

85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

OCTOBER 21, 1987

PRESIDENT:

The hour of eleven having arrived, the Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks and will our guests in the gallery please rise. Prayer this morning by the Reverend Charles Kyle, Ascension of our Lord Church, Evanston, Illinois. Father.

REVEREND KYLE:

(Prayer given by Reverend Kyle)

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Mr...Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Thursday, October the 8th and Tuesday, October the 20th, in the year 1987, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Hall. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. With leave of the Body, a gentleman from the Chicago Tribune has asked leave to shoot some still photographs. Without objection, leave is granted. Messages from the House.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution 120. It is congratulatory.

And I have a like Message on House Joint Resolution 121.

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution 125.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolution, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution 123. It is substantive.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. Committee reports.

SECRETARY:

Senator Welch, chairman of the Committee on Energy and Environment, reports House Bill 911 Do Pass as Amended.

PRESIDENT:

Introduction of bills.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1534 offered by Senators Rock and Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Rules Committee. If I can have the attention of the membership, we will begin on page 24 on the Calendar, motions in writing to accept the specific recommendations for change. Then it has been indicated that the Republican members...or the Republican leadership would require a caucus...or would be requesting a caucus. And after the caucus we will get back on the Calendar and attempt to conclude our business.

But...for the moment, we will start at the top of page 24. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose are you seeking recognition?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I...for the purpose of Republican Caucus, as you said, right after...the Session.

PRESIDENT:

Well, we'll...we'll finish this order of business. I think we were...Senator Philip was kind of waiting for members to get here. If you turn to page 24 on the Calendar, ladies and gentlemen, on the Order of Motions in Writing to Accept the Specific Recommendations for Change, there is a motion in writing with respect to Senate Bill 1. Madam Secretary, read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1 in the manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Severns.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I move to accept the Governor's recommendations which keeps the Export Trading Company Act bill intact with the specific...exception of the tax exemption provisions of the bill. Outside of that, the bill stays intact. The bill passed the Senate with only one dissenting vote. And I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1 in the manner and

form just stated by Senator Severns. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Maitland, we've already prayed for the Cardinals twice today. Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Just one more time can we pray for them? Mr. President, I...I rise really on a point of...of personal privilege. The gentlemen, I'd...I'd like to have the Body's...attention on this one. The...the fine gentleman to my right, Senator Etheredge, is...is today's...celebrating the twenty-ninth anniversary of his twenty-ninth birthday. He's a fine gentlemen, I think we ought to all wish him a happy birthday.

PRESIDENT:

Forest, happy birthday. Senator Schuneman, on 218. Madam Secretary, on top of page 24 on the Order of Motions in Writing there's a motion with respect to Senate Bill 213. Read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 218 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 218 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Schuneman.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is the bill that outlaws the sale of metal beverage cans with flip-top tabs. The bill as introduced contained language

allowing the sale of dealer stocks on hand prior to June 30th, 1988. A House amendment adopted language which conflicted with that provision. The Governor's amendatory veto simply deletes that conflicting language and does not change the intent of the bill in any way. Therefore, I would move that the Senate concur with the Governor's specific recommendations for change.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 218 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Schuneman. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 57 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 218 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Holmberg, on 441. Madam Secretary, on the Order of Motions in Writing is a motion with respect to Senate Bill 441. Read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 441 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 441 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Senate Bill 441 deals with the Freedom of Information Act and requires Chicago and downstate school board presidents and superintendents to report requests made pursuant to that Act. The Governor's recommendation is to remove the provision which allows persons in downstate districts to file suit

if denied access to requested information. He was concerned about the fiscal impact it would have on school districts. And I accept the Governor's recommendations and move that the Body do as well.

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 441 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Holmberg. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 441 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Etheredge, 478. On the Order of Motions in Writing, Madam Secretary, there's a motion with respect to Senate Bill 473. Read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 478 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 478 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I move to accept the specific recommendations for change. This bill is the licensing bill for podiatric medicine. The changes that have been recommended are technical in nature and I would move to accept them.

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

...question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Senator Etheredge, does this amendatory language or the bill, does it affect any way the right of practitioners licensed under the Medical Practice Act to treat the foot?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

No, Senator Davidson, it does not preclude M.D.'s, D.O.'s or D.C.'s from dealing with the foot in accordance with their licenses under the Medical Practice Act.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? All right. The question is, shall the Senate accept the specific...specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 473 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Etheredge. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator D'Arco. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 59, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 473 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared passed...accepted. 482, Senator Etheredge. Senate Bill 482.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 482 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 432 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies...and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the consolidated licensing bill for alcoholism and substance abuse counselors. The changes that have been recommended are technical in nature and therefore I move that the Senate do accept the specific recommendations for change on Senate Bill 482.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. As the hyphenated cosponsor and long-time worker with Senator Etheredge on this bill, I fully concur in what he has said. The changes are purely technical, in no way does it do any harm, injury or other to the substance of the long period of work that went into putting that bill together.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Further discussion? The question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 482 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Etheredge. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to...Senate Bill 482 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senate Bill 646, Senator Holmberg. All right. Senate Bill 646, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 646 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 646 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

SB 809
Accept. Gov. Roe.

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 646 requires the CDB in conjunction with CMS before awarding any contract for construction or substantial renovation of a facility to be used by a state agency to study the child-care needs of the employees and the feasibility of providing child-care services at the facility. The Governor's recommendation is that it only be required for facilities in which a hundred and fifty or more state employees will be employed. And I think this is a very fair recommendation. Our own State of Illinois Building in Rockford employs about three hundred people and we still were not able to get enough people to involve it in a day-care center. So I think this is reasonable and I would ask the Legislature to approve these recommendations.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 646 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Holmberg. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 59, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 646 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. 749, Senator Zito. 809, Senator Kustra. Middle of page 24. Senate Bill 809, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 809 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 809 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Kustra.

AB 909
Am. Recommen'd
of the Adv.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 809 rewrote the Psychologist Act and in doing so we upgraded it from title protection to a restricted Practice Act. After we did that a number of interest groups expressed concern that we were eliminating the practice of certain professions. As a result of that effort, the Governor decided to extend the existing Practice Act until December 31st of 1988 in order to allow all of those groups who are concerned to sit down and work out their differences. So I would move that we accept the recommendations for change submitted by the Governor to Senate Bill 809.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 809 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Kustra. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 809 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senate Bill 909, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 909 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 909...acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President...Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Bill 909 amended the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act to allow the Criminal Justice Information Authority the power to prescribe the form and...and manner and amount of fees for requesting and furnishing criminal history record information. The Governor's amendatory veto changes this power and gives it to the Department of State Police rather than the Criminal Justice Informational Authority. It is felt that because the Department of State Police serves as the repository of this information that they ought to be prescribing the form, manner and fees. And, therefore, I recommend that we accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with regard to Senate Bill 909.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 909 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Barkhausen. Those in favor will...will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 909 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. 926, Senator Barkhausen. Senate Bill 926, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 926 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 926 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Barkhausen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, the motion with regard to Senate Bill 926 is similar as is the subject matter similar to Senate Bill 909. It deals with a new Uniform Conviction Information Act. The original legislation provided that the Criminal Justice Information Authority would be gathering this information and setting the rules for providing access to it. The Governor's amendatory veto changes this power and gives it rather to the Department of State Police. In addition, it delays the effective date of obtaining access to this information from July 1 of 1988 to July 1 of 1990 in order that the necessary funding be provided. And...and I would ask that we accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change with regard to Senate Bill 926.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 926 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Barkhausen. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 59, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 926 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. 957, Senator Jones. Senator Jones on the Floor? 1052, Senator Luft. Senator Luft on the Floor? Senator del Valle, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR del VALLE:

Thank you, Mr. Senator...Mr. President. Point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

...state your point. Can we have some order, please. State your point.

SENATOR del VALLE:

S. B. 1215
Amendments
Amendments

I'd like to introduce two aldermen from my district. The alderman of the 26th Ward, Alderman Louis Gutierrez and the alderman from the 31st Ward in Chicago, Alderman Raymond Figueroa. And I'd like to welcome them to the State Senate.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Welcome. 1215, Senator Poshard. All right. Bottom of page 24 is Senate Bill 1215, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1215 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1215 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

...thank...thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1215 represents an effort to...to fine-tune the Illinois Public Labor Relations Act and the Illinois Labor Relations Act based upon the collective bargaining experience that we've had since 1984. The Governor's recommendations for changing this kind of large omnibus bill include...the Federal mediation and conciliation service language in the Education Labor Relations Act and to include the language in the Education Labor Relations Act which authorizes employers to continue to communicate with employees during the period of pending representation elections. Now these were two changes that were agreed to by all the parties that worked on this bill and were inadvertently left out in the House amendments. So I would move that we accept the Governor's recommendation for change.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you. I just noticed that the vote was 41 to 13 and one of the provisions in here talks about Federal mediation and conciliation service. Has this anything to do with binding arbitration at the local level? Sounds a little bit like it...like binding arbitration at the community level and I'd just like to know if there...if that was the intent of this.

SENATOR POSHARD:

...Senator Watson,...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

...it is not that to my knowledge. These two changes were supposed to have been in the original bill and were agreed to...by all parties and they were insisted upon by the Governor. So I wouldn't expect that it would...would have anything to do with binding arbitration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor to Senate Bill 1215 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Poshard. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are 4, 2 voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1215 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. All right. Senator Luft. While...while we're on the page, Senate Bill 1052, Senator Luft, are you

prepared to...all right. On the order of...with leave of the Body, on page 24, we'll return to Senate Bill 1052, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1052 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1052 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Offered by Senator Luft.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move that we accept the specific recommendations of change by the Governor to Senate Bill 1052. The Governor recommended that we eliminate the option of making a quarter monthly sales tax payment of a hundred percent of liability, and the amendment to the Real Estate Transfer Tax Act regarding use of green sheets for reassessment purposes. And the Governor also recommended that we conform a related section of the Use Tax Act to the bill's amendment to the Retailer's Occupation Tax Act. I would renew my motion to accept the specific recommendations of change by the Governor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1052 in the manner and form as just stated by Senator Luft. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1052 having received the required constitutional majority of vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senate Bill 1113, Senator Luft.

Senator Luft, 1113. All right. On the Order of Motions in Writing, bottom of page 24, Senate Bill 1113, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1113 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1113 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Luft.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. In Senate Bill 1113 the Governor changed the time periods for presumption of...abandonment from five back to seven years and authorizes presumption of abandonment of intangible property in any income or increment derived therein held in a fiduciary capacity. And I would renew my motion to accept the Governor's specific recommendations of change.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

All right. Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall...the Governor...shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1113 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Luft. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1113 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are accepted. Top of page 25 is Senate Bill 1272, Senator Welch. 1300, Senator Rock. 1335, Senator Serman. Top of page 25, Senate Bill 1335, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1335 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1335 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. We called this yesterday and I explained two of the items that were changed by the Governor's amendatory veto, namely, definitions regarding vital services and then prima facie evidence issue. Senator Hawkinson...raised the question regarding good faith purchasers, and I believe our discussion with the Attorney General and the Governor's Office that this language in here really is probably inappropriate because it does indicate that a good faith purchaser might be subject to forfeiture in the purchase of a computer that was involved in a crime declared illegal by the bill. However, in talking with the Attorney General and conferring with Senator Hawkinson, the Attorney General has no intention of enforcing this phrase, and I think this will be one of the items that we will address in the Spring Session to correct this language. In spite of that, I think the bill is important to pass at this stage. And I would move to accept the amendatory language.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Sangamon State University has requested leave to film from the gallery for a documentary film. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. All right. Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1335 in the manner and form as just stated by Senator Berman. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1335 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. 1484, Senator Etheredge. On the Order...page 25, Senate Bill...1484, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1484 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1484 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1484 is the scheduling bill for controlled substances. The change which the Governor is...recommending corrects a technical error. Therefore, I move to accept the Governor's specific recommendations for change.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further...discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1484 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Etheredge. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

PRESIDENT:

On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1484 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. On the Order of Motions in Writing, Madam Secre-

tary, there is a motion with respect to Senate Bill 1498. Read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1498 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1498 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The Governor's amendatory language clarifies the responsibility of the Environmental Protection Agency in the administration and collection of the information pursuant to the...the Federal Emergency Planning and the Community Right to Know Act of 1986. It deleted the references to Sections...311 and 312 and...of the Federal Energy Planning and Community Right to Know Act of 1986 regarding the collection of the hazardous waste inventory forms and the material safety...data...sheets which had to be filed by the industry. It was indicated that the ESDA, according to the Governor, should have been designated to receive these reports and there is no known opposition. I would move to accept the Governor's amendatory language.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1498 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Demuzio. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 58 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1498 having received the

required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator D'Arco. If I can have your attention, we have some special guests with us this morning and the Chair will yield to Senator John Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I want to gather all these hotshot teams, I want that boy with that Cardinal shirt right up front. Where is he? Where's the boy with the Cardinal shirt? Maitland. There. Hey, Pate, I want you to see that Cardinal shirt, wear it in the World Series. It's my pleasure to present to you the Khoury League Team from Springfield which is sponsored by the Police Benevolent Protective Association No. 5 and a Bantam Two Division Class D Khoury League which were the runner-up to the national champion of the Khoury League throughout the United States this year. And I'd like to present to you their coach who will introduce the team. Coach.

COACH CECIL TURNER:

(Remarks given by Coach Turner)

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

It's been my pleasure to present this team to you, and we're particularly proud of this team which brought recognition for the State of Illinois and to the City of Springfield nationwide. Next year let's come home with the national champion, we'll be looking forward to seeing you. And the Cardinals are going to win tonight. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Philip. Senator Geo-Karis, I think the moment of truth has arrived.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, the Republican side will resolve itself in a caucus immediately at Senator Philip's Office.

PRESIDENT:

All right. There's been a request for a Republican Caucus immediately in Senator Philip's Office. The Senate will stand in Recess until the hour of one-thirty so that the rest of us can get some lunch. One-thirty. The Senate stands in Recess.

RECESS
AFTER RECESS

PRESIDENT:

The Senate will please come to order. Introduction of bills, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1535 offered by Senators O'Daniel and Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

Senate Bill 1536 offered by Senator Harovitz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bills.

PRESIDENT:

Rules Committee. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 598 offered by Senator Kustra.

Senate Resolution 599 offered by Senators Davidson, Rock and all members.

Senate Resolution 600 offered by Senators Davidson and all members.

Senate Resolution 601 offered by Senator J. E. Joyce.

Senate Resolution 602 offered by Senator Jones.

Senate Resolution 603 offered by Senator Zito.

And they're all congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 604 offered by Senators Raica, Philip and Rock. It is congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent...Consent Calendar. Ladies and gentlemen, if I can have your attention, we again are graced with the presence of some special guests and the Chair will yield to Senator John Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Gentlemen, if you will come out, please. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, it's my privilege as I introduce to you a team that's...earlier today...which were national runner-ups in the Khoury League. It's my pleasure to introduce to you the Colt League Team from Chatham, Illinois, which played in the World Series for the National Championships, unfortunately, weren't the National Champions but they've guaranteed me to the next...next age level and next year they are going to do it. It's my pleasure to present to you the Colt League Team from Chatham, Illinois, which did go on to play in the World Series and represented Illinois well. And I would like to yield now to the coach so he could introduce the team members to the members of the Senate. Coach. And would all the proud parents in the gallery...sitting on the wrong side, but would all the proud parents please rise.

COACH BILL KENNYSON:

(Remarks given by Coach Kennyson)

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Bob...Bob...Senator Madigan, we'll share that...that interloper from Rochester with you. The gentleman from Rochester, your Senator is Senator Bob Madigan 'cause I don't have all of Sangamon County. We're delighted to have you here and we wish you well in...in the coming year and we're particularly pleased what you've accomplished during this past year during the baseball season. Thanks for coming and

you can now go back to school, right?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Dunn. Senator Luft. Senator D'Arco. Senator Zito. Senator Jones. Senator Welch. We will begin again on the motions in writing to...accept specific recommendations for change if the members and the sponsors wish to pursue those motions. And then we will move to the Regular Calendar to the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading for the purpose of taking up Senate Bill 1520, and after that bill is moved to the Order of 3rd Reading, we will then go to the Calendar and work through the motions to override and to restore, and hopefully we can conclude our business in a couple of hours...so that Senator Geo-Karis can call a caucus again at four o'clock. On the Order of Motions to...Motions in Writing to Accept Specific Recommendations for Change, Madam Secretary, page 24 on the Calendar, Senator Dunn has filed a motion with respect to Senate Bill 226. If you'd read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 226 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 226 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Thomas Dunn.

PRESIDENT:

Senator O'Daniel, for what purpose do you seek recognition, sir?

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, I rise on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT:

State your point.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

At the rear of the Chamber on the right side, our side, are...are the parents of former State Senator Terry Bruce. And at this time I'd like to recognize them, and it's an

*SB 400
Sec. Recommendation
of the Gov.*

honor to have them here.

PRESIDENT:

Mr. and Mrs. Bruce, welcome again to Springfield. Nice to see you. Senator Dunn on 226.

SENATOR TOM DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yesterday 226 failed on an override and the issue was brought up about possible cost, because in the Governor's veto it maintains a provision for veterans, namely, to increase the property tax exemption for disabled veterans, especially adapted housing from thirty thousand to forty-seven thousand five hundred of assessed valuation. I move to accept the Governor's recommendation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 226 in the manner and form as just stated by Senator Thomas Dunn. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 59, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 226 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. We've had a request from WREX-TV in Rockford requesting permission to shoot...film from the gallery. Is leave granted? Leave...leave is granted. (Machine cutoff)...Bill 400, Senator Luft. On the Order of...top of page 24, Senate Bill 400, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 400 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 400 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Filed by Senator Luft.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. There were eight recommendations of change in Senate Bill 400 that the Governor recommended. I'll read through them very quickly. It empowers the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts rather than the Department of Financial Institutions to administer the program, so that was just a change of agencies. It permits the commissioner to set fees for credit card issuers and fees for obtaining compiled credit card information. It further defines solicitation to include a written offer which allows a person a credit card account without completing the application, clarifies the disclosure requirements, they're only for solicitation. It removes the authority for state's attorneys to bring action against violators. It clarifies that retailers must disclose required information for retail charges, agreements or solicitation. It imposes an effective date of July 1, '88, and makes various technical corrections and clarifications. If there are no questions, I would move that the Senate adopt the specific recommendations for change offered by the Governor in Senate Bill 400.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 400 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Luft. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 59, the Nays are none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 400 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Macdonald, for what purpose do you arise?

*SB 749
of the
of the
of the*

SENATOR MACDONALD:

I rise on a point of personal privilege, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

State your point, please.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

I would like to introduce my friends and constituents from Rolling Meadows, Mr. and Mrs. Leon Kelly, who are in the Democratic Gallery and their son, Pike, who is...will be paging with us this afternoon. I'd like to have them stand and be recognized.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

If our...if our guests in the gallery will please rise and be recognized by the Senate. Senate Bill 653, Senator D'Arco. Senate Bill 6-5-3.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

...minute...take it out of the record. 957, Senator Jones. Senator Jones on the Floor? 1272, Senator Welch. Oh, I beg your pardon. I...I skipped over 749. With leave of the body, we'll go back to the middle of page 24, Senate Bill 749. I inadvertently skipped it, Madam Secretary, 749.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 749 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 749 acceptance of Governor's recommendation. Offered by Senator Zito.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Zito.

SENATOR ZITO:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. Senate Bill 749, if you remember, had to do with landfill sitings and the process. I would move to accept the Governor's recommendations for change. We knew at the time we passed the bill

that it was somewhat...some of the language was vague and needed to be clarified to avoid some confusion which would have resulted if there were overlapping or conflicting plans for the area to be served. The Governor didn't meet with all the interested parties in the bill and I think his recommendation certainly make it something that we can live with.

And I would ask for those changes to be accepted by this Body.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Mr. President, I merely stood on a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well, let...let's handle this one, we'll come right back to you. Okay, Senator Smith?

SENATOR SMITH:

All right. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Further discussion on Senator...Zito's motion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 749 in the manner and form as just stated by Senator Zito. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, 1 voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 749 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Now, top of page 25, 1272. Oh, I beg your pardon, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. I'd like to stand on a point of...personal privilege. We have with us in the Chambers Alderman Ann Langford who is also committeeman of the 16th Ward, regular Democratic organization. I'd like to recognize her presence here.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Well...welcome to Springfield. Page 25, Senate Bill 1272, Senator Welch. 1300, Senator Rock. 1513, Senator D'Arco. All right. Middle of page 25, motions in writing, is Senate Bill 1513, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move to accept the specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1513 in manner and form as follows. Amendment to Senate Bill 1513 in acceptance of Governor's recommendations. Offered by Senator D'Arco.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Governor recommended that the Trustees Act be amended to clarify the powers of trustees in that the Act applies only to trusts executed on or after 10/1/73. It deletes the annual accounting provision for persons who have a vested interest. And it requires, after a final accounting, that all prior documents be provided to the beneficiaries, and an action must be taken within five or ten years depending on the type of action that is involved. And I would move to accept the Governor's amendatory veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate accept the specific recommendations of the Governor...to Senate Bill 1513 in the manner and form just stated by Senator D'Arco. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 59, the Nays are

SB 1520
2nd Reading

none, none voting Present. The specific recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1513 having received the required constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senate bills 2nd reading. Senator Rock, Senate bills 2nd reading. All right. Leave of the Body, we will now proceed to page 2...page 2, Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 1520. Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1530 offered by Senators Rock and Lechowicz.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1530, Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

1520 is the...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

1520.

PRESIDENT:

Yes. It's on the Order of 2nd Reading, Madam Secretary, if you could indicate that on the board. Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I would ask leave to add Senators Carroll and Hall as the respective chairmen of the Appropriations Committees as...hyphenated cosponsors of this legislation. And let me just say to the membership that Senator Philip and I and the other leaders met with the Governor this morning and we are still, frankly, negotiating. And I'm not going to suggest to you that Senate Bill 1520 in any form is...is readily acceptable by the Governor or by the administration. But it's an attempt on our part, I suggest, to address the financial bind that some of these programs and some of these people and some of these entities find themselves in as a result of the Governor's cut of the budget that we sent to him last June. In my view there is some

money available. We have a twenty billion dollar budget. I am as painfully aware as are you that the Comptroller is sitting on literally hundreds of millions of dollars of unpaid bills because the state simply is not in the best of fiscal health. But the fact of the matter is there are some programs and there are some agencies that deserve consideration, and this, I suggest, is our opportunity as a General Assembly to address those concerns and still recognize the state's fiscal dilemma. I don't believe nor will I support wholesale restoration of the money that was cut by the Governor from the budget which we sent him. But I will stand for the proposition that there is some money available and that we, as an assembly, ought to prioritize what we want to spend it on and say, as you will notice, that, yes, day care is a concern of the General Assembly and that money ought to be appropriated for that purpose; that the renal disease and alzheimer's disease deserve recognition and appropriate money, that the hemophiliac program is one that we simply should not overlook, that Lekotek is worthy of our consideration, because these are programs that do not, in my opinion, have a dramatic fiscal impact on the budget. And yet they are so sharply focused and deal with a...a segment of our society that it is very painful to that segment of society to be without that program, and we can quickly remedy that. And that, my friends, is the purpose of Senate Bill 1520. There will be, I am sure, a number of amendments offered, and I would only ask the members to attempt, at least, to restrain themselves. If this bill gets unwieldy, if it gets in the multimillion dollar range, it's simply going to be unacceptable. But I think there are legitimate areas of concern. We argued long and hard, if you'll recall, last June about mental health and some other social service programs that this assembly, Democratic or Republican, House or Senate, is committed to, and I think that's what we ought to address in

Senate Bill 1520. It ought not be a Christmas tree, it ought not be a catchall, it ought not contain a wish list but it ought to address those programs and those priorities that are sincerely felt by this assembly to be worthy of action this fall. And so I would submit and...and ask the Secretary to read Senate Bill 1520 a second time and we will proceed through the amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Rock, we've read it for a second time. Amendments.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In echoing Senator Rock's comments, let me suggest that the appropriations committee met yesterday for multiple hours after many of us had had hours upon hours of meetings with various groups and recognized the following. As Doctor Mandeville had indicated, the types of monies that we had discussed at the committee yesterday are truly within the rounding errors of State Government. If we are talking in that twenty-, thirty-, forty-million-dollar maximum category those monies are available and can, in fact, be allocated by the General Assembly because we should be the ones who prioritize especially when we see the errors of the actions of the vetoes of the Governor without getting into the issues in many cases of veto overrides as either for or against him particularly. In some of these cases to override would be a waste of money because five months are gone in the fiscal year. It is only necessary to fund the remaining seven months. In some cases it was clear of director priorities that are not in good conscience the social agenda of the Gen-

eral Assembly. For example, to eliminate, not reduce, eliminate, any services to hemophiliacs, zero left in the budget is clearly an error. In day care we carefully created Project Chance to say women or men with children on welfare would be given a minimum wage job and a day-care slot for their children so that they could, in fact, go to work and make a living and then for six months we would subsidize that. Now at the end of the six months the commitment was that day care would continue and that person would no longer be a welfare user but a taxpaying member of society earning a check, except the Department of Children and Family Services recommended a cut of day care. So now a person who has been on Project Chance for six months has no chance to continue gainful employment. It is doomed to failure because now that slot is not available for their...child or children and they have to go back on welfare in order to not work to maintain that child and that's silly. We have either wasted a lot with Project Chance or we have just once again tried to fool people. I don't believe that was intentional but I do believe it was a difference of direction of two departments that we must and should correct. Amendment No. 1 goes through similar items that were before the committee yesterday. For example, Dr. Mandeville indicated that the Agricultural Premium Fund transfer need not be as big as he originally suggested. We have therefore brought that down to his level so that the universities don't have to subsidize the Ag. Premium Fund. We have provided for the funding for tax incremental financing for those districts that created the revenue...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Pardon me, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

It's okay...and on through the list. Of note, I think also were the fifteen-cent an hour raise for those who are

personal care attendants to our disabled; again an issue, do we need to have these people back and working or do we want them back on welfare? All and all this is a two million dollar spending plan in the amendment, clearly within our ability to spend. I think everybody on both sides of the aisle has a full list. I'd be willing to answer any questions and urge adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Discussion? If not,...Senator Karpiel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

Well, thank you, Mr. Speaker. It seems to me that the sponsor of this amendment gave us a nice little rundown on the bill, but if he's talking about priorities of the state and the importance of putting money back in for day care and for hemophiliacs and for the alzheimer's disease and those types of things, that's in the bill. In his amendment we have money for...a band trip for the Niles High School Band to go somewhere, I suppose. And I do have a question, and my question is, what is an expressway's museum?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you. I said, mainly because of the noise level, that rather than go through each of the detail, everybody had a copy of the list. If...I just as well go through the detail of it. You'll note in the category of fund, those are other funds, nongeneral revenue sources. So that it is not a question, even in Dr. Mandeville's mind, on those funds, if again within tolerable limits and if those funds are available. The funding of those programs...the example again is the parole positions in corrections are within the amendment; that is a general revenue funded program to create the...to maintain parole officers. The Expressway Museum is a museum within Lincoln Park that has been there and funded by the

state for the last couple of years as a partial stipend to maintain their operations. They also charge a fee for the children coming in. It is a hands-on museum that we had, in fact, passed during the last Session of the General Assembly. It was believed that the veto of the Governor of a...I think it was that amount, it might been a slightly more amount, was in error, and, again, instead of overriding that veto it was decided to attempt to put those funds in though they are general revenue, in the supplemental appropriation. This is something that the Governor chose to fund in the past and it was suggested that he would choose to fund it again in the future.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Senator Topinka. I...I beg your pardon, Senator Karpziel.

SENATOR KARPIEL:

...well, at any rate, I just want to reiterate that at a time when we are scrambling around looking for money and obviously we don't have any, at a time when we are not funding education as it should be funded, mental health as it should be funded, when we are just now hopefully going to pass a bill that has the appropriate amount of money in it for day care, hemophiliacs, alzheimer's disease, I mean, all of these very important causes and services that the state provides, we are cutting back on but somehow or other we still have money to give to bands to travel, to museums, to all kinds of things. And if we are really in...in...in...in earnest about prioritizing the needs of this state, I think we should defeat Amendment No. 1, because while there are some good things in it, it is certainly also filled with a lot of garbage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very...thank you, very much, Mr. President and

members of the Senate. Senator Carroll, I wish we all would have perhaps listened to Senator Rock's opening statement a bit more. Indeed, there are some very worthwhile components of the this amendment and ones that should stay in. But on the other hand, some of the amendments...some of the components that Senator Karpial has mentioned really need to come out and...and I think should. We're going to...we're prepared to offer some amendments in the event that this one goes on that will delete some of the...some of the areas here that should not be in here. I have never been one that supported the band, I think that's wrong and especially this year we shouldn't be discussing that. So I would, I think at this point, urge the Body to accept the motion on this amendment and then watch for the subsequent amendments that might delete some of the...the areas that it should not be in the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may close.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I agree with Senator Maitland's comments, this was the result of the committee's not action because we didn't vote but the hearings. Some of those are nongeneral revenue funded items, tourism promotion and so on and whatever the will of the Body is is fine. There are some very critical items in here that were not in the original bill because of the timing of its introduction; parole officers, the personal care attendants, those are your major general revenue dollars; and then honoring our commitments in...in tax incremental financing were those who would otherwise default even though they generated the revenue. The rest of them are pennies, they don't amount to a hundred thousand dollars in a twenty billion dollar budget and they are not funded by general revenue, and I agree with your suggestion either we go up or down on those type of issues.

There are some very critical human service needs that have to be addressed and they are in the amendment. I would urge its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in...further discussion? If...if not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment deals with those tuition increases already imposed by our institutions of higher education. The system is such that when they passed the tuition increase and, in fact, collected, they cannot spend it without our appropriating authority. This is the authorization for those institutions to spend the money that they have already imposed upon their students in addition to what they had advised us last June they would be having as tuitions. Absent this, the money would sit there in an account, it would still be collected against the students but not spent. This is merely their appropriation authority and I would urge adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of...of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. As we have always done, those awards that are signed off by the appropriate number of judges of the Court of Claims as recommendations for us to payments of minor claims to people throughout the state who have claims against the state, we have always availed those people of the right to

timely payment by putting those signed awards into whatever supplementals happen to go through. This is that list of Court of Claims awards and I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Hall.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Hall. Senator Hall. Senator Hall, Amendment No. 4.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the...that's the East St. Louis Development Authority, a hundred and seventy-four thousand and it's very needed and I ask for your...support on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Hall has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 5 includes three things, the first of which is a addition of a hundred and forty-five thousand dollars that the Governor had cut from the labor management councils that have been established all over the state, those

councils that were established to promote a better atmosphere of labor/management relationships which are so important to economic development in our state. The second thing is two hundred thousand for the Rural Health Services Improvement Act which would help us establish regional ambulance services and make the ambulance services in our rural communities more cost effective and prevent the kinds of crisis situations that we have endured over the past several years where those have folded from lack of local revenues to support them. The third thing is a million seventy-five thousand five hundred dollars for establishing monies for the work camps in the Department of Corrections that were closed during the past year in Hardin County in Vandalia and East Moline. Those work camps provided a lot of community help. They provided a tremendous amount of space for prisoners and we're at a shortage of that space right now, so that would establish those three work camps that the Department of Corrections had to close. Ask for your favorable support of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Poshard has moved the adoption of Senate Amendment...I'm sorry, Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1520. Discussion? If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 6 offered by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Amendment No. 6 would add five million three hundred thousand to general revenue and it would supplement the transportation program that we already have in our suburban areas. At the present time, state law says that the grants are statutorily

set at a hundred dollars for FY '88. The current appropriation would result in an average prorated award of only forty-five dollars and thirteen cents per claim. This supplemental appropriation would bring to the level that's already is in our Statutes and is set at a hundred dollars, so I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Savickas has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to Senate Bill 1520. If not, those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7 offered by Senator Luft.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to withdraw that amendment, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Luft seeks leave to withdraw Amendment No. 7. Amendment No. 7 is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 7 offered by Senator Brookins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 7 adds a hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars to fund the Probation Challenge Program which is a program which is a part of the citywide colleges and it will prevent and help to...criminals and people going to jail and I ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Brookins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 7 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor

will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
Amendment No. 7 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 8 offered by Senator Brookins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 8 will help to fund the preliminary portion of a linear park that runs through the 6th Senatorial District on the south side...south and west side of the City of Chicago and I ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...discussion? Senator Brookins has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 8 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 9 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment costs no money, so it doesn't create the need for an income tax increase. What it does is clarify language in a previous grant of money through a Build Illinois program. It changes the word "plant" in the phrase "sewage treatment plant" to "sewage treatment system improvements" and is necessary to get funds released to get a project going in Utica. I'd appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Discussion? If not, Senator Welch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.

Amendment No. 9 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 10 offered by Senators Hall and Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is for I-55, IDOT...and this is federally funded. Ask for the adoption of that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right, discussion?

SENATOR HALL:

This is part of the Governor's program.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

If not, the...all right, discussion? Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I request a question...of...of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

I...I have a...you know...the noise level here is very high. I didn't hear anybody say how much that it was and exactly what it does.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you. 255 is a supplement of what we passed last year for Interstate 255, and the Dan Ryan is...is...how much is...and Dan Ryan is sixty-seven million dollars which is federally reimbursement. It's all Federal funds, Senator. This is a department amendment. Is that what you're going...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR HALL:

...IDDT...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr...I've been told by our staff this has been recommended...requested by the Governor's staff, so...fine with me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, those in favor...adoption of Amendment...Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, this is eighty-eight million dollars. It is the Governor's request. It is...Federal dollars, Senator Hall?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall. Senator Hall. Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, let me...let me refer to his alter ego, Senator Carroll, perhaps Senator Carroll could...could answer the question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall-Carroll. Well, here comes Senator Hall back in. Senator Hall, there's a question from Senator Demuzio about your program. Senator Demuzio, would you state your question again?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Hall, this is eighty-eight and a half million dollars for I-255 and the Dan Ryan and this is all Federal dollars, is that correct; and if so, why are we doing this in this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

This is Road Fund money that the Governor's Office is

asking for and my understanding is totally federally reimbursed...it's ninety percent Federal, that's what it is, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, is there any other Governor's request for any other money from other program accounts other than GRF that's going to go into this bill to your knowledge?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Not at this time, Senator, that I know of.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...there further discussion? Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Mr. President, I stood on a point of personal privilege, if I may.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh, well, would you wait till we get this over with?

SENATOR SMITH:

I'm sorry. I'll wait. I'll be happy to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

A few...a few minutes ago, Mr. President, President Rock gave a...a very articulate speech and outlined I think a very intelligent and...a defensible program and I think he urged restraint. I...I'm afraid this doesn't look too restrained to me. I think we're in the process of killing what may be the only show...only real show in town to solve some of the critical needs to the citizens of this state. I would just ask everyone to pause a moment and think about the President's very good guidance of a few minutes ago.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Hall moves the adoption of Amendment No. 10 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 10 is adopted. Further amendments? Senator Rock, Amendment No. 11.

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 11 offered by Senator Etheredge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh, it's Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. What Amendment No. 11 does is to add three point million dollars to enable the Illinois Math and Science Academy to continue in operation through the end of the present academic year. I'd be happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge, if you'll hold on a minute. Senator Smith, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'm delighted to present to our Chambers this afternoon the committeeman...my committeeman of the 4th Ward, regular Democratic organization, also the alderman of the 4th Ward and also the finance chairman for the City of Chicago, the Honorable Timothy Evans.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh,...Alderman Evans. (Machine cutoff)...our sheets are back in order. On Amendment No. 11 to Senate Bill 1520, Senator Etheredge, and this is on the Math and Science Academy supplemental of 3.2 million dollars. Is there discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Etheredge, I know we

hadn't had a chance to discuss it today. I'm going to basically ask you to withhold the amendment at this time. It's my understanding that there have been discussions on the education issue and I think this would fall within that. We have asked members to withhold funding requests of other education items at this stage as well. It's your choice but I know that there had been discussions, I think Senator Maitland and Senator Berman and others have been trying to figure out what monies may be available for education in general. I think there were those of us who have been supportive of the concept but are concerned over the funding level of all of education at this point.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I rise on a point of personal privilege. One of our colleagues is on the Floor from the House, an alderman from the City of Chicago and the owner of Sole Coal Pop Company, Mr. Bill Henry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Did you say he's a colleague from the House and also an alderman?

SENATOR BROOKINS:

...alderman now...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Oh, a former...

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Former colleague. Let's get this straight, former colleague.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. I rise in support of...of Senator Etheredge's amendment. If we're talking about education, I

think since we put in the Miles Marching Band, which certainly is an educational issue, I think then we should be consistent and vote for this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR JONES:

Senator Etheredge, I understand that that is a fine academy, but I know many school districts...across the state are also suffering. Are you going to be supporting the restoration of those funds to...to those school districts across the state?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Well, Senator, I am aware of the fact that there have been some suggestions put forward. I am sorry that those suggestions have not been...those recommendations have not been put together in a form where someone can say, yes or no; however, I would point out to you, I would remind you, if you would permit, that I...I have been a very staunch supporter of education in the past and I will certainly continue to support a responsible program for funding our elementary and secondary schools and higher education in the State of Illinois in the future as I have in the past.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

I know you have in the past but it has been my experience in the Legislature that when we do something of this nature it is always best that everything ride on the same boat, you

know, so...I'm in support of your request...not the boat that...talking about, but everything should ride on the same boat if we are sincere in our endeavor to do something for education, so maybe be best to...withdraw this amendment at this time until such time we see what we're going to do for all the other children as well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in support of Amendment No. 11 and would point out to the membership that we are still anxiously awaiting the results of the House votes, one vote is in, as I'm sure you are well aware, that restored the...the sixty million dollars that was cut from the Common School Formula...Fund Formula, but there are other votes still pending over there; so I have asked the members on this side who are interested in affording some additional financial support to District 299 and the others across the state to hold off until tomorrow, and I will say to this group that I will, in fact, call this bill back from 3rd to 2nd tomorrow for that purpose so that we can talk about what amount of money can be reasonably afforded to the school systems, but in the meantime, I, frankly, agree with Senator Etheredge. There's no reason we can't put this one on and move ahead.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator DeAngelis

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of Amendment No. 11 to Senate Bill 1520. Senator Jones, a concern that I have is that if you put this in the same boat, that boat is liable to get awfully heavy and this group would be the only person in that boat without a life preserver because this academy is funded exclusively by state funds, does not have a

property tax base, doesn't have Federal funds. So, if you were to throw this out of that boat that gets overloaded, this baby would sink because it would not have a life preserver. The other people do and I'm afraid when the choices are made, we are liable to throw out of that boat this particular item and I think we ought not to be including it in the same boat.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Etheredge moves the adoption of Amendment No. 11 to House Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 11 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 12 offered by Senators Maitland and German.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 12 appropriates some forty thousand dollars to the Residential Services Authority. You may recall that two years ago we passed legislation that dealt with the...the...the placement...settling the disputes of placement of special education residential students. We were having a very serious problem and we created the authority to deal with all of the agencies and...and did that in a very economical way. The State Board was providing the...the space and...and some staff help. When we appropriated this year...first of all, in 1987, we appropriated only for a half-year operation and then in FY '88, instead of appropriating for a full year, we ended up by reducing the '87 level by four percent which makes the Residential Services Authority critically short for...for continuation. There is no way that...that the authority can continue to operate. People

are now depending upon it and are in need of the forty thousand dollars. Some Federal funding that was available is no longer available and for the very...future of the Residential Services Authority, this forty thousand dollars is a minimum number of dollars needed and I would urge its support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Maitland moves the adoption of Amendment No. 12 to House Bill...or Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 12 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 13 offered by Senator Rigney.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, earlier this year we passed Senate Bill 596 in behalf of the East Dubuque, Illinois School District that suffered a major financial loss due to a bankruptcy within their district. There was no way to immediately adjust their state aid claim. 596 did that. The problem was, we have found out now, that even though we passed the legislation that apparently it's going to take a supplemental appropriation bill to give them the money. So, that's what's contained in this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Rigney moves the adoption of Amendment No. 13 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 13 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 14 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, in the Spring Session, the General Assembly appropriated, as we have for a number of years, seventy-five thousand dollars from the Boat Fund for the Chain O'Lakes Water Management Agency. What this amendment does is clear up the language in that appropriation so that it does not, in effect, cut funds from other projects funded in that same line item. The amendment was defective in the House and rather than, you know, cut funds from other projects around the state, I'd like to clean it up. I believe it's...there's no new dollars involved, it's a technical change.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Schaffer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 14 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 14 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 15 offered by Senators Hawkinson and Luft.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hawkinson.

SENATOR HAWKINSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 15 provides forty thousand dollars to reopen the Peoria office of the Industrial Commission. This office was recently closed. It serves literally hundreds of workers and employers in central Illinois for the filing of...of papers who would otherwise have to file in Chicago. It...it's something that has broad employer and worker support, and I would ask for the adoption of Amendment No. 15.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Hawkinson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 15 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 15 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 16 offered by Senator Macdonald.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Macdonald.

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 15 replaces a hundred and fifty thousand dollars from general revenue to Displaced Homemaker Grants. If you remember,...near adjournment, I had twenty-two bipartisan cosponsors to put two hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars into the displaced homemaker's program, but because we now only...we are five months into the fiscal year, I think that this is a reasonable amount and I ask for your adoption of this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Macdonald moves the adoption of Amendment No. 16 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 16 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 17 offered by Senator Friedland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Friedland.

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would appropriate two hundred and fifty thousand to the Village of Union for a replacement of its water well. The state has closed its existing well and it's...the town of six hundred people is limping along its water supply with sixty-year-old equipment, and the Attorney General's Office is in litigation regarding this matter and it's urgently needed to restore water for the town and I'd urge your consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Friedland moves the

adoption of Amendment No. 17 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 17 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 18 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Amendment No. 18 would provide five million eighty-two thousand dollars...five million eighty-two thousand seven for the Department of Mental Health and...Developmental Disabilities. It would restore the money that we have for community grants that would cover such things as aging out, respite care and community home service and the continuity of care that we now don't have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Topinka moves the adoption of Amendment No. 18 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 18 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 19 offered by Senator Ralph Dunn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I'd like to withdraw Senate Amendment No. 19. It was the same amendment as offered by Senator Poshard-Watson. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the request. Leave granted? Leave is granted. Amendment is withdrawn. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 19 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, would like to withdraw Senate Amendment No. 19 to Senate Bill 1520.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the request. Leave granted? Leave is granted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 19 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think...that was taken care of in Amendment No. 1, so I'd ask leave to withdraw this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 19 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, earlier, Senator Carroll put an amendment on that had a number of items and it...and this side of the aisle indicated we had some problems with a number of the items and would attempt to redress those problems at a...an appropriate point. This is, apparently, the appropriate point. This amendment would strike the...two and half million dollar add-on to continue in a...the CUSA's Program in the Comptroller's Office. Now, I'm going to resist the temptation to make any kind of a partisan speech on the thing or remind people about where people were on additional revenue.

The simple fact is that CUSA originally we were told wouldn't cost in excessive of twenty million. As late as April of 1986, the Comptroller was saying it wouldn't cost anything more than about twenty-six five. The most recent letter we have this year, that I'm aware of, indicates it won't cost more than forty million. This...this program has run amok. I don't know how else to describe it. This is not money for children or day care or hemophiliacs or...it just, frankly, is money for bureaucrats; pure, simple money for bureaucrats. I think we ought to fall back and take a look. This program is just running amok and I think if there was ever an auspicious time for the General Assembly to take a look at a program and say, let's cool our jets here for a while, see if this thing is really going to be worth forty million dollars, I think it's now. I'd urge adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This request by the Comptroller is an attempt, at least, to, as he was requested, I'm told, in the...original Appropriations Committee hearing, to kind of put a moratorium on this program, to not move ahead. The fact of the matter is, full-blown implementation of this thing would cost about nine or ten million dollars. This is a maintenance of effort level to get him to the point at which he will again be in front of your Appropriation Committee and say this is why we're doing this, if we're doing it at all. I...I don't think the request is unreasonable and, obviously, I would point out, as I have pointed out to others who have solicited my opinion, that this is a brand new bill and the ultimate signing authority still rests on the second floor and the second floor has discussed parts of...of this legislation with me. There are no commitments of any kind, nor have I

asked for any, but on the other hand, I think it's fair to say, we ought to give everybody at least the opportunity to make their case, both in the House and before the Governor, and so I would ask that Amendment No. 19 be defeated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Schaffer, do you wish to close? Question is,...Senator Schaffer moves the adoption of Amendment No. 19 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Nays carried. Amendment is defeated. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 20 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this amendment would ask that twenty thousand dollars be removed from this bill that would fund the Edwardsville High School's Band to go to Austria, I assume to the Salzburg Festival and, you know, no disrespect intended to the Edwardsville High School 'cause I'm sure they have a wonderful band, as many of us do. I know I have a group call the Suburban Youth Orchestra that wishes to go to Salzburg and they are now selling candy and collecting papers and having car washes and doing that and not asking for funding at this critical time of our budget. I don't know that a high school band trip overseas really compares with things like renal disease, hemophilia, alzheimer's...disease, you name it, what we have and that is the notion and the nature of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. I rise in strong opposition to Amendment No. 20 and let me just say that I am truly one of the last great headcounters and it takes thirty-six votes tomorrow to pass this bill and I've got to have Senator Sam on our side. Will you just leave Edwardsville alone? That's up to Sam and the Governor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, let me give the facts in regards to this bill, and Senator...Topinka does not have the facts on this bill. The band has already been to Vienna and they came back...it...it's not a band, it's an orchestra, and they came back as the number one orchestra in the country. Now what we did here last...in...in...Kirk Dillard, if you remember Kirk Dillard, the DCCA, the Senate appropriation staffs and the Governor's Office agreed with the unit superintendent and with the principal of the Edwardsville High School that if they would raise the sixty thousand dollars to send this orchestra to Vienna that there would no...be no problems on the twenty thousand dollars. The State of Illinois had made a firm commitment to the parents and to the high school and to all the people who were involved in this movement. They raised the sixty thousand dollars and, consequently, the twenty thousand dollars was not...forthcoming when they left for Austria...or Vienna, and what the Bank of Edwardsville did, they set up a reimbursement...set up with the parents if they would come up with the additional monies to send this band to Vienna. They did this predicated on the twenty thousand dollars that the State of Illinois told the people down home and myself, and I attended the farewell party and the banquet to send these kids to Vienna. Consequently, the last day of the session, I discovered that this twenty thousand dollars was considered an add-on rather than an add-in.

We're not sending the band or the orchestra anywhere, Senator Topinka. What we have to do in good faith, pay these parents the money that they obligated to the Bank of Edwardsville...or the Edwardsville National Bank that we said we were going to give them. So, you don't have the facts, Senator Topinka, and I'm sorry, I wish you would have talked to me about it because you could have been one of those parents who dug into your pocket to get this band...or orchestra to...to Vienna. They came back, I was so embarrassed that I not...didn't even go to the welcoming party that was held...held for this orchestra. I don't think that we should people...treat people that way when the Governor's Office, the DCCA and all the people here in Springfield was in accord with this proposition. So, this is not new money to send anyone back to some band...to send them someplace. This is a commitment that we should honor and I would appreciate that we defeat Senator Topinka's amendment since you now do have the facts.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

There further discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, and to Senator Vadalabene, you know, I am sorry because, indeed, you obviously have had a commitment, but kind of getting accustomed to the idea of being in the Legislature here where we break commitments to people every day. I mean, we have people who expect a school reform bill coming to them and we've broken our faith with them, we've broken our faith with mental health, we've broken our faith with people who are in dire need, but I understand a commitment and I honor a promise and I would not want to embarrass you with a promise that you had been given and on that basis, I will withdraw my amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 20 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, this one we'd like to remove the twenty-two thousand five hundred dollars from the Niles West Marching Band which is probably an orchestra which is going to the Fiesta Bowl, which may or may not be located in the continental United States but maybe the sponsor or the...the Senator in whose district that falls might like to tell me what commitments were made on the Niles West Marching Band.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? No, obviously, there's no discussion. Senator Topinka moves the adoption of Amendment No...No. 20 to Senate Bill 1520. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Nays have it. The...the amendment fails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

...Amendment No. 21 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland...or Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Mr. President, did you make a mistake when you announced that last roll call?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

No, Senator, if you'd been paying attention, you can hear the differences between the Yeas and the Nays.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

I see and...and it was clearly a...a...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

It was clearly a...

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

A No vote, Mr. President?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

A No vote, right. Senator...Senator Maitland...

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This...this amendment deletes two hundred thousand dollars out of the...out of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1520 that...that appropriated dollars to the...acquisition of a...of a new state office building in Princeton. I don't recall seeing this in the budget at any time and...and just question whether or not we need a new state office building in...in the City of Princeton and...and believe that probably it ought to be...come out at least for...for this...for this Session and...and discuss it next year. I'd move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator...if not, those in favor on the adoption of Amendment No. 21 to Senate Bill 1520 indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 21 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 22 offered by Senators Collins, Smith, Brookins, Alexander and Newhouse and Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President...this amendment and the next one to follow were filed for the...putting the monies in for the schools; however, since that time, the...the House did override one of the...the major bills for education and

we'd just like to hold these bills...these amendments until tomorrow and...and then we'll better know where we are. So, at this time, I would just like to withdraw these amendments and then I would...would...would reoffer these amendments tomorrow.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

How many amendments are there, Senator?

SENATOR COLLINS:

Two.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Two. All right. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 22 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 22 would appropriate two million dollars for the reconstruction of the Carlinville High School which recently burned. It would appropriate the money from the School Construction Bond Fund, which I am told that there is an adequate amount to do this through the Capital Development Board for the necessary planning and improvement, construction and reconstruction. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall Amendment No. 22 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 22 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading.

PRESIDENT:

If you'll turn to page 21 on the Calendar, and I'd ask the Secretary if the...Mr. Sergeant-at-arms, can you send some Pages up here? We have a Supplemental Calendar. Additional motions have been filed since the Calendar was printed and so we have put together a Supplemental Calendar to reflect those motions. We will go right through the Calendar; motions in writing to override total vetoes, motions in writing to override item vetoes, motions in writing to restore, motions in writing to accept, and then we will go to Supplemental Calendar No. 1. Senator Collins on Senate Bill 22. Middle of page 21, ladies and gentlemen, on the Order of Motions in Writing to Override Total Vetoes, there's a motion filed with respect to Senate Bill 22. Madam Secretary, read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 22 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Collins.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. Chairman...Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 22 was a bill that...that...that passed this Chamber on the Agreed Bill List and also passed the House, if I'm not mistaken, on the Agreed Bill List. What the bill does is...it simply says that...that the State of Illinois will make a definite statement, a policy as it relates to child abuse in this state. As you know, that today that we have what you call the Child Abuse and...and Reporting Act, but we really don't have any clear policy or have made a real clear statement as to our position and philosophy of child abuse prevention; and so what this bill simply does is...it...it says that we will change the Child Abuse Reporting Act to the Child Abuse Prevention and Report-

ing Act, and it also goes on to state that there will be a specific line item in the Department of Children and Family Services' budget that would address specifically those issues for preventing child abuse in the state. The reason that the bill was vetoed...and at the time, I thought that we had the cooperation and support of the department and everyone else involved, but the...the bill was vetoed, it is my understanding, because they said that the bill will cost some money this fiscal year. That is not true. There is no...there are no time restraints on when we would have to...redo the pamphlets that's out now that states only the Child Abuse Act...cites the Child Abuse Act only. So, it would give some time for next year to actually pay...get the money to pay for the cost of the...the reprinting of...of those pamphlets, but what is critical, it is because now we are in the process or will be soon in the process of putting together the...the budgets for next fiscal year and it would be necessary for this bill to be signed into law so that we could go about the business of putting into that budget a separate and a distinct line item for child abuse prevention in the state and, therefore, I...I would move to override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Any discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, you know, I admire the sponsor's desire to...to change this program here because, obviously, we...none of us want to encourage child abuse; however, I would ask the...the Body here to sustain the Governor's veto for the simple reason this is already being done. Right now DCFS publishes an annual Illinois Child Abuse Statistical Report, a human services plan which includes abuse prevention services and the report on the spending of funds earmarked for abuse prevention. We already do this, so...if...if Senator Collins would wish to

somehow philosophize further, that bit of philosophy is going to cost the state thirty-four thousand dollars, which I'm sure in this tight year could probably be better used in a program that is not already covered. So, I would ask that the veto be sustained.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Collins, you wish to close?

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you. It is obvious that the good Senator from Cicero did not understand really what...what...what I said. There is no necessity for spending one dollar this fiscal year, not one dime that this...this is going to cost us this fiscal year, and...and for that reason I would just move to...to override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDENT:

Question is, shall Senate Bill 22 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 25, the Nays are 28, none voting Present. The motion fails. Senate Bill 52. Senate Bill 200, Senator Holmberg. Madam Secretary, on the Order of Motions in Writing, there's a motion with...with respect Senate Bill 200. Read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 200 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. Senate Bill 200 passed the Senate last spring unanimously as it did the House. It was at that time carefully worked out with DCCA. We worked on the Floor here with Senator Maitland who spoke very eloquently on its behalf after we had an agreed bill. It's supported by the IMA, the State Chamber, the UAW, the AF of L-CIO. I know that at this time DCCA has been working against the bill, has made some calls, but I want to really assure you that if you have read the bill or the analysis that this version of the bill is the one that we all worked out very carefully to not slow down the process of dealing with foreign corporations, a bill that would not hurt our bargaining power for anyone who wishes to locate in Illinois. Although we have asked DCCA to do an economic impact analysis while they're preparing the incentives, they have a full three months after the fact before they need to send that report to the General Assembly. It will help us to assess for our constituents whether we're spending those millions of dollars wisely. Our constituents expect no less of us. It's doubtful at this time if anyone has the right base of information that will allow us to objectively look at what those incentives are actually doing for economic development in Illinois. This bill will give us that base of information. The bill requires that DCCA report to the Governor, the General Assembly and the Economic and Fiscal Commission four times a year on the number of jobs that would be brought to Illinois, the competition factor between the foreign company and existing Illinois business and the effect on existing Illinois industries. It's a bill about jobs, Illinois jobs. It's a bill about the best climate for all business in Illinois. It is a bill that merely sets up a reporting procedure to the elected members of the General Assembly. This is a bill agreed to by DCCA in June. This is a bill about accountability. This is a bill to which you can't say no. I ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she will yield, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Senator Holmberg, I don't disagree with accountability but my question is, what do you hope to get out of this kind of reporting that is of such a positive nature that it's going to increase the number of jobs in the State of Illinois?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

What we will find is the number of jobs created versus the millions that have been appropriated for a particular project and whether or not we might be displacing some Illinois jobs that already exist. If we find out that that is true, I think we would look in a second appropriation's year very differently at a large hundred million dollar project. So, what we're looking for is what brings the most...what kind of money will bring the most jobs to Illinois?

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, if that be the motive, then I think this bill is rather misdirected; because if that be the case, why are we limiting this to foreign investment? For instance, let me give you an example. A year ago this month there was an auction held in Kokomo, Indiana, on a defunct steel mill and the top bidder for that equipment who bid an amount in a much greater dollar amount than what he could have gotten that

for, in fact, he was bidding against himself, purchased that equipment and then brought it to Illinois to the dismay of another one of his competitors in Illinois, and that money was done, in fact, with DCCA money. Now, if you're concerned about displacing jobs, then you ought not to be limiting this to foreign investment because quite often the jobs that are displaced are displaced by domestic companies and...so, therefore, I have to vehemently disagree with your motives. What this will do is essentially hinder the negotiations process because when you are, in fact, weighing incentives or inducements and they are...they have to be negotiated, you can't turn around and rely on an...economic impact till after you have, in fact, negotiated. But in some instances, the ultimate impact will be determined by the level of negotiations. I mean, you cannot negotiate with your hands tied behind your back, you just can't.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Holmberg, you wish to close?

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

In response to the good Senator, that language was originally in the bill to not just limit it to foreign corporations but to out-of-state businesses, but it was one of the compromises that we made with DCCA and the IMA because of the complex nature of corporations that go across state lines that do excellent business here in Illinois and we did not want to infringe on those companies. It's something that we do in other policy areas. We are not in any way tying anybody's hands behind their back but we have done it for other agencies of government; certainly our fastest growing agency concerned with the economic development of the State of Illinois and, yes, jobs and business climate, certainly needs to be accountable to the elected members of the General Assembly and that they will do with this legislation. I ask

for your favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Question is, shall Senate Bill 200 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, 4 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 200 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 255, Senator Smith. Motion in writing, Madam Secretary, with respect to Senate Bill 255. Read the motion, please.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 255 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Smith.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 255 required the Department of Aging to establish demonstration programs to determine the feasibility of a volunteer service credit program. This was vetoed by our Governor and there were a number of seniors here today who were overly concerned en masse about this program. This would afford the seniors something to do while they are at home and one...two things in my rationale is that this bill does not go into physical effect until 1988. It does not take effect this year, and so, therefore, that...by that time, hopefully, that we would have money and if not, we could implement it for the...the following year. This does not cost a lot of money. It's only seventy-five thousand dollars, but we would be rendering a service to the seniors here in the State of Illinois, and I'm asking that we would

consider this inasmuch as we are in a plight at this session, but this is not...and it state...plainly states that in the bill that this will not be effective until 1988. I'm asking for your favorable vote so that we can give some encouragement to the seniors in our community. It's a good bill. It's a practical bill and it will help to serve the people in our various communities.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I...I do appreciate what Senator Smith is trying to do there. Her heart, as usual, is in the right place, but at this time, I don't think it's in the pocketbook where our seniors would probably have their minds because I did see a number of them today and they were saying don't vote for any tax increases. Now, yes, this is seventy-five thousand dollars in another fiscal year, but ultimately we have to pay the cost. This is a demonstration program. We don't know if it's going to work. We're creating another new program, another new mandate. As we talked earlier, we have been breaking faith with people right and left. It's getting to be real commonplace around here. Furthermore, this program which has been tried in Florida is being repelled and as...as Florida seems to like to repel a lot of their mistakes lately. So, as a result, I think that it would wise if we just held back this year and put that seventy-five thousand dollars, again, into something that...and I'm...and I'm sure from...from a standpoint of plight, we have many plights in both Senator Smith's and my community and everybody's community. It would be better served elsewhere.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Smith may close.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you,...Mr...Presiding Officer. To my colleague on the other side, Senator Topinka, I think you are going a little bit too far to say that you do not have the money now. I'm not asking for the money now. All we want to do is implement the...the legislation. It does not come active or effective until 1988. This is not going to take any seventy-five thousand dollars out of our...our monies at this time; and what Florida does, Illinois is not responsible, but we are responsible to our constituents here in the city and the State of Illinois. If we can give them some hope that there is something coming, if not this year...and not next year, at least it will be implemented for another year. Please give them this opportunity. It's not going to take one penny out of the budget at this time. It's only going to establish a precedents that in 1988 and if not 1988, 1989 that we will have this program in vogue for our seniors. Please, give us your vote. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

...pardon me. Question is, shall Senate Bill 255 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 25, none voting Present. Senate Bill 255 having failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. 385, Senator Poshard. 434. Senate Bill...Senator Severns, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President. On Senate Bill 200, I've just been advised that...the sheet indicated I did not vote. I, in fact, voted Yes as a cosponsor and would like the record to reflect that. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senate Bill 434, Senator Poshard. You want to proceed?
All right, Senate Bill 434, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 434 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 434 is the regional jail appropriation bill which would provide twenty-five million dollars in Capital Development Bonding Funds for Jail Construction Grants to local governments. Now during the 84th General Assembly, the Governor signed Public Act 84-1452 which authorized the issuance of reimbursement and construction or renovation grants to local governments for jail construction. A new spending category was created in the General Obligation Bond Act and twenty-five million in authorization was approved; however, we did not pass an appropriation for this. In February of this past year, the Governor's Task Force on Detention Standards came to a conclusion which is really contradictory to the action taken by the Governor when he vetoed Senate Bill 434. The Governor's task force suggested that we support legislative action to appropriate funds that would enable implementation of regional facility development, develop financing programs, create revenue sources for the operation of these facilities and revenue sources for the operation of the programs. The reason for this being that we have sixty-two counties in the State of Illinois who are under mandate from the State and Federal Governments to upgrade, renovate or build new jail facilities which are at present substandard. The locals simply do not have the money to do what the law requires them to do. Most of them are on

the verge of being sued by the Attorney General in expensive lawsuits to make them comply with the law. They can't pass a local property tax referendum to build a jail. This appropriation would enable the law that we passed in that Public Act which the Governor signed to take place which would say in essence that the state could provide up to ninety percent funding for those counties who would go together and create a multiple county jail or a regional jail, and it would support at seventy-five percent or less funding to those counties who are building single county jails. This is one of the most critical needs facing local counties in the State of Illinois today. Sixty-two counties have the problem of meeting state and Federal laws which they cannot meet at all and this would appropriate that money; none of it would have to be spent this year, but it would certainly put it on the books for the appropriate time when the counties would...would comply with these standards to build the jails, and I would ask that you support this because it's very important to many, many counties in this state to get this problem solved.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall 434 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 22, none voting Present. Senate Bill 434 having failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. The motion fails...the motion fails. Okay. 454, Senator Jones. All right, Senate...Senate Bill 454, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 454 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Jones.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

All right, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 454 as passed by this Body provides for the certification of estheticians by the Department of Registration and Education. It defines esthetics as beautification treatment of the skin except the scalp. Also incorporated in the bill is the Farm Implement Buyers Act and the Governor vetoed the legislation out and I can't understand why. He indicated in his Veto Message that it maybe serve as a disincentive to the film industry and television persons for coming into the State of Illinois because usually they bring with them their licensed...bring with their own beauty culture people; however, the representative of the industry who supports this bill indicates that is not so. If that were the case, then those make-up artists who deals with the hair, both men and women, would have a problem. So, this is not the case why this piece of legislation is concerned. The Governor's Veto Message is silent on the Farm Implement Buyers Act and I can understand why he would be silent because this is something that many of the farmers downstate want this particular Act. I know Senator Rigney was a strong supporter of such. So, 454 was a very good bill. It will provide opportunity for many persons to enter into a profession to earn a decent living and I ask for your favorable vote in the override motion of...of the Governor on Senate Bill 454.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...if not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 454 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the

record. On that question, the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 18, none voting Present. The motion with respect to Senate Bill 454 having failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. 504, Senator Woodyard. Senate Bill 504, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 504 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Woodyard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 504 was introduced at the request of the Coroner's Association and became the coroners training bill. It was introduced, certainly, to upgrade the professionalism of the office of coroner and is patterned after legislation presently in effect in the State of Missouri. The bone of contention on this bill seems to be in the funding of that, and I do want to mention to you that I was assured by the director of the law...Local Government Law Enforcement Training Board back in the Spring Session that there would be no additional cost to his agency nor to local government if this bill were enacted. During the summer, I had discussions with the Governor's Office in which they felt that...that there would be additional cost to that. We have not been able to document that due to the fact that the board itself has the people in place that would actually conduct the training programs, and...and in that same regard, due to the fact I was assured that there would be no additional cost, we did not attempt to even put an appropriation bill into place. And so, for those reasons, I think the Governor's staff was somewhat in error in...in the veto of this bill and saying that it was vetoed because of the additional cost, and I would solicit an

Ab 670
10/21/87

Aye vote in the override of Senate Bill 504.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 504 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 4, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 504 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 670, Senator Brookins. Senate Bill 670, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 670 do pass, the veto of...of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Brookins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Brookins.

SENATOR BROOKINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 670 passed the Senate by a fifty-seven percent margin...fifty-seven percent people voted Yes. It passed in the House by a hundred and nine. What this bill does is allow the pay people that are on a minimum wage...pay them twice a month rather than once a month. What is happening is that these people work and do their jobs and when the end of the month comes sometime they don't even get paid at all...not at all. These are minimum wage people. We're not...asking for a raise for them. We're not asking for more money for them. All we're asking that they be paid twice a month rather than once a month. Now, I've been told that the reason that the Governor vetoed this is it's going to cost some money, administrative money. So, I've attempted to check with DORS to see how much money would it cost. How much do it cost to process a voucher twice a

month rather than once a month? They have not been able to answer that question for me. They have not answered the question. They have not come. When we passed the bill, we asked for an impact study. They was not able to provide us with one because they can't find how much it will...will cost. I am under the understanding that they have attempted to pass...or to have legislation of this type themselves in the past. We're asking...I've also checked with the Comptroller's Office. I thought that maybe that's where the cost would be. He said, Howard, no, there's no problem; all I have to do is send two checks instead of one check. He has no problems with it, no problems whatsoever, would not up his cost at all. So, I'm asking that we pay some people, individuals, that work for three dollars and thirty-five...thirty-five cents an hour, pay them twice a month rather than once a month. That's all we're asking. This will enable the...the recipients, the people that they service to become useful citizens. It will help them also because it would not mean that they would have to give additional monies to the employees to...for car fare and what have you when they're not paid at all. I ask for a favorable vote on this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 670 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 21, 1 voting Present. The motion with respect to Senate Bill 670 having failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. Page 22. Senate Bill 687, Madam Secretary.

Page 77 - OCTOBER 21, 1987

END OF REEL

REEL #3

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill...687 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator DeAngelis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Oh, okay, thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 687 was a bipartisan bill that created the...Illinois Institute for Entrepreneurship. The...this is the substantive bill, there was an appropriation bill of two hundred fifty thousand that was vetoed that I concurred with the veto. Now the reason that I would like to see the substantive bill retain however is that this activity is currently going on. The appropriation bill was to supplement what is actually going on in the field and what we did with this bill is essentially put a head on the body; in other words, we centerized those activities. There are entrepreneurship programs going on around the state except that they are not being housed in any one central location or given a direction from that location. So, therefore, I would like to override this veto and I promise not to go for an override on the appropriation. I just want to keep the function going and I want the bill to be able to give some direction to the activities that are going on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 687 pass, the veto to the Governor...to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 3, none voting Present. Senate Bill 687 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 804, Senator Schuneman. Senate Bill 804, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 804 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Schuneman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the bill that dealt with the pertussis issue. Every child in the State of Illinois is required by law to have a...several vaccinations before they can be admitted to school of the DPT vaccine. There have been some reactions to pertussis around the country and there is growing concern about those violent reactions. We heard testimony in committee from some parents who claim they lost their children because of a reaction to a state mandated vaccine. This bill sought to do several things. First of all, it would set up a record keeping and reporting process for the severe adverse reaction to the pertussis immunization. And, secondly, it would require the Department of Public Health to disseminate a pamphlet to every hospital in the state to be given to the children of newborn to warn them of not only the...the advantages of having a vaccine but the potential dangers of the pertussis vaccine. The cost was estimated at only ten thousand dollars and, frankly, I was surprised that the Governor included this on the veto list but I guess anything that had any cost was included on that list. I submit to you that the...there is presently a pamphlet available to the Department of Public

Health through the United States Health Service so there need not be any cost for printing a pamphlet, and it's for that reason and...and the need to set up a reporting system in the state that I'm moving to override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Schuneman, I'm going to stand up in support of your motion to override but I just want to suggest to you that in two weeks there's going to be a bill, I hope, over here that we passed I think unanimously out of the Senate, it's called House Bill 934. This one deals with...your bill deals with a pamphlet for whooping cough. My bill dealt with a pamphlet to advise women on the alternatives to radical mastectomies and breast cancer. Your bill cost ten thousand, my bill cost seventeen thousand, the Governor vetoed that. I hope that I will have your oral strong support when we try to override that one. I stand in support of your motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? If not, Senator Schuneman may consummate this agreement.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Senator Berman, I do wish you'd speak to me privately about these matters. At this time, I'm not at liberty to make any kind of deals, Senator Berman, but I...I suspect that I can help you with that bill but I would hope that...that you would at least look at the merits of this bill. I think that...that there is a potential problem for a lot of children...or some children in the state and that there need not be really any cost to this bill but it's for that reason that I am asking for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 804 pass, the veto of

the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Yeas are 58, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. Senate Bill 804 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 814, Senator Poshard. Senate Bill...814, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 814 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 1814 would create the Rural Health Care Services Improvement Act...I'm sorry, Senate Bill 814 would create the Rural Health Care Services Improvement Act. It would empower the Department of Public Health to award grants to assist counties in providing regional rural ambulance services. Many of the studies that have been completed recently by the National Rural Health Care Association, by the Southern Illinois University and the University of Illinois Schools of Medicine have shown that a significant portion of rural Illinois is medically underserved. We're jeopardizing our hospitals right now, many of the hospitals in rural Illinois are on the verge of folding financially. We have ambulance services that aren't able to operate. Last year we had to come in for emergency appropriation measures to keep several of our ambulance...services alive. Just recently we had a...a young lady deliver a baby on a helicopter in southern Illinois because they had no way to get

to...the local hospital which was about sixty miles away. This bill would enable counties to create regional ambulance services for cost effective and cost efficient ways of maintaining appropriate ambulance services. That's all it would do. It's...it's been developed by the SIU School of Medicine, the estimated cost is about two hundred thousand dollars. It's well-worth it to serve those medically underserved areas of the state so that we don't flirt with potential medical disaster and that these counties can instead of coming back up here for an emergency appropriation to stay alive, they can count on having this money to draw from if they're willing to do it in a cost-effective, efficient way by creating regional services rather than going on a county-by-county ambulance position. So, this would...this would help them a great deal in maintaining adequate ambulance services and I would...I would appreciate your vote to override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 814 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 18, 2 voting Present. The motion on Senate Bill 814 having failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. 834, Senator Poshard. Senate Bill 334, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 834 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 834 is a very important bill. We speak often on this Floor of ways to create economic development opportunities for our areas. One of the most important questions that prospective business and industry ask when they move into an area is what is the labor-management atmosphere like in the area, do we have conflicts, do we have compatibility, those sort of things. We established over the past couple of years labor-management councils across the State of Illinois, they are not indigenous to any one area but they are spread all across the state. We established a program of a...having a fiscal life of three years for each of these councils. What this bill would do would seek to...make these councils permanent. It involves no money this year but it would extend the life of these labor-management councils beyond the present three-year period that they have to exist. That's essentially what the bill does. We are not attempting to override the Governor's veto of eight hundred thousand dollars for the life of this bill or anything. We're simply asking to establish the mechanism for operating assistance for these already established labor-management councils and I'd appreciate your support of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of Senator Poshard's motion. Three years ago Senator Kelly and I sponsored the bill that created the labor-management councils and they are functioning quite well and it would be a tragedy that we, in fact, terminate this very, very worthwhile activity. As Senator Poshard has indicated we are not going for the override on the eight hundred thousand dollars of money,

we just want to keep these groups going and a lot of them are working with local money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Further discussion? Question is, shall Senate Bill 834 pass, the veto of...of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 834 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 883, Senator Friedland. 8-8-3, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 883 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Friedland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Friedland.

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. In 1986 three small elementary districts in McHenry County voted to consolidate and they did so, and this bill would have correct current law which penalizes districts in counties where assessors are efficient and the tax money is received by school districts before June 30th. The school districts argue that the disbursement of early tax money should not be used in the computation of debt. I understand this legislation would affect a handful of districts throughout the state, I know in Senator Luft's area and Senator Hawkinson's area. I am aware of existing consolidation moves that will not be voted on if this legislation does not pass and we submit to you that the Governor mistakenly vetoed this bill and we urge you to support an override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 883 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 833 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 945, Senator Holmberg. Senate Bill 945, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 945 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Holmberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Senate Bill 945 was one of the omnibus bills that the Governor vetoed dealing with parenting instruction pilot programs. The reason...was the reason he gave for Senator DeAngelis' bill which I cosponsored on entrepreneurship that it would cost money to the state. The accompanying appropriation bill I kept in committee because I was fearful that there would not be adequate money to fund the program. This is...merely the statutory language. The Illinois PTA called it the most important bill to pass the Illinois Legislature this year. The Governor chose not to even issue a separate Veto Message on why he vetoed the bill. Let me tell you a little bit about it. The bill sets up several very small pilot programs as money becomes available to provide a minimum of thirty-six hours of instruction to parents of first born children during the mother's third trimester of pregnancy. Missouri instituted these pilot programs and, by the

way, they put the Statute on the books one year before they were able to find any money. They instituted the pilot programs five years ago. The children from those programs are entering school this year. Those children who come from all classes of life, it's a mixed economic bag, are testing at the eighty-fifth percentile in learning skills. The control group is testing at the fifty-fourth percentile. It's costing an average of a little over three hundred dollars a family and every family will probably have at least two children. It is a very inexpensive educational program to give sixty percent more learning skills to children. When we talk about the problems facing our educational system be it in Chicago or anyplace else, we're always looking for something like this that can finally get at the true problems that many young families are facing in not having their families, the grandparents and other people around them to help them with that early start. The Governor must have thought pretty highly of the program because this week he has sent a delegation to Missouri including Ted Sanders, educational people from his office, people from the City of Springfield and Irving Harris from the private foundations to look at the program. The Governor of Missouri has called a group together to study it. The City of Springfield plans to move ahead whether or not there is any money and I think it would be in the best interest of the state that the State Board of Ed., which is very excited about the program also, have the statutory language on the books so that they can do an oversight and see if we can do as well as Missouri. I ask for your favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 945 pass, the veto of the...of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted

*SB 1226
override*

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 19,
none voting Present. The motion on Senate Bill 945 having
failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared
lost. 1103, Senator Jones...I'm sorry, 1003, Senator Jones.
1005, Senator Jones. 1057, leave to come back to it. 1194,
Senator Smith. Senator Smith, 1194? 1197, Senator Smith.
1226, Senator Dudycz. On the Order of Motions Override,
Senate Bill 1226, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1226 do pass, the veto of the
Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator
Dudycz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDY CZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 1226 passed out of
the Senate 59 to nothing, 114 to nothing out of the House.
It creates the Elder Abuse and Neglect Act. What the bill
does provides for voluntary reporting of suspected abuse or
neglect and immunity for that action. The bill requires the
Department on Aging to design and maintain the statewide pro-
gram to assess reports of abuse or neglect of persons sixty
years old or older and to provide necessary services. It
requires the department to report annually to the Governor
and the General Assembly on the program, and the proposal
comes as a result of four elder abuse demo programs, and I
move that we override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Ralph Dunn.

SENATOR RALPH DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I, too,
urge that this veto be overridden. There's no money in this

bill, there's no money intended to be appropriated for it this year. One of the main reasons to have it now and the reason that the area...agencies on aging want it is the immunity that's granted under the bill so that they can continue to report senior citizen abuse and neglect without being...having the protection of immunity. If we don't pass the bill, we probably could pass it next year but we'd have a year lapse in the immunity that's granted to the people who work in the elder abuse. I happen to know that this bill is needed badly, it's needed by the area aging...on aging and it's been in effect in four areas including southern Illinois for the past year or two. There's no money involved in this and we don't intend to ask for an override on the money. So I'd urge for an Aye vote please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Question of the sponsor. If this is as good and noble as everybody appears to say it is, why in the world would the Governor veto it? What was his reason?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCYZ:

Your...your question is as good as mine, Senator. This is an administration bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I think...you know, what's fair is fair. If the Governor said we can't afford some of these programs and...and he did it apparently in a bipartisan fashion and I think he ought to be sustained. The fact is it does cost some money and we don't have the money. I...you know, I...I just don't think we ought to be overriding.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, as the chief sponsor of this bill and the chief sponsor of this bill which got it from the Governor's Office on senior problems which this was one of the main categories besides the insurance bill that was gathered from all the public hearings held around the state. We had four pilot programs, there is no money that was appropriated for this and we're not going to ask for the override on the appropriation, but they do need to keep the fact that these...area agencies can give their reporting. I would appreciate a Yes vote. This is a very important thing to the senior citizens throughout the state, the pilot program has proved its need. The public hearings substantiated that and the fact that it was administration bill in the beginning and that's why I had it and why he vetoed it, Senator Rock, somebody on his staff gave him very, very poor advice and that's part of the crux of the problem. I urge all of you to vote Aye to override this veto and let's give the senior citizens something to fight with.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Dudycz may close. All right. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1226 pass, the veto to the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1226 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 1263, Senator Holmberg. Senate Bill 1-2-6-3, Madam Secretary.

SB 1266
Override

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1263 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator...Joyce Holmberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Senate Bill 1263 establishes a model project grant program to encourage the development of a systems approach to student counseling in kindergarten through grade nine. It is also one of the eighty-four bills vetoed as a group because the Governor maintains there's no revenue to support the new programs. All of this money comes from Federal funds and the State Board of Ed. is behind the program a hundred percent and is ready to fund these programs with those Federal funds. There was, I think, very bad advice to the Governor on this particular program. We're ready to go, there is no reason to not have this language in state law because this program is about to begin.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1263 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 23, none voting Present. The motion on Senate Bill 1263 having...failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. 1266, Senator Savickas. Senate Bill 1266, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1266 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator...Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Bill 1266 was put into...tried to answer an apparent problem we have in the mortgage industry and that is that when...you get a commitment or file for a commitment that they should...within sixty days either give you the commitment or tell you why and just forget it. Many times they drag it on, drag it on, sixty, ninety, a hundred and twenty days; interest rates change and you...you...you are still waiting for your commitment. The Governor's veto explains that the delay is not a feasible thing, it would cause problems to both the borrower and the institution. I take a little exemption to that. I have in the file we cut out from a Springfield paper that Germania Mortgage Corporation gives a money-back nine-day approval guarantee...nine-day approval guarantee by Germania Mortgage Corporation and I guess they are under Federal lending practices. If a mortgage corporation can give you a nine-day approval guarantee, I do not see why our savings and loan institutions here in Illinois can't...can't process your application in sixty days. We all have constituents who have had this problem, they've had their commitments. They can't go out to another lending institution, they put up the front money to get this mortgage and they can't apply to another one and this one institution may be locking them up for ninety, a hundred and twenty days. I would suggest for our...constituents that we tell them that, yes, we are trying to solve the problem. If they're looking for a mortgage here in Illinois, let's have a reasonable period, let's do it in sixty days because I think that if the Federal Government and the Federal institutions are doing it in nine days that we will have our Congressmen going in to intervene in our state business in trying to get these things

done for their constituents. I would move its...that we override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would rise in support of Senator Savickas' motion. We looked at this in the Financial Institutions Committee. I'm not saying this doesn't push some of the institutions occasionally to make a decision but you just...it is not fair for a person who is applying for a mortgage to be left hanging month after month. This may cause a little bit of a problem upfront but when you set this kind of standard, the institutions know about it, they recognize they have to live up to it and for ninety percent on this should not be a problem for them to meet this standard. It is a reasonable consumer protection issue and we probably should support the override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1266 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1266 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the...Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 1267, Senator Savickas. Senate Bill 1-2-6-7, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1267 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Savickas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, basically Senate Bill 1267 would require the ICC to study the cost and benefits of implementing life support consumer rates for individuals that are dependent on life support systems and to analyze the effects on other consumers and report to the General Assembly. The estimated cost when the Governor vetoed this bill was...for the study is thirty thousand dollars. The ICC claims that they have already compiled most of the material for the study. There are other states that have implemented life support rates for individuals dependent on life support systems. We're talking about heart and lung machines, kidney dialysis, et cetera. If the study is almost complete and the total cost would have been thirty thousand, I am sure without any appropriation that the study can be completed without any additional funds or expending any funds, and I think that we should mandate the ICC to complete the study so that we know what we are doing for our constituents that are required to use these life support machines and I would move the overriding of the Governor's veto.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1267 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 18, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1-2-6-7 having received the required three-fifths...vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Page 23...the top of page 23, 1384, Senator Thomas Dunn. 1-3-8-4, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1384 do pass, the veto of the

Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Thomas Dunn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill would establish mobile units of trained personnel to deal with gang problems throughout the state and would require the state police to consult with community leaders. I ask for a favorable...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion?

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Pardon me, wrong file. Let me shift gears here a minute. As I was saying, Mr. President, this bill would create the Township Plan Commission and would give standing to township plan commissions where they had an objection in front of a board...the county board and give them standing for a three-quarters vote requirement. This does not affect Cook County or DuPage. It is an attempt to give townships a voice in the planning where they currently have none. This would permit the townships some control over growth...the rapid growth that is taking place in many townships that are in the Chicagoland area. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this is a terrible bill. Many of us voted for it because it was on the Agreed Bill List and we didn't catch it. The Illinois Municipal League is against this bill, and I can tell you something else, the township wants to have more power just...the township by having this planning commission unless you get three-fourths vote of the county board to overrule the township, the township mandate stands. That's

not the function of a township to begin with. I think we're going way astray and I urge a No...absolutely No vote on this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. I am the minority spokesman of the Local Government and this bill did come through our committee, and I wonder if the sponsor would yield for a couple of questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

This bill allows townships to have the authority for zoning. What happens if municipalities are within a mile and a half...and the zoning is going to be within a mile and a half of that municipality?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Dunn...Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This only affects unincorporated not municipalities, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

...all municipalities have in their master plan zoning within a mile and a half of the...of the limits. If somebody has something that they want zoned and it's within a mile and a half of that municipality, whose zoning takes precedent, townships, counties or municipalities?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Thomas Dunn.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

It is not a question of precedent. It's...it's a ques-

tion of giving the township plan organization the right to object and to create the necessity of a three-quarters vote in front of the county board.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Well, to the bill, I...I think that's the...the whole problem with the bill. I mean we are now getting townships involved where municipalities and counties are already involved; in other words, somebody has a zoning problem and it's within a mile and a half of any municipality, what they're going to have to do is they're going to have to satisfy not only the municipality and the county, now they're going to have to satisfy the township, and if the township rules one way and the county rules another way, then the county is going to have to come up with the three-fifths vote to override the township. You know, zoning is tough enough and to add another layer of government on top of it is just getting to the point where we won't be able to get anything zoned or...and...and...and people are going to be so confused, they're just going to sort of throw their hands up in the air and say what in the world is government doing. I would strongly suggest a...a No vote and don't override the Governor's veto, I think his logic is...is a good one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUIZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

With all due deference, Mr. President and members, to our spokesman on the Local Government Committee and to my distinguished colleague and...and mayor from Lake County, I do acknowledge that this creates a new power...gives a new power to township government. However, I also recognize the great frustration that many of our constituents have expressed with the lack of effective zoning controls under the existing

system in those parts of our state that are most subject to rapid growth. For that reason, I see no compelling reason why Cook County and DuPage County should be exempt from this bill since...since parts of...certainly suburban Cook and...and DuPage are the most rapidly growing area but I...I hope I get through my remarks before I'm interrupted by this looming presence to my left. But let me...let me just say in the face of formidable opposition, Mr. President, that...that I...I do think the time has come to...to give townships this power and it...and it only...only triggers the requirement for the extraordinary majority vote on the part of the counties and...and would not allow them to block zoning altogether. And, therefore, I urge support for the override motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, as one and maybe like some of the others have served in this...who serve in this Body have spent ten years as the chairman of a planning zoning subdivision committee of a county board and a past township official, I rise up in total opposition to overriding this. I wonder how many of you dealt with...with zoning on...on a everyday base as those of us who were on a committee or had the privilege of being a chairman of such a committee. But you add this additional rule in the law that says you're going to have a township zoning committee, first, to get anybody to serve will be difficult. Two, what are you going to do about the mile and a half that's in the Illinois Municipal Code that says whichever is the toughest prevails et cetera? This is not a good bill and, Senator, you're a great guy but this is a terrible bill. I don't know who gave it to you but I urge everybody to vote No or just don't vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Thomas Dunn may close.

SENATOR THOMAS DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I certainly appreciate the...the pressure is put on Senator Barkhausen there, the relentless attack while he tried to exercise free speech and I in my long-term here have...ten months have learned not to argue with the two lady Senators. I think there are a lot of people who live in counties where there isn't any planning commission and this would give to the township some right and I don't think we ought to be afraid to give the people in the township a right to determine their own destiny. So I, therefore, ask for a favorable vote to override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1384 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 5, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1384 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill 1400, Senator Severns. Senator Severns, 1400? All right, Senate Bill 1-4-0-0, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1400 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Severns.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1412 increases the maximum sentence for first

degree murder from forty to sixty years. It increases the extended term sentence for first degree murder from forty to sixty years, to sixty...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Senator...

SENATOR SEVERNS:

...to sixty...from forty to sixty years to sixty...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

...Senator, we're on Senate Bill 1400.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Oh, I'm sorry, roll it. 1412.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

All right. Let's take 1400 out of the record. Senate Bill 1412, Hadam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1412 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Severns.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEWUZIO)

Senator Severns.

SENATOR SEVERNS:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 1412 increases the maximum sentence for first degree murder from forty to sixty years. It increases the extended term sentence for first degree murder from forty to sixty years to sixty to one hundred years. This bill would make permissive not mandatory the imposition of longer sentences. It is something that has been requested by judges throughout the state and it would still maintain the discretion and flexibility for judges to...to make that call. While the Governor states that the average sentence for first degree murder is thirty-four years, this is not necessarily the average term of actual incarceration. For those who believe that those who commit horrendous crime of murder that

those criminals should stay behind bars, I would urge their vote on this override motion.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1412 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 3, none voting Present. Senate Bill 1412 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Senator Philip has a special guest he'd like to introduce. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. It gives me great pride and pleasure to introduce to you...Cindy Hodgkins who is Miss Illinois from the great city and village of Schaumburg and happens to reside in the 23rd Senatorial District and she is a beauty.

MS. CINDY HODGKINS:

(Remarks by Ms. Cindy Hodgkins)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

All right. We're about to complete the Calendar. Senate Bill 1461. Senate Bill 1461, Madam Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1461 do pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Filed by Senator Poshard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill would authorize the Department of

Aging to award a grant to a public university within the state to examine the state's funding and delivery of services for senior citizens residing in rural areas. We know that during the past year there's been several...quite a bit of discussion about the formula in regard to the funding of senior citizens' needs in the State of Illinois. In downstate rural Illinois, there are some things that are peculiar to this delivery system that we think needs to be studied to determine just in what manner and how much we need to incorporate into that formula to support our senior citizens. We have greater transportation needs, we don't have as many civic organizations that contribute to the needs of the senior citizens as you might have in the suburbs or the city. We have a relative poverty factor which is also something that needs to be considered and this would simply be a feasibility study determining the needs of those senior citizens in rural Illinois and how the state should go about trying to service those needs and I would appreciate your support of this bill...override.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

If I may ask a question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

How would this type of a study for which it's going to cost twenty-five thousand dollars...how would this change what was done by the Department on Aging when they held hearings around the state in the last two years in terms of trying to determine a funding formula for the state in terms of senior citizen needs?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

I think some of the things that were determined by more or less an arbitrary fashion by the department were that people that live in the downstate rural areas ought not to probably have any of those things that I just mentioned factored in at least to the level that they were being factored into the formula for servicing senior citizen agencies. Transportation, relative poverty, those kinds of things, the weighting that had previously been a part of the formula was made less during the past year by the Department of Aging. We're saying that a study needs to be completed to determine whether in fact that should have happened. We think there are many, many factors that create a greater need for senior citizen services at least factored needs...weighted needs in the downstate rural areas then maybe perhaps exist in the suburban or city areas.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Another question, if I might. Why was this not extended statewide to all of the various areas on senior citizens because that is, indeed, what did occur in attempting to create a statewide funding formula on senior citizens' issues over the past two years?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

The only answer I have for that is because the peculiar problem that we face in downstate Illinois are the needs of the senior citizens where we exist. I have no problem with funding a statewide feasibility study for all seniors, but we're...we feel that there are things in the rural areas that contribute more heavily to the needs of the seniors than you have in the urban areas, that's all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 1461 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 21, none voting Present. The motion on Senate Bill 1461 having failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. Senator Rock, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. A number of the members have constituency groups awaiting their presence, so I would move that we stand adjourned until nine o'clock tomorrow morning. We'll start at nine o'clock right on the Calendar and with a little luck we can be finished by noon.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Senator...

SENATOR ROCK:

So I move we stand adjourned until nine o'clock tomorrow morning.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

...Senator Macdonald, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR MACDONALD:

Mr. President, inadvertently on Senate Bill 1263 I voted Yes when I was trying to hit my No button. I would like to have the record show that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right. Well, electronic medium will indicate. Senator Rock has moved that the Senate stand adjourned till tomorrow morning at the hour of nine. The Senate stands adjourned.

01/13/88
13:45

STATE OF ILLINOIS
85TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 1

OCTOBER 21, 1987

SB-0001 MOTION	PAGE	3
SB-0022 MOTION	PAGE	62
SB-0200 MOTION	PAGE	64
SB-0218 MOTION	PAGE	4
SB-0226 MOTION	PAGE	23
SB-0255 MOTION	PAGE	68
SB-0400 MOTION	PAGE	24
SB-0434 MOTION	PAGE	71
SB-0441 MOTION	PAGE	5
SB-0454 MOTION	PAGE	72
SB-0478 MOTION	PAGE	6
SB-0482 MOTION	PAGE	7
SB-0504 MOTION	PAGE	74
SB-0646 MOTION	PAGE	8
SB-0670 MOTION	PAGE	75
SB-0687 MOTION	PAGE	76
SB-0749 MOTION	PAGE	26
SB-0804 MOTION	PAGE	79
SB-0809 MOTION	PAGE	9
SB-0814 MOTION	PAGE	81
SB-0834 MOTION	PAGE	82
SB-0883 MOTION	PAGE	84
SB-0909 MOTION	PAGE	10
SB-0926 MOTION	PAGE	11
SB-0945 MOTION	PAGE	85
SB-1052 MOTION	PAGE	14
SB-1113 MOTION	PAGE	15
SB-1215 MOTION	PAGE	13
SB-1226 MOTION	PAGE	87
SB-1263 MOTION	PAGE	89
SB-1266 MOTION	PAGE	90
SB-1267 MOTION	PAGE	92
SB-1335 MOTION	PAGE	16
SB-1384 MOTION	PAGE	93
SB-1400 MOTION	PAGE	98
SB-1400 OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	99
SB-1412 MOTION	PAGE	99
SB-1461 MOTION	PAGE	100
SB-1484 MOTION	PAGE	18
SB-1498 MOTION	PAGE	19
SB-1513 MOTION	PAGE	28
SB-1520 SECOND READING	PAGE	29
SB-1534 FIRST READING	PAGE	2
SB-1535 FIRST READING	PAGE	21
SB-1536 FIRST READING	PAGE	21
SR-0598 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	21
SR-0599 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	21
SR-0600 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	21
SR-0601 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	21
SR-0602 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	21
SR-0603 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	21
SR-0604 RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	22

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND CHARLES KYLE	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
MESSAGES FROM THE HOUSE	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	2
SENATOR DAVIDSON - INTRO. OF GUESTS	PAGE	20
SENATOR DAVIDSON - INTRO. OF GUESTS	PAGE	22
SENATOR PHILIP - INTRO. OF GUEST	PAGE	100
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	103