

82ND GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

MAY 24, 1982

PRESIDENT:

The Senate will please come to order. Will the members please be at their desks. Will our guests in the gallery please rise. Prayer this afternoon by Father Anthony Tzortzis, St. Anthony's Hellenic Orthodox Church, Springfield, Illinois. Father.

FATHER ANTHONY TZORTZIS:

(Prayer given by Father Tzortzis)

PRESIDENT:

Thank you, Father. Reading of the Journal.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Friday, May 14, 1982, 9:00 a.m.; Tuesday, May 18, 1982, 2:00 p.m.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, I move that the Journals just read by the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has additions or corrections to offer.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Johns. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The motion carries, it is so ordered. Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, I move that reading and approval of the Journals of Wednesday, May the 19th and Thursday, May the 20th, in the year of 1982 be postponed pending arrival of the printed Journal.

PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion as placed by Senator Johns. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Motion carries, it is so ordered. Message from the Governor.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

A Message from the Governor by John Washburn, Director of Legislative Affairs.

Mr. President - The Governor directs me to lay before the Senate the following message.

To the Honorable members of the Senate, 82nd General Assembly, I have nominated and appointed the following named persons to the offices enumerated below and respectfully ask concurrence in and confirmation of these appointments by your Honorable Body.

PRESIDENT:

Committee on Executive Appointments. Message from the Secretary of State.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

To the Honorable the President of the Senate, Sir, in compliance with revision of the Constitution of the State of Illinois I am forwarding herewith the enclosed Senate Bill No. 791 that is being returned by the Governor with specific recommendations for change.

Respectfully, Jim Edgar, Secretary of State.

PRESIDENT:

Secretary's Desk. Messages from the House.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

A Message from the House by Mr. Leone, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has passed a bill...bills of the following titles, in the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Bill 1922, 2039, 2102, 2276, 2079, 2081, 2134, 2243, 2280, 2281, 2285, 2344, 2345, 2348, 2384, 2391, 2440, 2450, 2486, 2498, 2501, 2502, 1119, 1463, 1882, 1925, 1954, 2013, 2437, 2588, 2593, 2599, 2617, 2520, 2540, 2541, 2561, 2569, 2574, 2578 and 2586.

A Message from the House by Mr. Leone, Clerk.

Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that the House of Representatives has adopted the following joint resolutions, in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

House Joint Resolution 87 and House Joint Resolution 88, both congratulatory.

PRESIDENT:

Consent Calendar. Senator Johns, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR JOHNS:

Mr. President, all Democratic Senators, all secretaries of Democratic Senators, please advise them that there'll be a caucus in Room 212 immediately.

PRESIDENT:

Democratic caucus immediately in Room 212. Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

Us too. Republican caucus, Minority Leader's Office immediately. And I don't know how long.

PRESIDENT:

No,...I'm afraid we don't either. Why don't we just say for the purpose of everybody knowing what we're doing, the Senate will stand in recess until the hour of three o'clock. Yes, Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Just for clarification of Senator Vadalabene and Hall, I don't want the secretaries with us.

PRESIDENT:

Alright, the Senate will stand in recess until the hour of three o'clock. There will be a Democratic caucus immediately in 212 and a Republican caucus immediately in Senator Philip's office. The Senate will stand in recess.

RECESS

AFTER RECESS

PRESIDENT:

The Senate will come to order. With leave of the Body, we'll go to Page 2 on the Calendar, Senate Bills on 2nd reading. 1202, Senator Chew. 1231, Senator Sangmeister. 1285, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1285. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1285.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, if you'd give me one sec. The volume of approp books to find the right bill. On this particular one there is within the first amendment, I'm saying it that way for a reason, in general we have separated two of the issues that are of concern to the membership. In this particular one we did not so do. This one, the amendment is a reduction to effectuate the following: In the area of personal service salaries there will be a enough...enough funding for Fiscal '83 to provide the five percent step increase for those employees who are subject to step, and in addition thereto, should they keep all employees on board who are currently on board, in addition to that five percent increase there would be enough funding for a four percent salary increase on January 1st or a total during the course of the year of a nine percent salary increase if, in fact, they keep all employees on board, and in fact, have no additional turnover or hiring lag or unusual absenteeism. Additionally, we have asked for an increase in productivity of State employees, going to what is a nationwide average of acceptable time off. Specifically

what this would mean would be a long-term employee of State Government would be asked to give us five full work weeks a year, just five. The other forty-seven weeks they would have the equivalent of one day off with pay. Now, sure, that's a long-term employee. A new employee, one who has been with us a year, we would ask them to accept only six and a half weeks off with pay per year as opposed to seven and a half weeks off with pay per year for a one year State employee. I think we all know what has happened in our sister states, Michigan just took nineteen days off without pay. Nineteen days off without pay. Iowa closed on Fridays. Big signs, don't come to the State of Iowa on Fridays, we're closed. They didn't have enough money to keep open one day a week so they took a twenty percent cut in pay. I don't think we want to do that, but in order to provide a balanced budget, in order to provide for what the State is supposed to be taxing for, and that is the services that it provides to the eleven and a half million people of this State, in order to give education, mental health, yes, the road fund, just last year's level of funding, we must reorder the budget and ask, basically in this case, State employees to accept...not last year's funding which is what we're trying to bring the services of State government up to, not last year's funding but last year's funding plus what will be for most of them a thirteen percent increase...I'm sorry, a nine percent increase in salaries. And again, asking the long-term employee to give us five full weeks a year and the one year employee to give us...to accept six and a half weeks off. Additionally, we have provided by way of this amendment for the normal type of budget constraints we have placed on agencies, departments, boards and commissions, namely, to keep commodities in line with acceptable increases, no more trips around the country or around the world, to cut the various contracts for professional and artistic and to hold back on

equipment purchases...unless absolutely essential. By way of explanation, the suggestion on personal services will in effect allow us to reorder forty million dollars of spending. The suggestion on productivity will allow us twenty million dollars, and the suggestion on commodities, equipment, professional and artistic contracts, et cetera will produce about twenty-one million dollars, in this particular budget that is all merged into one amendment. And I would urge its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1285. Any discussion? Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Thank you. Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator, this is your amendment that...that's that four percent amendment of yours, right?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Hall, in this particular case...maybe it's a little unfortunate we started with this bill, this is all of the cuts worked into one amendment. In most other budgets that were larger, they were separated, but in this case it is the four percent pay increase, plus the productivity, plus the other savings in...in contracts and in equipment and so on.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Well, I just rise in opposition to this amendment. As laudible as it may seem, and I'm certainly in favor of taking

care of mental health and all these others, but I don't think this is the right way to go, so that...I oppose this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Yes, I do, too. Mr. President and Senator Carroll, I just wish that this had not emanated from this side. I understand your reasoning. We're trying to save elementary and secondary, we're trying to save higher ed, we're trying to save mental health, but I don't...I can't really say that the State employees are that well paid, and I thought that this was part of the package that gave them some sort of remuneration for much of the low pay scale that they've had for years, so I am violently opposed to this.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in support of this amendment. Unfortunately, I should also explain that this bill no longer has anything to do with the chain of lakes or dredging, it is now the Judicial Inquiry Board and perhaps this is an appropriate agency to start this process as far as I'm concerned. Simply put though, I view these amendments and the...our attempt to get these bills into shape and send them over to the House as the opening of some negotiations on arriving at a balanced State budget with a reasonable set of priorities. I think Senator Carroll's plan is a good beginning. I think we should support it, and I think we should all recognize in doing so that the final product that clears this Chamber and the Chamber across the way at the end of the month may not be exactly the same, but the simple fact is that we are going to have to make some tough decisions, we are going to have to set some priorities

and that's what we're all about. And I think some of the priorities that Senator Carroll has mentioned are clearly things that we all support on both sides of the aisle. I do not think this is an unreasonable beginning of the negotiations and I urge favorable votes on both sides of the aisle.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Just one quick question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Can you quickly identify a little more clearly what you mean by so many weeks off for this employee and so many weeks off for another employee? Is this the reduction in...sick days and that sort of thing? Thank you.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes, Senator Berning, to explain it a little more fully. As you know, effectively all we do is provide dollars. We provide them to the Personal Services line for the department, board agency or commission to spend. But in identifying what is being allocated today, we found that the State employee who starts today a year from today gets ten vacation days. If he's here a long time, he gets twenty-five vacation days. In addition to that, currently, all of them get eleven paid holidays, three paid personal days, twelve paid sick days, plus the day after Thanksgiving that nobody ever counts on any chart, and most offices go to skeleton so that every employee gets off with pay either the day before Christmas or the day before New Years. Add that together, you're talking seven and a half weeks for a one year employee and fifty-two days or one day a week for the long-term employee. We have

suggested that the...nationwide average for both public and private employment is eight sick days a year. The nationwide average, both public and private employment, is one and a half personal days a year. We suggested they go from twelve to eight sick days and from three to two personal days, leaving everything else the same. Effectively that means again, new employees six and a half weeks with pay off per year, long-term employee, they have to work five full weeks a year, the other forty-seven they get one day off.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Lenke.

SENATOR LENKE:

You know, we're...what...what gets me here is the fact we're talking about a pay raise and we don't have the money to pay some of the budgets. It's nice to have to give a four percent pay raise and have the Governor agree to an eight percent with AFSCME, if we had the money it'd be fine. But I have always been against percentage pay raises, because just imagine, just for example, take a man that makes thirty thousand dollars a year, that individual will get twelve hundred dollars a year pay raise, but then take another man that makes ten thousand dollars a year, he's going to get two-thirds less, he's going to get four hundred dollars. He's the guy that needs the money. He's the guy that...that's going to get it and it's been my experience in the past...sitting on appropriations that when these pay raises go they filter very small amounts of money to the guys that really need the money and they take care of the people at the top. I, in all conscience, can't support the pay raise for any kind of increase or any deduction of benefits until something is worked out. I think it's bad for us as a legislator to pay State employees when we're asking people in private industries, in the auto industry, and the steel industry and all over to take a reduction in pay, and take a reduction in

benefits, renegotiate their contracts to help the economy, and yet we, as a State Body, go out and put forth the pay raise, whether it be four percent or not, but it still is not going to filter down to the guy that needs the money and that's the small man, and I cannot go with this. I'd rather see, if we have the money, to give a flat grant to all employees at the same amount. I ask for a No vote on this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I'd like to ask the the sponsor of the amendment a question if I might.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

I understand that the...the...in...in this budget as proposed by the Governor was, in fact, the eight percent pay raise for these State employees in this division. Is that correct? The...the Governor submitted this budget to the Legislature with the eight percent pay increase in the budget and it was as a result of a negotiated contract that he signed two years ago with AFSCME, is that correct?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Demuzio, he submitted the budget with a Personal Service line that would have provided non-AFSCME as well as AFSCME employees an eight percent raise. It's my understanding that the State employment is about half and half. Whether that was subject to his contract negotiations or not, he honestly didn't tell me, but it is the dollar amount therein so provided. However, he also submitted a budget

that cut education, elementary and secondary, thirty million below last year's level, cut higher ed eighteen and a half million below last year's level and did not follow the law on the road fund, wherein he provided forty million dollars out of the road fund for paying what by law are supposed to be paid out of general revenue. Part of the funding from this entire program will be to provide road fund dollars back for the road fund and provide general revenue dollars to pay those employees.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Well, my next question is, will the Governor sign this if it's put on his desk?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

The Governor, in fact, will sign a proposal that would give the State employees four percent less than what he has already negotiated under the collective bargaining agreement under the Executive Order which he has signed. If he has submitted the budget to the Legislature with that eight percent pay raise in it, then I think that we ought to fund it, and I would rise in opposition to this... this amendment specifically on those grounds.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator...Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. First of all, inquiry of the Chair. We all know what we're doing here in that...that this

is the...the proposed four percent pay increase starting in January as opposed to the eight percent starting in July. My inquiry, Mr. President, is, I'm assuming there will be a roll call requested if not, I...I certainly will request one on this particular proviso...this amendment if it...let's assume that it passes, then will we be in the posture of taking simply voice votes on future amendments of this type on future bills. That's question number one. Question number two is, if it does not pass, then we are in the position of having to skip most of the appropriation bills in that additional amendments are...are built upon this particular amendment so that we'll have to redo the staff work is my point. So, what's going to be the posture...then I want to speak on the...on the issue as soon as you give me some information on those two points.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

If you request a roll call on this one, we will take a roll call if you're joined by other Senators. And when the other amendments come up if other Senators or you make the request for roll calls, we'll take them on those amendments. As to the question of staff work, the Chair will take the next bill in order and if they're ready, we'll go with it, if they're not, we'll hold it. So, I mean I...really I...

SENATOR BUZBEE:

All...all I'm trying to...I'm not trying to create a problem for you, I'm not trying to be argumentative, I'm just trying to save some time, because as I indicated on some of the future bills there are five, six, seven, eight amendments and all of those amendments are not operative, if this amendment...this type of amendment fails, because all the rest of the amendments are predicated upon this amendment. So, therefore, we're going to have to redo them over the course of the evening which means that we, for the most part will not be able to...to deal with appropriation bills on 2nd

reading today. Now, to the issue, my seatmate and I have...have shared duties as Appropriation chairmen for six years now and on almost every occasion, with a few minor ones here and there, we have been in agreement. This is one where we happen to be in disagreement. I have indicated that...my opposition to this amendment, to this concept, I continue to stand opposed to the concept and will vote accordingly. This State is in dire financial straits. The Governor's rhetoric to the contrary notwithstanding, we are in bad shape. He has yet to admit to the fact that we're a hundred and forty-five million dollars shy in revenue that he has estimated. We're not going to have that revenue that he has estimated. He has yet to admit to the fact that his Fiscal Year '83 budget request is built on an assumption of getting the same Federal dollars in Fiscal Year '83 that we're getting in Fiscal Year '82. Nobody knows how much shortfall we're going to have from the Feds but it could possibly be an additional one hundred and fifty million dollars, which means that if this paragon of pessimism is correct, we are going to be three hundred million dollars shortfall for Fiscal Year '83. Now, I don't know...since the Governor doesn't admit either of those, and since the Governor, up to this point, has not shown any willingness to sit down and talk as to where we're going to make that...that revenue shortfall up, since the Governor is not willing to discuss any acceptable "revenue enhancement measures," I don't know what his plans are. At this point last year, we had received the Governor's Budget Message II and then, of course, we got Governor's Budget Message III shortly thereafter. This year I'm assuming that he is going to play the role of the hero and come back in July after we are over and...after we are finished and say that the General Assembly has over appropriated and I'm going to save the taxpayers of this State by cutting funds. Now, I don't know how he proposes to do this, but as of yet we have

not heard anything from the Governor's Office other than the fact that he sent out a memo the other day saying don't talk to Buzbee and Carroll, agency heads, and don't give them the names of any...any folks whose...who are exempt from the hiring freeze, because we don't want them to know who we're exempting from the hiring freeze. And so, we...we're in a posture at this point of not knowing what his plans are. This...by cutting those low paid State employees, several of whom are making fourteen thousand dollars a year to guard the most hardened criminals imaginable, and they go to fear...they go to work every day with fear of their life in their hearts and we're paying them fourteen thousand dollars a year, this is not the place...you just got back, Senator Becker, don't get so excited already, my goodness, we...it's your first day back. It's...this is not...not the way to save the dollars. I would like for us to be able to sit down and talk sometime with the Governor as to how he plans to save the dollars, but up to this point, he has not come up with any ideas apparently. So, I rise in opposition to this amendment and would request a roll call when the time comes for us to vote on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright. Senator Jerome Joyce, Senator DeAngelis, Senator Jeremiah Joyce and Senator Totten are on my list in that order and the...we'll start the clock. Senator Jerome Joyce.
SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would just like to point out that we're claiming to save the State twenty...million dollars on the amount of sick days, but by reducing the number of sick...earned sick days saves only where the employees carry over none from the previous year which is just a small majority of the State employees. You know, for instance, if you have someone carrying twenty or thirty sick days along until they get...until they happen to need them so

we cut those down, they're still...unless they get very, very ill are not going to use them. So that twenty million dollars, it seems to, is...is a fallacy. And there's just a lot of things here in this amendment, that I might point out, that just don't ring true to form and I would urge a No vote on this.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

...thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I have a question and a statement. A question of the sponsor of the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Will he...will he yield to a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Yes, he'll indicate he yields.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Senator Carroll, how many jobs does this amendment save?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I don't know that it...in my opinion, Senator DeAngelis, you're saving over twenty-five hundred jobs that would not be available to the State otherwise.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Well, I think for those of you who seem to be concerned about the cutbacks, I think there ought to be equally as much concern for the number of people that will be laid off if this does not pass. Now I think if you were to question people as to whether they would like to make this sacrifice

or have people laid off, and I spent all Saturday in my district office talking to many of these people, the vast majority are showing far more compassion for both the service and the benefit of their fellow employees by saying, we will, in fact, accept this if it means that some of our fellow workers will stay employed. And I think with the situation that we have in Illinois with unemployment, we ought to give some balance to that argument.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Question, sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Did I understand you to say to Senator Demuzio that the Governor will sign this if...if we pass it?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

You understood me correctly, yes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

How do you know that?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Because it's an appropriation bill for the Department of Government, he would sign an appropriation bill.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

You should take Senator Buzbee along with you next time

you meet with him.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright, Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I rise in support of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1285 as I do in...with all the other amendments that Senator Carroll has. I have to applaud the creativity of Senator Carroll and Senator Buzbee, who has since deserted the ship for bringing...for bringing before us an initiative on appropriations that comes from other than the second floor. This is the Body that appropriates the money, we execute the budget. We are faced with a difficult fiscal times, and it behooves the Appropriations Committees to look at alternatives as to how we can address that crisis. The proposal before us in this amendment and other similar ones seems to me to be a reasonable manner in which we can go about addressing the difficult times that we're in. This is an initiative of the Legislature. For my nine and a half years down here I've sat on the Appropriations Committee every year, and it seems to me that the initiatives that come from the Legislature are the...are the ones that have the most creativity and the most substance. This initiative comes from the other side of the aisle, it's one that I can support. I think it's one that most Republicans can support, and I think it's one that in these times all members should support, for we are asking no more than to preserve really some twenty-five hundred jobs by asking members of the union to...to defer a pay increase until January, no more than what we have asked unions in the private sector to do throughout this country. It seems to me that when this nation will probably only be facing three to four percent inflation in the next fiscal year that we can ask public employees to only take a pay increase of four percent, that seems reasonable.

There is no scarcity of people looking for State jobs, no matter what the salary is, that...and no matter what the risks are in those jobs, we still continually have more people asking for those jobs than there are jobs available. That would mean that the supply far exceeds the demand no matter what the salary may be and that as long as that situation exists there is no demand on this Legislature to increase the salaries, and that the deferment in the pay increase as proposed by Senator Carroll and once proposed by Senator Buzbee deserves our favorable support and I stand here as one who supports that measure.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright. Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I'd move the previous question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright. I have Senators Geo-Karis, Johns, Philip, Buzbee and Demuzio on my list. We'll honor their...Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Yes, I'd just like to remind those on the other side of the aisle, if this is so palatable, let's see the votes come from that side for it, and every time you mention Senator Carroll's name as being the one who proposed it, I hope you realize that Senator Carroll speaks for Senator Carroll, he does not speak for the Democratic Party, and I know that he, being the man that he is, is just speaking for Senator Carroll, and the Democrats over here, you will see, do not support this by any kind of majority vote.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Just one question of the sponsor. Are you saying then

that if we don't follow your amendment here that there will be about twenty-five hundred jobs that are going to be lost?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Geo-Karis, the answer is not that simple. What I am saying is, the state of the economy as such and the way the budget is proposed and with the changes necessary just merely to follow the law on the road fund, that forty million alone that the Governor failed to budget out of general revenue, if taken from personal services would, in fact, cause...cause a twenty-five hundred person layoff. It could be greater if the economy gets worse.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

In other words, if I understand you correctly, that if we pass your amendment here, that there will not be any layoffs, is that what you're saying to me?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

What I have said is if, in fact, we allocate this amount of money to personal services, and if, in fact, the union and the executives sit at a table and agree to accept the four percent January 1st, there need not be those layoffs. I am advised by both the union, who I've spoken to, and the Governor, who I have spoken to, that if, in fact, they pay the eight percent January 1st under these dollar figures, it would cause twenty-five hundred people to be laid off.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Thank you, Mr. President. Have I been led to believe that the Governor of this State has approved your amendment, Senator Carroll?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I didn't lead you to believe that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

Is there been any indication from the second floor at all, their position on this matter?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Not to me.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Philip.

SENATOR PHILIP:

My information is, they are not supporting your amendment, just to keep the record clear and straight.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

By way of explanation, Senator Philip, the question asked of me was would the Governor sign the bill with this amendment on it. The answer is, yes, as I answered to Senator Jeremiah Joyce, it's an appropriation bill for a department, he would sign it. It is not an addition so he can't veto it out, he would sign it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. On a point of personal privilege, Senator Totten mentioned my name in debate and so I rise to this occasion. It was never the Carroll-Buzbee proposal. There was an erroneous newspaper story which came out. It was erroneous in that the...reporter simply did not go far enough in his explanation. There were two proposals which were discussed, one of which was mine and the other which was Senator Carroll's. My proposal was dealing with the funding of elementary and secondary education and higher education and it stood entirely on its own and the funds would be generated within those agencies via their pension systems or something similar to that and had absolutely nothing to do with this proposal. And I from the very beginning never supported this proposal.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Demuzio.

SENATOR DEMUZIO:

Yes, I apologize for...speaking a second time, Mr. President, but I...I think, you know, I've heard some things here about this is going to save some jobs, it's going to save twenty-five hundred State employees. The fact of the matter is that the Governor has submitted a budget to us with the eight percent that he already negotiated, it's already in the budget, if we fund it, nobody's going to get laid off. So, we're not saving twenty-five hundred jobs simply because of the fact that we reduce the eight percent to four percent. And that's just erroneous.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll, you may close on your motion.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Why, thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Let me just make a couple of comments. One, as has been identified, I don't think there's any question in anyone's mind on either side of the aisle, on either side of the Rotunda or anybody who watches us, that the Governor's budget proposal as submitted is A, out of whack; B, out of balance and C, if passed in its entirety, illegal. That's not even the issue. I mean, the road fund, part of it is only a small piece, and therein he said that he asked the Attorney General whom he appointed for an opinion and the Attorney General told the appointor, "You didn't do anything wrong, but if the General Assembly passes that...it that way, it would be illegal." We, in fact, pass laws that are not in the budget but that we have to respond to and that is, in fact, funding forty million out of general revenue instead of out of the road fund. Many of the members of this Assembly are very concerned with the inadequate funding level of the road fund and part of this package approaches that problem. It is only a proposed solution, no one ever claimed it to be the best, the greatest, but it is the only one that has surfaced, it's the only one that has given us a sense of fiscal integrity, it is the only plan so far, and there's still five, six weeks to go, that would allow us to keep the State from bankruptcy, yet provide what I think is what the State's mandate is. If you ask your people what do they pay taxes for, they're not going to tell you for seventy-five thousand State employees. If they tell you anything at all it would be, we pay our taxes to this State to provide us with service, things like education, mental health, roads, drug enforcement units, and on and on and on, and yet the budget as submitted actually put those below, in times of inflation, actually below last year's funding level,...while increasing to potentially thirteen percent the amount of money that would be paid to State employees and other areas such as

co-payments that we've provided in here not following that and other such things. My own opinion has been, we have to look and be practical. What did we see recently at Caterpillar? What did we see in Michigan? What did we see in Iowa? What just got the old Inland plant this morning to potentially open again? And that is a sensible approach to these economic times, sensible in the sense of asking, in this case, our State employees to work with us, not to take a cut if we can avoid it, but sit at the table, sit at the bargaining table with the other side of the bargaining unit. We're not part of it. We are not part of that agreement, we are not at the table, we aren't invited to the table and we probably shouldn't be at the table. The executive and the unions sit and negotiate. This suggests they sit, they realize the problem, and let them provide a solution to each other. I called the union last week and asked them to sit down and discuss this. I also called the Governor, Director of the Bureau of the Budget, the Deputy Governor, and sat with each of them and said, "How do we get this ball rolling? How do we get you to sit down and recognize the problem?" And I don't think we've reached a solution yet, but this is the first step down that very long road. And let me say as has been said, as a suggestion from others, I've been brought up in the labor movement. My late father was a business agent, international business representative, treasurer of what's now the State AFL-CIO. He was the first treasurer of that. He taught me many things, one of which was keep your people working. It's better to take a little less but have full employment, and I think that's what this is trying to do. The money's just not there for everything. It's not pie in the sky. The tax increases proposed didn't even get a successful committee vote. And I think that's indicative of the times. If you're not...part of the solution, you are part of the problem, and if we are, in fact, going to fund

the services the State gives to it's eleven and a half million people at just last year's level, the dollars as proposed are have...are going to have to be readjusted. And I suggest this is the first step.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1285. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 30, the Nays are 25. The motion to adopt...the amendment is adopted. Further amendments, Mr. Secretary?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

END OF REEL

REEL #2

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a restoration in the Travel line of two thousand dollars for the Judicial Inquiry Board based on their actual costs of going to the American Judicature Society Convention. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1292, Senator Simms. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1292.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Marovitz. Senator Marovitz, we have two amendments which are identical but with one minor change alright, you want to go with the one marked 01 or...or...

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I'd like to go with the last one filed, and I would move to Table the first amendment. Fine, withdraw...is it Amendment No. 1?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

It doesn't have a number...the...the Secretary has your other amendment, Senator Marovitz. We're ready to go with that if this is the one you wish to proceed with.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I'd have to see the other amendment...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright. Senator Lenke, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR LENKE:

...a personal privilege. I'd like to introduce in the President's gallery two aldermen from the...the City of Palos Hills, Harriet Pattress and Alderman Ed Jones who are down here competing for the home town awards and the Village of the...Palos Hills is one of the possible recipients.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Would our guests please rise and be recognized by the Senate, please. Senator Marovitz is recognized on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you very much, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment would just assure that these schools which we are dealing with here, these centers, that we would have assurance that they would be in full compliance with all safety code and health code requirements, and this would mandate that they would evidence that requirement with the State Fire Marshal, and the State Fire Marshal only, according to the rules promulgated by the State Fire Marshal as to the inspections by the State or local municipality, the date of the inspections and the result of the inspection. The necessity for this is the...the concept that it is the State's obligation, and should be the State's obligation, to care for the health, welfare and safety of all the children...of all the school children of the State of Illinois as the State always has done. This would take away that

obligation and we want to make sure that the State maintains its commitment by Amendment No. 1. The State Fire Marshal presently inspects hospitals, day-care centers, nursing homes, churches, and this in no way the...would have anything to do with what courses can be taught, the teaching of religion, or what or can or cannot be taught in schools, has nothing whatsoever to do with that, only to do with things like staff ratios, health examinations, safety codes, the things that we are concerned about if we care about the welfare of children and school children across the State of Illinois. The Department of Children and Family Services is not involved in this amendment in any way at all, and it really says that we are going to make sure that these schools fulfill their health requirements and safety and fire code requirements promulgated and that the...the fire marshal will be able to inspect them, and we will have a record of what those inspections are. I've talked to the State Fire Marshal, and he has told me that without this amendment he would not be able to find these schools only by accident or only by complaint. The problem with that is, after an accident or after a complaint, such as the fire at Angel Guardian in Chicago, you've got children dead and you've got an after-the-fact situation. A recent article in a Chicago paper...just last month evidenced a private school-church in the City of Chicago where...rats were found...the fire department came, rats were found throughout the facility, no heating in the facility, the roof was leaking, there was no fire alarm system in the facility, the most important problem was a defective switch meant to turn on emergency lighting if the main electrical system failed. I don't think we want our kids in facilities like this, and if all we're doing, if all we're doing is making sure that the State of Illinois has a record that these facilities have been inspected and that our children are safe, that's all this amendment says, and I

would ask for adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1292.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion of the motion? Senators...see I have Senator Simms, Senator Geo-Karis, Senator Lemke and Senator Berning. Senator Simms.

SENATOR SIMMS:

Well, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I rise in opposition to amendment to this bill. This amendment, very frankly, is nothing but a attempt to dilute the bill and to not accomplish very much at all. The legislation requires that health, fire and safety inspections can be promulgated by rules and regulations by the State of Illinois. This legislation is requiring more of the church schools than what it's requiring of the public school system already, or the parochial school systems that already register with the State Board of Education. This legislation is...amendment is a non-essential part of this bill, in fact, it's more of a amendment to make things more complicated in the bill, it's something, very frankly, to expand patronage if you want to look at it that way, create more State jobs, political jobs, and frankly, the amendment is not needed. The sponsor of the amendment has never voted for the bill in committee nor on the Floor, nor does he support the concept. It's a dilatorius method to try to dilute this legislation and give the church schools the opportunity to operate without the interference of government. They are protected under the legislation by the appropriate fire and safety codes. The director of the Department of Children and Family Services, the administration is not in favor of this amendment, and I would urge that this amendment be defeated.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Geo-Karis.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, to answer some of the statements made by the proponent of the amendment, I cannot imagine of one parent who'd let their child stay in any facility where rats are running around and so forth. Number two, this bill was carefully worked on by the director of the Department of Children and Family Services, and the provisions of this bill specifically say that the requirements for tax exempt status must be met by any facility under this bill which means that, no, the Federal Government is not going to let a fly-by-night operation operate. Number two, it also says they cannot be receiving governmental funds and it's...it's got to be a part of a school and it's a church school...education program, and the program must meet appropriate...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

May we have some order please.

SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

...State...State or local health and fire safety standards. And I'd like to suggest, in opposition to this amendment, that certainly if any of my constituents had a facility that didn't operate strictly within accordance of this bill, all they had to do is call me, and I...I can guarantee you there would be a local fire...inspector there. I think it's...this amendment is superfluous, I think it's already covered about health, safety and welfare in the bill and I speak against the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Lemke.

SENATOR LEMKE:

I rise against this amendment. This is an attempt to overregulate private parochial schools, its attempt to do something for a reason. When I was approached by certain lobbyists, I asked them why they wanted this amendment, they couldn't explain any reason whatsoever about it, except that

the Moral Majority was for this...was for this bill and this was an attempt to water down one of the...Moral Majority's viewpoints. I don't think because one incident where you have neglect on the fire inspection of a particular locality, we should put requirements that the State Fire Marshal start going in inspecting. I think local governments will inspect local...make local fire inspections, because it's their kids and they are concerned about it. And if that local official does not make that inspection, I can assure you he will not be re-elected the next time when a tragedy occurs. I rise in opposition, and I ask everybody else to vote against this amendment. It's just another attempt by a liberal element to water down something that is good for the morals of this society.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Berning. Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of this amendment. This amendment is really an attempt to make a very bad bill better. We heard considerable debate on this bill in committee, and I think most of us who opposed the amendment, opposition was based only on the necessity of providing some assurance and protection to the health, welfare and safety of children under the age of five years old. I think it was very clearly discussed in committee that those of us who were in opposition was not opposing the philosophy or any religious ideology that we were concerned about the safety of the kids and that's what this amendment does. In talking with the fire marshalls, the fire marshalls does not go into any facility to inspect that facility unless it is authorized by the Department of Children and Family Services specifically relating to pre-schools or those facilities that house pre-schools. And there would be no reason for them to go in to look and to inspect those facilities unless we man-

date some way in this legislation that they do so. That is what the sponsor is attempting to do here. Someone said that after the tragedy is over, that the people in the local areas would, in fact, hold them accountable. Well, we don't want to wait until a tragedy occur. Some of us have seen tragedies occur where whole schools of children were burned. It is too late after the fact. I think this is a good amendment and we should support it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would address this really to Senator Simms. There were some of us in committee who felt, I think, a good deal of sympathy with the point of view that the church schools were trying to express, but we're not willing, those of us who did not vote for the bill in committee, we're not willing to leave this major a gap in the bill, and it was, in our judgement, a very serious gap. It seems to me that this amendment makes it possible for us, in good conscience, to vote for the bill without feeling that we are jeopardizing the safety of some of the children, perhaps not in those schools, but in others that might come under the same rubric. And it seems to me that if you want this bill passed without any difficulty, this amendment is going to make it possible for that to happen, and I would urge you to let us put this amendment on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would rise in opposition to this amendment. It seems to me, in the first place, that if Senator Simms wants his bill in a particular order, we always say the sponsor has the right to have his bill come up under that position, have it any way he wants it, then we can dis-

cuss that when the bill comes up for the vote. But this constant harangue of trying to address this particular issue, it seems to me that it stems back from last year if we look at the history of it, when we had a separate bill for this one issue and we said, well, the two can pass and this...of course, this Senate overwhelmingly passed the bill and it went out of here and went to the Governor's Desk and it was vetoed. We must recall that then the Department of Children and Family Services and the Governor got together with...with the sponsor, and the churches, and the representation and...and did, in fact, come up with a compromise bill. There are enough safeguards in this present bill, there is adequate performance, it is a fair representation because it treats them the same as it does the public schools, it does limit it only to those particular schools that meet the particular criteria in the bill, and it seems to me that we're right back again to the same issue of trying to force licensing upon the churches and...and then, I would be opposed to that. We can discuss that in the issue and I would urge us to defeat this amendment, and, Mr. President, I would ask for a roll call on this amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Marovitz may close.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Thank you very much, Mr. President. Well, I want to correct some misconceptions that were promulgated by those opposing the amendment. This has nothing to do with liberals versus conservatives or Moral Majority or anything else. I'd just like to read you from...and if you want to call this a liberal newspaper, we can do that, I'd like to read you from the Bloomington Panagraph which, I think, perhaps you might be familiar with, Senator Simms. This is...this is a...this is a...this is a very liberal newspaper down...I don't know,

somewhere in the Central State, this is an editorial called Day-care Safety. "Should day-care centers operated by Christian schools meet the same health and safety standards required of other day-care centers? No one we know would argue otherwise. Should the Christian facilities be licensed by the State to make sure they meet those standards? The Illinois Association of Christian Schools wants no State licensing. Its members view health and safety concerns as the parents prerogatives, but from other sectors, including church groups, comes a more reasonable approach, that's the move for a compromise that merely...requiring that Christian schools file a yearly statement showing compliance with the State's health and safety codes." That's all this is, that these schools file a yearly statement showing compliance with the health and safety codes of the State of Illinois. And if anybody considers this a trivial matter, go back to your constituents and tell them that you think the health and safety of school children of the State of Illinois is a trivial matter. The Bloomington Panagraph did not consider it a trivial matter and neither do I. These schools are licensed now. We are not interfering with them, they are licensed now. This bill would take away a licensing. All we're doing is saying that if we're going to take away licensing of these facilities, at least we ought to know and have on file with the State Fire Marshal the fact that they are in compliance with health, safety and fire regulations of the State of Illinois. That's what this does. If you think that's trivial, so be it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1292. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 21, the Nays are 33. The motion

SB 1296
2nd Reading

to adopt is lost. Senator Donnewald, for what purpose do you rise?

SENATOR DONNEWALD:

Yes, Mr. President, as to Senate Bill 1285, while I was voting your switch, I found later that my switch was locked and had I been able to vote, my vote would have been No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright. Electronic record will so indicate. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1296, Senator Marovitz. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1296.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Judiciary I offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Marovitz on Amendment No. 1. Senator Marovitz moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1. Discussion of the motion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Marovitz on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

I would move to withdraw Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill... 1296.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Alright. Amendment...the amendment is withdrawn. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Marovitz.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

Yeah, this amendment will take away the...the rather broad language that was in the committee amendment and will just reiterate that this particular cemetery charter for this one particular cemetery doesn't affect any other cemeteries in the State of Illinois, which was given a tax exempt status. If...this reiterates the fact that if real estate held by the company for non-burial purposes and not for the general use of lot holders and not subservient to burial uses would not be so tax exempt, and I would...it's been prepared with the cooperation of the Assessors Office in the County of Cook, it is far less broad reaching than the...than the amendment we put on in the committee. It is very specific and just reiterates the intent of the charter, and I would ask for the adoption of...of this amendment to Senate Bill 1296.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

Is this the...question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield, Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

Is this the amendment that goes back, to tax it,

way...all the way back to 1859?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

This replaces that amendment, takes all that away. That was far too broad. The committee indicated it was too broad, and this takes all that away, it doesn't go back at all.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

In other words, this is not the amendment that was passed in committee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

That is correct. This will replace that and take all that language out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Ozinga.

SENATOR OZINGA:

I didn't hear that amendment Tabled.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator...

SENATOR OZINGA:

Just now?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

...Senator Marovitz.

SENATOR MAROVITZ:

That...that amendment was adopted. This amendment, by the language that it strikes, takes all that language out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? On the motion to adopt Amendment No. 2, all those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SB 1299
2nd Reading

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1299, Senator Totten. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1299.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Totten to explain Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR TOTTON:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the House. Committee...or the Senate. Committee Amendment...it almost sounds like the House sometimes. Committee Amendment No. 1 was an agreed amendment between Senator Dawson and the members of the committee to amend Senator Dawson's bill into...into this. What we'd like to do is to move the bill to 3rd reading. There's probably going to be a further agreed amendment either tomorrow, and we'd like to move it to 3rd with this amendment on it and then bring it back later.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No amendments from the Floor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill...1321, Senator Netsch. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1321.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Revenue offers one amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Netsch to explain Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you, Mr. President. I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1. We have a substitute amendment which incorporates those changes and makes a couple of other changes that were worked out with the concurrence of the realtors and takes care of their concern about the bill. I have shown the amendment to Senator McMillan, and it will be on 2nd reading for a day in case there are any problems, but I believe there will not be. I would, therefore, move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to Table. Those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Netsch.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

Thank you. This is the committee amendment with the...a couple of changes as I indicated. There were one or two

points...I...I should say that this deals with the scavenger sale. And the...there was general agreement about the purpose of the bill itself which was to allow the personal liability for taxes to survive whatever happened as a result of the scavenger sale itself. There was no dispute about that, and the language that has now been inserted into the committee amendment, I think, clarifies those points and also eliminates some of the concerns that the realtors had about the original committee amendment. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1321.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? Senator McMillan.

SENATOR McMILLAN:

Mr....Mr. President and members of the Senate, this is an improvement over the amendment that the committee passed. It does exactly as Senator Netsch has indicated, and I would...would also seek its approval.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Motion to adopt, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1324, Senator Grothberg. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1324.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Grothberg.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grothberg.

SENATOR GROTHBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President and fellow members. Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1324 incorporates the rest of the units of local government into what we sent out of here as Senate Bill 59. This by reference puts in the greater of nine percent or one hundred and twenty-five percent of the twenty general obligation bond index of the average municipal bond yields published in the most recent issue of the Bond Buyer which was the guts of Senate 59. This merely incorporates port districts, special assessments, river conservancies, et cetera. And I would move the adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

Senator Grothberg, if you'll answer a question. We get in trouble sometimes by referencing Federal Statutes, independent agencies, independent publications, who...who publishes the Bond Buyer, and why are we referencing them...to them in our Statute?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grothberg.

SENATOR GROTHBERG:

Thank you. Senator Rhoads, the answer to your question is, the Bond Buyer is a financial...I don't know who publishes it, but we are referencing to Senate Bill 59 that we passed out of here by...when we first came back last month...all those non-home rule municipalities the new language, and it is in place, and instead of changing all of the Statutes, we took every...every Statute and by reference put them back to that. Does that help you? Alright, good. It

never...it doesn't help, but it doesn't hurt. It puts everybody on the same basis. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. On the motion, all...further discussion? Senator Gitz.

SENATOR GITZ:

Senator, this amendment is about sixty-seven pages long. Nobody over here seems to have got it until just now. Has this been distributed?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBORG:

Thank you. Senator Gitz, I believe that this is the kind of an amendment that we have that rule for, that if you want some, they...they are available. But if you'll go through it, it's a statutory cleanup bill referencing units of government, one after the other, to Senate Bill 59 and the nine percent or one hundred and twenty-five percent language. Most of those contain now an old seven or eight or a nine percent cap, if you'll recall, Senator, and it's just a shopping list of them, nothing secret or devisive about it. It took us this long...I've been going by it for a month because it was agreed, and I think, out of Senator Demuzio's committee, we would use it for a vehicle to cleanup the rest of the bond language.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Gitz, we may get back to you in just a moment. Senator Kenneth Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Will the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield. Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

Senator, are...are you removing the oversight of the Gen-

eral Assembly from this bill?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBORG:

This bill never did have anything of the oversight. It changes the rules, Senator Hall. Instead of an old nine percent or an eight percent cap, it puts it into that flexible cap, the flexible cap being the ceiling which would be greater...the greater of nine percent or one hundred and twenty-five percent of the twenty average bond index as published by the Bond Buyer, which all the bond companies and...and bond counsel use as a reference today in the sale of bonds and putting them to market.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL:

I understand you...you...your cleaning up the bill, but what you're trying to...are you changing all references to seventy percent of prime? Are you...are you changing that also?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBORG:

That's effectively it, yeah.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Hall.

SENATOR GROTBORG:

The thing you've done with several of your own units of government that you have sponsored and that I have co-sponsored with you. We keep changing them every year. This puts them all in the same bag.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? On the motion to adopt, all those in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes

have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1352, Senator Rock. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

(Machine cut-off)...1352.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you...thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 does about four things. The Higher Ed Cooperative Grants were reduced to FY '82 levels, grants to private schools were reduced proportionate to public university reductions, the Health Education Grants were reduced to reflect undated...updated, rather, enrollment projections and program improvement funds were eliminated and fourth, the VHE Operations were reduced to eliminate pay increases and general cost increases, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1352 indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. The effect of this amendment is, that it adds twenty-two thousand dollars of GRF to provide a four percent pay increase phase at six months and calculated at ninety-five percent of the base to the Board of Higher Education staff. This is in lieu of the Governor's proposal that would allow them no pay increases at all, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, those in favor of adopting Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1352 indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No amendments from the Floor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1353, Senator Weaver. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1353.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee. Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the reduction to the Governor's budgetary request level. What it does is, compared to the FY '83 Board of Higher Education recommendation, the Governor's budget does the following: It eliminates any FY '83 salary increases, it eliminates general cost

increases, and it funds only eighty percent of anticipated FY'83 utility rate increases, it reduces FY'83 personal services by 2.5 percent compared to FY '82 levels, it eliminates BHE recommended new and expanded program support, it eliminates funds recommended by BHE to replace obsolete scientific and technological equipment, and again, I would point out this is the Governor's amendment, not ours, but we need to put it in this shape for the following amendments. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? If not, the question is, shall Amendment No. 1 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Any further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment adds five million six hundred eighty-six thousand dollars GRP to provide for four percent pay increases phased at six months and calculated on ninety-five percent of the personal services base for the University of Illinois. The BHE recommendation included funds for seven percent pay increases plus two percent phased at six months for faculty and staff. The Governor's budget eliminated all pay increases, and this allows a flat four percent starting in January. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there any discussion? Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

Will the Gentleman yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR WALSH:

Senator Buzbee, I get the impression from the amendments that were adopted on the last bill and those that you proposed to this that apparently it's the intention of the...of these committee amendments to conform these other agencies to the Judicial Advisory Board which was Senate Bill 1285 wherein we adopted an...an amendment which would have phased in the pay increases at four percent January 1st, rather than eight percent on July 1st. Is...is that the drift of these amendments, and are they all going to be consistent?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, with one notable exception, Senator Walsh, and that is that the Governor's recommended level for all of higher education was zero pay increases, and we are simply advocating a four percent pay increase starting in January for higher education. And by the way, this amount is funded, Senator, not from any dollar savings that would come from...from the prior amendments that were adopted or the ones that may be adopted in the future that Senator Carroll has advocated, but these amendments are funded by, internally within the university pension...or rather, pardon me, the university appropriation bills themselves by reducing the pension pay out from seventy percent in FY '83 down to sixty-two and a half percent in FY '83 which is the same level the Governor has it at in this fiscal year. So it's...it's internally generated from the universities themselves.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

Well, I wish I hadn't asked the question, now I know better. My understanding is then, and if I'm wrong, maybe somebody else can correct me, we...we provided for a four percent pay increase for State employees under Senate Bill 1285 effective on January 1st. Now I'm really not too concerned with what the Governor proposed or the Board of Higher Education proposed or the State of Illinois University of Trustees. Apparently, the...the gist is that everybody's going to be treated alike, which seems to me to be a good idea, and I intend to support your amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

Well, I don't think I am, Senator Buzbee, but let me ask you a question first. Is this...is Amendment No. 2...Floor Amendment No. 2 on this bill the beginning of a series of such amendments on a number of bills?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

That is correct.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

I...well, I think it is germane...or pertinent to this amendment to ask the question now then, is...you're going...you intend to offer this same amendment after...you first offer a cutting amendment, then you're going to offer a four percent add on amendment to what, the entire budget, or every department, or what?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

No, the bills...the appropriation bills we have before us

right now, Senator Rhoads, as opposed to those that are still residing in the House, it is the intention to offer this amendment on all of the higher education appropriation bills to point out...to do exactly as Senator Walsh pointed out. To...to make them...give them a four percent pay increase starting in January as opposed to a zero pay increase all year long, what the Governor's budget had proposed.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

Well, in speaking on the last bill you said that you were offering it even though it was the Governor's amendment, and I assume the administration position on this bill...on this amendment is in opposition, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Senator Rhoads, I cannot honestly answer that, because as I said, the funds to fund this are generated internally from the Governor's budget by reducing the pension pay out portion on university pensions from seventy percent back to sixty-two and a half percent which will fund the portion then for the...the pay increases for the universities and community colleges, and by the way, I might add, for a one hundred dollar private tuition increase...or tuition, pardon me, scholarship commission from nineteen fifty to twenty fifty, which as you know, most of that goes to...all of that will go to...to private institutions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

One more quick one then, Senator Buzbee. Are you disassociating yourself from this amendment, or is this your amendment? Your...your in favor, right?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Yes, this...this is the proposal that...that I made that was tied-in with the Carroll proposal which was not the one that I made. But this...this is my proposal, and Senator Weaver and I have talked about it and I think that Senator Weaver agrees that this is a good idea also.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

Question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR KEATS:

Now we're talking about four percent for university employees, in this case U of I. Now you're saying you think that's a good idea and I'm not disagreeing, I'm simply asking one quick question. Considering the community college system which you...will come up in awhile, and that's why I want you to think about that, they have been getting substantially more than anyone else when you compare the academic quality of some of the major State universities. There are those who allege that perhaps we're raising the salary of the least proficient of our instructors. Are you going to hold true when that appropriation comes up, or is that going to be a different area?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Well, Senator Keats, as you know, there is...the State Community College Board does not set the salaries as is true with the other senior institution systems. And so what the community college system will get

is something like an eighty-three cents per credit hour grant increase over and above the Governor's proposed level, and then that money will be used by the community colleges either for pay increases or for their regular utility rate increases or however the individual local board sees fit. Perhaps it could be used to keep their property taxes down, or perhaps it could be used to keep the tuition down. I don't know how each local board will deal with that, that's up to them.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Okay, before we continue, the following Senators have sought recognition on this issue, J.J. Joyce, Sommer, Schaffer, Grotberg and Collins. Senator J.J. Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Just...just a question, Mr. President. Would the sponsor yield?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

He will yield.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Alright, so we're...we're going to give a four percent increase to the professor at some university...the University of Illinois, if he's making thirty thousand dollars, he'll get a twelve hundred dollar a year increase. And then...along...going the same lines, the...the prison guard that's making twelve thousand dollars will get a four percent increase also, he'll get a four hundred and eighty dollar increase, is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

No. The reason it is not correct is because it is...has traditionally been left up to each university system to decide how those pay increase are...are passed out. And, in fact, usually what happens, I know it's happened at the University of Illinois and it's happened in the other insti-

tutions, is that they give their lower paid employees a larger percentage increase, and they give their higher paid employees a smaller percentage pay increase. It's simply that we have taken the Personnel line and added four percent. There's no other way for us to do that. But the Appropriations Committee has over the years traditionally told them, "We don't want those flat pay increases going across the board," for the very reason you just pointed out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer. Senator Donnewald, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR DONNEWALD:

Well, just to remind the Body, it's our intention to go through all of 2nd reading today. Now, we're either going to have a real late supper or a very early breakfast.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor. Is...is it true, Senator Buzbee, that the Governor has allocated a certain amount of dollars for higher ed, and all you're doing with this amendment is allocating within those dollars a...a different allocation I suppose?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

That is...is basically true, Senator Sommer.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

I see Senator Carroll is not on the Floor. Has he disassociated himself from your part of...no, I frankly was going to make the same point that, I believe, Senator Sommer's made. I believe there is a shortfall and I...I

don't recall, it's a couple of million dollars but it's part of the overall package that Senator Buzbee is semi-associated with. And while I think many of us have reservations about raiding the pension funds, and let's face it, that's really what we're talking about, I do think that the concept of giving all the employees the same level of raise is good, and I intend to support the amendment.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator...Senator Grotberg. Senator Collins. If not, Senator Buzbee may close debate..

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Okay. I...I want to get back to Senator Sommer because I don't want to mislead anybody. When I said, "Basically it is...that is correct," in the university systems itself...yeah, Senator Carroll has...has not disassociated as you could just see. Within the university system itself, what we have done with these series of amendments is add eighteen million dollars, the reduction in the university retirement system is a reduction of nine million dollars. So, within the university systems itself, it is a total of nine million dollars over the Governor's allocation. However, when you look at all the pension systems across the spectrum, if we do the same thing for all of them, we're going to be of same bottom dollar line.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

The question is, shall Senate...Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1353 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Any further committee amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No amendments from the Floor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1354, Senator Buzbee. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1354.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the Governor's reduction amendment. Compared to the FY '83 Board of Higher Education recommendation, the Governor's budget does the following: It eliminates FY '83 salary increases, it eliminates general cost increases, it funds only eighty percent of the anticipated FY '83 utility rate increases, it reduces FY '83 personal services by 2.5 percent compared to FY '82 levels, it eliminates BHE recommended new and expanded program support, and eliminates funds recommended by BHE to replace obsolete scientific and technological equipment. And again, I would point out this is the Governor's reduction amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1354. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

...Amendment No. 2 offered by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This adds two million two hundred fifty-two thousand dollars GRF to provide four percent pay increases phased at six months and calculated to ninety-five percent of the personal services base at Southern Illinois University. The BHE recommended level included funds for seven percent pay increases, plus two percent phased at six months for faculty and salary. The Governor's budget eliminated all pay increases, and this would establish four percent starting in January. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1354. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Keats.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Keats. 1354, Senator Keats wishes to withdraw that amendment. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, top of Page 3 is Senate Bill 1355. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1355.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the Governor's reduction amendment. It eliminates FY '83 salary increases, it eliminates general cost increases, funds only eighty percent of the anticipated FY '83 utility rate increases, reduces FY '83 personal services by 2.5 percent, it eliminates BHE recommended new and expanded program support, it eliminates funds recommended by BHE to...replace obsolete scientific and technological equipment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1355. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This adds two million one hundred twenty-nine thousand GRF to provide four percent pay increases phased at six months and calculated to ninety-five percent of the personal services base for the Board of Regents universities. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1355. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No amendments from the Floor.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Carroll on 1356. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, top of Page 3 is Senate Bill 1356. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1356.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee, Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This excludes five million one hundred seventy-nine thousand...one hundred seventy-two thousand dollars recommended in budget for IBA payments and two hundred and twelve thousand for capital projects at Northeastern Illinois University. The Governor's FY '83 budget for public universities made the following changes from the FY '83 Board of Higher Education recommendation. The Governor's amendment that we're considering now eliminates FY '83 salary increases, it eliminates general cost increases, funds only eighty percent of the anticipated FY '83 utility rate increases, reduces FY '83 personal services by 2.5 percent, eliminates BHE recommended new and expanded program support, eliminates funds recommended by BHE to replace obsolete scientific and technological equipment. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1356. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have

it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations: II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This adds one million nine hundred eighty-eight thousand dollars for four percent FY '83 pay increases phased at six months and calculated at ninety-five percent of the personal services base at Board of Governor's universities. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1356. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Bruce on 1357. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1357. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate...Senate Bill 1357.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee, Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the credit hour grant reduction reflecting no salary increases or cost increases with the caveat that I gave to Senator Keats awhile ago, obviously, each individual community college board makes their...those own decisions. But this...this particular amendment, the Governor's amendment, reduces it down to where there would be anticipated no salary increases. It eliminates public service equalization grants and additional support recommended by the Board of Higher Education, grants for programs aimed at disadvantaged students reduced to FY '82 levels, operations of both State Community College of East St. Louis and the community college board office reduced to effect no general cost increases or salary increases. And I could move its adoption.

RESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1357. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. The additional GRF personal services funding will raise the FY '83 average grant hour to nineteen dollars and fifty-four cents. That is an eighty-eight cent per hour...per credit hour grant increase over the average credit hour grant in the Governor's budget of eighteen dollars and sixty-six cents. We have now moved it to nineteen dollars and fifty-four cents. The BHE recommendation included funds for the seven percent pay increase, but this will reflect a four percent pay increase phased in January. This is a 5.2 million dollar addition to the com-

munity college...community college appropriation. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1357. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No amendments from the Floor.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Bruce on 1358. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1358. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1358.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Higher Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce. Alright, take it out of the record for a moment, we'll come back to that. 1359, Senator DeAngelis. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1359. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1359.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1359, Senator

Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the reduction to the Governor's FY '83 budget level, a reduction of ten million three hundred twenty-nine thousand dollars. The Governor's budget provides only a fifty dollar increase in the monetary award program maximum benefit, the monetary award program reduced by two million dollars to reflect the closing of Central YMCA College, administrative expenses reduced to reflect no pay increases or cost increases. Again, this is the Governor's reduction amendment, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1359. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This does two things, it adds sixty-nine thousand dollars to provide for four percent pay increases phased at six months and calculated at ninety-five percent of the personal services base. It also adds one million two hundred thousand dollars GSF to increase the monetary award program maximum award to two thousand and fifty dollars. That is a fifty dollar increase over the FY '83 Governor's budget and one hundred dollars over the current maximum award of one million nine hundred fifty thousand dollars. And of course, all of this increase will go to private educational institutions in this State, and I would move

its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1359. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Committee Amendment No. 2...oh, no further committee amendments, I'm sorry.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No amendments from the Floor.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1360, Senator DeAngelis. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1360. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1360.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator DeAngelis.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis.

SENATOR DeANGELIS:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The...Floor Amendment No. 1 amends the twenty-two fifty to twenty fifty which coincides with the amount that was appropriated in Senate Bill 1359.

PRESIDENT:

Senator DeAngelis has moved the adoption of Amendment No.

1 to Senate Bill 1360. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1361. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1361. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1361.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

END OF REEL

REEL #3

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the reduction of the State contribution to the State Universities Retirement System to seventy percent of gross pay out. This is the Governor's amendment again, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee...on Amendment No. 1 to 1361, he's moved the adoption. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Okay, this is the reduction back to sixty-two and a half percent...it reduces the request by 5.797. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1361. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 63, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1363. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill...1363.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 adds to the Judicial Advisory Council those other commissions that have been introduced in the Senate to follow the procedure we have done in prior years of having a bill dealing with ongoing commissions other than those that are treated by us as if they were agencies. All of these were, basically, at the levels of last year with the guidelines we have established for boards and departments and agencies. And I would move adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1363. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments.

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 64, Senator Weaver. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1364. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1364.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces the personal services request by fourteen thousand dollar GRF to provide the board with the same FY'83 pay increases as other higher education agencies. It deletes the request for retirement since it is included in Senate Bill 1361. And it reduces the contractual services request by seven thousand dollars to allow a ten percent increase in rent. We've got a few problems with this which...Senator Weaver will straighten out with the next amendments, but it...I would move this adoption at this time.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1364. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No...no Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1368. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1368. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1368.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senators Friedland and Totten.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Friedland.

SENATOR FRIEDLAND:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment 1 adds some property in the Village of Hoffman Estates to MSD. The legal description has been screened and...approved by MSD and the village involved. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Friedland has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1368. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1374, Senator DeAngelis. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1374. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1374.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the...2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the pay plan and PIPS amendment. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1374. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1383, Senator Collins. On the Order of Senate Bill 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1383. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1383.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Labor and Commerce offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins on Committee Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, thank you, Mr. President. First, I would like leave of the Body to have shown as hyphenated co-sponsor to 1383,

Senator Keats, so that sponsorship would read Collins-Keat. And then secondly, I would move to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 because of a...an agreed amendment, which...a Floor Amendment No. 1 has incorporated all of the changes made in that amendment. Therefore, I wish to Table that amendment.

PRESIDENT:

All right, Senator...Senator Collins has asked leave to have Senator Keats shown as co-sponsor. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator Collins now moves to Table Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1383. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is Tabled. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Keats-Collins.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Keats.

SENATOR KEATS:

This amendment follows the basic drift of the original bill which is to help employees of corporations that are failing buy out their companies, and it allows them to use tax exempt revenue bonds to do it. There are all sorts of safeguards and protections, there is an oversight panel, there are...it is set up so the employees have to come up with a certain amount of money, so there's equity on their own part. Overall, I think when you look at an unemployment rate of ten percent, this bill does a great deal to make it possible for particularly small companies for the employees to choose their own destiny. Earlean and I would appreciate your support.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Keats has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1383. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any...any, no...3rd reading. A little earlier we skipped...or took out of the record 1358. Mr. Secretary, let's go back to 1358. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading Senate Bill 1358. Read the bill.

SECRETARY:

Senate...Senate Bill 1358.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Higher Education offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Higher Education, first. Then...then to me for a Floor amendment, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Yes, this just...is a technical amendment, and I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1358. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1386. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1386.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the pay plan and PIPS amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1386. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1391, Senator Mahar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, the bottom of page 3 is Senate Bill 1391. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1391.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This amendment is to eliminate one unnecessary position and reduce the disaster grants to a level more consistent with the time of the Calendar we are at. There's a corrective amendment on the Floor to take care of a technical defect, but I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1391. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment supplemental to the Commissioner of Banks and Trusts for legal expenses and printing in the amount of fifty-three thousand three hundred, and ten thousand one hundred. We have a concurrence from the Governor's Office on this. Evidently, Doctor Bob figures this is not State money, and so he wouldn't give us a letter.

PRESIDENT:

Any discussion? Senator Weaver moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House...Senate Bill 1391. If no discussion, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Floor Amendment No. 3, by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the technical amendment I had identified in Amendment No. 1. There is no dollar impact. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1391. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Top of page 4, on the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading. Senator Schaffer on the Floor? 1393. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1393.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations II offers three amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee, on...Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a total transfer of

one hundred eighty-two thousand seven hundred dollars of GRF. The purpose is to provide...an additional one hundred twenty-two thousand seven hundred dollars for high utility usage costs at the forty-eight armories throughout the State, and to provide an additional sixty thousand dollars to the Personal Services line for the Federal/State maintenance contract. And I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed say No. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment makes transfers among GRF and Federal Operations line items of the following DNHDD facilities according to revised estimates determined by the department: Dixon, a addition of one million nine hundred twenty-five thousand dollars GRF; Howe, a addition of fifty-eight thousand; Ludeman, a addition of two hundred ten thousand; Jacksonville, a addition of one hundred eight thousand; Murray, a addition of sixty thousand; Bowen, a addition of seventy thousand; Shapiro, a addition of one hundred sixty-six thousand, for the total addition of two million five hundred ninety-eight thousand, with the reduction of three hundred thousand GRF from Galesburg, reduction of eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars from Howe, a reduction of seventy thousand from Waukegan, a reduction of two hundred ninety-four thousand from Alton, a reduction of ninety-four thousand Region Five Office, a reduction of seven hundred thirty-eight thousand from Shapiro, and DD Grants to Region Two, a reduction of two hundred fifty thousand dollars,

the...that's total GRF. And Federal funds, a addition of six thousand Fed at Galesburg, eight thousand Fed at Zeller, seven thousand Fed at Madden, nine thousand Fed at Manteno, four thousand Fed at Tinley Park, and a reduction of thirty-four thousand Fed at Waukegan. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 3...the Department of Public Aid is attempting to transfer appropriations totaling 26.9 million in to FDC from the Medical Assistance Program, the Social Security Services Program, the Refugee Income Assistance line. EPA also, wants to shift 8.2 million from clinics to hospital inpatient with the Medical Assistance Program. The lines reflected are as follows for the 26.9 million transfer: AFDC transfers in 26.9; transfers out Refugee Assistance seven and a half million, Medical Assistance 17.85 million, Social Services a million five hundred fifty thousand dollars. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. the Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4, offered by Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment is a technical amendment restoring lines unintentionally stricken in Amendment No. 3, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. 1396, Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1396.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan amendment. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is the two percent PIPS, and in addition, takes out a particular item from IIS that had been an improper lease arrangement, we had suggested correction. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 adds money for the operations, inasmuch as CDB ended up not in the reorganization plan, although the budget submission had pretended it did. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1397, Senator Davidson. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SB 1397
2nd Reading

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1397.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers three amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment deletes everything after the enacting clause and changes amounts among organizational lines to reflect the department's current organization. The total amount is not changed, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment cuts forty-six thousand dollars from Personal Services related lines. The level of funding will allow for a four percent...salary increases in January 1, 1983...and I would say that I am moving its adoption even though I'm opposed to it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed...you want discussion? Senator Davidson on Amendment No. 2.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Some of these departments you're playing with on this amendment is fine and dandy, but this is the department

that's less than a hundred employees that's...utterly impossible to absorb this kind of...I urge a No vote on this adoption... this Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. There's been a request for a roll call. The motion by Senator Buzbee is to adopt Amendment No. 2. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. Senator Buzbee, did you wish...Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, I...I just want to point out to the Body that this is the four percent pay increase on the Department of Aging starting in January as opposed to the eight percent pay increase starting in July. And that's...that's...and so we are in...in the posture now of having a roll call on this issue, and I just wanted everybody to understand what we're voting on.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAPPER:

I think we're charging head long into the swamp here. I think Senator Davidson does make a...a point, and I don't think it relates specifically to the four percent aspect of the plan, but the productivity portions of the plan which makes some base assumptions, probably do impact somewhat more negatively on a...a small department with a smaller number of staff. I would be more inclined and would suggest that rather than fight this out on the four percent, which I don't think is really what Senator Davidson is talking about without putting words in his mouth, that we might want to put the amendment on with the understanding that this small department can get back to Senator Carroll and...and Buzbee et al and explain exactly why the productivity portion of it impacts on them in a way of greater magnitude than we really intend, and then perhaps we can give them a little relief if

it's appropriate. And...I frankly think in the...some of the small departments probably we're going to have to take a little broader view.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Let me just add to Senator Schaffer's comment, I totally agree. A modest concern, as you know, when we adopted these amendments in committee, and I think the President and the Minority Leader had indicated one of the reasons of holding all these 'til today was to allow the departments time to digest the impact and to get back to us. There was a slight problem in the fact that the legislative liaison from the Governor told the departments not to get back to us, so we've heard nothing. But assuming there's a problem, we're more than happy to talk to them about it as we have each and every year, and you know, if in fact it's a unusual negative impact, add it back. We've done it before, I...I would hope we would do it again. I totally agree.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, I...Senator Carroll brings up a very good point. We're kind of betwixt and between here, because first of all there's the policy decision as to what kind of pay increase to allow State employees and when. And there's also the question of...of PIPS the Productivity in Performance Service, or whatever it is. And then there's the separate question of perhaps we have gone too deeply. I am in receipt of a memo from the Governor's Office which is directed to agency heads which specifically forbids them to...to talk to Senators Carroll and myself about any cuts that we may have made in their...in their budgets. It also...specifically prohibits them from talking...disclosing the names of anybody

who is exempt from the hiring freeze. Me thinks that perhaps some of those names might be a little embarrassing in this day of austerity that the Governor is concerned about protecting the names of some of those folks that he is hiring when he exempts them from the hiring freeze. So, we're...we're in the position, here now, of not knowing if we have gone too deeply in an agency because the agencies are not allowed to speak to us, so I...I don't know what we do here, does government come to a screeching halt because the Governor doesn't want agencies to speak to the chairmen of the Appropriations Committees. I...I am confused, befuddled and bewildered..

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Me thinks that perhaps Senator Buzbee is back on board.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Grothberg.

SENATOR GROTHBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. Me thinks Senators Buzbee and Carroll left out an important ingredient. They might try talking to the sponsor of the bill, Senator Davidson.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Well, just briefly to say, Senator Buzbee, that memo is probably a...a part of a product of us trying to get our act together over here. And the memo we basically direct to department heads to talk to the Republicans before they talk to the Democrats and that process has been ongoing. It's kind of an ego thing, we like to enjoy being...having the Governor, and hope to do that for an extended period of time, I might add. But, the process has not gone as quickly as we

would like, and I would suggest we put the amendments on and let the...let the negotiations go forward. We...have not thoroughly had our act together, but then that's traditional.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Davidson. All right. Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Just wondered, I...I concur with what Senator Schaffer said I just wondered if that is a party plank in his...that you don't have your act together...the Republican party...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2, and Senator Johns requests that a roll call be taken on the motion to adopt. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 25. The motion to adopt prevails. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the committee amendment again which cuts seventy-one thousand dollars from Personal Services. This reflects a two percent productivity improvement calculation on adjusted retirement social security by amounts commenced with the Personal Services cut. In addition, eleven thousand five hundred dollars of this cut is due to the six-month-funding of Jerry Blakemore, the agency's new interagency liaison. The department is aware that their agreement with Mr. Blakemore for his six months of FY '83 although he was budgeted for a full year's salary. Travel is reduced by sixty-three thousand from the Division of Plan-

ning, Older American Services Long-term Care, and Administrative Services. We will...we will add a little bit of that money back in the next amendment, and even though I'm opposed to the amendment, I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment will allow the Divisions of Planning, Older American Services Long-term Care, and Administrative Services to have a...buy and travel budget of eighty-seven thousand dollars. This is an addition of twenty-three thousand dollars over the cut we just made. The department's original travel request for these three divisions represented a hundred and ninety-five percent increase, and we did not allow that amount of increase, but we did allow them an additional twenty-three thousand. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. Senator Davidson.

SENATOR DAVIDSON:

Well, I wanted to speak on...in relation...put in the record Amendment No. 3 was the agreement of the conversation...went on Amendment No. 2 that the Department in conservation with the two committee chairmen and two minority

spokesmen in relation to productivity in the small agency that something could get worked out. And that's what I want to get entered into the record that, we all agreed to this, and I thank you, Gentlemen.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1398, Senator Maitland. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1398.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

Senate. Amendment No. 2 is the productivity amendment in addition to the phasing....of vacancies and the DAS guidelines. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. On the...on the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 is the monies for the fair held in Chicago that was forgotten about in the budget submission. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

All right. The motion is to adopt Amendment No. 3. Is there discussion? Yes, I see Senators Jerome Joyce, Senator Sommer, Totten and Philip. Senator Jerome Joyce.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, Mr. President. Question of the sponsor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

Yes, is there a cut in this of four percent in the Chicagofest?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

We thought of adding the four percent January 1st, but didn't do it.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

I see, well I think we ought to...

SENATOR CARROLL:

At last year's level.

SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

...I think we ought to consider that as we vote on this. I think that that would be appropriate that we would hold this for awhile.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Thank you...thank you...thank you, Mr. President. Just a comment that this is unbudgeted, and apparently this outfit made a profit last year, and I don't know why we have to support it again with our money.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield, Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

How much is this for?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Last year's level of funding, which happens to be five hundred thousand dollars.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Does it, also, include the same accountability clause in it as the last two prior year's appropriations did requiring the city to give the Legislature an accountability of how the

funds were spent?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I know of nothing in this one that wasn't in the last one, but I don't see within the language of either, other than it's...or so much as is may be necessary. That's...I think, they handle...they gave the accountability last time. I don't recall, Senator Totten, but I do know, in fact, they submitted a...an audit of last year. And just to answer a prior comment, the issue of profit is the same as at any state fair. If you take the direct expenses at our fair, meaning the State Fair or any of the county fairs around the State, they, in fact, make a profit with our help, with our subsidy. But none of these in the audit talk about the indirect cost such as sanitation, police, et cetera, that have to be provided in order to have a successful event. When you add those costs, there is, in fact, not a profit even with the stipend the State gives the various county fairs and the Chicagofest in prior years.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Specifically in the last two prior appropriations, there were two sections in the bill. One, appropriating the money, and one, asking for an accountability of the money to the Legislature. It's my understanding that as of the time this amendment was put on in committee there has not yet been an accountability to the Legislature for how the money was spent in the last fiscal year or in the prior fiscal year to that.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Senator Totten, as to the first part of your comment, I

personally would offer the amendment that would require that accountability in this. I did not realize that we had not done so in this amendment. We can have it drafted, if the sponsor will call it back I will offer the amendment. Number two, the audit was, in fact, submitted and, in fact, I got extra copies of it that I gave to the department and others last week when I had heard the question in committee. But that the...the city had provided the audit to the State and extra copies were provided last week that I personally handed out.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Totten.

SENATOR TOTTEN:

Well, it's my understanding that the Republican spokesman on the committee and the Republican staff does not have a copy of that audit. And I wonder if you could make sure that it's available to our side. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Philip. Senator Walsh.

SENATOR WALSH:

Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'm not so sure this five hundred thousand dollar appropriation is a good idea with or without an audit. It's my understanding that the...the operator of this fair is...is compensated on the basis of the revenue that's generated at the fair, and that included in that revenue is this State appropriation, as well as fair receipts of...of any other nature. It seems to me that the...the fair should stand on its own feet, and...I don't see any reason why State funds should be used to support it, especially in this time when we have to reduce funds for State employees, and we're going to spend the next thirty days, I think, hearing considerable wailing and gnashing of teeth. Here's a case where a fair was profitable, apparently a fair manager is compensated on the basis of a State appro-

priation, I think we should oppose this amendment now, with or without an audit.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Question.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Indicates he will yield, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Senator Carroll, how much money have we appropriated to this fair in the last two years?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

To the best of my recollection, Senator Joyce, there's been five hundred thousand a year for each of the last two years.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

Well, what we are in fact doing is, we are supplementing the campaign coffers of the Mayor of the City of Chicago, because...because thirty-seven thousand dollars of what we can say these monies have been donated by the director of the fair to Mayor Byrne's campaign fund. So, if we want to sit here and vote for this type of campaign contribution, maybe we should just label it as such and be more out in the open on it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

SENATOR NETSCH:

It's a question of priorities, five hundred thousand dollars to help rebuild a Chicago library or something really important. I think we have a right to sell our souls for

five hundred thousand dollars for Chicagofest. No.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Carroll may close.

SENATOR CARROLL:

At least we know the price of some of the souls around here. And I would ask for a favorable roll call.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

There has been a request for a roll call. Those in favor of the adoption...Amendment No. 3 will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 16, the Nays are 36. The motion to adopt is lost. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Just to answer some questions, every year we've lost it on the Floor. This is technical to correct the names that the funds come from, does not include any funds for Chicagofest, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. On the motion. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1399, Senator Weaver. Read the

bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1399.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first one is the pay plan amendment. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? There's been a request for a roll call...

SENATOR CARROLL:

Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Yes.

SENATOR CARROLL:

If...for purposes of the record, you know, we're trying to get along with all of these amendments on the rest of the bills to get them out of here in a timely fashion. I don't believe there'll be much of an adjustment in the vote of each and every member. While I understand the members right to a roll call, I think they have memorialized their position satisfactorily, and for the interest of all of the members, I think each of us understand that there is significant opposition and significant favor to the amendment, and we know what the vote is going to be. I would hope that the membership would understand each other's consideration and consider their vote on the issue which is why we debated the issue rather than the particular amendment so that each one could be memorialized each time, rather than have to go through

the time consuming venture of just readopting each of these roll calls.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Senator Johns, you...you requested a roll call. Is he joined by another member? Requires two members. Senator Newhouse joins Senator Johns. Question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 1...Senate Bill 1399. Those in favor vote will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays are 18, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The...Amendment No. 2 is the productivity amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. On the motion, discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1402, Senator Sommer. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1402.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Let me explain, this is another one where, like with aging, there's going to have to be some adjustments later. We're still waiting to here as is the sponsor. The reason in CDB Operations is obviously with the extensive cut in personnel. We may have, in fact, gone too deep because they have that many fewer employees, but in the interim, Amendment No. 1 is the four percent amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the two percent PIPS amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1403, Senator Coffey. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1403.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the four percent amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the productivity amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1404, Senator Kent. Senator Kent. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1404.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion...Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

On Committee Amendment No. 1, Mr. President, thank you. The first amendment is the pay plan. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. the Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the productivity amendment as well as the DAS guidelines and phase in of vacancies. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted.

Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is to pay the travel expense out of the tourism and promotion on the ethnic program promotions at the State fair as suggested by DCCA. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

Senator Carroll, is this appropriation also in another bill right at the moment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

No. In the amendment to the commissions bill that was already carved out of that request and placed in this request, which was in part a suggestion from DCCA.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Senator Carroll, is this part of the Chicago Fair?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

No, this is the Springfield Fair.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Does that make it any better?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I think it picks up one vote on your side of the aisle.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

The Chicago Fair is closer to Deerfield.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted.

Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 4 is the breakout of the CETA grants to State agencies. There is no dollar impact, but it is the identification, and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted.

Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1405, Senator Mahar. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1405.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. On the motion, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the productivity amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 2. On the motion, discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1406, Senator Etheredge. Read

the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1406.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd...reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers four amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 cuts a hundred and eighty thousand dollars from Personal Services. The level of funding will allow for a four percent salary increases in January 1...and retirement Social Security are cut...2.6 thousand dollars commencement...commensurate with the Personal Services reduction. I'm opposed to the amendment, but I move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 1. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This cuts thirty-four thousand dollars from Personal Services and related lines. This cut reflects a two percent productivity improvement calculation and adjusts Retirement and Social Security. It cuts two thousand dollars from Contractual Services for overbudgeted repair and maintenance of office furniture and equipment, and for registration fees and conference expenses. In the State Museums it cuts forty-one thousand dollars in Personal Services reflecting the two percent productivity improvement

calculation, cuts fifty-six hundred dollars from Contractual Services for such overbudgeted subaccounts as repair and maintenance of real property, repair...rental of film and audio-visual aids and restoration of art. It cuts ten thousand dollars from the Commodities request, this cut will allow the...will reduce the Commodities increase from seventy-two percent to forty-five percent, cuts eight thousand dollars from the Equipment request, this cut will reduce the Equipment increase from a hundred and seventy-eight percent to one hundred percent, and it goes on, the geological survey cuts sixty-sixty thousand dollars reflecting the productivity, the...the PIPS, cuts fifteen thousand dollars from the Printing request, this reduces the GRF request from twenty-nine thousand to eighteen thousand, and the PUF request from eleven thousand to seven thousand, this cut will reduce the total Printing request for the geological survey from four thousand to twenty-five thousand, cuts five thousand dollars from the Equipment requests. In the natural history survey it cuts forty-seven thousand for Personal Services, cuts thirty-four thousand from the request for Retirement, cuts five thousand from the FY '83 GRF Contractual Services, cuts eight thousand from Commodities, eight thousand from Printing, twenty-four hundred from Equipment, four thousand from Operation of Auto Equipment, and the water survey cuts fifty-four thousand from Personal Service, cuts seventy-nine thousand in FY '83 GRF Retirement, cuts five thousand from Contractual Service, cuts eleven hundred...twelve hundred from Commodities, cuts twenty-two hundred from Equipment. The amendment also breaks out the line item for the Federal Energy Fund the twenty-two thousand, pardon me, two million nine hundred thousand dollar requested from the Federal Energy Fund. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. Discussion? Senator Donnewald.

SENATOR DONNEWALD:

Yes, Mr. President, all I would request of the sponsor, Senator Etheredge, that he bring the bill back for possible amendments tomorrow. Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Discussion? Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

Senator Buzbee, is there a million and a half here for museums that is taken out now and added by a later amendment?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

No...the museums are not addressed in this amendment at all, other than the State...the State Museum which...the regular Contractual Services and Personal Services, and so forth, that the...the grants to museums is not addressed in this amendment at all, Senator Rhoads.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rhoads.

SENATOR RHOADS:

So, the million and a half for the Shedd Aquarium and other Chicago museums is still in this bill and is not being dropped. Is that correct?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

No, that is not correct. There is no money for those aquarium...rather, for those museum grants at all in the bill as it presently stands.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rhoads. Further discussion on the motion to adopt? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a technical amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt. All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further committee amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment increases the reappropriation from the Coal Bond Fund from twelve million nine hundred seven thousand to fifteen million fifty-one thousand nine hundred dollars. The department explains that less money will be expended in FY '82 than they had originally planned due to delays in billing, plus a greater amount will be needed in FY '83. These funds are for coal and alternative energy projects. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 4. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 4 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Carroll is recognized.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is in response to Senator Rhoad's question, and this is for the grants to museums at half of that which passed the General Assembly last year, to-wit, a million and a half. It is allocated throughout the State to all of those museums that enjoy public participation throughout the State. It has been shown that the return to the State is some many fold the actual amount that we allocate to them each year by the additional revenues they generate for their areas. The total impact is 1.5 million.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Motion is to adopt Amendment No. 5. On the motion, discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Floor Amendment No. 6 by Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment addresses the controversy over the conversion of the Abbott Power Plant. You'll recall that we appropriated money about two or three years ago to convert that power plant to coal burning. Since then there has been considerable controversy arise as to the sulfur dioxide that might be put out into the atmosphere in the Urbana area. Senator Weaver and I have had much discussion about this project, we have been on opposite sides of the fence for one reason, and one reason only. My opposition has been, due to the fact that, in my opinion, the addition of a scrubber at Abbott is a normal capital-type project and should not be a drawdown on the Coal Development Bond Funds.

The...the addition of a scrubber at Abbott will undoubtedly help clean-up the air although there would be no scrubber needed whatsoever to meet the requirements of the...air quality standards or the Clean Air Act as a...as amended in 1977. I am presenting now an amendment which I think is a logical compromise, and that is that we take one-third of the amount requested for Abbott for the addition of a scrubber, and we are taking that one third out of the Coal Development Bond Fund, and then two-thirds would come out of the Capital Development Fund. That would allow the...the Department of Energy and Natural Resources to go ahead and do the demonstration that they want to do there, and to go ahead and monitor...thank you, Mr. President, I was having trouble hearing myself...

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

May we have some order please.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

...think. And it will allow the department to go ahead and monitor. The amendment also says that of the fifteen million appropriated, which is the amount that was requested, that if they spend less than the fifteen million and there's considerable evidence that it will be considerably less, as a matter of fact, than fifteen million, that we restrict that to whatever amount they spend, one-third would come from the Coal Development Bond Fund and two-thirds from the Capital Development Fund. The reason being that of the sixty-five million dollar authorization in the Coal Development Bond Fund right now, eighteen and three-quarter million has already been committed. There's a probability of another ten to twelve to fifteen million being committed very shortly, and it's not going to be very long before we're completely out of authorization on the Coal Development Bond Fund. So, I think this is a reasonable compromise that we simply say that one-third will come out of Coal Development

Bond Fund and two-thirds will come out of...of Capital Development Fund. It achieves everything the Governor wanted to achieve. It achieves everything that the University of Illinois wanted to achieve. It achieves everything that the environmental groups in the Champaign-Urbana area wanted to achieve. And I believe that it achieves everything that Senator Weaver wanted to achieve and...and in getting on with this project. So at this time, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Well, I can appreciate, Mr. President, where Senator Buzbee comes from, but I certainly feel that the total fifteen million dollars should be coming from the Coal Development Fund and appropriated to the department...actually the...the commission...Energy Resources Commission has to sign off on all these programs and they still have control of it, but we're talking about all State dollars eventually anyway, and I don't know as if makes that much difference but certainly this project is coal related, and our whole intent is to try to come up with techniques that allow the use...commercial use particularly of Illinois coal. So I...I hesitate to oppose the amendment. I have another amendment that would appropriate all this money from the Coal Bond Development Fund, and so it's whatever the choice is. It's a compromise I suppose we can live with, but really, I think it should all come from the Coal Bond Development Fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

A question from Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Senator Buzbee, your proposal is that it be one-third and that still is going to have to then be approved by...when the presentation is made, then that will still have to be accepted. Now, that could be changed again and be either reduced or increased, but normally would be reduced if anything, by the Illinois Energy Resources Commission, would it not?

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, as you know, Senator Nimrod, whenever the Department of Energy and Natural Resources makes a presentation for expenditure of Coal Development Bond Funds to the Energy Resources Commission, we the commission members have statutory authority to accept or reject or...or to reduce their request. And you are correct, we...we could do that at this time...at that time, but I...I believe this a reasonable compromise that...that would be acceptable to all parties.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Well, in that case then, what we are doing is basically setting aside some of those funds for the Department of Energy to act and then...the proposal then would be accepted or rejected on it's merits. I think your proposals, you know, makes sense we have not proposed or supported any program in its entirety. This happens to be a State project, and I guess we're all going to have to get our thinking caps on to see where we're going, because we are talking about long-term indebtedness against the...an immediate outlay. And it seems to me that some compromise or some issue here has to be...at least come about and would be important for us to reach those kinds of conclusion before it ends up on the board where maybe we'll be out of Session and will not have a

chance to get back to this...to this Body. So, I would hopefully think that we ought to find out where we're going before we start to commit some vast funds which we can't really support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Yeah, I...I agree with Senator Buzbee. I think that to take the total fifteen million from the Coal Development Bond Fund would be an awful large chunk to take out on this particular project and this type of project on this basis. Thank you, Mr. President. Mr. President, if I'm not mistaken, this thing started out as six and a half million dollars or...initially, and as I said, I thought I would support it because if it will help burn Illinois coal, that's my prime objective, but I think, I got to say it again, Senator Buzbee is on the right track, one-third out of the Capital...I mean two-thirds out of Capital Development and one-third out of Coal Development Bond Fund.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. I think a couple of things should be made clear. Senator Johns, the initial 5.6 or six million was for conversion, not for coal development research, or scrubbers, or anything else, that was for the conversion to burn coal from gas and oil. And at anytime we wish we can always increase the authorization for the Coal Development Bond Fund. So, I...this...this really doesn't make a whole lot of difference what...what fund we take it from. It's the relationship from...by which we're using this money for the...the development of the feasibility of using coal in...in commercial plants.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Further discussion? Senator Buzbee may close.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. I...I offer this amendment in the spirit of compromise. And, Senator Nimrod, I would point out to you that I offer this...this amendment as an alternative to what the Governor and the department and Senator Weaver have proposed and that is that all fifteen million come from the Coal Development Bond Fund. And again, there is some considerable evidence that the amount will be less than fifteen million. That's why my...my amendment says fifteen million or so much thereof as may be necessary, of which two-thirds is appropriated from the Capital Developmental Fund and one-third appropriated from the Coal Development Fund, and then you're right, Senator Nimrod, the Energy Resources Commission will have the final sign-off as to whether that Coal Developmental Fund should be used in that manner. But, I think this is offered...I know it's offered in the spirit of compromise, and I think it's a...it's a reasonable answer, and I...I think it's one we ought to all support.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

On the motion to adopt. Discussion? All in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it and Amendment No. 6 is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further amendments.

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3rd reading. Senate Bill 1407...

END OF REEL

REEL #4

PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senate Bill 1407, Senator Simms. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1407.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the pay plan amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1407. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed? The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the pay plan amendment...I'm sorry, the productivity amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1407. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Maitland on 1408. On the bottom of Page 4 is Senate Bill 1408. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1408.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is part of the pronouncements of what the entire program was. This is probably the Buzbee portion, and this is to keep the contributions to the system at the FY'82 level and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1408. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Maitland, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR MAITLAND:

Mr...Mr. President, just...to ask leave of the Body to bring this bill back to 2nd reading tomorrow for a possible another amendment. I think the leadership on both sides of the aisle is aware of this.

PRESIDENT:

That request is certainly in order. 1409, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1409. Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1409.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll, Amendment No. 1. I beg your pardon, Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces the Guardianship and Advocacy Commission's Personal Services request according to the Senate pay plan, four percent salary increases effective January 1, 1982. I'm opposed to the amendment, but I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1409. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces the Guardianship

and Advocacy Commission's FY'83 request by two hundred and ninety-three thousand six hundred dollars GRF, which forty-eight thousand is PIPS. One hundred one thousand phases in ten current vacancies at six months and two at nine months, and forty-five thousand increased turn over in hiring lag from 2.5 percent to five percent to reflect current vacancy rate of 10.3 percent. The reduction is nineteen thousand in line with Senate retirement guidelines, twelve thousand reduction line with decreases in Personal Services and Social Security; Contractual Services, a reduction of forty-four thousand dollars; Equipment reduction eliminates the commission's request for new office furniture. A total reduction in other lines of twenty-five thousand reflecting savings from phasing in new vacancies and breaks out the commission's lump sum request for Federal Advocacy Funds and to modified line items. No dollar change, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1409. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1410, Senator Bloom. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1410. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1410.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. As you're aware, this commission is due to Sunset...this authority is due to Sunset, October 1. It was the feeling of the Appropriations II Committee that we ought to go ahead and give them dollars reflecting the Sunsetting of that commission. So this will provide two hundred and fifty-six thousand dollar phase-out funding for the authority since it's Authorization Act repeals in October 1. Funding is provided through October 31...and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1410. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1411, Senator Davidson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1411. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1411.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I

offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll, Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment...

SENATOR CARROLL:

Let me just...I also...identify...I see Doc's hand is up. This too, being a small agency may be subject to correction and we are waiting to hear.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1411. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This would be the productivity amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1411. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1412, Senator Bloom. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1412. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1412.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the funding at the FY'82 level. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1412. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1413, Senator Becker. Senator Becker on the Floor? Senator Bloom for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BLOOM:

I don't know that...whether this has been checked with leadership on the other side of the aisle, but on 1412, I

believe Senator Schaffer had an amendment and I'd seek leave to possibly bring it back tomorrow.

PRESIDENT:

That...that is the sponsor's prerogative. No question about it. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1413. Senator Weaver will handle that for Senator Becker. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1413.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers three amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll, Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. The first amendment is the pay plan. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1413. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the productivity amendment, however, it does leave in all new employees in the Unemployment Insurance and Employment Services Division and restores some forty positions to the Employment Service Division recognizing the state of the economy; reducing, however, some per-

sonal...some...some Contractual Services money awaiting further detail on discussion from the Governor's Office. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1413. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 is at the request of the agency and is, in fact, an appropriation of seven hundred and seventy-five thousand for interest payments the State must make for the trust fund advances in Unemployment Insurance. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1413. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

SECRETARY:

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

SECRETARY:

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd Reading. 1414, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1414. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

SECRETARY:

Senate Bill 1414.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers five amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Buzbee.

PRESIDENT:

I beg your pardon, Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. Amendment No. 1 provides four percent pay increases in January 1 for all employees, reduces the FY'83 budget for the Department of Law Enforcement by eight hundred and twenty-six thousand dollars in GRF, one million eight hundred ninety-six thousand in Road Fund and twenty-six thousand in the Agriculture Premium Fund. It is the four percent pay increase and...even though I'm opposed to it, I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1414. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is implementing the Senate productivity plan and other Senate guidelines along the retirement level to sixty-two percent of payout, reduces the FY'83 budget for the Department of Law Enforcement to three

million one hundred and thirty-three thousand. One million sixteen thousand is cut from GRF, two million fifty-seven thousand from the Road Fund and fifty-nine thousand from the Ag Premium Fund. And I would...even though I'm opposed to its adoption, I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1414. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is an amendment that was put on in the committee which I chair which I favor. And it was my amendment which adds one million four hundred seventy thousand dollars to the Department of Law Enforcement's FY'83 budget as follows: one million four hundred sixty-thousand dollars for MEGS, the metropolitan enforcement groups which were not funded under the Governor's budget; one hundred sixty thousand dollars is added for Administration; and one million three hundred thousand dollars for Grants. This brings the MEG grants up to the same level as...as last year, and it also adds ten thousand dollars for the Vitullo rape evidence collection kits which were also deleted from this year's budget by the Governor and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1414. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 4.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment adds two million six hundred twenty-five thousand three hundred dollars from the Road Fund to the Department of Law Enforcement by restoring funding for seventy-five State troopers and one hundred and twelve truck weighing inspectors. These positions were deleted from the Governor's budget. The following amounts were added to the Division of State Police: nine hundred seventy-five thousand four hundred dollars for nine month funding for seventy-five State troopers; one million five hundred thirty-one thousand three hundred dollars for full year funding for one hundred and twelve truck weight inspectors who were to be laid off July 1 although they were producing over five million dollars a year in revenue. This is one of the areas where the Governor or somebody got his arithmetic mixed up. By paying a million five hundred thousand dollars we're collecting five million, and the Governor had proposed that we cut them to save expenses. I...I'm having a little problem, perhaps he's using a Japanese calculator, but it...it wasn't working that day and so we decided to add this money back. It also adds a hundred and eighteen thousand six hundred dollars in Retirement for a total addition of two million six hundred twenty-five thousand three hundred dollars and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1414. Any discussion? Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFER:

Well, I...I generally concur with what Senator Buzbee just said. I would suggest to you though that this might be something subject to some negotiation. I'm not sure we need to put all one hundred and twelve of those slots back in, there may be some natural attrition that can be justified in

these rather hard times, and I'm not totally convinced we need to put all seventy-five of those...troopers back in. And by the way, these are not people who are laid off, these are new people that would be filling vacancies created by normal attrition. I would suggest to you that we have before us, is the slickest department head in State Government who managed to go to the Bureau of the Budget and sell them on cutting two things that were absolutely certain to be put back in as his contribution to Illinois' fight against...the bad economic times. It's a real tribute to that director that he's been able to slicker Doctor Bob and pretty well got his slickering all the way through here. And you really have to take your hat off to the guy, but I'm not at all sure that we ought to let him get away with everything. Next year he might come up with something even more outrageous.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBORG:

Thank you, Mr. President. Only to...the...not the only slicker is the...the Gentleman just referred to, but I'd like to commend Senator Buzbee and his troops for putting revenue collectors on the highways when you have so vigorously denied them for people who owe debts to the State of Illinois just about ten days ago. And, you know, I'm going to support the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion on Amendment No. 4? Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, question of the sponsor, please.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, Senator Buzbee, although you put the money back into the budget, does it mean that these employees won't be laid

off, simply by putting the money back into the budget?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

No, Senator.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Oh, in other words, they can still lay off those weight inspectors?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, first of all, the...the Governor, of course, has the right of using his veto pen, either reduction or...or Line Item Veto. If he chooses to do that then there's no money there. After we're gone, he could do that. If he chooses to go ahead and...and sign it, he still could perhaps lay some folks off, my guess is that they would have him in court, but who knows what the courts would decide, I don't know.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Could he lay the people off and then use the money transferred to some other lines...among lines?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

The Governor could not do that, the department, through Statute has the ability to transfer up to two percent of a line, including Personnel line out of. You cannot transfer money into Personnel lines but you can transfer money out of Personnel lines. By Statute they have two percent transfer-

ability. So, he has that flexibility, but otherwise, he could not...he could not in toto transfer this money into other lines in that department and use it some other way.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, I...have you talked with the head of the department or the Governor on this?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

First of all, let me correct something that I just said, I said it the wrong way. You can transfer into Personnel lines but not out of. So as a result, he could transfer up to two percent into other Personnel lines within that department but he could not transfer out of this line. Now, I'm sorry, ask your second...your other question again, Senator, I...I didn't hear it.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Collins.

SENATOR COLLINS:

Yes, I...I'm very much concerned about this, and I think I talked to you about a resolution that would...prohibit the Governor or ask the Governor not to lay off those employees in addition to putting the money back, and somehow I don't know what has happened to that resolution.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1414. Any further discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

SECRETARY:

Committee Amendment No. 5.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the amendment which...would make the General Assembly conform with the Statute. We don't want to put the General Assembly and the Governor in the embarrassing position of doing something illegal. And since the Attorney General said that what the Governor had proposed was not illegal until, we, the General Assembly, had disposed, we're going to give them both the opportunity to see that we, in fact, are in conformity with the Statute, that we are good folks, that we...we...we abide by the law and that we're going to ask the Governor to abide by the law, and that is that all appropriations which were made from the Road Fund in Senate Bill 1414 become forty percent payable from the Road Fund and sixty percent payable from GRF in accordance with statutory law. After the first four committee amendments, sixty-two million four hundred thousand seven hundred dollars was left in from the Road Fund to play for...pay for State police activity. This amendment would reduce Road Fund appropriations to twenty-four million nine hundred sixty thousand two hundred dollars, making thirty-seven million four hundred thousand five hundred dollars more, payable from GRF. The bill total is unchanged and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1414. Any discussion? Senator Berman.

SENATOR BERMAN:

Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to this amendment. I think that one of the reasons that many of us supported the first several amendments was not because of our concern about the Road Fund because...but rather because of our recognition of the substantial demands on General Revenue

Funds for human services. And this amendment would shift thirty-seven million dollars back to the Road Fund and take it out of General Revenue Fund. I think that is the wrong way to go and I would ask for a roll call. I intend to vote No on this.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? Roll call has been requested. The question is the adoption of Amendment No...Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, I would just point out that this General Assembly passed the Statute, Senator Regner was the sponsor, passed the bill about three years ago, which said that effective this fiscal year that we start funding these State police requirements, forty percent payable from the Road Fund and sixty percent payable from GRF. Now I don't recall the vote on that bill at the time, but it...it was...it was a rather outstanding vote, as I recall, because we were all pretty tired of the Road Fund being drained constantly, and so we gave the State three years...the budget makers three years to prepare for that. This is another one of those areas where I think the Governor is probably aware of the fact that the General Assembly's attitude would be that we conform with the Statute. To Senator Berman I would only state that there are other areas where we are going to come up with the GRF dollars, but let's don't deplete the Road Fund, let's...let's stay in conformity with the Statute and adopt this...and adopt...

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAPPER:

Well, I'm going to join Senator Buzbee on this. I, frankly, have detected, at least in my district, one heck of a lot of frustration about the things we've diverted Road

Funds for. Admittedly, these...this is semidefensible, at least the State police have something to do with roads, but we did pass that law and I think a lot of us have been going around talking to people about ending diversions and about a time table and diversions, and I think we just ought to get that fund where it ought to be, which is to build and maintain roads and I have to disagree with my Governor on this one.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. Since the Governor signed the bill into law, I will assume he read it before he signed it. So I am sure somewhere within the next five weeks we will receive a letter from him or Doctor Bob as to where, in this case, the thirty-seven million four hundred forty thousand five hundred of General Revenue was to have come from. Because I am sure he would not have wanted to entrap the General Assembly into violating the State law, even though he placed it in the budget as Road Fund and then asked his appointed one if he'd done good and the appointed one said, you done good, it's up to the General Assembly. I'm sure he will identify for us where that extra, in this case, thirty-seven million four hundred forty thousand five hundred can come from so that he has not entrapped us into violating State law.

PRESIDING OFFICER:

Further discussion? Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, Senator Buzbee, could...yield?

PRESIDENT:

Senator...Senator Vadalabene.

SENATOR VADALABENE:

Yes, will this affect the State police in any way?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

In absolutely no way, Senator Vadalabene. There is no dollar change whatsoever. It's simply taking thirty-seven thousand four hundred forty dollars, transferring it from Road Fund to GRF. And there's no...no bottom line dollar change, whatsoever.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Yes, Mr. President. I think everybody in here is aware that the Governor wants an increase in the gasoline tax and that goes into the Motor Fuel Fund. Alright. The reason there is such resistance, like people like myself and...many others like me in the General Assembly, is that the public is saying to us, we would not give a damn for a tax increase if we knew the money was going for highway purposes. And that's what we're trying to do here, stop this diversion and put it where it really belongs.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

Thank you, Mr. President. A question of the sponsor of the amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator.

SENATOR GROTBERG:

Senator Buzbee, as brief as possible, where is the bill now? We have jacket around this one and now we're down to robbing General Revenue, but we've done several things. Would you articulate in one sentence, perhaps, each amendment, as we've gone down? We...we did the standard package, I understand that, now we're into diversion. What else have we

diverted?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

As one brief speaker to another, Senator Grotberg, Amendment No. 1 is the four percent pay increase for all employees, starting January 1. Amendment No. 2 is the productivity two percent and the sixty-two percent of a pension pay out. Amendment No. 3 is the addition of the MEGS and the Vitullo rape kit money. Amendment No. 4 is the addition of the seventy-five State troopers and one hundred and twelve truck weighing inspectors. And Amendment No. 5, the one in front of us now, would put the money in the proper statutory form at forty percent from Road Fund and sixty percent from GRF. And...and it's...it's a transfer of thirty-seven million, I said thirty-seven thousand the last time.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTEBERG:

So, thank you to the amendment, Mr. President and fellow members, it looks like the last amendment is what pays for the first...the...the one before it, at least, it's all transferred. One of the things that comes to my mind is that we are finally on the spike on this one, it's rather big numbers, we're talking about thirty-five million dollars. And up until now, we have been toying around with adjustments among the various budgets, but it's the Road Fund money, Senator Johns said it rather well. The whole proposition before us...the House just forty-eight hours ago killed a gasoline tax, everybody doesn't...nobody wants to vote for a road tax increase, probably a minority of this group would ever vote for one and we've got to stop it here. So, we've got to kill this amendment, there's no other way. But that opens up another whole package, Senator Buzbee, and I under-

stand that...I understand that. What...

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Is there any further discussion?
Senator Buzbee may close.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you. Senator Grotberg, I...I...I...I...am confused by your logic. This is adding money to the Road Fund by not taking it away from the Road Fund. So, you...you ought to be for this amendment. If you think the Road Fund is being depleted, what we're doing is...the Governor's request was all of the money coming from Road Fund. We're saying, no, only forty percent of it will come from the Road Fund as...as the Statute requires and sixty percent of it will come from GRF. You ought to be with me.

PRESIDENT:

The question is on the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1414. Those in favor of Amendment No. 5, in favor of Senator Buzbee's position will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 10, none Voting Present. Amendment No. 5 is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1415, Senator Coffey. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1415. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1415.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1415. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is PIPS, but in addition thereto adds two of Doctor Bob's favorite projects and breaks out various line items. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1415. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Any further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1416, Senator Philip. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1416. Read the bill, Mr... (Machine cutoff).

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1416.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. On Senate Bill 1416 the Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan amendment. There should be, however, a second amendment on there, Mr. Secretary. I'd move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1416. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Carroll...or the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is the PIPS plus the other guidelines. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to

Senate Bill 1416. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1418, Senator Rupp. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1418. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1418.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll, Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the four percent increase pay plan. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1418. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is the productivity amendment and on the lump sum on Federal requests as to the sign-off on the State plan. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1418. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Are there amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Bruce.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce, Amendment No. 3.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a reappropriation of money that was given to the department last year, fifty thousand dollars for a shuttle car that we are purchasing from the Federal Government. The contracts have been made and executed, it's a matter now of moving the equipment from the Virginia area into Illinois. I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator...Bruce moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1418. Any discussion? Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Thank you, Mr. President. Why wasn't this budgeted, Senator Bruce?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Talked to the director, he did not understand that this would have to be reappropriated since they'd executed a contract with the Federal Government to purchase this...it's not to actually purchase, it's a three million dollar shuttle car assembly that they are giving to us after the...the National Mine Safety Board has finished with it. Fifty thousand dollars is needed to move it to the State of Illinois. We have already signed...the department has signed contracts with the Federal Government, in fact, to accept the machine and move it out here. And they did...they assumed that it would be reappropriated. I am told that it is not a reappropriation because it is not a capital expenditure as much as it is a movement of material.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? If not, Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1418. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 4 offered by Senator Bruce.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment adds seventy thousand dollars for three additional mine inspectors which were eliminated by the agency. It seems to me that the Illinois miner is...ought to have safety inspections, and we ought not to be involved in reducing from this agency any additional mine inspectors. What this does is to restore three mine inspectors which the agency is eliminating from the budget.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1418. Any discussion? Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Yeah, a question for Senator Bruce.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Senator Bruce, just to add them back because...the department must of had some reason for eliminating them and what is the logic in restoring them and, you know, why...why do we have to restore them or do they feel that they had still adequate safety without them?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

Well, I'm sure the Bureau of the Budget told them to get down below a... certain figure and they did that by eliminating three mine inspectors. I don't think they ought to. I had the staff prepare an amendment which puts those three mine inspectors back on the payroll for seventy thousand dollars. You know, we in this Body talk continually about farming and mining being two major industries in the State of Illinois that we need to protect and improve, and yet, when it comes to three inspectors for seventy thousand dollars, we'll stand around and quibble about it. It seems to me the department has just not made a good decision. We ought to have a chance to review those decisions, whether the Governor or the Bureau of the Budget made the error, I don't know. But it seems very shortsighted to me to have three fewer mine inspectors given the complexity of the mining operation and...and industry in the State of Illinois. So this is a merely amendment to add seventy thousand dollars. Obviously if they don't want to hire them, there's nothing that this legislative Body can do to make them hire three people. Everyone will make their judgment as it comes around the rest of this year on whether or not we've done a good job. And

the department will be criticized on whether or not they've done a good job, but they ought to have the opportunity to correct an error and add the seventy thousand dollars back in.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Donnewald.

SENATOR DONNEWALD:

Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I remember 1946 when the Centralia mine explosion occurred, I also remember many, many men from my local area that died in that disaster. That was something that I think we'll never ever forget and to keep these people on, as Senator Bruce has described, is absolutely necessary in order to prevent things such as that from occurring again.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Johns.

SENATOR JOHNS:

Yes, as...as a child I can remember my father was crushed in the mines and was suffocated in the coal dust, face down and was...as the rock was removed, he was revived, thank God, but he had every leg broken and arms and ribs, and he came out with one leg shorter than the other by half an inch, and he had to wear a large heel on the left leg and he hobbled around, and I'll never forget that and he said that safety conditions in the mines in those days were deplorable. And we've already lost more men this year...I think we've lost five or at least maybe six this year. We didn't lose any last year and it was very...commemorative and very proud, and already we're on the road to destruction. If you've ever been down in the mines, eight hundred to twelve hundred feet down, and as you go in with your light and it's total darkness behind you, it's really something that's eerie. And those men that are in it really love it, and why and how I don't know. But I tell you that inspection is key, a key

note to the safety of thousands of coal miners. And it's ridiculous that this State, fourth or fifth in production in the United States, with a hundred and sixty-two billion tons in reserves, has to start cutting back on inspectors. It's a sad day, so let's restore it and make it a better day.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion on Amendment No. 4? Senator Nimrod.

SENATOR NIMROD:

Yeah, thank you, Mr. President. I was waiting for an answer to my question, they went off on a tangent here. I am not opposed to safety nor do we need...inspectors, but I just understood Senator Bruce to say that these inspectors were taken off and then he decided that they were needed back. All I'm saying is, did we ever check with the department to find out whether they were needed and whether or not we should include them, or did we have a lot of inspectors that we didn't need? And I think that's the basis if someone needs...not on some of these other questions. No one is opposed to having safe mines and having enough...inspectors.

PRESIDENT:

Any further discussion? If not, the question is, shall Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1418 be adopted. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1419, Senator Etheredge. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1419. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1419.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I

offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1419. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2, the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the productivity amendment and a phase-in of new positions. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1419. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1420, Senator Sommer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1420. Read the bill,

Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

(Machine cutoff)...1420.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers four amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendment No. 1, Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the four percent increase amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1420. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2, Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the PIPS amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1420. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3, the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This stops the diversion of some seven million thirty-three thousand six hundred of Road Fund monies that were being paid for workmen's compensation award consistent with the law we passed and discussed a few bills ago. This would provide the funding out of General Revenue. I would suggest we adopt the amendment even though we are awaiting a letter from Doctor Bob of where he thought that General Revenue money would come from.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1420. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 4 by Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the result of CDB not being reorganized into the other agency, and we had to provide for funding into the Department of Personnel for those functions. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1420. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Not on this amendment, Mr. President, but I would like to...

PRESIDENT:

All right. All right.

SENATOR BERNING:

...ask a question.

PRESIDENT:

Yes, Sir. Senator Carroll has first moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1420. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No amendments from the Floor.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Yes, Senator Berning, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR BERNING:

Well, I...I had hoped to ask the sponsor a question regarding Amendment No. 2 which has two provisions in it. The first one, or the one that we are all familiar with, is the productivity improvement, but in this one I notice there is a...an elimination and that's what struck me, elimination of the Division of Executive Recruitment. And I was curious as to what the justification or rationalization was for that, and is this in conformity with the...the Executive Department or is this a unilateral decision by the Appropriation Committee?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

First of all, the justification is ten points two or three or four percent unemployment in this State and, therefore, the lack of need and...and in the wisdom of those members who serve on Appropriation of funding money to go out

and try and find people who are looking for jobs with the State when the unemployment is so high. And it was a defunding of that program.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Berning.

SENATOR BERNING:

Explain that defunding. Is it reduced or eliminated?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Defunding to the extent of elimination.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Berning, you are within your rights to bring that subject up when we get to 3rd reading. 1421, Senator Mahar. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading on the bottom of page 5, Senate Bill 1421. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1421.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll, Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1421. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is the PIPS amendment, I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1421. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a correction of the PIPS to add back that portion necessary and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1421. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1423, Senator Bloom. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, top of page 6, Senate Bill 1423. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1423.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading,
Senate Bill 1424. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1424.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1425. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd
Reading, Senate Bill 1425. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1425.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll, on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the four percent increase pay
plan. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1425. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2, Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the productivity amendment and taking out some GRF positions. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1425. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Mahar.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Mahar.

SENATOR MAHAR:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 3 adds four medical investigators and two dental investigators to the Disciplinary Board and also increases the funding for hearing officers and additional medical review personnel. It's a total amount of two hundred and twenty-one thousand dollars. I move for its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

All right. Senator Mahar has moved the adoption of

Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1425. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1426, Senator Davidson. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1426. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1426.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll, Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Subject to the same caveat that Senator Davidson would have asked, this is the pay plan amendment and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1426. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the productivity amendment. I would move

its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1426. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1427. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1427. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1427.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers four amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment makes reductions in Personal Services and related objects, allows a four percent increase as of January 1, 1983. I'm opposed to the amendment. Move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1427. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This is a two hundred and fifty-six thousand dollar PIPS reduction. I'm opposed to the amendment. I move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1427. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. The appropriation for Veterans' scholarships at State controlled universities and public community colleges is increased by four million five hundred sixty thousand dollars. Legislation will be considered which will remove the requirement that Veterans must have served prior to May 7th, 1975. The increased funding will allow payments for scholarships on behalf of all qualified students and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1427. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. the Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 4, the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This adds an activity therapist at the Illinois Veterans' Home, an addition of fifteen thousand six hundred dollars and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1427. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1428. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading...Senate...2nd Reading is Senate Bill 1428. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1428.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee, Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank...thank you, Mr. President. This reduces the Personal Services by six thousand dollars in FY'83. Fifty percent of the reduction of three thousand is from GRF and fifty percent is from Federal funds. This permits the current staff of five to have a four percent salary increase per the Senate pay plan. Because of substantial salary increases for all five staff in the present fiscal year, this reduction is reasonable. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No...Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1428. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 offered by the Committee on Appropriations II.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This reduces Contractual Services by sixteen thousand nine hundred dollars, fifty percent from GRF and fifty percent from Federal funds. This takes into account a seventy-nine hundred dollar in real savings because of the agency's move to State facilities and also accounts for nine thousand dollars in reduced legal fees, reduces Telecommunications by a total of sixteen hundred dollars, reduces Travel by one thousand dollars. Still allows for an approximate sixteen percent increase in Travel over FY'82 and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Committee Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1428. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Buzbee.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Thank you, Mr. President. This collects...corrects a slight error that we made in the earlier amendment. It restores nine thousand dollars in Contractual Services to the Governor's Purchase Care Review...Review Board, half from Fed, half from GRF. This was an inadvertent error in calculating legal services, the effect is to increase from ninety-three thousand eight hundred fifty dollars to ninety-eight thousand three hundred fifty dollars in GRF and a similar increase in Federal funds. And I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Buzbee moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1428. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Senator Sommer on 1445. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1445. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1445.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers two amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll on Amendment No. 1.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This...eliminates the frozen projects and I would move its adoption until the thaw.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1445. Any discussion? Senator Sommer.

SENATOR SOMMER:

Thank you, Mr. President. As you know, the reappropriated projects are those projects adopted previously in...other years by the General Assembly. The Governor in recent months has said because of the high interest rates they're reluctant to go to the bond markets at this time and some of these projects that are not yet begun were...were held off. But that doesn't mean that they wouldn't go on in July or August or another time. At the present time, they're on a...a frozen list. The projects that are partially...partially under way are...are unfrozen, the ones not under way are frozen, and the effect of this amendment is to delete many, many State projects that people presumably think are...are going to be constructed. And therefore, I would ask for a roll call on...on this amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Bruce.

SENATOR BRUCE:

I would just point out to the membership of this Body, the effect of this particular amendment is probably to take a project in nearly each one of our districts and delete it from ever having the opportunity of proceeding. Many community college districts have started out with bond issues, are starting to look for architects. This cuts out the projects at East St. Louis, Springfield, Champaign, Elgin, Paris, Centralia, Buffalo Rock, Jubilee College, Kankakee, Kickapoo, Illinois Beach, Rend Lake College, East Moline, Dwight, Hanna City, Menard, Vandalia, Chicago Reid, Elgin, Ludeman, Galesburg, Carbondale. I think just about every...Litchfield, Paris, Camp Lincoln, all those projects are going to be done away with. This is a fairly sizable

amendment. These projects are frozen. The amendment that is going to be proposed would be to eliminate them. There's a great deal of difference between having your project frozen and hoping to have it funded later on if we can find the resources. It's another thing to have these projects deauthorized or defunded.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

SENATOR GROTBORG:

Thank you, Mr. President. Maybe I can redeem myself from my backward interpretation of a former amendment, Senator Buzbee. But whoever is offering this silly amendment is really playing a silly game. What Senator Sommer's really meant when he said...that....that...we should take a roll call on this one was that he meant, we should vote No because it does not...by sending this to the Governor does not mandate him to do anything, but it keeps us free to move with the projects that are frozen at this time. So, I would recommend a No vote and kill this one before it has little ones.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

SENATOR BUZBEE:

Well, I...I think Senators Bruce and Grotberg just said it all. I...the...in summation, it's simply how you lose on this one.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Carroll, you wish to close?

SENATOR CARROLL:

Yeah, you can't win them all, but obviously consistency is also the hobgoblin of small minds. Here is a case where the foxes are watching the chicken coup. The Governor has got his thaw committee which is made up of all the contrac-

tors to tell him which ones to go with, and all we've said to him is, hey, you know, we've appropriated these funds, now you put a freeze on. The State is in dire need of construction, why are we freezing capital funds, and if, in fact, we are let's get rid of them. And if we're not and we're going with them, let's go with them. But don't fool the people of saying you're going to go with them and then freeze them and then not allow the money to be spent on them when, in fact, we need the construction. And all this said was, let's decide one way or the other, let us know which ones you're going with and we're more than happy to go with them. But if you're not going with them, why should we fool the people that the money is out there.

PRESIDENT:

The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 1 on Senate Bill 1445. Those in favor of the amendment will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 7, the Nays are 38. Amendment No. 1 fails. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by the Committee on Appropriations I.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 2 is a deletion of a construction of the North Riverside Armory which is now in the new appropriation bill as opposed to the reappropriation. And I would move adoption of Amendment No. 2.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1445. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The

amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator...Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Okay. Assuming, Mr. Secretary, they're in the order we have given...is this...okay. This is a deletion of a ten thousand dollar project where the...they cannot determine where it's going to be at and we have instead, given the monies to where it is supposed to go and that is to community college. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1445. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 4 by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

And that is which one? Okay. That's the unexpended balance amendment that brings the amount down to the unexpended balance and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Can we have somebody come up here and check the order of these, please. All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 4 to Senate Bill 1445. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All

opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 5 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is a language amendment to add the Department of...Conservation. There is no fiscal impact to this and I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Senate Bill 1445. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 6 offered by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

All right. The amendment is withdrawn. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 3rd...2nd Reading, Senate Bill 15...no, 1490, Senator Davidson. 1492, Senator Marovitz...1514, Senator Carroll. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please, 1514.

REEL #5

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1514.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 is the pay plan and the productivity amendment. There is an add back filed as a Floor amendment to correct a deficiency in it. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1. Mr. Secretary, you might want to change the board also, Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Alright. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1514. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2...no...no further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 2 by Senator Carroll.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This is the add back amendment to correct the defect in the committee amendment. I would move its adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill...1514. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Would like a little more discussion on that. It seems to me the courts are getting some special treatment here. Would like to see what that is.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

I'm sorry, Senator Schaffer, I had assumed they had also gone through the detail with your side of the aisle. They did not have the same plan as Department of Personnel or other constitutional officers. So, in fact, they did not have the twelve days sick days, and when we cut their Personal Service line item, they just never awarded twelve days before, so there was nothing to cut back.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Knowing the courts, I'm suspicious that they had...probably had twenty-four instead of twelve. They just...that's all that is, huh?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Additionally, it is the phase in at nine months of the computer positions where in the...in the committee we had provided a six month funding, they've come back and identified when the property would be on and it's a nine month phase in instead of a six month. But beyond that, it is the sick days and they do not, in fact, have a plan that funds sick days, so they've advised us, and you've got to believe

the court.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Schaffer.

SENATOR SCHAFFER:

Not to belabor the point, how much did we cut and how much are we adding back?

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

If I recall, we cut a million two hundred ten thousand nine hundred and eighty-five dollars, and we added back a hundred and sixty-four thousand six hundred, leaving a net cut of approximately a million fifty thousand dollars.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? If not, Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1514. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1516. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1516. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1516.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 22 and 23. 24, 1560, Senator Berman. 96, Senator Buzbee. 97, Senator Berman. 1606, Senator Bruce.

1607. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, the middle of Page 7 is Senate Bill 1607. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1607.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1624, Senator...John. 1632. 1645, Senator Gitz. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, the bottom of Page 7 is Senate Bill 1645. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1645.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Gitz.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Gitz.

SENATOR GITZ:

Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment No. 1 would earmark the sixty-five percent in new revenues to the Common School Fund, the remaining thirty-five percent to the Mental Health Fund.

PRESIDENT:

Senator...Senator Gitz has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1645. Any discussion? Senator McMillan.

SENATOR McMILLAN:

A question of the sponsor.

PRESIDENT:

Indicates he'll yield, Senator McMillan.

SENATOR McMILLAN:

As I read this, Senator Gitz, what this does is, instead of just stating in general terms that it's for education and mental health, that you put it in the Common School Fund and the...the Mental Health Fund, is that correct? That's all that it does? Okay.

PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? If not, Senator Gitz moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1645. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1651, Senator Bloom. 1654, Senator Schaffer. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1654. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill 1654.

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. 1670, Senator Schaffer, I'll hold. 1678, Senator Carroll. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd Reading, Senate Bill 1678. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary, please.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Senate Bill...1654...1678.

AB 1654
2nd Reading

(Secretary reads title of bill)

2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers one amendment.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll.

SENATOR CARROLL:

Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. This would add to the LIS budget, the budget of the Legislative Reference Bureau, the two that are considered operating branches, in effect, of the legislative arm, and that would also provide the same pay plan and PIPS to those as we have provided to the executive branch. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Carroll moves the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 1678. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further committee amendments.

PRESIDENT:

Any amendments from the Floor?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No Floor amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Congratulations, we've made it through. Alright, there are...there are three or four bills that have yet to be recalled. We can either do them first thing in the morning, or if anybody is interested in staying, we can do them tonight. Senator Weaver on 1364. Alright, on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd Reading is Senate Bill 1364. Senator Weaver seeks leave of the Body to return that bill to the Order of 2nd Reading for purposes of an amendment. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd

Reading, Senate Bill 1364, Mr. Secretary.

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No 2 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

This, Mr. President, would add seven thousand dollars for contractual services to take care of increased rental charges. And I'd move adoption.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver moves the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 1364. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Weaver.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver.

SENATOR WEAVER:

Thank you, Mr. President. This would add twelve thousand four hundred and eighty-nine dollars for Personal Service line item to take care of the step plan increase.

PRESIDENT:

Senator Weaver moves the adoption of Amendment No. 3 to Senate Bill 1364. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

ACTING SECRETARY: (MR. FERNANDES)

No further amendments.

PRESIDENT:

3rd reading. Any further business to come before the Senate? If not, Senator Philip moves that the Senate stand adjourned until 9:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. I would suggest to the membership that we try to be here on time and get

started if we want to not be here on Friday. Tuesday, May
25th at the hour of 9:00 a.m., 9:00 a.m. sharp. Thank you
all.