89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The House will be in order. Members will be in their chairs. We shall be led in the prayer today by Wayne Padget, the Assistant Doorkeeper. Members and guests are asked to refrain from starting their laptops, turn off all cell phones, and rise for the invocation and the Pledge of Allegiance."
- Assistant Doorkeeper Padget: "Let us pray. Dear heavenly Father, we lift up the leaders of our state and all those that you have set up in places of authority to rule and govern. We pray that they would rule over our land and many institutions with wisdom and integrity. We pray that each one would carry out their various responsibilities with honesty for the benefit of the state. Clothe each one of them with righteousness and justice, and we pray that all selfish ambitions will be laid aside and that your plans and purposes are fulfilled for the well-being of all citizens. May your ways be known in our state and your name be lifted up in these corridors of power. Guide us into the ways of peace, justice, and truth. These things we pray, Amen."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "We will be led in the Pledge of Allegiance today by Representative Weaver."
- Weaver et al: "I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Roll Call for Attendance. Leader Gabel is recognized to report any excused absences of the Democratic side of the aisle."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Gabel: "Speaker, let the record show that Representatives Andrade,
 Burke, Guzzardi, Harper, Jiménez, Jones, Stava-Murray, and J.
 Williams are excused today."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Keicher is recognized to report any excused absences on the Republican side of the aisle."
- Keicher: "Good morning, Madam Speaker. Please let the record reflect that Representatives McLaughlin and Rosenthal are excused for today."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Have all recorded themselves who wish?

 Mr. Clerk, please take the record. There being 107 Members
 answering the roll call, a quorum is present. Mr. Clerk, Rules
 Report."
- Clerk Hollman: "Committee Report. Representative Gabel, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 15, 2024: recommends be adopted, referred to the floor is Floor Amendment(s) 2 and 3 to House Bill 4623 and Floor Amendment(s) 1 to House Bill 4758; approved for consideration, referred to Second Reading are House Bills 56, 255, 303, 305, 307, 334, 444, 458, 473, 477, 587, 611; and approved for consideration, referred to Third Reading is House Bill 2385."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Stuart, for what reason do you seek recognition?"
- Stuart: "Thank you. A point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."
- Stuart: "Thank you. In the chamber, I think we all appreciate our staff and all the hard work they do. So, I just want to take a... a minute to recognize my amazing LC, Caitlin Anderson, on her birthday today and wish her a happy birthday."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Blair-Sherlock, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Blair-Sherlock: "A point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."

Blair-Sherlock: "Thank you. While I still love someone with autism, I also love someone who's deaf. And I want to take this opportunity to give a shoutout because April is Deaf History Month. In April 15, 1817, the first... first public school for the deaf was established in Connecticut, the American School for the Deaf. On April 8, 1864, President Abraham Lincoln signed the charter for Gallaudet University, which is the premier deaf university in the country. I just wanted to say that deaf people have the same skills and abilities as we do. They just can't hear us. So, take some time, learn about it, and thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Keicher is recognized."

Keicher: "Madam Speaker, the Republicans would request a caucus upon adjournment in Room 118. Right now."

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The Republicans will caucus. Democrats will not. After Republican caucus, we will return to the floor for business. Recess to the call of the Chair. Mr. Clerk, Rules Report."
- Clerk Hollman: "Committee Report. Representative Gabel, Chairperson from the Committee on Rules reports the following committee action taken on April 15, 2024: approved for consideration, referred to Second Reading is House Bill 798."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Speaker Welch in the Chair."

Speaker Welch: "Members, good afternoon. Members of the General Assembly, I am delighted to welcome to the House today the

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Consul General of Canada to the Midwest, Madeleine Féquière. Consul General Féquière has held global executive positions for companies in diverse sectors of the economy, including agri-food, technology, software, telecommunications, and so much more. Prior to her appointment as the Consul General, she was the corporate credit chief at Domtar Corporation, providing oversight and support for credit risk management on a global scale. Canada is one of our greatest allies and essential partners, and especially here in the Midwest. Our relationship remains critically important, and as such, I'm asking you all to stand and please put your hands together and give a warm House welcome to Consul General Féquière."

Consul General Féquière: "Thank you. Thank you so much, Mr. Speaker, for your kind invitation to address the House today. And thank you, esteemed Representatives, for such a warm welcome to the capital of your great state. While this is my first visit to Springfield, Canada has never been a stranger here in Illinois. Our Consulate General just marked its 75th anniversary, a long time for our young country. And we've built a sister-city relationship between Chicago and Toronto since 1991. And in my time traveling Illinois so far, I understand why. I see so much of my home city of Montréal in Chicago and so much of the Canadian heartland in the sprawling state beyond. I am proud to be here today with the Midwest representatives of two provincial government offices based here in Illinois: Jean-François Hould, Québec Delegate, and Bin Lau, Director for Alberta. I know I am not the first foreign representative to address this chamber or to draw these connections between my county and yours. But Canada is

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

more than just another country to America, in ways that are so big and obvious that we sometimes forget what a unique relationship we have between us. You know what I mean. Go outside and start driving, and you can spend tonight in Canada. Nowhere else in the world is so close, with so many connections via rail, road, water, and air. And because Canada is international without really being overseas, we share the same time zone and business hours. When Illinois looks for investment sites and business partners abroad, they will never be more accessible than in Canada. Accessibility and security, that's what we offer. Your border is our border, and your security is our security. No two countries are more involved in ensuring each other's safety and stability, and that of everything we build together. We share the same culture, and our people speak the same language in many ways than one. We each have diverse nations of immigrants and innovators, with a shared entrepreneurial heritage of tackling the biggest challenges. And we know the world has no shortage of big challenges to tackle, but we've always tackled them together. And when we do things together, our success speaks for itself. Today, the U.S. and Canada share a trillion-dollar trade and investment relationship that sees more than \$2 billion worth of goods and services cross the border every day. Our economic relationships support millions of jobs in both countries. And in fact, Canada buys more goods form the U.S. market than China, Japan, and the U.K. combined. The integrated North American supply chain already allows Canada to deliver more products to U.S. consumers than any other country, even China, and there is still room to grow.

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Our trade, put simply, is trade at its best. Trade that creates growth and prosperity for both countries and for millions of companies and communities within them. Illinois alone enjoys a two-way trade relationship with Canada worth nearly \$90 billion each year. Yes, \$90 billion, and that has grown more than 40 percent since 2021. We do more trade with Illinois than Mexico and Germany, its next two largest trading partners combined, covering everything from energy agriculture and medicine to motor vehicles. And Canada has invested in Illinois as well. In finance with CIBC and BMO Harris, in infrastructure with CN and CP railways, and even in the future of clean transport with Lion Electric in Joliet. They are just a just a few of the more than 400 Canadianowned companies operating throughout the state, employing more than 44 thousand people in virtually every field and every community. So, whatever you are working on in Illinois, we are working on it too. We've seen how Chicago has become a major center for fintech, with a whole range of companies working on its cutting-edge. Canada hosts North America's fastest-growing tech hub in Toronto, with other major hubs across the country, and we crossed \$6 billion in Canadian fintech valuation in 2021. We've also worked to support your push, led by Governor Pritzker, to make Illinois a global hub for AI and quantum computing. Canada offers top-tier expertise with the most quantum start-ups per capita in the world and a new National Quantum Strategy to foster the next stage of quantum development. And we know your ambitions to lead the nation on battery technology, decarbonization, and the future of energy storage. Bloomberg just ranked Canada as

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

number one, overtaking China, as the top global market for a secure, reliable, and sustainable lithium-ion battery supply chain. We have 75 percent of the world mining companies either headquartered or listed in Canada. And we also have proven reserves for 19 of the 50 critical minerals identified by the U.S. Government. We look forward to exploring these and other avenues for Canada-Illinois collaboration when DCEO leads a multisector trade missions to Toronto and Montréal this summer. And we welcome your ideas for how to make the most of that opportunity and other opportunities to come. That's why we are here today, and our door is always open. But let me share with DCEO will find when they come to Canada. They will find that doing business with Canada means access to a major G7 economy that is both diverse and diversifying. We recorded 3.47 GDP growth last year, while many major economies are facing recession, and a 5-year low unemployment rate despite global inflation of just 5.3 percent. In fact, the International Monetary Fund has projected that the Canadian economy is set to expand at the third-fastest pace among advanced economies behind the U.S. and Spain. One Bloomberg editor recently put it this way: Canada is experiencing 'unprecedented growth, record-low unemployment, and a worldbeating stock market.' We are a gateway from North America to the wider world, with 15 free trade agreements covering 51 countries. But our largest and most important global relationship will always be with the U.S., and we've worked hard to ensure that our shared prosperity continues. The USMCA, which replaced NAFTA, updated our trading rules to reflect the modern economy, the importance of the Internet,

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

and new ways of doing business. It includes nine new chapters addressing digital trade, regulatory practices, currency, competitiveness, small- and medium-sized enterprises, and other modern-day issues. In addition, USMCA provides updates to chapters on custom and trade facilitations cut red-tape, enable electronic filing system, and generally simplify for exporters. And critically, it creates processes additional incentives to build and source goods and materials here in the U.S., Canada, and Mexico, bringing jobs and growth back to the Norths America. The USMCA ensures that we keep building things together, and at our Consulate General here in Chicago, we want to help you do that too. Our Consulate covers Illinois, Missouri, Wisconsin, and Northern Indiana, but we choose Chicago for a reason. Illinois is the economic engine of the Midwest, and Canada is proud to help keep it running. We have an expert and experienced Trade Commissioner Service team that facilitates trade and connections across many sectors and verticals to networks, companies, and opportunities. We also have a mandate to promote investment in both directions and grow our economies and strengthen our communities. And on innovation, we foster linkages that enhance the cross-border commercialization of scientific and technological discoveries. In Chicago, we work with innovation hubs such as MATTER, mHub, and 1871. And last year, we were honored as the first foreign mission to win a Chicago Innovation Award for our efforts. Our foreign policy and diplomacy team can partner on public events, roundtable discussions, and cultural exchanges, build on the links between our integrated communities. And there are ever ... if

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

there are ever issues with visas, passports, or immigration, our Consular team provides in-person service to help unstick the problem and get your Canadian constituents moving. We may be the Consulate General of Canada, but we are here for Illinois as much as for our country because we know that, at the end of the day, no two nations depend more on each other for their mutual prosperity than ours. We are bound together by our shared geography, history, values, economy, and environment. But our two nations choose to build those bonds into something so much more than the sum of our parts. So, let's keep working together. That's ... it's what good neighbors do, and what Canada has always done. We don't intend to stop. In an increasingly volatile global environment, we cannot take the strength of this relationship for granted. Thank you for 75 years of friendship with our Consulate and for everything you do to support this great state and its place in the world. I am honored to speak with you today, and I look forward to seeing more of you and your districts in the months and years ahead. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Speaker."

Speaker Welch: "Let's give her one more round of applause, please. Members, just an announcement. The... the Consul General has invited each and every one of you to visit with her at a reception this evening from 5:30 to 7:30 at Saputo's, 5:30 to 7:30. Each and everyone is welcome to attend. And she has graciously agreed to stay and take photos with anyone who would like to take a photo. She will be here in the well until the last photo is taken. Again, thank you, Consul. Leader Barbara Hernandez is in the Chair."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Proceeding to the Order of House Bills on Third Reading. On page 21, we have House Bill 1168, Representative Syed. Representative Syed."

Syed: "Thank you, Chair."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1168, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Syed is recognized."

Syed: "House Bill 1168 restricts the use of DNA samples from victims of all crimes being submitted into a DNA database. This Bill passed through committee with bipartisan support. Bipartisan support also in our chief cosponsors, and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. Representative, this Bill is designed to protect victims' rights. Is that correct?"

Syed: "That's correct."

Windhorst: "And who are the proponents of this Bill?"

Syed: "The proponents include the Illinois Attorney General's Office, the Coalition Against Domestic Violence, the Coalition Against Sexual Assault, The Network: Advocating Against Domestic Violence, and also the Amendment that was filed most recently on the Bill was brought to us from the Illinois State Police."

Windhorst: "And are the Illinois States Attorneys Association, do they also support this Bill?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Syed: "I am unsure. I don't believe they slipped in proponency, but we have not heard from there."

Windhorst: "We show that they are, but either way, I... I appreciate that information you provided and appreciate you bringing this Bill forward. I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Syed to close."

Syed: "Thank you all. I encourage an 'aye' vote as well."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1168 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader McCombie is recognized."

McCombie: "Thank you, Speaker. At this time, if I could have everybody's attention. We've had a little movement in the House on both sides of the aisle this year, but we have another new Member. I would like to welcome Representative Patrick Sheehan. If you could stand, Patrick. He has just joined us. Today is his first day, so if he could also just introduce himself, Speaker, that would be great. Welcome."

Sheehan: "Madam Speaker, point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."

Sheehan: "Thank you. I'm humbled and honored to represent the people of the 37th District. I look forward to meeting everyone and working with both sides of aisle to accomplish great things for Illinois. Thank you."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "We have House Bill 1377, Representative Norma Hernandez. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Hollman: "House Bill 1377, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Norma Hernandez is recognized."
- Hernandez, N.: "Yes, good afternoon. Today, I'd like to talk about HB1377. This Bill was originally filed last year and passed both chambers but, unfortunately, was vetoed. This Bill has been amended for this year's fiscal year of 2024 that... that has been adopted in full committee, and the idea was brought to me by the Village of Bellwood. HB1377 provides that newly construction homestead property that is located in eligible areas is entitled to a residential new construction homestead exemption equal to 50 percent of assessed value of a residential... sorry, a residential new construction homestead exemption equal up to 50 percent of assessed value of the property in the current taxable year. The exemption shall continue for a period of 10 years with the intension to phase as it gets near the end of the 10-year mark. The idea of this Bill is to focus on bring nonproductive land back on the tax rolls and bringing it back to the municipalities. In this current language, we have had conversations with those opposing and have been able to now become supporters. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."
Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Windhorst: "Thank you. Just want to go through a few points in the Bill to make sure I've... I fully understand what the Bill does. These apply... this exemption applies to single-family residences that were constructed by municipalities?"
- Hernandez, N.: "That's correct."
- Windhorst: "And it would apply in counties over 3 million people.

 Is that correct?"
- Hernandez, N.: "Correct."
- Windhorst: "And in counties under 3 million, if the county board approves the program for that county. Is that correct?"
- Hernandez, N.: "I believe so, yes."
- Windhorst: "And the exemption is for 10 years, as you laid out.

 The first 8 years are 50 percent of EAV, and then the last 2,
 the 9th and 10th, are 33 percent of the EAV. Is that correct?"
- Hernandez, N.: "Correct."
- Windhorst: "Are properties allowed to receive other exemptions simultaneously?"
- Hernandez, N.: "Not that I'm aware of."
- Windhorst: "I believe that is a specific prohibition. This is the only one they can receive, and if they want to receive another, they have to depart from this program. Is that correct?"
- Hernandez, N.: "I will ask, but I'm not sure about that. That's correct."
- Windhorst: "And what occurs if a person transfers the property or sells the property during that 10-year period? Does the new owner also get that exemption?"
- Hernandez, N. "It would run with the property, but it would not go past those 10 years."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Windhorst: "And who brought this forward to you? I believe it was a municipality."
- Hernandez, N.: "Correct. This was a mayor from the Village of Bellwood, and I believe there's another municipality that's now interested in the Bill as well."
- Windhorst: "Thank you for bringing this forward. I appreciate it."
- Hernandez, N.: "Thank you so much. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Norma Hernandez to close."
- Hernandez, N.: "Thank you so much. I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hernandez. B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1377 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting in 'favor', 1 voting 'present', and... 1 voting 'opposed', 1 voting 'present'... O voting 'present'. And the Bill will... and this Bill is having... and this Bill, having received a Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 1605, Representative Hirschauer. Out of the record. House Bill 1672, Leader Windhorst. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 1672, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."
- Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 1672, as amended, authorizes the Department of Military Affairs to convey a soon-to-be former armory building in Franklin County to the City of West Frankfort. The unit that is housed at the armory is going to be transferring to the Marion Armory after that

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

armory is... expansion is completed. And the City of West Frankfort wishes to obtain possession of the armory for use as a civic center. This is an initiative of City of West Frankfort and the Department of Military Affairs. And I would request an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 1672 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'no', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2093, Representative Stuart. Out of the record. House Bill 2232, Representative Rita... Leader Rita. Out of the record. House Bill 2323, Representative Didech. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2323, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Didech is recognized."

Didech: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 2323 creates the Uniformed Statewide Crime Statistics Task Force. This will be overseen by the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority. The charge of the task force will be to provide recommendations on the implementation and development of an integrated software system for gathering and publishing crime data from all law enforcement agencies throughout the state. Thank you for your consideration."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."
Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. Representative, I believe there was an Amendment to the Bill. Was there some agreed language in that Amendment? And what was the… the purpose of that Amendment?"

Didech: "The introduced version of the Bill housed the task force in ISP. The amended version of the... the Bill houses the task force in ICJIA. That was a decision that was agreed upon by all the relevant agencies."

Windhorst: "And I believe we talked about this a little bit in committee. The language for the task force provides different entities that will have up to two individuals. What was the purpose of using up to two individuals rather than just stating two individuals?"

Didech: "That is simply to provide some discretion in the event that one of these entities only wants to send one person to participate in the task force."

Windhorst: "And what is the ultimate goal of the task force? What do we hope to accomplish?"

Didech: "We are hoping to create a system where every law enforcement agency in the state can provide crime data to a centralized authority, and that information will be able to be used to make sure that our policies are effective or ineffective and to make sure that we are accomplishing an adequate dissemination of resources to address crime in the State of Illinois."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Didech to close."

Didech: "Thank you. I would appreciate an 'aye' vote."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2323 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 104 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitution Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2458, Representative Canty. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2458, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Canty is recognized."

Canty: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 2458 is a measure to clarify and strengthen our laws preventing sexual exploitation of minors. Current Illinois law in that area requires several technical changes to shore up loopholes and strengthen penalties. This Bill broadens the definition of grooming and increases the statute of limitations for prosecution of grooming to 10 years after the person reaches 17. This is a redraft of Representative LaPointe's Bill that passed out of this House 104 to 0 in the previous General Assembly but ultimately died in the Senate. It has the support of the Illinois Association of Chiefs of Police, the Illinois Sheriffs' Association, and the Illinois Coalition Against Sexual Assault. It passed out of committee unanimously following an Amendment which brought it in line with IDPH language. I am incredibly proud of the Chief Sponsors on this, which I think is a really terrific group of people, and all of support we've received. And I encourage an 'aye' vote."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. This... at the respect of grooming, this also changes the penalty, is that correct, from a Class 4 to Class 3 felony?"

Canty: "That got changed in Amendment 3."

Windhorst: "All right. So, it remains a Class 4 felony?"

Canty: "Yes. But we believe that with the change in language these crimes will be easier to go after."

Windhorst: "There was also a change in term from child pornography to child sexual abuse material. Is that correct?"

Canty: "Yes, Sir."

Windhorst: "What was the purpose of that change?"

Canty: "So, that... we believe that the word pornography reduces the seriousness of the crime and it connotates sexual pleasure, which has no place in conversations regarding the sexual imagery of children."

Windhorst: "Are any other jurisdictions changing their term from child pornography to child sexual abuse material?"

Canty: "So, off the top of my head, I don't know, but this law would put us in line with states like Connecticut, Michigan, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island."

Windhorst: "There is also a provision that provides that the offensive sexual exploitation of a child includes when a person in the presence, or virtual presence, or both of a child and with the knowledge that a child believes... or a person he believes to be a child knowingly entices, coerces, or persuades that child to participles in the production of

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

a recording or memorialization of a sex act of persons 18 or older. That is a new element on the offense. Is that correct?"

Canty: "I believe so. Give me one second. If... Representative Windhorst, it's a gap in the State Law. It's consistent now with Federal Law."

Windhorst: "And I believe that language comes from a Bill previously drafted by Leader McCombie that she had run in a prior General Assembly."

Canty: "I cannot speak to that, but I am proud to say that she is one of the chief cos of this legislation."

Windhorst: "And was this... who brought this Bill forward?"

Canty: "The Cook County State's Attorney."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

Canty: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Canty to close."

Canty: "Thank you very much. This is a good Bill that will help a lot of children in this state, and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2458 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 100... 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2093, Representative Stuart. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2093, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Third Reading of this House Bill."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Stuart is recognized."

Stuart: "Thank you, Madam Chair. This may sound familiar. We have passed this Bill in this chamber before. It creates the criminal offense of sexual assault by deception and classifies that as a Class 3 felony. This covers the instance when the victim submits under the belief that the person committing the act is someone known to the victim other than the accused."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. Representative, as you mentioned, this is passed previously, I believe, in the last General Assembly. It passed with a vote of 101... I'm sorry, in 'favor', 0 'opposed', and 1 'present'. Does that sound correct?"

Stuart: "Yeah. That is... that's my recollection as well."

Windhorst: "And this is supported by the Sheriffs' Association, domestic violence victims, ICASA. Is that correct?"

Stuart: "Yes, and... and lots of other organizations, like the National Organization for Women, and Planned Parenthood, and She Votes Illinois. Yes."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Stuart to close."

Stuart: "I would appreciate an 'aye' vote and then some support to get this through the Senate."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2093 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 2601, Representative Niemerg. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 2601, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Niemerg is recognized."
- Niemerg: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 2601 is an initiative of... of a constituent in my district, and it allows Type I meat processing plants to label their product 'NOT FOR SALE'. Currently, it says 'NOT FOR SALE-NOT INSPECTED'. This just puts it uniform with all the other type meat processing plants, and I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 2601 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4108, Representative Mason. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4108, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez. B.: "Representative Mason is recognized."
- Mason: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4108 amends the Illinois Vehicle Code, allowing the Secretary of State to issue Air Force Combat Actions Medal license plates to a

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

resident who was awarded the Air Force Combat Action Medal. This adds to the series. They have them for other branches, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4108 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4125, Representative Croke. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4125, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez. B.: "Representative Croke is recognized."

Croke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. 4125 just amends the Property Tax Code regarding certificates of error. The Bill provides that the statute of limitations for executing a certificate of error does not apply to a certificate that is correcting an assessment of one dollar. So, think of the common areas in a condo building, those sometimes can get assessed at a higher value than... than the dollar. So, then every three years the HOA has to appeal. So, this would just essentially eliminate that. This passed last year with unanimous support. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4125 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- voting 'against', O voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4130, Representative Yang Rohr. Mr. Clerk, please the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4130, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez. B.: "Representative Yang Rohr is recognized."
- Yang Rohr: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This House Bill designates the September of each month as Waterway Cleanup Month. It's important to make sure that we keep our waterways clean. It passed through committee unanimously with bipartisan support, and I ask for your 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4130 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4139, Representative Fritts. Mr. Clerk, please the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4139, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Fritts is recognized."
- Fritts: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Ladies and Gentlemen of the House, House Bill 4139 is a cleanup Bill that seeks to update the IMRF disability benefits by allowing clinical phycologists to sign off on mental health disabilities. This has already been done in SERS in 2019. The Amendment filed

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

removes Med Society opposition and aligns IMRF with the other pension systems. I ask for a favorable vote, and I'm happy to take any questions."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Syed is recognized."

Syed: "I just wanted to clarify, is IML still opposed to this Amendment language?"

Fritts: "They are."

Syed: "Can you please explain the nature of the opposition?"

Fritts: "Yeah. So, IMRF's a little concerned because, in aligning this with the other systems, the… it brings in a couple other people. It brings in PAs and APRNs, and Brad Cole's opposition to this with… through IML is that they'll be operating outside their scope of practice. And I just don't feel that, that is a valid argument for that."

Syed: "Could you just clarify what you just said about the scope of practice concern? I'm still trying to... still trying to understand IML's opposition. Is it just because expanding?"

Fritts: "Yeah. So, his opposition stems from bringing in APRNs and PAs and the signing off on this. His... or his comment to me is the fact that if... that he could find two PAs today to sign off on mental health disabilities. And it's in my understanding that, that is not within their scope of practice to do and, therefore, would lose their license because of it. And explaining that to him, there were just... was an inability to wrap our head around the idea scope of practice and what you can and can't do."

Syed: "Thank you. And is there any other opposition on this Bill?" Fritts: "You know, none that I'm aware of. There's a issue with chiropractors that hopefully we'll be able to work out in the

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Senate. But the idea here is to align with the other systems so that we don't have issues moving forward."

Syed: "Thank you, Representative."

Fritts: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Hauter is recognized."

Hauter: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Hauter: "Okay. So, just clarify again for me, the declaration of disability will... in this Bill will only be available to clinical psychologists, whereas before, it was only open to MD psychiatrists?"

Fritts: "Yeah. So, let me just give you a little bit of the back story on this particular Bill. I had a sheriff's deputy in my district who was an accident reconstructionist and, in doing so, has seen some horrific things that, you know, I am lucky that I'm not a law enforcement officer and have to see myself. He took a leave of absence. He went, he tried to... he had a diagnosis from a clinical psychologist that, yes, he did have PTSD. And IMRF, how it was originally written, says that only an MD can sign off on disability benefits. And I think the idea of that, back when it was first said, was, you know, if somebody hurt themselves in the field, they had a spiral leg fracture, they couldn't work on roads or whatever at any point and time in the future, that, you know, you would go and your doctor would sign off on, yes, this is the case, whatever. You know, not anticipating us moving into a world where we, you know, better understand medical disabilities. So, this ... in this particular instance, because they couldn't find a clinical... or a psychiatrist to sign off on it, he was

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

basically... he sent his guns to his kids. So, he said I'm not comfortable carrying my firearm anymore. And in doing so, they said to him, you either need to return to work or you're going to lose your benefits. And that's a position that I don't want any law enforcement officer to have to be in, in the future because this is a very serious thing and there's a lot of suicide within this business."

Hauter: "I appreciate that, and it just... I just wonder if the... it's difficult to get a clinical... or a MD psychiatrist to declare this. So, is that... is that what we want? Or do we want to make it easier? And if it's only going to be clinical phycologists, that's one thing, but is it also open to nurse practitioners, PAs?"

Fritts: "Not on the disability benefits themselves. But also, once this claim's made, the whole application goes before the IMRF board. So, the board has the final determination on whether to honor the claim or not, or to ask them to go back and get a second opinion from a different provider."

Hauter: "Thank you."

Fritts: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Cassidy is recognized."

Cassidy: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Question of the Sponsor. In your exchange earlier with my colleague here to my right, did I hear you say you're going to fix it in the Senate?"

Fritts: "I... I was... I want to be able to continue conversations with them. Unfortunately, we weren't able to come to an agreement, and it was brought to me at the very last second."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Cassidy: "Got it. So, what we're talking about is the first episode of fix it in the Senate for this cycle. So, congratulations. There should be a trophy."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Fritts to close."
- Fritts: "I ask for a favorable vote. This is a very important issue, and it's something where I don't want a law enforcement officer to have to choose between making a good mental health decision for himself, his family, his safety, and the community's safety and having a personal financial implication. And I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4139 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, please take the record. On this question, there are 83 voting in 'favor', 15 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Leader Hammond is recognized."
- Hammond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Point of personal privilege." Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."
- Hammond: "I'm wondering if my colleagues would join me. We have a couple of folks that are celebrating a momentaneous occasion today. First of all, Assistant Clerk of the House Brad Bolin, happy birthday. And also, legislative office assistant, Gary Moffitt. Happy birthday, Gary. Thank you."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "House Bill 4141, Representative Syed. Mr. Clerk, please the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4141, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Third Reading of this House Bill."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Syed is recognized."

Syed: "Thank you, Chair. House Bill 4141 amends the Energy Assistance Act. This Bill seeks to solve an issue that was brought directly to us by a constituent. Our constituent receives a Section 8 housing voucher, and because of this housing assistance for her rent, her rent becomes less than 30 percent of her income. This carved her out of receiving LIHEAP to cover her heat bill. These likely just effects 200 to 300 people in Illinois who fall through the cracks because of the way the Bill was previously written. I know of no known opposition to this Bill and ask for a 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. How will this Bill help the individual you referenced in... in that situation?"

Syed: "So, it will allow her to receive LIHEAP and still qualify for LIHEAP without making it so that the Section 8 housing voucher disqualifies her."

Windhorst: "And this is something that came to you from that constituent. Are there any other proponents of the Bill?"

Syed: "This was brought to us by a constituent, but we worked with DCEO on getting this language filed."

Windhorst: "And our analysis reflects that this is going to reactivate the program. Is it simply just reactivating the LIHEAP program as it relates to these individuals, or is there another reactivation that occurs?"

Syed: "Just for this small subset of individuals."

Windhorst: "All right. Thank you."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Syed to close."

Syed: "Thank you. I ask for an 'aye' vote."

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4141 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4169, Representative Vella. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4169, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Vella is recognized."
- Vella: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Real simple Bill. This amends the Illinois Vehicle Code to allow for issuance of Navy Club decals that provide... provide an additional five dollar fee, that's an optional fee, to allow that grants be provided for the Navy Club. Navy Club, number one, is situated in Rockford, Illinois, does great work, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4169 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received the Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4175, Representative Croke. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4175, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Croke is recognized."

Croke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. HB4175 amends the School Code to explicitly ban corporal punishment in public and private schools. The American Academy of Pediatrics recently renewed its call to ban corporal punishment in all U.S. schools, citing its infectiveness and the harm it causes children. As of 2023, corporal punishment is still legal in private schools in every U.S. state, except for New Jersey, Iowa, New York, and Maryland, and legal in public schools in 17 states, and practiced in 12 of those states. I ask for an 'aye' vote and happy to answer any questions."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez. B.: "She indicated she will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. Representative, what is the current state of the law as it relates to corporal punishment in public schools?"

Croke: "So, thank you for asking. So, currently, it's effectively banned because... in public schools because the School Code does not allow a board to have corporal punishment within their board policies. So, in that way, it is banned. But when I was working with ISBE, because we were going to extend this to private schools, they suggested we do an explicit ban that includes both public and private schools in the School Code."

Windhorst: "Does anything about this Bill change the definition of corporal punishment as it relates to public schools under the current law?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Croke: "Not to my knowledge. No."

Windhorst: "And you mentioned the addition of private schools with this Bill, and I think that's where most of the conversation has been around this Bill. Why were private schools added?"

Croke: "So, we added private schools because... I mean, so the... the reason that the Bill was created, the reason it's drafted is because the American Association of Pediatrics renewed their call to ban it outright, for corporal punishment to banned in private and both public schools. It's not currently banned in private schools in the State of Illinois. So, the reason we added it was because I... I personally don't believe that anyone should be hitting children in schools, regardless if they're private or public."

Windhorst: "And I believe you touched on this. How many other states ban corporal punishment for private schools?"

Croke: "So, currently there are four, with the most recent being New York, which passed it this past fall."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Ford is recognized."

Ford: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. And would the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez. B.: "She indicated she will."

Ford: "Representative, I just would like to get the definition of corporal punishment. Is it only physical, or is it any other type of punishment that..."

Croke: "Just one moment, Representative. I just want to make sure that we're a hundred percent in the answer here. All right, thank you. Representative, corporal punishment means a discipline method in which a person deliberately inflicts

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

pain upon a student in response to the student's unacceptable behavior or inappropriate language, with an aim to halt an offense, prevent its reoccurrence, or set an example for others. Corporal punishment does not include the use of physical restraint under Sections 10-20.33 and 34-18.20."

Ford: "So, corporal punishment could mean isolation or physical...
not limited to physical?"

Croke: "No, not... it would not include physical restraint."

Ford: "Okay. All right. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez. B.: "Representative Swanson."

Swanson: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez. B.: "She indicated she will."

Swanson: "Thank you. A few questions in regards to the definition of nonpublic schools. Can you provide the definition of what a nonpublic school is?"

Croke: "Yes, one moment. So, the definition is not necessarily nonpublic schools, but I understand that, you know, based in committee and our conversations, there was concern this applying to homeschools, which it does not. Let me..."

Swanson: "So, this does not apply to home schools?"

Croke: "It does not."

Swanson: "Okay. That... I believe we... we were unclear of that when we had committee hearings. So, thank you for clarifying that.

So, it does not affect the parental rights of home school?"

Croke: "No. I mean, obviously, if a parent is abusing a child, that falls under different criminal law."

Swanson: "Correct. Correct."

Croke: "Yeah."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Swanson: "Certainly understand, but this does not apply to homeschool?"

Croke: "No."

Swanson: "Okay."

Croke: "But, again, you know, of course..."

Swanson: "Right."

Croke: "...if a..."

Swanson: "There is other laws that would pertain."

Croke: "...parent is abusing a child, yes, we take action as a state..."

Swanson: "Right."

Croke: "...because we don't encourage that."

Swanson: "Part of the discussion we had, too, was athletes. Does this apply to coaches?"

Croke: "So, it would apply to coaches, yes, if that is a... like if the coach is a teacher or a... hired by the school district. I... we talked in committee, just so I can clarify, about a situation in which maybe a coach said run laps. In that case, I do not believe that this would apply by any means because, when we tell a... a kid to run laps, the goal is not necessarily to inflict pain. But regardless of that, just to make sure that there's clarification, ISBE, if... let's say there was a complaint. ISBE still has to do a full investigation on that. So, you know, a complaint can be made regardless of this Bill, but ISBE will still have the oversight to complete an investigation."

Swanson: "Okay. Thank you. Because that was a concern of a coach had a student doing extra pushups or running that extra lap because of making a mistake in a ball game or making a mistake

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

at practice. That could be viewed as inflicting punishment on that athlete. So, it was a concern."

Croke: "I... I do not believe that the... no, I don't believe the Bill does that."

Swanson: "Okay."

Croke: "And... and excuse me, Representative, just to again say that, in public schools, this is obviously still... even though we are creating an explicit ban for both public and private schools, it has been in place for quite some time now that public schools cannot have corporal punishment. So, if we had any fear of that happening, it would have already been occurring."

Swanson: "Right, right. Well, it's just a concern when we start imposing some different private school versus public school demands on a private school."

Croke: "I understand that. However, I think when it comes to the safety of our children and... and children with corporal punishment, that this is one of those issues that should extend both to public and private schools."

Swanson: "Right. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Weber is... is recognized."

Weber: "Thank you, Madam Chair. Does the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicates she will."

Weber: "I... for somebody that graduated military academy, there was oftentimes, probably, I would say I experienced pain, whether it was pushups, standing at attention, marching guard path, you name it. Would military schools be exempt for that?

And would that be considered corporal punishment?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Croke: "For... for military... military schools was... were you in military school when... K through 8 or K through 12?"

Weber: "Yeah. Well, no usually 7 through 12, 6 through 12, depending on..."

Croke: "It would apply to... it would apply to all private schools."

Weber: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Croke to close."

Croke: "I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4175 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 79 voting in 'favor', 26 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, have received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. HB4206, Representative Huynh. Out of the record. House Bill 4207, Representative Chung. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4207, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Chung is recognized."

Chung: "Yes. Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4207 is an initiative of the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. The Bill simply aims to bring land development conducted, permitted, or funded by state agencies or on state-owned properties into compliance with FEMA's National Flood Insurance Program requirements. Being in full compliance with FEMA and NFIP standards will ensure that the state will be eligible for federal funding assistance in the event of any

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

future disasters. And it passed out of committee unanimously, and I ask for a favorable vote… vote today. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Representative. I believe you've covered my question with your remarks, but I want to make sure I have it complete."

Chung: "Absolutely."

Windhorst: "This is... Bill is designed to allow the department to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program?"

Chung: "Correct."

Windhorst: "Is there a concern that the department's current regulatory authority does not provide enough authority for them to do that?"

Chung: "Yeah. And in the... it was... they were... thought they were operating in compliance with FEMA under an Executive Order. So, now we're putting this as codified into law so that we are... and we're made... they were made aware by FEMA that we... they were not operating on compliance, so now this brings it all, hopefully, into compliance then."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

Chung: "Thank you so much."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Meier is recognized."

Meier: "Just one quick question. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Meier: "Just... this will not give the department a change flood plain... plain maps. That's still all being done by the Federal Government, right?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Chung: "I believe so, yes."

Meier: "Okay. Thank you."

Chung: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Chung to close."

Chung: "I ask for a favorable vote today. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4207 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4224, Representative Ladisch Douglass. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4224, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Ladisch Douglass is recognized."

Ladisch Douglass: "Thank you. This Bill amends the Illinois Library Act in order to reduce bonds from 50 percent of the total funds received by a library in the most previous fiscal year down to 10 percent in order to bring them in line with local government that are required to do the same at 10 percent. This is to seek parity between libraries and other governmental units, and library treasurers would hold a bond at 10 to 20... 10 percent of total revenue in the previous fiscal year. This Bill passed committee with bipartisan support. I ask for an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

- Windhorst: "Thank you, Representative. You may have said, I apologize if missed it, what was the purpose of bringing this Bill forward?"
- Ladisch Douglass: "To reduce the bond for library treasurers from 50 percent to 10 percent of revenues."
- Windhorst: "And the current threshold is too high for what reason?

 Why is it too high? Why does it need to be reduced?"
- Ladisch Douglass: "It is to save funds for taxpayers. And because bonds generally, for the libraries, have not been utilized, they haven't... there haven't been claims on those library bonds. And to keep this in line with other governmental entities that have also reduced their bonds from 50 percent to 10 percent, the libraries have asked to do the same."
- Windhorst: "And so, this will allow libraries to expend funds for other things rather than the bond. Is that accurate?"
- Ladisch Douglass: "Yes. Libraries will, of course, at their will, have the option to utilize those funds for other resources."
 Windhorst: "Thank you."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Ugaste is recognized."
- Ugaste: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicates she will."
- Ugaste: "Representative, not that I'm imposed in principle if this is where everyone else is. Just curious, though, are we aware, have there been any... any instances where a 10 percent bond has not been enough to cover loss of funds within a governmental unit in the state recent... since this has passed?" Ladisch Douglass: "I am not aware of any."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Ugaste: "Did you investigate that at all or is... you're just not aware?"

Ladisch Douglass: "I did not investigate on other governmental units. However, I investigated claims related to library bonds. And to my knowledge, there have not been any claims related to library bonds in this state."

Ugaste: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Keicher is recognized."

Keicher: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Keicher: "Okay. Thank you. And I... I think I appreciate the thought behind this. I was former president of our local library board. So, my questions kind of go to the heart of what we unfortunately saw happen in Dixon. And so, what we saw there is a scheme over a number of years where we had a perpetrator take tens of millions of dollars. And my concern on this is that the 10 percent level seems to be tied to the annual receipts. Where if we had a malfeasance that had been unfound, because it's a volunteer board, and occurred and accumulated over a number of years, we could easily surpass that 10 percent and the taxpayer thereby be exposed to significantly more. Can you talk me through that?"

Ladisch Douglass: "Again, I don't have knowledge of the case you're speaking to. And this would be a criminal action where the person might be individually liable, and I... you know, this is just a place to save taxpayers funds."

Keicher: "Okay. So, as of right now, though, if there was a scheme in one of the libraries where there was money taken, this

89th Legislative Day

- bond amount limits it to 10 percent of the annual receipts of the library, correct?"
- Ladisch Douglass: "One moment, please. In townships, cities, villages, and incorporated towns having a population of 500 thousand or less, it would be 10 percent of the total funds received by the library in the last fiscal year."
- Keicher: "Okay. All right. I think I'm going to have to be a 'no' on this because I worry that it exposes some situations that are annually occurring that we haven't yet identified. Thank you."
- Ladisch Douglass: "Thank you."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Ladisch Douglass to close."
- Ladisch Douglass: "Thank you. I encourage an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4224 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 90 voting in 'favor', 17 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4226, Leader Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4226, a Bill for an Act concerning courts. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Hoffman."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This would indicate that new court reporters would not have to take a proficiency test within one year of employment. It would leave it up to the chief judge of each individual

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

circuit to determine whether or not this needs to happen. The reason for this is the initial test and licensing that they must go through is much more stringent than the one that would be taken a year after employment, and there's really no need for a proficiency test one year after initial licenses."

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4226 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 43... 4237, Representative Benton. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4237, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Benton is recognized."
- Benton: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. All this Bill does is change turkey gauge down to .410. It offers a little bit more parity for people with disabilities, women, and children to get into turkey hunting. This is an initiative of DNR. I ask for a favorable vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4237 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 103 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Bill 4261, Representative Olickal. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4261, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Olickal is recognized."

Olickal: "Thank you. House Bill 4261 is an initiative of the Long Term Care Ombudsman. It amends the Illinois Act on Aging to add language clarifying all case records containing resident and complainant information collected by the ombudsman shall not be disclosed outside the program without a lawful subpoena or the permission of the state ombudsman. The change allows the state ombudsman to further protect confidential resident information, working to clarify that records containing resident or complainant information are only disclosed for the reasons and are not subject to FOIA. This initiative does not require an immediate effective date, but... and there's no known opposition, and I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. Representative, this Bill's designed to protect confidentiality of the Long Term Care Ombudsman's records? Is that..."

Olickal: "That's correct."

Windhorst: "...a good summary of it? The Long Term Care Ombudsman would still be required to respond to service of process, meaning a subpoena for records. Is that accurate?"

Olickal: "Yes."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Windhorst: "Can the ombudsman release, on his or her own authority... release those records on his or her own authority?"
- Olickal: "The program participant or the legal representative may consent in writing for the sharing of information."
- Windhorst: "It also... if I'm seeing this correctly, it also appears the ombudsman may disclose resident or participant information if... if it is in the best interest of the resident or participant. So, meaning it's up to the ombudsman to make that determination, if I'm seeing that correctly."

Olickal: "That's correct."

- Windhorst: "And was there a reason why this was... this Bill was brought forward? Had there been an issue with the confidentiality of records previously?"
- Olickal: "I don't have a specific instance, but it was brought to me by the... by the ombudsman."
- Windhorst: "I think most people, when they go to an ombudsman, are expecting there is some confidential relationship when they're making a complaint or bringing something to the ombudsman's attention. Is that what the thinking is?"
- Olickal: "Yeah. That's critical for an ombudsman. People have to...
 it has to maintain its... its trust and integrity so people are
 willing to disclose any of their issues."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Olickal to close."

Olickal: "I urge an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4261 pass?' All in favor say (sic-vote) 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

record. On this question, there are 107 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4271, Representative West. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4271, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative West is recognized."

West: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4271 is an initiative of the Rock River Valley Blood Center, and it amends the Blood Donation Act to allow persons 17 and older to have their blood typed when donating blood, as... as the blood donation is completely voluntary. When I reached out to the CEO of the blood center, she mentioned, as you... that as you know, 17-year-olds can already donate blood without parental consent. Allowing them to be typed prior to the blood drive provides an educational component where we can discuss the importance of each type of... and teach them how their donation will make an impact on their community. I have no opposition, and I hope for a favorable roll call."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Indicates he will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. Do we know of any instances where parents have not given consent in a request to have a 17-year-old's blood typed?"

West: "I do not know of any myself."

Windhorst: "And why was the parental consent piece included?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- West: "Parental consent piece is already in... in law. So, this one just allows for them to blood type as well. They can already donate without parental consent. So, we're just adding this in parity with that."
- Windhorst: "And now, they could... could they now also do it if they had parental consent? Or is that the current state of the law?"
- West: "Current state of the law is it's is voluntary without parental consent. And now, we'll be able to blood type voluntarily without parental consent for 17 and older."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

West: "Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative West to close."

West: "I hope for a favorable roll call."

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4271 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 101 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4282, Representative Morgan. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4282, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Morgan is recognized."
- Morgan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4282 amends the Genetic Counselor Licensing Act. This is an initiative of the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation.

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

This is a sunset piece of legislation. So, we have an Act that is about to sunset. We're extending this until 20... 2030, much like all the other IDFPR sunset Bills. This also updates and modernizes a variety of the elements of the legislation, allowing you to apply online, provide information about correspondence online, and dealing with other aspects of who exactly can be a genetic counselor. I know of no opposition, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall'... Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Windhorst: "Thank you. Representative, our analysis shows that there were some questions concerning the ability of professionals with limited genetic counseling roles to continue to fulfill these duties under the Bill in the underlying statute. Have those been addressed with any of the Amendments that have come forward?"

Morgan: "Absolutely. We did have quite a robust conversation in our Health Care Licensing Committee about genetic counselors. This was addressed in House Amendment 2 after correspondence and collaboration between the department and Republicans on the committee. I believe it removed the opposition, but ultimately, the... the crux of the discussion was who can be a genetic counselor, what are those qualifications, and how are we making sure people are not holding themselves out as genetic counselors when, in fact, they are not."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Morgan: "Madam Speaker, if we could take this out of the record for a moment."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Out of the record. House Bill 4284, Representative Will Davis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill." Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4284, a Bill for an Act concerning

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Will Davis is recognized."

revenue. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4284 is an effort by one of my local school districts, Homewood School District 153, in effort to realize additional tax dollars that they went to their voters and asked them to approve. The voters overwhelmingly approved the tax dollars, but in order for them to go above and beyond their current cap, they had to come here and ask us for permission to do so. That's essentially what the Bill is asking for. In the interest of time, I'll just stop there and take questions, but I do have a page speech here if you want to hear it, Representative Windhorst. But other than that, I'll just stop there and answer questions."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Keicher is recognized."

Keicher: "Madam, if we could please excuse Representative Weaver
for the remainder of the day."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Sosnowski is recognized."

Sosnowski: "Thanks, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Sosnowski: "I asked this question in committee, just hope you could provide some clarification. So, I understand this is for one particular school district. At the time of the… the request to the voters, during the referendum, they went out

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

and... and basically gave an estimate for what a home of, you know, \$150 thousand value would cost. Essentially though, by modifying this, that per home, per 150 thousand, will actually be more than was originally advertised in the referendum. Would that be correct to phrase it that way?"

Davis, W.: "Well, let me... let me start by answering your question this way. So, the ballot question specifically called for a rate increase of \$1.20 per \$100 of equalized assessed value of the home. The... what's the word I'm looking for here? So, the... at the time, the extension was... would have been \$15 million... would have been \$15 million based on the ballot question. The referendum was projected to increase the extension to \$19.5 million, providing the school district with an additional \$4.2 million of revenue. But what happened in the interim, the EAV dropped. It dropped to approximately... and it would've only netted them \$17.8 million. So, what we're trying to do is to get them back up to where they were when, at that time, the EAV assessed them at \$19.5 million. So, if I remember correctly, I think the answer to your question is essentially, yes, based on the lower EAVs. They are asking for a little bit more from those taxpayers. But, again, they're reflecting on the initial walk-in, which they were very transparent about to the taxpayers. And those taxpayers, at a 71 percent clip, voted to allow them to get the additional dollars. Now, if it's a matter of whether or not I've received any opposition to the Bill, I've not received any opposition. And I would argue that the Homewood School District, it is a very conscientious school district. And that if the voters really thought that that was a problem, I

89th Legislative Day

- certainly would have heard from those voters, and I did not hear from those voters."
- Sosnowski: "Okay. And the second and final question. What happens if the converse of the dilemma happens? You know, so EAV now increases, what... how will this affect that?"
- Davis, W.: "If the EAV increases? Well, I... it may be predicated on what... how much of an increase there might be. Would that increase get them back up to where they were or would it fall somewhere in between? And I guess those that can do math better than I would probably be able to figure out where it would land based on whatever that increase could possibly be."
- Sosnowski: "Well... and we don't need to talk specific numbers, but just, you know, we've seen property values increase. Not necessarily dramatically here in Illinois, but in certain areas we see some increases. So, if all of a sudden the community experienced a 10 percent increase in EAV, does that change what the school district will bring in or is it still set at a certain level?"
- Davis, W.: "Well, I... again, I think... I'm not sure if we're talking apples to apples, but based on what they asked for from the voters, if there's an increase in EAV, we're still talking about setting the upper limit. So, I'm not sure if you can even go beyond what we're setting as the upper limit at this point, even if the EAV does increase."
- Sosnowski: "So, you're saying the new upper limit would be what you're purposing in this legislation?"
- Davis, W.: "That is what we are purposing, that upper limit, based on what the original EAV was set at."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Sosnowski: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Will Davis to close."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Just for some history's sake, we've done this once before for a school district. It was in the Northfield School District 131. And I have carried a similar Bill for the Midlothian Park District, where they had the opportunity to acknowledge what their voters voted for them to have. And we had to do it through legislation to allow them to access the additional dollars. With that, I'd ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4284 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 70 voting in 'favor', 36 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And the Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4293, Representative Morgan. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4293, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Morgan is recognized."

Morgan: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4293 is, again, a department initiative from the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation. This deals with massage therapists and those that may encounter issues dealing with potential criminal activity. Because right now, when we deal with health care professionals, they're accused of sexual misconduct, there's a variety of different ways in

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

which we provide protections. Massage therapists' Act that we're attempting to mend here will just marry up to the existing health care practitioner requirements of having a chaperone in situations in which there's an allegation of sexual misconduct. We know of no opposition, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Madam Speaker, will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Windhorst: "This will put some requirements on prosecuting attorneys to make notification to IDFPR. Is... do I have that correct?"

Morgan: "That's correct."

Windhorst: "Is there an obligation on those prosecuting attorneys to do any search or inquiry of individuals to see if they have these licenses in... in a prosecution?"

Morgan: "Not... there's not a specific requirement, and I think what you're getting at is something we've been dealing with over the last few months. When there is a... there are one governmental entity that's investigating an allegation and another governmental entity that licenses somebody and there's a disconnect, we're not connecting those two to make sure that people are not slipping through the cracks. So, there's not a specific requirement for law enforcement or a prosecuting attorney to verify a license because, in fact, they might not be able to get that information. But it does require them to notify the department and the department to then implement the chaperone requirements."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Windhorst: "So, if the prosecuting... prosecuting attorney knows the person prosected has a license, they're... they're under an obligation, then, to report it when they have that knowledge?"
- Morgan: "I'm going to have look into that. I'm not sure if this legislation explicitly puts that requirement on law enforcement. I'm going to have to double-check that."
- Windhorst: "What... what I'm seeing is that there... the Act is amended to require a prosecuting attorney to provide notice to IDFPR of a license massage therapist name, address, practice address, license number, and copy of the criminal charges filed immediately after the therapist has been charged with certain offenses."
- Morgan: "Various spokesmen actually have been able to identify that. It does say that explicitly in the Act in... in the legislation we have and... on page five of the legislation."
- Windhorst: "Thank you. So... so, basically, if the prosecuting attorney knows the person prosecuted is accused of one of these offenses and has a license, that's what triggers the obligation?"

Morgan: "That's correct."

Windhorst: "All right. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Ammons is recognized."

Ammons: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Ammons: "Thank you. I just wanted to ask two questions, and if any consideration was given to this. I know that the department is working with you on this Bill, Representative Morgan. But when it comes to prostitution, sometimes we know that people are human trafficked in this scenario. I'm... I'm

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

wondering if this is an issue that came up in your discussion about this. And number two, in the case of... of criminal prosecution, number five says the administrative order shall be revoked. Is that an automatic cleaning of the person's record if they are found to not have been convicted of the charge against them in this... in this Bill?"

Morgan: "So, Representative, let me start with your first question and then I might have a follow-up question back for you on the second. Yes, we removed the language that uses the word prostitution. And, in fact, kind of harkened back to be consistent with what we have done and updated our other health care practitioner statutes to deal with sexual misconduct because of exactly what you're talking about. We did discuss within the committee that prostitution carries with it a variety of other unattended consequences. So, that language was amended to make sure it's consistent with the Health Care Practitioner Act. If you could help me understand a little bit better about your question so I understand?"

Ammons: "Yeah. In the executive summary on our analysis, it has five points there. The fifth one is the one of concern. How would the information get to the court? Meaning the circuit clerk who's responsible for cleaning the person's record if they are not convicted of the charge of... associated with this legislation?"

Morgan: "Well, again, I can double-check this, but I don't believe the statute addresses the communication of an allegation, or a charge, or a conviction, or acquittal. It deals with primarily the department's responsibilities with regards to

89th Legislative Day

- making sure a chaperone is involved with that practitioner's care."
- Ammons: "And would... is this already standing? Meaning, in the IDFPR's purview, can they already require that a person who was not convicted have that automatically cleared from the circuit clerk standpoint?"
- Morgan: "I don't believe that, that is a power for IDFPR because, again, IDFPR is involved from the administrative perspective and not a criminal context."
- Ammons: "If you would... I don't have a problem with the Bill. I have a concern with the how-to of the Bill, and that was where we kind of fall down in these kinds of efforts. If there's a possibility that your Senate Sponsor can redress this second part, the last part of this says that the administrative order shall be revoked or removed from the licensee's record if the licensee is not convicted, but it doesn't tell you in the law how that's going to happen. Which puts a huge burden on the person who is not guilty of the crime that is being activated upon here."
- Morgan: "Representative, I understand your concern. What I would like to do is, I think that this in a position to move in this... this chamber, in particular because, again, it's consistent entirely with our existing statutes for all other health care practitioners. But I do want to look into this further because I understand your point. We want to make sure that for those who are cleared of any wrong doing that there is no further encumbrance on their, not just criminal history, but also their administrative record."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Ammons: "And that's... that's a hard part because licensing takes forever to get. So, if you remove a person's license and they're found not guilty, there needs to be stronger teeth in administrative rule or in the Senate to ensure that the person is not harmed as a result of this and, two, that they... their license is immediately restored as a result of this. This can ruin a person's actual career if something... if a person is not guilty of whatever charges they've been charged with."

Morgan: "Well... and, Representative, just to clarify in case I misstated, the license is in no way encumbered. It's a requirement for their practice to have a chaperone so they don't lose their license during the pendency of... of pending criminal charges. It does require a chaperone to be a part of that until those charges are removed."

Ammons: "I appreciate the clarification. Thank you very much."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Weber is recognized."

Weber: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Does the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Weber: "Representative Morgan, thank you for bringing this Bill forward. So, just to... to clarify some of the things that came out, this does not remove anyone's license, just... just brings parity to other things, like doctors and things, where they would... he would still be able to work with a chaperone. Is that correct?"

Morgan: "That's correct."

Weber: "So, as you're aware, I think it was last year or the year before, there was someone who was actually convicted in court of sexual assaulting a woman and, seven months later, was still licensed in the State of Illinois. And I think... I

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

believe this Bill, if passed, would actually put someone in the room with someone during that court process to protect the residents of... or citizens of Illinois if they were to go to that massage therapist, but without a conviction, but still allow them to be protected under that. Is that true?"

- Morgan: "Well, again, I mean, this is... this is really just dealing with licensure and it is preconviction. So, this really does not impact those that are being convicted and charged because that already removes their license. So, this really just deals with the charge and making sure that during that pendency, as we all know, if somebody's charged with a crime, sometimes it takes a while for that to move through the court. So, we want to make sure we're protecting the consumer, in this case, the recipient of a massage therapist."
- Weber: "All right. Thank you very much. Appreciate it. Please vote 'yes'."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Tarver is recognized."
- Tarver: "Quick question. Sorry, I was not up on this Bill until Ammons started asking questions. So, the forceable felony piece, is it a forceable felony that is... that arises out of their services as a massage therapist or whatever?"
- Morgan: "No. I believe it is independent of the services. It's the charge itself."
- Tarver: "Okay. So, not that I would want this, but if someone if charged with burglary, a residential burglary, then there would be a notification provided an associate with the license for the massage therapist who's charged with burglary? I'm just looking at... at a list of what forceable felonies are. Residential burglary, arson. I mean, there are some,

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

obviously, great bodily harm, permanent disability, disfigurement, but then there's just some other... I don't want to say run of the mill 'cause all these are important. But I'm trying to understand, if somebody breaks into somebody's house allegedly, then the department would be notified and there'd be all these other things triggered as it relates to the person's license?"

Morgan: "Once second, Representative. I'm just checking on that."

Tarver: "Sure."

Morgan: "Yeah, Representative, again, I think we tried to... and we did, in fact, make sure that this Amendment matches exactly what we do for every other health care license right now. So, force... forceable felony is the way that we determine whether or not a chaperone will be required during that short period of time while the charges are pending. So, it doesn't impact their license, doesn't remove their license, suspend the license. It does protect the consumers, though, during that period of time, and that's the current language we have for other health care practitioners."

Tarver: "So, I appreciate that. And, again, I don't have an issue with your Bill. But the notion that we do it everywhere else doesn't make it a good policy. So, it... it may be something to have a conversation about offline. I just wanted to make sure, for legislative intent and what's on the record, that if somebody allegedly breaks into someone's house, this would still be triggered. And it may be in other places as well. Thank you for that clarification. I appreciate it."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Morgan to close."

89th Legislative Day

- Morgan: "Thank you. Again, just as a reminder, this is consistent with what we do for other... every other health care practitioner to make sure we're balancing the protections of an individual receiving services from a health care practitioner and making sure the health care practitioner's license is protected because sometimes people are charged for a crime they did not commit. So, this does not impact their license. It does protect the consumer, and I ask for an 'aye' vote."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4293 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 1 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4317, Rep... Leader Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4317, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Hoffman is recognized."
- Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This simply expands the written notice option for subcontractors intending to perform a mechanic's lien. It would include the recognized delivery company or personal service in addition to registered mail or certified mail. It is only about the notice. It does not change anything else regarding subcontractors intending to perform a mechanic's lien."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4317 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4321, Representative Kelly. Mr... Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4321, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Kelly is recognized."
- Kelly: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4321 creates a rebuttable presumption that police officers and firefighters not employed by CPD or CFD who became disabled due to COVID-19 from March 19, 2020 to June 30 of 2021 contracted COVID in the line of duty and are eligible for line-of-duty disability pension rather than an ordinary disability pension. This simply adds the downstate police and fire to a Bill that passed out of here last spring. Passed out of committee unanimously, and I'd appreciate an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

- Windhorst: "Thank you, Representative. What... what is the purpose of bringing the Bill forward?"
- Kelly: "If you recall, last spring we had Comptroller Mendoza down here fighting for her brother's disability from the Chicago Police Department. Some of the municipalities somehow

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

found a loophole or just missed it, and this would simply include the rest of the state in the Duty Disability Act to allow these people who were disabled during that time frame and now can get full disability."

Windhorst: "Our analysis shows the Illinois Municipal League is opposed. Do you know what the nature of their opposition is?"

Kelly: "My assumption is that all the municipalities would
 probably have to come up with... to pay the duty disability,
 so."

Windhorst: "And does this preempt any of their local authority at all?"

Kelly: "It shouldn't. It's just a patch up."

Windhorst: "You say that was just a patch up to... make sure I have that correct. Yes. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative du Buclet is recognized." du Buclet: "Point of personal privilege."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Can we hold off until after the... the Bill?"

du Buclet: "Yes. Yep."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Ugaste."

Ugaste: "Thank you. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Ugaste: "Thank you. Is this the same rebuttable presumption we've had in all the other work comp Bills dealing with COVID?"

Kelly: "Yes, it is."

Ugaste: "Okay. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Kelly to close."

Kelly: "I ask for a favorable roll call. Thank you."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4321 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative du Buclet, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

du Buclet: "Point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."

du Buclet: "Today is very special day. It is actually the birthday of my seatmate, Representative-Leader Camille Lilly, who is my seatmate for a second time. So, can we all give her a round of applause for her birthday?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "House Bill 4348, Representative Will Davis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4348, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Will Davis is recognized."

Davis, W.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies... Speaker, excuse me, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. House Bill 4348 clarifies that the aims of the Commission on Equity and Inclusion are to supervise the implementation and effectiveness of supplier diversity training of state procurement rather than supervise the implementation of diversity in the state workforce. Be more than happy to answer your questions."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

89th Legislative Day

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Indicates he will."
- Windhorst: "This is cleaning up some language, as you described, in the... in the law?"
- Davis, W.: "Clarifying some language. Yes, Sir."
- Windhorst: "Were there issues with the way the law is currently written in the implementation of the law?"
- Davis, W.: "Well, I think we just wanted to be clear because, again, as... as I stated, instead of supervising, you know, which would be kind of agency-to-agency, they just want to provide some broad oversite to the implementation relative to supplier diversity programs in the state."
- Windhorst: "And it appears the word procurement is added for state workforce rather than... currently, it's just state workforce, and now it will be, if this passes, state procurement workforce?"
- Davis, W.: "Well, just... it says... this just says state procurement, at least the analysis that I have, just state procurement. Again, as you know, this is a newer commission that we have here in the state. We're strongly encouraging the Governor to continue to make his appointments to it. But the idea here is to try to find a one place that can kind of broadly look at how we handle procurement in the State of Illinois, particularly as it relates to diversity, and be able to go to one place and ask them to look at, in this case supervise, how that procurement happens, be able to offer feedback when we need it, and tell us in some places where we're not doing as well and how we can make improvements to do it better."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Windhorst: "And specifying procurement versus workforce was to make sure it was clear in the law this is for procurement purposes?"
- Davis, W.: "Correct."
- Windhorst: "How long have... has this training been in existence under law?"
- Davis, W.: "Well, the organization itself has only been around for a year, a couple years. So, I think these are efforts as we stood it up to go back and just make sure we're clear on exactly what we wanted to do, and this is part of that clarity, or producing that clarity, for exactly what we'd like the agency to do."

Windhorst: "Thank you."

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Will Davis to close."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I would respectively request a 'yes' vote. Thank you."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4348 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 92 voting in 'favor', 11 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4350, Representative Jed Davis. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4350, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Jed Davis is recognized."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Davis, J.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. This is a Bill aimed at targeting minors who are caught in child abuse and sex trafficking. So, we'll place postings in environments that they may travel. I worked closely with the Illinois State Police in identifying those venues. And we'll work with DCFS, will be in charge of creating the content and hopefully rescuing the youth that find themselves in these horrific situations that they have a way out. It is supported by the Family Forward, Illinois Coalition Against Sexual Assault, Illinois Collaboration on Youth, and also Planned Parenthood. I would ask for a favorable 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House'...

Representative Ness is recognized."

Ness: "Thank you. Does the speaker yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Ness: "Thank you. I asked in committee, when this Bill came up, about the question of effectiveness or efficacy of these kind of notices, making the comparison to sexual trafficking and other kinds of issues. And so, can... have you learned any more on that?"

Davis, J.: "I have. That is a great question. I did do some homework. Oregon has a very similar Bill on the book since about 2007 or '9. From that time, they've had thousands of reports. I did talk to some people out there in the State Government. They didn't give me specifics because they often deal with minors, but they said there have been several cases of people being rescued."

Ness: "And my other question related to, like, what does... what do these posters look like, and what... what kind of messaging

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

goes into them? And I'm asking the question from a place of trying to understand how children who may be being victimized would even know or understand and what the nature of those might look like. So, I just... and we really didn't see anything."

Davis, J.: "No, that's another great question. We... we didn't put any very specifics in the Bill. We're kind of allowing the department of... DCFS, along with the Children's Advocacy Center, to create that content. But the constituent that came into my office is the cofounder of a nonprofit called My Body Tells the Truth. She has several examples, and I'll definitely connect her with those departments, but they're very nondescript. I know there may be concerns of how do you communicate that to a minor. And her posters are simply big blocks over areas of a body that say these are the no-go zones, and if anyone is here in those zones, here's a number to call for help or here's a QR code to scan. But they're very innocent in nature for a very sensitive issue."

Ness: "So, the child would then make the phone call. Is that the idea?"

Davis, J.: "That is the idea. The State of Illinois does a great job at educating the doctors, the teachers, all kinds of people to look and recognize this issue that is happening in the state. We are kind of taking a different approach and kind of hitting people that may find themselves in this to help them realize that this is an issue that they're in. I have foster parents and have fostered a handful of... of youth through my home that have been victims of this, and they are often kept out of schools. They're kept of doctor's offices.

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

So, everyone that we're training to recognize that these kids have been removed from those environments. So, we're really trying to target the youth that are in these horrific situations to hopefully grip their heart so that they can realize that they have a way out."

Ness: "I truly appreciate what you're trying to do with this Bill and the issue that you're trying to solve, but I have to tell you, I was very uncomfortable with this committee. I'm still very uncomfortable with this Bill. I'm not sure this is the way to do it. You know, it's... it's one of those areas and issues that I... you know, we've tried to do and promote legislation that, you know, helps children even understand. I think there are even cases that children don't even understand their own bodies to even understand asking these questions to be able to know that. So, for those reasons, I'm going to be a 'no' vote on this. Although, I do want to say that I appreciate your work on this and hope we can come to a way to help reduce the number of children that are... that are abused in our state. So, thank you."

Davis, J.: "You bet. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Tarver is recognized."

Tarver: "Thanks. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Indicates he will."

Tarver: "Sorry. I... again, another one I need to kind of catch up on. Does this... is this something that's coming through JCAR?

I'm reading this, within six months XYZ will happen. Is this something that is going to come through the JCAR process?"

Davis, J.: "You know, not... not to my knowledge. I'm... honestly don't know. We're leaving up to the Department of Children

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

and Family Services and then also them working closely with the Children's Advocacy Center..."

Tarver: "That's... that's why I'm asking."

Davis, J.: "...to develop the content."

Tarver: "Well, that's why I'm asking. I... I deal with DCFS very often in JCAR. So, I'm trying to understand if it's realistic to have them do anything in six months 'cause I've not seen that happen at all. And then I'm also concerned that if it's in statute... and this is something that as we, like, promulgate through JCAR that you'd tell them what specific nonprofit are work with, when typically, as you... there's notice, we all have an opportunity to... anybody to weigh in. So, I... I appreciate what you're trying to do. I'm not trying thwart your Bill, but I would like to understand. This is something that is likely to come through JCAR."

Davis, J.: "And that... that is a great point. I just want to make a clarification. This Bill is not tied to a nonprofit. A constituent that is a founder of a nonprofit brought it to my attention, and I thought it was a good idea. So, I just referenced her in that context."

Tarver: "No, I'm... I'm referencing the statute, the Bill. In consultation with... this is not... you're saying it's not a specific nonprofit 'cause it... Child Advocacy Center. I guess the... I can get to the rest of that. The overarching question, if you could maybe talk to somebody over on your side, staff or otherwise, is this something that is likely to come through JCAR? That's all."

Davis, J.: "We believe it does not have to go through JCAR."

Tarver: "Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that."

89th Legislative Day

- Davis, J.: "Thank you."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Will Davis is recognized."
- Davis, W.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."
- Davis, W.: "Representative, is the penalty still in the Bill? I know it's had a couple of Amendments, but is the penalty still in there for failure to post these notices?"
- Davis, J.: "Yes, it is."
- Davis, W.: "So, in the case of a train station not posting notices, who gets charged?"
- Davis, J.: "It's very similar to other laws, statutes that are on the books. It is implemented by the local jurisdiction, not the state. So, it would be the business that gets charged, but they don't get charged immediately. There is a 30-day cure notice in there."
- Davis, W.: "I'm not sure if you answered my question. So, again, it says that you have to put this up in train stations. So, if this doesn't exist in the Metra train station in Homewood, who gets charged?"
- Davis, J.: "Yeah. It would be the facility that controls the train station. So, in that case, it would be Metra."
- Davis, W.: "But is that Metra the board chair, any of the board members, the chief of staff, the executive director? Help me out."
- Davis, J.: "Not personal, just the business."
- Davis, W.: "I'm sorry."
- Davis, J.: "It's not personal. It's just the business, or the agency."

89th Legislative Day

- Davis, W.: "So… so, Metra will get charged with a petty offense?

 Can we charge? I'm not a lawyer. So, can I charge an entity with a petty offense? Or does it have to be a person?"
- Davis, J.: "You can charge the entity."
- Davis, W.: "You can? And if they don't pay, do we put out a warrant for Metra? Does Metra get arrested?"
- Davis, J.: "I'm sorry, I was distracted back here. But there... I'm being told that you can charge the entity."
- Davis, W.: "And if they don't pay it, then what?"
- Davis, J.: "It ultimately goes down to the Attorney General's Office if they don't fall into compliance."
- Davis, W.: "So, then who gets arrested? Who... who gets the... I'm really not trying to be funny. I'm trying to understand."
- Davis, J.: "Then... then it's in the hands of the... yeah, no, I'm totally with you. Then it's in the hands of the Attorney General's Office to handle, and from there they'll decide how to proceed."
- Davis, W.: "So, have you had this conversation with the AG's Office?"
- Davis, J.: "I have not, no."
- Davis, W.: "So, sounds like there's quite a bit of this that still needs to be worked out. At least from that prospective of it, correct?"
- Davis, J.: "Yeah, I mean, in... in my viewpoint, I feel like it's pretty clear. You have a violation. If it's noticed by the local jurisdiction, they have a 30-day cure period. If they don't cure within 30 days, they can be charged with a \$500 penalty. If it continues to go on, then it goes to the Attorney General's Office, who I'd hope we would to trust to

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

handle that situation and resolve it adequately with whoever the one in violation is."

Davis, W.: "Okay. So, those are public entities. According to our analysis, it said truck stops, which are private businesses in a lot of cases, right?"

Davis, J.: "Correct."

Davis, W.: "Same deal? The truck stop gets sent a violation?"

Davis, J.: "Same deal."

Davis, W.: "So, the local truck stop. So, you're going to send a notification to Wally's Exit 197? I stop there often."

Davis, J.: "Yes."

Davis, W.: "So, they're going to get the violation notice too?"

Davis, J.: "Correct. And there's a Bill previously that was passed in a couple of General Assemblies ago that is the exact same language of part of it to deal with trafficking of workers and other trafficking. So, it is something that the General Assembly has come together and passed on a bipartisan basis prior."

Davis, W.: "I'm sorry, Representative."

Davis, J.: "Nah, it's okay. The same thing happened to me too. So, I just was saying there was a Bill in a previous General Assembly that targeted working trafficking, along with some sex trafficking as well. It was a more broad encompassing Bill. Had the exact same language included. So, that's where we took the language from."

Davis, W.: "I mean that doesn't mean... again, I'm just trying to understand who ultimately is responsible for the fine, for the violation. And if you say that it happened before, again, did we have... are we having the same problems with that, that

89th Legislative Day

- I think you're going to have with this one? Because who's going to be responsible? Who's going to get the notice? I'm just a little unclear on how this works."
- Davis, J.: "It's the… it's the owner. Any other notice that any health department or any permitting agency would give, it's the exact same thing. So, it's the owner of the business."
- Davis, W.: "Owner... owner of the business. And your Bill also says that DCFS, I guess, has some responsibility in developing this literature?"
- Davis, J.: "Yep. Yes. I've talked with them. They filed the no position slip. So, they're not opposed to being the ones to carry the torch."
- Davis, W.: "Do we know if it's going to cost them money or not?"
- Davis, J.: "We're just creating posters and then it's going to be a downloadable thing. So, there will be a little cost in manpower to create the poster, but there's... they don't need to print. They don't need to publish. They don't need mail. These are things that businesses can print themselves."
- Davis, W.: "And businesses can print themselves. So, I... I guess ultimately what I'm getting at is that, if this is going to cost a agency money and manpower to do... again, we don't know how much. You said very little. I don't think there was a fiscal note filed on this one, correct?"
- Davis, J.: "Correct."
- Davis, W.: "Okay. So, we really don't know how much it's going to cost. But if there is a budget impact too, does that mean we can count on you to support the budget?"

89th Legislative Day

- Davis, J.: "I don't think I could... that's a totally separate topic. We're talking about a 3500-page document for a downloadable poster."
- Davis, W.: "Well, okay, you just put some cost to it. I just asked a question. If it's going to be budget impact, are prepared to are you prepared to support the budget that will support doing what you want it to do?"
- Davis, J.: "I have talked to DCFS regarding this Bill. They never raised a single concern from a budgetary standpoint with me."
- Davis, W.: "I don't work for DCFS, Sir. Right here..."
- Davis, J.: "I don't either."
- Davis, W.: "...right now..."
- Davis, J.: "I'm just trusting the words that they gave me out in the hall."
- Davis, W.: "...just asking a question."
- Davis, J.: "And I'm giving you the answer."
- Davis, W.: "So, in other words, you don't know whether or not you're going to support the budget? How about just say I don't know?"
- Davis, J.: "If you include us in the budget, I would love to have that conversation."
- Davis, W.: "All right. Thank you very much, Representative. Have a good day."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Ann Williams is recognized."
- Williams, A.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Would the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."
- Williams, A.: "So, first of all, thank you so much for, you know, focusing on this issue. It's clearly an issue that everyone's

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

extremely concerned about and over the years we've work on many items related to this. I know that many of those items have been brought to us after being vetted by groups that have expertise in the area and one of the best ways to prevent or address these sorts of situations of abuse. Representative Kifowit and I worked on a Bill, two different Bills, regarding consent and sex education. I think I mentioned that in committee. We have added to the mandatory reporter list for doctors and other professionals. But I'm wondering, did you have... I know you had a constituent, she was very passionate that came to committee. Is there any data or any background as to the efficacy of a poster like this in terms of prevention that you're familiar with?"

- Davis, J.: "Yeah, I... I did search and scour the nation and not...

 I'm trying not to repeat myself. But I did land in Oregon,
 who has had this on the books for more than a decade, very
 similar law, and they did tell me that they have rescued
 people out of trafficking from that with thousands of... of
 phone calls being received since they've been posted."
- Williams, A.: "So, trafficking, I do know that I have been to restrooms and place, probably Wally's, that have the sign about trafficking. This will be an addition to that sign?

 Does it overlap? I mean, what's the intention there? Too... you know, sometimes too much noise, you lose your focus."
- Davis, J.: "We're really trying to target the youth. You know, everything else that I've seen in this state... and even when I talked to the Illinois State Police, they were like, this whole new concept to us. We've always tried to equip the adults. We want to target the youth that often are shielded

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

from adults to hopefully gain their attention and speak directly to them."

Williams, A.: "So, you also mentioned the Children's Advocacy Center. And I have seen... known them to be absolute critical advocates for children that have dealt with this unfathomable abuse. What role did they have in crafting this idea or legislation? You said they were somehow involved or you ran it by them or..."

David, J.: "Did you say the State Police?"

Williams, A.: "I'm sorry, Children's Advocacy Center. The CAC."

David, J.: "Yeah. We just included them in because of the historical background that they have on the topic. And we didn't want to get too restrictive 'cause I thought in a debate in this room it'd get very cloudy if we had a very descript poster. So, we're really trying to trust those that are in the weeds on this issue, like the department of... like DCFS and like the Children's Advocacy Center, to create the content."

Williams, A.: "I think... I think what I would conclude from my prospective is this could be a good idea. It could be something that we should be utilizing to prevent this sort of abuse. I just don't know and don't really have the confidence that we should be mandating it as far and wide as we are quite yet. I'd be really interested to know how we came about the trafficking notices. I don't remember who worked on that. But I'm hoping that before we decide to, you know, keep adding notices and requirements on business to do this that it actually is going to be effective. Obviously, the issue is... is of incredible importance and I really appreciate your...

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

your focus on this area. I'm just not confident that a poster is the best way to get at this, and I don't want to give people a false sense of security. I mean, definitely, we need focus, put resources where we need. I know there's many items in the budget that are focused on assault prevention and other things like that. And so, that's where I know that we need to put focus, just not a hundred percent sure about this. But I appreciate you answering the questions."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Hammond is recognized."

Hammond: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Hammond: "Representative Davis, you referred to a Bill just a little bit ago that previously was passed here and dealt with human trafficking. If I remember correctly, that was during the 99th General Assembly. And did that Bill pass unanimously out of this chamber?"

Davis, J.: "Yes, it did."

Hammond: "And if I understand your Bill correctly, and if you need to fill-in some blanks, please do, the intention here is to address child abuse."

Davis, J.: "Correct."

Hammond: "And hopefully, whether it's a pamphlet or whatever it is, hopefully, we would be able to prevent even one case of child abuse."

Davis, J.: "Yes. Correct."

Hammond: "Representative, I don't think we can put a fiscal impact on that. And I want to thank you for bringing the Bill forward. I'd urge an 'aye' vote. Thank you."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Costa Howard is recognized."

Costa Howard: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?" Speaker Hernandez, B.: "He indicates he will."

Costa Howard: "Representative Davis, this Bill came through the Adoption & Child Welfare Committee, correct?"

Davis, J.: "Yes, it did."

Costa Howard: "And... and as part of that process, you had come and spoken to me and asked to work on the Bill and to work on an Amendment, right?"

Davis, J.: "Yes, I did."

Costa Howard: "And I called the Bill because you had worked on that Amendment, you gathered support on it, and you did what I asked you to do to bring the Bill back to committee?"

Davis, J.: "Yes, and I very much appreciate it."

Costa Howard: "Okay. And we had a hardy debate in committee that day, and a lot of issues have been raised on the floor about what that Bill is, what that Bill does, and Leader Hammond raised some issues as well. But I want to clarify a few things that were said today that weren't necessarily said in committee, and I think it's important for this Body to take a moment and think about it. Representative Davis brought up a great point about truck stops and that these posters would be in a truck stop. And now, we have to make sure that they're being monitored, or if there's a violation, there would be a fine. Is... I believe that's what I heard, right?"

Davis, J.: "Yes."

Costa Howard: "Okay. So... so, what I'm... I guess what I'm trying to wrap my brain around right now is the mandatoriness of this,

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

the age appropriateness of these posters that, while I think it's great you're leaving up to the Department of Children and Family Services, this is a mandatory thing that you are requiring to go into businesses, right?"

- Davis, J.: "Correct."
- Costa Howard: "And you are leaving it up to the Department of Children and Family Services to determine what is... what is,

 I'll say in air quotes, appropriate, right?"
- Davis, J.: "I... I am, mainly because I'm hesitate to leave it up to 118 of us."
- Costa Howard: "Right. Well, I don't blame you that, except that, I guess, the question is the… the sex abuse part of it. Right? We're… we're talking about human trafficking and sex abuse to children, and we're asking whether that, that is appropriate. So, we're leaving it up to the Department of Children and Family Services to determine what is age appropriate for sex education. I mean, that's essentially what we're asking, right?"
- Davis, J.: "In essence that we've already human trafficking, ones we've done previously under the… the law, the statute that passed unanimously out of this House. So, we're just looking to tweak that and really be more specific to the youth."
- Costa Howard: "Right. I understand that since I was... I believe I was the Sponsor of the human trafficking Bill for hotel workers and to make sure that, that was in our hotel and for our hospitalities. And the assumption, though, is that those are adults. So, we assume that they understand what sexual abuse and... and what that... and what that looks like. But I guess I'm going to go back to, we're leaving it up to

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- mandatory what is appropriateness to the Department of Children and Family Services."
- Davis, J.: "We are. I have great faith in them. We have some of the most... you know, I work with them on a daily basis as a foster parent. There are some incredible people in that department despite the frustrations that this chamber may have. And they can, and I have utmost faith that they will, create the content needed that will speak to the youth."
- Costa Howard: "Got it. I... but I think you're sort of not understanding my question. What I'm trying to get to is we're okay with a poster in a Wally's truck stop that potently the AG or some other or local law enforcement is going to be responsible for enforcing, but we're not willing to let our teachers in our schools properly teach sex ed. It..."
- Davis, J.: "I wasn't around for those discussions on the sex ed Bill. But, I mean, that... this is really about the youth and rescuing them from child abuse. I'm not trying..."
- Costa Howard: "Well, if we're worried about..."
- Davis, J.: "...to tie it into the budget. I'm not trying to tie it into, you know, what we are and aren't teaching in the halls of our school. I'm trying to help kids that I see come in and out my home every day that are just utter shambles because of what they've experienced in their life, and I'm not trying to make those lives into a political stump speech on the floor."
- Costa Howard: "Representative Davis, I hear you. I have spent my entire life's career doing the exact same thing and protecting children and families from those situations. What I'm concerned about at this point is the fact that we are essentially asking the Department of Children and Family

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Services to do a... draw up a poster of... that we're deeming that is age appropriate and then asking some local law enforcement to enforce and put penalties on. So, we're more... we are okay with mandatory sex abuse definitions and pictorial posters in a truck stop or a tattoo parlor, but we're not okay with it in our schools? That doesn't make sense, and I'm trying to understand how this is going to protect kids."

- Davis, J.: "I'm not here to debate the schools. If... if you have... what department would you prefer create the content?"
- Costa Howard: "Well, I... I guess I would say we... we've got school systems that have this done... have done this work and have it developed curriculum for age appropriateness, and yet we're not doing that because somebody's determined that something's not appropriate. I don't know if I think how we're going to get across the age appropriateness on a poster in a truck stop. And heaven help us, our kids sitting in tattoo parlors are reading a poster about what sex abuse is and trafficking is at that point. Again, Representative, I let you call the Bill. We... we worked on it. We discussed it together. But I'm raising an issue that we didn't talk about in committee about essentially the mandatory sex ed component on a poster, but we're not doing it in our schools. That, I think, is wrong."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Reick is recognized."

Reick: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. To the Bill. This conversation is really weird because, I mean, this Bill came out of committee 14 to nothing, 14 to nothing, including the Chair, including the Member from McHenry County who voted for the Bill and now says she will not. We're talking about a Bill that I think the Sponsor has been very plain in explaining

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

what... what his intentions are here. But we're also talking about a Bill where we had the opportunity to ask all these questions in committee, and they were not done. And all the sudden, now all hell's breaking loose on the floor for a simple Bill like this, including are you going to vote for the budget if this adds \$2 thousand to the line item? You know, to the budget, and I speak specially to the budget. You can take a crap sandwich and put the best Dijon mustard in the world on it and it's still a crap sandwich. So, if you give us the opportunity to add input budget and to see the budget before it's dropped on our desk at 3:00 in the morning, we'll have a conversation. But for the purposes of this Bill, I am very curious as to all of the sudden why this thing is being brought... is this your first Bill? No? Okay. I just thought maybe it was and there were, you know, the usual first Bill antics going on here. But this Bill came out of committee 14 to nothing. None of these issues were discussed in committee. But now, now we're going... we've spent almost a half an hour, maybe more, on this Bill. I don't understand what the hell's going on around here. If you're trying to stretch out third week readings so that you can, you know, keep Bills from coming to the floor, fine. Most of them are yours, and, frankly speaking, I don't have a problem with that. But this actually makes no sense to me, and I am wondering what is behind the kind of stuff that I'm hearing about a very simple Bill that we're trying to keep kids from ... or make kids understand there are some things that adults just can't do. I urge an 'aye' vote on this Bill and... and, frankly speaking, I think I'm going to call the question."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "We have one last speaker, and that would be Leader Keicher."

Keicher: "Madam, I call the question."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Will Davis... Jed Davis to close."

Davis, J.: "Really, this is a very simple Bill. At the very initiation of it, we had schools and all kinds of other entities in it. And, literally, I started looking back on my life in foster care, realizing that these kids are not in normal environments. They're not... sometimes, often they're not in schools. They're not in these areas of life that your family and my family find themselves in every day. So, I went to the State Police and said, 'Where are you guys running across these scenarios from a criminal perspective?' Crafted the list, and amended the Bill. We are purely here to save kids from horrific environments that I have seen over and over again just reek extreme, massive trauma in their lives that are unimaginable. And I would greatly ask for a 'yes' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4350 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 87 voting in 'favor', 2 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4351, Representative Gong-Gershowitz. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4351, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Gong-Gershowitz is recognized."
- Gong-Gershowitz: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4351 simply brings Cook County in line with the rest of the state for service of process by removing the requirement that a motion be filed in order to appoint a special process server. I know of no objections, and the House Amendment 2 removed all opposition. I'm happy to answer questions."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4351 pass?' All in favor say... vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4359, Representative Canty. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4359, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Canty is recognized."
- Canty: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. House Bill 4359, as amended, will explicitly allow fire protection districts to save money for long-term purchases vital to their work in two funds, an ambulance fund and an emergency and rescue fund. Representatives of Illinois' fire protection districts raised this issue with me because our current regulations are too narrow regarding what fire protection districts are allowed

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

to save for. House Bill 4359 has the backing of the Associated Fire Fighters of Illinois, the Illinois Fire Chief Association, the Northern Illinois Alliance of Fire Protection Districts, and the Fire Safety Alliance. It is also my understanding that the Amendment removes the opposition to the Bill, and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Windhorst is recognized."

Windhorst: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. Will the Sponsor yield?"

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "She indicated she will."

Windhorst: "Does anything in this Bill allow for an increase in taxes?"

Canty: "It does not."

Windhorst: "And what is the purpose of allowing the funds to be transferred?"

Canty: "So, it allows them to save on specific funds, which would create more transparency for taxpayers. Currently, their... the statute suggests that they can only save in one particular fund. A lot of the purchases that they need to make, for instance, ambulances, are quite costly, to the tune of 300 to 500 thousand dollars. And they need a way to save for those over years while also letting taxpayers know exactly what they're doing."

Windhorst: "Had there been any augments that this was not already authorized in law?"

Canty: "Yes. There have been lawsuits that have been brought. My understanding is that they have been won, but those are also costly to defend against."

Windhorst: "So, this will provide clarification that they are...
this is allowed?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Canty: "Correct."

Windhorst: "And I... I believe we have a significant list of proponents. We have the Illinois Association of Fire Protection Districts, Professional Firefighters Association, fire chiefs. Is that accurate?"

Canty: "I missed the first part of what you said, but it sounds like you're rattling off my proponents, and, yes, those are them."

Windhorst: "Yeah, I was referring to proponents..."

Canty: "Okay. Yes."

Windhorst: "...and that is correct. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B. "Representative Canty to close."

Canty: "Thank you very much. This is a Bill that will provide more tax transparency for the residents of Illinois, and I encourage an 'aye' vote."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4359 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 101 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4365, Leader Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4365, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Third Reading of this House Bill."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Hoffman is recognized."

Hoffman: "Thank you, Madam Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. This is a constituent initiative, and it will just

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

create two very important license plates. The Defense Superior Service Medal license plate, which is awarded by the Secretary of Defense to military officers who performed exceptional duties in the... for the Secretary of Defense. And the Defense Distinguished Service Medal license plate, which is awarded by the Secretary of Defense and cannot be delegated. It is awarded to high-level ranking officers, generals, or admirals who perform exceptionally on behalf of the Office of the Secretary of Defense. I know of no opposition."

- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4365 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4367, Leader Hoffman. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."
- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4367, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Hoffman is recognized."
- Hoffman: "Thank you. This is an initiative... thank you, Madam Speaker. This is an initiative of the Illinois Insurance Guaranty Fund and has the approval of the Department of Insurance. It simply removes certain redundant language in the Section which defines insolvent company. That's all it does. It's a technical cleanup."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4367 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 105 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'nay', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. House Bill 4426, Leader Lisa Hernandez. Mr. Clerk, please read the Bill."

- Clerk Bolin: "House Bill 4426, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Third Reading of this House Bill."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Lisa Hernandez is recognized."
- Hernandez, L.: "Thank you, Madam Speaker and Members of the House.

 House Bill 4426 simply extends the sunset for the Illinois
 Certified Shorthand Reporters Act from January 1, 2025 to
 January 1, 2030. It does also some clarifications regarding
 contact information requirements and also language
 qualifications for being a reporter in a court of law. I ask
 for your 'aye' vote."
 - Speaker Hernandez, B.: "The question is, 'Shall House Bill 4426 pass?' All in favor vote 'aye'; all opposed vote 'nay'. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Mr. Clerk, take the record. On this question, there are 106 voting in 'favor', 0 voting 'against', and 0 voting 'present'. And this Bill, having received a Constitutional Majority, is hereby declared passed. Representative Ford is recognized."
- Ford: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I rise for a point of personal privilege."
- Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Ford: "I rise to celebrate National Work Zone Awareness Week. It's April 15 through 19. It gives a special thanks to ... a special thanks to all of you for the work that you do on IDOT that help keep our roads safe and clean. National Work Zone Awareness Week seeks to raise the awareness of the need for more cautiousness when driving through work zones to decrease fatalities and injuries, promote safety, and share training and best practices. In 2021, 956 people died in the work zone and 108 highway workers had fatalities occur in the road construction sites. Since the weather started cooperating several weeks ago, IDOT and its crews have been working hard on the roads to clean up on the litter as part of the expanded efforts to keep our interstates clean of trash and debris. But there's still a lot of work to do. I have noticed the great progress on I-290 in my district, so I want to say thank you. Our amazing IDOT maintenance crew have also collected 60 thousand bags of litter, weighing nearly 600 tons, from our express ways. Your effort to maintain and beautify our highways help us provided a safe and clean experience to travelers and a warm inviting welcome to visitors to our great state. This is hard work, and it's dangerous. These workers deserve our praise and thanks. The best way to do that is to give them space on the road. The workers ask that everyone remain alert, slow down, drop the phone, and move over as cleanup efforts continue. Thank you for making our roads a top priority, and we should all give our IDOT workers a great big round of applause. Thank you."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Croke, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Croke: "Thank you, Madam Speaker. I just want to state... a point of personal privilege. I just want to state I know none of us could do what... what we all do here without our staff. I have my staff joining us in the gallery. So, I was just hoping we could give them all a big Springfield welcome."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Manley, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Manley: "A point of personal privilege, please."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."

Manley: "Did you know that April is Autism Acceptance Month? It is. Autism spectrum disorder, ASD, is a neurological and developmental disorder that affects how people interact with others, communicate, learn, and behave. Although... although autism can be diagnosed at any age, it is described as a developmental disorder because symptoms generally appear in the first two years of life. By the way, I love someone with autism. Autism is not a disease. Even the term disorder is increasingly recognized as an inaccurate way to think about autism. Indeed, many autistic individuals view autism as an integral and valued part of the identity that comes with many strengths. Some common autistic strengths include excelling in music, arts, science, math. You can hyperfocus on areas of interest, like pumpkins. You may know letters, numbers, shapes, and colors early. You may have excellent memory skills. You can remember when your grandma said a bad word a month ago and repeat it. Can teach difficult skills, like how things work, and may read words at an early age. These will not be true for all autistic individuals since all brains are different. By dispelling autism myths, we can promote a more

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

inclusive, understanding, and accepting society for people with autism while reducing harmful stereotypes. Autism is a broad spectrum, encompassing a diverse range of people with unique abilities and challenges. It's essential to respect and celebrate these individuals in order to foster an environment where every person can thrive. Thank you for listening."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Representative Chung, for what reason do you seek recognition?"

Chung: "Point of personal privilege, Madam Speaker."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Please proceed."

Chung: "Great. Thank you so much. I just wanted to introduce my daughters. They're here today right next to me. They were troopers through all of the... these Bills being passed today on the floor. So, I just... if we could all applaud for them. Thank you so much."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Mr. Clerk, committee announcements."

Clerk Hollman: "The following committees will be meeting immediately: Public Utilities will meet in Room 114, and Adoption & Child Welfare will meet in Room 122. Meeting at 5:30 is Energy & Environment in Room 114, Judiciary - Criminal in D-1, Health Care Availability & Accessibility in Room 122B, and Consumer Protection in Room 115."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Mr. Clerk, Agreed Resolutions."

Clerk Hollman: "Agreed Resolutions. House Resolution 694, offered by Representative Crespo. House Resolution 695, offered by Representative Spain. House Resolution 697, offered by Representative Croke. House Resolution 698, offered by

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Representative Keicher. And House Resolution 699, offered by Representative Rosenthal."

Speaker Hernandez, B.: "Leader Gabel moves for the adoption of the Agreed... Agreed Resolutions. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the Agreed Resolutions are adopted. And now, allowing for perfunctory time for the Clerk, Leader Gabel moves the House stand adjourned until April 16, at the hour of noon. All those in favor say 'aye'; all those opposed say 'nay'. In the opinion of the Chair, the 'ayes' have it. And the House stands adjourned."

Clerk Hollman: "House Perfunctory Session will come to order. Introduction and First Reading of House Bills. House Bill 5813, offered by Representative Meier, a Bill for an Act concerning finance. House Bill 5814, offered Representative Ann Williams, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. First Reading of these House Bills. Introduction and First Reading of Senate Bills. Senate Bill 1, offered by Representative Canty, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 463, offered by Representative Hirschauer, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 692, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 693, offered by Representative Chung, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 859, offered by Speaker Welch, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2573, offered by Representative Morris, a Bill for an Act... a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2586, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

2625, offered by Representative Tarver, a Bill for an Act Bill 2626, liquor. Senate offered concerning Representative Buckner, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2628, offered by Representative Chung, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 2639, offered by Representative Croke, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2658, offered Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 2660, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2667, offered by Representative Hauter, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 2675, offered by Representative Croke, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2682, offered by Representative Yang Rohr, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2689, offered by Representative Andrade, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2702, offered by Representative Kelly, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2703, offered by Representative Burke, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 2715, offered by Representative Olickal, Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2731, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2735, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2743, offered by Representative Ann Williams, a Bill for Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2745, offered by Representative Buckner, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Senate Bill 2764, offered by Representative Gill, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 2765, offered by

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 2767, offered by Representative Benton, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 2778, offered by Representative Moylan, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 2788, offered by Representative Faver Dias, a Bill for an Act concerning children. Senate Bill 2798, offered by Representative Katz Muhl, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Senate Bill 2819, offered by Barbara Hernandez, a Bill Representative for Act regulation. Senate Bill 2822, concerning offered Representative Mah, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2859, offered by Representative DeLuca, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 2861, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 2920, offered by Representative Croke, a Bill for an Act concerning public employee benefits. Senate Bill 2931, offered by Representative Johnson, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2934, offered by Representative Vella, a Bill for an Act concerning criminal law. Senate Bill 2976, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 2980, offered by Representative Ness, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 2987, offered by Representative Elik, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3077, offered by Representative Harper, a Bill for an Act concerning agriculture. Senate Bill 3081, offered by Representative Stuart (sic-Barbara Hernandez), a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3091, offered by Representative Haas, a Bill for an Act concerning property. Senate Bill 3111,

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

for an offered by Representative Delgado, a Bill Senate Bill 3112, offered concerning regulation. Representative Delgado, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3115, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3116, offered by Representative Lilly, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 3132, offered Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3133, offered by Representative Blair-Sherlock, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3151, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3155, offered by Representative Tarver, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3164, offered by Representative Syed, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3165, offered by Representative Chung, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 3173, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 3174, offered by Representative Meier, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3202, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 3207, offered by Representative Frese, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3209, offered Representative Katz Muhl, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3216, offered by Representative Lisa Hernandez, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Senate Bill 3219, offered by Representative Harper, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3232, offered by Representative Ann Williams, a Bill for an Act concerning

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

children. Senate Bill 3238, offered by Representative Slaughter, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3239, offered by Representative Ladisch Douglass, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3268, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 3275, offered by Representative Kifowit, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3277, offered by Representative Ortiz, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3279, offered by Representative Hirschauer, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 3284, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 3288, offered by Representative Guzzardi, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 3297, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3302. offered bv Representative Sosnowski, a Bill for an Act concerning liquor. Senate Bill 3348, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3349, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3351, offered Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning housing. Senate Bill 3378, offered by Representative Hirschauer, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3389, offered by Representative Delgado, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 3402, offered by Representative Niemerg, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 3405, offered by Representative Miller, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 3406, offered by Representative Davidsmeyer, a Bill for

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 3407, offered by Representative Walsh, a Bill for an Act concerning wildlife. Senate Bill 3410, offered by Representative Ford, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3420, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 3421, offered by Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 3429, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 3430, offered by Representative Elik, a Bill for an Act concerning public aid. Senate Bill 3432, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3451, offered by Representative Hanson, a Bill for an Act concerning commuter passenger rail service. Senate Bill 3452, offered by Representative Syed, a Bill for an Act Bill 3460, offered concerning revenue. Senate Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 3471, offered by Representative Schmidt, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 3473, offered by Representative Smith, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3475, offered Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3476, offered by Representative Evans, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3481, offered by Representative Moeller, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 3501, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 3506, offered by Representative Ann Williams, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 3513, offered by Representative Miller,

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3529, offered by Representative Stava-Murray, a Bill for an 3538, offered by Act concerning health. Senate Bill Representative Guerrero-Cuellar, a Bill for an Act concerning local government. Senate Bill 3548, offered by Representative Barbara Hernandez, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3550, offered by Representative Walker, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3551, offered by Representative Croke, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3563, offered by Representative Ladisch Douglass, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 3566, offered by Representative Elik, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 3567, offered by Representative Elik, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3581, offered by Representative Stuart, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3601, offered by Representative Faver Dias, a Bill for an Act concerning safety. Senate Bill 3615, offered by Representative Canty, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3617, offered by Representative Croke, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3622, offered by Representative Rosenthal, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 3631, offered by Representative Avelar, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate Bill 3648, offered by Representative Cassidy, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 3650, offered by Representative Gonzalez, a Bill for an Act concerning employment. Senate Bill 3652, offered by Representative Mah, a Bill for an Act concerning civil law. Senate Bill 3661, offered by

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Representative Mussman, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3678, offered by Representative Buckner, a Bill for an Act concerning business. Senate Bill 3686, offered by Representative Chung, a Bill for an Act safety. Senate Bill 3687, concerning offered Representative Hoffman, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3691, offered by Representative Manley, a Bill for an Act concerning aging. Senate Bill 3694, offered by Representative Walker, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3696, offered by Representative Didech, a Bill for an Act concerning commercial transactions. Senate Bill 3740, offered by Representative Ladisch Douglass, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3741, offered by Representative Morgan, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3753, offered by Representative LaPointe, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3755, offered by Representative Burke, a Bill for an Act concerning revenue. Senate Bill 3762, offered Representative Avelar, a Bill for an Act concerning government. Senate Bill 3763, offered by Representative West, a Bill for an Act concerning health. Senate Bill 3767, offered by Representative La Ha, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3768, offered by Representative Costa Howard, a Bill for an Act concerning education. Senate Bill 3775, offered by Representative Olickal, a Bill for an Act concerning transportation. Senate Bill 3779, offered by Representative Vella, a Bill for an Act concerning regulation. Senate Bill 3793, offered by Representative Faver Dias, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. Senate

89th Legislative Day

4/15/2024

Bill 3807, offered by Representative Ammons, a Bill for an Act concerning State government. First Reading of these Senate Bills. Introduction of Resolutions. House Resolution 696, offered by Representative Slaughter; and House Resolution 700, offered by Representative Moylan, are referred to the Rules Committee. There being no further business, the House Perfunctory Session will stand adjourned."