

81ST GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

NOVEMBER 1, 1979

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. The hour of 9:30 having arrived, the Senate will come to
3. order. The opening prayer will be delivered by Reverend Anthony
4. Tzortzis, St. Anthony's Hellenic Orthodox Church, Springfield,
5. Illinois. Will the guests in the Galleries please rise.

6. REVEREND ANTHONY G. TZORTZIS:

7. (Prayer delivered by Reverend Tzortzis)

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. For what purpose does Senator Newhouse arise?

10. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

11. Mr. President, I...did our guest pastor leave already?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Yes, he's...

14. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

15. ...because I wanted to join you in our apologies to him for
16. that unearthly noise that was going on during his prayer and I
17. want to let him know that nevertheless, his prayers did get
18. through.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. We'll so inform him. Reading of the Journal.

21. SECRETARY:

22. Tuesday, October the 16th, 1979, Wednesday, October 17th,
23. 1979, Thursday, October the 18th, 1979.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

25. Senator Johns.

26. SENATOR JOHNS:

27. Thank you, Mr. President. I move that the Journals just read
28. by the Secretary be approved unless some Senator has additions or
29. corrections to offer.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. You have heard the motion. All those in favor. Motion carries.
32. Committee Reports.

33. SECRETARY:

Senator Daley, Vice Chairman of the Committee on Assignment of

1. Bills, reports the following House Bills to Committee:
2. Appropriations I, House Bills 2789, 2797, 2798 and 2809.
3. Appropriations II, House Bills 2790 and 2794.
4. Elementary and Secondary Education, House Bill 2792.
5. Elections and Reapportionment, House Bill 2799.
6. Local Government, House Bill 2800.
7. Revenue, House Bill 2804.
8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
9. For what purpose Senator Johns arise?
10. SENATOR JOHNS:
11. What...Mr. President, did you recognize me?
12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
13. Yes, Senator.
14. SENATOR JOHNS:
15. Do we have a new Parliamentarian? If so, it's quite an
16. improvement over Durbin.
17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
18. Is there leave for the suburban newspapers to shoot some
19. still photos? Leave is granted.
20. PRESIDENT:
21. Resolutions.
22. SECRETARY:
23. Senate Resolution 304 offered by Senator Carroll and all
24. members. It's congratulatory.
25. Senate Resolution 305 and 306 offered by Senator Daley and
26. they are congratulatory.
27. Senate Resolution 307 offered by Senator Egan and it's
28. congratulatory.
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Consent Calendar. If I can have the members' attention, on page
31. 2 in the Calendar, under House Bills 2nd reading, Senator Vadalabene.
32. On the Order of House Bills 2nd reading, all those easement bills,
33. I understand, are no longer necessary. Senator Vadalabene.

1. SENATOR VADALABENE:

2. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

3. I would like at this time to rerefer all the easement bills that
4. are on the Calendar to the Committee on Executive and Appointment,
5. rereferred back to committee.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. All right. That's House Bills 2nd reading, all of them on
8. page 2, page 3 and up to...up to and including 1455. You have
9. heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
10. The Ayes have it. So ordered. Senator Shapiro. Yes, Senator
11. Knuppel, we had discussed this, I think, before. On the Order
12. of House Bills 2nd, you have House Bill 2492. I understand that
13. when the bill was rereferred to committee, it came off the Order
14. of Consideration Postponed, isn't that right? Okay. All right.
15. We'll correct that error in the Calendar. So, 2492 will be shown
16. on the Order of Consideration Postponed on the Calendar. Any
17. discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying Aye. All
18. opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. All right. The Senate
19. will come to order. We have six motions that have been filed
20. with the Secretary and additionally, we have eight matters on the
21. Order of Consideration Postponed so if we can...On the Order of
22. Override Total Vetoes. Is Senator Lemke on the Floor? 430, do
23. you wish that called? All right. On the...on the Order of Over-
24. ride Total Veto, is a motion with respect to House Bill 430. Read
25. the motion, Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. I move that House Bill 430 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
28. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Lemke.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Lemke.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. I ask for a favorable roll call.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Shapiro.

2. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

3. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I
4. rise in opposition to this bill. I really can't say it's the
5. worst bill that's ever come before us, but I think it may have
6. the title of being pretty close to being the worst bill. This
7. bill would put the State in the business of regulating and in-
8. specting carnival rides throughout the State of Illinois. Not
9. that the idea isn't laudable, but I think you ought to realize
10. what a burden it will place on the State of Illinois. This bill,
11. the thoughts about it, need a lot of study. It should not be
12. passed at this time. The Governor's Veto should not be overridden
13. for this one main reason. The fact that a carnival ride is in-
14. spected, does not mean that it might not have a defect in it that
15. might cause an injury or even a death within a few minutes after
16. it is inspected. I think the route to go with this type of thing,
17. if we want to regulate this industry, is to make them put up
18. liability insurance of some kind or work something out that is
19. more feasible than this bill and I would urge everyone to vote
20. against it. It's just not in the best interest of the people
21. of the State of Illinois or the State of Illinois.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Any further discussion? Senator Lemke, you wish to close?

24. SENATOR LEMKE:

25. Fellow Senators and...if it's a burden to protect a child
26. that rides a ferris wheel or a carnival ride who is killed or
27. maimed or injured and the State, in their wisdom, can't protect
28. these children who get on the rides to at least inspect them,
29. then I don't know where the State is going, but I know this. The
30. carnival operators, if you go to any carnival, they have no rhyme
31. or reason for the protection of the people that ride them. They are
32. only interested in one thing and that's how much you pay at the
33. admission gate. So, if it's a burden for this State to go about

1. saving the lives of children who ride these rides, then so be it
2. and you vote that way, but I think it's...it's not a burden and
3. I think it's our duty, as a State. It's like they do in Wisconsin
4. and Iowa, to inspect rides and to make sure they are safe for the
5. patrons because we are dealing with carnival operators that aren't
6. in this State, that come by fly rights into small counties in the
7. State and at the...and small churches and come in and the people
8. go because that's their entertainment and we should do something
9. to protect these people and these children and that's what this
10. bill does and I ask for a favorable vote.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. All right. The question is shall House...beg your pardon.
13. Senator Knuppel.

14. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

15. Maybe I should have stood up a little sooner. I happen to be
16. about half carnie and I've had some carnival rides and you know,
17. bolts work loose, things can happen and just as Senator Shapiro
18. has said, you know, really what we need is something like dramshop
19. insurance...I don't mean of the same nature where third persons
20. are, but maybe we ought to license these people and require them
21. to have great coverage because a carnival ride can be put up right
22. and three minutes later a bolt that's oxidized or something can
23. break. I don't know how you are going to inspect those things,
24. there's so many of them.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. All right. Any further discussion? If not, the question is
27. shall House Bill 430 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary
28. notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
29. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
30. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are
31. 19. The Nays are 25. The motion fails. On the Order of Item
32. Vetoes is a motion with respect to House Bill 2578. Mr. Secretary,
33. read the motion.

1. SECRETARY:

2. We have two motions filed on this. I move that the item
3. on page 16, line twenty-eight through thirty-two of House Bill
4. 2578 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary not-
5. withstanding. The first motion is signed by Senator Wooten.
6. The second, by Senator Newhouse.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Wooten.

9. SENATOR WOOTEN:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. I asked that this motion be held
11. yesterday when two questions were asked that I could not answer.
12. I sought out the sponsor of the amendment and determined that it
13. was...it was his idea that it would be a one time grant. He did
14. not plan to pursue any additional funds nor did not see the need
15. for additional funds. Senator DeAngelis asked if it duplicated
16. the MECO program and I am told by the Feds and by the Staff that
17. put this together that no, it does not. The hundred thousand
18. dollars that I seek to be restored would enable...it would be
19. thinly spread and I mean very thinly, over suburban Cook County
20. and the rest of the State. It had principal application in the
21. counties of Champaign, Egyptian, Pike, Macon, Lee, Vermilion
22. Rock Island, DeKalb and Will and in those areas, local health
23. departments that wish to, can apply for a grant to the Department
24. of Public Health to set up so that they would qualify for Title
25. 20 Funds and from that point, they are on their own. This is
26. currently available in Cook County, but nowhere else in the
27. State and it just seems to me that it's not an unreasonable re-
28. quest so I ask that the Item Veto of the Governor be overridden.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Is there any discussion? Senator Regner.

31. SENATOR REGNER:

32. Well...just the point I did make some points yeaterday about
33. a program such as this, but what is, in fact, happening, we are

HB 1693
Aye Rescinded
11/1/79

1. at the top...the top of our Title 20 Funding right now and this
2. would jeopardize other programs and I still think, as I did
3. yesterday, that we should not override this Line Item Veto at
4. this time and I would request a No vote.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Any further discussion? Senator Wooten, you wish to close?

7. SENATOR WOOTEN:

8. I would simply say that I believe that our local communities
9. have the same rights to compete for Title 20 Funds as the City
10. of Chicago and the State of Illinois. I don't think it's unreason-
11. able and we are not talking about vast sums of money that would be
12. drained from the State. I respectfully request an override.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. All right. The question is shall the item on page 16, lines
15. twenty-eight through thirty-two of House Bill 2578 pass, the Item
16. Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in
17. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is
18. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
19. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
20. that question the Ayes are 32. The Nays are 17. None Voting Present.
21. The motion fails...motions fail. Bottom of page 6 on the Calendar,
22. on the Order of Specific Recommendations for Change is a motion
23. filed with respect to House Bill 1693, Senator Lemke. Read the
24. motion, Mr. Secretary.

25. SECRETARY:

26. I move the...to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
27. Governor as to House Bill 1693 in the manner and form as follows.
28. Signed, Senator Lemke.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Lemke.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. I ask for a...favorable roll call.

33. PRESIDENT:

Is there any discussion? Senator Walsh.

1. SENATOR WALSH:

2. Just to ask the Gentleman to explain the bill and why he
3. thinks the veto should be overridden.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. ...Senator Lemke.

6. SENATOR LEMKE:

7. We're not...we're not overriding a veto. We're accepting
8. an Amendatory Veto.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Senator Walsh.

11. SENATOR WALSH:

12. Explain the bill and why we should accept the Amendatory
13. Veto.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Lemke.

16. SENATOR LEMKE:

17. The reason...the Governor stated that the Amendatory Veto
18. requires the Custodian of Public Accounts to deposit the funds
19. within two working days when not needed for immediate distributions
20. to allow reasonable time for the check to clear. That's all it
21. does. The check has got to clear and then he's got two days to
22. make the deposit.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Any further discussion? If not, the question is shall the
25. Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to
26. House Bill 1693 in the manner and form just stated by Senator
27. Lemke. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
28. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
29. wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 50. The
30. Nays are none. None Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations
31. of the Governor as to House Bill 1693, having received the required
32. constitutional majority vote of Senators elected, are declared
33. accepted. On the Order of Specific Recommendations for Change, at

1. the bottom of page 6, is Senator Bruce on the Floor? Oh, shall
2. we wait until tomorrow or what? Okay. We'll...well, we can...
3. with Senator Walsh's okay, we can now move to Consideration
4. Postponed, I assume, and get back to yours. Is that all right,
5. Senator...thank you very much. All right. On the top...middle
6. of page 5 on the Calendar, on the Order of Consideration Post-
7. poned, there are eight remaining motions and then we'll get back
8. to Senate...or House Bill 716, when Senator Bruce finishes his
9. conference. On the Order of Consideration Postponed is House
10. Bill 233. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. I move that House Bill 233 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
13. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Schaffer.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Schaffer.

16. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

17. Mr. President, House Bill 233 is that proposal which says that
18. an EPA permit does not supercede local zoning or home rule powers.
19. It's been debated. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I think,
20. for those of us who are interested in home rule and local control,
21. this is the most important bill that we've considered this Fall.
22. I think the EPA has an important function. I don't think we want
23. them making local zoning decisions for our cities and counties. I
24. just got off the phone not sixty seconds ago with Director Mauzy
25. and I asked him point blank whether he had the personnel to do these
26. things and he said no and I said how are you going to do it and
27. he said well, we'll just have to make do. They don't have the
28. personnel on board. They are not really looking for this respon-
29. sibility. I think it ought to remain with the local governments.
30. I believe in local control. I want the people in my county and
31. my cities making these decisions. I think the EPA should be
32. involved. Appreciate any support I can get.

33. PRESIDENT:

1. All right. Hold it, Senator Graham. WAND TV requests
2. permission to shoot some silent film. That's why I waited until
3. you got up, Senator Graham. Is leave granted? Leave is granted.
4. Okay. Senator Graham. I had to wait until you finished...yes,
5. right. Senator Graham.

6. SENATOR GRAHAM:

7. I don't even run in Decatur. Mr. President and members of
8. the Senate, Seldom do I...seldom do I...get Jim Kupp, will you?
9. Seldom do I get up and make a speech asking you to do something
10. that affects me and my communities, either directly or indirectly.
11. The failure to override 233 affects my community directly. The
12. gravel truck situation in my back yard over in McHenry County is
13. an...horrible thing. The people that are running it are unconscion-
14. able. The people who drive those gravel trucks are worse and
15. just to give you an experience what they do with people when you
16. try to make them obey the law, overriding and...overloading and
17. speeding, they took two hundred trucks two Saturdays in a row and
18. tied up all the traffic in Barrington, my home town, from about
19. 9:00 o'clock in the morning till 3:00 in the afternoon. Now, there
20. is no reason on God's earth that...that we can believe that the
21. State has a better idea what to do in these local areas than we
22. do. We stand up on this Floor and object to the Feds telling us
23. what to do. This is another bureaucratic attempt to do...put a
24. gravel pit wherever they want to put it, regardless of how it
25. affects a community. I will personally appreciate a favorable
26. vote on this motion to override.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Further discussion? Senator Coffey.

29. SENATOR COFFEY:

30. Yes, I have a question of the sponsor of this bill.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Indicates he will yield. Senator Coffey.

33. SENATOR COFFEY:

1. Senator Schaffer, why was fossil fuels taken out of this
2. bill originally?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Schaffer.

5. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

6. For years, the gravel and coal industry have fought to be
7. considered as two separate entities. I have been involved in
8. debates down here over the years and I have been repeatedly told
9. by my friends in the gravel industry and my friends in the coal
10. industry that they are different animals. I am intrigued now
11. that somebody wishes to put them together. I think that's a
12. phony argument. If, in fact, this type of legislation is needed
13. for coal I certainly would support it, but they did not...no one
14. expressed an interest in having them in.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Further...oh, Senator Coffey.

17. SENATOR COFFEY:

18. Yes, well, maybe it's...maybe it's a phony excuse, but I
19. just heard Senator Graham stand up and he's got a concern about
20. his district, what's happening there and I happen to have fossil
21. fuel, strip mining, in my area and I'm concerned about that. Now,
22. if...if my reason for opposing or wanting to go along with the
23. Governor's Veto on this is...is fakey, so is some of the rest of
24. them. If we're going to be concerned about problems in one district,
25. we got to be concerned about them all and fossil fuel is a problem
26. in my district and I...we have talked about doing something with
27. this piece of legislation, coming back and correcting this at a
28. later date. I think we ought to also include fossil fuel and I
29. would appreciate us going along with the Governor's Veto and
30. sustaining that veto on this item.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

33. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

1. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I think
2. we would be going one step in the right direction by supporting
3. the override on this bill because it will not let the EPA preempt
4. local zoning. Those of us who are concerned...with avoiding too
5. much bureaucratic interference with our local governments should
6. be supporting this bill and if we take this step now, I'm sure,
7. Senator Coffey, we can amend the Act later to include your concerns.
8. I can share your concerns, but we have to be concerned because
9. I can tell you right now, it is highly discriminatory and you
10. might as well scrap local governments if you are going to let the
11. EPA do the zoning for the local governments. I ask for your
12. considerable cooperation.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Further discussion? Senator Ozinga.

15. SENATOR OZINGA:

16. Mr. President, members of the Senate, I'd like to be a little
17. bit specific and I'd like to have an...a specific, honest answer
18. on this question, not one that beats around the bush. Does this
19. merely give the authority or take away the authority from the EPA
20. with reference to gravel and coal, or whatever it is, only or...we
21. in the country towns, we that are spread out around the outside
22. perimeter of Cook County are much, much interested in possibilities
23. of land fills, garbage disposals and these kind of things where
24. the EPA takes over or eliminates the town.. Now, are they in any
25. way, shape or form connected with this bill?

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Senator Schaffer.

28. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

29. Senator Ozinga, to give you the most honest answer I can,
30. without practicing law without a license, the court case dealt
31. specifically with the aggregate industry. I would suggest to you
32. strongly that the legal logic in arriving at the conclusion that
33. if one had an EPA permit, they didn't need a local zoning permission

1. for a gravel pit probably, in my opinion, follows on sanitary
2. land fills and on virtually every other...local zoning decision
3. that a home rule unit or a regular unit of government makes to
4. things that are regulated by the EPA. The answer is not directly,
5. but certainly indirectly.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Any further discussion? Senator Schaffer, you wish to close?
8. All right. If not, the question is shall House Bill 233 pass, the
9. veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in
10. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is
11. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have
12. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
13. are 27. The Nays are 14. None Voting Present. The motion fails.
14. On the Order of Consideration Postponed, page 5, House Bill 292.
15. Is Senator Lemke on the Floor? Senator Lemke, 292. Read the
16. motion, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. I move that House Bill 292 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
19. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Lemke.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. Senator Lemke.

22. SENATOR LEMKE:

23. I ask for a favorable vote on...to override the Governor's
24. Veto on this. What this bill does is allow arson investigators
25. to carry a weapon. I ask you, if you were an arson investigator,
26. investigating a fire where a man lights a torch to a twenti-
27. eth... apartment building and doesn't have the rhyme or reason
28. to kill the people in the building, if you want to go and inves-
29. tigate to find that arsonist without being protected with some
30. type of form. This bill...does have a provision in there that
31. in order for them to carry a weapon they must take firearm train-
32. ing and the Governor's...his veto was erroneously...he was
33. erroneously informed. There is a provision in there for firearm

1. training and this is necessary.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Any discussion? All right. If not, the question is shall
4. House Bill 292 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary
5. notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
6. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
7. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
8. record. On that question the Ayes are 28. The Nays are 21.
9. None Voting Present. The motion fails. Is Senator Bloom on the
10. Floor? 316. On the Order of Consideration Postponed, House
11. Bill 316. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

12. SECRETARY:

13. I move that House Bill 316 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
14. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Bloom.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Bloom.

17. SENATOR BLOOM:

18. Thank you, Mr. President and fellow Senators. As you know,
19. House Bill 316 is the Fair Share Bill. It's been before this
20. Body on several occasions. Basically, it says that where there
21. are improvements that all the local abutting property owners
22. should pick up their fair share and that includes the State.
23. Presently, the State doesn't pick up its fair share and that
24. increases the per capita cost to the home owners and the private
25. people who live along a right-of-way. Try and answer any questions.
26. Appreciate a favorable roll call.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Any discussion? If not, the question is shall House Bill 316
29. pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
30. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
31. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
32. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
33. Ayes are 28. The Nays are 11. 1 Voting Present. The motion fails.

1. Senator Knuppel on 1777. On the bottom of page 5 is House Bill
2. 1777. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

3. SECRETARY:

4. I move that House Bill 1777 Do Pass, the Specific Recommendation
5. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
6. Knuppel.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Senator Knuppel.

9. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

10. Well, this is the bill we voted on yesterday. There were
11. quite a few absences, particularly on this side of the aisle. It
12. needs some help here, fellows. This is a bill where the money
13. taken in from hunters and fishermen is put in a special account,
14. but the Governor's Veto would say that the interest off that
15. account would go to General Revenue. Lord knows we need plenty
16. of help with our recreation, our Game and Fish Fund and I would
17. appreciate a favorable roll call. It also calls for an auction
18. of seized equipment, hunting and fishing equipment, illegal
19. equipment at least once a year. Those were the two changes. I
20. would appreciate your support...to override the...the amendatory
21. language.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Is there any discussion? Senator Walsh.

24. SENATOR WALSH:

25. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I would just like
26. to point out, as was pointed out yesterday, that if this veto is
27. overridden, this would be the only special fund where the interest
28. earned on investments from the fund would remain in the fund and
29. not pour over into the General Revenue Fund. If it is a good
30. idea, it should apply to all funds and we should address ourselves
31. to it in Regular Session and not do it on a piece-meal basis. I
32. would also like to point out that the bookkeeping involved would be
33. expensive and I think for the time being anyway, we should main-

1. tain the status quo and I would urge that we sustain the Governor's
2. action and vote No on this motion.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Any further discussion? Senator Knuppel may close the debate.

5. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

6. Well, of course, it isn't just like other funds. In other
7. funds, General Revenue, we all pay Income Tax. We all pay Sales
8. Tax, on food and drugs and other things. This is a case where
9. it is a kind of a different animal. We go out and these people
10. put their money in for...for use, just like you contribute at
11. church. You want it to go to missionaries. You don't want the
12. ...the church custodian or treasurer to invest it in government
13. bonds. That wasn't what it was given for. In this case, this
14. money is from the sale of...of licenses and the money, in the
15. first place, shouldn't even have been held. It should have been
16. used as quickly as possible, but in any event, we have one of the
17. rottenest game and code...Game Codes...the recreation facilities
18. in the State of Illinois for hunting and fishing and all this
19. does is try to improve those for all those who would enjoy that
20. and it is not the same as all these other funds and to say that
21. it is is to misconstrue it and we did do it in the General Session
22. and it's kind of strange to me that we bring up the issue, why
23. don't we do it in the General Session. That's why the hell we are
24. back here. This is a Veto Session and we did it in the Regular
25. Session and the Governor vetoed it out.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. The question is shall House Bill 1777 pass, the Specific
28. Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
29. Those in favor will vote Aye. Opposed will vote Nay. The voting
30. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
31. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
32. On that question the Ayes are 35. The Nays are 17. None Voting
33. Present. The motion fails. Top of page 6, on the Order of
Consideration Postponed, House Bill 2192. Read the motion, Mr.

1. Secretary.

2. SECRETARY:

3. I move that House Bill 2192 Do Pass, the Veto of the Governor
4. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Nedza.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Nedza.

7. SENATOR NEDZA:

8. Thank you, Mr. President. I think all that had to be said
9. has been said so, at this time, I make a motion to override the
10. Governor's Veto.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Any discussion? Senator Newhouse.

13. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. I didn't mean to shout, but I
15. was caught a little bit by surprise. You'll recall we asked
16. yesterday that this bill be held and it has been the subject matter
17. of a good deal of controversy. Let me just tell this Body what is
18. at stake. I know the thing has been wired, but I think you ought
19. to understand what we are doing. What we have asked on this side,
20. some of us who have a great deal of interest in the Park District
21. and its service to the public, is that the Park District Authorities
22. accord us the same respect that we ask any other persons who come
23. to this Body and ask for money and that is to come down here and
24. share with us what it's disposition will be. This has been going
25. on for six months. We've sent all kinds of...I know I am repeating
26. some things, fellows, but I want you to hear this. We've sent all
27. kinds of messages back simply trying to get them down here. This
28. is a most embarrassing situation because what it involves is respect
29. for this Body, respect for you, as well as other members of this
30. Legislature. Now, I am really...feel very badly that I see House
31. members on this Floor, working this Floor, calling in personal
32. chips when what is involved is a simple matter of respect for this
33. Body and that's all it is. Now, you're going to send this out here.

1. I know that. It's all part of a lot of other deals, some of
2. which involve that same kind of disrespect, incidentally, and
3. I...I simply want you to know what you are doing to yourselves
4. and how badly some of us will feel when it happens. On the...
5. on the basis of not even facesaving, but the eternal arrogance and
6. high-handedness that we all profess to dislike, we are about to
7. do something to enforce just that kind of syndrome. Now, I just want
8. to remind those of you who are dedicated to the proposition that
9. there should not be tax increase without referendum, that certainly
10. there should not be extended bonding power without referendum,
11. that it's not only doing this without referendum, you're doing it
12. without the respect of an appearance before you to tell you what
13. this is all about. Thank you, very much, Mr. President.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Further discussion? Senator Knuppel.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

17. Well, Mr. President, I have very strong feelings on this
18. with respect to the way the Park Board has responded to Senator
19. Newhouse and other members who feel that they are entitled to
20. an audience. I have been assured, however, by the House sponsor
21. and by Senator Savickas that they will do everything in their power
22. to bring these people together at a luncheon meeting or some other
23. type of a meeting with Senator Newhouse and to see that this is
24. done. I think that it's a shame that it hasn't been done before.
25. I feel very strongly in this case that the people who may suffer
26. are the people who use the parks, the young people, that the
27. wrong people are suffering and while I withheld my vote yesterday,
28. I...I believe on the assurance of the House sponsor and Senator
29. Savickas that this is the last day on which this can pass, but
30. I'm telling the members of the Park District, if the message can
31. get to them through somebody, and others that this Body ought not
32. to condone the discourtesy of somebody coming here and asking us
33. to approve a loan project or approve an appropriation and then

1. thumb their nose at any member of this Body who feels that he's
2. entitled to have an audience. It's just a shame that it first
3. came to attention yesterday that one of the men that should be
4. here is out of the country. The other one, I think, must be ducking
5. and it's really unfortunate that someone is caught in the middle
6. because of the benefit that it ought to do and...and I...I feel
7. very strongly that...that this must be carried out and that Senator
8. Newhouse is entitled to that audience and that the fellows who
9. have promised me that they will see he gets it, do so.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Further discussion? Senator Johns.

12. SENATOR JOHNS:

13. I'd like to ask a question of the sponsor.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Indicates he will yield. Senator Johns.

16. SENATOR JOHNS:

17. Senator, how many millions of dollars involved in this
18. increase?

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Nedza.

21. SENATOR NEDZA:

22. In the twenties.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Johns.

25. SENATOR JOHNS:

26. Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I could tell you that
27. if Southern Illinois had a package that involved twenty million
28. dollars in benefits to that area and I asked...a Senator from
29. Chicago asked those people to come up here and testify and tell
30. where that money was going, you damn well bet that I would support
31. that endeavor and for people to tell me that these people, Senators
32. should go up there into a public meeting and get the answers is
33. ludicrous. What kind of people are we when we have to go to them

1. when we are giving them money and they are wanting money. Now
2. that's...that's really ridiculous. The erosion of the Senate and
3. House respect continues daily because we don't stand...on our own
4. two feet. For twenty million dollars, you'd have damn near all
5. of Southern Illinois up here and I think it's wrong that these
6. people have been ignored repeatedly in their request for information
7. and the House sponsor is probably one of the best friends I ever
8. had...has supported me and I have supported him in the past and
9. it really tears my...my judgment asunder, but I wanted to report to
10. you that there's a lot at stake here and if this game continues
11. to be played, it's going to wreak havoc with my party.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Further discussion? Senator Washington.

14. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

15. Will the sponsor yield for a question?

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Indicates he will yield. Senator Washington.

18. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

19. Senator Nedza, how...how much of this money is earmarked to
20. go into improvements of Soldier's Field in the City of Chicago?

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Senator Nedza.

23. SENATOR NEDZA:

24. I don't have the specific information in front of me, Senator
25. Washington, but in...earlier in the Session, we had a complete
26. package of which I believe you and all the other Senators involved
27. received which specifically designated the...the dollar amount for
28. all the improvements throughout the entire park district.

29. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

30. But do you have some curbstone figure for the amount that will
31. go into Soldier's Field?

32. SENATOR NEDZA:

33. No, I don't...I do not.

1. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

2. Is it...is it...

3. SENATOR NEDZA:

4. I do not have. Dawn may have it, behind you. I...I do not.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Netsch...oh, Senator Washington. I...I...

7. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

8. I...I want to finish my comment then she can respond. I
9. hope...I want to say to Senator Knuppel that we certainly appre-
10. ciate his good offices. I don't know a better Gentleman...he
11. wants to resolve the matter, but Senator Knuppel, this matter has
12. not been resolved and if Senator Newhouse is stupid enough to
13. go up to lunch in Chicago with these people, he won't have to
14. speak to me anymore I mean I think it's the height of an insult...
15. it's the height of an insult to invite Senator Newhouse to lunch
16. to solve a matter when they have taken the money, after witnesses...not
17. speaking to him for six months. The offer was made to me and I
18. told them to go to hell. They could have come down here and
19. testified as to where this money is going. Now, you are going
20. to vote and the sponsor of the bill can't even tell you where
21. the money is going. I think half of it is going to Soldier's
22. Field. Someone got up here yesterday and said it was going to
23. those parks that have been discriminated in the past, like
24. Washington Park and Douglas Park and so forth...it's not going in
25. there. They have no intention of putting that money in there.
26. They are going to rip off the people of the City of Chicago to
27. improve Soldier's Field so they can attract people like Senator...
28. the Veterans of Foreign War guy, to come into Chicago and go to
29. Soldier's Field. That's what it's for. Let's not kid ourselves
30. about this...and force the people of the City of Chicago to pay
31. the fare, including us on the South Side, who are discriminated
32. against in terms of the parks. That's ludicrous. You know, we
33. appeal to you to go along with us and try to get something out of

1. this, but I don't give a damn whether you do or not. If you don't
2. think enough of your colleagues to insist that anybody who...
3. can we have some order back here? If you don't think enough of
4. your colleagues to insist that they at least get the courtesy of
5. people coming down here and explaining what they are going to do
6. with the taxpayers' money, I think you demean the whole Senate.
7. I'm ashamed to have to beg you for this. We should get it anyway.
8. That's not special dispensation. That's just plain, old, ordinary
9. common sense. I'm not going to forget this. I'm going to keep
10. a very good roll call on this bill.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

13. SENATOR NETSCH:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. According to the newspapers of
15. yesterday, there was a meeting of the Chicago Park District Board
16. and I might point out...it's very interesting that apparently
17. Mr. O'Malley is in the State because I gather he presided at the
18. meeting of the Chicago Park District Board, at which, of
19. course, a strong encouragement for an override of the Governor's
20. Veto on this bill was made and in the course of that meeting, they
21. indicated that of the additional bonding authority...at least
22. eleven million dollars, as reported in the press, would be used
23. for the expansion of the seating capacity at Soldier Field, so as
24. I read it, Senator Washington, in response to your question, the...
25. about half of it would go for the expansion of seating capacity
26. at Soldier Field. Now, I...for my perspective, must go on to point
27. out that there are also several new field houses which are to be
28. improved or constructed upon as a result of this bond issue and
29. finally, they are going to spend some of it for continuing work
30. at the Lincoln Park Zoo. Now, the Lincoln Park Zoo happens to be
31. in my district and it's something of which we are very proud and
32. we always like to see additional good work being done on the Lincoln
33. Park Zoo. I will, nevertheless, not support this bonding issue at

1. the present time, even though I did when the bill went through
2. initially last June...for two reasons. One, I am concerned about
3. the disproportionate amount that is being spent for something
4. that I consider to be largely a private responsibility, but
5. that is a decision to be made, obviously, by the Chicago Park
6. District, but I think the...the other part of it is the one that
7. has now been alluded to by others and I think it is an extremely
8. important issue. There were indications that, indeed, the Chicago
9. Park District has not extended its facilities and services fairly
10. to everyone in the City of Chicago...very, very serious questions
11. raised. The arrogance of those who have refused even to respond
12. to...despite the urging of some of their own colleagues, to
13. respond to those charges is unforgivable and if a park district
14. cannot have better leadership than that, it does not deserve any
15. additional bonding issue right now, even if it costs my Lincoln
16. Park Zoo something in the process.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads.

19. SENATOR RHOADS:

20. Thank you, Mr. President. I'll be brief. I apologize, Senator
21. Nedza, for breaking my promise to you that I would not speak on
22. this bill, but I think one thing that ought to be mentioned that
23. hasn't is the Governor's Veto Message which was a Veto Message
24. which made a great deal of sense. Quoting from that Veto Message,
25. he says very simply, "The ability to increase bonding power without
26. asking the public if they wish to increase their taxes is an un-
27. necessary extension of the park district's power." We are talking
28. about a bond authorization without referendum. I underscore that...
29. without referendum. Some people in a part of the State that is
30. not affected by this...and my district is not affected, might say
31. well, what the heck. If Chicago Legislators want this for their
32. area, who cares. They are only taxing their own people, but I
33. underscore again, without referendum, without going to the people

1. and asking their permission. It's not a principle that we ought
2. to embrace at any time, no matter whether our district is
3. affected or not.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Further discussion? Senator Bruce.

6. SENATOR BRUCE:

7. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I
8. suppose we ought to get about discussing what is in the bill and
9. why we are all in a quandry because I certainly am. The bill
10. allows an increase in the bonding limitation and because of the
11. reduction in the Corporate Personal Property Tax assessment, it
12. is probably very necessary and desirable that that be granted and
13. for that reason, I would like to support it. The funds, I'm
14. not going to get involved in, as Senator Netsch and others have...
15. where are these monies going to be spent, because I serve in the
16. General Assembly in Springfield and through legislation and activity
17. in the City of Chicago and the County of Cook, decisions have
18. been made that those types of allocations of funds are made by
19. a public body called the park board and if they decide to spend
20. that money on the Soldier Field and increase seating there, that's
21. their decision. I may be critical of it, but it's theirs to make
22. and then we will take whatever action we have to take. If we
23. approve of it, that's fine, but there seems to be three kinds of
24. meetings involved here and many of us are in the center and...
25. particularly me. We have the park board meetings, we have the
26. legislative meetings and we have private meetings and it seems to
27. me that where we got started off on the wrong foot is in our leg-
28. islative meetings when we didn't have anyone from the park board
29. with the stature requested by some of our colleagues to appear
30. and talk about what was going to be done in regard to improvements
31. in the park districts in Chicago and because of that we now find
32. ourselves in a quandry about why haven't our colleagues attended
33. another type of meeting and that is park board meetings, because

1. I think that once the Legislature has acted, as a legislator,
2. we should then send the money to the park board and they should
3. meet, in fact, with that board in their public meetings and that
4. has not occurred and finally, through this morning's efforts,
5. I have been involved in trying to set up private meetings, to
6. see whether or not, since there is no...no legislative meetings,
7. no board meetings, could we not have a private meeting and evidently,
8. that is not possible. I am put in a spot where you always want
9. to vote with your friends, but unfortunately, I have friends on
10. both sides of this issue. I don't want to make an error in
1. crippling the park board by not giving them the authority that
2. they need to improve the park district, but there are sufficient
3. concern in my mind, put together by many of my colleagues, that
4. I am going to withhold my vote at this time in hopes that they
5. can come back to this General Assembly and make a compromise that
6. is acceptable to both. We are in the middle. We are certainly
7. in the middle, but the...I guess I have to err on the fact that
8. there should have been a legislative meeting, there should have
9. been meetings of the park board, none of which have occurred.
10. There's wrong on both sides, but I will go with those that think
11. we ought to wait and see why they did not come to the Legislature
12. because that is the Body in which I serve.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Newhouse, for what purpose do you arise?

24. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

25. Mr. President, I rise to a point of personal privilege. I
26. want to clear the record. I have attended park district meetings
27. interminably. I have given up on them. I have met with this
28. Director privately. Nothing has happened. I want to clear the
29. record on that. There have been those meetings. It's been an
30. intransigent operation. I want to make the record clear. Thank
31. you, Mr. President.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Further discussion? Senator Chew.

1. SENATOR CHEW:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. We requested, as been said, that
3. two of the gentlemen from the park district come down to Springfield
4. and explain to us what's this all about. Apparently, the Governor
5. had the good sense to veto the bill. Maybe he vetoed it on the
6. premise that they did not respond as to what was going to happen
7. to the money, so what does he say? He said let the people decide
8. whether the park district ought to have this bonding authority.
9. Now, what we are saying here, if we attempt to override the
10. Governor's Veto, is that we want to raise taxes or the bonding
11. authority of the park district without the people having a say.
12. Well, now that has been going on for a long time, under
13. previous administrations. Chicago Sun Times, which I'm not
14. an admirer of the paper, detailed the problems that the park district
15. was having, in the campaign of the present mayor of the City of
16. Chicago, she castigated the operation of the park board, park
17. district and etc. It's clear that the park district do not intend
18. to, nor have they ever, under this present administration of the
19. park board, decided to put the money where it is needed. Soldier's
20. Field...and that's been a critical point, would be secondary to
21. what parks are all about. There are parks in my community that
22. get nothing, not even attendance. Now, it's been said that it's
23. destructive in some communities where park facilities are put in,
24. but you've got to first put them in for them to be destroyed and
25. up to this point, the park district has done nothing about it.
26. Those of you from downstate could come and view Chicago's Grant
27. Park and you'll say it's the prettiest park in the world, but,
28. unfortunately, that's just the beginning. If you go into other
29. communities, you'll say it's the worst park district in the world.
30. There is nothing wrong with Commander Kelly and Mr. O'Malley
31. coming to Springfield, explaining to those of us that want to know
32. what' going to happen to this money, but the reason they didn't
33. come is because they have grown accustomed to ignoring a certain

1. segment of this Legislature and many other elected officials because
2. they have been operating with a steamroller. In other words, they
3. take the attitude, "I don't have to go and talk to those Legislators
4. because I have enough friends to pass what I want anyway." Well,
5. this may go through. I have no doubt about it, whether it goes
6. through or whether it doesn't, but this ought to tell Mr. Kelly
7. that his rolling is not going to be as easy here again because
8. every day we pick up some support where people that's got common
9. sense enough to know that here's a segment of the Senate and,
10. hopefully, of the House of Representatives, that's saying we don't
11. want to stop your progress, we just want equal distribution of the
12. funds of which you are requesting and that's not asking too much.
13. That's all we are asking. Where is the money going? Is it going
14. to the parks that are needed or is it going to places where it's
15. not needed. Is it going to improve the sights that visitors see
16. when they fly into Chicago or when they take a walk on the lake-
17. front? Yes, that's where it's going or is it going to Washington
18. Park? No, and they have been too arrogant to come down here and
19. explain it and until they do, I'm in opposition to it. We have
20. tried...Frank Savickas has tried, the President of the Senate has
21. tried, but they take the attitude that they don't have to and as
22. long as we keep greasing this, they don't have to and I would ask
23. my colleagues not to pass this bill, let it go to a referendum
24. and let them decide whether they want to give the...park the
25. bonding authority which they are requesting in this bill and then
26. it will be fair. If they want it to go on like that, the voters,
27. so do it. Hell, I have to stand for reelection. Why can't the
28. voters have some say on this? It's only fair. It's the democratic
29. process, Mr. President and I'd ask that we not support this over-
30. ride.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. Further discussion? Senator Nash.

33. SENATOR NASH:

Mr. President, I'd ask that we move the previous question.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Well, there are two other Gentlemen...that...that's in order,
3. but there are two others that had indicated that they wish to
4. speak. Senator Lemke.

5. SENATOR LEMKE:

6. I don't know if people checked where this is, but in my district
7. two of the parks, Garfield Park and Douglas Park, are getting way
8. over five million dollars of improvement. These are inner-city
9. parks and badly needed improvements for inner-city children. So,
10. Mr. Chew and Mr. Washington and Mr. Newhouse, you want to vote
11. against inner-city children, you go ahead, but I'm not. I hope
12. Senator Collins also...because these are in her district
13. and not in mine, but some of my people go there and I think these
14. inner-city parks should be improved.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Further discussion? Senator Savickas.

17. SENATOR SAVICKAS:

18. Yes, Mr. President and members of the Senate, we seem to be
19. under the impression here that the Chicago Park District came down,
20. submitted a bill and without testimony, had it in some manner passed
21. through both Houses of the Legislature and onto the Governor's Desk.
22. What, in reality, has happened is that this bill, like every other
23. bill of this magnitude and importance, was assigned to the committee
24. and in that committee, the representatives of the park district,
25. their lobbyists, their lawyers, came down, presented the testimony
26. and the evidence and a detailed account of where the money was
27. being spent. Not only did they provide this information to the
28. committee members, but they provided it to every Democratic Senator
29. that wanted it and to the Republican Senators that wanted it. I
30. would assume that some people have not read this article and that
31. way do not know what the money is being spent for. I would also
32. assume that to make an issue because the President of the Chicago
33. Park District, President O'Malley, and Superintendent Kelly, did

1. not personally come down, that we are in somewhat...being lax in our
2. interrogation of the bill and the people at the park district. Every
3. day we here in the Senate and the Legislature deal with all the
4. subordinates of State government. The Governor does not personally
5. appear for his budget, as many times Senator...I should say
6. Secretary of State Dixon does not come in, he sends someone in.
7. These are many instances that the top man does not appear, that
8. their subordinates are expert and able to detail where the money
9. is going and how it is being spent and that is what happened in
10. this case. Some of our Senators may not have remembered it, since it
11. was so long ago, of the testimony and the people that appeared.
12. I would just plead that case and suggest that this bill had
13. thorough hearings in both...both Houses of the committees and in
14. both Houses of the full membership. I would suggest and hope
15. that you give the ability and the authority to the park district
16. to provide the monies and the technical expertise to repair all
17. the parks that were highlighted in the Sun Times articles that
18. were destroyed, help them rehabilitate and refurbish these park
19. districts so that the people can enjoy them. Help us provide
20. those services for the citizenry of Chicago that this money can
21. provide. I solicit your favorable vote.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Any further discussion? Senator Washington for the second
24. time.

25. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

26. Very briefly, Mr...

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Yes, Senator D'Arco, for what purpose do you arise?

29. SENATOR D'ARCO:

30. The previous question was moved by Senator Nash.

31. PRESIDENT:

32. That is correct.

33. SENATOR D'ARCO:

1. You indicated that there were two more speakers...

2. PRESIDENT:

3. That is correct and I ask...I did so indicate and I ask
4. Senator Nash to withhold that and he was gracious enough to do
5. that. I...

6. SENATOR D'ARCO:

7. You're not going to change anybody's mind on this issue.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Washington. Well, Senator...Newhouse, for what
10. purpose do you arise?

11. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

12. This is the kind of disrespect we were talking about,
13. it's still an issue.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Senator Washington.

16. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

17. That form of disrespect doesn't bother me, Senator Newhouse.
18. Closed-mind disrespect has been with us for centuries. It will
19. be with us forever, so we'll thrust on, notwithstanding. No,
20. they have not answered the allegations. Over a year ago, the
21. Reporter Magazine in Chicago delineated very carefully the
22. entire budget process of the Park district, compared park by
23. park throughout the entire city and demonstrated that there was
24. a categorical, almost conspiratorial, successful attempt to
25. deprive inner-city parks of facilities, leisure time activities,
26. arts and crafts, basketball courts, swimming pools, field houses
27. and the whole panorama of leisure time activity. At that time,
28. Mr. O'Malley was asked to answer and Mr. Kelly was asked to
29. answer. They did not answer. I wrote both Gentlemen and asked
30. them, please, to respond to the Reporter Magazine. They have
31. not responded to this date. The information they sent down here
32. did not address itself to that question at all. None of the
33. information they sent us dealt with that question at all. When

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Yes, Senator D'Arco.

3. SENATOR D'ARCO:

4. I'm interested in respecting my fellow Senators like any-
5. body else, and Harold I've never been disrespectful to you or
6. to any other member of this Body, and for you to say that my
7. remark indicated a form of disrespect to you and your people
8. that has been going on for centuries, is a form of disrespect
9. to me as one of your fellow colleagues in this Senate
10. treated you as a fellow colleague on every other situation
11. and never indicated any form of disrespect for you.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. All right, Senator Nedza may close the debate.

14. SENATOR NEDZA:

15. I move for a most favorable roll call to override the veto.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. All right, the question is, shall House Bill 2192 pass the
18. veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those
19. in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
20. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
21. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
22. question, the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 15. 1 Voting Present.
23. House Bill 2192, having received the required three-fifths
24. vote is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the
25. contrary notwithstanding. Senator Washington for what purpose
26. do you arise? All right, Senator Washington has requested a
27. verification, Will the Senators please be in their seats. Mr.
28. Secretary read the affirmative votes.

29. SECRETARY:

30. The following voted in the affirmative: Becker, Berman,
31. Carroll, Coffey, D'Arco, Daley, Davidson, De Angelis, Demuzio,
32. Egan, Geo-Karis, Gitz, Graham, Grotberg, Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome
33. Joyce, Keats, Knuppel, Lemke, Merlo, Mitchler, Moore, Nash, Nedza,
Nega, Nimrod, Ozinga, Regner, Rupp, Sangmeister, Savickas, Schaffer,

1. Shapiro, Sommer, Vadalabene, Weaver, Mr. President.

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Here. Senator Washington. All right, the roll has been
4. verified, on that question there are 37 Ayes, 15 Nays, 1
5. Present, and House Bill 2192, having received the required
6. three-fifths vote is declared passed, the veto of the
7. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 2427, Senator
8. Sommer...oh, Senator Berman, I beg your pardon, that's the
9. motion. On the Order of Consideration Postponed, is House
10. Bill 2427. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. I move that the item on page 20, line 35, and page 21,
13. lines 1 through 6 of House Bill 2427 Do Pass, the Item
14. Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed,
15. Senator Berman.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Senator Berman.

18. SENATOR BERMAN:

19. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
20. Senate. This is the motion to override the Governor's Veto of
21. the ten million dollar authorization for School Construction
22. Bond Funds for the purpose of building special education facilities
23. by our public schools throughout the State of Illinois. Yesterday
24. we overrode a Governor's Veto of House Bill 2204, which was the
25. substantive bill that allows our public schools to construct and
26. improve these facilities for our handicapped children. The Governor
27. vetoed the authorization, because the substantive bill was vetoed,
28. the substantive bill has now been overridden, and I think that
29. if we're going to be consistent that we should allow the funds
30. through school construction bonds to be available for the construction
31. of these facilities. The problem that we...that exists now, is that
32. schools are required under Federal and State law to provide services
33. to our handicapped children, without these funds we have to keep
sending our children who are severely handicapped out of the State,

1. as far away as Maine, and California and Texas, with these
2. funds hopefully we'll be able to have the facilities to render
3. services in the State of Illinois for these children. I ask
4. for your Aye vote for the School Construction Bond Authorization
5. under House Bill 4...2427.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Is there any discussion? Senator Walsh.

8. SENATOR WALSH:

9. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Just to remind
10. the Gentleman, the substantive bill was overridden, the substantive
11. veto was overridden, I don't think that was...that was good
12. judgment on our part, we do have a second chance here if the
13. authorization veto is not overridden we will address ourselves to
14. this issue again next year. This is ten million dollars of
15. additional bonding authority, I don't think it's warranted,
16. and I would urge a No vote, the Governor's veto should be sus-
17. tained on this matter, as it should have been sustained yesterday
18. on the substantive bill. I urge a No vote.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Further discussion? Senator Gitz.

21. SENATOR GITZ:

22. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I rise in
23. support of this motion. I make this motion in the sense that
24. I have been one of the people that has been very careful and
25. often times...opposed appropriations that have been over the
26. Governor's level. I would remind the Body that in many occasions
27. we have mandated programs, we have mandated very special
28. facilities, accesibility standards for special education,
29. I don't think that there's probably a person in this Body
30. who would at one time or another, hasn't sworn their solemon rhe-
31. torical allegiance to the needs of the handicapped and special
32. education. Now, the fact is, that we have had legislation on
33. the books through a very convoluted formula, a thousand dollars
per professional worker, and for whatever his reasons for

1. Governor did not see fit to fund for the last two years.
2. Now, this is not legislation that mandates an increase
3. in a bond authorization level, as a matter of fact, those
4. of you who are familiar...those of you who are familiar
5. with the construction bond program, School Construction
6. Bond Program are aware that we have not had any debt service
7. grants recently. This takes a small step forward towards
8. meeting our obligations, to provide the matching funds for
9. local districts who have already put aside their money, and
10. who are unable to move forward. Now, I do not have such
11. facilities in my district, and Senator Joyce does, as
12. does Minority Leader Ryan, Senator Sommer has a facility
13. in their districts, and I think if we're going to put
14. legislation on the books which we have done than we ought
15. to follow through with those commitments, and start matching
16. up that money so that these programs and facilities can move
17. forward.

18. PRESIDENT:

19. Further discussion? Senator Berman may close the debate.

20. SENATOR BERMAN:

21. Thank you, Mr. President. Again taking off on what
22. Senator Gitz pointed out, the school districts have put
23. aside money, this is the State's commitment to match those
24. funds for the construction of facilities in Illinois for
25. handicapped children. I urge an Aye vote for the override.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. The question is, shall the item on page 20, line 35,
28. and page 21, lines 1 to 6, of House Bill 2427 pass, the
29. Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
30. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
31. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
32. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
33. On that question, the Ayes are 41, the Nays are 8. None

11-1-79

1. Voting Present. The item on page 20, line 35, and page 21,
2. lines 1 through 6, of House Bill 2427, having received the
3. required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the Item
4. Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. On
5. the Order of Consideration Postponed is House Bill 2649.
6. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. I move that the item on page 27, line 5, of House Bill
9. 2649 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary
10. notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Berman.

13. SENATOR BERMAN:

14. Thank you, Mr. President. What's involved here is five
15. hundred and eighty-eight thousand dollars, in tax equivalent
16. matching funds. What that means is that there are areas in
17. the State in particular in this line item, in Will County,
18. where the school district is short money, because of the
19. existence of a State facility, this is not a new program
20. it's been a classical program that we have consistently
21. funded by the State in recognition that when there's a
22. large State facility, that the local school district doesn't
23. get real estate taxes, and we have funded these programs...
24. year in and year out. I don't know what the reasoning was
25. behind the Governor's Veto of this Line Item, but it is certainly
26. not in keeping with our traditional approach of recognizing
27. that we're short-circuiting the funding of local school districts
28. when we have a large State facility. I would want to recognize
29. if the Chair please, Senator Sangmeister, in whose district
30. this particular facility is located for a further explanation,
31. but I don't think that the Governor's Veto of this Line Item
32. was consistent with past policy or with sound recognition of
33. the efforts of local school taxpayers, and local school programs.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Sangmeister.

3. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

4. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
5. As you know this got placed on Postponed Consideration, I
6. couldn't understand why either, I do make a personal plead
7. to you on behalf of a school district in my legislative
8. district, as Senator Graham said earlier, he wanted a personal
9. favor, I was...helped him, I hope that, Senator maybe you could
10. take a look on this, this may be close we need some help here.
11. This is as fair a bill as you could ask for. This is being
12. handled on a by-partisan basis, Representative Jack Davis has
13. worked very hard to put this together. It's a tax equivalent
14. grant, I want you to understand that the school district we
15. are talking about is one-half of its total district, is occupied
16. by Stateville Penitentiary, can you imagine the loss to a
17. school district where one-half of your district is covered
18. by a State institution. I can't understand the Governor's
19. position either, because he has signed legislation that
20. extended the tax equivalent grants for the years 1981 and
21. '82. It is certainly inconsistent to do that, and now
22. turn around and say that these funds should not be forthcoming,
23. and when you take a look at the fact that this school district
24. loses four hundred thousand in distributive aid, under the
25. resource equalizer we're really only talking about a hundred and
26. seventy thousand dollars. This is a school district that
27. desperately needs this kind of money, the prison people who
28. are employed out there have about forty or fifty of their
29. own children that live on the reservation out there that
30. are going to this school district. There's every single
31. good reason to support this legislation, and I ask for your
32. favorable vote.

33. PRESIDENT:

Further discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

1. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

2. Well, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3. Senate. I think...I...I did not vote for it originally
4. but I am going to vote for this, because the difference
5. is not the half a million dollars, it's about a hundred
6. and seventy thousand, and since it is that important, and
7. most of their district is covered by Stateville Penitentiary,
8. from which hardly any taxes are going to be recovered, I
9. certainly urge a favorable vote.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

12. SENATOR MITCHLER:

13. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Just briefly,
14. I would ask for support of Senator Sangmeister's motion, because
15. what he says is true. My 39th district, abutts the 42nd district
16. that he represents, and they've informed me of the problems and
17. what Senator Sangmeister says is true, and I would ask for a
18. favorable vote on his motion.

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Further discussion? Senator Berman do you wish to close?
21. If not, the question is, shall the item on page 27, line 5,
22. of House Bill 2649 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary
23. notwithstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed
24. will vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
25. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
26. the Ayes are 48, the Nays are 3. None Voting Present. The
27. item on page 27, line 5, of House Bill 2649, having received
28. the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the Item
29. Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 2719,
30. Senator Lemke. The motion has been withdrawn. Back on the
31. regular order, the bottom of page 6, on the Override Specific
32. Recommendations for Change, is a motion filed with respect to
33. House Bill 716. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

1. SECRETARY:

2. I move that House Bill 716 Do Pass, the Specific Recommendations
3. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
4. Bruce.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Senator Bruce.

7. SENATOR BRUCE:

8. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. House
9. Bill 716, as amended by the Governor, deals really only with one
10. topic, in the gubernatorial veto, and that is the question of
11. consolidation of school board...school districts. About three
12. years ago, Senator Shapiro, Senator Regner, and Senator Glass,
13. introduced legislation which we passed overwhelming in this
14. Body, allowing for unit districts to consolidate only in
15. whole areas, requiring approval of both consolidating districts.
16. Now, this bill has gotten confused yesterday by one of the
17. members stating that a large district under this legislation
18. could overwhelmingly consolidate with a small district. The
19. problem is they did not read the whole School Code, because when
20. we passed that package of bills for consolidated school districts,
21. we also said that it has to be a majority vote by members in
22. both of the school districts, not just one, not the combined
23. total of vote, but it must be by majority vote in each of the
24. two districts that wish to combine. This bill merely says
25. that for consolidated school districts we are going to treat
26. them in an identical form that we did three years ago for unit
27. districts, and that they have to consolidate in total units.
28. That is if there is a district A, and a district B, district
29. B cannot come along steal part of district A, and then say
30. you're stuck with the rest of it, you don't get a chance to vote
31. when you only de-annex portions, it's done simply by the Board
32. of School Trustee's and the Regional Superintendent. When you con-
33. solidate whole districts this would allow voters to decide
whether or not they want to consolidate. It has presented a

1. problem, when we allowed unit districts to do this, but
2. we're silent about consolidated school districts. It
3. seems unfair, we ought to have all the districts consolidated
4. and unit being able to consolidate in the same fashion. I'd
5. ask for your favorable consideration.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Further discussion? Senator Sangmeister.

8. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

9. Yes, thank you, Mr. President. Once again I rise in
10. support of this motion also. The way the...I think Senator
11. Bruce has made an adequate explanation, I might further
12. add to you that if you don't change this you are allowing
13. school districts to...absolutely raid an existing school
14. district's assessed evaluation, and this is what is happening.
15. I know of an area in my own district whereby there are some seventy
16. or eighty million dollars worth of assessed evaluation is
17. being just stolen away, and the people residing in that
18. district have no vote on it. It's unfair, as Senator Bruce
19. has indicated this matter was corrected with legislation in
20. 1977, and indicated the sponsors. All we're asking for
21. is the same thing for consolidated community districts that
22. we gave to unit districts, what's fair is one, is certainly
23. fair for the other, and urge your Aye vote.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. Further discussion? Senator McMillan.

26. SENATOR MCMILLAN:

27. Question of the sponsor.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Indicates he'll yield. Senator McMillan.

30. SENATOR MCMILLAN:

31. I think the bill, even though it's...as explained now
32. is different from the problems I saw in it a couple of days
33. ago. I still see one problem that I think we need to at least
indicate that the sponsor understands. I'm opposed to one unit

1. being able...or one district being able to grab the assessed
2. evaluation, but what happens in the case of two high school
3. districts that but up against each other, and they happen
4. to have crossing both lines a grade school district, and the
5. people in the grade school district finally decide they like
6. to to do some reasonable consolidation in order that the kids
7. will go to the same high school that they go to the grade
8. school and not be split into two different communities, does
9. this bill prevent that kind of reasonable effort to...to
10. settle some local school district boundries? What you're
11. trying to do is very sound, I support it strongly, but are
12. you not creating another kind of monster to go with it?

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Bruce.

15. SENATOR BRUCE:

16. Well, Senator you asked me that question a couple of
17. minutes ago, or maybe twenty minutes ago, and I have tried
18. to find out...the answer to that question of where a K
19. through 8 districts fits over a line which has two high school
20. districts, and the up shot of the answer that I have received
21. is no one can really tell. I...I wish I could give you a
22. definite answer. It is a very complicated situation, I don't
23. think it...this bill affects that situation, but I frankly don't
24. know how they do it, and I am...I am...I am afraid the Statute
25. the way it reads, is that K through 8 district must vote to
26. go with one or the other of the high school districts. That's
27. the way the present Statute is, I think, and I don't think we
28. can divide it the way you would like to, and part go one place,
29. and part go the other, but I certainly...this bill does not
30. affect it, since we're talking about consolidated school districts,
31. and so...but it certainly doesn't answer your question either.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Further discussion? Senator Philip.

1. SENATOR PHILIP:

2. Would the sponsor yield for a question?

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Philip.

5. SENATOR PHILIP:

6. Has this bill still got the provision in it which allows
7. school teachers, I guess to run for the General Assembly, and
8. still receive their wages, et cetera? What exactly does it
9. do in that area?

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Bruce.

12. SENATOR BRUCE:

13. Well, the Governor's Veto Message indicates he was going
14. to make a corrective change, and then there is nothing in his
15. Veto Message that changes that part of the section that deals
16. with teacher, General Assembly members. As you know the
17. Attorney General's opinions over this summer have clarified the
18. situation a great deal on whether or not when we say a leave, whether
19. a teacher has to take a whole leave or can leave for certain
20. days, the A.G.'s opinion says that you can notify the school
21. board if you want to leave for two days, that is one leave, if
22. you want to leave the next week, that's another leave. All this
23. bill says is...is basically along the A.G. lines, and that is that
24. they can request leaves from their school boards and receive them
25. if they are General Assembly members. They do not receive com-
26. pensation from both the school board and the General Assembly at
27. the same time.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Philip.

30. SENATOR PHILIP:

31. Thank you, Mr. President. That's...that's kind of interesting
32. and I have as you know, my running mate is a school teacher, and
33. of course he receives permission from that school board to serve
here down at the General Assembly. To my knowledge that has created

1. no problems in our district and in the General Assembly, and
2. if they can do it now, and they are doing it now, why
3. is it necessary to clutter up the Statutes, and put something
4. in the Statutes, and I don't see any justification for it, and
5. I think we all ought to look at this very closely.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. Further discussion? Senator Walsh.

8. SENATOR WALSH:

9. Mr. President, and members of the Senate. Further on the
10. point raised by Senator Philip. I think the problem is that
11. in the case of Representative Hoffman he receives permission
12. to be in Springfield when the Legislature is in Session, but
13. as I understand this bill, and from reading the Governor's
14. Veto Message, the school district would be required...would
15. be required to grant a leave of absence to serve in the General
16. Assembly without loss of any employment benefits. Now, if
17. this concept of...of full time...Legislators is applied, a
18. teacher would be...would be given permission to be in Springfield
19. during the term of his...that he serves in the General Assembly,
20. he might be down here for two years, he might be down here for
21. twenty years, and then the school district would be required
22. to take him back. He would not lose any of his...of his
23. benefits, and he would be vested in both the teachers pension,
24. and the General Assembly pension by virtue of this...of this
25. enactment. I think it's a bad proposal, I think we should
26. sustain the Governor's Veto by voting No on this motion.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Any further discussion? Senator Bruce may close the debate.

29. SENATOR BRUCE:

30. Well, the Governor's already signed that portion of the
31. bill that deals with leaves for school teachers, and Senator
32. Walsh, that is presently the Statute whether or not this is
33. enacted at all, some ten years ago we enacted legislation which
said school boards have to give leave to members of the General

1. Assembly. The problem presented itself, is that some school
2. boards said leave under the Statute, meant leave for a week,
3. so if Representative Jones wanted to come down here, and said
4. I'll be gone Wednesday and Thursday, they would say fine you've
5. got to take Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday off.
6. Three bills were introduced, I got one of them, over
7. the Summer the Attorney General issued an opinion, said that
8. Statute is very clear that leave is determined solely by the
9. school teacher, if he wants to leave for a day, he leaves for
10. a day. That opinion has now been issued and as to my knowledge
11. every school district in the State of Illinois is following it.
12. Now, if you want to restrict it, pass this bill, because this
13. bill goes...restricts the school teacher to times we are in Session,
14. and when there are committees meeting in Springfield, otherwise
15. the Attorney General's opinion says the leave can be for anything.
16. At the suggestion of Senator Maitland and others, there was
17. tightening language, which I thought improved it. Now, to the
18. question of consolidation, I...I just want to reemphasize what
19. we are saying, is that you have to consolidate whole districts
20. and not parts of districts. You cannot gut a district, take the
21. rich portion out, and leave the voters without a chance to vote,
22. it's exactly what we do already for unit districts.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. All right, the question is, shall House Bill 716 pass
5. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary
6. notwithstanding. Those...Senator Ozinga for what purpose do
7. you arise?

8. SENATOR OZINGA:

9. Parliamentary question.

0. PRESIDENT:

1. Yes, Sir.

2. SENATOR OZINGA:

3. This bill has been amendatorily vetoed, right?

1. PRESIDENT:
2. That is...
3. SENATOR OZINGA:
4. A recommendation of the Governor.
5. PRESIDENT:
6. Correct.
7. SENATOR OZINGA:
8. Okay, now, if we do not approve the recommendation, what
9. happens to the rest of the bill?
10. PRESIDENT:
11. The bill...the proposal contained in this bill, frankly
12. is dead.
13. SENATOR OZINGA:
14. Okay, that means that the whole bill goes down the drain,
15. right?
16. PRESIDENT:
17. That is correct.
18. SENATOR OZINGA:
19. Okay.
20. PRESIDENT:
21. All right, the question is, shall House Bill 716 pass the
22. Specific Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
23. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay.
24. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
25. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
26. question, the Ayes are 37, the Nays are 13. 1 Voting Present.
27. House Bill 716, having received the required three-fifths vote
28. is declared passed, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
29. to the contrary notwithstanding. Yes, Senator Walsh for what
30. purpose do you arise?
31. SENATOR WALSH:
32. I request permission to verify that roll call.
33. PRESIDENT:

1. That request is in order. Senator Walsh has requested
2. a verification. Will the members please be in their seats.
3. Mr. Secretary, read the affirmative votes.
4. SECRETARY:
5. Berman, Bloom, Bowers, Bruce, Buzbee, Carroll, Chew, Coffey,
6. Collins, D'Arco, Daley, Demuzio, Egan, Gitz, Hall, Johns,
7. Jeremiah Joyce, Jerome Joyce, Keats, Knuppel, Lemke, Maitland,
8. Martin, McLendon, Merlo, Nash, Nedza, Nega, Net~~ch~~, Newhouse,
9. Rhoads, Rupp, Sangmeister, Savickas, Vadalabene, Washington,
10. Wooten.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. Senator Walsh.
13. SENATOR WALSH:
14. Senator Collins?
15. PRESIDENT:
16. Senator Collins on the Floor? Senator Collins on the Floor?
17. Strike Senator Collins from the roll call.
18. SENATOR WALSH:
19. Is Senator Merlo?
20. PRESIDENT:
21. Is Senator Merlo on the Floor? Senator Merlo is on the
22. Floor.
23. SENATOR WALSH:
24. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.
25. PRESIDENT:
26. Senator Joyce on the Floor? Senator Joyce on the Floor?
27. Strike his name from the roll.
28. SENATOR WALSH:
29. Is Senator Egan?
30. PRESIDENT:
31. Is Senator Egan on the Floor? Senator Egan on the Floor?
32. Strike his name from the roll. All right, the roll has been
33. verified. The Ayes are 34, the Nays are 13. 1 Voting Present.
The motion fails. Yes, Senator Bruce.

1. SENATOR BRUCE:

2. I...I just rise on a point of personal privilege. I held
3. this bill at the request of many members, and it is certainly
4. within the right of every member to question the presence of
5. any person here. Three of the members whose names have been
6. stricken off are in this building, they asked to vote and left
7. to catch a plane, and I don't know what to do about it, but
8. I just...apprise you of the fact that having held this bill all
9. that time, to be verified and have those people that I know
10. where here and left, leaves me a little angry that we have to
11. do that on the last bill, when I held at the request of other
12. people, thank you.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator...Senator Walsh.

15. SENATOR WALSH:

16. Apparently those remarks are directed at me, Mr. President,
17. and I don't want to be here anymore than anybody else, but the
18. Gentleman could have called his bill, he didn't, I don't know
19. where those people are, they're not as it turns out...they're
20. members of his party, maybe...maybe they left as a result of the
21. little dispute that went on just recently. If you're directing
22. that...that suggestion that I'm acting out of order, Senator
23. Bruce, because I requested that those who are recorded be here,
24. I take issue with you. There should be no ghost voting, maybe
25. we ought to have...have little cards to punch in to have our
26. vote recorded. I'm sorry if you fellows aren't getting along
27. but that's tough luck.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Bruce.

30. SENATOR BRUCE:

31. Senator Walsh, in fact, I said in my opening comments, that
32. it was totally within your right to do that, and the Gentlemen
33. who are stricken off I spoke to about this bill after whatever
little controversy you might think we had over here, and we are

1. unified, but they left, and...and I held that bill at the
2. request of members on your side, so fine it's just I think
3. we ought to sometimes extend additional courtesies, I may have
4. spoken in haste, but this is our last day, farewell have a
5. happy weekend.

6. PRESIDENT:

7. All right, if I can have the attention of the membership
8. there has been a schedule passed out I am told, there is one
9. change that has been indicated that the Committee on Executive
10. will meet at 1:00 o'clock on Tuesday. There are certain bills
11. still coming from the House, there are bills on the Calendar.
12. Gentlemen, we will probably have to stand at ease to wait for the
13. House Messages. I know you have a motion Senator Regner. So,
14. I'm going to request of Senator Shapiro that when we go to the
15. Special Session we will just recess the Regular Session and keep
16. it open until we get the House Messages, what remains for us
17. to do today in both Sessions is relatively of a perfunctory
18. nature. Senator Bruce. Let's go to the order of page 4 on
19. the Calendar, the Order of House Bills 1st reading. Senator
20. Bruce.

21. SENATOR BRUCE:

22. On the Order of House Bills 1st reading, I would move to
23. suspend the rules for the reading of House Bills 2795 and 2813,
24. and that they be referred to the Committee on Assignment of Bills.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying
27. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. On the
28. Order of House Bills 1st reading...yes, Senator Bruce.

29. SENATOR BRUCE:

30. Yes, I wonder, Mr. President, also if we might be able
31. to waive the Six Day Notice rule on all bills in committee, so
32. that they might be posted and heard next week?

33. PRESIDENT:

You've heard the motion. Is leave granted? We have according

1. to the schedule a number of committees scheduled, the committee
2. Chairmen have informed me that notices are going out today,
3. and it just requires that we waive the six...full Six Day
4. Posting Notice, but notices will go out today. Is leave
5. granted? Leave is granted. So ordered. House Bills 1st
6. reading. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

7. SECRETARY:

8. House Bill 2795, Senator Carroll is the Senate sponsor.

9. (Secretary reads title of bill)

10. 1st reading of the bill.

11. House Bill 2813, Senator Philip is the Senate sponsor.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 1st reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Resolutions.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Senate Joint Resolution No. 75, offered by Senator Bruce.

18. (Secretary reads SJR No. 75.)

19. PRESIDENT:

20. Senator Bruce.

21. SENATOR BRUCE:

22. I move the adoption of the resolution.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Bruce has moved to suspend the rules for the
25. immediate consideration and adoption of Senate Joint Resolution
26. 75, the Adjournment Resolution, which calls for us to return
27. to Springfield on Tuesday, November 6, at the hour of 9:00 a.m.
28. Any discussion? Senator Ozinga.

29. SENATOR OZINGA:

30. Mr. President. Just as a matter of clarification, I know
31. and I've talked to you about it, but just to clarify the situation
32. it says 9:00 a.m., and then looking down at the bottom of the
33. schedule, it says subject to call of the Chair. I would assume
that anybody that is not on a committee as long as they're here

1. sometime between the hour 9:00 and 5:00, which is the last
2. committee, that is not on a specific committee, would not
3. have to be here until such time other than their own personal
4. reasons.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Your assumption is correct.

7. SENATOR OZINGA:

8. Okay, secondly I believe just a few minutes ago you said
9. a possibility of the Executive Committee meeting on Tuesday
10. at 1:00 o'clock, did you not mean Wednesday at 1:00 o'clock.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. No, I meant Tuesday, at 1:00 o'clock.

13. SENATOR OZINGA:

14. Well, if you'll notice on your schedule, there is Finance
15. meeting, and Revenue meeting at that particular hour.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Well, that's correct, and there will, in fact, be a one
18. or two member conflict, it's just unavoidable. The reason for
19. the setting of all the committees on Tuesday, was to afford
20. the committees an opportunity to deliberate and report back
21. to the Senate, so that if the...if the bills are reported out
22. favorably they will appear on the Calendar on Wednesday, on the
23. Order of 2nd reading, at which time they can be moved to 3rd,
24. and hopefully finalized on Thursday.

25. SENATOR OZINGA:

26. The only reason I raise that at all, is because I thought
27. there was a substantial number of the Executive Committee...
28. members that are on both Finance or Revenue.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. There are a couple of conflicts, but it's not a substantial
31. number, and I think when you find out what's in there you can
32. adjust accordingly. Senator Netsch.

33. SENATOR NETSCH:

1. With the permission of the principal existing Senate
2. sponsor of House Bill, I'm looking for the number, I think
3. it's 2794, I would like to be added as a hyphenated co-
4. sponsor, with Senator Schaffer.

5. PRESIDENT:

6. All right, you've heard the motion. Is leave granted?
7. Leave is granted. All right, the question before us is, the adoption
8. of Senate Joint Resolution 75. All in favor signify by saying
9. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted.
10. Resolutions. There has been distributed a Resolutions Consent
11. Calendar, and a Supplemental Resolutions Consent Calendar. Mr.
12. Secretary, has any objections been filed.

13. SECRETARY:

14. No objections have been filed.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. All right, if not, Senator Bruce moves the adoption of
17. Senate Resolutions 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302,
18. 303, 304, 305, 306, and 307, any discussion? If not, all
19. in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have
20. it. The resolutions are adopted. Senator Regner has been
21. patiently waiting for his motion...Motions in Writing, with
22. leave of the Body we'll go to that order. Motions in
23. Writing. Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. Pursuant to Rule 10, I move to discharge Local Government
26. Committee from further consideration of House Bill 1179, and
27. that it be rereferred to the Committee on Executive. Signed,
28. Senator Regner.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Senator Regner.

31. SENATOR REGNER:

32. So moved.

33. PRESIDENT:

The motion is, to discharge the the Committee on Local

1. Government from further consideration of House Bill 1179,
2. and that it be rereferred to the Committee on Executive.
3. All in favor signify by saying Aye. All opposed. The
4. Ayes have it. So ordered.

5. SENATOR REGNER:

6. Mr. President.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Yes, Senator Regner.

9. SENATOR REGNER:

10. One further thing, Senator Grotberg is shown as the
11. chief Senate sponsor of that bill, and we would like permission
12. to change that to Regner-Grotberg.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. All right, you've heard the request. Is leave granted?
15. Leave is granted. On the Order of Motions, Senator Bruce.

16. SENATOR BRUCE:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. HJR
18. 67 was placed in the Senate Executive Committee, I have spoken
19. with Chairman Senator Wooten, he does not object to having
20. that bill...or that resolution discharged, and having it con-
21. sidered today. It deals with urging the Federal Reserve Board
22. to keep interest rates as low as possible.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. All right, Senator Bruce has moved to discharge the Committee
25. on Executive from further consideration from House Joint
26. Resolution 67 for the purpose of its immediate consideration.
27. Is there any discussion? If not, all in favor signify by saying
28. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. The resolution is dis-
29. charged. Senator Bruce now moves the adoption of House Joint
30. Resolution 67. All in faovr signify by saying Aye. All opposed.
31. The Ayes have it. The resolution is adopted. Senator Netsch
32. for what purpose do you arise?

33. SENATOR NETSCH:

1. An announcement if I might, Mr. President. On the
2. schedule for next week there is not shown but should be
3. added, at 4:00 p.m. on Wednesday, November 7th, a meeting
4. of the Title 20 DCFS Investigating Committee that also
5. had met yesterday, we did not quite conclude our deliberations
6. and hearings, we will meet at that time in room 400, and I
7. will send a notice out to the individual members thereof,
8. thank you.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. All right, any further business to come before the Regular
11. Session? Senator Shapiro, we have not yet received the House
12. Messages on those other bills, so perhaps we'll just recess
13. until later this afternoon. All right, with leave of the
14. Body, we will stand in recess until the hour of 4:00 o'clock,
15. in the Regular Session.

16. RECESS

17. AFTER RECESS

18. PRESIDENT:

19. The hour of four having arrived the Senate will come to
20. order. Messages from the House.

21. SECRETARY:

22. A Message from the House, from Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.

23. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the
24. House of Representatives passed the bills with the following
25. titles, in the passage in which I am instructed to ask the
26. concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

27. House Bill 2811 and 2818.

28. PRESIDENT:

29. Senator Davidson.

30. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

31. Mr. President, I move for suspension of the rules and
32. the bills just read, be read a first time and be referred to
33. ...Committee on Assignment of Bills.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. You've heard the motion. All in favor signify by saying
3. Aye. All opposed. The Ayes have it. So ordered. House
4. Bills 1st reading, Mr. Secretary.

5. SECRETARY:

6. House Bill 21...2811, Senators Shapiro and Rock, are the Senate
7. sponsors.

8. (Secretary reads title of bill)

9. 1st reading of the bill.

10. House Bill 2818, Senators Rock and Shapiro are the Senate
11. sponsors.

12. (Secretary reads title of bill)

13. 1st reading of the bill.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Committee Reports.

16. SECRETARY:

17. Senator Davidson, Vice-chairman of the Committee on Assignment
18. of Bills, reports the following House Bills to committee: House
19. Bill 2795, Appropriations I, House Bill 2813, Elections and Re-
20. apportionment, House Bill 2811 and 2818, Finance and Credit Regula-
21. tions. That was Senator Daley as Vice-chairman of the Assignment
22. of Bills Committee.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. All right, any further business to come before the Senate?
25. If not, Senator Davidson moves that the Senate stand adjourned
26. until Tuesday, November 6th, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. The
27. Senate stands adjourned.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.