

81st GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

NOVEMBER 20, 1980

1. PRESIDENT:

2. The hour of twelve having arrived the Senate will come to  
3. order. Will the members please be at their desks and will our  
4. guests in the gallery please rise. Our prayer this afternoon  
5. by Reverend Anthony Tzortzis, St. Anthony's Hellenic Orthodox  
6. Church, Springfield, Illinois. Father.

7. REVEREND ANTHONY TZORTZIS:

8. (Prayer given by Reverend Anthony Tzortzis)

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns.

11. SENATOR JOHNS:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that reading and approval  
13. of the Journal of November the 19th, in the year 1980, be post-  
14. poned pending arrival of the printed Journal.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. You have heard the motion. Any discussion? If not, all in  
17. favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.  
18. Motion carries. So ordered. Committee reports.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senator Chew, Chairman of Transportation Committee reports  
21. out House Bill 2708 with the recommendation Do Pass as Amended.

22. Senator Vadalabene, Chairman of the Executive Appointments and  
23. Administration reports out Senate Resolution 6...654. Recommend  
24. adoption. Senate Joint Resolutions 131 and 132, recommend adoption.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Resolutions.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Resolution 697, offered by Senator Geo-Karis, it's  
29. congratulatory.

30. Senate Resolution 698, offered by Senator Mitchler. It's  
31. congratulatory.

32. Senate Resolution 699, offered by Senator Geo-Karis. It's a  
33. death resolution.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Consent Calendar. If you turn to page 3 on the Calendar,  
3. with leave of the Body we'll go to the Order of House Bills 1st  
4. reading. I understand some administration bills have come across.  
5. House Bills 1st reading.

6. SECRETARY:

7. House Bill 3622, Senator Donnewald is the Senate sponsor.

8. (Secretary reads title of bill)

9. 1st...

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Donnewald.

12. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

13. Yes, Mr. President. On this order of business, I would now  
14. move that the Committee on Rules be relieved of the responsibility  
15. and the Bill 3622 be advanced to the Order of 2nd reading.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. All right. Senator Donnewald has moved to suspend the rules  
18. for the purpose of House Bill 3622. A similar motion will be made  
19. with respect to 21...

20. SENATOR DONNEWALD:

21. Would be to the Committee on Assignment of Bills.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. ...Yes, and asks that these bills be referred to the Committee  
24. on Assignment of Bills. You have heard the motion. Any discussion?  
25. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The  
26. Ayes have it. So ordered. 3621, show Senator Vadalabene as the...  
27. all right. Senator De Angelis has agreed to take that bill.

28. SECRETARY:

29. House Bill 3621.

30. (Secretary reads title of bill)

31. Senator De Angelis is the Senate sponsor. 1st reading of the bill.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator De Angelis.

1. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

2. Thank you Mr. President. I move that we suspend the rules  
3. and the bill be moved to 2nd reading.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Well, I think the motion is the same, that it would...the  
6. rules be suspended and that the bill by-pass the Rules Committee  
7. and go to the Committee on Assignment of Bills, and then we'll  
8. work it out of Appropriations. All right. It's a similar motion  
9. to Senator Donnewald. You've heard the motion. All in favor  
10. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. So  
11. ordered. All right. House Bills 1st reading.

12. SECRETARY:

13. House Bill 3623, Senator Rock is the Senate sponsor.

14. (Secretary reads title of bill)

15. 1st reading of the bill.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Rules Committee. Senator Coffey, for what purpose do you  
18. arise?

19. SENATOR COFFEY:

20. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I'd like to  
21. move to suspend the appropriate Senate rules to have House Bill  
22. 2708 read the second time today.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. All right. Senator Coffey has moved to suspend the rules.  
25. With leave of the Body, we'll go to that order for the purpose  
26. of having House Bill 2708 read a second time today. Any discussion?  
27. If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The  
28. Ayes have it. So ordered. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading,  
29. House Bill 2708. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. House Bill 2708.

32. (Secretary reads title of bill)

33. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Transportation offers  
34. one amendment.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Coffey.

3. SENATOR COFFEY:

4. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This amendment  
5. deletes everything after the enacting clause on the original bill  
6. and makes the bill to do this, it amends the Vehicle Code to limit  
7. safety inspection testing and display of safety stickers require-  
8. ments to Illinois registered vehicles only. The...presently, all  
9. second division vehicles is to have that inspection sticker. This  
10. came about from some problems we have at my neighboring state of  
11. Indiana. Indiana did away with their first and second division  
12. vehicle inspections. Those people traveling from Indiana into  
13. Illinois on the second division...in their second division vehicles,  
14. which is their pick up trucks, to do business in Illinois, have been  
15. given citations and tickets in Illinois; therefore, driving those  
16. people from Illinois to do business. Actually, this will not say  
17. to them that they do not have to have a vehicle that is in safe con-  
18. dition; it just says they don't have to have the safety sticker.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. All right. Senator Coffey has moved the adoption of Committee  
21. Amendment No. 1 to House Bill 2708. Is there any discussion? If  
22. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes  
23. have it. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

24. SECRETARY:

25. No further committee amendments.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Any amendments from the Floor?

28. SECRETARY:

29. No Floor amendments.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. 3rd reading. Senator Rhoads, for what purpose do you arise?

32. SENATOR RHOADS:

33. Mr. President, with respect to House Bill 3623, which was

1. just read in. I believe you assigned it to the Rules Committee.  
2. Can we...suspend the appropriate rules to assign that to the  
3. Committee on Assignment of Bills? That's the Speaker's bill. An  
4. Election Code Bill.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. I understand. So is 3330 as amended.

7. SENATOR RHOADS:

8. 3230? Yes.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. 3230.

11. SENATOR RHOADS:

12. But there...I'll talk to you.

13. ~~PRESIDENT:~~

14. Okay. All right. With leave of the Body, we will move to  
15. the Order of the Secretary's Desk. All right. Senator Geo-Karis  
16. has asked leave of the Body to go to the Order of the Secretary's  
17. Desk. Leave is granted. On page 4 on the Calendar, right in the  
18. middle of the page, on the Order of Secretary's Desk House Joint  
19. Resolution 109. Senator Geo-Karis.

20. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

21. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, House  
22. Joint Resolution 109...109 relates to the necessity for the Waukegan  
23. Port Authority to be able to obtain a permit to start their con-  
24. struction of the additional phases of the marina there. The  
25. appropriations were already approved by both Houses, signed by the  
26. Governor this past year, and the Pollution Control Board, of course,  
27. has to give its final okay and it's all covered in the resolution.  
28. I ask for a favorable consideration in this resolution.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. All right. Senator Geo-Karis has moved the adoption of House  
31. Joint Resolution 109. The opinion of the Chair a roll call will  
32. be required. We had a similar resolution with respect to the Chicago  
33. Marina proposal. Is there any further discussion? If not, the

1. question is shall...House Joint Resolution 109 be adopted. Those  
2. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting  
3. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
4. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
5. Ayes are 47, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. House Joint  
6. Resolution 109, having received the required constitutional majority,  
7. is declared adopted. Senator Mitchler, for what purpose do you  
8. arise?

9. SENATOR MITCHLER:

10. Mr. President, on the Secretary's Desk under Resolutions, I  
11. have two of them that have been laying on the Calendar.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. All right. Senator Mitchler has asked leave to go to his  
14. Senate Resolution 565, is that the one, Senator Mitchler?

15. SENATOR MITCHLER:

16. Yes.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Could that young man in front of Senator Mitchler move?  
19. Thank you. All right. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions,  
20. is Senate Resolution 565. Senator Mitchler.

21. SENATOR MITCHLER:

22. Mr. President and members of the Senate, Senate Resolution  
23. 565 was voted out of the Senate Executive Committee. It con-  
24. gratulates winners of the Eagle Forum, the E.R.A.-Opposed. It's  
25. a congratulatory type resolution. I would ask for adoption.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Is there any discussion? If not, Senator Mitchler has moved  
28. the adoption of Senate Resolution 565. Those in favor will say  
29. Aye. Those opposed will say No. The Ayes have it. The resolution  
30. is adopted. On the Order of Secretary's Desk Resolutions, Senate  
31. Resolution 566. Senator Mitchler.

32. SENATOR MITCHLER:

33. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate Resolution

1. 566, also voted out of the Senate Executive Committee, opposes the  
2. use of already understaffed V.A. Hospitals for the treatment of the  
3. Cuban refugees. This is a problem that we are forwarding on to the  
4. members of Congress expressing our opinion that the Veterans'  
5. Hospitals be used exclusively for the intent and purposes for which  
6. they were intended, the veterans. I would ask for a favorable roll  
7. call. Thank you.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. All right. Senator Mitchler has requested a roll call. The  
10. question is shall Senate Resolution 566 pass. Those in favor will  
11. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.  
12. Have all voted who wish...all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
13. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 35, the  
14. Nays are none, 5 Voting Present. Senate Resolution 566 is declared  
15. adopted. Committee reports.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Senator Donnewald, Chairman of the Committee on Assignment  
18. of Bills assigns the following bills to Committee: House Bills  
19. 3621 and 3622, Appropriations I.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Washington, for what purpose do you arise?

22. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

23. Brief point of personal privilege. The Department of Human  
24. Rights sent a very lovely delicious cake over here. It's going  
25. to be back in the Well if anyone wants a piece of it.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. It's low-cal cake. With leave of the Body we will move to  
28. page 2 on the Calendar. We'll begin at the top. On the Order of  
29. Senate Bills 2nd reading. 2028, Senator Regner. On the Order of  
30. Senate Bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 2028. Read the bill, Mr.  
31. Secretary.

32. SECRETARY:

33. Senate Bill 2008...

1. PRESIDENT:  
2. No. 2028.
3. SECRETARY:  
4. Oh...Senate Bill 2028.  
5. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
6. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
7. PRESIDENT:  
8. Senator Regner.
9. SENATOR REGNER:  
10. Yes, Mr. President. I'd like to move this to 3rd reading.  
11. Senator Schaffer, Senator Buzbee and myself have a meeting with  
12. someone from the Governor's Office. There may be a further  
13. amendment. If there is, we will bring it back either later today  
14. or tomorrow morning when that is agreed on; but I'd like to move  
15. it to 3rd reading now.
16. PRESIDENT:  
17. There are no Floor amendments filed? All right. Any amendments  
18. from the Floor?
19. SECRETARY:  
20. No Floor amendments.
21. PRESIDENT:  
22. 3rd reading. On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading,  
23. top of page 2 is Senate Bill 2029, Senator Regner. Read the bill  
24. Mr. Secretary.
25. SECRETARY:  
26. Senate Bill 2029.  
27. (Secretary reads title of bill)  
28. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)  
30. Are there amendments from the Floor?
31. SECRETARY:  
32. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Bruce.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)



1. Senator Bruce.

2. SENATOR BRUCE:

3. Thank you Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is  
4. an amendment which will be...substantive legislation will be  
5. before the Body, I think, either later today or tomorrow; and  
6. depending on whether we have perfunctory Session, it relates to  
7. a change in the School Aid Formula to pick up one district in the  
8. State of Illinois that did not file in a timely fashion for their  
9. grant from the State of Illinois did not...it changes the Operating  
10. Tax Rate and we will be discussing the substantive legislation.  
11. Senator Regner we talked to yesterday; he was kind enough to let  
12. me put this amendment on for three hundred and sixty-seven thousand  
13. dollars. If the substantive legislation does not go through, we  
14. will withdraw the amendment.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16. Senator Rock.

17. SENATOR ROCK:

18. Thank you, Mr. President. Not to the substance of the  
19. amendment, the Senator made reference to the possibility of a  
20. perfunctory Session. I want to make it perfectly clear that  
21. tomorrow we are in full Session. Tomorrow is day fifteen for...  
22. with respect to action on the Governor's Messages. In addition  
23. to that, pretty obviously, we are now moving these Senate bills  
24. from 2nd to 3rd with the hope and expectation that they will  
25. receive final passage if they are to receive it at all tomorrow.  
26. So I would urge everyone not to make any plans to the contrary.  
27. We are going to be in full Session tomorrow commencing at 9:00  
28. a.m.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Bruce.

31. SENATOR BRUCE:

32. Well, with that explanation then, if the substantive  
33. legislation is acted on tomorrow and it is not passed, we will

1. take this off tomorrow. So, I don't think the controversy is  
2. today on this; it's a question of substantive legislation which  
3. we will have before you tomorrow.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

5. Is there further discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
6. No. 1 to Senate Bill 2029 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
7. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1  
8. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Rock.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

12. Senator Rock.

13. SENATOR ROCK:

14. Thank you Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
15. Senate. You will recall last year, in the appropriations process,  
16. we passed an appropriation for the sum of 1.9 hundred thousand  
17. dollars, appropriated from the Capital Development Fund for the  
18. purpose of the acquisition and the rehabilitation of a building  
19. in Glen Ellyn, Illinois for use as the Deaf-Blind Service Center.  
20. We are currently...we, the State, are currently occupying that  
21. building. We have twenty youngsters there who are both deaf and  
22. blind; and it is absolutely imperative that this appropriation be  
23. passed. The Governor, because of a technical error in the legal...  
24. a legal technical error, I should say, was forced to veto this out  
25. of the Capital Development Appropriation which we passed last June.  
26. We now have that legal matter cleared up. This money is absolutely  
27. essential. There have been continuing negotiations between the  
28. Governor's Office, the Capital Development Board and the West  
29. Suburban Special Education Association that is actually the contracting  
30. agent operating this school. I would urge the adoption of  
31. Amendment No. 2.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Senator Sommer.

1. SENATOR SOMMER:

2. A question of the sponsor, Mr. President.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

4. Indicates he will yield.

5. SENATOR SOMMER:

6. Senator Rock, what is the ultimate projected cost of this  
7. project?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

9. Senator Rock.

10. SENATOR ROCK:

11. Well, when you say "ultimate projected cost," the cost,  
12. as incorporated in this amendment is the cost for the purchase,  
13. the acquisition of and the rehabilitation of this facility, as  
14. it will be staffed and operable for about twenty to twenty-five  
15. of these youngsters. They are currently occupying the premises.  
16. There is also, I will tell you, a master plan that we talked about  
17. back in 1973 when the bill originally passed, that we hope someday  
18. to build a facility, a large building, to handle, what we hope will  
19. be the hundred children that the census people or the school people  
20. have identified as in need of this service. We have in this State,  
21. approximately a hundred and forty to a hundred and fifty children  
22. who suffer from this double affliction, who are both deaf and are  
23. blind at the same time. They have, frankly, not received the kind  
24. of service to which they are entitled. Ultimately the plan is, or  
25. at least my plan is, and having discussed it with I.O.E., that we  
26. would someday build a building to accommodate all these children.  
27. What the ultimate actual cost will be, frankly, I don't know; but  
28. I would expect that it would probably be somewhere in the neighbor-  
29. hood of seven or eight million dollars. This amount of money will  
30. do two things, it will acquire for us a permanent site, which con-  
31. tains enough acreage, should this Assembly decide in years to come  
32. that they wish to build a facility, it can be accommodated right  
33. on this location. In the meantime, the location, frankly, is

1. perfect for the use for which we are acquiring it.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Senator Sommer.

4. SENATOR SOMMER:

5. It's my understanding, Senator Rock, that you are talking  
6. with the administration and have an ongoing discussion with them,  
7. and irrespective of this project, which appears to be a worthy  
8. one, we should note that this comes out of the Bond Fund; and  
9. at the current sales levels...interest rate levels that...that  
10. we have been forced to pay in recent years, where at the present  
11. time when we appropriate one dollar from the Bond Fund, we will  
12. be required to pay back two dollars and ten cents. So, every time  
13. we appropriate a dollar, we...we have a State obligation that is  
14. more than double at the present interest rates, and it's something,  
15. I think, we'll have to address in the next Session when we get into  
16. capital expenditures whether we want to obligate the State to that  
17. extent. This particular project is, no doubt, a worthy one, and...  
18. and would not fall under that rubric.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

20. Senator Rock.

21. SENATOR ROCK:

22. I thank the Senator for those remarks, and I would just say  
23. that I look forward to the same speech next year when the Capital  
24. Development Board Appropriation is before this Body, and we can,  
25. at that point, pick and choose; but this one is absolutely essential,  
26. and I appreciate your support.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

28. Senator Berning.

29. SENATOR BERNING:

30. Yes, I have a question for the sponsor, please.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Indicates he will yield.

33. SENATOR BERNING:

1.           There, undoubtedly, is great justification for this  
2. particular installation and I'm...I'm not challenging the concept  
3. at all. My question has to do with whether or not these children  
4. would not benefit more if they can be accommodated in the so-called  
5. mainstreaming. We have discovered, of course, that the deaf and  
6. frequently the blind do much better if they can be incorporated  
7. into the regular school system. At the same time there is ir-  
8. refutable evidence now that in place of the large State institutions  
9. the developmentally disabled and mentally handicapped do much  
10. better in smaller community installations. So, my question has to  
11. do with whether there has been a thorough evaluation of the benefits  
12. for the students in an institution where they are far removed from  
13. their parents versus an attempt to incorporate these students into  
14. a normal education program.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

16.           Senator Rock.

17. SENATOR ROCK:

18.           Yes, thank you, Mr. President. The answer to your question,  
19. the direct answer is yes, there has been; and as you will recall,  
20. when this amendment to the School Code was passed, I think it was back in  
21. 19...well, it was in the early 70's, in any rate, it was about  
22. 1974, I believe, that we have...embarked on this program. That it  
23. was...it was the judgment of the educational people in this State,  
24. and certainly the medical people and, frankly, I was then convinced,  
25. that for these youngsters who suffer from both afflictions, that  
26. is both lack of hearing and lack of sight, that we had, frankly,  
27. no serious program or educational opportunity that was being  
28. afforded by this State. At that point in time there were about  
29. four or five private institutions across the country, one in  
30. Massachusetts, one in California, one in upper New York, one here  
31. in Springfield, the Hope School, which made an attempt to deal  
32. effectively with these youngsters and not just institutionalize  
33. them; but really try, at least, to afford them the opportunity

1. for some education. So, the answer to your question is yes, there  
2. has been a significant and...and long standing evaluation, and it's  
3. currently going on. The fact of the matter is that there is no  
4. single school district in this State, Chicago included as big as  
5. it is, that has the facilities or the personnel to adequately deal  
6. with these youngsters. They simply, at this point in time, given  
7. the state of the art in things educational; they simply cannot be  
8. mainstreamed, and so we have to afford them a different opportunity.  
9. This is, I think, that opportunity. We had set up, at that time,  
10. as you will recall, and advisory board which consisted in the main  
11. of parents of these children, and frankly, the results to date have  
12. delighted these parents of these young Illinois residents, because  
13. we are now the only State in the United States that is offering  
14. this opportunity. This property in particular is absolutely superb  
15. and it's perfect for our purposes, and I would, again, urge support  
16. of Amendment No. 2.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Is there further discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
19. No. 2 to Senate Bill 2029 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
20. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 2  
21. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

22. SECRETARY:

23. No further amendments.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

25. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 2030, Senator Grotberg. Read  
26. the bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Bill 2030.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

32. Are there amendments from the Floor?

33. SECRETARY:

1. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Buzbee.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

3. Senator Buzbee.

4. SENATOR BUZBEE:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment reduces the  
6. Department of Rehabilitation Services request by three hundred  
7. and seventy-six thousand dollars, making the total supplemental  
8. appropriation come out to be one million one hundred twenty-four  
9. thousand dollars. The amendment brings the appropriation in  
10. line with the actual receipts expected in the Illinois Veterans  
11. Rehabilitation Fund. What has happened is the Department has  
12. come in and has requested...this is the only department that spends  
13. money out of this fund and they have requested an appropriation  
14. that exceeds the total amount of money that is in the fund, and so,  
15. we are cutting it back to...to the total amount where they will  
16. expend every penny of estimated revenue but not one penny more than  
17. estimated revenue into that fund. So, I would move its adoption.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

19. Is there further discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
20. No. 1 to Senate Bill 2030 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by  
21. saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is  
22. adopted. Are there further amendments?

23. SECRETARY:

24. No further amendments.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 2031, Senator Moore. Read the  
27. bill, Mr. Secretary.

28. SECRETARY:

29. Senate Bill 2031.

30. (Secretary reads title of bill)

31. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

33. Are there amendments from the Floor?

1. SECRETARY:
2. No Floor amendments.
3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
4. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 2032, Senator Nimrod. Read
5. the bill, Mr. Secretary.
6. SECRETARY:
7. Senate Bill 2032.
8. (Secretary reads title of bill)
9. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I
10. offers one amendment.
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
12. Senator Carroll.
13. SENATOR CARROLL:
14. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
15. Senate. Pursuant to prior understandings we had required a
16. technical break-out of that monies which are used for Operations
17. as opposed to Grant giving, and that's what this amendment does.
18. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.
19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
20. Is there discussion? The question is shall Amendment No. 1
21. to Senate Bill 2032 be adopted. Those in favor indicate by saying
22. Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.
23. Are there further amendments?
24. SECRETARY:
25. No further committee amendments.
26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
27. Are there amendments from the Floor?
28. SECRETARY:
29. No Floor amendments.
30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)
31. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 2033, Senator Nimrod. Read the
32. bill, Mr. Secretary.
33. SECRETARY:



1. Senate Bill 2033.

2. (Secretary reads title of bill)

3. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I offers  
4. one amendment.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

6. Senator Carroll.

7. SENATOR CARROLL:

8. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
9. Senate. This is to add fifty-seven thousand six hundred for  
10. the operation of the 208 water plans at NIPC, SWIMPAC, and  
11. Greater Egypt. I would move adoption of Amendment No. 1.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

13. Senator Sommer.

14. SENATOR SOMMER:

15. A question of Senator Carroll. Senator, was this particular  
16. item vetoed by the Governor in another bill...this summer?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Senator Carroll.

19. SENATOR CARROLL:

20. I'm really not sure. The department was in accord with this  
21. when we put it on in the committee. I don't know that this was  
22. the...I know that they did do some vetoing of monies to NIPC,  
23. SWIMPAC and Greater Egypt; I'm not sure if that's the same as  
24. this or not.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

26. Senator Sommer.

27. SENATOR SOMMER:

28. Well, in the event that we're going to appropriate money for  
29. Regional Planning Commissions, it seems that we should do it for  
30. all of them, rather than just a couple of them; and, therefore, I,  
31. personally, would oppose this amendment and members can do what they  
32. wish.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

1. Is there further discussion? Senator Carroll.

2. SENATOR CARROLL:

3. The only reason, Mr. President and Senator Sommer and other  
4. members of the Senate, for these is that the other planning  
5. agencies are covered by the State, as the State becomes the  
6. planner for 208 water quality. In these three instances, the  
7. local planning agencies have a designated planner for 208  
8. water quality, and therefore need the funds to do the job that  
9. they are directed by the 208 plan to do.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

11. Is there further discussion? The question is shall Amendment  
12. No. 1 to Senate Bill 2033 be adopted. Those in favor indicate  
13. ~~by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it...The amendment~~  
14. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

15. SECRETARY:

16. No further committee amendments.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

18. Are there amendments from the Floor?

19. SECRETARY:

20. No Floor amendments.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

22. 3rd reading. Senate Bill 2034, Senator Schaffer. Read the  
23. bill, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Senate Bill 2034.

26. (Secretary reads title of bill)

27. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations II  
28. offers one amendment.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR DONNEWALD)

30. Senator Buzbee.

31. SENATOR BUZBEE:

32. Thank you, Mr. President. This amendment reduces the amount  
33. for Administration from forty thousand to thirty-two thousand five

1. hundred, and breaks these funds out by line item. I would move  
2. its adoption.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Buzbee has moved the adoption of Committee Amendment  
5. No. 1 to Senate Bill 2034. Is there any discussion? If not, all  
6. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it.  
7. The amendment is adopted. Further amendments?

8. SECRETARY:

9. No further committee amendments.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Any amendments from the Floor?

12. SECRETARY:

13. No Floor amendments.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. 3rd reading. 2035, Senator Moore. On the Order of Senate  
16. Bills 2nd reading, the middle of page 2, is Senate Bill 2035.  
17. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. Senate Bill 2035.

20. (Secretary reads title of bill)

21. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
22. offers one amendment.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Carroll.

25. SENATOR CARROLL:

26. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
27. Senate. This amendment is for Pension Laws and Energy Resources  
28. Commissions. It is...transfers the funds in each of those,  
29. because of changes from Contractual to Personal Services and  
30. its correspondent accounts. I would move adoption of Amendment  
31. No. 1.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. All right. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of

1. Committee Amendment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2035. Is there any  
2. discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All  
3. opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there  
4. further amendments?

5. SECRETARY:

6. No further committee amendments.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Are there amendments from the Floor?

9. SECRETARY:

10. No Floor amendments.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. 3rd reading. Senator Regner on 2036. On the Order of  
13. Senate Bills 2nd reading, Senate Bill 2036. Read the bill, Mr.  
14. Secretary.

15. SECRETARY:

16. House...Senate Bill 2036.

17. (Secretary reads title of bill)

18. 2nd reading of the bill. The Committee on Appropriations I  
19. offers one amendment.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Carroll.

22. SENATOR CARROLL:

23. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
24. Senate. Committee Amendment No. 1 is a technical amendment,  
25. language change, no dollar impact, a drafting error of when the  
26. bill was introduced. While I have the microphone, we had some  
27. Floor amendments that we had discussed in committee; we will hold  
28. them until we can further resolve what the dollar impact of some  
29. of those cuts on 4-C J-C will be; with the agreement of the sponsor,  
30. to bring the bill back, at that time, rather than to put them on  
31. now and maybe have to take them off, which would be a lot of  
32. wasted paper in times of fiscal conservatism. I would move adoption  
33. of Amendment No. 1.

SB 2038  
Amendment #1, 2, 3  
11-20-80

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Carroll has moved the adoption of Committee Amend-  
3. ment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2036. Is there any discussion? If not,  
4. all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have  
5. it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

6. SECRETARY:

7. No further committee amendments.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Are there Floor amendments?

10. SECRETARY:

11. No Floor amendments.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. 3rd reading. Senator De Angelis on 2038. On the Order of  
14. Senate Bills 2nd reading is Senate Bill 2038. Read the bill,  
15. Mr. Secretary.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Senate Bill 2038.

18. (Secretary reads title of bill)

19. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Are there amendments from the Floor?

22. SECRETARY:

23. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Keats.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Keats.

26. SENATOR KEATS:

27. This amendment we had talked about the other day, will cut  
28. the salary of all the managers, short of the brand new Executive  
29. Director by ten percent. This is a reduction of forty-one  
30. thousand eight hundred dollars; twenty-four thousand nine hundred  
31. comes from General Revenue. In private business you reward those  
32. who do a good job and do otherwise with those who do poor work.  
33. I think we're all aware that the State Scholarship Commission has

1. had some problems with the new Executive Director. We should give  
2. him some leeway, but this amendment should serve as a warning to  
3. his staff that we are not unaware of the problems they have had.  
4. Mr. President.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Keats has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to  
7. Senate Bill 2038. Any discussion? Senator Buzbee.

8. SENATOR BUZBEE:

9. Thank you, Mr. President. This is one of those situations  
10. where our philosophy is exactly the same. It makes me...arrive  
11. at exactly the opposite conclusion from Senator Keats. I concur  
12. there has been poor management in the past. We have a new manager.  
13. That new manager, I believe, will fire those incompetents who do  
14. not display...and we can save all of their salary; but to...for  
15. us to take an arbitrary and...and...and capricious, perhaps, if  
16. you will, decision at this time to tell the new...the new Executive  
17. Director of the Scholarship Commission that we're going to cut  
18. all of your second-line managers' salaries by ten percent in the  
19. Legislative process; I think, undermines his authority and his  
20. ability to...to control or reward, punish and so forth those  
21. folks that work for him. So, I think this is an amendment that  
22. is not in...that is not going to be helpful to the State Scholar-  
23. ship Commission. Let's let the manager make that decision with  
24. the new Executive Director, and let him fire those folks or reduce  
25. their salaries or increase them if he finds those that are doing  
26. a good job. So, I...I would rise in opposition to this amendment.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Further discussion? Senator Walsh.

29. SENATOR WALSH:

30. Mr. President, I don't have the amendment, but I just question  
31. whether the amendment is in order in that...from the explanation  
32. I inferred that it contained substantive material in an appropriation  
33. bill.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. I think the point is well taken. Is there further discussion  
3. while we're on this? All right. The Chair is prepared to rule  
4. that Amendment No. 1, as offered, is non-germane. I think the  
5. message now has been given to the Scholarship Commission loud and  
6. clear, and I'm sure this will...matter will come up again in the  
7. 82nd General Assembly. So, the Chair rules Amendment No. 1 is  
8. non-germane. Further amendments?

9. SECRETARY:

10. Amendment No. 2, offered by Senator De Angelis.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator De Angelis.

13. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

14. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Amendment  
15. No. 2 reduces the appropriation from ninety-one million, five hundred  
16. and thirty-six thousand to eighty-six million, nine hundred and  
17. forty-five thousand. What it essentially does is reduces the  
18. supplemental appropriation request from yesterday of nine million,  
19. eight seventy-seven to five million, two hundred and seventy-six  
20. thousand. I move for its adoption.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. All right. Senator De Angelis has moved the adoption of  
23. Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2038. Is there any discussion?  
24. Senator Rhoads.

25. SENATOR RHOADS:

26. A question of the sponsor.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Rhoads.

29. SENATOR RHOADS:

30. Senator De Angelis, the 5.27 figure sounds like the figure  
31. that was recommended by the Board of Higher Ed, is...what is the  
32. origin of that figure?

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator De Angelis.

2. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

3. Senator Rhoads, that is absolutely correct. That is the  
4. recommendation of the Board of Higher Ed.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Rhoads.

7. SENATOR RHOADS:

8. Secondly, Senator De Angelis, the...of the fifteen thousand  
9. applicants in a...in a pending status, which of those applicants  
10. would be accommodated by an appropriation of 5.27? Are there...  
11. is there a priority system envisioned here?

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator De Angelis.

14. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

15. It would not be giving any scholarships to those applicants  
16. who applied after 8-28 for this semester, but it would enable the  
17. Illinois State Scholarship Commission to process those applicants  
18. for the second semester through 2-15.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Rhoads.

21. SENATOR RHOADS:

22. I'm sorry, would you try that one more time? I didn't  
23. understand it. After...if they applied after August 28th,  
24. they get nothing? And...

25. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

26. (Inaudible)

27. SENATOR RHOADS:

28. ...and then...then, who...where does this extra money go then  
29. to which of those fifteen thousand applicants?

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator De Angelis.

32. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

33. All fifteen thousand applicants for the second semester.



1. Not for the first semester.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Further discussion? If not, Senator De Angelis has moved  
4. the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to Senate Bill 2038. Those in  
5. favor signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it.  
6. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

7. SECRETARY:

8. Amendment No. 3, offered by Senator Newhouse.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Newhouse.

11. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and Senators. I concur in the  
13. reduction of the amount that was...originally requested to  
14. conform with the BHE recommendation. There is still outstanding  
15. a five hundred thousand dollar amount that should be appropriated  
16. for the Scholarship Commission for the Fiscal Year beginning 1979  
17. and ending June 30, 1980. And this amendment takes care of that  
18. situation and I move its adoption.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Newhouse has moved the adoption of Amendment No.  
21. 3 to Senate Bill 2038. Any discussion? Senator Regner.

22. SENATOR REGNER:

23. Well, Mr. President and members, this...this item was  
24. beaten yesterday when a motion was made to discharge the bill  
25. from the Rules Committee to provide this five hundred and some  
26. thousand dollars. I think it is absolutely ludicrous that we  
27. keep beating the same horse to death. Senator Newhouse, the  
28. decision was made yesterday by the majority members of this  
29. Senate that we didn't want to hear this or consider it this  
30. Session; so, let...let's just let it die and let's kill the  
31. amendment. It was decided yesterday; let's give the same  
32. decision today.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Further discussion? Senator Newhouse, you wish to close?

2. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

3. Move adoption of Amendment No. 3.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Newhouse has moved the adoption of Senate Amendment  
6. No. 3 to Senate Bill 2038. Those in favor signify by saying  
7. Aye. Those opposed. Roll call. Those in favor of Amendment  
8. No. 3 to Senate Bill 2038 will vote Aye. Those opposed will  
9. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
10. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.  
11. On that question, the Ayes are 29, the Nays are 22, none Voting  
12. Present. Amendment No. 3 is adopted. Further amendments?

13. SECRETARY:

14. No further amendments.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. 3rd reading. Senator Bruce on the Floor? 2040, are we  
17. ready on that? On the Order of Senate Bills 2nd reading, the  
18. bottom of page 2 is Senate Bill 2040. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senate Bill 2040.

21. (Secretary reads title of bill)

22. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Are there amendments from the Floor?

25. SECRETARY:

26. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Bruce.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Bruce.

29. SENATOR BRUCE:

30. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. This is  
31. the amendment we discussed yesterday, which deletes all the  
32. projects except four, on which, I believe, we've got an agreement  
33. with the Governor, the Capital Development Board, the Illinois

1. Community College Board and the Board of Higher Education.  
2. The appropriation...the amendment, which I now move that we  
3. adopt, reduces the amount by four million, two hundred and eight  
4. thousand and leaves in only three million, two hundred and forty  
5. thousand for four projects, which CDB feels to be absolutely  
6. imperative that we do something before winter sets in, and I  
7. would move the adoption of the amendment; it deals with Danville  
8. Area Community College, Illinois Eastern Community College,  
9. Rend Lake Community College and Triton, all for amounts from  
10. ninety thousand to one million one hundred thousand dollars,  
11. dealing with ceilings, doors and windows, heating and cooling  
12. systems, heating and ventilating and underground pipe, which  
13. serves a heating system at Danville Area Community College.  
14. I would move the adoption of Amendment No. 1, which, in fact,  
15. is the legislation before the Body.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Bruce has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1  
18. to Senate Bill 2040. Any discussion? If not, all in favor  
19. signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
20. amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?

21. SECRETARY:

22. No further amendments.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. 3rd reading. Senator Wooten on 2041. On the Order of  
25. Senate Bills 2nd reading, the bottom of page 2, is Senate Bill  
26. 2041. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Senate Bill 2041.

29. (Secretary reads title of bill)

30. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Are there amendments from the Floor?

33. SECRETARY:

1. No Floor amendments.
2. PRESIDENT:
3. All right.
4. SECRETARY:
5. Amendment No. 1, offered by Senator Wooten.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. Senator Wooten.
8. SENATOR WOOTEN:
9. Yes, this amendment, I discussed this with Senator Daley
10. and with parties interested in this, and they suggested that we
11. move the date ahead six months, instead of one year; and...and
12. then, if...if it...the need arises for a further delay it can be
13. done in the spring. ~~So, I would move the adoption of Amendment~~
14. No. 1.
15. PRESIDENT:
16. All right. Senator Wooten has moved the adoption of Amend-
17. ment No. 1 to Senate Bill 2041. Is there any discussion? If
18. not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes
19. have it. The amendment is adopted. Are there further amendments?
20. SECRETARY:
21. No further amendments.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. 3rd reading. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House
24. Bill 32, Senator Rhoads. On the Order of House Bills 3rd reading...
25. top of page 3 on the Calendar, House Bills 3rd reading. On the
26. Order of House Bills 3rd reading, House Bill 3230. Read the bill,
27. Mr. Secretary.
28. SECRETARY:
29. House Bill 3230.
30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
31. 3rd reading of the bill.
32. PRESIDENT:
33. Senator Rhoads.

1. SENATOR RHOADS:

2. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The  
3. synopsis on your Calendar is not correct. House Bill 3230 was  
4. a House Committee on Elections bill, dealing with the Election  
5. Consolidation Act, originally sponsored by myself and Senator  
6. Maragos in this Chamber. By virtue of Amendment No. 1, which was  
7. adopted yesterday, it provides a mechanism whereby the County of  
8. DuPage, and this is the only county that the bill now applies to,  
9. may delay a referendum which was originally scheduled for the  
10. Saturday after Thanksgiving, this November the 29th. It would  
11. save the county approximately, somewhere between four and five  
12. hundred thousand dollars in election costs. The petition that an  
13. organization submitted to deal with the question of the DuPage  
14. Merit Employment Commission is still valid, and that election  
15. would now be deferred to March of 1982. I ask for a favorable  
16. vote; it will save the County of DuPage a substantial amount of  
17. money.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Is there any discussion? Senator Wooten.

20. SENATOR WOOTEN:

21. Just a question of the sponsor. What is the issue, again,  
22. that is being deferred and what is the effect of delaying? I mean, is  
23. this something the voters are all content to put off for a couple  
24. of years?

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Rhoads.

27. SENATOR RHOADS:

28. Well, it...I may defer to Senator Philip here, who is more  
29. familiar with it. There is now, in effect, a Merit Employment  
30. Commission in DuPage County. An organization which seeks to  
31. abolish that Merit Employment Commission has petitioned the  
32. DuPage County Board of Election Commissioners for a special election  
33. to consider that question on November 29th. As I say, it's the

SR 565  
11-20-80

1. Saturday after Thanksgiving; it will cost an awful lot of money  
2. to open the polling places on that day.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Any further discussion? If not, the question is shall House  
5. Bill 3230 as amended pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those  
6. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
7. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take  
8. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are none,  
9. none Voting Present. House Bill 3230, having received the required  
10. constitutional majority, is declared passed; and the bill, having  
11. received the affirmative vote of three-fifths of the members  
12. elected, is effective immediately upon its becoming a law. Yes,  
13. Senator Netsch, for what purpose do you arise?

14. SENATOR NETSCH:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. I would like leave to be shown,  
16. myself and several others, as having voted No on Senate Resolution  
17. 565. It was a voice vote, but I would like the recording to show  
18. that. I will give the list to the Secretary of the Senate.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. All right. Senator Netsch has asked leave to be shown as  
21. voting negatively on the passage of Senate Resolution 565, which  
22. was passed, or adopted by a voice vote. Is leave granted? There  
23. is some discussion. Senator Bowers.

24. SENATOR BOWERS:

25. My...my recollection, Mr. President, is that was a recorded  
26. roll call vote.

27. PRESIDENT

28. No, Sir. 566 was a recorded roll call...

29. SENATOR BOWERS:

30. Well, do we do this as a matter of course?

31. PRESIDENT:

32. ...at the sponsor's request.

33. SENATOR BOWERS:

1. Do we do this as a matter of course on these...on these  
2. matters? Is there any precedent for that?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Well, she is asking leave to be recorded in the official  
5. transcript of proceedings, which is a permanent record and  
6. accessible to the public; she is asking leave to be shown as a  
7. Negative vote, instead of an anonymous Negative vote. Is leave...  
8. is leave granted? Yes, Senator Netsch.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. There are several others in the  
11. same category, if I might...

12. PRESIDENT:

13. I understand...I understand...

14. SENATOR NETSCH:

15. ...make the list available.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. ...I was just going to find out if leave is granted, first.

18. SENATOR NETSCH:

19. Right. Thank you.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Leave is granted. All right. Senator Netsch. Yes, would  
22. you now favor us with the list.

23. SENATOR NETSCH:

24. Oh, I'm sorry. Very well. The list that I have to date is  
25. Senators Netsch, Wooten, Washington, Berman, Collins, Chew, Daley,  
26. Martin, Newhouse, Merlo, Kenneth Hall...Present, Carroll, I'm  
27. sorry...and four...three voting Present, Senators Sangmeister  
28. and the two Senators Joyce. And Senator Knuppel is No.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. And Senator Knuppel. All right. The record...the transcript  
31. will so reflect, and I'm sure the Body is aware that a resolution  
32. is passed by a majority of those voting on the question. So, it  
33. appears there are still enough. Senator Geo-Karis.

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

2. Add my No. to that too.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Absolutely. Senator Walsh. Senator Walsh.

5. SENATOR WALSH:

6. Now...now...now, I must protest. Because what that does,  
7. Mr. President, is...is put some people on record as being...as  
8. voting in the affirmative who may not wish to be recorded as  
9. voting in the affirmative, because there are so...the number  
10. listed by Senator Netsch being...

11. PRESIDENT:

12. All right. Senator Walsh, I have an alternative, which I  
13. suggested, frankly, to the person who sought leave. Why don't  
14. you make a motion to reconsider the vote by which it was adopted  
15. and we'll start over. Is anybody prepared to make that motion?  
16. It...it was a voice vote, and I...distinctly I recall hearing  
17. Senator Ozinga's voice. Senator Ozinga moves to reconsider the  
18. vote by which Senate Resolution 565 was adopted. Those in favor  
19. signify by saying Aye. Those opposed. The Ayes have it. The  
20. vote is reconsidered. Senate Resolution 565 will be placed back  
21. on the Secretary's Desk. Do you wish to call that at this time,  
22. Senator Mitchler? It's certainly your right. All right. With  
23. leave of the Body, we'll now move back again to the Order of  
24. Secretary's Desk Resolutions. On the Order of Secretary's Desk  
25. Resolutions is Senate Resolution 565, Senator Mitchler.

26. SENATOR MITCHLER:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. I believe, you know, all this is...  
28. a congratulatory resolution. Rather than go through and expunging  
29. it from the record and all the procedures, I'd ask for a favorable  
30. roll call as we had before. Thank you.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Mitchler has moved the adoption of Senate Resolution  
33. 565. Any discussion? Senator Chew.



1. SENATOR CHEW:

2.           What does the resolution do?

3. PRESIDENT:

4.           The sponsor indicates he will yield. Senator Mitchler.

5. SENATOR MITCHLER:

6.           Senator Chew, a contest was conducted State-wide by the  
7. Eagle Forum on the...amongst school children on the question for  
8. them to study and write opinions on the proposed amendment to the  
9. United States Constitution concerning the Equal Rights Amendment.  
10. And, it was a contest conducted to enlighten the students to express  
11. and study the issue; and this is merely congratulating that group  
12. for bringing that to the attention of the students, because it is  
13. a matter before this Body in the Illinois General Assembly. It's  
14. just a congratulatory resolution for having them conduct the  
15. contest.

16. PRESIDENT:

17.           Senator Chew.

18. SENATOR CHEW:

19.           I would be prepared to research the results of the so-called  
20. voting, insomuch as it was State-wide. I'm not familiar with  
21. Eagleeye, if that's the name of the organization that did the...  
22. the study, or Mitchler's Eye, or any other "eye." I...I feel that  
23. the resolution is completely out of order. It's not germane to  
24. what we're doing here and I would urge a No vote on the congratulations,  
25. because nobody has seen the results, other than, maybe, the sponsor,  
26. and figures can do what you want them to do if you got the pencil.

27. PRESIDENT:

28.           Further discussion? Senator Hall. Senator Netsch.

29. SENATOR NETSCH:

30.           Thank you, Mr. President. I am aware of the fact that the  
31. resolution congratulates the winners of the contest, but it is  
32. hardly in a non-controversial area; and the reason why I have  
33. raised this issue, although I was hoping we would be able to

1. avoid getting into a hassle about it on the Floor, is that I  
2. object, initially, to the abuse of the resolution congratulatory  
3. process; and I find the use of it in connection with a highly  
4. controversial issue on which there are deeply divided opinions  
5. within this Body a...an abuse of the process, and I, for one, was  
6. not going to let the record indicate that I had...might have  
7. voted for that resolution.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. All right. Lest there be any misunderstanding, Mr. Secretary,  
10. read the resolution.

11. SECRETARY:

12. Resolution 6...565.

13. (Secretary reads Senate Resolution 565)

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

16. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

17. Would the sponsor of the resolution yield to a question?

18. PRESIDENT:

19. He indicates he'll yield. Senator...

20. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

21. Did any of those students who win the prizes support E.R.A?

22. Thank you. I reiterate my vote of No.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Maybe they didn't win, Charlie. Further discussion? If not,  
25. the question is shall Senate Resolution 565 be adopted. Those in  
26. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is  
27. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have  
28. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes  
29. are 31, the Nays are 16, 1 Voting Present. Senate Resolution 565  
30. is adopted.

31.

32. (End of reel)

33.

HB 2777  
2nd Reading

- 1. PRESIDENT:
- 2. Senator Daley on House 2nd. With leave of the Body we'll
- 3. move back to page 3, on the Order of House Bills 2nd reading.
- 4. House Bill 2777. Mr...read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 5. SECRETARY:
- 6. House Bill 2777.
- 7. ( Secretary reads title of bill )
- 8. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 9. PRESIDENT:
- 10. Are there amendments from the Floor?
- 11. SECRETARY:
- 12. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Daley.
- 13. PRESIDENT:
- 14. Senator Daley.
- 15. SENATOR DALEY:
- 16. Mr. President, and fellow Senators. This is the Legislative
- 17. Reference Bureau amendment to House Bill 2777, which are technical
- 18. amendments. I would ask for adoption of the Amendment No. 1.
- 19. PRESIDENT:
- 20. Senator Daley has moved the adoption of Amendment No. 1 to
- 21. House Bill 2777. Any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by
- 22. saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The amendment is adopted.
- 23. Further amendments?
- 24. SECRETARY:
- 25. No further amendments.
- 26. PRESIDENT:
- 27. 3rd reading. Senator Grotberg. On the Order of House Bills
- 28. 2nd reading is House Bill 3103. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.
- 29. SECRETARY:
- 30. House Bill 3103.
- 31. ( Secretary reads title of bill )
- 32. 2nd reading of the bill. No committee amendments.
- 33. PRESIDENT:
- Floor amendments?

1. SECRETARY:

2. Amendment No. 1 offered by Senator Grotberg.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. I beg your pardon Senator Grotberg, but we had a discussion  
5. on some other matter. Senator Grotberg.

6. SENATOR GROTBORG:

7. Thank you Mr. President, and members of the Senate. The bill  
8. that we have reference to, is the one we discharged yesterday. We're  
9. removing everything from it as a vehicle to deal with a local problem  
10. in...in my St. Charles area of my district, the school district,  
11. and we had several attempts at that last spring, to try to work  
12. on an arrangement between the Department of Corrections, St. Charles  
13. Boys School...familiarily known to everyone, and the St. Charles  
14. School District Future Planning Commission who desire to set aside  
15. a site for a future high school. We're one of the few areas left  
16. that are in a growth position. Of course, things have slowed down  
17. a little now. But the City of St. Charles and the school district  
18. have been urging that they get the land set aside so that they can  
19. go ahead and make the move. Therefore, through the Department of  
20. Corrections, the administration, the Governor, and the school district,  
21. we have worked out what we think is an equitable...a land transfer,  
22. and it's on your desk as Grotberg(A) Amendment to that effect. And  
23. we'll offer that first, there's another amendment for Yorkville  
24. coming up also. This, in fact, and I put the story on your desk,  
25. the school district, first of all, would pay for the eighty-six acres,  
26. an immediate one hundred thousand dollars, subject to, the negotiations  
27. ...to contractual...negotiations with the State of Illinois, Ad-  
28. ministrative Services, Department of Corrections, and...and others  
29. to take over the sewage disposal plant of the Boys School which is  
30. an overbuilt, but in need of repair, sewage system that would  
31. service that area, it now costs the State of Illinois about twenty-  
32. five thousand dollars a year, they have a full-time operator. So  
33. within ten years of the use you would have a quarter of a million

1. back, and the City of St. Charles then...also to provide standby  
2. electrical services, and those substations run somewhere around  
3. the fifty thousand dollar price these days. We have tried to bring  
4. enough considerations to this thing to bring the land value up to  
5. what is approximately four thousand dollars an acre by the latest  
6. appraisal that we have. And with that I would just respond to  
7. questions. I'd like to see the amendment go on, and the next  
8. amendment go on, and then we can debate it on 3rd reading. I've  
9. tried to share everything I know with you, on it.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Any discussion? Senator Wooten.

12. SENATOR WOOTEN:

13. A question of the sponsor.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Wooten.

16. SENATOR WOOTEN:

17. What...you...you brought this up last year, and you said you  
18. were going to come back with it after appraisals had been obtained.  
19. Can you tell me what appraisals have been obtained?

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Grotberg.

22. SENATOR GROTBORG:

23. Before expending further monies we had one appraisal, Senator  
24. Wooten, and it's there at forty-six hundred dollars an acre.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Wooten.

27. SENATOR WOOTEN:

28. Forty-six...now that appraisal, I believe, was taken by the  
29. school district itself, so...but we don't have other appraisals.  
30. It has been suggested to me, that if that were done by an independent  
31. agency, instead of a total value of about four hundred thousand dollars,  
32. the value of the land would be somewhere around six hundred or seven  
33. hundred thousanddollars. Secondly, under whose...under which

1. department...under whose control is this land right now?

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Senator Grotberg.

4. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

5. Department of Correction's control, but when all these transfers,  
6. all of those arrangements are made by the Department of Administrative  
7. Services, of course.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Wooten.

10. SENATOR WOOTEN:

11. Well, that's the thing. The Department of Corrections has not  
12. declared this property to be surplus, and it has not transferred  
13. it to the Department of General...to the Department of ~~Administrative~~  
14. Services. We are lacking a step here that I think should properly  
15. be taken. What I suggest to you, Senator, is the same thing that  
16. we had last time, you're proposing to really make a very charitable  
17. donation to the school district. It has land that has not yet been  
18. declared surplus, it has not gone through, what I think is a proper  
19. process. We don't have the independent estimates. I think you're  
20. still quite premature in attempting to give this land away. I believe  
21. we ought to...we have established a process and we ought to follow  
22. it, and we simply have not. I...I just don't think you ought to  
23. proceed along this line.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Further discussion? Senator Bruce.

26. SENATOR BRUCE:

27. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Now,  
28. Senator, there have been...Senator Grotberg, there have been two  
29. amendments floating around here with almost identical LRB numbers.  
30. Can you tell me, are we with the one that holds the State free from  
31. no cost, or the one that says that the State could pick up the cost?

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Grotberg.

1. SENATOR GROTBORG:

2. The one that's free from no cost...for...for the operating  
3. of the...of the...

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator...Senator Bruce.

6. SENATOR GROTBORG:

7. Let's read it...let's read it, Senator. Would that help?

8. SENATOR BRUCE:

9. I'm...I'm interested on line 7. One of your amendments says  
10. to assume operation at no cost to the State, and the other says  
11. St. Charles to assume the operation of the sewage treatment plant,  
12. and no mention of the State.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Grothberg.

15. SENATOR GROTBORG:

16. I'll send one over to you. It's amended to read as follows...  
17. that...that...you're right, that's an obsolete page. It reads as  
18. follows, the district, meaning the school district, as additional  
19. consideration and as a condition of the sale, will contract with  
20. the City of St. Charles to assume operation of the sewage treatment  
21. plant...the Department of Administrative Services and the Department  
22. of Corrections wanted this language so that they can do it contractually  
23. for whatever those costs are, because there's immediate expenditure  
24. of about two hundred thousand dollars by the City of St. Charles to  
25. bring the tertiary system up to date.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Bruce.

28. SENATOR BRUCE:

29. Well, I rise in opposition to this amendment. This bill has  
30. been in before the Executive Committee and twice, I think, defeated.  
31. We have discussed this on the Floor of the Senate, and it has been  
32. defeated. It...it appears to me that we have eighty-six acres in  
33. St. Charles over which we have absolutely no appraisal. No one here

1. has said this land is worth anything. We have...we received an  
2. appraisal from the school district that this property is valued  
3. at five thousand dollars per acre. You are prepared to pay one  
4. hundred thousand dollars for eighty-six acres, when it is appraised  
5. at four hundred and thirty thousand dollars, that's number one.  
6. Two, the property has not even been declared surplus under the  
7. Statutory Acts of the State of Illinois, which then offers it to  
8. any other State agency in the State, to see whether they need  
9. it. We don't know whether..who needs this property, and as I've  
10. said in Executive Committee time and time again, every time we sell  
11. State property we go buy some...someplace else, and the appraisal  
12. is six or eight thousand dollars, and we buy eighty-six acres that  
13. maybe the Department of...of Conservation or any other agency needs,  
14. and we don't...they don't even know it until this is bought at a  
15. bargain based price. Third, there is absolutely no one in this  
16. Chamber that's said what the emergency is. We had this bill in  
17. committee four or five months ago, and no one said an emergency  
18. existed. Today in November the 20th, no one has said an emergency  
19. exists that won't allow this to be heard in committee. We have not  
20. sold a piece of property through the Executive Committee that I  
21. know of in the last four years that we didn't demand an appraisal,  
22. that the sponsor come forth, tell us what the property is worth,  
23. and then we say fine, if that's what the appraisers say, two different  
24. appraisals, then that's fine. But this property is not even on the  
25. surplus rolls; the school district had an appraisal some years ago  
26. that we've gotten a hold of, that's all we know about. There's  
27. no emergency existing, and I don't see why on November the 20th  
28. we are fooling around trying to convey eighty-six acres of property,  
29. and I would point out finally that the first draft of this amendment  
30. said that the State would assume no cost in the operation of the  
31. sewage treatment plant that presently exists there. And the amendment  
32. that is before this Body, the State is not held clear of cost, and  
33. as soon as the St...City of St. Charles contracts with this, there



1. is nothing to say that they have not already agreed or could agree  
2. in the future to bind the State of Illinois to agreements to maintain  
3. and operate this sewage treatment plant. And it seems to me if we  
4. are going to go expend the State's money we ought to know about  
5. it up front, not take out at no cost, and put in cost, it seems  
6. to me a bad time in...in the Senate Session to be spending this  
7. kind of money. I rise in opposition to the amendment.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. All right, Senator Grotberg has moved the adoption Amendment  
10. No. 1 to House Bill 3103. Any further discussion? If not, Senator  
11. Grotberg may close.

12. SENATOR GROTBORG:

13. ~~Well, thank you, Mr. President.~~ The previous speaker alludes  
14. to the fact that there may be spooks around here somewhere. We're  
15. talking about...probably the same way a few minutes ago we sent  
16. three hundred thousand dollars down to your town for a school. You  
17. know, that's kind of the thing we're talking about. In our school  
18. district, for public purposes, they've got, let's face it, they've  
19. got a hundred thousand dollars. And that's all they've got for this  
20. acquisition. They are willing, and there are going to be no deals  
21. signed, you've been through this before. I have memos from the  
22. Department of Corrections, from...July 21st when we agreed to Table.  
23. They've been meeting all summer, they were trying to get a quid pro  
24. quo out of public properties and a shift of the expense of operating  
25. the Boys School to the larger community, put up a buffer zone of  
26. eighty-six acres next to the fence on the east side a lousy develop-  
27. mental area, and you're right, and I told you, we had one appraisal  
28. for some four thousand or forty-six hundred dollars an acre. The  
29. quid pro quos in here will add up to more than that by...you know  
30. within a decade, and everybody is taken care of in the future of  
31. my community that I live in and with a lot of other people will be  
32. secured, all for public purposes, including some park district  
33. improvements to beautify the whole area where the...the...that

1. backs up against that fence. Now, we've all got these things  
2. in our districts so don't suggest to me Senator, that it's  
3. unique to any one of us. The reason that the timing is such  
4. is that we're in a tremendous planning phase locally. And I...  
5. we were last spring. And we...the Speaker...or the President  
6. generously said well let's deal with it in the fall instead of  
7. that. If we go for the three appraisal route we will get up to  
8. the, you know, the four, five hundred thousand dollar mark and  
9. I agree with you on that. Then we have to...then there are no  
10. considerations coming back from that, and they can't front end  
11. it. This lets them contract by negotiations. I think there are  
12. fair men and women involved on all sides of this. It's for the  
13. betterment of our community and nobody will lose. In the long  
14. run the State of Illinois will make money. If you think that  
15. twenty-five to thirty thousand dollars a year for a plant...sewage  
16. plant operator is...is any gravy given the engineering salaries of  
17. State employees, wrong. And we will have a better system and a  
18. better community because of it. And that's why I'm bringing it  
19. to you Gentlemen, and I didn't mean to bore you with a lot of  
20. rhetoric, but it's...it's a straight deal. And I would ask that  
21. you support the amendment, get it on, put it on, let it go to 3rd  
22. reading.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. All right, Senator Grotberg has moved the adoption of Amendment  
25. No. 1 to House Bill 3103. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
26. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have  
27. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes  
28. are 38, the Nays are 7, 1 Voting Present. Amendment No. 1 is adopted.  
29. Are there further amendments?

30. SECRETARY:

31. Amendment No. 2 offered by Senator Mitchler.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Senator Mitchler.

1. SENATOR MITCHLER:

2. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Amendment  
3. No. 2 conveys 3.96 acres of land that is under jurisdiction of  
4. the Department of...Conservation in property known as the  
5. Glenn D.Palmer State Game Farm located in the City of Yorkville  
6. in Kendall County. The 3.96 acres are to be transferred from  
7. the Department of Conservation to the City of Yorkville. Now,  
8. to give you a little history on this, on Illinois State Route  
9. 47, there's a bridge that goes over the Fox River in Yorkville,  
10. and that's going to be widened by the Department of Transportation  
11. to four lanes. It'll cause necessity of eliminating on the south  
12. side, the present library for the City of Yorkville. So, that's  
13. what started all of this. So, in trying to locate a new location  
14. for the library, the library district got together with the City  
15. of Yorkville, and it was determined that they should construct a  
16. community center, a new library, a parking lot, and some open  
17. land on this 3.97 acres that is currently the State Game Farm.  
18. Now, for that the Department of Conservation is...was interested  
19. in transferring from Game Farm Road the pheasant pens on the  
20. east side over to the west side, and on the east side of Game Farm  
21. Road is where this property is located. It's right adjacent almost  
22. butting up to the high school and grade school in the Yorkville  
23. School District, a very wonderful place. There is some money  
24. available through a...a grant to the city by a deceased individual  
25. that action must be taken by December...the end of December of this  
26. year. And that money they said they could use towards the construction  
27. of this community center for the City of Yorkville as it's specified  
28. in the will of the deceased person. That's the necessity of doing  
29. this now, rather than letting it go over to next year to have it go  
30. through committee and that. Now, the appraisal of this land was  
31. done by the Land Acquisition Department of the Department of  
32. Conservation by the Done Appraisal Service. Their main office  
33. is in St. Louis, but they do have offices in St. Charles, Illinois

1. in Kane...or rather in Aurora, Illinois, 444 West Glens Blvd.  
2. And that private appraisal that I have right here, brought the  
3. value of that land to sixty-five hundred dollars an acre. The  
4. City of Yorkville in concert with the Department of Conservation  
5. is going to give thirty-eight thousand three hundred and forty-  
6. seven dollars worth of work and...they're going to build  
7. and donate five pens to transfer the pheasants, construct water  
8. main, and other things that are listed. If you'd like to see  
9. the fact sheet, but it totals thirty-eight thousand three hundred  
10. and forty-seven dollars which is greater than the actual  
11. appraised amount of the land. It'll be a very good thing for the  
12. community, and I would...I see no objection to it, it's been discussed  
13. by the park...or rather the school district, by the City Officials  
14. of Yorkville, by the library district, and they are all in accord,  
15. and we certainly would appreciate the authority. The Department of  
16. Conservation approves of this, and the Governor's Office has no  
17. objection. Now, that's the great detail, the urgency is because  
18. they have this money available that will be used towards the  
19. construction of the community center if we can act on this immediately.  
20. I'll be glad to answer any questions that you may have in excess of  
21. my explanation.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right, Senator Mitchler has moved the adoption of Amendment  
24. No. 2 to House Bill 3103. Any discussion? Senator Grotberg.

25. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

26. Only to support Senator Mitchler whose district and mine, we  
27. divide the City of Yorkville. I only think the reason that...the  
28. one reason he did not give of the urgency of this one, and  
29. Senator Bruce if you're listening, the...the will and device of  
30. some three hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars from the lady  
31. expires if it is not built in 1981. And given land acquisitions  
32. this deal is further along than ours because we've got all of the  
33. facts in print. And I'll bring you the facts on the other one, but please

1. support this one too.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Further discussion? Senator Wooten.

4. SENATOR WOOTEN:

5. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in opposition to the amend-  
6. ment, although really, this amendment probably ought to go on so  
7. that we would have in one bill everything that could possibly be  
8. wrong with the process of conveying land from the State to other  
9. units of government. If we have both of them on we'll violate just  
10. about every rule we've tried to set up over the past few years.  
11. I agree that this is probably not a bad thing to do. The difficulty  
12. is, and I don't know if it means anything to you or not, but apparently  
13. the Department of Conservation made this deal verbally with no  
14. consent from this Body at all. No conversation with this Body, at  
15. all. The city has in good faith already expended money, I'm in-  
16. formed that they have spent, what, about thirty-eight thousand dollars  
17. or so. They have already put up over thirty-eight thousand three  
18. hundred and forty-seven dollars with no real basis for believing  
19. that they would get the land other than the verbal consent from  
20. the Department of Conservation. And by the way, the department's  
21. acceptance of that money is very questionable. Apparently they  
22. have circumvented rules that we have set up for such processes.  
23. Also, giving the land or selling the land is not essential to the  
24. consummation of this arrangement, because the department is prepared  
25. to lease the land. I simply call it to your attention that the  
26. department has behaved in what I think is a very irregular fashion.  
27. I oppose the amendment on that basis not because this is not a good  
28. idea. As I say though, if you do put both amendments on you will  
29. have everything wrong that you can possibly get in the disposition  
30. of land in one bill. And I tell you, you ought to watch this, because  
31. if you proceed this way, if you want to circumvent the rules and  
32. procedures we've been setting up over the last few years; I think  
33. Senator Knuppel and I got into a terrible fight once about some land

1. at Bartonville, and out of that came something good, that is a  
2. procedure we will follow and you're tossing all that aside. I just  
3. don't think you ought to do it, I don't think it's a wise procedure  
4. to follow. And I oppose this amendment simply because the department  
5. has not followed a proper procedure.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Further discussion? Senator Mitchler may close.

8. SENATOR MITCHLER:

9. Thank you. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call on this  
10. amendment.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. The question is the adoption of Amendment No. 2 to House  
13. Bill 3103. Those in favor of the adoption will vote Aye. Those  
14. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
15. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
16. Ayes are 29, the Nays are 11, 4 Voting Present. Amendment No. 2  
17. is adopted. Are there further amendments?

18. SECRETARY:

19. Amendment No. 3 offered by Senator Mitchler.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator...Senator Mitchler.

22. SENATOR MITCHLER:

23. ...members of the Senate. This amendment is necessary, this  
24. is the amendment that allows the Department of Conservation by  
25. quitclaim deed to transfer the property...I've been advised by  
26. staff that this amendment is not needed and therefore I'd ask to  
27. take it out of the record.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Leave is granted. Out of the record, Mr. Secretary.

30. Further amendments?

31. SECRETARY:

32. No further amendments.

33. PRESIDENT:

All right, further amendments?

1. SECRETARY:

2. No further amendments.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. 3rd reading. All right, if I can have your attention we'll  
5. now turn to page 5 on the Calendar. A number of members have  
6. filed motions with respect to the various messages that we've  
7. received from the Governor, we will proceed right down the line  
8. and I again caution you that we will return here tomorrow morning  
9. at 9:00 a. m. Tomorrow is day fifteen under the Constitutional  
10. fifteen day period and we will have a number of bills that will  
11. be at passage stage having moved today from 2nd to 3rd reading.  
12. About the middle of page 5 on the Order of Total Vetoes, a motion  
13. on 1239. Senator D'Arco. Senator Berman.

14. SENATOR BERMAN:

15. Mr. President, Senator Merlo indicated that he was going to  
16. handle this for Senator D'Arco, but he had a doctor's appointment;  
17. he asked that it be called tomorrow morning.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. All right. 1457, Senator Sangmeister. Senator Sangmeister.  
20. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

21. Yes, I'll...I did file a motion originally, I thought we were  
22. going to run with this, but on the basis of speaking to people  
23. on both sides of the aisle we're not going to call it. I still  
24. think it is the right way to go, the right concept in removing  
25. the Sales Tax from food and medicine, and we'll reintroduce it in  
26. the next General Assembly and hopefully we'll get the support that  
27. we need to remove it in the right manner for not only our retailers  
28. but for the consuming public. But for now, I don't know whether the  
29. motion is just to withdraw the motion...

30. PRESIDENT:

31. You just needn't call it.

32. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

33. Okay, didn't call it.

*SB 1518  
Vote of the Senate*

1. PRESIDENT:

2.           Okay. On the Order of Total Vetoes, Senate Bill 1518. Motion  
3. in Writing filed by Senator Coffey. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary,  
4. please.

5. SECRETARY:

6.           I move that Senate Bill 1518 Do Pass the veto of the Governor  
7. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Coffey.

8. PRESIDENT:

9.           Senator Coffey.

10. SENATOR COFFEY:

11.           Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senate Bill  
12. ...1518 in its first step reduces the State Sales Tax on gasohol  
13. by approximately 4.2 cents per gallon. The current consumption is  
14. approximately forty-five million gallons of gasohol per year. The  
15. maximum total the first year cost, I'll give you a little back-  
16. ground first before we go onto any other discussion, the cost  
17. is 2.68 million dollars per year. The first year, the remainder  
18. of this year, this fiscal year there will be a fiscal impact of  
19. 1.3 million dollars. The reason for my request to override the  
20. Governor's Veto is I feel that Senate Bill 1518 would accelerate  
21. the growth of alcohol fuel industry in the State of Illinois. More  
22. people would want to use this product with that incentive. The  
23. price of gasohol would fall to about the price of unleaded gasoline.  
24. It would reduce our dependence on foreign oil. It would also reduce  
25. the exports...it would also reduce the export of dollars and jobs  
26. to the OPEC countries and reduce inflation. It could create jobs  
27. and industrial growth. It would create new marketing for grain,  
28. even spoiled grain. It would also provide...provide a tax relief  
29. for the people of the State of Illinois. I would be glad to answer  
30. any questions you might have pertaining to this bill.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32.           Discussion? Senator McMillan.

33. SENATOR MCMILLAN:



1. Mr...Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I...I do rise  
2. reluctantly in order to disagree very slightly with my colleague,  
3. but I do rise in opposition to the override of the veto. I think  
4. most of us had a chance to look at this issue at depth last spring.  
5. The issues have really not changed a great deal. I am a strong  
6. advocate of the use of gasohol. But I do not believe such a reduction  
7. in the Sales...Tax will markedly increase the demand for gasohol. The  
8. experience in Iowa over a period in which they've had such, doesn't  
9. indicate that it's increased it, because it's increased a great deal  
10. over a two or three year period without any change in the Gas Tax  
11. situation. I really don't believe it's a wise step to further  
12. mess up the Sales Tax system which we have in this State. Most  
13. of us realize that in taking steps to alter it for the Sales Tax  
14. on food and medicine for the various things we've done with regard  
15. to...to business and for transportation uses, we've generally had  
16. a good intent but it makes it a system in which the retailer has  
17. difficulty doing his job, the consumer gets totally confused about  
18. what the tax is and what it is not, and it becomes very very costly  
19. for us administer. So, I would oppose the override of the veto.  
20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.  
22. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

23. Mr. President, and members of the Body. It's a very good  
24. example of what's happened to our country that we aren't willing  
25. to pay the price or make the sacrifice to develop new and alternative  
26. sources of energy. Now, I say...there's three or four really big  
27. issues facing this country; one of them is, self-reliance on steel,  
28. self-reliance in the energy field, we've got to strengthen our  
29. industry, our automotive industry, and we have to have the courage  
30. to take these steps. Certainly they cost something, but it's cheaper  
31. now than it may be later, and we all know what the oil companies  
32. have done to us over the last seven years by running the price  
33. of gasoline from about forty cents up to where it's now competitive.

1. It could be one hell of a lot worse, and I predict if we don't  
2. do something to develop alternate sources of energy by 1985 we'll  
3. be paying about three dollars and eighty-five cents a gallon for  
4. gasoline. We should vote Aye to encourage this industry in any way  
5. that we can. It may not be economically the best thing to do, but  
6. we help our poor, we help our poor industries too. It means the  
7. development of an alternative source of energy that we should be  
8. encouraging, and I encourage every one of you to vote Aye on this  
9. legislation.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. The following Senators have sought recognition; Senators Rupp,  
12. Johns, and Geo-Karis. Senator Rupp. Well, Senator Geo-Karis,  
13. Senator Rupp is going to yield. Senator Geo-Karis.

14. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

15. No, I'll yield to Senator Rupp.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Rupp.

18. SENATOR RUPP:

19. Thank you, Mr. President. I'd like to ask the sponsor a  
20. question if I might?

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Indicates he will yield. Senator Rupp.

23. SENATOR RUPP:

24. Senator Coffey, I've heard some different figures coming  
25. from the Iowa situation. Could you try to reconcile those; I  
26. think you might also feel that there have been some differences  
27. that could come out at this time.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Coffey.

30. SENATOR COFFEY:

31. Yes, Senator Rupp, I'd be glad to. First of all, yesterday  
32. I passed out a packet, and in that packet there was...the United States  
33. National Alcohol Fuels Commission had put out a report on State incentives

1. on alcohol fules, and in that, in discussing that...what Iowa  
2. has done, and this information came from the Iowa Department  
3. of Commerce and the Iowa Department...or the Iowa Development  
4. Commission put this together, and all the studies that we have  
5. shown in Iowa regardless of what people say, that is...that  
6. Iowa is discouraged with what their program is, I would like to  
7. give a few facts. One of those which would answer your question,  
8. would be that when Iowa, in July 1st, 1979, when Iowa passed their first  
9. gasohol incentive legislation, their percentage of sales of gasohol  
10. sales there was 4.2 percent. August 1st, 1980, gasohol sales with  
11. the incentive legislation, the ten cent reduction that they had  
12. at that time, and presently their sales went up to 11.5 percent.  
13. So, that incentive has shown ~~that type of increase, this is put~~  
14. together by the department through the Governor Ray in Iowa, and  
15. shows these facts. Now, since then there's been some...and I think  
16. was mentioned here earlier, they discussed, well Iowa has changed their  
17. plans. Well, to a certain extent Iowa did change their legislation,  
18. and just passed legislation which will take effect April 23rd, 1980,  
19. which will change how their legislation affects the incentive on  
20. gasohol. Presently..presently today, they have ten cents of  
21. gasohol incentive, ten cents a gallon which you do not pay on gasohol.  
22. The impact of that bill created some fifty million dollars loss to  
23. the state, and not necessarily just to the state, but to the  
24. Department of Transportation which created a problem for their  
25. department. Of that amount of money, they decided to come back  
26. and change it, where it would affect their Revenue Fund, they  
27. did come back and change...they did come back with...with legislation  
28. which I said was passed April...or will be effective April 23rd, 1980,  
29. which says basically, there will be a five cent per gallon incentive  
30. on alcohol. There's ten cents on all other fuels in the State  
31. of Iowa, and there's only five cent Sales Tax on gasohol. There  
32. is still a five percent incentive in that state.  
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Rupp, further questions? Senator Johns.

2. SENATOR JOHNS:

3. Thank you, Mr. President. Few...few years ago, not too long,  
4. Senator Geo-Karis first introduced gasohol to the State of Illinois,  
5. when she was Chairman of the Illinois Energy Resource Commission,  
6. of which I've been a member since its inception. Senator Knuppel,  
7. Representative Joe Lucco, Representative Dan Pierce, all have  
8. supported gasohol, and this last spring, if I'm not mistaken,  
9. Senator Buzbee, who is the current Chairman of the IERC supported  
10. Senate Bill 1518. Ladies and Gentlemen, what we fail to realize  
11. that every dollar that we pay to OPEC goes to OPEC and never  
12. comes home unless they purchase our own land with the profits they  
13. make. But every dollar that we expend here in Illinois for a  
14. product derived and produced in Illinois has a spinoff of four to  
15. five dollars, in allied services connected with that dollar that's  
16. flowing in the mainstream of our economy. I've been in the  
17. gasoline business for some twenty odd years, and I know that right  
18. now maybe, gasohol is not just as competitive as it could be, but  
19. when you look at a fifty dollar a barrel cost of oil that's coming,  
20. when you look at gasoline that will cost two dollars a gallon, and  
21. it's coming, you'd better start thinking of gasohol and what it can  
22. do to our people and for our people. And I notice that in the  
23. Veto Message the Governor said he supports gasohol, but it's not  
24. competitive. I hope that I've explained that it soon will be, and  
25. that this measure is on the right track encouraging private industry,  
26. wholesome American industry, and I urge its passage.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

29. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

30. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I  
31. do not like to disagree with my Governor who I think is a very  
32. fine and able Gentleman. But I feel he's been ill-advised on this  
33. bill, because we have about twenty-five states that have already

1. provided tax incentives for the use of gasohol. I understand that  
2. one big oil company in the State of Wisconsin is going to withdraw  
3. from gasohol because they could not get any Sales Tax exemptions.  
4. I think we'd better look at reality straight flush on the face.  
5. We have been absolutely mangled by the OPEC countries in this country.  
6. And it's high time that we research and develop our alternative  
7. energies and when you know that you can make fifty-seven gallons  
8. of ethanol alcohol from one ton of garbage from...from unlimited  
9. resources, and then we sit back here and let the oil companies  
10. get by with this because we don't give an incentive, and I can  
11. assure you they will not go ahead and...and encourage selling  
12. gasohol, producing it in their various companies unless they  
13. an Sales Tax incentive. We have given the Federal Tax incentive  
14. of four cents, and under this bill, we are phasing it out one  
15. percent per year, why not make it competitive gasoline. I've  
16. been using gasohol in both of my cars for the last ten months,  
17. I've had the least amount of motor trouble, it's cost efficient,  
18. I get more mileage, it's less pollusive and believe me it's much  
19. better, I don't even smell it. I certainly urge the override of  
20. this veto as much as I regret to disagree my Governor, but...and  
21. I will say that he has encouraged the use of gasohol in all the  
22. State fleet and even lately came out with an edict on it. I  
23. heartily support the bill.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator McMillan.  
26. SENATOR MCMILLAN:

27. I apologize for speaking a...a second time. All I want to  
28. say is, Senator Geo-Karis' argument is a beautiful one, and it's  
29. the exact reason why I oppose the override. She said it's cheaper,  
30. it's more cost efficient, it's everything to induce somebody to use  
31. it, and they don't need a special tax incentive to do so.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Further discussion? Senator Coffey may close.

1. SENATOR COFFEY:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I hope that...I hope the Governor  
3. has an opportunity to listen...listen on his voice box in his office.  
4. And Governor, I do hope you're listening. I did make a formal request  
5. back in June, and you did say that you would give me an opportunity  
6. to discuss this bill with you prior to then, and told me you didn't  
7. know anything about it. And after reading your Veto Message, I  
8. agree you didn't know anything about it. And if you just listen  
9. a few minutes maybe you could be enlightened a little bit. One of  
10. your statements that you made, that other governors that you had  
11. talked to, and I don't know what governors those are, but I think  
12. one of the governors that you might check with is the Governor of  
13. Iowa, and what incentives they have there and maybe that would en-  
14. lighten you. And just to make a few comments what the Iowa Governor  
15. thinks of what's going on in his state now that Archer Daniels  
16. Midlen is putting a plant in his area, in the State of Iowa other  
17. than the State of Illinois. I'd just like to give you a few cost  
18. factors, you think this million three this year, and 2.2 million dollars  
19. going to cost your budget too much, just look at a few things that  
20. we're going to be losing out on here today. The total capital  
21. investment on that plant is two hundred and forty-eight million dollars.  
22. The plant would employ one hundred workers. There's seventy...to  
23. eighty million bushels of corn that would be processed. The  
24. City of Des Moines, the plant will more than double Des Moines'  
25. corn industrial tax base of a hundred and eighty-eight million  
26. dollars. The plant would provide 3.5 million in taxes for the  
27. city annually. The plant would provide up to four million for  
28. Des Moines' school districts, up to two million for the county.  
29. Transportation costs, savings from the plant...be considerable. The  
30. ...the export and other corn by-products used and high protein for  
31. animal feeds could have a favorable balance...balance of payments  
32. of approximately four hundred million dollars. Now, this is...this  
33. is just part of the reason there should be some incentives. And

1. Governor, while we go on and the other states that are not satisfied  
2. with it, fifteen states, fifteen states had this type of leg-  
3. islation last year, since that time there's twenty-six states,  
4. and there are several states pending. Now, I want to send him  
5. down a map so that he can see what states around us is addressing  
6. this program. Illinois being one of the largest producers of  
7. corn, and one of the largest producers of alcohol, and yet it's  
8. all going to other states. One state in the United States has  
9. passed legislation saying they won't buy alcohol from any state  
10. that doesn't have an incentive. You know, when this bill passed  
11. out of the Senate before we had 54 votes. I don't know how many  
12. members are here on the Floor today, but I would hope that...that  
13. the members that are here would...would look at this...this bill  
14. real seriously. We have support by the Illinois manufacturers,  
15. the Illinois corn producers, the Illinois Alcohol Fuel Association,  
16. and some others. I think this bill is good for the people of the  
17. State of Illinois, the consumer. Right now our gas...this bill  
18. would save approximately 4.2 cents per gallon, which would put  
19. lead free and gasohol within one cent of each other depending on  
20. what part of the State or wherever the pricing might take place,  
21. in what area of the State. I think the American people, it was  
22. mentioned here that there's already proven that this is a...a viable  
23. product, and it should be used, and that it saves gas, mileage, and  
24. others. Well, that's true, now we have to get that message across  
25. to the everyday user, and that person pulls up to the pumps, and  
26. he says I'm a good American and I'd like to use our own product, but  
27. with inflation we can't afford those costs. Well, with this incentive  
28. when he pulls up he will try it, he will find out he gets better  
29. gas mileage, he will find out it's less pollution, he will find out  
30. he gets better performance, and less maintenance to his vehicle. That's  
31. what we're trying to do. This bill does not continually knock off  
32. the Sales Tax or the...Sales Tax on gasohol, it's phased back in  
33. by 1985. The effective date becomes within...when this bill both

1. gets an override from the Senate and the House it becomes...in  
2. effect and from that date on, and by 1985 it's phased back in where  
3. the taxes are there. I...I'm not going to continue to go on, there's  
4. many things that I could tell you that's good about this legislation  
5. and Governor, I didn't...I didn't really want to have to talk to  
6. you over the speaker but one thing about it, when I was in your  
7. office I don't think our conversation was recorded, it is recorded  
8. here. If you didn't get a chance to listen to it maybe we could  
9. send it down to you. Now, members of the Senate, I would just  
10. appreciate your consideration in this override. I think it's good  
11. for the people. We're one of...one of the States that should be  
12. taking a lead on this, there's twenty-six other states that have  
13. come up with this type of legislation. I'd just ask for a Yes vote.  
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1518 pass, the veto of the  
16. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote  
17. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. It will  
18. require 36 affirmative votes to override. Have all voted who wish?  
19. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
20. Ayes are 42, the Nays are 7, 2 Voting Present. The bill having  
21. received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the  
22. veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Senate Bill  
23. 14...1543, Senator Lemke. Any motions filed on that, Mr. Secretary?

24. SECRETARY:

25. No.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senate Bill 1750, Senator Coffey. Any motions Mr. Secretary?  
28. Read the motions, please.

29. SECRETARY:

30. I move that Senate Bill 1750 Do Pass the veto of the Governor  
31. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Coffey.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Coffey is recognized.



1. SENATOR COFFEY:

2. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Senate Bill  
3. 1750 addresses a problem that I think is...is very serious and  
4. critical to this particular bill. Last year, a year ago, we passed  
5. legislation to increase rates on...to establish a permanent flat  
6. fee of seventy-five dollars for...or a hundred and fifty dollar  
7. fee for vehicles traveling for conservation purposes throughout  
8. the State. I put a bill in which would establish a new permit  
9. flat fee of seventy-five dollars for seventy-five mile radius  
10. for soil conservation vehicles exceeding the dimensions permitted  
11. within the necessary permit. This fee shall be limited...limited  
12. continuous...to continuous operations good for...not to continuous  
13. operations but only good for ninety days. I think this is a good  
14. bill it...it's an advantage to municipalities as well as agricultural  
15. communities, and I would be glad to answer any questions. I would  
16. ask for a favorable roll call.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is,  
19. shall Senate Bill 1...Senator Berning.

20. SENATOR BERNING:

21. I'm not sure that the sponsor can answer the question that  
22. is raised in my mind over the statement in the Veto Message,  
23. namely to allow special options for one group might encourage other  
24. special groups to seek similar options. Senator, this always is  
25. a concern of most of us. Once we set a precedent it is difficult  
26. to take an adverse position the next time we are confronted. What  
27. is your response to this?

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Coffey.

30. SENATOR COFFEY:

31. Well, I think the Department of Transportation's goals originally  
32. when this legislation was submitted earlier some year or so ago, was  
33. to increase those rates some fifty..fifty-five percent increase and

1. that was the intentions, and it was my intentions at the same time.  
2. The...this part of the legislation to these particular conservation  
3. machinery equipment people has raised one thousand percent, their  
4. rate cost. Actually all this does, is now the cost will be  
5. approximately five hundred percent, still extremely more costly  
6. than before, but there...they were willing to go with...cut that  
7. cost in half. It was an area that was overlooked by them at the  
8. time when the rates were increased. And what we're trying to do  
9. is move that down, not move it down less than it's ever been in the  
10. past just...just since that legislation was passed. It was...it  
11. was overlooked, those people are being punished by that on the...  
12. on the short distance transportation situation, and this just  
13. addresses that part. It corrects it from the original bill. It's  
14. still five hundred percent higher than it was prior to the legislation  
15. last year.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Further discussion? Senator McMillan.

18. SENATOR MCMILLAN:

19. I...I apologize if I didn't hear completely what Senator  
20. Coffey said, but I think the main...the main point is contrary  
21. to the...the assertion made in the Veto Message this isn't providing  
22. for a special exemption, what it really is doing is...is providing  
23. a correction for really a gross error that was made when the whole  
24. structure was rewritten.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Further discussion? Senator Davidson.

27. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

28. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise in  
29. support of this override, and it's exactly as Senator McMillan has  
30. said. When we worked out of the Motor Vehicle Commission two years  
31. ago to work out a change in license plates and fees and a way to  
32. expedite so those people who did need overweight and oversize  
33. permits could get them on...by telephone through a setup. They went up

1. the fees by, in some cases almost a thousand percent, everybody  
2. agreed to that, but in this no one looked at this item which  
3. we're taking up now. It was totally overlooked, it was an over-  
4. sight, what we're trying to do is put back into the bill at a higher  
5. cost for the license fee, the seventy-five mile radius which had  
6. existed prior to that change. And all we're trying to do is make  
7. things available to a most critical need for those individuals who  
8. do use conservation equipment, who have to have an over-size either  
9. length or width, something they can reasonably live with, and I  
10. urge a Yes vote on the override.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Further discussion? Senator Coffey may close.

13. SENATOR COFFEY:

14. Yes, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I won't go  
15. into a long lengthy discussion. I think this is a very important  
16. piece of legislation, and I would just ask for your support at this  
17. time.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. The question is, shall Senate Bill 1750 pass, the veto of the  
20. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye.  
21. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
22. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take  
23. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 21,  
24. none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1750, having failed to receive  
25. the required three-fifths vote is declared lost. Senate Bill 1810,  
26. Senator Davidson. Are there any motions filed, Mr. Secretary?

27. SECRETARY:

28. No motions filed.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senate Bill 2013, Senator Regner. Are there motions filed,  
31. Mr. Secretary?

32. SECRETARY:

33. Yes.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Would you read the motion, please. For what purpose does  
3. Senator Rock arise?

4. SENATOR ROCK:

5. Just a point of parliamentary inquiry. It seems to me that  
6. since we have fifteen days under the Constitution within which to  
7. react to these bills, the result of that roll call was that that motion  
8. failed, subsequent motions can be filed we've ruled in the past.  
9. It seems to me...I just thought we ought to clear that up.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Right. On the last bill, Senate Bill 1750, the motion that  
12. the bill pass the contrary...the veto of the Governor to the contrary  
13. notwithstanding, is lost. The difficulty though, Senator Rock,  
14. if...the bill will remain on the Calendar and will die on the  
15. Calendar unless another motion is filed and is successful. All right.  
16. A motion is filed on Senate Bill 2013. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary,  
17. please.

18. SECRETARY:

19. I move that Senate Bill 2013 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor  
20. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Regner.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Regner is recognized.

23. SENATOR REGNER:

24. Yes, Mr. President, and members. This is a very simple bill.  
25. We've passed it several times in the past and the Governor has  
26. vetoed it several times in the past, and all it does is it says  
27. that the...provides that the State Board of Education shall not  
28. expend Federal funds without an appropriation by the General Assembly.  
29. What we're doing is exerting our constitutional power and authority  
30. to appropriate all monies that are spent. In the past many times  
31. new programs would start out through Federal funds and lo and behold  
32. the Federal funds dry up in a year or two and we have to eat the  
33. projects out of General Revenue Funds. The interesting thing about

1. this particular bill is, the State Board of Education is in  
2. support of it after several discussions with them. They have  
3. been in the last couple of years, and the only reason I can  
4. see the Governor vetoed it, so that he had a reason in his Veto  
5. Message for the next bill coming up, which is  
6. Senator Carroll's. But if we're going to exert our authority in  
7. appropriating all funds, I certainly think we should override, and  
8. I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is,  
11. shall Senate Bill 2013 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary  
12. notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.  
13. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
14. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,  
15. the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 3, none Voting Present. Senate Bill  
16. 2013, having received the required three-fifths vote is declared  
17. passed the veto...the veto of the Governor to the contrary not-  
18. withstanding. Senate Bill 2014, Senator Carroll. Are there motions  
19. filed, Mr. Secretary? Read them please.

20. SECRETARY:

21. I move that Senate Bill 2014 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor  
22. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Carroll.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Senator Carroll is recognized.

25. SENATOR CARROLL:

26. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.  
27. Following up on Senator Regner's speech, his reason for the message  
28. of the last one was because he surely does not want us to know what  
29. his State agencies have been spending when it came to Federal dollars,  
30. so he has continuously vetoed and we have in the Senate wisely con-  
31. tinuously overridden that veto of the appropriation of Federal dollars,  
32. to the various State agencies. Should, in fact, under the next  
33. President of the United States budgeting process, if we get any Federal

1. dollars for State agencies, I think we should clearly be appropriating  
2. them by the General Assembly, the veto of the Governor to the con-  
3. trary notwithstanding. I would ask for a favorable vote on this  
4. motion to override.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Is there discussion? Is there discussion? The question is,  
7. shall Senate Bill 2014 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary  
8. notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay.  
9. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
10. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 51, the Nays  
11. are 2, none Voting Present. Senate Bill 2014, having received the  
12. required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor  
13. to the contrary notwithstanding. We will now go to the Order  
14. of Item Vetoes at the bottom of page 5. Senate Bill 1605, Senator  
15. Savickas. Are there motions filed, Mr. Secretary? In the hopes  
16. of saving some time, no...no motions have yet been filed on Senate  
17. Bill 1619, 1629, 1635, or 1639. If any member wishes to file  
18. motions upon those bills today or tomorrow, and tomorrow will be  
19. your last day that we will be able to discuss those motions. There  
20. has been a motion filed on Senate Bill 1665 sponsored by Senators  
21. ...Sommer-Shapiro. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

22. SECRETARY:

23. I move that the item on page 28, lines 21 through 23 of Senate  
24. Bill 1665 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary not-  
25. withstanding. Signed, Senator Johns.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Johns is recognized on Senate Bill 1665.

28. SENATOR JOHNS:

29. Again, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I approach you on  
30. the basis of the energy crisis in our country, and the lack of this  
31. nation to have what the Governor called a rational national policy  
32. on energy. I think...I'm beginning to wonder if our universities  
33. have what we need in the way of coal research, what we have in the way

1. of planning for the development and utilization of our coal resources.  
2. This Amendment No. 76, to Senate Bill 18...Senate Bill 1665, is a  
3. six hundred thousand dollar appropriation to build a pilot plant  
4. for the development of ethacoal and the utilization of that  
5. product which when burned meets all the energy requirements, meets  
6. all the environmental quality standards, and this is the kind of  
7. thing that I think we should be looking at and into for the  
8. years ahead of us. If we're to ever be independent, we're going  
9. to have to be independent in our thinking in that we look ahead,  
10. we analyze, we visualize, we struggle with the problems before us,  
11. and we come up with solutions. This amendment, No. 76, in my  
12. estimation is along those lines. What we're saying to our universities  
13. who should be at the heart of all the research of coal and its com-  
14. ponents, is develop a plan, acquire the land, use your engineering  
15. facilities, use your know-how, your expertise, and let's put a pilot  
16. plan up and let's test our coal and let's make ethacoal work and let's  
17. be about the business of becoming truly independent. I would ask  
18. that my colleagues support this, because I think it's truly a step  
19. in the right direction. I've been a member of the Energy Resource  
20. Commission, as I told you a few moments ago, since its inception  
21. and only just a few days ago, I guess maybe a week or two weeks, did  
22. we finally break ground for a coal plant to utilize Illinois coal at  
23. Alton, all done with private money. Again the State, this State  
24. in particular, and this nation very particular, had no part in it.  
25. The State did, in the Energy Resource funding, but it had to be  
26. done, really, on the initiatives of the private industry. I think  
27. the universities should set the pace here in developing research  
28. for us in this field. And I would appreciate a favorable roll call  
29. on this motion.

30.  
31. (END OF REEL)  
32.  
33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2. The motion is...restore the item vetoed by the Governor.
3. Is there discussion on the motion? Senator Geo-Karis.
4. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
5. Will the sponsor yield for a question? I can't seem...
6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
7. ...Indicates he will yield. Senator Geo-Karis.
8. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
9. Senator Johns, I can't seem to find...how much is involved
10. ...in this...your amendment?
11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
12. Senator Johns.
13. ~~SENATOR JOHNS:~~
14. Senator, I went through it initially earlier in my
15. comments, it's six hundred thousand.
16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
17. Senator Geo-Karis.
18. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
19. I'd like to speak in favor of his motion because ethacoal is a
20. process that would save us an awful lot of ground from
21. being polluted through the scrubber system. The...the
22. initial scrubber system, I can tell you, for instance in
23. Morris, Illinois, and I've talked to people from the utilities
24. companies, they need about fifteen hundred...acres to get rid
25. of the...the...refuse from the scrubber systems and that
26. goes into the ground and that will affect our water systems.
27. So, I would like to speak in favor of this...item veto.
28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
29. Senator Sommer.
30. SENATOR SOMMER:
31. Mr. President and members. We're presently attempting
32. to commit anullity here...anullity...yes. You recognize,
33. Senator Johns, that the Governor has, you know, a release



1. power on these kind of funds. If he's vetoed the bill once,  
2. what...what makes you believe that he's going to suddenly  
3. change his mind and release these funds a second time?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Johns.

6. SENATOR JOHNS:

7. I don't think that that's the role that...that we should  
8. play there. I think that we usually send a message to him  
9. and if he abides by the wishes of the majority, as he should,  
10. if a majority can be gathered here tonight or today, in the  
11. passage of this motion, I think the Governor should abide  
12. by it. I would hate to think that the Governor would fail to  
13. do that, especially if he has, as he has said in the past, been  
14. totally...supportive of the development of our greatest natural  
15. resource. It would be kind of striking a bit of indifference  
16. on his part, if he failed to recognize the need and he failed  
17. to recognize our mandate.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Senator Sommer.

20. SENATOR SOMMER:

21. Second question, Senator Johns. This money would be  
22. appropriated to the university and yet this grant or request  
23. did not come through the...the normal channels of the Board of  
24. Higher Education. Would you explain that?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Johns.

27. SENATOR JOHNS:

28. I've never considered the Board of Higher Education an  
29. authority on coal nor setting the sites of coal in this  
30. development as one of it's priorities. I think they're  
31. remiss in those duties.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Further discussion? Senator Nimrod.

1. SENATOR NIMROD:

2. Thank you Mr...President. Question of the sponsor.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Indicates he will yield. Senator Nimrod.

5. SENATOR NIMROD:

6. Senator Johns it's come to my attention, if I recall,  
7. we had made a...General Revenue appropriation of some twenty-  
8. five thousand dollars for research on ethacoal. Are you...are  
9. you aware of that and...if you are, what's happened to that  
10. report?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. All right. Senator Johns.

13. SENATOR JOHNS:

14. Mr. President and Senator Nimrod, if you would look  
15. back into the research, it was Senator Johns who first moved  
16. that twenty-five thousand dollars for ethacoal research, about  
17. a year or two ago. And they've utilized that money and now  
18. they're drawing down on their own university resources of  
19. twenty some odd thousand dollars a year out of their own  
20. engineering department in research along these lines and  
21. I said, they're begging us for more money all the time  
22. and so this is the field that I think that they should seek to  
23. follow and so I supported this amendment and I gladly accepted  
24. its obligation on this side of the aisle.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Nimrod.

27. SENATOR NIMROD:

28. Well, Senator Johns, I'm one who supports ethacoal and  
29. its development, however, I think that this request is premature.  
30. What we have not done, is we have not even looked at the research  
31. report and the additional money that the university is spending  
32. to see whether or not a demonstration plant is feasible. And  
33. I think that if we're going to request additional money, we

1. ought to be requesting money so that we can determine not  
2. to put it into a construction of a building or a...a plant,  
3. we ought to be putting it into...in fact, we haven't even  
4. determined whether or not the chemical process will or will  
5. not work. So here we are already going ahead with a...or  
6. technological process whether it's going to work or not. Here  
7. we are going ahead appropriating money for buildings on...to  
8. a university that hasn't really stood it up and said they want  
9. the project. I'm sure they'll be glad to accept it, if we  
10. give it to them, but I think we're just a little bit premature  
11. in what we're doing and if we really want to develop ethacoal  
12. I think we ought to look at the feasibility study, get that  
13. research report back here and find out what is the best way  
14. to go ahead and whether or not that technology can go ahead  
15. and proceed. This...this seems to me that this particular  
16. bill in...in the case of the Governor has vetoed it  
17. properly because we're really doing something with the money  
18. before we even know whether or not a system works. And  
19. I think that becomes rather, in this case, irresponsible.  
20. And I would like to see ethacoal be successful. And I think that  
21. the ultimate answer in Illinois is to make all of...of the alcohols  
22. out of coal. And I think this would set us back and do us a  
23. disservice and I would hold off on that project.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Buzbee.

26. SENATOR BUZBEE:

27. I have been following this project...rather closely for  
28. quite some time because of the fact that, first of all the  
29. university is in the town where I live, and secondly because  
30. of my intense interest in...in alternative methods of, first  
31. of all using coal and...and promoting the use of Illinois coal  
32. in particular and...and alternative methods of coming up with  
33. energy sources. As Senator Nimrod pointed out, I think there

1. are some...there is some potential...for...for ethacoal  
2. processes in the future. A lot of research remains to be  
3. done and that research is going on at Southern Illinois  
4. University with the initial stimulus coming from the  
5. twenty-five thousand dollars, which Senator Johns did add  
6. a year or so ago for their research effort. I have, however,  
7. that research needs to continue on before we get to the  
8. point of building a pilot project. I have here a letter  
9. which was delivered to me this morning from the University  
10. Chancellor's Office, saying that this amendment which would  
11. give SIUC six hundred thousand dollars for planning, design,  
12. land acquisition and construction of an ethacoal demonstration  
13. ...facility. Ethacoal is a process wherein ethyl alcohol  
14. and coal are mixed producing solid liquid and gaseous  
15. products. The process may in the long run be part of a solution  
16. to the problem of high sulfur in Illinois coal. The university  
17. received twenty-five thousand dollars from the State for research  
18. in the process and when these funds were depleted, reallocated  
19. internal resources to continue the research. In fact, SIUC  
20. is now spending more than two million dollars a year in an  
21. attempt to solve the high sulfur problem, research on ethacoal  
22. is a part of this effort. However, we believe that no one yet  
23. knows enough about the ethacoal process to spend wisely six  
24. hundred thousand dollars on a demonstration facility. We need  
25. an additional one hundred and twenty-three thousand two hundred  
26. dollars for basic research and we are actively seeking it from  
27. Federal sources. If that effort is not successful, we will  
28. include a request for one hundred and twenty-three thousand,  
29. two hundred dollars in our FY-82 operating budget. We do not  
30. support the effort to override the Governor's Veto of the  
31. ethacoal amendment. That's from the University Chancellor's  
32. Office at Southern Illinois University. I think there has  
33. been an attitude among a lot of members of this General

1. Assembly that a lot of the universities in this State continually  
2. have their hand out asking for...for money. Here's a case  
3. where the university says you're trying to give us money that  
4. we don't want. Please don't give it to us, let us do our research.  
5. If we're...if we don't come up with the Federal dollars to finish  
6. the research we will ask for that small portion, that one hundred  
7. and twenty-three thousand, in the FY-'82 request. But in the  
8. meantime, we don't want the money to build the facility on our  
9. campus, we're not ready for that yet. I rise in opposition...to  
10. this override attempt.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Knuppel.

13. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

14. I just want to say two things. First of all I want to answer  
15. Senator Sommer when he asked Senator Johns how...how we'd ever  
16. believe the Governor might change his mind and I'd remind him  
17. that wise men change their mind many, many times, fools never,  
18. and I thought he had a wise Governor, that's one. Two, I'll  
19. tell you...you know we've been sitting here, I don't care how  
20. this bill goes, I'm going to be out of here in a couple of  
21. months. We've been sitting here...we've been sitting here  
22. since 1973 with our fingers in our ears and we haven't done  
23. one damn thing to solve the energy crisis. He doesn't have  
24. to spend the money if the chancellor doesn't want it, but  
25. I'll tell Senator Buzbee something, if he ever expects to  
26. get that money from...from Washington, by God they better  
27. vote for me to go out there and blast something loose, because  
28. it's encrusted out there. And you haven't got any money  
29. yet to solve the energy crisis from Washington and I don't think  
30. you're going to get it the way the President's Congress is  
31. comprised, whether it's Republicans or Democrats, they're  
32. all out there and they all belong to the fraternity. But,  
33. you know, we can put it off a few more years and somebody is

1. going to come over here one of these days and whiz one by  
2. us and we all get out of here in a chain gang.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Buzbee  
5. for the second time.

6. SENATOR BUZBEE:

7. For...for the second time. First of all, I...I would like  
8. to correct something that was implied earlier, that the State  
9. of Illinois has not done anything or...or supplied any money  
10. for any projects. The ground breaking ceremony two weeks  
11. ago in East Alton in Senator Vadalabene's district...pardon  
12. me, Senator Demuzio's district, it's very, very, close...it's  
13. right...right on the line. The State of Illinois has committed  
14. eighteen million dollars to that project. Fortunately, we  
15. found a major corporation, not an Illinois corporation, but  
16. a major corporation, Allis Chalmers, that more than doubled their  
17. initial commitment and they're putting eighty-five million  
18. dollars into it. But the State of Illinois, through our  
19. Coal Development Bond Fund, has committed eighteen million  
20. dollars to that. I'm very proud of that, it's the first  
21. major project that we're going to see in Illinois in that  
22. process. I was just now told by the gentleman from the  
23. Institute of Natural Resources, they just got a call from  
24. Washington, just received the call, and the Senate-House  
25. Conference Committee...is going to write their conference  
26. report...recommending the funding of both the Noble County,  
27. Ohio and the Perry County, Illinois projects. If that  
28. happens, that's going to be major, major bucks that comes  
29. into the State of Illinois for another coal gasification  
30. type project. I just wanted to say that that kind of...again  
31. the university does not want the money on this project, doesn't  
32. think they can spend it wisely at this point and would much  
33. prefer to let them go at a little bit slower effort as far as

1. getting their research accomplished before they start to build  
2. something without really knowing exactly what their...what  
3. they need to build.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Further discussion? Senator Johns may close.

6. SENATOR JOHNS:

7. Yeah, I think Senator Buzbee, had you listened closely  
8. when I made that slip about Illinois not having put money  
9. into it, I corrected that within the very few further words  
10. to say that, yes they had. Because I'm much aware, as you  
11. know, 'cause I vote on that sort of thing with you and  
12. others and I wanted you to know that if you read the tape  
13. and listen to it, you'll find that I corrected that statement  
14. about Illinois. But the Federal Government, as you well know,  
15. did...put nothing into that, in fact, they refused to. So,  
16. let's talk about the situation. Is the university today  
17. bargaining off coal and the research for maybe salary increases  
18. or other appropriations. Are they going to the Governor and  
19. say, look don't give us X six hundred thousand, but give us  
20. six hundred thousand for maybe faculty increases or whatever  
21. else they might need, at the expense of coal? Huh? Well,  
22. John I've learned from you, you know, hate to see you go.  
23. Okay. That's the question that ought to be put here today.  
24. When did you ever hear of a university turning down six  
25. hundred thousand...for acquisition of land for the develop-  
26. ment of a site, roadbeds and so forth. Now, listen to this,  
27. they said, Senator, I have the same letter you do, Buzbee,  
28. Senator Buzbee, I have the same letter. They told me it  
29. would be six months before they're ready to use this money.  
30. What's six months in the future of coal. As John Knuppel  
31. knows, we've battered our damn heads against the wall for so long  
32. in this State to get anybody to recognize the tremendous  
33. needs that we have on coal and where are we today. Damn  
34. if we're off the ground at all, as far as I'm concerned.

1. And I'm not sure I want to kill that...gas proposal to work
2. down at Alton, God knows we need it, but it's a low BTU gas,
3. it's not what we ultimately want, as far as I'm concerned, but
4. it's a step, a big step in the right direction. Are we premature?
5. Hell no. We're way behind, we're fifteen years behind...in the
6. development of coal, fifteen years, and we sit on the largest
7. reserves in my nation, in my State of any part of the nation,
8. practically. Good high BTU coal, Illinois coal. Scrubbers...
9. you know that all these scrubbers that they're building costing
10. thirty million dollars to forty-five million dollars. They're
11. not even really working. And you know what else? It costs
12. millions of dollars every year just to operate them. And you
13. know what else, this State is not even preparing...our young
14. people in this technology. There's the research at the
15. universities, still lacking, there's no courses in scrubber
16. technology today in our universities. And what the heck are
17. we looking for, but that technology. As I told you, they said
18. we won't be ready for six months, but by that time they could
19. have the land acquired, the engineering feasibility done,
20. the study of what's truly needed, the roadbeds, the layout,
21. the pipes, the electricity, all determined what they need.
22. Now, get this, I sat in a coffeehouse a few days ago and I
23. heard a guy say he'd just come from SIU, now this is important,
24. Rog, you're talking about money to universities. And he said,
25. "I've just come from SIU." I said, "you did," he said, "yeah," he
26. said, "I had to...I was told to hurry up and get over there
27. 'cause they want to get the money spent." Now, think of it.
28. They're saying they don't need it here, now something is
29. wrong when we continue to funnel our money towards education
30. at a zero accomplishment.
31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
32. Senator Johns, your time has expired.
33. SENATOR JOHNS:



1. Thank you, Mr. President. Let me tell you what's  
2. coming out of the present ethacoal operation. We're getting  
3. a good solid type of outcome, we're getting a liquid outcome  
4. and we're getting methane. I want you to remember that word,  
5. methane, because methane in itself can be a very important  
6. byproduct for use in heat and development of energy. Ladies  
7. and Gentlemen, I ask you to come along with me and vote for  
8. the approval of this Amendment No. 76 to Senate Bill 1665.  
9. Vitally needed and you'll be remembered for a long time to  
10. come by the people of Illinois as having had good foresight.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. The question is shall the item on page 28, lines 21  
13. and 23 of Senate Bill 1665 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor  
14. to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye.  
15. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all  
16. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who  
17. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 24, the  
18. Nays are 27, the motion to restore the item veto is lost.  
19. Are there further motions on Senate Bill 1665?

20. SECRETARY:

21. I move that the item on page 31, line 4 through 7 of  
22. Senate Bill 1655 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to  
23. the contrary, notwithstanding.

24. Signed, Senator Buzbee.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. All right. Take it out of the record. Are there other  
27. motions? No further motions. We'll now go to the Order of  
28. Item Reductions on page 6 of the Calendar. Senate Bill 1571,  
29. Senator...the first motion we have filed is on Senate Bill 1573.  
30. Senator Buzbee. In that whole series...are we holding this  
31. series? All right. Senate Bill 1588, Senator Rock. Are there  
32. motions filed, Mr. Secretary?

33. SECRETARY:

1. Yes.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Read the motion, please.

4. SECRETARY:

5. I move that the item on page 3, line 24 of Senate Bill  
6. 1588 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the  
7. contrary, notwithstanding.

8. Signed, Senator Rock.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Rock is recognized.

11. SENATOR ROCK:

12. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
13. Senate. The Governor's Reduction Veto was exercised on  
14. Senate Bill 1588 to the extent of 1.3 million dollars in  
15. the appropriation to the Office of the Secretary of State.  
16. And this amount represents funds for the Annual Equalization  
17. Grants per capita and area grants to public libraries. We  
18. added the additional 1.3 million dollars over the Governor's  
19. recommended level of seventeen million, zero ninety-two for  
20. the purpose of raising the equalization grants to approximately  
21. fifty...fifty cents per capita rather than thirty-two cents  
22. as provided by the Governor. My understanding is that thirty  
23. affirmative votes are necessary to restore this 1.3 million  
24. dollars and I would solicit a favorable roll call.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Is there discussion? Senator Regner.

27. SENATOR REGNER:

28. Well, the budget as introduced and what was approved by  
29. the Governor without the reduction, still allowed for somewhere  
30. over a million dollars of an increase for the libraries in  
31. Fiscal Year '81 over Fiscal Year '80. Which amounted to  
32. about an eight percent increase which is...was a pretty standard  
33. increase that we were allowing in virtually all expenditures.

1. So, I...I think it would be judicious at this time not to  
2. override this particular item veto and I would urge a No  
3. vote.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Further discussion? Further discussion? Senator Rock  
6. may close.

7. SENATOR ROCK:

8. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
9. Senate. Again, at the time Amendment No. 1 which was offered by  
10. Senators Carroll and Lemke was introduced and passed upon  
11. by this Body, we felt it only appropriate that the amount  
12. should be increased by 1.3 million dollars, again, to bring  
13. the grants up to that level, that we felt at the time was  
14. appropriate and I don't think that reasoning has changed  
15. and I would urge...and I would solicit a favorable vote.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. The question is shall the item on page 3, line 24 of  
18. Senate Bill 1588 be restored, the Item Reduction of the  
19. Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor  
20. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting  
21. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?  
22. It will require thirty affirmative votes. Have all voted  
23. who wish? All right. Have all voted who wish? Take the  
24. record. On that question the Ayes are 28, the Nays are 24,  
25. none Voting Present. The item...the motion is lost.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Top of page 7 on the Order...on the Order of Item  
28. Reductions on Senate Bill 1629. Motions have been filed.  
29. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

30. SECRETARY:

31. I move that the item on page 8, line 7 of Senate Bill 1629  
32. be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary,  
33. notwithstanding.

34. Signed, Senator Wooten.

1. PRESIDENT:

2.           Senator Wooten.

3. SENATOR WOOTEN:

4.           Thank you, Mr. President, this is a familiar theme to this Body, I  
5. am sure. It goes back to the operation of the former Mental  
6. Health Institution in the City of East Moline. It was closed  
7. and changed into a prison. Commitments were made to our  
8. community at that time which simply have not been kept.  
9. We felt that we were short about half a million dollars in  
10. things that we could reasonably expect as...increased support  
11. for our community based programs. As I told you, I feel that  
12. we have been heavily penalized in our district for taxing  
13. ourselves for this purpose. However, I was not willing to  
14. go along with the entire amount and we, instead, put through,  
15. I think something totaling about two hundred thousand dollars  
16. and there are two separate items in here which were reduced.  
17. I appreciate the assistance of colleagues on both sides of  
18. the aisle to restore...to put those items in and I earnestly  
19. ask your support one more time, really to keep faith with  
20. the citizens of our community. I felt, and...and I do feel  
21. the State has not honored its commitment to our community.  
22. We're not talking about large amounts of money, but I  
23. think the principle is an important one. And I would certainly  
24. solicit the restoration of these two items, one in the amount  
25. of one hundred thousand dollars for a community based mental  
26. health program and the other...in the amount of eighty thousand  
27. dollars for alcoholism program.

28. PRESIDENT:

29.           All right. Senator Wooten has moved that the item on  
30. Page 8, line 7 of Senate Bill 1629 be restored. Is there any  
31. discussion? Senator Regner.

32. SENATOR REGNER:

33.           Well, Mr. President and members, in discussions with the

1. Department of Mental Health, I've been assured that they  
2. have enough monies available to handle any contingencies  
3. that may occur out there and the restoration of these funds  
4. are not at all necessary, so the department doesn't want  
5. them, doesn't need them and they have avowed that they won't  
6. spend them if they do get it. I'd urge the defeat of this  
7. motion.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Further discussion? Senator Wooten, you wish to close?

10. SENATOR WOOTEN:

11. Yes, there's been a serious disagreement between the  
12. department and between the people in our community responsible  
13. ~~for these programs.~~ You're absolutely right, Senator Regner, we  
14. cannot force the department to spend the money if they don't  
15. want to do it. I simply think though, that this Body ought  
16. to honor the judgment of the people in the community who  
17. now bear most of the responsibility for what was a State  
18. function. We have been, I think badly, and shamefully treated,  
19. in the...in the whole area of mental health treatment in our  
20. part of the State. In Section 1B, look at how the money has  
21. declined in that whole section. We're the only part of the  
22. State where mental institutions have been closed, Peoria  
23. and up at East Moline. There was no programmatic justification  
24. for this. We are simply trying to provide a service and the  
25. State has continually withdrawn its support. I certainly can't  
26. control what the department will do...will do or will not do  
27. with this. I simply ask this Body to put that money in place  
28. and we'll see if we can't convince the department that we  
29. really do need it. It's the judgment of people in the  
30. area that we do, I trust them more than the department in this  
31. instance.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. All right. The question is shall the item on page 8,  
34. line 7 of Senate Bill 1629 be restored, the Item Reduction of

1. the Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor  
2. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is  
3. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have  
4. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the  
5. Ayes are 27, the Nays are 25, none Voting Present. The motion  
6. fails. Further motions, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. I move that the item on page 9, line 16 of Senate Bill  
9. 1629 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the  
10. contrary, notwithstanding.

11. Signed, Senator Wooten.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Wooten.

14. SENATOR WOOTEN:

15. This is for the alcoholism program. I suppose I should  
16. expect no kinder treatment on this one than the other, but  
17. I would be remiss in my obligations to the people of the  
18. community if I did not, at least, make the attempt. We're  
19. talking about eighty thousand dollars for an alcoholism  
20. program. No question of the need. I simply solicit your  
21. support in this.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right. Senator Wooten has moved that the item  
24. on page 9, line 16 of Senate Bill 1629 be restored. Is there  
25. any discussion? Senator Regner.

26. SENATOR REGNER:

27. Yes, Mr. President and members. Of all the areas of  
28. concern that we had with the various community centers and  
29. the grant programs, the people representing the alcohol part  
30. of it was the one group that was satisfied with what they  
31. got in the beginning. They...again they did not ask for this  
32. additional money and I doubt very much if the department or  
33. the Governor's Office would release it if we did override it.

1. And like I say, the community groups representing this  
2. particular area were delighted with what they had in the  
3. original proposal and I would urge the defeat of this motion.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Any further discussion? Senator Wooten, you wish to close?

6. SENATOR WOOTEN:

7. Yes, I don't know what community group you're talking  
8. about, certainly not the one in my community.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. The question is shall the item on page 9, line 16 of  
11. Senate Bill 1629 be restored, the Item Reduction of the  
12. Governor to the contrary, notwithstanding. Those in favor  
13. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is  
14. open. (Machine cut-off)...voted who wish? Have all voted  
15. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On  
16. that question the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 26, none Voting  
17. Present. The motion fails. All right, we'll move with leave  
18. of the Body to the Order of Specific Recommendations for  
19. Change. Senate Bill 1480. Motion filed, Mr. Secretary?

20. SECRETARY:

21. Yes, there is a motion filed.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. 1480. Yes.

24. SECRETARY:

25. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the  
26. Governor as to Senate Bill 1480 in the manner and form as  
27. follows.

28. Signed, Senator DeAngelis.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator DeAngelis.

31. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

32. Thank you, Mr. President, Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
33. Senate. Senate Bill 1480 had three elements in it. The  
34. first one, it allows school districts to sell or rent properties

1. to adjacent school districts. The second part raises the  
2. maximum reimbursement for adult education from two dollars  
3. to three dollars and fifty cents. The third was an amend-  
4. ment that was put in regarding a certain school district  
5. where there was a little bit of a dispute on regional boundaries.  
6. The part that the Governor vetoed was that third part. The  
7. substantive parts of the bill still remain intact.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. All right. Senator...DeAngelis has moved to accept  
10. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate  
11. Bill 1480. Is there any discussion? If not, the question  
12. is shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of  
13. the Governor as to Senate Bill 1480 in the manner and form  
14. just stated by Senator DeAngelis. Those in favor will vote  
15. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have  
16. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
17. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are  
18. 47, the Nays are 1, none Voting Present. The Specific  
19. Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1480, having  
20. received the required constitutional majority of the Senators  
21. elected, are declared accepted. 1522, Senator Joyce. 1706,  
22. Senator Rupp. On the Order of Specific Recommendations for  
23. Change, a motion filed with respect to Senate Bill 1706.  
24. Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the  
27. Governor as to Senate Bill 1706 in the manner and form as  
28. follows.

29. Signed, Senator Rupp.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. Senator Rupp.

32. SENATOR RUPP:

33. Thank you, Mr. President. I do agree with the changes

AB 1706  
Specific Recommendations  
11-20-80



1. that the Governor has made and what he did basically was to  
2. include some additional people who really should be entitled  
3. to special...sentencing provisions, such as the grandparents  
4. and the siblings of the abused child. I do ask that these be  
5. accepted.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. All right. Senator Rupp has moved that the Senate accept  
8. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill  
9. 1706. Is there any discussion? Senator Sangmeister.

10. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

11. Yes, this bill came through our committee. I did talk to  
12. Senator Bowers earlier, never got back to him as to what his  
13. feeling was in reviewing the changes made by the Governor. They  
14. certainly are acceptable to...to me and I would think to the  
15. Judiciary Committee, maybe Senator Bowers would like to speak  
16. to it. If not, why I would concur in...in the motion.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Further discussion? Senator Bruce.

19. SENATOR BRUCE:

20. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I  
21. think this bill may have gotten involved in some of the  
22. political campaigns the last fall. And I just wonder Senator  
23. Rupp so I know exactly how I'm going to vote so that no one  
24. inadvertently calls me a liar in my campaign and prints that  
25. and puts it in newspapers in my district. I just wonder if  
26. you would be very precise as to where this bill started and  
27. whether we ever had roll calls on it over here and whether  
28. it was ever amended and whether it went to the House and came  
29. back and whether it's ever been in a conference committee  
30. and whether, in fact, we've taken more than one roll call  
31. on this so that some of your staff members might have a  
32. chance to analyze all the roll calls on this bill so that we  
33. have some understanding how people vote on bills in different

1. forms. I think it's important that we realize in the legislative  
2. procedure bills are amended from time to time. And I wonder if you  
3. would just give us a little legislative history so that no one  
4. inadvertently would say that a person had voted.  
5. for or against a bill and say the words like liar and then in  
6. political campaigns that would somehow inadvertently be placed,  
7. I'm sure, in...in ads. If you'd go through that so that I...I  
8. know how I'm going to vote on this.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Rupp.

11. SENATOR RUPP:

12. Mr. President, you're more sensitive than Senator Daley?  
13. No, in fact, I would like to ask back...I'm...I'm...I'm sorry,  
14. I don't know what your...what are you inferring, what are you  
15. talking about? Let's not...was it...was this bill used against  
16. you?

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Bruce.

19. SENATOR RUPP:

20. And what...that's...I...I...I had my own campaign to run,  
21. so maybe I didn't keep everything, you know, up on yours.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Bruce.

24. SENATOR BRUCE:

25. Yeah, well there were several of us, Senator Rupp, I think,  
26. got the benefit of explaining this bill and I just wondered if  
27. you would add the...the honor now of explaining exactly where  
28. this bill started and how it got out of here and where it went to and  
29. how it came back here to us so that when we vote on it, we  
30. know exactly this time what we're voting on.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Senator Rupp.

33. SENATOR RUPP:

1. No, I don't know what he's inferring. I mean if you're  
2. inferring that I did anything as far as you're concerned, I  
3. did not. No.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Sangmeister.

6. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

7. As long as that remark was made, I just want to make  
8. sure this will be, again, one of the eighty-five percent  
9. of the time that I'm voting with Senator Daley because I  
10. presume he's voting Aye too.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. The question is shall the Senate accept the Specific  
13. Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1706  
14. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Rupp. Those  
15. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The  
16. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
17. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On  
18. that question the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting  
19. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to  
20. Senate Bill 1706 having received the required constitutional  
21. majority vote of... Senators elected are declared accepted.  
22. Seven...Senator Buzbee, for what purpose do you arise?

23. SENATOR BUZBEE:

24. On a point of personal privilege, Mr. President. I just  
25. wanted to give a personal thanks to Senator Daley because after  
26. December the 1st, the Republicans won't have us Democrats to  
27. kick around anymore, and won't have you to kick around  
28. anymore as...as being the lead boss in the State and...and  
29. that every time many of us who might decide to run in some  
30. future political campaign, they won't be able to use the  
31. Daley combination...I...I take it, Senator, are you announcing  
32. your candidacy today for something or... I just wanted to thank  
33. you, though, Senator, for...for saving me...saving us that...that  
34. pain in the future.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Further...Senator Carroll, for what purpose do you arise?

3. SENATOR CARROLL:

4. Just also for the record. Somebody inadvertently voted me  
5. Yes in accepting the Governor's recommendations on 1480. I  
6. would like to have been recorded as No, since he vetoed  
7. out the amendment I had put onto the bill.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Rhoads, for what purpose do you arise?

10. SENATOR RHOADS:

11. Well, while we're clearing the record, the son of a former  
12. governor had charged me with hiding in Springfield for four  
13. years and I just want the record to show that yesterday was  
14. the first day I had been here since June 30th.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. On Senate Bill 1726. Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the  
19. Governor as to Senate Bill 1726 in the manner...manner and form  
20. as follows.

21. Signed, Senator DeAngelis.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator does not wish to call that motion. 1747.

24. SECRETARY:

25. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the  
26. Governor as to Senate Bill 1747 in the manner and form as  
27. follows.

28. Signed, Senator Newhouse.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Newhouse.

31. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

32. The...the Governor's Amendatory Veto delays the...the effect-  
33. ive date of this...this piece of legislation and it saves

1. the State about a million dollars and I will concur in that  
2. recommendation.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Newhouse.

5. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

6. I...I'm sorry...the effective date was moved up rather  
7. than moved back.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. The question is shall the Senate accept the Specific  
10. Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1747 in  
11. the manner and form as just stated by Senator Newhouse. Is  
12. there any discussion? If not, those in favor will vote Aye.  
13. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all  
14. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
15. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are  
16. 48, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Specific  
17. Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1747, having  
18. received the required constitutional majority vote of the  
19. Senators elected, are declared accepted. 1759, Senator  
20. Jeremiah Joyce. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

21. SECRETARY:

22. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the  
23. Governor as to Senate Bill 1759 in the manner and form as  
24. follows.

25. Signed, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Joyce.

28. SENATOR JOYCE:

29. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. The acceptance  
30. of this veto will allow out of State placements to continue.  
31. Additionally, the Governor recommends that the bill require  
32. that all out of State placements be made in compliance with  
33. the interstate compact on the placement of children. Thus

1. assuring that the State will be notified of all such placements.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. All right. Senator Joyce has moved that the Senate  
4. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to  
5. Senate Bill 1759. Is there any discussion? If not, the  
6. question is shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations  
7. of the Governor as to Senate Bill 1759 in the manner and form  
8. as just stated by Senator Joyce. Those in favor will vote  
9. Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have  
10. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted  
11. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are  
12. 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The Senate...the  
13. Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill  
14. 1759, having received the required constitutional majority  
15. vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senate Bill  
16. 1978, Mr. Secretary, read the motion.

17. SECRETARY:

18. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the  
19. Governor as to Senate Bill 1978 in the manner and form as  
20. follows.

21. Signed, Senator Don Moore.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Moore.

24. SENATOR MOORE:

25. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I  
26. am going to move to concur in the Governor's Specific Recommendations  
27. that he did...or that he made to this bill. The bill as it originally  
28. passed this Body pertained to the differentiation between grants  
29. and standards. It was subsequently amended in the House to  
30. include, in effect, to say that the Department of Public Aid  
31. shall pay the Oak Forest Hospital as a hospital. There have  
32. been problems with the Oak Forest and the County Hospital up  
33. in Chicago...and Cook County. Back in August, there was an

1. application made by the Cook County Hospital for a Section 1115A  
2. Grant from the Federal Government. The Director of Public Aid  
3. has signed off on it, the Governor has signed off on it. It  
4. does appear that this will help alleviate the problem and the  
5. particular provision that was inserted in the bill was no longer  
6. necessary. I would therefore, move you, Mr. President, that  
7. we do concur with the Governor's Specific Recommendations to  
8. Senate Bill 1978.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. All right. Senator Moore has moved that the Senate accept  
11. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill  
12. 1978. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall  
13. the Senate accept the ~~Specific Recommendations of the Governor~~  
14. as to Senate Bill 1978 in the manner and form as just stated  
15. by Senator Moore. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
16. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
17. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question  
18. the Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. The  
19. Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to Senate Bill  
20. 1978, having received the required constitutional majority  
21. vote of Senators elected are declared accepted. Senator Merlo,  
22. for what purpose do you arise?

23. SENATOR MERLO:

24. Mr. President, to seek leave of the Body. If I had been  
25. on the Floor of the Senate I would have voted Aye on Senate  
26. Bill 1518, which was Senator Coffey's Gasohol Bill. But I did  
27. have a medical appointment and I was not here.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. The record...

30. SENATOR MERLO:

31. It does not affect the results.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. ...the record will so reflect, Senator. Have further

SB 1573  
11-20-80  
Item Reduction

1. motions been filed, Mr. Secretary?

2. SECRETARY:

3. No further motions, Mr. President.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Senator Buzbee. Has...has a motion, in fact, been filed?  
6. 1573, on the Order of Item Reductions. Okay. Senator Buzbee  
7. seeks leave to go back to that order. If you'll turn to  
8. page 6 on the Calendar, on the Order of Item Reductions, the  
9. motion filed with respect to Senate Bill 1573. Read the  
10. motion, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. I move that the item on page 1, line 11 of Senate Bill 1573  
13. be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary,  
14. notwithstanding.

15. Signed, Senator Buzbee.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Buzbee.

18. SENATOR BUZBEE:

19. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a request for a restoration  
20. of an item reduction that the Governor made in the Southern  
21. Illinois University Ordinary and Contingent Expenses Appropriation  
22. Bill for FY'81. You will recall that we went through the process  
23. last spring of providing certain amounts of monies to each of  
24. the university and the community college systems for sufficient  
25. funds for pay increases to university employees of some eight  
26. and a half percent. And quite frankly, it's according to how  
27. you interpret it whether it's eight and a half percent or nine  
28. percent. Anyhow, it was one half percent above the Governor's budget  
29. recommendation or one percent above the Governor's budget recommendation.  
30. The reason we did that was because the university systems in this  
31. State have been falling behind in their pay scales for several  
32. years, falling behind first of all other comparable universities  
33. in other states and secondly, falling behind other State



1. employees in their pay scales. We made the addition, the Governor  
2. saw fit to cut back to his recommended level of monies for salary  
3. increases. Now there's been a tremendous amount of machinations  
4. going on ever since then as to what's going to happen. The  
5. Governor indicated to the system heads a few weeks ago, that  
6. he was committed to some catch up money for some time in the  
7. future, however, he did not specify what amount of catch up  
8. money or what percentage of catch up money. I have been...saying  
9. to the constituents in my district all along that I would  
10. not go for a...an override attempt to the Governor's Reduction Veto on  
11. this item, if he would give us a firm commitment for the next  
12. two or three fiscal years as to percentages of catch up money  
13. that he was talking about. Yesterday afternoon at four-thirty,  
14. Senator Shapiro, Senator Weaver, Senator Bruce and myself, met  
15. with the Governor to see if he would give us that commitment.  
16. And I will tell you exactly what he told us. He said, "I'll  
17. have to talk to Bob Mandeville first and if he says I can, I  
18. will, if he says I can't, I won't." So, I knew that as soon as he  
19. said, I've got to talk to my boss first, Bob Mandeville, that  
20. we were in trouble. Now, what I was asking for was not an  
21. extraordinary amount, I was not asking for commitments that...that  
22. were...that were unattainable or pie in the sky. I asked for  
23. a three percent catch up next year and the following year over  
24. and above the percentage that he ends up with in the AFSCME  
25. agreement. Nobody knows at this point what that percentage  
26. is going to be, but we know there's going to be a pay increase  
27. for them and we know that that will be the same general percentage  
28. that all other State employees, including university employees  
29. will get. So, what I was asking for was a percentage increase  
30. commitment over and above whatever that one might turn out to be.  
31. The three percent he said was unacceptable, my retort this  
32. morning to Jim Edgar was, let's compromise at two percent a  
33. year for each of the next three years then, if you can't

1. commit to three percent. Of course, the third year in that  
2. commitment, obviously Thompson can't guarantee at this point  
3. because there's no assurance whatsoever that he'll be in the  
4. Governor's chair for that third year. But they were not  
5. willing to even compromise on a percentage. So, I am compelled  
6. to try to get one half percent additional monies for salary  
7. increases for the civil service and faculty employees and  
8. all of the other staff employees who live in my district and  
9. who live in Senator Vadalabene's district and who live in  
10. Senator Davidson's district, the districts where there are  
11. SIU institutions. I'm committed to try to restore nine hundred  
12. and twenty-three thousand dollars for that additional half  
13. percent pay increase. That's why, at this time, I would ask  
14. for your support and your vote for the override of the Governor's Reduction  
15. Veto on Senate Bill 1573.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. All right. Senator Buzbee has moved to restore the  
18. item on page 1, line 11 to Senate Bill 1573. Any discussion?  
19. Senator Johns.

20. SENATOR JOHNS:

21. I'm going to ask you the same question Senator Sommer  
22. asked me. Do you think that he'll release it even though we  
23. pass it out of here?

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Senator Buzbee.

26. SENATOR BUZBEE:

27. Yes.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Further discussion? Senator Berning.

30. SENATOR BERNING:

31. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Senate. I rise  
32. in opposition to this amendment or this motion, and point  
33. out to you, respectfully that, while this may be a small,  
34. relatively small item, what we ought to keep in mind is that

1. as the result of what has already been approved, the State  
2. of Illinois is incurring an additional financial burden. A financial  
3. burden which we must meet as these employees retire. The minute  
4. that these salary scales go into effect, those employees who  
5. retire benefit from the larger salary scale by increased pension  
6. benefits. That is paid out of our State General Revenue Account.  
7. So, what appears to be a relatively insignificant matter before  
8. us, is not just that. We have already been obligated for  
9. vastly greater payments over the years and I respectfully suggest  
10. that we have gone far...far enough. We must...ought to resist  
11. this motion.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Further discussion? Senator Regner.

14. SENATOR REGNER:

15. Yes, Mr. President and members, notwithstanding the  
16. opinion of Governor Mandeville, I do rise in opposition.  
17. In effect, what's being asked for, if this is overridden,  
18. is a nine and a half percent pay increase for university  
19. employees. As you all know, during the Spring Session,  
20. the Appropriations Committees labored long and hard establishing  
21. an eight and a half...an eight percent solution for salaries.  
22. Even with what we pass, they will, in fact, get more than  
23. the eight percent that we allowed others in many ways. First  
24. of all, the university has got every new program, they asked  
25. for, which means every new job that the university has  
26. asked for. We did not allow this for any other State agency,  
27. so they didn't receive any cut in new programs or new jobs  
28. in spite of where the economy was going last spring. And  
29. also all the jobs that they do have and the raises that were  
30. proposed, allowed for funding for a full year. This was not  
31. allowed for...in the other agencies. So, it's just absolutely  
32. ...indiscriminate funding for these agencies, this particular  
33. agency, but I'm sure the rest are going to be called also as

1. far as the universities are concerned, and in opposition to  
2. what we did with all the other State agencies. They are...be  
3. treated much, much better, even without this override than  
4. any others are so I see no reason for the override and I'd  
5. urge the defeat of the motion.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

8. SENATOR RHOADS:

9. Just briefly, Mr. President. Within the last twenty-four  
10. hours, I've had representatives of the AAU...AAUP and the IFT  
11. come to see me about this bill. And the curious thing about  
12. it, as I understand it, Senator Buzbee, there's about two hundred  
13. dollars or so involved for the average faculty person in the  
14. ...in the mid twenties range over the course of...of a year.  
15. Now there...the...the magnitude of this veto has been known  
16. for some time and what I find very curious is the absolute  
17. lack of any grass roots lobbying by the membership of those  
18. two organizations. I've had one letter from a lobbyist on  
19. this particular...seeking this override. Now, may...true, I  
20. don't have a campus in my district, but there are campuses  
21. nearby and I'm sure some of the members who are affected by  
22. this, some of them must live in my district. And I have yet to  
23. receive a phone call or a letter from anybody asking for it.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Further discussion? Senator Carroll.

26. SENATOR CARROLL:

27. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the  
28. Senate. Unfortunately or fortunately I must not be in as  
29. wealthy a district as Senator Rhoads because my phones have  
30. been ringing off the hook and the mail has been substantial,  
31. possibly faculty members can't afford to live in your district.  
32. The point is, however...I'll let that sink in for a second...  
33. I noticed Dr. Bob's haircut and shave yesterday and so I

1. knew before the meeting with the Governor...what the answer  
2. was going to be. Now that liberals are out, the beard is  
3. gone, the hair is short and he's walking around as a conservative  
4. where just last...at the end of the Session in June, he had the  
5. full profesorial beard and hair to go with it. So I think that  
6. was an indication of what the answer would...to all of our  
7. questions were going to be. The point is really though, that  
8. we're talking about what's fair...and...which is unusual around  
9. here, but we are talking about what's fair and that is a type  
10. of catch up for people who have been discriminated against  
11. merely because they chose to work for the university part of  
12. the public sector rather than the governmental part. And  
13. we're talking about providing them...yes, a small amount, but  
14. an indication that we are willing to let them earn that which  
15. they would have earned had they left us and not stayed in  
16. the public educational sector, something that I think we have  
17. to do. We all heard the Governor's to the right message  
18. yesterday. You notice that when he went down the road, he  
19. always went down the right road, never the correct road, never  
20. the appropriate road, always the road on the right and he made  
21. about eighteen references to the right. But he didn't tell  
22. you yesterday things we all know and that is that he himself  
23. is about a billion dollars over his budget message. That his  
24. three year hiring freeze is about eight thousand people to  
25. the phony, that he's constantly adding people and constantly  
26. adding money to a budget. And, more importantly, we sent  
27. him some hundred million dollars under what he asked us to  
28. spend by the end of June. Some hundred million under what  
29. he would have had this State spend to take a very small amount  
30. and give the people who teach the kids of this State an  
31. opportunity to have a fair wage I think is totally reasonable,  
32. both in the SIU system and the other systems to follow and I  
33. would urge that we restore this token half percent to give

1. them the encouragement to stay in the public sector system.  
2. And I would urge that we do support the restoration of these  
3. funds.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion. Senator Weaver.

6. SENATOR WEAVER:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. I think that we could be  
8. talking about Senate Bill 1572, 73, 74 and 75, 76 as we  
9. consider what has happened the last few days and the  
10. Governor's action on reduction of monies for personal  
11. service, and higher education State-wide in the amount  
12. of about six and a half million dollars where we were  
13. all lead to believe that there was known deficiencies  
14. in both the academic and the nonacademic field. The way  
15. in which we treat State employees working for higher  
16. education and make a differential to those who are working  
17. for other code departments is well known by, particularly  
18. members of the Appropriation Committee. And I think if  
19. higher education were basically on a line item budget...basis  
20. in appropriations, we could really show the membership what  
21. the discrepancies are in...in the same category of employment  
22. for State employees. I stand and...and support this override...  
23. for many reasons. We've been working for catch up money for  
24. several years, we thought we were making a small step this  
25. year, only to find in July or August, I should say, that this  
26. reduction was made. The magnitude of...of the reduction is  
27. not great, but the principle of it in my judgment is one which  
28. we shouldn't let go unnoticed. So I would urge support for the  
29. override.

30.

End of Reel #3

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Further discussion? Senator Walsh.

3. SENATOR WALSH:

4. Mr. President and my fellow Senators, just to echo the  
5. remarks of...of Senator Regner. It was my understanding that  
6. not all of these...these motions were to be called or that,  
7. in fact, motions had not been filed on all of these bills, and  
8. for that reason, maybe we are not talking about a full six and  
9. a half million; and, of course, if that were the case then there  
10. would be different treatment at one system than another system.  
11. But, assuming for purposes of argument, as Senator Weaver has  
12. indicated that we are talking about six and a half million, it  
13. just apparently is not there; and I think it's important to note  
14. that if we give this motion thirty votes and the House gives it  
15. a constitutional majority, then, of course, the money is spent.  
16. It's not a question of impounding it or holding it or whatever.  
17. It's one of the quirks in the Constitution of 1970 that the...the  
18. Legislature, which unfortunately in areas of spending is frequently  
19. irresponsible, has the last word on item reductions. And, it's  
20. probably something that should be addressed by constitutional  
21. amendment; but, unfortunately, this is the last word and I think  
22. it would be...it would be just fiscal irresponsibility for us to...  
23. to give this motion thirty votes, because by doing so we're giving  
24. most of those in succeeding bills, if not all of them, the same  
25. thing, and expend thereby six and a half million dollars, which  
26. from what the Governor tells us just is not there. I urge a No  
27. vote.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Any further discussion? Senator Buzbee, you wish to close?

30. SENATOR BUZBEE:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. I just asked my seatmate for a  
32. little assistance in my lack of vocabulary to try to explain my  
33. point. He told me the word I was looking for was schmuck. That's

1. not what I...I was going to talk about myself. That's not the  
2. word I was looking for, Senator. I think Senator Rhoads made a  
3. good point awhile ago, when he said that somebody in the...in the  
4. twenty thousand dollar bracket that this would amount to them  
5. something around two hundred dollars during the course of the year.  
6. My guess is at half...half percent, one percent would be about  
7. two hundred dollars, a half percent would amount to a hundred  
8. dollars if they're making twenty thousand a year. The Governor  
9. asked me yesterday, "wouldn't you rather have something in the  
10. future bigger than this small amount now?" And, I said, "Certainly.  
11. All I'm asking you...of you is to tell me what that something is  
12. going to be. I'm not willing to settle for a nebulous, don't  
13. worry about it guys, I'll take care of it response." So, I told  
14. the Governor that my people would rather have something they can  
15. see today in their pockets than some promise for the future that  
16. we don't even know what it is.at this point. The Governor yes-  
17. terday spoke of a five percent expansion budget. Now, if that  
18. interprets, and I'm not trying to imply at this time that it does;  
19. but if that interprets to a five percent pay increase for AFSCME  
20. and other State employees, then the Governor says we're going to  
21. give you catch up, and again I am...I am supposing, at this point,  
22. I am building a hypothetical picture, suppose he says I am going  
23. to give you catch up of one percent, so the total pay increase is  
24. six percent next year for higher education, I find that totally  
25. less than unacceptable...totally less than acceptable...totally  
26. unacceptable. Schmuck.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. I think your time has expired.

29. SENATOR BUZBEE:

30. It isn't a whole lot of money; it's six and a half million  
31. dollars for the whole package, and quite frankly, the word I was  
32. looking for awhile ago, the reason we called this one first is I  
33. am the fulcrum of all of the...all of the...the arrows that may



1. be thrown. If we are successful in this one, we're obviously  
2. going to go on for all the rest of them. I submit to you that  
3. this will be a commitment to those folks who work in the  
4. university and community college systems that we, in fact, are  
5. going to do something concrete about your lack of pay...about  
6. your lack of adequate pay. That's why I'm calling this bill.  
7. I'm a little surprised at Senator Walsh's response that the  
8. Legislature shouldn't have the final say. I'm doggoned glad we  
9. do have the final say in money matters. We are the folks that  
10. are the closest to the people. I'm glad we've got that. I would  
11. ask for a favorable roll call.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. The question is shall the item on page 1, line 11 of Senate  
14. Bill 1573 be restored, the item reduction of the Governor to the  
15. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those  
16. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
17. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take  
18. the record. On that question, the Ayes are 27, the Nays are 24,  
19. none Voting Present. The motion fails. Any other member have a  
20. motion that we skipped that he wishes to go back and pursue at  
21. this moment? Otherwise they'll be held over until tomorrow.  
22. Well, we have a few items of business before we adjourn. We  
23. should be out of here in less than thirty minutes, with a little...  
24. Messages from the House.

25. SECRETARY:

26. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

27. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the  
28. House of Representatives has passed a bill with the following  
29. title, the vote of the Governor...the veto of the Governor, to  
30. the contrary notwithstanding, and the passage of which I am  
31. instructed to ask concurrence of the Senate to-wit:

32. That message...is on House Bills 3166 and 3167.

33. I am further instructed to deliver to you the objections

1. of the Governor, which are contained in the attached copy of this  
2. letter to the House...to the Representatives.

3. Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

4. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the  
5. House of Representatives has passed bills with the following titles  
6. in the passage of which I am instructed to ask concurrence of  
7. the Senate, to-wit:

8. House Bill 3635.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Resolutions.

11. SECRETARY:

12. Senate Resolution 700, offered by Senator Hall and all  
13. Senators and it's congratulatory.

14. Senate Resolution 701, offered by Senators Sangmeister,  
15. Berning and others and it's congratulatory.

16. Senate Resolution 702, offered by Senators Daley, Netsch,  
17. Rock, Carroll and all Senators and it's a death resolution.

18. Senate Resolution 703, offered by Senator Carroll and all  
19. Senators and it's a death resolution.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Consent Calendar. Introduction of bills.

22. SECRETARY:

23. Senate Bill 2044, introduced by Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

24. (Secretary reads title of bill)

25. 1st reading of the bill.

26. Senate Bill 2045, introduced by Senators Mitchler and Nimrod.

27. (Secretary reads title of bill)

28. 1st reading of the bill.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Rules Committee. Committee reports.

31. SECRETARY:

32. Senator Vadalabene, Chairman of the Committee on Executive...  
33. and Appointments and Administration, to which was referred the

1. Governor's Message of November the 6th, 1980, reports the same  
2. back with the recommendation that the Senate advise and consent  
3. to the following appointments.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. All right. Before I recognize Senator Vadalabene, let's  
6. give them time to get the camera set up. Channel 3 has requested  
7. permission to shoot some silent film. Sam, are you ready?  
8. Leave is granted. Senator Vadalabene.

9. SENATOR VADALABENE:

10. Yes, thank you, Mr. President, first for that accommodation  
11. that you just gave me and I'm...are you sure that he has the  
12. camera on me?

13. PRESIDENT:

14. I...I've instructed him to keep it on you, Sam.

15. SENATOR VADALABENE:

16. All right, Mr. President, then I move that the Senate resolve  
17. itself into Executive Session for the purpose of acting on the  
18. Governor's appointments set forth in the Governor's Message of  
19. November 6, 1980.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying  
22. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The Senate is now in  
23. Executive Session. Senator Vadalabene.

24. SENATOR VADALABENE:

25. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
26. With respect to the Governor's Message of November 6, I will  
27. read the unsalaried appointments to which the Committee on  
28. Executive Appointments and Administration recommends that the  
29. Senate do advise and consent. And, after reading those appoint-  
30. ments, I intend to ask leave to consider all of the unsalaried  
31. appointments on one roll call, unless any Senator has any objection  
32. to any particular appointment.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. You have heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is  
2. granted. Senator Vadalabene.

3. SENATOR VADALABENE:

4. To be a member of the Advisory Board of Cancer Control, for  
5. a term expiring September 13, 1981, Dr. Frank...September 30,  
6. 1981, Dr. Frank R. Hendrickson of Oak Park.

7. To be a member of the Illinois Racing Board, for terms ex-  
8. piring July 1, 1986, Farrel Joseph Griffin of Chicago and  
9. Robert G. Ward of Decatur.

10. To be members of the Medical Determinations Board, for the  
11. terms indicated, Samuel L. Andelman of Skokie, term expiring  
12. June 27, 1983. Noel M. Bass of Joliet, term expiring June 27,  
13. 1983. ~~J. Robert Buchanan of Chicago,~~ term expiring June 27,  
14. 1984. Audley F. Connor of Chicago, term expires June 27, 1984.  
15. Richard H. Moy of Springfield, term expires June 27, 1982.  
16. Harold H. Rohrer of Peoria, term expires June 27, 1984, and  
17. Richard H. Suhs of Springfield, term expires June 27, 1982.

18. To be a member of the Historical Library Board of Trustees,  
19. for a term expiring January 19, 1981, Julie Cellini of Springfield.

20. To be members of the Medical Disciplinary Board, for terms  
21. expiring June 10, 1984, Samuel Brinkley of East Alton, George Caleel  
22. of Chicago and Willard C. Scrivner of Belleville.

23. To be members of the Tuberculosis Advisory Committee, for  
24. terms expiring October 1, 1983, Lanie E. Eagleton of Springfield,  
25. James P. Paulissen of West Chicago, John P. Doenges of Olney and  
26. Richard C. Bodie of Aurora, Betty Schultz of Pontiac, Monica Bauer  
27. of Kankakee.

28. To be members of the Kaskaskia Regional Port District Board,  
29. for the terms indicated, Spencer F. Brown of Chester, term expiring  
30. June 30, 1981; Walter R. Phillips of Smithton, term expiring June  
31. 30th, 1983; Robert W. Rippelmeyer of Valmeyer, term expires June  
32. 30, 1983; Arthur C. Macke of Marissa, term expiring June 30, 1983;  
33. Francis Osborn of New Athens, term expiring June 30, 1983.

1. And, to be a member of the Havana Regional Port District  
2. Board, for a term expiring June 30th, 1983, James C. Hainline of  
3. Havana.

4. And, to be a member of the Illinois Environmental Facilities  
5. Finance Authority, for terms expiring June 30th, 1982, Daniel  
6. A. Flores of Buffalo Grove and Samuel F. Quartarone of Flossmoor.

7. And, to be members of the Chicago Regional Port District  
8. Board, for terms expiring June 1, 1985, James Gidwitz of Chicago  
9. and Ray O'Keefe of Winnetka.

10. And, to be members of the Mount Carmel Regional Port District  
11. Board, for terms expiring June 30, 1983, Robert Mundy, the II of  
12. Mount Carmel and Ronald L. Giles of Mount Carmel.

13. And, to be members of the Children and Family Services  
14. Advisory Council for terms expiring January 17, 1983, John F. Coy  
15. of Rock Island and June E. Foster of Urbana.

16. And, to be a member of the Lottery Control Board, for term  
17. expiring July 1, 1983, Mary Ann Koppel of Skokie.

18. So, Mr. President, having read the unsalaried appointments,  
19. I now seek leave to consider these appointments on one roll call,  
20. unless some Senator has objection to a specific appointment.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. You have heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is  
23. granted. Senator Vadalabene.

24. SENATOR VADALABENE:

25. Yes, Mr. President, will you put the question as required by  
26. our rules?

27. PRESIDENT:

28. The question is does the Senate advise and consent to the  
29. nominations just made? Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed  
30. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?  
31. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
32. Ayes are 51, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. A majority  
33. of the Senators elected concurring by record vote, the Senate does

1. advise and consent to the nominations just made. Senator  
2. Vadalabene.

3. SENATOR VADALABENE:

4. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
5. With respect to the Governor's Message of November 6, 1980, I  
6. will read the names of the salaried appointments, to which the  
7. Committee on Executive Appointments and Administration recommends  
8. the Senate advise and consent to. After reading the appointments,  
9. I intend to ask leave to consider all of the salaried appointments  
10. on one roll call, unless any Senator has objection to any  
11. particular appointment.

12. To be Director of the Toll Highway Authority, for the terms  
13. indicated, Daniel R. Fusco of Wilmette, whose term expires May 1,  
14. 1981 and James Soper of Cicero, whose term expires May 1, 1983.

15. I agree with all the boos, this is what...possibly is one of  
16. the toughest nominees we've ever had.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. No...editorialize it. Just present the report, will you.

19. SENATOR VADALABENE:

20. Thank you, Mr. President.

21. To be a member of the Board of Review in the Department of  
22. Labor, for a term expiring January 19, 1981, Bruce W. Barnes of  
23. Oak Lawn.

24. And, to be Chairman of the Property Tax Appeal Board for a  
25. term expiring January 17, 1983, Albert A. Seppi of Belleville.

26. And, to be a member of the Property Tax Appeal Board for a  
27. term expiring January 21, 1985, Jacob R. Ringger of Gridley.

28. And, to be a member of the Chicago Urban Transportation District  
29. Board for a term expiring July 7, 1982, Al Robinson of Chicago.

30. So, Mr. President, having read the salaried appointments, I  
31. now seek leave to consider these appointments on one roll call,  
32. unless some Senator has some specific objection to a appointment.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. You have heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is  
2. granted. Senator Vadalabene.

3. SENATOR VADALABENE:

4. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Will you put the question  
5. as required by our rules?

6. PRESIDENT:

7. The question is does the Senate advise and consent to the  
8. nominations just made? Those in favor will vote Aye. Those  
9. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who  
10. wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have  
11. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the  
12. Ayes are 50, the Nays are none, none Voting Present. A majority  
13. of the Senators elected concurring by record vote, the Senate  
14. does advise and consent to the nominations just made. Senator  
15. Vadalabene.

16. SENATOR VADALABENE:

17. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.  
18. I now move that the Senate arise from Executive Session.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. You have heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying  
21. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. The Senate  
22. does now arise. Senator Savickas, for what purpose do you arise?

23. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

24. I would like the record to show that Senators McLendon, Nega  
25. and Nedza would be absent today due to official business. They're  
26. attending the conference in, I guess, Texas on Insurance. State  
27. Legislator's Conference.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. The record will so reflect. Senator Daley, for what purpose  
30. do you arise?

31. SENATOR DALEY:

32. I'd like to have the leave of the Senate to have Senator  
33. Ozinga as a...co-sponsor of House Bill 2777. House bill.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is  
3. granted. House Bill 2777. Any further business to come before  
4. the Senate? Any further business to come before the Senate?  
5. I would just remind you that we will commence at 9:00 a.m.  
6. tomorrow morning, and with a little luck we'll be out of here  
7. by ten. No further business to come before the Senate, Senator  
8. Savickas moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Friday,  
9. November 21 at the hour of 9 o'clock a.m. Senate stands  
10. adjourned.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

16.

17.

18.

19.

20.

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.