

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order, the invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "Shall we pray. You have given us only to God this good land for our heritage, now we humbly beseech You that we may always prove ourselves that people mindful of Your favor and glad to do Your will. Bless our State with honorable industries, sound learning and good manner. Save us from violence, discord and confusion, deliver us from pride and arrogance defend us in our liberties and fashion us into united people who seek to do Your will and deal with the spirit of wisdom those to whom in Your name we have intrusted the authority of government in our nation and especially in our State. In times of prosperity full our hearts with a due sense of thankfulness, in the day of trouble do not permit our trust in Your mercy's to fail. Bless us now with Your presents reminding us in the words of the Psalmist, blessed is that nation who's God is the Lord. The people whom He has chosen as his heritage. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop. Anderson. Arnell. Barnes. Barry. Beatty. Beaupre. Berman. Bluthardt. Borchers. Boyle. Bradley...."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Ah... yes, Mr. Speaker would you have the Record indicate that Representative Bradley is absent because of a serious illness of his wife."

Speaker Blair: "The ah... Journal will so indicate."

Clerk Selcke: "Brandt. Brinkmeier. Brummet. Calwell. Calvo. Campbell. Capparelli. Capuzi. Carter. Catania. Chapman. Choate. Clabaugh. Collins. Craig. Cunningham. D'Arco. Davis. Day. Deavers. Dee. Deuster. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunne. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey.



Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis
 Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg.
 Hanahan. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill Hirschfeld. Gene
 Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Robert Holloway.
 D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey.
 Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones. Dave
 Jones. Juckett. Katz. Keller. Kelly. Kempiners.
 Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski.
 Krause. Kriegsmann. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer.
 Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Lemke. Leon.
 Londrigan. Lundy. Macdonald. Madigan. Mahar. Mann.
 Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McAvoy.
 McClain. McCormick. McCourt. McGah. McGrew. McLendon.
 McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenny Miller. Tom
 Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Neff.
 North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Peters. Philip.
 Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond.
 Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schisler. Schlickman.
 Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schraeder. Sevcik. Sharp.
 Shea. Shurtz. T. Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soder-
 strom. Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor.
 Telcser. Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. Totten. Tuerk.
 VonBoeckman. Waddell. Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh.
 Walters. Washburn. Washington. Williams. J.J. Wolf.
 B.B. Wolfe. Yourell. Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker will the Records show that Representative
 Philip is absent because of illness."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the Regular Session stand
 in recess until ah... 3:30."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Union, Mr... the gentleman
 from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Ah... well, I would concur in the ah... recess ah..."



motion, Mr. Speaker and ah... would ask that the Democratic Member's meet in Room M-5 for the purpose of a Democratic conference."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "The Republicans ah... Member's will have a conference in Room M-3, immediately upon adoption of this recess motion."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there any discussion, the motion is to ah... recess the Regular Session until the hour of 3:30 ah... for the purpose of a Democratic conference in Room M-5 and for a Republican conference in Room M-3. All those in favor of the motion will say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the Regular Session is in recess."

Speaker Blair: "May I have your attention... we will be ready in about ten minutes."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the House will be back in Session. At the time that we recessed we were in the Regular Session and so that we understand the floormat ah... procedure wise, it would be our intension to go through the various orders of business.... various Session here ah... on the Third special we would ah... end up recessing it until after we adjourned the fifth special ah... to night ah... we ah... would come back and hopefully, we could... we could possibly be back to the third special ah... in forty-five minutes to a hour and ah... at that point why we can ah... see where the Senate is with regards to ah... any messages they have to send over to us, concerning ah... action on Senate Bills in the third special."

Speaker Blair: "Right, on the ah... on page ah.. two, in the general.... in the Regular Session now, on the Order of Speaker's Table appears S.J.R. 53, on which the Chair recognizes the gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."



Washburn: "Ah... Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would ah... ah... ask leave for the suspension of Rule 41, for the immediate consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 53, which ah... relates to the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1973."

Speaker Blair: "All right, ah... is there leave for the ah... purpose of considering this. All right, hearing no objection in the provisions of the ah... Rule 41, having been suspended the Clerk will read J. R. 53."

Clerk: "Senate Joint Resolution 53. Whereas, it is the purpose of Section II of article 8, of the Constitution of the State of Illinois that the State not expend more funds during a fiscal year and will be available during that fiscal year: and whereas, purpose requires the most complete possible information about funds available for that fiscal year; and whereas, more complete information about funds for the fiscal year is available during the Fall Session than during the Spring Session of the General Assembly; and whereas, the actual amount of funds lapsed from fiscal year 1973 became known in early October; and whereas, the actual budgetary balance of funds at the end of fiscal year 1973 is now available; and whereas, the Governor's vetoes and reductions of appropriations passed during the 1973 Regular Session of the General Assembly for fiscal year 1974 are now known; and whereas, the General Assembly's actions with regard to override of these vetoes have further clarified the fiscal outlook for fiscal year 1974; therefore be it resolved, by the Senate of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois The House of Representatives concurring therein, that public funds estimated to be available for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1973 will not be exceeded by the total appropriations for all expenditures of public funds for such fiscal year."



Speaker Blair: "Read the what?... Read the last paragraph over, yes."

Clerk Selcke: "All right. Whereas, the General Assembly's actions with regard to override of these vetoes have further clarified the fiscal outlook for fiscal year 1974; therefore be it resolved, by the Senate of the 78th General Assembly of the State of Illinois. The House of Representatives concurring therein, that public funds estimated to be available for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1973 will not be exceeded by the total appropriations for all expenditures of public funds for such fiscal year."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "Would the gentlman yield for a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Yes, he indicates he will."

Tipsword: "Representative Washburn, from whence came this Resolution?"

Washburn: "Well, first of all ah... ah... Mr. Speaker, let me explain that this the annual revenue estimate as required by the Constitution and ah... would move for its adoption, of course, but in answer to Representative Tipsword ah... question from whence came this Resolution."

Tipsword: "Yes."

Washburn: "It was copied off the one that was adopted last year if I ah... recall properly."

Tipsword: "Well, we have a ah... Commission and it starts with the responsibility for the legislature of making this determination and that Commission, all the meeting in regard there to where ah... never held, they were cancelled. I happen to be a Member of that Commission and you are and I wonder when the Commission made this recommendation, if it ever did."

Washburn: "Well apparently ah... it was at the advised by the Staff of the Commission and was introduced over in the Senate by Senator Regner, who is also a member of that



Commission and I ah... assume that ah... it has gone through all the Bills study and it was necessary to ah... make this determination."

Tipsword: "Was this presented to you before today as a Member of that Commission?"

Washburn: "Ah... what do you think?"

Tipsword: "No, I don't think it was. It wasn't to me ah...

I talked to another Member of the Commission it was not presented to him ah... I wrote a letter, not long ago to the Commission asking why we hadn't had the meetings that had been called to make the determination that was required by the ah... Constitution and by the statutes that we have passed heretofore and wrote to the ah... Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Commission and to this day, received no reply. This has been a couple of weeks ago, except that the ah... detective of the Commission did call me and was very disturbed that he received a letter from me, which I told him that I didn't think the Commission was living up to its responsibility and I doubt sincerely that we even needed the Staff that we are paying an awful lot of money for when we are not coming up what is really required for the Constitution and that is a determination an actual determination of the income available to be expended and the total expenditures, and I think this actually... this Resolution is absolutely unconstitutional under the provisions of the Constitution that have been sited here."

Washburn: "Well, just a brief ah... reply, as you well know I'm not Chairman of the Commission..."

Tipsword: "No sir, you're not."

Washburn: "Apparently if the Resolution that we passed ah... last Session met all the Constitutional and Legal requirements and it hasn't been determined to be otherwise ah... the same wording should be sufficient during this



session so I therefore vote for the adoption of Senate Joint Resolution 53."

Speaker Blair: "This is a good Resolution, Representative Stone, agreed to by the Attorney General, the Comptroller and Representative Stone."

Stone: "Might I say a few remarks? I very much appreciate that Representative Stone has agreed to it because I think it gives me great comfort in regard to the Constitution. I think this is the first Representative Stone had ever heard of it. It's the first that most of the Members of that Commission that are charged with the responsibility of making this determination had ever heard of it. I know we passed a similar Resolution in the Spring which was an expedient matter. I think we passed a similar Resolution a year ago as a matter of expediency. And just the one thing that entered into my mind. I am going to vote for it even though I think it's unconstitutional 'cause we have gotta take some action even if it's an unconstitutional action as provided by the heretofore described provisions in the Constitution. But I also think that we should be well looking into whether or not we even need that expensive staff we have got over there at some very high salaries, some very competent people and I think aren't really earning their pay, consequently because this is the primary obligation of that Commission. We do a lot of other things but we are not doing our primary responsibility. And I am real disturbed by it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Representative Stone, I couldn't agree with you more and if you will vote for my Resolution I will be happy to join with you in seeking answers to your problems that concern you about the Economic and Fiscal Commission. Now, in a bipartisan manner, Representative Stone and I ask again for the adoption



of Senate Joint Resolution 53."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we want to get a Roll Call established. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly, I have to concur with Representative Bud Washburn as stated. It is an item that is required from the Economic and Fiscal Commission and I would ask for the Membership's support."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is, shall SJR 53 adopted. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and we want to get the Roll Call established so I will ask the Clerk to call the Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R.L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gi-bs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, R. Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik,



Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J.J. Wolf, B.B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Arnell 'aye', Campbell 'aye', Harpstrite 'aye', Ebbesen 'aye'. Ms. Martin 'aye'. On this question there are 143 'ayes', no 'nays' and SJR having received the required majority vote is hereby declared adopted. Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 665 McPartlin et al. House Resolution 666, Craig et al. House Resolution 667, R.L. Dunne, House Resolution 668, Rayson."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Resolution 665 commends David Anthony, Robert Holliday, Jr., Thomas LeJohn, Hugh Toner III and Patrick Toner upon the efforts for which they have made to qualify for the coveted Eagle Scout Progress Award. House Resolution 666 commends Dr. Stephen Chatos and his wife Judy of Danville in the fine work they have performed in Lamalida, Columbia and House Resolution 667 congratulates the firm of Sangal and Son Furriers of Chicago upon the celebration of a half century of service to the people of Beverly Hills and House Resolution 668 congratulates Mr. Paul Sang upon his selection to receive the Prime Minister of Israel medal for his service on behalf of the State of Israel and Mr. Speaker, I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The Agreed Resolutions are adopted. Further Resolutions."



Introduction, First Reading. House Bills."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2108, Tom Miller, amends the Law Enforcement Officers Requirement Compensation Act, First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2109, Brinkmeier et al, amends the School Code, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "All right, further Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 664, Craig."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to suspend the appropriate Rule and move to put House Resolution 664 and place it on Second Reading without reference to Committee. For immediate consideration."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman is moving with respect to a general Resolution that has been put in here in the first regular session, that Rule 41 be suspended so that it may be, having been read, may be read and considered for immediate adoption. On that question, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, the Gentleman talked to me about this earlier and while I can see that there are some things in the Resolution that may be certainly worthy of consideration, the Resolution is a lot meatier than one that should be considered very rapidly by this House. The Resolution suggests that pollution control devices on automobiles should be removed and that the Environmental Protection Agency consider very strongly not requiring that. Now, I can understand his concern that there is a fuel shortage and that these devices certainly use up, cause more fuel to be used than it would if the devices were not on them. But I don't think it's for us at this time of the night to consider this matter and I would strongly urge the Gentleman to withdraw his motion and permit this Resolution to be referred to the appropriate Committee



so that they can go into it at considerable length and I therefore, Mr. Speaker, oppose the Gentleman's motion if he persists in it."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Vermilion, Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I would like to persist in this motion because I feel like this is something that is very important, not only to us here in this General Assembly but not only to the people of Illinois but all over this nation and this is a crisis as they have called it and I want to say to everybody if this goes to Committee and we are going to be out of here for Saturday, it will not be acted upon. And I want to say that I think that the people of this nation, we have always had to have an environmental impact segment. Every time we have ever done anything in the past couple or three years and I think it's high time that we take in consideration the economic impact segment and I want to say to all of you that I think we have found out by some of the rules and the regulations and the laws that we have done in the past that this country neither can afford nor they don't have the resources to make the rapid changes that have been asked upon them and placed upon them to do. I am talking about oil out of the shale in the West or gasification of coal which is seven to ten years away and I think it's time for us to reconsider what we have done. I realize we have spent some money to do this but we are now in a crisis today that I think is going to involve not only the people in Illinois with jobs but the people with jobs all over this nation and I am not one to preach gloom and doom. But I am here to tell you this. I think that there is not no one thing that you can tamper with other than the automobile industry that will set this country back in a depression more than that will. And I think the chain reaction that is going to take place in this



country is going to be out of our belief. They are already talking 6% unemployment. It may even go higher. I have heard people and commentators and people in the recreational areas, people are going out of business, people will be forced out of business and I think it's time that we do something about it, at least ask the President and Congress to do something about these changes nationwide and I would like to ask for a vote on this Resolution. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the Gentleman's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 41 so he can have his Resolution considered immediately. Is there leave? All right, there is objection so call the Roll."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R.L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everybody should really stop and take a look at this Resolution because I think this is really one of the worst Resolutions that we have had before us in this House in this session. It seems to me that everything is being used now as an excuse because of the fuel shortage to go through and destroy all of the environmental things that we have accomplished in the



past. It would appear to me that if we pass this Resolution we are in essence saying that we are against all Environmental Protection Agency items that we have fostered in the past and it would seem to me that we would be destroying our record in the field of environment completely. God knows that we haven't really been great in the field of environment in the past and if we vote for this Resolution we are doing even more harm to the field of environment and I would urge a 'no' vote. I vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kosinski."

Kosinski: "In explanation of my vote, I think this is a situation of dying of lung congestion or malnutrition. And I will take the latter. I vote 'yes'."

Clerk Selcké: "Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J.J. Wolf, B.B. Wolfe, Yourell, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "McGah 'no', Patrick 'aye', D'Arco, 'aye', Barnes 'aye', Caldwell 'aye', Davis 'aye', Springer 'aye', Lauer 'aye', Deavers 'aye', Campbell 'aye'. On

this question there are 70 'ayes', 54 'nays', 1 'present' and the Gentleman's motion fails. Introduction, further introductions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2110, Grotberg et al, appropriates \$220,000 to the Department of Corrections, First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the regular session adjourn to the hour of 9:00a.m. tomorrow, November 30 and may I say that that hour of convening, you better listen now, this session is being adjourned to 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. At 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning it is our intention to have a conference of Republicans in M-3. It would, such conference to take about an hour. It would therefore, be of little concern to Democrats to come since I understand that they are not going to have a conference. We expect to go into session at 10:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. Regular session. Conference of Republicans at 9:00 but to be here first. We will get the room and then go to our conference."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Let me explain the Democratic Members if you want that extra hour in the morning you better listen. The schedule is for the House to come in session at 9:00 o'clock for the regular session. The House will then be recessed for one hour for the purpose of a Republican conference. So I am advising the Democrats that if you desire that you don't need to be here until 10:00 o'clock. You can get a good long breakfast."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is on the Gentleman's motion to adjourn the regular session until 9:00a.m. tomorrow morning. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no', the 'ayes' have it and the regular session is adjourned. All right, now we will move into the first



special session."

Speaker Telcser: "O.K., the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh moves, asks leave to use the attendance Roll Call for the regular session for the first special. Hearing no objections, that will be the Roll Call for the first special session. Senate Bills, First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 12, amends the Campaign Disclosure Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 13, creates the Illinois Campaign Contribution Disclosure Act, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 10, an Act to amend Sections 3.1 and so forth of an Act to prevent fraudulent and corrupt practice in making or accepting of official appointments, First Reading of the Bill. O.K., on the Speaker's table appears motions, on the order of motions appears a motion with respect to Senate Bill 8 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz is recognized."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a motion to take from the table and to place on the calendar on the order of Second Reading, Senate Bill 8. Senate Bill 8 is in my opinion, a very good ethics and disclosure Bill. It does not require disclosure of income tax returns which I consider to be an invasion of privacy but it does require that which I think reasonably ought to be required. Now I tried last week to get this Bill referred to a Committee. I tried on two occasions to get this Bill to a Committee and neither time was I able to get the Bill referred to a Committee. I was perfectly willing and suggested here on the floor that we have a Committee of the whole before the House opened so the matter could be heard but I was greeted with no support and accordingly I am forced into the position here that if we were serious when we came into this special session, the first special session about needing legislation relating to ethics and disclosure, then we will have



to advance this Bill to Second Reading because otherwise, we will not be able to take care of this. Now I would point out to you that we have been down here it seems to me for weeks and weeks and weeks and weeks. Nothing seems to happen on anything. Nothing happened on RTA until since the last session. Here we have introduced all these ethics and campaign disclosure Bills. Nothing has happened on that and so I believe that we should seriously, on the floor of this House, debate the merits of Senate Bill 8. It is a very good Bill. In the Senate we can have full debate. The only way we can do this is to allow a motion to have this advanced to Second Reading. I just tried to have it referred to Committee. I was not able to have it referred under those circumstances. Fairness in my opinion requires that we treat this Bill like we are going to treat the RTA Bill, to move this to Second Reading without reference to Committee and I so move, Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I am rather shocked at the motion, not so much at the motion but at the person making it because I think he epitomizes the belief in legislative process. He is the one who keeps insisting that we have full public hearings on all Bills that witnesses be permitted to appear and testify, that the Members of the Committee understand that the provisions of the Bill thoroughly and yet, here he is, one of the most important Bills to come before this House, on a matter of ethics asking this House to move it to Second Reading without reference to a Committee. Does he forget that we had a large number, I think 21 or 22 ethics Bills, filed here in the House that we held public hearings, that we held open hearings here on the House floor, the Committee of the whole for



most of a day and a half, that we passed a great number of these Bills, that they went over to the Senate and over at the Senate they were referred to a Committee. Now we get a Senate Bill and he says let's not refer it to Committee. Let's move it to Second and let's debate it on the floor tomorrow and vote on it. Well, I oppose it, Ladies and Gentlemen. I think it ought to go to Committee. I think it ought to have fair hearings like all the other Bills that were in that Committee. I would ask you to vote against this motion."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have to agree wholeheartedly with Representative Bluthardt at this point and I am rather shocked that Representative Katz would ask for this motion to bypass Committee. Here we have a change in the Rules. Suppose we had gone into a new procedure to have openness in all our debate. We have gone into this procedure as far as having the public invited to participate within the Committee structure and just because this is a Senate Bill, a Senate Bill, Ladies and Gentlemen, now this is the complete answer to all the problems as far as others are concerned. I would hope that the Membership of this House would take pride of the fact that we are Members of the House of Representatives. We, too, are entitled to a full public debate and hearing. The public is invited and asked to participate in that Committee hearing and I would hope that this motion would be defeated at this time, that this public hearing should be held throughout the State so we can get the complete input of the public and the media from the various portions of the State of Illinois. I would hope that this motion be defeated."



Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the two prior speakers each noted that they were shocked by this unpredictable conduct on behalf of the maker of the motion. I was stunned. The fact that we have the apostle of an orderly procedure, the rules that are primarily his baby, the inspiration to all of us that have guided our orderly liberation would seek to set them aside justifies any rational explanation. Now, Harold, tell us that it isn't so. But the fact remains that many of us here have sat throughout these six weeks of wasted taxpayers money seeking for some convenient excuse not to pass any ethics Bills that were severe enough to embarrass or in any way to make any of us uncomfortable and I feel that in view of that reality that perhaps there is a sufficient emergency to justify the drastic and radical approach that Harold has taken in this instance. And so I abhor, I abhor greatly this divergence that he has made from the course of conduct that has been our inspiration in the past, yet I am going to support him by voting 'aye' because I believe that our constituents want to know about these ethic, our positions."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, many of us in this House, I think, in voting on the ethics Bills that were introduced as House Bills, took great comfort from the fact that we knew that very few of those Bills, if any, would ever get through the Senate. Now, we have a Bill which has come through the Senate. It is a good Bill. It doesn't over-regulate but it does require disclosure of campaign finances and personal income and it's a Bill, because of the fact that it has



passed the Senate, it is a Bill which represents at this point in time the only remaining hope for a meaningful ethics Bill to come out of the first special session of the Legislature. Now, I think the vote on this Roll Call is as simple as that. This is the last remaining hope. If we are really serious about having an ethics Bill come out of this fall session, if we are really serious about not having all of the time we have spent down here talking about ethics be simply a waste of time and a waste of taxpayers money, then we will vote 'yes' to get this Bill on to Second Reading where we can consider it and where we can show our constituents how we really feel about an ethics Bill for this State. If you don't want an ethics Bill, vote 'no' on this Roll Call. If you want a chance to show your constituents how you feel about an ethics Bills for this State by public disclosure, about ethics in government, then vote 'yes'. Get this Bill on to Second Reading and let us have a change to vote on it on the merits."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to support the motion of my respected senior colleague from the first district. I think that in line with what Representative Cunningham said, we have been down here now for many weeks. I am aware of the fact that in many districts there is not a great deal of pressure over this action in this way. Nevertheless, most of us have been considering the import of the ethics Bills that have been proposed. Representative Katz, historically, has been familiar with the process of ethics legislation. I think that we have got to offer to the people of Illinois at this time a sign and symbol of our intent. To vote 'aye' on this motion at this time, I think, we will offer that. I really



believe that, if there is some difficulty that we can thrash out over a period of this weekend, it should be noted that the Bill has an effective date of next July 1 and it seems to me that in consequence of that, even though I doubt that we would make any serious errors regarding the purport of this Bill, it is perfectly within our capacity to remedy it in the future. But it is only in our capacity now to tell the people of Illinois that we are down here to try to do this job."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "In support of the motion, Mr. Speaker, let me just say this. Ralph Waldo Emerson once said, "A foolish constituency is the hobgoblin of little minds." And I suggest Representative Katz would be foolish at this point if he wanted this Bill to go to Committee. I don't think we should fool anybody by suggesting that we are hold Committees at these late dates. Let's move in fairness, this Bill and all of the other Bills up - to Second Reading."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Ike Sims."

Sims: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move the previous question."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved the previous question. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Representative Katz to close."

Katz: "Well, I wish that everyone here who was surprised that I want to move this Bill ahead to Second Reading this week without going to Committee had supported me last week when I tried to get this Bill sent to Committee. The fact is that I tried and was not able to get it sent to Committee and we now face the situation that this in fact the 11th and 12th hour in which we are going to consider ethics legislation. This is it. Now, this is a Bill that is a fair and reasonable



Bill. It puts the threshold of \$100 on campaign contributions. You don't have to report anything under \$100. You only have to report the fact that you own something worth over \$1000 and you don't have to disclose how much it is worth. You don't have to disclose your income tax. You do not have to reveal information that would be of a personal nature. But political contributions over \$100 are matters of legitimate public interest and we have passed such Bills. If we are serious that we passed it because we want fair legislation this is an opportunity to take a Bill that has already passed the Senate and move it to Second Reading where it may be considered tomorrow. I would ask, Mr. Speaker, a Roll Call vote on a motion that this be advanced to Second Reading on the calendar."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved for the suspension of Rule 31 for the purpose of having Senate Bill 8 placed on the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion will signify by voting 'aye' and the opposed by voting 'no'. This will take 107 votes. The Clerk will take an oral Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."

Collins: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in briefly explaining my vote, I don't think that there is any Member of this House who has worked longer or harder in this area of this type of legislation than I and I would be inclined off the type of my head to support the motion of the Gentleman from Cook. However,



as Representative Duff pointed out, this Bill is not going to take its effect until next July 1 even if we should pass it. I think we are making a serious mistake in being stampeded into consideration of one Bill, especially in the light that this is not the last hope as has been suggested by one previous speaker. There are three Senate Bills on the floor of the House right now. I happen to be the Sponsor of one of them, Senate Bill 12 which is Senate Harris' Bill. I think it is a far superior Bill than Senate Bill 8. But this is something that I think should be hashed out in Committee whether it be a standing Committee or a Committee of the whole as we went through in our own ethics considerations and debate. We are now asked to, at the last minute, stampede ourselves into consideration of a Bill which I think is, in many ways, quite defective, a Bill that I find repugnant in many respects. As a matter of fact, I even have Amendments that I would like to offer for consideration to the Bill that I sponsor myself. So this is not timely. I wish, along with Representative Katz, that we had been able to get Bills assigned to Committee prior to this late date. But that is no argument to say that at this time we should go ahead and steamroller ourselves into consideration of what I think may be a very bad Bill. We do have time. Unfortunately, we are running out of time in this current session. But we do have time before the effective date of these Bills and I think that I have to endorse the arguments of Representative Bluthardt and I would hope that these Bills would be considered in orderly fashion and in Committee, whether it be in Committee or Committee of the whole. And so for that, those reasons, Mr. Speaker, I must somewhat reluctantly vote 'no' on the motion."

Clerk Selcke: "Craig, Cunningham, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Deavers, Dee."



Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Dee."

Dee: "I would like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker, Gentlemen of the House and Ladies. In as much as the effective date, as pointed out by my learned colleague, as July, 1974. I see no reason that they could unethical procedure to advance an ethic Bill, accordingly I must vote 'no'."

Clerk Selcke: "Deuster. DiPrima. Douglas. Duff. Ralph Dunn. R. L. Dunn. Dyer. Ebbesen. Epton. Ewell. Farley. Fary. Fennessey. Fleck. Flinn. Friedland. Garmisa. Geo-Karis. Getty. Gibbs. Giglio. Giorgi. Griesheimer. Grotberg. Hanahan. Giorgi, 'aye'. Harpstrite. Hart. Hill..."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."

Hill: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is certainly not the first time that I would.... I would pass a vote to by-pass a Committee hearing in the House, but I would like to point out to you that the individuals that made this particular motion, I have sat here many many times in the past when very important Bills where and a motion was made exactly like this and invariably this santimonious individual would get up on this floor and talk... and talk, and talk saying that at no time should we be breaking the rules of the House, that every Bill should go to the Committee. That every Bill should go to the Committee, now we find this gentleman trying to do something that he has been trying to stop for low these many years. I'm not going to vote for this motion because of him because I have voted either way in the past. I'm go to vote 'yes' on this particular motion not because of the individual that Sponsored it, but because I



think it's right."

Clerk Selcke: "Hirschfeld. Gene Hoffman. Ron Hoffman. Jimmy Holloway. Robert Holloway. D. Houlihan. J. Houlihan. Hudson. Hunsicker. Huskey. Hyde. Jacobs. Jaffe. Jenison. Emil Jones. Did he say 'aye'. Dave Jones. Juckett. Katz..."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, I only want to say that the distinguish gentleman from Kane ah... has finished his remarks and while there where parts of his remarks to which I might have taken exception, I though it was a magnificent punch line and as long as they vote 'aye', I don't care what they say and I'll vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Keller. Kelly. Kempiners. Kennedy. Kent. Klosak. Kosinski. Kozubowski. Krause. Kriegsman. Kucharski. LaFleur. Lauer. Laurino. Lechowicz. Leinenweber. Lemke. Leon. Londrigan. Lundy. MacDonald. Madigan. Mahar. Mann. Maragos. Martin. Matijevich. McAuliffe. McAvoy. McClain. McCormick. McCourt. McGah. McGrew. McLendon. McMaster. McPartlin. Merlo. Kenny Miller. Tom Miller. Molloy. Mugalian. Murphy. Nardulli. Neff. North. Palmer. Pappas. Patrick. Peters. Philip. Pierce. Polk. Porter. Randolph. Rayson. Redmond. Rigney. Rose. Ryan. Sangmeister. Schlisler. Schlickman. Schneider. Schoeberlein. Schreader. Sevcik. Sharp. Shea. Shurtz. T. Simms. Ike Sims. Skinner. Soderstrom. Springer. Stedelin. Stiehl. Stone. Taylor. Terzich. Thompson. Tipword. Totten. Tuerk. VonBoeckman. Waddell. Wall. R. Walsh. W. Walsh. Walters. Washburn. Washington. Williams. J. J. Wolf. B. B. Wolfe. Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question there are 54 'ayes' 29 'nays' 7 answering 'present' the gentleman... the motion is going to fail. Representative Yourell, for



what purpose do you arise."

Yourell: "I just want ah... are all the 'aye' votes, are votes for a motion to change a rule, is that right?"

Speaker Telcser: "To suspend a rule."

Yourell: "Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Grotberg, for what purpose do you arise?"

Grotberg: "For a point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point."

Grotberg: "Ah... I presume we're going to be here ah... some long hours and in this energy shortage crisis that we've got there is a liquid known as Norwegian gasoline that is completely absent from the floor of the House or in this building, in my understanding. I wonder if the Clerk could find somebody that could find some coffee, could make it available for this House for the rest of the night or else some people may turn to some other substance and we could ah... have repeat of an earlier performance."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, and the gentleman's motion to suspend the provisions of Rule 31, failed. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh. Bill, with respect to the first Special Session now is there anything else that we should be doing."

Walsh: "No, that ah.... I think that's it and I move Mr. Speaker that ah... the First Special Session adjourn until the hour of 10:10 A. M. tomorrow morning on November 30."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman has voted for Special Session to stand adjourned until the hour of... what was that tomorrow morning, Bill?"

Walsh: "10:10."

Speaker Telcser: "10:10 A.M. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', opposed 'no'. The First Special Session now stands adjourned. Second Special Session will now come



to order. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asks leave for the same attendance Roll Call as the First Special Session. Hearing no objections that will be the attendance Roll Call. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, now moves that the Second Special Session... the gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I move that the Second Session ah... be in adjourned until the hour of 10:15 A.M. tomorrow, November 30."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman has requested that the Second Session stand at adjourn until the hour of 10:15 A.M. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye' the opposed 'no', the Second Session does now stand adjourned till the hour of 10:15 A.M. tomorrow morning. Third Special Session will now come to order. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh has asked leave that the attendance Roll Call from the Second Special Session serve as the attendance Roll Call for the Third Special Session. Hearing no objections that will be the attendance Roll Call for the Third Special Session. Well, I don't know... the gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah... Mr. Speaker, I move that the Third Special Session recess to the call of the Chair and the purpose of this is, that there are some Bills coming over that will be in this Session and ah... hopefully they will arrive before we adjourn the final Special Session later on ah... so, I move Mr. Speaker that ah... well.... let me amend that motion, let me... let me move that the Third Special Session recess to ah... the adjournment of the Fifth Special Session. That's the motion that I..."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the gentleman moves that the Third Special Session stand in recess until the Fifth



Special Session adjourns. All in favor of the gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the oppose 'no'. The Third Special Session now stand in recess until the Fifth Special Session adjourns. Fourth Special Session will now come to order, the gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh move that the attendance Roll Call for the Third Special Session serve as the attendance Roll Call for the Fourth Special Session. Hearing no objections that will be the attendance Roll Call. The gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah... we have a ah.... Bill on Third Reading, I believe ah... Mr. Speaker that Representative Washburn is handling."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay ah... what is that, 3 Senate Bill 3."

Walsh: "Yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, Senate Bill, Third Reading... Senate Bill 3."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 3, Washburn. An Act to amend an Act in relation State Finance. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah... thank you ah... Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ah... Senate Bill 3, is a one paragraph Bill which I'll read it was explained the other day when it was moved up to Third Reading. It states that all appropriation recommended to the General Assembly by the Governor and the State Budget submitted ah... pursuance to ah... the Civil Adminstrated Code will be incorporated into and prepared as one or more appropriation Bills which will either be introduced in the General Assembly or submitted to legislative leaders of both the House and the Senate ah...



not later than the first Friday of April, immediately preceeding the start of the fiscal year ah... when the Budget is recommended. Now, I think that we all know the purpose of this, it has been the custom in the past not only this year but in pasted years that ah... ah... the heaviest ah... many of the heaviest Bills, the most important Bills bearing the most dollar signs would be held until a date... or be unprepared until a date when the Appropriation Committee didn't have the time to really go into an in-depth study on them. This does not say they have to be introduced but says they must be prepared and submitted to the leaders of the Senate and the House now, this doesn't mean that ah... the proceedure for ah... the legislative proceed-ure will be altered in any fashion they will still go through the Committee's and can be amended there or on Second Reading and I would ask for your favorable vote on Senate Bill 3."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a Bill that we've heard in the last Session and at that time I was in favor of it, in fact I was in favor of it three years ago as well but, it takes the Bureau of the Budget a long time to learn that the Appropriation process both in this House and in the Senate need the proper amount of time to review legislation especially appropriation matters dealing with every phase of State Government. I would hope that this Bill would be passed, it it long overdue, I would urge its passage. Thank you, very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 3 pass. All in favor will signify by voting 'aye', opposed by voting 'no' the gentleman has asked leave to ask a unanimous... last unanimous



Roll Call... I'm sorry Representative Tipsword, I didn't see you."

Tipsword: "I have a couple of question I would like to ask about the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, he indicates he will yield."

Tipsword: "I can well understand the need ah... for this Bill and the reason why it has been presented but, I think there is a problem arises in the first year of any Governor's term be a Democrat or Republican when he's got to completely ah... staff a new ah... government ah... he has not been here in the fall in the preperation of... of ah... much of the things that you really need for.... for the drafting of Bills, the preperation of a Budget and I wonder if, does this make any kind of provision for a new Governor in his very first year."

Washburn: "No, there isn't any provision but his budget has to be prepared by a certain date and I think that's earlier... this in no way alters the legislative process it still ah... would have the opportunity to be amended as the Administration saw fit, either in Committee or on Second Reading and you know as well as I do that it takes a long time after they are introduced to reach final state so, I think we would at least have the basic to look at, study and then the alterations could be made at a later time and progress through the channels."

Tipsword: "I understand what you're talking about that brings up, I think a very important point. I think that it's important to get these Bills as early as possible but if they are prepared so that they can be submitted to the leadership, why shouldn't they be submitted to the Member's their elected to represent their districts too and need to look at these things."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative



Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Well, Mr. Speaker I can attest as far as the Bills have been submitted on this side of the aisle to the leadership, there are always been available to every Member... this side and I'm sure that it's true on the other side. The.... if you read the Bill it say to the.... you can either submit this with the General Assembly or to the leadership and that was at the specific request of the Governor's office to give him that leeway. All we're doing is asking that ah... they be submitted by the first Friday in April and if you recall that the Budget must be submitted to the General Assembly about the same time and I would hope that... in fact this Bill has been talked about twice and quite thoughtly with the Governor and Doug Kane and they concur in it's meaning and it's word and they are hopping that it will fill it's intent and I would hope that this House would concur in that action."

Speaker Telcser: "Ah... Representative Tipsword, for what purpose do you rise?"

Tipsword: "Just one other question.... Representative Lechowicz answered the last question that I asked ah... In the past, I don't think that we followed this procedure, I think when the Bills have been ready they have been filed and once they have been filed they have been available to every Member of the House, have they not and in addition, how about the members of the general public, the taxpaying public why shouldn't they be available so that the public can get a hold of them. Why should they be in the hands of ah... four or five people."

Lechowicz: "Oh, thank you, Mr. Speaker. In reply to that, hopefully that they will be filed with the General Assembly then everyone can take a look at them and everyone will the opportune to review it. If everything



that we're trying to provide is like what happen to the Department of Mental Health this pass Session where that appropriation Bill was submitted to the House in the beginning of June and it's pretty hard to evaluate an appropriation of that magnitude within a week's time and I think within all fairness this is what this Bill is trying to prevent, this is a step in the right direction and I don't know what's happen in the past but there has been a lot of changes in business and corporations and systems and changing ah... different ways of doing things and I think it's a change in the right direction."

Tipword: "Well, Mr. Lechowicz, is that really going to make any difference in regard to the way in which the Membership gets them, you say they are only going to be prepared and submitted to the leadership but it doesn't change the manner in which they are going to be filed. They might be filed just as late ah... under this ah... Bill as they have been filed in the pass so far as the general public and the membership of the two Houses are concerned."

Lechowicz: "Unfortunately Tip, that ah... that this is the only ah... agreement that we could get from the Governor's Office and his Staff. I think it's a step in the right direction, I don't think it goes far enough but ah... let's see how it works."

Speaker Telcser: "The gentleman from Cook, Representative Harold Washington."

Washington: "Yes, I have a question of the Sponsor."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates, he yields."

Washington: "I gather part of the logic for this Bill is that since the Budget must be submitted at a given time, it follows you say that particular appropriation should be submitted at that time, is that what you are saying." Well, I think that logic is foolishness for



this reason. The budget itself stands to be revised. It gives general directions more or less as to where the Governor is going. I think Representative Tipword put his finger on the problem. What, it seems to me, you have to do is to make an exception for the first year of a new Governor's term. I think it is very unrealistic whether he is democrat or republican to impose this kind of a structure on him. He simply cannot master, obviously he can't master, as the Appropriation Committee can't master after years and years of work, a budget of \$7 billion within the space of three months and there is simply no reason to impose that kind of a burden on him. I would adopt or go along with your logic here if you would make an exception, rather two exceptions really, one to permit a first term Governor a little bit more operating room and two, I think every Member of the House and Senate should have a copy of that. Short of that, I can't support it because I think you have gone just too far in one direction by imposing a standard and not far enough in the other direction by confining that material to the leadership."

Washburn: "Well, Harold, I really don't think that it is an imposition because the budget book has to be prepared and if the budget book is prepared I see no reason why Bill preparation isn't in order either and as I will repeat, there will be ample time and opportunity to amend it and get it in the form the administration wishes before it ever reaches final stage in either House."

Washington: "Well, my point is, what's the point in requiring an appropriation? Why can't we simply take the budget and based on the estimates in the budget by the Governor, guide our operations accordingly? Why impose on a first term Governor an Appropriation Bill and subject him to the embarrassment of having to amend all his



Bills over and over again and revise them? There is no point in it."

Washburn: "I realize that this Governor has his first session under his belt but I am sure that the thoughts of it and the memories of it are fresh in his mind and he agrees with this system and so does his budget director so I would think that that would be some indication that it would be possible for the first year of a Governor to meet this requirement."

Washington: "I have great faith in the Governor but I prefer to do my own thinking. Now if he has conceded that I think he has conceded too much. I am simply maintaining that a first term Governor, in many instances, simply wouldn't come out with an intelligent document."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Logan, Representative Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, it seems to me that the previous Gentleman spared somewhat in calling this malicious logic because if we listen at all to campaign speeches of would be Governors they have a tendency to discuss at great length fiscal matters. They seem to be much more knowledgeable than Governors who have been incumbents for a number of years and it seems to me that perhaps we should give them a chance to prove their financial competence and their comprehension of the State financial process and I don't think that the requirements of the first of April is at all unwarranted."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Would the Sponsor of the Bill yield for a couple of questions?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Lundy: "I have the Bill in front of me and it appears to me that it applies to all Departments of State government



that are included in the Governor's budget whether or not they are specifically responsible to the Governor and whether or not the Governor has control over their operations. For example, the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Am I correct in that?"

Washburn: "I assume you are correct if it is contained in the budget book."

Lundy: "Well, my further question, then, based on that answer is how do we expect the Governor to be able to submit appropriations Bills for Departments of State government over which he has no control?"

Washburn: "I don't quite get the point of your question. I didn't catch that, Representative Lundy."

Lundy: "If the Bill applies to Departments such as OSPI which are not under the direct control of the Governor and I take it the Bill is aimed primarily at the Governor and the Bureau of the Budget, how can they be expected to submit appropriations Bills with respect to agencies over which they don't have direct control?"

Washburn: "Well, this is all, the Bill reads all appropriations, appropriations recommended to the General Assembly by the Governor. It would have to be the ones that are under his control."

Lundy: "So it's not contemplated that the Governor would be responsible for submitting appropriations Bills for agencies or Departments that are not under his supervision. Is that correct?"

Washburn: "Apparently it's only those under the Governor's control."

Lundy: "I had one other questions and that is, I don't see any sanctions in the Bill. In other words, what do we do if the Governor doesn't submit these Bills by April 1? Do we go to court and mandamus them or do we, what remedy do we have if he fails to comply?"

Washburn: "There are none in the Bill. This is a, as



Ted explained, it's an attempt to permit us, the Legislature, to study these things a little more thoroughly. There is no penalty in the Bill. Hopefully the Governor would abide by this piece of legislation and I am certain that he would because it would mean better accountability not only to the Legislature itself but to the general public as well and this Bill was drafted by the Governor's office and I am sure that this Governor and every Governor following him would make an honest attempt to meet it."

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, if I may I would like to address myself to the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Washburn, do you seek recognition, sir?"

Washburn: "We can take this out of the record, if you want to, learn more about of it."

Speaker Telcser: "O.K., the Gentleman has leave to take it out of the record because of Lundy. Senate Bill 6, I understand Representative Walters wishes to have the Bill held. He indicates Senate Bill 6 will be held at the request of the Sponsor. Move it to third Representative Giorgi and bring it back tomorrow, is that right? Mr. Clerk, Senate Bills Second Reading. Are there any other, are there Amendments to this Senate Bill Second Reading. I think we have got Amendments on the Clerk's desk. Are they yours? Representative William Walsh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Walsh: "I wonder if the Sponsor would agree to call this Bill, read it a second time and agree to have it brought back to Second Reading tomorrow so that..."

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1, Giorgi, a Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code, Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading."



They are going to pull it back tomorrow, Mr. Clerk and take the Amendments tomorrow. O.K., the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "I move, Mr. Speaker, that the fourth special session stand ajourned until the hour of 10:30a.m. tomorrow, November 30."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the fourth special session to stand ajourned until the hour of 10:30a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The fourth special session stands ajourned until the hour of 10:30a.m. tomorrow morning. The fifth special session will come to morning. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh asks leave for attendance Roll Call of the fourth special session. Hearing no objections, that will be the attendance Roll Call. All right, Mr. Clerk, in the fifth special session, House Bills Third Reading. House Bill 6. House Bill 6. House Bills Third Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 6, a Bill for an Act to amend the School Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, House Bill 6 permits the waiver of the 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ per day penalty for failure to provide the minimum school term where school districts could not procure the energy resources necessary to keep the schools open. Now, according to the present School Code, of course, the schools must have 176 class days and this merely states that if they are forced to close down because of the energy crisis they will not be penalized. I know of no opposition to this Bill. I would appreciate, request and appreciate the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall House Bill 6 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The



Gentleman has asked leave for a unanimous Roll Call. Hearing no objection, on this question 153 'ayes', no 'nays'. This Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. O.K., anything else? Senate Bills Third Reading. Senate Bill 2, J.J. Wolf, did you wish to have that called today? J.J., do you want Senate Bill 2 called in the fifth special session or do you want to wait until..."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2, a Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Commercial Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative J.J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, you caught me a little unaware. I don't have a copy of the Bill. But this merely is corrective language is what it is. There was Amendment to that particular section two sessions ago and in this last session the Reference Bureau inadvertently restored some of the language which was taken out in the previous sessions and so this just puts it back in its proper form so they can print the revised statutes properly and I would ask for a unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 2 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman has asked leave for a unanimous Roll Call. Are there any objections? Hearing none, on this question 153 'ayes', no 'nays', this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 4. Senate Bill 4."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 4, an Act to amend the Election Code, Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Redmond."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am handling this for Representative Philip who is



ill today. This is a companion Bill to Senate Bill 3 which was passed out of here last week. This Bill provides that in counties that establish an Election Commission, that they may increase their rate from 2 to 4¢ in order to pay the additional cost of the election. I request the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 4 pass. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Objections to the last unanimous Roll Call? Hearing none, on this question 153 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh with respect to the fifth special session. Is the Gentleman on the floor? O.K., the Gentleman from, Representative Miller, the Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller. Do you want to put a motion, Kenny? You don't know what it is? The Gentleman now moves the fifth special session stand adjourned until the hour of, the Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller moves the fifth special session to stand adjourned until the hour of 10:40a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The fifth special session does now stand adjourned until the hour of 10:40a.m. tomorrow morning. O.K., pursuant to the motion to recess the third special session until the fifth is adjourned, the third special session will now come to order, Members please be in their seats. Representative Miller, we are back in the third special session from the recess we had earlier. O.K., Kenny, what is the next move, Kenny? Let's stand at ease for a couple of minutes. The House will be at ease for just a couple of moments while we communicate with the Senate with respect to the action they are taking on Bills in the third special. All right now, the Gentleman from Cook,



Representative Totten, with respect to an announcement."

Totten: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. There will be a conference of the Republicans Suburban and outling county Members who are affected by the RTA tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock in room M-3."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Ryan, having voted on prevailing side by which the fifth special session was adjourned now moves that the vote by which the fifth special session was adjourned be reconsidered. All in favor, Representative J.J. Wolf, for what purpose do you rise?"

Wolf: "Would Representative Ryan repeat that, please?"

Speaker Telcser: "All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The vote will be reconsidered. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh now moves that the fifth special session to stand adjourned by the hour of 10:40a.m. tomorrow morning. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The 'no' have it. The Gentleman's motion fails and the fifth special session is back in session with the third still in recess to be reopened after the fifth is adjourned. And right now we are at ease in the fifth special session. The third has been recessed. Representative Juckett, for what purpose do you rise?"

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir."

Juckett: "In my understanding, a week or so ago, this same action was tried but the Speaker ruled that it was impossible to reconsider any vote because we weren't in session and I was just wondering if there was some change in the Speaker's thoughts about that."

Speaker Telcser: "No, not at all, but the perspective and circumstances by which the Chair made the ruling this evening are vastly different from those of last week and when making those rulings, of course, we



always consider everything which is before us at the time the ruling is made. O.K., the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, here we are in the fifth special session and I would move or ask leave rather of the House that Bills coming from the Senate in the fifth special session today may be read a first time and advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee and that the last unanimous Roll Call be used for that purpose."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Hearing no objection, on this question 153 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House has given leave to have Senate Bills coming over to the fifth special session be read and as then voted 153 to nothing to have those Bills after they have been read a first time moved to the order of Second Reading without reference. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the fifth special session recess to the hour of 11:30p.m. this evening."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the fifth special session to stand in recess until the hour of 11:30p.m. this evening. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative B.B. Wolfe."

Wolfe: "Could we make a motion to reconsider to carry it along with that just in case we want to go back to the fifth again like we did before?"

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Christian, Representative Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I was just wondering, I noticed the hour at which we are coming back in the fifth special session. Does that mean that we are going to stay after midnight and go into Second Reading on those Bills that we are now putting..."

Speaker Telcser: "No, no, no, no, it only gives us the, all right the Gentleman from Cook, Representative



William Walsh has moved the fifth special session to stand in recess until the hour of 11:30p.m. this evening. All in favor 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The fifth special session does now stand in recess until the hour of 11:30p.m. Now we are back in the third special session. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, in the third special session, I would ask leave of the House to permit the reading of Senate Bills that come over this evening and the referring of them to Second Reading without reference to a Committee and ask leave for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has asked leave to have the Senate Bills that come over to the third special special be read a first time and moved to the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee. He asked the last unanimous Roll Call. In favor 'aye', the opposed 'no', this question 153 'ayes', no 'nays', Bills coming to the third special session today from the Senate will be read a first time and sent to Second without reference."

Walsh: "And now, Mr. Speaker, I move that the third special session stand in recess to the hour of 11:40p.m. tonight."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the third special session to stand in recess to the hour of 11:40p.m. tonight. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', opposed 'no'. The third special session stands in recess till the hour of 11:40. Representative Walsh, is there anything else now?"

Walsh: "I am not sure."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there anything that we have in the second that we have to leave, no? What about the Members staying here or what? So the Members know



where we are, all of you can leave now, go to dinner. The Republicans come here tomorrow morning, 9 o'clock to a Republican conference. The Democrats 10 o'clock. All that will happen tonight is as Bills come over from the Senate the messages will be read, the Bills will be read a first time, moved to the order of Second Reading for action tomorrow. We will adjourn the fifth at 10:40 and the third will be adjourned to 10:25, right after the second. 10:25 for the third, 10:30 for the fourth, 10:40 for the fifth."

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. This is the fifth special session coming to order and are there any messages from the Senate?"

Clerk Selcke: "The hour of 11:30p.m. having arrived, a message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives of the passage of the Bills of the following title and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 6 and Senate Bill 7 passed by the Senate third special session, passed by the Senate fifth special session November 29, 1973 by a 3/5 vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 6, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 7, First Reading of the Bill. Pursuant to a motion made earlier in this fifth special session, Bills will be advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we adjourn the fifth special session till 10:30 in the morning. 10:40 in the morning."

Speaker Blair: "All in favor say 'aye', the motion is passed. The House will come to order in the third special session previously recessed. Messages from



where we are, all of you can leave now, go to dinner. The Republicans come here tomorrow morning, 9 o'clock to a Republican conference. The Democrats 10 o'clock. All that will happen tonight is as Bills come over from the Senate the messages will be read, the Bills will be read a first time, moved to the order of Second Reading for action tomorrow. We will adjourn the fifth at 10:40 and the third will be adjourned to 10:25, right after the second. 10:25 for the third, 10:30 for the fourth, 10:40 for the fifth."

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. This is the fifth special session coming to order and are there any messages from the Senate?"

Clerk Selcke: "The hour of 11:30p.m. having arrived, a message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives of the passage of the Bills of the following title and the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 6 and Senate Bill 7 passed by the Senate third special session, passed by the Senate fifth special session November 29, 1973 by a 3/5 vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 6, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 7, First Reading of the Bill. Pursuant to a motion made earlier in this fifth special session, Bills will be advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that we adjourn the fifth special session till 10:30 in the morning. 10:40 in the morning."

Speaker Blair: "All in favor say 'aye', the motion is passed. The House will come to order in the third special session previously recessed. Messages from



the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "The hour of 11:40p.m. having arrived, have the following messages from the Senate. Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate passed the Bills of the following title and the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 2, 3, 12, 13, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 45, 46 passed by the Senate in the third special session November 29, 1973.

Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Senate Bills First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 2, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 3, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 12, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 13, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 27, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 28, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 29, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 30, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 32, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 33, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 34, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 35, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 36, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 37, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 38, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 42, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 43, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 45, First Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 46, First Reading of the Bill. The motion having been made previously in the third special session these Bills are advanced to the order of Second Reading without reference to a Committee."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move that the third special session be adjourned until 10:35, 10:25a.m. tomorrow, Friday,



November 30."

Speaker Blair: "All in favor 'aye', those opposed 'no',
the 'ayes' have it. The House is adjourned."



HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

ONE HUNDRETH AND TENTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

NOVEMBER 29, 1973

2:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

IN THE CHAIR



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
			1.
1		Speaker Blair	House in order
		Dr. Johnson	Invocation
		Speaker Blair	Roll call
2		Clerk Selcke	Roll call
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	Move to recess
		Speaker Blair	
3		Choate	Concur in motion
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Blair	Recess until 3:30
	3:30	Speaker Blair	Regular session
4		Washburn	SJR 53
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SJR 53
5		Speaker Blair	
		Tipsword	Question
6-7		Washburn	Respond
		Speaker Blair	
8		Lechowicz	Discussion
9		Speaker Blair	SJR 53 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Agreed resolutions
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	HR 665, 666, 667, 668
		Speaker Blair	Agreed resolutions adopted
10		Clerk Selcke	HB 2108, 2109
		Clerk Selcke	HR 664



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
11		Speaker Blair	
12		Craig	HR 664 motion
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	Discussion
		Craig	Persist in motion
		Speaker Blair	Motion fails
14		Clerk Selcke	HB 2110
		Walsh	Move regular session adjourn
15		Speaker Telcser	1st special session called to order
16		Katz	Motion on SB 8 2nd reading
		Speaker Telcser	
17		Bluthardt	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	
18		Speaker Telcser	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Telcser	
19		Lundy	
		Speaker Telcser	
20		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Simms	Moves previous question
		Speaker Telcser	
21		Katz	To close

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
22		Collins	Explains vote
		Clerk Selcke	
23		Speaker Telcser	
		Dee	Explains vote
		Clerk Selcke	Roll call
		Speaker Telcser	
		Hill	Explains vote
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Comments
24		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
25		Yourell	Comments
		Speaker Telcser	
		Grotberg	Personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	Moves 1st special session adjourn
26		Speaker Telcser	Adjourn, 2nd session convenes
		Walsh, W.	Moves to adjourn 2nd special session
		Speaker Telcser	2nd special session adjourned convenes 3rd special session
		Walsh W.	Move to recess 3rd special session
27		Speaker Telcser	3rd special session recessed convenes 4th special session
		Walsh, W.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Calls SB 3
		Clerk Selcke	Reads 3rd time
28		Washburn	Explains Bill
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Comments SB '3
29		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	
		Washburn	
30		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Comments
		Speaker Telcser	
		Tipsword	Discussion
31		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Telcser	
32		Washburn	
33		Speaker Telcser	
		Lauer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Questions
34		Washburn	Replies
		Lundy	Discussion
35		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	
		Speaker Telcser	Leave to take off record



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Giorgi	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Telcser	
36		Clerk Selcke	SB 1, 2nd reading
		Speaker Telcser	Take amendments tomorrow
		Walsh, W.	Adjourn 4th special session
		Speaker Telcser	Adjourn 4th special session
		Speaker Telcser	Convenes 5th special session
			HB 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads HB 6
37		Speaker Telcser	Bill passes SB 3rd reading
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 2
		Wolf, J.J.	Explains bill
		Speaker Telcser	Bill passes, calls SB 4
		Clerk Selcke	Reads SB 4
38		Redmond	Explains bill
		Speaker Telcser	Bill passes
		Miller	Moves to adjourn 5th special session
		Speaker Telcser	Adjourn 5th special session. Calls 3rd special session to order
39		Totten	Announcement
		Speaker Telcser	
		Wolfe, J.J.	Question
		Speaker Telcser	Reconsider vote of 5th special session adjourned 3rd special session in recess. Still in 5th special session
		Juckett	Parliamentary inquiry
40		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, W.	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser Walsh, W.	Leave for SB coming over, go to 2nd without reference Recess 5th special session
		Speaker Telcser Wolfe, B.B.	Motion to reconsider
		Speaker Telcser Tipsword	
41		Speaker Telcser Walsh, W. Speaker Telcser Walsh, W.	5th recessed. 3rd special session reconvenes Moves to recess 3rd special session 3rd special session recessed Discussion
42		Speaker Telcser Electrician Speaker Blair Clerk Selcke Speaker Blair Clerk Selcke Speaker Blair Jones, J.D. Speaker Blair Clerk Selcke	Testing 5th special session in order Messages from Senate 1st reading Move adjourn 5th special session adjourn, 3rd special session to order Messages from Senate
43		Speaekr Blair Clerk Selcke Speaker Blair	SB, 1st reading
44		Jones, J.D. Speaker Blair	Move 3rd special session adjourn House adjourned

