

84TH GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

APRIL 16, 1985

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The hour of twelve o'clock having arrived, the Senate will come to order. Members will be at their desks. Our prayer today will be by Father Anthony Tzortzis of St. Anthony's Hellenic Orthodox...Church here in Springfield. Father.

FATHER TZORTZIS:

(Prayer given by Father Tzortzis)

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal, Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Mr. President, I move that the reading and approval of the Journals of Wednesday, April 10th; Thursday, April 11th and Friday April 12th, in the year 1985, be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journals.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

You've heard the motion. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. So ordered. Committee reports.

SECRETARY:

Senator Savickas, chairman of Assignment of Bills Committee, reports the following Senate bills...reports the assignment of the following Senate bills;

Agriculture, Conservation and Energy - 567, 581, 589, 606; Appropriations I - 528, 552; Elementary and Secondary Education - 586, 597, 607, 608, 609, 611; Higher Education - 575, 626, 627; Elections and Reapportionment - 590, 616; Executive - 527, 547, 555, 556, 558, 559, 561, 573, 585, 612 and 620; Finance and Credit Regulations - 569, 570 and 571; Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities - 553, 554, 566, 568, 576, 577, 578, 580, 591, 614, 617 and 622; Judiciary I - 526, 533, 536, 541, 545, 546, 548, 549, 550, 551, 564, 565, 584, 587, 593, 594, 599, 600, 603, 604, 615, 618 and 619;

Judiciary II - 531, 532, 534, 535, 537, 538, 539, 540, 542, 543, 544, 563, 592, 595, 598 and 621; Labor and Commerce - 598; Local Government - 557, 560, 579, 596, 601, 613, 623, 625 and 628; Public Health, Welfare and Corrections - 529, 572 and 624; Revenue - 582 and 602; Transportation - 630, 674, 683...or...530, 574, 583, 605 and 610.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Resolutions.

SECRETARY:

Senate Resolution 134 offered by Senator Jerome Joyce and it's commendatory.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Consent Calendar.

SECRETARY:

Senate Joint Resolution 39 offered by...Constitutional Amendment 39 offered by Senator Philip.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Executive. All right. With leave of the Body, we'll go to House bills on 1st reading, page 9. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. House bills 1st reading, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 40, Senator Watson is the Senate sponsor.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 43, Senator Davidson.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 88, Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 99, Senator Nedza.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 131, Senator Darrow.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 242, Senator Sangmeister.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 296, Senators Bloom and Luft.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

House Bill 336, Senator Maitland.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

1st reading of the bills.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

With leave of the Body, we'll go to Senate bills 2nd reading...the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of 2nd...2nd Reading are Senate bills at top of page 2 is...is Senate Bill 10. Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

...Bill 10...

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 10.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

SECRETARY:

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is a committee amendment that gives alternate power to the Attorney General in the event that the officials at the University of Illinois would not act under this proposed legislation. I would move for the adoption of the committee amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Sangmeister moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 10. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 29, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Senator Jeremiah Joyce on the Floor? 31, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Senate Bill 50, Senator Sangmeister. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. Senate Bill 50.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 50.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Executive offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Yes, the committee amendment on this bill was agreed upon with the aggregate industries and it's what the input that they wanted into the bill. And I move for the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. You heard the motion. Any discussion? Senator Sangmeister has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1, Senate Bill 50. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Amendment No. 2 has come from the Bureau of Mines and Minerals as to what they thought ought to be put into this Act because they will have the...be the regulatory authority under this legislation and it's their input into it. And I move for the adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion on...on the adoption of Amendment No. 2? If not, Senator Sangmeister moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 50. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 74, Senator Smith. Senate Bill 75, Senator Smith. Senate Bill 79, Senator Vadalabene. Senator...Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, in regard to Senate Bill 79. I've been waiting for about two and a half weeks after the bill got out of committee...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Pardon me, Senator Vadalabene. Can we have your attention, please. Can we have some order, please. Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

I've been waiting about two and a half weeks and...and I said in committee that I would hold this bill on 2nd until I hear from the cosmetology people and its executive director. I would like to move it to 3rd and I won't move it off of 3rd until I hear from those people. But I think I've waited long

enough and I...I will move it on 3rd...keep it on 3rd reading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. On the Order of 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 79, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 79.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 80, Senator Vadalabene. 8-0. Senate Bill 87, Senator Jerome Joyce. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 87, Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 87.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Local Government offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment essentially restores this...to the way that the...it was originally before we passed a bill last year. This is the bill that...that said that county boards shall adopt a plan for altering township boundaries. This is the one if you were under ten million dollars you had to combine the townships. So this restores...the amendment restores it the way it was before that bill was passed last year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Joyce moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 87. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

We have...had a request from WCIA-TV requesting permission to videotape the proceedings. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 89, Senator Schaffer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. Senate Bill 89.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 89.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 95, Senator Fawell. Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 95. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 95.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance and Credit Regulations offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell, do you wish to handle the committee amendment? Senator...Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much, yes. The committee amendment...changed the bill so that when there's a payment on the mortgage in real estate that's occupied by the owners or homestead residents is forty-five days in arrears the notice will be sent out to both parties that there is an arrearage. There is also a...a section that says that any person who extends a loan of monies that is secured either by a second mortgage on real estate in a...which is occupied by the owners as a resident or homestead shall require all signatures on the...by the owners or mortgages.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Fawell moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 95. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This is a committee...this is an amendment that I agreed to in the committee and it merely removes..."return receipt requested."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Fawell moves the adoption of Amend-

ment No. 2. Those in...any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 99, Senator Fawell. Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 99. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 99.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Fawell.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This is also a...an amendment that I agreed to in committee and it merely says, "No compensation shall be paid to any prisoner for attending vocational training or educational classes described in paragraph four."

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Fawell moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 99. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 105, Senator Bloom. Senator Bloom on the Floor? Senate Bill 108. Top of page 3 is Senate Bill 108, Senator Hudson. Read the bill, Mr. Secre-

tary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 108.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. With leave of the Body, Senator Bloom wishes to have his bill called, at the bottom of page 2, 105.

I inadvertently did not see him. If leave is granted we'll go back and pick it up. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.

On the bottom of page 2 is Senate Bill 105, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 105.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Judiciary II offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Bloom.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Yes, this is a clarifying amendment. If there are any questions, I'd be happy to answer them; otherwise, I'd go along with the Committee on Judiciary.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Bloom moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1. Is there any...any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 105 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Bloom.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Bloom.

SECRETARY:

Seems to be identical, Senator Bloom, to the other one.

SENATOR BLOOM:

Yeah, I think that was inadvertently filed by staff. I would ask that it be withdrawn, and if it's needed then we can do recalls at a later date. Thank you, very much.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Bloom...requests Amendment...No. 2 to be withdrawn. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The amendment is withdrawn. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Top of page 3 is Senate Bill 113, Senator Jerome Joyce. Read...read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 113...Senate Bill 113.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 122, Senator Lenke. Senate Bill 122, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 122.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Lemke.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LENKE:

What this amendment does is...is a amendment we worked out with the Department of Conservation in regards to a...of bills. It's their suggested amendment to the bill. I think it's a good amendment. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Senator Lemke moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 122. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 134, Senator Carroll. 136, Senator Jerome Joyce. 136, Mr. Secretary, read the bill. Senate Bill 136.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 136.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 145, Senator Carroll. Senate Bill 148, Mr. Secretary, read the bill. Senate Bill 148.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 148.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 155, Senator Sangmeister. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 155.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

All this amendment does...it was brought to our attention by staff in committee that the word "or" ought to be placed in there so that it is clear that all of those provisions in the bill are alternative and not treated as a whole. So the word "or" has to be put in there and move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there any discussion? Senator Sangmeister has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 155. Those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.

The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 164, Senator Lenke. Senate Bill 167, Senator Carroll. 175, Senator Hall. Senate Bill 180 is Senator...Karpziel. Is Senator Karpziel on the Floor? Senate Bill 211. Is there leave to return this...to this bill in a few minutes? Leave is granted. On top of page 4 is Senate Bill 237, Senator Kustra. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 237.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 238, Senator Marovitz. Senate Bill 243, Senator Coffey. Senate Bill 244, Senator Coffey. 249, Senator Holmberg. Senator Holmberg, 249. Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 249 in...in the middle of page 4. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 249 had a request for a fiscal note. The fiscal note request has been answered.

Senate Bill 249.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Sangmeister.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Sangmeister. Have some order, please.

SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, this bill obviously comes out of the Revenue Committee. And the purpose of the bill was to take the investment credit for...placing in service qualified manufacturing mining and retail property was originally all being charged against the...the Replacement Fund, and the original intent of this bill was to move it from the Replacement Fund and take it out of the State Income Tax Fund. I felt that there was some merit in the bill but that we ought to maybe share the responsibility between both the funds and therefore this amendment would split it fifty-fifty; fifty of it would be credited toward the State Income Tax Fund and the other fifty percent would go against the Replacement Fund and give each fund a...a fair shake rather than moving it out of one and into another. Move the adoption of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Sangmeister has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to speak against this particular amendment. I think with the school districts needing all of the personal property replacement tax during very, very trying times, I think most of us in our campaigns found out that that was the number one priority issue, additional funding for education, this is certainly one way to keep that tax from being robbed of additional funds. I think...it's not a great amount of money, but I think we need to figure it against the State Income Tax rather than against the Corporate Personal Property Replacement Tax so that edu-

... cation will continue to get the full amount of that replacement tax as was promised years ago. I...do not support this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I also rise in opposition to the amendment, and would point out to the members of the Senate that we are dealing with the Corporate Personal Property Replacement Tax Fund which is still an extremely significant source of revenue for low...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right...Senator Netsch, just a moment, can I get some order? Could we have some order, please. Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

...thank you, Mr. President. The Corporate Personal Property Replacement Tax Fund which is an extremely significant source of funding for all of our units of local government and of course particularly for school districts which get a major part of the share of it. I believe, probably, most members of the Senate are now aware, and if not, I will refresh their recollection that the Corporate Personal Property Replacement Tax Fund has been on the skids for several years and although it's looking a little bit better right now than it did for several years, it is still calculated at a level that probably is no more than and very likely less than the amount that the corporate personal property replacement...I'm sorry, the Corporate Personal Property Tax itself was bringing in at the time it was abolished. And this as you know was intended to be a dollar for dollar replacement, at least. In addition, we have not even built in a computation for the amount by which that Corporate Personal Property Tax would have increased had it remained in effect since 1979, the year it was abolished. In other words, our units

of local government are taking something of a beating at our hands and it is simply not fair, it makes no sense at all. The corporate income tax itself is a little bit volatile these days, it's been a little hard to predict for the last few months, in fact, really the last eighteen months. But, I...I don't think, despite the way that it's coming in right at the moment, that it is likely to get much better looking over the next eighteen months or two years. In the meantime, when we passed tax relief for business, which is exactly what the investment tax credit bill was, we did not have the courage to absorb it in our own State Corporate Income Tax, instead we put it off on to the locals. I think that really does not make any sense and it seems to me we ought to have the courage of our convictions and say that if we believe that an investment tax credit is a good idea, which....which parenthetically I think is of some doubt in terms of its effectiveness, but if we do believe that it is a good idea that at least we ought to have the courage to say that the cost will come out of our own State-level Corporate Income Tax and not the local governments' share. So, on that basis, I would strongly support the bill as it came out of committee and oppose Senator Sangmeister's amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President,...I...question of the Chair. I filed a request for a fiscal note. I have not seen that fiscal note and I would like to request that the fiscal note be distributed so that we can get a better idea as to what the impact of the passage of this legislation might be on local governments and on State Government both.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator, the...certainly your request is in order. The fiscal note responsibility, according to the Secretary,

has been met. There is nothing in our...our rules that require us to have the fiscal note to be distributed to the members. It, in fact, can be distributed, but on the order of business that we are now, it just seems to me that it...it may be inappropriate. Senator Etheredge.

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Then I would request that the amendment be distributed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator, are you joined by four additional members on the...

SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Etheredge has requested that the amendment be distributed. There is the requisite number of members that have made the request. Senator Sangmeister, we ought to take this out of the record and come back to it. Senator Holmberg. Mr. Secretary, take it out of the record. Senate Bill...Senator Keats, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you, Mr. President, strictly for a technical clean-up. Senate Bill 1061 is presently under my sponsorship. I would appreciate...and we've cleared this, I would appreciate it if my name would be removed as sponsor and the sponsorship would read, Bloom, Carroll, Dawson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. You've heard the request.

SENATOR KEATS:

Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. On the Order of...of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 251. Senator Lechowicz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 251.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 257, Senator Jerome Joyce.
Senator Joyce on the Floor? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary,
please. Senate Bill 257.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 257.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 265, Senator Geo-Karis. Sena-
tor Geo-Karis, 265. Senate Bill 291, Senator Welch. Read
the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. Senate Bill 291.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 291.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 292, Senator Jerome Joyce.
292, Senator Joyce. Senate Bill 297, Senator Watson.

Senate Bill 305, Senator Schuneman. The bottom of page 4 is
Senate Bill 305. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 305.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 310, Senator Sangmeister. 310,
bottom of page 4. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.
Senate Bill 310, bottom of page 4.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 310.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 318 on the...top of page 5.
Senator Luft...read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 318.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 318.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Finance offers one
amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment offered in

committee was of a technical nature and I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator Luft moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 318. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 326, Senator D'Arco. Senator D'Arco on the Floor? 327, Senator Vadalabene. 327, top of page 5. 330, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 330.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 336, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 336.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 336.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Coffey.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 336 takes care of the problem that was questioned in the committee. There was some question of school districts that...that had transportation systems...would...to get the thirteen percent Motor Fuel Tax discount. If they picked up parochial schools or others in that system, they would not be able to take advantage of that. We did correct that by striking "set school districts" which would make that benefit available to them also. And I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

You've heard the discussion. Senator Coffey has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 336. Those...is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 345, Senator Netsch. 345. Senate Bill 353, Senator Kelly. The middle of page 5 is Senate Bill 353, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 353.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 354, Senator Mahar. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. 354.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 354.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 378, Senator Bloom. Senator Bloom on the Floor? 379, Senator Nedza. Middle of page 5 is Senate Bill 379, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 379.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 394, Senator Darrow. Senate Bill 404, Senator Luft. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please. Senate Bill 404.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 404.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one

amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SECRETARY:

Effective...effective date.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. The amendment just adds an effective date. And I would move for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator...Senator Luft moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 404. Any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 411, Senator Rigney. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 411.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, the amendment on that bill is purely a technical amendment, made no substantive changes whatsoever.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rigney has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 411. Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor signify by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Committee Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 419, Senator Savickas. Top of page 6 is Senate Bill 429, Senator O'Daniel. Mr. Secretary, Senate Bill 429. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 429.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No...no Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 430, Senator O'Daniel. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 430.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

3rd reading. Senator Davidson, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

To ask leave to become a hyphenated cosponsor of Senate Bill 426 with yourself, with your permission.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. You've heard...you've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. With leave of the Body, we will proceed with Senate bills on 3rd reading, middle of page...top of page 6. Senator Kustra, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President. With leave of the Senate, I would like to add Senator Geo-Karis as a hyphenated cosponsor to Senate Bill 749.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. Senator Luft, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd also ask leave to add Senator...Demuzio and Senator Coffey as hyphenated sponsors to Senate Bill 583.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senate Bill 583. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. All right. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 7, Senator Fawell. Are you ready to proceed, Senator Fawell? Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 7.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This is a bill that was brought to me by one of our former colleagues, Jack Bowers. He had a neighbor who was a prisoner of war during World War II that has a blind retarded child. This man has suffered a massive heart attack and is no longer able to work. All the bill does is allow the same amount of monies that we would normally have allowed this man to spend on the POW scholarship which allows a...a child of a POW-MIA to attend any university in our State for four years...it gives them the same amount of money to be able to take care of this child at a similar institution so that she can too receive the proper training and...allow...be able to live some kind of a...of a half-way decent life. There are two thousand one hundred and twelve prisoners and MIAs in the State. I have talked to the State Board of Education, they feel that there would be...there are approximately two hundred special ed. children per two thousand people...two...two thousand families and that includes anything from a speech impediment down. The feeling is that this would not be an expensive bill and I think this man has suffered enough for his country. He...was...weighed eighty-five pounds when he was found in the camp in Germany. I think we owe this to him and I ask for your support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 7 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have

all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator Hall. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 7 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 9, Senator Vadalabene. On the Order of House...Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 9. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 9.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 9 provides for the clarification that counties are to be...reimbursed for extradition expenses in all felony cases. The bill was amended to the satisfaction of the committee. We're having problems with the Department of Law Enforcement where they authorize the counties to pick up or extradite prisoners back to Illinois, and when they submit their vouchers to law enforcement they do not pay these travel expenses. And what we've done, we've amended the bill to pay for extradition expenses in all felony cases. And I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This bill...did come before the Judiciary Committee, it does address a legitimate problem, particularly in downstate counties. And the bill was amended to take care of some problems that were discussed in committee. I would support this legislation and urge your Aye vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 9 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Hall. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 9 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 18, Senator Welch. Senate Bill 39, Senator Hall. Senate Bill 58, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 58, Mr. Secretary. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 58.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Bill 58 would provide the opportunity for multitownship boards of trustees to vote compensation to themselves up to twenty-five dollars per diem. At present, they may budget on an annual basis...pay on an annual basis. This is to try to encourage the formation of multitownship boards of trustees and I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 58 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Senator Rock. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 58 having received the required constitutional majority is declared

SB 97
2nd Reading

passed. Senate Bill 97, Senator Fawell. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 97.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. This...this bill does exactly what it says in the...in the Calendar. It extends the Statute of Limitations for incestuous offenses...offenses to one year after the victim...attains majority. This was brought to me by our State's Attorney's Office. They have run into several cases where a...a victim is no longer able to prosecute the offender because there is a three year Statute of Limitations at present. This bill passed out of committee...no one voted against it. I don't know of any objection to it. I would be perfectly willing to answer any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 97 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 97 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 106, Senator Rock. Mr. Secretary, 106, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate...Senate Bill 106.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate Bill 106, sponsored by myself and Senator Philip, effectively restructures the leadership of the Senate by the addition and creation of the post of Whip; one Whip for the Republican side and two Whips for the Democratic side. Given the new structure of the leadership, I think this fairly reflects the concerns, at least on my side of the aisle, with respect to upstate, downstate, Chicago, non-Chicago, et cetera. I think the...the amendment has been discussed at some length. The only other change, that it raises the compensation of the Caucus Chair to be the equivalent that...that is...of that that's enjoyed by the assistant leaders and the Whips and I would ask for your favorable consideration.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 106 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 18, 2 voting Present. Senate Bill 106 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 111, Senator Netsch. Senate Bill 118, Senator Lemke. On the Order of...of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 118. Mr. Secretary, read the...Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR NETSCH:

...I...first of all, I was going to ask for a verification on the other one, which you conveniently got off the board in time...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Netsch, I have no...

SENATOR NETSCH:

...but at the very...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...I have...I have no power over the board, I don't have any switches.

SENATOR NETSCH:

...at the very least, I would like my bill called which happened to be next on the list.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator Netsch, we...called out your name and we didn't receive any...

SENATOR NETSCH:

No, you did not...you did not recognize me at all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator Netsch, we in fact called Senate Bill 118 and received no response. So, with leave of the Body, we'll take Senate Bill 120 out of the record and we'll simply go back to Senate Bill 118. Is leave granted? Leave is...all right, we'll go back for...we'll take 118 out of the record and we will go back to Senate Bill 111. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. And now on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senator Netsch wishes to have Senate Bill 111 called. Mr. Secretary, read the bill slowly.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...Senate Bill 111.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you. Last Session we passed a bill which dealt with pharmaceutical assistance for senior citizens. The Governor, as is usual, used his amendatory veto and, as is all too common, he messed up the bill in the process and made

it applicable only to those who would have reached age sixty-five by 1984 which was...the exact opposite, of course, of what the bill was intended to do. It is totally, by everyone, conceded to have been a technical error of major proportions but nevertheless a technical error. This bill corrects that error and makes the existing program available to those for whom it was originally designed. I would be happy to answer any questions; otherwise I would solicit your support for Senate Bill 111.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Any discussion? Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 111 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 111 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Now, Senate Bill 118, Senator Lemke. We...the Chair apologizes to...to you for having...having taken your bill out of the record. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 118. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 118.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DENUZIO)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

What this bill does is amends the...the Illinois Veterans' Home provisions, decreases from ninety days to thirty days the number of war time days necessary for admission to the Illinois Veterans' Home. This bill will take effect, my understanding, on January 1st of '86 and at time time we'll

have an additional three...three hundred bed...capacity. I think it's a good provision because most states on the subject matter go from one to ninety days, most being in thirty days. And I think if anybody serves in wartime, if they serve one day or one hour, they're just as important if you serve four years because you can get shot in an hour or in a...in a year depending how long you serve. I think it's a good bill and we should open our doors up to these veterans. I ask for its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 118 pass. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 118 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 120, Senator Lemke. Top of page 7 is Senate Bill 132, Senator Luft. 133, Senator Vadalabene. 133, the burial. Top of page 7, Senate bills 3rd reading is Senate Bill 133. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 133.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Senate Bill 133 increases the limit for veterans and their family members from three hundred dollars to six hundred dollars per burial. The counties are required to pay for the burial cost of deceased, honorably discharged veterans or their...or their families. Increases the maximum cost of

counties for burial expenses of the...indigent, honorably discharged veterans or members of their families. And I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 133 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none... voting Present. Senate Bill 133 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 141, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 141.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Rock.

SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This proposal addresses one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight commissions and boards that the Compensation...Review Board felt were entitled to additional compensation. And what happened, in fact, you'll recall last year, we did change the terms of office for the banking commissioner, for the Industrial Commission and for the assistant banking commissioners. There were eight other agencies whose membership at the moment are unable to receive the proper compensation. What this legislation would do is terminate their terms at the end of May, afford the Governor an opportunity to reappoint, if he so desires, the current membership and afford the opportunity then for those members

who are serving to receive the additional compensation. Amendment No. 1 included all the other agencies at the request of the Office of the Governor. And I would urge your favorable consideration of Senate Bill 141.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Very briefly, I stand in full support of Senate Bill 141. As the President pointed out to you, this matter was addressed by this General Assembly in the last Session. These boards and commissions were missed by an oversight. This is a corrective piece of legislation and it merits your support. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator...the question is, shall Senate Bill 141 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 2, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 141 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 147, Senator Berman. Senate Bill 147. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 147.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 147 as amended allows an importing distributor to petition the Liquor Con-

rol Commission for permission to own or acquire an interest in a distiller or wine manufacturer, and it calls for the hearings and review and decision by the Liquor Control Commission based upon that petition. Be glad to respond to any questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 147 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote No. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 147 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 156, Senator Maitland. Senator Maitland on the Floor? Senate Bill 170, Senator Weaver. In the middle of page 7, Senate bills 3rd reading, is Senate Bill 170. Mr. Secretary, read the bill. 170.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 170.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank...Mr. President, members of the Senate. This merely delays for another year any appropriation of funds to the R and E for the administration of this fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Any...any discussion? Senator Weaver, were you...were you concluded? Any discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I was just wondering why we're delaying the...are we delaying the implementation of this program by a year by doing this? And if we are, why are we

doing that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, basically, there's such a little amount of money in the fund right now, about ninety thousand dollars. They're waiting...for another year to build that up to at least a hundred and eighty thousand before they make any appropriation...thinking that there wasn't enough money to support any real activity in the disciplinary fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Well, do we have podiatrists that are in need of having their license reviewed or some other type of activity that is not being done and we're delaying that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, this is a recommendation from the Illinois Podiatry Association. The money comes from their license fees, a portion of their fees. We raised their fees to...last year to establish this fund and it just doesn't bring in enough money to do the job properly, and so they want to delay it for one year.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 170 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 170 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 177, Senator Geo-Karis. Senate Bill 177, Mr. Secretary.

AB 246
3rd Reading

Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 177.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this bill really applies to one county, my county, which is under five hundred thousand, about four hundred and twenty-two thousand. At the present time, the law excludes...five acre parcels or less from agricultural purpose exemption in counties over five hundred thousand population. I'm asking that it exclude it...pass four hundred thousand in population. I...ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 177 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. (Machine cutoff)...voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, 1 voting Present. Senate Bill 177 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. UPI would like to take still photographs. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senate Bill 187, Senator Lenke. Senate Bill 212. Is there leave to come back to that one in a minute? Leave is granted. Senate...Senate Bill 246, Senator Vadalabene. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, bottom of page 7, is Senate Bill 246. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 246.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Senate Bill 246 provides that the purpose is to compensate the recorders for additional time involved in researching multiple assignments. In 1983 the Illinois County Clerks and Recorders Association, the Illinois Savings and Loan Association and the realtors comprised to increase the fee for multiple assignments of mortgages to seven dollars. This adds a multiple assignment of leases, interest in trusts, trust deed, deed of trust, contract for awards, deeds and liens. The bill was requested by the Illinois Association of County Clerks and Recorders, and I appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 246 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The...the voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none voting Present. Senate Bill 246 having...Senate Bill 246 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 247, Senator Vadalabene. Bottom of page 7, Senate bills 3rd reading is Senate Bill 247. Mr. Secretary, read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 247.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. Senate Bill 247 provides and authorizes the county coroner to maintain a special fund from which the county board shall authorize payments by voucher between board meetings to pay necessary travel, dues and other expenses incurred in attending workshops, educational seminars and organizational meetings for the purpose of providing in-service training. The bill was also requested by the Illinois Association of Coroners and I appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Any discussion? Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Question, please.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Why?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Why not?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Well, having been a county auditor for eight years and have dealt with budgets on the county level for eight years, the last thing you want to do is to start eliminating and creating new funds. And I think you'll find in the counties over the last few years what they've tried to do is to control funds. And this seems to me, when every officer has a line item in his budget for travel and whatever, why do...that comes out of the corporate general fund of a county, why we have to set up a special sinking fund for cor-

oners, and are in fact are we going to have to set up a fund for every county official in the future concerning travel expenses. That's why I want to know why.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Senator...Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Already the county clerks have this option and also this would speed up the process and have no delay in getting...their reimbursement for their travel. And I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Luft.

SENATOR LUFT:

Thank you, Mr. President. I just rise to oppose this because I don't think in the experience that I've had there is any real delay in payment of travel expenses. I don't think there's any situation that exists that we can't go with the ordinary budgetary and payment of voucher process in the county.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I rise in...in favor of this bill because this bill is still under the supervision of the county board about this special fund and it does...require, it...it has...it does provide it to pay expenses incurred for in-service training. And I think that since the county clerks already have the identical provision allowing payments by vouchers between county board meetings out of their special funds for expenses incurred for the purposes of in-service training, I think we should accord this same courtesy to the coroners. I speak in favor of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Will the sponsor yield to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Does this apply to home rule counties as well?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

No, it does not.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would just rise in opposition. It seems to me that the main reason for doing this is to make sure the county coroner gets money quicker so that he doesn't have to wait till a board meeting to get his travel dues. It seems to me that if those of us here in the Senate can wait a couple of weeks to get our travel vouchers paid, the county coroner is no better than us, and I think that they can wait until a board meeting which are usually at least once or twice a month. So I would urge a No vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene may close.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, there's no problem being effected by this legislation. The county clerks have used this system for a long time and I don't...know why the county coroners cannot use it. And I would appreciate a favorable vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 247 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 39...the Ayes are 40, the Nays are 8, 3 voting Present. Senate...Senate Bill 347 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Page 8, top of page 8, Senate Bill 248, Senator Holmberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

(Machine cutoff)...Senate Bill 248.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 248.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

This bill as amended does something very simple but I think very significant for all your constituents in the State of Illinois. It requires that the State's portions of the proceeds of the lottery shall be paid into the Common School Fund. As we all know, when we go out to speak, this is one of the main questions that we get asked time and time again, why doesn't the amount of money that we give to the lottery go into the Common School Fund. It's exactly what we thought we were doing when you passed it originally, that's where we want the money to go. The Governor has said that he is willing to sign such a bill this year, although I know we passed one like this before. I think this is the time and this is the year. I...I think a further problem as people look at their lottery tickets and they see that it's State set, part of the money goes to education, they are thoroughly confused. I think we could simplify all of our meetings. We can get back to our constituents and say that we have finally accomplished what they wanted us to do. I recommend a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Is there any discussion? Senator...a lot of

flashing lights. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. Will the...the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Indicates she will yield. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

We're not actually adding any more monies to the...to the budget of the schools with this, we're just sort of transferring money. Is that right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Yes. Thank you, Senator Fawell, that's a very important point, that we will not be adding one penny more necessarily, but it just is the intent of what we...all thought originally might be done, that we will put all of the money into the Common School Fund. As the bill began its progress through the Legislature years ago, that's what the public was told and we will do that, but it will not increase the amount per se.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Schuneman.

SENATOR SCHUNEMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This bill is actually a carbon copy of Senate Bill 319 which I introduced in 1983 and which was vetoed by the Governor at that time. Senator Holmberg has chosen to take this issue, apparently, after having introduced Senate Bill 248 in a different form but, then, having learned that the Governor...had asked Senator Emil Jones and I to introduce this bill, introduced...amended her bill into the form that the Governor would approve. Senator Jones' bill and mine is Senate Bill 305 and is on 3rd reading. I support that bill

and I support this bill, but I would ask that you be aware of how this particular bill got where it is. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Well, here we go again. I...I joked with the Governor the day that he made his pronouncement that he now in fact was going to support the lottery money for education that I had always been with him on this issue and many times was the only No vote here, and now he had left me high and dry and perhaps I'm the only one opposed to the bill. But he jokingly said that, just think, John, this is going to cut down every meeting that you speak at by ten minutes because you always have to explain to people what happens with the lottery money. Well, let me tell you something, I don't mind making that explanation, I can make it. I can tell them what happens to the money. But after you pass this bill and you come back here in a couple of years and you begin to get comments from those same constituents at the meeting who say to you, hey, you promised us the lottery money, you passed it and we still didn't get it. Senator Fawell, you were right on target, it means no more money. It's a charade, and the bill should be opposed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. The AP has requested leave to take still photos. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Further discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY;

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I somewhat concur with Senator Maitland. The only difference is I'm not sure if he's going to support it. I am going to support this proposition because I think if nothing else the public will feel better that they know that these funds are

supposedly going for education, but we in the Legislature know better that in fact, there's going to be more...bureaucracy, there's going to be more accounting practices and it's going to cost the State more than it's taking in. But I think it's worth it to the public to just have that feeling that my money is going for education when I buy that lottery ticket. Maybe they'll buy more lottery tickets.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

All right. Further discussion? With all of the requests that we've had now for still photos by the media, the...the board is lit up like a Christmas tree. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I don't know how appropriate this is but I have a question of the Chair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

State your question.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Can the Chair advise me if under our appropriation rules and systems that exist under our Constitution is it possible for the lottery monies to be deposited directly into the Common School Fund and then spent out of that fund without going through the appropriation process?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Senator DeAngelis, state your question again.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

If I can. What I would like to know, can we legally under our Constitution and our rules of appropriation actually...I know we can deposit money into a fund, but can we in fact allow that money to be spent without going through the appropriation process?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, if...if you're asking for...for a personal opinion, the...the...the question that I would...the answer that I

would give you is that, no, I don't know of any...any...anything in our rules that would prohibit that.
Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

If that be so then, what would preclude if the lottery monies were to decline? A loss in funding for education. Since you're channeling that money directly and you're counting that as part of the total...Senator Holmberg indicated there would not be another extra nickel in education. Assuming that the lottery sales were to drop, what would happen to the monies then? Would the appropriation or the amount of monies available to education decline?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well,...

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Since they're not going through the appropriation process?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

...if you...if you have a...a net loss in the proceeds of the lottery, the balance of the funding for elementary and secondary education is still subject to the appropriations process and still within the jurisdiction of the...of the Legislature. Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, the appropriations are made a year in advance. If you're indicating the...the first answer you gave was that this would not go through the same process, that it would go directly to the Common School Fund and be spent from there. Now how do you make up the loss without coming back and making it up through either a...a supplemental approp.?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Well, Senator Lechowicz, on a point of order.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

My point of order, sir, you have made your ruling. I

believe the gentleman is...the question...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

No, the Chair...the Chair...

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

...if he has any further questions, he can address it to either economic or fiscal appropriations. Let's get on with the business before us.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

First of all...first of all, the...the Chair didn't make any ruling...it was simply asking...it was a response to a question that was posed by Senator DeAngelis. Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you. If I might try to respond, at least in part, to Senator DeAngelis' concern. This was one that he raised in committee, I might say, several times and it was addressed several times by members of the committee. I believe the...the simplest and straightest answer to his concern is there is already a provision in the Illinois Revised Statutes that in effect...not in effect, it does require one-twelfth of the annual appropriation for school aid, at least the general school aid, to be transferred every month into...from general revenue into the Common School Fund, and...so that there should not be any possibility at all that the...the cash flow process would not meet the appropriation process. And it's got nothing to do with where the lottery money goes, it's something that has been there for a long period of time to began with. I would like to be able to give you chapter and verse, and I'm sorry our staff person is not immediately on the Floor who was going to get that section out for us. Someone is trying to find it still now. But I think that is the answer to your question, Senator DeAngelis, and I thought it was at the time that we discussed this in committee as well. There is an automatic transfer that takes place into

the Common School Fund to cover the appropriation that the General Assembly has made with respect to general school aid.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I just want to simply say that what this does, it clarifies...if any of you were around and many of you are still here when this lottery bill was passed, there were several bills, and some of them did indicate that the money was going into the...this Common School Fund. It just so happened the one that the Governor signed was not that bill and that's why we have that right now. That's why so many people are of the impression...that this money would go for schools entirely. So this just simplifies the matter and brings it to the attention of many, many people voted for that with that understanding. And that's why you...have asked so many questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DEMUZIO)

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Very briefly, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is really a truth in lending bill. It's being truthful with the taxpayers of this State when both bingo and lottery were presented, not only to the General Assembly for consideration, but throughout the...gathering support throughout this State. What the lady has presented to you is the conception that has been adopted by the public generally throughout this State that the proceeds of lottery and bingo are supposed to go into this Common...Common School Fund. Unfortunately, we all know what happened in the Conference Committee and that the Governor requested that the money be placed in the General Revenue Fund. I'm not saying that this

will alleviate the problem...the monetary problem of the Common School Funds, but it will correct a perception of the general public which is long overdue. And for that reason alone, this bill should...receive unanimous support, because this is the way it was told to the people of Illinois and this is the way it should be accepted. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I think the previous speaker failed to mention which Governor cut that particular deal and I think the record ought to be clear. The original proponents of the...lottery did want the money in the Common School Fund. The lottery was passed, I believe, in this Chamber thirty minutes after the creation of the RTA, and then Governor Dan Walker wanted in the General Fund to make up the subsidy for the mass transit. So if you wonder where all your money went all these years, those of you in education, think about it.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator Holmberg, you wish to close?

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

I just ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall Senate Bill 248 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 52 Ayes, 3 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 248 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 252, Senator Rigney. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, top of page 8, is Senate Bill 252. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 252.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Mr. President, this legislation will make it possible for credit card key or coin operated fuel pumps. Many of our sister states are into this already and have operated them for many years without any serious consequences whatsoever; in fact, the insurance rates have not gone up under that type of an operation. This bill is of great interest to our many small, rural towns throughout Illinois, where there's probably only one set of gas pumps in the whole town and when you lock the door at six o'clock in the evening, there's no fuel available until the next morning. For instance, in my district, I have about fifty small communities. There are only three in which you can buy fuel during the evening hours. I might point out that this legislation is supported by the Chamber of Commerce, Farm Bureau and most recently by the petroleum marketers. I ask for a favorable vote.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Lechowicz.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I don't know how current you are in receiving and reading your mail, and I know how...how pressed especially at this time of the year as far as trying to catch up with your correspondence. But I was fortunate enough to go through the mail both last night and this morning here, and I received a letter from the Firefighters' Union of the State of Illinois in reference to Senate Bill 252 and why there was some concern with the passage of this bill; and I know it was sent to every member here, but I don't know if you had an opportunity

to catch up with your mail. But I'd like just to bring to your attention some of the comments that were in the correspondence and also with my questioning of the Fire Marshal of the State of Illinois in reference to this legislation, and both of those groups are...violently opposed to Senate Bill 252, because of the safety factor that is contained under their current jurisdiction with the regulation of self-service gasoline station. And I share the gentleman's concern in reference to providing this type of a needed service to every community in this State, not only the rural community but the metropolitan areas as well and the economic advantage of having this type of service for the consuming public. But our primary concern as far as government is...is concerned is to make sure that the general public of this State is protected not only from the consumer aspect but from the safety aspect. And I beg to disagree with you on Senate Bill 252 that you're removing a very important safety aspect in the control of the self-service gasoline stations, and I believe that's an overwhelming factor that should be considered on this bill; and for that reason, I have to rise in...in opposition to Senate Bill 252. I have to respect the guidance and judgment of the State Fire Marshal of this State and also the people that are protected...protecting the public in this vein. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator Rigney, there is...I want to get this straight. What would keep a person...you're supposed to put gas into a container that's...you're not supposed to walk up there with

a glass jar or something that...anything like that. Now, what's to keep a person from coming up there and using a...a container that was illegal and to get gas if there's no attendant?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Well, first of all, I'd have to be candid enough to concede your point, Senator Hall, that would be possible. I would point out to you, however...for instance, where I service my own car, fill my own tank, of one of these self-service station with eight islands out there and one girl on duty taking the money that really isn't monitoring what's going on out on the drive, it would be possible now, in fact, I have seen from time to time when fuel has been put into a container that perhaps would not be approved by the Fire Marshal. That is something that of course it's impossible to deal with if we're going to allow this kind of activity. I think the best thing we can do, however, and I did point it out in my opening remarks, is look what has happened in the fourteen states that already allow this. They have done so without any consequences, and I don't anticipate any problem here in the State of Illinois.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

But, Senator, you have to admit, it's...it's there and that's the problem if we're going to be safe with these things. I mean, it...it's there and that's the thing that I'm concerned about. I would hate to walk into someplace and somebody have some gas sitting in a jug or in a glass container or something and...having a big explosion. That's the...the key thing. Now, if your bill dealt with that, I could support it; otherwise, I cannot.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

I'd like to ask the Senator a question.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Yes. Senator Rigney, the self-service stations, are these unattended? In other words, I'd like to have the procedure. A self-service station is one usually where there's an attendee who takes in your cash and allows you to make your purchase. This station is one that operates twenty-four hours a day without an attendant?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Yes, and that would be under the control of the station owner. For the...for the most part, I...I think you can be assured that he would not give a key or a credit card to someone that he did not know or did not trust. For that reason, I think we have nothing to fear about, you know, the type of people that would be using that equipment, but it is true that there would be no one on duty during those...those evening hours. Keep in mind, again, I say in a lot of our small towns there's about fourteen hours a day when you can't buy fuel.

PRESIDENT:

Further...I beg your pardon. Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY:

Well, along that line I can see where there would not be in many cases an attendee...you could actually have a gaso-line store owner who actually does not have a person there except it's all computerized and they can...I...I just think that it's going in the wrong direction. I do think that

there is a danger involved which Senator Lechowicz had pointed out. I also think that if you get a owner of a gas station who has that system, they can change the prices very easily, and...there could be abuses in many ways when you don't have a person who's running that operation. I...I think it's a bad concept and I'm going to oppose you, Senator.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I just want to ask the sponsor a quick question. It says...

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

...indicates in the synopsis that there are only three such unattended stations that operate in the State of Illinois, and I was wondering to which does this...where are those three and is this bill only for those three or are there more?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

First of all, I'm not aware of those...of any operating in the State of Illinois. They are prohibited now by resolution of the Fire Marshal, and if they are operating, I assume that they must be operating illegally.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Okay, then, assuming that we pass this particular piece of legislation, how do you...what...what do you propose that will happen? I mean, how many...how many unattended filling stations do you think we have, or do you think these are just

for...from the...petroleum dealers themselves or individual dealers or how...how many unattended service stations are we going to have that's going to be pumping gas?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Rigney.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

I would think that over a ten-year period of time, particularly in...in the small towns of this State, you would find a lot moving in that direction. There's no...there would be no big reason to do it say in a community the size of Freeport, because you have two all night service stations in that community. There wouldn't be much reason to put in that kind of a pump in that kind of a community. But for the small towns where there is no fuel available, I would anticipate that they would probably go to some type of a credit card pump.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Rigney may close.

SENATOR RIGNEY:

Well, Mr. President, in reply to the objection that Senator Lechowicz raised, I might just point out, I'm old enough and been around here long enough to remember the arguments that were advanced against the self-service stations. Basically the arguments were the same. We were saying that, well, if we allowed self-service stations in the State of Illinois that people would be spilling gas on the drive, they would be starting fires, they would be hurting themselves or their neighbors by inexperienced people who are running all of these pumps. So, what we're asking for here is merely a...a slight extension of...of the principle of the self-service station, and that is to say, we can take it one step further and to have that station unattended in the evening hours.

PRESIDENT:

Question is, shall Senate Bill 252 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 29 Ayes, 21 Nays, 1 voting Present. Senator Rigney wishes to have further consideration of Senate Bill 252 postponed. So ordered. 254, Senator Luft. 269, Senator Lemke. 281, Senator O'Daniel. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, the middle of page 8, is Senate Bill 281. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 281.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, members of the Senate, Senate Bill 281 merely provides for fees for sheriffs attempting to serve documents in the county. Many times, especially in downstate counties, sheriffs have to make several trips out to...to locate the people and find them at home in order to save...to serve the document, and this would provide fees for his services when he goes out to attempt to serve these documents, and I would appreciate a favorable consideration.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

I...I understand, Senator, that there are approximately six documents served by the county sheriff which would be

affected by this legislation. Would you tell me what kind...what are those documents?

PRESIDENT:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

It would be summons and deeds...just any kind of a document that needed to be served in the county. And this doesn't affect Cook County. Cook County has already be taken care of in this. It just affects downstate counties.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

According to our analysis, it affects my county and I'm Lake County. My county is under...under five hundred thousand and according to our analysis, it says counties with a population of less than twenty-five thousand and counties with a population greater than twenty-five thousand but less than a million...people. It affects my county and I can tell you what...is happening now. When we want someone served, we have to send the money in advance to the sheriff of our county for the service fees, and I haven't had any of it returned yet when they didn't serve them. So, I'm just wondering whether we should go ahead with this bill considering the fact that we can't prove whether they...even have tried to serve the people.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Is there any further discussion?

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

Senator, is there some provision in the bill that there

is some verification or some...of the attempted service? Is there anything in the bill that the sheriff in his attempts to serve whatever summons he is, that there is some notification...some verification of his attempted service?

PRESIDENT:

Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Well, the...any time the sheriff is...is directed to serve a...serve a...a summons, it's made of record, I'm sure.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Nedza.

SENATOR NEDZA:

No further questions.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Any further discussion? Further discussion? If not, Senator O'Daniel, you wish to close?

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

No, I'd just ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall Senate Bill 281 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 46 Ayes, 5 Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 281 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 296, Senator Barkhausen. The Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 286. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 286.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Bill 286 is simple in concept. It would simply require that any memorandum of judgment recorded with the recorder of deeds or in Cook County with the registrar of land titles specify the judgment debtor's last known mailing address. This bill was requested by a particular title company but is supported generally by title companies and by recorders and, I imagine, by the registrar of land titles in the Torrens Office in Cook County. Right now when a employee of a title company is trying to determine the identity of a judgment debtor, it may in some cases be difficult because the address is not specified and it would simply add the requirement that the address if available be added to the...included in the memorandum of judgment.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Yes. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates he'll yield, Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator Barkhausen, you indicated this only applies to Cook County?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

No. It apply...it would apply throughout the State. I tried to indicate because the question arose both in committee and to some extent on 2nd reading that it would also apply to...to memorandum of judgments...registered with the Torrens Office as well as those that are registered with recorders.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator, a memorandum of judgment is a very specific document. If you have the wrong address on the memorandum of judgment, that may affect the total validity of the judgment. Now, I'm sure it would be nice for the title companies to have an address on there, but I'm not so sure it is good for the people obtaining judgments. Is it your intention that if the last known address is either incorrectly entered or the wrong address is entered that the memorandum of judgment is then ineffective?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

That's not...specified in the bill. What is specified is the last known address would have to be provided, or if the person against whom the judgment was entered if the address is not known, then the memorandum...a copy of the judgment would have to specifically state that the address is not known.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator, what would happen if the address which should be listed in the summons...when you serve the debtor with a summons, you have to have an address for the sheriff to serve him. What happens if the court record indicates there is an address in the court file but your memorandum of judgment which is not filed with the court does not indicate that address? According...it would seem to me that there is a case to be made that your memorandum of judgment is ineffective and if your memorandum is ineffective, your judgment does not apply as a lien against the real estate. Now, is that your intention to make that...the change in the law?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Senator Welch, I think you're trying to read a little bit more into this proposed legislation than...than what is attempted. This simply amends the section of the Code of Civil Procedure dealing with lien of judgments, and...you know, if in fact there is a correct address, certainly it would be within anyone's power to...to make that fact known. This is simply an attempt to...to simplify for all concern judgment debtors and creditors and whoever else may be affected by judgment to make it...to make it easier to identify who...who is in fact liable on these judgments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Welch.

SENATOR WELCH:

Senator, I...I understand your intent, but I'm a member of the Attorney Title Guarantee Fund, I write title policies for this. And what you're asking here is that when we write a title policy that we write on the memorandum whether the address is correct...if you have a similar name but a different address, then you're going to raise the question as to whether that should be included as an exception to the clear title on that property. By...what you're doing here in an attempt to clarify the law, I think is...is muddying up the water. You're going to make it so that there are going to be more exceptions to title policies. You're going to...it's going to result in more litigation under the guise of trying to help out a couple of title companies which I don't think is really necessary. If there is confusion as to the address of a judgment debtor, it's very simple...when the title policy comes through and you're trying to clear up the title to the land, the attorney for the...for the judgment plaintiff is listed on the memorandum of judgment. It's very

simple to call that attorney to find out who the judgment debtor is and to get a release. What this is doing, this is...you know, this is trying to make it easier for the title companies, but it's going to make it more difficult for people, for corporations or anybody else who is a plaintiff in this State to collect on judgments, as well as it's going to muddy up the recorder's office and going to make it more complicated than it already is. I don't think this is a good idea. I think that the existing law is sufficient. If you have the address, you can put the address on a memorandum of judgment now, if you want to; you don't have to. So...I...I think this is an addition that really isn't necessary.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Well, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I've had the experience as I do a lot of real estate law work that where there's a John H. Smith, for example, in a memorandum of judgment and there's no address for him, and John H. Smith may be an innocent person in that real estate transaction because there's some other John H. Smith who is a...a debtor, and I think what Senator Barkhausen is trying to do with this bill is to clear it up a little bit. I submit that if there is an address placed on a memorandum of judgment, it will be better for the title company and...and...and it will avoid unnecessary objections for the most part; and the fact that you could always...talk to the lawyer, well, people can file these memorandum of judgments without a lawyer. So who do you talk to then?

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think in line with what

Senator Geo-Karis has just said, what in...to protect judgment creditors, I would again ask the sponsor to state on the record that...that an inadequate...inaccurate address does not jeopardize the validity of the judgment. Isn't...is that your intent? Otherwise, what you're going to do is make the...make the address more important than the judgment. I don't think you want to do that. So, would you state for the record what...if there is an inaccurate address, does it jeopardize the validity of the judgment?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

It...no, it doesn't, Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Any further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Barkhausen, you wish to close?

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Just to...like to add, Mr. President and members, in...just to add to the point made by Senator Geo-Karis, that this legislation is...not solely for the benefit of title companies, it's meant to protect the innocent persons or those who are not liable; for example, that...the John H. Smith who is not in fact the judgment debtor by...by specifying what is thought to be the correct address for the John H. Smith who is in fact the judgment debtor. So, it will help all of those, I believe, currently involved in the...in the process of checking title to land or recording memorandum of judgments whether they be creditors or debtors or whether they be innocent third persons, and I would ask for your support of this bill.

PRESIDENT:

Question is, shall Senate Bill 286 pass. Those in favor

will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 54 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. Senate Bill 286 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 296, Senator Mahar. 314. 338, Senator Holmberg. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading, Senate Bill 338. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 338.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. As you remember, last Session we passed a free senior citizen tuition bill which has proven to be very, very popular. A total of twenty-eight thousand eight hundred and forty-eight senior citizens in Illinois took advantage of this law last year. One of the things we did in that bill was allow a great deal of flexibility for guidelines for the community colleges and senior colleges, and they have come back to us and asked us to present them with a uniform set of guidelines that they have approved. And so what this bill basically does is cleanup that particular law, make it easier for the public institutions of higher education to administer and to make the program uniform for all public institutions of higher education, and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

Is there any discussion? Any discussion? Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she'll yield, Senator Kustra.

SENATOR KUSTRA:

Senator Holmberg, I...I'm just curious, I'm reading the language of the bill. You're applying it to credit courses and exempting courses designed specifically...could you clarify exactly what language you're adding and what impact that's going to have on the administration of this program?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Currently, under the Act, which was not our intentions, senior citizens are permitted to matriculate in a course for either credit or non-credit and receive free tuition. What we wanted to do and what this legislation does is require that the individual take the course for credit in order to qualify for the free tuition, because the way the bill was written is...the students taking the course by the tuition they pay actually pay the instructor and then the empty spaces in the classroom can be filled by senior citizens. By changing the language, we're doing what the bill first intended, which is to allow them to fit in the empty spaces and...in...in those credit courses in both the junior colleges and the senior colleges.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just, then, for further clarity, is this only where space is available then?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

That's...that's it...the number of people taking the course must have paid for the course so that the college will

not lose money; in other words, there's enough money to pay that instructor, then the empty spaces may be filled by senior citizens.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Well, okay. So the senior citizen is not competing with a college-bound student for the enrollment?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

No, he is not.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she'll yield, yes.

SENATOR HUDSON:

Senator Holmberg, I remember vaguely the discussion, or maybe more than vaguely, in committee on this bill, but I have forgotten one point; and that is that if we have a number of senior citizens, let's say we have ten vacancies and there are thirty senior citizens that would like to avail themselves of this opportunity, how are they selected?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

Just according to who first applied for the course until the spaces are filled up.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hudson.

SENATOR HUDSON:

In other words, first come, first served, and it is your

contention that there is not one extra dollar then added to the cost of the institution providing the education. Is that correct?

PRESIDENT:

...Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

That was our intent.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the sponsor a question.

PRESIDENT:

Sponsor indicates she'll yield.

SENATOR WATSON:

Are there any restrictions on senior citizens or does everybody qualify or how do they determine who's going to be eligible?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

It's...those who are eligible for circuit breaker, this is the way the bill passed before, and are sixty-five years of age.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Watson.

SENATOR WATSON:

Those only.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Holmberg.

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

That's right.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Any further discussion? Senator

HB 485
3rd Reading

Holmberg, you wish to close?

SENATOR HOLMBERG:

I just ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall Senate Bill 338 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 51 Ayes, no Nays, none voting Present. Senate Bill 338 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 344, Senator Netsch. On the Order of House Bills 3rd Reading, House Bill 485, Senator Demuzio. Bottom of page 8, with leave of the Body, we'll move right down the Calendar. House bills 3rd reading, House Bill 485. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

House Bill 485.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

3rd reading of the bill.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Thank you, Mr. President. This House Bill 485 has been around here a while. It creates the Emergency Farm Credit Allocation Act. We amended it with four specific provisions at the request of the Governor just the past week. One provision was to assure that the operating money would be utilized only for planting for this year and that pre-existing debt would not qualify in the note. It also provided that we strengthen the...the interest provision to assure that the interest rates would remain either at prevailing wages...prevailing interest rates of the day or not to exceed thirteen percent. We also made a provision in the proposal to assure that the...if you haven't got your loan by

June the 15th and the proposal is not going to consummated and no loans will be made after that date, and that it is not a perpetual program and that it would, in effect, end in a twelve-month period. With those specific provisions the Governor has indicated his support, and I would ask for favorable support of the Senate.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall House Bill 485 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, there are 55 Ayes, 1 Nay, none voting Present. House Bill 485 having received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. All right. The hour of two has arrived, we have a pretty heavy committee schedule. Is there further business to come before the Senate, Mr. Secretary? All right. We'll do...we'll do the paper work; in the meantime, we will then entertain a motion to adjourn until noon tomorrow right after the paper work. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'd like the record to indicate that Senator Macdonald is here...she has sickness in her personal family.

PRESIDENT:

All right. The record will so reflect, Senator Philip. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH:

...Mr. President, I'd like leave to request that Senator Geo-Karis, please forgive me, will be hyphenated sponsor to House...Senate Bill 152, please.

PRESIDENT:

152, the lady requests leave to show Senator Geo-Karis as the hyphenated cosponsor. Is leave granted? Senator Jones,

for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JONES:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Leave to waive the posting requirement for Senate Bill 53 so it can be heard in committee today.

PRESIDENT:

All right. The gentleman seeks leave to waive the posting requirement for Senate Bill 53. Is leave granted? Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Point of an announcement, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Appropriations I will be meeting immediately following adjournment in Room 212. I assume the movie that you sent out the announcement for went ahead at one-thirty.

PRESIDENT:

I...I don't know that. I suggested to them that we would not have left by...it probably did...

SENATOR CARROLL:

All right. Or immediately after the movie, whichever comes first.

PRESIDENT:

Right. Senator Savickas.

SENATOR SAVICKAS:

Yes, Mr. President, the Legislature has declared today as the Illinois Association of Rehabilitation Facilities Day and they are hosting the Tenth Annual Legislative Reception at the Illini Country Club tonight from six to nine. They've provided limousine service every fifteen minutes from the north drive both to and from the Country Club so those that wish to participate may do so very easily.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Nedza. Senator O'Daniel.

SENATOR O'DANIEL:

Mr. President, I'd like leave to be added as a hyphenated sponsor of House Joint Resolution No. 4 and also Senate Bill 383 and Senate Bill 363.

PRESIDENT:

383, 363 and Senate...and House Joint Resolution 4, gentleman seeks leave to be added as a cosponsor. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Lechowicz, at Senator Nedza's desk.

SENATOR LECHOWICZ:

Thank you, Mr. President. Purpose of announcement. The Executive Appointments Committee will meet tomorrow morning at ten o'clock in Room 212. Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Two purposes; one for announcement, the Committee on Judiciary II will meet tomorrow morning at ten o'clock in Room A-1 in the Office Building; and also, having checked with the sponsor, I would ask leave to be added as a hyphenated sponsor to Senate Bill 248.

PRESIDENT:

The gentleman seeks leave to be added as a cosponsor of Senate Bill 248. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Topinka.

SENATOR TOPINKA:

Mr. President, may I have leave to Table Senate Joint Resolution 34 and to be added as a cosponsor to Senate Bill 92 and Senate Bill 316.

PRESIDENT:

Where...where is Senate Joint Resolution 34?

SENATOR TOPINKA:

It's in the Executive Committee and I did speak to Senator Sangmeister's Office regarding that and this was his suggestion.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Topinka has moved to discharge the Committee on Executive from further consideration of Senate Joint Resolution 34 for the purpose of Tabling. All in favor of the motion to discharge indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries. Senate Joint Resolution 34 is now before us and Senator Topinka moves that that resolution be Tabled. All in favor of the motion to Table indicate by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries and it's so ordered. She also seeks leave of the Body to be shown as a cosponsor of Senate Bill 92 and Senate Bill 316. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Fawell.

SENATOR FAWELL:

Thank you, very much. I would like to add as a hyphenated...cosponsor Senator Hudson to Senate Bill 7.

PRESIDENT:

Senate Bill 7. The lady seeks leave to add...show Senator Hudson as a hyphenated cosponsor of Senate Bill 7. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to be added as a hyphenated sponsor on 485.

PRESIDENT:

...485.

SENATOR COFFEY:

House Bill 485.

PRESIDENT:

House Bill 485. The gentleman seeks leave to be shown as a cosponsor on House Bill 485. That request is order. Leave is granted. So ordered. Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd ask for leave to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor on Senate Bill 588, and I wish to

announce that the Committee on Elementary and Secondary Education will meet tomorrow morning in Room 400 at 9:00 a.m...9:00 a.m.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Berman seeks leave to be shown as a cosponsor to Senate Bill 598. Without objection, leave is granted. Senator Barkhausen.

SENATOR BARKHAUSEN:

Mr. President, would ask leave to be added as a hyphenated cosponsor on Senate Bill 412 with the concurrence of the sponsor, Senator Rigney.

PRESIDENT:

The gentleman seeks leave to be shown as a hyphenated cosponsor of Senate Bill 412. Without objection, leave is granted. All right. Mr. Secretary. Committee reports.

SECRETARY:

Senator Savickas, chairman of the Committee on Assignment of Bills, assigns the following bills to committee. Now, I'll read this in three separate reports.

...Agriculture, Conservation and Energy - 658, 693, 696, 697 and 698; Appropriations I - 695; Elections and Reapportionment - 655, 681, 682, 686, 704, 705, 706 and 740; Elementary and Secondary Education - 631, 659, 664, 668, 691, 707, 708, 723, 725, ...731, 745 and 746; Executive - 641, 656, 670, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 701, 702, 703, 719, 728, 729 and 733; Finance and Credit Regulations - 633, 667, 699, 709 and 737; Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities - 630, 637, 638, 669, 672, 683, 688, 710, 712, 715, 716, 717, 718, 726, 742 and 743; Judiciary I - 635, 636, 652, 684, 694, 713, 714, 724 and 749; Judiciary II - 632, 634, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651 and 735; Labor and Commerce - ...562, 642, 663, 671, 711, 720 and 721; Local Government - 621, 722, 727, 732, 734, 738 and 739; Public Health, Welfare and Corrections - 639, 640, 653, 657, 685 and 689; Revenue -

654, 660, 661, 662, 665, 666, 690, 700, 736, 741, 747 and 748; Transportation - 643.

Agriculture, Conservation and Energy - 753, 757, 764, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 830, 833, 842 and 849; Elections and Reapportionment - 754; Executive Appointments - 823; Executive - 776, 783, 794, 796, 798, 803, 831, 832, 836, 837, 839, 840, 841, 847 and 848; Finance and Credit Regulations - 759, 761, 767, 768, 786, 793, 795, 834 and 835; Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities - 752, 756, 758, 770, 787, 790, 800, 817 and 818; Judiciary I - 762, 763, 819, 820, 821, 824; Judiciary II - 825, 844; Labor and Commerce - 751, 773, 774, 777, 778, 779, 788, 789, 791, 845 and 846; Local Government - 780, 781, 782, 784, 785 and...843; Public Health, Welfare and Corrections - 750, 765, 766, 772, 775, 792, 799, 801, 802, 804, 822, 826, 827, 828, 829 and 838; Transportation - 755, 760, 769, 771 and 797.

Agriculture - ...855, 870, 872, 885, 886, 892, 906, 917, 922, 923, 926, 927, 928, 931, 932, 934, 945, 946, 978, 987 and 988; Appropriations I - 921; Appropriations II - 863; Elections and Reapportionment - 989 and 997; Elementary and Secondary Education - 687, 883, 903, 913, 958 and 969; Executive - ...992...851...that was 692, 851, 852, 861, 864, 865, 868, 878, 879, 881, 887, 888, 889, 890, 896, 897, 901, 905, 908, 925, 994; Finance and Credit Regulations - 882, 900, 902, 910, 912, 914, 915, 916, 947, 957, 991, 992, 1000; Higher Education - 869, 909 and 973; Insurance, Pensions and Licensed Activities - 859, 860, 866, 873, 876, 891, 898, 899, 904, 907, 933, 940, 942, 952, 955 and 974; Judiciary I - 856, 871, 884, 859, 860, 861, 862,...961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 972, 975, 986, 990 and 999; Judiciary II - 810, 853 and 970; Labor and Commerce - 857, 858, 868, 930, 949, 951, 953, 954 and 995; Local Government - 744, 920, 937, 971, 976, 979 and 980; Public Health, Welfare and Corrections - 862,

874, 875,...877, 880, 918, 924, 935, 936, 938, 939, 941, 943, 944, 950, 956, 982, 983, 984,...996, 993, 1001; Revenue - 893, 894 and 919; Transportation - 854, 895, 911, 924, 948, 977, 981, 985 and 993.

PRESIDENT:

Message from the House.

SECRETARY:

Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the...I am directed to inform the Senate the House of Representatives has passed the following bills and instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit.

House Bills 72 and 590.

PRESIDENT:

Further business to come before the Senate? If not, Senator Chew moves that the Senate stand adjourned until tomorrow at the hour of noon. The Senate stands adjourned until Wednesday at the hour of noon.