Speaker Blair: "The House will be in order. The invocation by will be by Dr. Johnson."

Dr. Johnson: "We pray. Gracious and eternal Father, strong to save and ready to hear all who call upon you in spirit and truth turn our hearts this week in memory for those who have served our nation with a sacrifice of their lives. We thank you for the good which through their service has accrued to us and who are privileged to live in this land of hope. Grant us a sense of honor and gratitude for every sacrifice which is made to secure the nation's peace. Teach us to live and to bring the tribute of ready hearts obedient lives and worthy citizenship to our country. Guide all who serve in the councils of government so that the hatred of men may not again spill human blood in vain. Strengthen the bonds of loyalty and patriotism in our midst that the ideals of peace and liberty prevail. Above all, teach us to labor in this field of service, to render obedience to Your Name and faithfulness to our state. We cry 0 God for mercy and for grace. Hear us Good Lord, do not hide your face. Stretch forth Your Mighty Hand, guard and bless this, our fatherland. Amen." Speaker Blair: "Roll Call for attendance. The Gentleman from Franklin, Mr. Hart."

Hart: "Yeah, thank you, Mr. Speaker. I just wanted to announce to the Members here that there are only 5 states in the Union that are out of touch with the rest of the country as far as the celebration of Memorial Day. Two of them are in the south and don't celebrate it at all; they celebrate Confederate Memorial Day; and the other two are in the south and have different reasons. So I want to congratulate all of us for the great thing that we did a year ago."

Speaker Blair: "Gentleman from Union, Mr. Choate."

Choate: "Mr. Speaker, would you please have the record indicate that
Representative Peggy Martin is...is absent because of illness.
Representative Mike Madigan is absent because of illness."
Speaker Blair: "All right, the journal will so indicate.

Messages from the Senate."
Clerk Selcke: "Message from the Senate by Mr. Fernandes, Secretary.
Mr. Speaker, I am directed to inform the House of Representatives the Senate has passed Bills of the following title the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the House: Senate Bill 1509, Senate Bill 1539. Passed the Senate May 22nd 1974.

Edward E. Fernandes, Secretary."
Speaker Blair: "Committee Reports."
Clerk Selcke: "Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture to which House Bill 2428
and 2341 referred reported the same back with recommendation the Bills do pass be re-referred to Appropriation. Mr. Harpstrite from Agriculture to which House Bill 2550 was referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with recommendation Amendments be adopted and Bill amended do pass and be re-referred to Appropriations. Mr. Harpstrite from Natural Resources to which House Bill 2561 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriation to which House Bill $2242,43,2624,2798,2799$ referred, reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriation to which House Bill 2348, 2366, 2419, 2458, 2573, 2709 referred reported the same back with the amendments thereto recommendation amendments be adopted and Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriation to which Senate 1260, 1577, 1451, 1453 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Washburn from Appropriations to which Senate Bill 1380 and 1400 were referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with recommendation amendments be adopted and Bills as amended do pass. Mr. North, Cities and Villages, to which House Bill 2621 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do not pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Bill 2108 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Bill 2702 and 2703 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass.and be re-referred to the Appropriations Committee. Mr. Collins from Executive to which

House Resolution 805 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Resolution be not adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 830 was referred reported the same back with the recomendation the Resolution be not adopted. Mr. Collins from Executive to which House Resolution 877 was referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with recommendation Resolution as amended be adopted. Mr. Epton from Insurance to which House Bill 927 was referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with recommendation amendments be adopted and Bill as amended do pass. Mr. Duff from Judiciary II to which House Bill 2518 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which House Bills 2049, 2125, 2168, 2343, 2512, 2628, 2778,2791 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which House Bill 2079, 2234 , 2511, 2666 and 2790 were referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with recommendation the amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which House Bill 2394 was referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and Bills as amended do not pass. Mr. Randolph from Revenue to which House Bill 2510 and 2746 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do not pass. Mr. Mann from Transportation to which House Bill 2071 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do not pass. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bill 2090 and House Bill 2566 were referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and Bills as amended do pass and be re-referred to Appropriation. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bill 2195, 2089, 2565 and 2519 were referred reported the same back with the amendments thereto with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bill 2520, 2762 and 2196 were referred reported the same back with recommendation the Bills do pass be re-referred to the Appropriations

Committee. Mr. Neff from Transportation to which House Bill 2447 was referred reported the same back with amendments thereto with the recommendation the amendments be adopted and Bill as amended do not pass, be placed on the Speaker's table. Mr. Blair from the Committee on Rules to which Senate Bills 1010, 1020 and 1021 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills be returned to the calendar on the order of Third Reading. Mr. Blair from the Committee on Rules to which Senate Bill 576 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill be returned to Comittee on Veterans Affairs, Personnel and Pension. Mr. Blair from Rules to which House Bill 320 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation that the Bill be returned to Committee on Human Resources. Mr. Blair from Rules to which House Bill 1990 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill be returned to the calendar on the order of Third Reading Postponed Consideration. Mr. Blair from Rules to which House Bill 194 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill be returned to the Committee on County and Township. Mr. Blair from Rules to which House Bill 1693 and 1833 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills be returned to Committee on Motor Vehicles. Mr. Blair from Rules to which House Bill 1509 and 1510 were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills be returned to the Comittee on Higher Education. Mr. Blair Committee on Rules to which House Bills 2396, 2309, 2391, 2477, 2592, 2605, 2606, 2629, 2630, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2667, 2668, 2671, $2672,2750,2752,2753,2769,2785$ were referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills be referred to Committee on Assignment of Bills for assignments to Standing Committee. Let me make an announcement on baseball practice."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Clerk has an announcement." Clerk Selcke: "Ah, I want to announce that the House baseball softball team will practice tomorrow night between $50^{\prime}$ clock and $70^{\prime}$ clock at Lincoln Park, Diamond \#5. All you ballplayers get out there."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bills Second Reading. House Bill 2056,

Representative Rayson here?' No. 2129, Katz. He isn't here. Representative Totten on the floor? House Bill 2133, Second Reading, yeah, looking for sponsors, Mr. Clerk. Representative Redmond on the floor? Redmond. Representative Hill. Representative Hill on the floor? Katz, do you want to hear your Bill called? 2355 on Second. Leave it? All right, take it out of the record. Representative Mugalian. Representative Mugalian on the floor? McGrew? Sam, are you somewhere? Well, he'll be all right. Representative Martin, no. House Bill 2366. Representative Shea what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Shea: "What, on Second Reading, are we going in date order or number order today?"

Speaker Telcser: "I just started at the top of the list, Representative Shea, looking for sponsors."

Shea: "Pardon me?"
Speaker Telcser: "I'm just going in numerical order at the top of the list looking for sponsors. I just found my first one, Represen-. tative DiPrima on 2363."

Shea: "Because if you get to 2129 , Representative Katz asked me if I would move that for him he said he might not be here."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. I...I didn't see Harold Katz, so leave this and come back. 2363."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill...give me 2363, Chalky. House Bill 2363. DiPrima. A Bill to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Illinois Veterans Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are...Amendments from the floor?"
Clerk Selcke: "None."
Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading. House Bill 2416."
Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2416. Krause. A Bill for an act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense Department of General Services. Second..."

Speaker Telcser: "...Take out of the record. House Bill 2608."
Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2608. Ryan. Appropriation for the expense of the Attorney General. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee

Amendments."
Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House...
Schlickman here? No. House Bill...Berman here?"
Clerk Selcke: "Didn't call it. Take it out of the record. Yeah."
Speaker Telcser: "Introduction First Reading."
Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2833. Shea, etal. Appropriates $\$ 225,050$
Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2834,
Shea, etal. Amends Securities Law. First Reading of the Bill.
House Bill...2835. Fennessey, etal. Amends Vehicle Code. First
Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2836. Craig, etal. Amends Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. Any more? "

Speaker Telcser: "Senate Bills Second Reading. Ah, Senate Bill 1241."
Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1241. A Bill for an act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commission on Children. Second Reading of the Bill. No Comaittee amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1258."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1258. A Bill making an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expenses Legislative Reference Bureau: Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bil1 1259."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1259. A Bill for an act making an appropriation to the Legislative....Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1268."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1268. An act making an appropriation for Metropolitan Fair and Exposition Authority. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. House Bill
...Senate Bill 1270."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1270. A Bill for an act making appropriation to the Board of Trustees State Universities Retirement System.

Second Reading of the Bill. No Conmittee amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third
Reading. Senate Bill 1271."
Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1271. A Bill for an act making appropriation Teachers Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1274."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1274. Appropriations expense to Court of Claims. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1274..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz." Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentiemen of the House, Amendment \#1 to Senate Bill 1274 is a technical amendment that corrects a total section, the total of section 1 on page 1 line 23 by deleting 142,000 and inserting in lieu thereof $\$ 156,520$. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment \#1 to Senate Bill 1274. All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye; the opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Further Amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1275."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1275. A Bill for an act making an appropriation to the Board of Trustees General Assembly Retirement, System. Second Reading of the Bill. No Commitree amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1278."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1278. A Bill for an act making an appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense State Employees Retirement System. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1278 on..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz." Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this again is another correction amendment that changes the incorrect total on section 1 of the Bill replacing it which was 128,800 and inserting in lieu thereof $\$ 121,448$ and it was unanimously adopted in Committee."
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Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment \#1 to Senate Bill 1278. All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye; the.opposed no. The Amendment is adopted. Is there further amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1279."

Clerk Selcke: 'Senate Bill 1279. A Bill for an act making appropriation to the ordinary and contingent expense University Civil Service Merit Board. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments." Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1281."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1281. An act making an appropriation to certain retirement benefits for teachers. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bil1 1320."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1320. A Bill for an act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1320...and so forth." Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz." Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, this Amendment increases the supplementary appropriation for the court reporters by $\$ 40,000 . "$

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1320. A11 in favor signify by saying aye; the opposed no. Amendment's adopted. Further amendments? Third. Reading. Senate Bill 1321." Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1321. An act making an appropriation to the Supreme Court. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1321..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz." Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Amendment \#1 was offered by Representative Madigan in the Appropriations Committee. This Amendment reduces the supplementary appropriation for transcription fees by $\$ 35,000$ which is corrected in another Bill. I move for the adoption." Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment $\# 1$ to Senate Bill 1321. All in
favor aye; opposed no. Amendment's adopted. Further amendments? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1347."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1347. A Bill for an act to amend section 1 of an act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense Fair Employment Practice Commission. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the floor? Third Reading. Senate Bil1 1349."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1349. A Bill for an act to amend sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 making appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expense, Department of Correction. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1349..."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Peoria, Ted you were...Representative Schraeder, you wish to put the Amendment, sir? All right, Gentleman from: look, Representative Lechowicz, with respect to Amendment \#1. Is that right, six?"

Lechowicz: "Yeah. Committee Amendment. Move the adoption."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Leinenweber, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Leinenweber: "Mr. Speaker, I am very interested in Senate Bill 1349. I do not have a copy, or I have been unable to find a copy of the Amendment and $I$ wonder if...I sent the page for one... in fact the page just reports...they have no amendments from the Bill Room. I don't have a copy of the Amendment and I...but then I'm very interested in this particular Bill, so..."

Speaker Telcser: "Want to take it out of the record, Representative Lechowicz?"

Lechowicz: "That's fine with me, Mr. Speaker, but I think you'd agree with Amendment \#1."

Leinenweber: "I can't hear..."
Lechowicz: "I said I'd be more than happy to take it out of the record and have you review the Amendment."

Leinenweber: "Would you please?"
Lechowicz: "Surely."
Speaker Telcser: "All right, take it out of the record. Senate Bill 1356."
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Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1356. A Bill for an Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Institute of Environmental Quality. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1376."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1376. A Bill for an Act to make an additional appropriation to the Illinois Legislative Council. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bill 1378."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1378. Bill for an Act to make an appropriation for the expenses of State government. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Arendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. Senate Bil1 1379."

Clerk Selcke: "."Senate Bill 3179. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Department of Law Enforcement. Second Reading of the Bill. No Committee Amendments."

Speaker Telcser: "Any Amendments from the Floor? Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. House Bills, Third Reading. House Bill 2248."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2248. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Repre...... He wants it out of the Record? Take it out of the Record. House Bill 2346."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2346. Appropriation for the expense of
Illinois Arts Council. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy."
Lundy: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the Appropriations Bill for Fiscal Year 1975 for the Illinois Arts Council. It's been reviewed quite thoroughly by the House Appropriations Committee, which has reduced the amount of unrestricted
grant money, which the council can give out and has line itemed substantial portion of what the original request for unrestricted grants and $I$ think the Bill reflects the will of the House and $I$ ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins."
Collins: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know it's rather futile to rise and speak on this inbomination again, but $I$ feel I must. When we look at this creature, which in 1967 was innagurated at the cost of $\$ 25,000$ of the taxpayers money, which was wasted at that time, and when we realize now that it has gone over $\$ 1$ million that we're pouring down this drain, I think it's a sad and sinful day. There's nothing new about this Art Council: The only thing is, it costs more money. We're still going to have unheard poets reading their trivia to students at the taxpayers expense. We're still going to have hippies beating their tamborines on corners of the streets throughout the State of Illinois, and now that we are over $\$ 1$ million, our old friendly, the painter, will have enough paint to inundate the loop and probably the southside of the City of Chicago. So, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I know it's a futility, so $I$ won't take a lot of the time of this Houseznbutwimpioze you one again, let's turn down this creature, let's get rid of it once and for all and perhaps we can put this much needed \$1 million into needed programs of the State."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady from Lake, Representative Geo-Karis."
Geo-Karis: "Will the prior Speaker yield to a question?"
Speaker Telcser: "It's unusual procedure, but he indicates he will." Geo-Karis: "Mr. Collins, I'd like to know, if this Bill is passed, will it affect the Art business in Calumet City?"

Collins: "As a matter of fact, there have already been grants put into Calumet City in the dance studios, directing them to come up with some new form of dancing in Calumet City. Well now, the old form of dancing in Calumet City is something that perhaps this Membership should be exposed to before we vote, but there's nothing new in dancing in Calumet City."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers."

Borchers: "Mr. Chairman, fellow Members of the House, I'd like to rise and join in some of the remarks concerning this ridiculous expenditure of the taxpayers money. When I was a scoutmaster, and I was for quite some years, I use to take my scout troop to the art museum in Chicago. We made it a weekend trip."

Speaker Telcser: "One second, Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you rise?"

Hunsicker: "Fix the mike."
Speaker Telcser: "Could the electrician please turn up the microphone or do something."

Borchers: "How's that? How's that? Could I have order now? When I was a scoutmaster, I use to take my troop up to the art museum in Chicago, the zoo in Chicago and other interesting places. We also went to St. Louis. Right now, I'll venture to say, across the street here, are buses from one of the school districts somewhere in the State of Illinois to see the museum. That's the way it should - be done. I've seen these people come to my town'and all I could think of is, there goes my money down an empty drain and nothing to return for it. I agree with the idea of hippies, the hippie type, the tamborines, the useless expenditure of money and I certainly hope that somebody in this organization of the Legislature begins to have a little bit of sense and be a little futile in saving the taxpayers money. We're going to go broke unless we stop some of this idiocy of throwing away millions of dollars on small, worthless items."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."
Hudson: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this has to be one of the spookiest Bills that comes up every year. I've been here long enough to see it rear its head, at least three times and each time, reaches forward by greater and greater amount. We started out with $\$ 25,000$, as Representative Campbell said, and is now up over a million and I think so many times if Abraham Lincoln's abdomination that Government should only do those things for the People that the People cannot do for themselves or cannot do it well for themselves, it seems to me that this business of art, and

I happen to be an artist and I've had training in art and I think as much of the art as anyone here, I do believe, but it seems to me that this is an area that People can best serve themselves. The Art Council should be funded by private donations, insofar as humanly possible, but we seem to be going on an increasing amounts of money. Here is a place clearly that the private sector can do something instead of having us load this on the back of a taxpayer, the private sector can do it and I think should do it and if we can't save some of the taxpayers money in these nonessential areas, where in heavens name are we going to find ways of saving the money for the taxpayers. Clearly, they're tired of footing the bills at the Federal, State and County levels. We now work, as I mentioned the other day, January, February, March, April and into May to pay the cost of government at all levels. = Let's pleas $\geq$, maybe we can save a few dollars for the taxpayers here. I urge you, I urge you, here's an opportunity, here's a place we can do it, give this thing; this nonessential, nonessential Bill a red light."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier."

Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker, I was only going to ask for a little Order. We're talking about a million dollar appropriation and $I$ can't here a thing."

Speaker•Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Mahar."
Mahar: "Mr. Speaker, all the speakers seem to be shut off here. Ts there any reasons for this?"

Speaker Telcser: "I don't know, let's ask the Clerk."
Mahar: "I can't hear the debate."
Speaker Telcser: "The Clerk doesn't seem to have the answer, and.... Is the electrician somewhere on the premises, within an ear shot? Representative Hunsicker, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I suggest that we take the money that we want to spend for the Arts Council and spend it on a new speaking system in here so we can hear."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? If not, the Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lundy, to close the debate."

Lundy: "Thank you,. Mr: Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I really don't think this is a controversial Bill. I won't take the time of the Members of the House to talk any further, except I will say that I'11 be glad to work with Representative Hunsicker on an Amendment in the Senate to use some of this money to improve the sound system here in the House Chamber. I ask for a favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is, shall House Bill 2346 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. O'kay, anybody wish..... Mr. Clerk, can you roll that? o'kay, have all voted who wished? Record Representative Mann.... Representative Mann 'aye'. Mann 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Have all voted who wished? Fleck 'no'. Fleck 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. All right, on this there are two Members who voted orally. On this question, shere are 97 'ayes', 27 'nays', 3 answering 'present'..... Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."

Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, we're getting no sound through our speakers here. I missed half of Representative Collins speech and every year I look forward to that same, old rather uninformed speech and I missed it this year. Representative Collins, can you distribute it to us in writing, because we missed it?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Collins: "Well, because of my deep affection for Representative Pierce, I'd be happy to repeat my remarks and he can expand upon them if you 'desire, but $I$ request that he ask for a tape of the proceedings and Mr. Speaker, while I feel very confident that such a thing could be done, I'm not going to ask for a verification."

Speaker Telcser: "On this question, there are 97 'ayes', 27 'nays', 3 answering 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2353."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2353. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of certain agencies of State government. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Maragos."

Maragos: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, this Bill appropriates
the money for the civil defense and for the army and naval operations of national guard. You've heard a lot of discussion on this on Second Reading and all I ask is for your favorable vote at this time."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2353 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 118 'ayes', 2 'nays' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority..... o'kay Duff 'aye'. McAvoy 'aye'. Gibbs 'aye'. Bob Dunn, I'm sorry, Bob Mann 'aye'. On this question, 121 'ayes', 2 'nays', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed.: House Bill 2364.' Merlo and Katz 'aye' on this last Roll Call.".

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2364. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Board of Vocational Education and Rehabilitation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Caldwell."
Caldwell: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2364 makes an appropriation for the ordinary and contingent expenses for the Board of Vocational Education and Rehabilitation for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 1974. This Bill was heard in the Appropriations Committee. There was one Amendment made in Committee and another was made on Second Reading here in the House. Apparently, there's no opposition to it. I move its adoption. Gentleman from Cook, Representative J. J. Wolf."

Wolf: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I have no objection to the passage 'of the Bill. I just want to know if the Sponsors would just indicate the total amount being budgeted when they make the presentation."

Caldwell: "The total amount, Jake, is a little in excess of $\$ 88$ million. Both Bills, they would combine, one is for $\$ 43,885,900$. The other is $\$ 44,904,710$. Total is $\$ 88,790,610 . "$

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish close? Question is, shall House Bill 2364 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Gentleman
from Macon, Representative Borchers."
Borchers: "Yes, I'd like to explain why I'm voting. 'no' and I think a few others should vote 'no'. In relation to this, we have a family that the mother is taking ah.... going to school, vocation, her children are going to vocational school. She's cashing their checks and they have quite a nice living. As soon as they get through with one school, they go to another. We have numbers of them like that throughout the State of Illinois. I know it's useless to point all of this out. It's going to pass. I think we should be looking into this affair and the finances of this State supported institution and not be so fast in spending the money of the People."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, there are 121 'ayes', 1 'nay', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2382."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2382. A Bill for an Act providing for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Bureau of the Budget, Executive Office of the Governor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."
Lechowicz: "Representative Keller isn't here. Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Gentlemen and Ladies of the House, House Bill 2382 appropriates $\$ 1,375,100$ for the Bureau of the Budget. We reduced this by $\$ 52,800$ and by Amendment \#1 of the Appropriations Committee and by Amendment \#1 in the Appropriations Committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2382 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Let's get on those switches. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 120 'ayes', 1 'nay', 1 answering 'present.' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority is hereby declared passed. Maragos 'aye'. House Bill 2401."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2401. A Bill for an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Civil Service Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Fayette, Representative Brummet." Brummet: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Appropriation is for the expenses of the State Civil Service Commission for 1975 fiscal year in the amount of $\$ 33,400$. This passed the Appropriation Committee with 25 'ayes' and no 'nays'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2401 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, there 102 'ayes', 2 'nays' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2404."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2404. A Bill for an Act making an appropriation for the St. Louis Metropolitan Area Airport Authority. Third Reading of the Bill." =

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, are you seeking recognition, Sir? No. Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative F1inn."

Flinn: "Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2404 is the annual appropriation for the St. Louis Metropolitan Airport Authority. It was originally asked for $\$ 639,000$ and was reduced by $\$ 61,000$, and now stands at $\$ 578,000$. I move for its adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2404 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Ralph Durin 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 136 'ayes', 2 'nays', none answering 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2406."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2406....."
Speaker Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry. Take that out of the Record, Mr. Clerk. Only Appropriation Bills. House Bill 2418."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2418. Bill for an Act making a supplemental appropriation to the Department of General Services. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from St. Clair, Representative Krause."

Krause: '"Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, House Bill 2418 is a supplemental appropriation to the Department of General Services in the amount of $\$ 1,774,600$ and this is for the telecommunications revolving fund. It passed the Appropriations Committee 28 to nothing and I would appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2418 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. Opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 133 'ayes', I 'nay' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Chapman on the Floor? Is Representative Chapman here? Matijevich. No, out of the Record. Matijevich says to take it out of 3 the record. House Bill 2460, Representative Hill."

Clerk: "House Bill 2460. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Illinois Commission on Intergovernmental Cooperation. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Hill."
Hill: "This is the appropriation for the Intergovernmental Cooperation Commission. The appropriation is for $\$ 211,698$. It went to the Appropriation Committee and I don't know of any opposition to it. I'd appreciate your vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2460 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 127 'ayes', 5 'nays', 2 answering 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2489."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2489. An Act making an additional appropriation to the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Third Reading of the Bil1."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Berman." Berman: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2489 is a supplemental appropriation to fulfill the obligation of the Legislature for the full funding of our school districts throughout the State of Illinois for fiscal year 1974. The appropriation in this Bill is
$\$ 12.3$ million. It was passed out without a descenting vote from the Appropriations Cormittee. I solicit your affirmative support to fulfill our commitment for 1974 to all the school children throughout the State of Illinois."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? On this question, shall House Bill 2489 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Fleck 'aye'. Charlie Fleck 'aye'. o'kay everybody on this one? Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, there are 140 'ayes', no 'nays' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2543."

Clerk Selcke:, "House Bill 2543. An Act making an appropriation to the State Board of Elections. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."
Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this is the ordinary and contingent expense for the New State Board of Elections. It was reduced in Conmittee in net of about $\$ 136,000$ and I'd ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? 0'kay, Fred? 0'kay, question is, shall House Bill 2543 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 136 'ayes', 4 'nays', 2 answering 'present', and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2714."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2714. An Act to make an appropriation, appropriating certain unexpected unexpended money appropriated by Public Act 78-195, Department of Transportation. Third Reading of the Bil1."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."
Shea: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this a reappropriation of $\$ 190 ; 000$ of the unexpended portion thereon, so that the Department of Transportation may continue its work on modernizing and cleaning up the DesPlaines River. I'd ask for the support of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House

Bill 2714 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 126 'ayes', 2 'nays', 2 answering 'present. The Bill, having received the Constitutonal Majority, is hereby declared passed. Now, I'm going to go back and pick up Representative Philip's Bill and then I'11 go to House Bills, Third Reading, and pick up the transfer, which are appropriation Bills in affect. $0^{\prime} k a y, M r$. Clerk? House Bill 2248."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2448. An Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Comptroller. Third Reading of the Bil1."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Philip."
Philip: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2248 as amended is the appropriation for the expenses for the Office of Comptroller. Request for 1973, \$253,000, down \$209,000 over 1974. I want to thank both sides of the aisles for their hard work. I know of no opposition to this Bill and ask for your favorable consideration."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2248 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 137 'ayes', 2 'nays' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Chapman come in? No. House Bill 2453. Representative Katz on the Floor? You want to handle a transfer? House Bill 2453."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2453. An Act to amend Section 1 of an Act providing for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the State Civil Service Commission. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz." Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, House Bill 2453 amends the fiscal 74 appropriation to the State Civil Service Commission by transfering \$44 from the Personal Services to Contractual Services and makes no change in the total appropriation. The Bill passed out of Committee 23 to nothing."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any" discussion?' Question is, shall House Bill 2453 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Representatives Dee and Neff 'aye'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 136 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present' and this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Walsh, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm pleased to introduce in the Gallery on the Democratic side to the left in the rear some students from the Lodus Elementary School of Antioch. Mr. John Kephert, the 8 th Grade teacher, is with them and so is Mr. Gil Misguard, Mr. Runyard, Mr. Rotegard and Mrs. Saks and I have on my note that this just happened to be from Antioch Precinct \#3, where the County Chairman and Majority Whip of this House reside, so let's give them a hand."

Speaker Telcser: "House Bill 2454."
Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2454. An Act to amend Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the affice of the Commissioner of Savings and Loans. Third Reading of the Bil1."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor،"
Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2454 is a Commissioner of Savings and Loans. It transfers $\$ 5,000$. It passed out of Committee without a discending vote. I'd appreciate your support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Juckett."

Juckett: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield for a question?"

Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."
Juckett: "Does this transfer of funds have anything to do with the Attorney for the Savings and Loan Commissioner or the former Savings and Loan Commissioner that resigned or the operation of his office?" Taylor: "No, it does not. Not in the Bill. It does not go for that." Juckett: "Then, if it has nothing to do with the Chairman of the

Commission, has nothing with the Commission and the Attorney, what exactly does it do. I mean, afterall, they resigned under fire and there seems to be some problems connected with that office and I'm just a little hesitant about voting to transfer any funds in that office after the alleged activities of the Chairman and the alleged activities of the Attorney for the Savings and Loan Commission." Taylor: 'Well, Senator Juckett, if you're a little hesitant about it, then I suggest you not vote for the Bill, but the Bill does need the money to save the.... needs the money transferred for social security and the other for contractual services and for the travel allowance, but it doesn't say anything about the attorney fees."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentlewan from Cook, Representative Lechowicz."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. In reply to Representative Juckett, the $\$ 5,000$ is broken down into the following manner, and it's transferred into various line items in the Bill. Social Security, $\$ 400$; Contractual Services, $\$ 1,000$; Travel, $\$ 2500$; Printing, $\$ 700$; Telecommunications, $\$ 700$, which totals $\$ 5,000$, and there is no provision in there for paying that attorney's fee whatsoever." Juckett: "Do you have any idea, as far as those items that you mentioned, do they go for the office of the attorney or the office of the Chairman or are they regular employees of the Commission?"

Lechowicz: "I'm sorry, I didn't hear the question."
Juckett: "The question was, on the transfer of the items that you mention, such as travel of $\$ 2500$ and social security, etc., do those go to the specific positions of attorney or chairman or are they for the regular employee of the Commission? They are for the regular employees? Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2454 pass? Those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, 114 'ayes', no 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2455."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2455. A Bill for an Act making an Amendment to Section 1 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Commission of Banks and Trust Companies. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."
Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2455
is another transfer Bill for the Commission of Banks and Trust Companies. It transfer $\$ 40,000$ from Personal Services to Travel in the appropriation made in the fiscal year 1974. This Bill also passed out of the Appropriation Committee without any discenting votes and I would appreciate your support for House Bill 2455."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2455 pass? All in favor, signify by voting 'aye', the opposed by voting 'no'̇. Chuck Campbell 'aye'. Campbell 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. This question, 122 'ayes', no 'nays', 4 answering 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2456."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2456. An Act amending Section 1 of an Act providing for the ordinary and contingent expenses of the Department of Registration and Education. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Centleman from Adams, Representative McClain."
McClain: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 2456 transfer $\$ 120,000$ to the Division of Registration of Department of Registration and Education. It reduces some of the other divisions and places in per diem account. It passed the Appropriations Committee 24 to nothing. I respectfully ask a favorable vote. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2456 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Tipsword 'aye'. Telcser 'aye'. Have all voted who wish? Take the Record. On this question, 131 'ayes', 2 'nays', 1 answering 'present'. This Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2457."
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Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2457. An Act making an additional appropriatior to the Department of Mental Health. Third Reading of the Bill." Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich." Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would respectfully ask that House Bill 2457 be moved back to the Order of Second Reading for the purposes of an Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, House Bill 2457 will return to Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I've cleared this Amendment on both sides of the aisle, with the Chainman and the Members of the Minority. This is an oversight of $\$ 50,000$, which was the retroactive overtime for the fire fighters within the Department of Mental Healths' institutions. I move for the adoption of Amendment $\# 1$ to House Bill 2457, and it is agreed to."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offerred.... Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "Will the Gentleman yield for a question?"
Speaker Telcser: "He indicates he will."
Walsh: "Ah..... John, I wonder if you could explain to me, it is my understanding that you're increasing the appropriation from $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ to $\$ 50,000$ in this Amendment?"

Matijevich: "Yes, the Department of Mental Health came to me and said there was an oversight on the matter of the retroactive overtime. They hadn't included the fire fighters and this additional $\$ 50,000$ was needed for that purpose."

Walsh: "Now, what was the original $\$ 25,000$ for?"
Matijevich: "I better check with that one. That one, evidently was .... Ted Lechowicz, can you help me on that one? The original $\$ 25,000$. That was ah..... there was no issue of that in the Appropriations Committee, frankly, Dick, and I wasn't there."

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Department of Mental Health is requesting $\$ 25,000$ deficiency because of the Federal District Court
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Order requiring retroactive overtime for the employees......"
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Walsh, for what purpose are you rising?"

Walsh: "I can't hear him."
Lechowicz: "Can you hear me now? The Department has requested a $\$ 25,000$ deficiency because of a Federal Court Ruling stating that they have to pay overtime from 1969 to 1972 , and the $\$ 25,000$ will take care of the overtime that was in question. There's a court ruling and there's nothing they can do about it. Federal Court Ruling."

Walsh: "Does..... they thought it was $\$ 25,000$ and now it's $\$ 50,000$. " Lechowicz: "No, Sir. The additional $\$ 25,000$, Mr. Matijevich will have to speak in behalf of it, because I don't know anything about it. I'm talking about the original Bill."

Walsh: "All right; the original $\$ 25,000$, as $I$ understand it, then, is to comply to a Federal Court Order. Now, well, who can explain the need for the additional $\$ 25,000$ then?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, the additional \$50,000, as I gather from the Department of Mental Health is for the purposes of retroactive overtime for fire fighters. That is what they have informed me and to comply with the Court Order, but originally, they didn't include the fire fighters. I can understand where you're concerned about the amounts as I am, but that's the information given to me."

Walsh: "Well, it seems to me that there's a little confusion on this. The original $\$ 25,000$ is to comply with the Court Order, but there's some confusion as to the purpose of the additional funds."

Matijevich: "Would you hold this. Mr. Speaker, I had the Department of Mental Health check with the Appropriations Staff, so they cleared it with them and it's agreed to, so I didn't get all the information."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Lechowicz: "Just a point of clarification, Mr. Speaker. I think the original $\$ 25,000$ was for the Mental Health employees and subsequent they say that the fire employees should also be covered, so they're amending this Bill, by the same Court Order, though, by the Federal Court, on overtime pay."

Walsh: "All right, then, are you Gentlemen telling us that the entire amount, then, is to comply with a Federal Court Order?".

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment $\# 1$ to House Bill 2457. All in favor of adoption, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed 'no'. The Amendment is adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Do you wish to have it called on Third Reading? No. o'kay, let's leave it on Third Reading for tomorrow. House Bill 2609."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2609. An Act to amend Section 4 of an Act to provide for the ordinary and contingent expense of the Department of Labor. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Lechowicz, you want to take that one? I don't see Representative Giorgi on the Floor?"

Lechowicz: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. House Bill 2609 amends Section 4 of the fiscal 74 appropriation for the Department of Labor. It transfer $\$ 84,000$ from Personal Services to Contractual Services in the Division of Welfare Rehabilitation Services. The two transfers, discussed are an additional $\$ 63,000$ of additional expense, one was dated September 26, 1973 and \$31,036 was transferred from Director's Reserve to the Contractual Service line item and on March 12, 1974, $\$ 32,000$ was transferred from Contractual Services for the following line items: $\$ 12,490$ for some equipment and $\$ 20,000$ for telecommuications. The transfers must project a deficit of $\$ 83,374$. This is what this Bill will correct. I ask for your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Richard Walsh."

Walsh: "I think I would like to address the question to the Chair initially. It's my recollection that the custom has been for the transfer bills, since they are appropriation bills, to be printed in bold type on the calendar. Has that been the practice in the past?"

Speaker Telcser: "Well, what is the practice?"
Lechowicz: "Yes, it has."
Speaker Telcser: 'Well, why not transfers? There seems to be a
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difference of opinion up here, Representative Walsh. The Clerk says that in the past they have not printed the transfer bills in bold type. I. thought they did also, a matter of fact."

Walsh: "Well, then I have a..... it seems to me they should be and I do have a question of the Sponsor, or on his abensce, Mr. Lechowicz. It appears that there's just one transfer provided in the Bill. Is that correct, Ted?"

Lechowicz: •That's right."
Walsh: "Well, then, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if these other transfer Bills, with the exception of one, have been either from Personal Services to Travel or from Personal Services to Social Security or from some other expenditure within the Department for use by employees of the Department. Now, this Bill provides for a transfer of some $\$ 80,000$, I believe it is, to be expended instead of for Personal Services for employees within the Department, for Contractual Services to permit the Department of Labor to hire outside help on a contractual basis. Is that not the case, Ted? Would you then explain the purpose for which these expenditures are to be made."

Lechowicz: "Absolutely, according to to the Department of Labor the Bureau of Employment Security, the industrial training center was relocated after the fiscal 74 budget was submitted and the agreement resulted in additional rental expense of $\$ 146,900$, for which the Department had not allowed in the fiscal 74 budget. I mentioned the figures that they transferred before, $\$ 84,000$ is a supplement to that requesting Legislative approval to pay the rental of that training center."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 2609 pass? All those in favor, signify by voting 'aye'. The opposed by voting 'no'. Leinenweber 'aye'. Schoeberlein 'aye'. Have all..... what? 0 'kay, have all voted who wished? Take the Record. On this question, there are 126 'ayes', 7 'nays', 1 answering 'present' and this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. $0^{\prime} k a y, ~ M a h a r ~ ' a y e ' . ~ B i l l ~ M a h a r ~ ' a y e ' . ~$ Now, earlier today, House Bill 2363 was read a Second time. However,
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there was an Amendment on the Clerk's desk which we didn't adopt. If we can return to House Bill 2363, which is now on Third Reading. If there's no objection to taking it back to Second, for the purpose of Amendment, will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment \#1. DiPrima. Amend House Bill 2363......"
Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative DiPrima." DiPrima: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Amendment reduces the general revenue operation by $\$ 34,500$ because this amount of Federal EEA is available in fiscal year 75 to be used for the out reach program, thereby reducing by saving the fiscal year 75 general revenue requirement. The Comission concurs with this reduction. Number 2, it increases operations by $\$ 318,789$, by appropriating the Federally funded veterans approval agency previously appropriated for the office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. This represents a transfer of responsibility from OSPI to the Veterans Comaission and both support this transfer. This Amendment is agreed with the Commission of both staffs."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment \#l to House Bill 2363. All in favor, 'aye'. Opposed 'no'. The Amendment's adopted. Are there further Amendments? Third Reading. Representative Chapman, do you wish "to have House Bill 2426 called? Tomorrow? $0^{\prime}$ kay. Let's go to Senate Bills, Third Reading. Senate Bill 166."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 166. A Bill for an Act to provide for the Exposition and Performing Arts Authority in Madison-St. Clair County to define its powers and duties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Madison, Representative Calvo. Representative Calvo on the Floor? Take it out of the Record. Senate Bil1 210."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 210. An Act to amend the Public Junior College Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Will, Representative Leinenweber." Leinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Senate Bill 210 amends the Public Junior College Act and it permits local Junior College Boards to require physical education courses for credits towards graduation
or certification. It also permits physical education to qualify for the State's flat grant aid to Junior College Districts. Currently, local districts, physical education is treated differently than anyother academic course under the Junior College System. It does not qualify for flat grants and the local districts, in their discretion, may not require the students to take physical education. As a result, there has been a tremendous downgrading and almost an elimination of physical education as a subject in our community colleges. Now, a few days ago, when this Bill was discharged from the Rules Comittee, I went over some of the reasons why I personally consider physical education to be an extremely important subject. It certainly has been considered to be an important subject throughout all the years, as a person's physical development has always been considered to be equal to, his mental development. Senate Bill 210 was amended on second reading to provide a requirement that all courses be available on equal and nondiscriminatory basis to men and women. I think that it is time we, high time that we turn physical education to its order of importance. Again, this mandates nothing. It permits our elective officials and the local Junior College Boards, the people who are elected by the voters back home that sent us-down here, to decide in their discretion whether or not physical education is important enough to require a student to take it. I think this is an extremely important Bill. There is an extreme amount of interest in the Community College level on this Bill. I think if your mail has been like mine, you've received a great number of letters from faculty members in all areas, including physical education, to consider this subject of importance. Again, it permits local Junior Colleges to return physical education. I think it's an extremely important Bill in this day and age when we see each President having a council on fitness. We see increased emphasis on physical development and physical conditioning. I think that we should certainly vote 'aye' on this Bill, which is on Third Reading. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I hesitate to rise to oppose my good friend from Will; however, I think it's. important that the Membership be fully aware of the impact of this Bill before voting on it. This Bill passed, or I should say, squeaked through the Committee on Higher Education last spring, you will note from the Digest by a vote of 6 to 5 , and what it would provide is just as the Gentleman stated that Community Colleges could require physical education courses and receive state apportionment therefore and the State Board would have no authority, no control over the nature of these courses. Now, do we desire to spend State money without any control whatsoever as to the manner in which it would be expended? Now some of you may recall, a few years ago, we had an Amendment to the Junior College Appropriation Bill, which prohibited State apportionment or State aid for such things as bridge, dancing lessons and similar courses. Since that time, we have provided by every appropriation bill that courses must be approved by the State Board prior to State funds being expended therefore. It seems to me that we should maintain that control, if we're going to allow apportionment, and we should maintain that control in the area of physical education as well as vocational or the normal liberal arts courses. I think this is a bad Bill and I would urge that the Membership vote 'no'."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Does the Gentleman wish to close? Representative Leinenweber."

Weinenweber: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. This Bill certainly does not do the things that the Gentleman from Cook apparently thinks it does. It certainly does not treat physical education any differently than any other area of study. In fact, it returns it to the same level that the other academic disciplines require. Certainly, over the years, physical education was considered every bit as much as an academic discipline as any other subject, so I certainly think it ought to be treated the same. They said the educationalists in the Community College System, as your mail will show, is for this Bill and I certainly would ask an 'aye' vote on this fine Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Question is, shall this Bill, Senate Bill 210 pass? All
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in favor signify by voting aye; the opposed by voting no. Gentleman from Ogle, Representative Brinkmeier, to explain his vote." Brinkmeier: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, 'I'd like to urge you to support this particular piece of legislation. I'd like to point out to you that not too many years ago, well quite a few years ago maybe, during World War II we discovered so many of our young men were not physically capable of serving in the Armed Services. I submit to you that conditions haven't changed that much. In recent years there's been a trend in our high schools to infringe upon the time that normally was set aside for physical ed; they'll have driver education and numerous other sources that they teach infringe upon the physical education time. I'd like to point out to you also that we just recently within the last hour appropriated over a million dollars to the Illinois Art Council. I would suggest to you that the health of our young people is just as important and I would urge an aye vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Anyone else wish to explain their vote? Gentleman from Wi11...Gentleman from Will, Representative Kempiners." Kempiners: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my yes vote on that board very simply and very expediently by saying that this does leave control as to whether or not physical science is mandatory to the local college board. So control on this particular issue is left to locally elected officials and I would ask anybody who is not on the board yet to put on a green light."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Trying to help out his seatmate. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Champaign, Representative Clabaugh. Clabaugh."

Clabaugh: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I can't understand the reluctance of the Membership to get on this Bill. As the Sponsor has said it's a...it is not a mandatory Bill at all, it leaves the to the discretion of the local junior college board as to whether or not this discipline is included in their...course of studies. We have ruling in our high schools and in our elementary schools we have required this subject for a dozen or more years and $P^{7}$ glad to see now that you've thought better of your indecision."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lawrence, Representative Cunningham." Cunningham: "Mr. Speaker, on instruction of the Sponsor I'll just adopt the explanation offered by Representative Clabaugh. I got up on a well behind Bill. The vote is right now."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 108 ayes, 20 nays, 9 answering present; this vote having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 265."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 265. Ar act relating to the amount retained by the Department of Revenue. for collection of certain taxes levied by counties and municipalities. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tom Miller." Miller: "Thank you Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, Senate Bill 265 amends the Municipal Code in the Counties Act by reducing from $4 \%$ to $2 \%$ the amount that the Department of Revenue charges in regaining sales tax money to municipalities and counties. If you recall back in 1973 we had House Bill 582...Mr. Speaker, okay, thank you. These Bills were unanimously passed in 1973 by this House and by the Senate and I would appreciate your favorable vote again today on Senate Bill 265."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Question is shall Senate Bil1 265 pass. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe." Jaffe: "...Would the gentleman yield for a question?" Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will." Jaffe: "How much money is this going to cost the state?" Miller: "Representative Jaffe, this will provide an additional 5... about $\$ 5,000,000$ to the municipalities and counties throughout the state of IIlinois. In looking at the cost of operating the Department of Revenue, we find that $48 \%$ of the Department of Revenue's budget is derived from administering this particular tax."

Jaffe: "Now, wait a second, otherwise what you're telling us is that it's going to cost the state how many million of dollars?"

Miller: "About...over $\$ 5,000,000 . "$
Jaffe: "It's going to cost the state $\$ 5,000,000$ ?"

Miller: "Which will go to the 1150 municipalitie"s and 102 counties throughout the state of Illinois."

Jaffe: "Yes, I understand the latter portion of what you're saying but in essence it's going to cost the state $\$ 5,000,000$. Is that... that the bottom line? Is that correct?"

Miller: "That's correct."

Jaffe: "And...and have you checked with the Governor's office. Are they for it?"

Miller: "The Governor, if you will recall, vetoed House Bill 582 and 583 in 1973 and as we look at the Department of Revenue budget we find that their cost to administer this..."

Jaffe: "I don't understand, would you just tell me if it's in the budget? If this...if this...if this reduction is in the budget."

Miller: "The Governor vetoed it in 1973, Representative."

Jaffe: "Oh, well, it's not in the budget you're saying. The Governor vetoed it once and we're going to go through the problem of having him veto it again, is that it?"

Miller: "That's the idea, Representative..."
Jaffe: "I...I see, well, I'm going to vote no."
Speaker Telcser: "Is there...Gentleman from Cook, Representative Yourell."
Youre11: "Thank...thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I'm delighted to vote for this Bill and would remind the Sponsor that in 1967 this was my original proposal and the Republican side of the aisle saw fit to vote it down on Third Reading. So I hope this time with the Sponsorship of Tom Miller, it'll pass. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "...Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Hudson."
Hudson: "Would the Sponsor yield for a question? Representative, Tom, does any of the...can you tell me does any...does this money the $2 \%$ go back to just the municipalities or do the county...do the county governments share in this?"

Miller: "Yes. Presently the municipalities and the counties, as you recall, under the present act they receive $1 \%$ of the present $5 \%$ sales tax and when the Department of Revenue remits it to the municipalities and the counties they keep $4 \%$ administrative fee. But the Department of Revenue by its own figures, their cost is
only $2 / 3$ of $1 \%$ and yet they're charging a $4 \%$ administrative fee to rebate that money. And under this Bill it will reduce that administrative fee from $4 \%$ to $2 \%$ and this would help those counties who have lost that $3 \%$ collection that's absolutely...ah, for the City of Chicago, for example, it would mean an additional 1 and $1 / 3$ million dollars for the City of Chicago. And for all of the municipalities and counties throughout the state in proportion to the amount of sales tax that they collect in their respective county or municipality."

Hudson: "Thank you."
Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman yield to a question?" Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."

Matijevich: "...Representative Miller, this is a Senate Bill and it's been hanging over here in the House.for some time, is that true?" Miller: "Representative, it was on the calendar on Third Reading on July $2 n d$ and because we closed up shop on that date we did not have the opportunity to consider it on Third Reading in the House." Matijevich: "Now, if that's true, the effective date of the Bill is October 1, 1973. Is that true? In other words, we're voting for a Bill which would be final enactment and it won't even go back to the Senate, I don't believe, and we have an effective day of October 1, '73. Is that true?"

Miller: "Ah, thank you, Representative, and you've called my attention to the fact that there has been an amendment distributed and it would be proper for me as sponsored it to request the House to call it back to Second Reading for the purpose of putting on the Amendment to amend the date upward to whatever the Amendment calls for, I believe it's October 1st 1974. Thank you."

Matijevich: "All right."
Miller: "And Mr. Speaker, with your leave, could we bring the Bill back to Second Reading for the application of Amendment \#1?" Speaker Telcser: "Sure. Are there any objections? Hearing none. Senate Bill 265 is on the order of Second Reading for the purpose
of Amendment. Would the Clerk please read the Amendment?"
Clerk Selcke: "Amendment \#1. Amends Senate Bill 265..."
Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Tom Miller.
Is that your Amendment, Tom?"
Miller: "Yeah."
Speaker Telcser: "Reprsentative Miller, you wish to explain your Amendment and move for its adoption, sir?"

Miller; "Yes. If the Clerk would indicate the effective date of the Amendment, please, of the Bill as amended?"

Speaker Telcser: "Hasn't been amended yet. Representative Miller, do you wish to put the adoption of the Amendment, sir?"

Miller: "Yes, sir. I move the adoption of Amendment \#l to Senate Bill 265."

Speaker Telcser: . "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "I would just like to know what the Amendment says."
Speaker Telcser: "You wish to explain the Amendment, Representative Miller?"

Miller: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, the Amendment changes the effective day of the act from October 1,1973 , I believe, to an effective day of October 1, 1974."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."
Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I would only like sto make this one point. We just passed out a Senate Bill and I voted for it; we seem to bend over backwards to the Senate. We're going to bend over backwards and amend this Bill but if you have a House Bill in the Senate, if it's pending over there, you've got to start out with a new Bill and it's not going to be your Bill it's going to be a Senate bill and I just don't think that this House ought to stand still and bend over backwards. I have nothing against any of the Senate Sponsors at all but I think that we ought to uphold the dignity of this Body. I don't think that we ought to bend over backwards and pass out Senate Bills when we've got old Bills over there that aren't going to be considered at all and if they are they're going to take it...they're going to go the
tough road, they're going to be a new Bill that has to go through both Bodies and therefore, I...I just think we ought to take these Bills and look 'em over and as "far as I'm concerned we ought to kill 'em all."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Gentleman has offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 265. All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye. Opposed, no. The Amendment's adopted. Further amendments? Third Reading. Bill has been read a third time Representative Miller do you wish to have it called on Third Reading?"

Miller: "Well, Mr. Speaker, we did have a Roll Call going up there, you don't happen to have those figures yet, can we throw that Roll Call back on the board again and take a peek?"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Bill has been read a third time. The question is shall Senate Biil 265 pass. All those in favor signify by... This question now is on Third Reading, Representative Jaffe, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Jaffe: "We are now voting on Third Reading..."
Speaker Telcser: "Third Reading."
Jaffe: "...Is that correct? We're not voting on the Amendment.
Is that correct?"
Speaker Telcser: "Ámendment was adopted. Right."
Jaffe: "I...I think that the House ought to be apprised of that. I think perhaps a lot of people here are thinking that they're voting on the Amendment. In essence what it does, it takes $\$ 5,000,000$ out of the budget, you vote no, you take $\$ 5,000,000$ out of the budget, we can't right now at the present time, fully fund the... the educational formula and I think if we pass this Bill in essence what we're doing is we're just trying to fool a lot of people. I think a vote for this Bill is really a vote for hypocrisy and I think we ought to vote against it."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from...Gentleman from Kankakee, Representative Beaupre."

Beaupre: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if you
look at your digest you'11 see that the House Revenue Committee in
consideration of this matter prior hereto voted 18 to nothing in favor of it. And I can tell you the reason why they did that. The reason was that all the statistics were presented by the Deparment of Revenue in regard to the cost of collecting the tax. Legislative intent of this matter of assessing the $4 \%$ was indeed to recover the cost for the state for the collection in behalf of the townships and municipalities. Truth of the matter is that the Department of Revenue is making a good of money, profit if you will, at the expense of the township and municipal goverment. If you'11 look at the figures, if you get the data from the Department of Revenue, you would know indeed that this is an unnecessary cost which is being taken away from the municipalities and the township "which should indeed be in their coffers. And I urge you to vote yes."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Giglio."
Giglio: "Well, thaǹk you, Mr. Speaker, it's not too often that I rise but I think on this one $I$ see it's way over now. I just want to say I'm more than glad to support it and I'm glad that so many. people think it's a good Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 108 ayes, 22 nays, 2 answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 564."

Waddell: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House..." Speaker Telcser: "Wait just a second. Wait. The Clerk has to read it first, Bruce. Let the Clerk read the Bill, third time."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 564. An act to amend Section 3... 4 and so forth of Veterinary Medicine Surgery Practice Act. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."
Wadde11: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, this Bill was one of those that was held off last time with the promise of being reheard. It authorizes the licensing of animal health technicians and too the Department of Registration and Education has the power to determine the equivalency of standards of foreign veterinary schools and the power to establish standards of the
continuing education as a condition of the licensing renewal. It also limits the liability for emergency treatment of animals and it raises the presumption that euthanasia of animals to be necessary to...relieve pain and suffering of the animals. I would appreciate your favorable vote."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there further discussion? Question is shall Senate Bill 564 pass. Those in favor signify by voting aye; the opposed by voting no. Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker,....Gentle Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I was going to ask the Sponsor to yield for a question. Now last fall we very hurriedly passed an animal bill that raised the registration fee for dogs from a dollar fifty to four doílars. It's caused people from one end of the state to another to be greatly concerned about the implementation of the...Rabies Control Act. I don't think this Bill affects that but the description indicates that the Bill will raise the license fee and I think perhaps the Sponsor might explain his vote and reassure all of us that this Bill does not include anywhere the raising of the fees for the registration of dogs and I would hope the Sponsor would answer that question."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative...the Gentleman from Kane, Representative Waddell."

Waddell: "No, this has nothing to do with that. What this provides is the technicians that are not now authorized to operate...to operate under the direction of a licensed veterinarian. It also provides the fact that with the fees, if anything, to the user it should be reduced as far as the total concerned rather than proliferated. Secondly, it also provides that they can set up the educational functions for this just like they do in the Medicaid deal and $I$ think by and large it is a very good Bill in the interest of all." Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from DuPage, Representative Schneider." Schneider: "...Bruce, can you hear me all right? I have a couple of questions on this proposal. One is, how extensive is the technician program in the State of Illinois? My understanding is that the
universities and junior colleges in Illinois we have almost a nonexistent program and that it seems very difficult to find schools for students interested in veterinary technicans. My second question is, are we really becoming more stringent and if so why are we making it more stringent in the absence of full programs for technicians?"

Waddell: "No, to answer your first question, I would say this that because we have a lack of space in the veterinary school this would provide another area so that these people that are interested in becoming veterinarians can...then can take this subsequent program and then get in the veterinary school at such time as we provide enough funds to amplify the school itself."

Schneider: "Can you become a veterinary technican without attending, say a preparatory program like a two year college prep program. Is that the intent of this legislation to circumvent that?"

Waddell: "This is step number one. This provides that you shall have the board, which they do not have, the board then sets the requirements so that in turn you know you're getting step number one before step number two in proper order."

Schneider: "So it's possible then to...it's possible then to get ah, become a technician without any kind of college, without any kind of college preparation? This will allow the development of a technician's program?"

Waddell: "That's right so that they would have the proper accreditation and the proper training. As it is right now they don't have and they just help wherever they can."

Schneider: "All right. Thank you."
Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. This question 117 ayes, 7 nays, 3 answering present. This Bill having received the constitutional majority is hereby declared passed. Senate Bill 678."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 678. An act creating commission for residential property development defining its powers and duties. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."

Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, request leave to return Senate Bill 678 to Second Reading for purpose of an amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none, Senate Bill 678 is on the order of Second Reading. Will the Clerk please read the Amendment."

Clerk Selcke: "Amendment \#1. Friedland. Amend Senate Bill 678 on page 2 by deleting line $10 .$. "

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."
Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, Amendment \#l to Senate Bill 678 would change an obsolete reporting date and an obsolete effective date of the Act. I would urge the adoption of the Amendment."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? ...Gentleman has moved the adoption of Amendment $\# 1$ of Senate Bill 678. All in favor of the adoption signify by saying aye; opposed no. Amendment's adopted. Further amendments? Third Reading. Bill's been read a third time Representative, do you wish to have it called? Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland, on Third Reading, Senate Bill $678^{-}$ as amended."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, request permission to...request leave to have Senate Bill 678 and Senate Bill 1149 be considered at the same time as they're companion measures."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections? Hearing none will the Clerk please read Senate Bill 1149 a third time."

Clerk Selcke: "SenateBill 1149. An act making an appropriation to the Commission on Residential Property Development. Third Reading of the Bill."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Kane, Representative Friedland."
Friedland: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, these two measures were on the spring calendar and have received an approval from the Rules Committee to be reported out to the full Body. Senate Bill 678 would seek to establish a commission to study problems in fast growing, quick expansion mushrooming areas and its
companion bill, Senate Bill 1149, is the appropriation measure of $\$ 20,000$ which was reduced from original of $\$ 50,000$. I'd urge its approval."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Question?"
Speaker Telcser: "He indicates that he will."
Jaffe: "Can I ask, you know, why is this limited to residential property only?"

Friedland: "Representative Jaffe, I don't believe if you'd read the measure that it's not limited to that only, this measure, this commission could perhaps study sanitary facilities and any problem that is...a curb in the area in local government in fast'growing areas. It wouldn't be narrowly limited to that."

Jaffe: "I...I'm sorry. I can't...can't hear you."
Friedland: "This...this wouldn't affect townships at all, Representative Jaffe."

Jaffe: "Well, but why is it only pertain to residential property. Is ...that's what it says in this digest, is that correct?"

Friedland: "That is one of the thrusts of this measure, yes. To survey that in rapid growing quicik expansion areas overlapping boundaries and that type of thing."

Jaffe: "But it only pertains to residential development is that correct. I...I... you're not...."

Friedland: "No, I don't believe so. No."
Jaffe: "But that's what the digest says so is the digest wrong?"
Friedland: "Yes. Read 678."
Jaffe: "I know that...that last year out it was read on Third Reading and it failed to pass. Is that correct?"

Friedland: "Yes, it...that is correct. It did fail to pass because of my improper-explanation at that time however it was reassessed and overwhelmingly reported...motion to...from postponed...to postponed consideration overwhelmingly prevailed."

Jaffe: "Well, if it doesn't just pertain to residential property, what does it pertain to?"

Friedland: "Any problem, Representative Jaffe, that might be experienced in a fast growing area. In other words some of the suburban areas and downstate areas one year there may be 5,000 people in a particular location and because of increased building and construction a year or two later we might find 15 or 20 thousand in the...and this... that type of growth does nothing but create problems for local schools, any special use tax body."

Jaffe: "Yeah, I understand what you're saying but why is it called a commission for residential property development and why... why is it called that?"

Friedland: "To tell you the truth I don't why it's called that it. originated in the Senate, I don't know why it's a proper..."

Jaffe: "Well, let me say that if this failed last time because of you... improper...definition of the Bill, you're not doing much better this time because $I$ don't know understand it, I'd like to vote for it but until I understand what it's all about I'm just going to have to lay off my button."

Friedland: "Take my word for it. Support it. You... you'11 be surprised." Jaffe: "I'm afraid I can't do that."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Washington." Washington: "Mr. Speaker, Membirs of the House, in a sense I'm rising on a point of order. We don't have those Senate Bills which have been called in the last few minutes and in particularly $I$ don't have this one. I can't follow the debate. I can't follow the language and $I$ understand my seatmate, he doesn't have the Bill either."

Speaker Telcser: "Has the Senate...oh, well, the Clerk informs me these bills were put in the bill book last year and they're in your offices across the street probably."

Clerk Selcke: "They were put over there..."
Washington: "Well, it's a...it's a technicality, I think we'11 have to not abide by it... we should have a Bill of this magnitude before us Mr. Speaker and I'm going to call a point of order on it unless we have more..."

Speaker Telcser: "...All right, does the Sponsor wish to take these


Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative..."
Washington: "So that we can have it on our desk."
Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Washington, it would be the prerogative of the Sponsor to take it out of the record if he wishes to. The Chair is of no mind to leave in or take it out but the Rules have been complied with so the Chair is compelled to leave that decision with the Sponsor."

Washington: "Mr. Speaker, I suggest that the Speaker's parliamentarian should use a little bit discretion and common sense here. We haven't seen this Bill in six months, if then."

Friedland: "Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, Representative Friedland."
Friedland: "Be happy to take it out of the record."
Speaker Telcser: "All right, gentleman will take it out of the record,
Representative Washington. Take them both out of the record. All right, now, so the Members know should this occasion arise again, Bills that have been distributed last June with respect to matters coming up now are in compliance with the Rule. So just to kind of forewarn the Members. Representative Caldwell, for what purpose . do you rise?"

Caldwe11: "Mr. Speaker, with all due respect to you and with...in your last observation $I$ would suggest that it's not fair to any Member on this floor to expect us in view of the remodeling and the whole bit that Senate Bills come up now that none of the Members of the House except perhaps the House Sponsor has had an opportunity to see. And as far as this particular Bill is concerned, the Senate Sponsor as far as I'm concerned, has a reputation for sponsoring the type of Bill that's inimical to the people in my district. And I definitely want to see this Bill and I'm not sure what I'm going to do with it when I see it."

Speaker Telcser: "Want me to tell you what... Representative Matijevich." Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I'd also like to add to that the fact that as I understand the Rule that we adopted the Bill should be transferred to the order in which it was on the Calendar which was postponed consideration. I think we're being
very unfair to the Membership in transferring a Bill that was on postponed consideration to Third Reading. In other words, you're giving a Member two more shots when legally under our Rules he ought to have only one more shot at it. It's already been on postponed consideration. Not only that, he's not only doing it, I think you're doing.it without the Rules. Our Rules clearly state that it ought to be transferred to the order on which it was-on the Calendar which was postponed consideration. We've already passed the Bill that was on postponed and it was shown on Third Reading. And I don't think that's right and I think we ought to change that in the future."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, now, the Clerk is going to check, Representative Matijevich, if this was on postponed consideration at the time we adjourned I would say your point is well taken and the Clerk will : check that now. With respect to the distribution of the Bills the Clerk will make an attempt to distribute Bills again but he indicates they've got to be printed all over again. That the supplies in his office are...have been depleted. All right, Representative Griesheimer, for what purpose do you rise, sir? Representative Griesheimer. You seek recognition, Sir?"

Griesheimer: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I'd like to rise to a point of personal privilege."

Speaker Telcser: "State your point, sir?"
Griesheimer: "A few moments ago, I had the...a few moments ago I had the unfortunate circumstance of asking a page to go downstairs to the so called Legislative Cafeteria to obtain some food for me and I use the word food very loosely because my association with that place down there has shown me that it's probably the least likely place to find food in the city of Springfield. The page came back up here, I'd ordered an ice cream bar and he said they were out as they usually are of just about everything you order and with him he brought a Dixie cup that had to be left over from the Civil War. It was a fine state of a sort of a greenish yellow on top with the top caved in. I bought it downstairs personally myself because I wanted to speak to whoever is in charge down there, I've never seen anybody
in charge. The only things that $I$ think they can speak highly of is the fact that it takes 20 minutes of a...any type of a menu down there and another 45 minutes to get served: I was brought back into the rear end of this restaurant and was introduced to a gentleman by the name of Jim Taylor, I'm sure he's no relation to our Taylor, he tells me that he is the manager there and that since he's been the manager he has received nothing but compliments on his good food and his fine service. He went on to say that I was the first Legislator that had sought him out to speak to him. Now this gentleman is obviously not in touch with reality and $I$ would like to encourage all of us that are in a position of having to eat that food down there or starve on the floor of this House, to stop by and see Mr. Jim Taylor and tell 'you' exactly what you think of his food and suggest that if he enjoys it so much possibly he could start eating it because I am sure he has a back door to the restaurant and he must go elsewhere."

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah. Okay. Representative Matijevich, are you clear on that? Okay. That was on Third Reading. Representative Duff, for what purpose do you rise?"

Duff: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, now that Greisheimer has finished his nauseating remarks I...I'd like to ask a question because it's going to come up many times this spring. I have on the House floor a complete set of all House Bills and Amendments and Senate Bills and Amendments and they're the same Bills and Amendments that we were given last spring. I am curious to know if it is going to come up every time we have a Bill that we have already been given and has already been placed in our books, will it come up every time that we will get a protest of no Bills or should we all get our own books and get 'em back out in operation."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Duff, there's no way the Chair can possibly project what matter will be put before that in the future however the Chair can simply rule that in accordance with the Rules Bills have been distributed. Now wherever and whenever possible I'm sure the Clerk's office will do its best to accommodate Members whose books have been misplaced or Bills have been taken
out inadvertently. The Rules have been applied with, I would suggest that the Members do look:..bill book to see that all the Bills are in numerical order and their books are complete."

Duff: "Well, I have this Bill and it's been distributed."
Speaker Telcser: "That is correct, Sir. Representative Campbell, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Campbell: "Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I move to suspend the provisions of Rule $33-\mathrm{A}$ for the purpose of taking Senate Bill 1421 from the order of Second Reading First Legislative Day to Second Reading Second Legislative Day having read a second time for the purpose of offering and adopting an Amendment. I've checked it on both sides of the aisle and appreciate your favorable support."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? The Gentleman has asked leave to suspend the provisions of Rule 33-A for the purpose of having Senate Bills 1421 and 1422 placed on the order of Second Reading Second Legislative Day..."

Campbel1: "1421 only."
Speaker Telcser: "Oh, I'm sorry, 1421 only, for the purposes of an amendment. Okay. Hearing no objections we'1l use the Second Reading Second Legislative Day, will the Clerk please read Senate Bill 1421 Second Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "Senate Bill 1421. An act making a supplemental appropriation for certain distributive expenditures for the Department of Public Aid. Second Reading of the Bill. One Committee Amendment. Amends Senate Bill 1421 page 1 line 6 and so forth."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Vermilion, Representative Campbell." Campbell: "I presume it is...now would be moved to Third Reading. I move also the adoption of Committee Amendment \#1."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman's offered to move the adoption of Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 1421. All in favor of adoption signify by saying aye. The opposed no. The Amendment's adopted. Any further amendments? Third Reading. Okay. Are there further motions. All right, Representative Day, for what purpose do you rise? Okay, get 'em all."

Day: "Mr. Speaker, I have a motion on the Calendar under the heading of Motions that deals with House Resolution 880. 880. Are we on the order of Motions at this time?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, has moved to discharge the Executive Committee and in order to place House Resolution 880 on the Calendar. Is that your Motion, Sir?"

Day: "That is correct."
Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion with respect to the Motion? Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Has it been heard in Exec?"

Day: "On this..."
Shea: "'Cause I think it makês a difference. It takes 89 to discharge it if it's been heard and if it hasn't been heard it takes a 107 and I'd like a ruling from :rour parliamentarian."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Day, could you come up to the podium please? This question will take 89 votes, Representative Shea. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Shea."

Shea: "Mr...Mr. Speaker, it took a 107 votes to suspend the Rules and _ the Gentleman moved to suspend the Rules. He could not get the required vote. It was assigned to Committee; it's my understanding that the Rules of this House require a 107 votes to take a piece of legislation out of committee prior to the time it's heard. If it's heard by committee and rejected it requires 89 votes to take it out of committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, Rule 67-C clearly states it takes 89 affimmative votes to discharge the committee, the gentleman's motion...."

Shea: "That...isn't..."
Speaker Telcser: "...Discharge committee."
Shea: "Isn't that after the legislation has been heard?"

Speaker Telcser: "It doesn't say anything in Rule 67. I see no reference to whether or not legislation has been heard or not. Something new."

Shea: "That...if you read 67-A it says 'any member may move by giving a written notice that a committee be discharged from consideration of any bill or resolution referred to it and not reported back
do not pass or do not pass as amended!."
Speaker Telcser: "But it wasn't...the resolution was not reported back do not pass or do not pass as amended."

Shea: "Well, that's right. It has not been reported back. Now are you telling me that the Rules of this House say that if you wish to suspend the Rules and get an immediate hearing it takes a 107 votes, is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yes, if you wish to suspend the Rules of the House, and bypass the committee and have the matter of business placed on the order of Second Reading without reference that would take 107 votes."

Shea: "Well, Art, get an immediate consideration of a resolution."
Speaker Telcser: "We11,...immediate...all right."
Shea: "You're telling me that you assigned a resolution to committee."
Speaker Telcser: "And it takes 89 votes to discharge it."
Shea: "All right, but that's prior to the time the Comittee heard it."
Speaker Telcser: "There's nothing in the Rúle that alludes hearings or not, Representative..."

Shea: "Well, what are 67-A talking about?"
Speaker Telcser: "67-A refers to matter which have not been reported do not pass or do not pass as amended which is the case of Representative Day's matter. And since Representative Day's matter falls within the provisions of portion $A$ of Rule 67 it would require 89 votes to discharge the committee."

Shea: "Are you telling me then, and I want to get this for future 'reference, that it takes 107 votes to suspend the Rules."

Speaker Telcser: "That's correct, Sir."
Shea: "For either a bill or a resolution?"
Speaker Telcser: "That's correct."
Shea: "The minute that bill or resolution is assigned to committee..."
Speaker Telcser: "It takes 89 to discharge the committee."
Shea: "If the sponsor of that resolution could immediately move for immediate consideration or to hear the resolution and it only takes 89 votes?"

Speaker Telcser: "Yeah. As long as...as long as the gentleman or lady ...pursuant to Rule 67 files the proper motion that stays on the

Calendar the proper length of time, that matter will be...if the Member is successful in getting 89 votes, the matter will be placed on the order of Second Reading First Legislative Day, if it's a bill."

Shea: "Now...now, Mr. Speaker, we had a great debate at the time we adopted Rule $67-\mathrm{A}$, the amendment to the Rule was offered by Representative Davis 'cause it originally required on any bill 107 votes to get it out of committee and I think that the debate on the bill was that once a bill was assigned to committee and heard, and heard by the committe, if it's reported do not pass you've got an opportunity to take it from the comittee with 89 votes. Now it seems that we're just thwarting our rules with the ruling you're making because I could make a motion when we come in on a resolution, get 90 votes, get it referred to committee that day and posted and come back that afternoon with an additional resolution to get it out with never having it heard by the committee."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, Representative Shea, what you have just stated isn't fact with their rules and whether or not it's a flaw or a method by which one can circumvent one rule as opposed to the other. depends on ones' perspective but that is the case. It takes a 107 votes under the rules we've adopted to suspend the rule with respect with reference to committee and it simply takes 89 votes as long as a bill has not been reported back do not pass or do not pass as amended; would take 89 votes to discharge the committee. I agree with your observation, I don't disagree with it."

Shea: "But it talks about the do not pass or do not pass as amended."
Speaker Telcser: "Right."
Shea: "Because then the bill has been heard."
Speaker Telcser: "Well, what about a bill that has been in a committee and there hasn't been a vote, there's been a tie vote?"

Shea: "But our ruḷes provide that the sponsor has a method of getting it heard in committee. If...if this has been a ruling of the Chair, and I want to be very specific about it so I get the ruling. It requires a 107 votes to suspend the rule to get an immediate hearing to advance a bill. Are you then saying that once a bill is assigned to committee, once it's assigned to committee, it only requires 89
votes to take the committee, the bill from committee or the resolution from committee prior to the time it's had a hearing."

Speaker Telcser: "Well, within the context of the way you state the question and the context of Rule 67 parts $a, b$ and $c$, the Chair would rule that Representative Day, the motion would require 89 votes. And since that..."

Shea: "Are you telling me though that. $\therefore$ I want a clear interpretation of this because I think it becomes extremely important, Mr. Speaker, it takes 107 votes which Mr. Day attempted to get last week to suspend the rules, he failed to get the 107 votes. It was assigned to the Executive Committee and it's my understanding it has not been heard in the Executive Committee as yet so now you're saying that once a bill or resolution is assigned to a committee it only requires 89 not 107 to discharge that committee?"

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Shea, the circumstances which you just enunciated are the very circumstances which Representative Day finds himself in and that matter takes 89 votes."

Shea: "Well, I strongly object to the ruling of the Chair but I find it much easier to work with your ruling than what the Rules provide."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I think you're right but I wanted to know what the motion was."

Speaker Telcser: "Oh, Representative Day has moved to discharge the Executive Committee and place House Resolution 880 on the Calendar." Matijevich: "Yes. Conld I speak to that?"

Speaker Telcser: "Sure, proceed."
Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, I believe the Speaker's
ruling is correct. In other words Representative Day can move to discharge the Committee however by doing so $I$ think he really is circumventing the intent of our Rules because the intent of our Rules is that when we didn't give him the consideration to have this heard on the floor he should have used the committee system. Now if it were a fact that the Committee Chairman or the Committee did deprive him of a hearing then $\dot{I}$ think this would be a proper motion
and we should give him 89 votes. However, as a Minority spokesman on that Committee I'm not aware of the fact that he wasn't deprived of a hearing and the Committee Chaiman is waving hello to me, I... I may. .. I was sick last week I may have missed part of that Committee hearing."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, what purpose you arise, Sir?"
Collins: "In answer to Representative Matijevich and in support of Representative Day's Motion the Resolution was posted for hearing In the Executive Committee, Representative Day was there waiting to present his Resolution and was denied a hearing because the Committee could not convene for lack of quorum so I. . . I think it only just that we...that we support Representative Day's Motion. It was not his fault, it was ours. We did...we did post the Resolution; it was to be heard, he wait...he waited over an hour I believe waiting for us to convene, we did not have a quorum that day so he was unable to have is Resolution heard through no fault of his own."

Matijevich: |"I hate to have a dialogue across the floor, but is that... is that the day he didn't want a quorum, Phil?".

Collins: "That...that's the very same day, John."
Matijevich: "Mr. Chaiman, I think I do recall that day and I hate to oppose Representative Day but I really think we got a meeting Wednesday! I think this ought to go through the Committee system without any question. I do recall the lack of quorum and it was a matter of everybody cooperating with the Chairman of the Committee and therefore we didn't have a quorum so Representative Day I think you ought to file a motion with the old Committee Chairman rather than us. We can hear this matter Wednesday without ary questions rather having us take the time of the House."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative Taylor."
Taylor: "Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of personal privilege."
Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."
Taylor: "My name was used in debate a few moments ago by Representative Greisheimer when he stated the name of Jim Taylor on the restaurant. My name happens to be Jim Taylor too and as a result of that I've had a number of calls back here this afternoon asking me whether

I was the manager of the restaurant. I'm pretty proud of that name and I think....name is a great name for me and I certainly don't want it damaged by someone using it saying that my name is being contaminated by bad food. The only...the station that I'll have with this gentleman downstairs is the fact that I've gotten his telephone calls, I've gotten a few of his bills. Now I know the gentleman, he's an honorable person. I happen to think some of the food that he has is pretty good, at least that portion that I eat but since I am on the third floor and he is in the basement I thought maybe the Representative would like to know that the Jim Taylor that you're speaking about is in the basement. And since I know him I will go down and talk with him about the complaint that Representative Griesheimer has."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, the Gentleman from Peoria, Representative Day, has moved to take. House Resclution 880 from the Executive Committee and placed on the Calendar. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by voting aye; the opposed by voting no. Gentleman from Peorial, Representative Day."
Day: "Mr. Speaker, to explain my vote, I would like to mention that after the Motion was lost to bypass Committee this Bill was...this Resolution was referred to the Executive Committee; it was posted for a notice and I attended the Executive Committee Hearing on the date that it was posted. Unfortunately there was not a quorum present on that date and that is the reason why $I$ have filed this Motion. Now normally I would be perfectly willing to delay the matter until it was convenient for the Executive Committee to hear it but in this particular case we are dealing with what I think is a rather important matter and something that this House should pass on within the very near future. Now I think that most of you realize that the nature of this Motion is to seek a vote from this House to the effect that the impeachment hearings before the House Judiciary Committee in I Washington should be entirely open and that there should be no closed or secret meeting. And if we don't take a stand on this and vote one way or another at this time the question will be moot within the very near future and we, I think, will be sitting by and more
or less acquiesing in a very serious situation. So I would appreciate it if you would give me the necessary 89 votes so that we can get this Resolution on the Calendar"and hopefully get an expression from this Body on this very important subject." Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Gentleman from Lake, Representative Deuster."

Deuster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, if this Resolution ever reaches Third Reading I will be voting against it because I think the substance of the Resolution is something that should be rejected and discarded however this does refer to the internal workings of Congress and the Committees of Congress. I opposed and voted no last time Representative Day asked us to bypass the Comittee simply feeling it would be terrible for us to bypass a Committee process and then tell Congress how to run their committees. But now Representative Day has made his effort to go to the Conmittee and it's not his fault that a quorum wasn't present and time is running out. I would like to see this Resolution come to the floor so we can vote it up or down and I urge all of you to put a green light on to help the Sponsor of the Resolution have his day in the Illinois House, then you can vote it down or vote it up when it gets on the Calendar as you wish. And I urge your green vote and yes vote in support of this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Representative Dee, for what purpose do you rise?"

Dee: "I'd like to explain my vote, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."
Dee: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I think all we're asking for here, all Representative Day wishes is to bring forth openly|and before the entire United States the feeling of the Illinois House of Representatives that closed sessions, star chamber sessions are out of sympathy and out of purpose in the American way of life. All we're asking, not how to run the Congress, not how to run the Committee of Congress, but merely express our regret that this Committee will not hold open hearings open before the world. I think that's what this is all about. And Ladies
and Gentlemen may I please urge you two more green lights and we'll be able to debate this on the floor. Thank you very much."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, record Representative Louie Caldwell as voting aye. And record Representative Capuzi as voting present from yes; from yes to present for Capuzi. Representative Juckett, aye. That's 88. All right. Okay. All right, now let the Clerk catch up now. Representative Matijevich, what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, I'd like to explain my vote." Speaker Telcser: "Proceed, Sir."

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think everyone of
us here sometime or another even though we were against ghost voting have been guilty of it but when an issue is close and it's controyersial I think it ought to be said that we will verify this vote, so we better get those ghost voters off the board. But, Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, in explaining my vote as who lives here let me say that everybody is feeling sorry for Representative Day because a quorum wasn't present on that particular day. So what he doesn't say and what the Chairman of the Committee hasn't said is that we had a Committee Meeting last week. This could have been heard last week. Now who is negligent in that regard. We had a quorum; the Committee was there; it could have been heard; so let's not blame the Cormittee system, Representative Day could have had that Committee heard last week. That wasn't when the Committee didn't have its quorum. I recall we had quite a bitter Session about the Board of Education in Chicago and the Superintendent we had a full Committee Hearing. So we did meet. So we are going to meet this Wednesday. Let's uphold the Committee system, let's not blame the Committee for its lack of quorum. We had what everybody considered, evidently the Chairman of the Committee himself considered to be a lot of garbage. Representative Day, I hate to tell you that your Committee Chairman evidently felt your Resolution was a lot of garbage. That we had a lot garbage that day and that they didn't..."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Collins, for what purpose you rise?"

Collins: "Point of Order and Personal Privilege, Mr. Speaker. The Gentleman is insinuation some feeling on my part that he has no right to make such insinuations. Ah, how I feel on the Resolution can only be reflected in my vote. I have not yet voted on the Resolution. However, I would like to reitterate that because of the fault of the Committee Chairman and the Members of the Executive Committee the Gentleman was denied a hearing. After his Resolution had been posted. It is our fault, not his, the Gentleman was there waiting to have his Resolution heard. So I do think that there's merit in this motion and $I$ support it. Now, I think it is grossley unfair| of the Gentleman from Lake to insinuate any feeling on my part, one way or the other on the Resolution. Especially considering the eminent Gentleman from Peoria, nothing he's ever put in, to my knowledge, would I classify as garbage. So, I think that this argument is grossley unfair."

Speaker Telcser: "Huskey, 'aye'. Huskey. 'aye'. Mann 'aye'." Collins: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker. I didn't finish my explanation."

Speaker Telcser: "I'm sorry. Representative Matijevich."
Matijevich: "He was on a Point of Personal Privilege. I repeat the Chairman said we had a lot of garbage that day and I agreed with him, as one of the Committee Spokesmen. I guess they had a Resolution praising President Nixon and evidently that's out in the catagory too. But what $I^{\prime \prime} m$, what $I$ 'm saying is that everybody thought your Resolution and all the rest were a lot of garbage but you've got a Committee to have a hearing and we ought to go through that system, rather than the House Floor. I vote 'no'."
Speaker TeIcer: "I think we've got what? 89 now, Fred? Representative Grot.. . The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Hyde."

Hyde: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I think this presents a unique opportunity for those Gentlemen on the other side of liberal persuasion who always respected, as did $I$ the sentiments of Tony Scariano, God bless him, who is always for open meetings, open
covenants, openly arrived at and letting it all hang out. It seems to me that this is a great opportunity to strike a. ringing blow for full disclosure and no hidden secret meetings because Tony was certainly an apostle of open meetings on very sensitive issues. So, I should think, out of respect for Tony, let's do this one for Tony, and put the green lights up there."

Speaker Telcser: "Rep... Toby Barry, 'aye'. Barry 'aye'. Representative Yourell, for what purpose do you rise, sir?"

Youre11: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Just a reminder to many Members of the House who are voting 'aye' on this Resolution to call your attention to a year or so ago and maybe longer than that when there were many Resolutions introduced as far as the Vietnam War is concerned and $I$ heard many cries go up on the other side of the aisle as what do the House of Representatives of Illinois have to do with the foriegn policy of the United States. Many other Resolutions were introduced and tried to be passed on the Floor of this House and many individuals voting green took the same grain of thought and the same idea. Who are we in the State of Illinois, the House of Representatives to be telling Congress how they should run their House and so I call your attention to this, just because that now, when we have President Nixon involved and the Judiciary Comithee involved in hearirgs that now that the track is different. It's no longer a muddy track, it's a fast track and I urge you to reconsider this action and vote 'present'. We have no right to interfere with the Judiciary Committee of the House of Representatives in Congress, to tell them how. to operate or how not to operate and I urge a 'present' vote on this Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Union, Representative Choate."
Choate: "Well, Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House.
I certainly had not intended to speak on this Resolution. But my memory is not so short by what just a couple of months ago
there was Members on both sides of this aisle deploring the activities of Congress when they said, you shali have a 55 mile an hour speed limit. Members on both sides of this aisle said, 'We resent the fact that Congress is going to tell us, in the Illinois Legislature what to do, what to do about a speed limit in the State of Illinois that may be saving lives.' I was one of those Members, but yet, today, we turn around and we say. 'Now, the shoes on the other foot, we in Illinois are going to tell the Congress what to do. We in Illinois are going to tell the Judiciary Comittee in Congress how to run your business:' Well I think there's been enough politics played, as far as the President of the United States is concerned and I want to hear you Women, I want to hear you Gentlemen, the next time that the Congress tries to hand down a directive to the State of Illinois, I want to hear you again, get on the Floor of the House and cry; cry those crocodile tears. Cry and say that Congress shouldn't interfere. and then let me remind you, that you told them, with your vote of green today that you wanted to direct the activities of the Judiciary Committee of the House of Representatives in Washington. The shoe will be on the other foot then, the shoe will be on the other foot, because you'11 be resentful of the fact that they are telling you what to do. It's good today, because you're attempting to tell them what to do and I'm telling you that $I$ don't care whether this Resolution passes or not. They're going to do what they, deemed in the best interest of the people of this United States and for the people concerned as far as those hearings are concerned. I think that it's.time that we got down to the business of the people of the State of Illinois and directed our artention to the Legislative Branch of Government in the State of Illinois and not worrying about whether we're going to tell Congress what to do or not."

Speaker:Telcser: "Greiman...Greiman 'aye'. 'Yourell 'present'. The

The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Epton."
Epton: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Ordinarily
I would not rise to speak on this issue either. Unfortunately, some remark has been injected and I'm afraid that some of us have lost sight of the purpose of this vote. This is not a question as to whether or not we will support the Resolution. In all probability, I will oppose the Resolution. But this Gentleman is asking for something that I was denied, not too long ago by some other Gentlemen across the aisle. I thought it was wrong to deny it to me and I think it's wrong to deny it to him. And for that reason I would certainly hope to bypass the Committee. But, now let me get to something that's really important. When someone talks about our telling Congress what to do. I suggest that if we had a Mental Institution running this nation, we would probably do much better than the bunch of clown on the Patomic. As a matter of fact I wish my colleagues on the other side could allow us the luxury of running the State of Illinois. Unfortunately, in Washington, they have determined that they and only they have the wisdom in every area. Talk about speed limits, their in insurance, they've done a tremendous job in the postal department, a great job in our wars, a fantastic job on welfare and if they can handle something further in the State of Illionis, you can count on it, they'll screw it up. As a matter of fact; it's a privilege to be able to tell Congress what to do. Unfortunately, they're too stupid to hear us. This House passed a Resolution as a matter of fact, Illinois is the only state in the union perhaps without a United States Senator. We went ahead, this House and this Senate passed a Resolution and we told Congress how we felt. A Gentleman from this House went to Washington and testified before that revered body. Seventeen men on the Comittee and one man was because he was the last man to ask to be excused. Ladies and Gentlemen, we're degressing from
motion, Senator Day, in a sense of fair play a motion that I would vote against when'it comes up on the Floor. He's entitled to this vote. With all due respect to my distinguished colleague, Clyde Choate, who's lead I generally follow, I beg to differ with him. And Buz Yourell, who has supported me on so many occasions. I only wish that we could tell Congress more often what to do. I have one suggestion. I say triple their pay and give them twenty three months out of every twenty four months vacation and if somebody will take the twenty fourth month, I'11 give him a bonus for being absent then too."'

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Cook, Representative Brandt, are you seeking recognition sir? No. You pushed the wrong button. The Gentleman from Macon, Representative Borchers." Borchers: "Fellow Members of the House and Mr. Speaker, all I've got to say and point out is one simple fact. We are one of fifty children, so to speak of the Federal Government. We are not the Representatives of those fifty states. The Federal Government is the Representative of the fifty states and we're one of them. It's our duty and obligation to let our elected Representative, the Federal Government, the Congressmen and the Senators there know how we feel. This is exactly what we had happen to us from our constituents. I see nothing wrong in supporting a Resolution."

Speaker Telcser: "The Gentleman from Lake, Representative Pierce."
Pierce: "Mr. Speaker, I don't see too well, but a few minutes ago a large gray emminence emerged from the shadows in the corner, way back there and that emminence looked past like it belonged more on the shores of the Patomic than on the banks of the Sangamon and it arose and mentioned the name of former Representative Scariano and what a great example he set of open meetings and open hearings. But, let me remind him that the Scariano open meetings Bill, specifically does not apply to the Illinois General Assembly and we're exempt from
it. Now I should think he would have done something about that if he wanted Legislative Bodies always to have open hearings and not Executive Hearings. Before he goes on his way to the banks of the Potomac and no longer speaks to any of us again, I just want to set him straight. We in the Illinois General Assembly have never passed a Bill that requires all our hearings to be open to the public any more than municipalities when they're about to fire an official who's committed wrong has to have an open hearing. The a city of village in our state has an official that has defalcated or in some way violated the law, they could have an Executive Session. Why can't a House Committee in Washington when they have a public official even though he may be the chief executive who perhaps has broken the law or violated the law, why can't they have an executive session and... and discuss his wrongdoings first in executive sessicn before they bring it...bring it to the floor of Congress which of course is open. And 1 will vote present on this Resolution and ask that we have a Committee Hearing on it."

Speaker Telcser: "This question there are 90 ayes, 4 answering nay, 36 present. The Gentleman's Motion prevails. Representative Matijevich, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Matijevich: "Mr. Speaker, Members of the House, I think I did give notice that I would ask for a verification which I now do. Mr. Speaker, when $I$ explained my vote $I$ said that... remember I talked about ghost voting and said that $I$ was going to ask for a verification." :

Speaker Telcser: "Now wait a second."
Matijevich: "Now wait a second."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative, I looked across this floor to see if someone wanted to verify a Roll Call , no one rose and indicated a verification."

Matijevich: "Speaker, Mr. Speaker, I jumped up..."
Speaker Telcser: "No, you didn't, John."
Matijevich: "I can't help it if this new system we've got isn't worth a damn. .."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Choate, what purpose you rise, Sir?"
Choate: "Well, if...my memory serves me correctly no one needed to rise
on this side of the aisle because Representative Matijevich did forewarn this Body in...his extension of remarks that he would ask for a verification when he was explaining his vote."

Speaker Telscer: "Representative Choate..."
Matijevich: "Play the tape."
Speaker Telcser: "What? What did you say, John?"
Matijevich: "Play the tape."
Speaker Telcser: "Well, I had declared...I had declared the Gentleman's Motion as a motion which prevailed. Now if someone wishes to verify Roll Call the request has to be made before that time, Sir. Representative Choate."

Choate: "I was looking this way and Representative Matijevich had his hand up when you asked and he had forewarned this House and play the tapes as he said and it will verify the fact that in the explanation of his votes that he was requesting a verification if it gains the necessary number of votes for adoption."

Speaker Telcser: "Now...what did...Representative Grotberg; what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Grotberg: "A point of...personal privilege, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Telcser: "State your point, Sir."
Grotberg: "My point is that several things have been maligned here this afternoon, Mr. Speaker, Lađies and Gentlemen of the House. As one of the two or three Representatives of the food service industry on the floor of this House today, I would challenge Mr. Jim Taylor and his wonderful redheaded assistant, Miss Warner, from down in the basement to come up and see how well this establishment is prepared to deal with the unknown quantities of the day like 200 bums coming down unannounced to try to eat late, sleep late, paying their employees about $\$ 1.60$ an hour if they can get by with it with very few tips I think the food service industry was maligned here today and I resent any implication that anybody in that business working hard doing the best they can should take the heat from this crowd of people. I think we should be more understanding and more willing to work with the realities of the unscheduled business of the day and having...had to put up with that kind of nonsense all my life
in the food service business I understand very well why the Dixie cup covers fold up once in a while it's from pure grief. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, with respect to Representative Matijevich's Motion to ask for a verification the Chair has rules that does not come timely. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise? Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Having voted on the prevailing side I move the vote by which House Resolution 880....Motion that...to hear House Resolution 880 be reconsidered. And I respectfully ask the Chair for a Roll Call."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, having voted on the prevailing side, by' which Representative Day's Motion was adopted with respect to House Resolution 880, Representative Barnes moves that that Motion...that that Motion be:reconsidered...has moved to reconsider. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Collins, moves..."

Collins: "I motion to lie upon the table."
Speaker Telcser: "A Roll Call has been requested. Now we're going to be on the Motion to table. Yeah. All right, let's...let's get everything stated in its proper perspective. Representative Barnes has voted on the prevailing side by which Representative Day's Motion has been adopted. Representative Collins has moved that that Motion lie upon the table. Now those of you who are supporting Representative Day will vote aye; those who do not support him, Representative Day, will vote no. All...Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes."

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker. Just inquiry, does this take a simple majority to prevail?"

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the parliamentarian is going to look that question up now, Sir, to be sure we know just where we're at. Representative Houlihan, for what purpose do you rise? Jim Houlihan."

Houlihan: "Mr. Speaker, while the parliamentarian is looking that up could you give us some indication as to what our work schedule will be tonight, tomorrow and Wednesday?"

Speaker Telcser: "I think, Representative Walsh, could you answer that
question, Sir?"
Walsh: "Well, I didn't anticipate this, Mr. Speaker, but I'don't think we'll be here much more than an hour or more tonight, a half hour, depending upon how long this business takes. I understand that we're to come in at 1 o'clock tomorrow and adjourn Wednesday, I don't know what time we'11 convene Wednesday but we'11 adjourn Wednesday when we finish the business."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, with respect to Representative Collins' Motion to table Representative Barnes' Motion that... Representative, that question will be decided by a majority vote. No, it will be a majority of those voting on the question, the same as an amendment. The same as adopting an amendment. Is that right, Dan? I haven't called for a question yet for God's sake, All right? I didn't...no. Representative Barnes for what purpose do you rise?" Barnes: "I...I want to be clear on this before I go to my next step. You say it takes a simple majority of those voting on the question. Is that what you said?"

Speaker Telcser: "That's right. And under the Rules a vote can be reconsidered only once. Right."

Barnes: "Okay. Fine. Well, on that vote I may want to be recognized for a verification thereof."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The question is Representative Collins" Motion to table. All in favor signify by voting aye......the opposed by voting no. All right, this is crazy equipment. Okay, now, Gentleman from Lake, Representative Matijevich."

Mtijevich: "Mr. Speaker, a point of order, now is his ruling that the Motion to table would require a simple majority which if there is that motion to table the...the motion can not be reconsidered. Is that true? In other words we d lock it up."

Speaker Telcser: "Right. That's right."
Matijevich: "Well, I think you're circumventing a rule here, now the Motion to reconsider if it takes 89 to pass a motion, it takes that amount to reconsider. Now...it sure does. It sure does under Rule 64 and I think if you're allowing a simple majority to lock it up you're really circumventing Rule 64. Well, you sure are."

Speaker Telcser: "That may be true but that's a similar situation to one which Representative Shea pointed out earlier."

Matijevich: "Well, what I'm saying is... what I'm saying is you're wrong but I'm not going to appeal."

Speaker Telcser: "Right, your...your point may be well taken. Have all voted who wish? Now have all voted who wish? And Representative Barnes has indicated there'll be an verification request on this Roll Call. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. All right, now...this question 74 ayes, 72 nays, 3 answering present. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Barnes has a question of verification of the Affirmative Roll Call. Would the Members please be In their seats? The Clerk will read the Affirmative Roll Call." I won't rush you, Fred. Representative Barnes, for what purpose do you rise?"

Barnes: "Yes, Mr. Speaker, I think prior to reading the Affirmative Roll Call the absentees should be polled."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, the Gentleman has requested a poll of the absentees. Clerk please read the absentees first."

Clerk Selcke: "Arnel1, Barry, Caldwell, Capuzzi, Carter, Duff, Dyer, Giglio, Giorgi, Harpstrite, Hirschfeld, Gene Hoffman, Jimmy Holloway, Dave Jones, Lauer, Laurino, Madigan, McGah, McGrew, Palmer, Pappas, Philip, Rayson, Rose, Schlickman, Schraeder, Stiehl, Washington."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. The Affirmative Roll Call."
Clerk Selcke: "Anderson, Bluthardt, Borchers, Campbell, Clabaugh, 'Collins, Cunningham, Day, Deavers, Dee, Deuster, Ralph Dunn, R. L. Dunne, Ebbesen, Epton, Fleck, Friedland, Geo-Karis, Griesheimer, Grotberg, Ron Hoffman, Robert Holloway, Hudson, Hunsicker, Huskey, Hyde, Jenison Emil Jones, Juckett, Kempiners, Kent, Klosak, Kriegsman, Kucharski, LaFleur, Leinenweber, MacDonald, Mahar, Mann, McAuliffe, McAvoy, McCormick, McCourt, McMaster, Kenny Miller, Tom Miller, Molloy, Murphy, Neff, North, Peters, Polk, Porter, Randolph, Rigney, Ryan, Schoeberlein, Sevcik, Shurtz, Timothy Simms, Skinner, Soderstrom, Springer, Telcser, Totten, Tuerk, Waddell, Wall, R. Walsh, W. Walsh, Walters, Washburn, J. J. Wolfe, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Telcser: "All right, Mr. Clerk, record Representative Gibbs
as voting aye. Gibbs, aye. McGrew, let's take it slow now. McGrew, no."

Clerk Selcke: "Wait a minute."
Speaker Telcser: "McGrew, no. Gor that? Emil Jones, no. That's a switch. Emil Jones goes from yes to no. All right, Mr. Clerk, Dave Jones, aye. Harpstrite, aye. All right any more changes now before we get to Representative Barnes? Representative Shea, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Shea: "Had Representative Jones voted on the original Roll Call. Representative J. David."

Clerk Selcke: "Representative J. David Jones was recorded as absent on the original Roll Call."

Shea: "Well, now could we have the number, please, Mr. Clerk, or Mr. Speaker, that we're starting with?"

Clerk Selcke: "We're starting nuw, Jerry, with 76 ayes, we put on 3 and took off 1 , made 76 and we have 74 no's. We added 2 names and we took one present off. It's $76-74$. $^{\text { }}$

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representativie Barnes, with question of the Affirmative Roll Call."

Barnes: "Yes, ah, Ron Hoffman?"
Speaker Telcser: "Ron Hoffman, in his seat."
Barnes: "Jenison."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Jenison on the floor? There he is in his seat. Right next to Ginny MacDonald, Gene."

Barnes: "Klosak."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Klosak on the floor? Klosak? How is the Gentleman recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Ca11."
Barnes: "Leinenweber? I see him."
Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."
Barnes: "Mann."
Speaker Telcser: "Mann? In his seat. Any further questions?"
Barnes: "McAvoy."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative McAvoy on the floor? McAvoy. How
is the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye.".
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."
Barnes: "Sevcik."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sevcik on the floor? Sevcik. How
is the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."
Barnes: "Tom Rose."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Rose on the floor? Representative
Rose. How is the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as being absent."
Barnes: "Tom Miller."
Speaker Telcser: "Bepresentative Tom Miller on the floor? He's in
the back of the Chamber."
Barnes: "Representative Neff."
Speaker Telcser: "In his seat."
Barnes: "I'm sorry. I'm sorry, North. North."
Speaker Telcser: "North?"
Barnes: "North."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative North on the floor? How is he
recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."
Barnes: "Representative Wall."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Wall on the floor? Representative
Wall? How is the Gentleman recorded?"
CLerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting aye."
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."
Barnes: "Representative Simms, W. T."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Simms, he's in the..."
Barnes: "I see him. Thank you. Representative Totten."
Speaker Telcser: "He's sitting down here in Phil Collins' seat."
Barnes: "Representative Hoffman, G. L."
Speaker Telcser: 'Gene Hoffman. Representative Gene Hoffman on the floor?

He's recorded as absent."
Barnes: "She's recorded that. Representative Hirschfeld."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Hirschfeld on the floor? Hirschfeld? How is he recorded?"

Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as being absent.".
Speaker Telcser: "Any further questions, Sir? Okay. That's it. Now verification of Negative Roll Call."

Barnes: "Just one minute. Representative Dyer."
Speaker Telcser: "Is Representative Dyer on the floor? Represen-
tative Dyer? She's absent. Recorded as being absent."
Barnes: "Representative Murphy."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Murphy on the floor? Representative Bill Murphy. Well, he's right in his office, go get him, you want to hang on a second? Save the Clerk going...any further questions Representative Barnes?"

Barnes: "No, I think that's it, Mr. Speaker."
Speaker Telcser: "Okay, uh, what's the current score now, Mr. Clerk? Currently 71 ayes and 74 nays. Representative Day has asked for a verification of the Negative Roll Call. Would the Clerk please read the Negative Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Alsup, Barnes, Beatty,..."
Speaker Telcser: "By the way, Representative Murphy came back on the floor here so you know he was here."

Clerk Selcke: "Beaupre, Berman, Boyle,..."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Day, for what purpose do you rise, Sir? Would the Members please be in their seats so Representative Day can watch the Negative Roll Call?"

Clerk Selcke: "Boyle, Bradley, Brandt, Brumet, Calvo, Capparelli, Chapman, Choate, Craig, D'Arco, Davis, DiPrima, Douglas, Ewell, Farley, Fary, Fennessey, Flinn, Garmisa, Getty, Hanahan, Hart, Hill, D. Houlihan, J. Houlihan, Jacobs, Jaffe, Emil Jones, Katz, Keller, Kelly, Kennedy, Ko sinski, Kozubowski, Krause, Lechowicz, Lemke, Leon, Londrigan, Lundy, Maragos, Martin, Matijevich, McClain, McGrew, McLendon, McPartlin, Merlo, Mugalian, Nardulli, Patrick, Pierce, Redmond, Sangmeister, Schisler, Schneider, Sharp, Shea, Ike Simms,
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                                    Stedlin, Stone, Taylor, Terzich, Thompson, Tipsword, Von Boeckman,
    Williams, Grieman, Youre11."
Speaker Telcser: "Questions of the Negative Roll Call. Gentleman from
    Peoria, Representative Day. ...Representative Campbell."
Day: "Representative Boyle."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Boyle on the floor?"
Day: "Representative Ewell."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Ewe11. Representative Ewell."
Day: "Representative Jacobs."
Speaker Telcser: "Wait a second, now, wait, wait. Is Representative
    Ewell on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "The Gentleman is recorded as voting no."
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."
Day: "Representative Jacobs."
Speaker Telcser: "Rerpesentative Jacobs, on the floor? He's standing
    in the back of the Chamber."
Day: "Representative Lechowicz."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Lechowicz on the floor? Lechowicz?
    How is the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."
Day: "Representative Martin."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Martin recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "The Lady is recorded as voting no."
Speaker Telcser: "Take her off the Roll Call. Representative Lechowicz
    has returned. Lechowicz has rieturned. Martin is off."
Day: "Representative McLendon."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative McLendon on the floor? Is Jim
    McLendon on the floor? How is the Gentleman recorded?"
Clerk Selcke: "Gentleman is recorded as voting no."
Speaker Telcser: "Take him off the Roll Call."
Day: "Representative Schisler."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Schisler is in the back in his seat."
Day: "Representative Sharp."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Sharp is standing by his seat."
```

Day: "Representative Terzich."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Terzich. Representative...he's standing in the back of the Chamber."

Day: "Representative Keller."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Keller on the floor? He's in his seat."

Day: "Representative Von Boeckman."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Von Boecknan is in his seat."
Day: "Representative Flinn."
Speaker Telcser: "Representative Flinn is in his seat. Are there further questions of the Negative. . Representative Barry, for what purpose do you rise, Sir?"

Barry: "Record me as voting no only because Matijevich did not get his verification."

Speaker Telcser: "Record Representative Barry as voting no. Record Representative Barry as voting no. Further questions, Sir? None. No more. Mr. Clerk, what's the count? This question there's 71 ayes, 72 nays and the Gentleman's Motion to table Representative Barnes Motion fails. We now revert back to Representative Barnes' Motion to reconsider the vote by which Representative Day's Motion to discharge Executive Comnittee from consideration of House ResoIution 880 prevails. This question will take 89 affinmative votes Now if the Members will be in their seats and if you'll vote quickly we can take the Roll Call and adjourn. Okay. Or if you want to stay and verify a few more things we'11 stay later. All right. Representative Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you rise?"

Geo-Karis: "Point of information, Mr. Speaker..."
Speaker Telcser: "State your point."
Geo-Karis: "We want Representative Day's Motion to prevail so we vote no, is that correct?"

Speaker Telcser: "If you're with Day you'll vote no; if you're with Barnes you'll vote yes. Reduced to its simplest term. Okay? The question is shall the vote by which the Executive Committee was discharged from consideration of House Resolution 880 prevails. All in favor of the Gentleman's Motion signify by
voting aye, the opposed by voting no. If you're with Day you vote no; if you're with Barnes you vote yes. Barnes needs 89 votes to prevail. All right now, let's get on the switch. Have all voted who wish? Merlo, aye. Have all voted who wish? All right, let's get...Terzich, aye. Let's get on now so we can move along. Have all voted who wish? This will take 89 affirmative votes. 89 affirmative votes. Have all voted who wish? Go ahead, Jack, get on there. Ann, does it take 89? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Okay, take the record, now. Take the record. Right. This question there are 73 ayes, 74 nays, I answering present. Now before I declare this thing, is there anyone who wants to rise to ask a question, do anything? No? Representative Catania, for what purpose do you rise?"

Catania: "I would ąsk that the House suspend the provisions of Rule 18 so that the School Bus Safety Bill, House Bill 1833 and its accompanying appropriations bill..."

Speaker Telcer: "Okay, let's, let's get through with Roll Call first."
Catania: "I'm sorry I thought you were going to adjourn."
Speaker Telcser: "No. No. No."
Catania: "I just don't want you to do that...before I get my School Bus Safety Bill first:"

Speaker Telcser: "Okay. The Gentleman's Motion to reconsider fails. All right, Lady from Cook, Representative Catania."'

Catania: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the House suspend the provision of Rule 18 so that House Bill 1833 on School Bus Safety and the accompanying appropriations bills, House Bill 2822 and 2823 can be heard in Motor Vehicle Laws Committee on Wednesday morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Lady has asked leave to ...Representative Catania would you want to explain this to Representative...to the Members on the Democratic side? What the Bill is and..."

Catania: "Okay, this is the Bill on School Bus Safety, the Motor Vehicle Laws Commission and the School Problems Commission has both heard hearings since it came out of the spring session last year. It's

1833, that's a low number as you'11 recognize and it's been around since last spring so that people all over the state had an opportunity to testify on school bus safety. It's now being supported by the statewide P.T.A. as well as several other organizations. The Senate Education Committee is having hearings on school bus safety on Wednesday afternoon, the P.T.A. people and other witnesses will be here and for their convenience it will help a great deal if these Bills could be heard on the same day."

Speaker Telcser: "Lady has moved the Senate provisions for Rule 18 for the purpose of hearing House Bill 1833 in Committee tomorrow..."

Catania: "On Wednesday, ..."
Speaker Telcser: "On.Wednesday..."
Catania: "...The Motor Vehicle Laws Comittee and its accompanying appropriations..."

Speaker Telcser: "Are there any objections to using the Attendance... okay. Representative Fleck, for what purpose do you rise?"

Fleck: "We11, Mr. Speaker, just to make an announcement. There's a Subcommittee on Judiciary I which will be hearing the Bills on the collection agencies will not meet tonight but will meet tomorrow afternoon at 12:30 in the afternoon, in Room G-2 over in the State Office Building."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative Randolph, what purpose do you rise?" Randolph: "Mr. Speaker and Members of the House, the Revenue Subcommittee on Mobile Homes will meet tomorrow morning at $80^{\prime}$ clock at the Mansion View Restaurant besides the Bill listed all Bills in the Revenue Comittee that deal with mobile homes have been referred to the Subcommittee and also bills not listed on here will be discussed. They are House Bills 2100, 2342, 2464, 2470, 2503, 2524, 2731, 2781, these bills will be discussed in the Subcommittee on Mobile Homes tomorrow morning."

Speaker Telcser: "Committee Reports. Well, I'm waiting for Representative Choate to look over Representative Catania's Bill."

Clerk Selcke: "Ah, Mr...from Human Resource to which House Bill 2201 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bill do pass. Mr. Capuzi. from Human Resources to which House Bill 2359 was referred reported the same back with Amendments thereto
with the recommendation the Amendments be adopted and the Bills as amended do pass. Mr. Capuzi, Human Resources to which 2260... 2660 was referred reported the same back with the recommendation the Bills do pass. Mr. Capuzi from Human Resources to which House Resolution 729 was referred reported the same back with the recomendation the Resolution be adopted as amended."

Speaker Telcser: "Introduction to First Reading."
Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 2832. Katz. Amends Promissory Note Act First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2837. Hart. Campaign Financial Disclosure Act. First Reading of the Bill. 2838. Hart. Appropriate $\$ 10,000$...Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill. 2839. Borchers. Appropriates $\$ 500,000$, Department of Children and Family Services. First Reading of the Bill. 2840. Richard Walsh, etal. Amends Promissory Notes Act. First Reading of the Bill. 2841. Dee, etal. Amends Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill. 2842. Alsup, etal. Appropriates $\$ 81,636$, Decatur-Macon County Fair Association. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 2843. GeoKaris, etal. Amends Vehicle Code. First Reading of the Bill." Speaker Telcser: "All right, Representative Deavers, for what purpose you rise?"

Deavers: "Make an announcement, Mr. Speaker. There will be softball practice tomorrow night, hours 5 to 7 p.m., Lincoln Park, Diamond \#4. All prospective candidates please be there. The following week we've been challenged by the Press Corp and we'll probably have a practice game the following Tuesday night."

Speaker Telcser: "Representative McMasters, what purpose you rise?"
McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I would like to ask permission to suspend the appropriate Rules so that we might hear House Bill 2143...are we ready to go ahead, Mr. Speaker?" Speaker Telcser: "Yeah, proceed, Sir. Proceed." McMaster: "Mr. Speaker, if I could start over again...I would like to ask permission to suspend the appropriate Rule so that House Bill 2143, Representative Flinn, might be heard in Counties and Townships Committee Wednesday afternoon. We attempted to post this Bill; was supplemental posting Thursday morning; since the House was not
in Session my committee clerk was turned down in her attempt to post a supplemental notice."

Speaker Telcser: "Is there any discussion? Gentleman moves to suspend the provision of Rule 18 for the purpose of posting House Bill 2143 for hearing this week in the County and Townships Comittee. Are there any objections using the Attendance Roll Call as an Affirmative Roll Call? Hearing none, that will be the Roll Call. Now we have not yet dealt with Representative Catania's question. Representative Hunsicker, what purpose do you rise? Just so she's aware of that. Representative Hunsicker."

Hunsicker: "Mr. Speaker...Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, I tried to get your attention a little while ago when we got through deflating the political football. I suggest that in the future that we quit inflating political football and that we quit playing politics and get down to the business of operating state government. For your information and Members of this House, we wasted almost an hour and a half on the preceding resolution which won't amount to 15 cents in the final windup anyway. We spend millions of dollars down here in about a minute and a half and nobody even questions it. And let's quit this horseplaying and get down to business. This performance in my book is sickening to the people of the state of Illinois; Roberts Rules of Order notwithstanding, if you please and this resolution can be voted up or down in about a third the time on Third Reading and I suggest that we quit this kind of horseplaying in the future."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay, are there any further announcements? Are there any further announcements? Representative Washburn, for what purpose do you rise?"

Washburn: "Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, for the purpose of an announcement the Appropriations Committee will meet tomorrow morning at $9 o^{\prime}$ clock in Room 212. Appropriations tomorrow morning at 9 a.m. in 212. Thank you."

Speaker Telcser: "Okay with respect to Representative Catania's request that the Senate provisions of Rule 18 for the purposes of hearing House Bill-what was that number-1833 in the Education... 2822
and 2823 in the House Education Committee this week, are there any objections to using the Attendance Ro1l Call as the affirmative vote on suspension of the Rule? Hearing none that will be the Attendance Roll Call, Representative Juckett, do you have to set a meeting now for Representative Catania's Bill?"

Juckett: "Well, Mr. Speaker, if leave is granted we will set the meeting for 10 a.m. on Wednesday."

Speaker Telcser: "Now is that the Education Committee or Motor Vehicles?"
Juckett: "Motor Vehicles Committee."
Speaker Telcser: "Is that the Committee, all right, let the records show it's Motor Vehicle Committee to which the Bills were referred. And that meeting is now set. The Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now stand adjourned until the hour of 1 p.m. tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman moves the House stand adjourned until
1 p.m. tomorrow. All in favor aye, opposed no. The House stands adjourned until 1 p.m. tomorrow."

## 1.

Speaker Telcser: "Now we're in the First Special Session. First Special Session come to order. Gentleman from Cook, Representative Walsh, ask that the Roll Call from the Regular Session be used in the First Special. Any objections? Hearing none the Attendance Roll Call...Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh."

Walsh: "Mr. Speaker, I move that the First Special Session stand adjourned until immediately after adjournment of the Regular Session tomorrow."

Speaker Telcser: "All right. First of all Introduction to First Reading."

Clerk Selcke: "House Bill 28. Hart. Campaign Financial Disclosure Act. First Reading of the Bill. House Bill 29. Hart. Appropriates $\$ 10,000$, Secretary of State. First Reading of the Bill." Speaker Telcser: "Gentleman from Cook, Representative William Walsh, now moves the First Special Session stand adjourned until the Regular Session adjourns tomorrow. All in favor aye, the opposed no. First Special Session stands adjourned."
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