- Speaker Blair: "All right, the Second Special Session will be in order, and the Sentleman from Rock Island, Mr.

 Pappas, ah.. asked leave to have the Roll Call for attendance from the First Special Session made the Roll Call for attendance at this Second Special Session.

 Okay, that will be done. Introductions, this is the tax relief session. There you go, with the introductions.

 Message from the Senate."
- Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives of the following Senate Resolutions to which Senate Resolution #3 done by the Senate, October 22, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, secretary."
- Geo-Karis: "I move that we adjourn this Second Special session until 10:45 a.m., tomorrow."
- Telcser: "All right, the lady from Lake, Mrs. Geo-Karis moves that we adjourn the Second Special Session. Mrs. Geo-Karis ah.. until ah.. ah.. 10:45 a.m., tomorrow morning. All those in favor say 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. All right, now we are in regular, it's not quite twelve yet. All right, we will be in the regular in just a couple, three minutes here. All right, regular, regular session will be in order, and the ah.. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Fleck, ah.. asked leave to ah.. have the Roll Call from the First Special Session made the Roll Call for the regular session. Have leave for that? All right, ah.. that will be the Roll Call for this. Now, do you have messages from the Senate?"
- Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, secretary. Mr. Speaker I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has concurred with the House in the adoption of the following joint resolution, to-wit. House Joint Resolution 76.



Concurred on October 22, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that pursuant to the recommendations of the Governor, the Senate has adopted amendatory provisions to a bill of the following title in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 580. Ah.. action taken by the Senate, October 22, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that pursuant to the recommendations of the Governor, the Senate has adopted amendatory provisions to a bill of the following title in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 894. Action taken by the Senate, October 22, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, secretary. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that pursuant to the recommendations of the Governor, the Senate has adopted amendatory provisions to a bill of the following title. Senate Bill 1179. Action taken by the Senate, October 22, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, secretary. Ah.. Mr. Speaker - I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that pursuant to the recommendations of the Governor, the Senate has adopted amendatory provision to a bill of the following title. (unintelligible).... Senate Bill 550. Action taken by the Senate, October 22, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, secretary. Ah.. no further messages. Committee Reports. While the clerk is doing that, might I ask that ah .. any of the members that have Motions, ah.. that are on the calendar, if you would indicate it to me ah.. we would be pleased to call those Motions for you. Mr. Soderstrom, from the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education, to which House Bill 1486 was referred. Recommended it will be put on Study Calendar.



Mr. McMaster, from the Counties and Townships, to which House Bill 1901 was referred, returned the same back, persuant to Rule 23(d), the bill was ordered tabled. Mr. Bluthardt, from Elections, to which House Bill 1503 was referred, returned the same, and pursuant to Rule 23(d), was ordered tabled. Mr. Bluthardt, from Elections, which Senate Bill 384 was referred, returned the same, and pursuant to Rule 23(d), was ordered tabled. Mr. Soderstrom, from Elementary and Secondary, which House Bill 1442 was referred, returned the same, pursuant to Rule 23(d), was ordered tabled. Mr. Tuerk, from Committee on Industrial Affairs, to which House Bill 1383 was referred, returned the same, pursuant to Rule 23(d), was ordered tabled. Agreed Resolutions, Mr. Speaker. Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 568, Sharp. House Resolution 569, Yourell. House Resolution 570, Waddell. The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker. These are the Agreed Resolutions.

The House Resolution 568. Congratulates V.F.W. Post

2859, of Wood River, upon the selection, their

selection, as first in the nation, for the Loyalty

Parade and Program. House Resolution 569 by Representative

Yourell. Commends Mrs. Ruth, commends and congratulates

Mrs. Ruth Regan, a School Crossing Guard of Worth,

Illinois for her courage and perception, in avoiding

accidents. House Resolution 570 by Representative

Waddell. Congratulates Mrs. Martha Pulse, on the

achievement of her 100th birthday. Mrs. Pulse,

lives in Marengo, and I move the adoption of the

agreed resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there a discussion, questions on the adoptions of the agreed resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it.



The agreed resolutions are adopted. All right, on the ah.. introductions. First Reading of House Bills.

All right, Motions ah.. on the amendatory veto.

Motions ah.. page 7, appears a Motion with respect to House Bill 966, on which the chair recognizes, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Telcser."

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Ah.. I'm sure you recall a couple days ago, we discussed ah.. the Governor's amendatory veto relative to House Bill 966. Representative Shea, had a question. We took it out of the record. The question has been answered and now move that the House adopt the Governor's amendatory veto relative to House Bill 966."

Clerk Selcke: "Any discussion on the Gentlemens Motion to concur in the specific recommendations of the Governor? All right, Roll Call. This is a Motion to concur or to solve the amendment of the Governor. Respecting his specific recommendations, concerning House Bill 966. All those in favor will vote 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. The Clerk will take the record. Okay, we better be in our seats now, and ah.. if we can break up some of the conferences, why the Clerk will be able to properly get a Roll Call vote. Alsup, Anderson, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Borchers, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, aye, Calvo, aye, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, aye, Catania, aye, Chapmen, aye, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, Cunningham, Davis, Day, Deavers, Duester, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, aye, Ralph Dunn, PR.L. Dunn, Dyer, Tire Ebbesen, Epton, Ewëll, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, aye, Getty, aye, Gibbs, Giglio, Giorgi, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, aye, Hill, aye, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway,



D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, aye, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, E. Jones, Dave Jones, aye, Juckett, aye, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino, Lechowicz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, aye, Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, aye, Maragos, aye, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, I got you, McClain, McCormick, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, aye, McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Nardulli, Neff, North, aye, Palmer, Pappas, aye, Patrick, aye, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, aye, Schisler, aye, Schlickman, aye, Schneider, aye, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevick, Sharp, aye, Shea, aye, Shurtz, Timmothy Simms, Isaac Sims, Skinner, aye, Soderstrom, aye, Springer, aye, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, aye, Terzich, Thompson, aye, Tipsword, aye, Totten, Totten here? Tuerk, VonBoeckman, Waddell, aye, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, aye, Washburn, Washington, aye, Williams, J.J. Wolf, B.B. Wolfe, aye, Yourell, Yourell, Mr. Speaker, aye. Weber make up your mind now. All right, Lauer, aye, Ralph Dunn, aye, Getty, aye, Alsup, aye, Gibbs, aye, Harpstrite, aye, Davis, Washburn, I got you Mr. Katz. Fary, aye, Jacobs, Klosak, Henry here?"

Speaker Blair: "On this question there are 150 ayes, no nays and the amendment is adopted with respect to House Bill 966. Right after that on the calendar appears a Motion with respect to House Bill 1247, on which the chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Beatty, who is handling that matter for Mr. Madigan."



Beatty: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

This is a Motion to accept the Governor's specific
ah. changes in the bill which are really technical.

There is really no change in substance as to what
Representative Madigan had put into this bill. It
merely changes some Section numbers, and the basic ah..

the bill actually deals with insurance premiums for
persons having physical handicaps. It makes a provision
that there is not to be any increase rate merely
because a person has a physical handicap, and so this
is merely changing a Section number in the bill. I
would ask for the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "I have to say is there any discussion?

Is there? All right, ah.. does the Gentleman have
leave for the last unanimous Roll Call, with respect
to House Bill 966? Ah.. to be the Roll Call, with
respect of 1247? All right, leave having been granted
in the specific recommendations ah.. has ah.. set forth
in the amendment are adopted. All right, are there
any further Motions that the members would desire to
have called? Are there any further Motions? Mr.
Stedelin, what number? House Bill 660. It's on a
supplemental calendar. Oh, it's on a supplemental
calendar ah.. and the chair recognizes the Gentleman
from Marion, Mr. Stedelin."

Stedelin: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

The Governor Walker's amendatory veto to House Bill 660.

May I ask two comments for the purpose of clarification?

The language and the intent of the purpose of the Bill.

I move the adoption of the amendatory veto and the last unanimous Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there a discussion? Gentleman have leave for the last unanimous Roll Call, with respect to House Bill 966. All right, that will be the Roll Call, and the Gentlemen's amendment with respect



to House Bill 660, is adopted. All right, on the supplemental calendar, also appears a Motion with respect to Senate Bill 374. On which the chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Walters. Over here."

Walters: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I would like to move to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for a change with respect to Senate Bill 374 in the manner informed as follows. Amends in gross Senate Bill 374 on page 7, line 12 and 13, by deleting the words health, care and facilities. I would like to move Mr. Speaker, that we accept the last unamious Roll Call. Is there discussion?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman have leave to use the last unanimous Roll Call with the Roll Call on his Motion with respects to Senate Bill 374.

All right, leave has been given and the amendment is adopted with respect to Senate Bill 374. Mr. Cunningham, do you want your 1601 called? Tomorrow, okay. Mr. Farley how about ah.. Oh yea, it went through. Does any one else have any Motions that they desire to have called? Mr. Tipsword."

Tipsword: "I just have a point of parliamentary inquiry,
Mr. Speaker. It's in regards to the Senate Bills
that are being presented. I can't find them and, and
the materials that are available back here are the
amendatory messages ah.. that we have on any of these
bills and regrettably I couldn't even hear what the
last bill was. I'm sure it was all right, but I'm
wondering about us passing them without them available
to us."

Speaker Blair: "Well, they certainly should be at your seat. Jack advises that they are printed. We are getting it straightened out. All right, the Clerk says that they were printed last night, and they



should be at your desk, that bill should be at your seat. We will get a copy of it out right away.

All right, are there any fruther Motions, with respect to any of the actions of the Governor? All right, on the order of total veto Motions ah..

appears a Motion with respect to House Bill 969. On which the Chair, that is on page 6, of your calendar, on which the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett. Where did Mr. Juckett go? All right, there he is."

Juckett: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."

Speaker Blair: "All right, if we could break up the ah..

numerious huttles so we can hold the noise level down,

and the Gentleman can be heard on his Motion. It is

a Motion to override a total veto."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Motion is to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 969. In his message the Governor detailed the support that we are giving to the special education and was included in his budget. He did not disagree whatsoever, with the philosophy of 969. However, he did call attention to all of us. The provision in the constitution which requires a balanced budget, and he did call attention to the fact that he had not included this in his 1974 fiscal budget, and rightly so. Because in special education we reimburse our local school districts. For the 1974 fiscal year they have spend the money on a local level and they pay the teachers and personnel. They do not make their application for reimbursement until July and August of the following fiscal year and the monies are not paid out until October through December of the following fiscal year. So it would have been



improper for the Governor to put this figure in his

74 budget, and I agree with him that we should have a balanced budget. Let me tell you what happens in the local school districts. We are present reimbursing on the basis of 50% or \$5,000 which ever is lesser for teachers. Many of our school districts even though they have had fine programs are now faced with several alternatives. The federal monies. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. The federal monies which are being used in the Title III and the Title VI, Program are coming to an end. My own local school districts 64 and Park Ridge, with in the next two years will be losing 150,000 in federal monies which they are now using to test children to see whether they have a special education need. Our Superintendent, has no idea where this money will come from and as a consequence he feels many kids will not be tested, they will not have an adequate program, and the regular dollars will be wasted on an education which these kids can not absorb. The second item. Our Mental Health Hospitals are declining and putting these kids out in foster homes and shelter care homes. Their education is dependent then not in the state facility, but rather on the local school district and our local school districts do not have the funds. Many of these kids would go into Children and Family Services facilities, but they have the power to reject the children because they don't have a program. Our school districts can not reject. They must accept that we don't have the money. There is no fiscal implication at all in 1974, however, there is an implication of any where from 20 to 30 million dollars in 1975. we do not help the children when they are little we will have them on our hands in public aid because



every child in a mental institution at 18 or 21 as the case may be, automatically is put on public aid. This is not the answer. The answer to, to get them while they are young. In June, of this year we passed a law saying that every school district must after, it is called to their attention, of a special ed kid. We must examine and we must test within 60 days. We must give them the money and the wear with all because (unintelligible)..... special ed. We have added to them, and we must then fulfill our promise to the kids of the state of Illinois. I would urge all of my colleagues to support this override not to embarrass the Governor, not to embarrass any body, but rather to help the school kids of the state of Illinois. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I full well realize as a member of this legislature that there is many facets of legislative action that we're going to have to give due consideration. realize as much as any body on the floor of this House, that the matter we're discussing at the present time is a matter of not only great importance to this legislature, it's a matter of great importance to practacially all of the good thinking citizens of the state of Illinois, because it deals with people that need specall help. I realize that in addition to your legislative duties and responsibility that any matter of this nature we have the human element of emotions involved. Emotions that could run rampant if we didn't curve them at times. Now I have read the Governor's veto message. I've listened with great attention to the sponsor of this Motion and his reasons for overriding. I have read with great



interest materials that I have received from people in my district, as well as other areas of the state of Illinois. I've read with great interest the detail the statements supplied by the Superintendent of Public Instruction, Dr. Bakalis, and when I take all, all these facts into consideration I come to one conclusion. Let me tell this, to this General Assembly and I know that the sponsor of this Motion is going to agree with me and I want you members to listen, because I ask the sponsor not to make this Motion today. To see if we could work out a compromise of some degree to where we could bring about a fruitful solution to this important question. I would hope, therefore, that enough members of this body would join with me in voting present until such time as this Motion is not sustained, does not get the necessary 3/5 majority unless approached then on a practical basis. What are some of the implications? We are talking about binding future sessions of the General Assembly as far as budgetary matters are concerned. We are talking about passing on a bill here today that for all practicality does not bring any relief, does not bring any help to the ones that are intended to be helped in this coming year of 1974. I'm saying to you that until such time, until such time that we have a closer protection as far as available revenue is concerned, until such time as we have a closer scrutiny at future budgets, until such time that we know dollar wise exactly more explicitly what it is going to cost the state of Illinois. I would say to you that we would be hasty in our actions today, if we voted to override the Governor's veto. All of you have received a copy of the Governor's veto message. It makes sense to me.



I'm sure that most of you have received a copy from the Superintendent of Public Instruction, Dr. Bakalis, and his analyzation of this important question. think that Dr. Bakalis, is an expert in this field and when he tells me that this does not necessarily need to be done today, I believe him. I think, he has a heart and a conscience. I think, he has compassion for not only the people that are involved in this legislation, but for all men, women and children attempting to gain a better education in the state of Illinois. When Dr. Bakalis, tells me that we don't need to override the Governor's vetos, that we don't need this legislation in this session of the General Assembly, I'm going to listen to this man who has a heart, has compassion and is an expert in his field. I urge, Mr. Speaker, I urge, the members of this body to not vote for the Motion to override the Governor's veto on House Bill 969."

Speaker Blair: "Ah.. Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker. I did have a conversation with

the distinguished minority leader. He did ask me if

I could hold until tomorrow, but there was no thought

and there was no suggestion that there would be a

compromise in his statement. I know that he has a

care for the kids, just as I do and it is more

important to me that the kids of this state are

properly educated at all levels, so in the spirit

with which the minority leader offered and I have

already discussed it with the leaders of the Governor's

office, and the leaders of the School Problems

Commission that we would sit down and work out. The

Minority leader did not put it to me in those terms,

that I would at this time then in the spirit of



helping the kids and not whether we follow the advice

of one man or another. I will sit down with the minority leader today. I will try and work out with them, even though at this point we did have the votes and commitments to pass this bill not with standing the veto, but in the spirit of ah. conradship and working it out for the kids. Could you withdraw the Motion at this time, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "All right, does the Gentleman have leave?

All right, the Motion will stay on the calendar but
he has just gotten leave not to proceed with it right
now. All right, ah.. on total veto Motions page 6,
appears a Motion with respect to House Bill 586 on
which the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from
Champaign, Mr. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker. Members of the House.

(unintelligible)..... considerable interest in this

particular Bill and the difficulty that we are having hearing. In order to make my remarks as brief and as (unintelligible).... as possible, I'll do something I've never done before in this House. I've written out a short statement about the provision of this bill and I would take a few minutes of your time if you please to read it. 'House Bill 586 proposed to appropriate 60 million dollars to the Department of Local Government, to be paid to the counties of the state, to be distributed to all units of Local Government in payment for expected revenues which they lost for the two year period of 1972 and 73 by the abolition of personal property tax on individuals. This Bill passed the House last spring with the vote of 142 to 1 and passed the Senate by 35 votes. I don't remember what the negative vote was. It was vetoed by the Governor and I am asking you to override the veto on this Bill. Now, the constitution of



1970, in Article 9, Section 5, provides that all personal property taxes, all personal property taxes be abolished by 1979, and further says that such revenue shall be repleced by imposing statewide taxes other than (unintelligible) on real estate solely on those classes releaved of burden of paying (unintelligible) personal property taxes because our abolition of such taxes subject to January to 1971, ' end of quote. Now, I submit Ladies and Gentlemen that is it not better to replace these personal property taxes on individuals with state funds as each portion of personal property taxes are abolished, rather than waiting until 1979, when an increase will be needed to substitute for the nearly one-half billion dollars for personal property taxes when they are abolished. I further submit, and this is extremely important, to the members, I think, that the failure to pass this Bill today, now, and replace this revenue with 60 million dollars of state funds will mean that this burden will be shifted to real estate which is the already - has the bare of back breaking tax burdens. I know something about the general interest in the passing of this Bill for Local Governments and too, I for my tax payer constituents. I don't believe that I've ever sponsored a Bill before this General Assembly from which I have received such wide-spread request for its initial enactment and for the override of this veto as I have on this particular Bill. I know of no real opposition from my constituents. I shall not go into the details of the proposed arrangements other than to say that many of those state-wide organizations in this state are encouraging the override of this veto. They include the Illinois Agricultural Association, the Municipal League, almost



all of the Educational Organizations, the Illinois State School Board, Association of Park Districts and Fire Protection Districts and from a fiscal stand point the report that we have had ah. from our staff of the Appropriations Committee leads me and most of the rest, that I have talked to, to believe that there is money to pay this Bill. So I urge your support of this Motion to override, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Christian, Mr. Tipsword." Tipsword: "Mr. Speaker. I have a inquiry that I would like to make. My inquiry relates to the constitution of the state of Illinois. This is purely an appropriations Bill. Appropriating 60 million dollars and I am very much in sympathy with the purpose of this Bill. However, I am also very much disturbed by Article 8, Secion 2 (a) and (b) of the constitution of the state of Illinois. I have the privilege of being a member of the Economic and Fiscal Commission, the Joint Commission of the House and Senate which is charged with the duty of determining for the General Assembly, that amount of revenue which will be available for appropriation during the fiscal year. Economic and Fiscal Commission made a report early during our last session, which report was never adopted by this House, or as I understand by the Senate. Economic and Fiscal Commission was suppose to meet just before we went into session, and the leadership of that Commission cancelled our meeting and we have a draft report only from the staff. The present time I do not know what figure under Article 8, Section 2 (b), or perhaps Article 8, Section 2 (a), governs this legislature in the mandate of that constitution that our appropriations shall not exceed that amount of money which we must



determine by the constitution to be the revenue and income available for appropriation in the state of Illinois. I also do not know, since we do not know that figure what the results or action upon this or other pure appropriations bills may be. If we exceed that figure whatever it may be, what does that do to appropriations? Both, those that we pass now, and those that have been hereto forepassed and signed by the Governor. If we exceed that figure whatever it may be, does that (unintelligible).... deminish every appropriation bill that has been passed in the same rateable amount? If that is true it disturbs' me very much, for those appropriations that have been approved. Particularly appropriations to our schools, will they be rateably decreased by the amount in which we exceed whatever that figure under Section, Article 8, Section 2 (b), may be? If we exceed the figure already set by the Bureau of the Budget under Article 8, Section 2 (a), does it mean ah.. the second question does it mean that if we exceed whatever that figure might be, that from the moment we reach that point of the income that is available for appropriation, that all appropriations there after are unconstitutional, and does that mean that it starts in the center of an appropriated amount or 10 million and we go to 60, what does it mean? May we have a little order, Mr. Speaker? This is the Bill that is before us at the present time that I'd personally would like to support. I supported the Bill when it came before the floor of the House, but I can not with good conscience support it if it is going to mean that thereby, I am going to diminish some other very important appropriation that we have made here before and which the Governor has already



signed. I mentioned the money for schools, and there are many appropriations for schools. I point particularly to appropriations for highways and for other public construction in the state of Illinois, that are already approved by the Governor. What does it do to those appropriations if we exceed whatever that figure may be that we have not yet determined pursuent to our constitutional mandate? I suggest Mr. Speaker, that we have to determine what figure governs us in the total appropriation in this House before we can, with any intelligence vote upon a Bill which are purely appropriation bills. We've got to know how much unappropriated funds are left to us to have a constitutional enactment and I would like to support this bill, but I can not under this state of confusion and undecision in the General Assembly pursuant to Article 8, Section 2 (a) and (b)."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Londrigan."
Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

The cities now receive a share of the income tax they also receive federal funds. I do not believe we have a responsibility to give any of our state passed monies to the city. The city has sufficient tax money. I believe that this is fiscal irresponsibility to give our state tax money to the city. Let the city tax, and spend for the monies they are going to spend. Let the state tax for the money they are going to spend. This is fiscal responsibility. If we have 60 million dollars let's get the promised tax relief that we're always talking about. I do not think that we have 60 million dollars to give to the city and we certainly have no responsibility to. I suggest that we support the Governor in this veto."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. B.B. Wolfe."



Wolfe: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He indicates he will."

funds, that's the replacement tax."

Wolfe: "Charlie, is there a companion ^Bill to this ^Bill, does the Bill, the Bill itself provide for the replacement tax required by the constitution?"

Clabaugh: "Well, yes if it is paid out of the general

Wolfe: "All right, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think, there has been a misinterpretation in the Section 5, of Article 9, of the constitution, which requires that the elimination of the personal property tax would compel with body to replace that tax and I am going to quote right from the constitution, 'concurrently therewith, and thereafter, shall we place it. So what we are doing, is we are violating that part of the constitution if we pass this bill over the Governor's veto. In view of the fact that Mr. Claybaugh does not have a companion Bill, nor does he provide within this Bill itself the machinery to replace the lost revenue of the personal property taxes. I think if the Bill does that ah.. we could support it, but in view of that fact ah.. I would ask this House to oppose overriding the Governor's veto."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I rise in support of this Motion to override the Governor's veto and I, I think it deserves an explanation why. It's a simple matter of fact Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Mr. Speaker. This General Assembly had and continues to act in a manner that makes it mandatory for Local Governments to do certain things, and when we take away the revenue and we all voted, and most of us voted to ah.. reveal the personal property tax as you recall. This was a



great political victory for the people and also for those who voted for it. There was no disagreement as to the personal property tax and what it meant to each and every resident of the state of Illinois. Everybody said that it was a regressive tax, that should be eliminated, that we did and the court sustained that action. Now, we have taken away from Local Government that area, the school districts particular, that amount of revenue and, I think, it's only right and in a responsible manner that we could replace that revenue that was lost by the abolition of the personal property tax, and, I think, that Representative Claybaugh and House Bill 586 has indicated in a responsible manner the effort which this General Assembly should exercise its authority to make Local Governments ah.. provide Local Governments with the funds that we took away from them. I ask that you consider this when you vote to override the Motion of House Bill 586."

Expeaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Logan, Mr. Laure."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker. I would like to add on just one further point on the idea that, that was begun by Representative Yourell, and point out that there is a constitutional mandate. That there cannot be an advalorem ah.. there cannot be a replacement of lost personal property tax (side two of tape) to replace this revenue if we do not replace it because their only source of revenue is the real property tax, real estate tax. That being the case they would be in violation of the constitution if they attempted to replace that revenue and to me that is a compelling reason why we must in any sort of honor replace that tax with the provisions of House Bill 586. I strongly urge support for this Motion."



Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Adams, Mr. McClain."

McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In rising in opposition of this Bill. I was a co-sponsor and I thought that Representative Clabaugh (unintelligible)..... however, after the Bill was vetoed, I went around to my district (unintelligible)..... I asked them if this was an important Bill to them and because I'm not very smart and they informed me that this is just crazy and that they did not need the money (unintelligible)..... and I urge you not to support this override. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Rock, Mr. McMasters." McMasters: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I don't think that I am on my feet very often in this House. I try not to be, but let me say in regard to this Bill. House Bill 586. Replacement money to Local Governments for that money is logged by removal of the personal property tax. I certainly have been close to Local Government over a number of years. I know that the constitution took monies away from the Local Government in some cases, gave money to, more money to other units of Local Government, but further we must realize that the personal property tax has been removed, we must realize that Local Government must operate (unintelligible) and I would like to add all of my support to the Motion to override the veto on this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. I would like to reveal to the members what I think are pertinent statistics as far as this Motion is concerned. As I said on the previous Bill, that there was emotion running rampant, emotions concerned with children especially of a special nature



in the state of Illinois. There are other emotions running rampant at this Motion. There is political emotions running rampant and the reason the political emotions are running rampant is because we are on the eve of an election year, and many of us would like to go back to our counties and say "hey boys, we didn't pay any attention to our responsibility as a legislator compared to the state budget, but boy, we done something big, 'on the eve of an election year we said to you 'we're going to bring you 60 million plus dollars.' Now, when that is divided up between all the municipalities throughout the state of Illinois, it's not going to matter, because it's not going to bring in that amount of money. There is other legislation before this General Assembly, that will bring in more money that this will. Now, let's talk about plight of the municipalities from a financial stand point. You heard the Gentleman from Adams, Representative McClain, say that when this bill was first introduced that he was hardly in favor of it. You heard him say that after the Governor vetoed it, that he talked to municipal leaders throughout his district. They said that their budget had been prepared. They said that this would just be an add on as far as they're concerned, that they didn't need the money to that effect. Now, you know, and I know that the municipalities in this state especially those governed by the Home Rule, if they are so financially stressed, that they can't feasably operate in the best interest of the people. They have a tool to get money. They can share the responsibility of getting that money, because they can increase the state sales tax. That will come right back to them. Now let's talk about new revenue, new revenue. Okay,



new revenue. Now, you're suppose to say, all right, that was in the Resolution. Now let's talk about new revenue from the municipalities. The latest available figure shows this increase, increase bear in mind for the municipalities throughout the state of Illinois. From the sales tax there's an increase of 21.2 millions of dollars. From the income tax. there's an increase of 10.2 million dollars. From the motor fuel tax, there's an increase of 16.5 million dollars. Our total increase of 47.9 million dollars. Now, that's alot of money where I come from. That's alot of money, but that isn't all. Add on to it the school aide increases of, of another 73.2 million. Then you got 121_1 million dollars, in new revenue. you think that's all? No. There's more, add on to it the Federal Revenue Sharing. An increase of 194 million .1. For a grand total of new revenue of 315.2 million dollars. That is alot of dough boys. That's it. Now let me ask the members who were here a couple of sessions ago, under the previous administration. What happened to Joe Fennessey's ill? My memory is not so short but what I remember, Representative Fennessey had legislation to attempt to bring about at that time when it was needed. resultment of this question, but boy she never reached Governor Olgive's desk. Joe, stand up and take a bow. He's going to solve this question when the municipalities needed the dough. They don't need it today. If they do, let them bring about a solution on their own. Let them act responsible. Let them show that they can go to the people and say we need this money, and we're going to increase a tax. I know and you know that spending this 60 plus million dollars in rebates to the general public and the



voting public, I should say, is one fine record that we'd, that we would all like to show them. are going to be responsible members of the legisalture, if we're going to duly discharge our duties in a responsible measure. We're not going to vote for this Motion to override the Governor's veto. We're going to act in a responsible manner, and we're going to say, if that 60 million dollars is available there is other areas, where it can be put to good use and more readily absorbed in a determine and a self feeling nature, by the tax payers then in the manner in which we're going about it here, with this Motion. I would say to you Mr. Speaker, and members of this House. That if we're going to be responsible, as a legislative branch of government and the discharging of our duties to all of the people, we're not going to play politics with this vote today. We're not going to think about less from less from a year from now, we're all going to be, or little over a year from now, we're all going to be running for reelection. We're not going to say to ourselves, boy this would look good at home, with the voting public. We're going to say to ourselves. Yes, I'm going to discharge my duties in a responsible manner. I'm going to look at the state budget. I'm going to see what this 60 plus million dollars will do to the state budget, and then we're going to vote no, on the Motion to override the Governor's veto."

Speaker Blair: "The ^Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker. I wonder ah.. ah.. are we taping the proceedings here just as we did in the chambers?"



Speaker Blair: "Yes, yes we are taping ah.. proceedings.

There will be a transcript and a tape of Mr. Choate's remarks."

Walsh: "Well, I thought that maybe we'd have ah.. be

able to use the tape of that speech ah.. on

responsibility when we ah.. get to the matter of the

Governor's supposed tax relief ah.. later on."

Choate: "Yes, you can use the speech, because if you was
listening attentively, and I hope you were. You
heard me say that there was other areas that the
money could be put to more meaningful use, for the
taxpayers of this state."

Walsh: "Well, let me say Clyde, that you'll be pleased to learn that we found some additional money. I don't know what happens between the spring session and fall session in the ah.. voting patterns as some of the members, principally the esteemed minority leader. It seems he was ah.. he was spending all kinds of money in the spring, but he is a little reluctive to do it now, and ah.. ah.. as I said before we did manage to come across some additional money, and there's nothing that money can be used for better than for reimbursing Local Governments for the loss of revenue ah.. that the personal property has taken from them. As the sponsor of the Bill Charlie Clabaugh points out this is simply going to have to be reimposed in real estate taxes and it's, it's not just playing the game. It's deluding our constituents, if we don't reimburse them. Now, let me point out, Mr. Speaker, that the House Republican Appropriation Committee staff, a very responsible group, and I emphasize responsible because I know the minority leader uses that word alot and, I think, I'm sure, he'd agree with me on the



responsibility of this staff. They have come up with 226 million dollars in fiscal 1974, over what is estimated by the Governor and what the Governor has appropriated for us. So, I submit to you that we have that 226 million dollars and, I think, it's just possible that we won't get the tax relief the Governor talks about ah ..., I think, he's ah .. the Governor in this instance is ah.. is voting yes and praying no, and whether this will pass. So, we are going to have an excess of 300 million dollars. Sixty million of which we ought to certainly reimburse Local Governments if we are going to be responsible legislators, and we will left from that 240 million to fluctuate some of the other responsible programs that the Republican party has put forth. So, I urge that you vote in favor of the Gentleman's Motion to override this veto."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Murphy."

Murphy: "Now, Mr. Speaker. I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "Ah.. all those in favor say 'aye. Those opposed 'nay'. All right, okay, Mr. Claybaugh to close."

Claybaugh: "Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. I want to try to answer the constitutional question that were raised, and most of the constitutional questions that were raised are never answered until they go to the court anyhow. So, I'm not going to bother with that. On the question of, that was raised about Home Rule Unit Exemption and so on. There's only about, I think, 72 Home Rule Units in Illinois out of almost 10,000 Local Government municipalities.

Now, on the question of ah. usually when there is an appropriation bill up anyone who opposes that bill usually says 'well, isn't there a better place to put the money?' There isn't. Do you want to read



what one of my colleagues says? I think that your real estate property taxpayers will tell you there is no better place to put it than there. Now reasoning up the book of boo, of where is the money coming from and so on we have two or there tax majors that were vetoed to ah.. reduce property taxes, not property but sales tax and so on. Now, we all know that none of those are going to be effective during this year, even if one of the ones that I understand now, is before the Senate passes. It doesn't go into effect until July 1, 1974, so we don't need to be talking about that as a scare. On matter of Federal Revenue Sharing the only municipalities in the state that can get any of that money, are counties, townships and cities and villages. host of other government that depend on property taxes are not there, but in closing Mr. Speaker. Members of the House. I would like to say something that I read many, many years ago in Readers Digest when they use to, as some of you remember ah.. had a section on Silly Laws, and when I hear this statement well, now let's wait with this Bill, until we see what is going to pass and let's wait on this one until I see what is going to pass and one of the Silly Bills that was recorded in the Readers Digest from some western state, when something like this, when two railroad trains approach a railroad crossing neither of them shall proceed until the other one has passed. I urge you to vote 'aye' on this Motion." Speaker Blair: "The question is shall this Bill pass over the veto of the Governor? All those in favor vote 'aye'. Those opposed 'nay'. The Clerk will take the record. Alsup, Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry,



Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Borchers, Boyle,

Bradley, Brant, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Cox, Craig, Cunningham, Davis, Day, Deavers, Deuster, DiPrima, Douglas, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R.L. Dunn, did he answer? Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Garmisa, Geo-Karis, Getty, Gibbs, Giglio."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giglio."

Giglio: Mr. Speaker, I would just like to take a half
a minute to explain my vote. This is the money to go
back to municipalities and since 1969 in the Rockford
Land area we gave the municipalities an extra quarter
in sales tax. We give them 1/12 of the income tax.

They will have an increased assess evalution. They
get revenue sharing funds, they are getting money
from EEA office, they are getting money from Niclack,
ah.. Law Enforcement Commission monies and, I don't
think, they really done very well in their house
keeping chores. So. I'm going to vote no.

Clerk Selcke: "Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite,
Hart, Hart present? Well, who hollered present?

Speaker Blair: "Yea, the Clerk points out there was
somebody when he called Mr. Hart's name that said
present, and Mr. Hart and Mr. Hart wants to be
shown as not voting so just have the member cast his
own vote."

Clerk Selcke: "Hill, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hudson, Hunsicker."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman from Livingston, Mr. Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "I would like to have about a half a minute, if I may to explain my vote. Everybody talks about



tax reduction. This is a fine phrase, my friends and it sounds swell, but has anyone actually seen it happen in practice? I haven't in over the years as I served as a local and state elected official. It only comes up under an assumed name. Now, I hear alot of noise from the other side about the cities and the counties and what have you. They can add additional taxes. The load on the real estate work is already tremendous and you can't you can't afford anymore. We abolished the (unintelligible)..... of the new constitution. We took the personal property tax off. Sure, they gained the money from a few other places. I'll grant you that. You know where this is going if this bill doesn't pass? It's going back on the real estate as you well know. Now, do you want to be responsible citizen? Let's do something for the folks back home and actually do what we promised them we would do and that's give them the money back that we took off when we abolished the personal property tax. The state and the federal government (unintelligible) for the Local Government and this is just not cities which I've heard noise about. This is school districts of all kinds, parks, playgrounds and what have you and counties and, I think, it's up to us to be responsible and pass this Bill over the Governor's veto and send it back to the people where it belongs. I vote 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Huskey, Hyde, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones,
J. David Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly,
Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Klosak, Kosinski, Kozubowski,
Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Laurino,
Lechowixz, Leinenweber, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy,
Macdonald, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Maragos, Martin,



Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McClain, McCormick."

Speaker Blair: The Gentleman from Johnson, Mr. McCormick."

McCormick: "Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I would like to take a moment or so to explain my vote. First of all, I couldn't help sitting over here in this nice theater watching all of the entertainment from the other side of the aisle. I couldn't help remembering last year when we were trying to pass a tax freeze bill. I never saw so many people on that side of the aisle in my life. that were so worried about park districts, cemetery districts, special districts, school districts, every kind of district in the world except the taxpayer and now, when today then you refer when you (unintelligible) this thing and you start to give the hopeless government that we have milked dry for the last four or five years a little bit of money and all of the sudden all of those Local Governments (unintelligible).... we can't do it, we can't do it, because we've got to keep this money so we can run for reelection next year, if there is some Democrats on the state payroll that we haven't done yet. (Unintelligible).... in Southern Illinois in my district that can go over, that and save the Local Government no need money. Something is wrong with them. It kindly makes me think of a little story (and I know it's taking a second to long), but if Clyde doesn't mind I would like to compact to him, he can always take a joke. I know a fellow, he got up in the morning and come into the breakfast table and his wife and two daughters are there. It was his birthday and he got feeling pretty good. He shaved and put a little juice on his face and he thought somebody would notice it, you know, and not a soul said 'Happy Birthday Pop'



or anything see and he said, 'well, what in the heck is wrong with this family they don't appreciate me a bit'. I work every day and go to the office and not a soul said, 'Happy Birthday or give me a present or anythibg'. Then he went on down to the office and when he walked into the office the secretary, the cute little thing she said, 'Happy Birthday' boy he perked up and (unintelligible)..... the people around the office remember me and she said, 'say Clyde, this is your birthday. Now, this afternoon let's take off a little early and go down and get us a drink. Celebrate your birthday, she had a little present for him. Clyde said, 'well, at least now office helps appreciates me and we will do it'. So that afternoon they took off a little early and they went down to the corner tavern and they had a little drink and she said, 'Clyde, let's go up to my apartment, so we can recelebrate your birthday'. Well, of course I took a couple. They went and they got inside the apartment and she looked around and she said, 'Clyde, make yourself comfortable, I've got to go in and get into something comfortable'. Clyde of course, mosseyed around over there and she went into her bedroom and pretty soon the door opened and in she come and lo and behold behind her was his wife and two girls to have a surprise party. They said, 'Clyde, wasn't you surprised?' Like I am on the vote on this bill today. He said, 'that is the first time I ever got cornered with just my socks on, in my life'. That's exactly what I think that the Democrat party is cought with just their socks on when they fail to give this kind of tax relief to the people, especially downstate didn't need it.



Choate: "I want to tell my good friend and colleague, that
the only embarressing part of that C.L., was the
fact that I had holes in my socks. One other thing
and as much as my good colleague was talking about
all of these special districts and in as much as at
least according to the media, he's retiring, I think,
it's most fitting and proper that before the next
regular session of the General Assembly is over I'm
going to introduce a Bill creating a special district
in Johnson county known as C.L. McCormick district."

Clerk Selcke: "McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster,
McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy,

McPartlin, Merlo, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy,
Mugalian, Murphy, Nardvlli, Neff, North, Palmer.
Pappas, Patrick, Peters, Philip, Pierce, Polk, Porter,
Randolph, Rayson, Redmond, Rigney, Rose, Ryan,
Sangmeister, Schisler, Schlickman, Schneider,
Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea."

Speaker Blair: "The gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, I would like to explain my vote particularly to C.L., with his little story. It seems to me that when the Resolution came over abolishing the personal property tax and, I think, that C.L., handled here for Senator Arrington. The Youl in cry was if we voted for this and send it to the people there would be no increase in real estate taxes, and that was the commitment from C.L., and several other colleagues. So, the idea that real estate taxes

Clerk Selcke: "Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Totten, Tuerk, VonBoeckman."

are going up because of that is just a lot of hog

wash right now and I vote no."

Speaker Blair: Wait a minute, from Tazwell, Mr. VonBoeckman."



VonBoeckman: "I stood at the mike, before trying to point out fact here thats not been brought out in discussion but if you all recall that the counties use to charge 3% and 4% for the collection of all taxes of municipalities in other Local Government. The cry came from the county for taking away revenue that we need. Now, think about that for a little bit. I don't think these municipalities are losing any money, 4% 3% of their total that's a hell of alot of money and I for one am going to vote no."

Clerk Selcke: "Record the Gentleman for voting no."

Speaker Blair: "Record Representative Brinkmeier as

voting no, Getty, no, Lechowicz, no, McGrew, aye,

Borchers, aye, Borchers, aye, Representative

Clabaugh, for what purpose do you rise?"

Clabaugh: "Can we call the absenttees?"

Speaker Blair: "Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise? Record Representative Geo-Karis as voting aye. The Gentleman's request for pulling the absentees ah.. will the Clerk please read the names of the absentees?"

Clerk Selcke: "Capuzi, Carter, Cox, DiPrima, R.L. Dunn,
Epton, Fleck, Hart, Emil Jones, Keller, Representative
Keller answer that Roll Call? No, okay. Klosak,
Laurino, Madigan, Martin, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McPartlin,
Molloy, Schlickman, Schraeder, Sevick, Stone, Wall,
R.A. Walsh. That's it."

Speaker Blair: "Representative Clabaugh, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Clabaugh: "I move postponed consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman moves for postponed consideration and he has that right, and this question we put on the order of postponed consideration. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."



at a quarter of three today, in Room 212."

- Speaker Blair: "Are there any objections?" Hearing none the Elections Committee will meet at the time specified by Representative Bluthardt. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."
- Walsh: Ah.. Mr. Speaker. Our adjournment of the regular session is going to be until noon tomorrow. However, the first special session will convene here at 9:30 a.m., tomorrow. So, Mr. Speaker, I move that the regular session now adjourn."
- Speaker Blair: The Gentleman has moved that the regular session do stand adjourned until the hour of 12 noon tomorrow. All in favor vote 'aye'. Opposed 'nay'.

 The House stands adjourned.



Representative Telcser: "Now the Third Special Session will come to order. Will the members please be in their seats. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Choate now asks leave to have the roll call of the First Special Session be the roll call for the Third Special Session. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the roll call will stand as the roll call for the Third Special Session. Now, we'll do introduction and First reading for the third

Special Session." Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 15, Blair. Regional Transportacion Authority Act. First reading of the Bill. House Suburban Transportation Corporation Bill 16, Blair, etal. Transportation Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 17, Blair. Amends the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 18, Blair, etal. Amends the Local Mass Transit Districts Act. First reading of the bill. HOuse Bill 19, Blair, etal. Amends the Urban Transportation District Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 20, Blair. Amends the Municipal Code. First reading of the bill. House Bill 21, Blair. Amends Public Utilities Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 22, Blair, etal.. Amends Retailer's Occupation Tax Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 23, Blair, etal.. Amends the Use Tax Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 24, Blair, etal. Amends the Illinois Municipal Budget Law. First reading of the



bill. House Bill 25, Blair, etal. Amends the Act authorizing

public corporations to pay interest on bonds henceforth.

First reading of the bill."

Representative Telcser: "Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Maragos: "What session are we on now?"

Representative Telcser: "Right, we adjourned the First until

tomorrow morning. We are now in the Third Special Session.

Maragos: "Third Special Session?"

Representative Telcser: "Right."

Maragos: "That's the one that was called by the leadership

of the both Houses."

call."

Representative Telcser: "No, the Third is the Governor's call on the Regional Transportation Authority. The First Session is now Ethics. The Second Session is the leaders tax relief session. The Governor cancelled his second

Maragos: "That's why I'm getting confused myself, I want to know if the subject matter's apropos. It's a point of order I'm raising."

Representative Telcser: "Yes, the Third Special which is the RTA. That's the session we called yesterday. The Governoor called it, and yesterday the proclamation was read the

Maragos: "I see the fourth hasn't convened yet?"

session was convened."

Representative Telcser: "Well, we don't have a fourth now, as I understand it. Didn't the Governor cancel one Fred?

Mr. Clerk?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "As I understand it, the Governor's second

and supposedly, fourth were combined into the third."

Maragos: "All right, that's what I wanted to get straight.

Thank you very much."

Representative Telcser: "We're dealing with Three specials.

OK, now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea, moves that the Third Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 10:30 tomorrow morning. All those in favor of the Centleman's motion signify by saying aye... opposed no... The Third Special Session stands adjourned until tomorrow morning at 10:30. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea wish to make an announcement relative to... Oh, yes, so the Members will know, the next session called for 11:30 this morning. That is the Second Special Session is adjourned until 11:30 this morning. The First Session until noon. We're currently adjourned until 11:30 this morning. Is that right, Clyde? Right..."



SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

NINETY-FOURTH LEGISLATIVE DAY

OCTOBER 23, 1973

12:00 O'CLOCK NOON

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER



SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION - FOURTH DAY

OCTOBER 23, 1973

9:54 O'CLOCK A.M.

REPRESENTATIVE ARTHURNA, TELCSER, SPEAKER



| Т | | | | 1. |
|---|--------|--------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| | Page | Time | Speaker | Information |
| | 1 | 11:50 | Speaker Blair | Open 2nd Special Session |
| | 1 | 11:50 | Clerk Selcke | Messages from Senate |
| | 1 | 11:51 | Geo-Karis | 2nd Special Session adjourned |
| | 1 | 11:54 | Telcser | Regular Session |
| | 1 | 11:55 | Telcser | Message from Senate |
| | 2 | 11:57 | Clerk Selcke | Committee Reports |
| | 2 · | 11:59 | Walsh | Resolutions. Agreed Resolutions |
| | .3 | 12:00 | Speaker Blair | Motion |
| | 4 | 12:00 | Telcser | H.B. 966 to concur with Governo |
| | 4 | 12:02 | Clerk Selcke | Roll Call |
| | 5 | 12:13 | Speaker Blair | 966 adopted |
| | 6 | 12:13 | Beatty | Explains H.B. 1247 |
| | 6 | | Speaker Blair | H.B. 1247. Amend. adopted. |
| | 6 | 12:14 | Speaker Blair | Further motions |
| | 6 | .12:15 | Stedelin | Moves adoption H.B. 1660. |
| | 6 | 12:16 | Speaker Blair | S.B. 374. Gov. Amendment |
| | 7 | | Walters | S.B. 374. Amendment adopted |
| - | 7 | 12:18 | Tipsword | Parliamentary Inquiry |
| | 7 | | Speaker Blair | |
| | 7 | 12:25 | Speaker Blair | Motion to override HB 969 veto |
| | 8 | 12:26 | Juckett | Sponsor |
| | 8 | 12:33 | Speaker Blair | |
| | 8, 9 | | Juckett | |
| | 10 | | Speaker Blair | |
| | 10, 11 | 12:34 | Choate | Oppose |
| | 12 | | Speaker Blair | • |
| | 12 | 12:40 | Juckett | Postponed consideration |



| | | | | | | | | | | | 2. |
|-----|------------------|-------|-------|------------|------|----------|---------|-----------------|-----------|----------|----|
| | Page | Time | | Speaker | | | Infor | matic | <u>on</u> | | |
| | 13 | 12:42 | | Speaker Bl | air | | | | | | |
| | 13, 14 | | : | Clabaugh | · . | • | н.в. | 586 | overri | de motio | n |
| | 15 | | : | Speaker Bl | air | | : • | | | | |
| | 15, 16 | 12:47 | • | Tipsword | • | | | | | | |
| | 17 | | | Speaker Bl | air | | | | | | • |
| | 17 | 12:52 | | Londrigan | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 17 | | | Speaker Bl | air |) | | : | | | |
| | 18 | 12:53 | | Wolf | |) | at . | | | | |
| | 18 | 12:55 | | Speaker Bl | lair | | | | | | |
| | 18 | | | Yourell | | | • | | | | |
| | 19 | 12:57 | | Speaker Bl | lair | | | ٠, | | | |
| | 19 | | | Lauer | | | | • | | | |
| | 20 | | | Speaker Bl | lair | | | • . | • | | |
| | 20 | 1:00 | 11.1. | McClain | | | н.в. | 586 | overri | de motic | n |
| | 20 | | | Speaker Bl | lair | · · | | | | | |
| | 20 | 1:01 | | McMaster | : | | - | <i>i</i> • . | | | |
| · | 20 | | | Speaker Bl | lair | | | | | | |
| | 20, 21 22, 23 | 1:02 | | Choate | 1 | | . '. | • . | | | |
| | 23 | | -11 | Speaker B | lair | | ·. · *. | | | | |
| . [| 23 | 1:08 | | Wm. Walsh | | : | | ٠. | .* | | |
| | 24 | | | Speaker Bi | | | | | | | |
| | 24 | 11:10 | · | Wm. Walsh | | | H.B. | 586 | overri | de motic | on |
| | 24 | | | Choate |) | | | • | • | | |
| | 25 | | | Speaker B | lair | | - | | | | |
| •] | 25 | | | Murphy | | | Move | prev | rious q | uestion | |
| | 25 | - • | | Speaker B | lair | | | | | | |





32

32

32

Polls absentees

Postponed consideration

Speaker Blair

Clerk Selcke

Speaker Blair

Clabaugh



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

OCTOBER 23, 1973

9:54 O'CLOCK A.M. - First Special Session - Fourth day
10:04 O'CLOCK A.M. - Adjourned

10:04 O'CLOCK A.M. - Third Special Session - Second Day

10:08 O'CLOCK A.M. - Adjourned

11:49 O'CLOCK A.M. - Second Special Session - Second Day
11:51 O'CLOCK A.M. - Adjourned

12:00 O'CLOCK NOON - Regular Session



A Roll Call for Attendance was taken and indicated that all were present with the exception of the following:



Telcser: "The First Special Session will now come to order.

The prayer by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "We pray, Merciful and ever gracious Father,
we pray now for all in this assembly who must carry on
their labors in any kind of sickness, anguish or sorrow.
Grant those who bear affliction, the consolidation of
your mercy, as they seek mercy before your throne. We
pray for our families and those from whom we are separated
as we perform our respective offices of this House. And
we pray for ourselves in our over enthusiasms. May we
find your calming spirit. In our weariness may we
find your bouyant strength. We make this common supplication

Teleser: "Roll call for attendance. OK, is everybody on the Select Roca Publication OK, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative

in the name of our Savior, AMEN."

for you since you have promised that when two or three are gathered in your name, you will hear them. We pray

Shea now moves that the First Special Session stand adjourned until the hour of 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning, Wednesday October 24th. All in favor of the Centleman's motion signify by saying aye.. opposed no.. the First Special

Session stands adjourned until 10:00 tomorrow morning."



SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

THIRD SPECIAL SESSION - SECOND DAY

OCTOBER 23, 1973

10:04 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE MONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER



Representative Telcser: "Now the Third Special Session will come to order. Will the members please be in their seats. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Choate now asks leave to have the roll call of the First Special Session be the roll call for the Third Special Session. Are there any objections? Hearing none, the roll call will stand as the roll call for the Third Special Session. Now, we'll do introduction and First reading for the third Special Session."

Fredric B. Selcke: "House Bill 15, Blair. Regional Transportacion Authority Act. First reading of the Bill. Bill 16, Blair, etal. Suburban Transportation Corporation Transportation Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 17, Blair. Amends the Metropolitan Transportation Authority Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 18, Blair, etal. Amends the Local Mass Transit Districts Act. First reading of the bill. HOuse Bill 19, Blair, etal. Amends the Urban Transportation District Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 20, Blair. Amends the Municipal Code. First reading of the bill. House Bill 21, Blair. Amends Public Utilities Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 22, Blair, etal.. Amends Retailer's Occupation Tax Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 23, Blair, etal.. Amends the Use Tax Act. First reading of the bill. House Bill 24, Blair, etal. Amends the Illinois Municipal Budget Law. First reading of the bill. House Bill 25, Blair, etal. Amends the Act authorizing



public corporations to pay interest on bonds henceforth.

First reading of the bill."

Representative Telcser: "Representative Maragos, for what purpose do you arise, sir?"

Maragos: "What session are we on now?"

Representative Telcser: "Right, we adjourned the First until

tomorrow morning. We are now in the Third Special Session."

Maragos: "Third Special Session?"

Representative Telcser: "Right."

Maragos: "That's the one that was called by the leadership of the both Houses."

Representative Telcser: "No, the Third is the Governor's call on the Regional Transportation Authority. The First Session is now Ethics. The Second Session is the leaders tax relief session. The Governor cancelled his second call."

Maragos: "That's why I'm getting confused myself, I want to know if the subject matter's apropos. It's a point of order I'm raising."

Representative Telcser: "Yes, the Third Special which is the RTA. That's the session we called yesterday. The Governoor called it, and yesterday the proclamation was read the session was convened."

Maragos: "I see the fourth hasn't convened yet?"

Representative Telcser: "Well, we don't have a fourth now, as

I understand it. Didn't the Governor cancel one Fred?

Mr. Clerk?"



Fredric B. Selcke: "As I understand it, the Governor's second and supposedly, fourth were combined into the third."

Maragos: "All right, that's what I wanted to get straight.

Thank you very much."

Representative Telcser: "We're dealing with Three specials.

OK, now, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea,
moves that the Third Special Session stand adjourned
until the hour of 10:30 tomorrow morning. All those in
favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by saying aye...
opposed no... The Third Special Session stands adjourned
until tomorrow morning at 10:30. Gentleman from Cook,
Representative Shea wish to make an announcement relative
to... Oh, yes, so the Members will know, the next session

Session until noon. We're currently adjourned until 11:30 this morning. Is that right, Clyde? Right..."

called for 11:30 this morning. That is the Second Special feed on Session is adjourned until 11:30 this morning. The First



SEVENTY-EIGHTH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

SECOND SPECIAL SESSION - SECOND DAY

OCTOBER 23, 1973

11:49 O'CLOCK A.M.

THE HONORABLE W. ROBERT BLAIR, SPEAKER

