

84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

JUNE 18, 1986

PRESIDENT:

The Senate will please come to order. Will the members be at their desks. Will our guests in the gallery kindly rise. Prayer this afternoon by the Reverend Mary Catherine Pierce, Gibson City and Elliott United Methodist Churches, Gibson City, Illinois. Reverend.

REVEREND MARY CATHERINE PIERCE:

(Prayer given by Reverend Pierce)

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, very much, Reverend. Reading of the Journal, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Tuesday, June the 10th; Wednesday, June the 11th and Thursday, June the 12th, in the year 1986.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Mr. President, I move that the Journals just read by the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Poshard. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the Journal of Tuesday, June 17th, in the year 1986, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journal.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Poshard. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it is so ordered. Committee reports, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senator Carroll, chairman of Appropriations I Committee, reports out the following House bills:

House Bill 3343 with the recommendation Do Pass.

House Bills 2625, 2688, 2878, 2974, 2975, 2976, 2977, 2978, 2979, 2980, 2981, 2982, 2986, 2989, 2990, 2991,...2992, 2994, 2998, 2999, 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003, 3004, 3005, 3006, 3035, 3093, 3101, 3253, 3255 and 3257 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

Senator Sangmeister,...chairman of Executive Committee, reports Senate Joint Resolution No. 144 with no recommendation.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, thank you. Now that it has been read in by the Secretary, I believe a motion is in order to move Senate Joint Resolution 144 from the Secretary's Table to the Secretary's Desk Resolutions on the Calendar.

PRESIDENT:

All right, you've heard the motion as placed by Senator Sangmeister. It concerns Senate Joint Resolution 144 and he's asked leave, pursuant to direction of the committee, that it be placed on the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions. Without objection, leave is granted. Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1070 offered by Senator Geo-Karis. It's congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 1071 offered by Senator Savickas, Lemke, Rock and all Senators, and it's congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 1072 offered by Senator Savickas...Senator Savickas and Rock and...and all Senators, and it's congratulatory.

Senate Resolution 1073, by Senators Lechowicz and all

Senators, and it's a death resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. Senator Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I ask leave of the Body to be listed as the principal sponsor of Senate Resolution 1069. Senator Nedza is presently listed as the chief sponsor. That was done in error. It should be Senator Lechowicz.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Gentleman asks leave to be shown as the chief sponsor on Senate Resolution 1069. Without objection, leave is granted. All right, if I can have your attention, as we...Senator Degnan, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. On a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDENT:

State your point, sir.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Like to introduce to the assembled Senators some constituents of Senator Jeremiah Joyce, my brother, Bob, and his family, my mother, Bernice Degnan.

PRESIDENT:

Welcome to Springfield. Will our guests please stand and be recognized. All right, the procedure will be, we will start on page 12 on the Calendar on the Order of Senate Bills...2nd...House Bills 2nd Reading, page 12 on the Calendar. We will go through, I...I hope, all the House bills on 2nd reading and then we will move to House bills on 3rd reading and we'll work until approximately five-thirty. House bills 2nd on page 12. Once we go through the seventy-two or seventy-three House bills on 2nd, we will then

HB 787
2nd reading

revert to the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, final passage, which begins on page 3. So, I'd ask those members who have bills in position to please be ready. With leave of the Body, we'll move to page 12 on the Calendar, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading. I'd ask the members to please open up their Calendars. If Senators Luft, Barkhausen, Netsch, Smith, Marovitz, Keats, Netsch, Netsch, Smith, DeAngelis, Marovitz...at the bottom of page 12, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 787. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 787.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Luft.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Luft on Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 787.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, ...thank you, Mr. President. The subject matter of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 787 is the supplemental licensing. The original intent of the supplemental license was to allow licensed automobile dealers to conduct business within their market area. Unfortunately, the supplemental license has been used to allow individuals to go anywhere in the State of Illinois, hold weekend sales, permanently leave the area and not to be available to answer consumer inquiries regarding vehicles sold at the location. What we're doing with Amendment No. 1 is to amend the Illinois Vehicle Code and provide that the Secretary of State shall not issue a supplemental license outside the relevant market area of any licensed dealers.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Luft has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 787. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senators Luft and Davidson.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

I'd ask leave to withdraw that amendment, please.

PRESIDENT:

Withdraw that amendment, Mr. Secretary. Senator Barkhausen on 913. Senator Luft, there is another amendment on 787. Are you aware of that? All right, with leave of the Body, we'll return 787 to the Order of 2nd Reading. I'm sorry, I made a mistake. When he withdrew that amendment there was already a third one filed. So, this will be Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 787, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2, by Senator O'Daniel.

PRESIDENT:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

...thank...thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This bill really cleans up House Bill...this amendment, House Bill 178, the tarpaulin bill, and it changes the violation from a moving violation to an equipment violation.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator O'Daniel has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 787. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

HB 1130
2nd reading

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 913, Senator Barkhausen does not wish to call. 1130, Senator Netsch. 1-1-3-0. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, the top of page 13, is House Bill 1130. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1130.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Netsch on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 is really the text of House Bill 1155 which was not permitted out of the Rules Committee. I think seven of the provisions...or...or...three or four of the provisions are...are very technical points that were requested by the Illinois Department of Revenue, a matter of conforming some penalty provisions that had gotten confused over a period of time and so forth. I really don't think they are of particular controversy. There is one provision that is quite substantive that...that a number of people here are interested in, including Senators Rigney and O'Daniel, and that is the provision which kind of redefines the cost against which the private used car tax...sales tax, if you will, will be extended. The situation is thus, that if two private individuals exchange automobiles, the Department of Revenue had interpreted our used car tax, our private vehicle tax, as requiring them to assess the sales tax against the full price market value of both, so that if I trade you a ten thousand dollar Cadillac and take in return a seven thousand dollar

HB 1556
2nd Reading

Buick or whatever, the...each of us is paying...or...or each is paying tax on the full amount, the ten thousand dollars and the seven thousand dollars instead of the difference between the two. I don't think that is what anyone thought would be the case. There have been instances and this is in part designed to correct that. The other provisions, as I indicated, are quite technical and requested by the department. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1130.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1130. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1321, Senator Netsch. 1446. 1477, Senator Marovitz. 1556, Senator Keats. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 1556. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1556.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit Regulations offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Keats on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Several days ago I had distributed to every member of the Senate copies of Committee Amendments 2 and 3 with a note from me telling you what they were and then a letter from the Commissioner of Banks on his personal stationery with the seventeen points that are covered, and if anyone has any questions, I'm glad to answer them but this is a rewrite of the Reciprocal Bank Holding Company Act of last year. These are technical changes. They are supported by all the various banking groups but I'm more than willing to answer any questions anyone has but would appreciate affirmative roll call...

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Keats has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1556. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical amendment. The second amendment...as occasionally happens, had several technical flaws, some spelling, et cetera, this corrects them and there's nothing in here but technical, numbers and spelling.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Keats has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1556. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1675, Senator Netsch. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, the middle of page 13, is House Bill 1675. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1675.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Netsch on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. The bill deals with an acceleration of the local sales tax monies that are due to our local units of government. The amendment simply synchronizes some dates that were referred to in this process, again, requested by the Department of Revenue to clarify the acceleration dates. It's fairly technical. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1675.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Netsch has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1675. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1865, Senator Smith. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, the bottom of page 13, is House Bill 1865. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1865.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Smith on Committee Amendment No. 1...no committee amendments. Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Schaffer.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President, Amendment No. 1 has to deal with the...to do with the subject of copayments. It would make the copayment concept mandatory instead of optional and move it from one dollar to...let's see...I'm trying to get my...at least one dollar...up...up to fifteen percent of the cost for drugs and three dollars to fifteen percent of the total cost for outpatient and clinical services.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1865. Any discussion? Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, I just recently got some information on this. Senator Schaffer, I've been told that this amendment is unnecessary because the department presently has the authority to institute copayment by rules. Is that a true statement or false?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

...they do have the authority but there's a maximum and this increases the amount.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

You'll have to excuse me from reading from a...a sheet that I have here because I just received this and we wanted to get some clarification. It is also stated that your amendment would cause incredible hardship to recipients needing medical services. "Those receiving aid to families with dependent children and general assistance presently are receiving fifty percent of the standard of need. Half of the interim assistants...recipients of aid the aged, blind and disabled receive less than general assistant recipients. And many families and recipients must already spend down their income to very low levels in order to receive that medical assistance. So, in fact, they do not pay for the assistance they receive." What is your response to that?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I'll read my script. No,...I think I already have, to be honest with you. Frankly, those problems, I think, could be addressed by the rule making authority of the department.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Well,...from what I've been able to gather at this late date with your amendment that it's an overkill from what I can see and I would ask everybody to...you know, take a good look at what this amendment does and...before accepting it.

PRESIDENT:

All right,...further discussion on Amendment No. 1?
Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer. Indicates he'll yield, Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Senator, I would like to know, is this amendment now...does it mean that you and your colleagues on the other side of the aisle is ready for an amendment to raise the public aid recipients up to the standard...of fifty percent of the standard of need?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

A separate issue completely, Senator.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Well, I...I don't think...you know, it is not a separate issue. You're talking about increasing their budget and you're talking about an increased need for them to expend revenue that they don't have. So if we are going to do this, then it...it stands to reason that we are going to increase them up if we are now below funding them at the standard of need as...as established by this State. Then if you are going to increase the use and the need for them to have to pay out money, it is common sense that now we're ready to...to bring them up to at least the fifty percent of the standard of need which most certainly does not respond to the critical need of ADC recipients, and...due to the fact that they are currently now on a flat grant system, where in God's name will they ever get the additional money? Maybe it seems

like one dollar or two dollars doesn't mean very much to you, but I can assure you, there are many times where ADC recipients and other people on fixed income, a dollar is an awful lot of money because it will get a loaf of bread when the food stamps run out or it will get some medicine, over the counter kinds of things...to...to put on sores for their children that they can't get through prescription drugs and it will buy an additional pair of socks for a kid to go to school. So, a dollar is important. Three dollars is...is very critical to their budget.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Further discussion? Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President and to members of the Senate. I listened to my colleague on the other side seeking to present a...a resolution...I mean an amendment to this bill...of 1865 which is dealing with the very poor, those who do not have any aid whatsoever and those who are working poor seeking to survive and to remove themselves from the public aid rolls, and now he says that if they have any medical problems with their children that they are supposed to pay to the hospital as a copayment...to the hospital and they are...expected to pay the bill even though they don't have money. I'd like to know, where does he think that they are going to get the money to pay these bills? You're going to keep mothers who have sick babies from seeking any type of medical aid whatsoever and you don't seem to be very careful about them. Now the Department of Public Aid says that they currently do not ask for copayments, and if that is the case, why are we increasing the amount expected to pay when they don't do it now? And then the next thing, you talked about when the mother has a sick baby, possibly on a Sunday, and has an emergency that she wants to go to the hospital. If she does

not know what the case is or what her sick...the child's sickness is, she's expected to pay for that treatment to the hospital. I think that that's...excuse the vernacular of the street, and I do not curse, but I think that this is a damnable thing to say to a very poor person who is seeking to raise ourself by our bootstraps. You're trying to keep people from...that needs medical need from going to the hospital and it is said that the true measure of a society is how we treat the unfortunate people. I understand that this same issue came up five years ago, Senator Schaffer, and it was defeated in committee as a Floor amendment as it was a Conference Committee...report, and at that time, Senator Totten was handling this issue. I don't think that it is very wise for you to ask people who do not have to ask them to do the impossible. I say to this Body, please, if you have any sensitivity about you at all, to help these mothers who are trying to help themselves. They don't want to stay on public aid. Who wants to stay...but their situation because of all these cuts that we're getting, because they can't help themselves, they're trying to help themselves and here you are trying to make it harder for them. What goes around comes around. These mothers cannot afford it...they want to help themselves, and I ask that you please, please, of all mercy...if we want to help these people to help themselves and get off of welfare, I ask that you disregard this amendment and let the bill go as it is, 1865. Thank you, sir.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the sponsor a couple of questions if I could, please.

PRESIDENT:

...sponsor indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR WATSON:

This doesn't at all inhibit a public aid recipient from going to the doctor's office and providing for medical care to their...and we're...we're just talking about emergency room. Isn't that right?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

...that is exactly the thrust of that portion of the amendment. People should go to the doctor, not to the emergency room. A doctor's call is twelve to twenty dollars, an emergency room is seventy-five bucks an hour. When there's no cost differential, when it's just as cheap 'cause you aren't paying anything, you'll go to the emergency room and nick the taxpayers for seventy-five; whereas, if it's just a matter of a regular, normal type situation, you'll go to the doctor's office and save the taxpayers money. All we're saying is that if you go to the doctor's office, we aren't asking you for a copayment, but if you're going to go to the emergency room and...and let's face it, the...the statistics indicate an awful lot of the emergency room is pretty mundane, normal kind of stuff that could be accommodated in regular doctor's calls that you and I would make if...if we were...if we had the choice. We need to encourage the appropriate uses of those limited resources we have available and that's the purpose of that concept...that portion of this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

So, your opinion is, we're going to save a considerable amount of money in regard to something like this and with the fiscal situation that we have in our State, this is a responsible amendment. Do you agree?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, obviously, I do and that's the purpose of the amendment is to prevent overutilization and drugs, and I refer back to the publicity of our departed colleague and we know about the drug utilization. When it's free, nobody cares, get as much as you can, that's human nature, it's human nature in my part of the State, it's human nature in any part of the State. Our concern has been that the department while they've had the optional power to go to copayments has not. We're trying to send them a message. I'm willing to negotiate on the dollar and three dollar amount to go back to what we had originally, but the fact is the department thus far has not chosen to implement copayments for whatever reasons they have, and if we can send them a message with this legislation, then I think it's a good step in the right direction.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well,...excuse me, I was off the Floor when you initially brought the amendment out. What is the copayment for a...a prescription in a drugstore?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

It's a...at least one dollar to fifteen percent of the total cost.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, I think this is...personally, a good amendment. As a pharmacist and...and someone who sees the abuses of this daily in the drugstore where people will literally bring in

bags of bottles of prescriptions and say fill them up when they know that they aren't responsible for the payment is a real situation that's happening out there every day. I see no reason in asking these people to pay something for the medication that they're receiving. And I know another situation that's very real...at least in my community is that the public aid recipient will go to the emergency room knowing full well they don't have to wait necessarily as long as they have to wait in a doctor's office and they know that they'll get immediate care and they'll...immediate consideration. The idea that we're taking something away from these people is not necessarily...true. I think that we're...all we're trying to do is ask them to be somewhat responsible. I don't see any problem with this whatsoever. I'd urge your support.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion on Amendment No. 1? Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield to a question, please?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

...according to our...my staff and in my reading...my hasty reading of this amendment, I don't see the limitation to emergency room services. Did you represent that this related only to emergency room services?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

It's my understanding here that it...that that portion of the amendment is limited to the emergency room...the other portion related to drug sales. So, I...I don't want to say that, you know, it...it was just emergency room because it's copayment for that and then a copayment for drug sales also,

but it's my impression that no...no copayment shall exist for...okay, pardon me, the...the language should...that...that I thought was there, it says that "No copayment shall exist for medical room...encounters which are for medical emergencies." Obviously, if that person is brought in in an ambulance, I'm not accusing them of being an overutilizer, but if they come in, you know, for a...a bottle of cough medicine that they could have gotten at a doctor's office...you know, on a regular appointment for twelve dollars as opposed to seventy-five, I think we have a right to discourage that kind of activity in...in...in all parts of the State, I'm not singling out any particular area.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

That...that wasn't the point I was making, Senator. I thought I understood you to say that this bill related only to emergency room services and not to the normal services received in a doctor's office, clinics and so forth. And if they...if you said that, I don't read the bill that way and I'd like to have that clarified.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

The amendment that I'm talking about dealt with emergency rooms services which would be a three dollar copayment charge. The existing law references a one dollar copayment charge for a medical call. In other words, if you go to a doctor for a normal thing, there's a one dollar copayment; if you go to an emergency room, it's a three dollar copayment. What we're trying to do is put an incentive in to encourage use of regular doctor's appointments as opposed to emergency services, that portion...the doctor's portion is nothing I'm amending, that's why I was stumbling over here.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Senator, I'm...I'm sympathetic with your...your idea of limitation on abuse of the emergency room and the use of the emergency room only for emergency purposes, but am I correct in saying to you that this bill isn't limited to that and, as a matter of fact, a copayment is required under this bill for ordinary medical services. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

A...a copayment under the current legislation is for regular medical services a dollar. All this bill does...all the amendment does is amend the current law to say that emergency room instead of being a dollar would be three dollars, so, obviously, you save two bucks if you make a...regular appointment with your doctor; and it also goes on to say if you have a real medical emergency, this doesn't affect you. And I think it's a reasonable proposal and reasonably drafted.

PRESIDENT:

All right, the question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1. We have five or six individual Senators who have sought recognition. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Very honestly, there's two reasons why we should support Senator Schaffer's amendment. One is the Federal cutbacks and, of course, we're three hundred and eight million dollars short on revenue this year I believe, and why shouldn't people on...public aid pie for the part of the cost. No reason whatsoever. It may slow them down a little bit going to the doctor every fifteen minutes. We all ought

to give this a good Aye vote.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you. Question for the sponsor. Senator Schaffer...

PRESIDENT:

...Senator Schaffer indicates he will yield, Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

...Senator Schaffer, ...what is the position of Director Coler and the Illinois Department of Public Aid on this amendment?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

It is not...it is not their amendment and to the best of my knowledge, they have no position.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

What about the Illinois Hospital Association?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Same statement.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

What about the Illinois Medical Society?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Same statement.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

...I may be in error but it's my understanding that the...both the medical society and the hospital association are not supportive of this amendment...are not supportive of this amendment and I really think this amendment is not well-founded. I don't think it's in the best interest of the recipients in need and I think it's somewhat insensitive and I would urge opposition to this. The people who are the experts in the field are not supportive of this, including the director of the department most...most affected. So, I think we ought to rely on the experts and oppose this...this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, further discussion? Further discussion? We have a couple of members who indicate they wish to speak for a second time. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yeah, I...I apologize but...but I have to respond to something that Senator Schaffer said in reference to the emergency part. It is my understanding in reading this amendment that you're saying that if, in fact, that a mother takes her child...if a child gets sick at night...any night, not just on the weekends or Sunday, and because this mother is not a doctor, she is not trained to determine just how ill this baby may be and...and, believe it or not, I am a mother and I can understand when a little child, a baby gets sick that it is not always easy to determine the severity of that illness, but if, in fact, that that mother knows that she have to take...if she takes this baby into the emergency hospital and that...for whatever reason that it is not serious enough that she could not have waited till the next morning, she may be inclined not to take this child to the doctor at all. That is why probably that you don't get any

support for this kind of idea from the professionals because what we're going to end up on our hands is counting next year how many babies died because the mother decided that she rather stay at home with this child and wait until tomorrow morning rather than taking this child to the hospital tonight, and I'm going to tell you something, I don't think none of us want to play God here because that's what we're going to end up doing. We ought to defeat this amendment as it was before.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Schaffer, you know, you shouldn't be doing this. You really shouldn't and I'll tell you why. It's been said and...and it's been said correctly, when there is a...medical emergency, as a layman, as an individual, I don't know for sure if it's...a medical emergency or not. When I bring my child to the emergency room, I don't know if it's a true emergency or not, I'm not in the position to know. I'm not a doctor. I'm not a nurse. I can't make that decision. Now, according to your bill, it says that the department or appropriate medical personnel determines by justifiable medical standards that it is not an emergency, the copayment comes into effect. I've got to be penalized because I'm trying to do the best thing in the interest of the person that I'm trying to help, it may even be me and what am I confronted with and who does it affect? It affects people on the fringes of poverty, not just the poor, it affects people who are trying to get out of poverty, the working poor people who don't get grants from the department but do have medical assistance. It affects...these people and what do they have to come up with? Up to fifteen percent of the total cost. That's a lot of money to somebody who is on the fringes of poverty. This is a bad amendment,

Senator Schaffer, and it should be soundly defeated.

PRESIDENT:

All right, further discussion? Senator Watson, for the second time.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I do apologize for rising a second time but I think this point needs to be made. Unfortunately, I think health care ultimately in this...in this country is going to be available only to the very wealthy and only to the poor simply because...and for...for what Senator Collins mentioned is...is quite true. The...the...the middle-class America isn't going to take their child to emergency room and pay a hundred and fifty dollars to have that child looked at. They're going to wait until the next day because they're...they simply can't afford it and what we've got now is a situation in which the very poor receive public aid and the very wealthy, of course, can afford it. The middle-class America is simply getting squeezed out of quality health care, and I...I understand what she's saying but I think it's a real dilemma that we're going to have face in the future and I still think it's a good amendment and should be adopted. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Question on the adoption of Amendment No. 1...for the second time, Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I, too, stand...I'm sorry to stand for a second time but I...have to say...answer to Mr...or Senator Watson. He said that it...actually if his child was sick, he would wait until the next day. If your child is sick, you want everybody in the world to come to your aid at that particular time. I say these are women who are trying to get out of public aid, their jobs are very low paying jobs, they have asked that they receive Medicaid to

help their children get a grant to do this, but in the process and their children become sick and they have to go to the emergency room, their child is gaping, he's...his eyes is...and you're going to stand there and say I'll wait until the next day and go to a doctor and many of them do not have money to go to a doctor, their only relief is to get to an emergency room, then they go there...and because they do not know what the trouble is with their child, you're going to say you have to pay for this. Public aid and...and...and...public hospitals are to serve people and this is what you're supposed to do. This is insensitive for you to even stand up and offer an amendment of this sort, to say that a person even...they're just...just...just barely making it and some of these mothers don't even have jobs but they have children. If that bill...hospital bill is...five thousand dollars, they're going to have to pay fifteen percent...where are they going to get it? And we must remember that all poor people are not of one ethnic group, they are of all ethnic groups and they fall by circumstances and these things are something that they didn't ask for but is something that happens in the...in the natural life of...of life. I think that this is bad legislation and what goes around will come around. We are supposed to serve people and not try to make it hard for them to survive in our society. I think it's bad legislation, this...this amendment and I certainly hope and pray and ask this august Body if you'll be kind enough to vote against this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator Schaffer may close.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, I'm...you know it's...it's always difficult to be fiscally responsible and it's always difficult to make some judgment calls of this type. Let me suggest we're trying to accomplish three things by this amendment. One, we're trying

to get the department to implement a program that this Legislature passed and the department has thus far ignored, the concept of copayment. Two, we're trying to put a more realistic copayment in, and by the way, it's by rule of the department and...frankly, the department's past track record indicates to me that they would be most lenient by...in all of their rules and what was an emergency and on what amount was charged over the minimum, and their past track record would say they declare everything an emergency and it stays...stay with a minimum but they might get the program going. The other two things we are trying to address; one, we know, we had a pharmacist stand up here and say it and we've all heard it in our district about public aid recipients coming in for their free pills, bottle after bottle after bottle of taxpayer paid for pills. I don't begrudge anybody any medicine they really need, but other people who pay taxes need medicine and they ought to have some money to buy their medicine. We're just saying there ought to be a little bit of thought gone in to when you go in for those hundred free pills for...that are worth a buck sixty a piece. We're also trying...the third thing is we're trying to encourage people to use doctors' offices instead of emergency rooms. I would be the first to admit, this will amaze people, but I also have children and I've had to make judgment calls on whether to take the child to a doctor or to the emergency room and...couple of times I've run into the emergency room and...and maybe in a medical sense we could have debated whether it was an emergency and I admit that and I paid for, it by the way. I paid for it. I wrote the check and...and maybe having somebody write a little...much smaller check than I had to write or...or come up with a couple of bucks and think about it a little bit would make sense. We all know from our hospitals that they complain constantly that their emergency rooms are being used for normal day-to-day care

HB 1945
2nd reading

instead of true medical emergencies. If the bill would accomplish nothing else but shifting people to where they should be. And I'll tell you I...I don't speak for anymore than one vote on this Senate Floor, but I'll tell you this, if we can pass this and put this concept into law, I believe it'll save a lot of money and I would be willing to see that money go right back into the public aid budget to fund that cost of living thing that you're...a cause that everyone's always wanted or to do something for the homeless. Why not set some priorities in public aid? Why stop...why throw money away on pills that aren't necessary, emergency calls that are unduly expensive? Why not save some money? And I'll tell you, we can track if this amendment passes and we save money and I'll join with you on the other side to see that that money is used to do something about the homeless or additional cost of living increases. Why waste money when there are higher priorities within this own...own budget? I...ask for the approval of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1865. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. The opposed will vote Nay. Voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 26 Ayes, 26 Nays. The amendment fails. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator DeAngelis, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, bottom of page 13, is House Bill 1945. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1945.

{Secretary reads title of bill}

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance, Licensed Activity offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1945, which by the way is a bill which amends a lot of different Acts under the supervision of the Department of Registration and Education, adds the Detectives Act to Committee No. 1. It was pretty well discussed in committee. It was adopted with the provision that several other amendments that will be offered on the Floor be presented. So, I urge for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1945.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1945. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye...I beg...Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I am...for the record, this is the...this is the amendment that we had some problems with before. It has been cleaned up and we have taken a look at it and we are supportive, but for the record,...and I spoke to Senator D'Arco about this, it is our intent...and I wish Senator D'Arco were on the Floor because I think he's got the specifics, that the amount of insurance required by the departments rules and regulations be a hundred/three hundred?

PRESIDENT:

That's...that's the next amendment.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Oh...oh, is that the next the amendment?...I'm sorry.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 1945. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Lechowicz.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2...Senate Amendment No. 1 to this bill deleted specific training requirements for all private security employees. This amendment deletes the specific courses only for employees who serve as guards. So, basically, the Department of Education is in support of this amendment. It corrects that oversight and I...move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 1945. Any discussion? If not, all in...Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Is this the amendment on the liability?

PRESIDENT:

No.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Okay.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2

to House Bill 1945. Discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator DeAngelis.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis on Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. It was suggested by members of the committee that the provision require a liability insurance be reinserted into the bill, that's one part of this amendment. The other part regards the sharing of information regarding those people whose fingerprints were submitted under the provisions of this Act. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1945. Discussion? Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Will the sponsor yield to a question?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, Senator DeAngelis, on the amendment...I know we discussed this at length in committee and the bill was let out with the purpose for having...an amendment placed on here as it relate to insurance, and as I pointed out to the committee, I want the Body to know what we are doing with this amendment as it relate to insurance. Could you tell this Body how many companies in this State write insurance for detectives?

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I have been informed, Senator Jones, that approximately twenty companies nationwide write this kind of insurance.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

I...I...I said how many companies in the State of Illinois...in the State of Illinois issue this type of insurance for detectives?

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Senator Jones, I don't know.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Jones.

SENATOR JONES:

Well, let me...since you don't know, let me inform you that I believe that it's possibly only maybe one place in this entire State that one can get the type of insurance that this legislation calls for. Now, I know what the intent of the sponsor is but with this amendment what you're doing in...in effect is locking many persons who may want to receive a license for a detectives out of...out of...getting their license. They would not...they'd be prohibited from getting their license because they would not be able to get their insurance, and I don't know of any other license that we issue in the State where we make this type of requirement, especially where the insurance is very difficult, almost impossible to get, and I know many persons in Chicago that have private...that have passed exams for private detective license...licenses, but they cannot be licensed because they can't get the insurance...they can't do the work. So, placing this amendment on only locks in those few that are really there but it would prohibit practically anyone else

from...receiving their license from the State of Illinois to do business as a private detective. This is a bad amendment and the amendment should be defeated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. I thought it was a good amendment and what...the discussions we had on the amendment, where it provides for liability coverage in the amendment, it doesn't specify the amounts or the limits of liability and for the particular amounts of insurance. So, I am told by the department's representative that they will comply with the old Statute as far as the amounts and limits. And let me just say that under the old Statute, there is required one hundred thousand dollars of liability insurance for each person, three hundred thousand for each occurrence for bodily injury and fifty thousand for property damage. I mean, the consensus of the Senate is that those amounts be the amounts that the department by rule specifies as the amounts required in order to obtain a license from the department. We are told by the department that it's better to do it by rule and we agree with that, but we want it in the record that those are the amounts we agreed to.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have a question of...for the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Dudycz.

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Senator DeAngelis, I sponsored 2204 and it sounds like de'ja'vu exactly...what Senator Marovitz agreed upon...what he wanted in my bill. Is this correct or what? Now I...I

see Senator Jones is opposing it and I...I cannot understand it. This sounds like what you wanted and we're giving you what you wanted, now your colleague is opposing it. That's directed to Senator DeAngelis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right, Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well,...it was the wishes of the committee that I present this amendment and I'm abiding by those wishes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Dudycz, you're waving your finger...finished?

SENATOR DUDYCZ:

Yeah, I'll...I'll wave to Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, Senator Dudycz has...has pretty well put it on the line. This is...this is the correction that we on this side of the aisle wanted. This, in my opinion, does correct that affirmity in the...in the previous legislation. I am supportive of this amendment. I can't speak for my colleague, but I am supportive of this amendment, intend to support it. I think it's a good idea and I think it is wrong to...to...to omit the liability requirements for those people carrying a gun and jeopardize the health, welfare and safety of...of others of our citizens. I'm supportive of this. I think that Senator D'Arco made it very clear about the insurance requirements and the amounts, and I think this deserves a green light.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right, further discussion? Senator Jones for a second time.

SENATOR JONES:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. In regard to my remarks

on the...on this amendment and what was discussed in committee, I don't know what this side of the aisle agreed to as far as the amendment. We agreed...I know I agreed to let the bill out for the purpose of dealing with an amendment, but I did point out these problems with this particular amendment and if you had a personal agreement with the sponsor, that's your...your agreement. All I wanted the Body to know is that what they are voting on with this amendment and this amendment is virtually locking people out of the business. We agreed people should have insurance, but how in the hell you going to get the insurance if it's not even being offered to you in the State of Illinois? And that's...that's the problem with this particular amendment, and if you had an agreement, I didn't know anything about it, I happened to chair that committee but I know it was discussed as such, but your agreement does not cover every member in...in this...on this side of the aisle.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right, further discussion? If not, Senator DeAngelis may close.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

I move for the adoption. Senator Demuzio, just to a...for the record. Senator D'Arco, the department has agreed to do what you asked by rule...so we have that on the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right, Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to House Bill 1945. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment is adopted...further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2254, Senator Marovitz. 2409,

Senator Rock. Page 14, 2486, Senator Weaver. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, top of page 14, is House Bill 2486, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Oh, wait a minute....wait a minute. Take it out of the record. 2537, Senator Newhouse. 2537. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2537, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2537.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2546, Senator Watson. Senator Watson on the Floor? Senator Watson on the Floor? 2549, Senator Topinka. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2549, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2549.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, I would ask at this time to Table the committee amendment and then seek a Floor amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

All right, Senator Topinka moves to Table Committee

Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2549. Those in favor will indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Topinka.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, Floor...the Floor amendment basically does what we did in the...well, it does exactly what we did in the committee amendment but it also adds a technical part of the bill which came after we had the committee work on this from the Secretary of State's Office involving...some...the...the words were not correct in terms of how to incorporate and we have incorporated that into this amendment. So, it covers what we did in the...in the Executive Committee plus the technical change from the Secretary of State's Office.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, Senator Topinka has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2549. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 2552. Is there leave to come back to that one? Leave is granted. House Bill 2564, Senator Jones.

2582, Senator...Lemke. 2630, Senator D'Arco. 2642, Senator Jerome Joyce. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2642, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2642.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Welch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. The purpose of this amendment is to clear up a...a problem in the Election Code. A city in my district is trying to change from a commission form of government to a commission city managerial form. They received a letter from the State Board of Elections telling them that they couldn't, they had to first change to an aldermanic form of government and then to a city commission with the city manager form of government. What this amendment would do would be to clarify within the Statute that you could, in fact, go directly from a commission form of government to a commission with a city managerial form. I would move adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Welch moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2642. If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEBUZIO)

*HB 2839
and Reading
HB 2841
second reading*

3rd reading. 2644, Senator Lemke. Page 15, 2648, Senator Barkhausen. 2714, Senator Smith. Senator Smith, 2714? Page 15. I'm sorry, 2714 on page 15. House Bill 2738, Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? 2741, Senator Maitland. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2741, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2741.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

; Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Dunn.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Dunn.

SENATOR DUNN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 would do...amend the School Code with respect to the school district which annexed the territory in one or more entire other school districts. This has been part of a...an ongoing problem. It was in a bill that we had that had a section that wasn't liked and...and we'd appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Maitland. Senator Dunn has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2741...those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

3rd reading. 2785, Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz on the Floor? 2821, Senator Savickas. 2839, Senator Weaver. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2839,

PAGE 38 - JUNE 18, 1986

Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2839.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment would allow the Board of Trustees at the University of Illinois quick-take powers for a period of eighteen months in Champaign County. I'd move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2839. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2937, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2937.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

*7/13/86
9:11 AM
3rd Reading*

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 2958, Senator Sangmeister. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 2958, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2958.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health, Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Thank you. The committee amendment permits the Comptroller from deducting from funds held by the State Treasurer under the Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons Property Tax Relief and Pharmaceutical Assistance Act in order to recoup monies owed to the State. I move for the adoption of the committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2958. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

HB 3089
2nd Reading

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, in 1983, the General Assembly passed and the Governor signed the Voluntary Payroll Deduction Act and we know it needs some clean-up changes to make that effective and that's what this amendment does, and I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2958. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3019, Senator Joyce. 3-0-1-9...House bills
2nd reading, House Bill 3-0-1-9, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3019.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 3043, Senator Lemke. Page 16, 3058, Senator Degnan. Senator Degnan, 3-0-5-8? 3062, Senator Poshard. 3074, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. 3080, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. 3089, Senator Rock. House bills 2nd reading, the...middle of page 16, is House Bill 3-0-8-9. Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3089.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 3115, Senator Kustra. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3115, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill...3115.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3182, Senator Davidson. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3182, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3182.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 3187, Senator Berman. House bills 2nd reading, House Bill...3187, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3187.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and

NO 3207
2nd Reading

Secondary Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEHUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. The committee amendment deleted the regional initiatives and kept the bill in line with what we had passed in Senate Bill 730. I move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3187. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3200, Senator Maitland...Senator Maitland seeks leave of the Body to add Senator Demuzio as a hyphenated cosponsor. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, top of page 17, is House Bill 3207. Senator Berman, 3-2-0-7...page 17, House Bill 3207, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3207.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Agriculture, Commerce and Energy offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1...Committee Amendment No. 1 addressed several of the concerns raised by the Environmental Protection Agency, the Attorney General and

LRB on their cleanup. It clarifies the liability as to the response action contractors under the provisions of this bill. I'd move the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is...the...Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3207. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3213, Senator Rock. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3213, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3213.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 3222, Senator Lechowicz. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3222, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3222.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

*HB 3267
2nd Reading
HB 3271
2nd Reading*

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 3266. Is there leave to come back to that one? Leave is granted. House Bill 3267. Is there leave to come...House Bill 3-2-6-7, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3267.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3271, Senator Rock. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3-2-7-1, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House...House Bill 3271.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. Floor Amendment No. 1 was agreed to by the Department of Public Aid. It deletes the language regarding the court's jurisdiction of...of the administrative process regarding the payment of child support. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Berman has moved the adoption of...Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3271. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3276, Senator Berman. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3-2-7-6, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3276.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. This amendment was requested by the Treasurer, was discussed in committee. We adopted in committee what many of us agreed that the language...it was too broad. I have Amendment No. 2 which tightens up the language as to the rule making power of the Treasurer. So, at this point, I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Berman has moved to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3276. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Berman.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. This is the language that merely authorizes the Treasurer to provide by rules and regulations the effective administrative performance required under the...pursuant to the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Berman has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3276. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3298, Senator Savickas. 3300, Senator Lechowicz. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading is House Bill 3-3-0-0, Mr. Secretary, read the...

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3300.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 deleted everything after the enacting clause and it provided in House Bill 3300 everything that was contained in Senate Bill 1552 which was the Charitable Gaming Act, and I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Lechowicz has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3300. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3302, Senator Lemke. Page 18...page 18, 3309, Senator Maitland. House bills 2nd reading, House Bill 3309, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3309.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, ...very much, Mr. President. This amendment was one we talked about in committee. We thought it was drafted in error, we found out later that it was not, it is technically correct. It's nothing more than a technical amendment. I would move for the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Maitland has moved the adoption of Amendment No.

1 to House Bill 3309. Discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. 3328, Senator Netsch. Senator Netsch on the floor? 3340, Senator Lemke. 3346, Senator Newhouse. Senator Newhouse, 3346? House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3346, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3346.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Newhouse and DeAngelis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Newhouse.

SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

Take it out of the record.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, take it out of the record. House Bill 3351, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3351.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...wait, take it out of the record too, there's a committee amendment. Take it out of the record. House Bill 3371, Senator Karpel. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3371, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3371.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. House Bill 3394, Senator Fawell. House bills 2nd reading is House Bill 3-3-9-4, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3394.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. This bill...this amendment is really a technical amendment. It merely tells the requirements and conditions that the special assessment liens can be added on. I'll answer any questions if so desire.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3394. Is there discussion? If not, those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Keats.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

HB 3422
2nd reading

Senator Keats. Senator Keats on the Floor? Senator...Senator Keats on the Floor? Senator Fawell, Senator Keats is not on the Floor. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

What this amendment does is it allows the police departments to collect parking tickets with subpoena power.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator Fawell, Senator Keats is not on the Floor, his amendment is here. Why don't we just take this out of the record and come back to it later? Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

All right.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, with leave of the Body, we'll take it out of the record. Take everything out of the record.

PRESIDENT:

On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, the bottom of page 18, House Bill 3422. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3422.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Fawell and Philip.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. This takes...this amendment takes care of a...a problem we have in...in Villa Park with a school. It allows them to spend the health and safety measures bond money for building a building which if they remodel the present building would actually cost more,

it costs less this way. I'll be glad to answer any questions if you'd like to...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3422. Any discussion? If not, all in favor indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 3431, Senator Hall. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3431.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

...hold that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record. House Bill 3449, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3449.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment creates the

Department of the Lottery. The Governor intended to create the Department of Lottery by Executive Order No. 2 issued in 1986; however, he did not file it with the General Assembly by the April 1st deadline. So, therefore, we have to amend this bill creating the Department of the Lottery. It simply transfers all functions and powers of the Department of Revenue Division of the Lottery to the newly created Department of the Lottery, and I would move for the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW::

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he will.

SENATOR DARROW:

Where in the bill does it specify that the members who are...or that the employees of the Lottery Department are to be under civil service?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

The analysis that I have said the rights...says, "The rights of lottery employees are protected by this amendment; collective bargaining agreements, pensions and annuity plans are transferred in full force."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

But that doesn't say anything about new employees who are coming in. How are we to know we aren't...creating a patron-age army?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

We don't.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

...further discussion? Senator Luft moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3449. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3468, Senator Rupp. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3468.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Insurance offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. Time-share was supposed to be a...a great big thing, but we put through this Time-share Registration Act last year. We created a special Time-share Registration Fund, and to date, only approximately three thousand dollars has been collected in that fund. And the Department of Registration feels that it's not really economical to maintain that separate fund and they are requesting that that Time-share Registration Fund be dissolved and all the monies collected in this Time-Share Act be deposited into the Real Estate License Administration Fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

...thank you. Committee Amendment No. 1 contains a tech-

HB 3473
2nd Reading

nical correction to specify that aiding or abetting an individual in disregarding or violating the provisions of the Act as well as the actual Act of disregarding or violating the provisions should be considered grounds for discipline.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Rupp moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3468. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rupp.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Yeah, thank you. That actually is what I explained before, I'm sorry, but what it is is just eliminating the Time-Share Registration Fund since it did not prove that there was enough need for it and including that in the Real Estate License Administration Fund. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Rupp moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3468. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3473, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3473.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and...Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment deals with the distribution of grants to local tourism and convention bureau by the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs. As you know, the department at this point puts out to the counties that have a convention bureau some three million dollars a year, one-third to the City of Chicago and two-thirds downstate. This also would include any county that has had a thirty percent increase in the last three decades. It would probably include according to U.S. census DeKalb County, DuPage, Jackson, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, Will and Winnebago. Move the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3473.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Philip moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3473. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3474, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3474.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Geo-Karis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, if Amendment No. 1 has the LRB ending number of 1AM05, it's a technical amendment. 1AM05 and it's...just correcting some technical language and I move its passage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Geo-Karis moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to...House Bill 3474. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Woodyard.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 2 is a land transfer between the Department of Conservation and the Vermillion County Conservation District. The appraisals have been done. The State of Illinois actually will gain about seventy thousand dollars in this land transfer and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Woodyard moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 3474. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3476, Senator Donahue.
House...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3476.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Public Health,
Welfare and Corrections offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is simply the
one that the charitable organizations around the State have
asked be added and it is for unsolicited funds, and that's
what the amendment does and I would move for its adoption or
its acceptance.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Donahue moves the
adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3476. Those in
favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have
it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3548, Senator Schaffer. House
Bill 3555, Senator Mahar. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3555.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No...the Committee on Local Govern-
ment offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

HB 3573
2nd Reading

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 conveys from the Department...from the State of Illinois to the Kane County Forest Preserve the Illinois Prairie Path.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3555. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2...no more...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Mahar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Committee Amendment No. 2 removes eminent domain and condemnation from...from the bill at the request of...of the committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not,...Senator Mahar moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to 3555. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further Floor amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3573, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3573.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3632, Senator Rock. We have a request and I understand an understanding from Senator Demuzio to go back to House bills 2nd reading and pick up House Bill 2546, 2552 and 3266. With that understanding, on the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2546, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2546.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government offers Amendments Nod. 1 and 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio...Senator Watson. Could we break up that conference, Senator Keats. On Committee Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2546, Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. Committee Amendment No. 1 authorizes the Office of the State Fire Marshal to administer a...a grant program for the establishment of fire protection districts. The problem that we have in this State is...in the area of fire protection is one in which we need to emphasize and hopefully develop more at the local level fire protection service by fire protection districts. This would establish a grant program of five hundred dollars for applying fire protection districts which would offset their cost of establishing that particular district. It would pay for

such things as legal fees and drawing of the map or whatever...they so wish and it's an incentive to try to get more fire protection districts established in Illinois. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Watson moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2546. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2, by the Committee on Local Government.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

On Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Committee Amendment No. 2 is an amendment that was adopted that said that in the...if a majority of the votes that are cast in an incorporated area...or a territory proposed as a fire protection district is in favor of forming the district, then the municipality shall be deemed an organized district even though a majority of the votes cast in the...unincorporated areas are not in favor of the proposition. So I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2. I don't know of any opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 2. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 2552, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2552.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, on Committee Amendment No. 1, it was requested that...by the committee, would delete the requirement the garnishment shall have priority all over...all other except Federal or State taxes and child support payments and makes other deletions. I move...adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2552. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. Secretary...or Mr. President. The...Amendment No. 2 is an...is an amendment that's necessary in order to correct which...what we suspect is an...unintended aspect of the Comptroller's offset power. The limit on offsets would apply only to personal income. It would simply add a principle of fairness to this particular circumstance which already applies everywhere else. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House Bill 2552. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. House Bill 3266, Senator Demuzio. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3266.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Why don't we take this one out of the record, there's another amendment coming for this one.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record. Also, we had a request by Senator Smith to return to the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading on House Bill 2714. Is leave granted? Hearing no objection, leave is granted. On the Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 2714. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2714.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Also on Order of House Bills 2nd Reading, House Bill 1477, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1477.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senator Demuzio, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, Senator Savickas, we also had leave to go back to House Bill 3351. That was the one that...inadvertently was left on your...off your list, it's noncontroversial. So I would...ask leave of the Body to return to House Bill 3351.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

You've heard the motion. Is there objection? Hearing none, we'll return to House Bill 3351 on the Order of 2nd Reading. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 3351.

HB 500
3rd Reading

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

This is a committee amendment. It simply adds the requirement that a public hearing be held before the board by resolution before any excess transfer bond proceeds requested by the committee. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, Senator Demuzio moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3351. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Since there are no further requests, we will now proceed to the bottom of page 3 on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, at the bottom of page 3. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, right out of the box, House Bill 500, Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 500.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Since we passed a residential burglary bill of Senator Davidson's some years ago, there has been...which has been

good legislation, but there has been some problem as far as...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Can we have a little order in the room here. The Senators are having a hard time hearing. Break up the conferences. Let's have the...in the back...Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Yes, on a point of order, I'd ask the staff to please recognize the fact that we're now on 3rd reading as opposed to 2nd reading. So that all those conferences with respect to amendments can certainly wait until we conclude our business and, if need be, we'll have the doorkeepers lock us in. But I think it's...it's only fair that those who are presenting bills have an opportunity not only to hear themselves but to let us hear them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, it was even brought to our attention by the Illinois Supreme Court in a number of cases that there's...there should be a better definition to the dwelling house. We are having people prosecuted for residential burglary for breaking into...into unoccupied buildings such as garages. Therefore, very simply, we have redefined dwelling to mean a house, apartment, mobile home, trailer or other living quarters in which at the time of the alleged offense the owners or occupants actually reside in or their...in their absence intend within a reasonable period of time to reside. So that still covers, in my opinion, the vacation home; you intend to reside in that and if you burglarize that, you would still be committing residential burglary, but it tightens up some of these cases where we got old abandoned buildings around our garages and stuff that...that that would not be residential burglary. I don't think there's any opposition to the bill.

I'll be happy to try to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

...I'd like to ask a question on the substance of the residential burglary section perhaps. Does it state in the residential burglary section that conviction on a first offense where there is no prior record necessitates a mandatory sentence?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

...I presume this is for the sake of the record because as the chairman of the Judiciary Committee, you're well aware the answer to that is yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Well, I guess this is for the record, I would...I would love to see this bill taken out of the record and brought back to 2nd reading for this reason, and I'm not opposed to the substance of the...of the legislation, but there's...there is a case which I...I suppose everybody in this Body ought to be aware of, where a young man who was about to enter the Marines took a radio from a drug dealer's house and he...and that young man was convicted of taking that radio from this drug dealer's house now faces a mandatory prison sentence of four years, cannot go into the armed forces, the drug dealer was let off on probation and because of this section and the mandatory...sentencing on...on a first offender with no prior record, this young man faces four years in prison and, in effect, perhaps, the traumatism of his entire life and I...I think that there's portions of this section that are...are terrible, are erroneous, are not

well-thought-out and that we as a Body would be better off amending so that situations like this don't happen again.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in support of this bill, had been one who was the architect of that bill also one who was called by an individual involved which Senator Marovitz just alluded to...the one item he forgot to tell you, yes, that's what the young man did but he also broke a window to enter and do that, so he did it with some forethought. I think this is a good definition and which needs to be corrected which we, unfortunately, did not catch when we passed the original bill and I urge everybody to vote for it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Sangmeister may close.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, well, we could get into a big discussion on the original legislation and even as a former prosecutor I do at times have some second thoughts about what we did there, but in the long-run I still think it's good legislation. One thing is for sure, we do not have the votes on this Floor or the Floor of the House to change it, so this bill has no amendments on it. It's...clean as I described it and it would go to the Governor's Desk if you pass it, so it's not subject to any further amendments. So...and I doubt very much whether the Governor will amendatorily veto in some of the things that were discussed here, so I would hope that he would sign it. So I would move for passage of House Bill 500.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall House Bill 500 pass. Those in

favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 500 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 564, Senator Geo-Karis. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 564.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this bill passed out of the House by a hundred and ten votes and it amends the Illinois Municipal Code to authorize municipalities to...to have...to enter contracts for a term of years not to exceed the term of the mayor or president then holding office and...and requires the corporate authorities when utilizing such multi-year contracts to include in the annual appropriation ordinance for each fiscal year an appropriation sufficient to pay the amount which for the terms of the contract should become due and payable during the current fiscal year. The reason for this bill is the...the intent of it is to improve municipal planning, stability of services and cost incentives and such as...which would apply in four areas, employment of outside professional...consultants like engineers and et cetera, municipal managers and services...prevention of services...directly related to...to the prevention, identification or eradication of disease, and the fourth, provision of data processing equipment and services and I urge the passage of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 564 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 564 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 988, Senator Berman. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 988, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 988.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 988 is the bill from the State Board of Education dealing with the procedures involving the ballot printing and the elections of...school board elections. Also provides a...a provision in there regarding the Christian Science practitioners. It...it is a technical clean-up bill dealing with the issues that have been raised in previous school board elections as to the powers of the State Board of Elections. Be glad to respond to any questions, solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 988 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 988 having received the required constitutional majority is declared

HB 1467
3rd Reading

passed. 1467. 2060, Senator Geo-Karis. On the Order of...of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2060, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2060.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this is a bill that was recommended to us by the Nuclear Safety Department. It amends the Illinois Low-Level Radioactivity Waste Management Act to define "broker" as the person who takes possession of low-level waste solely for purposes of consolidation and shipment. And...we amended it in the committee in order to...allow the...to...add a provision to allow the department to receive the money from grants to either the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Facility...Development and Operation Fund or the Low-Level Radioactive Waste Facility Closure...Post Closure Care and Compensation Fund. I urge the passage of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2060 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2060 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Now, with leave of the Body, we'll return to House Bill...1467. Senator Kelly, in fact, was on the Floor, I just didn't...I didn't see him. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 1467, Mr.

Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 1467.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. On Senate...on House Bill 1467, I, first of all, would like to ask leave to have Senator Watson added as a hyphenated sponsor on this legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. You've heard the request of Senator Kelly to add Senator Watson. He...all right, he...I am told by the Secretary he already is but leave is granted. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. As I mentioned previously on the amendment, this bill is the result of hearings which we held last fall by a Senate Subcommittee on Municipal Annexation and we arrived at a...an agreement between the township officials and the Illinois Municipal League. Under this bill it permits cities to automatically annex adjacent and coterminous city and township properties unless the township being annexed wishes to exercise a referendum provision. It also gives...which was a accommodation provided by the cities and villages up...a ten-year period they will provide the...the real estate taxes to the townships being annexed as an incentive for...of the annexation. I will be pleased to answer any questions you have; otherwise, I want to thank especially Senator Watson and also the respective staff of the...for the Democrats and Republicans in helping us to achieve this compromise, and I would ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion?...Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

I had a question of the sponsor. If...if one township annexes a township next door, do they utilize the higher tax rate of the two or how does that work?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Yeah...whichever tax rate is currently in effect, it'll be continued the same way.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

The...the predator township then had that tax rate as the...the moving township, that tax rate is the one that applies for all taxes, is that what you're saying?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Yes, whichever township that the adjacent...adjacent township. One moment. Whichever one it is...whichever township it is is the one that ends up being assessed, whichever one they go into.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, you are providing then that in order to avoid one township's residents having a higher tax rate imposed upon them through annexation, they would have to take the affirmative action of requesting a referendum on the annexation? Is that their remedy?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Well, in certain cases there would be a referendum, in other cases there wouldn't, it all depends. It is a...an option which is available. If it's more than the one percent, then they have the option of having a referendum.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Senator Kelly, I'm aware of the work that your subcommittee was involved in. I have two questions. One, did...does House Bill 1467 as now amended deal with the question of the redistribution of the local share...of the sales tax?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

No, it doesn't, Senator Rock.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

All right, thank you. The other question then is...my understanding is that the Urban Counties Council had some serious question about the...the perennial problem of the hole in a doughnut annexation. Does this legislation deal with that question at all?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

They did during our hearings announce their...their..they're not supporting this legislation, but when we had our committee hearing last week they did not come

in to testify, so I'm not sure how strong that opposition is.
I...we felt...the committee felt it was pretty weak.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Kelly may close.
Senator Kelly, you may close.

SENATOR KELLY:

I just ask for your favorable support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall House Bill 1467 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 1467 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2073, Mr. Secretary. House bills 3rd reading, House Bill 2-0-7-3.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2073.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Poshard.

SENATOR POSHARD:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2073 would empower the Department of Energy and Natural Resources to operate an office of coal marketing to cooperate with DCCA for the promotion and marketing...of Illinois coal both domestically and internationally. This would give the department specific statutory direction to work with the Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to do that. Energy and natural resources has the major responsibility for the promotion of coal projects in the State and this office is needed to...to further promote the use of coal for prospective industries

which might be moving into the State of Illinois. I'd ask for your favorable consideration of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2073...pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2073 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Topinka, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Yes, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I just would like to put forth that we should thank the Illinois Education Association who's having their hot dog luncheon today, and we are passing out right now from them some hot dogs which they sent over because we cannot get over to their little foray. So thank you, IEA.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

How many hot dogs?

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Enough for everybody and I don't know where the beer is in response to Senator Rupp. Someone else will have to handle that end.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. House bills 3rd reading, House Bill 2573, Senator Welch. Senator Welch on the Floor? House Bill 2573? Page 5, House Bill 2574, Senator Poshard. Senator Poshard on the Floor? House Bill 2579, Senator Berman. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 2-5-7-9, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2579.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

*HB 2581
3rd reading*

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2579 requires the state's attorneys appellate office to conduct training programs for state's attorneys, assistant state's attorneys and law enforcement officers on techniques and methods of eliminating or reducing the trauma of testifying in criminal proceedings for children who serve as witnesses in such proceedings. This is one of a series of bills aimed at the problem of prosecution and minimizing the trauma to children who are victims of sexual abuse. Be glad to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2579 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2579 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 2581, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2581.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

...3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill on a related subject deals with the availability of videotaping of children twelve years or younger who are victimized by sexual crimes. The bill was amended

to...provide as to the state's attorney being the only party that can agree to these presentations and also provides for the impoundment of these tapes from...for ten years following the time that it was taken. Be glad to respond to any questions, urge your affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, he indicates he will yield. Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Senator Berman, we...we saw a couple of different versions of this same proposal last year and went back and forth with it before and after the Governor amendatorily vetoed it. Can you tell me whether this version provides for cross-examination during the videotaping?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

This bill does not. The bill requires that the child would be present at the time of the trial, and according to the House sponsor that would be...that would give the defendant the opportunity for cross-examination at that time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

...the objection...members of the Senate, the objection that some of us had to the...this form of this proposal last year was that the absence of the right to cross-examine the child during the videotaping means that the...the child can be subjected to...to having to testify twice, once during the videotaping itself and then again during the trial. If the

child is called as a witness or if the tape is used, then the defense at that point would have a right to...to cross-examine the child. So in terms of...of trying to spare a young child from the trauma of having to appear in court by use of...of this videotaping instead, the...this proposal really does not seem to accomplish that objective because of the failure to grant the right to cross-examine during the videotaping itself and will, therefore, arguably result in more trauma for the child than would be the case under existing law. For that reason, I would suggest either that the bill...bill be amended or that we oppose this proposal in its present form.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Berman may close.

SENATOR BERMAN:

The rationale I believe is...is as follows and I think it makes sense again from the point of view of minimizing the trauma to the child. This bill...and what will happen I believe, in most of the cases, when the defendant and the...and the prosecutor see what the videotape testimony of the child alone involves, you may find a plea bargain situation at the time of trial which eliminates any requirement that the child, in fact, be cross-examined, because in many of these situations, if the child's testimony is very strong, you're going to have a defendant that's going to try to plea bargain something...at the time of trial. If the child's testimony is very weak, you've got the prosecutor that will probably want to work something out. In either event you will see by the videotape without subjecting the child to cross-examination just how strong a case or weak a case that you have. If it's a case that both sides don't want to plea bargain on, then the child and within the framework of the constitutional rights of the defendant will be able to cross-examine that child as he should be at the time of trial, but

that will be the...the last resort as far as traumatizing the child. I think the bill makes sense. The purpose of all of these is to, A, safeguard the right of the defendant but also minimize the trauma to the child. I ask for an affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall House Bill 2581 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 12, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2581 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 2590 is to be amended. 2612, Senator DeAngelis. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 2612, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2612.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2612 as amended...and I might point out to the Body that the amendment substantively changes the bill. I don't know if any of you read the analysis on the original bill, it was somewhat horrifying. However, the sponsor of the House, Representative McNamara, had advised me the bill needed to be amended; and the bill now just simply says that we will include in the definition of developmentally disabled those people that are mentally and physically impaired but only for the purposes of planning for the Governor's Planning Council. I think Mental Health is behind it. There's really no opposition now and I urge that we vote Aye on House Bill 2612.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2612 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2612 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2621, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2621.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. The analysis or the little synopsis tells the whole story and authorizes the Department of Conservation to convey an easement in Spitler Wood State Park. I ask for an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2621 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2621 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2724, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2724.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2724 was introduced in the House for the purpose of clearing up a problem dealing with the truck testing lane in Bureau County. Apparently, there's some plan to...for the present operator to sell that...lane. The Department of Transportation would not allow under the current rules the new owner to operate that lane, and if the lane were lost, there would be no testing for large trucks in three downstate counties. Apparently, the problems have been worked out in House Bill 2724 and I know of no opposition to that part of the bill. The bill was also amended yesterday by Senator Philip to correct the exemptions for those zip code areas that are not included within the emission testing area of the State. I would move for your support of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Discussion? Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Where is Senator Fawell on this bill now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well, her light is on. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, Mr. President. In answer to your question, let me explain, you know, what zip codes we're talking about. Carol Stream is the...is one of them. Carol Stream originally had the Wheaton Post Office and it was...it was exempt as a member of the Wheaton Post Office. They subsequently got a post office of their own, which means they also got a zip code of their own, which means all of a sudden they were being thrown into this testing. It...it...it has nothing to do with the bill that we passed here. That is still over in the House and we are not exempting anything that shouldn't be exempted. It's just that there were certain small problems

like this, getting a new post office, that type of thing and...so, therefore, I'm in favor of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

What...what about some of these other zip codes in Dupage, 60189, 60174, 60505? And to...whose Senate district are...are these...if I may ask?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Yeah, let me...let me tell you where...where they are. 60189 is...is Wheaton but it's the post office boxes and...and they are already exempt. 60174 is St. Charles but the small portion of St. Charles that is in DuPage County but it is also exempt...along with St. Charles. 60505 is DuPage but it's the Aurora section, because part of Aurora spills over in DuPage, Aurora is exempt and so is this. 62026 is Madison, SIU-Edwardsville, the college. 62220 is St. Clair,...Belleville and 62222 is St. Clair, Belleville which are already exempt.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

This is like the baseball game, we got hot dogs and popcorn. I...I only care about Aurora. You seem to know everything about the bill, Senator. Well, let me ask you this. If I was to give somebody the four or five zip codes that make up my Senatorial district, do you think that we could work out the same type of deal?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

If...if I can join you with my district, I'd be more than

happy to work with you on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

In answer...in...in answer to part of your question, Senator Joyce, the EPA made some changes in my area and told everybody except me. I don't know to whom they've talked and...well, no, I...and I just want to say that I appreciate the minority leader on the other side's position because he was under the impression...but this is the kind of thing they operate around here. They do things and they change things in people's area. They don't pay you the courtesy of even mentioning to you that they're making some changes. As...as you know, the zip code is the most ridiculous thing in the world to have something that...where cars pollute by zip code. It's unreal and I'm getting the battery of lawyers together because I'm going to file suit against the whole thing anyway, because I think it's...it's really unconstitutional to discriminate the way they're doing. You live on one side of the street, your car pollutes; you on the other side, if you in a different zip code, you don't pollute. But I just wanted to tell you that this is some of the EPA and it's not Federal, it's our local people doing it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Schuneman may close.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. Just in case there is some misunderstanding, this bill will not exempt any areas from the emission testing that were not intended to be exempted in the original legislation. It's simply the fact that in that original legislation those communities that were exempted were listed by zip code. Now the post office has changed some zip codes and that automatically puts some areas

in that were never intended to be in. So in order to remove them, we've got to do it in this way. There's nothing...nothing bad about this...this amendment at all. I would urge your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

The question is, shall House Bill 2724 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. House Bill 2724 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2725, Senator Geo-Karis. Do you wish to proceed with this bill? All right, the lady...says she's serious. House Bill 2725, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

END OF REEL

REEL #3

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2725.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this bill is directed to conduct a Statewide election in the elementary schools for the children to select the State fish from six species of fish indigenous to the waters of Illinois nominated by the Department of Conservation; and for the legislative intend...intent, rather, I would like to state that it's directed to all the school children of all...elementary schools. And I think it's great to have our youngsters participate in government, 'cause I know if I were a young kid and I was given the privilege of voting on a State fish, I would feel very honored and very privileged and I'd feel I...I was really belonging to the Governor...government. So, I think this is a great educational item for the children and I urge its favorable passage.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate...I wonder if the sponsor would yield to a question?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates she will yield, Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

...from time to time those of us who have served in the Legislature always come before this Body with legislation

that we feel is our biggie. Senator Geo-Karis, would you consider your term in the Legislature as this being your biggie?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Senator Vadalabene, if there's anything to talk about being biggie, it is I. So all I can tell you is that I think it is a biggie because I'm the biggest kid at heart, and I want my other kids to have the privilege of acting their government just like I do.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

This is a parliamentary inquiry to the Chair. What is the emergency involved in House Bill 2725, and could you explain to me under what part of the Rule, I think it's rule 5, it falls?

PRESIDENT:

The Parliamentarian will...get us a copy of Rule 5 as amended and the Chair will be delighted to address your question.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Question of the sponsor. Are the members of the Currency Exchange Subcommittee who meet with Senator Weaver qualified for this?

PRESIDENT:

The...the Chair will answer that one, only some of us. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

It all depends if they're considered a species of fish.

PRESIDENT:

The way Weaver plays it, you better believe it. Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, I want to commend Senator Geokaris for taking on the burden of handling this emergency legislation to...to bring the school children of Illinois into our...into the Democratic process in this State. I have some news that I think...that will warm the hearts of our...of our downstate colleagues, for I jumped the gun a little bit on this legislation and conducted a poll a couple of weeks ago on my wife's first grade class in Lake Bluff. With some materials that were kindly given to me by the Department of Conservation we selected six nominees. If I can remember, they were the...the salmon, the trout, the perch, the catfish, the carp and...I'm leaving...I'm leaving one out but...no, not the bluegill...not the bluegill. But...I was...I was half expecting and really hoping that...that my young constituents there on the shores of Lake Michigan would be partial to our native species, other the...the salmon or the...perch, but that was not to be, and out of the twenty-one young voters, the catfish swept to victory netting nine out of twenty-one votes. So, although my polling...technique might not pass muster with George Gallop or Lou Harris, I immediately issued a press release notifying by constituents that I had appeared before my wife's first grade class to discuss major issues pending before the General Assembly including, most importantly, the selection of a State fish and that based on these...based on this early poll that I was projecting the catfish in a landslide.

PRESIDENT:

The answer to Senator Netsch's inquiry, my understanding was and is that this was a measure proposed by the administration...our...our...the Department of Conservation. Apparently, this procedure takes awhile to set up and they have

to...I don't know how they do it with the kids but the kids get a chance to vote. Senator Geo-Karis to close.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I believe my colleague...my very worthwhile colleague on the other side must remember when she was a youngster, at least I do, and...she voted for it in committee, I was very grateful; and I might tell you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I think it's time we stopped...we quit carping and voted for the children.

PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall House Bill 2725 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 50 Ayes, 2 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2725 having received the required constitutional majority, declared passed. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, the bottom of page 5 is House Bill 2726. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2726.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

House Bill 2726 allows those downstate forest preserve districts throughout all of the downstate area to be able to issue revenue bonds. This privilege is now limited to those districts with over twenty-five thousand population. This legislation was sought by the Byron district in...in my Senate district, they are close to major population areas, and they feel that given the opportunity they would like to

be able to issue revenue bonds.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? There any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2726 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 53 Ayes, 1 Nay, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2726 having received the required constitutional majority, declared passed. Senator Luft on 2735. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2735. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2735.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2735 is designed to benefit a business located in the State of Illinois that expends sizable sums on payroll costs and has relatively little property and few sales in the State. In an attempt to do that or accomplish that we permit a taxpayer whose payroll factor exceeds two hundred and fifty percent of the average of his property factor and his sales factor to elect to exclude the payroll factor in apportioning business income to the State. If the election is made, the taxpayer...must be apportioned to Illinois by multiplying his business income by a fraction, the numerator of which is the sum of the property and the sales factor and the denominator which is two, ordinarily it would be three, which will be reduced if one of the remaining factors is zero. It also mandates that if this election is decided upon by a corporation beginning in taxable year 1987, that corporation must continue the exclusion

for the following nine years, and it requires an election to exclude the payroll factor made by unitary business filing a combined return to be either based on a calculation using the payroll property and sales factors of the entire unity group and binding on all members of the unitary group.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. Of all the special interest tax rewrites that I have every seen in the Illinois General Assembly, I think this one is probably at the very top of the list. It is so bad that I think we actually did not pass it one year, it has been tried on several occasions. It is designed basically for four large multistate corporations in the State of Illinois because they are the only ones who come under the formula, and that is not my information, that is from the Department of Revenue. And those four companies will have...will realize a...eighty-four percent reduction in the corporate taxes owed the State of Illinois, from 6.4 million to one million. That is all that will be benefited. It has nothing to do with jobs, it has nothing to do with economic development, it has nothing to do with the business climate of the State of Illinois; it has to do with reducing the taxes of four large companies of whom the most prominent and the one that has particularly pushed for this bill is formerly Standard Oil now Amoco. We know the name of one of the other companies to be benefited, Household Finance; we do not know the other two because tax information is confidential and it is only when those who are the beneficiaries make known their interest and why they are interested that we have come to know that. It is...I mean, I know we should rewrite the Tax Code every year to take care of one group or another group, and I realize that double weighting of sales is about to come up again and I realize that double weighting of sales

is going to pass the Senate just as it passed the House by very substantial votes probably. But this, folks, really is a little bit too much. To rewrite the Tax Code to take care of the tax liability of only four companies, I think is really pushing what we have always objected to on the part of Congress just a little bit too far at the State level. It is probably the worst tax policy I have ever seen and it is certainly the most outrageous piece of special interest legislation I have ever seen in the revenue area.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Luft, you wish to close?

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. Let me point out, and the good Senator should know this, that we have exemptions for the ethanol industry in the State of Illinois which to the last of my knowledge there was three corporations producing ethanol. And I think a few years ago we passed legislation for refineries that changed the way we tax the byproducts of the refinery system. I don't know how many refineries there are in the State of Illinois but my guess is there aren't that many. If you go through the whole Tax Code or the structure of the State of Illinois you will find, in fact, that it may be a special interest Tax Code. The only thing that I want to point out here is that we're dealing with payroll, and if these people have a great number of people on their payroll, that means they're paying income tax to the State of Illinois, those employees. And we want that to happen. So I would suggest to you that if there are corporations in this State who feel that they need tax relief to continue doing business in this State, then we should afford them that opportunity. And I would hope that we would get a favorable roll call on this vote...

PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall House Bill 2735 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 43 Ayes, 9 Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2735 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Top of page 6. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2757. Hold. 2770, Senator Darrow. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, top of page 6, ladies and gentlemen, is House Bill 2770. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2770.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Darrow.

SENATOR DARROW:

Thank you, Mr. President. What House Bill 2770 does is authorize and allow our...local radio, TV stations, newspapers to advertise out-of-state lotteries. What's occurred is that along the borders of Illinois where they have lotteries, such as in my area where they have the Iowa lottery across the river, our...newspapers, radios and TV stations are unable to advertise the Iowa lottery. This would allow them to do so. Already the advertising is coming into our area from the Iowa news media and the Iowa TV and radio. This would generate a little more revenue to the State of Illinois.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2770 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who

wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, 1 Nay, 3 voting Present. House Bill 2770 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Kelly, on 2775. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2775. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2775.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. House Bill 2775 is a clean-up bill which was requested by the township officials which allows interest on certain township highways bonds to be issued at a rate of interest permitted under the Public Corporation Interest Rate Law. Presently, it's at five percent, this would make it uniform with the other bonding authorities. The bill was passed in the House by a vote of a 109 to 1, and I would solicit your favorable support.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2775 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2775 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Watson, hold...Senator Kelly, 78. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2778. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2778.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate, for your support on...last bill, 2775, and also this legislation is another township official legislation. What it does is, it eliminates the forty-five dollar maximum per diem for road commissioners and it let's the board set the rate. It also was passed by a vote of 101 to 8, and I would appreciate your support.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House...I beg your pardon, Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you. Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Is...is there a cap on this...or they, is it up to the discretion of the board?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

It's up to the discretion of board, they would set the rate.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Well, I...I really don't...I think we ought to put a cap on it. But...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Well, it's up to the board of trustees, they are elected officials and they would be responsible for doing something if it wasn't proper.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2778 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 Ayes, 5 Nays, 3 voting Present. House Bill 2778 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator O'Daniel. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 2782. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

House Bill 2782.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, members of the Senate, House Bill 2782 deals with the...Uniform Commercial Code. It provides that a section, township and range description and the name of the record owner if other than the debtor shall satisfy the legal description. Last year Senate Bill 699 amended the Uniform Commercial Code with respect to financing statements covering growing crops. This has created quite a...a problem to the banking industry and farm customers, and...the banking industry is...is supporting House Bill 2782 and other supporters are the farm organizations and the Attorney General's Office. And the intent of...intent of House Bill 2782 is basically to revert the current law back to pre-1986 status.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I have talked to the Attorney General some time ago and they didn't take any position on it. This bill amends a law that I sponsored last year to protect people because sometimes some of these banks just levied their liens on the whole property instead of on the particular property...which was concerned. This bill says, for example, that...a...a legal description is sufficient if it just says a section, a quarter section. A section is six hundred and forty acres. For example, a...a quarter section is a hundred and sixty acres. A farmer could own two or three twenty-acre parcels within the same quarter section. The farmer might give a security interest on the corn on one of the parcels but not on the beans in the other. But this bill would not require the lender to differentiate between parcels. My bill protected the farmers last year and what this bill will do will give a...just a...an open-end permission to some of these banks to be careless with their legal descriptions. My bill simply said meets and bounds description or other legal description which should have been sufficient. But because a...a few banks decided they didn't want to be bothered with being more particular and fair and giving proper descriptions when they put a...a lien on this property, all of a sudden they came through with this bill. I think this is a bad bill, I think it does a disservice to the farmers, and I say that sincerely because I have nothing to gain except trying to see to it that we don't make it a broad description. And this bill says, for example, quarter section, a quarter section is...a hundred and sixty acres; so if the farmer only has a crop on twenty acres, all of his property is liened automatically. I...I urge...to vote against this bill if you want to help the farmers.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you...thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the bill. Contrary to the remarks of the last speaker, I think that...that this bill is badly needed by the farm borrowers throughout the State of Illinois, because what, in fact, happened as a result of the law that we passed last year, many attorneys for banks were telling the banks that they had to insert in their financing statements very, very detailed descriptions of the land on which crops were grown. Now that's contrary to the long-standing procedure in the banking business in Illinois of referring in general ways to Bill O'Daniel's farm by...by saying that it was located in such and such a section of a township and most any loan officer could prepare a financing statement. The way the current law is being interpreted by some attorneys, it requires very minute descriptions of land and that's running up the cost to the borrower who is the farmer. And I think this is a step in the right direction and I would urge support of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator O'Daniel, you wish to close?

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Yes, Mr. President, this doesn't do anything to be detrimental to farmers. All it does is...is doesn't make it quite so hard for lenders to identify...crops that they have...have a lien on. And it seems that...that Senator Geo-Karis' bill probably done an overkill on what we were trying to do last year and this bill passed out of the House 112 to nothing. Appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall House Bill 12782 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.

Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, 3 Nays, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2782 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator D'Arco on 2816. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, bottom of page 6, is House Bill 2816. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2816.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator D'Arco.

SENATOR D'ARCO:

Thank you, Mr. President. What it...what this bill does is to provide that for autistic children the Department of Mental Health do a study to identify their needs. Autistic children are a little different than other developmentally disabled population in the State and their needs are different, and they're all lumped together...within the same context, and there is a need to separate these children from the others. And all we're requesting here is that the department study this problem and try to effect some type of a solution. That's all the bill does. There's no appropriation with it, it's simply needed in order to help these children...in...in their...situation. And I would...ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Discussion? Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

I am going to vote for this bill even though I have a conflict of interest. Since my grandson would benefit from this program, I am going to vote Aye, I just want to make that conflict known.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2816 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 2816 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

House Bill 2819, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2819.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I am sure that most of us have been lobbied on this bill, and those of us that have been here a couple of years have had this passed before us. In effect, what a corporation has to do in determining their tax liability to the State of Illinois is to find their overall property, payroll and sales factors and attribute those that are located in the State of Illinois...or the payroll and sales in the State of Illinois to the overall factors and divide that by three. What we're doing with this bill is to allow...or what we hope to benefit those businesses domiciled in the State of Illinois by doubling the weight of the sales factor; therefore, we'd be using property, payroll, sales factor plus sales factor again, and divide that figure by four. I will try to answer any questions or listen to any criticism of any other member.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

I...I appreciate your request for my comments, Senator Luft, since I am the only one, I am sure, who will criticize the bill. This is double weighting of sales. It is, I suppose it's fair to say, the trendy thing to do although there are only a few states that are trending in this direction. It is still true that of some three-fourths of the states adhere to the traditional and well-accepted single weighting of all three of the factors for determining corporate tax liability. It is being heavily lobbied, as I think Senator Luft indicated, by certain Illinois corporations. It is heavily opposed by other Illinois corporations. It is, like other things that we have occasionally done, insane tax policy because for one thing it pits part of the Illinois business community against another part and in the long-run it seems to me that that really does not do any good. I would point out that...just a couple of points, and this is simply for the record, I understand the bill is going to pass but I...I think this...important to get this on the record because someday we will want to reexamine this. One is that the revenue loss to the State is not monumental, it is estimated to be by the Department of Revenue about thirteen and a half million dollars a year which is not inconsequential, it would pay for a few of our favorite programs, but it is not a...a staggering revenue loss to the State at the present time. It could get much larger than that depending on a set of conditions. But...the important thing to remember about that I think is that that is the net loss, because what it...what it reflects is the fact that there are losers in Illinois; that is, Illinois companies who pay Illinois taxes who will be paying some twenty million dollars more in income tax than they do right now, and there are the gainers who will be paying some thirty-three million dollars less. So,

in fact, there is a very large sum of public revenue involved and part of it balances out the other part and the...those who gain, that is whose taxes will be reduced, obviously, are the ones who are pushing for the bill and they are, obviously, the ones who are going to prevail. But bear in mind the fact that some of your companies in this State are going to be taxed at a higher level and that total amount based on current projections is some twenty million dollars more in State corporate taxes. The other thing that I think is important to remember, and this was a point that was made by the Department of Revenue in its opposition, I repeat, opposition, to House Bill 2819. And let me just read their summary paragraph. "The benefit of House Bill 2819 is...excuse me, is clearly not targeted to companies with headquarters in Illinois. In fact, thirty-four percent of the companies with Illinois headquarters would pay more taxes under this bill. The proportionate tax decrease for companies who are winners under this bill is greater for non-Illinois companies than for Illinois companies." So, I think it should also be clear...you should be clear that the bill that you are voting on, much more so than in other states that have...adopted double weighting, helps some Illinois companies but does not help them in the same proportion as is true in some other areas. So we are paying a very heavy price for this form of tax relief. In the short-run, there is no question that Caterpillar and a few others will have their taxes...probably have their taxes reduced. In the long-run, if Illinois does this, it is going to be widely adopted by lots of other states that have not yet done it and you then lose the advantage, because what one state loses another picks up. And in the long-run it is not going to be beneficial to Illinois business, it is not going to be beneficial to Illinois corporations; and I suspect what it means is that someday Congress is going to take over the definition

of how we can apportion income for purposes of State corporate taxes, and I have a sneaking suspicion that that is not what everyone in this Chamber would like. Short-term, it helps some, it hurts a lot; long-term, I think it hurts everyone including the State of Illinois.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. Just briefly, I rise in strong support of this legislation. I think it puts Illinois on parity with most of the states surrounding geographically our boundaries. And that, I have heard from members of the other side of the aisle, is an extremely important matter in attracting businesses to our State. And if, indeed, we're trying to attract business to our State and help the creation of jobs in our State and emphasize those businesses where jobs are a priority, that really is something that I think this bill will do, not only in the short-term but in the long-term. If we're going to try and compete with the states around us, most of whom have a form or this identical legislation, we need to do that. And I think that's...that's why this bill is...is...is urgent right at this time.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lemke. Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I...I missed some of what Senator Netsch had to say because I just got onto the Floor. In an ideal world, maybe this isn't the best piece of legislation for a state to consider; but we don't live in an ideal world, we live in a regional economy, an economy which has all of our neighbors trying to take action to do what they can to attract major industries. We sit here in Illinois in the middle of these surrounding

states, all of them having done something very similar to what we are about to do here. The choice it seems is clear, it's to do nothing and send a message to industries in this State that we really don't want to compete with our other fellow states or to act now to keep the industry we have and send that message out there that we're interested in more. The only sensible vote is an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Briefly, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I concur with Senator Kustra because I think we have to keep in mind that the businesses and industries that have a large payroll and property investments in Illinois are the ones we should protect more than anyone else. And like Senator Kustra says, we have a good base for them, we can invite other business and industry from other States to join us. And I certainly speak in favor of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I think a few facts should be brought out, I oppose this bill. Many of you got the...information sheet, there's fifty-seven hundred and some odd...industries or business in Illinois which are going to lose under this double weighting bill. I have approached the people supporting this that let's look at some possibility of a number not to put on their bill, we can find another one of a number of those businesses who have substantial number of employees in Illinois to give them an option. You know, let's not forget that though they may not be based in Illinois, there's a number of companies who have thousands of employees; in fact, there's three which I happen to just know offhand each one have better than ten thousand employees

in the State of Illinois, a substantial number of people who are affected. But overall there's fifty-seven hundred and some businesses affected. The Taxpayers' Federation have been a very good source we've all relied on in the past. I intend to vote No on this bill and I think all of you should look at the same situation or at least make sure that we have an opportunity, give an option to those companies with a number of employees on another bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We argued this at some great length last year, and as you'll recall, when it passed out of here over the strong objection of some of us, the Governor did, in fact, veto it and then we argued about whether or not we should override the veto. But as I recall the veto message, two points were I think, really the basis of that. One is that it would undermine the uniformity among the states. Fact is, there is no more uniformity. And why should we not help as we can help our Illinois companies when there is not...I mean, it's nice to be a purist and stand for uniformity across the country, the fact is thirty-five other states, some of whom are in direct competition with us, have already done this. What...you know, the other is, obviously, that there is some revenue loss. And the figure bantled about last year was twenty-two million. My understanding is that although the Department of Revenue still stands opposed and I suppose justifiably on the basis of, you know, uniformity and revenue loss, but the revenue loss is significantly less than that figure which we had last year. They have recalculated or calculated more closely, and so the revenue loss is significantly less. I think it's something we ought to do. I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Further discussion? If not, Senator Luft may close.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President, I'll try to be brief. The director of the Department of Revenue when he testified in committee in opposition to this bill admitted that corporate Illinois should be concerned about what's happening in the other states and the lack of uniformity in addressing this...situation. And the problem that really hits hard with corporate Illinois is that virtually every state that surrounds us addresses this situation in some way except us, we are the island. What the director would like to do is to sit down and have meetings with the revenue directors of the other states, or this is his proposal, and tell them that they are wrong and they should be like us and we should come to some degree of uniformity, which means, if, in fact, that he can convince those directors, they have to go back to their state legislators and ask them to increase the taxes on their own corporations. Now that just isn't going to happen. I cannot see five or six legislators raising the corporate taxes on those individuals. My theory is, and I think it should be ours, is let's pass this bill, let's get a big number of votes up there so the Governor could consider putting us on an equal plane with the surrounding states, and then let's let the director of Revenue try to create some meetings and come to some agreement of uniformity. But until that happens, we're going hat in hand with nothing. So I would urge a Yes vote on House Bill 2819.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Question is, shall...House Bill 2819 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 2, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2819 having received the

constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2820, Senator Hall. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2820.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill includes other than residential purposes in definition of manufactured housing. It prohibits any unit of local government from regulating manufactured housing except for certain zonings and other regulation. It exempts home rule units to more than two million population that have enacted in a local code. Now this amendment is proposed by an industry that wants to come into Illinois and expand its operation. The Manufactured House and Mobile Home Safety Act was enacted in 1975. It requires the Department of Public Health to regulate the safety of manufactured housing; however, the Act does not apply to municipalities that enact their own manufactured housing code. No municipality in the State has yet enacted its own code. This bill would allow manufactured housing producers to comply with a uniform code promulgated by the Department of Housing rather than several varying municipal codes. Now, truthfully, it does include the definition of manufactured housing units designed for residential, commercial, hotel, motel, educational, industrial purposes. It prohibits any unit of local government from limiting or licensing manufacturing but it also allows this, it allows units of local government to establish and enforce; A, land use and zoning requirements; B, performance and base fire code requirements; C, building setbacks requirements; D, side and rear yard requirements and, E,

property line requirement. It also allows units of local government to regulate; A, location of...of units, foundation of units and installation of on-site utilities. It does exempt home rule units of local government with a population of over two million that have enacted a local code. I would ask your most favorable support of this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to start out by saying that I'm not questioning in any way the quality of the work done by this particular concern. But we've heard here bills that we're questioning why we should pass a bill when this was going to benefit four Illinois companies. Now this bill would benefit one company, an Ohio company, it's not even an Illinois company yet. But the main objection to this particular approach is that this company wants us to change our...our home rule history as far as the control of housing is concerned. This is housing, they are attempting to convince us that it's the same as and just as good as every other type of housing; yet, they need and want special treatment. I don't think it should be given that. Two things,...one I'd like to read and it's...it's very definite. It's, "Prohibits local governments including home rule units from licensing manufactured housing." Now it does go on to say it exempts from the provision any city that has this safety code. But I would like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He indicates he'll yield.

SENATOR RUPP:

...didn't I understand you, Ken, to say that...Senator Hall, that no city has as yet enacted a code?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

According to my information, it says that so far, that no municipality in the State has yet enacted its own code.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

That would mean that...and the way the exemption for Chicago reads, is that if any city adopts a safety code that is equal or greater to those promulgated by the Department of Public Health, and since Chicago is a municipality and has not yet even have a safety code, then that means that their safety code is a lot less than an apartment and they are no longer exempt, and neither can Chicago hide behind this two million population figure. The other question I have is that I would like to ask for a ruling from the Chair, since this is a definite preemption, no question, it's self-evident that it's an impingement on home rule, the number of votes that would be required to pass this particular bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rupp, while we...check that out, we'll hear from Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I can tell you as a municipal attorney that Senator Rupp is absolutely right. This bill takes away the police power of the municipalities to pass certain ordinances which would protect the public. And I certainly...do not feel that this...bill is in the right position at all. I know that the Municipal League is also an opponent of this bill. And I ask you to vote against it because I think you will be doing an injustice to various municipalities who are involved with the police power of safety for their people.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I think I'm going to amaze Senator Hall, I'm actually going to rise in support of one of his bills. I think these manufactured homes...and I would suggest to you this doesn't affect a company, it affects an industry, some of which is located in this State. Manufactured homes are very much a part of the housing future of this country. The simple fact of the matter is that many of our municipalities have codes that were drafted a considerable number of years ago and just don't...manufactured housing does not work in those places and the attempts to change these codes are...well, frustrating to say the least. I think it should be pointed out that there are vested interests who...are well served by obsolete building codes that require archaic practices that drive up housing costs. Even with interest rates down where they are today, I think the people of this State, a lot of people are going to be unable to afford housing when this type of option is not available to them. I think this manufactured housing is going to be a major part of housing in this country and that this bill will go a long way to making this type of housing available throughout the State. And I think, frankly, it's a good bill and in spite of my reluctance to impinge on the local powers of the unit...units of government, I have personally witnessed three or four of them being real recalcitrant in this area for reasons that I could find no good justification and I urge an Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Well, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I...I hope we take a...an awfully close look at this piece of legislation. We established in the 1970 Constitution a concept of home rule which gives our cities the ability to govern themselves. And that, of course, includes the most

important power of all and that's the zoning power. And if you take a look at this bill, I...I can't agree more with what Senator Schaffer says about some of the problems in some municipalities regarding overly strict zoning codes, but now you're going in exactly the opposite direction. This is no compromise, ladies and gentlemen. You are saying, in the bill it says, no unit of local government may license or limit manufactured housing. Now, the communities around where I represent and the village board which I sat on did have a zoning code and they had the right to limit the kind of housing that they wanted into that community. Maybe in some areas some people think that's too strict and maybe there ought to be some other way of dealing with this problem, but to say in this General Assembly that across this State we are going to allow no city anywhere in Illinois to in any way limit or license manufactured housing, which in some cases may...may be very quality housing, in some cases you and I know it ain't so much quality, not like the kind of quality that the...that the building trades have put up over this century. Take an awfully good look at this but I don't think we want to go this far.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

I...I just...a question of the...I would just question the ordinances like in...I know in certain towns in my area like Cicero, Lyons and other areas, they prohibit mobile home parks. I know the City of Chicago has their prohibition when they say Chicago is not...exempt, Chicago prohibits any use of mobile homes whatsoever in their town, it's against the city ordinance. You know, I mean, they talk about manufacturing housing, that was the wording I think they put...I think this all came about right after end of...of World War II because of the use of box cars and certain things

for...for homes. And Chicago, I think, put a prohibition in. I know the town of Cicero had a mobile park, they put a prohibition there to get rid of it, Lyons and other community in my area. How would this affect those...that...those types of ordinances, say you can't have...you can't have any type of manufacturing housing in the city limits or the village limits?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall, do you wish to answer that?

SENATOR HALL:

Well, Senator Rupp is absolutely correct when he says that...about the home rules, but the bill specifically says, "Nothing in this section shall apply to home rule units of local government with a population greater than two million persons that have not enacted a local manufactured housing safety code." Now if Chicago did not do that, then...that the bill is...is definitely says now as far as zoning requirements. You're wrong when you say about zoning requirements. The bill does allow this, it allows units of local government to establish and enforce land use and zoning requirements. It does not take away zoning requirements. B, it allows units of local government to establish permanent base fire code requirements; C, building setback requirements; D, side and rear yard requirements and property line requirements. And it also allows units of local government to regulate location of units, foundation of units and installation and on-site utilities. This does not affect zoning and...and...so I want to make that absolutely clear. So it does not take away the zoning requirements of any municipality or local government.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

Well, I'm not interested in whether you can...I know

local governments can regulate but I want to know if this...this bill would directly prohibit...I know it doesn't...it doesn't affect the City of Chicago but I want to know if this bill prohibits certain towns like the town of Cicero, the town of Lyons, which is...ten thousand population, these type of towns that have grown and do not want mobile homes in there any more, they want residential property and they have put restrictions for fire reasons and so forth and they just said, we're prohibiting any trailer parks. I mean,...and that's what we're talking about and that's not just in...I don't even know if these areas, I think maybe Senator Philip could tell me, I think Elmhurst prohibits mobile homes. I mean, they...they used to have them, I know they're not there anymore and they keep prohibiting because of fire ordinances, zoning ordinances, and I...and I...I...and I want to know if this...if it...this...this bill would restrict local governments from passing an ordinance that says, we don't want any mobile home parks in our...in our...in our boundaries. I mean, you know, does that take away that local government right? I don't see that in the bill and the way it looks to me, they can...they can regulate, they can zone and say where they can be but they can't directly prohibit them. And if they want to prohibit them altogether, then they should be allowed to prohibit them all together. I mean, I don't think a...a guy that has a...a home that's worth a hundred thousand or fifty thousand wants a...a mobile park...a mobile...a...a trailer put on the lot next to him. But I...I...I, you know, if they want to have a park altogether that's in an area where it's a mobile home park and...and they allow it, fine, but...we have certain areas like that too in Sterling Estates but they're not in the local...they're...they're their own government. And I mean this to me seems to prohibit the villages or towns such as Cicero and Lyons and...in areas like this, McCook,

HB 2828
3rd Reading

that do not want mobile homes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator, I assume that was a statement. We have four more speakers that wish to...Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, my understanding and in reading the bill, it definitely says that what Senator...the question he had asked and that it's definitely that this bill adheres to that, but to keep down a long...dissertation and going over this thing that I'll ask that this be taken out of the record till I can sit down and confer with these people and then...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Take it out of the record.

SENATOR HALL:

Okay.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

House Bill 2828, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2828.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 2828 as amended would allow a unit district to dissolve and become a dual district if the adjacent high school district is...is a...a dual district. And this bill was...was prompted by a school district in Livingston County that has a very small high school, want to reorganize, send their high school students to a...another high school. But because we have no mechanism now to allow them to send the...to dissolve and send those students to a

dual district, a high school district, this legislation is necessary. It...it would allow the...elementary district to stand as an elementary district and would allow then the high school students to be annexed to an adjacent high school district. I stand ready to ask any questions that you might have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2828 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are 2, 1 voting Present. House Bill 2828 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2871, Senator O'Daniel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2871.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, members of the Senate, House Bill 2871 authorizes the Department of Revenue to refuse to issue or following due process to revoke the certificate of registration, permit or license of an applicant for or holder of such a document who fails to file a return or pay the tax, the penalty or the interest on the return or the financial assessment of tax required under any Tax Act administered by the Department of Revenue. The purpose of the bill is to enhance the Department of Revenue's enforcement capabilities. It is consistent with recent efforts to tighten up the enforcement of the tax laws. And I don't know of any opposition.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2871 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2871 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2873, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2873.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2873 is intended to extend the life of existing Illinois oil fields by adding a definition of enhanced recovery method to the oil and gas conservation district which would clarify the authorization for using secondary and tertiary methods of recovery. Present...Illinois law clearly allows compulsory...unitization for secondary recovery operations but does not clearly allow tertiary recover operations. Therefore, House Bill 2873 to properly affect the process defines and includes reference to the enhanced recovery method in the State Statutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2873 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2873 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed.

*HB 3017
3rd Reading
HB 2879
3rd Reading*

House Bill 2879, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 2879.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 2879 as amended brings foreign trade zones and subzones under the exemption if designated by DCCA, the enterprise zone law, increases from three to four the number of enterprise zones that DCCA can award outside the regulatory application cycle, increases from twelve to thirteen the number of enterprise zones that can be awarded this year. For the State and local utility tax exemption, we lower from two thousand jobs saved to one thousand jobs saved and there are a number of technical amendments which I will read if anyone would like to hear, there's seven of them; otherwise, I'll try to ask...answer specific questions on the technical...amendments to this bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 2879 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 2879 having received the constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 2917, Senator Netsch. Read the bill, Mr...no, take it out. House Bill 3017, Senator Carroll. House...Senator Carroll, do you wish 3017? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3017.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a request of the Supreme Court. The Constitution vests the general administrative authority in the court and the court appoints an administrative director and staff. Several of these...of these powers over the years have been designated to the administrative office incorrectly and this would replace those back into the court itself, partially based on the change of the administrator. I would be willing to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3017 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. House Bill 3017 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3025, Senator Philip. Senator Watson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR WATSON:

Just a point of personal privilege, if you don't mind.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR WATSON:

I would just like to...to warn my fellow Senators that Jessie White is on the Floor and on the softball game, and I'd just make sure you get plenty of runs when...negotiate well.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Which one is he? House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3025, Senator Philip. All right, House Bill 3025, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3025.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3025 amends the Illinois Public Library District Act, authorize the board of trustees of a tax supported public...library of a township, municipality or county to convert the library into a public library district, requires the approval of a conversion by the corporate authority of the township initially or county. In effect, what this does is allow the public library district to levy their own tax. It will not be levied by the township. Happy to ask any questions, proponents are Schaumburg Township, Illinois Library Association and the Office of the Secretary of State's.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Is this legislation...allowing for a levy without a referendum?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

No. All this does is, instead of the township doing the levying for the library district, the library district will do their own levying. What...what happens in these districts is that the library district levies the tax but the township puts it on the...does it, and what happens is everybody think that's a township expense, it is not. It is the public library expense.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Maybe our staff analysis is inaccurate then, but it looks to me, at least the way I interpret it, that at the present time would require a front-door referendum for this type of a tax and it appears like we are circumventing that under this legislation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

I...I...I...that...that is incorrect. To form the new district, the...the corporate board would do it. It hasn't got a thing to do with the levy whatsoever.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

If this same procedure was tried under current law, a referendum would be required, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Yes, I believe that is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Well, I certainly intend to support Senator Philip.

Anytime we can avoid the voice of the people, I'm for that, I guess, holy smoke. It just...it's refreshing every once in a while to hear from the other side that they try all these power plays without the voice of the people being involved.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...discussion? Senator Philip may close.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We're just trying to follow the...the Chicago lead about doing these types of things. But this has been a recommendation from the Illinois Library Association, the Schaumburg Township and the Illinois Secretary of State. And all it does is...allow a township not to levy the tax and have the library district...levy the tax. That's all it's simply changing.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

The question is, shall House Bill 3025 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 11, 1 voting Present. House Bill 3025 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Page 8, House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3040, Senator Luft. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3040, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3040.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3040 increases the

threshold for...sanitary district contracts not subject to bidding requirements from four thousand to ten thousand and reduces the notice requirement from twenty-one days to fourteen days. The increase in the contract threshold is necessary so that sanitary districts can continue to provide vital and essential public services without undue expense and delay. Last year, for example, the North Shore Sanitary District was forced to delay critical maintenance work because a component part of a large piece of equipment used by them cost more than four thousand dollars and, therefore, had to be put out for bid. This bill does not apply to the Metropolitan Sanitary District, only downstate sanitary districts are affected and these sanitary districts have not had their threshold raised since 1969, and the reduced notice period still is four days longer than notice required set forth by the State Purchasing Act.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3040 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 46, the Nays are 8, none voting Present. House Bill 3040 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3044, Senator Lechowicz. Senator Lechowicz on the Floor? No, it's on recall. 3065, Senator Keats. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3065, Mr. Secretary.

END OF REEL

REEL #4

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3065.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

What the bill basically does is if two towns who have a joint agreement to help each other during a we'll call it a crisis situation, let's say it's the employee from Town A is lent to Town B, his benefits, pay, et cetera are what Town A was. Now that we have collectively bargained agreements in many cases, you really need to kind of spell out who's responsible and all it says is if the employee is an employee at Town A, even when...in this joint agreement, he's working for Town B, his benefits, his vacation, his retirement, everything is the same as Town A because these were voluntary assistance agreements and you don't want to put the employee to the point where he doesn't really know, you know, what his pay is et cetera. This simply clarifies that then the employee is considered an employee of the town that he works for even if he is being lent.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

I'm...I'm just curious as to why that wouldn't be the law now. If somebody is...is loaned out to another agency, the master-servant rule is that the original master continues to control...or is responsible for the servant. Is there a case or something changing that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Under master-servant...I don't quite want to get into that doctrine. These are not masters and servants, these are public employees and public servants who are not necessarily in...in shackles. What you've come into is because of the...because of the written contracts, what you have to do is set up a State situation. This is common practice today in most cases. As we have collectively bargained agreements now, those people feel it's just a good idea to have it in writing so that you don't end up with a situation where you have a written contract where the guy's in...in A and he goes to an outfit that doesn't have it and, therefore, would receive lesser or different benefits. What it does is, it's actually a protection for the employee. It's not really management, it's an employee bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Welch. Further discussion? Question is, shall House Bill 3065 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3065 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3072, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3072.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Degnan.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the annual valida-

HB 3079
3rd reading

tion...this is the annual validation process for those appropriations and tax...levy ordinances for Cook County and the Cook County Forest Preserve. We have adopted these since 1966. This puts in place Fiscal Year 1984.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3072 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3072 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading, 3075, Senator Berman. Senator Berman on the Floor? 3079, Senator Hudson. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3079, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3079.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3079 does exactly what the Calendar states it does. It amends the Illinois Public Library District...District Act providing that territory annexed to a public library district by ordinance without referendum may be disconnected from such district by a front-door referendum. This came out of the House 110 to zip and I think was on our Agreed Bill List. I know of no opposition. Would appreciate your affirmative vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3079 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those

H.B. 3096
3rd reading

opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3079 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3096, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3096.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 3096 would exempt from the State use and occupation taxes on coal exploration, mining, off-highway hauling, processing, maintenance and reclamation equipment costing more than two hundred and fifty dollars. It would also exempt replacement parts and equipment costing two hundred and fifty dollars or more. The exemption would not apply to any motor vehicle required to be registered under the Vehicle Code. The current law...current law that we have now already exempts newsprint and ink, farm machinery and equipment, farm chemicals, oil field exploration and drilling machinery and equipment, most railroad equipment used in interstate commerce and manufacturing equipment and equipment used in manufacturing, processing, fabricating and refining. Coal...the coal industry is one of the...the largest industries we have in this State, a lot of unemployment, we're at a competitive disadvantage with Kentucky and Indiana, they both have exempted these taxes. We're competing with western coal and I think it's past time that we should give coal some consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill

3096 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 7, none voting Present. House Bill 3096 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3128, Senator Jones. 3156, Senator Lechowicz. Senator Lechowicz, 3156. House bills 3rd reading, bottom of page 8, is House Bill 3156, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3156.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. House Bill 3156 is a work product of the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. It requires by law to act on proposed rules within forty-five days after the State agency submits notice to the committee. In many cases, due to the scheduling of joint committee meetings, this leads to a situation where less than one week is available for the review of the rules. Because many rule makings which the joint committee rules are complex enough and result in a great deal of public comment and controversy...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Pardon me,...Senator Lechowicz, pardon me...

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

...more time is...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lechowicz, I beg your pardon. Senator Geo-Karis has just been awarded first prize or something here. Senator Geo-Karis, why don't you just hold it up so we can all take a

HB 3162
3rd reading

look at this...monstrosity...oh, I'd throw that one back.
Senator Lechowicz, you may proceed. Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Because many rule makings which the joint committee reviews are complex and often result in a great deal of public comment and controversy, more time is sometimes necessary for a complete review. Currently, this is done by agreement...between the agency and the joint committee. This bill will formalize the practice and allow the agency and the joint committee to extend the review process to a period of ninety days which will allow sufficient time for an adequate review for all proposed rules. That's the purpose of House Bill 3156. It passed overwhelmingly in the House. The vote was a 112 to nothing and I would hope that it would receive a similar vote in the Senate. Be more than happy to answer any questions; if not, I move that we do adopt 3156.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3156 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3156 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3162, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3162.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

As you recall yesterday, Floor Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 3162 removed a provision allowing nonhome rule cities to raise their sales tax by referendum. Also a bill in the House giving this authority to the City of Rockford is in the House. Now, to the bill itself, there's no new building authority. The first provision of House Bill 3162 as amended makes clear that bonds mandated by the EPA to meet EPA requirements do not fall within the city...depth limits and the second provision restores language mistakenly removed by House Bill 1517 last year. When dropping various county property tax levies, the emergency service levy...the emergency services levy was dropped for counties and cities. Last year's bill swapped county property taxes for a new quarter-cent sales tax. Nonhome rule cities lost their civil defense tax by a mistake in drafting. This provision is supported by the Municipal League and the Taxpayers' Federation, and I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

On the authorization that a municipality can levy a tax not to exceed .05 percent of the equalized assessed valuation, is there a referendum on that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

No, it's technical; however, the amendment reinstates authorization for the .5 percent emergency services and disaster levy municipalities, that was accidentally taken out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, is this a tax increase without referendum?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Again, I'll have to repeat, they already had this authorization. What we're doing is putting the civil defense authorization back that they formerly had. And it's supported by the Taxpayers' Federation and the Municipal League.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Question is, ... Senator Vadalabene, do you wish to close?

SENATOR VADALABENE:

No, ... roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

... all right, the question is, shall House Bill 3162 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 16, 3 voting Present. House Bill 3162 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Page 9, House bills 3rd reading, House Bill 3181, Senator Marovitz. Oh, 3165? All right. Page 9, ... top of page 9, House bills 3rd reading, House Bill 3165, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3165.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the fifth and hopefully last of the

HB 3181
3rd Reading

supplemental requests of the Governor since the budget book of a year ago. This would now put us a total of eight hundred and nineteen million dollars over the spending request he had made in that budget of March of a year ago. This supplemental is for the various departments and transfer bills as requested by the Bureau of the Budget, plus some of the bands that we get requests for that are within the formula that we have created. It is...this one adds another 3.4 million dollars of general revenue and 15.6 of others which, as I said, puts the general revenue some eight hundred and nineteen million dollars over the March budget book of a year ago. I would answer questions and seek a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3165 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3165 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3181, Senator Marovitz. All right, on...House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3181, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3181.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. Speaker. Senate...House Bill 3181 requires the State Board of Education to award diplomas to students who complete alternative education programs under certain conditions. School districts are permitted, in fact,

HB 3178
3rd Reading

to issue diplomas to students for work performed in alternative educational settings. Under State law, community colleges don't have similar authority to issue alternative education diplomas to those students that are in their programs, and this corrects that problem by allowing approved programs at community colleges and possibly other settings to award diplomas. The bill passed the House a 108 to nothing and I would solicit your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill...all right, Senator...question is, shall House Bill 3181 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.

On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3181 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. All right, on House Bill 3178...leave of the Body to go back to that. I was under the impression that that was on the recall list. The Calendar was in error. So, with leave, we'll return to House Bill 3178, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3178.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This...authorizes municipalities, road districts and townships to borrow from banks and savings and loan associations provided that the amount borrowed is repaid within one year. We have put an amendment on it which allowed for a...agreement...trust agreement and contracts between the Department of Transportation and contrac-

tors to be filed with a financial institution whose deposits are insured by an agency or...or instrumentally of the Federal Government. The provision now says "a bank," so what we're doing is just expanding that and protecting the depositor that they will be insured. I know of no opposition. It passed the House 100 to nothing and is supported by the Municipal League, township officials and Illinois bankers. Appreciate your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, would the...would Senator Watson yield to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Why are we expanding this power to nonhome rule units?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Why are we expanding this? Is that the question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, why are we expanding this power to nonhome rule units?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

I'm not quite certain that this affects home rule units but the reason for this is to allow a cash flow situation to occur at the local government level and to hopefully alleviate any additional expenses that might occur as a result of having to go with the anticipation warrants or other means of

finances.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yeah, first of all, where did you get the amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

The...the amendment came from the Illinois Savings and Loan League.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene

SENATOR VADALABENE:

...has the Department of Transportation seen it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, they have.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

That's fine.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Watson, since there's a controversy here, you may close.

SENATOR WATSON:

Do you support this, Sam? That's all right. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Question is, shall House Bill 3178 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3178

HB 3192
3rd Reading

having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3192, Senator Watson. Got a heavy streak here. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3192, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3192.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is identical legislation to...which we passed out of the Senate and is now in the House in regard to trying to help the...the salvage and rebuilders of the State in regard to automobiles. As you recall, we...several years ago we passed legislation affecting the chop shop industry, and as a result of...of that legislation, it had a tremendous negative impact on...on the rebuilders and recyclers and salvage industry of this State. What we're trying to do here was bring the various parties together who were involved in that and that includes the Cook County State's Attorney, the Secretary of State, the auto and truck recyclers of Illinois, the new car and truck dealers. It's an agreed bill. From what I understand there is absolutely no opposition and I would, again, appreciate favorable support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Degnan.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the Senator yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Degnan.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Senator Watson, is this identical to the Senate bill we

passed out earlier and it's agreed to by the Secretary of State, Cook County State's Attorney and Chicago Police Department?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Yes, it is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Question is, shall House Bill 3192 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3192 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3217, Senator Degnan. House...Senator Degnan, 3217? 3230, Senator Carroll. Senator Carroll on the Floor? 3230. Sorry to cut into your press conference, but...on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3230, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3230.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a bill that because of a potential conflict in at least one of the townships with their meeting versus the observance of a religious holiday, this allows them to transfer that date of the meeting by public law, and I would urge a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill

3230 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3230 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3378 is on recall. 3396 is on recall. 3423, Senator Holmberg. Senator Holmberg on the Floor? Senator Holmberg. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, the bottom of page 9, is House Bill 3423, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3423.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. This bill exempts from the Act that was passed last year about storage hot water heaters those hot water heaters with a capacity of twenty or fewer gallons designed expressly for the use in RV vehicles. This bill is needed by a company in...in our community who is manufacturing these. ASHRAE standards currently exempt such small hot water heaters. What we do need is to reaffirm this exclusion in State law and I ask for its passage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3423 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3423 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Page 10, House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3428, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3428.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This provides that a board of township trustees of any township may appropriate up to three hundred dollars from the township treasury for the purpose of putting an old and neglected graves and cemeteries in a cleaner, more respectable condition. The annual appropriation may not be over three hundred dollars, and the reason for this, of course, is that many cemeteries in the areas throughout the State are being neglected and this will give the townships an opportunity to help upkeep those particular cemeteries. Move for its passage.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, would the...would Senator Watson yield to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will. Senator Vadalabene. Indicates he will yield. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, as a former director of the Funeral and Burial Trust Division, I do want to ask this question because I'm familiar with the Cemetery Care Act. Does this mean, Senator Watson, that five people could show up in an annual town meeting and appropriate any amount they wanted?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

No, the township trustees must do this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, could five people do that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

If they're trustees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

All right, in the summary, "The amount appropriated shall not exceed three hundred dollars unless a larger sum is authorized by the township electors at the annual or special meeting." What does that mean?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

They can approve it but the trustees must act on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Five people could do it also, according to your bill, or less.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

It could be done at the annual town meeting but it still would be the trustees that would have to make the final action.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

But also the people who show up at the town meeting could do it. Six, five, four, three, whoever shows up.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

I would imagine that's true then, yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, let me address the bill then. Senator Watson has just agreed with what I just said which means that those people showing up, five people or less could go to any amount that they want and so you ought to be careful, we don't want to hurt the taxpayers or the townships.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Watson may close.

SENATOR WATSON:

Well, thank you. Of course, it's the...the voters, the residents of that township which may...may do this and if they so wish, then that's the voice and the will of the people. I don't see any problem with this. What we're trying to do is just accommodate some of the cemetery districts throughout the State that are having a problem with upkeep, giving them a source of revenue.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well, Senator Karpiel, Senator Watson was closing. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

I'd appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Question is, shall House Bill 3428 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that ques-

*NO 3477
3rd reading*

tion, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1, none voting Present. House Bill 3428 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 3475, ... House Bill 3475, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3475.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Leitch.

SENATOR LEITCH:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill simply transfers the Jubilee College property to the Historic Preservation Agency so that the renovation can be completed. I know of no opposition and would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3475 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are... Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3475 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3477, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3477.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This

HB 3479
3rd Reading

bill authorizes the Department of Children and Family Services to provide training programs for foster care and adoptive care services. It creates the Department of Children and Family Services Training Fund to make disbursements for such purposes. Due to the decreases in Federal funding, the department is increasing its efforts to maximize Federal dollars where possible and this bill attempts to do that. I know of no opposition to the bill and I'd ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3477 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3477 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3479, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3479.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Woodyard.

SENATOR WOODYARD:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the annual Department of Transportation transfer...land transfer bill. The total I have as amended with the Senate amendment is one hundred and five thousand one hundred and fifteen dollars. I'd be glad to answer any questions or ask for an affirmative roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3479 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted

who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3479 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3480, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3480.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President. Like the last bill, this is a Department of Transportation land conveyance bill. There is eleven parcels in eight counties from around the State that are affected.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is...House Bill 3480 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3480 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3503, Senator Holmberg. Senator Holmberg on the Floor? House Bill 3506, Senator Rigney. House Bill...all right, House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3506, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3506.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, 3506...the subject here is the short wheel base semis that are used in the construction industry. In the Highway Act of '83 we actually grandfathered the older the trucks to carry seventy-two thousand pounds. What we're doing here is extending this grandfather clause to trucks that will be manufactured up through the year of 1990. The original cutoff on this was 1984. This bill is supported by the Department of Transportation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3506 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3506 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3512 is on the recall list. 3520, Senator Schaffer. 3521, Senator Watson. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Where did you get 3512 was on the recall list?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well,...I beg your pardon. There is an amendment that's been filed...I beg your pardon, 3512.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...it was...yesterday.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZZIO)

Well, do you wish to proceed on 3512? I'm sorry, they...they marked my...all right, on the Order of...House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3512.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3512.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis, it's my understanding that Senator Joyce had filed an amendment to this bill and...obviously, it is your prerogative that if you wish not to recall the bill, you may, in fact, proceed. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I believe...Mr. President, I did talk to Senator Joyce and he said he was not going to go ahead with...Senator Joyce, if you want me...I'll take it out of the record until we see him. All right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator Geo-Karis, I...I made that suggestion to you before we got back on this order of business...it's up to you now to decide what you want to do. Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I talked to Senator Joyce earlier today and he said it's okay but not to put it on...I didn't even he had filed it or not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis, what is your will?

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, if...I can proceed with the bill because I...I've given you my word, I did talk to him...I'm not giving you stories.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

I am just a facilitator here. I am not the keeper of the word or anything. Senator Geo-Karis, you may proceed.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the...of the Senate, this is the...the bicycle trail bill, establishes the Prairie Trail Authority to coordinate and maintain a system of pathways and bicycle trails within DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will County and the provisions for eminent domain was taken out of it by amendment, and I ask for a favorable

roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Schaffer. All right. Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3512 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3512 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3520, Mr. Secretary. 3-5-2-0.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3520.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a bill on behalf the the Illinois Department of Public Aid. It amends the Public...Aid Code section in the work fair area to require that a maximum number of hours for mandatory work not exceed a hundred and twenty hours, makes several other technical changes so that the work fair language in the several sections are consistent. I know of no opposition, there's no...fiscal impact and the department is in support of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Having some years ago passed some law concerning work fair as far as townships are concerned, I didn't see this on the Calendar, but I presume that this bill will affect town-

ship work fair programs as well. Is that correct or incorrect?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

That is my understanding, Senator.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

By that you mean it is your understanding that it will affect the...all right, well, then my next question would be...let's see a hundred and twenty hours per month. What...what's all of a sudden the need for a limitation? Is there some abuse in this area that we have to limit it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, this is a recommendation of the department's advisory committee on general assistance...apparently the guidelines that they've come up with in the various areas are the thirty hours a week or a hundred and twenty a...a month. This gives the recipient time to seek employment. There's no one in the State requiring more than thirty hours a month and I think there was a feeling on the part of the department that they wanted to have the various work fair programs consistent. I'm not aware of any of the townships or anyone that has any opposition of the bill. It's floated through here without resistance. I'm unaware of anything bad. I, obviously, supported your bill and think it's a great concept.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3520 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all

voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3520 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3521, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3521.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene. Senator Vadalabene, Senator Watson has another bill. Senator Watson. Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. This piece of legislation was introduced primarily because of the Department of...of Mental Health in a lawsuit which a...a decision was made several years ago, in 1983, the Parks versus Pavavoc Case in which an inequity developed in regard to the parental liability of developmentally disabled children in community placement facilities versus those children who were in State operated facilities. All this legislation does is bring some...consistency to the language and says that those people that are in...those developmentally disabled children who are in State facilities will not...no longer be responsible for parental liability except in the area of medical costs not associated with the handicap. I know of no opposition. We amended the legislation to take care of some of the problems that various staff and other individuals had...Department of Public Aid was involved in that as was the Department of Mental Health. What we're doing is trying to establish the consistency before we have another lawsuit in regard to residents of a State facility. I'd move for its adoption and appreciate the support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, discussion? Senator Watson, you managed to filibuster just in time to have Senator Vadalabene get back to his seat. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, this is a good bill and I rise to support it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? The question is, shall...shall House Bill 3521 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3521 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3525, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3525.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members, this is the same or similar to Senate Bill 2017 which passed this Body unanimously a few weeks ago, and it again provides for...it's a recommendation of the Department of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse and provides an amendment to the Cannabis Control Act so that...products that are...or cannabis related products that are rescheduled under Federal law so that they can be used in a medical context...can then be rescheduled and authorized for very limited purposes under our Controlled Substances Act. Again, it's the same bill we passed here a few weeks ago and I would ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? Senator Rupp.

SENATOR RUPP:

On a point of personal privilege.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your point.

SENATOR RUPP:

I would like to remind some of the men who are here that they probably...well, we had some problems, used to with some of the Senators pushing other people's buttons and here...Nega is here for ten minutes and he already just pushed my button green, so I want the record to show that I also would have voted green. Welcome, Wally.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The Chair recognizes Senator Walter Nega. Discussion? If not, the question is shall House Bill 3525 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3525 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3527, Senator Rupp. 3527, Mr. Secretary. All right, take it out of the record. House Bill 3528, Senator Donahue. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3528, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3528.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Donahue.

SENATOR DONAHUE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate...House Bill 3528 allows the Illinois Department of Agriculture to inspect and regulate the federally

registered meat and poultry people. Passed out on the Agreed Bill List in committee. I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3528 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the...56, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3528 having received...required constitutional majority is declared passed. House Bill 3543. House bills 3rd reading is...is House Bill 3543, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3543.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Degnan.

SENATOR DEGNAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 3543 as amended increases the Cook County Sheriff's Merit Board from three to five members and sets terms for those members.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3543 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are 1, 1 voting Present. House Bill 3543 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3549, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3549.

(Secretary starts to read title of bill)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right, take it out of the record. The sponsor wishes to have it taken out of the record. 3550. 3551. Senator Etheredge, 3551? House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3551, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3551.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The bill does just exactly what the synopsis indicates. It does legalize and validate the appropriations and tax levy ordinances. If there are no questions, I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3551 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3551 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 3552, Mr. Secretary. House bills 3rd reading, House Bill 3552.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3552.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. This bill does two things. It does give the forest preserve districts an additional month to prepare their appropriation ordinance and, in addition, it also authorizes the creation of a landfill expense fund which is designed to pay the environmental cleanup that may be necessary following the closure of a landfill. I'd be happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3552 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. House Bill 3552 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill...3553, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3553.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The...synopsis is correct on this bill and it does make the statutory language contained in this bill consistent with the language which already exists in the tax levy session and I'd be happy to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3553 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none

voting Present. House Bill 3553 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. House bills 3rd reading is House Bill 3554, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3554.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill provides that in those instances where forest preserve districts with boundaries that lie within two or more municipalities made by ordinance, they like to be governed by the County Building Code and it...includes some notification provisions. I'd be happy to respond to any questions.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3554 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3554 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Top of page 12. We'll get to the end of 3rd reading and we will adjourn till tomorrow. Top of page 12, on the Order...Senator Joyce. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3567. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3567.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This bill requires programming companies to...this is for satellite dishes. It requires programming companies that deliver scrambled satellite television programs to ensure that unscrambling devices are provided at a reasonable cost to persons who are not served by cable television. This is for people who, obviously, do not have cable but have a dish and the...they are scrambled and this just makes sure that they can buy the...unscrambler at a reasonable price.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3567 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3567 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Fawell. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3584. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3584.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President. The analysis in the Calendar is...describes the bill. It just prescribes new...fees to be charged by circuit clerks for various cases and it's for counties under a million. I'll be glad to answer any questions.

PRESIDENT:

HB 3591
3rd Reading

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3584 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, 1 Nay, 1 voting Present. House Bill 3584 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Mahar. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3591. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3591.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President and members. House Bill 3591 would allow units of local government to accept funds to include State, Federal and philanthropic funds for the purposes of fulfilling the directives of the Abused and Neglected Child Reporting Act. I'd be happy to entertain any questions.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3591 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3591 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Fawell, on the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3596. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3596.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

...thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. All this bill does is say that the fees that we collect...the county clerk collects for the child support will be placed in a special fund to be used for the Child Support Act which is why we passed the bill originally to begin with and that the...judges must collect...have those fees in the support order if the county board designates these fees are to be collected.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3596 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3596 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Demuzio. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, House Bill 3598. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3598.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

This bill should have been on the Agreed Bill List. It just simply sets forth the conditions under which a buyer, commissioned merchant or selling agent of farm products takes such product...products subject to a security interest and

AB 3605
3rd Reading

It's agreed to by all of the farm community and the financial community and I think both sides. I would ask for your support.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3598 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3598 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Newhouse on 3599. And Senator Philip on 3605. Senator...with leave of the Body, Senator Weaver will handle that. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading is House Bill 3605. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 3605.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This merely establishes a new fund, the Self-Sufficiency Trust Fund. If there's any questions, I'll try to answer them.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 3605 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 56 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. House Bill 3605 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Chair is happy to report that we today passed sixty-one out of eighty-five

bills that were on the call. Monday is looking better all the time. We will finish the housekeeping here. We are effectively through for the day. We'll come in at noon tomorrow, do 2nd reading, recalls and back on 3rd reading. By...mid-tomorrow afternoon we'll be able to make a judgment as to whether or not we have to be here at all on Friday. My guess is, at the moment, no, but we'll see tomorrow. I...I just don't know what else is out there. And for those of us who want to be here, we will be here and Saturday and Sunday and...Aldo, you and I, we'll be here. Message from the Governor.

SECRETARY:

Message from the Governor by Kirk Dillard, Director of Legislative Affairs.

Mr. President - I am directed...the Governor directs me to lay before the Senate the following Message.

To the Honorable members of the Senate, the 84th General Assembly, I have nominated and appointed the following named person to the office enumerated below and respectfully ask concurrence in and confirmation of these appointments by your Honorable Body.

PRESIDENT:

Committee on Executive Appointments. Senator Lechowicz.
SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. I ask leave of the Body to suspend the appropriate posting rules so Mary Ann Koppel be...can be included in tomorrow's call in the Executive Appointments Committee which is the Message the Clerk just read.

PRESIDENT:

All right, that request is in order. The gentleman seeks leave to waive the posting...posting requirements so that Mrs. Koppel's confirmation can be taken up tomorrow. With leave of the Body, it's so ordered. Senator Luft, for what

purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would ask leave to add Senator Davidson as a hyphenated sponsor to House Bill 787 and Senator Welch as a hyphenated sponsor to House Bill 2879.

PRESIDENT:

All right, gentleman seeks leave to add Senator Davidson to...as a cosponsor of 787 and Senator Welch as a cosponsor on 2879. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Lechowicz, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Purpose of an announcement...Executive Appointments, Veterans' Affairs and Administrative...Administration will meet tomorrow morning at nine o'clock in Room 212. That's Executive Appointments, tomorrow morning at nine o'clock in 212. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Kelly, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KELLY:

For...thank you, Mr. President. For purpose...also of an announcement that there will be a...the baseball game is tonight between the House and the Senate. I'd ask that our Senators would be there as early as possible so we can get some good practice in, and wish us good luck.

PRESIDENT:

You are wished good luck. Don't practice too hard. You expand the risk. Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. An announcement. The subcommittee of the Senate Executive Committee that is going to consider and review some of the proposals for allocation of the Exxon funds will meet tomorrow morning beginning at 8:30 a.m. in Room 400. There are three official subcommittee mem-

bers, Senators...Demuzio and Schuneman and myself and anyone else is most cordially invited to be there with ideas or comments.

PRESIDENT:

Committee reports, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senator Sangmeister, chairman of Executive Committee, reports out the following Senate resolutions and Senate joint resolutions:

Senate Joint Resolution 150 and Senate Resolution 902, 974, 978, 1045, 1046 and 1047, recommend adoption.

Senate Resolutions 929 and 1009, recommend adoption as amended.

Senator Hall, chairman of Appropriations II Committee, reports out the following House bills:

House Bill 2985 with the recommendation Do Pass.

House Bills 2983, 2984, 2987, 2988, 2993, 2995, 2996, 2997, 3050, 3090, 3091, 3092, 3133 and 3191 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Lechowicz, for purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. On the Calendar, on 3rd reading, is House Bill 3044. I ask leave of the Body to bring that matter back for 2nd reading for the purpose of an amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Well, we...we have a whole list of recalls which we will get to tomorrow, truly.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Thank you. Senator Lemke, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR LEMKE:

Oh, I'd like to...the House sponsors request to change the sponsor on House Bill 3145 to read from Senator Lemke to Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDENT:

3145, the gentleman seeks leave...where is that? Is that 2nd reading. Oh, it's in...it's still in committee. Gentleman seeks leave to show Senator Marovitz as the chief sponsor on House Bill 3145. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Berman, for what purpose do you arise, sir?

SENATOR BERMAN:

For two things, Mr. President. First, I'd ask for leave to be added as a hyphenated sponsor on 2917. I've checked with the principal sponsor, Senator Netsch.

PRESIDENT:

All right, gentleman seeks leave to be added as a cosponsor on House Bill 2917. Without objection, leave is granted.

SENATOR BERMAN:

And tonight is the...we have a busy athletic schedule. Tonight is also the Annual Tennis Tournament, eight o'clock at the Springfield Racket Club. Everybody's invited. Bring your racket, racketeers.

PRESIDENT:

Bring your...yeah...for those of you who have a racket, bring it. Resolutions, Mr. Secretary. That will conclude our business.

SECRETARY:

The following resolutions are congratulatory.

1074 offered by Senators...Lechowicz, Rock, Degnan and others.

...1075, Senator Barkhausen.

1076, Senator Dunn.

1077, Senator Lemke.

1078, Senator Berman.

1079, Senator Smith.

And...Senate Joint Resolution 172, by Senator Macdonald
is a death resolution.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 1080 offered by Senator Lemke.

PRESIDENT:

Executive. If there's no further business to come before
the Senate, no further announcements...the ball game at six
this evening, Executive Appointments at nine in the morning,
we'll start Session at noon, we'll do 2nd reading, recall and
3rd reading. If there's nothing further, Senator Hall moves
that the Senate stand adjourned until Thursday, June 19, at
the hour of twelve o'clock noon. Senate stands adjourned.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JUNE 18, 1986

HB-0500	THIRD READING	PAGE	65
HB-0564	THIRD READING	PAGE	69
HB-0787	SECOND READING	PAGE	4
HB-0988	THIRD READING	PAGE	70
HB-1130	SECOND READING	PAGE	6
HB-1467	THIRD READING	PAGE	71
HB-1477	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
HB-1556	SECOND READING	PAGE	7
HB-1675	SECOND READING	PAGE	9
HB-1865	SECOND READING	PAGE	10
HB-1945	SECOND READING	PAGE	26
HB-2060	THIRD READING	PAGE	71
HB-2073	THIRD READING	PAGE	75
HB-2537	SECOND READING	PAGE	34
HB-2546	SECOND READING	PAGE	60
HB-2549	SECOND READING	PAGE	34
HB-2552	SECOND READING	PAGE	62
HB-2579	THIRD READING	PAGE	76
HB-2581	THIRD READING	PAGE	77
HB-2612	THIRD READING	PAGE	80
HB-2621	THIRD READING	PAGE	81
HB-2642	SECOND READING	PAGE	36
HB-2714	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
HB-2724	THIRD READING	PAGE	81
HB-2725	THIRD READING	PAGE	85
HB-2726	THIRD READING	PAGE	89
HB-2735	THIRD READING	PAGE	90
HB-2741	SECOND READING	PAGE	37
HB-2770	THIRD READING	PAGE	93
HB-2775	THIRD READING	PAGE	94
HB-2778	THIRD READING	PAGE	94
HB-2782	THIRD READING	PAGE	96
HB-2816	THIRD READING	PAGE	99
HB-2819	THIRD READING	PAGE	100
HB-2820	THIRD READING	PAGE	107
HB-2820	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	114
HB-2828	THIRD READING	PAGE	114
HB-2839	SECOND READING	PAGE	37
HB-2871	THIRD READING	PAGE	115
HB-2873	THIRD READING	PAGE	116
HB-2879	THIRD READING	PAGE	117
HB-2937	SECOND READING	PAGE	39
HB-2958	SECOND READING	PAGE	40
HB-3017	THIRD READING	PAGE	117
HB-3019	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
HB-3025	THIRD READING	PAGE	119
HB-3040	THIRD READING	PAGE	121
HB-3065	THIRD READING	PAGE	122
HB-3072	THIRD READING	PAGE	124
HB-3079	THIRD READING	PAGE	125
HB-3089	SECOND READING	PAGE	41
HB-3096	THIRD READING	PAGE	126
HB-3115	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
HB-3156	THIRD READING	PAGE	127
HB-3162	THIRD READING	PAGE	128
HB-3165	THIRD READING	PAGE	130
HB-3178	THIRD READING	PAGE	132
HB-3181	THIRD READING	PAGE	131
HB-3182	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
HB-3187	SECOND READING	PAGE	42
HB-3192	THIRD READING	PAGE	135
HB-3207	SECOND READING	PAGE	43
HB-3213	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
HB-3222	SECOND READING	PAGE	44
HB-3230	THIRD READING	PAGE	136

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

JUNE 18, 1986

HB-3266	SECOND READING	PAGE	63
HB-3266	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	63
HB-3267	SECOND READING	PAGE	45
HB-3271	SECOND READING	PAGE	45
HB-3276	SECOND READING	PAGE	46
HB-3300	SECOND READING	PAGE	47
HB-3309	SECOND READING	PAGE	48
HB-3346	SECOND READING	PAGE	49
HB-3346	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	49
HB-3351	SECOND READING	PAGE	64
HB-3371	SECOND READING	PAGE	49
HB-3394	SECOND READING	PAGE	50
HB-3394	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	51
HB-3422	SECOND READING	PAGE	51
HB-3423	THIRD READING	PAGE	137
HB-3428	THIRD READING	PAGE	137
HB-3431	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
HB-3431	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	52
HB-3449	SECOND READING	PAGE	52
HB-3468	SECOND READING	PAGE	54
HB-3473	SECOND READING	PAGE	55
HB-3474	SECOND READING	PAGE	56
HB-3475	THIRD READING	PAGE	141
HB-3476	SECOND READING	PAGE	58
HB-3477	THIRD READING	PAGE	141
HB-3479	THIRD READING	PAGE	142
HB-3480	THIRD READING	PAGE	143
HB-3506	THIRD READING	PAGE	143
HB-3512	THIRD READING	PAGE	144
HB-3520	THIRD READING	PAGE	146
HB-3521	THIRD READING	PAGE	148
HB-3525	THIRD READING	PAGE	149
HB-3528	THIRD READING	PAGE	150
HB-3543	THIRD READING	PAGE	151
HB-3549	THIRD READING	PAGE	151
HB-3549	OUT OF RECORD	PAGE	152
HB-3551	THIRD READING	PAGE	152
HB-3552	THIRD READING	PAGE	152
HB-3553	THIRD READING	PAGE	153
HB-3554	THIRD READING	PAGE	154
HB-3555	SECOND READING	PAGE	58
HB-3567	THIRD READING	PAGE	154
HB-3573	SECOND READING	PAGE	59
HB-3584	THIRD READING	PAGE	155
HB-3591	THIRD READING	PAGE	156
HB-3596	THIRD READING	PAGE	156
HB-3598	THIRD READING	PAGE	157
HB-3605	THIRD READING	PAGE	158
SR-1070	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-1071	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-1072	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-1073	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	2
SR-1074	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	162
SR-1075	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	162
SR-1076	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	162
SR-1077	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	162
SR-1078	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	162
SR-1079	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	163
SR-1080	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	163
SJR-0172	RESOLUTION OFFERED	PAGE	163

03/16/88
09:58

STATE OF ILLINOIS
84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY
SENATE
DAILY TRANSCRIPTION OF DEBATE INDEX

PAGE 3

JUNE 18, 1986

SUBJECT MATTER

SENATE TO ORDER - PRESIDENT ROCK	PAGE	1
PRAYER - REVEREND MARY CATHERINE PIERCE	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - APPROVED	PAGE	1
JOURNALS - POSTPONED	PAGE	1
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	/
MESSAGE FROM GOVERNOR	PAGE	159
COMMITTEE REPORTS	PAGE	161
ADJOURNMENT	PAGE	163