

Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. The Invocation will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. O, might and merciful God, You have been our refuge and strength, a very present time and... and help in time of trouble, a source of guidance and comfort to all who have called upon Your name. For that alone, you are worthy to be held in honor and devotion by all. At the close of this Legislative Calendar, take us in safety to our respective destinations. As we reflect upon our work and look to the coming together again after a number of months, grant us to know that in our concern for others, we grow in concern for You. And that as we seek truly to be workers together with You, we experience the joy and strength of partnership which is able to crown our service here with Your blessings. And now, O Lord God, watch between us till we meet again. Blessed by Your name, Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Mr. Speaker, will you have the record that 'Doc' Springer is absent due to illness."

Speaker Blair: "Yes, the Journal will so indicate. Mr. Kennedy. Springer. Joe, did you say Springer? Anybody else? Just Springer, okay. All right, Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there are seven items of business that we must complete. The transportation, Department of Transportation Conference Committee is meeting now. Other Conference Committees have met and are meeting and it would appear that it will be a little while before transportation is ready to report and I would, therefore, move that the House stand in recess until 11:30 a.m."

Speaker Blair: "Well, the alternative is simply this, Gentlemen. We can stay at ease. We can stay at ease if you don't care to recess. Now, we don't have the Supplemental Calendar because we don't have the Conference Committee Reports in on D.O.T., on the Department of General Services. That involves the Illinois Information Service problem on which there's been a stalemate. On the Omnibus Commission Bill which is on Third Reading over in the Senate. Now, I would be happy if your response to Mr Walsh's motion that



we recess indicate that you would prefer just sitting here at ease, just sit here at ease. Instead of 11:30, yeah. We can just stand here at ease. Mr. Beaupre. Mr. Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker, I rise to support the Majority Leader's motion."

Speaker Blair: "The Clerk says we have a few messages."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2354. Adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee. House Bill 2359. Adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform... I am directed to inform the House that the Senate's adopted the following Conference Committee Report. House Bill 2382. Adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2402. Adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House that Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2651. Adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House of Representatives for a Conference Committee to settle the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendments 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, and 27 to Senate Bill 1345. Action taken by the Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has acceded to the request of the House for a Second Conference Committee to settle the differences of the two Houses in regard to House Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 1552. Action taken by the Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform



the House that the Senate's concurred with the House in the adoption of Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 1660. Concurred in by the Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate's concurred with the House in the adoption of House Amendment #1 to a Bill of the following title. Senate Bill 1667. Concurred in by the Senate July 11, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report. Senate Bill 1659. Adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate's adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 1464. Adopted by the Senate July 11, 1974 by a three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. No further Messages. The board is still open, so if you want to get on the attendance Roll Call, you can still vote."

Speaker Blair: "All right, what's your pleasure, Gentlemen? Do you want to support Mr. Walsh's motion that we recess till 11:30 or do you want to just stand at ease? Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think it's rather futile for us to stand at ease and I certainly support the motion of Majority Leader Walsh."

Speaker Blair: "All right, yeah, hopefully we will have just the handful really, the Omnibus Commission Bill which will be coming, which has been amended. It's on Third Reading over in the Senate. The D.O.T. Bill which the Conferees met on till late last night and are at work on it this morning, the Capital Development Board which Mr. Lechowicz is trying to work out with Mr. McBroom, the Illinois Law Enforcement Commission which Mr. Telcser's trying to work out with somebody, financial institutions which Mr. Taylor indicates he's going to hold so it will be the very last Bill that this Assembly addresses itself to before we adjourn. And the Department of General Services which is tied in with Senate Bill 1669 which Mr. Ryan's handling for Mr. Regner concerning the Governmental Information Service because U.I.S. appropriation is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

no longer in the Department of General Services. And really, that's about it. I would hope that when we come back in here at 11:30 that we would have the Conference Committee Reports on these items and be able to vote on them and then adjourn. Mr. Kruase."

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker, you said the L.I.S. was no longer in General Services?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, I mean, that's the problem."

Krause: "That's the big problem."

Speaker Blair: "That's right and part of the problem then is tied in with this Senate Bill 1669."

Krause: "Right."

Speaker Blair: "What is a different approach to setting up the ruling of the running of L.I.S.?"

Krause: "Right, well, let me ask you this, Mr. Speaker. Are we going to try to hear 1669 before we have a Conference Committee on General Services?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, we've tried that once and I think Mr. Ryan is disposed to want to see if there can be some understanding before he calls that Bill. He took it out of the record, as I recall, so... if you've got any suggestions with respect to that Bill to..."

Krause: "Maybe we ought to be talking about it because we haven't been talking."

Speaker Blair: "Come on up and let's talk. All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to recess say 'aye', opposed 'no'. So, we'll be in recess now until 11:30 and hopefully we'll have the Conference Committee Reports for these half dozen items that I mentioned so we can vote on them, then adjourn."

House in recess.

Speaker Blair: "I have nothing further to report since we adjourned or recessed this morning. I understand that the D.O.T. Conference Committee is still in Session over in one of the Leader's offices. The Senate President's on that Conference Committee and I think Mr. Choate and Mr. Shea. So, what I suggest we do now is let's just be at ease for a few minutes and I'll send some runners over

into the territory and have them report back what's going on. Send 'Chief Alinewick' over there. Mr. Davis. Mr. Davis, I see you and Mr. Telcser are the only two leaders on the Floor. I wonder if you two would go over to Mr. Choate's office and make inquiry of the Conferees on the D.O.T. appropriation as to what the prospects are and come back and give us a report. Our advanceman has been over and returned safely. Mr. Telcser, what do you have to report?"

Telcser: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Conference Committee is in very deep deliberations at the present time. The Republican Members have caucused separately from the Democratic Members and I suggest those of you who have roads or highways in which you're interested, you may want to storm the caucus to protect your interest."

Speaker Blair: "Where are they meeting?"

Telcser: "They're meeting in one of the side offices in the Democratic Leadership's very spacious suite of offices. Well, we might have it next year, Stan, but I wouldn't count on it."

Speaker Blair: "Did they have any..."

Telcser: "Representative Choate couldn't tell us just how much more time he needed simply because he didn't know the results of the Republican caucus and I would suggest you may want to be at ease. It may be at least another hour."

Speaker Blair: "Do we have any report on the Department of General Service; Conference Committee, their appropriation?"

Telcser: "No, I'm not sure where they are meeting or where any other Conference Committees are meeting including 'I.L.E.X.' which something of which I have an interest. The Democrats wouldn't let me know where they're meeting and they're getting..."

Speaker Blair: "Well... The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we are faced since the Conference Committees are not ready to report with being at ease and wondering from moment to moment when they will come in or recessing for a period of time reasonable enough to suppose that they might be back and that we might be able to get to work at that time. It's our feeling that it's



easier on the Membership to recess for a determinate period and then to return and hopefully get back to work than to sit here and wait and wonder. And for that reason, I move, Mr. Speaker, that the House stand in recess until the hour of one p.m."

Speaker Blair: "All right, any discussion? All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. Try to pressure those guys if you see them someplace. Well, one, two, three, four, five, yeah. Oh yeah, they're all in discussion. General Services, financial institutions, Law Enforcement Commission, and Capital Development Board and Department of Transportation."

House in recess.

Speaker Blair: "Well... it's on? We can report now that we've, we have progress and I think virtually every item is in the process of being typed and should be on our desks shortly. The Conference Committees have wound up. They're in agreement and we'll, we should be voting shortly. Just as quickly as they can get the Conference Committee Reports printed, Supplemental Calendar printed and on the desks. Yeah, they have finished them now. They're finished and they're in agreement, as I understand it, so, Mr. Peters says he has an announcement."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in the Friday, June 12th edition of the State Journal-Register in Springfield appeared a list in a column under the by-line of Toby McDaniel relating to the alledged owing of tickets by, payment on tickets by a number of Representatives. Through the good offices of Representative Dave Jones, I had an announcement and a memo from Mr. Toby McDaniel of the Illinois State Register which says, 'The list of Legislators accused of owing traffic tickets to the City of Springfield for 1973 violations is published in the morning State Journal-Register was in error. The newspaper published information obtained by the Secretary of State's Office. The Secretary of State's Office erred in providing the license registration for 1974, rather than 1973. The newspaper regrets the error and is following with a corrected list of Representatives who owe parking tickets, in the afternoon editions today. A



retraction will be published Saturday morning in the Saturday morning editions explaining the mixup.' I thought the individuals listed here might be interested in that and our thanks to Representative Dave Jones for this. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Redmond."

Redmond: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was singularly honored by having been listed by Mr. Toby McDaniel as having violated the parking regulations in the City of Springfield. And if I have violated them, it has been a very, very deep secret buried in the hearts of the police department and the administration of the City of Springfield. And I would suggest that this General Assembly should give very serious thought to amending the laws at the next Session of the General Assembly which requires personal service upon a person who is supposed to have violated the law because I can't think of anything more unfair than to be labeled as a violator of the law, even though it happens in a pretty minor and a trivial matter when you, yourself, have absolutely no knowledge of it. And I can assure you that I had an awful good lawyer who works pretty cheap and if I could find any reason that I could bring a libel action against Mr. Toby McDaniel or against this new newspaper in the City of Springfield, I would be very happy to do so. And I think I can prevail upon this lawyer who works so cheap to represent anybody else who feels to have been wronged the way I am. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I didn't intend to pursue the matter, but I was listed and I'm an early riser, so this morning I called the City Treasurers Office and they were very decent and apologized. They said that they had the wrong list and I was listed as driving a Cadillac. At that time, I owned a Mustang and a Nova, so I went over to see Mr. Toby McDaniel at the press room and he wasn't in and I talked to Ken Watson and he immediately realized the error and I also called the City Editor of the Register, Mr. Armstrong, talked to him and he was very apologetic and said that the error would be corrected. And I ran into John Hirschfeld and told



him of the mistake. He became quite excited. We decided maybe we would start a suit and we have at our disposal for attorney, the Honorable Henry Hyde, the Honorable John Porter, the Honorable Gerald Shea, and the Honorable Roland Tipsword. We weren't quite sure who we were going to sue, so we thought maybe we would sue Speaker Blair and my Leader, Clyde Choate, for letting us take the oath in January of 1973. We thought maybe that the Attorney General would defend them and we would sue for a multi-million amount of money and if we successful, the state would pay. We would give half to the General Assembly Retirement Fund and the other we would really pitch a wing-ding, whatever that means. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I think there's one item there you ought to correct. While Clyde and I might have agreed to let the Attorney General represent the Governor, but we didn't agree to let him represent us. Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I'd do the same thing. I'd call fifteen people in the city government of Springfield, ended up finally with the Treasurer's Office and advised me that I did owe two fines of four dollars and I said, 'It was not to my recollection that I had gotten two tickets.' But they quoted me as having received two tickets, an hour apart on the same day. And so I sent a check this morning and I just wonder now if I can charge them with interest because they've had my four dollars for a day on that rate that Maragos passed yesterday. Would this be a possible lawsuit?"

Speaker Blair: "Kennedy and Hill handle all interest problems. Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't know what these people are complaining about. This is the first list of criminals that's come out that I haven't been listed on. It won't... it will neither hurt their business nor their political fortune and I don't... I would've thought that Editor McDaniel or O'Daniel would have put my name on it and if he wishes in the future, why, I'm sure there are some tickets somewhere that I owe about. But now that we're all in a good humor, we have House Bill 2587 and it's



represented... it's Representative... Mr. Speaker, it's Representative Giorgi's Bill now. It was Representative Katz' and mine and I have a solemn obligation to my great and good friend, Mr. Sweepstakes, to get that Bill passed for him. And I hope that we had an understanding with the Speaker that it would be called before fall. Is there any chance we could do it right now while we're waiting for the report?"

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, go ahead."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, this is House Bill 2587 on Third Reading.

Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, if I might just with the indulgence of Representative Cunningham before we leave the order of the Springfield newspaper, I would just want to also rise to defense of Representative Jack Merlo who was listed in the paper as speeding down the highway and coming down to Springfield. I know for a fact that Representative Merlo, in fact, was not behind the wheel. He was in the back seat sleeping. I'm not going to say who was behind the wheel, but it certainly was not Representative Merlo. And I don't know exactly what facilities the newspaper has in terms of determining who is driving the car, but I assure the newspaper and Mr. McDaniel that it wasn't Representative Merlo, nor was it Representative Jaffe, who was also in the car nor Representative Telcser. Now, that leaves me as the only other occupant of the car and the only thing I might indicate is I think Representative Merlo should have the speedometer looked at because I'm sure it was out of order."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm so glad that the prior speaker commented on the whole situation because he wasn't driving either. He was flying."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I am one of those also involved with a two dollar ticket and I know how my ex-colleague 'Speeding' Cunningham must feel about to take it that he got it a couple years ago. And I'm not interested in cheap.



legal service for the two dollars but I have a few other cases that they would like to get some volunteers for."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, I have to come to defense of Representative Cunningham in this affair. This matter occurred in Macon County, my county. I hang my head in shame. You see, the deputies of my county picked him up for speeding but that was not the real charge. His real trouble lay in his two small sons who very thoughtlessly and carelessly made indecent gestures at the police officer while Representative Cunningham was driving off and that incensed the local deputy to such extent that they chased him down and hauled him into our court and I must say he very graciously contributed to the financial stability of my county. And I want to thank you publicly now for his kindness."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I'm not sure what order of business we're on right now, but I indicated to Roscoe, he could call that whatever it is on Third Reading. 2587, that House Bill while we get the Supplemental Calendar."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2587. House Bill 2587. An Act to amend Section 1 of the Workmen's Compensation Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hope that it is not an imposition to call your attention to this very fine Bill at this time. This is the Workmen's Compensation Bill to safeguard the remedy of the injured employees of uninsured subcontractors. You will recall that when my distinguished Co-sponsor, Representative Katz and I, introduced this Bill, it had one theory of defense which would have raised certain questions of the constitutional nature and when these things were called to our attention by Chairman Rosenbloom of the Illinois Industrial Commission and by the incomparable Representative from Rockford, Representative Giorgi, we agreed instantaneously to an Amendment which in my judgement and that of those who are better informed than I in this matter, makes a good Bill a great deal better."



The amendatory effect of it is to create a tolling of the Statute of Limitations if you're interested in the merits of the matter and provides that when a notice is served on the subcontractor, it automatically tolls the running Statute of Limitations on the general contractor. I personally guarantee you that there is no one in the State of Illinois who knows anything about workmen's compensation who will not agree that this Bill is needed, it is remedial, it is in the interest of all the people of the State of Illinois. I'm authorized to say that if Representative Hanahan were here, he would enthusiastically endorse it and I'm sure Representative Tuerk would do likewise and it's really Representative Giorgi's Bill. And also, Louis... my... Representative DiPrima's for it. We would greatly appreciate 107 votes for this Bill and be grateful always. And a final note, if any of you are in Lawrence County during the summer, we guarantee your safe conduct from the police while you're there because we have good relations with the sheriff and the police department, irrespective of how you vote."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Indicates he will."

Geo-Karis: "Now, I know, Sponsor, you've explained this Amendment several times, but every time you've explained it, the more you explain it, the less I understand it. Do you suppose you could give it to me in very simple language for the simple girl just exactly what your Amendment purports to do to the Bill?"

Cunningham: "Representative Giorgi's Amendment tolls the Statute of Limitations for filing of a Workmen's Compensation claim if the notice is served on the subcontractor as to the general contractor. Otherwise, when you have an uninsured subcontractor, you pursue your claim to judgement and discover that the subcontractor is uninsured, the year has elapsed and you're remedy against the general contractors insurance carrier is barred by the statute which says it must be filed in the year."

Geo-Karis: "Do I understand then, in other words, you mean that if an employee gets hurt and serves a notice on the subcontractor,



that automatically binds the contractor?"

Cunningham: "It doesn't bind, but it stops the running of the statute to hold... hold available his right to go against the general contractors insurance carrier, so it's remedial."

Geo-Karis: "Well then, I think your Bill is good and I would like to speak in favor of the Bill."

Cunningham: "Well, how poetic at the end of the season, you finally find a Bill that you approve of. We are grateful to you for it, Adeline."

Geo-Karis: "Well, Mr. Cunningham, it's not often that I can find that I have to agree with you, so this is one time that it is a rare pleasure."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Cunningham, to close."

Cunningham: "We would be ever grateful for your green light."

Speaker Blair: "The question is shall House Bill 2587 pass. All those in favor vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker..."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I've sat alongside Representative Cunningham for quite a few years now and where now is Representative Hanahan? This is indeed a labor Bill and here I am speaking with all my heart in defense of labor and the laboring man. Where is Hanahan? Why isn't he up over there saying something in behalf of Representative Cunningham? Where's some of the labor people here? I don't see their votes up there that there should be. They should be all jumping to help Representative Cunningham. I can't understand why a good Bill like this should be so ignored by so many. This is the time to help Roscoe come back to this place. This will do him a lot of good in that area and the southern part of Illinois where we know as Little Egypt. Now, get on there and let's do something about it. We want him back."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I want to clear up any misapprehension. It has nothing with returning me to the public feed trough. What is involved in this Bill is to provide a remedy for injured employees."



And that's far more significant than whether or not I have the pleasure of continued in your service here. So, we do appreciate the green lights. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, for calling the Bill at this late day."

Speaker Blair: "Molloy 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', no 'nays', 2 'present' and House Bill 2587 having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Introductions. Introduction and First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2905, Cunningham et al. Authorize the Secretary of Transportation to return mineral rights to 2.56 acres in Wayne County. First Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Now, Mr. Taylor ready on 2405? Does Mr. Shea want to go with 1273? Mr. Shea here? Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Mr. Speaker, I have a Resolution which could be a little bit controversial. I presented it to the Clerk and let me briefly explain what it does while we have a little lull here. I'm not much of an economist, however, this inflation business is really beginning to create tremendous problems to retailers of the various food and drink products. For instance, we've been doing business with Ainhauer Busch for over thirty years and never once during the thirty years did they require that a ten dollar deposit on the container be paid to them. Effective July the 1st, we got eighteen and a half barrels delivered and we were asked to pay ten dollars deposit for each container. Coke and Pepsi Cola came in and they want five dollars deposit for each container of ready mix. Now, the wholesalers make a deliver and they want a dollar for invoice as a service charge. Now, the CO<sub>2</sub> gas for the beer. 'Burcarbonic' want a dollar and a half rental for each cylinder per month. Now, where is this thing going to stop or how it's going to stop, I don't know what's going to happen. Believe me, sincerely, I'm asking in this Resolution that a Committee be appointed of six Members, three by the Speaker and three by the Minority Leader to look into the situation and try and determine why. When I asked Ainhauer Bausch, you're taking a hundred and eighty dollars of my money, are you going to pay me interest on that like



I'd have it in the bank. After all, you're going to have possession of my money and they say, 'No, there is no provision for that.' And likewise with these others. Now, this thing is going to snowball into something that's going to be very detrimental.

And, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the Members of the House if you want the Resolution read or drafted downstairs on first floor and it's all we're asking is that this Committee would report the next time we meet in November and meet and perhaps suggest that the money should be put in escrow or in some special account and interest be paid to the people because... Let me say that not too long ago, many of the savings and loan associations got so fat it wasn't even amusing. They got fat with the Christmas Clubs. The people were paying into a Christmas Club account and getting absolutely no interest. Imagine the thousands and thousands running into billions of dollars at the end of the year when you walk in a Christmas time and get the fifty dollars that you paid in with no interest. Now, that isn't fair. You and I know it and I'm asking that this House take a little closer look at this and let's look at this in between now and the time we get back in November. And I'm going to ask for adoption of this Resolution. If you wish it read, why Mr. Speaker, I'd certainly read it."

Speaker Blair: "All right, you want to read it and then the Gentleman... Mr. Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise with extreme reluctance to oppose what I believe will be the Gentleman's motion to suspend the appropriate rule relative to referring this Resolution to Committee. I would suggest to my good friend, John, that perhaps the Executive Committee to which this might be referred might take the matter up with the Bill in the Committee and might take some substantive action and have the same effect as if we had passed the Resolution. It sounds to me like it might be... pretty involved and that we might find ourselves condemning people for a business practice that we really don't know all there is... (microphone turned off)..."

And so, Mr. Speaker, I reluctantly object to the Gentleman's motion."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Fary."



Fary: "I have no objection to this going to a Committee, but time is of the essence now because now and the time we get back, an awful lot of money will have been accumulated. All I am asking is that the Speaker appoint three men. We're not asking for any funds. We're not asking for any appropriations. Just that we can sit down as businessmen and perhaps suggest at the next meeting of the Legislature to formulate some legislation which could be beneficial to the individuals who are forced to pay this money and they're going to hold it without any interest, without any receipts other than a little note on the deliver ticket and that's all now. Mr. Walsh, I don't know if I'm going about this the right way or not, but I'd like a little noise about this and I'd like to get a little ink on it so that the fellow who's in business is going to be strangled. Now, I'm not asking for anything unusual, just three men from the... from the... from both sides to analyze this thing. That's all I'm asking."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Mr. Chairman, I just want to say to this Gentleman across the aisle that runaway inflation starts right here in this room and not in Washington. And the quicker we wise up to this massive legislative and cut it down, the quicker we're going to cut down this runaway inflation. That's all I have to say."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman has moved to suspend the proper or the appropriate rules for the immediate consideration and adoption of this Resolution. All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no' and this takes 107 votes. Parliamentarian says it takes 107. You're suspending the rules not to go to Committee and that takes 107. Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I rise in support of this Resolution. Knowing the beverage consumption habits of some of us, including myself on occasion, I think that we'd want to very carefully pursue the contents of this Resolution, not only out of a sense of identifying with Mr. Fary who is an expert in these matters, but also in the sense of identifying with our own needs. I think John Fary comes to us very infrequently with

legislative matters and maybe we ought to authorize this six-man Committee. Now, that I've spoken with tongue in cheek, I really think that John is quite serious about this Resolution and he's not going to expend any money and I suggest we give him a green light."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Grotberg."

Grotberg: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Unbeknown to many people here, I operate in my part-time job, a sizable food-beverage operation and I think just having scanned Mr. Fary's Resolution, it might well be in order for us to proceed with a matter like this, especially in the heat of the summer and the high volume of the kinds of businesses that he's getting at. And I think somebody might well look at it to see if we can reverse some of the charges that are coming. And I would urge an 'aye' vote on the ruling. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Everybody, Mr. Speaker, is going to get in on the act. Mr. Dee said, 'We should choke inflation here'. Well, by golly, if this isn't more... there's nothing more inflammatory or inflationary than for a man to walk into your place of business and say, 'From now on, I want a deposit for the containers that I am bringing you. I want rental for the deposits and rental for the container in which I'm delivering to you the product.' Now, why should I have to pay one, at least one dollar for invoice for a deliver of canned merchandise for a restaurant? The driver gets a good union scale, the company has an excellent margin. They're going to hook it on and we're going to have to pay it. Right away, we have to pay for containers that are brought in and removed and replaced. Why do we have to pay a deposit? All right, if we do, so we do; but let's get a little interest on our money. If they're going to hold our money, if they're going to play with our money, let it lay there idle, surely they're going to be drawing interest on it. Let them pay us the current going interest rate. That's all I'm asking. I'm not asking for anything unreasonable."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I rise to



support the motion to suspend the rules requested by Representative Fary. I think that we know that John in a sense, the speeches he has made here on the House Floor does speak for the small businessman, the small grocery-store owner, the guy with the soda fountain on the corner, the small tavern keeper. And these small businesses are really beginning to feel a very serious crunch in our economy in between the large shopping centers, between the large established business, who in a sense are forcing out of business the small mom-pop store up and down the corner. They certainly, these businesses have provided a lot to our communities in terms of services in support of churches and charities and institutions and I think it's incumbent upon us to add on nine more votes at this point and give John the 107 votes that he needs so that we can at least indicate that we are being responsive to one of the needs at any rate of the small business establishment. I regret that I disagree with my Majority Leader in this particular regard, but I think John is perfectly right in what he is saying and what he is asking for in this instance. We're not asking for an expenditure of money. We're asking for the establishment of a, yes, six-member Committee to go into some of the problems which the small businessman sees in terms of putting down deposit money, how much of that money is required, and the fact that he does not receive any interest on that money. We've passed Bills which would insure interest on security deposits. It seems to me that we're in a legitimate area here. Thank you very much."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question, 112 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now, on the consideration of the Resolution.  
Mr. Fary."

Fary: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen. This is going to take a lot of pressure off of a lot the Members of the House because this is too recent. It hasn't come to life. You haven't felt it as yet, but when you get home, you're going to hear from these fellows who operate grocery stores, drug stores, and any other type of business. When these wholesalers walk in and demand that you give them a deposit on a delivery charge and



rental charge for something that you've never done before. And that money's going to be tied up needlessly and unnecessarily. So, something should be done and I ask now for the suspension of the appropriate rule and the immediate consideration... move the adoption of this Resolution."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? The question's on the adoption of House Resolution 1136. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. This sets up a Committee and under the rules, requires 89 votes. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 110 'ayes', no 'nays', two 'present'. And House Resolution 1136 is adopted. All right, now while the Supplemental Calendar's out and the Conference Committee Reports are being printed, they'll be out... Mr. Walters has some awards to make in connection with the tennis match."

Walters: "May I have your attention please for just a few moments? I'd like to invite four distinguished Members of the Illinois Assembly to come up and be so honored. Representative Porter, the only Republican, Representative Harold Katz, in the back, Representative George Sangmeister, from the R.T.A., and Representative Jim Houlihan. For your information on June the 10th, the year 1974, the Illinois General Assembly had a Tennis Invitational Tournament and the winners are coming up to get their trophies. There's been some discussion about what happened to the money and I want to present the trophies today. We had a sixteen Member tournament. The winners of the consolation championship... Harold, the winners of the consolation were Harold Katz and John Porter from the 1st District."

Katz: "Well, I guess I maybe do have seniority up here. I want to say first of all that I withdraw all of those statements I said about Bob Walters stealing the money that we contributed to this tournament. It is absolutely not true. It is true that he has taken some of it, but most of it... and as I look all the way down to the southern part of the state, we are indeed fortunate, Representative Walters, to have had the great pleasure of having the truly inspired, honest leadership that you have contributed to the



one legitimate racket that is going on here in Springfield, namely, the Annual Tennis Tournament that we hope all of you will join us in next year. Thank you."

Porter: "The 1st District is very proud of their record. I was going to get out a press release on this until I had to state in there that the tournament was being held at the Springfield Racquet Club and then we thought better of it. We thought we'd better not. I thank the coach, Bob Walters."

Walters: "All right, let's hear it for those guys. The runner-ups in the consolation tournament were Representative Ron Griesheimer, with the moustache that looks a little bit like Sam over there, and his partner was Senator Harbor Hall. The champions of the First Annual Tournament, the runner-ups first are the little man from Rockford and a big surprise to all of you, I wonder if he'd stand up and bow if he could, Pat North and Senator Brad Glass. Pat, can you stand up? Thank you. The champions of the first tournament, we had a Resolution a couple of weeks ago and I have copies to present to the two Members today, George Sangmeister, who had a bad day yesterday. George, I wanted to give this to you yesterday but it just didn't work out that way and Jimmy Houlihan, who is a newcomer to tennis, and he was his partner. And they won the whole ball of wax and I might add that we started... wait a minute, George. We started about nine o'clock that night and with a few refreshments and about one o'clock when the tournament was over, 1:30, the tennis pro at the Springfield Racquet Club, owned and operated by Joe Gibbs, a Representative from Springfield, had to get that in... challenged the said pro at 1:30 in the morning and Representative Charles Fleck immediately seized upon the opportunity and began to take bets from the audience and received about thirty-five dollars in his pocket and began to walk out as Jimmy Houlihan beat the pro and Charlie Fleck lost all his money. Jimmy and George, come on up boys."

Sangmeister: "Well, I just want to say that this shows you the sad state of affairs of the tennis competition in the Illinois General Assembly when a 240 pounder comes in in first place, particularly when you got to carry somebody like Jimmy Houlihan along with you. But



I'd be happy to try again next year."

Walters: "As always, George has spoken well."

J. Houlihan: "Thank you very much. I'd like to add also that Representative Joe Gibbs and Representative Brian Duff also played in the tournament. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we'll take just a minute here now while they're starting to distribute the Conference Committee Report and then we'll be right into voting on them. All right, Mr. Krause here so we can do the Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2416? Wait a minute, wait a minute. We got Mr. Taylor up. Wait a minute, we got Taylor ready to go."

Taylor: "I have a little problem with getting the Conference Committee Report this far in the back. I wish you'd just take it a little bit slower so that I can get a chance to read them over."

Speaker Blair: "Okay. All right, Mr. Krause, would you explain it slowly there? Mr. Taylor has the report in hand, I think."

Krause: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I'm going to ask you to hold this a little bit. We're still conferring. I just got the Conference Committee Report and we're still trying to figure out what it does, so if you'll just hold this for a little bit."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea, you want to... while they're reading those over, you want to try your 1273 so we can get it off the Calendar?"

Shea: "It's the same as it was yesterday."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea is ready."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is Senate Bill 1273. It contains at the present time a hundred, seventy-six thousand dollars for the retirement of the state officers. It contains two million dollars for the juvenile courts, reimbursement to counties and then it has nine thousand dollars for reimbursement for some people involved in some lawsuits. I thought, explained it so thoroughly yesterday and I'd ask for support of the House."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would appreciate it if everyone would listen for about ten words. This, again, is the Bill that many of us have spoken on many, many times. It

involves the slander to Father Donahue. Representative Hanahan has spoken on it many times. Representative Hirschfeld yesterday and myself. This is the payment to three individuals that presently work for the State of Illinois that... in which the court said that it was a personal judgement against each of them and that it was not an obligation of the State of Illinois.

Representative Hirschfeld pointed out that..."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea, to close."

Stone: "No, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I wasn't finished. No one apparently is paying any attention. I certainly hope that they understand that this is the Bill that we have beaten many, many times. Now, I'm sure that you'll hear that there are important appropriations in this Bill and there are. I want to point out to you again now as I did yesterday that all of these appropriations can be put in Representative Washburn's omnibus Bill and no one who is entitled to any appropriation will lose one cent. I hope that you continue to give this Bill the same support you have given it in the past. Yesterday, I think it received 46 votes. That's more than it deserves and please be aware of what you're voting on."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Shea, to close."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think everybody knows what's in this Bill and I'd appreciate the support of the House."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, the question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1273. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 55 'ayes' and 44 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to adopt fails. Macdonald 'no'. Virginia Macdonald 'no'. All right, we're ready on the Conference Committee Report on 2416. Mr. Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'm going to move that we adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on 2416. This is the appropriation for General ..."

Services. Just a couple of points I wanted to make. There's about 70 employees that are going to lose their jobs. There's about 28, I think, that have been working since the first of the month. They're going to have Court of Claims cases and, Jim, there's nothing about G.O.R.E. in here. We couldn't even get everything we wanted in here, much less getting G.O.R.E. in here, too. So, I'm going to move to adopt this although it's against my better judgement, Mr. Speaker. I move to adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2416."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2416. All those in favor will vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. This is an appropriation and for it to be effective, it'll have to have 107 votes. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Jacobs 'aye' and Duff 'aye'. On this question there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 'present' and Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2416 having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared adopted. We're waiting on the cop... these other reports to be printed, so they'll be on your desk when we adopt them. All right, on the Calendar on the order of Senate Bills, Third Reading appears Senate Bill 1669 on which the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1669 was one that we briefly discussed a week or ten days ago and it amends the Civil Administrative Code and it requires that the Department of General Services in relation to its mandate to publish bulletins of the work of government and other materials for the information of public, to furnish such services to all elected officers of all three branches of state government. Now, this sets up the Illinois Information Service under the Illinois Governmental Information Service Board which shall consist of the Governor, the Lieutenant Governor, the Attorney General, Secretary of the State, the Comptroller, the State Treasurer, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, the Speaker and Minority Leader of the House and the President and



Minority Leader of the Senate. And this, of course, entails all three branches of government and makes these facilities accessible to all three branches. And I would move for the passage of Senate Bill 1669."

Speaker Blair: "Now, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we beat this once before. I think it would be well if we at least had the reports on our desk, George, if you don't mind."

Speaker Blair: "This was not beaten. It was..."

Barry: "Third Reading? All right, then..."

Speaker Blair: "It was taken out of the record late last week, yeah. Go ahead, Mr. Barry."

Barry: "Then, in that case, I know what it is and, of course, as I say, we beat this in several other forms in the closing weeks of the Session. I would merely recommend that we beat this one again."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're in the position now with this Bill of continuing or not continuing the Illinois Information Service. And it seems to me reasonable indeed to continue it on the basis so that it serves all state government. The Governor is not the only one in the state who is deserving of news coverage. The state offices are. The Judicial Branch and the Legislative Branch make news and are deserving of coverage by a news agency from the state. Now, I submit to you further that the Illinois Information Service as it was postured before under the Department of General Services is certainly deserving of being abolished because it has been used by the Governor as a political tool to a very, very large extent. Now, I suggest to you that if we have the management under the Ryan Bill as it exists now of the, all of the state officers, of the legislative leaders and of the Supreme Court, then the agency will be used as it was intended to be used to provide news services of the various branches of government and we will have a far better situation. This is different, Representative Barry, than the Bill that we defeated before because at that time, we had the alternative of the Illinois Information

Service as it was presently structured. We no longer have that alternative. There is an appropriation as I understand it in the Omnibus Commission Bill that will be forthcoming for this agency and I think that this Bill should be approved and I would appreciate it very much if you'd vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Krause."

Krause: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, it rather amazes me that the Illinois Information Service under General Services was finéd under a Republican Governor who could use it in any manner that he wanted. When we get a Democratic Governor, we got to try to get rid of the Illinois Information Service. There's nothing here but a political fillet and I think we ought to beat this Bill soundly. We shouldn't even let it come back for its second hearing."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as we've said when this Bill came up for discussion and before and as we said in Committee, this is just another attempt by the Senate Republicans to take a whack at the Governor and his budgets. This is the same service provided to Governor Ogilvie, but the Senate decided that they should push their way in to this information service and make it supposedly for everyone. As I pointed out in the Committee, if we wanted our own information service, why don't we set one up? Why should we attempt to take the Governo.'s away from him? If we do take it away from the Governor and make it for all of us, surely the Governor will turn around and get the funds and resources from someplace to again set up his own agency. This is another attempt to embarrass the administration and I think we should vote against it."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "I'll pass."

Speaker Blair: "Okay, Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Believing there's nothing new, I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. The previous question's been moved. Mr. Ryan, to close."

Ryan: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'd



like to point out as the Majority Leader has that if this Bill fails to pass, there will be no Illinois Information Service available. As Representative Walsh pointed out, House Bill 2303 has an appropriation of some four hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars to go to the Governmental Information Service Board. It's created in this Bill and there's provisions made for the employees that are there and as far as Representative Londrigan's statement, another attempt to further embarrass the administration, I might point out that the administration doesn't need any help from this side of the aisle to be embarrassed. And I might say that in the State Register of May the 21st, there's a column by Al Manning about the Illinois Information Service purchasing a color television camera from the funds of Mental Health to the tune of some seventy-three thousand dollars. And these are the kinds of shenanigans that we've had with this service and this Bill would provide that everybody will have some feed-in to it and it will be used as an information service and not as a P.R. service for the administration. And so, I would ask for your favorable vote on this Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall Senate Bill 1669 pass. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, I'd just like to point out in the explanation of my vote, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen, again that if this Bill fails, there will be no Illinois Information Service unless... unless... unless the Governor manages to finagle it out of some other department like he did his camera from the Department of Mental Health. And I'm sure that that's going to be a possibility. He can't afford to let this go down the drain. You know that and I know it. And I would certainly like to see a few more green lights up there. I think it's imperative that we get them and at the proper time, I would like to be recognized before you announce the Roll Call, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Have all voted who wished? All right, the Clerk will take the record. The Gentleman from Kankakee, Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Well, I would just like to ask that this be put on Postponed



Consideration, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "All right. It's a matter of right under the rules. That's right. All right, we'll place it on the order of Postponed Consideration. No, he didn't. He took it out of the record. The point is, Mr. Matijevich, it's not on Postponed Consideration. It's on Third Reading. It was never placed on Postponed Consideration before. There are a lot of things that should have been. Yeah, that's where it is. It's resting on Postponed. One more is all he's got. Maybe while we're waiting we can get rid of some of these things that are on the Calendar by asking leave to table. Mr. Choate and I'd like leave to table House Bill 2882, 83, 84, and 85. Is there objection? Those are on House Bills, Second Reading. Okay. Yeah, he... those are the energy package that he and I had. There isn't any problem with that. We passed that stuff yesterday. Mr. Choate, I suggested that we get leave to table 2882 through 2885. Those are the energy package that we had. Those House Bills on Second Reading, just to get the Calendar cleaned up there. Those are the energy Bills we had. What do you want... seriously, Mr. Choate, what do you want to do? Do you want to... get rid of them. All right, then 2882, 83, 84, and 85 will be tabled. Mr. Collins has one to table."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 21, I would ask leave that that be tabled."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Gentleman has leave to table H.J.R.C.A. 21. Hearing no objections, we will table it. Mr. Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I would request leave of the House to table H.J.R.C.A. 27."

Speaker Blair: "The Gentleman have leave? Hearing no objections, we'll table H.J.R.C.A. 27. Leave to table House Bill 2244 on Third Reading? No objections, we'll table that. Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Mr. Speaker, we have on our desks House Calendar #2, Supplement. There's a Senate Bill in the Second Report that doesn't have any number on it. Could you inform us what number it is."

Clerk Selcke: "That is Senate Bill 1641 and when we Xeroxed it, that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

end of it got squeezed off."

VonBoeckman: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker, we seem to be in a holding pattern and there might be some of us here on the floor that would be interested in forming a bank or... for somebody during the next fiscal or a savings and loan association. I just wondered if there's a conferee here and we could move the Second Conference Report on House Bill 2405."

Speaker Blair: "Oh, that's right. Where is Mr. Taylor?"

Calvo: "Maybe one of the conferees could move that in his absence or something."

Speaker Blair: "No, he has an affinitive to that Bill. He doesn't want to let..."

Calvo: "Here he is, Mr. Speaker. Jim. Jim, you ready?"

Speaker Blair: "You ready yet, Mr. Taylor? Not yet. Okay, anything in particular you want one on? All right, on Supplement #2, I'm advised that the Second Report on Senate Bill 1641 is on your desk. Mr. Collins, are you prepared to move?"

Collins: "Yes."

Speaker Blair: "All right. Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I have a unanimous Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1641. The Bill is exactly as it left the House. This is the Bill that permits the employees of County Clerks, Board of Election Commissioners and State's Attorneys who have duties on election day, to vote by absentee ballot. It also exempts prison population in counties when computing the 40,000 population requirement for electronic voting devices. The... as I said, the Bill is exactly as it left the House and I would ask for Concurrence or adoption of Conference Committee Report #2."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion with respect to this?"

All right, the question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1641. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the



record. On this question there are 120 'ayes', 20 'nays', 1 'present' and the Second Conference Committee respect... Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1641 having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared adopted. Mr. Fennessey. Mr. Fennessey."

Fennessey: "Vote 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Change Mr. Fennessey from 'no' to 'aye'. Well, here's where we are. The... Mr. Taylor on his Second Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2405, that's financial institutions, is awaiting his moving ahead. Second Conference Report on the appropriations for the Capital Development Board by Mr. D.L. Houlihan is awaiting his willingness to go ahead. The appropriation for the Department of Transportation, I understand that there's been some snag there that the Report is not being signed yet. Oh, we've signed it here. All right. So, I guess the House Members have signed it, but the Senate Members now haven't signed it. The appropriations for the expenses of the Law Enforcement Commission has not been, it's not been submitted yet. It's... we thought that was agreed. And then we'll be getting over from the Senate, House Bill 2303. That was the Adjournment Resolution, will wind us up. I think maybe Mr. Lundy has... we're printing Mr. Lundy's, okay. No, let's do that last. Don't want to get into a lot of questions about it. All right, there's good news now. All the conferees have in the House and Senate signed the D.O.T. Conference Committee Report, so it'll be here and the Secretary of Transportation, Mr. Bond, indicates his appreciation in the back of the chamber. Doesn't have the votes for the Conference Committee Report yet, but he's indicating optimism. Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I have a notice, I think, of interest to the Democratic and Republican side concerning the doings of our Governor. According to the information just received from Decatur, Illinois, I'm happy to announce that the Governor of Illinois has bought a brand new \$17,000 trailer from a Decatur company. We're very happy to have that money spent with us in Macon County. I understand he is parking it in Christian County on a private lake in Christian County which is



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

also in my district and I am assuming that possibly his older son is seeking residence there. His oldest son may be a proud contender in a year or so and perhaps the next election as in... some of his family in the southern part of the state. We may have his older son as a proud contender for the Legislature from the 51st District. Now, these are historical notes of possible future actions, so take them as you will. I thought you'd like to know about the good fortune in Macon County in relation to the monies involved."

Speaker Blair: "People's choice coming down the center aisle there. Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I rise on a matter of personal privilege. Approximately three weeks ago there was an investigation started here in the House. There was a sealed letter on my desk purportedly from the Governor of our great state and I never did find out what my letter said. It was confiscated for interior fingerprints. But I would like to know from Representative Griesheimer what happened on that investigation. Could he give us a report?"

Speaker Blair: "You'll have to write him. He's not here."

Calvo: "He's not here, well if anyone else knows what happened on the investigation, I'd like if they'd respond. In addition to that, I might say that the corrected list of parking tickets are out and Pete Peters seems to have made the list this time, but if anyone's interested, the corrected list is out now."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, on a point of semi-personal privilege. I notice they've come out with the corrected edition of the traffic violators and I understand that through some inadvertent, somehow or another, there are a number of Members on that list and I would suggest or make a motion that you refer this to a Conference Committee and see if we can't get it solved."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Flinn."

Flinn: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, my name appeared in the first edition of those traffic violators and the later edition, it did not appear. I would like to get my name back in the paper, please."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Peters."



Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, on a point of personal privilege since we're on the order of the State Journal-Register, Springfield, July 12, 1974, in an earlier attempt at correcting the record, I did indicate to the Members of the House that Representative John Merlo, in fact, was not speeding on his way down to Springfield on Wednesday because he was not driving the car. Now, I am not at liberty to say at this point because of certain constitutional prerogatives as to who exactly was behind the wheel of the car, but it was not Representative John Merlo. He has asleep in the back seat as he usually is. I might also indicate that our good friend and the Dean of the House, the Representative Corneal Davis, is also listed here as speeding. Representative Corneal Davis as the Dean of the House has a very high distinction of generally being chauffeured down to Springfield by somebody. So, his name certainly should not be included in here. Now, I had hoped that a retraction would have been made at least in regard to these two Gentlemen; John Merlo, who is a very close personal friend, and Reverend Davis, who I admire very much. I hope that retraction is forthcoming. I also find out now that since I did make an initial comment in regard to the article, that I now find myself on the list as one of the individuals who owes a ticket for 1973. Now, I cannot imagine myself not paying the ticket. I think that must have been the date that Representative Merlo borrowed my car. I think that date. I might also say, Mr. Speaker, that we evidently have more than 177 Members elected to the House because the list does include a Representative Daniel Prue who I have not had the privilege of meeting as yet. Also a Representative Eugene Raney and I don't know whether he's still here in the House. If he is, he makes it number 179. And we have a Representative John Lainer who is also on the particular list. And I'm on the list, too, as I said and... but I'll admit my name is misspelled here. I know it was a long name. Teddy Lechowicz understands that problem. I understood the problem. Right, right, and I'm just informed here by Representative Merlo's counsel, the distinguished-Representative from northern part of Cook County, Representative Katz.



## GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Representative Merlo has a witness to the fact he was not behind the wheel in Representative Eugene Barnes who passed us up as we were coming down the highway here. That's right and I think Representative Collins passed both of us up as I recall the situation, but I'm sure that all of us who, in fact, had... are indicated here as owing these tickets want to make sure that Mr. Modonia and the Springfield Department and Dave Jones, Joe Gibbs, have the amount of money they need, so I certainly will send in my two dollars with nine and a half percent interest now, Representative Kennedy, since we passed that interest rate. That's right. Well, I won't say that. And I do hope, however, that at least for Representative Corneal Davis and John Merlo, that the morning edition now whenever it comes out, will at least carry the retraction in regard to those two Gentleman. I thank you for the time, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. VonBoeckman."

VonBoeckman: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it seems like I have been replaced by Fred Schraeder of Peoria on this list and I'm at loss to when I got a ticket but I'm sure that as the City of Springfield needs additional funds to run their community, I suggest they get in contact with their Representatives from this district so they can put an Amendment on one of the Bills forthcoming so they can take us people off the hook that really didn't deserve these tickets or didn't get 'em. I know on the Department of Transportation, they have a lot of funds for the City of Springfield and I think maybe we ought to take a second look at them and delete them. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Ryan."

Ryan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that all of you people should probably stop asking Mr. MacDaniel to print a retraction because I can recall some time back when Jack Anderson printed a retraction for a Senator Eagleton. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Well, Representative Peters said he was going to give the City of Springfield an interest bearing payment and I'd rather



have that refer to me because I paid my four dollars. I'm trying to get it back with interest. So, just give me the interest."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I happened to have lunch with Representative Corneal Davis and he was very bitter about the whole thing and the whole situation. Now, Corneal assured me that he wasn't even in his car when it was going around 85 to 100 miles an hour, that he'd loaned it to none other than Representative Mann and Representative Mann was the culprit whose name should be in the paper. Now, I think that Representative Mann should certainly apologize to Representative Corneal Davis for the anguish and mental disturbances that he's been suffering ever since this article came out in the paper."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker, before you recognized Mr. Borchers, I was going to get up and indicate that I, indeed, did ride down with Representative Davis and Representative Davis was not the driver of the car when it was going between 85 and 100 miles an hour. Now, the interesting thing about that car is that I wasn't driving either. And if anybody is culpable here, it's Representative Davis who has a car which can travel from his district to Springfield without a driver."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Brummet."

Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, my name was also on that list to begin with and it's been taken off. I've been waiting for just such a time. It seems to me that most of you are disgusted with the City of Springfield, so I'll spend the summer getting a Bill drawn up and we'll move the Capitol back to Vandalia where it belongs."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. I was just simply going to point out that my name was not on the list. It was printed. It's on several lists but they don't print those kind. I was just going to point out, however, that part of the problem is that when you people come down to the big city, you don't know how to act so I would suggest that perhaps we should go back to



the 47th District where we don't have such large metropolitan areas. In fact, we don't even have parking meters, so you're in luck."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. DiPrima."

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in the closing hours of this Session, I wanted to take this opportunity to thank those of you that sustained me during my terrific battles on you-know-what, but on the whole, I want to thank each and every one of you for supporting all the veteran's legislation that came through this House. And you'll all be amply rewarded because all the veteran organizations are going to pass out to... all of you will be one or two citations from the various veteran organizations. And I want to take this opportunity to invite you all to the American Legion Convention which is going to be held next Friday, well, next week; but on Friday at 10:30 a.m. I will be in Room 2052(W) of the Palmer House, that's the distinguished guest room and any and all of you that show up, Senator Bob Mitchler and I will escort you up to the podium and you will be given a badge as a distinguished guest and introduced and then you can go and sit with some of your constituents that will... delegates from the whole state will be up there and I'll be happy to greet you when you come up there. And once again, thank all of you. I love you all. And one more thing I forgot to mention that Steve Schikel from the Tribune will be master of ceremonies at the legion parade on Sunday on Michigan Avenue."

Speaker Telcser: "Mr. DiPrima, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I made a mistake. Steve Schikel's with WGN-TV, that's Channel 9 in Chicago and he will be master of ceremonies at the American Legion Parade on Michigan Boulevard a week from Sunday."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Palmer, for what purpose do you rise?"

Palmer: "Point of inquiry, Mr. Speaker. I understand that there is some 16 or 19 pages to the Conference Report on 1345. My point



of inquiry is whether or not it's absolutely necessary that we have that report on our desk before we proceed with the consideration of the report itself, whether or not really the appropriate rule could be waived so that we might proceed with the consideration of that report."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Emil Jones, for what purpose do you rise? The Chair has no..."

E. Jones: "I object."

Speaker Telcser: "You want to move to suspend the rule?"

Palmer: "I will move to suspend the rule then. Let's get some action going here before we all fall asleep."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate. Representative Choate, Representative Palmer would like to move to suspend the rules which provide for distribution of Conference Committee Reports so that the House could move on 1345. On all of them? Are you saying all of them, Romie?"

Palmer: "Only on 1345."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman only to discuss 1345. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, as we all know, that's certainly within the purview of the Gentleman's prerogatives as far as a Member of this General Assembly is concerned. We also well know that a majority, a simple... 89 votes can make this possible if desired, 107 votes rather, so it's entirely up to the Membership. It is a lengthy report. I think personally that it's a good report. However, it's up to the Membership as far as that's concerned."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're talking about two billion dollars of the state budget and I want to go home just as much as everybody here, but we didn't wait six days not to see it. And I think we ought to see it."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I'm inclined to agree with Representative Dunn because of the fact that in these Conference Committee Reports, being from the country myself, I know how these country boys operate. I have learned one thing and that is to check them out



very closely. Now, if the Minority Leader would assure me that there is nothing in there in any form or fashion that would have any connection with G.O.H.R. at all, then I would be willing to agree to that."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well, I'm sure that I'm not the country boy that... although I am a country boy, I'm sure I'm not the one that Representative Taylor was referring to. However, since he put a kind of a point blank question to me, I can assure him that there's nothing pertaining to G.O.R.E. or G.O.H.R., however you spell it in the D.O.T. Conference Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Greiman, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Greiman: "Mr. Speaker, I think that the motion may not be in order by reason of the lack of the authority of this Body to pass such a motion. I refer you to Article IV, Section 8, Subsection V of the Constitution which provides that a Bill and each Amendment thereto shall be reproduced and placed on the desk of each Member before final passage. And I just think in the face of the words of the Constitution, we could not adopt such a motion. And I would ask for a ruling as to propriety of the motion. It contravenes the Constitution."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, we're dealing with a Conference Committee Report, not an Amendment, Representative Greiman. And Representative Palmer has not yet put a motion. I think he's considering doing so. I don't know. He's going to consult with some of his close friends and advisers. Is Representative Lundy on the Floor? Representative Lundy, Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2868 has been distributed. We're ready for action on that matter. Is Representative Lundy on the Floor? Okay, on Supplemental Calendar #3 on Conference Committee Reports, appears House Bill 2868 for which purpose the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy is recognized."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. There's been a lot of talk in the last ten days about Conference Committees that didn't work right and I'm happy to report that



the Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2868, in my judgement, worked exactly the way it's supposed to. I think the conferees met. They discussed. I think probably overall, we put in as much as six or eight hours together as a Conference Committee working out this final version of a Bill on a very, very complicated subject; namely, the real estate tax equalization factor for Cook County. We have reached final agreement. Nine of the ten conferees have signed the report. I think it's agreeable to both sides of the aisle and I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Any discussion? The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur."

LaFleur: "Would the Gentleman yield to a question, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "Indicates that he will."

LaFleur: "Mr. Lundy, what form is this Conference Committee now? The same as it was when it came here before and was defeated?"

Lundy: "No, the First Conference Committee recommended that the House accede, that is, accept Senate Amendment #2 to the Bill which was quite a drastic change from the Bill's form when it passed the House."

LaFleur: "That is the one that raised the rate?"

Lundy: "That's correct."

LaFleur: "All right."

Lundy: "Now, in its present form and I will try at least the first time around not to get into too much detail cause it's pretty complicated. In its present form the Conference Committee Report recommends that the Senate recede from its Amendment #2 and that in place of that a, in effect, a new Bill be created which recites in a set of whereas clauses the circumstances which have required the General Assembly to take action in this matter. That is the issuance of a tentative 148 multiplier for Cook County. The extended delay which has occurred subsequent to the announcement of that tentative multiplier and the view of the General Assembly that the Department of Local Government Affairs has been unjustified in waiting as long as it has and in a description of the problems created. Section 2 of the Bill would permit taxing districts in Cook County for 1973 taxes only to, to receive whatever



it is they levied but not to exceed the greater of one of two maximums. One maximum would be the 1973 rate times the '72 assessed valuation. The second maximum would be the '73 rate times the '73 assessed valuation. That is what they would get if this Bill weren't enacted. Essentially, that's the Bill as the Second Conference Committee recommended it be passed."

LaFleur: "From what I gather then, you're back to the valuation approach towards raising the taxes then."

Lundy: "Well, Leo, this doesn't raise anybody's taxes."

LaFleur: "Let me put it this way, Joe. Let me put it this way, Joe. If this Bill is not passed, there would not be as much tax money collected as if this Bill did pass. Answer yes or no."

Lundy: "That is true only for the year 1973 because..."

LaFleur: "Well, that's what we're..."

Lundy: "Because as was made, I think, quite clear when this Bill was first considered by the House, the money that we're talking about now is money which has been budgeted and in some cases, obligated or even expended by the taxing districts which are waiting now for those revenues, so it's money which if it isn't raised in revenue, it's going to have to be borrowed and then repaid with tax revenues raised in later years. So, we are not saving taxpayers any money although you are correct that if this Bill did not pass in some instances, a taxpayer's bill would be a few percentage points lower this year than it was last year."

LaFleur: "Is it still a hundred and fifty million dollars in Cook County?"

Lundy: "No, I don't think it's that amount of money. The best estimates we can get for the, for the one tax year that this will apply to is somewhere around sixty or seventy million of which about fifteen or twenty will... is the Chicago schools and the remainder is schools, libraries and park districts in both Chicago and the suburban areas of Cook County."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, may I address myself to the Bill?"

Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, sir."

LaFleur: "This has been before us before and it has been before the Revenue Committee and fully discussed and at that time, the Chicago

schools made the announcement in the Committee that if this Bill was not passed, they would lose one hundred million dollars which means that the Chicago schools, at least, anticipated to gain a hundred million from this piece of legislation. Now, my objection to this is manyfold and I will try to put it as short as I can and also try to alert all of the Cook County Legislators and to the impact it will have upon them because it affects Cook County. Now, back in the traditional and historical way of raising real estate tax money, the assessor will assess the property to put a valuation on it and there is a rate established with a top of that rate as high as you can go. By multiplying the two, you return money to the taxing districts. Now, what has happened, they have said back in the dim past of this year sometime that we think everything is going up as everything is going up, so we will appropriate money based upon our best guess of what the valuation will be and our known rates. Since the Governor has put a multiplier and a frozen multiplier on this, it has not been able to work in that way. When they were alerted by the Department of Local Government Affairs that the multiplier would be 1.48 this year which would reduce valuation from what was anticipated; not what was given but what was anticipated, they found out that they had... their guess was too high. They were going to return less money than they had thought. So, instead of the Department of Local Government Affairs or the Governor releasing things, they have come to the Legislature to say, here, give us a year of grace to go by to what we have estimated and not what is historical or the law. So, let's change the law so that we can change the amount of taxes collected in Cook County for this year. Now, I think that this is violating a very sacred and historical means, law, custom, habit of raising tax money. I think it is going to be disappointing to every taxpayer in Cook County when they find that this has been violated if this is passed and that there is no hope for them, that taxes can never remain stable, that taxes never can be reduced, but they must always go up. And if they do not go up under the historical way, they will be raised by the Legislature. I think this is going to be a very difficult question



to answer when each of us go back in a district in Cook County and try to tell our taxpayers why we are paying more money because the management of various districts and primarily the Chicago School District has not applied the best figures possible to make their projections and their appropriation, but also why the Executive Branch of the Government has failed to recognize these problems and put certain stringent controls over it that it's impossible to get around or to properly apply the multiplier. And for the Department of Local Government Affairs and I think as Joe spoke, the preamble of this Bill apparently censured the Department of Local Government Affairs now for failing to do their job and they want you to come back and say, I am going to raise the taxes. I would urge everyone to vote 'no' on this. I see no alternative. It has been defeated before. I see no change and I would ask that it be defeated again."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill or the Conference Committee, the Second Conference Committee substantially changed the concept of what happened here. As you full well know, in 1970 the Constitution became effective. It was voted on, became effective July 1, 1971. And starting in tax year 1972, the assessor in Cook County changed the method of assessment and wants a full, fair cash market value, but can only do it quadrant at a time. Quadrants 1 and 2 or approximately half of Cook County has been reassessed. In Quadrants 3 and 4, the assessment level has not been but is substantially lower than in the other two quadrants. Now, the department has talked and had hearings about coming out with a new multiplier for Cook County. But as of to date, they have not come out with it. They're approximately three weeks late. The tax bills are being held up in Cook County until the department acts. Now, all this Bill does, it says, if the department comes out with a multiplier less than 1.59, it will give relief to those taxing districts, particularly those taxing districts in suburban Cook County and it's the schools that are involved that will allow them to get either what's allowed by law, the amount of assessments they got in 1972



or a combination thereof. Now, these levies were all made prior, I think the date in the Bill is prior to May of 1974, before the department started to tinker with the multiplier in Cook County. Now, you've raised the question, Mr. LaFleur, about what the Chicago schools are going to get out of this. The Chicago schools will get something and I think it's about fifteen or twenty million in excess of what they would get without this Bill, but I want to assure you that more important than the Chicago School District are the suburban School Districts in half of Cook County and that's in at least Quadrant 3 and 4. But even further than that, you can take townships like Evanston that's in Quadrant 2, their assessed valuation dropped so bad under this new method that the schools are in real trouble and you can go through each school district in Cook County and particularly suburban Cook County and without a Bill of this nature, those schools are going to be in real, real trouble and I would ask the House to support this Conference Committee Report."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else? The Gentleman from McHenry, Representative Skinner. Representative Skinner on the Floor? Let's go, Cal, you're last. Now, come on."

Skinner: "First of all, I'd like to explain the reason for my name on the Conference Committee. It's strictly from pure pressure. The only part of this Conference Committee I agree with is the part that is not underlined. That is, the part that indicts the Department of Local Government Affairs for, let's see... 'Whereas, the Department of Local Government Affairs has failed utterly and they continue to fail to discharge its duties and responsibilities.' I would also like to raise a point of order with regard to the form of this Conference Committee. Senator Richard Daley was not a Member of this Conference Committee and yet his name is typed in. I don't know whether it's significant or not, but I don't know who changed it, but it certainly has nothing to do with it."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Skinner, that would be an appointment from the Senate side. The Chair has no inclination, desire, nor, I believe, power to question who the Conferees were or were



not with respect to the Senate side of the Membership."

Skinner: "Would the Chair be at all suspicious if you saw three Democrats names and two Republicans?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't think I'd be suspicious, maybe surprised, but not suspicious. Jack, doesn't the girls upstairs type the names? You think they misread a signature, is that what you're saying?"

Skinner: "Well, perhaps it could be corrected on face. Maybe you could just scratch his name out."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Jack..."

Skinner: "Could I speak to the issue? What we have here in the General Assembly, we're trying to balance off the interest of taxpayers against tax districts and this is definitely a tax district Bill and not a taxpayer Bill. If we want Cook County taxpayers to pay more than they would have with the tentative multiplier, then certainly we ought to vote for this Report and that is true in just about every quadrant. In Quadrant 1, the tax bills will go down with the new quadrant multiplier... with the new tentative multiplier of 1.4813. Now, it's quite true the tax districts will get less money, but it will be no less money than they deserved in the first place. In Quadrant 2, we had the option to lower that whole quadrant to some percentage below the county average which they indeed deserve to be because they are the highest assessed quadrant. What this will allow, what this Report will allow and which would have been allowed before but which could have been reported if the Conferees had wanted it to be corrected, is a decrease in the total tax base that would be available. Now, in Quadrants 3 and 4, tax cuts would have been mandated with the tentative multiplier of 1.4813, but with this Bill, tax cuts will be prohibited. It's... it really comes down to a case... the case is are you with the tax districts or are you with the taxpayers. And it is my contention that this Bill is certainly a tax district Bill and if those are the people that jingle your bells, you certainly ought to vote for the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lundy; to close the debate."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House."



Just to correct one point that Representative Skinner raised. It is true that Senator Daley was not a Conferee. I frankly, don't know why his name is typed in there. Senator John Nimrod is the remaining Senate Conferee who did not sign the Report and to those that it matters to, simply strike out Senator Daleys name. He was not a Conferee. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is a Bill of enormous importance to the taxing districts in Cook County. Let me emphasize that what we're talking about here is not the confrontation between the tax districts and taxpayers that Representative Skinner raises. What we're talking about is whether the tax... the school boards and library boards and park boards that are responsible for providing services to the people that use those facilities throughout Cook County are going to be penalized because the Department of Local Government Affairs waited so late in the year to announce that they were unfreezing the multiplier which had previously been frozen for two years, that all of those districts that made their budgets, had in some cases committed the money and in other cases, actually made expenditures based on what they reasonable anticipated would be their tax revenues from the 1973 taxes. Now, they find because the department has precipitously and without advance warning, unfrozen the multiplier and announced its intention to lower it by a substantial amount, that they are going to come up short of revenues. They have no choice at this point. Either, they will get the revenues and meet their obligations or they won't get the revenue and they'll borrow money to meet those obligations and the taxpayers in those districts will wind up paying that money anyway in future years, but they'll pay it along with interest on the loans that the districts have had to take to meet their obligations for this year. This is a responsible Bill. It's been discussed and discussed and discussed by the Conferees. It is finally worked out in a form that is acceptable to the leadership of both parties, whose nine of the ten Conferees or, I should say eight if Representative Skinner doesn't want to support it. I think it's a responsible Bill. I think we can go back to those people in our districts who care about the quality of the schools,



who care about park and library services that they're going to get. And we're going to say, we passed a temporary measure, a one year compromise that protected the interests of those taxing districts and yet for the future, we'll allow a lower equalization factor to go into effect which, in turn, will result in lower property taxes for those areas that had assessment increases. I ask for your support. I think it's a good Bill. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman moves the House do adopt Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to House Bill 2868. All in favor of adoption signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative LaFleur. Representative LaFleur, will you turn him on, please? You're on, Leo."

LaFleur: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would take a long, hard look at this because I think the indictment comes from the proponents of this Bill. The indictment comes in this way, that we must rise to the occasion of raising taxes for school districts that have gotten themselves into trouble. Now, I do not disagree that they need help, that we should assist; but I do think that this violates all the trust they have put into the lawmaking process. Also, all the trust they have put into the historical importance of an assessor's office, of a rate establishment, of the referendum, of many things. I think that each one who is affected by this and especially this is a Cook County Bill, each Member from Cook County would take a long, hard look at this and see that their name is not subscribing to a Bill that is going to do nothing but to raise taxes and it's going to raise taxes in a way that is unique, that is new, that is different. And I do not believe they're defensible by anybody here and especially anyone who is running for reelection."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, in this final hour of the Session here, we come to what, in many ways, is certainly one of the three or four most important things that I think we have done all Session from the point of view of the people of Cook and of the great suburban area from which I come. I was interested in the comments of my distinguished colleague who just



preceeded me, Representative LaFleur, when he says that the school districts got themselves in the mess and we shouldn't take them out, that really is not, in my view, actually at all. The fact of the matter is that they are in the mess because of what we have done before. Now, the fact is that they were placed in the situation where they cannot do anything about reducing their budget after they are advised that their budget has to be cut. Now, we were the ones who passed the laws that say that in order to dismiss a school teacher, you must give her notice, I think, in April of that year. All right, when you let these school districts know in May or June or July that their income is going to fall, there is nothing the school district can do about pulling out the teachers that would enable them to live within the budget because the General Assembly, not the school, the General Assembly has passed laws that say to the schools, you cannot reduce your staff unless you give notice to the teacher by April of that year. So that we are not really fishing the schools out of this in a situation where the schools were to blame. If anyone was to blame, it was the Department of Government Affairs or the General Assembly. The Government Affairs indicated what they were going to do with the equalizer so late that the schools couldn't do anything about it because we had frozen in the schools. And having frozen in the schools and preventing them from doing anything about reducing their budget, those of us who believe in fiscal solvency, has to do something about getting money to the school districts to get out of the very problem that we created for them. And so, we can do nothing more fiscally responsible having created this problem along with the Government Affairs, we can do nothing more fiscally responsible than to let our schools and our libraries and our park districts and our other taxing units that exist due to what we have done and who exercise powers and limited powers due to the restrictions we have placed on them. We can do nothing more than to help them out of a situation that we put them in from which situation there's absolutely no place else they can turn other than to this General Assembly. And so, I implore of you to give us the necessary additional votes to enable this excellent



Bill worked out very tediously by Representative Lundy, worked out by Mr. Shea and worked out by the leaders on the other side of this House, by Senator Terrel Clarke, by many of the leaders of this Legislature without regard to party, recognizing that we got them in the situation. Now, let us help get them out. It is the responsible thing to do. It is the decent thing to do. It is the thing that the school children in the Greater Chicago and the suburban area implore you to do. It is what everybody does who uses park district facilities, who use library facilities, who use school facilities, who say to you, if we are to continue to have these services and we must, you, the General Assembly, must get us out of the situation that you created and I urge an 'aye' vote for this Conference Report that came after long and tedious..."

Speaker Telcser: "Conclude your remarks, Representative Katz."

Katz: "And so, accordingly, I vote 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman."

Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I want to comment on one of the arguments that were used in opposition to this Bill. When we talk about the taxpayer. I would say and especially the area of suburban Cook County, one of the major elements that determines whether people move into a suburban area or not is the quality of their schools. And as you well know, the suburban areas, the major part of their school funding comes from local real estate taxes and we're talking in this Bill about 1973 budgets for our school districts and I dare say that every person who has a child in school, every person who wants to maintain the value of their suburban home, wants to maintain the quality of their school districts. And if they were asked would they be willing to maintain the schools as they were last year and to pay the taxes that they expect to pay for those services, the answers would certainly be 'yes'. And that's what we're trying to do by this Bill because they want to maintain the quality of their schools and maintain the value of their homes because that's what draws people to these communities. This Bill says that the amount of taxes that they expected to pay, the amount of revenue



that the school districts were expected to receive based upon estimates of the real estate values will be maintained. What has happened here because of the action of the Department of Local Government Affairs for the first time, for the first time, the equalizer has been decreased. No one, no school board member, no administrator and certainly no home owner expected that multiplier to go down. We're trying to correct that situation to maintain those schools and to keep and maintain their property values. I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter.

No? Representative Richard Walsh, you wish to explain your vote?"

R. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, just briefly explaining my vote, I would assume because of the close vote that this Roll Call might be verified and I would like to allay some of they fears of those voting 'present' or not voting or voting 'no'... as Representative Lundy pointed out, this unlike many of the Conference Committees that have been acting was met, studied the issue. We conferred with representatives of the Civic Federation. There are nine of the ten Conferees signed the Conference Committee Report. It's a difficult problem. It... let's face it, it does resolve in an increase in tax rates without a referendum. However, there's a very real problem for some of the school districts, especially in Quadrant 1. Many of us don't like to vote tax rates without referendum. I am one of those, but this is a Bill, I think, should pass. It's effective only for the tax year 1973. That is, the tax bills to be issued this year and collected this year. And it came about as the Conference Committee Report indicates, really because of the dereliction of the Governor, whose office, the Department of Local Government Affairs in not issuing a permanent multiplier some month or six weeks ago which should have been done. And also because of these districts which are at fault because they didn't conduct rate referendums, but let's face it, the problem exists. That's why we're here, to react to these problems. I think an 'aye' vote is in order."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to explain their vote? The Gentleman



from DuPage, Representative LaFleur, for what purpose do you rise?"

LaFleur: "Point of personal privilege. My name was used in debate some hours ago by Mr. Katz and I'd almost forgotten what he had talked about when he was speaking, but I want to assure him. I know he would not meaningfully do it. I did not indict the school board's getting themselves into trouble. I said this trouble came about because of their anticipation of money and the failure of other historical events that take place. My argument on this has been and is now that this is not the place to settle this. The Executive Branch, the indictment of Local Government Affairs and the school board given the tools, should be the place where this is settled. Also, Mr. Speaker, I would like at the proper time to suspend the proper rule or to ask for a verification of the Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Anyone else wish to explain their vote? Have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question 110 'ayes', 5 'nays', 19 answering 'present'. The Gentleman's asked for a verification. Want the absentees first, Joe? The Gentleman's asked for a poll the absentees."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsop, Capuzi, Carter, Deavers, Douglas, R.L. Dunne, Ebbesen, Epton, Fleck, Friedland, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Gene Hoffman, Huskey, Dave Jones, Kent, Kosinski."

Speaker Telcser: "Dave Jones 'aye'."

Clerk Selcke: "Kozubowski, Laurino, Leinenweber, Maragos, Martin, McAuliffe, McCormick, Kenny Miller, Murphy, Pappas, Philip, Rigney, Schlickman, Schneider, Sharp, Soderstrom, Springer, Stiehl, Telcser."

Speaker Telcser: "Aye."

Clerk Selcke: "Telcser 'aye'. Tuerk, Waddell, Walters, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, what do you have, Mr. Clerk? Representative Robert Dunne, for what purpose do you rise?"

R.L. Dunne: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Robert Dunne 'aye'. Anyone else wish to get on the Roll Call? Will you start the verification, please? Anyone else? Schraeder? Are you seeking recognition, sir?"



Representative Schraeder, for what purpose do you rise?"

Schraeder: "Yeah, Mr. Speaker, I voted 'present' on this and I'd like permission to explain why and I'd like to change my vote. I think that this stage of the game when we've gone through a knock-down, drag-out on lining up an appropriation, I think it's very improper for a Conference Committee Report to come out in very derogatory terms of any one public agency. And I think... well, I've been critical of some them. I think a Conference Report shouldn't designate that type of thing and I... I want the record to clearly show that I'm voting 'present' this time. I want to change to 'aye' in support of this measure only because of the... 'present' vote was because the derogatory language of the Report on the bureau."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Schraeder as voting 'aye'. Walters 'aye'. Arnell 'aye'. Schraeder, Walters and Arnell 'aye'. Anyone else wish to get on this Roll Call? What do have, Mr. Clerk, so we can let him know where he's starting from? Representative LaFleur, there are currently 116 'ayes'. Clerk, read the affirmative Roll Call."

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson... Anderson, Arnell, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Catania, Chapman, Choate, Clabaugh, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, Day, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Duff, Ralph Dunn, R.L. Dunne, Dyer, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Giorgi, Greiman, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Ron Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Hunsicker, Jacobs, Jaffe, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Klosak, Krause, Kucharski, Lauer, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Madigan, Mahar, Mann, Matijevich, McAvoy, McClain, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin. Okay, okay. Merlo, Molloy, Mugalian, Nardulli, North, Palmer, Patrick, Peters, Pierce, Porter, Randolph, Rayson, Rose, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schraeder, Sevcik, Shea, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Ike Sims, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Wall, Richard Walsh, William Walsh, Walters, Washington, Williams, J.J. Wolf, Yourell."



Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Roscoe Cunningham 'aye'.

Roscoe Cunningham 'aye'. I'll get them. Giglio 'aye'. Gilgio 'aye'. Getty 'aye'. Okay, Representative Lundy, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lundy: "Mr. Speaker, may we know what the count is before we begin the verification?"

Speaker Telcser: "Should be 119. 119 'ayes'. It's just the affirmative. Representative LaFleur, questions of the affirmative Roll Call? Turn on his switch there."

LaFleur: "Yourell."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Yourell? Yourell on the Floor? How's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman's recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Wolf."

Speaker Telcser: "J.J. Wolf. He's sitting next to 'Bud' Washburn."

LaFleur: "Walters."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walters is here in the center aisle."

LaFleur: "VonBoeckman."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman is standing in the back by his seat."

LaFleur: "Terzich."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Terzich on the Floor? Terzich? How's he recorded? There he is, there he is. Right by the side door."

LaFleur: "Sevcik."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sevcik on the Floor? Sevcik? Is he coming out? Here he is."

LaFleur: "Rose."

Speaker Telcser: "They're all back in a Conference Committee Meeting now."

LaFleur: "Rose, Rose, Rose."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rose on the Floor? Rose? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."



LaFleur: "Rayson."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rayson on the Floor? Rayson?  
How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Patrick."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Patrick is in his seat."

LaFleur: "Jaffe."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

LaFleur: "Dan Houlihan."

Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."

LaFleur: "Jim Holloway."

Speaker Telcser: "Jim Holloway on the Floor? Representative Jim  
Holloway? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Hirschfeld."

Speaker Telcser: "Standing in the back of the chambers."

LaFleur: "Garmisa."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Garmisa on the Floor? Representative  
Garmisa? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Flinn."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Flinn on the Floor? Representative  
Flinn? How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Fennessey."

Speaker Telcser: "Garmisa has returned. Garmisa has returned. Did  
you say Fennessey? He's here."

LaFleur: "Shurtz."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shurtz on the Floor? Representative  
Shurtz? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."



LaFleur: "Day."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Day is in his seat."

LaFleur: "Calvo."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Calvo is in his seat."

LaFleur: "Boyle."

Speaker Telcser: "Kenny Boyle was just standing here. Where... in  
the back of the chambers."

LaFleur: "Beatty."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Beatty is..."

LaFleur: "Barry."

Speaker Telcser: "Who?"

LaFleur: "Barry."

Speaker Telcser: "Toby Barry. Representative Barry? How's the  
Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Merlo."

Speaker Telcser: "Merlo's in his seat."

LaFleur: "McPartlin."

Speaker Telcser: "McPartlin is standing, is sitting in Shea's seat."

LaFleur: "McGah."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McGah is in his seat."

LaFleur: "McAvoy."

Speaker Telcser: "McAvoy. Right here by the Clerk's desk."

LaFleur: "Mann."

Speaker Telcser: "Mann is in his seat."

LaFleur: "Mahar."

Speaker Telcser: "Mahar. Here he is next to John Grotberg."

LaFleur: "Madigan."

Speaker Telcser: "Madigan is sitting in the front row, Kosinski's seat."

LaFleur: "Lauer."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lauer on the Floor? He's standing in  
the back of the chambers, Democratic side."

LaFleur: "Emil Jones."

Speaker Telcser: "Emil Jones, he's in his seat. And Representative  
Jim Holloway has returned."



LaFleur: "Mugalian."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Mugalian on the Floor? Mugalian?  
How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Eugene Barnes."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Barnes is in his seat."

LaFleur: "Hart."

Speaker Telcser: "Hart, in his seat."

LaFleur: "Sangmeister."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sangmeister on the Floor? Represent-  
ative Sangmeister? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

LaFleur: "Jacobs."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jacobs on the Floor? Jacobs?  
How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call. Any further questions?  
Representative Huskey, for what purpose do you rise?"

Huskey: "How am I recorded, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Telcser: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Huskey: "Vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Telcser: "Vote him 'aye'. Any further questions, Representa-  
tive LaFleur? Grotberg 'aye'. Nothing else?"

LaFleur: "That is all."

Speaker Telcser: "What do you have, Mr. Clerk? On this question 112  
'ayes', 4 'nays', 15 answering 'present'. The House adopts.  
Conference Committee Report #2 with respect to House Bill 2868.  
On the order of Conference Committee Reports, Supplemental Calendar  
#1 appears House Bill 2347 for which purpose the Gentleman from  
Cook, Representative Getty is recognized."

Getty: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Second  
Conference Committee Report on House Bill 2347 so there is no  
misunderstanding is not in the form which I would prefer. It will



have the effect of a loss of several millions of dollars to the state in federal funds. I do, however, believe that it is certainly in the interest of the state to take what we can get and I'd like to point out that the Members on the part of the House have been in agreement on this for some time. And a... it has been between the House and the Senate. The unfortunate form in which we find this will result in a line iteming by grant which will cause a very serious administrative problem and may lead to between four and six million dollars in loss to the state. It could also lead to a very serious loss to many state agencies and loss of employees. However, I do move that the House does adopt Conference Committee Report #2 because at least it does bring in some thirty-two million dollars to the State of Illinois in federal funds to be expended in the interests of the criminal justice system."

Speaker Blair: "Is there further discussion? The question is shall the... Mr. Tim Simms."

T. Simms: "Just a question, Mr. Speaker. Are we voting on the White's Conference Committee Report or is there another, Representative? I just wondered because of there's only one House Member that has signed it."

Getty: "That's what I indicated."

T. Simms: "And it's a different color. Okay, fine."

Speaker Blair: "The question is shall the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2347 be adopted. All those in favor vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. All right, on this question there are 143 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2347 having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared adopted. Messages."

Clerk Selcke: "Messages from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate's adopted the Second Conference Committee Report to House Bill 2416. Adopted by the Senate July 12, 1974, three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate's adopted the Second Conference Committee Report to



Senate Bill 1641. Adopted by the Senate July 12, 1974, three-fifths vote. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's adopted the following Second Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1382. Adopted by the Senate July 12, 1974, three-fifths vote. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following Conference Committee Report to Senate Bill 1345. Adopted by the Senate, July 12, 1974, three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

(con't on next page)



W. Robert Blair: "Ah... 1345. Mr. Choate. Senate Bill 1345."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, whether we all agree or whether we don't, ah... I suppose that to some of us the long awaited moment has arisen. The largest portion of the State Budget has been agreed to, as far as the Conference Committee is concerned. You find out when you serve on these Conference Committees that you give and you take. I can't say that this is the ideal Conference Committee Report. I'm sure that others who were in the Conference Committee and were in debate as far as their convictions are concerned, regarding some of the ah... some and substance of this report is concerned, would agree that it is not ideal from their stand point, but I do say to you that it is the best that could be had under the circumstances. That it will be... it will allow this large Department of Transportation to continue it's operation for the fiscal year and hopefully will not only allow the department to stay abreast of the road building program in the State of Illinois, but will allow it to a great degree increase the pouring of concrete and discontinue ah... maybe, ah... to some degree the preliminary studies, the visible studies, the acquisition of right of ways, and things of this nature, but will actually get to the point of pouring concrete and put Illinois back where it rightfully belongs as the number one state of the fifty in the road building program. I want to point out for the record that one of the agreements reached might be misleading to some degree and that has to do with the flexibility of the department. It was agreed in the Conference Committee that the reallocation would be limited at the 8% level, plus the 2% transferability that is already in the statutes, which does give the department a 10% flexibility and hopefully this will allow them to meet all of the requirements that



they are charged of dispensing in this important area. I would say, Mr. Speaker, that I think that the other conferees will agree with me that we suggest and hopefully the entire Membership will join with us in adopting Conference Committee #1 to Senate Bill 1345."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah... discussion? Mr. Cunningham."

Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker and ladies and gentlemen of the House, I'm going to vote 'aye' on this terrible Bill because my lawyers have told me that there is a way that the legislature can make it's will felt. In the prior year, as in this year, we put in these freeways that mean absolutely nothing because we did not have the nerve and the muscle and the determination to make them mean something. Happily, the 1970 Constitution introduced some new factors into the budgeting realm that we need to take advantage of and those new factors are under the amendatory veto. When the Chief Executive of this state in a few weeks, predictively shows that he will pay no heed whatever to the three-way appropriations that are contained in this Conference Report, at that time, when we come back in November, we each owe a solemn responsibility to the Constitution and the framers of that magnificent instrument, to stand up and be counted and force a discontinuence of the policy of impoundment of funds that we've ordered spent. With that happy understanding, I'm going to vote 'aye'. Now I've been in communication with ah... with the man and I'm going to be enigmatic about it and not tell you what man that I'm talking about, but there is reason for hope to hold out for the people of 54th that a better day is coming in regard to highway construction. I want to publicly say to the Speaker of the House that I feel that his order for freeway construction has been properly regained and I hope that he will persist in those deeds and I want to remind each of you what I'm saying here and now, when we come back here November 12,



all as triumphant victors, that we want to vote to spend the money..... be quiet, Keller. If we do that, we will have struck a good lick for highways of the 54th District."

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.... Mr. Mann."

Mann: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question?"

W. Robert Blair: "He indicates that he will."

Mann: "Representative Choate, what proportion of this budget is for highways?"

Choate: "Well, ah... Bob, do you mean what proportion goes into the actual construction of highways or the overall .... you know, the planning, the engineering."

Mann: "The overall planning, engineering, and construction, ya."

Choate: "I haven't really broken it down, but as you well know, the ah... The Department of Aeronautics is included in here so if you'll give me a few minutes to ah.... eliminate all of these other things, I can tell you."

Mann: "Well, I'm just curious, ah... well, Mr. Speaker, ....."

Choate: "Well, you see, Bob, when it was called The Old Department of Public Works and Buildings and the Division of Highways, it would have been much easier to do. Since we have The New Department of Transportation, to be quite frank with you, I have not broken it down. I can break it down. The Division of Waterways ah.... are you listening to me, Robert?"

Mann: "Yes, I am."

Choate: "The Division of Waterways is included, as an example, The Department of Aeronautics and various sundry other itmes, I can't tell you, but if you give me an adequate amount of time, I'll break it down for you."

Mann: "Well, Representative Choate, Director Bond just indicated that it's approximately 3/4th's."



Choate: "Well, that's a good ballpark figure. I was going to say 90%, but I wouldn't... wouldn't want to..."

Mann: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I just want to say this very quickly and briefly. I am supporting this Bill. I recognize the need for highways in this state. I would just like to direct my remarks to the Governor, I don't know whether he's listening or not, but I would hope when he signs... this Bill for the Department of Transportation, which includes a billion, seven hundred and fifty million for roads and highways... he will be thinking about the chilling effect of poverty on the poor. He will be thinking about the fact that more children are born brain damaged because of their mother's malnutrition and more old folks are found dead in dreary hallway bedrooms and on park benches of malnutrition. And I would hope that he would sign the 10% cost of living increase so that we can do a little for the poor of this state. Thank you."

W. Robert Blair: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, may I ask the Sponsor... if he would yield for a question, sir?"

W. Robert Blair: "Yeah."

Geo-Karis: "Just a point for my information. I noticed that on this Conference Report, in paragraph three, that you are saying that Senate Bill 1345 be further amended as follows and etc. Does that mean that this Bill, if we passed it today, has to go back to the Senate in as much as you are amending part of the Senate Bill?"

Choate: "No, it's no different than any other Conference Committee Report. There's... hopefully the Senate will concur in the Conference Committee Report and hopefully the House will concur. When both Houses concur, then it's the finalization of the Bill."

Geo-Karis: "I'd like to speak on the Bill. Mr. Speaker and

ladies and gentlemen of the House, in as much as the northern end of Illinois has not been forgotten and is included in this Bill, I will vote 'aye' for it."

W. Robert Blair: "Mr. Katz. Mr. Katz had his light on, ah.. I don't know whether he wants to talk or not. All right, the ah.... the gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate, ah... care to close?"

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I only want to close by saying this; that I think that the legislature is showing its responsibility by confronting this large budget..... by confronting this large budget and coming up with what I think is a fair Conference Committee Report. As I said, both sides of the issue gave and took. Both sides understood the problems of this department. Both sides understood the problems of the people of the State of Illinois and we hopefully want the best road building program, we hopefully want the best Department of Transportation that exists in the fifty states and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

W. Robert Blair: "The question is shall the.... House adopt the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1345. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Ah.... Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker and fellow Members of the House, I have to vote 'no', not because I don't agree with most of this Bill, but for over a year Decatur has been waiting for the Am Trac Train. Now there is \$1,400,000 in the budget for that train and I think a similar amount last year. We still haven't had a single train pull into Decatur or pull out of Decatur. For that reason, I'm going to vote 'no', but I would like to say one thing to one of the gentlemen who just spoke; that we must consider that 62.3% of those children that are..... that are in such need and I suppose this may be so, are born illegitimately on welfare and he should be thinking



about doing something about reducing the purse on welfare instead of worrying about this Bill."

W. Robert Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The..... Mr. Craig."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I think that this Bill, and on behalf of our Minority Leader... I think that he did the best that he could with this Bill, but I want to say the Republicans, the Senate, and yes over here in the House will rule the day that they started things like they have here, this year and prolonged the time that they've taken on this Bill. They tried the same thing last year in having this thing done and when we start mapping out and line iteming what is going to be spent here, there and everywhere, I think it's a sad day when we don't leave that to The Department of Transportation to look at the overall picture because I'll promise you one thing; I've been here for twenty years and the last two years is the first time in my twenty years that I've seen anything of this nature. I don't think the Republican Party, the Democratic Party, or no other party has all the brains when it comes to building roads in Illinois, but I do think that the party of the administration that's in power should be left some leeway to build roads where they see fit and where they think they are needed as an overall picture. What you're asking here is for power plays to be starting here in this General Assembly and it's not going to be good for some. I promise you one thing, some of you... I don't know who it may hit, but you'll see the day that you'll be sorry because of the power plays. I think the people Belt Counties up there in the six belt counties, for all of you downstate people, can realize what could happen to us downstaters in downstate Illinois if they so decide that they are going to start dictating a policy of The Department of Transportation and they build all the roads and spend all the money up there and what can



we do about it? We couldn't do anything. I'm going to vote 'aye'....(machine failure for a few seconds) in this General Assembly, as long as we have to come up with this kind of a Conference Committee Report, I hope the press lets the people of Illinois know what has been done by the Republican Party, including the hand strings on the Department of Transportation and I think you'll .....

W. Robert Blair: "Ah.... have all voted who wished? The ... Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: Mr. Speaker, very short..... I'm very proud of the give and take of the ah... Conference Committee System. We had a very nice little amendment ah... you took and we gave, but that's how the ball game goes. Next year, we'll try again. It's a good system."

W. Robert Blair: "All right, the Clerk will take the record. On this question there are 148 'ayes' 2 'nays' and 1 'present' and the Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1345 having received a constitutional majority is hereby declared adopted."

(con't on next page)



Speaker Blair: "Now... which one? 1382? Yeah, he's ready to go now. Is the Concurrence on 2303 ready yet? It's not over here?"

Mr. Taylor. I can't hear you. Adjournment Message."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.

Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution. The adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Joint Resolution #84. Resolved by the Senate, the 78th General Assembly, the House of Representatives... State of Illinois, the House of Representatives concurring herein. That when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, July 12, 1974, they stand adjourned until Thursday, November 7, 1974, at twelve o'clock noon, Central Daylight Savings Time. Adopted by the Senate July 12, 1974. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Adjournment Resolution and what it says is that when the two Houses adjourn today, they will return on Thursday, November 7 at twelve o'clock noon. And I move the adoption of the Adjournment Resolutions."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the... Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Majority Leader, may I be excused that day for my discover recount? That's two days after the election. I think I'm in a close one this year."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Dee."

Dee: "I'd like to point... Mr. Speaker and fellow mushrooms, I'd like to point out that this is going to be right in the middle of our canvas, official canvas in Cook County. That will be the day of the start of the official canvas in Cook County and I also would like to ask to be excused on that day."

Speaker Blair: "Certainly, the Majority Leader will note that you are excused on that day. All those in favor of the adoption of the Gentleman's motion, say 'aye', opposed 'no'. And the Senate Joint Resolution 84 is adopted. Now, are we ready for Agreed Resolutions, Mr.... They're not? Well, I guess we're not quite ready for those yet. How about any of these Concurrences on the Senate actions yet?"

The Messages are not here yet?"

Clerk Selcke: "Could I have your attention, please, the Members. When you leave tonight, will you please take your keys, your keys to your voting switches and put them in the desk drawers. Put them in your desk drawer. We will lock the desk drawer and the key will be there when you come back in November, but be sure to leave the key. Don't take it with you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, we're down now to just about three items. There's one small problem with the General Assembly Retirement System Bill and it's going to necessitate a fast Conference Committee but they are drafting the Report on it right now, so it's just a matter of five or ten minutes. Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2798 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Amendment #3, Amendment #5. Passed the Senate as amended July 12, 1974, by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2897 together with the following Amendment and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Amendment #1, Amendment #3. Passed the Senate as amended July 12, 1974, by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1137, Blair et al. 1138, Blair et al. 1139, Blair et al. 1140, Blair et al. 1141, Blair et al. 1142, Blair et al. 1143, Blair et al. 1144, Blair et al. 1145, Blair et al. 1146, Blair et al. 1147, Blair et al. 1148, Blair et al. 1149, Blair et al. 1150, Porter. 1151, Choate et al. 1152, Choate et al. 1153, Choate et al. 1154, Choate et al. 1155, Choate et al. 1156, Choate et al. 1157, Choate et al. 1158, Choate et al. 1159, Choate et al. 1160, Choate et al. 1161,



Choate et al. 1162, Choate et al. 1163, Choate et al. And 1154, Choate et al."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these are the Agreed Resolutions and start with House Resolution 1150 and we can argue about that a little while. That says that we commend the people of the State of Illinois upon a century long history of struggle to guarantee quality of opportunity regardless of sex to each of the able, willing and skillful workers of the state. Now, Mr. Speaker, it's my pleasure to read the names of the Resolutions of honoring those people who will be retiring from the General Assembly after January and in each case, I look over the list and find that they are an outstanding group of people and this General Assembly is going to suffer greatly from their loss and I would hope that it certainly is not the last we see of them and I hope those who want to come back here, do come back. The first one is Norbert 'Doc' Springer. Robert G. Day. Peter Pappas. Herbert V. Huskey. Carl W. Soderstrom, who's been here for twenty-four years. Merle K. Anderson. James 'Pate'-Philip, who won't be far away. C.L. McCormick. Kenneth W. Miller. Charles W. Clabaugh, who is finishing his 18th term. Henry Hyde, who will be going to Congress shortly. Bill Murphy. John Alsup, who's been here for many, many years. And Judge Toby Barry, who will be joining Bob Day. Dick Carter, and may he enjoy better health in these coming years. Larry DiPrima. Bruce Douglas. Jim Holloway. Leland Kennedy. James 'Bud' Krause. Ted Lechowicz. Peggy Smith Martin. And my great friend and mentor and this won't do you any good, Joe, but Joseph P. McGah. Ike Sims. Harold Stedelin. And Robert Thompson. That concludes them, Mr. Speaker, and it might be a good idea if we gave all of these fine people a round of applause."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise?"

Houlihan: "Point of personal privilege, Mr. Speaker. As you know, I had information passed on to me through 'Ira Kolus's floor leader, Mark O'Brien' that there was some... must have been some injustice done in Toby McDaniel's article indicating that



Bob Thompson had had some tickets. Bob, we know that can't possibly be true, but Ira, in his fashion, suggested that what we do is twist everybody's arm so that we pass the hat so that you'd be able to pay those tickets and here's the hat, Bob, and we're all set."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, through an oversight there was one of our fine Members who was overlooked in this list and that's our good friend, Mack Shurtz, who has been with us all too little time and we hope, certainly, will be back. Let's give Mack a hand."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walsh."

W. Walsh: "And one other, this fellow keeps popping back from time to time and that's my second from here seat mate, Ed Jenison."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Thompson."

Thompson: "I want to thank, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I want to thank Mr. Houlihan for the hat which I'll keep and the contents. And the ticket after using a Yellow Cab several days in front of the St. Nicholas Hotel, we parked a car to go in and put the baggage in the car and the Yellow Cab called the police and gave me a ticket. So, I won't use a Yellow Cab in Springfield anymore. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now this is the last time around, Senate Bill 1382, Capital Development Board. All right, let's read the Message here on this 2303."

Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate's concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title, House Bill 2303 together with the following Amendments and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Passed the Senate as amended July 12, 1974, by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Houlihan's 1382. Senate Bill 1382. More Messages? Read those Messages."

Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate has adopted the following Second Conference Committee to House Bill 2868. Adopted by the Senate July 12, 1974, three-fifths vote."



Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House the Senate adopted the following Second Conference Committee, House Bill 2347. Adopted by the Senate July 12, 1974, by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House that the Senate's concurred with the House in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 2799. Passed the Senate July 12, 1974, by three-fifths vote. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Speaker Blair: "All right, 1382. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

I move that we do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report on Senate Bill 1382. This Conference Committee Report has been signed by all of the Conferees. This is the appropriation for the operating costs of the Capital Development Board and I move that we do adopt."

Speaker Blair: "Is there discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1382. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Clerk will take the record. All right, on this question... Schoeberlein 'aye'. On this question there are 130 'ayes', no 'nays' and Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 1382 having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared adopted. Now, Mr. Taylor? What? Take Mr. Taylor a fresh copy of 2303 as it came in. According to our count, we just have 2303 and Mr. Taylor's 2405. Mr. Taylor, go."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, thank you for your kind treatment towards me in the past few days. I know that I've been pretty strong here about a subject matter that is very important to the two party system. But now that we have come through the final acts of the day and we have a Bill, 2405, and on the Second Conference Committee Report, I'm going to move that we do adopt the Second Conference Committee Report. Now in the Amendment #1, the only thing that is there that is the retirement fund which is about thirty-nine thousand dollars. The Senate receded from Amendment #2 which was the Attorney General. And Amendment #3 is a housekeeping Amendment. Therefore, Mr. Speaker and Members



of the House, I move the adoption of the Conference Com... Second Conference Committee Report to 2405."

Speaker Blair: "All right, is there discussion? The question is shall the House adopt the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to Senate Bill 2405. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. I'm sorry, House Bill 2405. Have all voted who wished? It is an appropriation for financial institutions and requires 107 to become effective. Have all voted who wished? The Clerk will take the record. On this question 122 'ayes', 1 'nay' and the Second Conference Committee Report with respect to House Bill 2405 having received the Constitutional majority is hereby declared adopted. All right, on the Supplemental Calendar #3 or #1... well, we can do 2303, can't we? Mr. Washburn, with respect to House Bill 2303 on Concurrence on Supplemental #1. Mr. Washburn. 2303, now we're on Concurrence. How many Amendments are there? Senate Amendments 1 through 10, right? The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. You pointed out that this isn't a Conference Committee Report. It's a Concurrence motion to concur in Senate Amendments 1 through 10 to House Bill 2303 which is the Omnibus Commission Bill. To the best of my knowledge, no."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Stone. Is that Mr. Lechowicz?"

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Gentleman would yield for a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Indicates he will."

Washburn: "I'll do my best to answer on any of the ten Amendments."

Stone: "Representative Washburn, several times in the past two or three days, we have killed an Amendment and we have killed Bills that have had an Amendment on it appropriating money to three gentleman who work for the State of Illinois. The three people that were involved in the law suit by Father Donahue. Is that Amendment on this Bill?"

Washburn: "Well, Representative Stone, Amendment #10 was just passed by the Senate a few minutes ago and was handed to me and it includes five items and the fifth item is an appropriation of seven thousand,



six hundred and fifty-four dollars and sixty-eight cents for certain employees of the Department of Mental Health. Yes, sir."

Stone: "Thank you very much. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I just want to point out to you that this Amendment is still on this Bill. Now, I'm sure that you're going to hear from various people that this is the last Bill that can... the last vehicle that can carry some of the necessary appropriations to operate certain agencies of the State of Illinois. Now, I am informed that the Senate has gone home. I think that the time comes sometimes when we have to be honest with ourselves and with the people of the State of Illinois and if the Senate has adjourned and put this Amendment on, I think that this House has nothing else they can do except to defeat this Bill. Then, there must be something that can be done to keep people that are undeserving as these three people are from being paid money by the State of Illinois. I think it's a disgrace to this Body if we pass this Bill under any circumstances. The Senate is responsible. If they have adjourned and this will lie on their consciences, not ours. And I certainly hope that we do what we must do and that is to be honest with ourselves and with all of the people of the State of Illinois and defeat this Amendment one more time."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've only served in this General Assembly four years and I know we've had our problems with the Senate from time to time and this may be the last time between now and November, I will agree with the distinguished Gentleman from Moultrie; but I would have to say to you in all sincerity that I think this is the sleaziest trick I have ever seen pulled on the House of Representatives and the General Assembly and on the people of the State of Illinois. Now, the Senate well knew what it was doing because we were telling them that the only thing they could try and do was to tack it on this Bill. And I think it's a shame that they pick the last possible Bill to put this Amendment on. These three gentlemen were ordered to pay fines. And can you imagine the precedent that we're going to set in the State of Illinois if some of our employees are



ordered to pay fines because they have maligned somebody or fired somebody with malice, mind you. They are ordered to pay punitive damages and the State of Illinois is then asked to come in and bail them out. I think it's disgraceful. We passed an ethics Bill. Representative Collins and others fought long and hard hours and I think that the House deserves most of the credit of that ethics Bill, but what kind of ethics is it if we'll stand up and vote for this type of a sham. Now, I would tell you honestly, I know we're all tired and nobody wants to come back for any type of Special Session. But I have no intention of voting for this Bill under any circumstances. I would be willing to come back tomorrow and I wish the Senate would do likewise or next week or any other time to vote this appropriation Bill through in the proper format, but I will not... I will not vote for this Bill with this Amendment on it and I do not know how anyone can go back and face their constituents and tell them that employees of the State of Illinois have maligned somebody maliciously and then we went and bailed them out in the dying moments of the General Assembly by passing a special Bill with one or two or three persons in mind only at the expense of the rest of the millions of people in this state. I think it's disgraceful. I think it's immoral. I think it's unethical. And I'll tell you this, I think the Senate should be shamed for the action they've taken today. I don't know whether this is a pet project of the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee of the Senate or not, but when I went over to the Senate, I was told I should not stand up and oppose this Bill because if I made it back next year, there would be a time when I had to carry a Bill before the Appropriations Committee in the Senate. And I'll tell you this, every one of my Bills can go down the tube next Session if I make it back in front of Mr. McBroom's Committee before I'll vote for this Bill. I consider that kind of comment blackmail of the worst sort and I will not kowtow to the Senate and I hope the House of Representatives will stand up and once and for all, show that we are the preeminent Body in this General Assembly and beat this appropriation with this unbelievably bad Amendment on it."



Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, I wonder if the Sponsor would yield to a question?"

Speaker Blair: "Says he will."

Schraeder: "I had an Amendment and I think it's on here, but I'm not sure. Is there an appropriation of fifty thousand dollars for Peoria State?"

Washburn: "Peoria Land Use Study."

Schraeder: "All right, thank you."

Washburn: "No, no... wait a minute till I get the total. I'm not sure it's..."

Schraeder: "If it's in there, okay. I just want to... I want to speak to the..."

Washburn: "Well, it's here, but I don't have the money."

Schraeder: "That's enough. Mr. Chairman, I've fought all year for this fifty thousand dollars. It's been on five different Bills that I know of at the request of the Democratic Leadership, the Republican Leadership and I have to say that everybody in the Appropriations Committee has been extremely helpful on this. They've given me every support, every inch of the way and even in the face of losing that fifty thousand dollars for a purpose that I think is absolutely essential, I think this is a derogatory act the Senate has pulled on the House and I'll come back next week if we have to, but I will not vote for an Amendment which we've defeated three or four times already. I think this is a shame, a shame on the Senate and I would think that somewhere along the line, the House has got to stand up and I would say on this occasion if we've ever voted in unity, that board ought to be all red and I would so hope everybody would."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Chairman, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I agree wholeheartedly with the three previous speakers. I think it's a shame that the Senate pulled what they did and then go home and let us out, hanging on a limb and I voted against this particular appropriation about four or five times this Session and I intend to vote against this Bill this time if it kills



all the appropriations that are on it. I think we have a moral obligation to the people of Illinois and I certainly can't see voting for this kind of an appropriation and my vote is going to be 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Well, I certainly regret the action of the Senate, but I'd like to ask the Sponsor a question about this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Go ahead."

Hart: "Mr. Washburn, I think before I vote on this and I certainly concur in remarks that have been made by my fellow colleagues in the House, but could you explain item by item what's in this Bill and so we'll know whether... if we don't pass, we're shutting down for at least the time being."

Washburn: "Well, yes, Representative Hart, I could go through item by item if you care to, but let me point out that this Bill is the Omnibus Commission Bill, contains the appropriation for about thirty Commissions and it also contains the money for the hundred dollar pay raise for state employees amongst other things. And let me point out, too, the other human relations and others that... let me point out, too, not only does it contain the money for important Commissions and for important Bills that have been passed by this Legislature, but that the Governor still has the power to veto out items which, I suspect, might happen to certain items that we're most concerned with if we pass this Bill and I hope we pass it for the sake of all the Commission and state employees and everybody involved that deserves to have the Bill passed."

Hart: "Is there any money in this to implement the Animal Control Act that I have been trying to get for some of our counties?"

Washburn: "Was that contained in Representative Shea's Bill?"

Hart: "Representative Shea's Bill is dead."

Washburn: "No, I'm advised that if it's here, we can't find it in the few minutes we've had to look over these Amendments."

Hart: "Well, I don't want to have advise us about the Commissions. I didn't ask you to do that, but as I understand it, there were ten Senate Amendments and could you highlight at least for us, if

we don't pass this Bill, what the Amendments would do that we are going to defeat."

Washburn: "Well, all right, if we don't pass the Bill... if we don't pass the Bill, the following agencies, I assume, will be out of business. The Status of Women, Judicial Advisory Council, Illinois Recreational Council, State's Attorney Study, State Property Insurance Study, Data Information, Local Government Tax Study, Model School for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Illinois-Mississippi Canal, Illinois Government Information Service which has been depleted, Labor Laws Commission, Sudden Infants Death Syndrome Study, Pension Laws reappropriation, Transportation Study Commission for the Fox Valley Expressway, Space Needs Commission, including a portrait of Senate President Harris amongst other things, Township Study Laws Commission, Insurance Laws Study Commission, Peoria Land Use Study that Representative Schraeder was interested in, Commission on Human Relations, to name a few."

Hart: "Well..."

Washburn: "...appropriations for all... and I might add that the forty-nine million dollars, the appropriation for the hundred dollar a month pay raise for state employees also is contained in here among other things."

Hart: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know we're all tired and we're all resentful of the action of the Senate, but I guess as Representative Choate said when we passed the Conference Committee Report on D.O.T. Bill, you get a little and you give a little and you take a little and I think we ought to pass this Bill as reluctant as I am to vote for it and at least show that there's some semblance of responsibility left in the General Assembly on this side of rotunda. And leave up to the Governor to veto...(microphone turned off)... and leave it up to the Governor to veto that obnoxious item out of there."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the most outrageous affront I have ever seen, one House playing on the other. This matter has been before us on three different occasions in different Bills and has been defeated roundly."



Now, the Senate has had this Bill, the Omnibus Commission Bill since June 18. It's a Bill that is pretty automatic. It passes without any difficulty at all normally and they have held this Bill to this precise time to pass it with this obnoxious Amendment on it and send it over to us and then adjourn. That is just simply not playing fair and I would urge, Mr. Speaker, that each and every one of us contact the Governor with a letter and ask him to line item veto this matter. Now, one other... if you care to vote for it all. I'm not urging you to vote for it. It is very serious, however, if you do not. If you do not vote for it, then there's no question in my mind that we will have to come back here in a week or two weeks and pass this Bill and this Bill alone. Now if we do that, it would be, in fact, tremendous responsibility because the cost of bringing this General Assembly in is... for a few days is, as you know, astronomical. So, that really doesn't seem to be an option. It seems that for those of you who are inclined to support the Commissions and there's no question about it, they are of value and the one hundred dollar per month pay raise for state employees is certainly urgent; but there is just absolutely no excuse for the action that the Senate took in this matter. It was a totally irresponsible thing that cannot be forgiven. And I would blame nobody, nobody for voting against the Bill just on the basis of this action. Now, one other thing. I talked with Wes Jones, who is, most of you know, is a former Member and has... is an administrative assistant to the Comptroller, George Lindberg. Wes found that when this Amendment was attached to a Bill that Representative Shea had yesterday that affected the Comptroller's office, that it was his opinion that it was not germane to the Conference Committee Report at that time. I would hope that Wes and the Comptroller's Office would find that it was not germane and would hold up the funds to pay these people this time. I certainly can't guarantee that, but I know Wes well enough to know that he would make it very miserable on these three or four people who are trying to collect this money and have gotten by this devious means provided, provided that we do not get our message across to the Governor and he indeed does



sign the Bill intact. But, I see no alternatives to passing the Bill since it's got to pass anyway and the cost is considerably less than it would be to bring this General Assembly back. I just would hope that the Governor would see this terrible sham and would line item veto, as I said, and if he did not do that, then I would hope that the Comptroller would enter the act and deny these people this ill-gotten money."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kempiners."

Kempiners: "Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question."

Speaker Blair: "All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. Previous question's been moved. You can explain your vote. The Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Certainly, I can't argue with anybody that's spoken on this Bill other than the fact that I think to be responsible, we should vote 'yea'. It contains items that each and every one of us are interested in and that our constituents are interested in as well. I don't know just what the total dollar amount on the entire Bill is right now, but there's only seven thousand dollars in here that we question and certainly, I think that most of us will follow the Majority Leader's suggestion and point this fact out to those that will have final control over the Bill, the final action on it. So, I ask humbly for your 'aye' vote."

Speaker Blair: "Well now, he was closing. Are we parliamentary inquiries or personal... All right, Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Mr. Speaker, it has been mentioned by some of the speakers that maybe we could convince the Governor to do a line item veto. Now, my question to you, Mr. Speaker, is that if there is a veto procedure on this Bill, does that not prevent any of the money from being spent and, thus, there would be no reason to pass the Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "I, you know, I can't... that's not a matter that's really before the Chair. It's a legal question."

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, it's been a basis for the Majority Leader's argument to pass the Bill and I think it is vital that we know what the legal procedure would be."



Speaker Blair: "Well, I... I... my hearing on what the Majority Leader said was that he wasn't advocating that you support the Bill. He was just pointing out the consequences that showed if it failed to pass."

Juckett: "In other words then, he was advocating that the Bill be defeated."

Speaker Blair: "Well, you'll have to direct that question to him. I know what I thought I heard him say and..."

Juckett: "Okay, thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "As far as the consequences of action, those things are all moot because I have no idea knowing what's in the Governor's mind when this Bill as it gets out of here, would go down to his desk as to what he may or may not do with it, so I can't really comment on that from the Chair. Question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 through 10 to House Bill 2303. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. All right, Mr... Now who? Mr. Ewell."

Ewell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I think, again, the House is confronted with an act of either courage or cowardice. We can go along with the Senate as we have gone along with them in the past. We can deal with the Senate from a position of kneeling. This, we have done in the past. This seems to be our practice, but it is not my desire to continue so in the future. I think what you're really asking is whether we are men enough to deal with the Body in a fashion that will make us one day equal and free and all I can say is, free at last, free at last and I hope we defeat this Amendment soundly. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Speaker, fellow Members of the House, I want to remind you, I know that this event and affair is not equal to those in some cases in the past, but once upon a time, it was said in our Congress of the United States, 'Not once...', let me get that straight in my mind. 'Millions for defense and not one cent for tribute.' Now, this isn't a war in a sense, but there is principle involved here. I hate to cost the State of Illinois and the people I represent, the money it will cost if we have to



come back, but it's a matter of honor of this House and I'd rather spend the money and see that justice and right prevails than to give in through blackmail in this sense. So, I hope we vote 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I... if the Sponsor would allow me, I would like to ask him one question. I was listening to his remarks, but I... Did you say that included in this Bill is the pay raise for state employees in addition to all of these other matters?"

Washburn: "Yes, I did, Clyde. It is in Amendment #6, I believe that's forty-nine million."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there's no one on the Floor of this House that has been more vocal and the tactics that are being displayed here today between the Senate and the House than I have in past times. I am, today, and hopefully shall be in the future if these type of tactics exist. Now, as to what the Governor might or might not do, I don't know whether he will line item veto. I haven't talked to him about it. I don't know whether he will not. I don't know whether the Comptroller as the Majority Leader suggested, can find it not germane and refuse payment or not. Hopefully, one of the two things can happen. But I'm saying to you that regardless of the activity of the Senate and how much it is resented on the Floor of this House, that there's an awfully lot of people having something at stake here. I haven't read it, but I certainly take Representative Washburn's word for it that the pay raise for the state employees are included in this Bill. I haven't read it, but I take his word for it that these various other departmental and Commissions are included in this Bill. And I concur with what my colleague, Representative Hart, said. Maybe in this instance, the Senate thinks they are holding people as hostage as far as the House is concerned. Maybe they think that they have run something over this House of Representatives. Maybe they will be due for a rude awakening in future Sessions. But I would feel less than honest with myself if simply because of your rational actions



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

and activities on behalf of the Senate, I said 'no' as far as an increase is concerned with these state employees. If I said 'no' to all of the things that are affected by most of these very worthwhile Commissions. If I said 'no' to the various other agencies that are affected by this Bill. The sum total of this Bill is a huge amount of money. I don't know the background and I don't know the wherewithall as far as this one Amendment that is being discussed is concerned. I have not indulged myself in the study of that to truly make up my mind, but I know that it's had fair hearing. I know that it's been refuted, but at the same time, can we lose sight of the benefits of the overwhelming majority of this great amount of money and that's why I'm voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, yesterday I made a Fraudian slip of the tongue and addressed the Speaker as Mr. Keeper and fellow members of the Zoo. If we pass this Bill, then apparently my slip was not Fraudian, it was true. In my district, there are approximately three thousand state employees. Everyone of these is wildly anxious for the hundred dollar pay raise. They have banged my ear like you wouldn't believe for this. I agree that maybe this might be the proper... the proper place for that hundred dollar pay increase, but Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if we accede to the Senate's demand, we are prostitutes of the most hideous sort. We're not even honest prostitutes. We're the cheap sluts that give it away. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that it is time that we recognize that the Members of the House of Representatives are closer to the people than anybody else. That is, we run every two years. The noble Senate runs only every four years and the Governor may have one term, he may have two. He may have no ambitions beyond being Governor for one term, but we are the people's representatives and, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, there is no way in 'hell' that the people are not looking to us to do the honorable thing. Even if we have to be in a Special Session here, day after tomorrow or next week or two weeks from



now, these Commissions won't go into action until approximately August. So be it. We'll come back and we'll address ourselves to one Bill, but the House has spoken three different times on this specific question. It has been attached as an Amendment to other worthy Bills and to me, okay, so be it. We're going to have to send a good Bill down because of one lousy Amendment but it seems to me, Ladies and Gentlemen, that we need to redeem our honor, to stand on our feet as the people's representatives and to do that which we must do and defeat this Bill."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Stone."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, I would just like to explain my vote by telling you one thing. I have been informed on what I believe to be a very reliable source that if this Bill goes to the Governor's desk, he will sign it with this item in there. So, forget about whether we can write to the Governor and get him not to sign it. I'm sure that he has promised he will sign it and if he promised, I'm sure that he will. So, make up your mind. If you want this in, vote 'yes' and if you don't, then leave the board just like it is."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Mr. Speaker, can I ask a point of parliamentary inquiry, please? Mr. Speaker, is it possible for us to... is it possible for us to divide this question and to accept the nine Amendments that are not heinous type and not accept the Amendment that deals with these gentlemen and then we don't have to come back in Session, just have the Senate come back and force them to recede from that Amendment?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, let me just say this. That we have been following the procedure that we announced when we had that meeting with the Rules Committee as required and we said we would not accept a divided motion with respect to the Amendment. We... I'm saying that that's been the custom that we've followed. Now, that's not to say that we cannot depart from that custom, but I think you have to consider the consequences of where you go from there. In the first place if we were to adopt 1 through 9 and nonconcur on 10, Senate adjourned already until November the 7th.



We'd have to reconsider our adjournment and pass another Adjournment Resolution over here and send it over to the Senate. And of course, the Senate's not there to receive it now, so... so, those are some of the things we have to think about as we go along here, Mr. Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I will leave that up to your good judgement because certainly the blame does not rest on the Leadership of the House, but on the Leadership of the Senate. And to be quite honest with you, it would not upset me to see Senator Harris have to call the Senate back into Session for their action. Now, if we choose not to go that way, let me say this. This is one of the few times this Session, I think I disagree with the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader, but the very fact that the state pay raises are in there is the reason the Senate tacked the Amendment on this... (microphone turned off)... they felt and I think they felt probably fairly confidently that this House would not have the backbone to stand up and oppose this Bill and perhaps put ourselves in a bad light with the many, many state employees, many of whom are in my district and in the districts of the other Representatives and take the blame for defeating this Bill at this time. But I don't think that anybody in this House or anybody in the press corps or, frankly, anybody in the Senate's going to put the blame on the House. It's not our fault. How many times do we have to express ourselves to those people across the rotunda to make the House of Lords realize that we just are not going to accept this kind of trash from that side of the rotunda. I know they consider themselves the superior House. They've always considered themselves a superior House, but frankly, if I had the opportunity to serve over there, I would not serve. This is no comparison between the two bodies, either in talent or integrity as I now believe. I would say this to you. I am willing to come back and I think we should come back if necessary and I would like to sum up by quoting who I thought was my favorite sports writer of all time and that was Grantlin Rice when he said, 'When the one great score comes to put a mark behind your name, he's not going to put down whether you won or lost, but how you

GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

played the game.' And all I'm asking my fellow Members of this House of Representatives is to play the game the way we were elected to play it and I believe that's on an ethical basis and I think we should defeat this Bill even though I appreciate the comments of the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Londrigan."

Londrigan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, as we all know, we are again faced with the nerve, the guts, the gall, the stupidity of the Senate, but because the Senate is irresponsible, because they make these actions, does that give us any right to be just as irresponsible? Because they want to muddy up the water, are we to jump in the mud puddle with them? This is the time that calls for responsibility. This is the the fifty-eight million dollar Bill as opposed to seven thousand dollars. Now, how are you going to justify this to the people of the State of Illinois that because the Senate put over a lousy, measly, sneaky seven thousand dollars, that you're going to jeopardize fifty-eight million dollars. You can't justify that to the people. You have held out to the state employees, for an example, that they're going to get a raise. Are you going to provide a cruel hoax and say in an emotional fit of pique that oh, no, they can't do this to us. They're not doing it to us. We're doing it to the people. We're representing the people here and not our own literal selves. So, let's put aside our self emotions, less as Senator Dirksen said, 'Let's reason together.' Fifty-eight million as against seven thousand. Who is going to explain that to the people back in their districts, to your employees? You can't do it. You're killing all of these Commissions now. Now, because they have us in a box is no time to get over emotional. Let's do what is reasonable and responsible and let's not jump in the mud puddle with them and let's get this vote on the board and over with."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Dyer."

Dyer: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know we're all anxious to get home. We are tired and tempers are flaring. We've had this happen to us; this same trick has been played on us by the Senate every term since I've been down here. I've



served on three terms and they always do this to us. I don't know why it should come as a surprise, but I would like to just make a plea for sanity and maturity along the lines that Representative Londrigan was saying. There are avenues of redress if we vote yes on this Bill. There is the Governor's amendatory veto. There is the Comptroller and no one has mentioned the most powerful one of all, the press. The press is sitting right here. They have observed the whole thing. They know who is being childish in this dispute. It's just possible that the press could create enough resentment by the people of Illinois that we might end up with a unicameral legislation. Who knows? We're talking about fifty-eight million dollars and we're squabbling over seven thousand. I do not think we should sink to the childish level that the Body across the rotunda has sunk. The people sent us down here to vote responsibly and not to play ping-pong across the rotunda. I say, let's go on and vote 'yes' and go home and let the Senate suffer the consequences from the people back home when they read about this in the newspapers. I'm putting on a green light."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Katz."

Katz: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the thing about this debate that's been extraordinary is that everybody who's voting green is right and everybody who's voting red is right. Everybody is really right and I would like to suggest a possible way of dealing with this problem. There is not really an answer to it in terms of simply voting green because many of us resent this and the business of simply sitting down and writing a letter to the Governor expressing our individual views does not really set forth the sense of this House and I'm wondering, Mr. Speaker, and it is a point of parliamentary inquiry, if we could adopt a House Resolution that sets forth our opposition to what has been done here in which we may vote on our opposition in the course of which, I do have some language but it would first be the question as to whether it might be done orally with appropriate waiver of rules and then after we have voted opposition and urged the Governor to veto it, then maybe we can solve the



problem then by not penalizing all the state employees and all of the innocent agencies that are involved here. Then maybe we can conscientiously vote for the Bill if we have stated our views in an actual recorded vote here that will appear in the Journal of the House. And so, my inquiry is whether I might propose or whether the Chair would entertain a House Resolution that would be directed to setting forth the sense of the House on this matter that we might vote on before we have cast our vote on House Bill 2303."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, we're all anxious to get home. We're all anxious to wrap up our business, but I remember and all the first term Members may remember this although we've just been here one term, this is the second time that the Senate has tried to pull something on us and the last time if there's anyone on that side of the aisle or this side who's sick and tired of hearing about R.T.A. we could've cured all that last year except when we had thirty-five or forty Amendments, we were told we can't amend that Bill cause the Senate, the Senate won't come back on Saturday. If that Senate had come back on Saturday, we might have had a different referendum on that subject. We might not have taken up hour upon hour of the time of the House on R.T.A. of which we're all sick and tired. This is another instance. If we cave into the Senate, it will become more habit forming to them, more suggestive to them that they can do it again and again and more often than if we stand up. And I say to those of you who plea that the state employees can't last another week or two without a pay raise, we're not getting a pay raise until next year, they can wait a week or two. We don't have to surrender to the Senate as we did last fall on R.T.A. and in the same way we'll be doing time and time again unless finally we get the backbone and the guts to stand up and say we're willing to come back in a week for a Special Session. We're willing to stand fast for something that's right and a matter of principle and I urge a 'no' vote on this."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Barry."



Barry: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I didn't know until the Amendments finally hit my desk that my four little guys with fifteen hundred dollars are included in this Bill. I stand before you to tell you and swear to you that I had no part in this, didn't want to have any part in this and therefore, suggest a 'present' vote on this Bill at this time."

Speaker Blair: "Mrs. Geo-Karis."

Geo-Karis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to explain my vote, I figured it out and it's far more expensive if we have to come back for another Session and the people are tired of us coming back and not finishing our business. I am in complete sympathy with the suggestion of a line item veto to destroy the particular section that was added on surreptitiously to the Bill. I'm in complete sympathy with the prior speakers who are oppsing this, but I am also in complete sympathy with the taxpayers of Illinois who will have to pay us far more money in order to eliminate seventy-five hundred dollars from the Bill. Therefore, much as I am in complete sympathy, I am hoping that the Governor will line item the Bill and I'm hoping also that we have a Resolution passed on this Floor urging him to line item the Bill and therefore, I will vote 'yes'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think we're dealing with more than just seven thousand dollars. We're dealing with a principle, not just on whether these individuals should get paid, but whether we should be pushed around time and time again by the Senate. Many of our very friendly Senators are here watching our dilemma and I think they're taking pleasure in the position we're put. And I'd also mention we have to deal with this on its merits. We ought not to suggest that we're going to request the Governor do this or that and deal with it in the hopes that he will do something. We've talked many times this Session about taking care of business and making the Legislature strong and a dependable and a responsible Body. And I think if we're going to be responsible, we're going to have to vote on this Bill on its merits and we're going to have to give it a red



light."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kriegsman."

Kriegsman: "Mr. Speaker, I'm amazed that any lawyer would say that it's only seventy-five hundred dollars. Look at the precedent that you're setting here and it can go way into the millions. There's lots of people in this same fix."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Davis."

Davis: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't like to stand up here and say I told you so. I told you so, but I have said so many, many times and I said it at the beginning of this Session and I've said it at the beginning of almost every Session. That the Senate Committee Chairmen with their pocket full of vetoes in their... in their... in their pockets was... their action was the most democratic action, undemocratic action I've ever seen. And this didn't just start. It's been going on for a long, long time. Of course, it's the wrong ox that's been going, now I don't mind telling you and I'm glad to see it come to a head. Because maybe you are going to do something about it, maybe you're going to say to the Senate that if you don't take those proxies out of your pockets and give the House Bills a fair shake when they come over there, we're not going to pass the Senate Bills. But you see, here's what'll happen now. You'll hurt a lot of people, but you won't hurt the Senate. You see what I mean? You'll hurt a lot of people if you don't pass this Bill, but you will not hurt the Senate. The thing that you want to do if you want to bring the Senate to their senses, is to stop them from using those proxies to, to defeat every Bill that they don't like, that they... we pass Bill after Bill and send it over there and they defeat them and send them down the drain. I've warned you and warned you and warned you. Now, to this House, let me quote a line or two from Shakespeare. 'To him that doeth good, having the unlimited power to do evil, deserves praise, not only for the good which he does, but for the evil that he forbades.' This is an evil that has been heaped upon you. I'm sorry, but I've had it heaped upon me so many, many times and when I say me, I've had it heaped up on the minority



people that I represented so many, many times. You know, I'm surprised when they don't do something like this to me. But you have the unlimited power to be as evil as they are. Do you hear me? Who will you hurt? You will hurt the people and how will you hurt them? You'll hurt them because we'll have to come back here and who'll pay the bills when we come back here? The people will pay the bills. You can do it a different way. You can pass this Resolution that has been suggested by our colleague over there and you can come back here and declare war on the proxy set-up in the Senate which denies a fair hearing to House Bills when they come over there because you sit in one of those Committees over there and you look and you say, 'Well I got my Bill, it's going to pass down! And you think it's going to pass and you count noses and you have your witnesses and you say, 'I got a good chance! And they say just a minute. I know you're Bill is seven to two here, but let me count these proxies. And one of those Chairmen will go in his pockets and when you get through, your Bill will be beaten eight to seven. This is what you're going to have to do. You're going to have to do something to them about those proxies over there. You're going to have to make your voices felt during the Session, do you hear? During the Session, do something to them about those proxies over there and you will be heard. And you will be heard and they'll stop this sort of thing, but the trouble is, we've never done anything. Now, we're going to do something to the people. Do you hear me? We're going to make them pay for the Senate's mistake over there and I do not agree with this sort of philosophy. Make the Senate pay for their own mistakes by fighting a war on the proxies and you'll get some democratic action in these two Bodies. Now, I'm going to vote 'aye'. Human Relations is in there. We got in a quarrel about G.O.H.R. and all those other things. Human Relations is in there. The Advisory Committee on Public Aid is... so many things is in there and if we have to come back here, the people's going to have to pay for a Special Session and you... who will you hurt? You'll be hurting the people who are sick and tired of paying heavy taxes now."



Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hudson."

Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, it seems to me the most apt description of what has taken place here this afternoon is blackmail. On behalf of the Senate, it is pure, it is the stink, it is refined, it is calculated, it is intentional, and there's only one thing I know about blackmail and that is whenever it's exercised, there are innocent people involved and innocent people hurt that none of us want to see hurt. Also, there's one thing I know that when it comes to blackmail and I hope that some of you would agree with me, there is only one way to deal with it and that is to put your foot on it and to stamp it out and to stamp on it right there. Because if it is allowed to persist, it will come back to haunt us in the future in other forms and in, perhaps, worse ways. This is the principle we're dealing with. It's a decision that we have to face and it's a hard one. I don't like to vote a vote here that means hardship on our state employees, that means a shut-off of the funds for various Commissions. I'm on a Commission, but this is the way I feel about it. This is the way I see my duty in this case and it's a hard one. It's one of those votes that I don't like personally to make, but one that I feel I must make. And I felt that I owed you and my colleagues this explanation, at least, of my vote because it hurts me personally to vote this way."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Washington."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I've been here ten years and every Session about this time in the last wanning hours of the Session, we get up here and seem to get our jollies off against the Senate because they mistreat us. And I submit to you that is all our fault because when the opportunity comes to strike back, we simply don't do anything. Permit me to use the good example of the Governor's Office of Human Resources. You passed that Bill out of here with 114 votes. I came back and described to you what the Senate had done in terms of making it impossible for you to be involved in the ultimate decision making of whether or not that agency would prevail. I tried to put that



Amendment on several Bills, but for some strange happenstance of coincidence which amazes, I always got an adverse ruling from whoever was in the Speaker's rostrum. I haven't really figured that out for public consumption. I figured it out for my own private recesses of my mind, but for every time I attempted to put that Amendment on, I got an adverse ruling and this is where you fell down. You simply didn't override the Chair and you should have done so ruthlessly, not so much that you cared about G.O.H.R. but you simply weren't going to take that kind of trap from the Senate and you passed the opportunity there. And know you're screaming about they misuse us and abuse us and I say you're wasting your time. You're simply wasting your time. You've got to handle this on a day to day basis. You've got to make it clear to the Leadership in this House, in this House that there's certain issues bigger than the Leadership. And that they shouldn't be playing footsy with those issues when the integrity of this House vis-a-vis the Senate is involved. And you say along that every time I've been here because of some old institutional tradition about the sanctity of the Speaker. That is no sanctity there. The sanctity is here, out here in the back benches, in the rule books and in your constituency. And you sacrifice it every time for some brow beaten, whatever goes on up there at the Speaker's rostrum. Who knows what happened during the whole course of that thing. We had the votes to pass G.O.H.R. out of here but we were constantly finagled into a position where the only way we could fight it was to appeal from the decision of the Chair. And if that's a coincidence, I'm a 'damn' fool. You know what happened and you let it happen and now you're screaming about the Senate. Well, it's too late. I'm going to vote for this thing. I'm going to vote for it. I'm going to vote for it simply because it has a hundred dollar cost of living increase in there and I'm not going to see that go down the drain. I'm not going to vote for it with any trepidation or any fear. I've done the best I could to bring this issue to you and you failed in it and it's just too late. You got to vote this Bill out and let's get with it."



Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Day."

Day: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I, too, agree with those who say that there is a real principle involved here and as I look at the Digest on this Bill, I notice that this Bill went out of the House and went to the Senate on June the 18th. Now, what took them so long to get this Bill acted on over there and amended and get it back to the House? I don't know, but I think that the record, as indicated from the Digest, indicates that this was a planned, last-minute type of operation. And what I think we should do in this situation is to adopt all of the Amendments except Amendment #10. We will be on record by doing that as savoring the pay raises and all of the other matters involved in the Senate's Amendments except those in Amendment #10 which really aren't too important with the exception of the reimbursement for the Juvenile Court Act. The rest of them are fairly small amounts and in that way, we will be establishing a record that we are in favor of those parts of the Bill that the House is in favor of and at the same time, we will be indicating that we are opposed to the matters involved in Amendment #10. I think that we should then reconsider the Adjournment Resolution and return the Bill to the Senate for their action. By the action that has been taken on this, we have been deprived of the right to a Conference Committee on matters that are in dispute between the two Houses simply because the Senate has held this Bill since June the 18th before sending over this batch of Amendments. And I think we should reconsider the Adjournment Resolution and rely on the constitutional provisions that provide that neither House shall adjourn for more than three days without the consent of the other."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Kennedy."

Kennedy: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what you're doing here today is prolonging a Session that's gone entirely too long and by vindictive, you're not improving your image. Now, I think the two Gentlemen from the 52nd District who started this tirade about an hour ago and I'm sure they're sincere and honorable in their opposition, if they would agree



to change their vote from red to green, swallow their pride, would go a long way to restoring confidence in government. Now, you're not going to surrender to the Senate. Life isn't fair anyway. It's not supposed to be fair. It's supposed to be practical and the practical thing to do tonight would to be to vote green. And I wish that you Gentlemen from the 52nd District would change their vote and let's get the dickens out of here. I'm going to vote green."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Wolf."

Wolf: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I'd like to point out one thing. There's nothing that I can say now that hasn't already been said, but for those of you who are worrying about the state employees salary increase, let me point out in this Bill that this takes effect on September 1, 1974. The pay raise is not going to go into effect before September 1 and I hope that you'll bear that in mind when you cast those green votes. I think it's about time that this Legislative Branch of government sends a message to the Senate that we have a bicameral legislative body, two separate and equal bodies and that the House must be considered in some of the decision-making. They've sent over an Amendment that has been repeatedly rejected by this House. I think insults our intelligence and our integrity and I commend those who are taking the position of voting red on this to take a firm stand once and for all because if you don't do it, you're going to continue to get this same kind of garbage foisted upon us as we have in every Session in the past. There comes a time in every person's life when they have to become fish or fowl and I say today is the time. Let's vote red and, Mr. Speaker, I hope you'll take the record."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McGrew."

McGrew: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think most of you are aware that I handled the Bills a little while back but we had a dispute over six thousand dollars that the Senate Chairman said he simply couldn't buy, not because he was against it, but it just was six thousand dollars. You see that for seven thousand, he'll throw the whole House into



the dogs. I would like to point out very quickly that the Senate, I'm sure, will come back and will receive any message that we send to them because if you look on Amendment 1, page 2, line 1... or 4... wait a minute, they got it misnumbered, too. 38, 39, and 40, there is allocated two thousand, five hundred dollars for the portrait of the President of the Senate and I'm sure they'll come back to receive our suggestions. Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've been as critical of the Senate, I suppose, as anybody this Session and... but I think that we're making a big mistake if we blame only the Senate for our situation we find ourselves in now. I got up and voted red on this in anger at the beginning of this debate, but I think it's important to point out that one of the problems we're presented with is the problems of rule by Conference Committee. Another problem we're confronted with is the fact that we've not insisted that Joint Rules be passed. Now, when we made it possible for omnibus Bill to come through, I don't know how many of you remember the debate on that, there was one red light last time when we did that. And the point I made with that red light was that we would be confronted with everybody's pet projects, we would be confronted with wheeling and dealing in the final hours of the Session and nobody wanted to consider the possibility that when you put together a grab bag like this, it is going to happen forever. It'll happen this year, next year and every other year that we allow ourselves to put everybody's baby in the same basket. Now, I did vote red on this cause I'm just as angry as everybody else about what the Senate has done, but what Representative Kennedy said makes a lot of sense. We've had to hold our noses before and we're confronted with the necessity now of being reasonable and I'm going to change my vote because I think it's the only really responsible thing we can do tonight."

Speaker Blair: "Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'm not going to go into the actions of the Senate and the way they've been treating the various House Members because I think



we all agree on that subject. But I would hope that you would take into consideration the magnitude of the newest Bill and in turn, seriously consider what Commissions are affecting. And last week when we adjourned, throughout the state the media pointed out the fact and George Lindberg pointed out the fact that there'd be state employees who would not be being paid because there were certain appropriation Bills that were within the House and the Senate that final action has not been taken upon. Today, we're in the last minutes and I would hope, in the closing Session. And, in turn, it's payday on supposedly the fifteenth of the month. We're talking about Commissions and we'll also possibly get federal money and if this Bill is not passed today and we're talking about coming into a Special Session with additional cost to the taxpayers, I think you're running into a problem of certain people not being paid. And if you take a look at Amendment #1 and to the various Commissions, take a look at the area as far as public aid and the possibility of losing federal money and specifically take a look at Amendment #10 where you're talking about the state's portion of retirement to state officers. This is an area that I think that unless this item is not passed, George Lindberg can have serious questions whether he should issue paychecks to those individuals because unless you have the state's proportionate share for retirement benefits as you know, the retirement benefits are also deducted from the state employees, you've got a question whether that paycheck can be issued. So, I would hope... I would hope, Mr. Speaker, may I have a little order?"

Speaker Blair: "Is Mr. Lechowicz talking?"

Lechowicz: "Well, this is not really a gesture in vain, but I would hope that the Membership would realize the serious consequences if this Bill is not passed at this time. We're talking about people that are depending upon their paychecks, receiving it from the State of Illinois and if there's a question as far as the state's contribution to retirement, there's a question whether that paycheck can be issued. So, I would hope that because... and I concurred and I supported the seven thousand appropriation



when it was initially presented a number of times, what I think is an improper way of doing it the last minute to ask the taxpayers to pick up the additional costs and asking state employees to bear the burden of not being paid on time, on time by not passing this Bill. I would hope that many Members who are not voting or voting 'present' or even voting red, please reconsider their position and vote 'aye'. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Just a minute. Now, let me, if I might, if I could have your attention please. Now the Leadership has been trying to see if we could resolve, resolve this matter. Obviously, this thing requires 107 votes to become effective for this fiscal year. What has been discussed up here among the Joint Leadership is that we can follow this course of action if it's the will of the House. The Sponsor of the Bill can take it out of the record right now and then we can put it back in the record and he'll... we will divide the issue and we will go on one motion to concur on Amendments 1 through 9. Then there would be a motion to nonconcur with 10, if that's the sense of the House. And then we would send it back... Now, wait a minute. We would send it back over to the Senate. Now, they've gone home, but under the Constitution, the Constitution allows the Governor to reconvene the Senate alone in Special Session and I think... I think... I think then that puts the onus where it properly should be. The House will have done its work and if the Governor calls the Senate back in so they can recede then, you see, from #10. That would be final action over there and the items that are left in 10 outside of this item that's causing the controversy, those things can be taken care of when we come back in Session in November. Now, that is what... Mr. Choate. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, the Speaker and I have discussed this at length and we've read the Constitution and for once, I think we caught them sitting on their duffers and they haven't read the Constitution. I suggest that what the Speaker has said is germane to being responsible as far as this House of Representatives is concerned. I would suggest that we support the Sponsor of this Bill in his



motion and then we get about our way of going home and leave the tidying up to do to the Senate when they come back in one day's Special Session."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn, has asked leave to take this Bill out of the record now. Objection? Hearing none, it's out of the record. All right, now then there appears on the Calendar House... on Concurrences, House Bill 2303. And on this, the Chair recognizes the Gentleman Grundy, Mr. Washburn, who moves that the House concur in Senate Amendments 1 through 9 to House Bill 2303. Mr. Washburn."

Washburn: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. First, let me say that I regret that such action must be taken on what is a good Bill and affects a lot of people favorably, a Bill that is usually a routine Bill and particularly, I regret such a turmoil over a Bill that shows me as Sponsor. I've been kidding all along that for several days I might table the thing when it got over here and into Session in a blaze of glory, but we're almost at that point now. So, I would ask that we concur in Amendments 1 through 9 to Senate Bill 2303."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jenison."

Jenison: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, what you had said was much important than anything I was going to say before you came up with that solution which all I was going to try to do was to work some order out of this situation. But now, I have just one other observation and I'm sorry that Representative Ebbesen isn't here, but for once, delegates to the Constitutional Convention who are now Members of this Body, are for the first time heroes."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Schraeder."

Schraeder: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd like for you to give me your attention if you would. I think this is very important because I think the integrity and I said this earlier and I'd like to reiterate it, particularly for the Leadership on both Republican-Democratic side. The staff, the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman of the Appropriations, have been very honest. They've done everything they can, they've been very cooperative



with me. I asked a question a little bit ago of Chairman Washburn and he said, yes, the fifty thousand dollar appropriation was in there. We have now been past the Amendments and lo and behold the Senate in their wisdom has now reduced it to five thousand without the acquisition, I understand, of the Leadership and also of the, Chairman of Appropriations Committee and I'm not going to vote against this Bill, but I want it here now understood very clearly that I'm not going to buckle under to the Senate under any condition. When we, in this House, and I'm talking about the Leadership on both sides and I have been critical of times, but at this time, I have nothing but the highest praise and particularly for Chairman Washburn. They gave me every consideration. The Senate in their wisdom, such as it is, has snuck through a disastrous thing as far as these appropriations are concerned. To me, personally, this is an infront or an affront, rather. If they had the guts to stand up and say what they've done, we could do it. I wouldn't object to that, but when they take it out on the Leadership of their own party, then I think that's gone about as far as we can go. And I would say this, this appropriation's got five thousand dollars in it. I'll buy that at this time, but lo and behold, when the time comes and the Senate's got something they want and they need for the State of Illinois and in this appropriation of fifty thousand, took care of seven to eight million dollars worth of state business. We're going to give away property and I'm saying to them, they'd better start being... quit being irresponsible. Wake up to their task and recognize that the House has jurisdiction, the House has wisdom and for that matter, this appropriation of five thousand isn't worth anything it's written on but I'm going to support the Bill because there are other important issues."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman... Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker, more in terms of a parliamentary inquiry and frankly, my feeling in regard to this entire is not that it has anything to do with the seven thousand dollars but whether forty-nine million dollars, in fact, that's passed in terms of cost of living in pay raises for the employees of the state. Now, assuming:



that we do take the action as indicated by the Chair and we do send it back over to the Senate and, in fact, the Senate assuming that the Governor does not call the Senate into Session, in that likelihood then with the September 1 date passing in regard to the pay raise situation, is that pay raise still there if we come back in November or is that dead?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, again I don't want to get involved in what, speculation about what may or may not happen. It's quite obvious though that the Governor has the power under the Constitution to call the Senate alone back into the Session, into Special Session and we will give the Governor that opportunity. And if he chooses not to do that, then he chooses not to do that."

Peters: "Just one more question, Mr. Speaker. If he does call them in a Session and they refuse to recede, then do we have to come back into Session to receive that message?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, again you're asking me to speculate on matters that are not before the Chair at this time. We have to take it a step at a time. That's what we're trying to do. Mr. Bluthardt."

Bluthardt: "I'm sorry to take up the time, but, Mr. Speaker, I have serious reservations and I ask parliamentary inquiry. Can the Senate called in Special Session by the Governor act upon a Bill that is in a Regular Session without initiating a new Bill?"

Speaker Blair: "The Parliamentarian advises that the Attorney General has so ruled."

Bluthardt: "That was under the old Constitution, not under the 1970 Constitution."

Speaker Blair: "Was under the... was under the new Constitution as far as I know."

Bluthardt: "Well, the situation has never occurred before under the new Constitution. The Senate has never been called in separately."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I think what we are suggesting doing here is a method whereby the House can act in an affirmative way with regard to this legislation. And it seems to me with what, where we were on that Roll Call that the alternative was the act, the House not acting in a positive way with regard to that legislation. And if it were me, I would rather take the option of moving forward



in a positive fashion so we can show how the House feels about this matter. After all, it's not the House's fault that the Senate passed this and immediately adjourned. If they had stayed over there, they could have heard from us tonight that we were not in favor of this #10 and they could have receded then. So, we're trying to extricate ourselves from a matter that's not of our own making. And we hope we're right. Frankly, I think we are. All right, the question is on the Gentleman's motion that the House do concur in Senate Amendments 1 through 9 to House Bill 2303. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and the opposed 'no'. Now, this will take 107 votes insofar as these matters becoming effective for fiscal year '75. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, you have suggested that it is clearly possible for the Governor to call the Senate in Special Session. I think we ought to at least agree with Representative Bluthardt in that it's questionable and we're not certain. But it is a good course of action. I think we ought to take this course and find out what happens, but I... we have no other option. But it is at least questionable and we're not certain that he can call them back. Isn't that correct?"

Speaker Blair: "The option... the language of the Constitution says, 'The Governor may convene the General Assembly or the Senate alone'."

Houlihan: "Could you finish the rest of that Section?"

Speaker Blair: "'In Special Session by a proclamation stating the purpose of the Session'."

Houlihan: "Are you going to go on?"

Speaker Blair: "'And only business encompassed by such purpose together with any impeachments or confirmation of appointments shall be transacted'. Mr. Choate."

Choate: "I don't know, Mr. Speaker, exactly what the convention delegates, Constitutional Convention delegates meant when they wrote this but it implies to me, at least, that the Governor can call the Senate into Special Session and as far as I'm concerned, the two words that explicitly indicate to me that he can call them in for this kind of a procedure is the two words, 'together with'. If you will listen to what the Speaker read, 'The Governor may



convene the General Assembly or the Senate alone in Special Session by proclamation stating the purpose of the Session and only business encompassed by such purpose together with any impeachments or confirmation of appointments shall be transacted'. So, it's perfectly clear to me being a nonlawyer that what we're doing can be done and it can be done legally and constitutionally."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? The... Mr. Lauer. Okay, Clerk will take, take the record. On this question there are 131 'ayes' and 3 'nays', 1 'present' and the House concurs in Senate Amendments 1 through 9 to House Bill 2303. The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Grundy, Mr. Washburn, who moves that the House do not concur. Is that right, Mr. Washburn?"

Washburn: "Yes, thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I do move that the House nonconcur in Senate Amendment #10 to House Bill 2303 and the thought enters my mind what happens if the Senate doesn't recede from that Amendment but I still make the motion."

Speaker Blair: "All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House does not concur in Senate Amendment #10 to House Bill 2303. We send that by message to the Senate and if the Governor desires to call the Senate into Session for the purposes that are included in that Bill such as increase for the employees, those two entities will have an opportunity to do so. All the Senate has to do is recede from #10 and 2303 becomes a law. Mr. Lauer."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, parliamentary inquiry. If the Senate chooses or if the Governor should choose not to call a Special Session, would it... Mr. Speaker, could I have a little order please?"

Speaker Blair: "Now, Mr. Lauer, I'm sorry, I couldn't hear what you were saying."

Lauer: "Mr. Speaker, point of parliamentary inquiry."

Speaker Blair: "Right, I'm trying to listen, but I..."

Lauer: "If the Governor should choose not to call a Special Session, would it not perhaps behoove the House to reconsider the motion by which the Adjournment Resolution was adopted and be able to force the attendance of the Senate within three days? Because



the Senate may not by the Constitution adjourn for more than three days without the concurrence of the House."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I... frankly, don't see a useful purpose in pursuing that particular suggestion. Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Speaker, just to correct the record. I think Mr. Lauer said that if he chooses to call them back, the point remains and I think we clearly understood that in our conversation that there is some doubt. Representative Choate indicates in his opinion that the Governor can call them back into Special Session. I think we'll have to look at the transcripts and at least determine whether he does have that ability before we say he chooses or doesn't choose to do that."



Speaker Blair: "All right now, the... Mr. Shea on 2798."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Bill that would transfer the Warren Park State Park from the State of Illinois to the Chicago Park District. And one of the... the Amendment says that it does what I promised Mr. Mann it would do. It says that if it is used for anything other than Park District, it would revert to the state, the transfer of the land described in the Bill... that the condition upon approval of the transfer by the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development., And it redefines the land, and it also shows that part of the land had been given away or been transferred by the Department of Transportation in the form of this Bill. Now, I know of no opposition and I would appreciate a favorable Roll Call."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Will the Gentleman yield? Is it true, Representative Shea, that the... legal description, which covers a half a page of very small print... that was amended to this Bill, is the University of Illinois Circle Campus?"

Shea: "Well, are... are you for real about that?"

W. Walsh: "No, I'm not for real about that, but I would suggest that we vote 'no' on this because we discussed it at great length before. It's the state giving away nine million dollars to the City of Chicago, and I think it's a poor procedure. And I think we oughta' vote 'no', but I don't think very many will."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Well, I just wanted to advise the Majority Leader that you haven't been on your toes lately, Bill, because I got S.I.U. excluded from that legal description."

Speaker Blair: "All right, question is shall the House... what are we doing, Fred? Concur in Senate Amendments #3 and #5 to House 2798... all those... Mr. Houlihan?"

Houlihan: "I have a question on Amendment #5, Representative Shea. Would you indicate that there is a change which changes from \$62,000, as I understand it, to \$62,000. Is that right?"

Shea: "No, it is not money. It's meats and bounds description, and it



changes from 68,887 to 68... or 62, 887. It's feed, I guess. It's meats and bounds. Jim, your wife is a lawyer, maybe she can explain it to you."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Duff."

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, will the Sponsor answer a question?"

Speaker Blair: "He says that he will."

Duff: "Representative Shea, you indicated that some land had already been given away?"

Shea: "No, what I said that... that the Department of Conservation had given to the Department of Transportation 40 feet along the edge of this land for a road. They've now determined that they don't need that and this is the transfer of the 40 feet by the Department of Transportation."

Duff: "So, there's a little bit larger piece of land that was originally..."

Shea: "It's a little bit smaller."

Duff: "A little bit smaller. All right, well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I still think this is a rip-off, and I agree with the Majority Leader entirely."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the question is shall the House concur in Senate Amendments #3 and #5. Is that right, Fred? House Bill 2798. All those in favor will vote 'aye', and the opposed 'no'. Chapman 'aye'. Have all... Brandt 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Berman wants to talk."

Berman: "Well, if we had 112... I mean 107 votes up there, I wouldn't, but we have a situation here, Mr. Speaker, that has been commented editorially by the press and the radio and the media that, under Governor Ogilvie, under the sponsorship, I believe, if I recall, of Representative Eddie Copeland on the Republican side and supported by Representative Paul Elward and myself on the Democratic side, four years ago, we purchased 60 acres of what was then Edgewater Golf Course for what was to be the pride of the state park system up on the north side of Chicago, namely a state park within the confines of the City of Chicago. And I think that Governor Ogilvie rightly so intended to develop this park land for the use of the people; not only on the north side of Chicago, but throughout the Cook County and the neighboring counties. We held public



hearings, we had plans; and then it was the determination after the last administration, that this park land could best be developed and made available for the use of all of these people, through a system of a... the Chicago Park District that was more used to operating an urban park rather than the State Department of Conservation. And what we're trying to do here is to carry out the same intent that we had four years ago; and that is to make a park land open land and available and useable by the people on the north end of Cook County and these other counties. But we haven't been able to do it because the state has been reluctant to spend the money. Now what we're trying to do is to save the state millions of dollars, and that's what it's gonna' cost, millions of dollars to develop this land properly. Some of the original estimates of cost of development are three million dollars to put this land into operation, as needed by the people in the area. Now, we don't want to spend state money. The park district has indicated that they're willing to go out and develop this land and to make it useable and to use their resources and not the state resources. So, I would urge everyone of us here, that we can't look backward but we can look forward; to use this park land, make it useable, make it a proud piece of open land for the people on the north side of Chicago and in Cook County so that we can develop what we started four years ago, for useable open land for the City of Chicago. Let's have one more green vote and let's go home. We need one more vote. I sound like an auctioneer, maybe Bob Craig can help us."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Dunn."

Dunn: "Just briefly, the last speaker spoke of newspaper and editorial support. And in these last and confused days, I forgot to cut it out, but one of the editorials, I think it was an editorial that supported this purchase or supported this transaction of this Bill, stated that the City of Chicago or the Chicago Park District was purchasing this land. They're not purchasing it. The state paid nine million dollars for it. And now by this piece of legislation, we're giving it away, 'cold turkey', that we paid nine million dollars for."

Speaker Blair: "Have all voted who wished? People want to talk.

Mr. Lundy wants to talk."

Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. If we had stayed at 107, I wouldn't, but to carry a little bit further what my colleague from the 11th District in which this park land is located, said, what has happened to this land since the new administration came in with its policy of refusing to spend money on urban parks? This former golf course has become a disgrace, it's become a disgrace to the State of Illinois and it's a hazard to the kids that live in this neighborhood. There's an open swimming pool which is not guarded, there's an old clubhouse that's boarded up, but still accessible to the kids. We've got to get this land into the hands of somebody that's gonna develop it and take care of it and the State of Illinois is not doing that. Now, I plead with you for enough votes to get this land back into the hands of the Chicago Park District which already has budgeted some three to four million dollars."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Peters."

Peters: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill when it was originally here, blew out of here with something like 140 or 145 votes. It's a very serious problem in both the 15th District in Chicago and the 11th District, which is represented by Representatives Lundy, Berman, and McCourt. We've kinda worked together on this. The Senate added some Amendments on which provided roads that we need in order to have access and use of this park. The park is not being used properly now. In terms of the 'nine million dollar rip-off', that argument to me is groundless and fallacious. The people of the City of Chicago certainly pay taxes into the State Treasury. They are certainly entitled to recreational areas. We passed an Open Space Act to provide open space in congested urban areas. This is that kind of area and I plead with you for our districts and for the residents of the north side of the City of Chicago to provide the 107 votes that we need to put this into law and into effect."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, to say... I hope no one was influenced by that nine million dollar statement



because after all, the property being acquired from private owners has to be payed for. But it is now public property, it will remain public property. It is not going to any other use. That's the use it was payed for and the use it was acquired for, is public use. And all this property is going to be used that way. The property in my county that's involved is public property now; the state has no need for it, will not use it, is not using it, and it should be put to public use which is what this Bill will do. And I would urge the additional six votes to pass this Bill with 107 votes it should have."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Walters."

Walters: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Very briefly, I'd like to remind the downstaters, who continually cry about Cook County, this is one time when Cook County has helped downstate on this particular Bill. And I'd like to commend Representative Jerry Shea and his cooperation, along with Lieutenant Governor Neil Hartigan, who'se been abused in this Session somewhat. But he has helped tremendously to put an Amendment on this Bill to help downstate and the particular district that I represent along with Representative Kennedy and Representative Calvo. And, I think we oughta' give Representative Kennedy, who's retiring a little bit of green light up there and say, 'Thank you for serving, Representative Kennedy,' we love you all."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to remind my colleagues that we've got a nine million dollar piece of property that's not being taken care of and is not available for public use now. This Bill was amended in the Senate with an Amendment that said if this property is ever used for anything but park land, it will revert to the State of Illinois. I put that in for Representative Mannso that we'd have no problem. I want to remind my colleagues that the park district of the City of Chicago is buying an adjacent 29 acres for ten or eleven million dollars to give the people of the city and the people of Cook County and the people of the State of Illinois a 55 or 60 acre park in a beautiful section of the city. Now, let us have a little



something up there and thank you."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McCourt was up... Mr. Dee."

Dee: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think that I must point out to you all that in this particular case, Cook County, the City of Chicago, and the Chicago Park District is doing a great favor to all our downstate people. Has it occurred to you that a million and a half dollars that the state would have spent to develop this park is being paid for by the taxpayers of Chicago and the Chicago Park District area? It's going to be public use. I'm tired. Aren't you? Let's vote this thing up and go home. Give me a couple of more green lights."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. McCourt."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I've sat back here for about a year and a half and I've heard... I've voted for Bills that would build a road from Peking to Quincy and fifty-five million dollars here and there. I'm just asking one favor; will you please give the people in my district a decent recreational facility? And I know you will, thank you."

Speaker Blair: "All right... all right... have all talked who wished? The Clerk will take the record. Mr. William Walsh."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Representative Robert Dunne has... suggested that we verify this Roll Call and... I suggested to the Assistant Minority Leader that... (microphone dead)... there's just no way that there is 113 people voting green here. And how about taking this out of the record, so we can consider it a little later?"

Speaker Blair: "Well... Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker and Mr. Majority Leader, this Bill was held over in the Senate and so the last Bill out of the Senate. I wonder why that happened? Now, it's the last Bill called here. I'll take my chances and if it goes down, the people of the city, the people of the county will understand why."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now... Brandt. 'Aye'. Now, where are we? Did Mr... well, he's not out of order if he's requesting a verification. Well, Mr. Walsh, what are we doing?"

W. Walsh: "Well, Mr. Speaker, this is nine million dollars of state



funds. I think I have an obligation to verify."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Members will be in their seats for the time, we will... Mr. Brandt. 'Aye'. No, nobody asked for the poll of the absentees, so we'll now verify the... you want to poll the absentees? Poll the absentees. Will the Members please be in their seats."

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Capuzi, Carter, Chapman, Cunningham, Deavers, Douglas, Epton, Ewell, Gibbs, G. Hoffman, R. Hoffman, Huskey, Jaffe, Klosak, Kozubowski, Laurino, Leinenweber, Mahar, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McCormick, Kenny Miller, Murphy, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Randolph, Rigney, Schlickman, Schneider, Ike Sims, Soderstrom, Springer, Totten, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, Richard Walsh, Yourell."

Speaker Blair: "All right, now... We're starting with 115 'ayes' and we will proceed to verify the affirmative. Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I would just like to remind my colleague of one thing, that the City Park District is prepared to put four or five million dollars in this property plus buy the adjacent acreage. Now, the state has agreed that the City Park District is a better place to manage and maintain that property than the State of Illinois. The State of Illinois, in the next few years, will have to put in three or four million dollars just to bring this property up to where the people can use it. Now, the city is willing to do it, and the state says it can't afford it. So, I would ask you to think about that."

Speaker Blair: "All right, can we go ahead now with the order of the business which is verifying the affirmative?"

Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Bluthardt, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Campbell, Capparelli, Catania, Choate, Clabaugh, Collins, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, Dee, Deuster, DiPrima, Ralph Dunn, Dyer, Ebbesen, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Friedland, Carmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Greiman, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hart, Hill, Hirschfeld, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway."

Hill: "Change my vote from 'aye' to 'no'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hill... 'no'."



Clerk Selcke: "Hirschfeld, Jimmy Holloway, Robert Holloway, J. Houlihan, Jacobs, Jenison, Emil Jones, Dave Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kempiners, Kennedy, Kent, Krause, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Madigan, Mann, McClain, McCourt, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McMaster, McPartlin, Merlo, Tom Miller, Molloy, Mugalian, Nardulli, North, Patrick, Peters, Pierce, Polk, Porter, Rayson, Redmond, Rose, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schoeberlein, Schraeder, Sevcik, Sharp, Shea, Shurtz, Tim Simms, Stedelin, Stiehl, Stone, Taylor, Telcser, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Walters, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J.J. Wolf."

W. Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Representative Garmisa?"

Speaker Blair: "He's back there."

W. Walsh: "Representative Telcser?"

Speaker Blair: "Telcser is here."

W. Walsh: "Boyle?"

Speaker Blair: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Capparelli?"

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "DiPrima?"

Speaker Blair: "DiPrima, how has he voted?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Fleck?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Friedland?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Giorgi?"



Speaker Blair: "Giorgi is here."

W. Walsh: "Griesheimer?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Hanahan?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Harpstrite?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Keller?"

Speaker Blair: "Who? Keller? He's here."

W. Walsh: "LaFleur?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Molloy?"

Speaker Blair: "He's here."

W. Walsh: "Tom Miller?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Schoeberlein?"

Speaker Blair: "He's here."

W. Walsh: "Sevcik?"

Speaker Blair: "He's here."

W. Walsh: "Porter?"

Speaker Blair: "How's the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Terzich?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."



Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Jacobs?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Gilgio?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off the record."

W. Walsh: "Rose?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Collins?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Mrs. Kent?"

Speaker Blair: "How is she recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take her off."

W. Walsh: "Mrs. Stiehl?"

Speaker Blair: "How is she recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take her off."

W. Walsh: "D'Arco?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "There he is, he's in the back."

W. Walsh: "Hirschfeld?"

Speaker Blair: "There he is in the back."

W. Walsh: "Stedelin?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Schisler?"



Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Holloway?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye, Jim... is that the one you mean? Yeah, okay."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Redmond?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Taylor?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "There he is."

W. Walsh: "Brummet?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Brinkmeier?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Flinn?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Slecke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Kelly?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Williams?"

Speaker Blair: "He's there."

W. Walsh: "McGrew?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."



Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Beatty?"

Speaker Blair: "There is Sam, put him back on. And there is Collins, put him back on. Now Beatty? He's not... how's he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Rayson?"

Speaker Blair: "How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Speaker Blair: "Take him off."

W. Walsh: "Pierce?"

Speaker Blair: "How... he's there."

W. Walsh: "No further questions, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Hill."

Hill: "I would like to change my vote from 'no' to 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Jaffe."

Jaffe: "How am I recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."

Jaffe: "Well, vote me 'aye'."

Speaker Blair: "Barry."

Barry: "Mr. Speaker, I thought I may have been removed."

Clerk Selcke: "You're on, Toby."

Barry: "Aye?"

Clerk Selcke: "Aye."

Barry: "Thank you."

Speaker Blair: "...are you..."

Clerk Selcke: "Now, 88 'ayes', to 10 'nays'."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman's motion to concur fails.

All right, now... I think that does it. I already declared it lost.

I have already declared it lost! Do you want it postponed?"

Clerk Selcke: "Yeah, you're on."

Speaker Blair: "Yeah, put it on Postponed Consideration. Now, I don't do the counting... what do you suggest? Now, do you want it postponed or not? If not, I'm going to declare it lost."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, this is a very important Bill and I'm in a position where I either lose it or postpone it. But I would like..."



Choate: "Mr. Speaker, I certainly would never question the sincerity and the honesty of the Clerk, but as some of us have counted here, either we're in error or the Clerk is in error. And I don't know how you do something of that nature; unless you would take a new Roll Call or you would count them over. I... really don't know."

Speaker Blair: "Well, I'm sure the Clerk would be delighted to go through how he arrived at his mathematics. And then, I'll recognize Mr. Walsh for the balance of the Members that... didn't call, that will come off the Roll, so..."

Choate: "I thought Representative Walsh said that he was done."

Speaker Blair: "No, he's not. I mean you know... if you're gonna' open it back up, you're gonna' open it back up all the way."

Clerk Selcke: "Do you want me to go ahead with this, Mr. Speaker?"

Speaker Blair: "Tell them how you do it."

Clerk Selcke: "All right, you got a pencil and paper?"

Speaker Blair: "What we're trying to do is get a verification completed. The Clerk gave me a Roll Call that was 88... I proceeded to clear the Bill. Walsh, did you proceed to say you wanted to put it on postponed? I said, 'Postponed', then you said you wanted to count them all over again. Now, I've asked the Clerk to tell you how he adds and subtracts."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, might I put the Bill on Postponed Consideration?"

Speaker Blair: "Well, that's where it was. You then questioned how the Clerk got where he was, right? Fine... we'll put it on postponed. Mr. Walsh... is there any other business before the House? We are on Postponed Consideration. That's where it is and it's not gonna' be opened back up. I think that's everybody's business. Wait a minute... Mr. Skinner wants to do a Resolution."

(Con't on next page)



Speaker Blair: "Well, Resolutions. Mr. Wolf has a concurrence.

2897. No, he says not. He doesn't want that. That's what he just said. Now, we'll go to Resolutions. Well, wait a minute. Where are we? Put it on Postponed."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 11..."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Choate."

Choate: "To make an observation, Mr. Speaker. I hope that Resolutions are all we have left and I hope they are not too meaningful and I especially hope that they are sponsored by the Majority Leader because there'll sure as heck be a verification on each and every one of them."

Clerk Selcke: "House Resolution 1165, Skinner."

Speaker Blair: "All right, Mr. Skinner. You are not included in Mr. Choate's admonition, so you may stand up and proceed."

Skinner: "Well, Mr. Speaker, I don't expect this Resolution to be voted on now. It is a Resolution of impeachment for the Director of the Department of Local Government Affairs, Frank Kirk. Considering the Bill sponsored by Mr. Lundy, the Second Conference Committee Report of which we have passed by a three-fifths majority and the Senate has concurred in which states that the Department of Local Government Affairs has failed to follow its statutory mandates to equalize assessment. I think this is called for at this point. I'm not asking for immediate consideration. I am asking for it to be referred to Committee, but if there's no opposition, I certainly would take an immediate vote."

Speaker Blair: "You weren't included in Mr. Choate's admonition, so you're probably all right. You just want it to go to Committee? All right, on the Speaker's Table. You got anything else, Mr. Clerk? That's it? Mr. William Walsh. Wait a minute, Mr. Caldwell."

Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, I would hope that you would let me have House Resolution 976 reconsidered for Amendment. It's merely to add two Members to Committee."

Speaker Blair: "Well, wait a minute. Mr. Caldwell, where is the matter to which you're referring? You want to go to motions, then? Okay. I don't want to preclude anybody from considering any.



measures they want. So, we'll go to motions now. Now, what is your motion on House Resolution 976?"

Caldwell: "Yes, sir."

Speaker Blair: "And it is to reconsider the vote by which the Resolution was adopted?"

Caldwell: "Yes, I want to... been reconsidered to..."

Speaker Blair: "Wait, I've never heard."

Caldwell: "I have an Amendment on the Speaker's... on the Clerk's desk, been there for two or three days."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman is moving to reconsider the vote by which House Resolution 976 passed on July 1. He voted on the prevailing side, I take it. All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion say... Mr. Juckett."

Juckett: "Which Resolution was that, Mr. Speaker? Was that the Adjournment Resolution?"

Speaker Blair: "No, it's on the Motions Calendar. Oh, it involves the... what's it involve, Mr. Caldwell?"

Caldwell: "Policy Games Study Committee. Policy Games Study Committee."

Speaker Blair: "Needs 89. Oh yeah, what he did on the Resolution which he passed was to increase the number of Members from six to eight. And now, what he wants to do is... and that passed. Now, what he wants to do is: to move it from eight to ten?"

Caldwell: "That's correct, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Blair: "He wants to go from, I guess, where it is now, six, up to ten Members. Two by the Speaker and two by the Minority Leader. All right, all those... well, he's... we got to reconsider and that takes 89. Does he have leave to reconsider the vote by which... all right, use... we'll use the Attendance Roll Call. Now, we're back on reconsideration and now, the Gentleman has an Amendment. Will the Clerk read the Amendment?"

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment #1, Caldwell. Amends House Resolution 976, page 1 by striking everything after the words, '#450' and inserting in lieu thereof the following, 'be increased from six to ten. Two additionally appointed by the Speaker of the House and two additionally appointed by the Minority Leader of the House'."

Speaker Blair: "Mr. Caldwell moves the adoption of the Amendment. All



those in favor say 'aye'. The 'ayes' have it. All right, now let's see where we're at. The Amendment's adopted. Now, we're moving the adoption of the Resolution. Now, this requires 89 votes because it's a Commission, it's a Committee, Special House Committee. All those in favor of the adoption of House Resolution 976 vote 'aye', the opposed 'no'. Have all... Leon 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Collins 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Your switches are still open. They won't... McLendon 'aye'. All right, have all voted who wished? Take the record. On this question there are 91 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 'present' and House Resolution 976 is adopted. Now, we'll try to go back to Mr. Walsh. Wants to move to adjourn if nobody gets in his way. Mr. Walsh."

Walsh: "Pursuant to the Adjournment Resolution, Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now stand adjourned until twelve noon on November 7, 1974."

Speaker Blair: "You're one of those guys who was in Choate's admonition. We should have had that put by Mrs. Geo-Karis who would have been a safe motion. All right, all those in favor of the Gentleman's motion to adjourn, say 'aye', opposed 'nay' and the House will be adjourned now until the hour of twelve noon November 7. First Special Session will be in order. Is there leave to use the Attendance Roll Call for the Roll Call for the First Special from the Regular Session? Now, we... Messages from the Senate."

Clerk Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I'm directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate's adopted the following Senate Joint Resolution and the adoption of which I'm instructed to ask concurrence of the House of Representatives, to wit. Senate Joint Resolution #12. Resolved by the Senate of the 78th General Assembly, the State of Illinois, the First Special Session thereof, the House of Representatives concurring herein, that when the two Houses adjourn on Friday, July 12, 1974, they stand adjourned sine die."

Speaker Blair: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh, moves that the House adopt Senate Joint Resolution 12. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it. And now,



Mr. William Walsh moves that pursuant to Senate Joint Resolution 12 which we just adopted that the Special Session #1 be adjourned sine die. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'no'. The 'ayes' have it and the House is adjourned sine die with respect to the First Special Session. Have a safe trip home."

Clerk Selcke: "Leave your keys in the drawer, don't forget. Your voting keys, put in the drawer. Going to clean them all off and take the stuff over to your offices. No, got to clean it up and get it out."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.		Speaker Blair	House to Order
		Dr. Johnson	Invocation
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance
		Sevcik	Springer absent
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Moves House recess
		Speaker Blair	
2.		Beaupre	Supports Motion
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
3.		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis	Supports Motion
		Speaker Blair	
4.		Krause )	Question
		)	
		Speaker Blair )	
		Speaker Blair	House in recess
			Nothing to report...at ease.
5.		Telcser	
		Speaker Blair	
		Telcser )	Discussion
		)	
		Speaker Blair )	
		Walsh, W.	Moves House recess
6.		Speaker Blair	Recess
		Speaker Blair	
		Peters	Announcement
7.		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Redmond	
		Speaker Blair	
		Kennedy	
8.		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	
		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	
		Speaker Blair	
		Peters	
		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Blair	
		Brummet	
10.		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	..... HB-2587, Third
		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	
11.		Speaker Blair	
		Geo-Karis )	
		)	Questions
12.		Cunningham )	
		Speaker Blair	
		Cunningham	To close
		Speaker Blair	HB-2487 Vote
		Borchers	
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
13.		Cunningham	
		Speaker Blair	HB-2487 passed
		Clerk Selcke	Introduction and First Reading
		Speaker Blair	
		Fary	Explains Resolution
14.		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Opposes Motion
		Speaker Blair	
15.		Fary	
		Speaker Blair	
		Kriegsman	
		Speaker Blair	Vote on Resolution
		Mann	Supports Resolution
16.		Speaker Blair	
		Grotberg	
		Speaker Blair	
		Fary	
		Speaker Blair	
		Peters	
17.		Speaker Blair	Motion Prevails. Rule Susp.
		Fary	
18.		Speaker Blair	Vote, Resolution passed HR-1136
		Walters	
		Katz	
19.		Porter	
		Walters	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Sangmeister	
20.		Houlihan	
		Walters	
		Speaker Blair	
		Taylor	
		Speaker Blair	
		Krause	Asks hold CC on HB-2416
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	SB-1273
		Speaker Blair	
		Stone	
21.		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	To close
		Speaker Blair	Vote on 2nd CC SB-1273 fails.
		Krause	CC on HB-2416, Moves adopt.
22.		Speaker Blair	Vote on CC....passed SB-1669
		Ryan	
23.		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	
24.		Speaker Blair	
		Krause	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Londrigan	
		Speaker Blair	
		Washington	
		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew	Previous question
		Speaker Blair	
		Ryan	To close
25.		Speaker Blair	SB-1669...vote
		Ryan	Explains vote
		Speaker Blair	
		Ryan	Postponed
26.		Speaker Blair	HB's 2882..2885...Table
		Collins	HJRCA 21...Table
		Speaker Blair	Tabled
		Barnes	Asks Table HJRCA 27
		Speaker Blair	Tabled
		Von Boeckman	
		Clerk Selcke	
27.		Von Boeckman	
		Speaker Blair	
		Calvo	
		Speaker Blair	
		Collins	SB-1641...CC Report
		Speaker Blair	Vote...passed
28.		Fennessey	"Aye"
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Borchers	
29.		Speakr Blair	
		Calvo	Personal Privilege
		Speaker Blair	
		Calvo	
		Speaker Blair	
		Ewell	Point Personal Privilege
		Speaker Blair	
		Flinn	
		Speaker Blair	
30.		Peters	Personal Privilege
31.		Speaker Blair	
		Von Boeckman	
		Speaker Blair	
		Ryan	
		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	
32.		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	
		Speaker Blair	
		Mann	
		Speaker Blair	
		Brummet	
		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew	
33.		Speaker Blair	
		Bluthardt	Previous Question



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		DiPrima	
		TELCSEK IN CHAIR.....	
		Di Prima	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Inquiry
34.		Speaker Telcser	
		Jones, E.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	Suspend Rule 1345
		Speaker Telcser	
		Palmer	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Taylor	
35.		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Greiman	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	HB-2868 ...CC Rep.
36.		Speaker Telcser	
		La Fleur	Sponsor Yield?
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		La Fleur )	
		)	
37		Lundy )	Question
		Speaker Telcser	
38.		LaFleur	
39.		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
40.		Speaker Telcser	
		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
41.		Skinner	
		Speaker Telcser	
42.		lundy	To close
43.		Speaker Telcser	HB-2868 ..CC Report adopt.
		La Fleur	vote...
		Speaker Telcser	
44.		Katz	
45.		Speaker Telcser	
		Katz	Votes "aye"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Berman	
46.		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, R.	Explains Vote
		Speaker Telcser	
47.		LaFleur	Personal Privilege
		Speaker Telcser	Verification
		Clerk Selcke	Polls absentees
		Speaker Telcser	Jones 'aye'.....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	Continues absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Dunne, R.	Aye...
		Speaker Telcser	
48.		Schraeder	Changes vote to 'aye'...
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	Affirmative Roll Call
49.		Speaker Telcser	
		Lundy	Count?
		Speaker Telcser	
50.		LaFleur )	Questions Roll Call
51.		)	
52.		Speaker Telcser )	
		)	
		Clerk Selcke )	
		Huskey	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk Selcke	
		Huskey	"aye"
		Speaker Telcser	CC #2 HB-2868..adopted
		Getty	HB-2347 CC
		BLAIR IN CHAIR	
53.		Speaker Blair	
		Simms, T.	
		Getty	
		Speaker Blair	Vote on CC HB-2347 adopted
54.		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
55.		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	SB-1345 CC....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
56.		Speaker Blair Cunningham	
57.		Speaker Blair Mann Speaker Blair	Sponsor yield?
58.		Mann ) ) Choate ) Speaker Blair Geo-Karis Speaker Blair Geo-Karis ) ) Choate )	Questions  Sponsor yield?  Question
59.		Speaker Blair Choate Speaker Blair Borchers	To close Vote on CC... Explains vote
60.		Speaker Blair Craig	
61.		Speaker Blair Ewell	
62.		Speaker Blair Clerk Selcke Walsh, W. Speaker Blair Pierce Speaker Blair Dee	SB-1345 CC Adopted Message from Senate  Asks excused  Asks excused



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	Motion SJR 84 adopted
63.		Clerk Selcke	Announcement
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Message from Senate
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Agreed Resolutions
64.			HR-1137, 1138, 1139, 1140, 1141, 1142, 1143, 1144, 1145, 1146, 1147, 1148, 1149, 1150, 1151, 1152, 1153, 1154, 1155, 1156, 1157, 1158, 1159, 1160, 1161, 1162, 1163, 1164...
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Blair	
		Houlihan	Personal Privilege
65.		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	
		Speaker Blair	
		Thompson	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
66.		Speaker Blair	
		Houlihan	SB-1382, Second CC moves adopt.
		Speaker Blair	Vote passed...
		Taylor	Vote...passed



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
67.		Speaker Blair	HB-2405, 2nd CC moves adopt.
		Washburn	HB-2303 on Conc.
		Speaker Blair	
		Stone	Sponsor yield
		Speaker Blair	
		Stone )	Question
		)	
		Washburn )	
68.		Speaker Blair	
69.		Hirschfeld	
70.		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	Sponsor Yield
		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder )	Question
		)	
		Washburn )	
		Speaker Blair	
		Hunsicker	
71.		Speaker Blair	
		Hart	Sponsor question...
		Speaker Blair	
		Hart )	
		)	
72.		Washburn )	Questions
		Speaker Blair	
73.		Walsh, W.	
74.		Speaker Blair	
		Kempiners	Previous question
		Speaker Blair	
		Washburn	to close



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Blair	
		Juckett	Question
75.		Speaker Blair	HB-2303
		Ewell	
		Speaker Blair	
		Borchers	
76.		Speaker Blair	
		Choate )	Question
		)	
		Washburn )	
77.		Speaker Blair	
		Lauer	
78.		Speaker Blair	
		Stone	
		Speaker Blair	
		Hirschfeld	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Blair	
79.		Hirschfeld	
80.		Speaker Blair	
		Londrigan	
		Speaker Blair	
		Dyer	
81.		Speaker Blair	
		Katz	
82.		Speaker Blair	
		Deuster	
		Speaker Blair	
83.		Barry	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Geo-Karis	
		Speaker Blair	
		Houlihan	
84.		Speaker Blair	
		Kriegsman	
		Speaker Blair	
85.		Davis	
86.		Speaker Blair	
		Hudson	
		Speaker Blair	
87.		Washington	
88.		Speaker Blair	
		Day	
		Speaker Blair	
		Kennedy	
89.		Speaker Blair	
		Wolf	
		Speaker Blair	
		McGrew	
90.		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	
		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	
91.		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	
92.		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
93.		Speaker Blair	TOOR...HB-2303..Moves Conc.
		Washburn	In SA #1-9...to 2303
		Speaker Blair	
		Jenison	
		Speaker Blair	
		Schraeder	
94.		Speaker Blair	
95.		Peters	
		Bluthardt	Parliamentary Inquiry
		Speaker Blair	Vote on Motion
		Houlihan )	
		)	
		Speaker Blair )	Discussion
		Choate	
97.		Speaker Blair	Motion passes
		Washburn	Moves H. noncon. SA #10
		Speaker Blair	House does not con. in SA-10
		Lauer	Parliamentary Inquiry
98.		Speaker Blair	
		Houlihan	
99.		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	HB-2798
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	Sponsor yield
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
100.		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Houlihan ) ) Shea        )	Question
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff	Sponsor Yield
		Speaker Blair	
		Duff    ) ) Shea    )	Question
101.		Speaker Blair	Vote on SA's 3 & 5 to HB-2798
		Berman	
102.		Speaker Blair	
		Dunn	
103.		Speaker Blair	
		lundy	
		Speaker Blair	
		Peters	
104.		Speaker Blair	
		Calvo	
105.		Speaker Blair	
		Walters	
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
106.		Speaker Blair	
		Dee	
		Speaker Blair	
		McCourt	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh, W.	verification



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
107.		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Rolls absentees
		Speaker Blair	
108.		Shea	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Affirmative
		Speaker Blair	
		Hill	"No"
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Continues affirmative...
109.		Speaker Blair )	
110.		)	
111.		Walsh, W. )	Questions...Roll Call
112.		)	
		Clerk Selcke )	
113.		Hill	
114.		Speaker Blair	
		Jaffe	
		Speaker Blair	
		Barry	
		Clerk Selcke	
115.		Speaker Blair	Postponed Consideration
		Shea	
		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
116.		Choate	
		Speaker Blair	
		Shea	Postponed Consideration
		Speaker Blair	
117.		Clerk Selcke	HR.....11...
		Speaker Blair	
		Choate	
		Clerk Selcke	HR-1165
		Skinner	
		Speaker Blair	
		Caldwell )	HR-976..Motion to recon.
		)	
		Speaker Blair )	
118.		Juckett	
		Speaker Blair	
		Caldwell	
		Speaker Blair	
		Clerk Selcke	Amt. #1 HR-976
		Speaker Blair	Amt. adopted, Vote on HR-976 passed
119.		Walsh, W.	Moves adjourn.:
		Speaker Blair	House adjourns
		FIRST SPECIAL SESSION.....	
		Speaker Blair	First Special Session
		Clerk Selcke	Messages from Senate
		Speaker Blair	SJR 12...adopted..First Special Adjourned
120.		Clerk Selcke	House adjourned.....

