

Technical difficulty

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. Almighty and ever gracious God, we implore your benediction upon us as we turn now to the business of this day. In spite of our admitted weaknesses, you continue to use us to accomplish those things which will, under your blessings, promote the well being of our people. Let us think and speak in ways which will glorify and magnify Your name. Let us act in ways which will reflect the best interest of those whom we represent. Let us pass Bills in ways which will bring honor to this Chamber of Government. Fill us anew with Your Spirit so that the end of this Session we may once again acknowledge that You are the refuge and strength of all who call upon Your name and of all who put their trust in Your mercy. We pray this in the name of our Saviour. Amen."

Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for Attendance. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, will the Journal show that Representative Ronald K. Hoffman is absent because of illness."

Speaker Blair: "The record will so indicate. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Will the records show that Representatives Krause, Arrigo and B. B. Wolfe are excused because of illness. I'm sorry, Representative Arrigo is here, so it would just be Representative Krause and Representative B. B. Wolfe."

Speaker Blair: "The Journal will so indicate. Messages from the Senate."

Fred Selcke: "A Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed a Bill of the following title in passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 5, 295, 379, 488, 703, 705 and 1153. Passed the Senate June 18, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has passed a Bill of the following titles, in passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the House. Senate Bill 355, 732, 920. Passed the Senate June 18, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes,



Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House in the passage of Bills of the following titles.

House Bill 5, 142, 226, 249, 269, 270. Passed the Senate, June 18, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary. Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives that the Senate has concurred with the House of Representatives in the passage of a Bill of the following title. House Bill 14, together with the following Amendment. Passed the Senate as amended, June 14.. June 18, 1973. Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."

Miller: "Committee Reports."

Fred Selcke: "Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture and Natural Resources to which House Bill 1254 and 1582 were referred returned the same pursuant to Rule 23-d. The Bills were ordered Tabled. Mr. Harpstrite from Agricultural and Natural Resources to which Senate Bill 97 and 222 were referred, returned the same back and pursuant to Rule 23-d were ordered tabled. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bill 203 was referred, reported the same back and ordered it tabled. Mr. Dyer from Higher Education to which House Bill 1719 was referred returned the same back and ordered it tabled. Mr. Soerstrom from Elementary and Secondary to which House Bills 1183 and 1425 were referred, returned the same and were ordered tabled. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolutions 163 and 199, 215, 235 were referred returned the same and ordered it tabled. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 20 and 25 were referred returned the same and were ordered tabled. Mr. Collins from Executive to which Senate Bill 154 was referred reported the Bill as tabled. Mr. Jones from Higher Education to which Senate Bill 657 and 1055 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Jones from Higher Education to which Senate Bill 811 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto,



with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and the Bills do pass. Mr. Jones from Higher Education to which Senate Bill 951 and Senate Bill 17...700 were referred, reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which Senate Bill 233, 454, 580 and 1147 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which Senate Bill 594, 695, 711, 764, 931, 1006, 1097, 1179, 1180 and 1186 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bills do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 326 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be not adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 343 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 355 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 350 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Resolution be adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 363 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto, with the recommendation that the Amendments be adopted and that the Resolution as amended be adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which was referred Senate Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 23, reported the same back with the recommendation that the House does concur with the Senate in the adoption of this Resolution. Got any Introductions? Any Agreed Resolutions? "

Miller: "Agreed Resolutions."

Fred Selcke: "Ah...Resolution...House Resolution 430, VonBoeckman et al. House Resolution 433, Geo-Karis. House Resolution... House Joint Resolution 63, Geo-Karis."

Miller: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh with regard to the Agreed Resolutions"

Walsh: "Yes Mr. Speaker. Ah...House Resolution 430 by Representative VonBoeckman and Kriegsmann congratulates Mr. and Mr. Charles E. Hoff,



Resolution 433 by Representative Geo-Karis congratulates Nicholas Proponus of Downey on receiving the highest award that can be granted to Scouts. Namely the Eagle Progress Award and again Representative Geo-Karis congratulates Judge L. Eric Carey of Zion on his retirement following a brilliant legal career of more than forty years in Lake and Cook County and I move the adoption of the Agreed Resolutions."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves that the House adopt the Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah...Compliments of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, Michael Bakalis, there are coffee and rolls in the rear of the...ah... Chamber on the Democratic side. So anybody who's interested in having coffee and rolls on the Superintendent...ah...go right ahead."

Miller: "All right, for what purpose does the Gentleman from Champaign, Mr. Hirschfeld arise?"

Hirschfeld: "I wonder if the Majority Leader would yield for a question?"

Miller: "He indicates he will, proceed."

Hirschfeld: "Ah...Representative Walsh, can you tell me whether or not there's any Bills up today involving the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction."

Walsh: "I'm ah...a head is being shaken at me. Negatively. However, I think if you ask for a copy of the Superintendents Appropriation Bill there when you got your coffee they might accomodate you."

Fred Selcke: "We going on the Priority of Call?"

Miller: "Senate Bills Second Reading and the Clerk will call these Second Reading Senate Bills on the Priority of Call."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 308, Calvo. A...An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Military Naval Department. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 308 on page 2 line 18 by deleting and so forth."

Miller: "The Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I move



for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 with an Amendment put on by the Appropriations Committee reducing the amount of the Appropriation. I move for the adoption of this Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall Committee Amendment #1 be adopted? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay' the 'ayes' have it and Committee Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Tipword. Amends Senate Bill 308, page 2, line 18 and so forth."

Miller: "Okay. The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Calvo."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2...ah...amends Senate Bill 308..."

Miller: "Just a minute, hold up a minute, will you please?"

Fred Selcke: "Yeah. We'll take this one anyway. I don't know why they do this. This is identical with the Committee Amendment... Amendment #2. Calvo. Amends Senate Bill 308 as amended on page 1 line 13 and so forth."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Madison Mr. Calvo with respect to Amendment #2."

Calvo: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 amends Senate Bill 308 by making provisions for the pay increase that...ah...for the employees of the Department that is anticipated and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. It's really a cost of...a cost of living increase and would concur in the...Amendment #2."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 298. Collins. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the expenses of the Department of Audit. Second



Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 298, page 1, by deleting line 22 and so forth."

Miller: "Ah...The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 reduces the line item...ah...ah...ah... for program audits by fifty thousand dollars...ah...this is acceptable to the Auditor General and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #1."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Collins. Amends Senate Bill 298 as amended on line 11 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Collins."

Collins: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House....ah... Amendment #2 is the cost of living increase in the Bill and I would move for the adoption of Amendment #2."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 589. McMaster. A bill for an Act to amend the Environmental Protection Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Got some Amendments? No Committee Amendments. Where are they? Any from the Floor?"

Miller: "Any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. McMaster. Amends Senate Bill 589, page 2, line 14 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1...ah...is to add...ah...the Representatives of Labor and Agriculture in two places in the Bill in regard to...ah... a Committee that would meet with the...ah...Institute for Environmental Quality. I recommend the adoption of...ah...Committee



Amendment...er...Amendment #1."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "Yes, Tom. This is not a Committee Amendment, is that correct?"

McMaster: "No it isn't Jerry...ah...We have felt that...ah...it had been agreed in the Senate that Labor would be put on as a Representative on this Commission. It was put on in one place in the Bill but inadvertently they forgot to put it on on a different page. This is to clear that up and to add a Representative of Agriculture on the same group along with Industry and other people."

Shea: "What...What I'm trying to get at is it looks like Amendment #1 strikes Agriculture comma Labor and then in another portion it adds the word Agriculture after Labor."

McMaster: "In...ah...the first instance...ah...on page 2, line 14 immediately after Government we add the following 'Agriculture comma Labor'. But just to get them in where they were left out on page 2. And on...ah...page 3, line 30 immediately after Labor which is already in there we add the word 'Agriculture' Jerry. We don't strike Labor."

Shea: "What this then adds to the advisory group representatives of the Agriculture, Industry. Is that what you're trying to do?"

McMaster: "Yes and also Labor."

Shea: "And what?"

McMaster: "And also Labor."

Shea: "And Labor. All right then."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz. Just a moment Mr. Lechowicz, let's get a little more quite in here. Let's keep the noise down Ladies and Gentlemen so every one here can hear the discussion on these Amendments. Proceed Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. I'd like to humbly request a fiscal note on Senate Bill 589."

McMaster: "Ah...Ted we have asked for a fiscal note. We have not been able to get it as yet. I think you were concerned as others are that the...ah...institute for environmental quality will be properly



funded...ah...for this...ah...economic impact study that...ah... would be required to be made under this Bill. I have the approval of the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee that he will see that it is properly funded. For this reason, the ...ah...the Department or Institute feels that perhaps a fiscal note is not necessary. Although, I have asked them for one and they have not provided it."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Unfortunately the Environmental Protection Act was reviewed by the Appropriations Committee as a of this date I think it's on Second or...on Second Reading on the Floor awaiting to have the Committee Amendment adopted to the Bill and the Committee Amendment, if my memory serves me correctly, is a reduction of two hundred and thirty nine thousand dollars and without the possibility of this Bill being implemented and Representative Katz had a Bill as far as asking for a...ah...a study as well in a different area as far as the environmental impact and I point out to Representative Katz that if that Bill would have passed and been funded by this...ah...by the taxpayers of Illinois we would have paid approximately six hundred thousand dollars in the St. Louis Airport Authority alone which we were mandated to do by the Federal Government on one...one impact study. Now I'm asking in completely good faith that we're talking about Economic Impact Studies and again this is along the same line as Representative Katz and his Environmental Impact Study. He amended his Bill to provide at the direction of the General Assembly or at the request of the Board which they think is the proper way to do it and I would hope that if the Gentleman is truly concerned in certain areas that is should be amended the same way. It will reduce the cost immensely instead of having every project, every decision to be mandated to have a Economic Impact Study on the decisions of the Board. I think you're tying a ham string on it. I think it's a step in the wrong direction."

McMaster: "Ah...Ted, I have difficulty hearing you but...ah..."

Lechowicz: "Well why don't you take it out of the record, we'll talk about it."



McMaster: "It was brought out at the Committee Hearing by the Department of Environmental Quality that the estimate of the study on existing E.P.A. rules and regulations and the P.C.B. would be approximately four hundred thousand dollars and this is going back over what has occurred in the past and all the rulings Ted. So I don't see a big per year expenditure. I do see the cost, of course, in the first year. I would like to go ahead and adopt this Amendment #1 Ted, at least and then we'll go on from there."

Miller: "Ah...The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Mr. Speaker I have no, no disagreement as far as having the Bill in the shape that the Sponsor wants it but I would hope that when it gets on Third Reading that would be agreeable to bring it back to Second Reading for a, an Amendment because the four hundred thousand dollars is not in the E.P.A. Act as it has been passed out of the Appropriations Committee."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster care to close?"

McMaster: "Ah Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a good Amendment and I would like to recommend it's adoption."

Miller: "The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Schneider. Amends Senate Bill 589 on page 3, line 2, by deleting existing and on page 3, line 4, by deleting 'any adverse' and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Members of the House. If we could have attention on...from the Members on this Amendment because I believe the principal Sponsor of the Bill is opposed to it but I want to...ah...I do want to point out that the Agency feels that an Economic Impact Statement is a vital part of determining how a regulation is to be drawn and placed into effect and implemented. So I think what...what my men would attempt to do is to remove first of all some prejudgements.



I don't think concerning the word 'adverse' economic response offense...ah...effect, allows for a determination other than what the...ah...law is calling for. So first of all that very simple change is made by striking the term 'adverse'...ah...adverse, in order to just read 'economic effect'. So the major change in the Amendment would request that the retroactive nature of the Bill be changed to include...ah...to provide rather for only...ah...consideration of proposed regulations and the reason I propose that we need not go back any further to evaluate regulations that are already established is simply that we have already done that through Section 27 of the pl... of the E.P.A. Act and also we've had private...ah...evaluations of the economic impact of a new regulation. We also have...ah... the Institute for Environmental Equality providing such kinds of regulations. So I don't think we ought to retread or retrod ground that has already been touched upon and I think Representative Lechowicz's concern about where we're going to get four hundred thousand dollars this year is a serious one and I think we can reduce that substantially by just providing that we deal with current propositions and I also think in addition to this, these first two points that a third part of the Amendment is important and that is that at the time of the hearing of the proposed regulations that simultaneously with other questions raised about the...ah...proposal that there will be presented at that time the effect...ah...the economic effect of such a proposal. Therefore, you're not going back and duplicating work. The Governor makes sense, it seems to me, to have one hearing on the impact of the proposed regulation and then to go back again into the same geographic area and have a separate hearing on the economic impact. I think we ought to go ahead with a strong economic impact statement. I think the fact that we're going back and reworking old territory and..ah...discussing these... ah...various aspects of the economic impact is really doing



things that we don't have to do. In fact, we might even argue to some that the various procedures that have already been granted by E.P.A. and the Pollution Control Board have been generous in many ways to allow for...ah...compliance with the Pollution Control Board regulations and there has been already allowances for the economic impact on...ah...various groups that are affected by it. So the Amendment is very simply then, will bring us up to date with the requirement for Economic Impact Statements. It does do exactly what I think the Sponsor really wants to do and that is to contend with the problems of economic impact as they are effected by new proposal regulations and once again I don't think we ought to go back and look over what has already been done. So I think this is a good Amendment. It really strengthens the...ah...agency and Environmental Groups who are concerned about looking at the question from more than two sides and I would support, I would hope to support Amendment #2."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I would have to oppose this Amendment. I think it utterly destroys the Bill. Certainly in my estimation any rules and regulations, either by the E.P.A. or P.C.B. has a great effect on the economy of our State. I certainly feel that Industry, all phases of Industry whether Agriculture, any industry is affected. I think the people of the State of Illinois have the right to know what is happening to them. I certainly do not disagree with the protection of our environment, it certainly is something that I have supported for a number of years. So I certainly feel that we must also recognize the economic effect upon the Industries and Labor of the State of Illinois. For that reason I would have to oppose this Bill or this Amendment and I certainly hope that others will join with me in opposition to it. I certainly feel that it must be defeated, I think it guts the Bill."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? All right the Gentleman from



DuPage, Mr. Schneider to close the debate on the Amendment."

Schneider: "All right, thank you Mr. Speaker. Ah...Tom I think it does exactly what you want it to do. It deals with the economic questions of proposed regulations. We've had a lot of input into things that have occurred before, I don't think we have to go over old ground, I think it's an unnecessary expenditure, I think that the Amendment will assist you in passing the Bill on Third Reading I solicit an 'aye' vote for the Amendment."

Miller: "All right, the question is, shall Amendment #2 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. All right five Members request a Roll Call. All those in favor of the adoption of Amendment #2 will vote 'aye', those opposed will vote 'no'. Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMasters to explain his vote."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I said earlier that I had to oppose this Amendment and I do. I certainly that we must recognize the economic impact of rules and regulations of the E.P.A. and the P.C.B. I think it is very, very necessary that we oppose this Amendment and with this Amendment on I will not move for the passage of the Bill. I think that the Amendment has got to defeated."

Miller: "Have all voted who wished? Take...Take the record. On this question there are 90 'ayes' and 55 'nays' and Amendment #2... Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster. Then Amendment #2 is adopted. The Gentleman from Knox, Mr. McMaster."

McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this Bill from the record for the time being, without this Amendment being adopted."

Miller: "Well action was already taken on this...on the Amendment Mr...Mr. McMaster. We can hold it on Second if you would like."

McMaster: "I would hold it on Second Reading then."

Miller: "All right, are they further Amendments Mr. Clerk on the desk. No further Amendments? All right the Gentle...the Sponsor has requested the Bill be held on Second Reading. The Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."



Choate: "The Gentleman's request is certainly in order and I'm not opposing his request as a matter of courtesy. However, the Amendment was adopted was it not?"

Miller: "I declared the Amendment adopted."

Choate: "Thank you."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 84. Philip. A Bill for an Act to amend the Housing Development Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 84 page 1 by deleting line 1 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Phillips."

Philip: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 084 amends the Illinois Housing Development Act. It requires a notice that each proposed project subject to the approval of the Housing Development Authority to be given to the Members of the General Assembly in whose district the project is located. Amendment #1 is a Committee Amendment agreed on by both sides of the aisle. It pertains specifically to Sinclair where they have three Shelter Care Homes that are in danger of going bankrupt. What this Amendment does is allow them financing through the I.H.D.A. I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to House Bill...Senate Bill 84."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gent...the Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House...to Senate Bill 84. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay', the 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 107. McClain. Amends an Act relating to State Finance. Second Reading of the Bill, no Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 156. Terzich. Amends the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Yeah."

Miller: "Is there Amendments?"



Fred Selcke: "Amendment ...where in the Hell...Lundy here?"

Miller: "Is it Mr. Lundy's Amendment? Yes he's on the Floor."

Fred Selcke: "Here he is..."

Miller: "Read the Amendment."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment...Well where's #1 Chalkie, you give me 2 and 3."

Miller: "Mr. Clerk. The Sponsor of the Bill requests that this Bill be held so take his Bill out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "510...Senate Bill 510. Laurino. He's not here."

Miller: "Take 510 out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 744. Madigan. A Bill for an Act to amend thePension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Got any from the Floor?"

Miller: "Any Amendment from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 745. Madigan. Amends the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 32. Taylor. A Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 32 on page 1, by deleting line 26, 27 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 simply gives direction to the courts to who the agencies should be that handle these cases. Now I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House...Senate Bill 32."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All in those in favor say 'aye'.

Opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Committee Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 33. Taylor. A Bill for an Act to amend the Juvenile Court Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 33, page 1, by inserting immediately after line 24, the following."



Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Taylor."

Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 30 thru...33. It gives the course found that children neglected by physical abuse or inflicted by a parent, guardian or legal custodian. It also says that if the courts felt this matter shall not be restored to any parent, guardians or legal custodian found....infliction on physical abuse on the minor. Now this Amendment it relates closely to the dirty language situation. Had we had this type of Bill at that time I doubt that we would have had that problem. It also gives the court the right to after fifteen days, if they have given the parent the child and find that the children is still being neglected. The court can call this case back and put them into the Department of Child and Family Services. I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 33."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentlemen moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 33. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 35. D. L. Houlihan. Give me 35. A Bill for an Act to amend the Child Abuse Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One...ah...Committee Amendment. Amend Senate Bill 35, page 1, line 22 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. D. L. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Chairman, Members of the Hou...Ladies and Gentlemen Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 35 was a Committee Amendment suggested by the Judiciary II Sub-committee. It provides that the Department of Children and Family Services may delegate to offer Public Agencies or to private social agencies the performance of the on-site investigation called for in the Bill. It allows the some Flexibility in meeting the statutory obligation under the Bill. It's a reasonable Amendment, on that was concurred in by both the Senate and the House Sponsor of the Bill and I move it's adoption."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1.



Now is there discussion? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 36. D. L. Houlihan. A Bill for an Act to amend the Child Abuse Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 36 page 1, by deleting lines 16 through 24 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan with respect to Amendment #1."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Committee Amendment #1. to Senate Bill 36 was also an Amendment suggested by the Judiciary II. It simply enlarges the class of persons who are required to make reports under the statutes...ah...to include Truant Officers and Tail Personell of the Department of Public Aid and it is also an Amendment concurred in by the Senate Sponsor and we move it's adoption."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment #1. Is there discussion? All those in favor of Amendment #1 say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is adopted."

Fred Selcke: "Ah...Amendment...Committee Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 36 page 1, line 26, inserting immediately after..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen. Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 36 is also a Committee Amendment. It defines as a...as a question the child who has been subjected to deliberate withholding of feeding endangering it's health. This is a Committee Amendment. It is concurred in by the Sponsor of the Senate bill and we move it's adoption."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Committee Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 83. Walters. An Act in relation to State



Bikeway Programs and Mo...Bicycle Safety. Second Reading of the Bill."

Miller: "Mr. Clerk, take it out of the record. The Gentleman does not want it called."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 92. R. H. Holloway. A Bill for an Act to amend the Illinois Anit-trust Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 130. Philip. An Act relating to easement in DuPage County. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments...ah...from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 138. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 145. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 146. A Bill for an Act to amend the Motor Fuel Tax Law. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 148. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Skinner. Where in the hell is it..."

Miller: "Just a moment. Mr. Skinner. Is Mr. Skinner on the Floor? Take Senate Bill 148 out of the record."



Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 187. Maragos..."

Miller: "Take this Bill out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "What's next? Senate Bill 198. Schoeberlein. Is he here?
An Act....Is there any Amendments to this? All right. "

Miller: "Mr. Schoeberlein is not on the Floor. Take 198 out of
the record. There he is."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 198. An Act to repeal an Act concerning
hours employment of females. Second Reading of the Bill. No
Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Hill. Amends Senate Bill 198 in the
House on page 1, by deleting lines 1 through 3 and inserting in
lieu thereof, the following."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Kane, Mr. Hill."

Hill: "This Amendment makes it voluntary on overtime for men and women in
regard to this piece of Legislation I would approve...I would
appreciate approval of the Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption
of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'nay'.
The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted and the Bill
is advanced to the Order of Third Reading. Mr. Clerk, will you
go back and call Senate Bill 187."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 187. Maragos. A Bill for an Act to
amend the Insurance Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Two
Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amends Senate
Bill 187 on page 4 by deleting line 20..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. At this time I
move to table Amendments #1 and #2 of that, on that Bill."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves to table Amendments #1 and
2 of Senate Bill 187. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'.
The 'ayes' have it and Amendments #1 and 2 are tabled."

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #3. Washington. Amends Senate Bill 187 on
page 9 by adding after line 25 the following."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Washing...Harold Washington with



respect to Amendment #3."

Washington: "I move to table Amendment #3 Mr. Speaker."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves to table Amendment #3.

All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #3 is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendments 1, 2 and 3."

Miller: "The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading.

For what purpose does the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz arise?"

Lechowicz: "I was wondering...ah...Representative Miller offered Amendments #1 and 2 in Committee. Oh, I guess it's been cleared. Thank you."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 201. Tuerk. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments. Any from the Floor? Any from the Floor?...Yeah."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. McCourt. Amends Senate Bill 201, page 1, line 10, by inserting in immediately after the word 'school' the following, 'district'."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McCourt. With respect to Amendment #1."

McCourt: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen, this is just a clarifying Amendment to...ah...clear up a possible ambiguity in the...ah...the Bill. I move it's adoption."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 218. Terzich. An Act creating automatic fire sprinkler and contractors and journeymen's examining board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 220. Tuerk. Amends the Safety...Health and Safety Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Three Committee Amendments. Amendment #1. Amends Senate..."



Miller: "All right, the Gentleman from Peoria, Mr. Tuerk. For what purpose do you rise?"

Tuerk: "Mr. Speaker...ah...on these...ah...Senate Bill 220 and 221, we're awaiting some definite word from Washington relative to one of the Amendment so would you take it out of the record? We'll probably have that information tomorrow."

Miller: "Take Senate Bill 220 and 221 out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 225. W. D. Walsh. Amends the Revenue Act Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Would you take that out of the record Mr. Speaker. We're expecting an Amendment."

Miller: "Take Senate Bill 235 out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 241. Philip. Amends the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1..."

Miller: "Just a moment. Just a moment Mr. Clerk. Mr. Philip on the Floor? I don't see Mr. Philip on the Floor. Take this out of the record Mr. Clerk. And also 242."

Fred Selcke: "Is that up? Senate Bill 265. Tom Miller. Amends the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor. The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 276. Bluthardt. Amends the Municipal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 283. Kelly. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of National Institutions. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment Amends Senate Bill 283, page 1, line 12, by deleting one hundred and



ninety-two thousand and inserting in lieu thereof..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kelly."

Kelly: "Yes, on Senate Bill 283 which is the Financial Institutions Appropriation Bill. There was an Amendment which gives a slight increase of...ah...to the Bill that was originally brought before the Committee. This is a cost of living increase which was proposed."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Ah...Will the Sponsor yield to a question?"

Kelly: "Yes sir."

Walsh: "Ah...what is the...ah...the cost of living increase...ah... in this Amendment?"

Kelly: "This is a total slightly over forty thousand dollars."

Walsh: "The amount per employee is what I had in mind."

Kelly: "The per centage in each...in each division of the Department may vary anywhere from 2.5 up to, in some cases, 5.2."

Walsh: "Do you have a dollar average amount per employee?"

Kelly: "For the different Department yes sir."

Walsh: "What is it?"

Kelly: "Well you want me to give them to you in each division?"

Walsh: "Well in a few. I...I was more interested in the overall average...ah...maybe we can, maybe we can arrive at it if you'll give me a few of them. A few representative ones, the highs and the lows."

Kelly: "Right, I'll tell you what. Why don't I get together with you and I'll show you what we have on the...ah...total and..."

Walsh: "I think I was more interested in making a point than in getting together."

Kelly: "All right. I...ah...I don't have that figure in front of me Bill and that's why I would just like to move for the adoption of the Amendment."

Walsh: "Well I'd like to make this point with respect to the Gentleman's Amendment and I have no intention of...ah...of opposing it but I'd like to make a point that the cost of living



increase in this Amendment, as I understand it...ah...is considerably less than the cost of living ...ah... allowed by the Republican Party and passed by this House last week or the week before. The average per employee is in the Jones' Bill was thirty-five dollars. It's my understanding that the average in this is something in the neighborhood of thirty dollars so I suggest to you that the Republican Party is doing more for State Employees in the way of adjusting for a cost of living increase than is provided in this Amendment which is, in my opinion an Administration Amendment."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. These are the Amendments that were discussed with Representative Bud Washburn and the Republican Members of the Appropriations Committee in conjunction with the Democratic Members. It was thought at that time that they would not be offered in Committee because the Bills are normally amended in the Appropriations Committee and we wanted the reflection shown on the Bill that it would be as amended or as it came out of Committee. These were agreed upon Amendments upon discussion with Representative Washburn and as far as the total dollar amount and the various line items we have them and if you want to take the time of the Floor we'll go through them one by one and give you the increases in their respective areas. But these were agreed upon Amendments based upon the Bills as they came out of the Appropriations Committee."

Miller: "The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. Is there further discussion? All right. The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. Jones."

Jones: "I just wonder if the Sponsor will hold this until we can take a look at it because he isn't able to answer which form is being used and I think we need to know that before we vote on the Amendment."

Miller: "Wishes of the Sponsor? The Gentleman care to hold the Bill as requested or desire to proceed? Hold the Bill? All right, take the Bill out of the record."



Fred Selcke: "286...Give me 286, Chalkie. Senate Bill 286. Schneider.
An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the
State Employees Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Schneider. Amends Senate Bill 286 on page
1, by deleting line 9 through 11."

Miller: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Mr. Schneider."

Schneider: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. This is an Amendment that I believe
both sides of the aisle have seen now...ah...in Committee we
just had a lump sum figure of ninety-four thousand eight hundred
dollars. What this Amendment does is to line out that amount
so that you can determine the distributions of that lump sum and
I move for it's adoption."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #1 be
adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes'
have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?
The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 289. Jacobs. Appropriations for expense
of the Office of Commission and Savings and Loans. Second
Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill
289, page 1, line 10 by deleting six hundred and fifty thousand..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs."

Jacobs: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 289...9 does nothing but delete
the amount in certain lines but in no way changes the appropriations.
And I move the adoption of Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 289."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption
of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed
'nay'. The 'ayes' have it, Committee Amendment #1 is adopted. Are
there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Jacobs. Amends Senate Bill 289 as amended
on line 10..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Rock Island, Mr. Jacobs."



Jacobs: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #2 to Senate Bill 289 changes the Appropriation by twenty-four thousand, nine hundred and eighty dollars which was a cost of living increase making the appropriation nine hundred and thirteen thousand six hundred and eighty dollars. I move the adoption of Amendmnt #2."

Miller: "Is there disucssion? The Gentleman has moved for the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 294. Kozubowski. Appropriations for the expenses of Civil Defense Agency. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Kozubowski. Amends Senate Bill 294 page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 merely reflects a cost of living increase as recommended by Bureau of the Budget and was agreed upon by both Republican and Democratic Members of the Appropriations Committee and I would move for a successful adoption."

Miller: "Discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well I would ask the same question of the Sponsor of this Amendment if he can tell me what the average increase is."

Kozubowski: "Representative Walsh, the increase ranges from three and a half to five and a half per cent."

Walsh: "In dollar amount per employee."

Kozubowski: "I can not give you that figure."

Walsh: "Well I make the same point Mr. Speaker. That the Republican Party is more responsive to the needs of the State Employees and has provided far better for them than the Democratic Party has in these Amendments."

Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, I would just comment that this Amendment



was agreed upon by both Republican and Democratic Members of the Appropriations Committee."

Miller: "Is there further discussion? The question is, shall Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 294 be adopted. All those in favor will say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 322. Sevcik. Appropriation for the to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Legislative Investigating Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 322, page 1, line 23 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I ask leave of the House to table Committee Amendment #1."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves that Committee Amen...Amendment #1 be tabled. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Amendment #1 is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #2. Sevcik. Amends Senate Bill 322 page 1, line 11 by deleting two hundred and thirty thousand and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Sevcik."

Sevcik: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah... Amendment #2 simply breaks down the thirty two thousand five hundred dollars that was in contingency into the various categories. It does not increase the total amount. I therefore move the adoption of Committee Amendment...I mean of Amendment #2."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #2. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading"

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 357. Waddell. A Bill for an Act to amend the Revenue Act of 1939. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 381. Stone...give me 381."

Miller: "Just a moment. Mr. Stone on the Floor? Take 381 out of the record. Mr. Stone. Do you want this Bill called sir? There's an Amendment on it. All right. Read Senate Bill 381."

Fred Selcke: "Okay, give me back 381. Senate Bill 381. Appropriation for the expenditures of University Civil Service Merit Board. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 381 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Moultrie, Mr. Stone. With respect to Committee Amendment #1."

Stone: "Mr. Speaker. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. It merely reduces the appropriation by ten thousand dollars."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Is there further Amendments? The Bill is ordered advanced to Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 393. Collins."

Miller: "Mr. Collins on the Floor? Okay."

Fred Selcke: "A bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. No there isn't, where is it. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading. Wait a minute. There is an Amendment. I don't see Mr. Collins on the ...Take this Bill out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "We're way ahead of you Augie. We're way through with 381. Senate Bill 400. Ron Hoffman. A Bill for an Act to amend the Park District Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 414. Lemke. Appropriations for the Teachers



Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 416..."

Miller: "Hold...Hold 416. Take it out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "What's next? Senate Bill 418..."

Miller: "Just a minute. Is Mr. Terzich on the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Do you want this? 418....Senate Bill 418. An Act to make an appropriations to the Department of Registration Education. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 418 line...page 1, line 8, by deleting fifty thousand and inserting in lieu thereof, twenty-five thousand."

Miller: "All right. The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Terzich in Mr. Collins seat."

Terzich: "I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Miller: "Is there discussion? All right. The question is, shall Committee Amendment #1 be adopted. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 420. J. J. Wolf. Appropriation for the Retirement Benefits for Teachers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 425. Kozubowski. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of Clerk of the Appellate Court of the First District. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 425 page 1, line 11 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Kozubowski."



Kozubowski: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

Committee Amendment #1 reduces the appropriation for the Appellate Court Clerk's Office First District by an amount of fourteen thousand three hundred and forty dollars. I would move for the successful adoption of this Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman offers to move..the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 426. Appropriation for the expense incurred in the Appellate Court of the Second District. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 427. Boyle. Appropriation for the expense incurred of the Appellate Court of the Fifth District."

Miller: "Is Mr. Boyle on the Floor? Mr. Kenny Boyle on the Floor? Take this Bill out of the record Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 433. Dave Jones. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Clerk of the Appellate Court of the Fourth District. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 433 page 1, line 11, by deleting..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Sangamon, Mr. David Jones."

Jones: "This makes a reduction in an appropriation of three thousand dollars. I move it's adoption."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman offers to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Who wants to go to 416. Well the Sponsor's not here. Senate Bill 435. Appropriation for the expense of the Clerk of the Appellate Court of the Third District. Second Reading



of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 446. An Act to amend Section 11 and so forth of an Act to provide unintelligible to the laws relating to Cities and Villages, incorporated Towns. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 447. Amends the County Hospitals Governing Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "That Mr. Simms. I...Is Mr. Timothy Simms on the Floor? Take it out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "426 did but 427 didn't. Boyle wasn't here. Senate Bill 448. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 450. A Bill for an Act to amend the Medical Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 456. Shea. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 456 on page 2, line 7 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Shea."

Shea: "I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."



Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 471. A Bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 499. A Bill for an Act to amend the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 522. A Bill for an Act to amend the Savings and Loan Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Two Committee Amendments. Committee Amendment #1. Amends Senate Bill 522 on page 1, line 17 by deleting the period and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. McAvoy. Mr. McAvoy, Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 522."

McAvoy: "Move the adoption of the Amendment."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 522. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment is adopted."

Fred Selcke: "Committee Amendment #2. Amends Senate Bill 522 in the House on page 1 by adding after the word 'corporation' line 17, the following and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #2. Is there discussion? All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Committee Amendment #2 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 533. A Bill for an Act to amend the Court Reporters Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 543. An Act relating to Pollution Control Facilities. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."



Miller: "Any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 546. Maragos. Amends the Park District Code."

Miller: "Mr. Maragos on the Floor? Take Senate Bill 546 out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 553. Amends the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Giorgi. Amends Senate Bill 553, page 4, line 18, by striking the word 'two hundred' and inserting in lieu thereof, one..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. Giorgi."

Giorgi: "Mr. Speaker, these are Committee suggested Amendments. All they do is allow a peti...a referendum at the a minimum of a thousand signatures. The Amendment allows any School Board in any time to go into this. This is a Committee suggested Amendment and I move for it's adoption."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves the adoption of Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it. Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 563. Amends the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 564. Amends the Veterinary Medicine Surgeon Practice Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 571. Sharp. Amends the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"



Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Sharp. Amends Senate Bill 571
page 3, by inserting after..."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Madison, Mr. Sharp."

Sharp: "This is an Amendment that was recommended by the Committee
...Makes this Act apply only to Fiscal Years beginning on or
after July 1, 1974. So it will take effect Fiscal Year 1975.
So I move for it's adoption."

Miller: "The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Amendment #1.
All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have
it and Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments?
The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 579. Amends the Election Code. Second
Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to
the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 587. An Act in relation to Survivors
Benefits under Workman's Compensation Laws. Second Reading
of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill
is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill..."

Miller: "Hold Senate Bill 58. Wait a minute, we handled that
didn't we."

Fred Secke: "599. Amends the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of
the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is
advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill...Is he here, Pierce here? 613.
Amends the Library Systems Act. Second Reading of the Bill.
No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Pierce."

Miller: "Mr. Pierce on the Floor."

Fred Selcke: "Amends Senate Bill 613, on page 2 by striking lines
10 through 13, inserting in lieu thereof, the following."



Miller: "The Gentleman from Lake, Mr. Pierce."

Pierce: "Is Mr. Calvo on the Floor, the Sponsor of the Bill?"

Miller: "We're trying to see. Is Mr. Calvo on the Floor?"

Pierce: "Maybe we should take this out of the record. We're trying to work something out here and especially the Sponsor of the Bill. I think he'll oppose my Amendment #1 and so I'd rather..."

Miller: "I don't see Mr. Calvo....Take 613 out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "What's the next one? Senate Bill 622. An Act to establish the Illinois Network for School Development. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 643. An Act to amend the Illinois Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "The next one Chalkie. Senate Bill 663. Creates the Travel Arrangers Registration Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 672. Appropriation for the Office of the Lieutenant Governor. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 690. A bill for an Act to amend the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 708. North. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 708 as follows on page 1, lines 2 and 10 and so



forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Winnebago, Mr. North."

North: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Amendment #1 to Senate Bill 708 merely clarifies the title procedure and certificate of, for junk vehicles and creates a new category for salvage certificates. Ah...I move the adoption of Amendment #1."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves for the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 731. Madigan. An Act relating to the Chicago Metropolitan Area River Basin Plan. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 794. A Bill for an Act to amend the Banking Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 800. Amends the Election Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 801. An Act to authorize the direction of Children and Family Services in behalf of the State of Illinois to convey a quick claim certain land. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 817. D. L. Houlihan. An Act to amend an Act relating to Alcoholic Liquors. Second Reading of the Bill."

Miller: "Mr. Houlihan requests it be taken out of the record."



Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 866. Merlo. Amends the Revenue Act.

Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 866 as amended on page 1, line 5 and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Merlo."

Merlo: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. This Amendment is now in essence the Bill. It provides for a workable method to achieve tax relief for subsidized housing and I ask your approval of the Amendment."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment...Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye', opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and the Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 884. Amends the School Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments"

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 891. An Act to provide for the planning and development of the Right to Read Centers in Illinois. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 903. Campbell. An Act creating the Department of Public Health a Commission on Health Facility of County...Second Reading of the Bi...."

Miller: "Is Mr. Campbell on the Floor? Just a minute Mr. Clerk. I don't see Mr. Campbell on the Floor. Take it out of the record.."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 905. Gene Hoffman. Creates the School Construction Bond Act...Is he here?"

Miller: "Is Mr. Gene Hoffman on the Floor? Take it out of the record Mr. Clerk."

Fred Selcke: "907. Where's 907?"

Miller: "Take out 907. Well there's no Amendment on that one."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 907. An Act in relation to State Finance.



Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 963. Berman. An Appropriation for the expense of the Office of the Lieutenant Governor. He's not here... Oh, here he comes. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 963 as amended in Section 1, line 11 by striking one hundred and twenty four thousand, six hundred and thirty and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Berman."

Berman: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee Amendment #1 is an increase in the appropriation for the Office of the Lieutenant Governor by approximately eighty three thousand dollars. I move the adoption of Senate... Committee Amendment #1."

Miller: "Is there discussion? The Gentleman moves the adoption of Committee Amendment #1. All those in favor say 'aye'. Opposed 'nay'. The 'ayes' have it and Committee Amendment #1 is adopted. Are there further Amendments? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Next Bill. wait a minute hell, we got other things to do now. Senate Bill 1020. An Act to amend the Revenue Act. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1026. An Act to amend the Criminal Code. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 1184. An Act to amend an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent activity of the Illinois Junior College Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor? The Bill is advanced to the Order of Third Reading."



Fred Selcke: "How about 156? Huh? 148. All right. Is he back there?"

Miller: "Is Mr. Waddell on the Floor? Philip back there? Philip. Senate Bill 447. Mr. Simms is here."

Fred Selcke: "Senate Bill 447. A Bill for an Act to amend the County Hospital Zoning Commission Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Oh there's two Amendments. No Committee Amendments."

Miller: "Are there any Amendments from the Floor?"

Fred Selcke: "Amendment #1. Douglas. Amends Senate Bill 447 on page 4 by deleting line 30 and inserting in lieu thereof the following and so forth."

Miller: "The Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Douglas."

Douglas: "Mr. Speaker, I don't believe this is on the desk yet...ah... and if it's not I would ask the...ah...Sponsor if he would agree to take this out of the record until it's around on every-one's desk."

Miller: "All right, take this Bill out of the record."

Fred Selcke: "What do you got, Jack? I'll tell you we'll do it but you're going to have to get a better copy. Hell that one won't even come off on the Xerox Machine. Well they got to retype it then we'll do it but hell it's silly to run 200 sheets for something nobody can read."

Miller: "All right, under concurrences appears House Bill 696 and in this connection the Chair recognizes the Gentleman from Cook, Mr. Madigan. With respect to Senate Amendment #1."

Madigan: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Senate Amendment #1 makes certain technical changes to the Bill relative to positions where occupants of a vehicle may sit while in motion. I move to concur in Senate Amendment #1."

Miller: "All right, the Gentleman moves that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 696. Now this is final action. All those in favor will vote 'aye' and opposed 'nay'. Have all vote who wished? Take the record Mr. Clerk. On this question there are 107 'ayes' and no 'nays'. Mr. Wall 'aye', Mr. McAvoy 'aye' and the House does concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House



Bill 696."

Telcser: "On the order of concurrences appears House Bill 897 for which purpose the Gentleman from Whiteside, Representative Miller."

Miller: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. The...when this Bill went over to the Senate the Senate wanted to add one of the priorities in connection with the appointment of Administrator Executive of State and what they did was to insert before, 'Public Administrator's and creditors of the estate', 'guardians of a deceased ward and conservator of a deceased incompetent'. This appears to me to be an excellent Amendment and Mr. Speaker, I move that the House concur in Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 897."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved the House do concur with Senate Amendment to House Bill 897. All in favor of the concurrence signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Bill 897. Is Representative Getty on the Floor? On the Order of Concurrences appears House Joint Resolution #14. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate, moves that the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 14. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the House concur with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 14. All in favor signify by voting 'ays', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Could...Would it be proper to ask what the Amendment does? Mr. Speaker."

Choate: "It reduces the number of the Commission Members from 16 to 8. Four from each body."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Kozubowski, 'aye'. Caldwell 'aye'. Emil Jones 'aye'. Huskey 'aye'. On this question there are 89 'ayes', 2 'nays' and the House concurs with Senate Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution 14. Okay, on the Order of Constitutional Amendments, Second Reading, appears House Joint Resolution, Constitutional Amendment #18. For which purpose



GENERAL ASSEMBLY
STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff is recognized."

Duff: "Ladies and Gentlemen of the House...ah...I would first ask for clarification of the Chair of the question raised yesterday evening relative to the offering of substitution...ah...Resolution...substantive Resolutions to...Resolutions to Constitutional Amendments."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, House Joint Resolution is on the Order of Senate... or on the Order of Second Reading. Now, my inquiry is, can a Constitutional Amendment, a proposed Constitutional Amendment be amended on the Order of Second Reading and if not, why?"

Telcser: "The Ruling of the Chair is that they can be amended as long as the Amendments are germane."

Shea: "They can be amended as long as the Amendments are germane, is the ruling?"

Telcser: "Yes, can...C.A.N....can."

Shea: "Okay. Then let me ask the next question of the Chair. Would a substitute Amendment not be out of order because that Amendment in that form, if it were offered on Second Reading, could not have been read in conformity of our Constitution requiring that an Amendment be read three times in each House in full."

Telcser: "Okay a substitute Resolution, as long as it is germane, would be allowed."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, I would respectfully dissent from the Chair and I will file it in written form, that an Amendment, a substitute would in effect amend the Bill without and I would assume that it would take a majority or 89 votes to put in a substitute Amendment or 107 because in effect without a Roll Call vote what you are doing is in allowing an Amendment to a Constitutional Amendment without giving this House an opportunity to vote on it. Now what is the position of the Chair with the offering of a substitute Resolution."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, if I understand you inquiry correctly then a substitute Resolution would rise or fall on it's own merit, would it not?"

Shea: "Well how would a person having had a Constitutional Amendment



read a first time gone through Committee, appear on the Order of Second Reading, having been read a second time, getting to the point of the Amendment stage and as I understand the position of the Gentleman he now seeks to offer a substitute Resolution."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, in matters such as this, the Chair can only go...ah...by prior precedence such we're dealing with a new State Constitution relative to this matter. And under the old State Constitution that Constitution stated...ah...that Bills must be read in full on three separate Legislative Days and as a...as a matter of fact, as of course you well know...ah... Amendments were offered to Bills...ah...Bills were amended, they were substituted, stricken at the enacting clause and were still considered read in full on three separate Legislative days in both of, both the House and the Senate. Since we're on now Constitutional ground now relative to this particular matter...ah... that is the precedence by which the Chair has made it's ruling."

Shea: "I'm not sure what the Chair has ruled. The Chair has ruled that House Joint Resolution and Senate Joint Resolution that seek to amend the Constitution may be amended on the Order of Second Reading or Amendments may be offered and if adopted they can be ru...then the Bill can be amended and not have to go back and be read a first time. Is that substantially what it does?"

Telcser: "That's correct sir."

Shea: "All right. Now it is my understanding that Mr. Duff, the Gentleman who is the Sponsor of this now seeks to offer a substitute Resolution. Would you give me a ruling from the Chair please with regards to how one might offer a substitute Resolution and what would be the effect of not being able to be read on three days in full in this Chamber."

Telcser: "Representative Shea I think we've got a...in my own mind I've talked with Representative Duff to be sure I had it straightened out. The so called substitute Resolution to which you have referred...ah...is simply going to be an Amendment to...to the Resolution which is on the Calendar and if the so called substitute Resolution which is an Amendment is adopted..ah..the Resolution as amended will



still carry with it the original Resolution number."

Shea: "No, you can take a Resolution and if the Parliamentarian is ruling and that is your ruling that it meets the Constitutional requirement of being read three times in the form that it is going to the people, even though it be amended. Fine, that be your ruling? But the Amendments be offered to the original House... House Joint Resolution one at a time and be voted up or down by the Members of this Chamber. Not a substitute Resolution, because a substitution...a substitute Resolution, Mr. Speaker, would be a clear violation of the Constitutional requirement of being read on three different occasions. Perhaps Mr. Speaker, you'd want to...perhaps Mr. Speaker, you'd want to pull this out of the record and Mr. Duff your Parliamentarian and I sit down together and discuss it."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the sentiments of this Gentleman from Cook, but...ah..."

Shea: "I assure Mr. Duff, it will be called within a half an hour."

Duff: "...Mr. Speaker, I've been through this a lot of times and I've been trying to get some movement on this for quite a long time now and I've been off the record as...ah...as...ah...as patient as anybody could ever be expected to be. I don't care what the Chairs ruling is, please give me a ruling, I'll go either way....Please give me a ruling."

Telcser: "All right, Rep...Okay. Representative Duff, based on my conversation with you, I am under the impression that this term, 'substitute Resolution' is something which really does not exist. But that you wish to offer simply an Amendment to House Joint Resolution, Constitutional Amendment #18. Now, is that correct sir?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, last week, when we reached Second Reading on this Resolution...ah...there was, we were asked to take it out of the record then too...ah...because there was a question in the Parliamentarians line and the Speaker at that time as to whether or not we should proceed by Amendment or by substitute Resolution. A week ago I said, Mr. Speaker, I don't care, just give me a ruling."



Last night the subject came up again and I said at that time, I don't care Mr. Speaker, just give me a ruling. Today, I feel the same way. I'll go any way the Speaker decides. This is a substitute Resolution...ah...I don't have to go that route if you don't feel it's important. I'll do what the Speaker says, we'll make this in the hardest Constitutional form we can get it. But I would like to...to move ahead with this Resolution."

Telcser: "The Chair would rule then, Representative Duff, that...ah... the way to go is by Amendment."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, in that case I move to...ah...table the substitute Resolution."

Telcser: "Okay..."

Shea: "Well now Mr. Speaker, what...what is a substitute Resolution... I...I'm a bit confused and I would like the record to show that I'm confused...what...one time we have a Resolution and now we have a substitute..."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, now that we've arrived at a normal state of a ...affairs... I would appreciate it if we could simply table my substitute Resolution and move ahead with Second Reading."

Telcser: "Okay, since the...ah...the substitute Resolution, as it's termed, was never offered, adopted then it need not be tabled, it simply need not be offered."

Shea: "I object to that Mr. Speaker, it has been offered, it's placed on the Clerks desk and I would make it part of the record with regards to this House Joint Resolution."

Telcser: "Well the record will show, that a so called substitute Resolution is lying on the Clerks desk. The Sponsor of the Resolution does not wish to move for it's adoption."

Shea: "It was offered yesterday for adopted."

Telcser: "But no action was taken Representative Shea."

Shea: "I know, now then will the record clearly reflect that the Sponsor has offered a substitution, a substitute Resolution and now wishes to withdraw it."

Shea: "That is part of the record sir, now..."



Duff: "Mr. Speaker..."

Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "I appreciate the great assistance of the distinguished assistant Minority Leader, he does try very hard with my Bills to help me put them in good shape. I would like the record to show that that substitute Resolution was offered in accordance with the suggestion of the Chair last week."

Telcser: "Okay, now House Joint....ah..."

Shea: "Well now, can I ask this Mr. Speaker, is the Chair changed it's mind in any way?"

Telcser: "The Chair hasn't made it's mind. This is the first time the Chair has made a particular ruling."

Shea: "Well there was....but Mr. Duff indicated last night at the time that this came up that the Chair had ruled last week that Constitutional Amendments could not be amended. Now was that an erroneous statement by Mr. Duff or was it at the ruling of the Chair last week and is the Chair now changing it's ruling this week?"

Duff: "Point of personal privilege Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I have not proposed anything that should be lone on this record. I have constantly tried to find a ruling from the Chair. I have here a memorandum from the Parliamentarian to the Speaker, which describes the suggested moves this...aparaned for this objective. I find it very confusing to discover what the distinguished assistant minority leader is trying to get into this record. I suggest that we be allowed to proceed with this Bill without further interference."

Telcser: "House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #18 has been read a Second time. Are there any Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #1. Hyde. Amends House Joint Resolution, Constitutional Amendment 18 on page 5 by deleting line 5."

Telcser: "Th Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker..."

Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise sir "



Matijevich: "I now raise the point that was raised before and there was a ruling from the Speaker in regard to that point of order that this Constitutional Amendment can not be amended and I ask for a ruling of the Chair. We had a ruling before and I'd ask for another ruling."

Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, I just made that ruling a few moments earlier to Representative Shea and we have ruled that that consel....Constitutional Amendment Joint Resolution #18 can be amended. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, to back up my point, the reason for my point of order...ah...I point specifically to the Constitution of the State of Illinois, Article 14, Section 2 requiring that a ...an Amendment for a change in the Constitution be read in full three separate readings and also...ah...House Rule 42 which relates specifically to Resolutions concerning proposed Constitutional Amendments which also state that the Resolution 42 (b), Resolution shall be read once in full and then...ah...42 (c), that they shall be read in full on...a Second and Third time and Mr. Speaker, ...ah...I believe that the ruling should be that this can not be amended..ah..if so it would be in direct contradiction to both the Illinois Constitution and our own House Rule 42 and I ask for a ruling based on that."

Telcser: "Well Representative Matijevich, the Chair has made it's ruling. The information which you have just given the Chair, which is very much appreciated, sheds no new light on the question. The Chair will stand by it's ruling that Resolution such as this can be amended. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Mr. Speaker, I ask leave to table Amendment #1 to House Resolution, Constitutional Amendment 18."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Shea: "Could the Representative tell us what the Amendment did and why he wishes to table it?"

Hyde: "The one I want to table Mr. Shea?"

Shea: "Yes."

Hyde: "Well the one I want to table did too much. The thrust to my



Amendment is to provide for confirmation by the Senate of those non-Lawyer Members to the Nominating Commission. It seems to me that under the Resolution as originally drafted, eleven non-Lawyer Members to the Nominating Commission were all appointed by the Governor and to achieve the checks and balances that is the hallmark of our system of government...ah...I felt that these people who will be picking our judges from now forward should this Resolution be adopted...ah...ought to be confirmed by the Senate...ah...rather than permit this process to be a Governor's Patronage Process. So...ah...I drafted Amendment #1 and then on looking at it it was redundant. It required ...ah...appointment...confirmation where I really didn't want it so Amendment #2 is really the corrected version of number 1."

Shea: "Thank you."

Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has moved that Amendment #1 to House Joint Resolution, Constitutional Amendment #18...ah...be tabled. All those in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is tabled. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #2. Hyde. Amends House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment 18 on page 5, line 25 by inserting before the period, the following, 'with the advice and consent of the Senate'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This Amendment, this Resolution has to do with the merit selection of judges and these...ah...judges are going to be selected by a Nominating Commission composed of ten lawyers and eleven non-lawyers. Ten lawyers are to be elected by secret ballot and the...ah...non-lawyers, the eleven non-lawyers are to be appointed by the Governor. It just seemed to be that this was an unbalanced way of...ah...getting the job done and to guard against...ah...shall we say aberrational appointments to the Nominating Commission I felt that confirmation of these non-lawyer Members of the Nominating Commission by the Senate would be a definite plus. Ah...and therefore I urge adoption of



Amendment #2 to House Resolution 18."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #2 to House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #18. All in favor signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments?"

Jack O'Brien: "Amendment #3. Pierce. Amends House Joint Resolution, Constitutional Amendment 18 on page 1, line 23 by striking the words, 'of all appellate courts of' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'of all appellate courts and of all' and on page 1, line 24 by striking the word 'Circuit Court of Cook' and inserting in lieu thereof, 'Circuit Courts' and on page 1, by striking line 25, 26, 27 and inserting in lieu thereof, 'all of said Supreme' and on page 3, by striking line 32 through 35 and on page 4 by striking line 1 through 18 and on page 4 by striking line 21 through 23 and inserting in lieu thereof, 'District and in the Circuit Courts for nomination of judges or' and on page 5 by striking line 5 through 17 and inserting in lieu thereof, 'the district'."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Ah...Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. What Amendment #3 says simply is this. If merit selection is good for Cook County then it's good for the 102 counties of the State of Illinois. The people in Cook County are not better or no worse or no more evil than the people in any of the other counties of our state. Now the Gentleman's Constitutional Amendment provides for merit selection of Supreme Court Judges throughout the State. For merit selection of Appellate Court Judges throughout the State, but somehow when he comes down to Circuit Court Judges, he says to Cook County, 'You're going to lose the right to elect your judges, they'll be chosen on merit selection but 101 downstate Counties, most of which happen to be Republican ...sh...they have fine Gentlemen in the courts and they have fine party leaders appointing those Gentlemen to the tickets to run for the court and therefore they don't need merit selection,



they can keep electing them on a partisan basis'. What this Amendment says, if merit selection is good, it's good for all the people of Illinois. We have always selected our judges in the same way throughout the state, we did on the 1970 Constitution and we did on the 1870 Constitution. If judges are to be elected, they're to be elected, if they're to be retained on retention, they're to be retained on retention in 102 counties. If they're to be chosen by party conventions, they're to be chosen that way in 102 counties. Now this Constitutional Amendment comes along and says Cook County will have merit selection of it's Circuit Court but not the 101 downstate counties. That's unfair. The people of my county, of Lake County in 1970 in voting on proposal to be presented with the Constitution of 1970, voted for merit selection. The people of DuPage County voted for merit selection and the people of about ten or fifteen counties around the state, as well as Cook, voted for merit selection. What this Constitutional Amendment says is we're going to impose merit selection on Cook County but we're not going to impose it or require a referendum in the other 101 counties. What my Amendment #3 says, the Amendment you're going to vote on is that merit selection, if this Constitutional Amendment passes and is approved by the voters, then merit selection shall apply to all 102 counties of the state for Circuit Court Judge. Now Mr. Duff does apply it for Supreme Court Judge and Appellate Judge in all 102 counties but for Circuit Judge he did not. Why didn't he? I think I know why, it was a method of getting downstate Republicans to vote for this. You figure he'd get the independent Democrats in Chicago and Cook County to support it, he could get downstate Republicans to support it by leaving them out of merit selection for Circuit Court Judges. It's strictly an effort to purchase their votes. But I want to say something to the downstate Republicans. If they go for this merit selection of Cook County and thinking they won't get it downstate, don't worry you'll be getting it in a few years. You're getting it for your Appellate and Supreme Court Judges this year. Now I happen to be a supporter of merit selection and I happen to have supported 2(b) in 1970. Our Lake County Democratic Central



Committee went on record against our State Central Committee and supported merit selection. But we supported it for all 102 counties and this House Joint Resolution 18 says the people of Cook County are evil, they should have merit selection but downstate in the other 101 counties the judge is selected on a partisan basis, they're okay, they don't need merit selection. Their Appellate Court Judges do, their Supreme Court Judges do but their Circuit Court Judges don't and I say that's a strictly political matter of lining up a few downstate Republican votes to give us, to shove it to Cook County on merit selection and even though I support merit selection, I will not support it if it's imposed on one County and not on the other 101 counties. I don't think the people of Cook County are any better or any worse than the people in the rest of the State. The way they select their Judges is the way the rest of us should select our Judges. If they have merit selection, we should have merit selection, if they elect their Judges on a party basis, we select our judges on a party basis and therefore I propose Amendment #3, which in effect says, that if this Constitutional Amendment is adopted by the voters that there should be merit selection for the Circuit Court in all 102 counties, not just in Cook County and I urge the adoption of Amendment #3."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the clarity with which the Gentleman from Lake proposes his Amendment. I should point out in response that in the first place, in the Illinois Constitution the first Judicial District, which is Cook County is Constitutionally defined. There is already in the Illinois Constitution a distinction to the significance of that Judicial District and it's size. All of the other Judicial Districts can be reapportioned, they all can be changed in the future on the basis of population. This Resolution reflects that Constitutional distinction. It is a fact also, that the ballot in the First Judicial District is enormous relative to the ballots in all of the others. For example, on the average you could expect some fifty Judges to be on the ballot in the First



Judicial District. You could normally expect between three to six Judges to be on the ballot in the...in the other Districts. Now, the part of the significance is not only the size of the ballot but the very important concept that the voter in the downstate area is far more apt to know his Judge or Judges far more apt to be able to decide who they are. We all know, that even attorneys who are practicing in the First Judicial District, don't know all the Judges, we know that it is a literal practical impossibility for some six million people to know all of the Judges, to be able to inquire into their selection process. This recognized that very real fact. It's also a fact that in 1970, when we did have...ah...to be proposed in the Constitutional referendum, that Cook County the First Judicial District, carried in favor of that subject by some fifty-eight thousand votes. It's a fact that only five other counties passed it. The Resolution, as proposed, does offer an option, an optional referendum to all of the Judicial Districts, who might want merit selection. All Judicial Districts will be given the opportunity downstate to say whether they want it or not. I think this reflects the...the feelings of the people. It is directly...ah...reflective of their earlier decision and I think it is in fact a reality which we propose to recognize by this Resolution. I also further believe that in the history of 2(b), we could anticipate that if...ah...this Amendment, which is offered at this time, would pass, that it would take away from all of the downstate areas the privilege that they would like to maintain. I would suggest that all downstate persons who believe that they would like to have that option maintained should vote against this Resolution...this Amendment. This Amendment is dilatorious to the entire effort that we are trying to create here. I would certainly hope that for the sake of this Resolution, this Amendment, would be defeated."



Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "Ah...Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, as Chief Co-sponsor of H.J.R.C.A. 18, I rise with my colleague to oppose this Amendment #3 and I do it with...ah...a certain amount of pain because I know the mover of this Amendment is a great advocate of merit election... ah...I would suggest that...ah...first of all to admonish him by suggesting that as a political maneuver it is uncalled for due to the fact that we try to make this a by-partisan effort and that it isn't a product of Representative Duffs, it is a product of many sincere, worthy groups and fire associations and civic organizations and judicial study groups who have come to this kind of consensual way in which we have to have merit selection in Illinois. Now, I agree with the Gentleman it should be statewide and I support it to be and I support it all past efforts in this direction but pragmatically it will not pass, pragmatically it didn't and...in 70 but we know it seemingly was the wishes of the majority of people although this is not a good barometer but at least in the Cook County area it's a dire concern that must get before the voters on the proposition. That's why it's framed in this way. So I am saying due to the temper of the times, due to the fact that this proposition was up if this Amendment does become adopted in 70, this Amendment would be panamount to killing this Resolution and I hope that the mover would not want to kill this Resolution and I would hope that we can get on with this proposition, limited though it is and I will have more words to speak on the visceral effect of needs come the Third Reading but until such time I urge the Membership to defeat this Amendment."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I must say that I'm somewhat taken aback by the comments of the distinguished Minority Leader, when he tries to entune motives to the Sponsor of this Resolution that I don't believe exist. Now when the distinguished Sponsor of this Resolution



to see me and asked me if I'd like to co-sponsor the Bill, he said, 'Here examine the Resolution and let me know in a day or two and I'll be happy to put your name on the Bill.' He didn't say in that it differed upstate or downstate, I think he left it to my own imagination to read the Bill and decide whether I thought it was a good Bill. I think it's an excellent Resolution and should be supported and while the distinguished Sponsor did not stand in opposition to the comments, I think they're very poorly chosen for the distinguished Minority Leader to impugn motives to the author of the Resolution. Secondly, although I've only been downstate three years, it never struck me that there was a difference between the people in Cook County and the people downstate, I never felt that the people in Cook County were more evil than the people downstate, but the point has been brought up so many times by the distinguished minority...Assistant Minority Leader this morning beginning to wonder if Shakespeare's comment that 'Thou protest too much.', is not true and maybe there is an additional reason for passing this Resolution. I might also add as an after thought that it...it doesn't hardly lie in the Sponsor of this Amendments to get up here and complain about treating the Judges in Cook County differently from the Judges in downstate. We certainly don't hear that argument, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, when they come in here and ask for a seventy-five hundred dollar kicker for the Judges in Cook County. But when we're trying to put some type of reasonable imposition on the Judges in Cook County then we're told that it's either unconstitutional, unfair or discriminatory to treat the Judges in Cook County differently from those treated downstate. I think they're treated very fairly and I'm not too sure that Shakespeare wasn't right and the distinguished Assistant Minority Leader has protested too much and if this Resolution should pass without this Amendment."

Tell me: "Is there further discussion? If not the Gentleman from Cook Representative Pierce to close."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to remind the Gentleman from Champaign that it was the distinguished Minority Whip who offered this Amendment



not the distinguished Minority Leader. I may have sounded like him with that impassioned plea. I agree with you, I agree with the Gentleman from Champaign because I'm from Lake County but a Judge in Lake County or Champaign should be paid the same as a Judge in Cook County and I have long advocated removing the...the differential between Cook County and downstate. I also would like to remind the Gentleman from Champaign that the people of Lake, DuPage and many other Counties voted for merit selection in 1970 and I supported it and I favor it. But you're not so naive that you would agree to support this, you didn't know that only applied to Circuit Courts because you're a very partisan Republican from Champaign. You're trying to be the Republican National Committeeman from this State right now, you've submitted your name and you know darn well that this Amendment will hurt the Democrats in Cook County but not the Republicans downstate and that's why you support it. I think you protest too much...a little, in fact you're not quite frank with us when you say you didn't know that the Amendment only applied to Cook County Circuit Judges when you agreed to support and I suprised you all by that today. It does apply for some reason, the Supreme Court Judges throughout the State, I'm talking about Mr. Duff's Constitutional Amendment, it does apply to Appellate Court Judges throughout the State, but for some reason, not the Circuit Court Judges throughout the State. I'll tell you what the reason is, it's not Mr. Duff's fault, I didn't mean to blame the Sponsor. The Illinois State Bar Association which is headed by a Gentleman from Peoria would not support this Bill unless it applied only to Cook County because many of those Members of the Illinois State Bar from downstate hope to be chosen by their County Chairmen to be the Republican Candidate or in some Counties the Democratic Candidate for Circuit Court Judge in their Counties. They spent a lot of time as precinct committeemen and they don't want to risk now



ah...seeing the ring pulled away from them as the merry-go-round goes round by merit selection. It was...It was a trade off, the downstater said, 'Sure, we want to kick Daly in the pants and the Cook County Democrats and therefore, we'll support your merit selection which you reformers want in Cook County, if you leave us out of it. Reform them but don't reform us.' Now those of us from Lake County and DuPage and other counties say, 'We need reform too.' I...I favor merit selection, I won against my state party in 1970 and supported merit selection. I convinced the Lake County Democratic Party to go against our state party platform and support merit selection in 1970 and merit selection carried Lake County on the Constitutional Referendum in 1970. Now we're being denied it. I'm not trying to help the Judges of Cook County, what I'm trying to do is help the people of the state be treated equally. If someone in one county has the right to vote for a Judge, someone in Cook County should have the same right. If merit selection is better for the people in Cook County then it's better for the people in the other counties. Let's be uniform, let's have uniformity of elections. Our new Constitution calls for uniformity of elections. If we're to have merit selection, if merit selection is so darned good, let's have it statewide for the Circuit Court and adopt Amendment #3 and I urge all of you in fairness, in uniformity, to support Amendment #3 to the Constitutional Amendment, House Joint Resolution 18 for merit selection."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment #3 to House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #18. All in favor of the Gentlemans motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. The Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Hirschfeld."

Hirschfeld: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. In explaining my vote, I would just like to say that apparently the distinguished Minority Whip has read my mind so well, I would like to have my office checked for bugs."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Porter to explain his vote."

Porter: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I think that



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

this is the most important measure to come before this body this Session. Those of you that believe in merit selection had better be alert right now, because this Amendment #3 is designed to scuttle it and if it passes there will be as all of us know, no merit selection for Cook County. I think the Sponsor of this Amendment knows that the entire thing is patently absurd, that there is a great distinction between Cook County and those downstate, that we can not know in Cook County, who is running for Judges, that so many candidates, we can't know about retention because there's so many candidates. Downstate, that's not true and he knows that. He also knows that under H.J.R.C.A. 18 the downstate Circuits have an option by referendum to have merit selection for themselves. If they want it they can have it simply by voting it in. I would urge all of you who believe in merit selection to vote 'no'. To allow the downstate counties to have the system they want and to allow Cook County to have merit selection. I urge a 'no' vote."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Philips."

Philips: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. As you're aware, DuPage County has been eluded to in this debate. And I'd like to make this point and the referendum on the merit selection of Judges barely, barely passed in DuPage County and I'm going to tell you why. Because a lot of our citizens drive, work and are ticketed in Cook County and when they go into the courts and they see the system they come back with a very, very, bad taste in their mouth. That is the only reason that referendum passed in DuPage County. Their attitude in our courts and the situations in our courts are two hundred per cent better and that is the only reason that that referendum passed."

Telcser: "Is there further explanation of votes? Have all voted who wish? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Katz."

Katz: "It would seem to me Mr. Speaker, that it's far enough in the Session where we should stop playing games. I think unfortunately that there will not be a Constitutional Amendment reported out of this...ah...General Assembly relating to merit



selection, we might as well recognize that fact. That being so, you might as well vote honestly on this Amendment of Representative Pierce because whichever way this Amendment goes we're not going to, in my view, have merit selection because I don't think that it represents the will of the required majority of the General Assembly. But since that is so, it isn't going to pass, so we might as well be honest and do it the way it ought to be, what applies in Cook ought to apply down in all the other counties exactly the same way and so I'm going to vote for it honestly and recognize that the whole thing isn't going to pass anyway but let's at least have it in the form that it ought to be which is statewide."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson, to explain his vote."

Rayson: "Ah...Well to explain my vote Mr. Speaker. There's a certain sort of laurelide seductive lure about the last Gentleman's response to honesty and I suggest it has certain merit...ah...but if he recalls the proposition on 2(b) carried in the Cook County area, it was defeated by parochial interest downstate. So we know...ah... if we're going to get anywhere, we're going to have to roll very slowly and creep and I suggest creeping means to...sh...roll down this Amendment and...ah...because I think that when you dispense justice in Cook County it's panamout to dispensing forty per cent of the justice in the State and I suggest a piece is better than nothing."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, the organization who has backed this Resolution, including twenty-five of the most prestigious and serious organizations in Illinois who would attend to this subject. They include the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, the Better Government Association, the I.V.I., the Chicago Buyer, the Illinois State Buyer and many, many others. This Resolution as it is written does include many checks and balances which are responsive to the complaints that people have posed in the past on this subject. The Amendment that we're talking about right now got the Resolution



to a ver...in a very, very dilatorious way. The Amendment is pernicious, the Amendment is offered in a way which is going to very effectively hurt the opportunity for downstate to maintain any kind of option whatsoever, or any alternative for us to ever attempt to successfully change the mess in the First Judicial District which results from an enormous and uninformed ballot. I would very, very much like to see those persons downstate who are not on this to put on red lights because you will be voting in the interests of your people."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."

Yourell: "Thank you Mr. Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House in comment to the last speakers remarks, I would say that you are not voting when you vote in opposition to this Amendment in the best interest of the people of the State of Illinois because as you all know, that this...this proposition when it was placed on a statewide referendum and an Amendment on the ballot what defeated soundly and he speaks to the prestigious organization of the state, twenty-five or more that support this Resolution. I would call his attention to the most prestigious organization of the State and that's the people and they rejected it back in 1970 and I suggest we do not...that now."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 83 'ayes', 74 'nays' Representative Tom Miller, for what purpose do you rise?"

Miller: "Yes Mr. Speaker, I ask for a Roll Call of the absentees please."

Telcser: "There are currently 83 'ayes', 74 'nays'. The Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees. Will the Clerk please read the name of the absentees."

Jack O'Brien: "Barry, Blades, Carter, Ewell, Hart, R. K. Hoffman, J. D. Holloway, Hudson, Jacobs, Kennedy, Krause, Martin, McAvoy Murphy, North, Schraeder, Sevcik..."

Telcser: "Representative Schraeder wishes to be recorded as voting 'no'."

Jack O'Brien: "Tuerk, Wall and B. B. Wolfe."

Telcser: "On this question there are 83 'ayes', 75 'nays'. Representative ...Record Representative Barry as voting 'aye'. Representative Duff,



for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Duff: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like a verification of the Roll Call please."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman has asked for a verification of the Roll Call. I assume there'll be a verification of both the 'ayes' and the 'nays'. The score is currently 84 'ayes', 75 'nays'. Will the Members please be in their seats and the Clerk will read the names of the affirmative Roll Call first."

Jack O'Brien: "Alsup, Arego, Barnes, Barry, Beatty, Beaupre, Berman, Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brinkmeier, Brummet, Caldwell, Calvo, Capparelli, Capuzi, Chapman, Choate, Collins, Craig, Davis, DiPrima, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Fleck, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Giglio, Giorgi, Granada, Hanahan, Harpstrite, Hill, D. L. Houlihan, Emil Jones, Juckett, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kosinski, Kozubowski, LaFleur, Laurino, Lechowicz, Lenke, Leon, Londrigan, Madigan, Maragos, Matijeovich, McAuliffe, McClain, McCormick, McGah, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Redmond, Ryan, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shea, Ike Sims, Springer, Stedelin, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, VonBoeckman, Washburn, Washington, Williams, J. J. Wolf, Yourell."

Telcser: "Are there questions of the affirmative Roll Call? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Duff."

Duff: "Representative Arego."

Telcser: "Representative Arego on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Ah...Barry."

Telcser: "Representative Barry is in his seat."

Duff: "Beatty?"

Telcser: "Representative Beatty? He's right here. Now Mr. Clerk would you record Representative Totten...Representative Totten as voting 'no'. He's up here on the podium. Okay now."



Duff: "Representative Beaupre."

Telcser: "He's...Representative Totten was already on that Roll Call.

Okay, Representative Beaupre, he's in his seat."

Duff: "Boyle."

Telcser: "Representative Boyle on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Bradley."

Telcser: "Representative Bradley on the Floor? There he is, back in his seat."

Duff: "Brinkmeier."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Ah...Calvo."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Chapman."

Telcser: "Representative Chapman, she's in her seat."

Duff: "Choate."

Telcser: "Representative Choate, I believe, is in the Speaker's Office with the Speaker. Do you want them to come out?"

Duff: "Let's hold that Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "All right."

Duff: "Farley."

Telcser: "Representative Farley on the Floor?" How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Flinn."

Telcser: "Representative Flinn on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Garmisa."

Telcser: "Is Representative Garmisa on the Floor?"



Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Getty."

Telcser: "Representative Getty is down here in the center aisle."

Duff: "I couldn't hear that Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Representative Getty is in the center aisle."

Duff: "Giglio."

Telcser: "Representative Giglio is right here talking to Representative Miller."

Duff: "Hanahan."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Hart."

Telcser: "Representative Hart on the Floor? How is the...How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Duff: "Ah...Representative Emil Jones."

Telcser: "He's standing by his seat."

Duff: "Representative Keller."

Telcser: "Did you say Keller? Representative Keller on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call. Now Representative Garmisa has returned."

Duff: "Representative Kelly."

Telcser: "Representative Kelly is in his seat."

Duff: "Representative Laurino."

Telcser: "Is Representative Laurino on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Rep...Representative Kennedy."

Telcser: "Is Representative Kennedy on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."



Duff: "Representative Fennessey."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Representative Lechowicz."

Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz is sitting in Representative Millers office. Do you want to take him off and we'll go get him. All right let's...Is Representative Lechowicz on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off of the Roll Call but I know he's back in Representative Millers office but he's off of the Roll Call now. Now, Representative Keller has returned. Keller. Are there further questions?"

Duff: "Representative Maragos."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "McClain."

Telcser: "Representative McClain on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Representative McGrew."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Representative McLendon."

Telcser: "Is Representative McLendon on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Representative McPartlin."

Telcser: "Representative McPartlin on the Floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Representative Patrick."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Representative Sharp."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."



Telcser: "Now Representative Lechowicz has returned."

Duff: "Representative Springer."

Telcser: "Is Representative Springer on the Floor? Yes he is, he's
back sitting in the rear of the Chamber."

Duff: "Representative Terzich."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Representative Thompson."

Telcser: "He's in his seat."

Duff: "Representative Tipword."

Telcser: "He'd standing by his seat."

Duff: "Representative Jake Wolf."

Telcser: "He's right up here in the front of the...ah...Chamber."

Duff: "Representative VonBoeckman."

Telcser: "Representative VonBoeckman on the Floor? There he is in the
back of the Chamber."

Duff: "Ah...Representative Craig."

Telcser: "Representative Craig on the Floor? How is the Gentleman
recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."

Duff: "Representative Richard Carter."

Telcser: "Okay, Representative Richard Carter. How is the Gentleman
recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Telcser: "Okay now, put Representative McLendon back on the Roll Call."

Duff: "Representative...Caldwell."

Telcser: "He's in his seat and Representative...Is that Representative
Caldwell, yeah. Representative Craig has returned. Representative
Berman did you seek recognition sir?"

Berman: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is Representative Berman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting 'aye'."

Berman: "Thank you."

Telcser: "Now, are there further questions of the affirmative Roll Call?"



I've got you back down McLen...Jim. All right now, Representative McLean has returned. Fennessey was not taken off Sam.

Representative Hudson, for what purpose do you rise Sir?

How is Representative Hudson recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'no'. Representative Jacobs, for what purpose do you rise Sir?"

Jacobs: "How am I recorded?"

Telcser: "How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Jack O'Brien: "The Gentleman is recorded as not voting."

Jacobs: "Vote me 'aye' please."

Telcser: "Record the Gentleman as voting 'aye'. On this question there are 79 'aye', 76 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to adopt Amendment #3 to House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment #18, prevails. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. House Joint Resolution, Constitutional Amendment 21. Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "House Joint Resolution, Constitutional Amendment 21.

Resolved by the House of Representative of the Seventy-eighth General Assembly of the State of Illinois, the Senate concurring herein. There shall be submitted to the electors of this State at the General Election next occurring at least six months after the adoption of this Resolution, a proposition to amend Section V of Article III of the Constitution, to read as follows. Article III. Section V. Board of Elections. A State Board of Elections shall be, shall have general supervision over the administration of the registration of election laws throughout the State. The General Assembly, by law, shall determine the size, manner of selection and compensation of the Board."

Telcser: "Are there any Amendments? Third Reading. Consent Calendar. Second Reading."

Jack O'Brien: "Senate Bill 131. A Bill or an Act to amend an Act relating to courts granting equitable relief. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 161. A Bill for an Act to amend the



Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 253.

A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Recorders. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 262. A Bill for an Act to amend the Inheritance Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 270. A Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform Gifts to Minors Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 304. A Bill for an Act to amend the State Highway Police Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 365. A Bill for an Act to create the Equine Infectious Nemias Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 372. A Bill for an Act to amend the Civil Administrative Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 387. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 394. A Bill for an Act to amend the Public Library District Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 395. A Bill for an Act to amend the Local Library Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 402. A Bill for an Act to amend the Bulk Milk Tank Operators Licensing Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 417. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 428. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 519. A Bill for an Act relating to Easement in Peoria County. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 523. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Mandamus. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 524. A Bill for an Act relating to attachment of Boats, Vessels, and Rafts. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 525. A Bill for an Act relating to Injunctions. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 526. A Bill for an Act relating to practice and procedures in cases of co...quo warranto. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 527. A Bill for an Act relating to habeas corpus. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 528. A Bill for an Act relating to attachments. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 529. A Bill for an Act relating to ne exeat. Second Reading of the Bill. How do you pronounce that? Senate Bill 530. A Bill for an Act relating to replevin. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 634. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension



Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 637. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 640. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 662. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 668. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 707. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 709. A Bill for an Act to amend The Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 710. A Bill for an Act relating to collection disposition of unclaimed and abandoned vehicles. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 714. A Bill for an Act to amend the Pension Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 754. A Bill for an act to amend Department of Children and Family Services Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 873. A Bill for an Act to amend the Vehicle Code. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 896. A Bill for an Act to amend the Uniform ...ah...Anatomical Gifts Act. Second Reading of the Bill. Senate Bill 1079. A Bill for an Act to amend an Act relating to Alcoholic Liquors. Second Reading of the Bill."

Telcser: "Are there any Amendments? Third Reading. Okay any announcements? The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. I'd like to again ask the suspension of the appropriate rule so that the following Bill can be heard in Appropriations Committee tomorrow. The Appropriations Committee will meet at 2:00 at their regular time in Room A-1 and these are the Bills. House Bill 733. House Bill 1485. House Bill 1773. House Bill 1986. House Bill 1987. House Bill 1991. House Bill 1992 and House Bill 1994. Plus Senate Bill 371, 531, 581 and 1163."

Telcser: "Are there further announcements? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative..."

Washburn: "Well I'd like to suspend the appropriate rule so these Bills can be heard tomorrow...ah...Mr. Speaker."



Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved to suspend Rule 18 for the purposes of having those Bills posted and heard in Committee tomorrow. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."

Epton: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Senate Bill 1128 was inadvertently not posted and I'd like leave to suspend Rule 18 so that this Bill may be heard in Committee tomorrow."

Telcser: "Let me announce that last Roll Call first. On this question 121 'ayes'. 2 'nays' and Representative Washburn's motion prevails. Representative Epton, what's your Bill number?"

Epton: "Senate Bill 1128. The Gentleman has moved that the House suspend the House suspend a provision of the Rule 18 for the purpose of hearing Senate Bill 728 in Committee...ah...1128. All in favor signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 112 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Ah Mr. Speaker, I too would ask to suspend the Rule 18, I believe, a, b and c, so that Senate Bill 329 can be heard in the House Committee on Veteran's Affairs, Personell and Pension tomorrow."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 116 'ayes', no 'nays' the Gentlemans motion prevails. The Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber."

Leinenweber: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, I would ask...ah...for a waiver of ruling of the appropro provisions of Rule 18 so that Senate Bill 180 can be heard this afternoon in the Committee on Banks and Savings and Loans Associations. I checked with the Leadership on both sides and the Committee Chairman and they have no objections."



Telcser: "Is there any discussion? All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are 122 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Are there further motions? The Gentleman from Clinton, Representative Harpstrite."

Harpstrite: "I'd like to have the appropriate rule suspended so we can hear Senate Bill 820 and 932 in the Agricultural and Natural Resources Committee on Thursday."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved that the provision of Rule 18 be suspended for purposes of hearing two Senate Bills in the House Agricultural Committee tomorrow. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Harpstrite, what are those Bill numbers again please?"

Harpstrite: "Senate Bill 820 and 932."

Telcser: "On this question there are 113 'ayes', no 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion prevails. Introduction and First Reading. Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker...ah...Unfortunately, two Bills were omitted in Representative Washburns request to have two Bills posted in the Appropriations Committee tomorrow and I'd like to ask to have the appropriate rule suspended to hear House Bill 1979 and 1980 in the Appropriations Committee tomorrow."

Telcser: "Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washburn: "Well Mr. Speaker...Representative Lechowicz was just over here about those two Bills. I imagine we've got sixty or seventy... fifty...forty or fifty Bills set for tomorrow, somewhere in that neighborhood and...ah...If we can get through all of these Bills we're going to be lucky, we're going to be meeting again Thursday morning and I imagine Tuesday morning and Wednesday morning of next week and...ah...In my judgment we have ample work load schedule for right now for tomorrow."

Telcser: "The Gentleman...Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise sir?"



Choate: "Well I don't see why in the world that the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee is objecting to that motion. This House has given him the courtesy of posting any and all Bills that he desires to be posted and led...read a big long list of them and then if he wants to object to the minority spokesman request for only two, then we'll start scrutinizing some others and seeing that there might be a few other objections."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Chairman of the Appropriations Committee has a responsibility for running the Committee and I think he knows very well what they can and cannot accomplish and it isn't just a question of two more Bills, these are two very critical Bills and probably the Appropriation, the amount involved exceeds all of the fifty or sixty Bills that the Chairman mentioned they have scheduled to hear. So I would suggest that these Bills can be put off to another time when the Committee has more time to consider them and I would think that the Gentleman's motion should be...ah...defeated."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.

As a matter of courtesy, Representative Choate pointed out the fact that we have never objected to having the Bill posted for a hearing. I think that every Member of that Committee knows the schedule that's involved and a number of Bills and a...and the amount of time spend on every Bill and I would hope that as a courtesy, these Bills would be included in tomorrows call. Not saying that we can get to them tomorrow, but it was an oversight on my part, I didn't have the Bill number initially. I talked to Bud this morning about it, I apologize to it, I made, I went over in complete good faith talked to him about it, asked to be posted and I...and I...and I object to the Majority Leader stating that as far as running that Committee, I think every Member is a vital part of every Committee and in no way do we



want to have...ah...have something come up in that Committee which will take an extremely a long amount of time where there's a possibility of serious discussion would not prevail. Mr. Speaker, I renew my motion to suspend the appropriate rule on House Bills 1979 and 80 and I would hope that my Chairman of the Appropriations Committee would not object to this, it's a matter of courtesy, it's been done right along."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has moved to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purpose of having those two Bills posted in Appropriations for a hearing. All in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. 107 votes. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. All that this motion does is allow this Bill to be posted for tomorrow. The Committee Chairman has absolute and complete control of when the Bill is going to be heard and if it's not heard tomorrow because of a heavy call all he has to do is post-pone it till the next day. Now I...ah...Mr. Committee Chairman, is there something wrong with that action? We've suspended rules time and time again to try to help everybody get by here and I get a little bit confused by this action. Because unless we have cooperation in these last two weeks, where it takes 107 votes to move this House along, I think that any action that would start now would be very bad."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I don't think that if these lists had been scrutinized before that I'd presented the last few days...ah...during my motion to suspend the rule. I'm certain that they would not indicate any political make up at all in favor of one party or the other. We've never operated that way in posting or in calling Bills in Committee and I can not be accused of that and I would ask for some red lights on this one and this Bill



will be posted when there will be ample time to hear it and it certainly won't...we won't have the time tomorrow. I don't think anybody can indicate that."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well Mr. Chairman, I don't mean to implicate that there was anything political at all. I just asked you as the Committee Chairman that why can't we just get the Bill posted for hearing and then all you have to do is continue it on a day to day basis. Now that's all we've asked you to do, we've cooperated and we will continue. But we would like your cooperation."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'aye' vote, it's a matter of courtesy that has been extended to many Members of this General Assembly. In fact all of them that I know of that stepped on this one specific motion and Bud...Bud I wish you would listen and please reconsider because where it was pointed out as actually correct, we haven't, you've been completely impartial. I think you're an excellent Committee Chairman but I think we're making a step in the wrong direction if we do not... if we're not completely fair with every Member of this General Assembly and we've done it in the past and I would hope that the Members who are voting red would consider the action because you know, we can also move to reconsider the Bills that were just suspended and granted that authority is we vote on the prevailing side over here it would be pretty hard to get 107 votes, if we move to reconsider. So Bud, I think we're setting a bad precedence not only on this House Floor but as far as future working in that Committee and I vote 'aye' on this measure."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Choate: "No...no I don't want the absentees pulled."

Telcser: "Representative Rayson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Rayson: "I would like to have leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule to post a Bill in Appropriations tomorrow, House Bill 1142."



will be ~~asked~~ when there will be ample time to hear it and it certain ~~ly~~ won't...we won't have the time tomorrow. I don't think anything ~~will~~ indicate that."

Telcser: ~~Mr.~~ Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Well, Mr. Chairman, I don't mean to implicate that there was anything ~~political~~ at all. I just asked you as the Committee Chairman ~~that~~ why can't we just get the Bill posted for hearing and ~~then~~ all you have to do is continue it on a day to day basis. Now ~~that~~ all we've asked you to do, we've cooperated and we will continue ~~to~~. But we would like your cooperation."

Telcser: ~~Mr.~~ Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: ~~Thank~~ you Mr. Speaker, in explaining my 'aye' vote, it's a matter ~~of~~ courtesy that has been extended to many Members of this ~~General~~ Assembly. In fact all of them that I know of that stepped ~~in~~ this one specific action and Bud...Bud I wish you would ~~listen~~ and please reconsider because where it was pointed out as ~~wholly~~ correct, we haven't, you've been completely impartial. I think you're an excellent Committee Chairman but I think ~~we're~~ making a step in the wrong direction if we do not... if we ~~are~~ completely fair with every Member of this General Assembly ~~and~~ we've done it in the past and I would hope that the Members ~~who~~ are voting red would consider the action because you know, ~~we~~ can also move to reconsider the Bills that were just suspended ~~and~~ granted that authority is we vote on the prevailing side ~~over~~ ~~there~~ it would be pretty hard to get 107 votes, if we move to ~~reconsider~~. So Bud, I think we're setting a bad precedence not only ~~for~~ this House Floor but as far as future working in that Committee ~~and~~ I vote 'aye' on this measure."

Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Choate, ~~for~~ what purpose do you rise sir?"

Choate: "No, ~~and~~ I don't want the absentees pulled."

Telcser: "Representative Rayson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Rayson: "I would like to have leave of the House to suspend the appropriate rule to post a Bill in Appropriations tomorrow, House Bill 1162."



Telcser: "On this question there are 86 'ayes', 13 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to suspend the rules for those two Bills, fails. the Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker and I hope that noone misinterprets what I am about to say here because as far as I'm concerned this is going to have some very effective reasoning behind it as far as the final two weeks of the operation of this House is concerned. But when you sit on the side of that aisle over there and ask us to cooperate and be courteous and you don't have 89 votes either and then you deny a little privilege on this side, you're wrong. I therefore move Mr. Speaker, that the motions, having voted on the prevailing side that the motion of Representative Washburns to suspend the appropriate provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes of posting Bills to be heard in the Appropriations Committee be reconsidered and I advise him not to ask me for that courtesy anymore. Think about that one Bud."

Telcser: "All right. Having voted on the prevailing side, by which a motion made by Representative Washburn to suspend the provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes of posting a series of Bills...ah... to be heard in the Appropriations Committee be reconsidered. Now the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, am I correct that this takes 107 votes?"

Telcser: "It takes 89 votes."

Walsh: "I think Rule 64 (d), provides that it takes the number of votes that the motion, the original motion takes to prevail. That being 107 votes I believe this takes 107 votes. Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise?"

Telcser: "Mr. Speaker, you've announced the Chair of the Speaker of this House has announced that it takes 89 votes. Now the Majority Leader knows full well that he wants to overrule the ruling of the Chair how to do it."

Telcser: "Representative Choate, for what purpose do you rise?"

Choate: "I don't know whether the Majority Leader as the acting Chairman of the Rules Committee's memory is too good or not but mine is pretty good and I remember the last sentence of Section A



under the Rule 64, was put in for this very and explicit type of a question because that sentence says simply that a question that requires 89 votes or more to carry requires 89 votes to reconsider."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, if you're going to stick with that...that ruling which I can understand you doing having read the rule a little more closely, we'll...we'll have to go with it. It requires I guess 89 votes but I would urge the Membership not to...ah...to fall for the emotional appeal made by the Minority Leader. We've got a Chairman of the Appropriations Committee who has done a splendid job in Chairing a most difficult Committee. Now I think that he should be given a leeway in deciding what shall be heard and what shall not be heard. I don't see how any Member can responsibly act...ask that the Budget of the Department of Transportation be heard in less than twenty-four hours notice. This is the largest Appropriation of any agency of State Government. It's something that ought to be considered a little more carefully and I think it's probably a good idea that we're discussing it now so that interested people can get their thoughts together and perhaps be here some day this week so that the Appropriations Committee can hear, with a little bit more time that very important Appropriation and I would ask Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that we sustain our Chairmen of the Appropriations Committee and vote 'no' on the Gentleman's motion to reconsider that vote."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well is there any further discussion? That really doesn't pertain to the motion like the Majority Leader had or am I going to close?"

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Might I point out Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House that my original motion, which is being discussed here again related to twelve Bills, seven of them have Democratic



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Sponsors and five have Republican Sponsorship."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "I've got a Parliamentary Inquiry if I might."

Telcser: "State your point sir."

Shea: "Last Thursday, the Majority Leader suspended the provisions of Rule 18 so that Bills could be posted this week. Now as I understand his motion last Thursday, he suspended the provision of that rule all week, was that correct?"

Telcser: "As I recall Representative Shea, the Gentleman wishes to explain his own...ah...the Gentleman from Cook indicates that you're incorrect in your assumption and my memory is that suspended the rule last week only so that those Bills that came over from the Senate could be posted this week."

Shea: "We suspended the Rule on Thursday and I will reiterate to the best of my knowledge what the motion was of the Majority Leader. It was suspend the provisions of Rule 18 so that Bills may be posted and heard in Committee. Now he didn't make any definite time for how long it was suspended that day, Tuesday and I'd like a ruling from the Chair whether the rule is suspended or the rule is in full force effect."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well Mr. Speaker, that motion has been made several times this Session, generally on the last day of the Legislative Session in a particular week and done for the following week because we had started later than we intended to or usually due or for some good and sufficient reason. It was never done on a day to day basis. It was done on a week to week basis so that the time was not six and a half days but in some cases was four or five or five and a half or even six days. The Gentleman knows full well that that was the intent of the motion, that's what I intended to say, whether I said it or not I don't know and I suppose we'd have to go to the tape to find out whether I said it. I submit to you Mr. Speaker, that the Gentleman is usually wrong, this time he's mistaken."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, I think the Majority Leader just answered



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

the question. He said he suspended it on a week to week basis on last Thursday we suspended it for this week and I would like a ruling from the Chair."

Telcser: "Well Representative Shea the Chair would rule that the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh suspended it last week only for that particular day so that posting could be put on the bulletin board for this weeks hearing and that Rule 18 is still in effect. Representative Shea."

Shea: "Then the ruling of the Chair is that every Bill that is to be heard in Committee this week must have been posted by Thursday at noon, is that the ruling of the Chair."

Telcser: "Representative Walsh did you wish to...The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Well the Gentleman is absolutely correct except where the rules have been suspended otherwise as the Chairman of the Appropriations Committee did a few minutes ago."

Telcser: "So Representative Shea, do you have more to add sir?"

Shea: "Well just so long as we know that anything that was posted after Thursday noon can not be heard this week and I'd suggest that maybe the Majority Leader ought to look at the posting dates because he may have just fouled up the Committee Hearings pretty good."

Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not the Gentleman from

Choate: "Well Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. The Majority Leader did not speak to the motion one iota. He talked about the budget of the Department of Transportation I talked about the suspension of the Rules for that it might be posted and at no time ever mentioned having a hearing on that Bill. Because I've been around here long enough to fully understand that it is a large budget and that it take an awfully long amount... long amount of time for the Appropriatio Committee to give it a due consideration. But what I was talking about is simply this. That if we do not post it so that it can be heard at a future of the House Appropriations Committee and if we keep



a hard line on posting to the extent that we adhere to the rules you're talking about a weeks posting and you're talking about one of the larger budgets of State Government not being heard for a week or more and you're talking about less than two weeks of the Session. That's what we're talking about and yet my memory's pretty good also that not one time can I personally remember of all of the Members that's come from that side over here and as late as just a few moments ago asked for courtesy as far as posting a Bill is concerned but what I've granted it. Because, I don't want them caught in the log jam at the end of the Session, I think that they should be posted and they should be heard at the courtesy of that Committee. But you can't hear them if they're not posted and you and I know it and I say to you that you're making a drastic mistake this morning clearly, simply because the Majority Leader, in this instance wants to be bull headed again and delay and delay the actions of this Legislature and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Telcser: "All those in favor of the Gentleman's motion signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. It takes '89' votes. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On this question there are...the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr. Speaker, could we have a poll of the absentees please?"

Telcser: "Okay the Gentleman has asked for a poll of the absentees so the Clerk please read the names of the absentees."

Fred Selcke: "Anderson, Arnell, Arego, Bluthardt, Campbell, Capuzi, Carter, Catania, Clabaugh, Collins, Cox, Day, Deavers, Deuster, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Dyer, Ebbesen, Epton, Ewell, Fleck, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Gibbs, Granada, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Harpstrite, Hart, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Ron Hoffman, R. Holloway, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Juckett, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Krause, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Lauer, Leinenweber, Macdonald, Mahar, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McCourt, McMaster, Molloy, Mugalian, Murphy, Neff, North, Palmer, Pappas, Peters, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Redmond, Rose, Schlickman, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Sharp, Timothy..."

Telcser: "Record Representative Sharp as voting 'aye' and Mugalian 'aye'."



Fred Selcke: "Timothy Simms, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Stiehl, Telcser, Tipsword, Totten,..."

Telcser: "Tipsword 'aye'."

Fred Selcke: "Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, Walters, J. J. Wolf, B. B. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "All right now, let me take a moment out here...out of the proceedings and let Representative take the podium for a moment to introduce a distinguished from his district."

Craig: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. Right here while we're all at odds here, I'd like to take time, take your time here to introduce the young lady who is Miss Illinois Teenager, Miss Cindy Philips from Danville, Illinois on behalf of Representative Campbell and Representative Bill Cox and myself of the 53rd District we're both proud and I'm here to introduce to you her father and mother, Mr. and Mrs. Don Smith and her younger sister and now I'll let Mrs...Miss Cindy Philips say a few words on her behalf. Miss Cindy Philips."

Cindy Philips: "Thank you Representative Craig. Honorable Representatives ...This is like putting a cherry on top of a sundae to able to speak to you and the Senate both in one day and also to meet Governor Dan Walker. My year began representing Illinois at the Miss National Pageant last September. I met a girl from each state and we discussed our states pro's and con's and I must say Illinois came out on top...ah...Since that week I've had the opportunity to travel around this state and to visit teens. I attended the Governor Views Conference on Traffic Safety and the Governors Views Conference on Smoking and Health and at both those conferences I met many teens who were eager to get back home to put into effect the knowledge at these Conferences. They were involved teens. I've been able to speak at many youth rallies and I think the greatest thrill besides what has happened here today...ah...was that I spoke at a Billy Graham crusade in Galesburg, Illinois. I think...I feel like I'm the luckiest girl around besides... well not only because of this title but because I was honored with



dancing with Senator Percy this year, I met the Honorable Governor Ogilvie and then of course today the Governor, Dan Walker. Ah... I just have one more thing to say and that is that this last year I have learned one thing that maybe a lot of adults and teens don't realize and that's the fact that the majority of teens aren't on drugs and don't smoke and don't drink and drive together the majority of teens are involved and they care not only for themselves and the people around them but for their community, their state and their country. I'd like to thank you for this opportunity and this great thrill. Thank you."

Telcser: "On this question there are 81 'ayes'. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "May I explain my 'aye' vote?"

Telcser: "Proceed."

Shea: "Well Mr. Speaker, as I look at the Calendar there's about twelve or thirteen days left. Now I think the Majority Leader has put us in a very precarious position and I'd like to find eight or 9 colleagues to join with us."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "The Gentleman's out of order explaining his vote at this time the issue is over and the time for explaining votes is long since that since we've completed a poll of the absentees."

Telcser: "Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise sir?"

Shea: "Well I thought the Speaker was running the House and he just gave me permission to explain my vote. Does the Majority Leader want to run it down there or maybe he wants to get up on the podium."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to introduce our former colleague, Bill Cunningham who's with us today. In the rear of the...
..."

Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn until 10:00 tomorrow morning."

Telcser: "Now Representative Shea...ah...Representative Choate..."



Choate: "I thought...I thought the House had a little more business to conduct Mr. Speaker."

Telcser: "Okay, I have to announce the results of that last Roll Call. Fred what was that final...ah...On this question there are 81 'aye', 12 'nays' and the Gentleman's motion to reconsider the vote...ah... fails. Okay now, we have one more...ah...Representative Rayson, for what purpose do you rise?"

Rayson: "I rise for a point of personal privilege, perhaps an inquiry. I...ah...weathered through all of this and I feel it was kind of shameful what happened but I...ah...would like to mention a Bill that's important to me and the people in my district. It's House Bill 1142. Ah...Involving thirty-five thousand...Now I know it. Involving thirty-five thousand for McGinnis Globe. It...ah... it has an emergency July 1 date and since May 22, when it got out of Agriculture, 19 to nothing, I can get no information from the Chairman about posting it. Now recalcitrance is one thing Mr. Speaker, but can you assure me that this Bill might get posted so it might heard and might get passed and might get to the Senate so that the emergency provision can take effect July 1? I'd appreciate an answer Mr Speaker."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Grundy, Representative Washburn."

Washburn: "Ah...Yes Mr. Speaker, in reply to Representative Rayson. I was going to ask suspension of the appropriate rule again tomorrow so that this House Bill could be heard along with several others on Thursday morning at the Appropriations Committee Meeting. I plan to do just that."

Telcser: "Representative Rayson...ah..."

Rayson: "I'd appreciate a reason why this hasn't been heard between May 22 and now and every week I ask for it and it's a small Bill, it's just got emergency matter, it's very important to our people."

Telcser: "Okay, we have one more matter of business to take care of on House Bills, Second Reading. House Bills, Second Reading. House Bill 1934."

Fred Selcke: "House Bill what? House Bill 1934. A Bill for an Act to amend the Income Tax Act. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee



Amendment. Amends House Bill 1934 on page 1, line..."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "Well Mr. Speaker, the amended version simply provides a five dollar credit in Calendar year 73, which increases to ten dollars in Calendar year 74 and thereafter and I would move that the House adopt Committee Amendment #1."

Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Committee Amendment #1 to House Bill 1934. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying 'aye', the opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."

Choate: "In as much as Representative Rayson didn't get a question to his...ah...an answer to his question, I just want to probably give him an answer that the reason the Bill hasn't been posted from early May until now is because of the efficiency of the staffing and the Chairman that the Majority Leader was referring to."

Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Rayson."

Rayson: "I appreciate the explanation of the distinguished Minority Leader because that's the first explanation that makes any sense since last May 22."

Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "I'd like to suggest another possibility and that is the Gentleman who's the Sponsor of the Bill seldom turns up here on Monday and perhaps wasn't able to talk to the Chairman."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Now Mr. Speaker, I move that we adjourn until 10:00 tomorrow morning for regular Session."

Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative McAvoy."

McAvoy: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. There will be a meeting of Banks and Savings and Loans Committee in N-4 immediately after adjournment."

Telcser: "The Gentleman has moved does stand adjourned until tomorrow



78.

morning at 10:00 a.m. for regular Session. All in favor 'aye',
the opposed 'no'. The House stands adjourned until 10:00 a.m.
tomorrow morning in regular Session."



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
1.		Speaker Blair	House in order
		Dr. Johnson	Prayer
		Speaker Blair	Roll Call for Attendance
		Walsh, Wm.	Excused absence
		Speaker Blair	
		Lechowicz	Excused absence
		Speaker Blair	Messages from Senate
2.		Clerk Selcke	
		MILLER IN CHAIR	Committee Reports
3.		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Miller	Agreed Resolutions
		Clerk Selcke	
4.		Walsh, Wm.	HR-430..etc.
		Speaker Miller	Agreed Resolution adopted
		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Miller	
		Hirschfeld)	Yield
)	
		Walsh, Wm.)	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-308, 2 CA, Second Reading
		Speaker Miller	
5.		Calvo	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Miller	
		Calvo	Amendment #2
		Speaker Miller	"Hold up"
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Calvo	
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	Concurs
		Speaker Miller	Amendment #2 adopted, 3rd R.
6.		Clerk Selcke	SB-298, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Collins	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted
		Speaker Miller	
		Collins	Amendment #2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Miller	
7.		McMaster	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea)	Question
)	
		McMaster)	
		Speaker Miller	
8.		Lechowicz	Request fiscal note
		Speaker Miller	
9.		McMaster	Discussion
		Speaker Miller	Amendment #1 adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Miller	
10.		Schneider	Amendment #2
11.		Speaker Miller	



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		McMaster	oppose
12.		Speaker Miller	
		Schneider	To close
		Speaker Miller	Amendment #2
		McMaster	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment #2 adopted
		McMaster	Wants to TOOR
		Speaker Miller	
		McMaster	Holn on Second
		Speaker Miller	
13.		Choate	
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-84, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Philip	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-107, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-156, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	
14.		Clerk Selcke	Floor Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-744, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-745, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-32, 2nd Reading, 1 CA



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Taylor	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-33, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
15.		Speaker Miller	
		Taylor	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-35, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Houlihan, D.L.	Amendment #1
16.		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-36, 2nd Reading, 2 CA
		Houlihan, D. L.	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Houlihan	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment #2 adopted, 3rd R.
17.		Clerk Selcke	SB-83, Second Reading
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-92, 2nd Reading, no....
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-130, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	SB-
		Clerk Selcke	SB-138, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-145, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	SB-146, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-148, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	TOOR
18.		Clerk Selcke	SB-187
		Speaker Miller	SB-198
		Clerk Selcke	SB-198, 2nd Reading, 1 Fl.A.
		Speaker Miller	
		Hill	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment Adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-187, 2nd Reading, 2 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Maragos	Amendment #1 & 2, move to table
19.		Speaker Miller	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #3
		Speaker Miller	
		Washington	Moves to table
		Speaker Miller	Tabled, Third Reading
		Lechowicz	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-201, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	
		McCourt	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-218, 2nd Reading, No CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-220, 2nd Reading, 3 CA
20.		Speaker Miller	
		Tuerk	TOOR...also 221
		Clerk Selcke	SB-225, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh	TOOR
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-241, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-265, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading SB-276, 2nd Reading, no CA Third Reading
21.		Clerk Selcke	SB-283, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Kelly	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, Wm.)	Yield?
)	
22.		Kelly)	
		Speaker Miller	
		Lechowicz	
		Speaker Miller	
		Jones, J.D.	Will Sponsor hold?
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
23.		Clerk Selcke	SB-286, 2nd Reading, no CA



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Floor Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Schneider	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-289, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Jacobs	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Miller	
24.		Jacobs	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-294, 2nd Reading, No CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Floor Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Kozubowski	
		Speaker Miller	
		Walsh, Wm.)	Question
)	
		Kozubowski)	
25.		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-322, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Sevcik	Amendment #1, move to table
		Speaker Miller	Tabled
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	
		Sevcik	
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-357, 2nd Reading, no CA
26.		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke)	SB-381, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller)	
		Stone	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-393, 2nd Rd., no CA
		Speaker Miller	FOOR, Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-400, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
27.		Clerk Selcke	SB-414, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-418, 1 CA, 2nd Reading
		Speaker Miller	
		Terzich	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-420, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-425, 2nd Reading, 1 CA'
		Speaker Miller	
28.		Kozubowski	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, Third R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-426, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	SB-427
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-433, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Jones, J.D.	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
29.		Clerk Selcke	SB-435, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-446, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-447, no CA, 2nd Reading
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-448, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-450, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-456, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Shea	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Am. adopted, 3rd Reading
30.		Clerk Selcke	SB-471, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-499, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-522, 2nd Reading, 2 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		McAvoy	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted
		Clerk Selcke	Amendment #2
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-533, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-543, 2nd REading, no CA
31.		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-546, 2nd Reading
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-553, 2nd Reading, 1 Fl.A.
		Speaker Miller	
		Giorgi	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd R.
		Clerk Selcke	SB-563, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk selcke	SB-564, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
32.		Clerk Selcke	Sb-571, 2nd Reading, 1 Fl.A.
		Speaker Miller	
		Sharp	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-579, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-587, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third READING
		Clerk Selcke	SB-599, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Clerk Selcke	—SB-613; 2nd Reading, No CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	Floor Amendment #1
33.		Speaker Miller)	
)	
		Pierce)	
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-622, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-643, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-633, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-672, 2nd Reading, no Ca
		Speaker Miller	Thard REading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-690, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
34		Clerk Selcke	SB-708, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Norris	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-731, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk SELcke	SB-794, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-800, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-801, 2nd Reading, no CA



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-817, 2nd Reading, CA
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
35.		Clerk Selcke	SB-866, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Merlo	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-884, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-891, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-903, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-905
		Speaker Miller	TOOR
		Clerk Selcke	SB-907, 2nd Reading, no CA
36.		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-963, 2nd Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Berman	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	Amendment adopted, 3rd Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1020, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1026, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke	SB-1184, 2nd Reading, no CA
		Speaker Miller	Third Reading



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
37.		Clerk Selcke	SB-447, 2nd Reading, no CA, 3 floor Amendments
		Speaker Miller	
		Clerk Selcke)	Amendment #1
		Speaker Miller	
		Douglas	TOOR
		Speaker Miller	HB-696
		Madigan	SA#1, HB-696, Move to concur
		Speaker Miller	House concurs
		TELCSER IN CHAIR.....	
		Miller	HB-897, SA #1
38.		Speaker Telcser	House concurs HJR #14, SA #1
		Juckett	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	House concurs
39.		Duff	HJR Const. Am. #18.
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Question of Chair
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Duff)	
		Shea)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser)	Question
		Duff)	
40		Shea)	Question
		Duff)	
		Speaker Telcser	Need not be tabled, just not offered.....



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
41.		Shea	"I object"
42.		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	
)	Question
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Duff	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
43.		Duff	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	HJR Const. Am. 18, Am. #1
		Speaker Telcser	
44.		Matijevich	Ask for ruling of Chair
		Speaker Telcser	Can be amended
		Matijevich)	
)	Point of order
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Fyde	Leave to table Amendment #1
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	
)	Question
45.		Hyde)	
)	Discussion
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment tabled
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #2
		Speaker Telcser	
46.		Hyde	
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment adopted
		Clerk O'Brien	Amendment #3
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
47.			
48.		Pierce	
		Speaker Telcser	
49.		Duff	
50		Rayson	Oppose
		Speaker Telcser	
51.		Hirschfeld	
		Speaker Telcser	
52.		Pierce	To close
53.		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3
		Hirschfeld	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
54.		Porter	Explain vote..."no"
		Speaker Telcser	
		Philip	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
55.		Katz	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
56.		Duff	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Yourell	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	Amendment #3, HJRCA
		Miller, Tom	Roll Call of absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien)	Polis absentees
		Speaker Telcser)	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
57.		Speaker Telcser	
		Duff	Verification
		Speaker Telcser	
		Clerk O'Brien	Aff. Roll Call
		Speaker Telcser	
58.		Duff	Questions Affirmative Roll
59.		Speaker Telcser)	
		Clerk O'Brien)	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails, Am. Adv. to Third Reading
		Clerk O'Brien	HJR CA #21
		Speaker Telcser	Third Reading
63.		Clerk O'Brien	SB-131, etc. 2nd R. Consent
64.		Speaker Telcser	Calendar Third Reading
65.		Washburn)	Ask to suspend Rules
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Epton	Leave to suspend Rule 18
		Speaker Telcser	Washburn's motion prevails
		Epton	
		Speaker Telcser	Epton's motion prevails
		Wolf	Asks suspend Rule 18
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Leinenweber	Asks suspend Rule 18
66.		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Harpstrite	Rules suspended
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Harpstrite	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	Motion prevails
		Lechowicz	Two Bills omitted, suspend Rules
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
67.		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	Suggest defeat of motion
		Speaker Telcser	
68.		Lechowicz	Matter of courtesy!
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
69.		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Lechowicz	Explain vote
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	Leave to suspend rules
70		Speaker Telcser	Motion fails
		Choate	Move Washburn's motion be re-considered
		Matijevich	'Think about that one, Bud!'
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Walsh, Wm.)	Question
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Shea)	
)	
		Speaker Telcser,	
		Choate	
70-A		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh, Wm.	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
71.		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea)	Parliamentary Inquiry
)	
		Speaker Telcser)	
		Walsh	
72.		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
73.		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Poll absentees
		Speaker Telcser	
74.		Clerk Selcke	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Craig	Introduction of Miss Illinois Teenager....
75.		Cindy Phillips	
		Speaker Telcser	



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	Explain votes
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Shea	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Intro.....
		Speaker Telcser	
76.		Walsh	Move House adjourn
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	Motion to reconsider fails
		Rayson	Point of personal privilege
		Speaker Telcser	
		Washburn	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Rayson	
		Speaker Telcser	
77.		Clerk Selcke	HB-1934, Second Reading, 1 CA
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Choate	
		Rayson	
		Speaker Telcser	
		Walsh	Move to adjourn



<u>Page</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Speaker</u>	<u>Information</u>
		Speaker Telcser	
		McAvoy	
78.		Speaker Telcser	House adjourns



GENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE OF ILLINOIS

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES