82nd General Assembly Regular Session October 15, 1981

l. PRESIDENT:

The Senate will come to order. Will the members please 2. be at their desks. Will our guests in the gallery please rise. 3. Our prayer this morning by the Reverend Anthony Tzortzis, St. 4. Anthony's Hellenic Orthodox Church, Springfield. Father. 5. FATHER TZORTZIS: 6. (Prayer given by Father Tzortzis) 7. PRESIDENT: 8. Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns. 9. SENATOR JOHNS: 10. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval 11. of the Journal of Wednesday, October the 14th, in the year of 12. 1981 be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journal. 13. PRESIDENT: 14. You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Johns. Any 15. discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All 16. opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. Committee Reports. 17. SECRETARY: 18. Senator Donnewald, Chairman of the Committee on Assignment 19. of Bills, assigns the following bills to committee: 20. Appropriations I - Senate Bill 1250, 1251, 1252 and 1253; 21. Insurance, Pension and Licensed Activities - Senate Bill 1254. 22. PRESIDENT: 23. Resolutions. 24. SECRETARY: 25. Senate Resolution 320 offered by Senator Sangmeister, it's 26. congratulatory. 27. Senate Resolution 321 offered by Senator Schuneman and 28. it's congratulatory. 29. PRESIDENT: 30. Consent Calendar. Senator Egan, for what purpose do you 31. arise? 32. SENATOR EGAN: 33. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. To...announce one of the 34.

-- -7

Page 2 - October 15, 1981

1. pleasurable moments of the day. At ten o'clock the Committee 2. on Executive meets in deliberation on one bill, and I would 3. urge all of the members to be timely and we can dispose of 4. the matter and come back then, Mr. President, at the call. PRESIDENT: 5. Senator Philip, for what purpose do you arise? 6. SENATOR PHILIP: 7. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to make a motion 8. that we recess until the time of 11:00 a. m., so we'll have 9. time for Executive Committee Meeting and then come back and 10. get to work. 11. PRESIDENT: 12. All right, you've heard the motion. Any discussion? 13. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. 14. The Senate stands in recess until the hour of eleven o'clock. 15. RECESS 16. AFTER RECESS 17. The Senate will come to order. If you'll turn to page 18. 15 on the Calendar, we will begin at the top with the motions 19. as filed, Motions in Writing to Override Total Vetoes and the 20. Motions in Writing to Accept the Recommendations for Change 21. and the motions to override the Item Vetoes. All right, with 22. leave of the Body, we'll go to the Order of Committee Reports. 23. I understand Senator Vadalabene has a report from the Committee 24. on Executive Appointments. Mr. Secretary, Committee reports. 25. SECRETARY: 26. Senator Vadalabene, Chairman of the Committee on Executive 27. Appointments, Veteran's Affairs and Administration, to which was 28. referred the Governor's Messages of March 25, June the 20...June 29. the 22nd, June the 25th and October the 1st, 1981, reported 30. the same back with the recommendation that the Senate do advise 31. and consent to the following appointments. 32. PRESIDENT: 33.

Page 3 - October 15, 1981

Senator Vadalabene.

2. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
 Mr. President, I move that the Senate resolve itself into
 Executive Session for the purpose of acting on the Governor's
 appointments set forth in the Governor's Messages of March 25th,
 June 22nd, June 25th and October 1st of 1981.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. You've heard the motion. Any discussion? If not, all
10. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
11. it. The motion carries, the Senate is now in Executive Session.
12. Senator Vadalabene.

13. SENATOR VADALABENE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. With 14. respect to the Governor's Messages of March 25th, June 22nd, June 15. 25th and October 1st of 1981, I will read the salaried appointments 16. to which the Committee on Executive Appointments, Veteran's 17. Affairs and Administration, recommends that the Senate do advise 18. and consent. And after reading those appointments, I intend to 19. ask leave to consider all of the salaried appointments on one 20. roll call unless any Senator has objection to any particular 21. appointment. 22.

23. To be Chairman of the Illinois Liquor Control Commission
24. for a term expiring February 1st, 1986, Albert D. McCoy of Aurora.
25. To be Assistant Director of Veteran's Affairs for a term
26. expiring January 17, 1983, Thomas R. Jones of Springfield.

27. To be Assistant Director of Personnel for a term expiring January 17, 1983, Rose Mary Bombella of Chicago.

29. To be Director of the Environmental Protection Agency for
30. a term expiring January 17, 1983, Richard J. Carlson of Springfield.
31. To be Superintendent of the State Lottery for a term expiring
32. January 17, 1983, Michael J. Jones of Chicago.

33.

To be Assistant Director of the Department of Insurance for

Page 4 - October 15, 1981

1. a term expiring January 17, 1983, Richard W. Carlson of Springfield. 2. And to be Assistant Director of the Department of Agriculture for a term expiring January 17, 1983, John L. Rowley of Morrison. 3. 4. To be a member of the Court of Claims for a term expiring January 19, 1987, S. J. Holderman of Morris. 5. And to be a member of the Chicago Transit Authority for 6. a term expiring September 1, 1983, Jordan Jay Hillman of Evanston. 7. Mr. President and members of the Senate, having read the 8. salaried appointments, I now seek leave to consider these appoint-9. ments on one roll call, unless some Senator has objection to a 10. specific appointment. 11. PRESIDENT: 12. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is 13. granted, Senator Vadalabene. 14. SENATOR VADALABENE : 15. Yes, Mr. President, will you put the question as required 16. by our rules. 17. PRESIDENT: 18. The question is, does the Senate advise and consent to 19. the nominations just made. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 20. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 21. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take 22. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, 23. none Voting Present. A majority of the Senators elected concurring 24. by record vote, the Senate does advise and consent to the nominations 25. just made. Senator Vadalabene. 26. SENATOR VADALABENE : 27. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 28. With respect to the Governor's Message of March 25th, June 22nd, 29. June 25th and October 1, 1981, I will read the unsalaried appoint-30. ments to which the Committee on Executive Appointments, Veteran's 31. Affairs and Administration recommends that the Senate do advise 32. and consent. And after reading those appointments, I intend to 33.

Page 5 - October 15, 1981

ask leave to consider all of the unsalaried appointments on
 one roll call, unless any Senator has objection to any particular
 appointment.

4. To be members of the Advisory Board of Livestock Commissioners
5. for a term expiring January 17, 1983, Merle Miller of Clinton,
6. Art Barnard, Jr. of Wayne City and George Inness of Galesburg.
7. To be members of the Agricultural Export Advisory Committee
8. for a term expiring January 17, 1983, Satoru Takemoto of Morton
9. Grove, Leslie Shearer of Chicago, Bruce Cluver of El Paso and

10. A. Bard Boand of Barrington.

To be members of the Board of Agricultural Advisors for a
 term expiring January 17, 1983, Richard Stone of Springfield,
 Duane Smith of Allendale, Enid Schlipf of Gridley and Michael
 Perrine of Jacksonville.

15. And to be a member of the Advisory Board to the Department
16. of Personnel for a term expiring January 21, 1985, Roscoe L.
17. Mitchell of Chicago.

18. And to be members of the Law Enforcement Commission for a
19. term expiring November 1, 1981, Richard J. Brzeczek of Chicago
20. and Michael P. Lane of Springfield.

And to be members of the State Board of Education for a
 term expiring January 19, 1987, Louis Mervis of Danville, Carol
 N. Johnston of Des Plaines and George H. Thompson of Prophetstown.

24. And to be a member of the Board of Natural Resources and
25. Conservation for a term expiring January 17, 1983, Dr. H. S. Gutowsky
26. of Champaign.

27. And to be a member of the Illinois Aeronautics Board for28. a term expiring July 1, 1983, Kenneth Fischer of Belleville.

29. And to be a member of the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission
30. for a term expiring June 30th, 1984, A Gerald Erickson of Homewood.
31. And to be a member of the Advisory Board to the Department of
32. Conservation for a term expiring January 19, 1987, Anthony J.
33.

Page 6 - October 15, 1981

And to be a member of the Illinois State Scholarship Commission 1. 2. for a term expiring June 30th, 1983, Patricia Banks of Chicago. And to be a member of the Board of Public Health Advisors 3. for a term expiring January 17, 1983, Michael F. Schmidt of Ottawa. 4. And to be a member of the Industrial Development Authority for 5. a term expiring January 17, 1983, G. Allen Andreas, Jr. 6. And to be a member of the Illinois Building Authority for 7. a term expiring January 18, 1988, Stephen E. Gant of Streamwood. 8. And Mr. President and members of the Senate, having read 9. the unsalaried appointments, I now seek leave to consider these 10. appointments on one roll call unless some Senator has objection 11. to a specific appointment. 12. PRESIDENT: 13. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is 14. granted. Senator Vadalabene. 15. SENATOR VADALABENE: 16. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 17. Will you put the question as required by our rules. 18. PRESIDENT: 19. Yes, the Chair would note that UPI has asked or sought leave 20. of the Body to take some still photographs. Is leave granted, 21. while Senator Vadalabene is still on his feet? Leave is granted. 22. The question is, does the Senate advise and consent to the nominations 23. just made. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote 24. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all 25. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 26. that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. 27. A majority of the Senators elected, concurring by record vote, the 28. Senate does advise and consent to the nominations just made. 29. Senator Vadalabene. 30. SENATOR VADALABENE: 31.

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I now move that the Senate arise from Executive Session.

33.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. You've heard the motion. Any discussion? If not, all in 3. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it, the motion carries. So ordered. The Senate does now arise. 4. All right, again, if you'll turn to page 15 on the Calendar, 5. we will begin with the Motions in Writing as filed. There's 6. a Motion in Writing filed with respect to Senate Bill 12. 7. Mr. Secretary. 8. SECRETARY: 91 I move that Senate Bill No. 12 Do Pass, the veto of the 10. Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Keats. 11. PRESIDENT : 12. Senator Keats. 13. SENATOR KEATS: 14. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 15. Senate. Senate Bill 12 passed the Senate, 52 to 2. What this 16. bill deals with is a National Guard preference in state hiring. 17. This came out of the commission to study some of the needs of 18. the National Guard to help strengthen the Illinois National Guard. 19. I want to stress, this bill costs absolutely nothing, no expense. 20. whatsoever, there's absolutely no money involved. This is a 21. completely free method to help increase the ... membership in the 22. Illinois National Guard. It has no cost to us, and if we are to 23. say that we need to increase the number of soldiers in our 24. guard, and virtually everyone agrees with that, and certain anyone 25. on the Commission, we recognize this is one way to do it at 26. absolutely no expense and in reality, a direct benefit to the 27. State. When the Governor vetoed it, he said the Department of 28. Personnel might have a little trouble administering it, and I 29. said, if they aren't smart enough to administer it, fire it 30. and get someone intelligent and competent who can. There's no 31. cost, whatsoever, it's a benefit to the State and a benefit to 32. the guard. We passed it 52 to 2 and it passed the House just 33.

^	12 Q
SB White Book	Page 8 - October 15, 1981
1.	as overwhelmingly. I would ask for your positive support in
2.	this veto override. Thank you.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
4.	Is there discussion? Is there discussion? Senator
5.	Vadalabene.
6.	SENATOR VADALABENE:
7.	Yes, as a member of the commission, I rise in support of
8.	this, soofof Senator Keats' motion.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Keats,
11.	do you wish to close? Senator Keats.
12.	SENATOR KEATS:
13.	No, I just thank you and ask you for your affirmative
14.	roll call.
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	The question is, shall Senate Bill 12 pass, the veto of the
17.	Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor
18.	will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is
19.	open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
20.	Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays
21.	are 3, 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 12, having received the
22.	required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the
23.	Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Motion on Senate
24.	Bill 147, Senator Geo-Karis. The Secretary will read the motion.
25.	SECRETARY:
26.	I move that Senate Bill 147 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
27.	to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Geo-Karis.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	Senator Geo-Karis is recognized.
30.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
31.	Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This
32.	bill would establish by Statute that the division of marital
	property among spouses subsequent to the dissolution of marriage

33.

Page 9- October 15, 1981

1.	or as a result of it, is a division of property among co-owners
2.	and not a sale or exchange of property requiring tax implications.
3.	This case is a result of the bad decision the Kujawinski v. Kujawinski
4.	case in Illinois, which held that, a nontitled holding spouse
5.	had no interest in marital property atuntil entry of the
6.	judgment dissolution, and therefore, if the property weregiven
7.	to the one spouse, the otherthe other spouse would have to paycapital
8.	gains, which I think is utterly ridiculous. This is marital
9.	property which is acquired during the marriage and there should
10.	not be a taxable transfer. And I ask your respectful support
11.	of my overridemotion.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	Is there discussion of the motion? Senator Bloom.
14.	SENATOR BLOOM:
15.	Question of the sponsor.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.	Indicates she will yield. Senator Bloom.
18.	SENATOR BLOOM:
19.	All right, to the sponsor or somebody else who might know.
20.	The IRS, in some instances, defers to State law in such matters,
21.	in other instances, it does not. Now, the Governor's objection,
22.	one of his objections, I should say, to this particular bill, is
23.	that it's really a matter that the IRS, the Internal Revenue
24.	Service should address and that indeed the IRS will not defer
25.	to State law in this area. II don't know and II seek
26.	theanswer of either the sponsor or someone else who knows.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Senator Geo-Karis.
29.	SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
30.	This bill is similar to the bills that werepassed and
31.	made into law in the states of Oklahoma and Colarado, which are
32.	not community property states. And what the IRS will do, no one
33.	ever knows, but if you have a statement of State policy right in

.

Page 10 -October 15, 1981

the bill, which this does have, and says it's not a taxable 1. transfer between spouses...in...in a divorce action, then you'are 2. making it clear that you are using your State rights. Because 3. only the State of Illinois, for example, can make laws affecting 4. marriage and divorce, those are not Federal laws, and therefore, 5. I feel there should be a statement of State policy embodied 6. in such a bill and it is and I ask for...for your favorable 7. vote on this override. I feel that whoever ... reviewed this 8. bill for the Governor, did not look into it completely. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis 11. may close on her motion to override. 12. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 13. I ask your respectful consideration because it's not 14. fair when you want to give your house, your share of the house 15. to your wife and...and if you do it, you have to pay a taxable ... 16. gain...capital gain. I don't think it's right at all and I ask 17. for your favorable consideration on this override. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 19. The question is, shall Senate Bill 147 pass, the...the veto 20. of the Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in 21. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting 22. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 23. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 24. the Ayes are 40...on that question, the Ayes are 40...may we 25. have some order, please...on that question, the Ayes are 40, the 26. Nays are 7, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 147, having received 27. the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of 28. the Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. For what purpose 29. does Senator Chew arise? 30.

SENATOR CHEW:

If the record would have shown that if I hadn't been busy
getting other votes, I would have voted for the bill myself.
34. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 11- October 15, 1981

All right. The electronic record...shall so indicate. 2. Senate Bill 181, Senator Maitland has a...motion filed. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 3. 4. SECRETARY : I move that Senate Bill 181 Do Pass, the veto of the 5. Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator 6. Maitland. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. Senator Maitland is recognized on the motion. 9. SENATOR MAITLAND: 10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 11. the Senate. Senate Bill 181 passed out of this Chamber by 12. a vote of 56 to 0, last summer. This is the reimbursement 13. to the nursing homes for long term care residents in the 14. State of Illinois. Illinois presently ranks at the...at the 15. bottom...of the Medicade reimbursement for Public Aid patients. 16. And what we're finding happening, indeed across this entire. 17. State, is that the private pay patients are subsidizing 18. the Public Aid patients in nursing homes. They are picking 19. up a responsibility, that, in fact, is the responsibility of 20. the State of Illinois. We find, across the State, that they 21. are subsidizing Public Aid patients to the tune of three to 22. five dollars a day. It simply does not seem reasonable nor 23. fair to me, that we should ask these individuals who are 24. willing and able to pay their own way to also pick up part 25. of the State's responsibility. Therefore, I would respectfully 26. request that this Body do override the Governor's veto of 27. Senate Bill 181. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29. Is there discussion? Senator Berning. 30. SENATOR BERNING: 31. Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor. 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 33.

1.

Page 12 - October 15, 1981

1.	Indicates he will yield, Senator Berning.
2.	SENATOR BERNING:
3.	Senator, since I don't have the bill in front of me,
4.	would you refresh my memory. How many dollars are involved?
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Maitland.
7.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
8.	It appears, presently, the cost of the bill, starting
9.	January 1, would be in the neighborhood of thirteen and a
10.	half to fourteen million dollars.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.	Senator Berning.
13.	SENATOR BERNING:
14.	Is that annually?
15.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
16.	Senator Maitland.
17.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
18.	That would be what the bill would cost from January to
19.	June 30th.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Senator Berning.
22.	SENATOR BERNING:
23.	Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I have to
24.	agree that this is a substantial sum of money. But what distresses
25.	me, is that just recently, according to what were reports in the
26.	news media,the Governor's Office and the Department of Public
27.	Aid, recently defendants in a lawsuit, settled out of court to
28.	the tune of something like 3.3 million on this very issue of
29.	under payment for ∞ sts incurred to maintain patients in nursing
30 [°] .	homes. That being the case, it appears to me thatthere is
31.	strong argument that the State of Illinois is defaulting on
32.	its obligation, and therefore, Mr. President and members, it would
33.	appear that an override of this bill will preclude any further

Page 13 - October 15, 1981

litigation in which the State of Illinois can only lose.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Further discussion? Senator Philip.

4. SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 5. the Senate. I rise with a little hesitation, because quite 6. frankly, some of the points that Senator Maitland have made 7. are correct. Unfortunately, it's a matter of priorities and 8. a matter of money. And whether I like it or not, the State 9. revenues are down some two hundred and fifty-eight million 10. dollars. The Federal Revenue Sharing is down some hundred 11. and seventeen million dollars. And the prospect of that 12. getting any better is certainly doubtful. And I would certainly 13. suggest keeping that in mind, keeping some of the priorities 14. in mind, that we say no at this time. That we come back 15. next year, reevaluate that program, that suggestion, and I 16. think it's got a lot of merit. Then hopefully, hopefully, 17. the State will be in better financial condition and we can 18. do something. 19.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Johns.

22. SENATOR JOHNS:

21.

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the 23. override motion. I've been in attendance at several hearings 24. with Department of Public Aid officials and with nursing 25. home and shelter care home people. I've found that regulation 26. after regulation is being imposed upon these people. In some 27. instances, both Federal and State, have caused them to have to 28. rebuild and when they have to rebuild, they have to go for more 29. money. More money means renegotiation of mortgages, renegotiation 30. of mortgages means increasing the interest rate from the old 31. rate that they originally used to reestablish their priorities 32. for care. Now they go to twenty-four and five percent, it was 33.

Page 14 - October 15, 1981

۱.	a few days ago, now it's dropped to eighteen percent. But these
2.	people are faced with mandates by State Government and Federal
3.	Government, and they're always in a bind with overseers and
4.	overlookers looking in on their business, causing disruptions
5.	time after time. And I think they deserve what Senator Maitland
6.	is fighting for and I move that we do override the Governor's
7.	veto.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.
10.	SENATOR VADALABENE:
11.	Yes, as a joint sponsor of the bill, I support Senator
12.	Maitland's motion to override.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.	All right. Further discussion? Further discussion?
15.	Senator Maitland may close.
16.	SENATOR MAITLAND:
17.	Thank you, Mr. President, would appreciate a favorable
18.	vote.
19.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
20.	The question is, shall Senate Bill 181 pass, the veto of
21.	the Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor
22.	vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
23.	Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
24.	the record. On that question, the Ayes are 39, the Nays are
25.	16, 1 Voting Present. The Senateand Senate Bill 181, having
26.	received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed,
27.	the veto of the Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding.
28.	Senate Bill 209, Senator Friedland with a motion. Mr. Secretary,
29.	read the motion, please.
30.	SECRETARY:
31.	I move that Senate Bill 209 Do Pass, the veto of the
32.	Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Friedland.
33.	PRESIDNG OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 15 - October 15, 1981

۱. Senator Friedland is recognized.

2. SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

3. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Some of my colleagues are...have an interest in this 4. 5. bill, especially those that may have to move to other districts. This bill would...passed 57 to nothing and it amends the Judgment 6. Act to raise the interest rate which was set in 1895 from six 7. percent to ten percent on redemption of property. The second 8. floor got confused, confused it with another bill or they saw 9. my name as sponsor or something, they vetoed it. However, it's 10. all in agreement, support my motion. Thank you. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, 13. shall Senate Bill 209 pass, the veto of the Governor to the 14. contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 15. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 16. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 17. question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays are 3, 2 Voting Present. 18. Senate Bill 209, having received the required three-fifths 19. vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary, 20. notwithstanding. Senate Bill 384, Senator Nimrod...has filed 21. a motion. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 22.

SECRETARY: 23.

12.

I move that Senate Bill 384 Do Pass, the veto of the 24. Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator 25. Nimrod. 26.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27.

Senator Nimrod is recognized.

SENATOR NIMROD: 29.

28.

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 30. the Senate. This bill provides for the students who are 31. podiatrists while they are in school, to be able to get a 32. temporary license so that they can take a residency in a 33. hospital. And what's happened, is that the Department of 34.

Page 16 - October 15, 1981

Registration and Education, both the present director and the 1. one before have both supported this position and we have letters 2. in our possession on that. The problem is that when the tests 3. are given and when the license become effective and in order 4. to allow them to be able to get into residency, this bill . 5. would then clarify it and allow them to have a temporary license 6. during that period they're in school. I would ask for your 7. support in the override of the veto. 8.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Senator Bloom...no. Further...any 10. discussion? The question is, shall Senate Bill 384 pass, the 11. veto of the Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those 12. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. 13. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all 14. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes 15. are 55, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 16. 384, having received the required three-fifths vote is 17. declared passed the veto of the Governor to the contrary, notwith-18. standing. Senate Bill 390, there's been a motion filed. Senator 19. Vadalabene is ready. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 20. SECRETARY : 21.

I move that Senate Bill 390 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
 to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Vadalabene.
 PRESIDNG OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Vadalabene is recognized.

SENATOR VADALABENE: 26.

25.

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
My action has been taken after full consideration of the
business of the Illinois General Assembly has at hand, during
this fall Veto Session. And with the understanding that such
legislation will again be considered next spring, Mr. President
and members of the Illinois Senate, at this time, I withdraw
my motion to override Governor Thompson's veto on Senate Bill 390.

18 to Walter

Page 17 - October 15, 1981

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. The motion is withdrawn. Thank you, Senator.
 Senate Bill 30...405, Senator Buzbee, you ready? Read the motion,
 Mr. Secretary, please.

5. SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 405 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
 to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Buzbee.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

•••

9. Senator Buzbee.

10. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Senate Bill 405 is a part of 11. a package which the Energy Resources Commission introduced into 12. the General Assembly this year to promote the use of Illinois 13. coal. This bill would allow a credit against Illinois Income 14. Tax due of twenty percent of the amount spent on coal utilization 15. for research and five percent of the amount spent on equipment 16. for increasing the use of Illinois coal. The Governor's Veto 17. Message was quite confusing because first of all, it is 18. contradictory; secondly, he flies in the face of ... the ... the 19. idea of investment tax credit, which he has supported so well 20. at the...at the Federal level and ... and President Reagan's and 21. Reagan economics, the...the Governor has been telling everybody 22. how ... what a good deal it is and yet he vetoes the same sort of 23. thing at the State level here. To give you just a few brief 24. facts, the Governor claims that the Income Tax credit will 25. be little incentive for corporations to convert to coal, will 26. only mean a windfall for corporations which are planning to 27. convert for other reasons. I wonder if the Governor would be 28. satisfied with a larger Income Tax credit than the one that 29. I proposed. The Governor's estimates that the Tax Credit 30. Program would cost seventy million dollars is completely misleading. 31. First of all, the Governor claims the figure is... is from the 32. Institute of Natural Resources, the institute's people deny this, 33. by the way. Secondly, the Governor does not clarify whether 34.

1. or not he estimates the seventy million to be a one year figure, 2. if his figure is for one year, it is completely without foundation. At worst, the seventy million revenue loss would be spread over 3. 4. a five to ten year period, seven to twelve million dollars per 5. year. The seventy million dollar figure is even more amazing when one considers the amount of investment in coal research 6. 7. and conversion equipment that would be ... needed to reach the seventy million dollar figure. To reach seventy million 8. dollars in credit for coal research, there would have to be, 9. at least, three hundred and fifty million invested in such 10. research. To reach the seventy million in credit for the 11. purchase of coal equipment designed to increase the utilization 12. of Illinois coal, the purchases would have to total 1.4 billion, 13. to reach the Governor's estimated revenue losses. Incidently, 14. this would far exceed the minimum estimates and almost reach 15. the maximum estimates on the total cost of converting Illinos 16. major industrial facilities to Illinois coal. The Governor's 17. claim that the Income Tax credit is an inefficient way of 18. funding coal research flies directly in the face of Reagan 19. economics, which he so wholeheartedly embraces. After all, 20. what Senate Bill 405 is providing are tax incentives to 21. encourage corporations to engage in coal research and to 22. encourage private industry to convert to Illinois coal. The 23. tax incentive private industry solution to the ... nation's 24. economics problem is at the heart of Reagan's economic program. 25. And I would submit that, again his veto is completely contradictory 26. to what he talked about on Senate Bill 477, the investment tax 27. credit bill, which was sponsored by Senator Davidson. And 28. I would ask for your support of this bill to promote the use of 29. Illinois coal and Illinois industries. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 31. Further discussion? Senator Johns. 32.

33.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Page 19 - October 15, 1981

۱. Thank you, Mr. President. I've probably been fighting 2. for coal and coal development more than anybody in this 3. General Assembly and anybody in this Senate, especially. This does do something, this gives us some action. We've 4. 5. done more talking in Illinois about how to get coal out of the ground than any other state and we have the largest reserves, 6. at least about the fourth largest reserves, maybe in the nation. 7. But this bill is exactly what we need. And we better vote for 8. it, because if we don't we're going to nullify the very actions 9. that we've been espousing for years. You're going to tell industry 10. and you're going to tell everybody else, we really don't give 11. a damn, when we really do. And I urge a vote to override. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13. Further discussion? Senator McMillan. 14. SENATOR MCMILLAN: 15. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in the 16. opposition of the motion to override. This bill passed this 17. Body by the very skimpiest of ... margins, earlier this year. 18. At that time, our primary concern was that the State could 19. not afford it, the economy is in an even less desirable stance 20. now than it was at that time, everybody's projections are that 21. revenues are going to be down. Whether or not it was a good 22. idea or would be in the future, is really not the issue. The 23. question is, can we afford it, we simply cannot. And this 24. veto should be sustained. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg. 27. SENATOR GROTBERG: 28. Just to remind the sponsor that, even at twelve million 29. dollars a year, our best estimates indicate we're only going 30. to have thirteen million discretionary dollars next year for 31. the whole State budget and we'll probably pass a judges pay 32. raise bill out of here for about twelve million, yet this year, 33.

Page 20 - October 15,1981

1. and I'm concerned about what tax we're going to raise to cover 2. the loss on this...credit that is involved in this with the 3. accumulated seventy million dollars. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) ₫. 5. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee may close. 6. SENATOR BUZBEE: Thank you, Mr. President. I... I am... I am a little astounded 7. at the arguments that come from the other side. I wish we could 8. have 0 and B Director Stockman, here, to explain some things to 9. some folks or ... or have the President here to explain some things 10. to some folks. Twelve million dollars is an outside figure, the 11. very maximum that could possibly be...be saved by industry in 12. this State under tax bill in one year, seven million is a more 13. realistic figure. That seven or twelve, whichever one you want 14. to use would certainly be made up immediately in the amount of 15. Income Tax collected by the State on the increased production, 16. on the increased number of folks working, on the increased 17. corporation profits that would be coming to the coal companies, 18. because they were able to sell more coal. ... Again, it... it's 19. a little strange to me...that I, as a Democrat am standing here 20. arguing... Peaganomics ... with ... with the other side of the aisle, 21. because this is a classic example of the very sorts of tax 22. incentives that the President proposed to the Congress of the 23. United States to revitalize industry in this country. And, I am, 24. as a poor lonely little Democrat from the southern part of the 25. State, trying to espouse the President's principle's on 26. revitalizing one industry that's very important to my district 27. and very important to this State, with this concept. Another 28. point, I quess, that could be made is, that, if everybody paid 29. their taxes in this State, there would be more than enough 30. money in the State Treasury and we wouldn't have to worry. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32.

33.

The question is, shall Senate Bill 405 pass, the veto of the

Page 21 - October 15, 1981

Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor vote 1. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all 2. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 3. wish? Take the record. On that guestion the Ayes are 31, the 4. Nays are 26, none Voting Present. The Senate does not override 5. the veto of the Governor and the motion is lost. Senator 6. Buzbee. 7. SENATOR BUZBEE: 8. Thank you, Mr. President. We...we were on ...we were on a slide there 9. for awhile, but we...we fell off the slide with ... with my bill, but 10. I did get one more vote than I got on the original passage, so 11. thank you. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13. Senate Bill 475, Senator Hall. Senate Bill 497, Senator 14. Carroll. The motion has been finally prepared. Read the motion, 15. Mr. Secretary, please. 16. SECRETARY: 17. I move that Senate Bill 497 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor 18. to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Carroll. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Senator Carroll. 21. SENATOR CARROLL: 22. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 23. This is our annual effort to override the Governor's veto of the 24. appropriation of Federal fund's bills. Each and every year for 25. the last several, we have passed this bill, we have sent it to 26. the House, they have passed it, the Governor has vetoed it, we 27. have overridden that veto and then the House seems to have failed 28. to do so. In prior years, there were, at least, some arguments 29. of technical deficiencies with the concept, although many of 30. us didn't agree with those arguments, they were being effectively 31. made, whether we were including IDA bonds or school bonds or 32. things like that. Luckily, the Governor has now run out of arguments 33. and no longer in his Veto Message does he talk about any defects 34.

Page 22 - October 15, 1981

in the concept, he merely talks about not wanting the General

1.

2. Assembly to have the appropriation powers over departments who are able to obtain money from the Feds. He wants to be able 3. to shield that from our review and I think that is exactly 4. the opposite way to go, especially now. For us to make intelligent 5. decisions on what State dollars we are willing to put into programs, 6. we have to know what Federal dollars there are and where they 7. will be allocated. I think it is important that the General 8. Assembly take back its authority to appropriate all public funds ʻ9. that are spent in this State, so that we are in a position to 10. make wise decisions, and I would urge that the veto of the Governor 11. to the contrary, notwithstanding, that we override that veto. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads. 14. SENATOR RHOADS: 15. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Just 16. to echo the comments of Senator Carroll, who is perfectly correct. 17. This is...have received broad bipartisan support year after year 18. after year, and I'm simply speaking for it this time 'cause Senator 19. Regner isn't here anymore, but I urge an override vote also. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. Further discussion? Senator Carroll. 22. SENATOR CARROLL: 23. Roll call. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25. The question is, shall Senate Bill 497 pass, the veto of the 26. Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor vote 27. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all 28. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 29. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 6, none Voting 30. Present. Senate Bill 497, having received the required three-31. fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the 32. contrary, notwithstanding. Senate Bill 498, Senator Carroll. 33.

Abson With a

Page 23 - October 15, 1981

1. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

2. SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 498 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
 to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Carroll.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Carroll.

7. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 8. Senate. To be extremely brief, this is the companion bill, 9. this deals with the educational part and the...while the Governor's 10. Message referred to 497, so will all of my comments. This too, 11. is needed so that we can intelligently appropriate public 12. funds in this State and I would ask that the veto of the Governor 13. to the contrary, notwithstanding, that we override his veto. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15.

Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, 16. shall Senate Bill 498 pass, the veto of the Governor to the 17. contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 18. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. (Machine cutoff) ... voted 19. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 20. question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are 3, none Voting Present. 21. Senate Bill 498, having received the required three-fifths vote 22. is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary, not-23. withstanding. Senate Bill 524, Senator Simms. Senator Simms is 24. prepared. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 25.

26. SECRETARY:

27. I move that Senate Bill 524 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
28. to the contrary, notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Simms.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Simms is recognized.

SENATOR SIMMS:

32. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Senate
33. Bill 524 exempts from the Child Care Act the licensing of...day
34. care centers that are operated as an integral part of a local

Page 24 - October 15, 1981

ι. church ministry that meet the health, life and safety codes of the State of Illinois. Now, the basic threshold of this 2. legislation deals with the First Amendment guarantees, the 3. 4. separation of church and state. The common rationale, very frankly, in summation, is to make sure that...the traditional... 5. religious ministries are not subject to licensing by civil 6. governments as long as they meet the...the original and the... 7. the Health, Fire and Safety Code, which this bill provides. 8. To guarantee those First Amendment guarantees, I would move 9. that the Senate override the Governor's veto on Senate Bill 524. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11.

Is there discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.
 SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 14. This bill does have the safeguards for the health and safety 15. of the students... of the children, so to speak. It is a good 16. bill, it simply provides for less interference by the State 17. in church educational programs. And if there's any question 18. about some of these storefront churches, the question should 19. be addressed to the law of Illinois, which allows churches to 20. incorporate under certain sections of the Statute and if they're 21. defective, that's where we should amend. But we shouldn't 22. penalize this bill and I ask for a favorable vote on the 23. override of this veto. 24.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Is there further discussion? Further discussion? Senator
27. Simms may close. Senator...Senator Buzbee. I'm sorry, Senator
28. Simms. Senator Buzbee.

29. SENATOR BUZBEE:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. I...I think that this is...this
31. is a bill that...that we ought to look at very closely. I think
32. the Governor was absolutely correct in...in his action in the
33. veto of this bill. If you override this veto, you're going to

Page 25 - October 15, 1981

be putting the Director of the Department of Children and Family
 Services in the position of determining what is a religion and
 what is not a religion. I have a list here in front of me...that
 people who have...from church organizations, who have written
 to...to support this veto, churches that run day care centers,
 that say we think that our day care centers...

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Excuse me, Senator Buzbee. Can we have some order, please.
9. I wonder if the Sergeant-at-Arms can clear the center aisle here
10. and we can keep the conferences off the Floor. If we can clear
11. all the aisles, Mr. Sergeant-at-Arms, we'll have a chance to
12. conduct our business. Senator Buzbee, excuse the Chair, but we
13. were getting a little unruly. Senator Buzbee.

14. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I have a list of...of churches 15. who have written in support of the Governor's veto override and 16. these are churches that run day care centers. Several of them, 17. as a matter of fact, are in my district, several of them are 18. in the City of Chicago and in various communities : throughout 19. this State. What's going to happen is, that if anything untoward 20. happens in any of these centers that are not presently licensed, 21. it's going to come back on the State's head. And it seems to 22. me, that it's not good policy in this State for us to say that ... 23. that for health and safety, sorts of ... of policy matters that 24. the State should not be licensing. The State has no business 25. going in and telling anybody what they can teach or what they 26 cannot teach in a day care center. And, it's not the State's 27. intention to do that. But if we were to override this bill, 28. it would simply say that anybody that wanted to qualify themselves 29. as a religion, they could start any kind of a day care center 30. and they could run it any way that they want to, as long as they 31. meet the Eire and Life Safety Codes and there is no other licensing 32. that will be necessary. I don't think that's good public policy. 33.

Page 26 - October 15, 1981

1. I submit that most of you don't think that's good public policy, 2. but that you have received a lot of pressure from ... certain 3. individuals in your constituency that have caused you to vote 4. for this bill to start with. I think that you should not be in 5. that position, because in my opinion, by far and away, the most ... 6. the majority of the people in this State and the majority of the people in your constituencies would say that the State ought 7. to, in fact, be able to license those kind of facilities. I 8. would submit the Governor was ... was right in his veto of this 9. bill and we ought to vote No on the override motion. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod. 12. SENATOR NIMROD: 13. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 14. Senate. I rise in support of the override of this veto. I think 15. that what we have to do here is make sure that we do not interfere 16. with the churches, who, in fact, are running legitimate schools. 17. There was an attempt on the part of the...both the department 18. and the Governor's office to find a compromise. But since they 19. couldn't find a reasonable compromise, we shouldn't be penalizing 20. legitimate churches. It will cause...the department would 21. have to go for the illegitimate type operations, but that's 22. something that's very small and inadequate. We should not punish 23. the general church community in order to satisfy their...their wishes 24. here. I would urge a override of the Veto. 25.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Netsch.

28. SENATOR NETSCH:

29. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like to add just one
30. thing to what Senator Buzbee said in suggesting that we support
31. the Governor's veto of this bill. There is, in no way, shape, or
32. form, a First Amendment problem involved in this bill. As a
33. card carrying civil libertarian, I'm extremely sensitive to

Page 27 - October 15, 1981

1. First Amendment issues and I think there, not only is not one 2. present in the bill, as...as it presently is written, but there is no possibility that it will spawn First Amendment problems 3. in the future. And I would point out, that many institutions 4. which are otherwise protected by the First Amendment, are, 5. with respect to certain basic health and safety standards and 6. social safety standards, subject to the police power of the 7. State. That is basically all that the...this kind of licensing 8. regulation is intended to do and that is all that the...that 9. would be accomplished absent Senate Bill 524. I think that's 10. a very important point to make. 11.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Gitz.
SENATOR GITZ:

Mr. President and members of the Senate. I must respectfully 15. disagree with Senator Netsch and Senator Buzbee. The debate thus 16. far in this...this bill, has consistently been based on the premise 17. that the mere licensing of these day care and child care 18. facilities is somehow going to offer that protection that we 19. will not have any scandals or problems that we have all too 20. often read about in the newspaper. Now, quite frankly, we have 21. been privy in this Floor to many case examples and many items 22. in debate where people have suggested that the Department of 23. Child and Family Services simply has too many hats to wear and 24. is not able to really focus on the critical problems. I don't 25. believe for one moment; that the mere licensing of these facilities 26. or any other facility, by itself, is a guaranteed protection against 27. child abuse. And furthermore, even with the passage of this bill, 28. there certainly is adequate legislation on the books for child 29. abuse to prosecute to remedy a situation. The idea that licensure, 30. by itself, provides that necessary protection, I believe is false. 31. The idea that churchs are somehow the major topic of conversation that 32. we've got to investigate, we've got to harass for their licensure, 33. simply doesn't seem to me to make any sense. Not only in fiscal 34.

.

Page 28 - October 15, 1981

grounds, but simply in practical grounds. It seems to me that
 this legislation was wise in its intention when it was passed
 by this Body. I believe, if I recall correctly, there were
 only two dissenting votes. I think that we should approve
 this legislation and send it to the House and make the point
 that we want the department to focus on those situations
 where the problems are most accute.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Collins.

10. SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the 11. Governor's veto for many reasons, some of which Senator Netsch 12. already expressed and Senator Buzbee. But more than that, my 13. first job with the Department of Children and Family Services 14. was to, in fact, evaluate the quality of care provided by private 15. agencies, day care operated centers. And I found that it is 16. very important that we license those centers, not just to 17. approve the basic of the essential safety and health standards, 18. but along with that license goes a responsibility of the Department 19. of Children and Family Services for continuously monitoring 20. of those programs. And there are all kinds of things that need 21. to be monitored, if you had the opportunity to go into one of 22. those centers and see how those children were being cared for, 23. or the lack of care that were being provided in some of those 24. centers. And I'm not standing here saying that churches all operate 25. bad day care centers, but many of them do operate bad day care centers, 26. and most of the ones that we hear about in the City of Chicago 27. are, in fact, operated by church institutions. I do not feel that 28. the licensing of a church operated child care center has anything 29. to do with the separation of power between church and state. It 30. is simply saying that we, as a State, has a responsibility to 31. look after the safe and well-being of little children under 32. five years old. And we should be as concerned about their ... 33. over...total development, rather than just what they are teaching 34.

Page 29 - October 15, 1981

1.	them in terms of the spiritual development of that child. That
2.	is our responsibility and that is why we license day care centers,
з.	is to make sure that the program is operated in a way to protect the
4.	thethe physical, the social, total development of a child while
5.	they are attending those programs. The nutrition problemnutrition
6.	is a serious problem with some of those centers. Some of those
7.	children are paying for and being served meals almost as bad as
8.	those that we saw when Reagan's team went out tosample some
9.	of the school lunch programs. That's very good in comparision to what
10.	some of the little children are being served in day care centers
11.	throughout the State. So I say we should sustain the Governor's
12.	veto.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
14.	Is there further discussion? Senator Grotberg.
15.	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	End of Reel
20.	
21.	
22.	
23.	
24.	
25.	·
26.	
27.	
28.	
29.	
30.	
31.	
32.	
33.	

Page 30 - October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR GROTBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'm going through a personal 2. quandary on this issue, along with the five eighty-eight money 3. overrides for day care. Day care seems to be the big question 4. 5. of this General Assembly. It seems to me, whether the Governor's veto notwithstanding, that anybody that can take care of kids 6. until we can get back here and find out where the money is 7. coming from,...probably do it for less. I grant the Governor's 8. Message, and Senator Collins, and Senator Netsch, and the Governor's 9. position on the responsibility for excellent care and all of the 10. inspections that go therein, but I think this would be a good 11. time to cut the umbilical cord and let some people take care 12. of kids. And, therefore, I'm going to vote for the motion. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 14. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Simms may 15. close. 16. SENATOR SIMMS: 17. Well, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 18. the Senate. I think we've had a good discussion on the issue. 19. As Senator Gitz has...illustrated in his commentary, only two 20. negative votes were present against the legislation in the spring 21. Session. The threshold question to the whole matter is whether 22. or not church ministries are subject to the licensing requirements 23. of a state. And the day care center is nothing more than an 24.

extension of the integral part of a ministry of a church. And for these reasons, I strongly believe that there should be a
separation between the church and state, and I would move that
...the Senate do override the Governor's veto on Senate Bill 524.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall Senate Bill 524 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?

Page 31 - October 15, 1981

.

Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 38, the Nays
 are 15, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 524 having received
 the required three-fifths vote is declared passed. The veto
 of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill
 611, Senator Gitz. Senate Bill...614, Senator Marovitz. Read
 the motion, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

12.

8. I move that Senate Bill 614 Do Pass, the veto of the
9. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
10. Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
11.

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ: 13.

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentle-14. men of the Senate. Senate Bill 615 is the bill which would 15. offer a cooperative opportunity between businesses, industry, 16. and communities and community development corporations. It 17. is a program that says...we need cooperation...between business 18. and communities for the betterment of both ... to ... improve 19. blighted commercial strips, improve housing stock, create jobs, 20. put property back on the tax rolls,...and in a cooperative 21. effort...effort this can be done. It's been done in other 22. states, and we'd like to see it in a progressive state like 23. Illinois. What this says is that a business or industry can 24. contribute funds up to two hundred and fifty thousand dollars 25. ... to communities and community development corporations and 26. take a sixty percent ... Income Tax... State Income Tax credit 27. from the State of Illinois. The bill passed both Houses over-28. whelmingly...on bipartisan support. It has been endorsed by 29. newspapers throughout the State of Illinois, TV and radio stations, 30. and really will be a boon to...to help areas that are being 31. hardest hit by the budget cuts out of Washington and by the 32. decrease in aid that the State of Illinois is getting. The 33.

1. maximum...that can be...allowed in the first year is two million 2. dollars, and the other states that have created this type of program have found a minimum short term loss and a maximum long 3. term gain because people are...jobs are created, property is... 4. back on the tax rolls, housing stock increases and improves, 5. blighted commercial strips are once again brought to life, and 6. this is the kind of cooperative effort that we are going 7. to have to face and going to have to bring into reality, 8. especially in view of the economic cutbacks out of Washington 9. and the decreased State aid that Illinois is getting. I truly 10. feel that...any question of income is really moot because 11. Illinois is going to ... really find a tremendous boon out of a 12. bill like this, as other states have ... in terms of the people 13. and the improvement of communities and the quality of life 14. and housing and jobs in communities ... throughout the State of 15. Illinois. There is precedent for this. The Governor...argues 16. against the bill on the grounds that the bill is eroding the 17. corporate Income Tax base. Well, this bill would set a bad 18. precedent is what he says. For his information, there is 19. precedent for this bill. His signing of Senate Bill 477, 20. the investment tax credit, has already established a precedent. 21. This tax credit for new business investment will cost forty to 22. sixty million dollars in lost revenue in the first year. Perhaps, 23. this tax credit is more acceptable to the Governor because it's 24. against the replacement tax distribution, which means that local 25. governments, rather than the General Revenue Fund will bear the 26. brunt of the lost revenues. This will ... this will vastly im-27. prove...community life and the cooperation of businesses with 28. communities and community development corporations. And, most 29. important of all, the Department of Revenue has total control 30. over this bill. This is not a willy-nilly bill. The Depart-31. ment of Revenue can grant... the ... the project and the tax 32. credit or not. It is not something that is up in the air. It 33.

Page 33 - October 15, 1981

is a...it is within the purview of the...the Government of the 1. State of Illinois and the Department of Revenue. And if, in 2. their wisdom, they feel we can afford it, then, they will allow 3. the projects that are applied for and the tax credits that go 4. with those projects. If they do not feel that we can, then, 5. they will not allow those projects. It is totally within 6. the jurisdiction and control of State Government and the 7. Department of Revenue. I think this is an excellent bill. It 8. had overwhelming support when it came through. It had over-9. whelming editorial support, and I would ask for your support 10. on this override motion. 11.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator McMillan. SENATOR MCMILLAN: 14.

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in opposition 15. to this override motion for a couple of reasons. Number one, 16. within the Department of Revenue, whoever happens to be running 17. that Department of Revenue, I, frankly, am not sure we want 18. to grant the kind of discretionary authority, which the sponsor 19. of the bill has indicated, to decide whether we can afford it, 20. whether it's wise and whether it's not. That will not be a 21. simple matter. Primarily, I oppose this...this override because, 22. again, we simply cannot afford it. There was some question in 23. the spring whether we could. The Legislature and through the 24. Governor of the...the entire legislative process has determined 25. that...that some of the tax relief and some of the tax breaks 26. that we all gave a lot of attention to are going to be enacted, 27. but this is one...ten million dollars worth or more which we 28. cannot afford, and I would urge a No vote on this motion. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 30.

Senator Bowers.

SENATOR BOWERS:

32. 33.

Would the sponsor yield to a question?

Ŧ

Page	34	-	October	15,	1981
------	----	---	---------	-----	------

۱. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 2. He indicates he will. 3. SENATOR BOWERS: 4. Senator, the...as I understand it from reading the Veto Message and ... and listening to your ... your comments on the 5. Floor, this is a direct bottom line tax credit. It's not a 6. deduction. Is that correct...as...as distinguished from a 7. deduction, I should say? 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. Senator Marovitz. 10. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 11. It is a tax credit, that is correct. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. Senator Bowers. 14. SENATOR BOWERS : 15. Then the Governor makes a point that I wish you would 16. address. When he points out that the State of Illinois Income 17. Tax Act is directly related to the Federal Income Tax Act and 18. when a charitable contribution is made there is a deduction 19. from the Federal Act, which, in effect, gives them a deduction 20% in the State. So, don't they, in fact, get a double deduction? 21. One for the contribution, which reduces their State liability 22. and then an additional direct credit...to the bottom line. 23. And...and it just seems to me that's a pretty good point that 24. has not been addressed in the debate, and I would like to hear 25. your comments on it. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27. Senator Marovitz. 28. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 29. Well, your initial...premise is correct, but what we are 30. doing is providing them with ... with an additional tax credit 31. in order to increase the cooperation between communities because 32. ... and businesses because there have not been the kind of ... 33.

• •

Page 35 - October 15, 1981

1.	contributions between businesses and communities and community
2.	development corporationsunless there are tax incentives,
3.	and this is the kind of tax incentive that will increase that
4.	cooperation and has increased it in other states where the
5.	same duality of taxation benefitsareare inare in
6.	effect.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
8.	Senator Bowers.
	SENATOR BOWERS:
9.	I'd like, then, just to briefly speak to the bill. It
10.	seems to me, Senator, you've acknowledged the fact that this,
11.	in effect, is a double deduction,and if you made this a
12.	straight taxdeduction rather than a credit,it would
13.	make more sense to me, but when you make it a bottom line
14.	creditso thatif Iif I, as a business, deduct a hundred
15.	dollars, II take it as a deduction on the Federal Income
16.	Tax return, therefore as a deduction on the IllinoisTax
17.	return. Then, in addition, you're going to give me a direct
18.	credit and reduce my tax liability by a hundred dollars. I
19.	think you're givingfrankly, I'm pro-business, but I think
20.	you're giving business altogether too much in terms of an
21.	incentive. This isn't an incentive. It'sit'sit's almost
22.	robbery of the State coffers, as far as I'm concerned, and I
23.	would urge aurge a No vote.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25.	Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Marovitz may
26.	close debate.

27. SENATOR MAROVITZ:

28. Well,...what...Senator Bowers is claiming is that the tax
29. incentives...that presently are incorporated in the Federal Tax
30. structure...are sufficient. And, in fact, if we check our...our
31. ...corporate contribution rate to communities, community develop32. ment corporations and the kind of projects that will...improve
33.

Page 36 - October 15, 1981

the quality of life in communities,... that is not true. And ... 1. 2. other states that have enacted this very same legislation ... this tax credit incentive have proved that the amount of coop-3. eration in contributions between communities and community 4. organizations and business and industry has improved vastly. 5. I mean, let's really be serious about this thing. The only 6. way business is going to contribute money and services to hard 7. pressed areas, and those are the areas that are being hit under 8. the Federal budget cuts,... the only way business will contribute 9. money and services to these hard pressed areas is if there's 10. a profit to be made or a tax incentive to be gained. This is 11. really a standard law of economics, and this is what Senate 12. Bill 614 is addressing. The tax breaks in exchange for business 13. contributions to communities to help housing stock ... improve 14. housing stock, create jobs, put property back on the tax rolls, 15. improve...blighted commercial strips, and, really, this is what 16. we must do in view of the kind of cuts...that are coming out 17. of Washington and are going to be affecting the State of Illinois. 18. It's a cooperative effort...business and industry and communities 19. and community organizations. It's something we can afford and 20. is under control of the State of Illinois, and I would ask 21. everyone to give an Aye vote to override the...the veto of 22. Senate Bill 614. 23.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
24.

The question is, shall Senate Bill 614 pass, the veto of the 25. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will 26. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have 27. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 28. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, 29. the Nays are 27, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 614 having 30. failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared 31. lost. Senate Bill 819, Senator Totten. Read the motion, Mr. 32. Secretary. 33.

Page 37 - October 15, 1981

1. SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 819 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
 to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Totten.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Totten.

6. SENATOR TOTTEN:

5.

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 7. Senate. ...filed a motion to override on Senate Bill 819. The 8. Governor, in his Veto Message, has cited a number of reasons 9. for his veto. Most of these...citations were arguments that 10. were presented in the House when the House took up the bill, 11. most of these arguments were rejected by the House when the 12. House took up the bill, and it came back here for concurrence. 13. In his conclusion in his Veto Message, he said that the bill 14. was flawed and that I believe in the enterprise zone concept, 15. but because the administration is ... Federal administration 16. is going to propose a bill to anticipate the President's 17. proposal with a flawed bill in Illinois does a disservice 18. both to the national administration and to the people of 19. Illinois. Well, that's absolutely not true. A couple of 20. citations that I would like to put into the record regarding 21. that part of the Veto Message, from a recent book entitled, 22. "Reagan and the States", it says President Reagan endorsed the 23. enterprise zone concept during the '80 Presidential campaign 24. after...consultation with the nation's leading experts on 25. enterprise zones, Congressman Jack Kemp, Republican, New York 26. and State Senator Donald Totten of Illinois. I don't know 27. whether I'm an expert, but we did have some input into that. 28. This bill was not created in a vacuum. It was created over a 29. number of years with consultation both with Federal and State 30. officials. The Illinois bill is a model bill in a number of 31. other states. I would also like to read into the record some 32. correspondence, which I received today, from the Department of 33.

Page 38 - October 15, 1981

ı. Housing and Urban Development addressed to me regarding Senate 2. Bill 819. "Dear Senator Totten, this is in response to your request for our opinion concerning the consistency of the 3. Illinois enterprise zone bill, Senate Bill 819, with potential 4. Federal enterprise zone legislation. We, in the Office of 5. Policy Development and Research at HUD are coordinating in 6. conjunction with the White House, the Administrations Working 7. Group on enterprise zones. The Working Group is now completing 8. the development of an enterprise...an administration enterprise 9. zone program based on the framework provided by the Kemp-10. Garcia bill. I can find no inconsistency between the Illinois 11. bill, Senate Bill 819, and the Kemp-Garcia bill or the modified 12. approach based on that bill, which the admininstration is 13. developing. The administration, in fact, believes that State 14. and local governments should be allowed wide discretion and 15. latitude in choosing their contributions to the enterprise zone 16. program. I do not believe that there is anything in your bill 17. which would be unacceptable by this standard. Indeed, many 18. within the administration, including myself, have looked to 19. your bill as a model for State enterprise zone legislation. 20. Very truly yours, Peter Farrar, Special Assistant to the 21. Secretary for Policy Development for HUD." I would like to 22. also point out to many members of this General Assembly that 23. a lot of the problems in the bill, that the...some people in 24. the City of Chicago had, were worked out in the House amendments. 25. and that we concurred by a substantial majority when that was 26. brought over here. There is a...there is also some concern by 27. union opposition to the bill. We removed, in the Senate com-28. mittee, the major objections that unions had to this bill ... 29. before we even heard it in committee, and now, there is really 30. no logical reason for the labor movement in this State to be 31. opposed to the legislation. In conclusion, let me say, that 32. the Governor indicated to me about ten days before he vetoed 33.

Page 39 - October 15, 1981

it, that he would like to sign the bill and ... what cite would ۱. I like to see the bill signed. Between that date and the date 2. that the bill was vetoed, the only thing that changed was the 3. office for which I announced my candidacy. I think that it is 4. unfair to deprive this Legislature of a bill that was carefully 5. worked out, because of partisan politics, the opportunity to 6. create jobs, to rehabilitate neighborhoods and do something 7. about getting this economy moving in the way that only states 8. can do it. My colleagues in the Senate, I would appreciate your 9. favorable support for this override motion. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11. Is there further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis. 12. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 13. Would the sponsor yield for a question? 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. Indicates he will. 16. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 17. Under your bill, it takes a local option; in other words, ... 18. local government has to pass an ordinance and the State Department 19. of Commerce and Community Affairs both have to join into the 20. contracts, so to speak, before such an option becomes available. 21. Is that correct? 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 23. Senator Totten. 24. SENATOR TOTTEN: 25. The bill explicitly says that it is local initiative. First, 26. a local city or municipality must initiate the process to create 27. an enterprise zone. If they don't initiate anything, they don't want 28. it, nothing happens. Secondly, they enter into an agreement with 29. the State Department of Commerce and Community Affairs to establish 30. a zone, there has to be both State and local agreement and approval 31. before a zone can be created. 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 33.

Page 40 - October 15, 1981

1. Senator Geo-Karis. 2. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I 3. feel compelled to vote in favor of this override, because I 4. know, for example, in East St. Louis there are about seventy-5. five percent of the people who are on welfare, and I think this 6. kind of a bill...the passage of such a bill could help alleviate 7. these situations where...which are really distressed financially 8. and lack employment; and I certainly speak in favor of the bill. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 10. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Totten may 11. close debate. Senator Bloom. 12. SENATOR BLOOM: 13. Will the sponsor yield to a question? 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15. He indicates he will. 16. SENATOR BLOOM: 17. Don, I understand you have a... I understand you have a 18. supply of letterheads from every Federal agency. Is that 19. correct? 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 21. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Totten may 22. close debate. 23. SENATOR TOTTEN: 24. Thank you, Mr...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 25. the Senate. In conclusion, let me just say that I think the 26. Governor's Veto Message, where he indicated the bill may be 27. flawed, the Veto Message and it's arguments is considerably more 28 flawed than the bill as it was constructed and presented to us. 29 This is ... this is an excellent opportunity for us to do something 30. in Illinois, at our own initiative, at the local community initiative 31. to provide a strong economy in areas where it hasn't been before. 32. This is a new idea, it's an innovative idea, but it's an idea that 33.

Page 41 - October 15, 1981

Illinois can lead the way on, and I'm very proud to be a sponsor
 of it and I'd like...I think we all would like to see this as
 part of Illinois law, so that we can lead the way; and I'd
 appreciate your support.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The guestion is, shall Senate Bill 819 pass, the veto of 6 the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor 7. vote Ave. Those opposed vote Nav. The voting is open. Have 8. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 9. On that guestion, the Ayes are 45, the Nays are 10, none Voting 10. Present. Senate Bill 819, having received the required three-11. fifths vote, is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the 12. contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill 915, read the ... read the 13. motion, Mr. Secretary. 14.

15. SECRETARY:

2Balls . Duennides

16. I move that Senate Bill 915 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
17. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Joyce.

20. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. There 21. is but one...one concern expressed in the Governor's veto. and 22. that is the issue which we debated...the specific issue that we 23. debated when this bill was before us in committee and when this 24. bill was up for a vote before the full Body. Now, that is the 25. question is how much protection we are going to afford by way of 26. definition of unborn child, when dealing with a willful offender. 27. We resolved that question, both in committee and in this Body, and 28. it was resolved on the other side of the Chamber, and I would ask 29. that we adhere to our position, and I would ask for a favorable 30. roll call at this time. 31.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32.

Is there further discussion? Senator Thomas.

1. SENATOR THOMAS:

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen 2. of the Senate. It's a very difficult situation for me to rise in 3. opposition to the concept of Senator Joyce. I have been supported, 4. very strongly, by Pro-life organizations in Illinois and nation-5. wide. Last spring when Senator Lemke introduced a series of pro-6 lifestyle bills. I supported every one of Senator Lemke's bills. 7. What does disturb me, is that in lobbying in the rotunda, this 8. morning, on behalf of Senator Joyce's bill, it has come to my 9. attention that there have been members representing Pro-life 10. organizations who have stated that I am in accord with this over-11. ride. For those of you who may have been led to believe that, 12. and who, therefore, are willing to vote for an override, let me 13. just say that your word, which is your bond, can be taken back 14. on this particular instance if, in fact, you are voting for the 15. override because you believe that I've supported this. People 16. in the House and the Senate for years have been working on this. 17. Senator Sangmeister has worked on this proposal for a long while; 18. Representative Davis, over in the House. We finally came up 19. with Senate Bill 192 this past year, that seemed to have the 20. consensus of opinion on the part of Pro-choice, Pro-life, all 21. the organizations involved in this, seemingly, moral issue. T+ 22. is not a moral...issue that we have been dealing with. The 23. Governor has signed into law, a bill that the courts can utilize 24. and can get convictions on. If this bill is overridden, we, then, 25. have two conflicting fetuside bills on the Illinois books; and 26. I'm afraid, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, that the entire 27. concept will be thrown out; and, therefore, for those families 28. who have suffered the pain, anguish, indignity of losing a child 29. due to an act of violence, there will be no protection for them. 30. Again, I reluctantly rise to oppose the concept of the override. 31. I think that the Governor's Veto Message was well put. 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 33.

Page 43 - October 15, 1981

1. Senator Bowers.

2. SENATOR BOWERS:

I think, perhaps, Senator Thomas has made the points that I 3. 4. just want to reiterate. We do have, now, a Statute on the books in this area. If we override this particular veto, then we're 5. going to have two conflicting Statutes, and they're going to 6. conflict in terms of definition, they're going to conflict in 7. terms of penalty; and I don't know what the courts are going 8. to do with it, but I've got a feeling that the whole thing will 9. be tossed out and we're right back to the void that was ... that 10. we all worked very hard and ... and it's ... it's an important void 11. in the criminal law that we've all worked very hard to...to 12. overcome. And, I... I sympathize with those who feel that the 13. definition ought to be other than is in the other bill. On 14. the other hand, the other bill goes a long, long way. It is 15. intended and was a compromise to get something on the books. 16. All the override of this is going to do, is to... is to make those 17. other efforts go for naught. I would hope in the ... in the idea 18. of consistency...in legislation, consistency in penalties and 19. just get something done in this area, that we would sustain this 20. veto and vote No on the motion to override. 21.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bowers.

23. Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS: 24.

Mr. President, would it be in order if I could ask a question
of the previous speaker, Senator Bowers? If leave would be granted?
Senator Bowers, the...in reading both the veto analysis and the
staff analysis, I can see how the definition in Senator Joyce's
bill goes farther than Senator Thomas' bill. I cannot see the
conflict. Would you point out the specific conflict to me?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. SENATOR BOWERS:

33.

Page 44 - October 15, 1981

1.	I don't have the language in front of meof the two bills.
2.	One bill definesdefines it one way, another defines it another;
3.	and, in addition to that, we've got the penalty question. And,
4.	I'm not sure, depending upon the timing of theof the action
5.	of the General Assembly, which of thosewhich of those definitions
6.	are going to prevail. And, it just seems to me, that this kind
7.	of confusion, in effect, in a Murder Statute, is something that
8.	we can't afford. Now, if you want to address it the next time
9.	through andand come in with this concept to amend the existing
10.	law, then fine, we can vote it up or down; but I think this is
11.	going to create so much confusion thatthat you're not going
12.	to end up with anything. I don't have that language in front of
13.	me, Mark, I'm sorry.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15.	Senator Rhoads.
16.	SENATOR RHOADS:
17.	Well, the Veto Message goes to the heart of the matter at
18.	what is the philosophical difference between the two bills that
19.	Senatorin the Governor's objection to Senator Joyce's bill,
20.	he states that the unborn child moves the bill into a position of
21.	tension with the State's abortion law. Now, I don't see that.
22.	I see that it goes farther than Senator Thomas' bill, but I don't
23.	see how it conflicts with it; and, maybe, I could ask the same
24.	question of Senator Joyce, because I'm really confused on this one.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
26.	Senator Joyce.
27.	SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
28.	Well, Senator Bowers, if I may address your concern. You
29.	know and I know that there is a specific body of law which is
30.	available for a court to determine what, in fact, would be
31.	applicable in a criminal trial involving a charge such as this.
32.	So, there wouldn't be any problems in terms of leaving the State
33.	of Illinois without Statute in this area, if we override this.

THE PARTY OF

۱.	There is but one issue before this Body, and that is the same
2.	issue that we discussed when we passed this bill; because at
3.	that time, we were aware of the language of Senator Thomas' bill.
4.	There's only one issue and that's what the definition of
5.	unborn is, and we have spoken twice, at least those in the committee
6.	have spoken twice on this question. The full Body, with the
7.	exception of three, have spoken that this is the definiton that
8.	we want to adopt. This is where we want to be in the State of
9.	Illinois on this question, because we are talking about willful,
10.	intentional offenders. We're not talking about someone who
11.	casually finds himself in this situation.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.	Further discussion? Senator Bowers.
14.	SENATOR BOWERS:
15.	Well, for a second time and if he wasn't closing, I would
16. [.]	like thethe sponsor to yield to a question.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.	He wasn't closing. He was asked a question by Senator
19.	Rhoads and he was responding to the question.
20.	SENATOR BOWERS:
21.	Okay. Senator, if thisif thisveto is overridden, we
22.	have a definition of fetuside, what will be the penalty? What
23.	will be the penalty for fetuside? Now, thetheand I will
24.	call your attention to the fact that Senate Bill 192 punishes
25.	it as murder, non-probationable; Senate Bill 915 calls it a
26.	Class II Felony, three to seven years. And I would ask you,
27.	what will the penalty be, if, as a matter of fact, this veto
28.	is overridden?
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
30.	Senator Joyce.
31.	SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:
32.	Seven.
33.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
	Three to seven. Senator Bowers.

.

Page 46 - October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR BOWERS:

Well, I'll respectfully disagree, and...I...I seriously...
 this is not an amendment to an existing Statute; this is a
 conflicting bill and in my opinion, you will...you will have two
 separate...two separate penalties for the same definition, and
 it's...and there's no court in the world that's going to convict
 somebody under this...under either one of these Statutes, if you
 override this veto.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Thomas.

11. SENATOR THOMAS:

Thank you, Mr. President, and I apologize for rising a 12. second time. I think, perhaps, to help Senator Rhoads in his 13. understanding of the definitions, while morally I agree with 14. Senator Joyce that a fetus is viable from the point of conception, 15. the problem exists that there will be no jury convict an individual 16. when, in fact, it can't be proven that this was a complete human 17. being. My definition of viability, loosely stated, said that 18. professional witnesses must be brought in, that professional 19. witness, for instance, being a pathologist that performed the 20. fetal autopsy; and in that professional's opinion, the unborn 21. baby had a complete respiratory system, a circulatory system, 22. the central nervous system was in order; and that had the baby 23. been born at that particular moment that the act of agression 24. took place, yes, that baby could have lived outside of the womb, 25. more than momentarily and with or without life support systems. 26. In other words, that baby was full term and could have survived 27. in the outside world. That's the kind of thing that a jury can 28. hang it's hat on for a conviction; and again, while I agree with 29. the morality of Senator Joyce's bill that, yes, in fact, many of 30. us do believe that a fetus is viable from the point of conception, 31. you'll never get a jury to convict anyone of it, and that was the 32. problem in previous years, here in Springfield, in trying to come 33.

Page 47 - October 15, 1981

up with legislation on this matter. The issue has got clouded...
 has become clouded in a moral issue. We're talking about
 criminal law, we are not talking about legislating morality.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Joyce may close.
 SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Just 7. very briefly, Senator Thomas, we would prove the existence of an 8. unborn child the same way we prove anything else in a court of 9. law. We would prove it with evidence; now, whether that would 10. be physical evidence or evidence of another nature, whether it 11. would be circumstantial evidence, would...depend on the particular 12. case. But, there would be no problem there, in terms of ... from 13. a criminal law standpoint. I ask for a favorable roll call. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15.

The question is, shall Senate Bill 915 pass, the veto of the 16. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote 17. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted 18. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 19. Have all voted who wish? Take ... take the record. On that question, 20. the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 14, 1 Voting Present. Senate Bill 21. 915, having failed to receive the required...three-fifths vote, 22. is declared lost. Senate Bill 922, Senator Berman. Read the 23. motion, Mr. Secretary. 24.

25. SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 922 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Berman.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

31. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 32. Senate. This bill was a product...was a product of a commission that was created by this Legislature to evaluate the nursing 33.

1. shortage throughout the State of Illinois. One of the reasons 2. that we introduced this bill, but with bi-partisan support, was 3. that we found that one of the needs, among others, that nurses 4. had problems with was a need to coordinate between a number of different agencies in the State, the education and upward 5. mobility of people that...are entered or want to enter the 6. nursing profession. The Governor signed the bill that appropriated 7. the money for this commission, we're only talking about thirty 8. The money has already been appropriated, but thousand dollars. 9. he vetoed the bill. The bill is for a fourteen member commission, 10. made up of gubernatorial and legislative appointments. One of 11. the primary responsibilities of this commission is to sit down 12. and work out the problems in our areas of higher education, so 13. that nurses can move upward in improving their educationl status 14. to associate degrees, and bachelor degrees, and master degrees, to 15. make them more competent servants in the nursing profession. 16. I don't understand the reason that the Governor vetoed the bill. 17. He said that this bill doesn't solve the problem. Well, Ladies 18. and Gentlemen, I'm not saying it will, but it is an important step. 19. The override motion is supported by the Illinois Hospital Association, 20. by the Illinois Nurses Association. It is an important step to 21. address the problems that we have throughout the State of nursing 22. shortage. I ask your support of the motion to override. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 24.

Channel 20 requests permission to film. Is leave granted? 25. Leave is granted. Is there further discussion? If not, the 26. question is, shall Senate Bill 922 pass the veto of the Governor 27. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. 28. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 29. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take 30. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 17, 31. none Voting Present. Senate Bill 922, having received the required 32. three-fifths vote, is declared passed, the veto of the Governor 33.

Page 49 - October 15, 1981

to the contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill 966, Senator Gitz.
 Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

3. SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 922 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
 to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Gitz.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Gitz.

8. SENATOR GITZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The 9. Governor's chief rationale in vetoing Senate Bill 966 was that 10. the public does not need to be protected against the sale of 11. gasohol which may not contain at least ten percent alcohol. 12. It is his contention that this is a new and expensive program. 13. and that the cost of the regulatory program doesn't bear it out. 14. It seems to me the issue is very straightforward. There isn't a 15. soul in this country, I don't believe, who would disagree that the 16. common...definition of gasohol is ten percent ethanol fuel. It 17. is not a complicated test. The Department of Agriculture is 18. presently vested with testing gas stations, at present; and it 19. seems to me, that since most of these pumps are installed as an 20. addition to existing facilities, the idea that, somehow, that this 21. is going to be a brand-new set of regulations in a brand-new area 22 is just simply fallacious. It is not enjoyable to take issue 23. with the Governor, but I think in this case, it clearly ... if we're 24. serious about promoting alcohol fuels, the bear minimum, minimum 25. thing that the public can be expected to have, is to know that 26. when they're purchasing that ... that product, usually at a higher 27. cost, that they are getting what they intended to pay for. That's 28. all this bill does. 29.

PRESIDENT: 30.

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Senate Bill 966 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 32. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The 33.



Page 50 - October 15, 1981

voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
 wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
 the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 23, none Voting Present. The motion
 fails. 1051. On the Order of Motions in Writing, the middle of
 page 16, is a motion filed with respect to Senate Bill 1051, Mr.
 Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

11.

8. I move that Senate Bill 1051 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
9. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Marovitz.
PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ: 12.

Thank you, very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen 13. of the Senate. Senate Bill 1051, if ... if the Clerk might correct 14. the board. Senate Bill 1051 is the bill which creates a mandatory 15. four-year prison sentence for any crime committed with the use of 16. a gun. It passed out of here and the House, virtually, uncontested 17. ...on...I believe it was on the Consent Calendar in one of the 18. two bodies. And, I was absolutely flabbergasted at the Governor's 19. veto of this bill, in view of the fact that he's co-chairman of 20. the Attorney General's Violent Crime Task Force, and has gone 21. around the country talking about the need for mandatory sentences. 22. And, this indeed, is what we have in this bill. It was a bill 23. that was supported by the National Rifle Association, it was a bill 24. that was supported by gun control activists. it's a bill that sends 25. a message to criminals that we're going to get tough on crime. Ι 26. read in the Governor's Veto Message, he talks about knives and 27. perilous knives and how knives aren't covered in this bill. Well, 28. that's very true, knives aren't covered in this bill; we never 29. intended to ... to cover knives. It ... it was intended to be a ... a 30. gun bill...legislation to get tough on crimes committed with the 31. use of a gun. It doesn't change the law in any respect in regards 32. to Class X, which covers knives and other dangerous weapons. This 33.

ι. is a bill that...that sends a message to criminals that if they're going to take guns with them and commit a crime using these guns, 2. 3. whether they're fired or not, just threaten somebody, that they're 4. going to go to prison for four years, non-parole, no probation, and that's the way it's going to be; there is going to be day-per-5. day goodtime, at Senator Bowers' request, and that is in the bill. 6. This covers crimes that are not covered by Class X. Class X covers 7. felonies, this covers commission of crimes, so, it would cover 8. crimes in addition to felonies, such as, aggravated assault. I 9. think the most important ... word that I could say, is this is not 10. offered to supplant Class X, but to supplement Class X. Class X 11. is in effect, remains in effect, unchanged at all by this 12. legislation, whether this legislation were to be used by a 13. prosecutor is under the control of the court and the prosecutor ... 14. and this would help increase dispositions in the state's attorney's 15. office. It really is a get tough on crime bill and does not affect 16. existing law or Class X in any way, and I think the fact that it's 17. supported by the Rifle Association and the gun control activists 18. is something that people ought to think about. It's been editorial-19. ized by the newspapers and I would ask for an Aye vote to override 20. the veto of Senate Bill 1051. 21.

PRESIDENT: 22.

23.

Any discussion? Senator Bowers.

24. SENATOR BOWERS:

Senator Marovitz, I may have missed this when this bill was 25. originally passed, and I merely ask this question, when can you 26. use a gun in the commission of an offense when it would not be a 27. Class X Felony? The Governor's principle objection, as I understand 28. it, is that the ... that we are, in effect, reducing the penalty. 29. And, I think you made a statement that you could use a gun in the 30. commission of an offense other than a Class X Felony, in which case ... 31. in which case, your bill would apply and Class X would not. My 32. understanding of Class X, there's a provision in there somewhere, I 33.

Page 52 - October 15, 1981

think, that says anytime a gun is involved, it's a Class X Felony,
 and I wish you would address that question.

PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Marovitz.

5. SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Under...under the present crime of aggravated assault, 6. aggravated assault, I believe, is a Class A Misdemeanor. It's 7. not covered under Class X; it would be covered under this bill, 8. and we have ... everyday in the papers and everyday in the news, 9. examples of Class A Misdemeanors where ... where people are threatened 10. with guns or guns are...nobody's shot, nobody's injured, nobody's 11. killed, but people are threatened. And, that would be an aggravated 12. assault. It would be covered under this piece of legislation, and 13. it's an alternative sentencing procedure. 14.

PRESIDENT: 15.

16.

24.

25.

26.

Senator Bowers.

SENATOR BOWERS:

17. Well, drag that...I'm sorry to prolong this, but drag it by
18.
again. I don't think you can use a gun without...or have a gun
19.
involved without committing a Class X Felony. And...and, could you
20.
explain the circumstances where you could commit an aggravated
21.
assault with a gun and it not be a Class X Felony? That's my
22.
guestion.

23. PRESIDENT:

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I believe...an aggravated assault, such as having a gun, or...or having someone believe that you are...that their life is being threatened with a gun and they are just held up, let's say their purse is snatched, or whatever it happens to be, they're robbed, they're mugged, the gun is not used, but they are in fear of their life, because a gun is...is carried by the perpetrator of the crime. That would be a Class A Misdemeanor, aggravated assault.

33.

Page 53 - October 15, 1981

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Bowers.

3. SENATOR BOWERS:

Well, it seems to me, that when you use under... I just was 4. handed 3383, under sentence where you have a Category One weapon, 5. which is a...which is a...which is a gun, a violation of Section 6. 3382 with a Category One weapon, is a Class X Felony, and that's 7. the thing that's confusing me. I think when there's a gun involved, 8. it's a Class X Felony, period. And, I...and I don't want to, you 9. know, I don't want to willy-nilly argue against this bill; but I 10. think the Governor has a good point, when he says you're actually 11. reducing the penalty. 12.

PRESIDENT:

14.

23.

25.

29.

30.

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

16. I would just say that upon receiving this veto, I ran this by several criminal lawyers and two criminal judges, and both said that this would cover cases under aggravated assault, which were not covered by Class X.

PRESIDENT:

21. Further discussion? Senator Joyce. SENATOR JEREMIA JOYCE:

22.

Will the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT: 24.

Indicates he will, Senator Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE: 26.

This bill applies to felonies and non-felonies? PRESIDENT: 28.

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Yes. This bill applies to crimes, it does not distinguish 31. between felonies and non-felonies. 32.

PRESIDENT: 33.

Senator Joyce.

Page 54 - October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

What does the Governor's Veto Message say? Does it say
 felony?

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Marovitz.

6. SENATOR MAROVITZ:

The Governor's Veto Message says a lot of things about...it
covers a lot of different areas. It talks about knives, it talks
about Class X...it talks about his desire for mandatory sentences
and why he thinks that's a good idea, and why he prefers Class X
to this; and Class X is not an alternative. This is meant to
supplement.

PRESIDENT:

14.

Senator Joyce.

15. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

It was my understanding that this bill was going to be changed 16. from crime to felony. If we pass this bill out, now, and we're 17. talking about crime, I can give you some examples, Senator Bowers, 18. of where you can use a weapon and it would not be a felony. How 19. about, if you get in an argument with your neighbor and you shoot 20. a bullet through his car, or through his garage. Do we understand... 21. does...Senator Marovitz, do you understand the present state of law 22. of mandatory sentencing in the State of Illinois, now...the Supreme 23. Court decision? 24.

PRESIDENT: 25.

27.

26. SENATOR MAROVITZ:

As a result of that example that you gave in committee, the bill was changed before it passed, to deal with crime against a person, so that that example where you are shooting someone's property, neighbor's get in a fight, those kinds of altercations, are not covered under this legislation. It is only a crime against a person, and that is in the legislation. That's why the Governor doesn't a Page 55 - October 15, 1981

1. deal with that kind of example.

2. PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Bowers.

4. SENATOR BOWERS:

Well, I'd like to speak a second time, because I think, 5. Senator Marovitz, you're in error. The ... first place, a violation 6. of the Armed Violence Section with a Category One weapon, is a 7. Class X Felony. Okay. So, that appears in 3382 ... in the 8. sentencing provision. Now, armed violence...the definition of 9. armed violence is as follows: "a person is considered armed with 10. a dangerous weapon for purposes of this Article, when he carries 11. on or about his person, or is otherwise armed with Category One 12. or Category Two weapons." And, under sentencing...under the 13. sentencing provision, if he's got a Category One weapon on his 14. person, he is guilty of armed violence; and therefore, it's a 15. Class X Felony, and that's the Governor's point, and I think it's 16. well taken, that under your bill you can use the gun and have less 17. ... have less penalty than you do under Class X, if you merely 18. have the gun on your possession. 19.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Senator Marovitz may close. SENATOR MAROVITZ: 22.

Well, all I can tell you ... direct to Senator Bowers is, when 23. this Veto Message came out and it was shown to criminal lawyers 24. and judges in the...in the city, who helped prepare the legislation, 25. they all felt that ... and unanimously, that this bill covered 26. aggravated assault as a misdemeanor, which, in fact, Class X did not 27. cover, because it only covered felonies. And, I think the...the 28. point to emphasize, Senator Bowers, is this is not taking the place 29. of Class X in any way. It's...it is a tool for prosecutors, it is a 30. tool for the court to use to help increase dispositions and to cover 31. crimes that are not covered by Class X. It is an alternative, it's 32. meant to supplement Class X, not to supplant Class X, and deals with 33.

Page 56 - October 15, 1981

a public policy concern that is the...use of guns in committing
 of a crime that is not dealt with by Class X, and that's why it
 passed out of here with support from both Bodies, and both sides
 of the aisle, and by the gun control people, and by the Rifle
 Association. I would ask for an Aye vote.
 PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1051 pass, the veto of the 7. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote 8. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all 9. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 10. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the 11. Nays are 24, none Voting Present. The motion fails. The middle 12. of page 16, there's a motion filed with respect to Senate Bill 13. 1146, Mr. Secretary. 14.

SECRETARY:

19.

16. I...I move that Senate Bill 1146 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Berman. PRESIDENT:

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN: 20.

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 21. Senate. Senate Bill 1146 was a bill that did two things. It 22. addressed the status of the hearing officers in the administrative 23. procedures of the State of Illinois. It said that the hearing 24. officers should be lawyers, and that they should be called 25. Administrative Law Judges. The ... the Governor vetoed the bill. 26. ... I don't understand, really, why it was vetoed; it's a bill that 27. upgrades the requirements and professionalism of people that hold 28. the life and death determination before the citizens of the State 29. of Illinois get to the courts. We're talking about the people 30. in all the agencies of the State that act as the hearing officers 31. when there is a dispute between the agencies of the State and the 32. citizens that are regulated by those agencies. And, I think that 33.

Page 57 - October 15, 1981

۱.	it should be people that are qualified and of the highest caliber,
2.	and giving them the title of Administrative Law Judge is in line
3.	with the procedures that have been followed for many years on the
4.	Federal level. I think it's a good bill. Itit addressed a
5.	not a terribly important problem, but it was important to a
6.	segement of our society inin State Government that's important
7.	to those who are regulated by them, and I ask your support in this
8.	override.
9.	PRESIDENT:
10.	Any discussion? Senator Bloom.
11.	SENATOR BLOOM:
12.	Senatequestion of the sponsor.
13.	PRESIDENT:
14.	Indicates he'll yield, Senator Bloom.
15.	SENATOR BLOOM:
16.	Thisthis legislation, as I recall, does thisthis
17.	grandfathers in non-attorneys, does it not, and makes them
18.	Administrative Law Judges?
19.	PRESIDENT:
20.	Senator Berman.
21.	SENATOR BERMAN:
22.	Thank you, Senator Bloom. That's very important. Nobody
23.	that's presently employed will be affected as far as losing their
24.	jobs. The requirement that they be attorneys is prospective, only,
25.	of course, those who do have the jobs now, will be accorded the
26.	new title of Administrative Law Judge.
27.	PRESIDENT:
28.	Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.
29.	SENATOR GROTBERG:
30.	Thank you, Mr. President. Just to express how my viscera
31.	turns sour when I think of judges wanting to be called judges,
32.	who are not, in fact, judges as the populous understands them.
33.	It was not difficult, this morning, to get the feeling of professional

Page 58 - October 15, 1981

۱. judges as we sat in the Executive Committee listening to their 2. appeal for salary increases. We would all like to be judges, and I think everyone in this General Assembly would like to be 3. 4. a judge, but Administrative Law Judge will soon be called Judge So-and-so, Judge So-and-so; it's a simple differentiation, but 5. I think it's crucial in the general understanding of the people 6. of Illinois. A judge sits in a courtroom and administers law, 7. not regulations and I would request a No vote on the motion. 8. PRESIDENT: 9.

Further...further discussion? Senator Nimrod. Any further
 discussion? Senator Berman may close.
 SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. Ladies and Gentlemen, I would adopt Senator 13. Grotberg's opposition as an argument for the bill. These people 14. act like and perform functions of judges and there's nothing 15. wrong with calling them judge. The Federal Government has called 16. these hearing officers Administrative Law Judges for many years. 17. That is what they are, because they have the power to determine 18. disputes between the citizens and administrative agencies, and it 19. upgrades and improves a system that greatly needs improvement. 20. I ask for your support for the motion to override. 21. PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall Senate Bill 1146 pass, the veto of 23. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor 24. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is 25. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have 26. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 27. Ayes are 24, the Nays are 29, none Voting Present. The motion 28. fails. Senator Chew on 1198. The motion filed ... the Motion 29. in Writing with respect to Senate Bill 1198, Mr. Secretary. 30. SECRETARY: 31.

22.

I move that Senate Bill 1198 Do Pass, the veto of the Governorto the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Chew.

1. SENATOR CHEW:

2. Mr. President, the Governor's rationale for vetoing this 3. bill is the fact that it might violate Federal regulations; but 4. in the next sentence he says, the department has the right to 5. waive that violation and carry on. What this bill does, it allows 6. through a voluntary effort, that Public Aid recipients can assign 7. over to the Public Housing Authority the authority to subtract, or in fact, have the Public Aid Department to pay their rent out of 8. the Public Aid check. Prior to the merger of the State of Illinois 9. and the Cook County Department of Welfare, it did exist in Cook 10. County. It was a total success. When we came into the State of 11. Illinois Department of ... Public Welfare, it was eliminated. After 12. the Governor vetoed the bill, I met with some of the persons 13. that were previously under the program and they are all for it; 14. and the ironic thing about it, Mr. President, some people just 15. admit that they cannot manage their own monies, and I have not 16. found anyone that's in opposition to this bill, other than the 17. Governor; and he is not in opposition to it. He merely said that 18. it would violate the Federal law, but the department has the right 19. to waive that Federal law. So, that's not a valid reason for the 20. veto of the bill. And, the other part that happens, when these 21. people are evicted from the Public Housing Authority, then law 22. enforcement has to go in and serve the summons or eviction notices. 23. Law enforcement is not paid for that, because there is no provisions 24. made that they will get paid for that time spent. So, it makes 25. their records cumbersome and it's something that should be done. 26. I've gone into Public Housing and talked to persons, as I've said, 27. that were previously under the program, and they had no objections 28. to going back to it. And, let me emphasize, it is not mandatory, 29. it is merely a right that they would have to allow the Public Aid 30. Department to pay their rent in Public Housing. It does not, and 31. let me emphasize, it does not include private housing that have 32. tenants that are on Public Aid. It only applies to the Chicago 33.

Page 60 - October 15, 1981

ı. Housing Authority. Everybody in the Housing Authority is for 2. it, the department is not against it and the rationale that the 3. Governor used, in my opinion, is not sufficient to have vetoed this bill. Let me give you the history of it, Mr. President. 4. It passed out of the Senate on 3rd reading at 57 to nothing. 5. On the Conference Committee Report it passed out 57 to nothing. б. In the House, it passed out 156; on the Conference Committee 7. Report, it passed out 132 to 4. Now, if that is not a good 8. record vote, I don't know what is. Let me emphasize, I have 9. not found anyone that is in opposition to the bill. The persons 10. that would be affected by it, by their own movement, are in 11. favor of it and I would ask that we vote Aye to override the 12. Governor's veto. 13. PRESIDENT: 14. Any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis. 15. 16. 17. (End of reel) 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33.

Page 61 - October 15, 1981

Reel #3

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
 I speak in favor of the override of the veto, in fact, I don't
 think the bill goes far enough. I believe people who get money
 for rent should be paying it as rent and I am in favor of this
 override because I think it's the only decent thing to do.
 PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall 8. Senate Bill 1198 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary, 9. notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 10. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 11. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all 12. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes 13. are 37, the Nays are 17, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1198, 14. having received the required three-fifths vote is declared 15. passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. 16. All right. Continuing on page 16, there's a motion filed with 17. respect to Senate Bill 21. Senator Berning. Mr. Secretary. 18. SECRETARY: 19.

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
 as to Senate Bill 21 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
 Senator Berning.

PRESIDENT:

24.

Senator Berning. Berning.

25. SENATOR BERNING:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The 26. Governor's Amendatory Veto changes one word in Senate Bill 21, 27. striking "shall" and inserting "may." This has to do with the 28. reports by the downstate Firemen's Pension Systems to the 29. Department of Insurance. This Senate Bill 21, you may recall, 30. was an additional step by this Body in our effort to ultimately 31. conform to what we know is coming through the Federal regulations 32. under PERISA. Now there has been a good deal of misinformation 33.

1. going around. I am positive that many of you have been importuned 2. by certain groups and individuals to resist the passage of Senate 3. Bill 21 with this amendment. Let me assure you...that the costs 4. involved are nominal, however, the effective date of the bill, 5. if it is approved by both Houses, will be July 1, 1982. We, 6. therefore, have all of next spring Session to analyze any and 7. all concerns or objections which may be legitimately raised over the bill. As a matter of fact, I do know there is a 8. bill which is in Conference Committee that has an amendment 9. that will affect this bill by eliminating the very small 10. systems from any obligation, whatsoever. Therefore, Mr. President, 11. reassuring the Body that there will be adequate time to make 12. any and all adjustments which are justified, and I believe I 13. echo the...the positions of Senator D'Arco and Senator Egan, 14. that ... this Body ought to concur in the Amendatory Veto and get 15. on with this measure. 16.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Is there any discussion? Senator Bruce.19. SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, although this... Amendatory Act only changes one 20. word, I would want...anyone from downstate Illinois to under-21. stand that all the downstate police and fire pension systems 22. are very much opposed to this legislation. The change of that 23. one word says that the Department of Insurance, rather than 24. doing this actuarial study, that...that they may do that. 25. They've already announced publicly to the police and fire 26. pensions that they are not going to do it. In downstate 27. Illinois...we have almost entirely volunteer fire departments 28. that would cost approximately six thousand dollars for each 29. one of these systems, some of them having less than twenty 30. or thirty people in it to do the actuarial study, and under 31. the Statute this is forced right back onto the real estate 32. taxpayer because they can levy what is needed to run the system. 33.

Page 63 -October 15, 1981

So, in effect, you are levying an additional six to ten thousand
 dollars in each of your volunteer fire departments and...and
 fire and police departments. It just seems to me that there
 are other ways to work out this problem. This is not a...the change
 of the one word, forces this back on...upon the local fire and
 police departments.

7. PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Egan.

9. SENATOR EGAN:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 10. Senator Berning, I was not aware of the opposition from the 11. municipalities that has arisen just this morning, at least 12. that's the first knowledge I had of it. I think what Senator 13. Bruce is saying makes very good sense. We are imposing ... on 14. these municipalities something which they do not now have to 15. do, just by this change and there is no mandate, no clear mandate, 16. because of its...its permissive in nature. However, the intent ... 17. the original intent of the bill was to have the Department of 18. Insurance accomplish the goal, not the municipality itself. 19. It's a complete change, I...perhaps you'd change your mind, too, 20. after reflection on it, Senator Berning. I...I'd like to hear 21. what you have to say, but I was unaware of the ... of the tremendous 22. opposition that has arisen, not by the departments, but by the 23. municipalities. 24.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Further discussion? Senator Berning may close.27. SENATOR BERNING:

28. Thank you, Mr. President. Not more than a half hour
29. ago, I talked to Tom Fitzgerald...Fitzsimmons right outside the door here.
30. He questioned whether or not there was a need and whether
31. there would be exorbitant costs. I assured him that that
32. is not the case, but I also assured him, as I have this Body
33. here, that we have six months, Senator Egan, we have six months,

ι. starting with the next Session, to iron out any and all problems 2. that may exist with the bill, if there are any. But, responding 3. to Senator Bruce, Senator, the actuaries with whom I have talked, including Dwight Anderson from the Department of Insurance, estimate 4. that the maximum cost could be a thousand dollars. Now, I see 5. 6. there's a letter going around by one of the groups saying, it is three thousand, now you're saying six thousand. This is 7. totally out of order and unreasonable. There will be a minimal 8. expense, and that can be really small if these smaller groups 9. will combine their needs and their requests with one actuarial 10. firm, it could be as little as twenty or thirty dollars a 11. system. However, as I say, there is a bill that will exempt ... 12. will exempt those with fewer than fifty employees and I remind 13. you, Senator Bruce, that volunteer systems don't have a pension 14. system, volunteer firemen are not covered. So, I think you 15. are misconstruing. The important thing is that we take the 16. step to assure that the State of Illinois qualifies for exemption 17. under the Federal regulations which are forthcoming and this is 18. part of that procedure. If we need to make any corrections, we 19. will have ample time. Mr. President, I believe...this is legislation 20. that ought to pass and I respectfully request the support of 21. the membership. 22.

23. PRESIDENT:

The question is, shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations 24. of the Governor as to Senate Bill 21 in the manner and form just 25. stated by Senator Berning. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 26. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 27. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 28. the Ayes are 6, the Nays are 49, the motion fails. Motion filed 29. with respect to Senate Bill 22, Senator Davidson. Mr. Secretary, 30. please. 31.

32. SECRETARY:

33.

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor

Page 65 -October 15, 1981

as to Senate Bill 22 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
 Senator Davidson.

3. PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

5. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move to...adopt 6. the...approve the Amendatory Veto. The word was, put the 7. word "full-time" in front of the employees, so there could ... 8. be no misconstruing that part-time employees could qualify 9. for this benefit. I think it was a good change. There's no... 10. clears up any doubt ... means any full-time employee could qualify 11. for this benefit in the National Guard. I ask for a favorable 12. vote...for the Amendatory Veto. 13.

14. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate 15. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 16. Senate Bill 22 in the manner and form just stated by Senator 17. Davidson. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will 18. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 19. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 20. record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, 21. none Voting Present. The ... Specific Recommendations of the 22. Governor, as to Senate Bill 22, having received the required 23. constitutional majority vote of Senators elected, are declared 24. accepted. 27, Senator Nega. On the Order of Motions in Writing, 25. the motion filed with respect to 27, Mr. Secretary. 26. SECRETARY:

28. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
29. as to Senate Bill 27 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
30. Senator Nega.

PRESIDENT:

27.

32. Senator Nega.

SENATOR NEGA: 33. Page 66 - October 15, 1981

1. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The 2. second part of the bill which granted taxicab drivers who were victims of violent crimes, a special status is... absolutely 3. unneeded. Armed robbery of any person is a Class X felony, which 4. also disqualifies the offender from probation. If I had known 5. this, I'd never of put it in the bill. The second part, is one 6. of the changes now, according to the...Governor, would permit 7. judges conducting preliminary hearings to consider at the 8. same time, the State's application to revoke or increase the 9. defendants bail, after a defendant commits a forceable felony 10. while free on bail. This bill passed the House...passed the 11. Senate at 53 to nothing and it passed the House 144 to nothing. 12. I ask for your favorable support. 13.

PRESIDENT:

BU B Recommender

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate 15. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate 16. Bill 27, in the manner and form just stated by Senator Nega. 17. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. 18. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 19. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 20. question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. 21. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 27, 22. having received the required constitutional majority vote of 23. Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Demuzio on 24. 172. On the Order of Motions in Writing, there's a motion filed 25. with respect to Senate Bill 172. Mr. Secretary. 26. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 27.

28. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
29. Governor as to Senate Bill 172 in the manner and form as follows.
30. Signed, Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: 33.

Page 67 - October 15, 1981

20257 Lonner

30.

1. Well, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the...the landfill bill. The Governor 2. made some...clarifying language changes to indicate that the 3. bill did not apply to on-site waste storage treatment or disposal 4. facilities. And also took out the provision which was in House 5. Bill 847, which would have provided the...by local ordinances, 6. that the EPA would not ... adopt pollution control ordinances 7. that were more stringent than the EPA, or rather the municipality. 8. And then also provided some additional criteria changes for the 9. site location, some of which there seems to be a minor dispute 10. with, and then also providing that there will be, in clarifying 11. language, no additional evidence to be heard by the Pollution 12. Control Board once the case is appealed. I don't know of any 13. known opposition and it's not totally the way we would like to 14. have ... I would like to have it, but at this particular time, 15. I respectfully ask for a favorable vote. 16. PRESIDENT: 17.

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate 18. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 19. Senate Bill 172 in the manner and form just stated by Senator 20. Demuzio. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will 21. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 22. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 23. record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, 24. none Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations of the 25. Governor as to Senate Bill 172, having received the required 26. constitutional majority vote of Senators elected are declared 27. accepted. 257, Senator Davidson. Motion in Writing filed 28. with respect to Senate Bill 257. Mr. Secretary. 29. (MR. FERNANDES) ACTING SECRETARY:

I move to accept the...Specific Recommendations of the
Governor as to Senate Bill 257 in the manner and form as follows.
Signed, Senator Davidson.

Page 68 - October 15, 1981

l. PRESIDENT:

Senator Davidson.

3. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move we concur in the Amendatory Veto. What it did was remove the 5. word, "primarily" that was put in the bill out of Conference 6. Committee, which was put in in error because there had been 7. a Supreme Court test dealing with...a section In 1977 it 8. resolved this and if the word...remained in, it would have 9. made the bill administratively impossible to deal with. Our... 10. ask for a favorable vote. 11.

12. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate 13. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 14. Senate Bill 257 in the manner and form just stated by Senator 15. Davidson. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will 16. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 17. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 18. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting 19. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 20. Senate Bill 257, having received the required constitutional 21. majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. WBBM-TV 22. News has requested permission to film silent film in the gallery. 23. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Bruce, on 270. 24. Motion in Writing filed with respect to Senate Bill 270, Mr. 25. Secretary. 26.

27. SECRETARY:

28. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
29. Governor as to Senate Bill 270 in the manner and form as follows.
30. Signed, Senator Bruce.

PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Page 69 - October 15, 1981

1. Thank you. This deals with the Permanent Improvement 2. and Contractual Line Items. There's a Comptroller's bill 3. on whether or not university systems...that's how the bill 4. eventually got started, could made...small improvements 5. in... in buildings when they had a Permanent Improvement Line Item and wanted to make the improvement out of the 6. 7. Contractual Line Item. Worked out our differences with the university systems, with the Comptroller's Office, with 8. the Governor's Office, by saying that even if they have 9. a Permanent Improvements Line Item, they may, in fact, 10. make minor improvements out of Contractual Services Line 11. Item, and so if they have to put a doorknob at the University 12. of Illinois, they may do that out of their contractual 13. Line Item, rather than going back all the way to their ... 14. Permanent Improvement Line Item and ... and going through the 15. Comptroller's Office on contracts. So, I would move to 16. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as they 17. relate to Senate Bill 270. 18.

19. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate 20. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 21. Senate Bill 270 in the manner and form just stated by Senator 22. Bruce. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote 23. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 24. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 25. On that question, the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting 26. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 27. Senate Bill 270, having received the required constitutional 28. majority vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator 29. Weaver, on 300. Motion filed in Writing with respect to Senate 30. Bill 300. Mr. Secretary. 31.

32. SECRETARY:

33. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the34. Governor to Senate Bill 300 in the manner and form as follows.

Page 70 - October 15, 1981

1. Signed, Senator Weaver.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Weaver.

4. SENATOR WEAVER:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. This...there are two changes
6. in the Bankruptcy Act. The Governor feels that we ought to
7. correct a couple of inequities, in that we should delete the require8. ment that any motor vehicle not be subject to a lien. And also,
9. changes to include any number of benefits from any number of
10. pension plans. So, I would move that we accept these recommendations.
11. PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? If not, the question is, shall the Senate 12. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate 13. Bill 300 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Weaver. 14. Those in favor will vote Aye, those opposed will vote Nay. The 15. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 16. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 17. the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The 18. Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 19. 300, having received the required constitutional majority vote 20. of Senators elected, are declared accepted. Senator Bowers on 21. 376. There's a Motion in Writing filed with respect to Senate 22. Bill 376. Mr. Secretary. 23.

24. SECRETARY:

25. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
26. Governor as to Senate Bill 376 in the manner and form as follows.
27. Signed, Senator Bowers.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Bowers.

30. SENATOR BOWERS:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. The original purpose of Senate
32. Bill 376 was to make more realistic the interest rate on local
33. improvement bonds and that's the way the bill was...or that's
34. the form the bill was passed out of this¹...House originally or this

1. Senate originally. The...there was a House Bill that did the 2. same thing, House Bill 1503, which frankly, the bond firm of 3. Chapman and Cutler thought was better language and I have no quarrel with that. And we passed House Bill 1503 and that has 4. 5. been signed by the Governor. So that the original intent of this Senate Bill is unnecessary and that portion of the 6. Senate Bill has been vetoed. Now, when it was in the House, 7. there were two amendments that were...attached to it. One of 8. them provided for the State to pay their fair share of special 9. assessments that were put in in front of State property, and 10. while I totally agree with that concept and supported it when 11. it came back here, the Governor does object and he's vetoed that 12. section. The last remaining protion has to do with industrial 13. bonds...for counties and that comes out of Lake County, Senator 14. Geo-Karis and the Lake County Representatives were interested 15. in that. That went on, that has met with favorable approval 16. of the Governor. Now, my problem is this, if I would move to 17. override and if, in fact, the General Assembly did override, 18. it would put the provisions as... as they relate to special 19. assessment bonds in conflict with the Statute that's already 20. been signed and I don't want to do that. So, I have filed the 21. motion to concur. I... I would yield to Senator Geo-Karis 22. if she cares to address the only issue that's really before 23. this Body. 24.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26.

Senator Geo-Karis.

27. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

28. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
29. Senator Bowers...gave the correct version of the whole thing.
30. What happened is that we passed a bill out of here, providing
31. for those industrial bonds for the counties, and unfortunately,
32. the Chairman of the Executive Committee had fifty bills and
33. wouldn't call any more, in the House. And that's what happened
34. and Senator Bowers was good enough to...our county chairman

Page 72 - October 15, 1981

and our board to add an amendment to his bill. And I move for
 the concurrence of the bill.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, 4. shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the 5. Governor as to Senate Bill 376. Those in favor vote Aye. 6. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted 7. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 8. question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. 9. The Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor 10. as to Senate Bill 376 and the bill having received the required 11. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senator Vadalabene, 12. on 446. Hold. Senate Bill 508, Senator Bloom. Read the motion, 13. Mr. Secretary, please. 14.

15. SECRETARY:

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
Governor as to Senate Bill 508 in the manner and form as follows.
Signed, Senator Bloom.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BLOOM)

Senator Bloom is recognized.

21. SENATOR BLOOM:

20.

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. As you 22. may recall, this particular piece of legislation amended the 23. Illinois Administrative Procedure Act to ... address the issue 24. of incorporation by reference. The Governor has made recommendation 25. that appears to be reasonable, so I would move that we accept 26. it and...his changes and that we concur. I'll answer any questions 27. you may have, otherwise, I'd seek a favorable roll call. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29.

30. Is there discussion? The motion...is to accept. Senator
31. Bloom, did...further discussion? The question is, shall the Senate
32. adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate
33. Bill 508. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.

Page 73 -October 15, 1981

The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
 who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 57,
 the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does...concur
 in the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill
 508 and the bill having received the required constitutional
 majority is declared passed. 556, Senator Schaffer. Read the
 motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

8. SECRETARY:

9. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
10. as to Senate Bill 556 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
11. Senator Schaffer.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13.

Senator Schaffer.

14. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President and members of the Senate. 556 was the bill 15. that provided the thirty-five hundred dollar stipend for 16. county clerks. The Governor has amendatorily vetoed it to 17. delay the enactment of the Act a year, to provide that it will 18. only work when the money is appropriated by the General Assembly 19. and to delete any counties that have...where the county clerk 20. doesn't run the elections and that the county has ... a board of 21. elections. The County Clerks Association supports the bill. 22. I think it's a reasonable compromise in light of the fiscal 23. situation of the State and appreciate a favorable roll call. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25.

Further discussion? The question is ... shall the Senate 26. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 27. Senate Bill 556 in the manner and form just stated by Senator 28. Schaffer. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. 29. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 30. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31. 57, the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. The Senate does 32. adopt the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 33. Senate Bill...556, and the bill having received the required 34.

Page 74 - October 15, 1981

constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 606,
 Senator Sangmeister. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.
 ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
 Governor as to Senate Bill 606 in the manner and form as follows.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Sangmeister.

8. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate 9. Bill 606 dealt with when county treasurers would turn over funds 10. to taxing bodies and what interest would go with them. The 11. Governor completely agrees with the bill, but has used taking 12. advantage of the bill to correct what apparently, in Section 9A 13. of Article VII of the Illinois Constitution of 1970, prohibited 14. the collection of fees and commissions by township and county 15 collectors. That language has never been taken out of the 16. Statute and the Governor feels this is the bill we ought to 17. do it with and I concur and would ask for a favorable ... adoption 18. of the motion. 19.

20.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Discussion? The question is, does the Senate accept the 21. Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 606 22. in the manner and form just explained by Senator Sangmeister. 23. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 24. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 25. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays 26. are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the 27. Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 606 28. and the bill having received the required constitutional 29. majority of Senators elected, is declared passed. Senate Bill 30. 612, Senator Sangmeister. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 31. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 32.

33.

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor

Page 75 - October 15,1981

as to Senate Bill 612 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
 Senator Sangmeister.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Sangmeister is recognized.

5. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 6. Senate. Senate Bill 612 dealt with the proposition of reporting 7. a tax on teachers to the superintendent of the schools, who 8. would then, in turn, report them to the State Board of Education. 9. The Governor feels the right agency to report to is the Department 10. of Law Enforcement, who then will report to the State Board 11. of Education. We find no objection with that procedure and would 12. ask for a concurrence. 13.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? The question is, does the Senate accept 15. the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 16. 612 in the manner and form just explained by Senator Sangmeister. 17. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 18. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 19. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 20. the Ayes are 57, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The 21. Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor 22. as to Senate Bill 612 and the bill having received the required 23. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 618, 24. Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 25. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 26.

27. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
28. Governor as to Senate Bill 618 in the manner and form as
29. follows. Signed, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Joyce.

32. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The 33.

Page 76 -October 15, 1981

Governor's Recommendation for...Specific Change would put
 the...Murder Statute in compliance with the...offense, which
 we created, the aggravated indecent liberties and I ask for
 your favorable support.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? The question is, shall the Senate 6. adopt the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to 7. Senate Bill 618 in the manner and form just explained by Senator 8. Jeremiah Joyce. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote 9. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 10. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 11. record. On that question, the Ayes are 58, the Nays are none, 12. none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Specific 13. Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 618 and 14. the bill, having received the required constitutional majority 15. is declared passed. Senate Bill 633, Senator Berman. Read 16. the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. For what purpose does 17. Senator Berman arise? 18.

19. SENATOR BERMAN:

P. 10. Ja

I filed a motion to override the Amendatory Veto and
discussed it with both sides. That motion I would like to
have considered first. I don't know when you're going to
get to that. This one was...this one was filed earlier and
the override has...was filed today.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. There's several Senators have inquired about
motions they filed which are not on the Calendar. The Secretary
is in the process of preparing a Supplemental Calendar, and as
to Senator Berman's specific question, it will be on the
Supplemental Calendar...

SENATOR BERMAN:

32. All right, then pass this at this time.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

He Beedmond'

Page 77 - October 15, 1981

1. ...and we will...do you wish to withdraw it or just? 2. SENATOR BERMAN: I'll withdraw... 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. All right. 5. SENATOR BERMAN: 6. ... the motion at this time. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. Senator Berman wishes to withdraw his motion ... 9. SENATOR BERMAN: 10. No, just ... skip over it. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. All right, we will just hold. But there will be a 13. Supplemental Calendar and we will consider that today. For 14. what purpose does Senator Geo-Karis arise? 15. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 16. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. 17. I rise on a point of personal privilege. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 19. State your point. 20. SENATOR GEO-KARIS: 21. In the gallery, on my left, up there, are students and 22. members of the faculty ... and friends of the students and 23. parents from Freemont School in Mundelein, Illinois, which is 24. Lake County, which is serviced by Senator Berning and myself. 25. I would like to have them rise so we could welcome them. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. Would our guests please rise and be recognized by the 28. Senate. Senate Bill 666, Senator Gitz. Read the motion, Mr. 29. Secretary, please. 30. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 31. I move to accept the Specific Recommendation of the Governor 32. as to Senate Bill 666 in the manner and form as follows. Signed, 33.

Page 78- October 15, 1981

1. Senator Gitz.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Gitz.

4. SENATOR GITZ:

5. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. There were several provisions to Senate Bill 666. The one 6. that the Governor was concerned about was a new formula for 7. State reimbursement of driver's education cost. His specific 8. concern was the fact that this was already contained in 9. Senate Bill 783, which passed after this bill, and consequently, 10. there is no need for ... any further action and I do make a 11. motion to accept his recommendations for change. 12.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? The question is, shall the Senate 14. adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate 15. Bill 666 in the manner and form just explained by Senator Gitz. 16. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 17. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 18. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays 19. are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the 20. Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 21. 666 and the bill having received the required constitutional 22. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 818, Senator Schaffer. 23. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 24.

25. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
Governor as to Senate Bill 818 in the manner and form as
follows. Signed, Senator Schaffer.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Schaffer is recognized.

SENATOR SCHAFFER: 31.

Mr. President and members of the Senate. As...Senate Bill
818 reached the Governor's desk it expanded the PKU Testing
33.
34. Program to include two specific hypothroid type tests. The

1. Department of Public Health had problems with the specific 2. reference of those two type tests despite an earlier agreement. And the Governor's Amendatory Veto deletes reference to those 3. specific tests. The Department of Public Health, having some 4. semblance of honor, has agreed to use the Federal money that 5. we procured for this purpose to run a six month test program 6. to see if those two tests are justified, and if the tests come 7. back in a very positive manner, we will probably be attempting 8. at some point in the future to put some reference to these 9. tests back in. But from the ... this point on, the bill still 10. is a good step forward along with the department's commitment 11. to...conduct the...the dual testing system for at least six 12. months. And I move to accept the Governor's recommendations. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 14.

Discussion? The question is, does the Senate...shall 15. the Senate accept the Specific Recommendtions of the Governor 16. as to Senate Bill 818 in the manner and form just stated by 17. Senator Schaffer. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed 18. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 19. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 20. Aves are 54, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The 21. Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendations of the Governor 22. as to Senate Bill 818, and the bill having received the required 23. constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 884, 24. Senator Geo-Karis. 25.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 26.

27. Senate Bill 8...84. I move to accept the Specific Recommendation
28. of the Governor as to Senate Bill 884 in the manner and form as
29. follows. Signed, Senator Geo-Karis.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 30.

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'd 33.

Page 80 - October 15, 1981

50 10 runnordutions like to concur in the Amendatory Veto of the Governor because 2. what he has done by his Amendatory Veto is that he has corrected 3. it to conform with the law...that's in present existence. And 4. I move a favorable vote.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall б. 7. the Senate adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 884 in the manner and form just explained 8. by Senator Geo-Karis. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed 9. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 10. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 11. Ayes are 55, the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. The Senate 12. does adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as 13. to Senate Bill 884 and the bill having received the constitutional 14. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 891, Senator Davidson. 15. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 16.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 17.

Senate Bill 891. I move to accept the Specific Recommendation 18. of the Governor as to Senate Bill 891 in the manner and form 19. as follows. Signed, Senator Davidson. 20.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21.

Senator Davidson. 22.

SENATOR DAVIDSON: 23.

Mr. President and members of the Senate. I move we concur 24. in the Amendatory Veto. What it does is change the word "elected" 25. to the word "eligible" so that there... be no misinterpretation 26. in who was or was not elegible. I move for a favorable vote. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28.

Discussion? Discussion? The question is, shall the Senate 29. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate 30. Bill 891 in the manner and form just stated. Those in favor 31. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have 32. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 33.

Page 81 -October 15, 1981

1. On that question, the Ayes are 53, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendation 2. of the Governor as to Senate Bill 891 and the bill having received 3. 4. the required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1007, Senator Mahar. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 5. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 6. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the 7. Governor as to Senate Bill 1007 in the manner and form as follows. 8. Signed, Senator Mahar. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. Senator Mahar. 11. SENATOR MAHAR: 12. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I 13. would move to concur with the Governor's Recommendation for Change. 14. There was two brief changes, one a technical change to ... on 15. page 35 and the other moving the effective date from October 1 16. to January 1, 1982. I would ask for concurrence. 17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 18. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the Senate 19. adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate 20. Bill 1007 in the manner and form just explained by Senator 21. Mahar. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. 22. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 23. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 24. 53, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does 25. adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate 26. Bill 1007 and the bill having received the required constitutional 27. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 1038, Senator DeAngelis. 28. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 29.

30. ACTING SECRETARY: (SENATOR BRUCE)

I move to accept the Specific Recommendation of the Governor
as to Senate Bill 1038 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
Senator DeAngelis.

Page 82 - October 15, 1981

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator DeAngelis.

3. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President. The Amendatory Veto simply
 puts this bill coincidental with another bill that passed.
 The bill was a recommendation from the Legislative Audit
 Commission and I would suggest that we accept the Amendatory
 Veto.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? The question is, shall the Senate 10. adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to 11. Senate Bill 1038. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed 12. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 13. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 14. Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The 15. Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendations of the Governor 16. as to Senate Bill 1038 and the bill having received the 17. required constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate 18. Bill 1168, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Senator Jeremiah Joyce 19. on the Floor? All right, we'll get back to him in just a 20. moment then. Senator Joyce, on 1168. Read the motion, Mr. 21. Secretary, please. 22.

23. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
Governor as to Senate Bill 1168 in the manner and form as
follows. Signed, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator...Jeremiah Joyce.

29. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

28.

30. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
31. This bill was a bill that I let other people put amendments
32. on as it went through the process. What the Governor's Recommendation for Change does, is delete my bill and leave all the amendments...

Page 83 - October 15, 1981

anyway, fool me once, et cetera, I ask for your favorable vote.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. The question is shall the Senate adopt the Specific 4. Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1168 in the manner and form just explained by Senator Joyce. Those 5. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is 6. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 7. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 47, the Nays 8. are 10, 1 Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Specific 9. Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1168 and 10. the bill having received the required constitutional majority 11. is declared passed. Senate Bill 1175, Senator Sangmeister. 12. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 13.

14. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

15. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
16. Governor as to Senate Bill 1175 in the manner and form as
17. follows. Signed, Senator Sangmeister.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Sangmeister.

20. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

19.

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Ι 21. thought when I drafted this legislation that I made it perfectly 22. clear that to withdraw from the Water Commission, that there 23. would be no outstanding obligations or any kind of commitments 24. by that Water Commission, and the Governor has added some further 25. wording to make it absolutely sure that is the intent of the 26. legislation. I think it's extraneous, but it does not hurt 27. the legislation any, and therefore, I move to accept the Recommendation 28. for Change. 29.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. The question is, shall the Senate accept the Specific
32. Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1175 in the
33. manner and form just stated. Those in favor vote Aye. Those

ι. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 2. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 3. question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendations... of the 4. Governor as to Senate Bill 1175 and the bill having received 5. the required constitutional majority is declared passed. The 6. next item on the Calendar are Motions in Writing, and if you... 7. if I might have the attention of ... if you have other motions 8. that have not yet been filed and are not not on the Calendar, 9. please get them to the Secretary's Desk immediately. They 10. are in the process of preparing the Supplemental Calendar 11. and we will handle those today if they are on the Supplemental. 12. Next...on page 17 in your Calendar are Motions in Writing to 13. override Item Vetoes. Senate Bill 237, Senator DeAngelis, 14. are you ready? Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 15. ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 16.

17. I move that the item on page 6, lines 22 through 26 of
18. Senate Bill 237 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to
19. the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator DeAngelis.
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21.

Senator DeAngelis.

22. SENATOR DEANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. In 23. the 81st General Assembly, we passed a public law which was 24. later to be known as the Academic Scholarship Program. 25. The program was to reward the top two thousand students in 26. Illinois with a one thousand dollar scholarship for a four 27. year period. Senate Bill 237, was in fact, the appropriation 28. for that particular commitment made on the part of the General 29. Assembly. In vetoing that commitment, the Governor's Office 30. took the Statutory thrust of the General Assembly and completely 31. overlooked it. And I think that the veto, not only flies in 32. the face of our commitment, but in the pursuit of academic 33.

Page 85 - October 15, 1981

excellence. There was considerable debate regarding this ۱. bill when it was introduced. And even today, some of the 2. 3. opponents... PRÉSIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRÜCE) Δ. May ... may we have some order. Excuse me, Senator, may 5. we have some order, please. Senator Geo-Karis...DeAngelis. 6 SENATOR DeANGELIS: 7. ... perhaps I could yield the ... yield to Senator Netsch. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) ۹. Senator DeAngelis. 10. SENATOR DeANGELIS: 11. At that time many of the opponents, have come to me since 12. that time and indicated that they are now in support of 13. the program because they have seen a lot of the fine work 14. that that program has done. We have made a commitment to 15. those students and I think, at this particular time, even in 16. spite of our...our problem with fiscal restraint, we should, 17. in fact, honor that commitment, for if we cannot honor it to 18. our young people, I don't know who we can honor it to. The ... 19. the override of the veto restores the Academic Scholarship 20. Program. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 22. Is there discussion? Senator Rhoads. 23. SENATOR RHOADS: 24. Question of the sponsor. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. Indicates he will yield. Senator Rhoads. 27. SENATOR RHOADS: 28. Senator DeAngelis, is it accurate to say that a restoration 29. of the four million dollars for this program...well?let me...let 30. me put the question as a question. Does this in any way detract 31. from the need based grants? 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 33.

Page 86 - October 15, 1981

1.	Senator DeAngelis.
2.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
3.	No, Senator Rhoads, the Academic Scholarship is a Line
4.	Item unto itself.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Rhoads.
7.	SENATOR RHOADS:
8.	Can there be transferability? Does the two percent trans-
9.	ferability between lineitems apply? For example, could the
10.	Scholarship Commission borrow from that fund to go to the need
11.	based grants?
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	Senator DeAngelis.
14.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
15.	Not to my knowledge.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.	Senator Rhoads.
18.	SENATOR RHOADS:
19.	And finally, theare there students now in school who
20.	haveto whom a representation was made that they would receive
21.	these academic scholarships whofor whom the State has not
22.	delivered?
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	Senator DeAngelis.
25.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
26.	At our last accurate count, there are eighteen hundred
27.	and seventy-nine students who received the scholarship last
28.	year that have been notified they will not be receiving it
29.	this year.
30.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
31.	Further discussion? Further discussion? The question
32.	is, shall the item on pageSenator DeAngelis may close.
33.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:

Page 87 - October 15, 1981

۱. Yes. I would like to also comment on the Governor's 2. Veto Message. In it, he indicated that the Board of Higher 3. Ed recommended that he do this, and we have just had...a conversation 4. with the Board of Higher Ed and they did not, in fact, recommend this. There was a conversation between the Governor's staff 5. 6. and the staff of Higher Ed, and I guess, what they really did say is that if you're going to make any cuts in Higher Ed, make 7. them in the Scholarship Commission. But they did not, the Board of 8. Higher Education, I restate, did not recommend the abolishment 9. of the academic program. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. The question is, shall the item on page 6, lines 22 through 12. 26 of Senate Bill 237 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to 13. the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. 14. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all 15. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 16. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, 17. the Nays are 22, the motion to override the Item Veto of 18. the Governor is lost. Senate Bill 308, Senator Demuzio. All 19. right. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. And can we 20. clear the area in front of Senator Demuzio so we can ... 21 ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES) 22. I move that the item on page 14, lines 34 and 35 and page 23. 15, lines 1 through 5 of Senate Bill 308 Do Pass, the Item 24. Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. Senator Demuzio. 27. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 28. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. This is for seventy-seven 29. thousand, seven hundred, which represents the start-up money 30. for six counties that did not come on line. The...six counties 31. will be Mason, Whiteside, DeWitt, Christian, Cumberland and 32. Marion. They would have put this in the regular department's 33. budget, I believe, had the money been available, but they 34.

Page 88 - October 15, 1981

1. reduced it by seventy-seven, seven and I think this was the 2. bill that...that had the Chicagofest in it too, as I recall correctly. So I would appreciate a favorable vote. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question 5. is, shall the items on page... Senator Philip, did you wish to... 6. on this one? Senator Philip. 7. SENATOR PHILIP: 8. I just wanted to remind...the membership, this is only 9. seventy-seven thousand, but it's a step in the wrong direction 10. and we...it's red light time. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. The question is, shall the items on page 14...Senator 13. Demuzio, do you wish to close? 14. SENATOR DEMUZIO: 15. Well, I want to respond to Senator Philip's question. 16. I'd like to...to point out that this would...simply bring 17. these counties on line and put them back into the regular 18. schedule and we were so generous in allowing and supporting, 19. along with my support, five hundred thousand dollars for 20. Chicagofest. And I think that this is a reasonable request 21. that we do grant these counties this additional seventy-seven 22. thousand dollars. Thank you. 23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 24. The question is, shall the Senate...shall the item on 25. page 14, lines 20...34 and 35 and the item on page 15, lines 26. 1 through 5 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary 27. notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed 28. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 29. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 30. record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 26, 31. the motion to override the Item Veto of the Governor is lost. 32. Further motions on Senate Bill 308, Senator Demuzio? Read the 33.

308 308 Page 89 - October 15, 1981

1. motion, Mr. Secretary, please.
, SECRETARY:

I move that the item on page 15, line 6 through 12, Senate
 Bill 308 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary
 notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Demuzio.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO: 8.

7.

Well, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen 9. of the Senate. This is a...an override for a hundred and 10. seventy-four thousand dollars for the State to provide its 11. twenty-five percent share of the fifty, twenty-five, twenty-five 12. match to nine counties, again, with the soil maps which signed 13. agreements under the previous Federal county match of fifty-fifty. 14. These agreements were entered into prior to July the 1st of 15. 1980, in the State Match Law and the intent of the hundred 16. and seventy-four thousand dollars... is to afford these hine 17. counties the same funds that are now allowed by the law. The 18. nine counties are Ford, Knox, Macon, Macoupin, Monroe, Morgan, 19. Scott, Perry and Randolph will be the beneficiaries of this 20. a hundred and seventy-four thousand dollars and I would ask for 21. your favorable support.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Is there discussion? Senator Schaffer.

24. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

25. Perhaps Senator Demuzio could enlighten me. As I vaguely 26. recall it, didn't the vast majorities of the counties do this 27. on the fifty-fifty cost, and now we're asking for special treatment 28. for those that drag their heels and they re now going to get 29. off for half as much as what the counties that did it when 30. they were supposed to, did it? Seems to me we got kind of a 31. double standard here, the people that were conscientious 32. had to pay twice as much and the ones that lollygagged around 33. now are getting off the hook. I think that's a bad precedent,

Page 90 -October 15, 1981

if we want State-wide cooperation in programs, I think we...we
 can't set a precedent of rewarding the ones who don't cooperate
 and punishing the ones who are conscientious.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. (Machine cutoff)...Demuzio. All right. All right. Further
6. discussion? Senator Demuzio, do you wish to close?
7. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

8. Well, yes, let me just say that these counties had cooperated
9. all along and were in early. I don't agree with the so-called
10. special treatment. I think that the hundred and seventy-four
11. thousand dollars is a reasonable request and the soil maps
12. are very much...important and certainly needed and I would ask
13. for your favorable support.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. The question is, shall the item on page 15, 15. lines 6 through 12 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the 16. contrary notwithstanding. And those are on Senate Bill 308. 17. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. 18. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 19. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 20. question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 24, none Voting Present. 21. The motion...to restore the Item Veto of the Governor is lost. 22. Senate Bill 309, Senator Berman. Are you prepared. Read the motion, 23. Mr. Secretary, please. 24.

25. SECRETARY:

26. I move the item on page 5, line 30, Senate Bill 309 Do
27. Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
28. Signed, Senator Berman.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Now, Senator Berman, you have filed several motions. The
first one we had was...page 5, lines 25 through 29. Do you wish to
take that one first? All right.
SENATOR BERMAN:

33.

۱. Thank you, Mr. President. This line item was a veto, it 2. provided a seven and a half percent increase for foster care, money that would go for the provision of foster care homes for 3. children throughout the State of Illinois. All of us have read 4. 5. headlines about the problems in placement, inadequate supervision of children that...that come out of broken homes, problem homes, 6. and are placed in foster care homes. The amount of this line 7. item veto was two million, one hundred and seven thousand, three 8. hundred dollars. This motion is to reinstate that line item ۹. veto. We're not talking about giving a lot of money, we're 10. talking about providing a seven and a half percent increase 11. to the parent, to the families, to the households that under-12. take foster care homes, that take children from broken homes 13. and take them into their homes and provide foster care. DCFS 14. is responsible for nearly...ninety-five hundred children under 15. this program. We're talking about a nominal increase in the 16. funds to allow these people that have opened their hearts and 17. their homes for these children. Not even to meet the cost of 18. inflation in caring for them, it will probably cost closer to 19. half of the cost of inflation, by this seven and a half percent 20. increase for two million, one hundred and seven thousand dollars. 21. I ask for your support to reinstate this line item veto. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 23 Is there discussion? Senator Grotberg. We're on page 5, 24 lines 25 through 29 of Senate Bill 309. 25. SENATOR GROTBERG: 26. Thank you, Mr. President. First, a parliamentary inquiry, 27. Mr. President. There are some hieroglyphics are the Calendar 28. that looks like a half a parenthesis mark and a TFH. What do that 29. mean? 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 31. It means that the leprechauns got inside the printing 32. machine. 33.

Page 92 - October 15, 1981

I. SENATOR GROTBERG:

2. They're still in there, 'cause it's repeated all over 3. the place. No, really, is there...does it mean anything? Nothing, it means nothing. That was my point of order. As 4. regards the motion. I find myself in a very awkward moment 5. in time, when the money is gone and the needs exist and they 6. exist in day care, foster care, senior citizens, every time 7. we turn, we find that there's not enough money to go around 8. and yet the programs continue. I would just urge everyone 9. to make note...that we...the Fiscal and Economic Commission, 10. met this morning and the BOB is guessing that at the end of 11. FY '82, that the budget... the cash balance could possibly 12. be a hundred and seventy-five million dollars, when two hundred 13. million is the danger point. Our own Economic and Fiscal 14. Commission guesses it to be one hundred million dollars worse 15. on the revenue side, leaving next June 30th possibly only seventy-16. five million dollars, which is about a day and a half to two 17. days expenditures. I think each of these items merits more 18. money. I, for one, am going to hold the line. I would urge 19. everyone who feels similarly to me...always working for the 20. good causes, to restrain themselves at this time and vote No. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 22.

23. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Berman may
24.

25. SENATOR BERMAN:

The organization and the...press reports that you've read 26. regarding the attempts for these overrides, has emanated substantially 27. by the group called the Child Care Association. This particular 28. line item veto is not for administrative costs, it's not for bigger 29. bureaucracies, this is payments to people who have taken foster 30. children into their homes, it's to allow them to pay for the room, 31. the board, the clothing, the food, that these foster children 32. have. And if we can't provide a seven and a half percent increase, 33.

Page 93 - October 15, 1981

1. when the cost of inflation is closer to thirteen and fourteen 2. and fifteen percent, then I think we have our priorities all 3. mixed up. This is a commitment to those foster children. I ask for your Aye vote. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. The question is, shall the items on page 5, lines 25 through 6. 29 of Senate Bill 309 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to 7. the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 8. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. (Machine cutoff)...who 9. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who: wish? 10. 11. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 26, the motion is lost. Further motions, Mr. Secretary? 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. END OF REEL 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33.

Page 94 - October 15, 1981

L. SECRETARY:

That was on motion...page 5, line 25-29. I move that the
 item on page 5, line 30 of Senate Bill 309 Do Pass, the...
 the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Berman.

7. SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you. This appropriation, this Line Item that was 8. totally vetoed by the Governor, is one million eight hundred 9. and ninety-one thousand. It is for group homes and institutions, 10. and let me say, that there were three other Line Item Vetoes 11. that have been filed, that will not be called. This is the last 12. in the series that will be moved on Senate Bill 309. I have in 13. my hand copies of press clippings from newspapers throughout 14. downstate, Alton, Springfield; upstate, Cook County, Arlington 15. Daily Herald, Bloomington, Belleville, Rockford, Quincy, where 16. there are accounts of these group homes that take in children 17. and provide for their care and maintenance in a home...group 18. home setting, it's not individual homes, it's group homes. 19. DCFS has had to enter into contracts that expire December 31st, 20. instead of the usual full-year contracts. And, one of the 21. reasons for that is the inadequate appropriations to ... to know 22. where these privately operated group homes are going to go after 23. December 31. And, I'm not worried about where the homes go, I'm 24. more worried about where the children are going to go. We're 25. talking about a million eight hundred thousand, a reinstatement 26. of that kind of a figure for facilities that provide ... group 27. home support and living conditions for children from broken homes. 28. I ask for your Aye vote on this needed reinstatement of this 29. Line Item. 30.

PRESIDIN

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is, 32. shall the Senate...shall the item on page 5, line 30 of Senate 33.

Reel 4



Page 95 - October 15, 1981

ÿ `	
1.	Bill 309 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary
2.	notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote
3.	Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
4.	voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
5.	On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 25. The
6.	motion to override the Item Veto of the Governor is lost.
7.	Further motions, Mr. Secretary?
8.	SECRETARY:
9.	I move that the item on page 5, line 31 of Senate Bill 309
	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	Oh, Senator Berman.
11.	SENATOR BERMAN:
12.	The other motions that I filed on 309 I withdraw.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.	All right Are there other Senators who have filed
15.	motions? All right. Senate Bill 313, Senator Carroll. Read
16.	the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.
17.	SECRETARY:
18.	I move that the item on page 4, line 30 through 33 of
19.	Senate Bill 311 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the
20.	contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Netsch.
21.	Okay. I move that the item on page 1, lines 24 through 26
22.	of Senate Bill 313 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the
23.	contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Carroll.
24.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
25.	Senator Carroll is recognized.
26.	SENATOR CARROLL:
27.	Why, thank you, Mr. President, Senator Netsch and all other
28.	members. This particular reduction by the Governor deals with the
29.	monies spent by the various counties of this State to house State
30.	prisoners. Under existing statutory law, the counties pay, now
31.	and will continue to, the first twenty-five hundred dollars of
32.	these costs. This appropriation was to pay for the excess, which
33.	

is what the Statutes call for. The Governor, once again, in 1. taking this money out is taking a State expense and passing it 2. on to the property taxpayers, because now the county would have 3. to pay all of the State's costs for these prisoners, not just 4. the twenty-five hundred; and in effect, what you're doing is 5. you're not saving the taxpayers any money, which was supposedly 6. the purpose of revisions one, two, three and so on. Instead of 7. that, all you are doing is putting on, again, to the property 8. taxpayer that with which the State was supposed to be spending, 9. the State derived income. Just an answer to what Senator Grotberg 10. and others may be saying, or have said in the past, you know, 11. Doctor Bob has always come up with the comfort level to fit that 12. particular day's moment. If you read through his various comfort 13. levels, one thirty-four...a hundred and thirty-four million was 14. the prior comfort level. Now, it has swelled to two hundred million. 15. One thirty-four he found to be acceptable, at prior times. Now, 16. many of us don't think that is the acceptable level. Two, if the 17. Governor did that which the President is doing and cut the 18. bureaucracy twelve percent, that would provide an Operations 19. reduction of two hundred and sixty-four million dollars that 20. could be spent on important programs this year. So, the money 21. can be there were he to follow Reaganomics as he claims he does. 22. And, finally, number three, the issue is who are you trying to 23. fool, when you take and claim you're saving money by merely 24. passing it on as mandated local programs? All you're doing is 25. keeping the same Income Tax and other taxes at the State level 26. but driving up the already overburdened property taxes at the 27. local level. I would urge that we do have the State pay it's 28. fair share of State expenses and the Line Item Veto of the Governor 29. to the contrary notwithstanding. 30.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Grotberg. SENATOR GROTBERG:

32. 33.

31.

Page 97 - October 15, 1981

1. Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. Again, I 2. find myself on the horns of a dilemma. I think Senator 3. Bowers, Senator Grotberg and Senator Carroll and some others passed the Mandatory Act a couple of years ago which creates 4. this. All I can say at this point in time is, that I have been 5. assured by the Department of Corrections, Director Lane and 6. the Governor's Office that the claims will be paid and they 7. will, then, go to the Court of Claims for ... for ... in due 8. process, as a way around this to delay the impact on this year's 9. budget, and that is the last word that I have had and with that, 10. I will sit down. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. Senator Carroll, do you wish to close? Senator Carroll. 13. SENATOR CARROLL: 14. Yes, just because I think Senator Grotberg's illuminating 15. remarks cause more confusion than illumination, that's just not 16. accurate. I don't know where the information came from, but 17. what you're really saying to all the counties is, wait another 18. year by going to the Court of Claims, then, we will find the 19. Court of Claims will deny them, because without an appropriation 20. that lapses, they can't fund it out of the Court of Claims; they 21. have to reject that claim. So, in effect, what will happen, and 22. the first year it was a six hundred thousand dollar reimbursement 23. to all of the counties of Illinois. This year it is projected to 24. be a million. What you're really saying is, the taxpayers at home 25. are going to have to pick up that million, because the Court of 26. Claims can't hear the case unless there's a lapsed appropriation 27. in these types of cases; and really, you're trying to delay it 28. again, and then have them get, no, for an answer. It is the 29. State's burden as Senator Grotberg pointed out and the State should 30. be paying for its burden, and I would urge that the Line Item 31. Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding that this 32. money be restored. 33.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. The question is, shall the item on page 1, lines 24 through 26 of Senate Bill 313 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the 3. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed 4. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 5. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 6. Ayes are 37, the Nays are 18, none Voting Present. The item on 7. page 1, lines 20...through 24 of Senate Bill 313...of House Bill ... 8. of Senate Bill 313 are declared passed...and the Item Veto of 9. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. For what purpose 10. does Senator Weaver arise? 11. SENATOR WEAVER: 12. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to verify the roll call. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 14. There's been a request for a verification. Will the members 15. please be in their seats. The Secretary will call those who voted 16. in the affirmative, and under the Senate rules, will you please 17. respond when your name is called. 18. SECRETARY: 19. The following voted in the affirmative: Berman, Berning, 20. Bloom, Bowers, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Coffey, Collins, 21. D'Arco, Davidson, Dawson, Degnan, Demuzio, Donnewald, Egan, 22. Gitz, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Lemke, Marovitz, 23. McLendon, McMillan, Nash, Nedza, Netsch, Newhouse, Rupp, 24. Sangmeister, Savickas, Taylor, Vadalabene, Walsh, Mr. President. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. Senator Weaver, do you question the presence of any member? 27. SENATOR WEAVER: 28. Senator Nega... or McLendon, excuse me. 29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 30. Is Senator McLendon on the Floor? Senator McLendon. Strike 31. his name, Mr. Secretary. 32. SENATOR WEAVER: 33. Chew.

Page 99 - October 15, 1981

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is Senator Chew on the Floor? Senator Chew. Strike his
 name.

4. SENATOR WEAVER:

5. Coffey.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE).

7. Is Senator Coffey on the Floor? Senator Coffey. Strike his
8. name. All right. The sponsor asks that further consideration of
9. the motion be postponed. It will be placed on the Order of
10. Postponed Consideration. Senate Bill 326, Senator Buzbee. You
11. have filed the first motion. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary,
12. please.

13. SECRETARY:

I move that the item on page 19, line 3 through 6 of Senate
Bill 326 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary
notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Buzbee.

17. SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an item for one hundred 18. and fourteen thousand dollars of GRF reimbursement to counties 19. for mental health costs. That's to those counties where there 20. are mental health institutions located, and the State of Illinois 21. has provided monies to the state's attorneys of those counties 22. because of the impact of those institutions on the state's attorney's 23. offices where...they, in fact, have additional operating costs in 24. their offices. This is something that we have been doing for 25. awhile, I can't remember exactly how long, but we have been doing 26. it for awhile, and I think that, rather than asking local govern-27. ments to pick up the burden that we have been providing in the past, 28. that we ought to go ahead and ... and continue providing these monies 29. to those counties, and I would ask for a favorable vote on the 30. override of the Governor's Line Item Veto. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32.

33.

Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is,

1. shall the item on line...on page 19, lines 3 through 6 pass, the 2. Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those 3. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. 4. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all 5. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 6. 29, the Nays are 27. The motion to override the Item Veto is 7. lost. Senator Carroll. Senator Carroll is recognized as to a motion on page 19, lines 28 through 31. Senator Carroll. 8. SENATOR CARROLL: 9.

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 10. Senate. This and the two that follow are similarly connected. 11. It deals with the State's paying its dues to NIPSY, SWIMPAC and 12. the East-West Gateway. What happens here is, and the Governor, 13. once again, in my opinion, was incorrect when he says that all 14. of these costs should be funded by local governments and other 15. local sources; and again, we see him trying to pass on to the 16. local taxpayers what should appropriately be a State expense. 17. In this case, and in the two that follow, most importantly, in 18. this case NIPSY has one-fifth of its members coming from the 19. Governor's appointments from the State. The other four-fifths 20. are spread out around the various local bodies, be they county 21. boards or municipalities, or other governmental entities, but 22. five of the commissioners are appointed by the Governor. Right 23. now, over three hundred units of local government are making their 24. contribution, which carries the majority of the cost of funding 25. this clearing house required by the Federal Government. So, in 26. effect, what the Governor is saying, he will keep his veto power 27. on the commission by keeping his five members, but does not want 28. it to pay its fair share or pay their dues. The ... NIPSY, itself, 29. has the A95 review powers and the water quality management plan 30. responsibilities, and if you, again, say that the State will 31. participate but not pay as local government has paid, then, once 32. again, the State is foisting onto the people an additional tax 33.

المخدومة المحتمد والمحاجة المتحد والمراجع

Page 101 - October 15, 1981

to fund what is a State responsibility and I would urge that the
 monies be restored, the Governor's veto to the contrary notwith standing.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Discussion? Senator Schaffer.

6. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I'm intrigued by Senator Carroll's funding logic that the 7. State should fund NIPSY because we have a proportion of the... 8. appointments to that board. I would truly love to follow that 9. logic up for funding certain other regional forms of government, 10. and I suspect my friend from the City of Chicago is not prepared 11. to see that happen. I would suggest to you that we've heard a 12. lot of rhetoric and we're going to hear a lot of rhetoric from 13. my friends on the other side about how the Governor should have 14. cut from the bureaucracy. Let me suggest to you that NIPSY is 15. as bloated a bureaucracy as exists; I can't speak for the other 16. two, I'm not as familiar with them. NIPSY has gone from a 17. relatively small operation to one of the...true giants in terms 18. of both cost and impact, and I might add negative impact on the 19. cost, in most cases in my area. I think it's only appropriate 20. that if we're going to ask the direct service agencies to bite 21. the bullet, that we ask these smoke shovelers, these delayers of 22. progress to take a little bit of a reduction, and I would suggest 23. that this Line Item is exactly the type of thing that most of us 24. who campaign for fiscally responsible government are talking about. 25. Let's eliminate the bureaucracy, at least a little. Let's, at 26. least, curtail their growth and that's why we should agree with 27. the Governor on this particular Line Item. 28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 29.

30. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

Well, I have to agree, to some extent, with the logic ofSenator Carroll's remarks, but the reverse logic also works,

Page 102 - October 15, 1981

Senator Carroll. Maybe, the solution is, rather than have the
 State fund more, to take away the Governor's five appointments
 to NIPSY on the way to abolishing it altogether. I urge a No
 vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Further discussion? Senator Carroll may close.7. SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think Senator Schaffer may be 8. surprised to find that I totally agree with his statements; it's 9. a shame that the Governor doesn't. In fact, the request for monies 10. is about twenty percent below last year's appropriated, signed 11. into law, and paid amounts. They received two hundred and seven-12. teen thousand, last year; they're asking for a hundred and seventy-13. three thousand, this year. The Governor's approach is to give 14. them zero. That is not a little cut. That is a total elimination 15. of State funding. In the matter of staff, they have already 16. dropped their staff twenty-eight percent, this year, and expect 17. to drop about another ten percent. I think if other State agencies 18. dropped thirty some-odd percent, we would find ourselves with 19. windfall monies, and could, in fact, rebate taxes. So, I do 20. believe that this agency has done that which we would have expected 21. of them. They are asking for lesser State monies, but still that 22. there be some State money, and they have already reduced their 23. staff almost thirty percent and are continuing to reduce it, even 24. funded at a token level by the State. I think the State should be 25. funding it and I would ask that the monies be restored. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27.

The question is, shall the item on page 19, lines 28 through lines 31 of Senate Bill 326 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 26, the Nays are 25. The motion 33. Page 103 - October 15, 1981

۱.	to override the Item Veto of the Governor is lost. For what
2.	purposefor what purpose does Senator DeAngelis arise?
3.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
4.	Point of personal privilege.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	State your point.
7.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
8.	Seated in the gallery, on the wrong side of the gallery
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENTOR BRUCE)
10.	Here, here, here.
11.	SENATOR DEANGELIS:
12.	is a class from St. Karen's School in Chicago Heights, Illinois.
13.	I would like to have them stand and be recognized.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Would the class please stand and by recognized by the Senate.
16.	Happy to have themseated on the better side of the Chamber.
17.	Senator Carroll, on linesfor what purpose does Senator Berning
18.	arise?
19.	SENATOR BERNING:
20.	Mr. President, on a point of personal privilege, as long
21.	as we have taken that break. We have in the gallery, today,
22.	observing this august Body, some friends of mine and constituents
23.	of Senator Sangmeister, Mr, and Mrs. Joel Dames from Joliet, who
24.	are sitting up here in the center of the rear of the hall, and
25.	their son Shale is an Honorary Page. I wish they would rise and
26.	be recognized by the Senate.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	Would ourwould our guests please rise and be recognized.
29.	Senator Carroll was recognized on his motion.
30.	SENATOR CARROLL:
31.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
32.	Senate. If you didn't like NIPSY, maybe you'll like SWIMPAC;
33.	the same issue, different dollars. I would ask that the money
	be restored.

- 「「「「「「」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」」

Page 104 - October 15, 1981

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

This is lines...the question is, shall the item on page 19,
 lines 32 through 33...for what purpose does Senator Vadalabene
 arise?

5. SENATOR VADALABENE:

6. Yes, I appreciate Senator Carroll's short statement. Tt doesn't sound like a swimming pool. SWIMPAC is... is the co-7. ordinating council in the metro-east area and this money is 8. vitally needed. I... I appreciate the vote that you gave NIPSY; 9. but SWIMPAC...Senator Schaffer you noticed didn't get up and 10. speak about SWIMPAC, which is a...an outstanding organization 11. in the metro-east area, and I would solicit your favored ... 12. support. 13.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is, shall the item on page 19, lines 32 through 15. 33 of Senate Bill 326 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the 16. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed 17. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 18. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 19. On that question, the Ayes are 23, the Nays are 29, 1 Voting 20. Present. The Motion to Override the Item Veto of the Governor 21. is lost. Further motions, Senator Carroll? 22.

23. SENATOR CARROLL:

Maybe a show of hands. Does anybody like the East-westGateway? I'll withdraw it.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. The motion is withdrawn. If I might have the attention of the Body. On page 19 of your Calendar, under
Restoration...to Restore Item Reductions, is...is an additional
Item Veto. Senate Bill 311, filed by Senator Netsch, was
improperly placed under Item Reductions, because of an error
in the way the bill...the motion was titled, and it is an
Item...Item Veto. We will take that up, if the Secretary
will please read that motion. It is the last motion on the

Page 105 - October 15, 1981

Calendar on Item Vetoes. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.
 SECRETARY:

I move that the item on page 4, lines 30 through 33 of
 Senate Bill 311 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the
 contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Netsch.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Netsch, and we are still on Item Vetoes.
 SENATOR NETSCH:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. President. The amount involved is 9. six hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars. The motion is 10. to override the Governor's Item Veto. This amount was added by 11. the Senate to the appropriation bill of the Dangerous Drugs 12. Commission. It was added by an almost unanimous vote, initially, 13. in committee, sustained on the Floor, and ultimately, agreed to 14. by the House. It is not, I think, characterized as just another 15. social welfare appropriation. It really is, in every real sense, 16. a trade-off. I realize that argument is occasionally made in 17. other contexts, but in this case, it is absolutely correct. What 18. we are talking about is residential spaces for treatment alter-19. natives for those who are ... would otherwise end up in the prison 20. system, but who are, indeed, drug addicts. The ... if you look at 21. ... what, I believe, some of you have received from the Illinois 22. Alcoholism and Drug Dependants Association, you will see why this 23. makes economic good sense. The amount of money we're talking about 24. would pay for approximately one hundred residential treatment beds. 25. If the same number of ... and that, incidentally, would serve about 26. two hundred and fifty abusers. If the same number of drug abusing 27. offenders were incarcerated the cost to the State would be between 28. three million and three and three-quarters million dollars. Or, 29. in other words, to incarcerate someone in the prison system, 30. assuming there were spaces available, which there are not, right 31. now, it costs from twelve to fifteen thousand dollars a year. To 32. take care of the same people in a treatment facility, the cost 33.

Page 106 - October 15, 1981

1. is about sixty-seven hundred dollars per year; about one-half or 2. less. There...we all know the high correlation between drug addiction and the commission of crime, and there are figures 3. 4. available on that, also. What we are saying is that it is absolute nonsense to put a number of these people who are drug 5. addicts into prison, where none of their problem is solved. They 6. will be released, eventually. In the meantime, the State will 7. have paid an enormous sum of money for their incarceration. When 8. they are released, if they have not been treated, they will go 9. right back on drugs again, and they will go right back to crime 10. again. We, at least, have a pretty good shot at them if they are 11. in a residential treatment program. As I indicated, it makes 12. absolute good, economic sense and I would strongly urge your 13. support of the override of this Item Veto. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Further...Discussion? Senator Davidson. Davidson.
17. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

15.

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in support 18. to override this Item Veto. It's not often I have risen in 19. opposition to one of the items that the Governor has vetoed, 20. monetarily. I believe he errored in this point. I don't know 21. how many of you are acquainted or dealt with the alternate drug 22. system treatment; if you haven't, I invite you, since you're here, 23. go over to Gateway House on north Fifth Street, here in Spring-24. field. It makes good sense, fiscal sense, to put a person under 25. the treatment of an alternate system at a lot less expense, less 26. than half of what it would cost, to put the individual in jail, 27. or in prison. But more importantly, the most important bottom 28. line part, the man or woman stays in that alternate drug treat-29. ment they're going to come out with the habit kicked. You're 30. going to remove them from being a parasite on society. You're 31. going to save the horrendous cost they're going to cause by the 32. amount of burglary, assault, theft that they would do to sustain 33.

Page 107 - October 15, 1981

their habit if they're not cured or remained off of it. I
 urge a Yes vote.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Senator Becker.

5. SENATOR BECKER:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise 6. in support of Senator Netsch's proposal to override this veto. 7. Throughout the State of Illinois, today, we have approximately 8. forty-four centers whereby we can send these youngsters between 9. the ages of nine and fifteen years, who are having drug problems. 10. Throughout the State of Illinois, we have fourteen Halfway Houses 11. to serve the people who are having problems with alcoholism. 12. Where we have these residences, after a period of one year, we 13. are showing a seventy percent recovery rate. There's little room 14. left in any of these homes to accept any additional youngsters or 15. grownups. If this money is taken away, where do we send the 16. children and where do we send the people having trouble with 17. alcohol? I ask that you do give consideration to overriding the 18. Governor's veto. There are many, many, many other ways to take 19. money away from other organizations in this State. You're talking 20. about what is becoming, today, not only in Illinois, but throughout 21. the entire United States, the biggest problem and the number one 22. problem, alcohol and drug abuse. So, I ask that everyone give 23. consideration to voting in favor of Senator Netsch's override. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25.

Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN: 27.

Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate,
I rise in support of this override motion; and I'm not going to
talk hypothetically, I want to just give you a very fast, specific,
personal experience. I represented a young man on a drug charge
out in the western suburbs of Cook County, 5th District. They
had this program. A twenty-two year old boy was placed under

Page 108 - October 15, 1981

۱. the court supervision into this program. This young man would 2. have either been in the penitentiary or would have been a 3. suicide yictim, but for this program. It worked. He became 4. a wage earner, a taxpayer, a productive citizen as a result of the program that these monies go to fund. I've experienced 5. it through that client, I've talked to other people that have 6. represented clients that have gone through this program, only 7. the highest recommendations. It's money well spent. I urge an 8. Ave vote. 9.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1035 parsent

Further discussion? Senator Netsch may close.
 SENATOR NETSCH:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. I think you've now heard from
14. a number of people on both sides of the aisle who have had
15. experience with people who have successfully come through this
16. program. It is good economic sense. I would urge an Aye vote.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER; (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is, shall the item on page 4, lines 30 through 18. 33 of Senate Bill 311 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the 19. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Ave. Those opposed 20. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all 21. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On 22. that question, the Ayes are 41, the Nays are 14, 2 Voting Present. 23. The item on page 4, lines 30 through 33 of Senate Bill 311 are 24. declared passed, having received the required three-fifths votes; 25. and the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 27.

28. On the Order of Motions in Writing Overrides Specific
 29. Recommended for Changes, Senate Bill 1085, Senator Bruce. Read
 30. the motion, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 1085 Do Pass, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed,
Senator Bruce.

Page 109 - October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR BRUCE:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I'm asking that we do not accept 3. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 4. 1085, which deals with the ability of community colleges throughout the State to make and to negotiate an installment loan. The 5. only change the Governor made was to put onto this bill a repealer 6. as of September of next year; and in discussions with the Governor's 7. Office people by the Community College Board and others, they now 8. find that that is not necessary. Before anyone could enter into 9. an installment loan, they will have to have the approval of the 10. Illinois Board of Higher Education and the Illinois Community 11. College Board; and the problem with the September date of next 12. year is that some of these contracts are going to be negotiated 13. over a period of time where we may not, in fact, have termination. 14. The one that we're involved with is with Triton College, in 15. which the plumbers and steamfitters wish to bring in a training 16. program to train and bring employment to this State; and they will, 17. in fact, the college, borrow the money, build the building for 18. the training program. The plumbers and steamfitters would enter 19. into a contract sufficient to pay back that installment loan, and 20. at the same time the college would have the use of the building. 21. We would be training more people to work in the State of Illinois 22. and the installment loan would be paid off. Obviously, the bank 23. is not going to approve that unless they think they've got a ... 24. situation of pay back; and before they could, in fact, enter into 25. that installment loan, they would have to get the approval of both 26. the Board of Higher Education and Illinois Community College Board. 27. All of this is a long way of saying, the Governor has no objection 28. to us not accepting his Specific Recommendations, and in fact, 29. passing this bill without his Amendatory Veto, and that would be 30. the motion I would ask your support of. 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 32. Is there further discussion? Senator Rock.

33.

Page 110 - October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR ROCK:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
 Senate. I rise in support of the motion to override the
 Specific Recommendations for Change as recommended by the
 Governor on Senate Bill 1085. This is, as Senator Bruce has
 rightfully said, extremely important toward...to Triton College.
 I have spoken with the president and the board of trustees and
 I would urge a favorable vote.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Bill Engle of...who is a reporter and photographer for
Associated Publishers in Durand, Illinois would like to take
some still photographs of Senator Gitz and other Senators. Is
leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Etheredge.
SENATOR ETHEREDGE:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I 15. rise in support of this motion to override. As has been pointed 16. out, the legislation, as passed, would provide a vehicle for the 17. community colleges of the State to...to provide...capital 18. facilities and instructional laboratory equipment for occupational 19. programs, and these facilities and equipment would be provided 20. without expense to the...to the students, through their payments 21. of tuition, or through the local property taxpayers, or to the 22. State of Illinois. I think that this...this program would be one 23. which would be of considerable assistance in providing needed 24. training in several different occupational areas. The Amendatory 25. Veto Message of the Governor, itself, is... is a little difficult 26. to understand, in that it implies that the present...the law as 27. passed does not require the approval of the ICCB and the IBHE 28. before proceeding with one of these agreements. In fact, the law 29. does require the approval of both of these State agencies before 30. the local community college ... enters into the agreement. I urge 31. and Aye ... Aye vote on this motion. 32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33.



Page 111 - October 15, 1981

1. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Bruce may close 2. debate. Senator Becker, your light wasn't on. 3. SENATOR BECKER: 4. It certainly is. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 5. 6. Now it is. SENATOR BECKER: 7. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Speaking 8. as Minority Spokesman for Higher Ed, I have just received word 9. from the Governor's Office that he withdraws his objections to 10. this, and I, therefore, ask that we receive a unanimous vote in 11. favor. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Bruce may close 14. debate. 15. SENATOR BRUCE: 16. Senator Becker gave my speech. I would ask for a unanimous 17. vote. 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 19. The question is,...shall Senate Bill 1085 pass, the Specific 20. Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 21. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 22. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 23. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 24. Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 25. 1085 having received the required three-fifths constitutional 26. majority is declared passed. ... Order of business of Motions in 27. Writing to Restore Item Reductions, Senate Bill 308, Senator 28. Jerome Joyce. Mr. Secretary, would you read the motion. 29. SECRETARY: 30. I move that the item on page 12, line 22 to Senate Bill 308

an and the first of page 12, fine 22 to senate Bill Souther State State

e . 15 1.

Page 112 - October 15, 1981

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Sentor Joyce.

3. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 4. Assembly. This is a reduction of two hundred and eight thousand 5. dollars in aid to county fairs. This would average twenty-two 6. hundred dollars per fair reduction, which is...be ten percent on 7. ninety-three county fairs. No agency in State Government has been 8. asked to reduce its operation that much. This is not from the 9. General Revenue Fund, this is the Ag Premium Fund and there is 10. plenty of money in that. I understand Senator Maitland has also 11. filed a motion on this particular item. 12.

13.

15.

30.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14.

Is there further discussion? Senator Maitland. SENATOR MAITLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 16. Senate. I rise in support of this...of this motion to override. 17. I think it...be understood here that this was money that has 18. already been expended by the county fairs, it's Ag Premium money. 19. This money was spent last summer; and if they're denied this two 20. hundred and eight thousand dollars, I can assure there are some 21. fairs that are going to be hurt substantially, financially. I 22. think that it's... absolutely imperative that, since this is not 23. General Revenue Fund, it's Ag Premium Fund that we do override 24. this...veto. 25.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Joyce may close debate. Senator Joyce.
28.

29. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
31.

The question is, shall the item on page 12, line 22 of 32. Senate Bill 308 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor 33. Page 113 - October 15, 1981

1. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. 2. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted 3. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 4. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 13, none Voting Present. The item on page 12, line 22 of 5. Senate Bill 308 having received the required majority vote of 6. Senators elected is declared restored, the Item Reduction of the 7. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill ... Mr. 8. Secretary, read the motion. 9.

10. SECRETARY:

I move that the item on page 12, line 25 of Senate Bill 308
 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary
 notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Jerome Joyce.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Joyce.

16. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Mr. President, thank you, and members of the Senate. This
is the reduction of a hundred and twenty-seven thousand five
hundred dollars to the 4-H Clubs and Agriculture Extension Clubs.
They're being paid at the rate of ten dollars and fifty cents per
member. This was raised, last year, from five fifty, and this
Governor's reduction reflects a fifteen percent cut.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall 24. the item on page 12, line 25 of Senate Bill 308 be restored, the 25. Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 26. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 27. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have 28. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes 29. are 30, the Nays are 28, none Voting Present. The item on page 30. 12, line 25 of Senate Bill 308 having received the required majority 31. vote of Senators elected is declared restored, the Item Reduction 32. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Are there further 33. motions?

1. SECRETARY:

I move that the item on page 12, line 28 of Senate Bill 308
 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary
 notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Jerome Joyce.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Joyce.

7. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, Mr. President. This is also Ag Premium Fund, it's a
reduction of sixty-seven thousand dollars for the rehabilitation
of county fairgrounds.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Maitland. SENATOR MAITLAND: 13.

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this motion. Again, this is Ag Premium money and it's money that's been expended by the fairs. It's improvements that they made last summer with the assurance that this reimbursement would be there for them. Without it, once again, they would be in serious financial trouble. I would urge a favorable vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 20.

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Joyce may close. 21. He indicates a roll call. The question is, shall the item on 22. page 12, line 28 of Senate Bill 308 be restored, the Item Reduction 23. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor 24. will vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. 25. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 26. record. On that question, the Ayes are 43, the Nays are 13, none 27. Voting Present. The item on page 12, line 28 of Sente Bill 308 28. having received the required majority vote of Senators elected is 29. declared restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the 30. contrary notwithstanding. Any further motions? 31. SECRETARY:

32. 33.

I move that the item on page 4...



Page 115 - October 15, 1981

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 2. There are no further motions on 308. On Senate Bill 313, Senator Carroll. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 3. 4. SECRETARY: I move that the item on page 4, line 19 of Senate Bill 313 5. be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary 6. notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Carroll. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator Carroll. 9. SENATOR CARROLL: 10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 11. Senate. In this particular Line Item, the Governor reduced from 12. approximately a million four to under four hundred thousand, the 13. amount of money being spent for community services in Contractual 14. accounts. Basically, what this is, is people like the SAFER 15. Foundation, who are providing educational opportunities, job 16. placements and things of that sort to the over four thousand 17. prisoners last year, who were provided with early release 18. programs. Today, we face a time when our prisons are at capacity, 19. when, as of yesterday, the head of that agency of that department 20. indicated that even with the new prisons coming on board, they 21. will be at full capacity by the time they can be opened; and at 22. a time when more and more prisoners are becoming eligible for 23. early release, it does not make sense to deny the funds to those 24. people who have been helping these people find jobs, get 25. educational opportunities and do the things that early release 26. and its component parts were supposed to provide people. The 27. Governor had said in his Round Two cuts, that this was the least 28. impact on the department, itself, on the prisoners in jail; and 29. that's true. But it's also the most harsh impact on those the 30. system says are eligible for early release, and what good does it 31. do to put them on the streets if we are not assisting them in 32. getting education, in getting jobs, in getting a place to live and 33.

Page 116 - October 15, 1981

all the other necessities. It makes no sense, at all, to deny 1. this kind of money to the SAFER Foundation and people like that, 2. 3. who have been providing an excellent service, especially, at a time when we don't even have the room to keep these same prisoners 4. in jail. What this seems to suggest is, that the Governor wants 5. to release these people, but not provide them with any means to 6. take care of themselves, and I think that's the wrong way to go. 7. I would ask that the money be restored, the Reduction Veto of 8. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 10. Is there further discussion? Senator Philip. 11. SENATOR PHILIP: 12. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 13. Senate. This is over a million dollars. It was not in the 14. Governor's Budget Number Two. It's excessive. I think we ought 15. to hold the line and vote No. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 17. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll may 18. close. 19. SENATOR CARROLL: 20. I don't believe that a seventy-five percent reduction is 21. legitimate, which is what the Governor did here. I think I've 22. stated the point as to why it's needed and I would ask for a 23. favorable roll call. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 25. The question is, shall the item on page 4, line 19 of Senate 26. Bill 313 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the 27. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those 28. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 29. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the 30. record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 29, none 31. Voting Present. The item on page 4, line 19 of Senate Bill 313 32. having failed to receive the required majority votes of the 33. Senators elected is declared lost. Are there further motions?

Page 117 - October 15, 1981

1. Let me give you a little schedule. We have here, at this point, 2. we have a request to go back to Total Vetoes for Senator Hall on Senate Bill 475, that's on page 6. We will then 3. proceed to Senate Bills 2nd reading and the Secretary's office 4. is distributing two Supplementary Calendars. That order of 5. business, after that order, should conclude our business. 6. Is there leave granted to go back to the Order of Total 7. Vetoes? Leave is granted. Mr. Secretary, would you read 8. the motion on Senate Bill 475. 9.

10. SECRETARY:

11. I move that Senate Bill 475 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Hall. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

14.

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of 16. the Senate. I fully realize that Governor Thompson had some 17. unusually tough choices to make, but Senate Bill 475 takes 18. a different approach toward making community tax producers 19. instead of tax consumers. Now it would create an Illinois 20. Community Development Finance Corporation, which would issue 21. one million shares at ten dollars a share, to be purchased 22. by the State. The ten million would be invested in blue 23. chip securities for an eight year period. The return, an 24. estimated 1.4 million a year. Now, this money would be 25. used to give technical assistance and ... loans to small 26. businesses, community development corporations and co-ops, 27. working to revitalize depressed areas, rural and urban. 28. The loans would not be in competition with banks, they are 29. designed to take up the slack where bank loans are not availabe. 30. The State would get back its ititial investment in eight years. 31. And in the meantime, would benefit from increased hiring 32. in areas of high unemployment and high welfare costs. A 33. similar program in Massachusetts is reported to have created

Page 118 - October 15, 1981

×
eight thousand jobs. So now what we're simply trying to say
here, is let's demonstratelet's find some work for people
and let's get them off of these rolls. It's an investment,
this is something that is not going to cost any money because
the interest off of it alone, is going to pay for it. I
ask your most favorable support of thislegislation, and
that we override the Governor's Veto notwithstanding.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Is there further discussion? Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
Senator Hall, I'm intrigued by this. You say this corporation is
set up, and then it borrows some money from the State, and then it invest
in the stock market, right? Isis that, you know, that's one
of my questions. How does it work? You know, Iis this correct?
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Senator Hall.
SENATOR HALL:
Well, what it simply dois doing, candidate, is that
whatwhat it does, it's a different approach. In other words,
what we're saying is that we're going to make tax producers
out of these people and so we set up and have a million
shares at ten dollars a share. The State would only just under-
write it, understand? The ten million would be invested in
blue chip securities. In other words, what happens is, that
it's going to be invested and the State is going to get its
money back. But in the meantime, that we can be using that
for making employment and job securities for people.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
Is there further discussion? Senator Bloom.
SENATOR BLOOM:
Okay, II don't want to belabor the subject, obviously,
but the State would underwrite the issuing of a million shares
of this corporation that everybody is going to buy for ten
dollars a share or the State will buy it, IItruly didn't

Page 119 - October 15, 1981

۱. follow it. Totten says he'll buy it, but you know how good his word is. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 3. Senator Hall may close. 4. SENATOR HALL: 5. Well, no, I... I'm going to answer the question, Mr. 6. President. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 8. All right, you may inquire. 9. SENATOR HALL: 10. Well, I would think that all the candidates for Lieutenant 11. Governor would be interested in that because they would 12. be able to get them at ten dollars a share. But this is 13. really, in...in real...being very sincere about this. And I... 14. I mentioned at the outset that this is a new approach. I'd 15. think every legislator on this Floor would probably be 16. interested in... in getting some shares. You follow what 17. I mean, Senator? 18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 19. Senator Ozinga. 20. SENATOR OZINGA: 21. Yeah, this conversation kind of intrigues me. 22. I'm just wondering, on the transfer of all of this stock, who's 23. going to get the brokerage commission? 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 25. You wish to respond, Senator? Is there further discussion? 26. Senator Hall may close as if he so desires. 27. SENATOR HALL: 28. Well, I...see that I have you intrigued, all that I 29. would like for you to do is give me a green light. This is 30. a different approach and this is going to make people that ... 31. they're going to be tax producers instead of tax consumers. 32. It's going to get people off of the relief rolls and it has 33.

Page 120 - October 15, 1981

worked in other states. If it can work out in the east, it
 can certainly work here in the middle west. You know we're
 in the greatest part of the country. To us, it's going to
 have a minimum of five thousand jobs. I ask your most favorable
 support of this legislation.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21.

22.
 23.
 24.
 25.
 26.
 27.
 28.
 29.
 30.
 31.
 32.
 33.

All right, the guestion is, shall Senate Bill 475 pass to 7. the veto... the veto of the Governor to the contrary, notwith-8. standing. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed Nay. The 9. voting is open. (Machine cutoff) ... voted who wish? Have 10. all those voted who wish? Have all those voted who wish? 11. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 29, the Nays 12. are 28, the motion fails. Go to the Order of Senate Bills 13. 2nd reading on page 2 of the Calendar. Senate Bill 1255. 14. Senator Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary. 15.

END OF REEL

Page 121- October 15, 1981

Reel #5

1. SECRETARY : 2. Senate Bill 1255. 3. (Secretary reads title of bill) 4. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 6. Are there amendments from the Floor? 7. SECRETARY: 8. No Floor amendments. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 9. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1256, Senator Kent. Read the 10. bill, Mr. Secretary. 11. SECRETARY: 12. Senate Bill 1256. 13. (Secretary reads title of bill) 14. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 16. Are there amendments from the Floor? 17. SECRETARY: 18. No Floor amendments. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 20. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 1257, Senator Mahar. Read the 21. bill, Mr. Secretary. 22. SECRETARY: 23. Senate Bill 1257. 24. (Secretary reads title of bill) 25. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 27. Are there amendments from the Floor? 28. SECRETARY: 29. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Mahar. 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD) 31. Senator Mahar. 32. SENATOR MAHAR: 33.

Page 122- October 15, 1981

1.	Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amend-
2.	ment No. 1 just changes one word. The Reference Bureau in looking
3.	over the bill, found that one word, the word, "obtaining" should
4.	be "obtained." I move for adoption of the amendment.
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
6.	Is there discussion? The question is, shall Amendment No. 1
7.	to Senate Bill 1257 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by
8.	saying Aye. Those opposed? The Ayes have it, Amendment No.
9.	l is adopted. Are there further amendments?
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	No further amendments.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
13.	3rd reading. We will go to the Order of Supplemental
14.	Calendar No. 1. On the Order of Motions in Writing to override
15.	Total Vetoes. Senate Bill 19, Senator Collins. Read the motion,
16.	Mr. Secretary.
17.	SECRETARY:
18.	I move that Senate Bill 19 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
19.	to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Collins.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
21.	Senator Collins.
22.	SENATOR COLLINS:
23.	Yes, thank youMr. President. Senate Bill 19, if I
24.	recall correctly, passed out of here onon the Agreed Bill
25.	List and it amazed me that the Governor, would, in fact, veto
26.	this bill. I think it is a very good bill, and it simply brings
27.	in conformity with the existing law the provisions that would
28.	cover alcoholism as well as drug addiction to be considered
29.	as a order of disposition for minors in need of supervision.
30.	It is simply saying that, if, in fact, aa minor is addicted
31.	to alcohol that that child, can, in fact, be manned by the
32.	courts, in several different ways under the supervision of
33.	his parent or, if, in fact, it needsthe child needs further

DB 3555

Page 123 October 15, 1981

or professional counseling or professional help that the judge 1. can, in fact, order that that child receive that help. It...this 2. 3. is a very good bill, the rationale given in the...in the Governor's veto does not make any sense to me at all. His only rationale 4. was that it would ... would add a burden to the Department of 5. Children and Family Services and...and it increases population. 6. But I thought that 's what the Department of Children and 7. Family Services was to...created for and that was to protect 8. the interest and welfare of minors in need of supervision. 9. So I move that we override the Governor's...veto. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 11.

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, 12. shall Senate Bill 19 pass, the veto of the Governor to the 13. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 14. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 15. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 16. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays 17. are 27, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 19, having failed 18. to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. 19. Senate Bill 355, Senator Lemke. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 20. SECRETARY: 21.

I move...that Senate Bill 355 Do Pass, the veto of the
Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
Lemke.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 25.

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

28. What this bill is...is it's the equal excess to justice
29. for...small businessmen in the State of Illinois. What this
30. bill does is conforms the Administrative Procedures Act and
31. the Court of Claims Acts with Section 41 of the Civil Practice
32. Act and allows small businessmen to collect their cost when
33. harassed by government agencies. I ask for its adoption.

Page 124 -October 15, 1981

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall 3. Senate Bill 355 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary 4. notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote 5. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all 6. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 2, none Voting 7. Present. Senate Bill 5...355, having received the required 8. three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor 9. to the contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill 629, Senator 10. Carroll. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 11. SECRETARY: 12. I move that Senate Bill 629 Do Pass, the veto of the 13. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator 14. Carroll. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 16. Senator Carroll. 17. SENATOR CARROLL: 18. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 19. Senate. The... the original purpose of this bill was that to 20. limit the Department of Revenue in its ability to transfer 21. funds around without...authority of the General Assembly, the 22. department has had the ability to transfer more than two million 23. dollars anywhere it wants without us having any oversight, 24. and it has done this by the Governor allowing them to transfer 25. from the amounts we allocate for refunds. Now, I believe that 26. most of us involved in the process here, feel that when you 27. give money to the department earmarked for refunds, people 28. who have paid in too much in taxes, that is not to be transferred 29. for hiring people and for buying equipment and for other things 30. that they transfer monies around to do. The idea of the two 31. percent transfer is to keep some flexibility in the various 32. agencies of State Government to be able to do some minor things. 33.

Page 125 - October 15, 1981

۱. But when it gets into these numbers, you're no longer 2. talking about flexibility, you're talking about absolutely 3. eliminating the will of the General Assembly in the process. 4. And it's even more important when you're talking about taking money away from what we've been told are to be refund payments 5. to people who overpay their taxes and are entitled to that 6. money back. And, what can, in fact, happen is, by transferring 7. money out of there, people may have to wait another fiscal 8. year to get their refund if that line ends up short because 9. they used it for transferability. It seems to me that we should 10. not be taking money that we say belongs to the people by way of 11. refund and allowing an agency to spend that on contractual 12. services, phones, employees or whatever. And I would ask that 13. the veto of the Governor to the contrary be not withstanding 14. and that we override that veto. 15.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Walsh.
 SENATOR WALSH:

Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in support 19. of this motion. I think that the position has been well stated 20. by Senator Carroll. ...and I would like to just emphasize 21. the... the point that... transferability may be a good idea within 22. line items for operating purposes, but to provide for a two 23. percent transferability from a line item for refunds...to an 24. operating line item, I...I think is ridiculous. I'm sure 25. all of us feel that when they...a line item appropriation 26. is made for refunds, we probably don't look into that amount 27. too carefully, because we assume the entire amount will go 28. back to the taxpayers and certainly any amount that goes 29. to the taxpayers, is...would be an amount to which they are 30. entitled. We certainly wouldn't expect that any such line 31. item could be used as a vehicle for transferability to another 32. line item. So, I think this is a... is a good bill. I think 33.

Page 126 - October 15,1981

1. it was vetoed in error and I would support Senator Carroll's 2. position. I urge an Aye vote. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll 4. 5. may close. SENATOR CARROLL: 6. I would ask you to join either Senator Walsh or myself 7. in a favorable roll call. 8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 9. The question is, shall Senate Bill 629 pass, the veto of 10. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor 11. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have 12. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 13. On that question, the Ayes are 55, the Nays are 3, none Voting 14. Present. Senate Bill 629 having received the required three-15. fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to 16. the contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill 650, Senator Taylor. 17. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 18. SECRETARY: 19. I move that Senate Bill 650 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor 20. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Taylor. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 22. Senator Taylor. 23. SENATOR TAYLOR: 24 Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The 25. Governor in his Veto Message felt that signing House...Senate 26. Bill 651 negated the necessity for the additional increase for 27. the cost of the transcripts. I think he failed to look at 28. what is actually happening today. Since transcripts has not 29. been risen since 1968 or '69, that the cost of the equipment, 30. and the cost of the papers, and the cost of the repairs and...and 31. maintenance of the equipment, it was necessary that these 32. court reporters do have the increase and this is no cost to 33.

Page 127 - October 15, 1981

the State of Illinois at all. And the bill did pass out of the
 Senate on the Conference Committee Report on a 43 to 12 vote.
 And I solicit your support for...the override of this motion.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? Senator Philip.
 SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 7. Senate. The first point I'd like to make, as you know, the 8. Governor signed Senate Bill 651, which give the court reporters 9. a seven thousand dollar increase per year. There is no definition 10. on the size of the page, the words per page, et cetera. So, there's 11. really no way of knowing exactly how much this bill is going to 12. cost. Seeing that we did give them a pay raise, it would 13. seem to me that...this bill is...should be defeated. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 15.

16. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Taylor may close. SENATOR TAYLOR:

Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Under the 18. original status today is twenty-five cents for one hundred words. 19. This particular bill, today, asks for per page, which will give 20. anywhere from two hundred to two hundred and fifty word, which 21. is definitely an increase. I think that the ... the seven thousand 22. dollars was over a two-year period was the raise that was given 23. by the Governor. Therefore, I feel that the court reporters do 24 deserve this additional fee. Therefore, I solicit your support 25. for the override of the veto. 26.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.

28. The question is, shall...Senate Bill 650 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 27, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 650 having 33.

Page 128 - October 15, 1981

1. failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is declared 2. lost. Senate Bill 1148, Senator Dawson. Read the motion, Mr. 3. Secretary.

SECRETARY: 4.

I move that Senate Bill 1148 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor 5. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Dawson. 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 7.

Senator Dawson. 8.

SENATOR DAWSON : 9.

22.

Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I ask 10. for an override of the Governor's veto on this piece of legislation. 11. Because of his rationale on this here, the Governor feels that 12. it's ultimately the physican who is responsible for the care 13. of a person who uses his service and being that physicans are 14. already licensed by and responsible to the State. Well, a physican 15. really doesn't have anything..basically, to do with a person when 16. they go to get one of these artificial limbs, and the chance of 17. somebody going back to them to have it inspected is just completely 18. unreasonable. And I ask for a favorable roll call. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 20

Is there further discussion? Senator Becker. 21. SENATOR BECKER:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. If you'll 23. note, the Illinois Medical Association does not oppose this bill. 24. The Illinois Hospital Association does not oppose it. The Illinois 25. Podiatric Society does not oppose it. If you have qualified people, 26. such as they are training at the present time, the doctors appreciate 27. this type of service. I can't, for the life of me, understand 28. why the Governor vetoed the bill. It passed out of this Senate 29. 40 to 11 to 2, it passed in the House 111 to 37 to 3. We have 30. educated people who, in turn, are educating doctors on how to use 31. these limbs for the thousands upon thousands of people...who 32. are invalids today because of the type of illnesses that they 33.

Page 129 - October 15, 1981

need this type of equipment. I sincerely hope that the Senate's
 decision is to override the Governor's vetoon this bill.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Bloom.

5. SENATOR BLOOM:

6. Question.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENADOR SAVICKAS)

He indiciates he'll yield.

9. SENATOR BLOOM:

10. The provisions of this bill set up a totally independent
11. board that can set up fees by rule. Is there any other regulated
12. occupation where fees are set other than by this Body, the
13. General Assembly, do you know Glen...Senator Dawson?
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Senator Dawson.

16. SENATOR DAWSON:

17. I could not truthfully answer that...question.
18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

19. Senator Bloom.

20. SENATOR BLOOM:

Okay. I have great admiration for the sponsor, so I'm 21. reluctant to rise in opposition to his motion, but I feel 22. we must. Because this particular piece of legislation 23. sets up a totally autonomous board, that would be the only 24. regulatory board that would not have to come to the General 25. Assembly for its fees, among other things. It also sets up 26. its own exams without any input from the Department of ... 27. basically, we're setting up another regulatory agency that 28. is, in effect and bottom line, independent of any kind of 29. legislative oversight, that's for openers. There are 30. numerous technical flaws in the bill, it'll lead to litigation. 31. And finally, the committee, the Select Committee on Regulatory 32. Reform heard extensive testimony on this. There's only one 33.

Page 130 - October 15, 1981

1. school in the entire country, it's in Michigan, that is dedicated to this particular area. And nowherewas it proven that licensure 2. would improve artifical limbs and teeth and things like that. 3. I think that if you closely read the Governor Veto's Message, 4. you'll realize that this bill, whether you agree with the ... whether you agree with the 5. theory or not, should be extensively rewritten and I'd urge 6. both sides to sustain the veto. Thank you. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Dawson may 9. close. 10. SENATOR DAWSON : 11. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. We 12. feel that we have to take a step forward, and this piece of legislation 13. is our first step forward and the next General Assembly will be 14. more than welcome to take any refinements, but I ask for a 15. favorable roll call on this piece of legislation. 16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 17. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1148 pass, the veto of 18. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor 19. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have 20. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 21. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 22. question, the Ayes are 35, the Nays are 20, none Voting Present. 23. Senate Bill 1148, having...Senate Bill 1148, having failed 24. to receive...Senator Dawson seeks postponed consideration on 25. Senate Bill 1148. On that motion, leave granted? Leave is 26. granted. On the Order of Senate Calendar, Supplemental No. 1, 27. Motions in Writing to override Item Vetoes. May we have your 28. attention. We are on Motions in Writing to override Item Vetoes. 29. Senate Bill 308, Senator Coffey. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 30. SECRETARY: 31.

I move that the item on Page 14, lines 25 through 28 of 32. Senate Bill 308 Do Pass, the Item...the Item Veto of the Governor 33. Page 131 - October 15, 1981

1. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Coffey.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. Senator Coffey.

4. SENATOR COFFEY:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise to 5. add back into the budget some two hundred thousand dollars to 6. be used for the restoration of three horse barns at the State 7. Fairquounds, that's barns 38, 39 and 41, which is presently 8. being utilized year round for persons having horses at the 9. State Fairgrounds for training purposes as well as being 10. used at the time of the State Fair. I think we're all aware 11. that, for us to let the mosts of our barns deteriorate is not 12. in the best interest of saving money. If we let the roofs 13. deteriorate on these buildings, then we're going to be putting 14. up new buildings in a few years which is going to cost us 15. not thousands, but millions to replace those barns. The structure 16. of those buildings are very sound. There is some...history behind 17. these buildings and I think they should be up-kept. And I would 18. ask this Body to ... go along with the addition of the two hundred 19. thousand dollars back in to restore those buildings. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 21.

Is there further discussion? Senator Johns.
 SENATOR JOHNS:

24. Thank you, Mr. President. I...join Senator Coffey. I made
25. an inspection of these buildings, personally, and I find that what
26. he says is true. It's very vital that we restore these funds,
27. restore these roofs to keep them in good shape.
27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Any further discussion? If not, Senator Coffey may
30.

SENATOR COFFEY: 31.

Yes, I just ask this Body, take this really under considerationbecause I think it's very important to keep those buildings restored

1. and I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The guestion is, shall the items on page 14, lines 25 through 3. 28 on Senate Bill 308 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the 4. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Ave. Those opposed 5. vote Nav. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 6. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 7. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays are 20, 3 Voting Present. 8. The items on page 14, lines 28 through 25 of Senate Bill 308 9. having failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is 10. declared lost. Is there further motions, Mr. Secretary? 11. SECRETARY: 12.

I move that the item on page 14, line 22 of Senate Bill
308 be restored, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Coffey.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise to 19. add back into the Department of Agriculture budget some eleven 20. thousand dollars. Last year, this Body ... give the consent to 21. appropriate approximately seventy-five thousand dollars in a 22. grant to the Illinois Department of Agriculture to do a study 23. on Lake Paradise, which is located in my district. This project 24. was a research project coordinated by the College of Agriculture 25. at the University of Illinois. This project is well on its 26. way and near completion. This past year, I received, asked and 27. requested, from the...people doing the study at the University 28. of...of Illinois, if they could complete that study on that 29. money and they asked for an additional eleven thousand dollars 30. to complete that study. This study is not just a study for ... for 31. my district or the Lake Paradise area, but I think it's one that's 32. very beneficial to any community that has a lake that has filled 33. in from sediment from the agricultural areas. This eleven 34.

۱.	thousand dollars was deleted and taken out by the Governor. I
2.	would ask this Body to put that eleven thousand back in. That
- 3.	will be used for a part-time person to travel from the University
4.	of Illinois to finish that study. They'll be studyingif that
5.	silt can be removed and it has been removed at this time, it has
6.	been put on agriculture land in the area. There is crops now
7.	growing there, they're doing a study to see whatthe productivity
8.	will be on that land once that silt is removed and put on the
9.	land. There's been a lot ofresearch done by the University
10.	of Illinois as well as our own junior college. The community
11.	itself has put in some fifty, sixty thousand dollars for the
12.	study, because it will, at some point when this lake is reclaimed,
13.	be of some help to the City of Mattoon. But I think it's a very
14.	worthwhile project, it's one that the study will be available
15.	to every community in the State of Illinois. Eleven thousand
15.	dollars is not too much, I don't understand why the Governor
17.	removed it, but I think it's very important to complete that
18.	study or the total program is going to be of waste and the seventy-five
19.	thousand we spent last year will be down the tubes. I'd just ask
20.	for a favorable roll call.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
22.	Any further discussion? Senator Demuzio.
23.	SENATOR DEMUZIO:
24.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President. I'd just like to ask the
25.	sponsor a question, if he will yield.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20.	He indicates he will yield.
	SENATOR DEMUZIO:
28. 29.	ASenator Coffey, I was just wondering, I don't have the
30.	roll calls in front of me, I was just wondering how you voted
31.	on the soil maps money earlier in the day?
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
33.	Any further discussion? If not, Senator Coffey may close.
• ل ر	
	•

Page 134- October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR COFFEY:

Yes, first of all to answer the question. One of the soil 2. maps, the one on the... the nine counties, I voted Yes, on the ٦. other one I voted No. So I guess I got a fifty-fifty voting 4. record there. This is only eleven thousand dollars. I think 5. it's very important to the State of Illinois as well as very 6. important to the community, which I represent. I think it's 7. very, very few dollars. I've supported a lot of legislation 8. that benefit many other people, but I think this benefits everyone, 9. and the University of Illinois as well as Mr. Roberts, that is 10. with the weather on Channel 3, has taken a very active interest. 11. There's been some State-wide publicity on this and I would ask 12. for a favorable roll call. 13.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall the item on page...14, line 22 of 15. Senate Bill 308 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the 16. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed 17. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have 18. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 19. On that question the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 10, 1 Voting 20. Present. The items on page 14, line 22 of Senate Bill 308, having 21. received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the 22. Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. On 23. the Order of Motions in Writing to restore Item Reductions. 24. Senate Bill 308, Senator Maitland. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary. 25. SECRETARY: 26.

27. I move that the item on page 12, line 22 of Senate Bill 308
28. be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Maitland.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Maitland.

SENATOR MAITLAND:

31.

33.
34. me to...withdraw this motion, I would like to. Senator Joyce

Page 135- October 15, 1981

1.	and I had bothfiled motions and he has already called his so
2.	I don't even have a motion that is active anymore.
3.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4.	Leave to withdraw the motion. Does that motion cover all
5.	the items, all three motions? On Senate Bill 311, Senator
6.	Netsch. Readread the motion, Mr. Secretary. Senator Netsch,
7.	do you wish toSenator Netsch.
8.	SENATOR NETSCH:
9.	Thank you. There are three motions filed. There is only
10.	one thatthat I will call. That is the one that relates to
11.	page 4, line 13.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13.	Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.
14.	SECRETARY:
15.	I move the item on page 4, line 13 of Senate Bill 311
16.	be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor tonotwithstanding.
17.	Signed, Senator Netsch.
18.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
19. ·	Senator Netsch withdraws the other two motionsdealing
20.	with items on page 4, lines 27 and page 4, line 25. On this
21.	motion, Senator Netsch.
22.	SENATOR NETSCH:
23.	Thank you, Mr. President. This also deals with drug
24.	abuse treatment and it relates, specifically, to the outpatient
25.	treatment. The money that was restored a bit ago had to do with
26.	the residential treatment and was, of course, particularly for
27.	the so-called Task Program. This is outpatient treatment.
28.	The reason why I am making the motion with respect to this
29.	part of the funding cutback is that, this much more, perhaps
30.	than the residential, is particularly important to the rural
31.	and semi-rural areas of the State and to those parts of the
32.	State where they may be heavily dependent forfrom time to
33.	time on emergency treatment and crisis intervention situations.

That is the particular area that has been cut by the Governor's 1. veto. Reduction Veto here and it is, as I indicated, particularly 2. important in the areas that do not have readily available residential 3. treatment facilities. This would, at sixteen hundred dollars per 4. slot, this would, in effect, permit a hundred and fifty extra 5. slots to be filled during the year. And I use the word slots because 6. that does not necessarily mean persons, it, in fact, would be more 7. than a hundred and fifty persons. It is... I think in its own 8. way, at least as important as the residential part of the 9. treatment, and again, I think most of us have experienced either 10. friends or people from our communities who have become victimized 11. by drug addiction and this is intended to allow us to do something 12. constructive with those who have been addicted to drugs before 13. they become totally addicted and completely out of circulation, 14. or before they end up in the criminal justice system, which is 15. where so many of them end up. It is important and in a sense, 16. it balances that which you had done earlier today with respect 17. to the residential programs. I would strongly urge your support 18. of the restoration of this amount, and I might say, incidentally, 19. because I did not earlier, that we are talking about a little 20. over two hundred thousand dollars. 21.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, 23. shall the items on page 4, line 13 be...of Senate Bill 308 24. be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor..311, I'm sorry, 25. the Item Reduction of the Governor to the...contrary notwithstanding. 26. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is 27. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted ... have all voted 28. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 29. question, the Yeas are 31, the Nays are 19 and 2 Voting Present. 30. The item on page 4, line 13 of Senate Bill 311, having received 31. the required majority vote of Senators elected is declared 32. restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary 33. notwithstanding. On Motions in Writing, restoring Item Reductions... 34.

Page 137 - October 15, 1981

1.	would you wait a minuteon Senate Bill 308, we hadoh, Senator
2.	Philip.
3.	SENATOR PHILIP:
4.	Thank you, very much, Mr. President. I'd like toverify
5.	the affirmative vote.
6.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
7.	There has been a call for a verification of the affirmative
8.	votes. Will all the members please be in their seat. Will the
9.	Secretary please read the affirmative votes.
10.	SECRETARY:
11.	The following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Berman,
12.	Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Coffey, Collins, D'Arco, Degnan,
13.	Demuzio, Donnewald, Egan, Geo-Karis, Gitz, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah
14.	Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Marovitz, McLendon, Nash, Nedza, Netsch,
15.	Newhouse, Rupp, Sangmeister, Savickas, Taylor, Vadalabene,
16.	Mr. President.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18.	Is there any question of the affirmative votes? Senator
19.	Philip.
20.	SENATOR PHILIP:
21.	Senator Chew?
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
23.	Is Senator Chew on the Floor? Senator Chew. Senator Chew.
24.	SENATOR PHILIP:
25.	Senator Marovitz.
26.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
27.	Senator Marovitz on the Floor? Senator Marovitz is in
28.	his seat.
29.	SENATOR PHILIP:
30.	Senator Nega.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
32.	Senator Nega. I don't think his name was called. Hishis
33	name is not recorded as voting.

Page 138 - October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR PHILIP: Senator Geo-Karis. 2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 3. Senator Geo-Karis. Is Senator Geo-Karis on the Floor? 4. Senator Geo-Karis. Strike her name from the record. 5. SENATOR PHILIP: 6. Senator Joyce. 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 8. Senator... 9. SENATOR PHILIP: 10. The other...the other Joyce. The...the short, ugly Joyce, 11. not the big, good-looking one. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 13. They're both ... they're both on the Floor, Senator. The 14. roll call has been verified and the Ayes are 29...Senator Netsch 15. moves to postpone consideration. On Senate Bill 308, on Motions 16. in Writing to restore Item Reductions, the Chair assumed...when 17. Senator Maitland withdrew his motion, that all three motions 18. belonged to him, though we have a motion by Senator Coffey. 19. So, on Senate Bill 308, Senator Coffey. And will the Secretary 20. read the motion. 21. SECRETARY: 22. I move that the item on page 13, line 12 of Senate Bill 23. 308 be restored, the Item Reduction Veto of the Governor to 24. the contrary not withstanding. Signed, Senator Coffey. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS) 26. Senator Coffey. 27. SENATOR COFFEY: 28. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise 29. to add back a reduction that was made by the Governor of some 30. five hundred and ninety-four thousand and five hundred dollars 31. to the Standard Bred Fund. The ... just to point out a little bit 32. about where this money comes from and...and about the Horse 33. Racing Act, I would like to point out that the General Assembly 34.

1. declared that if...it was a policy of the State to establish 2. and preserve the agriculture and commercial benefits of such breeding and racing industries in the State of Illinois. 3. During the Calendar Year 1981 and each year thereafter, eight 4. and one-half percent of all the monies received by the State 5. as a privilege tax on harness racing meeting, shall be paid 6. into the Standard Breeders Fund. This is the fund where this 7. money that we're discussing now comes from. It's a fund that's 8. set aside specifically for purses and for the Standard Bred. 9. Fund and that amount of money has been removed. Originally, 10. the Illinois Racing Board projected a three million, one 11. hundred thousand dollar figure for 1981. That was cut down 12. to two million nine hundred thousand, and then when it got 13. down into appropriation, it ended up coming out and passing this 14. Body at two million seven hundred and sixty-five thousand 15. six hundred dollars. The Governor vetoed that down to two 16. million one hundred and seventy-one thousand dollars, which 17. made a...a very drastic cut of approximately a million dollars 18. from what the...the Racing Board projected and what they ended 19. up with after the...the veto. I'd ask...a favorable roll call 20. on this and be glad to answer any questions. I would like to 21. point out that this does affect two of these, the...the races 22. out at the State fairs, the purse money that's given out, they 23. projected for each race that there be approximately twenty-24. two hundred and fifty dollars, now that's cut down to eighteen 25. hundred and fifty dollars with ... with this cut. The cost to 26. the people that owns these horses and are continue to race has 27. increased, drastically. These people do put money into these 28. funds theirselves for every race, every circuit. They have to 29. put in twenty-five dollars for every race, they have to put 30. in twenty-five dollars and then they have an entry fee of 31. thirty dollars at the county fair. At the larger races, they 32. have twenty-five dollar race for the circuit and a fifty dollar 33.

pour provident provident

Page 140- October 15, 1981

fee just for the race and a hundred and thirty dollar entry fee.
 So these people, their fees increase as well as...they would like
 to see the purses increase, so they could, and hopefully our
 Standard Bred Association could thrive and...because they do
 bring a lot of revenue into the State of Illinois. This motion
 is endorsed by Illinois Harness Horsemen's Association and I'd
 ask for a favorable roll call.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question 9. is, shall the item on page 13, line 12 of Senate Bill 308 be 10. restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary 11. notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote 12. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all 13. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. 14. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 17, none Voting 15. Present. The item on page 13, line 12 of Senate Bill 308, 16. having received the required majority vote of Senators elected 17. is declared restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to 18. the contrary notwithstanding. If you will now...turn to page 19. 2 of your...to the Second Supplemental Calendar, we will start 20. with Motions in Writing to accept the Specific Recommendations 21. for Change. Senate Bill 62, Senator Collins. Senator Collins 22. is recognized. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 23. SECRETARY:

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
Governor as to Senate Bill 62 in the manner and form as follows.
Signed, Senator Collins.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.

29. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

24.

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Six...the part that the Governor
has strickened from the...Senate Bill 62, is, in fact, Senate Bill
433 that...dealt with the Infant Lab Schools. At this point,

Page 141- October 15, 1981

I have no choice but to accept what I consider as a half a loaf 1. rather than not...receiving anything. Senate Bill 62 dealt 2. specifically with...requiring young ladies who had children 3. under the compulsory age of sixteen to continue in school. The Governor ... 4. Amendatorily Vetoed the section that dealt with Senate Bill 443 5. that had been amended on this particular bill. However, in his 6. message, his...rationale is most certainly wrong because the 7. bill did not mandate that Infant Lab Schools be provided, it 8. simply stated that it gave ... the district permission, if the 9. district so...choose to do so, to operate Infant Lab Schools. 10. At this point, I have no other choice but to go on and accept 11. this Recommendation for Change. 12.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question 14. is, shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of 15. the Governor as to Senate Bill 62 in the manner and form just 16. stated. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The 17. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 18. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 40...51, 19. the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does accept 20. the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 21. 62 and the bill having received the required constitutional majority 22. of Senators elected is declared passed. Senate Bill 431, Senator 23. Gitz. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 24. SECRETARY:

26. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
27. Governor as to Senate Bill 431 in the manner and form as follows.
28. Signed, Senator Gitz.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Gitz.

SENATOR GITZ:

25.

32. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This
bill, in its original form, was legislation relating to the

dismissal of supervisors of assessors with cause. At the request

1.

of Madison County, there was a provision added onto the bill 2. late in the Session because of a Supreme Court decision, the 3. City of Peoria versus Edward T. O'Connor, which upheld a lower 4. court's ruling that a town collector has the exclusive right to 5. collect real property taxes. The Governor felt that he did 6. not want to take away that local township power and many of 7. the counties have felt that that was a method by which they 8. could ætually streamline the efficacy of these collections. 9. I think in the spirit of the original bill the prudent thing 10. to do is to accept those recommendations and I would so move at 11. this time. 12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 13. Is there discussion? Senator Grotberg. 14. SENATOR GROTBERG: 15. Yes, a question of the sponsor. Just in case the Body, 16. like me..others in the Body, like me, did not hear the details, 17. but is this, Senator Gitz, the...the bill that would have 18. abolished township collectors and the Governor vetoed it? 19. SENATOR GITZ: 20. Senator Grotberg, it didn't abolish them, but what it 21. did do is allowed them to submit those payments to the county 22. clerk because they were saying that if they had to redo the 23. notices it was going to cost, in their case, about eighty 24. thousand dollars. So, the intention of that amendment, which 25. we accepted at the request of Representative McPike was not 26. to abolish them, but to, at least, give them the option to pay 27. that through the county clerk. Now, after the Session, many, 28. many, township people and there were only five counties, by 29. the way, that were involved, Sangamon, Peoria, Will, Madison 30. and Cook, said they didn't like it, so the Governor took 31. it out. And I was not crazy about putting it in there in the 32. first place. 33.

Page 143 - October 15, 1981

۱. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 2. Senator Grotberg. SENATOR GROTBERG: 3. Thank you, Senator Gitz. My confusion is, in my own 4. mind, are the township officials of Illinois for your motion 5. or are they again it? 6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 7. Senator Gitz. 8. SENATOR GITZ: 9. The township officials are in favor of my motion. Yes. 10. My motion will have the effect of deleting all that language 11. from the bill and leaving in tact the original provisions which 12. relate to the dismissal of supervisors of assessors with cause. 13. And that was the only thing that this...bill will do, once we 14. have concurred with the Governor's Recommendations for Change. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 16. Senator Grotberg. 17. SENATOR GROTBERG: 18. And just one more question. The whole assessor thing is 19. stripped out .. I mean the collector thing is stripped out of 20. your bill and we are back to an assessment bill. Thank you. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 22. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Gitz 23. may close. 24. SENATOR GITZ: 25. Ask for a favorable roll call. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. The question is, shall the Senate accept the Specific 28. Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 431 in the 29. manner and form just explained. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 30. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 31. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 32. the Ayes are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The 33.

Page 144 - October 15, 1981

ı.	Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor
2.	as to Senate Bill 431 and the bill having received the required
3.	constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 443,
4.	Senator Lemke. Senator Lemke on the Floor? Senator Lemke.
5.	All right. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.
6.	SECRETARY :
7.	I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
8.	Governor as to Senate Bill 443 in the manner and form as
9.	follows. Signed, Senator Lemke.
10.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11.	Senator Lemke.
12.	SENATOR LEMKE:
13.	Just for the matter of the record. I'd like to read into
14.	the record that thethe Amendatory Veto of the Governor
15.	does not disqualify SOKOL or similar ethnic organizations. from
16.	participating asbingo licenses, but instead changes will
17.	insure that thethese ethnic organizations will exist to
18.	serve their members and general public and do not have to
19.	compete with other groups which exist only to serve narrow
20.	purposes. I think it's a goodbill and I ask for the adoption
21.	on the motion.
22.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.	The motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Becker.
24.	SENATOR BECKER:
25.	Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I know
26.	that Senator Lemke did file a motion to change the date from
27.	the effective date of July of 1982 to the immediate passage of
28.	this bill, and I've been informed by John Washburn, one of the
29.	Governor's staff members that it meets with his approval. The
30.	effective date immediately.
31.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32.	Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.
· ·	SENATOR RHOADS:

33.

Page 145- October 15, 1981

If Senator Becker's...if what Senator Becker just stated
 is correct, then, what would be the majority required to accept
 the Amendatory Veto?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Upon the face of the motion by Senator Lemke, there is 5. nothing in his motion that relates to...a...other than the ... 6. other than the date stated in the legislation. So, the Chair 7. has no knowledge of...of any changes other that what the Governor 8. specifically recommended and in his Specific Recommendation 9. no mention is made of an effective date. So, I don't know 10. whether Senator Becker has knowledge that the Chair does not 11. have, but it is not within the confines of the motion as 12. filed by Senator Lemke. The...the, I am told by my Parliamentarians 13. that the bill itself has an immediate effective date, and in that 14. regard, all we have to do is. to accept the Specific Recommendation, 15. is do that by a majority vote of the members elected. Otherwise, 16. Senator Rhoads, it flies in the face of the Constitution and ... and 17. that would be each and every bill that we've voted on would have 18. required a three-fifths, because we're voting after July the lst, 19. assuming the sponsor wanted the bill to be effective prior to 20. July 1st of next year. Yes, including appropriation bills. 21.

22. Senator Lemke. Further discussion?

23. SENATOR LEMKE:

24. Roll call.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is, shall the Senate accept the Specific 26. Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 443 in the 27. manner and form just stated. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 28. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 29. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that 30. question, the Ayes are 49, the Nays are 3, 2 Voting Present. 31. The Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor 32. as to Senate Bill 443 and the bill having received the required 33.

Page 146- October 15, 1981

constitutional majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 666, 1. Senator Gitz. There's an error in your Calendar, 666 has already 2. been adopted on the first Calendar and it...it should be removed. 3. Senate Bill 853, Senator Coffey. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, 4. please, on Senate Bill 853. 5. SECRETARY : 6. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the 7. Governor as to Senate Bill 853 in the manner and form as follows. 8. Signed, Senator Coffey. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. Senator Coffey. 11. SENATOR COFFEY: 12. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise to 13. accept the recommendations of the Governor on Senate Bill 853. 14. The Governor's recommendation that the standard testing for 15. quality of gasohol confirm ... conform to the American Society 16. of Testing and Materials proposed as...as specifications, which 17. is 1.25 percent water weight. Also, the Governor believes that 18. the Department of Agriculture should notify proprietors...rather 19. on the selling of gasohol rather than the Department of Revenue. 20. And I'd like to accept that proposal. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 22. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the Senate accept 23. the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 853 24. in the manner and form just stated. Those in favor vote Aye. Those 25. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 26. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 27. Ayes are 54, the Nays are none, none...on that question, the Ayes 28. are 56, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate does 29. adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to Senate 30. Bill 853 and the bill having received the required constitutional 31. majority is declared passed. Senator Coffey on 902. Read the 32. motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 33.

Page 147- October 15, 1981

1. SECRETARY:

I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
 as to Senate Bill 902 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
 Senator Coffey.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Coffey.

7. SENATOR COFFEY:

8. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise to
9. accept the recommendations, again, of the Governor as to Senate
10. Bill 902. The Governor's veto deletes the funding provision
11. for the Land of Lincoln Gathering Show and the National Red
12. Angus Show and I'd ask for a favorable roll call in accepting.
13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. The motion is...is to accept. Discussion? Senator Gitz.15. SENATOR GITZ:

Question of the sponsor.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. He indicates he will yield.

19. SENATOR GITZ:

20. Senator...Coffey, is it not true that this bill...which,
21. actually, I believe the board should say is 992, is the same
22. as Senate Bill 966, and regard to the provisions that apply
23. to ten percent alcohol testing?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. It is 902, Senator.

26. SENATOR GITZ:

27. I'm sorry.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. All right. Discussion on 902? The question is, shall
30. the Senate accept the Specific Recommendation of the Governor
31. as to Senate Bill 902 in the manner and form just stated. Those
32. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
33. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the

Page 148- October 15, 1981

record. On that question, the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none 1. Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Specific Recommendations 2. of...of the Governor as to Senate Bill 902 and the bill having 3. received the required constitutional majority is declared passed. 4. 904, Senator Coffey. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. 5. 6. SECRETARY: I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor 7. as to Senate Bill 904 in the manner and form as follows. Signed, 8. Senator Coffey. 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. Senator Coffey. What...Senator Coffey. 11. SENATOR COFFEY: 12. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise again 13. to accept the...Specific Recommendation of the Governor on Senate 14. Bill 904. The Governor's objections is the...the Governor 15. recommends the deletion of the provision of the bill which 16. allows less stringent of movement...movement of cattle between 17. Class A...Brucellosis-free states. I'd ask for us to accept 18. that provision. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Is there discussion? Discussion? The question, is shall 21. the Senate adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as 22. to Senate Bill 904 in the manner and form just stated. Those 23. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is 24. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take 25. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 49...50, the Ayes 26. are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate 27. does adopt the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to 28. Senate Bill 904 and the bill having received the required constitutional 29. majority is declared passed. Senate Bill 992, Senator Coffey. 30. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. Now, Senator Gitz. 31. SECRETARY: 32.

33.

I move... I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the

Page 149 - October 15, 1981

Governor as to Senate Bill 992 in the manner and form as follows.
 Signed, Senator Coffey.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Senator Coffey.

5. SENATOR COFFEY:

6. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise again
7. to accept the Specific Recommendation of the Governor as to
8. Senate Bill 992. The Governor's objections to that...recommendation
9. authorized the Department of Agriculture to test alcohol to be
10. used in motor fuel components, which contains no more than 1.25
11. water by proof, rather than by proof of alcohol. I'd ask...to
12. accept those recommendations.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.

14.

Is there discussion? Senator Gitz.

SENATOR GITZ: 15.

Yes, Senator Coffey, now I'd like to ask the question. I 16. don't object to the fact that you're testing for water proof, 17. but in reading this message, it's clear that the provisions 18. that allow the Department of Agriculture to test for at least 19. ten percent alcohol are in the bill. And it seems to me, kind 20. of incongruous that in one hand the Governor says that this 21. was simply not worthwhile to have in it, and it was expensive, 22. when the bill subject happened to be Senate Bill 966. But now 23. when it appears in Senate Bill 992, it's okay, but, of course, he 24. wanted to add some other provisions to it which you don't object 25. to. And it seems to me, if it wasn't any good in 966, why 26. is it any good in 992, the waterproof provisions that the Governor 27. suggesting being added notwithstanding? 28.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.

Senator Coffey.

SENATOR COFFEY: 31.

30.

Well, as I understand that the Governor's Recommendations, 32. again, was to conform with the American Society of Testing for 33. Page 150 - October 15, 1981

Materials proposed and on that basis, and talking to some of 1. the industry, which says this provision is easier for them to 2. regulate and for them to live with than the proposal which I 3. had earlier had in... in the legislation. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. Senator Gitz. 6. SENATOR GITZ: 7. Is it not true, though, with these changes, the Department 8. of Agriculture can still test gasohol, determine if it is 9. ten percent alcohol, that was the original provision? Now, the 10. penalty provisions are not altered, that part is not altered? 11. The DOA is additionally authorized to test alcohol before it is 12. mixed to gasoline to determine water content. 13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 14. Senator Coffey. 15. SENATOR COFFEY: 16. Well, that...that provision is still in the bill. The...the 17. procedure is somewhat different, but the penalty is still there, 18. and it's the same as it was as we earlier discussed when... they 19. are to be notified once they are found in... in violation. And 20. that penalty, then, after that notification has been made, is still

there to penalize them for...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
23.

Senator Gitz.

SENATOR GITZ: 25.

21.

Well, it's up to the Body, obviously, to decide what they 26. do, but I just simply want to point out that this is another example, 27. Senator Schaffer and I went through this when he put a bill in the 28. same form I had it, in Senate Bill 101, then it's presented to the 29. Governor, he vetoes the bill, but signs the other one, contrary 30. to that agreement. Now we're faced with a similar situation here. 31. And it strikes me that, apparently, the Governor changed his mind 32. in his Veto Message and 966 was not really what he thought after ---33. all. It's just a game that's being played upon us. 34.

Page 1	51- 0	ctober	15,	1981
--------	-------	--------	-----	------

....

۱.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)	
2.	Senator Coffeywas that a question? Senator	Coffeyfurther
3.	discussion? Senator Coffey may close.	
4.		
5.		
6.		
7.		
8.		
9.		
10.		
11.	END OF REEL	
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
18.		
19.		
20.		
21.	<u>.</u>	
22.		
23.		
24.		
25.		
26.		
27.		
28.		
29.		
30.		
31.		
32.		
33.		
	· · ·	

Page 152 - October 15, 1981

1. SENATOR COFFEY:

2. Well, I'd just like to say, that ... I'm not aware exactly what 3. ...was done with Senator Gitz's bill...as he's probably aware as 4. many of the members of this Body, ... a year ago the Governor vetoed a... the gasohol bill that I had and we had to override his veto, 5. 6. so I...I'm not always in communications with the Governor on these 7. issues. I do think that the recommendation that's made by the Governor, in this situation, is a good one. I...before accepting 8. that, talked to the people in the industry and asked if this was 9. a livable situation for them. They've said yes, and so, for that 10. reason, I accepted the Governor's proposal...on that basis, and 11. I'd ask for a favorable roll call. 12.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Question is, shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommend-14. ation of the Governor as to Senate Bill 992, in the manner and form 15. just stated. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. 16. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 17. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays 18. are none. None Voting Present. The Senate does adopt the Specific 19. Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 992, and the bill 20. having received the required constitutional majority is declared 21. passed. Senate Bill 10...1042, Senator Grotberg. Read the motion, 22. Mr. Secretary, please. 23.

24. SECRETARY:

25. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
26. Governor as to Senate Bill 1042 in the manner and form as follows.
27. Signed, Senator Grotberg.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Grotberg.

30. SENATOR GROTBERG:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. I believe...a speech earlier by
32. Senator Jeremiah Joyce, describes my bill where he...amended out
33. my bill and left the amendments, but it had to do with the...the...
34. specific...line of duty award he...and the Governor didn't

Page 153 - October 15, 1981

agree with my approach to that for corrections officers that were
 killed in prisons...in that we already have a line of duty
 award. Nevertheless, he left in a very needed...peace officer
 amendment to those who may be called in case of riot, and come
 under State authority, and I move that we do...adopt the
 Governor's recommendation for change.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Further discussion? Further discussion? The question is, 9. shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendation of the 10. Governor as to Senate Bill 1042 in the matter and form just stated. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The 11. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who 12. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the 13. Nays are none. None Voting Present. Senate does adopt the 14. specific recommendation as to Senate Bill 1042, and the bill 15. having received the required constitutional majority is declared 16. passed. We will now go to Motions in Writing to Override the 17. Specific Recommendations for Change. First motion on that order 18. of business is Senate Bill 21 by Senator Berning. Mr ... 19. Secretary, read the motion, please. 20.

21. SECRETARY:

I move that Senate Bill 21, Do Pass the Specific Recommend ations of the Covernor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed,
 Senator Berning.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Berning is recognized.

27. SENATOR BERNING:

28. Well, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, we had a rather
29. heavy vote on the first motion, which was to concur. I am now
30. offering you the opportunity of overriding, because I think
31. from the reading of the...of the vote at the last time, there was
32. no willingness to transfer the cost to the districts...to the
33. systems, and consequently, I would urge your Aye vote and let

Page 154 - October 15, 1981 1. this obligation become a part of the State. This is the way 2. the bill was originally passed. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. Further discussion? Senator Weaver. 5. SENATOR WEAVER: Senator Berning, what fiscal cost will there to the 6. 7. downstate and all the fire and police pension funds throughout the State? What... is this going to cost them somewhere in the 8. neighborhood of a three thousand dollars a year for this? 9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 10. Senator Berning. 11. SENATOR BERNING: 12. No, by changing this word from . "may" back to "shall", it 13. becomes the State's obligation, there will be no obligation on 14. the part of the systems. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 16. Senator Weaver. 17. SENATOR WEAVER: 18. What ... what will it cost the State, then? 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20. Senator Berning. 21. SENATOR BERNING: 22. It's variously estimated at from a thousand dollars per 23. system to a maximum of fifty thousand dollars, perhaps, for 24. the State. 25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 26. Senator Weaver. 27. SENATOR WEAVER: 28. What real benefit are these systems going to obtain from 29. this Legislation, Senator Berning? 30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 31. Senator Berning. 32. SENATOR BERNING: 33.

Page 155 - October 15, 1981 - ...

and the second

ι.	To Tottomated to active our coultant are supervised to
2.	As I attempted to point our earlier, we are shortly to
	be faced with the possibility of the enactment of PERISA, the
3.	Federal mandate on the regulations for all pension systems.
4.	We have taken one short step with the fiduciary standards, which
5.	we have passed. This is the next step, the reporting standards.
6.	It is elemental thatthe participants in the systems ought to
7.	know what the condition of those systems are, and this is part
8.	of and the requirement that will be there when PERISA is passed.
9.	That can happenwithin the next thirty days or the next two
10.	or three years. Thethe bill is already filed in Congress.
11.	It will be under consideration, shortly. What we hope, what I
12.	hope, and the position of the Pension Laws Commission is that,
13.	we would like to take the steps necessary to prevent the preemption
14.	of our control of our own systems by the Federal Government,
15.	and that's the reason for the bill. The cost is minimal.
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.	Further discussion? Senator Egan.
18.	SENATOR EGAN:
19.	Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
20.	I rise in support of Senator Berning's motion, because the
21.	object here is to make sure that the downstate systems are
22.	sound. This is the step in the right direction. The only reason
23.	the Governor vetoed it is because he doesn't want to spend up
24.	to fifty thousand dollars to do that. That's understandable
25.	when he takes a hundred and some million dollars out of the
26.	State supported systems, but there's no sense of hiding anything
27.	this is a good motion. I ask for your support.
28.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29.	Senator Hall.
30.	SENATOR HALL:
31.	Will the sponsor yield for a question?
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
33.	Indicates he will yield, Senator Hall.

Page 156 - October 15, 1981

1.	SENATOR HALL:
2.	Senators, I look upon this boardawhile ago this bill
3.	was up and it got 6 Aye votes and 49 No votes. Now what
4.	has happend, now, to make this a good bill, now?
5.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
6.	Senator Berning.
7.	SENATOR BERNING:
8.	Senator, with this new motion, we should have 6 red ones
9.	and 59 green ones, because it is now changed from a potential
10.	small expense to the systems, to a small expense for the State.
11.	The State will will be required to assume all costs. That's
12.	the difference.
13.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
14.	Senator Hall.
15.	SENATOR HALL:
16.	Well, I just want to be sure, because every fireman that
17.	I've seen and all that's contacted me and said, it's bad, and
18.	I want to be sure, now, that you've changed it to where you
19.	say they would be supporting this now?
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Senator Berning.
22.	SENATOR BERNING:
23.	Soyes, so far as I know, their big objection was the
24.	potential cost to the systems which might be as much as a
25.	thousand dollars for each system. So, the change of the word
26.	from "shall" to "may" has now gone back from "may" to "shall",
27.	meaning that the State shall provide the reports, and that was
28.	the cost.
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.	Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Berning
31.	may close.
32.	SENATOR BERNING:
33.	If I haven't made it clear by now, there isn't anything

Page 157 - October 15, 1981

more I can say. This is a...a necessary step in the State's
qualifying for exemption under the Federal regulations which are
coming, and the cost is minimal. So, I respectfully suggest
that now, we ought to have a preponderance of green lights,
whereas, last time we had a preponderance of red ones.
PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Question is, shall Senate Bill 21 pass, the Specific 8. Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 9. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting 10. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? 11. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 12. the Ayes are 23, the Nays are 20, 2 Voting Present. The 13. Senate does...and the motion to override the specific recommend-14. ation of the Governor is lost. Senate Bill 446, Senator Vadalabene. 15. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

16. SECRETARY:

5.0.440 10.15.0 2014 10.15.0

1.

I move that Senate Bill 446 Do Pass, the Specific
 Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
 Signed, Senator Vadalabene.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Senator Vadalabene.

22. SENATOR VADALABENE:

23. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. 24. This legislation has been formulated and developed over the 25. last three Legislative Sessions and passed the House 148 26. to nothing, and passed the Senate 57 to nothing. Senate Bill 27. 446 requires nothing more than what a prudent person would 28. expect by requiring that the official authorizing an expenditure 29. of public monies must, first, certify that the expenditure is lawful, that the expenditure is being charged to an appropriation 30. of the organization which is making use of the results of the 31. expenditure, and that the amount, service times and other 32. 33. pertinent data are correct. This is a product of the Legislative Page 158 - October 15, 1981

Audit Commission. Senator Angelo is the hyphenated...DeAngelis

2. is a hyphenated sponsor of this bill, and I would ask for a 3. favorable roll call. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) .5. Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis. 6. SENATOR DeANGELIS: 7. Thank you, Mr. President... I stand in support of this 8. motion. There seems to be a paranoia that pervades every one of 9. these agencies when you ask them to legitimately perform the 10. function that they're supposed to perform. The Veto Message 11. is so erroneous, I won't even dwell on it, but most of the things 12. that were said in here are absolutely incorrect. All we're 13. saying to these people is, if you have an employee, say, this is my employee. If you're going to pay employee, this is what 14. 15. I'm going to pay them, if you're going to turn around and 16. transfer that employee, your just going to say, I transfer 17. this employee. There is nothing at all sinister in this bill, 18. and I cannot understand the constant...the constant badgering 19. by the Executive, when we turn around and attempt...force them 20. to do what they're supposed to do. 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 22. Further discussion? The question is ... question is, shall 23. Senate Bill 446 pass, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor 24. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? 25. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, 26. 27. the Ayes are 53, and Nays are 20, none Voting Present. Senate 28. Bill 446 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor to the 29. 30. contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill 513, Senator Egan. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please. For what purpose does 31. 32. Senator Egan rise? SENATOR EGAN: 33.

ı.



Page 159 - October 15, 1981

I would like to withdraw the motion, Mr. President and
 in lieu thereof, substitute a motion to...accept, and if this
 is improper time, then I'll wait, but I would like to do
 it.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Well, Senator Egan, if you would just hold your motion
7. till we have Senator Berman, then we can go to the Order of
8. Motions to Accept. All right. With that leave, we'll get
9. to you right after Senator Berman. Senator Berman is recognized
10. on Senate Bill 633, on the Motion to Not Accept the Specific
11. Recommendation of the Governors. Senator Berman.
12. SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 13. Senate. The only portion of Senate Bill 633 that the Governor's 14. ... Amendatory Veto dealt with was the requirement under the... sales 15. tax...exemption from machinery and equipment, the requirement 16. upon the ... manufacturers to file certain documents with the 17. Department of Revenue. It was adopted in the...Spring Session 18. it...at the request of the IMA, Illinois Manufacturer's Assoc-19. iation, because the paper work was a substantial burden and it 20. really wasn't necessary to the ... monitoring of the exemption ... 21. the Governor...amendatorily vetoed that portion of it, but with 22. ... in subsequent conversations with the Governor's Office and the 23. Department of Revenue...their position has been reversed, and 24. I would now move to a...a pass the bill, notwithstanding the 25. Amendatory Veto...Minority Leader has been discussed and the 26. Legislative Branch, of the Governor's Office also is in concurrence. 27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 28.

29. Is there any discussion? Senator McMillan.30. SENATOR MC MILLAN:

31. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I do rise in
32. opposition to the motion to override. I understand, clearly,
33. the reason behind the attempt to eliminate this reporting, but

Page 160 - October 15, 1981 and the state of the second

1.	in fact, this is a program of tax relief that was implemented,
2.	that is difficult to keepit's difficult to determine the
3.	magnitude of it. We, in the Legislative Branch, have altered
4.	definitions a couple of times and it's a under controversy
5.	andwell, I'm just told by the powers that be that the Governor
6.	has now blessed this, and I appoglize, Senator Berman, we are
7.	in agreement.
8.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
9.	Further discussion? Senator Berman.
10.	SENATOR BERMAN:
11.	Roll Call.
12.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
13.	Question is, shall Senate Bill 633 pass, the Specific
14.	Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
15.	Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
16.	is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
17.	Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 54, the Nays
18.	are none. None Voting Present. The Senate doesSenate Bill
19.	633 having received the required three-fifths vote is declared
20.	passed, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor to the
21.	contrary notwithstanding. Is Senator Carroll on the Floor?
22.	Senator Netsch, you placed a motion on the Order of Postponed
23.	Consideration, is that correct? Did you wishwell, Senator
24.	Egan has a motion pending to accept. Under our procedure, we
25.	have to get that from the LRB and they are in the process of
26.	putting it in the computer and getting it back. We can'ton
27.	specific recommendations we must havetheir computer print
28.	to make sure it gets into the big Statue books when we pass
29.	itand so, as soon as we get that up, we will get to Senator
30.	Egan, but Senator Netsch, on what bill did you postpone
31.	consideration?
32.	SENATOR NETSCH:
33.	Senate Bill 311.

Page 161 - October 15, 1981 20065 - 201 500 - 20

۱. Discussion? Senator Philip. 2.. SENATOR PHILIP:

3.

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the 4. Senate. So far, our record today has been, we've overridden 5. the Governor's Veto to the point of one million seven hundred thousand dollars. Here we come again with another two hundred 6. and thirty-three thousand dollars, and quite frankly, we simply 7. don't have the money. Now is not the time to do it. If we 8. keep doing this, you know, the big rush will be on, coming 9. after the first of the year, for some kind of tax increase ... 10. to support all of this ... additional funds, and I'm just going to 11. wonder where that Senator on the other side of the aisle is 12. going to be when we need a tax increase to balance the budget. 13. I know where she's going to be. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Nimrod, 16. did you wish ... Senator Nimrod. 17. SENATOR NIMROD: 18. Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor ... 19. Senator Netsch, what is the ... total amount of money that we are 20. now investing in this... in this drug program? 21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 22. Senator Netsch. 23. SENATOR NETSCH: 24. I didn't hear the... the latter part of you question, 25. Senator Nimrod. 26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 27. Senator Nimrod. 28. SENATOR NIMROD: 29. What ... what is the total amount of money that we have 30. in...that we are spending on the drug program? 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32. Senator Netsch. 33.

Page 162 - October 15; 1981 month is in the test

1. SENATOR NETSCH:

2. On this particular program, the total amount is four... 3. well, with this amount restored would be four million six 4. hundred and thirteen thousand dollars...four million six 5. hundred and thirteen thousand four hundred dollars. 6.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Nimrod.

8. SENATOR NIMROD:

Well, we're spending four hundred million and we're 9. talking about two...or four million in...in this one program, 10. 11. and then, we have other monies that we're spending on the Drug 12. Program. I think that trying to restore the two hundred thousand dollars is certainly going beyond. I think the Governor has 13. 14. already left you enough money in those programs to take care of them. Seems to me, that we constantly keep adding back, 15. inching back for every little program. There are many good 16. programs, and I think Senator Philip said, If we're going to 17. keep putting money back in, we're going to have to be facing a 18. tax increase, and I think we ought to just hold the line. 19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 20.

Further discussion? Senator Netsch, you may close. 21. SENATOR NETSCH: 22.

Well, two hundred thousand dollars is not a substantial 23. sum, but it does, in this kind of outpatient treatment program, 24. provide, as I indicated earlier, a hundred and fifty slots, 25. which means more that a hundred and fifty persons...would be 26. served. Again, my...my strong urging is that we have already 27. indicated that we wanted restored money for the Residental 28. Drug Abuse Treatment Programs. This simply equalizes the 29. availability of some treatment in those parts of the State where 30. the residential is not readily available. I would urge your 31. support. 32.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 33.

Page 163 - October 15, 1981

•	
۱.	The question is, shall the item on page 4, line 13 of
2.	Senate Bill 311 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor
3.	to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye.
4.	Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
5.	who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
6.	Take the record. On that question, the Ayes 32, the Nays are 18,
7.	3 Voting Present. The item on page 30on page 4, line 13
8.	of Senate Bill 311, having received the required majority vote
9.	of Senators elected is declared restored, the Item Reduction of
10.	the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. For what purpose
11.	does Senator Philip rise?
12.	SENATOR PHILIP:
13.	Verification of affirmative votes.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Will the members please be in their seats. The
16.	Secretary will call those who voted in the affirmative. When
17.	your name is called, will you please respond.
18.	SECRETARY:
19.	The following voted in the affirmative: Berman, Bruce,
20.	Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Collins, D'Arco, Dawson, Degnan, Demuzio,
21.	Donnewald, Egan, Geo-Karis, Gitz, Hall, Johns, Jeremiah Joyce,
22.	Jerome Joyce, Lemke, Mahar, Marovitz, McLendon, Nash, Nedza,
23.	Netsch, Newhouse, Rupp, Sangmeister, Savickas, Taylor,
24.	Vadalabene, Mr. President.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	Senator Philip, do you question the presence of any member?
27.	SENATOR PHILIP:
28.	Senator Chew.
29.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
30.	Is Senator Chew on the Floor? Strike his name.
31.	SENATOR PHILIP:
32.	Senator Sangmeister.
33.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 164 - October 15; 1981 1. Senator Sangmeister is on the Floor near the telephone 2. booth. 3. SENATOR PHILIP: 4. Senator Nedza. 5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 6. Is Senator Nedza on the Floor? Senator Nedza. Strike 7. his name. 8. SENATOR PHILIP: 9. Senator Newhouse. 10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 11. Is Senator Newhouse on the Floor? Senator Newhouse. 12. Strike his name. SENATOR PHILIP: 13. 14. Senator Mahar. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Is Senator Mahar on the Floor? Strike his name. On the 16. 17. verified roll call, there are 28 Ayes, 18 Nays, and the motion is lost. Senator Egan, your motion has just arrived. With 18. leave of the Body we will return to Motions in Writing to 19. accept the specific recommendations for change and Senate Bill 20. 513. Is there leave? Leave is granted. Senator Egan is recogn-21. ized on his motion. 22. SENATOR EGAN: 23. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I

24. think that...after a good look at the Governor's Veto Message, 25. that...we have to consider the three reasons, very seriously ... 26. 27. relative to the General Assembly Retirement System. I think 28. he makes a valid objectionable three points...and first, this part of the bill would set a precedent ... with all public employee 29. systems in the State...secondly, it...it allows...a further 30. erosion in the General Assembly System and...to what amount ... 31. to what amount, excuse me, is... is debatable but... certainly, 32. to some degree, I...it would...but for all other public employees 33.

Page 165 - October 15, 1981

JB11H8 Without

retirement systems, there would be no such similar provision
 and...it allows, thirdly that the board...rather...would increase
 the...power of the Pension Board, itself, to do that which we
 have in prior years kept to the General Assembly to do, and so,
 I...I would certainly ask your support in voting Aye on a motion
 to accept the specific recommendations for change.
 PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? Question is, shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the ۹. 10. Governor as to Senate Bill 513 in the manner and form just stated. Those in favor vote Ave. Those opposed vote Nav. 11. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted 12. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 13. 48, the Nays are 3, 5 Voting Present. The Senate does accept 14. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 15. 513 and the bill having received the required constitutional 16. majority is declared passed. On your Supplemental Calendar, 17. Supplemental 1. Senator...on the Order of Motions in Writing 18. to Override Total Vetos, is Senate Bill 1148. Senator Dawson, 19. are you ready, you...you postponed consideration of a motion 20. on that bill. Senator Dawson is recognized on a motion. 21. SENATOR DAWSON: 22.

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I 23. hope we've clarified a few of the ... problems with this here, 24. and explain them, and ... I ask for a favorable roll call, because 25. the original reason why I couldn't answer a couple of the 26. questions was this is Representative Dolly Hallstrom's bill 27. ans she's the expert on this here, being that she wears one of 28. these limbs and that, and I hope we have ... it straightened out, 29. and I ask for a favorable roll call. 30.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question
33. is, shall Senate Bill 1148 pass, the Veto of the Governor to the
34. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed

Page 166 - October 15, 1981

1.	vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
2.	Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
3.	the Ayes are 42, the Nays are 14, none Voting Present. Senate
4.	Bill 1148 having received the required three-fifths vote is
5.	declared passed, the Veto of the Governor to the contrary
6.	notwithstanding. Alright, now, where is Howie Carroll?
7.	Senator Carroll. Senator Carroll postponed consideration on
8.	Senate Bill 308, Senator Carroll.
9.	SENATOR CARROLL:
10.	Please.
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.	Alright, Senate Bill 308. Senator Carroll is recognized
13.	on the motion.
14.	SENATOR CARROLL:
15.	Oh, I'm sorry, is thatisn't it 313?
16.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17.	Alright, Senate Bill 313, and Senator Carroll, if you
18.	would state your motion.
19.	SENATOR CARROLL:
20.	The Clerk has it.
21.	SECRETARY:
22.	I move that the items on page 1, line 24 through 26 of
23.	Senate Bill 313 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to
24.	the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Carroll.
25.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
26.	One moment, Senator Carroll, there's a question. Senator
27.	Walsh.
28.	SENATOR WALSH:
29.	Mr. President, a point of parliamentary inquiry.
30.	Apparently, that bill on which we just acted was on the
31.	Order of Postponed Considerationis thismotion also on
32.	postponed_consideration?
33.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 167 - October 15, 1981 spin

1.	Yes, we had three motions on postponedsure, the Chair
2.	announced that we would pick up those three.
3.	SENATOR WALSH:
4.	My recollection is it's somewhat irregular to be considering
5.	postponed consideration before the last day of the Session.
6.	Are we going to be doing that for the rest of today, too? Or
7.	II mean everybody who lost one going to keep getting a second
8.	try today, or what?
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	This is the last one, Senator. There were only three
11.	postponed, today. Yes, Senator.
12.	SENATOR WALSH:
13.	Will we be considering these again tomorrow, then or
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Well, under the Constitution, II don't think the
16.	Chair could preclude a sponsor or any other member.
17.	SENATOR WALSH:
18.	Well, it's just a matter of procedure, Mr. President.
19.	Postponed consideration hasmy recollection has always been
20.	the last day forin which we've heard motions, or bills
21.	whatever it may be, and now, we are doing it on the second
22.	to last day. Will it be done again tomorrow, can you tell me?
23.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
24.	On postponed?
25.	SENATOR WALSH:
26.	Yes.
27.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
28.	I was trying to indicate that II don't know whether
29.	the Chair can preclude, given the fact the Constitution allows
30.	a sponsor fifteen days to
31.	SENATOR WALSH:
32.	What your saying is, anything goes?
33.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Page 168 - October 15, 1981

1.	file motions. Well, the Constitution sort of precludes our
2.	stopping a sponsor within the fifteen-day constitutional dead-
3.	ling from filinghe filing another motion or any other member
4.	of the Senate.
5.	SENATOR WALSH:
6.	Well, Mr. President.
7.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
8.	Yes, Senator Walsh.
9.	SENATOR WALSH:
10.	You can file it, but hearing it is something else again
11.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12.	That is true.
13.	SENATOR WALSH:
14.	the procedure has always been that they be heard on the
15.	last day, and I think you must admit that we're departing from
16.	the standard procedure.
17.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
18.	Senator Carroll is recognized, on Senate Bill 313.
19.	SENATOR CARROLL:
20.	Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
21.	Senate. As we had discussed, this deals with the amounts of
22.	money the State is required by law to pay to the counties for
23.	jailing State prisoners, while in the counties. Under Statutory
24.	Law, the county picks up the first twenty-five hundred dollars per
25.	prisoner and the State would pay the rest of the cost, which
26.	in this year would have been a million dollars. The Governor did
27.	not explain what he did, and this is for the medical expenses
28.	of the inmates. All he said is, I eliminate the program, and no
29.	reason was given. I think it is important, again, that we
30.	do not pass on to the county property taxpayers the cost that
31.	the State is, by law, supposed to bearing for the medical costs
32.	of people while in jail. Again, the county through it's base pays
33.	the first twenty-five hundred. This million will be the
34.	Governor's increase of county property taxes in order to

Page 169 - October 15, 1981

1. pay what had been a State expense, and I would ask that 2. we override the Governor's Line Item Veto of this important 3. Medical Assistance Program. 4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 5. Is there discussion? Senator Grotberg. 6. SENATOR GROTBERG: 7. Thank you, Mr. President. Again, on the same issue... 8. in this past year, ninety-seven percent of the claims did come 9. from the City of Chicago ... jail system on this, I just wanted 10. you to know where the money has gone. But on my former statement. 11. I have a message from Bill Wall and the Chief of the Court 12. of Claims in Chicago, and under the Statutes...under the 13. special awards bill they can pay all claims if a bill is less 14. than a thousand dollars, they at a Court of Claims. And because 15. our Statute that Senator Bower and all of us cooperated on 16. said they shall pay, it eliminates that provision where it is 17. necessary to lapse an appropriation. The court can pay, as is 18. authorized by the Statute, based on the opinion of the court 19. itself, I thought I would add that to the record. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Carroll 22. may close. 23. SENATOR CARROLL: 24. I believe, Senator Grotberg, just to respond, there still 25. has to be an appropriation. They cannot shall pay if the General 26. Assembly did appropriate the money. So, once again, that would 27. not be an adequate remedy, and that the smarter remedy is, and 28. to those who feel that it is a legitimate bill and should be 29. paid, then let's appropriate the funds so to do like we do... 30. everywhere else. It would be silly to go through a subterfuge 31. of saying, pay it next year, or the year after, or the year 32. after that. If you admit that we are responsible for this payment, 33. I think we should be paying it, and not asking the county taxpayers

Page 170 - October 15, 1981

۱. to pick it up in their real estate taxes. I would urge a 2. favorable vote. PRESIDING OFFICER: 3. (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. The guestion is, shall the item on page 1, lines 24 through 5. 26 of Senate Bill 313 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Ave. 6. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who 7. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have 8. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the 9. Ayes are 33, the Nays are 24, and the motion to override the Item 10. Veto of the Governor is lost...our motion. We have some house-11. keeping items to take care of. 12. SECRETARY: 13. Committee reports. 14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 15. For what purpose...well, go ahead Mr. Secretary. 16. SECRETARY: 17. Senator Egan, Chairman of Executive Committee, reports Senate 18. Bill 242 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended. Or 1242, 19. I'm sorry. Senate Bill 1242. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 21. For what purpose does Senator Ozinga arise? 22. SENATOR OZINGA: 23. An announcement, if you're ready for that. 24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 25. Well, we're not to announcements, Senator. We'll just... 26. were going to get to ... 27. SENATOR OZINGA: 28. Okay. 29: PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 30. Is there leave to go to the order of Introduction of Bills? 31. Leave is granted. Introduction of bills. 12. -SECRETARY: 33.

Page 171 - October 15, 1981

ι. Senate Bill 1258, introduced by Senator Jerome Joyce. 2. Sangmeister, and Demuzio. 3. (Secretary reads title of the bill) 4. Senate Bill 1259, introduced by Senators Jerome Joyce, 5. Rock, Buzbee and Sangmeister and Demuzio. 6. (Secretary reads title of the bill) 7. Senate Bill 1260, by the same sponsors. 8. (Secretary reads title of the bill) 9. Senate Bill 1261, by Senator Schaffer, Sims and Bloom 10. and others. 11. (Secretary reads title of the bill) 12. Senate Bill 1262, by Senators Lemke and Sangmeister. 13. (Secretary reads title of the bill) 14. 1st reading of the bills. 15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 16. Motions in Writing. 17. SECRETARY: Motion in Writing. I move to waive Senate Rule 5 so 18. 19. that the following bills can be acted upon in this Session of the 20. General Assembly: Senate Bills 1258, 1259, 1260. Signed, 21. Senator Jerome Joyce. 22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) Senator Joyce. Alright. The motion is to suspend the 23. rules so that Senate Bills 1258, 1259 and 1260 might be considered 24. in committee. You've heard the motion. Discussion of the motion? 25. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Rules are 26. 27. suspended. The motion prevails. Further discussion? For what 28. purpose does Senator Grotberg arise? SENATOR GROTBERG: 29. I believe I have a Motion in Writing. As regards... 30. House Bill on 1st reading, House Bill ... 31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 32. All right. We'll stay on the Order of Motions in Writing. 33.

Page 172 - October 15, 1981

۱. Senator Grotberg, read... the motion Mr. Secretary, please. 2. SECRETARY: 3. I move that House Bill 561 be read a first time and 4. advanced to the Order of 2nd reading without reference to 5. committee. Signed, Senator Grotberg. 6. SENATOR GROTBERG: Mr...Mr...Mr. President... 7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 8. That's alright, Senator...the motion is that House Bill ۹. 561, which appears on our Calendar on the Order of 1st reading 10. be read a first time, advanced to the Order of 2nd reading without 11. reference to committee. On that motion, is there discussion? 12. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. The 13. motion prevails. Is there leave to go to the Order of House 14. Bills 1st reading? Leave is granted. House Bills 1st reading, 15. House Bill 561. Mr. Secretary, read the bill, please. 16. SECRETARY: 17. House Bill 561, Senator Grotberg is the Senate sponsor. 18. (Secretary reads title of bill) 19. 1st reading of the bill. 20. PRESIDING OFFICER: SENATOR BRUCE) 21. That bill shall go to the Order of 2nd reading without 22. reference to committee, pursuant to the suspension of the rules. 23. Is there leave to go to the Order of Resolutions? Leave is 24. granted. Resolutions. 25. SECRETARY: 26. Senate Resolution 322, offered by Senators Lemke, Degnan 27. and all Senators. It's congratulatory. 28. Senate Resolution 323, offered by Senator Becker. It's 29. congratulatory. 30. Senate Resolution 324, offered by Senator Demuzio and all 31. Senators, and it's congratulatory. 32. Senate Resolution 325, offered by Senators Savickas, 33.

Page	173	-	October	15,	1981	a · -	

. ·

۱.	D'Arco, Rock, Lemke and others and it's commendatory.
2.	Senate Resolution 326, offered by Senators Philip,
3.	Weaver, Grotberg and DeAngelis and it's a death resolution.
4.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
5.	Resolution Consent Calendar.
6.	SECRETARY:
7.	Senate Resolution 327, offered by Senators Buzbee, Totten,
.8.	Bloom and Sangmeister.
9.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
10.	Executive Committee.
11.	SECRETARY:
12.	Senate Resolution 328, offered by Senators Mahar, DeAngelis
13.	and Ozinga.
14.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
15.	Executive Committee. Any further business to come before
16.	the Senate? Senator Ozinga for an announcement.
17.	SENATOR OZINGA:
18.	There will be a Republican Caucus inin Senator Philip's
19.	office immediately following adjournment today. Short caucus.
20.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
21.	Is that Republican and Democratic or justokay, all
22.	Senators then. Senator Buzbee for an announcement.
23.	SENATOR BUZBEE:
24.	Yes, Mr. President, I would ask Senator Ozingawe have
25.	scheduled, and, in fact, it's on the Calendar, we have scheduled
26.	a hearing by the Appropriations II Committee, of two departments
27.	this afternoon on Block Grants and Reduction Vetoes, et cetera.
28.	It's the Department of Public Health and the Department of Public
29.	Aid. We don't anticipate either one of them would take longer
30.	than thirty to forty minutes apiece, but about what time can we
31.	expect your members down to that committee meetings?
32.	PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
33.	Senator Ozinga.

Page 174 - October 15, 1981

۱. SENATOR OZINGA: 2. The caucus will be less than ten minutes. 3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 4. Senator Buzbee. 5. SENATOR BUZBEE: 6. Yes...thank you, Mr. President. Then...the Senate 7. Appropriations II Committee will convene at ten minutes after 8. the Senate adjourns in Room 212 for hearing Public Health and 9. Public Aid, and I would ask all members of both sides to 10. please attend. 11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE) 12. Any further business to come before the Senate? Senator 13. Vadalabene moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Friday, 14. October the 16th at the hour of nine o'clock. Nine o'clock. 15. On the motion to adjorn, is there discussion? All in favor say 16. Aye. Opposed Nay. Ayes have it. The Senate stands adjourned 17. until tomorrow at nine. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33.