

81st GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REGULAR SESSION

OCTOBER 31, 1979

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

2. The hour of eleven o'clock having arrived, the Senate is
3. now in Session. The Prayer this morning by Rabbi Barry A. Marks
4. of Temple Israel in Springfield. Rabbi.

5. RABBI MARKS:

6. (Prayer given by Rabbi Marks)

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

8. Reading of the Journal. Senator Johns.

9. SENATOR JOHNS:

10. Thank you, Mr. President Carroll. I move that reading
11. and approval of the Journals of Tuesday, October the 16th, Wednesday,
12. October the 17th, Thursday, October the 18th and Tuesday, October
13. the 30th, in the year 1979 be postponed pending arrival of the
14. printed Journal.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

16. Senator Rhoads seconds the motion. You've heard the motion,
17. all those in favor indicate by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The
18. motion is adopted. Committee Reports.

19. SECRETARY:

20. Senator...Senator Wooten, Chairman of...Executive Committee,
21. reports out the following resolutions: Senate Joint Resolutions
22. 1, 12, 16 and 24, recommends Do Adopt - Senate Joint Resolution
23. 25 and 2...and 56, recommends Do Adopt as Amended - And Senate
24. Joint Resolution 73 recommends Do Not Adopt.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

26. Messages from the House.

27. SECRETARY:

28. Message...excuse me...A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien,
29. Clerk.

30. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate that
31. the House of Representatives has passed the following...House Joint
32. Resolution Constitutional Amendment, in the passage of which I am
33. instructed to ask the concurrence of the Senate, to-wit:

34. House Joint Resolution Constitutional Amendment No. 13.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

2. Executive. Do we have leave to go to the Order of Resolutions?

3. Leave having been granted, resolutions.

4. SECRETARY:

5. Senate Resolution 301 offered by Senators McLendon, Rock and

6. all members. It's congratulatory. And...

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

8. One moment. For what purpose does Senator Mitchler arise

9. at Senator Netsch's...

10. SENATOR MITCHLER:

11. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The

12. Secretary does have on...

13. SECRETARY:

14. Senate...

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

16. Would you hold that a moment.

17. SECRETARY:

18. ...Senate Joint Resolution 74 offered by Senator Mitchler

19. and all Senators and it's commendatory.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

21. Senator Mitchler at Senator Netsch's...

22. SENATOR MITCHLER:

23. Mr. President and members of the Senate. This Senate Joint

24. Resolution is the annual resolution that is presented to the

25. Governor to proclaim Illinois Family Week for the week in which

26. Thanksgiving falls. It's a Joint Resolution. I would ask for

27. suspension of the rules, immediate consideration and adoption of

28. the resolution so they can be sent to the House and acted upon

29. immediately by the House. Thank you.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR CARROLL)

31. Senator Mitchler has moved for the suspension of the

32. appropriate rules for the immediate consideration and adoption

33. of Joint Resolution 74. Is there leave to suspend the rules and

1. go to that order? Leave having been granted, Senator Mitchler
2. on the motion. Senator Mitchler has moved the adoption of Joint
3. Resolution...Senate Joint Resolution 74. All those in favor indicate
4. by saying Aye. Opposed Nay. The resolution is adopted. The other
5. resolution will go to the consent...the Resolutions Consent Calendar.
6. PRESIDENT:

7. The Senate will come to order. We'll begin on page 6 on
8. the Calendar on the Order of Total Vetoes. The top of page 6 on
9. the Order of Total Vetoes, House Bill 108, Senator Knuppel. Top
10. of page 6 on the Order of Total Vetoes, motion filed with respect
11. to House Bill 108. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

12. SECRETARY:

13. I move that House Bill 108 Do Pass the veto of the Governor
14. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Knuppel.

15. PRESIDENT:

16. Senator Knuppel.

17. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

18. You know for the life of me, I can't understand why the
19. Governor vetoed this except to say that now we had a new road
20. program that would cause everybody to want to get out and shout
21. and clap. This is a...one of those unnecessary bills declaring
22. the 15th day of April, which is also the day you pay your income
23. tax is Good Roads Day. And they overrode it in the House by
24. an overwhelming majority. I say override it here, let's get that
25. out...let's get that out of the Statute Books so we don't have
26. to look at it anymore.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Is there any discussion? Senator Geo-Karis.

29. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

30. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate. I
31. feel very stupid, I don't even know what it really means or what
32. it really refers to. Perhaps you can...can enlighten me Senator
33. Knuppel.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. Provision in the law which says that the fifteenth day
5. of April each year is...is "Good Roads Day." And it will be
6. announced in schools and observed and all that kind of goody
7. stuff, you know, it's just something that I don't think we
8. got good roads, I don't think it's something we need, I
9. think it's something that ought to be out of the Statute
10. Book. We were passing a lot of laws, this is a repealer, let's
11. get one of them out of there.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Further discussion? If I can have the...attention of the
14. membership. A gentleman from the Suburban Sun Times has asked
15. leave to take still photographs. Is leave granted? Leave is
16. granted. Senator Mitchler.

17. SENATOR MITCHLER:

18. ...Mr. President and members of the Senate. I think this
19. ought to be taken out of the Statutes also. First thing you
20. know someone will come in and amend it if it remains in a
21. Statute and they'll make it one of those infamous Monday Holiday
22. Bills and then they'll say the school children should be given
23. a holiday so they can get in their cars and ride around on the
24. good roads in Illinois. So let's vote to override the Governor's
25. Veto on this.

26. PRESIDENT:

27. Any further discussion? Senator Knuppel, you wish to close?

28. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

29. Let's vote.

30. PRESIDENT:

31. The question is shall House Bill 108 pass the veto of the
32. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will
33. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.

1. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
2. record. On that question the Ayes are 44, the Nays are 4, 1
3. Voting Present. House Bill 108 having received the required
4. three-fifths vote is declared passed the veto of the Governor
5. to the contrary notwithstanding. 233, Senator Schaffer. On
6. the Order of Total Vetoes, top of page 6 is a motion filed
7. with respect to House Bill 233. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

8. SECRETARY:

9. I move that House Bill 233 Do Pass the veto of the Governor
10. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Schaffer.

11. PRESIDENT:

12. Senator Schaffer.

13. SENATOR SCHAFFER:

14. Mr. President and members of the Senate, you'll recall this
15. bill was discussed briefly yesterday and Senator Savickas asked
16. me to take it from the record and I believe that we now have
17. that...problem resolved and that the County of Cook has been heard
18. from and since I'm smiling, I...I guess it was heard from in a
19. good way. Simply put House Bill 233 simply states that an EPA
20. Permit does not supercede local zoning. There was a court
21. decision in northern Illinois, I believe in Worth, that said
22. that if...the owner or operator of a gravel pit had an EPA Permit
23. they could do as they wished regardless of...local zoning or,
24. I hope everyone is listening on the other side, home rule powers.
25. I think an EPA Permit is extremely important, but I think there
26. are other considerations. I've talked to Director Mauzy and
27. he does not indicate to me he wishes to get into the zoning
28. business. I do not wish to see the State EPA in the zoning
29. business, I think it should remain with the local cities and
30. counties...and I would be happy to answer any questions and
31. request a favorable roll call.

32. PRESIDENT:

33. Any discussion? All right, if not, the question is shall

1. House Bill 233 pass the veto of the Governor to the contrary not-
2. withstanding. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will
3. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
4. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
5. On that question the Ayes are 32, the Nays are 11, 1 Voting Present.
6. The motion falls. Senator Schaffer requests that further consideration
7. be postponed. So ordered. 292, Senator Lemke. 326, Senator
8. Washington. On the Order of Total Vetoes, middle of page 6 is
9. House Bill 326. A motion filed. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. I move that House Bill 326 Do Pass the veto of the Governor
12. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Washington.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Washington.

15. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

16. House Bill 326 was a Total Veto. As you may recall, the
17. bill simply provided that the current year's medical appropriation
18. can be used only to pay current years or prior year's bills. And
19. secondly...the bill eliminated the use of special purpose funds
20. for general assistance expenditures. And it added two instances
21. in which State employees may be granted a sum in excess of the
22. amount awarded for services rendered. The Governor vetoed the
23. bill claiming that it was restrictive of that agency's powers,
24. but clearly, this whole bill was designed to give the General
25. Assembly some control over the budgetary process by which these
26. various departments function. Senator Regner, I think has about
27. ten thousand well chosen words he wants to use in support of
28. this bill and I would defer to him.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Any discussion? Senator Regner.

31. SENATOR REGNER:

32. Yes, Mr. President and members, I really don't have ten
33. thousand because I don't want to stay here that long either.

1. This is a good bill, it's part of the package of two overrides
2. that we made on Senate Bills two weeks ago. One was on the
3. Department of Public Aid appropriation, one was...Senator
4. Carroll override on another appropriation. And it was, Senator
5. Washington says is absolutely true, it's part of the concept
6. that we've had here in the Senate that we will appropriate all
7. the money that the various agencies spend. And this bill is
8. necessary to do that, especially in light of the override
9. that we took on Public Aid where you appropriated only one
10. dollar from the Special Purpose Trust Fund which over the years
11. has been a slush fund for the department to use up to fourteen
12. million dollars with...without our actual appropriations and
13. I'd urge a Yes vote.

14. PRESIDENT:

15. Any further discussion? Senator Washington, you wish
16. to close?

17. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

18. Senator Regner stated it very adequately. I ask for your
19. support, the Governor's Veto notwithstanding.

20. PRESIDENT:

21. The question is shall House Bill 326 pass the veto of
22. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor
23. will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is
24. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
25. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 52, the Nays
26. are 2, none Voting Present. House Bill 326 having received
27. the required three-fifths vote is declared passed the veto of
28. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. 430, Senator
29. Lemke. 460, Senator Knuppel. On the Order of Total Vetoes
30. is House Bill 460. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

31. SECRETARY:

32. I move that House Bill 460 Do Pass the veto of the
33. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Knuppel.

1. PRESIDENT:

2. Senator...Senator Knuppel.

3. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

4. House Bill 460, what it did is it removed the requirement
5. of deposits for relatively medium sized grocery stores and
6. businesses where the Sales Tax was over five thousand, but
7. less than ten thousand. Left it in effect that they still have
8. to make deposits if they're over ten thousand. We passed, when
9. we were so damn short in the budget, under Walker, we passed
10. this bill as an imposition on small businesses from five to
11. ten thousand dollars. It ought to be removed. The Governor has
12. done that now in October, but not until October, as an administrative
13. directive. And the second thing is that the bill did, it...it
14. allowed those people who were over ten thousand paying in install-
15. ment, to claim credit for overpayment. And that a...credit...
16. memorandum issued to them within thirty days. They say this is
17. too tough, that they aren't automated and a lot of other things.
18. I think this is a bill that improves the business atmosphere
19. for the State of Illinois. It's a time when we are not short in
20. our...in our surpluses. We can stand it, I think they should be...
21. it was overridden in the House dramatically and I think it should
22. be overridden here.

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Further discussion? Senator Netsch.

25. SENATOR NETSCH:

26. A question of the sponsor, if he will yield.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Indicates he will yield. Senator Netsch.

29. SENATOR NETSCH:

30. Senator Knuppel, is it my...it's my understanding that this
31. has already been done by administrative directive. Is that your
32. understanding also?

33. PRESIDENT:

1. Senator Knuppel.

2. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

3. Yes, ma'am, it has been done as to the five to ten thousand
4. dollar people. It was done, I think on, like October, in October
5. here some, just before we came back here or since we've come back
6. here, the same type of thing. If it's done by directive, it can
7. be removed by directive. We put it on in the first place by
8. Statute when we needed the money because we were running so short
9. on day to day balances. I say let's don't leave it to the Governor's
10. Office, we've got it balanced. Let's make a better...business
11. atmosphere and at the same time give a little bit of tax relief.
12. And that's what this is, it's a tax relief measure.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. Senator Netsch.

15. SENATOR NETSCH:

16. Yeah, I...I think my point was that there is no objection
17. in principle to it then. It's...right, so I...I don't understand
18. why we do not reaffirm what, as I understand it, we did before.
19. Say that it ought to be a matter of Statute instead of just a
20. matter of administrative discretion. And I think it is...
21. the veto should be overridden.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Further discussion? Senator DeAngelis.

24. SENATOR DeANGELIS:

25. Thank you, Mr. President. Actually Senator Netsch asked
26. my question, however I arrived at a different conclusion than
27. she did. I feel that if administrative procedure is done, we
28. should not Statutorily prevail.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Further discussion? Senator McMillan.

31. SENATOR McMillan:

32. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I rise in support
33. of Senator Knuppel's motion. I believe the bill was sound...when
34. it went through. I believe that we should...continue to support

1. that position. There are some efforts being made at the Department
2. of Revenue to bring it in to at least the nineteenth century and
3. maybe some day in the twentieth century and every bit of pressure
4. we can apply on behalf of the taxpayer to make sure that they
5. begin to get their procedures shaped up and begin to get that
6. operation responsive to some of the interests of taxpayers. I
7. think is a step in the right direction and I would wholeheartedly
8. support Senator Knuppel's motion.

9. PRESIDENT:

10. Any further discussion? Senator Knuppel, you wish to close?

11. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

12. Let's vote.

13. PRESIDENT:

14. The question is shall House Bill 460 pass the veto of the
15. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor will
16. vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open.
17. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
18. On that question the Ayes are 50, the Nays are 2, none Voting
19. Present. House Bill 460 having received the required three-
20. fifths vote is declared passed the veto of the Governor to the
21. contrary notwithstanding. 922, Senator Knuppel. On the Order
22. of Total Vetoes, the motion filed with respect to House Bill 922.
23. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. I move that House Bill 922 Do Pass the veto of the Governor
26. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Knuppel.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Knuppel.

29. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

30. All Senate Bill...all House Bill 922 did was provide a
31. minimum of seventeen cents per mile for State employees and
32. others who use their own cars. Statistics from Hertz, Avis
33. and everybody else say that it can cost as much as thirty-three

1. cents a mile. I say let's treat our employees the way they
2. should be treated. I know even in my law firm for years, we've been
3. paying twenty-five cents a mile. Since this bill was passed, gas
4. prices have accelerated dramatically. All this does is set a
5. floor of seventeen cents to those people who work for us who
6. use their cars, pay for their own gasoline and I say the veto
7. should be overridden.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Any discussion? Senator Berning.

10. SENATOR BERNING:

11. Just a question of the sponsor.

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Indicates he'll yield. Senator Berning.

14. SENATOR BERNING:

15. I agree with your contention that...whatever we are paying
16. has not been adequate and perhaps even seventeen cents isn't
17. adequate. I'm the first one to contend that I cannot operate
18. my car for twenty cents a mile or whatever it is we get. We
19. ought to be getting thirty. But the question is, what if any
20. kind of control is exercised on the amount of usage, the numbers
21. of miles that an employee may or may not drive. Is there any
22. criteria?

23. PRESIDENT:

24. Senator Knuppel.

25. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

26. Well, of course, this is an all inclusive bill and there's
27. several different...lot of different...departments, the Governor,
28. Secretary of State, Comptroller, Higher Education, Supreme Court
29. Office of Education, Treasurer, Lieutenant Governor, Attorney
30. General, Auditor General and General Assembly. And I assume, just
31. like in our case, that there are certain standards that are set
32. up. I...I have not checked each of those independently. All
33. this does, if...if they're not being checked, they should be.

1. And...and the people that are running those places, those agencies,
2. when they come back here each year with their budgets, should be
3. able to explain to us how they control it. But for me to tell
4. you how eleven different agencies control this, I can't. But
5. I do know that you damn well can't run a car for seventeen cents
6. a mile and all this does is fix a...a floor under it.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Further discussion? Senator Berning.

9. SENATOR BERNING:

10. Thank you, Mr. President. I repeat, cannot operate an
11. automobile at that figure. I would suggest that the sponsor
12. and some of us get together next year and let's see what we
13. can do about determining the mileage since you and I are
14. restricted to a trip once a week, back and forth, and there ought
15. to be some realistic limits as to the amount of miles driven.

16. PRESIDENT:

17. Further discussion? Senator Mitchler.

18. SENATOR MITCHLER:

19. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. I
20. rise in support of Senator Knuppel's motion to override the
21. Veto Message on House Bill 922. I had introduced, during the
22. Regular Session, a bill that would make uniform the mileage
23. reimbursement for everyone in State Government at twenty cents
24. per mile. This ran into some problems. It did come out at
25. seventeen cents per mile and to have this vetoed down to where
26. it's fragmented at fifteen cents, sixteen cents and different
27. percentages...amounts in...in the various departments is wrong.
28. And with the increase in the gasoline, it is impossible for those
29. State employees and people in State government that are actually
30. required to use their automobile for the operation of their business
31. with the State. You certainly should reimburse them fairly.
32. Now, I want to point out that it's told to me that for every
33. penny, it costs the State about five hundred thousand dollars.

1. But this should be an indication to the Executive Branch of
2. Government and to all of our agencies and departments that
3. with the increase in the mileage allowance, we should take
4. a very close look to find out that we are not abusing the
5. privilege of letting someone use their personal car and
6. then be reimbursed by the State. We should utilize public
7. transportation. Many times I see a single car going up
8. 55 to Chicago and different places in the State, if they
9. could combine that and...perhaps use public transportation
10. like the railroads, etc. and buses. And I would ask for support
11. of Senator Knuppel's motion.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Rhoads.

14. SENATOR RHOADS:

15. Thank you, Mr. President. Just briefly, I feel obligated
16. to Senator Knuppel to speak in favor of this bill. As Senator
17. Mitchler said, it costs five hundred thousand dollars estimated
18. by the BOB for every mile. What the BOB doesn't tell us is they
19. got a ninety-six million dollar windfall profit this year from
20. the Sales Tax on gasoline, so we can easily afford this.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Knuppel
23. may close debate.

24. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

25. Fair is fair. The Federal Government allows seventeen
26. cents, every...every department should have the same floor.
27. I assume they have the same controls that they have under
28. the twelve, fourteen and fifteen cents. It should be overridden.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. The question is shall House Bill 922 pass the veto of the
31. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote
32. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
33. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
34. On that question the Yeas are 50, the Nays are 2, none Voting

1. Present. House Bill 922 having received the required three-
2. fifths vote is declared passed the veto of the Governor to
3. the contrary notwithstanding. House Bill 944. Read the motion,
4. Mr. Secretary.

5. SECRETARY:

6. I move that House Bill 944 Do Pass the veto of the Governor
7. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator D'Arco.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator D'Arco.

10. SENATOR D'ARCO:

11. Thank you, Mr. President. House Bill 944 provided that
12. if there was a finding of no probable cause in a preliminary
13. hearing, that fact would be taken into consideration by the
14. Grand Jury in its deliberations at a subsequent Grand Jury
15. proceeding on the same matter. It also provided that the
16. Grand Jurors could subpoena witnesses that testified at the
17. preliminary hearing where this finding was made. The Governor
18. vetoed the bill and he said one of the reasons was that there
19. may be some misunderstanding if that fact was not made known
20. to the Grand Jurors and then a true bill came out of the Grand
21. Jury and a subsequent indictment ensued there could be some
22. question about the legal propriety of doing that because the
23. fact was not made known and it is my understanding that there
24. would be no reason for that not to be made known to them because
25. a court reporter is present in the proceedings and it would be
26. a matter of procedure for them to advise the Grand Jury that
27. there was this preliminary hearing and that there was a finding
28. of no probable cause. So his objection to the bill, I don't
29. believe has logical merit to it. And I discussed this bill
30. with George Sangmeister and I discussed it with Senator Bowers
31. and they...and they indicated to me that they don't have any
32. real, real, real, real objection to it. Kind of a mild, mild,
33. mild objection to it. You...you understanding this, Mr. President,
34. are you here? Oh, okay...no, I'm just kidding. So really, I've

1. been here for ten minutes discussing the bill and...no, it's
2. a good bill and I know Representative Cullerton, who is a
3. ardent, criminal, defense attorney. He has talked with various
4. members on both sides of the aisle discussing the merits of
5. the bill and...I ask that you override the Governor on this
6. bill.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there further discussion? Senator Sangmeister.

9. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.
11. Yes, I...Senator D'Arco I think has accurately represented the
12. conversation that we had and I really, really, really, really
13. don't object this much to this bill. But the one I really,
14. really, really object to is Senator Daley's bill which is,
15. I think, devastating to the Grand Jury System in the State
16. of Illinois, but that's gone out of here and is past
17. history. But this one will require the State's Attorney
18. to file that additional affidavit. It won't break his back,
19. so we have no great concern one way or another and I think
20. that we ought to override.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator D'Arco
23. may close debate.

24. SENATOR D'ARCO:

25. Thanks a lot, vote for the bill.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. The question is shall House Bill 944 pass the veto of
28. the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor
29. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
30. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Well, Senator,
31. I guess they really, really, really don't want to vote for it.
32. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question
33. the Ayes are 36, the Nays are 18, none Voting Present. House
34. Bill 944 having received the required three-fifths vote is

1. declared passed the veto of the Governor to the contrary not-
2. withstanding. House Bill 2146, Senator Joyce. House Bill 2192,
3. Senator Nedza. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

4. SECRETARY:

5. I move that House Bill 2192 Do Pass the Veto of the Governor
6. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Nedza.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Nedza.

9. SENATOR NEDZA:

10. Just give me a moment to...yes, Mr. President and members
11. of the Senate. This issue has been brought before the Senate
12. once before as you all well know and there was a great deal of
13. rhetoric which was given in behalf of the merits of the bill and
14. some of the statements that were detrimental to the bill in the
15. sense that from the viewpoint of the individuals who were
16. expressing an opinion. That's what it's all about. The Floor
17. is to give in...on that right to express that opinion of which
18. they feel sincere in. But let me say this...is that we're not
19. talking about... the Governor in his veto made some comments
20. relative to, that it was not logical to allow a certain amount
21. of bonding without the benefit of...referendum, for small and
22. necessary expenditures. When we're talking about the recreational
23. facilities of people in my district and in other people whose districts
24. lie within the confines of the City of Chicago, it's not a
25. question of what is logical or not logical, it's a question of
26. what is good for the constituents within those respective districts.
27. We're talking about upgrading a series of recreational facilities.
28. We're talking about giving children a place to vent whatever
29. enthusiam they have. We talk about many attributes and very
30. few things that I would have to say would be falling into the
31. category of being logical. Logical is to try to address ourself
32. to a situation that exists, logical is...is to address ourself
33. to a situation to provide those recreational facilities and those

1. areas which individuals and their families, if not to go out into
2. the country because of the fuel crisis that you have, those
3. who cannot afford to travel to great expenses because of the
4. fact that they...they do not have the vehicle nor the funding
5. in order to have some of the pleasures of trying to be out into
6. the so-called open air. These are things that I consider logical.
7. These are things that I consider that people should have before
8. them and this is a vehicle in which can provide that for those
9. who live within the urban areas of the City of Chicago. I would
10. ask that this Body look favorable upon this and vote to override
11. the Governor's Veto.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Any further discussions? Senator Newhouse.

14. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

15. Mr. President, I was hoping this bill would be held until we
16. had some communication from the Park District. As you know, we
17. asked for some conversation with the Park District people when
18. this bill came up before and we were unsuccessful. Now, if we're
19. talking about a...about...the authority without referendum, at
20. least it seems to me that the Park District people have an obligation
21. to come down here and talk to this Legislature. I wonder if this
22. bill can be held.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Nedza.

25. SENATOR NEDZA:

26. I'm sorry, Senator.

27. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

28. Senator Nedza my...my request was that this bill be held
29. until such time as the Park District people come down and explain
30. to some of us Legislators what it's all about. They're asking
31. for the authority to raise taxes without a referendum and that's
32. a serious matter that a lot of legislators have a problem with.
33. It seems to us that at the very least, that they ought to come

1. down here and do some explaining to some of us who have some
2. hard questions. I'm embarrassed to ask you this, Senator, because
3. they know that we wanted to do this for some time. I'm embarrassed
4. for the man who's sitting in the . . . as President right now. As
5. a member of the Park District, they're embarrassing to them, they're
6. an embarrassment to us. And we think they simply ought to come
7. down here and tell us something about what they're going to do.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Any further discussion? Senator Rock.

10. SENATOR ROCK:

11. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
12. Senate. I rise in support of the Motion to Override and
13. I...I do not wish to in any way denigrate Senator Newhouse's
14. suggestion, but I will suggest that Constitutionally, tomorrow
15. is the last day to react to this...to this and the other motions
16. that are on the Floor and it just seems to me, based on my
17. conversations with the officials of the Park District, that
18. the gentleman to whom you wish to speak is frankly out of town
19. and will not be back before next week. So it just seems to me
20. physically impossible. I will suggest to you that I will personally
21. confront him and suggest very strongly to him that such a meeting
22. as you request will take place. In the meantime, however, it seems
23. to me that given the, almost shocking revelations that were in
24. the Sun Times about the conditions of certain...certain parks in our
25. City and this, of course, applies only to the Chicago Park District.
26. And given the fact that there are many projects currently on the
27. drawing board, one of which I know very well. The Chicago Zoological
28. Society and Lincoln Park Zoo has about a four million dollar project
29. pending on this legislation. Without which they will be set back
30. at least a period of three years because they're a matching private
31. funds. So it just seems to me that this is much, much too important
32. a matter to be further deferred. I...I think it should be addressed
33. now. We did address it in June. I think the Governor made a mistake.

1. I think he admits he made a mistake in vetoing this bill and
2. I would urge favorable support from everybody.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
4. Senator Knuppel.

5. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
6. Well, I'd like to ask the sponsor a question. He may not
7. be able to answer the first one, I have two of them. The first
8. one is is Mayor Byrne in favor of this?

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
10. Senator Nedza.

11. SENATOR NEDZA:
12. Senator, the Mayor and I have had no discussion of this
13. bill, whatsoever.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
15. Senator Knuppel.

16. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
17. Well, the second question I have is, did we have a caucus
18. position on this bill in June?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
20. Senator Nedza.

21. SENATOR NEDZA:
22. I don't recall, Senator, but I think we...we passed it
23. out of this Body in...in June.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)
25. Senator Knuppel.

26. SENATOR KNUPPEL:
27. Well, I came here almost ten years ago and I wanted you to
28. know, Senator, that they told me my word was my bond and if I
29. had a caucus position on this bill, I'd support it. If I
30. knew Senator Byrne was in favor of it, I would oppose it. So I...
31. I'm in...I'm in a...in a malaise as to know where I'm supposed
32. to go.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

1. Senator Washington may help.

2. SENATOR WASHINGTON:

3. I rise to give Senator Knuppel some guidance. The President
4. stated that the gentleman we wanted to speak to is out of town.
5. He's been out of town for six months and he's aware of the
6. fact that we wanted to speak to him. His boss is also aware
7. of the fact that we wanted to speak to him, he's also out of
8. town, I suppose. The Governor says that there should be, there
9. should be a referendum here, I think so. If this went to the
10. people of the City of Chicago, I assure you gentlemen on the
11. other side of the aisle who got together and helped them get
12. this bill out of here, over our strong objections, but if
13. this bill, this referendum or referendum went to...go to the
14. City of Chicago, my entire district would vote an overwhelming
15. No, absolutely No. They have consistently taxed us for the
16. Park District and we've come up short. It's not just a
17. Sun Times revelation, it's not just a BGA revelation, it was
18. a...magazine revelation of a year ago was demonstrated
19. that the facilities which should have gone into our neighborhoods
20. have not gone there. There simply is no reason to entrust
21. this board with this kind of a bond authorization knowing their
22. track record in terms of their lack of fairness to a substantial
23. number of people in the City of Chicago comprising Black, Latino,
24. and poor white neighborhoods. There's simply no reason for it
25. at all, it cannot be justified. I agree with the Governor's Veto,
26. I think it should be sustained.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Rhoads.

29. SENATOR RHOADS:

30. Two quick questions of the sponsor, please. Senator
31. Nedza.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

33. He indicates he'll yield.

1. SENATOR RHOADS:

2. Senator Nedza, the first question is, how much, I'd
3. forgotten how much money was involved here, was it nineteen
4. million? Was that the figure?

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. Senator Nedza.

7. SENATOR NEDZA:

8. In that neighborhood.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Rhoads.

11. SENATOR RHOADS:

12. Second question. Is the Chicago Park District a party
13. to the decision...to the order of Federal Judge Nicholas Bua
14. that came down the...about three or four weeks ago concerning
15. patronage?

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Senator Nedza.

18. SENATOR NEDZA:

19. Not directly to the Park District. I haven't read this,
20. the decision, but I think that involves not only the Park
21. District but every...every section of any municipality within
22. the confines of the Northern District Court.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Rhoads.

25. SENATOR RHOADS:

26. Well, just to remind the people on the Republican side
27. of the aisle. If...if you've forgotten since June, this was
28. ...this was the bill that we traded for the Computer Tapes Bill.
29. It was a horrible bill then, it's a horrible bill now. It's
30. a tax increase without referendum and ought to be defeated.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Ozinga.

33. SENATOR OZINGA:

1. Okay, first of all, what's a caucus position? That word
2. went out ten years ago. Man alive, we haven't been holding caucus
3. positions in any way, shape or form or sticking with them. Secondly,
4. it seems to be the practice of breaking it off on the other guy's
5. ...constituents anyway. So the result is, this is in the other
6. guy's back yard. I'm going to vote in favor of the override.
7. The answer to this whole picture is that, in talking with George
8. Halas yesterday, they said that if this passed, why maybe the Bears
9. would have a chance to work in better conditions. Or another
10. good reason, maybe we'll get something in Chicago worthwhile.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Senator Mitchler.

13. SENATOR MITCHLER:

14. Mr. President and members of the Senate. I've said this
15. before and I'm going to say it again. I don't know how, a lot
16. of times I hear different things, how they operate that Chicago
17. Park District, but I want to tell you, however they operate it,
18. they're doing the right thing for the people. Because I think
19. that the Chicago Park District has, on display right at this
20. time, the finest parks, recreational facilities and they're
21. enjoyed by people not only they get taxed for that Park District,
22. but by people of the entire metropolitan suburban area in the
23. northeastern section of Illinois. People come over from Michigan,
24. down from Wisconsin and...I just can't say enough for that beautiful
25. Chicago Park District and all the good things they do. And I don't
26. know, you can criticize the system all you want, but if you can find
27. a better way to do it, you let me know, it works. And they've
28. got it there and it's open to all people. And I hear these things
29. about different neighborhoods and I'm concerned about that, Senator
30. Washington, and I...I...I agree with you, maybe you've got a
31. problem there, but that should be resolved locally. And I think
32. that certainly everybody is welcome. I remember as I told you
33. as a boy, my father took me into the Chicago Park District and

1. I've been going in there every since and many people in my area.
2. And if this is what makes it work, I think we should keep making
3. it work and support Senator Nedza's motion to override.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Merlo.

6. SENATOR MERLO:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. I speak as a former employee
8. of the Park District for some forty-two years. And I happen
9. to know the area of recreation because that was the department
10. I was assigned to. I think today we are surfacing many problems
11. that probably exist in some groups that have not been recognized.
12. However, what should be uppermost in our mind is the fact that
13. we're dealing with a segment of society which today needs help,
14. and I'm talking about the juvenile. You and I know that there
15. is definitely an increase every year and what we need is more
16. facilities, more facilities that will bring about participation
17. by these young people. Sure, it's a costly operation and maybe
18. some people have to deny their rights, but I think these things
19. can locally be resolved. This is an extremely good bill, it
20. means a lot to the citizens and children of Chicago, the parents
21. of Chicago. And it also involves the chance of getting Federal
22. Funds and I hope that you'll all support the bill.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Senator Nimrod. Channel 20 seeks leave to take some film
25. of the proceedings. Is leave granted? Leave is granted. Senator
26. Nimrod.

27. SENATOR NIMROD:

28. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of...Senator
29. Nedza's override of the Governor's Veto. I talked to Doctor Auzmanski
30. and you all remember him from football days of the...days passed
31. by and we...we're not going to be getting a...a new stadium or
32. any kind in Chicago. I think it's important that we at least be
33. able to provide those kind of facilities that will, at least allow

1. that team to remain there. I understand that they are in support
2. of this concept and this bill. And I would also remind us that
3. Chicago is a very vital and very important link in our communications
4. throughout the world. When they come to Chicago, the reason Chicago
5. is beautiful, is because of the Park Districts and their plans
6. and their long range programs that it's...maybe we got some temporary
7. programs that might be some problem, but the overall effect of
8. the Chicago Park District is to make Chicago one of the most
9. beautiful, one of the most desirable cities and the most enjoyable
10. places in...in the entire world. I would hope that we'd give
11. them the funds, be able to maintain that kind of a relationship
12. and that kind of record for the State of Illinois.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

14. Senator Becker.

15. SENATOR BECKER:

16. The previous question, Mr. President.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. We have only one more speaker and that's Senator Newhouse
19. so if you'd hold that motion. Senator Newhouse.

20. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

21. Mr. President, I wonder if I can get the attention of the
22. members of this Body.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

24. Could we have a little order. Senator Merlo, Senator
25. Washington.

26. SENATOR NEWHOUSE:

27. Mr. President, I started off by making a parliamentary
28. inquiry asking that this bill be withheld. I am not opposed
29. to the concept of what's going on in this bill. And I wish
30. you'd listen to me. The problem that we have is this, that we
31. think that those who run the Park District ought to show
32. this Body a little respect. That's the issue. We did not
33. ask this bill be defeated initially, we asked that it be held.

1. Now we have been asking for over six months for the Park Department
2. officials to come to Springfield and show us the courtesy of telling
3. us what they had planned to do with the...with the excess monies.
4. We're about to give them the authority to tax without referendum
5. and saying to them, in effect, that you can still function in such
6. a highhanded manner that you won't...thank you. The issue here
7. and what they're saying to us, is, that they don't need to come
8. to talk to the Legislature, that they do have it wired and what-
9. ever we say, the Park District is not going to be responsive to
10. anyone. Yes, the leadership is out of town. Have they been out
11. of town the six months that we've been in Session when we started
12. asking them? If you pass this bill, let me tell you what you've
13. done, you've embarrassed Frank Savickas, you've embarrassed the
14. sponsor of this bill because that's precisely what has happened.
15. O'Malley and Kelly have consistently simply remained silent.
16. We have sent messages back through Frank Savickas, who is your
17. colleague. We have sent messages back through their Legislative
18. representative down here whom we all know and respect. And if
19. they had not the respect for them to come before this Body, or
20. some members of us, and simply explain to us what it is they're
21. going to do, then it seems to me that what we're doing is avoiding
22. out own legislative prerogative. I ask to...to have the bill held.
23. If it can't be held, then I'm going to vote against it and I hope
24. others do otherwise for the sole principle that this is a Body
25. that makes some decisions and ought to be aware of what is involved
26. in the decisions that they make. It's that simple. If the bill...
27. to persist in the bill, I'm going to vote No. It may be...
28. already, that's another question, but this is the issue, you're
29. voting against your own best interests and against your own
30. authority as Legislators, period.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. There's no...no further discussion? Senator Nedza may
33. close debate.

1. SENATOR NEDZA:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I'll be very brief in saying this
3. and perhaps I can have a response to Senator Newhouse and Senator
4. Knuppel at the same sentences. Is that when I came down here, the
5. first thing I learned is that your word is your bond and if you
6. cannot maintain your word, then you must be suspect. The Park
7. District gave us a program of whether we're going to have
8. expenditures of a tremendous amounts of money, and I think it
9. was a five or six year program, we were all in receipt of that.
10. If, for whatever reason, and now I will give you my word, Senators,
11. is that if for whatever reason they could not come down to Springfield,
12. that once we can override this veto that collectively or individually,
13. I will accompany all or any to see the Superintendent in order to
14. institute the programs that are necessary, not only in your district,
15. but in my district. I ask for a favorable roll call.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. The question is shall House Bill 2192 pass the veto of the
18. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote
19. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
20. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? All voted who wish?
21. Thank you, Senator, one more time. Have all voted who wish?
22. Take the record. Senator Nedza moves to postpone consideration
23. of House Bill 2192. Consideration is postponed. House Bill 2204,
24. Senator Gitz. House Bill 2204, Senator Gitz. Read the motion,
25. Mr. Secretary.

26.

27.

28.

End of Reel #1

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. SECRETARY:

2. I move that House Bill 2204 Do Pass, the veto of the
3. Governor notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Gitz.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. Senator Gitz.

6. SENATOR GITZ:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. This legislation has been
8. before this Body on several previous occasions. This year we
9. were fortunate to pass it through both Houses. You will
10. remember that in the Statutes presently, under the School Code,
11. is a provision for a thousand dollars per professional worker
12. for reimbursement to local districts. It's a rather convoluted
13. formula. It has little to do with the actual capital index. I
14. really think that this bill provides a more rational way of
15. financing special education. The Governor vetoed it, saying that
16. he did not think it was timely and that he felt that the Bond
17. Funds and the School Construction Bond Funds should be used for
18. other purposes and not for this area. I would simply close by
19. synopsisizing some of the major provisions. House Bill 2204 provides
20. a grant index reimbursement mechanism. House Bill 2204 allows
21. local participation for a period not to exceed eight years, just
22. like the present School Code. It does not expand any existing
23. legislation contained in the Articles of the School Code. It
24. provides for joint administration of the special education remodeling
25. and building projects by IOE and CDB, just as it is for regular
26. school construction projects. Finally, and I think quite importantly,
27. it provides local districts with the opportunity to rehabilitate
28. facilities for handicapped accessibility. I think it is very timely
29. legislation. I think probably some of you have heard from Minority
30. Leader Ryan. His district has such a facility. Because the Governor
31. has failed to appropriate any money in the last two fiscal years,
32. they are unable to move ahead in their program. I have no such
33. facility at stake in my district, but I think it is proper legisla-
tion and I would urge an override motion.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there any further discussion? Senator Berman.

3. SENATOR BERMAN:

4. Thank you, Mr. President. I rise in support of the motion
5. to override. Congress has passed a law and Illinois has passed
6. conforming legislation. The purpose of that legislation is to
7. require or to encourage public schools to provide as broad a base
8. of facilities for handicapped children as possible. This bill is
9. part of that ongoing program. Today, our schools are faced with
10. the problem of addressing the needs of handicapped children and
11. too often we find that these children have to be sent out-of-state
12. to other facilities in order to handle them. I think that, under
13. this bill, which has many safeguards for responsible action in
14. the construction of facilities for handicapped children, that our
15. children can be better addressed, as far as their handicaps are
16. concerned, through the public school system. This is a responsible
17. approach and there are, as I have mentioned, many safeguards.
18. I would urge your Aye vote on this motion to override.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Gitz may close
21. debate.

22. SENATOR GITZ:

23. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I could
24. understand the Governor's Veto if he was actually living up to
25. the present Statutory requirements. He is not. He has simply cut
26. it out of his budget, refuses to accept any amendments. I think
27. this is actually a more viable system. It is an appropriate
28. system. It weights it on wealth and I would urge a favorable vote.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

30. The question is shall House Bill 2204 pass, the veto of the
31. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye.
32. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
33. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question

71022416
Overrule
10-31-80

1. the Ayes are 39. The Nays are 14. 1 Voting Present. House
2. Bill 2204, having received the required three-fifths vote is
3. declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary not-
4. withstanding. For what purpose Senator Nash rise? House Bill
5. 2416, Senator Netsch. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

6. SECRETARY:

7. I move that House Bill 2416 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
8. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Netsch.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Senator Netsch.

11. SENATOR NETSCH:

12. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill was one of four that
13. was passed by both Houses of the Illinois General Assembly. All
14. four came out of the work of the Long Term Debt Study Committee.
15. The Governor approved the other three bills, but for reasons
16. which I still do not understand, after having read the Veto Message
17. three or four times, vetoed this one out of the package, although
18. we had been led to believe that would not be the action taken.
19. This is the one that requires that the State agencies, the operating
20. agencies, submit their long range capital plans to the Legislature
21. so that it can be part of the total oversight of long range debt
22. to be now a function of the Economic and Fiscal Commission. We
23. will be getting all of the other information we need, but only this
24. one part has been picked out to be denied to us and it really makes
25. the other three bills a...an incomplete whole, despite the fact
26. that they were fully approved by the Governor. So that I think this
27. is an important part of the package that we passed and I think there
28. is no sense really in not having this part of the entire picture
29. and I would urge your support of the override motion as the House
30. did.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Any further discussion? Senator Bloom.

33. SENATOR BLOOM:

1. Question of the sponsor.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

3. She indicates she will yield.

4. SENATOR BLOOM:

5. Senator Netsch, is this the one that we amended from a five
6. year plan back to a three year plan at the request of the Bureau
7. of the Budget?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

9. Senator Netsch.

10. SENATOR NETSCH:

11. No, this one is now consistent with an Amendatory Veto of...
12. I believe it was seven...Senate Bill 799. That was the one that
13. had the five year, three year change in it and that has already
14. been fixed up so that the two are consistent in their time period.
15. I believe both Houses have now acted on that bill.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

17. Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Netsch may
18. close debate.

19. SENATOR NETSCH:

20. No, I would simply ask that we have this part of an entire
21. picture and without it, we do have an incomplete whole. It's a
22. commitment that the Legislature had made to strengthen its own
23. hand with respect to the entire budgetary process, including the
24. oversight of long range debt and we just simply need this part of
25. the entire puzzle.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. The question is shall House Bill 2416 pass, the veto of the
28. Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote
29. Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
30. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
31. question the Ayes are 37. The Nays are 16. 1 Voting Present. House
32. Bill 2416, having received the required three-fifths vote, is
33. declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwith-

HB 2440
enrolled
10-31-79

1. standing. House Bill 2440, Senator Nash. Read the motion,
2. Mr. Secretary.

3. SECRETARY:

4. I move that House Bill 2440 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
5. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed Senator Nash.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

7. Senator Nash.

8. SENATOR NASH:

9. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, this
10. bill simply amends the Sanitary District Act to exempt laborers
11. from a written Civil Service examination. It was passed out
12. of the Senate by a vote of 48 to 8. There is no objections from
13. the Governor on an override. I ask for a favorable roll call.
14. Thank you.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
17. House Bill 2440 pass, the veto of the Governor to the contrary
18. notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote
19. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all
20. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
21. are 48. The Nays are 5. None Voting Present. House Bill 2440
22. having received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed,
23. the veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. We will now go to the Order of Accepting the Specific Recommen-
26. dations for Change of the Governor. House Bill 321, Senator Knuppel.
27. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

28. SECRETARY:

29. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
30. as to House Bill 321 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
31. Senator Knuppel.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Knuppel is recognized.

1. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

2. This is some corrective language that deals with the bill
3. that allows judges in other states to take the acknowledgement on
4. adoption consent. I think it's good legislation. I'm willing to
5. accept the changes and I move that we accept the Governor's lan-
6. guage.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
9. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill
10. 321 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Knuppel. Those
11. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
12. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
13. On that question the Ayes are 52. The Nays are none. None Voting
14. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
15. Bill 321, having received the required...required majority vote of
16. Senators elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 339, Senator
17. Nash. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

18. SECRETARY:

19. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
20. as to House Bill 339 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
21. Senator Nash.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Senator Nash is recognized.

24. SENATOR NASH:

25. I ask for...Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
26. Senate, I ask for a favorable roll call. Thank you.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate
29. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
30. Bill 339 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Nash. Those
31. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
32. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
33. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 42.

HB 437
10-21-79

- 1. The Nays are 10. 1 voting Present. The Senate does accept
- 2. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill
- 3. 339. House Bill 437, Senator Carroll. Read the motion, Mr.
- 4. Secretary, please.

5. SECRETARY:

6. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
7. as to House Bill 437 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
8. Senator Carroll.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Carroll is recognized.

11. SENATOR CARROLL:

12. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
13. Senate. I would like to incorporate, by reference, Senator Nash's
14. great explanation and just add to that that the Governor has
15. recommended some specific changes in the abortion reform legislation
16. that was requested, apparently, by those in the Medical Society
17. who had some problems with some of the language. It appears to meet
18. the approval of all those involved on all sides of the issue and
19. I would...except maybe possibly Senator Lemke and I would move
20. that we do accept the Governor's Specific Recommendation for
21. Change and would answer questions.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Is there discussion of the motion? The question is shall the
24. Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to
25. House Bill 437 in the manner and form just stated by Senator
26. Carroll. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
27. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
28. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 53. The Nays are
29. none. 3 Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations of the
30. Governor as to House Bill 437, having received the required majority
31. vote of Senators elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 493,
32. Senator Nash. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

33. SECRETARY:

1. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
2. Governor as to House Bill 493 in the manner and form as follows.
3. Signed, Senator Nash.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Nash is recognized.

6. SENATOR NASH:

7. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, all
8. this change does is change the effective date from...immediately
9. to July 1, 1980. I ask for a favorable roll call. Thank you.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Is there discussion of the motion? The question is shall
12. the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
13. as to House Bill 493 in the manner and form just stated by Senator
14. Nash. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
15. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
16. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 53. The Nays are
17. 1. 2 Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations of the
18. Governor as to House Bill 493, having received the required majority
19. vote of Senators elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 551,
20. Senator Buzbee. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

21. SECRETARY:

22. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
23. as to House Bill 551 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
24. Senator Buzbee.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Buzbee.

27. SENATOR BUZBEE:

28. Thank you, Mr. President. This bill amends the Vote Regis-
29. tration Safety Act to require issuance of certificates of title
30. and require that thefts and recovery of water craft be reported
31. to the Department of Conservation. What the Governor did...we
32. had inserted an amendment in the Senate to make the bill much
33. more acceptable, to make it much more technically accurate. The

1. House refused to go along with that amendment and so to try to
2. speed things up, we went along with them and withdrew the amendment.
3. Now, the Governor, in effect, has inserted the Senate Amendment
4. back into the bill and has made it much better. The House concurred
5. with it and I would ask for a favorable roll call.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
8. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 551
9. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Buzbee. Those in favor
10. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
11. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
12. that question the Ayes are 55. The Nays are none. None Voting
13. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
14. Bill 551, having received the required majority vote of Senators
15. elected are declared accepted. House Bill 657, Senator Knuppel.
16. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

17. SECRETARY:

18. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
19. as to House Bill 657 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
20. Senator Knuppel.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Knuppel.

23. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

24. This was a bill which required refund of Gas Tax...Farm Gas
25. Tax paid in on the refunds within sixty days. The Governor, by
26. his language, extends the period to ninety days, reduced the
27. amount of interest to one percent per month after ninety days.
28. This is acceptable and I move that we accept the changes made by
29. the Governor with his Amendatory Veto.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. You have heard the motion. Is there discussion? The question
32. is shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the
33. Governor as to House Bill 657 in the manner and form just stated

1. by Senator Knuppel. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
2. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have
3. all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes
4. are 54. The Nays are 1. None Voting Present. The Specific
5. Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 657, having
6. received the required majority vote of Senators elected are
7. accepted. House Bill 662, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. House Bill 841,
8. Senator Berman. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

9. SECRETARY:

10. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
11. as to House Bill 841 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
12. Senator Berman.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Senator Berman is recognized.

15. SENATOR BERMAN:

16. Thank you, Mr. President. This is a bill that dealt with
17. the requirements on insurance companies to provide certain notice
18. regarding health insurance policies to the policyholder. The
19. other substantive part of the bill dealt with the requirement to
20. provide an itemized statement to the patients for medical services
21. rendered. The Governor made some changes as to the nature of the
22. notice to the policyholder and I move that we accept the Governor's
23. changes.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Is there further discussion? The question is shall the Senate
26. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
27. Bill 841 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Berman. Those
28. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
29. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
30. On that question the Ayes are 53. The Nays are none. None Voting
31. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
32. Bill 841, having received the required majority vote of Senators
33. elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 1184, Senator Knuppel.

1. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

2. SECRETARY:

3. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
4. as to House Bill 1184 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
5. Senator Knuppel.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Knuppel is recognized.

8. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

9. This is the bill that's been sponsored through the Illinois
10. Farm Bureau providing for ag...agricultural...Illinois agricultural
11. areas...use areas. The language was softened up a little bit on
12. these ag areas and provides that a...an owner's land cannot be
13. included in one of these areas without his permission. I think
14. it's good and it's acceptable to those people who want the
15. legislation. I would, therefore, recommend that we accept the
16. language of the Governor amendatorily vetoing the legislation.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there discussion of the motion? The question is shall
19. the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as
20. to House Bill 1184 in the manner and form just stated by Senator
21. Knuppel. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
22. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
23. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 55. The Nays are 1.
24. None Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor
25. as to House Bill 1184, having received the required majority vote
26. of Senators elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 1244, Sen-
27. ator Sangmeister. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

28. SECRETARY:

29. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
30. as to House Bill 1244 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
31. Senator Sangmeister.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Sangmeister is recognized.

1. SENATOR SANGMEISTER:

2. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate.

3. What House Bill 1244 was all about is it allowed in counties of
4. under a million or a million and under, I should say, to get some
5. assistance from the Department of Local Governmental Affairs in
6. assessing commercial and industrial property. What the...that
7. assistance could be requested by assessors, supervisor of assess-
8. ments, taxing districts, boards of review and also we had in the
9. original bill that two percent of the real estate property owners
10. residing in an assessment district could petition to have that
11. done. Apparently, the Governor didn't like that aspect of it so
12. he took that out. That's not a great thing in the bill, although
13. it...I think it was well-founded to begin with, but we'll go along
14. with that and, therefore, at this time, I would move to accept the
15. Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill Number
16. 1244.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. You have heard the motion. Is there discussion? The
19. question is shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations
20. of the Governor as to House Bill 1244 in the manner and form
21. just stated by Senator Sangmeister. Those in favor vote Aye.
22. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
23. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
24. question the Ayes are 54. The Nays are none. None Voting Present.
25. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill
26. 1244, having received the required majority vote of Senators
27. elected are declared accepted. House Bill 1345, Senator Lemke.
28. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

29. SECRETARY:

30. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
31. as to House Bill 1345 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
32. Senator Lemke.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Lemke.

1. SENATOR LEMKE:

2. I ask for a favorable roll call.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Is there discussion? The question, Senator Lemke, is what
5. it does.

6. SENATOR LEMKE:

7. It just eliminated one commission from the bill...Land Use
8. Commission.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Is there discussion? Senator Walsh.

11. SENATOR WALSH:

12. Well, yes. If the Gentleman would elaborate on his explanation.
13. The bill, I think, originally created two new commissions and the
14. Governor's Veto did what?

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Lemke.

17. SENATOR LEMKE:

18. No, the bill originally created one new commission and we
19. amended it to revise an existing committee and commission, the
20. Ethnic Heritage Commission and he revised that by putting on
21. eight Governor appointments. The money has been appropriated for
22. the commission.

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Senator Walsh.

25. SENATOR WALSH:

26. I'm just looking at the synopsis of the original bill. It
27. said House Bill 1345 creates two new commissions, the Land Resources
28. Study Commission and the Ethnic Heritage Commission. I mean the
29. ...the synopsis apparently is incorrect where...there was some
30. amendment along the legislative process, but it...it indicates that
31. it creates two new commissions. Now, could you state once again
32. what the Governor's Veto did?

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Lemke. Senator Lemke.

1. SENATOR LEMKE:

2. Senator, what this bill does is...is...let me explain the
3. history of this bill. First of all, it was a bill to create the
4. Land Use Management Study Commission. We amended that to revise
5. the existing Ethnic Heritage Festival Committee which we passed
6. two years ago by Senator...I mean by Representative Boris
7. Antonovych and myself. Then we added this to revise it to make it
8. that there was three representatives from each side of the aisle.
9. In other words, twelve Senators. The Governor stated that he
10. would like to have some public appointments on it. So, the
11. Governor...amended the bill as it exists now, to remove the
12. Land Use Management Commission, to keep the Ethnic Heritage
13. Commission in and to revise that by allowing him to make eight
14. public appointments on the commission.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Walsh.

17. SENATOR WALSH:

18. Well, Mr. President and members of the Senate, I just...
19. briefly reading from the Governor's...the Governor's Amendatory
20. Veto, he says, "This bill would create a Land Resources Management
21. Study Commission and an Ethnic Heritage Commission." In the next
22. paragraph, he states, "I concur in the establishing of both these
23. commissions." Well, I, for one, don't. Apparently, if we do
24. not act favorably on this motion, we'll be without two commissions
25. and I think that would be a step in the right direction, so I urge
26. a No vote.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Is there further discussion? Senator Lemke may close.

29. SENATOR LEMKE:

30. Just ask for a favorable roll call.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. The question is shall the Senate accept the Specific Recom-
33. mendations of the Governor as to House Bill 1345 in the manner and

1. form just stated by Senator Lemke. Those in favor vote Aye.
2. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
3. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
4. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 36. The Nays
5. are 18. None Voting Present. The Senate does accept the
6. Specific Recommendations of the Governor by a majority vote of
7. Senators elected as to House Bill 1345. House Bill 1693, Sen-
8. ator Lemke. Senator Lemke, 1693. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.
9. SECRETARY:

10. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
11. as to House Bill 1693 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
12. Senator Lemke.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Senator Lemke is recognized.

15. SENATOR LEMKE:

16. I ask for a favorable roll call.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there discussion? Senator Walsh.

19. SENATOR WALSH:

20. Well, Mr. President, I'm sorry. Maybe I'm the only one who
21. hasn't read all these analyses in advance, but I wonder if the
22. sponsor might give a brief explanation. I guess maybe only for
23. my sake, because I'm not sure what this bill does, as of now, and
24. I'm not a speed reader.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Lemke.

27. SENATOR LEMKE:

28. Will you hold this bill? I understand Senator Rock has
29. requested...somebody has requested that we hold it for awhile.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Is there leave? Leave is granted. House Bill 1718, Senator
32. Nash. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

33. SECRETARY:

1. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
2. Governor as to House Bill 1718 in the manner and form as follows.
3. Signed, Senator Nash.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Nash.

6. SENATOR NASH:

7. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate, I ask
8. for a favorable...favorable roll call. Thank you.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Is there discussion? Senator Walsh.

11. SENATOR WALSH:

12. The same request, Mr. President.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Senator Nash, a request that there be an explanation of the
15. Specific Recommendation of the Governor.

16. SENATOR NASH:

17. All the...all the Governor did in this bill, he changed the
18. process...the way...the billing for the service was to be done.
19. Instead of billing the patient directly, it would be billed to
20. the unit of government who was paying for it at that rate that
21. they had agreed on before so there would be no overcharges.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Further discussion? Senator Walsh.

24. SENATOR WALSH:

25. No, it doesn't tell me a thing, but I was able to read it
26. while he was talking.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
29. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill
30. 1718 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Nash. Those in
31. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
32. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
33. record. On that question the Ayes are 56. The Nays are none.

1. None Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor
2. as to House Bill 1718, having received the required majority vote
3. of Senators elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 1802,
4. Senator Lemke. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

5. SECRETARY:

6. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
7. as to House Bill 1802 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
8. Senator Lemke.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Senator Lemke.

11. SENATOR LEMKE:

12. What the...I...I move to have a favorable roll call to go
13. along with the Governor's recommendation. What it does is by...by
14. exempting certain carriers from the requirement to have two way
15. radios, does not prevent them from having them. This...this amend-
16. ment...allows it in a discretionary basis with the Department of
17. Transportation and local operators on a case to case...basis,
18. whether they need them or don't need them. I ask for the Specific
19. Recommendation to be affirmed.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Is there discussion? Senator Walsh.

22. SENATOR WALSH:

23. Will the Gentleman yield for a question?

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Indicates he will yield. Senator Walsh.

26. SENATOR WALSH:

27. Senator, originally this bill required that...that buses have
28. two way radios, is that correct?

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Lemke.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. It required all buses and then we exempted charter services and
33. other carriers and just required local mass transit system buses have

1. two way radios, but then the Department of Transportation, in
2. their wisdom, found out that on a case to case basis they, in
3. some occasions, would like to require them and some not on these
4. private carriers and the Governor recommends that we go along
5. with the Department of Transportation's recommendation, that if
6. they want to have them, they can put them in. If they don't want
7. to have them, they don't have to put them in, but it's all handled
8. on a case to case basis. This is probably...we had a problem
9. I can remember a few years back when Bradley Glass had a church
10. bus built. The churches use school buses, but...they illegally are
11. using school buses because they couldn't have the arm to say stop...
12. the churches couldn't have the arm, but the school buses had to
13. have it so we had this problem so what it does is makes it optional.
14. I think Senator Davidson understands that problem.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Walsh.

17. SENATOR WALSH:

18. Well, I guess what the...the Governor's Veto then does is
19. bring in two additional classes in the exemption...if I read this
20. correctly. It says, "I feel that two types of carriers were
21. inadvertently omitted from the exemption, private non-profit
22. serving the elderly and the handicapped in rural communities,
23. apparently, but I just question and I...I know this bill passed
24. handily when it was heard in the Senate, but I just question
25. whether we should require regular route transit service buses to
26. be equipped with two way radios. It would seem to me that the...
27. the local transit system, be it the CTA or the...any agency operating
28. under the RTA or even downstate, that if they feel it's...it's
29. appropriate to have two way radios, they should do so, but I...I
30. don't know that the...the State Legislature should...should mandate
31. that two way radios be in buses because I think it's very...very
32. likely that it's not necessary in many parts of the State and
33. there are a couple of exemptions in there that may bring one or two

1. out, but I...I think it's something that should be left up to the
2. discretion of the...of the agency operating the transit system and
3. I urge a No vote.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Further discussion? Senator Lemke may close. Oh, Senator
6. Grotberg, I'm sorry. Senator Grotberg is recognized.

7. SENATOR GROTBORG:

8. Yes, a question of the sponsor.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Indicates he will yield. Senator Grotberg.

11. SENATOR GROTBORG:

12. I think we recently passed some legislation about mandated
13. State programs to local units of government, that anything we
14. mandate, we have to come up with the money for. Is that correct?
15. Didn't we pass something out of here like that? ,

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. Senator Lemke.

18. SENATOR LEMKE:

19. Well, this bill does not mandate the State's...this does not...
20. any State money. This is all Federal money that's going to pay for
21. these two way radios. This is not State money and the reason for
22. the law...I'm talking to...to Representative Barnes was that this
23. law needs to be passed in order to get the Federal money for the
24. two way radios for crime protection on buses and that's what this
25. bill was to do.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Grotberg.

28. SENATOR GROTBORG:

29. Well, I appreciate that response, Senator Lemke, and in
30. checking the sponsor of the bill and the present Chairman of the
31. CTA, Gene Barnes, and a good friend and a good legislator, I
32. realize there's got to be some connection between this bill and
33. the CTA and possibly the RTA. Now, the question still arises...the

1. Federal funding goes directly to those agencies, as I recall. The
2. State is not the pass-through, is that correct? Then I question
3. the need for such...because this also affects Springfield and
4. every other town. It isn't just cities of a million or districts
5. of a million. It's every public transit system in the State of
6. Illinois, as I read it. I tend to listen to Senator Walsh's
7. admonishment that we really are mandating, by 1981 or whatever it
8. is, two way radios in every bus in Illinois, not just the CTA and
9. the RTA. Now, correct me if I'm wrong.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Senator Lemke.

12. SENATOR LEMKE:

13. This applies to local mass transit systems. This came out
14. of the Transit Study Commission when we...you recall there was a
15. ...a big expose on people being robbed and mugged on mass transit
16. systems and this bill come out of that committee which was headed
17. by Representative Barnes before, I think, it was even a blossom
18. that he was going to go on the CTA. I mean this was way before and
19. it was just...he was just chairman of the committee. He put these
20. bills in and this is part of the anti-crime package that was passed
21. to prevent riders of mass transit systems...

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Further discussion? Senator Grotberg.

24. SENATOR GROTBORG:

25. Well, again, I'm...I'm with Gene Barnes on this whole proposition
26. of two way radios. I'm just wondering why a State Statute mandating
27. it, when all they got to do is ask for the Federal money and put the
28. radios in tomorrow.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Further discussion? Senator Lemke may close.

31. SENATOR LEMKE:

32. I ask for a favorable roll call.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. The question is shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommen-
2. dations of the Governor as to House Bill 1802 in the manner and
3. form just stated by Senator Lemke. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
4. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
5. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
6. On that question the Ayes are 33. The Nays are 18. None Voting
7. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
8. Bill 1802, having received the required majority vote of Senators
9. elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 1812, Senator Lemke.
10. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

11. SECRETARY:

12. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
13. as to House Bill 1812 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
14. Senator Lemke.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Senator Lemke.

17. SENATOR LEMKE:

18. I ask for a favorable roll call and to accept the Governor's
19. Specific Recommendation. What the Governor did here is the...
20. originally the bill was ambiguous and did not precisely effect its
21. intent that demolished buildings and lots on which the stand could
22. be lienable. So, what the Governor's language does is clarifies
23. it, makes it clear that a lien will lie based on the removal or
24. demolition of a house or structure. I ask for a favorable roll call.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
27. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 1812
28. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Lemke. Those in favor
29. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
30. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On
31. that question the Ayes are 56. The Nays are none. None Voting
32. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
33. Bill 1812, having received the required majority vote of Senators

1. elected are declared accepted. House Bill 1914, Senator Lemke.

2. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

3. SECRETARY:

4. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
5. as to House Bill 1914 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
6. Senator Lemke.

7. SENATOR LEMKE:

8. What the Governor did here was he...he removed the provision
9. about filling Congressional vacancies and he revised the bill as
10. to the post-primary reports and campaign contributions and this...
11. this would require the filing of post-primary reports or campaign
12. contributions as the bill was originally put in its form. I ask
13. for a favorable roll call and the acceptance of the Amendatory
14. Veto.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

16. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
17. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 1914
18. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Lemke. Those in favor
19. vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all
20. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
21. question the Ayes are 49. The Nays are 2. 3 Voting Present. The
22. Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 1914,
23. having received the required majority vote of Senators elected,
24. are declared accepted. House Bill 1975, Senator Knuppel. Read
25. the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

26. SECRETARY:

27. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
28. as to House Bill 1975 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
29. Senator Knuppel.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Knuppel.

32. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

33. Mr. Chairman, what this bill did was allow people who work less

1. than full time to participate in the State Insurance Plan. It
2. got mixed up some way, and I don't know just exactly how, with
3. House Bills 593 and 1306 dealing with CETA participants who have
4. to be...have to be covered by such health insurance and so forth
5. and for which the Federal government furnishes the money and I
6. assume that this is something that had to be done to bring the
7. two bills into...the three bills into some type of counterjuxta-
8. position to each other and so I would...I would recommend that
9. we accept the Governor's amendatory language.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
12. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 1975
13. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Knuppel. Those in
14. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
15. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Senator Savickas.
16. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question the
17. Ayes are 53. The Nays are none. None Voting Present. The Specif-
18. ic Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 1975, having
19. received the required majority vote of Senators elected, are
20. declared accepted. House Bill 1986, Senator Graham. Read the
21. motion, Mr. Secretary. 1986. Read the motion.

22. SECRETARY:

23. I move to accept the Specific Recommendation of the Governor
24. as to House Bill 1986 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
25. Senator Graham.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Graham.

28. SENATOR GRAHAM:

29. Mr. President, I...I want to explain very specifically to the
30. membership in case they have forgotten what this bill does. It was
31. introduced at the behest of the Department of Law Enforcement
32. under the consolidation of State Police, Law Enforcement and others,
33. to better effect their pensions plans and to get them all embraced

1. in...under one plan. There was some opposition to it which
2. temporarily diminished and since then has been reunited, reinstated.
3. I happen to think perhaps under the new consolidation form of
4. government and time to cut down our agencies that this is a good
5. bill. I would be less than honest with you if I told you that
6. the Illinois State Police were for it. They are not. It...they are
7. not willing, as I understand it, and being very candid with you,
8. they are not willing to trade the consolidation of their pension
9. systems for the abolition of the words State...for the words State
10. Police. The bill provided that they would be law enforcement offic-
11. ers. They want to have it remain as Illinois State Police. So,
12. the Amendatory Veto, sent up by the Governor, deleted State Police
13. that was put in the bill last year when we sent it down to him
14. and put back in Department of Law Enforcement. That's what the
15. Amendatory Veto does. I ask for your consideration of it and I've
16. explained it as honestly and as fairly as I know how.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there discussion? Senator Johns.

19. SENATOR JOHNS:

20. Now, Senator Graham, you kind of waffled through that just
21. a little bit, but do you...do you maintain that the words State
22. Police will be retained or do you say that it will be deleted and now
23. the Department of Law Enforcement terminology will be implemented.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Graham.

26. SENATOR GRAHAM:

27. As I understand the Amendatory Veto, in that section, that
28. State Police will be deleted and the Department of Law Enforcement
29. is inserted.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Johns.

32. SENATOR JOHNS:

33. Well, I'd just like for my colleagues to know that the State

1. Police would very much desire to be and retain the connotation of
2. State Police. I think it's the...morale of the police is not as much
3. as...and high as I'd like for it to be and I think this is a very
4. small request on their part and I would urge...urge that we retain
5. the words State Police and vote accordingly.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Further discussion? Senator Graham may close.

8. SENATOR GRAHAM:

9. Mr. President, I think I explained that absolutely fairly,
10. the position of Troop 41 of the Illinois State Police. I explained
11. it. I gave you where their position...what their position is.
12. All I want to do by calling this up for a vote is find out what
13. our position is. I ask for a roll call.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. The question is shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommen-
16. dations of the Governor as to House Bill 1986 in the manner and form
17. just stated by Senator Graham. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
18. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
19. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
20. On that question the Ayes are 16. The Nays are 29. 2 Voting
21. Present. The Senate fails to accept the Specific Recommendations
22. of the Governor as to House Bill 1986. House Bill 2331, Senator
23. Knuppel. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

24. SECRETARY:

25. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
26. as to House Bill 2331 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
27. Senator Knuppel.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Knuppel.

30. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

31. All the Governor's amendatory language does is put the money
32. in the General Revenue Fund rather than back to the Adjutant General.
33. It was earmarked in the original bill. It probably shouldn't have

1. been so I'm saying let's accept the recommendations of the Governor.
2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
4. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 2331
5. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Knuppel. Those in
6. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
7. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
8. On that question the Ayes are 57. The Nays are none. None Voting
9. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
10. Bill 2331, having received the required majority vote of Senators
11. elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 2355, Senator Carroll.
12. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

13. SECRETARY:

14. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
15. as to House Bill 2355 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
16. Senator Carroll.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Carroll.

19. SENATOR CARROLL:

20. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
21. Senate. Senator Walsh, I'm prepared to explain to you more than
22. you'd ever care to know about this piece of legislation. This dealt
23. with the Office of the Comptroller and dealt with the amount of
24. claims and when they could write them off. The Governor felt that
25. in one particular area, we had not set any guidelines and, there-
26. fore, felt that it was an improper delegation of legislative
27. authority. He had amendatorily vetoed out that particular part and
28. we are recommending accepting the Governor's Amendatory Veto on
29. House Bill 2355 so that we will then establish the conditions upon
30. which offsets can be made.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
33. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 2355

1. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Carroll. Those
2. in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The Voting is open.
3. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
4. On that question the Ayes are 56. The Nays are none. None Voting
5. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
6. Bill 2355, having received the required majority vote of Senators
7. elected, are declared accepted. House Bill 2357, Senator Carroll.
8. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

9. SECRETARY:

10. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
11. as to House Bill 2357 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
12. Senator Carroll.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Senator Carroll.

15. SENATOR CARROLL:

16. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
17. This is the second in a grouping of bills from the Comptroller
18. who had asked that the definition of commodities be restricted
19. when EDP was involved. The Governor's Amendatory Veto felt that
20. it was unnecessarily restrictive for there are smaller agencies...
21. that do not have specific appropriations for electronic data pro-
22. cessing and, therefore, have to use the general catch-all of
23. commodities and we would accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto,
24. making that change and I would ask for a favorable vote.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate accept
27. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House Bill 2357
28. in the manner and form just stated by Senator Carroll. Those in
29. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have
30. all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
31. On that question the Ayes are 54. The Nays are 1. None Voting
32. Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
33. Bill 2357, having received the required majority vote of Senators

1. elected, are declared accepted. Senator Carroll on 2358. Read
2. the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

3. SECRETARY:

4. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
5. as to House Bill 2358 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
6. Senator Carroll.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Senator Carroll.

9. SENATOR CARROLL:

10. Thank you, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
11. Senate. House Bill 2358, amongst other things, required certain...
12. that any contracts that would have to be filed with the Comptroller,
13. that the Comptroller would establish what information he wanted on
14. those contracts. The Governor has asked that that be changed to
15. the general nature of the information can be proscribed by the
16. Comptroller, not the exact wording, and I would ask that we accept
17. the Governor's Amendatory Veto on 2358.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate
20. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
21. Bill 2358 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Carroll.
22. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
23. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take
24. the record. On that question the Ayes are 55. The Nays are none.
25. None Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations of the Governor
26. as to House Bill 2358, having received the required majority vote
27. of Senators elected, are declared accepted. 2467, Senator Berman.
28. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

29. SECRETARY:

30. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
31. as to House Bill 2467 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
32. Senator Berman.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

34. Senator Berman is recognized.

1. SENATOR BERMAN:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. I'm handling this bill for
3. Senator Maragos in his absence. This bill dealt with the payment
4. of demolition liens from insurance proceeds. The Governor's
5. Amendatory Veto addressed some problems that were raised by the
6. City of Chicago and the Amendatory Veto limits the changes that
7. were made there to...the problems raised by the City. I move
8. to accept the Governor's Amendatory Veto.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Is there discussion of the motion? The question is shall
11. the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
12. as to House Bill 2467 in the manner and form just stated by
13. Senator Berman. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote
14. Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
15. who wish? Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 53.
16. The Nays are none. 1 Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations
17. of the Governor as to House Bill 2467, having received the required
18. majority vote of Senators elected, are declared accepted. House Bill
19. 2746, Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary,
20. please.

21. SECRETARY:

22. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
23. as to House Bill 2746 in the manner and form as follows. Signed,
24. Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Jeremiah Joyce is recognized.

27. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

28. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The
29. Governor's Amendatory Veto to House Bill 2746 is in line with the
30. original intent of the sponsor. It recommends...the recommendation
31. provides that the authority conferred by this bill does not extend
32. to home rule unit. I move its acceptance.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Is there discussion? The question is shall the Senate
2. accept the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as to House
3. Bill 2746 in the manner and form just stated by Senator Jeremiah
4. Joyce. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
5. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
6. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 55. The Nays are
7. 1. None Voting Present. The Specific Recommendations of the
8. Governor as to House Bill 2746, having received the required majority
9. vote of Senators elected, are declared accepted. We will now go
10. to the Order of Override of Specific Recommendations for Change.
11. House Bill 128, Senator Mitchler. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary,
12. please.

13. SECRETARY:

14. I move to...I move that House Bill 128 Do Pass, the Specific
15. Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
16. Signed, Senator Mitchler.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

18. Senator Mitchler.

19. SENATOR MITCHLER:

20. Mr. President and members of the Senate, House Bill 128
21. received a 52 to 2 vote when it passed the Senate after it had
22. passed the House. It's legislation amending the Personnel Code
23. and in the form we passed it, it extended the veteran's preference
24. to the surviving spouse, if unmarried, of either a disabled veteran
25. or a veteran killed in action. If the veteran was unmarried, the
26. preference goes to the first qualifying parent. Now, the Governor, in
27. the Amendatory Veto, indicated that he was in general agreement
28. with the objectives of the bill, but he took exception to the
29. parent of the disabled veteran or the veteran killed in action
30. being given the veteran's preference as is provided for the married
31. spouse. Now, in preparing his Veto Message, I don't understand why
32. he arrived at that unless it's just an individual assumption. I
33. don't know where he got his advice. He did not consult the House

1. sponsor or this sponsor in the Senate and merely that was his
2. idea, but it was the vote of 52 members of this Body that the
3. bill be passed in its original form and I, therefore, filed a
4. motion to override the Governor's Veto...Amendatory Veto and
5. recommendation for a Change, I should say, on House Bill 128 and
6. I'd ask for a favorable roll call.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
9. House Bill 128 pass, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
10. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
11. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
12. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record.
13. On that question the Ayes are 43. The Nays are 4. 1 Voting Present.
14. House Bill 128, having received the required three-fifths vote,
15. is declared passed, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
16. to the contrary notwithstanding. House Bill 211, Senator Vadalabene.
17. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

18. SECRETARY:

19. I move that House Bill 211 Do Pass, the Specific Recommendation
20. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
21. Vadalabene.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Vadalabene.

24. SENATOR VADALABENE:

25. Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. The
26. Governor's intent was to remove from this bill the provision
27. which allows districts to claim unemployment compensation payments
28. as an allowable cost for pupil transportation. However, in attempt-
29. ing to do so, the Governor inadvertently removed from the law
30. other items of allowable cost which have been available to districts
31. such as employee benefits. BOB, Bob Mandeville, is in agreement.
32. The State Board of Education are all in agreement to clear up the
33. matter next Session, so I move to the override which is in agree-
ment with the Governor's Office.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Davidson.

3. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

4. Mr. President and members of the Senate, I rise in support
5. of this override. The Amendatory Veto was done in error. We
6. have from the Governor's Office, the Bureau of the Budget
7. and IOE that this is...might, if there is such a term, a friendly
8. override. This is an item to correct an error that should not
9. have had the Amendatory Veto done as it was in the beginning
10. and I urge a Yes vote for the override.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

12. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is shall
13. House Bill 211 pass, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
14. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
15. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
16. Take the record. On that question the Ayes are 54. The Nays are
17. none. None Voting Present. House Bill 211, having received the
18. required three-fifths vote, is declared passed, the Specific
19. Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.

20.

(End of Reel)

21.

22.

23.

24.

25.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. I've been informed by the Secretary that we had two
3. motions on that specific bill, one by Senator Vadalabene
4. and one by Senator Davidson. Senator Davidson.

5. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

6. Withdraw the motion.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. House Bill 716, Senator Bruce. Read the motion, Mr.
9. Secretary.

10. SECRETARY:

11. I...

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. For what purpose does Senator Shapiro arise?

14. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

15. Mr. President, I wonder if I could ask the sponsor to hold
16. this bill? Senator Bruce, there is...we really haven't been
17. able to determine what the bill does, we expect our staff
18. person to have a analysis to us, maybe by the end of the day,
19. but for sure by tomorrow. So,...okay, thank you.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

21. Take it out of the record. House Bill 793, Senator Berning.
22. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

23. SECRETARY:

24. I move that House Bill 793 Do Pass the Specific Recommendations
25. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
26. Berning.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

28. Senator Berning.

29. SENATOR BERNING:

30. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I
31. think the Governor's advisors misinformed him, there is really
32. nothing in the language in the bill that would require all
33. printing contracts to be handled by outside vendors. The purpose
of the bill, simply was to provide that all printing that is

1. handled either by the State or by vendors, carry the label
2. printed by the authority of the State of Illinois. The
3. concern of the Governor was, that this might by some stretch
4. of the imagination require that all printing be done by
5. outside vendors, and there isn't anything in this language
6. of the bill that I can see that does this. Secondly the
7. ...the present Statute is confined to the Department of
8. Administrative Services, and that department is not the only
9. department that has printing facilities. So, that other
10. departments are able to do printing without carrying that
11. terminology, therefore it appears to me, and to the House
12. sponsor, that the Governor's Amendatory Veto is illadvised,
13. and I would respectfully request that we reject it and over-
14. ride his veto, Mr. President.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Is there further discussion? If not, the question is, shall
17. House Bill 793 pass, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
18. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye.
19. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
20. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who
21. wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 45,
22. the Nays are 1. None Voting Present. House Bill 793, having
23. received the required three-fifths vote is declared passed, the
24. Specific Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary not-
25. withstanding. House Bill 1774, Senator Johns. House Bill 1777,
26. Senator Knuppel. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. I move that House Bill 1777 Do Pass, the Specific Recommendations
29. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed,
30. Senator Knuppel.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

32. Senator Knuppel.

33. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

Mr. President, and members of the Body. This is for an

1. override...what this requires, is that the interest gained
2. from the Salmon Fund shall be and remain in the Fish Code,
3. or with the Fish Department...Fishing...Department of
4. Conservation, and that there be an annual auction of...of
5. hunting paraphernalia and so forth. C is for use in violation
6. of Game Code. We have little enough money in this area,
7. and these are a hearty group of people who want to see a
8. salmon population increased and the hunting and fishing
9. opportunities approved. I say this is a good bill, and we
10. should override the Governor. He's afraid that it'll start
11. a deluge, I don't think it will do anything of the such,
12. and this money all comes from the people who will be enjoying
13. the fruits of the...of the money.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

15. Is there further discussion? If not is...the question
16. is, shall House Bill 1777 pass, the Specific Recommendations
17. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in
18. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
19. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all
20. voted who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
21. are 35, the Nays are 15. None Voting Present. House Bill 1777
22. having failed to receive the required three...there's been
23. a request to postpone consideration of House Bill 1777. Postponed
24. Consideration. House Bill 2719, Senator Lemke. Read the motion,
25. Mr. Secretary.

26. SECRETARY:

27. I move that House Bill 2719 Do Pass, the Specific Recommendations
28. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
29. Lemke.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

31. Senator Lemke.

32. SENATOR LEMKE:

33. I ask for a favorable vote.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Is there further discussion? Senator Bloom.

3. SENATOR BLOOM:

4. Would the sponsor explain what the bill...did when
5. we passed it, what the Governor did, and why he'd like to
6. override the Specific Recommendation for Change?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

8. Senator Lemke.

9. SENATOR LEMKE:

10. What the bill originally did was, it authorized the
11. State to bring counter claims in the Court of Claim actions.
12. Authorized counter claims against the State in Circuit Court
13. actions. The Governor approves the authorization of State
14. counter claims in the Court of Claims, he recommends the
15. deletion of language which would allow individuals who are
16. sued by the State in Circuit Court to bring counter claims
17. against the State in the same court. He is concerned about
18. following major ramifications. This would have the application of
19. the doctrine of sovereign immunity a question of happenstance,
20. presently the State may be sued only in the Court of Claims.
21. The Circuit Court counter claim against the State could
22. ...end in a judgment of substantial amount, potentially
23. millions of dollars. This authorization could be interpreted
24. to...subject the State to the usual right of judgment creditors,
25. such as liens on property, and...and power to attach the funds.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

27. Is there any other debate? If not, the question is, shall
28. House Bill 2719 pass, the Specific Recommendations of the Governor
29. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye.
30. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
31. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. Been a request
32. for postponed consideration. Postponed Consideration, House
33. Bill 2719. We will now go to the Order of Consideration
Postponed. Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. Ladies and Gentlemen of the
3. Senate, just so everybody's aware of, we...we are kind of
4. waiting for the House, there are I'm told three or four
5. bills that they wish to act on and send over to us, additionally
6. they will shortly be convening, I'm told, their Special Session,
7. for the purpose of consideration of a bill before them, which
8. again I'm reliable informed they...they will attempt, at least,
9. to send over to us. In the meantime, we do have some matters
10. on the Calendar, one of which is Consideration Postponed. I
11. ...I don't see Senator Bloom on the...there he is, okay. He's
12. got one and I've got one, and then I think if...if the Body
13. is willing, we will just again proceed through the Calendar,
14. and attempt to pick up those, there were some members off the
15. Floor when their bills were originally called, we can just pick
16. up where we left off. Then I will suggest that we will move to
17. the Order of House Bills 1st, prior to that I think it might be
18. in order, so that everyone can understand the schedule around
19. here, if we had some discussion as to what the proposed program
20. is, and I will be willing to do that, at that time.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Walsh.

23. SENATOR WALSH:

24. Mr. President, it seems to me that it's extraordinary for
25. us to go to the Order of Postponed Consideration while there are
26. other matters on the Calendar waiting for action. In the short
27. time I've been here, we've addressed ourselves to Postponed
28. Consideration as the last order of business, on the last...on
29. the last day of Session, and I don't see any reason to...to
30. change that now, otherwise we'll be...conceivably hearing them,
31. you know, one right after the other, and I think we should wait
32. until...until after we finished addressing ourselves to the other
33. bills on the Calendar.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Your point is well made. Senator Rock.

3. SENATOR ROCK:

4. My...the only...we...we have been through the filed motions
5. one time. I just...I'm suggesting that if...if everybody
6. wishes we can go through it a second time. If you want to
7. do that now, let's do that. You know, all I'm trying to do
8. is get the work done so we can get out of here.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

10. Your point is very well made, Senator Rock. Is there
11. further...Senator Walsh?

12. SENATOR WALSH:

13. Mr. President, whose point was better made? We...we really haven't
14. done this before and I don't think we should do it now. If...
15. if we go through the Calendar again which I don't think is
16. a particularly good idea either, fine, but I don't think we
17. should get to Postponed Consideration until the last day we're
18. here. Which is the way we've done in the past, and if there
19. isn't anything else to do I think we should adjourn, and I'm
20. prepared to make such a motion.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

22. Senator Rock.

23. SENATOR ROCK:

24. Well, Senator Walsh, if you move to adjourn, and we do
25. adjourn at this point, we'll be in here next week. Now, if
26. that's what you want, you're probably standing alone. I don't
27. wish to be here next week.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

29. Senator Walsh.

30. SENATOR ROCK:

31. My suggestion is, again, Mr. President, let's start at
32. Override Total Veto. I have not...I think the podium has a
33. marked one, let's just go right through it again. Senator Bloom
requested to go Postponed, I don't frankly give a damn.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

2. Senator Bloom.

3. SENATOR BLOOM:

4. When? Scarlett, neither do I.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

6. As to Senator Rock's suggestion we will start with Over-
7. ride of Total Vetoes. We have House Bill 292, Senator Lemke.

8. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

9. SECRETARY:

10. I move that House Bill 292 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
11. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Lemke.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

13. Senator Lemke.

14. SENATOR LEMKE:

15. What this bill does, is allow arson investigators to carry
16. a weapon. The Governor stated in his rationale for vetoing the
17. bill, that a peaceofficer status should not be conferred on any
18. one without full and complete law enforcement training. What
19. this bill...this bill provides for full training, which is
20. equivalent to police training. Therefore, the Governor's
21. conclusion, I believe is erroneous.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

23. Senator Geo-Karis.

24. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

25. Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
26. As much as I like my Governor, I have to disagree with him
27. too, because people who are arsonists are a peculiar breed
28. they're, sick, sick, sick people, and you have to have people
29. who are trained in fires, and to combat fires to know how
30. to detect them, and to catch them much more so than just
31. police investigators. So, I would like to urge an override
32. of this veto.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

Is there further discussion? If not, Senator Lemke may

1. close debate.

2. SENATOR LEMKE:

3. I ask for a favorable roll call on my motion to override.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

5. The question is, shall House Bill 292 pass, the veto
6. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in
7. favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open.
8. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
9. record. On that question...Senator Lemke moves to postpone
10. consideration of House Bill 292. Consideration Postponed.
11. House Bill 430, Senator Lemke. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

12. SECRETARY:

13. I move that House Bill 430 Do Pass, the veto of the Governor
14. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Lemke.

15. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

16. Senator Lemke.

17. SENATOR LEMKE:

18. Hold that.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR SAVICKAS)

20. Take it out of the record. Senate...House Bill 2146,
21. Senator Joyce. Read the motion, Mr. Secretary.

22. SECRETARY:

23. I move that House Bill 2146 Do Pass, the Vote of the Governor
24. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Joyce.

27. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

28. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
29. Senate. House Bill 2146 increases in the annual incentive
30. to certified assessors from three hundred dollars to five hundred
31. dollars, and we passed legislation recently which doubled the
32. certification requirements, and directed substantial additional
33. annual training to quality for these payments. This legislation,

1. and this increase was recommended by the Governor's Advisory
2. Commission on taxes in its January 1979 report. We passed this
3. bill out of the Senate 54 to 0. It requires no additional
4. appropriation for 1980. It is a good bill, I ask your support,
5. and I ask you to override the Governor's Veto, and pass this
6. into law.

7. PRESIDENT:

8. Is there any discussion? Senator Netsch.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. A question of the sponsor. I'm not clear...excuse me,
11. Senator Joyce, why the bill was vetoed?

12. PRESIDENT:

13. Senator Joyce.

14. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

15. The...the bill was vetoed on the basis, the Governor
16. stated there are not sufficient funds.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Senator Netsch.

19. SENATOR NETSCH:

20. But it was part of the report of his committee, is that
21. right?

22. PRESIDENT:

23. Senator Joyce.

24. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

25. Senator Netsch, I feel that I could be asking the same
26. questions that you are.

27. PRESIDENT:

28. Senator Netsch.

29. SENATOR NETSCH:

30. I...I support the bill, and I support your attempt to over-
31. ride, and I'm just somewhat confused, I'm not sure that every-
32. one understands why there would be vetoed something that
33. was part of the Governor's own program, that is why he would have

1. vetoed it, and what you're saying is that he said that maybe
2. there's not enough money around right now.

3. PRESIDENT:

4. Senator Joyce.

5. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

6. Well, this bill does not require an appropriation for
7. 1980.

8. PRESIDENT:

9. Senator Netsch. Senator Joyce.

10. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

11. I ask for your favorable...

12. PRESIDENT:

13. No...no the other Senator Joyce. Senator Joyce.

14. SENATOR JEROME JOYCE:

15. Maybe I can explain it, I think the Governor probably thought
16. I sponsored it, and he vetoes all my bills.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Any further discussion? Senator Joyce may close.

19. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

20. I ask for your favorable vote.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. The question is, shall House Bill 2146 pass, the veto
23. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in
24. favor will vote Aye. Those opposed will vote Nay. The
25. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
26. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes
27. are 53, the Nays are none. None Voting Present. House
28. Bill 2146, having received the required three-fifths vote
29. is declared passed, the veto of the Governor to the contrary
30. notwithstanding. Senator Bruce.

31. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

32. On the Order of accepting Specific Recommendation for
33. Change, we have one additional motion, and that is that of
Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Mr. Secretary, read the motion, please.

423662
10/31/79

1. SECRETARY:

2. I move to accept the Specific Recommendations of the
3. Governor as to House Bill 662 in the manner and form as
4. follows. Signed, Senator Jeremiah Joyce.

5. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

6. Senator Joyce.

7. SENATOR JEREMIAH JOYCE:

8. Thank you, Mr. President, and members of the Senate.
9. The recommendation of the Governor changes the way that
10. the members of the Board of Appeals are appointed. In the
11. original legislation as passed, two members...in the original
12. legislation that...that we had, the President of the Senate
13. would appoint a member, the Speaker of the House would
14. appoint a member, and three members would be appointed, would
15. be local government officials. The change provides that the
16. Governor should...shall appoint the members with the advice
17. and consent of the Senate, and I move this Body accept the
18. change.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Is there discussion of the motion? The motion is to accept.
21. The question is, shall the Senate accept the Specific Recommendations
22. of the Governor as to House Bill 662, in the manner and form
23. just said by Senator Jeremiah Joyce. Those in favor vote Aye.
24. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted
25. who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
26. question, the Ayes are 52, the Nays are 1. 2 Voting Present.
27. The Senate...the Specific Recommendations of the Governor as
28. to House Bill 662, having received the required majority vote
29. of Senators elected are declared accepted. We have on the
30. ...under the Order of Override, for Specific Recommendations
31. for Change, Senator John's motion on House Bill 1774. Read
32. the motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

33. SECRETARY:

1. I move that House Bill 1774 Do Pass, the Specific Re-
2. commendations of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
3. Signed, Senator Johns.

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. Senator Johns is recognized.

6. SENATOR JOHNS:

7. Thank you, Mr. President. The Governor's Veto, the rationale
8. was that he changed the amendatory...the manditory annual
9. auctions to an occasional auctions to be held at the discretion
10. of the Department of Conservation. Now, if you're not familiar
11. with the bill, let me go back a step. What we're talking about,
12. this is Gale Schisler's bill in the House, House Bill 1774, any
13. property forfeited to the State, because of violation of the
14. Game Code, shall be auctioned annually. All interest, and that
15. is a specific part of this bill, the interest, that accrues
16. to the Game and Fish Fund, and the...and the Salmon Fish Fund,
17. shall be deposited in those funds. Well, the Governor in his
18. selection of actions, chose to put that back into the General
19. Revenue Fund. But we think that that money belongs to the...
20. to the Wildlife people, the people that pay the bills for
21. hunting and fishing, and we wanted that money to go back, the
22. interest that accrued to the Fish and Wildlife Fund, and the
23. House overrode the Amendatory Veto, the sponsoring arguing...
24. the sponsor arguing that Game and Fish Fund monies are really
25. generated from hunters and fishermen and that this money,
26. including the interest, should be used for conservation purposes.
27. I feel likewise, I'd like to know how you feel. I would appreciate
28. your vote.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Is there discussion? Senator Knuppel.

31. SENATOR KNUPPEL:

32. Well, this is good legislation, and it's an anti-diversionary
33. thing, and...and has been pointed out this money really belongs
to the people who hunt and fish, and pay for the Game Code licenses,

1. and it will only go to improve recreation for all of us, whether
2. we live in town or in the country.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Further discussion? Senator Bowers.

5. SENATOR BOWERS:

6. Would the sponsor yield to a question?

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. He indicates he will yield. Senator Bowers.

9. SENATOR BOWERS:

10. I am told that all other special funds...in all...in the
11. case of all other special funds, the interest goes to the
12. General Revenue Fund, and this...if this particular provision
13. passed, this would be the only special fund...the State of
14. Illinois where the interest did not accrue to the General
15. Revenue Fund. Can you enlighten me on that, is that a correct
16. statement?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Johns.

19. SENATOR JOHNS:

20. I'm sorry, I cannot. I have never studied that in depth,
21. I only know that the intent of this bill, was to keep fish
22. and wildlife, and conservation flowing, and I know what the
23. sponsor's intent is. I know his wishes, I'd like to pursue
24. those, and I...I'm sorry Senator, I do not know the answer to
25. that inquiry.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Bowers.

28. SENATOR BOWERS:

29. Well, Mr. President. My source of information is pretty
30. well informed and it just seems to me that if that's the
31. truth and the fact, that is to say, that all special...the interest
32. earned in all special funds does go to the General Revenue Fund,
33. I can't for the life of me see what's so special about the...
this particular fund, that it should be handled differently.

1. Now, if we decide as a matter of public policy, that that
2. interest ought to stay in the special funds, then I would
3. suggest that the sponsors ought to come in with a bill on
4. all funds. I...I for the life of me can't understand why
5. this one is of particular significance over and above some
6. of the others, and under those circumstances I would hope
7. we would sustain the veto of the Governor.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Shapiro.

10. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

11. Well, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
12. Senate. I want to just reinforce what the previous speaker
13. has said, as laudable as the idea may sound, if we start it
14. in this one special fund, we will have to do it with every
15. other special fund, and right now, the interest earned on
16. these special funds goes into the General Revenue Fund,
17. and it provides a substantial amount of money to the operation
18. of State Government, and I would urge that the Governor's
19. Specific Recommendations be not overridden, and another problem
20. just pointed out to me, is the bookkeeping involved.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Further discussion? Senator Berning.

23. SENATOR BERNING:

24. Well, thank you, Mr. President. There seems to me to be
25. a little difference between 1774 and 1777 which we just
26. considered, and while 1777 was put on Postponed Consideration
27. the arguments should pertain to them both. It appears to
28. me that these are earmarked funds. We have a precedent for
29. interests accruing to earmarked funds with the highway funds.
30. There is no difference in my view between one type of ear-
31. marked funds and another. The interest ought to accrue to those
32. particular earmarked funds in all fairness. The General Revenue
33. account has been the beneficiary of these interests in this
particular instance, but that doesn't necessarily argue for the

HB 2649
Emergency
10-31-79

1. continuation of that. These funds are properly administered,
2. they need additional money, and in this case it represents about
3. two hundred thousand dollars, which can be effectively used
4. to promote the objectives of the...the Game Code. I would
5. suggest that this is a proper procedure, and if there is
6. a question about whether the department should be holding
7. public auctions, we can in the next Session in my judgment,
8. amend the bill and provide for sealed bids, which would
9. accomplish the same thing, and eliminate any need for the
10. type of an auction which some people seem to have a concern
11. for.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Further discussion? Senator Johns may close.

14. SENATOR JOHNS:

15. I think it's been discussed enough, and time is of the
16. utmost essence. I'd appreciate a favorable roll call.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. The question is, shall the...shall House Bill 1774 pass,
19. the Specific Recommendations of the Governor to the contrary
20. notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
21. vote Nay. The voting is open. Senator Buzbee. Have all
22. voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
23. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are
24. 31, the Nays are 17. None Voting Present. The motion having
25. failed to receive the required three-fifths vote is lost,
26. and the bill is declared defeated. Is there leave to go to
27. the Order of Overriding Item Reductions? Leave is granted.
28. On the Order of Restoring Reduced Items, are seven motions
29. relative to House Bill 2649. Senator Rock...read the first
30. motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

31. SECRETARY:

32. I move that the item on page 24, line 4 of House Bill
33. 2649 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the
contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

2. Senator Rock is recognized.

3. SENATOR ROCK:

4. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
5. the Senate. This series of seven motions will restore as
6. the House did, some 6.3 million dollars to the various Line
7. Item Categorical Reimbursement Grants, that are afforded to
8. the Special Education System in our State. The first motion
9. is to restore one million dollars to Special Ed Private Tuition.
10. Even with this restoration we are still not fully funding, and
11. we will be I'm told at about an eighty-eight percent of the
12. formula funding. It just seems to me the State Board of
13. Education has recommended that these funds be restored. The
14. House has seen fit to restore them. This is for private tuition
15. for the severely and profoundly handicapped, and I would urge
16. 30 votes to restore this money.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the item
19. on page 24, line 4, of House Bill 2649 be restored, the Item
20. Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
21. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
22. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
23. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 42, the Nays
24. are 11. 1 Voting Present. The item on page 24, line 4, of
25. House Bill 2649, having received the required majority vote
26. of Senators elected is declared restored, the Item Reduction
27. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Read the
28. next motion, Mr. Secretary.

29. SECRETARY:

30. I move that the item on page 24, line 8, of House Bill 2649,
31. be restored the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary
32. notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

Senator Rock.

1. SENATOR ROCK:

2. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
3. the Senate. The Reduction Veto of this line is for special
4. education, what's called extraordinary expense, it's in the
5. amount of five hundred thousand dollars. This again, pertains
6. to the severely and profoundly handicapped, but is reimbursement
7. for those youngsters who are served directly by the school
8. district, even with this restoration we will only be at again,
9. eighty-one percent of the formula, It was a step forward we
10. thought at the time we passed it, the House and the State
11. Board of Education recommend we restore and so do I. I
12. urge an Aye vote.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the item
15. on page 24, line 8, of House Bill 2649 be restored, the
16. Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
17. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
18. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
19. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the
20. Nays are 18. None Voting Present. The item on page 24,
21. line 8, of House Bill 2649, having received the required
22. majority vote of Senators elected, is declared restored, the
23. Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
24. Read the next motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

25. SECRETARY:

26. I move that the item on page 24, line 13, of House Bill
27. 2649, be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the
28. contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. Senator Rock is recognized.

31. SENATOR ROCK:

32. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
33. the Senate. This line pertains to special education personnel

1. reimbursement, and again the decision of the Assembly, this
2. will bring it to...with this restoration, we will be at about
3. ninety-nine percent of where we should be. It was a decision
4. again that was concurred in during the Regular Session, the
5. House has seen fit to restore it at the recommendation of the
6. State Board, provides one million dollars back to the school
7. districts for special ed personnel, and I would urge an Aye
8. vote.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. Is there discussion? Senator Berning.

11. SENATOR BERNING:

12. Just a question, Mr. President of the sponsor. Either
13. I misunderstood you or the information that I have is incorrect,
14. that indicates to me here that the reduction was a hundred
15. eighteen million dollars. You say it was one million, is that
16. correct?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Rock.

19. SENATOR ROCK:

20. Well, the Governor's Act...we...the General Assembly appropriated
21. a hundred and nineteen million, the Governor reduced it to a
22. hundred and eighteen million, so the total reduction which I'm
23. asking the restoration of is, one million dollars.

24. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

25. Senator Berning. Further discussion? The question is,
26. shall the item on page 24, line 13, of House Bill 2649...
27. Senator Rhoads did you wish to...the question is then, shall
28. the item on page 24, line 13, of House Bill 2649 be restored,
29. the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
30. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting is
31. open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
32. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 31, the Nays
33. are 17. None Voting Present. The item on page 24, line 13,
of House Bill 2649, having received the required majority vote

1. of Senators elected is declared restored, the Item Reduction
2. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. House Bill 20...
3. further motions, Mr. Secretary. Read the motion, please.

4. SECRETARY:

5. I move that the item on page 24, line 22, of House Bill
6. 2649, be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the
7. contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Rock.

10. SENATOR ROCK:

11. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of
12. the Senate. The amount involved in this Line Item restoration,
13. is five hundred thousand dollars, and it's again reimbursement
14. to the school districts for children who are placed either
15. in foster care, or in the line..it's called special orphanages.
16. So, these are...this is reimbursement to the school districts,
17. even with this restoration, we will be at roughly ninety
18. percent of what the Statute demands, and I would urge an Aye
19. vote.

20. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

21. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the item on
22. page 24, line 32, of House Bill 2649 be restored, the Reduction...
23. the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
24. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
25. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
26. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 33, the Nays
27. are 19. None Voting Present. The item on page 24, lines 22,
28. of House Bill 2649, having received the required majority
29. vote of Senators elected, is declared restored, it Item Reduction
30. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Further motions,
31. Mr. Secretary.

32. SECRETARY:

33. I move that the item on page 25, line 11, of House Bill 2649
be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary

1. notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Senator Rock.

4. SENATOR ROCK:

5. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
6. Senate. This is again a one million dollar item, and it's
7. for pupil transportation. I am told that this is...pretains
8. to downstate school districts, it's reimbursement for transportation
9. of the children in need of special education, even with this
10. restoration, we will again only be at about ninety percent
11. of where we should be, and I would urge an Aye vote.

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the item on
14. page 25, line 11, of House Bill 2649 be restored, the Item
15. Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
16. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The
17. voting is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted
18. who wish? Take the record. On that question, the Ayes 38,
19. the Nays are 14. None Voting Present. The item on page
20. 25, line 11, of House Bill 2649, having received the required
21. majority vote of Senators elected, is declared restored, the
22. Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
23. Further motions, Mr. Secretary, please.

24. SECRETARY:

25. I move that the item on page 25, line 16, of House Bill
26. 2649 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the
27. contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Rock is recognized.

30. SENATOR ROCK:

31. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
32. Senate. I had the last line and this line mixed up, the last
33. line which we just favorably acted on was for regular pupil
transportation, downstate transportation. This specifically

1. 2516...page 25, line 16, is a 1.5 million dollar restoration
2. for the purpose of special ed transportation, again it was...
3. even with this, we will only be at about ninety percent
4. of where we should be. I think it's worthy of our favorable
5. action, and I urge you to act favorably.

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator...is there discussion of the motion to restore?
8. Senator Rhoads.

9. SENATOR RHOADS:

10. Just to clear the record, this does affect suburban Cook,
11. correct Senator?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. Is there further discussion? Senator Netsch.

14. SENATOR NETSCH:

15. A question of the sponsor. Does it affect Chicago, Senator
16. Rock?

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Rock.

19. SENATOR ROCK:

20. Yes.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Senator Netsch.

23. SENATOR NETSCH:

24. Would you explain how?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Rock.

27. SENATOR ROCK:

28. Okay, the total that we passed in the Assembly was forty
29. million dollars, the Governor reduced it down to thirty-eight
30. five. It's a reimbursement for costs incurred at about an
31. eighty percent level, frankly how much the city or the county
32. or downstate gets, I...I simply don't have that information.
33. My...my recollection is when we had testimony in Senator Buzbee's
committee, was that the split was...was not overwhelmingly in favor

1. of one or the other. It's a...it's a straight reimbursement
2. program.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Further discussion? Senator Berman. Oh, I'm sorry, Senator
5. Netsch.

6. SENATOR NETSCH:

7. What you are saying though, is that Chicago does benefit
8. to some extent from the special ed transportation reimbursement,
9. unlike every other form of subsidy for pupil transportation
10. currently in the State of Illinois, is that correct?

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Senator Rock.

13. SENATOR ROCK:

14. I'm sorry I missed your question. Oh, it was a rhetorical
15. question. I'll yield to Senator Berman, he's much more expert
16. on this, than am I.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator Berman.

19. SENATOR BERMAN:

20. Senator Netsch stated what I was about to say, and that her
21. statement is correct.

22. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

23. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee.

24. SENATOR BUZBEE:

25. Well, the rhetorical question, I think cannot go unanswered.
26. The Senator from Chicago is absolutely correct, that every other
27. form of pupil transportation dollar does go to downstate schools,
28. however I would point out to you that there are other goodies
29. that are built into the School Aid Formula which benefits the City
30. of Chicago much more than it...benefits downstate schools. So,
31. you know, this is...this is...we get ours coming back this way.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Is there further discussion? Further discussion? The
question is, shall the item on page 25, line 16, of House Bill
2649 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the

1. contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
2. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who
3. wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that
4. question, the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 13. None Voting Present.
5. The item on page 25, line 16, of House Bill 2649, having
6. received the required majority vote of Senators elected is
7. declared passed, the Item Reduction of...is declared restored,
8. the Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
9. House Bill 2649, further motions, Mr. Secretary?

10. SECRETARY:

11. I move that the item on page 26, line 31, of House Bill
12. 2649 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the
13. contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Rock.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Senator Rock to explain the last motion.

16. SENATOR ROCK:

17. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the
18. ...of the Senate. The last item is an additional or a...calling
19. for an restoration of three hundred thousand dollars for the
20. purpose of paying the Regional Superintendents salaries. You
21. will recall, I'm sure that we did afford these Ladies and
22. Gentlemen a pay increase, this is the amount necessary, the
23. Governor apparently took action prior to that pay increase
24. successfully, being approved by him. I'm told he has certainly no
25. objection to this, and I would urge an Aye vote.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the item
28. on page 26, line 31, of House Bill 2649 be restored, the
29. Item Reduction of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
30. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
31. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
32. Take the record. On that question, the Ayes are 46, the Nays
33. are 4. 2 Voting Present. The item on page 26, line 31, of House

1. Bill 2649, having received the required majority vote of
2. Senators elected, is declared restored, the Item Reduction
3. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Is there
4. further discussion? Further motions?

5. SECRETARY:

6. No further motions on 2649.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. All right, on the motion list handed out earlier today
9. there were four Gentlemen that have postponed their motions
10. for later consideration. Perhaps this would be an appropriate
11. time to get back to those. House Bill 233, Senator Schaffer.
12. House Bill 292, Senator Lemke. We will get to that matter
13. of business tomorrow. If I might have your attention. Five
14. additional motions have been filed since the printed list
15. was given to you this morning. I will call those out and
16. we will go to that order of business, so that the sponsors
17. might be ready. On the Order of Item...Item Vetoes. Senator
18. Berman has filed a motion on House Bill 2427, on page 20, and
19. 21. On House Bill 2427, Senator Philip has filed a motion on
20. on that bill, House Bill 2578, Senators Newhouse, and Senator
21. Wooten, have filed identical motions on that bill, they
22. will be considered at the same time, and on Reduction Vetoes
23. under that item of business, on House Bill 2578, Senator
24. Buzbee has filed a motion. We will go to that order of
25. business on Item Vetoes, House Bill 2427, any motions, Mr.
26. Secretary.

27. SECRETARY:

28. I move that the item on page 20, line 35, and on page 21,
29. lines 1 through 6, of House Bill 2427 Do Pass, the Item Veto
30. of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator
31. Berman.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Berman is recognized.

1. SENATOR BERMAN:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. Earlier today we considered
3. House Bill 2204, that was the substantive bill that dealt
4. with the authorization to allow capital expenditures for
5. construction of special education facilities by our public
6. schools throughout the State. The Governor had vetoed that
7. bill, and we overrode the veto as did the House. This is the
8. accompanying appropriation...or authorization bill for the
9. capital funds transfer for that expenditure, ten million
10. dollars, for the funding of the capital funds, for the
11. construction of the special education facilities. Again
12. under the substantive bill which we did override, there
13. are safeguards and an index, the school districts that
14. are poorest in resources get the highest grant index, they're
15. at the top of the line. This is the authorization for the
16. transfer of Capitol Funds, not General Revenue Funds, in line
17. with House Bill 2204. I ask for your favorable vote.

18. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

19. Is there discussion? Senator...Senator Walsh.

20. SENATOR WALSH:

21. Well, Mr. President, and members of the Senate. I think
22. we acted hastily in overriding the Governor's Veto on the ten
23. million dollars for this school construction, but apparently we're
24. going to get a second chance here, if we don't approve of this
25. motion, the ten million dollars will not be there from the
26. Capital Development Bonds which would be issued. I think we
27. should take a hard look at these bond issues, we did override
28. a veto which provided that agencies submit three years in advance
29. whether they're going to issue what their program is for
30. issuing bonds, and here we are in a few short minutes ignoring
31. that...that caveat, that warning that we're giving to agencies
32. telling them give us three years notice, and we're going to
33. in a few short minutes provide for the issuance of ten
million dollars in...in bonds, and I think it's a poor proposal,

1. and I would urge a No vote.

2. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

3. Further discussion? Senator Rhoads. Is there further
4. discussion? Senator Berman may close the debate.

5. SENATOR BERMAN:

6. Thank you, in response to Senator Walsh, I would point
7. out that the school districts have to submit a program and
8. a plan which must be approved by the State Board of Education.
9. This is the same type of requirements that Senator Walsh, I
10. believe is referring to. The reason for the veto of this bill,
11. was the Governor's Veto of 2204. We've overridden 2204, I think
12. we should be consistent. I ask for your Aye vote on 2427, the
13. Item Reduction...the Item Veto.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. The question is, shall the item on page 20, line 35,
16. and the item on page 21, lines 1 through 6, of House Bill
17. 2427 pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary
18. notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed
19. vote Nay. The voting is open. Have all voted who wish?
20. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
21. record. On that question, the Ayes are 34, the Nays are 15,
22. the sponsor asks that further consideration of the motion
23. be postponed. It will be placed on the Order of Postponed
24. Consideration. Senator Philip, on House Bill 2427. Read the
25. motion, Mr. Secretary, please.

26. SECRETARY:

27. I move that the item on page 28, lines 13 through 16, of
28. House Bill 2427 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to
29. the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Philip.

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Philip is recognized.

32. SENATOR PHILIP:

33. Thank you, Mr. President, and Ladies and Gentlemen of the

1. Senate. This restores five hundred and sixty-five thousand
2. dollars to the Space Needs Commission, which the Governor
3. vetoed. As you know during our Regular Session, Speaker
4. Redmond asked the State Fire Marshall to come in and check
5. our building, we found that we were in violation of the State
6. Fire Code. Unfortunately our communication was not good with
7. the Governor, we have sat down with the Governor and talked
8. about this problem, this is simply the planning money to put
9. this building in the shape it should be, so that we meet the
10. fire requirements of the State of Illinois. There is no
11. opposition from the Second Floor, and I've cleared it with the
12. President of the Senate.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Is there discussion? The question is, shall the item
15. on page 28, lines 13 through 16, of House Bill 2427 pass
16. the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
17. Those in favor vote Aye. Those opposed vote Nay. The voting
18. is open. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish?
19. Have all voted who wish? Take the record. On that question,
20. the Ayes are 39, the Nays are 13. None Voting Present. The
21. item on page 28, lines 13 through 16, in House Bill 2427,
22. having received the required three-fifths vote is declared
23. passed, the Item Veto of the Governor to the contrary notwithstanding.
24. House Bill 2578, Senators Newhouse and Wooten, have filed
25. identical motions. Senator Newhouse is recognized. Read the motion,
26. Mr. Secretary, please.

27. SECRETARY:

28. I move that the item on page 16, line 28 through 32, of
29. House Bill 2578 Do Pass, the Item Veto of the Governor to the
30. contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Newhouse, and also
31. a like motion signed by Senator Wooten.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. It's your option, Gentlemen. We...under our rules we must
take both motions at the same time. Senator Wooten.

1. SENATOR WOOTEN:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. The item that we...we are
3. both, and I think we speak for several requesting, be restored
4. is one hundred thousand dollars, which is start up costs for
5. pre-natal care. This would enable suburban Cook County, and
6. several downstate counties, Champaign...Will, Pike, Macon, Lee,
7. Vermilion, DeKalb, Rock Island, to capture Title 20 funds for
8. care of expectant mothers. It's an item of some importance to
9. public health departments, local public health departments,
10. in the counties I named as well as in suburban Cook, and I
11. don't detect any real sense of opposition to the whole move,
12. and I would request that...the Item Veto be overridden.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Is there discussion? Senator Regner.

15. SENATOR REGNER:

16. Question of the sponsor.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Indicates he will yield. Senator Regner.

19. SENATOR REGNER:

20. Senator Wooten, I understand this hundred thousand dollars
21. is start up money only for this program. Do you have any
22. idea what the future cost is going to be for this program?

23. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

24. Senator Wooten.

25. SENATOR WOOTEN:

26. I am lead to believe that the...this money is to start up
27. to capture Title 20 Funds, and that once the program is started,
28. it's not where you have a program accelerated building at all.
29. I'm lead to believe, by my local Health Department that this
30. is not a big ticket item, but the major money will come from
31. the Feds and this simply enables them to get in on the program.

32. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

33. Senator Regner.

SENATOR REGNER:

1. Well, in fourteen years, that would be the first
2. time that that ever happened that a new program, when you
3. had startup money, didn't cost anymore in the future. I
4. would assume that this program would be the same as virtually
5. every other new program that's started over my years down
6. here and we will have some extremely high costs in the
7. future, as the program does build up.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Further discussion? Senator Bloom.

10. SENATOR BLOOM:

11. Will the sponsor yield?

12. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

13. He indicates he will yield. Senator Bloom.

14. SENATOR BLOOM:

15. Yes, Senator Wooten, now does this motion address that
16. portion of the bill concerning high risk nurseries...parinatal?
17. I mean there are...there were various items of this bill that
18. were overridden. Oh, thank you, Senator Buzbee's cleared it up.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Senator Buzbee indicates that that is the next motion filed.

21. Further discussion? The question is, shall the item on...

22. I'm sorry, Senator DeAngelis.

23. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

24. Question of the sponsor, please.

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. He indicates he will yield. Senator De Angelis.

27. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

28. Senator Wooten, does not the current WIC Program cover
29. this particular area?

30. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

31. Senator Wooten.

32. SENATOR WOOTEN:

33. Senator De Angelis, I'll confess to you, that this is not
something in which I'm an expert, I...my House member asked me

1. if a motion had been filed, just a little while ago and I said
2. I don't know I'll file one just to cover us in case. My
3. understanding of this is simply from our County Health
4. Department, that they can institute a program for expectant
5. mothers using a considerable amount of Title 20 money,
6. which we probably ought not be involved in, it's a long
7. dispute as to whether or not the State should intervene, but
8. this enables them to capture some money, and as to the
9. details of the program, I don't know, it's just that we
10. want to start a maternal care program, and this is the
11. mechanism for doing it. The...the House restored it by
12. substantial margin, and I'm...was giving to understand there
13. really isn't that serious a problem with restoring the item. Beyond that.
14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. Further...Senator...Senator De Angelis.
16. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

17. Is there any other Senator that could possibly answer that
18. question?

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. There's been a request that you repeat your question now
21. that you've asked others to answer.

22. SENATOR DE ANGELIS:

23. Thank you, Mr. President. My question is, does not the
24. current WIC Program, which is the Women and Infant Care prenatal
25. program, it's a Title 20 Program, it includes also a nutritional
26. and prenatal examinations, not covered in this particular area
27. already.

28. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

29. Senator Wooten.

30. SENATOR WOOTEN:

31. If you'd like Senator I'd be glad to hold this to get specific...
32. program. My understanding is, that this is not duplicated by
33. any other program, but I'll get you a specific answer on that.

HB 2578
10-31-79

1. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
2. Is there leave to take it out of the record? Leave is
3. granted. On the Order of Reduction Vetoes, House Bill 2578,
4. Senator Buzbee has filed a motion. Read the motion, Mr.
5. Secretary, please.

6. SECRETARY:
7. I move that the item on page 17, line 30, of House
8. Bill 2578 be restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor
9. to the contrary notwithstanding. Signed, Senator Buzbee.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)
11. Senator Buzbee is recognized.

12.
13.
14.
15.
16.
17.
18.
19.
20.
21.
22.
23.
24.
25.
26.
27.
28.
29.
30.
31.
32.
33.

(END OF REEL)

1. SENATOR BUZBEE:

2. Thank you, Mr. President. This is an addition that was
3. made to the Department of Public Health's appropriation, in
4. the Appropriations Committee by Senator Daley and myself. One
5. of the ironies is that this is the program that Senator Bloom
6. asked about just a few minutes ago, as a matter of fact, the Tertiary
7. Care Centers for the high...high risk infants. One of the
8. ironies is that we, Senator Daley and I, agreed that we ought
9. to put additional funds for the Tertiary Care Centers as well
10. as funds for the Secondary Care Centers. The Secondary Care
11. Centers tend to be in smaller communities such as Carbondale,
12. Champaign, the Quad-Cities area, et cetera, whereas the
13. Tertiary Care Centers tend to be in Chicago, Rockford, Peoria
14. and Springfield. And then the Governor cut out all of the
15. funds for the Secondary Care Centers. He cut out some of the
16. additional funds for the Tertiary Care Centers. In the House
17. the attempt to override the Governor's reduction on the Tertiary
18. Care Centers was successful, the attempt to restore the funds
19. that he had cut completely from the Secondary Care Centers was
20. not successful. So I'm standing now asking for our concurrence
21. in the House's action on those Tertiary Care Centers and none
22. of those affect my district even in the most remote way with
23. the exception of when one of our babies is flown to St. Louis
24. or to Springfield or to a Chicago hospital. It is my intention
25. to do everything in my power to...convince the Governor and
26. the Department of Public Health to go ahead and fund those
27. Secondary Care Centers which they have encouraged the start
28. up of but now which there's no funds to...to reimburse the
29. families that take advantage of those Secondary Care Centers.
30. But in the meantime, that does not in any way negate the need
31. the Tertiary Care Centers have for these additional funds.
32. It is the difference between life and death sometimes. It is
33. the difference between...living a normal life and going through

1. life with something...with something less than...than the normal
2. facilities and faculties for a young...for a baby. So I would
3. ask for your favorable consideration in overriding this and say
4. at the same time that as every opportunity I have, I'm going
5. to try to get funds in that agency for the Secondary Care Centers
6. also.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. Further discussion? Senator Daley.

9. SENATOR DALEY:

10. Mr. President and fellow Senators. This is a...a very, very
11. vital program for children that really need it throughout Illinois.
12. I'd like to remind the Governor that it's the Year of the Child
13. and people have received publicity off that program dealing
14. with the Year of the Child. We talk about children being starved
15. in foreign countries and we start talking about Cambodia and
16. our illustrious Governor flies to Japan to figure out the economics
17. of Japan, but when it comes to a vital program like this, again
18. he'd turn his back on many, many children.

19. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

20. Further discussions? Senator Shapiro.

21. SENATOR SHAPIRO:

22. Well, Mr. President and Ladies and Gentlemen of the Senate.
23. I'd like to point out that this is just a...a reduction. It
24. isn't as if the Governor had vetoed the entire amount of money,
25. there's still in excess of three million dollars available for
26. the program and I think his reduction should be sustained.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further discussion? Senator Buzbee may close.

29. SENATOR BUZBEE:

30. Thank you, Mr. President. In...in closing I will address
31. Senator Shapiro's comments. I would like to point out that the
32. amount that is available is less than the Department of Public
33. Health paid out in FY-'79 for Tertiary Care Center reimbursement

1. to those...to those patients. Now the fact of the matter is
2. that the Department of Public Health has been way behind in
3. those reimbursements. They are now in the process of trying to
4. catch up. They're...one of the ironies of the Governor's
5. Reduction Veto is you're...you're correct, Senator Shapiro,
6. we added more on for Tertiary Care Centers than they had requested.
7. In his Reduction Veto he chose to reduce part of what we had
8. added on, left the balance and then completely cut out those
9. Secondary Care Center communities. I suspect that he was counting
10. votes in the Legislature when he did that...which upsets me to
11. no end. But the fact of the matter is that this money, if we
12. add it back, will still not be sufficient for the Tertiary Care
13. Centers for the patients that...that go in there. And let me
14. tell you who...who are the people who benefit from this. The
15. people who have high risk infants who cannot afford to pay their
16. own hospital bills. Now, who eats that cost, the hospital. But
17. we all know the providers do not, in fact, eat costs. It goes
18. right back on those of us who can afford to pay our bills
19. or who have adequate insurance or who are on Public Aid whose
20. bills would be paid for by Public Aid. But those people who
21. are in that income bracket where they...they don't have insurance,
22. they have...they make too much money to...to...be eligible for
23. Public Aid, but they have a high risk infant...and we're talking
24. about a minimum cost of five hundred dollars a day for these
25. kind of parents, minimum cost. It probably will amount to
26. more like two or three thousand dollars a day and so it seems
27. to me when we have the tremendous surpluses that we have in
28. our Treasury today and again, I'm not one that advocates
29. because we got money there, we ought to spend it all. But this
30. is a program that is meaningful, that's real life and death
31. sorts of issues and I think that we ought to go ahead and...and
32. ...and override his Reduction Veto.
33. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

1. Senator Davidson, Senator Buzbee was closing. Senator
2. Davidson.

3. SENATOR DAVIDSON:

4. I know he's closing, but he...is he talking about the
5. hundred thousand or the five hundred thousand, he talks about
6. Tertiary Care and then Senator Wooten had one on a hundred
7. thousand. Which motion is before us, please?

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Buzbee.

10. SENATOR BUZBEE:

11. This is the five hundred thousand dollars for the
12. Tertiary Care High Risk...Infant Care Centers where they have
13. neonatalogists et cetera, who are there to make sure that
14. babies live. The hundred thousand that Senator Wooten was
15. talking about was for prenatal, which is different from parinatal.

16. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

17. The question is shall the item on page 17, line 30 of
18. House Bill 2578 be restored the Item Reduction of the Governor
19. to the contrary notwithstanding. Those in favor vote Aye. Those
20. opposed vote Nay. The voting is open. Buzbee, Senator Buzbee.
21. Have all voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
22. record. On that question the Ayes are 38, the Nays are 15, none
23. Voting Present. The item on page 17, line 30 of House Bill 2578
24. having received the required majority vote of Senators elected
25. is declared restored, the Item Reduction of the Governor to the
26. contrary notwithstanding. For what purpose does Senator Johns
27. arise? Senator Rock.

28. SENATOR ROCK:

29. Yes, thank you, if I can have the attention of the member-
30. ship. Since we are in the closing days, or least we hope the
31. closing days, the House, I am told, has just begun, have just
32. gone into their Special Session and just begun the debate on
33. the legislation before them. We have a committee meeting
34. scheduled for four o'clock, Executive Appointments. My suggestion

1. is, and I've spoken with Senator Shapiro, that the Senate
2. will stand in recess until the hour of five o'clock and in
3. the meantime we can have the Executive Appointments meeting.
4. It will probably require a couple of hours or maybe not that
5. long, again depending on what the House does, but we will
6. probably be in Session from like five to seven for the purpose
7. of receipt of House Messages and making the appropriate motions,
8. if they're to be made. In the meantime, I am suggesting that
9. we recess until five o'clock and I've asked Senator Johns to
10. call for a caucus of the Democrats in my office immediately.

11. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

12. Announcements, Senator Johns.

13. SENATOR JOHNS:

14. Well, to reiterate what the President has just spoken,
15. Democratic caucus in the President's Office immediately
16. following this action on the Floor.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Senator...further announcements? Senator Netsch.

19. SENATOR NETSCH:

20. Senator Rock, there also is scheduled at four o'clock, a
21. meeting of the Special Committee on Title 20 related to DCFS
22. and other agencies. And we do have people who are invited in
23. as witnesses. I...that will give us only an hour for that
24. meeting. Have you any suggestions?

25. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

26. Senator Rock.

27. SENATOR ROCK:

28. Well I...we can recess till five-thirty if it'll be
29. helpful. I...we...we are just at the point, you know, that
30. we have to wait for the House Messages to come over. I...five,
31. there's no magic in five, five-thirty will be fine. I would
32. suggest you go ahead with your meeting at four, just like
33. Executive Appointments, but if we can get back...all I'm trying
34. to do is get us back here and get the business done and get out.

1. Five-thirty would be fine, if you need more time. I have no
2. objection to that.

3. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

4. Senator Netsch.

5. SENATOR NETSCH:

6. I would request at least that additional half hour then.

7. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

8. All right.

9. SENATOR NETSCH:

10. That will still give us only one hour and a half. We will
11. meet at four and, I guess what Senator Rock is saying is that
12. we...that the Senate as a whole will then recess till five-thirty.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. Right. Courtesy dictates we recognize Senator Graham next.

15. SENATOR GRAHAM:

16. Two things and...Senator Rock is on the Floor, is he not?
17. Yes. We're going to have a Republican Caucus too and...before
18. we get scattered all over the Capitol Building, Senator Rock
19. and myself and others today...got together and considered the
20. fact that we, perhaps have...erroneously...or cautiously or
21. casually or something...casually probably, adopted the resolution
22. ...the Death Resolution of W. Russell Arrington. We've done that
23. so often and I've objected to it and you've heard me many times
24. when I have said, many times in this...Senate, especially for
25. one of our fallen colleagues that...the Session of that day
26. should be adjourned with the reading of that resolution. We
27. didn't do it and...it sort of flew through here and in retrospect,
28. many of us feel that we did do the memory of Russ Arrington a
29. disservice. Senator Rock and myself and others...have contacted
30. Alan Dixon, our Secretary of State and the Governor, Speaker of
31. the House and others...to the effect that we are proposing a
32. memorial service for W. Russell Arrington at twelve o'clock, noon,
33. on Friday, in the Senate Chambers. His family has been notified.

1. I...know that it is appropriate we do that...do this, I know all
2. of you agree with us. I thank Senator Rock for cooperating
3. with us on this effort and...many, many people in this State
4. will feel much more comfortable toward the Illinois State Senate
5. if we do that in respect to one of our fallen colleagues who
6. is nationally considered as one of our great leaders. And I
7. thank you, Senator Rock, and we will plan on twelve o'clock,
8. noon, for the memorial service on Friday.

9. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

10. And a Republican caucus immediately?

11. SENATOR GRAHAM:

12. Immediately.

13. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

14. All right. Senator Daley.

15. SENATOR DALEY:

16. Mr. President and fellow Senators, as I understand it,
17. next week, Tuesday, we will be hearing the Sales Tax Bill,
18. we're going to have public hearings in the Revenue Committee,
19. I guess tomorrow, and then Tuesday we're going to be voting
20. upon it because a lot of the members are confused about it.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. All right. Senator Rock.

23. SENATOR DALEY:

24. Is that the program that we can have...hearings tomorrow
25. and Friday and then we'll come back the next week and have
26. the vote Tuesday?

27. SENATOR ROCK:

28. Well...

29. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

30. ...Senator Rock.

31. SENATOR ROCK:

32. ...Senator Daley, that's one of the purposes for the
33. mutual caucuses. To try to discuss among ourselves how we

1. wish to handle this. We have...at the beginning of the week, I
2. spoke with the Speaker and with Senator Shapiro and Representative
3. Ryan and there was talk of us coming back for additional days
4. in the month of November. Certain of the members...both Houses,
5. both sides of the aisle, didn't think that was so good an
6. idea. So we...we have to discuss an alternative plan or at
7. least discuss what...what our program ought to be.

8. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

9. Senator Daley.

10. SENATOR DALEY:

11. So the Republicans are going to have a vote, or we're going
12. to vote whether or not we have public hearings...on this issue?
13. Is that...is that why we're having a caucus?

14. SENATOR ROCK:

15. Well, that...that's one subject that I'm sure will come
16. up.

17. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

18. Is there further discussion? Senator Vadalabene, we've
19. been trying to get to you for quite awhile.

20. SENATOR VADALABENE:

21. Oh, there's no particular hurry. Just to remind everybody
22. at four o'clock, in the event we're out of our caucus by four o'clock
23. that **there** will be a meeting in Executive and Appointments.
24. I'd like for all the members to be there, it's a very important
25. meeting. Four o'clock in Room 212.

26. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

27. Senator Wooten.

28. SENATOR WOOTEN:

29. Senator Graham...I...I don't know how delicately I can put
30. this question, but by scheduling a memorial service for Senator
31. Arrington, who is the father of the modern Illinois Senate,
32. certainly ought to be honored, is that not presuming that
33. the conclusion reached at our caucuses will be that we will
34. try to conclude business this week. What happens, if in the

1. course of our caucuses, we decide to come back later and that
2. would give us no real purpose for being here on Friday. Or
3. I'm...I'm just wondering about that. What happens then to
4. the Memorial Service for Senator Arrington, if, in fact, we
5. are not in Session Friday?

6. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

7. Senator Graham.

8. SENATOR GRAHAM:

9. I think we'll cross that bridge when we get to it and
10. we...certainly have to remain flexible on this, point of
11. Senator Arrington's Memorial Service and we'll handle that
12. on the Floor at the time when we make that decision. As
13. of now, let's leave it stand for Friday subject to change.

14. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

15. All right. Further announcements? Senator Netsch.

16. SENATOR NETSCH:

17. Just to remind the specific members of the...DCFS Title
18. 20 Investigating Committee. Senators Buzbee, Collins, Johns,
19. Wooten, Bloom, Graham, Regner, Schaffer and Sommer. We will
20. meet at four o'clock in Room 400.

21. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

22. Further business. Senator Graham.

23. SENATOR GRAHAM:

24. So that I don't have to walk over to see the Senator from
25. Chicago, Senator Netsch, I will be in Executive for a time
26. anyway and I'll be there as soon as I can.

27. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

28. Further announcements? Senator Rock moves that the Senate
29. stand in recess until the hour of five-thirty. The motion to
30. recess, all in favor say Aye. Opposed Nay. The Ayes have it.
31. The Senate stands in recess until five-thirty. Senator Grotberg,
32. we've recessed.

33. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

1. Mr. President, We're going to recess until five-thirty for
2. the...only for the hearing of motions or are we going back into
3. Session at five-thirty? If so, what time tomorrow?

4. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

5. According to Senator Rock's statement, we will be here
6. to accept messages and take action on those Messages from the
7. House, which could include a myriad of things.

8. SENATOR GROTEBERG:

9. Five-thirty.

10. PRESIDING OFFICER: (SENATOR BRUCE)

11. Five-thirty. We will be in active Session at five-thirty. It is not
12. Perfunctory, it is full blown Session.

13. RECESS

14. AFTER RECESS

15. PRESIDENT:

16. All right, pursuant to the recess, the Senate will come to order.
17. If you'll turn to page 2 on the Calendar. The top of
18. page 2 on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, Senate Bill 1441,
19. Senator Moore. Read the bill, Mr. Secretary.

20. SECRETARY:

21. Senate Bill 1441.

22. (Secretary reads title of bill)

23. 3rd reading of the bill.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. We're on the Order of Senate Bills 3rd reading, top of
26. page 2, Senator Moore.

27. SENATOR MOORE:

28. Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Senate. Senate
29. Bill 1441 was advanced without reference. It changes the enabling
30. legislation of the Commission for Economic Development to increase
31. the Legislative members by four. One Republican, one Democrat
32. from the House, one Republican, one Democrat from the Senate. I
33. know of no objection to the business. The Commission's work is

1. increasing. I think there is a need for additional Legislative
2. members on the Commission and if there are no questions, I
3. would move for a favorable roll call, Mr. President.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Is there any discussion? If not, the question is shall
6. Senate Bill 1441 pass. Those in favor will vote Aye. Those
7. opposed will vote Nay. The voting is open. (Machine cut-off)
8. ...All voted who wish? Have all voted who wish? Take the
9. record. On that question the Ayes are 51, the Nays are none,
10. none Voting Present. Senate Bill 1441, having received the
11. constitutional majority is declared passed and the bill having
12. received the affirmative vote of three-fifths of the members
13. elected is effective immediately upon its becoming a law.
14. All right, gentlemen, if you'll turn now to page 4 on the
15. Calendar, we will move with leave of the Body to the Order of
16. House Bills 1st reading. Senator Bruce.

17. SENATOR BRUCE:

18. Mr. President, in light of our rules, those bills that
19. are presently on 1st reading, were...House Bills 2789, 290,
20. 92, 94, 97, 98, 99, 2800, 2804 and 2805. I now move to suspend
21. the rules and have those bills referred to the Committee on
22. Assignment of Bills so that they might properly be assigned
23. to a committee for consideration.

24. PRESIDENT:

25. All right, you've heard the motion. The motion is to suspend
26. the rules so that the bills can be referred to the Assignment of
27. Bills Committee. Discussion? Senator Graham.

28. SENATOR GRAHAM:

29. Some of these bills, perhaps, in view of the fact, are
30. we still going to try to get out? Are we still going to...

31. PRESIDENT:

32. May I have the attention of the membership, please.

33. SENATOR GRAHAM:

34. Is it the intention that we're still going to try to get

1. out of here Friday?

2. PRESIDENT:

3. Frankly, sir, it is not.

4. SENATOR GRAHAM:

5. You mean we lost that battle, huh?

6. PRESIDENT:

7. ...That battle, the war...the war is not over, the battle has

8. been lost.

9. SENATOR GRAHAM:

10. So then...then...then...

11. PRESIDENT:

12. We are...we...we will be here next...next week.

13. SENATOR GRAHAM:

14. Then there's...be adequate time then to have these go to

15. committee and to pass on them next week. Some of these bills are

16. pretty important.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. Yes...yes sir...

19. SENATOR GRAHAM:

20. As you know.

21. PRESIDENT:

22. Yes...yes, sir.

23. SENATOR GRAHAM:

24. Thank' you.

25. PRESIDENT:

26. Senator Shapiro. Yes, we will read the bills. I was just

27. trying to get Senator Shapiro's attention. The Secretary informs

28. me there's no...there's no sponsor for 2800. I...I have no knowledge

29. of the bill. All right. Senator Geo-Karis, for what purpose do

30. you arise?

31. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

32. Mr. President and Ladies and Gentleman of the Senate. You

33. mentioned...House Bill 2800?

1. PRESIDENT:
2. That's correct.
3. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:
4. And...I don't know, but I see...I take it back. I see now
5. on what page it is.
6. PRESIDENT:
7. All right, we're on page 4 on the Calendar. On the Order
8. of House Bills 1st reading. Senator Bruce has...Senator Bruce
9. has moved to suspend the rules and that the bills be read a first
10. time and...and referred to the Committee on Assignment. House
11. Bills 1st reading. 2879, Mr. Secretary.
12. SECRETARY:
13. House Bill 28...2789, Senator Graham is the Senate sponsor.
14. (Secretary reads title of bill)
15. 1st reading of the bill.
16. PRESIDENT:
17. Yes, Senator Graham, for what purpose...
18. SENATOR GRAHAM:
19. I...I hate to be...bothering you, but I would like for
20. 2789 and 28...the other bill I have, for Senator Regner to be
21. shown as a hyphenated sponsor.
22. PRESIDENT:
23. You've heard the request. Is leave granted? Leave is
24. granted. So ordered.
25. SECRETARY:
26. House Bill 2790, Senator Regner is the Senate sponsor.
27. (Secretary reads title of bill)
28. 1st reading of the bill.
29. House Bill 2792, Senator Martin is the Senate sponsor.
30. (Secretary reads title of bill)
31. 1st reading of the bill.
32. House Bill 2794, Senator Schaffer is the Senate sponsor.
33. (Secretary reads title of bill)

1. 1st reading of the bill.
2. House Bill 2797, Senator Nimrod is the Senate sponsor.
3. (Secretary reads title of bill)
4. 1st reading of the bill.
5. House Bill 2798, Senator Graham is the Senate sponsor.
6. (Secretary reads title of bill)
7. 1st reading of the bill.
8. Senate Bill 2799, Senator Rhoads is the Senate sponsor.
9. (Secretary reads title of bill)
10. 1st reading of the bill.
11. House Bill 2800, Senator Philip is the Senate sponsor.
12. (Secretary reads title of bill)
13. 1st reading of the bill.
14. House Bill 2804, Senator Carroll is the Senate sponsor.
15. (Secretary reads title of bill)
16. 1st reading of the bill.
17. House Bill 2805, Senator Carroll is the Senate sponsor.
18. (Secretary reads title of bill)
19. 1st reading of the bill.
20. PRESIDENT:
21. Yes, Senator Buzbee, for what purpose do you arise?
22. SENATOR BUZBEE:
23. Thank you, Mr. President, it is...it has become common knowledge
24. now that...that there are a couple of Christmas Tree Appropriation
25. Bills in the House which are not out yet. I'm assuming that we
26. will get that some time either this evening or tomorrow and if it
27. were read a first time then we could also hear those in the Appropriation
28. Committees next week. Is that correct?
29. PRESIDENT:
30. Yes, we...we do have a couple of House Messages. I understand
31. the Christmas Trees, however, may be on the Senate Bills that we've
32. sent over which will come back for concurrence.
33. SENATOR BUZBEE:

1. Okay, well...I...I know this is an unusual procedure, Mr.
2. President, but I wonder if those Christmas Trees that are on Senate
3. Bills when they come back, if we might...perhaps here those in
4. ...in a committee because they've...they've added all kinds of
5. supplementals, which I'm not particularly happy with and I think
6. probably some other members will feel the same way.
7. PRESIDENT:
8. Well, why...why don't we cross that tree...
9. SENATOR BUZBEE:
10. All right.
11. PRESIDENT:
12. ...We'll cut that tree down when we get to it. Messages
13. from the House.
14. SECRETARY:
15. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
16. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the
17. House of Representatives has passed bills with the following
18. titles in the passage of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence
19. of the Senate, to-wit:
20. House Bill 2795 and 2813.
21. PRESIDENT:
22. All right. Resolutions. Oh, Messages from the House.
23. SECRETARY:
24. A Message from the House by Mr. O'Brien, Clerk.
25. Mr. President - I am directed to inform the Senate the
26. House of Representatives has adopted the following Joint Resolutions,
27. in the adoption of which I am instructed to ask the concurrence
28. of the Senate, to-wit:
29. House Joint Resolution 67 and House Joint Resolution 68.
30. PRESIDENT:
31. All right, those House Joint Resolutions will be signed
32. Executive Committee. Resolutions.
33. SECRETARY:
34. Senate Resolution 302 offered by Senator Mitchler. It's

1. congratulatory.

2. Senate Resolution 303 offered by Senator Davidson and
3. all Senators and it's congratulatory.

4. PRESIDENT:

5. Consent Calendar. Senator Graham, for what purpose do
6. you arise?

7. SENATOR GRAHAM:

8. Thank you, ...Mr. President. If I could have the attention of
9. the membership. And Mitchler and others. We had agreed earlier
10. today that we would have a memorial service for W. Russell Arrington,
11. Friday. Events have changed that schedule to the point that I
12. talked with Senator Rock and other people in the leadership and
13. we have set...that...set that meeting, Memorial Service for Senator
14. Arrington at twelve o'clock, noon, next Wednesday. So their families
15. can be advised, it will be twelve o'clock noon on Wednesday. Thank
16. you, Mr. President.

17. PRESIDENT:

18. All right. There any further business to come before the
19. Regular Session? We want to get out early enough so that everybody
20. can go trick or treating. Any further business to come before the
21. Session? If not, Senator...yes, Senator Demuzio, for what
22. purpose do you arise?

23. SENATOR DEMUZIO:

24. Well, since this is Halloween, I want to point out that apparently
25. my seatmate has decided that her real role of...being involved with
26. Halloween, her broom is over here and I don't know whether we're
27. being treated or tricked, but...but...if you have any candy or what-
28. ever you want to drop off, why it's over here.

29. PRESIDENT:

30. Any further business to come before the Session? If not,
31. Senator Hall moves that the Senate stand adjourned until Thursday,
32. November 1st, at the hour of nine-thirty. Now that's a change from
33. the schedule, but certain...some members have requested that we start
34. a little earlier and hopefully we'll finish right around noon. Senator

1. Geo-Karis.

2. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

3. Mr. President, could you tell us what day we're supposed
4. to come in next week?

5. PRESIDENT:

6. Yes, Tuesday, November 6. It looks like we'll be here
7. the 6th, the 7th and the 8th of next week.

8. SENATOR GEO-KARIS:

9. Oh boy, thank you so much.

10. PRESIDENT:

11. Senator Philip.

12. SENATOR PHILIP:

13. Thank you, Mr. President. Am I lead to believe we're not
14. going to be in Session this coming Friday?

15. PRESIDENT:

16. You...you are lead to believe that and I think it will be
17. true. We will...hopefully we will at the close of business
18. tomorrow, adjourn until Tuesday, November 6 at the hour of nine o'clock.
19. At which time all the committees will meet and start to function.

20. SENATOR PHILIP:

21. Thank you.

22. PRESIDENT:

23. All right, Senator Hall has moved that the Senate stand
24. adjourned until Thursday, November 1 at the hour of nine-thirty
25. a. m. Senate stands adjourned.

26.

27.

28.

29.

30.

31.

32.

33.