist on my



motion having a Roll Call vote and let 89 Members decide what they want to do."

Speaker Blair: "All right, you, of course, can under the rules, as you know, reduce your motion to writing; in the meantime, House Bill 20."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 20, a Bill for an Act to amend Section 4A-102 of the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Well, does the Gentleman desire to proceed on that? Do you want to proceed on 20? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Hyde."

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I just want to make a brief statement about House Bill 20. Ah . . . This was my concept of creating a board composed of law school deans who would rotate in office . . . ah . . . for a specified period, and to them . . . ah . . . public officials, state officials would submit confidential statements consisting of their income tax returns and a statement of debts that they owed. I've contacted the law school deans in Illinois, some of them have been enthusiastic, some of them have set forth problems, which to them are very serious, and . . . ah . . . I do believe the appropriate thing to do with this Bill is to let it sit on the Calendar. I don't want to table it. I don't want to vote on it. I don't want it to pass, but I don't want it to die. So we'll just let it sit on the Calendar and die a natural death, but I will submit the concept to . . . ah . . . Representative Wolfe's Ethics Commission, and I think we could study it and maybe fine tune it and . . . ah . . . see if it will fly at some future date. So thank you, Mr. Speaker, and we'll just let it sit on the Calendar."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman has asked leave . . . ah . . . to have 20 taken out of the Record, and I assume that there's not a problem with regard to that. House Bill 21."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 21, a Bill for an Act to amend an Act to prevent fraudulent and corrupt practices. Third Reading of the Bill."



Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners. For what purpose? Yes, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, arise?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, a moment ago you asked me to place a motion, which I had verbally stated on the floor of this House, in written form and give it to the Clerk, which I have done in the proper form, I would now request a Roll Call vote on my motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Well, as you well know, under the rules . . . ah . . . whenever the Speaker or . . . ah . . . a Member requests that a motion be put in writing . . . ah . . . that it has to be sewed up. As you also are aware, under the . . . under Robert's Rules of Order, 'a motion to recess if moved while business is pending, . . . ah . . . is not in order' and there is business before this . . . well, I am . . . well, if you will let me finish with Mr. Choate's point of order, I expect he would at least get that point clarified because that question's on his mind about that, why . . . ah . . . we can discuss it; but Robert's Rules of Order - on the question of motions . . . ah . . . on 68, says that; 'a motion to recess to . . . to take it is moved while business is pending is not in order when another has the floor'. Now, a very important piece of ethics legislation . . . ah . . . the last one, as a matter of fact, on House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 21, has been read a third time and the Chair has recongized the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners, and so your motion to recess would not be in order and the Gentleman from . . . ah . . ."

Choate: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: ". . . Will, Mr. Kempiners. I think if you'd let us get the business . . . ah . . . your . . . this is going to take time and if there's one thing I can assure you the very thing that you're talking about wanting a Roll Call on, you're going to get it because I want that Roll Call as badly as you do. Ah . . . The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, on a point of order."

Choate: "I'm not worried about whether you want a Roll Call on it or not, Mr. Speaker, I'm worried about what time of the day you want



the Roll Call on it."

Speaker Blair: "Well, . . . ah . . . seriously why don't we . . . ah . . . we're going to move right along here, why don't we . . . if you and I . . . ah . . . can talk . . ."

Choate: "Very good."

Speaker Blair: ". . . well, let him . . . let him go ahead and get this last Bill . . . ah . . . that's on this Calendar . . . ah . . . discussed and voted on without prejudice to the situation."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and with the cooperation of Mr. Choate maybe we can get by this in a hurry. House Bill 21 is similar to House Bill 757, which this House passed during the Regular Session last spring, and every briefly what it does is require in governmental land transactions that the people who are benefitting from the taxpayers dollars are made public, whether it is a land trust or any other type of legal entity, it would require disclosure. I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kempiners, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Kempiners: "I would ask leave for the last unanimous Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Okay, the question is, shall House Bill 21 pass? On this question there are 139 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority . . . yeah? . . . oh, this question there are 160 'ayes', no 'nays'; and this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Kosinski, you have a matter on Postponed Consideration. On the order of Postponed Consideration appears House Bill 5. The Bill has been read a third time, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Kosinski, is recognized."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was read yesterday and was four votes short of passage. I'll refresh this Assembly's memory as to what the Bill enta . . . entailed. It was not comprehensive ethics legislation. I was ethics legislation bracketing what I think is the focal point of most channels



in the State of Illinois. The relationship between vendor and elected officials. In this instance, it puts no onus on the Legislator or elected official as far as filing. It does require that yearly the vendor of goods and services for the State of Illinois will file a statement indicating those political contributions in excess of \$100 . . . ah . . . that will be made. I ask the General Assembly and my friends for those additional four votes to move this into the Senate. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Any discussion? The Gentleman . . . ah . . . from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Representative Kosinski, would you go into detail and explain the Bill? With all the noise and confusion, it was a little difficult . . . ah . . . to remember exactly the . . . the details of the Bill from yesterday's discussion? Would you go through and explain it?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the . . . will the Members be in their seats so that the . . . ah . . . Gentleman can explain his Bill."

Simms: "Well, I think we ought to know what we're voting on, Roman, I mean . . . ah . . ."

Kosinski: "Well, in essence, Tim, what this infers is that each year a vendor of goods and services of the State of Illinois who wishes to retain that status will file with the State of Illinois those political contributions in excess of \$100 that that vendor has made either to elected officials or other candidates in other offices. The thought behind this, of course, is not to reduce such contributions. I will welcome them myself, but to make public domain . . . ah . . . the existence of such contributions to prevent our embarrassment in the future. The . . . ah . . . amount, I repeat, is in excess of \$100. The simplicity of this is very obvious. Ah . . . So long as the individual or business remaining in regulated business with the definition exists, he will file such statements. Ah . . . It applies to all vendors of goods and services to the state. Ah . . . Let me see, what else? . . . 'political contributions shall be verified under oath by the individual supplier or regulated



individual, if the statement relates to an individual as the report relates to a corporation, association or other form of entity, then it should be verified by the president or other highest executive officer of the corporation, association or other form of entity, or by that official of the reporting entity then regulating . . . regularly acting in his place instead."

Simms: "Well, I . . ."

Kosinski: "Now, do you have a specific question? If you do, I'll try to answer it."

Simms: ". . . well, how does this differ basically from the intent of what was included in House Bill 10?"

Kosinski: "I confess that the recent copy of House Bill 10, I didn't read in its entirety . . . ah . . . but I do know that this does not eliminate lending institutions . . ."

Simms: "Ah . . . Another question I might ask is in . . . ah . . . in essence this is to implement what the Governor . . ."

Kosinski: ". . . I'm sorry, Tim, I can't hear you."

Simms: ". . . in essence, Roman, this is to implement what the Governor included in his executive order. In comparing this, why didn't you include labor organizations in requiring them to disclose?"

Kosinski: "Well, I do if they are entities which supply goods or services of the state. If they are not, they're not included in this Bill."

Simms: "Well, how about labor organizations that represent public employees. In essence, they're providing . . ."

Kosinski: "Well, they . . ."

Simms: ". . . a mechanism for collective bargaining for the public . . ."

Kosinski: ". . . Tim, I get the . . . I get the political thrust and significance of your comments, and I think possibly this is unfair to me in my stature because I'm certainly not an exponent of every area that you're concerned with. This does not exclude other . . . people other than lenders . . . vendors of goods and services of the state, and any political significance that you put on . . . wish to put on this escapes me because I'm not guilty of such . . ."

Simms: ". . . no, I . . . I don't intend to infer that, and one other



. . . one further question, Representative Kosinski, . . . ah . . . in this if you have any provision that would prevent, for example, a supplier of contributing \$99 today and . . ."

Kosinski: "Tim, I'm sorry, I can't hear you."

Simms: ". . . ah . . . Roman, included in this is there any provision that prevents . . . ah . . . a supplier today of contributing \$99 and waiting a couple of weeks and supplying another \$99?"

Kosinski: "The . . . the par . . . deals of this Bill indicate that such contributions in excess of \$100 or an aggregate gets in excess of \$100 will be considered."

Simms: "Oh . . ."

Kosinski: "Tim, may I ask you a question? Is there some dilatory point on these questions?"

Simms: "Oh, no, I just think we ought to have some good clear debate. It's so hard to hear all the discussion."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, if the Members would be in their seats and please let's have some order and those people who are on the floor of the House without authorization . . . ah . . . we would all appreciate it if you could absent yourselves and sit in the rear of the gallery. Proceed, Sir."

Simms: "Well, in the essence of your examining your Bill and we're on ethics, I just wanted to make sure the Bill has a full disclosure to the Members of the House. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, is there any further discussion with respect . . ."

Kosinski: "Tim, Mr. Chairman, that Gentleman asked me a question that I haven't respond to and he walked away from his microphone."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . oh, okay, Representative Simms, he wants to respond. Representative Kosinski."

Kosinski: "Tim, I suspect some points you're making here that escapes me; but if you had a serious question, would you please rephrase it and I'll try to answer it."

Simms: "Well, I . . . my point was it was so difficult with the noise in the Chamber and you explained the Bill yesterday, but we've got a lot of legislation, a lot of Members weren't here because the



Bill didn't pass . . . ah . . ."

Kosinski: "But you were here during the course of that, Tim, and voted on it."

Simms: ". . . well, it was so hard to hear, Roman, yesterday . . ."

Kosinski: "Then on what basis did you voice your vote?"

Simms: ". . . well, I don't have to . . . ah . . . answer that question to you. My question . . . you're the one that's presenting the Bill and it's an opportunity for the Members of the Legislature to explore every avenue of possibility, and I hope that you're not opposed to explaining your Bill in detail and making . . . ah . . . the intricate parts of the legislation so we have an aware General Assembly, that we have complete disclosure of all the intent of the Bill."

Kosinski: "In response, Tim, I'm delighted to explain my Bill to the best of my ability, but I don't want my seriousness questioned."

Simms: "Nobody is . . ."

Kosinski: "This Bill is made in seriousness."

Simms: ". . . ah . . . well, we understand some serious, Representative Kosinski, and I'm sure every Member of the House realizes that all your legislation is serious, unless you've had some in the past that have not."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the distinguished Sponsor yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Juckett: "As . . . ah . . . I read the Bill, now, this applies only to business, is that correct? . . ."

Kosinski: "Vendors of goods and services of the state, yes, Sir."

Juckett: ". . . and it would require that the . . . ah . . . key person or the officer of the business verify the . . . ah . . . statement that he's making is that correct?"

Kosinski: "Yes, do you have the Amendment . . . ah . . . in reference to this, Bob?"



Juckett: "No, I don't."

Kosinski: "Ah . . . The Been . . . the Bill as it originally stands has an Amendment and now if I may explain, I understand your point, I shall read the Amendment in reference to the statement of political contributions, if you can hear me. This is as amended, 'Each statement of political contributions shall be verified under oath by the individual supplier or regulated individual if the statement relates to an individual. If the report relates to a corporation or association or other form of entity, then it shall be verified by the president or other highest executive officer of the corporation, association or other form of entity or by that official of the reporting entity then regularly acting in his place instead. In every case, however, the verifying person is liable only for the truth and accuracy of this information set forth in such statement only as the contributions are alone made by any individually, contributions alone made by the reporting entity or as an act of the entity or loans or contributions donated in made by key persons of the reporting entity based to benefit the reporting entity or made in the name of the reporting entity', and I think that Amendment is quite important in answer to your question."

Juckett: "Okay, now, what happens if a regulated business does not file such a statement?"

Kosinski: "I beg your pardon?"

Juckett: "What happens if a regulated business, and you've spelled out some of the businesses that are licensed by the State of Illinois, what happens if they do not file such statements?"

Kosinski: "They do not have the privilege of supplying the State of Illinois. There is Section 8 . . ."

Juckett: "Now, this is not a company that is supplying the State of Illinois . . ."

Kosinski: "Or intends to."

Juckett: ". . . it's a company that's licensed by the State of Illinois."

Kosinski: "A straight regulated entity or . . ."

Juckett: "Let's suppose a bank . . ."



Kosinski: ". . . or a company supplying or intending to, and to answer your question in Section 8, 'Any supplier or regulated business which fails to report any political contribution is in violation of this Act', and this is my Amendment, 'shall be fined an amount equal to three times the amount or value of the political contribution not reported. The individual supplier or corporation's officer attesting to such report in violation of this Act shall be further subject to one year imprisonment if in the judgment of the court such vio . . . violation was a willful and deliberate intent'."

Juckett: "Now, is this the same type of wording, Roman, that the Governor issued in his ethic's order that was declared unconstitutional by the courts?"

Speaker Blair: "Ah . . . Just . . . ah . . . can we . . . if we could have just a little order here, let me . . . let me explain for the Membership . . . ah . . . that . . . ah . . . that we have discussed our proceedings with the . . . ah . . . Joint House Leadership and . . . ah . . . we intend to just as . . . ah . . . as quickly as we can . . . ah . . . keep the debate down to go through each of the . . . ah . . . Session up to the Third . . . ah . . . Session in the order to which they were adjourned. It is our fault that . . . that in no event should take longer than an hour. . . . ah . . . that at that time . . . ah . . . which would be approximately 3:30 or so that . . . ah . . . there will be party conferences for both the Republican side and for the Democrat side and we would following those conferences come back on the floor and . . . ah . . . go to the . . . ah . . . go to the convening of the 3rd Special Session. All right, now, there was a question being ask . . . being put by Mr. Juckett . . . ah . . ."

Kosinski: "Does that satisfy your question, Bob?"

Juckett: "No, no, you didn't answer the last one, and I'll get back to that, but . . . now . . ."

Kosinski: "If you . . . Amendment, Bob . . ."

Juckett: ". . . now, wait, in your Bill, isn't it a fact that you require every business that transacts a business with the State of Illinois and every regulated business, regulated or licensed by



the State of Illinois to file these reports whether or not they made a political contribution?"

Kosinski: "May I qualify the 'yes' answer, Bob? Yes, however, it's obvious to you and to me that if there's no political contribution such filing is a matter of form and consists of nothing other than the actual people, like an I.R.S. situation that have no income. There's nothing to report."

Juckett: "But if you don't have any income, you don't file an income tax form . . ."

Kosinski: "You still file an income tax, Sir."

Juckett: ". . . no, you don't, and on this particular case, you're making every business that's regulated, every business that's licensed and every business that does business for the State of Illinois fill out these forms. Now, as I understand it . . . ah . . . this wording is taken fairly closely or practically all of it from the Governor's executive order that was declared unconstitutional . . ."

Kosinski: "With the modifications and changes, Bob, that I have incorporated this July, and this is not a vehicle of the Governor's, this is my own Bill. He . . . using his original edict as a springboard."

Juckett: ". . . Okay, now do you any of the people that received these contributions, do these people have to report the contributions?"

Kosinski: "No, Sir, not under this Bill."

Juckett: "So in other words, if these businesses donated to Governor Walker's campaign, they would have to file, but the Governor would not have to file?"

Kosinski: "Under this Bill, they would have to file, which still would make such contributions obvious, and I indicated at the outset that I don't think this is in any sense a comprehensive ethics Bill. One of the problems of our comprehensive ethics Bill is they're so involved that none of us Legislators even worked with it; but, however, this is to bracket that problem in the state where there has been scandal in the past; and I think you, especially



from Park Ridge, will confer with me that this is a clean-up much needed."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Just one moment, Mr. Juckett, Mr. Maragos has been trying to raise a point of order."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I think our House rules for this Session are the same as for the Regular Session as the time allotted to each proponent or opponent of any particular measure or questioning to 10 minutes. I think the present questioner of the Sponsor of this Bill has gone beyond 10 minutes and I call the rule at this time."

Speaker Blair: "Well, that . . . I think that on matters of debate your point is well taken. So . . ."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker, I'd also like to make another observation if I may that we have much business before us and I think these questions are just dilatory. We should be . . . the Chair should rule it out of order or not germane any more longer. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: ". . . well, Mr. Juckett, will you not proceed with any dilatory matters."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, I'm not proceeding with any dilatory matter, but I assume that maybe the Democrat Member doesn't want the truth to come out about ethics legislation, and it might be better if he were to tend to the business of the House. Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill puts a . . . an undue burden upon those people in private enterprise and . . . ah . . . where the Bill is completely faulty is that it puts . . . it says that the business is wrong to make the contribution, the business is presumed to be corrupt because it has made the contribution, but then the lily-white Members of the administration don't have to make any report at all because they have, of course, are known to be true and to be pure. The particular provisions were declared unconstitutional by a judge of the State of Illinois. I think that the Bill ought to receive the same fate as that judge decreed earlier, and I would urge a 'no' vote."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Christian . . . well, I recognized



you for your point of order. Ah . . . well, I'll recognize you for that. There were . . . there were two or three people on your side, too, that were up. I don't know, maybe they've got the same motion, Sam . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker, I do, I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman moves the previous question. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, the previous question has been moved. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski, to close."

Kosinski: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there was a statement made last that this Bill works on the presumption that business is corrupt. Obviously, that is not . . . my intent. I am a part of business; but I do feel that political contributions to elected officials must be a public domain. I can understand further that in the sentence there may be some problems with other ethics legislation. This potentially could be a springboard for a package of some sort. In any event, I think morally this is extremely necessary. I solicit your vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall House Bill 5 . . . 5 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Just take . . . ah . . . last unanimous or Roll Call? Roll Call? Okay, all right. They . . . they're saying not last unanimous. So the Clerk will . . . ah . . . proceed to call the Roll of 'yeas' and 'nays' and move it along. I tell you I think if you . . . if you would hold the noise down, I think it would aid the Clerk in being able to speed up the Roll Call. I think he has a hard time hearing sometimes, and so if you can hold the conversations down we can accommodate moving along."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley,



Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis . . .
 . . . George, wake Adeline up . . . Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi,
 Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld,
 Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway,
 D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs,
 Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller,
 Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski,
 Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz,
 Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan,
 Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy,
 McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster,
 McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian,
 Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters,
 Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney,
 Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein,
 Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms,
 Ike Sims, Soderstrom, Skinner . . . no? . . . Stedelin, Stiehl,
 Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Totten,
 Tuerk, Von Boeckman . . . I got you, Mr. Berman . . . Von Boeckman,
 Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington,
 Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe . . . B. B. over here? . . .
 B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 67 'ayes', 38 'nays' . . .
 Mr. Shea . . ."

Shea: "Am I recorded? I just got back in the Chamber, am I recorded
 on this Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: ". . . 68 'ayes', 38 'nays' . . . ah . . . Mr.

Douglas 'aye', Mr. Taylor 'aye', Mr. Ralph Dunn 'aye', Ms. Catania
 'aye', Mr. Palmer 'aye', Mr. Beatty 'aye'?"

Clerk Selcke: "I got him already."

Speaker Blair: "You got him. Have all voted who wished? 74 'ayes' and
 38 'nays'; and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional
 majority is, hereby, declared lost. All right, the . . . the
 Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, who



moves that the 1st Special Session be recessed until after adjournment of the Regular Session. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the 1st Special Session is in recess now until that time. The next Special Session is the 4th Special Session. It will be in order. Is there leave to use the . . . ah . . . Roll Call for attendance for the Regular Session as the Roll Call for attendance at the 4th Special Session? Hearing no objection, that will be the Roll Call for attendance. Ah . . . House Bills, Third Reading, Mr. Walters' here. Don't call it? Take it out of the Record. Take it out of the Record. Ah . . . Senate Bills, First Reading, #6, is that . . . is that desired, Mr. Fawell? No . . . nobody is sponsoring it. Well, wait a minute, Mr. . . . Mr. Philip, what about Mr. Fawell's Bill here, Senate Bills, First Reading, that . . . on that 4th Special Session as . . . Mr. Gene Hoffman probably should pick that up, but do you just want to leave it there or . . . William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, that Bill, Senate Bill 6, is being handled by Representative Walters, and he's right . . . oh, here he is. Go ahead."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Majority Leader, you did . . . you did a good job there. Mr. Speaker, this is the same Bill that we spoke of earlier in the week, House Bill 2, which we had agreed on both sides of the aisle. It's passed the Senate, so we'd like to refer . . . suspend the necessary rules to advance this Bill to Second Reading without reference to Committee, Senate Bill 6."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman asks leave to suspend the appropriate rules so that Senate Bill 6 may be . . ."

Walters: "It's a technical change. It changes two words in the Bill. We had this agreed. It scratches out the word 'while holding' and puts 'and holds' in there. It's a very simple Bill."

Speaker Blair: All right, . . . ah . . . the Gentleman's asking leave with the last unanimous Roll Call to suspend the appropriate rules to have Senate Bill 6 read a first time and advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to Committee. Is there objection?



All right, hearing none, then it will be read a first time and advanced to the order of Second . . . ah . . . without reference."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 6, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Second Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn, arise?"

Washburn: "Ah . . . Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would ask that the appropriate rule be suspended so that Senate Bill 3, which has been read the first time, be advanced to Second Reading, Second Legislative Day, and this is a Bill that is sponsored by Representatives Hanahan, Lechowicz and myself. It would require that appropriation Bills contained in the budget . . . Governor's budget be submitted to either the General Assembly or Legislative Leaders by the first Friday of April of each year."

Speaker Blair: "Discussion? Discussion? The Gentleman have leave to use the last unanimous Roll Call to suspend the provisions of Rule 31 so that this Senate Bill 3 having been read a first time may be placed on the order of . . . of Senate Bills, Second? Hearing no . . . hearing no objection, but seeing one, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea, arise?"

Shea: "I'm sorry, I couldn't understand the explanation."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Mr. Wash . . . Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah . . . Yeah, Jerry, the appropriations contained in the budget . . . Governor's budget message must be presented to either the General Assembly or Legislative Leaders of both parties of the House and the Senate by the first Friday of April of each year. I understand that this has got the approval of the Governor's office and . . . ah . . ."

Shea: "Are . . . are you making the representation that Colby has approved this?"

Washburn: "I can't hear you now."

Unknown: "Yes."

Shea: "Which one of the Smith Brother's are up now? Are you making the representation that this has been approved by the Governor's



Budget Bureau?"

Washburn: "I was told that by Representative Lechowicz and Representative Hanahan."

Shea: "All right, with that representation I will have no objections to it going."

Washburn: "Thank you."

Unknown: "So my answer was correct 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, . . . ah . . . Second Reading. All right, the Chair rec . . . right, the only thing that's left now . . . right, the . . . so that there's no question about that, the motion, House Bill 1, on the Speaker's table, the Gentleman does not desire to have that considered. So now the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. . . . Mr. William Walsh, moves that the 4th Special Session be recessed until after the adjournment of the 1st Special Session. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and we stand . . . the 4th Special Session stands in recess. Now, we're . . . the 5th Special Session will come to order. The . . . is there leave to use the attendance Roll Call of the General . . . of the Regular Session as the attendance Roll Call for the 5th Special? Ah . . . Leave having been given, it will be used. Now, we . . . are there any messages, Mr. Clerk? All right, no messages. All right, Mr. Barnes here on . . . is Mr. Barnes here on his House Bill 3. That one will be called. Do you want to call it? Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Ah . . . Could I handle this in Mr. Barnes' absence? I think the seat in front of you indicates that he didn't answer the Roll Call this morning."

Speaker Blair: "Wait a minute, what . . . ah . . . wait a minute, the question raised by Mr. Skinner, for what purpose do you arise?"

Skinner: "Well, I wonder if the rules of the prior Session would hold here that we go in order? Well, House Bill 1, House Bill 2, House Bill 3 and so on."

Speaker Blair: "Let's see, I . . . I'm on House Bill . . ."

Skinner: "Excuse me, I didn't know you were Second Reading. It doesn't say up there."



Speaker Blair: "Yeah, I'm on . . . ah . . . I'm right at the start of the Calendar, House Bills, Second Reading, House Bill 3."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 3 . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Now, wait a minute. What did Mr. Shea say, that he was handling it or not?"

Shea: "Would you let Representative Jones' handle it, please?"

Speaker Blair: "Okay."

Shea: "He's a Cosponsor on it."

Speaker Blair: "All right."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 3, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendment."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading. Ah . . . There was House Bill 6."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 6, Brinkmeier, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 1."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 1, Skinner, a Bill for an Act authorizing the Department of Revenue to pay certain claims. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "I've learned that when people start shouting 'Roll Call' I should sit down and shut up, and I'll be happy to take the last unanimous Roll Call on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Objections? Hearing none, we'll use the last unanimous Roll Call. The question is that . . . ah . . . shall House Bill 1 pass? All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'; and House Bill 1 having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Ah . . . Senate Bills, Second Reading. Senate Bill 1. Who's handling that for Mr. Barnes? Mr. Simms? I mean, Mr. Jones?"

Jones, E.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Senate Bill . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute, wait a minute, wait just a minute."



Speaker Blair: "Wait, yeah, until he reads . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill #1, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "None."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . Senate Bill 2, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. 3."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 3, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 4, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "That's it."

Speaker Blair: "Now, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, who moves that we recess the 5th Special Session until after adjournment of the 4th Special Session. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to recess say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the 5th Special Session is recessed. All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh. All right, the 3rd Special Session is convened and is . . . ah . . . there is a . . . Clerk asks . . . ah . . . or is there leave for the attendance Roll Call to be used as the Roll Call for this Session from the Regular? Okay, leave for that? Okay, now, all right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the 3rd Special Session stand in recess for the purpose of a Republican Conference . . . ah . . . that will last about one hour, and I would urge the . . . ah . . . Members to be back here as promptly as they possibly can after the recess; and now I believe, Mr. Speaker, that . . . ah . . . the Minority Leader would ask for a conference also."



NOV 15 1973

75.

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have the Democrat Members . . . Democratic Members meet in conference in Room 5 . . . M5. I . . . I thought so, Mr. Speaker, I heard the Majority Leader wrong I guess. I thought he asked for an hour's recess, and I thought we were talking about a 30 minute one."

Speaker Blair: "I think that within the framework we thought it was going to take us until 3:30 to get to this point, and it's only 10 after 3, so I . . . I . . . ah . . ."

Choate: "I understand because it's still within the . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . we'll be back, we might back a lot quicker than that, but I . . . I . . . well, we think certainly at the . . . at the outside we're talking about an hour."

Choate: ". . . very good . . . ah . . . because it's still within the framework of the time that we were actually talking about it."

Speaker Blair: "That's right."

Choate: "Ah . . . I would urge the Democratic Members to come to Room M5 immediately."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; we'll be in recess now for the Republicans to go to a conference in Room 212 and Democrats in Room M5 . . . ah . . . for approximately an hour from now, 4:15. All right . . . ah . . . when we . . . ah . . . the House will be in order, the 3rd Special Session. When we recessed we were . . . ah . . . we had just taken the . . . ah . . . we had leave for the . . . ah . . . Roll Call of the Regular Session to be the . . . ah . . . agreed Roll Call of the . . . ah . . . 3rd Special Session, and the ordinary course of business as shown on the Calendar . . . ah . . . is House Bills, Second Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. . . . or Union, Mr. Choate, arise?"

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . again I arise for the purpose of a motion, a motion that I feel will expedite the business of this House. We are now in the 3rd Special Session, and I don't think that any of us need to be told about the type of legislation or the Bills that are



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

included in the hearing of this call. I think that we all realize that if we're going to attempt to break the thing that has kept this House in Session and until ungodly hours of the night, that we should proceed to the order of Third Reading posthaste. It's obvious, I think, to all of us that if we proceed in the order of call under the rules of this House that we again will be here until 1 or 2 o'clock in the morning discussing . . . discussing Amendments on Bills that are on Second Reading to the extent that I don't feel that we would get to the order of Third Reading at a reasonable hour of this day. I would, therefore, Mr. Speaker, move pursuant to House Rule 10B that the order of business be changed to the order of Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I respectfully object to the Gentleman's motion and would like to point out to him that he has been assured by the Republican Leadership that we will get to House Bills, Third Reading, in the 3rd Session, and that House Bill 12 will be heard and will be heard today so that there will be a Roll Call today. As you know, Mr. Minority Leader, we are just as interested in a Roll Call on this Bill as you are. We want to carry that Roll Call around throughout this state and tell people about it. So we're going to get there. There's no reason at all for us to change the order of business to change the orderly process that we've been following in this House to do that because you've been assured that you'll get what you want anyway. Now, there are a lot of Bills on Second Reading here that we've been suspending the rules on, taking a lot of time with. I call your attention to House Bill 26 on . . . on Second Reading where we had a motion yesterday to suspend the rules to get this Bill in the position it's in. There are many just like it. So I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that there is no need for this motion, that the Gentleman is pulling his rank, if you will. We're going to get to the business that he wants us to get to. We want to just as badly as he does. I would urge you to vote 'no'



on the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Union to close."

Choate: "Well, . . . ah . . . I didn't know that we had rank to pull around here, and if we're pulling rank, then I've got to say the same thing to the Majority Leader, that he's pulling a wee bit more rank because at least you're supposed to be in the Majority. So, therefore, you should be pulling a little more rank than I'm pulling. Now, as far as the assurances of hearing the Bills on Third Reading, as far as the assurances of having a Roll Call on House Bill 12, certainly I don't doubt by what sometime today you will get around before the hour of midnight or the hour of 2 o'clock in the morning to having a Roll Call, but you know and I know that at least four Members on this side for two nights in a row with chest pains have sat on the floor of this House endangering their health, endangering their health simply because they were not given an opportunity to . . . under the Regular order of business to bring about a vote; and I'm saying to you that unless we adopt the motion that I'm suggesting, that the same thing will happen today; and as far as hearing a Roll Call around throughout the state in my pocket, I have no intention of doing so . . . in my district with the vote that I'm going to pass. Let me know, and I'll have someone pick you up at the boundary and give you the exact geographical borderlines of my district so that you won't miss anybody. . . . to you that the motion is in order. I suggest to you that it's the humane thing to do. I suggest to you that it's a thing that this Body should've done even before doing it now. I would respectfully ask for a Roll Call vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Is that the motion? House Bills, Third Reading?"

Yeah, House Bills, Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Motions pursuant to House Rule 10B, 'I move that the order of business be changed to House Bills, Third Reading, Clyde Choate'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, and . . . ah . . . the rule provides that he has to have the initial support of five Members for his motion."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . He does have."



Speaker Blair: "I . . . the lights are a little bright, I can't . . . ah . . . all right, he has five . . . he has five additional Members there and . . . ah . . . so the Majority Leader said that you may not use the last unanimous Roll Call for that motion and . . . ah . . . so we'll have to take a Roll Call vote. As you know, that . . . that motion to carry requires 89 . . . ah . . . votes. So if the Clerk will proceed with dispatch call . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate . . . got her . . . Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway . . . what did he say? . . . Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, . . . Katz 'aye', Hill 'aye' . . . Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote. In my opinion, in ordinary circumstances by going to Second Reading . . . ah . . . the Speaker is right or would be right. However, today is not an ordinary situation. We are faced with a shortage of time, and



we are faced with two critical issues, tax relief and regional transportation. Now, we've been told that the Senate will adjourn either tomorrow or Saturday. If we pay attention and give regard to these Bills at Second Reading, there is no way in the world that those Bills can get to the Senate in time for passage over there. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that we are simply wasting time now by directing our attention to Second Reading Bills and that it would be proper and right to go to Third Reading. For that reason, I vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe . . . all right, I got Laurino, Giglio 'aye' . . . Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "No. Choate 'aye', Choate, the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I before the . . . ah . . . Roll Call is announced, Mr. Speaker, I would like to poll the absentees."

Speaker Blair: "Farley, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, is it too late to explain my vote?"

Speaker Blair: "No, no, it's not too late, go ahead."

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . I think that this motion is perfectly in order in that we have to move to House Bills on Third Reading because of the time element involved and because of the actions by this House are going to be a very determinate factor in how this state is going to run and what we are going to do as a Body and as Representatives for the people in the State of Illinois; and I would hope that this motion would carry in that we could move to Third Reading and pass some significant Bills and some significant legislation for the people in the State of Illinois. I think it's our responsibility and I think it's our duty and I think if we do anything otherwise we're negligent in our duty. I know, for instance, my



own feeling would be that a Bill sponsored either on this side or that side if it's a good Bill should be heard on Third Reading and it should be voted by this Body either up or down and I would hope that all those good Bills would be voted . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "All right, you'll have to bring your remarks to a close. Now, you're entitled two minutes to explain your vote, and I . . . I think . . ."

Farley: ". . . and, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I would vote 'aye' on this motion and I hope that . . . ah . . . all the other Members of this Body would do so."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now, we'll poll the . . . where are we on the Roll. Ah . . . Go up there and tell him, Fred . . . 86 . . . 48 the right way. Now, Choate . . . ah . . . asks to poll the absentees. All right, do it fast, Fred."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt, Boyle, Brinkmeier, Calvo, Campbell . . . is Brinkmeier on? Huh? . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, Brinkmeier 'aye'? Brinkmeier 'aye'?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Carter . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Wait . . . wait a minute, Fred, he wants to know how he's recorded."

Brinkmeier: "I'm voting 'aye', voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . we got you . . ."

Speaker Blair: "He's . . . he's on there, yeah."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . we added him. Where did I quit? . . . Carter, Dee, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Epton, Fleck, Flinn . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "A brief explanation of vote, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I got to vote to get this out and let everyone sit and vote on it, and give the Republicans an opportunity to carry a Roll Call around downstate in the next election. I still have a funny feeling that all the contents of this House Bill Calendar and all the contents of the Senate Calendar are going down as same as shoot."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . how do you vote? . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Fleck 'aye'."



Clerk Selcke: ". . . Getty . . . yeah, wait 'til you recognize him . . .
Gibbs, Griesheimer, Grotberg . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . This is Griesheimer? . . . Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman,
Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Jim Holloway 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hyde . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Vote Hyde 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Klosak, Kriegsman . . . Klosak 'aye'? I guess
he said 'aye' . . . Lauer, McAuliffe, McClain . . . we'll get
you, Kenny; Kenny Boyle 'aye', where did I quit? . . . McClain,
McMaster, Molloy Murphy, North . . . Murphy 'no' . . . North, Pappas,
Philip, Rigney, Rose, Sangmeister . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Rigney says 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Sangmeister, Schoeberlein, Soderstrom . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Soderstrom 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . he didn't vote . . . ah . . . Telcser, Waddell,
Richard Walsh . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Richard Walsh 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . J. J. Wolf . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Getty?"

Getty: ". . . recorded . . ."

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Getty: ". . . vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Vote him 'aye'. All right, we're at 97 'ayes' and 53
'nays', the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, I request a verification."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Well, that . . . the Gentleman is entitled
to that under the rules, and I . . . I suppose it would be helpful
if the Members would be in their seats so that, hence, the Clerk
would proceed in a deliberate fashion to read the names of the
affirmative. Yeah, the Clerk asks if we could have the lights . . . ah



... turned down so . . . or turned off so he can see and so the Members can see. They're awfully bright, then you can turn them back on just as soon as we get that finished. All right, now, it's been requested that the Members be in the seats assigned to them . . . ah . . . so on the question of their petition, if you're in your seat, then those people who are verifying will have a problem, and they'll be taking up more time because they can't see in your see, and we could save time if you're in your seat and . . . all right, and would the Members please be in their seats so we can proceed?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, will the Members please be in their seats so . . . while the Clerk reads the affirmative Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Capparelli, Chapman, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, DiPrima, Douglas, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hart, Hill . . . well, he'll have to change . . . Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kriegsman, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Rayson, Redmond, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Von Boeckman, Wall, R. Walsh, Washington, Williams, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Krieg . . . Representative Kriegsman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker, how am I voted?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Kriegsman: "Change it to 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Are there any other Members who wish to change their vote . . . ah . . . before . . . ah . . . those who requested the affirmative vote . . . ah . . .



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS'

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

make inquiry as to their vote? Okay, questions of the affirmative Roll Call? Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Representative Craig?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Craig is in his seat or in a seat."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giglio? Oh, he's over by Representative Capuzi."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Griesheimer?"

Speaker Telcser: "He's right here in the aisle. Just walked past you, Bill."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Dan Houlihan?"

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Keller?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Keller on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Kelly?"

Speaker Telcser: "Kelly is in his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Kucharski?"

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Representative Rayson?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sitting in his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Terzich?"

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Yourell?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Yourell is standing in the back of the Chamber."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Fleck?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fleck on the floor? There he is, he's . . . ah . . ."

Walsh, W.: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 5 . . . Keller is back . . . 96 'ayes', 53 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion . . . what was it? . . . prevails, whatever the motion was,



NOV 15 1973

84.

to change the order of business to House Bills, Third Reading.
House Bill 1."

Clerk Selcke: "Give me the Bill, House Bill 1. House Bill 1, Juckett,
a Bill for an Act to amend . . . all right . . . a Bill for an
Act to amend the title of Sections 1, 2 and 3 of an Act to provide
for the transportation of school children in certain metropolitan
areas. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
House Bill 1 is the Bill which will grant to the public carriers
the same privileges which we have granted to the private carriers
in the transportation of children . . . ah . . . which will
give them a reimbursement from the state not to exceed 50% of
the adult fare.³ It's restricted to those days upon which there
are school days and during the time which school is in session.
It also covers elderly people up to a fare reduction of one half,
both for the private carrier and for the public carrier. In the
last Session, you all realized that there was a lack of authorization
for the public carriers and, thus, Comptroller Lindberg properly
held up the reimbursement for public carriers in the state. Since
that time the . . . ah . . . there has been a problem under it,
and I think that the public carriers are entitled to what the private
carriers get. That's the purpose of the Bill. I'll be glad to
answer any questions; and if there are no questions, I'd ask for
the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "This Bill was in the Regular Session, was it not, Repre-
sentative Juckett?"

Juckett: "It was not."

Walsh, W.: "I thought there was a Bill similar to this in the . . .
ah . . ."

Juckett: "Well, the . . . now, there was a Bill, which was House Bill
1980, but it did not have the safeguards or the precautions and
requirements in it that this Bill does. It provides for the quarterly



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

payments, for certification and for pro ration among the carriers if there are not sufficient funds available."

Walsh, W.: "Well, let me . . . let me say this, Representative Juckett, that one of the reasons for the question is that the . . . ah . . . the whole damn Senate Membership across the way is hold up some place and they . . . they won't let anybody in and they won't come out. They seem to be . . . ah . . . using dilatory tactics to say the least over there, unprecedents dilatory tactics. So I'd like to go into this Bill in some considerable depth."

Juckett: "Be happy to answer any question that you might have. Let's be effective here . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . one moment, Representative; Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I wish the Gentleman from Cook would slow down. He's talking so fast I can't understand him."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, would the Gentleman please speak a little slower and would the Members please be quiet in their seats so that Representative Pierce could . . . ah . . . pay closer attention to the discussions and matters that are taking place on Representative Juckett's Bill."

Walsh, W.: "May I tell you this, Mr. Speaker, the noise level in here is so great that I didn't get the Gentleman's request."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, the Gentleman from Lake."

Pierce: "Ah . . . I believe the Gentleman is talking on the . . . on the wrong Bill."

Walsh, W.: "Special Session 3, House Bill 1, is that correct, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "That is correct."

Walsh, W.: "What is the effective date of this Bill?"

Juckett: "Immediately upon becoming law."

Walsh, W.: "What will it cost me?"

Juckett: "There is no cost involved because the money has already been appropriated. It was appropriated into House Bill 1979 during this spring Session."

Walsh, W.: "How much was appropriated . . . ah . . . for this purpose?"



Juckett: "I believe there was approximately . . . I don't have the exact figure if you would like to go over to the Capitol and get the copy of the Bill, I'd be glad to wait for it, but . . . ah . . . it's a min . . . it's a minimum of \$7,000,000."

Walsh, W.: "Well, let me say this, that we're dealing with a lot of money here and this is an important new program, and I think we ought to have that before we can vote intelligently on this Bill."

Juckett: "Well, it's not a . . . it's not exactly a new program because the . . . by regulation of the Department of Transportation, it has been going on since 1965, and has been carried forth by both Democrat and Republican administrations."

Walsh, W.: "The best you can recollect is that the appropriation is \$7,000,000?"

Juckett: "It's at least \$7,000,000. I . . . I think during the last Session you voted for the appropriation Bills."

Walsh, W.: "Oh. Conference Chairman Neff is here with me. He feels that we ought to have a conference on this . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . wait one minute. Representative Kent, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kent: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, one minute, please, Representative. Representative Walsh, have you completed . . . ah . . . yes, okay, the Lady, Representative Kent."

Kent: "Could you tell me if this is just concerns the Chicago area?"

Juckett: "It absolut . . . it absolutely does not concern the Chicago area. It covers all metropolitan areas, suburban areas in the State of Illinois and private . . . and private and public transportation companies."

Kent: "It has been my understanding that . . . ah . . . the buses, the school buses have been carrying the children. Are there city buses that carry children, too?"

Juckett: "Yes, there are."

Kent: "Where is this?"

Juckett: "In areas other than Chicago. You have a large . . . quite



a few bus companies in the suburban area of . . . ah . . . Chicago, you have them in the Rockford, the Springfield, I don't know if Quincy is covered in that, but if they're not, we'll be glad to put them in next year."

Kent: "How would the effect then on this Bill that will let the senior citizens use the school buses during the school part, why is this carried back and forth? Won't this be a waste of money?"

Juckett: "No, because many of the . . . ah . . . bus companies are operating the buses anyway and they feel that by giving the grants to the senior citizens that they will have a much bigger ridership during the off business or rush hours, enough it would lower the deficit and increase the operation of the buses."

Kent: "Will there be special regulations for the buses that the children ride?"

Juckett: "Well, on any school bus, yes, there are regulations and there is a Bill by Representative McGrew that . . . ah . . . I just received about 20 letters today on asking me to support that Bill for . . . ah . . . better school buses for kids; but this really would not effect them because we're not talking about the use of school buses."

Kent: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait, one minute now. Is Representative Kent finished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? One moment, Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "The procedure of the Speaker has always been in debate to go from one side of the aisle to the other. Now, you've had two people speaking on it, the Majority Leader and Mrs. Kent, and I think that we're . . . our side is now entitled to some recognition."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Berman. Pardon? Now, Representative Berman, I . . . I . . . you're aware certainly when the Leader stands up, we try and recognize that Leader first . . ."

Berman: "All right, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . but I would not . . . since no Leader rose to . . . ah . . . to speak on your side . . . ah . . . I don't think



that . . . ah . . . it would be necessarily fair to count . . . ah . . . the Leader on the Republican side as one of those . . . when we called upon him. The fact of the matter is that no one on the Demo . . . on your side did in fact rise to his feet until just a short moment ago. Ah . . . The first one was Representative McGrew, who in all fairness, had the . . . I want to come to your side of the aisle, as I will, and should be called on before you . . ."

Berman: "Do you want to recognize Representative McGrew and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . ah . . . no, I've recognized Representative Totten, but I want to assure you that we will get the Democratic side."

Berman: ". . . well, how did we get from Mrs. Kent to Mr. Totten if we're . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Because he . . . he rose to his feet before any Members of your side had arisen at all."

Berman: ". . . now, Mr. Speaker, we want to move along in an orderly manner, and not throw the place up for grabs, I presume. Now, I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want . . . wait, wait, now . . ."

Berman: ". . . think that by . . . I think . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . wait, who wants to throw it up for grabs?"

Berman: ". . . Mr. Speaker, if we . . . if we have questions on this side, I hope that we will be given recognition . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you have questions on . . . on . . ."

Berman: ". . . in order . . . to order."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . okay, Representative Berman, you have questions, I take it?"

Berman: "I want recognition, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you have questions, Representative Berman?"

Berman: "I'm on my feet. Do I have recognition, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, I recognized Representative Totten . . . we'll get back to your side."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I again ask if we have someone on this side, are we going to be recognized . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Of course."



Berman: ". . . from side to side?"

Speaker Telcser: "As best as is . . . is equitably possible . . ."

Berman: "Well, does that mean 'yes' or 'no', Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . no, Representative Berman, now lis . . . there may be 10 from your side standing on their seats and two from the Republican side. Now, that . . . you cannot go from one side to the other if there isn't a . . . ah . . . equal number . . ."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . of those on their feet."

Berman: ". . . I now move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "You don't have the floor for that, Representative Berman. The floor is now Representative Totten's. Representative Totten, proceed with your question if that's what you have, Sir."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like the Sponsor, if he would, to yield to a couple of questions."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Totten: "Bob, could you explain a couple of the definitions you have in Section 1?"

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, one moment, Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In all deference to the Gentleman that's speaking now, it seems to me that Representative McGrew had his hand up all while Representative Walsh was speaking, and I understood that the Speaker . . . ah . . . mentioned the fact that . . . ah . . . he would yield to a Leader, and I was just wondering when did Totten become a Leader on the other side of the House?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you don't know what qualities Representative Totten has. Only I recognize them. Representative Totten, proceed, Sir."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for your kind words."

Speaker Telcser: "You owe me one, Totten."

Totten: "Ah . . . Representative Juckett, . . . ah . . . in Section 1 of your Bill you have several definitions there which are not clear to me and I wonder whether you would be kind enough to . . . ah



. . . explain . . . explain them? Ah . . . You have . . . ah . . .
'a substantial number of school children', what do you mean by that?"

Juckett: "Well, now, that's not a definition, that's just a statement of purpose of the Bill. As you know, whenever we pass a Bill, we put a purpose in it so that the court would en . . . would know what we're after. Now, if you want to get into . . . and also that's in the original Act, which we passed several Sessions ago . . . but if you would like to get into some definitions, we've got several here. I mean, if you would . . . ah . . . do you . . . are you short on the definition of a bus company?"

Totten: "Would you . . . would you explain that to me."

Juckett: "Well, now, a bus company means any company providing regularly scheduled bus services and operating under a permit of convenience and necessity issued by the I.C.C."

Totten: "Would this include bus companies under the R.T.A.?"

Juckett: "I don't know. There is no R.T.A. so I couldn't answer that."

Totten: "Would it include them?"

Juckett: "I would assume that if the R.T.A. becomes a law that if the operation of the R.T.A. includes transporting school children as reduced fares and this law still is on the books, I would assume that then it could include R.T.A."

Totten: "Representative Juckett, what do you mean by the phrase 'urban' area in your Section 1 of your Bill?"

Juckett: "In Section 1? In urban areas? Well, we come down and we . . . ah . . . indicate that in urban areas similar to a metropolitan area, and an urban area would be something other than a farm area."

Totten: "Would again would an urban area include the definition or would that be included in the six-county area by your proposal?"

Juckett: "Well, I think safely you could say that the six-county area, now this would depend on what six-county area you're talking about; now, if you're talking about the six-county area of maybe Jo Daviess or . . . ah . . . some of those counties, that might not be an urban area; but if you're talking about the six-county area of . . . ah . . . Sangamon County and maybe Cass County and that might



be an urban area; but if you're talking about an urban area of Cook, and Lake, and McHenry, and DuPage, and Will and Kane, yes, that would be an urban area."

Totten: "Thank you. What do you mean also in the same Section of your Bill . . . ah . . . of House Bill 1, when you use the phrase 'school activity'? What would this include?"

Juckett: "On line 18?"

Totten: "Yes."

Juckett: "Well, you're probably talking about . . . ah . . . maybe practices of the school teams like hockey, when they use the private facilities in the morning, such as in Park Ridge or school hockey teams go over to the . . . to the park district rink and they're generally transported over there by bus, and then, of course, when you're talking of after-school activities, such as football teams, swimming teams, basketball teams . . . ah . . . where they're talking about . . . ah . . . transportation there."

Totten: "Then it would include the use of these . . . ah . . . services or facilities to . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Just one minute, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Shea. He's coming now, Jer'."

Shea: "How long does a Member have to ask questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the rules provide 10 minutes, Jer', but without the lights that we've got over in the regular Chamber's it's been kind of loose . . . ah . . . loosely . . ."

Shea: "Well, would you be kind enough to watch it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, should we give him five more minutes?"

Shea: "He's been it nine by . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know . . ."

Shea: ". . . by somebody's watch. As I know . . . you know I don't have one."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . well, I'll set my watch for 10 after. Let's assume he's got five minutes to go. Fair . . ."

Totten: "Jerry, I was looking at the clock behind and I so far haven't taken up a significant portion of time yet. Ah . . . One more question of the Sponsor. How would your Bill, House Bill 1, effect the



provisions that Representative Hanahan has put into several of the R.T.A. proposals regarding the use of or the inclusion of school buses . . . ah . . . and the reductions supposedly of real property tax . . . ah . . . in these R.T.A. proposals?"

Juckett: "Well, I would . . ."

Totten: "Is your Bill in conflict . . . ah . . .?"

Juckett: ". . . no, my Bill is not in conflict because, as I recall, Representative Hanahan's . . . ah . . . Amendments and Bills that he's introduced on this subject, that applies to a regular school bus which is operated by a school bus company and where they're reimbursed on the 80% basis from the State of Illinois for the transportation of school children. This is for a private or public bus company which is not connected with the school and which is an incident to their business, does transport school children. So it would have no effect at all."

Speaker Telcser: "I got to call a Democrat, wait a second. Representative Fleck. Well, wait a second, I got to call a Democrat."

Fleck: "Thank you, Artie. I have nothing to do with this Bill, but I see what's going to happen here and it's going to get a little warmer later on, I'm sure. Does the press have to keep those lights on?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Members . . ."

Fleck: ". . . catch forty winks for all the questions that are being asked on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, if the Gentlemen in the back have finished taping or televising or whatever, if they could turn the lights off for a while anyway? Will that be all right? Thank you very much. Is Totten finished? Toby McGrew was first. Do you want . . . To . . . ah . . . Sam, is it okay if I get Toby before you? Representative . . . Representative McGrew, is it okay if I call Representative Barry before you? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "I . . . I don't want to get ahead of Sam, but mine is merely a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."



Barry: "If we go beyond midnight with this Republican slow-up, it obviously kills R.T.A. considering that the Senate intends to go home tomorrow, I understand. At the same time, I'd just like to make the observation that it also kills the opportunity to vote on an Amendment to Senate Bill 7 that is the supplemental freeway that some of the people obviously are taking part over here in the filibuster and would like to see it at least voted upon. I make that observation and I pass it on to McGrew."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the observation is interesting, Representative Barry, but . . . ah . . . if your observation is correct, . . . ah . . . and the Senate does adjourn tomorrow, then whatever would pass tonight would be moved. I make that observation also. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh, W.: "Well, I just wanted to make the observation that the verified Roll Call on the motion to change the order of business ought to be the Roll Call on the supplemental freeways because Senate Bill or House Bill 7, a Bill where that Amendment was going to be offered was the first Bill on Second Reading that you people got us off of a little while ago."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Perry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Where?"

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, did I get the wrong county, Sam?"

McGrew: "That's quite all right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, I . . . was looking at my friend, Bill Cunningham."

McGrew: "Ah . . . I would just like to realize that the former . . . the last Speaker as well as the first Representative is indeed very much in the beef industry because they're very eloquent at the bull. I would like to move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye' . . . okay, all right, okay, okay, five Members have requested a Roll Call. The Gentleman from the Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, has moved the previous question, which he is now going to withdraw I think; Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you arise?"



McGrew: "Experience says, 'Withdraw'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Sam. Ah . . . Now, is there anyone . . . Representative Berman. Where is Representative Berman? The Gentleman from Cook, Repre . . . don't you want the floor, Representative Berman? No? Ah . . . Now, anyone on the Democratic side seeking recognition? Now, okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would answer a couple of questions?"

Juckett: "Oh, did he withdraw? Ah . . . Yes, I thought we were going to have a Roll Call, but I'll be glad to answer it, yeah."

Duff: "Ah . . . Did . . . did you say, 'yes'?"

Juckett: "Yes, I thought I said, 'yes' . . . ah . . . you know, I thought we were going to have a Roll Call or something but if we're not having a Roll Call, I'll be glad to answer any questions."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, before I ask my questions, am I in order now?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, Sir, you have the floor, Sir, and you have your 10 minutes of time to ask questions."

Duff: "Ah . . . Starting now."

Speaker Telcser: "Starting now, right."

Duff: "On line 22 there is a . . . ah . . . phrase that says, 'severe financial loss' . . . ah . . . Representative Juckett; and . . . ah . . . I'd be curious to know if you can tell me how you would go about determining severe financial losses."

Juckett: "Well, I would imagine what they would do is take a look at what the regular fares would've brought in, and then they would take a look at what the fares bring in by the action of the I.C.C. sustaining that you have to carry the school children at a half rate, and . . . ah . . . with that amount then if . . . it would probably lead the bus company operating at a deficit and it's been the policy of the state not to have the bus company go out of business because then it would cost much more money to transport them through the 80% reimbursement schedule."

Duff: "I see. All right, now, then in . . . in the same area, but just



NOV 15 1973

95.

a few lines just above . . . in the new wording of the Bill for your introducing some new words . . . ah . . . that 'and whereas there are substantial numbers of elderly persons in urban areas receiving reduced fares', et cetera."

Juckett: "Right."

Duff: "Ah . . . Would you remind . . ."

Juckett: "You read that very nicely."

Duff: ". . . well, . . . ah . . . I have my new glasses on. Ah . . . It says, 'substantial number of elderly persons', and I wonder . . . I'd like to ask . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . wait, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Well, you know, if you could just keep it quiet here because Duff says he can't hear Juckett and they're one behind the other . . . ah . . . I think that's a little much, and Mr. Duff proceeds to go over the same questions that have been answered three times. So I ask Mr. Duff if he please would just answer or ask questions that haven't been answered two and three times already. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Your point is well taken, Representative Shea. Representative Duff, could you confine your questions to those which have not been already asked, please?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I would . . . my name was just used in debate and without intruding on my time, I would like to raise a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, state your point, Sir."

Duff: "Ah . . . The Gentleman said that . . . ah . . . I was in front of Representative Juckett, and I, frankly, I think he's probably be apt to object to that. Ah . . . But more importantly he said that I had asked some questions I don't think I've asked yet. So I don't know how he would suggest that I am asking the same questions that were asked before. If I were asking the same questions that were asked before, it's only because I couldn't hear them, and yet the Representative says that I should've been able to hear him. I heard his questions clearly enough, but I really don't see why it was necessary for him to raise my name in debate when I'm trying



so hard to ask questions relative to a Bill that I think we all consider very important. Ah . . . If I didn't have the privilege of a point of personal privilege, I really would've probably been irritated by that. Ah . . . But I am delighted to have been able to respond. Now, if I can continue with my allotted time, I'd like to ask a couple more questions."

Juckett: "Well, it . . . it . . . I would like to have them be on issues that have not been asked so that we can enlighten everybody here, but it would be a little redundant to go over the same question again."

Duff: "Well, Representative Juckett, I . . . I will try hard not to ask the same questions . . . ah . . . because you and I were sharing the same bill back there . . . ah . . . before and weren't able to get an extra copy, and I wasn't able to discuss these with you privately as we had intended to do. The . . . ah . . . there is a question that says . . . ah . . . regularly scheduled bus services and . . . ah . . . page 2, line 11, would you mind elucidating a little bit on the sentence, 'for the purpose of which is to provide regularly scheduled bus services to include rapid transit services'?"

Juckett: "Well, these are . . . ah . . . bus companies or bus services which are on a regularly scheduled basis, and it's day in and day out, night in, night out. They run that schedule."

Duff: "Ah . . . I was just recalled that I was interrupted when I asked an earlier question . . . ah . . . that I don't think was similar to another one where relative 'to substantial number of elderly persons' . . . ah . . . what . . . were you asked before what the intent of that phrase, how you determine substantial numbers?"

Juckett: "I didn't ask you that, but if you're asking me that . . . ah . . . that's why they're in the urban areas because there are more people in the urban areas than in the non-urban areas, and in that category there are many that are elderly."

Duff: "Well, yeah, but how do you determine what's substantial?"

Juckett: "How do I determine it?"

Duff: "Yeah. Or who would . . ."



Juckett: "Well, there's probably more than one."

Duff: ". . . well, who would determine it?"

Juckett: "Well, this is in the 'whereas clause', and the 'whereas clause' is the determination by the Legislature that there are many people that are in need of these services . . ."

Duff: "I see."

Juckett: ". . . and we don't put a specific number because there's been no test made of specific numbers, unless you take private bus companies and public companies that do give these services. For instance, the C.T.A. could probably give you a total of the number of senior citizen passes that they've given."

Duff: "I see. On page 2, line 17, it suggests . . . excuse me for just a minute . . . Representative Houlihan says I'm doing a good job and he said something I can't quote publicly, unless he'd like me to . . . Representative Juckett, it says, 'any persons may apply to the Secretary', how would they apply, are there provisions made for forms . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea . . . Representative Duff, I know you had a point of personal privilege for a couple of moments, but your time is running out. Would you conclude your remarks or questions please?"

Duff: "Right. I'll just ask two more questions."

Juckett: "Okay, on that elderly portion there is a provision in the Amendment, which was adopted yesterday, which does provide for the regulation by the Department of Transportation to set up these procedures and the bus companies and transit companies would follow these regulations as to how they apply et cetera."

Duff: "Ah . . . Fine, I'd like to ask you this one now. I have had a very serious inquiry from one of my constituents relative to the availability of transportation on the special education bus lines for the Special Education Districts, and I know it's an area that you're knowledgeable in . . . ah . . . but we've had a great deal of difficulty getting a clear . . . clear opinion from O.S.P.I. relative to whether persons who are not in Special Education Districts could use the buses . . . ah . . . within those



NOV 15 1973

98.

districts to travel intra- the regular School District, excuse me, inter-districts, not intra-districts; but using the Special Education District bus lines in order to accomplish it. Would those kinds of bus lines be able to provide programs . . . ah . . . where a . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Duff, could you conclude the questioning, please."

Duff: ". . . well, let me finish this sentence, and I'll be finished . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'll . . . okay."

Duff: ". . . now, where those bus lines were available, could they provide special reduced fares for outside school activities for the handicapped and special education, and could that be easily put into this Bill? If it wasn't, maybe you can comment on the problems that would be involved in accomplishing that."

Juckett: "In an . . . in answer to that last paragraph, I think that the special education buses are those which are privately hired from . . . ah . . . bus companies by the School Districts, and they are in operation from early morning until late at night transporting the kids. So I don't know if there would be any availability for regular bus service."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee."

Dee: "Mr. Speaker, I'm the Gentleman behind Representative Juckett. Ah . . . There . . ."

Juckett: "You're in front of me now."

Dee: ". . . there are certain phases of your Bill that I'd like to delve into. Now, this reimburses the C.T.A., does it not for carrying the children? . . ."

Juckett: "C.T.A. would be one of the facilities reimbursed, yes."

Dee: ". . . and they are reimbursed on the basis of their loss, this . . . ah . . . regular fares, the reduce fare as opposed to the regular fare?"

Juckett: "That is correct, not to exceed 50% of that adult fare."

Dee: "Now, . . . ah . . . in doing this, how do we derive at the amount of money due to the C.T.A.? Now, I happen to be in some small way familiar with how the C.T.A. works on their older people . . ."



and on their children. Now, how do the . . . I know, that when you get on the bus if you're riding at a reduced fare, you show a card, which in the case of the school children are purchased by them, in the case of the older people . . . ah . . . they are issued these cards to verify that they are . . . ah . . . eligible for this discount, now, when they deposit their reduced fare in the coin box, I would like you to tell me how we arrive or how the C.T.A. arrives at the exact amount due it for carrying these children at a reduced rate, and how the state can verify their payments to the C.T.A.?"

Juckett: "I think you have a very good question there. Now, it's my understanding that the C.T.A. does a spot-check for a 24-hour period once each month or each quarter, and through that they then estimate the number of full fares, adult fares, senior adult fares and child's fares. In other bus companies, the . . . ah . . . the senior citizen or the student must purchase in . . . in advance the ticket which is used or the token which is used to be put into the coin box along with the regular fare. Now, there is no exact provision in this Bill stating that the C.T.A. must do it, as the other companies do; but in . . . now, in the past, the C.T.A. has done this procedure of the spot-check. They've done this because there has been no statutory authority or statutory retirement . . . requirement making them come up with this specific number. Now, in this Bill it says that 'the company must indicate the number of rides by school children and the number of rides by elderly persons'. So I would imagine that the C.T.A. would have to change their methods and they would have to do it the way that the private companies are now doing it, so that they would have an exact count on every day. Otherwise, if they did not do this, the state could very well be reimbursing for more rides than were actually taken. So I don't think they would have the same method as they did before."

Dee: "It seems incredible, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the State of Illinois has been subject to reimbursing the C.T.A. on the basis of a spot-check, which is made at the convenience and



under the control of the C.T.A., and I certainly hope Representative Juckett, that this Bill will correct this inequity or possible miscarriage in true error or passage error on the part of the C.T.A. to collect fares which no one is able to ascertain as being the correct amount; and I certainly for one as a Member of this House thank you for coming up with this exemplary Bill that will stop this practice. Thank you, Sir."

Juckett: "Well, Representative Dee, I will do my utmost to make sure that the C.T.A. and all companies do give us an accurate count every day on each of these categories and one based on actual ridership."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones."

Jones, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask Representative Juckett a question. Here in Sangamon County in Springfield, we had a bus problem, transportation problem, so we organized five years ago by vote of the people a Mass Transit District, and this district was voted into being by the . . . the people of the metropolitan area of Springfield . . ."

Juckett: "Excuse me, Dave, could you start over, I didn't get the first part of that. There was a lot of noise here?"

Jones, J.: ". . . here in the Springfield metropolitan area we had a transportation problem where the old private bus companies just couldn't hack it. They had about 700,000 fares a year and the equipment was terrible, the service was terrible and the people weren't just using it. So we organized a Mass Transit District by a vote of the people in this . . . in the three main townships of metropolitan Springfield, and with the .5 cent tax levy and it organized and is now in operation a Mass Transit District; and it's working because the fares went from 700,000 on the old system to 3,000,000 last year, and it's even more for this year. Now, my question is, under your Bill what will it do for the Mass Transit Districts that are downstate, like the one in Springfield? Does it . . . ah . . . there is some aid now from the state for the school children rebate. I think it brings in in the Sangamon County



about \$127,000 a year. The tax levy that we passed produces about \$250,000, and with that levy it keeps the agency solvent because without it they'd be going into the red. So the concept of the . . . of the aid from the state is a factor and if you could tell me what this would do as far as . . . our district?"

Juckett: "Well, yeah, okay, as far as that question is concerned, under definition #4 on page 2 of the Bill, it includes in the authority which we've already given to the private companies transit authority which is the Transit District or other public authority. So, whereas, your Transit District was very similar to the C.T.A. problem and were there was no legal statutory authorization to grant to you assistance to school kids and that which you were receiving before, you cannot get now, is similar to the C.T.A. Under this Bill and under definition 4, you will be included and you would be eligible for reimbursement for the reduce school fare, school children fares and the reduced elderly citizen fares."

Jones, J.: "What you're saying is if your Bill has been . . . has been law back in when they had the old private system, that it would've given them some aid and it might've kept them going and they wouldn't have to make the new approach. Is that correct?"

Juckett: "Well, yes, and if it does not become law, your transit company will not get any assistance at all."

Jones, J.: "Well, I just want to say that we have exhibit A of how this transportation problem can be solved by the people in the area voting themselves a tax to provide, and it is working in Sangamon County, and that's for the record, and I think that would be an assistance to . . . ah . . . what you're trying to do to . . . to keep it operating on sound financial basis."

Juckett: "Well, I would then urge you to vote 'aye' on the Bill because if you don't, the school rides that your bus company gives and also your elderly rides would not be reimbursed because there is no authorization now for the state to do that to public companies, only to private companies."

Jones, J.: "The . . . see the school board here engages the bus company to do the transportation of their students in certain areas where



the . . . the busing where from a school that was closed down and taken to another school, so . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "Well, if they have a contract with the School Board, then they are proba . . . the School District is probably reimbursed by 85%, but if it's a common, ordinary student taking a common, ordinary bus ride to a common, ordinary school, and it's not under a contractual relationship, your . . . your Transit District would not be eligible for any re . . . ah . . . reimbursement, unless this Bill becomes law."

Jones, J.: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Juckett: "I'd be happy to."

Huskey: "Representative Juckett, how many Transit Districts are in the State of Illinois?"

Juckett: "Pardon, I couldn't hear that one?"

Huskey: "How many Transit Districts are there in the State of Illinois that your Bill would affect?"

Juckett: "Ah . . . That . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait one minute, please. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like some order in the Chamber. Now, we've been on this Bill for almost an hour. I don't know why we're trying to delay getting past one, and I would not want to accuse you or Members that you know of delaying so that we can't get Roll Call votes on the important things we're down here for, but I would ask you to use the powers and authority of your good office to help move things along."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Members please be in their seats and . . . ah . . . be quiet and those who are not authorized to be on the House floor, please remove themselves so that the orderly business can proceed . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "You're asking me the answer to the question?"

Huskey: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Representative Juckett . . ."

Juckett: "Okay, you . . . you asked me how many transit companies are



there in the state that would come under this Bill. I honestly couldn't give you that answer. All I could give you is that the appropriation which passed in House Bill 1979 took into consideration all of the companies that were in operation and I would assume that there was sufficient monies in that Bill . . . ah . . . to cover all of the Transit Districts. If there were are . . . there was not sufficient monies, there is a pro-ratable section in our Bill and in the current law which we're amended, which would say that if there's not enough money, then based on the number of claims each of the companies will have a pro-rata share."

Huskey: "Ah . . . Representative Juckett, is there a district in Rockford?"

Juckett: "I think I would have to yield to my colleague, Representative North and Representative Simms. I think there probably is . . . ah . . ."

Huskey: "And could you tell me how your Bill would affect the Transit District in Rockford?"

Juckett: "Well, if the Bill is not passed, the Transit District in Rockford would not eligible for any reimbursement for school children or elderly, which they carry on their regularly scheduled routes."

Huskey: "Or is there . . . is there a Transit District in Rockford, Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "Well, my colleagues from Rockford don't seem to be available right now, but I think there is a Transit District in Rockford."

Huskey: "How about . . ."

Juckett: "But I don't know, I can't answer that for sure."

Huskey: ". . . well, let's . . . let's pass Rockford until your colleagues get back, but how about Peoria?"

Juckett: "Yes, there is one in Peoria. There used to be a private bus company and we had a Bill in there to . . . for the total amount and as the Bill was progressing through the House and the Senate, that private company went out of business, and they formed a Transit District."

Huskey: "Could . . . ah . . . could you spell out the dollars that would



NOV 15 1973

104.

be allotted to Peoria through your Bill?"

Juckett: "Well, I have no idea because they haven't given the school rides yet and they haven't given the elderly rides yet, and we wouldn't be able to know that until they had done their first quarter business and filed. I mean this was . . . this is what Representative Dee was talking about, you can't make it on estimates, you've got to make it on actual rides."

Huskey: "Well, now, I'm very concerned about East St. Louis. We have a problem there with their Transit District overlapping with Missouri, where it is working on a . . . on a joint financial basis. How are we going to work out East St. Louis' Transit District?"

Juckett: "Well, my understanding is that we did not give them the money last Session to continue the operation on the Illinois side because Missouri had voted not to give them the money; but I assume that . . . ah . . . when you're talking about school children, you're not talking about Illinois children going into School Districts in Missouri, so there wouldn't be an affect."

Huskey: "Yeah, but . . . but how can we separate that?"

Juckett: "Well, that would be very simple. They would have their operation of how they collect the school fees and how they collect the school fares, and there aren't any . . . there aren't going to be any school children from Illinois going to Missouri, and, likewise, there won't be any school children coming Missouri to Illinois."

Huskey: "Well, what about the priv . . ."

Juckett: "This covers only the grammar school and high school and not colleges."

Huskey: ". . . well, what about the private schools, Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "Private schools?"

Huskey: "Yes, private schools."

Juckett: "It would have to be a school that was approved by the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and I doubt whether our inimitable . . . ah . . . Superintendent of the State of Illinois



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

would ever approve his school in the State of Missouri."

Huskey: "Now, Champaign's a college town with many, many students.

How would your Bill affect Champaign? Is there a Transit District in Champaign?"

Juckett: "It would only affect those school children in the grammar schools and high schools. It would not affect any of those going to the University of Illinois."

Huskey: "And would that same thing apply in Carbondale?"

Juckett: "Yes, if their school children going to grammar school and high school in Carbondale, it would affect them. It would not affect any of the students going to the Univer . . . or Southern Illinois University at the Carbondale campus."

Huskey: "Now, Representative Juckett, is there a transit system in Quincy?"

Juckett: "My lady in blue here in my row could certainly give me the answer and she's saying that there is a Transit District in Quincy. I think they had to do that because they don't have any roads there, and all of the automobiles broke down riding over them, and so they got the heavier duty buses . . . ah . . . where they could use them."

Huskey: "Now, how about in Johnson County?"

Juckett: "Well, in Johnson County, I've been in that county, and let me tell you that there is . . . ah . . . they've got they've got the finest roads in the State of Illinois and in the United States of America. I was going down there to one of the mental institutions to do an inspection and I was interrupted 10 times on a short distance with 10 road crews and I checked with their . . . ah . . . County Chairman, and they're County Chairman was very proud of the fact that they had all those people and if you want any further answers, you could ask C. L. McCormick. He was the County Chairman and he was the one that was very proud of it."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Juckett, thank you very much for your informative answers."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Dyer. You're



next, Jack. Dyer, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Did he say Dyer? Did you say, Representative Dyer? I couldn't hear. It's kind of hard to hear in here. Ah . . . Will the Sponsor yield for . . . ah . . . several questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Dyer: "Representative Juckett . . ."

Juckett: "Yes?"

Dyer: ". . . I think this is an excellent, excellent Bill, and I . . ."

Juckett: "Thank you very much."

Dyer: ". . . I'm concerned about several angles pertaining to both the children and the older people. Let's start first with . . . with safety."

Juckett: "Well, having just left the child class and fast approaching the elderly class, I can sympathize with your safety."

Dyer: "Good. Ah . . . Well, having two grandchildren, I'm concerned naturally about the safety regulations and I wondered if in order to get funds . . ."

Juckett: "Well, I have four 'grand' children, but not grandchildren. Okay."

Dyer: ". . . I'll be glad to show you pictures of my grandchildren, anyone who would like to see them, but I am concerned about the safety, and I'm wondering if you can tie safety regulations in with the matching funds. For instance, some of the state has regulations about safety Bills to be provided. I wonder if . . . if you have provided any for safety belts that should be in these buses?"

Juckett: "No, there's no provisions in that, as I indicated before, Representative McGrew's Bill covers all of the safety features."

Dyer: "All right, now, the next question I wondered about . . . ah . . . I know of your deep interest and concern for handicapped children, and do you tie in under some of the new legislation any . . . ah . . . equipment that must be provided for for children with physical disabilities that might be riding these buses?"

Juckett: "Most of those children are in the special ed' vehicles, which do have the ramps and which do lift them up, either in their wheel



chair or because of the braces that they might have on their legs."

Dyer: "Now, are the . . . are these special education districts included in this legislation? Would this be available to children that go to special education . . ."

Juckett: "Well, special ed' has their own transportation program."

Dyer: "But you could have a reciprocal kind of thing?"

Juckett: "Well, if it was necessary, yes."

Dyer: "If necessary, all right. How about the mentally retarded children . . . ah . . . could you . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "They would be in the special ed' program."

Dyer: "How about the gifted children? Would . . . would . . . could you work out a reciprocal deal with the O.S.P.I. on . . . on . . ."

Juckett: "It's my understanding they're under the special ed', too."

Dyer: "All right. Now, I'm . . . I'm really satisfied now about the . . . the safety and the different kinds of children. I'd like to ask you another question on a . . . on a different. How about the children in a university laboratory school, would they be included in this? In our university systems, some of them have laboratory schools."

Juckett: "Offhand I don't know, but if that school was approved by the O.S.P.I. and if they were in the category of from kindergarten through high school, yes, they would be eligible if they read . . . if they rode a regularly scheduled route."

Dyer: "Well, now, how about . . . do you have any limitation on basis a week. For instance, could the Seventh Day Adventist's children use these on Sundays?"

Juckett: "Not if the school is not in . . . ah . . . session."

Dyer: "All right, finally, it's an excellent Bill. It really has satisfied all my concerns. Then there's a final one, we . . . we all know that in revenue sharing program, the way it's worked out, townships all have received quite a bit of money. Is there any way that you can tie in that perhaps local townships could dedicate some of their funds, if they have senior citizen programs, and help to play for that aspect of the . . ."

Juckett: "Yes, that would be possible."



Dyer: "That would be possible. Thank you very, very much, Representative Juckett. That's all of my questions."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer. I've got a list here . . . Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House; Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Lauer: "Mr. Sponsor . . ."

Juckett: "If you could keep it as short as possible. I don't know how long . . . much longer I can stand up at the microphone."

Lauer: ". . . Representative Juckett, knowing the strength of your convictions, I would anticipate that you could withstand virtually anything. Representative Juckett, those of us who are downstaters probably have had our situation best exemplified by a matchbook I picked up a while ago, and I'm quoting the only thing that is on the outside cover of the matchbook, it says, 'We still don't know'; and quite frankly those of us from downstate still don't know, although we can quite conceivably vote for an R.T.A. Bill, but I'm in the position . . ."

Juckett: "This is not an R.T.A. Bill."

Lauer: "Which one are we on?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're not on the R.T.A. Bill. It . . . it's a cousin to the R.T.A. Bill. Related?"

Lauer: "This is consultation, is it not?"

Juckett: "Yes."

Lauer: "It is? Okay, there is a particular area that we in the 44th District are interested in because I don't know if you know very much about the 44th District, but we are quite . . ."

Juckett: "I . . . I drive through it every week."

Lauer: ". . . I'm sure you do. In order to come from Cook County and get to Springfield, you would virtually have to come through the 44th District, but while we are known as an area of rich farmlands and as an area of small towns, one of the concerns that bothers all of us is the rural poverty that is not obvious. I would



like to state for you, Mr. Sponsor, the situation of rural poverty as it exists in downstate Illinois. The people who are caught in rural poverty are by and large people who are white, people who are older, and by older, I mean retired. I don't know if you're aware of the agricultural economics of the last 20 years, Mr. Sponsor, but it is a situation that times on the farm in the last 20 years have not been good. In the last two years they've been superb. In fact, I saw a bumper sticker that said if you're going to complain about the farmer don't talk with your mouth full; but it is a case, Mr. Juckett, that for 18 of the last 20 years."

Juckett: "I think you showed me that bumper sticker, but go ahead."

Lauer: "Yes, I think I did. I wanted to recall it to your memory though; but for the last 20 years, 18 of those 20 years, agricultural industry in central Illinois has been returning about 1.8% on the capital investment, and from this situation we find those people who are . . . who are 65 and over who have retired within the last 10 years, the last 15 years and we now find . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait, wait one minute, Representative Lauer, please, one moment please. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman's been talking for 10 minutes about the farm problem and agriculture and we've got a Bill for transporting children and elderly. Now, I know full well that you wouldn't want the people of your Legislative District that so importantly and heavenly depend on C.T.A. to think that you, acting Speaker of this House, killed transit in the State of Illinois. I know you wouldn't want them to think that. So could I ask you to use the good powers of your office to try to move with dispatch on these Bills, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, . . . ah . . . you place in my hands great power, which no one really has. It's impossible to kill something that you have already killed and your side of the aisle has already killed. So I disclaim that innuendo and that they veiled threats and would be happy at any time to discuss



this issue with you and your leader up in Chicago and just see how . . . where the issue really lies. Representative Lauer, proceed, Sir."

Lauer: "If the Gentleman from Cook will give me the forbearance, I thought that perhaps a little background information might be worthwhile because mine is known as a rich district, but rural poverty does exist and most of those who are in rural poverty are elderly. These are people who are beyond 65. The situation is this so . . . when you talk about the transportation of older people, you not only are talking about older people in urban areas, you're talking about people in rural areas. My question to Mr. Juckett, the Sponsor of this Bill, is specifically this, what provision is made for rural downstate Illinois and the transportation of older people who find themselves in the situation of not owning private transportation of having to depend upon other people, of having to impose upon friends or family to even go to such mundane things as going to the grocery store, which may in rural areas be 7, or 8 or 10 miles away. What provision is made in your Bill, Mr. Juckett, for these people?"

Juckett: "Well, first of all, you would have to have a bus company that would be serving that area. If you do not have a bus company, then there can be no reimbursement. If there's no transit company, there could be no reimbursement. After you've determined whether there's a bus company or transit company, then you would have to determine whether it would be a standard metropolitan statistical area as defined by the United States' Bureau of the Census. If it falls within that category, then every three months you would be able to make that application to the Department of Transportation for reimbursement of the reduced fares for the elderly or the school children, in your case the elderly, provided your bus company or your Transit District actually did give reductions in fares for the elderly."

Lauer: "Is there any provision in the Bill or in those specific areas some sort of subsidy or some sort of feed money, whereby, if there is not a . . . ah . . . mass transportation system . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "One minute, Jack. Representative Lechowicz, for what pur . . . did you want . . . ah . . . Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the Gentleman has exceeded his time. I would hope he'd bring his remarks to a close."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I think . . . I think his point is well taken, Representative Lauer. Can you . . . ah . . . conclude your remarks, Sir?"

Juckett: "In answer to your question that you're getting to, this Bill would not provide any capital funds for the purchase or the enstatement of any kind of a bus company or transit company. It would merely give reimbursement to existing private companies or existing transit districts."

Speaker Telcser: "One more question, Representative Lauer, because your time really is running out."

Lauer: "Well . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe he's already working on Tim Simms' time."

Lauer: "One final question if I may?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Ted, with your sufferance?"

Lauer: "It is a case, Mr. Juckett, those of us in downstate Illinois have not found it necessary in the past to set up these Mass Transit Districts because we have not been faced with the problem of transportation of the rural poor until the last 10 or 15 years. Is there any possible way that under the . . . the aegis of your Bill that a Transporation District could be set up and then could qualify to come under the provisions of your Bill?"

Juckett: "The transit company would not be established under the provisions of the Bill, but once you had established this transit company and it's met the criteria of the Bill, and the company in its operation met all the requirements of the number of rides and the safeguards, et cetera, that are built into the Bill, then they could make the application and then we would be happy as the Sponsor of the Bill to certainly reimburse that kind of a transit company or bus company if they were making the . . . ah . . . the



rides at a reduced rate for the elderly. I would certainly hate to see that the elderly in your area would not be able to get to the grocery store to buy their food."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; thank you, Mr. Juckett. I have no more questions."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, now that we've spent over an hour in questioning this one Bill, and I think everyone has read this Bill prior to the questioning, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the previous question. Five Members have requested a Roll Call. The Members please be in their seats. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, has moved the previous question. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Now, . . . ah . . . in order for the Gentleman's motion to prevail, it takes two-thirds of those voting on the question . . . is that right? . . . and . . . and two-thirds of those voting on the question or 89. Okay? Is there . . . Ann is here, Ann get off the phone please? 89 or two-thirds?"

Ms. Ann Lousin: "Yes, it's two-thirds . . . two-thirds of those voting on the question."

Speaker Telcser: "Or 89?"

Ms. Ann Lousin: "Two-thirds."

Speaker Telcser: "Two-thirds of those voting on the question, period, Robert's Rules of Orders. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Can a motion to cut off debate, just a straight motion to cut off debate with 89 votes carry?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, there's nothing to provide for the limiting of debate, Representative Shea. It is . . . it is in essence the same as moving a previous question. This question will take two-thirds of those voting on the question. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, has moved the previous question.



All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought that always in the past 89 votes you could do anything in this House; and I'd like to know as far as . . . since we've gone to Robert's Rules of Order, I thought we were operating under the rules of the House, and it was always my intention it was two-thirds of those people voting on the question or 89 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, well, Ted, Rule 66 of our own House rules, which in respect to the question of motion for the previous question, if you refer to Section E of Rule 66 from the House rules, which we adopted for these Special Sessions, it reads, 'Motion for the previous question requires approval of two-thirds of the Members voting on the question', period."

Lechowicz: "I withdraw my motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has . . . Rep . . . Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, Representative Lechowicz has withdrawn his motion to move the previous question. Representative Collins has now arisen and moves the previous question. Are there five Members who request a Roll Call? There are five Members who request a Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, has moved the previous question. This will take two-thirds of those voting on the question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Could we try a 'yea' and 'nay' first and see if the . . . the Speakers here would tell us?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, five Members had already raised their hands, Representative Shea, and I think that would preclude even . . . ah . . . an oral Roll Call in which the Speaker's ears could be tested. The Clerk proceed with the Roll Call."



Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet . . . Brummet? . . . Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham . . . How do you vote? . . . D'Arco, Davis . . . 'aye'? . . . Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, the General Assembly of the State of Illinois at this moment has reached a low point in my career as a Member of this House. What's going on right now under the guise of representing the people whom we were elected to represent here in Springfield, is a despicable example of how government can operate. I wouldn't even vote on this foolish issue, and I vote 'present' on this very important matter that we're now voting on."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bob Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Douglas put it very well, and while we're . . . I don't know really what's going on here. I'm not privy to what the Leaders are doing, if you want to call them Leaders; but right behind these two Bills . . . right behind these two Bills are House Bills 2 and 3 that provide very important tax relief for the people of this state; and I don't understand why we can't end this nonsense and get to these Bills . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . ."

Dunne: ". . . and I probably vote 'aye', if that . . . if the Resolution will end this nonsense."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Record the . . . record the Member as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Douglas and Dunne convinced me if I'm in order, I'd like to withdraw my motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has withdrawn his motion to move the previous question. Dump that Roll Call. Now, we'll revert back . . . is there any further discussion? If not, Representative Juckett to close the debate."



NOV 15 1973

115.

Juckett: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the Bill has been thoroughly discussed. I don't know if there are many other questions that could be asked, but just in case that there were, in case that we forgot something, just remember it's for the school kids and for the elderly, it's reimbursement of the companies that are taking 'em at reduced fares; and I urgently solicit your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Gentleman's asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Hearing no objections, on this question 160 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 2."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2, Schlickman, an Act to exempt prescription, non-prescription medicines, drugs, and medical supplies and common household . . . household remedies for human consumption from certain taxes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2 would eliminate entirely all taxes on prescription and non-prescription medicines, drugs, medical supplies and common household medicinal remedies for human consumption. It's a companion to House Bill 3, which would increase from \$1,000 to \$1,300 the State of Illinois Income Tax exemption. House Bill 2 would provide tax relief in the total amount of \$30,000,000. Now, it's common knowledge that the sales tax in Illinois is regressive and burdens the low income persons the most. Fortunately, the 1970 State Constitution allows us to reduce the regressive nature of the sales tax by exempting reasonable classification. Today, 30 other states have acknowledged the regressive nature of the general sales tax by either exempting entirely or levying a lower rate on drugs and medicines in one form or another. Last spring, Maurice Scott, Executive Vice-President of the Illinois Taxpayer Federation, when considering the various tax relief measures then under consideration rated this Bill, House Bill 2, as the Bill most



deserving of passage. This Bill standing alone and . . . or in conjunction with House Bill 3 is a fiscally responsible approach with three primary advance . . . advantages over other tax relief programs. First, it helps the beleaguered individual non-business taxpayer. Secondly, by reducing the regressive nature of the sales tax, it will aid . . . it will aid those in greatest need, the sick, the elderly and the poor. Additionally, the administrative cost involved was kept to a minimum. This Bill was considered at the spring of this year, it was thoroughly discussed and received an overwhelming vote. I ask for your favorable consideration and the same overwhelming vote that you gave us in the spring. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is . . . is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber. Oh, Harry, can you hang on a second, please? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Let me get him first."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was interested in the Gentleman's comments as to the regressivity of the sales tax. Ah . . . He mentioned to us three times that the sales tax is indeed regressive and yet his objection to the Republican program and the reduction of the sales tax if you reduce the sales tax, you must reduce this regressivity. Ah . . . He, however, cannot support that, but contends and says absolutely that it is regressive. I think that he's in contradiction a little bit. I'd like to also point out that this really is a misnomer, this isn't tax relief for the taxpayers. This is tax relief for the pharmacists, and while I like the temporary Speaker very much, and Representative Ryan is a dear friend of mine, there are a lot of saloon keepers I like better, and I think that they and everybody else ought to be put in pretty much the same class. I ask . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "And Representative . . . you got another one here, Bill, be careful, Representative Williams. There's three of us so be careful . . . be careful of . . ."

Walsh, W.: ". . . yeah, I include you in there as a friend also in spite of the way you vote. Now, my friends, the pharmacists, here may



NOV 15 1913

disagree with the . . . with what I have to say and I welcome their comments, but I asked my secretary, Mrs. Morris, to poll as many pharmacists as she could in the LaGrange area, which is where I come from, and also the Arlington Heights area, where the Sponsor of this Bill comes from. She called three from each area and found that not one of the six called added the sales tax to the customers Bill. So what we're doing here is we're permitting the pharmacists not to pay any tax, while we're requiring that everybody else pay tax. You see they don't pass this tax along. They pay it themselves, they absorb it, and again with apologies to my friends, the pharmacists, here, I think perhaps they charge enough so they might do this. So I suggest to you that this is not a good idea. I have the greatest respect for the Sponsor of this Bill, except sometimes. It is not good idea because it does not afford tax relief to the people who are paying it. All it does is let the pharmacists get away without paying sales tax and that's not fair; and I urge you to oppose this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Well, one minute, Representative Ryan, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Ryan: "Well, yes, I'd just like to set the record straight here with . . . with your help and Jack's help. In the first place, there is no sales tax on prescription medication. It's a service occupational tax, it's not the retail occupational tax, as it . . . the 5% that's normally paid. Consequently, the pharmacists normally absorbs it because it's such a small amount, you're talking about pennies, and it's not collected at the counter, as Bill has said, and . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Talk about pennies, Representative Ryan, when the prescriptions really cost a lot of dollars, right?"

Ryan: ". . . and I talked to the Sponsor of this Bill earlier today. Ah . . . You talk about a phony deal. This is about the phoniest deal that I've seen come through the House yet. Ah . . . As far as tax relief to the people, this is not going to provide any tax relief as far as the people that take medication or use . . . use drugs is concerned; and I think you should all be aware of that.



I think you'd agree with me, Art'. I think Jack would agree with me, and you almost lost my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "My only comment, Mr. Speaker, was that after months and months of dumping all of our lawyers, it's fine to see another occupation getting it."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you, Harry. Is there any further discussion?"

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, to close."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we were presently considering the tax relief measure sponsored by the Speaker of this House last spring, I would agree with the remarks of the Majority Leader. You will recall that the Speaker of this House introduced a Bill last spring reducing by .02 cents the sales tax on prescription drugs, but did nothing about non-prescription drugs, did nothing about medical supplies, which are taxes, and which are a substantial part of the things that poor people, the elderly need and use to maintain their health. I said earlier, there's been sufficient consideration, discussion of this matter last spring, I'm sorry, if someone wanted to be . . . if some want to be partisan, want to be dilatory, I again solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 2 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait a second, now, did you want to ask for . . . the Gentleman has asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any ob . . . now, wait, there's objections. Objection, objection. The question is, shall House Bill 2 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'.
Oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, having just recently in the last few days participated



as a consumer in this process of purchasing drugs and medicines for my two small children, I don't know perhaps that large firm that I purchased my prescription from hand't got the message; but I paid tax just about three days ago; and I think that this is a good Bill, and I vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, one moment, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote, in that I want the Members of this House and the citizens of this state to know that I do, indeed, applaud the Sponsor and the Governor of this state for trying to reach an area of compromise in this most important area of tax relief. I think they are showing . . . ah . . . elements of leadership that are not shown by some of the other political leaders in this state, unfortunately, but I must vote against this Bill on principle and in good conscience because I think that the statements made by Representative Ryan and the Majority Leader of this House are indeed true. This Bill will, indeed, provide no tax relief and that we are talking about a tax now that is a service occupation tax and not an R.O.T. and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis . . . 'aye'? . . . Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, who was that . . . one minute, Representative D'Arco, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

D'Arco: "'Aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative D'Arco voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ebbesen, Epton . . . Bernie' 'aye'? . . . Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi,



Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker . . . 'aye'? . . . Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jension, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kosinski, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kosinski: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, before I cast my unenthusiastic 'aye' vote, may I ask a point of parliamentary inquiry?"

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Kosinski: "Ah . . . It is my understanding that the Senate has gone home for the day, and is my understanding correct that this is academic procedure unless we remain here through Saturday . . . through Saturday?"

Speaker Telcser: "Let's see, if we pass it tonight, Sunday."

Kosinski: "Then I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Assuming . . . the Senate and the House . . . assuming the Senate wish . . ."

Kosinski: ". . . then I reiterate an unenthusiastic 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Unenthusiastic 'yes'."

Clerk Selcke: "Art', how do you vote, how do you vote though?"

Speaker Telcser: "You put a 'u' before the 'y'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber . . . okay, Buddy . . . Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Martin, Matijevich, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, . . . I got you, Leroy . . . McClain, McCourt, McGah, McGrew . . . you don't want on here, Toby? . . . McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murphy, for what purpose do you arise?"

Murphy: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't question the sincerity of the Sponsor of this Bill, but I find it



to be a very discriminatory Bill, anti- some religions because, for instance, the Christian Science Church of God, many religions that do not use medicines will get no benefits from this Bill at all; and on top of that the both . . . the prescription bills, the prescription orders, the tax is hidden in those, and so there's really no benefit to this Bill at all; and I think that this is actually a sham when you say, 'It's saving money for the people, it's reducing the tax for the people'; and I'm very please to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . all right . . . Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan . . . okay . . . Sangmeister . . . 'aye'? . . . Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims . . . Arthur, a little order, I can't hear . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Could the Members please hold the noise level down? The Clerk cannot hear how you're voting."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone . . . Jack, you want that window blowin' on you? . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, wait a second, a little order. All right, now, we have a little problem . . . little problem. The Gentleman would like the window closed and the Members say they won't close it. Now, let's put out the smoke and we'll close the window."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . I'm getting a sore throat and it's blowing right on me . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "A little bit of a brouhaha here. Well, the Clerk is going to get a sore throat because the window is open and the Members indicate there's too much smoke, now we've got an impasse. Representative . . . now, can we . . . are we done with the Roll Call? Well, the Clerk would like to know if we can open another window so it doesn't blow directly on him, that's his only . . . ah . . . open a widnow about half way up the . . . ah . . . the wall. If the Clerk gets a sore throat, the operation is shut down."



Ivan, Mr. Doorkeeper, would you . . . can you settle this problem. After seven years, we've got something for the Doorkeeper to do. All right, there's a conference going on. Right, the Doorkeeper. Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolfe, B.: "A point of parliamentary inquiry, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Wolfe, B.: "Now, Gene Schlickman didn't have to go to all this trouble to illustrate that if we're going to go out and buy drugs and if we wait long enough we're going to get the sales tax knocked off and everyone of us is not only going to need the drugs, will need a hospital bed, will need more nurses over in the sick bay, more doctors, Doctor Bruce, and I think we ought to recognize that Fred, our Clerk, has been doing a terrific job under that circumstance and, Fred, a little sore throat won't hurt you in view of the fact that sales tax is going off drugs."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait, wait, wait, Representative Leinenweber wants to add a comment to the situation."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, this General Assembly last spring resolved that the windows should be opened. So I think it's a subtle question, and particularly in regards to the compromise that was reached between those who wanted the State Fire Marshalls to . . . ah . . . get along with the no smoking, enforce the no smoking ordinance in here and those who aren't at fault."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you arise?"

Cunningham: "Well, I wanted to publicly say how proud I am to serve in the House with Bruce Douglas, he's got the courage of his convictions, the rest of you scofflaws have that sign on the wall, it says, 'no smoking', that was brought to your attention a long time ago. Douglas and I have repeatedly reminded you that smoking is a foul, dirty and wicked habit, and be very in . . . injurious to your health, and we feel a compassion for you, but our basic concern is for our own well-being, and I suggest that we all . . . we all emulate the example of Bruce Douglas that's standing up for what we know as right. Now, when the rest of you reform, then



I'll join with you to throw Bruce out and close the window, but un . . . but until then I'm standing with Douglas. Pete Fish, you aren't listening to me."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Taylor, Telcser . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Record me as voting 'present', Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, Richard Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Williams, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Williams: "As a registered pharmacist and a Member of this General Assembly, I am proud to cast my 'aye' vote for tax relief for the people of the State of Illinois. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentle . . . record the Gentleman as voting 'aye', Mr. Clerk. Now, Fred, Representative Williams votes 'aye'. Would you continue with the Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Holloway, for what purpose do you arise? Bob Holloway. How is Representative Bob Holloway recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Holloway, R.: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Bob Holloway as voting 'aye'.

Now, here's a few more, Fred, so let's try and get them. Hirschfeld 'aye', Hirschfeld 'aye', Emil Jones 'aye' . . . we'll get you all . . . Caldwell 'aye' . . . you got him, Fred? . . . Caldwell 'aye', Brinkmeier 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Who?"

Speaker Telcser: "Brinkmeier 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "I got you, got you."

Speaker Telcser: "We got you, Ralph. Representative Jenison 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, got him."

Speaker Telcser: "We got you, Representative Jenison."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, I got you, Romie, I saw you over there."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any other Members who wish to get on this Roll Call or off of it or . . . there are plenty. If anybody wants



to jump on, start jumpin'. On this question there are 143 'ayes', 6 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 3."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 3, an Act to amend Sections 204, 702, 703 of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh, R.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3 is a very simple Bill. It merely increases the individual exemption under the Illinois Income Tax Act from \$1,000 to \$1,300. The fiscal impact of this Bill would be some \$75,000,000 less revenue in the General Revenue Fund. This Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, would be a very simple of administration. Ah . . . It would provide tax relief to individual Illinois taxpayers, and would amount to \$7.50 per dependents. I believe that . . . ah . . . this is a good approach toward tax relief. I would like to point out to the Membership that . . . ah . . . this Bill is not like any . . . ah . . . a Bill introduced in the spring Session. Ah . . . It's similar to that proposed by the Governor, different in that it would not provide for any refund in the event the taxpayer would not otherwise owe any tax. It provides for tax relief to individual Illinois taxpayers. I urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion with respect to House Bill 3? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first of all, I'd like to say to the Sponsor of this Bill that . . . ah . . . in my commitment to the Speaker in receiving this exalted position, I told him that I could deliver you and things haven't been working too well. Now, to the question of this Bill, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor of the previous Bill said that in reducing the sales tax, we reduced the most regressive of our state taxes, and I would have to agree with him absolutely and I can't for the life of me understand why he wouldn't, using that thinking and the Sponsor of the Bill using that thinking also, support the Republican program to reduce the



sales tax for real tax relief. I can't understand that; but here we have a Bill which proposes to reduce the most equitable tax that we have. I can't say that it's . . . it is the best Act, but it is conceivable; but it is certainly the most equitable tax that we as taxpayers have in the State of Illinois. It has the ingredients that a good tax, if you can use good in the same context as tax, should have. It taxes those who have the ability to pay. Now, what we do is . . . in increasing the exemption, we reduce . . . we don't give any tax relief whatever to people who don't pay sales tax. To the public aid recipients, we do nothing. To the low income . . . ah . . . people, the low income family, we do nothing because they don't file a tax return. Thus, they get nothing. This Bill proposes to take care of the fat cats. Ah . . . It's better, I think, than the Bills that the Governor has come up with before. Ah . . . I'm surprised that the Governor has come up with this Sponsor and he has done better in the Sponsorship this time, too, I'll admit to that; but I suggest to you that the Bill should not be enacted, and what we should do, is wait and pass Senate Bill 1 in this Session for true, equitable tax relief."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman wish to close the debate?"

Walsh, R.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . just once again I would like to indicate this is a bipartisan Bill . . . ah . . . principle Sponsors are Republican. We welcome all. Fortunately, the Governor has seen the light, sees the merits of this Bill and the prior Bill. I just wish some of the people on this side of the aisle would also see the light. I urge for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 3 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Gentleman has asked leave . . . the Gentleman . . . I know he's going to ask . . . the Gentleman has asked leave for the same Roll Call as on House Bill . . . last unanimous Roll Call, he wants, Richard, House Bill 2 or . . . he's asking leave to have the same



Roll Call as on House Bill 2"

Walsh, R.: "Mr. Speaker, why don't you give the last . . . well, how about the last unanimous Roll Call, except this fellow behind me voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Who is that guy? Are there objections to the last . . . last unanimous Roll Call? There are objections. Are there objections to the Roll Call on House Bill 2? Representative Clabaugh, are you objecting, Sir? Okay, record Representative Clabaugh as voting 'no' on this one and since there are no objections to the Roll Call . . . ah . . . House Bill 2 . . . Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beaupre: "I'd like to explain my vote on this."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, proceed, Sir."

Beaupre: "Contrary to my vote on the last Bill, I would like to vote 'aye' on this one, and I do . . . would like to explain that, in that the Bill that we just passed provided very little or no tax relief at all, and that the incidents of taxation in the last Bill is on the purchase of the ingredient for . . . ah . . . prescription drugs on this . . . was the part of the service occupation tax and will not be passed on to the consumer. This is a legitimate tax Bill, and I would like to explain my vote, have it changed to voting 'aye' on this."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Mr. Clerk, could you record Beaupre as voting 'aye' on this Roll Call? Okay, well, let me announce this Roll Call, declare the Bill passed, and for those of you who want to get on . . . is it okay, Mr. Clerk? Let's announce the Bill passed and anybody who wants to get on . . . okay, on this question there are 146 'ayes' . . . if you want to . . . get J. J. Wolf on, Fred . . . how many 'nays' on that last one? . . . 18 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, it's a matter of a personal privilege. I hope that isn't aggression to call attention to the Speaker and to the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that we are honored this



NOV 15 1973

127.

evening to have the Chancellor of the East . . . Illinois Eastern Junior Colleges, District 529, the 54th District, Chancellor James Spencer and his lovely lady in the left rear, and the Chancellor has asked me to thank you for all the kindness that you've shown to junior colleges of the 54th District. Hold up your hand, Chancellor."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I happened to be perusing through the rule book and somewhere in those rules it says . . . I thought it said that . . . ah . . . only the Leadership would make these announcements, and . . . ah . . . it seems to me that we are indeed sort of transgressing upon the rules just like the 'no smoking' rule; and I think that those who throw brickbats all the time ought not live in glass houses."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hirschfeld wishes to respond to that."

Hirschfeld: "Well, no, Mr. Speaker, but I think that the Gentleman from the junior colleges, certainly a distinguished visitor, and I am wondering whether or not the Clerk of the House would supply Representative Cunningham with a Roll Call vote on that junior college Bill we had the other evening, and I'm sure Representative Cunningham would be happy to present it to the president of that particular junior college."

Clerk Selcke: "The Clerk will be glad to accommodate him."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 4."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill . . . House Bill 4, Deuster, an Act in relation to mass transportation in northeastern Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster. Is Representative Deuster on the floor? Yeah, there he is, yeah."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just want to ask Roscoe Cunningham



is he's still backing Jim for the Senate?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, with respect to House Bill 4."

Deuster: ". . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask leave of the House to return House Bill 4 to the order of Second Reading just for the purposes of adopting two Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, then House Bill 4 will be sent back to the order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5, Dyer, amends House Bill 4 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage . . ."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Dyer had to necessarily be away and asked me to handle this Amendment for her. This simply changes the type of referendum that will be in this Bill. Instead of a county by county referendum, it will be the split referendum so to speak so that the Regional Transit Authority would be . . . ah . . . established . . . ah . . . when a majority of those voting on the question is the City of Chicago and also a majority in the outlying areas . . . ah . . . voted in favor of the adoption. I think that it improves the Bill and it makes for a more reasonable referendum; and I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of #5 . . . it's on Second right now . . . the Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 4. All in . . . all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #6, Deuster, amends House Bill 4 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Amendment #6 simply adjusts the board size back to the way the Bill was last spring . . . ah . . . with a larger board. The Governor has indicated he's interested in a larger board and the 21-Member board would be consist . . . would consist of one appointed by the Governor, that would be the Chairman, the Mayor of Chicago



would appoint 10, the . . . ah . . . suburban Cook County Commissioners would appoint five, and there would be one each from the surrounding counties . . . ah . . . and that would be a total of five.

So that's 10 from the Mayor, five from suburban Cook, five from the surrounding counties, that's 20, plus the Chairman that the Governor appoints, that would be 21; and this just goes back to the original Bill I had. I urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 4. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, Representative Deuster."

Clerk Selcke: "Deuster."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman wish to . . . ah . . . have this Bill pass? Representative Deuster, with respect to House Bill 4, do you wish to have us vote on it or do you want to leave on Third, Sir?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I think that . . . ah . . . all of the Members have come here to Springfield to address themselves to this subject, and although a few Members have suggested that . . . ah . . . the hour is growing a little late, I think that . . . ah . . . it's important enough that we ought to take it up, and I would like to move ahead with the consideration of House Bill 4 at this time. I believe that each Member has been provided . . . Mr. Speaker, with an analysis which compares all of the pending Regional Transportation proposals and if there's anyone that doesn't have it, the Pages have an extra copy, and this . . . it was prepared in order for you to see . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . ah . . . go ahead, Don, I'm sorry."

Deuster: ". . . for you to see on all the points that are in contention very clearly and simply with respect to the basic guarantees, with respect to the board composition, the revenue and the operating structure, just how these Bills compare; and they're all getting closer and closer together, and I think all of us are getting closer



and closer to the point where we'd like to vote for a Regional Transit Authority or vote against one; but at least . . . ah . . . to satisfy the desires of the people that this subject be brought up, and I would like to emphasize these quick points and then respond to any questions any Members might have. The board is fairly composed on the basis of one man-one vote. The revenue produced is \$160,000,000 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "A parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Shea: "Ah . . . Has this Bill been enrolled and engrossed?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk indicates it has not."

Shea: "All right, can it be done and back for a vote tonight?"

Speaker Telcser: "Can it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "I doubt it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk says he doubts it."

Shea: "All right. No, I've got no questions."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Proceed, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: ". . . there are . . . Ladies and Gentlemen, there are a couple of points that have never been touched upon in debate before on the subject of Regional Transit that are suggested in a sound line I'd like to bring to your attention. Now, first of all, the Bill you have before you, House Bill 4, is the only one that doesn't give a . . . the R.T.A. an absolute veto power over federal grants in the whole region. So if you've got a Joliet Bus Line or a Waukegan, North Chicago Bus Line, or a DuPage County Mass Transit system, or a Kane County or McHenry County or any kind of a unit government or transit that might qualify for federal aid, this is the only Bill that doesn't give the R.T.A. an absolute veto over federal grants. You ought to think about that. I think this makes this a very attractive vehicle. Secondly, I've . . . mentioned the total revenue produced is \$160,000,000, you'll see that compares with . . . ah . . . \$130 in the Speaker's Bill, \$175 in the Garmisa Bill, and \$165 in the Katz Bill. I



might say there's no money taken out of the Road Fund here. You'll see that on the charts, and . . . ah . . . there is a modest amount from General Revenue, which is less than that in the Governor's Bill; and I think that if you're interested in compromise and interested in sending a vehicle over and interested in a Bill that's fair and that protects everybody, this is it; and I hope that . . . ah . . . you will vote in support of this vehicle so that we can bring this subject to a conclusion and give the Senate something to work on. I think we all know that the Senators feel very strongly about this, and we all know that the Governor does, and I'm confident that there are some defects in this Bill which has been . . . has been developed and polished and amended for 12 . . . 11 months now. I think it's a very good Bill. I'm sure it's not perfect; and if some of you find defects in it, I'm sure they'll be worked over in the Senate or by the Governor through the amendatory veto. I think this is a fair Bill and I offer it to you and I'd be happy to respond to any specific questions anyone might have."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Would the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Gibbs: "This calls for \$40,000,000 of seed . . . ah . . . General Revenue, is that correct?"

Deuster: "Yes, in . . . in response to the Gentleman's question, . . . ah . . . I felt that since the Federal Government supports mass transit and we have heavy burdens on the region that it was also a state problem, witness the fact that we're here tonight, and so a companion Bill which is the next step does provide for that \$40,000,000, but it sets up, Representative Gibbs, a state mass transit fund to be administered by the Secretary of the Illinois Department of Transportation for all of Illinois, not just for Chicago, not just for our region, but for all of Illinois. So it does provide \$60,000,000 of which \$40,000,000 would probably go to the R.T.A. and \$20,000,000 downstate to support mass transit systems downstate. Ah . . . That . . ."



Gibbs: "Where . . . where do you have the \$20,000,000 in here for downstate?"

Deuster: "That's . . . that's in the next Bill coming up. House Bill . . ."

Gibbs: "That's not in this Bill?"

Deuster: ". . . right, it's not in this Bill, no, Sir, but . . ."

Gibbs: "Since we are using State General Revenue Funds here . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, will this take 105 votes? Mr. Speaker? 107 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Have a copy of the Bill, Fred? House Bill 4? Representative Deuster, could you come here for a moment, please? Okay, this will take 107 votes."

Gibbs: "All right, then my last question, Representative Duester, since you're committing State General Revenue Funds to the extent of \$40,000,000, and this Bill, of course, doesn't provide for anything downstate; but assuming that we don't want anything downstate, can you tell me why we should appropriate \$40,000,000 of state funds, for instance, Will County, or one of the other counties outside of Cook? Why should they be treated any differently from Sangamon or Montgomery County?"

Deuster: "Well, they are not being treated any differently. You will notice when we get to House Bill 5 . . . ah . . . which provides for this \$40,000,000 and for the \$20,000,000 to go downstate, House Bill 5 . . . ah . . . earmarks some of the federal revenue sharing money we already have to spread out all over the state. Now, there are, you know, mass transit problems if the need for buses running is not confined to the Chicago region. I think it's something you're interested in Springfield or interested in in Rockford and all of the cities that are growing are going to need buses and so I don't believe that we could do as the Governor did. The Governor's Bill, which you'll see over here, House Bill 12 simply picks \$60,000,000 and throws it to the Chicago region. I didn't think that was fair. So I have in House Bill 5, which will come along, of putting the money in a state bond and to spread it out evenly and fairly over the whole state . . . but I do believe . . ."



Gibbs: "You . . . familiar with the Springfield Mass Transit Authority aren't you? Or do you . . ."

Deuster: ". . . yes, Spring . . . Springfield could qualify for some of the money under House Bill 5 which will follow."

Gibbs: "All right, and you know we don't want any. We're not asking for money . . . money, don't you? Have you been advised to that effect?"

Deuster: "Yes, well, I respect your . . . your feeling . . . ah . . . I do feel this. I do believe that most of the major states in the nation . . . ah . . . do contribute somewhat to mass transit problems in their states. I do believe that the Chicago region must bear . . . must bear the fault and the great burden here, and I have in the financing you will see a regional sales tax, the regional parking tax and the regional gasoline tax, that comes in subsequent Bills. So I think our region ought to stand up and support mass transit; but I do think some modest amount of state funds are legitimate . . . ah . . . and I do believe that there are mass transit needs around the state."

Gibbs: "Thank you, that's all I have."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, at this time I'd like to move the previous question."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Chair will recognize Mr. Deuster to close the debate."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think all of us are probably a little weary about R.T.A.; however, I do think that it's important that those who are interested in this subject have an opportunity to vote 'yes' or 'no'; and I think that this is the time . . . well, it's been a time all along for compromise, but I must say very frankly that I think this is the time when we're hopeful that a large number of Members will search their conscience and say . . . ah . . . the Sponsors of this



Bill, Representative Sangmeister and Representative Sangmeister is just a lowly freshman, Representative Williams is another Sponsor, is just a lowly freshman, as I am, and I hope that you will forgive us for being first-term Members and look at the merits of the Bill, which we are proud of and we think is a good Bill, and . . . ah . . . and if you think it has good features and attractive features and you want to send it over to the Senate and you want to get off dead center, this is a beautiful opportunity to do that. This is not the Speaker's Bill, this is not the Governor's Bill, this is not Bill . . . a Bill produced by any big important people, but we think it's a good Bill and we solicit your support. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall House Bill 4 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'; and this will take 107 votes. So, Mr. Clerk, call the Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "This is House Bill 4. Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are several items of this Bill I'm not crazy about, but compared to another Bill that's coming up tonight and comparable to the Katz Bill, I think this Bill is a far better Bill than the one coming between the Katz Bill and this one, and I would . . . I feel we have fair representation, at least we have the counties represented on it; and we do have a referendum provision, which the C.T.A. even had before it was installed; and, therefore, I would like to vote 'yes'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman,



Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway . . . 'aye'? . . . D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller . . . I got him . . . Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber . . . 'aye'? . . . Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in my judgment, this is not the best R.T.A. Bill. On the other hand, I think the Gentleman from Lake has labored long and very hard on this R.T.A. issue. I think he is to be commended, I think his efforts have helped advance the dialogue and the cause and the total effort in enacting an R.T.A. Bill. I want to compliment the Gentleman from Lake for his very, very diligent work. He's worked extremely hard on this particular measure, as hard as anyone I know in this General Assembly; and I do want to vote 'aye' for his Bill."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Maragos, Martin, Matijevich . . . 'aye'? . . . McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt . . . what did you say, C. L.? Okay . . . McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can't say with certainty whether this is the best Bill or the second best Bill; but I think that it's possible that it's the best Bill that will . . . that will pass constitutional muster. I do not personally favor a referendum. I think that this is a decision that the Legislature must make, and I'm committed to an R.T.A.; but it was just possible that this Bill had a referendum provision, well, for that reason, pass a court test. In any event, as the Sponsor pointed out, there'll be an opportunity for the Governor to consider this and to decide whether or not it can be amended in a way that will satisfy all the requirements of a valid R.T.A.; and I vote 'yes'."



Clerk Selcke: ". . . Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer, to explain his vote."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, here are three freshman that have contributed a great deal . . . ah . . . to a very complex problem that we have in this state, that's complex, transportation is complex anyhow. I think they've done a tremendous amount of work and Mrs. Dyer, of course, contributed a great deal to this. I think we're very fortunate to have this kind of a new Legislator of the Illinois General Assembly. The Bill itself has many of the proposals that I would like to see, and for that reason I'm going to vote 'yes'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, to explain his vote."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just so the accolades don't go to the wrong people . . . ah . . . Mr. Deuster has, and I think Bob Mann has put it well, has worked harder probably than anybody here to try to put together a Bill that will satisfy everybody and he certainly in my opinion has done that because everything in this Bill that we argued for last night to attempt to put into Mr. Garmisa's Bill is a good Bill; and I personally want to compliment Don for all the hard work he's put in here. It's . . . it's just too bad that our personalities get involved, pride of authorship and everything else and we don't recognize the real talent that this man has brought to this Bill; and those of you who have not looked at the comparison sheet or have not read the Bill . . . ah . . . are certainly missing out on a fine R.T.A. Bill; and I'm very happy to support and Cosponsor with him and vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor,



Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "All right, Mr. Fennessey 'aye'. Record Mr. Peters as 'aye', Mr. Telcser as 'aye', Telcser, Mr. Washburn is 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Is that it?"

Speaker Miller: "Are there . . . are there . . . are there Members desiring to vote? Mr. Terzich 'aye'. Mr. Douglas 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Capparelli 'aye'. Kosinski 'aye'. Mr. Schraeder 'aye'. Now, have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, there . . . there will be no requests on my part for a verification, of course, but I would like just a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Miller: "Well, let's . . . ah . . . let's get a Roll Call first here so we know where we are. All right, there are now 46 'ayes', 13 'nays' and 7 voting 'present', 9 voting 'present'. All right, the Gentleman has requested that the absentees be called and he has that right. So, Mr. Clerk, proceed to call the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Collins, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, Dee, DiPrima, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fleck, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hart, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, D. Houlihan, Huskey, Jacobs . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Huskey? Record Mr. Huskey as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Jenison, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kozubowski . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I voted 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "Record Mr. Kempiners as 'aye', Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Krause, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Londrigan . . . Mr. Londrigan, record the Gentleman



as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Madigan, Maragos, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Tom Miller, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Pappas, Patrick, Philip, Randolph, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Soderstrom, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Wall, W. Walsh, Walters, Washington, Williams, B. B. Wolfe; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Shurtz 'no'. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 14 'nays' and 10 answering 'present'. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before making the request that I'm about to make I want to thank all of the Members for your 'aye' votes and I want to remind you of the lady who jumped off the Empire State Building, and as she was passing the 13th floor, somebody heard her say, 'Well, I'm not dead yet'; and I want to say that this vehicle . . . ah . . . is still alive and I'd like to request that it be placed on the order of Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "All right, this . . . ah . . . House Bill 4 will be placed on the order of Postponed Consideration. The next Bill is House Bill 5. The Clerk read it please."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 5, Deuster, an Act to amend Section 5 of an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Yes, I'm inclined to think that . . . ah . . . House Bill 5 and House Bill 6 ought to go along with their mother, and . . . ah . . . they are . . . with their person; and House . . . I would simply say that House Bill 5 is the Bill that would establish the Illinois State Mass Transit Fund, and House Bill 6 is the Bill that would establish a Regional Gasoline Tax and . . . well, I . . . ah . . . I would ask leave for the same Roll Call . . . well, Mr. Speaker, might I just . . . ah . . . well, Mr. Speaker,



I would like to ask that House Bill 5 and House Bill 6 have the same Roll Call as House Bill 4, and they be placed, House Bills 5 and 6, on the order of Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . Mr. Deuster, there was no Roll Call officially on House Bill 4. When it's postponed, the Roll Call is not kept. If it's your desire to have House Bills 5 and 6 placed on Postponed Consideration, you have that right, Sir."

Deuster: "Yes, Sir, that is my request."

Speaker Miller: "All right, House Bills 5 and 6 will also be placed on Postponed Consideration . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 9, Washington, an Act in relation to state programs for long assistance to mass transit carriers in the Counties of Lake, McHenry, Kane, DuPage, Cook and Will. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington, is recognized."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill . . . thank you, Robert Thompson, I should've . . . I should've listened to you in the beginning . . . House Bill 9 creates an Emergency Public Transportation Loan Act of 1973 to provide loan assistance programs for mass transportation carriers in the six-county northeastern region. It requires the Regional Transportation Authority to repay in full all loans made for operating subsidies by July 1, 1979. It's the second to become . . . becoming a law. Now, there are no funds involved in this at all. It simply sets up the skeleton machinery and provides that machinery in the event that funds are made available for loans through mass transit systems in the six-county region. I think it's a Bill that . . . has some foresight to it. We cannot assume for a moment that we will or will not do anything in terms of operating subsidies for the mass transit systems; but it seems to me that common sense dictates that we at least put the machinery into affect. So in the event we do intend to go this route, we will have it available to us. It's not an innocuous Bill, but it's a Bill which I think will meet the palate of anybody, even those who are opposed



to the subsidies of the C.T.A. It's a necessary Bill, it's a Bill which takes into consideration the fact that at this point we don't know which direction we're going and I would hope that this Bill would pass, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . with a unanimous consent."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for some questions?"

Speaker Miller: "Yes."

Kempiners: "Harold, is . . . would this . . . is this the . . . ah . . . substantive Bill . . . ah . . . that is the companion to the appropriation Bill we heard in Committee Monday? . . ."

Washington: "That . . . that's correct, and as you know, that Bill went down to defeat on a straight party line vote. There are no funds involved in this Bill at all."

Kempiners: "Okay, can I ask another question? Is there a . . . ah . . . in . . . in the Bill that is on the floor now; is there a proportionate breakdown similar to the breakdown of funds that were in the appropriation Bill? In other words, are you . . . do you say anywhere that 'X' percent goes to C.T.A., 'X' percent goes to con . . . rail commuters and 'X' percent goes to suburban bus lines?"

Washington: "Yeah, the formula is broken down. It . . . it is invited in the Bill, yes."

Kempiners: "Could you give us an idea what that formula is, Harold?"

Washington: "Well, the . . . had House Bill 8 passed, which was the appropriation Bill, there would have been a sum total of \$50,000,000, 46.5 of that would have been available as a maximum which would be loaned to the C.T.A. for the period . . . ah . . . now until July 1, 1974, repayable by July of 1979; and the other \$3,500,000 would have been split between commuter railroad systems in the suburban areas and suburban bus lines. These were all prospective figures. There was nothing mandating that the money had to be used, but it was simply made available in the event that in the



interim period between now and July 1 that these mass transit systems would have operating subsidies. So that was the formula broken down."

Kempiners: "In other words, that formula is perpetuated in this Bill that we're considering here and that C.T.A. would be available or receive such an overwhelming percentage of that money that would be available for loans."

Washington: "Well, not perpetuated, introduced, and not overwhelming, but based on operating deficits as registered by the C.T.A. as over and against the community railroads and the suburban bus lines. Now, don't be frightened by the phrase overwhelming because after all when you compare the C.T.A. to these suburban bus lines in terms of its magnitude, in terms of its income, in terms of the number of people it services, and also in terms of its deficit, obviously, it would be much larger."

Kempiners: "Right, I wasn't as concerned with the suburban . . . I can't remember the breakdown there, I remember it was \$46,000,000 to C.T.A. and the rest to the suburban buses and commuter rail lines."

Washington: "That's correct."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . I felt that probably what would've been available for the commuter bus lines was, you know, probably all right; but I'm more concerned about the commuter lines because I know there's one that serves my district or part of my district that's about ready to go broke; and I'm wondering if that . . . if that . . . ah . . . whatever you would allow it in this Bill would be enough . . . and . . ."

Washington: "Which line are you speaking of?"

Kempiners: ". . . the Rock Island line."

Washington: "The Rock Island would've had \$1,000,000 available to it, \$493,568.67. Now, these figures were put together by the Department of Transportation presumably, and I assume in conjunction with, the operators of these various mass transit lines. They're not just arbitrary figures."

Kempiners: "Okay, then, what you're saying is that the porportion would vary from year to year depending upon the operating deficit?"



Washington: "Well, it's not a year to year thing. It's a sum total, one lump sum of \$50,000,000 pro rated among these various mass transit systems based on their deficits for the years . . . ah . . . for a previous year."

Kempiners: "But doesn't this . . . this Bill that we're talking about now, we're not talking about the appropriations, we're talking about the substantive Bill, is . . . is . . . does . . . is this a continuing thing or is it a one-shot thing?"

Washington: "No, no, it's tied down for a nine-month period. I'm glad you asked the question. It's a one-shot deal covering a nine-month period as an interim between now and July, when presumably this Body in conjunction with the Senate will have passed an R.T.A. system. None of the proposed R.T.A. Bills call for immediate funding. They go into effect July 1'74. Now, obviously if you put a mass transit system into effect with no interim funding, it might well be that these mass transit systems which we're striving to preserve would be bankrupt before the R.T.A. got into effect. So this is a one-shot deal designed to cover that interim period."

Kempiners: "Okay, thank you, Harold."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "All right, this . . . this Act basically just establishes the funds into which it would take an appropriation at some place along the line before there'd be any money that would be able to go out . . . of the fund."

Washington: "That's correct."

Speaker Blair: "Correct?"

Washington: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Ah . . . Is there any matching . . . ah . . . money required under the substantive provisions of this Bill . . ."

Washington: "No, there are no . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . amenable for the C.T.A. . . . ah . . . to come up with in order to get money?"

Washington: ". . . no, there are no matching funds provided for."



Speaker Blair: "I see; and . . . ah . . . you characterize it as a . . . a loan, right?"

Washington: "Correct."

Speaker Blair: "But what happens if there's no R.T.A. established? Doesn't it become a grant?"

Washington: "Well, as I interpret the Act, unless there is an R.T.A. system invoked by the General Assembly, then the force and effect of this particular piece of legislation, assuming the appropriation Bill passes, would be null and void."

Speaker Blair: "Well, our interpretation of it is that if there's no R.T.A. . . . ah . . . accomplished through the Assembly, that the so-called loans would turn into, in fact, grants. Now, if that were so . . . ah . . . I would take it that at some point, if this legislation were to pass . . . ah . . . and become involved in transit back and forth between the two . . . the two Bodies, that you would be amenable to a . . . ah . . . a matching situation, such as we had under House Bill 89, when it passed out . . . ah . . ."

Washington: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if you will permit House Bill 8 to catch up with this Bill, which is 9, and put it on the Third Reading for passage, I could address myself to that question; but there are no funds involved in House Bill 9. It's simply the machinery . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . well, it's a substantive Bill, though, and what I'm concerned about is under 89 . . . ah . . . that was not a loan. Do you remember House Bill 89?"

Washington: "Yes, I remember."

Speaker Blair: "That . . . that was simply a . . . a grant based upon a matching situation . . ."

Washington: "Correct."

Speaker Blair: ". . . and the substantive Bill that you have here has no provision for any match . . . ah . . . in the event that there was no R.T.A., they would . . . and if there were an appropriation Bill, it would turn into a . . . a direct grant and I . . . I'm sure that that's not what you would want to accomplish. You would want to have some participation on the part of local government in



that event, such as we did in 89. Now, what about the . . . ah . . . is there any . . . I mean I just . . ."

Washington: "Well, I . . . we don't agree on your interpretation. As I . . . as I read this House Bill 9, without an appropriation, House Bill 8, and without an R.T.A., then this Bill is a nullity. I don't think there's any language which would permit House Bills 9 and 8 to . . . to loan money to the mass transit system, but unless there were in fact an existing R.T.A. system."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the fact of the matter is that . . . that the money, the loans are made and . . . ah . . . there . . . for a period for . . ."

Washington: "Where are you reading, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: ". . . Section 10, page 3."

Washington: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Blair: "And the . . . and the Authority, you see, is given the power to repay in full all loans made for operating subject by July 1 of 1979. So I would take it that they could actually have the use of money until . . . ah . . . until 1979. There would be that time frame in which an R.T.A. could be established; but in any event, I just wanted for the . . . for the purposes of a dialogue to establish this . . . ah . . . as of right now, the substantive provisions here do not contain any matching provisions at all, even on a pure loan basis, there's no requirement that the City of Chicago or the County of Cook to come up with a loan of so much to the C.T.A., for example."

Washington: "Well, I . . ."

Speaker Blair: "It's just all loan straight from the state."

Washington: ". . . that's correct, I agree with your interpretation; but let me just say this, that it's unconscionable, I repeat, unconscionable to even assume that the General Assembly will not establish a Regional Transit Authority. I think we will and I think all these people sitting here believe that we will and that's why we're here."

Speaker Blair: "Well, now, with regard to . . . ah . . . the question of interest. You characterize this as a loan, right?"



NOV 15 1973

145.

Washington: "A loan."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any provision for the entity to which the loans are made, to pay any interest to the state?"

Washington: "Non-interest bearing loans."

Speaker Blair: "Just give it . . . just give it to them, they have to pay back the principle?"

Washington: "That's correct. A recognition of the fact that these mass transit systems are vitally important to the economy and the commerce of the state, and the state simply wants to make certain that they don't go down the drain."

Speaker Blair: "Well, it seems to me that wherever we have our state funds invested . . . ah . . . that we in almost all cases have those funds invested where they're yielding interest, and there's no provision in this Bill for any interest to be paid on the loans. It just seems to me that that . . . it would have been appropriate to have had such a provision in it."

Washington: "No, I . . ."

Speaker Blair: "I . . . want it established that there wasn't."

Washington: ". . . well, you did and I don't think that state law, if I may say so, even relevant. We're dealing here with a quasi-public operation; and although it might look like a good bookkeeping thing to provide for interests, in effect, what would be happening would be taking it out of one pocket and putting into another. Why even . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the only . . . the only quasi-public is the C.T.A. You're not suggesting that the private rail carriers . . . ah . . . or the private suburban bus companies . . . ah . . . are quasi-public."

Washington: ". . . no, I'm presupposing . . ."

Speaker Blair: "And you're going to . . . going to loan them money, these entities that are in private enterprise, you're going to loan them state money with no interest?"

Washington: ". . . no, what I'm, in effect, assuming is that the C.T.A. and the suburban buses and commuter railroads will ultimately be merged into the Regional Transit Authority, which is a quasi-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

public operation."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I don't think any of the Bills that we've had contemplate in the initial phasing of three or four of five years that they're going to be anything other than purchase a service contract and they're going to continue as private operations, and I . . . it just doesn't seem to me that we ought to have legislation that is loaning state money to private enterprise with no interest being required; and that's what your substantive Bill would require."

Washington: "But the privacy of that enterprise is only about . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "In fact, it . . . it's all private right now."

Washington: ". . . nine months old, because we're going to have an R.T.A. before we leave here, are we not, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, the question that I'm getting at is that all of these R.T.A.'s have purchase of service, and I'm quite sure on all the testimony that we have heard that there's not going to be an operational take-over by any of the R.T.A.'s . . . ah . . . of these private carriers; and certainly when you're talking about loaning them money, it would seem to me at least the private carriers . . . ah . . . ought to have to pay interest back on those loans because they have stockholders and . . . and they pay dividends to their stockholders and so forth, and I . . . I think you're conferring a benefit on them at the taxpayers expense, and I think it's unfortunate that you didn't make a provision in here for those private enterprises to pay interest."

Washington: "Well, even if . . . even if interest were invoked and paid, it would ultimately have to be paid by the R.T.A., that's the whole point here. It would have to be paid by them because presumably by that time they would have been merged into a Regional Transit system."

Speaker Blair: "Well, it would happen . . . that won't happen at the earliest for three to five years."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . would the distinguished Member yield for a couple of questions?"



NOV 15 1973

147.

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . He indicates he will. Proceed, Mr. Juckett."

Washington: "Based on . . . based on your track record, I should ask is it going to be a couple or a couple thousand? Yes, I'll yield."

Juckett: "Well, I didn't ask the questions a few hours ago, I was just answering them. I'm a little bit in the dark on this Bill."

Washington: "Take your glasses off."

Juckett: "Still a little bit, anyway, now, is this Bill authorizing loans to the various entities that you have indicated?"

Washington: "That's correct."

Juckett: "Ah . . . Do we have to have a Bill appropriating the money for these loans?"

Washington: "That's correct."

Juckett: "Where is that Bill?"

Washington: "That Bill has been reported out of the Appropriation's Committee 'do not pass' by a vote of 15 to 10, 15 Republicans voting against it and 10 Democrats voting for it. It's lodged on the Speaker's table and I have a motion to take it from the Speaker's table and advance it to Second Reading without . . . without further reference."

Juckett: "Now, if that Bill does not pass, the appropriation Bill, would that then, and this Bill did pass, would that give the authority to or the legal basis to any of these entities to sue the state for said money?"

Washington: "Well, I know you've read the Bill or you wouldn't be asking these questions. Do you find any language here that would be the foundation for such a suit in your opinion?"

Juckett: "Well, if we pass a Bill, which authorizes the loan, and we're declaring that it's in the public interest that these loans be granted and then we don't appropriate the money, what I'm asking you, as the distinguished Member of the Bar, as to whether in your opinion this would give a basis for suit to compel the spending of the funds."

Washington: "In my opinion . . ."

Juckett: "Similarly to when President Nixon impounded funds and the courts rule that the Executive, et cetera, did not have the power



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to impound."

Washington: "The distinction, you put your finger on it yourself, President Nixon impounded funds. There have no funds been appropriated here."

Juckett: "Okay, so you . . ."

Washington: "This Body has on many occasions passed enabling laws on the assumption that it would be funded and we found out or could not get them funded . . . the law on the books or no funds have been appropriated to set the machinery in motion and the law simply sits there. I know of no suits at this point and probably in any of those Acts."

Juckett: ". . . so you . . . so in other words, you're saying . . . you're saying that even if we do pass this authorization Bill, if we don't pass the appropriation Bill, then there will be no way for these entities to receive any funds through this authorization Bill?"

Washington: "In my opinion."

Juckett: "Okay, one last question. Do you have the figures there for how much the Chicago and Northwestern Railroads will receive through this program? I think you might realize that you're looking for something that isn't in there. I think you . . ."

Washington: "All right, the first . . ."

Juckett: ". . . I think you'll find that because here is a railroad where the people have . . ."

Washington: ". . . want me to answer your question?"

Juckett: ". . . pay for their equipment . . . pay for the new services . . ."

Washington: ". . . do you want me to answer your question?"

Juckett: ". . . and pay for the hourly service and fine transportation system that that operation is returning a profit and I guess then they're not eligible to have help from the state, is that correct?"

Washington: "I . . . I couldn't have answered any better. They haven't lost any money, they don't need any operating subsidies."

Juckett: "So in other words, for the company that operates well, for people who are willing to pay higher fares than any other rail-



road in the area and higher fares for transportation than any other item, they're going to get the shiv right in their back because they paid their way and we're going to pay for the people who haven't paid their way and I'm surprised at you for putting such a Bill forth."

Washington: "I wished you had made that speech when President Nixon was responsible for giving \$1,000,000,000 to the defunct then state railroad, you didn't raise your voice then."

Juckett: "Well, my understanding is the Pen' State now is the hottest stock in the market."

Washington: "You . . . you . . . your understanding is totally and completely deficient."

Juckett : "No, I think you'll look at the papers and you'll see that the coal mines are coming back and that railroad serves it; but anyway, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that here is another classic example of where people have paid their way, they have paid for the new equipment . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Just a moment, just a moment; for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, arise?"

Mugalian: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. I thought we were limited to 10 minutes?"

Juckett: "Right, and I've gone six."

Mugalian: "I'm sorry, I . . . it sounded like 20."

Speaker Miller: "I'm sure the Gentleman is closing. I'm sure the Gentleman is closing."

Juckett: "My questions were short, but the answers are a little bit long. Ah . . . Now, here's another example where a distinguished Member of our Body is trying to help some people, but in so doing he is, in effect, penalizing people who have paid their way, they have paid for new equipment, they have paid for fine services, they have paid for . . ."

Speaker Miller: "For what pur . . . for what purpose . . . just a moment . . ."

Juckett: ". . . and they have paid for railroad . . ."

Speaker Miller: ". . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook,



Mr. Leon, arise?"

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order. I understand that he is supposed to be asking questions of the Sponsor, not discussing the merits of the Bill. If he wishes to do that, he can do that on explanation of his vote; but I think he should be asking questions of the Sponsor . . ."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is totally out of order. We can ask questions and we can also speak on the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "Well, just . . . just a moment, yes, that . . . that is correct, Mr. Juckett, because any Member has a right to ask questions and he also can make a statement with respect to the Bill."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I was saying before our service was interrupted there, this railroad, the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad, is not going to receive one dime; and why? because they have been too stupid to believe in the old-fashion way that when you run a business, you run it at a profit; and by providing great service to their people, they have been able to attract the riders, they've been able to attract them with new equipment, which they have paid for and their riders have paid for with fares, they've been able to attract them because they've had good schedules and because they have serviced the area, and so what do we do? We hit 'em in the head, we hit 'em in the pocket-book, we demolish them almost to say because they have done it the right way; and so here we are, we're doling out \$50,000,000 for the people who haven't done it correctly, who haven't provided the service, haven't provided the safety, haven't provided the riders with what they wanted; and so I would urge all of my colleagues to vote 'no' on this very bad Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I wondered if the Sponsor would yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Miller: "Yes, you may proceed, Sir."

Ebbesen: "First of all, I would like to make one observation. You know, back in the spring Session, along about February, I tried to amend



with a loan concept the original outright grant, I think, House Bill 89 . . . ah . . . with a loan idea. Now, I've been carrying around modified versions though of the loan concept for about 10 or 11 months, and if you in close observation tell me that this particular Bill, if we look at House Bill 933 in the spring Calendar sponsored by myself, is a dusted off version of my Bill. Now, when I took that in . . . to be in the amount of \$46,200,000 to the . . . through the Committee on Transportation, the distinguished Minority Leader was there and I think if Clarence Neff is here, the Committee Chairman, it was because of his objections that no money should be taken from the General Revenue for loans without the state realizing interest. Now, the interest rate on that Bill as it came out of the Transportation and later went through Appropriation's, that was a Committee Amendment put on there by the distinguished . . . at his suggestion and agreed to by the Committee; and I see that the Committee Chairman here, Representative Neff, nodding his head 'yes'. Now, . . . ah . . . also on my original Bill, 933, the original one, Harold, you were one of the Cosponsors of that . . . ah . . . way back in the spring Session, and what . . . it had an interest rate on it that time. What has prompted the attitude of no interest on state funds that would be loaned out on this basis? What prompted that change in attitude?"

Washington: "I don't recall Cosponsoring your Bill; but if you said I did, I did; but evidently since that time and now I've learned something about when . . . when you should or should not charge interest. Ah . . . I just don't recall the circumstance, Representative Ebbesen; but suffice to say that it would serve no useful purpose to provide interest in this particular piece of legislation because the Regional Transportation Authority would have to pay it back to the state, and the whole purpose here is to fund the R.T.A. through permissive taxation to the point it will be a viable, economic entity. I see no reason to saddle an interest rate upon it. What would the interest rate be? 6%? I don't know."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . According to the . . ."



Washington: ". . . 6% for nine months, what? A quarter of a million dollars, a lot of money, but what's the point? What's the point in doing it?"

Ebbesen: ". . . well, the point is that . . . ah . . . it's a business transaction, and to me when you start talking about \$50,000,000 interest free, and I almost quote the words of the distinguished Minority Leader, 'under no circumstances should any money be lent if it belongs to the State of Illinois without charging a legitimate interest rate', and that was his suggestion; and if you look at House Bill 933 on the spring Calendar, you will see an interest rate not less than 3½, nor more than 7, at his insistence in the Department of Transportation. Now, we'll go and leave that point, but . . . ah . . . in your Bill right here we talk about the . . . ah . . . proposed creation of a Regional Transit Authority, and I see absolutely no guarantee by local governmental units of these loans. Now, supposing that there is no creation. If this Bill was to pass and . . . and the appropriation went to the Department of Transportation and there is no creation of any . . . Regional Transit Authority whatsoever, is . . . that loan then becomes an outright \$50,000,000 grant?"

Washington: "Well, in the . . . in the first place, this is not an appropriation Bill."

Ebbesen: "I know it's not."

Washington: "This is an enabling Act setting up the structure for prospective monies to be put into that operation to loan. So we don't have the funding Bill here."

Ebbesen: "I know we don't."

Washington: "This . . ."

Ebbesen: "But what it does say, Harold, that in the event that you had, it says right in here, the Secretary of the Department of Transportation 'shall loan', and he talks about \$46,500,000, now we'll assume in another Bill because we can't combine them that \$6,500,000 is available, and if there's no creation of a Regional Transit Authority, it says 'shall', and that . . . then that becomes an outright grant. If we . . . if we have such a



situation, which is what you're working towards. were working towards originally."

Washington: "Well, that's the same question Representative Juckett asked, and the answer is quite obvious, I don't think, I'm positive that the state nor the Secretary of Transportation would be bound to make loans which he does not have at his disposal, particularly when it's quite obvious that House Bill 9 is bottomed on the proposition that House Bill 8 will pass and provide the funds. That's the same question."

Ebbesen: "Well, that . . . that's not the way I read it, but you've responded three times in the same manner. Ah . . . Also, I'd like to make one other point here, Representative Washington, I notice that in Section 10 . . . ah . . . you talk about the operating . . . subsidizing the operating deficit that it incurred by the eligible public transportation carriers during the period from the 1st of October through the 30th of June and you leave out the quarter between July and October. Is there any particular reason for that? In other words, the . . . the previous grants was sufficient as far as operating expenses? We are to assume that the Chicago Transit Authority, especially so, has enough money to operate through, let's say, the 31st of December of this year?"

Washington: "No, no, it . . . ah . . . yeah, it's through October 1st up until June . . . apart from July 1 of '74."

Ebbesen: "Uh-huh."

Washington: "None of the Regional Transit Authority Bills have an effective date prior to July 1 of '74. So this loan, respective loan, is designed to cover that interim period between October 1 and June 30, 1974."

Ebbesen: "Right, but do we talk about operating deficits of just nine months as opposed to a full . . . full fiscal year; and that was my question? Well, all that I can say is that I am very definitely in favor of the loan concept, but under no circumstances would I vote favorably for loans . . . ah . . . to help the Regional Transit Authority as created by this General Assembly,



the 78th, unless that there were local guarantees by local governmental units to guarantee those loans that they be paid within . . . repaid within a reasonable length of time . . . ah . . . with a reasonable interest of say not less than 3½ nor more than 7%, and . . . ah . . . of course, we've always got to allow for the fact that . . . ah . . . there could possibly be a default on the part of the Transit Authority, and somebody's got to be responsible. I would encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman from Cook yield for two questions I think I have?"

Speaker Miller: "I can't hear you, Mr. Skinner "

Skinner: "I have approximately two questions depending on the answers."

Speaker Miller: "All right, he indicates he'll yield. Proceed, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Am I correct in understanding that the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad is ignored in your plan because it would not have an operating deficit?"

Washington: "Yes, that's correct."

Skinner: "The second question is, after looking at the . . . in the security which is offered under the Garmisa Bill for the loan . . . ah . . . that the banks are supposed to be . . . the banks or whoever ends up buying . . . ah . . . the . . . the bonds and the . . . the anticipated notes on the bonds, it seems to me that you're offering no security whatsoever, and I wonder how you justify that . . . ah . . . do you think that the state is less . . . ah . . . less need . . . less in need of security than are the banks and the bond . . . and the potential bond buyers?"

Washington: "No, security wasn't visualized in this case. It was assumed that the R.T.A. being a creature of the state mandated by the state through the Department of Transportation to repay these loans would do so. It's operating on faith of the state if they . . . if I may say so."



Skinner: "Well, I believe it would be operating on the good faith of the R.T.A., which I'm not sure has any good faith right now, except it doesn't exist."

Washington: "Do you want an answer to that?"

Skinner: "Well, I . . . I'm just . . . you seem to think there's no security necessary, right?"

Washington: "That's what I said, right."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, seeing no further discussion, Mr. Washington desire to close the debate."

Washington: "Ah . . . Just two very brief points in closing, . . . ah . . . the question was raised by, I think it was, Representative Juckett, he seems to think that the commuter railroads were given a short shiv in this Bill. Well, I say this to Representative Juckett, I will gladly exclude commuter railroads from this and from the Regional Transit Authority Bill if he so desires. We can just take the railroads out, if you please. Insofar as interest is concerned, I would suggest that if you sincerely want or interested in this Bill and want to see it passed and want the funds available for the interim period we discussed, I would suggest you pass this Bill out and I will have the Senate Sponsor add an Amendment providing for interest. I think this is a necessary machinery that we have to have if we sincerely and honestly are concerned about a Regional Transit Authority. Now, all of these mass transit systems, which I have enumerated, are operating at a deficit. The \$50,000,000 would cover that deficit between now and July 1 of 1974. If that wins you pass an R.T.A. Bill, which would be effective July 1, 1974, you must take into consideration that, in effect, that unless you give subsidies to these mass transit systems, they might well be bankrupt before that period. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask for your support in the passage of House Bill 9; and I might ask, Mr. Speaker, if I may for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall House Bill . . . all right, the Chair will recognize the Gentleman . . . the Gentleman



from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "I'm sorry, was it established . . . ah . . . what . . . when this Bill becomes effective? It . . . when it becomes a law?"

Speaker Miller: "There's been no request for a ruling, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, well, then I'm . . . if this Bill only receives 89 votes, it would not become effective until July 1 of next year, is that correct?"

Speaker Miller: "The Bill . . . that is correct."

Speaker Blair: "Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, . . . ah . . . Mr. Hill . . ."

Hill: "I'd like to ask . . ."

Speaker Miller: ". . . the Gentleman from Kane."

Hill: ". . . Representative Washington a question if possible."

Speaker Miller: "Well, he already closed the debate, Mr. Hill, it's a little unusual, but . . ."

Hill: "I think the whole evening has been unusual. In fact, I think the last five weeks have been unusual. So one little question isn't going to hurt it or help it as far as I'm concerned."

Speaker Miller: ". . . well, proceed, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Miller: "I can stay here all night."

Hill: "I stayed here all last night, I stayed here all the night before, and I can stay here tonight, too."

Speaker Miller: "So did I. So did I, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "And I think you're taking the wrong attitude."

Speaker Miller: "That's not the wrong attitude, I'm stating a fact, Sir, proceed."

Hill: "Representative Washington, did I hear you correctly saying that you would be for an Amendment to take out the railroads in the R.T.A.? Did I hear that correctly?"

Washington: "Yes, I'm just one man, and I would do that only if the House and the General Assembly agree that it should be done; but I think it would assuage the fears of our distinguished Member from . . . where are you from, Juckett, Representative Juckett? . . ."



wherever he's from . . . it would assuage his fears, and I did that simply to give him a little solace."

Hill: "Well, it's certainly a solace to me. Could you get Representative Shea to agree with you on that . . . ah . . . statement?"

Washington: "Jack, you've been here as long as I have, and I think you've got as much clout if not more with the distinguished Min . . . Assistant Minority Leader from Riverside."

Hill: "Well, if I had enough, I could kill R.T.A. as it exists; but I'd like to say this, that as far as I'm concerned an R.T.A. is nothing but a bonanza for the railroads in our area which includes Kane County. Now, you people should not be trying to fool yourself, and you downstate people who are sitting there with smiles on your face figuring that you're doing Chicago a favor by voting for R.T.A., I'd like to point out to you that it's going to come back to you. That may be next Session, they're going to leave us one-third out of these agreements, and they're going to get another third to agree with them in order to stick it to central Illinois and then maybe later on far south Illinois; and I think you'd better think what you're doing this evening."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall House Bill 9 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'; call the Roll, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli . . . 'aye' . . . Beatty 'aye', okay . . . Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Fleck . . . 'aye'? . . . Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, Robert Holloway . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Record Mr. Brummet as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey



. . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Huskey to explain his vote."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I study this Bill, I see it's a bond issue for \$50,000,000, \$50,000,000, and my . . . and the outlying of Cook County and the country towns which I represent are . . . have over 300,000, more than the City of Chicago, which will receive 1%, 1%, it will receive \$500,000 out of \$50,000,000, receive \$500,000 out of \$50,000,000, 1%, that we share better than 50% of the responsibility of this bond issue. So, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I am forced in all responsibility to vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Clerk, please record Mr. Flinn as 'aye' and Mr. Alsup as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Kempiners . . . all right, I got him . . ."

Speaker Miller: "As a suggestion, let's let the Clerk complete the Roll. It'll . . . it'll help things tremendously, and then I'll pick up any of those that want to vote."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy . . . Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGAh, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik . . . where the hell is he? Okay, I see . . . Sharp, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom . . . okay . . . Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "All right, Mr. Clerk, there's several indicated that



they want to be recorded. Mr. Deavers, is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman 'no'. Mr. McMaster."

Clerk Selcke: "He's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Miller: "All right. Mr. Gibbs, is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is not recorded."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. All right, over on this side. Mr. Calvo, is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The . . . ah . . . Gentleman is recorded as not voting. 'Aye'?"

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Is Mr. Jacobs recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Farley."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Caldwell."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Dave Houlihan, Dan Houlihan, isn't it? Record him 'aye'. All right, Mr. Lauer, Mr. Lauer."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'no'. Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'no'. All right, now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Kennedy."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "All right. Now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Washington, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to poll the absentees."

Speaker Miller: "Well, first, Mr. Washington, let's get a . . . a count here to start with. Mr. Ralph Dunn, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'no'. Ladies and Gentlemen, the count now is 85 'ayes', 47 'nays' and 1 answering 'present'. Now, the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. All right, Mr. Harold



Washington has requested that the absentees be called. He has that right, so proceed, Mr. Clerk, to call the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley . . ."

Speaker Miller: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, arise?"

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to put House Bill 9 on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has requested leave to post Bill, House Bill 9, on Postponed Consideration. He has that right. So House Bill 9 will be placed upon Postponed Consideration. All right, Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 10."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 10, an Act to aid and assist state highway systems, facilities within the State of Illinois by authorizing the issuance of sale of bonds in the State of Illinois and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The noise level, Ladies and Gentlemen, is getting quite high in the last few minutes. In fairness to this Gentleman and the business of the House, please keep the noise level down. Proceed, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 11 is a companion Bill. I would like to have it read and heard together if I may?"

Speaker Miller: "Does the Gentleman have leave to consider this Bill as a companion Bill? Hearing no objection, Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 11."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 11, an Act to amend an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, with respect to House Bills 10 and 11."

Stone: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 10 creates the Downstate Highways' Bond Act, and it authorizes \$450,000,000 bond issue with proceeds to be used for the improvement of downstate highways for grade separation structures and bridges,



and it provides for the repayment of the bond issue. It would be spent \$45,000,000 a year on the items I just mentioned. House Bill 11 amends the State Finance Act to add the downstate highways bond fund to the Act. Now, if you have any questions, I'll be very pleased to try and answer them. The . . . fundamentally, the only . . . the only thing the . . . the Bill does, in effect, is to authorize the \$450,000,000 bond issue to be used on the . . . ah . . . highways of downstate Illinois. I think even if we had this, we could . . . could . . . this extra money to be used on downstate highways, we could give Roscoe Cunningham a little and possibly build a few highways down there so he wouldn't have to wade the mud to get to the . . . ah . . . one highway that does go through his county so that he could come to Springfield for these Sessions. Yes . . ."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Stone: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Miller: "Proceed, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Now, Paul, you and I are honest to God downstaters, you come from Moultrie and I come from Lawrence. So when we hear people from Cook County talk about downstate and referring to Will, and DuPage, and Kane and Lake, why we think that's nonsense, don't we?"

Stone: "That's right, they're not downstaters, they're interlopers."

Cunningham: "Now, right."

Stone: "Right."

Cunningham: "Now, doesn't it strike you as a terrible irony that this bond issue, get out of the way, Murphy, that this bond issue would be described as a downstate road bond building issue?"

Stone: "Well, not exactly because they have mud up in those . . . ah . . . counties adjoining Cook County, and they do need some . . . some more roads so that they can . . . can get out to come down to beautiful southern Illinois and enjoy the scenery that we enjoy every day, Roscoe."

Cunningham: "But I wanted to mention some of the arithmetic that is



NOV 15 1973

162.

the basis upon which the critics have described this as a rip-off for Cook County. We start with the basic premise that . . . that the \$45,000,000 that is spoken of in all of the presentations is based on the 1972 license registration, you agree with that part so far, do you not?"

Stone: "Well, this has nothing to do with license registration. This is a bond issue that those . . . those fellows that do live up in that area help pay, and I understand that there's about 70% of the population up there, so I figure, Roscoe, that they'll pay for 70% of these roads that we're going to get downstate."

Cunningham: "Nay, not so, they're . . . the interest . . . the connection is that this bond issue repays the money that's taken from the Road Fund, we're agreed on that, are we not?"

Stone: "Well, no, the . . . ah . . . this repays the . . . the bonds that are issued, that's where we get the \$45,000,000."

Cunningham: "But the point I want to make is, from the Road Fund during the next 10 years, this administration proposes to take a total of \$584,000,000, and that \$584,000,000 is computed in this matter. Is anyone listening besides you and I? Now, that's . . ."

Stone: "They're all going to vote for the Bill anyway, Roscoe, so . . ."

Cunningham: ". . . no, they're not, and Harold Katz is listening so all of you be quiet so Harold Katz can hear. Now, the \$584,000,000 that I mentioned is computed in this manner. We start, one, with the premise that one-half of the license fees at the present time equals \$45,000,000; but we find when we examine the Secretary of State's registration records that 3.4% each year is added to the amount of money from registration, and it follows that in 1975 registration, which would be the first year under the program, the amount would be \$50,000,000; and each year that amount is increased by \$3.4 million until it finally ends up 10 years hence at \$64.6 million; and if you compute this out, you will find that all the people of the State of Illinois have lost in 10 years \$584,000,000 from the Road Building Fund. Now, what did they get in return? They got in return \$450,000,000; and the difference is \$134,000,000. Does it follow as a matter of fact that that \$134,000,000 could've

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES



been used for the downstate road construction, but instead it was given to Chicago under this formula. Now, have you followed my arithmetic, Paul?"

Stone: "Mr. Cunningham, I have attempted to follow your arithmetic, but I have . . . I do not arrive at the same conclusion you do, and I'm reminded of the old story that my mother used to tell me about figures never lie, but I can't for the life of me remember the rest of that . . . ah . . . story she used it."

Cunningham: "That isn't the only thing you've forgotten, Paul. Now, I want you to . . . I want you to look at . . . at Section . . . Section 10, have you got your Bill before you?, line 20 of House Bill 10. Now, you will find there that when this Bill is . . . or this bond issue is refunded, do you find the line 20? . . ."

Stone: "Yes, Sir. I can read, I . . . ah . . ."

Cunningham: ". . . you will find there when this is refunded that there are certain Sections that are made applicable."

Stone: ". . . Yes, 3, 4, 5, 6 . . . ah . . . 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of this Act."

Cunningham: "Why would you guess that Section 2 wasn't made applicable?"

Stone: "Do you want the truth?"

Cunningham: "Yes, I want the . . ."

Stone: "I have no idea."

Cunningham: ". . . you look at Section 2 there just for a minute, and I'll give you a clue as to why it isn't that way. Have you got Section 2?"

Stone: "Yes, Sir, that's on page 1, Roscoe."

Cunningham: "And Section 2 . . . don't interrupt . . ."

Stone: "Roscoe, I don't have to necessarily believe this explanation, do I?"

Cunningham: ". . . on Section 2, line 16, there's a provision that you note in 'counties having less than 1,000,000 inhabitants'."

Stone: "Yeah."

Cunningham: "Now, the purpose of that is to lure such downstaters as . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, Representative Hunsicker, for what



purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a little more quiet because this is really an interesting dialogue, and I'd like to get the full benefit of it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Proceed, Representative Cunningham, with Section 2."

Cunningham: ". . . I'm in Section 2, line 16, there's a reference there to 'counties of less than 1,000,000'. Now, do you know why that's in there, Paul?"

Stone: "Yes, that's so that other county up there, whatever they call it, doesn't get any of this money, and so that we people downstate, which includes you and me, are assured that we are going to get something out of this package, and that's why House Bills 10 and 11 was introduced to assure that we will get something if . . . if we can pass these Bills and if our . . . this R.T.A. package goes through, that's why it's in there."

Cunningham: "Precisely, Paul, but the plot thickens when you com . . . when you compare their line . . . where do you see that these people have drawn this in a certain way when they . . . when they redraw the bonds, then they can spend the money in Cook County. They have played you and I for suckers. If they hadn't . . . if they'd have put in Section 2 in line 20, then the bar against using it in Cook County would have been perpetuated. It would've been kept enforceable for your benefit and mine and our constituencies. Do you see the point that I made, Sir?"

Stone: "I see the point, Roscoe, but it is not a valid point and I'm sure that you know it is not a valid point, and I . . . I don't think you should . . . should stand here and try to confuse the issue . . . ah . . . where's John Hirschfeld, maybe he could help me, this is the same type of thing we had a while ago and I I don't think you should try to confuse this, Roscoe, because you're . . . apparently you're confused and I wouldn't want you to confuse the rest of us with your confusion."

Cunningham: "Lawyers that can read like you and I don't need Hirschfeld to confuse us . . ."



Stone: "Well, I . . ."

Cunningham: ". . . I want to point out there that if the people that drew this bond wanted it to protect downstate, and I hope that the downstate Representatives are listening to this, if they wanted to protect downstate against the money going to Cook County in total when it is refunded maybe only . . . maybe it'll only be 18 months hence, they would've provided in line 20, in Section 10, that the provisions of Section 2 were applicable. Now, you have . . . you have watched this, Paul, went through this step by step and he acknowledged that the bar was in there to keep it from using it in Cook County; but by some miraculous process they decided they wouldn't put it in when on the refunding. I charge that that wasn't an accident. Now, Paul, in reality can you . . . must you conclude that this is no more a downstate, are you listening to me, Paul?, this is no more a . . . this is no more for the benefit of downstate highways than you or I is the 'dolly' llama of Tibet or maybe I . . ."

Stone: "Well, Roscoe, you speak for yourself on who's whatever it is of Tibet."

Cunningham: ". . . or maybe I should say the 'daley' llama of Illinois. I mean, seriously, Mr. Speaker, I've taken too much of your time. You've been very kind . . ."

Stone: "I'll agree with that, Roscoe."

Cunningham: ". . . I would like your indulgence to comment just a few minutes."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, proceed, Sir, it's been most . . ."

Cunningham: "I'm very anxious that you not conclude that I have a one-track mentality, were it not for the desperation of the need of my constituency and of Paul's constituency for freeway construction, I would not impose upon you in this manner; but in truth and in accuracy, this is a fraud upon the people of the State of Illinois. This particular bond issue, we've gone through the arithmetic. Mike, be quiet. My great and good friend has been very kind and considerate and I . . . my question, and if I've been in any way obtrusive, why I apologize; but the fact remains that arithmetic is



arithmetic; I have shown to each of you that over a 10 year period the amount of money that's ripped-off, if I may use a well-worn phrase, off of the Road Funds of all the people of the State of Illinois is \$584,000,000. The net loss to each of us who is proud to be downstater is \$134,000,000. We downstaters would be far better off if we would just pension, subsidize R.T.A. in Chicago for \$45,000,000 a year the rest of our lives. Let us not be misled with this nonsense that somehow somewhere the hat is . . . the trick is just out of the hat and this is going to build us these freeways, it's going to get us out of the mud. It isn't going to do it. If you believe that a fact is a stubborn thing, if you believe in the persuasive power of reason, if you are your own man, if you are here to represent your constituency and nobody else, I charge each of you with the solemn responsibility of voting 'no' on these very bad Bills. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan. Representative Stone, you'll have the opportunity to close, but one second, Representative Stone, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Stone: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker, and . . . and I want to apologize for the rest of the peop . . . to the rest of the people of the House who are going to have to go through this all again because Representative Cunningham's wife and her friends are in the lunch-room having a cup of coffee."

Cunningham: "I'm ready if the rules will permit me to repeat."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's get back to Representative Londrigan, who has the floor. Representative Lauer, for one moment, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, it's good that you put it to a case of what moment; but I beg to plead with . . . ah . . . Representative Stone that the good Lady from Lawrence is in attendance in the Chamber."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Londrigan has the floor."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Democratic downstate Member of the Transportation Committee, as you



well know, I have consistently, vigorously and vehemently oppose all of the give-a-way programs of the R.T.A. to Chicago, whether they be \$60,000,000, \$15,000,000 or what have you. There are . . . our rate, as I took it, on the State Treasurer to give out of the General Revenue Fund fund to Chicago alone. Now, here in this package we finally have something for the downstate highway systems, \$45,000,000 a year for 10 years. I think this is a fair share to the downstate area. It is fair to every area of the state. This is the best possible package we're going to receive from downstate. It's certainly the best that has been presented so far. Because I believe it is fair to all areas of the state, I have agreed to Cosponsor this C.T.A. package after being against it previously. I suggest to you that we have a very serious problem. We will solve it with this package by giving the R.T.A. in Chicago and additional money for roads downstate, and I encourage you to support it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Further discussion? if not, the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I would like to indulge the . . . ah . . . Body for just a very brief, few moments, and I . . . I would like to point out what I think are these relative . . . rele . . . relevent and significant facts. Ah . . . I think that 10 and 11 were put in in that order and 12 following for a very obvious reason, and that reason is that the administration really wanted to make the downstate Members on that side of the aisle feel that they were getting something out of this before they bring them up to House Bill 12, which has the following funding, \$45,000,000 out of the State Road Fund is in House Bill 12, \$45,000,000 a year going in transit, \$45,000,000 coming from highway users in this state, \$60,000,000 out of . . . will be coming out of the General Revenue Fund, if that Bill passes, and the balance would be coming from . . . out of related fees in the six-county area with no ceiling on them, motor fuel and wheel taxes and so forth. Now, I take those of you who have been down here a number of terms back, let's say, four or six years ago, and



NOV 15 1973

168.

you recall as a matter of fact, Bill Redmond was over here visiting with me and made the comment that . . . that this particular proposal was almost as exciting as the time that I had the Illinois Highway Trust Authority Bills, where we were going to lease all the roads in the state, don't you recall, for the highway trust authority and appropriate the \$2,000,000 worth of money . . . of bonds to be issued to pay for some 1,900 miles of supplemental freeways all over the state. Well, that was a good effort we got the legislation through, but the Supreme Court found it unconstitutional; but the point I want to make about that is simply this, that during that period of time and following up on it when that was found unconstitutional and we pass the \$900,000,000 transportation bond issue \$600,000,000 as the first phase of our supplemental freeway program, we increased the motor fuel taxes by .025 cents a gallon. I was a Chief . . . happened to be a Chief Sponsor of those tax increase Bills at that time, and we also increased rather substantially the . . . ah . . . license plate fees . . . ah . . . for automobiles and the license fees for trucks; and these were rather large increases really, on the trucks especially, and we held out at that time to the people as a justification for those tax increases that we were going to use that money to fund . . . ah . . . in the area of \$2,000,000,000 worth of bonds to be used to build 1,600 miles of supplemental freeways downstate and an additional 300 miles up in the Cook County area. Now, what we have going on here while it appears that this program is \$45,000,000 for downstate roads, it's nothing more than what we held out that downstate was going to get anyway, and what downstate is entitled to under the bond issues that were coming along. We authorized the first phase of those bond issues with the \$600,000,000, and we said at that time to the Department of Transportation, 'We want to see how well you do on the supplemental freeway system', we in the Assembly want to find out, and then you'll come back say in 1975 and 1976 and we'll . . . you'll say to us, 'Here's how well we have done', and we would then say, 'All right, we will authorize



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

you at that time another bond issue in the area of \$500,000,000 or so'. That money though to be spent for highways, for highways. Now, what they're doing in essence is taking \$45,000,000 a year out of the first phase \$600,000,000 that were issued, taking that out, putting it into the R.T.A. and then issuing the second phase bond program for \$450,000,000, but not for the additional construction that was planned to come from that, but to tell you that's replacing the \$45,000,000 that comes out of the addi . . . first \$600,000,000. Now, there just isn't anything in this package for downstate other than what downstate was entitled to all along and has been paying the taxes for all along. Any way you want to cut it you're using highway users fees that we increased substantially down here for \$200 . . . for \$2,000,000,000 worth of bonds, you're using those fees to pay for \$45,000,000 worth of road fund money that is going to go into mass transit in the six-county area in northeastern Illinois. Now, I don't think that's right, and I suggest to you that the reason they put this program together that way was so they could attract downstate votes for 12, that's why 10 and 11 are up first right now; and they say to you, 'Here's something for you'. Well, what they're giving you is what you're entitled to right now anyway. They're giving you nothing more than what your people have been paying taxes . . . been paying taxes for all along. I think that's too bad. Ah . . . I think that you're being led down the primrose path with regards to that. Any new bond issue certainly should go for highways, but they shouldn't in the meantime be taking \$45,000,000 a year out of money that rightfully belong on the roads and not in mass transit, and that's why we opposed that concept in this situation. In addition to that, they're going to be taking away from you for the state road system \$29,500,000 a year out of the State Road Fund and transferring it back to local . . . the county highways and local streets and townships, that's going to be shorting . . . ah . . . the downstate road system once again by \$29,500,000, and that is bad because the overwhelming need that was testified to by the representative of



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the County Association of Highway Superintendents in the hearings we had on these Bills was to the fact that they know that the needs on the state highway system are not being met today with the monies that are in that fund. So it's just too bad, you're going to . . . you're going to see \$80,000,000 go out of the State Road Fund, they're going to replace \$45,000,000 of that with . . . ah . . . another bond issue and that should be additional money coming in and \$29,500,000 is going right down the funnel into local roads. So downstate roads are being shortchanged drastically and that's why this isn't . . . this is nothing other than as I have described it and I would not be in support of this legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone, to close."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I, first of all and before the . . . the Speaker gets out of my earshot, I want to make one statement. He is entirely mistaken about the order in which House Bills 10, 11 and 12 are entered. Now, I want to explain to the House exactly what happened and to the Speaker, so that there's no misunderstanding about this. I was asked if I would handle the . . . the two downstate Bills. I said I would . . . would if they did what I thought that I understood they would do. Now, I took those Bills and I had them at my so-called desk here for three or four hours. I studied them over and eventually took them down and handed them to the Clerk. At that time, I did not know who was . . . who was sponsoring what is now House Bill 12 and as far as I know he did not know who was sponsoring what is now House Bills 10 and 11; and I just want to assure you, Mr. Speaker and the rest of the Members of this House that as far as I know it was accidental that these Bills were . . . were numbered in this order. I did hold these Bills for at least three or four hours before I took them to the Clerk. Now, I don't . . . didn't know until much later that Mr. Garmisa was the Sponsor of the Bill that ended up being House Bill 10. Now, in reference to the . . . the point that . . . I think the point that Mr. Cunningham was . . . was trying to make,



he's entirely wrong in . . . in his assumption that Section 2 was left out of . . . ah . . . Section 10 for a . . . any reason than an honorable reason. I've carefully checked it over and in my judgment the conclusion he came to is entirely wrong. Now, he is correct about his . . . ah . . . statement and his question that he asked, and . . . in reference to the . . . the fact that we would have to pay back \$580,000,000, instead of \$450,000,000. This is . . . is entirely true, but this is just the way things build up. The downstate counties would only pay their porportionate share of this increase. Now, the Speaker of the House made quite a point of the fact that . . . that in his judgment downstate was being shortchanged because they were only getting what they were entitled to. Now, even Roscoe Cunningham will agree with me that there isn't a downstate county or a person in any of the downstate counties that ever want more than they're entitled to. We feel that we should have only what we're entitled to, and the Speaker referred to the fact that the downstate counties were going getting what they're entitled to. Now, this is all we ever intend to ask is what we are . . . ask for what we're entitled to. I believe that I . . . that we are not leaving the downstate Members down a primrose path. I would not purposely do that ever, any more than I would purposely lead the Gentleman from . . . the Gentleman from the county up north down the primrose path. The purpose of me being here is not to lead any of you down any primrose path, but only to present to you what I believe is good legislation for the whole of the State of Illinois and especially for those of us downstate. Now, mention was made of the \$29,500,000 that is going to be distributed to the downstate counties of the State of Illinois and the statement was made that this money would be taken away from downstate roads. I can't fathom how any downstate county would spend any monies from the State of Illinois other than on downstate roads within that county. They are getting that money to spend locally in any way that they think it should be spent for the best welfare of the people of their district. Now, reference was made to the \$15 license fee and that fact that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

. . . and the statement was made that down . . . that the downstate counties were being led down the primrose path in reference to the \$15 license fee. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me that if 70% of the license fees of the State of Illinois are sold in the R.T.A. counties that they are at least paying 70% of the cost or paying back 70% of the loss to the State of Illinois. So I can't see how we are being in any way misled in this area. I believe that this is a good Bill. I think that these are two good Bills and that they should pass, and I respectfully solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bills . . . are we taking both of these on one Roll Call, Mr. Clerk? . . . the question is, shall House Bills 10 and 11 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; it'll take 107 votes. It's a bonding Act. 107 votes. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. I assume though that a Roll Call will be requested. Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Barry, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet . . . Brummet 'aye'? . . . Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn . . . 'no'? . . . R. L. Dunne, Dyer . . . 'no' . . . Ebbesen . . . 'no'? Ebbesen 'no' . . . Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis . . . no fair changing . . . Getty, Gibbs, Giglio . . . can't hear you, no? . . . Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite . . . 'no'? . . . Hart, Hill . . . Jack, no . . . Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway . . . Is he there? I guess he's not there . . . Holloway, James, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan . . . is that the same guy? They're both there? . . . Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz . . . 'aye' . . . Keller, Kelly, Kempiners . . . 'no' . . .



Kennedy . . . Leland is voting 'aye' . . . Kent, Klosak . . .
 Klosak 'no' . . . Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause; Kriegsman,
 Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber,
 Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann,
 Maragos, Martin . . . where's Mrs. Martin? . . . Martin, Matijevich,
 McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew,
 . . . Where is he? Okay . . . McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin,
 Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy,
 Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters . . . 'No'?
 'No' vote? . . . Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson,
 Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler . . . Schisler
 didn't answer . . . Schlickman, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein,
 Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz . . . whoop, wait a minute,
 screwing it up here goo, I got to go back . . . Schoeberlein said
 'no', Schraeder 'yes', Shurtz 'no', Timothy Simms, Ike Sims,
 Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer . . . 'no'? Okay . . . Stedelin,
 Stiehl, Stone . . . 'aye' . . . Taylor, Telcser, Terzich,
 Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall,
 R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams,
 J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe . . . B. B.'s not here . . . Yourell;
 Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you
 arise, Sir?"

Beaupre: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Beaupre: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'? Okay, anybody else?"

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Collins as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Who?"

Speaker Telcser: "Collins 'no'. Hyde 'yes'. Is there anyone else
 who wishes to get on this Roll Call? Bradley 'aye'. Bradley
 'aye'. Any other Members who wish to get on this Roll Call?
 Okay, Jim Holloway 'aye'. Jim Holloway 'aye'. Gro . . . Schisler
 'aye'. Griesheimer 'aye'. Griesheimer 'aye'. Murphy 'no'.
 Murphy 'no'. Anyone else wish to get on or off this Roll Call?"



McAuliffe 'aye'. McAuliffe 'aye'. Is there any other Member who wishes . . . Peters. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Peters: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Peters: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Change Representative McAuliffe to voting 'no'. Okay, anyone else wish to vote? Change their vote . . . go in the tank, what? J. J. Wolf 'no'. J. J. Wolf 'no'. Your count, Mr. Clerk? You're on the Roll Call, B. B. B. B.'s on the Roll Call, isn't he, Fred? B. B. Wolf?"

Clerk Selcke: "McGah? Yeah, he's on."

Speaker Telcser: "B. B. Wolf on, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, he's . . . he's at the nurse's station . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, we got you on, Bernie'. On these questions there are 95 'ayes', 48 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. Representative Stone, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, that Roscoe Cunningham hurt me a lot worse than I thought he would."

Speaker Telcser: "Powerful fella'."

Stone: "I . . . I was particularly disappointed. I thought I'd get Murph', you know, because I was so persuasive and he isn't very hard to persuade, and I thought sure I'd get Bob Juckett. We are rather close. I wonder if we could poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "You certainly may, Sir. Representative McCormick, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

McCormick: "Also in this . . . we'd like to have a verification of the vote on this, too. If you want to poll the absentees, but let's make it a complete verification . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, yes . . . C. L. . . . ah . . ."

McCormick: ". . . at the right time. I just don't want you to forget . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . certainly, but this takes 107 votes."



McCormick: ". . . I know it does, but he might pick up 107 between now and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I don't know. Representative Stone, for what purpose do you arise?"

Stone: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wouldn't want you to forget my friend, C. L., so I'll help remember you, C. L., okay?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Borchers, Carter, Catania . . . Catania 'no'? . . . Clabaugh, Day, Dee, Deuster, Duff, R. L. Dunn, Gibbs, Giorgi, Hanahan, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Huskey, Kent, Kucharski, Leinenweber, McCormick, Tom Miller, Molloy, Neff, North, Pappas, Philip, Rose, Sangmeister, Stiehl, Telcser, R. A. Walsh. That's it."

Speaker Telcser: "On these questions, Representative Stone . . . Representative Day votes 'no'. Record Representative Day as voting 'no' . . . Representative Stone, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to preclude Roscoe's verifying the Roll, but I did intend to ask . . . or C. L., but I did intend to ask that this be placed on Postponed Consideration, if I may either now or then."

Speaker Telcser: "You have that right, Sir. The Gentleman's requested it be put Postponed Consideration and House Bills 10 and 11 will be on Postponed Consideration. House . . . House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 12."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 12, an Act relating to transportation in the State of Illinois to provide for public transportation services and facilities and amends certain Acts herein named. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 12 would create a Regional Transportation Authority Act to provide for and coordinate public transportation services for the six-county area of northeastern Illinois. This Act reaffirms the constitutional declaration that public transportation is an



essential public service for which public funds may be expended. Now, is the time for this General Assembly to act to meet the crisis that is before us. It is a well-known fact that the economic growth and well being of this state is directly tied to the economic growth of the Chicago Metropolitan Region. History will show that the transportation of farm products, freight and people has played a tremendous role in making the Chicago Metropolitan area and our great state one of the most dynamic regions of the entire nation. The greatest economic strength of our state currently enjoys must not be jeopardized by failure to meet current mass transportation problems. These problems must be resolved. The people want the solution and we must act. The unique features of House Bill 12 may be found in this new funding proposals and its governing board appointments and their regulatory powers and in the formation of local transportation councils."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Farley, for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I can't hear the Gentleman, and Chief Sponsor or Cosponsor's remarks. I'd ask for a little order, please."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, could the Members please be in their seats and pay attention to the Gentleman's debate?"

Garmisa: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative . . . okay, one second, Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, last night it was my understanding that this Bill would be called for Second Reading tonight for an Amendment; and I have five such Amendments I would like to propose. I wonder if the . . . ah . . . the Sponsor of the Bill would take his Bill to Second Reading so I could put in my Amendments?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Garmisa?"

Geo-Karis: "They're very short."

Garmisa: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen



of the House, we did make that agreement with Cal' Skinner. We have resolved our differences with Cal'. We hope to take care of his problem over in the House . . . in the Senate and so I see no reason to bring it back to Second."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose . . ."

Geo-Karis: "A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . state your point."

Geo-Karis: "I didn't know that a Resolution of an Amendment with only one party precludes everyone else."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman has responded, Representative Geo-Karis. He has that right . . . ah . . . not to take his Bill back to Second. Ah . . ."

Geo-Karis: "You mean, Sparky, won't you let me put my little Amendments on your Bill first?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sparky, you're heavy."

Garmisa: "As much as I'd like to turn down such a lovely Lady, I think that in order to keep . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "As much as you hate to turn down such a lovely."

Garmisa: ". . . no, I was . . . that was a Fruedian slip, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm afraid . . . I'm afraid it was."

Garmisa: ". . . the . . . ah . . . and I have always . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Held the Lady in the highest opinion, of course."

Garmisa: ". . . I have always showed the same courtesies for the Lady of this House whenever E.R.A. was brought up and I was hoping to get the courtesy back whenever we have an R.T.A. up."

Geo-Karis: "I would be less than remiss to extend courtesy to Mr. Garmisa. I know he was gracious about E.R.A., and I'm very glad, although I didn't need it, but I'm glad he did it. However, it's not a matter of discourtesy, but only because I love him that I want to present these five Amendments because I do feel that they will help the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Rep . . . Representative Garmisa . . ."

Garmisa: "Mr. . . Mr. Speaker, I would rather that she showed her love for me in a positive vote on House Bill 12."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hoffman, : "Thank you, Mr. . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, in behalf of Representative Geo-Karis, we put in on the basis of two out of three balls."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, is there any further . . . Representative Geo-Karis, do you have a further point?"

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . As a matter of fact, it is because I really love him that I want to put these five Amendments because if these five Amendments go into the Bill, the Bill will have a more positive effect on all of the people of Illinois, instead of just being considered . . . just reserved for one special interest or one . . . one class interest."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman apparently disagrees with that perspective, and he . . . indicates that he does not want to go back to the order of Second Reading."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to hear it from the Gentleman's lips himself."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative, do you want to assure the Lady . . ."

Garmisa: "I would prefer not going back to Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Okay, Representative . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I would a ruling from the Chair then, inasmuch as that was my understanding last night, and I did file my Amendments, I would like a ruling from the Chair whether or not we're precluded from asking the Bill be go . . . go back to Second Reading since the statement was made on the floor that it would go back to Second Reading . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . I'll ask for ruling from the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . no one is precluded from asking that the Bill go back to Second from the principle Sponsor . . ."

Geo-Karis: "But the statement was made, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . However, as much as the Chair looks favorably upon your Amendments . . . ah . . . since they are such a short nature, I'm compelled to rule that the Sponsor has . . . ah . . ."



full control of his or her Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Can a motion take it back, Mr. Speaker, a point of order?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to move to suspend the rules and put the Bill back to Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, let's get that rule number, in the meantime, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I pass, I'm not going to engage in the debate. I pass, excuse me. I defer to the Lady."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, Representative Geo-Karis, there's nothing in the rules . . . ah . . . to provide . . . ah . . . for a Sponsor to move his Bill one way or another. The Constitution provides that there must be three separate readings and consequently there is nothing in the rules which we can . . . ah . . . suspend to avoid or deter the . . . that kind of direction for a Bill. So I . . . the Chair is unaware of any rule you can suspend to force the Gentleman to take his Bill back."

Geo-Karis: "I'm asking, excuse me, I don't mean to yell, all I'm asking is that it be moved to Second Reading. That's all."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, and all I can respond to you is that if the Sponsor . . . the principle Sponsor does not wish to have it returned to the order of Second Reading, there's no way to compel . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I so move."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman."

Geo-Karis: "I'm so making a motion to that effect."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Chair must rule, Representative, the . . . the motion is not a proper one . . ."

Geo-Karis: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . and is not germane to the . . . ah . . . issue. Sorry about that, Geo'. Representative Garmisa, proceed, Sir."

Garmisa: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Nice fight, Geo'."

Garmisa: ". . . may I proceed?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."



Garmisa: "The . . . like to get into the funding proposals proposed in House Bill 12, and it is made up of the following components, \$45,000,000 would be provided out of a \$15 per auto registration that would be returned to the six counties, \$29.3 million dollars would be returned to the 96 downstate counties, which could then be used for mass transit or highway purposes, \$60,000,000 would be provided out of the General Revenue Fund on a state appropriation, \$43,000,000 could be poured in post taxes and fees related to ownership and operation of motor vehicles, and two-thirds of all these revenues collected in any county must be returned to that county. Ah . . . This would make a total of \$148,000,000. Now, the R.T.A. governing board would be composed of a nine-member Board of Directors, and would be receptive to outlying county concerns, and one of the key features of the regulatory power section of House Bill 12 is that any transportation agency which contracts part of its facilities to the R.T.A. under the purchase of service agreements must or should remain subject to I.C.C. regulations to those facilities not under such purchase of service agreements. The effect is that transportation agencies are not able to discontinue service in outlying counties because they enter purchase of service agreements with the R.T.A. for only their interlying routes. Now, there . . . also another feature of this Bill would be in the formation of local transportation councils, and these councils would provide for region-wide discussion of R.T.A. problems and a means to voice the peoples' concerns to the Regional Transportation Authority. Now, Mr. Speaker, unless responsible action is taken by the Members of this General Assembly and an R.T.A. system is passed, more drastic measures to reduce pollution may become necessary, especially in heavily populated portions of northeastern Illinois. There must be emphasis on moving people and reducing the use of the automobile in our crowded urban centers; and it is a misconception that only the C.T.A. is endangered. Although the C.T.A.'s plight is most acute, the C.T.A. is out of funds right now, and it cannot function beyond the end of the year without severe cutbacks



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

in service. However, railroads, commuter lines are estimated to run a combined deficit of \$17,000,000 in 1973 and they will continue to raise fares in order to meet their rising costs. Some of the service. . . some of the suburban bus lines are being forced to dis . . . to discontinue service entirely, and this has happened to other bus lines in recent years. In the last two weeks, we have seen a recognition by the public of the energy crisis, an imminent crisis must be faced if R.T.A. doesn't rescue motorists. At the same time, motorists will be forced to reduce their driving by gas rationing. Mobility has sometimes been called our fifth freedom, and this is so, Mr. Speaker, and the people of northeastern Illinois are entitled to this freedom and a choice of transportation modes. The passage of House Bill #12 is an absolute necessity. I plead with each and every Member of this House for their support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Would the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Totten: "Sparky, I respect you as a spokesman for the C.T.A. as an expert in transportation, but I am rather confused about some of the figures. Ah . . . You have indicated and the Governor has indicated that in 1975 or in the first year of this R.T.A. you will only need, as I understand it, to tax some \$43,500,000, is that correct?"

Garmisa: "\$43,000,000."

Totten: "\$43,000,000. That is the tax that the . . . appointed . . ."

Garmisa: "The board can impose."

Totten: ". . . on what the board can impose. That's correct?"

Garmisa: "That is correct."

Totten: "What would you anticipate that appointed board can impose in an additional tax in 1976, '77, '78?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . I would like to answer that question this way. This would be a very difficult guess . . . ah . . . to make because perhaps we could have more federal subsidies down the



NOV 15 1973

182.

road. We're anticipating and hoping for that to happen."

Totten: "Have you been in contact and has the C.T.A. Board participated in taking an overview of the funding requirements for this Bill?"

Garmisa: "We have."

Totten: "Well, let me call your attention to this. I have a copy of a memo addressed to Milton Pikarsky, August 2, 1973, regarding the Regional Transportation Authority estimated funding requirements. In that memo, it does extend these funding requirements out a few years, and I just for the edification of the Members like to cite what those figures are, the year 1974 total funding requirements \$329,000,000, 1975, \$358,000,000, 1976, \$398,000,000, 1977, \$439,000,000 and 1978, \$489,000,000. These figures are contemplating what you are trying to sell or impose on the six-county area, that is reduced fares and increased services, capital equipment needs and so on. The proponents of R.T.A. are attempting to sell this proposal in the suburban and outlying county areas by talking about the increased services. Yet, you have not included any of that when you only talk about \$148,000,000 to get your foot in the door, is that correct?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Representative Totten, we are hoping with the proper funding to be able to increase the services in the suburban areas, to increase the services in this six-county regional area, we're hoping, too, to be able to reduce fares to bring more customers onto the mass transportation system to use those, get them off of the highways, the highways will last longer, the pollution will be reduced and the whole feature of this R.T.A. the whole concept is to make the mode of mass transit more attractive to the riding public; and the increase in costs will be reflected back in the savings when we have a reduction in the fares."

Totten: "When you propose to only use \$148,000,000 in the year 1975, you are in no way showing figures that purport to do what you're purporting to do in R.T.A. There is no money in there for any reduced fares, there is no money in there for increased services; and all you are doing when you use a figure as low \$148,000,000 is hoodwink the people in the suburban areas into thinking they're



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

getting improved services; and, Mr. Speaker, if I may, I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Totten: "It is apparent . . . it is apparent that what is attempted to do . . . that what they are attempting to do here in this Walker-Garmisa Bill is not as the Governor has campaigned for throughout this state for tax relief, this Bill is masquerading as the Governor's tax increase Bill because let me tell you for the six-county areas that are in this Bill, in order to provide the services that are purported to be needed under R.T.A., we will be paying more money in taxes in that six-county area than we are in every tax relief measure that is before this House passes. For example, in order to fund the deficit in 1975 using their C.T.A.'s own figures, we will have to impose an additional, this authority without taxing author . . . ah . . . with taxing authority will be able . . . will have to impose an .085 cent per gallon gasoline tax to fund this in the first year, and there will still be a \$600,000,000 deficit by the year 1980. Now, under this Bill also right now to do the things that they purport to do for the R.T.A. in the suburban and six-county areas and in the City of Chicago, this taxing authority will have the authority, the authority, Ladies and Gentlemen, by the year 1980 to impose taxes over \$2,000,000,000. This in no way is a transportation Bill. It is masquerading as a transportation Bill. When in fact it is a tax increase Bill for the six areas; and I think the names or the initials are proper, R.T.A., but in this case they don't stand for . . . they do not stand for Regional Transportation Authority, they stand for Rapid Tax Acceleration and it deserves a resounding 'no'. It is significant that this proposal by what it purports to do, appears to be a sincere effort by the Governor to torpedo any reasonable, reasonable R.T.A. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan. Is he on the floor? Hanahan? Okay, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner. Marv', I've got you on the list now. I got a long list. Representative Skinner, I don't see



Hanahan, so let's go back to you."

Skinner: "I'd be perfectly happy to wait for my colleague from McHenry."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he isn't on the floor, I . . . so let's go ahead, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "There's on thing that seems to be overlooked in the . . . this debate and that is that the last time mass transportation was financed out of the fare box was in a time very parellel to our own. We had gas rationing. We may indeed be coming into an area where mass transportation doesn't need any subsidy from the state, the fare box may be able to do it; but tonight we're apt to make a commitment, a long range commitment, that is going to absolutely eliminate any incentive to operate mass transportation out of the fare box. Representative Totter has passed out a Chicago Today article, which is an extremely interesting one, and it speaks especially loud to the 33rd District, which has parts of McHenry and Kane County in it. It shows according to the 1970 census an unbiased souvce, I believe, that the City of Crystal Lake has 7.5% of its employees, that is the people living in Crystal Lake who are employed, 7.5% use transportation; in Elgin, 5.3% use mass transportation; in Carpentersville, 2.8% use mass transportation; and in Woodstock only nine-tenths of 1% use mass transportation; and the other towns in my district there wasn't enough ridership to even justify inclusion in the census. What happens when you divide the subsidy that McHenry and Kane County is being asked to . . . or are being asked to, excuse me, is being asked to give by the . . . by the commuters that we have, the mass transportation commuters? I'll tell you what happens, it comes down to a \$1,500 to a \$2,000 subsidy each year for each commuter. I would suggest almost anyone would consider that excessive. I must agree with Representative Totten that an accumulated deficit of over \$2,000,000,000 by 1980 will add to this . . . will add to this burden that all the people in the six-county area will have to bear, and it seems to me that it's about time we started using some logic in this, especially those



who are not directly in the area, who will be the receivers of this massive redistribution of income, and that it is time to vote in their extremely explicit self-interest. In this case, that calls for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hanahan. Did you want recognition, Tom? No? Well, I called you once. The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to some questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Garmisa, I note that on page 16, paragraph 2, your Bill states, 'To invest any Sponsor or any monies not required for immediate use or dispersement as provided in an Act relating to certain investments of public funds by public agencies as now or hereafter amended'. Now, under that statement, isn't true that once this Bill is passed and is in operation that you can make investments in such obligations as the Chicago Transit Authority or skyway bonds?"

Garmisa: "No, that is not true . . . ah . . ."

Geo-Karis: "What assurance do we have when it says you can invest. My Amendment to that would've expressly precluded that, which you did not want to accept. Number 2, isn't that . . . is it not true that under this Bill the authority, Mr. Garmisa, would have unlimited powers of taxation?"

Garmisa: ". . . ah . . . when you take into regard that the board is going to be directly answerable to their appointing authorities, and these people are elected, and they . . . the board will not levy any extreme tax or they won't last very long in that area."

Geo-Karis: "That's not the point though, but as long as they are there they will be able to have the power of unlimited taxation, isn't that right, Mr. Garmisa?"

Garmisa: "Well, the truth of that matter, so does the General Assembly or any home-rule unit."

Geo-Karis: "However, the General Assembly expresses the will of the people or supposed to express the will of the people and protect



the people, and that is one just segment of the whole state. Don't you feel that by unlimited taxing authority and power that this Bill gives you, and I think this is very important, Ladies and Gentlemen of this Assembly, you are creating . . . you are creating a state within a state, and in no time prior to it will be running the State of Illinois because you also have the power of annexing by ordinance, and you set up the power in your particular ordinance in this Bill as to how annexation can take place and then annexation can come in with just 5% of the vote . . . people voting in the annexing . . . the county to be annexed, and in no time flat, you'll not only have six counties, but you'll have the surrounding counties surrounding the six counties, isn't that possible too, Mr. Garmisa?"

Garmisa: "Is it true, Representative Geo-Karis, that you had the Illinois Transportation Act in the last system . . . in the last Session?"

Geo-Karis: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Telcser: "This is her first term, Sparky."

Geo-Karis: "I wasn't here . . ."

Garmisa: "In the spring Session, I'm sorry."

Geo-Karis: "Did I have what?"

Garmisa: "The Illinois Area Transportation Authority?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, and I put it in the Interim Committee voluntarily because I didn't think it was . . . it was quite right and I wanted to make some changes, and that's why you didn't see it come forth."

Garmisa: "Thank you."

Geo-Karis: "Sure. Now, I have another point. Of course, I still don't think you've answered my question, would you like to give me an answer to my question?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . One part of your question, Representative Geo-Karis, any county would have to ask to be annexed. They would have to institute the action."

Geo-Karis: "But it according . . ."

Garmisa: "Now . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . according to your Bill, I agree with you, they would



have to ask; but according to your Bill, your . . . your Mass Transit Authority has the right to set the rules by which they can become annexed, and by that token, they would have the right to set the rule and say only 5% of a . . . of a county to come in can vote . . . become annexed, not the whole body, isn't that true?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . The county has the perfect right not to annex.

They have to ask or any percentage of that county to be annexed."

Geo-Karis: "It doesn't say exactly that in your Bill, as you and I both know, Mr. Garmisa; but let's go on to another point. Ah . . . On page 28 . . . on page 28, lines 5 and 6, I understand under your Bill, on page 27, let's take page 27, line 24, it . . . you say that 'Nothing in this Act could be construed to enable the authority to impose'. . . you do have a statement here that 'No such bonds or notes shall constitute a debt of the State of Illinois', I understand that . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I don't know about the rest of these fellas' . . . these Representatives, but I feel we are involved spending the people's money in the State of Illinois and I would like to have a little more quiet so I could here myself talk. Under page 27, and may I say, Mr. Garmisa, that most of the Bills have this in them, so it isn't just your Bill alone, but it still doesn't make it right, under page 27, it does say that the . . . 'No such bond or no bonds or notes shall constitute a debt of the State of Illinois', that's lines 23 and 24. That's correct. However, however, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could have a little quiet, thank you . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, the Lady is requesting that the Members please pay attention to what she has to say. Now . . . ah . . . we can have her . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry to take the time, but I think this is very important . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Well, you go right ahead, Geo', the Members are . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . important to the people of the State of Illinois, certainly from my county . . . on page 28, on line 5, paragraph E,



you've got the statement that it says, 'The State of Illinois pledges to and agrees that the holders of the bonds and notes to the authority', et cetera. I feel that that should've been stricken from the Bill, that was one of my Amendments. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait a second, the Lady . . . is rising on a point of personal privilege. State your point."

Geo-Karis: "I would be delighted to hear any Member in this Assembly to express his or her views whether they're for the Bill or against, and I will give them every bit of courtesy and I hope that I will be accorded the same."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, there are a number of people on the floor of the House who are not authorized to be on the floor. Now, those of you who should not be here, would you please leave the floor and let the Members and those who are authorized to be here complete their work. Now, proceed, Representative."

Geo-Karis: "On page 29 of this Bill, and I might say this is true in most of the other Bills, and I am addressing those on the same basis, on page 29, lines 23 through 26, lines . . . it relates to public bidding and it says such regulations may provide for excess . . . exemptions for such requirements for the issuance and sale of bonds or notes of the authority for the acquisition of professional utility services and for other matters for which it determines public bidding is not advantageous'. In other words, if the authority decides not to have public bidding, it doesn't have to. Well, I think that's a travesty on the public and that's what I have another Amendment to do, to strike out the 'not advantageous', that it had to be a public bidding. I think I have one more. The other question I would like to ask, Mr. Garmisa, relates to page 28, lines, sorry to delay you, lines 5 and 6, and I think we've covered that, but there's another one here, just a second. Just give me the courtesy here. I mentioned to you that in your Bill you have the right to acquire property or to a state property in . . . ah . . . and both real and personal. Now, I would like to speak to the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "Well, just for . . . just for a couple of minutes, Representative, just a couple of minutes long, okay?"

Geo-Karis: "I won't be long."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. I am in favor of an R.T.A., but not in this Bill, because this Bill breaks the public financially, and I mean that sincerely. Unlimited power of taxation without representation is very un-American. Number two, when they can sell their bonds at public or private sales at the discretion of the authority, then they're depriving the rights of the people to be represented to the public sale because they don't have to have a public sale. Number three, there's nothing to stop the authority under this Bill from acquiring the skyway bonds. Number four, representation is not there from all the counties. My county, which is the third largest county, will not have a member guaranteed on that board; and number four, basically, the whole Bill is not financially feasible for the State of Illinois and the people in my county or the people of the State of Illinois; and I would like to speak against that Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "I wonder if I could ask just a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Hill: "Ah . . . Could you tell me, Representative Garmisa, the amount of outstanding bonds that the C.T.A. is obligated to?"

Garmisa: "The outstanding bonds that the C.T.A. has at the present time?"

Hill: "Yes."

Garmisa: "I'm not . . . ah . . . in a position to answer that question."

Hill: "Has there ever been a public listing of the bondholders of these . . . ah . . . bonds?"

Garmisa: "To my knowledge, no."

Hill: "And if R.T.A. were enacted, R.T.A. would take over the obligation of these bonds?"

Garmisa: "No, not under this Bill."

Hill: "Well, then how would these bonds be paid off?"



Garmisa: "With the revenues of the C.T.A."

Hill: "No, the C.T.A. would be part of R.T.A. if this Bill is adopted, wouldn't it?"

Garmisa: "No, we're not taking over the C.T.A. The C.T.A. is going to be an integral part of the Regional Transit Authority."

Hill: "It would be an integral part of it."

Garmisa: "That's correct."

Hill: "And you're telling me that the C.T.A. would still assume the obligation and that out of the R.T.A. fund nothing . . . none of those monies would be utilized to pay the bonds off?"

Garmisa: "The R.T.A. would contract with the C.T.A. . . ."

Hill: "Yes."

Garmisa: ". . . and the regular funding . . . and the C.T.A. could use the money for the redemption of the bonds or whatever other expenses the operating expenses . . ."

Hill: "That's what I wanted to know. So, consequently, then . . . ah . . . the people, and there's been an up and down market on the C.T.A. bonds, they've changed hands, at least I've read this in the newspaper many times, and it's been suggested in newspapers - that the possibility exists that there could be a scandal in these particular bonds; and, consequently, if R.T.A. is enacted, the obligation could fall to the taxpayers of Kane County, Will County, McHenry County, Lake County for the payment of those bonds. All right, now in regards to R.T.A. if it is adopted, money would be utilized to purchase equipment from the various railroads covered in this particular area, is that correct?"

Garmisa: "That is correct."

Hill: "Yes, and I think it was told by me . . . to me by Representative Shea that these monies would have to be expended in the State of Illinois, is this right or wrong?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . That is correct."

Hill: "In other words, they should utilize those monies for their freight lines, which is a very lucrative deal for the railroads, in any part of the State of Illinois?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Most of that package is used for the passage of



service, too, Representative Hill."

Hill: "But there is much of it that isn't used for passage of service because if I recall properly many of the passenger trains have been taken off of many of the railroads in the State of Illinois. So, primarily, the larger percentage would be used for freight."

Garmisa: "I couldn't say that would be true statement."

Hill: "Why it would be quite logical. Another thing, what are . . . what is R.T.A. going to do with these antiquated cars, these antiquated diners, what is R.T.A. going to do with this equipment?"

Garmisa: "We don't have to purchase that type of equipment . . ."

Hill: "Why don't you?"

Garmisa: ". . . because the R.T.A. governing Board would be the one to make the decision, and they . . . it would be . . . not be mandatory, it would be optional."

Hill: "But . . . it was explained to me by Representative Shea, unless I misunderstood it, that any of these passenger cars would come under R.T.A. and would be . . . would be purchased, isn't that right?"

Garmisa: "No."

Hill: "What did . . . ah . . . what did he say to me?"

Garmisa: "The only equipment that they would be purchased would be that that would be necessary in the operation of the Transit Authority."

Hill: "Have you looked at some of these cars that they have? Because you're going to have to purchase some of these antiquated cars . . ."

Garmisa: "These are only . . ."

Hill: ". . . whether you like it or not, unless you're going out and purchase brand new equipment."

Garmisa: "No, the . . . for one thing, when you're going to turn this Regional Transit Authority operation over to a governing board, Jack, they have wide discretionary powers. They're there to serve the public. They're there to move the public. They're there to take the . . . what they're going to try to do is take the people off of the roads, put them in the mass transit. They're going to have to make the Regional Transit Authority an attractive service."

Hill: "All right, let me . . . let me ask this question. Under the



home rule clause of the Constitution could Cook County, which is a home rule county, and Chicago, a home rule municipality enact a Transit Authority and tax for that Transit Authority under a home rule clause?"

Garmisa: "They could."

Hill: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Would the Gentleman . . ."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, proceed, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe the last question that was asked of Mr. Garmisa, if this is so vital that we have transportation in the area of Chicago and in the area of Cook County, under the home rule clause they can enact this, they can enact taxes to provide for transportation; and it seems to me that if those people in the Chicago area want this so badly, then they should tax those people in that area for it and let us in the counties outside of Cook County operate on our own. Now, I have been reading recently in the newspaper, and I believe the last article I read was in regards to Senator Percy, where he has said that something new has been enacted into Federal legislation; and that is added appropriations for mass transit. I don't believe that we should be cowed into spending state money at the rate that R.T.A. calls for when the possibility exists and is very close that the Federal Government is going to take our tax money and provide money to the various transit systems, not only . . . not only in Chicago, but throughout the State of Illinois and the United State of America. That means that we're going to get more money back. Now, the possibility exists that maybe these transit systems won't be Republican controlled in Aurora and Democratic controlled in Chicago, maybe that's good and maybe that's bad, maybe politics will be taken out of it throughout the nation, and maybe that's good and maybe that's bad; but I feel sincere in believing not only Percy but many other Congressmen that have made statements to the news media, and I have read that there's more money coming into the areas for



transit systems. Why then are we going to enact an increase in the various taxes relative to the automobile to do something like this when just at hand it's going to be provided on a national level, and those are our tax dollars also. Now, one more thing, maybe Mr. Garmisa said that it's going to be left up to the board to purchase what they want to purchase from these railroads; but I'm sure you people know what McCormick Place looks like in Chicago. It's a very beautiful building, and all of the taxpayers of the State of Illinois is paying for it. I'm happy for that because I think it's a landmark in Chicago; but let me tell you this, that is R.T.A. goes in, you're going to have to build a building three times as big as McCormick Place to put these antiquated cars from the various railroads in there and to make a museum so all of the people can go up there and look at this antiquated equipment because you're not going to be able to do anything else with it; and I certainly would appreciate to cast a vote for the people, not only in that six-county area and protect us, but you're going to be protecting yourself, because one of these days they're going to come after you, too; and I'd appreciate it if you vote against it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, Representative Hudson was next. Representative Hudson on the . . . the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Hudson: "Sparky, I have just a few questions here for you. I would like to understand in the interest of comparing your Bill with some of the others that have . . . that are being introduced, if in your opinion this Bill is passed and the Regional Transportation Authority is established, do we in fact have by so doing establish a regional unit of government, call it what you will, local government?"

Garmisa: "No."

Hudson: "You say 'no'?"

Garmisa: "I say 'no'."



Hudson: "What do you have then when you have created a Regional Transportation Authority? What is it?"

Garmisa: "This would be a special district vested under the authority of the state's statutes."

Hudson: "Would it have broad powers, would it have power to levy taxes or to borrow money?"

Garmisa: "It would have broad regulatory powers, it would have broad taxing powers."

Hudson: "Would it have the authority to bypass the state in applying for and receiving grants, loans or other funds from Federal Government or any other department or agency?"

Garmisa: "Yes."

Hudson: "Would it have the authority to extend its operation into other counties than those that we . . . ah . . . are thinking of . . ."

Garmisa: "If . . . only if . . . only if by agreement and if the other counties would ask for . . ."

Hudson: ". . . well, the answer then is 'yes', it would in addition to . . ."

Garmisa: ". . . on what reservations?"

Hudson: ". . . would you say that under this plan then all employees of private transportation agencies become government employees . . . ah . . ."

Garmisa: "I missed the beginning of your . . . ah . . ."

Hudson: ". . . public . . . public . . . public employees?"

Garmisa: ". . . I missed the beginning of your question."

Hudson: "Under . . . under, Sparky, as this is set up, and the Transportation Authority takes in various bus lines, trains, whatever, do these employees then become public employees or what you'd call government employees?"

Garmisa: "Only if they should actually hire those employees and inquire that agency."

Hudson: "Well, presumably, they . . . presumably the authority would do this, I would . . . I should think, would it not? and then the taxpayers, would they assume then the cost of pensions, insurance



benefits? Are their obligations now paid by private employers?"

Garmisa: "This . . . this would be under whatever pension programs would be appli . . . applicable to that particular unit. The . . . ah . . . you must understand the bus lines, the railroad commuter trains, the . . . ah . . . subway trains would all have their own units and their own rules would apply to their pension funding, et cetera."

Hudson: "Well, Sparky, thank you, I'm going to . . . I'm going to cut my questions short in the interest of time. I would, Mr. Speaker, like to address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Hudson: "Just for a few minutes, and, Sparky, I want to say this, I have a great deal of respect for you and I know that what you're doing you're doing in sincerity, as are the Sponsors of all the other Bills here as far as I know. So what I'm going to . . . ah . . . to say is no . . . to be considered as no reflection upon your integrity or your sincerity, and I want that established at the outset here before I make my statement because what I'm going to say is going to apply, as far as I'm concerned, to all the Bills, each and every one here, regardless of Sponsor."

Garmisa: "Ah . . . This is a feeling that is absolutely mutual. I have the same high regard for those of you on that side of the aisle that have drawn up their own Bills, and . . . ah . . . happy to hear that you have that high regard for this House."

Hudson: "I . . . I certainly do. Now, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a few words of my own . . . ah . . . a few words regarding the Bill and Regional Transportation Authorities in general. I think that we're talking about a great deal more here than . . . ah . . . Jack Hill's interest, although I consider his to be extremely valid, on what are we doing in the way of subsidizing rail lines, railroads, et cetera, et cetera. Certainly that's a consideration, but I think this thing goes a great deal deeper, it goes into philosophy of government, it goes into our respect for what we used to call the free enterprise system . . . ah . . . the viability of free men and their ability to



NOV 15 1973

196.

trade freely and to set up transport systems, realize the profit, serve the public, et cetera, et cetera. I think all of these things here are involved and we need perhaps to think about it. I want to read to you just a few short paragraphs here that come from the W.T.A.Q. Editorial of October 23 '73. W.T.A.Q. is in LaGrange, out my way, but I think it expresses some of these concerns of mine and I will read this and then I will cease and desist; but here it is, 'Under the various plans being considered in multi-county by state everexpanding, federally-controlled authority would ultimately be evolved, subsidized by the taxpayers, including the multitudes who don't have access to it. Much has been made of the controversy over the various transit plans; but from everything we have read, the major controversy is primarily over whose plan will be adopted. We believe the mass transportation schemes currently being promoted are little more than gimmicks in an overall plan to destroy local autonomy and transfer local power to appointees at by-state and regional levels under federal supervision and certainly federal supervision is a long-range specter that has to rear its head in this regional transportation thought. The super-planners seek to control people in every aspect of their lives. What cannot be done electorally, they seek to do administratively. The Federal Government and its pawns in key places at the local and state levels are working to control . . . to con . . . to intimidate localities: into subordinating themselves to a new area-wide planning bureauracy offering localities money for programs they are convinced they won as followed by forcing them into undertaking programs they don't want and insisting that adjacent localities submit to area-wide planning as a further consideration for federal money. These are among the strategies employed by the advocates of total people control. We believe the issue is far . . . is more far-reaching than just providing mass transit for those which . . . who can't or don't want to pay. Now, perhaps we state Legislators would be well-advised to hold off any action until the subject can be properly debated, until we have an opportunity



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to learn the ramification of our votes. Our freedom, it seems to me, Ladies and Gentlemen, and I think we are in a sense talking about freedom, we're certainly talking about free enterprise, we're talking about man's ability to provide services in a free, open, competitive market; and if we foreclose on this, we have foreclosed, in my opinion, foreclosed, in my opinion, on a large aspect of what we've always known as freedom in this country; and in my humble opinion, and with this I'll close, our freedom is too valuable to trade for free bus tokens; and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've been deeply involved in the last few days and the last few months in a R.T.A. Bill, whether it be this Bill or other R.T.A. Bills, concerning labor protection; and you know, the oddest thing happened to me on my way to getting support on a labor-protected measure, some of the people who I would almost expect or, you know, just generally accept the fact generally that would support the concept that working men and women shall be protected in their jobs against undue harassment, undue layoffs, no protection for job retraining in these types of negative happenings that happen when you merge systems together. Now, it's very odd that you would think that with all the support that many . . . many Members receive that are sitting here on the floor of this House from labor in order to achieve the right to serve as a Legislator representing men and women in Illinois, that it would be very little to ask anyone to support a concept that would protect working men and women from undue harassment with a new concept of a Regional Transportation Authority. Now, going back in last spring, we changed to a mutual agreement, not only on the Democratic side, but on the Republican side, on a provision that is now known in most circles concerned with themselves with labor protective measures is Amendment 18. This Amendment was agreed to by both sides of the aisle, by the Speaker and by the Minority Leader, and was adopted on the R.T.A. Bill. During



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the summer, some of the various unions asked Governor Walker for a Bill of particulars that we have a copy of in his handwriting, in his . . . from . . . on his stationery saying that, 'No Bill would pass without his express approval of an Amendment such as Amendment 18'; and lo and behold Bills were introduced in this Special Session without any labor protection by Members of my Party. I was astonished to hear that Democrats all of a sudden somehow forgot that working men and women need protection when an R.T.A. is established from undue harassment, that we need some basic rights as working men and women from being laid off on our jobs, from being put on the unemployment line, without in some cases even the right of unemployment compensation. We're talking about merging railroad lines, we're talking about cabs, you know, nobody has talked about the cab situation that's going to come out of the R.T.A., and what's going to happen to those private citizens when they're merged under the R.T.A. when and if the R.T.A. management decides to take them over, and how much the franchises will be paid for, and how it will be operated, and whether the cabdriver will now be a quasi-public employee sooner than a private employee with all the basic rights of an American citizen, and nobody has talked about what has happened in the last couple of days; and I've had about two hours sleep because during the night, as you know, when we adopted Amendment #17 to this Bill last night, a commitment was made that we would put on old Amendment 18 on this Bill, and that we would endeavor to at least come to some agreement on a protective labor Amendment. Now, this was done, in good faith Members of the trade union movement met with staff members, and officers of Governor Walker's cabinet and members of the Chicago Transit Authority and their aides. All through the night we negotiated, we started again at 7 o'clock this morning. One of the things that we're hung up on is a very important issue for labor. We have not come to a final agreement. The Bill is being moved out of here tonight, if it has the necessary votes, with Amendment 17 intact. I have a . . . somewhat of a commitment from my Minority



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

. . . Assistant Minority Leader, who speaks in behalf of the Mayor of the City of Chicago on this issue, I believe, or mainly in speaking in behalf of Milton Pikarsky, who seems to be the spokesman for whether or not we need protective labor legislation on an R.T.A. Bill. Now, I suggest that I'm no fool when it comes to legislative proceedings. I didn't get here yesterday. I know all the tricks that could be perpetrated by a Leader of this House whether he be a Republican or a Democrat. One of the great gimmicks that has happened over my 10 years of serving in this House has been the mass rush in the Exodus of bad legislation on the last night of a Session when everyone is sick and tired of being here. Now, I know the gimmick that we're passing out this Bill tonight, hopefully, there're some people want to pass it out tonight, so that when it gets to the Senate, either this Bill or another Bill, it's sent back here to the House without a labor protective measure. Now, the Governor and his staff has expressly said that they would not support a Bill without the labor protective measure. You think it would be very simple to for me to request of my Assistant Minority Leader, Gerald Shea, a simple 'yes' or 'no' answer to whether or not he would support an R.T.A. Bill without a labor protective measure in . . . incorporated in the Bill. It's not a very hard question, it's not a legalistic question, it's just a Gentleman's question; and I say it publicly that I would like to know before I commit myself to vote for any R.T.A. whether or not my Minor . . . Assistant Minority Leader will support a Bill without the agreed labor protective measure? If he chooses to answer this, this is up to him; if he chooses not to, I am going to request of those who are sympathetic to laborer's needs, and I'm talking about the men and women who elect you, whether they belong in Chicago or downstate, whether they live in McHenry or live in Galesburg, that the men and women who elect you deserve at least some consideration of a labor protective clause and a commitment, because let's be honest, if there was a divided loyalty between what Milton Pikarsky wants and what the trade union movement wants, I know



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

where my Assistant Minority Leader would lie. I don't discredit him for this; but I happen to know him that well, and I don't say that it's wrong. He knows where I sit when it comes to labor; and I just suggest that before those who are sympathetic to working men and women's needs, that unless we have a commitment that any Bill that he supports, expressly supports, in behalf of Milton Pikarsky, that if it does not have the labor protective measure on it, that I request those sympathetic to labor to withhold their votes tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee. Oh, I'm sorry, Representative . . . Representative Dee. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee. Now, so the Members know, I still have quite a long list of people who are . . . who want to speak to the issue and . . . okay, with me . . . seven more."

Dee: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've sat through three weeks debate on these Bills and it amazes me that the heart of the matter has never once been mentioned. I find no fault with what has been preoccupying our minds and our time, but regardless of the cost, I think there are other considerations; and in the interest of the . . . expressing myself, I'd like to read to you a statement that I prepared in this matter. Since I'm the most junior of all the Membership of this Body, I feel it's incumbent that I qualify myself to speak on this issue. I'm an admitted attorney and a registered engineer at the State of Illinois, which many of you know. My practice in both law and engineering for the past many, many years has been almost exclusively in the real estate field, dealing with the evaluation of real property and it is important that I point out to you inasmuch as the value of real estate is directly dependent on transportation. I have been concerned from this viewpoint over the past many years, and since I have spent almost eight years as a Member of the State of Illinois Traffic Study Commission, and have wrestled many long nights with this problem, I feel I'm qualified to speak. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I want it made perfectly clear and definitely understood that I'm foresquare behind a mass transpor-



tation Bill and the development of mass transportation therefrom, and the remarks I am to make notwithstanding. I'm deeply distressed by the hysteria of frustration that is apparently present in the matter of mass transportation before this House, and I feel in good conscience that I must speak out and more importantly point out the true consequences of the action that we're taking here within the next few hours. First permit me to review the testimony of the Chairman of the C.T.A., who for openers reminds us of our moral duty not to sit by for our police who are not going to report for work in the morning. If the doors of our public schools and universities will not be opened in the morning. If we knew our hospitals would not permit anymore patients, if we knew our water supply was going to be shut off, he then points out that such . . . these rhetorical questions are highly relevant to the important matters before us today. Inferring that public transportation may not start tomorrow morning if we do not pass the R.T.A. Bills. Now, Gentlemen, I submit if we had a population of 40 people with 20 policemen, we'd not really worry about adding 20 more policemen, would we; and if our hospitals had 1,000 open beds, would we add additional nurses and more rooms or would we question why people were not using our hospitals; and I submit that if public transportation as faced would collapse in serious deterioration in Chicago and the metropolitan area, it is because not . . . mass transportation is not fulfilling its duty or purpose in attracting riders for a variety of reasons; and has been repudiated out of hand by the mass of potential users and should not be continued in its present form ad infinitum. As it is supported today by subsidy or grants to reject its service that has not changed its basic operation in the past 100 years. Yes, we continue with the 'kit' of course, it is true we no longer use horses to pull the buses or cars, and of course, we have air conditioned, 90-passenger buses that run around with four passengers aboard. Of course, we have a beautiful, high-speed rapid transit train that are filled by no more than 10 people, except during the rush hours;



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

but these are still the basic forms and means of mass transportation that is continually been con . . . rejected by the public since its peak in 1926, almost 50 years ago. No wonder there are losses. As you will recall, I asked the Chairman of the C.T.A. if an increase in use by the public would eliminate the deficit, and he assured us that it would not. The Chairman was also asked if the C.T.A. could operate a profit and he assured us that it could. Now, I know Mr. Pikarsky by reputation and association, as a fellow Member and a lecturer in the Society of Engineers, and I respect him as one of the most able administrators in the engineering field in the United State today, and I believe him for he is an honorable man, and I believe that given freedom of action the C.T.A. can operate in the black, and I, for one, want to see 'em have that opportunity and see the second largest mass transportation operation in the United States operating profitably. But the point is that I believe Pikarsky will not be given a free hand and there is a strong moment which has gained support of our well-meaning and interested civic and business leaders to develop a large, efficient and meaningful mass transportation operation in the Chicago metropolitan area and for this I employ them . . . applaud them and join them in their desires wholeheartedly while we'll preclude any attempt at reducing operating deficits. I must also submit that the end for which our newspapers and our civic leaders are striving will not be met by the Bills now before this House. A more realistic approach must be found to solve the problems of the region and the state in mass transportation. We cannot lock a continuing cost of approximately \$200,000,000 per year for the six-county region that the present Bills all envision. I cannot in good conscience support or answer to the people of the State of Illinois or to my constituency . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . wait one minute, Representative Dee.

Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the Gentleman would bring his remarks to a close. He's long overdue as part of



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

his time, and there are seven other Speakers, I believe, and the hour is getting rather late in the evening."

Dee: ". . . I have another two minutes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, would you conclude your remarks please?"

Dee: "I feel we must help the C.T.A., we must see to it that there is a mode of public transportation available to our citizens tomorrow morning. So let us not rush into a program which will create another tax absorbing monster demanding to be fed in increasing quantities from the peoples' funds for ineffecient and archaic means of transportation; and I'm aware it's easy to criticize and it's easy to say these measures will not do. What's the alternative? Time will not allow this moment a detailed submittal, but permit me to point out as best I can in one paragraphy what I think should be done and in a synopsis of seven years of study. The only way we'll solve the dilemma of public acceptance of mass transportation is to move it underground or in enclosed rights of way, where continual speeds of 40, 50 or 60 miles per hour and in the near future 100 miles per hour are possible in highly conjested areas; and until we do this, we must be prepared to carry the present mode of mass transportation that is used only duress and absolute necessity. But we should not compound its errors. We must develop depot areas with private parking and transportation to the central city or to the central terminal point. I'll omit the next two or three paragraphs and say this, my fellow colleagues on both sides of the aisle and on both sides of the state, up and down, none of the present mass transportation R.T.A. Bills meet the requirements nor do they envision any hope for a change in the R.T.A. meth . . . methods, none contain even a preamble . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Dee, could you conclude your remarks, please, you've really have gone way over your time limit, Sir? The rules provide 10 minutes."

Dee: ". . . I've been on exactly eight minutes, Mr. Speaker, but I will conclude . . . under the present Bills all we can look forward to is an enlargement . . . out of the six-county area. I



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

say to you that this fight, all of the inaccuracies, and I wish I had time to develop for you what I had in mind, I cannot bring myself to vote against these Bills; and I hope and I will vote 'aye', I hope that for this one . . . I hope that Bills that is proposed will be amended in the Senate and that public and legislative concern will recognize the need for operational improvements to be included in these Bills. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman. Jerry, did you want to say something now? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise? I've called on Schlickman, but . . . oh, okay, I'll get you next year."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'll try to be brief, but we are confronted with what has been stated many times to be one of the most critical issues confronting the State of Illinois. Now, some of you know, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have an extraordinary interest in this issue. I have been concerned about it for a relatively long period of time, and last year was the Sponsor of a Bill creating a Regional Transportation system. In the spring of this year, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I again reintroduced a Bill on the sub . . . on the subject. This fall I elected not to introduce a Bill, rather my option was to consider the Bills that would be introduced by the executive and legislative leadership of this state and then make my decision. The basis for my decision, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, was going to be the same basis as the decision I made during the four years of the Ogilvie administration; and I want to say something about the Ogilvie administration, it was four years. I voted for every one of the Ogilvie-cause Bills because I thought they were right, and I relied upon the Governor of the State. One other thing to be said about the Ogilvie administration, it did more for downstate roads than in any other administration in this state. So I decided, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that I would select my Bill . . . I would select the Bill on the basis of what I would conclude Governor Ogilvie would cause to be introduced



in this General Assembly; and for that basis, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm relying upon the report by Governor Ogilvie's transportation task force entitled, 'Crisis and Solution'; and let me read from that report using the words of the former Governor of this State, dated January 1973, 'Northeastern Illinois urgently needs a revitalized public transportation system. In recent years the state has had to confront almost annual financial crisis in public transportation in northern Illinois. These crisis have steadily worsened until now every element of the Region Transportation system is threatened with curtailment or abandonment of essential services. Prices for some of these services are escalating beyond perceived value. System ridership is on the decline', Governor Ogilvie continues, 'The time for action is now at hand. Our public transportation system is the lifeline of our urban communities. Our capability to transport ourselves governs the extent to which we will develop and prosper. I believe, therefore', said Governor Ogilvie, 'that the action taken will largely determine whether the region . . . the region in which 70% of the people of this state live and which constitutes the lifeline of this state will progress or decline and decay'. Governor Ogilvie concluded, 'It's my hope that this report will serve as a catalyst for those in Illinois in public and private life who are sincerely interested in this important challenge'; and let me say two things about this report, it's most valuable in terms of money, it costs the state over \$100,000 to produce. Unfortunately, it constitutes survival on Regional Transportation. Now, in comparing the two Bills under consideration, House Bill 12 and House Bill 15, they are the major Bills. I have compared both of them, and I have been most concerned about the matter of funding; and the issue is simply this, whether we're going to fund public transportation through sales tax or if we're going to fund it through motor related taxes; and this report, Mr. Speaker, comments on both of those funding methods. Governor Ogilvie's report, and what does the report say about sales tax as a method of funding? I'll quote directly for you.



According to the Ogilvie Task Force Report it is stated that, 'the use of sales tax cannot be rationalized on any grounds', and furthermore relying upon a report of the Republican Comptroller of this state, 'to use sales tax funds as is suggested in House Bill 15 would be fiscally irresponsible'. So the alternative we're left with are auto-related taxes as contained in House Bill 12; and according to the Ogilvie Report, the use of auto-related taxes would be proper and necessary because by it and by public transportation we have an allocation of the non-pecuniary benefits of mass transportation to the motorists, to more road space, to reduce wear and tear on our roads by providing a back-up system to motorists. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I can proudly say that during four years under the Ogilvie administration I voted for everyone of these Bills, and today, tonight, I'm going to vote for the Bill that I know Governor Ogilvie would have caused to be introduced and that is House Bill 12."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, so the Members are aware, there are about seven or eight people who still seek recognition. The hour is growing late, there are other Members who have told me they have Senate Bills they must move before midnight, so I just . . . I don't care if you . . . I'd like to give Representative Shea and Speaker Blair who want to talk on this, the Leadership courtesy . . . ah . . . but if you want to continue, it's fine by me. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. I want to get the Speaker before we, you know, move this. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, since my name was mentioned in debate, before I get into the Bill, could I have just one minute on a point of personal privilege?"

Speaker Telcser: "You certainly may, Sir."

Shea: "I'd like to address my colleagues as just a Member, not as a Leader, but just as a Member. When I came to this Legislature, and we started this Session, I raised my hand and took an oath to support the Constitution of this State and to protect all of the people of this State; and I shall continue to do that, all of



them; and as a Democrat, I've fought for all of the people, rich, poor, black, white, and I shall continue to do that; and I fought for labor, and I told Mr. Hanahan that I would do everything, personally, that I could to protect labor, and I shall continue to do that; but I shall do everything I can to protect all the people in the six-county metropolitan area to insure them transportation; and all the laborers that go every day to work, I want to make sure that they can get to work; and I want to do everything I can to insure the vital, viable public transportation system there; and I shall continue to support Bills such that will pour concrete and give roads to southern Illinois where they're so vitally needed; and I will continue to support things like Rend Lake and the universities throughout this state, and I promise you this, I shall do everything I can and what I think is right. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you want to address yourself to the Bill."

Shea: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 12 is a compromise of many, many people. If this Bill passes and goes over to the Senate, I think it will be the first giant step that we can make towards providing a viable transportation system throughout the six-county area. I think it's vitally needed to keep the C.T.A., the buses and the railroads running in that area. I've heard people talk time and time again about the C.T.A. and it's important and it's vital to that area; but let me assure you that a transportation system is more than just the C.T.A., it's the buses, it's the railroads, it's people trying to send their kids to junior colleges that haven't got the buses to take them across town, to take them from where I live out to Triton College, but they buy a second car and they'll spend \$700 a year on insurance, but that . . . that's another tax; but I would appreciate it if we could work to get this Bill out of this House. I think it's a good Bill, and as Gene Schlickman said, 'We've been working for three or four years to get it', and



I think tonight is the night that we can get it."

Speaker Telcser: "Allright, now, if it's okay with the Members, I understand that the other Democratic Leader may want to speak, so if I took Jerry, and the other Leader, and then the Speaker, and/or C. L. Is Representative Choate . . . did I understand someone tell me he wanted to address himself to the issue? Is he on the floor? Unless the others who indicated they wanted to speak to the Bill want to persist, it's fine with me, I . . . I've got Representative Davis, Mahar, Porter, Tipsword . . . ah . . . do they still wish to? Representative Davis, did you . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "I will explain my vote. If you'll give me that opportunity . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay . . ."

Davis: ". . . and I'll yield to the Speaker, if he's going . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, I just don't want to preclude someone who may really want to talk on this. Mahar doesn't care, Porter doesn't care, Tip', he don't care. Did you want to speak, Tip'? The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Now, yes, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, if you really . . . if you want to proceed, and you want to speak, sure."

Tipsword: "Yes, I do. The light wasn't on, and I was just wondering if you want . . . thought maybe you wanted to know if I still wanted to remain on the list. Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker, and I'll make it very short. I think we have here a demonstration of what has been occurring in this General Assembly during this term and over the past several terms. I think it has become . . . become very evident here tonight in the consideration of this Bill and of commitments upon this and related Bills that we're living in this Legislature in an era of very great distrust. I think the distrust is being exhibited on all sides and upon all sides of this particular issue. I think, for instance, and first of all that there has been an issue of distrust that has been raised here tonight in regard to the protections of labor in regard to this Bill. I think it would be very simple because all



that labor is asking for is a simple commitment by word that the labor protections that are in this Bill are going to come back from the Senate or else there will not be this Bill; and I think that is one area . . . area of distrust that we could very simply do away with and we should do away with because labor is entitled to this pledge, I think they should have it; and I would hope that that would clear up one of the areas that has been a problem in this Legislature. Another thing, I think we have a great distrust of the Senate, and this same issue has pointed that out. We don't trust what comes back from the Senate or the actions that the Senate is going to take; and, likewise, I'm sure they don't trust us; and one of the reasons that we don't is, not only because of past actions they've taken, but because when those actions come back to this House, we have five seconds to act upon them. We never get to see the Bill. When we did have desks, which we do not have now, and we required it to be placed on our desks, it got there sometime after the vote was taken, generally, upon that particular Bill that came back from the Senate after it has passed this House. I recall the latter part of last July and I stood on the floor begging to know what Conference Committees I had been placed on and when I found out what they were, where those Conference Committees were meeting. I had Conference Committee Reports come back that I finally found on my desk on Committees that I found that I had been appointed to that had a complete report back for us to act on, and I've never even been able to find out where the Conference Committee was meeting, let alone know for a long time that I was on it. I think when this Bill and any of the companion Bills goes to the Senate, and they come back here, and we are not under any deadline except the deadline that we would all like to be home, that those Bills should lay on our desks for 12 hours or a Conference Committee report should lay on our desks for 12 hours. So we have the opportunity to know what is in that Bill or that Conference Committee Report, and know what we're going to be voting on upon the floor of this House. Finally, in regard to this



NOV 15 1973

210.

House Bill 12 and the Bills that have just preceded it, with several of the Members of this House, those Bills are considered as a package, quite frankly; and I wish that they could be presented as a package, and if the Chair knows of any means or method whereby those Bills could all be presented at one time and be subject to one vote, I think it would remove an awful lot of distrust that is on the floor of this House and has remained here for about the last three years. It's high time we start clearing it up. I hoped that we were on the way to doing so; but if this Bill is continued to be presented by itself, I think it's solely and only going to add to that distrust. I wish we could clear this up, and I wish we'd find a way to handle all of these things at the same time in this one issue. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Blair . . . two of our guys and Clyde will be up, I hope, I believe he wanted to speak. The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. My remarks again will be very brief. We've spent a great deal of time working on this particular problem, and it is a problem that affects a large area in the State of Illinois, an area that's got 77% of the population, it involves six counties, and . . . and one which we have to look at having a realistic solution to at some time. Unfortunately, I think we ought to look at what the Bill before us will do as far as tax increase is concerned, tax increase. This Bill will provide for the people in the six northeastern Illinois counties of Cook, Lake, McHenry, DuPage, Kane, and Will, a tax increase of momentous portion, a huge tax increase. That is not going to be a tax increase that is going to be said to be accomplished by the Regional Transportation Authority Board, that is a tax increase that is going to be made by Governor Walker on those six counties. Governor Walker is going to be increasing the taxes on the people in those six counties, not the Regional Transportation Authority Board. They have unlimited taxation in this Bill, they have unlimited taxation on motor fuel, they have unlimited taxation on automobiles, and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

where do people drive automobiles? They drive them in suburban Cook County and they drive them in DuPage, and they drive them in Kane, and McHenry, and Lake and Will and Kane, that's where they drive them; and they drive . . . they drive them two-thirds to one-third coming back into the City of Chicago. This is the most unfair, inequitable plan of tax increase that I have ever seen. You are impacting tremendously on tax revenues from suburban Cook County and from the five outlying counties and why? Where is the fairness in that kind of plan. It's unbelievable to me that you would stand over there and what to support a tax increase program on suburban Cook County and the outlying five counties; and it's unbelievable the kind of tax increase that you're talking about. It's a tax increase program that Governor Walker wants, it's a tax increase program that Mayor Daley wants, and 75% of those tax revenues are gonna' come out of that area into the Chicago C.T.A. and you know. Now, let's look at the facts about some more of that money, more of that money is going to come, \$45,000,000 out of the State Road Fund, and what happened to you on 10 and 11 with that phony deal to replace the \$45,000,000. You downstate Democrats better watch what they're trying to hoodwink into right now. You don't have even that \$45,000,000 replacement and you're not going to get it because they never cared about you gettin' it. Walker and Daley never cared about you gettin' it. Now, what you're going to have to vote for over there, right down on a party line vote, is you downstate Democrats are going to have to vote to put \$45,000,000 into 75% gone to the C.T.A. in Chicago. It's that plain, it's that simple, and there's no replacement money because they couldn't even pass 10 and 11. Thank the good Lord there were enough downstate Democrats who were here that understood what they we're trying to do. So right now what you're going to get, you're not going to pass 10 and 11, what you're going to get is \$45,000,000 out of the State Road Fund that's going to go right into Mayor Daley's C.T.A. and furthermore you're going to get \$30,000,000 that's going to go into local roads; \$75,000,000



coming out of the State Road Fund. You downstate Democrats ought to know that they're leading you down the primrose path, and I'd never go along with that kind of a program if I were you. This is nothing other than a Walker-Daley tax increase program for the six counties in northeastern Illinois and a sell-out of the downstate road system as far as the other counties are concerned; and you should be ashamed if you could find your way clear to vote for it, and I hope you don't."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . . I would hope that we could have just a wee bit of order from that side. We gave it to the Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, you're absolutely right, Representative Choate. Would the Members please be in their seats, will the Members be in their seats, and will those of you who are our guests, please remain silent in the rear of the Chamber so we can wind up the long debate on this important matter?"

Choate: "I was absolutely astounded and I am astounded as I stand here to hear about tax increases as far as the related automobile taxes are concerned from the previous Speaker, the Speaker. You look at any plan, any plan that has been brought up out by the Transportation Highway Study Commission, and you'll find that they had the related automobile taxes. Don't tell me that it's a Walker-Daley deal to increase taxes. Don't tell me that because it's in the other ones also. Let me tell you something else about talking about robbing downstate. You know and I know that that area and especially Cook County pays 70% against 30% as far as the funds that is being talked about. I know, I know there's deep feelings here tonight amongst the downstaters that the Speaker was appealing to on this side of the aisle. I know that because I'm a downstater; but you look at where the votes came from for 10 and 11, from the northern part of the state. They came from this side of the aisle; and as far as I'm concerned, I have a commitment. Simply because I might have been denied something,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 15 1973

213.

is not going to be any reason that I'm going to break my commitment because it wasn't denied from this side of the aisle, from the northern neighbors, and you know it and I know it. Now, let me tell you a little bit about the labor thing. I sat in that great big, old office of mine back there last night with three chairs in it and it was crowded with labor leaders, and it was crowded with my colleagues. There was talking about labor a moment ago, and as far as I'm concerned, there was an agreement reached at that time to put on an Amendment because I happen to feel that the Amendment should be on there, something's happened in the meantime, I guess, that somebody in the other group feels now that there's something else that could happen, and you know and I know that there's not a single Member on this side of the rotunda that can tell you what the Senate is going to do. For me to give anybody an absolute, an absolute guarantee that anything would be like we wanted when it comes out of the Senate would be sheer folly. We all know it. I happen to believe . . . I happen to believe that there's enough responsible Members in this Legislature, I guess you could call me the 'Eternal Optimist', I happen to believe that there's enough responsible thinking Members in this Legislature and I said so to the media as late as just a few moments ago, an hour ago, that Members of this Legislature, both on the Republican side and on the Democratic side, and in both Houses, the House and the Senate, would confront the big issues that are confronting us on these Special Sessions; and it's no secret my friends to the people of the State of Illinois how much money is being expended in these Special Sessions. The media has been darn well sure that they got it across to them; and if we don't face our responsibilities, and if we don't face . . . confront these issues that are so desperately needed and wanted by the people of this state, then I think that we're going to feel the sting, the sting and the wrath of the electorate at the next election. You know, some people have talked about the Governor being in California while these issues are being discussed, it's no secret that he went to California. I . . .



my good friend, the Speaker, made sure of that; but I don't blame him; but let me tell you something, there's not a single solitary Legislator in here by what they have made commitments, and by what they have kept those commitments not knowing in the meantime what was going to happen and that they might have to be absent a little bit from their duties. You say that he can't be in contact with what is going on here? Well, I'm telling you that in this modern age in which we live that the telephone brings one just as close from California to New York as if he were sitting in this office; and I was just talking to the Governor for about the ump-teenth time just a few moments ago; and to tell you downstaters one thing, the Governor assured me, and I think that I can take his assurance, that if 10 and 11 was not passed, that he would exert every effort, as the Chief Executive of this state, to bring about the happenings as if they had passed; and I believe him. The one thing that we want to remember here tonight, the one thing that we want to remember here tonight is that we're confronting problems that are human problems. They affect the very livelihood of people of the State of Illinois. I come from Anna, C. L. McCormick has not brought a public transportation system that runs Vienna to Anna. In fact, we don't need it. Well, I forgot we might need it because then the people from Anna could go over to Vienna and shop in the dollar store; but I don't care whether we need a public transportation system in Anna or whether we don't. I'm not elected solely, although it's my first consideration, to confront the problems of that district. I feel that is my responsibility as a Legislator and if I think, if you disbelieve me, check the records, you will find that I happen to feel a sense of responsibility to all of the people of the State of Illinois and should consider the problems of our northern brothers and I'll tell you something else, if you think that R.T.A. thing is only for that six-county area, when you disturb the very economic life blood of that area, you disturb the very economic life blood of the entire State of Illinois, and we all know it. This is not a county or a state divided. This is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the State of Illinois, which we should all be proud of. It's a large state, it's a progressive state, and I love it. It's my state. I'll tell you, I'll tell you, my time is up, I'll tell you what we better do, let's don't be selfish for our own self-centered interests. Let's don't be politically selfish. Let's don't be oriented towards the area where we come from. I have a commitment to the people of the State of Illinois. I have a commitment that I have voiced in the media, not only in the last Session, but all times during this Session. I attempt . . . I am going to attempt to keep that commitment. I'm going to attempt to keep my word. I'm going to support House Bill 12; and I hope that more . . . every Member in this House together here tonight does the same. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, as for our agreement, the Gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick, and then . . . then Representative Garmisa will close."

McCormick: "Will you please roll out that map for me please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait one second."

Choate: "Mr. . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he wants . . . Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise?"

Choate: "I want to know if you're going to talk loud enough that I can sit over here or should I come over there and hear you?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Repre . . . you're on, C. L."

McCormick: "Ah. . . . As good a friend as we are, and as close as we live together down in southern Illinois, probably the mike is of no assistance to either one of us because we talk every once in a while, and sometimes we get loud enough that we can almost talk from town to town; and I'm sorry tonight that I have to be on opposite sides of the fence with Clyde because Clyde and . . . and in talking to you by name, I don't think that you should take it other than just as friends. I notice that back a few months ago, when we were wrestling around with the C.T.A. Bill last year, and I noticed that when you didn't see fit to let the Governor have his way in that C.T.A. Bill, and he came down



NOV 15 1973

216.

to southern Illinois and he said . . . and to think about it, the Majority . . . the Minority Leader of my own party turned me down. At that time, oh, how the people of southern Illinois were proud of you. It made us think down home a little bit differently than we did when he was down there with you the other day dedicating a dam, and as it happened, there was a cut-off in the way the T.V. or the radio said it, and he said, instead of 'Clyde, we'll do this or that', he said, 'Clyde Lee'; but I am happy for one thing, that the Minority Leader and the Governor are seeing eye to eye, even though I don't think that is best for us. So tonight we can say they have had a wedding; but I am not so sure how it was consummated; and I am sure that there is only other man in Illinois that can tell us how long it will last, and he comes from the City of Chicago; but if I might reminisce just a little bit more on an important question that really and truly affects all of us in Illinois, tonight if I were an ordained baptist preacher, I would lead off with a prayer for the loss of the highway system in Illinois for, not just a year or two, but for probably our lifetime. Every man in this room, and even I was kind of two-faced about it, wasn't I, Hanahan, old buddy? We had a terrible fight after the non-partisan Commission come back in with a program for a system of highways in Illinois that would bring us up to a modern state; and it was Bill that you couldn't keep from getting labor okayed on it because you had a contract for every contractor, Hanahan, and you didn't have to take anybody's word for that, did you? We stood here on the floor of this House and we voted tax increases for trucks, for automobiles, for gasoline; and I even slipped town and got my name off of it because I live too close to Kentucky; but it was the first time in the history of Illinois that you people in the General Assembly voted on the tax increase and you had the promise, and you had the map, and you had the proof of where the money was going to be spent, and everybody from Chicago to Carroll from Terre Haute over to Quincy left here happy thinking that something good was gonna' happen to everybody in Illinois;



NOV 15 1973

217.

and then we had all of the best planners that can be brought from anywhere in the state, 25 and 30 year old men, I mean service men, that knew highways and planned and we built the best and the most outstanding Highway Department in all of the United States; and I can't tell you who were Democrats or Republicans, outside of Saline, and he was better to you, Clyde, than he was to any Republican in this House. What I'm trying to get across to you now the new administration took over in November of last year, and today there's not a man in this House, including the Governor's assistants, that can tell you that the Highway Department in this state is in shambles as far as planning is concerned. Your key personnel that you've had for years are gone and what's not gone are shaking in their boots because they think they're gettin' the word any day that there's not going to anymore roads in downstate Illinois. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, I can tell you something else, and this is only a prediction, and I'm not Jeanne Dixon, but I'm just wondering, Representative Shea, how long is it going to take those people from Kent up there to find out that cross-town is really bad only in southern Illinois?, and I have no objection to it. I'd want to build a cross-town too if I lived . . . Ladies and Gentlemer, you say you're going to send money back down to the counties, \$50,000, Clyde, goes Dawson County. That won't even put the bridge that we're are saddled with in Little Cache Creek, just before you get to your farm. If you remember even the Speaker of this House and I'm going to beat him just a little bit too, he come in with your Commission Report, where are you, Robert?, Anyway, he come in with the Commission Report, you remember, and you Democrats helped on this real good, too, especially you, brother Hill. Don't you remember, we'll take over if the county will take over 146 or 145 or 37, we'll give you a little dab of money, and then from now on out you maintain it. Remember, Jimmy Holloway? You remember it because Eubie lives down there, don't she? Well, I'm talking about he works hard for roads in his area. What I'm trying to get across to you, they're going to give us \$50,000.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

They say you can use it on streets, you can use it on country roads, you can also use it on state highways. What are you going to do when they come down and say, 'Look, if you want that road fixed through your county, you're going to have to agree to give us your little dab of money back'. What are you going to do about that? What you're doing with this things today, you're burying the very future of industry and your children's future in Illinois as far as highway's concerned; and one other thing I think we ought to talk about, and I know I may be getting too long, but one other think I think we ought to talk about. I think, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we ought to talk about something else. I know when you've got a Governor in power, even though your Democrat Chairman yet don't have many jobs, I understand that, and I know that more people, even in our districts, vote because of what they think they're going to get than what they're going to get, and that's what you're doing tonight, you're going to find out, you're going to find out that when we've killed this part of the program, you've not just killed Johnson County or Union County or Madison County, you've also hurt Chicago, and you talk about 70% of the money coming out of there. How many people do you think sends their orders to Sears and Roebuck? How many people do you think drive into that place? I've been skinned up there many a time on my orders. You know, Illinois is just exactly like a human being. It's a thing, it's a person; and if you've got a cancer in Cairo, and it needs treatment, you just don't cut it off unless you have to. You take help from the rich and you help the poor, and you talk about getting nothing in Cook County out of a billion or what \$200,000,000 of relief, you get .84 or .85 cents out of every dollar of it. You're not so bad off, you're not so hurt; and I want you to have a transportation system. Oh, but God, I'd rather vote for the subsidy, I'd rather vote for anything because in five years from today, thank God, I won't even be here. Your budget for R.T.A. will be bigger than the Mayor's billion-dollar budget for the whole City of Chicago, and you and everyone in this room know it today, and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

you liberals know it better than anybody else because you'll see where they're spending the money. It . . . it . . . it hurts me . . . it hurts me because I know that those people in western Illinois are in bad shape; but they're not even in as bad as shape maybe as Roscoe is over here. At least he says it; and Clyde, and Jim and Dick Hart, we have been fortunate in our area because we have been able to have rapport and work within ourselves and among ourselves for projects that had to be for our area; but there's no excuse for us to rob downstate Illinois completely for this thing, when we can do it without doing it, and there's ways of doing it. Ladies and Gentlemen, I sincerely plead with you before you tell the people of downstate Illinois that they're going to have to ride on a horse and buggy for another 20 years in order to have something completed up there. That makes me think of one other thing, too. Do you remember the 1967 Session of the General Assembly, and that no greater man ever served in this House than Jack Touhy, no man, I don't care, Democrat or Republican, he's the finest fellow I ever know or one of them. We were having a wrestle on some kind of a C.T.A. District. We were going to set up a C.T.A. District of some kind, I forget the technical name and everything; and they didn't have the votes to do it because the Chicago Republicans at that time wouldn't support it because they said it would be a tax increase. They don't mind tax increasing us, but they, you know, they didn't want it for themselves; and Jack stood on this floor of the House and he said, 'If you will give me the final passage of this Bill, where we can organize our own districts, we'll never have to come back to this House for help with C.T.A. again', and you got it, and the next time you come back for help, the answer was the reason we have to come back for help is because it's in the courts and we don't whether it's constitutional yet or not. Well, it is constitutional. The court ruled in your favor, and you've been back every year since, and you'll be back next year, and the next year, and it's all right, it's all right. I have no objection to it, but give me your



\$45,000,000 or \$50,000,000 or \$100,000,000 every year; but, God, don't take that away from us, and that's what you're doing tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Bud, can you explain your vote? We all agreed that I want to call the two Democratic Leaders and two Republican Leaders. Do you want to open up again for some more? Well, Representative Krause has risen. I want to respect his wishes, but everyone agreed before that I'd call Representatives Shea and Choate and . . . ah . . . Blair and McCormick. - Can you explain it, Bud? Okay, Representative Garmisa to close."

Garmisa: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been put through a terrible disadvantage here this evening. First of all, in having to follow the Stone Cunningham Act and then now having to make my closing statement after the other side of the aisle has wheeled out their largest oratorical guns in order to shoot down the Londrigan-Garmisa Bill. We all of us here know just how severe this crisis really is. The problem is no longer recognize . . . recognition of the need, the problem is now in this Legislature, and if we are to be responsive to the needs of our constituency, we must act and we must act decisively right now to save our rapidly collapsing public transportation system. Another compelling and more immediate need for this Legislature to act quickly and create an R.T.A. is the energy crisis that we have all heard about; and this crisis is here and right now; and in view of this energy crisis the persons who depend solely upon their automobiles may soon be forced by the virtue of certainty of gasoline rationing to turn to public transportation, to rapid transit, to buses or to the commuter railroads. I feel confident that all of us in this Legislature will set aside their partisan differences to insure the creation of an R.T.A. based on a sound, sufficient and continuing funding plan; and Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, the primrose path has been pretty well duntrodden here this evening; but without an effective and a viable R.T.A. Bill to be in enacted into law by this General Assembly, there'll



be millions of transit riders in the northern part of this state that it may be set afoot and will create many and many more paths in order to get to their place of business or in order to get to work; and now, I make no claims that House Bill 12 will be a panacea that will cure all of the mass transit ills in this state; but we do have to make a start, we have to make a start somewhere, and the first step that should be taken should be in the enacting into the law of House Bill 12; and I would surely appreciate the support of every Member of this honorable Body."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, if the Members will be in their seats, and remain silent on this Roll Call in order to . . . ah . . . help the Members . . . in order to help the Members keep track of the Roll Call after a Member votes, the Clerk will repeat the vote which the Member has cast. All right? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "A point of parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Shea: "How many Bills does this vote . . . or does this . . . how many . . . how many votes does this piece of legislation require to be declared pass in its present form?"

Speaker Telcser: "107 votes."

Shea: "Could you tell me if that's because Section E of 404? The Bill specifically excudes home rule powers. Could you tell or ask your Parliamentarian why she's requiring or you're requiring 107 votes?"

Speaker Telcser: "Principally, Representative Shea, because the Section to which you allude could very well obligate the state for the R.T.A. bond, which by the way is the point which Representative Hill made in his remarks, and there is case law with respect to that in 'Rosemont versus the Illinois Highway Trust Authority', based principally on those reasons, the Chair feels compelled that this will take 107 votes."

Shea: "If that Section were removed from the Bill, would the Bill then only require 89 votes?"



Speaker Telcser: "The Chair would have to make that ruling at the time. At such time, if it should occur that the Section is removed, then we could look at the Bill again within the context of its new language."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 12 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Alsup 'aye'; Anderson; Arnell, Arnell 'no'; Barnes, Barnes 'aye'; Barry; Beatty, Beatty 'aye'; Beaupre, Beaupre 'aye'; Berman, Berman 'aye'; Bluthardt; Borchers; Boyle, Boyle 'aye'; Bradley . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Bradley: "I'll be very brief about it, but I think it's . . . this thing's been kicked around for a couple of hours and I've sat here and listened to everybody else. The reason I'm voting for this Bill is that I made a statement about three or four weeks ago that with the \$15 coming back to the counties that's in this Bill, that if we could use part of that money for the . . . to make up the loss to the counties that Representative Clabaugh's Bill, 60, attempted to do, that I would support this Bill. Now, I know there's no Amendment in the Bill the way it is tonight; but I've been given the assurances by the Leader on this side of the aisle, in fact, we had an Amendment drafted to attempt to amend this Bill, and decided we would let it go the way it is . . . and Clyde and Madigan said he would assure me that he will put that Amendment . . . attempt to put it on, and that's good enough for me, if that's what he tells me. I . . . it's good enough for me that 50% of the money will be earmarked, 50% of that \$15 which goes back to the counties will be earmarked for highways, and 50% can be used to make up the loss of those tax collectors' fees. Now, the largest county that I represent in



McLean, the loss of the tax collectors' fees amounted to \$720,000. The amount of money of . . . at \$15 per license plates coming back to that county is \$742,000; and that county can live with \$360,000 back to the county board to make up that deficit; and that is the one reason that I gave my word and told . . . made an announcement three or four weeks ago I would support the Governor when he announced this program, and I intend to fulfill my commitment tonight; and I, Mr. Speaker, vote 'yes' on House Bill 12."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Bradley 'aye'; Brandt, Brandt 'aye'; Brinkmeier; Brummet, Brummet 'aye'; Caldwell, Caldwell 'aye'; Calvo; Campbell, Campbell 'no'; Capparelli, Capparelli 'aye'; Capuzi, Capuzi 'aye'; Carter; Catania . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Catania, for what purpose do you arise?"

Catania: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to explain my vote, if I may?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Catania: "At the end of last June, we had a Regional Transit Authority plan, which I thought was an excellent plan, and I was all set to vote for it because I think that we desperately need a Regional Transit Authority in the six-county area. Unfortunately, that was a Republican's plan, and the Governor didn't have a plan of his own. So he held it up, thereby, selling out the people of the six-county area, particularly, the people that I represent on the south side of Chicago. Now, we're used to being sold out, and we're used to being used, but we're not going to put up with it much longer, and I don't think that this Bill does anything for the people on the south side of Chicago or the people on the north side of Chicago or anybody else in the six-county area that's good for us. I don't think we should vote for unlimited taxing power. We can't stand that in the 22nd Legislative District; and I don't think it does anything good for downstate either; and I vote 'no'."



Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Catania 'no'; Chapman, Chapman 'aye'; Choate, Choate 'aye'; Clabaugh, Clabaugh 'no'; Collins, Collins 'no'; Craig; Cunningham, D'Arco, D'Arco 'aye'; Davis . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I intended to speak on this subject, but I yielded my time to men who knew more about it than I ever dared to know. I have been accused of many time, well, I was accused not long ago under the last administration of being a party to an illegal wedding. When I voted for the income tax, I was told by people in my district that you as a preacher officiated at a bigamy wedding between Mayor Daley and Governor Ogilvie and imposed upon us an income tax. I knew and believe what the Governor at that time said that he needed that money, and I was willing to accept whatever the people said because I thought it was more important to get that money and try and maintain the schools in my district, and it was to protect myself from names because there's an old adage, 'Sticks and stones may hurt my bones, but names will never harm me'. Now, I've listened to this debate, and it's been a wonderful debate, and I made these notes because I don't want to go overtime. You see, a preacher, if he doesn't have some notes, he'll go overtime. I want to say to everyone of you, I hope your painstaking, hard work, and it has been painstaking and it has been hard work, I hope the painstaking and hard work which you have exhibited here in the debate in working on this Bill will commend the respect from the people of your district. For that's whom you owe respect to. I will not, as I stand here now or whether I ever, question the motives of any man, only the penetrating eyes of God Almighty can look behind the scene and determine the motives of the conduct of men. So I will not question it. I believe you are sincere in whatever you express here tonight, and I want you to know that I am sincere in whatever I express here tonight. I heard, and I'm sure I can rely on this statement, that two-thirds of this money will be returned to the counties, at least



it won't be like old Mother Hubbard who went to the cupboard and found the cupboard bare. There will be something there. I heard somebody else talk about the protection of labor and then my distinguished friend told them nobody could tell what the Bill would look back . . . look like when it came from the Senate, and I've had that experience, and I said, 'Amen', because I know that's true. I've sent a many one over there, and I didn't recognize it when it got back here. I see all of those things; but they tell me we gonna' have gas rationing, and have you ever been through gas rationing? Well, I have in the last war. Have you ever thought that you had three or four gallons of gas in your car and then went out the next morning only you thought was going to work, and somebody took a hose and had siphoned it out of the car? Have you ever been through that? Well, I have, and I have been willing to pay from \$1 up a gallon. I don't know what's going to happen in the counties, whether we're going to be able to drive our cars or not; but there's one thing I do know, and I'm on the Advisory Committee on Public Aid, if you don't have some way to get these people, and I'm talking from the bottom of my heart, to and from from their jobs, they're going to be eating up each other, do you hear me? They're going to be eating up each other in this district. You're talking about the mounting welfare rolls. Sure we ask for \$1,400,000; but you just cut off the service that I've been told that they're going to cut it off. You just give 'em . . . ah . . . you ask for public aid, and I'm going to tell you that the lines will be long gone at the public aid stations than there was in the bread line of the 30's. I'm going to tell you this, and I'm going . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Davis, would you conclude your remarks? Explanation of votes is two minutes. All right, then all of you realize your . . . your Second Reading Bills are dead that you've all been coming up here asking . . ."

Davis: ". . . I don't know what the Bill will say about labor when it comes back, but I know what Mayor Daley will say about labor.



There isn't a public official anywhere in these United States who has a better record on labor than Richard Daley of Chicago, and I defy contradiction. I know what Daley will say about it. The might of any people or any nation lies in the moral and spiritual integrity of its leaders. Your people can't stand on this floor and speak, they speak through you. Is this what your people want you to do? Remember you have to live with yourself. Do they want to take a chance, and I know some of you think Chicago is a device of the devil, there's no such thing as perfection. I hear you stand up here and talk about perfection all night. Well, it never will be obtained, and it never has been obtained. Perfection never has been . . . the only two perfect laws I know are the two laws that the good Lord laid down when he said, 'Thou shall love the Lord, Thy God, with all thy heart, with all thy soul and will all thy might', and the second law is like unto it, 'Thy shall love thy neighbor as thyself'. Talk about Chicago if you will, but there are over 3,000,000 human beings in Chicago, and they are your neighbors, and if you believe in perfection, 'Thou shall love even your neighbors in Chicago as yourself'; and they will suffer, do you hear, if you cut off this . . . I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Davis votes 'aye'; Craig votes 'aye'; Craig came up to the rostrum, we passed him by; Day, did he vote? Can't hear him . . . Deavers, Deavers 'no'; Dee . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee. Now, let me remind the Members, explanation of votes is two minutes."

Dee: "This will take less than that."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Dee: "In my long dissertation, with the presser being shut off, I missed the most important part. I haven't the experience of Stone or Cunningham, I'd like to say that the 20th District in it's abiding need for mass transportation will support, not only the Garmisa Bill, but the Blair Bill and the Katz Bill; and we



will vote on all of them 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Dee 'aye'; Deuster . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, wait a second, let's get Calvo, who wants to vote . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . the Gentleman is recorded as not voting . . ."

Calvo: "'Aye'."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . record Calvo as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Calvo 'aye' . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's proceed."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Deuster; DiPrima, DiPrima 'aye'; Douglas . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Douglas to explain his vote."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as

Representative McCormick's diagram stood before us a few moments ago, I was reminded of a human being's blood circulation. It reminded me as I entered this General Assembly as an urban legislator about three years that I came here with a typical urban biases of wondering why this state spent as much money as it did on its highway system. Since that time, as a Chicagoan, I have learned that the highways are as important to downstate Illinois as the public transportation system is to the City of Chicago. I have voted for and will continue to vote for every highway Bill that comes up within reason to give the support to downstate Illinois that's vitally needed to keep that blood circulation alive; but at the same time, I think it's vital to the entire State of Illinois that we all recognize that the public transportation system of Chicago metropolitan area is the economic heartbeat of the State of Illinois, which will keep those highways alive and keep the blood and the oxygen flowing. It is necessary that you understand us and we understand you that we put aside the petty politican bickering that's been going these last few days, that we give you the help that you need and you recognize that in standing before you and asking for you assistance for the public transportation system and the economic heartbeat of the State of Illinois that we need your vote desparately. I'm dismayed when a Chicagoan stands



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

up and votes against this Bill, as I have seen here tonight; and I hope that downstaters will appreciate with us that we desperately need your support, politics aside, so that we can get the R.T.A. going, which will help all of Illinois to be a bigger and better state. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Proceed with the . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Douglas 'aye'; Duff; Ralph Dunn, Ralph Dunn 'no'; R. L. Dunne, R. L. Dunne 'aye'; Dyer; Ebbesen, Ebbesen 'no'; Epton, Epton 'aye'; Ewell . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, these are indeed critical times for the R.T.A. in any chance of passage. I know that as I've been here and as we are all politicians, we eagerly look forward to the next election. We make statements that might be quoted so that we might be known. We favor our districts, and we do all types of things simply to get elected to the next election; but I think that there are times when we must rise above our petty, partisan attitude and rise to the needs of the people of the state as a whole. I think if we ever attempt to consider ourselves, not merely politicians, but perhaps statesmen . . . statesmen, we must look a little beyond the next election; and if we make any attempt to look beyond the next election, we know that we must have a viable system of transportation in the City of Chicago; and we know what must be done to maintain it. It might sound good in your local press to say that you stood up and fought for the people to say that you stood and fought against any form of tax increase, and it may look good to them, and they may say they accept it; but what will you tell them fought years hence, when the mot . . . when the motor fuel taxes have run out because the people in the City of Chicago don't pay them? What are you going to sell then in your stores, what are you going to bring to your people then? What bridges are you going to build? What dams are you going to get? And I, for one, can



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

go county by county and tell you what you have taken out of this state by the way of dams, bridges, and cross . . . the bridges that cross everything from creeks, rivers and sloughs, and I even learned the distinction between them; and Ladies and Gentlemen, I say to you tonight that if you don't join with us, if you let this R.T.A. package, which we have hammered out, and yes, many of us have been prostitutes for things we don't want in this Bill; however, it has become a necessity, and if you let it go down the drain tonight, we'll all be back here either next week or next month or else in the year hereafter we're going to be scrounging around for some new taxes from somewhere or for some money from somewhere; and I think it's about time that we rise above our petty ambitions of a next election and look forward to the future of all of the people, and particularly the people in the City of Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye', I assume, is that right? 'Aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Ewell 'aye'; Farley; Fary, Fary 'aye'; Fennessey, Fennessey 'aye'; Fleck, Fleck 'aye'; Flinn, Flinn 'aye', Friedland, Friedland 'no'; Garmisa, Garmisa 'aye'; Geo-Karis, Geo-Karis 'no'; Getty, Getty 'aye'; Gibbs; Giglio; Giorgi; Griesheimer, Griesheimer 'aye'; Grotberg, Grotberg 'no'; Hanahan, Hanahan 'present'; Harpstrite, Harpstrite 'no'; Hart, Hart 'aye'; Hill, Hill 'no'; Hirschfeld . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think I'd rather address my remarks to the first year Legislators more than the older Members because they're . . . they'll know what I'm talking about; but when I came down here for the first term, I had the naive concept that this was going to be a partisan Body; and we voted on over six thousand Bills the first Session that I was in Springfield, and of those six thousand Bills, less than one hundred were partisan Bills, where one side of the aisle pitted it wits against the other side. The real cancer in this Legislature was the Cook County or City



of Chicago Bills versus the rest of the State of Illinois; and there were hundreds of them, and downstate Illinois didn't win a single battle. All you've got to do is look at the last ticket that ran for office in this state. There were five men on each party, four from the Cook County area, basically, and one from downstate. The Democrats threw the downstate a bone with Dean Barringer; the Republican's threw the downstate a bone with Jim Nolan from Stark County, one of the smallest counties of the State of Illinois. I am . . . he's right next to Cook County. I am sick, I might point out at the present time, also, at least for the moment both United States' Senators are from Cook County, but I am sickened to tears with the insatiable appetite of the Mayor of Illinois; and I think, frankly, it's time that . . . that Cook County, and the City of Chicago in particular, quit raping downstate Illinois. I'm going to vote 'no'; and I'm not going to vote for anymore money Bills for Cook County until downstate Illinois starts getting its fair share of the profits in this State."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hirschfeld 'no'; Gene Hoffman; Ron Hoffman, Ron Hoffman 'no'; Jimmy Holloway, Jimmy Holloway 'aye'; Robert Holloway . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."

Holloway, R.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with your permission, I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Holloway, R.: "This is my first term here, and this has turned out to be sort of a partisan issue. I grew up in Chicago and I remember when we had the Chicago Service Line, the Chicago Board of Coach Company and the Rapid Transit. Then they told us that we had to have the C.T.A., and then they gave us the C.T.A. Now, the C.T.A. was in Chicago, and then someone came along and said that we had to have a Regional Transit Authority. Now, I don't know where that idea came from, but I feel reasonably



certain that it didn't originate in Chicago. Now, I live in Chicago and I represent a Chicago district; and when I leave Springfield and I go back to Chicago, the one question people continue to ask me is, are we going to have a Regional Transit Authority?, because a Regional Transit Authority is now synonymous with whether or not they're going to be able to get to work next week. Now, I'm going to vote 'aye', I'm going to vote against the majority of the Republicans. . . . ah . . . here in this Legislature; and it has nothing to do with how good a Republican I am. There are a lot of people around here who wondered and they thought what an oddity I am because I'm the only black Republican here; and sometimes people ask peculiar questions. Well, I don't apologize for being a Republican. I've been a Republican all of my life; and I happen to know what Republicanism is all about; and I think I represent what Republicanism is all about; and I think the people that I represent what Republicanism is all about. So I'm not talking about partisanship. I'm talking about the needs of people. We're in the weaning hours here; and as R.T.A. or no R.T.A.; and when I go back and say to my people, I'm going to tell them that I voted for every R.T.A. Bill that came along, and that's why I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'; and Representative . . . Representative Giorgi has also voted 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . R. Holloway 'aye'; Giorgi 'aye'; D. Houlihan, D. Houlihan 'aye'; J. Houlihan, J. Houlihan 'aye'; Hudson, Hudson 'no'; Hunsicker; Huskey . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute. Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hunsicker: "Just to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to say a few words regarding this legislation. I represent part of a county in the proposed authority, and a majority



of the people of this part of the county are violently opposed to being talked into the R.T.A. I'm speaking about thousands of people, who will never use this system, who must help pay for it. It has always been stated since I've been down here that the present C.T.A. operates at a loss. Now, why, I ask you, does the board think it could operate if it's not a loss by cutting fares, instead of increasing them. Business can't operate that way, as all of you know who operate a business. It looks to me like this whole structure that R.T.A. has proposed by House Bill 12 is biased against the suburbs and outlying counties in favor of the City of Chicago. You know, this Legislature has been voting millions to the C.T.A. every Session, ever since I've been a Member down here. It's always nice, you know, to have someone else pay for your own convenience. I was amused by the statements by the good Deacon a minute ago about gas rationing in the war and about having the gasoline ciphoned out of his gas tank, and this will probably happen again. Well, I've got news for him. I've had it happen to me on numerous occasions when we didn't have gas rationing. He also said that you should love your neighbor as thyself, and this I adhere to as the good Book says, and in my book it also means, don't take advantage of him. Senior citiz . . . gas . . . if gas is to be rationed, perhaps the people in the metropolitan area will then use the mass transit . . . the mass transit system and it could operate at a profit as it rightfully should. I understand the senior citizens of Chicago are allowed to ride the Rapid Transit System up there now for half fare, and I also hear rumblings that if the R.T.A. is proposed that maybe they're going to be allowed to ride free; and I charge that if this legislation is elected . . . is put . . . enacted that this is exactly what you will see happen. This is no way to ^{pay} expenses of anything. As I view the picture, the people who use the system should pay for it; and it was stated debate on the proposed Amendments to this Bill yesterday, that we, as Representatives of the people, should have the backbone and vote for our people



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

instead of having a referendum for public opinion, as one of the Amendments was proposed yesterday. Well, since the people of Kane County seem to be overwhelmingly opposed to being sucked into the establishment of this system, and I, as one of their elected Representatives and supposed to represent them on this issue, I want it to be known that I have the backbone to represent them; and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hunsicker 'no'; Giglio 'aye'; Huskey, Huskey 'no'; Hyde . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's been amusing to sit here and listen to the passionate rhetoric on both sides of the aisle betraying a willingness rather to attribute failure and blame in the hope of extracting some political mileage rather than an understanding that in sharing achievement and accomplishment there's much more political mileage. I can only wish that the . . . ah . . . persuasive rhetoric of my good friend, Deacon Davis, could've been directed over in the Senate yesterday to Cecil Partee and some of . . . and some of his colleagues who sat on their hands while the . . . the best R.T.A. Bill was . . . shoved it aside; and one could only wonder if the motivations that animates people over there, whether they're concerned about people, are much concerned about political advantage. Nevertheless, we have a desparate situation in Cook County and in Chicago; and I think the remarks here have been more divisive than illuminating and instructive; and I'm going to vote for this, and not because it's a good Bill, but because it is a vehicle, and I want it to get over to the Senate, where they'll have their way on it, and, hopefully, can fashion it into a good, decent Bill to provide for the mass transit needs in the northern six counties; and so with reluctance I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hyde 'aye'; Jacobs, Jacobs 'aye'; Jaffe, Jaffe 'aye'; Jenison, Jenison 'no'; Emil Jones, Emil Jones 'aye'; Dave Jones,



Dave Jones 'no'; Juckett, Juckett 'no'; Katz, Katz 'aye'; Keller, Keller, Keller 'aye'; Kelly, Kelly 'aye'; Kempiners, Kempiners 'no'; Kennedy, Kennedy 'aye'; Kent, Kent 'no'; Klosak, Klosak 'aye'; Kosinski, Kosinski 'aye'; Kozubowski, Kozubowski 'aye'; Krause . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, you all heard two outstanding orators tonight, Clyde Choate and C. L. McCormick, you also heard two very good speeches; but I'll tell you one thing, they didn't really get started. You ought to hear those fellows in a campaign, they'll really give you a speech. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Krause 'aye'; Kriegsman, Kriegsman 'no'; Kucharski, Kucharski 'aye'; LaFleur, LaFleur 'no'; Lauer, Lauer 'no'; Laurino, Laurino 'aye'; Lechowicz, Lechowicz 'aye'; Leinenweber, Leinenweber 'no'; Lemke, Lemke 'aye'; Leon, Leon 'aye'; Londrigan, Londrigan 'aye'; Lundy, Lundy 'aye'; Macdonald, Macdonald 'no'; Madigan, Madigan 'aye'; Mahar . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I kind of apologize for taking a moment to explain my vote. I don't think I've bothered you with very much rhetoric during the year that I've been down here. When I came down here, I realized full well that we needed a Mass Transit District. We needed a reasonable Transit Authority because prior to my coming down here, I had the opportunity to work on the formation of the South Suburban Mass Transit District and see what it has done for my district. I find that many people in my district feel we should have a Regional Transit Authority; the mayors are concerned, the railroad people are concerned, labor is concerned; and I also realized that a Mass Transit Authority is a compromise, it's the best of everything, and last night here on this floor, I had a very simple Amendment, which I thought would improve the concept of a Regional Transit Authority, because I think a Regional Transit



Authority is something that's not for today, it's for tomorrow, it's for five or ten years from now. In order to have . . . have a good authority, they've got to get the best of everything. I don't think we're quite ready for compromise, and they're going to have to keep at it, and we're going to have to come back and try again; and I vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Mahar 'no'; Mann, Mann 'aye'; Maragos, Maragos 'aye'; Martin, Martin 'aye'; Matijevich, Matijevich 'aye'; McAuliffe, McAuliffe 'aye'; McAvoy, McAvoy 'aye'; McClain; McCormick; McCourt, McCourt 'aye'; McGah, McGah 'aye'; McGrew; McLendon, McLendon 'aye'; McMaster, McMaster 'no'; McPartlin, McPartlin 'aye'; Merlo, Merlo 'aye'; Kenny Miller, Kenny Miller 'no'; Tom Miller, Tom Miller 'no'; Molloy, Molloy 'aye'; Mugalian; Murphy, Murphy 'no'; Nardulli, Nardulli 'aye'; Neff, Neff 'no'; North, North 'no'; Palmer, Palmer 'no'; Pappas; Patrick, Patrick 'aye'; Peters, Peters 'aye'; Philip; Pierce, Pierce 'aye'; Polk, Polk 'no'; Porter . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I think that . . . ah . . . this is a poor Bill, and everyone of us in here knows it. It provides for unlimited motor vehicle related taxing powers that . . . ah . . . can be imposed by an appointed board having a five-year term. There's no way that the people can control that. It has no referendum provisions to ask the people in the district whether they want an R.T.A. or not. It has not mandatory allocation of funds to suburban areas where they are raised; \$45,000,000 comes out of the Road Fund without replacement; and \$60,000,000 comes out of the General Revenue Fund, and that's only the beginning. I think all of us know that this poor excuse for a Bill if it passes here will prevent a better Bill, that is the Harris Bill, from ever coming over from the Senate. It will also prevent the Katz Bill, which I am a Cosponsor, from being heard here or the Blair Bill. There are . . . and there is an opposite theory that Representative Hyde just expressed to you. I pledged to vote for a responsible



R.T.A. Bill. I would be happy to vote for anyone's R.T.A. Bill, but I will not vote for any R.T.A. Bill. I think I would be willing to stay here as long as it's necessary to adopt a responsible Bill. This is not such a Bill, I vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Porter 'no'; Mugalian 'aye'; Randolph, Randolph 'aye'; Rayson, Rayson 'aye'; Redmond, Redmond 'aye'; Rigney, Rigney 'no'; Rose; Ryan, Ryan 'no'; Sangmeister; Schisler . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, well, one minute, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just a few minutes of your time because we were cut off of making any comments on debate. I think one of the roughest things for any Member of a party to do is have to oppose his own Governor, particularly after he received a call asking for his support. I like to consider myself a party man, and I tried to work in this particular R.T.A. matter in a spirit of cooperation . . . ah . . . I think Representative Deuster's Bill was a very, very good Bill for compromise, but we got absolutely no cooperation from you people at all. I can understand your not wanting a referendum because you are right, counties like mine, Will County, would have opt out of here. So I can understand why you didn't want to support that kind of a referendum. We then asked you to make sure that we had a guarantee of a reasonable amount of money coming back, which you did make some effort in this Bill to do; and then we got down to the real simple thing last night of asking for just . . . just allow us to have a Representative on the board. I thought that was the simplest, minutest little request that anybody could've granted; but the arrogance over on this side of the aisle was, it's gonna' be our Bill, it's gonna' be our way and no other way. Well, then, Gentlemen, I presume you have the 107 votes you need, you may have them. We'll soon find out; but, I'm sorry, it's going to be without mine, and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Sangmeister 'no'; Schisler, Schisler 'aye'; Schlickman, Schlickman 'aye'; Schneider, Schneider 'aye'; Schoeberlein



...

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the life of me, I want to explain my vote, for the life of me, I have not been able to find out how Kane County ever became a part of this. In 1959, '60 and '61, I was Chairman of the County Board in Kane County. At that time, a Northern Illinois Planning Commission was formed, I don't know what they call it today, and we had many, many meetings of the County Board as to whether Kane County should become a part of it. We didn't have a mayor coming down and saying, 'yes or no', but we let the County Board handle it because they represented all of the people of Kane County, Democrats and Republicans. We met with them as . . . ah . . . Senator Berning, who was then Chairman of the County Board of Lake County, and by resolution of vote, the counties we joined, Litchfield, or whatever they call it today, and that is how we became a member of the Northern Illinois Planning. Now, this was in reverse action. Nobody in Kane County was consulted in the County Board or otherwise as to what or if they wanted to become a part of this. I have a perfect right to feel the way I do in representing the people of Kane . . . of Kane County and the 39th District. My people settled there in 1858; and we've lived there ever since, and we've worked for the county and for the people of the county; and I have been told by the people in my district to vote 'no' on this particular Bill; and I, therefore, vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schoeberlein 'no'; Schraeder . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not going to belabor the subject very long; but I want to make a couple of points very clear. I think everybody fairly well realizes that I am a Democrat and have been for many years. I think you also realize my two terms here that I am not a Chicago Democrat or downstate



Democrat, but a Democrat interested in the people of Illinois. I'm interested in labor because I come from labor. I'm interested in management because I . . . I own a small restruant. I know both sides of the fence, and it seems to me there's been talk about somebody being led down last night in the back room that the labor people are . . . the labor people were worried about. I'm worried about them, too. If commitments were made, I hope those commitments are rectified in the Senate; and I will say this, we've been laboring here since January on a mass transit for the Chicago area. I supported other issues on this, and I'm going to support this one; but rest assured this Bill is not a panacea, it has got flaws all over the place. The high tax rate that can be imposed upon the citizens of the six-county area could very well be disastrous in those six-county areas; but that is not going to stop me from voting for this issue. I hope when they get to the Senate, there's some men over there that are wise, and in this case here, better than some of our Leadership has been because we've had Bill after Bill since January, and let me say this, our Leadership have not been leaders in this field, they've been politicians, and we're not down here as politicians, that comes next month when we start campaigning. We're supposed to be Legislators, and let's do a little legislating for a change. Let's send this Bill to the Senate, get some Amendment on over there, and when it comes here maybe we'll have good Bill that I can support. I'll support it now, we'll wait and see later what I'll do. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schraeder 'aye'; Sevcik, Sevcik 'aye'; Sharp, Sharp 'aye'; Shea, Shea 'aye'; Shurtz, Shurtz 'no'; Timothy Simms . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, briefly explaining my vote, I've heard so much conversation and rhetoric tonight how lucky downstate Illinois is and all the things that we have, and to the optimism of many of the downstate Legislators that voted for this. I think your



optimism is as highly as misplaced as General Custer yelled out to his troops before the battle, 'Don't take any prisoners'. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Timothy Simms 'no'; Ike Sims . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, wait one second on that, Representative Ike Sims. I could see, I don't know if Fred could see him."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Ike Sims 'aye'; Skinner, Skinner 'no'; Soderstrom, Soderstrom 'no'; Springer . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Springer 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Springer 'no'; Stedelin, Stedelin 'aye'; Stiehl, Stiehl 'no'; Stone . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I'll be very, very brief. I hope this Bill gets 107 votes, and when it does, Mr. Speaker, I'll ask for recognition; and I would like to be recognized for the purpose of making a motion to change the order of business so that House Bills 10 and 11 can be heard tonight on . . . from the order of Postponed Consideration. Now, I intend to vote 'yes' . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Explain your vote . . . are you . . ."

Stone: ". . . yes, Sir, that's part of my explanation, Sir. I intend to vote 'yes' on this Bill and I would like very briefly to say why. I . . . I believe we've talked too much this evening, accusing . . . Cook County of accusing downstate as trying to get by with something, and downstate trying to accuse Cook County as . . . accusing Cook County as trying to get by with something. We're one state, and what happens to one part of the state is of interest to the rest of the state. Now, Mr. Speaker, I live in a little old town named, Sullivan. It . . . I think it . . . it's the nicest town in the State of Illinois. I know that C. L. McCormick thinks Vienna is the nicest town in the State of Illinois; and we're both proud of our hometowns. Now, there is a City named, Chicago, Mr. Speaker, there are more people working at the Conrad Hilton Hotel than live in my town. There are more people working at the Conrad Hilton Hotel than live in C. L. McCormick's hometown; and if we don't



have some sort of transportation for the people that live in Chicago, then those people that work at the Conrad Hilton Hotel are not going to be able to get to work. They don't own an automobile to drive to work, if they did, there wouldn't be a place to park it; and if there was a place to park it, the gas fumes would be so thick they couldn't walk to the Conrad Hilton to go to work; and Mr. Speaker, when those people lose their jobs because they can't get to work at the Conrad Hilton Hotel, they're going to go on public welfare, and then I know who's going to pay, the people that live in Sullivan, Illinois, and the people that live in Vienna Mr. Speaker, are going to pay for their teeth; and I'll probably vote 'aye', and I hope we get something out of . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye', Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Stone 'aye'; Taylor, Taylor 'aye'; Telcser, Telcser 'aye'; Terzich; Thompson . . . he didn't vote, well, open your mouth so I can hear it . . . Terzich 'aye'; Thompson, Thompson 'aye'; Tipsword, Tipsword 'aye'; Totten, Totten 'no'; Tuerk, Tuerk 'no'; Von Boeckman, Von Boeckman 'aye'; Waddell; Wall . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One second, the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote. I happen to have one of those districts that has two counties that is concerned in this gigantic rip-off. I think that without a question of a doubt if you were to refer to the individual here earlier who said that he was not proud of serving in this House of Representatives, I could not say that. I think I have taken pride in being a Member of this House, I attend to my work, and I try to do what's right; but I do believe that when the people send you here, and you, in turn, have a situation such as you have here where they are not adequately being represented on any board, but you're taking their money, you're taking those five counties in because of their good faith in credit so that you can sell the bonds in this deal. Then you're not giving those people their just right; and again for those people who are getting ripped-off, I vote 'no'."



Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Waddell 'no'; Wall, Wall 'aye'; R. A. Wals, R. A. Walsh 'no'; W. D. Walsh, W. D. Walsh 'no'; Walters, Walters 'no'; Washburn, Washburn 'no'; Washington . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington . . . Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Washington 'aye'; Williams, Williams 'aye'; J. J. Wolf, J. J. Wolf 'aye'; B. B. Wolfe, B. B. Wolfe 'aye'; Yourell, Yourell 'aye'; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, while the Clerk is tallying up, there's a . . . Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you arise?"

Clerk Selcke: "Cunningham 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry 'aye'. On this question there are 101 'ayes' . . . Barry 'aye' . . . 101 'ayes', 57 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, I would like for a poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman wants to poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Borchers, Brinkmeier . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Brinkmeier 'aye', is that right, Bob? Oh, I'm sorry, Representative Brinkmeier, for what purpose do you arise?"

Brinkmeier: "Well, very briefly, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I made a commitment to support this program, but I did this with the understanding that we were going to have some road money for downstate Illinois. I've . . . I've coached long enough to . . . to take note that I have to take chances once in awhile. I'm going to take the chance that we are going to reconsider the . . . the votes that were . . . the Bills that were lost earlier; and I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Brinkmeier 'aye', Carter, Day, Deuster, Duff, Dyer, Farley . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Farley, for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah . . . The best I can recollect, this Bill which is important to all the citizens of the State of Illinois . . ."



NOV 15 1973

242.

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative . . ."

Farley: ". . . only short by four votes, and I think . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . do you wish to be recorded, Representative Farley?"

Farley: ". . . yes, can I explain my vote, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, it's . . . it's beyond that time . . . how do you wish to vote?"

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker; a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "If I am called . . ."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker . . . explain his vote . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, what rule are you citing, Representative Matijevich?"

Matijevich: ". . . I'll find it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, find it, would you please, Sir?"

Matijevich: "Sure will."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise?"

Choate: "I do . . . I do think that we've operated pretty orderly here tonight, and I do think that we want to be fair about this whole thing. I could tell you a joke about that, incidently; but . . . ah . . . about a young, married couple, but, Mr. Speaker, I do believe that Representative Farley has the prerogative of . . . of explaining his vote. Now, now, you know, we didn't call any time on the debate as far as ques . . . as far as timing was concerned, et cetera, and let's not kid each other; but . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's not . . ."

Choate: ". . . Representative McCormick and I both . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . let's not kid each other, is right. Representative Farley, for what purpose do you arise, now?"

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm on a point of personal . . . or parliamentary inquiry at this point."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Farley: "Do I have the right to . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise? State your point, Sir."

Matijevich: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. Under Rule 58B, every Member has the right to take two minutes to explain his vote at any time, even after a motion for the previous question has carried, any time."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, do you want to explain your vote, Representative Farley? Your point is well taken, Representative. Do you want to proceed, now, Sir?"

Farley: "Yes, thank you, and I don't really want to take up any time of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, but you are. Go ahead, you've got two minutes."

Farley: "I think that this is an important Bill. I think it's important to all the people of the State of Illinois. I think it's important to every Member of this House that we do pass a viable Regional Transportation Authority; and, therefore, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Farley 'aye'; Gibbs, Gene Hoffman, McClain . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . McCormick, McGrew, Pappas, Philip, Rose . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 103 'ayes', 57 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional three-fifths majority is, hereby, declared lost. Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you arise?"

Garmisa: "I would ask that this Bill put on Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "That's all right . . . well, wait . . . let him do that, it's okay. The Gentleman has asked for Postponed Consideration. The Bill will be put on the order of Postponed Consideration. Ah . . . The one Bill is on Postponed Consideration."

Clerk Selcke: "The next Bill, Arthur."



Speaker Telcser: "Clyde, do you want 13 called? How many votes for 13? 107, Clyde, Ann says, if you want it before July 1?"

Choate: "It it gets 89, it's effective July 1."

Speaker Telcser: "July 1, right."

Choate: "That's right."

Speaker Telcser: "Right. So do you want to . . ."

Choate: "Ah . . . What's . . . what else are we going to do tonight?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know."

Choate: "I'm serious."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, there are a number of Members that said they want to make motions, but I want to remind all of you it's after 12 o'clock, and what you do tonight can also be done tomorrow morning."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose . . ."

Choate: "May I explain to the House . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . sure."

Choate: ". . . the very point that you just brought out. If the Membership will listen for one second, now, if they want to stay tonight, I . . . I'll be willing and happy to stay, but any Bill that is passed now, is in no different position than one that is passed at 10 o'clock in the morning. The Senate is not in Session, they can't receive messages; consequently, there's . . . there's no difference in passing a Bill right now than there is at 10 or 11 o'clock in the morning. Now, as far as I'm personally concerned, it'll be left up to the desire of the Membership whether they want to continue operating now or whether they want to come back at a reasonable hour in the morning and then get back down to the business."

Speaker Telcser: "Well . . ."

Choate: "But we will . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . it looks to me like they'd like to go home . . ."

Choate: ". . . but we will pick up, I would assume, at the same order of business."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative



William Walsh. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise? Now, I've got Walsh, what are you arising for, Sir?"

Shea: "A parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Shea: "There are on this order of business, on this Calendar, three motions. I believe the rules require them to be called within two Legislative days, could we have leave of the House to extend that one day?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's get the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "We can do that later today, since we're in the next Legislative day from when we started this. So we can do whatever we have to do on that . . . with that respect later today; and now, Mr. Speaker, I would move that the 3rd Special Session adjourn until the hour of 12 noon tomorrow or . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now wait a second . . . the Gentleman from Cook . . . Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Is there any . . . is there any other Session that's going to convene before the 3rd Special Session at 12 noon tomorrow?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, Bill, can you answer that? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bill Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Sorry, I didn't get the question."

Choate: "What . . . what I was saying, I don't know, I don't remember what happened earlier today about the adjournment of other . . . ah . . . Session, inasmuch as we've got so doggone many of them running . . ."

Walsh, W.: "Well, but . . ."

Choate: ". . . what I think that you . . . that the motion to be is recess this Session until noon tomorrow."

Walsh, W.: ". . . well, we're adjourning it until noon later today . . . ah . . . it sounds like the same thing to me . . ."

Choate: "I think that if . . . ah . . . you talk to your staff that . . . ah . . . we will . . . we should be recessing this Special Session until 12 noon, and that would be the first order of business when we come back, would be this Session."



Walsh, W.: "That isn't what I had in mind."

Choate: "Okay, well, then tell me what you got in mind."

Walsh, W.: "Move . . . Move that we adjourn the 3rd Special Session until 12 noon today."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, then I would ask if . . . are you going to make any other motions that the House comes back in Session prior to the 3rd Special Session at 12 noon?"

Walsh, W.: "The Regular Session at 11:55."

Choate: "The Regular Session at 11:55 followed by the 3rd Special Session at 12 noon?"

Walsh, W.: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Katz: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, a few Bills have passed the Senate and have come over to the House. Those have not been read, and it seems to me that if we're going to do anything at this Session, that we ought to perhaps make arrangements for Senate Bills to be heard in a Committee of the whole prior to the opening of the Session so that we will be able to do something productive out of this Special Session. I know that I've picked up a Senate Bill at the request of the Sponsor . . . ah . . . that came out of Special Session 1, who would like an opportunity to have it heard, and I don't know any reason why it could not be heard on a Committee of the whole that began before the noon Session tomorrow if the Clerk simply read the messages from the Senate first."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, whatever we have to do, we can do later today, since we will gain no time in advancing anything now that cannot be done later today. We've had a long day and part of another day; and I suggest that we get on with our adjournment."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise?"

Choate: "I . . . I totally agree with what the Min . . . Majority Leader has said, that . . . ah . . . as far as the impact of legislation



being passed now compared with a later time this morning, you're absolutely right; but the point that I'm wanting to make is that none of the other Special Sessions will be convened prior to the 3rd Special Session, where we've left off business tonight."

Walsh, W.: "Well, we're . . . we're going into the Regular, Clyde, and the . . . I have no instructions on this, but it seems we can go right into this tomorrow, right into this Session tomorrow or later today."

Choate: "That's . . . that's exactly what . . . the point that I wanted to make. When we come back, as far as I remember at least, there's not a whole lot of anything to do as far as the Regular Session is concerned."

Walsh, W.: "Right."

Choate: "And then when we go out of the Regular, we go right back into the 3rd?"

Walsh, W.: "Correct."

Choate: "That's this order of business, where we left off this morning?"

Walsh, W.: "No, I can't guarantee that."

Choate: "Well, no, that's where we're quitting from."

Walsh, W.: "No, no, no, no, no, no, it's . . . we will go on Second, we will be on Second Reading, I imagine."

Choate: "That . . . that's the reason, if we could get the attention of the Membership of the House, we're sitting here in a deliberative Body tonight, and we're considering legislation that is deserving of votes, that's the reason, Mr. Majority Leader, that I suggest it to you, rather than to adjourn the Session, it's still the same day, to recess the Session."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to make one thing perfectly clear, a Bill passed the Senate today. It is a Bill that passed in the 1st Special Session. Now, if we wait until tomorrow to take this up as the distinguished Majority Leader suggests, and it is read tomorrow, then we will have to bypass Committee. I don't like that; but he will leave me no alternative. I would like to schedule the matter for a Committee of the whole. I would like to have it



read the first time right now, and I would like to have it scheduled in the Committee of the Whole before we come in, then we would be able to deal with it on Second and Third Reading, thereafter, before we adjourn; and that is what I would like."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, if that's not bypassing the rules, it's winking at them; and I can recall the time in some issues when the Gentleman was willing, if it's important enough to him, that he will disregard the rules. So I persist in my motion to adjourn until 12 o'clock later today."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, we're talking about, as the Majority Leader said earlier, this very exact and same Legislative day that we're operating in right now. We have recessed time and time again for the purpose of caucuses . . ."

Walsh, W.: "We've . . . we've recessed when that was the motion. The motion is to adjourn."

Choate: ". . . I'm . . . that's what I'm getting ready to tell you, that's what I'm getting ready to tell you. If we recess, we come back to the order of business, which we're expiring at the present time, I guess we're expiring it. If we decide to do this thing, I would suggest . . . I would suggest to a majority of this Membership that to keep this . . . ah . . . rumbling train on course, if it is rumbling, it's kind of got a flat wheel, so it ought to be rumbling, to come back from a recess Session and pick up where we've left off today, tonight, this morning, whatever it is."

Walsh, W.: "I renew my motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Then, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I don't like to delay these matters at all, but I would make a substitute motion that the 3rd Special Session be recessed until 12 noon today."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that the Gentleman is



out of order in making a substitute motion for a motion to adjourn. I move that this 3rd Special Session now adjourn until the hour of 12 noon later today."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative . . ."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, if the Majority Leader wants to delay the Membership, those who are left to the point and to the extent that we're going to have Roll Call votes, then I . . . I'm going to ask for a Roll Call vote on his motion and then I will proceed if I happen to win, be on the winning side, with a recess motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, the Gentleman knows full well that I am not delaying this House nor any Republican. He spoke earlier about the health of some of his Members that he was very concerned with, and I'm sure that he continues to have the leash on those Members so that he can call them in here and with their chest pains . . . chest pains can vote his way. Now, I implore you, Mr. Speaker, to recognize my motion and to adjourn this House at this time. To do anything other than that, would be irresponsible . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay . . ."

Walsh, W.: ". . . and the Gentleman from Union knows it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose . . ."

Choate: "I don't care what he calls it, but if he insists on the adjournment motion, we'll have a Roll Call vote."

Davis: "Right, this is not a dictatorship, not yet . . . thank God . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Wolfe: "We need five Members for a Roll Call vote, and I'm raising my hand for a Roll Call vote, that's all."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murhpy, are you seeking recognition, Sir? Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've been prevailed upon by what I consider to be an unreasonable moment in the life of the Minority Leader to recess instead of adjourn for whatever reason he has, and I'm afraid it may be devious. In any case, I move, Mr. Speaker, that the House do now recess to the hour of 2 p.m. later today."



NOV 15 1973

250.

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House to stand in recess until the hour of 2. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House stands in recess until 2 o'clock."



Speaker Blair: "Wait, yeah, until he reads . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill #1, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor?"

Clerk Selcke: "None."

Speaker Blair: "Third Reading. Senate Bill 2."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill . . . Senate Bill 2, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. 3."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 3, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 4, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Blair: "Any from the floor? Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "That's it."

Speaker Blair: "Now, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, who moves that we recess the 5th Special Session until after adjournment of the 4th Special Session. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to recess say 'aye', opposed 'no'; the 'ayes' have it, and the 5th Special Session is recessed. All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh. All right, the 3rd Special Session is convened and is . . . ah . . . there is a . . . Clerk asks . . . ah . . . or is there leave for the attendance Roll Call to be used as the Roll Call for this Session from the Regular? Okay, leave for that? Okay, now, all right, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the 3rd Special Session stand in recess for the purpose of a Republican Conference . . . ah . . . that will last about one hour, and I would urge the . . . ah . . . Members to be back here as promptly as they possibly can after the recess; and now I believe, Mr. Speaker, that . . . ah . . . the Minority Leader would ask for a conference also."



Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have the Democrat Members . . . Democratic Members meet in conference in Room 5 . . . M5. I . . . I thought so, Mr. Speaker, I heard the Majority Leader wrong I guess. I thought he asked for an hour's recess, and I thought we were talking about a 30 minute one."

Speaker Blair: "I think that within the framework we thought it was going to take us until 3:30 to get to this point, and it's only 10 after 3, so I . . . I . . . ah . . ."

Choate: "I understand because it's still within the . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . we'll be back, we might back a lot quicker than that, but I . . . I . . . well, we think certainly at the . . . at the outside we're talking about an hour."

Choate: ". . . very good . . . ah . . . because it's still within the framework of the time that we were actually talking about it."

Speaker Blair: "That's right."

Choate: "Ah . . . I would urge the Democratic Members to come to Room M5 immediately."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'; we'll be in recess now for the Republicans to go to a conference in Room 212 and Democrats in Room M5 . . . ah . . . for approximately an hour from now, 4:15. All right . . . ah . . . when we . . . ah . . . the House will be in order, the 3rd Special Session. When we recessed we were . . . ah . . . we had just taken the . . . ah . . . we had leave for the . . . ah . . . Roll Call of the Regular Session to be the . . . ah . . . agreed Roll Call of the . . . ah . . . 3rd Special Session, and the ordinary course of business as shown on the Calendar . . . ah . . . is House Bills, Second Reading. For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. . . . or Union, Mr. Choate, arise?"

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . again I arise for the purpose of a motion, a motion that I feel will expedite the business of this House. We are now in the 3rd Special Session, and I don't think that any of us need to be told about the type of legislation or the Bills that are



included in the hearing of this call. I think that we all realize that if we're going to attempt to break the thing that has kept this House in Session and until ungodly hours of the night, that we should proceed to the order of Third Reading posthaste. It's obvious, I think, to all of us that if we proceed in the order of call under the rules of this House that we again will be here until 1 or 2 o'clock in the morning discussing . . . discussing Amendments on Bills that are on Second Reading to the extent that I don't feel that we would get to the order of Third Reading at a reasonable hour of this day. I would, therefore, Mr. Speaker, move pursuant to House Rule 10B that the order of business be changed to the order of Third Reading."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I respectfully object to the Gentleman's motion and would like to point out to him that he has been assured by the Republican Leadership that we will get to House Bills, Third Reading, in the 3rd Session, and that House Bill 12 will be heard and will be heard today so that there will be a Roll Call today. As you know, Mr. Minority Leader, we are just as interested in a Roll Call on this Bill as you are. We want to carry that Roll Call around throughout this state and tell people about it. So we're going to get there. There's no reason at all for us to change the order of business to change the orderly process that we've been following in this House to do that because you've been assured that you'll get what you want anyway. Now, there are a lot of Bills on Second Reading here that we've been suspending the rules on, taking a lot of time with. I call your attention to House Bill 26 on . . . on Second Reading where we had a motion yesterday to suspend the rules to get this Bill in the position it's in. There are many just like it. So I suggest to you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, that there is no need for this motion, that the Gentleman is pulling his rank, if you will. We're going to get to the business that he wants us to get to. We want to just as badly as he does. I would urge you to vote 'no'



on the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Union to close."

Choate: "Well, . . . ah . . . I didn't know that we had rank to pull around here, and if we're pulling rank, then I've got to say the same thing to the Majority Leader, that he's pulling a wee bit more rank because at least you're supposed to be in the Majority. So, therefore, you should be pulling a little more rank than I'm pulling. Now, as far as the assurances of hearing the Bills on Third Reading, as far as the assurances of having a Roll Call on House Bill 12, certainly I don't doubt by what sometime today you will get around before the hour of midnight or the hour of 2 o'clock in the morning to having a Roll Call, but you know and I know that at least four Members on this side for two nights in a row with chest pains have sat on the floor of this House endangering their health, endangering their health simply because they were not given an opportunity to . . . under the Regular order of business to bring about a vote; and I'm saying to you that unless we adopt the motion that I'm suggesting, that the same thing will happen today; and as far as hearing a Roll Call around throughout the state in my pocket, I have no intention of doing so . . . in my district with the vote that I'm going to pass. Let me know, and I'll have someone pick you up at the boundary and give you the exact geographical borderlines of my district so that you won't miss anybody . . . to you that the motion is in order. I suggest to you that it's the humane thing to do. I suggest to you that it's a thing that this Body should've done even before doing it now. I would respectfully ask for a Roll Call vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Is that the motion? House Bills, Third Reading?"

Yeah, House Bills, Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . Motions pursuant to House Rule 10B, 'I move that the order of business be changed to House Bills, Third Reading, Clyde Choate'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, and . . . ah . . . the rule provides that he has to have the initial support of five Members for his motion."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah . . . He does have."



Speaker Blair: "I . . . the lights are a little bright, I can't . . . ah . . . all right, he has five . . . he has five additional Members there and . . . ah . . . so the Majority Leader said that you may not use the last unanimous Roll Call for that motion and . . . ah . . . so we'll have to take a Roll Call vote. As you know, that . . . that motion to carry requires 89 . . . ah . . . votes. So if the Clerk will proceed with dispatch call . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate . . . got her . . . Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway . . . what did he say? . . . Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, . . . Katz 'aye', Hill 'aye' . . . Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to explain my vote. In my opinion, in ordinary circumstances by going to Second Reading . . . ah . . . the Speaker is right or would be right. However, today is not an ordinary situation. We are faced with a shortage of time, and



we are faced with two critical issues, tax relief and regional transportation. Now, we've been told that the Senate will adjourn either tomorrow or Saturday. If we pay attention and give regard to these Bills at Second Reading, there is no way in the world that those Bills can get to the Senate in time for passage over there. It seems to me, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that we are simply wasting time now by directing our attention to Second Reading Bills and that it would be proper and right to go to Third Reading. For that reason, I vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe . . . all right, I got Laurino, Giglio 'aye' . . . Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "No. Choate 'aye', Choate, the Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I before the . . . ah . . . Roll Call is announced, Mr. Speaker, I would like to poll the absentees."

Speaker Blair: "Farley, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry, is it too late to explain my vote?"

Speaker Blair: "No, no, it's not too late, go ahead."

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I . . . I think that this motion is perfectly in order in that we have to move to House Bills on Third Reading because of the time element involved and because of the actions by this House are going to be a very determinate factor in how this state is going to run and what we are going to do as a Body and as Representatives for the people in the State of Illinois; and I would hope that this motion would carry in that we could move to Third Reading and pass some significant Bills and some significant legislation for the people in the State of Illinois. I think it's our responsibility and I think it's our duty and I think if we do anything otherwise we're negligent in our duty. I know, for instance, my



own feeling would be that a Bill sponsored either on this side or that side if it's a good Bill should be heard on Third Reading and it should be voted by this Body either up or down and I would hope that all those good Bills would be voted . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "All right, you'll have to bring your remarks to a close. Now, you're entitled two minutes to explain your vote, and I . . . I think . . ."

Farley: ". . . and, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I would vote 'aye' on this motion and I hope that . . . ah . . . all the other Members of this Body would do so."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now, we'll poll the . . . where are we on the Roll. Ah . . . Go up there and tell him, Fred . . . 86 . . . 48 the right way. Now, Choate . . . ah . . . asks to poll the absentees. All right, do it fast, Fred."

Clerk Selcke: "Bluthardt, Boyle, Brinkmeier, Calvo, Campbell . . . is Brinkmeier on? Huh? . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, Brinkmeier 'aye'? Brinkmeier 'aye'?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Carter . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Wait . . . wait a minute, Fred, he wants to know how he's recorded."

Brinkmeier: "I'm voting 'aye', voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . we got you . . ."

Speaker Blair: "He's . . . he's on there, yeah."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . we added him. Where did I quit? . . . Carter, Dee, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Epton, Fleck, Flinn . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck."

Fleck: "A brief explanation of vote, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I got to vote to get this out and let everyone sit and vote on it, and give the Republicans an opportunity to carry a Roll Call around downstate in the next election. I still have a funny feeling that all the contents of this House Bill Calendar and all the contents of the Senate Calendar are going down as same as shoot."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . how do you vote? . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Fleck 'aye'."



Clerk Selcke: ". . . Getty . . . yeah, wait 'til you recognize him . . .
Gibbs, Griesheimer, Grotberg . . ."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Griesheimer."

Griesheimer: "Please record me as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Record the Gentleman 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . This is Griesheimer? . . . Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman,
Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Jim Holloway 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hyde . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Vote Hyde 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Klosak, Kriegsman . . . Klosak 'aye'? I guess
he said 'aye' . . . Lauer, McAuliffe, McClain . . . we'll get
you, Kenny; Kenny Boyle 'aye', where did I quit? . . . McClain,
McMaster, Molloy Murphy, North . . . Murphy 'no' . . . North, Pappas,
Philip, Rigney, Rose, Sangmeister . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Rigney says 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Sangmeister, Schoeberlein, Soderstrom . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Soderstrom 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . he didn't vote . . . ah . . . Telcser, Waddell,
Richard Walsh . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Richard Walsh 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . J. J. Wolf . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Getty?"

Getty: ". . . recorded . . ."

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Getty: ". . . vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Vote him 'aye'. All right, we're at 97 'ayes' and 53
'nays', the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, I request a verification."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Well, that . . . the Gentleman is entitled
to that under the rules, and I . . . I suppose it would be helpful
if the Members would be in their seats so that, hence, the Clerk
would proceed in a deliberate fashion to read the names of the
affirmative. Yeah, the Clerk asks if we could have the lights . . . ah



. . . turned down so . . . or turned off so he can see and so the Members can see. They're awfully bright, then you can turn them back on just as soon as we get that finished. All right, now, it's been requested that the Members be in the seats assigned to them . . . ah . . . so on the question of their petition, if you're in your seat, then those people who are verifying will have a problem, and they'll be taking up more time because they can't see in your see, and we could save time if you're in your seat and . . . all right, and would the Members please be in their seats so we can proceed?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, will the Members please be in their seats so . . . while the Clerk reads the affirmative Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Capparelli, Chapman, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, DiPrima, Douglas, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hanahan, Hart, Hill . . . well, he'll have to change . . . Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Kriegsman, Kucharski, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Madigan, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Rayson, Redmond, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Von Boeckman, Wall, R. Walsh, Washington, Williams, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Krieg . . . Representative Kriegsman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker, how am I voted?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Kriegsman: "Change it to 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Are there any other Members who wish to change their vote . . . ah . . . before . . . ah . . . those who requested the affirmative vote . . . ah . . ."



make inquiry as to their vote? Okay, questions of the affirmative Roll Call? Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Representative Craig?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Craig is in his seat or in a seat."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Giglio?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Giglio? Oh, he's over by Representative Capuzi."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Griesheimer?"

Speaker Telcser: "He's right here in the aisle. Just walked past you, Bill."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Dan Houlihan?"

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Keller?"

Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Keller on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Kelly?"

Speaker Telcser: "Kelly is in his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Kucharski?"

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Ah . . . Representative Rayson?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sitting in his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Terzich?"

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

Walsh, W.: "Yourell?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Yourell is standing in the back of the Chamber."

Walsh, W.: "Representative Fleck?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Fleck on the floor? There he is, he's . . . ah . . ."

Walsh, W.: "I have no further questions."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. On this question there are 95 'ayes', 5 . . . Keller is back . . . 96 'ayes', 53 'nays'; and the Gentleman's motion . . . what was it? . . . prevails, whatever the motion was,



NOV 15 1973

84.

to change the order of business to House Bills, Third Reading.
House Bill 1."

Clerk Selcke: "Give me the Bill, House Bill 1. House Bill 1, Juckett,
a Bill for an Act to amend . . . all right . . . a Bill for an
Act to amend the title of Sections 1, 2 and 3 of an Act to provide
for the transportation of school children in certain metropolitan
areas. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
House Bill 1 is the Bill which will grant to the public carriers
the same privileges which we have granted to the private carriers
in the transportation of children . . . ah . . . which will
give them a reimbursement from the state not to exceed 50% of
the adult fare. It's restricted to those days upon which there
are school days and during the time which school is in session.
It also covers elderly people up to a fare reduction of one half
both for the private carrier and for the public carrier. In the
last Session, you all realized that there was a lack of authorization
for the public carriers and, thus, Comptroller Lindberg properly
held up the reimbursement for public carriers in the state. Since
that time the . . . ah . . . there has been a problem under it,
and I think that the public carriers are entitled to what the private
carriers get. That's the purpose of the Bill. I'll be glad to
answer any questions; and if there are no questions, I'd ask for
the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook,
Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "This Bill was in the Regular Session, was it not, Repre-
sentative Juckett?"

Juckett: "It was not."

Walsh, W.: "I thought there was a Bill similar to this in the . . .
ah . . ."

Juckett: "Well, the . . . now, there was a Bill, which was House Bill
1980, but it did not have the safeguards or the precautions and
requirements in it that this Bill does. It provides for the quarterly



payments, for certification and for pro ration among the carriers if there are not sufficient funds available."

Walsh, W.: "Well, let me . . . let me say this, Representative Juckett, that one of the reasons for the question is that the . . . ah . . . the whole damn Senate Membership across the way is hold up some place and they . . . they won't let anybody in and they won't come out. They seem to be . . . ah . . . using dilatory tactics to say the least over there, unprecedents dilatory tactics. So I'd like to go into this Bill in some considerable depth."

Juckett: "Be happy to answer any question that you might have. Let's be effective here . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . one moment, Representative; Representative Pierce, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I wish the Gentleman from Cook would slow down. He's talking so fast I can't understand him."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, would the Gentleman please speak a little slower and would the Members please be quiet in their seats so that Representative Pierce could . . . ah . . . pay closer attention to the discussions and matters that are taking place on Representative Juckett's Bill."

Walsh, W.: "May I tell you this, Mr. Speaker, the noise level in here is so great that I didn't get the Gentleman's request."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Pierce, the Gentleman from Lake."

Pierce: "Ah . . . I believe the Gentleman is talking on the . . . on the wrong Bill."

Walsh, W.: "Special Session 3, House Bill 1, is that correct, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "That is correct."

Walsh, W.: "What is the effective date of this Bill?"

Juckett: "Immediately upon becoming law."

Walsh, W.: "What will it cost me?"

Juckett: "There is no cost involved because the money has already been appropriated. It was appropriated into House Bill 1979 during this spring Session."

Walsh, W.: "How much was appropriated . . . ah . . . for this purpose?"



Juckett: "I believe there was approximately . . . I don't have the exact figure if you would like to go over to the Capitol and get the copy of the Bill, I'd be glad to wait for it, but . . . ah . . . it's a min . . . it's a minimum of \$7,000,000."

Walsh, W.: "Well, let me say this, that we're dealing with a lot of money here and this is an important new program, and I think we ought to have that before we can vote intelligently on this Bill."

Juckett: "Well, it's not a . . . it's not exactly a new program because the . . . by regulation of the Department of Transportation, it has been going on since 1965, and has been carried forth by both Democrat and Republican administrations."

Walsh, W.: "The best you can recollect is that the appropriation is \$7,000,000?"

Juckett: "It's at least \$7,000,000. I . . . I think during the last Session you voted for the appropriation Bills."

Walsh, W.: "Oh. Conference Chairman Neff is here with me. He feels that we ought to have a conference on this . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . wait one minute. Representative Kent, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kent: "I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question, please."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, one minute, please, Representative. Representative Walsh, have you completed . . . ah . . . yes, okay, the Lady, Representative Kent."

Kent: "Could you tell me if this is just concerns the Chicago area?"

Juckett: "It absolut . . . it absolutely does not concern the Chicago area. It covers all metropolitan areas, suburban areas in the State of Illinois and private . . . and private and public transportation companies."

Kent: "It has been my understanding that . . . ah . . . the buses, the school buses have been carrying the children. Are there city buses that carry children, too?"

Juckett: "Yes, there are."

Kent: "Where is this?"

Juckett: "In areas other than Chicago. You have a large . . . quite



a few bus companies in the suburban area of . . . ah . . . Chicago, you have them in the Rockford, the Springfield, I don't know if Quincy is covered in that, but if they're not, we'll be glad to put them in next year."

Kent: "How would the effect then on this Bill that will let the senior citizens use the school buses during the school part, why is this carried back and forth? Won't this be a waste of money?"

Juckett: "No, because many of the . . . ah . . . bus companies are operating the buses anyway and they feel that by giving the grants to the senior citizens that they will have a much bigger ridership during the off business or rush hours, enough it would lower the deficit and increase the operation of the buses."

Kent: "Will there be special regulations for the buses that the children ride?"

Juckett: "Well, on any school bus, yes, there are regulations and there is a Bill by Representative McGrew that . . . ah . . . I just received about 20 letters today on asking me to support that Bill for . . . ah . . . better school buses for kids; but this really would not effect them because we're not talking about the use of school buses."

Kent: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait, one minute now. Is Representative Kent finished? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten. Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? One moment, Representative Berman, for what purpose do you arise?"

Berman: "The procedure of the Speaker has always been in debate to go from one side of the aisle to the other. Now, you've had two people speaking on it, the Majority Leader and Mrs. Kent, and I think that we're . . . our side is now entitled to some recognition."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Berman. Pardon? Now, Representative Berman, I . . . I . . . you're aware certainly when the Leader stands up, we try and recognize that Leader first . . ."

Berman: "All right, . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . but I would not . . . since no Leader rose to . . . ah . . . to speak on your side . . . ah . . . I don't think



that . . . ah . . . it would be necessarily fair to count . . . ah . . . the Leader on the Republican side as one of those . . . when we called upon him. The fact of the matter is that no one on the Demo . . . on your side did in fact rise to his feet until just a short moment ago. Ah . . . The first one was Representative McGrew, who in all fairness, had the . . . I want to come to your side of the aisle, as I will, and should be called on before you . . ."

Berman: "Do you want to recognize Representative McGrew and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . ah . . . no, I've recognized Representative Totten, but I want to assure you that we will get the Democratic side."

Berman: ". . . well, how did we get from Mrs. Kent to Mr. Totten if we're . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Because he . . . he rose to his feet before any Members of your side had arisen at all."

Berman: ". . . now, Mr. Speaker, we want to move along in an orderly manner, and not throw the place up for grabs, I presume. Now, I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you want . . . wait, wait, now . . ."

Berman: ". . . think that by . . . I think . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . wait, who wants to throw it up for grabs?"

Berman: ". . . Mr. Speaker, if we . . . if we have questions on this side, I hope that we will be given recognition . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you have questions on . . . on . . ."

Berman: ". . . in order . . . to order."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . okay, Representative Berman, you have questions, I take it?"

Berman: "I want recognition, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Do you have questions, Representative Berman?"

Berman: "I'm on my feet. Do I have recognition, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, I recognized Representative Totten . . . we'll get back to your side."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I again ask if we have someone on this side, are we going to be recognized . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Of course."



Berman: ". . . from side to side?"

Speaker Telcser: "As best as is . . . is equitably possible . . ."

Berman: "Well, does that mean 'yes' or 'no', Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: ". . . no, Representative Berman, now lis . . . there may be 10 from your side standing on their seats and two from the Republican side. Now, that . . . you cannot go from one side to the other if there isn't a . . . ah . . . equal number . . ."

Berman: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . of those on their feet."

Berman: ". . . I now move the previous question, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "You don't have the floor for that, Representative Berman. The floor is now Representative Totten's. Representative Totten, proceed with your question if that's what you have, Sir."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like the Sponsor, if he would, to yield to a couple of questions."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Totten: "Bob, could you explain a couple of the definitions you have in Section 1?"

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, one moment, Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you arise, Sir? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. In all deference to the Gentleman that's speaking now, it seems to me that Representative McGrew had his hand up all while Representative Walsh was speaking, and I understood that the Speaker . . . ah . . . mentioned the fact that . . . ah . . . he would yield to a Leader, and I was just wondering when did Totten become a Leader on the other side of the House?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, you don't know what qualities Representative Totten has. Only I recognize them. Representative Totten, proceed, Sir."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, for your kind words."

Speaker Telcser: "You owe me one, Totten."

Totten: "Ah . . . Representative Juckett, . . . ah . . . in Section 1 of your Bill you have several definitions there which are not clear to me and I wonder whether you would be kind enough to . . . ah



. . . explain . . . explain them? Ah . . . You have . . . ah . . .
'a substantial number of school children', what do you mean by that?"

Juckett: "Well, now, that's not a definition, that's just a statement of purpose of the Bill. As you know, whenever we pass a Bill, we put a purpose in it so that the court would en . . . would know what we're after. Now, if you want to get into . . . and also that's in the original Act, which we passed several Sessions ago . . . but if you would like to get into some definitions, we've got several here. I mean, if you would . . . ah . . . do you . . . are you short on the definition of a bus company?"

Totten: "Would you . . . would you explain that to me."

Juckett: "Well, now, a bus company means any company providing regularly scheduled bus services and operating under a permit of convenience and necessity issued by the I.C.C."

Totten: "Would this include bus companies under the R.T.A.?"

Juckett: "I don't know. There is no R.T.A. so I couldn't answer that."

Totten: "Would it include them?"

Juckett: "I would assume that if the R.T.A. becomes a law that if the operation of the R.T.A. includes transporting school children at reduced fares and this law still is on the books, I would assume that then it could include R.T.A."

Totten: "Representative Juckett, what do you mean by the phrase 'urban' area in your Section 1 of your Bill?"

Juckett: "In Section 1? In urban areas? Well, we come down and we . . . ah . . . indicate that in urban areas similar to a metropolitan area, and an urban area would be something other than a farm area."

Totten: "Would again would an urban area include the definition or would that be included in the six-county area by your proposal?"

Juckett: "Well, I think safely you could say that the six-county area, now this would depend on what six-county area you're talking about; now, if you're talking about the six-county area of maybe Jo Daviess or . . . ah . . . some of those counties, that might not be an urban area; but if you're talking about the six-county area of . . . ah . . . Sangamon County and maybe Cass County and that might



NOV 15 1973

91.

be an urban area; but if you're talking about an urban area of Cook, and Lake, and McHenry, and DuPage, and Will and Kane, yes, that would be an urban area."

Totten: "Thank you. What do you mean also in the same Section of your Bill . . . ah . . . of House Bill 1, when you use the phrase 'school activity'? What would this include?"

Juckett: "On line 18?"

Totten: "Yes."

Juckett: "Well, you're probably talking about . . . ah . . . maybe practices of the school teams like hockey, when they use the private facilities in the morning, such as in Park Ridge or school hockey teams go over to the . . . to the park district rink and they're generally transported over there by bus, and then, of course, when you're talking of after-school activities, such as football teams, swimming teams, basketball teams . . . ah . . . where they're talking about . . . ah . . . transportation there."

Totten: "Then it would include the use of these . . . ah . . . services or facilities to . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Just one minute, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Shea. He's coming now, Jer'."

Shea: "How long does a Member have to ask questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the rules provide 10 minutes, Jer', but without the lights that we've got over in the regular Chamber's it's been kind of loose . . . ah . . . loosely . . ."

Shea: "Well, would you be kind enough to watch it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, should we give him five more minutes?"

Shea: "He's been it nine by . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know . . ."

Shea: ". . . by somebody's watch. As I know . . . you know I don't have one."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . well, I'll set my watch for 10 after. Let's assume he's got five minutes to go. Fair . . ."

Totten: "Jerry, I was looking at the clock behind and I so far haven't taken up a significant portion of time yet. Ah . . . One more question of the Sponsor. How would your Bill, House Bill 1, effect the



provisions that Representative Hanahan has put into several of the R.T.A. proposals regarding the use of or the inclusion of school buses . . . ah . . . and the reductions supposedly of real property tax . . . ah . . . in these R.T.A. proposals?"

Juckett: "Well, I would . . ."

Totten: "Is your Bill in conflict . . . ah . . .?"

Juckett: ". . . no, my Bill is not in conflict because, as I recall, Representative Hanahan's . . . ah . . . Amendments and Bills that he's introduced on this subject, that applies to a regular school bus which is operated by a school bus company and where they're reimbursed on the 80% basis from the State of Illinois for the transportation of school children. This is for a private or public bus company which is not connected with the school and which is an incident to their business, does transport school children. So it would have no effect at all."

Speaker Telcser: "I got to call a Democrat, wait a second. Representative Fleck. Well, wait a second, I got to call a Democrat."

Fleck: "Thank you, Artie. I have nothing to do with this Bill, but I see what's going to happen here and it's going to get a little warmer later on, I'm sure. Does the press have to keep those lights on?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Members . . ."

Fleck: ". . . catch forty winks for all the questions that are being asked on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, if the Gentlemen in the back have finished taping or televising or whatever, if they could turn the lights off for a while anyway? Will that be all right? Thank you very much. Is Totten finished? Toby McGrew was first. Do you want . . . To . . . ah . . . Sam, is it okay if I get Toby before you? Representative . . . Representative McGrew, is it okay if I call Representative Barry before you? The Gentleman from Bureau, Representative Barry."

Barry: "I . . . I don't want to get ahead of Sam, but mine is merely a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."



Barry: "If we go beyond midnight with this Republican slow-up, it obviously kills R.T.A. considering that the Senate intends to go home tomorrow, I understand. At the same time, I'd just like to make the observation that it also kills the opportunity to vote on an Amendment to Senate Bill 7 that is the supplemental freeway that some of the people obviously are taking part over here in the filibuster and would like to see it at least voted upon. I make that observation and I pass it on to McGrew."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the observation is interesting, Representative Barry, but . . . ah . . . if your observation is correct, . . . ah . . . and the Senate does adjourn tomorrow, then whatever would pass tonight would be moved. I make that observation also. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh, W.: "Well, I just wanted to make the observation that the verified Roll Call on the motion to change the order of business ought to be the Roll Call on the supplemental freeways because Senate Bill or House Bill 7, a Bill where that Amendment was going to be offered was the first Bill on Second Reading that you people got us off of a little while ago."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Perry, Representative McGrew."

McGrew: "Where?"

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, did I get the wrong county, Sam?"

McGrew: "That's quite all right."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Henry, I . . . was looking at my friend, Bill Cunningham."

McGrew: "Ah . . . I would just like to realize that the former . . . the last Speaker as well as the first Representative is indeed very much in the beef industry because they're very eloquent at the bull. I would like to move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye' . . . okay, all right, okay, okay, five Members have requested a Roll Call. The Gentleman from the Gentleman from Henry, Representative McGrew, has moved the previous question, which he is now going to withdraw I think; Representative McGrew, for what purpose do you arise?"



McGrew: "Experience says, 'Withdraw'."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Sam. Ah . . . Now, is there anyone . . .

Representative Berman. Where is Representative Berman? The Gentleman from Cook, Repre . . . don't you want the floor, Representative Berman? No? Ah . . . Now, anyone on the Democratic side seeking recognition? Now, okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would answer a couple of questions?"

Juckett: "Oh, did he withdraw? Ah . . . Yes, I thought we were going to have a Roll Call, but I'll be glad to answer it, yeah."

Duff: "Ah . . . Did . . . did you say, 'yes'?"

Juckett: "Yes, I thought I said, 'yes' . . . ah . . . you know, I thought we were going to have a Roll Call or something but if we're not having a Roll Call, I'll be glad to answer any questions."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, before I ask my questions, am I in order now?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, Sir, you have the floor, Sir, and you have your 10 minutes of time to ask questions."

Duff: "Ah . . . Starting now."

Speaker Telcser: "Starting now, right."

Duff: "On line 22 there is a . . . ah . . . phrase that says, 'severe financial loss' . . . ah . . . Representative Juckett; and . . . ah . . . I'd be curious to know if you can tell me how you would go about determining severe financial losses."

Juckett: "Well, I would imagine what they would do is take a look at what the regular fares would've brought in, and then they would take a look at what the fares bring in by the action of the I.C.C. sustaining that you have to carry the school children at a half rate, and . . . ah . . . with that amount then if . . . it would probably lead the bus company operating at a deficit and it's been the policy of the state not to have the bus company go out of business because then it would cost much more money to transport them through the 80% reimbursement schedule."

Duff: "I see. All right, now, then in . . . in the same area, but just



NOV 15 1973

95.

a few lines just above . . . in the new wording of the Bill for your introducing some new words . . . ah . . . that 'and whereas there are substantial numbers of elderly persons in urban areas receiving reduced fares', et cetera."

Juckett: "Right."

Duff: "Ah . . . Would you remind . . ."

Juckett: "You read that very nicely."

Duff: ". . . well, . . . ah . . . I have my new glasses on. Ah . . . It says, 'substantial number of elderly persons', and I wonder . . . I'd like to ask . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . wait, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Well, you know, if you could just keep it quiet here because Duff says he can't hear Juckett and they're one behind the other . . . ah . . . I think that's a little much, and Mr. Duff proceeds to go over the same questions that have been answered three times. So I ask Mr. Duff if he please would just answer or ask questions that haven't been answered two and three times already. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Your point is well taken, Representative Shea. Representative Duff, could you confine your questions to those which have not been already asked, please?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . I would . . . my name was just used in debate and without intruding on my time, I would like to raise a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, state your point, Sir."

Duff: "Ah . . . The Gentleman said that . . . ah . . . I was in front of Representative Juckett, and I, frankly, I think he's probably be apt to object to that. Ah . . . But more importantly he said that I had asked some questions I don't think I've asked yet. So I don't know how he would suggest that I am asking the same questions that were asked before. If I were asking the same questions that were asked before, it's only because I couldn't hear them, and yet the Representative says that I should've been able to hear him. I heard his questions clearly enough, but I really don't see why it was necessary for him to raise my name in debate when I'm trying



so hard to ask questions relative to a Bill that I think we all consider very important. Ah . . . If I didn't have the privilege of a point of personal privilege, I really would've probably been irritated by that. Ah . . . But I am delighted to have been able to respond. Now, if I can continue with my allotted time, I'd like to ask a couple more questions."

Juckett: "Well, it . . . it . . . I would like to have them be on issues that have not been asked so that we can enlighten everybody here, but it would be a little redundant to go over the same question again."

Duff: "Well, Representative Juckett, I . . . I will try hard not to ask the same questions . . . ah . . . because you and I were sharing the same bill back there . . . ah . . . before and weren't able to get an extra copy, and I wasn't able to discuss these with you privately as we had intended to do. The . . . ah . . . there is a question that says . . . ah . . . regularly scheduled bus services and . . . ah . . . page 2, line 11, would you mind elucidating a little bit on the sentence, 'for the purpose of which is to provide regularly scheduled bus services to include rapid transit services'?"

Juckett: "Well, these are . . . ah . . . bus companies or bus services which are on a regularly scheduled basis, and it's day in and day out, night in, night out. They run that schedule."

Duff: "Ah . . . I was just recalled that I was interrupted when I asked an earlier question . . . ah . . . that I don't think was similar to another one where relative 'to substantial number of elderly persons' . . . ah . . . what . . . were you asked before what the intent of that phrase, how you determine substantial numbers?"

Juckett: "I didn't ask you that, but if you're asking me that . . . ah . . . that's why they're in the urban areas because there are more people in the urban areas than in the non-urban areas, and in that category there are many that are elderly."

Duff: "Well, yeah, but how do you determine what's substantial?"

Juckett: "How do I determine it?"

Duff: "Yeah. Or who would . . ."



Juckett: "Well, there's probably more than one."

Duff: ". . . well, who would determine it?"

Juckett: "Well, this is in the 'whereas clause', and the 'whereas clause' is the determination by the Legislature that there are many people that are in need of these services . . ."

Duff: "I see."

Juckett: ". . . and we don't put a specific number because there's been no test made of specific numbers, unless you take private bus companies and public companies that do give these services. For instance, the C.T.A. could probably give you a total of the number of senior citizen passes that they've given."

Duff: "I see. On page 2, line 17, it suggests . . . excuse me for just a minute . . . Representative Houlihan says I'm doing a good job and he said something I can't quote publicly, unless he'd like me to . . . Representative Juckett, it says, 'any persons may apply to the Secretary', how would they apply, are there provisions made for forms . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea . . . Representative Duff, I know you had a point of personal privilege for a couple of moments, but your time is running out. Would you conclude your remarks or questions please?"

Duff: "Right. I'll just ask two more questions."

Juckett: "Okay, on that elderly portion there is a provision in the Amendment, which was adopted yesterday, which does provide for the regulation by the Department of Transportation to set up these procedures and the bus companies and transit companies would follow these regulations as to how they apply et cetera."

Duff: "Ah . . . Fine, I'd like to ask you this one now. I have had a very serious inquiry from one of my constituents relative to the availability of transportation on the special education bus lines for the Special Education Districts, and I know it's an area that you're knowledgeable in . . . ah . . . but we've had a great deal of difficulty getting a clear . . . clear opinion from O.S.P.I. relative to whether persons who are not in Special Education Districts could use the buses . . . ah . . . within those



districts to travel intra- the regular School District, excuse me, inter-districts, not intra-districts; but using the Special Education District bus lines in order to accomplish it. Would those kinds of bus lines be able to provide programs . . . ah . . . where a . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Duff, could you conclude the questioning, please."

Duff: ". . . well, let me finish this sentence, and I'll be finished . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I'll . . . okay."

Duff: ". . . now, where those bus lines were available, could they provide special reduced fares for outside school activities for the handicapped and special education, and could that be easily put into this Bill? If it wasn't, maybe you can comment on the problems that would be involved in accomplishing that."

Juckett: "In an . . . in answer to that last paragraph, I think that the special education buses are those which are privately hired from . . . ah . . . bus companies by the School Districts, and they are in operation from early morning until late at night transporting the kids. So I don't know if there would be any availability for regular bus service."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee."

Dee: "Mr. Speaker, I'm the Gentleman behind Representative Juckett. Ah . . . There . . ."

Juckett: "You're in front of me now."

Dee: ". . . there are certain phases of your Bill that I'd like to delve into. Now, this reimburses the C.T.A., does it not for carrying the children? . . ."

Juckett: "C.T.A. would be one of the facilities reimbursed, yes."

Dee: ". . . and they are reimbursed on the basis of their loss, this . . . ah . . . regular fares, the reduce fare as opposed to the regular fare?"

Juckett: "That is correct, not to exceed 50% of that adult fare."

Dee: "Now, . . . ah . . . in doing this, how do we derive at the amount of money due to the C.T.A.? Now, I happen to be in some small way familiar with how the C.T.A. works on their older people



and on their children. Now, how do the . . . I know, that when you get on the bus if you're riding at a reduced fare, you show a card, which in the case of the school children are purchased by them, in the case of the older people . . . ah . . . they are issued these cards to verify that they are . . . ah . . . eligible for this discount, now, when they deposit their reduced fare in the coin box, I would like you to tell me how we arrive or how the C.T.A. arrives at the exact amount due it for carrying these children at a reduced rate, and how the state can verify their payments to the C.T.A.?"

Juckett: "I think you have a very good question there. Now, it's my understanding that the C.T.A. does a spot-check for a 24-hour period once each month or each quarter, and through that they then estimate the number of full fares, adult fares, senior adult fares and child's fares. In other bus companies, the . . . ah . . . the senior citizen or the student must purchase in . . . in advance the ticket which is used or the token which is used to be put into the coin box along with the regular fare. Now, there is no exact provision in this Bill stating that the C.T.A. must do it, as the other companies do; but in . . . now, in the past, the C.T.A. has done this procedure of the spot-check. They've done this because there has been no statutory authority or statutory retirement . . . requirement making them come up with this specific number. Now, in this Bill it says that 'the company must indicate the number of rides by school children and the number of rides by elderly persons'. So I would imagine that the C.T.A. would have to change their methods and they would have to do it the way that the private companies are now doing it, so that they would have an exact count on every day. Otherwise, if they did not do this, the state could very well be reimbursing for more rides than were actually taken. So I don't think they would have the same method as they did before."

Dee: "It seems incredible, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that the State of Illinois has been subject to reimbursing the C.T.A. on the basis of a spot-check, which is made at the convenience and



under the control of the C.T.A., and I certainly hope Representative Juckett, that this Bill will correct this inequity or possible miscarriage in true error or passage error on the part of the C.T.A. to collect fares which no one is able to ascertain as being the correct amount; and I certainly for one as a Member of this House thank you for coming up with this exemplary Bill that will stop this practice. Thank you, Sir."

Juckett: "Well, Representative Dee, I will do my utmost to make sure that the C.T.A. and all companies do give us an accurate count every day on each of these categories and one based on actual ridership."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Jones."

Jones, J.: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to ask Representative Juckett a question. Here in Sangamon County in Springfield, we had a bus problem, transportation problem, so we organized five years ago by vote of the people a Mass Transit District, and this district was voted into being by the . . . the people of the metropolitan area of Springfield . . ."

Juckett: "Excuse me, Dave, could you start over, I didn't get the first part of that. There was a lot of noise here?"

Jones, J.: ". . . here in the Springfield metropolitan area we had a transportation problem where the old private bus companies just couldn't hack it. They had about 700,000 fares a year and the equipment was terrible, the service was terrible and the people weren't just using it. So we organized a Mass Transit District by a vote of the people in this . . . in the three main townships of metropolitan Springfield, and with the .5 cent tax levy and it organized and is now in operation a Mass Transit District; and it's working because the fares went from 700,000 on the old system to 3,000,000 last year, and it's even more for this year. Now, my question is, under your Bill what will it do for the Mass Transit Districts that are downstate, like the one in Springfield? Does it . . . ah . . . there is some aid now from the state for the school children rebate. I think it brings in in the Sangamon County



about \$127,000 a year. The tax levy that we passed produces about \$250,000, and with that levy it keeps the agency solvent because without it they'd be going into the red. So the concept of the . . . of the aid from the state is a factor and if you could tell me what this would do as far as . . . our district?"

Juckett: "Well, yeah, okay, as far as that question is concerned, under definition #4 on page 2 of the Bill, it includes in the authority which we've already given to the private companies transit authority which is the Transit District or other public authority. So, whereas, your Transit District was very similar to the C.T.A. . . problem and were there was no legal statutory authorization to grant to you assistance to school kids and that which you were receiving before, you cannot get now, is similar to the C.T.A. Under this Bill and under definition 4, you will be included and you would be eligible for reimbursement for the reduce school fare, school children fares and the reduced elderly citizen fares."

Jones, J.: "What you're saying is if your Bill has been . . . has been law back in when they had the old private system, that it would've given them some aid and it might've kept them going and they wouldn't have to make the new approach. Is that correct?"

Juckett: "Well, yes, and if it does not become law, your transit company will not get any assistance at all."

Jones, J.: "Well, I just want to say that we have exhibit A of how this transportation problem can be solved by the people in the area voting themselves a tax to provide, and it is working in Sangamon County, and that's for the record, and I think that would be an assistance to . . . ah . . . what you're trying to do to . . . to keep it operating on sound financial basis."

Juckett: "Well, I would then urge you to vote 'aye' on the Bill because if you don't, the school rides that your bus company gives and also your elderly rides would not be reimbursed because there is no authorization now for the state to do that to public companies, only to private companies."

Jones, J.: "The . . . see the school board here engages the bus company to do the transportation of their students in certain areas where



the . . . the busing where from a school that was closed down and taken to another school, so . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "Well, if they have a contract with the School Board, then they are proba . . . the School District is probably reimbursed by 85%, but if it's a common, ordinary student taking a common, ordinary bus ride to a common, ordinary school, and it's not under a contractual relationship, your . . . your Transit District would not be eligible for any re . . . ah . . . reimbursement, unless this Bill becomes law."

Jones, J.: "Thank you, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Huskey."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question or two?"

Juckett: "I'd be happy to."

Huskey: "Representative Juckett, how many Transit Districts are in the State of Illinois?"

Juckett: "Pardon, I couldn't hear that one?"

Huskey: "How many Transit Districts are there in the State of Illinois that your Bill would affect?"

Juckett: "Ah . . . That . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait one minute, please. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, I would like some order in the Chamber. Now, we've been on this Bill for almost an hour. I don't know why we're trying to delay getting past one, and I would not want to accuse you or Members that you know of delaying so that we can't get Roll Call votes on the important things we're down here for, but I would ask you to use the powers and authority of your good office to help move things along."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, will the Members please be in their seats and . . . ah . . . be quiet and those who are not authorized to be on the House floor, please remove themselves so that the orderly business can proceed . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "You're asking me the answer to the question?"

Huskey: "Yes, . . . ah . . . Representative Juckett . . ."

Juckett: "Okay, you . . . you asked me how many transit companies are



NOV 15 1973

103.

there in the state that would come under this Bill. I honestly couldn't give you that answer. All I could give you is that the appropriation which passed in House Bill 1979 took into consideration all of the companies that were in operation and I would assume that there was sufficient monies in that Bill . . . ah . . . to cover all of the Transit Districts. If there were are . . . there was not sufficient monies, there is a pro-ratable section in our Bill and in the current law which we're amended, which would say that if there's not enough money, then based on the number of claims each of the companies will have a pro-rata share."

Huskey: "Ah . . . Representative Juckett, is there a district in Rockford?"

Juckett: "I think I would have to yield to my colleague, Representative North and Representative Simms. I think there probably is . . . ah . . ."

Huskey: "And could you tell me how your Bill would affect the Transit District in Rockford?"

Juckett: "Well, if the Bill is not passed, the Transit District in Rockford would not eligible for any reimbursement for school children or elderly, which they carry on their regularly scheduled routes."

Huskey: "Or is there . . . is there a Transit District in Rockford, Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "Well, my colleagues from Rockford don't seem to be available right now, but I think there is a Transit District in Rockford."

Huskey: "How about . . ."

Juckett: "But I don't know, I can't answer that for sure."

Huskey: ". . . well, let's . . . let's pass Rockford until your colleagues get back, but how about Peoria?"

Juckett: "Yes, there is one in Peoria. There used to be a private bus company and we had a Bill in there to . . . for the total amount and as the Bill was progressing through the House and the Senate, that private company went out of business, and they formed a Transit District."

Huskey: "Could . . . ah . . . could you spell out the dollars that would



be allotted to Peoria through your Bill?"

Juckett: "Well, I have no idea because they haven't given the school rides yet and they haven't given the elderly rides yet, and we wouldn't be able to know that until they had done their first quarter business and filed. I mean this was . . . this is what Representative Dee was talking about, you can't make it on estimates, you've got to make it on actual rides."

Huskey: "Well, now, I'm very concerned about East St. Louis. We have a problem there with their Transit District overlapping with Missouri, where it is working on a . . . on a joint financial basis. How are we going to work out East St. Louis' Transit District?"

Juckett: "Well, my understanding is that we did not give them the money last Session to continue the operation on the Illinois side because Missouri had voted not to give them the money; but I assume that . . . ah . . . when you're talking about school children, you're not talking about Illinois children going into School Districts in Missouri, so there wouldn't be an affect."

Huskey: "Yeah, but . . . but how can we separate that?"

Juckett: "Well, that would be very simple. They would have their operation of how they collect the school fees and how they collect the school fares, and there aren't any . . . there aren't going to be any school children from Illinois going to Missouri, and, likewise, there won't be any school children coming Missouri to Illinois."

Huskey: "Well, what about the priv . . ."

Juckett: "This covers only the grammer school and high school and not colleges."

Huskey: ". . . well, what about the private schools, Representative Juckett?"

Juckett: "Private schools?"

Huskey: "Yes, private schools."

Juckett: "It would have to be a school that was approved by the Superintendent of Public Instruction, and I doubt whether our inimitable . . . ah . . . Superintendent of the State of Illinois



would ever approve his school in the State of Missouri."

Huskey: "Now, Champaign's a college town with many, many students.

How would your Bill affect Champaign? Is there a Transit District in Champaign?"

Juckett: "It would only affect those school children in the grammar schools and high schools. It would not affect any of those going to the University of Illinois."

Huskey: "And would that same thing apply in Carbondale?"

Juckett: "Yes, if their school children going to grammar school and high school in Carbondale, it would affect them. It would not affect any of the students going to the Univer . . . or Southern Illinois University at the Carbondale campus."

Huskey: "Now, Representative Juckett, is there a transit system in Quincy?"

Juckett: "My lady in blue here in my row could certainly give me the answer and she's saying that there is a Transit District in Quincy. I think they had to do that because they don't have any roads there, and all of the automobiles broke down riding over them, and so they got the heavier duty buses . . . ah . . . where they could use them."

Huskey: "Now, how about in Johnson County?"

Juckett: "Well, in Johnson County, I've been in that county, and let me tell you that there is . . . ah . . . they've got they've got the finest roads in the State of Illinois and in the United States of America. I was going down there to one of the mental institutions to do an inspection and I was interrupted 10 times on a short distance with 10 road crews and I checked with their . . . ah . . . County Chairman, and they're County Chairman was very proud of the fact that they had all those people and if you want any further answers, you could ask C. L. McCormick. He was the County Chairman and he was the one that was very proud of it."

Huskey: "Well, Mr. Juckett, thank you very much for your informative answers."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Dyer. You're



next, Jack. Dyer, Representative Dyer."

Dyer: "Did he say Dyer? Did you say, Representative Dyer? I couldn't hear. It's kind of hard to hear in here. Ah . . . Will the Sponsor yield for . . . ah . . . several questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Dyer: "Representative Juckett . . ."

Juckett: "Yes?"

Dyer: ". . . I think this is an excellent, excellent Bill, and I . . ."

Juckett: "Thank you very much."

Dyer: ". . . I'm concerned about several angles pertaining to both the children and the older people. Let's start first with . . . with safety."

Juckett: "Well, having just left the child class and fast approaching the elderly class, I can sympathize with your safety."

Dyer: "Good. Ah . . . Well, having two grandchildren, I'm concerned naturally about the safety regulations and I wondered if in order to get funds . . ."

Juckett: "Well, I have four 'grand' children, but not grandchildren. Okay."

Dyer: ". . . I'll be glad to show you pictures of my grandchildren, anyone who would like to see them, but I am concerned about the safety, and I'm wondering if you can tie safety regulations in with the matching funds. For instance, some of the state has regulations about safety Bills to be provided. I wonder if . . . if you have provided any for safety belts that should be in these buses?"

Juckett: "No, there's no provisions in that, as I indicated before, Representative McGrew's Bill covers all of the safety features."

Dyer: "All right, now, the next question I wondered about . . . ah . . . I know of your deep interest and concern for handicapped children, and do you tie in under some of the new legislation any . . . ah . . . equipment that must be provided for for children with physical disabilities that might be riding these buses?"

Juckett: "Most of those children are in the special ed' vehicles, which do have the ramps and which do lift them up, either in their wheel



chair or because of the braces that they might have on their legs."

Dyer: "Now, are the . . . are these special education districts included in this legislation? Would this be available to children that go to special education . . ."

Juckett: "Well, special ed' has their own transportation program."

Dyer: "But you could have a reciprocal kind of thing?"

Juckett: "Well, if it was necessary, yes."

Dyer: "If necessary, all right. How about the mentally retarded children . . . ah . . . could you . . . ah . . ."

Juckett: "They would be in the special ed' program."

Dyer: "How about the gifted children? Would . . . would . . . could you work out a reciprocal deal with the O.S.P.I. on . . . on . . ."

Juckett: "It's my understanding they're under the special ed', too."

Dyer: "All right. Now, I'm . . . I'm really satisfied now about the . . . the safety and the different kinds of children. I'd like to ask you another question on a . . . on a different. How about the children in a university laboratory school, would they be included in this? In our university systems, some of them have laboratory schools."

Juckett: "Offhand I don't know, but if that school was approved by the O.S.P.I. and if they were in the category of from kindergarten through high school, yes, they would be eligible if they read . . . if they rode a regularly scheduled route."

Dyer: "Well, now, how about . . . do you have any limitation on basis a week. For instance, could the Seventh Day Adventist's children use these on Sundays?"

Juckett: "Not if the school is not in . . . ah . . . session."

Dyer: "All right, finally, it's an excellent Bill. It really has satisfied all my concerns. Then there's a final one, we . . . we all know that in revenue sharing program, the way it's worked out, townships all have received quite a bit of money. Is there any way that you can tie in that perhaps local townships could dedicate some of their funds, if they have senior citizen programs, and help to play for that aspect of the . . ."

Juckett: "Yes, that would be possible."



Dyer: "That would be possible. Thank you very, very much, Representative Juckett. That's all of my questions."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay . . . ah . . . the Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer. I've got a list here . . . Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House; Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Lauer: "Mr. Sponsor . . ."

Juckett: "If you could keep it as short as possible. I don't know how long . . . much longer I can stand up at the microphone."

Lauer: ". . . Representative Juckett, knowing the strength of your convictions, I would anticipate that you could withstand virtually anything. Representative Juckett, those of us who are downstaters probably have had our situation best exemplified by a matchbook I picked up a while ago, and I'm quoting the only thing that is on the outside cover of the matchbook, it says, 'We still don't know'; and quite frankly those of us from downstate still don't know, although we can quite conceivably vote for an R.T.A. Bill, but I'm in the position . . ."

Juckett: "This is not an R.T.A. Bill."

Lauer: "Which one are we on?"

Speaker Telcser: "We're not on the R.T.A. Bill. It . . . it's a cousin to the R.T.A. Bill. Related?"

Lauer: "This is consultation, is it not?"

Juckett: "Yes."

Lauer: "It is? Okay, there is a particular area that we in the 44th District are interested in because I don't know if you know very much about the 44th District, but we are quite . . ."

Juckett: "I . . . I drive through it every week."

Lauer: ". . . I'm sure you do. In order to come from Cook County and get to Springfield, you would virtually have to come through the 44th District, but while we are known as an area of rich farmlands and as an area of small towns, one of the concerns that bothers all of us is the rural poverty that is not obvious. I would



like to state for you, Mr. Sponsor, the situation of rural poverty as it exists in downstate Illinois. The people who are caught in rural poverty are by and large people who are white, people who are older, and by older, I mean retired. I don't know if you're aware of the agricultural economics of the last 20 years, Mr. Sponsor, but it is a situation that times on the farm in the last 20 years have not been good. In the last two years they've been superb. In fact, I saw a bumper sticker that said if you're going to complain about the farmer don't talk with your mouth full; but it is a case, Mr. Juckett, that for 18 of the last 20 years."

Juckett: "I think you showed me that bumper sticker, but go ahead."

Lauer: "Yes, I think I did. I wanted to recall it to your memory though; but for the last 20 years, 18 of those 20 years, agricultural industry in central Illinois has been returning about 1.8% on the capital investment, and from this situation we find those people who are . . . who are 65 and over who have retired within the last 10 years, the last 15 years and we now find . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait, wait one minute, Representative Lauer, please, one moment please. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman's been talking for 10 minutes about the farm problem and agriculture and we've got a Bill for transporting children and elderly. Now, I know full well that you wouldn't want the people of your Legislative District that so importantly and heavenly depend on C.T.A. to think that you, acting Speaker of this House, killed transit in the State of Illinois. I know you wouldn't want them to think that. So could I ask you to use the good powers of your office to try to move with dispatch on these Bills, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, . . . ah . . . you place in my hands great power, which no one really has. It's impossible to kill something that you have already killed and your side of the aisle has already killed. So I disclaim that innuendo and that they veiled threats and would be happy at any time to discuss



this issue with you and your leader up in Chicago and just see how . . . where the issue really lies. Representative Lauer, proceed, Sir."

Lauer: "If the Gentleman from Cook will give me the forbearance, I thought that perhaps a little background information might be worthwhile because mine is known as a rich district, but rural poverty does exist and most of those who are in rural poverty are elderly. These are people who are beyond 65. The situation is this so . . . when you talk about the transportation of older people, you not only are talking about older people in urban areas, you're talking about people in rural areas. My question to Mr. Juckett, the Sponsor of this Bill, is specifically this, what provision is made for rural downstate Illinois and the transportation of older people who find themselves in the situation of not owning private transportation of having to depend upon other people, of having to impose upon friends or family to even go to such mundane things as going to the grocery store, which may in rural areas be 7, or 8 or 10 miles away. What provision is made in your Bill, Mr. Juckett, for these people?"

Juckett: "Well, first of all, you would have to have a bus company that would be serving that area. If you do not have a bus company, then there can be no reimbursement. If there's no transit company, there could be no reimbursement. After you've determined whether there's a bus company or transit company, then you would have to determine whether it would be a standard metropolitan statistical area as defined by the United States' Bureau of the Census. If it falls within that category, then every three months you would be able to make that application to the Department of Transportation for reimbursement of the reduced fares for the elderly or the school children, in your case the elderly, provided your bus company or your Transit District actually did give reductions in fares for the elderly."

Lauer: "Is there any provision in the Bill or in those specific areas some sort of subsidy or some sort of feed money, whereby, if there is not a . . . ah . . . mass transportation system . . ."



Speaker Telcser: "One minute, Jack. Representative Lechowicz, for what pur . . . did you want . . . ah . . . Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe the Gentleman has exceeded his time. I would hope he'd bring his remarks to a close."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I think . . . I think his point is well taken, Representative Lauer. Can you . . . ah . . . conclude your remarks, Sir?"

Juckett: "In answer to your question that you're getting to, this Bill would not provide any capital funds for the purchase or the enstatement of any kind of a bus company or transit company. It would merely give reimbursement to existing private companies or existing transit districts."

Speaker Telcser: "One more question, Representative Lauer, because your time really is running out."

Lauer: "Well . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker, I believe he's already working on Tim Simms' time."

Lauer: "One final question if I may?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right. Ted, with your sufferance?"

Lauer: "It is a case, Mr. Juckett, those of us in downstate Illinois have not found it necessary in the past to set up these Mass Transit Districts because we have not been faced with the problem of transportation of the rural poor until the last 10 or 15 years. Is there any possible way that under the . . . the aegis of your Bill that a Transporation District could be set up and then could qualify to come under the provisions of your Bill?"

Juckett: "The transit company would not be established under the provisions of the Bill, but once you had established this transit company and it's met the criteria of the Bill, and the company in its operation met all the requirements of the number of rides and the safeguards, et cetera, that are built into the Bill, then they could make the application and then we would be happy as the Sponsor of the Bill to certainly reimburse that kind of a transit company or bus company if they were making the . . . ah . . . the



rides at a reduced rate for the elderly. I would certainly hate to see that the elderly in your area would not be able to get to the grocery store to buy their food."

Lauer: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker; thank you, Mr. Juckett. I have no more questions."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, now that we've spent over an hour in questioning this one Bill, and I think everyone has read this Bill prior to the questioning, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the previous question. Five Members have requested a Roll Call. The Members please be in their seats. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, has moved the previous question. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Now, . . . ah . . . in order for the Gentleman's motion to prevail, it takes two-thirds of those voting on the question . . . is that right? . . . and . . . and two-thirds of those voting on the question or 89. Okay? Is there . . . Ann is here, Ann get off the phone please? 89 or two-thirds?"

Ms. Ann Lousin: "Yes, it's two-thirds . . . two-thirds of those voting on the question."

Speaker Telcser: "Or 89?"

Ms. Ann Lousin: "Two-thirds."

Speaker Telcser: "Two-thirds of those voting on the question, period, Robert's Rules of Orders. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "Can a motion to cut off debate, just a straight motion to cut off debate with 89 votes carry?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, there's nothing to provide for the limiting of debate, Representative Shea. It is . . . it is in essence the same as moving a previous question. This question will take two-thirds of those voting on the question. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, has moved the previous question."



All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I thought that always in the past 89 votes you could do anything in this House; and I'd like to know as far as . . . since we've gone to Robert's Rules of Order, I thought we were operating under the rules of the House, and it was always my intention it was two-thirds of those people voting on the question or 89 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, well, Ted, Rule 66 of our own House rules, which in respect to the question of motion for the previous question, if you refer to Section E of Rule 66 from the House rules, which we adopted for these Special Sessions, it reads, 'Motion for the previous question requires approval of two-thirds of the Members voting on the question', period."

Lechowicz: "I withdraw my motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has . . . Rep . . . Representative Collins, for what purpose do you arise?"

Collins: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, Representative Lechowicz has withdrawn his motion to move the previous question. Representative Collins has now arisen and moves the previous question. Are there five Members who request a Roll Call? There are five Members who request a Roll Call. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, has moved the previous question. This will take two-thirds of those voting on the question. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "Could we try a 'yea' and 'nay' first and see if the . . . the Speakers here would tell us?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, five Members had already raised their hands, Representative Shea, and I think that would preclude even . . . ah . . . an oral Roll Call in which the Speaker's ears could be tested. The Clerk proceed with the Roll Call."



Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet . . . Brummet? . . . Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham . . . How do you vote? . . . D'Arco, Davis . . . 'aye'? . . . Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, the General Assembly of the State of Illinois at this moment has reached a low point in my career as a Member of this House. What's going on right now under the guise of representing the people whom we were elected to represent here in Springfield, is a despicable example of how government can operate. I wouldn't even vote on this foolish issue, and I vote 'present' on this very important matter that we're now voting on."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bob Dunne."

Dunne: "Mr. Speaker, I think Representative Douglas put it very well, and while we're . . . I don't know really what's going on here. I'm not privy to what the Leaders are doing, if you want to call them Leaders; but right behind these two Bills . . . right behind these two Bills are House Bills 2 and 3 that provide very important tax relief for the people of this state; and I don't understand why we can't end this nonsense and get to these Bills . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from . . ."

Dunne: ". . . and I probably vote 'aye', if that . . . if the Resolution will end this nonsense."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Record the . . . record the Member as voting 'aye'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Representative Douglas and Dunne convinced me if I'm in order, I'd like to withdraw my motion."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has withdrawn his motion to move the previous question. Dump that Roll Call. Now, we'll revert back . . . is there any further discussion? If not, Representative Juckett to close the debate."



NOV 15 1973

115.

Juckett: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think the Bill has been thoroughly discussed. I don't know if there are many other questions that could be asked, but just in case that there were, in case that we forgot something, just remember it's for the school kids and for the elderly, it's reimbursement of the companies that are taking 'em at reduced fares; and I urgently solicit your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 1 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Gentleman's asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Hearing no objections, on this question 160 'ayes', no 'nays'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 2."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2, Schlickman, an Act to exempt prescription, non-prescription medicines, drugs, and medical supplies and common household . . . household remedies for human consumption from certain taxes. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. House Bill 2 would eliminate entirely all taxes on prescription and non-prescription medicines, drugs, medical supplies and common household medicinal remedies for human consumption. It's a companion to House Bill 3, which would increase from \$1,000 to \$1,300 the State of Illinois Income Tax exemption. House Bill 2 would provide tax relief in the total amount of \$30,000,000. Now, it's common knowledge that the sales tax in Illinois is regressive and burdens the low income persons the most. Fortunately, the 1970 State Constitution allows us to reduce the regressive nature of the sales tax by exempting reasonable classification. Today, 30 other states have acknowledged the regressive nature of the general sales tax by either exempting entirely or levying a lower rate on drugs and medicines in one form or another. Last spring, Maurice Scott, Executive Vice-President of the Illinois Taxpayer Federation, when considering the various tax relief measures then under consideration rated this Bill, House Bill 2, as the Bill most



deserving of passage. This Bill standing alone and . . . or in conjunction with House Bill 3 is a fiscally responsible approach with three primary advance . . . advantages over other tax relief programs. First, it helps the beleaguered individual non-business taxpayer. Secondly, by reducing the regressive nature of the sales tax, it will aid . . . it will aid those in greatest need, the sick, the elderly and the poor. Additionally, the administrative cost involved was kept to a minimum. This Bill was considered at the spring of this year, it was thoroughly discussed and received an overwhelming vote. I ask for your favorable consideration and the same overwhelming vote that you gave us in the spring. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is . . . is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber. Oh, Harry, can you hang on a second, please? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh. Let me get him first."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was interested in the Gentleman's comments as to the regressivity of the sales tax. Ah . . . He mentioned to us three times that the sales tax is indeed regressive and yet his objection to the Republican program and the reduction of the sales tax if you reduce the sales tax, you must reduce this regressivity. Ah . . . He, however, cannot support that, but contends and says absolutely that it is regressive. I think that he's in contradiction a little bit. I'd like to also point out that this really is a misnomer, this isn't tax relief for the taxpayers. This is tax relief for the pharmacists, and while I like the temporary Speaker very much, and Representative Ryan is a dear friend of mine, there are a lot of saloon keepers I like better, and I think that they and everybody else ought to be put in pretty much the same class. I ask . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "And Representative . . . you got another one here, Bill, be careful, Representative Williams. There's three of us so be careful . . . be careful of . . ."

Walsh, W.: ". . . yeah, I include you in there as a friend also in spite of the way you vote. Now, my friends, the pharmacists, here may



disagree with the . . . with what I have to say and I welcome their comments, but I asked my secretary, Mrs. Morris, to poll as many pharmacists as she could in the LaGrange area, which is where I come from, and also the Arlington Heights area, where the Sponsor of this Bill comes from. She called three from each area and found that not one of the six called added the sales tax to the customers Bill. So what we're doing here is we're permitting the pharmacists not to pay any tax, while we're requiring that everybody else pay tax. You see they don't pass this tax along. They pay it themselves, they absorb it, and again with apologies to my friends, the pharmacists, here, I think perhaps they charge enough so they might do this. So I suggest to you that this is not a good idea. I have the greatest respect for the Sponsor of this Bill, except sometimes. It is not good idea because it does not afford tax relief to the people who are paying it. All it does is let the pharmacists get away without paying sales tax and that's not fair; and I urge you to oppose this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber.-

Well, one minute, Representative Ryan, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Ryan: "Well, yes, I'd just like to set the record straight here with . . . with your help and Jack's help. In the first place, there is no sales tax on prescription medication. It's a service occupational tax, it's not the retail occupational tax, as it . . . the 5% that's normally paid. Consequently, the pharmacists normally absorbs it because it's such a small amount, you're talking about pennies, and it's not collected at the counter, as Bill has said, and . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Talk about pennies, Representative Ryan, when the prescriptions really cost a lot of dollars, right?"

Ryan: ". . . and I talked to the Sponsor of this Bill earlier today. Ah . . . You talk about a phony deal. This is about the phoniest deal that I've seen come through the House yet. Ah . . . As far as tax relief to the people, this is not going to provide any tax relief as far as the people that take medication or use . . . use drugs is concerned; and I think you should all be aware of that.



I think you'd agree with me, Art'. I think Jack would agree with me, and you almost lost my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "My only comment, Mr. Speaker, was that after months and months of dumping all of our lawyers, it's fine to see another occupation getting it."

Speaker Telcser: "Thank you, Harry. Is there any further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman, to close."

Schlickman: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, if we were presently considering the tax relief measure sponsored by the Speaker of this House last spring, I would agree with the remarks of the Majority Leader. You will recall that the Speaker of this House introduced a Bill last spring reducing by .02 cents the sales tax on prescription drugs, but did nothing about non-prescription drugs, did nothing about medical supplies, which are taxes, and which are a substantial part of the things that poor people, the elderly need and use to maintain their health. I said earlier, there's been sufficient consideration, discussion of this matter last spring, I'm sorry, if someone wanted to be . . . if some want to be partisan, want to be dilatory, I again solicit your support."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 2 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait a second, now, did you want to ask for . . . the Gentleman has asked leave for the last unanimous Roll Call. Are there any ob . . . now, wait, there's objections. Objection, objection. The question is, shall House Bill 2 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, having just recently in the last few days participated



as a consumer in this process of purchasing drugs and medicines for my two small children, I don't know perhaps that large firm that I purchased my prescription from hand't got the message; but I paid tax just about three days ago; and I think that this is a good Bill, and I vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, one moment, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to explain my vote, in that I want the Members of this House and the citizens of this state to know that I do, indeed, applaud the Sponsor and the Governor of this state for trying to reach an area of compromise in this most important area of tax relief. I think they are showing . . . ah . . . elements of leadership that are not shown by some of the other political leaders in this state, unfortunately, but I must vote against this Bill on principle and in good conscience because I think that the statements made by Representative Ryan and the Majority Leader of this House are indeed true. This Bill will, indeed, provide no tax relief and that we are talking about a tax now that is a service occupation tax and not an R.O.T. and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis . . . 'aye'? . . . Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, who was that . . . one minute, Representative D'Arco, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

D'Arco: "'Aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative D'Arco voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Ebbesen, Epton . . . Bernie' 'aye'? . . . Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi,



Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker . . . 'aye'? . . . Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jension, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Kosinski, for what purpose do you arise?"

Kosinski: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, before I cast my unenthusiastic 'aye' vote, may I ask a point of parliamentary inquiry?"

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Kosinski: "Ah . . . It is my understanding that the Senate has gone home for the day, and is my understanding correct that this is academic procedure unless we remain here through Saturday . . . through Saturday?"

Speaker Telcser: "Let's see, if we pass it tonight, Sunday."

Kosinski: "Then I . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Assuming . . . the Senate and the House . . . assuming the Senate wish . . ."

Kosinski: ". . . then I reiterate an unenthusiastic 'yes'."

Speaker Telcser: "Unenthusiastic 'yes'."

Clerk Selcke: "Art', how do you vote, how do you vote though?"

Speaker Telcser: "You put a 'u' before the 'y'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber . . . okay, Buddy . . . Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Martin, Matijevich, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, . . . I got you, Leroy . . . McClain, McCourt, McGah, McGrew . . . you don't want on here, Toby? . . . McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murphy, for what purpose do you arise?"

Murphy: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Murphy: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't question the sincerity of the Sponsor of this Bill, but I find it



to be a very discriminatory Bill, anti- some religions because, for instance, the Christian Science Church of God, many religions that do not use medicines will get no benefits from this Bill at all; and on top of that the both . . . the prescription bills, the prescription orders, the tax is hidden in those, and so there's really no benefit to this Bill at all; and I think that this is actually a sham when you say, 'It's saving money for the people, it's reducing the tax for the people'; and I'm very please to vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . all right . . . Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan . . . okay . . . Sangmeister . . . 'aye'? . . . Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims . . . Arthur, a little order, I can't hear . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Could the Members please hold the noise level down? The Clerk cannot hear how you're voting."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone . . . Jack, you want that window blowin' on you? . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, wait a second, a little order. All right, now, we have a little problem . . . little problem. The Gentleman would like the window closed and the Members say they won't close it. Now, let's put out the smoke and we'll close the window."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . I'm getting a sore throat and it's blowing right on me . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "A little bit of a brouhaha here. Well, the Clerk is going to get a sore throat because the window is open and the Members indicate there's too much smoke, now we've got an impasse. Representative . . . now, can we . . . are we done with the Roll Call? Well, the Clerk would like to know if we can open another window so it doesn't blow directly on him, that's his only . . . ah . . . open a widnow about half way up the . . . ah . . . the wall. If the Clerk gets a sore throat, the operation is shut down."



Ivan, Mr. Doorkeeper, would you . . . can you settle this problem. After seven years, we've got something for the Doorkeeper to do. All right, there's a conference going on. Right, the Doorkeeper. Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you arise?"

Wolfe, B.: "A point of parliamentary inquiry, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Wolfe, B.: "Now, Gene Schlickman didn't have to go to all this trouble to illustrate that if we're going to go out and buy drugs and if we wait long enough we're going to get the sales tax knocked off and everyone of us is not only going to need the drugs, will need a hospital bed, will need more nurses over in the sick bay, more doctors, Doctor Bruce, and I think we ought to recognize that Fred, our Clerk, has been doing a terrific job under that circumstance and, Fred, a little sore throat won't hurt you in view of the fact that sales tax is going off drugs."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait, wait, wait, Representative Leinenweber wants to add a comment to the situation."

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, this General Assembly last spring resolved that the windows should be opened. So I think it's a subtle question, and particularly in regards to the compromise that was reached between those who wanted the State Fire Marshalls to . . . ah . . . get along with the no smoking, enforce the no smoking ordinance in here and those who aren't at fault."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you arise?"

Cunningham: "Well, I wanted to publicly say how proud I am to serve in the House with Bruce Douglas, he's got the courage of his convictions, the rest of you scofflaws have that sign on the wall, it says, 'no smoking', that was brought to your attention a long time ago. Douglas and I have repeatedly reminded you that smoking is a foul, dirty and wicked habit, and be very in . . . injurious to your health, and we feel a compassion for you, but our basic concern is for our own well-being, and I suggest that we all . . . we all emulate the example of Bruce Douglas that's standing up for what we know as right. Now, when the rest of you reform, then

NOV 15 1973

123.

I'll join with you to throw Bruce out and close the window, but un . . . but until then I'm standing with Douglas. Pete Fish, you aren't listening to me."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Taylor, Telcser . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Record me as voting 'present', Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, Richard Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Williams, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Williams: "As a registered pharmacist and a Member of this General Assembly, I am proud to cast my 'aye' vote for tax relief for the people of the State of Illinois. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentle . . . record the Gentleman as voting 'aye', Mr. Clerk. Now, Fred, Representative Williams votes 'aye'. Would you continue with the Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: ". . . J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Holloway, for what purpose do you arise? Bob Holloway. How is Representative Bob Holloway recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Holloway, R.: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Bob Holloway as voting 'aye'. Now, here's a few more, Fred, so let's try and get them. Hirschfeld 'aye', Hirschfeld 'aye', Emil Jones 'aye' . . . we'll get you all . . . Caldwell 'aye' . . . you got him, Fred? . . . Caldwell 'aye', Brinkmeier 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Who?"

Speaker Telcser: "Brinkmeier 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "I got you, got you."

Speaker Telcser: "We got you, Ralph. Representative Jenison 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, got him."

Speaker Telcser: "We got you, Representative Jenison."

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, I got you, Romie, I saw you over there."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any other Members who wish to get on this Roll Call or off of it or . . . there are plenty. If anybody wants



to jump on, start jumpin'. On this question there are 143 'ayes', 6 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; this Bill having received the constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. House Bill 3."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 3, an Act to amend Sections 204, 702, 703 of the Illinois Income Tax Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh, R.: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 3 is a very simple Bill. It merely increases the individual exemption under the Illinois Income Tax Act from \$1,000 to \$1,300. The fiscal impact of this Bill would be some \$75,000,000 less revenue in the General Revenue Fund. This Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, would be a very simple of administration. Ah . . . It would provide tax relief to individual Illinois taxpayers, and would amount to \$7.50 per dependents. I believe that . . . ah . . . this is a good approach toward tax relief. I would like to point out to the Membership that . . . ah . . . this Bill is not like any . . . ah . . . a Bill introduced in the spring Session. Ah . . . It's similar to that proposed by the Governor, different in that it would not provide for any refund in the event the taxpayer would not otherwise owe any tax. It provides for tax relief to individual Illinois taxpayers. I urge your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion with respect to House Bill 3? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, first of all, I'd like to say to the Sponsor of this Bill that . . . ah . . . in my commitment to the Speaker in receiving this exalted position, I told him that I could deliver you and things haven't been working too well. Now, to the question of this Bill, Mr. Speaker. The Sponsor of the previous Bill said that in reducing the sales tax, we reduced the most regressive of our state taxes, and I would have to agree with him absolutely and I can't for the life of me understand why he wouldn't, using that thinking and the Sponsor of the Bill using that thinking also, support the Republican program to reduce the

NOV 15 1973

125.

sales tax for real tax relief. I can't understand that; but here we have a Bill which proposes to reduce the most equitable tax that we have. I can't say that it's . . . it is the best Act, but it is conceivable; but it is certainly the most equitable tax that we as taxpayers have in the State of Illinois. It has the ingredients that a good tax, if you can use good in the same context as tax, should have. It taxes those who have the ability to pay. Now, what we do is . . . in increasing the exemption, we reduce . . . we don't give any tax relief whatever to people who don't pay sales tax. To the public aid recipients, we do nothing. To the low income . . . ah . . . people, the low income family, we do nothing because they don't file a tax return. Thus, they get nothing. This Bill proposes to take care of the fat cats. Ah . . . It's better, I think, than the Bills that the Governor has come up with before. Ah . . . I'm surprised that the Governor has come up with this Sponsor and he has done better in the Sponsorship this time, too, I'll admit to that; but I suggest to you that the Bill should not be enacted, and what we should do, is wait and pass Senate Bill 1 in this Session for true, equitable tax relief."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman wish to close the debate?"

Walsh, R.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . just once again I would like to indicate this is a bipartisan Bill . . . ah . . . principle Sponsors are Republican. We welcome all. Fortunately, the Governor has seen the light, sees the merits of this Bill and the prior Bill. I just wish some of the people on this side of the aisle would also see the light. I urge for an affirmative vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 3 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Gentleman has asked leave . . . the Gentleman . . . I know he's going to ask . . . the Gentleman has asked leave for the same Roll Call as on House Bill . . . last unanimous Roll Call, he wants, Richard, House Bill 2 or . . . he's asking leave to have the same

Roll Call as on House Bill 2"

Walsh, R.: "Mr. Speaker, why don't you give the last . . . well, how about the last unanimous Roll Call, except this fellow behind me voting 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Who is that guy? Are there objections to the last . . . last unanimous Roll Call? There are objections. Are there objections to the Roll Call on House Bill 2? Representative Clabaugh, are you objecting, Sir? Okay, record Representative Clabaugh as voting 'no' on this one and since there are no objections to the Roll Call . . . ah . . . House Bill 2 . . . Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you arise?"

Beaupre: "I'd like to explain my vote on this."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, proceed, Sir."

Beaupre: "Contrary to my vote on the last Bill, I would like to vote 'aye' on this one, and I do . . . would like to explain that, in that the Bill that we just passed provided very little or no tax relief at all, and that the incidents of taxation in the last Bill is on the purchase of the ingredient for . . . ah . . . prescription drugs on this . . . was the part of the service occupation tax and will not be passed on to the consumer. This is a legitimate tax Bill, and I would like to explain my vote, have it changed to voting 'aye' on this."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Mr. Clerk, could you record Beaupre as voting 'aye' on this Roll Call? Okay, well, let me announce this Roll Call, declare the Bill passed, and for those of you who want to get on . . . is it okay, Mr. Clerk? Let's announce the Bill passed and anybody who wants to get on . . . okay, on this question there are 146 'ayes' . . . if you want to . . . get J. J. Wolf on, Fred . . . how many 'nays' on that last one? . . . 18 'nays', 2 answering 'present'; and this Bill having received a constitutional majority is, hereby, declared passed. Representative Cunningham, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, it's a matter of a personal privilege. I hope that isn't agression to call attention to the Speaker and to the Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that we are honored this

NOV 15 1973

127.

evening to have the Chancellor of the East . . . Illinois Eastern Junior Colleges, District 529, the 54th District, Chancellor James Spencer and his lovely lady in the left rear, and the Chancellor has asked me to thank you for all the kindness that you've shown to junior colleges of the 54th District. Hold up your hand, Chancellor."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Ewell, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I happened to be perusing through the rule book and somewhere in those rules it says . . . I thought it said that . . . ah . . . only the Leadership would make these announcements, and . . . ah . . . it seems to me that we are indeed sort of transgressing upon the rules just like the 'no smoking' rule; and I think that those who throw brickbats all the time ought not live in glass houses."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hirschfeld wishes to respond to that."

Hirschfeld: "Well, no, Mr. Speaker, but I think that the Gentleman from the junior colleges, certainly a distinguished visitor, and I am wondering whether or not the Clerk of the House would supply Representative Cunningham with a Roll Call vote on that junior college Bill we had the other evening, and I'm sure Representative Cunningham would be happy to present it to the president of that particular junior college."

Clerk Selcke: "The Clerk will be glad to accommodate him."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 4."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill . . . House Bill 4, Deuster, an Act in relation to mass transportation in northeastern Illinois. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster. Is Representative Deuster on the floor? Yeah, there he is, yeah."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I just want to ask Roscoe Cunningham



NOV 15 1973

128.

is he's still backing Jim for the Senate?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster, with respect to House Bill 4."

Deuster: ". . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd like to ask leave of the House to return House Bill 4 to the order of Second Reading just for the purposes of adopting two Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, then House Bill 4 will be sent back to the order of Second Reading. Are there any Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #5, Dyer, amends House Bill 4 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Lady from DuPage . . ."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Dyer had to necessarily be away and asked me to handle this Amendment for her. This simply changes the type of referendum that will be in this Bill. Instead of a county by county referendum, it will be the split referendum so to speak so that the Regional Transit Authority would be . . . ah . . . established . . . ah . . . when a majority of those voting on the question is the City of Chicago and also a majority in the outlying areas . . . ah . . . voted in favor of the adoption. I think that it improves the Bill and it makes for a more reasonable referendum; and I urge the adoption of this Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of #5 . . . it's on Second right now . . . the Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #5 to House Bill 4. All in . . . all in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #6, Deuster, amends House Bill 4 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Amendment #6 simply adjusts the board size back to the way the Bill was last spring . . . ah . . . with a larger board. The Governor has indicated he's interested in a larger board and the 21-Member board would be consist . . . would consist of one appointed by the Governor, that would be the Chairman, the Mayor of Chicago



would appoint 10, the . . . ah . . . suburban Cook County Commissioners would appoint five, and there would be one each from the surrounding counties . . . ah . . . and that would be a total of five.

So that's 10 from the Mayor, five from suburban Cook, five from the surrounding counties, that's 20, plus the Chairman that the Governor appoints, that would be 21; and this just goes back to the original Bill I had. I urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment #6 to House Bill 4. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. The Bill has been read a third time, Representative Deuster."

Clerk Selcke: "Deuster."

Speaker Telcser: "Does the Gentleman wish to . . . ah . . . have this Bill pass? Representative Deuster, with respect to House Bill 4, do you wish to have us vote on it or do you want to leave on Third, Sir?"

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, . . . ah . . . I think that . . . ah . . . all of the Members have come here to Springfield to address themselves to this subject, and although a few Members have suggested that . . . ah . . . the hour is growing a little late, I think that . . . ah . . . it's important enough that we ought to take it up, and I would like to move ahead with the consideration of House Bill 4 at this time. I believe that each Member has been provided . . . Mr. Speaker, with an analysis which compares all of the pending Regional Transportation proposals and if there's anyone that doesn't have it, the Pages have an extra copy, and this . . . it was prepared in order for you to see . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . ah . . . go ahead, Don, I'm sorry."

Deuster: ". . . for you to see on all the points that are in contention very clearly and simply with respect to the basic guarantees, with respect to the board composition, the revenue and the operating structure, just how these Bills compare; and they're all getting closer and closer together, and I think all of us are getting closer



NOV 15 1973

130.

and closer to the point where we'd like to vote for a Regional Transit Authority or vote against one; but at least . . . ah . . . to satisfy the desires of the people that this subject be brought up, and I would like to emphasize these quick points and then respond to any questions any Members might have. The board is fairly composed on the basis of one man-one vote. The revenue produced is \$160,000,000 . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise?"

Shea: "A parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Shea: "Ah . . . Has this Bill been enrolled and engrossed?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk indicates it has not."

Shea: "All right, can it be done and back for a vote tonight?"

Speaker Telcser: "Can it, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "I doubt it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk says he doubts it."

Shea: "All right. No, I've got no questions."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah . . . Proceed, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: ". . . there are . . . Ladies and Gentlemen, there are a couple of points that have never been touched upon in debate before on the subject of Regional Transit that are suggested in a sound line I'd like to bring to your attention. Now, first of all, the Bill you have before you, House Bill 4, is the only one that doesn't give a . . . the R.T.A. an absolute veto power over federal grants in the whole region. So if you've got a Joliet Bus Line or a Waukegan, North Chicago Bus Line, or a DuPage County Mass Transit system, or a Kane County or McHenry County or any kind of a unit government or transit that might qualify for federal aid, this is the only Bill that doesn't give the R.T.A. an absolute veto over federal grants. You ought to think about that. I think this makes this a very attractive vehicle. Secondly, I've . . . mentioned the total revenue produced is \$160,000,000, you'll see that compares with . . . ah . . . \$130 in the Speaker's Bill, \$175 in the Garmisa Bill, and \$165 in the Katz Bill. I



might say there's no money taken out of the Road Fund here. You'll see that on the charts, and . . . ah . . . there is a modest amount from General Revenue, which is less than that in the Governor's Bill; and I think that if you're interested in compromise and interested in sending a vehicle over and interested in a Bill that's fair and that protects everybody, this is it; and I hope that . . . ah . . . you will vote in support of this vehicle so that we can bring this subject to a conclusion and give the Senate something to work on. I think we all know that the Senators feel very strongly about this, and we all know that the Governor does, and I'm confident that there are some defects in this Bill which has been . . . has been developed and polished and amended for 12 . . . 11 months now. I think it's a very good Bill. I'm sure it's not perfect; and if some of you find defects in it, I'm sure they'll be worked over in the Senate or by the Governor through the amendatory veto. I think this is a fair Bill and I offer it to you and I'd be happy to respond to any specific questions anyone might have."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Gibbs."

Gibbs: "Would the Sponsor yield to a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Gibbs: "This calls for \$40,000,000 of seed . . . ah . . . General Revenue, is that correct?"

Deuster: "Yes, in . . . in response to the Gentleman's question, . . . ah . . . I felt that since the Federal Government supports mass transit and we have heavy burdens on the region that it was also a state problem, witness the fact that we're here tonight, and so a companion Bill which is the next step does provide for that \$40,000,000, but it sets up, Representative Gibbs, a state mass transit fund to be administered by the Secretary of the Illinois Department of Transportation for all of Illinois, not just for Chicago, not just for our region, but for all of Illinois. So it does provide \$60,000,000 of which \$40,000,000 would probably go to the R.T.A. and \$20,000,000 downstate to support mass transit systems downstate. Ah . . . That . . ."



Gibbs: "Where . . . where do you have the \$20,000,000 in here for downstate?"

Deuster: "That's . . . that's in the next Bill coming up. House Bill . . ."

Gibbs: "That's not in this Bill?"

Deuster: ". . . right, it's not in this Bill, no, Sir, but . . ."

Gibbs: "Since we are using State General Revenue Funds here . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, will this take 105 votes? Mr. Speaker? 107 votes."

Speaker Telcser: "Have a copy of the Bill, Fred? House Bill 4? Representative Deuster, could you come here for a moment, please? Okay, this will take 107 votes."

Gibbs: "All right, then my last question, Representative Duester, since you're committing State General Revenue Funds to the extent of \$40,000,000, and this Bill, of course, doesn't provide for anything downstate; but assuming that we don't want anything downstate, can you tell me why we should appropriate \$40,000,000 of state funds, for instance, Will County, or one of the other counties outside of Cook? Why should they be treated any differently from Sangamon or Montgomery County?"

Deuster: "Well, they are not being treated any differently. You will notice when we get to House Bill 5 . . . ah . . . which provides for this \$40,000,000 and for the \$20,000,000 to go downstate, House Bill 5 . . . ah . . . earmarks some of the federal revenue sharing money we already have to spread out all over the state. Now, there are, you know, mass transit problems if the need for buses running is not confined to the Chicago region. I think it's something you're interested in Springfield or interested in in Rockford and all of the cities that are growing are going to need buses and so I don't believe that we could do as the Governor did. The Governor's Bill, which you'll see over here, House Bill 12 simply picks \$60,000,000 and throws it to the Chicago region. I didn't think that was fair. So I have in House Bill 5, which will come along, of putting the money in a state bond and to spread it out evenly and fairly over the whole state . . . but I do believe . . ."



Gibbs: "You . . . familiar with the Springfield Mass Transit Authority aren't you? Or do you . . ."

Deuster: ". . . yes, Spring . . . Springfield could qualify for some of the money under House Bill 5 which will follow."

Gibbs: "All right, and you know we don't want any. We're not asking for money . . . money, don't you? Have you been advised to that effect?"

Deuster: "Yes, well, I respect your . . . your feeling . . . ah . . . I do feel this. I do believe that most of the major states in the nation . . . ah . . . do contribute somewhat to mass transit problems in their states. I do believe that the Chicago region must bear . . . must bear the fault and the great burden here, and I have in the financing you will see a regional sales tax, the regional parking tax and the regional gasoline tax, that comes in subsequent Bills. So I think our region ought to stand up and support mass transit; but I do think some modest amount of state funds are legitimate . . . ah . . . and I do believe that there are mass transit needs around the state."

Gibbs: "Thank you, that's all I have."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Farley."

Farley: "Mr. Speaker, at this time I'd like to move the previous question."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the previous question. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'; the 'ayes' have it, the Gentleman's motion prevails. The Chair will recognize Mr. Deuster to close the debate."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think all of us are probably a little weary about R.T.A.; however, I do think that it's important that those who are interested in this subject have an opportunity to vote 'yes' or 'no'; and I think that this is the time . . . well, it's been a time all along for compromise, but I must say very frankly that I think this is the time when we're hopeful that a large number of Members will search their conscience and say . . . ah . . . the Sponsors of this



Bill, Representative Sangmeister and Representative Sangmeister is just a lowly freshman, Representative Williams is another Sponsor, is just a lowly freshman, as I am, and I hope that you will forgive us for being first-term Members and look at the merits of the Bill, which we are proud of and we think is a good Bill, and . . . ah . . . and if you think it has good features and attractive features and you want to send it over to the Senate and you want to get off dead center, this is a beautiful opportunity to do that. This is not the Speaker's Bill, this is not the Governor's Bill, this is not Bill . . . a Bill produced by any big important people, but we think it's a good Bill and we solicit your support. Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "The question is, shall House Bill 4 pass? Those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'; and this will take 107 votes. So, Mr. Clerk, call the Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "This is House Bill 4. Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are several items of this Bill I'm not crazy about, but compared to another Bill that's coming up tonight and comparable to the Katz Bill, I think this Bill is a far better Bill than the one coming between the Katz Bill and this one, and I would . . . I feel we have fair representation, at least we have the counties represented on it; and we do have a referendum provision, which the C.T.A. even had before it was installed; and, therefore, I would like to vote 'yes'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman,



Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway . . . 'aye'? . . . D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller . . . I got him . . . Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber . . . 'aye'? . . . Lenke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mann, to explain his vote."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in my judgment, this is not the best R.T.A. Bill. On the other hand, I think the Gentleman from Lake has labored long and very hard on this R.T.A. issue. I think he is to be commended, I think his efforts have helped advance the dialogue and the cause and the total effort in enacting an R.T.A. Bill. I want to compliment the Gentleman from Lake for his very, very diligent work. He's worked extremely hard on this particular measure, as hard as anyone I know in this General Assembly; and I do want to vote 'aye' for his Bill."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Maragos, Martin, Matijevich . . . 'aye'? . . . McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt . . . what did you say, C. L.? Okay . . . McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian."

Mugalian: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I can't say with certainty whether this is the best Bill or the second best Bill; but I think that it's possible that it's the best Bill that will . . . that will pass constitutional muster. I do not personally favor a referendum. I think that this is a decision that the Legislature must make, and I'm committed to an R.T.A.; but it was just possible that this Bill had a referendum provision, well, for that reason, pass a court test. In any event, as the Sponsor pointed out, there'll be an opportunity for the Governor to consider this and to decide whether or not it can be amended in a way that will satisfy all the requirements of a valid R.T.A.; and I vote 'yes'."



Clerk Selcke: ". . . Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Palmer, to explain his vote."

Palmer: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, here are three freshman that have contributed a great deal . . . ah . . . to a very complex problem that we have in this state, that's complex, transportation is complex anyhow. I think they've done a tremendous amount of work and Mrs. Dyer, of course, contributed a great deal to this. I think we're very fortunate to have this kind of a new Legislator of the Illinois General Assembly. The Bill itself has many of the proposals that I would like to see, and for that reason I'm going to vote 'yes'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Will, Mr. Sangmeister, to explain his vote."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just so the accolades don't go to the wrong people . . . ah . . . Mr. Deuster has, and I think Bob Mann has put it well, has worked harder probably than anybody here to try to put together a Bill that will satisfy everybody and he certainly in my opinion has done that because everything in this Bill that we argued for last night to attempt to put into Mr. Garnisa's Bill is a good Bill; and I personally want to compliment Don for all the hard work he's put in here. It's . . . it's just too bad that our personalities get involved, pride of authorship and everything else and we don't recognize the real talent that this man has brought to this Bill; and those of you who have not looked at the comparison sheet or have not read the Bill . . . ah . . . are certainly missing out on a fine R.T.A. Bill; and I'm very happy to support and Cosponsor with him and vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor,



Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "All right, Mr. Fennessey 'aye'. Record Mr. Peters as 'aye', Mr. Telcser as 'aye', Telcser, Mr. Washburn is 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Is that it?"

Speaker Miller: "Are there . . . are there . . . are there Members desiring to vote? Mr. Terzich 'aye'. Mr. Douglas 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Capparelli 'aye'. Kosinski 'aye'. Mr. Schraeder 'aye'. Now, have all voted who wished? The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, there . . . there will be no requests on my part for a verification, of course, but I would like just a poll of the absentees."

Speaker Miller: "Well, let's . . . ah . . . let's get a Roll Call first here so we know where we are. All right, there are now 46 'ayes', 13 'nays' and 7 voting 'present', 9 voting 'present'. All right, the Gentleman has requested that the absentees be called and he has that right. So, Mr. Clerk, proceed to call the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnell, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Collins, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, Dee, DiPrima, Duff, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fleck, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Hart, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, D. Houlihan, Huskey, Jacobs . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Huskey? Record Mr. Huskey as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Jenison, Kelly, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kozubowski . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I voted 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "Record Mr. Kempiners as 'aye', Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Krause, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Londrigan . . . Mr. Londrigan, record the Gentleman



as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . .Madigan, Maragos, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Tom Miller, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Pappas, Patrick, Philip, Randolph, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Schisler, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Soderstrom, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Wall, W. Walsh, Walters, Washington, Williams, B. B. Wolfe; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Shurtz 'no'. On this question there are 50 'ayes', 14 'nays' and 10 answering 'present'. The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, before making the request that I'm about to make I want to thank all of the Members for your 'aye' votes and I want to remind you of the lady who jumped off the Empire State Building, and as she was passing the 13th floor, somebody heard her say, 'Well, I'm not dead yet'; and I want to say that this vehicle . . . ah . . . is still alive and I'd like to request that it be placed on the order of Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "All right, this . . . ah . . . House Bill 4 will be placed on the order of Postponed Consideration. The next Bill is House Bill 5. The Clerk read it please."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 5, Deuster, an Act to amend Section 5 of an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Ah . . . Yes, I'm inclined to think that . . . ah . . . House Bill 5 and House Bill 6 ought to go along with their mother, and . . . ah . . . they are . . . with their person; and House . . . I would simply say that House Bill 5 is the Bill that would establish the Illinois State Mass Transit Fund, and House Bill 6 is the Bill that would establish a Regional Gasoline Tax and . . . well, I . . . ah . . . I would ask leave for the same Roll Call . . . well, Mr. Speaker, might I just . . . ah . . . well, Mr. Speaker,



I would like to ask that House Bill 5 and House Bill 6 have the same Roll Call as House Bill 4, and they be placed, House Bills 5 and 6, on the order of Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . Mr. Deuster, there was no Roll Call officially on House Bill 4. When it's postponed, the Roll Call is not kept. If it's your desire to have House Bills 5 and 6 placed on Postponed Consideration, you have that right, Sir."

Deuster: "Yes, Sir, that is my request."

Speaker Miller: "All right, House Bills 5 and 6 will also be placed on Postponed Consideration . . ."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 9, Washington, an Act in relation to state programs for long assistance to mass transit carriers in the Counties of Lake, McHenry, Kane, DuPage, Cook and Will. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Harold Washington, is recognized."

Washington: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill . . . thank you, Robert Thompson, I should've . . . I should've listened to you in the beginning . . . House Bill 9 creates an Emergency Public Transportation Loan Act of 1973 to provide loan assistance programs for mass transportation carriers in the six-county northeastern region. It requires the Regional Transportation Authority to repay in full all loans made for operating subsidies by July 1, 1979. It's the second to become . . . becoming a law. Now, there are no funds involved in this at all. It simply sets up the skeleton machinery and provides that machinery in the event that funds are made available for loans through mass transit systems in the six-county region. I think it's a Bill that . . . has some foresight to it. We cannot assume for a moment that we will or will not do anything in terms of operating subsidies for the mass transit systems; but it seems to me that common sense dictates that we at least put the machinery into affect. So in the event we do intend to go this route, we will have it available to us. It's not an innocuous Bill, but it's a Bill which I think will meet the palate of anybody, even those who are opposed



to the subsidies of the C.T.A. It's a necessary Bill, it's a Bill which takes into consideration the fact that at this point we don't know which direction we're going and I would hope that this Bill would pass, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . with a unanimous consent."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, will the Gentleman yield for some questions?"

Speaker Miller: "Yes."

Kempiners: "Harold, is . . . would this . . . is this the . . . ah . . . substantive Bill . . . ah . . . that is the companion to the appropriation Bill we heard in Committee Monday? . . ."

Washington: "That . . . that's correct, and as you know, that Bill went down to defeat on a straight party line vote. There are no funds involved in this Bill at all."

Kempiners: "Okay, can I ask another question? Is there a . . . ah . . . in . . . in the Bill that is on the floor now, is there a proportionate breakdown similar to the breakdown of funds that were in the appropriation Bill? In other words, are you . . . do you say anywhere that 'X' percent goes to C.T.A., 'X' percent goes to con . . . rail commuters and 'X' percent goes to suburban bus lines?"

Washington: "Yeah, the formula is broken down. It . . . it is invited in the Bill, yes."

Kempiners: "Could you give us an idea what that formula is, Harold?"

Washington: "Well, the . . . had House Bill 8 passed, which was the appropriation Bill, there would have been a sum total of \$50,000,000, 46.5 of that would have been available as a maximum which would be loaned to the C.T.A. for the period . . . ah . . . now until July 1, 1974, repayable by July of 1979; and the other \$3,500,000 would have been split between commuter railroad systems in the suburban areas and suburban bus lines. These were all prospective figures. There was nothing mandating that the money had to be used, but it was simply made available in the event that in the



interim period between now and July 1 that these mass transit systems would have operating subsidies. So that was the formula broken down."

Kempiners: "In other words, that formula is perpetuated in this Bill that we're considering here and that C.T.A. would be available or receive such an overwhelming percentage of that money that would be available for loans."

Washington: "Well, not perpetuated, introduced, and not overwhelming, but based on operating deficits as registered by the C.T.A. as over and against the community railroads and the suburban bus lines. Now, don't be frightened by the phrase overwhelming because after all when you compare the C.T.A. to these suburban bus lines in terms of its magnitude, in terms of its income, in terms of the number of people it services, and also in terms of its deficit, obviously, it would be much larger."

Kempiners: "Right, I wasn't as concerned with the suburban . . . I can't remember the breakdown there, I remember it was \$46,000,000 to C.T.A. and the rest to the suburban buses and commuter rail lines."

Washington: "That's correct."

Kempiners: "Ah . . . I felt that probably what would've been available for the commuter bus lines was, you know, probably all right; but I'm more concerned about the commuter lines because I know there's one that serves my district or part of my district that's about ready to go broke; and I'm wondering if that . . . if that . . . ah . . . whatever you would allow it in this Bill would be enough . . . and . . ."

Washington: "Which line are you speaking of?"

Kempiners: ". . . the Rock Island line."

Washington: "The Rock Island would've had \$1,000,000 available to it, \$493,568.67. Now, these figures were put together by the Department of Transportation presumably, and I assume in conjunction with, the operators of these various mass transit lines. They're not just arbitrary figures."

Kempiners: "Okay, then, what you're saying is that the porportion would vary from year to year depending upon the operating deficit?"



Washington: "Well, it's not a year to year thing. It's a sum total, one lump sum of \$50,000,000 pro rated among these various mass transit systems based on their deficits for the years . . . ah . . . for a previous year."

Kempiners: "But doesn't this . . . this Bill that we're talking about now, we're not talking about the appropriations, we're talking about the substantive Bill, is . . . is . . . does . . . is this a continuing thing or is it a one-shot thing?"

Washington: "No, no, it's tied down for a nine-month period. I'm glad you asked the question. It's a one-shot deal covering a nine-month period as an interim between now and July, when presumably this Body in conjunction with the Senate will have passed an R.T.A. system. None of the proposed R.T.A. Bills call for immediate funding. They go into effect July 1'74. Now, obviously if you put a mass transit system into effect with no interim funding, it might well be that these mass transit systems which we're striving to preserve would be bankrupt before the R.T.A. got into effect. So this is a one-shot deal designed to cover that interim period."

Kempiners: "Okay, thank you, Harold."

Speaker Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "All right, this . . . this Act basically just establishes the funds into which it would take an appropriation at some place along the line before there'd be any money that would be able to go out . . . of the fund."

Washington: "That's correct."

Speaker Blair: "Correct?"

Washington: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. Ah . . . Is there any matching . . . ah . . . money required under the substantive provisions of this Bill . . ."

Washington: "No, there are no . . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . amenable for the C.T.A. . . . ah . . . to come up with in order to get money?"

Washington: ". . . no, there are no matching funds provided for."



Speaker Blair: "I see; and . . . ah . . . you characterize it as
a . . . a loan, right?"

Washington: "Correct."

Speaker Blair: "But what happens if there's no R.T.A. established?
Doesn't it become a grant?"

Washington: "Well, as I interpret the Act, unless there is an R.T.A.
system invoked by the General Assembly, then the force and effect
of this particular piece of legislation, assuming the appropriation
Bill passes, would be null and void."

Speaker Blair: "Well, our interpretation of it is that if there's
no R.T.A. . . . ah . . . accomplished through the Assembly, that
the so-called loans would turn into, in fact, grants. Now, if
that were so . . . ah . . . I would take it that at some point,
if this legislation were to pass . . . ah . . . and become involved
in transit back and forth between the two . . . the two Bodies,
that you would be amenable to a . . . ah . . . a matching situation,
such as we had under House Bill 89, when it passed out . . . ah . . ."

Washington: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if you will permit House Bill 8 to
catch up with this Bill, which is 9, and put it on the Third Reading
for passage, I could address myself to that question; but there
are no funds involved in House Bill 9. It's simply the machinery
. . ."

Speaker Blair: ". . . well, it's a substantive Bill, though, and what
I'm concerned about is under 89 . . . ah . . . that was not a
loan. Do you remember House Bill 89?"

Washington: "Yes, I remember."

Speaker Blair: "That . . . that was simply a . . . a grant based upon
a matching situation . . ."

Washington: "Correct."

Speaker Blair: ". . . and the substantive Bill that you have here has
no provision for any match . . . ah . . . in the event that there
was no R.T.A., they would . . . and if there were an appropriation
Bill, it would turn into a . . . a direct grant and I . . . I'm
sure that that's not what you would want to accomplish. You would
want to have some participation on the part of local government in



NOV 15 1973

144.

that event, such as we did in 89. Now, what about the . . . ah . . .
is there any . . . I mean I just . . ."

Washington: "Well, I . . . we don't agree on your interpretation. As
I . . . as I read this House Bill 9, without an appropriation,
House Bill 8, and without an R.T.A., then this Bill is a nullity.
I don't think there's any language which would permit House Bills
9 and 8 to . . . to loan money to the mass transit system, but
unless there were in fact an existing R.T.A. system."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the fact of the matter is that . . . that the
money, the loans are made and . . . ah . . . there . . . for
a period for . . ."

Washington: "Where are you reading, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: ". . . Section 10, page 3."

Washington: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Blair: "And the . . . and the Authority, you see, is given
the power to repay in full all loans made for operating subject
by July 1 of 1979. So I would take it that they could actually
have the use of money until . . . ah . . . until 1979. There would
be that time frame in which an R.T.A. could be established; but
in any event, I just wanted for the . . . for the purposes of a
dialogue to establish this . . . ah . . . as of right now, the
substantive provisions here do not contain any matching provisions
at all, even on a pure loan basis, there's no requirement that
the City of Chicago or the County of Cook to come up with a loan
of so much to the C.T.A., for example."

Washington: "Well, I . . ."

Speaker Blair: "It's just all loan straight from the state."

Washington: ". . . that's correct, I agree with your interpretation;
but let me just say this, that it's unconscionable, I repeat,
unconscionable to even assume that the General Assembly will not
establish a Regional Transit Authority. I think we will and I
think all these people sitting here believe that we will and that's
why we're here."

Speaker Blair: "Well, now, with regard to . . . ah . . . the question
of interest. You characterize this as a loan, right?"



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 15 1973

145.

Washington: "A loan."

Speaker Blair: "Is there any provision for the entity to which the loans are made, to pay any interest to the state?"

Washington: "Non-interest bearing loans."

Speaker Blair: "Just give it . . . just give it to them, they have to pay back the principle?"

Washington: "That's correct. A recognition of the fact that these mass transit systems are vitally important to the economy and the commerce of the state, and the state simply wants to make certain that they don't go down the drain."

Speaker Blair: "Well, it seems to me that wherever we have our state funds invested . . . ah . . . that we in almost all cases have those funds invested where they're yielding interest, and there's no provision in this Bill for any interest to be paid on the loans. It just seems to me that that . . . it would have been appropriate to have had such a provision in it."

Washington: "No, I . . ."

Speaker Blair: "I . . . want it established that there wasn't."

Washington: ". . . well, you did and I don't think that state law, if I may say so, even relevant. We're dealing here with a quasi-public operation; and although it might look like a good bookkeeping thing to provide for interests, in effect, what would be happening would be taking it out of one pocket and putting into another. Why even . . ."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the only . . . the only quasi-public is the C.T.A. You're not suggesting that the private rail carriers . . . ah . . . or the private suburban bus companies . . . ah . . . are quasi-public."

Washington: ". . . no, I'm presupposing . . ."

Speaker Blair: "And you're going to . . . going to loan them money, these entities that are in private enterprise, you're going to loan them state money with no interest?"

Washington: ". . . no, what I'm, in effect, assuming is that the C.T.A. and the suburban buses and commuter railroads will ultimately be merged into the Regional Transit Authority, which is a quasi-



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 15 1973

146.

public operation."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I don't think any of the Bills that we've had contemplate in the initial phasing of three or four of five years that they're going to be anything other than purchase a service contract and they're going to continue as private operations, and I . . . it just doesn't seem to me that we ought to have legislation that is loaning state money to private enterprise with no interest being required; and that's what your substantive Bill would require."

Washington: "But the privacy of that enterprise is only about . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Blair: "In fact, it . . . it's all private right now."

Washington: ". . . nine months old, because we're going to have an R.T.A. before we leave here, are we not, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, the question that I'm getting at is that all of these R.T.A.'s have purchase of service, and I'm quite sure on all the testimony that we have heard that there's not going to be an operational take-over by any of the R.T.A.'s . . . ah . . . of these private carriers; and certainly when you're talking about loaning them money, it would seem to me at least the private carriers . . . ah . . . ought to have to pay interest back on those loans because they have stockholders and . . . and they pay dividends to their stockholders and so forth, and I . . . I think you're conferring a benefit on them at the taxpayers expense, and I think it's unfortunate that you didn't make a provision in here for those private enterprises to pay interest."

Washington: "Well, even if . . . even if interest were invoked and paid, it would ultimately have to be paid by the R.T.A., that's the whole point here. It would have to be paid by them because presumably by that time they would have been merged into a Regional Transit system."

Speaker Blair: "Well, it would happen . . . that won't happen at the earliest for three to five years."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, . . . ah . . . would the distinguished Member yield for a couple of questions?"



NOV 15 1973

147.

Speaker Miller: "Ah . . . He indicates he will. Proceed, Mr. Juckett."

Washington: "Based on . . . based on your track record, I should ask is it going to be a couple or a couple thousand? Yes, I'll yield."

Juckett: "Well, I didn't ask the questions a few hours ago, I was just answering them. I'm a little bit in the dark on this Bill."

Washington: "Take your glasses off."

Juckett: "Still a little bit, anyway, now, is this Bill authorizing loans to the various entities that you have indicated?"

Washington: "That's correct."

Juckett: "Ah . . . Do we have to have a Bill appropriating the money for these loans?"

Washington: "That's correct."

Juckett: "Where is that Bill?"

Washington: "That Bill has been reported out of the Appropriation's Committee 'do not pass' by a vote of 15 to 10, 15 Republicans voting against it and 10 Democrats voting for it. It's lodged on the Speaker's table and I have a motion to take it from the Speaker's table and advance it to Second Reading without . . . without further reference."

Juckett: "Now, if that Bill does not pass, the appropriation Bill, would that then, and this Bill did pass, would that give the authority to or the legal basis to any of these entities to sue the state for said money?"

Washington: "Well, I know you've read the Bill or you wouldn't be asking these questions. Do you find any language here that would be the foundation for such a suit in your opinion?"

Juckett: "Well, if we pass a Bill, which authorizes the loan, and we're declaring that it's in the public interest that these loans be granted and then we don't appropriate the money, what I'm asking you, as the distinguished Member of the Bar, as to whether in your opinion this would give a basis for suit to compel the spending of the funds."

Washington: "In my opinion . . ."

Juckett: "Similarly to when President Nixon impounded funds and the courts rule that the Executive, et cetera, did not have the power



to impound."

Washington: "The distinction, you put your finger on it yourself, President Nixon impounded funds. There have no funds been appropriated here."

Juckett: "Okay, so you . . ."

Washington: "This Body has on many occasions passed enabling laws on the assumption that it would be funded and we found out or could not get them funded . . . the law on the books or no funds have been appropriated to set the machinery in motion and the law simply sits there. I know of no suits at this point and probably in any of those Acts."

Juckett: ". . . so you . . . so in other words, you're saying . . . you're saying that even if we do pass this authorization Bill, if we don't pass the appropriation Bill, then there will be no way for these entities to receive any funds through this authorization Bill?"

Washington: "In my opinion."

Juckett: "Okay, one last question. Do you have the figures there for how much the Chicago and Northwestern Railroads will receive through this program? I think you might realize that you're looking for something that isn't in there. I think you . . ."

Washington: "All right, the first . . ."

Juckett: ". . . I think you'll find that because here is a railroad where the people have . . ."

Washington: ". . . want me to answer your question?"

Juckett: ". . . pay for their equipment . . . pay for the new services . . ."

Washington: ". . . do you want me to answer your question?"

Juckett: ". . . and pay for the hourly service and fine transportation system that that operation is returning a profit and I guess then they're not eligible to have help from the state, is that correct?"

Washington: "I . . . I couldn't have answered any better. They haven't lost any money, they don't need any operating subsidies."

Juckett: "So in other words, for the company that operates well, for people who are willing to pay higher fares than any other rail-



NOV 15 1973

149.

road in the area and higher fares for transportation than any other item, they're going to get the shiv right in their back because they paid their way and we're going to pay for the people who haven't paid their way and I'm surprised at you for putting such a Bill forth."

Washington: "I wished you had made that speech when President Nixon was responsible for giving \$1,000,000,000 to the defunct then state railroad, you didn't raise your voice then."

Juckett: "Well, my understanding is the Pen' State now is the hottest stock in the market."

Washington: "You . . . you . . . your understanding is totally and completely deficient."

Juckett : "No, I think you'll look at the papers and you'll see that the coal mines are coming back and that railroad serves it; but anyway, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that here is another classic example of where people have paid their way, they have paid for the new equipment . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Just a moment, just a moment; for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Mugalian, arise?"

Mugalian: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. I thought we were limited to 10 minutes?"

Juckett: "Right, and I've gone six."

Mugalian: "I'm sorry, I . . . it sounded like 20."

Speaker Miller: "I'm sure the Gentleman is closing. I'm sure the Gentleman is closing."

Juckett: "My questions were short, but the answers are a little bit long. Ah . . . Now, here's another example where a distinguished Member of our Body is trying to help some people, but in so doing he is, in effect, penalizing people who have paid their way, they have paid for new equipment, they have paid for fine services, they have paid for . . ."

Speaker Miller: "For what pur . . . for what purpose . . . just a moment . . ."

Juckett: ". . . and they have paid for railroad . . ."

Speaker Miller: ". . . for what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook,



Mr. Leon, arise?"

Leon: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order. I understand that he is supposed to be asking questions of the Sponsor, not discussing the merits of the Bill. If he wishes to do that, he can do that on explanation of his vote; but I think he should be asking questions of the Sponsor . . ."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman is totally out of order. We can ask questions and we can also speak on the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "Well, just . . . just a moment, yes, that . . . that is correct, Mr. Juckett, because any Member has a right to ask questions and he also can make a statement with respect to the Bill."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As I was saying before our service was interrupted there, this railroad, the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad, is not going to receive one dime; and why? because they have been too stupid to believe in the old-fashion way that when you run a business, you run it at a profit; and by providing great service to their people, they have been able to attract the riders, they've been able to attract them with new equipment, which they have paid for and their riders have paid for with fares, they've been able to attract them because they've had good schedules and because they have serviced the area, and so what do we do? We hit 'em in the head, we hit 'em in the pocket-book, we demolish them almost to say because they have done it the right way; and so here we are, we're doling out \$50,000,000 for the people who haven't done it correctly, who haven't provided the service, haven't provided the safety, haven't provided the riders with what they wanted; and so I would urge all of my colleagues to vote 'no' on this very bad Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from DeKalb, Mr. Ebbesen."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I wondered if the Sponsor would yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Miller: "Yes, you may proceed, Sir."

Ebbesen: "First of all, I would like to make one observation. You know, back in the spring Session, along about February, I tried to amend



with a loan concept the original outright grant, I think, House Bill 89 . . . ah . . . with a loan idea. Now, I've been carrying around modified versions though of the loan concept for about 10 or 11 months, and if you in close observation tell me that this particular Bill, if we look at House Bill 933 in the spring Calendar sponsored by myself, is a dusted off version of my Bill. Now, when I took that in . . . to be in the amount of \$46,200,000 to the . . . through the Committee on Transportation, the distinguished Minority Leader was there and I think if Clarence Neff is here, the Committee Chairman, it was because of his objections that no money should be taken from the General Revenue for loans without the state realizing interest. Now, the interest rate on that Bill as it came out of the Transportation and later went through Appropriation's, that was a Committee Amendment put on there by the distinguished . . . at his suggestion and agreed to by the Committee; and I see that the Committee Chairman here, Representative Neff, nodding his head 'yes'. Now, . . . ah . . . also on my original Bill, 933, the original one, Harold, you were one of the Cosponsors of that . . . ah . . . way back in the spring Session, and what . . . it had an interest rate on it that time. What has prompted the attitude of no interest on state funds that would be loaned out on this basis? What prompted that change in attitude?"

Washington: "I don't recall Cosponsoring your Bill; but if you said I did, I did; but evidently since that time and now I've learned something about when . . . when you should or should not charge interest. Ah . . . I just don't recall the circumstance, Representative Ebbesen; but suffice to say that it would serve no useful purpose to provide interest in this particular piece of legislation because the Regional Transportation Authority would have to pay it back to the state, and the whole purpose here is to fund the R.T.A. through permissive taxation to the point it will be a viable, economic entity. I see no reason to saddle an interest rate upon it. What would the interest rate be? 6%? I don't know."

Ebbesen: "Ah . . . According to the . . ."



Washington: ". . . 6% for nine months, what? A quarter of a million dollars, a lot of money, but what's the point? What's the point in doing it?"

Ebbesen: ". . . well, the point is that . . . ah . . . it's a business transaction, and to me when you start talking about \$50,000,000 interest free, and I almost quote the words of the distinguished Minority Leader, 'under no circumstances should any money be lent if it belongs to the State of Illinois without charging a legitimate interest rate', and that was his suggestion; and if you look at House Bill 933 on the spring Calendar, you will see an interest rate not less than 3½, nor more than 7, at his insistence in the Department of Transportation. Now, we'll go and leave that point, but . . . ah . . . in your Bill right here we talk about the . . . ah . . . proposed creation of a Regional Transit Authority, and I see absolutely no guarantee by local governmental units of these loans. Now, supposing that there is no creation. If this Bill was to pass and . . . and the appropriation went to the Department of Transportation and there is no creation of any . . . Regional Transit Authority whatsoever, is . . . that loan then becomes an outright \$50,000,000 grant?"

Washington: "Well, in the . . . in the first place, this is not an appropriation Bill."

Ebbesen: "I know it's not."

Washington: "This is an enabling Act setting up the structure for prospective monies to be put into that operation to loan. So we don't have the funding Bill here."

Ebbesen: "I know we don't."

Washington: "This . . ."

Ebbesen: "But what it does say, Harold, that in the event that you had, it says right in here, the Secretary of the Department of Transportation 'shall loan'; and he talks about \$46,500,000, now we'll assume in another Bill because we can't combine them that \$6,500,000 is available, and if there's no creation of a Regional Transit Authority, it says 'shall', and that . . . then that becomes an outright grant. If we . . . if we have such a



situation, which is what you're working towards. were working towards originally."

Washington: "Well, that's the same question Representative Juckett asked, and the answer is quite obvious, I don't think, I'm positive that the state nor the Secretary of Transportation would be bound to make loans which he does not have at his disposal, particularly when it's quite obvious that House Bill 9 is bottomed on the proposition that House Bill 8 will pass and provide the funds. That's the same question."

Ebbesen: "Well, that . . . that's not the way I read it, but you've responded three times in the same manner. Ah . . . Also, I'd like to make one other point here, Representative Washington, I notice that in Section 10 . . . ah . . . you talk about the operating . . . subsidizing the operating deficit that it incurred by the eligible public transportation carriers during the period from the 1st of October through the 30th of June and you leave out the quarter between July and October. Is there any particular reason for that? In other words, the . . . the previous grants was sufficient as far as operating expenses? We are to assume that the Chicago Transit Authority, especially so, has enough money to operate through, let's say, the 31st of December of this year?"

Washington: "No, no, it . . . ah . . . yeah, it's through October 1st up until June . . . apart from July 1 of '74."

Ebbesen: "Uh-huh."

Washington: "None of the Regional Transit Authority Bills have an effective date prior to July 1 of '74. So this loan, respective loan, is designed to cover that interim period between October 1 and June 30, 1974."

Ebbesen: "Right, but do we talk about operating deficits of just nine months as opposed to a full . . . full fiscal year; and that was my question? Well, all that I can say is that I am very definitely in favor of the loan concept, but under no circumstances would I vote favorably for loans . . . ah . . . to help the Regional Transit Authority as created by this General Assembly,



NOV 15 1973

154.

the 78th, unless that there were local guarantees by local governmental units to guarantee those loans that they be paid within . . . repaid within a reasonable length of time . . . ah . . . with a reasonable interest of say not less than 3½ nor more than 7%, and . . . ah . . . of course, we've always got to allow for the fact that . . . ah . . . there could possibly be a default on the part of the Transit Authority, and somebody's got to be responsible. I would encourage a 'no' vote."

Speaker Miller: "All right, is there further discussion? The Gentleman from McHenry, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Mr. Speaker, would the Gentleman from Cook yield for two questions I think I have?"

Speaker Miller: "I can't hear you, Mr. Skinner "

Skinner: "I have approximately two questions depending on the answers."

Speaker Miller: "All right, he indicates he'll yield. Proceed, Mr. Skinner."

Skinner: "Am I correct in understanding that the Chicago and Northwestern Railroad is ignored in your plan because it would not have an operating deficit?"

Washington: "Yes, that's correct."

Skinner: "The second question is, after looking at the . . . in the security which is offered under the Garmisa Bill for the loan . . . ah . . . that the banks are supposed to be . . . the banks or whoever ends up buying . . . ah . . . the . . . the bonds and the . . . the anticipated notes on the bonds, it seems to me that you're offering no security whatsoever, and I wonder how you justify that . . . ah . . . do you think that the state is less . . . ah . . . less need . . . less in need of security than are the banks and the bond . . . and the potential bond buyers?"

Washington: "No, security wasn't visualized in this case. It was assumed that the R.T.A. being a creature of the state mandated by the state through the Department of Transportation to repay these loans would do so. It's operating on faith of the state if they . . . if I may say so."



Skinner: "Well, I believe it would be operating on the good faith of the R.T.A., which I'm not sure has any good faith right now, except it doesn't exist."

Washington: "Do you want an answer to that?"

Skinner: "Well, I . . . I'm just . . . you seem to think there's no security necessary, right?"

Washington: "That's what I said, right."

Skinner: "Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, seeing no further discussion, Mr. Washington desire to close the debate."

Washington: "Ah . . . Just two very brief points in closing, . . . ah . . . the question was raised by, I think it was, Representative Juckett, he seems to think that the commuter railroads were given a short shiv in this Bill. Well, I say this to Representative Juckett, I will gladly exclude commuter railroads from this and from the Regional Transit Authority Bill if he so desires. We can just take the railroads out, if you please. Insofar as interest is concerned, I would suggest that if you sincerely want or interested in this Bill and want to see it passed and want the funds available for the interim period we discussed, I would suggest you pass this Bill out and I will have the Senate Sponsor add an Amendment providing for interest. I think this is a necessary machinery that we have to have if we sincerely and honestly are concerned about a Regional Transit Authority. Now, all of these mass transit systems, which I have enumerated, are operating at a deficit. The \$50,000,000 would cover that deficit between now and July 1 of 1974. If that wins you pass an R.T.A. Bill, which would be effective July 1, 1974, you must take into consideration that, in effect, that unless you give subsidies to these mass transit systems, they might well be bankrupt before that period. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I ask for your support in the passage of House Bill 9; and I might ask, Mr. Speaker, if I may for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall House Bill . . . all right, the Chair will recognize the Gentleman . . . the Gentleman



from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "I'm sorry, was it established . . . ah . . . what . . . when this Bill becomes effective? It . . . when it becomes a law?"

Speaker Miller: "There's been no request for a ruling, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, well, then I'm . . . if this Bill only receives 89 votes, it would not become effective until July 1 of next year, is that correct?"

Speaker Miller: "The Bill . . . that is correct."

Speaker Blair: "Thank you."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, . . . ah . . . Mr. Hill . . ."

Hill: "I'd like to ask . . ."

Speaker Miller: ". . . the Gentleman from Kane."

Hill: ". . . Representative Washington a question if possible."

Speaker Miller: "Well, he already closed the debate, Mr. Hill, it's a little unusual, but . . ."

Hill: "I think the whole evening has been unusual. In fact, I think the last five weeks have been unusual. So one little question isn't going to hurt it or help it as far as I'm concerned."

Speaker Miller: ". . . well, proceed, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Miller: "I can stay here all night."

Hill: "I stayed here all last night, I stayed here all the night before, and I can stay here tonight, too."

Speaker Miller: "So did I. So did I, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "And I think you're taking the wrong attitude."

Speaker Miller: "That's not the wrong attitude, I'm stating a fact, Sir, proceed."

Hill: "Representative Washington, did I hear you correctly saying that you would be for an Amendment to take out the railroads in the R.T.A.? Did I hear that correctly?"

Washington: "Yes, I'm just one man, and I would do that only if the House and the General Assembly agree that it should be done; but I think it would assuage the fears of our distinguished Member from . . . where are you from, Juckett, Representative Juckett? . . ."



wherever he's from . . . it would assuage his fears, and I did that simply to give him a little solace."

Hill: "Well, it's certainly a solace to me. Could you get Representative Shea to agree with you on that . . . ah . . . statement?"

Washington: "Jack, you've been here as long as I have, and I think you've got as much clout if not more with the distinguished Min . . . Assistant Minority Leader from Riverside."

Hill: "Well, if I had enough, I could kill R.T.A. as it exists; but I'd like to say this, that as far as I'm concerned an R.T.A. is nothing but a bonanza for the railroads in our area which includes Kane County. Now, you people should not be trying to fool yourself, and you downstate people who are sitting there with smiles on your face figuring that you're doing Chicago a favor by voting for R.T.A., I'd like to point out to you that it's going to come back to you. That may be next Session, they're going to leave us one-third out of these agreements, and they're going to get another third to agree with them in order to stick it to central Illinois and then maybe later on far south Illinois; and I think you'd better think what you're doing this evening."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the question is, shall House Bill 9 pass? All those in favor will vote 'aye' and those opposed 'nay'; call the Roll, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli . . . 'aye' . . . Beatty 'aye', okay . . . Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Fleck . . . 'aye'? . . . Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, Robert Holloway . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Record Mr. Brummet as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey



..."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Huskey to explain his vote."

Huskey: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as I study this Bill, I see it's a bond issue for \$50,000,000, \$50,000,000, and my . . . and the outlying of Cook County and the country towns which I represent are . . . have over 300,000, more than the City of Chicago, which will receive 1%, 1%, it will receive \$500,000 out of \$50,000,000, receive \$500,000 out of \$50,000,000, 1%, that we share better than 50% of the responsibility of this bond issue. So, therefore, Mr. Speaker, I am forced in all responsibility to vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller . . ."

Speaker Miller: "Mr. Clerk, please record Mr. Flinn as 'aye' and Mr. Alsup as 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Kempiners . . . all right, I got him . . ."

Speaker Miller: "As a suggestion, let's let the Clerk complete the Roll. It'll . . . it'll help things tremendously, and then I'll - pick up any of those that want to vote."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy . . . Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGAh, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik . . . where the hell is he? Okay, I see . . . Sharp, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom . . . okay . . . Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Williams, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Yourell; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Miller: "All right, Mr. Clerk, there's several indicated that



NOV 15 1973

159.

they want to be recorded. Mr. Deavers, is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman 'no'. Mr. McMaster."

Clerk Selcke: "He's recorded as voting 'no'."

Speaker Miller: "All right. Mr. Gibbs, is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is not recorded."

Speaker Miller: "Record the Gentleman as 'no'. All right, over on this side. Mr. Calvo, is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The . . . ah . . . Gentleman is recorded as not voting. 'Aye'?"

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Is Mr. Jacobs recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Farley."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Mr. Caldwell."

Clerk Selcke: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. Dave Houlihan, Dan Houlihan, isn't it? Record him 'aye'. All right, Mr. Lauer, Mr. Lauer."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'no'. Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'no'. All right, now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Kennedy."

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Miller: "All right. Now, have all voted who wished? Mr. Washington, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to poll the absentees."

Speaker Miller: "Well, first, Mr. Washington, let's get a . . . a count here to start with. Mr. Ralph Dunn, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'no'. Ladies and Gentlemen, the count now is 85 'ayes', 47 'nays' and 1 answering 'present'. Now, the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Schraeder, how is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Speaker Miller: "Vote the Gentleman 'aye'. All right, Mr. Harold



Washington has requested that the absentees be called. He has that right, so proceed, Mr. Clerk, to call the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley . . ."

Speaker Miller: "For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washington, arise?"

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to put House Bill 9 on Postponed Consideration."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has requested leave to post Bill, House Bill 9, on Postponed Consideration. He has that right. So House Bill 9 will be placed upon Postponed Consideration. All right, Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 10."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 10, an Act to aid and assist state highway systems, facilities within the State of Illinois by authorizing the issuance of sale of bonds in the State of Illinois and so forth. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Miller: "The noise level, Ladies and Gentlemen, is getting quite high in the last few minutes. In fairness to this Gentleman and the business of the House, please keep the noise level down. Proceed, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, House Bill 11 is a companion Bill. I would like to have it read and heard together if I may?"

Speaker Miller: "Does the Gentleman have leave to consider this Bill as a companion Bill? Hearing no objection, Mr. Clerk, read House Bill 11."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 11, an Act to amend an Act in relation to state finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone, with respect to House Bills 10 and 11."

Stone: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen. House Bill 10 creates the Downstate Highways' Bond Act, and it authorizes \$450,000,000 bond issue with proceeds to be used for the improvement of downstate highways for grade separation structures and bridges,



and it provides for the repayment of the bond issue. It would be spent \$45,000,000 a year on the items I just mentioned. House Bill 11 amends the State Finance Act to add the downstate highways bond fund to the Act. Now, if you have any questions, I'll be very pleased to try and answer them. The . . . fundamentally, the only . . . the only thing the . . . the Bill does, in effect, is to authorize the \$450,000,000 bond issue to be used on the . . . ah . . . highways of downstate Illinois. I think even if we had this, we could . . . could . . . this extra money to be used on downstate highways, we could give Roscoe Cunningham a little and possibly build a few highways down there so he wouldn't have to wade the mud to get to the . . . ah . . . one highway that does go through his county so that he could come to Springfield for these Sessions. Yes . . ."

Speaker Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Lawrence, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Stone: "Yes, Sir."

Speaker Miller: "Proceed, Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Now, Paul, you and I are honest to God downstaters, you come from Moultrie and I come from Lawrence. So when we hear people from Cook County talk about downstate and referring to Will, and DuPage, and Kane and Lake, why we think that's nonsense, don't we?"

Stone: "That's right, they're not downstaters, they're interlopers."

Cunningham: "Now, right."

Stone: "Right."

Cunningham: "Now, doesn't it strike you as a terrible irony that this bond issue, get out of the way, Murphy, that this bond issue would be described as a downstate road bond building issue?"

Stone: "Well, not exactly because they have mud up in those . . . ah . . . counties adjoining Cook County, and they do need some . . . some more roads so that they can . . . can get out to come down to beautiful southern Illinois and enjoy the scenery that we enjoy every day, Roscoe."

Cunningham: "But I wanted to mention some of the arithmetic that is



been used for the downstate road construction, but instead it was given to Chicago under this formula. Now, have you followed my arithmetic, Paul?"

Stone: "Mr. Cunningham, I have attempted to follow your arithmetic, but I have . . . I do not arrive at the same conclusion you do, and I'm reminded of the old story that my mother used to tell me about figures never lie, but I can't for the life of me remember the rest of that . . . ah . . . story she used it."

Cunningham: "That isn't the only thing you've forgotten, Paul. Now, I want you to . . . I want you to look at . . . at Section . . . Section 10, have you got your Bill before you?, line 20 of House Bill 10. Now, you will find there that when this Bill is . . . or this bond issue is refunded, do you find the line 20? . . ."

Stone: "Yes, Sir. I can read, I . . . ah . . ."

Cunningham: ". . . you will find there when this is refunded that there are certain Sections that are made applicable."

Stone: ". . . Yes, 3, 4, 5, 6 . . . ah . . . 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9 of this Act."

Cunningham: "Why would you guess that Section 2 wasn't made applicable?"

Stone: "Do you want the truth?"

Cunningham: "Yes, I want the . . ."

Stone: "I have no idea."

Cunningham: ". . . you look at Section 2 there just for a minute, and I'll give you a clue as to why it isn't that way. Have you got Section 2?"

Stone: "Yes, Sir, that's on page 1, Roscoe."

Cunningham: "And Section 2 . . . don't interrupt . . ."

Stone: "Roscoe, I don't have to necessarily believe this explanation, do I?"

Cunningham: ". . . on Section 2, line 16, there's a provision that you note in 'counties having less than 1,000,000 inhabitants'."

Stone: "Yeah."

Cunningham: "Now, the purpose of that is to lure such downstaters as . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One moment, Representative Hunsicker, for what



NOV 15 1970

162.

the basis upon which the critics have described this as a rip-off for Cook County. We start with the basic premise that . . . that the \$45,000,000 that is spoken of in all of the presentations is based on the 1972 license registration, you agree with that part so far, do you not?"

Stone: "Well, this has nothing to do with license registration. This is a bond issue that those . . . those fellows that do live up in that area help pay, and I understand that there's about 70% of the population up there, so I figure, Roscoe, that they'll pay for 70% of these roads that we're going to get downstate."

Cunningham: "Nay, not so, they're . . . the interest . . . the connection is that this bond issue repays the money that's taken from the Road Fund, we're agreed on that, are we not?"

Stone: "Well, no, the . . . ah . . . this repays the . . . the bonds that are issued, that's where we get the \$45,000,000."

Cunningham: "But the point I want to make is, from the Road Fund during the next 10 years, this administration proposes to take a total of \$584,000,000, and that \$584,000,000 is computed in this matter. Is anyone listening besides you and I? Now, that's . . ."

Stone: "They're all going to vote for the Bill anyway, Roscoe, so . . ."

Cunningham: ". . . no, they're not, and Harold Katz is listening so all of you be quiet so Harold Katz can hear. Now, the \$584,000,000 that I mentioned is computed in this manner. We start, one, with the premise that one-half of the license fees at the present time equals \$45,000,000; but we find when we examine the Secretary of State's registration records that 3.4% each year is added to the amount of money from registration, and it follows that in 1975 registration, which would be the first year under the program, the amount would be \$50,000,000; and each year that amount is increased by \$3.4 million until it finally ends up 10 years hence at \$64.6 million; and if you compute this out, you will find that all the people of the State of Illinois have lost in 10 years \$584,000,000 from the Road Building Fund. Now, what did they get in return? They got in return \$450,000,000; and the difference is \$134,000,000. Does it follow as a matter of fact that that \$134,000,000 could've



purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to have a little more quiet because this is really an interesting dialogue, and I'd like to get the full benefit of it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Proceed, Representative Cunningham, with Section 2."

Cunningham: ". . . I'm in Section 2, line 16, there's a reference there to 'counties of less than 1,000,000'. Now, do you know why that's in there, Paul?"

Stone: "Yes, that's so that other county up there, whatever they call it, doesn't get any of this money, and so that we people downstate, which includes you and me, are assured that we are going to get something out of this package, and that's why House Bills 10 and 11 was introduced to assure that we will get something if . . . if we can pass these Bills and if our . . . this R.T.A. package goes through, that's why it's in there."

Cunningham: "Precisely, Paul, but the plot thickens when you com . . . when you compare their line . . . where do you see that these people have drawn this in a certain way when they . . . when they redraw the bonds, then they can spend the money in Cook County. They have played you and I for suckers. If they hadn't . . . if they'd have put in Section 2 in line 20, then the bar against using it in Cook County would have been perpetuated. It would've been kept enforceable for your benefit and mine and our constituencies. Do you see the point that I made, Sir?"

Stone: "I see the point, Roscoe, but it is not a valid point and I'm sure that you know it is not a valid point, and I . . . I don't think you should . . . should stand here and try to confuse the issue . . . ah . . . where's John Hirschfeld, maybe he could help me, this is the same type of thing we had a while ago and I don't think you should try to confuse this, Roscoe, because you're . . . apparently you're confused and I wouldn't want you to confuse the rest of us with your confusion."

Cunningham: "Lawyers that can read like you and I don't need Hirschfeld to confuse us . . ."



Stone: "Well, I . . ."

Cunningham: ". . . I want to point out there that if the people that drew this bond wanted it to protect downstate, and I hope that the downstate Representatives are listening to this, if they wanted to protect downstate against the money going to Cook County in total when it is refunded maybe only . . . maybe it'll only be 18 months hence, they would've provided in line 20, in Section 10, that the provisions of Section 2 were applicable. Now, you have . . . you have watched this, Paul, went through this step by step and he acknowledged that the bar was in there to keep it from using it in Cook County; but by some miraculous process they decided they wouldn't put it in when on the refunding. I charge that that wasn't an accident. Now, Paul, in reality can you . . . must you conclude that this is no more a downstate, are you listening to me, Paul?, this is no more a . . . this is no more for the benefit of downstate highways than you or I is the 'dolly' llama of Tibet or maybe I . . ."

Stone: "Well, Roscoe, you speak for yourself on who's whatever it is of Tibet."

Cunningham: ". . . or maybe I should say the 'daley' llama of Illinois. I mean, seriously, Mr. Speaker, I've taken too much of your time. You've been very kind . . ."

Stone: "I'll agree with that, Roscoe."

Cunningham: ". . . I would like your indulgence to comment just a few minutes."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, proceed, Sir, it's been most . . ."

Cunningham: "I'm very anxious that you not conclude that I have a one-track mentality, were it not for the desperation of the need of my constituency and of Paul's constituency for freeway construction, I would not impose upon you in this manner; but in truth and in accuracy, this is a fraud upon the people of the State of Illinois. This particular bond issue, we've gone through the arithmetic. Mike, be quiet. My great and good friend has been very kind and considerate and I . . . my question, and if I've been in any way obtrusive, why I apologize; but the fact remains that arithmetic is



NOV 15 1973

166.

arithmetic; I have shown to each of you that over a 10 year period the amount of money that's ripped-off, if I may use a well-worn phrase, off of the Road Funds of all the people of the State of Illinois is \$584,000,000. The net loss to each of us who is proud to be downstater is \$134,000,000. We downstaters would be far better off if we would just pension, subsidize R.T.A. in Chicago for \$45,000,000 a year the rest of our lives. Let us not be misled with this nonsense that somehow somewhere the hat is . . . the trick is just out of the hat and this is going to build us these freeways, it's going to get us out of the mud. It isn't going to do it. If you believe that a fact is a stubborn thing, if you believe in the persuasive power of reason, if you are your own man, if you are here to represent your constituency and nobody else, I charge each of you with the solemn responsibility of voting 'no' on these very bad Bills. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Representative Londrigan. Representative Stone, you'll have the opportunity to close, but - one second, Representative Stone, do you seek recognition, Sir?"

Stone: "Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker, and . . . and I want to apologize for the rest of the peop . . . to the rest of the people of the House who are going to have to go through this all again because Representative Cunningham's wife and her friends are in the lunch-room having a cup of coffee."

Cunningham: "I'm ready if the rules will permit me to repeat."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, let's get back to Representative Londrigan, who has the floor. Representative Lauer, for one moment, for what purpose do you arise?"

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, it's good that you put it to a case of what moment; but I beg to plead with . . . ah . . . Representative Stone that the good Lady from Lawrence is in attendance in the Chamber."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Londrigan has the floor."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as a Democratic downstate Member of the Transportation Committee, as you



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

well know, I have consistently, vigorously and vehemently oppose all of the give-a-way programs of the R.T.A. to Chicago, whether they be \$60,000,000, \$15,000,000 or what have you. There are . . . our rate, as I took it, on the State Treasurer to give out of the General Revenue Fund fund to Chicago alone. Now, here in this package we finally have something for the downstate highway systems, \$45,000,000 a year for 10 years. I think this is a fair share to the downstate area. It is fair to every area of the state. This is the best possible package we're going to receive from downstate. It's certainly the best that has been presented so far. Because I believe it is fair to all areas of the state, I have agreed to Cosponsor this C.T.A. package after being against it previously. I suggest to you that we have a very serious problem. We will solve it with this package by giving the R.T.A. in Chicago and additional money for roads downstate, and I encourage you to support it."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Further discussion? if not, the Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I would like to indulge the . . . ah . . . Body for just a very brief, few moments, and I . . . I would like to point out what I think are these relative . . . rele . . . relevent and significant facts. Ah . . . I think that 10 and 11 were put in in that order and 12 following for a very obvious reason, and that reason is that the administration really wanted to make the downstate Members on that side of the aisle feel that they were getting something out of this before they bring them up to House Bill 12, which has the following funding, \$45,000,000 out of the State Road Fund is in House Bill 12, \$45,000,000 a year going in transit, \$45,000,000 coming from highway users in this state, \$60,000,000 out of . . . will be coming out of the General Revenue Fund, if that Bill passes, and the balance would be coming from . . . out of related fees in the six-county area with no ceiling on them, motor fuel and wheel taxes and so forth. Now, I take those of you who have been down here a number of terms back, let's say, four or six years ago, and



NOV 15 1973

168.

you recall as a matter of fact, Bill Redmond was over here visiting with me and made the comment that . . . that this particular proposal was almost as exciting as the time that I had the Illinois Highway Trust Authority Bills, where we were going to lease all the roads in the state, don't you recall, for the highway trust authority and appropriate the \$2,000,000 worth of money . . . of bonds to be issued to pay for some 1,900 miles of supplemental freeways all over the state. Well, that was a good effort we got the legislation through, but the Supreme Court found it unconstitutional; but the point I want to make about that is simply this, that during that period of time and following up on it when that was found unconstitutional and we pass the \$900,000,000 transportation bond issue \$600,000,000 as the first phase of our supplemental freeway program, we increased the motor fuel taxes by .025 cents a gallon. I was a Chief . . . happened to be a Chief Sponsor of those tax increase Bills at that time, and we also increased rather substantially the . . . ah . . . license plate fees . . . ah . . . for automobiles and the license fees - for trucks; and these were rather large increases really, on the trucks especially, and we held out at that time to the people as a justification for those tax increases that we were going to use that money to fund . . . ah . . . in the area of \$2,000,000,000 worth of bonds to be used to build 1,600 miles of supplemental freeways downstate and an additional 300 miles in the Cook County area. Now, what we have going on here while it appears that this program is \$45,000,000 for downstate roads, it's nothing more than what we held out that downstate was going to get anyway, and what downstate is entitled to under the bond issues that were coming along. We authorized the first phase of those bond issues with the \$600,000,000, and we said at that time to the Department of Transportation, 'We want to see how well you do on the supplemental freeway system', we in the Assembly want to find out, and then you'll come back say in 1975 and 1976 and we'll . . . you'll say to us, 'Here's how well we have done', and we would then say, 'All right, we will authorize



NOV 15 1973

169.

you at that time another bond issue in the area of \$500,000,000 or so'. That money though to be spent for highways, for highways. Now, what they're doing in essence is taking \$45,000,000 a year out of the first phase \$600,000,000 that were issued, taking that out, putting it into the R.T.A. and then issuing the second phase bond program for \$450,000,000, but not for the additional construction that was planned to come from that, but to tell you that's replacing the \$45,000,000 that comes out of the addi . . . first \$600,000,000. Now, there just isn't anything in this package for downstate other than what downstate was entitled to all along and has been paying the taxes for all along. Any way you want to cut it you're using highway users fees that we increased substantially down here for \$200 . . . for \$2,000,000,000 worth of bonds, you're using those fees to pay for \$45,000,000 worth of road fund money that is going to go into mass transit in the six-county area in northeastern Illinois. Now, I don't think that's right, and I suggest to you that the reason they put this program together that way was so they could attract downstate votes for 12, that's why 10 and 11 are up first right now; and they say to you, 'Here's something for you'. Well, what they're giving you is what you're entitled to right now anyway. They're giving you nothing more than what your people have been paying taxes . . . been paying taxes for all along. I think that's too bad. Ah . . . I think that you're being led down the primrose path with regards to that. Any new bond issue certainly should go for highways, but they shouldn't in the meantime be taking \$45,000,000 a year out of money that rightfully belong on the roads and not in mass transit, and that's why we opposed that concept in this situation. In addition to that, they're going to be taking away from you for the state road system \$29,500,000 a year out of the State Road Fund and transferring it back to local . . . the county highways and local streets and townships, that's going to be shorting . . . ah . . . the downstate road system once again by \$29,500,000, and that is bad because the overwhelming need that was testified to by the representative of



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 15 1973

170.

the County Association of Highway Superintendents in the hearings we had on these Bills was to the fact that they know that the needs on the state highway system are not being met today with the monies that are in that fund. So it's just too bad, you're going to . . . you're going to see \$80,000,000 go out of the State Road Fund, they're going to replace \$45,000,000 of that with . . . ah . . . another bond issue and that should be additional money coming in and \$29,500,000 is going right down the funnel into local roads. So downstate roads are being shortchanged drastically and that's why this isn't . . . this is nothing other than as I have described it and I would not be in support of this legislation."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone, to close."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I, first of all and before the . . . the Speaker gets out of my earshot, I want to make one statement. He is entirely mistaken about the order in which House Bills 10, 11 and 12 are entered. Now, I want to explain to the House exactly what happened and to the Speaker, so that there's no misunderstanding about this. I was asked if I would handle the . . . the two downstate Bills. I said I would . . . would if they did what I thought that I understood they would do. Now, I took those Bills and I had them at my so-called desk here for three or four hours. I studied them over and eventually took them down and handed them to the Clerk. At that time, I did not know who was . . . who was sponsoring what is now House Bill 12 and as far as I know he did not know who was sponsoring what is now House Bills 10 and 11; and I just want to assure you, Mr. Speaker and the rest of the Members of this House that as far as I know it was accidental that these Bills were . . . were numbered in this order. I did hold these Bills for at least three or four hours before I took them to the Clerk. Now, I don't . . . didn't know until much later that Mr. Garmisa was the Sponsor of the Bill that ended up being House Bill 10. Now, in reference to the . . . the point that . . . I think the point that Mr. Cunningham was . . . was trying to make,



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

he's entirely wrong in . . . in his assumption that Section 2 was left out of . . . ah . . . Section 10 for a . . . any reason than an honorable reason. I've carefully checked it over and in my judgment the conclusion he came to is entirely wrong. Now, he is correct about his . . . ah . . . statement and his question that he asked, and . . . in reference to the . . . the fact that we would have to pay back \$580,000,000, instead of \$450,000,000. This is . . . is entirely true, but this is just the way things build up. The downstate counties would only pay their porportionate share of this increase. Now, the Speaker of the House made quite a point of the fact that . . . that in his judgment downstate was being shortchanged because they were only getting what they were entitled to. Now, even Roscoe Cunningham will agree with me that there isn't a downstate county or a person in any of the downstate counties that ever want more than they're entitled to. We feel that we should have only what we're entitled to, and the Speaker referred to the fact that the downstate counties were going getting what they're entitled to. Now, this is all we ever intend to ask is what we are . . . ask for what we're entitled to. I believe that I . . . that we are not leaving the downstate Members down a primrose path. I would not purposely do that ever, any more than I would purposely lead the Gentleman from . . . the Gentleman from the county up north down the primrose path. The purpose of me being here is not to lead any of you down any primrose path, but only to present to you what I believe is good legislation for the whole of the State of Illinois and especially for those of us downstate. Now, mention was made of the \$29,500,000 that is going to be distributed to the downstate counties of the State of Illinois and the statement was made that this money would be taken away from downstate roads. I can't fathom how any downstate county would spend any monies from the State of Illinois other than on downstate roads within that county. They are getting that money to spend locally in any way that they think it should be spent for the best welfare of the people of their district. Now, reference was made to the \$15 license fee and that fact that



. . . and the statement was made that down . . . that the downstate counties were being led down the primrose path in reference to the \$15 license fee. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me that if 70% of the license fees of the State of Illinois are sold in the R.T.A. counties that they are at least paying 70% of the cost or paying back 70% of the loss to the State of Illinois. So I can't see how we are being in any way misled in this area. I believe that this is a good Bill. I think that these are two good Bills and that they should pass, and I respectfully solicit your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bills . . . are we taking both of these on one Roll Call, Mr. Clerk? . . . the question is, shall House Bills 10 and 11 pass? All those in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; it'll take 107 votes. It's a bonding Act. 107 votes. All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. I assume though that a Roll Call will be requested. Proceed with the Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Barry, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet . . . Brummet 'aye'? . . . Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn . . . 'no'? . . . R. L. Dunne, Dyer . . . 'no' . . . Ebbesen . . . 'no'? Ebbesen 'no' . . . Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis . . . no fair changing . . . Getty, Gibbs, Giglio . . . can't hear you, no? . . . Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite . . . 'no'? . . . Hart, Hill . . . Jack, no . . . Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway . . . Is he there? I guess he's not there . . . Holloway, James, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan . . . is that the same guy? They're both there? . . . Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz . . . 'aye' . . . Keller, Kelly, Kempiners . . . 'no' . . .



Kennedy . . . Leland is voting 'aye' . . . Kent, Klosak . . .
 Klosak 'no' . . . Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman,
 Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber,
 Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann,
 Maragos, Martin . . . where's Mrs. Martin? . . . Martin, Matijevich,
 McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew
 . . . Where is he? Okay . . . McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin,
 Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy,
 Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters . . . 'No'?
 'No' vote? . . . Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson,
 Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler . . . Schisler
 didn't answer . . . Schlickman, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein,
 Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz . . . whoop, wait a minute,
 screwing it up here goo, I got to go back . . . Schoeberlein said
 'no', Schraeder 'yes', Shurtz 'no', Timothy Simms, Ike Sims,
 Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer . . . 'no'? Okay . . . Stedelin,
 Stiehl, Stone . . . 'aye' . . . Taylor, Telcser, Terzich,
 Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, Von Boeckman, Waddell, Wall,
 R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams,
 J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe . . . B. B.'s not here . . . Yourell;
 Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beaupre, for what purpose do you
 arise, Sir?"

Beaupre: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Beaupre: "Please vote me 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "'Aye'? Okay, anybody else?"

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Collins as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Who?"

Speaker Telcser: "Collins 'no'. Hyde 'yes'. Is there anyone else
 who wishes to get on this Roll Call? Bradley 'aye'. Bradley
 'aye'. Any other Members who wish to get on this Roll Call?
 Okay, Jim Holloway 'aye'. Jim Holloway 'aye'. Gro . . . Schisler
 'aye'. Griesheimer 'aye'. Griesheimer 'aye'. Murphy 'no'.
 Murphy 'no'. Anyone else wish to get on or off this Roll Call?"



McAuliffe 'aye'. McAuliffe 'aye'. Is there any other Member who wishes . . . Peters. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Peters, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Peters: "How am I recorded?"

Speaker Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Peters: "Vote me 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Change Representative McAuliffe to voting 'no'. Okay, anyone else wish to vote? Change their vote . . . go in the tank, what? J. J. Wolf 'no'. J. J. Wolf 'no'. Your count, Mr. Clerk? You're on the Roll Call, B. B. B. B.'s on the Roll Call, isn't he, Fred? B. B. Wolf?"

Clerk Selcke: "McGah? Yeah, he's on."

Speaker Telcser: "B. B. Wolf on, Mr. Clerk?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, he's . . . he's at the nurse's station . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, we got you on, Bernie'. On these questions there are 95 'ayes', 48 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. Representative Stone, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, that Roscoe Cunningham hurt me a lot worse than I thought he would."

Speaker Telcser: "Powerful fella'."

Stone: "I . . . I was particularly disappointed. I thought I'd get Murph', you know, because I was so persuasive and he isn't very hard to persuade, and I thought sure I'd get Bob Juckett. We are rather close. I wonder if we could poll the absentees."

Speaker Telcser: "You certainly may, Sir. Representative McCormick, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

McCormick: "Also in this . . . we'd like to have a verification of the vote on this, too. If you want to poll the absentees, but let's make it a complete verification . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, yes . . . C. L. . . . ah . . ."

McCormick: ". . . at the right time. I just don't want you to forget . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . certainly, but this takes 107 votes."



McCormick: ". . . I know it does, but he might pick up 107 between now and . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, I don't know. Representative Stone, for what purpose do you arise?"

Stone: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I wouldn't want you to forget my friend, C. L., so I'll help remember you, C. L., okay?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, poll the absentees, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Borchers, Carter, Catania . . . Catania 'no'? . . . Clabaugh, Day, Dee, Deuster, Duff, R. L. Dunn, Gibbs, Giorgi, Hanahan, Hill, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Huskey, Kent, Kucharski, Leinenweber, McCormick, Tom Miller, Molloy, Neff, North, Pappas, Philip, Rose, Sangmeister, Stiehl, Telcser, R. A. Walsh. That's it."

Speaker Telcser: "On these questions, Representative Stone . . . Representative Day votes 'no'. Record Representative Day as voting 'no' . . . Representative Stone, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I don't want to preclude Roscoe's verifying the Roll, but I did intend to ask . . . or C. L., but I did intend to ask that this be placed on Postponed Consideration, if I may either now or then."

Speaker Telcser: "You have that right, Sir. The Gentleman's requested it be put Postponed Consideration and House Bills 10 and 11 will be on Postponed Consideration. House . . . House Bills, Third Reading, House Bill 12."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 12, an Act relating to transportation in the State of Illinois to provide for public transportation services and facilities and amends certain Acts herein named. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 12 would create a Regional Transportation Authority Act to provide for and coordinate public transportation services for the six-county area of northeastern Illinois. This Act reaffirms the constitutional declaration that public transportation is an



essential public service for which public funds may be expended. Now, is the time for this General Assembly to act to meet the crisis that is before us. It is a well-known fact that the economic growth and well being of this state is directly tied to the economic growth of the Chicago Metropolitan Region. History will show that the transportation of farm products, freight and people has played a tremendous role in making the Chicago Metropolitan area and our great state one of the most dynamic regions of the entire nation. The greatest economic strength of our state currently enjoys must not be jeopardized by failure to meet current mass transportation problems. These problems must be resolved. The people want the solution and we must act. The unique features of House Bill 12 may be found in this new funding proposals and its governing board appointments and their regulatory powers and in the formation of local transportation councils."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Farley, for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I can't hear the Gentleman, and Chief Sponsor or Cosponsor's remarks. I'd ask for a little order, please."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, could the Members please be in their seats and pay attention to the Gentleman's debate?"

Garmisa: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative . . . okay, one second, Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?"

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, last night it was my understanding that this Bill would be called for Second Reading tonight for an Amendment; and I have five such Amendments I would like to propose. I wonder if the . . . ah . . . the Sponsor of the Bill would take his Bill to Second Reading so I could put in my Amendments?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Garmisa?"

Geo-Karis: "They're very short."

Garmisa: "Well, Mr. Speaker . . . Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen



of the House, we did make that agreement with Cal' Skinner. We have resolved our differences with Cal'. We hope to take care of his problem over in the House . . . in the Senate and so I see no reason to bring it back to Second."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose . . ."

Geo-Karis: "A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . state your point."

Geo-Karis: "I didn't know that a Resolution of an Amendment with only one party precludes everyone else."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman has responded, Representative Geo-Karis. He has that right . . . ah . . . not to take his Bill back to Second. Ah . . ."

Geo-Karis: "You mean, Sparky, won't you let me put my little Amendments on your Bill first?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sparky, you're heavy."

Garmisa: "As much as I'd like to turn down such a lovely Lady, I think that in order to keep . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "As much as you hate to turn down such a lovely."

Garmisa: ". . . no, I was . . . that was a Fruedian slip, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm afraid . . . I'm afraid it was."

Garmisa: ". . . the . . . ah . . . and I have always . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Held the Lady in the highest opinion, of course."

Garmisa: ". . . I have always showed the same courtesies for the Lady of this House whenever E.R.A. was brought up and I was hoping to get the courtesy back whenever we have an R.T.A. up."

Geo-Karis: "I would be less than remiss to extend courtesy to Mr. Garmisa. I know he was gracious about E.R.A., and I'm very glad, although I didn't need it, but I'm glad he did it. However, it's not a matter of discourtesy, but only because I love him that I want to present these five Amendments because I do feel that they will help the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Rep . . . Representative Garmisa . . ."

Garmisa: "Mr. . . Mr. Speaker, I would rather that she showed her love for me in a positive vote on House Bill 12."



Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hoffman, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Hoffman, : "Thank you, Mr. . . . thank you, Mr. Speaker, in behalf of Representative Geo-Karis, we put in on the basis of two out of three balls."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, is there any further . . . Representative Geo-Karis, do you have a further point?"

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . As a matter of fact, it is because I really love him that I want to put these five Amendments because if these five Amendments go into the Bill, the Bill will have a more positive effect on all of the people of Illinois, instead of just being considered . . . just reserved for one special interest or one . . . one class interest."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Gentleman apparently disagrees with that perspective, and he . . . indicates that he does not want to go back to the order of Second Reading."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to hear it from the Gentleman's lips himself."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative, do you want to assure the Lady . . ."

Garmisa: "I would prefer not going back to Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Okay, Representative . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I would a ruling from the Chair then, inasmuch as that was my understanding last night, and I did file my Amendments, I would like a ruling from the Chair whether or not we're precluded from asking the Bill be go . . . go back to Second Reading since the statement was made on the floor that it would go back to Second Reading . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . I'll ask for ruling from the Chair."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . no one is precluded from asking that the Bill go back to Second from the principle Sponsor . . ."

Geo-Karis: "But the statement was made, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . However, as much as the Chair looks favorably upon your Amendments . . . ah . . . since they are such a short nature, I'm compelled to rule that the Sponsor has . . . ah . . ."



full control of his or her Bill."

Geo-Karis: "Can a motion take it back, Mr. Speaker, a point of order?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to move to suspend the rules and put the Bill back to Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, let's get that rule number, in the meantime, Representative Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, I pass, I'm not going to engage in the debate. I pass, excuse me. I defer to the Lady."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, Representative Geo-Karis, there's nothing in the rules . . . ah . . . to provide . . . ah . . . for a Sponsor to move his Bill one way or another. The Constitution provides that there must be three separate readings and consequently there is nothing in the rules which we can . . . ah . . . suspend to avoid or deter the . . . that kind of direction for a Bill. So I . . . the Chair is unaware of any rule you can suspend to force the Gentleman to take his Bill back."

Geo-Karis: "I'm asking, excuse me, I don't mean to yell, all I'm asking is that it be moved to Second Reading. That's all."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, and all I can respond to you is that if the Sponsor . . . the principle Sponsor does not wish to have it returned to the order of Second Reading, there's no way to compel . . ."

Geo-Karis: "I so move."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . the Gentleman."

Geo-Karis: "I'm so making a motion to that effect."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, the Chair must rule, Representative, the . . . the motion is not a proper one . . ."

Geo-Karis: "All right."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . and is not germane to the . . . ah . . . issue. Sorry about that, Geo'. Representative Garmisa, proceed, Sir."

Garmisa: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Nice fight, Geo'."

Garmisa: ". . . may I proceed?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."



NOV 15 1973

180.

Garmisa: "The . . . like to get into the funding proposals proposed in House Bill 12, and it is made up of the following components, \$45,000,000 would be provided out of a \$15 per auto registration that would be returned to the six counties, \$29.3 million dollars would be returned to the 96 downstate counties, which could then be used for mass transit or highway purposes, \$60,000,000 would be provided out of the General Revenue Fund on a state appropriation, \$43,000,000 could be poured in post taxes and fees related to ownership and operation of motor vehicles, and two-thirds of all these revenues collected in any county must be returned to that county. Ah . . . This would make a total of \$148,000,000. Now, the R.T.A. governing board would be composed of a nine-member Board of Directors, and would be receptive to outlying county concerns, and one of the key features of the regulatory power section of House Bill 12 is that any transportation agency which contracts part of its facilities to the R.T.A. under the purchase of service agreements must or should remain subject to I.C.C. regulations to those facilities not under such purchase of service agreements. The effect is that transportation agencies are not able to discontinue service in outlying counties because they enter purchase of service agreements with the R.T.A. for only their interlying routes. Now, there . . . also another feature of this Bill would be in the formation of local transportation councils, and these councils would provide for region-wide discussion of R.T.A. problems and a means to voice the peoples' concerns to the Regional Transportation Authority. Now, Mr. Speaker, unless responsible action is taken by the Members of this General Assembly and an R.T.A. system is passed, more drastic measures to reduce pollution may become necessary, especially in heavily populated portions of northeastern Illinois. There must be emphasis on moving people and reducing the use of the automobile in our crowded urban centers; and it is a misconception that only the C.T.A. is endangered. Although the C.T.A.'s plight is most accute, the C.T.A. is out of funds right now, and it cannot function beyond the end of the year without severe cutbacks



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

in service. However, railroads, commuter lines are estimated to run a combined deficit of \$17,000,000 in 1973 and they will continue to raise fares in order to meet their rising costs. Some of the service. . . some of the suburban bus lines are being forced to dis . . . to discontinue service entirely, and this has happened to other bus lines in recent years. In the last two weeks, we have seen a recognition by the public of the energy crisis, an imminent crisis must be faced if R.T.A. doesn't rescue motorists. At the same time, motorists will be forced to reduce their driving by gas rationing. Mobility has sometimes been called our fifth freedom, and this is so, Mr. Speaker, and the people of northeastern Illinois are entitled to this freedom and a choice of transportation modes. The passage of House Bill #12 is an absolute necessity. I plead with each and every Member of this House for their support."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Totten."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Would the Sponsor yield for a few questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Totten: "Sparky, I respect you as a spokesman for the C.T.A. as an expert in transportation, but I am rather confused about some of the figures. Ah . . . You have indicated and the Governor has indicated that in 1975 or in the first year of this R.T.A. you will only need, as I understand it, to tax some \$43,500,000, is that correct?"

Garmisa: "\$43,000,000."

Totten: "\$43,000,000. That is the tax that the . . . appointed . . ."

Garmisa: "The board can impose."

Totten: ". . . on what the board can impose. That's correct?"

Garmisa: "That is correct."

Totten: "What would you anticipate that appointed board can impose in an additional tax in 1976, '77, '78?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . I would like to answer that question this way.

This would be a very difficult guess . . . ah . . . to make

because perhaps we could have more federal subsidies down the



NOV 15 1973

182.

road. We're anticipating and hoping for that to happen."

Totten: "Have you been in contact and has the C.T.A. Board participated in taking an overview of the funding requirements for this Bill?"

Garmisa: "We have."

Totten: "Well, let me call your attention to this. I have a copy of a memo addressed to Milton Pikarsky, August 2, 1973, regarding the Regional Transportation Authority estimated funding requirements. In that memo, it does extend these funding requirements out a few years, and I just for the edification of the Members like to cite what those figures are, the year 1974 total funding requirements \$329,000,000, 1975, \$358,000,000, 1976, \$398,000,000, 1977, \$439,000,000 and 1978, \$489,000,000. These figures are contemplating what you are trying to sell or impose on the six-county area, that is reduced fares and increased services, capital equipment needs and so on. The proponents of R.T.A. are attempting to sell this proposal in the suburban and outlying county areas by talking about the increased services. Yet, you have not included any of that when you only talk about \$148,000,000 to get your foot in the door, is that correct?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker and Representative Totten, we are hoping with the proper funding to be able to increase the services in the suburban areas, to increase the services in this six-county regional area, we're hoping, too, to be able to reduce fares to bring more customers onto the mass transportation system to use those, get them off of the highways, the highways will last longer, the pollution will be reduced and the whole feature of this R.T.A. the whole concept is to make the mode of mass transit more attractive to the riding public; and the increase in costs will be reflected back in the savings when we have a reduction in the fares."

Totten: "When you propose to only use \$148,000,000 in the year 1975, you are in no way showing figures that purport to do what you're purporting to do in R.T.A. There is no money in there for any reduced fares, there is no money in there for increased services; and all you are doing when you use a figure as low \$148,000,000 is hoodwink the people in the suburban areas into thinking they're



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

getting improved services; and, Mr. Speaker, if I may, I'd like to speak to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Totten: "It is apparent . . . it is apparent that what is attempted to do . . . that what they are attempting to do here in this Walker-Garmisa Bill is not as the Governor has campaigned for throughout this state for tax relief, this Bill is masquerading as the Governor's tax increase Bill because let me tell you for the six-county areas that are in this Bill, in order to provide the services that are purported to be needed under R.T.A., we will be paying more money in taxes in that six-county area than we are in every tax relief measure that is before this House passes. For example, in order to fund the deficit in 1975 using their C.T.A.'s own figures, we will have to impose an additional, this authority without taxing author . . . ah . . . with taxing authority will be able . . . will have to impose an .085 cent per gallon gasoline tax to fund this in the first year, and there will still be a \$600,000,000 deficit by the year 1980. Now, under this Bill also right now to do the things that they purport to do for the R.T.A. in the suburban and six-county areas and in the City of Chicago, this taxing authority will have the authority, the authority, Ladies and Gentlemen, by the year 1980 to impose taxes over \$2,000,000,000. This in no way is a transportation Bill. It is masquerading as a transportation Bill. When in fact it is a tax increase Bill for the six areas; and I think the names or the initials are proper, R.T.A., but in this case they don't stand for . . . they do not stand for Regional Transportation Authority, they stand for Rapid Tax Acceleration and it deserves a resounding 'no'. It is significant that this proposal by what it purports to do appears to be a sincere effort by the Governor to torpedo any reasonable, reasonable R.T.A. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan. Is he on the floor? Hanahan? Okay, the Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner. Marv', I've got you on the list now. I got a long list. Representative Skinner, I don't see



Hanahan, so let's go back to you."

Skinner: "I'd be perfectly happy to wait for my colleague from McHenry."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he isn't on the floor, I . . . so let's go ahead, Representative Skinner."

Skinner: "There's one thing that seems to be overlooked in the . . . this debate and that is that the last time mass transportation was financed out of the fare box was in a time very parallel to our own. We had gas rationing. We may indeed be coming into an area where mass transportation doesn't need any subsidy from the state, the fare box may be able to do it; but tonight we're apt to make a commitment, a long range commitment, that is going to absolutely eliminate any incentive to operate mass transportation out of the fare box. Representative Totter has passed out a Chicago Today article, which is an extremely interesting one, and it speaks especially loud to the 33rd District, which has parts of McHenry and Kane County in it. It shows according to the 1970 census an unbiased source, I believe, that the City of Crystal Lake has 7.5% of its employees, that is the people living in Crystal Lake who are employed, 7.5% use transportation; in Elgin, 5.3% use mass transportation; in Carpentersville, 2.8% use mass transportation; and in Woodstock only nine-tenths of 1% use mass transportation; and the other towns in my district there wasn't enough ridership to even justify inclusion in the census. What happens when you divide the subsidy that McHenry and Kane County is being asked to . . . or are being asked to, excuse me, is being asked to give by the . . . by the commuters that we have, the mass transportation commuters? I'll tell you what happens, it comes down to a \$1,500 to a \$2,000 subsidy each year for each commuter. I would suggest almost anyone would consider that excessive. I must agree with Representative Totten that an accumulated deficit of over \$2,000,000,000 by 1980 will add to this . . . will add to this burden that all the people in the six-county area will have to bear, and it seems to me that it's about time we started using some logic in this, especially those



who are not directly in the area, who will be the receivers of this massive redistribution of income, and that it is time to vote in their extremely explicit self-interest. In this case, that calls for a 'no' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hanahan. Did you want recognition, Tom? No? Well, I called you once. The Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, would the Sponsor yield to some questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Garmisa, I note that on page 16, paragraph 2, your Bill states, 'To invest any Sponsor or any monies not required for immediate use or dispersement as provided in an Act relating to certain investments of public funds by public agencies as now or hereafter amended'. Now, under that statement, isn't true that once this Bill is passed and is in operation that you can make investments in such obligations as the Chicago Transit Authority or skyway bonds?"

Garmisa: "No, that is not true . . . ah . . ."

Geo-Karis: "What assurance do we have when it says you can invest. My Amendment to that would've expressly precluded that, which you did not want to accept. Number 2, isn't that . . . is it not true that under this Bill the authority, Mr. Garmisa, would have unlimited powers of taxation?"

Garmisa: ". . . ah . . . when you take into regard that the board is going to be directly answerable to their appointing authorities, and these people are elected, and they . . . the board will not levy any extreme tax or they won't last very long in that area."

Geo-Karis: "That's not the point though, but as long as they are there they will be able to have the power of unlimited taxation, isn't that right, Mr. Garmisa?"

Garmisa: "Well, the truth of that matter, so does the General Assembly or any home-rule unit."

Geo-Karis: "However, the General Assembly expresses the will of the people or supposed to express the will of the people and protect



the people, and that is one just segment of the whole state. Don't you feel that by unlimited taxing authority and power that this Bill gives you, and I think this is very important, Ladies and Gentlemen of this Assembly, you are creating . . . you are creating a state within a state, and in no time prior to it will be running the State of Illinois because you also have the power of annexing by ordinance, and you set up the power in your particular ordinance in this Bill as to how annexation can take place and then annexation can come in with just 5% of the vote . . . people voting in the annexing . . . the county to be annexed, and in no time flat, you'll not only have six counties, but you'll have the surrounding counties surrounding the six counties, isn't that possible too, Mr. Garmisa?"

Garmisa: "Is it true, Representative Geo-Karis, that you had the Illinois Transportation Act in the last system . . . in the last Session?"

Geo-Karis: "Pardon me?"

Speaker Telcser: "This is her first term, Sparky."

Geo-Karis: "I wasn't here . . ."

Garmisa: "In the spring Session, I'm sorry."

Geo-Karis: "Did I have what?"

Garmisa: "The Illinois Area Transportation Authority?"

Geo-Karis: "Yes, and I put it in the Interim Committee voluntarily because I didn't think it was . . . it was quite right and I wanted to make some changes, and that's why you didn't see it come forth."

Garmisa: "Thank you."

Geo-Karis: "Sure. Now, I have another point. Of course, I still don't think you've answered my question, would you like to give me an answer to my question?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . One part of your question, Representative Geo-Karis, any county would have to ask to be annexed. They would have to institute the action."

Geo-Karis: "But it according . . ."

Garmisa: "Now . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . according to your Bill, I agree with you, they would



have to ask; but according to your Bill, your . . . your Mass Transit Authority has the right to set the rules by which they can become annexed, and by that token, they would have the right to set the rule and say only 5% of a . . . of a county to come in can vote . . . become annexed, not the whole body, isn't that true?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . The county has the perfect right not to annex.

They have to ask or any percentage of that county to be annexed."

Geo-Karis: "It doesn't say exactly that in your Bill, as you and I both know, Mr. Garmisa; but let's go on to another point. Ah . . . On page 28 . . . on page 28, lines 5 and 6, I understand under your Bill, on page 27, let's take page 27, line 24, it . . . you say that 'Nothing in this Act could be construed to enable the authority to impose'. . . you do have a statement here that 'No such bonds or notes shall constitute a debt of the State of Illinois', I understand that . . . ah . . . Mr. Speaker, I don't know about the rest of these fellas' . . . these Representatives, but I feel we are involved spending the people's money in the State of Illinois and I would like to have a little more quiet so I could here myself talk. Under page 27, and may I say, Mr. Garmisa, that most of the Bills have this in them, so it isn't just your Bill alone, but it still doesn't make it right, under page 27, it does say that the . . . 'No such bond or no bonds or notes shall constitute a debt of the State of Illinois', that's lines 23 and 24. That's correct. However, however, Mr. Speaker, I wonder if I could have a little quiet, thank you . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, the Lady is requesting that the Members please pay attention to what she has to say. Now . . . ah . . . we can have her . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . Mr. Speaker, I'm sorry to take the time, but I think this is very important . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . Well, you go right ahead, Geo', the Members are . . ."

Geo-Karis: ". . . important to the people of the State of Illinois, certainly from my county . . . on page 28, on line 5, paragraph E,



you've got the statement that it says, 'The State of Illinois pledges to and agrees that the holders of the bonds and notes to the authority', et cetera. I feel that that should've been stricken from the Bill, that was one of my Amendments. A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, wait a second, the Lady . . . is rising on a point of personal privilege. State your point."

Geo-Karis: "I would be delighted to hear any Member in this Assembly to express his or her views whether they're for the Bill or against, and I will give them every bit of courtesy and I hope that I will be accorded the same."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now, there are a number of people on the floor of the House who are not authorized to be on the floor. Now, those of you who should not be here, would you please leave the floor and let the Members and those who are authorized to be here complete their work. Now, proceed, Representative."

Geo-Karis: "On page 29 of this Bill, and I might say this is true in most of the other Bills, and I am addressing those on the same basis, on page 29, lines 23 through 26, lines . . . it relates to public bidding and it says such regulations may provide for excess . . . exemptions for such requirements for the issuance and sale of bonds or notes of the authority for the acquisition of professional utility services and for other matters for which it determines public bidding is not advantageous'. In other words, if the authority decides not to have public bidding, it doesn't have to. Well, I think that's a travesty on the public and that's what I have another Amendment to do, to strike out the 'not advantageous', that it had to be a public bidding. I think I have one more. The other question I would like to ask, Mr. Garmisa, relates to page 28, lines, sorry to delay you, lines 5 and 6, and I think we've covered that, but there's another one here, just a second. Just give me the courtesy here. I mentioned to you that in your Bill you have the right to acquire property or to a state property in . . . ah . . . and both real and personal. Now, I would like to speak to the Bill."



Speaker Telcser: "Well, just for . . . just for a couple of minutes, Representative, just a couple of minutes long, okay?"

Geo-Karis: "I won't be long."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of this House. I am in favor of an R.T.A., but not in this Bill, because this Bill breaks the public financially, and I mean that sincerely. Unlimited power of taxation without representation is very un-American. Number two, when they can sell their bonds at public or private sales at the discretion of the authority, then they're depriving the rights of the people to be represented to the public sale because they don't have to have a public sale. Number three, there's nothing to stop the authority under this Bill from acquiring the skyway bonds. Number four, representation is not there from all the counties. My county, which is the third largest county, will not have a member guaranteed on that board; and number four, basically, the whole Bill is not financially feasible for the State of Illinois and the people in my county or the people of the State of Illinois; and I would like to speak against that Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "I wonder if I could ask just a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Hill: "Ah . . . Could you tell me, Representative Garmisa, the amount of outstanding bonds that the C.T.A. is obligated to?"

Garmisa: "The outstanding bonds that the C.T.A. has at the present time?"

Hill: "Yes."

Garmisa: "I'm not . . . ah . . . in a position to answer that question."

Hill: "Has there ever been a public listing of the bondholders of these . . . ah . . . bonds?"

Garmisa: "To my knowledge, no."

Hill: "And if R.T.A. were enacted, R.T.A. would take over the obligation of these bonds?"

Garmisa: "No, not under this Bill."

Hill: "Well, then how would these bonds be paid off?"



Garmisa: "With the revenues of the C.T.A."

Hill: "No, the C.T.A. would be part of R.T.A. if this Bill is adopted, wouldn't it?"

Garmisa: "No, we're not taking over the C.T.A. The C.T.A. is going to be an integral part of the Regional Transit Authority."

Hill: "It would be an integral part of it."

Garmisa: "That's correct."

Hill: "And you're telling me that the C.T.A. would still assume the obligation and that out of the R.T.A. fund nothing . . . none of those monies would be utilized to pay the bonds off?"

Garmisa: "The R.T.A. would contract with the C.T.A. . . ."

Hill: "Yes."

Garmisa: ". . . and the regular funding . . . and the C.T.A. could use the money for the redemption of the bonds or whatever other expenses the operating expenses . . ."

Hill: "That's what I wanted to know. So, consequently, then . . . ah . . . the people, and there's been an up and down market on the C.T.A. bonds, they've changed hands, at least I've read this in the newspaper many times, and it's been suggested in newspapers - that the possibility exists that there could be a scandal in these particular bonds; and, consequently, if R.T.A. is enacted, the obligation could fall to the taxpayers of Kane County, Will County, McHenry County, Lake County for the payment of those bonds. All right, now in regards to R.T.A. if it is adopted, money would be utilized to purchase equipment from the various railroads covered in this particular area, is that correct?"

Garmisa: "That is correct."

Hill: "Yes, and I think it was told by me . . . to me by Representative Shea that these monies would have to be expended in the State of Illinois, is this right or wrong?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . That is correct."

Hill: "In other words, they should utilize those monies for their freight lines, which is a very lucrative deal for the railroads, in any part of the State of Illinois?"

Garmisa: "Ah . . . Most of that package is used for the passage of



service, too, Representative Hill."

Hill: "But there is much of it that isn't used for passage of service because if I recall properly many of the passenger trains have been taken off of many of the railroads in the State of Illinois. So, primarily, the larger percentage would be used for freight."

Garmisa: "I couldn't say that would be true statement."

Hill: "Why it would be quite logical. Another thing, what are . . . what is R.T.A. going to do with these antiquated cars, these antiquated diners, what is R.T.A. going to do with this equipment?"

Garmisa: "We don't have to purchase that type of equipment . . ."

Hill: "Why don't you?"

Garmisa: ". . . because the R.T.A. governing Board would be the one to make the decision, and they . . . it would be . . . not be mandatory, it would be optional."

Hill: "But . . . it was explained to me by Representative Shea, unless I misunderstood it, that any of these passenger cars would come under R.T.A. and would be . . . would be purchased, isn't that right?"

Garmisa: "No."

Hill: "What did . . . ah . . . what did he say to me?"

Garmisa: "The only equipment that they would be purchased would be that that would be necessary in the operation of the Transit Authority."

Hill: "Have you looked at some of these cars that they have? Because you're going to have to purchase some of these antiquated cars . . ."

Garmisa: "These are only . . ."

Hill: ". . . whether you like it or not, unless you're going out and purchase brand new equipment."

Garmisa: "No, the . . . for one thing, when you're going to turn this Regional Transit Authority operation over to a governing board, Jack, they have wide discretionary powers. They're there to serve the public. They're there to move the public. They're there to take the . . . what they're going to try to do is take the people off of the roads, put them in the mass transit. They're going to have to make the Regional Transit Authority an attractive service."

Hill: "All right, let me . . . let me ask this question. Under the



NOV 15 1973

192.

home rule clause of the Constitution could Cook County, which is a home rule county, and Chicago, a home rule municipality enact a Transit Authority and tax for that Transit Authority under a home rule clause?"

Garmisa: "They could."

Hill: "Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Would the Gentleman . . ."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry, proceed, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I believe the last question that was asked of Mr. Garmisa, if this is so vital that we have transportation in the area of Chicago and in the area of Cook County, under the home rule clause they can enact this, they can enact taxes to provide for transportation; and it seems to me that if those people in the Chicago area want this so badly, then they should tax those people in that area for it and let us in the counties outside of Cook County operate on our own. Now, I have been reading recently in the newspaper, and I believe the last article I read was in regards to Senator Percy, where he has said that something new has been enacted into Federal legislation; and that is added appropriations for mass transit. I don't believe that we should be cowed into spending state money at the rate that R.T.A. calls for when the possibility exists and is very close that the Federal Government is going to take our tax money and provide money to the various transit systems, not only . . . not only in Chicago, but throughout the State of Illinois and the United State of America. That means that we're going to get more money back. Now, the possibility exists that maybe these transit systems won't be Republican controlled in Aurora and Democratic controlled in Chicago, maybe that's good and maybe that's bad, maybe politics will be taken out of it throughout the nation, and maybe that's good and maybe that's bad; but I feel sincere in believing not only Percy but many other Congressmen that have made statements to the news media, and I have read that there's more money coming into the areas for



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

transit systems. Why then are we going to enact an increase in the various taxes relative to the automobile to do something like this when just at hand it's going to be provided on a national level, and those are our tax dollars also. Now, one more thing, maybe Mr. Garmisa said that it's going to be left up to the board to purchase what they want to purchase from these railroads; but I'm sure you people know what McCormick Place looks like in Chicago. It's a very beautiful building, and all of the taxpayers of the State of Illinois is paying for it. I'm happy for that because I think it's a landmark in Chicago; but let me tell you this, that is R.T.A. goes in, you're going to have to build a building three times as big as McCormick Place to put these antiquated cars from the various railroads in there and to make a museum so all of the people can go up there and look at this antiquated equipment because you're not going to be able to do anything else with it; and I certainly would appreciate to cast a vote for the people, not only in that six-county area and protect us, but you're going to be protecting yourself, because one of these days they're going to come after you, too; and I'd appreciate it if you vote against it."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, now, Representative Hudson was next. Representative Hudson on the . . . the Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."

Hudson: "Would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

Hudson: "Sparky, I have just a few questions here for you. I would like to understand in the interest of comparing your Bill with some of the others that have . . . that are being introduced, if in your opinion this Bill is passed and the Regional Transportation Authority is established, do we in fact have by so doing establish a regional unit of government, call it what you will, local government?"

Garmisa: "No."

Hudson: "You say 'no'?"

Garmisa: "I say 'no'."



NOV 15 1973

194.

Hudson: "What do you have then when you have created a Regional Transportation Authority? What is it?"

Garmisa: "This would be a special district vested under the authority of the state's statutes."

Hudson: "Would it have broad powers, would it have power to levy taxes or to borrow money?"

Garmisa: "It would have broad regulatory powers, it would have broad taxing powers."

Hudson: "Would it have the authority to bypass the state in applying for and receiving grants, loans or other funds from Federal Government or any other department or agency?"

Garmisa: "Yes."

Hudson: "Would it have the authority to extend its operation into other counties than those that we . . . ah . . . are thinking of . . ."

Garmisa: "If . . . only if . . . only if by agreement and if the other counties would ask for . . ."

Hudson: ". . . well, the answer then is 'yes', it would in addition to . . ."

Garmisa: ". . . on what reservations?"

Hudson: ". . . would you say that under this plan then all employees of private transportation agencies become government employees . . . ah . . ."

Garmisa: "I missed the beginning of your . . . ah . . ."

Hudson: ". . . public . . . public . . . public employees?"

Garmisa: ". . . I missed the beginning of your question."

Hudson: "Under . . . under, Sparky, as this is set up, and the Transportation Authority takes in various bus lines, trains, whatever, do these employees then become public employees or what you'd call government employees?"

Garmisa: "Only if they should actually hire those employees and inquire that agency."

Hudson: "Well, presumably, they . . . presumably the authority would do this, I would . . . I should think, would it not? and then the taxpayers, would they assume then the cost of pensions, insurance



benefits? Are their obligations now paid by private employers?"

Garmisa: "This . . . this would be under whatever pension programs would be appli . . . applicable to that particular unit. The . . . ah . . . you must understand the bus lines, the railroad commuter trains, the . . . ah . . . subway trains would all have their own units and their own rules would apply to their pension funding, et cetera."

Hudson: "Well, Sparky, thank you, I'm going to . . . I'm going to cut my questions short in the interest of time. I would, Mr. Speaker, like to address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Hudson: "Just for a few minutes, and, Sparky, I want to say this, I have a great deal of respect for you and I know that what you're doing you're doing in sincerity, as are the Sponsors of all the other Bills here as far as I know. So what I'm going to . . . ah . . . to say is no . . . to be considered as no reflection upon your integrity or your sincerity, and I want that established at the outset here before I make my statement because what I'm going to say is going to apply, as far as I'm concerned, to all the Bills, each and every one here, regardless of Sponsor."

Garmisa: "Ah . . . This is a feeling that is absolutely mutual. I have the same high regard for those of you on that side of the aisle that have drawn up their own Bills, and . . . ah . . . happy to hear that you have that high regard for this House."

Hudson: "I . . . I certainly do. Now, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, a few words of my own . . . ah . . . a few words regarding the Bill and Regional Transportation Authorities in general. I think that we're talking about a great deal more here than . . . ah . . . Jack Hill's interest, although I consider his to be extremely valid, on what are we doing in the way of subsidizing rail lines, railroads, et cetera, et cetera. Certainly that's a consideration, but I think this thing goes a great deal deeper, it goes into philosophy of government, it goes into our respect for what we used to call the free enterprise system . . . ah . . . the viability of free men and their ability to



NOV 15 1973

196.

trade freely and to set up transport systems, realize the profit, serve the public, et cetera, et cetera. I think all of these things here are involved and we need perhaps to think about it. I want to read to you just a few short paragraphs here that come from the W.T.A.Q. Editorial of October 23 '73. W.T.A.Q. is in LaGrange, out my way, but I think it expresses some of these concerns of mine and I will read this and then I will cease and desist; but here it is, 'Under the various plans being considered in multi-county by state everexpanding, federally-controlled authority would ultimately be evolved, subsidized by the taxpayers, including the multitudes who don't have access to it. Much has been made of the controversy over the various transit plans; but from everything we have read, the major controversy is primarily over whose plan will be adopted. We believe the mass transportation schemes currently being promoted are little more than gimmicks in an overall plan to destroy local autonomy and transfer local power to appointees at by-state and regional levels under federal supervision and certainly federal supervision is a long-range specter that has to rear its head in this regional transportation thought. The super-planners seek to control people in every aspect of their lives. What cannot be done electorally, they seek to do administratively. The Federal Government and its pawns in key places at the local and state levels are working to control . . . to con . . . to intimidate localities into subordinating themselves to a new area-wide planning bureauracy offering localities money for programs they are convinced they won as followed by forcing them into undertaking programs they don't want and insisting that adjacent localities submit to area-wide planning as a further consideration for federal money. These are among the strategies employed by the advocates of total people control. We believe the issue is far . . . is more far-reaching than just providing mass transit for those which . . . who can't or don't want to pay. Now, perhaps we state Legislators would be well-advised to hold off any action until the subject can be properly debated, until we have an opportunity



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

to learn the ramification of our votes. Our freedom, it seems to me, Ladies and Gentlemen, and I think we are in a sense talking about freedom, we're certainly talking about free enterprise, we're talking about man's ability to provide services in a free, open, competitive market; and if we foreclose on this, we have foreclosed, in my opinion, foreclosed, in my opinion, on a large aspect of what we've always known as freedom in this country; and in my humble opinion, and with this I'll close, our freedom is too valuable to trade for free bus tokens; and I would urge a 'no' vote on this Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Hanahan."

Hanahan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've been deeply involved in the last few days and the last few months in a R.T.A. Bill, whether it be this Bill or other R.T.A. Bills, concerning labor protection; and you know, the oddest thing happened to me on my way to getting support on a labor-protected measure, some of the people who I would almost expect or, you know, just generally accept the fact generally that would support the concept that working men and women shall be protected in their jobs against undue harassment, undue layoffs, no protection for job retraining in these types of negative happenings that happen when you merge systems together. Now, it's very odd that you would think that with all the support that many . . . many Members receive that are sitting here on the floor of this House from labor in order to achieve the right to serve as a Legislator representing men and women in Illinois, that it would be very little to ask anyone to support a concept that would protect working men and women from undue harassment with a new concept of a Regional Transportation Authority. Now, going back in last spring, we changed to a mutual agreement, not only on the Democratic side, but on the Republican side, on a provision that is now known in most circles concerned with themselves with labor protective measures is Amendment 18. This Amendment was agreed to by both sides of the aisle, by the Speaker and by the Minority Leader, and was adopted on the R.T.A. Bill. During



NOV 15 1973

198.

the summer, some of the various unions asked Governor Walker for a Bill of particulars that we have a copy of in his handwriting, in his . . . from . . . on his stationery saying that, 'No Bill would pass without his express approval of an Amendment such as Amendment 18'; and lo and behold Bills were introduced in this Special Session without any labor protection by Members of my Party. I was astonished to hear that Democrats all of a sudden somehow forgot that working men and women need protection when an R.T.A. is established from undue harassment, that we need some basic rights as working men and women from being laid off on our jobs, from being put on the unemployment line, without in some cases even the right of unemployment compensation. We're talking about merging railroad lines, we're talking about cabs, you know, nobody has talked about the cab situation that's going to come out of the R.T.A., and what's going to happen to those private citizens when they're merged under the R.T.A. when and if the R.T.A. management decides to take them over, and how much the franchises will be paid for, and how it will be operated, and whether the cabdriver will now be a quasi-public employee sooner than a private employee with all the basic rights of an American citizen, and nobody has talked about what has happened in the last couple of days; and I've had about two hours sleep because during the night, as you know, when we adopted Amendment #17 to this Bill last night, a commitment was made that we would put on old Amendment 18 on this Bill, and that we would endeavor to at least come to some agreement on a protective labor Amendment. Now, this was done, in good faith Members of the trade union movement met with staff members, and officers of Governor Walker's cabinet and members of the Chicago Transit Authority and their aides. All through the night we negotiated, we started again at 7 o'clock this morning. One of the things that we're hung up on is a very important issue for labor. We have not come to a final agreement. The Bill is being moved out of here tonight, if it has the necessary votes, with Amendment 17 intact. I have a . . . somewhat of a commitment from my Minority



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

. . . Assistant Minority Leader, who speaks in behalf of the Mayor of the City of Chicago on this issue, I believe, or mainly in speaking in behalf of Milton Pikarsky, who seems to be the spokesman for whether or not we need protective labor legislation on an R.T.A. Bill. Now, I suggest that I'm no fool when it comes to legislative proceedings. I didn't get here yesterday. I know all the tricks that could be perpetrated by a Leader of this House whether he be a Republican or a Democrat. One of the great gimmicks that has happened over my 10 years of serving in this House has been the mass rush in the Exodus of bad legislation on the last night of a Session when everyone is sick and tired of being here. Now, I know the gimmick that we're passing out this Bill tonight, hopefully, there're some people want to pass it out tonight, so that when it gets to the Senate, either this Bill or another Bill, it's sent back here to the House without a labor protective measure. Now, the Governor and his staff has expressly said that they would not support a Bill without the labor protective measure. You think it would be very simple to for me to request of my Assistant Minority Leader, Gerald Shea, a simple 'yes' or 'no' answer to whether or not he would support an R.T.A. Bill without a labor protective measure in . . . incorporated in the Bill. It's not a very hard question, it's not a legalistic question, it's just a Gentleman's question; and I say it publicly that I would like to know before I commit myself to vote for any R.T.A. whether or not my Minor . . . Assistant Minority Leader will support a Bill without the agreed labor protective measure? If he chooses to answer this, this is up to him; if he chooses not to, I am going to request of those who are sympathetic to laborer's needs, and I'm talking about the men and women who elect you, whether they belong in Chicago or downstate, whether they live in McHenry or live in Galesburg, that the men and women who elect you deserve at least some consideration of a labor protective clause and a commitment, because let's be honest, if there was a divided loyalty between what Milton Pikarsky wants and what the trade union movement wants, I know



where my Assistant Minority Leader would lie. I don't discredit him for this; but I happen to know him that well, and I don't say that it's wrong. He knows where I sit when it comes to labor; and I just suggest that before those who are sympathetic to working men and women's needs, that unless we have a commitment that any Bill that he supports, expressly supports, in behalf of Milton Pikarsky, that if it does not have the labor protective measure on it, that I request those sympathetic to labor to withhold their votes tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee. Oh, I'm sorry, Representative . . . Representative Dee. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee. Now, so the Members know, I still have quite a long list of people who are . . . who want to speak to the issue and . . . okay, with me . . . seven more."

Dee: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've sat through three weeks debate on these Bills and it amazes me that the heart of the matter has never once been mentioned. I find no fault with what has been preoccupying our minds and our time, but regardless of the cost, I think there are other considerations; and in the interest of the . . . expressing myself, I'd like to read to you a statement that I prepared in this matter. Since I'm the most junior of all the Membership of this Body, I feel it's incumbent that I qualify myself to speak on this issue. I'm an admitted attorney and a registered engineer at the State of Illinois, which many of you know. My practice in both law and engineering for the past many, many years has been almost exclusively in the real estate field, dealing with the evaluation of real property and it is important that I point out to you inasmuch as the value of real estate is directly dependent on transportation. I have been concerned from this viewpoint over the past many years, and since I have spent almost eight years as a Member of the State of Illinois Traffic Study Commission, and have wrestled many long nights with this problem, I feel I'm qualified to speak. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I want it made perfectly clear and definitely understood that I'm foresquare behind a mass transpor-



tation Bill and the development of mass transportation therefrom, and the remarks I am to make notwithstanding. I'm deeply distressed by the hysteria of frustration that is apparently present in the matter of mass transportation before this House, and I feel in good conscience that I must speak out and more importantly point out the true consequences of the action that we're taking here within the next few hours. First permit me to review the testimony of the Chairman of the C.T.A., who for openers reminds us of our moral duty not to sit by for our police who are not going to report for work in the morning. If the doors of our public schools and universities will not be opened in the morning. If we knew our hospitals would not permit anymore patients, if we knew our water supply was going to be shut off, he then points out that such . . . these rhetorical questions are highly relevant to the important matters before us today. Inferring that public transportation may not start tomorrow morning if we do not pass the R.T.A. Bills. Now, Gentlemen, I submit if we had a population of 40 people with 20 policemen, we'd not really worry about adding 20 more policemen, would we; and if our hospitals had 1,000 open beds, would we add additional nurses and more rooms or would we question why people were not using our hospitals; and I submit that if public transportation as faced would collapse in serious deterioration in Chicago and the metropolitan area, it is because not . . . mass transportation is not fulfilling its duty or purpose in attracting riders for a variety of reasons; and has been repudiated out of hand by the mass of potential users and should not be continued in its present form ad infinitum. As it is supported today by subsidy or grants to reject its service that has not changed its basic operation in the past 100 years. Yes, we continue with the 'kit' of course, it is true we no longer use horses to pull the buses or cars, and of course, we have air conditioned, 90-passenger buses that run around with four passengers aboard. Of course, we have a beautiful, high-speed rapid transit train that are filled by no more than 10 people, except during the rush hours;



but these are still the basic forms and means of mass transportation that is continually been con . . . rejected by the public since its peak in 1926, almost 50 years ago. No wonder there are losses. As you will recall, I asked the Chairman of the C.T.A. if an increase in use by the public would eliminate the deficit, and he assured us that it would not. The Chairman was also asked if the C.T.A. could operate a profit and he assured us that it could. Now, I know Mr. Pikarsky by reputation and association, as a fellow Member and a lecturer in the Society of Engineers, and I respect him as one of the most able administrators in the engineering field in the United State today, and I believe him for he is an honorable man, and I believe that given freedom of action the C.T.A. can operate in the black, and I, for one, want to see 'em have that opportunity and see the second largest mass transportation operation in the United States operating profitably. But the point is that I believe Pikarsky will not be given a free hand and there is a strong moment which has gained support of our well-meaning and interested civic and business leaders to develop a large, efficient and meaningful mass transportation operation in the Chicago metropolitan area and for this I employ them . . . applaud them and join them in their desires wholeheartedly while we'll preclude any attempt at reducing operating deficits. I must also submit that the end for which our newspapers and our civic leaders are striving will not be met by the Bills now before this House. A more realistic approach must be found to solve the problems of the region and the state in mass transportation. We cannot lock a continuing cost of approximately \$200,000,000 per year for the six-county region that the present Bills all envision. I cannot in good conscience support or answer to the people of the State of Illinois or to my constituency . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Wait . . . wait one minute, Representative Dee.

Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the Gentleman would bring his remarks to a close. He's long overdue as part of



his time, and there are seven other Speakers, I believe, and the hour is getting rather late in the evening."

Dee: ". . . I have another two minutes, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, would you conclude your remarks please?"

Dee: "I feel we must help the C.T.A., we must see to it that there is a mode of public transportation available to our citizens tomorrow morning. So let us not rush into a program which will create another tax absorbing monster demanding to be fed in increasing quantities from the peoples' funds for ineffecient and archaic means of transportation; and I'm aware it's easy to criticize and it's easy to say these measures will not do. What's the alternative? Time will not allow this moment a detailed submittal, but permit me to point out as best I can in one paragraphy what I think should be done and in a synopsis of seven years of study. The only way we'll solve the dilemma of public acceptance of mass transportation is to move it underground or in enclosed rights of way, where continual speeds of 40, 50 or 60 miles per hour and in the near future 100 miles per hour are possible in highly conjested areas; and until we do this, we must be prepared to carry the present mode of mass transportation that is used only duress and absolute necessity. But we should not compound its errors. We must develop depot areas with private parking and transportation to the central city or to the central terminal point. I'll omit the next two or three paragraphs and say this, my fellow colleagues on both sides of the aisle and on both sides of the state, up and down, none of the present mass transportation R.T.A. Bills meet the requirements nor do they envision any hope for a change in the R.T.A. meth . . . methods, none contain even a preamble . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Representative Dee, could you conclude your remarks, please, you've really have gone way over your time limit, Sir? The rules provide 10 minutes."

Dee: ". . . I've been on exactly eight minutes, Mr. Speaker, but I will conclude . . . under the present Bills all we can look forward to is an enlargement . . . out of the six-county area. I



say to you that this fight, all of the inaccuracies, and I wish I had time to develop for you what I had in mind, I cannot bring myself to vote against these Bills; and I hope and I will vote 'aye', I hope that for this one . . . I hope that Bills that is proposed will be amended in the Senate and that public and legislative concern will recognize the need for operational improvements to be included in these Bills. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Schlickman.

Jerry, did you want to say something now? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise? I've called on Schlickman, but . . . oh, okay, I'll get you next year."

Schlickman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I'll try to be brief, but we are confronted with what has been stated many times to be one of the most critical issues confronting the State of Illinois. Now, some of you know, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I have an extraordinary interest in this issue. I have been concerned about it for a relatively long period of time, and last year was the Sponsor of a Bill creating a Regional Transportation system. In the spring of this year, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I again reintroduced a Bill on the sub . . . on the subject. This fall I elected not to introduce a Bill, rather my option was to consider the Bills that would be introduced by the executive and legislative leadership of this state and then make my decision. The basis for my decision, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, was going to be the same basis as the decision I made during the four years of the Ogilvie administration; and I want to say something about the Ogilvie administration, it was four years. I voted for every one of the Ogilvie-cause Bills because I thought they were right, and I relied upon the Governor of the State. One other thing to be said about the Ogilvie administration, it did more for downstate roads than in any other administration in this state. So I decided, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, that I would select my Bill . . . I would select the Bill on the basis of what I would conclude Governor Ogilvie would cause to be introduced



in this General Assembly; and for that basis, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm relying upon the report by Governor Ogilvie's transportation task force entitled, 'Crisis and Solution'; and let me read from that report using the words of the former Governor of this State, dated January 1973, 'Northeastern Illinois urgently needs a revitalized public transportation system. In recent years the state has had to confront almost annual financial crisis in public transportation in northern Illinois. These crisis have steadily worsened until now every element of the Region Transportation system is threatened with curtailment or abandonment of essential services. Prices for some of these services are escalating beyond perceived value. System ridership is on the decline', Governor Ogilvie continues, 'The time for action is now at hand. Our public transportation system is the lifeline of our urban communities. Our capability to transport ourselves governs the extent to which we will develop and prosper. I believe, therefore', said Governor Ogilvie, 'that the action taken will largely determine whether the region . . . the region in which 70% of the people of this state live and which constitutes the lifeline of this state will progress or decline and decay'. Governor Ogilvie concluded, 'It's my hope that this report will serve as a catalyst for those in Illinois in public and private life who are sincerely interested in this important challenge'; and let me say two things about this report, it's most valuable in terms of money, it costs the state over \$100,000 to produce. Unfortunately, it constitutes survival on Regional Transportation. Now, in comparing the two Bills under consideration, House Bill 12 and House Bill 15, they are the major Bills. I have compared both of them, and I have been most concerned about the matter of funding; and the issue is simply this, whether we're going to fund public transportation through sales tax or if we're going to fund it through motor related taxes; and this report, Mr. Speaker, comments on both of those funding methods. Governor Ogilvie's report, and what does the report say about sales tax as a method of funding? I'll quote directly for you.



According to the Ogilvie Task Force Report it is stated that, 'the use of sales tax cannot be rationalized on any grounds', and furthermore relying upon a report of the Republican Comptroller of this state, 'to use sales tax funds as is suggested in House Bill 15 would be fiscally irresponsible'. So the alternative we're left with are auto-related taxes as contained in House Bill 12; and according to the Ogilvie Report, the use of auto-related taxes would be proper and necessary because by it and by public transportation we have an allocation of the non-pecuniary benefits of mass transportation to the motorists, to more road space, to reduce wear and tear on our roads by providing a back-up system to motorists. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as I can proudly say that during four years under the Ogilvie administration I voted for everyone of these Bills, and today, tonight, I'm going to vote for the Bill that I know Governor Ogilvie would have caused to be introduced and that is House Bill 12."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, so the Members are aware, there are about seven or eight people who still seek recognition. The hour is growing late, there are other Members who have told me they have Senate Bills they must move before midnight, so I just . . . I don't care if you . . . I'd like to give Representative Shea and Speaker Blair who want to talk on this, the Leadership courtesy . . . ah . . . but if you want to continue, it's fine by me. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea. I want to get the Speaker before we, you know, move this. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, since my name was mentioned in debate, before I get into the Bill, could I have just one minute on a point of personal privilege?"

Speaker Telcser: "You certainly may, Sir."

Shea: "I'd like to address my colleagues as just a Member, not as a Leader, but just as a Member. When I came to this Legislature, and we started this Session, I raised my hand and took an oath to support the Constitution of this State and to protect all of the people of this State; and I shall continue to do that, all of



NOV 15 1973

207.

them; and as a Democrat, I've fought for all of the people, rich, poor, black, white, and I shall continue to do that; and I fought for labor, and I told Mr. Hanahan that I would do everything, personally, that I could to protect labor, and I shall continue to do that; but I shall do everything I can to protect all the people in the six-county metropolitan area to insure them transportation; and all the laborers that go every day to work, I want to make sure that they can get to work; and I want to do everything I can to insure the vital, viable public transportation system there; and I shall continue to support Bills such that will pour concrete and give roads to southern Illinois where they're so vitally needed; and I will continue to support things like Rend Lake and the universities throughout this state, and I promise you this, I shall do everything I can and what I think is right. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Did you want to address yourself to the Bill."

Shea: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 12 is a compromise of many, many people. If this Bill passes and goes over to the Senate, I think it will be the first giant step that we can make towards providing a viable transportation system throughout the six-county area. I think it's vitally needed to keep the C.T.A., the buses and the railroads running in that area. I've heard people talk time and time again about the C.T.A. and it's important and it's vital to that area; but let me assure you that a transportation system is more than just the C.T.A., it's the buses, it's the railroads, it's people trying to send their kids to junior colleges that haven't got the buses to take them across town, to take them from where I live out to Triton College, but they buy a second car and they'll spend \$700 a year on insurance, but that . . . that's another tax; but I would appreciate it if we could work to get this Bill out of this House. I think it's a good Bill, and as Gene Schlickman said, 'We've been working for three or four years to get it', and



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

I think tonight is the night that we can get it."

Speaker Telcser: "Allright, now, if it's okay with the Members, I understand that the other Democratic Leader may want to speak, so if I took Jerry, and the other Leader, and then the Speaker, and/or C. L. Is Representative Choate . . . did I understand someone tell me he wanted to address himself to the issue? Is he on the floor? Unless the others who indicated they wanted to speak to the Bill want to persist, it's fine with me, I . . . I've got Representative Davis, Mahar, Porter, Tipsword . . . ah . . . do they still wish to? Representative Davis, did you . . . the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "I will explain my vote. If you'll give me that opportunity . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay . . ."

Davis: ". . . and I'll yield to the Speaker, if he's going . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, I just don't want to preclude someone who may really want to talk on this. Mahar doesn't care, Porter doesn't care, Tip', he don't care. Did you want to speak, Tip'? The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Now, yes, Sir."

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, if you really . . . if you want to proceed, and you want to speak, sure."

Tipsword: "Yes, I do. The light wasn't on, and I was just wondering if you want . . . thought maybe you wanted to know if I still wanted to remain on the list. Yes, I do, Mr. Speaker, and I'll make it very short. I think we have here a demonstration of what has been occurring in this General Assembly during this term and over the past several terms. I think it has become . . . become very evident here tonight in the consideration of this Bill and of commitments upon this and related Bills that we're living in this Legislature in an era of very great distrust. I think the distrust is being exhibited on all sides and upon all sides of this particular issue. I think, for instance, and first of all that there has been an issue of distrust that has been raised here tonight in regard to the protections of labor in regard to this Bill. I think it would be very simple because all



that labor is asking for is a simple commitment by word that the labor protections that are in this Bill are going to come back from the Senate or else there will not be this Bill; and I think that is one era . . . area of distrust that we could very simply do away with and we should do away with because labor is entitled to this pledge, I think they should have it; and I would hope that that would clear up one of the areas that has been a problem in this Legislature. Another thing, I think we have a great distrust of the Senate, and this same issue has pointed that out. We don't trust what comes back from the Senate or the actions that the Senate is going to take; and, likewise, I'm sure they don't trust us; and one of the reasons that we don't is, not only because of past actions they've taken, but because when those actions come back to this House, we have five seconds to act upon them. We never get to see the Bill. When we did have desks, which we do not have now, and we required it to be placed on our desks, it got there sometime after the vote was taken, generally, upon that particular Bill that came back from the Senate after it has passed this House. I recall the latter part of last July and I stood on the floor begging to know what Conference Committees I had been placed on and when I found out what they were, where those Conference Committees were meeting. I had Conference Committee Reports come back that I finally found on my desk on Committees that I found that I had been appointed to that had a complete report back for us to act on, and I've never even been able to find out where the Conference Committee was meeting, let alone know for a long time that I was on it. I think when this Bill and any of the companion Bills goes to the Senate, and they come back here, and we are not under any deadline except the deadline that we would all like to be home, that those Bills should lay on our desks for 12 hours or a Conference Committee report should lay on our desks for 12 hours. So we have the opportunity to know what is in that Bill or that Conference Committee Report, and know what we're going to be voting on upon the floor of this House. Finally, in regard to this



NOV 15 1973

House Bill 12 and the Bills that have just preceded it, with several of the Members of this House, those Bills are considered as a package, quite frankly; and I wish that they could be presented as a package, and if the Chair knows of any means or method whereby those Bills could all be presented at one time and be subject to one vote, I think it would remove an awful lot of distrust that is on the floor of this House and has remained here for about the last three years. It's high time we start clearing it up. I hoped that we were on the way to doing so; but if this Bill is continued to be presented by itself, I think it's solely and only going to add to that distrust. I wish we could clear this up, and I wish we'd find a way to handle all of these things at the same time in this one issue. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Will, Representative Blair . . . two of our guys and Clyde will be up, I hope, I believe he wanted to speak. The Gentleman from Will, Speaker Blair."

Speaker Blair: "Well, thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. My remarks again will be very brief. We've spent a great deal of time working on this particular problem, and it is a problem that affects a large area in the State of Illinois, an area that's got 77% of the population, it involves six counties, and . . . and one which we have to look at having a realistic solution to at some time. Unfortunately, I think we ought to look at what the Bill before us will do as far as tax increase is concerned, tax increase. This Bill will provide for the people in the six northeastern Illinois counties of Cook, Lake, McHenry, DuPage, Kane, and Will, a tax increase of momentous portion, a huge tax increase. That is not going to be a tax increase that is going to be said to be accomplished by the Regional Transportation Authority Board, that is a tax increase that is going to be made by Governor Walker on those six counties. Governor Walker is going to be increasing the taxes on the people in those six counties, not the Regional Transportation Authority Board. They have unlimited taxation in this Bill, they have unlimited taxation on motor fuel, they have unlimited taxation on automobiles, and



NOV 15 1973

211.

where do people drive automobiles? They drive them in suburban Cook County and they drive them in DuPage, and they drive them in Kane, and McHenry, and Lake and Will and Kane, that's where they drive them; and they drive . . . they drive them two-thirds to one-third coming back into the City of Chicago. This is the most unfair, inequitable plan of tax increase that I have ever seen. You are impacting tremendously on tax revenues from suburban Cook County and from the five outlying counties and why? Where is the fairness in that kind of plan. It's unbelievable to me that you would stand over there and what to support a tax increase program on suburban Cook County and the outlying five counties; and it's unbelievable the kind of tax increase that you're talking about. It's a tax increase program that Governor Walker wants, it's a tax increase program that Mayor Daley wants, and 75% of those tax revenues are gonna' come out of that area into the Chicago C.T.A. and you know. Now, let's look at the facts about some more of that money, more of that money is going to come, \$45,000,000 out of the State Road Fund, and what happened to you on 10 and 11 with that phony deal to replace the \$45,000,000. You downstate Democrats better watch what they're trying to hoodwink into right now. You don't have even that \$45,000,000 replacement and you're not going to get it because they never cared about you gettin' it. Walker and Daley never cared about you gettin' it. Now, what you're going to have to vote for over there, right down on a party line vote, is you downstate Democrats are going to have to vote to put \$45,000,000 into 75% gone to the C.T.A. in Chicago. It's that plain, it's that simple, and there's no replacement money because they couldn't even pass 10 and 11. Thank the good Lord there were enough downstate Democrats who were here that understood what they we're trying to do. So right now what you're going to get, you're not going to pass 10 and 11, what you're going to get is \$45,000,000 out of the State Road Fund that's going to go right into Mayor Daley's C.T.A. and furthermore you're going to get \$30,000,000 that's going to go into local roads; \$75,000,000



coming out of the State Road Fund. You downstate Democrats ought to know that they're leading you down the primrose path, and I'd never go along with that kind of a program if I were you. This is nothing other than a Walker-Daley tax increase program for the six counties in northeastern Illinois and a sell-out of the downstate road system as far as the other counties are concerned; and you should be ashamed if you could find your way clear to vote for it, and I hope you don't."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman . . . the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House . . . I would hope that we could have just a wee bit of order from that side. We gave it to the Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Now, you're absolutely right, Representative Choate. Would the Members please be in their seats, will the Members be in their seats, and will those of you who are our guests, please remain silent in the rear of the Chamber so we can wind up the long debate on this important matter?"

Choate: "I was absolutely astounded and I am astounded as I stand here to hear about tax increases as far as the related automobile taxes are concerned from the previous Speaker, the Speaker. You look at any plan, any plan that has been brought up out by the Transportation Highway Study Commission, and you'll find that they had the related automobile taxes. Don't tell me that it's a Walker-Daley deal to increase taxes. Don't tell me that because it's in the other ones also. Let me tell you something else about talking about robbing downstate. You know and I know that that area and especially Cook County pays 70% against 30% as far as the funds that is being talked about. I know, I know there's deep feelings here tonight amongst the downstaters that the Speaker was appealing to on this side of the aisle. I know that because I'm a downstater; but you look at where the votes came from for 10 and 11, from the northern part of the state. They came from this side of the aisle; and as far as I'm concerned, I have a commitment. Simply because I might have been denied something,



is not going to be any reason that I'm going to break my commitment because it wasn't denied from this side of the aisle, from the northern neighbors, and you know it and I know it. Now, let me tell you a little bit about the labor thing. I sat in that great big, old office of mine back there last night with three chairs in it and it was crowded with labor leaders, and it was crowded with my colleagues. There was talking about labor a moment ago, and as far as I'm concerned, there was an agreement reached at that time to put on an Amendment because I happen to feel that the Amendment should be on there, something's happened in the meantime, I guess, that somebody in the other group feels now that there's something else that could happen, and you know and I know that there's not a single Member on this side of the rotunda that can tell you what the Senate is going to do. For me to give anybody an absolute, an absolute guarantee that anything would be like we wanted when it comes out of the Senate would be sheer folly. We all know it. I happen to believe . . . I happen to believe that there's enough responsible Members in this Legislature, I guess you could call me the 'Eternal Optimist', I happen to believe that there's enough responsible thinking Members in this Legislature and I said so to the media as late as just a few moments ago, an hour ago, that Members of this Legislature, both on the Republican side and on the Democratic side, and in both Houses, the House and the Senate, would confront the big issues that are confronting us on these Special Sessions; and it's no secret my friends to the people of the State of Illinois how much money is being expended in these Special Sessions. The media has been darn well sure that they got it across to them; and if we don't face our responsibilities, and if we don't face . . . confront these issues that are so desperately needed and wanted by the people of this state, then I think that we're going to feel the sting, the sting and the wrath of the electorate at the next election. You know, some people have talked about the Governor being in California while these issues are being discussed, it's no secret that he went to California. I . . .



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

my good friend, the Speaker, made sure of that; but I don't blame him; but let me tell you something, there's not a single solitary Legislator in here by what they have made commitments, and by what they have kept those commitments not knowing in the meantime what was going to happen and that they might have to be absent a little bit from their duties. You say that he can't be in contact with what is going on here? Well, I'm telling you that in this modern age in which we live that the telephone brings one just as close from California to New York as if he were sitting in this office; and I was just talking to the Governor for about the ump-teenth time just a few moments ago; and to tell you downstaters one thing, the Governor assured me, and I think that I can take his assurance, that if 10 and 11 was not passed, that he would exert every effort, as the Chief Executive of this state, to bring about the happenings as if they had passed; and I believe him. The one thing that we want to remember here tonight, the one thing that we want to remember here tonight is that we're confronting problems that are human problems. They affect the very livelihood of people of the State of Illinois. I come from Anna, C. L. McCormick has not brought a public transportation system that runs Vienna to Anna. In fact, we don't need it. Well, I forgot we might need it because then the people from Anna could go over to Vienna and shop in the dollar store; but I don't care whether we need a public transportation system in Anna or whether we don't. I'm not elected soley, although it's my first consideration, to confront the problems of that district. I feel that is my responsibility as a Legislator and if I think, if you disbelieve me, check the records, you will find that I happen to feel a sense of responsibility to all of the people of the State of Illinois and should consider the problems of our northern brothers and I'll tell you something else, if you think that R.T.A. thing is only for that six-county area, when you disturb the very economic life blood of that area, you disturb the very economic life blood of the entire State of Illinois, and we all know it. This is not a county or a state divided. This is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the State of Illinois, which we should all be proud of. It's a large state, it's a progressive state, and I love it. It's my state. I'll tell you, I'll tell you, my time is up, I'll tell you what we better do, let's don't be selfish for our own self-centered interests. Let's don't be politically selfish. Let's don't be oriented towards the area where we come from. I have a commitment to the people of the State of Illinois. I have a commitment that I have voiced in the media, not only in the last Session, but all times during this Session. I attempt . . . I am going to attempt to keep that commitment. I'm going to attempt to keep my word. I'm going to support House Bill 12; and I hope that more . . . every Member in this House together here tonight does the same. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, as for our agreement, the Gentleman from Johnson, Representative McCormick, and then . . . then Representative Garmisa will close."

McCormick: "Will you please roll out that map for me please?"

Speaker Telcser: "Wait, wait one second."

Choate: "Mr. . . . ah . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, he wants . . . Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise?"

Choate: "I want to know if you're going to talk loud enough that I can sit over here or should I come over there and hear you?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Repre . . . you're on, C. L."

McCormick: "Ah . . . As good a friend as we are, and as close as we live together down in southern Illinois, probably the mike is of no assistance to either one of us because we talk every once in a while, and sometimes we get loud enough that we can almost talk from town to town; and I'm sorry tonight that I have to be on opposite sides of the fence with Clyde because Clyde and . . . and in talking to you by name, I don't think that you should take it other than just as friends. I notice that back a few months ago, when we were wrestling around with the C.T.A. Bill last year, and I noticed that when you didn't see fit to let the Governor have his way in that C.T.A. Bill, and he came down



to southern Illinois and he said . . . and to think about it, the Majority . . . the Minority Leader of my own party turned me down. At that time, oh, how the people of southern Illinois were proud of you. It made us think down home a little bit differently than we did when he was down there with you the other day dedicating a dam, and as it happened, there was a cut-off in the way the T.V. or the radio said it, and he said, instead of 'Clyde, we'll do this or that', he said, 'Clyde Lee'; but I am happy for one thing, that the Minority Leader and the Governor are seeing eye to eye, even though I don't think that is best for us. So tonight we can say they have had a wedding; but I am not so sure how it was consummated; and I am sure that there is only other man in Illinois that can tell us how long it will last, and he comes from the City of Chicago; but if I might reminisce just a little bit more on an important question that really and truly affects all of us in Illinois, tonight if I were an ordained baptist preacher, I would lead off with a prayer for the loss of the highway system in Illinois for, not just a year or two, but for probably our lifetime. Every man in this room, and even I was kind of two-faced about it, wasn't I, Hanahan, old buddy? We had a terrible fight after the non-partisan Commission come back in with a program for a system of highways in Illinois that would bring us up to a modern state; and it was Bill that you couldn't keep from getting labor okayed on it because you had a contract for every contractor, Hanahan, and you didn't have to take anybody's word for that, did you? We stood here on the floor of this House and we voted tax increases for trucks, for automobiles, for gasoline; and I even slipped town and got my name off of it because I live too close to Kentucky; but it was the first time in the history of Illinois that you people in the General Assembly voted on the tax increase and you had the promise, and you had the map, and you had the proof of where the money was going to be spent, and everybody from Chicago to Carroll from Terre Haute over to Quincy left here happy thinking that something good was gonna' happen to everybody in Illinois;



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and then we had all of the best planners that can be brought from anywhere in the state, 25 and 30 year old men, I mean service men, that knew highways and planned and we built the best and the most outstanding Highway Department in all of the United States; and I can't tell you who were Democrats or Republicans, outside of Saline, and he was better to you, Clyde, than he was to any Republican in this House. What I'm trying to get across to you now the new administration took over in November of last year, and today there's not a man in this House, including the Governor's assistants, that can tell you that the Highway Department in this state is in shambles as far as planning is concerned. Your key personnel that you've had for years are gone and what's not gone are shaking in their boots because they think they're gettin' the word any day that there's not going to anymore roads in downstate Illinois. Now, Ladies and Gentlemen, I can tell you something else, and this is only a prediction, and I'm not Jeanne Dixon, but I'm just wondering, Representative Shea, how long is it going to take those people from Kent up there to find out that cross-town is really bad only in southern Illinois?, and I have no objection to it. I'd want to build a cross-town too if I lived . . . Ladies and Gentlemer, you say you're going to send money back down to the counties, \$50,000, Clyde, goes Dawson County. That won't even put the bridge that we're are saddled with in Little Cache Creek, just before you get to your farm. If you remember even the Speaker of this House and I'm going to beat him just a little bit too, he come in with your Commission Report, where are you, Robert?, Anyway, he come in with the Commission Report, you remember, and you Democrats helped on this real good, too, especially you, brother Hill. Don't you remember, we'll take over if the county will take over 146 or 145 or 37, we'll give you a little dab of money, and then from now on out you maintain it. Remember, Jimmy Holloway? You remember it because Eubie lives down there, don't she? Well, I'm talking about he works hard for roads in his area. What I'm trying to get across to you, they're going to give us \$50,000.



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

NOV 15 1973

218.

They say you can use it on streets, you can use it on country roads, you can also use it on state highways. What are you going to do when they come down and say, 'Look, if you want that road fixed through your county, you're going to have to agree to give us your little dab of money back'. What are you going to do about that? What you're doing with this things today, you're burying the very future of industry and your children's future in Illinois as far as highway's concerned; and one other thing I think we ought to talk about, and I know I may be getting too long, but one other think I think we ought to talk about. I think, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we ought to talk about something else. I know when you've got a Governor in power, even though your Democrat Chairman yet don't have many jobs, I understand that, and I know that more people, even in our districts, vote because of what they think they're going to get than what they're going to get, and that's what you're doing tonight, you're going to find out, you're going to find out that when we've killed this part of the program, you've not just killed Johnson County or Union County or Madison County, you've also hurt Chicago, and you talk about 70% of the money coming out of there. How many people do you think sends their orders to Sears and Roebuck? How many people do you think drive into that place? I've been skinned up there many a time on my orders. You know, Illinois is just exactly like a human being. It's a thing, it's a person; and if you've got a cancer in Cairo, and it needs treatment, you just don't cut it off unless you have to. You take help from the rich and you help the poor, and you talk about getting nothing in Cook County out of a billion or what \$200,000,000 of relief, you get .84 or .85 cents out of every dollar of it. You're not so bad off, you're not so hurt; and I want you to have a transportation system. Oh, but God, I'd rather vote for the subsidy, I'd rather vote for anything because in five years from today, thank God, I won't even be here. Your budget for R.T.A. will be bigger than the Mayor's billion-dollar budget for the whole City of Chicago, and you and everyone in this room know it today, and



NOV 15 1973

219.

you liberals know it better than anybody else because you'll see where they're spending the money. It . . . it . . . it hurts me . . . it hurts me because I know that those people in western Illinois are in bad shape; but they're not even in as bad as shape maybe as Roscoe is over here. At least he says it; and Clyde, and Jim and Dick Hart, we have been fortunate in our area because we have been able to have rapport and work within ourselves and among ourselves for projects that had to be for our area; but there's no excuse for us to rob downstate Illinois completely for this thing, when we can do it without doing it, and there's ways of doing it. Ladies and Gentlemen, I sincerely plead with you before you tell the people of downstate Illinois that they're going to have to ride on a horse and buggy for another 20 years in order to have something completed up there. That makes me think of one other thing, too. Do you remember the 1967 Session of the General Assembly, and that no greater man ever served in this House than Jack Touhy, no man, I don't care, Democrat or Republican, he's the finest fellow I ever know or one of them. We were having a wrestle on some kind of a C.T.A. District. We were going to set up a C.T.A. District of some kind, I forget the technical name and everything; and they didn't have the votes to do it because the Chicago Republicans at that time wouldn't support it because they said it would be a tax increase. They don't mind tax increasing us, but they, you know, they didn't want it for themselves; and Jack stood on this floor of the House and he said, 'If you will give me the final passage of this Bill, where we can organize our own districts, we'll never have to come back to this House for help with C.T.A. again', and you got it, and the next time you come back for help, the answer was the reason we have to come back for help is because it's in the courts and we don't whether it's constitutional yet or not. Well, it is constitutional. The court ruled in your favor, and you've been back every year since, and you'll be back next year, and the next year, and it's all right, it's all right. I have no objection to it, but give me your



\$45,000,000 or \$50,000,000 or \$100,000,000 every year; but, God, don't take that away from us, and that's what you're doing tonight. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Bud, can you explain your vote? We all agreed that I want to call the two Democratic Leaders and two Republican Leaders. Do you want to open up again for some more? Well, Representative Krause has risen. I want to respect his wishes, but everyone agreed before that I'd call Representatives Shea and Choate and . . . ah . . . Blair and McCormick. Can you explain it, Bud? Okay, Representative Garmisa to close."

Garmisa: "Well, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been put through a terrible disadvantage here this evening. First of all, in having to follow the Stone Cunningham Act and then now having to make my closing statement after the other side of the aisle has wheeled out their largest oratorical guns in order to shoot down the Londrigan-Garmisa Bill. We all of us here know just how severe this crisis really is. The problem is no longer recognize . . . recognition of the need, the problem is now in this Legislature, and if we are to be responsive to the needs of our constituency, we must act and we must act decisively right now to save our rapidly collapsing public transportation system. Another compelling and more immediate need for this Legislature to act quickly and create an R.T.A. is the energy crisis that we have all heard about; and this crisis is here and right now; and in view of this energy crisis the persons who depend solely upon their automobiles may soon be forced by the virtue of certainty of gasoline rationing to turn to public transportation, to rapid transit, to buses or to the commuter railroads. I feel confident that all of us in this Legislature will set aside their partisan differences to insure the creation of an R.T.A. based on a sound, sufficient and continuing funding plan; and Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of this House, the primrose path has been pretty well duntrodden here this evening; but without an effective and a viable R.T.A. Bill to be in enacted into law by this General Assembly, there'll



be millions of transit riders in the northern part of this state that it may be set afoot and will create many and many more paths in order to get to their place of business or in order to get to work; and now, I make no claims that House Bill 12 will be a panacea that will cure all of the mass transit ills in this state; but we do have to make a start, we have to make a start somewhere, and the first step that should be taken should be in the enacting into the law of House Bill 12; and I would surely appreciate the support of every Member of this honorable Body."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, if the Members will be in their seats, and remain silent on this Roll Call in order to . . . ah . . . help the Members . . . in order to help the Members keep track of the Roll Call after a Member votes, the Clerk will repeat the vote which the Member has cast. All right? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Shea: "A point of parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Shea: "How many Bills does this vote . . . or does this . . . how many . . . how many votes does this piece of legislation require to be declared pass in its present form?"

Speaker Telcser: "107 votes."

Shea: "Could you tell me if that's because Section E of 404? The Bill specifically excudes home rule powers. Could you tell or ask your Parliamentarian why she's requiring or you're requiring 107 votes?"

Speaker Telcser: "Principally, Representative Shea, because the Section to which you allude could very well obligate the state for the R.T.A. bond, which by the way is the point which Representative Hill made in his remarks, and there is case law with respect to that in 'Rosemont versus the Illinois Highway Trust Authority', based principally on those reasons, the Chair feels compelled that this will take 107 votes."

Shea: "If that Section were removed from the Bill, would the Bill then only require 89 votes?"



Speaker Telcser: "The Chair would have to make that ruling at the time. At such time, if it should occur that the Section is removed, then we could look at the Bill again within the context of its new language."

Shea: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The question is, shall House Bill 12 pass? All those in favor will signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'; the Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Alsup 'aye'; Anderson; Arnell, Arnell 'no'; Barnes, Barnes 'aye'; Barry; Beatty, Beatty 'aye'; Beaupre, Beaupre 'aye'; Berman, Berman 'aye'; Bluthardt; Borchers; Boyle, Boyle 'aye'; Bradley . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Bradley, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Bradley: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Bradley: "I'll be very brief about it, but I think it's . . . this thing's been kicked around for a couple of hours and I've sat here and listened to everybody else. The reason I'm voting for this Bill is that I made a statement about three or four weeks ago that with the \$15 coming back to the counties that's in this Bill, that if we could use part of that money for the . . . to make up the loss to the counties that Representative Clabaugh's Bill, 60, attempted to do, that I would support this Bill. Now, I know there's no Amendment in the Bill the way it is tonight; but I've been given the assurances by the Leader on this side of the aisle, in fact, we had an Amendment drafted to attempt to amend this Bill, and decided we would let it go the way it is . . . and Clyde and Madigan said he would assure me that he will put that Amendment . . . attempt to put it on, and that's good enough for me, if that's what he tells me. I . . . it's good enough for me that 50% of the money will be earmarked, 50% of that \$15 which goes back to the counties will be earmarked for highways, and 50% can be used to make up the loss of those tax collectors' fees. Now, the largest county that I represent in



Speaker Telcser: "Record the Lady as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Catania 'no'; Chapman, Chapman 'aye'; Choate, Choate 'aye'; Clabaugh, Clabaugh 'no'; Collins, Collins 'no'; Craig; Cunningham, D'Arco, D'Arco 'aye'; Davis . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I intended to speak on this subject, but I yielded my time to men who knew more about it than I ever dared to know. I have been accused of many time, well, I was accused not long ago under the last administration of being a party to an illegal wedding. When I voted for the income tax, I was told by people in my district that you as a preacher officiated at a bigamy wedding between Mayor Daley and Governor Ogilvie and imposed upon us an income tax. I knew and believe what the Governor at that time said that he needed that money, and I was willing to accept whatever the people said because I thought it was more important to get that money and try and maintain the schools in my district, and it was to protect myself from names because there's an old adage, 'Sticks and stones may hurt my bones, but names will never harm me'. Now, I've listened to this debate, and it's been a wonderful debate, and I made these notes because I don't want to go overtime. You see, a preacher, if he doesn't have some notes, he'll go overtime. I want to say to everyone of you, I hope your painstaking, hard work, and it has been painstaking and it has been hard work, I hope the painstaking and hard work which you have exhibited here in the debate in working on this Bill will commend the respect from the people of your district. For that's whom you owe respect to. I will not, as I stand here now or whether I ever, question the motives of any man, only the penetrating eyes of God Almighty can look behind the scene and determine the motives of the conduct of men. So I will not question it. I believe you are sincere in whatever you express here tonight, and I want you to know that I am sincere in whatever I express here tonight. I heard, and I'm sure I can rely on this statement, that two-thirds of this money will be returned to the counties, at least



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

it won't be like old Mother Hubbard who went to the cupboard and found the cupboard bare. There will be something there. I heard somebody else talk about the protection of labor and then my distinguished friend told them nobody could tell what the Bill would look back . . . look like when it came from the Senate, and I've had that experience, and I said, 'Amen', because I know that's true. I've sent a many one over there, and I didn't recognize it when it got back here. I see all of those things; but they tell me we gonna' have gas rationing, and have you ever been through gas rationing? Well, I have in the last war. Have you ever thought that you had three or four gallons of gas in your car and then went out the next morning only you thought was going to work, and somebody took a hose and had siphoned it out of the car? Have you ever been through that? Well, I have, and I have been willing to pay from \$1 up a gallon. I don't know what's going to happen in the counties, whether we're going to be able to drive our cars or not; but there's one thing I do know, and I'm on the Advisory Committee on Public Aid, if you don't have some way to get these people, and I'm talking from the bottom of my heart, to and from from their jobs, they're going to be eating up each other, do you hear me? They're going to be eating up each other in this district. You're talking about the mounting welfare rolls. Sure we ask for \$1,400,000; but you just cut off the service that I've been told that they're going to cut it off. You just give 'em . . . ah . . . you ask for public aid, and I'm going to tell you that the lines will be long gone at the public aid stations than there was in the bread line of the 30's. I'm going to tell you this, and I'm going . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Davis, would you conclude your remarks? Explanation of votes is two minutes. All right, then all of you realize your . . . your Second Reading Bills are dead that you've all been coming up here asking . . ."

Davis: ". . . I don't know what the Bill will say about labor when it comes back, but I know what Mayor Daley will say about labor.



There isn't a public official anywhere in these United States who has a better record on labor than Richard Daley of Chicago, and I defy contradiction. I know what Daley will say about it. The might of any people or any nation lies in the moral and spiritual integrity of its leaders. Your people can't stand on this floor and speak, they speak through you. Is this what your people want you to do? Remember you have to live with yourself. Do they want to take a chance, and I know some of you think Chicago is a device of the devil, there's no such thing as perfection. I hear you stand up here and talk about perfection all night. Well, it never will be obtained, and it never has been obtained. Perfection never has been . . . the only two perfect laws I know are the two laws that the good Lord laid down when he said, 'Thou shall love the Lord, Thy God, with all thy heart, with all thy soul and will all thy might', and the second law is like unto it, 'Thy shall love thy neighbor as thyself'. Talk about Chicago if you will, but there are over 3,000,000 human beings in Chicago, and they are your neighbors, and if you believe in perfection, 'Thou shall love even your neighbors in Chicago as yourself'; and they will suffer, do you hear, if you cut off this . . . I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Davis votes 'aye'; Craig votes 'aye'; Craig came up to the rostrum, we passed him by; Day, did he vote? Can't hear him . . . Deavers, Deavers 'no'; Dee . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee. Now, let me remind the Members, explanation of votes is two minutes."

Dee: "This will take less than that."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay."

Dee: "In my long dissertation, with the presser being shut off, I missed the most important part. I haven't the experience of Stone or Cunningham, I'd like to say that the 20th District in it's abiding need for mass transportation will support, not only the Garmisa Bill, but the Blair Bill and the Katz Bill; and we



will vote on all of them 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Dee 'aye'; Deuster . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, wait a second, let's get Calvo, who wants to vote . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . the Gentleman is recorded as not voting . . ."

Calvo: "'Aye'."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . record Calvo as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Calvo 'aye' . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, let's proceed."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Deuster; DiPrima, DiPrima 'aye'; Douglas . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Douglas to explain his vote."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as Representative McCormick's diagram stood before us a few moments ago, I was reminded of a human being's blood circulation. It reminded me as I entered this General Assembly as an urban Legislator about three years that I came here with a typical urban biases of wondering why this state spent as much money as it did on its highway system. Since that time, as a Chicagoan, I have learned that the highways are as important to downstate Illinois as the public transportation system is to the City of Chicago. I have voted for and will continue to vote for every highway Bill that comes up within reason to give the support to downstate Illinois that's vitally needed to keep that blood circulation alive; but at the same time, I think it's vital to the entire State of Illinois that we all recognize that the public transportation system of Chicago metropolitan area is the economic heartbeat of the State of Illinois, which will keep those highways alive and keep the blood and the oxygen flowing. It is necessary that you understand us and we understand you that we put aside the petty politican bickering that's been going these last few days, that we give you the help that you need and you recognize that in standing before you and asking for you assistance for the public transportation system and the economic heartbeat of the State of Illinois that we need your vote desparately. I'm dismayed when a Chicagoan stands



up and votes against this Bill, as I have seen here tonight; and I hope that downstaters will appreciate with us that we desperately need your support, politics aside, so that we can get the R.T.A. going, which will help all of Illinois to be a bigger and better state. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. Proceed with the . . ."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Douglas 'aye'; Duff; Ralph Dunn, Ralph Dunn 'no'; R. L. Dunne, R. L. Dunne 'aye'; Dyer; Ebbesen, Ebbesen 'no'; Epton, Epton 'aye'; Ewell . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ewell, to explain his vote."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, these are indeed critical times for the R.T.A. in any chance of passage. I know that as I've been here and as we are all politicians, we eagerly look forward to the next election. We make statements that might be quoted so that we might be known. We favor our districts, and we do all types of things simply to get elected to the next election; but I think that there are times when we must rise above our petty, partisan attitude and rise to the needs of the people of the state as a whole. I think if we ever attempt to consider ourselves, not merely politicians, but perhaps statesmen . . . statesmen, we must look a little beyond the next election; and if we make any attempt to look beyond the next election, we know that we must have a viable system of transportation in the City of Chicago; and we know what must be done to maintain it. It might sound good in your local press to say that you stood up and fought for the people to say that you stood and fought against any form of tax increase, and it may look good to them, and they may say they accept it; but what will you tell them fought years hence, when the mot . . . when the motor fuel taxes have run out because the people in the City of Chicago don't pay them? What are you going to sell then in your stores, what are you going to bring to your people then? What bridges are you going to build? What dams are you going to get? And I, for one, can



go county by county and tell you what you have taken out of this state by the way of dams, bridges, and cross . . . the bridges that cross everything from creeks, rivers and sloughs, and I even learned the distinction between them; and Ladies and Gentlemen, I say to you tonight that if you don't join with us, if you let this R.T.A. package, which we have hammered out, and yes, many of us have been prostitutes for things we don't want in this Bill; however, it has become a necessity, and if you let it go down the drain tonight, we'll all be back here either next week or next month or else in the year hereafter we're going to be scrounging around for some new taxes from somewhere or for some money from somewhere; and I think it's about time that we rise above our petty ambitions of a next election and look forward to the future of all of the people, and particularly the people in the City of Chicago."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye', I assume, is that right? 'Aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Ewell 'aye'; Farley; Fary, Fary 'aye'; Fennessey, Fennessey 'aye'; Fleck, Fleck 'aye'; Flinn, Flinn 'aye', Friedland, Friedland 'no'; Garmisa, Garmisa 'aye'; Geo-Karis, Geo-Karis 'no'; Getty, Getty 'aye'; Gibbs; Giglio; Giorgi; Griesheimer, Griesheimer 'aye'; Grotberg, Grotberg 'no'; Hanahan, Hanahan 'present'; Harpstrite, Harpstrite 'no'; Hart, Hart 'aye'; Hill, Hill 'no'; Hirschfeld . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think I'd rather address my remarks to the first year Legislators more than the older Members because they're . . . they'll know what I'm talking about; but when I came down here for the first term, I had the naive concept that this was going to be a partisan Body; and we voted on over six thousand Bills the first Session that I was in Springfield, and of those six thousand Bills, less than one hundred were partisan Bills, where one side of the aisle pitted it wits against the other side. The real cancer in this Legislature was the Cook County or City



of Chicago Bills versus the rest of the State of Illinois; and there were hundreds of them, and downstate Illinois didn't win a single battle. All you've got to do is look at the last ticket that ran for office in this state. There were five men on each party, four from the Cook County area, basically, and one from downstate. The Democrats threw the downstate a bone with Dean Barringer; the Republican's threw the downstate a bone with Jim Nolan from Stark County, one of the smallest counties of the State of Illinois. I am . . . he's right next to Cook County. I am sick, I might point out at the present time, also, at least for the moment both United States' Senators are from Cook County, but I am sickened to tears with the insatiable appetite of the Mayor of Illinois; and I think, frankly, it's time that . . . that Cook County, and the City of Chicago in particular, quit raping downstate Illinois. I'm going to vote 'no'; and I'm not going to vote for anymore money Bills for Cook County until downstate Illinois starts getting its fair share of the profits in this State."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hirschfeld 'no'; Gene Hoffman; Ron Hoffman, Ron Hoffman 'no'; Jimmy Holloway, Jimmy Holloway 'aye'; Robert Holloway . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Robert Holloway."

Holloway, R.: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, with your permission, I'd like to explain my vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Holloway, R.: "This is my first term here, and this has turned out to be sort of a partisan issue. I grew up in Chicago and I remember when we had the Chicago Service Line, the Chicago Board of Coach Company and the Rapid Transit. Then they told us that we had to have the C.T.A., and then they gave us the C.T.A. Now, the C.T.A. was in Chicago, and then someone came along and said that we had to have a Regional Transit Authority. Now, I don't know where that idea came from, but I feel reasonably



certain that it didn't originate in Chicago. Now, I live in Chicago and I represent a Chicago district; and when I leave Springfield and I go back to Chicago, the one question people continue to ask me is, are we going to have a Regional Transit Authority?, because a Regional Transit Authority is now synonymous with whether or not they're going to be able to get to work next week. Now, I'm going to vote 'aye', I'm going to vote against the majority of the Republicans . . . ah . . . here in this Legislature; and it has nothing to do with how good a Republican I am. There are a lot of people around here who wondered and they thought what an oddity I am because I'm the only black Republican here; and sometimes people ask peculiar questions. Well, I don't apologize for being a Republican. I've been a Republican all of my life; and I happen to know what Republicanism is all about; and I think I represent what Republicanism is all about; and I think the people that I represent what Republicanism is all about. So I'm not talking about partisanship. I'm talking about the needs of people. We're in the weaning hours here; and as R.T.A. or no R.T.A.; and when I go back and say to my people, I'm going to tell them that I voted for every R.T.A. Bill that came along, and that's why I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'; and Representative . . . Representative Giorgi has also voted 'aye'."

Clerk Salcke: ". . . R. Holloway 'aye'; Giorgi 'aye'; D. Houlihan, D. Houlihan 'aye'; J. Houlihan, J. Houlihan 'aye'; Hudson, Hudson 'no'; Hunsicker; Huskey . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One minute. Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you arise?"

Hunsicker: "Just to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I just want to say a few words regarding this legislation. I represent part of a county in the proposed authority, and a majority



of the people of this part of the county are violently opposed to being talked into the R.T.A. I'm speaking about thousands of people, who will never use this system, who must help pay for it. It has always been stated since I've been down here that the present C.T.A. operates at a loss. Now, why, I ask you, does the board think it could operate if it's not a loss by cutting fares, instead of increasing them. Business can't operate that way, as all of you know who operate a business. It looks to me like this whole structure that R.T.A. has proposed by House Bill 12 is biased against the suburbs and outlying counties in favor of the City of Chicago. You know, this Legislature has been voting millions to the C.T.A. every Session, ever since I've been a Member down here. It's always nice, you know, to have someone else pay for your own convenience. I was amused by the statements by the good Deacon a minute ago about gas rationing in the war and about having the gasoline ciphoned out of his gas tank, and this will probably happen again. Well, I've got news for him. I've had it happen to me on numerous occasions when we didn't have gas rationing. He also said that you should love your neighbor as thyself, and this I adhere to as the good Book says, and in my book it also means, don't take advantage of him. Senior citiz . . . gas . . . if gas is to be rationed, perhaps the people in the metropolitan area will then use the mass transit . . . the mass transit system and it could operate at a profit as it rightfully should. I understand the senior citizens of Chicago are allowed to ride the Rapid Transit System up there now for half fare, and I also hear rumblings that if the R.T.A. is proposed that maybe they're going to be allowed to ride free; and I charge that if this legislation is elected . . . is put . . . enacted that this is exactly what you will see happen. This is no way to ^{pay} expenses of anything. As I view the picture, the people who use the system should pay for it; and it was stated debate on the proposed Amendments to this Bill yesterday, that we, as Representatives of the people, should have the backbone and vote for our people



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

instead of having a referendum for public opinion, as one of the Amendments was proposed yesterday. Well, since the people of Kane County seem to be overwhelmingly opposed to being sucked into the establishment of this system, and I, as one of their elected Representatives and supposed to represent them on this issue, I want it to be known that I have the backbone to represent them; and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hunsicker 'no'; Giglio 'aye'; Huskey, Huskey 'no'; Hyde . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's been amusing to sit here and listen to the passionate rhetoric on both sides of the aisle betraying a willingness rather to attribute failure and blame in the hope of extracting some political mileage rather than an understanding that in sharing achievement and accomplishment there's much more political mileage. I can only wish that the . . . ah . . . persuasive rhetoric of my good friend, Deacon Davis, could've been directed over in the Senate yesterday to Cecil Partee and some of . . . and some of his colleagues who sat on their hands while the . . . the best R.T.A. Bill was . . . shoved it aside; and one could only wonder if the motivations that animates people over there, whether they're concerned about people, are much concerned about political advantage. Nevertheless, we have a desperate situation in Cook County and in Chicago; and I think the remarks here have been more divisive than illuminating and instructive; and I'm going to vote for this, and not because it's a good Bill, but because it is a vehicle, and I want it to get over to the Senate, where they'll have their way on it, and, hopefully, can fashion it into a good, decent Bill to provide for the mass transit needs in the northern six counties; and so with reluctance I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Hyde 'aye'; Jacobs, Jacobs 'aye'; Jaffe, Jaffe 'aye'; Jenison, Jenison 'no'; Emil Jones, Emil Jones 'aye'; Dave Jones,



McLean, the loss of the tax collectors' fees amounted to \$720,000. The amount of money of . . . at \$15 per license plates coming back to that county is \$742,000; and that county can live with \$360,000 back to the county board to make up that deficit; and that is the one reason that I gave my word and told . . . made an announcement three or four weeks ago I would support the Governor when he announced this program, and I intend to fulfill my commitment tonight; and I, Mr. Speaker, vote 'yes' on House Bill 12."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Bradley 'aye'; Brandt, Brandt 'aye'; Brinkmeier; Brummet, Brummet 'aye'; Caldwell, Caldwell 'aye'; Calvo; Campbell, Campbell 'no'; Capparelli, Capparelli 'aye'; Capuzi, Capuzi 'aye'; Carter; Catania . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Catania, for what purpose do you arise?"

Catania: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to explain my vote, if I may?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed."

Catania: "At the end of last June, we had a Regional Transit Authority plan, which I thought was an excellent plan, and I was all set to vote for it because I think that we desperately need a Regional Transit Authority in the six-county area. Unfortunately, that was a Republican's plan, and the Governor didn't have a plan of his own. So he held it up, thereby, selling out the people of the six-county area, particularly, the people that I represent on the south side of Chicago. Now, we're used to being sold out, and we're used to being used, but we're not going to put up with it much longer, and I don't think that this Bill does anything for the people on the south side of Chicago or the people on the north side of Chicago or anybody else in the six-county area that's good for us. I don't think we should vote for unlimited taxing power. We can't stand that in the 22nd Legislative District; and I don't think it does anything good for downstate either; and I vote 'no'."



Dave Jones 'no'; Juckett, Juckett 'no'; Katz, Katz 'aye'; Keller, Keller, Keller 'aye'; Kelly, Kelly 'aye'; Kempiners, Kempiners 'no'; Kennedy, Kennedy 'aye'; Kent, Kent 'no'; Klosak, Klosak 'aye'; Kosinski, Kosinski 'aye'; Kozubowski, Kozubowski 'aye'; Krause . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, you all heard two outstanding orators tonight, Clyde Choate and C. L. McCormick, you also heard two very good speeches; but I'll tell you one thing, they didn't really get started. You ought to hear those fellows in a campaign, they'll really give you a speech. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Krause 'aye'; Kriegsman, Kriegsman 'no'; Kucharski, Kucharski 'aye'; LaFleur, LaFleur 'no'; Lauer, Lauer 'no'; Laurino, Laurino 'aye'; Lechowicz, Lechowicz 'aye'; Leinenweber, Leinenweber 'no'; Lemke, Lemke 'aye'; Leon, Leon 'aye'; Londrigan, Londrigan 'aye'; Lundy, Lundy 'aye'; Macdonald, Macdonald 'no'; Madigan, Madigan 'aye'; Mahar . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."

Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I kind of apologize for taking a moment to explain my vote. I don't think I've bothered you with very much rhetoric during the year that I've been down here. When I came down here, I realized full well that we needed a Mass Transit District. We needed a reasonable Transit Authority because prior to my coming down here, I had the opportunity to work on the formation of the South Suburban Mass Transit District and see what it has done for my district. I find that many people in my district feel we should have a Regional Transit Authority; the mayors are concerned, the railroad people are concerned, labor is concerned; and I also realized that a Mass Transit Authority is a compromise, it's the best of everything, and last night here on this floor, I had a very simple Amendment, which I thought would improve the concept of a Regional Transit Authority, because I think a Regional Transit



Authority is something that's not for today, it's for tomorrow, it's for five or ten years from now. In order to have . . . have a good authority, they've got to get the best of everything. I don't think we're quite ready for compromise, and they're going to have to keep at it, and we're going to have to come back and try again; and I vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Mahar 'no'; Mann, Mann 'aye'; Maragos, Maragos 'aye'; Martin, Martin 'aye'; Matijevich, Matijevich 'aye'; McAuliffe, McAuliffe 'aye'; McAvoy, McAvoy 'aye'; McClain; McCormick; McCourt, McCourt 'aye'; McGah, McGah 'aye'; McGrew; McLendon, McLendon 'aye'; McMaster, McMaster 'no'; McPartlin, McPartlin 'aye'; Merlo, Merlo 'aye'; Kenny Miller, Kenny Miller 'no'; Tom Miller, Tom Miller 'no'; Molloy, Molloy 'aye'; Mugalian; Murphy, Murphy 'no'; Nardulli, Nardulli 'aye'; Neff, Neff 'no'; North, North 'no'; Palmer, Palmer 'no'; Pappas; Patrick, Patrick 'aye'; Peters, Peters 'aye'; Philip; Pierce, Pierce 'aye'; Polk, Polk 'no'; Porter . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in explaining my vote, I think that . . . ah . . . this is a poor Bill, and everyone of us in here knows it. It provides for unlimited motor vehicle related taxing powers that . . . ah . . . can be imposed by an appointed board having a five-year term. There's no way that the people can control that. It has no referendum provisions to ask the people in the district whether they want an R.T.A. or not. It has not mandatory allocation of funds to suburban areas where they are raised; \$45,000,000 comes out of the Road Fund without replacement; and \$60,000,000 comes out of the General Revenue Fund, and that's only the beginning. I think all of us know that this poor excuse for a Bill if it passes here will prevent a better Bill, that is the Harris Bill, from ever coming over from the Senate. It will also prevent the Katz Bill, which I am a Cosponsor, from being heard here or the Blair Bill. There are . . . and there is an opposite theory that Representative Hyde just expressed to you. I pledged to vote for a responsible



R.T.A. Bill. I would be happy to vote for anyone's R.T.A. Bill, but I will not vote for any R.T.A. Bill. I think I would be willing to stay here as long as it's necessary to adopt a responsible Bill. This is not such a Bill, I vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Porter 'no'; Mugalian 'aye'; Randolph, Randolph 'aye'; Rayson, Rayson 'aye'; Redmond, Redmond 'aye'; Rigney, Rigney 'no'; Rose; Ryan, Ryan 'no'; Sangmeister; Schisler . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, well, one minute, the Gentleman from Will, Representative Sangmeister."

Sangmeister: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, just a few minutes of your time because we were cut off of making any comments on debate. I think one of the roughest things for any Member of a party to do is have to oppose his own Governor, particularly after he received a call asking for his support. I like to consider myself a party man, and I tried to work in this particular R.T.A. matter in a spirit of cooperation . . . ah . . . I think Representative Deuster's Bill was a very, very good Bill for compromise, but we got absolutely no cooperation from you people at all. I can understand your not wanting a referendum because you are right, counties like mine, Will County, would have opt out of here. So I can understand why you didn't want to support that kind of a referendum. We then asked you to make sure that we had a guarantee of a reasonable amount of money coming back, which you did make some effort in this Bill to do; and then we got down to the real simple thing last night of asking for just . . . just allow us to have a Representative on the board. I thought that was the simplest, minutest little request that anybody could've granted; but the arrogance over on this side of the aisle was, it's gonna' be our Bill, it's gonna' be our way and no other way. Well, then, Gentlemen, I presume you have the 107 votes you need, you may have them. We'll soon find out; but, I'm sorry, it's going to be without mine, and I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Sangmeister 'no'; Schisler, Schisler 'aye'; Schlickman, Schlickman 'aye'; Schneider, Schneider 'aye'; Schoeberlein



..."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Kane, Representative Schoeberlein."

Schoeberlein: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the life of me, I want to explain my vote, for the life of me, I have not been able to find out how Kane County ever became a part of this. In 1959, '60 and '61, I was Chairman of the County Board in Kane County. At that time, a Northern Illinois Planning Commission was formed, I don't know what they call it today, and we had many, many meetings of the County Board as to whether Kane County should become a part of it. We didn't have a mayor coming down and saying, 'yes or no', but we let the County Board handle it because they represented all of the people of Kane County, Democrats and Republicans. We met with them as . . . ah . . . Senator Berning, who was then Chairman of the County Board of Lake County, and by resolution of vote, the counties we joined, Litchfield, or whatever they call it today, and that is how we became a member of the Northern Illinois Planning. Now, this was in reverse action. Nobody in Kane County was consulted in the County Board or otherwise as to what or if they wanted to become a part of this. I have a perfect right to feel the way I do in representing the people of Kane . . . of Kane County and the 39th District. My people settled there in 1858; and we've lived there ever since, and we've worked for the county and for the people of the county; and I have been told by the people in my district to vote 'no' on this particular Bill; and I, therefore, vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schoeberlein 'no'; Schraeder . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm not going to belabor the subject very long; but I want to make a couple of points very clear. I think everybody fairly well realizes that I am a Democrat and have been for many years. I think you also realize my two terms here that I am not a Chicago Democrat or downstate



Democrat, but a Democrat interested in the people of Illinois. I'm interested in labor because I come from labor. I'm interested in management because I . . . I own a small restruant. I know both sides of the fence, and it seems to me there's been talk about somebody being led down last night in the back room that the labor people are . . . the labor people were worried about. I'm worried about them, too. If commitments were made, I hope those commitments are rectified in the Senate; and I will say this, we've been laboring here since January on a mass transit for the Chicago area. I supported other issues on this, and I'm going to support this one; but rest assured this Bill is not a panacea, it has got flaws all over the place. The high tax rate that can be imposed upon the citizens of the six-county area could very well be disastrous in those six-county areas; but that is not going to stop me from voting for this issue. I hope when they get to the Senate, there's some men over there that are wise, and in this case here, better than some of our Leadership has been because we've had Bill after Bill since January, and let me say this, our Leadership have not been leaders in this field, they've been politicians, and we're not down here as politicians, that comes next month when we start campaigning. We're supposed to be Legislators, and let's do a little legislating for a change. Let's send this Bill to the Senate, get some Amendment on over there, and when it comes here maybe we'll have good Bill that I can support. I'll support it now, we'll wait and see later what I'll do. I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Schraeder 'aye'; Sevcik, Sevcik 'aye'; Sharp, Sharp 'aye'; Shea, Shea 'aye'; Shurtz, Shurtz 'no'; Timothy Simms . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Representative Simms."

Simms: "Mr. Speaker, briefly explaining my vote, I've heard so much conversation and rhetoric tonight how lucky downstate Illinois is and all the things that we have, and to the optimism of many of the downstate Legislators that voted for this. I think your



optimism is as highly as misplaced as General Custer yelled out to his troops before the battle, 'Don't take any prisoners'. I vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Timothy Simms 'no'; Ike Sims . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, wait one second on that, Representative Ike Sims. I could see, I don't know if Fred could see him."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Ike Sims 'aye'; Skinner, Skinner 'no'; Soderstrom, Soderstrom 'no'; Springer . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Springer 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Springer 'no'; Stedelin, Stedelin 'aye'; Stiehl, Stiehl 'no'; Stone . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Representative Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I'll be very, very brief. I hope this Bill gets 107 votes, and when it does, Mr. Speaker, I'll ask for recognition; and I would like to be recognized for the purpose of making a motion to change the order of business so that House Bills 10 and 11 can be heard tonight on . . . from the order of Postponed Consideration. Now, I intend to vote 'yes' . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Explain your vote . . . are you . . ."

Stone: ". . . yes, Sir, that's part of my explanation, Sir. I intend to vote 'yes' on this Bill and I would like very briefly to say why. I . . . I believe we've talked too much this evening, accusing . . . Cook County of accusing downstate as trying to get by with something, and downstate trying to accuse Cook County as accusing Cook County as trying to get by with something. We're one state, and what happens to one part of the state is of interest to the rest of the state. Now, Mr. Speaker, I live in a little old town named, Sullivan. It . . . I think it . . . it's the nicest town in the State of Illinois. I know that C. L. McCormick thinks Vienna is the nicest town in the State of Illinois; and we're both proud of our hometowns. Now, there is a City named, Chicago, Mr. Speaker, there are more people working at the Conrad Hilton Hotel than live in my town. There are more people working at the Conrad Hilton Hotel than live in C. L. McCormick's hometown; and if we don't



have some sort of transportation for the people that live in Chicago, then those people that work at the Conrad Hilton Hotel are not going to be able to get to work. They don't own an automobile to drive to work, if they did, there wouldn't be a place to park it; and if there was a place to park it, the gas fumes would be so thick they couldn't walk to the Conrad Hilton to go to work; and Mr. Speaker, when those people lose their jobs because they can't get to work at the Conrad Hilton Hotel, they're going to go on public welfare, and then I know who's going to pay, the people that live in Sullivan, Illinois, and the people that live in Vienna Mr. Speaker, are going to pay for their teeth; and I'll probably vote 'aye', and I hope we get something out of . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye', Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Stone 'aye'; Taylor, Taylor 'aye'; Telcser, Telcser 'aye'; Terzich; Thompson . . . he didn't vote, well, open your mouth so I can hear it . . . Terzich 'aye'; Thompson, Thompson 'aye'; Tipsword, Tipsword 'aye'; Totten, Totten 'no'; Tuerk, Tuerk 'no'; Von Boeckman, Von Boeckman 'aye'; Waddell; Wall . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "One second, the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, in explaining my vote. I happen to have one of those districts that has two counties that is concerned in this gigantic rip-off. I think that without a question of a doubt if you were to refer to the individual here earlier who said that he was not proud of serving in this House of Representatives, I could not say that. I think I have taken pride in being a Member of this House, I attend to my work, and I try to do what's right; but I do believe that when the people send you here, and you, in turn, have a situation such as you have here where they are not adequately being represented on any board, but you're taking their money, you're taking those five counties in because of their good faith in credit so that you can sell the bonds in this deal. Then you're not giving those people their just right; and again for those people who are getting ripped-off, I vote 'no'."



Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Waddell 'no'; Wall, Wall 'aye'; R. A. Wals, R. A. Walsh 'no'; W. D. Walsh, W. D. Walsh 'no'; Walters, Walters 'no'; Washburn, Washburn 'no'; Washington . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington . . . Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Washington 'aye'; Williams, Williams 'aye'; J. J. Wolf, J. J. Wolf 'aye'; B. B. Wolfe, B. B. Wolfe 'aye'; Yourell, Yourell 'aye'; Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, while the Clerk is tallying up, there's a . . . Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you arise?"

Clerk Selcke: "Cunningham 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barry 'aye'. On this question there are 101 'ayes' . . . Barry 'aye' . . . 101 'ayes', 57 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; Representative Garmisa."

Garmisa: "Mr. Speaker, I would like for a poll of the absentees, please."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman wants to poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Borchers, Brinkmeier . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Brinkmeier 'aye', is that right, Bob? Oh, I'm sorry, Representative Brinkmeier, for what purpose do you arise?"

Brinkmeier: "Well, very briefly, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I made a commitment to support this program, but I did this with the understanding that we were going to have some road money for downstate Illinois. I've . . . I've coached long enough to . . . to take note that I have to take chances once in awhile. I'm going to take the chance that we are going to reconsider the . . . the votes that were . . . the Bills that were lost earlier; and I'm going to vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record him as voting 'aye'. Proceed, Mr. Clerk."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Brinkmeier 'aye', Carter, Day, Deuster, Duff, Dyer, Farley . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Farley, for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "To explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah . . . The best I can recollect, this Bill which is important to all the citizens of the State of Illinois . . ."



NOV 15 1973

242.

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative . . ."

Farley: ". . . only short by four votes, and I think . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . do you wish to be recorded, Representative Farley?"

Farley: ". . . yes, can I explain my vote, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "No, it's . . . it's beyond that time . . . how do you wish to vote?"

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker; a parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise?"

Farley: "If I am called . . ."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker . . . explain his vote . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, what rule are you citing, Representative Matijevich?"

Matijevich: ". . . I'll find it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, find it, would you please, Sir?"

Matijevich: "Sure will."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise?"

Choate: "I do . . . I do think that we've operated pretty orderly here tonight, and I do think that we want to be fair about this whole thing. I could tell you a joke about that, incidently; but . . . ah . . . about a young, married couple, but, Mr. Speaker, I do believe that Representative Farley has the prerogative of . . . of explaining his vote. Now, now, you know, we didn't call any time on the debate as far as ques . . . as far as timing was concerned, et cetera, and let's not kid each other; but . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Let's not . . ."

Choate: ". . . Representative McCormick and I both . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . let's not kid each other, is right. Representative Farley, for what purpose do you arise, now?"

Farley: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm on a point of personal . . . or parliamentary inquiry at this point."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."



Farley: "Do I have the right to . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you arise? State your point, Sir."

Matijevich: "A point of order, Mr. Speaker. Under Rule 58B, every Member has the right to take two minutes to explain his vote at any time, even after a motion for the previous question has carried, any time."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, do you want to explain your vote, Representative Farley? Your point is well taken, Representative. Do you want to proceed, now, Sir?"

Farley: "Yes, thank you, and I don't really want to take up any time of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, but you are. Go ahead, you've got two minutes."

Farley: "I think that this is an important Bill. I think it's important to all the people of the State of Illinois. I think it's important to every Member of this House that we do pass a viable Regional Transportation Authority; and, therefore, Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . Farley 'aye'; Gibbs, Gene Hoffman, McClain . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'present'."

Clerk Selcke: ". . . McCormick, McGrew, Pappas, Philip, Rose . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 103 'ayes', 57 'nays', 1 answering 'present'; and this Bill having failed to receive the constitutional three-fifths majority is, hereby, declared lost. Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you arise? Representative Garmisa, for what purpose do you arise?"

Garmisa: "I would ask that this Bill put on Postponed Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "That's all right . . . well, wait . . . let him do that, it's okay. The Gentleman has asked for Postponed Consideration. The Bill will be put on the order of Postponed Consideration. Ah . . . The one Bill is on Postponed Consideration."

Clerk Selcke: "The next Bill, Arthur."



Speaker Telcser: "Clyde, do you want 13 called? How many votes for 13? 107, Clyde, Ann says, if you want it before July 1?"

Choate: "It it gets 89, it's effective July 1."

Speaker Telcser: "July 1, right."

Choate: "That's right."

Speaker Telcser: "Right. So do you want to . . ."

Choate: "Ah . . . What's . . . what else are we going to do tonight?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know."

Choate: "I'm serious."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, there are a number of Members that said they want to make motions, but I want to remind all of you it's after 12 o'clock, and what you do tonight can also be done tomorrow morning."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose . . ."

Choate: "May I explain to the House . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . sure."

Choate: ". . . the very point that you just brought out. If the Membership will listen for one second, now, if they want to stay tonight, I . . . I'll be willing and happy to stay, but any Bill that is passed now, is in no different position than one that is passed at 10 o'clock in the morning. The Senate is not in Session, they can't receive messages; consequently, there's . . . there's no difference in passing a Bill right now than there is at 10 or 11 o'clock in the morning. Now, as far as I'm personally concerned, it'll be left up to the desire of the Membership whether they want to continue operating now or whether they want to come back at a reasonable hour in the morning and then get back down to the business."

Speaker Telcser: "Well . . ."

Choate: "But we will . . ."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . it looks to me like they'd like to go home . . ."

Choate: ". . . but we will pick up, I would assume, at the same order of business."

Speaker Telcser: ". . . all right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative



William Walsh. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you arise? Now, I've got Walsh, what are you arising for, Sir?"

Shea: "A parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."

Shea: "There are on this order of business, on this Calendar, three motions. I believe the rules require them to be called within two Legislative days, could we have leave of the House to extend that one day?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, let's get the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "We can do that later today, since we're in the next Legislative day from when we started this. So we can do whatever we have to do on that . . . with that respect later today; and now, Mr. Speaker, I would move that the 3rd Special Session adjourn until the hour of 12 noon tomorrow or . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, now wait a second . . . the Gentleman from Cook . . . Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Is there any . . . is there any other Session that's going to convene before the 3rd Special Session at 12 noon tomorrow?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, Bill, can you answer that? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bill Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Sorry, I didn't get the question."

Choate: "What . . . what I was saying, I don't know, I don't remember what happened earlier today about the adjournment of other . . . ah . . . Session, inasmuch as we've got so doggone many of them running . . ."

Walsh, W.: "Well, but . . ."

Choate: ". . . what I think that you . . . that the motion to be is recess this Session until noon tomorrow."

Walsh, W.: ". . . well, we're adjourning it until noon later today . . . ah . . . it sounds like the same thing to me . . ."

Choate: "I think that if . . . ah . . . you talk to your staff that . . . ah . . . we will . . . we should be recessing this Special Session until 12 noon, and that would be the first order of business when we come back, would be this Session."



Walsh, W.: "That isn't what I had in mind."

Choate: "Okay, well, then tell me what you got in mind."

Walsh, W.: "Move . . . Move that we adjourn the 3rd Special Session until 12 noon today."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, then I would ask if . . . are you going to make any other motions that the House comes back in Session prior to the 3rd Special Session at 12 noon?"

Walsh, W.: "The Regular Session at 11:55."

Choate: "The Regular Session at 11:55 followed by the 3rd Special Session at 12 noon?"

Walsh, W.: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Katz: "Ah . . . Mr. Speaker, a few Bills have passed the Senate and have come over to the House. Those have not been read, and it seems to me that if we're going to do anything at this Session, that we ought to perhaps make arrangements for Senate Bills to be heard in a Committee of the whole prior to the opening of the Session so that we will be able to do something productive out of this Special Session. I know that I've picked up a Senate Bill at the request of the Sponsor . . . ah . . . that came out of Special Session 1, who would like an opportunity to have it heard, and I don't know any reason why it could not be heard on a Committee of the whole that began before the noon Session tomorrow if the Clerk simply read the messages from the Senate first."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Mr. Speaker, whatever we have to do, we can do later today, since we will gain no time in advancing anything now that cannot be done later today. We've had a long day and part of another day; and I suggest that we get on with our adjournment."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise?"

Choate: "I . . . I totally agree with what the Min . . . Majority Leader has said, that . . . ah . . . as far as the impact of legislation



NOV 15 1973

247.

being passed now compared with a later time this morning, you're absolutely right; but the point that I'm wanting to make is that none of the other Special Sessions will be convened prior to the 3rd Special Session, where we've left off business tonight."

Walsh, W.: "Well, we're . . . we're going into the Regular, Clyde, and the . . . I have no instructions on this, but it seems we can go right into this tomorrow, right into this Session tomorrow or later today."

Choate: "That's . . . that's exactly what . . . the point that I wanted to make. When we come back, as far as I remember at least, there's not a whole lot of anything to do as far as the Regular Session is concerned."

Walsh, W.: "Right."

Choate: "And then when we go out of the Regular, we go right back into the 3rd?"

Walsh, W.: "Correct."

Choate: "That's this order of business, where we left off this morning?"

Walsh, W.: "No, I can't guarantee that."

Choate: "Well, no, that's where we're quitting from."

Walsh, W.: "No, no, no, no, no, no, it's . . . we will go on Second, we will be on Second Reading, I imagine."

Choate: "That . . . that's the reason, if we could get the attention of the Membership of the House, we're sitting here in a deliberative Body tonight, and we're considering legislation that is deserving of votes, that's the reason, Mr. Majority Leader, that I suggest it to you, rather than to adjourn the Session, it's still the same day, to recess the Session."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Katz, for what purpose do you arise?"

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I just want to make one thing perfectly clear, a Bill passed the Senate today. It is a Bill that passed in the 1st Special Session. Now, if we wait until tomorrow to take this up as the distinguished Majority Leader suggests, and it is read tomorrow, then we will have to bypass Committee. I don't like that; but he will leave me no alternative. I would like to schedule the matter for a Committee of the whole. I would like to have it



read the first time right now, and I would like to have it scheduled in the Committee of the Whole before we come in, then we would be able to deal with it on Second and Third Reading, thereafter, before we adjourn; and that is what I would like."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, if that's not bypassing the rules, it's winking at them; and I can recall the time in some issues when the Gentleman was willing, if it's important enough to him, that he will disregard the rules. So I persist in my motion to adjourn until 12 o'clock later today."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, we're talking about, as the Majority Leader said earlier, this very exact and same Legislative day that we're operating in right now. We have recessed time and time again for the purpose of caucuses . . ."

Walsh, W.: "We've . . . we've recessed when that was the motion. The motion is to adjourn."

Choate: ". . . I'm . . . that's what I'm getting ready to tell you, that's what I'm getting ready to tell you. If we recess, we come back to the order of business, which we're expiring at the present time, I guess we're expiring it. If we decide to do this thing, I would suggest . . . I would suggest to a majority of this Membership that to keep this . . . ah . . . rumbling train on course, if it is rumbling, it's kind of got a flat wheel, so it ought to be rumbling, to come back from a recess Session and pick up where we've left off today, tonight, this morning, whatever it is."

Walsh, W.: "I renew my motion, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Then, Mr. Speaker, I . . . I don't like to delay these matters at all, but I would make a substitute motion that the 3rd Special Session be recessed until 12 noon today."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, I submit to you, Mr. Speaker, that the Gentleman is



out of order in making a substitute motion for a motion to adjourn. I move that this 3rd Special Session now adjourn until the hour of 12 noon later today."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative . . ."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, if the Majority Leader wants to delay the Membership, those who are left to the point and to the extent that we're going to have Roll Call votes, then I . . . I'm going to ask for a Roll Call vote on his motion and then I will proceed if I happen to win, be on the winning side, with a recess motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Williams Walsh."

Walsh, W.: "Well, the Gentleman knows full well that I am not delaying this House nor any Republican. He spoke earlier about the health of some of his Members that he was very concerned with, and I'm sure that he continues to have the leash on those Members so that he can call them in here and with their chest pains . . . chest pains can vote his way. Now, I implore you, Mr. Speaker, to recognize my motion and to adjourn this House at this time. To do anything other than that, would be irresponsible . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay . . ."

Walsh, W.: ". . . and the Gentleman from Union knows it."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose . . ."

Choate: "I don't care what he calls it, but if he insists on the adjournment motion, we'll have a Roll Call vote."

Davis: "Right, this is not a dictatorship, not yet . . . thank God . . ."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative B. B. Wolfe, for what purpose do you arise, Sir?"

Wolfe: "We need five Members for a Roll Call vote, and I'm raising my hand for a Roll Call vote, that's all."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murhpy, are you seeking recognition, Sir? Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you arise?"

Walsh, W.: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we've been prevailed upon by what I consider to be an unreasonable moment in the life of the Minority Leader to recess instead of adjourn for whatever reason he has, and I'm afraid it may be devious. In any case, I move, Mr. Speaker, that the House do now recess to the hour of 2 p.m. later today."



NOV 15 1973

250.

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the House to stand in recess until the hour of 2. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'; the House stands in recess until 2 o'clock."



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FOURTH SPECIAL SESSION - EIGHTH DAY

NOVEMBER 15, 1973

2:54 O'CLOCK P.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIFTH SPECIAL SESSION - FOURTH DAY

NOVEMBER 15, 1973

3:01 O'CLOCK P.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SECOND SPECIAL SESSION - THIRTEENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 15, 1973

4:27 O'CLOCK P.M.

REPRESENTATIVE KENNETH W. MILLER, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRD SPECIAL SESSION - THIRTEENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 15, 1973

5:22 O'CLOCK P.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.		Speaker Blair	House at ease for one half hour
			Regular Session in Order
		Dr. Johnson	Invocation
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance
2.		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
3.		Neil Ganns))) Choate)	Acting Governor of "Forgotonia"
4.		Cunningham	
		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew	
5.		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Blair	
		McCormick	
		Speaker Blair	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
6.		Walsh, Wm.	Move for adoption
		Speaker Blair	Resolution adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Introduction and First Reading
7.		Speaker Blair	Death Resolution
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	Resolution adopted
		Cunningham	Parliamentary inquiry
		Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Alsup	
		Speaker Blair	
8.		Walsh, Wm.	Move Regular Session Recess .
		Speaker Blair	Regular Session in recess First Special session in order.
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	HB-4...Third Reading
9.		Wolfe, B. B.))	
		Speaker Blair)	
		Clerk Selcke	Calls Roll
		Speaker Blair	
		Giglio	Explain vote.
10.		Clerk Selcke	Continues Roll Call
		Speaker Blair	HB-4....passed
		Clerk Selcke	HB-9, Third Reading
		Speaker Blair	
		Berman	
		Speaker Blair	
11.		Palmer))	Question
		Berman)	
		Speaker Blair	
12.		Griesheimer	
		Speaker Blair	
		Alsup	
		Speaker Blair	
		Palmer	Point of Personal Privilege



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
13.		Berman	To close
		Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Calls Roll
		Speaker Blair	
14.		Leinenweber	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues Roll Call
		Speaker Blair	
15.		Porter	Explains vote... 'no'...
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
		Hunsicker	Change to 'no'...
		Speaker Blair	
		Palmer	Parliamentary inquiry
16.		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Blair	
		Day	Vote me 'no'
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	Vote me 'no'.
		Speaker Blair	
		Chapman	Vote me 'aye'
		Speaker Blair	89 votes for passage



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		TELCSE IN CHAIR.....	
		Berman	Poll absentees
17.		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Polis absentees
		Speaker Telcser)	Vote Bluthardt 'no'....
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Continues	
		Speaker Telcser	
18.		Berman	
		Blair	
		Dyer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Bluthardt	Request verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stedelin	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
19.		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke)	Affirmative Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser)	
20.		Bluthardt)	
21.		Questions Affirm. Roll Call	
22.		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
23.		Berman)	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	HB-9...lost
		Clerk Selcke	HB-10, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
24.		Berman	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
25.		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
26.		Clabaugh	Vote me 'present'
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	Explains 'no' vote...
27.		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	Urge 'no' vote
28.		Clerk O'Brien	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Explains vote
29.		Speaker Telcser	73 'ayes' and 33 'nays'...
		Berman	Poll absentees
		Clerk O'Brien	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-10 lost
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-11, Third Reading
30.		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.)	Question
)	
		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Ill take last Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	HB-11 fails



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
31.		Clerk O'Brien	HB-12, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Leave to hear HB-12 and 13.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	HB-13, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Leave to use last Roll...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.)	Move App. to table
)	
32.		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	
		Speaker Telcser	
33.		Berman)	
)	
		Collins)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff)	Parliamentary Enquiry
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser	
34.		Duff)	
)	
		Berman)	
		Speaker Telcser	
35.		Skinner)	Question
)	
		Berman)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	To close
36.		Clerk O'Brien	Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	Move to table HB-12 & 13..
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Skinner	Point of Personal Privilege
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Berman)	Out of order
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Skinner)	
		Speaker Telcser	
37.		Clerk Selcke	HB-2, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Ask leave for last unanimous Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	HB-2 passed
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	Point of inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
38.		Rayson	
		Clerk Selcke	HB-14...Third Reading
		Lundy	Leavt to Table
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	HB-16, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
39.		Lundy	TOOR
		Speaker Telcser	Intro. Jim Nolan
		Clerk Selcke	HB-18, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
40.		Ewell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	Leavt to table
		Speaker Telcser	Tabled.
		Clerk Selcke	HB-17, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
41.		Hyde	
		Speaker Telcser	
42.		Leinenweber.	Support
		Speaker Telcser	
43.		Shea)	Yield?
44.)	
45.		Hyde)	
46.		Speaker Telcser	HB-17, asks leave for last unanimous Roll Call Objections!
		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
47.		Beaupre	Vote 'no'...
		Clerk Selcke	Continues Roll
		Speaker Telcser	
		Day	Explains vote
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
48.		Clerk Selcke	Continues Roll
		Speaker Telcser	
		Mann	
		MILLER IN CHAIR	
		Hyde	Responds to Mann
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Miller	
49.		Maragos	Explains vote
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Miller	
		Wolfe, B. B.	Explains vote 'present'...
		Speaker Miller	
50.		Clerk Selcke)	
		Speaker Miller)	
		Beaupre	Change to 'aye'..
		Speaker Miller	HB-17 passed
		Clerk Selcke	HB-19, Third Reading
51.		Speaker Miller	
		Porter	Sponsor, HB-19
		Speaker Miller	
52.		Wolfe, B. B.)	Question
53.		Speaker Miller)	
		Porter)	Response
		Speaker Miller	
		Flinn	Oppose
		Speaker Miller	
		Porter	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
54.			
55.		Clerk Selcke)	Calls Roll
		Speaker Miller)	Bill passed
56.		Choate)	Motion to recess First
		Speaker Miller)	Special Session
			Not recognized for that purpose
57.		Choate	Asks Roll Call.
		SPEAKER BLAIR IN CHAIR	
		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	Put motion in writing
		Clerk Selcke	HB-20, Third Reading
		Speaker Blair	
		Hyde	TOOR
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	HB-21, Third Reading
58.		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	Requests Roll Call vote on Motion
		Speaker Blair	Motion not in order
59.		Choate)	Point of order
		Speaker Blair)	
		Kempiners	HB-21
		TELCSER IN CHAIR....	
		Kempiners	Leave for last unanimous Roll C.
		Speaker Telcser	Passed
60.		Kosinski	HB-1, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Washburn	Suspend Rule...SB-3
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea)	
)	
72.		Washburn)	Discussion
		Speaker Blair	Fourth Special Session recess Fifth Special Session to order
		Shea	Question
		Speaker Blair	
73.		Skinner	
		Speaker Blair)	
)	
		Clerk Selcke)	
		Shea	"Let Jones handle it"
		Clerk Selcke	HB-3, Second Reading, no CA
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	HB-6, Second Reading, no CA
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	HB-1, Third Reading
		Speaker Blair	
		Skinner	Ask last unanimous Roll Call
		Speaker Blair	Passed
		Jones, E.	
74.		Clerk Selcke	SB-1, Second Reading, no CA
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-2, Second Reading, no CA
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-3, Second Reading, no CA
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-4, Second Reading, no CA



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	Third Reading Fifth Special Session recess Third Special Session in order
75.		Walsh, Wm.	Move Third Special Session rece
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	Democrat Conference
		Speaker Blair	in recess
			House in Order
76.		Choate	Motion..Order of business Changed to Third Reading
		Speaker Blair	
77.		Walsh, Wm.	Object
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Motion.
78.		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Calls Roll
		Speaker Blair	
79.		Schlickman	Explains vote
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	Polls absentees
		Speaker Blair	
80.		Farley	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Fleck	
		Clerk Selcke	
81.		Speaker Blair	
		Griesheimer	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, Wm.	Request verification
82.		Speaker Blair	
		TELCSEK IN CHAIR.....	
		Clerk Selcke	Reads Affirm. Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
83.		Kriegsman	Vote 'no'...
		Speaker Telcser)	
84.		Walsh)	Questions Affirm. Roll
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Clerk Selcke	HB-1, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	Asks for last Unanimous Roll C.
		Speaker Telcser	
85.		Walsh, Wm)	Question
		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
86.		Walsh, Wm.	
		Pierce	
		Walsh, Wm.)	
		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
87.		Kent)) Juckett)	Question
		Speaker TELCSER	
88.		Berman	
89.		Speaker Telcser	
		Totten)) Juckett)	Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Barnes	
		Speaker Telcser	
90.		Totten))	Question
91.		Juckett) Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)) Speaker Telcser)	Question
92.		Totten	Question
		Juckett	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Fleck	Complains about lights
		Speaker Telcser	
93.		Barry	Parliamentary inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	Observation
		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Moves previous question
94.		Speaker Telcser	
		McGrew	Withdraw



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
95.		Duff)) Juckett)	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Quiet
96.		Duff)	Point of Personal Privilege
97.)	
98.		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
99.		Dee))	
100.		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
101.		Jones, J.D.))	Question
102.		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
103.		Huskey)	Yield?
104.)	
105.		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Wants order
		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett))	Discussion
		Huskey)	
		Telcser	
106.		Dyer)	Yield
107.)	
108.		Juckett)	
109.		Lauer))	
		Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Shea	
110.		Speaker Telcser	
111.		Lauer)) Juckett)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	"Exceeded his time!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	Concludes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
112.		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Moves previous question?
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
113.		Speaker Telcser)) Lechowicz)	Withdraws motion
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Question
114.		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Calls roll
		Speaker Telcser	
		Douglas	Vote 'present'...
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Dunn, Robert	Vote 'aye'...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Collins	Withdraw motion
115.		Speaker Telcser	
		Juckett	to close
		Speaker Telcser	HB-1 passed
		Clerk Selcke	HB-2, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
116.		Schlickman	
		Speaker Telcser	
117.		Walsh, William	
		Speaker Telcser	
118.		Ryan	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Telcser	
		SCHLICKMAN	To close
119.		Speaker Telcser	
120		Beaupre	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Kosinski	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
121		Murphy	Vote 'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	
122.		Clerk Selcke	Continues...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, B. B.	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Leinenweber	
		Speaker Telcser	
123.		Cunningham	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	"Present"
		Williams	"Cast 'aye' vote"
124.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2...passed
		Clerk Selcke	HB-3, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
125.		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	
126.		Speaker Telcser	
		Beaupre	Explain vote... 'aye'...
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	Passed
127.		Cunningham)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hirschfeld	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB-4, Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
128.		Lechowicz	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
129.		Deuster	Leave to return to 2nd..
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #5
		Speaker Telcser	
130.		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #6
		Speaker Telcser	
131		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted, Third Read.
		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Telcser	
132.		Gibbs)	
)	
133.		Deuster)	
		Speaker Telcser	Takes 107 votes
		Gibbs	
		Deuster	
		MILLER IN CHAIR.....	
		Farley	Moves previous question...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	Motion prevails
134.		Deuster	To close
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Calls roll
		Speaker Miller	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Miller	
		Mann	Explains vote
135.		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Telcser	
136.		Mugalian	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Miller	
		Palmer	
		Speaker Miller	
		Sangmeister	
137.		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Miller	
		Deuster	Poll the absentees
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Miller	
		Kempiners	
		Clerk Selcke	
138.		Speaker Miller	
		Deuster	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	HB-5, Third Reading
		Speaker Miller	
139.		Deuster	Asks HB-5 & 6 have same Roll Call and placed on postponed....
		Speaker Miller	HB-5 & 6..Postponed Con.
		Clerk Selcke	HB-9...Third Reading
		Speaker Miller	
140.		Washington	
		Speaker Miller	
141.		Kempiners)	Yield?
)	
142.		Washington)	
		Speaker Miller	
143.		Speaker Blair)	Question
144.)	
145.		Washington)	
146.		Speaker Miller	
147.		Juckett)	Yield
148.)	
149.		Washington)	
		Speaker Miller	
		Mugalian	Point of order
		Speaker Miller	
		Juckett	
150.		Speaker Miller	
		Leon	Point of order
		Juckett	
		Speaker Miller	
		Juckett	Continues
		Speaker Miller	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
151.		Ebbesen	Yield?
152.			
153.		Washington	
154.		Speaker Miller	
155.		Skinner)	Question
156.)	
		Washington)	
		Speaker Miller	
		Washington	To close
		Speaker Miller	
		Speaker Blair	
157.		Hill)	Question
)	
		Washington)	
		Speaker Miller	
158.		Clerk Selcke	Calls Roll
		Speaker Miller	
		Huskey	Explains vote
159.		Clerk Selcke)	Continues
)	
160.		Speaker Miller)	
		Washington	Poll absentees
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Calls absentees
		Speaker Miller	
		Washington	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	HB-10, Third Reading
		Speaker Miller	
161.		Stone	Sponsor, leave to hear HB-11 as Companion Bill.
		Clerk Selcke	HB-11, Third Reading....



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Stone	HB-10 and HB-11
		Speaker Miller	
		Cunningham	Yield
162.		Stone	
163.			
164.			
165.		TELCSEK IN CHAIR.....	
166.		Hunsicker	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham)	Proceeds
)	
		Stone)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Stone	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	
167.		Londrigan	
		Speaker Telcser	
168.		Speaker Blair	
169.			
170.		Speaker Telcser	
171.		Stone	To close
172.		Speaker Telcser	HB-10 and HB-11
173.		Clerk Selcke	Calls Roll
174.			
		Speaker Telcser	
		Peters	Vote me 'no'.....
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Stone	Poll absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
175.		McCormick	Verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Poll absentees
		Stone	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	HB-12, Third Reading
		Speaker Telcser	
176.		Garmisa	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	"Can't hear"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Will he take to Second?
		Speaker Telcser	
177.		Garmisa	"See no reason, etc."
		Speaker Telcser)	
178.		Geo-Karis)	Point of Personal privilege
		Garmisa)	
179.		Speaker Telcser)	
		Hoffman, R.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	"5 Amendments" etc.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis)	
		Garmisa)	
		Geo-Karis	Asks ruling from Chair



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Geo-Karis	Move to suspend rule...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Ewell	"Pass"
		Speaker Telcser	No rule to suspend
		Geo-Karis	Move to take back to Second
		Speaker Telcser	Motion not timely...
180.		Garmisa	HB-12 Cont.....
181.		Speaker Telcser	
182.		Totten)	Yield
)	
183.		Garmisa)	
184.		Speaker Telcser	
185.		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
186.		Geo-Karis)	Yield
)	
187.		Garmisa)	
188.			
189.		Speaker Telcser	
190.		Hill)	Question
)	
191.		Garmisa)	
192.			
193.		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Speaks on Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
194.		Hudson)	Yield
195.)	
196.		Garmisa)	
197.		Hudson	Speaks on Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
198.		Hanahan	
199.			
200.		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
201.		Dee	
202.		Speaker Telcser	
203.		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-12 continued
204.		Dee	
		Speaker Telcser	
205.		Schlickman	
206.		Speaker Telcser	
207.		Shea	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
208.		Shea	Addresses the Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Davis	
		Speaker Telcser	
209.		Tipsword	
210.		Speaker Telcser	
211.			
212.		Speaker Blair	Against
		Speaker Telcser	
213.		Choate	Support,
214.			
215.		Speaker Telcser	
216.		McCormick	
217.			
218.		Speaker Telcser	
219.			
220.		Choate	question
		Speaker Telcser	
		McCormick	
		Speaker Telcser	
221.		Garmisa	To close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
222.		Shea	Point of Parliamentary Inq.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
223.		Bradley	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
224.		Catania	Explain vote...
		Speaker Telcser	
225.		Davis	
226.		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
227.		Dee	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Calvo	"Aye"
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
228.		Douglas	Explain vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
229.		Ewell	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues...



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
230.		Hirschfeld	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
231.		Holloway, Robert	Explain vote.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
232		Hunsicker	Explain vote.
233.		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hyde	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
234		Clerk Selcke	Continues Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Krause	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
235.		Mahar	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
236.		Porter	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Sangmeister	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
237.		Clerk Selcke	Continues
		Speaker Telcser	
		Schoeberlein	
		Speaker Telcser	
238.		Schraeder	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
239.		Simms, Timothy	
		Speaker Telcser	
240.		Stone	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
241.		Waddell	Vote 'no'.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	Vote Washington 'aye'...
		Clerk Selcke)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Garmisa	Asks for Poll of absentees
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Brinkmeier	Vote 'aye'...
		Clerk Selcke	Continues
242.		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Matijevich	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Farley	Point of Parliamentary Inquiry
234		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	Point of Order
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Farley)	Vote 'aye'.
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	HB-12, Bill lost
		Garmisa	Postponed Consignment
244.		Speaker Telcser	
		Matijevich	"Indians etc...."
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Choate)	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	Move 3rd Special Session adjourned...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate)	Question
)	
245.		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Walsh, Wm.)	
246.		Choate	Should recess
		Walsh	Renews motion to adjourn
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Choate)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
247.		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
248.		Katz	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh)	
)	
249.		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Choate)	
		Walsh)	I renew my motion
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
)	
		Choate)	Make substitute motion
		Davis	Dictatorship, etc...!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, B. B.	Roll Call vote
		Speaker Telcser	
250		Walsh	Moves House recess
		Speaker Telcser	House in recess



106TH LEGISLATIVE DAY
November 15, 1973

- 11:08 A.M. - Regular Session - Speaker Blair
Forgottonian Governor - Digit 1624
- 11:29 A.M. - Recessed
- 11:29 A.M. - 1ST SPECIAL SESSION - 16TH DAY
Blair
H.B. 4 - Third Reading
- 14:12 P.M. - Choate's Motion To
Recess
- 14:18 P.M. - Choate's Written
Motion
- 14:54 P.M. - Recessed
- 14:54 P.M. - 4TH SPECIAL SESSION - 8TH DAY
Blair
- 15:01 P.M. - Recessed
- 15:01 P.M. - 5TH SPECIAL SESSION - 4TH DAY
- 15:06 P.M. - Recessed
- 17:22 P.M. - 3RD SPECIAL SESSION - 13TH DAY

106TH LEGISLATIVE DAY
November 16, 1973

- 15:15 P.M. - 3RD SPECIAL SESSION - Blair
- 16:27 P.M. - Adjourned
- 16:27 P.M. - 2ND SPECIAL SESSION - 13TH DAY
Miller
- 16:33 P.M. - Adjourned
- 16:34 P.M. - Regular Session Adjourned

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRETH AND SIXTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

NOVEMBER 15, 1973

11:08 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

1.

A Roll Call for Attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION - SIXTEENTH DAY

NOVEMBER 15, 1973

11:29 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES